IONICS INC Form DEFM14A January 27, 2005

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

(Rule 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 14(a) OF THE SECURITIES

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (AMENDMENT NO.)

Filed by the Registrant b

Filed by a party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- **b** Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to § 240.14a-12

IONICS, INCORPORATED

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

Common Stock, \$1.00 par value per share, of Ionics, Incorporated

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - 27,128,983, which includes 22,802,757 shares of Common Stock and options to purchase 4,326,226 shares of Common Stock.
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

The filing fee was determined based upon the sum of (a) 22,802,757 shares of Common Stock multiplied by \$44.00 per share and (b) in-the-money options to purchase 4,294,226 shares of Common Stock multiplied by \$18.75 per share (which is the difference

between \$44.00 and the weighted average exercise price per share of the in-the-money options). In accordance with Section 14(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the filing fee was determined by multiplying \$0.0001177 by the sum of the preceding sentence.

	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:						
		\$1,083,838,045.50						
	(5)	Total fee paid:						
		\$127,567.74						
þ	Fee p	paid previously with preliminary materials:						
0	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the From or Schedule and the date of its filing.							
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:						
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:						
(3)		Filing Party:						
	(4)	Date Filed:						

IONICS, INCORPORATED

65 Grove Street Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882

January 27, 2005

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend a Special Meeting of Stockholders of Ionics, Incorporated. The meeting is scheduled for February 22, 2005 at 1:00 P.M., EST, and will be held at Ionics principal offices, 65 Grove Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882.

At the meeting, you will be asked to approve the Merger Agreement that we entered into on November 24, 2004 with General Electric Company and Triton Acquisition Corp., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of GE. As a result of the Merger, Ionics will become an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of GE. At the meeting you will also be asked to grant the persons named as proxies discretionary authority to vote to adjourn the Special Meeting, if necessary, to satisfy the conditions to completing the Merger as set forth in the Merger Agreement, including for the purpose of soliciting proxies to vote in favor of approving the Merger Agreement.

If the Merger is completed, you will be entitled to receive \$44.00 in cash, without interest, for each share of Ionics common stock that you own and you will have no ongoing ownership interest in the continuing business of Ionics. We cannot complete the Merger unless all of the conditions to closing are satisfied or waived, including the approval of the Merger Agreement by holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock.

Our board of directors carefully reviewed and considered the terms and conditions of the proposed Merger. Based on its review, the board of directors has determined that the terms of the Merger Agreement and the Merger are advisable and are in the best interests of Ionics and its stockholders.

IONICS BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO APPROVE THE MERGER AGREEMENT.

The notice of Special Meeting of stockholders and Proxy Statement follow. Please give this material your careful attention. Please sign and return your proxy promptly, whether or not you plan to attend the Special Meeting. Your vote is very important to Ionics.

On behalf of Ionics directors and officers, I wish to thank you for your interest in Ionics and urge you to vote **FOR** the approval of the Merger Agreement.

Sincerely,

DOUGLAS R. BROWN

President and Chief Executive Officer

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

Please sign, date and return your proxy card promptly

IONICS, INCORPORATED

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On February 22, 2005

To the Stockholders of Ionics, Incorporated:

Notice is hereby given that a Special Meeting of Stockholders of Ionics, Incorporated (Ionics) will be held at Ionics principal offices, 65 Grove Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882, on February 22, 2005 at 1:00 P.M., EST, to consider and act upon proposals:

- 1. To approve the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 24, 2004, among General Electric Company (GE), Triton Acquisition Corp., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of GE (Merger Sub), and Ionics;
- 2. To grant the persons named as proxies discretionary authority to vote to adjourn the Special Meeting, if necessary, to satisfy the conditions to completing the Merger as set forth in the Merger Agreement, including for the purpose of soliciting proxies to vote in favor of approving the Merger Agreement; and
 - 3. To consider and act upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

Any action on the items of business described above may be considered at the meeting at the time and on the date specified above or at any time and date to which the meeting may be properly adjourned or postponed.

The board of directors of Ionics has determined that the Merger is in the best interests of Ionics and its stockholders and recommends that you vote to approve the Merger Agreement. This item of business to be submitted to a vote of the stockholders at the Special Meeting is more fully described in the attached Proxy Statement, which we urge you to read carefully. The board of directors of Ionics also recommends that you expressly grant the authority to vote your shares to adjourn the Special Meeting, if necessary, to permit further solicitation of proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the Special Meeting to approve the Merger Agreement. We are not aware of any other business to come before the Special Meeting.

Stockholders of record on January 3, 2005 are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Special Meeting and any adjournment or postponement of the meeting. All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the Special Meeting in person. Approval of the Merger Agreement will require the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock.

Ionics has concluded that you are entitled to appraisal rights under the Massachusetts Business Corporation Act, provided that you strictly comply with the procedures in that Act as described further in the accompanying Proxy Statement.

You should not send any certificates representing shares of Ionics common stock with your proxy card. Upon closing of the Merger, you will be sent instructions regarding the procedure to exchange your stock certificates for the cash merger consideration.

Even if you plan to attend the Special Meeting in person, we request that you complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card, as instructed in these materials, to ensure that your shares will be represented at the Special Meeting if you are unable to attend. If you sign, date and mail your proxy card

Table of Contents

without indicating how you wish to vote, your shares will be voted in favor of approval of the Merger Agreement. If you fail to return your proxy card, your shares will not be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the Special Meeting and will have the same effect as a vote against approval of the Merger Agreement. If you do attend the Special Meeting and wish to vote in person, you may withdraw your proxy and vote in person. If your shares are held in the name of your broker, bank or other nominee, you must obtain a proxy, executed in your favor, from the holder of record to be able to vote at the Special Meeting.

No person has been authorized to give any information or to make any representations other than those set forth in the Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation of proxies made hereby, and, if given or made, such information must not be relied upon as having been authorized by Ionics or any other person.

By Order of the Board of Directors

STEPHEN KORN, Secretary
Ionics, Incorporated
65 Grove Street
Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882

January 27, 2005

WHETHER OR NOT YOU EXPECT TO BE AT THE MEETING, PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ENCLOSED PROXY IN THE POSTAGE PRE-PAID ENVELOPE IONICS HAS PROVIDED.

2

IONICS, INCORPORATED

65 Grove Street Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882

PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement (this Proxy Statement) is being furnished to holders of Ionics common stock in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the board of directors of Ionics, Incorporated (Ionics) for use at the Special Meeting of Stockholders to be held on February 22, 2005 (the Special Meeting) at 1:00 P.M., EST, at Ionics principal offices, 65 Grove Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882, and any adjournments or postponements thereof. This Proxy Statement and the accompanying notice of Special Meeting of stockholders and form of proxy were first sent or given to stockholders on or about January 27, 2005.

At the Special Meeting, Ionics stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on proposals:

- 1. To approve the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 24, 2004, among General Electric Company (GE), Triton Acquisition Corp., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of GE (Merger Sub), and Ionics;
- 2. To grant the persons named as proxies discretionary authority to vote to adjourn the Special Meeting, if necessary, to satisfy the conditions to completing the Merger as set forth in the Merger Agreement, including for the purpose of soliciting proxies to vote in favor of approval of the Merger Agreement; and
 - 3. To consider and act upon such other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

Ionics board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote **FOR** approval of each of these proposals. Please give your careful attention to the more detailed information regarding each of these proposals that appears in this Proxy Statement.

This Proxy Statement is dated January 27, 2005.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Summary Relating to the Merger	4
The Companies Involved in the Merger	4
The Merger	4
Merger Consideration	5
Treatment of Stock Options	5
Market Price	5
Reasons for the Proposed Merger	5 5
Opinions of Ionics Financial Advisors	5
Recommendation of the Ionics Board of	
<u>Directors</u>	5
Vote Required	6
Proxies, Voting and Revocation	6
Interests of Certain Persons in the	
<u>Merger</u>	6
Appraisal Rights	9
U.S. Federal Income Tax Treatment	9
Conditions to Closing	9
No-Shop Provisions	9
<u>Termination</u>	10
<u>Fees</u>	10
Federal or State Regulatory Filings	
Required in Connection with the Merger	10
The Special Meeting of Ionics	
Stockholders	10
Exchange of Certificates	11
Questions and Answers	12
Forward-Looking Statements	15
<u>Voting and Proxies</u>	16
Who May Vote at the Special Meeting	16
<u>How to Vote</u>	16
How to Change Your Vote	16
Quorum and Vote Required	16
Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes	17
Other Meeting Matters and	
Adjournment	17
Solicitation of Proxies	17
Appraisal Rights	18
Delivery of this Proxy Statement to	
Multiple Stockholders with the Same	4.0
Address	18
The Merger	19
Background of the Proposed Merger	19
Reasons for the Merger	20
Recommendation of the Ionics Board of	22
<u>Directors</u>	22
Opinions of Ionics Financial Advisors	22
Goldman Sachs	23
<u>UBS</u>	25
Financial Analyses used by Goldman	27
Sachs and UBS	27
Interests of Certain Persons in the	22
<u>Merger</u>	33

2

Table of Contents

	Page
Appraisal Rights	36
Delisting and Deregistration of	
Ionics Common Stock	38
U.S. Federal Income Tax Treatment	38
Federal or State Regulatory Filings	
Required in Connection with the	
Merger	39
Rights Plan Amendment	40
Anti-Takeover Considerations	41
Merger Agreement and Other Related	
Agreements	42
Merger Consideration	42
Conversion of Shares; Procedures	
for Exchange of Certificates	42
Effect on Ionics Stock Options	43
Effective Time of the Merger	43
Representations and Warranties	43
Covenants of the Parties	44
No-Shop Provisions	47
Conditions to Closing	49
<u>Termination</u>	50
Fees and Expenses	51
Voting Agreement	52
Security Ownership of Certain	
Beneficial Owners and Management	53
Market for Common Stock; Dividend	
<u>Policy</u>	59
Other Matters	59
<u>Adjournments</u>	59
Stockholder Proposals	60
Expenses and Solicitation	60
Where You Can Find More	
Information	60
Annex A Agreement and Plan of	
<u>Merger</u>	A-1
Annex B-1 Opinion of Goldman,	
Sachs & Co.	B-1-1
Annex B-2 Opinion of UBS	
Securities LLC	B-2-1
Annex C Voting Agreement	C-1
Annex D Appraisal Rights Statutes	D-1

Table of Contents 10

3

Table of Contents

SUMMARY RELATING TO THE MERGER

This summary highlights selected information contained in this Proxy Statement related to the Merger and may not contain all of the information that is important to you. To understand the Merger fully and for a more complete description of the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 24, 2004 (the Merger Agreement), among General Electric Company (GE), Triton Acquisition Corp. (Merger Sub) and Ionics, Incorporated (Ionics), you should carefully read this entire document, including the annexes, and the other documents to which Ionics refers you.

