

UMB FINANCIAL CORP
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2006
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(MARK ONE)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 0-4887

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Missouri
(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

1010 Grand Boulevard, Kansas City, Missouri
(Address of principal executive offices)

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code): (816) 860-7000

43-0903811
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

64106
(ZIP Code)

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date.

As of July 31, 2006, UMB Financial Corporation had 42,706,360 shares of common stock outstanding.

Table of Contents

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q

INDEX

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 3 |
| <u>ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | 3 |
| <u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</u> | 3 |
| <u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME</u> | 4 |
| <u>STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN CONSOLIDATED SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</u> | 5 |
| <u>CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS</u> | 6 |
| <u>NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u> | 7 |
| <u>ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u> | 18 |
| <u>ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u> | 35 |
| <u>ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u> | 40 |
| <u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 41 |
| <u>ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u> | 41 |
| <u>ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS</u> | 41 |
| <u>ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u> | 41 |
| <u>ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u> | 41 |
| <u>ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITIES HOLDERS</u> | 42 |
| <u>ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 42 |
| <u>ITEM 6. EXHIBITS</u> | 43 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 44 |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT | 45 |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT | 46 |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF | |
| THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 | 47 |
| CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF | |
| THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 | 48 |

Table of Contents**PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS***(unaudited, dollars in thousands, except per share data)*

| | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| Loans | \$ 3,589,651 | \$ 3,373,944 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (42,120) | (40,825) |
| Net loans | 3,547,531 | 3,333,119 |
| Loans held for sale | 20,061 | 19,460 |
| Investment Securities: | | |
| Available for sale | 2,511,014 | 3,323,198 |
| Held to maturity (market value of \$52,158 and \$67,365, respectively) | 52,046 | 67,037 |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock and other | 14,903 | 15,094 |
| Trading securities | 63,785 | 58,488 |
| Total investment securities | 2,641,748 | 3,463,817 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 560,422 | 426,578 |
| Cash and due from banks | 447,515 | 599,580 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net | 236,027 | 236,038 |
| Accrued income | 52,193 | 51,848 |
| Goodwill on purchased affiliates | 60,731 | 59,727 |
| Other intangibles | 6,487 | 4,078 |
| Other assets | 60,117 | 53,544 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,632,832 | \$ 8,247,789 |
| <u>LIABILITIES</u> | | |
| Deposits: | | |
| Noninterest-bearing demand | \$ 2,135,163 | \$ 2,051,922 |
| Interest-bearing demand and savings | 2,443,546 | 2,654,637 |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 | 778,260 | 713,249 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 or more | 394,867 | 501,014 |
| Total deposits | 5,751,836 | 5,920,822 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 934,109 | 1,360,942 |
| Short-term debt | 23,598 | 35,091 |
| Long-term debt | 37,342 | 38,471 |
| Accrued expenses and taxes | 39,151 | 39,247 |
| Other liabilities | 23,860 | 19,753 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Total liabilities | 6,809,896 | 7,414,326 |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, \$1.00 par value; authorized 80,000,000 shares, 55,056,730 issued, 42,684,847 and 42,981,122 shares outstanding, respectively | 55,057 | 27,528 |
| Capital surplus | 698,485 | 726,204 |
| Retained earnings | 359,868 | 342,675 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (37,590) | (21,550) |
| Treasury stock, 12,371,883 and 12,075,608 shares, at cost, respectively | (252,884) | (241,394) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 822,936 | 833,463 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 7,632,832 | \$ 8,247,789 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME***(unaudited, dollars in thousands, except per share data)*

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| <u>INTEREST INCOME</u> | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 57,541 | \$ 42,923 | \$ 110,774 | \$ 80,645 |
| Securities: | | | | |
| Taxable interest | 19,180 | 14,935 | 40,932 | 31,598 |
| Tax-exempt interest | 5,841 | 4,756 | 11,525 | 9,128 |
| Total securities income | 25,021 | 19,691 | 52,457 | 40,726 |
| Federal funds and resell agreements | 5,039 | 1,360 | 10,127 | 2,676 |
| Trading securities and other | 734 | 633 | 1,449 | 1,153 |
| Total interest income | 88,335 | 64,607 | 174,807 | 125,200 |
| <u>INTEREST EXPENSE</u> | | | | |
| Deposits | 22,830 | 10,520 | 43,592 | 20,248 |
| Federal funds and repurchase agreements | 11,409 | 6,345 | 24,243 | 12,576 |
| Short-term debt | 171 | 105 | 324 | 174 |
| Long-term debt | 416 | 516 | 894 | 791 |
| Total interest expense | 34,826 | 17,486 | 69,053 | 33,789 |
| Net interest income | 53,509 | 47,121 | 105,754 | 91,411 |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,075 | 750 | 6,234 | 1,500 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 50,434 | 46,371 | 99,520 | 89,911 |
| <u>NONINTEREST INCOME</u> | | | | |
| Trust and securities processing | 24,990 | 19,968 | 47,659 | 40,466 |
| Trading and investment banking | 4,567 | 4,739 | 8,680 | 9,268 |
| Service charges on deposits | 19,002 | 21,517 | 36,609 | 39,494 |
| Insurance fees and commissions | 1,101 | 915 | 2,093 | 1,743 |
| Brokerage fees | 1,600 | 1,510 | 3,117 | 3,060 |
| Bankcard fees | 9,860 | 8,492 | 18,806 | 16,114 |
| Gain on sale of other assets, net | 574 | 1,209 | 596 | 3,801 |
| Gain on sale of employee benefit accounts | | | | 3,600 |
| Gain (loss) on sales of securities available for sale, net | 75 | (34) | 84 | (34) |
| Other | 3,940 | 4,218 | 7,885 | 8,719 |
| Total noninterest income | 65,709 | 62,534 | 125,529 | 126,231 |
| <u>NONINTEREST EXPENSE</u> | | | | |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 47,796 | 48,349 | 95,034 | 100,410 |
| Occupancy, net | 6,802 | 6,471 | 13,356 | 12,888 |
| Equipment | 12,348 | 11,252 | 23,463 | 21,728 |
| Supplies and services | 5,698 | 5,681 | 11,473 | 11,230 |
| Marketing and business development | 4,022 | 3,607 | 7,644 | 6,568 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Processing fees | 7,245 | 5,524 | 13,555 | 11,087 |
| Legal and consulting | 2,007 | 1,895 | 3,656 | 3,723 |
| Bankcard | 3,519 | 3,090 | 6,810 | 5,532 |
| Amortization of other intangibles | 286 | 186 | 504 | 371 |
| Other | 5,668 | 3,846 | 10,928 | 7,689 |
| Total noninterest expense | 95,391 | 89,901 | 186,423 | 181,226 |
| Income before income taxes | 20,752 | 19,004 | 38,626 | 34,916 |
| Income tax provision | 5,893 | 5,391 | 10,526 | 9,724 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 14,859 | \$ 13,613 | \$ 28,100 | \$ 25,192 |
| <u>PER SHARE DATA</u> | | | | |
| Net income - basic | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.58 |
| Net income - diluted | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.65 | 0.58 |
| Dividends | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 42,677,639 | 43,185,187 | 42,748,188 | 43,225,203 |
| See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. | | | | |

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN CONSOLIDATED SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY***(unaudited, dollars in thousands)*

| | Accumulated | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Other | | | | | |
| | Common Stock | Capital Surplus | Retained Earnings | Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Stock | Total |
| Balance - January 1, 2005 | \$ 27,528 | \$ 726,595 | \$ 305,986 | \$ (10,619) | \$ (230,308) | \$ 819,182 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 25,192 | | | 25,192 |
| Change in unrealized losses on securities | | | | (1,092) | | (1,092) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 24,100 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.22 per share) | | | (9,511) | | | (9,511) |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | | (7,480) | (7,480) |
| Issuance of stock awards | | (1,223) | | | 1,223 | |
| Recognition of equity based compensation | | 148 | | | | 148 |
| Sale of treasury stock | | 83 | | | 82 | 165 |
| Exercise of stock options | | 79 | | | 275 | 354 |
| Balance - June 30, 2005 | \$ 27,528 | \$ 725,682 | \$ 321,667 | \$ (11,711) | \$ (236,208) | \$ 826,958 |
| Balance - January 1, 2006 | \$ 27,528 | \$ 726,204 | \$ 342,675 | \$ (21,550) | \$ (241,394) | \$ 833,463 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | |
| Net income | | | 28,100 | | | 28,100 |
| Change in unrealized losses on securities | | | | (16,040) | | (16,040) |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 12,060 |
| Cash dividends (\$0.25 per share) | | | (10,907) | | | (10,907) |
| Stock split two for one | 27,529 | (27,529) | | | | |
| Purchase of treasury stock | | | | | (12,616) | (12,616) |
| Issuance of stock awards | | (758) | | | 908 | 150 |
| Recognition of equity based compensation | | 428 | | | | 428 |
| Sale of treasury stock | | 117 | | | 85 | 202 |
| Exercise of stock options | | 23 | | | 133 | 156 |
| Balance - June 30, 2006 | \$ 55,057 | \$ 698,485 | \$ 359,868 | \$ (37,590) | \$ (252,884) | \$ 822,936 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS***(unaudited, dollars in thousands)*

| | Six Months Ended | |
|---|------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 28,100 | \$ 25,192 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 6,234 | 1,500 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 16,376 | 15,501 |
| Deferred income taxes | 119 | 2,808 |
| Net increase in trading securities and other earning assets | (5,297) | (4,084) |
| (Gains)/loss on sales of securities available for sale | (84) | 34 |
| Amortization of securities premiums, net of discount accretion | (5) | 8,532 |
| Net (increase) decrease in loans held for sale | (601) | 2,176 |
| Issuance of stock awards | 150 | |
| Recognition of stock based compensation | 428 | 148 |
| Gains on sales of other assets, net | (596) | (3,801) |
| Changes in: | | |
| Accrued income | (345) | (2,562) |
| Accrued expenses and taxes | (96) | 11,039 |
| Other assets and liabilities, net | 7,334 | (5,180) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 51,717 | 51,303 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities held to maturity | 46,846 | 48,086 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale | 141 | |
| Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale | 7,810,370 | 7,986,081 |
| Purchases of securities held to maturity | (31,713) | (6,827) |
| Purchases of securities available for sale | (7,023,585) | (7,008,524) |
| Net increase in loans | (220,646) | (332,228) |
| Net (increase)/decrease in fed funds and resell agreements | (133,844) | 9,819 |
| Purchases of bank premises and equipment | (16,836) | (16,809) |
| Cash received for branch deposits, net of cash paid | 19,078 | (843) |
| Net change in unsettled securities transactions | (719) | (251) |
| Proceeds from sales of bank premises and equipment | 1,543 | 5,330 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 450,635 | 683,834 |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Net decrease in demand and savings deposits | (135,700) | (161,513) |
| Net decrease in time deposits | (56,281) | (161,027) |
| Net decrease in fed funds/repurchase agreements | (426,833) | (526,518) |
| Net decrease in short-term debt | (11,493) | (16,158) |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | | 19,000 |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (1,129) | (1,513) |
| Cash dividends | (10,723) | (9,520) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options and sales of treasury shares | 358 | 519 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Purchases of treasury stock | (12,616) | (7,480) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (654,417) | (864,210) |
| Decrease in cash and due from banks | (152,065) | (129,073) |
| Cash and due from banks at beginning of period | 599,580 | 497,427 |
| Cash and due from banks at end of period | \$ 447,515 | \$ 368,354 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

1. Financial Statement Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of UMB Financial Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively, the Company) after elimination of all material intercompany transactions. In the opinion of management of the Company, all adjustments, which were of a normal recurring nature and necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations, have been made. The results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented may not be indicative of the results of the full year. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

On May 30, 2006, the Company's common stock was split 2-for-1 in the form of a stock dividend. Stockholders received one additional share for every share owned. The Board of Directors declared the stock split April 25, 2006 and the record date was May 16, 2006. All share and per share amounts (including stock options and restricted stock) in the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying notes were restated to reflect the split.

2. Summary of Accounting Policies

The Company is a multi-bank financial holding company, which offers a wide range of banking and other financial services to its customers through its branches and offices in the states of Missouri, Kansas, Colorado, Illinois, Oklahoma, Arizona, Nebraska and Wisconsin. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions also impact reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. A summary of the significant accounting policies to assist the reader in understanding the financial presentation are listed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Per Share Data

Basic income per share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted quarterly per share data includes the diluted effect of 205,566 and 174,018 shares issuable under options granted by the Company at June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Diluted year-to-date income per share includes the diluted effect of 208,919 and 173,839 shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options granted by the Company at June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Options issued under employee benefit plans to purchase 245,996 and 187,672 shares of common stock were outstanding at June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted EPS because the options were anti-dilutive.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

Effective with the first quarter of 2006, the Company has adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (R) "Share Based Payment". SFAS No. 123 (R) establishes accounting standards for all transactions in which the Company exchanges its equity instruments for goods and services. SFAS No. 123 (R) focuses primarily on accounting for transactions with employees, and carries forward without change prior guidance for share-based payments for transactions with non-employees.

