

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC  
Form 10-K  
January 11, 2008

---

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File No. 1-12803

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	04-2458042 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------

321 Railroad Avenue, Greenwich, CT (Address of principal executive offices)	06830 (Zip Code)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (203) 863-8200

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of each exchange
Title of each class o n w h i c h

registered

Common Stock, New York  
par value \$.01 per share  
S t o c k  
Exchange

Class A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share  
New York  
S t o c k  
Exchange

8.50 % Series C Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock  
New York  
S t o c k  
Exchange

7.5 % Series D Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock  
New York  
S t o c k  
Exchange

Preferred Share Purchase Rights  
New York  
S t o c k  
Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Act.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes

No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and non-accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes

No

The aggregate market value of the voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant as of April 30, 2007 (price at which the common equity was last sold as of the last business day of the Registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter): Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share \$-----57,182,000; Class A Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share \$324,323,000.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock, as of January 4, 2008 (latest date practicable): 7,943,616 Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share, and 18,850,477 Class A Common Shares, par value \$.01 per share.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on March 6, 2008 (certain parts as indicated herein)  
(Part III).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.		Page No.
PART I		
1.	Business	4
1 A.	Risk Factors	9
1 B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	14
2.	Properties	15
3.	Legal Proceedings	16
4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	16
PART II		
5.	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	17
6.	Selected Financial Data	19
7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
7 A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	29
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	30
9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	30
9 A.	Controls and Procedures	30
9 B.	Other Information	33
PART III		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	33
11.	Executive Compensation	33
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	34
13.		34

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	34
-----	----------------------------------------	----

PART IV

15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	35
-----	--------------------------------------------	----

	Signatures	61
--	------------	----

## PART I

### Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. (the “Company”) contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements can generally be identified by such words as “anticipate”, “believe”, “can”, “continue”, “could”, “estimate”, “expect”, “intend”, “may”, “plan”, “seek”, “should”, “will” words or other similar expressions and the negatives of such words. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this report that address activities, events or developments that the Company expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future, including such matters as future capital expenditures, dividends and acquisitions (including the amount and nature thereof), expansion and other development trends of the real estate industry, business strategies, expansion and growth of the Company’s operations and other such matters are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by the Company in light of its experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors it believes are appropriate. Such statements are inherently subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which cannot be predicted with accuracy and some of which might not even be anticipated. Future events and actual results, performance or achievements, financial and otherwise, may differ materially from the results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Risks, uncertainties and other factors that might cause such differences, some of which could be material, include, but are not limited to economic and other market conditions; financing risks, such as the inability to obtain debt or equity financing on favorable terms; the level and volatility of interest rates; financial stability of tenants; the inability of the Company’s properties to generate revenue increases to offset expense increases; governmental approvals, actions and initiatives; environmental/safety requirements; risks of real estate acquisitions (including the failure of acquisitions to close); risks of disposition strategies; as well as other risks identified in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under Item 1A. Risk Factors and in the other reports filed by the Company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

### Item 1. Business.

#### Organization

The Company, a Maryland Corporation, is a real estate investment trust engaged in the acquisition, ownership and management of commercial real estate. The Company was organized as an unincorporated business trust (the “Trust”) under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts on July 7, 1969. In 1997, the shareholders of the Trust approved a plan of reorganization of the Trust from a Massachusetts business trust to a corporation organized in Maryland. The plan of reorganization was effected by means of a merger of the Trust into the Company. As a result of the plan of reorganization, the Trust was merged with and into the Company, the separate existence of the Trust ceased, the Company was the surviving entity in the merger and each issued and outstanding common share of beneficial interest of the Trust was converted into one share of Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, of the Company.

#### Tax Status – Qualification as a Real Estate Investment Trust

The Company elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”) beginning with its taxable year ended October 31, 1970. Pursuant to such provisions of the Code, a REIT which distributes at least 90% of its real estate investment trust taxable income to its shareholders each year and which meets certain other conditions regarding the nature of its income and assets will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income which is distributed to its shareholders. Although the Company believes that it qualifies as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes, no assurance can be given

that the Company will continue to qualify as a REIT.

#### Description of Business

The Company's sole business is the ownership of real estate investments, which consist principally of investments in income-producing properties, with primary emphasis on properties in the northeastern part of the United States with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York and Bergen County, New Jersey. The Company's core properties consist principally of neighborhood and community shopping centers and five office buildings. The remaining properties consist of two industrial properties. The Company seeks to identify desirable properties for acquisition, which it acquires in the normal course of business. In addition, the Company regularly reviews its portfolio and from time to time may sell certain of its properties.

The Company intends to continue to invest substantially all of its assets in income-producing real estate, with an emphasis on neighborhood and community shopping centers, although the Company will retain the flexibility to invest in other types of real property. While the Company is not limited to any geographic location, the Company's current strategy is to invest primarily in properties located in the northeastern region of the United States with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York, and Bergen County, New Jersey.

At October 31, 2007, the Company owned or had an equity interest in thirty-nine properties comprised of neighborhood and community shopping centers, office buildings and industrial facilities located in seven states throughout the United States, containing a total of 3.7 million square feet of gross leasable area. For a description of the Company's individual investments, see Item 2-Properties.

### Investment and Operating Strategy

The Company's investment objective is to increase the cash flow and consequently the value of its properties. The Company seeks growth through (i) the strategic re-tenanting, renovation and expansion of its existing properties, and (ii) the selective acquisition of income-producing properties, primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers, in its targeted geographic region. The Company may also invest in other types of real estate in the targeted geographic region. For a discussion of key elements of the Company's growth strategies and operating policies, see Item 7 – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The Company invests in properties where cost effective renovation and expansion programs, combined with effective leasing and operating strategies, can improve the properties' values and economic returns. Retail properties are typically adaptable for varied tenant layouts and can be reconfigured to accommodate new tenants or the changing space needs of existing tenants. In determining whether to proceed with a renovation or expansion, the Company considers both the cost of such expansion or renovation and the increase in rent attributable to such expansion or renovation. The Company believes that certain of its properties provide opportunities for future renovation and expansion.

When evaluating potential acquisitions, the Company considers such factors as (i) economic, demographic, and regulatory conditions in the property's local and regional market; (ii) the location, construction quality, and design of the property; (iii) the current and projected cash flow of the property and the potential to increase cash flow; (iv) the potential for capital appreciation of the property; (v) the terms of tenant leases, including the relationship between the property's current rents and market rents and the ability to increase rents upon lease rollover; (vi) the occupancy and demand by tenants for properties of a similar type in the market area; (vii) the potential to complete a strategic renovation, expansion or re-tenanting of the property; (viii) the property's current expense structure and the potential to increase operating margins; and (ix) competition from comparable properties in the market area.

The Company may from time to time enter into arrangements for the acquisition of properties with unaffiliated property owners through the issuance of units of limited partnership interests in entities that the Company controls. These units may be redeemable for cash or for shares of the Company's Common stock or Class A Common stock. The Company believes that this acquisition method may permit it to acquire properties from property owners wishing to enter into tax-deferred transactions. In August 2007, the Company purchased all of the remaining limited partner operating partnership units (OPU's) in a partnership that owned The Shoppes at Eastchester, in Eastchester, New York for \$2.8 million. Prior to the purchase the Company was the sole general partner in the partnership. As a result of the purchase the partnership terminated and the property is now directly owned by the Company.

### Core Properties

The Company considers those properties that are directly managed by the Company, concentrated in the retail sector and located close to the Company's headquarters in Fairfield County, Connecticut, to be core properties. Of the thirty-nine properties in the Company's portfolio, thirty-seven properties are considered core properties consisting of thirty-two retail properties and five office buildings (including the Company's executive headquarters). At October 31, 2007, these properties contained in the aggregate 3.2 million square feet of gross leasable area ("GLA"). The Company's core properties collectively had 496 tenants providing a wide range of products and services. Tenants



include regional supermarkets, national and regional discount department stores, other local retailers and office tenants. At October 31, 2007, the core properties were 96% leased. The Company believes the core properties are adequately covered by property and liability insurance.

A substantial portion of the Company's operating lease income is derived from tenants under leases with terms greater than one year. Certain of the leases provide for the payment of fixed base rentals monthly in advance and for the payment of a pro-rata share of the real estate taxes, insurance, utilities and common area maintenance expenses incurred in operating the properties.

For the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, no single tenant comprised more than 5.0% of the total annual base rents of the Company's core properties. The following table sets out a schedule of our ten largest tenants by percent of total annual base rent of our core properties as of October 31, 2007.

Tenant	Number of Stores	% of Total Annual Base Rent of Core Properties
Stop & Shop Supermarket	3	5.0%
Bed, Bath & Beyond	2	2.3%
ShopRite Supermarkets	3	2.1%
Staples, Inc.	3	2.0%
Toys "R" Us	2	1.8%
Christmas Tree Shops	1	1.4%
Big Y Foods Supermarkets	1	1.3%
Borders Books	1	1.3%
Marshall's	1	1.2%
The Sports Authority	1	1.1%
		19.5%

See Item 2 Properties for a complete list of the Company's core properties.

The Company's single largest real estate investment is its 90% general partnership interest in the Ridgeway Shopping Center ("Ridgeway"). Ridgeway is located in Stamford, Connecticut and was developed in the 1950's and redeveloped in the mid 1990's. The property contains approximately 369,000 square feet of gross leasable space. It is the dominant grocery anchored center and the largest non-mall shopping center located in the City of Stamford, Fairfield County, Connecticut. For the year ended October 31, 2007, Ridgeway revenues represented approximately 14% of the Company's total revenues and approximately 19% of the Company's total assets at October 31, 2007. As of October 31, 2007, Ridgeway was approximately 95% leased. The property's largest tenants (by base rent) are: The Stop & Shop Supermarket Company, a division of Ahold (20%), Bed, Bath and Beyond (15%), Marshall's Inc., a division of the TJX Companies (10%), and L.A. Fitness International, LLC (10%). No other tenant accounts for more than 10% of Ridgeway's annual base rents.

The following table sets out a schedule of the annual lease expirations for retail leases at Ridgeway as of October 31, 2007 for each of the next ten years and thereafter (assuming that no tenants exercise renewal or cancellation options and that there are no tenant bankruptcies or other tenant defaults):

Year of Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage	Minimum Base Rentals	Base Rent (%)
2008	3	5,945	\$178,000	1.7%

Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

2009	2	4,646	184,000	1.4%
2010	3	36,415	654,000	10.6%
2011	3	47,140	1,003,000	13.7%
2012	5	23,917	823,000	6.9%
2013	9	96,547	2,897,000	28.0%
2014	3	5,758	197,000	1.7%
2015	3	7,635	249,000	2.2%
2016	-	-	-	-
2017	1	60,000	1,853,000	17.4%
Thereafter	3	62,407	1,449,000	16.4%
Total	35	350,410	\$9,487,000	100.00%

### Non-Core Properties

In a prior year, the Board of Directors of the Company expanded and refined the strategic objectives of the Company to concentrate the real estate portfolio into one of primarily retail properties located in the Northeast and authorized the sale of the Company's non-core properties in the normal course of business over a period of several years given prevailing market conditions and the characteristics of each property.

Through this strategy, the Company seeks to update its property portfolio by disposing of properties which have limited growth potential and redeploying capital into properties in its target geographic region and product type where the Company's management skills may enhance property values. The Company may engage from time to time in like-kind property exchanges, which allow the Company to dispose of properties and redeploy proceeds in a tax efficient manner.

At October 31, 2007, the Company's non-core properties consisted of two industrial facilities with a total of 447,000 square feet of GLA. The non-core properties collectively had 2 tenants and were 100% leased at October 31, 2007.

The two industrial facilities consist of automobile and truck parts distribution warehouses. The facilities are net leased to DaimlerChrysler Corporation under long-term lease arrangements whereby the tenant pays all taxes, insurance, maintenance and other operating costs of the property during the term of the lease.

At October 31, 2007, the Company also held one fixed rate mortgage note receivable with a net book value of \$1,305,000.

#### Financing Strategy

The Company intends to continue to finance acquisitions and property improvements and/or expansions with the most advantageous sources of capital which it believes are available to the Company at the time, and which may include the sale of common or preferred equity through public offerings or private placements, the incurrence of additional indebtedness through secured or unsecured borrowings, investments in real estate joint ventures and the reinvestment of proceeds from the disposition of assets. The Company's financing strategy is to maintain a strong and flexible financial position by (i) maintaining a prudent level of leverage, and (ii) minimizing its exposure to interest rate risk represented by floating rate debt.

#### Matters Relating to the Real Estate Business

The Company is subject to certain business risks arising in connection with owning real estate which include, among others, (1) the bankruptcy or insolvency of, or a downturn in the business of, any of its major tenants, (2) the possibility that such tenants will not renew their leases as they expire, (3) vacated anchor space affecting an entire shopping center because of the loss of the departed anchor tenant's customer drawing power, (4) risks relating to leverage, including uncertainty that the Company will be able to refinance its indebtedness, and the risk of higher interest rates, (5) potential liability for unknown or future environmental matters, and (6) the risk of uninsured losses. Unfavorable economic conditions could also result in the inability of tenants in certain retail sectors to meet their lease obligations and otherwise could adversely affect the Company's ability to attract and retain desirable tenants. The Company believes that its shopping centers are relatively well positioned to withstand adverse economic conditions since they typically are anchored by grocery stores, drug stores and discount department stores that offer day-to-day necessities rather than luxury goods. For a discussion of various business risks, see Item 1A. Risk Factors.

#### Compliance with Governmental Regulations

The Company, like others in the commercial real estate industry, is subject to numerous environmental laws and regulations. Although potential liability could exist for unknown or future environmental matters, the Company believes that its tenants are operating in accordance with current laws and regulations.

#### Competition

The real estate investment business is highly competitive. The Company competes for real estate investments with investors of all types, including domestic and foreign corporations, financial institutions, other real estate investment trusts, real estate funds, individuals and privately owned companies. In addition, the Company's properties are subject to local competitors from the surrounding areas. The Company does not consider its real estate business to be seasonal in nature. The Company's shopping centers compete for tenants with other regional, community or neighborhood shopping centers in the respective areas where Company's retail properties are located. The Company's office buildings compete for tenants principally with office buildings throughout the respective areas in which they are

located. Leasing space to prospective tenants is generally determined on the basis of, among other things, rental rates, location, and physical quality of the property and availability of space.

Since the Company's industrial properties are net leased under long-term lease arrangements that are not due to expire in the next twelve months, the Company does not currently face any immediate competitive re-leasing pressures with respect to such properties.

#### Property Management

The Company actively manages and supervises the operations and leasing at all of its core properties. The Company's remaining non-core industrial properties are net leased to tenants under long-term lease arrangements, whereby the tenant is obligated to manage the property.

#### Employees

The Company's executive offices are located at 321 Railroad Avenue, Greenwich, Connecticut. It occupies approximately 8,000 square feet in a two-story office building owned by the Company. The Company has 33 employees and believes that its relationship with its employees is good.

