

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
Form 10-K  
February 29, 2012  
[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

x **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2011**

**OR**

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from            to**

**Commission File No. 1-6300**

**PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST**

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

<b>Pennsylvania</b> (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	<b>23-6216339</b> (IRS Employer Identification No.)
<b>The Bellevue</b>  <b>200 South Broad Street</b>  <b>Philadelphia, Pennsylvania</b> (Address of principal executive offices)	<b>19102</b> (Zip Code)
<b>Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (215) 875-0700</b>	

**Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<b>Title of each class</b>	<b>Name of each exchange on which registered</b>
Shares of Beneficial Interest, par value \$1.00 per share	New York Stock Exchange
<b>Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None</b>	

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the Registrant was

**Table of Contents**

required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in the definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value, as of June 30, 2011, of the shares of beneficial interest, par value \$1.00 per share, of the Registrant held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$821.7 million. (Aggregate market value is estimated solely for the purposes of this report and shall not be construed as an admission for the purposes of determining affiliate status.)

On February 27, 2012, 55,486,080 shares of beneficial interest, par value \$1.00 per share, of the Registrant were outstanding.

**Documents Incorporated by Reference**

Portions of the Registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

**Table of Contents**

**PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST**

**ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**

**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<u>Forward Looking Statements</u>	<b>Page</b> 1
<b>PART I</b>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	2
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	12
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	29
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	30
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	40
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	40
<b>PART II</b>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	41
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	42
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	43
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	71
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	72
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	72
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	72
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	72
<b>PART III</b>	
Item 10. <u>Trustees, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	73
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	73
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u>	73
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Trustee Independence</u>	73
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	73
<b>PART IV</b>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	73
<u>Signatures</u>	80
Financial Statements	F-1

**Table of Contents**

**FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contain certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations, beliefs, projections, future plans, strategies, anticipated events, trends and other matters that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views about future events, achievements or results and are subject to risks, uncertainties and changes in circumstances that might cause future events, achievements or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In particular, our business might be materially and adversely affected by uncertainties affecting real estate businesses generally as well as the following, among other factors:

our substantial debt and our high leverage ratio;

constraining leverage, interest and tangible net worth covenants under our 2010 Credit Facility;

our ability to refinance our existing indebtedness when it matures, on favorable terms or at all, due in part to the effects on us of dislocations and liquidity disruptions in the capital and credit markets;

our ability to raise capital, including through the issuance of equity or equity-related securities if market conditions are favorable, through joint ventures or other partnerships, through sales of properties or interests in properties, or through other actions;

our short- and long-term liquidity position;

current economic conditions and their effect on employment, consumer confidence and spending and the corresponding effects on tenant business performance, prospects, solvency and leasing decisions and on our cash flows, and the value and potential impairment of our properties;

general economic, financial and political conditions, including credit market conditions, changes in interest rates or unemployment;

changes in the retail industry, including consolidation and store closings, particularly among anchor tenants;

our ability to maintain and increase property occupancy, sales and rental rates, in light of the relatively high number of leases that have expired or are expiring in the next two years;

increases in operating costs that cannot be passed on to tenants;

risks relating to development and redevelopment activities;

the effects of online shopping and other uses of technology on our retail tenants;

## Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

concentration of our properties in the Mid-Atlantic region;

changes in local market conditions, such as the supply of or demand for retail space, or other competitive factors;

potential dilution from any capital raising transactions;

possible environmental liabilities;

our ability to obtain insurance at a reasonable cost; and

existence of complex regulations, including those relating to our status as a REIT, and the adverse consequences if we were to fail to qualify as a REIT.

Additional factors that might cause future events, achievements or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include those discussed in the section entitled "Item 1A. Risk Factors." We do not intend to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information, future events or otherwise.

Except as the context otherwise requires, references in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "we," "our," "us," the "Company" and "PREIT" refer to Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust and its subsidiaries, including our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, L.P. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "PREIT Associates" refer to PREIT Associates, L.P. References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to "PRI" refer to PREIT-RUBIN, Inc., which is a taxable REIT subsidiary of the Company.

**Table of Contents**

**PART I**

**ITEM 1. BUSINESS.  
OVERVIEW**

Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust, a Pennsylvania business trust founded in 1960 and one of the first equity REITs in the United States, has a primary investment focus on retail shopping malls and strip and power centers located in the eastern half of the United States, primarily in the Mid-Atlantic region. Our portfolio currently consists of a total of 49 properties in 13 states, including 38 enclosed malls, eight strip and power centers and three development properties. The operating retail properties have a total of 33.1 million square feet. The operating retail properties that we consolidate for financial reporting purposes have a total of 28.5 million square feet, of which we own 22.8 million square feet. The operating retail properties that are owned by unconsolidated partnerships with third parties have a total of 4.6 million square feet, of which 2.9 million square feet are owned by such partnerships. The development portion of our portfolio contains three properties in two states, with two classified as mixed use (a combination of retail and other uses) and one classified as other.

We are a fully integrated, self-managed and self-administered REIT that has elected to be treated as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. In general, we are required each year to distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our net taxable income and to meet certain other requirements in order to maintain the favorable tax treatment associated with qualifying as a REIT.

**RETAIL BUSINESS**

We are primarily engaged in the ownership, management, leasing, development, redevelopment and acquisition of enclosed malls and strip and power centers. In general, our malls include national or regional department stores, large format retailers or other anchors and a diverse mix of national, regional and local in-line stores offering apparel (women's, family, teen), shoes, eyewear, cards and gifts, jewelry, books/music/movies, electronics and sporting goods, among other things. To enhance the experience for shoppers, most of our malls have restaurants and/or food courts and some of the malls have multi-screen movie theaters and other entertainment options, either as part of the mall or on outparcels around the perimeter of the mall property. In addition, many of our malls also have restaurants, banks or other stores located on outparcels. In their geographic trade areas, our malls frequently serve as a central place for community, promotional and charitable events.

The largest mall in our retail portfolio is 1.3 million square feet and contains 161 stores, and the smallest is 0.4 million square feet and contains 52 stores. The power centers in our retail portfolio range from 300,000 to 780,000 square feet, while the strip centers range from 230,000 square feet to 275,000 square feet. We derive the substantial majority of our revenue from rent received under leases with tenants for space at retail properties in our real estate portfolio. In general, our leases require tenants to pay minimum rent, which is a fixed amount specified in the lease, and which is often subject to scheduled increases during the term of the lease for longer term leases. In 2011, 66% of the new leases that we signed contained scheduled rent increases, and these increases, which are typically scheduled to occur on one or two occasions during the term, ranged from 0.9% to 105%. In addition or in the alternative, certain tenants are required to pay percentage rent, which can be either a percentage of their sales revenue that exceeds certain levels specified in their lease agreements, or a percentage of their total sales revenue. Also, many of our leases provide that the tenant will reimburse us for certain expenses for common area maintenance (CAM), real estate taxes, utilities, insurance and other operating expenses incurred in the operation of the retail properties subject, in some cases, to certain limitations. The proportion of the expenses for which tenants are responsible is generally related to the tenant's pro rata share of space at the property. In recent years, our properties are experiencing a trend towards more leases that provide for the rent amount to be determined on the basis of a percentage of sales in lieu of minimum rent, as well as more gross leases (leases that provide that tenants pay a higher minimum rent amount in lieu of contributing toward common area maintenance costs and real estate taxes). In-line stores typically generate a majority of the revenue of a mall, with a relatively small proportion coming from anchor tenants, junior anchors or large format retailers.

## **Table of Contents**

Retail real estate industry participants sometimes classify malls based on the average sales per square foot of in-line mall tenants, the population and average household income of the trade area and the geographic market, the growth rates of the population and average household income in the trade area and geographic market, and numerous other factors. Based on these factors, in general, malls that have high average sales per square foot and are in trade areas with large populations and high household incomes and/or growth rates are considered Class A malls, malls with average sales per square foot that are in the middle range of population or household income and/or growth rates are considered Class B malls, and malls with lower average sales and smaller populations and lower household incomes and/or growth rates are considered Class C malls. Although these classifications are defined differently by different market participants, in general, some of our malls are in the Class A range and many might be classified as Class B or Class C properties. The classification of a mall can change, and one of the goals of our recent redevelopment program has been to increase the average sales per square foot of certain of our properties and thus potentially its class, and correspondingly increase its rental income and cash flows in order to maximize the value of the property.

## **RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

### ***Operating Performance***

During 2011, the slow and fragile recovery from the significant recent recession continued. The economy grew overall at a very slow pace, and unemployment decreased slightly, but remained high compared to pre-recession levels. Consumer confidence generally increased during the first half of the year, but decreased during the second half, we believe triggered in part by international economic concerns, domestic government budget discord and deficits, and continued housing market uncertainty. In general, retail sales levels have been solid, due in part to the performance of higher end operators, but overall have not returned to the levels recorded a few years ago. Challenging conditions and suboptimal performance have affected the ability of some of our current tenants to meet their obligations to us and have continued to cause many retailers to delay decisions regarding the openings of new retail stores or lease renewals, or to seek better lease terms, which has affected our ability to sustain or increase rental rates.

Amidst these conditions, we produced improvements in operating metrics. For the year ended December 31, 2011, sales per square foot at enclosed malls increased 4.3%, or \$15, to \$365, including consolidated and unconsolidated properties. Sales per square foot have increased over the prior year period and have increased sequentially for eight consecutive quarters. Six properties generated sales of \$400 per square foot or more, including consolidated and unconsolidated properties, and there were increases at 31 of our 38 malls, which helped our leasing activity. Total occupancy for our retail portfolio increased 50 basis points to 93.0%, and mall occupancy increased 80 basis points to 92.9%, including consolidated and unconsolidated properties (and including all tenants irrespective of the term of their agreement). We have successfully re-leased all but one of the 11 stores previously operated by Borders Group, Inc., which filed for bankruptcy protection and liquidated in 2011, through new leases, expansions and combinations. Same store net operating income, a non GAAP measure, increased by 0.5% over 2010. Excluding lease termination revenue, same store net operating income increased by 1.0%. GAAP net loss increased by 73% due to impairment charges in 2011, offset by lower expenses. However, as further described above and in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, our properties have experienced, and might continue to experience, a trend toward more gross leases, leases providing for fixed CAM or caps in the rate of annual increases in CAM, and leases that provide for the rent amount to be determined on the basis of a percentage of sales in lieu of minimum rent, with no contribution toward CAM costs and real estate taxes.

### ***Credit Facility***

In March 2010, we had entered into a credit facility, which consisted of a revolving line of credit with an original capacity of \$150.0 million (the Revolving Facility) and term loans with an original aggregate balance of \$520.0 million and, after significant repayments in 2010, a balance of \$340.0 million (collectively, the 2010 Term Loan and, together with the Revolving Facility, and as amended as described herein, the 2010 Credit Facility). All capitalized terms used in this section and not otherwise defined have the meanings ascribed to them in the 2010 Credit Facility.

In June 2011, we amended our 2010 Credit Facility, whereby the capacity of the Revolving Facility was increased by \$100.0 million to \$250.0 million and we repaid \$100.0 million of the 2010 Term Loan with funds borrowed from the Revolving Facility, after which the 2010 Term Loan had a balance of \$240.0 million and the Revolving Facility had a balance of \$100.0 million. The amendment also extended the term of the 2010 Credit Facility by one year to March 10, 2014 and eliminated the mandatory pay down



---

## **Table of Contents**

requirements from capital events, among other changes. The amendment lowered the interest rate range to between 2.75% and 4.00% per annum over LIBOR, depending on our leverage. Previously, the interest rate range was between 4.00% and 4.90% per annum over LIBOR. Initially, the new rate in effect was 4.00% per annum over LIBOR and the interest rate remained 4.00% over LIBOR at December 31, 2011. The amendment also modified several of the financial covenants under the 2010 Credit Facility. The maximum permitted leverage ratio has been reduced to 70% from 75%, and the Corporate Debt Yield, as defined, is required to be at least 9.50% until March 30, 2012, then at least 9.75% for the next year, and at least 10.00% after March 31, 2013. The maximum amount that may be borrowed under the 2010 Credit Facility is subject to a minimum facility debt yield of 9.75%, based on the net operating income of our Collateral Properties. In February 2012, following the pay down of the Revolving Facility utilizing the proceeds from the new mortgage loan on Capital City Mall, there was \$30.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Facility and the unused portion that was available to us was \$220.0 million.

### ***Mortgage Loan Activity***

We, or partnerships in which we own interests, entered into new or refinanced mortgage loans since January 1, 2011 for the following amounts and secured by the following properties: \$29.9 million on Red Rose Commons (50% interest), \$60.0 million on The Court at Oxford Valley (50% interest), \$27.7 million on a portion of 801 Market Street, \$87.5 million on Metroplex Shopping Center (50% interest), \$28.1 million on New River Valley Mall and \$65.8 million on Capital City Mall.

## **BUSINESS STRATEGY**

Our primary objective is to maximize the long-term value of the Company for our shareholders. To that end, our business goals are to obtain the highest possible rental income, tenant sales and occupancy at our properties in order to maximize our cash flows, funds from operations, funds available for distribution to shareholders, and other operating measures and results, and ultimately to maximize the values of our properties.

### ***Short- to Intermediate-Term Business Strategy***

Over the next few years, our business strategy is closely linked to our capital strategy, given the state of the economy generally and the state of the credit and capital markets, and hence our goals are to:

lease the space in all of our properties, especially properties where the construction for redevelopment projects has been completed, and continue our heightened focus on operating our properties, with an emphasis on matching costs with revenue;

continue to strengthen our balance sheet;

reposition some of our properties by adding a mix of uses, including alternative uses, and prudently optimize our portfolio of properties by judiciously nurturing assets that we believe have growth potential and disposing of assets that no longer meet our strategic objectives; and

pursue new initiatives designed to generate additional revenue, and match our skill sets and knowledge in property management, redevelopment and development to capital from various sources to produce favorable investment returns, all subject to the terms of the 2010 Credit Facility.

*Lease Space at Redeveloped and Other Properties and Continue our Heightened Focus on Property and Corporate Operations.* We are emphasizing our efforts to lease the available space at our completed redevelopment properties following the significant recent investments in those assets, as well as at the other properties in the balance of our portfolio. However, our leasing activities face significant challenges because of unemployment and variations in retail spending, given current economic conditions. As a result, retailer performance is uneven and uncertain, and we have experienced delays or deferred decisions regarding the openings of new retail stores. Recently, some categories of retailers have experienced improved performance, and we continue to strive to maximize our leasing efforts.

