

AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING INC
Form DEF 14A
September 24, 2015

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant [X]
Filed by a Party other than the Registrant []

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))**
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Automatic Data Processing, Inc.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- 1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- 2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- 3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- 4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- 5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

Edgar Filing: AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING INC - Form DEF 14A

- 1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - 2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - 3) Filing Party:
 - 4) Date Filed:
-

Table of Contents

AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING, INC.

One ADP Boulevard
Roseland, New Jersey 07068

Notice of 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

The 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Automatic Data Processing, Inc. will take place at 10:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time, Tuesday, November 10, 2015 at our corporate headquarters, One ADP Boulevard, Roseland, New Jersey.

A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or the proxy statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is first being mailed to stockholders on or about Thursday, September 24, 2015.

The purposes of the meeting are to:

1. Elect a board of directors;
2. Hold an advisory vote on executive compensation;
3. Ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, to serve as our independent certified public accountants for fiscal year 2016; and
4. Transact any other business that may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment(s) thereof.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on September 11, 2015 are entitled to receive notice of, to attend, and to vote at the meeting. **If you plan to attend the meeting in person, please note the admission procedures described under **How Can I Attend the Meeting?** on page 1 of the proxy statement.**

Your vote is important, and we urge you to vote whether or not you plan to attend the meeting. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials instructs you on how to access your proxy card to vote via the Internet or by telephone. If you receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, you may also vote by completing, signing, dating and returning the accompanying printed proxy in the enclosed envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States.

By order of the Board of Directors

MICHAEL A. BONARTI
Secretary

September 24, 2015
Roseland, New Jersey

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>2015 Proxy Statement Summary</u>	<u>i</u>
<u>Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Proposal 1 Election of Directors</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Stockholder Approval Required</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Director Nomination Process</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Retirement Policy</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Audit Committee</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Compensation Committee</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>Corporate Development and Technology Advisory Committee</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>The Board's Role in Risk Oversight</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Communications with All Interested Parties</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Transactions with Related Persons</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Availability of Corporate Governance Documents</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Compensation of Non-Employee Directors</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>Director Compensation Table For Fiscal Year 2015</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>Proposal 2 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Stockholder Approval Required</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Executive Summary</u>	<u>22</u>
<u>Compensation Principles</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Cash Compensation</u>	<u>29</u>
<u>Long-Term Incentive Compensation Programs</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Other Compensation Components and Considerations</u>	<u>33</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>36</u>

Table of Contents

<u>Compensation of Executive Officers</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2015</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>All Other Compensation for Fiscal Year 2015</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for Fiscal Year 2015</u>	<u>39</u>
<u>Mr. Rodriguez's Former Employment Agreement</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Restricted Stock/Performance Stock Units</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Stock Options</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards for Fiscal Year-End 2015</u>	<u>43</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Vesting Schedule for Fiscal Year-End 2015</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table for Fiscal Year 2015</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Pension Benefits for Fiscal Year 2015</u>	<u>48</u>
<u>Automatic Data Processing, Inc. Pension Retirement Plan</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Deferred Compensation Program</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal Year 2015</u>	<u>51</u>
<u>Potential Payments to Named Executive Officers</u>	
<u>Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Change in Control Severance Plan for Corporate Officers</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Corporate Officer Severance Plan</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Health Coverage</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Deferred Compensation</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Termination and Change in Control Tables</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for Carlos A. Rodriguez</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for Jan Siegmund</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for Mark D. Benjamin</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for Edward B. Flynn</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for Michael A. Bonarti</u>	<u>59</u>
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm's Fees</u>	<u>62</u>
<u>Proposal 3 Appointment of Independent Registered</u>	
<u>Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Stockholder Approval Required</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Electronic Delivery of Future Stockholder Communications</u>	<u>65</u>

Table of Contents

2015 Proxy Statement Summary

This summary highlights certain information contained elsewhere in the proxy statement. This summary does not contain all of the information that you should consider. You should read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Time and Date	10:00 a.m. Eastern Standard Time, Tuesday, November 10, 2015
Place	One ADP Boulevard, Roseland, New Jersey, 07068
Record Date	Stockholders of record at the close of business on September 11, 2015 are entitled to vote at the meeting in person or by proxy.
Admission	Admission to the meeting is restricted to stockholders and/or their designated representatives. All stockholders will be required to show valid picture identification in order to be admitted to the meeting.
Proxy Materials	Under rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. On September 24, 2015, we commenced the mailing to our stockholders (other than those who previously requested electronic or paper delivery) of a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials. If you would prefer to receive printed proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.
How to Vote	The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials instructs you on how to access your proxy card to vote through the Internet or by telephone. If you receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, you may also vote your shares by completing, signing, dating and returning the accompanying printed proxy in the enclosed envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States.

Voting Matters and Board Voting Recommendations

	Proposal	Board Recommendation	Page Reference For More Detail
Proposal 1:	Election of directors	For Each Nominee	5
Proposal 2:	Advisory resolution to approve compensation of named executive officers	For	21
Proposal 3:	Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2016	For	63

Table of Contents

2015 Proxy Statement Summary

Election of Directors (Proposal 1)

The board of directors has nominated the following current directors for re-election as directors. Please refer to page 5 in this proxy statement for important information about the qualifications and experience of each of the following director nominees.

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation	Independent	Committee Memberships			
					AC	CC	NCGC	CDTAC
Ellen R. Alemany	59	2011	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Citizens Financial Group, Inc. and Head of RBS Americas	X			X	X
Peter Bisson	58	2015	Director and Global Leader of the High-Tech Practice at McKinsey & Company					X
Richard T. Clark	69	2011	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Merck & Co., Inc.	X	F	C		
Eric C. Fast	66	2007	Retired Chief Executive Officer of Crane Co.	X	C, F			X
Linda R. Gooden	62	2009	Retired Executive Vice President of Lockheed Martin Corporation Information Systems & Global Solutions	X	F			C
Michael P. Gregoire	49	2014	Chief Executive Officer and Director of CA Technologies	X			X	X
R. Glenn Hubbard	57	2004	Dean of Columbia University's Graduate School of Business	X	F	X		
John P. Jones (1)	64	2005	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.	X		X	C	
Carlos A. Rodriguez	51	2011	President and Chief Executive Officer of Automatic Data Processing, Inc.					

AC Audit Committee

CC Compensation Committee

NCGC Nominating / Corporate Governance Committee

CDTAC Corporate Development and Technology Advisory Committee

C Committee Chair

F Financial Expert

(1) Leslie A. Brun will resign as non-executive Chairman of our board of directors effective the date of our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Mr. Jones will serve as the non-executive Chairman of our board of directors upon Mr. Brun's retirement from our board, to take effect at the conclusion of our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Advisory Resolution to Approve Executive Compensation (Proposal 2)

Consistent with the stockholders' advisory vote at our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we determined to hold the advisory say-on-pay vote to approve our named executive officer compensation on an annual

basis. Therefore, we are asking our stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, our named executive officer compensation for fiscal year 2015. Our stockholders will have the opportunity to approve, on an advisory basis, our named executive officer compensation for fiscal year 2016 at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

2015 Proxy Statement Summary

The board of directors recommends a vote FOR this resolution because it believes that the policies and practices described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section on page 22 of this proxy statement are effective in achieving the company's goals of linking pay to performance and levels of responsibility, encouraging our executive officers to remain focused on both short-term and long-term operational and financial goals of the company and linking executive performance to stockholder value.

At our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders approved the compensation of our fiscal year 2014 named executive officers by a vote of approximately 96% in favor.

Ratification of the Appointment of Auditors (Proposal 3)

We are asking our shareholders to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP (Deloitte) as our independent certified public accountants for fiscal year 2016. A summary of fees paid to Deloitte for services provided in fiscal years 2014 and 2015 is provided on page 62 of this proxy statement.

Fiscal Year 2015 Business Highlights

During fiscal year 2015, we more narrowly focused our attention on our global HCM strategy, and our results continue to reflect our success in the market. This increased focus is evidenced by the spin-off of our former Dealer Services business on September 30, 2014, and our investments in product innovation and our salesforce. Our key business metrics in fiscal year 2015 continued to reflect the core strength of our underlying business model, which consists of a high percentage of recurring revenues, strong client retention, good margins, and the ability to generate consistent, healthy cash flows, with low capital requirements. The compensation committee's determination of incentive compensation under our cash bonus program for all of our executive officers, including our named executive officers, was based on fiscal year 2015 revenue growth of 8.7% compared to a target of 8.0%, excluding the effect of foreign exchange rate movement, operating income growth of 10.4% compared to a target of 12.0%, excluding the impact of certain non-recurring items related to the Dealer Services spin-off, and sales growth of 12.7% compared to a target of 8.0%. The incentive compensation under our PSU program was based on fiscal year 2015 earnings per share growth of 12.5% compared to a target of 11.3%.

Compensation Principles

We believe that compensation should be designed to create a direct link between performance and stockholder value. Five principles that guide our decisions involving executive compensation are that compensation should be:

based on (i) the overall performance of the company, (ii) the performance of each executive's business unit and (iii) each executive's individual performance;

closely aligned with the short-term and long-term financial and strategic objectives that build sustainable long-term stockholder value;

competitive, in order to attract and retain executives critical to our long-term success;

consistent with high standards of corporate governance and best practices; and

designed to dampen the incentive for executives to take excessive risks or to behave in ways that are inconsistent with the company's strategic planning processes and high ethical standards.

Good Governance and Best Practices

We are committed to ensuring that our compensation programs reflect principles of good governance, including the following:

Edgar Filing: AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING INC - Form DEF 14A

Pay for performance: We design our compensation programs to link pay to performance and levels of responsibility, to encourage our executive officers to remain focused on both the short-term and long-term operational and financial goals of the company, and to link executive performance to stockholder value.

Annual say-on-pay vote: We hold an advisory say-on-pay vote to approve our named executive officer compensation on an annual basis.

Clawback policy: We adopted a Clawback Policy in fiscal year 2015 that provides the compensation committee with discretion to recover both cash and equity incentive compensation from all current and former executives.

Stock ownership guidelines: We maintain stock ownership guidelines to encourage equity ownership by our executive officers.

Table of Contents

2015 Proxy Statement Summary

Double trigger change in control payments: Our Change in Control Severance Plan for Corporate Officers is based on a double trigger, such that payments of cash and vesting of equity awards occur only if termination of employment without cause or with good reason occurs during the two-year period after a change in control.

Limited perquisites: We provide limited, reasonable perquisites that we believe are consistent with our overall compensation philosophy.

No IRC Section 280G or 409A tax gross-ups: We do not provide tax gross-ups under our change in control provisions or deferred compensation programs.

No stock option repricing or discount stock options: We do not lower the exercise price of any outstanding stock options, and the exercise price of our stock options is not less than 100% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

Anti-hedging or pledging policy: We prohibit our directors and executive officers from engaging in any hedging or similar transactions involving ADP securities, holding ADP securities in a margin account, or pledging ADP securities as collateral for a loan.

Independence of our compensation committee and advisor: The compensation committee of our board of directors, which is comprised solely of independent directors, utilizes the services of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (Cook & Co.) as an independent compensation consultant. Cook & Co. reports to the compensation committee, does not perform any other services for the company other than in connection with an annual review of competitive director compensation for the nominating/corporate governance committee of our board of directors, and has no economic or other ties to the company or the management team that could compromise their independence or objectivity.

2015 Compensation Highlights

Consistent with our pay for performance philosophy, the compensation of our named executive officers is structured with a significant portion of their total compensation at risk and paid based on the performance of the company and the applicable business unit. Our financial performance in fiscal year 2015 impacted the compensation for all of our executive officers, not just our named executive officers, in several ways, most notably through our annual cash bonus plan and performance-based restricted stock program. Please refer to the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section on page 22 of this proxy statement, and the tables and narratives that follow on page 37 of this proxy statement, for more detail concerning the compensation of our named executive officers.

The following are key highlights of our fiscal 2015 executive compensation program:

<i>Base salary:</i>	For fiscal year 2015, we increased the base salary of each named executive officer by an average of 10.96%.
<i>Annual cash bonus:</i>	For fiscal year 2015, we maintained annual cash bonus targets for the named executive officers at fiscal year 2014 levels, except for Mr. Flynn, whose target was increased from 70% to 80% effective March 1, 2015, and prorated accordingly. In fiscal year 2015, our named executive officers received cash bonuses that averaged approximately 123% of target.
<i>Equity awards:</i>	As part of our equity compensation program in fiscal year 2015, consistent with fiscal year 2014, we granted our executive officers performance stock units (PSU), stock options and time-based restricted stock awards. As a result of our one-year earnings per share growth for fiscal year 2015, our executive officers achieved a payout

percentage of 120% under our PSU program. This percentage payout applied to year 1 of the fiscal year 2015 award, which will be earned and issued at the end of the corresponding three-year performance period ending in fiscal year 2017 and to year 2 of the fiscal year 2014 award, which will be earned and issued at the end of the corresponding three-year performance period ending in fiscal year 2016.

Table of Contents**2015 Proxy Statement Summary**

Severance Programs: We amended our change in control severance plan for officers to, among other things, reduce the period of severance protection following a change in control from three years to two years, and require a release of claims from the executive as a condition to receiving severance. In addition, we implemented a severance program for officers that provides for a reduced level of severance protection for terminations occurring outside of the context of a change in control. Mr. Rodriguez became eligible to participate in this severance program upon the expiration of his employment agreement on November 7, 2014.

Clawback Policy: We adopted a clawback policy in fiscal year 2015 that provides the compensation committee with discretion to recover both cash and equity incentive compensation from any current or former executive if he or she engages in activity that is in conflict with or adverse to our interests, including but not limited to fraud or conduct contributing to any financial restatements or irregularities, or if the recipient violates a restrictive covenant.

