

MRC GLOBAL INC.
Form 424B4
November 08, 2013
Table of Contents

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(4)
Registration No. 333-187034

Prospectus Supplement to Prospectus dated March 4, 2013.

17,489,233 Shares

MRC Global Inc.

Common Stock

All of the shares of common stock in the offering are being sold by the selling stockholders identified in this prospectus supplement. MRC Global Inc. will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the shares being sold by the selling stockholders.

The common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE) under the symbol MRC . The last reported sale price of the common stock on November 6, 2013 was \$30.59 per share.

See Risk Factors on page S-17 of this prospectus supplement and page 2 of the accompanying prospectus to read about factors you should consider before buying shares of the common stock.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

	Per Share	Total
Initial price to public	\$ 28.90	\$ 505,438,833.70
Underwriting discount	\$ 0.45	\$ 7,870,154.85
Proceeds, before expenses, to the selling stockholders	\$ 28.45	\$ 497,568,678.85

The underwriter expects to deliver the shares against payment in New York, New York on November 13, 2013.

Barclays

Prospectus Supplement dated November 7, 2013.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prospectus Supplement

	Page
<u>About this Prospectus Supplement</u>	S-ii
<u>Summary</u>	S-1
<u>Risk Factors</u>	S-17
<u>Forward Looking Statements</u>	S-20
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	S-22
<u>Price Range of Common Stock and Dividends</u>	S-23
<u>Selling Stockholders</u>	S-24
<u>Description of Our Capital Stock</u>	S-28
<u>Material U.S. Federal Income and Estate Tax Considerations for Non-U.S. Holders of Common Stock</u>	S-32
<u>Underwriting</u>	S-36
<u>Legal Matters</u>	S-40
<u>Experts</u>	S-40
<u>Incorporation By Reference</u>	S-40
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	S-41

Prospectus

	Page
<u>About This Prospectus</u>	1
<u>MRC Global Inc.</u>	2
<u>Risk Factors</u>	2
<u>Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements</u>	3
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	5
<u>Description of Our Capital Stock</u>	6
<u>Plan of Distribution</u>	10
<u>Legal Matters</u>	13
<u>Experts</u>	13
<u>Incorporation by Reference</u>	13
<u>Where You Can Find More Information</u>	14

We and the selling stockholders have not, and the underwriter has not, authorized anyone to provide any information or to make any representations other than those contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus or in any free writing prospectuses we have prepared. We, the selling stockholders and the underwriter take no responsibility for, and can provide no assurance as to the reliability of, any other information that others may give you. This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus is an offer to sell only the shares offered hereby, but only under circumstances and in jurisdictions where it is lawful to do so. The information contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus is current only as of the respective dates of such documents.

In this prospectus supplement, (i) the Company, MRC, we, us and our refer to MRC Global Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, and (ii) the selling stockholders refers, collectively, to the selling stockholders named in this prospectus supplement under the caption, Selling Stockholders.

Table of Contents

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT

This document is in two parts. The first part is this prospectus supplement, which describes the specific terms of this offering and also adds to and updates information contained in the accompanying prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference into the accompanying prospectus. The second part, the accompanying prospectus, gives more general information, some of which does not apply to this offering. You should read both this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus before deciding to invest in our common stock.

To the extent there is a conflict between the information contained in this prospectus supplement, on the one hand, and the information contained in the accompanying prospectus, on the other hand, you should rely on the information in this prospectus supplement. You should also read and consider the additional information under the captions **Incorporation by Reference** and **Where You Can Find More Information** in this prospectus supplement.

We and the selling stockholders have not, and the underwriter has not, authorized any other person to provide you with information other than that contained or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement, in the accompanying prospectus and in any free writing prospectus with respect to this offering filed by us with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the **SEC**). We, the selling stockholders and the underwriter take no responsibility for, and can provide no assurance as to the reliability of, any information that others may give you. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus supplement, the accompanying prospectus, any free writing prospectus with respect to the offering filed by us with the SEC and the documents incorporated by reference herein and therein is accurate only as of their respective dates. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since those dates.

The underwriter is offering to sell, and is seeking offers to buy, our common stock only in jurisdictions where offers and sales are permitted. The distribution of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus and the offering of our common stock in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law. Persons outside the United States who come into possession of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus must inform themselves about and observe any restrictions relating to the offering of our common stock and the distribution of this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus outside the United States. This prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus do not constitute, and may not be used in connection with, an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities offered by this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus by any person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer or solicitation.

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

This summary highlights information about this prospectus supplement and may not contain all of the information that may be important to you. You should read the following summary together with the more detailed information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus supplement and accompanying prospectus, as well as the financial statements and related notes thereto and other information included in or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement and accompanying prospectus.

Business Overview

We are the largest global industrial distributor of pipe, valves and fittings (PVF) and related products and services to the energy industry based on sales and hold a leading position in our industry across each of the upstream (exploration, production and extraction of underground oil and natural gas), midstream (gathering and transmission of oil and natural gas, natural gas utilities and the storage and distribution of oil and natural gas) and downstream (crude oil refining, petrochemical processing and general industrials) sectors. We offer more than 175,000 stock keeping units (SKUs), including an extensive array of PVF, oilfield supply, automation, instrumentation and other general and specialty industry supply products from our over 18,000 suppliers. We operate in three segments consisting of our U.S., Canadian, and International operations. Through our U.S., Canadian and International segments, we serve our more than 18,000 customers through over 400 service locations throughout North America, Europe, Asia and Australasia. We are diversified by geography, the industry sectors we serve and the products we sell.

Our PVF and oilfield supplies are used in mission critical process applications that require us to provide a high degree of product knowledge, technical expertise and comprehensive value added services to our customers. We seek to provide best-in-class service and a one-stop shop for our customers by satisfying the most complex, multi-site needs of many of the largest companies in the energy and industrial sectors as their primary PVF supplier. We provide services such as product testing, manufacturer assessments, multiple daily deliveries, volume purchasing, inventory and zone store management and warehousing, technical support, just-in-time delivery, truck stocking, order consolidation, product tagging and system interfaces customized to customer and supplier specifications for tracking and replenishing inventory, which we believe result in deeply integrated customer relationships. We believe the critical role we play in our customers' supply chain, together with our extensive product offering, broad global presence, customer-linked scalable information systems and efficient distribution capabilities, serve to solidify our long-standing customer relationships and drive our growth. As a result, we have an average relationship of over 20 years with our largest 25 customers.

We have benefited historically from several growth trends within the energy industry, including high levels of customer expansion and maintenance expenditures. We believe that growth in PVF and industrial supply spending within the energy industry is likely to continue. Several factors have driven the long-term growth in spending, including underinvestment in North American energy infrastructure, production and capacity constraints, and market expectations of future improvements in the oil, natural gas, refined products, petrochemical and other industrial sectors. In addition, the products we distribute are often used in extreme operating environments, leading to the need for a regular replacement cycle. Approximately 70% of our 2012 sales were attributable to multi-year maintenance, repair and operations (MRO) arrangements. Our average annual retention rate for these contracts since 2000 is 95%. We consider MRO arrangements to be normal, generally repetitive business that primarily addresses the recurring maintenance, repair or operational work to existing energy infrastructure. Project activities, including facility expansions, exploration or new construction projects, are more commonly associated with a customer's capital expenditures budget. Such projects can be more sensitive to global oil and natural gas prices and general economic conditions. We mitigate our exposure to price volatility by limiting the length of any price-protected contracts, and as pricing continues to rebound, we believe that we have the ability to pass price increases on to the marketplace.

Table of Contents

We distribute products globally, including in PVF intensive, rapidly expanding oil and natural gas exploration and production (E&P) areas such as the Bakken, Barnett, Eagle Ford, Fayetteville, Haynesville, Marcellus, Niobrara, Utica and Permian Basin shales and related plays in North America. Furthermore, our Canadian subsidiary, MRC Canada ULC (MRC Canada), one of the two largest Canadian PVF distributors based on sales, provides PVF products to oil and natural gas companies operating primarily in Western Canada, including the Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin, Alberta Oil Sands and heavy oil regions. These regions are still in the early stages of infrastructure investment with numerous companies seeking to facilitate the long-term harvesting of difficult to extract and process crude oil. Beyond North America, our acquisitions of Transmark Fcx Group BV (together with its subsidiaries, MRC Transmark), Stainless Pipe and Fittings Australia Pty Ltd. (MRC SPF) and the piping systems division of OneSteel Ltd. (MRC PSA) have provided us with a well-established and integrated platform for international growth and further positioned us to be the leading global PVF distributor to the energy industry. The following map illustrates our global presence:

MRC Locations 44+ Countries* & 400+ Locations

Angola	Ecuador	Malaysia	Singapore
Aruba	Equatorial Guinea	Mexico	South Africa
Australia	Finland	Netherlands	South Korea
Austria	France	New Zealand	Spain
Belgium	Germany	Nigeria	Sweden
Brunei	India	Norway	Thailand
Cameroon	Indonesia	Pakistan	Trinidad
Canada	Iraq	Peru	Turkey
China	Italy	Poland	United Arab Emirates
Colombia	Kazakhstan	Russia	United Kingdom
Denmark	Kuwait	Saudi Arabia	United States

* Branch operations and significant direct export sales

Table of Contents

Our business is characterized by diversity in the industry sectors and regions we serve and in the products we supply. The following charts summarize our revenue by sector, geography and product, across our U.S., Canadian and International segments, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

Due to the demanding operating conditions in the energy industry, high costs and safety risks associated with equipment failure, customers prefer highly reliable products and vendors with established qualifications, reputation and experience. As our PVF products typically are mission critical yet represent a fraction of the total cost of the project, our customers often place a premium on service and high reliability given the high cost to them of maintenance or project delays. Our products are typically used in high-volume, high-stress and abrasive applications or in high-pressure, extreme temperature and high-corrosion applications.

With over 400 global service locations servicing the energy and industrial sectors, we are an important link between our more than 18,000 customers and our more than 18,000 suppliers. We add value to our customers and suppliers in a number of ways:

Broad Product Offering and High Customer Service Levels: The breadth and depth of our product offering enables us to provide a high level of service to our energy and industrial customers. Given our global inventory coverage and branch network, we are able to fulfill orders more quickly, including orders for less common and specialty items, and provide our customers with a greater array of value added services than if we operated on a smaller scale or only at a local or regional level. These value added services include multiple daily deliveries, volume purchasing, product testing, manufacturer assessments, inventory management and warehousing, technical support, just-in-time delivery, order consolidation, product tagging and tracking and system interfaces customized to customer and supplier specifications.

Approved Manufacturer List (AML) Services: Our customers rely on us to provide a high level of quality control for their PVF products. We do this by regularly auditing many of our suppliers for quality assurance through our Supplier Registration Process (SRP). We use our resulting Approved Supplier List (the MRC ASL) to supply products across many of the industries we support, particularly for downstream and midstream customers. Increasingly, many of our customers rely on the MRC ASL and our AML services to help devise and maintain their own approved manufacturer listings.

Table of Contents

Customized and Integrated Service Offering: We offer our customers integrated supply services, including product procurement, quality assurance, physical warehousing and inventory management and analysis, using our proprietary information technology (IT) platform. This is part of an overall strategy to provide a one stop solution for PVF purchases across the upstream-midstream-downstream spectrum through integrated supply agreements and MRO contracts. This enables our customers to focus on their core operations, generate cost savings and increase the overall efficiency of their businesses.

Competitive Strengths

Global Market Leader with Worldwide Branch Network and Significant Scale. We are the leading global industrial distributor of PVF and related products to the energy industry based on sales. The benefits of our size and international presence include:

the ability to act as a single-source supplier to large, multi-national customers operating across the various segments of the global energy industry;

the ability to commit significant financial resources to further develop and invest in our operating infrastructure and provide a strong platform for future expansion;

the ability to secure improved access, service and volume purchasing benefits from our suppliers; and

the ability to leverage our global inventory coverage to provide greater overall breadth and depth of product offerings.

Proven Track Record of Successfully Identifying, Executing and Integrating Acquisitions. We have demonstrated our ability to successfully integrate acquired companies in 30 acquisitions since 2000, collectively representing approximately \$2.0 billion in sales in the respective years of acquisition, including 10 acquisitions since 2008 (which collectively had approximately \$1.1 billion of revenue in the respective years of acquisition), in addition to the business combination between McJunkin Corporation and Red Man Pipe & Supply Co. (Red Man) in October 2007 (which had approximately \$2 billion of revenue in the year of merger). The most recent 9 acquisitions and the revenue amounts for the respective years of acquisition are:

LaBarge Pipe & Steel Company (revenue: \$233 million), a distributor of carbon steel pipe to the North American midstream sector that significantly expanded our line pipe capability, in October 2008;

MRC Transmark (revenue: \$346 million), a leading distributor of valves and flow control products in Europe, Southeast Asia and Australasia, in October 2009;

South Texas Supply Co. (revenue: \$9 million) and Dresser Oil Tools & Supply (\$13 million), which expanded our footprint in the Eagle Ford and Bakken shale regions, in May and August 2010, respectively;

MRC SPF (revenue: \$91 million), a distributor of stainless steel piping products through its seven locations across Australia as well as Korea, the United Kingdom and the United Arab Emirates, in June 2011;

The Valve Systems and Controls business unit of Curtis-Wright Flow Control Corporation (revenue: \$13 million), which strengthened our overall valve capabilities in the Gulf Coast of the U.S., in July 2011;

Table of Contents

OneSteel Piping Systems, now known as MRC Piping Systems Australia (revenue: \$174 million), a PVF distributor, which expanded our footprint in Australia, in March 2012;

Chaparral Supply LLC (revenue: \$71 million), which provided us support in the Mississippian Lime formation in Oklahoma and Kansas, in June 2012;

Production Specialty Services, Inc. (revenue: \$127 million), a regional PVF distributor with 17 locations within the Permian Basin and Eagle Ford shale regions of Texas and New Mexico, in December 2012; and

Dan H. Brown Inc. D/B/A Flow Control Products (revenue: \$28 million in 2012, the most recent completed fiscal year), a leading provider of value automation packages to the Permian Basin region, in June 2013.

Our operating scale and integration capabilities have also enabled us to realize important synergies, while minimizing execution risk. All of our North American acquisitions have been integrated onto a single IT platform, which facilitates more efficient pricing, sourcing and inventory management.

High Level of Integration and MRO Contracts with a Global Energy Customer Base. We have a diversified global customer base with over 18,000 active customers. We serve as the sole or primary supplier in all sectors or in specified sectors or geographies for many of our customers. Our largest 25 customers, with whom we have had relationships for more than 20 years on average, accounted for approximately half of our sales for 2012, while no single customer accounted for more than 7% of our sales during that period. We enjoy fully integrated relationships, including interconnected technology systems and daily communication, with many of our customers, and we provide an extensive range of integrated and outsourced supply services, allowing us to market a total transaction value concept as opposed to individual product prices. We sell products to our major customers through multi-year MRO contracts, which are typically renegotiated every three to five years. Although there are typically no guaranteed minimum purchase amounts under these contracts, these MRO customers, representing approximately 70% of our 2012 sales with an average annual retention rate of over 95% since 2000, provide a relatively stable revenue stream and help mitigate the effect of industry downturns on our business.

Business and Geographic Diversification in High-Growth Areas. We are well diversified across the upstream, midstream and downstream operations of the energy industry, as well as through our participation in selected industrial sectors. This diversification affords us some measure of protection in the event of a downturn in any one sector while providing us the ability to offer a one stop solution for our integrated energy customers. In North America, our more than 189 branch locations are located near major hydrocarbon and refining regions, including rapidly expanding oil and natural gas E&P areas, such as the Bakken, Barnett, Eagle Ford, Fayetteville, Haynesville, Marcellus, Niobrara, Utica and Permian Basin shales and related plays. In these non-conventional shale areas, a typical well can produce three to five times the revenue for us than a conventional well due to the greater length and the higher quality of pipe and related PVF products we furnish. In our International operating segment, we have a network of over 49 branch locations throughout Europe, Asia and Australasia in close proximity to major projects in liquefied natural gas (LNG), mining and mineral processing and other high-growth energy and infrastructure development areas.

Strategic Supplier Relationships. We have extensive relationships with our suppliers and have key supplier relationships dating back in certain instances over 60 years. Approximately 45% of our total purchases for the year ended December 31, 2012 were from our largest 25 suppliers. We believe our customers view us as an industry leader in part due to the formal processes we use to evaluate vendor performance and product quality. We employ individuals who specialize in conducting

Table of Contents

manufacturer assessments both domestically and internationally and who are certified by the International Registry of Certificated Auditors. Our Supplier Registration Process, which allows us to maintain the MRC ASL, serves as a significant strategic advantage to us in developing, maintaining and institutionalizing key supplier relationships. For our suppliers, inclusion on the MRC ASL represents an opportunity for them to increase their product sales to our customers. The SRP also adds value to our customers, as they collaborate with us regarding specific manufacturer performance, our past experiences with products and the results of our on-site manufacturer assessments.

IT Platform Focused on Customer Service. Our proprietary, integrated, scalable, customer-linked and highly customized information systems support our business. A wide area network links these systems and our approximately 4,700 employees. We operate a single information and operating system (SIMS) for all of our North American locations and separate SAP and Oracle-based systems for our other international locations (other than those we have recently acquired). This enables real-time access to our business resources, including customer order processing, purchasing and material requests, distribution requirements planning, warehousing and receiving, inventory control and accounting and financial functions. In 2012, we had over 1.6 million electronic data interchange customer transactions (including purchase orders, advance ship notices, electronic funds transfer and internet ordering), compared to less than 700,000 in 2000. We have over 4 million customer part numbers cross referenced and integrated into MRC s IT systems. Significant elements of our systems include firm-wide pricing controls, resulting in disciplined pricing strategies, advanced scanning and customized bar-coding capabilities, allowing for efficient warehousing activities at customer as well as our own locations, and significant levels of customer-specific integrations. We believe that the customized integration of our customers systems into our own information systems has increased customer retention by reducing our customers expenses, resulting in switching costs when our customers compare us to alternative sources of supply. Typically, smaller regional and local competitors do not have IT capabilities that are as advanced as ours, which we believe further differentiates us from our competition.