The Companies Involved in the Merger

Ionics, Incorporated

65 Grove Street Watertown, MA 02472-2882 (617) 926-2500 www.ionics.com

Ionics is a leading water purification company engaged worldwide in the supply of water and related activities and the supply of water treatment equipment through the use of proprietary separations technologies and systems. Ionics products and services are used by it or its customers to desalt brackish water and seawater, recycle and reclaim process water and wastewater, treat water in the home, manufacture and supply water treatment chemicals and ultrapure water, process food products, and measure levels of waterborne contaminants and pollutants. Ionics customers include industrial companies, consumers, municipalities and other governmental entities, and utilities. Ionics common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol ION. Ionics is incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Information contained on Ionics website does not constitute a part of this Proxy Statement.

General Electric Company

3135 Easton Turnpike Fairfield, CT 06828-0001 (203) 373-2211

GE is one of the world s largest and most diversified industrial corporations. GE s common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol GE. GE is incorporated under the laws of the State of New York.

Triton Acquisition Corp.

3135 Easton Turnpike Fairfield, CT 06828-0001 (203) 373-2211

Merger Sub is an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of GE and has not engaged in any business activity other than in connection with the Merger.

The Merger

The Merger

Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub will merge with and into Ionics, with Ionics being the surviving corporation (the Merger). After the Merger, GE will own, indirectly, all of Ionics outstanding stock. Ionics stockholders will receive cash in the Merger in exchange for their Ionics common stock. A copy of the Merger Agreement is attached as Annex A to this Proxy Statement. Ionics encourages you to read the Merger Agreement carefully and fully as it is the definitive agreement that governs the Merger.

4

Table of Contents

Merger Consideration (See page 42)

If the Merger is completed, stockholders who do not exercise appraisal rights will receive \$44.00 in cash, without interest and subject to any applicable withholding taxes, in exchange for each share of Ionics common stock owned by such stockholder. After the Merger is completed, each stockholder will have the right to receive the merger consideration, but will no longer have any rights as an Ionics stockholder.

Treatment of Stock Options (See page 43)

Holders of Ionics stock options will be entitled to receive, for each stock option, an amount in cash equal to the excess, if any, of \$44.00 over the exercise price of such stock option multiplied by the number of shares subject to such stock option, without interest and subject to any applicable withholding taxes, whether or not then vested or exercisable.

Market Price (See page 59)

Ionics common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol ION. On November 23, 2004, the last full trading day prior to the public announcement of the proposed Merger, Ionics common stock closed at \$29.75 per share. On January 26, 2005, the last trading day prior to the date of this Proxy Statement, Ionics common stock closed at \$43.71 per share. Ionics stock price can fluctuate broadly even over short periods of time. It is impossible to predict the actual price of Ionics stock immediately prior to the effective time of the Merger.

Reasons for the Proposed Merger (See page 20)

In the course of reaching its decision to adopt and approve the Merger and the Merger Agreement and to recommend that Ionics stockholders approve the Merger Agreement, Ionics board of directors considered a number of factors in its deliberations. Those factors are described below in this Proxy Statement.

Opinions of Ionics Financial Advisors (See page 22)

Goldman Sachs and UBS have rendered their opinions to the Ionics board of directors that, as of November 24, 2004, and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth therein, the \$44.00 in cash per share of Ionics common stock to be received by holders of shares of Ionics common stock pursuant to the Merger Agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to such holders.

The full text of the opinions of Goldman Sachs and UBS, each dated November 24, 2004, which set forth assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinions, are attached as Annexes B-1 and B-2 to this Proxy Statement. Ionics stockholders should read the opinions in their entirety. Goldman Sachs and UBS provided their opinions for the information and assistance of Ionics board of directors in connection with its consideration of the Merger. The Goldman Sachs and UBS opinions are not recommendations as to how any holder of shares of Ionics common stock should vote with respect to the Merger.

Pursuant to the terms of Ionics engagement letters with Goldman Sachs and UBS, Ionics has agreed to pay each of them a transaction fee of approximately \$4,733,000, based on the number of shares of Ionics common stock and options to purchase shares of Ionics common stock outstanding on the record date. All of the fees payable to Goldman Sachs and UBS are contingent and payable only if the Merger is completed.

Recommendation of the Ionics Board of Directors (See page 22)

The Ionics board of directors has unanimously determined that the Merger is advisable and in the best interests of Ionics and its stockholders and unanimously recommends that Ionics stockholders vote **FOR** the approval of the Merger Agreement.

Table of Contents 13

5

Table of Contents

Vote Required (See page 16)

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock is required to approve the Merger Agreement. The Merger is not contingent upon the approval at the Special Meeting of any other proposal presented to Ionics stockholders.

With the consent of Ionics board of directors, which was required pursuant to the Stockholders Agreement dated as of February 13, 2004 among Ionics and the former equity holders (the Ecolochem Holders) of Ecolochem, Inc. and its affiliated entities (the Ecolochem Group), certain trust entities established by and/or for the benefit of the Ecolochem Holders, which collectively hold approximately 19.2% of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock, have entered into a Voting Agreement with GE whereby, among other things, the Ecolochem Holders agreed to vote their shares of Ionics common stock:

in favor of approval of the Merger Agreement and the Merger;

against any action or agreement that would result in a breach of any representation, warranty, covenant, agreement or other obligation of Ionics under the Merger Agreement;

against any Takeover Proposal (as defined therein); and

against any agreement, amendment of Ionics articles of organization or bylaws or other action that is intended or could reasonably be expected to prevent, impede, interfere with, delay, postpone or discourage the consummation of the Merger.

To secure the performance of the Ecolochem Holders of the above agreements, the Ecolochem Holders also appointed GE and its designees as their proxy and attorney-in-fact to vote the shares of Ionics common stock held by them as set forth above. A copy of the Voting Agreement is attached as Annex C to this Proxy Statement.

Proxies, Voting and Revocation (See page 16)

Shares of Ionics common stock represented at the Special Meeting by properly executed proxies received prior to or at the Special Meeting, and not revoked, will be voted at the Special Meeting, and at any adjournments or postponements of that meeting, in accordance with the instructions on the proxies. If a proxy is duly executed and submitted without instructions, the shares of Ionics common stock represented by that proxy will be voted **FOR** the approval of the Merger Agreement and, if necessary, **FOR** the approval of one or more adjournments of the Special Meeting to solicit additional proxies.

A stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time prior to its exercise by:

Delivering a written notice of revocation bearing a later date than the proxy to the Secretary of Ionics at or before the taking of the vote at the Special Meeting;

Delivering a duly executed proxy relating to the same shares and bearing a later date to the Secretary of Ionics before the taking of the vote at the Special Meeting; or

Attending the Special Meeting and voting such shares in person. Stockholders should note, however, that merely attending the Special Meeting in person without casting a vote at the meeting will not alone constitute a revocation of a proxy.

Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger (See page 33)

In considering the recommendation of Ionics board of directors in favor of the Merger, you should be aware that there are provisions of the Merger Agreement, the Voting Agreement and other existing agreements that will result in certain benefits to Ionics directors and executive officers that are not available to stockholders generally. Ionics board of directors was aware of, and considered the interests of, its directors and executive officers and the potential conflicts arising from such interests in its deliberations of the merits of the Merger and in adopting and approving the Merger Agreement and the Merger. Other than the provisions of the Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement described below, and the bonuses

6

Table of Contents

described below that were not contractually required, the arrangements described below were in existence before the discussions about the Merger began. Stockholders should take these benefits into account in deciding whether to vote for approval of the Merger Agreement.

Charge of

Stock Options. Upon the completion of the Merger, all Ionics stock options will immediately vest and become exercisable. All outstanding stock options will be converted at the effective time into the right to receive a cash payment equal to the excess, if any, of \$44.00 over the exercise price of such stock option multiplied by the number of shares subject to such stock option. The following table sets forth the number of options held by Ionics executive officers and directors, the number of shares of Ionics common stock subject to options held by such persons that will vest as a result of the Merger and the estimated cash payment such persons will receive pursuant to the conversion of their options.