A summary of fiscal year 2015 total direct compensation for our named executive officers is set forth in the following table:

Name	Base Salary	Annual Bonus	PSUs⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Stock Options⁽¹⁾	Restricted Stock⁽¹⁾	Total
Carlos A. Rodriguez <i>President and Chief Executive Officer</i>	\$1,000,000	\$1,971,200	\$1,977,567	\$2,079,992	\$0	\$7,028,759
Jan Siegmund <i>Chief Financial Officer</i>	\$590,000	\$581,500	\$638,080	\$389,990	\$999,909	\$3,199,479
Mark D. Benjamin <i>Division President</i>	\$450,000	\$435,600	\$395,268	\$267,796	\$999,909	\$2,548,573
Edward B. Flynn <i>EVP, Worldwide Sales & Marketing</i>	\$475,000	\$472,200	\$372,532	\$209,996	\$1,499,941	\$3,029,669
Michael A. Bonarti <i>General Counsel and Corporate Secretary</i>	\$480,000	\$414,000	\$372,532	\$209,996	\$749,990	\$2,226,518

Footnotes:

- Equity amounts are the grant date fair values for the fiscal year 2015 equity awards, which are the same amounts disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2015 on page 37 of this proxy statement.*
- The amounts for the performance stock unit awards represent the grant date fair value of one-third of each of the fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2015 target awards. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, only the grant date fair value for the performance year in which performance targets are set is reported.*

Table of Contents

2015 Proxy Statement Summary

The mix of total direct compensation (base salary, cash bonus, and long-term incentive awards) for fiscal year 2015 was designed to deliver the following approximate proportions of total compensation to Mr. Rodriguez, our chief executive officer, and the other named executive officers (on average) if company and individual target levels of performance are achieved:

Important Dates for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Please refer to the *Stockholder Proposals* section on page 64 of this proxy statement for more information regarding the applicable requirements for submission of stockholder proposals.

If a stockholder intends to submit any proposal for inclusion in the company's proxy statement for the company's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders in accordance with Rule 14a-8 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the proposal must be received by the corporate secretary of the company no later than May 27, 2016.

Separate from the requirements of Rule 14a-8 relating to the inclusion of a stockholder proposal in the company's proxy statement, the company's amended and restated by-laws require that notice of a stockholder nomination for candidates for our board of directors or any other business to be considered at the company's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must be received by the company no earlier than July 13, 2016, and no later than the close of business (5:30 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time) on August 11, 2016.

Table of Contents

Proxy Statement

The board of directors of Automatic Data Processing, Inc. is soliciting your proxy to vote at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on November 10, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Standard Time, and at any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof. The meeting will be held at our corporate headquarters, One ADP Boulevard, Roseland, New Jersey.

Under rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, we are furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders primarily via the Internet, instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to each stockholder. On September 24, 2015, we commenced the mailing to our stockholders (other than those who previously requested electronic or paper delivery of printed proxy materials) of a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials, including our proxy statement and our annual report on Form 10-K (which is not a part of the proxy soliciting material). This process is designed to expedite stockholders' receipt of proxy materials, lower the cost of the Annual Meeting, and help conserve natural resources.

However, if you would prefer to receive printed proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. If you have previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically, you will continue to receive these materials via e-mail unless you elect otherwise.

The only outstanding class of securities entitled to vote at the meeting is our common stock, par value \$0.10 per share. At the close of business on September 11, 2015, the record date for determining stockholders entitled to notice of, to attend, and to vote at the meeting, we had 465,273,419 issued and outstanding shares of common stock (excluding 173,439,023 treasury shares not entitled to vote). Each outstanding share of common stock is entitled to one vote with respect to each matter to be voted on at the meeting.

This proxy statement and our annual report on Form 10-K are also available on our corporate website at www.adp.com under Financials in the Investor Relations section.

Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting

**WHY AM I
RECEIVING THESE
PROXY MATERIALS?**

We are providing these proxy materials to holders of shares of the company's common stock, par value \$0.10 per share, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by our board of directors for the forthcoming 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on November 10, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. Eastern Standard Time, and at any postponement(s) or adjournment(s) thereof. The company will bear all expenses in connection with this solicitation.

**HOW CAN I ATTEND
THE MEETING?**

Admission to the meeting is restricted to stockholders and/or their designated representatives. If your shares are registered in your name and you plan to attend the meeting, your admission ticket will be the top portion of the proxy card. If your shares are in the name of your broker or bank or you received your proxy materials electronically, you will need to bring evidence of your stock ownership, such as your most recent brokerage account statement.

All stockholders will be required to show valid picture identification. If you do not have valid picture identification and either an admission ticket or proof of your stock ownership, you will not be admitted to the meeting. For security purposes, packages and bags will be inspected and you may be required to check these items. Please arrive early enough to allow yourself adequate time to clear security.

**HOW MANY
SHARES MUST BE
PRESENT TO HOLD
THE MEETING?**

The representation in person or by proxy of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote at the meeting constitutes a quorum. Under our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and our amended and restated bylaws and under Delaware law, abstentions and non-votes are counted as present in determining whether

the quorum requirement is satisfied. A non-vote occurs when a nominee holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because the nominee does not have discretionary voting power for that particular item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner.

Table of Contents

Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting

HOW CAN I VOTE MY SHARES?

The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials instructs you on how to access your proxy card to vote through the Internet or by telephone. If you receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, you may also vote your shares by completing, signing, dating and returning the accompanying printed proxy in the enclosed envelope, which requires no postage if mailed in the United States. Unless contrary instructions are indicated on the proxy, all shares represented by valid proxies received pursuant to this solicitation (and not revoked before they are voted) will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of our board of directors as indicated below. If you are a registered stockholder and attend the meeting, you may deliver your completed proxy card in person.

IF I HOLD SHARES IN STREET NAME, DOES MY BROKER NEED INSTRUCTIONS IN ORDER TO VOTE MY SHARES?

If your shares are held in street name (i.e., your shares are held by a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee), you must provide voting instructions to your bank or broker by the deadline provided in the materials you receive from your bank or broker. If you hold your shares in street name and you do not instruct your bank or broker as to how to vote your shares, your bank or broker may only vote your shares in its discretion on the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2016 (Proposal 3), but will not be allowed to vote your shares on any of the other proposals described in this proxy statement, including the election of directors. Under applicable Delaware law, a broker non-vote will have no effect on the outcome of any of the other proposals described in this proxy statement because the non-votes are not considered in determining the number of votes necessary for approval.

WHAT MATTERS WILL BE VOTED ON AT THE MEETING, WHAT ARE MY VOTING CHOICES, AND HOW DOES THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMEND THAT I VOTE?

Proposal	Voting Choices	Board Recommendation
<p>Proposal 1: Election of the 9 nominees named in this proxy statement to serve on the company's board of directors</p>	<p>For all For all except identified director nominee(s) Withhold all</p>	<p>FOR election of all 9 director nominees</p>
<p>Proposal 2: Advisory resolution approving the compensation of the company's named executive officers as disclosed in the compensation discussion and analysis section on page 22 of this proxy statement</p>	<p>For Against Abstain</p>	<p>FOR</p>
<p>Proposal 3: Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2016</p>	<p>For Against Abstain</p>	<p>FOR</p>

So far as the board of directors is aware, only the above matters will be acted upon at the meeting. If any other matters properly come before the meeting, the accompanying proxy may be voted on such other matters in accordance with the best judgment of the person or persons voting the proxy.

Table of Contents

Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting

HOW MANY VOTES ARE NEEDED TO APPROVE THE PROPOSALS, AND WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF ABSTENTIONS OR WITHHELD VOTES?

Proposal 1:

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote thereon is required to elect a director, provided that if the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected (a situation that the company does not anticipate), the directors shall be elected by the vote of a plurality of the shares represented in person or by proxy. Votes may be cast in favor of all nominees, withheld from all nominees or withheld from specifically identified nominees. Votes that are withheld will have the effect of a negative vote, provided that if the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected, withheld votes will be excluded entirely and will have no effect on the vote. A broker non-vote will have no effect on the outcome of this proposal because the non-votes are not considered in determining the number of votes necessary for approval.

Proposal 2:

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote thereon is required to approve the advisory resolution on executive compensation. Votes may be cast in favor of or against this proposal or a stockholder may abstain from voting. Abstentions will have the effect of a negative vote. Because the vote on this proposal is advisory in nature, it will not affect any compensation already paid or awarded to any named executive officer and will not be binding on or overrule any decisions by the compensation committee or the board of directors. Because we value our stockholders' views, however, the compensation committee and the board of directors will consider the results of this advisory vote when formulating future executive compensation policy. A broker non-vote will have no effect on the outcome of the advisory resolution because the non-votes are not considered in determining the number of votes necessary for approval.

Proposal 3:

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote thereon is required to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as the company's independent certified public accountants for fiscal year 2016. Votes may be cast in favor of or against this proposal or a stockholder may abstain from voting. Abstentions will have the effect of a negative vote. Brokers have the authority to vote shares for which their customers did not provide voting instructions on the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

MAY I REVOKE MY PROXY OR CHANGE MY VOTE?

If your shares are registered in your name, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote prior to the completion of voting at the Annual Meeting by:

submitting a valid, later-dated proxy card or a later-dated vote in accordance with the voting instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials in a timely manner; or

giving written notice of such revocation to the company's corporate secretary prior to or at the Annual Meeting or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in street name, you should contact your bank or broker and follow its procedures for changing your voting instructions. You also may vote in person at the Annual Meeting if you obtain a legal proxy from your bank or broker.

Table of Contents

Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and Voting

IS MY VOTE
CONFIDENTIAL?

Proxies and ballots identifying the vote of individual stockholders will be kept confidential from our management and directors, except as necessary to meet legal requirements in cases where stockholders request disclosure or in a contested election.

WHERE CAN I FIND
THE VOTING RESULTS
OF THE ANNUAL
MEETING?

The preliminary voting results will be announced at the Annual Meeting. The final voting results, which are tallied by independent tabulators and certified by independent inspectors, will be published in the company's current report on Form 8-K, which we are required to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within four business days following the Annual Meeting.

WHAT IS
HOUSEHOLDING ?

To reduce the expense of delivering duplicate proxy materials to stockholders who may have more than one account holding our stock but share the same address, we have adopted a procedure known as householding. Under this procedure, certain stockholders of record who have the same address and last name, and who do not participate in electronic delivery of proxy materials, will receive only one copy of our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and, as applicable, any additional proxy materials that are delivered until such time as one or more of these stockholders notifies us that they want to receive separate copies. Stockholders who participate in householding will continue to have access to and utilize separate proxy voting instructions.

If you are a registered stockholder and choose to have separate copies of our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, proxy statement and annual report on Form 10-K mailed to you, you must opt-out by writing to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., Household Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York, 11717 or by calling 1-866-540-7095 and we will cease householding all such disclosure documents within 30 days. If we do not receive instructions to remove your accounts from this service, your accounts will continue to be householded until we notify you otherwise. If you own our common stock in nominee name (such as through a broker), information regarding householding of disclosure documents should have been forwarded to you by your broker.

You can also contact Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. at 1-800-542-1061 if you received multiple copies of the Annual Meeting materials and would prefer to receive a single copy in the future.

Table of Contents**Proposal 1**

Election of Directors

The board of directors has nominated the following current directors for re-election as directors. Properly executed proxies will be voted as marked. Unmarked proxies will be voted in favor of electing the persons named below (each of whom is now a director) as directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. If any nominee is no longer a candidate at the time of the meeting (a situation that we do not anticipate), proxies will be voted in favor of remaining nominees and may be voted for substitute nominees designated by the board of directors.

Name	Age	Served as a Director Continuously Since	Principal Occupation
Ellen R. Alemany	59	2011	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Citizens Financial Group, Inc. and Head of RBS Americas
Peter Bisson	58	2015	Director and Global Leader of the High-Tech Practice at McKinsey & Company
Richard T. Clark	69	2011	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Merck & Co., Inc.
Eric C. Fast	66	2007	Retired Chief Executive Officer of Crane Co., a manufacturer of industrial products
Linda R. Gooden	62	2009	Retired Executive Vice President of Lockheed Martin Corporation Information Systems & Global Solutions
Michael P. Gregoire	49	2014	Chief Executive Officer and Director of CA Technologies
R. Glenn Hubbard	57	2004	Dean of Columbia University's Graduate School of Business
John P. Jones ⁽¹⁾	64	2005	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., an industrial gas and related industrial process equipment business
Carlos A. Rodriguez	51	2011	President and Chief Executive Officer of Automatic Data Processing, Inc.

(1) *Leslie A. Brun will resign as non-executive Chairman of our board of directors effective the date of our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Mr. Jones will serve as the non-executive Chairman of our board of directors upon Mr. Brun's retirement from our board, to take effect at the conclusion of our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.*

Table of Contents

Proposal 1

Below are summaries of the principal occupations, business experience, and background of the nominees.

Ellen R. Alemany

Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Citizens Financial Group, Inc. and Head of RBS Americas

Ms. Alemany is the retired Head of RBS Americas, the management structure that oversees The Royal Bank of Scotland's businesses in the Americas, and chief executive officer of RBS Citizens Financial Group, Inc., an RBS subsidiary. Ms. Alemany retired from RBS in September 2013. She joined RBS as the Head of RBS Americas in June 2007, and was named to the additional role of chief executive officer of RBS Citizens Financial Group, Inc. in March 2008. She was also appointed the chairman of RBS Citizens Financial Group, Inc. in March 2009. Ms. Alemany joined RBS from Citigroup, where she served as the chief executive officer for global transaction services from February 2006 until April 2007. Ms. Alemany joined Citigroup in 1987, and held a number of senior positions during her tenure, including executive vice president for the commercial business group from March 2003 until January 2006, and also CitiCapital, where she served as president and chief executive officer from September 2001 until January 2006. Prior to being appointed executive vice president for the commercial business group in 2003, Ms. Alemany also held a number of executive positions in Citigroup's Global Corporate Bank. Ms. Alemany is a director of Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. and a director of CIT Group Inc. With over 30 years of management experience in financial services and a proven track record of achievement and leadership, Ms. Alemany brings a wealth of managerial and operational expertise to our board of directors, as well as extensive experience in the issues facing multinational businesses.

Director since: 2011

Independent

Peter Bisson

Director at McKinsey & Company

Mr. Bisson is a Director and the Global Leader of the High-Tech Practice at McKinsey & Company. Mr. Bisson has also held a number of other leadership positions at McKinsey & Company, including chair of its knowledge committee, which guides the firm's knowledge investment and communication strategies, member of the firm's shareholders committee, and leader of the firm's strategy and telecommunications practices. In more than 30 years at McKinsey & Company, Mr. Bisson advised a variety of multinational public companies, including ADP, in the technology-based products and services industry. His experience includes advising clients on corporate strategy and M&A, design and execution of performance improvement programs, marketing and technology development. Mr. Bisson's broad experience in the technology industry is a valuable asset to our board of directors.

Director since: 2015

Table of Contents

Proposal 1

Richard T. Clark

Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Merck & Co., Inc.

