

MAGNETEK, INC.
Form 10-Q
November 12, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X]

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR
15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: October 3, 2010

OR

[] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

Commission file number 1-10233

MAGNETEK, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE

95-3917584

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

N49 W13650 Campbell Drive
Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin 53051
(Address of principal executive offices)

(262) 783-3500

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year,
if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-Q

any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “accelerated filer,” “large accelerated filer,” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of Registrant’s Common Stock, as of November 1, 2010, was 31,255,683 shares.

1.

FISCAL YEAR 2011 MAGNETEK FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS FOR THE QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE FISCAL QUARTER ENDED OCTOBER 3, 2010

MAGNETEK, INC.

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

2.

Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1 – Financial Statements

MAGNETEK, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Amounts in thousands, except per share data, unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | (14 Weeks) October 3, 2010 | (13 Weeks) September 27, 2009 |
| Net sales | \$ 24,877 | \$ 17,834 |
| Cost of sales | 17,333 | 12,212 |
| Gross profit | 7,544 | 5,622 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Research and development | 996 | 901 |
| Pension expense | 1,717 | 2,052 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 3,897 | 3,959 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 934 | (1,290) |
| Non-operating income: | | |
| Interest income | (1) | (10) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes | 935 | (1,280) |
| Provision for income taxes | 272 | 231 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 663 | (1,511) |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (392) | (284) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 271 | \$ (1,795) |
| Earnings per common share | | |
| Basic and diluted: | | |
| Earnings (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.01) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.06) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 31,230 | 30,966 |

Diluted

31,319

30,966

See accompanying notes

3.

Index

MAGNETEK, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (Amounts in thousands)

| ASSETS | October 3, 2010 (Unaudited) | June 27, 2010 |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 9,929 | \$ 8,244 |
| Restricted cash | 262 | 262 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 13,472 | 16,436 |
| Inventories | 12,182 | 10,285 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 668 | 480 |
| Total current assets | 36,513 | 35,707 |
| Property, plant and equipment | 20,882 | 20,788 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | 17,221 | 16,963 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 3,661 | 3,825 |
| Goodwill | 30,466 | 30,443 |
| Other assets | 5,664 | 6,125 |
| Total Assets | \$ 76,304 | \$ 76,100 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 9,884 | \$ 9,887 |
| Accrued liabilities | 4,896 | 4,953 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 2 | 4 |
| Total current liabilities | 14,782 | 14,844 |
| Pension benefit obligations, net | 75,462 | 77,914 |
| Other long term obligations | 1,487 | 1,461 |
| Deferred income taxes | 6,081 | 5,818 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' deficit | | |
| Common stock | 313 | 312 |
| Paid in capital in excess of par value | 139,218 | 138,965 |
| Accumulated deficit | (6,351) | (6,622) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (154,688) | (156,592) |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (21,508) | (23,937) |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit | \$ 76,304 | \$ 76,100 |

See accompanying notes

4.

Index

MAGNETEK, INC.
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (Amounts in thousands, unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|--|
| | (14 Weeks) | (13 Weeks) |
| | October 3, 2010 | September 27, 2009 (restated) |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 271 | \$ (1,795) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | 392 | 284 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation | 254 | 245 |
| Amortization | 13 | 26 |
| Stock based compensation expense | 186 | 175 |
| Pension expense | 1,717 | 2,052 |
| Deferred Income Tax Provision | 263 | 225 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities | 1,329 | 1,011 |
| Cash contribution to pension fund | (2,358) | (4,211) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities - continuing operations | 2,067 | (1,988) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities - discontinued operations | (368) | (194) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 1,699 | (2,182) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (80) | (274) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities - continuing operations | (80) | (274) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities - discontinued operations | - | - |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (80) | (274) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 67 | 73 |
| Principal payments under capital lease obligations | (1) | (4) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities - continuing operations | 66 | 69 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities - discontinued operations | - | - |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 66 | 69 |

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 1,685 | (2,387) |
| Cash at the beginning of the period | 8,244 | 18,097 |
| Cash at the end of the period | \$ 9,929 | \$ 15,710 |

See accompanying notes

5.