Highly Efficient, Flexible Operating Structure Drives Significant Free Cash Flow Generation. We place a particular emphasis on practicing financial discipline as evidenced by our strong focus on return on net assets, minimal maintenance capital expenditures and high free cash flow generation. Our disciplined cost control, coupled with our active asset management strategies and IT and services capabilities, result in a business model exhibiting a high degree of operating leverage. As is typical with the flexibility associated with a distribution operating model, our variable cost base includes substantially all of our cost of goods sold and a large portion of our operating costs. Furthermore, our total capital expenditures were approximately 0.5% of our sales for the year ended December 31, 2012. This cost structure allows us to adjust effectively to changing industry dynamics.

Experienced and Motivated Management Team. Our executive management team averages approximately 30 years of experience in the oilfield and industrial supply business, the majority of which has been with MRC or its predecessors. As of November 1, 2013, our executive and senior management owned approximately 3% of our Company.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to grow our market position as the largest global industrial distributor of PVF and related products to the energy industry.

Increase Market Share Organically and Grow Business with Current Customers. We are committed to expanding existing deep relationships with our current customer base while concurrently

Table of Contents

striving to secure new customers. To accomplish this, we are focused on providing a global one stop PVF procurement solution across the upstream, midstream and downstream sectors of the energy industry, maximizing bundling opportunities by leveraging our extensive product offering and increasing our penetration of existing customers new multi-year projects. Since 2000, we have retained in excess of 95% of our MRO contracts.

The migration of existing customer relationships to sole or primary sourcing arrangements is a core strategic focus. We seek to position ourselves as the sole or primary provider of a broad complement of PVF products and services for a particular customer, often by sector or region, or in certain instances across all of a customer's global upstream, midstream and downstream operations. Several of our largest customers have recently switched to sole or primary sourcing contracts with us. Additionally, we believe that other significant opportunities exist to expand our deep customer and supplier relationships and thereby increase our market share. There is also a significant opportunity to extend our current North American MRO contracts internationally as well as bundle certain products, most notably pipe, flanges, fittings and other products (PFF), into MRC Transmark's existing customer base, branch network and more valve-focused product platform.

We also aim to increase our penetration of our existing customers new projects. For example, while we often provide nearly 100% of the PVF products for certain customers under MRO contracts, increased penetration of those customers new downstream and midstream projects remains a strategic priority.

Increase Recurring Revenues through Integrated Supply and MRO Contracts. We have entered into, and continue to pursue, integrated supply and MRO contracts with certain of our customers. Under these arrangements, we are typically the sole or primary source provider of the upstream, midstream or downstream requirements of our customers. In certain instances, we are the sole or primary source provider for our customers across all the energy sectors or North American geographies within which the customer operates. We will seek to extend these contracts internationally.

In May 2012, we were awarded a five year global Enterprise Framework Agreement with Shell for the distribution of valves and automation services for their upstream, midstream and downstream project and MRO requirements in North America, Europe, Australia, the Middle East and Africa. The agreement also covers carbon steel, stainless and alloy pipe, fittings and flanges in the United States as well as stainless steel and alloy pipe, fittings and flanges in Canada.

In addition, our customers have, over time, increasingly moved toward centralized PVF procurement management at the corporate level rather than at individual local units. These developments are partly due to significant consolidation among our customer base. Sole or primary sourcing arrangements allow customers to focus on their core operations and provide economic benefits by generating immediate savings for the customer through administrative cost and working capital reductions, while providing for increased volumes, more stable revenue streams and longer term visibility for us. We believe we are well positioned to obtain these arrangements due to our leadership position and technical expertise, geographically diverse branch network, breadth of available product lines, value added services and scale in purchasing and existing deep relationships with customers and suppliers.

We also have both exclusive and non-exclusive MRO contracts in place. Our customers are increasing their capital and operating spending, which is being driven by aging infrastructure, increasing regulatory, safety and environmental requirements, the increased utilization of existing

Table of Contents

facilities and the decreasing quality of energy feedstocks. Our customers benefit from MRO arrangements through lower inventory investment and the reduction of transaction costs associated with the elimination of the bid submission process, and we benefit from the recurring revenue stream that occurs with an MRO contract in place. We believe there are additional opportunities to utilize MRO arrangements through our one-stop PVF solution, both in North America and globally, for servicing the requirements of our customers. We are actively pursuing such opportunities.

Capitalize on Significant Growth in U.S. Shale Activity. The development of shale oil and gas in the U.S. has been rapid over the past several years. Natural gas is a major source of energy in the U.S., providing about 26% of total U.S. energy in 2011 according to the Department of Energy. Shale gas, as a percentage of total natural gas production, has, in turn, rapidly increased from less than 2% of total U.S. natural gas production in 2001 to 34% in 2012 and is projected to increase to 50% by 2040 according to the EIA. Over the past ten years, technological advances in directional drilling and fracturing technologies have enabled the production of oil and natural gas products in previously underdeveloped U.S. oil and natural gas shale basins. As a result, unconventional E&P activity in shale regions has accelerated significantly and production levels have increased. We believe that PVF expenditures for unconventional shale plays can amount to as much as five times that required for comparable conventional plays and have positioned ourselves to benefit from this increase in unconventional E&P and midstream infrastructure activity by investing in these shale regions.

In addition, we are well positioned to continue to benefit from the more recent marked shift in E&P activity in the U.S. towards oil production. During 2007, approximately 17% of E&P activity in the U.S. consisted of oil drilling and 83% consisted of natural gas drilling. During 2012, approximately 72% of E&P activity in the U.S. consisted of oil drilling and 28% consisted of natural gas drilling. This is the highest percentage of oil drilling in the U.S. in approximately two decades.

Capitalize on Anticipated Midstream MRO Activity. Our major midstream customers face new safety regulations requiring additional inspection and hydro-testing requirements for U.S. pipelines. On January 3, 2012, the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 (the Pipeline Act) was enacted into law. The Pipeline Act is expected to accelerate PVF testing and replacement as well as require midstream participants to install additional automatic or remote-controlled shut-off valves and excess flow valves in new or replaced transmission pipelines. In addition, approximately 60% of the 178,000 miles of pipeline in the U.S. is over 40 years old. Recent initiatives from several of our major customers suggest a longer term trend towards continued replacement of this aged pipeline infrastructure and related MRO spending. Our acquisition of LaBarge Pipe & Steel Company (LaBarge), along with our increased focus and investments in line pipe and its attendant PVF and industrial supply products, uniquely positions us to benefit from increased pipeline replacement and MRO spending in the midstream sector over the next 10 years.

Further Penetrate the Canadian Oil Sands, Particularly the Downstream Sector. The Canadian Oil Sands region and its attendant downstream sector represent long-term growth areas for our Company. The Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers and Energy Resources Conservation Board estimate that Oil Sands capital expenditures increased by approximately 18% in 2010 to \$13 billion and projects that expenditures will increase to approximately \$20 billion by 2016, a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 7.4%, which we believe will generate significant PVF expenditures. While MRC Canada has historically focused on the upstream and midstream sectors in Canada, we believe that a significant opportunity exists to continue to penetrate the Canadian Oil Sands and downstream industries, which include the upgrader, refinery, petrochemical and other industrial processing sectors. Our sales to the Canadian Oil Sands region and downstream sectors increased by 25% to \$453 million from 2011 to 2012. Additionally, we believe there is also a significant

Table of Contents

opportunity to penetrate the Canadian Oil Sands extraction sector involving in-situ recovery methods. We have made targeted inventory and facility investments in Canada, including a 74,000 square foot distribution center located near Edmonton and a 16,000 square foot warehouse near Fort McMurray, to address this opportunity.

Expanding Globally Through Positioning on EPC Projects. Projects are a growing part of our business and represented approximately 30% of our 2012 sales. In 2012, 15% of our revenue was derived from infrastructure projects through engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) firms and 16% was derived from drilling/production projects. These projects can be either brownfield or greenfield in nature, with the latter representing new construction and the former representing projects that are more refurbishment or replacement in scope. Infrastructure projects are an important part of all the sectors we serve but are typically more active in our downstream and midstream sectors. Due to our strong MRO position in these sectors, we are often our customers' choice for brownfield expansion in these facilities. We are actively looking to increase our participation in new greenfield projects both domestically and internationally by working closely with both end customers and EPC contractors.

Expand into New Geographies and Adjacent Sectors. We intend to continue to selectively establish new branches to facilitate our expansion into new geographies and enter adjacent sectors where extreme operating environments generate high PVF product replacement rates. We continue to evaluate establishing branches and service and supply centers in select domestic and international regions as well as identifying existing branches for overlap and strategic elimination.

We believe that an attractive opportunity exists to further expand our International operating segment. We continue to actively evaluate opportunities to selectively establish new branches to grow with our existing global customer base or to develop new customer relationships and extend our offering to key international markets, particularly in Asia, Europe, Australasia and the Middle East. The current installed base of energy infrastructure internationally, including the upstream, midstream and downstream sectors, is significantly larger than in North America, and, as a result, we believe represents an attractive long term opportunity for us. Since 2006, when 100% of our revenues were generated in the U.S., we have expanded into Canada, Europe, Asia and Australasia. In the year ended December 31, 2012, approximately 24% of our revenues were generated outside the U.S.

We also believe opportunities exist for expansion into new and under-penetrated sectors where PVF products are used in specialized or highly corrosive applications. These sectors include pulp and paper, waterworks, food and beverage and other general industrial sectors, in addition to other energy sectors such as power generation, mining and mineral processing, solar, LNG, coal, nuclear, ethanol and desalinization facilities.

We continue to explore the possibility of selective acquisitions. In particular, we are actively engaged in considering acquisition opportunities outside of the U.S. Acquisitions we pursue could be material and could require us to raise additional capital to consummate such transactions. We are considering investments that enhance our presence in the energy infrastructure sector and enable us to take advantage of strength in the energy industry in geographic areas outside of the U.S. Nevertheless, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in identifying acquisition opportunities, closing additional acquisitions or successfully integrating acquired entities into our business.

Continued Focus on Operational Efficiency. We strive for continued operational excellence. Our branch managers, regional management and corporate leadership team continually examine branch profitability, working capital management and return on managed assets and utilize this information to optimize global, regional and local strategies, reduce operating costs and maximize cash flow generation.

Table of Contents

To improve efficiencies and profitability, we work to leverage operational best practices, optimize our vendor relationships, purchasing and inventory levels, and source inventory internationally when appropriate. As part of this strategy, we have integrated our purchasing functions into a central procurement function and believe we have developed strong relationships with vendors that value our international footprint, large sales force and volume purchasing capabilities. Because of this, we are often considered the preferred distribution channel.

Recent Developments

Interest rates have continued to decrease since November 2012 when the Company entered into its seven-year \$650 million senior secured Term Loan B (the Term Loan). Given the current low interest rate environment, the Company is exploring a possible repricing amendment of the Term Loan to take advantage of lower rates. Any such repricing amendment could result in a reduction in the Company's interest expense as well as a charge during the quarter when such a repricing occurs. We may also seek to increase the size of the Term Loan. Any such repricing or other expansion of the Term Loan would be subject to market conditions, reaching final agreement with lenders, successful syndication and other factors, and there can be no assurance that the Company would successfully consummate any such transaction.

In addition, as we have previously disclosed, if funds affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. beneficially own less than 5,141,547 shares of our common stock (approximately 5% of our shares based on the number of shares currently outstanding), all stock options and restricted stock granted prior to our initial public offering will vest, accelerating the recognition of noncash stock-based compensation. At September 30, 2013, the unrecognized stock-based compensation related to these pre-IPO grants was \$6 million. Because we anticipate that these funds affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. will own less than 5,141,547 shares of our common stock following this offering (if this offering is consummated for the amount of shares of common stock set forth on the cover of this prospectus supplement, these funds will cease to own any shares of our common stock), the recognition of this noncash stock-based compensation expense would be recognized during the quarter ending December 31, 2013.

In connection with this offering, we have been informed by Messrs. John F. Daly and Christopher A.S. Crampton that, as a result of the sale by the funds affiliated with Goldman, Sachs & Co. of all of their equity interests in our Company, they intend to resign as directors on our board of directors concurrently with or shortly after the closing of this offering.

Corporate Information

MRC Global Inc. was incorporated in Delaware on November 20, 2006. Our principal executive office is located at 2 Houston Center, 909 Fannin, Suite 3100, Houston, Texas 77010. Our telephone number is (877) 294-7574. Our website address is <http://www.mrcglobal.com>. Information contained on our website or on other external websites mentioned throughout this prospectus supplement is expressly not incorporated by reference into this prospectus supplement.

Table of Contents

The Offering

Issuer	MRC Global Inc.
Common stock offered by the selling stockholders	17,489,233 shares
Common stock outstanding immediately after the offering	101,754,227 shares
Use of proceeds	We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of our common stock by the selling stockholders in this offering. See Use of Proceeds.
New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) symbol	MRC
Risk Factors	See Risk Factors included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and Risk Factors beginning on page S-17 of this prospectus supplement for a discussion of factors that you should carefully consider before deciding to invest in shares of our common stock.
The number of shares of common stock to be outstanding after the offering is based on the number of shares outstanding as of November 1, 2013 and:	

excludes 4,019,906 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options granted to certain of our employees and directors pursuant to our 2007 Stock Option Plan and our 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan (of which options for 1,554,669 shares of common stock have vested); and

excludes 230,305 shares of non-vested restricted stock awarded to certain of our employees pursuant to our 2007 Restricted Stock Plan and our 2011 Omnibus Incentive Plan.

The data included or incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement regarding the industrial and oilfield PVF distribution industry, including trends in the market and our position and the position of our competitors within this industry, are based on our estimates, which have been derived from management's knowledge and experience in the areas in which our business operates, and information obtained from customers, suppliers, trade and business organizations, internal research, publicly available information, industry publications and surveys and other contacts in the areas in which our business operates. We have also cited information compiled by industry publications, governmental agencies and publicly available sources.

Table of Contents

Summary Consolidated Financial Information

The summary consolidated financial information presented below under the captions Statement of Operations Data and Other Financial Data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, and the summary consolidated financial information presented below under the caption Balance Sheet Data as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, have been derived from the consolidated financial statements of MRC Global Inc. incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement that Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has audited. The summary consolidated financial information presented below under the captions Statement of Operations Data and Other Financial Data for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the summary consolidated financial information presented below under the caption Balance Sheet Data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from the consolidated financial statements of MRC Global Inc. not incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement that Ernst & Young LLP has audited. The summary consolidated financial data as of, and for the nine months ended, September 30, 2013 and 2012 were derived from unaudited consolidated financial statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. The operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other period or the entire year ending December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

The summary financial data presented below have been derived from the consolidated financial statements of MRC Global Inc. that have been prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP). This data should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements, related notes and other financial information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 incorporated by reference in this prospectus supplement. Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of future operating results.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Year Ended December 31,				
	2013	2012	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)							
Statements of Operations							
Data:							
Sales	\$ 3,886.6	\$ 4,264.1	\$ 5,570.8	\$ 4,832.4	\$ 3,845.5	\$ 3,661.9	\$ 5,255.2
Cost of sales	3,157.8	3,508.7	4,557.1	4,124.2	3,327.4	3,113.9	4,273.1
Gross profit	728.8	755.4	1,013.7	708.2	518.1	548.0	982.1
Selling, general and administrative expenses	475.6	452.5	606.7	513.6	451.7	411.6	482.1
Goodwill and intangibles impairment charge						386.1	
Operating income (loss)	253.2	302.9	333,156 (f)(g)	*			
Christopher R. Pizzi	35,976 (f)(g)	*					
Joseph A. Trunfio, Ph.D.	139,034 (f)(g)	*					
Buffy S. White	15,180 (f)(g)	*					
All directors and executive officers as a group	1,377,412 (h)	3.8%					
	*	Less than 1%					

Addresses are as follows: BlackRock, Inc., 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055; T Rowe Price Associates Inc., 100 E. Pratt Street, Baltimore, MD 21202; Dimensional Fund Advisors, LP, Building One, 6300 Bee Cave (a) Road, Austin, TX 78746; Vanguard Group Inc., 100 Vanguard Blvd, Malvern, PA 19355; Timothy L. Fischer, 3425 Newport Bay Drive, Alpharetta, GA 30005; and William J. Grubbs, 166 Fisher Avenue, Brookline, MA 02455.

The information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares by BlackRock, Inc. was obtained from its statement (b) on Schedule 13G/A, filed with the Commission on January 24, 2019. Such statement discloses that BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting power of 5,183,269 shares and has sole dispositive power of 5,257,750 shares.

(c) The information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares by T Rowe Price Associates Inc. was obtained from its statement on Schedule 13G/A, filed with the Commission on February 14, 2019. Such statement discloses that T Rowe Price Associates Inc. possesses sole voting power over 420,737 shares and sole dispositive power over 2,424,831 shares.

(d) The information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP was obtained from its statement filed on Schedule 13G, filed with the Commission on February 8, 2019. Such statement discloses that Dimensional Fund Advisors LP possesses sole voting power over 2,276,191 shares and sole dispositive power over 2,387,342 shares.

(e) The information regarding the beneficial ownership of shares by Vanguard Group Inc. was obtained from its statement on Schedule 13G/A, filed with the Commission on February 11, 2019. Such statement discloses that Vanguard Group Inc. possesses sole voting power over 36,203 shares, shared voting power over 3,100 shares, sole dispositive power over 2,158,556 shares, and shared dispositive power over 34,303 shares.

