CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG
Form 6-K
August 28, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 6-K

REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15d-16
UNDER THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
August 28, 2014
Commission File Number 001-15244
CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG

(Translation of registrant's name into English)
Paradeplatz 8, CH 8001 Zurich, Switzerland
(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Note: Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1) only permits the submission in paper of a Form 6-K if submitted solely to provide an attached annual report to security holders.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Note: Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7) only permits the submission in paper of a Form 6-K if submitted to furnish a report or other document that the registrant foreign private issuer must furnish and make public under the laws of the jurisdiction in which the registrant is incorporated, domiciled or legally organized (the registrant's "home country"), or under the rules of the home country exchange on which the registrant's securities are traded, as long as the report or other document is not a press release, is not required to be and has not been distributed to the registrant's security holders, and, if discussing a material event, has already been the subject of a Form 6-K submission or other Commission filing on EDGAR.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82-.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG

(Registrant)

Date: August 28, 2014

By:

/s/ Joachim Oechslin

Joachim Oechslin

Chief Risk Officer

By:

/s/ David R. Mathers

David R. Mathers

Chief Financial Officer

In various tables, use of "–" indicates not meaningful or not applicable.

Basel III

Pillar 3 – disclosures

6M14

Composition of BIS regulatory capital

List of abbreviations

A	
ABS	Asset-backed securities
ACVA	Advanced credit valuation adjustment approach
A-IRB	Advanced Internal Ratings-Based Approach
AMA	Advanced Measurement Approach
B	
BFI	Banking, financial and insurance
BIS	Bank for International Settlements
C	
CARMC	Capital Allocation Risk Management Committee
CCF	Credit Conversion Factor
CCO	Chief Credit Officer
CCP	Central counterparties
CDO	Collateralized Debt Obligation
CDS	Credit Default Swap
CET1	Common equity tier 1
CLO	Collateralized Loan Obligation
CMBS	Commercial mortgage-backed securities
CMSC	Credit Model Steering Committee
CRM	Credit Risk Management
CVA	Credit valuation adjustment
E	
EAD	Exposure at Default
EMIR	European Market Infrastructure Regulation
ERC	Economic Risk Capital
F	
FINMA	Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA
G	
GRR	Global Risk Review
G-SIB	Global systemically important banks
I	
IMA	Internal Models Approach
IMM	Internal Models Method
IRB	Internal Ratings-Based Approach
IRC	Incremental Risk Charge
L	
LGD	Loss Given Default
M	
MDB	Multilateral Development Banks
O	
OTC	Over-the-counter
P	
PD	Probability of Default
R	
RBA	Ratings-Based Approach
RMBS	Residential mortgage-backed securities
RNIV	Risks not in value-at-risk

RPSC	Risk Processes and Standards Committee
S	
SFA	Supervisory Formula Approach
SFT	Securities Financing Transactions
SMM	Standardized Measurement Method
SPE	Special purpose entity
SRW	Supervisory Risk Weights Approach
U	
US GAAP	Accounting principles generally accepted in the US
V	
VaR	Value-at-Risk

Introduction

General

The purpose of this Pillar 3 report is to provide updated information as of June 30, 2014 on our implementation of the Basel capital framework and risk assessment processes in accordance with the Pillar 3 requirements. This document should be read in conjunction with the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 and the Credit Suisse 1Q14 and 2Q14 Financial Report, which include important information on regulatory capital and risk management (specific references have been made herein to these documents).

Effective January 1, 2013, the Basel III framework was implemented in Switzerland along with the Swiss "Too Big to Fail" legislation and regulations thereunder (Swiss Requirements). Our related disclosures are in accordance with our current interpretation of such requirements, including relevant assumptions. Changes in the interpretation of these requirements in Switzerland or in any of our assumptions or estimates could result in different numbers from those shown in this report. Also, our capital metrics fluctuate during any reporting period in the ordinary course of business.

The Basel III framework includes higher minimum capital requirements and conservation and countercyclical buffers, revised risk-based capital measures, a leverage ratio and liquidity standards. The framework was designed to strengthen the resilience of the banking sector and requires banks to hold more capital, mainly in the form of common equity. The new capital standards are being phased in from 2013 through 2018 and are fully effective January 1, 2019 for those countries that have adopted Basel III.

> Refer to "Capital management" (pages 101 to 114) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for further information.

In addition to Pillar 3 disclosures we disclose the way we manage our risks for internal management purposes in the Annual Report.

> Refer to "Risk management" (pages 115 to 140) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for further information regarding the way we manage risk including economic capital as a Group-wide risk management tool.

Certain reclassifications may be made to prior periods to conform to the current period's presentation.

The Pillar 3 report is produced and published semi-annually, in accordance with Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority FINMA (FINMA) requirements.

This report was verified and approved internally in line with our Pillar 3 disclosure policy. The Pillar 3 report has not been audited by the Group's external auditors. However, it also includes information that is contained within the audited consolidated financial statements as reported in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013.

Additional regulatory disclosures

In addition to the Pillar 3 disclosures also refer to our website for further information on capital ratios of certain significant subsidiaries, quarterly reconciliation requirements and capital instruments disclosures (main features template and full terms and conditions).

> Refer to "Regulatory disclosures" under https://www.credit-suisse.com/investors/en/index.jsp

Scope of application

The highest consolidated entity in the Group to which the Basel III framework applies is Credit Suisse Group.

