

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
Form 10-K
April 15, 2008

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

Commission file number: 0-19771

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Delaware
**(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)**

22-2786081
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4 West Rockland Road, Montchanin, Delaware
(Address of principal executive offices)

19710
(Zip Code)

(302-656-1707)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

As of last day of the second fiscal quarter of 2007, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$48.0 million based on the closing sale price on that date as reported on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board.

As of April 14, 2008 there were 11,189,391 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

None.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I	PAGE
Item 1. Business	1
Item 1A. Risk Factors	15
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	27
Item 2. Properties	27
Item 3. Legal Proceedings.	27
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.	27
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	28
Item 6. Selected Financial Data.	28
Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	45
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	46
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	46
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	46
Item 9B. Other Information	46
PART III	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	47
Item 11. Executive Compensation	49
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	56
Item 13. Certain Relationships, Related Transactions and Director Independence	58
Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services	60
Part IV	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.	61

Certain statements contained in this report are forward-looking in nature. These statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “believes”, “expects”, “may”, “will”, “should” or “anticipates”, or the negation thereof, or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. You are cautioned that our business and operations are subject to a variety of risks and uncertainties and, consequently, our actual results may materially differ from those projected by any forward-looking statements. Certain of such risks and uncertainties are discussed below under the heading “Item 1A. Risk Factors.”

AquaShield™ and *OncoPro™* are trademarks of our DSIT Solutions Ltd. subsidiary. *CoaLogix™* is a trademark of our CoaLogix subsidiary.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

OVERVIEW

Acorn Energy is a holding company that specializes in acquiring and accelerating the growth of emerging ventures that promise improvement in the economic and environmental efficiency of the energy sector. We aim to acquire primarily controlling positions in companies led by promising entrepreneurs and we add value by supporting those companies with financing, branding, positioning, and strategy and business development.

Through our majority-owned operating subsidiaries we provide the following services:

- **RT Solutions.** Real time software consulting and development services, provided through our DSIT subsidiary, with a focus on port security for strategic energy installations.
- **SCR Catalyst and Management Services** for coal-fired power plants that use selective catalytic reduction (“SCR”) systems to reduce nitrogen oxide (“NOx”) emissions, provided through CoaLogix and its subsidiary SCR-Tech LLC. These services include SCR catalyst management, cleaning and regeneration as well as consulting services to help power plant operators to optimize efficiency and reduce overall NOx compliance costs.

Our equity affiliates and entities in which we own significant equity interests are engaged in the following activities:

- **Comverge Inc.** Energy intelligence solutions for utilities and energy companies through demand response by Comverge, Inc.
- **Paketeria AG.** Owner and franchiser of a full-service franchise chain in Germany that combines eight services (post and parcels, electricity, eBay dropshop, mobile telephones, copying, printing, photo processing and printer cartridge refilling) in one store.
 - **Local Power, Inc.** Consultation services for Community Choice Aggregation, through Local Power, Inc.
- **GridSense Systems Inc.** Provides remote monitoring and control systems to electric utilities and industrial facilities worldwide.

During 2007, we had operations in two reportable segments: providing catalyst regeneration technologies and management services for SCR systems and RT Solutions which is conducted through our DSIT subsidiary. We no longer consider OncoPro to be a reportable segment as management has intensified its focus on SCR and RT Solutions activities. In addition, OncoPro activities are no longer separately reviewed by the Chief Operating Decision Maker.

SALES BY ACTIVITY

The following table shows, for the years indicated, the dollar amount (in thousands) and the percentage of the sales attributable to each of the segments of our operations.

	2005		2006		2007	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
RT Solutions	\$ 2,873	69	\$ 2,797	68%	\$ 3,472	61%
SCR	—	—	—	—	797	14
Other	1,314	31	1,320	32	1,391	25
Total	\$ 4,187	100%	\$ 4,117	100%	\$ 5,660	100%

SCR Catalyst and Management Services

Through SCR-Tech, which is 100% owned by our 85% owned CoaLogix subsidiary, we offer a variety of services for coal-fired power plants that use SCR systems to reduce NOx emissions. These services include SCR catalyst management, cleaning and regeneration, as well as consulting services to help power plant operators optimize efficiency and reduce overall NOx compliance costs. In March 2008, CoaLogix announced its CoalVision 360° strategy and the addition of a strategic partner, EnerTech Capital III, which acquired a 15% interest in CoaLogix. We currently own 85% of CoaLogix following EnerTech's investment. CoalVision 360° is CoaLogix's strategy for creating value for its customers and shareholders while fulfilling our industry's obligations to ever tightening clean air laws.

Products and Services***Industry Background and Market Drivers***

Through SCR-Tech, we provide innovative products and services to address the growing emissions control market for coal-fired power plants. We foresee substantial and growing opportunities in this market, driven by a continued use of coal to meet ever increasing energy demand, combined with increasingly stringent air quality regulations, resulting in a rapidly developing demand for clean coal technologies and a substantial future market for innovative, cost-effective solutions for clean energy production. Coal-fired plants represent approximately 50% of the nation's power generating capacity, and we believe they will continue to play an important role in the U.S. electricity generation market in the years ahead. Department of Energy projections indicate that significant new coal-fired generating capacity will be added in the U.S. over the next 23 years to meet baseload electricity demand, increasing coal's share of the U.S. power market to 57% by 2030.

We believe the future of coal as a primary fuel source for U.S. power production is reasonably assured, driven by growing energy demand, rising world oil and natural gas prices, limited oil and natural gas supplies, and increased focus on energy independence. Coal is the least expensive fossil fuel on an energy-per-BTU basis, and remains one of the most abundantly available fossil fuels in the U.S. Coal-fired power plants, in particular, continue to be a primary target for NOx reduction, and selective catalytic reduction remains the most widely used technology by plant operators to control NOx. With NOx removal efficiencies of up to 95%, SCR systems (also referred to as SCR reactors) are considered to be the most effective NOx reduction solution, and are expected to remain the dominant technology choice for coal-fired power plants to meet increasingly stringent U.S. air quality regulations. Furthermore, since U.S. air quality regulations allow power plant operators to pool their emissions reductions (*e.g.* remove more NOx than required at one unit and settle for lower than otherwise required NOx removal at another), utilities favor the highly efficient SCR technology for their largest generating assets.

SCR technology is based on ceramic catalyst that removes NOx from the power plant exhaust by reducing it with ammonia to elemental nitrogen and water vapor. Over time, ash buildup can cause physical clogging or blinding of the

catalyst, which negatively impacts the performance of both the SCR system and the power generating facility. In addition, various chemical elements present in the flue gas, which act as catalyst poisons, cause a gradual deactivation of the catalyst over time. The result is a decrease in NO_x removal efficiency, which requires a continual need for some form of catalyst replenishment throughout the operating life of the SCR system.

2

The average useful life of SCR catalyst is approximately 24,000 hours (equivalent to three years of year-round operation). Until a few years ago, the only solution for restoring activity and NOx reduction performance was to replace spent catalyst with costly new catalyst. Since 2003, SCR-Tech has offered U.S. power plant operators a more cost-effective alternative in the form of catalyst regeneration.

Regulatory Drivers

The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments were implemented to improve air quality in the United States. This federal law covers the entire country and is enforced by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”). Under the Clean Air Act, the EPA limits how much of a pollutant can be in the air anywhere in the United States, with each state responsible for developing individual state implementation plans (“SIPs”) describing how each state will meet the EPA’s set limits for various pollutants. Emissions of NOx are considered to be one of the principal contributors to secondary ground level ozone, or smog, and thus are included in the EPA’s criteria pollutants for which limits have been established. Energy producers and other industries operating large power plants, particularly in the Eastern half of the U.S., have been required to significantly reduce their NOx emissions. Increasingly stringent NOx reduction requirements are the primary driver of our SCR services business today. In addition, growing concerns over mercury and sulfur trioxide (“SQ”) and new regulations to control these emissions are on the horizon, which we expect could present additional opportunities for our business.

Below is a summary of current and impending regulations driving our SCR Catalyst and Management Services business:

- **NOx SIP Call** - The primary Clean Air Act program driving SCR-Tech’s business today is the EPA’s NOx SIP Call. This program was designed to mitigate the regional transport of ozone, which is contributing to the poor air quality of downwind states. The NOx SIP Call required energy producers and other industries operating large power plants in the Eastern half of the U.S. to reduce their NOx emissions by at least 85% by 2007. Implementation of the NOx SIP Call has required major NOx reductions during the five-month “ozone season” (May 1-September 30) in 19 Midwestern and Eastern states and the District of Columbia. Compliance with the NOx SIP Call has resulted in a dramatic increase in the number of SCR system installations at coal-fired power plants for the removal of NOx.
- **Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR)** - CAIR is a new air quality regulation soon to take effect that is designed to permanently cap and achieve substantial reductions in emissions of sulfur dioxide (“SQ”) and NOx across 28 Eastern states and the District of Columbia that we believe will further increase the size of our addressable market. When fully implemented, CAIR is expected to significantly reduce SO2 and NOx emissions in these states from 2003 levels by 2015 utilizing a cap-and-trade approach. With respect to NOx, this rule builds on the NOx SIP Call with the objective of further mitigating air pollution moving across state boundaries, and proposes to cut NOx emissions from power generating facilities significantly by 2015. Over the next decade we expect the implementation of CAIR to increase NOx trading (resulting in an increase in the amount of SCR catalyst used to control NOx with the objective of generating NOx credits), further increase the number of SCR systems installed today, and also require year-round SCR system operation (with increased NOx reduction required during ozone season) beginning in 2009 to meet the more stringent requirements. Currently, to comply with the NOx SIP Call, the majority of SCR systems are only required to operate during the five-month ozone season when the potential for ozone formation is at its highest. With year-round operation to comply with CAIR, the catalyst used in SCR systems will need to be replenished with new or regenerated catalyst on a much more frequent basis.
- **Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR)** - The EPA issued CAMR as the first program ever designed to permanently cap and reduce mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. When fully implemented, CAMR is expected to reduce utility emissions of mercury significantly between 2010 and 2018. CAMR has the potential to impact SCR catalyst choices in the future. Oxidized mercury is more easily captured in a downstream wet flue gas desulfurization

("FGD") system than elemental mercury. A recent Federal Appeals court ruling indicated that CAMR did not go far enough in the reduction of mercury and therefore new more stringent legislation is being discussed.

While our current service offerings do not specifically address a reduction in mercury emissions, we believe that the use of regenerated SCR catalyst could yield an incremental positive impact on the oxidation of mercury. We are currently in a program to further explore and validate this assertion. If we can demonstrate that regenerated catalyst does in fact increase the oxidation of mercury to make it more easily captured in downstream FGD systems, this could further compel customers to regenerate their catalyst.

SO₂ to SO₃ Conversion - As a result of a growing industry desire to burn lower cost coal with higher sulfur content, increased attention is now being placed on the conversion of SO₂ to SO₃ as a byproduct of operating SCR systems. When emitted into the atmosphere, SO₃ results in the creation of a sulfuric acid mist, which is both environmentally damaging and costly to power plant operators. Environmental groups and the utility industry are now becoming increasingly concerned with destructive sulfuric acid emissions and their related corrosive effects.

Increased concern about SO₂ to SO₃ oxidation presents an added opportunity for our SCR services business. The use of regenerated catalyst can significantly lower SO₂ oxidation rates. In some cases, SO₂ oxidation rates were measured as low as the conversion rates achieved only through the use of more costly, ultra-low conversion catalyst. Importantly, the regenerated catalyst achieved significant reductions in SO₂ conversion while still maintaining original catalytic activity levels and NO_x reduction performance. By offering a more cost-effective approach for restoring catalyst NO_x reduction activity while simultaneously reducing SO₂ to SO₃ oxidation, we believe catalyst regeneration will present a compelling alternative to the purchase of ultra-low conversion catalyst.

Customers and Markets

Market Opportunity

The recent growth in SCR system installations driven by the NO_x SIP Call has resulted in a large and growing market for SCR catalyst and management services. As the majority of SCR systems in the U.S. currently operate five months out of the year during the ozone season, and have an installed catalyst life of approximately 24,000 hours, many of the units that commenced operation at the beginning of the decade are now, or will soon be, in need of their first catalyst replenishment. Based upon the substantial number of SCR systems that commenced operation between 2000 and 2006, combined with the requirements for year-round operation beginning in 2009 as a result of CAIR, we expect the market for catalyst replenishment to increase dramatically within the next five years.

We expect the U.S. market for catalyst regeneration to more fully develop in the 2008-2009 timeframe as a result of the following factors:

- Most SCR systems will have been running for four to six years during the five months of ozone season, and the initial catalyst installed in these systems will be approaching its 24,000-hour useful life and will need to be replenished.
- In anticipation of the onset of CAIR, power plant operators are now planning for increased NO_x reduction requirements and year-round SCR operation beginning in January 2009. Accordingly, we expect that utilities will begin to contract for new or regenerated catalyst in 2008 to ensure they will have sufficient catalyst activity to comply with the more stringent standards.

- Some power plant operators have indicated they may commence year-round operation during 2008 to begin generating NOx credits in advance of the stringent regulations imposed by CAIR.
- A number of states, such as North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia, are providing incentives to power producers to achieve early compliance with CAIR.

We believe the impact of year-round SCR operation beginning in 2009, together with an increase in the amount of catalyst required to comply with tighter regulations and further growth in the number of SCR system installations, will further increase the frequency of catalyst replenishment, resulting in a total addressable market for catalyst cleaning and regeneration estimated in excess of \$100 million by 2011.

By offering customers more economical ways to operate and maintain their SCR units, along with a lower cost regeneration alternative to purchasing new catalyst, we believe SCR-Tech has the potential to play a significant role in the growing U.S. market for SCR catalyst and management services.

SCR-Tech's Service Offerings

Catalyst Cleaning, Rejuvenation and Regeneration

We offer proprietary and patented processes based on highly sophisticated and advanced technologies that can improve the NOx removal efficiency and restore the useful life of installed SCR catalyst, providing a compelling economic alternative to catalyst replacement. SCR-Tech's processes are capable of not only physically cleaning and rejuvenating the most severely plugged, blinded or poisoned catalyst, but of also chemically reactivating deactivated catalyst. Depending upon the state of the installed catalyst, SCR-Tech offers several alternatives for restoring its NOx removal efficiency and extending its life.

For lightly plugged or blinded catalyst that has not yet fully deactivated from catalyst poisons, SCR-Tech offers an "in-situ" cleaning process that can be performed on catalyst at the customer's plant site without requiring removal of the catalyst from the SCR unit. For severely plugged or blinded catalyst that may have limited deactivation from catalyst poisons, SCR-Tech offers an off-site cleaning and rejuvenation process that is performed at SCR-Tech's regeneration facility. In this process, the customer removes the catalyst modules from the SCR unit and ships them to SCR-Tech. The cleaning process physically removes the materials plugging the catalyst to improve its NOx removal efficiency while the rejuvenation process removes catalyst poisons to extend its useful life.

For catalyst that has significantly deactivated and that may also be severely plugged or blinded, SCR-Tech offers an off-site regeneration process that restores deactivated SCR catalyst back to its original specifications and catalytic activity, often to activity levels at or greater than its original specifications. SCR-Tech's regeneration process involves removing the deactivated catalyst modules from the SCR unit and shipping them to SCR-Tech's regeneration facility where the catalyst is both cleaned and chemically reactivated.

The regeneration process at SCR-Tech consists primarily of four individual steps: ultrasonic deep cleaning to remove physical and microscopic particle ash; soaking and washing with chemicals to remove poisons that have contributed to catalyst deactivation; regeneration of catalyst activity through chemical reactivation; and heat treatment to seal in the newly added activity.

Once cleaned and regenerated, SCR-Tech returns the catalyst modules to the customer for reinstallation in the SCR unit. Upon reinstallation, the regenerated catalyst demonstrates the same level or an increased level of performance and deactivation rate as the original catalyst.

SCR and Catalyst Management

The most effective way to operate an SCR system is through a comprehensive catalyst management program. Catalyst management is often viewed as developing a plan for a given SCR system to maintain sufficient catalyst activity necessary to achieve the required NO_x reduction with an acceptable margin to avoid inadvertent NO_x or ammonia slip excursions beyond allowable limits.

We provide a broad array of customized SCR and catalyst management services, including guidance on effective SCR and catalyst management strategies, with the objective of assisting plant operators in optimizing the operation and performance of their SCR systems while reducing their operation and maintenance costs and achieving cost-effective NO_x compliance. These services include ammonia inspection grid inspection and tuning; fuel reviews; flow distribution; test plans; catalyst specification, selection and initial performance testing for guarantee verification; catalyst life cycle forecasting through advanced computer simulation; SCR reactor inspection and catalyst sampling; catalyst activity testing and determination of SO₂/SO₃ conversion rate in a bench-scale reactor; and development of catalyst exchange strategies.

As part of its catalyst management program, SCR-Tech offers customized catalyst regeneration plans scheduled around planned outages. SCR-Tech provides SCR and catalyst management services for individual plants, on a multi-plant basis, or under a fleet-wide blanket agreement. All SCR and catalyst management services are offered as either a complete package or “a la carte,” allowing the flexibility to select and combine various services on an as-needed basis tailored to the individual SCR system.

Experience

SCR-Tech’s catalyst regeneration technology has been successfully applied in Germany since 1997 by its former parent company and since 2003 in the United States. We have cleaned/regenerated large quantities of SCR catalyst of all types on a worldwide basis to date for all major catalyst manufacturers. This extensive experience has validated our technology’s ability to achieve maximum NO_x reduction performance while reducing overall NO_x compliance costs for the power generating facility.

Quality Control

We maintain a comprehensive quality assurance/quality control program for each step in our SCR catalyst and management process including SCR reactor inspection, catalyst sampling, testing, chemical analysis, development of a custom cleaning, rejuvenation and regeneration process, and catalyst treatment, packing and shipping.

Our supervisory personnel in the office, on-site, in the lab and in the production facility seek to ensure that each step in the process is executed under the highest of standards and in compliance with contractual requirements. All of our on-site SCR reactor inspections are performed by a team of experienced professionals with years of experience working on SCR systems.

Our testing, inspection, and laboratory services all complement each other and allow us to provide our customers with a complete picture of their SCR reactor and its operating effects on the balance of the plant.

The combined results of this effort are used to monitor and forecast SCR system and catalyst performance and to accurately forecast the development of the installed NO_x reduction potential. By comparing the forecast with the required minimum NO_x reduction potential, the point in time for the need for catalyst regeneration or replacement can be identified allowing for the necessary outage planning well in advance of the actual occurrence.

Customers

Our SCR Catalyst and Management Services business currently serves the U.S. coal-fired power generation market. Our customer base ranges from large investor-owned utilities and independent power producers to smaller municipal power generators.

Since commencing commercial operations in its regeneration facility in March 2003, SCR-Tech has provided services for some of the largest electric utility companies and independent power producers (“IPPs”), and their equipment suppliers, in the U.S. SCR-Tech has made significant progress over the past years in strengthening its relationships within the utility industry, developing new sales channels, and increasing its market penetration.

For the full year of 2007 (including the period prior to our acquisition of SCR-Tech), five customers represented approximately 90% SCR-Tech’s revenue. As part of an ongoing growth and revenue diversification strategy, SCR-Tech continues to actively target SCR operators throughout the United States, and the Eastern U.S. in particular, to further expand its customer base and broaden its reach in the marketplace.

Competitive Advantage

SCR-Tech presently is the only company in North America offering a regeneration process capable of restoring SCR catalyst activity back to at least its original specifications. Our regeneration process currently competes only against new catalyst sales when a replenishment of catalyst activity is required.

SCR-Tech’s regeneration process has several advantages over purchasing new catalyst by (i) offering cost savings, (ii) eliminating or reducing disposal issues, (iii) enhancing catalyst activity and (iv) reducing SO₂ conversion

Cost Savings

SCR-Tech offers catalyst regeneration for significantly less cost than purchasing new catalyst. As part of our regular course of business, we continuously work on various programs aimed at streamlining our production costs. We believe the outcome of these programs will enable us to achieve sufficient flexibility in our pricing to maintain our cost advantage while remaining competitive with any reductions in new catalyst pricing or with any future regeneration competition that may arise. We believe that the savings that can be generated through regeneration will become increasingly important to cost-conscious power generating companies once SCR units commence year-round operation beginning in 2009, and catalyst replenishment will be required on a more frequent basis.

Elimination/Reduction of Disposal Issues

Catalyst regeneration not only provides SCR operators a significantly lower cost alternative to catalyst replacement, but also eliminates the costs and environmental liabilities associated with disposing of deactivated catalyst, which must be shipped to a disposal site and may be considered hazardous waste. Even though our cleaning and regeneration services involve the removal of hazardous wastes from catalyst and the use of significant chemical materials, we do not face the same environmental risks or liabilities as a result of the waste water treatment plant serving our production facility, which provides for the appropriate treatment and disposal of all such waste. The shipping costs associated with regenerating catalyst are comparable to those of replacing catalyst. However, the added cost of placing the spent catalyst in a landfill or disposal site can be significant. In addition, avoiding landfill costs can also reduce or eliminate future liabilities associated with hazardous waste.

Enhanced Activity

Aging of the catalyst reduces the useful activity through channel plugging by ash, and by the blinding of the active sites on a microscopic scale by fuel constituents and other fuel-related poisons that attach to active sites, chemically deactivating or sealing them and rendering these sites impotent. These deteriorating factors reduce the catalyst activity until the useful life has been depleted. At that time, the catalyst must be replenished, either through the purchase of new replacement catalyst or through regeneration. Replacement is a more costly alternative and results in disposing of the basic activity still left in the catalyst. Regeneration, on the other hand, fully restores the useful activity of the spent catalyst, while still taking full advantage of all the basic activity, for significantly less cost than replacement. By increasing the number of catalytic sites available for reaction, SCR-Tech's process has even been demonstrated in certain cases to increase catalytic activity beyond the original level by as much as 25%, providing the potential for significant economic value.

Reduced SO₂ Conversion

The use of regenerated catalyst has also been demonstrated to significantly lower SO₂ oxidation rates when compared with the conversion rates of new catalyst. Importantly, the regenerated catalyst achieved significant reductions in SO₂ conversion while still maintaining original catalytic activity levels and NO_x reduction performance.

Competition

SCR-Tech is presently the only company in North America offering a catalyst regeneration process for the North American marketplace that can chemically reactivate and fully restore SCR catalyst activity and NO_x reduction performance back to original specifications.