SFAS No. 123 (R) eliminates the intrinsic value measurement objective in APB Opinion No. 25 and generally requires the Company to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the fair value of the award on the date of the grant. The standard requires the grant date fair value to be estimated using either an option-pricing model which is consistent with the terms of the award or a market observed price, if such a price exists. Such cost must generally be recognized over the vesting period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The standard also requires the Company to estimate the number of instruments that will ultimately be issued, rather than accounting for forfeitures as they occur.

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

The Company uses the Black-Scholes pricing model to determine the fair value of its options. The assumptions utilized in the model for stock based awards granted prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) are provided in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

The modified prospective method of adoption was chosen by the Company. This method requires the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (R) to be generally applied to share based awards granted after the adoption of the new standard. The financial statements for periods prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R) are not changed under this method.

As noted above, prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123 (R), forfeitures were recognized as they actually occurred. Under the new standard an estimate of forfeitures is made for all share based compensation outstanding and applied to compensation expense starting at the initial grant date. Forfeiture adjustments are required over the term of each grant's service period to account for changes in the Company's actual forfeitures of share based instruments. This transition adjustment was not material to the Company's first quarter earnings.

The Company recognized \$0.5 million in expense related to outstanding stock options and \$0.3 million in expense related to outstanding restricted stock grants for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The Company has \$3.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the outstanding options and \$3.7 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding restricted stock grants at June 30, 2006.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share, if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to stock-based employee compensation in 2005.

| <i>(dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | Six Months Ended |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, | June 30, |
| | 2005 | 2005 |
| Net income, as reported | \$ 13,613 | \$ 25,192 |
| Stock based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of tax | 94 | 68 |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects | (335) | (494) |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 13,372 | \$ 24,766 |
| Earnings per share: | | |
| Basic-as reported | 0.32 | 0.58 |
| Basic-pro forma | 0.31 | 0.57 |
| Diluted-as reported | 0.31 | 0.58 |
| Diluted-pro forma | 0.31 | 0.57 |
| Reclassification | | |

Certain reclassifications were made to the 2005 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements to conform to the current year presentation.

3. New Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an Interpretation of Financial Accounting Standards Board, (FASB) Statement No. 109 In June 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Statement No. 109 . FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

position taken or to be taken on a tax return. This interpretation also provides additional guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. This interpretation is effective for fiscal years after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of this interpretation on its consolidated financial statements.

4. Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

This table provides a summary of the major categories of loans as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (*dollars in thousands*):

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Commercial, financial, and agricultural | \$ 1,662,388 | \$ 1,497,496 |
| Real estate construction | 52,362 | 47,403 |
| Consumer | 977,150 | 987,770 |
| Real Estate | 892,036 | 835,207 |
| Leases | 5,715 | 6,068 |
| Total loans | \$ 3,589,651 | \$ 3,373,944 |

This table is an analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Allowance - April 1 and January 1 | \$ 40,679 | \$ 40,880 | \$ 40,825 | \$ 42,723 |
| Additions (deductions): | | | | |
| Charge-offs | (2,327) | (2,538) | (6,351) | (5,655) |
| Recoveries | 693 | 664 | 1,412 | 1,188 |
| Net charge-offs | (1,634) | (1,874) | (4,939) | (4,467) |
| Provision charged to expense | 3,075 | 750 | 6,234 | 1,500 |
| Allowance - June 30 | \$ 42,120 | \$ 39,756 | \$ 42,120 | \$ 39,756 |

Impaired loans under SFAS No. 114. SFAS No. 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan requires that impaired loans be measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, at the loan's observable market price, or at the fair value of the collateral securing the loan. The summary below provides an analysis of impaired loans under SFAS No. 114 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (*dollars in thousands*):

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|---|----------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Total impaired loans as of June 30 and December 31 | \$ 7,887 | \$ 6,650 |
| Amount of impaired loans which have a related allowance | 3,671 | 1,134 |
| Amount of related allowance | 1,418 | 502 |
| Remaining impaired loans with no allowance | 4,216 | 5,516 |
| Average recorded investment in impaired loans during the period | 6,640 | 7,690 |

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006****5. Securities**

Investment securities at fair value consist of the following (*dollars in thousands*):

| | June 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Available for sale | | |
| U.S. Treasuries | \$ 491,389 | \$ 531,798 |
| U.S. Agencies | 479,852 | 1,256,227 |
| State and political subdivisions | 630,606 | 614,505 |
| Mortgage backed | 909,167 | 920,668 |
| Total available for sale | 2,511,014 | 3,323,198 |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock and other | 14,903 | 15,094 |
| Trading securities | 63,785 | 58,488 |
| Total investments at fair value | \$ 2,589,702 | \$ 3,396,780 |

6. Goodwill and other intangibles

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the periods ended June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 by operating segment are as follows (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Consumer Services | Asset Management | Investment Services Group | Total |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Balances as of January 1, 2005 | \$ 34,981 | \$ 10,479 | \$ 13,655 | \$ 59,115 |
| Additional earn-out payment for 2001 acquisition of Sunstone Financial Group, Inc. | | | 843 | 843 |
| Other changes to prior years acquisitions | (238) | | 7 | (231) |
| Balances as of December 31, 2005 | \$ 34,743 | \$ 10,479 | \$ 14,505 | \$ 59,727 |
| Balances as of January 1, 2006 | \$ 34,743 | \$ 10,479 | \$ 14,505 | \$ 59,727 |
| Additional earn-out payment for 2001 acquisition of Sunstone Financial Group, Inc. | | | 1,004 | 1,004 |
| Balances as of June 30, 2006 | \$ 34,743 | \$ 10,479 | \$ 15,509 | \$ 60,731 |

Following are the intangible assets that continue to be subject to amortization as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (*dollars in thousands*):

| | As of June 30, 2006 | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|----------|
| | Gross | | Net |
| | Carrying | Accumulated | Carrying |
| | Amount | Amortization | Amount |
| Beginning core deposit intangible assets | \$ 16,777 | \$ 16,777 | \$ |
| Core deposit premium from branch acquisition during period | 2,912 | 138 | 2,774 |
| Ending core deposit intangible assets | 19,689 | 16,915 | 2,774 |
| Other intangible assets | 7,200 | 3,487 | 3,713 |
| Total | \$ 26,889 | \$ 20,402 | \$ 6,487 |

Table of Contents

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

| | As of December 31, 2005 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | Gross | | Net |
| | Carrying | Accumulated | Carrying |
| | Amount | Amortization | Amount |
| Core deposit intangible assets | \$ 16,777 | \$ 16,777 | \$ |
| Other intangible assets | 7,200 | 3,122 | 4,078 |
| Total | \$ 23,977 | \$ 19,899 | \$ 4,078 |

Following is the aggregate amortization expense recognized in each period (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Aggregate amortization expense | \$ 286 | \$ 186 | \$ 504 | \$ 371 |

Estimated amortization expense of intangible assets on future years (*dollars in thousands*):

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| For the year ended December 31, 2006 | \$ 1,077 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2007 | 1,146 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2008 | 1,146 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2009 | 1,146 |
| For the year ended December 31, 2010 | 1,146 |

7. Other Comprehensive Loss

The Company's only component of other comprehensive loss for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was the net unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities (*dollars in thousands*):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Change in unrealized holding losses, net | \$ (14,353) | \$ 14,699 | \$ (25,313) | \$ (1,782) |
| Less: Reclassification adjustments for gains included in income | (75) | 34 | (84) | 34 |
| Net unrealized holding loss | (14,428) | 14,733 | (25,397) | (1,748) |
| Income tax benefit | 5,322 | (5,417) | 9,357 | 656 |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|
| Other comprehensive loss | \$ (9,106) | \$ 9,316 | \$ (16,040) | \$ (1,092) |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|

8. Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

In the normal course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in order to meet the financing needs of its customers and to reduce its own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit, commercial letters of credit, standby letters of credit, and futures contracts. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contract or notional amount of those instruments reflects the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit, commercial letters of credit, and standby letters of credit is represented by the contract or notional amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the agreement. These conditions generally include, but are not limited to, each customer being current as to repayment terms of existing loans and no deterioration in the customer's financial condition. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The interest rate is generally a variable rate. If the commitment has a fixed interest rate, the rate is generally not set

Table of Contents

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

at current market conditions until such time as credit is extended. For credit card customers, the Company has the right to change or terminate terms or conditions of the credit card account at any time. Since a large portion of the commitments and unused credit card lines are never actually drawn upon, the total commitment amount does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on an individual basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, real estate, plant and equipment, stock, securities and certificates of deposit.

Commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate trade or commerce. Under the terms of a commercial letter of credit, as a general rule, drafts will be drawn when the underlying transaction is consummated as intended.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company payable upon the non-performance of a customer's obligations to a third party. The Company issues standby letters of credit for terms ranging from three months to three years. The maximum liability to the Company under standby letters of credit at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 were \$272.3 million and \$200.2 million, respectively. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, standby letters of credit totaling \$57.7 million and \$44.1 million, respectively were with related parties to the Company.

The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities. The Company holds collateral supporting those commitments when deemed necessary. Collateral varies but may include such items as those described for commitments to extend credit.

Futures contracts are contracts for delayed delivery of securities or money market instruments in which the seller agrees to make delivery at a specified future date, of a specified instrument, at a specified yield. Risks arise from the possible inability of counterparties to meet the terms of their contracts and from movement in securities values and interest rates. Instruments used in trading activities are carried at market value and gains and losses on futures contracts are settled in cash daily. Any changes in the market value are recognized in trading and investment banking income.

The Company's use of futures contracts is very limited. The Company uses contracts to offset interest rate risk on specific securities held in the trading portfolio. Open futures contract positions averaged \$54.0 million and \$45.2 million during the periods ended June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Net futures activity resulted in gains of \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. Net futures activity resulted in gains of \$0.3 million and losses of \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. The Company controls the credit risk of its futures contracts through credit approvals, limits and monitoring procedures.

The Company also enters into foreign exchange contracts on a limited basis. For operating purposes, the Company maintains certain balances with foreign banks. Foreign exchange contracts are purchased on a monthly basis to avoid foreign exchange risk on these foreign balances. The Company will also enter into foreign exchange contracts to facilitate foreign exchange needs of customers. The Company will enter into a contract to buy or sell a foreign currency at a future date only as part of a contract to sell or buy the foreign currency at the same future date to a customer. During the six months ended June 30, 2006, contracts to purchase and to sell foreign currency averaged approximately \$18.7 million compared to \$19.6 million during the same period in 2005. The net gains on these foreign exchange contracts for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 were \$0.8 million. The net gains on these foreign exchange contracts for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 were \$0.4 million.

With respect to group concentrations of credit risk, most of the Company's business activity is with customers in the states of Missouri, Kansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, Nebraska, Illinois and Arizona. At June 30, 2006, the Company did not have any significant credit concentrations in any particular industry.

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are named defendants in various lawsuits and counter-claims. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, none of these lawsuits are expected to have a materially adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

The following table summarizes the Company's off-balance sheet financial instruments as described above.

Contract or Notional Amount (dollars in thousands):

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Commitments to extend credit for loans (excluding credit card loans) | \$ 1,305,726 | \$ 1,271,717 |
| Commitments to extend credit under credit card loans | 920,017 | 940,290 |
| Commercial letters of credit | 15,745 | 13,311 |
| Standby letters of credit | 272,319 | 200,232 |
| Futures contracts | 53,900 | 50,700 |
| Forward foreign exchange contracts | 14,025 | 15,791 |
| Spot foreign exchange contracts | 1,889 | 1,302 |

9. Business Segment Reporting

The Company has strategically aligned its operations into six major segments, as shown below (collectively, Business Segments). In the first quarter of 2006, the Company moved its Corporate Trust group from the Corporate Services segment to the Asset Management segment. Further, it changed the name of Corporate Services to Payment and Technology Solutions. In addition, the Company moved UMB Financial Services (formerly known as the Scout Brokerage group) from the Consumer Services segment to the Asset Management segment. The prior year information has been reclassified to conform to the 2006 reporting structure.

The following summaries provide information about the activities of each segment:

Commercial Banking and Lending serves the commercial lending/leasing as well as the capital markets needs of the Company's mid-market businesses and governmental entities by offering various products and services. The commercial loan and leasing group provides commercial loans and lines of credit, letters of credit, and loan syndication services.

Payment and Technology Solutions meets the treasury management, treasury services, corporate trust, and security transfer needs of our commercial clients. Treasury management products and services include account reconciliation services, automated clearing house, controlled disbursements, lockbox services and various card products and services. Corporate Trust services include serving as corporate and municipal bond trustee as well as the paying agent/registrar for issued bonds and notes. Securities Transfer services include dividend disbursing/reinvestment, employee stock purchase plans, proxy services, as well as acting as transfer agent.

Banking Services provides products and services to both the Company's customer base as well as selling the same products and services through its correspondent banking network in the Midwest. Products and services include bank stock loans, cash letter collections, FiServ account processing, international payments, foreign exchange, investment portfolio accounting and safekeeping. Additionally, consulting services are provided on a variety of issues including compliance, human resources, management, investment portfolio and asset/liability management.

Consumer Services delivers products and services through the Company's bank branches, Call Center, Internet Banking and ATM network. These services are distributed over a seven state area, as well as through on-line and telephone banking. Consumer Services is a major provider of funds and assets for the Company. This segment offers a variety of consumer products, including deposit accounts, installment loans, credit cards, home equity lines of credit, residential mortgages, small business loans, and insurance services for individuals.