Also, we are continuing to review our property and corporate operations in detail. We are taking steps designed to increase our revenue and net operating income. We are also pursuing actions to reduce our expenses. We continue to closely track our level of general, administrative and other expenses in relation to our net operating income.

## Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

*Continue to Strengthen Our Balance Sheet.* We continue to contemplate ways to reduce our leverage through a variety of means available to us, and subject to the terms of the 2010 Credit Facility. These means might include

## **Table of Contents**

issuing common or preferred equity or equity-related securities if market conditions are favorable, entering into joint ventures or other partnerships or arrangements involving our contribution of assets, selling properties or interests in properties with values in excess of their mortgage loans or allocable debt and applying proceeds to debt reduction, or through other actions. We intend to repay in full our 4.00% Senior Exchangeable Notes due 2012 ( Exchangeable Notes ) on or before their maturity in June 2012. In addition, we might investigate opportunities to make changes to the terms of our current mortgage loans and other debt, such as by extending the maturity date or modifying other terms.

*Reposition Some of Our Properties by Adding a Mix of Uses and Prudently Optimize the Portfolio.* We look for ways to maximize the value of our assets by adding a mix of uses, such as office or multi-family residential housing, initiated either by ourselves or with a partner, that are designed to attract a greater number of people to the property. Multiple constituencies, from local governments to real estate developers to citizen groups, have indicated a preference for in-place development, development near transportation hubs, the addition of uses to existing properties, and sustainable development, as opposed to locating, acquiring and developing new green field sites. Also, if appropriate, we will seek to attract certain nontraditional tenants to these properties, including tenants using the space for purposes such as education, health care, entertainment, government and child care, which can bring larger numbers of people to the property, as well as regional, local or nontraditional retailers.

We review all of the assets in our portfolio frequently and, as the manager of this portfolio, we make determinations about which assets have growth potential and should be nurtured and receive judicious additional investment in the form of efforts or funds (subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility), and which properties or parcels do not meet the financial or strategic criteria we apply and should be divested. However, negative conditions in the credit markets might make it more difficult for us to sell properties on favorable terms to us, or at all, as prospective buyers might experience increased costs of debt financing or difficulties in obtaining debt financing.

*Pursue New Revenue Streams; Match Our Property Skills to New Sources of Capital.* We believe that we possess valuable experience in property management, redevelopment and development through our skilled and veteran employees. We have historically provided management, leasing and development services to affiliated and third-party property owners. We continue to seek opportunities to manage additional properties. In addition, we continue our efforts to determine whether there are other sources of demand for the advice or services that we can provide using our existing knowledge or property management platform.

We are pursuing opportunities to contribute our experience in asset management and real estate redevelopment and development or our advice to a project or venture where another party contributes or controls some or all capital or equity. These efforts are designed to enable us to generate a return from our investment of predominantly our skills and labor, or knowledge, rather than our capital. To these ends, we are pursuing opportunities in forms that include, among others, partnerships or other relationships with institutional real estate investors, joint ventures, and investments by or funding from government sources.

### ***Long-Term Business Strategy***

In the longer term, we believe that conditions in the economy will improve and the challenging conditions in the capital and credit markets will ease. We believe that employment, consumer confidence and consumer spending on retail goods will eventually increase. We believe that such projected increases are likely to have a positive effect on retail sales and on demand for retail space. We believe that this demand will affect our properties, and in particular, our redeveloped properties, and will ultimately have a positive effect on our overall occupancy and net operating income. Such projected increases would also likely have a positive effect on our results of operations and financial position, and, over time, on our liquidity position and our access to capital sources. However, many factors might cause future events, achievements or results not to be as positive as we expect, including those discussed in the section entitled Item 1A. Risk Factors. If and when these anticipated positive developments occur, we anticipate that we will resume or increase our efforts to execute several components of our long-term strategy, which are as follows:

#### ***Asset Management, Leasing and Operations***

We conduct active asset management of our properties in an effort to maximize and maintain occupancy and optimize the diversity and mix of tenants, merchandise choices and price points. We do this in order to attract a

## **Table of Contents**

wider range of customers and increase sales by mall tenants. Sales gains can increase tenant satisfaction and make our properties attractive to our tenants and prospective tenants, which can increase the rent we receive. For example, we coordinate closely with tenants on new store locations in an effort to position our properties for their latest concept or store prototype, which is designed to drive traffic and stimulate customer spending.

Some space at properties might be available for a shorter period of time, pending a lease with a permanent tenant. We strive to manage the use of this space through our specialty leasing function, which manages the short-term leasing of stores and the licensing of income-generating carts and kiosks, with the goal of maximizing the rent we receive during the period when a space is not subject to a longer term lease.

As an integral part of our property management, we also attempt to generate ancillary revenue, such as through marketing partnerships, and we work on controlling operating costs and expenses, in an effort to contain tenant operating costs.

### *Acquisitions*

We seek to selectively acquire, in an opportunistic and disciplined manner, properties that are well-located and that we believe have strong potential for increased cash flows and appreciation in value if we apply our skills in leasing, asset management and redevelopment to the property. We also seek to acquire additional parcels or properties that are included within, or adjacent to, the properties already in our portfolio in order to gain greater control over the merchandising and tenant mix of a property.

### *Development*

We pursue development of additional retail and mixed use projects that we expect can meet the financial and strategic criteria we apply, given economic, market and other circumstances. We seek to leverage our skill sets in site selection, entitlement and planning, cost estimation and project management to develop new retail and mixed use properties. We seek properties in trade areas that we believe have sufficient demand for such properties, once developed, to generate cash flows that meet the financial thresholds we establish in the given environment. We manage all aspects of these undertakings, including market and trade area research, site selection, acquisition, preliminary development work, construction and leasing.

### *Redevelopment*

We strive to increase the potential value of properties in our portfolio by redeveloping them. If we believe that a property is not achieving its potential, we engage in a focused leasing effort in order to increase the property's performance. If we believe the property has the potential to support a more significant redevelopment project, we consider a formal redevelopment plan. Our redevelopment efforts are intended to increase the value of the property, and are designed to increase customer traffic and attract retailers, which can, in turn, lead to increases in sales, occupancy levels and rental rates. Our efforts to maximize a property's potential can also serve to maintain or improve that property's competitive position.

The tactics we use in our efforts to increase the potential value of properties include: remerchandising the tenant mix to capitalize on the economy and demographics of the property's trade area; creating a diversified anchor mix including fashion, value-oriented and traditional department stores; attracting non-traditional junior anchors and mall tenants to draw more customers to the property; incorporating sit down restaurants and other entertainment options to extend shoppers' time spent on the property; generating synergy by introducing different components to mall properties; and redirecting traffic flow and creating additional space for in-line stores by relocating food courts.

### *Dispositions*

We regularly conduct portfolio property reviews and, if appropriate, we dispose of properties or outparcels that we do not believe meet the financial and strategic criteria we apply, given economic, market and other circumstances. Disposing of these properties can enable us to redeploy our capital to other uses, such as to repay debt, to reinvest in other real estate assets and development and redevelopment projects and for other corporate purposes.

## **Table of Contents**

### *Capital Availability*

To maintain our status as a REIT, we are required, under federal tax laws, to distribute to shareholders 90% of our net taxable income, which generally leaves insufficient funds to finance major initiatives internally. Because of these requirements, we would ordinarily fund most of our significant capital requirements, such as the capital for redevelopments, developments and acquisitions, through secured and unsecured indebtedness and, when appropriate, the issuance of additional equity or equity-related securities.

However, as described above, in the first quarter of 2010, we entered into the 2010 Credit Facility, secured by most of our previously unsecured properties, and in June 2011, we entered into an amendment to the 2010 Credit Facility, which extended the term to March 10, 2014. The 2010 Credit Facility contains affirmative and negative covenants. During the term of the 2010 Credit Facility, certain covenants and provisions significantly limit our ability to use our cash flows and any debt or equity capital we obtain to execute our strategy.

In addition, our ability to finance our growth using these sources depends, in part, on our creditworthiness, the availability of credit to us or the market for our securities at the time or times we need capital. Continued uncertainty in the capital and credit markets might negatively affect our ability to access additional debt financing at reasonable terms, which might negatively affect our ability to fund our long-term strategies and other business initiatives. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Indebtedness and Our Financing.

## **CAPITAL STRATEGY**

In support of the long-term business strategies described above, our long-term corporate finance objective is to maximize the availability and minimize the cost of the capital we employ to fund our operations. In pursuit of this objective and for other business reasons, we seek the broadest range of funding sources (including commercial banks, institutional lenders, equity investors and joint venture partners) and funding vehicles (including mortgage loans, commercial loans and debt and equity securities) available to us on the most favorable terms. We pursue this goal by maintaining relationships with various capital sources and utilizing a variety of financing instruments, enhancing our flexibility to execute our business strategy in different economic environments or at different points in the business cycle.

### *Short- to Intermediate-Term Capital Strategy*

While our long-term corporate finance objective has not changed, we have been making efforts to adjust the actions we take in pursuit of that goal, given current conditions in the economy, the capital markets and the retail industry. The conditions in the market for debt capital and commercial mortgage loans (including the commercial mortgage backed securities market and the state of domestic and international bank and life insurance company real estate lending), and the conditions in the general economy and their effect on retail sales, as well as our significant leverage resulting from our redevelopment program and other development activity, have combined to necessitate that we vary our approach to obtaining, using and recycling capital. In light of these conditions, we are focusing on appropriately managing our liquidity. In pursuit of our corporate finance objective, we intend to continue to consider all of our available options for accessing the capital markets, given our position and constraints.

In 2011, we amended our 2010 Credit Facility, whereby the capacity of the Revolving Facility was increased by \$100.0 million to \$250.0 million, we borrowed \$100.0 million under the Revolving Facility to repay the 2010 Term Loan, after which the 2010 Term Loan had a balance of \$240.0 million and the Revolving Facility had a balance of \$100.0 million. The amendment also extended the term to March 10, 2014. The maximum permitted leverage ratio was reduced to 70% from 75%. The maximum amount that may be borrowed under the 2010 Credit Facility is subject to a minimum facility debt yield of 9.75%, based on the net operating income of our Collateral Properties. As of December 31, 2011, \$95.0 million was outstanding under our Revolving Facility.

Through the end of 2013, 14 mortgage loans secured by consolidated properties with an aggregate principal balance of \$844.7 million as of December 31, 2011 will mature. Twelve of these mortgage loans will have balances of \$40.0 million or more at maturity, including the two mortgage loans on Cherry Hill Mall, which will have an aggregate balance of \$230.7 million at maturity. We believe that, in the aggregate, the values of these properties will be sufficient to support replacement financing, depending on conditions in the credit market. While mortgage

## **Table of Contents**

interest rates remain relatively low, we will seek to extend these mortgage loans to the maximum extent possible, or to replace them with longer term mortgage loans. See Item 1A. Risk Factors. In addition, the remaining balance of our unsecured Exchangeable Notes, which was \$136.9 million as of December 31, 2011, will mature in 2012. We intend to repay in full the Exchangeable Notes on or before their maturity in June 2012. Subject to the terms of the 2010 Credit Facility, we intend to review all available options to address their maturity, including the use of internally generated cash flows, the Revolving Facility, excess refinancing proceeds, or the refinancing, with new securities or from other sources, or extending of, the Exchangeable Notes in a similar or modified form. Our plans with regard to the maturity of the Exchangeable Notes are subject to change.

We continue to contemplate ways to reduce our leverage through a variety of means available to us, subject to and in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Credit Facility. These steps might include obtaining equity capital, including through the issuance of common or preferred equity securities if market conditions are favorable, through joint ventures or other partnerships or arrangements involving our contribution of assets with institutional investors, private equity investors or other REITs, through sales of properties or interests in properties with values in excess of their mortgage loans or allocable debt and application of the excess proceeds to debt reduction, or through other actions.

### ***Long-Term Capital Strategy***

In general, in determining the amount and type of debt capital to employ in our business, we consider several factors, including: general economic conditions, the capital market environment, prevailing and forecasted interest rates for various debt instruments, the cost of equity capital, property values, capitalization rates for mall properties, our financing needs for redevelopment, development and acquisition opportunities, the debt ratios of other mall REITs and publicly-traded real estate companies, and the federal tax law requirement that REITs distribute at least 90% of net taxable income, among other factors. We strive to lengthen and stagger the maturities of our debt obligations in order to better manage our future capital requirements.

The United States credit markets have experienced significant dislocations and liquidity disruptions in recent years. These circumstances have materially affected liquidity in the debt markets, making financing terms for borrowers less attractive, and in certain cases have resulted in the limited availability or unavailability of certain types of debt financing. Continued uncertainty in the credit markets might negatively affect our ability to access additional debt financing on reasonable terms, which might negatively affect our ability to fund our future redevelopment and development projects and other business initiatives. A prolonged downturn in the credit markets might cause us to seek alternative sources of financing, which could potentially be less attractive and might require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. In addition, these factors might make it more difficult for us to sell properties or outparcels or might adversely affect the price we receive, as prospective buyers might experience increased costs of debt financing or difficulties in obtaining debt financing. Events in the credit markets have also had an adverse effect on other financial markets in the United States, which might make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of equity. See Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to financial market risks, including interest rate risk on our interest-bearing liabilities. We attempt to limit these risks by following established risk management policies, procedures and strategies, including the use of various types of financial instruments. To manage interest rate risk and limit overall interest cost, we may employ interest rate swaps, options, forwards, caps and floors or a combination thereof depending on our underlying exposure, and subject to our ability to satisfy collateral requirements.

## **OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE**

We hold our interests in our portfolio of properties through our operating partnership, PREIT Associates. We are the sole general partner of PREIT Associates and, as of December 31, 2011, held a 96.0% controlling interest in PREIT Associates. We consolidate PREIT Associates for financial reporting purposes. We own our interests in our properties through various ownership structures, including partnerships and tenancy in common arrangements (collectively, partnerships). PREIT owns interests in some of these properties directly and has pledged the entire economic benefit of ownership to PREIT Associates. PREIT Associates' direct or indirect economic interest in the balance of the properties ranges from 40% to 50% (for eight partnership properties) up to 100%. See Item 2. Properties Retail Properties.

