

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO
Form 10-Q
November 06, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 1-5924

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Arizona

86-0062700

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

88 East Broadway Boulevard, Tucson, AZ 85701

(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (520) 571-4000

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report): N/A

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

All shares of outstanding common stock of Tucson Electric Power Company are held by its parent company, UNS Energy Corporation, which is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Fortis Inc. There were 32,139,434 shares of common stock, no par value, outstanding as of November 5, 2015.

Table of Contents	
<u>Definitions</u>	<u>iii</u>
<u>Forward-Looking Information</u>	<u>v</u>
PART I	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholder's Equity</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2. – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Accounting Pronouncements</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Item 3. – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Item 4. – Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>38</u>
PART II	
<u>Item 1. – Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 1A. – Risk Factors</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 5. – Other Information</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Item 6. – Exhibits</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	<u>44</u>

DEFINITIONS

The abbreviations and acronyms used in the third quarter 2015 Form 10-Q are defined below:

2010 Credit Agreement	The 2010 Credit Agreement consisted of a \$200 million revolving credit and LOC facility together with an \$82 million LOC facility to support tax-exempt bonds. In October 2015, TEP terminated the 2010 Credit Agreement and replaced it with the 2015 Credit Agreement
2010 Reimbursement Agreement	Reimbursement Agreement, dated December 14, 2010, between TEP, as borrower, and a financial institution
2013 Rate Order	A rate order issued by the ACC resulting in a new rate structure for TEP, effective July 1, 2013
2014 Credit Agreement	The 2014 Credit Agreement consisted of a \$70 million revolving credit commitment and a \$130 million term loan commitment portion. The term loan was terminated upon repayment in April 2015. The revolver credit portion was also terminated after repayment.
2015 Credit Agreement	The 2015 Credit Agreement provides for a \$250 million revolving credit and LOC facility
ACC	Arizona Corporation Commission
APS	Arizona Public Service Company
BART	Best Available Retrofit Technology
Base O&M	A non-GAAP financial measure that represents the fundamental level of operating and maintenance expense related to our business
Base Rates	The portion of Retail Rates attributed to generation, transmission, distribution, and customer costs. Base Rates exclude authorized charges designed to recover specific costs that are passed through to customers including fuel and purchased power costs, energy efficiency program costs, certain environmental compliance costs, and a portion of renewable energy costs
Cooling Degree Days	An index used to measure the impact of weather on energy usage calculated by subtracting 75 from the average of the high and low daily temperatures
DG	Distributed Generation
DSM	Demand Side Management
EE	Energy Efficiency
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Fortis	Fortis Inc., a corporation incorporated under the Corporations Act of Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, whose principal executive offices are located at Fortis Place, Suite 1100, 5 Springdale Street, St. John's, NL A1E 0E4
Four Corners	Four Corners Generating Station
GBtu	Billion British thermal units
GWh	Gigawatt-hour(s)
Gila River Unit 3	Unit 3 of the Gila River Generating Station
Heating Degree Days	An index used to measure the impact of weather on energy usage calculated by subtracting the average of the high and low daily temperatures from 65
kV	Kilovolt(s)
kWh	Kilowatt-hour(s)
LFCR	Lost Fixed Cost Recovery
LOC	Letter(s) of Credit
Merger	The acquisition of UNS Energy in 2014 pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger between UNS Energy Corporation and FortisUS Inc.
MMBtu	Million British thermal units
MW	Megawatt(s)
MWh	Megawatt-hour(s)

Navajo	Navajo Generating Station
PNM	Public Service Company of New Mexico
ppb	Parts per billion
PPFAC	Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause
Regional Haze Rules	Rules promulgated by the EPA to improve visibility at national parks and wilderness areas

iii

RES	Renewable Energy Standard
San Juan	San Juan Generating Station
SCR	Selective Catalytic Reduction
SES	Southwest Energy Solutions, Inc.
SJCC	San Juan Coal Company
SNCR	Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction
Springerville	Springerville Generating Station
Springerville Coal Handling Facilities	Coal handling facilities at Springerville used in common by all four Springerville units
Springerville Coal Handling Facilities Leases	Leases previously in place for coal handling facilities at Springerville used in common by all four Springerville units
Springerville Common Facilities	Facilities at Springerville used in common by all four Springerville units
Springerville Unit 1	Unit 1 of the Springerville Generating Station
Springerville Unit 1 Leases	Leveraged lease arrangements that were previously in place relating to Springerville Unit 1 and an undivided one-half interest in certain Springerville Common Facilities
Springerville Unit 2	Unit 2 of the Springerville Generating Station
Springerville Unit 3	Unit 3 of the Springerville Generating Station
Springerville Unit 4	Unit 4 of the Springerville Generating Station
SRP	Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District
Sundt	H. Wilson Sundt Generating Station
Sundt Unit 4	Unit 4 of the H. Wilson Sundt Generating Station
TEP	Tucson Electric Power Company, the principal subsidiary of UNS Energy Corporation Wilmington Trust Company and William J. Wade, as Owner Trustee and Co-trustee under a separate trust agreement with each of the remaining two owner participants, Alterna Springerville LLC (Alterna) and LDVF1 TEP LLC (LDVF1)
Third-Party Owners	Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc.
Tri-State	UNS Electric, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of UNS Energy
UNS Electric	UNS Energy Corporation
UNS Energy	Affiliated subsidiaries of UNS Energy including UNS Electric, Inc., UNS Gas, Inc., and Southwest Energy Solutions, Inc.
UNS Energy Affiliates	UNS Gas, Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of UNS Energy
UNS Gas	

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements as defined by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Tucson Electric Power Company (TEP) is including the following cautionary statements to make applicable and take advantage of the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 for any forward-looking statements made by or for TEP in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, intentions, expectations, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, projections or performance, and underlying assumptions, and other statements that are not statements of historical facts. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words such as anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, plans, predicts, projects, and similar expressions. From time to time, we may publish or otherwise make available forward-looking statements of this nature. All such forward-looking statements, whether written or oral, and whether made by or on behalf of TEP, are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements and any other cautionary statements which may accompany the forward-looking statements. In addition, TEP disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report, except as otherwise may be required by the federal securities laws.

Forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed therein. We express our expectations, beliefs, and projections expectations, beliefs, and projections in good faith and believe them to have a reasonable basis. However, we make no assurances that management's expectations, beliefs or projections will be achieved or accomplished. We have identified the following important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those discussed in our forward-looking statements. These may be in addition to other factors and matters discussed in: Part I, Item 1A of our 2014 Form 10-K; Part II, Item 1A Risk Factors; Part I, Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis; and other parts of this report. These factors include: state and federal regulatory and legislative decisions and actions; changes in, and compliance with, environmental laws, regulations, decisions, and policies that could increase operating and capital costs, reduce generating facility output or accelerate generating facility retirements; regional economic and market conditions which could affect customer growth and energy usage; changes in energy consumption by retail customers; weather variations affecting energy usage; the cost of debt and equity capital and access to capital markets; the performance of the stock market and changing interest rate environment, which affect the value of our pension and other retiree benefit plan assets and the related contribution requirements and expense; the inability to make additions to our existing high voltage transmission system; unexpected increases in O&M expense; resolution of pending litigation matters; changes in accounting standards; changes in critical accounting estimates; the ongoing impact of mandated energy efficiency and distributed generation initiatives; changes to long-term contracts; the cost of fuel and power supplies; ability to obtain coal from our suppliers; cyber attacks or challenges to our information security; and the performance of TEP's generating plants.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