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Asset Management provides a full spectrum of trust and custody services to both personal and institutional clients of the Company focusing on investment management, estate planning, trust, retirement planning, corporate trust, brokerage and custody services. The Private Banking division offers full trust and personal banking services to high net worth individuals. The Company's investment advisory and other services provided to the Company's proprietary funds, UMB Scout Funds, are also included in this segment.

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Noninterest expense | 14,779 | 12,847 | 9,705 | 8,975 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 4,203 | \$ 2,644 | \$ 3,328 | \$ 2,508 |
| Average assets | \$ 12 | \$ 12 | \$ 28 | \$ 26 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 865 | 756 | 749 | 676 |
| Expenditures for additions to premises and equipment | 1,100 | 888 | 1,103 | 860 |

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

| | Treasury and Other | | Total Consolidated | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | Adjustments | | Company | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net interest income | \$ (3) | \$ 377 | \$ 53,509 | \$ 47,121 |
| Provision for loan losses | | | 3,075 | 750 |
| Noninterest income | (816) | (1,169) | 65,709 | 62,534 |
| Noninterest expense | 508 | 289 | 95,391 | 89,901 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | \$ (1,327) | \$ (1,081) | \$ 20,752 | \$ 19,004 |
| Average assets | \$ 4,160 | \$ 3,862 | \$ 7,416 | \$ 6,888 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 303 | 355 | 8,526 | 7,786 |
| Expenditures for additions to premises and equipment | 150 | 155 | 11,034 | 11,739 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
| Commercial Banking and Payment and Technology | | | | |
| | Lending | | Solutions | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net interest income | \$ 26,723 | \$ 24,283 | \$ 26,404 | \$ 20,952 |
| Provision for loan losses | 4,364 | 975 | | |
| Noninterest income | 1,018 | 700 | 24,482 | 26,941 |
| Noninterest expense | 12,544 | 14,220 | 35,981 | 36,428 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 10,833 | \$ 9,788 | \$ 14,905 | \$ 11,465 |
| Average assets | \$ 1,984 | \$ 1,841 | \$ 55 | \$ 51 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 858 | 752 | 2,932 | 2,705 |
| Expenditures for additions to premises and equipment | 937 | 605 | 3,524 | 3,155 |
| | Banking Services | | Consumer Services | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net interest income | \$ 2,143 | \$ 2,085 | \$ 45,002 | \$ 39,164 |
| Provision for loan losses | | | 1,870 | 525 |
| Noninterest income | 14,472 | 15,743 | 31,461 | 31,584 |
| Noninterest expense | 14,798 | 16,169 | 74,372 | 69,355 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 1,817 | \$ 1,659 | \$ 221 | \$ 868 |
| Average assets | \$ 73 | \$ 68 | \$ 1,171 | \$ 1,087 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 724 | 693 | 8,090 | 7,810 |
| Expenditures for additions to premises and equipment | 795 | 525 | 7,874 | 9,394 |
| | Asset Management | | Investment Services Group | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Net interest income | \$ 14 | \$ 123 | \$ 5,471 | \$ 4,080 |
| Provision for loan losses | | | | |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Noninterest income | 35,491 | 32,208 | 20,194 | 18,376 |
| Noninterest expense | 28,487 | 27,845 | 19,370 | 17,478 |
| Income before income taxes | \$ 7,018 | \$ 4,486 | \$ 6,295 | \$ 4,978 |
| Average assets | \$ 13 | \$ 12 | \$ 29 | \$ 27 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,620 | 1,507 | 1,547 | 1,330 |
| Expenditures for additions to premises and equipment | 1,632 | 1,533 | 1,654 | 1,325 |

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

| | Treasury and Other | | Total Consolidated | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Net interest income | \$ (3) | \$ 724 | \$ 105,754 | \$ 91,411 |
| Provision for loan losses | | | 6,234 | 1,500 |
| Noninterest income | (1,589) | 679 | 125,529 | 126,231 |
| Noninterest expense | 871 | (269) | 186,423 | 181,226 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | \$ (2,463) | \$ 1,672 | \$ 38,626 | \$ 34,916 |
| Average assets | \$ 4,243 | \$ 3,938 | \$ 7,568 | \$ 7,024 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 605 | 704 | 16,376 | 15,501 |
| Expenditures for additions to premises and equipment | 420 | 272 | 16,836 | 16,809 |

10. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has a qualified 401(k) profit sharing plan that permits participants to make contributions by salary deduction. The Company made a matching contribution to this plan of \$1,907,966 in March 2006 for the 2005 year and \$1,905,580 in March, 2005 for the 2004 year.

On April 18, 2002, the shareholders of the Company approved the 2002 Incentive Stock Options Plan (the 2002 Plan), which provides incentive options to certain key employees for up to 2,000,000 common shares of the Company. All options that are issued under the 2002 plan are in effect for 10 years (except for any option granted to a person holding more than 10 percent of the Company's stock, in which case the option is in effect for five years). All options issued prior to 2005 under the 2002 plan cannot be exercised until at least four years 11 months after the date they are granted. Options issued in 2005 under the 2002 plan have a vesting schedule of 50 percent after three years; 75 percent after four years and 100 percent after four years and eleven months. Except under circumstances of death, disability or certain retirements, the options cannot be exercised after the grantee has left the employment of the Company or its subsidiaries. The Board Compensation Committee is empowered to issue such incentive options under an agreement that accelerates the exercise period for an option upon the optionee's qualified disability, retirement or death. All options expire at the end of the exercise period. Prior to 2006, the Company made no recognition in the balance sheet of the options until such options were exercised and no amounts applicable thereto were reflected in net income. Effective January 1, 2006, SFAS No. 123 (R) requires compensation expense to be recognized on unvested options outstanding. Options are granted at exercise prices of no less than 100 percent of the fair market value of the underlying shares at date of grant. The plan terminates April 17, 2012. The table below discloses the activity during the six months ended June 30, 2006 relating to the options granted in 2002 through 2005 under this plan.

| | Number of | | Weighted Average | |
|--|----------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Shares | Option Price Per Share | Price Per Share | |
| Stock Options Under the 2002 Plan | | | | |
| Outstanding - December 31, 2005 | 569,160 | \$ 19.06 to \$ 33.00 | \$ | 26.98 |
| Canceled | (35,642) | 19.06 to 33.00 | | 25.52 |
| Outstanding - June 30, 2006 | 533,518 | 19.06 to 33.00 | | 27.08 |

Exercisable - June 30, 2006

On April 16, 1992, the shareholders of the Company approved the 1992 Incentive Stock Option Plan (the 1992 plan), which provides incentive options to certain key employees for up to 1,000,000 common shares of the Company. Of the options granted prior to 1998, 40 percent are exercisable two years from the date of the grant and are thereafter exercisable in 20 percent increments annually, or for such periods or vesting

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

increments as the Board of Directors, or a committee thereof, specify (which may not exceed 10 years or in the case of a recipient holding more than 10 percent of the Company's stock, five years), provided that the optionee has remained in the

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

employment of the Company or its subsidiaries. None of the options granted during or after 1998 are exercisable until four years eleven months after the grant date. The exercise period may be accelerated for an option upon the optionee's qualified disability, retirement or death. All options expire at the end of the exercise period. Prior to 2006, the Company made no recognition in the balance sheet of the options until such options were exercised and no amounts applicable thereto were reflected in net income. Effective January 1, 2006, SFAS No. 123 (R) requires compensation expense to be recognized on unvested options outstanding. Options are granted at exercise prices of no less than 100 percent of the fair market value of the underlying shares at date of grant. No further options may be granted under the 1992 plan. The table below discloses the activity during the six months ended June 30, 2006 relating to the options granted under this plan.

| | Number | | | | Weighted Average |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----|----------|------------------|
| | of | | | | Price Per Share |
| Stock Options Under the 1992 Plan | Shares | Option Price Per Share | | | Price Per Share |
| Outstanding - December 31, 2005 | 276,574 | \$ 15.09 | to | \$ 21.85 | \$ 18.64 |
| Canceled | (18,048) | 15.21 | to | 21.79 | 18.96 |
| Exercised | (9,482) | 15.17 | to | 21.85 | 16.49 |
| Outstanding - June 30, 2006 | 249,044 | 15.09 | to | 21.85 | 18.70 |
| Exercisable - June 30, 2006 | 164,224 | 15.09 | to | 21.85 | 18.02 |

On May 4, 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with Peter J. deSilva, President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company to issue 8,000 shares of Common Stock of the Company. The shares vest 20 percent per year of employment through January 20, 2009. These restricted shares are automatically enrolled in the dividend reinvestment plan of the Company. Dividends paid on the restricted shares are used to purchase new shares which contain the same restriction. If Mr. deSilva terminates employment all non-vested shares are forfeited. The fair market value of the stock on the grant date of May 4, 2004, was \$25.52.

At the April 26, 2005, shareholders' meeting, the shareholders approved the UMB Financial Corporation Long-Term Incentive Compensation Plan (LTIP) which became effective as of January 1, 2005. The Plan permits the issuance to selected officers of the Company service based restricted stock grants, performance-based restricted stock grants and non-qualified stock options. Service-based restricted stock grants will contain a service requirement. The performance-based restricted grants will contain performance and service requirements. The non-qualified stock options will contain a service requirement.

The Plan reserves up to 1,200,000 shares of the Company's Stock. Of the total, no more than 400,000 shares can be issued as restricted stock. These two requirements will be in effect with the 2006 LTIP issuance. In 2005, the service and performance based restricted stock grants were issued out of treasury stock. No one eligible employee may receive more than \$1,000,000 in benefits under the Plan during any one fiscal year taking into account the value of all stock options and restricted stock received during such fiscal year.

The service-based restricted stock grants contain a service requirement. The vesting requirement is 50 percent of the shares after three years of service, 75 percent after four years of service and 100 percent after five years of service. In 2005, the Company issued a total of 44,800 of service-based restricted stock grants to 28 officers at an average cost of \$27.09 per grant. The shares will vest through April 28, 2010. In 2006, the Company issued a total of 26,658 of service-based restricted stock grants to 30 officers at an average cost of \$34.84 per grant. The shares will vest through April 19, 2011.

The performance-based restricted stock grants contain a service and a performance requirement. The performance requirement is based on a pre-determined performance requirement over a three year period. The service requirement portion is a three year cliff vesting. If the performance requirement is not met, the executives do not receive the shares. In 2005, the Company issued a total of 35,492 of

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

performance-based restricted stock grants to 28 officers at an average cost of \$27.10 per grant. In April 2006, the Company issued a total of 35,344 of performance-based restricted stock grants to 30 officers at an average cost of \$34.84 per grant.

The dividends on service and performance-based restricted stock grants are treated as two separate transactions. First, cash dividends are paid on the restricted stock. Those cash dividends are then paid to purchase additional shares of restricted stock. The dividends paid on the stock are recorded as a reduction to retained earnings (similar to all dividend transactions).

Table of Contents**UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION****NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)****FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006**

In 2005, the Company issued 134,540 shares of non-qualified stock options to 28 officers. The non-qualified stock options carry a service requirement and will vest 50 percent after three years, 75 percent after four years and 100 percent after five years. The options will expire April 28, 2015. In 2006, the Company issued 98,258 shares of non-qualified stock options to 30 officers. The non-qualified stock options carry a service requirement and will vest 50 percent after three years, 75 percent after four years and 100 percent after five years. The options will expire April 19, 2016. The table below discloses the activity during the six months ended June 30, 2006 relating to the options granted under this plan.

| Stock Options Under the LTIP | Number of | | Weighted Average | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | Shares | Option Price Per Share | to | Price Per Share |
| Outstanding - December 31, 2005 | 130,572 | \$ 27.09 | to | \$ 28.78 |
| Granted | 98,258 | 34.84 | | 34.84 |
| Canceled | (7,278) | 27.09 | | 27.09 |
| Outstanding - June 30, 2006 | 221,552 | 27.09 | to | 34.84 |
| Exercisable - June 30, 2006 | | | | |

The table below shows the stock options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2006:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Number Outstanding at 6/30/06 | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable at 6/30/06 | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$15.09 To \$15.21 | 13,938 | 1 year | \$ 15.16 | 13,938 | \$ 15.16 |
| 21.67 To 21.85 | 24,376 | 2 years | 21.80 | 24,376 | 21.80 |
| 19.37 To 19.48 | 34,968 | 3 years | 19.43 | 34,968 | 19.43 |
| 17.29 To 17.63 | 41,660 | 4 years | 17.44 | 41,660 | 17.44 |
| 16.30 To 16.46 | 49,282 | 5 years | 16.44 | 49,282 | 16.44 |
| 20.01 To 20.01 | 84,820 | 6 years | 20.01 | | |
| 19.06 To 19.06 | 101,050 | 7 years | 19.06 | | |
| 24.33 To 24.33 | 120,790 | 8 years | 24.33 | | |
| 25.53 To 28.93 | 163,940 | 9 years | 28.84 | | |
| 27.07 To 34.84 | 369,290 | 10 years | 30.97 | | |
| | 1,004,114 | | | 164,224 | |

The Company recognized \$0.5 million in expense related to outstanding stock options and \$0.3 million in expense related to outstanding restricted stock grants for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The Company has \$3.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the outstanding options and \$3.7 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to outstanding restricted stock grants at June 30, 2006.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

This review highlights the material changes in the results of operations and changes in financial condition for both the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2006. It should be read in conjunction with the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements, notes to condensed consolidated financial statements and other financial statistics appearing elsewhere in this report. Results of operations for the periods included in this review are not necessarily indicative of results to be attained during any future period.