Index

MAGNETEK, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
OCTOBER 3, 2010
(Amounts in thousands unless otherwise noted, except per share data, unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Profile

Magnetek, Inc. (the “Company” or “Magnetek”) is a global provider of digital power control systems that are used to control motion and power primarily in material handling, elevator and energy delivery applications. The Company’s products consist primarily of programmable motion control and power conditioning systems used on the following applications: overhead cranes and hoists; elevators; coal mining equipment; and renewable energy.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Magnetek, Inc. and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial reporting and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. For further information, refer to the financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 2010 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”). In the Company’s opinion, these unaudited statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company as of October 3, 2010, and the results of its operations and cash flows for the three month periods ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009. Results for the three-months ended October 3, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be experienced for the full fiscal year.

Fiscal Year

The Company uses a fifty-two, fifty-three week fiscal year ending on the Sunday nearest to June 30. Fiscal quarters are the 13 or 14 week periods ending on the Sunday nearest September 30, December 31, March 31 and June 30. The three-month periods ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, contained 14 weeks and 13 weeks, respectively.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Restatement of Presentation of Cash Flows

The accompanying condensed consolidated statements of cash flows have been restated for the quarter ended September 27, 2009 to comply with the presentation requirements of Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic

230 (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 95), Statement of Cash Flows.

ASC Topic 230 requires that cash flow statements classify cash inflows and outflows as related to operating, investing, or financing activities, and also requires that the presentation of net cash flow subtotals for each of these three activities include cash flows from both continuing and discontinued operations.

In previous filings, the Company presented cash flows related to operating, investing and financing activities from continuing operations separately from cash flows from discontinued operations for each of these three activities. As a result, our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows in previous filings began with the line item "Net income (loss) from continuing operations." This presentation was not in compliance with the requirements of ASC Topic 230, which requires that the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows begin with the line item "Net income (loss)."

The restatement impacts only the Company's reported subtotal related to net cash provided by or used in operating activities, and had no impact on the Company's statements of operations, net income or total cash flows for the restated periods, or on the Company's financial position at the end of the restated periods.

6.

Index

The table below reflects the impact of the restatement on the Company's net cash provided by or used in operating activities for the quarter ended September 27, 2009:

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| For the three months ended (amounts in thousands) | September 27, 2009 | |
|---|------------------------|-------------|
| | As Previously Reported | As Revised |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities - continuing operations | \$ (1,988) | \$ (1,988) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities - discontinued operations | - | (194) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ (1,988) | \$ (2,182) |

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2010, the SEC approved a work plan regarding convergence of US GAAP with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and the timeline for the preparation of financial statements by U.S. registrants under IFRS. IFRS are standards and interpretations adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board. Under the proposed roadmap, the Company would be required to prepare financial statements in accordance with IFRS no earlier than in fiscal 2016, including comparative information also prepared under IFRS for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2015. The Company is currently assessing the potential impact of IFRS on its financial statements and will continue to follow the proposed roadmap for future developments.

The Company has evaluated subsequent events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements through the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and has concluded that no recognized or non-recognized subsequent events have occurred since its first quarter ended on October 3, 2010.

2. Discontinued Operations

Certain expenses incurred related to businesses the Company no longer owns are classified as discontinued operations. The results of discontinued operations are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| | October 3, 2010 | September 27, 2009 |
| Loss from discontinued operations - telecom power systems | (4) | (27) |
| Expense related to divested businesses | (388) | (257) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | \$ (392) | \$ (284) |

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of October 3, 2010 includes certain accrued liabilities which represent the Company's best estimate of remaining contingent liabilities related to indemnification provisions included in sale agreements of divested businesses. While management has used its best judgment in assessing the potential liability for these items, given the uncertainty regarding future events, it is difficult to estimate the possible timing or magnitude of any payments that may be required for liabilities subject to indemnification. Any future adjustment to currently recorded contingencies related to indemnification claims or payments based upon changes in circumstances would be recorded as a gain or loss in discontinued operations.