(Amounts in thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Operating Revenues				
Electric Retail Sales	\$337,284	\$316,387	\$803,204	\$760,192
Electric Wholesale Sales	40,545	37,053	129,681	111,692
Other Revenues	30,915	33,971	89,427	92,658
Total Operating Revenues	408,744	387,411	1,022,312	964,542
Operating Expenses				
Fuel	91,853	89,199	239,489	225,163
Purchased Power	40,378	49,902	107,785	125,423
Transmission and Other PPFAC Recoverable Costs	7,386	5,222	18,966	12,683
Increase (Decrease) to Reflect PPFAC Recovery Treatment	9,846	(5,376)	20,627	(20,167)
Total Fuel and Purchased Power	149,463	138,947	386,867	343,102
Operations and Maintenance	88,155	112,667	256,455	273,784
Depreciation	34,395	31,966	103,347	93,857
Amortization	4,342	6,973	14,523	21,449
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	12,038	11,960	38,184	35,800
Total Operating Expenses	288,393	302,513	799,376	767,992
Operating Income	120,351	84,898	222,936	196,550
Other Income (Deductions)				
Interest Income	26	7	77	181
Other Income	2,408	2,024	4,466	6,123
Other Expense	(983)	(7,170)	(2,101)	(11,979)
Appreciation (Depreciation) in Value of Investments	(1,277)	(504)	(1,036)	375
Total Other Income (Deductions)	174	(5,643)	1,406	(5,300)
Interest Expense				
Long-Term Debt	15,630	15,579	45,746	45,326
Capital Leases	991	1,202	3,003	9,048
Other Interest Expense	125	104	989	557
Interest Capitalized	(781)	(850)	(1,977)	(2,878)
Total Interest Expense	15,965	16,035	47,761	52,053
Income Before Income Taxes	104,560	63,220	176,581	139,197
Income Tax Expense	36,021	23,576	60,787	51,656
Net Income	\$68,539	\$39,644	\$115,794	\$87,541

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)
 (Amounts in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Comprehensive Income				
Net Income	\$68,539	\$39,644	\$115,794	\$87,541
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)				
Net Changes in Fair Value of Cash Flow Hedges:				
Net of Income Tax (Expense) Benefit of (\$289) and (\$450)	452	697		
Net of Income Tax (Expense) Benefit of (\$583) and (\$1,117)			908	1,672
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan Adjustments:				
Net of Income Tax (Expense) Benefit of (\$38) and (\$16)	60	25		
Net of Income Tax (Expense) Benefit of (\$113) and (\$46)			181	74
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax	512	722	1,089	1,746
Total Comprehensive Income	\$69,051	\$40,366	\$116,883	\$89,287

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)
(Amounts in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net Income	\$ 115,794	\$ 87,541
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Depreciation Expense	103,347	93,857
Amortization Expense	14,523	21,449
Amortization of Deferred Debt-Related Costs included in Interest Expense	2,288	1,959
Provision for Springerville Unit 1 - Third-Party Owners Unrealized Revenue	16,867	—
Use of Renewable Energy Credits for Compliance	16,139	15,129
Deferred Income Taxes	61,083	51,544
Pension and Retiree Expense	13,941	10,236
Pension and Retiree Funding	(28,922)	(12,989)
Share-Based Compensation Expense	862	5,010
Allowance for Equity Funds Used During Construction	(3,391)	(4,983)
LFCR and DSM Revenues	(11,858)	(9,960)
Increase (Decrease) to Reflect PPFAC Recovery	20,627	(20,167)
Fortis Acquisition Direct Customer Benefit	—	18,870
Changes in Current Assets and Current Liabilities:		
Accounts Receivable	(64,439)	(45,758)
Materials, Supplies, and Fuel Inventory	(7,450)	(274)
Accounts Payable	(5,739)	(472)
Interest Accrued	(3,687)	(3,849)
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	18,574	18,745
Other, net	7,510	(4,620)
Net Cash Flows – Operating Activities	266,069	221,268
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Capital Expenditures	(259,638)	(227,153)
Purchase of Intangibles—Renewable Energy Credits	(22,672)	(22,047)
Purchase of Springerville Unit 1 Lease Assets	(45,753)	—
Purchase of Springerville Coal Handling Facilities Lease Assets	(120,312)	—
Proceeds from Sale of Springerville Coal Handling Facilities	23,656	—
Contributions in Aid of Construction	5,761	10,623
Other, net	—	2,260
Net Cash Flows—Investing Activities	(418,958)	(236,317)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Proceeds from Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facility	148,000	190,000
Repayments of Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facility	(233,000)	(155,000)
Proceeds from Borrowings Under Term Loan	130,000	—
Repayments of Borrowings Under Term Loan	(130,000)	—
Proceeds from Issuance of Long-Term Debt	299,019	149,168
Repayments of Long-Term Debt	(208,600)	—
Dividend Paid	(25,000)	—
Payments of Capital Lease Obligations	(13,464)	(165,145)
Payment of Debt Issue/Retirement Costs	(2,987)	(1,652)

Edgar Filing: TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER CO - Form 10-Q

Contribution from Parent	180,000	—
Other, net	1,659	551
Net Cash Flows—Financing Activities	145,627	17,922
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(7,262) 2,873
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	74,170	25,335
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$66,908	\$28,208

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

3

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)
 (Amounts in thousands)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Utility Plant		
Plant in Service	\$5,457,972	\$5,175,148
Utility Plant Under Capital Leases	131,705	667,157
Construction Work in Progress	186,279	109,070
Total Utility Plant	5,775,956	5,951,375
Less Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(2,174,146)	(2,052,216)
Less Accumulated Amortization of Capital Lease Assets	(98,527)	(473,969)
Total Utility Plant—Net	3,503,283	3,425,190
Investments and Other Property	36,924	37,599
Current Assets		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	66,908	74,170
Accounts Receivable—Customer	110,886	78,061
Accounts Receivable—Other	34,898	16,437
Accounts Receivable—Due from Affiliates	5,322	5,382
Unbilled Accounts Receivable	49,976	36,804
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts—Customer	(4,904)	(4,885)
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts—Other	(16,867)	—
Fuel Inventory	29,977	36,368
Materials and Supplies	96,035	86,750
Regulatory Assets	50,831	69,383
Deferred Income Taxes	50,168	102,006
Derivative Instruments	3,104	1,633
Assets Held for Sale	21,550	—
Other	26,299	21,871
Total Current Assets	524,183	523,980
Regulatory and Other Assets		
Regulatory Assets	221,012	223,192
Derivative Instruments	59	300
Other	25,327	22,161
Total Regulatory and Other Assets	246,398	245,653
Total Assets	\$4,310,788	\$4,232,422

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

(Continued)

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)
(Amounts in thousands)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
CAPITALIZATION AND OTHER LIABILITIES		
Capitalization		
Common Stock Equity	\$1,487,662	\$1,215,779
Capital Lease Obligations	54,597	69,438
Long-Term Debt	1,463,026	1,372,414
Total Capitalization	3,005,285	2,657,631
Current Liabilities		
Current Obligations Under Capital Leases	13,827	173,822
Borrowings Under Revolving Credit Facilities	—	85,000
Accounts Payable—Trade	91,198	110,480
Accounts Payable—Due to Affiliates	3,755	2,933
Accrued Taxes Other than Income Taxes	54,684	36,110
Accrued Employee Expenses	25,958	15,679
Accrued Interest	12,660	21,021
Regulatory Liabilities	36,435	38,847
Customer Deposits	19,924	20,339
Derivative Instruments	10,864	18,874
Other	7,170	9,673
Total Current Liabilities	276,475	532,778
Regulatory and Other Liabilities		
Deferred Income Taxes	509,680	491,546
Regulatory Liabilities	308,427	321,186
Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits	118,065	138,319
Derivative Instruments	4,561	6,288
Other	88,295	84,674
Total Regulatory and Other Liabilities	1,029,028	1,042,013
Commitments and Contingencies		
Total Capitalization and Other Liabilities	\$4,310,788	\$4,232,422

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

(Concluded)

TUCSON ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (Unaudited)
 (Amounts in thousands)

	Common Stock	Capital Stock Expense	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Stockholder's Equity
Balances at December 31, 2013	\$888,971	\$(6,357)) \$49,185	\$ (5,876)) \$925,923
Net Income			87,541		87,541
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax				1,746	1,746
Other	2,568				2,568
Balances at September 30, 2014	\$891,539	\$(6,357)) \$136,726	\$ (4,130)) \$1,017,778
	Common Stock	Capital Stock Expense	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Stockholder's Equity
Balances at December 31, 2014	\$1,116,539	\$(6,357)) \$111,523	\$ (5,926)) \$1,215,779
Net Income			115,794		115,794
Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Tax				1,089	1,089
Dividend Declared			(25,000)		(25,000)
Contribution from Parent	180,000				180,000
Balances at September 30, 2015	\$1,296,539	\$(6,357)) \$202,317	\$ (4,837)) \$1,487,662

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

TEP is a regulated utility that generates, transmits, and distributes electricity to approximately 417,000 retail electric customers in a 1,155 square mile area in southeastern Arizona. TEP also sells electricity to other utilities and power marketing entities, located primarily in the western United States. TEP is a wholly owned subsidiary of UNS Energy Corporation (UNS Energy), a utility services holding company. UNS Energy is an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Fortis Inc. (Fortis), which is a leader in the North American electric and gas utility business.