### Company Website

All of the Company's filings with the SEC, including the Company's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, are available free of charge at the Company's website at [www.ubproperties.com](http://www.ubproperties.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. These filings can also be accessed through the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Alternatively, the Company will provide paper copies of its filings (excluding exhibits) free of charge upon request to its shareholders or to anyone who requests them.

### Code of Ethics and Whistleblower Policy

The Company's Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that applies to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller. The Board also adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all employees as well as a "Whistleblower Policy". The Company will make paper copies of these documents available free of charge upon request to the Corporate Secretary of the Company.

### Financial Information About Industry Segments

The Company operates in one industry segment, ownership of commercial real estate properties, which are located principally in the northeastern United States. The Company does not distinguish its property operations for purposes of measuring performance. Accordingly, the Company believes it has a single reportable segment for disclosure purposes.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

### Risks related to our operations and properties

There are risks relating to investments in real estate and the value of our property interests depends on conditions beyond our control. Real property investments are illiquid and we may be unable to change our property portfolio on a timely basis in response to changing market or economic conditions. Yields from our properties depend on their net income and capital appreciation. Real property income and capital appreciation may be adversely affected by general and local economic conditions, neighborhood values, competitive overbuilding, zoning laws, weather, casualty losses and other factors beyond our control. Since substantially all of the Company's income is rental income from real property, the Company's income and cash flow could be adversely affected if a large tenant is, or a significant number of tenants are, unable to pay rent or if available space cannot be rented on favorable terms.

Operating and other expenses of our properties, particularly significant expenses such as interest, real estate taxes and maintenance costs, generally do not decrease when income decreases and, even if revenues increase, operating and other expenses may increase faster than revenues.

Our business strategy is mainly concentrated in one type of commercial property and in one geographic location. Our primary investment focus is neighborhood and community shopping centers located in the northeastern United States, with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester, Putnam Counties, New York and Bergen County, New Jersey. For the year ended October 31, 2007, approximately 75% of our total revenues were from properties located in these three counties. Various factors may adversely affect a shopping center's profitability. These factors include circumstances that affect consumer spending, such as general economic conditions, economic business cycles, rates of employment, income growth, interest rates and general consumer sentiment. These factors could have a more significant localized effect in the areas where our core properties are concentrated. Changes to the real estate market in our focus areas, such as an increase in retail space or a decrease in demand for shopping center properties, could adversely affect operating results. As a result, we may be exposed to greater risks than if our investment focus was based on more diversified types of properties and in more diversified geographic areas.

In addition, although we generally have invested between \$5 million and \$50 million per property, we have no limit on the size of our investments. The Company's single largest real estate investment is its 90% interest in the Ridgeway Shopping Center ("Ridgeway") located in Stamford, Connecticut. For the year ended October 31, 2007, Ridgeway revenues represented approximately 14% of the Company's total revenues and approximately 19% of the Company's total assets at October 31, 2007. The loss of this center or a material decrease in revenues from the center could have a material adverse effect on the Company.

We are dependent on anchor tenants in many of our retail properties. Most of our retail properties are dependent on a major or anchor tenant, a few of which lease space in more than one of our properties. If we are unable to renew any lease we have with the anchor tenant at one of these properties upon expiration of the current lease, or to re-lease the space to another anchor tenant of similar or better quality upon expiration of the current lease on similar or better terms, we could experience material adverse consequences such as higher vacancy, re-leasing on less favorable economic terms, reduced net income, reduced funds from operations and reduced property values. Vacated anchor space also could adversely affect an entire shopping center because of the loss of the departed anchor tenant's customer drawing power. Loss of customer drawing power also can occur through the exercise of the right that some anchors have to vacate and prevent re-tenanting by paying rent for the balance of the lease term. In addition, vacated anchor space could, under certain circumstances, permit other tenants to pay a reduced rent or terminate their leases at the affected property, which could adversely affect the future income from such property. There can be no assurance that our anchor tenants will renew their leases when they expire or will be willing to renew on similar economic

terms. See Item 1 – Business – Core Properties in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information on our ten largest tenants by percent of total annual base rent of our core properties.

Similarly, if one or more of our anchor tenants goes bankrupt, we could experience material adverse consequences like those described above. Under bankruptcy law, tenants have the right to reject their leases. In the event a tenant exercises this right, the landlord generally may file a claim for lost rent equal to the greater of either one year's rent (including tenant expense reimbursements) or 15% of the rent remaining under the balance of the lease term, not to exceed three years. Actual amounts to be received in satisfaction of those claims will be subject to the tenant's final plan of reorganization and the availability of funds to pay its creditors.

We face potential difficulties or delays in renewing leases or re-leasing space. We derive most of our income from rent received from our tenants. Although substantially all of our properties currently have favorable occupancy rates, we cannot predict that current tenants will renew their leases upon the expiration of their terms. In addition, we cannot predict if current tenants might attempt to terminate their leases prior to the scheduled expiration of such leases. If this occurs, we may not be able to promptly locate qualified replacement tenants and, as a result, we would lose a source of revenue while remaining responsible for the payment of our obligations. Even if tenants decide to renew their leases, the terms of renewals or new leases, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, may be less favorable than current lease terms.



In some cases, our tenant leases contain provisions giving the tenant the exclusive right to sell particular types of merchandise or provide specific types of services within the particular retail center, or limit the ability of other tenants within the center to sell that merchandise or provide those services. When re-leasing space after a vacancy by one of these tenants, such provisions may limit the number and types of prospective tenants for vacant space. The failure to re-lease space or to re-lease space on satisfactory terms could adversely affect our results from operations. Additionally, properties we may acquire in the future may not be fully leased and the cash flow from existing operations may be insufficient to pay the operating expenses and debt service associated with that property until the property is fully leased. As a result, our net income, funds from operations and ability to pay dividends to stockholders could be adversely affected.

Competition may adversely affect acquisition of properties and leasing operations. We compete for the purchase of commercial property with many entities, including other publicly traded REITs. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial resources than ours. In addition, our competitors may be willing to accept lower returns on their investments. If our competitors prevent us from buying the properties that we have targeted for acquisition, we may not be able to meet our property acquisition and development goals. We may incur costs on unsuccessful acquisitions that we will not be able to recover. The operating performance of our property acquisitions may also fall short of our expectations, which could adversely affect our financial performance.

If our competitors offer space at rental rates below our current rates or the market rates, we may lose current or potential tenants to other properties in our markets and we may need to reduce rental rates below our current rates in order to retain tenants upon expiration of their leases. As a result, our results of operations and cash flow may be adversely affected. In addition, our tenants face increasing competition from internet commerce, outlet malls, discount retailers, warehouse clubs and other sources which could hinder our ability to attract and retain tenants and/or cause us to reduce rents at our properties.

We face risks associated with the use of debt to fund acquisitions and developments, including refinancing risk. We have incurred, and expect to continue to incur, indebtedness to advance our objectives. Our charter does not limit the amount of indebtedness we may incur, although we may not exceed a debt to capitalization ratio (as such terms are defined in the respective Articles Supplementary) of 0.55 to 1.00 without the consent of our Series B and Series C preferred stockholders. Using debt to acquire properties, whether with recourse to us generally or only with respect to a particular property, creates an opportunity for increased net income, but at the same time creates risks. We use debt to fund investments only when we believe it will enhance our risk-adjusted returns. However, we cannot be sure that our use of leverage will prove to be beneficial. Moreover, when our debt is secured by our assets, we can lose those assets through foreclosure if we do not meet our debt service obligations. Incurring substantial debt may adversely affect our business and operating results by:

- requiring us to use a substantial portion of our cash flow to pay interest, which reduces the amount available for distributions, acquisitions and capital expenditures;
- making us more vulnerable to economic and industry downturns and reducing our flexibility in response to changing business and economic conditions; or
- requiring us to agree to less favorable terms, including higher interest rates, in order to incur additional debt; and otherwise limiting our ability to borrow for operations, capital or to finance acquisitions in the future.

Market interest rates could adversely affect the share price of our stock and increase the cost of refinancing debt. A variety of factors may influence the price of our common equities in the public trading markets. We believe that investors generally perceive REITs as yield-driven investments and compare the annual yield from dividends by REITs with yields on various other types of financial instruments. An increase in market interest rates may lead purchasers of stock to seek a higher annual dividend rate from other investments, which could adversely affect the

market price of the shares. In addition, we are subject to the risk that we will not be able to refinance existing indebtedness on our properties. We anticipate that a portion of the principal of our debt will not be repaid prior to maturity. Therefore, we likely will need to refinance at least a portion of our outstanding debt as it matures. A change in interest rates may increase the risk that we will not be able to refinance existing debt or that the terms of any refinancing will not be as favorable as the terms of the existing debt.

If principal payments due at maturity cannot be refinanced, extended or repaid with proceeds from other sources, such as new equity capital or sales of properties, our cash flow will not be sufficient to repay all maturing debt in years when significant "balloon" payments come due. As a result, our ability to retain properties or pay dividends to stockholders could be adversely affected and we may be forced to dispose of properties on unfavorable terms, which could adversely affect our business and net income.

Construction and renovation risks could adversely affect our profitability. We currently are renovating some of our properties and may in the future renovate other properties, including tenant improvements required under leases. Our renovation and related construction activities may expose us to certain risks. We may incur renovation costs for a property which exceed our original estimates due to increased costs for materials or labor or other costs that are unexpected. We also may be unable to complete renovation of a property on schedule, which could result in increased debt service expense or construction costs. Additionally, some tenants may have the right to terminate their leases if a renovation project is not completed on time. The time frame required to recoup our renovation and construction costs and to realize a return on such costs can often be significant.

We are dependent on key personnel. We depend on the services of our existing senior management to carry out our business and investment strategies. We do not have employment agreements with any of our existing senior management. As we expand, we will continue to need to recruit and retain qualified additional senior management. The loss of the services of any of our key management personnel or our inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future could have an adverse effect on our business and financial results.

Uninsured and underinsured losses may affect the value of, or return from, our property interests. We maintain comprehensive insurance on our properties, and the properties securing our loans, in amounts which we believe are sufficient to permit replacement of the properties in the event of a total loss, subject to applicable deductibles. There are certain types of losses, such as losses resulting from wars, terrorism, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes or other acts of God that may be uninsurable or not economically insurable. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose capital invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenues from a property, while remaining obligated for any mortgage indebtedness or other financial obligations related to the property. In addition, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might make it impracticable for us to use insurance proceeds to replace a damaged or destroyed property. If any of these or similar events occurs, it may reduce our return from an affected property and the value of our investment.

Properties with environmental problems may create liabilities for us. Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations, as an owner of real property, we may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances at, on, in or under our properties, as well as certain other potential costs relating to hazardous or toxic substances (including government fines and penalties and damages for injuries to persons and adjacent property). These laws may impose liability without regard to whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence or disposal of those substances. This liability may be imposed on us in connection with the activities of an operator of, or tenant at, the property. The cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or personal or property damages and our liability therefore could exceed the value of the property and/or our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of those substances, or the failure to properly dispose of or remove those substances, may adversely affect our ability to sell or rent that property or to borrow using that property as collateral, which, in turn, would reduce our revenues and ability to make distributions.

A property can be adversely affected either through direct physical contamination or as the result of hazardous or toxic substances or other contaminants that have or may have emanated from other properties. Although our tenants are primarily responsible for any environmental damages and claims related to the leased premises, in the event of the bankruptcy or inability of any of our tenants to satisfy any obligations with respect to the property leased to that tenant, we may be required to satisfy such obligations. In addition, we may be held directly liable for any such damages or claims irrespective of the provisions of any lease.

Prior to the acquisition of any property and from time to time thereafter, we obtain Phase I environmental reports and, when warranted, Phase II environmental reports concerning the Company's properties. Based on these reports and on our ongoing review of our properties, as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, management of the Company is not aware of any environmental condition with respect to any of our property interests that we believe would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. There can be no assurance, however, that (a) the discovery of environmental conditions that were previously unknown, (b) changes in law, (c) the conduct of tenants or (d) activities relating to properties in the vicinity of the Company's properties, will not expose the Company to material liability in the future. Changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise adversely affect the operations of our tenants, which could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to our Organization and Structure

We will be taxed as a regular corporation if we fail to maintain our REIT status. Since our founding in 1969, we have operated, and intend to continue to operate, in a manner that enables us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. However, the federal income tax laws governing REITs are complex. The determination that we qualify as a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters and circumstances that may not be completely within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, at least 95% of our gross income must come from specific passive sources, such as rent, that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. In addition, to qualify as a REIT, we cannot own specified amounts of debt and equity securities of some issuers. We also are required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income (excluding capital gains) each year. Our continued qualification as a REIT depends on our satisfaction of the asset, income, organizational, distribution and stockholder ownership requirements of the Internal Revenue Code on a continuing basis. At any time, new laws, interpretations or court decision may change the federal tax laws or the federal tax consequences of qualification as a REIT. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and do not qualify for certain Internal Revenue Code relief provisions, we will be subject to federal income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. In addition, distributions to stockholders would not be deductible in computing our taxable income. Corporate tax liability would reduce the amount of cash available for distribution to stockholders which, in turn, would reduce the market price of our stock. Unless entitled to relief under certain Internal Revenue Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT.

We will pay federal taxes if we do not distribute 100% of our taxable income. To the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our taxable income, we will be subject to federal corporate income tax on our undistributed income. In addition, we will incur a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which our distributions in any year are less than the sum of:

- 85% of our ordinary income for that year;
- 95% of our capital gain net income for that year; and
- 100% of our undistributed taxable income from prior years.

We have paid out, and intend to continue to pay out, our income to our stockholders in a manner intended to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% nondeductible excise tax. Differences in timing between the recognition of income and the related cash receipts or the effect of required debt amortization payments could require us to borrow money or sell assets to pay out enough of our taxable income to satisfy the distribution requirement and to avoid corporate income tax and the 4% excise tax in a particular year.

Gain on disposition of assets deemed held for sale in the ordinary course is subject to 100% tax. If we sell any of our assets, the IRS may determine that the sale is a disposition of an asset held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business. Gain from this kind of sale generally will be subject to a 100% tax. Whether an asset is held "primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of a trade or business" depends on the particular facts and circumstances of the sale. Although we will attempt to comply with the terms of safe-harbor provisions in the Internal Revenue Code prescribing when asset sales will not be so characterized, we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so.

Our ownership limitation may restrict business combination opportunities.

To qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, no more than 50% in value of our outstanding capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals during the last half of each taxable year. To preserve our REIT qualification, our charter generally prohibits any person from owning shares of any class with a value of more than 7.5% of the value of all of our outstanding capital stock and provides that:

- a transfer that violates the limitation is void;
- shares transferred to a stockholder in excess of the ownership limitation are automatically converted, by the terms of our charter, into shares of "Excess Stock;"
- a purported transferee gets no rights to the shares that violate the limitation except the right to designate a transferee of the Excess Stock held in trust; and
- the Excess Stock will be held by us as trustee of a trust for the exclusive benefit of future transferees to whom the shares of capital stock ultimately will be transferred without violating the ownership limitation.