Mr. Clark is the retired chairman of the board, chief executive officer, and president of Merck & Co., Inc. Mr. Clark served as chairman of Merck & Co., Inc. from April 2007 until December 2011, as chief executive officer from May 2005 until December 2010, and as president from May 2005 until April 2010. He held a variety of other positions during his 39-year tenure at Merck, including president of the Merck manufacturing division from June 2003 to May 2005, and chairman and chief executive officer of Medco Health Solutions, Inc. from March 2002 to June 2003. Mr. Clark is the lead independent director of Corning Incorporated, a global manufacturing company, and serves on the advisory board of American Securities, a private equity firm. With a proven track record of leadership and achievement, Mr. Clark offers our board of directors broad managerial and operational expertise, as well as extensive experience in the issues facing public companies and multinational businesses.

Director since: 2011

Independent

Eric C. Fast

Retired Chief Executive Officer of Crane Co.

Mr. Fast is the retired chief executive officer, president, and director of Crane Co., a manufacturer of industrial products. Mr. Fast served as the chief executive officer of Crane Co. from April 2001 until January 2014, as president from 1999 through January 2013, and as a director from 1999 to January 2014. Mr. Fast is also a director of National Integrity Life Insurance Company and Regions Financial Corporation, and serves as a director/trustee of the twelve investment companies in the Lord Abbett Family of Funds. He was a director of Convergys Corporation from 2000 to 2007. Mr. Fast also served as a managing director, co-head of global investment banking, and a member of the management committee of Salomon Smith Barney from 1997 to 1998. Mr. Fast held those same positions at Salomon Brothers Inc. from 1995 until the merger of Salomon Brothers Inc. and Travelers/Smith Barney, and prior to that he was co-head of U.S. corporate finance at Salomon Brothers Inc. from 1991 to 1995. Mr. Fast has extensive financial and transactional experience, demonstrated by his career in investment banking prior to his tenure at Crane Co. With years of demonstrated leadership ability, Mr. Fast contributes significant organizational skills to our board of directors, including expertise in financial, accounting, and transactional matters.

Director since: 2007

Independent

Linda R. Gooden

Retired Executive Vice President of Lockheed Martin Corporation Information Systems & Global Solutions

Ms. Gooden is the retired executive vice president information systems & global solutions of Lockheed Martin Corporation, a position that she held from January 2007 to March 2013. She previously served as deputy executive vice president information & technology services of Lockheed Martin Corporation from October 2006 to December 2006,

Director since: 2009

Independent

and president, Lockheed Martin Information Technology from September 1997 to December 2006. Ms. Gooden is a director of General Motors Company, a director of WGL Holdings, Inc., a public utility holding company and a director of Washington Gas Light Company, a subsidiary of WGL Holdings, Inc. Ms. Gooden brings to our board of directors broad managerial and operational expertise, a strong background in information technology, as well as a proven track record of achievement and sound business judgment demonstrated throughout her career with Lockheed Martin Corporation.

7 | Automatic Data Processing, Inc. *Proxy Statement*

Table of Contents

Proposal 1

Michael P. Gregoire

Chief Executive Officer and Director of CA Technologies

Mr. Gregoire is chief executive officer and a director of CA Technologies. He served as president and chief executive officer of Taleo Corporation, a provider of on-demand talent management software solutions, from March 2005 until its acquisition by Oracle Corporation in April 2012, as chairman of the board from May 2008 to April 2012, and as a director from April 2005 to April 2012. Mr. Gregoire served as executive vice president, global services and held various other senior management positions at PeopleSoft, Inc. from May 2000 to January 2005. Mr. Gregoire was managing director for global financial markets at Electronic Data Systems, Inc. from 1996 to April 2000, and in various other roles from 1988 to 1996. He has also served as a director of ShoreTel, Inc. from November 2008 to January 2014 and the chair of its compensation committee from July 2010 to January 2014. Mr. Gregoire is also a director of NPower, a nonprofit information technology services network, since September 2013. Mr. Gregoire brings to our board of directors extensive executive leadership experience with public companies in the software and services business and extensive experience in the technology industry. In addition, his directorships at other public companies provide him with broad experience on governance issues facing public companies.

Director since: 2014

Independent

R. Glenn Hubbard

Dean of Columbia University's Graduate School of Business

Mr. Hubbard has been the dean of Columbia University's Graduate School of Business since 2004 and has been the Russell L. Carson professor of finance and economics since 1994. He is also a director of BlackRock Closed-End Funds and MetLife, Inc. and a member of the Panel of Economic Advisors for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Mr. Hubbard served as a director of KKR Financial Holdings, LLC from 2004 until 2014, Information Services Group, Inc. from 2006 to 2008, Duke Realty Corporation from 2004 to 2008, Capmark Financial Corporation from 2006 to 2008, Dex Media, Inc. from 2004 to 2006, and R.H. Donnelley Corporation in 2006. Mr. Hubbard was chairman of the President's Council of Economic Advisers from 2001 to 2003. Mr. Hubbard provides our board of directors with substantial knowledge of and expertise in global macroeconomic conditions and economic, tax and regulatory policies, as well as perspective on financial markets. In addition, his directorships at other public companies provide him with broad experience on governance issues facing public companies.

Director since: 2004

Independent

John P. Jones

Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.

Mr. Jones is the retired chairman of the board, chief executive officer, and president of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., an industrial gas and related industrial process equipment business. Mr. Jones served as chairman of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. from October 2007

Director since: 2005

Independent

until April 2008, as chairman and chief executive officer from September 2006 until October 2007, and as chairman, president, and chief executive officer from December 2000 through September 2006. He also served as a director of Sunoco, Inc. from 2010 to 2012. With a track record of achievement and sound business judgment demonstrated during his thirty-six year tenure at Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., Mr. Jones brings to the board of directors extensive experience in issues facing public companies and multinational businesses, including organizational management, strategic planning, and corporate governance matters, combined with proven business and financial acumen.

Table of Contents

Proposal 1

Carlos A. Rodriguez

President and Chief Executive Officer of Automatic Data Processing, Inc.

Director since: 2011

Management

Mr. Rodriguez is president and chief executive officer of the company. He served as president and chief operating officer of the company before he was appointed to his current position in November 2011. Having started his career at the company in 1999, Mr. Rodriguez previously served as president of several key businesses, including National Accounts Services, Employer Services International, Small Business Services, and Professional Employer Organization, giving him deep institutional knowledge across the company's business. Mr. Rodriguez is also a director of Hubbell Inc., a manufacturer of electrical and electronic products. Mr. Rodriguez brings a wealth of business acumen and leadership experience to our board of directors, coupled with a proven track record of integrity, achievement, and strategic vision.

Stockholder Approval Required

At the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, directors will be elected by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote thereon, provided that if the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected (a situation we do not anticipate), the directors shall be elected by the vote of a plurality of the shares represented in person or by proxy.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE NOMINEES TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

The board of directors' categorical standards of director independence are consistent with NASDAQ Stock Market (NASDAQ) listing standards and are available in the company's corporate governance principles on our corporate website at www.adp.com under Investor Relations in the Corporate Governance section. The board of directors has determined that Ms. Alemany, Ms. Gooden, and Messrs. Brun, Clark, Fast, Gregoire, Hubbard, and Jones meet these standards and are independent directors for purposes of the NASDAQ listing standards. All current members of the audit, compensation and nominating/ corporate governance committees are independent. Mr. Brun, our independent non-executive chairman of the board until our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, is not a member of any of these board committees.

It is our policy that our directors attend the Annual Meetings of Stockholders. Eight directors attended our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

During fiscal year 2015, our board of directors held five meetings. All of our incumbent directors attended at least 75%, in the aggregate, of the meetings of the board of directors and the committees of which they were members during the periods that they served on our board of directors during fiscal year 2015.

Executive sessions of the non-management directors are held during each board of directors and committee meeting. Mr. Brun, our independent non-executive chairman of the board, presided at each executive session of the board of directors, and Mr. Jones, who will be our independent non-executive chairman of the board following our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, will preside at each executive session of the board of directors.

Board Leadership Structure

Our Corporate Governance Principles do not require the separation of the roles of chairman of the board and chief executive officer because the board believes that effective board leadership can depend on the skills and experience of, and personal interaction between, people in leadership roles. Our board of directors is currently led by Mr. Brun, our independent non-executive chairman of the board, and, following our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, will be led by Mr. Jones, who will become our independent non-executive chairman following the meeting. Mr. Rodriguez,

our chief executive officer, serves as a member of the board of directors. The board of directors believes this leadership structure is in the best interests of the company's stockholders at this time. Separating these positions allows our chief executive officer to focus on developing and implementing the company's business plans and supervising the company's day-to-day business operations, and allows our chairman of the board to lead the board of directors in its oversight, advisory, and risk management roles.

Director Nomination Process

When the board of directors decides to recruit a new member, or when the board of directors considers any director candidates submitted for consideration by our stockholders, it seeks strong candidates who, ideally, meet all of its categorical standards of director independence, and who are, preferably, senior executives of large companies who have backgrounds directly related to our technologies, markets and/or clients. Additionally, candidates should possess the following personal characteristics: (i) business community respect for his or her integrity, ethics, principles, insights and analytical ability; and (ii) ability and initiative to frame

insightful questions, speak out and challenge questionable assumptions and disagree without being disagreeable. The nominating/corporate governance committee will not consider candidates who lack the foregoing personal characteristics. In addition, the nominating/corporate governance committee considers a wide range of other factors in determining the composition of

our board of directors, including age, diversity of background, diversity of thought, and other individual qualities such as professional experience, skills, education, and training.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Nominations of candidates for our board of directors by our stockholders for consideration at our 2015 Annual Stockholder Meeting are subject to the deadlines and other

requirements described under **Stockholder Proposals** on page 64 of this proxy statement.

Retirement Policy

Each director will automatically retire from the board of directors at the company's Annual Meeting of Stockholders following the date he or she turns 72. Management directors

who are no longer officers of the company are required to offer to resign from the board of directors.

Committees of the Board of Directors

The table below provides membership and meeting information for each of the committees of the board of directors.

Name	Committee Memberships			
	AC	CC	NCGC	CDTAC
Ellen R. Alemany			X	X
Peter Bisson				X
Richard T. Clark	F	C		
Eric C. Fast	C, F			X
Linda R. Gooden	F			C
Michael P. Gregoire			X	X
R. Glenn Hubbard	F	X		
John P. Jones		X	C	
Number of meetings held in fiscal year 2015	7	7	3	2

AC - Audit Committee

CC - Compensation Committee

NCGC - Nominating / Corporate Governance Committee

CDTAC - Corporate Development and Technology
Advisory Committee

F - Financial Expert

C - Committee Chair

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Audit Committee

The audit committee's principal functions are to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to:

our systems of internal controls regarding finance, accounting, legal compliance, and ethical behavior;

our auditing, accounting and financial reporting processes generally;

our financial statements and other financial information that we provide to our stockholders, the public and others;

our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;

the appointment, compensation, retention and performance of our independent auditors and the selection of the lead audit partner; and

the performance of our corporate audit department.

The audit committee acts under a written charter, which is available online on our corporate website at www.adp.com under Investor Relations in the Corporate Governance section. The members of the audit committee satisfy the independence requirements of NASDAQ listing standards.

Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

The principal functions of the nominating/corporate governance committee are to:

identify individuals qualified to become members of the board of directors and recommend a slate of nominees to the board of directors annually;

ensure that the audit, compensation and nominating/corporate governance committees of the board of directors have the benefit of qualified and experienced independent directors;

review and reassess annually the adequacy of the board of directors corporate governance principles and recommend changes as appropriate;

oversee the evaluation of the board of directors and management and recommend to the board of directors senior managers to be elected as new corporate vice presidents of the company; and

review our policies and programs that relate to matters of corporate citizenship.

Eric C. Fast
Committee Chair

**Other
committee members:**
Richard T. Clark
Linda R. Gooden
R. Glenn Hubbard

John P. Jones
Committee Chair

**Other
committee members:**
Ellen R. Alemany
Michael P. Gregoire

The nominating/corporate governance committee acts under a written charter, which is available online on our corporate website at www.adp.com under Investor Relations in the Corporate Governance section. The members of the nominating/corporate governance committee satisfy the independence requirements of NASDAQ listing standards.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

Compensation Committee

The compensation committee sets and administers our executive compensation program. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis on page 22 of this proxy statement.

The compensation committee is authorized to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist the committee. For fiscal year 2015, the compensation committee sought advice from Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., an independent compensation consulting firm specializing in executive and director compensation. For further information about Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc.'s services to the compensation committee, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis under Compensation Consultant on page 27 of this proxy statement.

Richard T. Clark
Committee Chair

**Other
committee members:**

R. Glenn Hubbard
John P. Jones

The compensation committee acts under a written charter, which is available online on our corporate website at www.adp.com under Investor Relations in the Corporate Governance section. The members of the compensation committee satisfy the independence requirements of NASDAQ listing standards. In addition, each member of the compensation committee is a Non-Employee Director as defined in Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and an outside director as defined in the regulations under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The compensation committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees when appropriate, provided that the subcommittees are composed entirely of directors who satisfy the applicable independence requirements of NASDAQ.

Corporate Development and Technology Advisory Committee

Linda R. Gooden
Committee Chair

**Other
committee members:**

Ellen R. Alemany
Peter Bisson
Eric C. Fast
Michael P. Gregoire

The corporate development and technology advisory committee's principal functions are to act in an advisory capacity to the Board and management concerning potential acquisitions, strategic investments, divestitures and matters of technology and innovation.

The corporate development and technology advisory committee acts under a written charter, which is available online on our corporate website at www.adp.com under Investor Relations in the Corporate Governance section.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight

Our board of directors provides oversight with respect to the company's enterprise risk assessment and risk management activities, which are designed to identify, prioritize, assess, monitor and mitigate the various risks confronting the company, including risks that are related to the achievement of the company's operational and financial strategy. The board of directors performs this oversight function periodically as part of its meetings and also through its committees, each of which examines various components of enterprise risk as part of its assigned responsibilities. Management is responsible for implementing and supervising day-to-day risk management processes and reporting to the board of directors and its committees as necessary.

Our audit committee focuses on financial risks, including reviewing with management, the company's internal auditors, and the company's independent auditors the company's major financial risk exposures, the adequacy and effectiveness of accounting and financial controls, and the steps management has taken to monitor and control financial risk exposures. In addition, our audit committee reviews risks related to compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and ethical standards, and also operational risks related to information security and system disruption. Our audit committee regularly receives, reviews and discusses with management presentations and analyses on various risks confronting the company.

Our nominating/corporate governance committee oversees risks associated with board structure and other corporate governance policies and practices, including review and approval of any related-party transactions under our Related Persons Transaction Policy. Our compensation committee oversees risks related to compensation matters. Our committees report on risk oversight matters directly to the board of directors on a regular basis.