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

We prepared our condensed consolidated financial statements according to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (GAAP), including specific accounting guidance for regulated operations, and the Securities and Exchange Commission's (SEC) interim reporting requirements. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of TEP and its subsidiaries. In the consolidation process, accounts of the parent and subsidiaries are combined and intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated. TEP jointly owns several generating stations and transmission facilities with both affiliated and non-affiliated entities. TEP's proportionate share of jointly owned facilities is recorded as Utility Plant on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and our proportionate share of the operating costs associated with these facilities is included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. These condensed consolidated financial statements exclude some information and footnotes required by GAAP and the SEC for annual financial statement reporting and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but, in management's opinion, include all recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. Because weather and other factors cause seasonal fluctuations in sales, our quarterly results are not indicative of annual operating results. Certain amounts from prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

FORTIS ACQUISITION OF UNS ENERGY

As disclosed in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Fortis completed the acquisition of UNS Energy in August 2014. TEP recorded \$14 million and \$15 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 as its allocated share of merger-related expenses. Merger-related expenses include investment banker fees, legal expenses, and accelerated expenses for certain share-based compensation awards and were included in Operations and Maintenance in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. In addition, TEP agreed to provide credits on retail customers' bills as a result of the Fortis acquisition. TEP recorded \$19 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 related to customer bill credits which were included in Operations and Maintenance in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In 2015, we adopted accounting guidance that limits the circumstances under which a disposal may be reported as a discontinued operation and requires new disclosures. The adoption of this guidance did not have any impact on our disclosures, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows as we did not have any activities that required application of this accounting guidance.

NOTE 2. REGULATORY MATTERS

The Arizona Corporation Commission (ACC) and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) each regulate portions of the utility accounting practices and rates of TEP. The ACC regulates rates charged to retail customers, the siting of generation and transmission facilities, the issuance of securities, transactions with affiliated parties, and other utility matters. The ACC also enacts other regulations and policies that can affect business decisions and accounting practices. The FERC regulates terms and prices of transmission services and wholesale electricity sales.

2015 RATE CASE

On November 5, 2015, TEP filed a general rate case with the ACC based on a test year ended June 30, 2015. The filing requests that new rates be implemented by January 1, 2017.

The key provisions of TEP's general rate case include:

- Base Rate increase of \$110 million, or 12.0%, compared with adjusted test year revenues;

7

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

a 7.34% return on original cost rate base of \$2.1 billion, which includes approximately \$73 million of post-test year adjustments for utility plant that is expected to be in service by December 31, 2016;

a request to apply excess depreciation reserves against the unrecovered net book value (NBV) of San Juan Unit 2 and the Sundt Coal Handling Facilities due to early retirement;

a request for authority to begin using the Third-Party Owners' portion of Springerville Unit 1 that is available to TEP for dispatch to serve retail customer needs and to recover the related operating costs through the Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustor Clause ("PPFAC"); and

rate design changes that would reduce the reliance on volumetric sales to recover fixed costs, and a new net metering tariff that would ensure that customers who install distributed generation pay an equitable price for their electric service.

TEP cannot predict the outcome of this proceeding or whether its rate request will be adopted by the ACC in whole or in part.

COST RECOVERY MECHANISMS**Purchased Power and Fuel Adjustment Clause**

The ACC adjusts TEP's PPFAC rate annually each April 1 for the subsequent 12-month period. The PPFAC rates during the periods reported were as follows:

Period	Cents per kWh
April 2015 through March 2016	0.68
October 2014 through March 2015 ⁽¹⁾	0.50
May 2014 through September 2014 ⁽¹⁾	0.10
July 2013 through April 2014 ⁽²⁾	(0.14)

⁽¹⁾ The ACC approved a two-step increase to shift a higher level of recovery into the winter season.

⁽²⁾ The effective date of the 2012 PPFAC rate reduction was deferred to coincide with the effective date of the 2013 Rate Order.

In September 2011, a fire at the underground mine providing coal to the San Juan Generating Station (San Juan) caused interruptions to mining operations and resulted in increased fuel costs. The 2013 Rate Order required TEP to defer incremental fuel costs of \$10 million from recovery under the PPFAC pending final resolution of an insurance claim by the San Juan Coal Company (SJCC) and distribution of insurance proceeds to San Juan participants.

Beginning March 2014 through September 30, 2015, TEP received a total of \$9 million in insurance proceeds. For the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, TEP received insurance proceeds of \$1 million and \$8 million, respectively. The insurance proceeds offset the deferred fuel costs and are included in our statement of cash flows as an operating activity. In October 2015, the final insurance payment was received. The remaining \$1 million of unreimbursed fuel costs will be recovered through TEP's PPFAC, in accordance with the 2013 Rate Order.

Energy Efficiency Standards

TEP is required to implement cost-effective Demand Side Management (DSM) programs to comply with the ACC's Energy Efficiency (EE) Standards. The EE Standards provide for a DSM surcharge to recover from retail customers the costs to implement DSM programs as well as an annual performance incentive. TEP records its annual DSM performance incentive in the first quarter of each year, with \$3 million recorded in 2015 and \$2 million in 2014 related to performance in each respective prior calendar year. This performance incentive is included in Electric Retail Sales in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

Lost Fixed Cost Recovery Mechanism

The Lost Fixed Cost Recovery (LFCR) mechanism provides recovery of certain non-fuel costs that would go unrecovered due to lost retail kilowatt-hours (kWh) sales as a result of implementing ACC-approved EE programs and Distributed Generation (DG) targets. TEP records a regulatory asset and recognizes LFCR revenues when the amounts are verifiable regardless of when the lost retail kWh sales occur. For recovery of the LFCR regulatory asset, TEP is required to file an annual LFCR adjustment request with the ACC for the LFCR revenues recognized in the prior year.

The recovery is subject to a year-over-year cap of 1% of TEP's total retail revenues.

8

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

TEP recorded a regulatory asset and recognized LFCR revenues of \$3 million and \$9 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. TEP recorded \$2 million and \$8 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. LFCR revenues are included in Electric Retail Sales in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

REGULATORY ASSETS

Upon expiration of the Springerville Generating Station Unit 1 (Springerville Unit 1) capital leases in January 2015, TEP recorded a regulatory asset of \$25 million for unamortized leasehold improvement costs that relate to third-party ownership interests. These leasehold improvements, previously recorded in Plant in Service on the balance sheet, represent investments TEP made through the end of the lease term to ensure that the Springerville Unit 1 facilities continued providing safe, reliable service to TEP's customers. In the 2013 Rate Order, TEP received ACC authorization to recover these costs over a 10-year amortization period for leasehold improvements at Springerville Unit 1. TEP owns a 49.5% undivided interest in Springerville Unit 1.