## **Table of Contents**

We provide our management, leasing and real estate development services through our subsidiaries PREIT Services, LLC ( PREIT Services ), which generally develops and manages properties that we consolidate for financial reporting purposes, and PRI, which generally develops and manages properties that we do not consolidate for financial reporting purposes, including properties in which we own interests through partnerships with third parties and properties that are owned by third parties in which we do not own an interest. PRI is a taxable REIT subsidiary, as defined by federal tax laws, which means that it is able to offer an expanded menu of services to tenants without jeopardizing our continuing qualification as a REIT under federal tax law.

## **COMPETITION**

Competition in the retail real estate industry is intense. We compete with other public and private retail real estate companies, including companies that own or manage malls, strip centers, power centers, lifestyle centers, factory outlet centers, theme/festival centers and community centers, as well as other commercial real estate developers and real estate owners, particularly those with properties near our properties, on the basis of several factors, including location and rent charged. We compete with these companies to attract customers to our properties, as well as to attract anchor and in-line store and other tenants. We also compete to acquire land for new site development, during more favorable economic conditions. Our malls and our strip and power centers face competition from similar retail centers, including more recently developed or renovated centers that are near our retail properties. We also face competition from a variety of different retail formats, including internet retailers, traditional retailers with an internet presence, discount or value retailers, home shopping networks, mail order operators, catalogs and telemarketers. This competition could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease space and on the amount of rent and expense reimbursements that we receive. Our tenants face competition from companies at the same and other properties and from other retail formats as well.

We believe that the main criteria used by retailers in deciding where to locate include local trade area demographics, the property location, the attractiveness of the store location and the overall property, the total sales and sales per square foot of the property, the rental rate, the total number of stores in the area and their geographic spread, the type and mix of other retailers at the property, and the management and operational skill of the landlord. Applying these criteria to our properties, we believe that a number of our properties are located in submarkets or local trade areas with demographics that are favorable for retailers, that our significant redevelopment program has made the properties that were redeveloped more attractive and that the middle markets where several of our properties are located are not overly saturated with retailers, although our properties face significant challenges because the current conditions in the economy and the disruptions in the financial markets have negatively affected employment compared to before the recession and have caused fluctuations and variations in consumer confidence and consumer spending on retail goods.

The development of competing retail properties and the related increased competition for tenants might cause us to make capital improvements to properties that we would have deferred or would not have otherwise planned to make and might also affect the total sales, sales per square foot, occupancy and net operating income of such properties. Any such capital improvements, undertaken individually or collectively, would be subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility and involve costs and expenses that could adversely affect our results of operations.

We compete with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions of malls, other retail properties and other prime development sites, including institutional pension funds, other REITs and other owner-operators of retail properties. Our efforts to compete for acquisitions are also subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility. Given current economic, capital market, and retail industry conditions, however, there has been substantially less competition with respect to existing property or land parcel acquisition activity in recent quarters. When we seek to make acquisitions, these competitors might drive up the price we must pay for properties, parcels, other assets or other companies or might themselves succeed in acquiring those properties, parcels, assets or companies. In addition, our potential acquisition targets might find our competitors to be more attractive suitors if they have greater resources, are willing to pay more, or have a more compatible operating philosophy. In particular, larger REITs might enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital, a better ability to raise capital, a better ability to finance an acquisition and enhanced operating efficiencies. We might not succeed in acquiring retail properties or development sites that we seek, or, if we pay a higher price for a property and/or generate lower cash flow from an acquired property than we expect, our investment returns will be reduced, which will adversely affect the value of our securities.

## Table of Contents

### ENVIRONMENTAL

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances, regulations and case law, an owner, former owner or operator of real estate might be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances present at, on, under, in or released from its property, regardless of whether the owner, operator or other responsible party knew of or was at fault for the release or presence of hazardous or toxic substances. The responsible party also might be liable to the government or to third parties for substantial property damage, investigation costs and cleanup costs. Even if more than one person might have been responsible for the contamination, each person covered by the environmental laws might be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs incurred. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs the government incurs in connection with the contamination. Contamination might adversely affect the owner's ability to sell or lease real estate or borrow with real estate as collateral. In connection with our ownership, operation, management, development and redevelopment of properties, or any other properties we acquire in the future, we might be liable under these laws and might incur costs in responding to these liabilities.

We are aware of certain environmental matters at some of our properties. We have, in the past, investigated and, where appropriate, performed remediation of such environmental matters, but we might be required in the future to perform testing relating to these matters and further remediation might be required, or we might incur liability as a result of such environmental matters. Environmental matters at our properties include the following:

*Asbestos.* Asbestos-containing materials are present in a number of our properties, primarily in the form of floor tiles, mastics, roofing materials and adhesives. Fire-proofing material containing asbestos is present at some of our properties in limited concentrations or in limited areas. Under applicable laws and practices, asbestos-containing materials in good, non-friable condition are allowed to be present, although removal might be required in certain circumstances. In particular, in the course of any redevelopment, renovation, construction or build out of tenant space, asbestos-containing materials are generally removed.

*Underground and Above Ground Storage Tanks.* Underground and above ground storage tanks are or were present at some of our properties. These tanks were used to store waste oils or other petroleum products primarily related to the operation of automobile service center establishments at those properties. In some cases, the underground storage tanks have been abandoned in place, filled in with inert materials or removed and replaced with above ground tanks. Some of these tanks might have leaked into the soil, leading to ground water and soil contamination. Where leakage has occurred, we might incur investigation, remediation and monitoring costs if responsible current or former tenants, or other responsible parties, are unavailable to pay such costs.

*Ground Water and Soil Contamination.* Ground water contamination has been found at some properties in which we currently or formerly had an interest. At some properties, dry cleaning operations, which might have used solvents, contributed to ground water and soil contamination.

Each of our retail properties has been subjected to a Phase I or similar environmental audit (which involves a visual property inspection and a review of records, but not soil sampling or ground water analysis) by environmental consultants. These audits have not revealed, and we are not aware of, any environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. It is possible, however, that there are material environmental liabilities of which we are unaware. Also, we cannot assure you that future laws will not impose any material environmental liability, or that the current environmental condition of our properties will not be affected by the operations of our tenants, by the existing condition of the land, by operations in the vicinity of the properties (such as the presence of underground storage tanks) or by the activities of unrelated third parties.

We have environmental liability insurance coverage for the types of environmental liabilities described above, which currently covers liability for pollution and on-site remediation of up to \$10.0 million per occurrence and \$20.0 million in the aggregate. We cannot assure you that this coverage will be adequate to cover future environmental liabilities. If this environmental coverage were inadequate, we would be obligated to fund those liabilities. We might be unable to continue to obtain insurance for environmental matters, at a reasonable cost or at all, in the future.



## **Table of Contents**

In addition to the costs of remediation, we might incur additional costs to comply with federal, state and local laws relating to environmental protection and human health and safety generally. There are also various federal, state and local fire, health, life-safety and similar regulations that might be applicable to our operations and that might subject us to liability in the form of fines or damages for noncompliance. The cost described above, individually or in the aggregate, could adversely affect our results of operations.

## **EMPLOYEES**

We had 649 employees at our properties and in our corporate office as of December 31, 2011. None of our employees are represented by a labor union.

## **INSURANCE**

We have comprehensive liability, fire, flood, terrorism, extended coverage and rental loss insurance that we believe is adequate and consistent with the level of coverage that is standard in our industry. We cannot assure you, however, that our insurance coverage will be adequate to protect against a loss of our invested capital or anticipated profits, or that we will be able to obtain adequate coverage at a reasonable cost in the future.

## **STATUS AS A REIT**

We conduct our operations in a manner intended to maintain our qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Generally, as a REIT, we will not be subject to federal or state income taxes on our net taxable income that we currently distribute to our shareholders. Our qualification and taxation as a REIT depend on our ability to meet various qualification tests (including dividend distribution, asset ownership and income tests) and certain share ownership requirements prescribed in the Internal Revenue Code.

## **CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS**

Our principal executive offices are located at The Bellevue, 200 South Broad Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19102.

## **SEASONALITY**

There is seasonality in the retail real estate industry. Retail property leases often provide for the payment of a portion of rent based on a percentage of a tenant's sales revenue over certain levels. Income from such rent is recorded only after the minimum sales levels have been met. The sales levels are often met in the fourth quarter, during the December holiday season. Also, many new and temporary leases are entered into later in the year in anticipation of the holiday season, and there is a higher concentration of tenants vacating their space early in the year. As a result, our occupancy and cash flows are generally higher in the fourth quarter and lower in the first quarter. Our concentration in the retail sector increases our exposure to seasonality and has resulted and is expected to continue to result in a greater percentage of our cash flows being received in the fourth quarter.

## **AVAILABLE INFORMATION**

We maintain a website with the address [www.preit.com](http://www.preit.com). We are not including or incorporating by reference the information contained on our website into this report. We make available on our website, free of charge and as soon as practicable after filing with the SEC, copies of our most recently filed Annual Report on Form 10-K, all Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and all Current Reports on Form 8-K filed during each year, including all amendments to these reports, if any. Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports are also available on the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>. In addition, copies of our corporate governance guidelines, codes of business conduct and ethics (which include the code of ethics applicable to our chief executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) and the governing charters for the audit, nominating and governance, and executive compensation and human resources committees of our Board of Trustees are available free of charge on our website, as well as in print to any shareholder upon request. The public may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public

## **Table of Contents**

Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. We intend to comply with the requirements of Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding amendments to and waivers under the code of business conduct and ethics applicable to our chief executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer by providing such information on our website within four days after effecting any amendment to or granting any waiver under that code, and we will maintain such information on our website for at least twelve months.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS. RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDEBTEDNESS AND OUR FINANCING**

**We have substantial debt, which could adversely affect our overall financial health and our operating flexibility. We require significant cash flows to satisfy our debt. This requirement may prevent us from using our cash flows for other purposes. If we are unable to satisfy these obligations, we might default on our obligations.**

We use a substantial amount of debt to finance our business. As of December 31, 2011, we had an aggregate consolidated indebtedness outstanding (excluding debt premium and debt discount) of \$2,163.0 million, \$2,026.1 million of which was secured by substantially all of our properties and \$136.9 million of which was unsecured indebtedness. As of December 31, 2011, \$240.0 million was outstanding under the 2010 Term Loan, and \$95.0 million was outstanding under the Revolving Facility. In 2011, we obtained a new mortgage loan of \$27.7 million and we repaid \$79.3 million of existing mortgage loan debts. These debt amounts do not include our proportionate share of indebtedness of our partnership properties, which was \$204.5 million at December 31, 2011. Our consolidated debt represented 78.1% of our total market capitalization as of December 31, 2011.

Our substantial indebtedness involves significant obligations for the payment of interest and principal. If we do not have sufficient cash flow from operations to meet these obligations, we might be forced to sell assets to generate cash, which might be on unfavorable terms, or we might not be able to make all required payments of principal and interest on our debt, which could result in a default or have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations, and which might adversely affect our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

In addition to our current debt, we might incur additional debt in the future in the form of mortgage loans, unsecured borrowings, Revolving Facility borrowings or other financing vehicles in order to develop or redevelop properties, to finance acquisitions, or for other general corporate purposes, subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility.

Our substantial obligations arising from our indebtedness could also have other negative consequences to our shareholders, including the acceleration of a significant amount of our debt if we are not in compliance with the terms of such debt or, if such debt contains cross-default or cross-acceleration provisions, other debt. If we fail to meet our obligations under our debt, we could lose assets due to foreclosure or sale on unfavorable terms, which could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, or such failure could harm our ability to obtain additional financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions, development and redevelopment activities, execution of our business strategy or other general corporate purposes. Also, our indebtedness and mandated debt service might limit our ability to refinance existing debt or to do so at a reasonable cost, might make us more vulnerable to adverse industry and economic conditions, might limit our ability to respond to competition or to take advantage of opportunities, and might discourage business partners from working with us or counterparties from entering into hedging transactions with us.

**If we are unable to comply with the covenants in our 2010 Credit Facility, we might be adversely affected.**

The 2010 Credit Facility requires us to satisfy certain customary affirmative and negative covenants and to meet numerous financial tests, including tests relating to our leverage, interest coverage, fixed charge coverage, tangible net worth, corporate debt yield and facility debt yield. We expect the current conditions in the economy and in the credit and capital markets and the retail industry to continue to affect our operating results. The leverage covenant in the 2010 Credit Facility generally takes our net operating income and applies a capitalization rate to calculate Gross

## Table of Contents

Asset Value, and consequently, deterioration in our operating performance also affects the calculation of our leverage. In addition, a material decline in future operating results could affect our ability to comply with other financial ratio covenants contained in our 2010 Credit Facility, which are calculated on a trailing four quarter basis. These covenants could restrict our ability to pursue development and redevelopment projects or property acquisitions, limit our ability to respond to changes and competition, and reduce our flexibility in conducting our operations by limiting our ability to borrow money, sell or place liens on assets, manage our cash flows, repurchase securities, make capital expenditures, make distributions to shareholders or engage in acquisitions. In addition, the predetermined release price for a property might exceed the amount we receive in a sale transaction for a Collateral Property, which might require us to deliver some additional cash from other sources to the lenders.

An inability to comply with these covenants would require us to seek waivers or amendments. There is no assurance that we could obtain such waivers or amendments, and even if obtained, we would likely incur additional costs. Our inability to obtain any such waiver or amendment could result in a breach and a possible event of default under our 2010 Credit Facility, which could allow the lenders to discontinue lending or issuing letters of credit, terminate any commitments they have made to provide us with additional funds and/or declare amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable. If a default were to occur, we might have to refinance the debt through additional secured debt financing, private or public offerings of debt securities or additional equity financings. If we are unable to do so, we might have to liquidate assets, potentially on unfavorable terms. Any of such consequences could negatively affect our financial position, results of operations, cash flow and ability to make capital expenditures and distributions to shareholders.

