CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT Corp

Act). Yes o No x

date.

August 02, 2016 UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549		
FORM 10-Q		
(Mark One) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 C	OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES E	XCHANGE ACT OF
For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2016		
or		
TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 O $^{\rm o}$ 1934	OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES E	XCHANGE ACT OF
For the transition period from to Commission File No. 1-10410		
CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)		
Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	62-1411755 (I.R.S. Employer Identification N	No.)
One Caesars Palace Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada	89109	
(Address of principal executive offices) (702) 407-6000	(Zip Code)	
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) N/A		
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if chan	ged since last report)	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed al Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 mc required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such 90 days. Yes x No o	onths (or for such shorter period that	
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (of to submit and post such files). Yes x No o	posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Re	egulation S-T
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accel or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):		
Large accelerated filero	Accelerated filer	X
Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell comp		

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable

Class Outstanding at August 1, 2016

Common stock, \$0.01 par value 146,922,790

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION INDEX

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	<u>Unaudited Financial Statements</u>	
	Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations	<u>4</u>
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Stockholders' Equity/(Deficit)	<u>4</u> <u>5</u>
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows	<u>6</u>
	Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements	<u>7</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>40</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>57</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>57</u>
PART II	. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>58</u>
Item 1A.	. Risk Factors	<u>59</u>
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	<u>59</u>
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>59</u>
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	<u>59</u>
Item 5.	Other Information	<u>59</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>60</u>
<u>SIGNAT</u>	<u>rure</u> s	<u>63</u>

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Unaudited Financial Statements

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

(In millions)	June 30, 2016 December 31, 2015					
Assets						
Current assets Coch and coch against (\$1,123 and \$1,060 attributable to our VIEs)	\$ 1,525	\$ 1,338				
Cash and cash equivalents (\$1,133 and \$1,060 attributable to our VIEs)	56 56	ъ 1,336 59				
Restricted cash (\$4 and \$4 attributable to our VIEs)						
Receivables, net (\$129 and \$123 attributable to our VIEs)	200	193				
Due from affiliates (\$25 and \$32 attributable to our VIEs)	25	32				
Prepayments and other current assets (\$58 and \$51 attributable to our VIEs)	126	128				
Inventories (\$5 and \$7 attributable to our VIEs)	16	21				
Total current assets	1,948	1,771				
Property and equipment, net (\$2,576 and \$2,620 attributable to our VIEs)	7,511	7,598				
Goodwill (\$294 and \$294 attributable to our VIEs)	1,696	1,696				
Intangible assets other than goodwill (\$233 and \$251 attributable to our VIEs)	500	543				
Restricted cash (\$5 and \$9 attributable to our VIEs)	5	109				
Deferred income taxes (\$20 and \$28 attributable to our VIEs)	20	28				
Deferred charges and other assets (\$250 and \$260 attributable to our VIEs)	437	450				
Total assets	\$ 12,117	\$ 12,195				
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity/(Deficit)						
Current liabilities						
Accounts payable (\$116 and \$141 attributable to our VIEs)	\$ 165	\$ 179				
Due to affiliates (\$15 and \$15 attributable to our VIEs)	17	16				
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities (\$245 and \$272 attributable to our VIEs)	631	588				
Accrued restructuring and support expenses	3,170	905				
Interest payable (\$36 and \$37 attributable to our VIEs)	127	131				
Current portion of long-term debt (\$23 and \$70 attributable to our VIEs)	71	187				
Total current liabilities	4,181	2,006				
Long-term debt (\$2,262 and \$2,267 attributable to our VIEs)	6,763	6,777				
Deferred income taxes (\$2 and \$4 attributable to our VIEs)	1,004	991				
Deferred credits and other liabilities (\$218 and \$138 attributable to our VIEs)	265	188				
Total liabilities	12,213	9,962				
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)	12,213),702				
Stockholders' equity/(deficit)						
Caesars stockholders' equity/(deficit)	(1,394	987				
Noncontrolling interests	1,298	1,246				
	·	1,240				
Total stockholders' equity/(deficit) Total lightliftes and stockholders' equity/(deficit)						
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity/(deficit)	\$ 12,117	\$ 12,195				

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

	Three Mo Ended Ju	ne 30,	Six Mont Ended Ju	ne 30,
(In millions, except per share data)	2016	2015	2016	2015
Revenues				
Casino	\$545	\$543	\$1,075	\$1,203
Food and beverage	204	203	410	429
Rooms	235	221	464	443
Interactive entertainment	248	186	476	363
Other revenue	130	121	245	246
Less: casino promotional allowances	(132)	(133)	(272)	(289)
Net revenues	1,230	1,141	2,398	2,395
Operating expenses				
Direct				
Casino	279	278	564	634
Food and beverage	100	99	193	202
Rooms	63	57	122	113
Platform fees	68	51	132	100
Property, general, administrative, and other	385	305	716	655
Depreciation and amortization	109	96	228	198
Corporate expense	41	45	82	91
Other operating costs	21	24	43	72
Total operating expenses	1,066	955	2,080	2,065
Income from operations	164	186	318	330
Interest expense		(147)		(384)
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other		7	(2,263)	
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes		46		7,042
Income tax benefit/(provision)	(31)			(188)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations, net of income taxes	. ,	50	. ,	6,854
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes				(7)
Net income/(loss)	(2,043)	50	(2,317)	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	, ,			(60)
Net income/(loss) attributable to Caesars	\$(2,077)		\$(2,385)	,
	1 ())	, -	, ())	, -,
Earnings/(loss) per share - basic and diluted				
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	\$(14.25)	\$0.10	\$(16.39)	\$46.93
Basic loss per share from discontinued operations	_	_	_	(0.04)
Basic earnings/(loss) per share	\$(14.25)	\$0.10	\$(16.39)	,
Duste curmings/(1088) per shure	Ψ(1 1.25)	φοιτο	Ψ(10.5)	ψ 10.05
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	\$(14.25)	\$0.10	\$(16.39)	\$46.31
Diluted loss per share from discontinued operations	— (1 _ e)	—	— (10.0)	(0.04)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share	\$(14.25)	\$0.10	\$(16.39)	
Zitatea cariningsi (1999) per sitate	Ψ(11.23)	Ψ0.10	Ψ(10.57)	ψ 10.2 <i>1</i>
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic	146	145	146	145

Weighted-average common shares outstanding - diluted 146 147 146 147

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY/(DEFICIT) (UNAUDITED)

Caesars Stockholders' Equity/(Deficit)

	Cacsars St	CKIIOIGCIS	Equity/(Del						
		Additiona	ıl	Accumula				_	
(In millions)	Confineausun			Other	Caesars	Noncontr	olliī	_	
(111 1111110110)	Stocktock	Capital	Accumulate Deficit	Comprehe	n Stve khold	ersInterests	Е	Equity/(De	eficit)
		Cupitui	Bellett	Income/(L	asquity/(De	eficit)			
Balance as of December 31, 2014	\$1 \$ (19)	\$8,140	\$(13,104)	\$ (15)	\$ (4,997) \$ 255	\$	6 (4,742)
Net income	— —	—	6,787	_	6,787	60	6	5,847	
Share-based compensation	— (2)	31		_	29		2	29	
Elimination of CEOC									
noncontrolling interest and		_	_	16	16	854	8	370	
deconsolidation (1)									
Decrease in noncontrolling									
interests, net of distributions and			_			(8) (8)
contributions									
Other		(4)		1	(3) 15	1	2	
Balance as of June 30, 2015	\$1 \$ (21)	\$8,167	\$(6,317)	\$ 2	\$ 1,832	\$ 1,176	\$	3,008	
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$1 \$ (21)	\$8,191	\$(7,185)	\$ 1	\$ 987	\$ 1,246	\$	5 2,233	
Net income/(loss)		_	(2,385)	_	(2,385) 68	(2	2,317)
Share-based compensation	— (5)	24	_	_	19		1	9	
CIE stock transactions, net		(15)		_	(15) (5) (2	20)
Change in noncontrolling interest									
net of distributions and						(8) (8)
contributions						•			
Other						(3) (.	3)
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$1 \$ (26)	\$8,200	\$(9,570)	\$ 1	\$ (1,394) \$ 1,298		5 (96)
·	` /	•				*		•	-

⁽¹⁾ The effect of the deconsolidation of CEOC. See Note 1.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

(In millions) Cash flows provided by operating activities	Six M Ended 2016 \$366	Jı	ine 30, 2015	
Cash flows from investing activities Acquisitions of property and equipment, net of change in related payables Deconsolidation of CEOC cash Increase in restricted cash Decrease in restricted cash Proceeds from the sale and maturity of investments	(106 — (6 113 24	ĺ	(227 (958 (30 41))
Payments to acquire investments Other Cash flows provided by/(used in) investing activities Cash flows from financing activities	(8 (1 16			⊦)
Proceeds from long-term debt and revolving credit facilities Repayments of long-term debt and revolving credit facilities Payment of contingent consideration Repurchase of CIE management shares	80 (221 — (43	-	190 (258 (32 (38)
Distributions to noncontrolling interest owners Other Cash flows used in financing activities Cash flows from discontinued operations	(13 2 (195)	(15 6 (147)
Cash flows used in operating activities Cash used in discontinued operations Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period			(7 (7 (1,227 2,806	
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period Supplemental Cash Flow Information: Cash paid for interest	\$1,525 \$290	5	\$1,579 \$403	9
Cash paid for income taxes Non-cash investing and financing activities: Change in accrued capital expenditures	46 (8)	35 (11)

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

In this filing, the name "CEC" refers to the parent holding company, Caesars Entertainment Corporation, exclusive of its consolidated subsidiaries and variable interest entities, unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires. The words "Company," "Caesars," "Caesars Entertainment," "we," "our," and "us" refer to Caesars Entertainment Corporation, inclusive of its consolidated subsidiaries and variable interest entities, unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires.

This Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 ("2015 10-K").

We also refer to (i) our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements as our "Financial Statements," (ii) our Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as our "Statements of Operations," and (iii) our Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as our "Balance Sheets."

Note 1 - Description of Business

Organization

CEC is primarily a holding company with no independent operations of its own. CEC owns 100% of Caesars Entertainment Resort Properties, LLC ("CERP") and an interest in Caesars Growth Partners, LLC ("CGP"). We also consolidate the results of Caesars Interactive Entertainment, Inc. ("CIE"), a majority owned subsidiary of CGP that operates an online games business and owns the World Series of Poker ("WSOP") tournaments and brand (see Note 16). As of June 30, 2016, CERP and CGP owned a total of 12 casino properties in the United States, eight of which are in Las Vegas. These eight casino properties represented 53% of consolidated net revenues for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2016.

CEC also holds a majority interest in Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. ("CEOC"). The results of CEOC and its subsidiaries are no longer consolidated with Caesars subsequent to CEOC and certain of its United States subsidiaries (the "Debtors") voluntarily filing for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") on January 15, 2015.

Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC

Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC ("CES") is a services joint venture formed by CERP, CEOC, and Caesars Growth Properties Holdings, LLC ("CGPH") (collectively, the "Members"). CES provides certain corporate and administrative services for the Members' casino properties and related entities, including substantially all of the 28 casino properties owned by CEOC, and 7 casinos owned by unrelated third parties (including four Indian tribal casinos). CES manages certain assets for the casinos to which it provides services and the other assets it owns, licenses or controls, and employs certain of the corresponding employees. Under the terms of the joint venture and the Omnibus License and Enterprise Services Agreement, CEC and its operating subsidiaries continue to have access to the services historically provided to us by CEOC and its employees, its trademarks, and its programs despite the CEOC bankruptcy filing. Reportable Segments

We view each casino property and CIE as operating segments and currently aggregate all such casino properties and CIE into three reportable segments based on management's view, which aligns with their ownership and underlying credit structures: CERP, Caesars Growth Partners Casino Properties and Developments ("CGP Casinos"), and CIE. CGP Casinos is comprised of all subsidiaries of CGP excluding CIE. CEOC remained a reportable segment until its deconsolidation effective January 15, 2015.

Announced Merger with Caesars Acquisition Company

In 2014, Caesars and Caesars Acquisition Company ("CAC") entered into a merger agreement, which was amended and restated on July 9, 2016 (the "Merger Agreement"). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, among other things, CAC will merge with and into Caesars, with Caesars as the surviving company (the "Merger"). Subject to the terms and conditions

of the Merger Agreement, upon consummation of the Merger, each share of CAC common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the effective date of the Merger will be converted into, and become exchangeable for, shares of CEC common stock in a ratio to ensure that holders of CAC common stock receive shares equal to 27% of the outstanding CEC common stock on a fully diluted basis (prior to the conversion of the CEC Convertible Notes being issued as part of the Restructuring, as defined below) (the "Exchange Ratio").

The Exchange Ratio may be subject to change, and Caesars or CAC may terminate the Merger Agreement under certain circumstances.

We expect the Merger to be accounted for as a transaction among entities under common control, which will result in CAC being consolidated into Caesars at book value as an equity transaction.

Going Concern

Overview

As a result of the following circumstances, we have substantial doubt about CEC's ability to continue as a going concern:

we have limited cash available to meet the financial commitments of CEC, primarily resulting from significant expenditures made to (1) defend against the litigation matters disclosed below and (2) support a plan of reorganization for CEOC (the "Restructuring");

we have made material future commitments to support the Restructuring described below: and

we are a defendant in litigation relating to certain CEOC transactions dating back to 2010 and other legal matters (see Note 3) that could result in one or more adverse rulings against us.

The completion of the Merger is expected to aid CEC in meeting its previously disclosed financial commitments to support the Restructuring. While the cash forecast at CEC currently contemplates liquidity to be sufficient through the end of the year, the CEC cash balance will be consumed by expenses associated with the Restructuring unless we identify additional sources of liquidity to meet CEC's ongoing obligations as well as its commitments to support the Restructuring. We are evaluating whether we are able to obtain additional sources of cash. Furthermore, if the Merger is not completed for any reason, CEC would still be liable for many of these obligations.

Under the terms of the Restructuring, all related litigation is expected to be resolved. However, if CEC is unable to obtain additional sources of cash when needed, in the event of a material adverse ruling on one or all of the litigation matters disclosed below, or if CEOC does not emerge from bankruptcy on a timely basis on terms and under circumstances satisfactory to CEC, it is likely that CEC would seek reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

We believe that CERP and CGP's cash and cash equivalents, their cash flows from operations, and/or financing available under their separate revolving credit facilities will be sufficient to meet their normal operating requirements, to fund planned capital expenditures, and to fund debt service during the next 12 months and the foreseeable future. CEOC Reorganization

On June 28, 2016, the Debtors filed an amended plan of reorganization (the "Amended Plan") with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Illinois in Chicago (the "Bankruptcy Court") that replaces and provides for different terms than the Initial Plan filed in October 2015. CEC, CAC, the Debtors and multiple CEOC creditor groups have agreed to support and vote in favor of the Amended Plan. The confirmation hearing for the Amended Plan has been scheduled for January 2017.

In connection with the Amended Plan, the following agreements with respect to the CEOC reorganization were either entered into or amended (collectively, the "RSAs"):

- (a) First Amended Restructuring Support and Forbearance Agreement dated June 20, 2016, with certain parties holding claims under CEOC's first lien credit agreement (the "First Lien Bank RSA");
- (b) Restructuring Support and Forbearance Agreement dated June 21, 2016, with certain parties holding claims under CEOC's subsidiary guaranteed notes (the "SGN RSA");
- (c) First Amended and Restated Restructuring Support, Settlement, and Contribution Agreement dated July 9, 2016, with CEOC (the "CEC RSA");

Amended and Restated Restructuring Support Agreement dated July 9, 2016, with CAC and CEOC (the "CAC (d) RSA");

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

- (e) Restructuring Support and Settlement Agreement dated June 22, 2016, with the unsecured claimholders' committee in the Chapter 11 cases (the "UCC RSA"); and
- (f) Restructuring Support and Forbearance Agreement dated July 31, 2016, with certain parties holding claims under CEOC's second lien note agreements (the "Second Lien Note RSA") (see Note 16).

The "Effective Date" of the Restructuring (the material terms of which are contained in the RSAs and the Amended Plan) is the date upon which all required conditions of the Restructuring have been satisfied or waived and on which the CEOC reorganization and related transactions become effective.

Due to the amount of consensus indicated among the Amended Plan and the RSAs and the status of negotiations with certain of CEOC's other creditor groups, we believe it is probable that certain obligations described in the Amended Plan and the RSAs will ultimately be settled, and therefore, we have accrued the items described in the table below that are estimable in accrued restructuring and support expenses on the balance sheets. During the second quarter of 2016, we updated our accruals based on the terms of the Amended Plan and the RSAs and recorded an additional \$2.0 billion in deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other in the statement of operations, which increased our total expense to \$2.3 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2016.