Currently, new catalyst remains the primary competition for SCR-Tech's regeneration process when a replenishment of catalyst activity is necessary. While we believe that SCR-Tech's regeneration process offers significant cost and performance advantages over the purchase of replacement catalyst and essentially eliminates the costs and environmental concerns associated with land filling spent catalyst, it is possible that the leading SCR catalyst suppliers and others could eventually develop a solution that may compete with ours. We cannot fully anticipate how catalyst manufacturers may react to growing competitive pressure and increased penetration of regeneration in the U.S. catalyst replacement market. While we know of no catalyst supplier with definitive plans to launch U.S.-based regeneration services in the near-term, we expect some future tactics or market entry by these companies to better compete with SCR-Tech's regeneration process. Furthermore, we are aware of certain companies, including Cormetech and Hitachi, that have indicated an interest in offering catalyst cleaning and regeneration.

We are aware of one company, STEAG LLC ("Steag"), which is entering the U.S. catalyst regeneration market and has announced plans to offer regeneration services beginning in 2008. Steag is currently building a regeneration facility in North Carolina. Steag, based in Charlotte, North Carolina, is a subsidiary of a large German power producer, STEAG GmbH.

We believe the combination of our intellectual property and patent protection, practical experience required to successfully engage in catalyst regeneration, the investment required for a production facility, and the total size of the market create a barrier for a significant number of new entrants to the market. In addition, we believe that our first mover advantage in the regeneration marketplace, combined with our solid reputation as a market leader, established customer base, substantial regeneration experience, and recent technological advances will help us maintain our leading market position as the first company in North America to offer a technically feasible and economically viable regeneration process for SCR catalyst.

Furthermore, we plan to vigorously protect our proprietary technologies and processes and further deter competitors from entering the market through ongoing technology innovations and cost-reduction activities, adding new patents and strengthening our protection of existing patents, and by identifying industry trends and future needs so that we may further tailor our products and services to better meet these needs.

8

With respect to cleaning and rejuvenation, we expect SCR-Tech's processes to compete with alternative cleaning and rejuvenation approaches currently in the marketplace. We are aware of at least one company, that offers an on-site SCR catalyst cleaning and washing process that requires the removal of the catalyst from the SCR system. We believe that SCR-Tech's patent-protected cleaning process offers several competitive advantages, including both an off-site process and an "in-situ" process that does not require the removal of the catalyst from the SCR system.

Production and Laboratory Facilities

SCR-Tech's business operations are located in Charlotte, North Carolina in a 98,000 square feet production facility for the cleaning and regeneration of SCR catalyst.

We expect the capacity of our existing production facility to be sufficient to meet market demand through mid-2008. This production facility is designed to allow for a significant increase of its current capacity. We believe that through the implementation of additional shifts and a capital investment of approximately \$1.0 million to \$1.5 million, we can expand our production capabilities to meet our near-term needs. We expect to make this investment in 2008 to accommodate anticipated market growth.

Over the long-term, we expect market demand to increase and we believe a further expansion of our production facility is likely to be required. To accommodate further expansion, we anticipate building a second production facility at our existing site or a new facility at a different location. The timing associated with investing in such a facility expansion will be a function of market growth, and could be as early as late 2008 or 2009. We estimate the cost of such a facility would be approximately \$5.0 million.

One of the most important features of our current site is the existence of a waste water treatment plant serving our production facility. While our cleaning and regeneration services involve the removal of hazardous wastes from catalyst and the use of significant chemical materials, our on-site North Carolina and EPA-approved waste water treatment plant ensures that our operations are in full environmental compliance. Chemicals required for catalyst cleaning and regeneration are widely available through numerous sources.

We maintain an on-site laboratory and we have the opportunity to use various certified laboratories in Europe if necessary. These labs optimize the catalyst cleaning/ regeneration process that is verified independently by third party testing in accordance with VGB Guideline for the Testing of DeNOx Catalyst, the international standard for catalyst testing.

Intellectual Property

We rigorously protect our proprietary technologies and processes and other intellectual property. We seek to maintain our position and reputation as a market leader, and recognize the need to remain technologically advanced relative to competitors and potential competitors, and to distinguish ourselves based on continuous technological innovations. Our strategy is to rapidly identify key intellectual property developed or acquired by us in order to protect it in a timely and effective manner, and to continually use such intellectual property to our competitive advantage in the SCR services marketplace. An objective of our intellectual property strategy is to enable us to be first to market with proprietary technology and to sustain a long term technological lead in the market.

We use a combination of patents (owned or licensed), trade secrets, contracts with our employees, suppliers, partners and customers, copyrights and trademarks to protect the proprietary aspects of our core technologies, technological advances and innovations and know-how. We work actively to maintain protection of our proprietary technologies and processes over time through follow-on patent filings associated with technology and process improvements that we continually develop.

DSIT Solutions Ltd.

DSIT Solutions is a globally-oriented high tech company with top-tier expertise in acoustics and underwater electronics and development capabilities in the areas of Real-Time and Embedded systems. Based on these capabilities, we offer a full range of sonar and acoustic-related solutions to strategic energy installations as well as defense and homeland security markets. In addition, based on our expertise in fields such as signal acquisition and processing applications, communication technologies and command, computerized vision for the semiconductor industry and command, control and communication management (“C³”) we provide wide ranging solutions to both military and commercial customers.

RT SOLUTIONS

Products and Services

DSIT’s RT Solutions activities are focused on two areas - naval solutions and other real-time and embedded hardware and software development.

Naval Solutions. Our naval solutions include a full range of sonar and acoustic-related solutions to the strategic energy installation, defense and homeland security markets. These solutions include:

- AquaShield™ Diver Detection Sonar (“DDS”) - The ongoing threat of terror attacks since 9/11 has produced an awareness of the need to protect critical marine and coastal infrastructures that has become a growing priority for governments and the private sector alike. Current marine surveillance solutions often ignore the areas of underwater surveillance and underwater site security, tracking above-water activity only, and leaving the area under water vulnerable to intrusion by divers and Swimmer Delivery Vehicles (“SDVs”). Building on our technical and operational experience in sonar and underwater acoustic systems for naval applications, we have developed an innovative, cost-effective Diver Detection Sonar system, the AquaShield™, that provides critical coastal and offshore protection of sites through detecting, tracking, and warning of unauthorized divers and SDVs for effective response. Our AquaShield™ DDS system is comprised of a command center staffed by one person. Underwater sonar units or “nodes” are strategically placed to provide maximum security with up to 360° coverage. The number and configuration of nodes are customized to meet each site’s unique requirements and topology. AquaShield™ DDS systems operate in all weather and water conditions. The system’s flexibility enables rapid deployment and adjustment to specific site conditions. The DDS sensors can be integrated with other sensors into a comprehensive command and control (“C&C”) system to provide a complete tactical picture both above and below the water for more intelligent evaluation of and effective response to threats.
- Harbor Surveillance System (“HSS”) - We have developed an integrated HSS that incorporates DDS sensors with above-water surveillance sensors to create a comprehensive above and below water security system. The system protects coastal and offshore sites such as energy terminals, offshore rigs, nuclear power plants and ports. The system reliably detects, intercepts, and warns of intruders such as divers, swimmers, SDVs, submersibles, small surface vessels and mines. The HSS can include sonar, radar, and electro-optical devices. The system is fully operable in shallow or deep water, daytime or nighttime and in all weather conditions. The system features a high probability of detection, a low false alarm rate and ease of operation and control.
- Mobile Acoustic Range (“MAR”) - Based on their radiated noise, submarines and surface vessels can be detected by passive sonar systems. The MAR accurately measures a vessel’s radiated noise; thus enabling navies and shipyards to monitor and control the radiated noise and to silence their ships and submarines. By continuously tracking the measured vessel and transmitting the data to a measurement ship, the MAR system enables real time radiated noise processing, analysis and display. The system also includes a platform database for measurement results management

and provides playback and post analysis capability. The MAR's flexibility enables rapid deployment and saves the maintenance costs involved in operating a fixed acoustic range. The MAR is a cost-effective solution for measuring the radiated noise of any naval platform. We have sold the system to leading navies and shipyards around the world.

·Generic Sonar Simulator (“GSS”) -We have developed a GSS for the rapid and comprehensive training of anti-submarine warfare (“ASW”), submarine, and mine detection sonar operators. This advanced, low cost, PC-based training simulator is designed for all levels of sonar operators from beginners to the most experienced, including ship ASW/attack teams. The simulator includes all aspects of sonar operation, with emphasis on training in weak target detection in the presence of noise and reverberation, torpedo detection, audio listening and classification.

The GSS operating principles are designed for ease-of-use, simulation accuracy, and simplified instruction. The system offers a range of sophisticated features. The GSS can be easily adapted to simulate any sonar system. The benefits of the GSS include:

- its low cost which enables the purchase of multiple systems
- allowing on-site training at navy bases and schools and eliminating the need for actual sonar system units
- trainees experiencing video and audio that are identical to actual environments with real targets
- the system can be extended to include two platforms, each having its own sonar team, or several sonar systems and teams onboard the platform

·Underwater Acoustic Signal Analysis system - DSIT’s Underwater Acoustic Signal Analysis system processes and analyzes all types of acoustic signals radiated by various sources and received by naval sonar systems (submarine, surface and air platforms, fixed bottom moored sonar systems, etc.).

Other Real-Time and Embedded Solutions

Additional areas of development and production in real-time and embedded hardware and software include:

- C & C applications - DSIT specializes in Weapon/ C&C Operating Consoles for unique air and naval applications, designed through synergistic interaction with the end-user. Weapon/C&C Consoles utilize Human-Machine Interface (“HMI”) prototyping supported on a variety of platforms as an integral part of the HMI definition and refinement process. Weapon/C&C Console specific applications driven by HMI include signal processing and data fusion and tracking.
- Computerized vision for the semiconductor industry - The semiconductor industry employs optical inspection systems in order to detect defects that occur during wafer manufacture. These optical systems are based on a wide range of sophisticated algorithms that utilize image and signal processing techniques in order to detect defects of different types. DSIT has been cooperating with global leaders of state-of-the-art wafer inspection systems in developing cutting edge technologies for almost a decade. We develop and manufacture hardware and embedded software for computerized vision systems and we supply this multi-disciplinary field in the integration of digital and analog technologies, image processing and intricate FPGA logic development.

- Modems and data links - DSIT's PCMCIA Soft Modem card is a state of the art modem and an example of the advanced technology we have achieved in performance and miniaturization of complex technologies. The design simplicity and flexibility allows customers to easily define and create a range of applications, and to design the card into a variety of OEM products, using the same, or slightly modified, hardware. The on-board processor enables and manages transfer of data over radio networks using different radio systems.
- Bluetooth solutions - Bluetooth is a powerful, low cost, wireless technology that is revolutionizing the personal connectivity market. It enables short-range wireless links that seamlessly connect all types of mobile and other devices offering anywhere/anytime connectivity between devices, and with the Internet. We offer Bluetooth wireless data and voice solutions for OEMs, including hardware and software development, integration and production
- VOIP/ROIP applications - VoIP/RoIP technology converts voice or radio signals to digital format, thus allowing transmission of the digital data over the IP networks. DSIT has developed and produced advanced Radio over IP gateways, including hardware and embedded software for tactical military system communications. We have also developed VoIP gateway software for a pioneering VoIP system developer.

DSIT has initiated discussions towards strategic alliances for marketing its sonar technology. We hope some of these discussions will come to fruition before the end of 2008.

Customers and Markets

All of this segment's operations in 2005, 2006 and 2007 and most of its sales took place in Israel. We expect to generate significant revenues from naval solutions outside of Israel in 2008. We have created significant relationships with some of Israel's largest companies in its defense and electronics industries. DSIT is continuing to invest considerable effort to penetrate European, Asian and other markets in order to broaden its geographic sales base with respect to our sonar technology solutions. In 2007, we had our first sale of our AquaShield™ DDS. This sale is believed to be the first in the world of a system designed and operated to protect a strategic coastal energy installation. We believe that in 2008 and 2009, increased awareness as to the susceptibility of strategic coastal energy installations worldwide will result in increased sales of our AquaShield™ DDS. Three customers accounted for 74% of segment sales in 2007 (39%, 19% and 16%, respectively) while in 2006 two customers accounted for 61% (31%, and 30%, respectively) of segment sales. (See Risks Related to the RT Solutions segments - "We Are Substantially Dependent On A Small Number Of Customers And The Loss Of One Or More Of These Customers May Cause Revenues And Cash Flow To Decline" for more information.)

Competitive Advantage

DSIT's staff includes some of the top authorities in the field of sonar and acoustics. We believe that their knowledge, expertise and experience as well as our long track record of cooperation and delivery of high quality sonar solutions to the Israeli Navy and other customers world-wide combined with our agility and flexibility as a small company to tailor solutions to the unique requirements of the customer provides us with an advantage over our competitors.

Competition

Our RT Solutions activity faces competition from numerous competitors, large and small, operating in the Israeli and worldwide markets, some with substantially greater financial and marketing resources. We believe that our wide range of experience and long-term relationships with large businesses as well as the strategic partnerships that we are developing will enable us to compete successfully and obtain future business.

Facilities

Our DSIT activities are conducted in approximately 18,000 square feet of office space in the Tel Aviv metropolitan area under a lease that expires in August 2009. We believe that DSIT's current premises are sufficient to handle the anticipated increase in sales for the near future.

DEMAND RESPONSE SOLUTIONS - COMVERGE INC.

We own approximately 8.1% of Comverge Inc., a Nasdaq listed company, engaged in the business of providing demand response solutions

Comverge is a clean energy company providing peaking and base load capacity to electric utilities, grid operators and associated electricity markets. As an alternative to the traditional method of providing capacity by building a new power plant, Comverge delivers their capacity through implementation of demand management solutions that decrease energy consumption. The capacity Comverge delivers is more environmentally friendly and less expensive than conventional alternatives and has the benefit of increasing overall system reliability. Comverge's solutions are designed, built and operated for the benefit of their customers, which include electric utilities and grid operators that serve residential, commercial and industrial consumers. Comverge provides capacity to its customers either through long-term contracts where it actively manage electrical demand or by selling their demand response systems to utilities that operate them. Comverge owned or managed approximately 1,324 megawatts of capacity as of December 31, 2007.

Comverge's clean energy solutions enable their electric utility industry customers to address issues they confront on a daily basis, such as rising demand, decreasing supply, higher commodity prices, greater emphasis on the reduction of green house gases and emerging mandates to use energy efficiency solutions to address these issues. Comverge's solutions provide their customers with benefits beyond those relating to environmental and pricing concerns. Comverge's energy efficiency offerings allow utilities to reduce base load capacity which helps to improve system reliability. Comverge's demand response solutions enable their customers to reduce demand for electricity during peak hours, when strain on the system is greatest.

We currently remain Comverge's single largest stockholder, even after our recent sale of Comverge shares. We own 1,763,665 shares of Comverge's common stock with a market value at December 31, 2007 of approximately \$55.5 million. As at April 9, 2008, the market value of our shares in Comverge was approximately \$19.7 million.

GRIDSENSE SYSTEMS INC.

On January 2, 2008, we completed a transaction in which we acquired 15,714,285 shares and 15,714,285 warrants for \$1.1 million in GridSense Systems Inc. ("GridSense"). The 15,714,285 shares acquired by us represent 24.52% of GridSense's issued and outstanding shares. If we exercise all of the 15,714,285 warrants acquired in the placement, we will own 31,428,570 GridSense common shares, representing 39.37% of GridSense's issued and outstanding shares.

GridSense is an industry leader in providing remote monitoring and control systems to electric utilities and industrial facilities worldwide. GridSense's offerings, developed in collaboration with utilities, provide superior power quality/reliability monitoring and demand-side management capabilities. Electric companies deploy these systems primarily in metropolitan, suburban, and rural electricity grids for the detection, prevention, and mitigation of disturbances and irregularities in the supply of electricity. Through its wholly owned subsidiaries in Australia, CHK GridSense Pty Ltd. and GridSense Inc in the U.S., GridSense has been serving a growing base of customers for over 25 years, in Australasia, North America, and Western Europe. GridSense is a reporting issuer in British Columbia and Alberta and trades on the TSX Venture Exchange under the symbol "GSN". As of March 20, 2008, the market value of our shares in GridSense was approximately C\$1.3 million.

LOCAL POWER INC.

On July 31, 2007, we acquired ten percent of Local Power Inc. (“LPI”), a California-based, full-service energy services bureau that helps American cities and counties accelerate the development of competitively-priced, utility-scale, privately-operated clean energy projects. LPI was formed recently by a pioneer in the restructuring of the \$325 billion U.S. retail electricity market. We also have the right, until January 31, 2009, to purchase an additional 41% stake in LPI, bringing our potential total ownership position to 51% percent.

LPI provides consultation services and energy intelligence tools to enable cities to develop renewable electricity resources on a massive scale while utilizing the local utility’s distribution infrastructure. LPI’s founder, Paul Fenn, created Community Choice Aggregation (“CCA”), a revolutionary method by which cities can dramatically accelerate deployment of local green power infrastructure in order to diversify their electric power away from fossil fuel to renewable energy and achieve more stable, competitive rates for their communities. There are approximately one million consumers currently benefiting from low cost electricity delivered under CCA laws in two states. The two major markets, the Cape Light Compact on Cape Cod and the Northeast Ohio Public Energy Council in Greater Cleveland, are widely considered to be the only exceptions to the failure of electricity deregulation in the US. In 2002, Fenn authored a CCA law in California, where San Francisco now leads a major movement among municipalities and counties to implement CCA.

LPI is building a recurring revenue business with its highly scalable energy service bureau model, assisting cities to adopt, implement and manage CCA networks. CCA offers numerous benefits - city governments become strategic investors in renewable power, local jobs are promoted, rates are stabilized, and the service is popular with environmentally conscious politicians and voters.

SUPER SERVICES MARKET - PAKETERIA AG

We own a 31% equity interest in Paketeria AG, a company registered in Germany and headquartered in Berlin that innovated the “Super Services Market”, a retail concept that promotes savings in logistics and transport, two of the largest consumers of fuel worldwide. Paketeria’s stores and franchises are located throughout Germany with a concentration in the area in and around Berlin. We initially invested in Paketeria in August 2006, followed by a second investment in October 2006. In September 2007, in conjunction with a private placement by Paketeria in which it raised approximately \$2.5 million, we converted approximately \$1.2 million of debt and accrued interest due to us from Paketeria into equity in the company. During the first quarter of 2008 we advanced approximately \$750,000 to Paketeria for working capital purposes. These advances are to be repaid by December 31, 2008.

Paketeria’s network of owned and franchised stores has doubled since our initial investment in August 2006. Paketeria provides green services by delivering mail by bicycle and offering recycling services such as eBay merchandising and toner cartridge refilling. The stores also provide office supplies, photo processing, photocopy, and Internet pharmacy services in Germany. Paketeria was established to take advantage of the privatization and subsequent substantial reduction in retail outlets of the German post office, which has stranded many communities without convenient access to postal services.

On December 21, 2007, Paketeria’s shares were listed under the symbol “AOSTYL” on the Open Market (Freiverkehr) of the Frankfurt Stock Exchange and became eligible for trading. In connection with the listing, all the Paketeria shareholders (including the Company) placed in escrow and authorized a German investment bank to sell up to 10% of their shares (129,600 shares) for a period of six months following the initial listing date at an initial minimum ask price of €77.00 per share. The proceeds of any sales of shares by the investment bank are to be held in escrow under the terms of an escrow agreement for a period up to six months from the listing date after which the bank is to transfer 50% of the proceeds (net of transaction fees and commissions) of the sale of the shares of the shareholders (a minimum of €2.5 million) to the shareholders and the remaining 50% the proceeds of the sale of the shares (a minimum

of €2.5 million) are to be used to subscribe for new shares of the company. In connection with the listing and the escrow arrangements the Paketeria shareholders agreed to lock up certain of their shares for up to one year from the listing date. Under the lock-up agreement, shareholders may not offer, pledge, allot, sell or otherwise transfer or dispose of directly or indirectly any shares of Paketeria.

There is currently a limited market for Paketeria's shares on this market. From the listing date to March 20 2008, 884 shares of Paketeria were sold by the German investment bank responsible for the initial listing.

BACKLOG

As of December 31, 2007, total our backlog of work to be completed was \$9.1 million, of which \$7.1 million of which related to our RT Solutions segment and \$2.0 million which relates to our SCR segment. We estimate that we will perform approximately \$5.7 million of our backlog in 2008 (\$3.7 million from our RT Solutions segment and \$2.0 million from our SCR segment).

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2007, we employed a total of 86 people. Our employees are located in the United States (23 employees of whom 20 are employed at SCR-Tech and three of whom are employed at Acorn) and in Israel (63 employees at DSIT). We have 60 employees in production, engineering and technical support (12 employees in SCR Tech and 48 employees in DSIT), three employees in marketing and sales (one employee in SCR-Tech and two employees in DSIT), and 23 employees in management, administration and finance (five employees in SCR-Tech, 23 employees in Israel and three employees in Acorn). We consider our relationship with our employees to be satisfactory.

We have no collective bargaining agreements with any of our employees. However, with regard to our Israeli activities, certain provisions of the collective bargaining agreements between the Israeli Histadrut (General Federation of Labor in Israel) and the Israeli Coordination Bureau of Economic Organizations (including the Industrialists Association) are applicable by order of the Israeli Ministry of Labor. These provisions mainly concern the length of the workday, contributions to a pension fund, insurance for work-related accidents, procedures for dismissing employees, determination of severance pay and other conditions of employment. We generally provide our Israeli employees with benefits and working conditions beyond the required minimums. Israeli law generally requires severance pay upon the retirement or death of an employee or termination of employment without due cause. Furthermore, Israeli employees and employers are required to pay specified amounts to the National Insurance Institute, which administers Israel's social security programs. The payments to the National Insurance Institute include health tax and are approximately 5% of wages (up to a specified amount), of which the employee contributes approximately 70% and the employer approximately 30%.

SEGMENT INFORMATION

For additional financial information regarding our operating segments, foreign and domestic operations and sales, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Note 20 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report.

ITEM 1A.

RISK FACTORS

We may from time to time make written or oral statements that contain forward-looking information. However, our actual results may differ materially from our expectations, statements or projections. The following risks and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ from our expectations, statements or projections.

GENERAL FACTORS

We have a history of operating losses and have used increasing amounts of cash available for operations.

We have a history of operating losses, and have used increasing amounts of cash to fund our operating activities over the years. In 2005, 2006 and 2007, we had operating losses of \$2.3 million, \$3.6 million and \$4.4 million, respectively. Cash used in operations in 2005, 2006 and 2007 was \$1.7 million, \$1.6 million and \$2.6 million, respectively.