(f) Includes shares of Common Stock which such individuals have the right to acquire through the exercise of equity awards within 60 days of March 18, 2019 as follows: Susan E. Ball, 5,625; William J. Burns, 0; W. Larry Cash, 0; Kevin C. Clark, 0; Thomas C. Dircks, 0; Timothy L. Fischer, 0; Gale Fitzgerald, 0; Darrell S. Freeman, Sr., 0; William J. Grubbs, 0; Richard M. Mastaler, 0; Mark Perlberg, 0; Christopher R. Pizzi, 0; Joseph A. Trunfio, 0; and Buffy S. White, 0. Includes Restricted Shares as follows: Susan E. Ball, 28,943; William J. Burns, 54,899; W. Larry Cash, 17,649; Kevin C. Clark, 0; Thomas C. Dircks, 17,649; Timothy L. Fischer, 0; Gale Fitzgerald, 17,649; Darrell S. Freeman, Sr., 7,730; William J. Grubbs, 0; Richard M. Mastaler, 17,649; Mark Perlberg, 17,649; Christopher R. Pizzi, 26,419; Joseph A. Trunfio, 17,649; and Buffy S. White, 13,142.

(g) Address is c/o Cross Country Healthcare, Inc., 5201 Congress Avenue, Suite 100B, Boca Raton, Florida 33487.

(h) Includes 5,625 shares of Common Stock which the directors and executive officers have the right to acquire through the exercise of equity awards within 60 days of March 18, 2019 and 270,162 shares of Restricted Stock.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

The members of our Board of Directors, our executive officers and persons beneficially owning 10% or more of our outstanding Common Stock are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act that requires them to file reports with respect to their ownership of our Common Stock and their transactions in such Common Stock. Based solely upon a review of (i) the copies of Section 16(a) reports that we have received from such persons or entities for transactions in our Common Stock and their Common Stock holdings for the year ended December 31, 2018 and (ii) the written representations received from one or more of such persons or entities that no annual Form 5 reports were required to be filed by them for such fiscal year, we believe that all reporting requirements under Section 16(a) for such fiscal year were met in a timely manner by our directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of 10% or more of our Common Stock.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors currently consists of eight members. All of the directors currently serving on the Board of Directors have been nominated by the Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors to stand for re-election at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders for one-year terms. The Board of Directors unanimously approved these nominations. Each nominee elected will hold office until the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2020 and until a successor has been duly elected and qualified unless, prior to such meeting a director shall resign, or his or her directorship shall become vacant due to his or her death, resignation or removal. All nominees were elected at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders held in 2018, other than Darrell S. Freeman, Sr., who was appointed as a director on August 1, 2018, and Kevin C. Clark. Mr. Clark replaced William J. Grubbs as President, Chief Executive Officer and Director, upon Mr. Grubbs' retirement, effective January 15, 2019.

Each nominee has agreed to serve, if elected, and management has no reason to believe that they will be unavailable to serve. If any of the nominees should be unavailable for election, the proxies will be voted for the election of such other person as may be recommended by the Board of Directors in place of such nominee. Shares properly voted will be voted FOR the nominees unless the stockholder indicates on the proxy that authority to vote the shares is withheld for one or more or for all of the nominees listed. A proxy cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the eight nominees named below. Directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast in an uncontested election. Votes withheld, abstentions and broker non-votes will not have any effect on the outcome of voting with respect to the election of directors unless no affirmative votes are received for a nominee. The following eight individuals have been nominated for election at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders for a one-year term ending upon the 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

Name	Age	Position
Kevin C. Clark	58	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
W. Larry Cash	70	Director
Thomas C. Dircks	61	Chairman of the Board and Director
Gale Fitzgerald	68	Director
Darrell S. Freeman, Sr.	54	Director
Richard M. Mastaler	73	Director
Mark Perlberg	63	Director
Joseph A. Trunfio, Ph.D.	72	Director

The Board recommends that holders vote FOR the election of the nominees.

In selecting qualified individuals to serve on our Board of Directors, among other attributes, we look for those individuals who possess characteristics that include integrity, business experience, financial acumen and leadership abilities, familiarity with our business and businesses similar or analogous to ours, and the extent to which a candidate's knowledge, skills, background and experience are already represented by other members of our Board of Directors. In addition, in composing a well-rounded Board of Directors, we look for those individuals possessing a diversity of complementary skills, core-competencies and expertise, including diversity with respect to age, gender, national origin and race, for the optimal functioning of the Board of Directors and with a view toward constituting a Board with the appropriate skills and experience necessary to oversee our business.

The following information sets forth the principal occupation and employment during at least the past five years of each director nominee, positions and offices with us, specific skills, attributes and qualifications and certain other information. In addition, we have summarized for each director nominee why such director nominee has been chosen to serve on our Board of Directors. No family relationship exists among any of the nominees or executive officers.

Kevin C. Clark became President, Chief Executive Officer, and a director of the Company on January 16, 2019. Prior to joining the Company, he served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Talivity, Inc., a provider of staffing, marketing and technology services, from 2015 to 2018. Prior to that he served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of OGH, LLC, a healthcare staffing, technology and workforce solutions company, from 2002 to 2015, and as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Pinnacor from 1999 to 2001, a provider of content and financial software application services. From 1996 to 1998, Mr. Clark served as Chairman and

Chief Executive Officer of Poppe Tyson, Inc, a global digital marketing agency, and from 1986 to 1994 he served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cross Country, Inc., a healthcare staffing company, which he co-founded. Mr. Clark earned his Bachelor of Business Administration from Florida Atlantic University.

The Board has concluded that Mr. Clark should serve as a director due to his extensive executive level management skills, and experience building and leading healthcare staffing, workforce solutions, and other technology companies.

W. Larry Cash has been a director and Audit Committee member since October 2001 and a Compensation Committee member since May 2005. Mr. Cash is Chairman of the Audit Committee. Mr. Cash retired as President of Financial Services and Chief Financial Officer of Community Health Systems in May 2017 and currently serves as a consultant to Community Health Systems. He joined Community Health Systems as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in September 1997. Prior to joining Community Health Systems, Mr. Cash served as Vice President and Group Chief Financial Officer of Columbia/HCA Healthcare Corporation from September 1996 to August 1997. Prior to Columbia/HCA, Mr. Cash spent 23 years at Humana, Inc., most recently as Senior Vice President of Finance and Operations from 1993 to 1996. He received his B.S. in Accounting from the University of Kentucky at Lexington. He has served as a member of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee of AAC Holdings, Inc. since October 2017, a provider of substance use treatment centers.

The Board has concluded that Mr. Cash should serve as a director due to his extensive executive level management skills, corporate financial management, and operational experience. Additionally, Mr. Cash has a vast understanding of many aspects of the healthcare industry and brings solid expertise and proven leadership skills to the Board.

Thomas C. Dircks has been a director since July 1999 and was elected to serve as Chairman of the Board of Directors on August 2, 2013. Mr. Dircks is a Managing Director of Charterhouse Strategic Partners, a provider of strategically focused investments in growth companies in the United States. Mr. Dircks was previously Managing Partner of Charterhouse Equity Partners and was responsible for managing and overseeing the investment of Charterhouse's multi-billion dollars of North America focused institutional private equity funds. Charterhouse was one of the earliest investors in private equity and raised funds and invested in middle market companies for over three decades. Prior to joining Charterhouse, he was employed by PricewaterhouseCoopers as a Certified Public Accountant. He holds a B.S. in Accounting and a Masters of Business Administration from Fordham University.

The Board has concluded that Mr. Dircks should serve as a director due to his extensive executive management, accounting, tax, mergers and acquisition, and strategic planning expertise. Additionally, Mr. Dircks' risk management skills and financial acumen add an important dimension to our Board's composition.

Gale Fitzgerald has been a director and member of the Audit Committee since May 2007, and since January 2014 has served as the Chairperson of the Governance and Nominating Committee. Ms. Fitzgerald is a retired principal of TranSpend, Inc., a consulting company. Before co-founding TranSpend, Inc. in 2003, she served as the President of QP Group, Inc. Prior to joining QP Group, Inc., she served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Computer Task Group, Inc. from 1994 to 2000. She joined Computer Task Group, Inc. in 1991 as Senior Vice President and was promoted to President and Chief Operating Officer in July 1993. Prior to joining Computer Task Group, Inc., she was Vice President, Professional Services at International Business Machines Corporation, which evolved into IBM Global Services. Ms. Fitzgerald worked at IBM for 18 years in various technical, marketing and management positions. She is a member of the Board of Directors of Diebold Nixdorf, Inc. Ms. Fitzgerald has a B.A. in Government from Connecticut College and a Masters in Theology from Augustine Institute.

The Board has concluded that Ms. Fitzgerald should serve as a director because of her extensive executive leadership experience, management skills, and public board experience. Ms. Fitzgerald's expertise in the areas of Information Technology, Staffing and Healthcare provides an invaluable resource to the Board with respect to corporate and strategic planning and assessing and managing risks.

Darrell S. Freeman, Sr. has been a director and Audit Committee member since August 2018. He currently serves as the Executive Managing Director of Zycron, an information technology services and solutions firm he founded in 1991 and later sold to BG Staffing in 2017. Zycron is now a division of BG Staffing, Inc. Zycron

7

provides IT staffing, outsourcing and project management services primarily in the healthcare, energy, and government sectors. Mr. Freeman also co-founded Tennessee-based Reliant Bank in 2006, and has served as a board member and a member of the audit and compensation committees of Commerce Union Bancshares, Inc., the holding company for Reliant Bank, since its inception. Additionally, in 2007 Mr. Freeman co-founded Pinnacle Construction Partners, a construction management firm, and has served as the chairman since 2007. Since 2016, Mr. Freeman has also served as the chairman of the board of directors of S3 Asset Management, a technology and medical equipment recycling company. He has also served on the board of directors of American Addiction Centers since 2013 and is currently its lead director. Mr. Freeman holds a B.S. in Industrial Technology and a Master's Degree in Industrial Studies, both from Middle Tennessee State University.

The Company's Board of Directors believes that Mr. Freeman is qualified to serve as a director as a result of his extensive staffing, outsourcing, technology and healthcare expertise, as well as his extensive background in business development.

Richard M. Mastaler has been a director since June 21, 2011. Mr. Mastaler has served on the Audit Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee since January 2014. In 2017, Mr. Mastaler retired as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Managed Health Ventures, Inc., a managed care consulting firm, which he founded in 2002. He previously held the position of Chief Executive Officer with CCN Managed Care, Inc., Preferred Health Networks, QualMed, Inc., Unilab Corporation, and three Humana hospitals. Mr. Mastaler was a founder and Vice President with Humana Medical Plan. He also is a Fellow of the American College of Healthcare Executives. Mr. Mastaler holds a B.S. degree in Business Administration from Florida State University and a Masters in Healthcare Administration from George Washington University.

The Board has concluded that Mr. Mastaler should serve as a director because of his extensive healthcare and management experience. Mr. Mastaler's experience in the healthcare industry provides an excellent resource to the Board for strategic planning, marketing, and leadership purposes.

Mark Perlberg has been a director and Compensation Committee member since May 12, 2015. He is currently President and Chief Executive Officer of Oasis Outsourcing, one of the nation's leading Professional Employer Organizations. He has served in that capacity since October 2003. Prior to joining Oasis Outsourcing, Mr. Perlberg held a series of executive positions with Profit Recovery Group, the John Harland Group, and Western Union. Prior to joining Western Union, he practiced law in New Jersey. Mr. Perlberg received his B.A. degree in History from the University of Rochester and his Juris Doctor degree from Boston College Law School.

The Board has concluded that Mr. Perlberg should serve as a director due to his extensive executive management and leadership experience in growing companies both organically and through acquisitions. Mr. Perlberg's success during his career in overseeing the delivery of alternative workforce solutions provides a unique perspective to the Company.

Joseph A. Trunfio, Ph.D. has been a director since October 2001. He has served on the Governance and Nominating Committee since May 2006 and was appointed to the Compensation Committee as its Chairman, effective January 1, 2014. He served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Atlantic Health System, a not-for-profit hospital group, from March 1999 until his retirement in May 2015, where he was a member of the Board of Trustees. From July 1997 to February 1999, Mr. Trunfio served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Via Caritas Health System, a not-for-profit hospital group. Prior to his position with Via Caritas Health System, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of SSM Healthcare Ministry Corp., a not-for-profit hospital group. Mr. Trunfio received his B.A. from St. John's University (N.Y.) and holds a Ph.D. in Clinical Psychology from the University of Miami.

The Board has concluded that Mr. Trunfio should serve as a director due to his extensive executive management and leadership experience. Mr. Trunfio brings to the Board a depth of understanding of the delivery of healthcare delivery system in the United States, our business and the various challenges we face in the evolving healthcare industry.

Affirmative Determinations Regarding Director Independence and Other Matters

The Board of Directors observes all criteria for independence established by the Nasdaq Stock Market, or NASDAQ, under its applicable Listing Rules. As such, the Board of Directors has determined each of the following directors and nominees to be an independent director under the meaning of Rule 5605(a) (2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules:

W. Larry Cash
Thomas C. Dircks
Gale Fitzgerald
Darrell S. Freeman, Sr.
Richard M. Mastaler
Mark Perlberg
Joseph A. Trunfio, PhD

The Board of Directors has also determined that each member of the Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominating Committees meets the applicable independence requirements set forth by NASDAQ, the Commission and the Internal Revenue Service. The Board of Directors has further determined that W. Larry Cash, a member and Chairman of the Audit Committee, is an audit committee financial expert as defined in the rules promulgated by the Commission and, as such, Mr. Cash satisfies the requirements of Rule 5605(c)(2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules.

Board Committees and Meetings

Meetings of the Board of Directors. During the year ended December 31, 2018, there were 12 meetings of the Board of Directors. Each director who served in such capacity during the year ended December 31, 2018 attended 100% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors during the period in which he or she served as a director, and 100% of the committee or committees thereof on which he or she served. Other than Mr. Freeman and Mr. Clark, all of the directors nominated for election to the Board were members of the Board for the entire 2018 year. It is the practice of the Board of Directors to have the independent directors meet in an executive session at each meeting of the Board. It is also our practice that all directors should attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All of the directors at the time attended the 2018 Annual Meeting.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight. Our Company is led by Mr. Kevin C. Clark, who has served as our President and Chief Executive Officer since January 16, 2019. Our Board of Directors is currently comprised of Mr. Clark, our President and Chief Executive Officer, and seven independent directors. Mr. Dircks has served as the Chairman of the Board since 2013. Each of our Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominating Committees are comprised entirely of independent directors. While risk management is primarily the responsibility of our management team, the Board is responsible for the overall supervision of our risk management activities which occurs at both the full Board level and at the committee level. Our Audit Committee also has the responsibility to, among other things, review with management, the Company's policies regarding major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. The Audit Committee also reviews with management, the policies governing the process by which risk assessment and risk management are undertaken and has oversight for the effectiveness of management's enterprise risk management process that monitors key business risks facing us. In addition to our Audit Committee, the other committees of the Board consider the risks within their areas of responsibility. For example, the Compensation Committee assesses risk that could result from the structure and design of our executive compensation programs, our incentive compensation plans, director compensation, perquisites and compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 regarding prohibitions on loans to executive officers and directors. The Governance and Nominating Committee evaluates risks with respect to, among other things, corporate governance matters and the background and suitability of director nominees. Additionally, the Board of Directors continually evaluates our risks related to liquidity, operations, credit, regulatory compliance and fiduciary risks, and the processes in place to monitor and control such exposures. Management also provides regular updates throughout the year to the respective committees regarding management of the risks they oversee, and each of these

committees report their findings to the full Board, including any areas of risk that require Board attention. Additionally, the full Board reviews our short- and long-term strategies, including consideration of risks facing us and their potential impact.

The Board of Directors has determined that our current board leadership structure is appropriate and helps to ensure proper risk oversight for us for a number of reasons, the most significant of which are as follows:

- our Chief Executive Officer is the individual selected by the Board of Directors to manage us on a day-to-day basis and his direct involvement in our operations makes him best positioned to consult with our Board to create appropriate agendas for Board meetings and determine the time allocated to each agenda item in discussions of our short- and long-term objectives, as well as lead productive strategic planning sessions with the Board;
- members of the Board are kept informed of our business by various documents sent to them before each meeting and as otherwise requested, as well as through oral reports made to them during these meetings by our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and other senior executives;
- our Board structure provides strong oversight by independent directors, in particular because non-management directors meet separately, the Board is advised of all actions taken by the various committees of the Board, they have full access to all of our books, records and reports;
- members of the Board have direct access to the management team and those individuals are available at all times to answer questions from the Board Members;
- our Board has extensive management experience in business and, in particular, the healthcare industry in which we operate; and
- the continuity and tenure of our Board provide a valuable source of institutional knowledge.

Compensation-Related Risk. Our Compensation Committee has specifically reviewed and considered whether our compensation programs and policies create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. In that regard, we design our programs in a balanced and diversified manner while also creating significant, yet appropriate, incentives for strong performance based on our business and strategic plan. In most cases, each component of our performance-based compensation program is subject to a limit on the amount paid. We believe that our compensation programs reflect a balance of short-term, long-term, guaranteed and performance-based compensation in order not to encourage excessive risk-taking. A significant portion of our compensation program includes performance-based compensation. We believe that this ensures that our NEOs and other employees focus on the health of our business that will deliver stockholder value over time and discourages excess risk-taking by our NEOs and other employees.

Committees of the Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors has three standing committees: Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominating Committees. Each of these committees is comprised solely of independent directors within the meaning of Rule 5605(a) (2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. Each committee operates pursuant to a committee charter. The charters of each of the Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominating Committees are available on our website at www.crosscountryhealthcare.com by choosing the Investors link, clicking on the Corporate Governance section, and selecting the respective charter under View.