To estimate the amount of the restructuring accrual, we allocated the estimated fair value of the total consideration to the acquisition of OpCo (as defined below) with the residual amounts being allocated to the restructuring accrual. We believe our accruals represent the best estimate of our obligations under the Restructuring. However, because (1) negotiations between the various parties are ongoing and (2) the Amended Plan is pending approval by the Bankruptcy Court and the receipt of required gaming regulatory approvals, our accruals are subject to change. Additionally, a substantial amount of the accrual relates to the expected issuance of CEC equity and convertible notes. Although, pursuant to the terms of the Amended Plan, as certain obligations will ultimately be settled in exchange for CEC equity and convertible notes, we have used the estimated current fair value of the CEC equity and convertible notes for accrual purposes. The value of the CEC common stock and convertible notes issuable upon consummation of the Merger and other transactions as contemplated in the Amended Plan are subject to change and will likely differ from the current value of such instruments. As a result, we expect to adjust the fair value of the accrual on a quarterly basis pending the determination of the actual fair value of the securities issued upon the consummation of the Merger and the Amended Plan. See Note 7 for additional information on our fair value measurements.

We are also party to the Fifth Amended and Restated Restructuring Support and Forbearance Agreement dated October 7, 2015, with certain parties holding claims under CEOC's first lien notes (the "First Lien Bond RSA") that includes terms different than those included in the Amended Plan and the RSAs listed above, but has not yet been amended or terminated. However, our accruals with respect to such claims have been adjusted based on the more current terms in the Amended Plan, which exceed the amounts contemplated by the First Lien Bond RSA. Additionally, although a majority of the parties holding claims under CEOC second lien notes have not yet agreed to the terms contemplated in the Second Lien Note RSA, we have accrued such amounts, as appropriate, as they exceed the amounts contemplated by the Amended Plan, and our accrual represents our best estimate of our obligations.

Accrued Restructuring and Support Expenses

			Accrue	d as of
(Dollars in millions)	Initial Plan Terms	Amende Plan	d June 30	Dele mber 31, 2015
Cash to Debtors and forbearance fees ("Fixed Payments") in connection with Restructuring $^{(1)}$	th \$ 406	\$ 406	\$320	\$ 320
Contingent payment to CEOC if there is insufficient liquidity at the Effective Date (2)	75			_
"Additional Consideration" for the period from February 1, 2016 through the Effective Date for the benefit of the First Lien Noteholders (2)	\$25 per month		_	162
"Upfront Payments" to certain First Lien Bank Lender®	63	63	2	2
"Bank Guaranty Settlement" to purchase from the Settling First Lien Bank				
Lenders 100% of their respective First Lien Bank Obligations that survive the Effective Date (4)	460	579	579	386
Issuance of CEC convertible notes for the settlement of litigation claims and potential claims against CEC ⁽⁵⁾	_	1,000	1,060	_
Issuance of CEC common shares for the settlement of litigation claims and potential claims against CEC $^{(6)}$	_		1,167	_
Professional fees for subsidiary guaranteed note lenders	_	2	2	_
Consideration for general unsecured claims	_	5	5	_
Total accrued			\$3,135	\$ 870

^{(1) \$86} million was paid in fourth quarter of 2015.

⁽²⁾ This provision is included in the First Lien Bond RSA, but has been omitted from the Amended Plan and the other RSAs.

^{(3) \$61} million was paid in fourth quarter of 2015.

⁽⁴⁾ Amount payable is subject to the excess cash projected to be held by CEOC on the Effective Date.

Accrual represents the estimated fair value of the \$1.0 billion in face value of convertible notes CEC expects to

⁽⁵⁾ issue as part of the Amended Plan. The convertible notes mature within seven years of issuance, prior to which they can be converted to a number of shares equal to 12.195% of CEC's fully diluted outstanding shares as of the Effective Date (subject to limitation). See Note 7 for additional information on fair value measurements.

Accrual represents the estimated fair value of the portion of CEC common shares expected to be issued as part of the Amended Plan for the settlement of claims. This fair value does not include the value of CEC common shares.

the Amended Plan for the settlement of claims. This fair value does not include the value of CEC common shares expected to be issued in exchange for OpCo preferred stock, described below. See Note 7 for additional information on fair value measurements.

Other Commitments Under the Amended Plan

The following represents other commitments or potential obligations that CEC has agreed to as part of the Amended Plan and certain of the RSAs, none of which have been accrued as of June 30, 2016.

Purchase 100% of OpCo common stock for \$700 million (1)

Issuance of CEC common shares in exchange for OpCo preferred stock

Purchase 5% of PropCo equity for \$91 million (2)

PropCo has right of first refusal on all new domestic non-Las Vegas gaming facility opportunities, with CEC or OpCo leasing such properties

PropCo receives a call right to purchase listed properties: Harrah's Atlantic City, Harrah's Laughlin, and Harrah's New Orleans (subject to the terms of the CERP and CGPH credit agreements)

Guarantee of OpCo's payment obligations to PropCo under the leases of the CEOC Properties Guarantee of OpCo debt received by the First Lien Bank Lenders and First Lien Noteholders

The acquisitions of OpCo equity and PropCo equity represent future investment transactions and will be recorded when (or if) the transactions are consummated. The PropCo right of refusal and call right to purchase the listed properties are either not estimable or not financial obligations that would require accrual. The guarantee of OpCo payment or debt obligations relate to contracts or debt instruments that do not yet exist, and thus do not give rise to any obligations for CEC as of June 30, 2016.

Payment to CEOC. In addition, and separate from the transactions and agreements described above, because there was not a comprehensive out-of-court restructuring of CEOC's debt securities or a prepackaged or prearranged in-court restructuring with requisite voting support from each of the first and second lien secured creditor classes by February 15, 2016, the agreement contemplates an additional payment to CEOC of \$35 million from CEC, which CEOC has demanded. During the first quarter of 2015, we accrued this liability in accrued restructuring and support expenses on the consolidated balance sheet, and this amount is currently due and payable.

CEC Liquidity

Caesars Entertainment (which includes CEC and its consolidated subsidiaries and VIEs) is a highly-leveraged company and had \$7.0 billion in consolidated debt outstanding as of June 30, 2016. As a result, a significant portion of our liquidity needs are for debt service, including significant interest payments. As detailed in Note 9, our consolidated estimated debt service (including principal and interest) for the remainder of 2016 is \$312 million and \$9.4 billion thereafter to maturity. See Note 9 for details of our debt outstanding and related restrictive covenants. This includes, among other information, details of our individual borrowings outstanding and each subsidiary's annual maturities of long-term debt as of June 30, 2016.

Cash and Available Revolver Capacity

1	June 3	0, 201	6	
(In millions)		1	CGP	Other
Cash and cash equivalents	\$191	\$104	\$1,029	\$201
Revolver capacity	270		160	_
Revolver capacity drawn or committed to letters of credit	(15)		_	

^{(1) &}quot;OpCo" refers to the proposed entity resulting from the Restructuring that will operate the CEOC Properties under a lease with PropCo. "CEOC Properties" refers to those properties owned by CEOC as of the Petition Date.

[&]quot;PropCo" refers to the proposed entity resulting from the Restructuring that will own the CEOC Properties as of the

⁽²⁾ Effective Date. This commitment is dependent on the ultimate legal structure of the entities formed as part of the Restructuring.

Consolidated cash and cash equivalents, excluding restricted cash, as shown in the table above include amounts held by CERP, CGP, and CES, which are not readily available to CEC. "Other" reflects CEC and certain of its direct subsidiaries, including its insurance captives.

CEC is primarily a holding company with no independent operations, employees, or material debt issuances of its own. Its primary assets as of June 30, 2016, consist of \$201 million in cash and cash equivalents and its ownership interests in CEOC, CERP and CGP. CEC's cash includes \$103 million held by its insurance captives. Provisions included in certain debt arrangements entered into by CERP and CGP (and/or their respective subsidiaries) substantially restrict the ability of CERP, CGP, and their subsidiaries to provide dividends to CEC. In addition, CEC does not receive any financial benefit from CEOC during CEOC's bankruptcy, as all earnings and cash flows are retained by CEOC for the benefit of its creditors.

CEC has no requirement to fund the operations of CERP, CGP, or their subsidiaries. Accordingly, CEC cash outflows are primarily used for corporate development opportunities and other corporate-level activity, including defending itself in the litigation in which it has been named as a defendant (see Note 3). CEC is generally limited to raising additional capital through borrowings or equity transactions because it has no operations of its own and the restrictions on its subsidiaries under lending arrangements generally prevent the distribution of cash from the subsidiaries to CEC, except for certain restricted payments that CERP and CGPH are authorized to make in accordance with their lending arrangements. In the first quarter of 2016, \$100 million in cash that had previously been restricted by management for use in a casino development project became available for CEC's use in operations.

Guarantee of Collection

In 2014, CEOC amended its senior secured credit facilities (the "Bank Amendment") resulting in, among other things, a modification of CEC's guarantee under the senior secured credit facilities such that CEC's guarantee was limited to a guarantee of collection ("CEC Collection Guarantee") with respect to obligations owed to the lenders who consented to the Bank Amendment. The CEC Collection Guarantee requires the creditors to exhaust all rights and remedies at law and in equity that the creditors or their agents may have against CEOC or any of its subsidiaries and its and their respective property to collect, or obtain payment of, the guaranteed amounts. As part of the Bank Guaranty Settlement disclosed above, the CEOC creditors have agreed to eliminate the CEC Collection Guarantee, and we recorded \$579 million as an estimate of the liability based on the terms of the Bank Guaranty Settlement agreement.

Litigation

In addition to financial commitments described above, we have the following outstanding uncertainties for which we have not accrued any amounts, all of which are described in Note 3:

Litigation commenced by Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB on August 4, 2014 (the "Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit");

Litigation commenced by parties on September 3, 2014 and October 2, 2014 (the "Senior Unsecured Lawsuits");

Litigation commenced by UMB Bank on November 25, 2014 (the "Delaware First Lien Lawsuit");

Demands for payment made by Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB on February 13, 2015 (the "February 13 Notice");

Demands for payment made by BOKF, N.A., on February 18, 2015 (see "February 18 Notice");

Litigation commenced by BOKF, N.A. on March 3, 2015 (the "New York Second Lien Lawsuit");

Litigation commenced by UMB Bank on June 15, 2015 (the "New York First Lien Lawsuit");

Litigation commenced by Wilmington Trust, National Association on October 20, 2015 (the "New York Senior Notes Lawsuit"); and

Litigation commenced by Trustees of the National Retirement Fund in January 2015 ("NRF Litigation").

Report of Bankruptcy Examiner

The Bankruptcy Court previously engaged an examiner to investigate possible claims CEOC might have against CEC and/or other entities and individuals. On March 15, 2016, the examiner released his report, which identifies a variety of potential claims against

CEC and certain individuals related to a number of transactions dating back to 2009. Most of the examiner's findings are premised on his view that CEOC was "insolvent" at the time of the applicable transactions and that CEOC did not receive fair value for assets transferred. The examiner's report includes his conclusions on the relative strengths of these possible claims, many of which are described in Note 3. The examiner calculates an estimated range of potential damages for these potential claims from \$3.6 billion to \$5.1 billion, and such calculation does not account for probability of success, likelihood of collection, or the time or cost of litigation.

While this report was prepared at the request of the Bankruptcy Court, none of the findings included therein are legally binding on the Bankruptcy Court or any party. CEC contests most of the examiner's findings, including his findings that CEOC was insolvent at relevant times, that there were breaches of fiduciary duty, that CEOC did not receive fair value for assets transferred, that there were fraudulent transfers, and as to the calculation of damages. CEC believes that each of the challenged transactions was undertaken to provide CEOC with the liquidity and resources required to sustain it and provide time to recover from significant market challenges.

CEC believes that the conclusion of the examination and the release of the report was a necessary step to facilitate ongoing settlement discussions in the CEOC bankruptcy proceedings. In April 2016, CEC, CEOC, and various other constituents began mediation with Joseph Farnan, the former chief judge of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, seeking to reach a mutually agreeable plan of reorganization of CEOC. Despite its disagreements with the examiner's conclusions, CEC has offered to provide substantial value to creditors in settlement as part of the plan of reorganization for CEOC. The mediation is ongoing, with further sessions scheduled over the next few weeks. CEC has been in regular, direct contact with both of CEOC's official creditors' committees, as well as other major creditor constituents, in an ongoing effort to arrive at a consensual plan providing for the timely emergence of CEOC from bankruptcy.

Note 2 — Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements of Caesars have been prepared under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission applicable for interim periods, and therefore, do not include all information and footnotes necessary for complete financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). The results for the interim periods reflect all adjustments (consisting primarily of normal recurring adjustments) that management considers necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. The results of operations for our interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be achieved for the entire 2016 fiscal year. All amounts presented in these consolidated condensed financial statements and notes thereto exclude the operating results and cash flows of CEOC subsequent to January 15, 2015, and the assets, liabilities, and equity of CEOC as of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Consolidation of Subsidiaries and Variable Interest Entities

We consolidate into our financial statements the accounts of all subsidiaries in which we have a controlling financial interest and variable interest entities ("VIEs") for which we or one of our consolidated subsidiaries is the primary beneficiary. Control generally equates to ownership percentage, whereby (1) affiliates that are more than 50% owned are consolidated; (2) investments in affiliates of 50% or less but greater than 20% are generally accounted for using the equity method where we have determined that we have significant influence over the entities; and (3) investments in affiliates of 20% or less are generally accounted for using the cost method.

Consolidation of CGP

Because the equity holders in CGP receive returns disproportionate to their voting interests and substantially all the activities of CGP are related to Caesars, CGP has been determined to be a VIE. CAC is the sole voting member of

CGP. Common control exists between CAC and Caesars through the majority beneficial ownership of both by Hamlet Holdings (as defined in Note 15). The assets held by CGP originally came from Caesars and continue to be intrinsically closely associated with Caesars through the nature of the business, as well as ongoing service and management agreements. Additionally, CEC is expected to receive the majority of the benefits or absorb the majority of the losses from its higher economic participation in CGP. Since CEC is more closely associated with CGP than CAC, we have determined that CEC is the primary beneficiary of CGP and is required to

consolidate them. Neither CAC nor CGP guarantees any of CEC's debt, and the creditors or beneficial holders of CGP have no recourse to the general credit of CEC.

CGP generated net revenues of \$672 million and \$574 million for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$1.3 billion and \$1.1 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Net loss attributable to Caesars related to CGP was \$16 million and \$12 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. Net income attributable to Caesars related to CGP was \$8 million and \$6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively.

CGP was obligated to issue non-voting membership units to CEC in 2016 to the extent that the earnings from CIE's social and mobile games business exceeded a specified threshold amount as of December 31, 2015. In April 2016, CGP issued 32 million Class B non-voting units to CEC, resulting in CEC's economic ownership in CGP increasing from 57.4% to 61.2%. However, there was no effect on our financial statements from this transaction. Consolidation of CES

A steering committee acts in the role of a board of managers for CES with each Member entitled to appoint one representative to the steering committee. Each Member, through its representative, is entitled to a single vote on the steering committee; accordingly, the voting power of the Members does not equate to their ownership percentages. Therefore, when CES was formed, we determined that it was a VIE, and we concluded that CERP was required to consolidate it.

Effective January 1, 2016, we implemented the Financial Accounting Standard Board's (the "FASB") Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2015-02, which amended Topic 810, Consolidations. Applying the amended guidance had no effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Under the guidance in effect prior to ASU No. 2015-02, CERP was determined to be the primary beneficiary of CES, and we consolidated CES through our consolidation of CERP. Under the amended guidance, in determining whether an entity is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, the entity must evaluate whether it has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance through both its direct economic interests in the VIE and its indirect economic interests in the VIE held through related parties. Under the new criteria, when a decision maker exists that holds both power and benefits through its related parties and neither related party holds such power and benefits on their own, the decision maker is determined to be the primary beneficiary. Therefore, we concluded that CEC is the primary beneficiary because our combined economic interest in CES, through our subsidiaries, represents a controlling financial interest.

Expenses incurred by CES are allocated to the casino properties directly or to the Members according to their allocation percentages, subject to annual review. Therefore, CES is a "pass-through" entity that serves as an agent on behalf of the Members at a cost-basis, and is contractually required to fully allocate its costs. CES is designed to have no operating cash flows of its own, and any net income or loss is generally immaterial and is typically subject to allocation to the Members in the subsequent period.

Consolidation Considerations for CEOC

CEOC's filing for reorganization was a reconsideration event for Caesars Entertainment to reevaluate whether consolidation of CEOC continued to be appropriate. We concluded that CEOC is a VIE and that we are not the primary beneficiary of CEOC; therefore, we no longer consolidate CEOC.