Despite selling a significant portion of our Comverge investment in December 2007 and receiving proceeds (net of transaction costs) of approximately \$28.4 million and raising approximately \$6.9 million (approximately \$6.0 million net of transaction costs) in 2007 from the private placement of our Convertible Debentures, we have utilized a significant portion of those funds in our recent acquisition and investment activity.

As described under the caption "Recent Developments" in "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," the market value of our investment in Comverge has fallen significantly since December 31, 2007 (to approximately \$21.9 million as of March 20, 2008). In addition, we continue to aggressively pursue additional investments. While we currently have enough cash on hand to fund our operations for the next 12 months, we may need additional funds to fund the investment and acquisition activity we wish to undertake. We do not know if such funds will be available if needed on terms that we consider acceptable. Should the market value of our Comverge shares remain at their depressed level or continue to drop, we may have to limit or adjust our investment/acquisition strategy or sell some of our Comverge shares or other assets in order to continue to pursue our corporate goals.

We depend on key management for the success of our business.

Our success is largely dependent on the skills, experience and efforts of our senior management team and other key personnel. In particular, our success depends on the continued efforts of John A. Moore, our CEO, William J. McMahon, CEO of CoaLogix/SCR-Tech, Benny Sela, CEO of DSIT and other key employees. The loss of the services of any key employee could materially harm our business, financial condition, future results and cash flow. Although to date we have been successful in retaining the services of senior management and have entered into employment agreements with them, members of our senior management may terminate their employment agreements without cause and with notice periods ranging up to 90 days. We may also not be able to locate or employ on acceptable terms qualified replacements for our senior management or key employees if their services were no longer available.

Loss of the services of a few key employees could harm our operations.

We depend on our key management, technical employees and sales personnel. The loss of certain managers could diminish our ability to develop and maintain relationships with customers and potential customers. The loss of certain technical personnel could harm our ability to meet development and implementation schedules. The loss of key sales personnel could have a negative effect on sales to certain current customers. Most of our significant employees are bound by confidentiality and non-competition agreements. Our future success also depends on our continuing ability to identify, hire, train and retain other highly qualified technical and managerial personnel. If we fail to attract or retain highly qualified technical and managerial personnel in the future, our business could be disrupted.

A failure to integrate our new management may adversely affect us.

In November 2007, we acquired SCR-Tech and its entire management team. Any failure to effectively integrate SCR-Tech's new management into our controls, systems and procedures could materially adversely affect our

business, results of operations and financial condition.

16

Compliance with changing regulation of corporate governance, public disclosure and financial accounting standards may result in additional expenses and affect our reported results of operations.

Keeping informed of, and in compliance with, changing laws, regulations and standards relating to corporate governance, public disclosure and accounting standards, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as well as new and proposed SEC regulations and accounting standards, has required an increased amount of management attention and external resources. Compliance with such requirements may result in increased general and administrative expenses and an increased allocation of management time and attention to compliance activities.

We may not be able to successfully integrate companies which we may invest in or acquire in the future, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, future results and cash flow.

Our strategy is to continue to expand in the future, including through acquisitions. Integrating acquisitions is often costly, and we may not be able to successfully integrate our acquired companies with our existing operations without substantial costs, delays or other adverse operational or financial consequences. Integrating our acquired companies involves a number of risks that could materially and adversely affect our business, including:

- failure of the acquired companies to achieve the results we expect;
- inability to retain key personnel of the acquired companies;
- dilution of existing stockholders;
- potential disruption of our ongoing business activities and distraction of our management;
- difficulties in retaining business relationships with suppliers and customers of the acquired companies;
- difficulties in coordinating and integrating overall business strategies, sales and marketing, and research and development efforts; and
- the difficulty of establishing and maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies, including accounting controls and procedures.

If any of our acquired companies suffers customer dissatisfaction or performance problems, the same could adversely affect the reputation of our group of companies and could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, future results and cash flow.

Moreover, any significant acquisition could require substantial use of our capital and may require significant debt or equity financing. We cannot provide any assurance as to the availability or terms of any such financing or its effect on our liquidity and capital resources.

We incur substantial costs as a result of being a public company.

As a public company, we incur significant legal, accounting, and other expenses in connection with our reporting requirements. Both the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules subsequently implemented by the Securities and Exchange Commission and NASDAQ, have required changes in corporate governance practices of public companies. These new rules and regulations have already increased our legal and financial compliance costs and the amount of time and effort we devote to compliance activities. We expect these rules and regulations to further increase our legal and financial compliance costs and to make compliance and other activities more time-consuming and costly. Further, due to increased regulations, it may be more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified persons to serve on our

board of directors or as executive officers. We have attempted to address some of these attraction and retention issues by offering contractual indemnification agreements to our directors and executive officers, but this may not be sufficient. We continue to regularly monitor and evaluate developments with respect to these new rules with our legal counsel, but we cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs.

RISKS RELATED TO SCR-TECH

SCR-Tech has incurred significant net losses since inception and may never achieve sustained profitability.

SCR-Tech has incurred net losses of \$2.6 million, \$2.5 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, it had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$9.7 million. We believe that SCR-Tech will be profitable in 2008; however, we can provide no assurance that SCR-Tech will generate sufficient revenues to allow it to become profitable or to sustain profitability.

SCR-Tech has a limited operating history

SCR-Tech has completed only a limited number of SCR cleaning and regeneration projects since it commenced commercial operations in March 2003. Thus SCR-Tech does not have a long-term operational history sufficient to allow us to determine whether it can successfully operate its business under differing environments and conditions or at any level of sustained profitability.

The size of the market for SCR-Tech's business is uncertain.

SCR-Tech offers SCR catalyst cleaning, rejuvenation and regeneration, as well as SCR system management and consulting services. The size and growth rate for this market will ultimately be determined by a number of factors, including environmental regulations and their enforcement, the growth in the use of SCR systems to reduce NOx and other pollutants, the length of operation of SCR systems without the need for cleaning, the differences, if any, in the accounting and rate-base effect of using regenerated SCR catalyst as compared to new SCR catalyst as adopted or approved by applicable federal and state regulatory authorities, rejuvenation or regeneration, the expansion of warranty coverage from SCR catalyst OEMs, the cost of new SCR catalyst, and other factors, most of which are beyond the control of SCR-Tech. There is limited historical evidence in the United States as to the cycle of replacement, cleaning and regeneration of SCR catalyst so as to accurately estimate the potential growth of the business. In addition, the number of times a catalyst can be regenerated is unknown, which also may affect the demand for regeneration in lieu of purchasing new catalyst. Any delay in the development of the market could significantly and adversely affect the value of SCR-Tech.

SCR-Tech will be subject to vigorous competition with very large competitors that have substantially greater resources and operating histories.

We are aware of one company, STEAG LLC ("Steag"), which is entering the U.S. catalyst regeneration market and we expect it to offer regeneration services in 2008. Steag is currently building a regeneration facility in North Carolina. Steag, based in Charlotte, North Carolina, is a subsidiary of a German power producer, STEAG GmbH ("Steag GmbH"). Steag GmbH is very large and has substantially greater resources than SCR-Tech or us. Competition from Steag may have a material adverse effect on our operations, including a potential reduction in operating margins and a loss of potential business.

We are also aware of at least one other company, Enerfab, Inc. that provides SCR catalyst management, rejuvenation and cleaning services. We are aware of certain companies, including Cormetech and Hitachi, who have indicated an interest in offering catalyst cleaning and regeneration. There also are a number of SCR catalyst manufacturers with substantial parent companies that may seek to maintain market share by significantly reducing prices which will put pressure on our operating margins. These companies include Cormetech Inc. (owned by Mitsubishi Heavy Industries and Corning, Inc.), Argillon GmbH (formerly Siemens), BASF/ CERAM, Haldor-Topsoe, Inc. and Hitachi America. Further, if the SCR catalyst regeneration market expands as we expect, additional competitors could emerge. In addition, if our intellectual property protection is weakened, competition could more easily develop.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property, or our intellectual property protection efforts are unsuccessful, others may duplicate our technology.

We rely on a combination of patents, trademarks, copyrights, trade secret laws and restrictions on disclosure to protect our intellectual property rights. Our ability to compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to protect our proprietary technology, systems designs and manufacturing processes. The ability of others to use our intellectual property could allow them to duplicate the benefits of our products and reduce our competitive advantage. We do not know whether any of our pending patent applications will issue or, in the case of patents issued, that the claims allowed are or will be sufficiently broad to protect our technology or processes. Further, a patent issued covering one use of our technology may not be broad enough to cover uses of that technology in other business areas. In this regard, a significant portion of the patents relied upon by SCR-Tech were acquired from third parties. Even if all our patent applications are issued and are sufficiently broad, they may be challenged or invalidated. We could incur substantial costs in prosecuting patent and other intellectual property infringement suits and defending the validity of our patents and other intellectual property. While we have attempted to safeguard and maintain our property rights, we do not know whether we have been or will be completely successful in doing so. These actions could place our patents, trademarks and other intellectual property rights at risk and could result in the loss of patent, trademark or other intellectual property rights protection for the products, systems and services on which our business strategy partly depends.

We rely, to a significant degree, on contractual provisions to protect our trade secrets and proprietary knowledge. These trade secrets cannot be protected by patent protection. These agreements may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any breach. Our trade secrets may also be known without breach of such agreements or may be independently developed by competitors.

Third parties may claim that we are infringing their intellectual property, and we could suffer significant litigation or licensing expenses or be prevented from selling products and services if these claims are successful. We also may incur significant expenses in affirmatively protecting our intellectual property rights.

Our competitors may independently develop or patent technologies or processes that are equivalent or superior to ours. In recent years, there has been significant litigation involving patents and other intellectual property rights in many technology-related industries and we believe our industry has a significant amount of patent activity. Third parties may claim that the technology or intellectual property that we incorporate into or use to develop, manufacture or provide our current and future products, systems or services infringe, induce or contribute to the infringement of their intellectual property rights, and we may be found to infringe, induce or contribute to the infringement of those intellectual property rights and may be required to obtain a license to use those rights. We may also be required to engage in costly efforts to design our products, systems and services around the intellectual property rights of others. The intellectual property rights of others may cover some of our technology, products, systems and services. In addition, the scope and validity of any particular third party patent may be subject to significant uncertainty.

Litigation regarding patents or other intellectual property rights is costly and time consuming, and could divert the attention of our management and key personnel from our business operations. The complexity of the technology involved and the uncertainty of intellectual property litigation increase these risks. Claims of intellectual property infringement might also require us to enter into costly royalty or license agreements or to indemnify our customers. However, we may not be able to obtain royalty or license agreements on terms acceptable to us or at all. Any inability on our part to obtain needed licenses could delay or prevent the development, manufacture and sale of our products, systems or services. We may also be subject to significant damages or injunctions against development, manufacture and sale of our products, systems or services.

We also may be required to incur significant time and expense in pursuing claims against companies we believe are infringing our intellectual property rights. The complexity of our technology and the nature of intellectual property litigation would make it expensive and potentially difficult to prove that a competitor is in fact infringing on our intellectual property rights, but we may nonetheless find it necessary to commence such litigation to protect our rights and future business opportunities. We can offer no assurance as to the outcome of any such litigation if it were to occur.

SCR-Tech's business is subject to customer concentration.

SCR-Tech offers SCR catalyst cleaning, rejuvenation and regeneration, as well as SCR system management and consulting services to coal-fired power plants. Some of the utilities operating these plants are exceptionally large and operate a number of such power plants. Thus, one or more large utilities could provide a very large order or orders to SCR-Tech which likely would result in one or more such utilities providing most of the orders and revenues for SCR-Tech for a particular quarterly or annual period. During fiscal 2007, four customers represented more than 90% of our revenue. During fiscal 2006, one customer represented approximately 34% of our revenue and five customers represented approximately 92% of our revenue for such period. Although such large orders could prove extremely beneficial to SCR-Tech by providing a large and consistent source of orders and revenues without the expense of marketing to a number of smaller customers, SCR-Tech could become highly dependent on a small number of large utilities for its business. In such event, the loss of a particular customer would have a much greater adverse effect on SCR-Tech than the loss of a smaller customer. This also may result in significant swings in orders and revenues on a quarterly basis. SCR-Tech cannot at this time determine the likelihood or extent of such future customer concentration.

Risk of changes in government regulation.

Our business is significantly dependent on the nature and level of government regulation of emissions. Without government regulation of coal-fired power generation, SCR catalyst would not be used by utilities, there would be no need for utilities to acquire, clean or regenerate SCR catalyst, and SCR-Tech would have no business purpose. Further, changes in or adverse interpretations of governmental accounting or rate-based emissions regulations also could have a material adverse effect on our business. Although government regulation of emissions has become increasingly stringent in recent years, the growing costs associated with such regulations may limit the level of increase and scope of emissions requirements, which could limit the potential growth of our target markets. Any easing of governmental emissions requirements or the growth rate of such requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business.

SCR-Tech's business is subject to potential seasonality.

Because some utilities and IPPs currently operate their SCR units only during the "ozone season" (May 1 — September 30), SCR-Tech's business may be more limited than if SCR units were required to operate on a continual basis. The NOx SIP Call was configured to impose a summer ozone season NOx limitation in more than 19 states and the District of Columbia. During this period, utilities and IPPs seek to operate their SCR catalyst at maximum capacity so as to reduce NOx emissions. During non-ozone season periods, most operators currently have limited (if any) requirements to run their SCR systems. Unless and until such regulations are tightened, much of SCR-Tech's business may be concentrated during the ozone season each year. This will likely result in less business than if SCR units were required to be operated throughout the year and may also result in quarters of relatively higher cash flow and earnings and quarters where cash flow and earnings may be minimal. These potential fluctuations in revenues and cash flow during a year may be significant and could materially impact our quarterly earnings and cash flow. This may have a material adverse effect on the perception of our business and the market price for our common stock.

SCR-Tech does not own its regeneration facilities and it is subject to risks inherent in leasing the site of its operations.

SCR-Tech does not own its regeneration site; instead it leases it from Clariant Corporation. Although we believe the lease terms are favorable, the dependence on Clariant and the site could subject SCR-Tech to increased risk in the event Clariant experiences financial setbacks or loses its right to operate the site. This risk is heightened because the site is a Federal Superfund site (under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”)), which increases the risks that the site ultimately could be shut down or that Clariant will be financially unable to continue its ownership of the site. It may be difficult to relocate to another site on a timely or cost-effective basis, and SCR-Tech’s business could be negatively impacted by any problems with continuing to conduct its operations at its current site.

SCR-Tech could be subject to environmental risks as a result of the operation of its business and the location of its facilities.

The operation of SCR-Tech’s business and the nature of its assets create various environmental risks. SCR-Tech leases its site for operations at a property listed on the National Priority List as a Federal Superfund site. Five CERCLA Areas (those areas of concern identified under the CERCLA program) are identified on the property, and while SCR-Tech does not lease any property identified as a CERCLA Area, one such CERCLA Area has resulted in contamination of groundwater flowing underneath one of the buildings leased by SCR-Tech. Although SCR-Tech has indemnification from Clariant Corporation for any environmental liability arising prior to the operation of SCR-Tech’s business at the site, we can provide no assurance that such indemnification will be sufficient or that SCR-Tech would be protected from an environmental claim from the nature of the site. In addition, the operation of SCR-Tech’s business involves removal of hazardous wastes from catalyst and the use of significant chemical materials. As a result, SCR-Tech could be subject to potential liability resulting from such operations. To date, neither Acorn nor SCR-Tech has been identified as a potential responsible party to such environmental risks, nor have any amounts been recorded to accrue for these potential exposures.

We likely will be required to make significant capital expenditures to expand SCR-Tech’s production facilities or for other purposes; we may require additional capital for such purposes.

SCR-Tech does not own its regeneration site; instead it leases it from Clariant Corporation, the U.S. subsidiary of a Switzerland-based public company. We believe this site is sufficient to meet SCR-Tech’s anticipated production requirements through mid 2008. However, in order to meet anticipated demand for increased orders for SCR regeneration services in 2008, we expect to incur capital expenditure costs of \$1.0 million to \$1.5 million in 2008. In addition, we believe we will need to incur approximately \$5.0 million of additional capital expenditures commencing in 2008 to construct a second regeneration plant to meet anticipated demand for regeneration services in 2009. Although we believe SCR-Tech’s present site allows for building additional regeneration facilities, including a doubling of capacity in the current facility, such construction could require significantly more capital expenditures than anticipated. Moreover, because of necessary permitting and time for construction, we can provide no assurance that SCR-Tech could meet the demands from an unanticipated rapid increase in orders in a timely manner. Any failure to timely fulfill such orders could have an adverse impact on SCR-Tech’s business.

Although we believe our available non-restricted cash of approximately \$19.6 at December 31, 2007 is sufficient to fund any currently anticipated capital requirements for SCR-Tech and to otherwise fund our current operations through 2008, we can provide no assurance that we will not require additional capital. Moreover, if we incur the expected capital expenditures to expand the capacity of SCR-Tech, but the market does not develop as we expect or increased competition results in loss of significant business, we may not generate additional revenue from such expenses. This could adversely impact our financial position. Moreover, other unanticipated expenses for SCR-Tech, such as litigation or other costs for protecting intellectual property rights or as a result of a significant corporate transaction could result in the need for additional capital. These additional funding requirements may be significant,

and funds may not be available when required or may be available only on terms unsatisfactory to us.

21

Beyond December 31, 2008, our cash requirements will depend on many factors, including but not limited to the market acceptance of our product and service offerings, the ability of SCR-Tech to generate significant cash flow, the rate of expansion of our sales and marketing activities, the rate of expansion of our production capacity, our ability to manage selling, general and administrative expenditures and the timing and extent of SCR-Tech related research and development projects.

In addition, we continue to actively pursue business opportunities, including but not limited to, mergers, acquisitions or other strategic arrangements. Such strategic opportunities could require the use of additional cash, reducing our available capital prior to December 31, 2008, or could require additional equity or debt financing. The nature and amount of any such financing or the use of any capital in any such transaction cannot be predicted and will depend on the terms and conditions of the particular transaction.

Certain of SCR-Tech's capital equipment is unique to our business and would be difficult and expensive to repair or replace.

Certain of the capital equipment used in the services performed by SCR-Tech has been developed and made specifically for us and would be difficult to repair or replace if it were to become damaged or stop working. In addition, certain of our equipment is not readily available from multiple vendors. Consequently, any damage to or breakdown of our equipment at a time when we are regenerating large amounts of SCR catalyst at SCR-Tech may have a material adverse impact on our business.

SCR-Tech may be subject to warranty claims from its customers.

SCR-Tech typically provides limited warranties to its customers relating to the level of success of its catalyst cleaning and regeneration services. In the event SCR-Tech is unable to perform a complete regeneration of an SCR catalyst, SCR-Tech may be required to re-perform a regeneration or repay a portion of the fees earned for the regeneration efforts. SCR-Tech also may be required to provide warranties with respect to its other SCR catalyst services provided to its customers. Since SCR-Tech has only a limited operating history in North America, it is not possible to determine the amount or extent of any potential warranty claims that SCR-Tech may incur. There is a risk that any such claims could be substantial and could affect the profitability of SCR-Tech and the financial condition of the Company. The Company does maintain a limited warranty claim liability; however, should the amount of any potential warranty claims be incurred at levels higher than the warranty liability, the profitability and financial condition of the Company could be impacted.

SCR-Tech is dependent on third parties to perform certain testing required to confirm the success of its regeneration.

In connection with the regeneration of SCR catalyst, SCR-Tech generally must have an independent company provide testing services to determine the level of success of regeneration. Currently there are a limited number of companies providing this service. If SCR-Tech is unable to obtain this service on a cost-effective basis, SCR-Tech may not be able to perform its regeneration services.

Significant price increases in key materials may reduce SCR-Tech's gross margins and profitability of SCR-Tech's regeneration of SCR Catalyst.

The prices of various chemicals used to regenerate SCR Catalyst can be volatile. If the long-term costs of these materials were to increase significantly, we would attempt to reduce material usage or find substitute materials. If these efforts were not successful or if these cost increases could not be reflected in our price to customers, then our gross margins and profitability of regenerating SCR Catalyst would be reduced and our ability to operate SCR-Tech profitably could be compromised.

Risks of purchasing used SCR catalyst.

SCR-Tech's primary business involves the cleaning and regenerating of customer-owned SCR catalyst. In certain instances, however, SCR-Tech may purchase used or "spent" catalyst from utilities for regeneration, as when, for example, a utility wishes to avoid the costs and potential hazardous waste issues associated with the disposal of used or "spent" catalyst. SCR-Tech may purchase SCR catalyst for a nominal sum and then regenerate such catalyst for immediate sale, or may purchase spent SCR catalyst on an opportunistic basis for future regeneration and sale. The purchase of spent SCR catalyst involves potential risks to SCR-Tech. For example, spent SCR catalyst includes significant hazardous waste, and unlike the regeneration of customer-owned SCR catalyst, the purchase of spent SCR catalyst requires SCR-Tech to take ownership or "title" to the SCR catalyst, which may potentially increase SCR-Tech's environmental risk exposure. Furthermore, if SCR-Tech cannot find a customer to purchase the regenerated catalyst, then SCR-Tech must either store the spent catalyst, subject to the inherent risk of holding catalyst which has not been regenerated and contains hazardous waste, or incur significant costs to dispose of the spent catalyst in a manner which complies with the strict requirements of applicable environmental laws. In addition, the sale of SCR catalyst may expose SCR-Tech to risks not inherent in the cleaning and regeneration of SCR catalyst, including product liability claims. It is unclear as to the amount of SCR catalyst which SCR-Tech may purchase, but it is possible such purchases ultimately may be substantial, and may significantly increase the risk profile of SCR-Tech's business.

Many of the risks of our business have only limited insurance coverage and many of our business risks are uninsurable.

Our business operations are subject to potential environmental, product liability, employee and other risks. Although we have insurance to cover some of these risks, the amount of this insurance is limited and includes numerous exceptions and limitations to coverage. Further, no insurance is available to cover certain types of risks, such as acts of God, war, terrorism, major economic and business disruptions and similar events. In the event we were to suffer a significant environmental, product liability, employee or other claim in excess of our insurance or a loss or damages relating to an uninsurable risk, our financial condition could be negatively impacted. In addition, the cost of our insurance has increased substantially in recent years and may prove to be prohibitively expensive, thus making it impractical to obtain insurance. This may result in the need to abandon certain business activities or subject ourselves to the risks of uninsured operations.

RISKS RELATED TO DSIT SOLUTIONS

Failure to accurately forecast costs of fixed-priced contracts could reduce our margins.