The current composition of our Board's standing committees is as follows:

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee consists of Messrs. Cash, Freeman, and Mastaler and Ms. Fitzgerald. Mr. Cash joined the Audit Committee upon his appointment to the Board in October 2001; Ms. Fitzgerald joined the Audit Committee upon her appointment to the Board in May 2007; Mr. Mastaler was appointed to serve on the Audit Committee, effective January 1, 2014; and Mr. Freeman joined the Audit Committee upon his appointment to the Board in August 2018. Mr. Cash is the Chairman of the Audit Committee. Messrs. Cash, Freeman, and Mastaler and Ms. Fitzgerald are independent directors under the Commission's rules and NASDAQ's Listing Rules for Audit Committees. The Audit Committee has adopted a written charter, which is available on our website as described under Committees of the Board of Directors. The Audit Committee is the principal agent of the Board of Directors in overseeing (i) the quality and integrity of our financial statements, (ii) legal and regulatory compliance, (iii) the independence, qualifications, and performance of our independent registered public accounting firm, (iv) the performance of our internal auditors

and (v) the integrity of management and the quality and adequacy of disclosures to stockholders. The Committee also:

- is responsible for hiring and terminating our independent registered public accounting firm and pre-approving all auditing, as well as any audit-related, tax advisory and any other non-auditing services to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm;

10

- reviews and discusses with our independent registered public accounting firm their quality control procedures and our critical accounting policies and practices;
- regularly reviews the scope and results of audits performed by our independent registered public accounting firm and internal auditors;
- meets with management to review the adequacy of our internal control framework and our financial, accounting, and reporting and disclosure control processes;
- reviews our periodic filings and quarterly earnings releases;
- reviews and discusses with our chief executive and financial officers the procedures they follow to complete their certifications in connection with our periodic filings with the Commission; and
- discusses management's plans with respect to our major financial risk exposures.

During 2018, there were 8 meetings of the Audit Committee. By meeting with independent auditors and internal auditors, and operating and financial management personnel, the Audit Committee oversees matters relating to accounting standards, policies and practices, any changes thereto and the effects of any changes on our financial statements, financial reporting practices and the quality and adequacy of internal controls.

Additionally our Internal Audit function reports directly to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee regularly meets with our independent registered public accounting firm separate from management and regularly holds executive sessions without management. In addition, the Audit Committee regularly meets with our Chief Financial Officer and Director of Internal Audit in separate executive sessions.

The Audit Committee has established procedures for (i) the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by the Company regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and (ii) the confidential, anonymous submission by employees of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters. A toll-free phone number is available for confidential and anonymous submission of concerns relating to accounting, auditing and other illegal or unethical matters, as well as alleged violations of the Company's Code of Conduct or any other policies. All submissions are reported to the General Counsel and, in turn, to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has the power to retain independent counsel and other advisors as it deems necessary to carry out its duties.

The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is able to read and understand fundamental financial statements, including our balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement, as required by NASDAQ rules. In addition to determining that Mr. Cash is an audit committee financial expert under the Commission's rules, the Board has determined that Mr. Cash satisfies the Nasdaq rule requiring that at least one member of the Audit Committee have past employment experience in finance or accounting, requisite professional certification in accounting, or any other comparable experience or background which results in the member's financial sophistication, including being, or having been, a chief executive officer, chief financial officer or other senior officer with financial oversight responsibilities.

Compensation Committee

The role of the Compensation Committee includes (i) reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to our Chief Executive Officer's compensation, (ii) evaluating our Chief Executive Officer's performance in light of the Company's goals and objectives, and determining and approving our Chief Executive Officer's compensation level based on this evaluation, (iii) making recommendations to the Board of Directors with respect to compensation, incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans for all of our employees, and (iv) reviewing and evaluating non-employee/outside director compensation. The members of the Compensation Committee consist of Messrs. Trunfio, Cash, and Perlberg who are independent directors under Rule 5605(a) (2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. Mr. Cash was appointed to the Compensation Committee in May 2005 and Mr. Trunfio was appointed to the Compensation Committee as its Chairman, effective January 1, 2014. Mr. Perlberg was appointed to the Compensation Committee, effective May 12, 2015. During 2018, there were 7 meetings of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has adopted a written charter, which is available on our website as

described under Committees of the Board of Directors.

11

The agenda for meetings of the Compensation Committee is determined by its Chairman with the assistance of our Chief Executive Officer. Compensation Committee meetings are regularly attended by our Chief Executive Officer and General Counsel, except for portions of the meetings with respect to voting or deliberation. The Compensation Committee's Chairman reports the Committee's recommendations on executive compensation to the Board of Directors.

Under its charter, the Compensation Committee has the authority and may, in its sole discretion, obtain advice and seek assistance from internal and external legal, accounting and other consultants. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to select or receive advice from, and terminate a compensation consultant or other advisor to the Compensation Committee (other than in-house legal counsel) to assist in the evaluation of the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, executive officers and directors, including sole authority to approve such firm's fees and other retention terms, and we provide appropriate funding as determined by the Compensation Committee. In selecting advisers, the Compensation Committee will take into consideration certain independence factors.

Continuing its engagement with the Company, Pearl Meyer & Partners, LLC (Pearl Meyer) served as its independent compensation consultant in 2018. In its role, Pearl Meyer rendered services specifically requested by the Compensation Committee, which included reviewing the pay of our executive officers and directors and making recommendations to and advising the Compensation Committee on compensation design and levels. The Compensation Committee assessed the independence of Pearl Meyer pursuant to the applicable Nasdaq and Commission requirement and concluded that no conflict of interest exists that would prevent Pearl Meyer from serving as its independent consultant.

Governance and Nominating Committee

The role of the Governance and Nominating Committee is to: (i) develop and recommend to the Board of Directors a set of corporate governance principles and review them at least annually; (ii) determine the qualifications for board membership and recommend nominees to the stockholders; and (iii) ensure a robust and effective performance evaluation process is in place for the Board, the CEO, and senior management, as well as an effective succession planning process for these positions.

The Amended and Restated Charter of the Governance and Nominating Committee is available on our website as described under Committees of the Board of Directors. Our Governance Guidelines are also available on our website at www.crosscountryhealthcare.com by choosing the Investors link, clicking on the Corporate Governance section, and selecting the guidelines under View. The Governance and Nominating Committee consists of Ms. Fitzgerald and Messrs. Trunfio and Mastaler, who are all independent directors under Rule 5605(a) (2) of the Nasdaq Listing Rules. Ms. Fitzgerald was appointed to the Governance and Nominating Committee as its Chairman, effective January 1, 2014; Mr. Trunfio has served on the Committee since October 2001; and Mr. Mastaler was appointed to the Committee, effective January 1, 2014.

The Board's current policy with regard to the consideration of director candidates recommended by stockholders is that the Governance and Nominating Committee will review and consider any director candidates who have been recommended by stockholders in compliance with the procedures established from time to time by the Board (the current procedures are described below), and conduct inquiries as it deems appropriate. The Governance and Nominating Committee will consider for nomination any such proposed director candidate who is deemed qualified by the Governance and Nominating Committee in light of the minimum qualifications and other criteria for Board membership approved by the Board from time to time. To date, we have not received any recommendation from stockholders requesting that the Governance and Nominating Committee consider a candidate for inclusion among the Governance and Nominating Committee's slate of nominees in our Proxy Statement.

Certain identification and disclosure rules apply to director candidate proposals submitted to the Governance and Nominating Committee by any single stockholder or group of stockholders that has beneficially owned more than five

percent of Common Stock for at least one year, referred to as a Qualified Stockholder Proposal. If the Governance and Nominating Committee receives a Qualified Stockholder Proposal with the necessary notice, information and consent provisions as referenced above, the proxy statement to which the Qualified Stock Proposal referred will disclose the name of the proposed candidate and the stockholder (or stockholder group) who recommended the candidate and will also disclose whether or not the Governance and Nominating Committee chose to nominate the proposed candidate. However, no such disclosure will be made without the

12

written consent of both the stockholder (or stockholder group) and the proposed candidate to be so identified. The procedures described in this paragraph are not meant to replace or limit stockholders' general nomination rights in any way.

In considering director nominees, the Nominating Committee will consider the following:

- the needs of the Company with respect to particular areas of specialized knowledge;
- the relevant business experience of the nominee including, but not limited to, extensive experience in healthcare, staffing, IT, business, finance, or accounting;
- the personal and professional integrity of the nominee;
- the nominee's ability to commit the resources necessary to be an effective director of a public company, including the nominee's ability to attend meetings; and
- the overall balance and diversity of the Board.

Other than the foregoing, there are no stated minimum criteria for nominees, although the Governance and Nominating Committee may also consider other facts as it may deem are in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

All stockholder recommendations for director candidates must be submitted to our legal department at 5201 Congress Avenue, Suite 100B, Boca Raton, Florida, 33487, which will forward all recommendations to the Governance and Nominating Committee. All stockholder recommendations for director candidates must be submitted to us not less than 120 calendar days prior to the first anniversary of the date of our proxy statement released to stockholders in connection with the previous year's Annual Meeting. All stockholder recommendations for director candidates must include the following information:

- the name and address of record of the stockholder;
- a representation that the stockholder is a record holder of our securities or, if the stockholder is not a record holder, evidence of ownership in accordance with Rule 14a-8(b) (2) of the Exchange Act;
- the name, age, business and residential address, educational background, current principal occupation or employment, and principal occupation or employment for the preceding five full fiscal years of the proposed director candidate;
- a description of the qualifications and background of the proposed director candidate that addresses the minimum qualifications and other criteria for Board membership approved by the Board from time to time;
- a description of all arrangements or understandings between any stockholder and the proposed director candidate;
- the consent of the proposed director candidate (i) to be named in the proxy statement relating to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders and (ii) to serve as a director if elected at such Annual Meeting; and
- any other information regarding the proposed director candidate that is required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the rules of the Commission.

There have been no changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors since our last disclosure of such procedures, which appeared in the definitive proxy statement for our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Governance and Nominating Committee pursues a rigorous process of Board evaluations and self-assessments on a continuous basis to determine the needs of the Board in terms of experience, expertise and knowledge. The Committee consults with external advisors on a regular basis to ensure the Board is appropriately staffed and governed in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. The Governance and Nominating Committee is responsible for identifying and evaluating individuals qualified to become Board members, including nominees recommended by Stockholders, and recommending to the Board the persons to be nominated by the Board for election as directors at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the persons to be elected by the Board to fill any vacancies on the Board. Director nominees are selected by the Governance and Nominating Committee in accordance with the policies and principles in its charter and the criteria and process

set forth above. There are no differences in the manner in which the Governance and Nominating Committee evaluates director nominees recommended by stockholders and a candidate that has been initially recommended by the Governance and Nominating Committee. The Nominating Committee has the authority to retain a search firm to identify or evaluate or assist in identifying and evaluating potential nominees.

During 2018, there were 6 meetings of the Governance and Nominating Committee.

14

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The members of the Compensation Committee are Messrs. Trunfio, Cash, and Perlberg. During 2018:

- no officer (or former officer) or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries served as a member of the Compensation Committee;
- none of the members of the Compensation Committee had a direct or indirect material interest in any transaction in which the Company was a participant;
- none of our executive officers served on the Compensation Committee (or another Board committee with similar functions or, if there was no such committee, the entire Board of Directors) of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on our Compensation Committee;
- none of our executive officers was a director of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on our Compensation Committee; and
- none of our executive officers served on the Compensation Committee (or another Board committee with similar functions or, if there was no such committee, the entire Board of Directors) of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served as a director on our Board.

Director Compensation and Other Arrangements

In 2018, each independent director received an annual retainer of \$70,000; the Chairman of the Board received an additional annual retainer of \$85,000; the Chairman of the Audit Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$25,000; the Chairman of the Compensation Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$15,000; and the Chairperson of the Governance and Nominating Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. No payments were made for committee member services in 2018. In accordance with the 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan, Messrs. Cash, Dircks, Mastaler, Perlberg, Trunfio and Ms. Fitzgerald also received a grant of restricted shares of Common Stock on June 1, 2018, the first day of the month following our Annual Meeting, and Mr. Freeman received a grant of restricted shares of Common Stock on August 1, 2018. Each such grant consisted of a number of shares of restricted Common Stock equal to approximately \$110,000, based on the closing price of our Common Stock on the date of grant, and a pro rata number of shares of restricted Common Stock equal to approximately \$91,678 for Mr. Freeman. The restricted shares vest in three equal installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. Directors are required to hold an amount of the Company's common stock equal to five times the annual cash retainer, which amount may be accumulated over five years. All directors are also reimbursed for the expenses they incur in attending meetings of the Board or Board committees.

2018 DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table provides compensation information for our directors in 2018 except for Mr., Grubbs, our former President and Chief Executive Officer. Compensation earned by Mr. Grubbs for 2018 is included in the Summary Compensation Table on page 32 of this proxy statement.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)	Total (\$)
W. Larry Cash	95,000	110,000	205,000
Thomas C. Dircks	155,000	110,000	265,000
Gale Fitzgerald	80,000	110,000	190,000
Darrell S. Freeman, Sr.	58,333	91,678	150,011
Richard M. Mastaler	70,000	110,000	180,000
Mark Perlberg	70,000	110,000	180,000
Joseph A. Trunfio Ph.D.	85,000	110,000	195,000

Amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of awards of restricted stock granted under our 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan and computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation* (ASC Topic 718). The assumptions used in determining the amounts in this column are set forth in Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 filed with the SEC on March 1, 2019. The aggregate grant date fair value per share of restricted stock granted on June 1, 2018 was (1) \$12.19, and granted to Mr. Freeman on August 1, 2018 was \$11.86. The restricted stock granted on June 1, 2018 and August 1, 2018 vests in three equal installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of their grant date. Based on a grant date fair value of approximately \$110,000, the actual number of shares of restricted stock granted to each Director was 9,024, and 7,730 to Mr. Freeman. Aggregate restricted shares outstanding as of December 31, 2018 for each non-employee director were as follows: W. Larry Cash: 17,649; Thomas C. Dircks: 17,649; Gale Fitzgerald: 17,649; Darrell S. Freeman, Sr.: 7,730; Richard M. Mastaler: 17,649; Mark Perlberg: 17,649 and Joseph A. Trunfio: 17,649.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our current executive officers other than Mr. Clark whose information is provided as part of Proposal I:

Name	Age	Position
Daniele Addis, MBA	59	SVP, Business Services
Susan E. Ball, JD, MBA, RN	55	EVP, General Counsel and Secretary
William J. Burns, MBA, CPA	49	EVP, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer
William G. Halnon	60	Chief Information Officer
Kip Havel	42	SVP, Chief Marketing Officer
Karen Mote	54	President, Medical Doctor Associates
Christopher R. Pizzi, CPA	48	SVP, Chief Accounting Officer
Buffy Stultz White	45	President – Travel Nurse and Allied and Workforce Solutions
Marisa L. Zaharoff	45	President – Branch Operations

Daniele Addis has served as Senior Vice President, Business Services since January 29, 2014. From September 2011 to January 2014, Ms. Addis was Senior Vice President, Shared Services of Randstad Professionals, a staffing company. Prior to that, she was Vice President, Shared Services and held various other positions at SFN Group, Inc. From January 1998 to January 2006, Ms. Addis was Senior Finance Manager of Office Depot, Inc. Ms. Addis holds a Bachelor in Business from Ecole Superieure de Commerce, Nantes, France, a Master of Arts in Economics from George Mason University and a Master of Business Administration from Jacksonville University.

Susan E. Ball has served as an Executive Vice President of the Company since January 1, 2017, as General Counsel since May 2004 and Secretary since March 2010. Prior to that, Ms. Ball served as our Corporate Counsel from March 2002 to May 2004. Before joining us, Ms. Ball practiced law at Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. from November 1998 to March 2002 and at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher and Flom from 1996 to November 1998. Prior to practicing law, Ms. Ball was a registered nurse. Ms. Ball received her Bachelor of Science degree in Nursing from The Ohio State University, her Juris Doctor degree from New York Law School, and her Masters of Business Administration from Florida Atlantic University.

William J. Burns served as Chief Operating Officer from January 25, 2018 through January 31, 2019 at which time he became Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer. He also served as Chief Financial Officer, effective from April 1, 2014, and Principal Accounting Officer, from December 1, 2014 in each case through January 24, 2018. He has served as an Executive Vice President of the Company since January 1, 2017. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Burns served as Group Vice President and Corporate Controller for Gartner, Inc., a technology research and advisory firm, since 2008. From 2006 until 2008, Mr. Burns was the Chief Accounting Officer for CA Technologies, Inc. Mr. Burns earned his Bachelor of Arts in Accounting and Information Systems from Queens College and a Masters of Business Administration from New York University's Stern School of Business. Mr. Burns is a Certified Public Accountant.

William G. Halnon has served as Chief Information Officer since February 2017. Prior to joining the Company, from February 2016 to February 2017, Mr. Halnon served as President of Albedon Digital, Inc. From January 2007 to February 2016, Mr. Halnon served as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Republic Services, Inc. and, from January 2005 to January 2007, he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Spherion, Inc. Mr. Halnon holds a Bachelor of Arts in Accounting from Langston University.

Kip Havel joined the Company as Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer in July 2018. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Havel served as Senior Vice President of Marketing at Randstad USA from October 2015 to July

2018. Before joining Randstad USA, he was Vice President of Integrated Marketing and held other marketing leadership positions at Aflac from 2009 to 2015 and served in various marketing and communications leadership roles at SFN Group (formerly known as Spherion Corporation) from 2001 until 2009. Mr. Havel holds a Bachelor of Science in Communications from the University of Miami.

17

Karen Mote was appointed as President of Medical Doctor Associates (MDA) in February 2019. From March 2015 to February 2019, she served as the Vice President of MDA's Advanced Practices, after being promoted from Director where she served from 2008 to March 2015. Prior to that, she served as the Manager of Allied Health Group, also a division of MDA from 2000 to her promotion in 2008. Ms. Mote began her career with Medical Doctor Associates in July 1998 in the Physician Permanent Placement Division. Ms. Mote earned a Clinical Laboratory Degree of Applied Science from North Georgia Technical College.