**We might not be able to refinance our existing obligations or obtain the capital required to finance our activities. Disruptions in the credit markets could affect our ability to obtain debt financing on terms acceptable to us, or at all, and have other adverse effects on us.**

The REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended, generally require the distribution to shareholders of 90% of a REIT's net taxable income, excluding net capital gains, which generally leaves insufficient funds to finance major initiatives internally. Due to these requirements, and subject to the terms of the 2010 Credit Facility, we generally fund certain capital requirements, such as the capital for renovations, expansions, redevelopments, other non-recurring capital improvements, scheduled debt maturities, and acquisitions of properties or other assets, through secured and unsecured indebtedness and, when available and market conditions are favorable, the issuance of additional equity securities.

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$985.4 million of indebtedness that matures on or before December 31, 2013, including \$844.7 million of mortgage loans at our consolidated properties, \$136.9 million of Exchangeable Notes, and our share of mortgage loans of our unconsolidated partnerships of \$3.8 million. Also, subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility, we estimate that we will need \$5.9 million of additional capital to complete our current active development and redevelopment projects. Our ability to finance growth from financing sources depends, in part, on our creditworthiness, our ability to refinance our existing debt as it comes due, the availability of credit to us from financing sources or the market for our debt, equity or equity-related securities when we need capital, and on conditions in the capital markets generally. In the past, one avenue available to us to finance our obligations or new business initiatives has been to obtain unsecured debt, based in part on the existence of properties in our portfolio that were not subject to mortgage loans. The terms of the 2010 Credit Facility include our grant of a security interest currently consisting of a first lien on 20 properties. As a result, we have few remaining assets that we could use to support unsecured debt financing. Our lack of properties in the portfolio that could be used to support unsecured debt might limit our ability to obtain capital in this way. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources for information about our available sources of funds.

The United States credit markets have experienced significant dislocations and liquidity disruptions in recent years due to a number of factors and events, including the recession, the subprime mortgage crisis and international and domestic credit and budget issues. These circumstances have materially affected liquidity in the debt markets, making financing terms for borrowers less attractive, and in certain cases have resulted in the limited availability or unavailability of certain types of debt financing. Continued uncertainty in the credit markets might negatively affect our ability to access additional debt financing on terms acceptable to us, or at all, which might negatively affect our ability to fund scheduled debt maturities, the remaining amount needed for our current development and

## **Table of Contents**

redevelopment projects and other business initiatives. A prolonged downturn in the credit markets might cause us to seek alternative sources of financing, which could be on less attractive terms and might require us to adjust our business plan accordingly. In addition, real or perceived decreases in the values of our properties resulting from current economic conditions might also affect our ability to obtain financing based on our properties on acceptable terms. These conditions might make it more difficult for us to sell properties or might affect adversely the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers might experience increased costs of debt financing or other difficulties in obtaining debt financing. Events in the credit markets have also had an adverse effect on other financial markets in the United States, which might make it more difficult or costly for us to raise capital through the issuance of equity.

Much of our indebtedness does not require significant principal payments prior to maturity, and we might enter into agreements on similar terms in future transactions. If our mortgage loans and other debts cannot be repaid in full, refinanced or extended at maturity on acceptable terms, or at all, a lender could foreclose upon the mortgaged property and receive an assignment of rent and leases or pursue other remedies, or we might be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties on unfavorable terms, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations and which might adversely affect our cash flow and our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

### **Conditions in the U.S. economy and the credit markets continue to be challenging, and might adversely affect our cash flows from operations.**

The U.S. economy has continued to experience relatively high unemployment and reduced or fluctuating business and consumer confidence. Also, credit markets have experienced significant dislocations and liquidity disruptions, affecting liquidity and making financing terms for borrowers less attractive and, in some cases, making financing less available. These conditions have negatively affected consumer spending on retail goods compared to before the recession, although some segments of consumer spending, particularly at higher price points, have improved recently. This lower demand in certain categories has led to decreased operating performance of several retailer tenants, which has led to delays or deferred decisions regarding lease renewals and the openings of new retail stores at our properties, and has affected the ability of our current tenants to meet their obligations to us. This, in turn, has caused a decrease in the revenue generated by our properties and could adversely affect our ability to generate cash flows, meet our debt service requirements, comply with the covenants under our 2010 Credit Facility, make capital expenditures and make distributions to shareholders. These conditions could also have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. There can be no assurance that past, current and future government responses to the disruptions in the economy and in the financial markets will restore business and consumer confidence and employment and consumer spending on retail goods in a timely manner, or at all.

### **We are subject to risks associated with increases in interest rates, including in connection with our variable interest rate debt.**

As of December 31, 2011, we had \$159.2 million of indebtedness with variable interest rates, including a portion of the 2010 Term Loan that is part of the 2010 Credit Facility. See Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk. We have fixed the interest rates on some of our variable rate debt using derivative instruments. Such variable interest rate debt, excluding amounts that have been swapped to fixed rates, represented 7.4% of our aggregate consolidated indebtedness as of December 31, 2011. We might incur additional variable rate debt in the future, through additional borrowings under the Revolving Facility or otherwise, and the proportion of our debt with variable interest rates might increase.

An increase in market interest rates applicable to the variable portion of the debt portfolio would increase the interest incurred and cash flows necessary to service such debt. This has and could, in the future, adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to make distributions to shareholders. Also, in coming years, as our current mortgage loans mature, if these mortgage loans are refinanced at higher interest rates than the rates in effect at the time of the prior loans, our interest expense in connection with debt secured by such properties will increase, and could adversely affect our results of operations and ability to make distributions to shareholders.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Payments by our direct and indirect subsidiaries of dividends and distributions to us might be adversely affected by their obligations to make prior payments to the creditors of these subsidiaries.**

We own substantially all of our assets through our interest in PREIT Associates. PREIT Associates holds substantially all of its properties and assets through subsidiaries, including subsidiary partnerships and limited liability companies, and derives substantially all of its cash flow from cash distributions to it by its subsidiaries. We, in turn, derive substantially all of our cash flow from cash distributions to us by PREIT Associates. Our direct and indirect subsidiaries must make payments on their obligations to their creditors, including the 2010 Credit Facility, when due and payable before they may make distributions to us. Thus, PREIT Associates' ability to make distributions to its partners, including us, depends on its subsidiaries' ability first to satisfy their obligations to their creditors. Similarly, our ability to pay dividends to holders of our shares depends on PREIT Associates' ability first to satisfy its obligations to its creditors before making distributions to us. If the subsidiaries were unable to make payments to their creditors when due and payable, or if the subsidiaries had insufficient funds both to make payments to creditors and distribute funds to PREIT Associates, we might not have sufficient cash to satisfy our obligations and/or make distributions to our shareholders.

In addition, we will have the right to participate in any distribution of the assets of any of our direct or indirect subsidiaries upon the liquidation, reorganization or insolvency of such subsidiary only after the claims of the creditors, including mortgage lenders and trade creditors, of that subsidiary are satisfied. Our shareholders, in turn, will have the right to participate in any distribution of our assets upon our liquidation, reorganization or insolvency only after the claims of our creditors, including trade creditors, are satisfied.

The profitability of each partnership we enter into with third parties that has short-term financing or debt requiring a balloon payment is dependent on the availability of long-term financing on satisfactory terms. If satisfactory long-term financing is not available, we might have to rely on other sources of short-term financing or equity contributions. Although these partnerships are not wholly-owned by us, we might be required to pay the full amount of any obligation of the partnership, or we might elect to pay all of the obligations of such a partnership to protect our equity interest in its properties and assets. This could cause us to utilize a substantial portion of our liquidity sources or funds from operations and could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and reduce amounts available for distribution to shareholders.

Some of our properties are owned or ground-leased by subsidiaries that we created solely to own or ground-lease those properties. The mortgaged properties and related assets are restricted solely for the payment of the related loans and are not available to pay our other debts, which could impair our ability to borrow, which in turn could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and reduce amounts available for distribution to shareholders.

### **Our hedging arrangements might not be successful in limiting our risk exposure, and we might incur expenses in connection with these arrangements or their termination that could harm our results of operations or financial condition.**

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to financial market risks, including interest rate risk on our interest-bearing liabilities. We use interest rate hedging arrangements to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility, but these arrangements might expose us to additional risks, such as requiring that we fund our contractual payment obligations under such arrangements in relatively large amounts or on short notice. As of December 31, 2011, the aggregate fair value of our derivative instruments was an unrealized loss of \$21.1 million, which is expected to be subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. Developing an effective interest rate risk strategy is complex, and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. We cannot assure you that our hedging activities will have a positive impact on our results of operations or financial condition. We might be subject to additional costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs, if we terminate these arrangements. In addition, although our interest rate risk management policy establishes minimum credit ratings for counterparties, this does not eliminate the risk that a counterparty might fail to honor its obligations, particularly given current market conditions.

---

**Table of Contents**

**RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS AND OUR PROPERTIES**

**Approximately 41% of our non-anchor leases and 14% of our anchor leases are in holdover status or will mature in 2012 or 2013, and if we are unable to renew these leases or re-lease the space covered by these leases on equivalent terms, we might experience reduced occupancy at our properties and lower rental revenue, net effective rent, net operating income, cash flows and funds available for future distributions.**

As of December 31, 2011, total occupancy in our consolidated and unconsolidated retail portfolio (including all tenants irrespective of the terms of their agreements) was 93.0%. The current conditions in the economy and the disruptions in the financial markets have negatively affected employment compared to before the recession and have caused fluctuations and variations in consumer confidence and consumer spending on retail goods. The weaker operating performance of retailers has resulted in delays or deferred decisions regarding the openings of new retail stores at our properties and regarding lease renewals.

In recent years, in connection with the factors described above, we frequently entered into leases with terms of one year, two years or three years, rather than the more typical five years or ten years. These shorter term leases enabled both the tenant and us, before entering into a longer term lease, to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of a longer term lease at a later time in the economic cycle, at least in part with the view that there will be greater visibility into expected future conditions in the economy and expected future trends. As a result, we have higher percentages of such leases that are in holdover status or will expire in the next few years, including some leases with our top 20 tenants. See Item 2. Properties Retail Lease Expiration Schedule and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations Leasing Activity. We might not be successful in renewing the leases for, or re-leasing, the space covered by leases that are in holdover status or that are expiring in 2012 and 2013, or doing so on terms comparable to those of the expiring leases. If we are not successful, we will be likely to experience reduced occupancy, rental revenue and net operating income, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions to shareholders.

**Changes in the retail industry, particularly among anchor tenant retailers, could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.**

The income we generate depends in part on our anchor tenants' ability to attract customers to our properties and generate traffic, which affects the property's ability to attract in-line tenants, and thus the revenue generated by the property. In recent years, in connection with economic conditions and other changes in the retail industry, some anchor tenant retailers have experienced decreases in operating performance, and in response, they are contemplating strategic, operational and other changes. The strategic and operational changes being considered by anchor tenants, including combinations and other consolidation designed to increase scale, leverage with suppliers like landlords, and other efficiencies, might result in the restructuring of these companies, which could involve withdrawal from certain geographic areas, such as secondary or tertiary trade areas, and closures or sales of stores operated by them. For example, Sears Holdings Corporation has announced that it intends to close 100-120 Kmart and Sears stores across the country. Many of the stores on the initial closing list are freestanding stores (located away from malls); however, we cannot assure you that there will not be additional store closings, by Sears or any other anchor tenant, in the future, or that there will not be closings of stores located in malls, including our malls, which could affect our results of operations, cash flows, and ability to make cash distributions. The closure of one or more anchor stores would have a negative effect on the affected properties, on our portfolio and on our results of operations, particularly if the affected properties are not classified as Class A malls. In addition, a lease termination by an anchor for any reason, a failure by an anchor to occupy the premises, or any other cessation of operations by an anchor could result in lease terminations or reductions in rent by other tenants of the same property whose leases permit cancellation or rent reduction (i.e., co-tenancy provisions) if an anchor's lease is terminated or the anchor otherwise ceases occupancy or operations. In that event, we might be unable to re-lease the vacated space of the anchor or inline stores in a timely manner, or at all. In addition, the leases of some anchors might permit the anchor to transfer its lease, including any attendant approval rights, to another retailer. The transfer to a new anchor could cause customer traffic in the property to decrease or to be composed of different types of customers, which could reduce the income generated by that property. A transfer of a lease to a new anchor also could allow other tenants to make reduced rental payments or to terminate their leases at the property, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

## **Table of Contents**

**Expense reimbursements have decreased and, in the future, might continue to decrease because of a trend toward gross and percentage of sales leases. Also, operating expense amounts have increased and, in the future, are likely to continue to increase, reducing our cash flow and funds available for future distributions.**

Our leases typically provide that the tenant is liable for a portion of common area maintenance ( CAM ), real estate taxes and other operating expenses. If these expenses increase, then under such provisions, the tenant's portion of such expenses also increases. Our properties are experiencing a trend towards more gross leases (leases that provide that tenants pay a higher minimum rent amount in lieu of contributing toward CAM costs and real estate taxes), as well as leases providing for fixed CAM or caps in the rate of annual increases in CAM, and leases that provide for the rent amount to be determined on the basis of a percentage of sales in lieu of minimum rent, with no contribution toward CAM costs and real estate taxes. In these cases, a tenant will pay a single specified rent amount or a set or capped expense reimbursement amount, regardless of the actual amount of operating expenses. The tenant's payment remains the same even if operating expenses increase, causing us to be responsible for the excess amount. To the extent that existing leases, new leases or renewals of leases do not require a pro rata contribution from tenants, we are liable for the cost of such expenses in excess of the portion paid by tenants, if any. This has and could, in the future, adversely affect our net effective rent, our results of operations and our ability to make distributions to shareholders. Further, if a property is not fully occupied, as it typically is not, we would be required to pay the portion of the expenses allocable to the vacant space that is otherwise typically paid by our tenants, which would adversely affect our results of operations and our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

Our properties are also subject to the risk of increases in CAM and other operating expenses, which typically include real estate taxes, energy and other utility costs, repairs, maintenance and capital improvements to common areas, security, housekeeping, property and liability insurance and administrative costs. For example, municipalities might seek to raise real estate taxes paid by our property in their jurisdiction because of their strained budgets, our recent redevelopment or for other reasons. If operating expenses increase, the availability of other comparable retail space in our specific geographic markets might limit our ability to pass these increases through to tenants, or, if we do pass all or a part of these increases on, might lead tenants to seek retail space elsewhere, which, in either case, could adversely affect our results of operations and limit our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

**The valuation and accounting treatment of certain long-lived assets, such as real estate, or of intangible assets, such as goodwill, could result in future asset impairments, which would be recorded as operating losses.**

Real estate investments and related intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as a decrease in net operating income or the loss of an anchor tenant, indicate that the carrying amount of the property might not be recoverable. A property to be held and used is considered impaired only if management's estimate of the aggregate future cash flows to be generated by the property, undiscounted and without interest charges, are less than the carrying value of the property. This estimate takes into consideration factors such as expected future net operating income, trends and prospects, and upcoming lease maturities, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. The current conditions in the economy and the disruptions in the financial markets have negatively affected employment and consumer spending on retail goods in many categories. We have consequently decreased our estimates of future cash flows generated by our properties, and these factors might cause further decreases in our estimates in the future. If we find that the carrying value of real estate investments and related intangible assets has been impaired, as we did in 2011 and 2009, we will recognize impairment with respect to such assets.