	Shares of Common Stock Subject to	Shares of Common Stock Subject to Outstanding Options that Vest as a	Weighted Average Exercise	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share of Options that	Realizable Value of Options that Vest as a Result of the Merger at	Realizable Value of All Options
Name	Outstanding Options	Result of the Merger	Price Per Share of All Options	Vest as a Result of the Merger	the Closing of the Merger	at the Closing of the Merger
Douglas R. Brown	468,000	350,000	\$17.8418	\$18.1343	\$9,053,000.00	\$12,242,035.00
Lyman B. Dickerson	0	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
John Curtis	240,000	150,000	\$22.2229	\$22.3567	\$3,246,500.00	\$ 5,226,500.00
Edward J. Cichon	120,000	52,000	\$23.8325	\$22.1469	\$1,136,360.00	\$ 2,420,100.00
Stephen Korn	165,000	46,000	\$28.8898	\$22.0795	\$1,008,345.00	\$ 2,493,175.00
Daniel M. Kuzmak	125,000	103,000	\$24.3018	\$23.3305	\$2,128,955.00	\$ 2,462,275.00
Alan Crosby	68,200	33,800	\$25.8710	\$22.3908	\$ 730,390.00	\$ 1,236,400.00
Anthony DiPaola	41,000	31,400	\$23.1695	\$22.8942	\$ 662,722.00	\$ 854,050.00
Michael W. Routh	65,000	41,000	\$22.9390	\$22.6421	\$ 875,672.50	\$ 1,368,962.50
Stephen L. Brown	10,000	0	\$23.0540	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 209,460.00
Kathleen F. Feldstein	14,000	0	\$25.0832	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 264,835.00
William K. Reilly	10,000	0	\$23.0540	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 209,460.00
John J. Shields	14,500	0	\$25.1666	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 273,085.00
Frederick T. Stant III	2,000	0	\$25.1000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 37,800.00
Robert H. Temkin	2,000	0	\$25.1000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 37,800.00
Daniel I. C. Wang	14,000	0	\$25.0832	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 264,835.00
Mark S. Wrighton	15,000	0	\$25.2443	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 281,335.00
Allen Wyett	15,000	0	\$25.2443	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 281,335.00

Note: The table does not include stock options with an exercise price exceeding \$44.00 per share of Ionics common stock.

Stock Ownership. Many of Ionics officers and directors also beneficially own shares of Ionics common stock. For a further description of these stock holdings, see Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management beginning on page 53.

Severance Arrangements under Existing Employment Agreements. Ionics has entered into employment agreements with each of Douglas R. Brown, John F. Curtis and Lyman B. Dickerson that provide for salary and other employment terms. Each agreement also provides that if, among other events, (i) the employee terminates his employment for good cause (as defined in each employment agreement) within 24 months of a change in control or (ii) Ionics terminates the employee s employment without cause (as defined in each employment agreement), then the employee shall receive a severance benefit equal to 18 months of his then-current base salary plus an amount equal to 1.5 times the employee s target bonus for the fiscal year in which such termination occurs, in addition to any benefits required to be paid by law and the customary post-termination benefits in accordance with Ionics retirement, insurance and other benefit plans and arrangements, including any earned but unpaid bonus. On November 23, 2004, the Ionics board of directors established target bonuses for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005 for

7

Table of Contents

Messrs. Brown, Curtis and Dickerson of \$600,000, \$300,000 and \$250,000, respectively. The severance benefits payable to Messrs. Brown, Curtis and Dickerson under these employment agreements if their employment were terminated under such circumstances would be \$1,500,000, \$975,000 and \$750,000, respectively. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, GE has not indicated to Ionics on what basis the employment of Messrs. Brown, Curtis and Dickerson will be continued, or if it will be continued, after the completion of the Merger. As a result, Ionics is not aware of whether such severance benefits will be paid.

Severance Arrangements under Existing Employee Retention Agreements. Ionics has entered into employee retention agreements with each of the following executive officers: Edward Cichon, Alan Crosby, Anthony DiPaola, Stephen Korn and Daniel Kuzmak. Pursuant to each such retention agreement, if within 24 months of a change in control, a successor to Ionics terminates the employee other than for cause (as defined in each retention agreement) or the employee terminates his employment for good reason (as defined in each retention agreement), then the employee is entitled to: (i) the employee s full base salary and all other compensation due through the termination date; (ii) a lump sum severance payment equal to the sum of 200% of the average of the employee s base salary in effect on the termination date and the two preceding calendar years, plus 200% of the average of the aggregate cash bonuses paid to the employee in the last three calendar years; (iii) any legal fees and expenses incurred in connection with the termination; and (iv) for a 24 month period after termination, life, disability, dental and group health insurance benefits substantially similar to the benefits the employee was receiving immediately prior to the termination date, unless the employee otherwise receives equivalent benefits during this period. The lump sum severance payment payable to Messrs. Cichon, Crosby, DiPaola, Korn and Kuzmak under these employment agreements if their employment were terminated under such circumstances would be \$661,666, \$681,666, \$525,133, \$825,700 and \$738,667, respectively. As of the date of this Proxy Statement, GE has not indicated to Ionics on what basis the employment of Messrs. Cichon, Crosby, DiPaola, Korn and Kuzmak will be continued, or if it will be continued, after the completion of the Merger. As a result, Ionics is not aware of whether such severance payments will be made.

Executive Bonus Payments. On November 23, 2004, the Ionics board of directors approved the payment of an aggregate of \$870,000 in cash bonuses to 11 management employees with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. These bonuses, which were paid during December 2004, included bonuses of \$100,000 to each of Messrs. Brown, Curtis and Kuzmak and bonuses of \$75,000 to each of Messrs. Cichon and Korn. These bonuses were in addition to the contractually required cash bonuses of \$400,000 and \$150,000 to Messrs. Brown and Curtis, respectively, which were also paid during December 2004.

In addition, the Ionics board of directors approved the payment of an aggregate of up to another \$630,000 in cash bonuses to Ionics employees (other than Messrs. Brown and Dickerson) with respect to the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004. These bonuses will be paid to employees (which may include certain executive officers), in the amounts and at the times determined by Ionics Chief Executive Officer. To date, Mr. Korn is the only named executive officer to receive such a bonus, which was for \$25,000.

Indemnification of Directors and Executive Officers and Insurance. The Merger Agreement provides that GE will cause Ionics, as the surviving corporation in the Merger, to indemnify Ionics directors and officers with respect to actions or omissions by them as such at any time prior to the Closing Date to the fullest extent permitted by Ionics certificate of incorporation or bylaws, any applicable contract and applicable law; provided that such persons shall not be indemnified for any criminal conduct, fraud or breach of the Merger Agreement. The Merger Agreement further provides that, after the Merger, GE will, or will cause Ionics as the surviving corporation to, provide, for a period not less than six years, directors and officers liability insurance covering those persons who were, as of the date of the Merger Agreement, covered by Ionics directors and officers liability insurance policy, on terms no less favorable than those in effect on the date of the Merger Agreement, subject to certain limits based on the cost of providing such insurance.

Ecolochem Escrow Agreement. In connection with Ionics acquisition of the Ecolochem Group on February 13, 2004, the Ecolochem Holders agreed to indemnify Ionics for losses arising from certain

8

Table of Contents

breaches of representations, warranties, covenants and agreements made by them in the definitive acquisition agreement. To secure a portion of the Ecolochem Holders indemnification obligations, \$20,000,000 in cash and 490,566 shares of Ionics common stock (which constitutes only a portion of the cash and shares received by the Ecolochem Holders in the acquisition) were escrowed pursuant to the Escrow Agreement dated as of February 13, 2004 by and among Ionics and the Ecolochem Holders (the Ecolochem Escrow Agreement). Subject to certain limitations, on February 13, 2005, the escrow agent is required to distribute to the Ecolochem Holders any amounts held in escrow in excess of 50% of the escrowed cash and shares of Ionics common stock, less the amount of any pending claims for indemnification. Subject to certain limitations, on February 13, 2006, the escrow agent is required to distribute to the Ecolochem Holders any remaining amounts held in escrow, less the amount of any pending claims for indemnification. As of January 26, 2005, no claims for indemnification were pending.

As consideration for the Ecolochem Holders—agreement to enter into the Voting Agreement and to vote their shares of Ionics common stock in favor of the Merger Agreement, GE agreed that, after the completion of the Merger, any remaining amounts then held in escrow (less the amount of any pending claims for indemnification) will be distributed to the Ecolochem Holders. While the Ecolochem Holders will still be liable for indemnification claims, the escrowed amounts will be distributed to them earlier than contemplated by the Ecolochem Escrow Agreement. Lyman Dickerson, a director and executive officer of Ionics, and persons related to him beneficially own all of the cash and shares of Ionics common stock held in escrow and may be deemed to benefit from shortening the deadline for recovery of indemnification claims from the escrowed amounts and the resulting release of the cash and Ionics common stock from escrow.

Appraisal Rights (See page 36)

Ionics has concluded that Ionics stockholders are entitled under Massachusetts law to appraisal rights in connection with the Merger. To exercise appraisal rights, an Ionics stockholder must:

Before the vote on the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement is taken, deliver to Ionics written notice of such stockholder s intent to demand payment for his or her shares of common stock;

NOT vote in favor of the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement; and

Comply with other procedures as are required by Part 13 of the Massachusetts Business Corporations Act.

A copy of the relevant sections of Part 13 of the Massachusetts Business Corporations Act is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex D.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Treatment (See page 38)

The Merger will be taxable for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Generally, this means that you will recognize taxable gain or loss equal to the difference between the cash you receive in the Merger and your adjusted tax basis in your shares. Tax matters can be complicated and the tax consequences of the Merger to you will depend on the facts of your own situation. You should consult your own tax advisor to understand fully the tax consequences of the Merger to you.

Conditions to Closing (See page 49)

The obligations of both GE and Ionics to complete the Merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of certain specified conditions.

No-Shop Provisions (See page 47)

Ionics has agreed not to solicit, initiate or knowingly facilitate or encourage a business combination or other similar transaction with another party while the Merger is pending, and not to enter into discussions

9

Table of Contents

or negotiations with another party regarding a business combination or similar transaction while the Merger is pending, except under certain specified circumstances set forth in the Merger Agreement.

Termination (See page 50)

GE and Ionics may terminate the Merger Agreement and abandon the Merger under certain specified circumstances.

Fees (See page 51)

The Merger Agreement requires Ionics to pay GE a termination fee in the amount of \$33,000,000 if the Merger Agreement is terminated under certain specified circumstances.

Federal or State Regulatory Filings Required in Connection with the Merger (See page 39)

Under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (the HSR Act), the Merger may not be completed until notifications have been given and certain information and materials have been furnished to the Antitrust Division of the United States Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission and the required waiting period has expired or been terminated. Ionics and GE filed the required notification and report forms under the HSR Act with the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission on December 20, 2004 and December 22, 2004, respectively, and the required waiting period expired on January 21, 2005.