Transactions with CEOC are treated as related party transactions for Caesars Entertainment. These transactions include items such as casino management fees paid to CEOC, insurance expenses related to insurance coverage provided to CEOC by Caesars Entertainment, and rent payments by CEOC to CERP under the Octavius Tower lease agreement. See Note 15 for additional information on related party transactions and on the carrying amounts and classification of assets and liabilities that relate to our variable interest in CEOC.

Note 3 — Litigation Litigation Noteholder Disputes

On August 4, 2014, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, solely in its capacity as successor Indenture Trustee for the 10.00% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "10.00% Second-Priority Notes"), on behalf of itself and, it alleges, derivatively on behalf of CEOC, filed a lawsuit (the "Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit") in the Court of Chancery in the State of Delaware against CEC and CEOC, CGP, CAC, CERP, CES, Eric Hession, Gary Loveman, Jeffrey D. Benjamin, David Bonderman, Kelvin L. Davis, Marc C. Rowan, David B. Sambur, and Eric Press. The lawsuit alleges claims for breach of contract, intentional and constructive fraudulent transfer, breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, and corporate waste. The lawsuit seeks (1) an award of money damages; (2) to void certain transfers, the earliest of which dates back to 2010; (3) an injunction directing the recipients of the assets in these transactions to return them to CEOC; (4) a declaration that CEC remains liable under the parent guarantee formerly applicable to the 10.00% Second-Priority Notes; (5) to impose a constructive trust or equitable lien on the transferred assets; and (6) an award to plaintiffs for their attorneys' fees and costs. CEC believes this lawsuit is without merit and is defending itself vigorously. A motion to dismiss this action was filed by CEC and other defendants in September 2014, and the motion was argued in December 2014. During the pendency of its Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings, the action has been automatically stayed with respect to CEOC. The motion to dismiss with respect to CEC was denied on March 18, 2015. In a Verified Supplemental Complaint filed on August 3, 2015, the plaintiff stated that due to CEOC's bankruptcy filing, the continuation of all claims was stayed pursuant to the bankruptcy except for Claims II, III, and X. These are claims against CEC only, for breach of contract in respect of the release of the parent guarantee formerly applicable to the CEOC 10.00% Second-Priority Notes, for declaratory relief in respect of the release of this guarantee, and for violations of the Trust Indenture Act in respect of the release of this guarantee. Fact discovery in the case is complete, and cross-motions for summary judgment have been filed by the parties. On June 15, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's motion for a temporary stay of this proceeding (and others). The stay will remain in effect until August 29, 2016, unless extended.

On September 3, 2014, holders of approximately \$21 million of CEOC 6.50% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016 and 5.75% Senior Unsecured Noted due 2017 (collectively, the "Senior Unsecured Notes") filed suit in federal district court in Manhattan against CEC and CEOC, claiming broadly that an August 12, 2014 Note Purchase and Support Agreement between CEC and CEOC (on the one hand) and certain other holders of the Senior Unsecured Notes (on the other hand) impaired their own rights under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 and the indentures governing the Senior Unsecured Notes. The lawsuit seeks both declaratory and monetary relief. On October 2, 2014, a holder of CEOC's 6.50% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2016 purporting to represent a class of all persons who held these Notes from August 11, 2014 to the present filed a substantially similar suit in the same court, against the same defendants, relating to the same transactions. Both lawsuits (the "Senior Unsecured Lawsuits") were assigned to the same judge. The claims against CEOC have been automatically stayed during its Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceedings. The court denied a motion to dismiss both lawsuits with respect to CEC. The parties have completed fact discovery with respect to both plaintiffs' claims against CEC. On October 23, 2015, plaintiffs in the Senior Unsecured Lawsuits moved for partial summary judgment, and on December 29, 2015, those motions were denied. On December 4, 2015, plaintiff in the action brought on behalf of holders of CEOC's 6.50% Senior Unsecured Notes moved for class certification and briefing has been completed. The judge presiding over these cases recently retired, and a new judge has been appointed to preside over these lawsuits. That judge set a new summary judgment briefing schedule for May and June of 2016 and had indicated his intention to rule on these summary judgment motions on or before July 22, 2016, while also setting trial of remaining issues for August 22, 2016. On June 15, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's

motion for a temporary stay of these proceedings (and others). The stay will remain in effect until August 29, 2016, unless extended.

On November 25, 2014, UMB Bank ("UMB"), as successor indenture trustee for CEOC's 8.50% Senior Secured Notes due 2020 (the "8.50% Senior Secured Notes"), filed a verified complaint (the "Delaware First Lien Lawsuit") in Delaware Chancery Court against CEC, CEOC, CERP, CAC, CGP, CES, and against individual past and present Board members Loveman, Benjamin, Bonderman, Davis, Press, Rowan, Sambur, Hession, Colvin, Kleisner, Swann, Williams, Housenbold, Cohen, Stauber, and Winograd, alleging generally that defendants improperly stripped CEOC of certain assets, wrongfully effected a release of CEC's parent guarantee of the 8.50% Senior Secured Notes and committed other wrongs. Among other things, UMB asked the court to appoint a receiver over CEOC. In addition, the suit pleads claims for fraudulent conveyances/transfers, insider preferences, illegal dividends, declaratory judgment (for breach of contract as regards to the parent guarantee and also as to certain covenants in the bond indenture), tortious interference with contract, breach of fiduciary duty, usurpation of corporate opportunities, and unjust

enrichment, and seeks monetary, equitable and declaratory relief. The lawsuit has been automatically stayed with respect to CEOC during its Chapter 11 bankruptcy process. Pursuant to the First Lien Bond RSA, the lawsuit also has been stayed in its entirety, with the consent of all of the parties to it. The consensual stay will expire upon the termination of the First Lien Bond RSA.

On February 13, 2015, Caesars Entertainment received a Demand For Payment of Guaranteed Obligations (the "February 13 Notice") from Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, in its capacity as successor Trustee for CEOC's 10.00% Second-Priority Notes. The February 13 Notice alleges that CEOC's commencement of its voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy case constituted an event of default under the indenture governing the 10.00% Second-Priority Notes; that all amounts due and owing on the 10.00% Second-Priority Notes therefore immediately became payable; and that Caesars Entertainment is responsible for paying CEOC's obligations on the 10.00% Second-Priority Notes, including CEOC's obligation to timely pay all principal, interest, and any premium due on these notes, as a result of a parent guarantee provision contained in the indenture governing the notes that the February 13 Notice alleges is still binding. The February 13 Notice accordingly demands that Caesars Entertainment immediately pay Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB, cash in an amount of not less than \$3.7 billion, plus accrued and unpaid interest (including without limitation the \$184 million interest payment due December 15, 2014 that CEOC elected not to pay) and accrued and unpaid attorneys' fees and other expenses. The February 13 Notice also alleges that the interest, fees and expenses continue to accrue.

On February 18, 2015, Caesars Entertainment received a Demand For Payment of Guaranteed Obligations (the "February 18 Notice") from BOKF, N.A. ("BOKF"), in its capacity as successor Trustee for CEOC's 12.75% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "12.75% Second-Priority Notes"). The February 18 Notice alleges that CEOC's commencement of its voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy case constituted an event of default under the indenture governing the 12.75% Second-Priority Notes; that all amounts due and owing on the 12.75% Second-Priority Notes therefore immediately became payable; and that CEC is responsible for paying CEOC's obligations on the 12.75% Second-Priority Notes, including CEOC's obligation to timely pay all principal, interest and any premium due on these notes, as a result of a parent guarantee provision contained in the indenture governing the notes that the February 18 Notice alleges is still binding. The February 18 Notice therefore demands that CEC immediately pay BOKF cash in an amount of not less than \$750 million, plus accrued and unpaid interest, accrued and unpaid attorneys' fees, and other expenses. The February 18 Notice also alleges that the interest, fees and expenses continue to accrue.

In accordance with the terms of the applicable indentures, CEC is not subject to the above-described guarantees. As a result, we believe the demands for payment are meritless.

On March 3, 2015, BOKF filed a lawsuit (the "New York Second Lien Lawsuit") against CEC in federal district court in Manhattan, in its capacity as successor trustee for CEOC's 12.75% Second-Priority Notes. On June 15, 2015, UMB filed a lawsuit (the "New York First Lien Lawsuit") against CEC, also in federal district court in Manhattan, in its capacity as successor trustee for CEOC's 11.25% Senior Secured Notes due 2017, 8.50% Senior Secured Notes due 2020, and 9.00% Senior Secured Notes due 2020. Plaintiffs in these actions allege that CEOC's filing of its voluntary Chapter 11 bankruptcy case constitutes an event of default under the indentures governing these notes, causing all principal and interest to become immediately due and payable, and that CEC is obligated to make those payments pursuant to parent guarantee provisions in the indentures governing these notes that plaintiffs allege are still binding. Both plaintiffs bring claims for violation of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, breach of contract, breach of duty of good faith and fair dealing and for declaratory relief and BOKF brings an additional claim for intentional interference with contractual relations. The cases were both assigned to the same judge presiding over the other Parent Guarantee Lawsuits (as defined below) that are taking place in Manhattan. CEC filed its answer to the BOKF complaint on

March 25, 2015, and to the UMB complaint on August 10, 2015. On June 25, 2015, and June 26, 2015, BOKF and UMB, respectively, moved for partial summary judgment, specifically on their claims alleging a violation of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, seeking both declaratory relief and damages. On August 27, 2015, those motions were denied. The court, on its own motion, certified its order with respect to the interpretation of the Trust Indenture Act for interlocutory appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and on December 22, 2015, the appellate court denied our motion for leave to appeal. On November 20, 2015, BOKF and UMB again moved for partial summary judgment. These motions likewise were denied. The judge presiding over these cases recently retired, and a new judge has been appointed to preside over these lawsuits. That judge set a new summary judgment briefing schedule for May and June of 2016 and had indicated his intention to rule on these summary judgment motions on or before July 22, 2016, while also setting trial of remaining issues for August 22, 2016. On June 15, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's motion for a temporary stay of the BOKF proceedings (and others). UMB has consented to application of the temporary stay to its lawsuit as well. The stay will remain in effect until August 29, 2016, unless extended.

On October 20, 2015, Wilmington Trust, National Association ("Wilmington Trust"), filed a lawsuit (the "New York Senior Notes Lawsuit" and, together with the Delaware Second Lien Lawsuit, the Delaware First Lien Lawsuit, the Senior Unsecured Lawsuits, the New York Second Lien Lawsuit, and the New York First Lien Lawsuit, the "Parent Guarantee Lawsuits") against CEC in federal district court in Manhattan in its capacity as successor indenture trustee for CEOC's 10.75% Senior Notes due 2016 (the "10.75% Senior Notes"). Plaintiff alleges that CEC is obligated to make payment of amounts due on the 10.75% Senior Notes pursuant to a parent guarantee provision in the indenture governing those notes that plaintiff alleges is still in effect. Plaintiff raises claims for violations of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, breach of contract, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, and for declaratory judgment, and seeks monetary and declaratory relief. CEC filed its answer to the complaint on November 23, 2015. As with the other parent guaranty lawsuits taking place in Manhattan, the judge presiding over these cases recently retired, and a new judge has been appointed to preside over these lawsuits. That judge set a new summary judgment briefing schedule for May and June of 2016 and had indicated his intention to rule on these summary judgment motions on or before July 22, 2016, while also setting trial of remaining issues for August 22, 2016. On June 15, 2016, the Bankruptcy Court granted CEOC's motion for a temporary stay of many of the Parent Guarantee Lawsuits. Wilmington Trust has consented to application of the temporary stay to this lawsuit. The stay will remain in effect until August 29, 2016, unless extended.

We believe that the claims and demands described above against CEC are without merit and we intend to defend the Company vigorously. The claims against CEOC have been stayed due to the Chapter 11 process and, except as described above, the actions against CEC have been allowed to continue. See additional disclosure relating to CEOC's Chapter 11 filing in Note 1. We believe that the Noteholder Disputes and the Parent Guarantee Lawsuits have a reasonably possible likelihood of an adverse outcome. Should these matters ultimately be resolved through litigation outside of the financial restructuring of CEOC (the "Financial Restructuring"), and should a court find in favor of the claimants in some or all of the Noteholder Disputes, such determination would likely lead to a CEC reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (see Note 1). We are not able to estimate a range of reasonably possible losses should any of the Noteholder Disputes ultimately be resolved against us, although they could potentially exceed \$11 billion.

CEC-CAC Merger Litigation

On December 30, 2014, Nicholas Koskie, on behalf of himself and, he alleges, all others similarly situated, filed a lawsuit (the "Merger Lawsuit") in the Clark County District Court in the State of Nevada against CAC, CEC and members of the CAC board of directors Marc Beilinson, Philip Erlanger, Dhiren Fonseca, Don Kornstein, Karl Peterson, Marc Rowan, and David Sambur (the individual defendants collectively, the "CAC Directors"). The Merger Lawsuit alleges claims for breach of fiduciary duty against the CAC Directors and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty against CAC and CEC. It seeks (1) an order directing the CAC Directors to fulfill alleged fiduciary duties to CAC in connection with the proposed merger between CAC and CEC announced on December 22, 2014, specifically by announcing their intention to (a) cooperate with bona fide interested parties proposing alternative transactions, (b) ensure that no conflicts exist between the CAC Directors' personal interests and their fiduciary duties to maximize shareholder value in the Merger, or resolve all such conflicts in favor of the latter, and (c) act independently to protect the interests of the shareholders; (2) an order directing the CAC Directors to account for all damages suffered or to be suffered by plaintiff and the putative class as a result of the Merger; and (3) an award to plaintiff for his costs and attorneys' fees. It is unclear whether the Merger Lawsuit also seeks to enjoin the Merger. CEC believes that this lawsuit is without merit and will defend itself vigorously. The deadline to respond to the Merger Lawsuit has been adjourned without a date by agreement of the parties. **Employee Benefit Obligations**

In December 1998, Hilton Hotels Corporation ("Hilton") spun-off its gaming operations as Park Place Entertainment Corporation ("Park Place"). In connection with the spin-off, Hilton and Park Place entered into various agreements, including an Employee Benefits and Other Employment Allocation Agreement dated December 31, 1998 (the "Allocation Agreement") whereby Park Place assumed or retained, as applicable, certain liabilities and excess assets, if any, related to the Hilton Hotels Retirement Plan (the "Hilton Plan") based on the benefits of Hilton employees and Park Place employees. CEOC is the ultimate successor to this Allocation Agreement. In 2013, a lawsuit was settled related to the Hilton Plan, which retroactively and prospectively increased total benefits to be paid under the Hilton Plan. In 2009, we received a letter from Hilton, notifying us of a lawsuit related to the Hilton Plan that alleged that CEC had a potential liability for the additional claims under the terms of the Allocation Agreement.

On December 24, 2014, Hilton sued CEC and CEOC in federal court in Virginia primarily under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA"), and also under state contract and unjust enrichment law theories, for monetary and equitable relief in connection with this ongoing dispute.

On June 9, 2016, CEC, CEOC and the applicable Hilton parties entered into a settlement of the Hilton claims. Under the settlement, Hilton will receive a claim in CEOC's bankruptcy case for an amount equal to \$51 million plus 31.75% for amounts paid by Hilton to the retirement plan due after July 16, 2016. For periods following the effective date of CEOC's plan of reorganization, CEC shall assume all of CEOC's obligation under the Allocation Agreement. In exchange, Hilton shall turn over to CEC the distributions on account of \$24.5 million of Hilton's claim in the CEOC bankruptcy. The settlement amount is fully accrued in liabilities subject to compromise at CEOC, and the settlement is subject to Bankruptcy Court approval and the effectiveness of CEOC's plan of reorganization.

National Retirement Fund

In January 2015, a majority of the Trustees of the National Retirement Fund ("NRF"), a multi-employer defined benefit pension plan, voted to expel the five indirect subsidiaries of CEC which were required to make contributions to the legacy plan of the NRF (the "Five Employers"). The NRF contended that the financial condition of the Five Employers' controlled group (the "CEC Controlled Group") and CEOC's then-potential bankruptcy presented an "actuarial risk" to the plan because, depending on the outcome of any CEOC bankruptcy proceedings, CEC might no longer be liable to the plan for any partial or complete withdrawal liability. As a result, the NRF claimed that the expulsion of the Five Employers constituted a complete withdrawal of the CEC Controlled Group from the plan. CEOC, in its bankruptcy proceedings, has to date not rejected the contribution obligations to the NRF of any of its subsidiary employers. The NRF has advised the CEC Controlled Group (which includes CERP) that the expulsion of the Five Employers has triggered a joint and several withdrawal liability with a present value of approximately \$360 million, payable in 80 quarterly payments of about \$6 million.