Table of Contents

SPECIAL CAUTIONARY NOTICE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The information included or incorporated by reference in this report contains forward-looking statements of expected future developments within the meaning of and pursuant to the safe harbor provisions established by Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements may refer to financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future financial performance and business of the Company, including, without limitation:

Statements that are not historical in nature;

Statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words believes, expects, may, will, should, could, anticipates, intends, or similar words or expressions; and

Statements regarding the timing of acquisitions.

Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance or results. You are cautioned not to put undue reliance on any forward-looking statement which speaks only as of the date it was made. Forward-looking statements reflect management's expectations and are based on currently available data; however, they involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Actual results may differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements due to, among others, the following factors:

changes in the interest rate environment;

general economic and political conditions, either nationally, internationally or in the Company's markets, may be less favorable than expected;

changes in the securities markets;

changes in operations;

competitive pressures among financial services companies may increase significantly;

the ability to successfully and timely integrate acquisitions into existing charters;

changes in technology may be more difficult or expensive than anticipated;

legislative or regulatory changes may adversely affect the Company's business;

changes in the ability of customers to repay loans;

changes in loan demand may adversely affect liquidity needs;

changes in employee costs;

changes in accounting rules.

Any forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with information about risks and uncertainties set forth in this report and in documents incorporated herein by reference. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company does not intend to review or revise any particular forward-looking statement in light of events that occur thereafter or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Overview

A disciplined growth approach is one of the Company's core business strategies. Average quarterly loans grew by 14.6 percent from the second quarter of 2005 while average quarterly deposits grew by 9.5 percent during the same period. Further, in the second quarter of 2006, the Company announced the acquisition of Mountain States Bank in Colorado. It is anticipated that this transaction will close in the fourth quarter of 2006 depending upon the

Table of Contents

timing of final regulatory approval. Mountain States Bank had total assets of \$282 million and total deposits of \$241 million, with non-interest bearing deposits comprising 32.86 percent of total deposits as of December 31, 2005.

The average loan to deposit ratio of the Company's subsidiary banks has been, and is expected to continue to be, lower than industry average. The Company continues to emphasize its fee-based operations to help reduce the Company's exposure to changes in interest rates. This includes asset and treasury management, bankcard, investment services, deposit service charges and other fee-based services.

As some of the revenue from the Company's fee-based businesses is the direct result of the market value of its customers' investments, the overall health of the equity and financial markets plays an important role in the recognition of fee income, particularly in trust, mutual fund servicing and investment management areas of the Company. The Company has benefited from a \$1.7 billion increase in assets under management in the UMB Scout Funds (which are managed by a subsidiary of the Company) from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006.

The Company continues to focus on helping customers transition from paper payment to electronic payment options by providing new products and services, such as paycard and remote deposit capture. Additionally, the Company rolled out UMB Web ExchangeSM in the second quarter. UMB Web ExchangeSM is an upgraded treasury management platform, which management believes will enhance information reporting and transaction initiation via the Internet, improves control of service through online self-administration and strengthens system security. The Company continues to focus on its wholesale health savings and flexible spending account strategy by servicing healthcare providers and third-party administrators.

The interest rate environment has a direct impact on the Company's net interest income as the Company's balance sheet is structured to be both very liquid and short in duration. As rates increase, liabilities will typically reprice more quickly than assets, which results in temporary pressure on overall net interest income. However, in an increasing rate environment, this position should, over time, benefit the Company. Management believes that once rates stabilize at a higher rate, then net interest income will improve. Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, discusses in detail the impact of rising and declining rates on net interest income.

The Company encounters competition from other banks in its markets as well as other competitors such as non-bank financial institutions, brokers, insurance companies and investment advisory firms. The Company faces intense local, regional and national competition for retail customers and competes nationally with respect to its trust and asset management businesses. This competition continues to have the impact of compressing margins and income from the Company's fee based businesses. As this competition is anticipated to continue, management plans to better enable the Company's employees to respond to this competition through the use of improved technology. In particular, during the second quarter, the Company added a new customer relationship management platform, an upgraded corporate treasury management software package and management plans to continue to make imaging and other network improvements.

Earnings Summary

The Company recorded consolidated net income of \$14.9 million for the three-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$13.6 million for the same period a year earlier. This represents a 9.2 percent increase over the three-month period ended June 30, 2005, which was driven by increases in net interest income, noninterest income and partially offset by increases in noninterest expense. Basic earnings per share for the second quarter 2006 were \$0.35 per basic share (\$0.35 per share fully-diluted) compared to \$0.32 per basic share (\$0.31 per share fully-diluted) for the second quarter 2005. Return on average assets and return on average common shareholders' equity for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 was 0.80 percent and 7.15 percent respectively, as compared to 0.79 percent and 6.59 percent for the same period in 2005.

The Company recorded consolidated net income of \$28.1 million for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$25.2 million for the same period a year earlier. This represents an 11.5 percent increase over the six-month period ended June 30, 2005, primarily because of increases in net interest income due to higher rates and volumes offset by higher non-interest expense. Basic earnings per share for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 were \$0.66 per share (\$0.65 per share fully-diluted) compared to \$0.58 per share (\$0.58 per share fully-diluted) for the same period in 2005. Return on average assets and return on average common shareholders' equity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 was 0.75 percent and 6.79 percent respectively, as compared to 0.72 percent and 6.15 percent for the same period in 2005.

Table of Contents

Net interest income for the second quarter of 2006 increased 13.6 percent from the second quarter 2005. Net interest income for the year-to-date June 30, 2006 increased 15.7 percent from the same period in 2005. The increase is a result of higher earning assets, including a more favorable asset mix as well as higher rates. Average loans comprise 53.5 percent of the Company's earning asset base, as compared to 50.6 percent for the same quarter a year ago. Further, net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis increased to 3.43 percent for the three-months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to 3.28 percent for the same period in 2005. Although net interest spread decreased by 19 basis points due to liabilities repricing more quickly than assets, net interest margin increased by 15 basis points as a result of the contribution from noninterest-bearing demand deposits.

Provision for loan losses increased by \$2.3 million in the second quarter of 2006 and \$4.7 million for the year-to-date June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. The increase was a result of a larger adjustment in 2006 than in 2005 with respect to maintaining the allowance for loan losses at a level consistent with management's estimate of inherent losses within the loan portfolio. For a description of the Company's methodology for computing the allowance for loan losses, please see the summary discussion of the Allowance for Loan Losses within the Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of the Company's 2005 Annual Report of Form 10-K.

Noninterest income increased by \$3.2 million, or 5.1 percent in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the same quarter in 2005. This increase is primarily due to increases in trust and securities processing income and bankcard income, but was partially offset by decreases in service charges on deposits. The increase in trust and securities processing income is primarily a result of an increase in assets under management from the UMB Scout Funds (which are managed by a subsidiary of the Company). Total assets under management from the UMB Scout Funds was \$4.4 billion at June 30, 2006 as compared to \$2.7 billion at June 30, 2005. Bankcard income increased due to higher interchange fee income, which management believes is as a result of Company initiatives to encourage increased customer usage. Service charges on deposits decreased due to higher earnings credits paid on compensating balances due to higher interest rates, as well as decreases in volume related to corporate customers.

Noninterest income decreased by \$0.7 million or 0.6 percent for the six-months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005 due to several factors. In 2005, the Company recognized \$3.6 million in gain on the sale of employee benefit accounts to Marshall & Ilsley Trust Company, n.a., as well as \$3.8 million in gains from the sale of banking facilities. Additionally, there was a decrease in deposit service charge income. These decreases were mostly offset by increases in trust and securities processing income and bankcard income for the first six months of 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005.

Noninterest expense increased by \$5.5 million, or 6.1 percent, for the second quarter of 2006, as compared to the same quarter in 2005. The increase was primarily a result of increases in other expense, equipment and processing fees. Other expense was higher due to an increase in operational charge-offs including overdraft fee charge-offs. Equipment expense increased due to increased depreciation and amortization expense on computer equipment and software. In the second quarter of 2006, the Company added UMB WebExchangeSM, an online banking service for businesses, and Client View, a customer relationship management software tool. The Company also upgraded its umb.com website. Processing fees increased primarily due to shareholder servicing and other administrative fees paid to investment advisors, which correlate with the increase in net assets under management in the Scout Funds. Other increases in processing fees are attributable to higher network fees for ATMs and higher fees paid to third party electronic processors.

Noninterest expense increased \$5.2 million, or 2.9 percent, for the year to date June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. Salary expense was \$5.4 million, or 5.4 percent, lower for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. This decrease is primarily a result of \$4.4 million in charges during 2005 related to the Voluntary Separation Plan (VSP). Marketing expense was \$1.1 million higher, or 16.4 percent, for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. The increase is attributable to the difference in timing of deposit and home equity marketing campaigns in 2006, as compared to 2005. Bankcard expense is greater by \$1.3 million, or 23.1 percent, for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the prior year. This increase is mostly due to higher rebate programs designed to encourage increased usage of the card products.

Table of Contents**Net Interest Income**

Net interest income is a significant source of the Company's earnings and represents the amount by which interest income on earning assets exceeds the interest expense paid on liabilities. The volume of interest-earning assets and the related funding sources, the overall mix of these assets and liabilities and the rates paid on each, resulted in increased net interest income for the Company. For the second quarter of 2006 net interest income increased \$6.4 million, or 13.6 percent, over the same quarter in 2005. For the year-to-date period ended June 30, 2006 net interest income increased \$14.3 million, or 15.7 percent over the same period in 2005.

Table 1 shows the impact of earning asset rate increases compared to increases in the cost of interest-bearing liabilities. As illustrated in this table, net interest spread decreased by 19 basis points in the second quarter of 2006 as compared to 2005, yet overall net interest margin increased by 15 basis points primarily due to the contribution from noninterest bearing demand deposits (free funds). Average noninterest bearing demand deposits were 34.6 percent of average total deposits for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, as compared to 34.1 percent for the same quarter in 2005. For impact of the contribution from free funds, see the Analysis of Net Interest Margin in Table 2 below. Table 2 also illustrates that the increase in net interest income is a result of both volume and rate increases for both the quarter ended and six months ended June 30, 2006.

Management believes that the overall outlook in its net interest income is positive. Management continues its strategic initiative to emphasize commercial and consumer loan growth through marketing, product enhancements and streamlining the approval process for its consumer loan product suite. These efforts are designed to increase volumes of new applications and booked loans. Loan-related earning assets tend to have a higher spread than those earned in the Company's investment portfolio. Further, management believes that the lag between liability costs and asset yields should not continue once rates stabilize. This would increase the net interest spread as assets will continue to reprice over a longer period. Given the term of the Company's earning assets, a sustained higher rate environment has historically had a positive impact on the Company's net interest income and management expects that this will occur in the future.

*Table 1***AVERAGE BALANCES/YIELDS AND RATES** (tax equivalent basis) (unaudited, dollars in thousands)

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, the average interest-earning assets and resulting yields, as well as the average interest-bearing liabilities and rates. All average balances are daily average balances. The average yield on earning assets without the tax-equivalent basis adjustment would have been 5.24 percent for the year-to-date June 30, 2006 and 4.08 percent for the same period in 2005. The average yield on earnings assets without the tax equivalent basis adjustment would have been 5.40 percent for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 and 4.28 percent for the same period in 2005.