> Refer to "Regulation and supervision" (pages 24 to 34) in I – Information on the company and to "Capital management" (pages 101 to 114) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for further information on regulation.

Principles of consolidation

For financial reporting purposes, our consolidation principles comply with accounting principles generally accepted in the US (US GAAP). For capital adequacy reporting purposes, however, entities that are not active in banking and finance are not subject to consolidation (i.e. insurance, real estate and commercial companies). Also, FINMA does not require to consolidate private equity and other fund type vehicles for capital adequacy reporting. Further differences in consolidation principles between US GAAP and capital adequacy reporting relate to special purpose entities (SPEs) that are consolidated under a control-based approach for US GAAP but are assessed under a risk-based approach for capital adequacy reporting. The investments into such entities, which are not material to the Group, are treated in accordance with the regulatory rules and are either subject to a risk-weighted capital requirement or a deduction from regulatory capital.

All significant equity method investments represent investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance (BFI) entities and are subject to a threshold calculation in accordance with the Basel framework.

> Refer to “Note 39 – Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments” (pages 337 to 339) in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for a list of significant subsidiaries and associated entities of Credit Suisse.

> Refer to “Note 3 – Business developments” (page 83) in III – Condensed consolidated financial statements – unaudited in the Credit Suisse 1Q14 Financial Report and “Note 3 – Business developments” (page 84) in III – Condensed consolidated financial statement – unaudited in the Credit Suisse 2Q14 Financial Report for additional information on business developments in 6M14.

Restrictions on transfer of funds or regulatory capital
We do not believe that legal or regulatory restrictions constitute a material limitation on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or our ability to transfer funds or regulatory capital within the Group.
> Refer to “Liquidity and funding management” (pages 94 to 100) and “Capital management” (pages 101 to 114) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for information on our liquidity, funding and capital management and dividends and dividend policy.

Capital deficiencies
The Group’s subsidiaries which are not included in the regulatory consolidation did not report any capital deficiencies in 6M14.

Remuneration
> Refer to “Compensation” (pages 176 to 204) in IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for further information on remuneration.

Risk management oversight
Fundamental to our business is the prudent taking of risk in line with our strategic priorities. The primary objectives of risk management are to protect our financial strength and reputation, while ensuring that capital is well deployed to support business activities and grow shareholder value. Our risk management framework is based on transparency, management accountability and independent oversight. Risk measurement models are reviewed by an independent validation function and regularly presented to and approved by the relevant oversight committee.
> Refer to “Risk management oversight” (pages 115 to 118) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk management in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for information on risk management oversight including risk governance, risk organization, risk types and risk appetite and risk limits.

The Group is exposed to several key banking risks such as:

– Credit risk (refer to section “Credit risk” on pages 15 to 35);
– Market risk (refer to section “Market risk” on pages 36 to 42);
– Interest rate risk in the banking book (refer to section “Interest rate risk in the banking book” on pages 43 to 44); and
– Operational risk.

> Refer to “Operational risk” (pages 139 to 140) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk management in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for information on operational risk.

Capital

Capital structure under Basel III

The Basel Committee on Banking Supervision issued the Basel III framework, with higher minimum capital requirements and conservation and countercyclical buffers, revised risk-based capital measures, a leverage ratio and liquidity standards. The framework was designed to strengthen the resilience of the banking sector and requires banks to hold more capital, mainly in the form of common equity. The new capital standards are being phased in from 2013 through 2018 and are fully effective January 1, 2019 for those countries that have adopted Basel III.

> Refer to the table “Basel III phase-in requirements for Credit Suisse” (page 48) in II – Treasury, risk, balance sheet and off-balance sheet – Capital management – Regulatory capital framework in the Credit Suisse 2Q14 Financial Report for capital requirements and applicable effective dates during the phase-in period.

Under Basel III, the minimum common equity tier 1 (CET1) requirement is 4.5% of risk-weighted assets. In addition, a 2.5% CET1 capital conservation buffer is required to absorb losses in periods of financial and economic stress.

A progressive buffer between 1% and 2.5% (with a possible additional 1% surcharge) of CET1, depending on a bank’s systemic importance, is an additional capital requirement for global systemically important banks (G-SIB). The Financial Stability Board has identified us as a G-SIB and requires us to maintain a 1.5% progressive buffer.

In addition to the CET1 requirements, there is also a requirement for 1.5% additional tier 1 capital and 2% tier 2 capital. These requirements may also be met with CET1 capital. To qualify as additional tier 1 under Basel III, capital instruments must provide for principal loss absorption through a conversion into common equity or a write-down of principal feature. The trigger for such conversion or write-down must include a CET1 ratio of at least 5.125%.

Basel III further provides for a countercyclical buffer that could require banks to hold up to 2.5% of CET1 or other capital that would be available to fully absorb losses. This requirement is expected to be imposed by national regulators where credit growth is deemed to be excessive and leading to the build-up of system-wide risk.

Capital instruments that do not meet the strict criteria for inclusion in CET1 are excluded. Capital instruments that would no longer qualify as tier 1 or tier 2 capital will be phased out.

Swiss Requirements

The legislation implementing the Basel III framework in Switzerland in respect of capital requirements for systemically relevant banks goes beyond Basel III’s minimum standards, including requiring us, as a systemically relevant bank, to have the following minimum, buffer and progressive components.