Prior to the NRF's vote to expel the Five Employers, the Five Employers reiterated their commitments to remain in the plan and not seek rejection of any collective bargaining agreement in which the obligation to contribute to NRF exists. The Five Employers were current with respect to pension contributions at the time of their expulsion, and are current with respect to pension contributions as of today pursuant to the Standstill Agreement referred to below. We have opposed the various NRF expulsion actions.

On January 8, 2015, prior to the NRF's vote to expel the Five Employers, CEC filed an action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "S.D.N.Y.") against the NRF and its Board of Trustees, seeking a declaratory judgment that they did not have the authority to expel the Five Employers and thus allegedly trigger withdrawal liability for the CEC Controlled Group (the "CEC Action"). On December 25, 2015, the District Judge entered an order dismissing the CEC Action on the ground that CEC's claims in this action must first be arbitrated under ERISA. CEC has appealed this decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. On March 6 and March 27, 2015, CEOC and certain of its subsidiaries filed in the CEOC bankruptcy proceedings two motions to void (a) the purported expulsion of the Five Employers and based thereon the alleged triggering of withdrawal liability for the non-debtor members of the CEC Controlled Group, and (b) a notice and payment demand for quarterly payments of withdrawal liability subsequently made by the NRF to certain non-debtor members of the CEC Controlled Group, respectively, on the ground that each of these actions violated the automatic stay (the "362 Motions"). On November 12, 2015, Bankruptcy Judge Goldgar issued a decision denying the 362 Motions on the ground that the NRF's actions were directed at non-debtors and therefore did not violate the automatic stay. CEOC has appealed this decision to the federal district court in Chicago.

On March 6, 2015, CEOC commenced an adversary proceeding against the NRF and its Board of Trustees in the Bankruptcy Court (the "Adversary Proceeding"). On March 11, 2015, CEOC filed a motion in that Adversary Proceeding to extend the automatic stay in the CEOC bankruptcy proceedings to apply to the NRF's expulsion of the Five Employers (the "105 Motion"). Judge Goldgar has not yet decided the 105 Motion.

On March 20, 2015, CEC, CEOC and CERP, on behalf of themselves and others, entered into a Standstill Agreement with the NRF and its Board of Trustees that, among other things, stayed each member of the CEC Controlled Group's purported obligation to commence making quarterly payments of withdrawal liability and instead required the Five Employers to continue making monthly contribution payments to the NRF, unless and until each of the 362 Motions and the 105 Motion had been denied. As the 105 Motion has not yet been decided, the Standstill Agreement remains in effect.

If both the 105 Motion and CEC's appeal of the CEC Action are denied, then CEC could be required to pay to the NRF joint and several withdrawal liability with a present value of approximately \$360 million, payable in 80 quarterly payments of about \$6

million, while CEC simultaneously arbitrates whether the NRF and its Board of Trustees had the authority to expel the Five Employers and trigger withdrawal liability for the CEC Controlled Group.

Also, on March 18, 2015, the NRF and its fund manager commenced a collection action in the S.D.N.Y. against CEC, CERP and all non-debtor members of the CEC Controlled Group for the payment of the first quarterly payment of withdrawal liability, which the NRF contended was due on March 15, 2015 (the "NRF Action"). On December 25, 2015, the District Judge denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the NRF Action on the ground that the arguments raised by the defendants must first be arbitrated under ERISA. On February 26, 2016, the NRF and its fund manager filed a motion for summary judgment against CEC and CERP for payment of the first quarterly payment of withdrawal liability and for interest, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs. On May 5, 2016, the Magistrate Judge recommended that the NRF Action plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment be granted on the ground that the further arguments raised by CEC and CERP must first be arbitrated under ERISA. On May 19, 2016, CEC and CERP filed their objections to the Report and Recommendation (the "Objections"). On June 2, 2016, the NRF Action plaintiffs filed their response to the Objections. The District Judge has not yet ruled on the Objections. If the District Judge adopts the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, then a judgment could be entered against CEC and CERP for approximately \$8 million comprising the first quarterly payment of withdrawal liability referred to above, interest and liquidated damages under ERISA, which amount would be paid or bonded pending an appeal.

We believe our legal arguments against the actions undertaken by NRF are strong and will pursue them vigorously, and will defend vigorously against the claims raised by the NRF in the NRF Action. Because legal proceedings with respect to this matter are at the preliminary stages, we cannot currently provide assurance as to the ultimate outcome of the matters at issue.

Other Matters

In recent years, governmental authorities have been increasingly focused on anti-money laundering ("AML") policies and procedures, with a particular focus on the gaming industry. In October 2013, CEOC's subsidiary, Desert Palace, Inc. (the owner of and referred to herein as Caesars Palace), received a letter from the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network of the United States Department of the Treasury ("FinCEN"), stating that FinCEN was investigating Caesars Palace for alleged violations of the Bank Secrecy Act to determine whether it is appropriate to assess a civil penalty and/or take additional enforcement action against Caesars Palace. Caesars Palace responded to FinCEN's letter in January 2014. Additionally, we were informed in October 2013 that a federal grand jury investigation regarding anti-money laundering practices of the Company and its subsidiaries had been initiated. CEC and Caesars Palace have been cooperating with FinCEN, the Department of Justice and the Nevada Gaming Control Board (the "GCB") on this matter. On September 8, 2015, FinCEN announced a settlement pursuant to which Caesars Palace agreed to an \$8 million civil penalty for its violations of the Bank Secrecy Act, which penalty shall be treated as a general unsecured claim in Caesars Palace's bankruptcy proceedings. In addition, Caesars Palace agreed to conduct periodic external audits and independent testing of its AML compliance program, report to FinCEN on mandated improvements, adopt a rigorous training regime, and engage in a "look-back" for suspicious transactions. The terms of the FinCEN settlement were approved by the Bankruptcy Court on October 19, 2015.

CEOC and the GCB reached a settlement on the same facts as above, wherein CEC agreed to pay \$1.5 million and provide to the GCB the same information that is reported to FinCEN and to resubmit its updated AML policies. On September 17, 2015, the settlement agreement was approved by the Nevada Gaming Commission. CEOC continues to cooperate with the Department of Justice in its investigation of this matter.

Caesars is party to other ordinary and routine litigation incidental to our business. We do not expect the outcome of any such litigation to have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, as we do not believe it is reasonably possible that we will incur material losses as a result of such litigation.

Note 4 — Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

During 2016, we adopted ASU No. 2015-02, Consolidation: Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis (see Note 2) and ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation: Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting (see Note 12).

The FASB issued the following authoritative guidance amending the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. Revenue Recognition - May 2014 (amended May 2016): Created a new Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The new guidance is intended to clarify the principles for recognizing revenue and to develop a common revenue standard for United

States GAAP applicable to revenue transactions. This guidance provides that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. Existing industry guidance will be eliminated, including revenue recognition guidance specific to the gaming industry. In addition, interim and annual disclosures will be substantially revised. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those reporting periods. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. We currently anticipate adopting this standard effective January 1, 2018. We are currently assessing the impact the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements; however, we expect that the accounting for the Total Rewards customer loyalty program and casino promotional allowances will be affected. Going Concern - August 2014: Amended the existing requirements for disclosing information about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern. This guidance explicitly requires management to assess an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosure in certain circumstances. This guidance is effective for annual reporting periods ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual and interim reporting periods thereafter. Early adoption is permitted. We do not believe the adoption of this standard will have any effect on our financial statements, as we have concluded that we have substantial doubt regarding our ability to continue as a going concern, irrespective of the new requirements.

Recognition and Measurement of Financial Instruments - January 2016: Amended certain aspects of recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial instruments. Among other things, they require equity investments (except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting or those that result in consolidation) to be measured at fair value with any changes in fair value recognized in net income and simplify the impairment assessment of equity investments without readily determinable fair values by requiring a qualitative assessment to identify impairment. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted on certain provisions. We are currently assessing the effect the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

Leases - February 2016: The new guidance requires lease obligations to be recognized on the balance sheet. This guidance is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the effect the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

Financial Instruments-Credit Losses - June 2016: Amended guidance replaces the incurred loss impairment methodology with a methodology that reflects expected credit losses and requires consideration of broader range of reasonable and supportable information to inform credit loss estimates. Amendments affect entities holding financial assets and net investment in leases that are not accounted for at fair value through net income. The amendments affect loans, debt securities, trade receivables, net investments in leases, off-balance-sheet credit exposures, reinsurance receivables and any other financial assets not excluded from the scope that have the contractual right to receive cash. Amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the effect the adoption of this standard will have on our financial statements.

CAESARS ENTERTAINMENT CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

Note 5 — Property and Equipment

(In millions)	June 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
Land and land improvements	\$ 3,584	\$ 3,584
Buildings, riverboats, and improvements	4,178	4,134
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	1,369	1,326
Construction in progress	65	59
Total property and equipment	9,196	9,103
Less: accumulated depreciation	(1,685)	(1,505)
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 7,511	\$ 7,598

Depreciation Expense and Capitalized Interest

Three Months Ended June 30, 20162015 2016 2015 2016 215 \$182 \$148

Note 6 — Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Changes in Carrying Value of Goodwill and other Intangible Assets

	۸ ۳	nortizina	Non-Am	ortizing
	Int	angible	Non-Am Intangibl	e
	1110	sets	Assets	
(In millions)	AS	seis	Goodwil	l Other
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$	395	\$ 1,696	\$ 148
Amortization	(43	3)	_	_
Balance as of June 30, 2016	\$	352	\$ 1,696	\$ 148

⁽¹⁾ Included in depreciation and amortization and corporate expense.

Gross Carrying Value and Accumulated Amortization of Intangible Assets Other Than Goodwill June 30, 2016 December 31, 2015							dwill		
(Dollars in millions)	Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (in years)	• •	Accumula Amortizat		Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carryin Amoun	Accumula Amortizat t	ited tion	Net Carrying Amount
Amortizing									
Customer relationships	s 4.9	\$ 917	\$ (622)	\$ 295	\$917	\$ (589)	\$ 328
Contract rights	8.5	3	(1)	2	3	(1)	2
Developed technology	1.7	86	(57)	29	86	(49)	37
Gaming rights	7.8	52	(26)	26	52	(24)	28
		\$ 1,058	\$ (706)	352	\$1,058	\$ (663)	395
Non-amortizing									
Gaming rights					22				22
Trademarks					126				126
					148				148
Total intangible assets	other than g	oodwill			\$ 500				\$ 543
Note 7 — Fair Value N	Measurement	S							
Investments									
(In millions)	Balance	Level 1 I	Level 2 Lev	el 3	3				
June 30, 2016									
Assets:									
Equity securities	\$ 3	\$ 3 \$	s — \$						
Government bonds	50	_ 5	· 00						
Total assets at fair valu	ie \$ 53	\$ 3 \$	5 50 \$		_				
December 31, 2015 Assets:									
Equity securities	\$ 4	\$ 4 \$	s — \$						
Government bonds	67	— <i>6</i>	57 —						
Total assets at fair valu	ie \$ 71	\$ 4 \$	67 \$						
				_					

Investments primarily consist of equity and debt securities held by our captive insurance entities that are traded in active markets, have readily determined market values and have maturity dates of greater than three months from the date of purchase. These investments primarily represent collateral for several escrow and trust agreements with third-party beneficiaries and are recorded in deferred charges and other in our balance sheets while a portion is included in prepayments and other current assets. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, gross unrealized gains and losses on marketable securities were not material.

Restructuring Commitments

Estimated Fair Value

(In millions) Balance Level 1 Level 2 Level 3

June 30, 2016 Liabilities:

Issuance of CEC convertible notes \$1,060 \$ —\$— \$1,060 Issuance of CEC common shares 1,167 — 1,167 — Total liabilities at fair value \$2,227 \$ —\$1,167 \$1,060

Changes in Fair Value

CEC

(In millions) Convertible

Notes

Balance as of December 31, 2015 \$ — Initial loss recognized in net loss 1,060 Balance as of June 30, 2016 \$ 1,060

As described in Note 1, we recognized certain obligations that we believe will ultimately be settled under the Amended Plan or the RSAs. A portion of the obligations we recognized reflect our estimates of the fair value of the consideration CEC has agreed to provide in the form of CEC equity and convertible notes in exchange for the settlement of litigation claims and potential claims against CEC and its affiliates. These obligations are recorded in accrued restructuring and support expenses on the balance sheet and will be accounted for at fair value each period until they are ultimately settled as part of the Restructuring.

Valuation Methodologies

CEC Convertible Notes - We estimated the fair value of the CEC convertible notes to be issued using a binomial lattice valuation model that incorporates the value of both the debt and conversion features of the notes. The notes have a face value of \$1.0 billion, a term of 7 years, a coupon rate of 5%, and are convertible into 12.195% of fully-diluted CEC equity. The valuation model incorporates assumptions regarding the incremental cost of borrowing for CEC, the value of CEC's equity into which these notes could convert, the implied volatility of such equity, and the risk-free rate.

Key Assumptions -

Incremental cost of borrowing - 5.5%

Implied volatility - 35%

Risk-free rate - 1.3%

Should CEC's estimated incremental cost of borrowing or equity value fluctuate over time, it could result in an increase or decrease in the fair value of the notes and the corresponding restructuring accrual. A hypothetical decrease in the incremental borrowing rate of 1.0% would result in a \$40 million increase in the restructuring accrual. Similarly, a hypothetical 5.0% increase in CEC's equity value would result in an increase to the restructuring accrual of \$20 million. Since the key assumptions used in the valuation model, including CEC's current estimated incremental cost of borrowing and the implied volatility of CEC's equity, are significant unobservable inputs, the fair value for the convertible notes is classified as Level 3.

CEC Common Stock - We have used the fair value of CEC's common stock to estimate a portion of the restructuring accrual. The CEC common equity value is subject to market fluctuations and does not necessarily reflect the value of completing the transactions contemplated in the Amended Plan and the related RSAs. The valuation models used to estimate the fair value of CEC's common stock expected to be issued do not require significant judgment and inputs

can be observed in a liquid market, such as the current trading price of CEC common stock. However, the valuation model includes inputs other than quoted prices in active markets, such as adjustments related to the dilutive effects of other transactions, including equity issuances in connection with the Restructuring and the Merger; therefore, this portion of the restructuring accrual is classified as Level 2.

Derivative Instruments

CEOC had eight interest rate swap agreements that expired, which we settled for \$17 million during the first quarter of 2015. Interest expense related to the derivatives was \$7 million in the first quarter of 2015. We have not entered into any additional derivative transactions since these swaps expired.

Note 8 — Contractual Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Contractual Commitments

Except as described in Note 1, during the six months ended June 30, 2016, we have not entered into any material contractual commitments outside of the ordinary course of business that have materially changed our contractual commitments as compared to December 31, 2015.

Contingent Liabilities

Self-Insurance

We are self-insured for workers compensation and other risk insurance with a total estimated self-insurance liability of \$154 million, and estimated employee medical insurance claims of \$14 million have been funded through CEOC as of June 30, 2016.

Deferred Compensation and Employee Benefits

Deferred Compensation Plans

As of June 30, 2016, certain current and former employees of Caesars, and our subsidiaries and affiliates, have balances under the Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. Executive Supplemental Savings Plan ("ESSP"), the Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. Executive Supplemental Savings Plan II ("ESSP II"), the Park Place Entertainment Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, the Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, and the Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. Executive Deferred Compensation Plan ("EDCP"). These plans are deferred compensation plans that allow certain employees an opportunity to save for retirement and other purposes.

Each of the plans is now frozen and is no longer accepting contributions. However, participants may still earn returns on existing plan balances based upon their selected investment alternatives, which are reflected in their deferral accounts.

Plan obligations in respect of all of these plans were included in Caesars' financial statements as liabilities prior to the deconsolidation of CEOC. As of June 30, 2016, Caesars has recorded in the accompanying financial statements \$42 million in liabilities, representing the estimate of its obligations under the ESSP and ESSP II and for certain former directors and employees who had employment agreements with Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. (the predecessor to CEC) and participated in the EDCP. The additional liability in respect of the other plans described above that Caesars has not recorded is approximately \$30 million, as we determined that this portion of the liability was attributable to CEOC.

Trust Assets

CEC is a party to a trust agreement and an escrow agreement, each structured as so-called "rabbi trust" arrangements, which hold assets that may be used to satisfy obligations under the deferred compensation plans above. Amounts held pursuant to the trust agreement and the escrow agreement were approximately \$64 million and \$55 million, respectively, as of June 30, 2016.

The assets held pursuant to the trust agreement have been reflected as long-term restricted assets on the accompanying balance sheets. The assets held pursuant to the escrow agreement have not been reflected on the accompanying balance sheets as we continue to assess the escrow agreement and the propriety of the funds that were contributed in accordance with the agreement.