We may also redeem Excess Stock at a price which may be less than the price paid by a stockholder. Pursuant to authority under our charter, our board of directors has determined that the ownership limitation does not apply to Mr. Charles J. Urstadt, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, who beneficially owns 39.0% of our outstanding common stock and 1.5% of our outstanding Class A common stock as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Such holdings represent approximately 35.0% of our outstanding voting interests. In addition, our directors and executive officers, as a group, hold approximately 53.8% of our outstanding voting interests through their beneficial ownership of our common stock and Class A common stock. The ownership limitation may discourage a takeover or other transaction that our stockholders believe to be desirable.

Certain provisions in our charter and bylaws and Maryland law may prevent or delay a change of control or limit our stockholders from receiving a premium for their shares. Among the provisions contained in our charter and bylaws

and Maryland law are the following:

- Our board of directors is divided into three classes, with directors in each class elected for three-year staggered terms.
- Our directors may be removed only for cause upon the vote of the holders of two-thirds of the voting power of our common equity securities.
- Our stockholders may call a special meeting of stockholders only if the holders of a majority of the voting power of our common equity securities request such a meeting in writing.
- Any consolidation, merger, share exchange or transfer of all or substantially all of our assets must be approved by (a) a majority of our directors who are currently in office or who are approved or recommended by a majority of our directors who are currently in office (the "Continuing Directors") and (b) the holders of two-thirds of the voting power of our common equity securities.
- Certain provisions of our charter may only be amended by (a) a vote of a majority of our Continuing Directors and (b) the holders of two-thirds of the voting power of our common equity securities. These provisions relate to the election, classification and removal of directors, the ownership limit and the stockholder vote required for certain business combination transactions.
- The number of directors may be increased or decreased by a vote of our board of directors.

In addition, we are subject to various provisions of Maryland law that impose restrictions and require affected persons to follow specified procedures with respect to certain takeover offers and business combinations, including combinations with persons who own 10% or more of our outstanding shares. These provisions of Maryland law could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that our stockholders might deem to be in their best interests. Furthermore, shares acquired in a control share acquisition have no voting rights, except to the extent approved by the affirmative vote of two-thirds of all votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares. Under Maryland law, "control shares" are those which, when aggregated with any other shares held by the acquiror, allow the acquiror to exercise voting power within specified ranges. The control share provisions of Maryland law also could delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control which our stockholders might deem to be in their best interests. As permitted by Maryland law, our charter and bylaws provide that the "control shares" and "business combinations" provisions of Maryland law described above will not apply to acquisitions of those shares by Mr. Charles J. Urstadt or to transactions between the Company and Mr. Urstadt or any of his affiliates. Consequently, unless such exemptions are amended or repealed, we may in the future enter into business combinations or other transactions with Mr. Urstadt or any of his affiliates without complying with the requirements of Maryland anti-takeover laws. In view of the common equity securities controlled by Mr. Charles J. Urstadt, Mr. Urstadt may control a sufficient percentage of the voting power of our common equity securities to effectively block approval of any proposal which requires a vote of our stockholders.

Our stockholder rights plan could deter a change of control. We have adopted a stockholder rights plan. This plan may deter a person or a group from acquiring more than 10% of the combined voting power of our outstanding shares of common stock and Class A common stock because, after (i) the person or group acquires more than 10% of the combined voting power of our outstanding common stock and Class A common stock, or (ii) the commencement of a tender offer or exchange offer by any person (other than us, any one of our wholly owned subsidiaries or any of our employee benefit plans, or certain exempt persons), if, upon consummation of the tender offer or exchange offer, the person or group would beneficially own 30% or more of the combined voting power of our outstanding shares of common stock and Class A common stock, all other stockholders will have the right to purchase securities from us at a price that is less than their fair market value. This would substantially reduce the value of the stock owned by the acquiring person. Our board of directors can prevent the plan from operating by approving the transaction and redeeming the rights. This gives our board of directors significant power to approve or disapprove of the efforts of a person or group to acquire a large interest in us. The rights plan exempts acquisitions of common stock and Class A common stock by Mr. Charles J. Urstadt, members of his family and certain of his affiliates.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not Applicable

14

---



## Item 2. Properties.

## Core Properties

The following table sets forth information concerning each core property at October 31, 2007. Except as otherwise noted, all core properties are 100% owned by the Company.

	Year Renovated	Year Completed	Year Acquired	Gross Leasable Sq Feet	Number of Acres	Number of Tenants	% Leased	Principal Tenant
Retail Properties								
(1):								
Stamford, CT (2)	1997	1950	2002	369,000	13.6	35	95%	Stop & Shop Supermarket
Springfield, MA	1996	1970	1970	326,000	26.0	29	96%	Big Y Supermarket
Meriden, CT	2001	1989	1993	316,000	29.2	24	100%	ShopRite Supermarket
Stratford, CT	1988	1978	2005	269,000	29.0	16	98%	Stop & Shop Supermarket
Yorktown, NY	1997	1973	2005	200,000	16.4	9	100%	Staples
Danbury, CT	-	1989	1995	194,000	19.3	21	98%	Christmas Tree Shops
White Plains, NY	1994	1958	2003	185,000	3.5	10	100%	Toys "R" Us
Ossining, NY	2000	1978	1998	137,000	11.4	25	95%	Stop & Shop Supermarket
Somers, NY	-	2002	2003	135,000	26.0	26	100%	Home Goods
Carmel, NY	1999	1983	1995	129,000	19.0	16	99%	ShopRite Supermarket
Wayne, NJ	1992	1959	1992	102,000	9.0	41	99%	A&P Supermarket
Newington, NH	1994	1975	1979	102,000	14.3	7	97%	Linens 'N Things
Darien, CT	1992	1955	1998	95,000	9.5	19	100%	Shaw's Supermarket
Emerson, NJ	-	1981	2007	92,000	7.0	17	94%	ShopRite Supermarket
Somers, NY	-	1991	1999	78,000	10.8	32	94%	CVS
Orange, CT	-	1990	2003	78,000	10.0	10	66%	Trader Joe's Supermarket
Eastchester, NY	2002	1978	1997	70,000	4.0	11	100%	Food Emporium
(3)								
Ridgefield, CT	1999	1930	1998	51,000	2.1	37	93%	Chico's
Rye, NY (4 buildings)	-	Various	2004	40,000	1.0	20	98%	Cosi
Westport, CT	-	1986	2003	39,000	3.0	10	100%	Pier One Imports
Ossining, NY	-	1975	2001	38,000	1.0	18	88%	Dress Barn
Danbury, CT	-	1988	2002	33,000	2.7	6	100%	Fortunoff, Sleepys'
Ossining, NY	2001	1981	1999	29,000	4.0	4	100%	Westchester Community College
Pelham, NY	-	1975	2006	26,000	1.0	8	100%	Gristede's Supermarket
	-	1960	2006	24,000	1.0	15	93%	

Queens, NY (2 buildings)									Zaravshan, Huntington Dental
Somers, NY	-	1987	1992	19,000	4.9	12	100%		Putnam County Savings Bank
Monroe, CT	-	2005	2007	10,000	2.0	4	71%		Starbucks
Office Properties:									
Greenwich, CT (5 buildings)	-	various	various	59,000	2.8	14	89%		Greenwich Hospital
				3,245,000		496			

(1) Excludes 20% economic interest in a general partnership that owns a retail/office property in Westchester County, New York.

(2) The Company is the sole general partner in the partnership that owns this property.

(3) In August 2007, the Company purchased all of the remaining limited partnership units in a partnership that owns this property. Prior to the purchase the Company was the sole general partner in the partnership. As a result of the purchase, the partnership terminated and the property became directly owned by the Company.

## Non-Core Properties

In a prior year, the Board of Directors of the Company expanded and refined the strategic objectives of the Company to concentrate the real estate portfolio into one of primarily retail properties located in the Northeast and authorized the sale of the Company's non-core properties in the normal course of business over a period of several years given prevailing market conditions and the characteristics of each property.

At October 31, 2007, the Company's non-core properties consisted of two industrial facilities with a total of 447,000 square feet of GLA. The non-core properties collectively had 2 tenants and were 100% leased at October 31, 2007.

The following table sets forth information concerning each non-core property at October 31, 2007. The non-core properties are 100% owned by the Company.

Location	Year Renovated	Year Completed	Year Acquired	Rentable Square Feet	Acres	# of Tenants Leased	Principal Tenant
Dallas, TX	1989	1970	1970	255,000	14.5	1	DaimlerChrysler Corporation
St. Louis, MO	2000	1970	1970	192,000	16.0	1	DaimlerChrysler Corporation
				447,000		2	
Total Portfolio				3,692,000		498	

## Lease Expirations – Total Portfolio

The following table sets forth a summary schedule of the annual lease expirations for the core and non-core properties for leases in place as of October 31, 2007, assuming that none of the tenants exercise renewal or cancellation options, if any, at or prior to the scheduled expirations.

Year of Lease Expiration	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Footage of Expiring Leases	Percentage of Total Leased Square Feet
2008			
(1)	96	223,000	6.4%
2009	66	387,000	11.0%
2010	57	284,000	8.1%
2011	64	507,000	14.5%
2012	60	681,000	19.4%
2013	34	275,000	7.8%
2014	29	108,000	3.1%
2015	25	178,000	5.1%

2016	26	119,000	3.4%
2017	22	191,000	5.5%
Thereafter	19	628,000	15.7%
Total	498	3,581,000	100.00%

(1) Represents lease expirations from November 1, 2007 to October 31, 2008 and month-to-month leases.

Item 3                    Legal Proceedings.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is involved in legal proceedings. However, there are no material legal proceedings presently pending against the Company.

Item 4.                    Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

No matter was submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuers Purchases of Equity Securities.

## (a) Market Information

Shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock of the Company are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbols "UBP" and "UBA", respectively. The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices for the Company's Common Stock and Class A Common Stock during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2007 and 2006 as reported on the New York Stock Exchange:

Common shares:	Fiscal Year Ended		Fiscal Year Ended	
	October 31, 2007		October 31, 2006	
	Low	High	Low	High
First Quarter	\$16.70	\$18.25	\$15.70	\$17.73
Second Quarter	\$17.02	\$18.46	\$16.22	\$17.40
Third Quarter	\$16.35	\$18.45	\$15.54	\$16.76
Fourth Quarter	\$16.15	\$18.31	\$15.50	\$18.60

Class A Common shares:	Fiscal Year Ended		Fiscal Year Ended	
	October 31, 2007		October 31, 2006	
	Low	High	Low	High
First Quarter	\$17.82	\$19.43	\$15.60	\$17.83
Second Quarter	\$17.81	\$19.62	\$16.25	\$18.40
Third Quarter	\$15.10	\$18.81	\$15.58	\$17.10
Fourth Quarter	\$14.97	\$17.91	\$16.04	\$19.44

## (b) Approximate Number of Equity Security Holders

At January 4, 2008 (latest date practicable), there were 1,118 shareholders of record of the Company's Common Stock and 1,123 shareholders of record of the Class A Common Stock.

## (c) Dividends Declared on Common Stock and Class A Common Stock and Tax Status

The following tables set forth the dividends declared per Common share and Class A Common share and tax status for Federal income tax purposes of the dividends paid during the fiscal years ended October 31, 2007 and 2006:

Dividends Paid Per:	Common Share		Class A Common Share	
	Gross Dividend Paid Per Share	Ordinary Income	Gross Dividend Paid Per Share	Ordinary Income
January 19, 2007	\$.2075	\$.2075	\$.23	\$.23
April 20, 2007	\$.2075	\$.2075	\$.23	\$.23
	\$.2075	\$.2075	\$.23	\$.23

July 20, 2007				
October 19, 2007	\$ .2075	\$ .2075	\$ .23	\$ .23
	\$ .83	\$ .83	\$ .92	\$ .92

Dividends Paid Per:	Common Share			Class A Common Share		
	Gross Dividend Paid Per Share	Non taxable Ordinary Income	Portion	Gross Dividend Paid Per Share	Non taxable Ordinary Income	Portion
January 20, 2006	\$ .2025	\$ .166	\$ .0365	\$ .225	\$ .184	\$ .041
April 21, 2006	\$ .2025	\$ .166	\$ .0365	\$ .225	\$ .184	\$ .041
July 21, 2006	\$ .2025	\$ .166	\$ .0365	\$ .225	\$ .184	\$ .041
October 20, 2006	\$ .2025	\$ .166	\$ .0365	\$ .225	\$ .184	\$ .041
	\$ .81	\$ .664	\$ .146	\$ .90	\$ .736	\$ .164

The Company has paid quarterly dividends since it commenced operations as a real estate investment trust in 1969. During the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007, the Company made distributions to stockholders aggregating \$0.83 per Common share and \$0.92 per Class A Common share. On December 12, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved the payment of a quarterly dividend payable January 18, 2008 to stockholders of record on January 4, 2008. The quarterly dividend rates were declared in the amounts of \$0.215 per Common share and \$0.2375 per Class A Common share.

Although the Company intends to continue to declare quarterly dividends on its Common Shares and Class A Common Shares, no assurances can be made as to the amounts of any future dividends. The declaration of any future dividends by the Company is within the discretion of the Board of Directors and will be dependent upon, among other things, the earnings, financial condition and capital requirements of the Company, as well as any other factors deemed relevant by the Board of Directors. Two principal factors in determining the amounts of dividends are (i) the requirement of the Internal Revenue Code that a real estate investment trust distribute to shareholders at least 90% of its real estate investment trust taxable income, and (ii) the amount of the Company's available cash.

Each share of Common Stock entitles the holder to one vote. Each share of Class A Common Stock entitles the holder to 1/20 of one vote per share. Each share of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock have identical rights with respect to dividends except that each share of Class A Common Stock will receive not less than 110% of the regular quarterly dividends paid on each share of Common Stock.

The Company has a Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan ("DRIP") that allows shareholders to acquire additional shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock by automatically reinvesting dividends. Shares are acquired pursuant to the DRIP at a price equal to the higher of 95% of the market price of such shares on the dividend payment date or 100% of the average of the daily high and low sales prices for the five trading days ending on the day of purchase without payment of any brokerage commission or service charge. As of October 31, 2007, 972,670 shares of Common Stock and 168,414 shares of Class A Common Stock have been issued under the DRIP.

#### (d) Issuer Repurchase

In fiscal 2005, the Company's Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program ("Program") of up to 500,000 shares, in the aggregate, of the Company's Common and Class A Common Stock. The Program does not have a specific expiration date and may be discontinued at any time. Any combination of either shares of Common Stock or Class A Common Stock not exceeding 433,800 shares, in the aggregate, may yet be purchased under the Program. There is no assurance that the Company will repurchase the full amount of shares authorized.