> Refer to the chart “Swiss capital and leverage ratio phase-in requirements for Credit Suisse” (page 49) in II – Treasury, risk, balance sheet and off-balance sheet – Capital management – Regulatory capital framework in the Credit Suisse 2Q14 Financial Report for Swiss capital requirements and applicable effective dates during the phase-in period.

The minimum requirement of CET1 capital is 4.5% of risk-weighted assets.

The buffer requirement is 8.5% and can be met with additional CET1 capital of 5.5% of risk-weighted assets and a maximum of 3% of high-trigger capital instruments. High-trigger capital instruments must convert into common equity or be written off if the CET1 ratio falls below 7%.

The progressive component requirement is dependent on our size (leverage ratio exposure) and the market share of our domestic systemically relevant business. Effective in 2014, FINMA set our progressive component requirement at 3.66% for 2019. The progressive component requirement may be met with CET1 capital or low-trigger capital instruments. In order to qualify, low-trigger capital instruments must convert into common equity or be written off if the CET1 ratio falls below a specified percentage, the lowest of which may be 5%. In addition, until the end of 2017, the progressive component requirement may also be met with high-trigger capital instruments. Both high and low-trigger capital instruments must comply with the Basel III minimum requirements for tier 2 capital (including subordination, point-of-non-viability loss absorption and minimum maturity).

Similar to Basel III, the Swiss Requirements include a supplemental countercyclical buffer of up to 2.5% of risk-weighted assets that can be activated during periods of excess credit growth. Effective September 2013, the countercyclical capital buffer was activated and initially required banks to hold CET1 capital in the amount of 1% of their risk-weighted assets pertaining to mortgages that finance residential property in Switzerland. In January 2014, upon the request of the Swiss National Bank, the Swiss Federal Council further increased the countercyclical buffer from 1% to 2%, effective June 30, 2014. As of the end of 6M14, our countercyclical buffer was CHF 299 million, which is equivalent to an additional requirement of 0.10% of CET1 capital. The countercyclical buffer applies for purposes of both Bank for International Settlements (BIS) and FINMA requirements.

In 2013, FINMA introduced increased capital charges for mortgages that finance owner occupied residential property in Switzerland (mortgage multiplier) to be phased in through January 1, 2019. The mortgage multiplier applies for purposes of both BIS and FINMA requirements.

In December 2013, FINMA issued a decree (FINMA Decree)specifying capital adequacy requirements for the Bank, on a stand-alone basis (Bank parent company), and the Bank and the Group, each on a consolidated basis, as systemically relevant institutions.
Beginning in 1Q14, we adjusted the presentation of our Swiss capital metrics and terminology and we now refer to Swiss Core Capital as Swiss CET1 capital and Swiss Total Capital as Swiss total eligible capital. Swiss Total Capital previously reflected the tier 1 participation securities, which were fully redeemed in 1Q14. Swiss CET1 capital consists of BIS CET1 capital and certain other Swiss adjustments. Swiss total eligible capital consists of Swiss CET1 capital, high-trigger capital instruments, low-trigger capital instruments and additional tier 1 instruments and tier 2 instruments subject to phase-out and phase-in deductions from CET1.
> Refer to “Capital management” (pages 101 to 114) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 and “Capital management” (pages 47 to 58) in II – Treasury, risk, balance sheet and off-balance sheet in the Credit Suisse 2Q14 Financial Report for information on our capital structure, eligible capital and shareholders’ equity, capital adequacy and leverage ratio requirements under Basel III and Swiss Requirements.

Description of regulatory approaches
The Basel framework provides a range of options for determining the capital requirements in order to allow banks and supervisors the ability to select approaches that are most appropriate. In general, Credit Suisse has adopted the most advanced approaches, which align with the way risk is internally managed. The Basel framework focuses on credit risk, market risk, operational risk and interest rate risk in the banking book. The regulatory approaches for each of these risk exposures and the related disclosures under Pillar 3 are set forth below.

Credit risk
Credit risk by asset class
The Basel framework permits banks a choice between two broad methodologies in calculating their capital requirements for credit risk by asset class, the internal ratings-based (IRB) approach or the standardized approach. Off-balance-sheet items are converted into credit exposure equivalents through the use of credit conversion factors (CCF).
The majority of our credit risk by asset class is with institutional counterparties (sovereigns, other institutions, banks and corporates) and arises from lending and trading activity in the Investment Banking and Private Banking & Wealth Management divisions. The remaining credit risk by asset class is with retail counterparties and mostly arises in the Private Banking & Wealth Management division from residential mortgage loans and other secured lending, including loans collateralized by securities.
> Refer to “Credit risk by asset class” in section “Credit risk” on pages 15 to 29 for further information.