NOTE 3. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

TEP engages in various transactions with Fortis, UNS Energy and its affiliated subsidiaries including UniSource Energy Services, Inc., UNS Electric, Inc. (UNS Electric), UNS Gas, Inc. (UNS Gas), and Southwest Energy Solutions, Inc. (SES) (collectively, UNS Energy Affiliates). These transactions include the sale and purchase of power, common cost allocations, and the provision of corporate and other labor related services.

The following table summarizes related party balances included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(in millions)	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
Receivables from Related Parties		
UNS Electric	\$4	\$4
UNS Gas	1	1
Total Due from Related Parties	\$5	\$5
Payables to Related Parties		
SES	\$3	\$2
UNS Energy	1	—
UNS Electric	—	1
Total Due to Related Parties	\$4	\$3

The following table summarizes related party transactions included in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:

(in millions)	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Wholesale Sales - TEP to UNS Electric ⁽¹⁾	\$2	\$2	\$5	\$3
Wholesale Sales - UNS Electric to TEP ⁽¹⁾	—	2	1	3
Control Area Services - TEP to UNS Electric ⁽²⁾	1	1	1	2
Common Costs - TEP to UNS Energy Affiliates ⁽³⁾	3	3	9	10
Supplemental Workforce - SES to TEP ⁽⁴⁾	4	4	13	12
Corporate Services - UNS Energy to TEP ⁽⁵⁾	1	11	3	13
Corporate Services - UNS Energy Affiliates to TEP ⁽⁶⁾	—	—	1	1

⁽¹⁾ TEP sells and purchases power with UNS Electric at prevailing market prices.

⁽²⁾ TEP charges UNS Electric for control area services under a FERC-approved Control Area Services Agreement.

- Common costs (information systems, facilities, etc.) are allocated on a cost-causative basis and recorded as
- (3) revenue by TEP. The method of allocation is deemed reasonable by management and is reviewed by the ACC as part of the rate case process.
 - (4) SES provides supplemental workforce and meter-reading services to TEP based on related party service agreements. The charges are based on costs of services performed and deemed reasonable by management.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Costs for corporate services at UNS Energy, such as Fortis management fees, legal fees, and audit fees, are allocated to its subsidiaries using the Massachusetts Formula, an industry accepted method of allocating common costs to affiliated entities. TEP's allocation is approximately 81% of UNS Energy's allocated costs. For the three⁽⁵⁾ and nine months ended September 30, 2015, these costs included approximately \$1 million and \$3 million, respectively, in Fortis management fees. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, these costs included approximately \$11 million and \$12 million, respectively, in merger related costs (excluding TEP allocated labor related charges).

⁽⁶⁾ Costs for corporate services (finance, accounting, tax, legal, and information technology) and other labor services for UNS Energy Affiliates are directly assigned to the benefiting entity at a fully burdened cost when possible.

SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE

In January 2015, UNS Energy established a new share-based compensation plan, the 2015 Share Unit Plan (the Plan), to promote greater alignment of interests with the senior management of UNS Energy and its subsidiaries, UNS Energy's customers, and the shareholders of Fortis. TEP's allocated share-based compensation expense under the Plan for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 was less than \$1 million and \$1 million, respectively.

With the completion of the Fortis acquisition of UNS Energy in August 2014, TEP recognized accelerated vesting of all outstanding non-vested UNS Energy share based awards that otherwise would have been recognized over the remaining vesting periods through February 2017. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, TEP recognized \$4 million and \$5 million of expense under UNS Energy's prior share-based compensation plans.

CONTRIBUTION FROM PARENT

In June 2015, UNS Energy made an equity contribution to TEP of \$180 million. TEP used proceeds from the equity contribution to repay the outstanding balances under TEP's revolving credit facilities. The remaining balance of the proceeds was used to redeem bonds in August 2015 and to provide additional liquidity to TEP. See Note 4 for additional information regarding the August 2015 bond redemption.

DIVIDEND PAID

TEP paid a \$25 million dividend to UNS Energy in the first nine months of 2015 and no dividend in the first nine months of 2014.

NOTE 4. DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS

We summarize below the significant changes to our debt and capital lease obligations from those reported in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS

Springerville Unit 1 Capital Lease Purchase

In January 2015, upon expiration of the lease term, TEP purchased leased interests comprising 24.8% of Springerville Unit 1, representing 96 megawatts (MW) of capacity, for an aggregate purchase price of \$46 million, the appraised value. With the completion of the purchase, TEP owns 49.5% of Springerville Unit 1, or 192 MW of capacity.

Furthermore, TEP is obligated to operate the unit for the Third-Party Owners under existing agreements. The Third-Party Owners are obligated to compensate TEP for their pro rata share of expenses. See Note 5 for additional information regarding the Third-Party Owners.

Springerville Coal Handling Facilities Lease Purchase

In April 2015, upon the expiration of the lease, TEP purchased an 86.7% undivided ownership interest in the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities at the fixed purchase price of \$120 million, bringing its total ownership of the assets to 100%.

In May 2015, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (SRP), the owner of Springerville Unit 4, purchased from TEP a 17.05% undivided interest in the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities for approximately \$24 million.

Tri-State Generation and Transmission Association, Inc. (Tri-State), the lessee of Springerville Unit 3, is obligated to either: 1) buy a 17.05% undivided interest in the facilities for approximately \$24 million; or 2) continue to make payments to TEP for the use of the facilities. Tri-State has until April 2016 to exercise its purchase option. At September 30, 2015, Tri-State's 17.05% undivided interest in the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities is classified as Assets Held for Sale on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

DEBT ISSUANCES AND REDEMPTIONS

In January 2015, amounts borrowed under the term loan portion of the 2014 Credit Agreement were used to purchase \$130 million aggregate principal amount of unsecured Industrial Development Revenue Bonds issued in June 2008 by the Industrial Development Authority (IDA) of Pima County for the benefit of TEP. The multi-modal bonds mature in September 2029. At September 30, 2015, TEP had not canceled or remarketed the repurchased bonds and as a result the bonds were not recorded in Long-Term Debt on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

In February 2015, TEP issued and sold \$300 million aggregate principal amount of senior unsecured notes bearing interest at a fixed rate of 3.05% and maturing March 15, 2025. In March 2015, TEP used the net proceeds from the sale to repay \$215 million on outstanding borrowings under its credit agreements. In April 2015, the remaining net proceeds were used to pay a portion of the purchase price for its ownership interests in the Springerville Coal Handling Facilities. TEP may redeem the notes prior to December 15, 2024, with a make-whole premium plus accrued interest. On or after December 15, 2024, TEP may redeem the notes at par plus accrued interest. Interest on the notes is payable semi-annually, beginning September 15, 2015, and ending at maturity.

In June 2015, TEP issued irrevocable redemption notices for two series of variable rate tax-exempt bonds with an aggregate principal amount of \$79 million. These two series of local-furnishing bonds, issued in 1982 by the IDA of Pima County for the benefit of TEP, were backed by Letters of Credit (LOCs) issued under TEP's 2010 Credit Agreement. In August 2015, the bonds were redeemed prior to maturity at par and the associated LOCs were terminated in September 2015. At September 30, 2015, no remaining balance for the bonds is reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

CREDIT AGREEMENTS

2015 Credit Agreement

In October 2015, TEP entered into an unsecured credit agreement (2015 Credit Agreement) replacing the 2010 Credit Agreement. The 2015 Credit Agreement provides for a \$250 million revolving credit and LOC facility. The LOC sublimit is \$50 million. TEP expects that amounts borrowed under the credit agreement will be used for working capital and other general corporate purposes and that LOCs will be issued from time to time to support energy procurement and hedging transactions. All amounts outstanding under the facility will be due in October 2020, the termination date of the credit agreement. The agreement allows for two one year extensions of the facility if certain conditions are satisfied.

Interest rates and fees under the 2015 Credit Agreement are based on a pricing grid tied to TEP's credit ratings. The interest rate currently in effect on borrowings is London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus 1.00% for Eurodollar loans or Alternate Base Rate with no spread for Alternate Base Rate loans.