7.

Index

3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

| | October 3, 2010 | June 27, 2010 |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Raw materials and stock parts | \$ 8,100 | \$ 6,857 |
| Work-in-process | 1,135 | 1,124 |
| Finished goods | 2,947 | 2,304 |
| | \$ 12,182 | \$ 10,285 |

4. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation—Product Liability

In August 2006, Pamela L. Carney, Administrator of the Estate of Michael J. Carney, filed a lawsuit in the Court of Common Pleas of Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania, against the Company and other defendants, alleging that a product manufactured by the Company's Telemotive Industrial Controls business acquired by the Company in December 2002 contributed to an accident that resulted in the death of Michael J. Carney in August 2004. The claim has been tendered to the Company's insurance carrier and legal counsel has been retained to represent the Company. Magnetek is defending the action on the basis of findings that the operator/owner of the product, Alleghany Ludlum Corporation, improperly maintained or modified the product, which led to its alleged failure. In March 2010, Magnetek's primary carrier, Travelers, denied coverage under a reservation of rights. This followed the Company's excess coverage carrier, AIG/AISLIC, denying coverage in June 2009. Travelers has agreed to continue to pay defense counsel to defend the case and has authorized defense counsel to undertake the defense of the "pass through" vendor PDS. Plaintiff's claim for damages is unknown at this time. The case is in the discovery phase and no trial date has been set.

The Company has been named, along with multiple other defendants, in asbestos-related lawsuits associated with business operations previously acquired by the Company, but which are no longer owned. During the Company's ownership, none of the businesses produced or sold asbestos-containing products. With respect to these claims, the Company believes that it has no such liability. For such claims, the Company is uninsured, contractually indemnified against liability, or contractually obligated to defend and indemnify the purchaser of these former Magnetek business operations. The Company aggressively seeks dismissal from these proceedings. Management does not believe the asbestos proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

Litigation—Patent Infringement and Related Proceedings

As previously reported by the Company, Universal Lighting Technologies, Inc. ("ULT") and Nilssen entered into a consent judgment in April 2008, for dismissal, on collateral estoppel grounds, of the patent infringement lawsuit filed by Nilssen against ULT. The Company had provided the defense in the lawsuit pursuant to an indemnification claim from ULT subject to the terms of the sale agreement under which ULT purchased Magnetek's lighting business in 2003. In September 2009, Nilssen and ULT entered into a settlement agreement relating to attorney's fees. Under the settlement agreement, Nilssen paid to the Company an amount of \$0.75 million as attorney's fees as well as a nominal amount for costs. However, if Nilssen files a Rule 60 Motion and is successful such that ULT ceases to be the

“prevailing party” and is no longer entitled to attorney’s fees, then the Company is obligated to refund the \$0.75 million attorney’s fees settlement amount. At present, further proceedings are stayed pending the outcome of separate appellate matters.

In August 2008, the Company filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, County Department, Law Division, against Kirkland & Ellis, LLP (“K&E”). The lawsuit involves a claim for breach of professional responsibility arising out of K&E’s representation of Magnetek in the patent infringement action, Ole K. Nilssen v Magnetek, Inc. The Company alleges that, as a result of K&E’s negligent breach of professional duty in failing to discover or investigate the existence of prior art and prior misconduct which would have made Nilssen’s patent claim unenforceable or invalidated his patent, the Company suffered an arbitration award and judgment in the amount of \$23.4 million, which judgment was ultimately settled by the payment to Nilssen of \$18.75 million. The Company is seeking damages in the amount of \$18.75 million, reimbursement of reasonable costs and attorneys fees incurred in the proceeding to vacate the arbitration award and settlement thereof, and costs incurred in connection with this lawsuit. On April 5, 2010, the Circuit Court of Cook County dismissed the complaint against K&E for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. The Court relied upon a recent Illinois appellate decision in which the Court held that attorney malpractice cases arising out of the prosecution or defense of federal patent claims raised federal questions for which the federal courts have exclusive jurisdiction. An appeal has been taken to the Illinois Appellate Court. On April 7, 2010, the Company filed a substantially identical complaint in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The new federal complaint seeks damages in the amount of \$18.8 million, plus any additional damages as may be warranted by the evidence introduced at trial. On June 7, 2010, K&E entered a motion in federal court to have the Company’s complaint dismissed as being “time-barred” or filed beyond the applicable two year statute of limitations. The Company filed its responsive brief on July 15, 2010, arguing, among other things, that the doctrine of equitable tolling applies effectively suspending the running of the statute of limitations.