Advanced-internal ratings-based approach
Under the IRB approach, risk weights are determined by using internal risk parameters and applying an asset value correlation multiplier uplift where exposures are to financial institutions meeting regulatory defined criteria. We have received approval from FINMA to use, and have fully implemented, the advanced-internal ratings-based (A-IRB) approach whereby we provide our own estimates for probability of default (PD), loss given default (LGD) and exposure at default (EAD). We use the A-IRB approach to determine our institutional credit risk and most of our retail credit risk.
PD parameters capture the risk of a counterparty defaulting over a one-year time horizon. PD estimates are mainly derived from models tailored to the specific business of the respective obligor. The models are calibrated to the long run average of annual internal or external default rates where applicable. For portfolios with a small number of empirical defaults (less than 20), low default portfolio techniques are used.
LGD parameters consider seniority, collateral, counterparty industry and in certain cases fair value markdowns. LGD estimates are based on an empirical analysis of historical loss rates and are calibrated to reflect time and cost of recovery as well as economic downturn conditions. For much of the Private Banking & Wealth Management loan

portfolio, the LGD is primarily dependent upon the type and amount of collateral pledged. For other retail credit risk, predominantly loans secured by financial collateral, pool LGDs differentiate between standard and higher risks, as well as domestic and foreign transactions. The credit approval and collateral monitoring process are based on loan-to-value limits. For mortgages (residential or commercial), recovery rates are differentiated by type of property. EAD is either derived from balance sheet values or by using models. EAD for a non-defaulted facility is an estimate of the gross exposure upon default of the obligor. Estimates are derived based on a CCF approach using default-weighted averages of historical realized conversion factors on defaulted loans by facility type. Estimates are calibrated to capture negative operating environment effects.

We have received approval from FINMA to use the internal model method for measuring counterparty risk for the majority of our derivative and secured financing exposures.

Risk weights are calculated using either the PD/LGD approach or the supervisory risk weights (SRW) approach for certain types of specialized lending.

Standardized approach

Under the standardized approach, risk weights are determined either according to credit ratings provided by recognized external credit assessment institutions or, for unrated exposures, by using the applicable regulatory risk weights. Less than 10% of our credit risk by asset class is determined using this approach.

Securitization risk in the banking book
For securitizations, the regulatory capital requirements are calculated using IRB approaches (the RBA and the SFA) and the standardized approach in accordance with the prescribed hierarchy of approaches in the Basel regulations. External ratings used in regulatory capital calculations for securitization risk exposures in the banking book are obtained from Fitch, Moody's, Standard & Poor's or Dominion Bond Rating Service.
> Refer to "Securitization risk in the banking book" in section "Credit risk" on pages 30 to 34 for further information on the IRB approaches and the standardized approach.

Equity type securities in the banking book
For equity type securities in the banking book except for significant investments in BFI entities, risk weights are determined using the IRB Simple approach based on the equity sub-asset type (listed equity and all other equity positions). Significant investments in BFI entities (i.e. investments in the capital of BFI entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, where the Group owns more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity) are subject to a threshold treatment as outlined below in the section "Exposures below 15% threshold". Where equity type securities represent non-significant investments in BFI entities (i.e., investments in the capital of BFI entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, where the Group does not own more than 10% of the issued common share capital of the entity),

a threshold approach is applied that compares the total amount of non-significant investments in BFI entities (considering both trading and banking book positions) to a 10% regulatory defined eligible capital amount. The amount above the threshold is phased-in as a capital deduction and the amount below the threshold continues to be risk-weighted according to the relevant trading book and banking book approaches.
> Refer to “Equity type securities in the banking book” in section “Credit risk” on pages 34 to 35 for further information.

Credit valuation adjustment risk
Basel III introduced a new regulatory capital charge, Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA), designed to capture the risk associated with potential mark-to-market losses associated with the deterioration in the creditworthiness of a counterparty.
Under Basel III, banks are required to calculate capital charges for CVA under either the Standardized CVA approach or the Advanced CVA approach (ACVA). The CVA rules stipulate that where banks have permission to use market risk Value-at-Risk (VaR) and counterparty risk Internal Models Method (IMM), they are to use the ACVA unless their regulator decides otherwise. FINMA has confirmed that the ACVA should be used for both IMM and non-IMM exposures.
The regulatory CVA capital charge applies to all counterparty exposures arising from over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives, excluding those with central counterparties (CCP). Exposures arising from Securities Financing Transactions (SFT) are not required to be included in the CVA charge unless they could give rise to a material loss. FINMA has confirmed that Credit Suisse can exclude these exposures from the regulatory capital charge.

Central counterparties risk
The Basel III framework provides specific requirements for exposures the Group has to CCP arising from OTC derivatives, exchange-traded derivative transactions and SFT. Exposures to CCPs which are considered to be qualifying CCPs by the regulator will receive a preferential capital treatment compared to exposures to non-qualifying CCPs.
The Group can incur exposures to CCPs as either a clearing member (house or client trades), or as a client of another clearing member. Where the Group acts as a clearing member of a CCP on behalf of its client (client trades), it incurs an exposure to its client as well as an exposure to the CCP. Since the exposure to the client is to be treated as a bilateral trade, the risk-weighted assets from these exposures are represented under “credit risk by asset class”. Where the Group acts as a client of another clearing member the risk-weighted assets from these exposures are also represented under “credit risk by asset class”.
The exposures to CCP (represented as “Central counterparties (CCP) risks”) consist of trade exposure, default fund exposure and contingent exposure based on trade replacement due to a clearing member default. While the trades exposure includes the current and potential future exposure of the clearing member (or a client) to a CCP arising from the underlying transaction and the initial margin posted to the CCP, the default fund exposure is arising from default fund contributions to the CCP.