As of November 5, 2015, there was \$250 million available under the 2015 Credit Agreement's revolving credit and LOC facilities.

2014 Credit Agreement

In March 2015, net proceeds from the sale of senior unsecured notes were used to repay the 2014 Credit Agreement's outstanding term loan. In June 2015, TEP terminated the 2014 Credit Agreement.

2010 Credit Agreement

In August 2015, \$82 million of LOCs supporting variable-rate tax-exempt bonds were terminated after the related bonds were redeemed. As of September 30, 2015, there was \$199 million available under the 2010 Credit Agreement's revolving credit facility. In October 2015, TEP terminated the 2010 Credit Agreement and replaced it with the 2015 Credit Agreement.

2010 REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT

The 2010 Reimbursement Agreement supports \$37 million aggregate principal amount of variable rate tax-exempt bonds and includes fees payable on the aggregate outstanding amount. The rate currently in effect to maintain the LOC decreased to 0.75% per annum after TEP's credit rating upgrade in February 2015 by Moody's to A3.

COVENANT COMPLIANCE

At September 30, 2015, we were in compliance with the terms of our loan and credit agreements.

11

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 5. COMMITMENTS, CONTINGENCIES, AND ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS
COMMITMENTS

In addition to those reported in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K, TEP entered into the following long-term commitments through September 30, 2015:

(in millions)	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	Total
Fuel, Including Transportation	\$1	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$46	\$55
Purchased Power	—	31	—	—	—	—	31
Renewable Power Purchase Agreements	6	16	16	16	16	245	315
Total Purchase Commitments	\$7	\$49	\$18	\$18	\$18	\$291	\$401

Fuel

TEP entered into a new gas transportation commitment in 2015 with total minimum payment obligations expiring in 2040.

Purchased Power

TEP entered into new forward purchased power commitments in 2015 with total minimum payment obligations expiring in 2016. TEP's purchase power commitments fluctuate with changes in the market price of electricity. The commitment amount included in the table above is based on projected market prices as of September 30, 2015.

Renewable Power Purchase Agreements

TEP enters into long-term renewable power purchase agreements which require TEP to purchase 100% of certain renewable energy generation facilities output once commercial operation status is achieved. In September 2015, one of these facilities achieved commercial operation. The related contract expires in 2035. While TEP is not required to make payments under this contract if power is not delivered, estimated future payments are included in the table above.

CONTINGENCIES

Navajo Generating Station Lease Extension

Navajo Generating Station (Navajo) is located on a site that is leased from the Navajo Nation with an initial lease term through 2019. The Navajo Nation signed a lease amendment in 2013 that would extend the lease from 2019 through 2044. The participants in Navajo, including TEP, have not signed the lease amendment because certain participants have expressed an interest in discontinuing their participation in Navajo. Negotiations between the participants are ongoing, and all parties will likely agree to the terms. To become effective, this lease amendment must be signed by all of the participants, approved by the Department of the Interior, and is subject to environmental reviews. Once the lease amendment becomes effective, the participants will be responsible for additional lease costs from the date the Navajo Nation signed the lease amendment. TEP owns 7.5% of Navajo. In the first nine months of 2015, TEP recorded an additional estimated lease expense of \$2 million with the expectation that the lease amendment will become effective. At September 30, 2015, TEP's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect a total liability related to the lease amendment of \$4 million recorded in Regulatory and Other Liabilities—Other.

Claims Related to Springerville Generating Station Unit 1

On November 7, 2014, the Springerville Unit 1 Third-Party Owners filed a complaint (FERC Action) against TEP with the FERC alleging that TEP had not agreed to wheel power and energy for the Third-Party Owners in the manner specified in the Springerville Unit 1 facility support agreement between TEP and the Third-Party Owners and for the cost specified by the Third-Party Owners. The Third-Party Owners requested an order from the FERC requiring such wheeling of the Third-Party Owners' energy from their Springerville Unit 1 interests beginning on January 1, 2015 to the Palo Verde switchyard and for the price specified by the Third-Party Owners. In February 2015, the FERC issued an order denying the Third-Party Owners complaint. In March 2015, the Third-Party Owners filed a request for rehearing in the FERC Action. In October 2015, the FERC denied the request for rehearing.

On December 19, 2014, the Third-Party Owners filed a complaint against TEP in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County (New York Action). In response to motions filed by TEP to dismiss various counts and compel arbitration of certain matters alleged and the court's subsequent ruling on the motions, the Third-Party Owners have amended the complaint three times, dropping certain allegations and raising others in the New York Action and in the arbitration proceeding described

12

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

below. As amended, the New York Action alleges, among other things, that TEP failed to properly operate, maintain, and make capital investments in Springerville Unit 1 during the term of the leases and that TEP has breached the lease transaction documents by refusing to pay certain of the Third-Party Owners' claimed expenses. The third amended complaint seeks \$71 million in liquidated damages and direct and consequential damages in an amount to be determined at trial. The Third-Party Owners have also agreed to stay their claim that TEP has not agreed to wheel power and energy as required pending the outcome of the FERC Action.

In December 2014 and January 2015, Wilmington Trust Company, as Owner Trustees and Lessors under the leases of the Third-Party Owners, sent notices to TEP that alleged that TEP had defaulted under the Third-Party Owners' leases. The notices demanded that TEP pay liquidated damages totaling approximately \$71 million. In letters to Wilmington Trust Company, TEP denied the allegations in the notices.

On April 20, 2015, TEP filed a demand for arbitration with the American Arbitration Association (AAA) seeking an award of the Third-Party Owners' share of unreimbursed expenses and capital expenditures for Springerville Unit 1. On June 4, 2015, the Third-Party Owners filed a separate demand for arbitration with the AAA alleging, among other things, that TEP has failed to properly operate, maintain and make capital investments in Springerville Unit 1 since the leases have expired. The Third-Party Owners' arbitration demand seeks declaratory judgments, damages in an amount to be determined by the arbitration panel and the Third-Party Owners' fees and expenses. TEP and the Third-Party Owners have since agreed to consolidate their arbitration demands into one proceeding. On August 17, 2015, the Third-Party Owners filed an amended arbitration demand adding claims that TEP has converted the Third-Party Owners' water rights and certain emission reduction payments and that TEP is improperly dispatching the Third-Party Owners' unscheduled Springerville Unit 1 power and capacity.

On October 8, 2015, the arbitration panel granted TEP's motion for interim relief, ordering the Third-Party Owners to pay TEP their pro-rata share of unreimbursed expenses and capital expenditures for Springerville Unit 1 by October 22, 2015, and ordering that they timely pay their share of all future Springerville 1 expenses and expenditures during the pendency of the arbitration. Any amounts collected could be subject to refund if the arbitration panel subsequently upholds all or portions of the Third-Party Owners' claims. The arbitration panel denied the Third-Party Owners' motion for interim relief which had requested that TEP be enjoined from dispatching the Third-Party Owners' unscheduled Springerville Unit 1 power and capacity. On October 20, 2015, the Third-Party Owners informed the arbitration panel that the Owner Trustees have no available funds and are unable to make the payments required by the interim order, and requested that the panel reconsider its associated decision in the interim order. In a letter dated October 27, 2015, the arbitration panel indicated that it reconsidered its decision and declined to change it. The arbitration hearing is scheduled for July 2016.

On October 30, 2015, TEP filed a petition to confirm the interim arbitration order in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York naming the Third-Party Owners as respondents. The petition seeks an order from the court confirming the interim arbitration order under the Federal Arbitration Act.

As of September 30, 2015, TEP has billed the Third-Party Owners approximately \$17 million for their pro-rata share of Springerville Unit 1 expenses and \$2 million for their pro-rata share of capital expenditures, none of which had been paid as of November 5, 2015.

Under the Springerville Unit 1 facility support agreement, TEP is permitted to dispatch and use any of the Third-Party Owners' unscheduled entitlement share(s) of power from Springerville Unit 1. TEP commenced such dispatch and use for TEP's benefit in mid-June 2015.