8.

Index

Litigation—Other

In November 2007, a lawsuit was filed by Antonio Canova in Italy, in the Court of Arezzo, Labor Law Section, against the Company and Power-One Italy, S.p.A. Mr. Canova is a former Executive Vice President of the Company and was Deputy Chairman and Managing Director of the Company's former Italian subsidiary, Magnetek S.p.A. Mr. Canova asserted claims for damages in the amount of 3.5 million Euros (approximately \$4.9 million USD) allegedly incurred in connection with the termination of his employment at the time of the sale of the Company's power electronics business to Power-One, Inc. in October 2006. The claims against the Company relate to a change of control agreement and restricted stock grant. The Company believes the claim is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against it. The Court has postponed the final hearing in the lawsuit until February 2011 due to reassignment of the case to a new judge.

Environmental Matters—General

From time to time, Magnetek has taken action to bring certain facilities associated with previously owned businesses into compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. Upon the subsequent sale of certain businesses, the Company agreed to indemnify the buyers against environmental claims associated with the divested operations, subject to certain conditions and limitations. Remediation activities, including those related to the Company's indemnification obligations, did not involve material expenditures during the first three months of fiscal year 2011.

The Company has also been identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and certain state agencies as a potentially responsible party for cleanup costs associated with alleged past waste disposal practices at several previously owned or leased facilities and offsite locations. Its remediation activities as a potentially responsible party were not material in the first three months of fiscal year 2011. Although the materiality of future expenditures for environmental activities may be affected by the level and type of contamination, the extent and nature of cleanup activities required by governmental authorities, the nature of the Company's alleged connection to the contaminated sites, the number and financial resources of other potentially responsible parties, the availability of indemnification rights against third parties and the identification of additional contaminated sites, the Company's estimated share of liability, if any, for environmental remediation, including its indemnification obligations, is not expected to be material.

Bridgeport, Connecticut Facility

In 1986, the Company acquired the stock of Universal Manufacturing Company ("Universal") from a predecessor of Fruit of the Loom ("FOL"), and the predecessor agreed to indemnify the Company against certain environmental liabilities arising from pre-acquisition activities at a facility in Bridgeport, Connecticut. Environmental liabilities covered by the indemnification agreement included completion of additional cleanup activities, if any, at the Bridgeport facility and defense and indemnification against liability for potential response costs related to offsite disposal locations. The Company's leasehold interest in the Bridgeport facility was assigned to the buyer in connection with the sale of the Company's transformer business in June 2001. FOL, the successor to the indemnification obligation, filed a petition for Reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in 1999 and the Company filed a proof of claim in the proceeding for obligations related to the environmental indemnification agreement. The Company believes that FOL had substantially completed the clean-up obligations required by the indemnification agreement prior to the bankruptcy filing. In November 2001, the Company and FOL entered into an agreement involving the allocation of certain potential tax benefits and Magnetek withdrew its claims in the bankruptcy proceeding. The Company further believes that FOL's obligation to the state of Connecticut was not discharged in the reorganization proceeding.