Our compensation committee considered the risks presented by the company's compensation policies and practices at its meetings in August 2014 and 2015 and believes that our policies and practices of compensating employees do not encourage excessive or unnecessary risk-taking for the following reasons:

- Our incentive plans have diverse performance measures, including company and business unit financial measures, operational measures, and individual goals;
- Our compensation programs balance annual and long-term incentive opportunities;
- We cap incentive plan payouts within a reasonable range;
- The mix of performance-based equity awards and stock options in our long-term incentive programs serves the best interests of stockholders and the company;
- Our stock ownership guidelines link the interests of our executive officers to those of our stockholders; and
- Our clawback policy allows for the recovery of both cash and equity incentive compensation from any current or former executive who engages in any activity that is in conflict with or adverse to the company's interests, including fraud or conduct contributing to any financial restatements or irregularities.

Communications with All Interested Parties

All interested parties who wish to communicate with the board of directors, the audit committee, or the non-management directors, individually or as a group, may do so by sending a detailed letter to P.O. Box 34, Roseland, New Jersey 07068, leaving a message for a return call at 973-974-5770 or sending an email to

adp_audit_committee@adp.com. We will relay any such communication to the non-management director to which such communication is addressed, if applicable, or to the most appropriate committee chairperson, the chairman of the board, or the full board of directors, unless, in any case, it is outside the scope of matters

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance

considered by the board of directors or duplicative of other communications previously forwarded to the board of directors. Communications to the board of directors, the non-management directors, or to any individual director

that relate to the company's accounting, internal accounting controls, or auditing matters are referred to the chairperson of the audit committee.

Transactions with Related Persons

We have a written Related Persons Transaction Policy pursuant to which any transaction between the company and a related person in which such related person has a direct or indirect material interest, and where the amount involved exceeds \$120,000, must be submitted to our nominating/corporate governance committee for review, approval, or ratification.

A related person means a director, executive officer or beneficial holder of more than 5% of the company's outstanding common stock, or any immediate family member of the foregoing, as well as any entity at which any such person is employed, is a partner or principal (or holds a similar position), or is a beneficial owner of a 10% or greater direct or indirect equity interest. Our directors and executive officers must inform our general counsel at the earliest practicable time of any plan to engage in a potential related person transaction.

This policy requires our nominating/corporate governance committee to be provided with full information concerning the proposed transaction, including the benefits to the company and the related person, any alternative means by which to obtain like benefits, and terms that would prevail in a similar transaction with an unaffiliated third party. In considering whether to approve any such transaction, the nominating/corporate governance committee will consider all relevant factors, including the nature of the interest of the related person in the transaction and whether the transaction may involve a conflict of interest.

Specific types of transactions are excluded from the policy, such as, for example, transactions in which the related person's interest derives solely from his or her service as a director of another entity that is a party to the transaction.

Availability of Corporate Governance Documents

Our Corporate Governance Principles and Related Persons Transaction Policy may be viewed online on the company's website at www.adp.com under Investor Relations in the Corporate Governance section. Our Code of Business Conduct & Ethics and Code of Ethics for Principal Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers may be

found at www.adp.com under Investor Relations in the Corporate Governance section. In addition, these documents are available in print to any stockholder who requests them by writing to Investor Relations at the company's headquarters.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Messrs., Clark, Hubbard and Jones are the three independent directors who sit on the compensation committee. No compensation committee member has ever been an officer of the company. During fiscal year 2015 and as of the date of this proxy statement, no

Edgar Filing: AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING INC - Form DEF 14A

compensation committee member has been an employee of the company or eligible to participate in our employee compensation programs or plans, other than the company's

2008 Omnibus Award Plan under which non-employee directors have received stock option grants and deferred stock units. None of the executive officers of the company have served on the compensation committee or on the board of directors of any entity that employed any of the compensation committee members or directors of the company.

Table of Contents

Compensation of Non-Employee Directors

The annual retainer for non-employee directors, other than Mr. Brun, the chairman of our board of directors, is \$230,000, \$135,000 of which is paid in the form of deferred stock units and \$95,000 of which may, at the election of each director, be paid in cash or in deferred stock units. The chairman of our board of directors receives an annual retainer of \$395,000, \$235,000 of which is paid in the form of deferred stock units and \$160,000 of which may, at the election of the chairman of our board of directors, be paid in cash or in deferred stock units. The chairperson of each of the audit committee and the compensation committee was paid an additional annual retainer of \$15,000, and the chairperson of each of the nominating/corporate governance committee and the corporate development and technology advisory committee was paid an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. Meeting fees are not paid in respect of the first seven meetings of the board of directors or of any individual committee. Non-employee directors receive \$2,000 for each board of directors meeting attended and \$1,500 for each committee meeting attended beginning with the eighth meeting of the board of directors or any individual committee, as applicable. Meeting fees and the additional annual retainer may, at the election of each director, be paid in cash, deferred, or paid in deferred stock units.

Effective at the time of the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the annual retainer for non-employee directors will be increased to \$260,000, \$155,000 of which will be paid in the form of deferred stock units and \$105,000 of which may, at the election of each director, be paid in cash or in deferred stock units. The annual retainer for the chairman of our board of directors will also be restructured to include an incremental retainer of \$165,000, \$82,500 of which will be paid in the form of deferred stock units and \$82,500 of which may, at the election of the chairman, be paid in cash or in deferred stock units. The addition of the incremental retainer will result in a total annual retainer of \$425,000 for the chairman of our board of directors. The annual retainer for the chairperson of the audit committee will also be increased by \$5,000 to \$20,000.

With the exception of Mr. Brun, all of our non-employee directors chose to receive the entire elective portion of their annual retainers in the form of deferred stock units. For his service during fiscal year 2015, Mr. Brun elected to receive the \$160,000 portion of his annual retainer in the form of cash. Under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan, a director may

specify whether, upon separation from the board, he or she would like to receive the deferred cash amounts in such director's deferred account in a lump sum payment or in a series of substantially equal annual payments over a period ranging from two to ten years.

Pursuant to our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan, each non-employee director is credited with an annual grant of deferred stock units on the date established by the board for the payment of the annual retainer equal in number to the quotient of \$135,000, or \$235,000 in the case of the chairman of the board of directors, divided by the closing price of a share of our common stock on the date this amount is credited. Deferred stock units are fully vested when credited to a director's account. When a dividend is paid on our common stock, each director's account is credited with an amount equal to the cash dividend. When a director ceases to serve on our board, such director will receive a number of shares of common stock equal to the number of deferred stock units in such director's account and a cash payment equal to the dividend payments accrued, plus interest on the dividend equivalents from the date such dividend equivalents were credited. The interest will be paid with respect to each twelve-month period beginning on November 1 of such period to the date of payment and will be equal to the rate for five-year U.S. Treasury Notes published in The Wall Street Journal® on the first business day of November of each such twelve-month period plus 0.50%. Non-employee directors do not have any voting rights with respect to their deferred stock units.

Non-employee directors no longer receive annual stock option grants. Prior to our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, upon initial election to the board of directors, a non-employee director received a grant of options to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock if such director attended a regularly scheduled board of directors meeting prior to the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Thereafter, a non-employee director received an annual grant of options to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock. All such options were granted under the 2008 Omnibus Award Plan, have a term of ten years, and were granted at the fair market value of the common stock as determined by the closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Select Market on the date of the grant.

Options granted to our non-employee directors under the 2008 Omnibus Award Plan are exercisable in four equal installments, with the first twenty-five percent becoming

Table of Contents**Compensation of Non-Employee Directors**

exercisable on the first anniversary of the option's grant date, and the remaining three installments becoming exercisable on each successive anniversary date thereafter. The options vest only while a director is serving in such capacity, unless certain specified events occur, such as death or permanent disability, in which case the options immediately vest and become fully exercisable. In addition, non-employee directors who have been non-employee directors for at least ten years will have all of their options

vested upon retirement from the board of directors and will have 36 months to exercise their options. Non-employee directors who have served as non-employee directors for fewer than ten years at the time they retire or otherwise leave the board will not qualify for accelerated vesting, but will have 60 days to exercise their then-vested options. Notwithstanding the foregoing, all options will expire no more than ten years from their date of grant.

Our share ownership guidelines are intended to promote ownership in the company's stock by our non-employee directors and to align their financial interests more closely with those of other stockholders of the company. Each non-employee director has a minimum shareholding requirement of our common stock equal to five times his or her annual cash retainer. The following table shows compensation for our non-employee directors for fiscal year 2015.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

Name (a)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash ⁽⁸⁾ (\$) (b)	Stock Awards ⁽⁹⁾ (\$) (c)	All Other Compensation ⁽¹⁰⁾ (\$) (g)	Total (\$) (h)
Ellen Alemany	\$95,000	\$135,000	\$13,600	\$243,600
Gregory D. Brenneman ⁽¹⁾	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Leslie A. Brun ⁽²⁾	\$160,000	\$235,000	\$15,000	\$410,000
Richard T. Clark ⁽³⁾	\$110,000	\$135,000	\$20,000	\$265,000
Eric C. Fast ⁽⁴⁾	\$110,000	\$135,000	\$20,000	\$265,000
Linda R. Gooden ⁽⁵⁾	\$105,000	\$135,000	\$0	\$240,000
Michael P. Gregoire	\$95,000	\$135,000	\$0	\$230,000
R. Glenn Hubbard	\$95,000	\$135,000	\$20,000	\$250,000
John P. Jones ⁽⁶⁾	\$105,000	\$135,000	\$0	\$240,000
Gregory L. Summe ⁽⁷⁾	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Footnotes:

(1) Mr. Brenneman resigned on August 5, 2014.

(2) Mr. Brun is the non-executive chairman of the board of directors. Mr. Brun will resign as non-executive chairman of the board of directors effective the date of our 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, following which meeting Mr. Jones will serve as the non-executive chairman of the board of directors.

(3) As chair of the compensation committee, Mr. Clark received a \$15,000 annual retainer, which is included in fees earned.

(4) As chair of the audit committee, Mr. Fast received a \$15,000 annual retainer, which is included in fees earned.

(5) As chair of the corporate development and technology advisory committee, Ms. Gooden received a \$10,000 annual retainer, which is included in fees earned.

(6)

As chair of the nominating/corporate governance committee, Mr. Jones received a \$10,000 annual retainer, which is included in fees earned.

Table of Contents

Compensation of Non-Employee Directors

- (7) *Mr. Summe resigned on August 5, 2014.*
- (8) *Represents the following, whether received as cash, deferred or received as deferred stock units: (i) the elective portion of directors' annual retainer, (ii) annual retainers for committee chairpersons and (iii) board and committee attendance fees. See footnote 9 below for additional information about deferred stock units held by directors.*
- (9) *Represents the portion of the annual retainer required to be credited in deferred stock units to a director's annual retainer account. Amounts set forth in the Stock Awards column represent the aggregate grant date fair value for fiscal year 2015 as computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (FASB ASC Topic 718), disregarding estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For additional information about the assumptions used in these calculations, see Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.*

The grant date fair value for each deferred stock unit award granted to directors in fiscal year 2015 (including in respect of elective deferrals of amounts otherwise payable in cash), calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, is as follows:

Director	Grant Date	Grant Date Fair Value
Ellen Alemany	11/11/2014	\$230,000
Leslie A. Brun	11/11/2014	\$235,000
Richard T. Clark	11/11/2014	\$245,000
Eric C. Fast	11/11/2014	\$245,000
Linda R. Gooden	11/11/2014	\$240,000
Michael P. Gregoire	11/11/2014	\$230,000
R. Glenn Hubbard	11/11/2014	\$230,000
John P. Jones	11/11/2014	\$240,000

The aggregate number of outstanding deferred stock units held by each director at June 30, 2015 is as follows: Ms. Alemany, 13,808; Mr. Brun, 53,383; Mr. Clark, 15,529; Mr. Fast, 29,338; Ms. Gooden, 23,808; Mr. Gregoire, 5,336; Mr. Hubbard, 34,487; Mr. Jones, 34,044.

In fiscal year 2015, no stock option awards were granted. The aggregate number of outstanding stock options held by each director at June 30, 2015 is as follows: Ms. Gooden, 4,266; Mr. Jones, 29,548.

- (10) *(Reflects contributions by the ADP Foundation that match the charitable gifts made by our directors. The ADP foundation makes matching charitable contributions in an amount not to exceed \$20,000 in a calendar year in respect of any given director's charitable contributions for that calendar year.*

Table of Contents**Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management**

The following table contains information regarding the beneficial ownership of the company's common stock by (i) each director and nominee for director of the company, (ii) each of our named executive officers included in the Summary Compensation Table below (we refer to such executive officers as "named executive officers"), (iii) all company directors and executive officers as a group (including the named executive officers) and (iv) all stockholders that are known to the company to be the

beneficial owners of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of the company's common stock. Unless otherwise noted in the footnotes following the table, each person listed below has sole voting and investment power over the shares of common stock reflected in the table. Unless otherwise noted in the footnotes following the table, the information in the table is as of August 31, 2015 and the address of each person named is P.O. Box 34, Roseland, New Jersey, 07068.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾	Percent
Ellen Alemany	13,808	*
Mark D. Benjamin	84,373	*
Peter Bisson	717	*
Michael A. Bonarti	67,099	*
Leslie A. Brun	55,383	*
Richard T. Clark	15,529	*
Eric C. Fast	29,338	*
Edward B. Flynn	75,020	*
Linda R. Gooden	30,149	*
Michael P. Gregoire	5,336	*
R. Glenn Hubbard	35,487	*
John P. Jones	63,592	*
Carlos A. Rodriguez	162,014	*
Jan Siegmund	122,118	*
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽²⁾	27,401,313	5.9%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽³⁾	30,105,523	6.5%
Directors and executive officers as a group 24 persons, including those directors and executive officers named above	1,050,669	*

Footnotes:

* Indicates less than one percent.

(1) Includes: (i) 222,056 shares that may be acquired upon the exercise of stock options that are exercisable on or prior to October 31, 2015 held by the following directors and executive officers: Ms. Gooden (4,266), Mr. Jones (29,548), Mr. Benjamin (41,237), Mr. Bonarti (24,742), Mr. Flynn (27,586), Mr. Rodriguez (33,532), and Mr. Siegmund (61,145); and (ii) 363,905 shares subject to stock options held by the directors and executive officers as a group. Includes shares issuable upon settlement of deferred stock units held by non-employee directors as follows: Ms. Alemany (13,808), Mr. Bisson (717), Mr. Brun (53,383), Mr. Clark (15,529), Mr. Fast (29,338), Ms. Gooden (23,808), Mr. Gregoire (5,336), Mr. Hubbard (34,487), and Mr. Jones (34,044).