TEP cannot predict the outcome of the claims relating to Springerville Unit 1, and, due to the general and non-specific scope and nature of the claims, TEP cannot determine estimates of the range of loss, if any, at this time. TEP intends to vigorously defend itself against the claims asserted by the Third-Party Owners and to vigorously pursue the claims it has asserted against the Third-Party Owners.

Claims Related to San Juan Generating Station

SJCC operates an underground coal mine in an area where certain gas producers have oil and gas leases with the federal government, the State of New Mexico, and private parties. These gas producers allege that SJCC's underground coal mine interferes with their operations, reducing the amount of natural gas they can recover. SJCC compensated certain gas producers for any remaining production from wells deemed close enough to the mine to warrant plugging and abandoning them. These settlements, however, do not resolve all potential claims by gas producers in the area. TEP owns 50% of Units 1 and 2 at San Juan, which represents approximately 20% of the total generation capacity at San Juan, and is responsible for its share of any settlements. TEP cannot estimate the impact of any future claims by these gas producers on the cost of coal at San Juan.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

In August 2013, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) proposed regulations that, among other things, redefine the term “underground mine” to exclude high-wall mining operations and impose a higher surface mine coal royalty on high-wall mining. SJCC utilized high-wall mining techniques at its surface mines prior to beginning underground mining operations in January 2003. If the proposed regulations become effective, SJCC may be subject to additional royalties on coal delivered to San Juan between August 2000 and January 2003 totaling approximately \$5 million of which TEP’s proportionate share would approximate \$1 million. TEP cannot predict the final outcome of the BLM’s proposed regulations.

In February 2013, WildEarth Guardians (WEG) filed a Petition for Review in the U.S. District Court of Colorado against the Office of Surface Mining (OSM) challenging federal administrative decisions affecting seven different mines in four states issued at various times from 2007 through 2012. In its petition, WEG challenges several unrelated mining plan modification approvals, which were each separately approved by OSM. Of the fifteen claims for relief in the WEG Petition, two concern SJCC’s San Juan mine. WEG’s allegations concerning the San Juan mine arise from OSM administrative actions in 2008. WEG alleges various National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) violations against OSM, including, but not limited to, OSM’s alleged failure to provide requisite public notice and participation, alleged failure to analyze certain environmental impacts, and alleged reliance on outdated and insufficient documents. WEG’s petition seeks various forms of relief, including a finding that the federal defendants violated NEPA by approving the mine plans, voiding, reversing, and remanding the various mining modification approvals, enjoining the federal defendants from re-issuing the mining plan approvals for the mines until compliance with NEPA has been demonstrated, and enjoining operations at the seven mines. SJCC intervened in this matter. SJCC was granted its motion to sever its claims from the lawsuit and transfer venue to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico, where this matter is now proceeding. A stay in this matter has expired although the parties continue to engage in settlement negotiations. If WEG ultimately obtains the relief it has requested, such a ruling could require significant expenditures to reconfigure operations at the San Juan mine, impact the production of coal, and impact the economic viability of the San Juan mine and San Juan. TEP cannot currently predict the outcome of this matter or the range of its potential impact.

Claims Related to Four Corners Generating Station

In October 2011, EarthJustice, on behalf of several environmental organizations, filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico against Arizona Public Service Company (APS) and the other Four Corners Generating Station (Four Corners) participants alleging violations of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) provisions of the Clean Air Act at Four Corners. In January 2012, EarthJustice amended their complaint alleging violations of New Source Performance Standards resulting from equipment replacements at Four Corners. Among other things, the plaintiffs sought to have the court issue an order to cease operations at Four Corners until any required PSD permits are issued and order the payment of civil penalties, including a beneficial mitigation project. In April 2012, APS filed motions to dismiss with the court for all claims asserted by EarthJustice in the amended complaint. TEP owns 7% of Four Corners Units 4 and 5 and is liable for its share of any resulting liabilities. In June 2015, APS, the operator of Four Corners, announced a settlement with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for outstanding environmental issues related to New Source Review provisions under the Clean Air Act. The settlement calls for environmental upgrades including Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) upgrades already planned for under the Regional Haze regulation (see Regional Haze Rules below), environmental mitigation projects, and civil penalties. A consent decree reflecting terms of the settlement was entered by the court in August 2015, effectively closing the case. TEP’s share of the additional capital, excluding the SCR upgrades, is approximately \$2 million over the three year period it will take to construct the upgrades. TEP’s share of the annual O&M expenses is approximately \$1 million. In addition, TEP recorded less than \$1 million for its share of the one-time charges for environmental mitigation projects and civil penalties.

In May 2013, the New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department (NMTRD) issued a notice of assessment for coal severance tax, penalties, and interest totaling \$30 million to the coal supplier at Four Corners. TEP’s share of the

assessment is \$2 million based on our ownership percentage. In December 2013, the coal supplier and Four Corners' operating agent filed a claim contesting the validity of the assessment on behalf of the participants in Four Corners, who will be liable for their share of any resulting liabilities. In June 2015, the U.S. District Court ruled in favor of the Four Corners' participants. NMTRD filed an appeal of the decision on August 31, 2015. TEP cannot predict the final outcome or timing of resolution of these claims.

Mine Closure Reclamation at Generating Stations Not Operated by TEP

TEP pays ongoing reclamation costs related to coal mines that supply generating stations in which TEP has an ownership interest but does not operate. TEP is liable for a portion of final reclamation costs upon closure of the mines servicing Navajo, San Juan, and Four Corners. Upon expiration of the coal supply agreements, which expire between 2017 and 2031, TEP's share of reclamation costs at all three mines, in total, is expected to be \$37 million. The reclamation liability recorded was \$24 million at September 30, 2015 and \$22 million at December 31, 2014.

Amounts recorded for final reclamation are subject to various assumptions, such as estimations of reclamation costs, the dates when final reclamation will occur, and the credit-adjusted risk-free interest rate to be used to discount future liabilities. As these

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

assumptions change, TEP will prospectively adjust the expense amounts for final reclamation over the remaining coal supply agreements' terms. TEP does not believe that recognition of its final reclamation obligations will be material to TEP in any single year because recognition will occur over the remaining terms of its coal supply agreements.

TEP's PPFAC allows us to pass through final reclamation costs, as a component of fuel cost, to retail customers. Therefore, TEP classifies these costs as a regulatory asset by increasing the regulatory asset and the reclamation liability over the remaining life of the coal supply agreements and recovers the regulatory asset through the PPFAC as final mine reclamation costs are paid to the coal suppliers.

Discontinued Transmission Project

TEP and UNS Electric had initiated a project to jointly construct a 60-mile transmission line from Tucson, Arizona to Nogales, Arizona in response to an order by the ACC to UNS Electric to improve the reliability of electric service in Nogales. At this time, TEP and UNS Electric will not proceed with the project based on the cost of the proposed 345-kilovolt (kV) line, the difficulty in reaching agreement with the United States Forest Service on a path for the line, and concurrence by the ACC that recent transmission additions by TEP and UNS Electric support elimination of this project. TEP and UNS Electric plan to maintain the Certificate of Environmental Compatibility (CEC) previously granted by the ACC for this project in contemplation of using the route, or a portion thereof, to serve future customers and to address reliability needs. As part of the 2013 Rate Order, TEP agreed to seek recovery of the project costs from the FERC before seeking rate recovery from the ACC. In 2012, TEP wrote off \$5 million of the capitalized costs and recorded a regulatory asset of \$5 million for the balance deemed probable of recovery in TEP's next FERC rate case.