In October 2006, Sergy Company, LLC (“Sergy”), the owner of the Bridgeport facility, filed a lawsuit in Superior Court, Fairfield, Connecticut alleging that the Company is obligated to remediate environmental contamination at the facility. The case was transferred to the Complex Litigation Docket, Waterbury, Connecticut. Sergy filed an amended complaint alleging a breach of lease obligations and violation of Connecticut environmental statutory requirements, which allegations were denied in the Company’s amended answer, affirmative defenses and counterclaims. The case is in the discovery and motions phase. Sergy amended its complaint to include additional claims against the Company under the Connecticut Transfer Act. The Company’s request to add additional potentially responsible parties as defendants was granted by the Court, and the Company filed declaratory judgment complaints against the FOL successor and Merrit Gavin, trustee of the Sergy Trust, a former owner of the Bridgeport facility, seeking a declaration that the obligations that Sergy seeks to enforce against the Company are the obligations of these other parties. In July 2009, the Court granted Gavin’s motion to dismiss him from the lawsuit, and in February 2010, the Court granted FOL’s motion to dismiss it from the lawsuit, and the Company filed an appeal of such rulings. The lawsuit is in the discovery phase, and the trial is scheduled to begin in January 2011. The Company believes the claim is without merit and intends to vigorously defend against it.

In January 2007, the Connecticut Department of Environmental Protection (“DEP”) requested parties, including the Company, to submit reports summarizing the investigations and remediation performed to date at the site and the proposed additional investigations and remediation necessary to complete those actions at the site. DEP requested additional

9.

Index

information from the Company relating to site investigations and remediation. The Company retained an environmental consultant to review and prepare reports on historical operations and environmental activities at the Bridgeport facility. In November 2009, the Company submitted its site summary report and proposed work plan to the DEP and in October 2010 submitted a revised work plan to the DEP. The Company has recorded a liability of \$0.5 million related to the Bridgeport facility, representing the Company's best estimate of future site investigation costs and remediation costs which are expected to be incurred during the next three fiscal years. The liability is included in accrued liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of October 3, 2010.

In April 2008, the Commissioner of Environmental Protection ("CTCEP") filed an action in Superior Court, Judicial District of Hartford-New Britain at Hartford seeking injunctive relief against Sergy and the Company, which action was commenced after Sergy cut off power to the Bridgeport facility, thereby disabling a groundwater pump and treatment system previously installed by FOL and currently operated by the Company on a voluntary basis. Although a stipulation was entered into by the Company and Sergy relating to the start up and operation of the groundwater pump and treatment system, the CTCEP filed a request to amend the complaint to assert additional claims and to seek further remedies, including injunctive relief and civil penalties, for alleged failure to investigate and remediate pollution under the Connecticut Transfer Act. In September, 2008 the Hartford Court ordered the case transferred to the Waterbury Court, where the above referenced action filed by Sergy against the Company is currently pending. In July 2009, the Court denied the Company's motion to join Gavin and FOL in the CTCEP lawsuit and also denied the motion to consolidate the Sergy and CTCEP actions. The lawsuit is currently in the discovery phase.

FOL's inability to satisfy its remaining obligations related to the Bridgeport facility and any offsite disposal locations, or an unfavorable ruling in the lawsuits with the owner of the Bridgeport facility or the CTCEP, or the discovery of additional environmental contamination at the Bridgeport facility could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

5. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

For the three month period ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | October 3, 2010 | September 27, 2009 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 271 | \$ (1,795) |
| Change in unrecognized pension liability | 1,810 | 1,552 |
| Change in currency translation adjustments | 94 | 41 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 2,175 | \$ (202) |

6. Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the three months ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009:

Edgar Filing: MAGNETEK, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-------------|
| | October 3, | September |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Numerator: | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 663 | \$ (1,511) |
| Loss from discontinued operations | (392) | (284) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 271 | \$ (1,795) |
| Denominator: | | |
| Weighted average shares - basic earnings per share | 31,230 | 30,966 |
| Add dilutive effective of stock based compensation | 89 | - |
| Weighted average shares - diluted earnings per share | 31,319 | 30,966 |
| Basic and Diluted: | | |
| Income (loss) per share from continuing operations | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) |
| Loss per share from discontinued operations | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.01) |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.06) |

10.