Settlement risk
Regulatory fixed risk weights are applied to settlement exposures. Settlement exposures arise from unsettled or failed transactions where cash or securities are delivered without a corresponding receipt.

Exposures below 15% threshold
Significant investments in BFI entities, mortgage servicing rights and deferred tax assets that arise from temporary differences are subject to a threshold approach, whereby individual amounts are compared to a 10% threshold of regulatory defined eligible capital. In addition amounts below the individual 10% thresholds are aggregated and compared to a 15% threshold of regulatory defined eligible capital. The amount that is above the 10% threshold is phased-in as a CET1 deduction. The amount above the 15% threshold is phased-in as a CET1 deduction and the amount below is risk weighted at 250%.

Other items
Other items include risk-weighted assets related to immaterial portfolios for which we have received approval from FINMA to apply a simplified Institute Specific Direct Risk Weight as well as risk-weighted assets related to items that were risk-weighted under Basel II.5 and are phased in as capital deductions under Basel III.

Market risk
We use the advanced approach for calculating the capital requirements for market risk for the majority of our exposures. The following advanced approaches are used: the internal models approach (IMA) and the standardized measurement method (SMM).
We use the standardized approach to determine our market risk for a small population of positions which represent an immaterial proportion of our overall market risk exposure.
> Refer to section “Market risk” on pages 36 to 42 for further information on market risk.

Internal models approach
The market risk IMA framework includes regulatory Value-at-Risk (VaR), stressed VaR, risks not in VaR (RNIV), an Incremental Risk Charge (IRC), and Comprehensive Risk Measure.

Regulatory VaR, stressed VaR and risks not in VaR
We have received approval from FINMA, as well as from certain other regulators of our subsidiaries, to use our VaR model to calculate trading book market risk capital requirements under the IMA. We apply the IMA to the majority of the positions in our trading book. We continue to receive regulatory approval for ongoing enhancements to the VaR methodology, and the VaR model

is subject to regular reviews by regulators. Stressed VaR replicates a VaR calculation on the Group's current portfolio taking into account a one-year observation period relating to significant financial stress and helps to reduce the pro-cyclicality of the minimum capital requirements for market risk. The VaR model does not cover all identified market risk types and as such we have also adopted a RNIV category which was approved by FINMA in 2012.

Incremental Risk Charge

The IRC capitalizes issuer default and migration risk in the trading book, such as bonds or credit default swaps (CDS), but excludes securitizations and correlation trading. We have received approval from FINMA, as well as from certain other regulators of our subsidiaries, to use our IRC model. We continue to receive regulatory approval for ongoing enhancements to the IRC methodology, and the IRC model is subject to regular reviews by regulators.

The IRC model assesses risk at 99.9% confidence level over a one year time horizon assuming that positions are sold and replaced one or more times, depending on their liquidity which is modeled by the liquidity horizon. The portfolio loss distribution is estimated using an internally developed credit portfolio model designed to the regulatory requirements.

The liquidity horizon represents time required to sell the positions or hedge all material risk covered by the IRC model in a stressed market. Liquidity horizons are modelled according to the requirements imposed by Basel III guidelines.

The IRC model and liquidity horizon methodology have been validated by an independent team in accordance with the firms validation umbrella policy and Risk Model Validation Sub-Policy for IRC.

Comprehensive Risk Measure

Comprehensive Risk Measure is a market risk capital model designed to capture all the price risks of credit correlation positions in the trading book. Scope is developed markets corporate correlation trades, i.e. tranches and their associated hedges and Nth-to-Default baskets. Scope excludes corporate re-securitization positions, emerging market corporate securitization and associated hedges. The model is based on a Full Revaluation Monte Carlo Simulation, whereby all the relevant risk factors are jointly simulated in one year time horizon. The trading portfolio is then fully re-priced under each scenario. The model then calculates the loss at 99.9% percentile. Simulated risk factors are credit spreads, credit migration, credit default, recovery rate, credit correlation, basis between credit indices and their CDS constituents. The Comprehensive Risk Measure model has been internally approved by the relevant risk model approval committee and achieved regulatory approval by FINMA. The capital requirements calculated by the Comprehensive Risk Measure model is currently subject to a floor defined as a percentage of the standardized rules for corporate securitized products. The Comprehensive Risk Measure model has been validated by an independent team in accordance with the firms validation umbrella policy and the Risk Model Validation Sub-Policy for IRC and Comprehensive Risk Measure.

Standardized measurement method

We use the SMM which is based on the ratings-based approach (RBA) and the supervisory formula approach (SFA) for securitization purposes (see also Securitization risk in the banking book) and other supervisory approaches for trading book securitization positions covering the approach for nth-to-default products and portfolios covered by the weighted average risk weight approach.

> Refer to "Securitization risk in the trading book" in section "Market risk" on pages 37 to 42 for further information on the standardized measurement method and other supervisory approaches.

Operational risk

We have used an internal model to calculate the regulatory capital requirement for operational risk under the Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA) since 2008. In 2012, following discussions with FINMA, we initiated a project to enhance our internal model to reflect recent developments regarding operational risk measurement methodology and associated regulatory guidance. The revised model has been approved by FINMA for calculating the regulatory capital requirement for operational risk with effect from January 1, 2014. We view the revised model as a significant enhancement to our capability to measure and understand the operational risk profile of the Group that is

also more conservative compared with the previous approach.