The following table sets forth the shares repurchased by the Company during the fiscal period ended October 31, 2007:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Per Share Purchased	Total Number Shares Repurchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan or Program	Maximum Number of Shares That May be Purchased Under the Plan or Program
July 30 - August 15, 2007	21,200	\$14.94	21,200	433,800





Item 6. Selected Financial Data.  
(In thousands, except per share data)

Year Ended October 31,	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Total Assets	\$ 471,770	\$ 451,350	\$ 464,439	\$ 394,917	\$ 392,639
Mortgage Notes Payable	\$ 96,282	\$ 104,341	\$ 111,786	\$ 107,443	\$ 104,588
Redeemable Preferred Stock	\$ 52,747	\$ 52,747	\$ 52,747	\$ 52,747	\$ 52,747
<b>Operating Data:</b>					
Total Revenues	\$ 81,880	\$ 72,302	\$ 68,371	\$ 60,650	\$ 55,060
Total Expenses and Minority Interest	\$ 49,630	\$ 48,708	\$ 46,134	\$ 39,729	\$ 37,357
Income from Continuing Operations before Discontinued Operations	\$ 32,751	\$ 24,544	\$ 22,968	\$ 21,408	\$ 18,226
<b>Per Share Data:</b>					
<b>Net Income from Continuing Operations - Basic:</b>					
Class A Common Stock	\$ .95	\$ .63	\$ .66	\$ .69	\$ .63
Common Stock	\$ .86	\$ .56	\$ .60	\$ .63	\$ .57
<b>Net Income from Continuing Operations - Diluted:</b>					
Class A Common Stock	\$ .93	\$ .61	\$ .64	\$ .68	\$ .63
Common Stock	\$ .83	\$ .55	\$ .58	\$ .62	\$ .57
<b>Cash Dividends on:</b>					
Class A Common Stock	\$ .92	\$ .90	\$ .88	\$ .86	\$ .84
Common Stock	\$ .83	\$ .81	\$ .80	\$ .78	\$ .76
Total	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.71	\$ 1.68	\$ 1.64	\$ 1.60
<b>Other Data:</b>					
<b>Net Cash Flow Provided by (Used in):</b>					
Operating Activities	\$ 49,307	\$ 35,429	\$ 35,505	\$ 30,744	\$ 31,176
Investing Activities	\$ (19,457)	\$ (20,129)	\$ (61,348)	\$ (2,416)	\$ (69,818)
Financing Activities	\$ (28,432)	\$ (38,994)	\$ 26,397	\$ (24,837)	\$ 14,749
Funds from Operations (Note 1)	\$ 37,062	\$ 28,848	\$ 29,355	\$ 29,813	\$ 27,964

Note 1: The Company has adopted the definition of Funds from Operations (FFO) suggested by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) and defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of properties plus real estate related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures. For a reconciliation of net income and FFO, see Management's Discussion and Analysis on page 20. FFO does not represent cash flows from operating activities in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indicator of the Company's operating performance. The Company considers FFO a meaningful, additional measure of operating performance because it primarily excludes the assumption that the value of its real estate assets diminishes predictably over time and industry analysts have accepted it as a performance measure. FFO is presented to assist investors in analyzing the performance of the Company. It is helpful as it excludes various items included in net income that are not indicative of the Company's operating performance. However, comparison of the Company's presentation of FFO, using the NAREIT definition, to similarly titled measures for other REITs may not necessarily be meaningful due to possible differences in the application of the NAREIT definition used by such REITs. For a further discussion of FFO, see Management's Discussion and Analysis on page 20.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

Forward Looking Statements

This Item 7 contains certain forward-looking statements that within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Item 7 that address activities, events or developments that the Company expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future, including such matters as future capital expenditures, dividends and acquisitions (including the amount and nature thereof), business strategies, expansion and growth of the Company's operations and other such matters are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by the Company in light of its experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors it believes are appropriate. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties, general economic and business conditions, the business opportunities that may be presented to and pursued by the Company, changes in laws or regulations and other factors, many of which are beyond the control of the Company. Many of these risks are discussed in Item 1A. Risk Factors. Any such statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual results or developments may differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements.

Executive Summary

The Company, a REIT, is a fully integrated, self-administered real estate company, engaged in the acquisition, ownership and management of commercial real estate, primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers in the northeastern part of the United States. Other real estate assets include office and industrial properties. The Company's major tenants include supermarket chains and other retailers who sell basic necessities. At October 31, 2007, the Company owned or had interests in thirty-nine properties containing a total of 3.7 million square feet of GLA of which approximately 96% was leased.

The Company derives substantially all of its revenues from rents and operating expense reimbursements received pursuant to long-term leases and focuses its investment activities on community and neighborhood shopping centers, anchored principally by regional supermarket chains. The Company believes, because of the need of consumers to purchase food and other staple goods and services generally available at supermarket-anchored shopping centers, that the nature of its investments provide for relatively stable revenue flows even during difficult economic times. Primarily as a result of recent property acquisitions, the Company's financial data shows increases in total revenues and expenses from period to period.

The Company focuses on increasing cash flow, and consequently the value of its properties, and seeks continued growth through strategic re-leasing, renovations and expansion of its existing properties and selective acquisition of income producing properties, primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers in the northeastern part of the United States.

Key elements of the Company's growth strategies and operating policies are to:

- § Acquire neighborhood and community shopping centers in the northeastern part of the United States with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York and Bergen County, New Jersey
- § Hold core properties for long-term investment and enhance their value through regular maintenance, periodic renovation and capital improvement

- § Selectively dispose of non-core and underperforming properties and re-deploy the proceeds into properties located in the northeast region
  - § Increase property values by aggressively marketing available GLA and renewing existing leases
  - § Renovate, reconfigure or expand existing properties to meet the needs of existing or new tenants
  - § Negotiate and sign leases which provide for regular or fixed contractual increases to minimum rents
    - § Control property operating and administrative costs

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are both important to the presentation of the Company's financial condition and results of operations and require management's most difficult, complex or subjective judgments. Set forth below is a summary of the accounting policies that management believes are critical to the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. This summary should be read in conjunction with the more complete discussion of the Company's accounting policies included in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements of the Company.

## Revenue Recognition

The Company records base rents on a straight-line basis over the term of each lease. The excess of rents recognized over amounts contractually due pursuant to the underlying leases is included in tenant receivables on the accompanying balance sheets. Most leases contain provisions that require tenants to reimburse a pro-rata share of real estate taxes and certain common area expenses. Adjustments are also made throughout the year to tenant receivables and the related cost recovery income based upon the Company's best estimate of the final amounts to be billed and collected.

## Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts is established based on a quarterly analysis of the risk of loss on specific accounts. The analysis places particular emphasis on past-due accounts and considers information such as the nature and age of the receivables, the payment history of the tenants or other debtors, the financial condition of the tenants and any guarantors and management's assessment of their ability to meet their lease obligations, the basis for any disputes and the status of related negotiations, among other things. Management's estimates of the required allowance is subject to revision as these factors change and is sensitive to the effects of economic and market conditions on tenants, particularly those at retail properties. Estimates are used to establish reimbursements from tenants for common area maintenance, real estate tax and insurance costs. The Company analyzes the balance of its estimated accounts receivable for real estate taxes, common area maintenance and insurance for each of its properties by comparing actual recoveries versus actual expenses and any actual write-offs. Based on its analysis, the Company may record an additional amount in its allowance for doubtful accounts related to these items. It is also the Company's policy to maintain an allowance of approximately 10% of the deferred straight-line rents receivable balance for future tenant credit losses.

## Real Estate

Land, buildings, property improvements, furniture/fixtures and tenant improvements are recorded at cost. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Renovations and/or replacements, which improve or extend the life of the asset, are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives.

The amounts to be capitalized as a result of an acquisition and the periods over which the assets are depreciated or amortized are determined based on estimates as to fair value and the allocation of various costs to the individual assets. The Company allocates the cost of an acquisition based upon the estimated fair value of the net assets acquired. The Company also estimates the fair value of intangibles related to its acquisitions. The valuation of the fair value of intangibles involves estimates related to market conditions, probability of lease renewals and the current market value of in-place leases. This market value is determined by considering factors such as the tenant's industry, location within the property and competition in the specific region in which the property operates. Differences in the amount attributed to the intangible assets can be significant based upon the assumptions made in calculating these estimates.

The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to the useful life of its properties for purposes of determining the amount of depreciation. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income.

Properties are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Buildings	30-40 years
Property Improvements	10-20 years
Furniture/Fixtures	3-10 years
Tenant Improvements	Shorter of lease term or their useful life

#### Asset Impairment

On a periodic basis, management assesses whether there are any indicators that the value of the real estate properties may be impaired. A property value is considered impaired when management's estimate of current and projected operating cash flows (undiscounted and without interest) of the property over its remaining useful life is less than the net carrying value of the property. Such cash flow projections consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors. To the extent impairment has occurred, the loss is measured as the excess of the net carrying amount of the property over the fair value of the asset. Changes in estimated future cash flows due to changes in the Company's plans or market and economic conditions could result in recognition of impairment losses which could be substantial. Management does not believe that the value of any of its rental properties is impaired at October 31, 2007.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

At October 31, 2007, the Company had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$4.2 million compared to \$2.8 million at October 31, 2006. The Company's sources of liquidity and capital resources include its cash and cash equivalents, proceeds from bank borrowings and long-term mortgage debt, capital financings and sales of real estate investments. Payments of expenses related to real estate operations, debt service, management and professional fees, and dividend requirements place demands on the Company's short-term liquidity.

### Cash Flows

The Company expects to meet its short-term liquidity requirements primarily by generating net cash from the operations of its properties. The Company believes that its net cash provided by operations will be sufficient to fund its short-term liquidity requirements for fiscal 2008 and to meet its dividend requirements necessary to maintain its REIT status. In fiscal 2007, 2006 and 2005, net cash flow provided by operations amounted to \$49.3 million, \$35.4 million and \$35.5 million, respectively. Cash dividends paid on common and preferred shares increased to \$33.1 million in fiscal 2007 compared to \$32.4 million in fiscal 2006 and \$29.4 million in fiscal 2005.

The Company expects to continue paying regular dividends to its stockholders. These dividends will be paid from operating cash flows which are expected to increase due to property acquisitions and growth in operating income in the existing portfolio and from other sources. The Company derives substantially all of its revenues from base rents under existing leases at its properties. The Company's operating cash flow therefore depends on the rents that it is able to charge to its tenants, and the ability of its tenants to make rental payments. The Company believes that the nature of the properties in which it typically invests primarily grocery-anchored neighborhood and community shopping centers provides a more stable revenue flow in uncertain economic times, in that consumers still need to purchase basic staples and convenience items. However, even in the geographic areas in which the Company owns properties, general economic downturns may adversely impact the ability of the Company's tenants to make lease payments and the Company's ability to re-lease space as leases expire. In either of these cases, the Company's cash flow could be adversely affected.

### Net Cash Flows from:

#### Operating Activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities amounted to \$49.3 million in fiscal 2007, compared to \$35.4 million in fiscal 2006 and \$35.5 million in fiscal 2005. The changes in operating cash flows were primarily due to increases in the net operating results generated from the Company's properties and operating cash flows from new properties acquired during those periods and in 2007, the receipt of a \$6 million settlement of a lease guarantee obligation.

#### Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities were \$19.5 million in fiscal 2007, \$20.1 million in fiscal 2006 and \$61.3 million in fiscal 2005. The net cash flows in each of these years were principally due to the acquisition of properties consistent with the Company's strategic plan to acquire properties in the northeast and the acquisition of limited partner interests in consolidated joint ventures. The Company acquired two properties in fiscal 2007, three retail properties in fiscal 2006 and two shopping centers in fiscal 2005. In fiscal 2007, the Company also acquired the remaining limited partnership interest in its Eastchester property for \$2.8 million. In fiscal 2007, the Company sold one property for \$13.2 million and two properties in fiscal 2005 for \$17.8 million. Sale proceeds were used to purchase additional properties in the northeast. The Company also invests in its properties and regularly pays for capital expenditures for property improvements, tenant costs and leasing commissions.

## Financing Activities

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$28.4 million in fiscal 2007 and \$39.0 million in fiscal 2006. Net cash flows provided by financing activities in fiscal 2005 were \$26.4 million and reflect net proceeds of \$59.4 million from the sale of a new issue of Series D preferred stock in that year. Net cash flows used in financing activities in each of the fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005 reflect distributions to its shareholders each year of \$33.1 million in fiscal 2007, \$32.4 million in fiscal 2006 and \$29.4 million in fiscal 2005. The Company also borrowed funds under its revolving credit line facility in each of the three years ended October 31, 2007 primarily to finance the purchase of property or for working capital during the periods.

## Capital Resources

The Company expects to fund its long-term liquidity requirements such as property acquisitions, repayment of indebtedness and capital expenditures through other long-term indebtedness (including indebtedness assumed in acquisitions), proceeds from sales of properties and/or the issuance of equity securities. The Company believes that these sources of capital will continue to be available to it in the future to fund its long-term capital needs; however, there are certain factors that may have a material adverse effect on its access to capital sources. The Company's ability to incur additional debt is dependent upon its existing leverage, the value of its unencumbered assets and borrowing limitations imposed by existing lenders. The Company's ability to raise funds through sales of equity securities is dependent on, among other things, general market conditions for REITs, market perceptions about the Company and its stock price in the market. The Company's ability to sell properties in the future to raise cash will be dependent upon market conditions at the time of sale.



## Financings and Debt

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk primarily through its borrowing activities. There is inherent rollover risk for borrowings as they mature and are renewed at current market rates. The extent of this risk is not quantifiable or predictable because of the variability of future interest rates and the Company's future financing requirements. Mortgage notes payable of \$96.3 million consist of fixed rate mortgage loan indebtedness with a weighted average interest rate of 6.1% at October 31, 2007. The mortgage loans are secured by 15 properties with a net book value of \$169 million and have fixed rates of interest ranging from 5.52% to 7.78%. The Company made principal payments of \$8.1 million (including the repayment of \$5.6 million in mortgages that matured) in fiscal 2007 compared to \$7.4 million (including the repayment of \$4.975 million in mortgages that matured) in fiscal 2006 and \$4.2 million in fiscal 2005. The Company may refinance its mortgage loans, at or prior to scheduled maturity, through replacement mortgage loans. The ability to do so, however, is dependent upon various factors, including the income level of the properties, interest rates and credit conditions within the commercial real estate market. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that such refinancings can be achieved.

In fiscal 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with a bank to extend the non-recourse mortgage note payable on the Ridgeway Shopping Center in Stamford, Connecticut with an outstanding principal balance of approximately \$52.5 million for a 10-year term and reset the fixed interest rate from 7.54% to 5.52% commencing October 1, 2007.