| | 2006 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| | Average Balance | Average Yield/Rate | Average Balance | Average Yield/Rate |
| Assets | | | | |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | \$ 3,457,914 | 6.47% | \$ 2,964,944 | 5.49% |
| Securities: | | | | |
| Taxable | 2,112,942 | 3.91 | 2,380,496 | 2.68 |
| Tax-exempt | 669,443 | 5.05 | 598,662 | 4.59 |
| Total securities | 2,782,385 | 4.18 | 2,979,158 | 3.06 |
| Federal funds and resell agreements | 427,827 | 4.77 | 190,985 | 2.83 |
| Other earning assets | 62,680 | 4.81 | 66,785 | 3.58 |
| Total earning assets | 6,730,806 | 5.40 | 6,201,872 | 4.22 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (40,844) | | (40,941) | |
| Other assets | 878,423 | | 862,798 | |
| Total assets | \$ 7,568,385 | | \$ 7,023,729 | |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 3,606,346 | 2.44% | \$ 3,160,147 | 1.29% |
| Federal funds and repurchase agreements | 1,139,005 | 4.29 | 1,064,572 | 2.38 |
| Borrowed funds | 53,011 | 4.63 | 45,791 | 4.25 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 4,798,362 | 2.90 | 4,270,510 | 1.60 |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | 1,885,801 | | 1,892,992 | |
| Other liabilities | 49,382 | | 34,022 | |
| Shareholders equity | 834,840 | | 826,205 | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 7,568,385 | | \$ 7,023,729 | |
| Net interest spread | | 2.50% | | 2.62% |
| Net interest margin | | 3.33 | | 3.12 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2006 | | 2005 | |
| | Average | Average | Average | Average |
| | Balance | Yield/Rate | Balance | Yield/Rate |
| Assets | | | | |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | \$ 3,512,210 | 6.58% | \$ 3,064,017 | 5.63% |
| Securities: | | | | |
| Taxable | 1,915,411 | 4.02 | 2,130,661 | 2.81 |
| Tax-exempt | 672,360 | 5.04 | 617,022 | 4.60 |
| Total securities | 2,587,771 | 4.28 | 2,747,683 | 3.21 |
| Federal funds and resell agreements | 400,226 | 5.05 | 171,470 | 3.18 |
| Other earning assets | 62,772 | 4.85 | 72,560 | 3.61 |
| Total earning assets | 6,562,979 | 5.56 | 6,055,730 | 4.44 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (41,401) | | (40,403) | |
| Other assets | 893,992 | | 873,155 | |
| Total assets | \$ 7,415,570 | | \$ 6,888,482 | |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | \$ 3,581,602 | 2.56% | \$ 3,094,391 | 1.36% |
| Federal funds and repurchase agreements | 1,007,869 | 4.54 | 964,728 | 2.64 |
| Borrowed funds | 52,685 | 4.47 | 55,829 | 4.46 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 4,642,156 | 3.01 | 4,114,948 | 1.70 |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | 1,896,092 | | 1,906,168 | |
| Other liabilities | 43,521 | | 38,744 | |
| Shareholders equity | 833,801 | | 828,622 | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders equity | \$ 7,415,570 | | \$ 6,888,482 | |
| Net interest spread | | 2.55% | | 2.74% |
| Net interest margin | | 3.43 | | 3.28 |

Table 2 presents the dollar amount of change in the net interest income and margin due to volume and rate. Table 2 also reflects the effect that interest free funds have on net interest margin. Interest free funds (total earning assets less interest-bearing liabilities) decreased \$20.0 million, or 1.0 percent, for the three-month period ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005 and increased \$1.1 million, or 0.1 percent, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005.

Table of Contents

Table 2

ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME AND MARGIN (unaudited, dollars in thousands)
ANALYSIS OF CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2006 vs 2005 | | | June 30, 2006 vs 2005 | | |
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
| Change in interest earned on: | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$ 7,345 | \$ 7,273 | \$ 14,618 | \$ 15,819 | \$ 14,310 | \$ 30,129 |
| Securities: | | | | | | |
| Taxable | (2,155) | 6,400 | 4,245 | (5,183) | 14,517 | 9,334 |
| Tax-exempt | 554 | 531 | 1,085 | 1,353 | 1,044 | 2,397 |
| Federal funds sold and resell agreements | 2,880 | 799 | 3,679 | 5,606 | 1,845 | 7,451 |
| Other | (120) | 221 | 101 | (56) | 352 | 296 |
| Interest income | 8,504 | 15,224 | 23,728 | 17,539 | 32,068 | 49,607 |
| Change in interest incurred on: | | | | | | |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 3,106 | 9,204 | 12,310 | 5,393 | 17,951 | 23,344 |
| Federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements | 488 | 4,576 | 5,064 | | 11,667 | 11,667 |
| Other borrowed funds | (35) | 1 | (34) | 166 | 87 | 253 |
| Interest expense | 3,559 | 13,781 | 17,340 | 5,559 | 29,705 | 35,264 |
| Net interest income | \$ 4,945 | \$ 1,443 | \$ 6,388 | \$ 11,980 | \$ 2,363 | \$ 14,343 |

ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST MARGIN

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | June 30, 2005 | Change | 2006 | June 30, 2005 | Change |
| | Average earning assets | \$ 6,562,979 | \$ 6,055,730 | \$ 507,249 | \$ 6,730,806 | \$ 6,201,872 |
| Interest-bearing liabilities | 4,642,156 | 4,114,948 | 527,208 | 4,798,362 | 4,270,510 | 527,852 |
| Interest free funds | \$ 1,920,823 | \$ 1,940,782 | \$ (19,959) | \$ 1,932,444 | \$ 1,931,362 | \$ 1,082 |
| Free funds ratio (free funds to earning assets) | 29.27% | 32.05% | (2.78)% | 28.71% | 31.14% | (2.43) % |
| Tax-equivalent yield on earning assets | 5.56% | 4.44% | 1.12% | 5.40% | 4.22% | 1.18% |
| Cost of interest-bearing liabilities | 3.01 | 1.70 | 1.31 | 2.90 | 1.60 | 1.30 |
| Net interest spread | 2.55% | 2.74% | (0.19)% | 2.50% | 2.62% | (0.12) % |
| Benefit of interest free funds | 0.88 | 0.54 | 0.34 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.33 |
| Net interest margin | 3.43% | 3.28% | 0.15% | 3.33% | 3.12% | 0.21% |

Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses (ALL) represents management's judgment of the losses inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses is the amount necessary to adjust the Company's ALL to the level considered appropriate by management. Management of the Company reviews the adequacy of the ALL quarterly, considering such items as historical loss trends, a review of individual loans, statistical

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

analysis, migration analysis, current economic conditions, loan growth, industry or segment concentration and other factors. Bank regulatory agencies require that the adequacy of the ALL be maintained on a bank-by-bank basis for each of the Company's subsidiaries. The Company utilizes a centralized credit administration function, which provides information on affiliate bank risk levels, delinquencies, an internal ranking system and overall credit exposure. In addition, large loan requests are centrally reviewed to ensure the consistent application of the loan policy and standards.

The Company recorded a provision for loan losses of \$3.1 million for the second quarter 2006 to adjust its ALL to the level considered appropriate by management. This compares to a \$0.8 million provision for the same quarter in 2005. Also, the Company recorded a provision for loan losses of \$6.2 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2006 compared to \$1.5 million for the same period in 2005. This decreased ALL to 1.17 percent of total loans as of June 30, 2006 from 1.25 percent of total loans as of June 30, 2005.

Table of Contents

Table 3 presents a summary of the Company's ALL for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, as well as for the year ended December 31, 2005. Also please see Credit Risk Management under Risk Management section of Item 3 in this report for information relating to non-accrual, past due, restructured loans and other credit risk matters.

Table 3

ANALYSIS OF ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (dollars in thousands)

| | Six Months Ended | | Year Ended |
|--|------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | June 30, | | December 31, |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2005 |
| Allowance-January 1 | \$ 40,825 | \$ 42,723 | \$ 42,723 |
| Provision for loan losses | 6,234 | 1,500 | 5,775 |
| Charge-offs: | | | |
| Commercial | (3,533) | (2,019) | (2,261) |
| Consumer: | | | |
| Bankcard | (2,112) | (2,757) | (5,925) |
| Other | (706) | (876) | (1,918) |
| Real estate | | (3) | (3) |
| Agricultural | | | |
| Total charge-offs | (6,351) | (5,655) | (10,107) |
| Recoveries: | | | |
| Commercial | 343 | 160 | 443 |
| Consumer: | | | |
| Bankcard | 526 | 511 | 1,008 |
| Other | 542 | 516 | 981 |
| Real estate | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Agricultural | | | |
| Total recoveries | 1,412 | 1,188 | 2,434 |
| Net charge-offs | (4,939) | (4,467) | (7,673) |
| Allowance-end of period | \$ 42,120 | \$ 39,756 | \$ 40,825 |
| Average loans, net of unearned interest | \$ 3,438,404 | \$ 2,964,944 | \$ 3,130,813 |
| Loans at end of period, net of unearned interest | 3,589,651 | 3,194,774 | 3,373,944 |
| Allowance to loans at end of period | 1.17% | 1.24% | 1.21% |
| Allowance as a multiple of net charge-offs | 4.23x | 4.45x | 5.32x |
| Net charge-offs to: | | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 79.23% | 297.80 | %132.87% |
| Average loans | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.25 |

Noninterest Income

A key objective of the Company is the growth of noninterest income to enhance profitability and provide steady income, as fee-based services are typically non-credit related and are not generally affected by fluctuations in interest rates. The Company's fee-based services provide the opportunity to offer multiple products and services to customers which management believes will more closely align the customer with the Company. The Company's ongoing focus is to continue to develop and offer multiple products and services to its customers. The Company is currently emphasizing fee-based services including trust and securities processing, bankcard, securities trading/brokerage and cash/treasury management. Management believes that it can offer these products and services both efficiently and profitably, as most of these have common platforms and support structures.

Table of Contents

Table 4

SUMMARY OF NONINTEREST INCOME (dollars in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Trust and securities processing | \$ 24,990 | \$ 19,968 | \$ 47,659 | \$ 40,466 |
| Trading and investment banking | 4,567 | 4,739 | 8,680 | 9,268 |
| Service charges on deposits | 19,002 | 21,517 | 36,609 | 39,494 |
| Insurance fees and commissions | 1,101 | 915 | 2,093 | 1,743 |
| Brokerage fees | 1,600 | 1,510 | 3,117 | 3,060 |
| Bankcard fees | 9,860 | 8,492 | 18,806 | 16,114 |
| Gains on sale of other assets, net | 574 | 1,209 | 596 | 3,801 |
| Gains on sale of employee benefit accounts | | | | 3,600 |
| Gain/(loss) on sales of securities available for sale, net | 75 | (34) | 84 | (34) |
| Other | 3,940 | 4,218 | 7,885 | 8,719 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 65,709 | \$ 62,534 | \$ 125,529 | \$ 126,231 |

Quarter-To-Date

Noninterest income (summarized in Table 4) increased \$3.2 million or 5.1 percent for the second quarter 2006 compared to the same period in 2005, primarily because of increases in trust and securities processing income and bankcard fees, offset by decreases in service charges on deposits.

Trust and securities processing consists of fees earned on personal and corporate trust accounts, custody of securities services, trust investments and money management services and servicing of mutual fund assets. These fees increased \$5.0 million, or 25.2 percent, in the second quarter of 2006 compared to the second quarter of 2005. The increase is primarily attributable to higher investment advisor fee income of \$3.0 million, or 73.2 percent, related to the UMB Scout Funds (which are managed by a subsidiary of the Company). The UMB Scout Funds experienced a \$1.7 billion increase in assets under management from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006. Other increases resulted from higher custody fees and fund administration fees from mutual fund clients. These fees are higher as a result of new client growth in the investment services group segment. Trust and securities processing fees largely depend on assets under management in the UMB Scout Funds and the mutual fund clients that are serviced. Management believes that the overall quality and performance of both its investment management and UMB Scout Funds will continue to attract both increased and new investable dollars to its assets under management. Changes in the equity markets, and the public's confidence in mutual funds as an investment vehicle for retail and institutional investors, could affect future performance of trust and securities processing income.

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased \$2.5 million, or 11.7 percent, for the three-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreases of service charge income from corporate customers, including mutual funds and correspondent banks. One of the primary reasons for the decrease in service charge income on these accounts is a higher earnings credit rate on compensating balances paid to corporate customers. In addition to the higher earnings credit rates, there was a decline in corporate clients and a decrease in fees from premium consumer checking accounts as customers migrate to the Company's free checking products. Management believes it has addressed the decline in corporate service charge income by implementing UMB Web ExchangeSM, an on-line treasury management tool for corporate customers, as well as providing increased product training and enhanced incentives to its sales personnel. The Company has also implemented a tiered earnings credit structure which favors higher compensating balances and is intended to reduce the overall earnings credit in a flat rate environment.

Bankcard fees increased \$1.4 million, or 16.1 percent, for the second quarter of 2006 compared to 2005. This increase is primarily a result of higher interchange fee income due to a higher number of cards outstanding and an increase in the average volume. In the fourth quarter of 2005, management implemented a new card rebate program to encourage increased card usage by consumer card holders. In the second quarter of 2006, this program was extended to small business customers with the intent of further encouraging card usage.

Table of Contents**Year-To-Date**

Noninterest income (summarized in Table 4) decreased by \$0.7 million, or 0.6 percent, for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. The decrease in 2006 is attributable to gains recognized in the prior year, as well as lower service charge income. These decreases in noninterest income were mostly offset by higher trust and securities processing fees and bankcard fees.

Trust and securities processing fees increased \$7.2 million, or 17.8 percent, for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the six-months ended June 30, 2005. The increase is primarily a result of higher fund administration and processing fees of \$3.3 million and additional fee income related to the UMB Scout Funds of \$4.2 million.

Service charges on deposit accounts decreased by \$2.9 million, or 7.3 percent, for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. The decrease in service charge income as a result of higher earnings credits on compensating balances maintained by corporate customers was one of the primary drivers for this decrease in overall service charge income. The recent interest rate increases have had a negative impact on fees within this business sector, but management anticipates that the implementation of the tiered earnings credits will mitigate this negative impact.

The Company's net gain on sale of other assets is \$0.6 million for the year. This gain is attributable to a few smaller transactions in 2006. This gain is down significantly from 2005. In 2005, the Company recorded \$3.8 million in net gains primarily from the sale of two properties—a banking facility in downtown Kansas City and a parcel of land next to another Kansas City branch.

There were no gains on sale of employee benefit accounts in 2006. The final earnout payment was received in the first quarter of 2005. Under the terms of the contract for the sale of the employee benefit accounts, the Company's final earnout payment based upon gross revenues received by those employee benefit accounts retained by Marshall & Ilsley from February 2004 to February 2005.