The amounts recorded as assets and liabilities are based upon Caesars' current conclusions regarding ownership of assets and obligation to pay liabilities in respect of the plans and trust assets described above. These amounts may

change as a result of many factors, including but not limited to the following: further analyses by Caesars, events occurring in connection with discussions with CEOC creditors, and CEOC's Chapter 11 cases. Such changes, if they occur, could eliminate or reduce the assets or liabilities recorded on Caesars' balance sheet, increase the asset for all or some portion of the assets held pursuant to the escrow agreement,

or increase the liabilities not recorded. Caesars believes that it may have claims to all or some portion of the assets held pursuant to the escrow agreement.

Note 9 — Debt

	June 30,	2016	December 31, 2013		
(In millions)	Face Value	Book Value	Book Value		
CERP	\$4,611	\$4,549	\$ 4,627		
CGP	2,344	2,285	2,337		
Total Debt	6,955	6,834	6,964		
Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	(71)	(71)	(187)		
Long-Term Debt	\$6,884	\$6,763	\$ 6,777		
Unamortized discounts and deferred finance charges		\$121	\$ 132		

Current Portion of Long-Term Debt

The current portion of long-term debt is \$71 million as of June 30, 2016. For CERP, the current portion of long-term debt is \$48 million, which includes the \$15 million outstanding under CERP's revolving credit facility as well as principal payments on its senior secured loan, other unsecured borrowings, and capitalized lease obligations. For CGP, the current portion of long-term debt is \$23 million, which includes principal payments on term loans, special improvement district bonds, and various capital lease obligations. There is no outstanding amount under the CGPH revolving credit facility as the balance was paid during the second quarter 2016.

\$6,712

Borrowings under the revolving credit facilities are each subject to separate note agreements executed based on the provisions of the applicable credit facility agreements, and each note has a contractual maturity of less than one year. The applicable credit facility agreements each have a contractual maturity of greater than one year, and we have the ability to rollover the outstanding principal balances on a long-term basis; however, we currently intend to repay the principal balances within the following 12 months. Amounts borrowed under the revolving credit facilities are intended to satisfy short term liquidity needs and are classified as current.

Fair Value

Fair value

We estimated the fair value of debt based on borrowing rates available as of June 30, 2016 for debt with similar terms and maturities, and based on market quotes of our publicly traded debt. We classify the fair value of debt within level 1 and level 2 in the fair value hierarchy.

Annual	Estimated	Debt S	ervice	Requiremen	ts
			Dam	noinina	

(In millions)	Remair 2016	ning	2017	2013	8	2019	20	20	The	ereafter	То	tal	
Principal													
CERP	\$ 20		\$41	\$25		\$25	\$3	,350	\$ 1	,150	\$4	,611	
CGP	12		21	25		200	30	0	1,78	86	2,3	344	
Total principal	32		62	50		225	3,6	650	2,93	36	6,9	955	
Estimated Interest													
CERP	190		380	380		380	38	0	120)	1,8	330	
CGP	90		180	180		180	15	0	150)	93	0	
Total interest	280		560	560		560	53	0	270)	2,7	760	
Principal and Interest													
CERP	210		421	405		405	3.7	730	1,2	70	6,4	141	
CGP	102		201	205		380	45		1,9			274	
Total principal and interest	\$ 312			\$61	0	\$785			-			,715	
CERP Debt										-		•	
		Jun	ie 30, 2	2016]	December 31, 2015	5
(Dollars in millions)		Fin Ma	al turity		R	ate(s)	(1)	Face Valu		Book Value]	Book Value	
CERP Credit Facility													
CERP Term Loan (2)		202	20		7.	.00%		\$2,4	38	\$2,395	5 5	\$ 2,403	
CERP Revolving Credit Fa	cility (3)	201	18		V	ariable	•	15		15	8	30	
CERP Notes													
CERP First Lien Notes		202	20		8.	.00%		1,00	0	992	(992	
CERP Second Lien Notes		202	21		1	1.00%	,	1,15	0	1,139		1,138	
Capital lease obligations ar	nd other	201	16 to 2	017	V	arious		8		8		14	
Total CERP Debt								4,61	1	4,549	4	1,627	
Current Portion of CERP L	ong-Ter	m D	ebt					(48)	(48) ((117)	
CERP Long-Term Debt								\$4,5	663	\$4,501		\$ 4,510	

⁽¹⁾ Interest rate is fixed, except where noted.

Variable interest rate calculated as a fixed rate plus the greater of LIBOR or a 1% floor. The rate is set at the 1% floor as of June 30, 2016.

⁽³⁾ Variable interest rate for amounts currently borrowed is determined by adding LIBOR to a base rate of 6.00%.

CGP Debt

	June 30, 2016				December 31, 2015
(Dollars in millions)	Final Maturity	Rate(s) (1)	Face Value	Book Value	Book Value
CGPH Credit Facilities					
CGPH Senior Secured Term Loan (2)	2021	6.25%	\$1,151	\$1,122	\$ 1,126
CGPH Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility (3)	2019	variable			45
CGPH Notes	2022	9.38%	675	661	660
Horseshoe Baltimore Credit and FF&E Facilities					
Horseshoe Baltimore Credit Facility (4)	2020	8.25%	299	287	288
Horseshoe Baltimore Revolving Facility Loan (5)	2018	variable			_
Horseshoe Baltimore FF&E Facility (4)(6)	2019	8.75%	24	25	27
Cromwell Credit Facility (4)	2019	11.00%	174	169	169
Other Secured Debt	2018	8.00%	4	4	4
Special Improvement District Bonds	2037	5.30%	14	14	14
Capital lease obligations and other	2016 to 2017	various	3	3	4
Total CGP Debt			2,344	2,285	2,337
Current Portion of CGP Long-Term Debt			(23)	(23)	(70)
CGP Long-Term Debt			\$2,321	\$2,262	\$ 2,267

⁽¹⁾ Interest rate is fixed, except where noted.

Terms of Outstanding Debt

Restrictive Covenants

The CERP Notes, CERP Credit Facility, CGPH Senior Secured Term Loan, CGPH Notes, Horseshoe Baltimore Credit and FF&E Facilities, and Cromwell Credit Facility all include negative covenants, subject to certain exceptions, and contain affirmative covenants and events of default, subject to exceptions, baskets and thresholds (including equity cure provisions in the case of the CERP Credit Facility, Horseshoe Baltimore Credit and FF&E Facilities, and the Cromwell Credit Facility), all of the preceding being customary in nature.

The restrictive covenants also require that we maintain Senior Secured Leverage Ratios ("SSLR") as shown in the table below. SSLR is defined as the ratio of first lien senior secured net debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, adjusted as defined.

⁽²⁾ Variable interest rate calculated as a fixed rate plus the greater of LIBOR or a 1% floor. The rate is set at the 1% floor as of June 30, 2016.

⁽³⁾ Variable interest rate calculated as LIBOR plus 5.00%.

Variable interest rate calculated as a fixed rate plus the greater of LIBOR or a 1.25% floor. The rate is set at the 1.25% floor as of June 30, 2016.

⁽⁵⁾ Variable interest rate calculated as LIBOR plus 7.00%.

⁽⁶⁾ This represents an equipment financing term loan facility.

Credit Facility	Covenant Type	Effective Period	Requirement
CERP Credit Facility	CERP Maximum SSLR	From inception	8.00 to 1.00
CGPH Senior Secured Term Loan	CGPH Maximum SSLR	From inception	6.00 to 1.00
	CBAC Maximum SSLR	Q1 - Q4 2016	7.50 to 1.00
Horseshoe Baltimore Credit and FF&E Facilities (1)	CBAC Maximum SSLR	Q1 - Q4 2017	6.00 to 1.00
	CBAC Maximum SSLR	Q1 2018 and thereafter	4.75 to 1.00
	Cromwell Maximum SSLR	Q2 2015 - Q1 2016	5.25 to 1.00
Cromwell Credit Facility (2)	Cromwell Maximum SSLR	Q2 2016 - Q1 2017	5.00 to 1.00
	Cromwell Maximum SSLR	Q2 2017 and thereafter	4.75 to 1.00

⁽¹⁾ CBAC Borrower, LLC ("CBAC") is a joint venture in which Caesars Baltimore Investment Company, LLC ("CBIC") holds an interest. CBIC is a wholly owned subsidiary of CGP.

Guarantees

CERP has pledged a significant portion of its assets as collateral under the notes and facilities. The CERP Notes are co-issued, as well as fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by Caesars Entertainment Resort Properties, LLC (parent entity) and each of its wholly-owned subsidiaries on a senior secured basis.

The CGPH Senior Secured Term Loan is guaranteed by the direct parent of CGPH and certain subsidiaries of CGPH, and is secured by the direct parent's equity interest in CGPH and substantially all of the existing and future assets of CGPH and the subsidiary guarantors.

The CGPH Notes are secured by substantially all of the existing and future property and assets of CGPH and the subsidiary guarantors (subject to exceptions), and are guaranteed by CGPH and certain subsidiaries (subject to exceptions).

The Horseshoe Baltimore Credit Facility is secured by substantially all material assets of CBAC and its wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries.

The Horseshoe Baltimore FF&E Facility is secured by the FF&E that was purchased with the proceeds.

The Cromwell Credit Facility is secured by the assets of the Cromwell and allows the right to cure provided that (i) in each eight-fiscal-quarter period there shall be no more than five fiscal quarters in which the cure right is exercised and (ii) the cure right may not be exercised in any fiscal quarter that immediately follows two consecutive fiscal quarters in which it was exercised.

Note 10 — Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the applicable income amounts by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the applicable income amounts by the sum of weighted-average number of shares of common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares. For periods in which Caesars generated net losses, the weighted-average basic shares outstanding was used in calculating diluted loss per share because using diluted shares would be anti-dilutive to loss per share.

⁽²⁾ As of June 30, 2016, the Cromwell's SSLR was 4.90 to 1.00.

Basic and Dilutive Net Earnings Per Share Reconciliation

	Three Mo	onths	Six Months		
	Ended Ju	ne 30,	Ended Jun	ne 30,	
(In millions, except per share data)	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Income/(loss) from continuing operation, net of income taxes	\$(2,077)	\$15	\$(2,385)	\$6,794	
Loss from discontinued operation, net of income taxes	_		_	(7)	
Net income/(loss) attributable to Caesars	\$(2,077)	\$15	\$(2,385)	\$6,787	
****	1.46	1.15	1.16	1.45	
Weighted average common share outstanding	146	145	146	145	
Dilutive potential common shares: Stock options	_	2	_	2	
Weighted average common shares and dilutive potential common shares	146	147	146	147	
Basic income/(loss) per share from continuing operations	\$(14.25)	\$0.10	\$(16.39)	\$46.93	
Basic loss per share from discontinued operations	Ψ(14.23)	ψ0.10	Ψ(10.57)	(0.04)	
Basic income/(loss) per share	<u> </u>	\$0.10	- \$(16.39)	` /	
Basic income/(loss) per share	\$(14.23)	\$0.10	\$(10.39)	\$ 4 0.69	
Diluted income/(loss) per share from continuing operations	\$(14.25)	\$0.10	\$(16.39)	\$46.31	
Diluted loss per share from discontinued operations				(0.04)	
Diluted income/(loss) per share	\$(14.25)	\$0.10	\$(16.39)	,	
Weighted-Average Number of Anti-Dilutive Shares	- (
6					

Excluded from Calculation of EPS

	Three	•	Six	
	Mont	hs	Mont	hs
	Ende	d	Ende	d
	June	30,	June	30,
(In millions)	2016	2015	2016	2015
Stock options	10	4	10	4
Restricted stock units and awards	10	1	8	
Total anti-dilutive common shares	20	5	18	4

Note 11 — Casino Promotional Allowances

The retail value of accommodations, food and beverage, and other services furnished to guests without charge is included in gross revenues and then deducted as casino promotional allowances. The estimated cost of providing such casino promotional allowances is included in casino expenses.

Estimated Retail Value of Casino

Promotional Allowances

	Three		Six Months				
	Mont	hs	Ended June				
	Ended	d June	30,				
	30,		30,				
(In millions)	2016	2015	2016	2015			
Food and Beverage	\$68	\$69	\$141	\$140			
Rooms	57	57	117	114			
Other	7	7	14	35			

\$132 \$133 \$272 \$289

Estimated Cost of Providing Casino

Promotional Allowances

Three Six Months Months **Ended June** Ended 30.

June 30.

20162015 2016 2015 (In millions) Food and Beverage \$41 \$42 \$84 \$84 20 20 40 40 Rooms 5 4 Other 8 8 \$66 \$66 \$132 \$132

Note 12 — Stock-Based Compensation

Caesars Entertainment Stock-Based Compensation

During the second quarter 2016, we implemented the FASB's ASU No. 2016-09, which amended Topic 718, Compensation - Stock Compensation. This updated guidance amended the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. We applied the amended guidance using a modified retrospective transition method of a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning equity of \$1 million.

We maintain long-term incentive plans for management, other personnel, and key service providers. The plans allow for granting stock-based compensation awards, based on CEC common stock (NASDAQ symbol "CZR"), including time-based and performance-based stock options, restricted stock units, restricted stock awards, stock grants, or a combination of awards.

Composition of Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Three Six Months Months Ended Ended June 30. June 30. 20162015 20162015 \$11 \$ 23 \$19 \$ 35 Property, general, administrative, and other 1 1 3 2 Total stock-based compensation expense \$12 \$ 24 \$22 \$ 37

CIE Stock-Based Compensation

(In millions)

Corporate expense

CIE grants stock-based compensation awards in CIE common stock to its employees, directors, service providers and consultants in accordance with the Caesars Interactive Entertainment, Inc. Amended and Restated Management Equity Incentive Plan which is intended to promote the interests of CIE and its shareholders by providing key employees, directors, service providers and consultants with an incentive to encourage their continued employment or service and improve the growth and profitability of CIE. CIE has granted stock options and warrants, restricted shares, and restricted stock units to its employees and service providers.

These programs are classified as liability-based instruments and are re-measured at their fair value at each reporting

Composition of Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Three Six Months Months

Ended Ended June 30, June 30, 2016 2015 2016 2015

(In millions)

Property, general, administrative, and other \$66 \$ 7 \$94 \$20

As of the June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the liability related to CIE's stock-based compensation awards was \$178 million and \$107 million, respectively. The current portion is recorded in accrued expenses and other current liabilities on our balance sheets, while the long-term portion is recorded in deferred credits and other liabilities.

Stock Option and Restricted Stock Unit Activity Grants During 2016

June 30, 2016

Quantity Wtd Avg

CEC

Restricted stock units 5,141,653 \$ 6.28

CIE

 Stock options
 377
 19,166.18

 Restricted stock units 103
 16,452.14

Outstanding at End of Period

· ·	June 30, 20	16	December 31, 2015			
	Quantity	Wtd Avg	Quantity	Wtd Avg (1)		
CEC						
Stock options	10,380,482	\$ 11.74	10,638,219	\$ 12.90		
Restricted stock units	9,479,872	8.90	6,329,435	12.06		
CIE						
Stock options	20,404	10,318.79	21,057	9,584.64		
Restricted stock units	4,348	7,801.78	4,539	7,827.24		

⁽¹⁾ Represents weighted average exercise price for stock options and weighted average fair value for restricted stock units.

Note 13 — Income Taxes

Caesars' provision for income taxes during the interim reporting periods has historically been calculated by applying an estimate of the annual effective tax rate for the full year to "ordinary" income or loss (pre-tax income or loss excluding unusual or infrequently occurring discrete items) for the reporting period. We have utilized a discrete effective tax rate method, as allowed by ASC 740-270 "Income Taxes, Interim Reporting," to calculate taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. We determined that as small changes in estimated "ordinary" income would result in significant changes in the estimated annual effective tax rate, the historical method would not provide a reliable estimate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015. Income Tax Allocation

	Three Months			Six Months Ended			
	Ended June 30,			June 30			
(Dollars in millions)	2016		2015	2016		2015	
Income/(loss) from continuing operations, before income taxes	\$(2,012	2)	\$46	\$(2,240	5)	\$7,042	2
Income tax benefit/(provision)	\$(31)	\$4	\$(71)	\$(188)
Effective tax rate	(1.5)%	(8.7)%	(3.2)%	2.7	%

We classify reserves for tax uncertainties within accrued expenses and deferred credits and other in our consolidated condensed balance sheets, separate from any related income tax payable or deferred income taxes. Reserve amounts

⁽¹⁾ Represents weighted average exercise price for stock options and weighted average fair value for restricted stock units.

relate to any potential income tax liabilities resulting from uncertain tax positions and potential interest or penalties associated with those liabilities.