(2) Information is furnished in reliance on the Form 13G of BlackRock, Inc. ("BlackRock") filed on February 2, 2015. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055. BlackRock has sole investment power over 27,401,313 shares. BlackRock has sole voting authority over 23,206,374 shares and no voting authority over 4,194,939.

(3) Information is furnished in reliance on the Form 13G of The Vanguard Group, Inc. ("Vanguard") filed on February 10, 2015. The address of The Vanguard Group, Inc. is P.O. Box 2600, V26, Valley Forge, PA 19482-2600. Vanguard shares investment power over 654,741 shares with Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company and also shares investment power over 308,755 shares with Vanguard Investments Australia Ltd. Vanguard has sole investment power over 29,322,751 shares. Vanguard has sole voting authority over 835,465 shares and no voting authority over 29,270,058 shares.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table sets forth information as of June 30, 2015, regarding compensation plans under which the company's equity securities are authorized for issuance. The amounts listed in this table reflect all adjustments made to outstanding awards in connection with the spin-off of our former Dealer Services business.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in Column(a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	7,213,787 ⁽¹⁾	\$55.23	26,578,154 ⁽²⁾
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	0	\$	0
Total	7,213,787	\$55.23	26,578,154

Footnotes:

- (1) Includes (i) 1,001,188 shares issuable under our performance stock unit (PSU) program in settlement of PSUs outstanding as of June 30, 2015 (based on actual performance and accrued dividend equivalents for performance periods ending on or prior to June 30, 2015, and assuming maximum performance for performance periods not yet completed), (ii) 80,170 shares issuable pursuant to deferred restricted stock units issued prior to June 30, 2015 and (iii) 210,453 shares issuable upon settlement of deferred stock units held by our directors as of June 30, 2015. The remaining balance consists of outstanding stock options. Weighted average exercise price shown in column (b) of this table does not take into account PSUs or deferred stock units.
- (2) Includes 23,274,288 shares available for future issuance under the 2008 Omnibus Award Plan and 3,303,866 shares of common stock remaining available for future issuance under the Employees Savings-Stock Purchase Plan, each as of June 30, 2015. Approximately 279,195 shares of common stock were subject to purchase as of June 30, 2015, under the Employees Savings-Stock Purchase Plan.

Table of Contents

Proposal 2

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

We are asking stockholders to approve the following advisory resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the company's named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables and the related narrative disclosure in the company's proxy statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The board of directors recommends a vote FOR this resolution because it believes that the policies and practices described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis are effective in achieving the company's goals of linking pay to

performance and levels of responsibility, encouraging our executive officers to remain focused on both short-term and long-term operational and financial goals of the company and linking executive performance to stockholder value.

We urge stockholders to read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section appearing on pages 22 through 36 of this proxy statement, as well as the Summary Compensation Table For Fiscal Year 2015 and related compensation tables and narrative appearing on pages 37 through 59 of this proxy statement, which provide detailed information on the company's compensation policies and practices and the compensation of our named executive officers.

Stockholder Approval Required

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote thereon at the meeting of stockholders is required to approve the advisory resolution on named executive compensation. Because the vote on this proposal is advisory in nature, it will not affect any compensation already paid or awarded to any named executive officer and will not be binding on or overrule any decisions by the compensation committee or the board of directors. Because we value our

stockholders' views, however, the compensation committee and the board of directors will consider the results of this advisory vote when formulating future executive compensation policy.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT THE STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE ADVISORY RESOLUTION ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The following Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, section of this proxy statement discusses the material elements of our fiscal year 2015 executive compensation programs for the following persons, who are our named executive officers, or NEOs :

Carlos A. Rodriguez, our Chief Executive Officer;
Jan Siegmund, our Chief Financial Officer;
Mark D. Benjamin, our Division President, Global Enterprise Services;
Edward B. Flynn, our Executive Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing; and
Michael A. Bonarti, our General Counsel and Corporate Secretary.

The CD&A also provides an overview of our executive compensation philosophy and explains how the compensation committee of our board of directors arrives at specific compensation decisions involving the NEOs. In addition, the CD&A explains how our executive compensation programs are designed and operate with respect to our NEOs by discussing the following fundamental aspects of our compensation programs:

compensation principles;
cash compensation;
long-term incentive compensation; and
other compensation components and considerations (including retirement benefits and deferred compensation).

Executive Summary

Strong Stockholder Support for our Compensation Programs

The compensation committee continuously evaluates the degree to which our compensation programs link pay to performance, and takes steps to ensure that the program encourages our executive officers to remain focused on both the short-term and long-term operational and financial goals of the company.

At our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our stockholders approved the compensation of our fiscal year 2014 NEOs by a vote of approximately 96% in favor. Given this strong support and the company's continued strong performance, the compensation committee retained the basic foundation of our overall compensation program during fiscal year 2015, but made certain changes to ensure that the program continued to support our key human resource, financial and strategic objectives.

Fiscal Year 2015 Business Highlights

During fiscal year 2015, we more narrowly focused our attention on our global HCM strategy, and our results continue to reflect our success in the market. This increased focus is evidenced by the spin-off of our former Dealer Services business on September 30, 2014, and our investments in product innovation and our salesforce. Our key business metrics in fiscal year 2015 continued to reflect the core strength of our underlying business model, which consists of a high percentage of recurring revenues, strong client retention, good margins, and the ability to generate consistent, healthy cash flows, with low capital requirements.

Our financial performance impacted the compensation of our executive officers in several ways, most notably our annual cash bonus plan and performance stock unit (PSU) program. The compensation committee's determination

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

of incentive compensation under our cash bonus program for all of our executive officers, including our named executive officers, was based on fiscal year 2015 revenue growth of 8.7% compared to a target of 8.0%, excluding the effect of foreign exchange rate movement, operating income growth of 10.4% compared to a target of 12.0%,

excluding the impact of certain non-recurring items related to the Dealer Services spin-off, and sales growth of 12.7% compared to a target of 8.0%. The incentive compensation under our PSU program was based on fiscal year 2015 earnings per share growth of 12.5% compared to a target of 11.3%.

Elements of Compensation

The compensation committee of our board of directors determines the compensation of our chief executive officer and all other executive officers. When making decisions related to officers, including the NEOs (other than our chief executive officer), the committee considers recommendations from the chief executive officer. The following table summarizes the major elements of our fiscal year 2015 executive officer compensation programs.

Compensation Element	Objectives	Key Characteristics
Base Salary	To provide a fixed amount for performing the duties and responsibilities of the position	Determined based on overall performance, level of responsibility, pay grade, competitive compensation data and comparison to other company executives
Annual Cash Bonus	To motivate executive officers to achieve company-wide, business unit and individual performance goals	Payment based on achievement of company-wide, business unit and individual performance goals
Performance-Based Stock Awards	To motivate executive officers to achieve certain longer-term goals and create long-term alignment with stockholders	Awards based on target growth in earnings per share, with earned shares issued following applicable performance and vesting periods
Stock Options	To align the interests of executive officers with long-term stockholders' interests and ensure that realized compensation occurs only when there is a corresponding increase in stockholder value	Granted annually based on pay grades and individual performance and vesting over four years
Time-Based Restricted Stock Awards	To attract and retain executive officers	Awarded occasionally at the discretion of the compensation committee, mostly to attract new talent and for long-term retention of critical executives as part of management succession planning

For fiscal year 2015, our named executive officers received cash bonuses that averaged approximately 123% of target. As a result of our one-year earnings per share growth for fiscal year 2015, our executive officers achieved a payout percentage of 120% under our PSU program. This percentage payout applied to year 1 of the fiscal year 2015 award, which will be earned and issued at the end of the corresponding three-year performance period ending in fiscal year 2017 and to year 2 of the fiscal year 2014 award, which will be earned and issued at the end of the corresponding three-year performance period

ending in fiscal year 2016. As described on page 32 of this proxy statement under Performance-Based Stock Awards, the payout percentages achieved for each of the individual three fiscal years in the performance period will be averaged to obtain the award level earned and issued as a percentage of target.

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

The tables below illustrate the alignment between company performance and the incentive compensation paid to Mr. Rodriguez for fiscal year 2015:

The following is a summary of fiscal year 2015 total direct compensation for our named executive officers:

Name	Base Salary	Annual Bonus	PSUs⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Stock Options⁽¹⁾	Restricted Stock⁽¹⁾	Total
Mr. Rodriguez	\$1,000,000	\$1,971,200	\$1,977,567	\$2,079,992	\$0	\$7,028,759
Mr. Siegmund	\$590,000	\$581,500	\$638,080	\$389,990	\$999,909	\$3,199,479
Mr. Benjamin	\$450,000	\$435,600	\$395,268	\$267,796	\$999,909	\$2,548,573
Mr. Flynn	\$475,000	\$472,200	\$372,532	\$209,996	\$1,499,941	\$3,029,669
Mr. Bonarti	\$480,000	\$414,000	\$372,532	\$209,996	\$749,990	\$2,226,518

Footnotes:

1 Equity amounts are the grant date fair values for the fiscal year 2015 equity awards, which are the same amounts disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2015 on page 37 of this proxy statement.

2 The amounts for the performance stock unit awards represent the grant date fair value of one-third of each of the fiscal year 2014 and fiscal year 2015 target awards. In accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, only the grant date fair value for the performance year in which performance targets are set is reported.

Good Governance and Best Practices

We are committed to ensuring that our compensation programs reflect principles of good governance. The following practices are key aspects of our programs:

Pay for performance: We design our compensation programs to link pay to performance and levels of responsibility, to encourage our executive officers to remain focused on both the short-term and long-term operational and financial goals of the company and to link executive performance to stockholder value.

Annual say-on-pay vote: Consistent with our stockholders' advisory vote at our November 2011 stockholder meeting, we hold an advisory say-on-pay vote to approve our named executive officer compensation on an annual basis.

Clawback policy: ADP's Clawback Policy allows for the recovery of both cash and equity incentive compensation from any current or former executive who engages in any activity that is in conflict with or adverse to ADP's interests, including fraud or conduct contributing to any financial restatements or irregularities.

Stock ownership guidelines: We maintain stock ownership guidelines to encourage equity ownership by our executive officers. Mr. Rodriguez's stock ownership guideline is six times his base salary. The other named executive officers have a stock ownership guideline of three times base salary. Executive officers whose ownership levels are below target ownership levels are required to retain as shares of common stock at least

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

75% of post-tax net gains on stock option exercises, and 75% of shares (net of taxes) received upon vesting of restricted stock.

Double trigger change in control payments: Our Change in Control Severance Plan for Corporate Officers is based on a double trigger, such that payments of cash and vesting of equity awards occur only if termination of employment without cause or with good reason occurs during the two-year period after a change in control.

Limited perquisites: We provide limited, reasonable perquisites that are viewed as consistent with our overall compensation philosophy.

Independence of our compensation committee and advisor: The compensation committee of our board of directors, which is comprised solely of independent directors, utilizes the services of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (Cook & Co.) as an independent compensation consultant. Cook & Co. reports to the compensation committee, does not perform any other services for the company other than in connection with an annual review of competitive director compensation for the nominating/corporate governance committee of our board of directors, and has no economic or other ties to the company or the management team that could compromise their independence and objectivity.

As part of our commitment to principles of good governance, we do not engage in the following practices:

No-hedging policy: We prohibit our directors and executive officers from engaging in any hedging or similar transactions involving ADP securities.

No-pledging policy: We prohibit our directors and executive officers from holding ADP securities in a margin account or pledging ADP securities as collateral for a loan.

No repricing of underwater stock options without stockholder approval: We may not lower the exercise price of any outstanding stock options or otherwise provide economic value to the holders of underwater stock options in exchange for the forfeiture of such awards without shareholder approval.

No discount stock options: The exercise price of our stock options is not less than 100% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant.

No IRC Section 280G or 409A tax gross-ups: We do not provide tax gross-ups under our change in control provisions or deferred compensation programs.

No current dividends on unearned performance stock units: We do not pay dividends in respect of unearned performance stock units; rather, dividend equivalents are accrued over the applicable performance period and are paid only if the units are earned and shares issued at the end of the performance period.

Looking Forward

In fiscal year 2016, we will introduce a customized peer group for use in compensation analyses of compensation levels and practices. With the spin-off of our former Dealer Services business in fiscal year 2015, and as ADP focuses on becoming a leading global provider of Human Capital Management solutions, we believe that it is important to have a customized peer group to benchmark our executive officers' pay levels and our financial performance in connection with pay-for-performance evaluations, as well as our practices concerning equity compensation and other executive compensation programs. The customized peer group was developed with assistance from Cook & Co. based upon the following criteria: comparable business model, company size, executive talent sources, competition for investor capital, companies considered to be our peers by investors and overall reasonableness. The companies included in our 20-company peer group that will be introduced for fiscal year 2016 are as follows:

Accenture plc
Aon plc
CA, Inc.
CGI Group
Cognizant Technology Solutions Corp.

Computer Sciences Corp.
Discover Financial Services
eBay Inc.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.
Fiserv, Inc.
Intuit Inc.
Leidos Holdings, Inc.
MasterCard Incorporated
Omnicom Group Inc.
Paychex, Inc.
Qualcomm Incorporated
TE Connectivity Ltd.
Visa Inc.
The Western Union Company
Xerox Corporation

Compensation Principles

We believe that compensation should be designed to create a direct link between performance and stockholder value. Five principles that guide our decisions involving executive compensation are that compensation should be:

based on (i) the overall performance of the company, (ii) the performance of each executive's business unit and (iii) each executive's individual performance;
closely aligned with the short-term and long-term financial and strategic objectives that build sustainable long-term stockholder value;
competitive, in order to attract and retain executives critical to our long-term success;
consistent with high standards of corporate governance and best practices; and
designed to dampen the incentive for executives to take excessive risks or to behave in ways that are inconsistent with the company's strategic planning processes and high ethical standards.

Our compensation programs are designed so that target pay reflects relative levels of responsibility among our key executives, and such that the proportion of pay tied to operating performance and changes in shareholder value varies directly with the level of responsibility and accountability to shareholders. We assign all executives to pay grades by comparing their position-specific duties and responsibilities with market data and our internal management structure. Each pay grade has ranges for base salaries, total annual cash compensation and annual equity grants. Executives are positioned within these ranges based on a variety of factors, most notably their experience and skill set and their performance over time.