The Merger is also subject to review by regulatory authorities in certain foreign jurisdictions. Both GE and Ionics have made (or will make) additional filings as required by these foreign regulatory authorities. GE and Ionics have agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to obtain regulatory clearance and, in connection therewith, to vigorously defend any challenge by regulatory authorities to the completion of the Merger.

The Special Meeting of Ionics Stockholders (See page 16)

The Special Meeting will be held to consider and vote upon the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement and the proposal to grant the persons named as proxies discretionary authority to adjourn the Special Meeting, if necessary, to satisfy the conditions to completing the Merger, including for the purpose of soliciting proxies to vote in favor of approval of the Merger Agreement, at Ionics offices at 65 Grove Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882, at 1:00 p.m., EST, on Tuesday, February 22, 2005.

You are entitled to vote at the Special Meeting if you owned shares of Ionics common stock on January 3, 2005, the Record Date for the Special Meeting. You will have one vote at the Special Meeting for each share of Ionics common stock you owned on the Record Date. There are 22,974,248 shares of Ionics common stock entitled to be voted at the Special Meeting.

To vote, you can either (1) complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card or (2) attend the Special Meeting and vote in person. If your shares are held in street name by your broker, bank or other nominee, you should instruct your broker to vote your shares by following the instructions provided by your broker. Your broker will not vote your shares without instruction from you. Remember, if you fail to instruct your broker to vote your shares, it has the same effect as a vote against the approval of the Merger Agreement.

The approval of the Merger Agreement requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock on the Record Date. The proposal to grant the persons named as proxies the discretionary authority to vote to adjourn the Special Meeting, if necessary, to satisfy the conditions to completing the Merger, including for the purpose of soliciting proxies to vote in favor of approval of the Merger Agreement, requires the approval of the holders of a majority of the shares of Ionics common stock present, in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote at the Special Meeting.

10

Table of Contents

Exchange of Certificates (See page 42)

Promptly after the effective time of the Merger, Ionics stockholders will be mailed a letter of transmittal and instructions specifying the procedures to be followed to surrender your shares of Ionics common stock in exchange for the merger consideration. Ionics stockholders should not submit their stock certificates for exchange until they receive the letter of transmittal and instructions. When Ionics stockholders surrender their stock certificates along with the properly executed letter of transmittal, they will receive the merger consideration.

11

Table of Contents

OUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q. When and where will the Special Meeting be held?

A. The Special Meeting will be held on February 22, 2005 at 1:00 P.M., EST, at Ionics principal offices, 65 Grove Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882.

Q: What do I need to do now? (See page 16)

A: Ionics urges you to read carefully and consider the information contained in this Proxy Statement. In addition, you should complete, sign and date the attached proxy card and return it to Ionics, Incorporated, Proxy Services, c/o EquiServe Trust Company, N.A., P.O. Box 8078, Edison, NJ 08818-9350 in the enclosed postage-prepaid return envelope as soon as possible so that your shares of Ionics common stock may be represented at the Special Meeting.

Q: What happens if I do not return a proxy card? (See page 17)

A: The failure to return your proxy card, an abstention from voting or a broker non-vote will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement.

Q: May I vote in person? (See page 16)

A: Yes. You may vote in person at the meeting, rather than signing and returning your proxy card, if you own shares in your own name. However, Ionics encourages you to return your signed proxy card to ensure that your shares are voted. You may also vote in person at the Special Meeting if your shares are held in street name through a broker or bank provided that you bring a legal proxy from your broker or bank and present it at the Special Meeting. You may also be asked to present photo identification for admittance.

Q: Can I change my vote after I have voted by proxy? (See page 16)

A: Yes. A stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time prior to its exercise by:

Delivering a written notice of revocation bearing a later date than the proxy to the Secretary of Ionics at or before the taking of the vote at the Special Meeting;

Delivering a duly executed proxy relating to the same shares and bearing a later date to the Secretary of Ionics before the taking of the vote at the Special Meeting; or

Attending the Special Meeting and voting such shares in person. Stockholders should note, however, that merely attending the Special Meeting in person without casting a vote at the meeting will not alone constitute a revocation of a proxy.

Q: If my shares are held in street name by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me? (See page 16)

A: Your broker will not vote your shares without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker to vote your shares, following the procedure provided by your broker. Without instructions, your shares will not be voted, which will have the same effect as voting against approval of the Merger Agreement.

Q: What vote of the stockholders is required to approve the Merger Agreement? (See page 16)

A: To approve the Merger Agreement, stockholders of record as of January 3, 2005 holding at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock must vote FOR the approval of the Merger Agreement. There are 22,974,248 shares of Ionics common stock entitled to be voted at the Special Meeting. Stockholders holding approximately 19.2% of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock have entered into a Voting Agreement with GE whereby, among other things, such stockholders agreed to vote their shares of Ionics common stock held by them in favor of the Merger Agreement and appointed GE and its designees as their proxy and attorney-in-fact to vote the shares of Ionics common stock held by them in favor of the Merger Agreement.

Table of Contents

- Q: Does Ionics board of directors recommend approval of the Merger Agreement? (See page 22)
- A: Yes. Ionics board of directors unanimously recommends that its stockholders approve the Merger Agreement. Ionics board of directors considered many factors in deciding to recommend the approval of the Merger Agreement. These factors are described below in this Proxy Statement.
- Q: Is the Merger contingent upon approval at the Special Meeting of any of the proposals to be voted upon at the Special Meeting other than the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement?
- A: No.
- Q: What will happen to Ionics as a result of the Merger?
- A: If the Merger is completed, Ionics will become an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of GE.
- Q: What will happen to my shares of Ionics common stock after the Merger? (See page 42)
- A: Upon completion of the Merger, each outstanding share of Ionics common stock will automatically be canceled and will be converted into the right to receive \$44.00 in cash, without interest, subject to any applicable withholding taxes.
- Q: Will I own any shares of Ionics common stock after the Merger or will I receive any shares of GE common stock as a result of the Merger? (See page 42)
- A: No. You will be paid cash for your shares of Ionics common stock. Ionics stockholders will not have the option to receive GE common stock in exchange for their shares instead of cash.
- Q: What happens to Ionics stock options in the Merger? (See page 43)
- A: Holders of Ionics stock options will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the excess, if any, of \$44.00 over the exercise price of such stock option multiplied by the number of shares of common stock subject to such stock option, without interest and subject to any applicable withholding taxes, whether or not then vested or exercisable. GE will not assume any Ionics stock options as a result of the Merger.
- Q: What happens if I sell my shares before the Special Meeting?
- A: The record date for the Special Meeting is earlier than the expected completion date of the Merger. If you held your shares of Ionics common stock on the record date but have transferred those shares after the record date and before the Merger, you may retain your right to vote at the Special Meeting but not the right to receive the merger consideration. This right to receive the merger consideration will pass to the person to whom you transferred your shares of Ionics common stock.
- Q: Will the Merger be taxable to me?
- A: Generally, yes. For U.S. federal income tax purposes, generally you will recognize a taxable gain or loss as a result of the Merger measured by the difference, if any, between \$44.00 per share and your adjusted tax basis in that share. This gain or loss will be long-term capital gain or loss if you have held your Ionics shares for more than one year prior to the effective time of the Merger. You should read The Merger U.S. Federal Income Tax Treatment beginning on page 38 for a more complete discussion of the federal income tax consequences of the Merger.
- Q: Am I entitled to dissenter s or appraisal rights? (See page 36)
- A: Yes, Ionics has concluded that you are entitled under Massachusetts law to appraisal rights in connection with the Merger. To exercise appraisal rights, you must:
 - Before the vote on the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement is taken, deliver to Ionics written notice of your intent to demand payment for your shares of common stock;

NOT vote in favor of the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement; and

13

Table of Contents

Comply with other procedures as are required by Part 13 of the Massachusetts Business Corporations Act.

A copy of the relevant sections of Part 13 of the Massachusetts Business Corporations Act is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex D.

Q: Should I send in my stock certificates now? (See page 42)

A: No. After the Merger is completed, you will receive written instructions for exchanging your shares of Ionics common stock for the merger consideration of \$44.00 in cash, without interest and subject to any applicable withholding taxes, for each share of Ionics common stock.

Q: When do you expect the Merger to be completed?

A: Ionics is working toward completing the Merger as quickly as possible. In addition to obtaining stockholder approval, Ionics must obtain applicable regulatory approvals and satisfy all other closing conditions. However, Ionics cannot assure you that all conditions to the Merger will be satisfied or, if satisfied, the date by which they will be satisfied.

Q: When will I receive the cash consideration for my shares of Ionics common stock? (See page 42)

A: After the Merger is completed, you will receive written instructions, including a letter of transmittal, that explain how to exchange your shares for the cash consideration paid in the Merger. When you properly return and complete the required documentation described in the written instructions, you will promptly receive from the paying agent a payment of the cash consideration for your shares.

Q: Whom should I contact if I have additional questions?

A: For more information, you should contact Stephen Korn, Secretary, Ionics, Incorporated, 65 Grove Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882, (617) 926-2500 or Georgeson Shareholder toll free at (877) 868-5008.

14

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements and assumptions contained, or incorporated by reference, in this Proxy Statement relating to the closing of the Merger and other future events constitute forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially, including risks relating to receiving the approval of a majority of Ionics outstanding shares, receiving required regulatory approvals, satisfying other conditions to the closing of the Merger and other matters.

Any statements contained in this Proxy Statement, including statements to the effect that Ionics or its management believes, expects, anticipates, plans, may, will, projects, continues, or estimates or statements concerning potential or opportunity or other variation comparable terminology or the negative thereof, that are not statements of historical fact should be considered forward-looking statements. For a detailed discussion of these and other risk factors, please refer to Ionics filings with the SEC on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K. You can obtain copies of Ionics Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and other filings for free at the Investors section of Ionics website at www.ionics.com and at the SEC website at www.sec.gov or for a fee from commercial document retrieval services.