Management assesses the available positive and negative evidence to estimate if sufficient future taxable income will be generated to use the existing deferred tax assets. We have provided a valuation allowance on certain federal and state deferred tax assets that were not deemed realizable based upon estimates of future taxable income.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2016 differed from the expected federal tax rate of 35% primarily due to losses from continuing operations not tax benefitted, including accrued restructuring and support expenses, and nondeductible stock based compensation from CIE. The tax expense giving rise to the negative effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2016, was primarily due to the profitable operations of CIE, which are not consolidated with CEC for federal, state, or foreign tax purposes. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2015 differed from the expected federal tax rate of 35% primarily due to tax benefits for foreign income taxed at lower rates than the US and tax benefits from noncontrolling interests.

The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2016 differed from the expected federal tax rate of 35% primarily due to losses from continuing operations not tax benefitted, including accrued restructuring and support expenses, and nondeductible stock based compensation from CIE. The tax expense giving rise to the negative effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2016 was primarily due to the profitable operations of CIE which are not consolidated with CEC for federal, state, or foreign tax purposes. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2015 differed from the expected federal tax benefit of 35% primarily due to the nontaxable portion of the gain on deconsolidation of CEOC.

The amounts recorded as tax assets, tax liabilities, tax reserves and tax provision reflect CEC's conclusion that certain challenged transactions identified in Note 1 were negotiated in good faith and the Caesars tax consolidated group received fair value for assets transferred. These amounts could change if litigation and potential claims related to the challenged transactions are resolved unfavorably. If that occurs, changes may be necessary to reduce tax assets and increase tax liabilities, tax reserves and the tax provision recorded in CEC's financial statements. Such changes could be material. Management cannot predict the various potential outcomes of pending litigation and other potential claims, thus cannot estimate the reasonably possible amount or range of effects, if any, to the recorded tax accounts. We file income tax returns, including returns for our subsidiaries, with federal, state, and foreign jurisdictions. We are under regular and recurring audit by the Internal Revenue Service on open tax positions, and it is possible that the amount of the liability for unrecognized tax benefits could change during the next 12 months.

Note 14 — Segment Reporting

We view each casino property and CIE as operating segments and currently aggregate all such casino properties and CIE into three reportable segments based on management's view, which aligns with their ownership and underlying credit structures: CERP, CGP Casinos, and CIE. CGP Casinos is comprised of all subsidiaries of CGP excluding CIE. CIE is comprised of the subsidiaries that operate CGP's social and mobile games operations and WSOP. CEOC remained a reportable segment until its deconsolidation effective January 15, 2015 (see Note 1).

The results of each reportable segment presented below are consistent with the way Caesars management assesses these results and allocates resources, which is a consolidated view that adjusts for the impact of certain transactions between reportable segments within Caesars, as described below. Accordingly, the results of certain reportable segments presented in this filing differ from the financial statement information presented in their standalone filings. "Other" includes parent, consolidating, and other adjustments to reconcile to consolidated Caesars results.

Condensed Statements of Operations - By Segment							
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2016						
(In millions)	CER	PCGP Casinos	CIE (1)	Other	Elimina	ıtion	Caesars
Other revenues	\$79	\$ 53	\$ —	\$ 2	\$ (4)	\$ 130
Net revenues	562	423	249	2	(6)	1,230
Depreciation and amortization	59	42	9	(1)			109
Income/(loss) from operations	111	67	20	(34)			164
Interest expense	99	49	_	2			150
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	_	1	_	(2,02)7			(2,026)
Income tax provision	(4)		(24)	(3)			(31)

⁽¹⁾ Includes foreign net revenues of \$209 million.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2015

(In millions)	CER	PCGP Casinos	CIE (1)	Other	Eliminati	on	Caesars
Other revenues	\$78	\$ 43	\$ —	\$15	\$ (15)	\$ 121
Net revenues	566	390	186	14	(15)	1,141
Depreciation and amortization	49	38	8	1			96
Income/(loss) from operations	126	44	54	(38)			186
Interest expense	98	47	1	2	(1)	147
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other		1		7	(1)	7
Income tax benefit/(provision)	(11)		(14)	29	_		4

⁽¹⁾ Includes foreign net revenues of \$148 million.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2016

(In millions)	CERP	CGP Casinos	CIE (1)	Other	Elimina	tion	Caesars
Other revenues	\$152	\$ 99	\$ —	\$ 3	\$ (9)	\$ 245
Net revenues	1,090	839	477	3	(11)	2,398
Depreciation and amortization	132	81	16	(1)			228
Income/(loss) from operations	189	130	74	(75)			318
Interest expense	198	100	1	3	(1)	301
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	(1)	2	_	(2,26)3	(1)	(2,263)
Income tax benefit/(provision)	2		(56)	(17)			(71)

⁽¹⁾ Includes foreign net revenues of \$397 million.

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015											
(In millions)	CEC	CERP	CGP Casinos	CIE (1)	Other	Eliminat	ion	Caesars				
Other revenues	\$24	\$150	\$ 79	\$ —	\$18	\$ (25)	\$ 246				
Net revenues	164	1,095	780	363	18	(25)	2,395				
Depreciation and amortization	11	99	71	16	1	_		198				
Income/(loss) from operations	9	233	208	95	(215)	_		330				
Interest expense	87	200	94	3	3	(3)	384				
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	_		_		7,099	(3)	7,096				
Income tax provision	—	(13)	_	(27)	(148)			(188)				

⁽¹⁾ Includes foreign net revenues of \$289 million.

Property EBITDA - by Segment

Property earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") is presented as a supplemental measure of the Company's performance. Property EBITDA is defined as revenues less property operating expenses and is comprised of net income/(loss) before (i) interest expense, net of interest capitalized and interest income, (ii) income tax (benefit)/provision, (iii) depreciation and amortization, (iv) corporate expenses, and (v) certain items that we do not consider indicative of its ongoing operating performance at an operating property level. In evaluating Property EBITDA you should be aware that, in the future, we may incur expenses that are the same or similar to some of the adjustments in this presentation. The presentation of Property EBITDA should not be construed as an inference that future results will be unaffected by unusual or unexpected items.

Property EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure commonly used in our industry and should not be construed as an alternative to net income/(loss) as an indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity (as determined in accordance with GAAP). Property EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies within the industry. Property EBITDA is included because management uses Property EBITDA to measure performance and allocate resources, and believes that Property EBITDA provides investors with additional information consistent with that used by management.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016									
CERI	CGP Casinos	CIE	Other	Elimination	Caesars				
\$8	\$ 17	\$(5)	\$(2,097)	\$ -	-\$(2,077)				
_	2	1	31		34				
4		24	3		31				
	(1)		2,027		2,026				
99	49		2		150				
59	42	9	(1)		109				
11	8		22		41				
3		3	15		21				
\$184	\$ 117	\$32	\$2	\$ -	- \$335				
	\$8 — 4 — 99 59 11 3	CERPCasinos \$8 \$ 17 2 4 (1) 99 49 59 42 11 8	CERPCASINOS \$8 \$ 17 \$(5) 2 1 4 24 (1) 99 49 59 42 9 11 8 3 3	CERPCasinos \$8 \$ 17 \$(5) \$(2,097) 2 1 31 4 24 3 (1) 2,027 99 49 2 59 42 9 (1) 11 8 22 3 3 15	CERP Casinos CIE Other Elimination \$8 \$17 \$(5) \$(2,097) \$\frac{1}{2}\$ — 2 1 31 — 4 — 24 3 — — (1) — 2,027 — 99 49 — 2 — 59 42 9 (1) — 11 8 — 22 — 3 — 3 15 —				

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015									
(In millions)	CERI	CGP Casino	s CIE	Ot	her E	Eliminatio	n C	aesars	8	
Net income/(loss) attributable to company	\$17	\$ 2	\$33	\$(37) \$		\$	15		
Net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	(4) 6	33	_	_	35	5		
Income tax (benefit)/provision	11		14	(2	9) –		(4	1))	
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other		(1) —	(7) 1		(7	7))	
Interest expense	98	47	1	2	(1)	14	47		
Depreciation and amortization	49	38	8	1	_	_	90	6		
Corporate expense	10	11	_	33	(9)	45	5		
Other operating costs	1	7		16	_	_	24	4		
Property EBITDA	\$186	\$ 100	\$62	\$1	2 \$	(9)	\$	351		
	Six M	onths Ei	nded Ju	ıne	30, 20					
(In millions)	CERP	CCD	CIF			Elimina	atior	ı Cae	sars	S
Net income/(loss) attributable to company	\$(8)	\$ 30		\$ (2,419) \$ —		\$(2,	385	5)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		2	5	61				68	,	,
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes				_	_					
Income tax (benefit)/provision	(2)		56	17	,			71		
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	1	(2) —		263	1		2,26	53	
Interest expense	198	100	1	3		(1)	301		
Depreciation and amortization	132	81	16	(1) —		228		
Corporate expense	22	15	_	46)	(1)	82		
Other operating costs	5	1	3	34	Ļ			43		
Property EBITDA	\$348	\$ 227	\$93			\$ (1)	\$67	1	
11 7		Ionths E						,		
(In millions)		CCERP	CCD		CIE	Other	Eli	minat	ion	Caesars
Net income/(loss) attributable to company	\$(85)	\$ 20	\$ 123		\$54	\$6,675	\$			\$6,787
Net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	-		(9)	11	58	_			60
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	7		_				_			7
Income tax provision		13			27	148	_			188
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other		_				(7,099)	3			(7,096)
Interest expense	87	200	94		3	3	(3)	384
Depreciation and amortization	11	99	71		16	1	_			198
Corporate expense	7	22	19			52	(9)	91
Other operating costs	4	2	(108)		174	_			72
Property EBITDA	\$31	\$ 356	\$ 190)	\$111	\$12	\$	(9)	\$691

Condensed Balance Sheets - By Segment

As of June 30, 2016

CGP CERP (In millions) CIE (1) Other Elimination Caesars Casinos \$6,996 \$4,142 \$505 \$1,300 \$ (826 Total assets) \$12,117 Total liabilities 6,001 2,528 291 3,450 (57) 12,213

As of December 31, 2015

CERP CGP (In millions) CIE (1) Other Elimination Caesars \$7,028 \$4,174 \$485 \$1,409 \$ (901 Total assets) \$12,195 Total liabilities 6,073 2,583 269 1,155 (118) 9.962

Three

7

4

Six Months Months

Note 15 — Related Party Transactions

Ended Ended June 30. June 30. (In millions) 201@015 20162015 Transactions with Sponsors and their affiliates Reimbursements and expenses \$ - \$ 1 \$ 6 \$ 2 Expenses paid to Sponsors' portfolio companies 1 2 2 Expenses paid on behalf of CAC 7 8 13 18 Transactions with CEOC Shared services allocated expenses to CEOC 86 82 177 157 Shared services allocated expenses from CEOC 25 30 50 59 Management fees incurred 12 10 22 19 Octavius Tower lease revenue 9 9 18 16

Transactions with Sponsors and their Affiliates

The members of Hamlet Holdings LLC ("Hamlet Holdings") are comprised of individuals affiliated with Apollo Global Management, LLC and affiliates of TPG Capital LP (collectively, the "Sponsors"). As of June 30, 2016, Hamlet Holdings beneficially owned a majority of CEC's common stock pursuant to an irrevocable proxy providing Hamlet Holdings with sole voting and sole dispositive power over those shares, and, as a result, the Sponsors have the power to elect all of CEC's directors.

14 7

Reimbursements and Expenses

Other expenses incurred

CEC has a services agreement with the Sponsors relating to the provision of financial and strategic advisory services and consulting services. The Sponsors have granted an ongoing waiver of the monitoring fees for management services; however, we reimburse the Sponsors for expenses they incur related to these management services and certain legal expenses. The reimbursed expenses are included in corporate expense and are included in the table above.

⁽¹⁾ Includes foreign assets of \$301 million and foreign liabilities of \$68 million.

⁽¹⁾ Includes foreign assets of \$281 million and foreign liabilities of \$57 million.

Sponsors' Portfolio Companies

We may engage in transactions with companies owned or controlled by affiliates of the Sponsors in the normal course of business. Amounts paid to the Sponsors' portfolio companies are included in the table above and we believe such transactions are conducted at fair value.

In addition, certain entities affiliated with or under the control of our Sponsors may from time to time transact in and hold our debt securities, and participate in any modifications of such instruments on terms available to any other holder of our debt.

Caesars Acquisition Company

As described in Note 2, CAC is the sole voting member of CGP, our consolidated VIE, and common control exists between CAC and Caesars through the majority beneficial ownership of both by Hamlet Holdings. Pursuant to the operating agreement of CGP, CGP pays certain expenses on behalf of CAC. These expenses, which are included in the table above, commenced in 2013 and are reflected as distributions to a noncontrolling interest holder in the consolidated statements of equity.

Transactions with CEOC

As described in Note 2, upon its filing for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code and its subsequent deconsolidation, transactions with CEOC are no longer eliminated in consolidation and are considered related party transactions for Caesars. A summary of these transactions is provided in the table above.

CEOC Shared Services Agreement

Pursuant to a shared services agreement, CEOC provides Caesars with certain corporate and administrative services, and the costs of these services are allocated to Caesars. Certain services are now provided by CES (see Note 1). Prior to the deconsolidation of CEOC, we were self-insured for employee medical (health, dental and vision) and risk products, including workers compensation and surety bonds, and our insurance claims and reserves included accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accruals of actuarial estimates of incurred but not reported claims.

We continue to be self-insured for workers compensation and other risk insurance as of June 30, 2016. Caesars Entertainment provides insurance coverage to CEOC and receives insurance premiums on an installment basis, which are intended to cover claims processed on CEOC's behalf. We prepay CEOC for estimated employee medical insurance claims.

Services Joint Venture

CES provides certain corporate and administrative services to its Members, and the costs of these services are allocated among the Members, which include CEOC. CEOC reimburses CES for the allocated costs. The CES allocated costs include amounts for insurance coverage (see Note 1).

Management Fees

CGP pays a management fee to CEOC for the CGP properties that are managed by CEOC or CES.

Octavius Tower Lease Agreement

Under the Octavius Tower lease agreement, CEOC leases the Octavius Tower at Caesars Palace from CERP and pays rent totaling \$35 million annually through expiration in April 2026.

LINQ Access and Parking Easement Lease Agreement

Under the LINQ Access and Parking Easement lease agreement, CEOC leases the parking lot behind The LINQ promenade and The LINQ Hotel & Casino to CERP and CGP. Together, CERP and CGP pay approximately \$2 million annually, subject to a 3% annual increase through expiration in April 2028. Amounts are included within other expenses incurred in the table above.

Service Provider Fee

CEOC, CERP and CGP have a shared services agreement under which CERP and CGP pay for certain indirect corporate support costs. CEOC is authorized to charge CERP and CGP for an amount equal to 21.8% and 12.8%, respectively, of unallocated corporate support costs. Amounts are included within other expenses incurred in the table above.

Cross Marketing and Trademark License Agreement

CIE and CEOC have a Cross Marketing and Trademark License Agreement in effect until December 31, 2026, unless terminated earlier pursuant to the terms of the agreement. The agreement grants CIE the exclusive right to use various brands of Caesars Entertainment in connection with social and mobile games and online real money gaming in exchange for a 3.0% royalty. This agreement also provides for cross-marketing and promotional activities between CIE and CEOC, including participation by CIE in Caesars' Total Rewards loyalty program. CEOC also receives a revenue share from CIE for customer referrals. Amounts are included within other expenses incurred in the table above.

Equity Incentive Awards

Caesars maintains an equity incentive awards plan under which CEC may issue time-based and performance-based stock options, restricted stock units and restricted stock awards to CEOC employees. Although awards under the plan result in the issuance of shares of CEC, because CEOC is no longer a consolidated subsidiary of CEC, we have accounted for these awards as nonemployee awards subsequent to the date of deconsolidation.

Employee Benefit Plans

CEC maintains a defined contribution savings and retirement plan in which employees of CEOC may participate. The plan provides for, among other things, pre-tax and after-tax contributions by employees. Under the plan, participating employees may elect to contribute up to 50% of their eligible earnings (subject to certain IRS and plan limits). In addition, employees subject to collective bargaining agreements receive benefits through the multi-employer pension plans sponsored by the organization in which they are a member. The expenses related to contributions made to the plans on their behalf are allocated to the properties at which they are employed.