The model is based on a loss distribution approach that uses historical data on internal and relevant external losses of peers to generate frequency and severity distributions for a range of potential operational risk loss scenarios, such as an unauthorized trading incident or a material business disruption. Business experts and senior management review, and may adjust, the parameters of these scenarios to take account of business environment and internal control factors, such as risk and control self-assessment results and risk and control indicators, to provide a forward-looking assessment of each scenario. The AMA capital calculation approved by FINMA includes all litigation-related provisions and also an add-on component relating to the aggregate range of reasonably possible litigation losses that are disclosed in our financial statements but are not covered by existing provisions. In the fourth quarter of 2013, this new approach to litigation-related provisions and reasonably possible litigation losses has been applied to the previous AMA model used to calculate regulatory capital requirements as of December 31, 2013. Insurance mitigation is included in the regulatory capital requirement for operational risk where appropriate, by considering the level of insurance coverage for each scenario and incorporating haircuts as appropriate. The internal model then uses the adjusted parameters to generate an overall loss distribution for the Group over a one-year time horizon. The AMA capital requirement represents the 99.9th percentile of this overall loss distribution.

In 2Q14, a slight increase in capital required for operational risk primarily reflected the impact of incorporating into the AMA model the Federal Housing Finance Agency settlement in March 2014 and the settlement of all outstanding US cross-border matters in May 2014. The impact from these settlements was partially

offset by the agreement with FINMA to remove the limitation it had set on the capital benefit for insurance-based risk transfer and a decrease in the add-on component of the capital related to the aggregate range of reasonably possible litigation losses due to the reduction in the maximum value of this range.

Non-counterparty-related risk
Regulatory fixed risk weights are applied to non-counterparty-related exposures. Non-counterparty-related exposures arise from holdings of premises and equipment, real estate and investments in real estate entities.

BIS capital metrics
Regulatory capital and ratios
Regulatory capital is calculated and managed according to Basel regulations and used to determine BIS ratios. BIS ratios compare eligible CET1 capital, tier 1 capital and total capital with BIS risk-weighted assets.
> Refer to “Risk-weighted assets” (pages 53 to 54) in II – Treasury, risk, balance sheet and off-balance sheet – Capital management – BIS capital metrics in the Credit Suisse 2Q14 Financial Report for information on risk-weighted assets movements in 6M14.

Summary of BIS risk-weighted assets and capital requirements - Basel III

end of CHF million	Risk-weighted assets	6M14 Capital require-ment[1]	Risk-weighted assets	2013 Capital require-ment[1]
Credit risk				
Advanced-IRB	125,802	10,064	116,772	9,342
Standardized	3,659	293	3,640	291
Credit risk by asset class	129,461	10,357	120,412	9,633
Advanced-IRB	11,444	916	14,935	1,195
Standardized	0	0	0	0
Securitization risk in the banking book	11,444	916	14,935	1,195
Advanced – IRB Simple	13,019	1,042	9,833	787
Equity type securities in the banking book	13,019	1,042	9,833	787
Advanced CVA	13,867	1,109	10,650	852
Standardized CVA	44	4	56	4
Credit valuation adjustment risk	13,911	1,113	10,706	856
Standardized - Fixed risk weights	11,589	927	12,500	1,000
Exposures below 15% threshold [2]	11,589	927	12,500	1,000
Advanced	3,194	256	1,906	152
Central counterparties (CCP) risk	3,194	256	1,906	152
Standardized - Fixed risk weights	1,026	82	512	41
Settlement risk	1,026	82	512	41
Advanced	390	31	281	22
Standardized	3,933	315	4,546	364
Other items [3]	4,323	346	4,827	386
Total credit risk	**187,967**	**15,037**	**175,631**	**14,050**
Market risk				
Advanced	32,132	2,571	38,719	3,098
Standardized	572	46	414	33
Total market risk	**32,704**	**2,616**	**39,133**	**3,131**
Operational risk				
Advanced measurement	59,050	4,724	53,075	4,246
Total operational risk	**59,050**	**4,724**	**53,075**	**4,246**
Non-counterparty-related risk				
Standardized - Fixed risk weights	5,700	456	6,007	481
Total non-counterparty-related risk	**5,700**	**456**	**6,007**	**481**
Total BIS risk-weighted assets and capital requirements	**285,421**	**22,834**	**273,846**	**21,908**
of which advanced	258,898	20,712	246,171	19,694
of which standardized	26,523	2,122	27,675	2,214

1
Calculated as 8% of risk-weighted assets.
2
Exposures below 15% threshold are risk-weighted at 250%. Refer to table "Additional information" in section "Reconciliation requirements" for further information.
3

Includes risk-weighted assets of CHF 3,482 million and CHF 4,158 million as of the end of 6M14 and 2013, respectively, related to items that were risk-weighted under Basel II.5 and are phased in as capital deductions under Basel III. Refer to table "Additional information" in section "Reconciliation requirements" for further information.

BIS eligible capital - Basel III

	Group		Bank	
end of	6M14	2013	6M14	2013
Eligible capital (CHF million)				
CET1 capital	39,453	42,989	34,856	38,028
Total tier 1 capital	45,537	46,061	40,789	41,105
Total eligible capital	**55,637**	**56,288**	**50,333**	**52,066**

The following table presents the Basel III phase-in requirements for each of the relevant capital components and discloses the Group's and the Bank's current capital metrics against those requirements.