At October 31, 2007, the Company had a secured revolving credit facility with a commercial bank (the "Secured Credit Facility") which provides for borrowings of up to \$30 million. The Secured Credit Facility expires in April 2008 and is collateralized by first mortgage liens on two of the Company's properties. Interest on outstanding borrowings is at prime + 1/2% or LIBOR + 1.5%. The Secured Credit Facility requires the Company to maintain certain debt service coverage ratios during its term. The Company pays an annual fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Secured Credit Facility. The Secured Credit Facility is available to fund acquisitions, capital expenditures, mortgage repayments, working capital and other general corporate purposes. During fiscal 2007, the Company borrowed \$14.2 million and repaid \$2.0 million and had outstanding variable rate borrowings of \$12.2 million at October 31, 2007 at interest rates ranging from 6.39% to 6.68%. There were no variable rate borrowings outstanding at October 31, 2006. During fiscal 2006, the Company borrowed and repaid \$3 million under the secured credit line. Prior to June 2006, the Company also had a \$30 million unsecured line of credit ("Unsecured Credit Line") arrangement with the same bank. During 2006, the Company terminated the Unsecured Credit Line. There were no borrowings outstanding on this credit line at the date of termination.

On October 24, 2007, the Company signed a commitment letter with a major commercial bank to act as administrative agent and sole lead arranger of a \$50 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Facility"). The Facility is to be used for working capital, general corporate and other purposes. The Facility will contain certain terms, conditions and covenants customary for facilities of this type. The entering into and closing of the Facility is subject to certain conditions including negotiation of a definitive credit agreement with the bank.

## Contractual Obligations

The Company's contractual payment obligations as of October 31, 2007 were as follows (amounts in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period						
	Total	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 96,282	\$ 13,066	\$ 18,542	\$ 6,289	\$ 5,083	\$ 4,796	\$ 48,506
	765	765	-	-	-	-	-

Tenant obligations*								
Total Contractual Obligations	\$ 97,047	\$ 13,831	\$ 18,542	\$ 6,289	\$ 5,083	\$ 4,796	\$ 48,506	

\*Committed tenant-related obligations based on executed leases as of October 31, 2007.

The Company has various standing or renewable service contracts with vendors related to its property management. In addition, the Company also has certain other utility contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business which may extend beyond one year, which vary based on usage. These contracts include terms that provide for cancellation with insignificant or no cancellation penalties. Contract terms are generally one year or less.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

During the years ended October 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company did not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements.

### Capital Expenditures

The Company invests in its existing properties and regularly incurs capital expenditures in the ordinary course of business to maintain its properties. The Company believes that such expenditures enhance the competitiveness of its properties. In fiscal 2007, the Company paid approximately \$8.1 million for property improvements, (including \$3.2 million for the redevelopment of a tenant space at The Dock Shopping Center in Stratford, Connecticut (see below)) tenant improvement and leasing commission costs. The amounts of these expenditures can vary significantly depending on tenant negotiations, market conditions and rental rates. The Company expects to incur approximately \$7.2 million for anticipated capital improvements and leasing costs in fiscal 2008. These expenditures are expected to be funded from operating cash flows or bank borrowings.

### Acquisitions and Significant Property Transactions

The Company seeks to acquire properties which are primarily shopping centers located in the northeastern part of the United States with a concentration in Fairfield County, Connecticut, Westchester and Putnam Counties, New York and Bergen County, New Jersey.

In January 2007, the Company acquired a 10,100 square foot shopping center located in Monroe, Connecticut (“Monroe”) for \$3.8 million including closing costs.

In April 2007, the Company acquired the Emerson Shopping Plaza (“Emerson”), a 92,000 square foot shopping center located in Emerson, New Jersey for a purchase price of approximately \$17.5 million, including closing costs.

In August 2007, the Company purchased all of the limited partner operating partnership units (OPU’s) in a consolidated partnership that owned The Shoppes at Eastchester, in Eastchester, New York for \$2.8 million. Prior to the purchase the Company was the sole general partner in the partnership. As a result of the purchase, the partnership terminated and the property is now directly owned by the Company.

In January 2007, the Company entered into a lease with a wholesale club to lease approximately 107,000 square feet of space at The Dock Shopping Center, Stratford, Connecticut, subject to certain conditions. In connection with the new lease, the Company agreed to provide up to \$6.75 million toward the costs of redeveloping the space that previously had been occupied by a tenant who, in a prior year, filed a petition in bankruptcy and vacated the space. The former tenant’s lease obligation was guaranteed through 2016 by a corporate guarantor previously affiliated with the former tenant. In February 2007, the Company executed a settlement agreement with the guarantor whereby the guarantor was released from its obligations in exchange for a payment to the Company of \$6 million that was received this year.

In May 2007, the Company formed a limited liability company (“LLC”) to acquire by contribution, a 20% economic interest in a general partnership which owns a retail/office property in Westchester County, New York. Simultaneously, the Company contributed one of its wholly-owned retail properties in Westchester County, New York into the LLC. As a result of the contributions, the Company owns approximately 76% of the LLC, the accounts of which are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at October 31, 2007. The Company has recorded the non controlling member’s share of the net assets of the LLC of \$546,000 in minority interests, in the accompanying October 31, 2007 consolidated balance sheet. The Company has, among other things, guaranteed a preferential return to the other member of the LLC of \$36,000 per annum.

In fiscal 2006, the Company acquired three retail properties totaling 50,000 square feet of GLA at an aggregate purchase price of \$16.6 million.

In fiscal 2005, the Company purchased Staples Plaza, a 200,000 square foot shopping center in Yorktown, New York for \$28.5 million, including the assumption of a first mortgage loan at its estimated fair value of \$8.5 million. The Company also purchased The Dock, a 269,000 square feet shopping center located in Stratford, Connecticut for \$51.1 million.

#### Sales of Properties

In fiscal 2007, the Company sold its Tempe, Arizona property for a sale price of \$13.2 million. The proceeds were used to complete the acquisition of the Emerson, New Jersey property. The Company recorded a gain on sale of approximately \$11.4 million.

In fiscal 2005, the Company sold its Farmingdale, New York property for a sale price of \$9.75 million. The proceeds were used to complete the acquisition of The Dock. The Company recorded a gain on the sale of approximately \$5.6 million. The Company also sold in fiscal 2005 an office building in Southfield, Michigan for a sale price of \$9.2 million and recorded a gain on the sale of \$1.4 million.

#### Non-Core Properties

In a prior year, the Company's Board of Directors expanded and refined the strategic objectives of the Company to refocus its real estate portfolio into one of self-managed retail properties located in the northeast and authorized the sale of the Company's non-core properties in the normal course of business over a period of several years. The non-core properties consist of two distribution service facilities (both of which are located outside of the northeast region of the United States).

The Company intends to sell its remaining non-core properties as opportunities become available. The Company's ability to generate cash from asset sales is dependent upon market conditions and will be limited if market conditions make such sales unattractive. In fiscal 2007, the Company sold a non-core property for \$13.2 million and recorded a gain on sale of the property of \$11.4 million. There were no sales of non-core properties in fiscal 2006. At October 31, 2007, the two remaining non-core properties have a net book value of approximately \$726,000.

#### Funds from Operations

The Company considers Funds from Operations ("FFO") to be an additional measure of an equity REIT's operating performance. The Company reports FFO in addition to its net income applicable to common stockholders and net cash provided by operating activities. Management has adopted the definition suggested by The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") and defines FFO to mean net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP")) excluding gains or losses from sales of property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures.

Management considers FFO a meaningful, additional measure of operating performance because it primarily excludes the assumption that the value of its real estate assets diminishes predictably over time and industry analysts have accepted it as a performance measure. FFO is presented to assist investors in analyzing the performance of the Company. It is helpful as it excludes various items included in net income that are not indicative of the Company's operating performance, such as gains (or losses) from sales of property and depreciation and amortization.

However, FFO:

§ does not represent cash flows from operating activities in accordance with GAAP (which, unlike FFO, generally reflects all cash effects of transactions and other events in the determination of net income); and

§ should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indication of the Company's performance.

FFO as defined by us may not be comparable to similarly titled items reported by other real estate investment trusts due to possible differences in the application of the NAREIT definition used by such REITs. The table below provides a reconciliation of net income applicable to Common and Class A Common Stockholders in accordance with GAAP to FFO for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2007 (amounts in thousands).

	Year Ended October 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Net Income Applicable to Common and Class A Common Stockholders	\$ 35,046	\$ 15,690	\$ 23,976
Plus: Real property depreciation	10,530	9,981	9,003
Amortization of tenant improvements and allowances	2,267	2,450	2,325
Amortization of deferred leasing costs	564	557	555
Depreciation and amortization on discontinued operations	40	170	516
Less: Gains on sales of properties	(11,385)	-	(7,020)
Funds from Operations Applicable to Common and Class A Common Stockholders	\$ 37,062	\$ 28,848	\$ 29,355
Net Cash Provided by (Used in):			
Operating Activities	\$ 49,307	\$ 35,429	\$ 35,505

Investing Activities	\$ (19,457)	\$ (20,129)	\$ (61,348)
Financing Activities	\$ (28,432)	\$ (38,994)	\$ 26,397

FFO amounted to \$37.1 million in fiscal 2007 compared to \$28.8 million in fiscal 2006 compared to \$29.4 million in fiscal 2005. The increase in FFO in fiscal 2007 reflects an increase in operating income from properties owned during the period and recent property acquisitions and the receipt of \$6 million from the settlement of a lease guarantee on a tenant space in The Dock shopping center in Stratford, Connecticut. The decrease in FFO in fiscal 2006 reflects an increase in operating income from properties owned during the period and property acquisitions completed that year offset by an increase in preferred stock dividends paid on a new Series D Preferred Stock issue and the temporary investment of the remaining proceeds of the Series D Preferred stock sale into lower yielding short-term investments. See discussion which follows.

## Results of Operations

## Fiscal 2007 vs. Fiscal 2006

The following information summarizes the Company's results of operations for the year ended October 31, 2007 and 2006 (amounts in thousands):

	Year Ended October 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change	Change Attributable to:	
	2007	2006			Property Acquisitions	Properties Held In Both Periods
Revenues						
Base rents	\$ 57,260	\$ 54,862	\$ 2,398	4.4%	\$ 1,216	\$ 1,182
Recoveries from tenants	17,660	16,957	703	4.1%	483	220
Mortgage interest and other	845	408	437	107.1%	11	426
<b>Operating Expenses</b>						
Property operating	12,109	11,666	443	3.8%	175	268
Property taxes	10,926	10,262	664	6.5%	270	394
Depreciation and amortization	13,442	13,073	369	2.8%	407	(38)
General and administrative	4,979	4,981	(2)	-	n/a	n/a
<b>Non-Operating Income/Expense</b>						
Interest expense	7,773	8,287	(514)	(6.2%)	-	(514)
Interest, dividends, and other investment income	501	950	(449)	(47.3%)	n/a	n/a

## Revenues

Base rents increased by 4.4% to \$57.3 million in fiscal 2007 as compared with \$54.9 million in the comparable period of 2006. The increase in base rentals was attributable to the property acquisitions and properties held in both periods as discussed below.

## Property Acquisitions:

In fiscal 2007, the Company acquired two properties totaling 102,100 square feet of GLA. These properties accounted for all of the revenue, operating expense, property tax, and depreciation and amortization changes attributable to property acquisitions during the fiscal year ended 2007.

## Properties Held in Both Periods:

The increase in base rents for properties held during the fiscal period ended October 31, 2007, reflects an increase in rental rates for in place leases over the period. In fiscal 2007, the Company leased or renewed approximately 553,000 square feet (or approximately 15% of total property leasable area). At October 31, 2007, the Company's core properties were approximately 96% leased. Overall core property occupancy rates increased from 93.3% at October 31, 2006 to 95.4% at October 31, 2007.

For the fiscal year ended 2007, recoveries from tenants for properties owned in both periods (which represents reimbursements from tenants for operating expenses and property taxes) increased by \$220,000 compared to the same period in fiscal 2006. This increase was a result of an increase in real estate tax recoveries caused by an approximate 4.0% increase in property tax expense in properties held in both periods and higher property tax recovery rates at certain properties. Recoveries from tenants for common area maintenance was unchanged in fiscal 2007 when compared with fiscal 2006.

During fiscal 2007, the Company executed a settlement agreement with the corporate guarantor of a former tenant's lease obligations whereby the guarantor was released from its obligations to the Company in exchange for a payment of \$6,000,000. The payment and release of guaranty were subject to certain conditions contained in the agreement. The conditions were satisfied on April 15, 2007 and the payment was recorded as income from a settlement of lease guaranty obligation in the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007.



The Company's single largest real estate investment is the Ridgeway Shopping Center located in Stamford, Connecticut (which is owned by a consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 90% controlling interest). Ridgeway's revenues represented approximately \$11.0 million or 14% of total revenues in fiscal 2007 compared to \$10.3 million or 14% in fiscal 2006. At October 31, 2007, the property was 95% leased. No other property in the Company's portfolio comprised more than 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues in fiscal 2007.

#### Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increases were a result of property acquisitions as discussed above and properties held in both periods as more fully discussed below:

Property operating expenses for properties held in both periods increased \$268,000 in the fiscal year ended October 31, 2007 primarily as a result of increased utility costs and repairs to utility systems, landscaping at some of the Company's properties, and parking area maintenance expenses.

Property taxes for properties held in both periods increased by \$394,000 or 4.0% in fiscal 2007 from higher real estate tax assessment rates at the Company's properties.

There was relatively no change in depreciation and amortization for properties held in both periods for the fiscal period ended October 31, 2007 when compared to fiscal 2006.

General and administrative expenses were unchanged for the fiscal period ended October 31, 2007.

#### Non-Operating Income/Expense

Interest, dividends and other investment income decreased by \$449,000 in the fiscal period ended October 31, 2007. The decrease in this component of income reflects the use of available cash in 2006 that was invested in highly liquid securities for the purchase of properties during fiscal 2006 and 2007.

Interest expense decreased by \$514,000 in fiscal 2007 from scheduled principal payments on mortgage notes, the repayments of mortgage notes of \$5,600,000 and \$4,975,000 during fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively and a decrease in credit line facility fees after the termination of the Company's unsecured revolving bank credit line in June 2006.

#### Fiscal 2006 vs. Fiscal 2005

	Year Ended October 31,		Increase (Decrease)	%	Change Attributable to:	
	2006	2005			Property Acquisitions	Properties Held In Both Periods
Revenues						
Base rents	\$ 54,862	\$ 51,383	\$ 3,479	6.8%	\$ 3,215	\$ 264
Recoveries from tenants	16,957	16,444	513	3.1%	706	(193)
Mortgage interest and other	408	291	117	40.2%	(82)	199
<b>Operating Expenses</b>						
Property operating	11,666	10,785	881	8.2%	570	311

Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Property taxes	10,262	9,211	1,051	11.4%	740	311
Depreciation and amortization	13,073	11,884	1,189	10.0%	774	415
General and administrative	4,981	5,155	(174)	(3.4%)	n/a	n/a
<b>Non-Operating Income/Expense</b>						
Interest expense	8,287	8,502	(215)	(2.5%)	322	(537)
Interest, dividends, and other investment income	950	731	219	30.0%	n/a	n/a

#### Revenues

Base rents increased by 6.8% to \$54.9 million in fiscal 2006 as compared with \$51.4 million in fiscal 2005. The increase in base rentals was attributable to the property acquisitions and properties held in both periods as discussed below.