Total Rewards Loyalty Program

CEOC's customer loyalty program, Total Rewards, offers incentives to customers from their spending related to on-property entertainment expenses, including gaming, hotel, dining, and retail shopping at our and CEOC's resort properties located in the U.S. and Canada. Under the program, customers are able to accumulate, or bank, Reward Credits over time that they may redeem at their discretion under the terms of the program. The Reward Credit balance will be forfeited if the customer does not earn a Reward Credit over the prior six-month period. As a result of the ability of the customer to bank the Reward Credits, CEOC estimates the cost of fulfilling the redemption of Reward Credits, after consideration of estimated forfeitures (referred to as "breakage") based upon the cost of historical redemptions. The estimated value of Reward Credits is expensed as the Reward Credits are earned by customers and is included in direct casino expense. The total estimated cost is accrued by CEOC, with the incremental charges related to our casino properties included in due to affiliates, net in the accompanying balance sheets.

Due from/to Affiliates

Amounts due to or from affiliates for each counterparty represent the net receivable or payable as of the end of the reporting period primarily resulting from the transactions described above and are settled on a net basis by each counterparty in accordance with the legal and contractual restrictions governing transactions by and among Caesars' consolidated entities and CEOC. The amount due from CEOC represents the maximum exposure to loss as a result of Caesars' involvement with CEOC.

As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, due from affiliates was \$25 million and \$32 million, respectively, and represented a receivable due to CES from CEOC for shared services performed on behalf of CEOC. As of June 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, due to affiliates was \$17 million and \$16 million, respectively, and represented a payable due to CEOC primarily from CGP for shared services performed on their behalf.

Note 16 — Subsequent Events

Sale of CIE's SMG Business

On July 30, 2016, CEC and CAC entered into an agreement regarding CEC's consent to the sale of CIE's social and mobile games business (the "SMG Business") and CIE entered into a stock purchase agreement to sell its SMG Business for cash consideration of \$4.4 billion, subject to customary purchase price adjustments. The assets to be sold are limited to the SMG Business and will not include CIE's interest in the WSOP brand and other WSOP-related intellectual property or CIE's online real money gaming business.

Second Lien Note RSA

On July 31, 2016, CEC and the Debtors, and holders (the "Consenting Creditors") of a significant amount of claims in respect of CEOC's 12.75% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "12.75% Notes"), 10.00% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2018 (the "10.00% Notes") and 10.00% Second-Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2015 (collectively, the "Second Lien Notes") entered into an agreement (the "Second Lien Note RSA") with respect to the restructuring of CEOC's indebtedness. These Consenting Creditors hold approximately 37% of the Second Lien Notes. The Second Lien Note RSA will become effective upon the signing of the RSA by creditors holding at least 50.1% of the aggregate outstanding amount of CEOC's obligations under (a) the indenture governing the 10.00% Notes and (b) the indenture governing the 12.75% Notes. See Note 1.

In this filing, the name "CEC" refers to the parent holding company, Caesars Entertainment Corporation, exclusive of its consolidated subsidiaries and variable interest entities, unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires. The words "Company," "Caesars," "Caesars Entertainment," "we," "our," and "us" refer to Caesars Entertainment Corporation, inclusive of its consolidated subsidiaries and variable interest entities, unless otherwise stated or the context otherwise requires.

We also refer to (i) our Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements as our "Financial Statements," (ii) our Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income as our "Statements of Operations," and (iii) our Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets as our "Balance Sheets." Note references are to the notes to consolidated condensed financial statements included in Item 1, "Unaudited Financial Statements." The following discussion and analysis of the financial position and operating results of Caesars Entertainment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements and the notes thereto and other financial information included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q as well as Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations ("MD&A") presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 ("2015 10-K"). The statements in this discussion regarding our expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources, and other non-historical statements are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview

Our business is operated through CEC, which is primarily a holding company with no independent operations of its own, and our three reportable segments:

Caesars Entertainment Resort Properties ("CERP");

Caesars Growth Partners Casino Properties and Developments ("CGP Casinos"); and

Caesars Interactive Entertainment ("CIE").

Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. ("CEOC") was a reportable segment until its deconsolidation effective January 15, 2015 (see Note 1).

Summary of 2016 Events

The following are the significant events that affect our 2016 results. The Discussion of Operating Results should be read in conjunction with this summary.

CEC Going Concern

As a result of the following circumstances, we have substantial doubt about CEC's ability to continue as a going concern:

we have limited cash available to meet financial commitments of CEC, primarily resulting from significant expenditures made to (1) defend against the litigation matters disclosed below and (2) support a plan of reorganization for CEOC (the "Restructuring");

• we have made material future commitments to support the Restructuring described below; and

we are a defendant in litigation relating to certain CEOC transactions dating back to 2010 and other legal matters (see Note 3) that could result in one or more adverse rulings against us.

In connection with the Restructuring and litigation noted above, during 2015 and into 2016, CEC has incurred legal and professional fees and expenses at levels significantly higher than historical amounts. For example, we accrued \$1.0 billion of restructuring and support expenses during the second half of 2015, of which we paid \$148 million in the fourth quarter of 2015. We recorded an additional \$2.0 billion during the second quarter of 2016 in deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other in the statement

of operations, which increased our total expense for 2016 to \$2.3 billion. In addition, in 2015, we incurred \$73 million in legal and professional fees associated with reorganization efforts and ongoing litigation and an additional \$22 million and \$43 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

The circumstances set forth above and described in more detail in Note 1, individually and collectively, raise substantial doubt about CEC's ability to continue as a going concern between now and the Effective Date of the Restructuring, while continuing to also meet our commitments on an ongoing basis, as well as those related to the CEOC Restructuring. Under the terms of the Restructuring, all related litigation is expected to be resolved. However, if CEC is unable to obtain additional sources of cash when needed, in the event of a material adverse ruling on one or all of the litigation matters disclosed in Note 1, or if CEOC does not emerge from bankruptcy on a timely basis on terms and circumstances satisfactory to CEC, it is likely that CEC would seek reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 4 for discussions of the adoption and potential impacts of recently issued accounting standards.

Critical Accounting Policies

For information on critical accounting policies, see "Critical Accounting Policies" in MD&A of the 2015 10-K. There have been no changes to these policies during the six months ended June 30, 2016.

Discussion of Operating Results

As described above and in Note 1, we deconsolidated CEOC effective January 15, 2015. Headings below labeled "CERP, CGP Casinos, and CIE" represent the combined results of the entities that remain in the consolidated Caesars entity subsequent to the deconsolidation of CEOC, and do not include the results of CEOC. Where we have presented an analysis of other factors affecting net income/(loss) and consolidated results by reportable segment, this information includes CEOC as a reportable segment for the first 15 days of 2015.

Segment results in this MD&A are presented consistent with the way Caesars management assesses the results subsequent to the deconsolidation of CEOC, which is a consolidated view that adjusts for the impact of certain transactions between reportable segments within Caesars for all periods presented. Therefore, the results of certain reportable segments presented in this filing differ from the financial statement information presented in their separate filings. "Other" includes parent, consolidating, and other adjustments to reconcile to consolidated Caesars results. Consolidated Operating Results

Three Months

	I nree Moi	ntns	Fav/(Unf	(av)	
	Ended Jun	e 30,	rav/(OIII		
(Dollars in millions)	2016	2015	\$	%	
Casino revenues	\$545	\$543	\$2	0.4	%
Net revenues	\$1,230	\$1,141	\$89	7.8	%
Income from operations	\$164	\$186	\$(22)	(11.8)%
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	\$(2,026)	\$7	\$(2,033)	*	
Income/(loss) from continuing operations, net of income taxes	\$(2,043)	\$50	\$(2,093)	*	
Net income/(loss) attributable to Caesars	\$(2,077)	\$15	\$(2,092)	*	
Property EBITDA (3)	\$335	\$351	\$(16)	(4.6)%
Operating margin ⁽⁴⁾	13.3 %	16.3 %		(3.0) pts	}

	Six Months Ended June 30,									
	2016	2015								
	(A)	(B)			(A) vs. (E	3)				
	CERP,	CERP,			Fav/(Unfav)					
	CGP	CGP		G 11.1 4						
(Dollars in millions)	Casinos and	Casinos and	CEOC (2)	Consolidated Caesars	\$	%				
	CIE (1)	CIE (1)								
Casino revenues	\$1,075	\$1,085	\$ 118	\$ 1,203	\$(10)	(0.9)%			
Net revenues	\$2,398	\$2,237	\$ 158	\$ 2,395	\$161	7.2	%			
Income from operations	\$318	\$321	\$9	\$ 330	\$(3)	(0.9)%			
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	\$(2,263)	\$7,096	\$ <i>—</i>	\$ 7,096	\$(9,359)	*				
Income/(loss) from continuing operations, net of income taxes	\$(2,317)	\$6,932	\$ (78)	\$ 6,854	\$(9,249)	*				
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	\$—	\$—	\$ (7)	\$ (7)	\$—		%			
Net income/(loss) attributable to Caesars	\$(2,385)	\$6,872	\$ (85)	\$ 6,787	\$(9,257)	*				
Property EBITDA (3)	\$671	\$660	\$ 31	\$ 691	\$11	1.7	%			
Operating margin (4)	13.3 %	14.3 %	5.7 %	13.8 %	_	(1.0) pts				

^{*}Not meaningful.

Includes eliminations of intercompany transactions and other consolidating adjustments. Since CEOC was

Analysis of Key Drivers of Revenue Performance

Net Revenues by Category - CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE

	Three Months		Eor	(Unfav)	Six Months		Fav/(U	Inford)
	Ended J	une 30,	rav/	(Ulliav)	Ended Ju	une 30,	rav/(C	iliav)
(Dollars in millions)	2016	2015	\$	%	2016	2015	\$	%
Casino	\$545	\$543	\$2	0.4 %	\$1,075	\$1,085	\$(10)	(0.9)%
Food and beverage	204	203	1	0.5 %	410	404	6	1.5 %
Rooms	235	221	14	6.3 %	464	425	39	9.2 %
Interactive entertainment	248	186	62	33.3 %	476	363	113	31.1 %
Other	130	121	9	7.4 %	245	228	17	7.5 %
Less: casino promotional allowances ("Casino pro	mo('1)32)	(133)	1	0.8 %	(272)	(268)	(4)	(1.5)%
Net revenues	\$1,230	\$1,141	\$89	7.8 %	\$2,398	\$2,237	\$161	7.2 %
Increase/(Decrease) in Net Revenues by Category	CERP C	GP Casin	00 8	CIE				

Increase/(Decrease) in Net Revenues by Category - CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 versus 2015

Includes CERP, CGP Casinos, CIE, and associated parent company and elimination adjustments that represent the Caesars structure as of June 30, 2016, and for subsequent periods.

⁽²⁾ deconsolidated effective January 15, 2015, CEOC's operations are included in our consolidated results for the first 15 days of 2015, but there are no comparable amounts for 2016.

⁽³⁾ See the Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures discussion later in this MD&A for a reconciliation of Property EBITDA.

⁽⁴⁾ Operating margin is calculated as income from operations divided by net revenues.

Increase/(Decrease) in Net Revenues by Category - CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 versus 2015

Net Revenues - Segment

	Three M	onths	Faul	Unfav)	Six Mon	iths	Fayle	Unfav)
	Ended Ju	une 30,	rav/(Omav)	Ended Ju	une 30,	rav/(Omav)
(Dollars in millions)	2016	2015	\$	%	2016	2015	\$	%
CERP	\$562	\$566	\$(4)	(0.7)%	\$1,090	\$1,095	\$(5)	(0.5)%
CGP Casinos	423	390	33	8.5 %	839	780	59	7.6 %
CIE	249	186	63	33.9 %	477	363	114	31.4 %
Other	(4)	(1)	(3)	*	(8)	(1)	(7)	*
Total CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE	1,230	1,141	89	7.8 %	2,398	2,237	161	7.2 %
CEOC			_	_	_	164	(164)	*
Other			_	_	_	(6)	6	*
Total CEOC			_	_	_	158	(158)	*
Consolidated Caesars	\$1,230	\$1,141	\$89	7.8 %	\$2,398	\$2,395	\$3	*

^{*}Not meaningful.

Net revenues increased \$89 million and \$161 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. During both periods, the most significant improvements came from CIE's online games business and rooms revenues from hotel stays at our casino properties. Our gaming-related revenues and operating performance are dependent upon the volume and spend behavior of customers at our resort properties, which affects the price we can charge for our hotel rooms and other amenities, and directly impacts our gaming volumes. The following are key drivers of year over year performance.

Cash ADR (1)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 versus 2015

⁽¹⁾ Average cash daily rate ("cash ADR") is a key indicator by which we evaluate the performance of our properties and is determined by room revenue and rooms occupied.

CERP Performance

Net revenues declined \$4 million for the second quarter of 2016 and \$5 million for the first half of 2016 compared with the corresponding prior year periods. The declines were attributable to the following:

CERP's gaming volumes declined an aggregate 4% and 6% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

Expansion of resort fees to all properties in our portfolio during 2015, improved hotel yield, and the opening of the Harrah's Atlantic City Waterfront Conference Center (the "Atlantic City Conference Center") in the third quarter of 2015 drove an increase in CERP's hotel average cash daily rate ("cash ADR") to \$123 for the second quarter of 2016 from \$114 in 2015 and \$123 for the first half of 2016 from \$113 in 2015.

CERP rooms revenue was negatively affected by scheduled room renovations at Harrah's Las Vegas, which resulted in over 10,000 room nights out of service during the second quarter of 2016 and nearly 50,000 room nights during the first half of 2016.

CGP Casinos Performance

Net revenues increased \$33 million for the second quarter of 2016 and \$59 million for the first half of 2016 compared with the corresponding prior year periods. The increases were attributable to the following:

Horseshoe Baltimore contributed increases of \$16 million and \$20 million in casino revenues for the second quarter and the first half of 2016, respectively, as the area recovered from poor performance experienced in the second quarter of 2015 resulting from the period of civil unrest in Baltimore. Horseshoe Baltimore benefitted from increases in gaming volumes of 14% and 6% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively.

Room renovations at The LINQ Hotel & Casino ("The LINQ Hotel") were substantially completed and available to guests in early May 2015, which contributed \$6 million increase in rooms revenue during the second quarter of 2016 and \$20 million during the first half of 2016. This was driven by increases in available and occupied rooms and improved hotel yield, resulting in increased hotel cash ADR. The higher volume of guests and visitors subsequent to renovation also led to slight increases in both food and beverage revenues and casino revenues.

An increase in other revenues in 2016 was driven by increases at Planet Hollywood Resort & Casino, which contributed to higher entertainment revenue of \$10 million for the second quarter of 2016 and \$20 million for the first half of 2016.

Harrah's New Orleans experienced a decrease of \$14 million in casino revenues for the first half of 2016, which was in part caused by the New Orleans smoking ban that was enacted in April 2015. Gaming volumes declined 14% for the first half of 2016.

CIE Performance

Net revenues increased \$63 million during the second quarter of 2016 and \$114 million for the first half of 2016 compared with the corresponding prior year periods. CIE has continued to experience strong organic growth in social and mobile games due to the focus on increasing the number of users and the conversion of those users into players who purchase CIE's virtual currency, which contributed to the 29% increase in average revenue per user for the second quarter of 2016 and 23% for the first half of 2016.

CIE Key Performance Indicators

Three

Six Months Months Ended Ended June June 30, 30, 2016 2016 versus versus 2015 2015

Average Daily Active

Users

Average Monthly

Unique Payers

Daily Active Users ("DAU") and Monthly Unique Payers ("MUP") are key performance indicators used in evaluating CIE's interactive entertainment revenue. DAU is a measure of audience engagement and represents the number of individuals who played one of CIE's games during a particular day on a particular platform. MUP is a measure of monetization across all of its players through the sale of virtual goods and represents the number of unique individuals who purchased virtual currency in any of its games on a particular platform in the 30-day period ending with the measurement date.

Analysis of Key Drivers of Income/(Loss) from Operations Performance

Income from operations decreased \$22 million and \$3 million in the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively. After net revenues, the key drivers of income from operations in both periods are primarily property, general, administrative, and other, which includes CIE's stock-based compensation expense; depreciation and amortization; and platform fees.