Noninterest Expense

The components of noninterest expense are shown below on Table 5.

Table 5
SUMMARY OF NONINTEREST EXPENSE (dollars in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | \$ 47,796 | \$ 48,349 | \$ 95,034 | \$ 100,410 |
| Occupancy, net | 6,802 | 6,471 | 13,356 | 12,888 |
| Equipment | 12,348 | 11,252 | 23,463 | 21,728 |
| Supplies and supplies | 5,698 | 5,681 | 11,473 | 11,230 |
| Marketing and business development | 4,022 | 3,607 | 7,644 | 6,568 |
| Processing fees | 7,245 | 5,524 | 13,555 | 11,087 |
| Legal and consulting | 2,007 | 1,895 | 3,656 | 3,723 |
| Bankcard | 3,519 | 3,090 | 6,810 | 5,532 |
| Amortization of other intangibles | 286 | 186 | 504 | 371 |
| Other | 5,668 | 3,846 | 10,928 | 7,689 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 95,391 | \$ 89,901 | \$ 186,423 | \$ 181,226 |

Quarter-To-Date

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Noninterest expense (summarized in table 5) increased \$5.5 million, or 6.1 percent, for the three-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005, primarily as a result of increases in equipment, processing fees and other expense.

Table of Contents

Equipment expense consists of depreciation and amortization on equipment and software, as well as maintenance and other equipment charges. Equipment expense increased by \$1.1 million, or 9.7 percent, for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same quarter in 2005. Equipment expense increased primarily as a result of \$0.7 million of increases in depreciation and amortization expense on computer equipment and software, as well as related maintenance on hardware and software. In the second quarter of 2006, the Company added UMB Web ExchangeSM, an online banking service for businesses, and Client View, a customer relationship management software tool. The Company also upgraded its umb.com website. Management anticipates that equipment expense will continue to increase throughout 2006 as six full months of amortization and related maintenance on these recently placed-in-service software projects are recognized.

Processing fees increased by \$1.7 million, or 31.2 percent, for the three-months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. Processing fees increased primarily due to shareholder servicing and other administrative fees paid to investment advisors which correlate with the increase in net assets under management in the UMB Scout Funds. Management believes the fees paid to investment advisors should continue to correlate positively or negatively with changes in the UMB Scout Fund assets under management. Management believes that the overall quality and performance of both its investment management and UMB Scout Funds will continue to attract both increased and new investable dollars to its assets under management, which should increase such fees. Other increases to processing fees include higher on-going network fees for ATMs due primarily to the addition of Walgreens ATMs in the second half of 2005, as well as higher fees paid to third-party processors due to increased activity.

Other expense consists of several items which include insurance, charitable contributions, regulatory fees, other taxes, directors fees and other non-credit related operating losses net of recoveries. For the second quarter of 2006, other expense increased by \$1.8 million, or 47.4 percent over the same quarter in 2005. This increase was primarily a result of a \$1.5 million increase in miscellaneous operating losses, of which the largest single item was a fraud loss of \$0.2 million.

Year-To-Date

Noninterest expense (summarized in table 5) increased \$5.2 million, or 2.9 percent, for the year-to-date June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. The increase was due primarily to increases in equipment expense, marketing and business development expense, processing fees, bankcard expenses and other expense. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in salaries and benefits expense.

Salaries and employee benefits expenses decreased by \$5.4 million, or 5.4 percent, for the year-to-date June 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005. In January 2005, the Company offered a VSP to certain employees. In the first six months of 2005, a charge of \$4.4 million was taken related to the VSP program. This program was not offered in 2006.

Equipment expense increased by \$1.7 million, or 8.0 percent, for the six-months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. This year-to-date increase is primarily attributable to a \$0.9 million increase in depreciation and amortization on equipment and software. Further, maintenance related to equipment and software increased by \$1.2 million for the year-to-date June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. These increases are primarily a result of large core software projects placed in service during 2006.

Marketing and business development expenses decreased by approximately \$1.1 million, or 16.4 percent, from 2005. This is primarily attributable to the timing of deposit gathering and consumer loan marketing campaigns in the first half of 2006. Additionally, approximately \$0.4 million of business development related sponsorships that were included in other expense in the prior year are currently included as a marketing and business development expense.

Processing fee expenses increased by \$2.5 million, or 22.3 percent for the six-months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. Processing fees increased primarily due to shareholder servicing and other administrative fees paid to investment advisors which correlate with the increase in net assets under management in the Scout Funds. Other increases to processing fees include higher network fees for ATMs due primarily to the addition of Walgreen's ATMs in the second half of 2005, as well as higher fees paid to third-party processors due to increased activity.

Table of Contents

Bankcard expenses increased by \$1.3 million, or 23.1 percent for the six-months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to the same period in 2005. Bankcard expenses increased primarily due to increases in customer rebates. The Company implemented an improved consumer rebate program in the third quarter of 2005 to encourage usage of the consumer bankcard product. This program was expanded in the second quarter of 2006 to include small businesses. Management anticipates that this new program could continue to increase rebate expense in correlation with increased interchange fee income. Other increases in bankcard expense relate to higher fraud losses from credit and debit cards. Management continues to work with both the card companies and merchants to mitigate future exposure to fraud losses.

Other expense increased by \$3.2 million, or 42.1 percent, over the first half of 2005. This increase is primarily attributable to increases in operating losses net of recoveries, losses related to overdraft fee revenue write-offs, higher directors' fees and an increased provision for off-balance sheet commitments.

Income Tax Expense

The effective tax rate was 28.4 percent for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, as well as for the quarter ended June 30, 2005. For the six-months ended June 30, 2006, the effective tax rate was 27.3 percent compared to 27.8 percent for the same period in 2005. The slight decrease in effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2006, is primarily attributable to tax-exempt income representing a larger percentage of total income in 2006, as compared to 2005.

Strategic Lines of Business

The Company's operations are strategically aligned into six major segments: Commercial Banking and Lending, Payment and Technology Solutions (formerly Corporate Services), Banking Services, Consumer Services, Asset Management, and Investment Services Group. In the first quarter of 2006, the Company moved its Corporate Trust group from the Corporate Services segment to the Asset Management segment. Further, it changed the name of Corporate Services to Payment and Technology Solutions to better reflect that segment's business strategy. In addition, the Company moved UMB Financial Services from the Consumer Services segment to the Asset Management segment in order to create a unified approach to sales and relationship management to the wealth marketplace. For further information regarding the business activities of each segment, please see Footnote 8 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements above. Under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, the prior year information has been reclassified to conform to the 2006 reporting structure. The segments are differentiated by both the customers and the products and services offered. The Treasury and Other Adjustments category includes items not directly associated with the other segments.

Table 6

NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES BY SEGMENT (dollars in thousands)

| Segment | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2005 | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2005 |
| Commercial Banking & Lending | \$ 5,865 | \$ 6,246 | \$ 10,833 | \$ 9,788 |
| Payment and Technology Solutions | 8,277 | 6,932 | 14,905 | 11,465 |
| Banking Services | 537 | 927 | 1,817 | 1,659 |
| Consumer Services | (131) | 828 | 221 | 868 |
| Asset Management | 4,203 | 2,644 | 7,018 | 4,486 |
| Investment Services Group | 3,328 | 2,508 | 6,295 | 4,978 |
| Treasury and Other Adjustments | (1,327) | (1,081) | (2,463) | 1,672 |
| Total Consolidated Company | \$ 20,752 | \$ 19,004 | \$ 38,626 | \$ 34,916 |

Table of Contents

Commercial Banking and Lending's net income before tax for the six months ended June 30, 2006 increased \$1.0 million, or 10.7 percent compared to 2005. This increase is primarily attributable to higher net interest income and lower noninterest expense. These increases to net income before tax were partially offset by an increase in provision for loan loss expense. Net interest income increased \$2.4 million, or 10.1 percent, compared to 2005 due to increases in commercial loans and recent interest rate increases. Commercial loans increased by \$221 million, or 15.4 percent from June 30, 2005 to June 30, 2006. Management believes that its renewed sales focus and an expanding manufacturing sector will keep loan demand strong, thereby generating additional net interest income in this segment. Noninterest expense decreased by \$1.7 million, or 11.8 percent, primarily because of a decrease in salary expense due to a VSP charge in 2005, as well as a reduction in net full time equivalent employees from 2005.

Payment and Technology Solutions' net income before tax for the year-to-date June 30, 2006, increased by \$3.4 million, or 30.0 percent, compared to the same period in 2005. This increase was led by a significant increase in net interest income, but partially offset by a decrease in noninterest income. Net interest income increased by \$5.5 million, or 26.0 percent, for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to 2005. This increase was primarily attributable to a 7.7 percent increase in interest bearing deposits and the increase in funds transfer pricing credits on such deposits in a rising rate environment. Noninterest income decreased by \$2.5 million, or 9.1 percent for the year-to-date 2006 compared to 2005. This decrease was primarily attributable to decreases in deposit service charges due to higher earnings credits paid on compensating balances, as well as fewer corporate clients. Management anticipates that credit cards related to commercial cards and UMB Healthcare Services' cards will have increased usage due to enhanced rebate programs for commercial cards and an increased number of cards linked to a healthcare product. In the second quarter of 2006, Payment and Technology Solutions implemented UMB WebExchangeSM, a new online banking tool for businesses. Management anticipates that this new software will provide more flexible reporting and higher security, while being easier for corporate clients to use. Management anticipates an increase in noninterest expense with this segment as a result of this new software.

Banking Services' net income before tax for the year-to-date June 30, 2006 increased by \$0.2 million, or 9.5 percent compared to the same period in 2005. This increase in income was a result of noninterest expense decreasing by more than the decrease of noninterest income. Noninterest income decreased by \$1.3 million, or 8.1 percent for the six months ended June 30, 2006, as compared to 2005. This decrease was primarily a result of a decline in deposit service charge income of \$0.5 million and a \$0.6 million decrease in bond trading income. Deposit service charges are lower in this segment due to a significant increase in earnings credits on correspondent bank customer accounts. Bond trading income is down due to a two basis point decrease in margin from the sale of treasury and agency securities, which comprise the highest volume of bond trading income. Although noninterest income decreased in this segment, noninterest expense in this segment decreased by a larger amount. Noninterest expense decreased by \$1.4 million, or 9.5 percent, for the year-to-date 2006, as compared to 2005. The decrease in noninterest expense is primarily a result of a decrease in salary and benefit expense. Noninterest expense decreased because of the VSP charge in 2005, as well as decreased commissions attributable to the smaller bond trading margin discussed above.

Consumer Services' net income before tax decreased by \$0.6 million, or 74.5 percent, year-to-date compared to 2005. This decrease is attributable to increases in noninterest expense and provision for loan loss, partially offset by an increase in net interest income. Net interest income increased by \$5.8 million, or 14.9 percent for the year-to-date 2006 compared to 2005. Noninterest income remained relatively flat for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. In 2005, there were \$3.8 million in gains recognized in the consumer services' segment on the sale of land and buildings in Kansas City. In 2006, there were only \$0.6 million of gains related to the consumer services' segment. This decrease in gains was offset by increases in other noninterest income, primarily income related to overdraft and insufficient funds fees and credit card interchange fee income. Credit card interchange fees are higher due to an enhanced consumer rebate program the Company started in the third quarter of 2005. Noninterest expense increased by \$5.0 million, or 7.2 percent, primarily due to advertising, occupancy, bankcard and operating charge-offs.

Asset Management's net income before tax increased by \$2.5 million, or 56.4 percent, for the year-to-date 2006 compared to the same period of 2005. Noninterest income increased by \$3.3 million, or 10.2 percent. This was offset by a \$0.6 million, or 2.3 percent, increase in noninterest expense year-to-date. An increase in net cash inflows to the UMB Scout Funds has increased fee income compared to the same period last year. The increased size of the funds during 2006 has also increased distribution, accounting, and legal expenses related to the funds

Table of Contents

since these fees are directly related to the size of the funds. Management will continue to focus sales efforts to increase net cash inflows into the UMB Scout Funds. One of the significant causes for the increase in assets under management for the UMB Scout Funds has been through the platforms of key distributors. If a significant distributor removes the UMB Scout Funds from their platform, it could adversely affect their continued growth, and consequently, affect the Company's fee income.

Investment Services Group's net income before tax increased by \$1.3 million, or 26.5 percent, year-to-date in 2006 compared to 2005. Net interest income rose \$1.4 million, or 34.1 percent, due to increases in deposits from this segment and the related funds transfer pricing credits on these deposits. Noninterest income increased \$1.8 million, or 9.9 percent, for the six-months ended June 30, 2006 compared to 2005. This increase was primarily from increased fund service income from new clients added in late 2005 and during the first half of 2006. Management believes the new clients added will continue to increase fee income during 2006, subject to uncertainties in the mutual fund markets. Noninterest expense increased \$1.9 million, or 10.8 percent, due to increased staffing, processing and integration costs related to the support of the new clients added.

The net loss before tax for the Treasury and Other category was \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006, compared to a net income before tax of \$ 1.7 million for the same period in 2005. This is a decrease of \$4.1 million for 2006, as compared to 2005. This decrease is primarily attributable to \$3.6 million of income from the sale of employee benefit accounts to Marshall & Ilsley recognized in 2005.