#### Property Acquisitions:

In fiscal 2006, the Company acquired three properties totaling 50,000 square feet of GLA and two properties totaling 469,000 square feet of GLA during fiscal 2005. These properties accounted for all of the revenue, operating expense, property tax, interest expense, and depreciation and amortization changes attributable to property acquisitions during fiscal 2006.

#### Properties Held in Both Periods:

Base rents from properties held in both periods increased \$264,000 in fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005. The increase in base rents from new leases and lease renewals completed during fiscal 2006 was impacted by unexpected tenant vacancies during the same period that lowered revenues by approximately \$500,000. At October 31, 2006, the overall leased percentage of the Company's core properties was 97%, a decline of 1% from the prior year. The Company executed new leases or renewed leases comprising 297,000 square feet of GLA during fiscal 2006.

Recoveries from tenants from properties held in both periods (which represent reimbursements from tenants for operating expenses and property taxes) decreased \$193,000 in fiscal 2006 compared to the prior year due to slightly lower occupancy levels during fiscal 2006 when compared with fiscal 2005.

#### Operating Expenses

Property operating expenses for properties held in both periods increased by \$311,000 in fiscal 2006 when compared with fiscal 2005 from an increase in certain property expense categories, particularly repairs, maintenance and utility expenses, that increased this component of expenses by approximately \$800,000 in fiscal 2006. The increase in expenses in fiscal 2006 was partially offset by a decrease of approximately \$300,000 in property insurance and snow removal costs in that year.

Property taxes for properties held in both periods increased by \$311,000 or 3% in fiscal 2006 when compared with fiscal 2005 from higher real estate tax assessment rates at certain of the Company's properties.

Depreciation and amortization expense from properties held in both periods increased \$415,000 in fiscal 2006 when compared with fiscal 2005, principally from the write off of unamortized tenant improvement costs of \$319,000 related to several tenants that vacated the properties during the year.

General and administrative expenses ("G&A") decreased by \$174,000 in fiscal 2006 when compared with fiscal 2005 primarily from lower professional fees related to the Company's internal controls assessment required by Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act which decreased G&A by \$443,000 in fiscal 2006. The decrease in G&A was offset by higher employee compensation costs which increased this component of expense by \$415,000 in fiscal 2006.

#### Non-Operating Income/Expense

Interest, dividends and other investment income increased by \$219,000 in fiscal 2006 from higher rates of return earned on marketable securities and short-term investments during the period. Other investment income also includes

gains on sales of marketable securities of \$122,000 in fiscal 2006 compared to \$70,000 in fiscal 2005.

Mortgage interest and other income in fiscal 2006 includes a gain of \$102,000 from the repayment of a mortgage note receivable during the year.

Interest expense for properties held in both periods decreased \$537,000 in fiscal 2006 when compared with fiscal 2005 principally from the repayment of mortgage notes payable and bank credit line borrowings in fiscal 2005 that were repaid later in the year. In connection with one acquisition in fiscal 2005, the Company assumed an \$8.5 million first mortgage loan that increased interest expense in fiscal 2006 by \$322,000.

#### Discontinued Operations

In fiscal 2007, the Company sold its Tempe, Arizona property for a sale price of \$13.2 million. Accordingly, the operating results for this property were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for the year ended October 31, 2007. In connection with the sale of the property, the Company recorded a gain on sale of approximately \$11.4 million.

During fiscal 2005, the Company sold a shopping center in Farmingdale, New York for \$9.75 million and an office building in Southfield, Michigan for \$9.175 million. Accordingly, the operating results for these properties were classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income for year ended October 31, 2005. In connection with the sales of the properties, the Company recorded gains on sales of properties of \$7.0 million in fiscal 2005.

Revenues from discontinued operations were \$320,000, \$747,000 and \$2.5 million for the years ended October 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### Inflation

The Company's long-term leases contain provisions to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation on its operating results. Such provisions include clauses entitling the Company to receive (a) scheduled base rent increases and (b) percentage rents based upon tenants' gross sales, which generally increase as prices rise. In addition, many of the Company's non-anchor leases are for terms of less than ten years, which permits the Company to seek increases in rents upon renewal at then current market rates if rents provided in the expiring leases are below then existing market rates. Most of the Company's leases require tenants to pay a share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes, insurance and utilities, thereby reducing the Company's exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation.

#### Environmental Matters

Based upon management's ongoing review of its properties, management is not aware of any environmental condition with respect to any of the Company's properties that would be reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. There can be no assurance, however, that (a) the discovery of environmental conditions, which were previously unknown, (b) changes in law, (c) the conduct of tenants or (d) activities relating to properties in the vicinity of the Company's properties, will not expose the Company to material liability in the future. Changes in laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or increasing the restrictions on discharges or other conditions may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise adversely affect the operations of the Company's tenants, which would adversely affect the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

#### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company is exposed to interest rate risk primarily through its borrowing activities. There is inherent rollover risk for borrowings as they mature and are renewed at current market rates. The extent of this risk is not quantifiable or predictable because of the variability of future interest rates and the Company's future financing requirements.

The following table sets forth the Company's long term debt obligations by principal cash payments and maturity dates, weighted average fixed interest rates and estimated fair value at October 31, 2007 (amounts in thousands, except weighted average interest rate):

	For the years ended October 31,							Estimated
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter	Total	Fair Value
Mortgage notes payable	\$13,066	\$18,542	\$6,289	\$5,083	\$4,796	\$48,506	\$96,282	\$94,780
Weighted average interest rate for debt maturing	5.877%	7.054%	7.780%	7.25%	6.52%	5.52%		

During the year ended October 31, 2007, the weighted average interest rate on variable rate debt outstanding during the period was approximately 6.9%. A hypothetical increase of 1% in interest rates would have had an immaterial effect on the Company's interest expense. At October 31, 2007, the Company had \$12.2 million in outstanding variable rate debt.

The Company believes that its weighted average fixed interest rate of 6.1% on its debt is not materially different from current market interest rates for debt instruments with similar risks and maturities.

The Company has not planned, and does not plan, to enter into any derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

#### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

The consolidated financial statements required by this Item, together with the reports of the Company's independent registered public accounting firms thereon and the supplementary financial information required by this Item are included under Item 15 of this Annual Report.

#### Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

There were no changes in, nor any disagreements with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm on accounting principles and practices or financial disclosure, during the years ended October 31, 2007 and 2006.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

At the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. During the fourth quarter of 2007, there were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.



(a) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer and effected by the Company's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's internal control over financial reporting include policies and procedures that: relate to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of assets of the Company; provide reasonable assurance of the recording of all transactions necessary to permit the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and the proper authorization of receipts and expenditures in accordance with authorization of the Company's management and directors; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projection of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods is subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2007. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on its assessment, management determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of October 31, 2007.



(b) Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc.

We have audited Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the "COSO criteria"). Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; (3) that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (4) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2007 based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. as of October 31, 2007 and 2006, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the two years then ended and our report dated January 10, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

New York, New York  
January 10, 2008

/s/ PKF  
Certified Public Accountants  
A Professional Corporation



Item 9B. Other Information.

Not applicable.

### PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on March 6, 2008 within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The additional information required by this Item is included under the captions "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS" and "COMPENSATION AND TRANSACTIONS WITH MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS" of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Executive Officers of the Registrant.

The following sets forth certain information regarding the executive officers of the Company:

Name	Age	Offices Held
Charles J. Urstadt	79	Chairman (since 1986) and Chief Executive Officer (since September 1989); Mr. Urstadt has been a Director since 1975.
Willing L. Biddle	46	President and Chief Operating Officer (since December 1996); Executive Vice President (March 1996 to December 1996); Senior Vice President – Management (June 1995 to March 1996); Vice President – Retail (April 1993 to June 1995).
James R. Moore	59	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (since March 1996); Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (1989 to 1996); Treasurer (since December 1987); Secretary (1987 to 1999); Vice President-Finance and Administration (1987 to 1989).
Raymond P. Argila	59	Senior Vice President and Co-Counsel (since June 1990).
Thomas D. Myers	56	Senior Vice President, Co-Counsel and Secretary (since March 2006); Senior Vice President (since 2003); Secretary (since 2000); Vice President (1995-2003); Associate Counsel (1995-2006).

The Directors elect officers of the Company annually.

The Company has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the chief executive officer and senior financial officers. In the event of any amendment to, or waiver from, the code of ethics, the Company will promptly disclose the amendment or waiver as required by law or regulation of the SEC on Form 8-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on March 6, 2008 within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information required by this Item is included under the caption "ELECTION OF DIRECTORS" and "COMPENSATION AND TRANSACTIONS WITH MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS" of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on March 6, 2008 within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information required by this Item is included under the caption “ELECTION OF DIRECTORS - Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” and “COMPENSATION AND TRANSACTIONS WITH MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS - Equity Compensation Plan Information” of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on March 6, 2008 within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information required by this Item is included under the caption “ELECTION OF DIRECTORS” and “COMPENSATION AND TRANSACTIONS WITH MANAGEMENT AND OTHERS” of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The Company will file its definitive Proxy Statement for its Annual meeting of Stockholders to be held on March 6, 2008 within the period required under the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information required by this Item is included under the caption “FEES BILLED BY INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM” of such Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

A. Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedules

1. Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index to financial statements on Page 39 are filed as part of this Annual Report.

2. Financial Statement Schedules --

The financial statement schedules required by this Item are filed with this report and are listed in the accompanying index to financial statements on Page 39 All other financial statement schedules are not applicable.

B. Exhibits.

Listed below are all Exhibits filed as part of this report. Certain Exhibits are incorporated by reference to documents previously filed by the Company with the SEC pursuant to Rule 12b-32 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Exhibit

(3). Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws

3.1

(a) Amended Articles of Incorporation of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 1 to Registrant's Statement on Form S-4/A filed January 23, 1997 (SEC File No. 333-19113)).

(b) Articles Supplementary of the Company (incorporated by reference to Annex A of Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated August 3, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).

(c) Articles Supplementary of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 8, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).

(d) Articles Supplementary of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on August 8, 2003 (SEC File No. 333-107803)).

(e) Articles Supplementary of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current

Report on Form 8-K dated April 11, 2005 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).

(f) Certificate of Correction to the Articles Supplementary of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 3, 2005 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).

(g) Articles Supplementary of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 7, 2005 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).

3.2

(a) Bylaws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit D of Amendment No. 1 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-4 (SEC File No. 333-19113) (as in effect prior to December 12, 2007).

(b) Bylaws of the Company, Amended and Restated as of December 12, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 18, 2007 (SEC File No. 001-12803).

(4) Instruments Defining the Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures.

- 4.1 Common Stock: See Exhibits 3.1 (a)-(g) hereto.
- 4.2 Series B Preferred Shares: See Exhibits 3.1 (a)-(g), 10.7 - 10.8 and 10.17 hereto.
- 4.3 Series C Preferred Shares: See Exhibits 3.1 (a)-(g) and 10.11 hereto.
- 4.4 Series D Preferred Shares: See Exhibits 3.1 (a)-(g).
- 4.5 Series A Preferred Share Purchase Rights: See Exhibits 3.1 (a)-(g), 10.2 and 10.9 hereto.



(10) Material Contracts.

- 10.1 Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into between the Registrant and each of its Directors and for future use with Directors and officers of the Company (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1989 (SEC File No. 001-12803)). 1
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Rights Agreement between the Company and The Bank of New York, as Rights Agent, dated as of July 31, 1998 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10-1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated November 5, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).
- 10.3 Form of Supplemental Agreement with Stock Option Plan Participants (non-statutory options) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.2 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)). 1
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan (incorporated herein by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (SEC File No. 333-64381).
- 10.5 Excess Benefit and Deferred Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)). 1
- 10.6 Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated September 9, 1998, by and between Goodwives Center Limited Partnership, as seller, and UB Darien, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant, as purchaser (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated September 23, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).
- 10.7 Subscription Agreement, dated January 8, 1998, by and among the Company and the Initial Purchasers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 8, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).
- 10.8 Registration Rights Agreement, dated January 8, 1998, by and among the Company and the Initial Purchasers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 8, 1998 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).
- 10.9 Amendment to Shareholder Rights Agreement dated as of September 22, 1999 between the Company and the Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 1999 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).

- 10.10 Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan adopted June 28, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2000 (SEC File No. 001-12803)). 1
- 10.11 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of May 29, 2003 by and between the Company and Ferris, Baker Watts, Incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (SEC File No. 333-107803)).
- 10.12 Amended and Restated Restricted Stock Award Plan as approved by the Company's stockholders on March 10, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2004 (SEC File No. 001-12803)). 1
- 10.12.1 Forms of Restricted Stock Award Agreement with Restricted Stock Plan Participants (Non-Employee Directors, Employee Directors and Employees), effective as of November 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 10.24.1, 10.24.2 and 10.24.3 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2006) 1
- 10.13 Excess Benefit and Deferred Compensation Plan effective as of January 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2004 (SEC File No. 001-12803)). 1
- 10.14 Purchase and Sale Agreement between UB Railside, LLC and The Dock, Incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated March 11, 2005 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).

- 10.15 Purchase and Sale Agreement between UB Dockside, LLC and The Dock, Incorporated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A dated March 11, 2005 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).
- 10.16 Form of Amended and Restated Change of Control Agreements dated as of December 19, 2007 between the Registrant and Charles J. Urstadt, Willing L. Biddle, James R. Moore, Raymond P. Argila and Thomas D. Myers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 26, 2007).<sup>1</sup>
- 10.17 Amendment to Registration Rights Agreement dated as of December 31, 2001 by and among the Company and the remaining Initial Purchasers.
- 10.18 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement with Restricted Stock Plan Participants (Employees) effective as of November 7, 2007.<sup>1</sup>
- 10.19 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement with Restricted Stock Plan Participants (Non-Employee Directors) effective as of November 7, 2007.<sup>1</sup>
- 10.20 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement with Restricted Stock Plan Participants (Employee Directors) effective as of November 7, 2007.<sup>1</sup>
- 10.21 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement with Restricted Stock Plan Participants (Employee Directors – Alternative Version) effective as of November 7, 2007.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Management contract, compensatory plan or arrangement.

- (14) Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended October 31, 2003 (SEC File No. 001-12803)).
- (21) Subsidiaries.
- 21.1 List of Company's subsidiaries
- (23) Consents of Experts.
- 23.1 Consent of PKF, Certified Public Accountants, A Professional Corporation

23.2

Consent of Ernst & Young LLP

(31.1)

Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, signed and dated by Charles J. Urstadt.

(31.2)

Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, signed and dated by James R. Moore.