Index

Outstanding options to purchase 2.4 million shares of common stock for the three months ended September 27, 2009 have not been included in the Company's computation of weighted average shares for diluted earnings per share because the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

7. Warranties

The Company offers warranties for certain products that it manufactures, with the warranty term generally ranging from one to two years. Warranty reserves are established for costs expected to be incurred after the sale and delivery of products under warranty, based mainly on known product failures and historical experience. Actual repair costs incurred for products under warranty are charged against the established reserve balance as incurred. Changes in the warranty reserve for the three-month periods ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | October 3, 2010 | September 27, 2009 |
| Balance, beginning of fiscal year | \$ 591 | \$ 281 |
| Changes in product warranties charged to earnings | 71 | 110 |
| Use of reserve for warranty obligations | (91) | (118) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 571 | \$ 273 |

Warranty reserves are included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

11.

Index

8. Pension Expense

Pension expense related to the Company's defined benefit pension plan for the three-month periods ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, follows:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | October 3, 2010 | September 27, 2009 |
| Interest cost | \$ 2,559 | \$ 2,705 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (2,652) | (2,205) |
| Recognized net actuarial losses | 1,810 | 1,552 |
| Total net pension expense | \$ 1,717 | \$ 2,052 |

Under current funding regulations, actuarial projections indicate that the Company will be required to make contributions to the defined benefit pension plan of approximately \$10.0 million during the remainder of fiscal year 2011.

9. Income Taxes

Due to historical taxable losses, the Company provides valuation reserves against its U.S. deferred tax assets. A portion of the Company's deferred tax liability relates to tax-deductible amortization of goodwill that is no longer amortized for financial reporting purposes. These deferred tax liabilities are considered to have an indefinite life and are therefore ineligible to be considered as a source of future taxable income in assessing the realization of deferred tax assets.

The Company's provision for income taxes for each of the three-month periods ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009, includes \$262 and \$225 of deferred income tax expense related to the increase in the Company's deferred tax liability related to tax-deductible amortization of goodwill. The remaining tax provision is comprised of income taxes of the Company's foreign subsidiary in Canada.

10. Bank Borrowing Arrangements

In November 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Associated Bank, N.A. ("Associated Bank") providing for a \$10 million revolving credit facility (the "revolving facility"). Borrowings under the revolving facility bore interest at the London Interbank Offering Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.5%, with borrowing levels determined by a borrowing base formula as defined in the agreement, which includes the level of eligible accounts receivable. The revolving facility also supports the issuance of letters of credit, places certain restrictions on the Company's ability to pay dividends or make acquisitions, and includes covenants that require minimum operating profit levels and limit annual capital expenditures. Borrowings under the revolving facility were originally collateralized by the Company's accounts receivable and inventory.

In December 2008, the Company and Associated Bank entered into a first amendment to the revolving facility, the primary purpose of which was to extend the maturity date of the revolving facility to November 2010. In February 2010, the Company and Associated Bank entered into a second amendment to the revolving facility, the purpose of which was to (i) extend the maturity date of the revolving facility to December 15, 2010, (ii) establish minimum adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization requirements for the periods ending March 31, 2010, June 30, 2010 and September 30, 2010; (iii) reduce the commitment amount of Associated Bank from \$10.0 million to \$7.5 million; (iv) establish maximum cash amounts the Company can contribute to its defined benefit pension plan during calendar year 2010; and (v) broaden the security interest of Associated Bank to collateralize all assets of the Company. There were no amounts outstanding on the amended revolving facility as of October 3, 2010. The Company is currently in compliance with all covenants of the revolving facility, as amended. The Company intends to renew its revolving credit facility with Associated Bank, which matures in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.

12.

Index

Item 2 – Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Magnetek, Inc. (“Magnetek,” “the Company,” “we,” or “us”) is a global provider of digital power control systems that are used to control motion and power primarily in material handling, elevator and energy delivery applications. Our systems consist primarily of programmable motion control and power conditioning systems used in the following applications: overhead cranes and hoists, elevators, coal mining equipment; and renewable energy applications. We believe that with our technical and productive resources, application expertise, broad product offerings and sales channel capabilities, we are well positioned to respond to increasing demand in our served markets. Our operations are located in North America, predominantly in Menomonee Falls, Wisconsin, our Company headquarters.