Ionics undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

15

Table of Contents

VOTING AND PROXIES

Who May Vote at the Special Meeting

Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on January 3, 2005 (the Record Date) are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Special Meeting and any adjournments thereof. As of the close of business on the Record Date, the outstanding stock of Ionics entitled to vote consisted of 22,974,248 shares of common stock. The holders of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock are entitled to one vote per share with respect to each matter submitted to stockholders at the Special Meeting.

How to Vote

Stockholders may vote in person or by proxy. Execution of a proxy will not affect a stockholder s right to attend the meeting and vote in person. All shares represented by valid proxies received by the Secretary of Ionics prior to the meeting will be voted as specified in the proxy. If no specification is made and if discretionary authority is conferred by the stockholder, the shares will be voted FOR each of the proposals. Shares of Ionics common stock represented at the Special Meeting but not voted, including shares of Ionics common stock for which proxies have been received but for which stockholders have abstained, will be treated as present at the Special Meeting for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum for the transaction of all business.

Ionics stockholders are requested to complete, date and sign the enclosed proxy card and promptly return it in the accompanying postage-paid envelope. Ionics stockholders may vote in person at the Special Meeting by delivering the completed proxy card at the meeting or by using written ballots that will be available to any Ionics stockholder who desires to vote in person at the Special Meeting. Ionics stockholders who are beneficial owners of shares held in street name by a broker, trustee, bank or other nominee holder on behalf of such stockholder may vote in person at the meeting by obtaining a proxy from the nominee holding the Ionics shares. In addition, such Ionics stockholders may vote by proxy by completing and signing a voting instruction card provided to them by the nominee holding the Ionics shares.

How to Change Your Vote

A stockholder giving a proxy has the power to revoke it at any time prior to its exercise by:

Delivering a written notice of revocation bearing a later date than the proxy to the Secretary of Ionics at or before the taking of the vote at the Special Meeting;

Delivering a duly executed proxy relating to the same shares and bearing a later date to the Secretary of Ionics before the taking of the vote at the Special Meeting; or

Attending the Special Meeting and voting such shares in person. Stockholders should note, however, that merely attending the Special Meeting in person without casting a vote at the meeting will not alone constitute a revocation of a proxy.

Quorum and Vote Required

The presence, in person or by properly executed proxy, of the holders of at least a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Ionics common stock entitled to vote at the Special Meeting will constitute a quorum. If a quorum is not present, it is expected that the meeting will be adjourned or postponed to enable Ionics to solicit additional proxies. If a new record date is set for the adjourned meeting, then a new quorum will have to be established. Approval of the Merger Agreement requires the affirmative vote of the holders of at least two-thirds of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock on the Record Date. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the Special Meeting will be tabulated by the election inspectors appointed for the meeting and will determine whether or not a quorum is present.

16

Table of Contents

The proposals to be considered at the Special Meeting are of great importance to Ionics. Accordingly, you are urged to read and carefully consider the information presented in this Proxy Statement and to complete, date, sign and promptly return the enclosed proxy in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.

Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes

Only shares affirmatively voted for the approval of the Merger Agreement, including properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions, will be counted as voting for that proposal. If you abstain from voting, it will have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the Merger Agreement and as a vote against the proposal to grant authority to vote to adjourn the Special Meeting. If you do not execute a proxy card, it will have the same effect as a vote against the approval of the Merger Agreement and will have no effect on the proposal to grant authority to vote to adjourn the Special Meeting. Brokers who hold shares in street name for customers have the authority to vote on routine proposals when they have not received instructions from beneficial owners. However, brokers are precluded from exercising their voting discretion with respect to approval of non-routine matters, such as the approval of the Merger Agreement and, as a result, absent specific instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares, brokers are not empowered to vote those shares, referred to generally as broker non-votes. Broker non-votes will be treated as shares that are present and entitled to vote at the Special Meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists and will have the same effect as votes against the approval of the Merger Agreement. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the proposal to grant the persons named as proxies the authority to vote to adjourn the Special Meeting.

Other Meeting Matters and Adjournment

The Ionics board of directors does not know of any matters other than those described in the notice of the Special Meeting that are to come before the Special Meeting. If any other matters are properly brought before the Special Meeting, including, among other things, a motion to adjourn or postpone the Special Meeting to another time and/or place for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies in favor of the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement or to permit the dissemination of information regarding material developments relating to the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement or otherwise germane to the Special Meeting, one or more persons named in the Ionics form of proxy will vote the shares represented by such proxy upon such matter as determined in their discretion. If it is necessary to adjourn the Special Meeting, no notice of the time and place of the adjourned meeting is required to be given to Ionics—stockholders other than the announcement of such time and place at the Special Meeting. At any subsequent reconvening of the Special Meeting, all proxies will be voted in the same manner as such proxies would have been voted at the original convening of the meeting (except for any proxies which theretofore have been effectively revoked or withdrawn). The affirmative vote of at least a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote present, in person or by proxy, and voting at the Special Meeting although less than a quorum, is required to approve such adjournment.

Solicitation of Proxies

The cost of solicitation of proxies will be borne by Ionics. In addition to soliciting stockholders by mail, certain of Ionics directors, officers and employees, without additional remuneration, may solicit proxies in person or by telephone or other means of electronic communication. Ionics will not pay these individuals for their solicitation activity but will reimburse them for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. Brokers and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries will be requested to forward proxy-soliciting material to the owners of stock held in their names, and Ionics will reimburse such brokers and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable out-of-pocket costs. Solicitation by directors, officers and employees of Ionics may also be made of some stockholders in person or by mail, telephone or other means of electronic communication following the original solicitation. Ionics has retained an independent proxy solicitation firm, Georgeson Shareholder Communications, Inc. (Georgeson Shareholder), to assist in soliciting proxies. Ionics has agreed to pay Georgeson Shareholder fees for proxy solicitation services in the amount of \$15,000 in fixed fees plus additional fees based on the

17

Table of Contents

number of soliciting calls made to Ionics stockholders and the number of votes received as a result of such calls, plus its expenses incurred in performing such services.

Appraisal Rights

Ionics has concluded that Ionics stockholders are entitled under Massachusetts law to appraisal rights in connection with the Merger. To exercise appraisal rights, Ionics stockholders must:

Before the vote on the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement is taken, deliver to Ionics written notice of such stockholder s intent to demand payment for his or her shares of common stock;

NOT vote in favor of the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement; and

Comply with other procedures as are required by Part 13 of the Massachusetts Business Corporations Act.

A copy of the relevant sections of Part 13 of the Massachusetts Business Corporations Act is attached to this Proxy Statement as Annex D.

Delivery of this Proxy Statement to Multiple Stockholders with the Same Address

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (for example, brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for proxy statements with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address if Ionics believes the stockholders are members of the same family by delivering a single proxy statement addressed to those stockholders. Each stockholder will continue to receive a separate proxy card or voting instruction card. This process, which is commonly referred to as householding, potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies by reducing the volume of duplicate information.

A number of brokers with account holders who are Ionics stockholders will be householding Ionics proxy materials. A single proxy statement will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received notice from your broker or Ionics that they will be householding communications to your address, householding will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If your household received a single proxy statement, but you would prefer to receive your own copy, please notify your broker and direct your written request to Ionics, Incorporated, Attention: Director, Investor Relations, 65 Grove Street, Watertown, Massachusetts 02472-2882, or contact Ionics Investor Relations Department at (617) 926-2500. If your household received multiple proxy statements, but you would like to receive one set of Ionics proxy materials in the future:

If your Ionics shares are registered in your own name, please contact Ionics transfer agent, EquiServe LP, by phone at (781) 575-3120, or by mail to 150 Royall Street, Canton, Massachusetts 02021; or

If a broker or other nominee holds your Ionics shares, please contact your broker and Ionics, Incorporated, Investor Relations and inform them of your request. Be sure to include your name, the name of your brokerage firm and your account number.

18

Table of Contents

THE MERGER

This section describes material aspects of the Merger, including the Merger Agreement. While Ionics believes that the description covers the material terms of the Merger and the Merger Agreement, this summary may not contain all of the information that is important to you. You should read carefully this entire document and the other documents referred to in this Proxy Statement for a more complete understanding of the Merger and the Merger Agreement.

Ionics stockholders are being asked to consider and act upon a proposal to approve the Merger Agreement. The Merger Agreement provides for the acquisition of all the outstanding shares of common stock of Ionics for \$44.00 in cash per share of common stock. The Merger will not be completed unless the Merger Agreement is approved.

Background of the Proposed Merger

On April 15, 2004, Douglas R. Brown (Ionics President and Chief Executive Officer) and Lyman B. Dickerson (Ionics Vice President, Water Systems Division) met with George R. Oliver (Vice President and General Manager of Water and Process Technologies, GE Infrastructure) to discuss potential business relationships between Ionics and GE.

On April 30, 2004, Messrs. Brown and Oliver met at Ionics. At this meeting, Mr. Brown presented information regarding Ionics business, operations, strategic plans and historical and projected financial performance.

On June 10, 2004, Mr. Brown and Theodore G. Papastavros (Ionics retired Executive Vice President who is serving as a consultant to Ionics) met at GE Water s offices in Minnesota with Mr. Oliver, Robert Jeffe (former Senior Vice President, Business Development of GE) and Phil Rolchigo (Technology Leader of GE Infrastructure). At this meeting, Ionics and GE presented information regarding their respective process technologies.

On June 18, 2004, Stephen Korn (Ionics Vice President and General Counsel) delivered to Scott Seeley (General Counsel of GE Infrastructure) a draft confidentiality agreement. Between June 18, 2004 and July 2, 2004, Mr. Korn and representatives of Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP (Ionics legal counsel) and Mr. Seeley and James R. Billingsley, Jr. (Senior Counsel Transactions of GE Infrastructure) negotiated a reciprocal confidentiality agreement. On July 2, 2004, Ionics and GE, acting through its GE Infrastructure business unit, entered into a reciprocal confidentiality agreement.

On July 7, 2004, Messrs. Brown and Papastavros met with William A. Woodburn (President and Chief Executive Officer of GE Infrastructure), and Mr. Oliver at Ionics. At this meeting, a range of business relationships were discussed ranging from cooperation on mobile demineralization to a merger.