BIS capital ratios - Basel III - Group

	6M14			2013		
end of	Ratio	Requirement[2]	Excess	Ratio	Requirement[2]	Excess
Capital ratios (%)						
Total CET1 [1]	13.8	4.0	9.8	15.7	3.5	12.2
Tier 1	16.0	5.5	10.5	16.8	4.5	12.3
Total capital	19.5	8.0	11.5	20.6	8.0	12.6

1 Capital conservation buffer and G-SIB buffer requirement will be phased in from January 1, 2016 through January 1, 2019.

2 Excludes countercyclical buffer that was required as of September 30, 2013. As of the end of 6M14 and 2013, our countercyclical buffer was CHF 299 million and CHF 144 million, which is equivalent to an additional requirement of 0.10% and 0.05% of CET1 capital, respectively.

BIS capital ratios - Basel III - Bank

	6M14			2013		
end of	Ratio	Requirement[2]	Excess	Ratio	Requirement[2]	Excess
Capital ratios (%)						
Total CET1 [1]	12.6	4.0	8.6	14.4	3.5	10.9
Tier 1	14.8	5.5	9.3	15.6	4.5	11.1
Total capital	18.2	8.0	10.2	19.7	8.0	11.7

1 Capital conservation buffer and G-SIB buffer requirement will be phased in from January 1, 2016 through January 1, 2019.

2 Excludes countercyclical buffer that was required as of September 30, 2013. As of the end of 6M14 and 2013, our countercyclical buffer was CHF 248 million and CHF 121 million, which is equivalent to an additional requirement of 0.09% and 0.05% of CET1 capital, respectively.

Swiss capital metrics
Swiss regulatory capital and ratios
> Refer to “Swiss Requirements” for further information on Swiss regulatory requirements.

As of the end of 6M14, our Swiss CET1 capital and Swiss total capital ratios were 13.7% and 19.4%, respectively, compared to the Swiss capital ratio phase-in requirements of 6.75% and 10.18%, respectively.

Swiss risk-weighted assets - Group

			6M14			2013
end of	Ad-vanced	Stan-dardized	Total	Ad-vanced	Stan-dardized	Total
Risk-weighted assets (CHF million)						
Total BIS risk-weighted assets	**258,898**	**26,523**	**285,421**	**246,171**	**27,675**	**273,846**
Impact of differences in thresholds [1]	1	(39)	(38)	(17)	415	398
Other multipliers [2]	825	0	825	617	–	617
Total Swiss risk-weighted assets	**259,724**	**26,484**	**286,208**	**246,771**	**28,090**	**274,861**

1
Represents the impact on risk-weighted assets of differences in regulatory thresholds resulting from Swiss regulatory CET1 adjustments.
2
Primarily includes differences in credit risk multiplier.

Swiss statistics - Basel III

		Group		Bank
end of	6M14	2013	6M14	2013
Capital development (CHF million)				
CET1 capital	39,453	42,989	34,856	38,028
Swiss regulatory adjustments [1]	(161)	1,658	(96)	1,711
Swiss CET1 capital [2]	**39,292**	**44,647**	**34,760**	**39,739**
High-trigger capital instruments	8,259[3]	7,743	8,256[3]	7,743
Low-trigger capital instruments	8,432[4]	6,005	7,653[5]	5,164
Additional tier 1 and tier 2 instruments subject to phase-out [6]	6,082	–	5,507	–
Deductions from additional tier 1 and tier 2 capital [6]	(6,589)	–	(5,940)	–
Swiss total eligible capital [2]	**55,476**	**58,395**	**50,236**	**52,646**
Capital ratios (%)				
Swiss CET1 ratio	13.7	16.2	12.5	15.0
Swiss total capital ratio	19.4	21.2	18.1	19.8

1
Includes adjustments for certain unrealized gains outside the trading book and, in 2013, also included tier 1 participation securities, which were redeemed in 1Q14.
2
Previously referred to as Swiss Core Capital and Swiss Total Capital, respectively.

3
Consists of CHF 5.8 billion additional tier 1 instruments and CHF 2.5 billion tier 2 instruments.
4
Consists of CHF 4.5 billion additional tier 1 instruments and CHF 3.9 billion tier 2 instruments.
5
Consists of CHF 3.7 billion additional tier 1 instruments and CHF 3.9 billion tier 2 instruments.
6
Reflects the FINMA Decree, which was effective in 1Q14.

The following table presents the Swiss Requirements for each of the relevant capital components and discloses our current capital metrics against those requirements.