Income from Operations by Category - CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE

	Three Months Fav/(Unfav)				,	Six Mo	Fav/(Unfav)			١		
	Ended.	June 30,	Tuvi (Omav)			'	Ended .	Tuvi (Omav)			,	
(Dollars in millions)	2016	2015	\$		%		2016	2015	\$		%	
Net revenues	\$1,230	\$1,141	\$89		7.8	%	\$2,398	\$2,237	\$161		7.2	%
Operating expenses												
Casino	279	278	(1)	(0.4))%	564	562	(2)	(0.4))%
Food and beverage	100	99	(1)	(1.0))%	193	191	(2)	(1.0)%
Rooms	63	57	(6)	(10.5)%	122	109	(13)	(11.9)))%
Platform fees	68	51	(17)	(33.3)%	132	100	(32)	(32.0))%
Property, general, administrative, and other ("PGA & O")	385	305	(80)	(26.2)%	716	615	(101)	(16.4	1)%
Depreciation and amortization ("Depreciation")	109	96	(13)	(13.5)%	228	187	(41)	(21.9	9)%
Corporate expense	41	45	4		8.9	%	82	84	2		2.4	%
Other operating costs	21	24	3		12.5	%	43	68	25		36.8	%
Total operating expenses	1,066	955	(111)	(11.6)%	2,080	1,916	(164)	(8.6))%
Income from operations	\$164	\$186	\$(22	2)	(11.8)%	\$318	\$321	\$(3)	(0.9))%

Increase/(Decrease) in Income from Operations - CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE Three Months Ended June 30, 2016 versus 2015

Increase/(Decrease) in Income from Operations - CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE Six Months Ended June 30, 2016 versus 2015

Income/(Loss) from Operations - Segment

	Three Month Ended 30,	Fay/(Untay)			Six Mo Ended 30,		Fav/(Unfav)		
(Dollars in millions)	2016	2015	\$	%	2016	2015	\$ %		
CERP	\$111	\$126	\$(15)	(11.9)%	\$189	\$233	\$(44) (18.9)%		
CGP Casinos	67	44	23	52.3 %	130	208	(78) (37.5)%		
CIE	20	54	(34)	(63.0)%	74	95	(21) (22.1)%		
Other	(34)	(38)	4	10.5 %	(75)	(215)	140 65.1 %		
Total CERP, CGP Casinos & CIE	164	186	(22)	(11.8)%	318	321	(3) (0.9)%		
CEOC				_		9	(9) *		
Other									
Total CEOC						9	(9) *		
Consolidated Caesars	\$164	\$186	\$(22)	(11.8)%	\$318	\$330	\$(12) *		

^{*}Not meaningful.

CEC Performance

For the six months ended June 30, 2016, improved other operating costs as 2015 includes the accrual of a \$35 million additional payment to CEOC (see Note 1), which was partially offset by increased legal fees in 2016.

CERP Performance

Income from operations was primarily affected by the revenue declines described above and increases of \$10 million in depreciation and amortization expense for the second quarter of 2016 and \$33 million for the first half of 2016. These increases mostly resulted from accelerated depreciation of \$4 million in the second quarter of 2016 and \$24 million in the first half of 2016 due to the removal and replacement of certain assets related to ongoing property renovation projects at primarily Harrah's Las Vegas, Flamingo Las Vegas, and Paris Las Vegas, as well as depreciation expense related to the Atlantic City Conference Center of \$2 million during the second quarter of 2016 and \$4 million for the first half of 2016.

CGP Casinos Performance

Income from operations increased \$23 million for the second quarter of 2016 and declined \$78 million for the first half of 2016 compared with the corresponding prior year periods. Income from operations for the first half of 2015 includes a \$115 million benefit related to the change in fair value of contingently issuable non-voting membership units, which is eliminated in consolidation. Excluding the effect of this fair value adjustment, income from operations increased \$37 million. Income from operations for the second quarter and first half of 2016 benefited from the increases in net revenues described above.

CIE Performance

Income from operations declined \$34 million for the second quarter of 2016 and \$21 million for the first half of 2016 compared with the corresponding prior year periods. The increases in net revenues described above were accompanied by corresponding increases in platform fees of \$17 million and \$32 million, respectively. In addition, CIE recognized expenses of \$66 million for the second quarter of 2016 and \$94 million first half of 2016 resulting from adjustments in the fair value of their stock-based compensation awards, which represented increases of \$59 million and \$74 million compared with the corresponding prior year periods. These awards are classified as liability-based instruments and are re-measured at their fair value at each reporting date (see Note 12).

Interest Expense and Other Factors that Affect Net Income/(Loss)

Interest Expense - Segment

	Three Month Ended 30,		,				Six Months Ended June Fav/(Unfav) 30,						
(Dollars in millions)	2016	2015	\$		%		2016	2015	\$		%		
CEOC	\$—	\$ —	\$		_	%	\$—	\$87	\$87	'	*		
CERP	99	98	(1)	(1.0))%	198	200	2		1.0	%	
CGP Casinos	49	47	(2)	(4.3)%	100	94	(6)	(6.4)%	
CIE	_	1	1		100.0	%	1	3	2		66.7	%	
Other	2	1	(1)	(100.0))%	2	—	(2)	(100.0))%	
Total	\$150	\$147	\$(3))	(2.0)%	\$301	\$384	\$83	,	*		

^{*}Not meaningful.

Other Factors Affecting Net Income/(Loss) - Consolidated

	Three M Ended Ju 30,		Fav/(Unf	av)	Six Mon Ended Ju	ths ane 30,	Fav/(Unf	av)
(Dollars in millions)	2016	2015	\$	%	2016	2015	\$	%
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	\$(2,026)	\$ 7	\$(2,033)	*	\$(2,263)	\$7,096	\$(9,359)	*
Income tax benefit/(provision)	(31) 4	(35)	*	(71)	(188)	117	62.2%

^{*}Not meaningful.

Interest Expense

The CEOC deconsolidation resulted in an \$87 million decrease in interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2016 compared with the prior year period. Excluding this effect, interest expense increased \$3 million and \$4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, respectively, primarily due to the reduction in capitalized interest as a result of CERP's completion of the Atlantic City Convention Center construction in the third quarter of 2015 and CGP's renovations at The LINQ Hotel, which were substantially completed and available to guests in early May 2015.

Deconsolidation and Restructuring of CEOC and Other

As described in Note 1, we recognized certain obligations that we believe will ultimately be settled under the Amended Plan or the RSAs. As a result, during the three and six months ended June 30, 2016, we accrued additional expenses associated with the CEOC restructuring totaling \$2.0 billion and \$2.3 billion, respectively. A portion of the obligations we recognized reflect our estimates of the fair value of the consideration CEC has agreed to provide in exchange for the settlement of litigation claims and potential claims against CEC and its affiliates. As described in Note 7, these obligations will be accounted for at fair value each period until they are ultimately settled as part of the Restructuring, and a fluctuation in the value of one or more of the inputs to our fair value estimates could result in a significant adjustment to the fair value of these obligations.

Effective January 15, 2015, we deconsolidated CEOC and recognized a gain of \$7.1 billion during the six months ended June 30, 2015 (see Note 2).

Income Taxes

The effective tax rates were negative 1.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2016 and negative 8.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2015. The effective tax rates were negative 3.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2016 and 2.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2015. See Note 13 for a detailed discussion of income taxes and the effective tax rate.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity Discussion and Analysis

As described above, CEOC filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and we deconsolidated CEOC effective January 15, 2015. As such, all amounts presented in the following analysis exclude the amounts related to CEOC for periods subsequent to the deconsolidation of CEOC.

We do not believe that the event of default by CEOC resulting from its bankruptcy filing will directly affect the liquidity of CEC and its consolidated operating subsidiaries as of June 30, 2016, due to the absence of cross-default provisions in the indebtedness issued by other CEC subsidiaries and a 2014 modification of a parent guarantee (as discussed in Note 1). However, as stated previously, there is substantial doubt as to CEC's ability to continue as a going concern as we have limited cash available to meet the financial commitments of CEC, primarily resulting from significant expenditures made to (1) defend the Company against the matters disclosed in Note 1 and (2) support CEOC's plan of reorganization (the "Restructuring"); we have made material future commitments to support the Restructuring; and we are a defendant in litigation, including the Noteholder Disputes, and other noteholder disputes relating to certain CEOC transactions dating back to 2010, that if resolved against us would raise substantial doubt about CEC's ability to continue as a going concern. See Note 1 for a full description.

We are a highly-leveraged company and had \$7.0 billion in face value debt outstanding as of June 30, 2016. As a result, a significant portion of our liquidity needs are for debt service, including significant interest payments. As detailed in the table below, our estimated consolidated debt service obligation for the remainder of 2016 (including principal and interest) is \$312 million and \$9.4 billion thereafter to maturity.

CEC is primarily a holding company with no independent operations, employees, or debt issuances of its own. It has ownership interests in CEOC, CERP and CGP. CEC has no requirement to fund the operations of CEOC, CERP, CGP, or their subsidiaries. CEC cash outflows are primarily used for corporate development opportunities, other corporate-level activity, litigation, and restructuring expenses associated with CEOC's bankruptcy. CEC does not receive any financial benefit from CEOC during the bankruptcy, as all earnings and cash flows are retained by CEOC. In addition, because CEC has no operations of its own and due to the restrictions under its subsidiaries' lending arrangements, CEC has limited ability to raise additional capital.

Consolidated cash and cash equivalents as of June 30, 2016 as shown in the table below, includes amounts held by CERP, CGP, and Caesars Enterprise Services, LLC ("CES"), which are not readily available to CEC. "Other" reflects CEC and certain of its direct subsidiaries, including \$103 million related to its insurance captives.

Cash and Available Revolver Capacity

	June 30, 2016			
(In millions)	CERP	CES	CGP	Other
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	\$191	\$104	\$1,029	\$201
Revolver capacity	270	_	160	
Revolver capacity drawn or committed to letters of credit	(15)	_	_	
Total	\$446	\$104	\$1,189	\$201

⁽¹⁾ CGP's cash and cash equivalents includes \$107 million held by foreign subsidiaries.

Annual Estimated Debt Service Requirements

(In millions)	Remaining 2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Thereafter	Total
	\$ 210						\$6,441
CGP	102	201	205	380	450	1,936	3,274
Total	\$ 312	\$622	\$610	\$785	\$4,180	\$ 3,206	\$9,715

We generated consolidated operating cash inflows of \$366 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016, including operating cash inflows of \$185 million and \$276 million from CERP and CGP, respectively. Our cash flows from operations include outflows by CEC related to the Restructuring of CEOC and by CES related to cash payments on behalf of its Members for expenses accrued but not paid during 2015.

CERP and CGP's sources of liquidity are independent of one another and primarily include currently available cash and cash equivalents, cash flows generated from their operations, and borrowings under their separate revolving credit facilities (see Note 9). Operating cash inflows are typically used for operating expenses, debt service costs, and working capital needs. CERP and CGP are highly leveraged, and a significant portion of their liquidity needs are for debt service, as summarized above.

CERP generated a net loss of \$8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016, which includes the impact of non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization expense of \$132 million during the period. Other than accelerated depreciation and amortization expense compared with the prior year (described above), CERP's operating activities were stable and yielded operating cash flows of \$185 million, an 8% decline from the prior year period. CERP's capital expenditures were \$59 million during the 2016 period in support of its ongoing property renovations, a decrease of \$17 million, or 22%, compared with the prior year period. In addition to acquisitions of property and equipment, CERP also has commitments related to its long-term debt and revolving credit facility. In 2016, CERP incurred \$198 million of interest expense, of which \$196 million was paid in cash, and repaid \$83 million of debt primarily on its revolving credit facility (\$148 million in payments less \$65 million in revolver draws).

CGP (CGP Casinos and CIE combined) generated net income of \$42 million during the six months ended June 30, 2016, which includes the impact of non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization expense of \$97 million and stock-based compensation expense of \$98 million during the period. CGP's operating cash flows increased to \$276 million, which is an improvement of \$167 million compared with the prior year period primarily due to the improved operating results described above for CGP Casinos and CIE.

CGP's capital expenditures were \$40 million during the 2016 period, which was a reduction of \$92 million compared with the prior year period due to property renovations that were completed during the first half of 2015. In addition to acquisitions of property and equipment, CGP also has commitments related to its long-term debt and revolving credit facility. During the 2016 quarter, CGP incurred \$101 million of interest expense, of which \$94 million was paid in cash, and repaid \$58 million in debt primarily on its revolving credit facility (\$73 million in payments less \$15 million in revolver draws).

CERP and CGP's ability to fund operations, pay debt obligations, and fund planned capital expenditures depends, in part, upon economic and other factors that are beyond their control, and disruptions in capital markets and restrictive covenants related to their existing debt could impact their ability to fund liquidity needs, pay indebtedness, and secure additional funds through financing activities.

We believe that CERP and CGP's cash flows from operations are sufficient to cover planned capital expenditures for ongoing property renovations during the remainder of 2016, as well as remaining 2016 estimated interest and principal payments due on long-term debt totaling \$312 million. However, if needed, their existing cash and cash equivalents and availability under their revolving credit facility are available to further support operations during the next 12 months and the foreseeable future. In addition, restrictions under their lending arrangements generally prevent the distribution of cash to CEC, except for certain restricted payments.

The foregoing liquidity discussions are forward-looking statements based on assumptions as of the date of this filing that may or may not prove to be correct. Actual results may differ materially from CEC's present expectations. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from present expectations include, without limitation, the results of ongoing bankruptcy proceedings of CEOC and the positive or negative changes in the operational and other matters assumed in preparing the CEC forecasts.

Capital Spending and Development

We incur capital expenditures in the normal course of business, and we perform ongoing refurbishment and maintenance at our existing casino entertainment facilities to maintain our quality standards. We also continue to pursue development and acquisition opportunities for additional casino entertainment and other hospitality facilities, and online businesses that meet our strategic and return on investment criteria. Cash used for capital expenditures in the normal course of business is typically made available from cash flows generated by our operating activities and established debt programs, while cash used for development projects is typically funded from established debt programs, specific project financing, and additional debt offerings.

Summary of Capital Expenditures

	Six M	Ionths			
	Ended	d June	Increase/		
	30,		(Decreas	se)	
(In millions)	2016	2015			
Development	\$3	\$75	\$ (72)	
Renovation/refurbishment	86	142	(56)	
Other	17	10	7		
Total capital expenditures	\$106	\$227	\$ (121)	

Included in capital expenditures:

Capitalized payroll costs \$2 \$3 Capitalized interest 9

For the six months ended June 30, 2016, capital expenditures were primarily related to hotel renovation projects at Harrah's Las Vegas, Paris Las Vegas, and Planet Hollywood. Capital expenditures decreased compared with the prior year period primarily due to the completion of the Atlantic City Conference Center which was still under construction in the first quarter 2015.

Projected Capital

Expenditures for 2016

(In millions) Low High CERP \$150 \$165 CGP 110 120 CES 15 30 Total \$275 \$315

We expect to fund these capital expenditures from cash flows generated by our operating activities. CES capital expenditures will be funded by its Members. Our projected capital expenditures for 2016 include estimates for: remodel projects at CERP's Harrah's Las Vegas and Paris Las Vegas;

remodel projects at CGP's Planet Hollywood;

hospitality and maintenance projects; and

¶T, marketing, analytics, accounting, payroll, and other projects that benefit the operating structures.

Our planned development projects, if they proceed, will require, individually and in the aggregate, significant capital commitments. When completed, these projects may result in significant additional revenues. The commitment of capital, the timing of completion, and the commencement of operations of development projects are contingent upon, among other things, negotiation of final agreements and receipt of approvals from the appropriate political and regulatory bodies. We must also comply with covenants and restrictions set forth in our debt agreements.

There are various risks and uncertainties and the expected capital expenditures set forth above may change for various reasons, including our financial performance, market conditions and the CEOC bankruptcy process.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Material changes to our aggregate indebtedness, if any, are described in Note 9.

Except as described in Note 1, as of June 30, 2016, there have been no other material changes outside of the ordinary course of business to our other known contractual obligations, which are set forth in the table included in Item 7 in our 2015 10-K.

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Property earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") is presented as a supplemental measure of the Company's performance. Property EBITDA is defined as revenues less property operating expenses and is comprised of net income/(loss) before (i) interest expense, net of interest capitalized and interest income, (ii) income tax provision, (iii) depreciation and amortization, (iv) corporate expenses, and (v) certain items that the Company does not consider indicative of its ongoing operating performance at an operating property level. In evaluating Property EBITDA you should be aware that, in the future, the Company may incur expenses that are the same or similar to some of the adjustments in this presentation. The presentation of Property EBITDA should not be construed as an inference that future results will be unaffected by unusual or unexpected items.

Property EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure commonly used in our industry and should not be construed as an alternative to net income/(loss) as an indicator of operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow provided by operating activities as a measure of liquidity (as determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP")). Property EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by other companies within the industry. Property EBITDA is included because management uses Property EBITDA to measure performance and allocate resources, and believes that Property EBITDA provides investors with additional information consistent with that used by management.

Reconciliation of Property EBITDA

	Ended lune		Six Months Ended June 30,	
(In millions)	2016	2015	2016	2015
Net income/(loss) attributable to Caesars	\$(2,077)	\$15	\$(2,385)	\$6,787
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	34	35	68	60
Loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	_	_	_	7
Income tax (benefit)/provision	31	(4)	71	188
Deconsolidation and restructuring of CEOC and other	2,026	(7)	2,263	(7,096)
Interest expense	150	147	301	384
Depreciation and amortization				