When working on a fixed-price basis, we undertake to deliver software or integrated hardware/software solutions to a customer's specifications or requirements for a particular project. The profits from these projects are primarily determined by our success in correctly estimating and thereafter controlling project costs. Costs may in fact vary substantially as a result of various factors, including underestimating costs, difficulties with new technologies and economic and other changes that may occur during the term of the contract. If, for any reason, our costs are substantially higher than expected, we may incur losses on fixed-price contracts.

Hostilities in the Middle East region may slow down the Israeli hi-tech market and may harm our Israeli operations; our Israeli operations may be negatively affected by the obligations of our personnel to perform military service.

Our software consulting and development services segment is currently conducted in Israel. Accordingly, political, economic and military conditions in Israel may directly affect DSIT. Any increase in hostilities in the Middle East involving Israel could weaken the Israeli hi-tech market, which may result in a significant deterioration of the results of our Israeli operations. In addition, an increase in hostilities in Israel could cause serious disruption to our Israeli operations if acts associated with such hostilities result in any serious damage to our offices or those of our customers

or harm to our personnel.

23

Many of our employees in Israel are obligated to perform military reserve duty. In the event of severe unrest or other conflict, one or more of our key employees could be required to serve in the military for extended periods of time. In the past, there have been numerous call-ups of military reservists to active duty, and it is possible that there will be additional call-ups in the future. Our Israeli operations could be disrupted as a result of such call-ups for military service.

Exchange rate fluctuations could increase the cost of our Israeli operations.

A majority of DSIT's sales are based on contracts or orders which are in U.S dollars or Euros or are in New Israeli Shekels ("NIS") linked to the U.S. dollar. At the same time, most of DSIT's expenses are denominated in NIS (primarily labor costs) and are not linked to any foreign currency. While the dollar value of the revenues of our operations in Israel will increase if the dollar is devalued in relation to the NIS, the net effect of such devaluation is that DSIT's costs in dollar terms increase more than our revenues. The weakening of the dollar relative to the NIS has a net negative impact on DSIT's operations. During the period from January 1, 2008 to March 20, 2008, the dollar lost 11.6% of its value relative to the NIS. DSIT is currently considering ways to control its exposures to exchange rate fluctuations, however, we can provide no assurance that such controls will be implemented successfully.

One of our major customers has a history of operating deficits and may implement cost-cutting measures that may have a material adverse effect on us.

In 2007, 19% of DSIT's sales (17% in both 2006 and 2005, respectively) were related to the Clalit Health Fund ("Clalit"). Clalit is Israel's largest HMO organization and has a history of running at a deficit, which in the past has required numerous cost cutting plans and periodic assistance from the Israeli government. Should Clalit have to institute additional cost cutting measures in the future, which may include restructuring of its terms of payment, this could have a material adverse effect on the performance of DSIT.

We are substantially dependent on a small number of customers and the loss of one or more of these customers may cause revenues and cash flow to decline

In 2007, 65% of DSIT's sales (63% and 53% in 2006 and 2005, respectively) were concentrated in four customers (Israel Defense Ministry, Applied Materials Israel Ltd., RAFAEL Armament Development Authority Ltd. and Clalit). A significant reduction of orders from any of these customers could have a material adverse effect on the performance of DSIT.

We are dependent on meeting milestones to provide cash flow for operations.

In August 2005, we sold our outsourcing business, which in the past provided our Israeli operations with a steady cash flow stream, and, in conjunction with bank lines of credit, helped to finance our Israeli operations. Our present operations, as we are currently structured, place a greater reliance on our meeting project milestones in order to generate cash flow to finance our operations. Should we encounter difficulties in meeting significant project milestones, resulting cash flow difficulties could have a material adverse effect on our operations.

If we are unable to keep pace with rapid technological change, our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows may suffer.

Some of our solutions are characterized by rapidly changing technologies and industry standards and technological obsolescence. Our competitiveness and future success depends on our ability to keep pace with changing technologies and industry standards on a timely and cost-effective basis. A fundamental shift in technologies could have a material adverse effect on our competitive position. Our failure to react to changes in existing technologies could materially delay our development of new products, which could result in technological obsolescence, decreased revenues, and/or

a loss of market share to competitors. To the extent that we fail to keep pace with technological change, our revenues and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

We must at times provide significant guarantees in order to secure projects.

Some of the projects we perform require significant performance and/or bank guarantees. In DSIT's current state, it may not always be able to supply such guarantees without financial assistance from Acorn. If Acorn needs to provide financial guarantees for DSIT, Acorn may not have sufficient funds available to it invest in other emerging ventures or take advantage of opportunities available to us in a timely manner.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR PAKETERIA INVESTMENT

Paketeria's business plan is predicated on projected rapid growth in its network of franchised stores. If Paketeria fails to effectively manage this growth, its business and operating results could be harmed. Additionally they could be forced to incur significant expenditures to address the additional operational and control requirements of this growth.

Paketeria's business plan is predicated on projected rapid growth in its operations, which will place significant demands on its management, operational and financial infrastructure. If Paketeria does not effectively manage this growth, the quality of its services could suffer, which could negatively affect its operating results. To effectively manage this growth, Paketeria will need to continue to improve its operational, financial, and management controls and its reporting systems and procedures. These system enhancements and improvements could require Paketeria to make significant capital expenditures and an allocation of valuable management resources. If the improvements are not implemented successfully, Paketeria's ability to manage growth may be impaired and could force it to make significant additional expenditures to address these issues, expenditures that could harm its financial position.

Paketeria needs to raise funds to finance its planned activities.

Though Paketeria has successfully raised approximately \$2.5 million in a private placement in 2007 and has recently registered on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange, Paketeria does not currently have enough cash to finance its planned activities in 2008 as it is continuing to raise funds from its offering on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange. We have agreed to lend Paketeria up to €1 million to bridge its finances during the period of its selling shares on the Frankfurt Exchange (Up through March 20, 2008, we have loaned approximately \$750,000 to Paketeria). In the event that Paketeria is unable to sell shares on the Frankfurt exchange and raise funds from new investors, our investment and loans may be at risk (See "Recent Developments"). In the event that Paketeria is unable to obtain additional financing for its operations, we may be required to advance additional funds to Paketeria to maintain its viability and to protect our investment.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR SECURITIES

Our share price may decline due to the large number of shares of our Common Stock eligible for future sale in the public market including the shares of the selling security holders.

A substantial number of shares of our Common Stock are, or could upon exercise of options or warrants, become eligible for sale in the public market as described below. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our Common Stock in the public market, or the possibility of these sales, may adversely affect our stock price.

As of December 31, 2007, 11,134,795 shares of our Common Stock were issued and outstanding. As of December 31, 2007 we had 986,506 warrants outstanding and exercisable with a weighted average exercise price of \$3.89 and 1,396,998 options outstanding and exercisable with a weighted average exercise price of \$2.96 per share, which if exercised for cash would result in the issuance of an additional 2,383,504 shares of Common Stock. Of the options and warrants noted above, there were 1,246,998 options and 986,506 warrants which are in-the-money at December 31, 2007.

The market price of our Common Stock will likely be affected by fluctuations in the market price of the common stock of Comverge.

A significant portion of the assets set forth on our balance sheet is comprised of the fair market value of our investment in Comverge shares. As described below under “Recent Developments,” the share price of Comverge’s common stock has fallen significantly since December 31, 2007. Due to the substantial position we hold in Comverge, the market price of our Common Stock is likely to be affected by fluctuations in the market price of the common stock of Comverge.

We may be deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940; if we were deemed to be an investment company we could be forced to sell our shares in Comverge at prices lower than we might otherwise obtain.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and the rules thereunder we would be deemed to be an investment company if it is determined that the value of investment securities we own account for more than 45% of the total value of our assets. The Investment Company Act and the rules thereunder exclude from the definition of investment securities shares in companies which are majority-owned or “controlled primarily” by the issuer.

We believe that until the Comverge initial public offering in April 2007, we had primary control over Comverge for purposes of application of the Investment Company Act and our Comverge holdings were therefore excluded from the definition of investment securities. However, as a result of the offering, our voting agreement with the other major Comverge shareholders was terminated. It is therefore likely that as of the closing of the initial public offering, Comverge was no longer controlled primarily by us for Investment Company Act purposes. If that were the case, then as of June 30, 2007, we would no longer be excluded from the definition of an Investment Company since the value of our investment securities would be in excess of 45% of our assets.

Were we to have been deemed an investment company as a result of the Comverge IPO, we believe that we would be eligible for relief from the application of the Investment Company Act as a transient investment company under Rule 3a-2. Under Rule 3a-2, we would not be subject to the Investment Company Act provided that we have a bona fide intent to be engaged primarily, as soon as is reasonably possible (in any event within a one year period), in a business other than that of investing, reinvesting, owning, holding or trading in securities.

Our management and Board of Directors has formulated its plans for compliance with Rule 3a-2. These include the acquisition of one or more wholly-owned, majority-owned, or primarily-controlled operating businesses. Steps in effectuating these plans may include the sale and or distribution to our shareholders of all or a portion of our Comverge shares, and/or a merger or other acquisition transaction. Our acquisition of SCR-Tech and our sale of 1,022,356 of our Comverge shares are significant steps in successfully implementing our plan.

To the extent that effectuating our plan to remain exempt from the Investment Company Act requires us to sell significant additional number of Comverge shares, we may be forced to sell a significant portion of our Comverge shares during a relatively short time period could result in our selling Comverge shares sooner than we otherwise would have, at prices lower than we might otherwise have obtained. While we could request an order from the SEC to give us additional time beyond the year period allowed by Rule 3a-2 to sell and/or distribute Comverge shares and take any other action necessary to come into compliance with the Act, there is no assurance that such an order would be granted.

If we are unable to come into compliance with the Investment Company Act during the one year period (or any extension thereof granted to us by the SEC), we would be in violation of the Investment Company Act. Companies which fall under the Act are subject to substantial regulation concerning management, operations, transactions with affiliated persons, portfolio composition, including restrictions with respect to diversification and industry concentration, and other matters. We would be required to file reports with the SEC regarding various aspects of our business. The cost of such compliance would result in the Company incurring additional annual expenses. In addition, compliance with the Investment Company Act may not be consistent with the Company's current strategy of holding primarily controlling interest in companies in which it holds interests.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate activities are conducted in office space in Wilmington, Delaware. The annual rent is approximately \$18,000 under a lease that expires in June 2010.

SCR-Tech leases approximately 98,000 square feet of office, production, laboratory and warehouse space in Charlotte, North Carolina. The annual rent is approximately \$273,000. This lease expires on December 31, 2012, with two options to renew for five years each.

Our RT Solutions activities are conducted in approximately 18,000 square feet of office space in the Tel Aviv, Israel metropolitan area under a lease that expires in August 2009. The annual rent is approximately \$280,000.

As part of the 2006 sale of our Databit computer hardware subsidiary, we assigned all of the US leases to Databit and no longer have rental expense for facilities in New Jersey. The landlords of the properties have not yet consented to the assignments and we therefore continue to be contingently liable on these leases. Databit has agreed to indemnify us for any liability in connection with these leases.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

At our Annual Meeting of Stockholders on December 5, 2007, John A. Moore, George Morgenstern, Richard J. Giacco, Joseph Musanti, Richard S. Rimer, Scott B. Ungerer and Samuel M. Zentman were elected as directors, each for a term of one year to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified. The results of the voting were as follows:

NOMINEE	FOR	WITHHELD
John A. Moore	7,270,944	387,787
George Morgenstern	6,528,032	1,130,699
Richard J. Giacco	7,651,644	7,087
Joseph Musanti	7,651,644	7,087
Richard S. Rimer	7,609,344	49,387
Scott B. Ungerer	7,651,644	7,087
Samuel M. Zentman	7,608,344	49,387

PART II**ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS
5. AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our Common Stock is currently traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "ACFN". Prior to December 17, 2007, our Common Stock traded on OTC Bulletin Board ("OTCBB"). The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low reported sales prices per share of our Common Stock on NASDAQ and the OTCBB (as applicable).

	High	Low
2006:		
First Quarter	\$ 2.80	\$ 1.43
Second Quarter	3.20	2.50
Third Quarter	3.39	2.85
Fourth Quarter	\$ 3.47	\$ 3.14
2007:		
First Quarter	\$ 4.97	\$ 3.40
Second Quarter	5.28	3.65
Third Quarter	5.59	3.80
Fourth Quarter	\$ 5.99	\$ 4.10

As of April 11, 2008, the last reported sales price of our Common Stock on the Nasdaq Global Market was \$4.43, there were 83 record holders of our Common Stock and we estimate that there were approximately 1,100 beneficial owners of our Common Stock.

We paid no dividends in 2006 or 2007 and do not intend to pay any dividends in 2008.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 and consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 has been derived from our audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report. The selected consolidated statement of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2003 and 2004 and the selected consolidated balance sheet data as of December 31, 2003, 2004 and 2005 has been derived from our unaudited consolidated financial statements not included herein.

This data should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included herein and “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

Selected Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2003*	2004	2005	2006	2007
	(unaudited)				
	(in thousands, except per share data)				
Sales	\$ 8,874	\$ 3,364	\$ 4,187	\$ 4,117	\$ 5,660
Cost of sales	6,833	2,491	2,945	2,763	4,248
Gross profit	2,041	873	1,242	1,354	1,412
Research and development expenses	153	30	53	324	415
Selling, marketing, general and administrative expenses	7,422	3,374	3,464	4,658	5,390
Operating loss	(5,534)	(2,531)	(2,275)	(3,628)	(4,393)
Finance expense, net	(534)	(33)	(12)	(30)	(1,585)
Gain on Comverge IPO	—	—	—	—	16,169
Gain on sale of shares in Comverge	—	705	—	—	23,124
Loss on Paketeria private placement	—	—	—	—	(37)
Other income, net	—	148	—	330	—
Income (loss) from operations before taxes on income	(6,068)	(1,711)	(2,287)	(3,328)	33,278
Income tax benefit (expense)	48	(27)	37	(183)	445
Income (loss) from operations of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries	(6,020)	(1,738)	(2,250)	(3,511)	33,723
Share of losses in Comverge	(1,752)	(1,242)	(380)	(210)	—
Share of losses in Paketeria	—	—	—	(424)	(1,206)
Minority interests, net of tax	264	(90)	(73)	—	—
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(7,508)	(3,070)	(2,703)	(4,145)	32,517
Gain (loss) on sale of discontinued operations and contract settlement (in 2006), net of income taxes	—	—	541	(2,069)	—
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	1,226	1,898	844	78	—
Net income (loss)	\$ (6,282)	\$ (1,172)	\$ (1,318)	\$ (6,136)	\$ 32,517
Basic net income (loss) per share:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (0.97)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.48)	\$ 3.30
Discontinued operations	0.16	0.24	0.10	(0.23)	—
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.81)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.71)	\$ 3.30
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	7,738	7,976	8,117	8,689	9,848
Diluted net income (loss) per share:					
	\$ (0.97)	\$ (0.39)	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.48)	\$ 2.80

Income (loss) from continuing operations					
Discontinued operations	0.16	0.24	0.10	(0.23)	—
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.81)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.71)	\$ 2.80
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	7,738	7,976	8,117	8,689	12,177

* The selected consolidated statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2003 have been restated for the discontinued operations of our US-based computer VAR business and our Israel and US-based consulting businesses and are unaudited.

Selected Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:

	As of December 31,				
	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007
	(in thousands)				
Working capital	\$ 729	\$ 874	\$ 1,458	\$ 259	\$ 13,843
Total assets	17,784	17,025	10,173	7,258	96,967
Short-term and long-term debt	2,259	1,396	365	788	4,998
Minority interests	1,367	1,471	—	—	—
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	3,200	2,125	820	(461)	67,325

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Redemption of Convertible Debentures

In January 2008, we completed our previously announced redemption of our outstanding 10% Convertible Redeemable Subordinated Debentures due March 2011. Prior to the redemption, the debenture holders converted the \$3.44 million convertible portion of the debentures into approximately 900,000 shares of our common stock and the remaining \$3.44 million of debentures were redeemed in accordance with the notice of redemption.

Sale of 15% of CoaLogix to EnerTech

On February 29, 2008, we entered into a Common Stock Purchase Agreement (the "Agreement") with CoaLogix and EnerTech Capital Partners III L.P. ("EnerTech") pursuant to which EnerTech purchased from CoaLogix a 15% interest in CoaLogix for \$1.95 million. Following the transaction, we own 85% of CoaLogix.

In connection with the transaction under the Agreement, the Company, CoaLogix, EnerTech and the senior management of CoaLogix entered into a Stockholders' Agreement dated as of February 29, 2008 (the "Stockholders' Agreement"). Under the Stockholders' Agreement, EnerTech is entitled to designate a member of the Board of Directors of CoaLogix. In addition, the Stockholders' Agreement provides the Company and EnerTech with reciprocal rights of first refusal and co-sale in connection with proposed transfers of their CoaLogix stock.

Pursuant to the Stockholders' Agreement, EnerTech also has a right to purchase additional stock to maintain its percentage interest in CoaLogix in the event of dilutive transactions. The right may be exercised until such time as the Company's ownership in CoaLogix is reduced to 75% or CoaLogix completes an initial public offering.

Comverge

The market value of our 1,763,665 common shares of Comverge on December 31, 2007 was approximately \$55.5 million based on a market share price of \$31.49 on that date. Since December 31, 2007, the share price of Comverge's shares have fallen significantly and currently (as of April 9, 2008) our shares in Comverge have a value of \$19.7 million based on a market share price of \$11.17.

Paketeria

Thus far in 2008, we have provided Paketeria with approximately \$750,000 of loans in order to provide it with additional temporary financing to help it support its operations until it is able to raise funds through the sale by existing shareholders of shares through the escrow arrangement described above or from other sources.

GridSense

On January 2, 2008, we closed on a transaction where we were the lead investor in GridSense Systems Inc. ("GridSense") placement, acquiring 15,714,285 shares and 15,714,285 warrants for C\$1.1 million (approximately \$1.1 million). The 15,714,285 shares acquired by us in the placement represent 24.52% of GridSense's issued and outstanding shares. If we exercise all of the 15,714,285 warrants acquired in the placement, we will own 31,428,570 GridSense common shares, representing 39.37% of GridSense's issued and outstanding shares.

Restricted Cash

In January 2008, we transferred \$1 million (in addition to the \$1.5 million transferred in 2007) to a bank in Israel as security for a guarantee the bank has provided to the Israel Ministry of Defense in connection with a \$7.5 million naval project being performed by our DSIT subsidiary. The cash is restricted and is expected to be unavailable to us until early 2009.

OVERVIEW AND TREND INFORMATION

The following discussion includes statements that are forward-looking in nature. Whether such statements ultimately prove to be accurate depends upon a variety of factors that may affect our business and operations. Certain of these factors are discussed in "Item 1. Business-Risk Factors Which May Affect Future Results."

We operate in two reportable segments: SCR and RT Solutions. As we acquired our interest in SCR-Tech on November, 7, 2007, our results for 2007 include SCR-Tech's results only for the period from November 7, 2007 to December 31, 2007.

The following analysis should be read together with the segment information provided in Note 20 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this report.

CoaLogix/SCR

CoaLogix is focused on providing cutting edge services to coal-fired generating facilities to reduce their environmental footprint through technology, optimization and efficiency improvements. CoaLogix currently owns SCR-Tech which provides SCR (selective catalyst reduction) services to power plants, including a proprietary technology to regenerate catalyst. We acquired SCR-Tech and began consolidating its results in November 2007. As such, we have not presented comparative data for SCR-Tech's results. In the first two months of 2008 SCR-Tech secured eight new contracts from major U.S. companies representing over two times its entire 2007 sales. Included in these contracts are a three year and a five year contract bundling selective catalytic reduction (SCR) management

services and time sensitive regeneration during planned outages. The contracts represent three new and five repeat customers. Total revenues for SCR-Tech in 2007 were approximately \$4.5 million (including revenues for the period prior to our acquisition). We anticipate a significant increase in revenues in 2008 from SCR-Tech.

In March 2008, CoaLogix announced its CoalVision 360° strategy and the addition of a strategic partner, EnerTech Capital III, which acquired a 15% interest in CoaLogix. We currently own 85% of CoaLogix following EnerTech's investment. CoalVision 360° is CoaLogix's strategy for creating value for its customers and shareholders while fulfilling our industry's obligations to our ever tightening clean air laws.

During 2008, we expect CoaLogix to significantly improve on its 2007 results based upon the anticipated significant increase in revenues from new orders received in late 2007 and early 2008. We expect that CoaLogix will need to expand its current facilities in 2008 in order to accommodate its anticipated growth.

RT Solutions

During 2005, 2006 and 2007, sales from our RT solutions activities were \$2.9 million, \$2.8 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, accounting for approximately 69%, 68% and 61% of Company sales for 2005, 2006 and 2007, respectively.

We generally provide our RT solutions on a fixed-price basis. When working on a fixed-price basis, we undertake to deliver software or hardware/software solutions to a customer's specifications or requirements for a particular project, accounting for these services on the percentage-of-completion method. Since the profit margins on these projects are primarily determined by our success in controlling project costs, the margins on these projects may vary as a result of various factors, including underestimating costs, difficulties associated with implementing new technologies and economic and other changes that may occur during the term of the contract.

Segment revenues increased by \$0.7 million or 24% in 2007 as compared to 2006. The increase in sales was the result of the acquisition of two significant projects in 2007.

- A NIS 30 million (approximately \$7.7 million at December 31, 2007) order for a sonar and underwater acoustic system for the Israeli Ministry of Defense, and
- An order to supply what we believe to be the world's first underwater surveillance system to protect a strategic coastal energy installation.

Both of these projects began in mid-2007 and our increased sales are a direct result of our progress in those projects. The revenues we recorded from those projects were partially offset by reduced revenues in our other embedded hardware and software development projects. Our gross profits also increased (from \$1.0 million in 2006 to \$1.1 million in 2007) as a result of the increased sales from our two significant projects, however, our gross profit margins decreased from 2006 to 2007 from 36% to 33% due to the completion in 2006 of a number of relatively high margin embedded hardware and software development projects during that year.

Our projected growth in sales in 2008 is expected to come primarily from our Naval solutions projects with our embedded hardware and software development projects expected to remain relatively stable. We anticipate our 2008 sales to increase based on our abovementioned contract with the Israeli MOD for which we have a backlog of approximately \$6.8 million. In addition, we anticipate receiving in the second half of 2008 a number of significant Naval solutions contracts for additional underwater surveillance systems to protect strategic coastal energy installations. We believe that with these increased sales, this segment will reach profitability towards the end of the year.

Paketeria

Paketeria was established to take advantage of the privatization and subsequent substantial reduction in retail outlets of the German post office. Since the beginning of 2006, Paketeria has doubled in size to four company owned stores

and 60 franchised stores. In 2008, Paketeria is planning to continue its expansion of stores. Paketeria continues to seek additional capital investment to help fund its activities and expansion.