On July 22, 2004, Messrs. Brown and Woodburn met in Cambridge, Massachusetts to discuss a possible business combination between Ionics and GE.

On August 22, 2004, Messrs. Dickerson and Oliver met in Virginia Beach, Virginia to discuss potential opportunities to work together in providing services.

On September 23, 2004, Mr. Brown met with Messrs. Woodburn and Oliver to discuss further a possible business combination between Ionics and GE, including the structure of a possible combination and the valuation of Ionics.

On October 7, 2004, Mr. Brown met with Messrs. Woodburn and Oliver at GE Infrastructure s offices in Wilton, Connecticut to discuss further a possible business combination between Ionics and GE, including the structure of a possible combination, the form of the consideration and the valuation of Ionics.

On October 27, 2004, Messrs. Brown and Woodburn met at Ionics to discuss further a potential business combination between GE and Ionics, including the structure of a possible combination, the form of the consideration and the valuation of Ionics.

Table of Contents 30

19

Table of Contents

On November 1, 2004, Messrs. Brown and Dickerson met with Jeffrey Immelt (GE s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer) and Mr. Woodburn to discuss a possible business combination between Ionics and GE, including the strategic fit of the businesses, the potential synergies resulting from such a combination and the structure and valuation of a possible combination. Messrs. Brown, Dickerson, Woodburn and Derek Feng (General Manager Business Development of GE Infrastructure) also met to discuss diligence requirements, transaction timing and the form of consideration.

From November 3, 2004 through November 23, 2004, representatives from GE and Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP (GE s legal counsel) conducted due diligence reviews of Ionics. On November 4, 2004 at the offices of Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP in Boston, Massachusetts, Messrs. Brown, Dickerson, Papastavros and Korn, John F. Curtis (Ionics Vice President, Strategy & Operations) and Daniel M. Kuzmak (Ionics Vice President and Chief Financial Officer) made presentations to Messrs. Woodburn, Oliver, Feng, Seeley and other representatives from GE regarding Ionics business, operations, strategic plans, historical and projected financial performance, and potential synergies realizable from a business combination. Also in attendance at that meeting were representatives from Goldman Sachs and UBS (Ionics financial advisors) and Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP.

On November 11, 2004, Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP delivered to Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP first drafts of the Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement. From November 15, 2004 to November 24, 2004, representatives of Ionics and GE and their respective legal counsel negotiated the terms and conditions of the proposed business combination, including the Merger Agreement. These negotiations covered all aspects of the proposed transaction. The terms of the Merger Agreement, including the consideration to be paid in the Merger to Ionics stockholders, were the result of arm s-length negotiations between Ionics and GE. The consideration to be paid in the Merger to Ionics stockholders resulted from arm s-length negotiations principally between Mr. Brown and representatives of GE, principally Mr. Woodburn. In negotiating the consideration to be paid in the Merger, Mr. Brown considered the form of the consideration, the premium the proposed consideration represented to the then current market price for Ionics shares, the enterprise value of Ionics based on the proposed consideration as a multiple of various estimated operating statistics, and information regarding the valuation multiples paid in other transactions, among other things. Also, from November 22, 2004 to November 24, 2004, Mr. Dickerson and GE and their respective legal counsel negotiated the terms and conditions of the Voting Agreement. GE required a voting agreement from the Ecolochem Holders as a condition to entering into the Merger Agreement. As consideration for entering into the Voting Agreement, Mr. Dickerson and the other Ecolochem Holders principally sought to have all cash and Ionics common stock held in escrow to secure a portion of their indemnification obligations to Ionics released upon completion of the Merger. For a description of the Voting Agreement, see Voting Agreement beginning on page 52.

On November 24, 2004, Ionics and GE entered into the Merger Agreement. On November 24, 2004, GE, and the Ecolochem Holders entered into the Voting Agreement. Before the opening of the market on November 24, 2004, Ionics and GE jointly announced their entry into the Merger Agreement.

Reasons for the Merger

The Ionics board of directors has unanimously determined that the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby (including the Merger) are advisable and in the best interests of Ionics and its stockholders, has unanimously adopted and approved the Merger Agreement, and has unanimously approved the transactions contemplated thereby. The Ionics board of directors unanimously recommends that holders of Ionics common stock vote FOR the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby (including the Merger).

In evaluating, adopting and approving the Merger Agreement and the Merger and recommending that the holders of Ionics common stock vote to approve the Merger Agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby (including the Merger), the Ionics board of directors retained Goldman Sachs and

20

Table of Contents

UBS as financial advisors, consulted with Testa, Hurwitz & Thibeault, LLP, and considered a number of factors, including the following:

The premium that the merger consideration of \$44.00 per share represented in comparison to the closing price per share of the Ionics common stock on November 22, 2004 (the last trading day prior to the date the Ionics board of directors acted on the matter) (\$30.21), and the average share price of the Ionics common stock for the previous four weeks (\$30.14), previous three months (\$27.91), previous six months (\$27.01), and previous twelve months (\$27.62);

That the merger consideration is all cash, which provides certainty of value to Ionics stockholders;

The likelihood that the Merger will be consummated, in light of the experience, reputation and financial capability of GE and the absence of any financing condition to GE s obligation to complete the Merger;

The business, financial, market and execution risks associated with remaining independent and successfully implementing Ionics business strategies;

The financial analyses of Goldman Sachs and UBS presented to the Ionics board of directors on November 23, 2004, and the opinions of Goldman Sachs and UBS delivered to the Ionics board of directors that, as of November 24, 2004, and based upon and subject to the various factors, assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth in their respective opinions, the \$44.00 in cash per share of Ionics common stock to be received by holders of shares of Ionics common stock pursuant to the Merger Agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to those holders (the full text of the opinions setting forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinions, are attached as Annexes B-1 and B-2 to this Proxy Statement);

The terms and conditions of the Merger Agreement, including:

The scope of the representations, warranties and covenants being made by Ionics;

The conditions to the consummation of the Merger, including the requirement that the Merger Agreement be approved by Ionics stockholders;

The ability of the Ionics board of directors, in the exercise of its fiduciary duties in accordance with the Merger Agreement, to provide information to, engage in negotiations with, change its recommendation to stockholders to approve the Merger Agreement and potentially enter into a transaction with another party in connection with any unsolicited, bona fide written proposal that the Ionics board of directors determines in good faith constitutes, or is reasonably likely to result in, a superior proposal in the manner provided in the Merger Agreement, subject to specified conditions, including if the Ionics board of directors accepts or recommends such a superior proposal, paying a \$33,000,000 termination fee to GE, which is approximately 3% of the total merger consideration;

The terms and conditions of the Voting Agreement (to which Ionics is not a party), including the support under the Voting Agreement for the adoption of the Merger Agreement by the holders of approximately 19.2% of the outstanding shares of Ionics common stock and the automatic termination of the Voting Agreement upon the termination of the Merger Agreement, which would be important if the Ionics board of directors terminated the Merger Agreement to accept a superior proposal as permitted under the Merger Agreement; and

The business reputation and the substantial, liquid financial resources of GE which the Ionics board of directors believes will provide Ionics business with significant resources to grow and execute its growth strategy more rapidly than as a stand-alone company and the potential to penetrate existing and new markets.

21

Table of Contents

The Ionics board of directors also considered a number of potentially countervailing factors in its deliberations concerning the Merger, including the following:

That Ionics will no longer exist as an independent company and its stockholders will no longer participate in Ionics growth or from any future increase in the value of Ionics or from any synergies that may be created by the Merger;

That, under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Ionics cannot solicit other acquisition proposals and must pay or cause to be paid to GE a termination fee of \$33,000,000 in cash if the Merger Agreement is terminated under certain circumstances specified in the Merger Agreement, including if the Ionics board of directors exercises its right to terminate the Merger Agreement and enter into an alternative superior transaction, which may deter others from proposing an alternative transaction that may be more advantageous to Ionics stockholders:

That gains from this all-cash transaction will be taxable to Ionics stockholders for U.S. federal income tax purposes; and

That if the Merger does not close, Ionics officers and other employees will have expended extensive efforts attempting to complete the transaction and will have experienced significant distractions from their work during the pendency of the transaction and Ionics will have incurred substantial transaction costs in connection with the transaction and such costs will negatively impact Ionics operating results.

The Ionics board of directors considered all of the above in light of Ionics historical and projected business, operations, assets, financial condition, operating results and cash flows and prospects.

The Ionics board of directors also considered the interests of its directors and executive officers in the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement, which are described below under The Merger Interests of Certain Persons in the Merger.

The Ionics board of directors concluded that, on balance, the potential benefits to Ionics and its stockholders of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement and the Voting Agreement outweighed the potential disadvantages and risks associated with those transactions. The foregoing discussion of the information and factors considered by the Ionics board of directors is not intended to be exhaustive. In view of the variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluations, the Ionics board of directors did not find it practicable to, and did not quantify or otherwise assign relative weight to, the specific factors considered in reaching its determination. Instead, the Ionics board of directors conducted an overall analysis of the factors described above, including summaries of discussions of Ionics management with Ionics legal, financial, accounting, tax and other advisors. In considering the factors described above, individual directors may have given different weights to different factors.

Recommendation of the Ionics Board of Directors

The Ionics board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to approve the Merger Agreement. The Ionics board of directors has unanimously determined that the Merger is advisable and in the best interests of Ionics and its stockholders.