Balance Sheet Analysis

Total assets of the Company declined \$615.0 million, or 7.5 percent, as of June 30, 2006 compared to December 31, 2005 and increased \$653.2 million, or 9.4 percent, compared to June 30, 2005. The decrease in assets from December to June is primarily a result of lower short-term agency securities. Total deposits and securities sold under agreement to repurchase also declined. This decline in deposits and securities sold under agreement to repurchase is primarily driven by the cyclical trend due to public fund tax deposits, because such tax deposits are generally higher around the end of the calendar year.

Table 7

SELECTED BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION (dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, | | December 31, |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2005 |
| Total assets | \$ 7,632,832 | \$ 6,979,652 | \$ 8,247,789 |
| Loans, net of unearned interest | 3,547,531 | 3,194,774 | 3,393,404 |
| Total investment securities | 2,641,748 | 2,800,719 | 3,463,817 |
| Total deposits | 5,751,836 | 5,065,698 | 5,920,822 |
| Total borrowed funds | 995,049 | 1,041,288 | 1,434,504 |

Loans

Total loan balances including loans held for sale have increased by \$414.9 million, or 13.0 percent, compared to June 30, 2005 and increased \$216.3 million, or 6.4 percent, compared to December 31, 2005. In addition to the increase in loans, the mix of loans to overall earning assets was favorable. Total loans and loans held for sale as a percent of earning assets were 53.3 percent, 51.2 percent and 46.9 percent for June 30, 2006; June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These increases were a result of increased focus on new commercial and consumer loan relationships, as well as an emphasis on home equity lines of credit.

Loans represent the Company's largest source of interest income. In addition to growing the Commercial Loan Portfolio, management plans to focus on its middle market business and consumer areas as these market niches represent its best opportunity to cross-sell fee-related services, such as cash management.

Nonaccrual, past due and restructured loans are discussed under **Credit Risk Management** within the Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk in Item 3 of this report.

Table of Contents**Securities**

The Company's security portfolio provides liquidity as a result of the composition and average life of the underlying securities. This liquidity can be used to fund loan growth or to offset the outflow of traditional funding sources. In addition to providing a potential source of liquidity, the security portfolio can be used as a tool to manage interest rate sensitivity. The Company's goal in the management of its securities portfolio is to maximize return within the Company's parameters of liquidity goals, interest rate risk and credit risk. The Company maintains very high liquidity levels while investing in only high-grade securities. The security portfolio generates the Company's second largest component of interest income.

Investment securities comprised 39.9 percent, 44.9 percent and 47.9 percent respectively, of the earning assets as of June 30, 2006, June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2005. The decline from June 30, 2005 is primarily due to a shift from short-term investments to securities purchased with an agreement to resell. The Company generally offsets short-term public fund deposits with either short-term investments such as discount agency notes or with securities purchased with an agreement to resell. The decision to utilize discount agency notes or resell agreements is primarily a function of rate. The decline in investment securities from year end is primarily a result of the seasonality in the Company's balance sheet. At year end, there is an increase in public fund deposits and repurchase agreements as governmental units receive tax dollars. Management expects loan demand and collateral pledging requirements for public fund deposits to be the primary factors impacting changes in the level of security holdings.

Investment securities had an average tax-equivalent yield of 4.18 percent for the first six months of 2006, as compared to 3.06 percent for the same period in 2005, an increase of 112 basis points. The average life of the securities portfolio was 33.6 months at June 30, 2006, as compared to 23.0 months at December 31, 2005. The most significant reason for the increase in average life was the large number of extremely short-term discount notes held at December 31, 2005. These short-term securities are held due to the seasonal fluctuation related to public fund deposits which are expected to flow out of the bank in a relatively short period. At December 31, 2005, the amount of such discount notes was approximately \$840 million, and without these discount notes, the average life of the core investment portfolio would have been 30.4 months. At June 30, 2006, the amount of such discount notes was approximately \$2.5 million, and without these discount notes, the average life of the core investment portfolio would have been 33.6 months.

Deposits and Borrowed Funds

Deposits decreased \$169.0 million, or 2.9 percent, from December 31, 2005 to June 30, 2006. Noninterest bearing deposits increased \$83.2 million, or 4.1 percent, and interest bearing deposits decreased \$252.2 million, or 6.5 percent, from December 31, 2005. The interest bearing deposits decreased primarily as a result of seasonal public fund deposit decreases during the first half of 2006. At June 30, 2005, total deposits were \$5.1 billion. This represents a 13.5 percent increase in total deposits at June 30, 2006, as compared to June 30, 2005. This increase from June 30, 2005 is primarily a result of the Company's consumer deposit gathering campaigns.

Deposits represent the Company's primary funding source for its asset base. In addition to the core deposits garnered by the Company's retail branch structure, the Company continues to focus on its cash management services, as well as its trust and mutual fund servicing lines of business in order to attract and retain additional core deposits and commercial funding customers. Management believes Treasury Management is one of the Company's core competencies given both its scale and competitive product mix.

Borrowed funds decreased \$46.2 million compared to June 30, 2005, and decreased \$439.4 million from December 31, 2005. Borrowed funds are typically higher at year end due to repurchase agreements related to public funds. Borrowings other than repurchase agreements are a function of the source and use of funds and will fluctuate to cover short term gaps in funding.

Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase totaled \$934.1 million at June 30, 2006, compared to \$979.5 million at June 30, 2005 and \$1.4 billion at December 31, 2005. Repurchase agreements are transactions involving the exchange of investment funds by the customer for securities by the Company under an agreement to repurchase the same or similar issues at an agreed-upon price and date.

Table of Contents**Capital and Liquidity**

The Company places a significant emphasis on the maintenance of a strong capital position, which management believes promotes investor confidence, provides access to funding sources under favorable terms, and enhances the Company's ability to capitalize on business growth and acquisition opportunities. Management manages capital for each subsidiary based upon the subsidiary's respective risks and growth opportunities as well as regulatory requirements.

Higher levels of liquidity, however, bear corresponding costs, measured in terms of lower yields on short-term assets, more liquid earning assets and higher expenses for extended liability maturities.

On April 25, 2006, the Company announced an amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation authorizing an increase in the Company's authorized shares of common stock from 33 million shares to 80 million shares. The Board of Directors subsequently declared a two-for-one stock split of UMB Financial Corporation common stock. On May 30, 2006, 21,430,099 of shares were distributed to shareholders of record on May 16, 2006.

Total shareholders' equity was \$822.9 million at June 30, 2006, compared to \$827.0 million at June 30, 2005 and \$833.5 million at December 31, 2005. The Company's Board of Directors authorized at its April 26, 2005 and April 29, 2004 meetings the repurchase of the Company's common stock up to one million shares during the 12 months following each respective meeting. During the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company acquired 176,746 shares and 238,770 shares, respectively, of its common stock. The Company has not made any purchases other than through these plans. On April 25, 2006, the Company announced a plan to repurchase up to two million shares of common stock. This plan will terminate on April 25, 2007.

Risk-based capital guidelines established by regulatory agencies set minimum capital standards based on the level of risk associated with a financial institution's assets. A financial institution's total capital is required to equal at least 8 percent of risk-weighted assets. At least half of that 8 percent must consist of Tier 1 core capital, and the remainder may be Tier 2 supplementary capital. The risk-based capital guidelines indicate the specific risk weightings by asset types. Certain off-balance-sheet items (such as standby letters of credit and binding loan commitments) are multiplied by credit conversion factors to translate them into balance sheet equivalents before assigning them specific risk weightings. Due to the Company's high level of core capital and substantial portion of earning assets invested in government securities, the Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 15.35 percent and total risk-based capital ratio of 16.19 percent substantially exceed the regulatory minimums.

For further discussion of capital and liquidity, please see "Liquidity Risk" under Risk Management of Item 3 in this report.

Table 8

The Company's capital position is summarized in the table below and exceeds regulatory requirements:

| | Six Months Ended | | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| RATIOS | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Return on average assets | 0.75% | 0.72% | 0.80% | 0.79% |
| Return on average equity | 6.79 | 6.15 | 7.15 | 6.59 |
| Average equity to assets | 11.03 | 11.76 | 11.24 | 12.03 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | 15.35 | 17.58 | 15.35 | 17.58 |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | 16.19 | 18.50 | 16.19 | 18.50 |
| Leverage ratio | 10.79 | 11.12 | 10.79 | 11.12 |

The Company's per share data is summarized in the table below.

Six Months Ended Three Months Ended

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| Per Share Data | June 30, | | June 30, | |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Earnings basic | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.58 | \$ 0.35 | \$ 0.32 |
| Earnings diluted | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.35 | 0.31 |
| Cash dividends | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| Dividend payout ratio | 39.39% | 37.93% | 37.14% | 34.92% |
| Book value | \$ 19.28 | \$ 19.18 | \$ 19.28 | \$ 19.18 |

Table of Contents

The per share data presented for all periods has been restated to give retroactive effect, as applicable, to the two-for-one stock split declared in April 2006, which became effective May 30, 2006.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company's main off-balance sheet arrangements are loan commitments, commercial and standby letters of credit, futures contracts and forward exchange contracts, which have maturity dates rather than payment due dates. Please see note 8, "Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees" in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for detailed information on these arrangements. There was no material change from December 31, 2005.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of financial condition and results of operations discusses the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to customers and suppliers, allowance for loan losses, bad debts, investments, financing operations, long-lived assets, contingencies and litigation. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which have formed the basis for making such judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from the recorded estimates under different assumptions or conditions. A summary of critical accounting policies are listed in the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section of the Company's 2005 Annual Report Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK****Risk Management**

Market risk is a broad term for the risk of economic loss due to adverse changes in the fair value of a financial instrument. These changes may be the result of various factors, including interest rates, foreign exchange prices, commodity prices or equity prices. Financial instruments that are subject to market risk can be classified either as held for trading or held for purposes other than trading.

The Company is subject to market risk primarily through the effect of changes in interest rates on assets held for purposes other than trading. The following discussion of interest rate risk, however, combines instruments held for trading and instruments held for purposes other than trading because the instruments held for trading represent such a small portion of the Company's portfolio that the interest rate risk associated with them is immaterial.

Interest Rate Risk

In the banking industry, a major risk exposure is changing interest rates. To minimize the effect of interest rate changes to net interest income and exposure levels to economic losses, the Company manages its exposure to changes in interest rates through asset and liability management within guidelines established by its Funds Management Committee (FMC) and approved by the Company's Board of Directors. The FMC has the responsibility for approving and ensuring compliance with asset/liability management policies, including interest rate exposure. The Company's primary method for measuring and analyzing consolidated interest rate risk is the Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis. The Company also uses a Net Portfolio Value model to measure market value risk under various rate change scenarios and a gap analysis to measure maturity and repricing relationships between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities at specific points in time. The Company does not use hedges or swaps to manage interest rate risk except for limited use of futures contracts to offset interest rate risk on certain securities held in its trading portfolio.

Overall, the Company manages interest rate risk by positioning the balance sheet to maximize net interest income while maintaining a level of interest rate and credit risk acceptable to management, which remains mindful of the relationship among profitability, liquidity, interest rate risk and credit risk.

Net Interest Income Modeling

The Company's primary interest rate risk tool, the Net Interest Income Simulation Analysis, measures interest rate risk and the effect of interest rate changes on net interest income and net interest margin. This analysis incorporates substantially all of the Company's assets and liabilities together with forecasted changes in the balance sheet and assumptions that reflect the current interest rate environment. Through these simulations management estimates the impact on net interest income of a 200 basis point upward or downward gradual change (e.g. ramp) of market interest rates over a one year period. Assumptions are made to project rates for new loans and deposits based on historical analysis, management outlook and repricing strategies. Asset prepayments and other market risks are developed from industry estimates of prepayment speeds and other market changes. Since the results of these simulations can be significantly influenced by assumptions utilized, management evaluates the sensitivity of the simulation results to changes in assumptions.

Table 9 shows the net interest income increase or decrease over the next twelve months as of June 30, 2006 and 2005 based on hypothetical changes in interest rates.

Table 9

MARKET RISK (dollars in thousands)

| Hypothetical change | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| in interest rate | June 30, 2006 | June 30, 2005 |
| (Rates in Basis Points) | Amount of change | Amount of change |
| 200 | \$ 1,608 | \$ 2,574 |
| 100 | 804 | 1,287 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------|-------|---------|
| Static | | |
| (100) | (80) | (2,463) |
| (200) | (159) | (4,926) |

Table of Contents

The Company is less sensitive to an increase or decrease in rates than a year ago. This is due to the fact that overall rates were higher in 2006 than in 2005, the Company's average life of the investment portfolio has gradually lengthened and the Company has more time-deposits than a year ago. The Company anticipates that a decrease in interest rates would have a modest adverse affect on income due to the increase in time-deposits, as well as an increase in the amount of variable rate loans. These variable rate loans could reprice downward in a falling interest rate environment. However, management believes that under the same asset sensitivity analysis, the Company would be favorably affected by a gradual increase in interest rates.