In September 2007, Paketeria raised approximately €1.7 million (\$2.5 million at the then exchange rate) in a private placement by way of a share issuance. The shares were issued by Paketeria on the basis of a valuation representing a pre-money valuation of Paketeria of €8 million (\$11.3 million at the then exchange rate). In addition, concurrent with the private placement, we converted shareholder loans in the aggregate principal amount of €750,000 (\$1.1 million at the then exchange rate) plus accrued interest, into shares of Paketeria on the same basis as the private placement.

After the private placement and related transactions described above, we owned approximately 31% of Paketeria.

In 2008 to date, we loaned Paketeria approximately \$750,000 to help it finance its ongoing activities until it acquires additional capital investment or cash from the sale of Paketeria shares from its listing on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange are released.

Corporate

In January 2008, following our December sale of 1,022,356 shares of our investment in Comverge from which we received approximately \$28.4 million of proceeds, we completed the redemption of our outstanding 10% Convertible Redeemable Subordinated Debentures due March 2011. Prior to the redemption, the debenture holders converted the entire \$3.44 million convertible portion of the debentures into approximately 900,000 shares of Acorn common stock and the remaining \$3.44 million of debentures were redeemed in accordance with the notice of redemption. Following the debenture redemption and our repayment in November 2007 of the \$14 million debt related to our recent purchase of SCR-Tech, we have no corporate debt and approximately \$9.3 million in unrestricted cash (at the end of March 2008). We continue to have significant corporate cash expenses and will continue to expend in the future, significant amounts of funds on professional fees and other costs in connection with our strategy to seek out and invest in companies that fit our target business model.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) defines “critical accounting policies” as those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods.

The following discussion of critical accounting policies represents our attempt to report on those accounting policies, which we believe are critical to our consolidated financial statements and other financial disclosure. It is not intended to be a comprehensive list of all of our significant accounting policies, which are more fully described in Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by generally accepted accounting principles, with no need for management's judgment in their application. There are also areas in which the selection of an available alternative policy would not produce a materially different result.

We have identified the following as critical accounting policies affecting our company: principles of consolidation and investments in associated companies; sale of stock by a subsidiary, investments in marketable securities, revenue recognition, foreign currency transactions and stock-based compensation.

Principles of Consolidation and Investments in Associated Companies

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all majority-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Minority interests in net losses are limited to the extent of their equity capital. Losses in excess of minority interest equity capital are charged against us in our consolidated statements of operations.

Investments in other entities are accounted for using the equity method or cost basis depending upon the level of ownership and/or our ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. Investments of this nature are recorded at original cost and adjusted periodically to recognize our proportionate share of the investee's net income or losses after the date of investment. When net losses from an investment accounted for under the equity method exceed its carrying amount, the investment balance is reduced to zero and additional losses are not provided for. We resume accounting for the investment under the equity method when the entity subsequently reports net income and our share of that net income exceeds the share of net losses not recognized during the period the equity method was suspended. Investments are written down only when there is clear evidence that a decline in value that is other than temporary has occurred.

The Company's investment in Paketeria is accounted for by the equity method. The Company's investments in both LPI and EnerTech is accounted for by the cost method.

Our Paketeria investment is comprised of an initial investment of \$877,000 (including transaction costs) for approximately 23% of Paketeria and a subsequent investment of approximately \$461,000 (including transaction costs), which increased our holdings in Paketeria to approximately 33%. Our investment in Paketeria was allocated as follows:

- \$68,000 to the net value of various options in the initial investment;
- 281,000 to the value of the non-compete agreement given to Paketeria's founder and managing director;
- \$185,000 to the value of the franchise agreements acquired at the date of our investment;
- \$446,000 to the value of the Paketeria brand name; and
- \$356,000 to goodwill.

On September 20, 2007, Paketeria raised approximately €1.7 million (\$2.5 million at the then exchange rate) through a private placement of its shares.

In addition, concurrent with the private placement, we converted loans in the aggregate principal amount of €750,000 (approximately \$1.1 million at the then exchange rate) plus accrued interest, into shares of Paketeria on the same basis as the private placement. Additionally, we exercised an option under the August 2006 investment agreement to acquire a convertible promissory note in the amount of €70,000 (approximately \$98,000 at the then exchange rate) plus accrued interest. The increase in our investment in Paketeria from our additional investment is attributed to an increase in the goodwill among the components of our investment in Paketeria.

After the private placement and related transactions described above, we owned approximately 31% of Paketeria.

Since we account for our investment in Paketeria under the equity method, we have, in 2007, reduced our investment in Paketeria by \$971,000, which represents our share of Paketeria's losses during the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, we have included in our equity loss the amortization of the value of the acquired non-compete agreement and the franchise agreements and change in value of options, which in 2007 totaled \$186,000.

The options that we have in Paketeria allow us to increase our holdings in Paketeria from our current 31% to 36%.

Sale of Stock by a Subsidiary

On April 18, 2007, Comverge completed its initial public offering of 6,095,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$18.00 a share. Comverge's shares are listed on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "COMV". Immediately prior to the closing of the Comverge offering on April 18, 2007, all shares of preferred stock of Comverge were converted to common stock of Comverge and we owned 2,786,021 shares of Comverge common stock.

On April 18, 2007, Comverge completed its initial public offering of 6,095,000 shares of common stock at a price of \$18.00 a share. Comverge's shares are listed on the Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "COMV". Immediately prior to the closing of the Comverge offering on April 18, 2007, all shares of preferred stock of Comverge were converted to common stock of Comverge and we owned 2,786,021 shares of Comverge common stock.

We account for the sale of stock by a subsidiary in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 51, "Accounting for Sales of Stock by a Subsidiary" ("SAB 51"), which requires that the difference between the carrying amount of the parent's investment in a subsidiary and the underlying net book value of the subsidiary after the issuance of stock by the subsidiary be reflected as either a gain or loss in the statement of operations or reflected as an equity transaction. In the past, we elected to record gains or losses resulting from the sale of a subsidiary's stock in our statement of operations.

As a result of the Comverge offering, we recorded an increase in our investment in Comverge and recorded a non-cash gain of \$16.2 million in "Gain on public offering of Comverge". Subsequent to the offering, the Company no longer accounted for its investment in Comverge under the equity method.

In September 2007, Paketeria also completed a private placement. As a result of the Paketeria private placement, we recorded a non-cash loss of \$37,000 in "Loss on Private Placement in Paketeria".

Investments in Marketable Securities

We account for our investments in equity securities under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, (FAS 115). Marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale securities and are accounted for at their fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on these securities are reported as other comprehensive income (loss), respectively. Under FAS 115, unrealized holding gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported net of the related tax effect in other comprehensive income as a separate component of shareholders' equity.

As of December 31, 2007, the 1,763,665 Comverge shares we held following our December sale of Comverge shares can be considered "available-for-sale" under SFAS 115. Accordingly, we recorded our investment in Comverge based on Comverge's share price of \$31.49 at December 31, 2007 and recorded an increase of \$46,457,000 to our investment balance by recording those shares at fair market value and recorded a deferred tax liability of \$16,902,000 to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income with respect to the recording those shares at fair market value.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue from time-and-materials service contracts, maintenance agreements and other services is recognized as services are provided.

In 2007, we derived \$2.5 million of revenues from fixed-price type contracts in DSIT representing approximately 45% of consolidated sales in 2007 (\$1.8 million and 43%, and \$1.9 million and 46%, in 2006 and 2005, respectively), which require the accurate estimation of the cost, scope and duration of each engagement. Revenue and the related costs for these projects are recognized for a particular period, using the percentage-of-completion method as costs (primarily direct labor) are incurred, with revisions to estimates reflected in the period in which changes become known. If we do not accurately estimate the resources required or the scope of work to be performed, or do not manage our projects properly within the planned periods of time or satisfy our obligations under the contracts, then future revenue and consulting margins may be significantly and negatively affected and losses on existing contracts may need to be recognized. Any such resulting changes in revenues and reductions in margins or contract losses could be material to our results of operations.

Foreign Currency Transactions

The currency of the primary economic environment in which our corporate headquarters and our U.S. subsidiaries operate is the United States dollar (“dollar”). Accordingly, the Company and all of its U.S. subsidiaries use the dollar as their functional currency.

35

As we acquired SCR-Tech on November, 7 2007 and have consolidated its results into ours from that date, most (86%) of our revenues in the year ended December 31, 2007 and all of our revenues in the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2006 came from our DSIT Israeli subsidiary. DSIT's functional currency is the New Israeli Shekel ("NIS") and its financial statements have been translated using the exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date. Statements of operations amounts have been translated using the exchange rate at date of transaction. All exchange gains and losses denominated in non-functional currencies are reflected in finance expense, net in the consolidated statement of operations when they arise.

Stock-based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, we have accounted for share-based compensation pursuant to SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). SFAS No. 123R requires a public entity to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award and to recognize that cost over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. As a result of our adoption of SFAS No. 123R, during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we recognized expense related to share options issued prior to but unvested as of January 1, 2006 as well as expense related to share options issued subsequent to January 1, 2006.

The fair values of all stock options granted were estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Black-Scholes model requires the input of highly subjective assumptions such as risk-free interest rates, volatility factor of the expected market price of our Common Stock and the weighted-average expected option life. The expected volatility factor used to value stock options in 2007 was based on the historical volatility of the market price of the Company's Common Stock over a period equal to the estimated weighted average life of the options. In December 2007, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin 110 (SAB 110) to amend the SEC's views discussed in Staff Accounting Bulletin 107 (SAB 107) regarding the use of the simplified method in developing an estimate of expected life of share options in accordance with SFAS No. 123(R). We will continue to use the simplified method until we have the historical data necessary to provide a reasonable estimate of expected life in accordance with SAB 107, as amended by SAB 110. For expected option life, we have what SAB 107 defines as "plain-vanilla" stock options, and therefore used a simple average of the vesting period and the contractual term for options as permitted by SAB 107. The risk-free interest rate used is based upon U.S. Treasury yields for a period consistent with the expected term of the options. Historically, we have not paid dividends and we do not anticipate paying dividends in the foreseeable future; accordingly, our expected dividend rate is zero. We recognize this expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Due to the numerous assumptions involved in calculating share-based compensation expense, the expense recognized in our consolidated financial statements may differ significantly from the value realized by employees on exercise of the share-based instruments. In accordance with the methodology prescribed by SFAS 123R, we do not adjust our recognized compensation expense to reflect these differences. Recognition of share-based compensation expense had, and will likely continue to have, a material affect on our general and administrative line items within our consolidated statements of operations and also may have a material affect on our deferred income taxes and additional paid-in capital line items within our consolidated balance sheets. Under SFAS No. 123R, we are required to use judgment in estimating the amount of stock-based awards that are expected to be forfeited. If actual forfeitures differ significantly from the original estimate, stock-based compensation expense and our results of operations could be materially impacted.

For the years ended December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we incurred stock compensation expense of approximately \$0.9 million and \$1.8 million, respectively.

See Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements for information on the impact of our adoption of SFAS 123R and the assumptions used to calculate the fair value of share-based employee compensation.

We account for stock-based compensation issued to non-employees on a fair value basis in accordance with SFAS No. 123 and EITF Issue No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services" and related interpretations.

Business combination accounting

We have acquired a number of businesses during the last several years, and we may acquire additional businesses in the future. Business combination accounting, often referred to as purchase accounting, requires us to determine the fair value of all assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets, and liabilities assumed. The cost of the acquisition is allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in amounts equal to the estimated fair value of each asset and liability, and any remaining acquisition cost is classified as goodwill. This allocation process requires extensive use of estimates and assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows to be generated by the acquired assets. Certain identifiable intangible assets, such as customer lists and covenants not to compete, are amortized based on the pattern in which the economic benefits of the intangible assets are consumed over the intangible asset's estimated useful life. The estimated useful life of amortizable identifiable intangible assets ranges from two to fourteen years. Goodwill is not amortized. Accordingly, the acquisition cost allocation has had, and will continue to have, a significant impact on our current operating results.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth selected consolidated statement of operations data as a percentage of our total sales:

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2003 (unaudited)	2004	2005	2006	2007
Sales	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Cost of sales	77	74	70	67	75
Gross profit	23	26	30	33	25
Research and development expenses	2	1	1	8	7
Selling, marketing, general and administrative expenses	84	100	83	113	95
Operating loss	(62)	(75)	(54)	(88)	(78)
Finance expense, net	(6)	(1)	0	(1)	(28)
Gain on sale of shares in Comverge	—	21	—	—	409
Gain on IPO of Comverge	—	—	—	—	286
Loss on private placement of Paketeria	—	—	—	—	(1)
Other income, net	—	4	—	8	—
Income (loss) from operations before taxes on income	(68)	(51)	(55)	(81)	588
Income tax benefit (expense)	(1)	(1)	1	(4)	8
Income (loss) from operations of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries	(68)	(51)	(54)	(85)	596
Share of losses in Paketeria	—	—	—	(10)	(21)
Share of losses in Comverge	(20)	(37)	(9)	(5)	—
Minority interests, net of tax	3	(3)	(2)	—	—

Income (loss) from continuing operations	(85)	(91)	(65)	(101)	575
Gain (loss) on sale of discontinued operations and contract settlement (in 2006), net of income taxes	—	—	13	(50)	—
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	14	56	20	2	—
Net income (loss)	(71)%	(35)%	(31)%	(149)%	575%

37

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to revenues and profits of our reportable business segments for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007, including the percentages of revenues attributable to such segments. (See Note 20 to our consolidated financial statements for the definitions of our reporting segments.). The column marked "Other" aggregates information relating to miscellaneous operating segments, which may be combined for reporting under applicable accounting principles.

	RT Solutions	SCR	Other	Total
	(in thousands)			
Year ended December 31, 2007:				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 3,472	\$ 797	\$ 1,391	\$ 5,660
Percentage of total revenues from external customers	61%	14%	25%	100%
Gross profit	1,139	116	157	1,412
Segment loss before income taxes	(309)	(140)	(799)	(1,248)
Year ended December 31, 2006:				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 2,797	\$ —	\$ 1,320	\$ 4,117
Percentage of total revenues from external customers	68%	—	32%	100%
Gross profit	1,004	—	350	1,354
Segment loss before income taxes	(155)	—	(296)	(451)
Year ended December 31, 2005:				
Revenues from external customers	\$ 2,873	—	\$ 1,314	\$ 4,187
Percentage of total revenues from external customers	69%	—	31%	100%
Gross profit	834	—	408	1,242
Segment income before income taxes	54	—	47	101

2007 COMPARED TO 2006

Sales. Sales increased by \$1.54 million or 37% to \$5.66 million in 2007 as compared to sales of \$4.12 million in 2006. Of the increase in sales, \$0.8 million was attributable to sales from our newly acquired SCR-Tech subsidiary whose sales were included in our consolidated sales during the period from November 7, 2007 to year end. Without SCR-Tech's sales, our sales increased by \$0.7 million or 18%. The increase in sales is attributable to certain new projects (primarily a new Naval solutions project) in our RT Solutions segment.

Gross profit. Gross profit increased marginally by \$58,000 or 4% to \$1.41 million in 2007 as compared to gross profit of \$1.35 million in 2006. If the gross profit from our newly acquired SCR-Tech subsidiary were excluded, our gross profit would have decreased by \$58,000 or 4% in 2007 as compared to 2006. Gross profit margins also decreased from 33% in 2006 to 25% in 2007, including SCR-Tech's results, and 27% excluding SCR-Tech's 2007 results. Gross profit in our RT Solutions segment increased as a result of increased sales. This increase was offset in part by a moderate decrease in gross margin for the segment (from 36% in 2006 to 33% in 2007) due to the completion in 2006 of a number of relatively high margin projects in the segment. The large decrease in gross margin (from 27% in 2006 to 11% in 2007) in our Other segment was due to a significant decrease in the number of billable hours in our DSIT subsidiary's non-RT Solution activities without a commensurate decrease in labor costs.

Research and development expenses ("R&D"). R&D expenses increased in 2007 by \$91,000 or 28% as compared to 2006. In the first half of 2007, our DSIT subsidiary invested approximately \$175,000 in developing costs for its OncoPro software. Such development efforts ceased in the second half of 2007 when DSIT decided to concentrate its development efforts in its AquaShield™ Diver Detection Sonar.

Selling, marketing, general and administrative expenses (“SMG&A”). Our SMG&A costs increased by \$0.7 million or 16% to \$5.4 million in 2007 as compared to \$4.7 million in 2006. A portion of the increase (approximately \$250,000) was attributable to SMG&A costs from SCR-Tech for the period since our acquisition. We also had significantly increased professional fees due to our increase in corporate activity and Sarbanes-Oxley compliance as well as increased administrative salary costs. These increased costs offset the decrease of \$0.8 million in non-cash stock compensation expense in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Finance expense, net. Finance expense, net, increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 from \$30,000 to \$1.6 million. The increase is entirely attributable to the finance costs associated with our private placement of convertible debt in the first and second quarters of 2007. Of the \$1.6 million of interest expense recorded in 2007, \$1.3 million was non-cash interest expense related to the amortization of beneficial conversion features, debt origination costs and the value of warrants issued in connection with our 2007 private placement of convertible debt.

Income tax benefit, net. We had an income tax benefit of \$445,000 in 2007 due to the recording of deferred tax assets of \$0.9 million as well as a reduction of a \$0.7 million tax provision recorded in a previous year with respect to one of our foreign subsidiaries. Such tax benefits were partially offset by a \$1.1 million current tax provision recorded as a result of our current year’s net income.

Gain on Comverge IPO. In April 2007, Comverge completed its initial public offering. As a result of the Comverge offering, the Company recorded an increase in its investment in Comverge and recorded a non-cash gain of \$16.2 million in “Gain on public offering of Comverge”.

Gain on sale of shares in Comverge. In December 2007, as part of Comverge’s follow-on offering, we sold 1,022,356 of its Comverge shares for approximately \$28.4 million, net of transaction costs and recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$23.1 million.

Loss on private placement of Paketeria. In September 2007, Paketeria completed a private placement of shares. As part of the transaction, the Company converted approximately \$1.2 million of debt to equity in Paketeria. As a result of the Paketeria private placement, the Company recorded a decrease in its investment in Paketeria and recorded a non-cash gain of \$37,000 in “Loss on private placement of Paketeria”.

Share of losses in Paketeria. In 2007, we recognized losses of \$1.2 million representing our approximate 31% share of Paketeria’s losses for the year and amortization expense associated with acquired non-compete and franchise agreements and the change in value of options.

Net income. We had net income of \$32.5 million in 2007 compared with a net loss of \$6.1 million in 2006, due to a non-cash gain on the Comverge IPO of \$16.2 million plus the gain recognized on our sale of Comverge shares of \$23.1 million. Those gains were partially offset by our corporate expenses of approximately \$3.1 million, net finance expenses of approximately \$1.6 million, DSIT losses of \$1.2 million and our share of losses in Paketeria of \$1.2 million.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of December 31, 2007, we had working capital of \$13.8 million, including \$19.6 million in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents. Net cash of \$18.1 million was provided during 2007. Net cash of \$2.6 million was used in operating activities during 2007. The net income for the year ended December 31, 2007 of \$32.5 million was due primarily to the non-cash gain recorded on the IPO of Comverge of \$16.2 million coupled with our subsequent gain on the sale of Comverge shares of \$23.1 million. These income items were partially offset by approximately \$1.8 million of interest expense (of which approximately \$1.3 million was non-cash), \$1.2 million of losses associated with DSIT, \$1.2 million of losses associated with our investment in Paketeria and corporate expenses of \$3.1 million of which \$0.8

million was related to stock option compensation.

39

We used net cash of \$2.6 million in operating activities in 2007. The primary use of cash in operating activities during 2007 was corporate general and administrative expenditures of approximately \$1.8 million and DSIT's use of cash in operating activities of approximately \$1.0 million. Net cash of \$13.9 million provided from investing activities was primarily the result of the \$28.4 million of proceeds from the sale of our Converge stock, offset by cash used for investments in SCR-Tech (\$10.1 million) and other investments (\$2.6 million) and \$1.5 million of restricted cash deposited in an Israeli bank as security for guarantees provided to DSIT. We expect the restricted cash to be released in early 2009. Additional cash of \$6.8 million was provided by financing activities, primarily from the proceeds of our private placement of debenture and warrants, net of related discounts (\$5.8 million, net) and the proceeds from option and warrant exercises (\$1.2 million) offset by debt repayments (\$0.4 million).

In December 2007, we decided to redeem all of our outstanding 10% Convertible Redeemable Subordinated Debentures due March 2011. On January 29, 2008 we completed the redemption of all of our outstanding Debentures. Subsequent to the Company's announcement of redemption, the holders of the debentures elected to convert approximately \$3.0 million into approximately 780,000 shares of our common stock, at a conversion price of \$3.80 per share. We redeemed the remaining \$3.4 million principal amount of debentures in accordance with the notice of redemption.

During the first three months of 2008, we also made our \$1.1 million investment in GridSense, transferred an additional \$1 million to an Israeli bank for additional security for guarantees for DSIT's Naval solutions project for the Israeli MOD and paid \$2.5 million for accrued expenses related to payables in connection with our acquisition of SCR-Tech, tax payments and other accrued expenses.

Acorn's cash balance at the end of March 2008 was approximately \$9.3 million not including the \$2.5 million of restricted cash. We believe that the cash available will provide more than sufficient liquidity to finance Acorn's activities for the foreseeable future and for the next 12 months in particular.

At December 31, 2007, DSIT had approximately \$244,000 in Israeli credit lines available to DSIT by an Israeli bank, all of which was then being used. In addition, the bank has allowed DSIT to utilize an additional \$346,000 of credit which is secured by deposits made by the Acorn. DSIT's credit lines are denominated in NIS and bear interest at a weighted average rate of the Israeli prime rate per annum plus 1.5% (at December 31, 2006, plus 2.2%). The Israeli prime rate fluctuates and as of December 31, 2007 was 5.5% (December 31, 2006, 6.0%). The Company has a floating lien and provided guarantees with respect to DSIT's outstanding lines of credit. At December 31, 2007, DSIT was in technical violation of covenants under its line of credit with its bank. This bank is continuing to provide funding to DSIT despite the technical violation and has not formally notified DSIT of any violation or any contemplated action. In addition, Acorn has agreed to be supportive of DSIT's liquidity requirements over the next 12 months.