Applicable accounting principles require that goodwill and certain intangible assets be tested annually for impairment or earlier upon the occurrence of certain events or substantive changes in circumstances. If we find that the carrying value of goodwill or certain intangible assets exceeds estimated fair value, we will reduce the carrying value of the real estate investment or goodwill or intangible asset to the estimated fair value, and we will recognize impairment with respect to such investments or goodwill or intangible assets.

Impairment of long-lived assets is required to be recorded as a noncash operating expense. Our 2011 and 2009 impairment analyses resulted in noncash impairment charges on long lived assets of \$52.3 million and \$74.3 million, respectively, and, as a result, the carrying values of our impaired assets were reset to their estimated

## **Table of Contents**

fair values as of the respective dates on which the impairments were recognized. Any further decline in the estimated fair values of these assets could result in additional impairment charges. It is possible that such impairments, if required, could be material. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies Asset Impairment.

### **Any store closings, leasing delays, lease terminations, tenant financial difficulties or tenant bankruptcies we encounter could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.**

We receive a substantial portion of our operating income as rent under leases with tenants. At any time, any tenant having space in one or more of our properties could experience a downturn in its business that might weaken its financial condition. Such tenants might enter into or renew leases with relatively shorter terms. Such tenants might also defer or fail to make rental payments when due, delay or defer lease commencement, voluntarily vacate the premises or declare bankruptcy, which could result in the termination of the tenant's lease, or preclude the collection of rent in connection with the space for a period of time, and could result in material losses to us and harm to our results of operations. Also, it might take time to terminate leases of underperforming or nonperforming

tenants and we might incur costs to remove such tenants. Some of our tenants occupy stores at multiple locations in our portfolio, and so the effect of any bankruptcy or store closing of those tenants might be more significant to us than the bankruptcy or store closings of other tenants. See Item 2. Properties Major Tenants. Given current conditions in the economy, certain industries and the capital markets, in some instances retailers that have sought protection from creditors under bankruptcy law have had difficulty in obtaining debtor-in-possession financing, which has decreased the likelihood that such retailers will emerge from bankruptcy protection and has limited their alternatives. In addition, under many of our leases, our tenants pay rent based, in whole or in part, on a percentage of their sales. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Results of Operations Real Estate Revenue Accordingly, declines in these tenants sales directly affect our results of operations. Also, if tenants are unable to comply with the terms of our leases, or otherwise seek changes to the terms, including changes to the amount of rent, we might modify lease terms in ways that are less favorable to us.

If a tenant files for bankruptcy, the tenant might have the right to reject and terminate its leases, and we cannot be sure that it will affirm its leases and continue to make rental payments in a timely manner. A bankruptcy filing by, or relating to, one of our tenants would bar all efforts by us to collect pre-bankruptcy debts from that tenant, or from their property, unless we receive an order permitting us to do so from the bankruptcy court. In addition, we cannot evict a tenant solely because of its bankruptcy. If a lease is assumed by the tenant in bankruptcy, all pre-bankruptcy balances due under the lease must be paid to us in full. However, if a lease is rejected by a tenant in bankruptcy, we would have only a general unsecured claim for damages in connection with such balances. If a bankrupt tenant vacates a space, it might not do so in a timely manner, and we might be unable to re-lease the vacated space during that time, or at all. In addition, such a scenario with one tenant could result in lease terminations or reductions in rent by other tenants of the same property whose leases have co-tenancy provisions. These other tenants might seek changes to the terms of their leases, including changes to the amount of rent. Any unsecured claim we hold against a bankrupt tenant might be paid only to the extent that funds are available and only in the same percentage as is paid to all other holders of unsecured claims, and there are restrictions under bankruptcy laws that limit the amount of the claim we can make if a lease is rejected. As a result, it is likely that we would recover substantially less than the full value of any unsecured claims we hold, which would adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Tenant bankruptcies and liquidations have adversely affected, and, given current economic conditions, are likely in the future to adversely affect, our financial condition and results of operations.

### **The investments we have made in redeveloping older properties and developing new properties could be subject to delays or other risks and might not yield the returns we anticipate, which would harm our financial condition and operating results.**

Before 2011, we completed construction at the properties in our recent major redevelopment program, except for a small amount at one property. Currently, we are engaged in smaller redevelopment projects at a few of our properties. We are also engaged in the development of three mixed use and other projects, although we do not expect to make material investments in these projects in the short term, except some amounts that we expect will be reimbursed. To the extent we continue current redevelopment or development projects or enter into new redevelopment or development projects in the longer term, they will be subject to a number of risks that could



## Table of Contents

negatively affect our return on investment, financial condition, results of operations and our ability to make distributions to shareholders, including, among others:

delayed ability or inability to reach projected occupancy, rental rates, profitability, and investment return;

timing delays due to tenant decision delays and other factors outside our control, which might make a project less profitable or unprofitable, or delay profitability;

expenditure of money and time on projects that might be significantly delayed before stabilization.

Some of our retail properties were constructed or last renovated more than 10 years ago. Older, unrenovated properties tend to generate lower rent and might require significant expense for maintenance or renovations to maintain competitiveness, which, if incurred, could harm our results of operations. Subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility, as a key component of our long-term growth strategy, we plan to continue to redevelop existing properties and develop new properties, and we might develop or redevelop other projects as opportunities arise. These plans are subject to current economic, capital market and retail industry conditions, which have led to tight credit, low liquidity, increased defaults and bankruptcies, lower employment, and fluctuations and variations in consumer confidence and consumer spending. These conditions might cause us to reduce or eliminate development and redevelopment projects in the short term. We are adjusting our growth strategy in light of these conditions, and anticipate longer times until stabilization and potentially lower investment returns.

We might elect not to proceed with certain development projects after they are begun. In general, when we elect not to proceed with a project, development costs for such a project will be expensed in the then-current period. The accelerated recognition of these expenses could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the period in which the expenses are recognized.

### **Online shopping and other uses of technology could affect the business models and viability of retailers, which could, in turn, affect their demand for retail real estate.**

Online shopping has increased in recent years, and is expected to continue to increase in the future. In certain categories, such as books, music and electronics, online retailing has become a significant proportion of total sales, and has affected retailers in those categories significantly, such as Borders Group, Inc., which filed for bankruptcy protection and liquidated in 2011. The information available online empowers consumers with knowledge about products and information about prices and other offers in a different way than is available in a single physical store. Consumers are able to compare more products than are typically found in a single retail location, and they are able to read product reviews and to compare product features and pricing. In addition, retailers have recently begun to experience the phenomenon of customers checking competitors' product offerings and prices while in their stores using technology, including smart phones. Online shopping and technology, such as smartphone applications, might affect the business models, sales and profitability of retailers which might, in turn, affect the demand for retail real estate, occupancy at our properties and the amount of rent that we receive. Any resulting decreases in rental revenue could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions to shareholders.

### **There is a concentration of our retail properties in the Eastern United States, particularly in the Mid-Atlantic region, and adverse market conditions in that region might affect the ability of our tenants to make lease payments and the interest of prospective tenants to enter into leases, which might reduce the amount of revenue generated by our properties.**

Our retail properties are concentrated in the Eastern United States, particularly in the Mid-Atlantic region, including several properties in the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania metropolitan area. To the extent adverse conditions affecting retail properties, such as economic conditions, population trends and changing demographics, availability and costs of financing, construction costs, income, sales and property tax laws, and weather conditions, are particularly adverse in Pennsylvania, New Jersey or in the Mid-Atlantic region more broadly, our results of operations will be affected to a greater degree than companies that do not have a concentration in this region. If the sales of stores operating at our properties were to decline significantly due to adverse conditions, the risk that our tenants, including anchors, will be unable to fulfill the terms of their leases to pay rent or will enter into bankruptcy might increase. Furthermore, such adverse conditions might affect the likelihood or timing of lease commitments by new tenants or



## **Table of Contents**

lease renewals by existing tenants as such parties delay their leasing decisions in order to obtain the most current information about trends in their businesses or industries. If, as a result of prolonged adverse regional conditions, occupancy at our properties decreases or our properties do not generate sufficient revenue to meet our operating and other expenses, including debt service, our financial position, results of operations, cash flow and ability to make distributions to shareholders would be adversely affected.

**We have invested and expect to invest in the future in partnerships with third parties to acquire or develop properties, and we might not control the management, redevelopment or disposition of these properties, or we might be exposed to other risks.**

We have invested and expect to invest in the future as a partner with third parties in the acquisition or ownership of existing properties or the development of new properties, in contrast to acquiring or owning properties or developing projects by ourselves. Entering into partnerships with third parties involves risks not present where we act alone, in that we might not have primary control over the acquisition, development, redevelopment, financing, leasing, management, budgeting and other aspects of the property or project. These limitations might adversely affect our ability to develop, redevelop or sell these properties at the most advantageous time for us. Also, there might be restrictive provisions and rights that apply to sales or transfers of interests in our partnership properties, which might require us to make decisions about buying or selling interests at a disadvantageous time.

Some of our retail properties are owned by partnerships in which we are a general partner. Under the terms of those partnership agreements, major decisions, such as a sale, lease, refinancing, redevelopment, expansion or rehabilitation of a property, or a change of property manager, require the consent of all partners. Accordingly, because decisions must be unanimous, necessary actions might be delayed significantly and it might be difficult or even impossible to remove a partner that is serving as the property manager. We might not be able to favorably resolve any conflicts which arise with respect to such decisions, or we might be required to provide financial or other inducements to our partners to obtain a resolution. In cases where we are not the controlling partner or where we are only one of the general partners, there are many decisions that do not relate to fundamental matters that do not require our approval and that we do not control. Also, in cases in which we serve as managing general partner of the partnership that owns the property, we might have certain fiduciary responsibilities to the other partners in those partnerships.

Business disagreements with partners might arise. We might incur substantial expenses in resolving these disputes. To preserve our investment, we might be required to make commitments to or on behalf of a partnership during a dispute that might not be credited or repaid in full. Moreover, we cannot assure you that our resolution of a dispute with a partner will be on terms that are favorable to us.

Other risks of investments in partnerships with third parties include:

partners might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions, which might inhibit our ability to make important decisions in a timely fashion or necessitate our funding their share to preserve our investment, which might be at a disadvantageous time;

partners might have business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals;

partners might be in a position to take action contrary to our policies or objectives;

we might incur liability for the actions of our partners; and

third-party managers might not be sensitive to publicly-traded company or REIT tax compliance matters.

**The retail real estate industry is highly competitive, and this competition could harm our ability to operate profitably.**

Competition in the retail real estate industry is intense. We compete with other public and private retail real estate companies, including companies that own or manage malls, strip centers, power centers, lifestyle centers, factory outlet centers, theme/festival centers and community centers, as well as other commercial real estate developers and real estate owners, particularly those with properties near our properties, on the basis of several factors, including location and rent charged. We compete with these companies to attract customers to our properties, as well as

to

20

## **Table of Contents**

attract anchor and in-line store and other tenants. We also compete to acquire land for new site development, during more favorable economic conditions. Our malls and our strip and power centers face competition from similar retail centers, including more recently developed or renovated centers that are near our retail properties. We also face competition from a variety of different retail formats, including internet retailers, discount or value retailers, home shopping networks, mail order operators, catalogs, and telemarketers. Our tenants face competition from companies at the same and other properties and from other retail formats as well. This competition could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease space and on the amount of rent and expense reimbursements that we receive.

The development of competing retail properties and the related increased competition for tenants might, subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility, require us to make capital improvements to properties that we would have deferred or would not have otherwise planned to make, and affects the occupancy and net operating income of such properties. Any such capital improvements, undertaken individually or collectively, involve costs and expenses that could adversely affect our results of operations.

### **We might be unable to effectively manage any redevelopment and development projects involving a mix of uses, which could affect our financial condition and results of operations.**

The complex nature of redevelopment and development projects calls for substantial management time, attention and skill. Some of our redevelopment and development projects currently, and in the future, might involve mixed uses of the properties, including residential, office and other uses. We might not have all of the necessary or desirable skill sets to manage such projects. If a development project includes a non-retail use, we might seek to sell the rights to that component to a third-party developer with experience in that use, or we might seek to partner with such a developer. If we are not able to sell the rights to, or partner with, such a developer, or if we choose to develop the other component ourselves, we would be exposed not only to those risks typically associated with the development of commercial real estate generally, and of retail real estate, but also to specific risks associated with the development, ownership and property management of non-retail real estate, such as the demand for residential or office space of the types to be developed and the effects of general economic conditions on such property types, as opposed to the effects on retail real estate, with which we are more familiar. In addition, even if we sell the rights to develop the other component or elect to participate in the development through a partnership, we might be exposed to the risks associated with the failure of the other party to complete the development as expected. These include the risk that the other party would default on its obligations, necessitating that we complete the other component ourselves (including providing any necessary financing). The lack of sufficient management resources, or of the necessary skill sets to execute our plans, or the failure of a partner in connection with a joint, mixed-use development, could delay or prevent us from realizing our expectations with respect to any such projects and could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

### **We face competition for the acquisition of properties, development sites and other assets, which might impede our ability to make future acquisitions or might increase the cost of these acquisitions.**

We compete with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions of malls, other retail properties and other prime development sites, including institutional pension funds, other REITs and other owner-operators of retail properties. Our efforts to compete are also subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility. Given current economic, capital market and retail industry conditions, however, there has been substantially less acquisition activity in recent quarters. When we seek to make acquisitions, competitors might drive up the price we must pay for properties, parcels, other assets or other companies, or might themselves succeed in acquiring those properties, parcels, assets or companies. In addition, our potential acquisition targets might find our competitors to be more attractive suitors if they have greater resources, are willing to pay more, or have a more compatible operating philosophy. In particular, larger REITs might enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital, a better ability to raise capital, a better ability to finance an acquisition, and enhanced operating efficiencies. We might not succeed in acquiring retail properties or development sites that we seek, or, if we pay a higher price for a property or site, or generate lower cash flow from an acquired property or site than we expect, our investment returns will be reduced, which will adversely affect the value of our securities.