Our product offerings for material handling applications include drive systems, radio remote controls, and braking, collision-avoidance, and electrification subsystems, sold primarily to original equipment manufacturers (“OEMs”) of overhead cranes and hoists. We have a significant market share in North America in alternating current (“AC”) control systems and believe we have growth opportunities in wireless radio controls, direct current (“DC”) control systems for retrofit applications and in automating existing manual material handling processes.

Our product offerings for elevator applications are comprised of highly integrated subsystems and drives used to control motion primarily in high rise, high speed elevator applications. Our products are sold mainly to elevator OEMs and we have a significant share of the available market for DC drives and subsystems used in high-rise elevators used primarily in retrofit projects. We believe we have opportunities for growth in available elevator markets by introducing new energy-saving product offerings for both AC and DC applications, expanding the breadth of our product offerings to include competitive low-end products for lower performance AC applications, and using our new product offerings to expand geographically.

Our product offerings for energy delivery applications include power inverters for renewable energy applications, including wind turbines, which deliver AC power to the utility grid from generators inside wind turbines. Renewable energy markets have grown rapidly in North America over the past several years as both wind and solar power have become increasingly competitive from a cost standpoint with more traditional methods of power generation, and as governmental entities enact renewable energy portfolio standards. The credit crisis and economic recession had a worldwide impact on solar and wind projects throughout much of calendar 2009 as these markets are heavily dependent on availability of financing over extended periods of time. More recently, renewable energy projects are increasingly gaining financing and many end markets are showing signs of recovery. We continue to believe our product offerings have us well positioned to take advantage of growth in renewable energy markets as credit conditions continue to improve and as capital to fund projects becomes more readily available.

Continuing Operations

We focus on a variety of key indicators to monitor our business performance. These indicators include order rates, sales growth, gross profit margin, operating profit margin, net income, earnings per share, and working capital and cash flow measures. These indicators are compared to our operating plans as well as to our prior year actual results, and are used to measure our success relative to our objectives. Our Company objectives are to grow sales at least 10% on a year-over year basis, to achieve 30% gross margins and 10% operating profit margins, and to generate sufficient cash flow to fund our operations and meet our obligations.

The global economic recession resulted in a U.S. industrial slowdown and decline in capital spending, which began to negatively impact our business during the second half of fiscal 2009 and continued to impact us throughout much of fiscal 2010. Demand for material handling product offerings is influenced by cyclical forces in the industrial marketplace, and during fiscal 2010, we experienced softening demand in certain of our served markets, principally in the automotive and primary metals industries. As a result, our sales decreased 18% in fiscal 2010 from fiscal 2009 levels. However, over the past two fiscal quarters, we have seen indicators of improvements in certain of our served markets, including material handling markets, our largest served market. Accordingly, we believe our sales and operating results will continue to improve at a measured pace during fiscal 2011 as U.S. manufacturing capacity utilization rates continue to increase. The anticipated improvement in our future operating performance is supported by \$24.9 million of incoming orders received (“bookings”) during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 (ended October 3, 2010), an increase of 32% from bookings of \$18.8 million in the prior year first quarter. In addition, bookings of product for material handling applications were \$16.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, an increase of 26% over the prior year first quarter bookings. We entered the second quarter of fiscal 2011 with a total backlog of \$22.8 million, an increase of 112% from our backlog entering the second quarter one year ago.

13.

Index

First quarter fiscal 2011 sales were \$24.9 million, a nearly 40% increase over prior year first quarter sales of \$17.8 million, as we experienced year-over-year sales growth in each of our major served markets. Fiscal 2011 first quarter gross profit increased to \$7.5 million, or 30.3% of sales, compared to \$5.6 million, or 31.5% of sales in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. We reported a pre-tax income from operations of \$0.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2011 compared to a prior year pre-tax loss from operations of \$1.3 million, due mainly to higher sales volume and lower pension expense, which decreased by \$0.3 million to \$1.7 million in fiscal 2011 from fiscal 2010 levels. In addition, our cash balances increased by \$1.7 million during the first quarter of fiscal 2011 to nearly \$10 million, even after contributing \$2.4 million to our defined benefit pension plan. In summary, the majority of our key indicators, including order rates, sales levels, profit margins and cash flow, improved significantly over the levels of one year ago.