Christopher R. Pizzi has served as Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer since February 1, 2019. Prior to that he served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company from January 25, 2018 to January 31, 2019. He joined Cross Country in December 2014 and previously held the positions of Vice President of Finance, Corporate Controller and Treasurer. Prior to joining Cross Country, Mr. Pizzi served as Assistant Vice President, Corporate Finance and Accounting for Health Management Associates, Inc. and held various accounting positions with Pitney Bowes and PricewaterhouseCoopers. Mr. Pizzi earned his Bachelor of Science in Accounting from Central Connecticut State University and is a Certified Public Accountant.

Buffy Stultz White was appointed President, Travel Nurse and Allied in January 2018. In January 2019, Ms. White also became President of Workforce Solutions. She served as Senior Vice President, Recruiting Strategy and Operations since September 30, 2016. Before joining Cross Country Healthcare, Inc., Ms. White served as Executive Vice President, Global Services and Solutions Consulting, of Pontoon (a division of Adecco) from June 2015 to November 2015. Ms. White served in various capacities at Pontoon and Adecco since 2006 and, prior to that, in various roles at SFN Group, Inc. from August 2001 to July 2006.

Marisa Zaharoff was appointed President, Branch Operations in January 2018. She has served as Executive Vice President, Cross Country Staffing Branch Operations since 2015. Ms. Zaharoff has more than 15 years of nursing, quality improvement, sales, operational and branch management experience. She earned her Master of Science in Nursing degree from the University of Illinois and a Bachelor of Science in Nursing degree from the University of Pittsburgh. She is also a Registered Nurse in the State of Illinois and Pennsylvania.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis is designed to provide our shareholders with a clear understanding of our compensation philosophy and objectives, compensation-setting process, and the 2018 compensation of our named executive officers, or NEOs. As discussed in Proposal III, we are conducting a Say-on-Pay vote this year that requests your approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our NEOs as described in this section and in the tables and accompanying narrative.

Our NEOs for 2018 are:

William J. Grubbs, former President and Chief Executive Officer, who retired in January 2019

William J. Burns, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer, who served as our Chief Operating Officer during 2018

Susan E. Ball, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Christopher R. Pizzi, Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer, who served as our Chief Financial Officer during 2018

Tim Fischer, former President, Medical Doctor Associates, who resigned from the Company in February 2019

Buffy S. White, President, Travel Nurse and Allied and, effective January 1, 2019, Workforce Solutions

Executive Summary

2018 Business Performance Highlights

We are a national leader in providing healthcare staffing, recruiting and value-added workforce solutions. Through a full suite of innovative workforce solutions and a national presence including 73 office locations throughout the United States, we are able to meet the unique and dynamic needs of our clients. By utilizing our various solutions, clients are able to better plan their personnel needs, outsource recruitment processes, strategically flex their workforce, streamline their purchasing needs, access specialties not available in their local area, access quality healthcare personnel and provide continuity of care for improved patient outcomes. Our solutions are geared towards assisting our clients in solving their labor issues while maintaining high quality outcomes.

Over the past four years we have greatly expanded the number of Managed Service Programs (MSPs) and now service more than 70 different health systems, with approximately \$400 million in spend under management. In addition, we have expanded services at many of our facilities to cover additional specialties such as locum tenens and allied professionals. During 2018, we had more than 24,000 healthcare professionals on assignment at 7,400 facilities, and our MSPs served more than 900 facilities.

Highlights from 2018 include:

- We remained the #1 provider of per diem healthcare staffing in the United States.
- Effective December 1, 2018, we completed the acquisition of AP Staffing, a local provider of staffing, permanent placement and consulting services to clients in the Northeast.
- We won 13 MSP contracts with anticipated spend under management of \$82 million.
- Realized cost savings from targeted actions were in excess of \$5 million over the course of the year.
- Replacement of our Legacy Applicant Tracking System commenced.

2018 Compensation Highlights

- Base Salary: Base salary increases for NEOs, approved in 2018, ranged from \$0 to \$105,000, or from 0.00% to 42.9% to position salaries of certain executives closer to median market values. Mr. Grubbs and Ms. Ball did not receive an increase in their base salary for 2018 and increases to Mr. Burns, Mr. Pizzi, and Ms. White were for a change in responsibilities.

Annual Incentives: Financial results of the Company for 2018 were below the threshold for the Company and for all but one business unit President's area of responsibility, Ms. White. Ms. White was responsible for the performance of the Company's travel nurse and allied, Advantage, and therapy staffing businesses in 2018 (referred to as TN&A). As a result, bonuses were paid to Messrs. Grubbs, Burns, and Pizzi, and Ms. Ball for the 2018 year solely based on the achievement of individual objectives.

3-Year STI Bonus Payment History

NEOs (1)(2)(3)	Year	Target (\$)	Payout (\$)	Payout as % of Target	% Change vs. Prior Year
William J. Grubbs	2018	730,000	146,000	20.0 %	0.0 %
	2017	730,000	146,000	20.0 %	(66.6)%
	2016	685,000	437,564	63.9 %	(27.1)%
William J. Burns	2018	393,750	63,000	16.0 %	(5.3)%
	2017	332,500	66,500	20.0 %	(68.0)%
	2016	308,000	207,751	67.5 %	(28.1)%
Susan E. Ball	2018	225,000	45,000	20.0 %	(44.4)%
	2017	225,000	81,000	36.0 %	(43.2)%
	2016	201,000	142,526	70.9 %	(31.3)%
Christopher R. Pizzi	2018	245,000	39,200	16.0 %	100.0 %
	2017	98,000	19,600	20.0 %	(70.5)%
	2016	88,000	66,527	75.6 %	(22.3)%
Buffy S. White	2018	210,000	37,800	18.0 %	33.8 %
	2017	150,000	28,250	18.8 %	12.8 %
	2016	132,500	25,042	18.9 %	n/a
Timothy Fischer	2018	150,000	—	—%	(100.0)%
	2017	137,500	24,750	18.0 %	(74.7)%
	2016	137,500	97,666	71.0 %	n/a

On January 25, 2018, Mr. Burns was promoted from Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer to (1) Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. On February 1 2019, the Company eliminated the Chief Operating Officer role and Mr. Burns reverted to his prior Chief Financial Officer position.

On January 25, 2018, Mr. Pizzi was promoted from Vice President, Corporate Controller to Senior Vice President (2) and Chief Financial Officer. On February 1, 2019, the Company appointed Mr. Pizzi as its Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer.

(3) On February 1, 2019 Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company, prior to his STI payout.

Long Term Incentives: Executives were awarded long-term incentives which were 50% time-based and 50% performance-based. The performance period for Performance-based Share Awards (PSAs) runs through 2020 and, as such, no PSAs were earned in 2018.

2019 will be a year of transition for the Company with a new CEO who began his employment in January 2019. As a result, the Compensation Committee is evaluating the structure for 2019 executive compensation with the goal of

ensuring that total direct compensation levels are sufficiently competitive to attract, motivate and retain the highest quality executives, that performance-based at-risk incentive compensation is a substantial portion of total compensation, and that long-term incentive compensation aligns executives' interests with our shareholders' interests to create long-term shareholder value. The Compensation Committee believes structuring the equity incentive to retain key executives will be important during this transition period. The Compensation

20

Committee also intends to structure the equity incentive to take into account the Company's near-term and longer-term strategic objectives which will provide executives with the opportunity to acquire a significant stake in our growth and prosperity. It will also incentivize and reward executives for sound business management, developing a high performance team environment, fostering the accomplishment of strategic and operational objectives, and compensating executives for improvement in shareholder value, all of which are essential to improving our financial performance and creating success.

Pay for Performance

We pay for performance. The core of our executive compensation philosophy is that our executives' pay should be linked to the performance of the Company. Accordingly, our executives' compensation is heavily weighted toward compensation that is performance-based or equity-based. Our NEO compensation for 2018 reflects this commitment.

Good Governance

What we do	X	What we don't do
Majority of compensation incentive-based and at risk tied to company performance	X	No guaranteed incentive payments
Engage independent compensation consultants	X	No 280G excise tax gross-ups
Engage in peer group benchmarking	X	No pension or retirement plans
Due diligence in setting compensation targets and goals	X	No option repricing
Periodically assess the compensation programs to ensure that they are not reasonably likely to incentivize employee behavior that would result in any material adverse risks to the company	X	Excessive perquisites are not a substantial portion of our NEO pay packages
Provide reasonable severance protection with double trigger protections upon a change in control	X	No pledging and no hedging
Clawbacks of equity and cash incentive payments in the event of a restatement		
Robust stock ownership guidelines		

Consideration of Shareholder Advisory Vote

As part of its compensation setting process, the Compensation Committee also considers the results of the prior year's shareholders advisory vote on our executive compensation to provide useful feedback regarding whether shareholders believe the Compensation Committee is achieving its goal of designing an executive compensation program that promotes the best interests of the Company and its shareholders by providing its executives with the appropriate compensation and meaningful incentives. For the eighth straight year, our 2017 executive compensation program received substantial shareholder support and was approved, on an advisory basis, by 98.9% of the votes cast at the 2018 annual stockholder meeting. Our Compensation Committee believes that this vote reflected our shareholders' strong support of the compensation decisions made by the Compensation Committee for our NEOs for 2018.

COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY AND OBJECTIVES

The philosophy of our executive compensation program is to align pay with performance, keep overall compensation competitive and ensure that we can recruit, motivate and retain high quality executives. Accordingly, our executives' compensation is heavily weighted toward compensation that is performance-based or equity-based. Our NEO compensation for 2018 reflects this commitment. Our executives' compensation for 2018 consisted of a base salary, an annual incentive bonus and long-term equity awards (50% of which are time vested over three years and 50% of

which are performance-based). In 2018, the performance-based portion of the long-term equity awards was based on two performance metrics: 3-year cumulative Adjusted EBITDA (weighted 75%) and Adjusted EBITDA margin at the end of the 3-year period (weighted 25%). For 2018, 78% of our

CEO's target total compensation and an average of 63% of our other NEO's target total compensation were performance-based or equity-based. We do not provide defined benefit pension, supplemental retirement benefits or executive perquisites to our NEOs as they are not tied to performance.

The three principles of our compensation philosophy are as follows:

Total direct compensation levels should be sufficiently competitive to attract, motivate and retain the highest quality executives. Our Committee seeks to establish target total direct compensation (base salary, short-term and long-term incentive) at the 50th percentile of our Peer Group and market data of companies of like size, thereby providing our executives the opportunity to be competitively rewarded for our financial, operational and stock price growth. It is also the Compensation Committee's intention to set total executive compensation sufficiently high to attract and retain strong, motivated leadership who will not only strive to reach our key operating and strategic objectives, but also demonstrate the utmost integrity in doing so.

Performance-based compensation should constitute a substantial portion of total compensation. We believe in a pay-for-performance culture, with a significant position of total direct compensation being performance-based and/or at risk. The performance of our executives, considered in light of general economic and specific company, industry and competitive conditions, serves as the primary basis for determining their overall compensation. Accordingly, a portion of the compensation provided to our executive officers is tied to, and varies with, our financial and operational performance, as well as individual performance. We view our short-term and long-term incentive components of the compensation program as being at risk.

Long-term incentive compensation should align executives' interests with our shareholders' interest to further the creation of long-term shareholder value. Awards of equity-based compensation encourage executives to focus on our long-term growth and prospects and incentivize executives to manage the Company from the perspective as owners with a meaningful stake, and to encourage them to remain with us for long and productive careers. Our stock ownership guidelines further enhance the incentive to create long-term shareholder value. Equity-based compensation also subjects our executives to market risk similar to our shareholders.

This philosophy serves as the basis of the Compensation Committee's decisions regarding each of the following three components of pay: base salary, short-term (annual) incentive compensation and long-term (equity) compensation, each of which is discussed below.

THE ROLE OF THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE, MANAGEMENT AND CONSULTANTS AND THE COMPENSATION SETTING PROCESS

The Committee is comprised solely of independent directors and is responsible for determining the compensation of our CEO and other NEOs. The Committee receives assistance from its independent compensation advisor, Pearl Meyer, and from our CEO (with respect to NEO compensation other than his own).

Annually, the Compensation Committee evaluates the Company's executive and director compensation design, competitiveness and effectiveness. In 2018, the Compensation Committee continued to engage Pearl Meyer to review the compensation components for our NEOs against our 2018 Peer Group and market data of like-sized companies, assist in the determination of the 2018 compensation for our NEOs, and provide recommendations for our director compensation program. Pearl Meyer does not perform any other services for the Company other than its consulting services to the Compensation Committee and is deemed to be independent and conflict-free under relevant stock exchange standards.

Our NEO compensation program is implemented yearly and it coincides with the completion of our annual financial statement audit and release of annual earnings, as well as the approval of the budget for the then current year. Annual cash incentives earned for the prior year, if any, are determined by the Compensation Committee and paid out at that

time. Current year target objectives are also established at that time and any adjustments to base salaries are typically determined by the Compensation Committee at that time.

When making NEO compensation decisions, the Compensation Committee takes many factors into account, including the economy, the NEO's performance, expected future contributions to the Company's success, the financial and operational results of individual business units, our financial and operational results as a whole, the NEO's historical compensation, and any retention concerns. As part of the process, the CEO provides the Compensation Committee with his assessment of the NEOs' performance and other factors used in developing his recommendation for their compensation, including salary adjustments, cash incentives and equity grant guidelines for the then current year. In looking at historical compensation, the Compensation Committee looks at

the progression of salary increases over time, an NEO's ability to meet targets in prior years, the value inherent in equity awards to be granted to complete the total compensation program for an NEO for a particular year, economic outlook and our stock performance. The Committee uses the same general factors in evaluating the CEO's performance and compensation as it uses for the other NEOs.

Upon receipt of this information, the Compensation Committee discusses proposed compensation plans for the CEO and other NEOs in detail. Based on our Governance Guidelines, the Compensation Committee is required to annually approve the goals and objectives for compensating the CEO and other NEOs, evaluate their performance in light of these goals before setting their salaries, bonus and other incentive and equity compensation. The Committee adjusts the cash incentive portion of the NEOs' compensation consistent with its philosophy to incentivize and reward executives to reach certain financial and strategic objectives and reward them based upon their performance. The Committee believes that maintaining the flexibility to make upward or downward adjustments to the various components of the NEOs' compensation programs allows the Compensation Committee to appropriately provide incentives to individuals and further aligns the NEOs with the objectives of our stockholders.

THE ROLE OF BENCHMARKING

At the beginning of the executive compensation setting process each year, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with its independent compensation consultant, determines the process by which it will work to ensure that the Company's compensation programs are competitive. For 2018, the Compensation Committee, with the recommendation of Pearl Meyer, determined it would be appropriate to maintain the group of peer companies which it had established in 2017. The peer group is comprised of companies from both the healthcare staffing and general staffing industry, and it includes the following 11 companies: AMN Healthcare Services, Inc., On Assignment, Inc., KForce, Inc., TrueBlue, Inc., CDI Corp., Hudson Global, Korn/Ferry International, Volt Information Sciences, Inc., Heidrick & Struggles International Inc., GP Strategies Corp., and Barrett Business Services, Inc. (the 2018 Peer Group). The Compensation Committee determined that the companies in the peer group have business characteristics that are similar to our business.

Although the companies in the 2018 Peer Group are comparable to the Company in certain respects, factors such as revenue, business mix, profitability, business strategy, compensation philosophy, and incentive plan design vary among the peers and such differing factors affect the compensation which they provide to their executives. The Committee reviewed the practices of the 2018 Peer Group and considered their compensation levels as an indicator of the competitive market for our executives for fiscal year 2018, and, while informative to the Compensation Committee, such peer practices are not the sole factor that influences the Compensation Committee's decisions about executive compensation. The Committee also makes decisions based on the collective experience and knowledge of its members.

Generally, our policy has been to pay our NEOs base salaries at the 50th percentile of our Peer Group. Incentive payouts, at a reduced level, begin upon achievement of a predetermined percentage of targeted objectives (generally 80% or higher for EBITDA and 95% for revenue) which can vary from year to year and from one performance metric to another, so that there is not a disincentive to the NEOs. Payouts may exceed 100% if the performance exceeds 100% of the target objective as set forth in the table on page 33. We believe that an all or nothing approach could provide a disincentive compared to our tiered payout approach that is better aligned with our overall operating objectives, and ensures that pay varies in proportion to performance. In determining competitive compensation levels for the NEOs, the Compensation Committee takes into account their responsibilities, past performance, external market practices and the economy.

COMPONENTS OF 2018 NEO PAY PROGRAM

The Committee uses various compensation elements to provide an overall competitive total compensation and benefits package to the NEOs that is tied to creating stockholder value, is commensurate with our financial results and aligns

with the business strategy. The Committee's specific rationale, design, reward process and relating information are outlined below.

Base Salary

We provide the NEOs with a base salary to compensate them for services rendered during the fiscal year. Base salary ranges for NEOs are determined on the basis of each executive's position, performance and level of responsibility. Base salary levels are reviewed annually. Peer group and market data from like sized companies

23

are utilized in our review. Merit increases for NEOs are considered based on the annual reviews of market data and base salaries, and are adjusted only as needed, not necessarily annually. Base salaries for NEOs are generally benchmarked within a tight range of the market median for our peer groups and companies of like size.

NEO	2018 Base Salary (\$)	2017 Base Salary (\$)	% Incr/Decr vs Prior Year	
William J. Grubbs	730,000	730,000	—	%
William J. Burns	525,000	475,000	10.5	%
Susan E. Ball	375,000	375,000	—	%
Christopher R. Pizzi	350,000	245,000	42.9	%
Buffy S. White	350,000	300,000	16.7	%
Timothy Fischer	300,000	275,000	9.1	%

Annual Cash Incentive Program

The annual cash incentive program is a core component of our pay-for-performance philosophy. The program is heavily weighted to our financial results or relevant business units and the goals are closely linked to business strategy. The components of this program have historically included the incentive and reward opportunity (expressed as a percentage of base salary) and performance measures determined by the Compensation Committee, such as: revenue, Adjusted EBITDA, segment contribution income, Adjusted earnings per share (EPS) or pre-tax income. To ensure the integrity of the goals and minimize the risk of unanticipated outcomes, each goal has had a performance range built around it with a commensurate increase or decrease in the associated award opportunity. The Committee may adjust performance measures for certain special, unusual or non-recurring items at its sole discretion.