Performance Guarantees

The participants in each of the remote generating stations in which TEP participates, including TEP, have guaranteed certain performance obligations of the other participants. Specifically, in the event of payment default of a participant, the non-defaulting participants have agreed to bear a proportionate share of expenses otherwise payable by the defaulting participant. In exchange, the non-defaulting participants are entitled to receive their proportionate share of the generating capacity of the defaulting participants. As of September 30, 2015, there have been no such payment defaults under any of the remote generating station agreements. TEP's joint participation agreements for the San Juan, Navajo, Four Corners, and Luna Generating Stations expire between 2019 and 2046.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**Environmental Regulation**

The EPA regulates the amount of sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxide (NO_x), carbon dioxide (CO₂), particulate matter, mercury, and other by-products produced by power plants. TEP may incur added costs to comply with future changes in federal and state environmental laws, regulations, and permit requirements at its power plants. Complying with these changes may reduce operating efficiency. TEP expects to recover the cost of environmental compliance from its ratepayers.

Greenhouse Gas Regulation

In August 2015, the EPA issued the Clean Power Plan (CPP) limiting CO₂ emissions from existing and new fossil fueled power plants. The CPP establishes state-level CO₂ emission rates and mass-based goals that apply to fossil fuel-fired generation. The plan targets CO₂ emissions reductions for existing facilities by 2030 and establishes interim goals that begin in 2022. States are required to develop and submit a final compliance plan, or an initial plan with an extension request, to the EPA by September 2016. States that receive an extension must submit a final completed plan to the EPA by September 2018. TEP will continue to work with the other Arizona and New Mexico utilities, as well as the appropriate regulatory agencies, to develop the state compliance plans. TEP is unable to determine how the final CPP rule will impact its facilities until state plans are developed and approved by the EPA. TEP cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

The EPA incorporated the compliance obligations for existing power plants located on Indian nations, like the Navajo Nation, in the existing sources rule and a newly proposed Federal Plan using a compliance method similar to that of the states. The proposed Federal Plan would be implemented for any Indian nation and/or state that does not submit a

plan or that does not have an EPA or approved state plan. TEP will work with the participants at Four Corners and Navajo to determine how this revision may impact compliance and operations at both facilities. TEP plans to comment on the proposed Federal Plan impacting our facilities, including Four Corners and Navajo. TEP cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

TEP's compliance requirements under the CPP are subject to the outcomes of potential proceedings and litigation challenging the rule.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Coal Combustion Residuals Regulation

In April 2015, the EPA issued a final rule requiring all coal ash and other coal combustion residuals to be treated as a solid waste under Subtitle D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act for disposal in landfills and/or surface impoundments while allowing for the continued recycling of coal ash. TEP does not own or operate any impoundments. Under the rule, the Springerville Generating Station (Springerville) ash landfill is classified as an existing landfill and is not subject to the lateral expansion requirements. However, TEP will incur additional costs for site preparation and monitoring at Springerville to be fully compliant with the rule. TEP's share of the cost at Springerville is estimated to be \$2 million, the majority of which is expected to be capital expenditures. TEP currently estimates its share of the costs to be \$4 million at Four Corners, \$1 million at Navajo, and less than \$1 million at San Juan, the majority of which are expected to be capital expenditures.

Effluent Limitation Guidelines

In September 2015, as part of the Clean Water Act the EPA published the final Effluent Limitation Guidelines setting technology standards and limitations for steam electric power plant discharges. The rule sets the first federal limits on the levels of toxic metals in wastewater that can be discharged from power plants, based on technology improvements in the steam electric power industry over the last three decades. TEP is evaluating the effects of this rule on its facilities including Navajo, San Juan, and Four Corners. Since the majority of TEP's facilities are zero discharge, TEP does not anticipate a significant financial impact.

Hazardous Air Pollutant Requirements

In February 2012, the EPA issued final rules for the control of mercury emissions and other hazardous air pollutants from power plants. Based on the EPA's final Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) rules, additional emission control equipment would have been required by April 2015. TEP, as operator of Springerville and Sundt Generating Stations, and the operators of Navajo and Four Corners received extensions until April 2016 to comply with the MATS rules.

In June 2015, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed and remanded the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals decision in *Michigan v. EPA* to uphold the MATS rules requiring power plants to control mercury and other emissions. The Supreme Court held that the EPA did not adequately consider "cost" before determining that MATS was "appropriate and necessary." The D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals may remand the rules to the EPA or vacate the rules.

At this time, despite the U.S. Supreme Court ruling, the MATS rules remain in force and effect. TEP will proceed with its planned MATS compliance activity at each of our facilities. Additionally, Arizona has an Arizona-specific mercury rule in place that will become effective and applicable to our Arizona facilities in the event the Federal rule is struck down. Our compliance strategy ensures compliance with both the Federal and the State rule, as applicable.

TEP's share of the estimated mercury emission control costs to comply with the MATS rules includes the following:

(in millions)	Navajo	Springerville ⁽¹⁾
Capital Expenditures	\$ 1	\$ 5
Annual O&M Expenses	1	1

Total capital expenditures and annual O&M expenses represent amounts for Springerville Units 1 and 2, with estimated costs split equally between the two units. In January 2015, TEP completed the purchase of 49.5% of

⁽¹⁾ Springerville Unit 1. With the completion of the purchase, the Third-Party Owners are responsible for 50.5% of environmental costs attributable to Springerville Unit 1. TEP will continue to be responsible for 100% of environmental costs attributable to Springerville Unit 2.

TEP expects no additional capital expenditures or O&M expenses will be incurred to comply at the Four Corners, Sundt, and San Juan Generating Stations.

Regional Haze Rules

The EPA's Regional Haze Rules require emission controls known as Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) for certain industrial facilities emitting air pollutants that reduce visibility in national parks and wilderness areas. The rule calls for all states to establish goals and emission reduction strategies for improving visibility. States must submit

these goals and strategies to the EPA for approval. Because Navajo and Four Corners are located on land leased from the Navajo Nation, they are not subject to state oversight; the EPA oversees regional haze planning for these power plants.

In the western U.S., Regional Haze BART determinations have focused on controls for NO_x, often resulting in a requirement to install SCR. Complying with the BART rule, and with other future environmental rules, may make it economically impractical to continue operating all or a portion of the Navajo, San Juan, and Four Corners power plants or for individual owners to

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

continue to participate in these power plants. The BART provisions do not apply to Springerville Units 1 and 2 since they were constructed in the 1980s, after the time frame as designated by the rules. Other provisions of the Regional Haze Rules requiring further emission reductions are not likely to impact Springerville operations until after 2018.

TEP cannot predict the ultimate outcome of these matters.

TEP's estimated NO_x emissions control costs involved in meeting these rules are:

(in millions)	Navajo	San Juan	Four Corners	Sundt
Capital Expenditures	\$28	\$12	\$44	\$12
Annual O&M Expenses	1	1	2	6

Navajo

In August 2014, the EPA published a final Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) wherein: one unit at Navajo will be shut down by 2020; SCR (or the equivalent) will be installed on the remaining two units by 2030; and conventional coal-fired generation will cease by December 2044. The final BART rule includes options that accommodate potential ownership changes at the plant. The plant has until December 2019 to notify the EPA of how it will comply with the FIP.

San Juan

In October 2014, the EPA published a final rule approving a revised State Implementation Plan (SIP) covering BART requirements for San Juan, which includes the closure of Units 2 and 3 by December 2017 and the installation of Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction (SNCR) on Units 1 and 4 by February 2016. TEP owns 50% of Units 1 and 2 at San Juan. The SIP approval references a New Source Review permit issued by the New Mexico Environment Department in November 2013 which, among other things, calls for balanced draft upgrades on San Juan Unit 1 to reduce particulate matter emissions. Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM), the operator of San Juan, is currently installing SNCR. Balanced draft modifications to San Juan Unit 1 were completed in June 2015. TEP's share of the balanced draft upgrades was approximately \$22 million. Prior to the shutdown of any units at San Juan, PNM, the operator, must first obtain New Mexico Public Regulation Commission approval.

At September 30, 2015, the NBV of TEP's share in San Juan Unit 2, including construction work in progress, was \$105 million. Consistent with the 2013 Rate Order, TEP has requested to apply excess depreciation reserves against the unrecovered NBV in its 2015 Rate Case.