We believe that future sustained profitability is largely dependent upon increased sales revenue and continued improvement in gross margins. In addition, a further increase in the valuation of our pension plan assets or increases in interest rates, primarily related to long-term high-quality corporate bond rates, would favorably impact our periodic pension expense. Our past sales growth has been, and we believe future sales growth will continue to be, dependent on strong demand for material handling products, our customers' ability to obtain financing and willingness to invest in the current economic environment, successful introduction and increasing acceptance of new products, and a continuing recovery in renewable energy markets.

Further improvement in gross margins is mainly dependent upon favorable economic conditions, continued acceptance of recently introduced product offerings by the marketplace, and ongoing successful cost reduction actions related to recently introduced product offerings.

We intend to focus our development and marketing efforts on internal sales growth opportunities across all product lines, with an emphasis on development and enhancement of energy efficient power control products and systems, and also plan to tightly control our operating expenses. Our pension expense is expected to decrease to \$6.5 million in fiscal 2011 from \$8.2 million in fiscal 2010, mainly due to positive returns on plan assets experienced during fiscal 2010.

Our current outlook projects a 15% to 20% sales increase in fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010, and we are currently projecting fiscal 2011 gross margins to be near our stated goal of 30% of sales. Total operating expenses are expected to remain relatively flat compared to fiscal 2010 total operating expenses of \$26.4 million. Our current projections reflect the previously discussed reduction in pension expense, which is expected to be offset by higher selling expenses, increased spending on research and development, and increased incentive compensation provisions. While we currently expect to report income from continuing operations for fiscal 2011, this is dependent mainly on achieving our sales growth objectives during fiscal 2011. While we believe overall economic conditions are improving and the U.S. economic recovery is continuing at a deliberate pace, given the nearly unprecedented economic circumstances we've faced over the past year, it is very difficult to predict the duration or the magnitude of the current economic recovery, whether in the U.S. overall or in the specific end markets we serve.

Discontinued Operations

Certain expenses related to previously divested businesses have been classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and footnotes for all periods presented (see Note 2 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). Expenses related to previously divested businesses have historically included certain expenses for environmental matters, asbestos claims and product liability claims (see Note 4 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). All of these issues relate to businesses we no longer own and most relate to indemnification agreements that we entered into when we divested those businesses.

Going forward, our results of discontinued operations may include additional costs incurred related to businesses no longer owned, and may include additional costs above those currently estimated and accrued related to the divestiture of our telecom power systems (“TPS”) business, which was divested in September 2008, and our power electronics business, which was divested in October 2006.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates from the information provided in Item 7, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations”, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 27, 2010.

Index

Results of Operations - Three Months Ended October 3, 2010 and September 27, 2009

Net Sales and Gross Profit

Net sales for the three months ended October 3, 2010, were \$24.9 million, an increase of nearly 40% from the three months ended September 27, 2009, sales of \$17.8 million. The increase in sales was primarily due to higher sales volumes in our energy systems product line as a result of increased orders and delivery of wind inverters as well as an additional week in the current quarter. Net sales by product line were as follows, in millions:

| | Three Months Ended | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----|---|--------------------|-----|---|
| | October 3, 2010 | | | September 27, 2009 | | |
| Material handling | \$ 12.9 | 52 | % | \$ 10.9 | 61 | % |
| Elevator motion control | 5.4 | 22 | % | 5.0 | 28 | % |
| Energy systems | 6.6 | 26 | % | 1.9 | 11 | % |
| Total net sales | \$ 24.9 | 100 | % | \$ 17.8 | 100 | % |