Repricing Mismatch Analysis

The Company also evaluates its interest rate sensitivity position in an attempt to maintain a balance between the amount of interest-bearing assets and interest-bearing liabilities which are expected to mature or reprice at any point in time. While a traditional repricing mismatch analysis (gap analysis) provides a snapshot of interest rate risk, it does not take into consideration that assets and liabilities with similar repricing characteristics may not in fact reprice at the same time or the same degree. Also, it does not necessarily predict the impact of changes in general levels of interest rates on net interest income.

Management attempts to structure the balance sheet to provide for the repricing of approximately equal amounts of assets and liabilities within specific time intervals. The Company is in a positive gap position because assets maturing or repricing exceed liabilities.

Trading Account

The Company's subsidiary UMB Bank, n.a. carries taxable governmental securities in a trading account that is maintained according to a Board-approved policy and relevant procedures. The policy limits the amount and type of securities that can be carried in the trading account as well as requiring that any limits under applicable law and regulations also be complied with, and mandates the use of a value at risk methodology to manage price volatility risks within financial parameters. The risk associated with the carrying of trading securities is offset by the sale of exchange traded financial futures contracts, with both the trading account and futures contracts marked to market daily. This account had a balance of \$63.8 million as of June 30, 2006 compared to \$64.0 million as of June 30, 2005 and \$58.5 million as of December 31, 2005.

The Manager of the Investment Banking Division of UMB Bank, n.a. presents documentation of the methodology used in determining value at risk at least annually to the Board for approval in compliance with OCC Banking Circular 277, Risk Management of Financial Derivatives, and other banking laws and regulations. The aggregate value at risk is reviewed quarterly. The aggregate value at risk in the trading account was insignificant as of June 30, 2006 and 2005 and December 31, 2005.

Other Market Risk

The Company does not have material commodity price risks or derivative risks. The Company also has foreign currency risks as a result of foreign exchange contracts. Please see Note 8 Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees in the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Credit Risk Management

Credit risk represents the risk that a customer or counterparty may not perform in accordance with contractual terms. Credit risk is inherent in the financial services business and results from extending credit to customers. The Company utilizes a centralized credit administration function, which provides information on affiliate bank risk levels, delinquencies, an internal ranking system and overall credit exposure. In addition,

Table of Contents

management centrally reviews loan requests to help ensure the consistent application of the loan policy and standards. The Company has an internal loan review staff that operates independently of the affiliate banks. This review team performs periodic examinations of each bank's loans for credit quality, documentation and loan administration. The respective regulatory authority of each affiliate bank also reviews loan portfolios.

The Company also helps ensure loan quality through diversification. By keeping its loan portfolio diversified, management believes the Company has avoided problems associated with undue concentrations of loans within particular industries. Management believes the Company has no significant exposure to highly leveraged transactions and has no foreign credits in its loan portfolio.

A primary indicator of credit quality and risk management is the level of nonperforming loans. Nonperforming loans include both nonaccrual loans and restructured loans. The Company's nonperforming loans increased \$1.5 million at June 30, 2006, compared to June 30, 2005 and increased \$3.2 million compared to December 31, 2005.

The Company had other real estate owned as of June 30, 2006 of \$40,000 compared to \$35,000 as of June 30, 2005 and none as of December 31, 2005. Loans past due more than 90 days totaled \$4.8 million as of June 30, 2006, compared to \$5.9 million as of June 30, 2005 and \$4.8 million as of December 31, 2005.

As a percent of total loans outstanding, nonperforming assets plus loans 90 days or more past due have decreased from 0.41 percent at June 30, 2005 to 0.37 percent at June 30, 2006. At December 31, 2005, the ratio of nonperforming assets plus loans 90 days or more past due to total loans outstanding was 0.30 percent.

A loan is generally placed on nonaccrual status when payments are past due 90 days or more and/or when management has considerable doubt about the borrower's ability to repay on the terms originally contracted. The accrual of interest is discontinued and recorded thereafter only when actually received in cash.

Certain loans are restructured to provide a reduction or deferral of interest or principal due to deterioration in the financial condition of the respective borrowers. The Company had no restructured loans at June 30, 2006 or December 31, 2005.

TABLE 10
LOAN QUALITY (dollars in thousands)

| | June 30, | | December 31, |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2005 |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 8,606 | \$ 6,857 | \$ 5,439 |
| Restructured loans | | 289 | |
| Total nonperforming loans | 8,606 | 7,146 | 5,439 |
| Other real estate owned | 40 | 35 | |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 8,646 | \$ 7,181 | \$ 5,439 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more | \$ 4,773 | \$ 5,945 | \$ 4,829 |
| Allowance for Loan Losses | 42,120 | 39,756 | 40,825 |
| Ratios | | | |
| Nonperforming loans as a percent of loans | 0.24% | 0.22% | 0.16% |
| Nonperforming assets as a percent of loans plus other real estate owned | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.16 |
| Nonperforming assets as a percent of total assets | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.07 |
| Loans past due 90 days or more as a percent of loans | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.14 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percent of loans | 1.17 | 1.24 | 1.21 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a multiple of nonperforming loans | 4.89x | 5.56x | 7.51x |

Table of Contents**Liquidity Risk**

Liquidity represents the Company's ability to meet financial commitments through the maturity and sale of existing assets or availability of additional funds. Management believes the most important factor in the preservation of liquidity is maintaining public confidence that facilitates the retention and growth of a large, stable supply of core deposits and wholesale funds. Ultimately, management believes that public confidence is generated through profitable operations, sound credit quality and a strong capital position. The primary source of liquidity for the Company is regularly scheduled payments on and maturity of assets, which include \$2.5 billion of high-quality available for sale securities. The liquidity of the Company and its affiliate banks is also enhanced by its activity in the federal funds market and by its core deposits. Neither the Company nor its subsidiaries are active in the debt market. The traditional funding source for the Company's subsidiary banks has been core deposits. The Company has not issued any debt since 1993 when \$25 million of medium-term notes were issued to fund bank acquisitions. Prior to being paid off in February 2003 these notes were rated A3 by Moody's Investor Service and A- by Standard and Poor's. Based upon regular contact with investment banking firms, management is confident in its ability to raise debt or equity capital on favorable terms, should the need arise.

The Company also has other commercial commitments that may impact liquidity. These commitments include unused commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and financial guarantees, and commercial letters of credit. The total amount of these commercial commitments at June 30, 2006 was \$2.5 billion. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total amount of these commercial commitments does not necessarily represent the future cash requirements of the Company.

The Company's cash requirements consist primarily of dividends to shareholders, debt service and treasury stock purchases. Management fees and dividends received from subsidiary banks traditionally have been sufficient to satisfy these requirements and management expects them to be sufficient in the future. The Company's subsidiary banks are subject to various rules regarding payment of dividends to the Company. For the most part, all banks can pay dividends at least equal to their current year's earnings without seeking prior regulatory approval. From time to time, the Company has requested approvals to allow a subsidiary bank to pay a dividend in excess of its current earnings. All such requests have been approved by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.

Operational Risk

The Company is exposed to numerous types of operational risk. Operational risk generally refers to the risk of loss resulting from the Company's operations, including, but not limited to: the risk of fraud by employees or persons outside the Company, the execution of unauthorized transactions by employees or others, errors relating to transaction processing and systems, and breaches of the internal control system and compliance requirements. This risk of loss also includes the potential legal or regulatory actions that could arise as a result of an operational deficiency, or as a result of noncompliance with applicable regulatory standards. Included in the legal and regulatory issues with which the Company must comply are a number of recently imposed rules resulting from the enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The Company operates in many markets and places reliance on the ability of its employees and systems to properly process a high number of transactions. In the event of a breakdown in the internal control systems, improper operation of systems or improper employee actions, the Company could suffer financial loss, face regulatory action and suffer damage to its reputation. In order to address this risk, management maintains a system of internal controls with the objective of providing proper transaction authorization and execution, safeguarding of assets from misuse or theft, and ensuring the reliability of financial and other data.

The Company maintains systems of controls that provide management with timely and accurate information about the Company's operations. These systems have been designed to manage operational risk at appropriate levels given the Company's financial strength, the environment in which it operates, and considering factors such as competition and regulation. The Company has also established procedures that are designed to ensure that policies relating to conduct, ethics and business practices are followed on a uniform basis. In certain cases, the Company has experienced losses from operational risk. Such losses have included the effects of operational errors that the Company has discovered and included as expense in the statement of income. While there can be no assurance that the Company will not suffer such losses in the future, management continually monitors and works to improve its internal controls, systems and corporate-wide processes and procedures.

Table of Contents

Furthermore, management believes the plans to streamline the organization through further systems integration and policies enacted to push down reporting accountabilities further in the organization have improved the Company's ability to identify and limit operational risk.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 requires chief executive officers and chief financial officers to make certain certifications with respect to this report and to the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. The Company has a Code of Ethics that expresses the values that drive employee behavior and maintains the Company's commitment to the highest standards of ethics.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's Disclosure Controls and Procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective for recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files under the Exchange Act. Disclosure Controls and Procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in such reports is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer or officers and principal financial officer or officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

While the Company believes that its existing disclosure controls and procedures have been effective to accomplish the Company's objectives, the Company intends to continue to examine, refine, and formalize its disclosure controls and procedures and to monitor ongoing developments in this area.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any significant changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II - OTHER INFORMATION****ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

In the normal course of business, the Company and its subsidiaries are named defendants in various lawsuits and counter-claims. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, none of these lawsuits are expected to have a materially adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There were no material changes to the risk factors as previously disclosed in response to Item 1A to Part 1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The table below sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Company or any affiliated purchaser (as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) of the Company's common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2006.

ISSUER PURCHASE OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased | (b) Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit) | (c) Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | |
| April 1-April 30, 2006 | 208 | \$ 35.06 | 208 | 691,886 |
| May 1-May 31, 2006 | | | | 2,000,000 |
| June 1-June 30, 2006 | 176,538 | 32.96 | 176,538 | 1,823,462 |

On April 26, 2005, the Company announced a plan to repurchase up to one million shares of common stock. This plan terminated on April 26, 2006. On April 25, 2006 the Company announced a plan to repurchase up to two million shares of common stock. This plan will terminate on April 25, 2007. The Company has not made any repurchases other than through these plans. All shares purchased under the share repurchase plans are intended to be within the scope of Rule 10b-18 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Rule 10b-18 provides a safe harbor for purchases in a given day if the Company satisfies the manner, timing and volume conditions of the rule when purchasing its own common shares.

On April 25, 2006, the Company announced an amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation authorizing an increase in the Company's authorized shares of common stock from 33 million shares to 80 million shares. The Board of Directors subsequently declared a two-for-one stock split of UMB Financial Corporation common stock. On May 30, 2006, 21,430,099 of shares were distributed to shareholders of record on May 16, 2006.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Table of Contents**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITIES HOLDERS**

The Company held its annual meeting of shareholders on April 25, 2006. Proxies for the meeting were solicited pursuant to Regulation 14 of the Exchange Act, and there was no solicitation in opposition to management's nominees listed in the proxy statement. At the meeting the shareholders approved the following proposals:

1. Approval of six Class III directors to serve until the annual meeting in 2009.

| Directors Class III | For | Withheld |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Michael J. Chesser | 17,285,871 | 1,467,104 |
| J. Mariner Kemper | 17,106,878 | 1,467,104 |
| John H. Mize, Jr. | 17,164,783 | 1,467,104 |
| Thomas D. Sanders | 17,158,300 | 1,467,104 |
| L. Joshua Sosland | 17,282,798 | 1,467,104 |
| Dr. Jon Wefald | 17,292,726 | 1,467,104 |

Directors Who Will Continue In Office**Class II Terms expiring in 2008**

Theodore M. Armstrong

Greg M. Graves

Richard Harvey

Paul Uhlmann III

Class I Terms expiring in 2007

David R. Bradley, Jr.

Peter J. deSilva

Terrence P. Dunn

Alexander C. Kemper

Kris A. Robbins

2. Ratification of the Audit Committee's retention of Deloitte & Touche LLP to serve as the Company's independent auditors and to examine and audit the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the fiscal year 2006.

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 18,329,148 |
| Against | 187,511 |
| Abstain | 165,671 |

Edgar Filing: UMB FINANCIAL CORP - Form 10-Q

3. Approval of the amendment to the Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share from 33,000,000 to 80,000,000.

| | |
|---------|------------|
| For | 12,178,205 |
| Against | 6,419,677 |
| Abstain | 84,448 |

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

a) The following exhibits are filed herewith:

- i. 3.1 Articles of Incorporation restated as of April 25, 2006. Amended Article III was filed with the Missouri Secretary of State on May 18, 2006 and incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 and filed with the Commission on May 9, 2006.
- ii. 3.2 Bylaws, restated as of January 25, 2005 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and filed with the Commission on March 14, 2005.
- iii. 4 Description of the Registrant's common stock in Amendment No. 1 on Form 8, incorporated by reference to its General Form for Registration of Securities on Form 10 dated March 5, 1993.
- iv. 10.1 Employment offer letter between the Company and Clyde Wendel dated June 8, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 9, 2006.
- v. 31.1 CEO Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- vi. 31.2 CFO Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- vii. 32.1 CEO Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- viii. 32.2 CFO Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

UMB FINANCIAL CORPORATION

/s/ Christopher G. Treece

Christopher G. Treece

Senior Vice President, Controller

(Authorized Officer and Chief Accounting Officer)

Date: August 8, 2006