At the end of March 2008, DSIT was using its entire lines-of-credit (approximately \$265,000 at current exchange rates) plus an additional \$475,000 that the bank is allowing DSIT to use with our support. With our temporary support, we believe that dsIT will have sufficient liquidity to finance its activities from cash flow from its own operations over the next 12 months. This is based on continued utilization of its lines of credit and expected improved operating results stemming from anticipated growth in sales. However, we can provides no assurance that these measures will be successful and we may need to provide supplementary financing, or sell all or part of that business.

SCR-Tech does not have bank financing and currently finances its operations from its activities. From time to time, we may provide SCR-Tech with temporary financial support as the need arises until it arranges for outside financing. In the first quarter of 2008, we loaned SCR-Tech \$350,000. Such funds have been returned to us.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The table below provides information concerning obligations under certain categories of our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2007.

Cash Payments due to Contractual Obligations	Total	Ending December 31, (in thousands)				2013 and thereafter
		2008	2009-2010	2011-2012		
Long-term debt	\$ 167	\$ 167	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	—
Convertible debentures (1)	6,406	6,406	—	—	—	—
EnerTech (2)	4,600	4,600	—	—	—	—
Operating leases	2,485	774	1,088	623	—	—
Potential severance obligations to Israeli employees (3)	2,397	—	—	—	—	2,397
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 16,055	\$ 11,947	\$ 1,088	\$ 623	\$ 2,397	—

We expect to finance these contractual commitments in 2008 from cash currently on hand and cash generated from operations.

(1) In January 2008, we completed the redemption of all of our outstanding 10% Convertible Redeemable Subordinated Debentures due March 2011. Of the \$6.4 million of Debentures outstanding at December 31, 2007, holders of the debentures elected to convert approximately \$3.0 million into approximately 780,000 shares of our common stock, at a conversion price of \$3.80 per share. We redeemed the remaining \$3.4 million principal amount of debentures in accordance with the notice of redemption.

(2) In August 2007, we committed to invest up to \$5 million over a ten-year period in EnerTech Capital Partners III L.P. (“EnerTech III”), a proposed \$250 million venture capital fund targeting early and expansion stage energy and clean energy technology companies that can enhance the profits of the producers and consumers of energy.

The primary objective of EnerTech III is to provide superior venture returns. In so doing, EnerTech III may also provide investors with venture portfolio diversification, a hedge against rising commodity fuel prices and access to emerging companies that reduce the global dependence on hydrocarbons.

Our obligation under this commitment is presented as a current liability, though it is uncertain as to when actual payments may be made. To date, we have received and funded a capital call of \$400,000 to EnerTech III.

(3) Under Israeli law and labor agreements, DSIT is required to make severance payments to dismissed employees and to employees leaving employment under certain other circumstances. The obligation for severance pay benefits, as determined by the Israeli Severance Pay Law, is based upon length of service and last salary. These obligations are substantially covered by regular deposits with recognized severance pay and pension funds and by the purchase of insurance policies. As of December 31, 2007, we accrued a total of \$2.4 million for potential severance obligations of which approximately \$1.6 million was funded with cash to insurance companies.

Certain Information Concerning Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.

Our DSIT subsidiary has provided various performance, advance and tender guarantees as required in the normal course of its operations. As at December 31, 2007, such guarantees totaled approximately \$1.6 million and were due to expire through 2010. As security for a portion of these guarantees, Acorn has deposited with an Israeli bank approximately \$1.5 million which is shown as restricted cash on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company

expects the restricted cash to be released in early 2009.

41

Impact of Inflation and Currency Fluctuations

A majority of DSIT's sales are denominated in dollars or are denominated in NIS linked to the dollar. Such sales transactions are negotiated in dollars; however, for the convenience of the customer they are settled in NIS. These transaction amounts are linked to the dollar between the date the transactions are entered into until the date they are effected and billed. From the time these transactions are effected and billed through the date of settlement, amounts are primarily unlinked. The majority of our expenses in Israel are in NIS, while a portion is in dollars or dollar-linked NIS.

The dollar cost of our operations in Israel may be adversely affected in the future by a revaluation of the NIS in relation to the dollar. In 2007 the appreciation of the NIS against the dollar was 9.0% and in 2006 the appreciation of the NIS against the dollar was 8.2%. Inflation in Israel was 3.9% in 2007 and -(0.1)% during 2006. During the first two months of 2008, the NIS appreciated an additional 5.5% against the dollar and inflation during this period was -0.2%.

As of December 31, 2007, virtually all of DSIT's monetary assets and liabilities that were not denominated in dollars or dollar-linked NIS were denominated in NIS. In the event that in the future we have material net monetary assets or liabilities that are not denominated in dollar-linked NIS, such net assets or liabilities would be subject to the risk of currency fluctuations.

SUMMARY QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

The following table sets forth certain of our unaudited quarterly consolidated financial information for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007. This information should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the notes thereto.

	2006				2007			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	First Quarter restated	Second Quarter restated	Third Quarter restated	Fourth Quarter
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)							
Sales	\$ 973	\$ 990	\$ 923	\$ 1,231	\$ 1,039	\$ 681	\$ 1,595	\$ 2,345
Cost of sales	745	645	597	776	754	625	1,122	1,747
Gross profit	228	345	326	455	285	56	473	598
Research and development expenses	26	71	137	90	130	103	77	105
Selling, marketing, general and administrative expenses	922	1,044	1,570	1,122	810	1,049	1,153	2,378
Operating loss	(720)	(770)	(1,381)	(757)	(655)	(1,096)	(757)	(1,885)
Finance income (expense), net	14	(20)	(17)	(7)	(26)	(345)	(358)	(856)
Gain on public offering of Comverge	—	—	—	—	—	16,169	—	—
Gain on sale of Comverge shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	23,124
Loss on Paketeria private placement	—	—	—	—	—	—	(37)	—
Other income, net	330	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Income (loss) before taxes on income	(376)	(790)	(1,398)	(764)	(681)	14,728	(1,152)	20,383
Income tax benefit (expense)	(2)	(4)	(2)	(175)	(2)	(3)	(4)	454
Income (loss) from operations of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries	(378)	(794)	(1,400)	(939)	(683)	14,725	(1,156)	20,837
Share of loss in Paketeria	—	—	(251)	(173)	(187)	(201)	(440)	(378)
Share of loss in Comverge	(210)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(588)	(794)	(1,651)	(1,112)	(870)	14,524	(1,596)	20,459
Gain (loss) on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax	(2,298)	—	—	229	—	—	—	—
Net income from discontinued operations, net of tax	78	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Net income (loss)	\$ (2,808)	\$ (794)	\$ (1,651)	\$ (883)	\$ (870)	\$ 14,524	\$ (1,596)	\$ 20,459
Basic net income (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.52	\$ (0.16)	\$ 2.00
Discontinued operations	(0.27)	—	—	0.02	—	—	—	—
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.34)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.52	\$ (0.16)	\$ 2.00
Diluted net income (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.11)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.21	\$ (0.16)	\$ 1.68
Discontinued operations	(0.27)	—	—	0.02	—	—	—	—
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.34)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.21	\$ (0.16)	\$ 1.68
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic	8,160	8,161	8,993	9,444	9,507	9,583	10,063	10,217
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted	8,160	8,161	8,993	9,444	9,507	12,290	10,063	12,789

Results have been restated for those previously reported for the first, second and third quarters of 2007 following:

- 1) the determination that our conversion of debt into equity following the third quarter private placement of Paketeria was effectively one single connected transaction and not separate transactions; and
- 2) the determination that the Beneficial Conversion Feature (“BCF”) in our Convertible Debenture which had initially been one-half expensed at each of the closings in March and April of 2007 should have been expensed over the four-year life of the Debenture along with the remaining balance of the BCF which had initially been expensed over a one-year period.

The effect of the restatement on the our net loss and basic and diluted loss per share for the three, six and nine month periods ended March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2007, are shown below:

	Three months ended March 31, 2007	Six months ended June 30, 2007	Three months ended	Nine months ended September 30, 2007	Three months ended
Net income (loss) as reported	\$ (1,697)	\$ 11,914	\$ 13,611	\$ 10,530	\$ (1,384)
Effect of Paketeria restatement	—	—	—	(570)	(570)
Effect of BCF restatement	827	1,740	913	2,098	358
Net income (loss) - as restated	\$ (870)	\$ 13,654	\$ 14,524	\$ 12,058	\$ (1,596)
Basic net income (loss) per share - as reported	\$ (0.18)	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.08	\$ (0.14)
Effect of restatements	0.09	0.18	0.10	0.16	(0.02)
Basic net income (loss) per share - as restated	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.43	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.24	\$ (0.16)
Diluted net income (loss) per share - as reported	\$ (0.18)	\$ 1.05	\$ 1.11	\$ 1.01	\$ (0.14)
Effect of restatements	0.09	0.14	0.07	0.14	(0.02)
Diluted net income (loss) per share - as restated	\$ (0.09)	\$ 1.19	\$ 1.18	\$ 1.15	\$ (0.16)

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

General

We are required to make certain disclosures regarding our financial instruments, including derivatives, if any.

A financial instrument is defined as cash, evidence of an ownership interest in an entity, or a contract that imposes on one entity a contractual obligation either to deliver or receive cash or another financial instrument to or from a second entity. Examples of financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, trade accounts receivable, loans, investments, trade accounts payable, accrued expenses, options and forward contracts. The disclosures below include, among other matters, the nature and terms of derivative transactions, information about significant concentrations of credit risk, and the fair value of financial assets and liabilities.

Foreign Currency Risk

The translation of the balance sheets of our Israeli operations from NIS into U.S. dollars is sensitive to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These translation gains or losses are recorded either as cumulative translation adjustments ("CTA) within stockholders' equity, or foreign exchange gains or losses in the statement of operations. In 2007 the NIS strengthened in relation to the U.S. dollar by 9.0%. To test the sensitivity of these operations to fluctuations in the exchange rate, the hypothetical change in CTA and foreign exchange gains and losses is calculated by multiplying the net assets of these non-U.S. operations by a 10% change in the currency exchange rates.

As of December 31, 2007, a 10% weakening of the U.S. dollar against the NIS would have decreased stockholders' equity by approximately \$55,000 (arising from a negative CTA adjustment of approximately \$51,000 and net exchange losses of approximately \$4,000). These hypothetical changes are based on increasing the December 31, 2007 exchange rates by 10%.

In addition, \$3.7 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million of our backlog of projects are contracts and orders that are linked to an Israeli Ministry of Defense Index, denominated in Euros and denominated in NIS, respectively.

We do not employ specific strategies, such as the use of derivative instruments or hedging, to manage our foreign currency exchange rate exposures although we are currently examining ways of limiting our foreign currency exposures.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair values of financial instruments included in current assets and current liabilities are estimated to approximate their book values due to the short maturity of such investments. Fair value for long-term debt and long-term deposits are estimated based on the current rates offered to us for debt and deposits with similar terms and remaining maturities. The fair value of our long-term debt and long-term deposits are not materially different from their carrying amounts.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, short and long-term bank deposits, and trade receivables. The counterparty to a majority of our cash equivalent deposits is a major financial institution of high credit standing. We do not believe there is significant risk of non-performance by this counterparty. Approximately 54% of the trade accounts receivable at December 31, 2007 was due from a customer that pays its trade receivables over usual credit periods. Credit risk with respect to the balance of trade receivables is generally diversified due to the number of entities comprising our customer base.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Furnished at the end of this report commencing on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act") as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that these disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date, at a reasonable level of assurance, in ensuring that the information required to be disclosed by our company in the reports we file or submit under the Act is (i) accumulated and communicated to our management (including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer) in a timely manner, and (ii) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f). Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2007. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE****Directors and Executive Officers**

Set forth below is certain information concerning the directors and certain officers of the Company:

Name	Age	Position
George Morgenstern	74	Founder, Chairman of the Board; Chairman of the Board of our DSIT Solutions Ltd. subsidiary (“DSIT”)
John A. Moore	42	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer; and director of our Paketeria AG equity affiliate (“Paketeria”)
Samuel M. Zentman	61	Director and member of our Audit Committee
Richard J. Giacco	55	Director and member of our Audit Committee
Richard Rimer	42	Director
Scott Ungerer	49	Director
Joe Musanti	50	Director and Chairman of our Audit Committee
William J. McMahon	52	Chief Executive Officer and President of CoaLogix
Benny Sela	60	Chief Executive Officer and President of DSIT
Michael Barth	47	Chief Financial Officer of the Company and DSIT.

George Morgenstern, founder of the Company, and one of our directors since 1986, has been Chairman of the Board since June 1993. Mr. Morgenstern served as our President and Chief Executive Officer from our incorporation in 1986 until March 2006. Mr. Morgenstern also serves as Chairman of the Board of DSIT. Mr. Morgenstern served as a member of the Board of Directors of Comverge from October 1997 to March 2006 and as Chairman until April 2003.

John A. Moore has been a director and President and Chief Executive Officer of our Company since March 2006. Mr. Moore also served as a director of Comverge from March 2006 through January 2008. Mr. Moore is the President and founder of Edson Moore Healthcare Ventures, which he founded to acquire \$150 million of drug delivery assets from Elan Pharmaceuticals in 2002. Mr. Moore was Chairman and EVP of ImaRx Therapeutics, a drug and medical therapy development company, from February 2004 to February 2006 and Chairman of Elite Pharmaceuticals from February 2003 to October 2004. He is currently a member of the Board of Directors of Voltaix, Inc., a leading provider of specialty gases to the solar and semiconductor industries. He was CEO of Optimer, Inc. (a research based polymer development company) from inception in 1994 until 2002 and Chairman from inception until its sale in February 2008 to Sterling Capital.

Samuel M. Zentman has been one of our directors since November 2004. Since 1980 Dr. Zentman has been the president and chief executive officer of a privately-held textile firm, where he also served as vice president of finance

and administration from 1978 to 1980. From 1973 to 1978, Dr. Zentman served in various capacities at American Motors Corporation.

Richard J. Giacco was elected to the Board in September 2006. Mr. Giacco has been President of Empower Materials, Inc., a manufacturer of carbon dioxide based thermoplastics, since January 1999. Mr. Giacco is also a Managing Member of Ajedium Film Group, LLC, a manufacturer of thermoplastic films. Mr. Giacco served as Associate General Counsel of Safeguard Scientifics, Inc. from 1984 to 1990. Mr. Giacco presently serves as the Chair of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Ministry of Caring, Inc., and the Chair of the Finance Committee of the Board of Directors of Sacred Heart Village, Inc.

Richard Rimer was elected to the Board in September 2006. From 2001 to 2006, Mr. Rimer was a Partner at Index Ventures, a private investment company. He formerly served on the boards of Direct Medica, a provider of marketing services to pharmaceutical companies, and Addex Pharmaceuticals, a pharmaceutical research and development company. Prior to joining Index Ventures, Mr. Rimer was the co-founder of MediService, the leading direct service pharmacy in Switzerland and had served as a consultant with McKinsey & Co.

Scott Ungerer was elected to the Board in September 2007. Mr. Ungerer has been a power and energy sector investor for over 13 years and is the Managing Member of EnerTech Capital, a pioneer in energy technology venture investing which he founded in 1996. Mr. Ungerer's primary investing activities focus on opportunities in software, advanced materials, and engineered solutions. Specific areas of interest include opportunities in electric power generation, transmission and distribution, power line carrier, natural gas distribution and advanced engine technologies. He currently serves as a director of CURRENT Group, Intellon, and The NanoSteel Company.

Joe Musanti was elected to the Board in September 2007. Mr. Musanti is President of Main Tape Inc., a leading manufacturer of surface protection film and paper products, based in Cranberry, New Jersey. Prior to becoming President, Mr. Musanti served as Vice President Finance of Main Tape. Before that, Mr. Musanti was Vice President Finance of Rheometric Scientific, Inc., a manufacturer of thermal analytical instrumentation products where he held significant domestic and foreign, operational, managerial, financial and accounting positions.

William J. McMahon serves as Chief Executive Officer and President of Coalogix since its creation in November 2007. Mr. McMahon also serves as president of SCR-Tech, LLC, a position he has held since March 2005. Prior to that, Mr. McMahon served as Group Vice President of the Ultrapure Water division of Ionics, Inc. from 2000 to 2004. From 1997 to 2000, he held several executive level positions including Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Pantellos; President and Chief Executive Officer of Stone & Webster Sonat Energy Resources; and President of Stone & Webster Energy Services Inc. From 1978 to 1997, Mr. McMahon held positions at DB Riley, Inc. and at The Babcock & Wilcox Company. Mr. McMahon earned a B.S. degree in Nuclear Engineering from Georgia Institute of Technology and an MBA from Xavier University.

Benny Sela serves as the CEO of DSIT. Previously, he held the position of Executive Vice President and Head of the company's Real Time Division. Prior to this, Mr. Sela was the General Manager of DSI Technologies. Mr. Sela served in the Israeli Air Force reaching the position of Lt. Colonel (Ret.). During his service in the Israeli Air Force, Mr. Sela was head of the Electronic Warfare branch, working on both the F-16 and Lavi projects. He holds a B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering, a Masters Degree in Operations Research from Stanford University, and an MBA.

Michael Barth has been our Chief Financial Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of DSIT since December 2005. For the six years prior, he served as Deputy Chief Financial Officer and Controller of DSIT. Mr. Barth is a Certified Public Accountant in both the U.S. and Israel and has over 20 years of experience in public and private accounting.

Audit Committee; Audit Committee Financial Expert

The three members of the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors (the "Audit Committee") are Joe Musanti, Richard J. Giacco and Samuel M. Zentman. The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee meets the independence criteria prescribed by applicable law and the rules of the SEC for audit committee membership and meets the criteria for audit committee membership required by NASDAQ. Further, each Audit Committee member meets NASDAQ's financial knowledge requirements. Also, our Board has determined that Joe Musanti qualifies as an "audit committee financial expert," as defined in the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”) requires our executive officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. These persons are also required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on our review of such forms or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during 2007 our executive officers and directors complied with the filing requirements of Section 16(a), with the exception of the late filing of the following reports: Richard J. Giacco filed a late Form 4 reporting the grant of 10,000 stock options on December 5, 2007 (Form 4 filed April 11, 2008); George Morgenstern filed a late Form 4 reporting the grant of 10,000 stock options on December 5, 2007 (Form 4 filed December 19, 2007); Richard S. Rimer filed a late Form 4 reporting the vesting of 25,000 performance based stock options on September 20, 2007 (Form 4 filed November 13, 2007) and a late Form 4 reporting the grant of 10,000 stock options on December 5, 2007 (Form 4 filed December 19, 2007); and Samuel M. Zentman filed a late Form 4 reporting the grant of 10,000 stock options on December 5, 2007 (Form 4 filed December 19, 2007).

We have implemented measures to assure timely filing of Section 16(a) reports by our executive officers and directors in the future.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer or controller, and/or persons performing similar functions. Our code of ethics may be accessed on the Internet at <http://www.acornfactor.com/pdfs/code.pdf>.

ITEM 11.**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
John A. Moore President and Chief Executive Officer	2007	275,000	200,000	177,545(1)	8,898(2)	661,443
	2006	131,750	—	675,744(3)	11,669(4)	819,163
William J. McMahon Chief Executive Officer of CoaLogix and SCR-Tech and President of CoaLogix and SCR-Tech (5)	2007	215,000	129,500 (6)	—	23,263(7)	367,763
Benny Sela Chief Executive Officer of DSIT and President of DSIT (8)	2007	137,287	3,800 (9)	30,458(10)	39,331(11)	210,876
Jacob Neuwirth	2007	127,553	—	—	29,545(11)	157,098

Former Chief Executive
Officer of DSIT and
President of DSIT (12)

			10,733			
2006	201,038		(13)	—	55,972(11)	267,743

Michael Barth
Chief Financial Officer
and Chief Financial
Officer of DSIT

2007	99,996	20,000	62,473(14)	21,581(11)	204,050
2006	95,250	—	57,912(15)	18,463(11)	171,625

(1) Represents FAS 123R expense with respect to 400,000 stock options granted as of March 27, 2006 with an exercise price of \$2.60 per share and 60,000 options granted as of February 27, 2007 with an exercise price of \$4.53 per share.

- (2) Consists of health insurance premiums.
- (3) Represents FAS 123R expense with respect to 400,000 stock options granted as of March 27, 2006 with an exercise price of \$2.60 per share.
- (4) Consists of (i) \$4,669 in health insurance premiums and (ii) \$7,000 in director's fees.
- (5) Appointed Chief Executive Officer and President of CoaLogix as of November 7, 2007 upon the acquisition of SCR-Tech by Acorn Energy. The compensation amounts shown in the table are for the full year. The portions of such compensation amounts that were earned subsequent to the acquisition of SCR-Tech by Acorn on November 7, 2007 were: FAS 123R expense with respect to 400,000 stock options granted as of March 27, 2006 with an exercise price of \$2.60 per share.
- (6) Bonus paid in 2007 for performance in 2006.
- (7) Consists of (i) \$15,163 in health insurance premiums and (ii) \$8,100 in 401k contributions.
- (8) Appointed Chief Executive Officer of DSIT and President of DSIT effective July 1, 2007.
- (9) Bonus paid in 2007 for performance in 2006 prior to his appointment as CEO and President of DSIT.
- (10) Represents FAS 123R expense with respect to 25,000 stock options granted as of February 27, 2007 with an exercise price of \$3.50 per share and 20,000 options granted as of December 31, 2004 with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share.
- (11) Consists of contributions to severance and pension funds and automobile fringe benefits. Contributions to severance and pension funds are made on substantially the same basis as those made on behalf of other Israeli executives.
- (12) Resigned as Chief Executive Officer of DSIT and President of DSIT effective June 30, 2007.
- (13) Bonus paid in 2006 for performance in 2005.
- (14) Represents FAS 123R expense with respect to 50,000 stock options granted as of July 21, 2006 with an exercise price of \$3.00, 6,000 stock options granted as of February 27, 2007 with an exercise price of \$4.53 per share, 30,000 stock options granted as of September 20, 2007 with an exercise price of \$3.90 per share and 5,000 options granted as of December 31, 2004 with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share.
- (15) Represents FAS 123R expense with respect to 50,000 stock options granted as of July 21, 2006 with an exercise price of \$3.00 per share and 5,000 options granted as of December 31, 2004 with an exercise price of \$0.91 per share

Employment Arrangements

John A. Moore became our President and Chief Executive Officer in March 2006. Effective October 2006, the Board approved annual compensation for Mr. Moore of \$275,000 with standard benefits. The Board also approved in principle to provide Mr. Moore with a year-end performance bonus to commence in 2007 with performance targets to be established by the Board. To date, no performance targets have been set by the Board. In December 2007, the Board awarded a \$200,000 bonus to Mr. Moore with respect to 2007.