(32)

Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted, pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, signed and dated by Charles J. Urstadt and James R. Moore.

Item 15A.	URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC. INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	Page
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at October 31, 2007 and 2006	40
	Consolidated Statements of Income for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2007	41
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2007	42
	Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for each of the three years in the period ended October 31, 2007	43
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	44
	Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms	55-56
	<b>Schedules</b>	
III	Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation - October 31, 2007	57
IV	Mortgage Loans on Real Estate - October 31, 2007	59
	All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.	

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except share data)

ASSETS	October 31,	
	2007	2006
Real Estate Investments:		
Core properties – at cost	\$ 521,476	\$ 489,160
Non-core properties – at cost	1,383	6,383
	522,859	495,543
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(85,555)	(77,258)
	437,304	418,285
Mortgage note receivable	1,305	1,361
	438,609	419,646
Cash and cash equivalents	4,218	2,800
Restricted cash	589	589
Marketable securities	1,740	2,011
Tenant receivables	16,588	17,176
Prepaid expenses and other assets	5,445	4,484
Deferred charges, net of accumulated amortization	4,581	4,644
Total Assets	\$ 471,770	\$ 451,350
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Liabilities:		
Secured revolving credit line	\$ 12,200	\$ -
Mortgage notes payable	96,282	104,341
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	3,970	1,785
Deferred compensation – officers	1,191	1,200
Other liabilities	7,438	5,503
Total Liabilities	121,081	112,829
Minority interests	3,739	5,318
Redeemable Preferred Stock, par value \$.01 per share;		
8.99% Series B Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock, (liquidation preference of \$100 pershare); 150,000 shares issued and outstanding	14,341	14,341
8.50% Series C Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock, (liquidation preference of \$100 pershare); 400,000 shares issued and outstanding	38,406	38,406
Total Preferred Stock	52,747	52,747
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity:		
7.5% Series D Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock (liquidation preference of \$25 per share);	61,250	61,250

Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

2,450,000 shares issued and outstanding		
Excess Stock, par value \$.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 7,773,618 and 7,635,441 shares issued and outstanding	77	76
Class A Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share; 40,000,000 shares authorized; 18,836,778 and 18,804,781 shares issued and outstanding	188	188
Additional paid in capital	264,585	262,024
Cumulative distributions in excess of net income	(31,077)	(42,400)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	480	618
Officer note receivable	(1,300)	(1,300)
Total Stockholders' Equity	294,203	280,456
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 471,770	\$ 451,350

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(In thousands, except per share data)

	Year Ended October 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
<b>Revenues</b>			
Base rents	\$ 57,260	\$ 54,862	\$ 51,383
Recoveries from tenants	17,660	16,957	16,444
Settlement of lease guarantee obligation	6,000	-	-
Lease termination income	115	75	253
Mortgage interest and other	845	408	291
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>81,880</b>	<b>72,302</b>	<b>68,371</b>
<b>Operating Expenses</b>			
Property operating	12,109	11,666	10,785
Property taxes	10,926	10,262	9,211
Depreciation and amortization	13,442	13,073	11,884
General and administrative	4,979	4,981	5,155
Directors' fees and expenses	240	250	258
<b>Total Operating Expenses</b>	<b>41,696</b>	<b>40,232</b>	<b>37,293</b>
<b>Operating Income</b>	<b>40,184</b>	<b>32,070</b>	<b>31,078</b>
<b>Non-Operating Income (Expense):</b>			
Interest expense	(7,773)	(8,287)	(8,502)
Interest, dividends and other investment income	501	950	731
Minority interests	(161)	(189)	(339)
<b>Income from Continuing Operations before Discontinued Operations</b>	<b>32,751</b>	<b>24,544</b>	<b>22,968</b>
<b>Discontinued Operations:</b>			
Income from discontinued operations	252	488	997
Gains on sales of properties	11,385	-	7,020
<b>Income from Discontinued Operations</b>	<b>11,637</b>	<b>488</b>	<b>8,017</b>
<b>Net Income</b>	<b>44,388</b>	<b>25,032</b>	<b>30,985</b>
Preferred stock dividends	(9,342)	(9,342)	(7,009)
<b>Net Income Applicable to Common and Class A Common Stockholders</b>	<b>\$ 35,046</b>	<b>\$ 15,690</b>	<b>\$ 23,976</b>
<b>Basic Earnings Per Share:</b>			
<b>Per Common Share:</b>			
Income from continuing operations	\$ .86	\$ .56	\$ .60
Income from discontinued operations	\$ .43	\$ .02	\$ .30
<b>Net Income Applicable to Common Stockholders</b>	<b>\$ 1.29</b>	<b>\$ .58</b>	<b>\$ .90</b>
<b>Per Class A Common Share:</b>			
Income from continuing operations	\$ .95	\$ .63	\$ .66
Income from discontinued operations	\$ .47	\$ .02	\$ .33



Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Net Income Applicable to Class A Common Stockholders	\$	1.42	\$	.65	\$	.99
Diluted Earnings Per Share:						
Per Common Share:						
Income from continuing operations	\$	.83	\$	.55	\$	.58
Income from discontinued operations	\$	.42	\$	.02	\$	.29
Net Income Applicable to Common Stockholders	\$	1.25	\$	.57	\$	.87
Per Class A Common Share:						
Income from continuing operations	\$	.93	\$	.61	\$	.64
Income from discontinued operations	\$	.46	\$	.02	\$	.32
Net Income Applicable to Class A Common Stockholders	\$	1.39	\$	.63	\$	.96
Dividends Per Share:						
Common	\$	.83	\$	.81	\$	.80
Class A Common	\$	.92	\$	.90	\$	.88

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(In thousands)

	Year Ended October 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>			
Net income	\$ 44,388	\$ 25,032	\$ 30,985
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization from continuing operations	13,442	13,073	11,884
Depreciation and amortization from discontinued operations	40	170	516
Straight-line rent adjustments	(889)	(1,227)	(1,313)
Restricted stock compensation expense	2,071	2,007	1,617
Change in value of deferred compensation arrangement	(9)	71	305
Gains on sale of properties	(11,385)	-	(7,020)
Gain on repayment of mortgage note receivable	-	(102)	-
Minority interests	161	189	339
Increase (decrease) in tenant receivables	1,435	(1,507)	(1,605)
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,170)	(2,391)	(151)
(Increase) decrease in other assets and other liabilities, net	1,223	116	(36)
Increase in restricted cash	-	(2)	(16)
<b>Net Cash Flow Provided by Operating Activities</b>	<b>49,307</b>	<b>35,429</b>	<b>35,505</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>			
Redemption of marketable securities	133	561	255
Acquisitions of real estate investments	(21,314)	(16,628)	(71,710)
Acquisition of limited partner interests in consolidated joint venture	(2,849)	-	(2,078)
Deposit on acquisitions of real estate investment	(424)	-	-
Improvements to properties and deferred charges	(8,098)	(5,251)	(5,319)
Net proceeds from sales of properties	13,200	-	17,758
Distributions to limited partners of consolidated joint ventures	(161)	(189)	(339)
Payments received on mortgage notes receivable	56	765	85
Refund of escrow funds	-	613	-
<b>Net Cash Flow (Used in) Investing Activities</b>	<b>(19,457)</b>	<b>(20,129)</b>	<b>(61,348)</b>
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>			
Proceeds from revolving credit line borrowings	14,200	3,000	19,500
Repayments on revolving credit line borrowings	(2,000)	(3,000)	(19,500)
Net proceeds from issuance of Series D Preferred stock	-	-	59,380
Sales of additional shares of Common and Class A Common Stock	809	876	1,287

Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Principal repayments on mortgage notes payable	(8,059)	(7,445)	(4,173)
Dividends paid - Common and Class A Common Stock	(23,723)	(23,083)	(22,402)
Dividends paid - Preferred Stock	(9,342)	(9,342)	(7,009)
Repurchase of shares of Class A Common Stock	(317)	-	(686)
Net Cash Flow (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities	(28,432)	(38,994)	26,397
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	1,418	(23,694)	554
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	2,800	26,494	25,940
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 4,218	\$ 2,800	\$ 26,494

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Thousands, not shares per share	7.5% Series D Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Class A Common Stock		Additional Paid In Capital	Cumulative Distributions In Excess of Net Income	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Unamortized Restricted Stock and Officer Note Receivable	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Issued	Amount	Issued	Amount	Issued	Amount					
December 31,	-	\$- 7,189,991	72	18,649,008	186	\$264,680	\$(36,581)	\$472	\$(7,055)	\$22	
Comprehensive income attributable to Common Class A Common Stockholders	-	-	-	-	-	-	23,976	-	-	-	23,976
Change in marketable securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	27
Comprehensive income dividends	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Common stock (\$0 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(5,918)	-	-	(5,918)
Class A Common stock (\$8 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(16,484)	-	-	(16,484)
Change in value of investments under equity method	-	-	59,390	-	15,767	-	1,186	-	-	-	76,343
Shares issued under restricted share plan	-	-	175,800	2	75,675	1	4,080	-	-	(4,083)	179,472
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	(125)	-	-	1,617	1,492
Exercise of options	-	-	7,750	-	6,750	-	100	-	-	-	14,600

Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Purchases of common and Class A common stock	-	-	(3,600)	-	(41,400)	-	(686)	-	-	-	-
Exercise of restricted stock	2,450,000	61,250	-	-	-	-	(1,870)	-	-	-	5
Issuance of restricted stock – December 31, 2018	2,450,000	61,250	7,429,331	74	18,705,800	187	267,365	(35,007)	499	(9,521)	28
Reversal of amortized intangible assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8,221)	-	-	8,221	-
Comprehensive income attributable to common Class A common holders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15,690	-	-	1
Change in unrealized gains on marketable securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	119	-	-
Comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Dividends	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Common stock (\$1 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,168)	-	-	(6)
Class A common stock (\$10 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(16,915)	-	-	(16)
Change in fair value of investments	-	-	30,810	-	15,431	-	769	-	-	-	-
Exercise of options	-	-	9,500	-	4,500	-	107	-	-	-	-
Options issued under restricted stock plan	-	-	165,800	2	79,050	1	(3)	-	-	-	-
Restricted stock compensation	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,007	-	-	-	-
Change in fair value of investments	2,450,000	61,250	7,635,441	76	18,804,781	188	262,024	(42,400)	618	(1,300)	28

Income – December 31,											
Comprehensive Income:											
Income available to Common Class A Common Shareholders	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35,046	-	-	35,046
Change in Realized gains on Marketable Securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(138)	-	(138)
Comprehensive Income											34,908
Dividends											
Common stock (\$3 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(6,435)	-	-	(6,435)
Class A Common stock (\$2 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(17,288)	-	-	(17,288)
Exercise of Options under Stock Investment Plan	-	-	32,377	-	12,444	-	790	-	-	-	45,611
Exercise of Options Not Issued under restricted Stock Investment Plan	-	-	-	-	1,953	-	17	-	-	-	2,070
Restricted stock Compensation	-	-	105,800	1	70,300	-	-	-	-	-	177,101
Repurchases of Class A Common stock	-	-	-	-	(21,200)	-	(317)	-	-	-	(21,517)
Repurchase of Restricted stock	-	-	-	-	(31,500)	-	-	-	-	-	(31,500)
Income – December 31,	2,450,000	\$61,250	7,773,618	\$771	18,836,778	\$188	\$264,585	\$(31,077)	\$480	\$(1,300)	\$29,807,334

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
October 31, 2007

(1) ORGANIZATION, BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business

Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. (“Company”), a real estate investment trust (“REIT”), is engaged in the acquisition, ownership and management of commercial real estate, primarily neighborhood and community shopping centers in the northeastern part of the United States. The Company’s major tenants include supermarket chains and other retailers who sell basic necessities. At October 31, 2007, the Company owned or had interests in thirty-nine properties containing a total of 3.7 million square feet of leasable area.

Principles of Consolidation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, and joint ventures in which the Company meets certain criteria of a sole general partner in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) Issue 04-5, “Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights.” The Company has determined that such joint ventures should be consolidated into the consolidated financial statements of the Company. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying financial statements are prepared on the accrual basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”). The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the periods covered by the financial statements. The most significant assumptions and estimates related to the valuation of real estate, depreciable lives, revenue recognition and the collectibility of tenant and notes receivable. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Federal Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be treated as a REIT under Sections 856-860 of the Internal Revenue Code (Code). Under those sections, a REIT that among other things, distributes at least 90% of real estate trust taxable income and meets certain other qualifications prescribed by the Code will not be taxed on that portion of its taxable income that is distributed. The Company believes it qualifies as a REIT and has distributed all of its taxable income for the fiscal years through 2007 in accordance with the provisions of the Code. Accordingly, no provision has been made for Federal income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Real Estate Investments

All capitalizable costs related to the improvement or replacement of real estate properties are capitalized. Additions, renovations and improvements that enhance and/or extend the useful life of a property are also capitalized. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance, repairs and improvements that do not materially prolong the normal useful life of an asset are charged to operations as incurred.

Upon the acquisition of real estate properties, the fair value of the real estate purchased is allocated to the acquired tangible assets (consisting of land, buildings and building improvements), and identified intangible assets and liabilities (consisting of above-market and below-market leases and in-place leases), in accordance with SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." The Company utilizes methods similar to those used by independent appraisers in estimating the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property "as-if-vacant." The fair value reflects the depreciated replacement cost of the asset. In allocating purchase price to identified intangible assets and liabilities of an acquired property, the value of above-market and below-market leases are estimated based on the differences between (i) contractual rentals and the estimated market rents over the applicable lease term discounted back to the date of acquisition utilizing a discount rate adjusted for the credit risk associated with the respective tenants and (ii) the estimated cost of acquiring such leases giving effect to the Company's history of providing tenant improvements and paying leasing commissions, offset by a vacancy period during which such space would be leased. The aggregate value of in-place leases is measured by the excess of (i) the purchase price paid for a property after adjusting existing in-place leases to market rental rates over (ii) the estimated fair value of the property "as-if-vacant," determined as set forth above.



Above and below market leases acquired are recorded at their fair value. The capitalized above-market lease values are amortized as a reduction of rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases and the capitalized below-market lease values are amortized as an increase to rental revenue over the remaining term of the respective leases. The value of in-place leases is based on the Company's evaluation of the specific characteristics of each tenant's lease. Factors considered include estimates of carrying costs during expected lease-up periods, current market conditions, and costs to execute similar leases. The value of in-place leases are amortized over the remaining term of the respective leases. If a tenant vacates its space prior to its contractual expiration date, any unamortized balance of their related intangible asset is expensed.

#### Depreciation and Amortization

The Company uses the straight-line method for depreciation and amortization. Core and non-core properties are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the properties, which range from 30 to 40 years. Property improvements are depreciated over the estimated useful lives that range from 10 to 20 years. Furniture and fixtures are depreciated over the estimated useful lives that range from 3 to 10 years. Tenant improvements are amortized over the shorter of the life of the related leases or their useful life.