Historically, the Compensation Committee has established performance goals and the weighting of each goal during its first Committee meeting each year. The process for setting the goals begins with the management team establishing preliminary goals based on prior year's results, the budget, strategic initiatives, industry performance and projected economic conditions. The Committee assesses the difficulty of the goals and their implications for share price appreciation, revenue growth and other related factors. The iterative process results in final goals presented by management to the Compensation Committee at its March meeting.

Incentives and Award Opportunities. Each annual target cash incentive award opportunity is expressed as a percentage of base salary, which may be earned based on both the achievement of certain financial objectives (the Objective Bonus component) and subjective considerations (the Subjective Bonus component). If results fall below pre-established threshold levels, no cash award is payable under the Objective Bonus component, although a Subjective Bonus may still be paid at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. If results exceed pre-established outstanding goals, the cash award payable under the Objective Bonus component is capped at a maximum award opportunity. The Committee believes that having a maximum cap serves to promote good judgment by the NEOs, reduce the likelihood of windfalls and makes the maximum cost of the plan predictable. The award opportunity is established for each position with the desired emphasis on pay at risk (more pay at risk for senior executives) and internal equity (comparably positioned executives should have comparable award opportunities).

The Subjective Bonus opportunity also is capped at a maximum amount, expressed as a percentage of base salary, which may vary for each position. The use of subjective criteria enables the Compensation Committee to consider a variety of subjective factors relative to each executive's specific responsibilities. This process allows the Compensation Committee to evaluate performance and to recognize contributions in light of our changing needs.

The table below sets forth the percentages of the portion of the 2018 annual incentive bonus that was payable upon achievement of the minimum, target and maximum levels (with interpolation between levels) of the performance metrics set forth in the table below for each of our NEOs.

Performance Metric	Attainment Range (Minimum/Target/Maximum)	Payout Percentage (Minimum/Target/Maximum)	% of Annual Incentive by Performance Metric					Fischer (1)
			Grubbs	Burns	Ball	Pizzi	White	
Company Annual Revenue	95%/100%/105%	20%/100%/180%	20 %	20 %	20 %	20 %	2.5 %	7.5 %
Company Annual Adjusted EBITDA	80%/100%/120%	20%/100%/180%	60 %	60 %	60 %	60 %	7.5 %	22.5 %
TN&A Revenue	95%/100%/105%	20%/100%/180%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17.5 %	n/a
TN&A Contribution Income	80%/100%/120%	20%/100%/180%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	52.5 %	n/a
MDA Revenue	95%/100%/105%	20%/100%/180%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12.5 %
MDA Contribution Income	80%/100%/120%	20%/100%/180%	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	37.5 %
Individual Objectives	n/a	20%/100%/180%	20 %	20 %	20 %	20 %	20 %	20 %
Total			100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %	100 %

(1) Mr. Fischer's employment with the Company ended prior to the payment of the incentive bonus. Accordingly, pursuant to Company policy no payments were made to him.

As the former President of Medical Doctor Associates, Mr. Fischer was responsible for the performance of the Company's physician staffing segment which represented approximately 10% of the Company's total revenue for the full year in 2018. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee had designed his annual incentive bonus to focus on the short-term financial, operational, and qualitative performance metrics to promote long-term growth for both Medical Doctor Associates and the Company.

As the President of Travel Nurse and Allied in 2018, Ms. White was responsible for the performance of the Company's travel nurse and allied, Advantage, and therapy staffing businesses which represented approximately 50% of the Company's total revenue for the full year in 2018. Accordingly, the Compensation Committee had designed her annual incentive bonus to focus on the short-term financial, operational, and qualitative performance metrics to promote long-term growth for both those specific businesses and the Company.

The annualized Company Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure) and annualized Company revenue targets for the NEOs for 2018 were \$62.0 million and \$970.0 million, respectively. See Annex A of this proxy statement for a reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures to our results as reported under GAAP.

Determination of 2018 Annual Incentive Bonus Payments

The Committee determined that, for 2018, the Company achieved annualized Adjusted EBITDA of \$31.4 million and annualized revenue of \$816.5 million. These amounts were 51% and 84% of the target level, respectively, and below the threshold levels necessary to pay out on the financial performance portion of the Annual Incentive Bonuses for Messrs. Grubbs, Burns, Pizzi, and Fischer, and Ms. Ball and Ms. White. Accordingly, Messrs. Grubbs, Burns, and

Pizzi and Ms. Ball received 20%, 16%, 16%, and 20% of their Annual Target Incentive, respectively, based on achieving the subjective portion of their bonuses regarding individual objectives.

NEOs	Target Bonus Opportunity		Annual Incentive Bonus Earned					
	% of Base Salary		\$	% of Target Bonus Opportunity Earned		Individual Objectives Achieved		\$
William J. Grubbs	100	%	730,000	20.0	%(2)	100.0	%	146,000
William J. Burns	75	%	393,750	16.0	%(2)	80.0	%	63,000
Susan E. Ball	60	%	225,000	20.0	%(2)	100.0	%	45,000
Christopher R. Pizzi	70	%	245,000	16.0	%(2)	80.0	%	39,200
Buffy S. White	60	%	210,000	18.0	%(1)	90.0	%	37,800
Timothy Fischer	50	%	150,000	—	%(3)	—	%	—

(1) Based on achievement levels of the TN&A revenue and contribution income targets, and achievement of individual objectives at 90% for Ms. White.

- (2) Based on achievement of the individual objectives for Messrs. Grubbs, Burns, and Pizzi and Ms. Ball.
 (3) Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company February 1, 2019, prior to the payment of the incentive bonus.
 (3) Accordingly, pursuant to Company policy no payment was made to him.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation

The Company uses equity-based awards to focus executives on long-term performance, to align executives' financial interests with those of shareholders and to create retention platforms for key executives. Equity-based awards for NEOs are generally made based on the executive's position, experience and performance, prior equity-based compensation awards and competitive equity-based compensation levels. Further, the Committee determines the terms and conditions of equity grants taking into account market practices and the objectives of the compensation program. Retaining key talent is a key factor for the Compensation Committee in considering the level of equity awards and the vesting schedule.

In 2018, 50% of the equity awards granted to the NEOs were in the form of time-based Restricted Share Awards (RSAs) and 50% were in the form of Performance-based Share Awards (PSAs) under our 2014 Omnibus Stock Incentive Plan (referred to as the Plan). Since 2014, the Company has issued PSAs instead of Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs) since PSAs more closely tie compensation to specific financial performance goals and are intended to focus management on maximizing shareholder value.

Name	Long-Term Incentive (LTI) Target	Target as % of Base Salary(3)	Grant Date Value of Restricted Share Awards (per share)	Number of Restricted Share Awards	Value of Restricted Share Awards	Restricted Share Awards as % of LTI Target
William J. Grubbs(1)	\$ 1,788,500	245 %	\$ 11.11	80,491	\$ 894,255	50 %
William J. Burns	\$ 787,500	150 %	\$ 11.11	35,442	\$ 393,761	50 %
Susan E. Ball	\$ 375,000	100 %	\$ 11.11	16,877	\$ 187,503	50 %
Christopher R. Pizzi	\$ 437,500	125 %	\$ 11.11	19,690	\$ 218,756	50 %
Buffy S. White	\$ 210,000	60 %	\$ 11.11	9,451	\$ 105,001	50 %
Timothy Fischer(2)	\$ 180,000	60 %	\$ 11.11	8,101	\$ 90,002	50 %

- Mr. Grubbs retired from the Company on January 15, 2019. Pursuant to his retirement agreement, the Company accelerated the vest date on his outstanding restricted share awards to the date of his retirement. As a result,
 (1) 74,413 shares were reissued on September 10, 2018 at a grant date value of \$9.47 per share. Pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans, his unearned performance equity awards were forfeited.
 (2) Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company February 1, 2019 and accordingly, pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans, his unvested equity awards were forfeited.
 Based on an analysis conducted by Pearl Meyer and its recommendation, the long-term incentive award
 (3) percentages for certain executives were increased to provide an opportunity for payout at the 50th percentile based on the Company's peer group.

The Compensation Committee approves a number of RSAs and a target number of PSAs for the NEOs to be granted on March 31st of each year. The grant date values of the RSAs and PSAs granted in 2018 are set forth below and were based on the closing price on the grant date. Individual awards are based on a percentage of individual s respective base salary at the time the awards are granted. The percentages and eligibility are based on the terms of employment for certain individuals or as may be determined by the Compensation Committee. Messrs. Grubbs, Burns, Pizzi, and Fischer received an amount of PSAs equal to 122.5%, 75.0%, 62.5%, and 30.0% of their respective annual base salaries and Ms. Ball and Ms. White received an amount of PSAs equal to 50.0% and 30.0% of their respective annual base salaries.

Name	Grant Date Value of Restricted Share Awards (per share)	Number of Restricted Share Awards	Grant Date Value of Performance Share Awards at Target (per share)	Target Number of Performance Share Awards
William J. Grubbs(1)	\$ 11.11	80,491	\$ 11.11	80,491
William J. Burns	\$ 11.11	35,442	\$ 11.11	35,442
Susan E. Ball	\$ 11.11	16,877	\$ 11.11	16,877
Christopher R. Pizzi	\$ 11.11	19,690	\$ 11.11	19,690
Buffy S. White	\$ 11.11	9,451	\$ 11.11	9,451
Timothy Fischer(2)	\$ 11.11	8,101	\$ 11.11	8,101

(1) Mr. Grubbs retired from the Company on January 15, 2019 and accordingly, pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans, his unearned performance equity awards were forfeited.

(2) Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company February 1, 2019 and accordingly, pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans unvested equity awards were forfeited.

All of the RSAs granted to the NEOs in 2018 provide for vesting of 33 and 1/3% of the award on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the NEO s continued employment through the vesting date. The PSAs granted to the NEOs in 2018 provide for the issuance of a number of restricted shares based on the level of attainment of cumulative Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure) over a three-year period (weighted 75%) and the Adjusted EBITDA margin at the end of that three-year period (weighted 25%) as follows:

Performance Level	3-yr Cumulative Adjusted EBITDA Achieved (\$000s)	Percentage of the Target Shares Earned	Adjusted EBITDA Margin Achieved	Percentage of the Target Shares Earned
Below Threshold	Less than \$157,500	0%	Less than 5.75%	0%
Threshold	\$157,500	75%	5.75%	77%
Target	\$210,000	100%	7.50%	100%
Maximum	\$231,000	110%	8.25%	110%

Any restricted shares issued under the PSA would vest on December 31, 2021, subject to the NEO s continued employment through such date. See Annex A of this proxy statement for a reconciliation of non-GAAP financial measures to our results as reported under GAAP.

OTHER COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS

Deferred Compensation Plans

We maintain the 2003 Deferred Compensation Plan and the 2017 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan, each an unfunded non-qualified deferred compensation arrangement, intended to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. Under the deferred compensation plans, designated employees including our NEOs, may elect to defer the receipt of a portion of their annual base salary, bonus and commission to our deferred compensation plans. We may also make a discretionary contribution to the deferred compensation plans on behalf of certain participants. Discretionary contributions to the 2003 Deferred Compensation Plan generally become vested three years from the date such contribution is made to the plan, upon the occurrence of a change in control or upon a participant's retirement, death during employment or disability. Discretionary contributions to the 2017 Deferred Compensation Plan are subject to such vesting period

27

as determined by the Company at the time of the contribution. Generally, payments under the deferred compensation plans automatically commence upon a participant's retirement, termination of employment or death during employment. Under certain limited circumstances described in the deferred compensation plans, participants may receive distributions during employment. To enable us to meet our financial commitment under the deferred compensation plans, assets may be set aside in a corporate-owned vehicle, which assets remain available to all our general creditors in the event of our insolvency. Participants of the deferred compensation plans are our unsecured general creditors with respect to the deferred compensation plan benefits. Currently, none of our NEOs have any amounts deferred under the 2013 Deferred Compensation Plan and no discretionary contributions were made to the plan in 2018.

401(k) Plan

We maintain a 401(k) plan. The plan permits eligible employees to make voluntary, pre-tax contributions to the plan up to a specified percentage of compensation, subject to applicable tax limitations. We may make a discretionary matching contribution to the plan equal to a pre-determined percentage of an employee's voluntary, pre-tax contributions and may make an additional discretionary profit sharing contribution to the plan, subject to applicable tax limitations. Eligible employees who elect to participate in the plan are generally vested in any matching contribution after three years of service with us and fully vested at all times in their employee contributions to the plan. The plan is intended to be tax-qualified under Section 401(k) of the Code, so that contributions to the plan and income earned on plan contributions are not taxable to employees until withdrawn from the plan, and so that our contributions, if any, will be deductible by us when made. Our 401(k) matching contribution has a matching contribution rate equal to 25% of the first 6% of compensation contributed to the plan by eligible participants during each payroll period.

Other Benefits

Executives participate in the health and dental coverage, company-paid term life insurance, disability insurance, paid time off and paid holidays programs applicable to other employees in their locality. These benefits are designed to be competitive with overall market practices and are in place to attract and retain the necessary talent in the business.

Employment Agreements

Mr. Clark, CEO and President

On January 16, 2019 the Board appointed Kevin Clark as our President and Chief Executive Officer. We entered into an employment agreement (the "Clark Agreement") with Mr. Clark with an initial term expiring on December 31, 2021, subject to automatic renewal for successive one year terms unless prior to the end of the initial term or any renewal term either party has given at least 90 days prior written notice of the intention not to renew the Clark Agreement. The Clark Agreement provides for Mr. Clark to receive an annual base salary of \$825,000. Mr. Clark's base salary will be reviewed for increase on an annual basis by the Board of Directors or the Compensation Committee. For each calendar year during the term, Mr. Clark is eligible to participate in the Company's annual bonus plan with a target bonus of 100% of his base salary, based on achieving performance goals to be established by the Compensation Committee. In addition, for each calendar year during the term, Mr. Clark is eligible to participate in the Company's long term incentive plan and receive awards valued at 275% of his base salary. Such awards will be upon terms and conditions determined by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Clark is also eligible to participate in all other benefit plans and fringe benefit arrangements available to the Company's senior executives.

If Mr. Clark's employment is terminated by the Company without cause (as defined in the Clark Agreement) or if Mr. Clark terminates his employment for good reason (as defined in the Clark Agreement), subject to his execution of a release, he will be entitled to a severance payment equal to the sum of (i) two years of his base salary plus (ii) an amount equal to two times the bonus Mr. Clark would have earned during the year in which such termination occurs

(such amount to be determined by the Compensation Committee). In addition, all benefits will continue for a period of two years and all unvested stock appreciation rights, performance stock awards, stock options or other equity awards will immediately vest. If Mr. Clark's employment is terminated because the Company has given Mr. Clark notice of non-renewal he will be entitled to a non-renewal payment equal to 18 months of his base salary.

During Mr. Clark's employment and for a period of two years thereafter, Mr. Clark may not compete with the Company in any jurisdiction in which the Company's business is conducted nor may he intentionally interfere with the Company's relationship with any of its suppliers, customers or employees.

Mr. Grubbs, former CEO and President

On January 15, 2019, William J. Grubbs retired from his position as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and resigned as a Director.

On September 10, 2018, the Company entered into an agreement with Mr. Grubbs regarding his anticipated retirement. It provided, among other things, that Mr. Grubbs would (1) cease to serve as Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company and would resign and retire on the first to occur of (i) March 31, 2019 or (ii) the date on which the Board elects or appoints a new Chief Executive Officer; (2) receive his base salary through March 31, 2019, the bonus earned by him under the Company's annual bonus plan for 2018, and health insurance coverage through March 31, 2019; and (3) vest in all stock awards that would by their terms vest on or prior to March 31, 2019 (95,552 shares), plus an additional 74,421 restricted stock awards. Other than as set forth above, Mr. Grubbs was not entitled to any additional benefits or compensation upon retirement.

Prior to the transition agreement, Mr. Grubbs was a party to an employment agreement with the Company. His base salary was subject to annual review by the Compensation Committee and Mr. Grubbs was eligible to receive an annual bonus of a target at 100% of his base salary but not in excess of 180% of his base salary based on the level of achievement of performance goals to be established by the Compensation Committee. Mr. Grubbs was eligible to participate in the Company's equity incentive plan, as well as all benefit plans and fringe benefit arrangements available to our senior executives. If Mr. Grubbs' employment agreement was not renewed by us at the end of any employment term, was terminated by us without cause or Mr. Grubbs terminates his employment for good reason (see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control below), and if he was not otherwise entitled to receive severance benefits under our Executive Severance Policy, subject to his execution of a release, he would have been entitled to a severance payment equal to the sum of (i) two years base salary and (ii) two times the average annual bonus paid in the immediately three prior calendar years and (iii) two years health benefits. In addition, any and all unvested stock appreciation rights, performance stock awards, stock options or other equity shall immediately vest upon such termination without cause or for good reason.

Mr. Burns, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

On February 1, 2019, the Company amended its employment agreement with William J. Burns to appoint him as its Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Mr. Burns previously served as the Company's Chief Operating Officer from January 25, 2018 to February 1, 2019 and as the Company's Chief Financial Officer since April 2013 to January 2018. His base salary remained unchanged at \$525,000 per year and remains subject to annual review by the Compensation Committee, however, his eligibility to receive an annual bonus was reduced to a target of 70% of his base salary as a short-term incentive and 125% as a long-term incentive based on the level of achievement of performance goals as Chief Financial Officer to be established by the Compensation Committee.