Opinions of Ionics Financial Advisors

The Ionics board of directors selected both Goldman Sachs and UBS as its financial advisors because it believed that using the two firms would assist it in obtaining the highest value for Ionics stockholders. Both firms are internationally recognized investment banking firms that have substantial experience in transactions similar to the transaction contemplated by the Merger Agreement, have significant knowledge of and prior relationships with Ionics, and have significant knowledge of the industries in which Ionics conducts business. Both firms were engaged to act as Ionics financial advisors at approximately the same time. Throughout the period of their engagements, both firms provided financial advice and assistance to Ionics in connection with the Merger, including assisting Ionics in analyzing the transaction; advising

22

Table of Contents

Ionics with respect to the negotiations with GE, Ionics valuation and the terms and conditions of the transaction; and assisting in the diligence process, all of which Ionics believes helped it obtain the highest value for its stockholders. At the time of their engagements, the Ionics board of directors expected both firms to render their opinions as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the consideration to be paid in the Merger. At the meeting held on November 23, 2004, the Ionics board of directors requested that both firms provide their opinion as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the \$44.00 in cash per share of Ionics common stock to be received by holders of shares of Ionics common stock pursuant to the Merger Agreement. Obtaining opinions from both firms provided additional support for the determination by the Ionics board of directors as to the fairness of the consideration to be received by Ionics stockholders in the Merger. Ionics benefited both from the combined expertise of the two firms, which collaborated in performing the financial analyses relating to their opinions, and the separate opinions rendered by the firms which demonstrated their independent conclusions, as further described herein, that the consideration to be received by Ionics stockholders in the Merger was fair as of the date of such opinions.

At the time of the engagements of Goldman Sachs and UBS, the Ionics board of directors was informed that Goldman Sachs and UBS had provided investment banking and other services to GE and had advised other companies in connection with transactions with GE. The Ionics board of directors received assurances from each of Goldman Sachs and UBS that it had not been engaged by GE with respect to a potential transaction with Ionics. The Ionics board of directors did not consider the amount of fees for investment banking and other services paid by GE to either Goldman Sachs or UBS.

Goldman Sachs

Goldman Sachs rendered its opinion to Ionics board of directors that, as of November 24, 2004 and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in such opinion, the \$44.00 in cash per share of Ionics common stock to be received by the holders of shares of Ionics common stock pursuant to the Merger Agreement was fair from a financial point of view to such holders.

The full text of the opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated November 24, 2004, which sets forth assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinion, is attached as Annex B-1 hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Ionics stockholders should read the opinion in its entirety. Goldman Sachs provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the Ionics board of directors in connection with its consideration of the transaction contemplated by the Merger Agreement. The Goldman Sachs opinion is not a recommendation as to how any holder of shares of Ionics common stock should vote with respect to the Merger Agreement.

In connection with rendering the opinion described above and performing its related financial analyses, Goldman Sachs reviewed, among other things:

the Merger Agreement;

annual reports to stockholders and Annual Reports on Form 10-K of Ionics for the five fiscal years ended December 31, 2003;

certain interim reports to stockholders and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q of Ionics;

certain other communications from Ionics to its stockholders; and

certain internal financial analyses and forecasts for Ionics prepared by its management.

Goldman Sachs also held discussions with members of the senior management of Ionics regarding their assessment of the past and current business operations, financial condition and future prospects of Ionics. In addition, Goldman Sachs reviewed the reported price and trading activity for the shares of Ionics common stock, compared certain financial and stock market information for Ionics with similar information for certain other companies the securities of which are publicly traded, reviewed the financial terms of certain recent business combinations in the water technology industry specifically and in other

23

Table of Contents

industries generally and performed such other studies and analyses, and considered such other factors, as it considered appropriate.

Goldman Sachs relied upon the accuracy and completeness of all of the financial, accounting, legal, tax and other information discussed with or reviewed by it and assumed such accuracy and completeness for purposes of rendering the opinion described above. In that regard, Goldman Sachs assumed, with the consent of Ionics board of directors, that the internal financial forecasts prepared by the management of Ionics were reasonably prepared on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of Ionics. In addition, Goldman Sachs did not make an independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets and liabilities (including any contingent, derivative or off-balance-sheet assets and liabilities) of Ionics or any of its subsidiaries. No evaluation or appraisal of the assets or liabilities of Ionics or any of its subsidiaries was furnished to Goldman Sachs. Goldman Sachs was not requested to solicit, and did not solicit, interest from other parties with respect to an acquisition of or other business combination with Ionics. The opinion of Goldman Sachs did not address the underlying business decision of Ionics to engage in the transaction contemplated by the Merger Agreement. Except as described above, Ionics did not provide any instructions or impose any limitations on Goldman Sachs with respect to the investigations made or the procedures followed by Goldman Sachs in rendering its opinion.

Goldman Sachs and its affiliates, as part of their investment banking business, are continually engaged in performing financial analyses with respect to businesses and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, negotiated underwritings, competitive biddings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and other transactions as well as for estate, corporate and other purposes. Goldman Sachs has acted as financial advisor to Ionics in connection with, and has participated in certain of the negotiations leading to, the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

Goldman Sachs also has provided certain investment banking and other services to Ionics and its affiliates from time to time, including having acted as financial advisor to Ionics in connection with its acquisition of Ecolochem, Inc. and its affiliates in February 2004. In 2003 and 2004, Ionics paid to Goldman Sachs an aggregate of \$3,500,000 in fees for investment banking services and reimbursed Goldman Sachs for any expenses it incurred.

Goldman Sachs has provided certain investment banking and other services to GE and its affiliates from time to time, including:

having acted as agent in connection with GE s medium term note program;

having acted as financial advisor to GE in connection with its acquisition of Kretztechnik AG in October 2001, its acquisition of Interlogix, Inc. in February 2002, its acquisition of Telemundo Communications Group, Inc. in April 2002, its acquisition of Bravo in December 2002, its acquisition of Instrumentarium Corporation in October 2003, its acquisition of Amersham plc in April 2004 and its acquisition of ChevronTexaco Worldwide Gasification Technology Inc. in June 2004;

having acted as joint lead manager of the initial public offering of common stock of Genworth Financial, Inc., a subsidiary of GE, and related financings in May 2004;

having acted as joint bookrunning manager of an offering of GE s common stock in May 2004;

having acted as financial advisor to GE Capital Corporation in connection with its acquisition of Mellon U.S. Leasing and Mellon Leasing-Manufacturer and Dealer Services in June 2001, its acquisition of Franchise Finance Corporation of America in August 2001 and its sale of Financial Guarantee Insurance Company in December 2003; and

having acted as underwriter in connection with a number of other financing transactions for GE and its affiliates.

As of the date of its opinion, Goldman Sachs also was providing and may provide investment banking services to GE and its affiliates in the future. GE and Goldman Sachs have informed Ionics that, in

24

Table of Contents

connection with the above-described services, GE has paid Goldman Sachs reasonable and customary compensation. In the future, GE may pay Goldman Sachs additional compensation for investment banking and other services.

Goldman Sachs is a full service securities firm engaged, either directly or through its affiliates, in securities trading, investment management, financial planning and benefits counseling, risk management, hedging, financing and brokerage activities for both companies and individuals. In the ordinary course of these activities, Goldman Sachs and its affiliates may actively trade the debt and equity securities (or related derivative securities) of Ionics, GE and their respective affiliates for their own account and for the accounts of their customers and may at any time hold long and short positions of such securities.

Pursuant to a letter agreement dated September 29, 2004, Ionics engaged Goldman Sachs to act as its financial advisor in connection with the contemplated transaction. Pursuant to the terms of this engagement letter, Ionics has agreed to pay Goldman Sachs a transaction fee of \$3,250,000 plus 1.5% of the portion of the aggregate consideration (as defined in the letter agreement) paid in the transaction contemplated by the Merger Agreement that exceeds \$40.00 per share of Ionics common stock, payable upon the completion of such transaction. Based on the number of shares of Ionics common stock and options to purchase shares of Ionics common stock outstanding on the record date, the aggregate transaction fee would be approximately \$4,733,000. All of the fees payable to Goldman Sachs are contingent and payable only if the Merger is completed. In addition, Ionics has agreed to reimburse Goldman Sachs for its reasonable expenses, including attorneys fees and disbursements, and to indemnify Goldman Sachs and related persons against various liabilities, including certain liabilities under the federal securities laws.

UBS

UBS rendered its opinion to the Ionics board of directors that, as of November 24, 2004 and based upon and subject to the assumptions, limitations and qualifications set forth in such opinion, the \$44.00 in cash per share of Ionics common stock to be received by the holders of shares of Ionics common stock in the Merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to such holders.

The full text of the opinion of UBS, dated November 24, 2004, which sets forth assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinion, is attached as Annex B-2 hereto and incorporated herein by reference. Ionics—stockholders should read the opinion in its entirety. UBS provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the Ionics board of directors in connection with its consideration of the transaction contemplated by the Merger Agreement. The UBS opinion is not a recommendation as to how any holder of shares of Ionics common stock should vote with respect to the Merger Agreement.

In connection with rendering the opinion described above and performing its related financial analyses, UBS, among other things:

reviewed certain publicly available business and historical financial information and other data relating to Ionics;

reviewed current and historical market prices and trading volumes of the shares of Ionics common stock;

reviewed certain internal financial information and other data relating to the business and financial prospects of Ionics, including estimates and financial forecasts prepared by management of Ionics, that were provided to UBS by Ionics and were not publicly available;

conducted discussions with members of senior management of Ionics concerning the business and financial prospects of Ionics;

reviewed publicly available financial and stock market data with respect to certain other companies in lines of business UBS believed to be generally comparable to those of Ionics;

25

Table of Contents

compared the financial terms of the Merger with the publicly available financial terms of certain other transactions which UBS believed to be generally relevant;

reviewed the Merger Agreement; and

conducted such other financial studies, analyses and investigations, and considered such other information, as UBS deemed necessary or appropriate.

In connection with its review, with the consent of the Ionics board of directors, UBS did not assume any responsibility for independent verification of any of the information reviewed by UBS for the purpose of its opinion and relied on such information being complete and accurate in all material respects. In addition, at the direction of the Ionics board of directors, UBS did not make any independent evaluation or appraisal of any of the assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of Ionics, nor was it furnished with any such evaluation or appraisal. With respect to the financial forecasts and estimates referred to above, UBS assumed, at the direction of the Ionics board of directors, that they had been reasonably prepared on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of Ionics as to the future performance of Ionics. UBS assumed that all governmental, regulatory or other consents and approvals necessary for the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement would be obtained without any material adverse effect on Ionics or the Merger. UBS opinion was necessarily based on economic, monetary, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to UBS as of, November 24, 2004.