## **Table of Contents**

### **We might not be successful in starting and nurturing new business initiatives.**

We believe that we can further expand our third party management business, and we intend to pursue opportunities to manage additional properties. In addition, we are in the process of determining whether there are other sources of demand for the services that we can provide using our existing property management platform or our knowledge. Identifying and serving new markets and executing operationally on such efforts is subject to various risks, including allocating resources that ultimately are not productive, directing management attention away from existing businesses, lacking necessary skill sets or knowledge for such initiatives if they involve different property types or types of assignments, and possibly incurring negative effects on our image or brand. If we are unsuccessful in pursuing new businesses, or in effectively servicing such business once acquired, or if conducting such a business negatively affects our management's ability to effectively manage our existing business, we could incur costs and expenses that could adversely affect our results of operations.

### **We might not be successful in identifying suitable acquisitions that meet the criteria we apply, given economic, market or other circumstances, which might impede our growth.**

Acquisitions of retail properties have historically been an important component of our growth strategy. However, subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility, and given the current economic, capital market and retail industry conditions, we expect our acquisition activities to be limited in the short term. Expanding by acquisitions requires us to identify suitable acquisition candidates or investment opportunities that meet the criteria we apply, given economic, market or other circumstances, and that are compatible with our growth strategy, to make the acquisition successfully over competing suitors. We must also typically obtain financing on terms that are acceptable to us. See Item 1A. Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Indebtedness and Our Financing. We analyze potential acquisitions on a property-by-property and market-by-market basis. We might not be successful in identifying suitable properties or other assets in our existing geographic markets or in markets new to us that meet the acquisition criteria we apply, given economic, market or other circumstances, in financing such properties or other assets or in consummating acquisitions or investments on satisfactory terms. An inability to successfully identify, consummate or finance acquisitions could reduce the number of acquisitions we complete and impede our growth, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

### **We might be unable to integrate effectively any additional properties we might acquire, which might result in disruptions to our business and additional expense.**

Subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility, to the extent that we pursue acquisitions of additional properties or portfolios of properties that meet the investment criteria we apply, given economic, market and other circumstances, we might not be able to adapt our management and operational systems to effectively manage any such acquired properties or portfolios.

Specific risks for our ongoing operations posed by acquisitions we have completed or that we might complete in the future include:

we might not achieve the expected operating efficiencies, value-creation potential, economies of scale or other benefits of such transactions;

we might not have adequate personnel, personnel with necessary skill sets or financial and other resources to successfully handle our increased operations;

we might not be successful in leasing space in acquired properties or renewing leases of existing tenants after our acquisition of the property;

the combined portfolio might not perform at the level we anticipate;

the additional property or portfolio might require excessive time and financial resources to make necessary improvements or renovations and might divert the attention of management away from our other operations;

we might experience difficulties and incur unforeseen expenses in connection with assimilating and retaining employees working at acquired properties, and in assimilating any acquired properties;

## **Table of Contents**

we might experience problems and incur unforeseen expenses in connection with upgrading and expanding our systems and processes to incorporate any such acquisitions; and

we might incur unexpected liabilities in connection with the properties and businesses we acquire.

If we fail to successfully integrate any properties, portfolios, assets or companies we acquire, or fail to effectively handle our increased operations or to realize the intended benefits of any such transactions, our financial condition and results of operations, and our ability to make distributions to shareholders, might be adversely affected.

### **Our business could be harmed if Ronald Rubin, our chairman and chief executive officer, or other members of our senior management team terminate their employment with us or otherwise are unable to continue in their current capacity.**

Our future success depends, to a meaningful extent, upon the continued services of Ronald Rubin, our chairman and chief executive officer, and the services of our corporate management team (including the four-person Office of the Chairman that, in addition to Ronald Rubin, consists of George F. Rubin, Edward A. Glickman and Joseph F. Coradino). These executives have substantial experience in managing, developing and acquiring retail real estate. Although we have entered into employment agreements with Ronald Rubin and certain other members of our corporate management team, they could elect to terminate those agreements at any time. The loss of services of one or more members of our corporate management team could harm our business and our prospects.

### **If we suffer losses that are not covered by insurance or that are in excess of our insurance coverage limits, we could lose invested capital and anticipated profits.**

There are some types of losses, including those of a catastrophic nature, such as losses due to wars, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, pollution, environmental matters, information technology system failures and lease and contract claims, that are generally uninsurable or not economically insurable, or might be subject to insurance coverage limitations, including large deductibles or co-payments or caps on coverage amounts. If one of these events occurred to, or caused the destruction of, one or more of our properties, we could lose both our invested capital and anticipated profits from that property. We also might remain obligated for any mortgage loan or other financial obligation related to the property. In addition, if we are unable to obtain insurance in the future at acceptable levels and at a reasonable cost, the possibility of losses in excess of our insurance coverage might increase and we might not be able to comply with covenants under our debt agreements, which could adversely affect our financial condition. If any of our properties were to experience a significant, uninsured loss, it could seriously disrupt our operations, delay our receipt of revenue and result in large expense to repair or rebuild the property. These types of events could adversely affect our cash flow, results of operations and ability to make distributions to shareholders.

### **We might incur costs to comply with environmental laws, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.**

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances, regulations and case law, an owner, former owner or operator of real estate might be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances present at, on, under, in or released from its property, regardless of whether the owner, operator or other responsible party knew of or was at fault for the release or presence of hazardous or toxic substances. The responsible party also might be liable to the government or to third parties for substantial property damage, investigation costs and clean up costs. Even if more than one person might have been responsible for the contamination, each person covered by the environmental laws might be held responsible for all of the clean-up costs incurred. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs the government incurs in connection with the contamination. In connection with our ownership, operation, management, development and redevelopment of properties, or any other properties we acquire in the future, we might be liable under these laws and might incur costs in responding to these liabilities, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. See Item 1. Business Environmental. Contamination might also adversely affect our ability to sell or lease real estate or borrow with real estate as collateral.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Inflation may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.**

Inflationary price increases could have an adverse effect on consumer spending, which could impact our tenants' sales and, in turn, our tenants' business operations. This could affect the amount of rent these tenants pay, including if their leases provide for percentage rent or percentage of sales rent, and their ability to pay rent. Also, inflation could cause increases in operating expenses, which could increase occupancy costs for tenants and, to the extent that we are unable to recover operating expenses from tenants, could increase operating expenses for us. In addition, if the rate of inflation exceeds the scheduled rent increases included in our leases, then our net operating income and our profitability would decrease. Inflation could also result in increases in market interest rates, which would increase the borrowing costs associated with our existing or any future variable rate debt.

### **RISKS RELATED TO THE REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY**

#### **We are subject to risks that affect the retail real estate environment generally.**

Our business focuses on retail real estate, predominantly malls and strip and power centers. As such, we are subject to certain risks that can affect the ability of our retail properties to generate sufficient revenue to meet our operating and other expenses, including debt service, to make capital expenditures and to make distributions to our shareholders, subject to the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility. Currently, we face significant challenges because the conditions in the economy and the disruptions in the financial markets have reduced employment and have caused fluctuations and variations in business and consumer confidence and consumer spending on retail goods. In general, a number of factors can negatively affect the income generated by a retail property or the value of a property, including: a downturn in the national, regional or local economy; a decrease in employment or consumer confidence or spending; increases in operating costs, such as common area maintenance, real estate taxes, utility rates and insurance premiums; higher energy or fuel costs resulting from adverse weather conditions, natural disasters, geopolitical concerns, terrorist activities and other factors; changes in interest rate levels and the cost and availability of financing; a weakening of local real estate conditions, such as an oversupply of, or a reduction in demand for, retail space or retail goods, and the availability and creditworthiness of current and prospective tenants; trends in the retail industry; seasonality; changes in perceptions by retailers or shoppers of the safety, convenience and attractiveness of a retail property; perceived changes in the convenience and quality of competing retail properties and other retailing options such as internet retailers; and changes in laws and regulations applicable to real property, including tax and zoning laws. Changes in one or more of these factors can lead to a decrease in the revenue or income generated by our properties and can have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

#### **The illiquidity of real estate investments might delay or prevent us from selling properties that we determine no longer meet the strategic and financial criteria we apply and could significantly affect our ability to respond in a timely manner to adverse changes in the performance of our properties and harm our financial condition.**

Substantially all of our assets consist of investments in real properties. We review all of the assets in our portfolio regularly and we make determinations about which assets have growth potential and which properties do not meet the strategic or financial criteria we apply and should be divested. Because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to quickly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to our evaluation or to changing economic and financial conditions is limited, particularly given current economic, capital market and retail industry conditions. The real estate market is affected by many factors that are beyond our control, such as general economic conditions, the availability of financing, interest rates, and the supply and demand for space. We cannot predict whether we will be able to sell any property for the price or on the terms we set, or whether any price or other terms offered by a prospective purchaser would be acceptable to us. The number of prospective buyers interested in purchasing malls is limited. We also cannot predict the length of time needed to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a property. In addition, current economic and capital market conditions might make it more difficult for us to sell properties or might adversely affect the price we receive for properties that we do sell, as prospective buyers might experience increased costs of debt financing or other difficulties in obtaining debt financing. Furthermore, the properties that serve as collateral for our 2010 Credit Facility are subject to specified release prices being repaid to the lenders, which might be higher than the price we are offered, and other mortgage loans might contain substantial prepayment penalties, which might restrict our ability to dispose of a property. There are also limitations under federal income tax laws applicable to

---

## **Table of Contents**

REITs that limit our ability to sell assets. Therefore, if we want to sell one or more of our properties, we might not be able to make such dispositions in the desired time period, or at all, and might receive less consideration than we seek or than we originally invested in the property.

Before a property can be sold, we might be required to make expenditures to correct defects or to make improvements. We cannot assure you that we will have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements, and if we cannot do so, we might not be able to sell the property, or might be required to sell the property on unfavorable terms. In acquiring a property, we might agree with the sellers or others to provisions that materially restrict us from selling that property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as limitations on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that property. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties could significantly harm our financial condition and results of operations.

### **Possible terrorist activity or other acts of violence or war could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.**

Future terrorist attacks in the United States, and other acts of violence or war, might result in declining economic activity, which could harm the demand for goods and services offered by our tenants and the value of our properties, and might adversely affect the value of an investment in our securities. A decrease in retail demand could make it difficult for us to renew leases or enter into new leases at our properties at lease rates equal to or above historical rates. Terrorist or other violent activities also could directly affect the value of our properties through damage, destruction or loss, and the availability of insurance for such acts, or of insurance generally, might decrease, or cost more, which could increase our operating expenses and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. To the extent that our tenants are directly or indirectly affected by future attacks, their businesses similarly could be adversely affected, including their ability to continue to meet obligations under their existing leases. Customers of the tenants at an affected property, and at other properties, might be less inclined to shop at an affected location or at a retail property generally. Such acts might erode business and consumer confidence and spending, and might result in increased volatility in national and international financial markets and economies. Any one of these events might decrease demand for real estate, decrease or delay the occupancy of our properties, and limit our access to capital or increase our cost of raising capital.

## **RISKS RELATING TO OUR ORGANIZATION AND STRUCTURE**

### **Our organizational documents contain provisions that might discourage a takeover of us and depress our share price.**

Our organizational documents contain provisions that might have an anti-takeover effect and might inhibit a change in our management and the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of our securities. These provisions include:

- (1) *There are ownership limits and restrictions on transferability in our trust agreement.* In order to protect our status as a REIT, no more than 50% of the value of our outstanding shares (after taking into account options to acquire shares) may be owned, directly or constructively, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended), and the shares must be beneficially owned by 100 or more persons during at least 335 days of a taxable year of 12 months or during a proportionate part of a shorter taxable year. To assist us in satisfying these tests, subject to some exceptions, our trust agreement prohibits any shareholder from owning more than 9.9% of our outstanding shares of beneficial interest (exclusive of preferred shares) or more than 9.9% of any class or series of preferred shares. The trust agreement also prohibits transfers of shares that would cause a shareholder to exceed the 9.9% limit or cause our shares to be beneficially owned by fewer than 100 persons. Our Board of Trustees may exempt a person from the 9.9% ownership limit if it receives a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service or an opinion of counsel or tax accountants that exceeding the 9.9% ownership limit as to that person would not jeopardize our tax status as a REIT. Absent an exemption, this restriction might:

discourage, delay or prevent a tender offer or other transaction or a change in control of management that might involve a premium price for our shares or otherwise be in the best interests of our shareholders; or

compel a shareholder who had acquired more than 9.9% of our shares to transfer the additional shares to a trust and, as a result, to forfeit the benefits of owning the additional shares.

---

**Table of Contents**

- (2) *Our trust agreement permits our Board of Trustees to issue preferred shares with terms that might discourage a third party from acquiring the Company.* Our trust agreement permits our Board of Trustees to create and issue multiple classes and series of preferred shares, and classes and series of preferred shares having preferences to the existing shares on any matter, without a vote of shareholders, including preferences in rights in liquidation or to dividends and option rights, and other securities having conversion or option rights. Also, the Board might authorize the creation and issuance by our subsidiaries and affiliates of securities having conversion and option rights in respect of our shares. Our trust agreement further provides that the terms of such rights or other securities might provide for disparate treatment of certain holders or groups of holders of such rights or other securities. The issuance of such rights or other securities could have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing a change in control of us, even if a change in control were in our shareholders' interest or would give the shareholders the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of our securities.
- (3) *Advance Notice Requirements for Shareholder Nominations of Trustees.* The Company's advance notice procedures with regard to shareholder proposals relating to the nomination of candidates for election as trustees, as provided in our amended and restated Trust Agreement, require, among other things, that advance written notice of any such proposals, containing prescribed information, be given to our Secretary at our principal executive offices not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the prior year's meeting (or within 10 business days of the day notice is given of the annual meeting date, if the annual meeting date is not within 30 days of the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting).