Swiss capital requirements and coverage

	Group					Bar				
	Capital requirements					Capital requirements				
end of	Minimum component	Buffer component	Progressive component	Excess	6M14	Minimum component	Buffer component	Progressive component	Excess	6M1
Risk-weighted assets (CHF billion)										
Swiss risk-weighted assets	–	–	–	–	286.2	–	–	–	–	277.
2014 Swiss capital requirements [1]										
Minimum Swiss total capital ratio	4.0%	4.5%[2]	1.68%		– 10.18%	4.0%	4.5%	1.68%		– 10.18%
Minimum Swiss total eligible capital (CHF billion)	11.4	12.9	4.8	–	29.1	11.1	12.5	4.6	–	28.
Swiss capital coverage (CHF billion)										
Swiss CET1 Capital	11.4	7.9	–	20.0	39.3	11.1	7.6	–	16.1	34.
High-trigger capital instruments	–	5.0	–	3.3	8.3	–	4.9	–	3.4	8.
Low-trigger capital instruments	–	–	4.8	3.6	8.4	–	–	4.6	3.0	7.
Additional tier 1 and tier 2 instruments subject to phase-out	–	–	–	6.1	6.1	–	–	–	5.5	5.
Deductions from additional tier 1 and tier 2 capital	–	–	–	(6.6)	(6.6)	–	–	–	(5.9)	(5.9
Swiss total eligible capital	**11.4**	**12.9**	**4.8**	**26.4**	**55.5**	**11.1**	**12.5**	**4.6**	**22.0**	**50.**
Capital ratios (%)										
Swiss total capital ratio	**4.0%**	**4.5%**	**1.68%**	**9.2%**	**19.4%**	**4.0%**	**4.5%**	**1.68%**	**7.9%**	**18.1%**

Rounding differences may occur.

1 The Swiss capital requirements are based on a percentage of risk-weighted assets.

2

Excludes countercyclical buffer that was required as of September 30, 2013.

Credit risk

General
Credit risk consists of the following categories:

– Credit risk by asset class
– Securitization risk in the banking book
– Equity type securities in the banking book
– CVA risk
– Exposures below 15% threshold
– CCP risk
– Settlement risk
– Other items

> Refer to “Credit risk” (pages 128 to 139) in III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk management in the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2013 for information on our credit risk management approach, ratings and risk mitigation and impaired exposures and allowances.

Credit risk by asset class
General
For regulatory purposes, we categorize our exposures into asset classes with different underlying risk characteristics including type of counterparty, size of exposure and type of collateral. The asset class categorization is driven by regulatory rules from the Basel framework.
The following table presents the description of credit risk by asset class under the Basel framework (grouped as either institutional or retail) and the related regulatory approaches used.

Credit risk by asset class - Overview

Asset class	Description	Approaches
Institutional credit risk (mostly in the Investment Banking division)		
Sovereigns	Exposures to central governments, central banks, BIS, the International Monetary Fund, the European Central Bank and eligible Multilateral Development Banks (MDB).	PD/LGD for most portfolios Standardized for banking book treasury liquidity positions and other assets
Other institutions	Exposures to public bodies with the right to raise taxes or	PD/LGD for most portfolios Standardized for banking book

		whose liabilities are guaranteed by a public sector entity.	treasury liquidity positions and other assets
Banks		Exposures to banks, securities firms, stock exchanges and those MDB that do not qualify for sovereign treatment.	PD/LGD for most portfolios SRW for unsettled trades Standardized for banking book treasury liquidity positions and other assets
Corporates		Exposures to corporations (except small businesses) and public sector entities with no right to raise taxes and whose liabilities are not guaranteed by a public entity. The Corporate asset class also includes specialized lending, in which the lender looks primarily to a single source of revenues to cover the repayment obligations and where only the financed asset serves as security for the exposure (e.g., income producing real estate or commodities finance).	PD/LGD for most portfolios SRW for Investment Banking specialized lending exposures Standardized for banking book treasury liquidity positions and other assets

Retail credit risk (mostly in the Private Banking & Wealth Management division)		
Residential mortgages	Includes exposures secured by residential real estate collateral occupied or let by the borrower.	PD/LGD
Qualifying revolving retail	Includes credit card receivables and overdrafts.	PD/LGD
Other retail	Includes loans collateralized by securities, consumer loans, leasing and small business exposures.	PD/LGD Standardized for other assets
Other credit risk		
Other exposures	Includes exposures with insufficient information to treat under the A-IRB approach or to allocate under the Standardized approach into any other asset class.	Standardized

Gross credit exposures, risk-weighted assets and capital requirement

The following table presents the derivation of risk-weighted assets from the gross credit exposures (pre- and post-substitution), broken down by regulatory approach and by the credit asset class under the Basel framework.

Gross credit exposures and risk-weighted assets by regulatory approach

			6M14				2013	
	Exposure		Risk-weighted assets	Capital requirement[1]	Exposure		Risk-weighted assets	Capital requirement[1]
end of	Pre-substitution[2]	Post-substitution			Pre-substitution[2]	Post-substitution		
A-IRB (CHF million)								
PD/LGD								
Sovereigns	67,405	63,068	4,001	320	71,220	68,539	3,567	285
Other institutions	2,745	2,694	486	39	1,875	1,866	388	31
Banks	43,922	52,190	13,180	1,054	32,676	38,398	10,510	841
Corporates	190,457	186,577	83,856	6,708	174,997	171,965	79,912	6,393
Total institutional	**304,529**	**304,529**	**101,523**	**8,122**	**280,768**	**280,768**	**94,377**	**7,550**
Residential mortgage	100,108	100,108	11,271	902	98,800	98,800	10,525	842
Qualifying revolving retail	698	698	251	20	699	699	246	20
Other retail	69,393	69,393	11,993	959	63,056	63,056	11,100	888
Total retail	**170,199**	**170,199**	**23,515**	**1,881**	**162,555**	**162,555**	**21,871**	**1,750**