In February 2007, the Board approved a grant to Mr. Moore of an option to purchase 60,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.53 per share, of which 49,000 vested immediately and 11,000 to vest on March 30, 2009 subject to certain accelerated vesting provisions. In 2007, the accelerated vesting provisions were met and all options granted in 2007 were vested by December 31, 2007.

William J. McMahon has served as Chief Executive Officer and President of CoaLogix since the Company's acquisition of SCR-Tech and its related companies on November 7, 2007. Mr. McMahon employment terms are based on employment agreement signed effective January 1, 2007 between Mr. McMahon and SCR-Tech's former parent company. The employment agreement was subsequently assumed and modified on November 7, 2007 in conjunction with the Company's acquisition of SCR-Tech. Mr. McMahon's employment agreement calls for base salary of \$215,000 per year. Mr. McMahon is eligible to receive an annual bonus with a target payment equal to 50% of his base salary based upon criteria developed by the Board of Directors. To date, no performance targets have been set by the Board. Based on previously established bonus targets for 2007, Mr. McMahon earned a bonus of \$96,750 which is to be paid in 2008.

Benny Sela has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of DSIT beginning July 1, 2007. In December 2007, the Board of DSIT approved new employment terms for Mr. Sela retroactive to July 1, 2007. Mr. Sela's current employment agreement provides for a base salary which is denominated in Israeli Consumer Price Index linked NIS, currently equivalent to approximately \$156,000 per annum. In addition to his base salary, Mr. Sela is also entitled to receive a bonus payment equal to 5% of DSIT's net profit before tax. Mr. Sela received a bonus in 2007 of \$3,800 related to his 2006 performance prior to his appointment as President and Chief Executive Officer of DSIT.

Jacob Neuwirth served as President and Chief Executive Officer of DSIT until his resignation effective June 30, 2007 pursuant to an employment agreement dated as of December 16, 2001. Mr. Neuwirth's employment agreement provided for a base salary which was denominated in Israeli Consumer Price Index linked NIS, equivalent to approximately \$184,000 per annum. Under his employment agreement, Mr. Neuwirth was entitled to a loan of up to \$100,000 from DSIT. Such loan principal (\$99,000) and all accrued interest were repaid in November 2007 as part of a Share Purchase Agreement whereby Acorn acquired Mr. Neuwirth's shares in DSIT.

Michael Barth has served as Chief Financial Officer of the Company and Chief Financial Officer of DSIT beginning December 1, 2005. In July 2006, the Board approved an annual salary of \$100,000 for Mr. Barth. In 2007, the Board also approved the payment of a bonus of \$20,000 to Mr. Barth.

In February 2007, the Board approved a grant to Mr. Barth of an option to purchase 6,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of \$4.53 per share, vesting one-third immediately and one-third on each of December 31, 2007 and 2008, and expiring on July 31, 2011. Subsequently, in September 2007, the Board also approved a grant to Mr. Barth of an option to purchase 30,000 shares of our Common Stock at an exercise price of \$3.90 per share, vesting one-third on each of September 19, 2007, 2008 and 2009, and expiring on September 19, 2014.

Outstanding Equity Awards At 2007 Fiscal Year End

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date
John A. Moore	400,000	—	2.60	March 31, 2011
	60,000	—	4.53	March 31, 2011
Benny Sela	20,000	—	1.80	March 31, 2009
	20,000	—	0.91	December 31, 2009
Michael Barth	5,000	—	0.91	

				December 31, 2009
	33,333	16,667(1)	3.00	July 31, 2011
	4,000	2,000(1)	4.53	July 31, 2009
	—	30,000(2)	3.90	September 19, 2014

(1) These options vest on December 31, 2008.

- (2) One-third of these options vest on each of September 19, 2008, 2009 and 2010.

Estimated Payments and Benefits Upon Termination or Change in Control

The amount of compensation and benefits payable to each named executive officer in various termination situations has been estimated in the tables below.

William J. McMahon

Under the terms of the employment agreement with Mr. McMahon, we are obligated to make certain severance payments to him in the event of termination or termination in connection with a change of control (as defined). The Modification Agreement signed with Mr. McMahon upon acquisition of SCR-Tech by the Company provides for the following benefits in the event he is involuntarily terminated, other than for cause, at any time prior to an announcement of a change of control or on or after the date that is 24 months following a change of control or the announcement of a change of control, whichever comes later, then, Mr. McMahon will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to 200% of his then base salary, subsidized COBRA premiums for himself and his eligible dependents for up to a maximum of 12 months, in the case of termination not in connection with a change in control, and 100% company-paid health, dental and life insurance coverage at the same level of coverage as was provided to him and his dependents immediately prior to the termination for up to a maximum of two years from the date of his termination, in the case of termination in connection with change in control.

The following table describes the potential payments and benefits upon termination of employment for Mr. McMahon, the President and Chief Executive Officer of our CoaLogix subsidiary, as if his employment terminated as of December 31, 2007, the last day of our last fiscal year.

Payments and benefits	Circumstances of Termination			
	Voluntary resignation	Termination not for cause	Change of control	Death or disability
Compensation:				
Base salary	—(1)	\$ 430,000(2)	\$ 430,000(4)	—
Benefits and perquisites:				
Perquisites and other personal benefits	—	15,163(3)	294,151(5)	—
Total	\$ —	\$ 445,163	\$ 724,151	—

- (1) Assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary at the time of termination.

(2) The \$430,000 represents 200% of Mr. McMahon's base salary

(3) The \$15,163 represents 12 months of subsidized health insurance payments

(4) The \$430,000 represents 200% of Mr. McMahon's base salary assuming the consideration for change of control to the Company or its stockholders is more than \$10 million.

(5) The \$294,151 represents (i) \$35,151 of 24 months of subsidized health insurance payments and (ii) \$259,000 which is in respect of 200% of Mr. McMahon's target bonus, both assuming the consideration for change of control to the Company or its stockholders is more than \$10 million.

Benny Sela

Under the terms of the employment agreement with Mr. Sela, we are obligated to make certain payments to fund in part our severance obligations to him. We are required to pay Mr. Sela an amount equal to his last month's salary multiplied by the number of years (including partial years) that Mr. Sela has worked for us. This severance obligation, which is customary for executives of Israeli companies, will be reduced by the amount contributed by us to certain Israeli pension and severance funds pursuant to Mr. Sela's employment agreement. In addition, the agreement with Mr. Sela provided for an additional payment equal to 1.5 times his last month's total compensation, payable at the end of his employment with us. As of December 31, 2007, the unfunded portion of these payments was \$115,435. During 2007, in order to provide additional support to DSIT, improve its financial results and help solidify its banking relationships, Mr. Sela waived \$78,000 of amount due to him under his employment agreement.

The following table describes the potential payments and benefits upon termination of employment for Mr. Sela, the President and Chief Executive Officer of our DSIT subsidiary, as if his employment terminated as of December 31, 2007, the last day of our last fiscal year.

Payments and benefits	Circumstances of Termination			
	Voluntary resignation	Termination not for cause	Change of control	Death or disability
Compensation:				
Base salary	\$ 78,000(1)	\$ 117,000(2)	—	\$ 117,000(2)
Benefits and perquisites:				
Perquisites and other personal benefits	\$ 348,755(3)	\$ 357,140(4)	—	\$ 357,140(4)
Total	\$ 426,755	\$ 474,140	—	\$ 474,140

(1) Assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary at the time of termination. The \$78,000 represents a parachute payment of six months salary due to Mr. Sela.

(2) Assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary at the time of termination. The \$117,000 represents a parachute payment of nine months salary due to Mr. Sela.

(3) Includes \$369,072 of severance pay based in accordance with Israeli labor law calculated based on his last month's salary multiplied by the number of years (including partial years) that Mr. Sela worked for us multiplied by 150% in accordance with his contract. Of the \$369,072 due Mr. Sela, we have funded \$253,637 in an insurance fund. Also includes accumulated, but unpaid vacation days (\$35,663), car benefits (\$5,250) and payments for pension and education funds (\$16,770) less \$78,000 of benefits waived in support of DSIT's operations.

(4)

Includes \$369,072 of severance pay based in accordance with Israeli labor law calculated based on his last month's salary multiplied by the number of years (including partial years) that Mr. Sela worked for us multiplied by 150% in accordance with his contract. Of the \$369,072 due Mr. Sela, we have funded \$253,637 in an insurance fund. Also includes accumulated, but unpaid vacation days (\$35,663), car benefits (\$5,250) and payments for pension and education funds (\$25,155) less \$78,000 of benefits waived in support of DSIT's operations.

Michael Barth

Under the terms of the employment agreement with Mr. Barth, we are obligated to make certain payments to fund in part our severance obligations to him. We were required to pay Mr. Barth an amount equal to 120% of his last month's salary multiplied by the number of years (including partial years) that Mr. Barth worked for us. This severance obligation, which is customary for executives of Israeli companies, was to be reduced by the amount contributed by us to certain Israeli pension and severance funds pursuant to Mr. Barth's employment agreement. In addition, the agreement with Mr. Barth provided for an additional payment equal to six times his last month's total compensation, payable at the end of his employment with us. As of December 31, 2007, the unfunded portion of these payments was \$40,020. During 2007, in order to provide additional support to DSIT, improve its financial results and help solidify its banking relationships, Mr. Barth waived \$48,000 of amount due to him under his employment agreement.

The following table describes the potential payments and benefits upon termination of employment for Mr. Barth, our Chief Financial Officer, as if his employment terminated as of December 31, 2007, the last day of our last fiscal year.

Payments and benefits	Circumstances of Termination			
	Voluntary resignation	Termination not for cause	Change of control	Death or disability
Compensation:				
Base salary	\$ 16,667(1)	\$ 50,000(2)	—	\$ 50,000(2)
Benefits and perquisites:				
Perquisites and other personal benefits	\$ 16,002(3)	\$ 66,689(4)	—	\$ 66,689(4)
Total	\$ 32,669	\$ 116,689	\$ —	\$ 116,689

- (1) Assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary at the time of termination. The \$16,667 represents a parachute payment of two months salary due to Mr. Barth.
- (2) Assumes that there is no earned but unpaid base salary at the time of termination. The \$50,000 represents a parachute payment of 6 months salary due to Mr. Barth upon termination without cause or by death or disability.
- (3) Includes \$41,155 of severance pay based on the amounts funded in for Mr. Barth's severance in accordance with Israeli labor law. Also includes accumulated, but unpaid vacation days (\$17,514), car benefits (\$1,750) and payments for pension and education funds (\$3,583) less \$48,000 of benefits waived in support of DSIT's operations..
- (4) Includes \$81,175 of severance pay based in accordance with Israeli labor law calculated based on his last month's salary multiplied by the number of years (including partial years) that Mr.. Barth worked for us multiplied by 120% in accordance with his contract. Of the \$81,175 due Mr. .Barth, we have funded \$41,155 in an insurance fund. Also includes accumulated, but unpaid vacation days (\$17,514), car benefits (\$5,250) and payments for pension and education funds (\$10,750) less \$48,000 of benefits waived in support of DSIT's operations.

Director Compensation in 2007

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Option Awards	All Other Compensation	Total (\$)
	(\$)	(\$) (1)	(\$)	
Scott Ungerer (2)	12,000	9,075	—	21,075
Joe Musanti (3)	12,000	9,464	—	21,464
George Morgenstern	30,000	13,757	65,000(4)	108,757

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Samuel M. Zentman	30,000	47,313	—	77,313
Richard J. Giacco	30,000	29,729	—	59,729
Richard Rimer	29,500	88,916	—	118,416
Kevin Wren (5)	17,500	29,729	—	47,229

(1) Reflects the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 in accordance with FAS 123(R), and thus includes amounts from awards granted in and prior to 2007. All options awarded to directors in 2007 remained outstanding at fiscal year-end.

(2) Was appointed as a director on October 10, 2007.

(3) Was appointed as a director on October 4, 2007.

(4) Mr. Morgenstern received a non-accountable expense allowance of \$65,000 to cover travel and other expenses pursuant to a consulting agreement.

(5) Resigned as a director on October 1, 2007.

Compensation of Directors

Through the end of September 2007, each of our directors was paid an annual cash retainer of \$20,000 payable quarterly in advance, as well as meeting fees for Board and Committee meetings of \$500 per meeting.

Beginning in October 2007, we agreed that each of our non-employee directors would be paid an annual cash retainer of \$40,000 payable quarterly in advance, as well as meeting fees for Board and Committee meetings of \$1,000 per meeting.

Our 2006 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors, which was adopted in February 2007, provides for formula grants to non-employee directors equal to an option to purchase (i) 25,000 shares of our Common Stock upon a member's first appointment or election to the Board of Directors and (ii) 10,000 shares of our Common Stock to each director, other than newly appointed or elected directors, immediately following each annual meeting of stockholders. The option to purchase 25,000 shares of our Common Stock shall vest one-third per year for each of the three years following such date of appointment or election and the option for the purchase of 10,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock shall fully vest one year from the date of grant. Both options shall be granted at an exercise price equal to the closing price on NASDAQ on the day preceding the date of grant and shall be exercisable until the earlier of (a) seven years from the date of grant or (b) 18 months from the date that the director ceases to be a director, officer, employee, or consultant. The plan also provides for non-formal grants at our discretion. The maximum number of shares of our Common Stock to be issued under the plan is 200,000. Our Board of Directors is to administer the plan.

Consulting Agreement with Mr. Morgenstern

Mr. Morgenstern, the Chairman of our Board, has been retained as a consultant by our Company since March 2006 primarily to provide oversight of our Israeli activities. Mr. Morgenstern's consulting agreement provides for the payment of an annual consulting fee of \$1.00 and a non-accountable expense allowance, which had been \$65,000 per year beginning in March 2006. The agreement was amended in March 2008 to (i) extend its term through March 2009, (ii) raise the annual non-accountable expense allowance to \$75,000 and (iii) provide for an additional consulting fee of \$25,000 if certain performance-based criteria are achieved in our Israeli activities.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table and the notes thereto set forth information, as of April 14, 2008 (except as otherwise set forth herein), concerning beneficial ownership (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) of Common Stock by (i) each director of the Company, (ii) certain current or former executive officers (iii) all executive officers and directors as a group, and (iv) each holder of 5% or more of the Company's outstanding shares of Common Stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1) (2)	Number of Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned (2)	Percentage of Common Stock Outstanding (2)
George Morgenstern	482,054(3)	4.2%
John A. Moore	850,877(4)	7.3%
Richard J. Giacco	11,333(5)	*
Joseph Musanti	0	—
Richard Rimer	93,333(6)	*
Scott B. Ungerer	0	—
Samuel M. Zentman	54,954(7)	*
Michael Barth	47,267(8)	*
William J. McMahan	6,500(9)	*
Benny Sela	40,000(10)	*
All executive officers and directors of the Company as a group (10 people)	1,586,318	13.0%
Jacob Neuwirth (11)	0 (12)	—
Austin W. Marx and David M. Greenhouse	871,885(13)	7.8%

* Less than 1%

- (1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address for each of the beneficial owners listed in the table is in care of the Company, 4 West Rockland Road, Montchanin, Delaware 19710.
- (2) Unless otherwise indicated, each person has sole investment and voting power with respect to the shares indicated. For purposes of this table, a person or group of persons is deemed to have "beneficial ownership" of any shares as of a given date which such person has the right to acquire within 60 days after such date. Percentage information is based on the 11,189,391 shares outstanding as of April 14, 2008.
- (3) Consists of 45,115 shares, 387,500 shares underlying currently exercisable options, and 49,439 shares owned by Mr. Morgenstern's wife.
- (4) Consists of 390,877 shares and 460,000 shares underlying currently exercisable options.
- (5) Consists of 3,000 shares and 8,333 shares underlying currently exercisable options.
- (6) Consists of 35,000 shares and 58,333 shares underlying currently exercisable options.

- (7) Consists of 5,297 shares, 48,333 shares underlying currently exercisable options and 1,324 shares underlying currently exercisable warrants.
- (8) Consists of 3,289 shares, 42,333 shares underlying currently exercisable options, and 1,645 shares underlying currently exercisable warrants.
- (9) Consists of 6,500 shares.

- (10) Consists of 40,000 shares underlying currently exercisable options.
- (11) Resigned as Chief Executive Officer of the Company's subsidiary dsIT Solutions Ltd. effective June 30, 2007.
- (12) Based on information available to the Company as of July 26, 2007.
- (13) The information presented with respect to these beneficial owners is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2008. Austin W. Marx and David M. Greenhouse share sole voting and investment power over 168,043 shares of Common Stock owned by Special Situations Cayman Fund, L.P., 58,633 shares of Common Stock owned by Special Situations Fund III, L.P. and 645,209 shares of Common Stock owned by Special Situations Fund III QP, L.P. The business address for Austin W. Marx and David M. Greenhouse is 527 Madison Avenue, Suite 2600, New York, NY 10022.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The table below provides certain information concerning our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007.

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a) (c)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders	424,500	\$ 3.17	0
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Security Holders ⁽¹⁾	295,000	\$ 3.47	415,000
Total	719,500	\$ 3.29	415,000

⁽¹⁾ All grants were made under our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the “Incentive Plan”) and our 2006 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the “Outside Director Plan”). The Incentive Plan allows for grants and awards from time to time to employees, officers, directors, and third party service providers, of cash and stock-based awards, including, stock options, restricted stock, and stock appreciation rights. A total of 400,000 shares of our Common Stock, are available for grant or award under the Incentive Plan. The Incentive Plan is to be administered by either the full Board or an option committee appointed by the Board. Any grants or awards under the Incentive Plan must be made at fair market value and are intended to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The Outside Director Plan provides for formula grants to non-employee directors as follows: a grant of 25,000 shares of Common Stock upon first election or appointment to the Board, with vesting as to the purchase of one-third of the shares on each of the three anniversaries following the date of election or appointment; and a grant of 7,500 shares of Common Stock to each non-employee director immediately following each Annual Meeting of Stockholders, other than to any non-employee director first elected to the Board within the four months immediately preceding and including such meeting, with vesting on the date that is one year from the date of the meeting. In addition to the above formula grants, the non-employee directors are eligible for grants at the discretion of the Board. The terms of any discretionary grants are to be set by the Board. A total of 200,000 shares are available for use in the Outside Director Plan. All grants shall be made at the fair market value of the shares of Common Stock on the date immediately preceding the date of grant. Both the Incentive Plan and the Outside Director Plan shall terminate on February 8, 2017.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS, RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**Transactions With Related Persons**

During 2007, we paid approximately \$654,000 for legal services rendered and reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses to Eilenberg Krause & Paul LLP, a law firm in which Sheldon Krause, a former director and our Secretary and General Counsel, is a member. Such fees related to services rendered by Mr. Krause and other members and employees of his firm, as well as certain special and local counsel retained and supervised by his firm who performed services on our behalf. Mr. Krause is the son-in-law of George Morgenstern, our Chairman of the Board, who up until March 2006, also served as our President and Chief Executive Officer.

In December 2006, John Moore, our CEO loaned us \$300,000 on a note payable for a period of six months. The note provided for interest at the rate of 9.5% during the time it was outstanding. Under the note, we had the right to repay the note at any time prior to maturity and the note would have become immediately due and payable to the extent we raise proceeds through any equity or debt financing transaction or from the sale of shares of Comverge Inc. The note was repaid in full on April 3, 2007 together with \$7,000 of interest.

In August 2006, as part of our initial investment in Paketeria, we also entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with two shareholders of Paketeria—one of whom is our President and Chief Executive Officer and the other is one of our directors. Pursuant to that agreement, we were entitled through August 2007 to purchase the shares of Paketeria equally held by the two Paketeria shareholders for an aggregate purchase price of the US dollar equivalent on the date of purchase of €598,000 (approximately \$776,000 at the then exchange rate), payable in our Common Stock and warrants on the same terms as our July 2006 private placement. The option was initially extended by both shareholders to November 5, 2007 and again by our President and Chief Executive Officer for his share (€299,000 or approximately \$440,000 at December 31, 2007 exchange rates) to March 31, 2008. At the December 31, 2007 exchange rate, the exercise of the option would result in the issuance of approximately 166,000 shares of our Common Stock and warrants exercisable for approximately 41,500 shares of Common Stock. The warrants would have an exercise price of \$2.78 per share and be exercisable for five years from their grant date.

For additional information regarding our transactions with Paketeria, see the discussions under the captions “Recent Developments”, Overview and Trend Information” and “Critical Accounting Policies” in “Item 7 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

For additional information regarding our Stockholders’ Agreement with CoaLogix and EnerTech, see the discussion under the caption “Recent Developments” in “Item 7 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” Scott Ungerer, one of our directors, is a principal of EnerTech.

It is the policy of the Company that before a transaction with a related party will be entered into, it must receive the approval of a majority of the disinterested members of the Board of Directors. In determining whether or not a transaction involves a related party we apply the definition provided under Item 404 of Regulation S-K.

All of the above transactions received the unanimous approval of the disinterested members of our Board of Directors.

Director Independence

Applying the definition of independence provided under the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, with the exception of Mr. Moore and Mr. Morgenstern, all of the members of the Board of Directors are independent. Applying Marketplace Rules, Mr. Moore would not be deemed independent because he is an employee of the Company and Mr. Morgenstern would not be deemed independent because of his prior service as Chief Executive Officer of the Company.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**Accounting Fees**

Aggregate fees billed by our principal accountant during the last two fiscal years are as follows:

	2006	2007
Audit Fees	\$ 94,000	\$ 147,000
Audit- Related Fees	29,000	—
Tax Fees	—	—
Other Fees	36,000	57,000
Total	\$ 159,000	\$ 204,000

Audit Fees were for professional services rendered for the audits of the consolidated financial statements of the Company, statutory and subsidiary audits, assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC, consents, and other assistance required to be performed by our independent accountants.

Other Fees were for services related to reviewing registration statements and due diligence procedures. Other fees in 2006 were for services related to a response letter to the SEC and for reviewing registration statements.

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee's current policy is to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services that are to be performed and fees to be charged by our independent auditor to assure that the provision of these services does not impair the independence of the auditor. The Audit Committee was in compliance with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 regarding the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services and fees by the mandated effective date of May 6, 2003. The Audit Committee pre-approved all audit and non-audit services rendered by our principal accountant in 2007 and 2006.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) List of Financial Statements of the Registrant

The consolidated financial statements of the Registrant and the report thereon of the Registrant's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are included in this Annual Report beginning on page F-1.

Report of Kesselman & Kesselman

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Capital Deficiency) for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)(2) List of Financial Statement Schedules

Financial Statement Schedules:

The financial statement schedule of the Registrant and the report thereon of the Registrant's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm are included in this Annual Report beginning on page F-1.

Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

(a)(3) List of Exhibits

No.

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, with amendments thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-70482) (the "1993 Registration Statement")).
- 3.2 Certificate of Ownership and Merger dated September 15, 2006 effecting the name change to Acorn Factor, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 21, 2006).
- 3.3 Certificate of Ownership and Merger dated December 21, 2007 effecting the name change to Acorn Energy, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 3, 2008).
- 3.4 By-laws of the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-44027) (the "1992 Registration Statement")).

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

- 3.5 Amendments to the By-laws of the Registrant adopted December 27, 1994 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 10, 1995).
- 4.1 Specimen certificate for the Common Stock (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the 1992 Registration Statement).
- 4.2 Warrant to Purchase Common Stock of the Registrant, dated October 12, 1999 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 (the "2000 10-K")).

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

- 4.3 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 11, 2002, by and among the Registrant, Databit, Inc. and Laurus Master Fund, Ltd. (“Laurus”) (including the forms of convertible note and warrant) (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 11, 2002).
- 4.4 Purchase and Security Agreement, dated as of December 4, 2002, made by and between Comverge (“Comverge”) and Laurus (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant’s Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 5, 2002 (the “December 2002 8-K”)).
- 4.5 Convertible Note, dated December 4, 2002, made by and among Comverge, Laurus and, as to Articles III and V only, the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the December 2002 8-K).
- 4.6 Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated December 5, 2002, issued by the Registrant to Laurus (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the December 2002 8-K).
- 4.7 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 4, 2002, by and between the Registrant and Laurus (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the December 2002 8-K).
- 4.8 Form of Warrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006).
- 4.9 Form of Convertible Debenture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006).
- 4.10 Form of Warrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.10 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006).
- #4.11 Promissory Note of Acorn Factor, Inc. in favor of John A. Moore, dated December 31, 2006.
- 4.12 Form of Agent Warrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007).
- 10.1 Employment Agreement between the Registrant and George Morgenstern, dated as of January 1, 1997 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 (the “1997 10-K”)).*
- 10.2 Employment Agreement between the Registrant and Yacov Kaufman, dated as of January 1, 1999 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.22 of the Registrants Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999 (the “1999 10-K”)).*

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

- 10.3 1991 Stock Option Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the 1992 Registration Statement).*
- 10.4 1994 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004(the "2004 10-K")).*
- 10.5 1994 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995 (the "1995 10-K")).*

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

- 10.6 1995 Stock Option Plan for Non-management Employees, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the 2004 10-K).*
- 10.7 Share Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 29, 2001, by and among the Registrant, Decision Systems Israel Ltd., Endan IT Solutions Ltd., Kardan Communications Ltd., Neuwirth Investments Ltd., Jacob Neuwirth (Noy) and Adv. Yossi Avraham, as Trustee for Meir Givon (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 13, 2001).
- 10.8 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 13, 2002, by and among the Registrant, Kardan Communications Ltd. and Adv. Yossi Avraham, as Trustee for Meir Givon (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 13, 2002).
- 10.9 First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of May 17, 2002, by and between the Registrant and George Morgenstern (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001).*
- 10.10 Second Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of March 12, 2002, between the Registrant and George Morgenstern (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2002).*
- 10.11 Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2002, between the Registrant and Yacov Kaufman (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).*
- 10.12 Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 7, 2003, by and among Comverge, the Registrant and the other investors named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the 2002 10-K).
- 10.13 Investors' Rights Agreement, dated as of April 7, 2003, by and among Comverge, the Registrant and the investors and Comverge management named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the 2002 10-K).
- 10.14 Co-Sale and First Refusal Agreement, dated as of April 7, 2003, by and among Comverge, the Registrant and the investors and stockholders named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the 2002 10-K).
- 10.15 Voting Agreement, dated as of April 7, 2003, by and among Comverge, the Registrant and the other investors named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the 2002 10-K).
- 10.16 Letter Agreement, dated as of April 1, 2003, by and between the Registrant and Laurus (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the 2002 10-K).
- 10.17

Employment Agreement dated as of August 19, 2004 and effective as of January 1, 2004 by and between the Registrant and Shlomie Morgenstern (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004).*

- 10.18 Restricted Stock Award Agreement dated as of August 19, 2004, by and between the Registrant and Shlomie Morgenstern (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004).*

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

- 10.19 Stock Option Agreement dated as of August 19, 2004, by and between Shlomie Morgenstern and the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004).*
- 10.20 Second Amended and Restated Co-Sale And First Refusal Agreement dated as of October 26, 2004, by and among Converge, Inc., the Registrant and other persons party thereto (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004).
- 10.21 Third Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated as of December 30, 2004, between the Registrant and George Morgenstern(incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.34 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 (the "2004 10-K").*
- 10.22 Form of Stock Option Agreement to employees under the 1994 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the 2004 10-K).
- 10.23 Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 1994 Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.36 of the 2004 10-K).
- 10.24 Form of Stock Option Agreement under the 1995 Stock Option Plan for Nonmanagement Employees (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.37 of the 2004 10-K).
- 10.25 Stock Option Agreement dated as of December 30, 2004 by and between George Morgenstern and the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.38 of the 2004 10-K).*
- 10.26 Stock Option Agreement dated as of December 30, 2004 by and between Yacov Kaufman and the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of the 2004 10-K).*
- 10.27 Stock Option Agreement dated as of December 30, 2004 by and between Sheldon Krause and the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of the 2004 10-K).*
- 10.28 Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of March 9, 2006 by and between Shlomie Morgenstern, Databit Inc., and the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 16, 2006 (the "2006 8-K")).
- 10.29 Termination and Release Agreement dated as of March 9, 2006 by and between Shlomie Morgenstern and the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit A to Exhibit 10.1 to the 2006 8-K).*
- 10.30 Amendment Agreement to GM Employment Agreement dated as of March 9, 2006 by and between George Morgenstern and the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit B to Exhibit 10.1 to the 2006 8-K).*

- 10.31 Amendment Agreement to Purchaser Option Agreements and Restricted Stock Award Agreement dated as of March 9, 2006 by and between Shlomie Morgenstern and Data System's and Software Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit C to Exhibit 10.1 to the 2006 8-K).*
- 10.32 Amendment Agreement to GM Option Agreements and Restricted Stock Agreement dated as of March 9, 2006 by and between George Morgenstern and Data System's & Software Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit D to Exhibit 10.1 to the 2006 8-K).*

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

- 10.33 Consulting Agreement dated as of March 9, 2006 by and between George Morgenstern and the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit E to Exhibit 10.1 to the 2006 8-K).*
- 10.34 Form of Consent Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit F to Exhibit 10.1 to the 2006 8-K.).
- 10.35 Form of Subscription Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006).
- 10.36 Placement Agent Agreement between First Montauk Securities Corp. and the Registrant dated June 12, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006).
- 10.37 Form of Common Stock Purchase Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 17, 2006 (the "August 2006 8-K").
- 10.38 Form of Note Purchase Agreement with Form of Convertible Promissory Note attached (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the August 2006 8-K).
- 10.39 Form of Stock Purchase Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the August 2006 8-K).
- 10.40 Form of Investors' Rights Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the August 2006 8-K).
- 10.41 Form of Non-Plan Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the August 2006 8-K).*
- 10.42 Acorn Factor, Inc. 2006 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 6, 2007).*
- 10.43 Acorn Factor, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 6, 2007).*
- 10.44 Form of Subscription Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- 10.45 Placement Agent Agreement between First Montauk Securities Corp. and the Registrant dated March 8, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).

#10.46

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement between Acorn Factor, Inc. and Comverge, Inc., dated October 16, 2007.

#10.47 Form of Lock-Up Agreement with Comverge, Inc.

10.48 Loan Agreement by and between Acorn Factor, Inc. and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., dated as of November 1, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 14, 2007).

- 10.49 Stock Purchase Agreement by and among Acorn Factor, Inc., CoaLogix Inc., Catalytica Energy Systems, Inc., and with respect to Article 11 only, Renegy Holdings, Inc., dated as of November 7, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 14, 2007).
- 10.50 Employment Agreement between and among William J. McMahon III, Catalytica Energy Systems, Inc., SCR-Tech LLC and CESI-SCR, Inc., effective as of January 1, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Catalytica Energy Systems, Inc. Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 10, 2007).*
- #10.51 Modification Agreement by and among William J. McMahon III, SCR-Tech, LLC, CESI-SCR, Inc., CoaLogix Inc. and Acorn Factor, Inc., dated as of November 7, 2007.*
- 10.52 Lease Agreement dated December 16, 2002 and First Amendment to Lease Agreement dated February 18, 2004 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Catalytica Energy Systems, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- 10.53 Second Amendment to Lease Agreement dated December 29, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.74 to the Catalytica Energy Systems, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics of the Registrant (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 14 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 2, 2007).
- #21.1 List of subsidiaries.
- #23.1 Consent of Kesselman & Kesselman CPA.
- #31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

* This exhibit includes a management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement in which one or more directors or executive officers of the Registrant participate.

This exhibit is filed or furnished herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the Township of Montchanin, State of Delaware, on April 15, 2008.

Acorn Energy, Inc

BY: /s/ John A. Moore

John A. Moore
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant, in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ John A. Moore _____ John A. Moore	President; Chief Executive Officer; and Director	April 15, 2008
/s/ George Morgenstern _____ George Morgenstern	Chairman of the Board and Director	April 15, 2008
/s/ Michael Barth _____ Michael Barth	Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	April 15, 2008
/s/ Samuel M. Zentman _____ Samuel M. Zentman	Director	April 15, 2008
/s/ Richard J. Giacco _____ Richard J. Giacco	Director	April 15, 2008
/s/ Richard Rimer _____ Richard Rimer	Director	April 15, 2008

/s/ Joe Musanti

Joe Musanti

Director

April 15,
2008

/s/ Scott Ungerer

Scott Ungerer

Director

April 15,
2008

67

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS ACORN FACTOR, INC.)
AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS OF ACORN ENERGY, INC.:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Capital Deficiency) for the years ended December 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005	F-5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.	F-7

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Acorn Energy, Inc. (formerly known as Acorn Factor, Inc.)

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Acorn Energy, Inc. (formerly known as Acorn Factor, Inc.) (the "Company") and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity (capital deficiency) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's Board of Directors and management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the Company's Board of Directors and management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and the results of their operations and of their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for share based payments in 2006 and the manner in which it accounts for uncertain tax positions in 2007.

April 15, 2008

/s/ Kesselman & Kesselman
Certified Public Accountants
A member of PricewaterhouseCoopers International Limited
Tel-Aviv, Israel

F-1

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS ACORN FACTOR, INC.)
AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AND PER SHARE DATA)

	As of December 31,	
	2006	2007
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,521	\$ 19,644
Accounts receivable, net	1,373	1,775
Unbilled work-in-process	393	1,784
Inventory	—	119
Other current assets	316	1,391
Total current assets	3,603	24,713
Property and equipment, net	445	1,335
Available for sale - Investment in Comverge	—	55,538
Investment in Paketeria	1,212	1,439
Other investments	—	668
Funds in respect of employee termination benefits	1,568	1,607
Restricted cash	—	1,517
Other intangible assets, net	48	5,987
Goodwill	97	3,945
Other assets	285	218
Total assets	\$ 7,258	\$ 96,967
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (CAPITAL DEFICIENCY)		
Current liabilities:		
Short-term bank credit	\$ 462	\$ 590
Current maturities of long-term debt and Note payable - related party	326	171
Convertible debt, net	—	4,237
Accounts payable	378	910
Accrued payroll, payroll taxes and social benefits	478	1,118
Other current liabilities	1,700	3,844
Total current liabilities	3,344	10,870
Long-term liabilities:		
Investment in Comverge, net	1,824	—
Liability for employee termination benefits	2,545	2,397
Long-term debt	—	12
Deferred income taxes	—	16,038
Other liabilities	6	325
Total long-term liabilities	4,375	18,772
Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)		
Shareholders' equity (capital deficiency):		
Common stock - \$0.01 par value per share:		
Authorized - 20,000,000 shares; Issued -10,276,030 and 11,134,795 shares at December 31, 2006 and 2007	102	111
Additional paid-in capital	43,987	49,306
Warrants	888	1,330

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Accumulated deficit	(41,904)	(9,692)
Treasury stock, at cost - 777,371 shares for December 31, 2006 and 2007	(3,592)	(3,592)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	58	29,862
Total shareholders' equity (capital deficiency)	(461)	67,325
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (capital deficiency)	\$ 7,258	\$ 96,967

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-2

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS ACORN FACTOR, INC.)
AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE DATA)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2005	2006	2007
Sales:			
Projects	\$ 3,204	\$ 3,186	\$ 4,061
Catalytic regeneration services	—	—	797
Services	954	863	730
Other	29	68	72
Total sales	4,187	4,117	5,660
Cost of sales:			
Projects	2,117	2,022	2,891
Catalytic regeneration services	—	—	681
Services	828	741	676
Other	—	—	—
Total cost of sales	2,945	2,763	4,248
Gross profit	1,242	1,354	1,412
Operating expenses:			
Research and development expenses, net	53	324	415
Selling, marketing, general and administrative expenses	3,464	4,658	5,390
Total operating expenses	3,517	4,982	5,805
Operating loss	(2,275)	(3,628)	(4,393)
Finance expense, net	(12)	(30)	(1,585)
Gain on sale of shares in Comverge	—	—	23,124
Gain on Public offering of Comverge	—	—	16,169
Loss on private placement in Paketeria	—	—	(37)
Other income - settlement of a claim	—	330	—
Income (loss) before taxes on income	(2,287)	(3,328)	33,278
Income tax benefit (expense)	37	(183)	445
Income (loss) from operations of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries	(2,250)	(3,511)	33,723
Share in losses of Paketeria	—	(424)	(1,206)
Share in losses of Comverge	(380)	(210)	—
Minority interests	(73)	—	—
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(2,703)	(4,145)	32,517
Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax	541	—	—
Loss on sale of discontinued operations and contract settlement, net of tax	—	(2,069)	—
Net income from discontinued operations, net of tax	844	78	—
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,318)	\$ (6,136)	\$ 32,517
Basic net income (loss) per share:			
Income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$ (0.26)	\$ (0.48)	\$ 3.30
Discontinued operations	0.10	(0.23)	—
Net income (loss) per share	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.71)	\$ 3.30
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic	8,117	8,689	9,848

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Diluted net income (loss) per share:

Income (loss) per share from continuing operations	\$	(0.26)	\$	(0.48)	\$	2.80
Discontinued operations		0.10		(0.23)		—
Net income (loss) per share	\$	(0.16)	\$	(0.71)	\$	2.80
Weighted average number of shares outstanding -diluted		8,117		8,689		12,177

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS ACORN FACTOR, INC.)
AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(IN THOUSANDS)

	Number of Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Warrants	Accumulated Deficit	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balances as of December 31, 2004	8,937	\$ 88	\$ 39,674	\$ 461	\$ (34,290)	\$ (3,791)	(17)	2,125
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(1,318)	—	—	(1,318)
Differences from translation of subsidiaries' financial statements associated with sale of dsIT Technologies	—	—	—	—	—	—	22	22
Differences from translation of subsidiaries' financial statements	—	—	—	—	—	—	(32)	(32)
Comprehensive loss								(1,328)
Amortization of stock-based deferred compensation	—	—	23	—	—	—	—	23
Expiration of warrants	—	—	278	(278)	—	—	—	—
Balances as of December 31, 2005	8,937	88	39,975	183	(35,608)	(3,791)	(27)	820
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(6,136)	—	—	(6,136)
Differences from translation of subsidiaries' financial statements and investment in Paketeria	—	—	—	—	—	—	85	85
Comprehensive loss								(6,051)
Private placements of common stock and warrants, net of issuance costs of \$715	1,216	12	1,810	705	—	—	—	2,527
Warrants issued with respect to financial advisory services	—	—	—	121	—	—	—	121
Cancellation of warrants	—	—	121	(121)	—	—	—	—
Exercise of options	123	2	244	—	(160)	199	—	285
Stock option and reclassification of	—	—	1,837	—	—	—	—	1,837

stock-based deferred compensation									
Balances as of December 31, 2006	10,276	102	43,987	888	(41,904)	(3,592)	58	(461)	
Net income	—	—	—	—	32,517	—	—	32,517	
Unrealized gain on investment in Comverge, net of deferred taxes	—	—	—	—	—	—	29,555	29,555	
Differences from translation of subsidiaries' financial statements and investment in Paketeria	—	—	—	—	—	—	249	249	
Comprehensive income								62,321	
Adjustment, as of January 1, 2007, resulting from first-time adoption of FIN 48 adjustment	—	—	—	—	(305)	—	—	(305)	
Warrants issued to placement agent with respect to private placement of Debentures	—	—	—	213	—	—	—	213	
Warrants issued with respect to private placement of Debentures	—	—	—	531	—	—	—	531	
Beneficial conversion feature with respect to private placement of Debentures	—	—	2,570	—	—	—	—	2,570	
Stock option compensation	—	—	894	—	—	—	—	894	
Exercise of options and warrants	733	8	1,445	(302)	—	—	—	1,151	
Conversion of Debentures	126	1	479	—	—	—	—	480	
Transaction costs of previous private placements	—	—	(69)	—	—	—	—	(69)	
Balances as of December 31, 2007	11,135 \$	111 \$	49,306 \$	1,330 \$	(9,692)\$	(3,592)\$	29,862 \$	67,325	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS ACORN FACTOR, INC.)
AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(IN THOUSANDS)

	2005	2006	2007
Cash flows used in operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,318)	\$ (6,136)	\$ 32,517
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities (see Schedule A)	(431)	4,548	(35,100)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,749)	(1,588)	(2,583)
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities:			
Maturity of short-term bank deposits	72	—	—
Acquisitions of property and equipment	(240)	(149)	(228)
Acquisitions of goodwill and intangibles	(36)	—	—
Purchase of additional share in DSIT	—	—	(740)
Proceeds from the sale of Comverge shares	—	—	28,388
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment	152	—	—
Restricted cash (under agreement to a related party)	(1,350)	1,350	—
Restricted cash	(3)	247	(1,517)
Investment in Comverge	—	(210)	—
Investment in Paketeria	—	(1,338)	—
Loans to and costs of acquisition of note due from Paketeria	—	—	(1,189)
Investment in Local Power Inc.	—	—	(268)
Investment in Eneritech	—	—	(400)
Amounts funded for employee termination benefits	(558)	(671)	(343)
Utilization of employee termination benefits	687	544	304
Sale of dsIT Technologies (see Schedule C)	3,431	—	—
Sale of Databit (see Schedule D)	—	(974)	—
Acquisition of SCR-Tech (see Schedule E)	—	—	(10,112)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,155	(1,201)	13,895
Cash flows provided by (used in) financing activities:			
Proceeds from employee stock option and warrant exercises	—	285	1,151
Proceeds (expenses) from private placement of common stock and warrants, net of issuance costs	—	2,631	(137)
Proceeds from note payable to a related party	425	300	—
Repayment of note payable to a related party	(425)	—	(300)
Proceeds from loan for acquisition of SCR-Tech	—	—	14,000
Repayment of loan for acquisition of SCR-Tech	—	—	(14,000)
Short-term bank credit, net	182	332	128
Proceeds from borrowings of long-term debt	90	—	276
Proceeds from convertible debentures with warrants net of transaction costs of \$1,046	—	—	5,840
Repayments of long-term debt	(450)	(151)	(147)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(178)	3,397	6,811
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	228	608	18,123

Edgar Filing: ACORN ENERGY, INC. - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		685		913		1,521
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$	913	\$	1,521	\$	19,644
Supplemental cash flow information:						
Cash paid during the year for:						
Interest	\$	144	\$	25	\$	547
Income taxes	\$	102	\$	19	\$	44

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-5

ACORN ENERGY, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS ACORN FACTOR, INC.)
AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULES TO CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(IN THOUSANDS)

	2005	2006	2007
A. Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization.	\$ 254	\$ 204	\$ 300
Change in minority interests	73	—	—
Share in losses of Comverge	380	210	—
Share in losses of Paketeria	—	159	1,157
Change in deferred taxes	(81)	—	(893)
Impairment of goodwill and intangibles	—	40	112
Increase (decrease) in liability for employee termination benefits	(277)	281	(148)
Gain on sale of shares in Comverge	—	—	(23,124)
Gain on public offering of investment in Comverge	—	—	(16,169)
Loss on private placement of Paketeria	—	—	37
Gain on sale of dsIT Technologies Ltd.	(541)	—	—
Loss on sale of Databit and contract settlement.	—	2,298	—
Gain on sale of property and equipment, net	(6)	—	—
Stock and stock option compensation	23	1,522	894
Value of warrants issued for services provided	—	121	—
Amortization of beneficial conversion feature, debt origination costs and value of warrants in private placement of Debentures	—	—	1,297
Other	(71)	7	(6)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Decrease in accounts receivable, unbilled work-in-process, other current assets and other assets	1,210	350	107
Decrease (increase) in inventory	36	(18)	20
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, other current liabilities and other liabilities	(1,431)	(626)	1,316
	\$ (431)	\$ 4,548	\$ (35,100)
B. Non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Increase in goodwill from sale of dsIT Technologies	\$ 79		
Accrued expenses in respect of private placement of common stock		\$ 104	
Unrealized gain from Comverge shares, net of deferred taxes			\$ 29,555
Conversion of loans and notes receivable and accrued interest due from Paketeria to investment in Paketeria			\$ 1,154
Conversion of convertible debentures to common stock			\$ 479
Adjustment of retained earnings and other current liabilities with respect to the adoption of FIN 48			\$ 305

C. Assets/liabilities disposed of in the sale of dsIT

Technologies:

Current assets	\$	1,152
Non-current assets		1,114
Goodwill disposed		4,358
Differences from translation of dsIT Technologies financial statements		22
Goodwill acquired		(79)
Short-term debt		(781)
Current liabilities		(256)
Other liabilities		(1,461)
Minority interests		(1,552)
Gain on sale of dsIT Technologies Ltd		541
Deferred taxes on gain on sale of dsIT Technologies Ltd.		373
	\$	3,431

D. Assets/liabilities disposed of in the sale of Databit Inc. and contract settlement:

Current assets	\$	2,815
Non-current assets		40
Debt		(20)
Current liabilities		(1,816)
Stock compensation costs		315
Other		(10)
Loss on the sale of Databit and contract settlement.		(2,298)
	\$	(974)

E. Assets/liabilities acquired in the acquisition of SCR-Tech:

Current assets	\$	(2,120)
Non-current assets		(845)
Intangibles		(5,511)
Goodwill		(3,714)
Debt		12
Current liabilities		1,110
Deferred taxes		29
		(11,039)
Less unpaid transaction costs		927