On January 26, 2018, the Company had previously amended and restated its employment agreement with Mr. Burns in connection with his promotion to Chief Operating Officer. At that time, his base salary was increased from \$440,000 to \$525,000 per year when he was promoted to Chief Operating Officer. His base salary remained subject to annual review by the Compensation Committee and in his capacity as Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Burns was eligible to receive an annual bonus with a target of 75% of his base salary as a short-term incentive and 150% as a long-term incentive based on the level of achievement of performance goals as Chief Operating Officer to be established by the Compensation Committee.

Mr. Burns remains eligible to participate in the Company's equity incentive plan, as well as all benefit plans and fringe benefit arrangements available to our senior executives. If Mr. Burns' employment is terminated by us without cause or Mr. Burns terminates his employment for good reason, and if he is not otherwise entitled to receive severance benefits under our Executive Severance Policy, subject to his execution of a release, he will be entitled to a severance payment equal to one year's base salary and benefits.

29

Severance/Change of Control Arrangements

We maintain an Executive Severance Policy, or the Severance Policy pursuant to which, subject to executing a release, each NEO is entitled to receive certain severance payments and benefits if, within 90 days prior to, or within 18 months after, a Change of Control (as defined in the Severance Policy) of the Company, such NEO is terminated without cause or incurs an involuntary termination (*i.e.* a resignation for good reason). It is a double-trigger policy as a Change of Control must occur and the NEO must be terminated without Cause (as defined in the Severance Policy) or the NEO terminates for Good Reason (as defined in the Severance Policy). Under the Severance Policy, Mr. Grubbs, Mr. Burns, Mr. Pizzi, and Ms. Ball were entitled to receive continued base salary for a period of two years following termination, plus two times the amount of their target bonus for the year in which a Change of Control occurs. In addition, during such period, we would continue to make group health, life or other similar insurance plans available to such NEO and his or her dependents, and we would pay for such coverage to the extent we paid for such coverage prior to the termination of employment. The severance benefits payable under the Severance Policy are subject to: (1) the six-month delay under Section 409A of the Code; (2) the execution of a release; and (3) reduction to avoid any excise tax on parachute payments if the NEO would benefit from such reduction as compared to paying the excise tax.

In addition, under our general severance pay policy for all of our eligible employees, if an NEO (other than Mr. Grubbs and Mr. Burns whose arrangements are included in their employment agreements) is terminated without cause (as defined in our general severance pay policy) other than in connection with a Change of Control, the NEO, subject to executing a release would be entitled to one week's base salary for each full year of continuous service with us.

Perquisites

Our NEOs are not entitled to any perquisites that are not otherwise available to all of our employees. In this regard, it should be noted that we do not provide defined benefit pension arrangements, post-retirement health coverage or similar benefits for our executives or employees.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Effective as of January 1, 2014, our Company's chief executive officer must hold shares of Common Stock equal to three times his base salary, to be accumulated over three years, and the Company's other senior executives must hold shares of Common Stock equal to one times his or her base salary, to be accumulated over three years. All senior executives who have served in that capacity for more than three years are in compliance with this guideline.

Impact of Accounting and Tax Matters

As a general matter, the Compensation Committee reviews and considers the various tax and accounting implications of compensation vehicles that we utilize. With respect to accounting matters, the Compensation Committee examines the accounting cost associated with equity compensation in light of ASC Topic 718.

With respect to tax matters, the Compensation Committee considers the impact of Section 162(m) of the Code, which generally prohibits any publicly-held corporation from taking a Federal income tax deduction for compensation paid in excess of \$1 million in any taxable year to the chief executive officer and certain other executive officers. Prior to the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act in December 2017, certain types of compensation were deductible if the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to performance-based compensation were satisfied. Historically, the Compensation Committee has attempted to maximize the effectiveness of our executive compensation plans in this regard while retaining the discretion to grant awards (such as restricted stock with time-based vesting) not complying with the performance-based exception of 162(m) if deemed to be in the best interests of the Company to do so. As was the case prior to the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, the Compensation Committee will continue to monitor issues concerning the deductibility of executive compensation. Since corporate objectives may not always be consistent with the requirements for tax deductibility, the Compensation

Committee is prepared, when it deems appropriate, to enter into compensation arrangements under which payments will not be deductible under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code. Thus, deductibility will be one of many factors considered by the Compensation Committee in ascertaining appropriate levels or modes of compensation.

Incentive Compensation Recoupment Clawback Policy

The Company has an Incentive Compensation Recoupment Policy (Clawback Policy) for executive officers. This policy further strengthens the risk mitigation program by defining the economic consequences that misconduct has on the executive officer's incentive-related compensation. If there is a Restatement and the Board of Directors determines that an executive received incentive compensation over a 3-year look back period (during which the policy was in effect) in excess of the amount that would have been paid to the executive had such incentive compensation been calculated based on the restatement, regardless of fault, the Board of Directors has the discretion to (i) require the executive to repay all or a portion of any cash incentive compensation, (ii) cancel all or a portion of any vested or unvested incentive compensation awarded to the executive, and (iii) require the executive to repay all or a portion of any gains realized with respect to the award. Under the policy, Restatement means any restatement of the Company's financial statements due to non-compliance with any accounting requirement where such restatement is due to the covered person's fraud or misconduct, errors or omissions or other related activities.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Company has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Proxy Statement.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Joseph A. Trunfio, PhD, Chairman
W. Larry Cash, Member
Mark Perlberg, Member

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

The following table provides a summary of the compensation received by our NEOs for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016.

Name and Principal Position ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$)	Planned Compensation (\$)	Non-qualified Incentive Compensation (\$)	Deferred Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	Other Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and	Total
										All	(\$)
William J. Grubbs	2018	730,000	—	1,788,510	—	146,000	—	—	—	2,664,510	
Former Chief Executive Officer and President	2017	730,000	—	1,788,509	—	146,000	—	—	—	2,664,509	
	2016	685,000	—	1,370,014	—	437,564	—	—	—	2,492,578	
William J. Burns	2018	521,154	—	787,520	—	63,000	—	—	—	1,371,674	
Chief Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer	2017	475,000	—	593,757	—	66,500	—	—	—	1,135,257	
	2016	440,000	—	396,002	—	207,751	—	1,656	—	1,045,409	
Susan E. Ball	2018	375,000	—	375,006	—	45,000	—	—	—	795,006	
General Counsel and Secretary	2017	375,000	—	375,026	—	81,000	—	—	—	831,026	
	2016	335,000	—	234,507	—	142,526	—	1,656	—	713,689	
Christopher R. Pizzi	2018	341,923	—	437,512	—	39,200	—	—	—	818,635	
Chief Accounting Officer											
Buffy S. White	2018	347,308	—	210,000	—	37,800	—	—	—	595,108	
President, Travel Nurse and Allied and Workforce Solutions											
Timothy Fischer	2018	300,000	—	180,004	—	—	—	—	—	480,004	
President, Medical Doctor Associates											

(1) On January 25, 2018, Mr. Burns was promoted from Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer. On February 1 2019, the Company eliminated the Chief Operating Officer role and Mr. Burns reverted to his prior Chief Financial Officer position. On January 25, 2018, Mr. Pizzi was promoted from Vice President, Corporate Controller to Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. On February 1, 2019, the Company appointed Mr. Pizzi as its Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer.

(2) Amounts in this column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of awards of restricted stock and Performance-based Share Awards granted under our 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan and computed in accordance with ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of the Performance-based Share Awards is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date, in accordance with Item 402 of Regulation S-K. The aggregate grant date fair value per share of stock awards granted on March 31, 2018, was \$11.11. The fair value of awards at the maximum level of achievement for performance awards for 2018 was as follows: Mr. Grubbs,

\$1,967,370; Mr. Burns, \$866,280; Mr. Pizzi, \$481,263; Mr. Fischer, \$198,014; Ms. Ball, \$412,514; and Ms. White, \$231,010. Further information regarding the 2018 awards is included in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards and Outstanding Equity Awards at 2018 Year-End tables later in this proxy statement. See Note 14 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements contained in our 2018 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 1, 2019 for a discussion of all assumptions made by us in determining the values of equity awards.

- (3) The All Other Compensation column consists of employer matching contributions in 2016. Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company February 1, 2019 and pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans the incentive bonus was not paid and unvested equity awards were forfeited. Mr. Grubbs retired from the
- (4) Company on January 15, 2019. Pursuant to his retirement agreement, the Company accelerated the vest date on his outstanding restricted share awards to the date of his retirement. Pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans, his unearned performance equity awards were forfeited.

GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS

The following table summarizes equity and non-equity incentive plan awards granted to our NEOs during the last fiscal year.

Name	Grant Date	Committee Action Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards: Number Of Shares Of Stock Or Units (#)(3)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$)(4)
			Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)		
William J. Grubbs(5)	3/31/18	2/19/18	146,000	730,000	1,314,000	—	—	—	—	—
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	16,099	80,491	96,590	—	894,255
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	—	—	—	80,491	894,255
William J. Burns	3/31/18	2/19/18	78,750	393,750	708,750	—	—	—	—	—
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	7,089	35,442	42,531	—	393,760
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	—	—	—	35,442	393,760
Susan E. Ball	3/31/18	2/19/18	45,000	225,000	405,000	—	—	—	—	—
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	3,376	16,877	20,253	—	187,503
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	—	—	—	16,877	187,503
Christopher R. Pizzi	3/31/18	2/19/18	49,000	245,000	441,000	—	—	—	—	—
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	3,398	19,690	23,628	—	218,756
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	—	—	—	19,690	218,756
Buffy S. White	3/31/18	2/19/18	42,000	210,000	378,000	—	—	—	—	—
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	1,891	9,451	11,342	—	105,000
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	—	—	—	9,451	105,000
Timothy Fischer(5)	3/31/18	2/19/18	30,000	150,000	270,000	—	—	—	—	—
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	1,621	8,101	9,722	—	90,002
	3/31/18	2/19/18	—	—	—	—	—	—	8,101	90,002

(1) Amounts relate to the NEOs individual annual cash incentive as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained herein.

(2) The number of shares relate to the Performance-based Share Awards (PSAs) granted to the NEOs for 2018 with a three-year performance period ending on March 31, 2021. The PSAs provide for the issuance of a number of restricted shares after the three-year performance period based on the level of attainment of cumulative Adjusted EBITDA (a non-GAAP financial measure) (weighted 75%) and Adjusted EBITDA Margin (weighted 25%) at the

end of the three-year period as discussed above. Any restricted shares issued under the PSAs would vest on March 31, 2021.

(3) All other stock awards include restricted stock awards granted to the NEOs for 2018, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained herein. All of the restricted stock awards provide for vesting of 33 and 1/3% of the award on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the NEO's continued employment through the vesting date.

(4) Grant date fair value is calculated by multiplying the number of shares times the fair value per award. The grant date fair value of the Performance-based Share Awards is based on the probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant date. Refer to the footnotes to the Summary Compensation Table above.

(5) Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company February 1, 2019 and pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans the incentive bonus was not paid and unvested equity awards were forfeited. Mr. Grubbs retired from the Company on January 15, 2019. Pursuant to his retirement agreement, the Company accelerated the vest date on his outstanding restricted share awards to the date of his retirement. Pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans, his unearned performance equity awards were forfeited.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2018 YEAR-END

The following table summarizes the outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2018 held by our NEOs.

Name	Grant Date	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Exercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unearned Options (#)	Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Number of Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)(3)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(2)
William J. Grubbs(4)	3/31/2016	—	—	—	—	—	19,633	143,910	—	—
	3/31/2017	—	—	—	—	—	20,758	152,156	62,274	456,468
	3/31/2018	—	—	—	—	—	101,249	742,155	80,491	589,999
William J. Burns	3/31/2016	—	—	—	—	—	5,675	41,598	—	—
	3/31/2017	—	—	—	—	—	13,782	101,022	20,674	151,540
	3/31/2018	—	—	—	—	—	35,442	259,790	35,442	259,790
Susan E. Ball	6/01/2013	5,625	—	—	5.21	6/01/2020	—	—	—	—
	3/31/2016	—	—	—	—	—	3,361	24,636	—	—
	3/31/2017	—	—	—	—	—	8,705	63,808	13,058	95,715
	3/31/2018	—	—	—	—	—	16,877	123,708	16,877	123,708
Christopher R. Pizzi	3/31/2016	—	—	—	—	—	1,204	8,825	—	—
	3/31/2017	—	—	—	—	—	5,525	40,498	3,065	22,466
	3/31/2018	—	—	—	—	—	19,690	144,328	19,690	144,328
Buffy S. White	3/31/2017	—	—	—	—	—	3,691	27,055	5,537	40,586
	3/31/2018	—	—	—	—	—	9,451	69,276	9,451	69,276
Timothy Fischer(4)	4/11/2016	—	—	—	—	—	2,377	17,423	—	—
	3/31/2017	—	—	—	—	—	3,830	28,074	5,746	42,118
	3/31/2018	—	—	—	—	—	8,101	59,380	8,101	59,380

- (1) Awards vest in three equal installments on the anniversary of the grant date, provided that the officer continues to be employed with us through each vesting date.
- (2) Market value of shares is measured by reference to our closing stock price as of December 31, 2018 of \$7.33. Performance-based Share Awards (PSAs), if earned, provide for the issuance of a number of restricted shares after a three-year performance period. The amounts reflected in the table assume that all goals under the
- (3) Performance-based Share Awards will be achieved at the target level. The amounts indicated are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that may be realized by our NEOs.
Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company February 1, 2019 and pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable
- (4) plans, unvested equity awards were forfeited. Mr. Grubbs retired from the Company January 15, 2019 and, pursuant to the Company's policy and applicable plans, his unearned performance equity awards were forfeited.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2018

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
William J. Grubbs	—	—	84,091	827,164
William J. Burns	—	—	25,815	255,850
Susan E. Ball	—	—	15,857	157,842
Christopher R. Pizzi	—	—	6,886	69,938
Buffy S. White	—	—	1,846	20,509
Timothy Fischer	—	—	7,723	73,360

(1) Value realized upon vesting of the stock awards represents the total number of shares vested multiplied by the closing price on the vesting date.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The tables below describe and estimate the amounts and benefits that our NEOs would have been entitled to receive upon a change of control or a termination of their employment in certain circumstances, assuming such events occurred as of December 31, 2018 (based on the plans and arrangements in effect on such date). Where applicable, the amounts payable assume a \$7.33 fair value of our Common Stock (the closing price on December 31, 2018). The estimated payments are not necessarily indicative of the actual amounts any of our NEOs would have received in such circumstances. The tables exclude (i) compensation amounts accrued through December 31, 2018 that would be paid in the normal course of continued employment, such as accrued but unpaid salary and (ii) vested account balances under our retirement plans that are generally available to all of our salaried employees. In addition, where applicable, the Cash Payment amounts include the actual amount paid to the NEOs for 2018, since the hypothetical termination or change of control date is the last day of the fiscal year for which the bonus is to be determined.

	Non-Change of Control Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)(1)	Termination for Cause or Resignation without Good Reason (\$)	Change of Control Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)	Change of Control without Termination (\$)
William J. Grubbs:				
Cash Payment	1,933,043 (2)	—	2,920,000 (8)	—
Health and Life Insurance Benefits	22,048 (2)	—	22,048 (9)	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards	2,084,689 (2)	—	2,084,689 (3)	2,084,689 (3)
Total Termination Benefits:	4,039,780	—	5,026,737	2,084,689
	Non-Change of Control Termination without Cause (\$)(1)	Termination for Cause or Resignation (\$)	Change of Control Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)	Change of Control without Termination (\$)
William J. Burns:				

Edgar Filing: MRC GLOBAL INC. - Form 424B4

Cash Payment	525,000	(2)	—	1,837,500	(8)	—
Health and Life Insurance Benefits	14,424	(2)	—	28,848	(9)	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards	—		—	813,740	(3)	813,740 (3)
Total Termination Benefits:	539,424		—	2,680,088		813,740

35

	Non-Change of Control Termination without Cause (\$)	Termination for Cause or Resignation (\$)(4)	Change of Control Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)(5)(6)	Change of Control without Termination (\$)
Susan E. Ball:				
Cash Payment	115,385 (7)	—	1,200,000 (8)	—
Health and Life Insurance Benefits	—	—	22,269 (9)	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards	—	—	443,501 (3)	443,501 (3)
Total Termination Benefits:	115,385	—	1,665,770	443,501

	Non-Change of Control Termination without Cause (\$)	Termination for Cause or Resignation (\$)(4)	Change of Control Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)(5)(6)	Change of Control without Termination (\$)
Christopher R. Pizzi:				
Cash Payment	26,923 (7)	—	1,190,000 (8)	—
Health and Life Insurance Benefits	—	—	28,560 (9)	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards	—	—	360,445 (3)	360,445 (3)
Total Termination Benefits:	26,923	—	1,579,005	360,445

	Non-Change of Control Termination without Cause (\$)	Termination for Cause or Resignation (\$)(4)	Change of Control Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)(5)(6)	Change of Control without Termination (\$)
Buffy S. White:				
Cash Payment	13,462 (7)	—	560,000 (10)	—
Health and Life Insurance Benefits	—	—	336 (11)	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards	—	—	206,193 (3)	206,193 (3)
Total Termination Benefits:	13,462	—	766,529	206,193

	Non-Change of Control Termination without Cause (\$)	Termination for Cause or Resignation (\$)(4)	Change of Control Termination without Cause or for Good Reason (\$)(5)(6)	Change of Control without Termination (\$)
Timothy Fischer (12):				
Cash Payment	11,538 (7)	—	450,000 (10)	—
Health and Life Insurance Benefits	—	—	14,232 (11)	—
Acceleration of Equity Awards	—	—	206,376 (3)	206,376 (3)
Total Termination Benefits:	11,538	—	670,608	206,376

(1) Cause is generally defined under both Mr. Grubbs' and Mr. Burns' employment agreements as: (i) an act or acts of fraud or dishonesty which results in the personal enrichment of him or another person or entity at the expense of

the Company; (ii) his admission, confession, pleading of guilty or nolo contendere to, or conviction of (x) any felony (other than third degree vehicular infractions), or (y) of any other crime or offense involving misuse or misappropriation of money or other property; (iii) his continued material breach of the Company's Code of Conduct or any obligations under his employment agreement for 30 days after the Company has given him notice thereof in reasonable detail, if such breach has not been cured by him during such period; or (iv) his gross negligence or willful misconduct with respect to his duties or gross misfeasance of office.