We design our performance-based compensation so that actual, realized compensation will vary relative to the target award opportunity based on performance. As such, actual compensation amounts may vary above or below targeted levels depending on the overall performance of the company, performance of a business unit and achievement of individual performance goals. We have adopted this

compensation design to provide meaningful incentives for our key executives to achieve excellent results. We also believe that it is important for our executive officers to have an ongoing long-term investment in the company as outlined on page 35 of this proxy statement under "Stock Ownership Guidelines."

Growth in revenue and operating income are important performance measures in annual cash bonus determinations, and earnings per share growth is used to determine the number of shares earned in a performance period under our performance-based stock program. These performance criteria were chosen for the variable

incentive plans because they focus our executive officers on the company's long-term strategic goals of increasing the growth and profitability of our business, which are the key drivers of sustainable increases in stockholder value.

The earnings per share measurement we use is diluted earnings per share from continuing operations.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Consistent with our pay for performance philosophy, our named executive officers' compensation is structured with a significant portion of their total compensation at risk. This at-risk portion includes long-term incentive awards, which are paid based on the performance of the company as a whole, and annual cash bonuses, which are paid on the basis of the bonus objectives established by the compensation committee as described below under "Fiscal Year 2015 Target Bonus Objectives".

The mix of total direct compensation (base salary, cash bonus and long-term incentive awards) for fiscal year 2015 was designed to deliver the following approximate proportions of total compensation to Mr. Rodriguez, our chief executive officer, and the other named executive officers (on average) if company and individual target levels of performance are achieved. The target pay mix reflects the PSU target award based on the three-year target opportunity. Mr. Rodriguez's higher portion of at-risk compensation reflects his greater responsibility for overall company performance.

Compensation Consultant

The compensation committee has engaged Cook & Co. to provide assistance with the design of our compensation programs, the development of comparative market-based compensation data for the chief executive officer position and the determination of the chief executive officer's target compensation awards. The specific matters on which Cook & Co. provided advice in fiscal year 2015 were the design of executive compensation programs and practices, including the changes to chief executive officer pay levels, reviewing long-term incentive guidelines, developing and proposing the peer group of 20 companies, and researching and

developing recommendations for an officer severance plan. In June 2014, Cook & Co. delivered to our compensation committee the results of a competitive assessment of compensation for use in determining fiscal year 2015 target compensation for Mr. Rodriguez. Cook & Co. also examined the mix of proposed performance-based stock awards and stock option grants for our named executive officers in fiscal year 2015 and confirmed that the proposals for the named executive officers were reasonable and customary, given the company's size and structure.

As part of its ongoing support to the compensation committee, Cook & Co. also reviews executive compensation disclosures (including this Compensation Discussion and Analysis), reviews and provides comments on changes to the committee's charter, advises on emerging trends and the implications of regulatory and governance developments, and reviews and provides commentary on materials and proposals prepared by management that are presented at the committee's meetings.

The compensation committee determined that the work of Cook & Co. did not raise any conflicts of interest in fiscal year 2015. In making this assessment, the compensation committee considered the independence factors enumerated in Rule 10C-1(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and applicable Nasdaq listing standards, including the fact that Cook & Co. does not provide any other services to the company, the level of fees received from the company as a percentage of Cook & Co.'s total revenue, policies and procedures employed by Cook & Co. to prevent conflicts of interest, and whether the individual Cook & Co. advisers to the compensation committee own any stock of the company or have any business or personal relationships with members of the compensation committee or our executive officers.

Compensation Review and Determination

Our annual pay review focuses on base salary, annual cash bonus and long-term equity incentives. In determining the compensation of our named executive officers, we consider the type of business we are in and the nature of our organization. The compensation committee also considers market data provided by their independent compensation consultant and by management. The compensation committee examines summary compensation sheets detailing the amounts and mix of base salary, cash bonus,

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

and equity grants for each of our named executive officers, which compare the amounts and mix to competitive compensation practices. We generally target base salary, annual cash bonus and long-term equity incentives at the median of competitive compensation levels, but we will set targets above or below the median when warranted in the judgment of the compensation committee. The degree to which target compensation ranges above or below the median competitive rate is primarily based on each executive's skill set and experience relative to market peers. Executives who are new in their roles and therefore less experienced than market peers are typically positioned lower in the range, whereas executives with more experience in their roles may be positioned higher in the range.

We consult different sets of compensation data reflecting the levels and practices of different groups of businesses to determine competitive compensation levels for our chief executive officer and other named executive officers.

Chief Executive Officer. In benchmarking Mr. Rodriguez's compensation, the compensation committee, at its June 2014 meeting, reviewed the market compensation data from all U.S. public companies with annual revenue between \$8 billion and \$14 billion based on reported financials as of April 30, 2014 (103 companies), which we believe is representative of the competitive environment we face with respect to senior executives. Utility companies were excluded because of the regulatory environment in which they operate. Mr. Rodriguez's base salary, target cash compensation, and his target direct compensation were in the range of the 25th percentile of the compensation of the chief executive officers of the comparison companies. The competitive positioning reflected Mr. Rodriguez's early tenure in his position as our chief executive officer, and it is the compensation committee's intention to increase his target compensation towards the median over time as his experience increases, assuming continued strong performance.

Other Named Executive Officers. With respect to the total cash and long-term incentive compensation for Messrs. Siegmund, Benjamin, Flynn and Bonarti, management provided the compensation committee with competitive compensation market data based on compensation surveys

reflecting the pay practices of publicly traded companies. The surveys used were the Towers Watson® U.S. General Industry Executive Database, the Hewitt Associates® Executive Total Compensation by Industry Survey, the Mercer U.S. General Industry Executive Database and the Equilar Inc.® Top 25 Database. The number of companies included in the surveys ranged from 8 to 76. The survey data is general and provides broad ranges. The compensation committee therefore does not review the actual companies or the compensation information of the specific organizations included in each sample, but rather reviews the interquartile range (i.e., median as well as the 25th and 75th percentiles). The companies included for Messrs. Siegmund, Flynn and Bonarti were based on a revenue range such that the median company revenue approximates the annual revenue of ADP. The companies included for Mr. Benjamin were based on a revenue range such that the median company revenue approximates the annual revenue of the business units that he leads.

Differences in Compensation of Our Named Executive Officers

We carefully designed the pay mix for our chief executive officer to be competitive when measured against the pay packages of other chief executive officers as indicated by the compensation study.

We have found that due to the broad responsibilities and the experience required for the chief executive officer position, compensation for chief executive officers in public companies that are similar in size to ours is significantly higher than compensation for their other named executive officers.

When determining the compensation level for each of our executive officers, the compensation committee reviews each individual compensation element based on the previous year's level, as well as how the proposed level of that individual compensation element for each executive officer would compare to the other executive officers. The aggregate level for each executive officer's compensation is then compared against the executive's previous year's totals and against compensation of other executive officers of the company.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Cash Compensation

Base Salary

Base salaries are a fixed amount paid to each executive for performing his or her normal duties and responsibilities. We determine the amount based on the executive's overall

performance, level of responsibility, pay grade, competitive compensation practices data and comparison to other company executives. Based on these criteria, our named executive officers received the following annual salary increases in fiscal year 2015:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal Year 2014 Salary	Increase	Fiscal Year 2015 Salary
Mr. Rodriguez	\$900,000	11.1%	\$1,000,000
Mr. Siegmund	\$550,001	7.3%	\$590,000
Mr. Benjamin	\$400,000	12.5%	\$450,000
Mr. Flynn	\$436,500	20.3%	\$525,000
Mr. Bonarti	\$463,000	3.6%	\$480,000

Salary increases for the named executive officers were made effective July 1, 2014, the first day of the 2015 fiscal year. Our named executive officers' salary increases for fiscal year 2015 reflected a closing of the gap to the median market rate. Mr. Flynn's salary increase consisted of a 3.1% increase effective July 1, 2014, and a subsequent 16.7% increase effective March 1, 2015, which constitutes his aggregate salary increase for both fiscal year 2015 and also fiscal year 2016.

Annual Cash Bonus***Overview***

We paid our named executive officers cash bonuses for fiscal year 2015 based on the attainment of company-wide, business unit and individual performance goals established at the beginning of the fiscal year.

For each executive officer, we establish a target bonus amount, which is initially expressed as a percentage of projected year-end annual base salary. This target bonus percentage ranges from 70% to 160% of base salary for the named executive officers. We also assign a percentage value to each bonus component of each named executive officer's annual cash bonus plan and then determine the target bonus amount linked to each component. We establish these performance ranges to provide our named executive officers with a strong incentive to exceed the targets. The maximum bonus payment for our named executive officers is 200% of the target bonus level. There is no minimum payment level, and no award is payable if threshold performance goals are not achieved.

The compensation committee establishes and approves annual target bonus objectives and award opportunities for each of our named executive officers. In making these determinations, the compensation committee considers a variety of factors including market data, each officer's relative level of responsibility, and the chief executive officer's recommendations for executives other than himself. Our named executive officers participated in the discussions surrounding their bonus objectives so that they could provide input and understand the expectations of each bonus plan component, but they did not participate in the setting of the target award opportunities nor did they participate in the committee's voting or deliberations regarding their individual compensation amounts. Each named executive officer receives a final version of his or her individualized bonus plan after it is approved by the compensation committee. Except in extraordinary circumstances, bonus objectives are not modified during the fiscal year, and no

bonus objectives were modified for fiscal year 2015. Fiscal year 2015 bonus objectives were equitably adjusted as contemplated by the committee at the time these objectives were established to reflect the effects of the spin-off of our former Dealer Services business.

The compensation committee reviews the performance of each of our named executive officers relative to his annual fiscal year bonus plan objectives at its regularly scheduled August meeting, which is the first meeting following the end of our fiscal year. Based on this review, the compensation committee determines and approves the annual cash bonuses for our executive officers.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Named Executive Officers Fiscal Year 2015 Bonuses

Fiscal year 2015 target bonuses for the named executive officers were the same as a percentage of salary as in fiscal year 2014 except for Mr. Flynn. Mr. Flynn's target bonus percentage was increased from 70% to 80% effective March 1, 2015 and his target bonus percentage for fiscal year 2015 was prorated based on eight months at 70% and four

months at 80%. Following the conclusion of fiscal year 2015, the compensation committee considered the performance of the company, the business units and the individual named executive officers for the 2015 fiscal year against the named executive officers' bonus objectives, assessed which of the individual bonus targets were met, exceeded or not fully achieved, and approved cash bonuses as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Target Bonus as % of Base Salary	Target Bonus Amount	Maximum Bonus as % of Target	Actual Bonus Amount	Bonus Amount as % of Target
Mr. Rodriguez	160%	\$1,600,000	200%	\$1,971,200	123.2%
Mr. Siegmund	80%	\$472,000	200%	\$581,500	123.2%
Ms. Benjamin	80%	\$360,000	200%	\$435,600	121.0%
Mr. Flynn	73%	\$383,250	200%	\$472,200	123.2%
Mr. Bonarti	70%	\$336,000	200%	\$414,000	123.2%

Fiscal Year 2015 Target Bonus Objectives

Each objective for our named executive officers was satisfied as set forth below:

Bonus Objectives	Mr. Rodriguez		Mr. Siegmund		Mr. Benjamin		Mr. Flynn		Mr. Bonarti	
	Target Weight	Payout as % of Target	Target Weight	Payout as % of Target	Target Weight	Payout as % of Target	Target Weight	Payout as % of Target	Target Weight	Payout as % of Target
Revenue Growth	20.0%	123.3%	20.0%	123.3%	15.0%	123.3%	20.0%	123.3%	20.0%	123.3%
Operating Income Growth	20.0%	86.7%	20.0%	86.7%	20.0%	86.7%	20.0%	86.7%	20.0%	86.7%
Sales Growth	20.0%	200.0%	20.0%	200.0%	15.0%	200.0%	20.0%	200.0%	20.0%	200.0%
Business Unit Financial Performance					20.0%	121.7%				
Strategic Objectives	40.0%	103.0%	40.0%	103.0%	30.0%	103.0%	40.0%	103.0%	40.0%	103.0%

The bonus objectives were designed to reward achievement of goals that are aligned with the key components of our operational and strategic success, the degree to which the named executive officers have responsibility for overall company performance or individual business unit results, and to provide a set of common objectives that facilitate collaborative engagement. The compensation committee established the following financial goals for Mr. Rodriguez in August 2014, and for our named executive officers in September 2014:

Revenue Growth: 8.0% excluding the effect of foreign exchange rate movement as a target objective, 200% of target was to be awarded for revenue growth of 11.0% or greater, and 0% of target was to be awarded for revenue growth below 3.0%.

Operating Income Growth: 12.0% before taxes excluding one-time events other than acquisitions as a target objective, 200% of target was to be awarded for operating income growth of 16.0% or greater, and 0% of target was to be awarded for operating

income growth below 6.0%.

Sales Growth (ES Worldwide): 8.0% as a target objective, 200% of target was to be awarded for sales growth of 11.0% or greater, and 0% of target was to be awarded for sales growth below 2.0%.

Business Unit Financial Performance: For Mr. Benjamin, successfully achieve net operating income and client retention target results for his business units.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Strategic Objectives:

Increase percentage of new product R&D spend as a percentage of total R&D.

Complete platform migration and rationalizations planned for fiscal year 2015.
Improve market share gains against key competitors.

Continue to evolve the business process improvement program.

Build a talent pipeline, continue to drive improvement in diversity and improve associate engagement scores.

Long-Term Incentive Compensation Programs

We believe that long-term incentive compensation is a significant factor in attracting and retaining key executives and in aligning their interests directly with the interests of our stockholders. For fiscal year 2015, long-term incentives were awarded in the form of performance-based stock and stock option grants. In special situations, we selectively award time-based restricted stock. The compensation committee selected these awards because they ensure that the overall long-term incentive program is closely tied to changes in stockholder value and the degree to which critical operating objectives are attained and support our talent retention objectives.

For all of our named executive officers except our chief executive officer, we target a long-term incentive compensation mix of 70% performance-based stock awards and 30% stock options. For fiscal year 2015, the compensation committee approved a long-term incentive mix for the chief executive officer of 60% performance-based stock awards and 40% stock options. The compensation committee believes that this incentive mix is appropriate for the chief executive officer because of his greater role in driving long-term stockholder value creation.

The compensation committee may also from time to time grant discretionary awards of time-based restricted stock to our executive officers. These awards are for special situations and are not considered in the target allocation of total long-term incentive compensation between performance-based stock awards and stock option grants. In fiscal year 2015, each of our named executive officers except for Mr. Rodriguez received a time-based restricted stock award, which is discussed below under **Time-Based Restricted Stock**.