**Limited partners of PREIT Associates may vote on certain fundamental changes we propose, which could inhibit a change in control that might otherwise result in a premium to our shareholders.**

Our assets generally are held through our interests in PREIT Associates. We currently hold a majority of the outstanding units of limited partnership interest in PREIT Associates. However, PREIT Associates might, from time to time, issue additional units to third parties in exchange for contributions of property to PREIT Associates. These issuances will dilute our percentage ownership of PREIT Associates. Units generally do not carry a right to vote on any matter voted on by our shareholders, although units of limited partnership interests might, under certain circumstances, be redeemed for our shares. However, before the date on which at least half of the units issued on September 30, 1997 in connection with our acquisition of The Rubin Organization have been redeemed, the holders of units issued on September 30, 1997 are entitled to vote such units together with our shareholders, as a single class, on any proposal to merge, consolidate or sell substantially all of our assets. Ronald Rubin, George F. Rubin, Edward A. Glickman and Joseph F. Coradino are among the holders of these units. Our partnership interest in PREIT Associates is not included for purposes of determining when half of the partnership interests issued on September 30, 1997 have been redeemed, nor are they counted as votes. These existing rights could inhibit a change in control that might otherwise result in a premium to our shareholders. In addition, we cannot assure you that we will not agree to extend comparable rights to other limited partners in PREIT Associates.

**We have entered into tax protection agreements for the benefit of certain former property owners, including some limited partners of PREIT Associates, that might affect our ability to sell or refinance some of our properties that we might otherwise want to sell, which could harm our financial condition.**

As the general partner of PREIT Associates, we have agreed to indemnify certain former property owners, including some who are officers or trustees or who have become limited partners of PREIT Associates, against tax liabilities that they might incur if we sell a property in a taxable transaction or significantly reduce the debt secured by a property acquired from them within a certain number of years after we acquired it. In some cases, these agreements might make it uneconomical for us to sell or refinance these properties, even in circumstances in which it otherwise would be advantageous to do so, which could harm our ability to address liquidity needs in the future or otherwise harm our financial condition.

## **Table of Contents**

**Some of our officers and trustees have interests in properties that we manage and therefore might have conflicts of interest that could adversely affect our business.**

We provide management, leasing and development services for partnerships and other ventures in which some of our officers and trustees, including Ronald Rubin, a trustee and our chairman and chief executive officer, and George F. Rubin, a trustee and our vice chairman, have indirect ownership interests. In addition, we lease substantial office space from an entity in which the Rubins have an interest. Our officers or trustees who have interests in the other parties to these transactions have a conflict of interest in deciding to enter into these agreements and in negotiating their terms, which could result in our obtaining terms that are less favorable than we might otherwise obtain, which could adversely affect our business.

## **RISKS RELATING TO OUR SECURITIES**

**Holders of our common shares might have their interest in us diluted by actions we take in the future.**

Our May 2010 common share offering was dilutive to our shareholders, and we continue to contemplate ways to reduce our leverage through a variety of means available to us, subject to the terms of the 2010 Credit Facility. These means might include obtaining equity capital, including through the issuance of common or preferred equity or equity-related securities if market conditions are favorable. Any issuance of equity securities might result in substantial dilution in the percentage of our common shares held by our then existing shareholders, and the interest of our shareholders might be materially adversely affected. The market price of our common shares could decline as a result of sales of a large number of shares in the market or the perception that such sales could occur. Additionally, future sales or issuances of substantial amounts of our common shares might be at prices below the then-current market price of our common shares and might adversely affect the market price of our common shares.

**Many factors, including changes in interest rates and the negative perceptions of the retail sector generally, can have an adverse effect on the market value of our securities.**

As is the case with other publicly traded companies, a number of factors might adversely affect the price of our securities, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include:

Increases in market interest rates, relative to the dividend yield on our shares or the interest rate on our Exchangeable Notes. If market interest rates increase, prospective purchasers of our securities might require a higher yield. Higher market interest rates would not, however, result in more funds for us to distribute to shareholders and, to the contrary, would likely increase our borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution to our shareholders. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our shares to decrease;

Possible future issuances of equity, equity-related or convertible securities, including securities senior as to distributions or liquidation rights;

A decline in the anticipated benefits of an investment in our securities as compared to an investment in securities of companies in other industries (including benefits associated with the tax treatment of dividends and distributions);

Perception, by market professionals and participants, of REITs generally and REITs in the retail sector in particular. Our portfolio of properties consists almost entirely of retail properties and we expect to continue to focus primarily on acquiring retail centers in the future;

Perception by market participants of our potential for payment of cash distributions and for growth;

Levels of institutional investor and research analyst interest in our securities;

Relatively low trading volumes in securities of REITs;

Our results of operations and financial condition; and

Investor confidence in the stock market generally.

## **Table of Contents**

The market value of our common shares is based primarily upon the market's perception of our liquidity and capital resources, our growth potential and our current and potential future earnings, funds from operations and cash distributions. Consequently, our common shares might trade at prices that are higher or lower than our net asset value per common share. If our future earnings, funds from operations or cash distributions are less than expected, it is likely that the market price of our common shares will decrease.

### **We might change the dividend policy for our common shares in the future.**

In February 2012, our Board of Trustees declared a cash dividend of \$0.15 per share, payable in March 2012. Our future payment of distributions will be at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and will depend on numerous factors, including our cash flow, financial condition, capital requirements, annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, the terms and conditions of our 2010 Credit Facility and other factors that our Board of Trustees deems relevant. Any change in our dividend policy could have a material adverse effect on the market price of our common shares.

In addition, the 2010 Credit Facility provides generally that dividends may not exceed 110% of REIT Taxable Income for a fiscal year, or 95% of FFO (unless necessary for us to maintain our status as a REIT). We must maintain our status as a REIT at all times. All capitalized terms used in this section and not otherwise defined have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the 2010 Credit Facility.

### **Individual taxpayers might perceive REIT securities as less desirable relative to the securities of other corporations because of the lower tax rate on certain dividends from such corporations, which might have an adverse effect on the market value of our securities.**

Historically, the dividends of corporations other than REITs have been taxed at ordinary income rates, which range as high as 35%. In 2003, the maximum tax rate on certain corporate dividends received by individuals was reduced to an historically low 15%, and that reduction is expected to remain in place through at least December 31, 2012. However, dividends from REITs do not generally qualify for the lower tax rate on corporate dividends because REITs generally do not pay corporate-level tax on income that they distribute currently to shareholders, and instead are taxed at ordinary income rates. This differing treatment of dividends received from REITs and from corporations that are not REITs might cause individual investors to view an investment in the shares of a non-REIT corporation as more attractive than shares in REITs, which might negatively affect the value of our shares.

## **TAX RISKS**

### **If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT, our shareholders would be adversely affected.**

We believe that we have qualified as a REIT since our inception and intend to continue to qualify as a REIT. To qualify as a REIT, however, we must comply with certain highly technical and complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code, which is complicated in the case of a REIT such as ours that holds its assets primarily in partnership form. We cannot be certain we have complied with these requirements because there are very limited judicial and administrative interpretations of these provisions, and even a technical or inadvertent mistake could jeopardize our REIT status. In addition, facts and circumstances that might be beyond our control might affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. We cannot assure you that new legislation, regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not change the tax laws significantly with respect to our qualification as a REIT or with respect to the federal income tax consequences of qualification.

If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT, we would be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. Also, unless the Internal Revenue Service granted us relief under statutory provisions, we would remain disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we first failed to qualify. The additional tax incurred at regular corporate rates would significantly reduce the cash flow available for distribution to shareholders and for debt service. In addition, we would no longer be required to make any distributions to shareholders. If there were a determination that we do not qualify as a REIT, there would be a material adverse effect on our results of operations and there could be a material reduction in the value of our common shares.

## **Table of Contents**

Furthermore, as a REIT, we might be subject to a 100% prohibited transactions tax on the gain from dispositions of property if we are deemed to hold the property primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business, unless the disposition qualifies under a safe harbor exception for properties that have been held for at least two years and with respect to which certain other requirements are met. The potential application of the prohibited transactions tax could cause us to forego or delay potential dispositions of property or other opportunities that might otherwise be attractive to us, or to undertake such dispositions or other opportunities through a taxable REIT subsidiary, which would generally result in income taxes being incurred.

### **We might be unable to comply with the strict income distribution requirements applicable to REITs, or compliance with such requirements could adversely affect our financial condition or cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities.**

To obtain the favorable tax treatment associated with qualifying as a REIT, in general, we are required each year to distribute to our shareholders at least 90% of our net taxable income. In addition, we are subject to a tax on any undistributed portion of our income at regular corporate rates and might also be subject to a 4% excise tax on this undistributed income. We could be required to borrow funds on a short-term basis to meet the distribution requirements that are necessary to achieve the tax benefits associated with qualifying as a REIT, even if conditions are not favorable for borrowing, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, compliance with these REIT requirements might cause us to forego opportunities we would otherwise pursue.

### **We could face possible adverse changes in state and local tax laws, which might result in an increase in our tax liability.**

From time to time, changes in state and local tax laws or regulations are enacted, which might result in an increase in our tax liability. The shortfall in tax revenue for states and municipalities in recent years might lead to an increase in the frequency and size of such changes. If such changes occur, we might be required to pay additional taxes on our assets, including our properties, or income. These increased tax costs could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and our ability to make distributions to shareholders.

### **ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.**

None.

**Table of Contents****ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.  
RETAIL PROPERTIES**

As of December 31, 2011, we owned interests in 46 operating retail properties containing an aggregate of 33.1 million square feet (including space owned by anchors). Of the 46 operating retail properties, we and partnerships in which we own an interest owned 25.7 million square feet of space (excluding space owned by anchors). PREIT Services currently manages 40 of these properties, 39 of which we consolidate for financial reporting purposes, and one that is owned by a partnership in which we hold a 50% interest. PRI co-manages one property, which is owned by a partnership that is not consolidated by us. The remaining five properties are also owned by partnerships that are not consolidated by us and are managed by our partners, or by an entity we or our partners designated.

Total occupancy in our consolidated malls, including only space we own and calculated irrespective of the term of the agreement, was 92.8% as of December 31, 2011. In-line occupancy in our consolidated malls was 89.3% as of that date, and occupancy in our consolidated strip and power centers was 96.2% as of that date.

Total occupancy in our unconsolidated malls, including only space owned by the partnerships in which we own an interest and calculated irrespective of the term of the agreement, was 96.5% as of December 31, 2011. In-line occupancy in our unconsolidated malls was 95.5% as of that date, and occupancy in our unconsolidated strip and power centers was 92.8% as of that date.

Total occupancy in all our consolidated and unconsolidated malls was 92.9% as of December 31, 2011. In-line occupancy in all of our malls was 89.7% as of that date, and occupancy in all of our strip and power centers was 93.8% as of that date.

In general, we own the land underlying our properties in fee or, in the case of our properties held by partnerships with others, ownership by the partnership entity is in fee. At certain properties, however, the underlying land is owned by third parties and leased to us or the partnership in which we hold an interest pursuant to long-term ground leases. In a ground lease, the building owner pays rent for the use of the land and is responsible for all costs and expenses related to the building and improvements.

The following tables present information regarding our retail properties as of December 31, 2011. We refer to the total retail space of these properties, including anchors and in-line stores, as total square feet, and the portion that we own as owned square feet.

**Consolidated Retail Properties**

Property/Location <sup>(1)</sup>	Ownership Interest	Total Square Feet <sup>(2)</sup>	Owned Square Feet <sup>(3)</sup>	Year Built / Last Renovated	Occupancy% <sup>(4)</sup>	Anchors / Major Tenants <sup>(5)</sup>
<b><u>MALLS</u></b>						
Beaver Valley Mall	100%	1,162,166	957,396	1970/1991	92.5%	Boscov's
Monaca, PA						JCPenney
						Macy's
						Sears
Capital City Mall	100%	608,769	488,769	1974/2005	98.2%	JCPenney
Camp Hill, PA						Macy's
						Sears
Chambersburg Mall	100%	454,423	454,423	1982	87.8%	Bon-Ton
Chambersburg, PA						Burlington Coat Factory



JCPenney

Sears

**Table of Contents**

Property/Location <sup>(1)</sup>	Ownership Interest	Total Square Feet <sup>(2)</sup>	Owned Square Feet <sup>(3)</sup>	Year Built / Last Renovated	Occupancy % <sup>(4)</sup>	Anchors / Major Tenants <sup>(5)</sup>
Cherry Hill Mall	100%	1,298,981	820,096	1961/2009	94.7%	Container Store
Cherry Hill, NJ						Crate and Barrel JCPenney Macy's Nordstrom
Crossroads Mall <sup>(6)</sup>	100%	476,278	476,278	1981	95.9%	Belk
Beckley, WV						Dick's Sporting Goods JCPenney Sears
Cumberland Mall	100%	942,447	669,217	1973/2003	93.6%	Best Buy
Vineland, NJ						BJ's Boscov's Burlington Coat Factory Home Depot JCPenney
Dartmouth Mall	100%	670,798	530,798	1971/2000	97.9%	JCPenney
Dartmouth, MA						Macy's Sears
Exton Square Mall <sup>(6)</sup>	100%	1,086,846	809,378	1973/2000	93.1%	Boscov's
Exton, PA						JCPenney Kmart Macy's Sears
Francis Scott Key Mall	100%	711,961	572,628	1978/1991	97.9%	Barnes & Noble
Frederick, MD						JCPenney

Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

						Macy's
						Sears
						Value City Furniture
Gadsden Mall	100%	500,088	500,088	1974/1990	96.2%	Belk
Gadsden, AL						JCPenney
						Sears
The Gallery at Market East <sup>(6)(7)</sup>	100%	1,084,432	1,084,432	1977/1990	62.2%	Burlington Coat Factory
Philadelphia, PA						Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Jacksonville Mall	100%	488,727	488,727	1981/2008	100.0%	Barnes & Noble
Jacksonville, NC						Belk
						JCPenney
						Sears
Logan Valley Mall	100%	781,203	781,203	1960/1997	97.5%	JCPenney
Altoona, PA						Macy's
						Sears