Good Reason is generally defined under both Mr. Grubbs and Mr. Burns employment agreements as, without his written consent, the occurrence of any of the following events that are not cured by the Company within 30 days of written notice specifying the occurrence such Good Reason event, which notice will be given to the Company within 90 days after the occurrence of the Good Reason event: (i) a material diminution in his then authority, duties or responsibilities; (ii) a material diminution in his base salary; (iii) a relocation of his principal business location to a location more than 50 miles outside of Boca Raton, Florida; or (iv) any material breach of the employment agreement by the Company.

(2) Effective April 1, 2016, a new employment agreement was approved for Mr. Grubbs, revising the amounts to equal the sum of (i) two years base salary and (ii) two times the average annual bonus paid in the immediately three prior calendar years and (iii) two years health benefits and (iv) any and all unvested stock appreciation rights, performance stock awards, stock options or other equity shall immediately vest upon such termination without Cause or for Good Reason. For Mr. Burns, represents the sum of (i) one year base salary and (ii) one year benefits.

(3) Represents the value of unvested outstanding options, stock appreciation rights and restricted stock that would accelerate and vest on a change in control (as defined in the 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan). In the case of options and stock appreciation rights, the value is calculated by multiplying the number of shares underlying each accelerated unvested award by the difference between the per share closing price of the Common Stock on December 31, 2018 and the per share exercise price. In the case of restricted stock, the value is calculated by multiplying the number of shares of restricted stock that accelerate by the per share closing price of the Common Stock on December 31, 2018 of \$7.33. Awards issued on or after June 20, 2014 do not vest on change in control except at discretion of Committee. The above table assumes that all awards will vest upon a change in control.

(4) Cause is generally defined under our general severance pay policy as: (i) an NEO engaging in actions that are injurious to us (monetarily or otherwise) or (ii) an NEO's conviction for any felony or any criminal violation involving dishonesty or fraud.

(5) Under the Severance Policy cause is as defined under an NEO's employment agreement with us, but if the NEO does not have an employment agreement with us that defines cause, then cause is defined as termination due to an NEO's insubordination, dishonesty, fraud, incompetence, moral turpitude, misconduct, refusal to perform his or her duties or responsibilities for any reason other than illness or incapacity or materially unsatisfactory performance of his or her duties for us or an affiliate as determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors in its sole discretion; or (ii) in the case where there is an employment agreement, or similar agreement, in effect between us or an affiliate and the NEO at the termination date that defines cause (or words of like import), cause as defined under such agreement; provided, however, that with regard to any agreement that conditions cause on occurrence of a change of control, such definition of cause shall not apply until a change of control actually takes place and then only with regard to a termination thereafter. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an NEO shall be deemed to be terminated for Cause if the NEO: (i) breaches the terms of any agreement between the Company or an affiliate and the NEO including, without limitation, an employment agreement or non-competition agreement or (ii) discloses to anyone outside the Company or its affiliates, or uses in other than the Company's or its affiliates' business, without written authorization from the Company, any confidential information or proprietary information, relating to the business of the Company or its affiliates acquired by the NEO prior to the termination date.

(6) Good reason (called an involuntary termination under the Severance Policy) is generally defined under the Severance Policy as: (i) without the Employee's express written consent, a significant reduction of the Employee's duties, position or responsibilities relative to the NEO's duties, position or responsibilities in effect immediately prior to such reduction, or the removal of the NEO from such position, duties and responsibilities, unless the NEO is provided with comparable duties, position and responsibilities; provided, however, that a reduction in duties, position or responsibilities solely by virtue of the Company being acquired and made part of a larger entity shall not constitute an Involuntary Termination; (ii) a reduction by the Company of the NEO's base salary as in effect immediately prior to such reduction; (iii) a material reduction by the Company in the kind or level of employee benefits to which the NEO is entitled

immediately prior to such reduction with the result that the NEO's overall benefits package is materially reduced (unless such reduction is applicable to all employees); or (iv) without the NEO's express written consent, the relocation of the NEO to a facility or a location more than thirty-five (35) miles from his or her current location.

(7) Represents one week's base salary for each full year of continuous service with us.

Represents two times the sum of base salary plus target bonus. The severance benefits payable under the

(8) Severance Policy are subject to reduction to avoid any excise tax on parachute payments if the NEO would benefit from such reduction as compared to paying the excise tax.

(9) Represents two years of continued health and life insurance benefits.

Represents the sum of base salary plus target bonus. The severance benefits payable under the Severance Policy

(10) are subject to reduction to avoid any excise tax on parachute payments if the NEO would benefit from such reduction as compared to paying the excise tax.

(11) Represents one year of continued health and life insurance benefits.

(12) Mr. Fischer resigned from the Company February 1, 2019.

CEO Pay Ratio

As required by Section 953(b) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, and Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K, we are providing the following information about the relationship of the annual total compensation of the individual identified as our median paid employee and the annual total compensation of William J. Grubbs, our former President and Chief Executive Officer (Former CEO).

For purposes of determining our pay ratio for 2018, the median of the annual total compensation of all employees of our company (other than our CEO) was \$22,688 and the annual total compensation of our Former CEO was \$2,664,510. Based on this information, the ratio of the annual total compensation of our CEO to the median of the annual total compensation of all employees for 2018 was 117 to 1.

The methodology and material assumptions, adjustments, and estimates used to identify our median employee for this purpose were as follows:

We determined that, as of October 7, 2018, our employee population, including our full-time, part-time and temporary employees, consisted of approximately 9,758 individuals, with 9,526 of these individuals located in the U.S. and 232 individuals located outside the U.S. Under SEC rules, which provide an exemption for a de minimus number of employees located outside of the U.S., we excluded 232 employees located in India from this employee population. For purposes of determining our pay ratio, our designated employee population included a total of 9,526 U.S. employees and 0 non-U.S. employees.

To identify the median employee, we used total cash compensation as our consistently applied compensation measure. For new employees, who were hired in fiscal 2018 but did not work for the company for the entire fiscal year, compensation was annualized for the full year and compensation for part-time employees was annualized but not converted into a full-time equivalent. We did not make any cost-of-living adjustments in identifying the median employee. Using this methodology, we determined our median employee based on the actual cash compensation, consisting of salary, overtime pay, bonus and commissions, and other cash earnings, paid to each employee in the identified employee population for the period from January 1, 2018 through December 31, 2018.

Once we identified our median employee, the employee's total compensation for 2018 was determined in accordance with Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K, resulting in the annual total compensation amount reported above. With respect to our CEO's annual total compensation, we used the amount reported in the Total column in the Summary Compensation Table of this proxy statement.

We believe the above pay ratio disclosure is a reasonable estimate calculated in a manner consistent with SEC rules and guidance.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On an ongoing basis, the Audit Committee reviews all related party transactions (those transactions that are required to be disclosed in this proxy statement by SEC Regulation S-K, Item 404 and under Nasdaq's rules), if any, for potential conflicts of interest and all such transactions must be approved by the Audit Committee.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Audit Committee oversees the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors, including the Company's internal controls, the quality of its financial reporting and the independence and performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for the Audit Committee, a copy of which is available on our website at www.crosscountryhealthcare.com.

Management has the primary responsibility for the Company's financial statements and the overall reporting process, including the Company's system of internal controls. The Company's independent registered public accounting firm audits the annual financial statements prepared by management, expresses an opinion as to whether those financial statements fairly present the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company and its subsidiaries in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, as well as expresses an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and discusses with us any issues they believe should be raised with us.

The Audit Committee reviewed the Company's unaudited financial statements for each calendar quarter of 2018 as well as the Company's audited financial statements for the 2018 fiscal year and reviewed and discussed the financial statements with management and Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T), the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Management has represented to us that the financial statements were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have received from D&T the written disclosures and the letter required by Rule 3526 of Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB), Communication with Audit Committee Concerning Independence and discussed with D&T its independence from the Company and its management. The Audit Committee also discussed with D&T any matters required to be discussed by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 1301, Communications with Audit Committees.

Based on these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Company's audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

W. Larry Cash, Chairman
Gale Fitzgerald, Member
Darrell S. Freeman, Sr., Member
Richard M. Mastaler, Member

PROPOSAL I

ELECTION OF EIGHT DIRECTORS TO THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The election of the following eight directors to our Board of Directors to hold office until the next Annual Meeting or until their successors are duly elected and qualified:

W. Larry Cash

Kevin C. Clark

Thomas C. Dircks

Gale Fitzgerald

Darrell S. Freeman, Sr.

Richard M. Mastaler

Mark Perlberg

Joseph A. Trunfio, Ph.D.

RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY
RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH NOMINEE UNDER PROPOSAL I.**

40

PROPOSAL II**APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

Our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ended December 31, 2018 was Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T). D&T 's fees for services rendered during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017 are set forth below.

	2018	2017
Audit Fees	\$ 1,743,000	\$ 1,633,000
Audit-Related Fees	112,635	179,026
Tax Fees	—	81,826
All Other Fees	1,895	1,895
Total	\$ 1,857,530	\$ 1,895,747

Audit Fees consist of the fees billed for professional services rendered for our annual financial statements and review of the financial statements included in our Form 10-Q and services that are provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements. Audit Fees for 2018 and 2017 included three quarterly reviews for each year. This category also includes: fees for comfort letters, consents, assistance with and review of documents filed with the Commission, Section 404 attest services, work done by tax professionals in connection with the audit or quarterly review, and accounting consultations billed as audit services, as well as other accounting and financial reporting consultation and research work necessary to comply with generally accepted auditing standards.

Audit-Related Fees consist of the fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit and review of our financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees.

Tax Fees consist of services rendered for tax compliance, advice and planning.

All Other Fees consist of fees for products and services other than the services reported above.

All of the fees described above were approved by the Audit Committee or the Chairman of the Audit Committee in advance, as allowed by the Audit Committee charter. The Audit Committee has considered, and is satisfied that, the provision of the services provided by D&T represented under the headings Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees and All Other Fees is compatible with maintaining the principal accountants' independence.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

It is the Company's policy that the Audit Committee pre-approve all audit and non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other services. The Audit Committee will consider annually and, if appropriate, approve the scope of the audit services to be performed during the fiscal year. The Chairman of the Audit Committee has been vested with the authority to approve or pre-approve services to be provided by the independent auditors when expedition of services is necessary, provided that the Chairman reports any approval or pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

The Audit Committee is prohibited from delegating its responsibility to pre-approve services of the independent auditor to management. None of the services of the independent auditors were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to a waiver of the Commission's rules regarding pre-approval.

Recommendations

The Audit Committee deems the appointment of D&T as our independent registered public accounting firm to be in the best interest of the Company and its stockholders and recommends that holders of the Common Stock vote FOR Proposal II.

The affirmative vote of holders of a majority of shares represented at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy and entitled to vote is required for the appointment of the Audit Committee's selection of D&T as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019.

41

RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ADOPTION OF PROPOSAL II.

PROPOSAL III

NON-BINDING ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

We urge stockholders to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 19 of this proxy statement, which describes in more detail how our executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve our compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and other related compensation tables and narrative, beginning on page 32, which provide detailed information on the compensation of our named executive officers. The Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors believe that the policies and procedures articulated in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis are effective in achieving our goals and that the compensation of our named executive officers reported in this proxy statement has contributed to the Company's recent and long-term success.

In accordance with Section 14A of the Exchange Act, and as a matter of good corporate governance, we are asking stockholders to approve the following advisory resolution at the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of the Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table and the related compensation tables, notes and narrative in the Proxy Statement for the Company's 2019 annual meeting of stockholders.

This advisory resolution, commonly referred to as a say-on-pay resolution, is non-binding on the Board of Directors. Although non-binding, the Board and the Compensation Committee will review and consider the voting results when making future decisions regarding our executive compensation program.

The Board of Directors has adopted a policy providing for an annual say-on-pay advisory vote. Unless the Board of Directors modifies its policy on the frequency of holding say-on-pay advisory votes, the next say-on-pay advisory vote will occur in 2020.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION AS DISCLOSED PURSUANT TO ITEM 402 OF REGULATION S-K, INCLUDED IN THE COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS, THE SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE AND OTHER COMPENSATION TABLES, AND ANY RELATED INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT. PROXIES SOLICITED BY THE BOARD WILL BE VOTED FOR THIS PROPOSAL UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED.

DEADLINES FOR SUBMISSION OF PROXY PROPOSALS AND OTHER BUSINESS

Stockholder proposals intended to be included in the Proxy Statement and form of proxy for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held in 2020, in addition to meeting certain eligibility requirements established by the Commission, must be in writing and received by the General Counsel at the Company's principal executive offices on or prior to December 31, 2019. If the date of next year's Annual Meeting is moved more than 30 days before or after the anniversary date of this year's Annual Meeting, the deadline for inclusion of proposals in our proxy materials is instead a reasonable time before we begin to print and mail our proxy materials. Notice of any stockholder proposal must include various matters as prescribed by the Commission, including a clear and concise description of the proposal, and the reasons for proposing it. The proxy solicited by the Board of Directors for the 2020 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will confer discretionary authority to vote as the proxy holders deem advisable on such stockholder proposals that are considered untimely.

ANNUAL REPORT

The Company has filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, or the 2018 Form 10-K, with the Commission which is available free of charge through our Internet website, www.crosscountryhealthcare.com. Stockholders may obtain a printed copy of the 2018 Form 10-K by writing to our Investor Relations department at 5201 Congress Avenue, Suite 100B, Boca Raton, Florida, 33487. In response to such request, we will furnish without charge the 2018 Form 10-K including financial statements, financial schedules and a list of exhibits. A copy of our Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2018, which includes the 2018 Form 10-K, is being mailed concurrently with this Proxy Statement to all stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting and who request a 2018 Form 10-K be mailed to them. The Annual Report is not incorporated into this Proxy Statement and is not considered proxy solicitation material.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in any of our previous or future filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, that might incorporate by reference this Proxy statement or future filings made by the Company under those statutes, the Compensation Committee Report, the Audit Committee Report, references to the Audit Committee Charter and reference to the independence of the Audit Committee members are not deemed filed with the Commission, are not deemed soliciting material and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any of those prior filings or into any future filings made by the Company under those statutes, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates such information by reference into a previous or future filing, or specifically requests that such information be treated as soliciting material, in each case under those statutes.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Susan E. Ball
Executive Vice President,
General Counsel and Secretary

April 1, 2019

Annex A**Reconciliation of GAAP and Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

The Company reports its financial results in accordance with U.S. GAAP. However, management believes that certain non-GAAP financial measures provide additional financial information that is meaningful and uses these measures to help evaluate operational results and make financial, operating and planning decisions. Management also uses these non-GAAP financial measures as performance measures in its incentive programs for certain members of its management team. Adjusted EBITDA, as defined, closely matches the operating measure typically used in the Company's credit facilities in calculating various ratios. We believe these non-GAAP measures should be considered by investors and others when reviewing the Company's performance.

We use Adjusted EBITDA and Adjusted EPS as supplemental measures to the financial measures we present in accordance with GAAP. These non-GAAP financial measures are provided as additional information and should not be considered substitutes for, or superior to, financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These non-GAAP financial measures are provided for consistency and comparability to prior year results, and management believes they are useful to investors when evaluating the Company's performance as they exclude certain items that management believes are not indicative of the Company's operating performance. These non-GAAP financial measures may differ materially from the non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies.

Cross Country Healthcare, Inc.**Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures**

(Unaudited. amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2018	2017
Reconciliation of Adjusted EPS(1)		
Diluted EPS, GAAP	\$ (0.48)	\$ 1.01
Non-GAAP adjustments - pretax:		
Acquisition-related contingent consideration	0.08	—
Acquisition and integration costs	0.01	0.06
Restructuring costs	0.08	0.03
Impairment charges	0.63	0.40
Gain on derivative liability	—	(0.05)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	0.14
Applicant tracking system costs	0.02	—
Nonrecurring income tax adjustments	—	(0.97)
Tax impact of non-GAAP adjustments	(0.22)	(0.06)
Adjustment for change in dilutive shares	—	0.05
Adjusted EPS, non-GAAP	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.61
	Year Ended December 31,	
	2018	2017
Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA(2)		
Net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders	\$ (16,951)	\$ 37,513
Depreciation and Amortization	11,780	10,174
Interest expense	5,654	4,214

Edgar Filing: MRC GLOBAL INC. - Form 424B4

Income tax benefit	(2,478)	(34,501)
Acquisition-related contingent consideration	2,557	44
Acquisition and integration costs	491	1,975
Restructuring costs	2,758	1,026
Impairment charges	22,423	14,356
Gain on derivative liability	—	(1,581)
Loss on early extinguishment and modification of debt	79	4,969
Other income, net	(418)	(155)
Equity compensation	3,575	4,080
Applicant tracking system costs	658	—
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	1,234	1,289
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 31,362	\$ 43,403

A-1

Adjusted EPS, a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders per diluted share (diluted EPS, GAAP) before the diluted EPS impact of acquisition-related (1) contingent consideration, acquisition and integration costs, restructuring costs, impairment charges, gain on derivative liability, loss on early extinguishment of debt, gain or loss on sale of business, applicant tracking system costs, legal settlement charges, and nonrecurring income tax adjustments.

Adjusted EBITDA, a non-GAAP financial measure, is defined as net (loss) income attributable to common shareholders before interest expense, income tax (benefit) expense, depreciation and amortization, (2) acquisition-related contingent consideration, acquisition and integration costs, restructuring costs, impairment charges, gain on derivative liability, loss on early extinguishment of debt, gain or loss on sale of business, other income, net, equity compensation, applicant tracking system costs, legal settlement charges, and includes net income attributable to noncontrolling interest in subsidiary.

A-2