As part of our annual market analysis of compensation data, we compare our long-term equity incentive grant values with competitive levels. We establish target long-term incentive award values and ranges for each executive level and set the midpoints of such ranges at the market median levels. The compensation committee reviews the target award values and ranges annually to ensure that the resulting awards remain generally consistent with our median compensation philosophy.

Prior to the beginning of each fiscal year, we analyze the target performance stock award and stock option grant levels to confirm that our desired target long-term incentive compensation values are appropriate in the context of the compensation studies referred to under **Compensation Review and Determination** above. When comparing our desired values to these compensation studies, we look at both equity elements in total.

The target long-term incentive mix approved for fiscal year 2015 grants is shown in the following chart:

¹ *Performance-Based Stock reflects the entire PSU target award based on the three-year target opportunity.*

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

At its June 2014 meeting, the compensation committee approved target awards of PSUs for all named executive officers, which were granted in September 2014 and will be earned and issued in fiscal year 2018. At its January 2015 meeting, the compensation committee approved stock

option grants for the named executive officers for fiscal year 2015. The PSU awards (based on the three-year target opportunity) and stock option grants for fiscal year 2015 are summarized in the table below:

Named Executive Officer	Target PSU Award	Stock Options	Total
Mr. Rodriguez	\$3,119,963	\$2,079,962	\$5,199,925
Mr. Siegmund	\$909,961	\$389,990	\$1,299,951
Mr. Benjamin	\$599,974	\$267,796	\$867,770
Mr. Flynn	\$489,921	\$209,996	\$699,917
Mr. Bonarti	\$489,921	\$209,996	\$699,917

Performance-Based Stock Awards

In fiscal year 2014, we introduced a PSU program based on financial objectives that are measured over a three-year performance period consisting of three one-year earnings per share performance goals. We believe the three-year PSU program will further the company's longer term financial goals by tying a substantial portion of the total compensation opportunity to multi-year performance, and better promote talent retention by lengthening the total vesting period. The fiscal year 2015 target award opportunity under the PSU program, which was granted in September 2014, will be earned and issued in September 2017 based upon the achievement of earnings per share performance goals for fiscal years 2015, 2016 and 2017.

For purposes of our performance-based stock awards, earnings per share goals and corresponding target award ranges are typically established and communicated to our executive officers (including the named executive officers) in the first quarter of each respective fiscal year, and for the 2015 performance year were approved by the compensation committee in August 2014. After the conclusion of each fiscal year, the compensation committee confirms the earnings per share results and determines the award achieved for such fiscal year, as a percentage of target, based on these results by using linear interpolation between the lower and upper bounds of the applicable percentage range. Under the PSU program, after the

end of the three-year performance period, the award levels achieved as a percentage of target for each of the individual three fiscal years in the performance period will be averaged to obtain the overall award level earned and issued as a percentage of target. However, notwithstanding the achievement of earnings per share results, if the company's total shareholder return is not positive for the three-year performance period, the total number of PSUs awarded may not exceed 100% of the target award. The PSU award earned will also be credited with dividend equivalents from the grant date of the target award until the issuance date, assuming all dividends were reinvested in ADP stock at the time dividends are paid. The issuance of the total number of PSUs earned will be made in the form of shares of ADP stock in September following the conclusion of the three-year performance period.

In August 2014, the compensation committee established earnings per share growth goals and corresponding award ranges for the fiscal year 2015 target award under the PSU program. Our earnings per share growth for fiscal year 2015, as described above under Fiscal Year 2015 Business Highlights, was 12.5%, which resulted in an earned award level for the fiscal 2015 performance year in the amount of 120% of target. The following table shows the annual earnings per share targets, results and corresponding award levels achieved for fiscal years 2014 and 2015, in each case as a percentage of target:

FY EPS Growth	Threshold	Target	Stretch	Actual	Payout
2014	6.0%	9.0%	12.0%	9.0%	100%
2015	8.3%	11.3%	14.3%	12.5%	120%

Automatic Data Processing, Inc. *Proxy Statement* | 32

Table of Contents**Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

Award levels achieved for each fiscal year in the three-year performance period are, as a percentage of target, 50% for threshold performance, 100% for target performance, 150% for stretch performance, and 0% for below threshold performance. The award level achieved within each range, as a percentage of target, is determined by linear interpolation between the lower and upper bounds. Dividends are paid only with respect to shares of restricted stock that have been issued.

Stock Options

We grant stock options to our executive officers based upon their pay grades (other than our chief executive officer). Stock options vest over four years. The grant level for each pay grade is determined based on our annual review of our long-term incentive compensation program. Our chief executive officer recommends to the compensation committee the number of stock options for our executive officers, other than himself. The compensation committee determined and approved stock option grants for our chief executive officer as part of a review of his entire compensation package based on the guidance of its independent compensation consultant, Cook & Co.

While the compensation committee can consider a stock option grant at any time for our executive officers, it has historically made its regularly scheduled stock option grants at its first meeting in January of each calendar year. The compensation committee generally sets its calendar of meetings in August of each year and we do not coordinate the January meeting date, or any other meeting dates, with any regularly scheduled announcement or corporate event. Additional stock option grants may be made to assist us in recruiting, promoting or retaining executive officers.

Time-Based Restricted Stock

The compensation committee may from time to time grant discretionary awards of time-based restricted stock to our executive officers. These discretionary grants assist us in the recruitment, promotion or retention of executive officers. In fiscal year 2015, the compensation committee approved special time-based restricted stock awards for Messrs. Siegmund, Benjamin, Flynn and Bonarti, with multi-year vesting periods ranging from two to five years. These awards were granted as part of the management succession planning process as we believe these executives are critical to retain for the future success of the company. The time-based restricted stock grants for fiscal year 2015 are summarized in the table below:

Named Executive Officer	Time-Based Restricted Stock
Mr. Siegmund	\$999,909
Mr. Benjamin	\$999,909
Mr. Flynn	\$1,499,941
Mr. Bonarti	\$749,990

Other Compensation Components and Considerations

In addition to the compensation components discussed above and the opportunity to participate in the same Employees Savings-Stock Purchase Plan and health and welfare benefits available to our U.S. associates generally, we offer our executive officers retirement benefits, deferred compensation, limited perquisites, and change in control and severance protection. We believe these additional benefits are fair, competitive, consistent with our overall compensation philosophy and designed to ensure that we can effectively retain our executive officers as well as effectively compete for executive talent.

Retirement Benefits

All executive officers can participate in the Automatic Data Processing, Inc. Retirement and Savings Plan (our 401(k) plan) and are automatically enrolled in the Automatic Data Processing, Inc. Pension Retirement Plan (a tax-qualified, defined benefit, cash

balance pension plan). These plans are generally available to all U.S. associates; however, the Pension Retirement Plan became closed to new participants as of January 2015. Our named executive officers also participate in the Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan,

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

which provides retirement benefits to our executive officers in excess of those generally available under our qualified cash balance pension plan. The Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan was closed to new participants beginning in January 2014.

Deferred Compensation

Executive officers may defer all or a portion of their annual cash bonuses into a deferred compensation account. We make this program available to our executive officers to be competitive, to facilitate the recruitment of new executives and to provide our executive officers with a tax efficient way to save for retirement. The company does not match deferrals by its named executive officers or otherwise contribute any amounts to the named executive officers' deferred compensation amounts. Since the deferral accounts are made up of funds already earned by the executive officers, we do not consider the executive's deferred account balances, or investment earnings or losses on such balances, when we make compensation decisions.

Perquisites

We provide each of our executive officers the use of automobiles leased by the company. Consistent with our policy towards all attendees, we pay for the spouses of our executive officers to accompany them to our annual sales President's Club events. In addition, the ADP Foundation makes contributions that match the charitable gifts made by our executive officers up to a maximum of \$20,000 per calendar year. Finally, company policy permits Mr. Rodriguez to occasionally use the company's aircraft for personal travel in order to maximize his business availability and productivity, provided that he reimburses the company for the aggregate incremental cost incurred by the company in connection with any such personal use.

We did not make any tax gross-up payments to our named executive officers in fiscal year 2015, except for payments related to relocation expenses, which are available to all U.S. associates who participate in the company's relocation program.

Change in Control Arrangements

The Automatic Data Processing, Inc. Change in Control Severance Plan for Corporate Officers is designed to: (i) retain our corporate officers (including the named

executive officers) and our staff vice presidents, and (ii) align their interests with our stockholders' interests so that they can consider transactions that are in the best interests of our stockholders and maintain their focus without concern regarding how any such transaction might personally affect them.

Our Change in Control Severance Plan for Corporate Officers was amended on August 5, 2014 and is described in more detail below under Potential Payments To Named Executive Officers Upon Termination or Change in Control. Under this plan, our executive officers have separation entitlements that differ from one another. Mr. Rodriguez is entitled to severance equal to two times base salary and bonus upon termination of employment without cause or with good reason, while our other named executive officers are entitled to severance equal to one and one-half times base salary and bonus. We believe that a higher severance multiple for our chief executive officer is needed in order to attract the individual we believe is best suited for the position. Our chief executive officer is the individual the public and our stockholders most closely identify as the face of the company. He has the greatest individual impact on our success, and he faces the greatest personal risks when the company takes risks. Our Change in Control Severance Plan for Corporate Officers also provides that the vesting of all unvested equity awards would be accelerated under qualifying termination scenarios based on a double trigger in which payments of cash and vesting of equity awards occur only if termination of employment without cause or with good reason occurs during the two-year period after a change in control.

Corporate Officer Severance Plan

Effective May 6, 2015, ADP adopted the Corporate Officer Severance Plan for purposes of involuntary terminations other than for cause in the absence of a change in control. This plan is designed to: (i) attract and retain executive officers by a level of protection against involuntary job loss, (ii) provide an appropriate level of benefit to enable executive officers to transition to new employment, and (iii) secure restrictive covenants such as non-compete, non-solicitation, etc.

Edgar Filing: AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING INC - Form DEF 14A

Our Corporate Officer Severance Plan is described in more detail below under Potential Payments To Named Executive Officers Upon Termination or Change in Control.

Automatic Data Processing, Inc. *Proxy Statement* | 34

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Under a qualifying termination, executive officers receive 18 months of base salary continuation (24 months for the CEO), prorated bonus for year of termination, and continuation of vesting of equity awards during the salary continuation period, subject to proration in respect of certain performance-based equity awards.

The severance formulas we use for executive officers are each designed to provide the level of temporary replacement income we feel is appropriate for that position.

Accounting and Tax Considerations

We consider accounting and tax implications when we design our equity-based and cash compensation programs and when we make awards or grants. In particular, Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction to public companies for compensation over \$1,000,000 paid to covered employees (which are defined as our named executive officers, other than the chief financial officer). However, qualifying performance-based compensation is not subject to the deduction limit if certain requirements are met. We strive to make only those cash and equity-based awards and grants that qualify as performance-based compensation or that we otherwise can deduct when determining our corporate taxes. Our stockholders have previously approved incentive plans (including our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan) that are intended to permit the company to make equity-based awards and cash bonuses that may qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m). However, the overriding consideration when evaluating the pay level or design component of any portion of our executives compensation is the effectiveness of the pay component and the stockholder value that management and the compensation committee believe the pay component reinforces. The compensation committee may, however, award compensation that is not deductible under Section 162(m) when, in the exercise of the committee's judgment, it would be in the best interests of the company and its stockholders to do so. Compensation attributable to the vesting of time-based restricted stock does not qualify as performance-based compensation, and therefore may not be deductible to the extent it results in aggregate non-performance based compensation in excess of \$1,000,000.

Clawback Policy

ADP adopted a Clawback Policy in fiscal year 2015 that provides the compensation committee with discretion to recover both cash and equity incentive compensation from all current and former executives. A recipient's award may be forfeited and required to be recovered, as applicable, if the recipient engages in activity that is in conflict with or adverse to our interests, including but not limited to fraud or conduct contributing to any financial restatements or irregularities, or if the recipient violates a restrictive covenant.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The compensation committee has established stock ownership guidelines to encourage equity ownership by our executive officers in order to reinforce the link between their financial interests and those of our stockholders. We set the stock ownership guidelines on the basis of each executive officer's pay grade, expressed as a multiple of the executive officer's base salary on the first day of the fiscal year. Stock ownership (as defined under the guidelines) consists of stock owned outright by the executive officer or beneficially through ownership by direct family members (spouses and/or dependent children), or stock owned through our Retirement and Savings Plan.

Under our stock ownership guidelines, Mr. Rodriguez is expected to own an amount of our stock equal in value to six times his base salary and Messrs. Siegmund, Benjamin, Flynn and Bonarti are expected to own an amount of our stock equal in value to three times their respective base salaries. Executive officers whose ownership levels are below the minimum required levels are required to retain as shares of common stock at least 75% of post-tax net gains on stock option exercises, and 75% of shares (net of taxes) received upon vesting of restricted stock. As of the end of fiscal year 2015, all named executive officers met the stock ownership guidelines, with the exception of Mr. Benjamin, who is making progress toward his stock ownership requirements.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee Report

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed with management the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of the company's 2015 proxy statement. Based on its review and discussions with management, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the company's 2015 proxy statement.

Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors

Richard T. Clark, Chairman
R. Glenn Hubbard
John P. Jones

Automatic Data Processing, Inc. *Proxy Statement* | 36

Table of Contents

Compensation of Executive Officers

The following table summarizes the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal year 2015.

Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2015

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽²⁾	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
Carlos A. Rodriguez	2015	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,977,567	\$2,079,992	\$1,971,200	\$1,190,038	\$62,738	\$8,281,535
President and Chief Executive Officer	2014	\$900,000	\$0	\$3,141,881	\$1,599,998	\$1,471,680	\$1,069,306	\$54,282	\$8,237,147
	2013	\$850,000	\$0	\$2,223,135	\$1,396,440	\$1,437,520	\$379,644	\$51,016	\$6,337,755
Jan Siegmund	2015	\$590,000	\$0	\$1,637,989	\$389,990	\$581,500	\$397,745	\$48,630	\$3,645,854
Chief Financial Officer	2014	\$550,001	\$0	\$1,121,800	\$434,240	\$449,680	\$416,270	\$28,981	\$3,000,972
	2013	\$492,484	\$0	\$428,625	\$215,500	\$484,680	\$43,364	\$27,743	\$1,692,396
Mark D. Benjamin	2015	\$450,000	\$0	\$1,395,177	\$267,796	\$435,600	\$174,819	\$82,376	\$2,805,768
Division President									
Edward B. Flynn	2015	\$475,000	\$0	\$1,872,473	\$209,996	\$472,200	\$308,894	\$43,204	\$3,381,767
EVP, Worldwide Sales & Marketing									
Michael A. Bonarti	2015	\$480,000	\$0	\$1,122,522	\$209,996	\$414,000	\$160,461	\$51,862	\$2,438,841
General Counsel and Corporate Secretary									

Footnotes:

(1)

Amounts set forth in the Stock Awards and Option Awards columns represent the aggregate grant date fair value of awards granted in fiscal years 2015, 2014 and 2013 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For additional information about the assumptions used in these calculations, see Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. The amounts shown in the Stock Awards column in respect of the performance-based stock awards reflect the grant date fair value of such awards based upon the probable outcome of the performance condition as of the grant date. The awards for fiscal year 2015 are comprised of the PSU awards and time-based restricted stock awards. Consistent with the requirements of ASC Topic 718, the amounts relating to the PSU awards for fiscal year 2015 represents the sum of (i) the grant date fair value of the second of three tranches of the PSU award that was granted in September 2013 and (ii) the grant date fair value of the first of three tranches of the PSU award that was granted in September 2014, reflecting that the EPS goal for each such tranche was established in fiscal year 2015; and the amounts relating to the PSU awards for fiscal year 2014 represents the grant date fair value of the first of three tranches of the PSU award that was granted in September 2013 reflecting that the EPS goal for such tranche was established in fiscal year 2014. Remaining portions of these awards will be linked to EPS goals established for fiscal year 2016 and fiscal year 2017 and will be reported in the Summary Compensation Table for those fiscal years. The grant date fair value of the performance-based stock awards granted in fiscal years 2015, 2014, and 2013,

respectively, assuming achievement of the maximum level of performance, are: Mr. Rodriguez, \$2,966,351, \$4,712,822, and \$3,334,703; Mr. Siegmund, \$957,120, \$1,682,700, and \$642,938; Mr. Benjamin, \$592,902; Mr. Flynn, \$558,798; Mr. Bonarti, \$558,798.

(2)

Performance-based bonuses paid under the annual cash bonus program are shown in this column. A discussion of our annual cash bonus program may be found in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis under Cash Compensation - Annual Cash Bonus .

Table of Contents**Compensation of Executive Officers**

(3) Amounts shown reflect the aggregate increase during the last fiscal year in the present value of the executive's benefit under our tax-qualified cash balance pension plan, the Automatic Data Processing, Inc. Pension Retirement Plan, and our non-qualified supplemental retirement plan, the Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan. There were no above-market or preferential earnings on nonqualified deferred compensation. The Pension Retirement Plan and the Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan provide benefits in the form of a lump sum and/or an annuity. We calculated the present value as of June 30, 2012 based on the RP-2000 white collar mortality table (projected to 2019), a 3.25% interest crediting rate for the pension plan, and a 3.90% discount rate; the present value as of June 30, 2013 is based on the RP-2000 white collar mortality table (projected to 2020), a 3.25% interest crediting rate for the pension plan, and a 4.5% discount rate; the present value as of June 30, 2014 is based on the RP-2000 white collar mortality table (projected generationally using Scale AA), a 3.25% interest crediting rate for the pension plan, and a 4.05% discount rate; and the present value as of June 30, 2015 is based on the RP-2014 mortality table (projected generationally using scale MP-2014 for both plans and applying a white collar adjustment for the Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan), a 3.25% interest crediting rate for the pension plan, and a 4.25% discount rate.

(4) Please refer to the All Other Compensation for Fiscal Year 2015 table below for further information.

All Other Compensation For Fiscal Year 2015

Name	Other Benefits⁽¹⁾	Tax Payments⁽²⁾	Matching Charitable Contributions⁽³⁾	Total
Carlos A. Rodriguez	\$42,538	\$0	\$20,200	\$62,738
Jan Siegmund	\$28,430	\$0	\$20,200	\$48,630
Mark D. Benjamin	\$53,614	\$17,433	\$11,330	\$82,377
Edward B. Flynn	\$34,329	\$0	\$8,875	\$43,204
Michael A. Bonarti	\$31,362	\$0	\$20,500	\$51,862

Footnotes:

(1) Other Benefits include:

- (a) Actual cost to the company of leasing automobiles (and covering related maintenance, registrations and insurance fees) used for personal travel: Mr. Rodriguez, \$24,298; Mr. Siegmund, \$16,449; Mr. Benjamin, \$20,125; Mr. Flynn, \$19,016; and Mr. Bonarti, \$16,149.
- (b) Amount paid by the company on behalf of the executives and their spouses or significant others who accompanied them in connection with travel sponsored by the company: Mr. Rodriguez, \$6,135; Mr. Flynn, \$3,559; and Mr. Bonarti, \$3,410.
- (c) Relocation expense (available to the company's associates generally): Mr. Benjamin, \$21,735.
- (d) Matching contributions to the company's Retirement and Savings Plan (available to the company's associates generally): Mr. Rodriguez, \$11,025; Mr. Siegmund, \$11,025; Mr. Benjamin, \$11,025; Mr. Flynn, \$11,025; and Mr. Bonarti,

\$11,025.

- (e) *Life insurance and accidental death and dismemberment premiums paid by the company (available to the company's associates generally): Mr. Rodriguez, \$1,080; Mr. Siegmund \$956; Mr. Benjamin, \$729; Mr. Flynn, \$729; and Mr. Bonarti, \$778.*
- (f) *Other benefits include occasional personal travel on the company's aircraft by Mr. Rodriguez and his immediate family. Mr. Rodriguez's immediate family may also occasionally accompany him on the company's aircraft when he is traveling on company business. Pursuant to company policy, Mr. Rodriguez reimbursed the company for the amount of aggregate incremental cost incurred by the company in connection with any such personal use. Incremental cost is calculated by multiplying the personal flight time including empty aircraft positioning time, by the aircraft's hourly variable operating cost. Variable operating cost includes maintenance, fuel, cleaning, landing fees, flight fees, catering, and crew travel expenses, including hotels, meals and transportation.*

Table of Contents

Compensation of Executive Officers

(2) Reimbursement for taxes due to relocation expenses under the Company's standard policy (available to all participants in the relocation program).

(3) Reflects matching charitable contributions made by the ADP Foundation in an amount not to exceed \$20,000 in a calendar year in respect of any given named executive officer's charitable contributions for that calendar year. Amounts may exceed \$20,000 because, while matching charitable contributions are limited to \$20,000 in a calendar year, this table reflects matching charitable contributions for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table for Fiscal Year 2015

Name (a)	Grant Date ⁽¹⁾ (b)	Date of Corporate Action (bb)	Plan Under which Grant was Made ⁽²⁾	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾		
				Threshold \$ (c)	Target \$ (d)	Maximum \$ (e)	Threshold # (f)	Target # (g)	Maximum # (h)
Carlos A. Rodriguez	9/2/2014	8/5/2014	Cash	\$0	\$1,600,000	\$3,200,000	6,372	12,745	19,117
			Bonus						
			PSU						
			PSU						
Jan Siegmund	9/2/2014	8/5/2014	Stock	\$0	\$472,000	\$944,000	2,275	4,550	6,826
			Options						
			Cash						
			Bonus						
Mark D. Benjamin	9/2/2014	8/5/2014	PSU	\$0	\$360,000	\$720,000	1,327	2,654	3,982
			PSU						
			PSU						
			Stock						
Edward B. Flynn	9/2/2014	8/5/2014	Options	\$0	\$383,250	\$766,500	1,422	2,844	4,266
			TBRS						
			TBRS						
			Cash						
Michael A. Bonarti	9/2/2014	8/5/2014	Bonus	\$0	\$336,000	\$672,000	1,422	2,844	4,266
			PSU						
			PSU						
			Stock						
	1/22/2015		Options						

Table of Contents

Compensation of Executive Officers

Footnotes:

- (1) *The grant dates shown in column (b) of the table were determined pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. The dates shown in column (bb) are the dates on which our compensation committee set target award amounts under the PSU program and approved the TBRS award amounts.*
- (2) *PSU refers to our performance stock unit program and TBRS refers to our time-based restricted stock program under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan. Stock options were also granted under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan.*
- (3) *No payouts will be made if actual performance is below threshold level.*
- (4) *All numbers of shares and units, and option exercise prices, reflect the adjustments made to outstanding awards in respect of the spin-off of Dealer Services.*
- (5) *We computed the grant date fair value of each restricted stock award and option grant shown in column (l) in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding estimates of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For additional information about the assumptions used in these calculations, see Note 9 to our audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015 included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.*
- (6) *Consistent with the requirements of ASC Topic 718, the amount represents the second of three tranches of the PSU award that was granted in September 2013 for which the grant date fair value was established in September 2014. The units earned from this award will be paid out in September 2016.*
- (7) *Consistent with the requirements of ASC Topic 718, the amount represents the first of three tranches of the PSU award that was granted in September 2014 for which the grant date fair value was established in September 2014. The units earned from this award will be paid out in September 2017.*

Table of Contents

Compensation of Executive Officers

Mr. Rodriguez's Former Employment Agreement

Mr. Rodriguez entered into an employment agreement with the company on December 14, 2011, which provided for a three-year term ending November 7, 2014. The term of Mr. Rodriguez's employment agreement was not extended beyond this date. Prior to its expiration, the employment agreement provided Mr. Rodriguez with an annual base salary of at least \$800,000, and an annual target bonus of at least 160% of the base salary (without any proration for the company's 2012 fiscal year). The actual bonus paid to Mr. Rodriguez was to be based upon accomplishment of performance goals established by the compensation committee each year.

Pursuant to the employment agreement, on January 26, 2012, Mr. Rodriguez received a stock option grant of 40,000 shares that was determined by the compensation committee prior to his promotion to chief executive officer as part of his fiscal 2012 long-term incentive compensation, plus an additional special stock option grant of 150,000

shares in recognition of his promotion to chief executive officer. Each stock option grant was scheduled to vest in four equal annual installments of 25% each, commencing one year after the grant date.

Mr. Rodriguez's employment agreement also contained provisions related to his involuntary termination from the company.

In addition, Mr. Rodriguez's employment agreement provided for his entitlement to participate in all of the company's pension, 401(k), medical and health, life, accident, disability and other insurance programs, equity plans and other compensation and benefits plans and arrangements that are generally available to other company executives, such as the company's Pension Retirement Plan and Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan, which are described on page 49 of this proxy statement under Automatic Data Processing, Inc. Pension Retirement Plan and Supplemental Officers Retirement Plan.

Restricted Stock/Performance Stock Units

We grant restricted stock under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan. Restricted stock awards vest over periods determined by our compensation committee. In fiscal year 2014, we introduced a performance stock unit program based on financial objectives that are measured over a three-year performance cycle comprised of three one-year performance periods. This new three-year program replaces our performance-based restricted stock program. If, after completion of the first measurement year of the three-year performance period, a participant's employment with the Company is terminated prior to the expiration of the performance period due to death, disability or retirement (defined as voluntary termination of employment at or after age 65, or age 55 with 10 years of service), a participant will be entitled to receive a pro-rata portion (based on the number of completed months in the performance period through the date of termination of employment, divided by 36) of the PSUs earned for such performance period (which, in the case of death or disability, including any death or disability occurring after retirement, will be determined by assuming 100% achievement for each measurement year in the performance period not completed prior to the participant's death or disability).

Recipients of performance-based restricted stock and performance stock unit awards will be entitled to receive dividends paid only with respect to shares of restricted stock that have been earned. We require that executives agree to be bound by a restrictive covenant containing non-compete, non-solicitation, and confidentiality obligations as a condition to the grant.

Restricted stock and performance stock unit awards under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan allow the compensation committee to cause a recipient's award to be forfeited, and to require the recipient to pay to the company any gain realized on the award (the fair market value, on the applicable vesting date, of the shares delivered to the participant), if the recipient engages in an activity that is in conflict with or adverse to the company's interests, including but not limited to fraud or conduct contributing to any financial restatements or irregularities, or if the recipient violates a restrictive covenant.

Table of Contents

Compensation of Executive Officers

Stock Options

We grant stock options under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan with an exercise price equal to our closing stock price on the date of grant. No option may be exercised after the expiration of its ten-year term. We require that executives agree to be bound by a restrictive covenant containing non-compete, non-solicitation, and confidentiality obligations as a condition to the grant.

Stock options granted under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan become fully vested and exercisable upon the death or disability of an option holder who (i) is an active employee, (ii) satisfied the company's retirement criteria and retired on or after age 55 with 10 years of service (Normal Retirement), or (iii) retired in the previous twelve months on or after age 55 with between five and 10 years of service. Stock options will continue to vest following a Normal Retirement that occurs after the first anniversary of an option's grant date.

Vested options granted under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan may generally be exercised for up to 60 days following an option holder's termination of employment with the company (or per past company practice, the severance end date, if later), provided that:

option holders who retire on or after Normal Retirement will have 37 months following retirement (or per past company practice, the severance end date, if later) to exercise their vested options (subject to extension in the case of subsequent death); option holders who retire on or after age 55 with between five and 10 years of service will have twelve months following retirement (or per past company practice, the severance end date, if later) to exercise their vested options (subject to extension in the case of subsequent death);

option holders who die or become disabled on or after eligibility for Normal Retirement will have 36 months following their death or disability to exercise their vested options (subject to extension in the case of subsequent death following a disability); and

option holders who were not eligible for Normal Retirement on the date of death or disability will have twelve months following their death or disability to exercise their vested options (subject to extension in the case of subsequent death following a disability).

Stock option awards under our 2008 Omnibus Award Plan allow our compensation committee to cause a recipient's award to be forfeited, and to require the recipient to pay to the company any option gain, if the recipient engages in an activity that is in conflict with or adverse to the company's interests, including but not limited to fraud or conduct contributing to any financial restatements or irregularities, or if the recipient violates a restrictive covenant.

Table of Contents

Compensation of Executive Officers

Outstanding Equity Awards for Fiscal Year-End 2015

Name	Grant Date ⁽²⁾	Option Awards ⁽¹⁾				Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (Exercisable)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) (Unexercisable)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽³⁾	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) ⁽⁴⁾	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽³⁾
(a)	(b)	(c)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	
Carlos A. Rodriguez	1/26/2012		54,037	\$49.07	1/25/2022				
	1/25/2013		92,147	\$52.65	1/24/2023				
	1/23/2014	33,532	100,600	\$69.72	1/22/2024				
	1/22/2015		145,454	\$86.61	1/21/2025				
	9/2/2014					38,234	\$3,067,521		
	9/3/2013							28,038	\$2,249,516
Jan Siegmund	9/2/2014							16,964	\$1,361,030
	2/9/2010	13,652		\$35.78	2/8/2020				
	2/8/2011	11,376		\$43.53	2/7/2021				
	1/26/2012	12,798	4,266						