**Table of Contents**

<b>Property/Location<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Ownership Interest</b>	<b>Total Square Feet<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Owned Square Feet<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>Year Built / Last Renovated</b>	<b>Occupancy%<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>Anchors / Major Tenants<sup>(5)</sup></b>
Lycoming Mall Pennsdale, PA	100%	834,606	714,606	1978/2007	97.5%	Best Buy Bon-Ton Burlington Coat Factory Dick's Sporting Goods JCPenney Macy's <sup>(8)</sup> Sears
Magnolia Mall Florence, SC	100%	613,170	613,170	1979/2007	99.1%	Barnes & Noble Belk Best Buy Dick's Sporting Goods JCPenney Sears
Moorestown Mall Moorestown, NJ	100%	1,059,450	738,250	1963/2008	93.8%	Boscov's Lord & Taylor Macy's Sears
New River Valley Mall Christiansburg, VA	100%	441,276	441,276	1988/2007	98.4%	Belk Dick's Sporting Goods JCPenney Regal Cinemas Sears
Nittany Mall State College, PA	100%	532,044	437,044	1968/1990	95.6%	Bon-Ton JCPenney Macy's <sup>(8)</sup>

Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

						Sears
North Hanover Mall	100%	355,763	355,763	1967/1999	96.3%	Dick's Sporting Goods
Hanover, PA						JCPenney
						Sears
Orlando Fashion Square <sup>(6)</sup>	100%	1,087,851	932,275	1973/2003	91.3%	Dillard's
Orlando, FL						JCPenney
						Macy's
						Sears
Palmer Park Mall	100%	457,931	457,931	1972	96.6%	Bon-Ton
Easton, PA						Boscov's
Patrick Henry Mall	100%	716,192	576,192	1988/2005	98.9%	Dick's Sporting Goods
Newport News, VA						Dillard's
						JCPenney
						Macy's
Phillipsburg Mall	100%	577,138	577,138	1989/2003	89.2%	Bon-Ton
Phillipsburg, NJ						JCPenney
						Kohl's
						Sears

**Table of Contents**

<b>Property/Location<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Ownership Interest</b>	<b>Total Square Feet<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Owned Square Feet<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>Year Built / Last Renovated</b>	<b>Occupancy%<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>Anchors / Major Tenants<sup>(5)</sup></b>
Plymouth Meeting Mall <sup>(6)</sup>	100%	952,185	737,550	1966/2009	85.9%	AMC Theater
Plymouth Meeting, PA						Boscov's Macy's Whole Foods
The Mall at Prince Georges	100%	918,575	918,575	1959/2004	97.0%	JCPenney
Hyattsville, MD						Macy's Marshalls Ross Dress for Less Target
South Mall	100%	405,199	405,199	1975/1992	88.0%	Bon-Ton
Allentown, PA						Stein Mart
Uniontown Mall <sup>(6)</sup>	100%	698,992	698,992	1972/1990	86.7%	Bon-Ton
Uniontown, PA						Burlington Coat Factory JCPenney Sears Teletch Customer Care
Valley Mall	100%	917,170	673,770	1974/1999	97.7%	Bon-Ton
Hagerstown, MD						JCPenney Macy's Sears
Valley View Mall	100%	598,097	343,501	1980/2001	97.1%	Barnes & Noble
La Crosse, WI						Herberger's JCPenney Macy's Sears

Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

Viewmont Mall	100%	747,194	627,194	1968/2006	99.2%	JCPenney
Scranton, PA						Macy's Sears
Voorhees Town Center	100%	731,247	310,409	1970/2007	67.2%	Boscov's
Voorhees, NJ						Macy's The Star Group Voorhees Town Hall
Washington Crown Center	100%	676,166	536,071	1969/1999	91.7%	Bon-Ton
Washington, PA						Gander Mountain Sports Macy's Sears
Willow Grove Park <sup>(9)</sup>	100%	996,323	583,202	1982/2001	96.6%	Bloomington's
Willow Grove, PA						The Cheesecake Factory Macy's Sears

**Table of Contents**

<b>Property/Location<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Ownership Interest</b>	<b>Total Square Feet<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Owned Square Feet<sup>(3)</sup></b>	<b>Year Built / Last Renovated</b>	<b>Occupancy%<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>Anchors / Major Tenants<sup>(5)</sup></b>
Wiregrass Commons Dothan, AL	100%	637,965	305,813	1986/2008	91.5%	Belk Burlington Coat Factory JCPenney
Woodland Mall Grand Rapids, MI	100%	1,159,827	434,640	1968/1998	95.3%	Apple Barnes & Noble JCPenney Kohl's Macy's Sears
Wyoming Valley Mall Wilkes-Barre, PA	100%	911,300	911,300	1971/2006	97.1%	Bon-Ton JCPenney Macy's Sears
<b><u>POWER CENTERS</u></b>						
Christiana Center Newark, DE	100%	302,434	302,434	1998	96.0%	Costco Dick's Sporting Goods
Paxton Towne Centre Harrisburg, PA	100%	717,490	444,432	2001	100.0%	Costco Kohl's Target Weis Markets
<b><u>STRIP CENTERS</u></b>						
The Commons at Magnolia Florence, SC	100%	230,532	104,332	1991/2002	80.4%	Bed, Bath & Beyond Target
		28,543,236	22,814,492		92.9%	

<sup>(1)</sup> The location stated is the major city or town nearest to the property and is not necessarily the local jurisdiction in which the property is located.



## Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

- (2) Total square feet includes space owned by us and space owned by tenants or other lessors.
- (3) Owned square feet includes only space owned by us and excludes space owned by tenants or other lessors.
- (4) Occupancy is calculated based on space owned by us, excludes space owned by tenants or other lessors and includes space occupied by both anchor and in-line tenants, irrespective of the terms of their agreements.
- (5) Includes anchors/major tenants that own their space or lease from lessors other than us and do not pay rent to us.
- (6) A portion of the underlying land at this property is subject to a ground lease.
- (7) The owned square feet for The Gallery at Market East includes the former Strawbridge's department store building that is currently vacant. This vacant department store represents 30.3% of the owned square feet for The Gallery at Market East.
- (8) Tenant currently holds a long-term ground lease with an option to purchase the related store and parking area at a nominal purchase price. These locations are deemed owned by their anchor occupants as they only pay a nominal rent.
- (9) The owned square feet for Willow Grove Park excludes 206,834 square feet from the vacant former Strawbridge's department store which is currently under redevelopment.

**Table of Contents****Unconsolidated Operating Properties**

Property/Location <sup>(1)</sup>	Ownership Interest	Total Square Feet <sup>(2)</sup>	Owned Square Feet <sup>(3)</sup>	Year Built / Last Renovated	Occupancy% <sup>(4)</sup>	Anchors /Major Tenants <sup>(5)</sup>
<b><u>MALLS</u></b>						
Lehigh Valley Mall	50%	1,157,301	785,315	1960/2008	96.6%	Barnes & Noble
Allentown, PA						Boscov's JCPenney Macy's
Springfield Mall	50%	610,204	222,305	1974/1997	95.8%	Macy's
Springfield, PA						Target
<b><u>POWER CENTERS</u></b>						
Metroplex Shopping Center	50%	778,190	477,461	2001	100.0%	Giant Food Store
Plymouth Meeting, PA						Lowe's Target
The Court at Oxford Valley	50%	704,526	456,903	1996	91.7%	Best Buy
Langhorne, PA						BJ's Dick's Sporting Goods Home Depot
Red Rose Commons	50%	462,881	263,291	1998	100.0%	Home Depot
Lancaster, PA						Weis Markets
Whitehall Mall	50%	570,492	570,492	1964/1998	83.1%	Bed, Bath & Beyond
Allentown, PA						Kohl's Sears
<b><u>STRIP CENTERS</u></b>						
Springfield Park	50%	274,480	128,811	1997/1998	98.2%	Bed, Bath & Beyond
Springfield, PA						LA Fitness Target
<b>Total</b>		<b>4,558,074</b>	<b>2,904,578</b>		<b>94.1%</b>	

## Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-K

- (1) The location stated is the major city or town nearest to the property and is not necessarily the local jurisdiction in which the property is located.
- (2) Total square feet includes space owned by the unconsolidated partnership and space owned by tenants or other lessors.
- (3) Owned square feet includes only space owned by the unconsolidated partnership and excludes space owned by tenants or other lessors.
- (4) Occupancy is calculated based on space owned by the unconsolidated partnership that is occupied, and includes all tenants irrespective of the terms of their agreements.
- (5) Includes anchors that own their space or lease from lessors other than us and do not pay rent to us.

**Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth our average annual minimum rent per square foot (for consolidated and unconsolidated properties), including all tenants irrespective of the terms of their agreements, and excluding tenants who pay a percentage of sales in lieu of minimum rent, for the five years ended December 31, 2011:

Year	Non-Anchor Stores	Anchor Stores
2007 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 23.21	\$ 3.45
2008 <sup>(1)</sup>	23.91	3.60
2009 <sup>(1)</sup>	24.75	3.72
2010 <sup>(1)</sup>	24.74	3.70
2011	24.05	3.70

<sup>(1)</sup> Prior periods reflect the exclusion of tenants that have vacated their space and are not paying rent.

**LARGE FORMAT RETAILERS AND ANCHORS**

Historically, large format retailers and anchors have been an important element of attracting customers to a mall, and they have generally been department stores whose merchandise appeals to a broad range of customers, although in recent years we have attracted some non-traditional large format retailers. These large format retailers and anchors either own their stores, the land under them and adjacent parking areas, or enter into long-term leases at rent that is generally lower than the rent charged to in-line tenants. Well-known, large format retailers and anchors continue to play an important role in generating customer traffic and making malls desirable locations for in-line store tenants, even though the market share of traditional department store anchors has been declining and such companies have experienced significant changes. See Item 1A. Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Business and Our Properties. The following table indicates the parent company of each of our large format retailers and anchors and sets forth the number of stores and square feet owned or leased by each at our retail properties including consolidated and unconsolidated properties as of December 31, 2011:

Tenant Name <sup>(1)</sup>	Number of Stores <sup>(2)</sup>	GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	% of Total GLA
Bed Bath & Beyond Inc.			
Bed Bath & Beyond	6	206,846	
Buy Buy Baby	1	30,322	
Total Bed Bath & Beyond Inc.	7	237,168	0.7%
Belk, Inc.	8	520,684	1.6%
Best Buy Co., Inc.			
Best Buy	5	177,857	
Best Buy Mobile	14	22,645	
Total Best Buy Co., Inc.	19	200,502	0.6%
BJ's Wholesale Club, Inc.	3	234,761	0.7%
The Bon-Ton Stores, Inc.			
Bon-Ton	14	1,008,613	
Herberger's	1	41,344	
Total Bon-Ton Stores, Inc.	15	1,049,957	3.2%
Boscov's Department Store	9	1,453,574	4.4%
Burlington Coat Factory	6	537,986	1.6%
Carmike Cinemas, Inc.			
Carmike Cinemas	4	123,972	
Valley Square Theater	1	20,780	
Total Carmike Cinemas, Inc.	5	144,752	0.4%



**Table of Contents**

<b>Tenant Name<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Number of Stores<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>GLA<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>% of Total GLA</b>
Costco Wholesale Corporation	2	289,447	0.9%
Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc.	10	467,159	1.4%
Dillard's, Inc.	3	471,494	1.4%
Gander Mountain Sports	1	83,835	0.3%
Giant Food Stores	1	67,185	0.2%
Hollywood Theaters, Inc.	1	54,073	0.2%
The Home Depot, Inc.	3	397,322	1.2%
JCPenney Corporation, Inc.	30	3,194,736	9.7%
Kohl's Corporation	3	251,194	0.8%
Lord & Taylor	1	121,200	0.4%
Macy's, Inc.			
Bloomingdale's	1	237,537	
Macy's	25	4,056,760	
<b>Total Macy's, Inc.</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>4,294,297</b>	<b>13.0%</b>
Nordstrom, Inc.	1	138,000	0.4%
Premier Cinema Corporation	2	92,748	0.3%
Regal Cinemas	3	151,566	0.5%
Sears Holdings Corporation			
K-Mart	1	96,268	
Sears	29	3,580,905	
<b>Total Sears Holdings Corporation</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>3,677,173</b>	<b>11.1%</b>
Target Corporation	6	864,893	2.6%
Teletech Customer Care Management	1	64,964	0.2%
Weis Markets, Inc.	2	130,075	0.4%
Whole Foods, Inc.	1	65,155	0.2%
	<b>199</b>	<b>19,255,900</b>	<b>58.2%</b>

(1) To qualify as a large format retailer or an anchor for inclusion in this table, a tenant must occupy at least 50,000 square feet or be part of a chain that has stores in our portfolio occupying at least 50,000 square feet. This table lists all stores from such chains, regardless of the size of the individual stores.

(2) Number of stores and gross leasable area ( GLA ) include anchors that own their own space or lease from lessors other than us and do not pay rent to us.

**Table of Contents****MAJOR TENANTS**

The following table presents information regarding the top 20 tenants at our retail properties, including consolidated and unconsolidated properties, by annualized minimum rent as of December 31, 2011:

Primary Tenant <sup>(1)</sup>	Percentage Rent or Common Area Costs In Lieu of		Total Stores	GLA of Stores Leased	Annualized Minimum Rent <sup>(2)</sup>
	Fixed Rent (Number of Stores)	Fixed Rent (Number of Stores)			
Gap, Inc.	45	5	50	612,513	\$ 11,108
JCPenney Corporation, Inc.	24	6	30	3,194,736	7,679
Foot Locker, Inc.	58	11	69	343,762	7,339
Limited Brands, Inc.	58	14	72	323,718	7,135
American Eagle Outfitters, Inc.	40	2	42	227,221	6,573
Zale Corporation	74		74	55,498	5,420
Sears Holdings Corporation	24	5	29	3,677,173	5,302
Signet Jewelers Limited	39		39	60,145	4,398
Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc.	8	2	10	467,159	4,054
Luxottica Group S.p.A.	46	4	50	122,917	3,890
Abercrombie & Fitch Co.	19		19	128,443	3,490
Genesco, Inc.	59	3	62	80,878	3,343
Aeropostale, Inc.	33	3	36	125,918	3,124
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	2		2	229,244	3,092
Barnes & Noble, Inc.	9		9	271,086	2,977
Hallmark Cards, Inc.	34	5	39	159,603	2,972
Best Buy Co., Inc.	19		19	200,502	2,857
Shoe Show, Inc.					