UBS opinion did not address the relative merits of the Merger as compared to other business strategies or transactions that might be available to Ionics or its underlying business decision to effect the Merger, nor did it constitute a recommendation to any shareholder as to how such shareholder should vote with respect to the Merger Agreement. UBS was neither asked to, nor did it, offer any opinion as to any terms of the Merger Agreement or related documents and the obligations thereunder, or the form of the Merger. In rendering its opinion, UBS assumed, with the consent of the Ionics board of directors, that each party to the Merger Agreement would comply with all the material terms of the Merger Agreement. UBS was not authorized to solicit, and did not solicit, indications of interest in a business combination with Ionics from any party. Except as described above, Ionics did not provide any instructions or impose any limitations on UBS with respect to the investigations made or the procedures followed by UBS in rendering its opinion.

UBS and its affiliates, as part of their investment banking and financial advisory business, are continuously engaged in performing financial analyses with respect to businesses and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, negotiated underwritings, competitive biddings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and other transactions as well as for estate, corporate and other purposes.

Prior to November 24, 2004, UBS and its affiliates had provided the following investment banking services to Ionics:

as of November 24, 2004, UBS and its affiliates were lead arranger, administrative agent, collateral agent and swingline lender under Ionics credit facility, dated February 13, 2004; and

UBS entered into an interest rate swap transaction with Ionics in March 2004.

In 2003 and 2004, Ionics paid to UBS an aggregate of \$2,277,917 in fees for such investment banking services and reimbursed UBS for any expenses it incurred.

Prior to November 24, 2004, UBS and its predecessors and affiliates had provided investment banking services to GE. UBS and its predecessors and affiliates acted:

as joint and sole book-running manager, co-manager and agent for various securities offerings of GE and GE Capital Corporation, a subsidiary of GE, in the two years prior to November 24, 2004;

as senior co-manager for the initial public offering of common stock of Genworth Financial, Inc., a subsidiary of GE, in May 2004;

26

Table of Contents

as senior co-manager for the public offering of convertible notes of Genworth Financial, Inc. in May 2004;

as financial advisor to GE in connection with the sale of Garrett Aviation Services to the Carlyle Group in July 2004; and

as of November 24, 2004 provided extensions of credit to GE and its affiliates in connection with certain credit facilities.

GE and UBS have informed Ionics that, in connection with the above-described services, GE has paid UBS reasonable and customary compensation. In the future, GE may pay UBS additional compensation for investment banking and other services. In the ordinary course of business, UBS, its successors and affiliates have traded, and may in the future trade, securities of Ionics or GE and their respective affiliates for their own account and the accounts of their customers, and, accordingly, may at any time hold long or short positions in such securities.

Pursuant to a letter agreement dated September 24, 2004, Ionics engaged UBS to act as its financial advisor in connection with the contemplated transaction. Pursuant to the terms of this engagement letter, Ionics has agreed to pay UBS a transaction fee of \$3,250,000 plus 1.5% of the portion of the aggregate consideration (as defined in the letter agreement) paid in the transaction contemplated by the Merger Agreement that exceeds \$40.00 per share of Ionics common stock, payable upon the completion of such transaction. Based on the number of shares of Ionics common stock and options to purchase shares of Ionics common stock outstanding on the record date, the aggregate transaction fee would be approximately \$4,733,000. All of the fees payable to UBS are contingent and payable only if the Merger is completed. In addition, Ionics has agreed to reimburse UBS for its reasonable expenses, including attorneys fees and disbursements, and to indemnify UBS and related persons against various liabilities, including certain liabilities under the federal securities laws.

Financial Analyses used by Goldman Sachs and UBS

The following is a summary of the material financial analyses used by Goldman Sachs and UBS in connection with rendering the opinions described above. Goldman Sachs and UBS collaborated in performing each of the financial analyses summarized below. The following summary, however, does not purport to be a complete description of the financial analyses performed by Goldman Sachs and UBS. The order of analyses described does not represent relative importance or weight given to those analyses by Goldman Sachs and UBS. Some of the summaries of the financial analyses include information presented in tabular format. The tables must be read together with the full text of each summary and are alone not a complete description of the financial analyses of Goldman Sachs and UBS. Except as otherwise noted, the following quantitative information, to the extent that it is based on market data, is based on market data as it existed on or before November 22, 2004 and is not necessarily indicative of current market conditions.

Historical Stock Trading and Transaction Premium Analysis. Goldman Sachs and UBS reviewed the historical trading prices and historical trading volumes for the shares of Ionics common stock and calculated the weighted average price per share of Ionics common stock for each of the three-month, six-month, one-year and three-year calendar periods ended on November 22, 2004. The weighted average prices per share of Ionics common stock calculated by Goldman Sachs and UBS ranged from \$25.57 to \$27.91.

In addition, Goldman Sachs and UBS calculated the implied premium represented by the \$44.00 per share of Ionics common stock purchase price to be received by holders of such shares pursuant to the Merger Agreement based on the following trading prices for the shares of Ionics common stock:

the closing price on November 22, 2004, which was the last trading day before the telephonic meeting of Ionics board of directors at which the board of directors approved the transaction contemplated by the Merger Agreement;

27

Table of Contents

the closing price on October 29, 2004, which was the last trading day before the meeting between GE s and Ionics chief executive officers; and

the average closing prices for the trailing four-week, three-month, six-month and twelve-month periods as of November 22, 2004. The results of these calculations are reflected below:

Day/Period	Price Per Share of Ionics Common Stock	Implied Premium
November 22, 2004	\$30.21	45.6%
October 29, 2004	\$28.50	54.4%
Range of the average closing prices from the trailing four-week to trailing		
twelve-month periods	\$27.01 to 30.14	46.0 to 62.9%

Source: FactSet as of November 22, 2004

Selected Companies Analysis. Goldman Sachs and UBS reviewed and compared certain financial information for Ionics to corresponding financial information, ratios and public market multiples for the following selected publicly traded companies in the water technology industry:

BWT AG

Calgon Carbon Corporation

CUNO Incorporated

ESCO Technologies Inc.

Kurita Water Industries Ltd

Millipore Corporation

Pall Corporation

Severn Trent Plc

Zenon Environmental Inc.

Although none of the selected companies was directly comparable to Ionics, the companies included were chosen because they are publicly traded companies with business, end markets and operations that, for purposes of analysis, could be considered similar to certain business, end markets and operations of Ionics.

Goldman Sachs and UBS calculated and compared various public market multiples and ratios of the selected companies based on information it obtained from SEC filings and median estimates provided by the Institutional Brokerage Estimate System, or IBES (a data service that compiles estimates issued by securities analysts). The multiples and ratios of Ionics were based on IBES median estimates and Ionics management s estimates. The multiples and ratios of Ionics and of the selected companies were calculated using public trading market closing prices on November 22, 2004 and using the \$44.00 per share to be received by holders of Ionics common stock. With respect to Ionics and the selected companies, Goldman Sachs and UBS calculated:

the enterprise value, which is the value of common equity, calculated using the per share price and fully diluted shares outstanding based on each company s latest publicly available information, plus book value of net debt, which is total debt less cash and cash equivalents, plus book value of minority interest, as a multiple of last twelve months, or LTM, sales;

the enterprise value as a multiple of LTM and estimated 2004 and 2005 EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization);

the enterprise value as a multiple of LTM EBIT (earnings before interest and taxes);

28

Table of Contents

the ratio of the price per share to the estimated 2004 and 2005 EPS (earnings per share), or P/E multiple;

the ratio of the estimated 2005 P/E multiple to the five-year EPS estimated compound annual growth rate, or CAGR;

LTM EBITDA and EBIT margins, calculated by dividing each company s LTM EBITDA and EBIT by its LTM sales; and

the dividend yield as of November 22, 2004.

Historical financial results utilized by Goldman Sachs and UBS for purposes of this analysis were based upon information contained in the applicable company s latest publicly available financial statements prior to November 22, 2004. The LTM period for this analysis refers to the latest twelve-month period from the most recent publicly available information for Ionics and the selected companies as of November 22, 2004. Ionics financial results for the LTM period were calculated, as provided by Ionics management, on a pro forma basis to consolidate the financial performance of the Desalination Company of Trinidad and Tobago Ltd. (which we refer to as Desalcott), which Ionics began consolidating on January 1, 2004, and to account for the purchase of Ecolochem, Inc., which was completed on February 13, 2004. Ionics net debt utilized in this analysis, as provided by Ionics management, included debt of Desalcott which is non-recourse to Ionics. Ionics LTM EBITDA and EBIT, estimated 2004 and 2005 EBITDA and estimated EPS included adjustments by Ionics management for certain one-time charges. Ionics management calculated Ionics estimated 2004 and 2005 EPS based on average diluted shares outstanding.

Estimates of future results used by Goldman Sachs and UBS in this analysis, for the selected companies, were based on median estimates provided by IBES and calendarized to year-end December 31, and for Ionics, were based on median estimates provided by IBES calendarized to year-end December 31 and estimates by Ionics management.

The results of these analyses are summarized in the following tables:

Enterprise Value Multiples

	G.1		EBITDA		
	Sales LTM	LTM	2004E	2005E	EBIT LTM
Selected Companies in the					
Water Technology Industry					
Median	2.1x	12.2x	11.4x	9.9x	16.8x
Mean	2.1	12.2	12.0	10.4	18.8
Range	1.0 to 3.2	7.9 to 17.6	7.4 to 18.4	7.0 to 15.3	10.3 to 31.8
Ionics at Nov. 22, 2004					
closing price					
IBES	1.9	11.5	12.7	10.0	27.5
Management	1.9	11.5	11.1	8.2	27.5
Ionics at \$44.00 share price					
IBES	2.7	15.9	17.5	13.9	38.2
	2.7	15.9	15.4	11.4	38.2

Table of Contents

Calendarized	****	
P/E Multiples	2005E	LTM Margins
-	PE /5-Year	