Four Corners

In December 2013, APS, on behalf of the co-owners of Four Corners, notified the EPA that they have chosen an alternative BART compliance strategy; as a result, APS closed Units 1, 2, and 3 in December 2013 and agreed to the installation of SCR on Units 4 and 5 by July 2018. TEP owns 7% of Four Corners Units 4 and 5.

Sundt

In June 2014, the EPA issued a final rule that would require TEP to either (i) install, by mid-2017, SNCR and dry sorbent injection if Unit 4 of the H. Wilson Sundt Generating Station (Sundt) continues to use coal as a fuel source, or (ii) permanently eliminate coal as a fuel source as a better-than-BART alternative by the end of 2017. Under the rule, TEP is required to notify the EPA of its decision by March 2017. TEP expects to make a final decision in 2016.

At September 30, 2015, the NBV of the Sundt coal handling facilities was \$16 million. In August 2015, TEP exhausted its existing coal supply at Sundt and has been operating Sundt with natural gas as a primary fuel source. TEP expects to retire the Sundt coal handling facilities earlier than expected, and has requested to apply excess depreciation reserves against the unrecovered NBV in its 2015 Rate Case. The estimated NO_x emissions control costs in the table above will not be expended if Sundt is retired early.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 6. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Net periodic benefit plan cost includes the following components:

(in millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Retiree Benefits	
	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Service Cost	\$3	\$2	\$1	\$1
Interest Cost	5	4	—	—
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(6) (5) —	—
Amortization of Net Loss/(Gain)	2	1	—	—
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$4	\$2	\$1	\$1
(in millions)	Pension Benefits		Other Retiree Benefits	
	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Service Cost	\$9	\$7	\$3	\$3
Interest Cost	13	12	2	2
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(18) (16) (1) (1
Amortization of Net Loss/(Gain)	6	3	—	—
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$10	\$6	\$4	\$4

NOTE 7. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

SIGNIFICANT INVESTING AND FINANCING NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS

(in millions)	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014
Accrued Capital Expenditures	\$21	\$19
Commitment to Purchase Capital Lease Interests	—	109

NOTE 8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS AND DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

We categorize our financial instruments into the three-level hierarchy based on inputs used to determine the fair value. Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in non-active markets, and pricing models whose inputs are observable, directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable and supported by little or no market activity. Transfers between levels are recorded at the end of a reporting period. There were no transfers between levels in the periods presented.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE ON A RECURRING BASIS

The following tables present, by level within the fair value hierarchy, TEP's assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis. These assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
(in millions)	September 30, 2015			
Assets				
Cash Equivalents ⁽¹⁾	\$46	\$—	\$—	\$46
Restricted Cash ⁽¹⁾	2	—	—	2
Energy Derivative Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽²⁾	—	—	1	1
Energy Derivative Contracts - No Regulatory Recovery ⁽²⁾	—	—	2	2
Total Assets	48	—	3	51
Liabilities				
Energy Derivative Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽²⁾	—	(9) (3) (12
Interest Rate Swap ⁽³⁾	—	(3) —	(3
Total Liabilities	—	(12) (3) (15
Net Total Assets (Liabilities)	\$48	\$(12) \$—	\$36
(in millions)	December 31, 2014			
Assets				
Cash Equivalents ⁽¹⁾	\$15	\$—	\$—	\$15
Restricted Cash ⁽¹⁾	2	—	—	2
Energy Derivative Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽²⁾	—	—	2	2
Total Assets	17	—	2	19
Liabilities				
Energy Derivative Contracts - Regulatory Recovery ⁽²⁾	—	(9) (9) (18
Energy Derivative Contracts - No Regulatory Recovery ⁽²⁾	—	—	(1) (1
Energy Derivative Contracts - Cash Flow Hedge ⁽²⁾	—	—	(1) (1
Interest Rate Swap ⁽³⁾	—	(5) —	(5
Total Liabilities	—	(14) (11) (25
Net Total Assets (Liabilities)	\$17	\$(14) \$(9) \$(6

Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash represent amounts held in money market funds and certificates of deposit valued at cost, including interest, which approximates fair market value. Cash Equivalents are included in Cash and Cash Equivalents on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. Restricted Cash is included in Investments and Other Property on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Energy Contracts include gas swap agreements (Level 2), power options (Level 2), gas options (Level 3), forward power purchase and sales contracts (Level 3) entered into to reduce exposure to energy price risk, and, at December 31, 2014, a power sale option (Level 3). These contracts are included in Derivative Instruments on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The valuation techniques are described below.

(3)

An Interest Rate Swap, valued using an income valuation approach based on the 6-month LIBOR, is included in Derivative Instruments on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

All energy derivative contracts are subject to legally enforceable master netting arrangements to mitigate credit risk. We present derivatives on a gross basis on the balance sheet. The table below presents the potential offset of counterparty netting and cash collateral.

	Gross Amount Recognized on the Balance Sheets	Gross Amount Not Offset on the Balance Sheets		Net Amount
		Counterparty Netting of Energy Contracts	Cash Collateral Received/Posted	
(in millions)	September 30, 2015			
Derivative Assets				
Energy Derivative Contracts	\$3	\$1	\$—	\$2
Derivative Liabilities				
Energy Derivative Contracts	(12) (1) —	(11
Interest Rate Swap	(3) —	—	(3
)
)
	Gross Amount Recognized on the Balance Sheets	Gross Amount Not Offset on the Balance Sheets		Net Amount
		Counterparty Netting of Energy Contracts	Cash Collateral Received/Posted	
(in millions)	December 31, 2014			
Derivative Assets				
Energy Derivative Contracts	\$2	\$2	\$—	\$—
Derivative Liabilities				
Energy Derivative Contracts	(20) (2) —	(18
Interest Rate Swap	(5) —	—	(5
)

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

We enter into various derivative and non-derivative contracts to reduce our exposure to energy price risk associated with our gas and purchased power requirements. The objectives for entering into such contracts include: creating price stability; meeting load and reserve requirements; and reducing exposure to price volatility that may result from delayed recovery under the PPFAC.

We primarily apply the market approach for recurring fair value measurements. When we have observable inputs for substantially the full term of the asset or liability or use quoted prices in an inactive market, we categorize the instrument in Level 2. We categorize derivatives in Level 3 when we use an aggregate pricing service or published prices that represent a consensus reporting of multiple brokers.

For both power and gas prices we obtain quotes from brokers, major market participants, exchanges, or industry publications, and rely on our own price experience from active transactions in the market. We primarily use one set of quotations each for power and for gas and then validate those prices using other sources. We believe that the market information provided is reflective of market conditions as of the time and date indicated.

Published prices for energy derivative contracts may not be available due to the nature of contract delivery terms such as non-standard time blocks and non-standard delivery points. In these cases, we apply adjustments based on historical price curve relationships, transmission, and line losses.

We estimate the fair value of our gas options using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model which includes inputs such as implied volatility, interest rates, and forward price curves.

The December 31, 2014 valuation of our power sale option was a function of observable market variables, regional power and gas prices, as well as the ratio between the two, which represents the prevailing market heat rate.

We also consider the impact of counterparty credit risk using current and historical default and recovery rates, as well as our own credit risk using credit default swap data.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The inputs and our assessments of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurements require judgment and may affect the valuation of fair value assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels. We review the assumptions underlying our price curves monthly.

Cash Flow Hedges

We can enter into interest rate swaps to mitigate the exposure to volatility in variable interest rates on debt. We have an interest rate swap agreement that expires January 2020. We also had a power purchase swap to hedge the cash flow risk associated with a long-term power supply agreement. The power purchase swap agreement expired in September 2015. The after-tax unrealized gains and losses on cash flow hedge activities are reported in the statement of comprehensive income. The loss expected to be reclassified to earnings within the next twelve months is estimated to be \$1 million. The realized losses from our cash flow hedges are shown in the following table:

	Three Months Ended September		Nine Months Ended September	
	30,		30,	
(in millions)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Capital Lease Interest Expense	\$—	\$—	\$1	