#### Property Held for Sale

The Company has adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" ("SFAS No. 144"). SFAS No. 144 requires, among other things, that the assets and liabilities and the results of operations of the Company's properties that have been sold or otherwise qualify as held for sale be classified as discontinued operations and presented separately in the Company's consolidated financial statements. The Company classifies properties as held for sale that are under contract for sale and are expected to be sold within the next twelve months.

#### Deferred Charges

Deferred charges consist principally of leasing commissions (which are amortized ratably over the life of the tenant leases) and financing fees (which are amortized over the terms of the respective agreements). Deferred charges in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are shown at cost, net of accumulated amortization of \$2,708,000 and \$2,595,000 as of October 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

#### Asset Impairment

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of the asset to aggregate future net cash flows (undiscounted and without interest) expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amounts of the assets exceed the fair value.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenues from operating leases include revenues from core properties and non-core properties. Rental income is generally recognized based on the terms of leases entered into with tenants. In those instances in which the Company funds tenant improvements and the improvements are deemed to be owned by the Company, revenue recognition will commence when the improvements are substantially completed and possession or control of the space is turned over to the tenant. When the Company determines that the tenant allowances are lease incentives, the Company commences revenue recognition when possession or control of the space is turned over to the tenant for tenant work to begin. Minimum rental income from leases with scheduled rent increases is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term. At October 31, 2007 and 2006, approximately \$10,078,000 and \$9,278,000 has been recognized as straight-line rents receivable (representing the current net cumulative rents recognized prior to when billed and collectible as provided by the terms of the leases), all of which is included in tenant receivables in the accompanying

consolidated financial statements. Percentage rent is recognized when a specific tenant's sales breakpoint is achieved. Property operating expense recoveries from tenants of common area maintenance, real estate taxes and other recoverable costs are recognized in the period the related expenses are incurred. Lease incentives are amortized as a reduction of rental revenue over the respective tenant lease terms. Lease termination amounts received by the Company from its tenants are recognized as income in the period received. Interest income is recognized as it is earned. Gains or losses on disposition of properties are recorded when the criteria for recognizing such gains or losses under generally accepted accounting principles have been met.

The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts against the portion of tenant receivables (including an allowance for future tenant credit losses of approximately 10% of the deferred straight-line rents receivable) which is estimated to be uncollectible. Such allowances are reviewed periodically. At October 31, 2007 and 2006, tenant receivables in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets are shown net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$1,946,000 and \$1,561,000, respectively. During the years ended October 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company provided \$539,000, \$200,000 and \$90,000, respectively, for uncollectible amounts, which is recorded in the accompanying consolidated statement of income as a reduction of rental revenue.

#### Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash in banks and short-term investments with original maturities of less than three months.

#### Restricted Cash

Restricted cash consists of those tenant security deposits and replacement and other reserves required by agreement with certain of the Company's mortgage lenders for property level capital requirements that are required to be held in separate bank accounts.

#### Marketable Securities

Marketable securities consist of short-term investments and marketable equity securities. Short-term investments (consisting of investments with original maturities of greater than three months when purchased) and marketable equity securities are carried at fair value. The Company has classified marketable securities as available for sale. Unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities are recorded as other comprehensive income in Stockholders' Equity. For the years ended October 31, 2006 and 2005, gains on sales of marketable securities, determined based on specific identification amounted to \$122,000 and \$70,000, respectively (none in fiscal 2007).

#### Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is comprised of net income and other comprehensive income (loss). Other comprehensive income (loss) includes items that are otherwise recorded directly in stockholders' equity, such as unrealized gains or losses on marketable securities. At October 31, 2007 and 2006, other comprehensive income consists of net unrealized gains on marketable securities of \$480,000 and \$618,000, respectively. Unrealized gains included in other comprehensive income will be reclassified into earnings as gains are realized.

#### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, tenant receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities are reasonable estimates of their fair values because of the short term nature of these instruments.

The estimated fair value of mortgage notes receivable collateralized by real property is based on discounting the future cash flows at a year-end risk adjusted lending rate that the Company would utilize for loans of similar risk and duration. At October 31, 2007 and 2006, the estimated aggregate fair value of the mortgage notes receivable was \$959,000 and \$1,093,000, respectively.

The estimated fair value of mortgage notes payable was \$94,780,000 and \$105,600,000 at October 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The estimated fair value of mortgage notes payable is based on discounting the future cash flows at a year-end risk adjusted borrowing rate currently available to the Company for issuance of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

#### Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents, and tenant receivables. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents in excess of insured amounts with high quality financial institutions. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its tenants and may require certain tenants to provide security deposits or letters of credit. Though these security deposits and letters of credit are insufficient to meet the terminal value of a tenant's lease obligation, they are a measure of good faith and a source of funds to offset the economic costs associated with lost rent and the costs associated with retenanting the space. There is no dependence upon any single tenant.

#### Earnings Per Share

The Company calculates basic and diluted earnings per share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share." Basic earnings per share ("EPS") excludes the impact of dilutive shares and is computed by dividing net income applicable to Common and Class A Common stockholders by the weighted average number of Common shares and Class A Common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue Common shares or Class A Common shares were exercised or converted into

Common shares or Class A Common shares and then shared in the earnings of the Company. Since the cash dividends declared on the Company's Class A Common stock are higher than the dividends declared on the Common Stock, basic and diluted EPS have been calculated using the "two-class" method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each class of common stock according to the weighted average of the dividends declared, outstanding shares per class and participation rights in undistributed earnings.

The following table sets forth the reconciliation between basic and diluted EPS (in thousands):

	Year Ended October 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
<b>Numerator</b>			
Net income applicable to common stockholders – basic	\$ 8,800	\$ 3,871	\$ 5,902
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock awards and operating partnership units	324	220	281
Net income applicable to common stockholders – diluted	\$ 9,124	\$ 4,091	\$ 6,183
<b>Denominator</b>			
Denominator for basic EPS-weighted average common			
shares	6,845	6,662	6,566
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock awards	448	482	446
Operating partnership units	-	55	55
Denominator for diluted EPS – weighted average			
common equivalent shares	7,293	7,199	7,067
<b>Numerator</b>			
Net income applicable to Class A common stockholders –			
basic	\$ 26,246	\$ 11,819	\$ 18,074
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock awards and operating partnership units	(324)	-	58
Net income applicable to Class A common stockholders –			
diluted	\$ 25,922	\$ 11,819	\$ 18,132
<b>Denominator</b>			
Denominator for basic EPS – weighted average Class A			
common shares	18,419	18,312	18,280
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock awards	275	306	314
Operating partnership units	-	55	246
Denominator for diluted EPS – weighted average Class A			
common			
equivalent shares	18,694	18,673	18,840

#### Stock-Based Compensation

Prior to fiscal 2005, the Company accounted for its stock-based compensation plans under the Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees” (“APB No. 25”), and related Interpretations. Effective November 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123R, “Share-Based Payment” (“SFAS No. 123R”), using the modified-prospective-transition method. Under that transition method, compensation expense is recognized for all share-based payments granted subsequent to November 1, 2005, based on the fair value of the stock awards less estimated forfeitures. The fair value of stock awards is equal to the fair value of the Company’s stock on the grant date.

#### Segment Reporting

The Company operates in one industry segment, ownership of commercial real estate properties which are located principally in the northeastern United States. The Company does not distinguish its property operations for purposes of measuring performance. Accordingly, the Company believes it has a single reportable segment for disclosure

purposes.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements,” which, among other things, provides guidance and establishes amended accounting and reporting standards for a parent company’s noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the statement, which is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, “Business Combinations,” (“SFAS No. 141R”) which replaces SFAS No. 141 Business Combinations. SFAS No. 141R, among other things, establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer entity recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed (including intangibles) and any noncontrolling interests in the acquired entity. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the statement, which is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities,” which provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes from similar types of assets and liabilities. The statement does not eliminate the disclosure requirements of other accounting standards, including requirements for disclosures about fair value measurements in SFAS No. 107 “Disclosure About Fair Value of Financial Instruments” and SFAS No. 157. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the statement, which becomes effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements.” This statement defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement applies to accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements, except for share-based payments transactions under SFAS No. 123 and is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company does not believe adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have a material effect on its financial statements.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of SFAS No. 109” (“FIN No. 48”), that defines a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of FIN No. 48 is not expected to have an effect on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

## (2) REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS

The Company’s investments in real estate, net of depreciation, were composed of the following at October 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Core Properties	Non-Core Properties	Mortgage Notes Receivable	2007 Totals	2006 Totals
Retail	\$429,177	\$-	\$1,305	\$430,482	\$411,047
Office	7,401	-	-	7,401	7,391
Industrial	-	726	-	726	904
Undeveloped Land	-	-	-	-	304
	\$436,578	\$726	\$1,305	\$438,609	\$419,646

The Company’s investments at October 31, 2007 consisted of equity interests in 39 properties, which are located in various regions throughout the United States and one mortgage note receivable. The Company’s primary investment focus is neighborhood and community shopping centers located in the northeastern United States. These properties are considered core properties of the Company. The remaining properties are located outside of the northeastern United States and are considered non-core properties. Since a significant concentration of the Company’s properties are in the northeast, market changes in this region could have an effect on the Company’s leasing efforts and ultimately its overall results of operations. The following is a summary of the geographic locations of the Company’s investments at October 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Northeast	\$436,578	\$415,664
Midwest	726	602
Southwest	1,305	3,380
	\$438,609	\$419,646

## (3) CORE PROPERTIES

The components of core properties were as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Land	\$94,930	\$90,532
Buildings and improvements	426,546	398,628
	521,476	489,160

Accumulated depreciation	(84,898)	(73,496)
	\$436,578	\$415,664

Space at the Company's core properties is generally leased to various individual tenants under short and intermediate-term leases which are accounted for as operating leases.

Minimum rental payments on non-cancelable operating leases totaling \$333,862,000 become due as follows: 2008 - \$52,859,000; 2009 - \$48,388,000; 2010 - \$43,424,000; 2011 - \$38,812,000; 2012 - \$32,309,000 and thereafter - \$118,070,000.

Certain of the Company's leases provide for the payment of additional rent based on a percentage of the tenant's revenues. Such additional percentage rents are included in operating lease income and were less than 1% of consolidated revenues in each of the three years ended October 31, 2007.

#### Owned Properties

In January 2007, the Company acquired a 10,100 square foot shopping center located in Monroe, Connecticut ("Monroe") for approximately \$3.8 million, including closing costs.



In April 2007, the Company acquired the Emerson Shopping Plaza (“Emerson”), a 92,000 square foot shopping center located in Emerson, New Jersey for a purchase price of approximately \$17.5 million, including closing costs.

In January 2007, the Company entered into a lease with a wholesale club to lease approximately 107,000 square feet of space at The Dock Shopping Center, Stratford, Connecticut, subject to certain conditions. In connection with the new lease, the Company agreed to provide up to \$6.75 million toward the costs of redeveloping the space that previously had been occupied by a tenant who, in a prior year, filed a petition in bankruptcy and vacated the space. As of October 31, 2007, the Company has approved payments totaling \$6.1 million (of which \$2.9 million is included in Accounts payable and accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet at October 31, 2007) toward the costs of redeveloping the space. The former tenant’s lease obligations were guaranteed through 2016 by a corporate guarantor whereby the guarantor was released from its obligations in exchange for a payment of \$6 million. The payment and release of guaranty were subject to certain conditions contained in the agreement. The conditions were satisfied on April 15, 2007 and the Company recorded the guaranty payment as income in fiscal 2007.

In May 2007, the Company acquired by contribution, a 20% economic interest in a general partnership that owns a retail/office property in Westchester County, New York. Simultaneously, the Company contributed one of its wholly-owned retail properties into a newly formed limited liability company (“LLC”). As a result of the contributions, the Company owns approximately 76% of the LLC, the accounts of which are included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at October 31, 2007. The Company has recorded the non- controlling member’s share of the net assets of the LLC of \$546,000 in Minority Interests, in the accompanying October 31, 2007 consolidated balance sheet. The amount recorded for minority interest represents a non-cash investing activity and is therefore, not included in the accompanying 2007 consolidated statement of cash flows. The Company has among other things, guaranteed a preferential return to the other member of the LLC of \$36,000 per annum.

In March 2006, the Company acquired three retail properties totaling 50,000 square feet of gross leasable space (“GLA”) located in Pelham, New York and Queens, New York (“Pelham Properties”). The three properties were acquired for an aggregate purchase price of \$16.6 million.

In fiscal 2005, the Company acquired The Dock Shopping Center (“The Dock”) (269,000 square feet of GLA) , for \$51.1 million and Staples Plaza (“Staples Plaza”) (200,000 square feet of GLA) for a purchase price of \$28.5 million, including the assumption of a first mortgage loan at its estimated fair value of \$8.5 million. The assumption of the mortgage loan represents a non-cash financing activity and is therefore not included in the accompanying 2005 consolidated statement of cash flows.

Upon the acquisition of real estate properties, the fair value of the real estate purchased is allocated to the acquired tangible assets, (consisting of land, buildings and building improvements) and identified intangible assets and liabilities (consisting of above-market and below-market leases and in-place leases), in accordance with SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations.” The Company utilizes methods similar to those used by independent appraisers in estimating the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property considers the value of the property “as-if-vacant.” The fair value reflects the depreciated replacement cost of the asset. In allocating purchase price to identified intangible assets and liabilities of an acquired property, the value of above-market and below-market leases are estimated based on the differences between (i) contractual rentals and the estimated market rents over the applicable lease term discounted back to the date of acquisition utilizing a discount rate adjusted for the credit risk associated with the respective tenants and (ii) the estimated cost of acquiring such leases giving effect to the Company’s history of providing tenant improvements and paying leasing commissions, offset by a vacancy period during which such space would be leased. The aggregate value of in-place leases is measured by the excess of (i) the purchase price paid for a property after adjusting existing in-place leases to market rental rates over (ii) the estimated fair value of the property “as-if-vacant,” determined as set forth above. The above-market and below-market lease intangibles are amortized to rental income over the remaining non-cancelable

terms of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its stated expiration, all unamortized amounts relating to the lease would be immediately recognized in operations.

During fiscal 2007, the Company completed its evaluation of the acquired leases at Monroe and Emerson. As a result of its evaluations, the Company has allocated a total of \$676,000 to a liability associated with the net fair value assigned to the acquired leases at the properties, which amount represents a non-cash investing activity and is therefore not included in the accompanying 2007 consolidated statement of cash flows.

For the years ended October 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the net amortization of above-market and below-market leases amounted to \$241,000, \$211,000 and \$449,000, respectively, which amounts are included in base rents in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

In fiscal 2007, the Company incurred costs of approximately \$8.1 million related to capital improvements to its properties and leasing costs.

#### Consolidated Joint Ventures

The Company is the general partner in a consolidated limited partnership which owns a shopping center. The limited partnership has a defined termination date of December 31, 2097. The partners are entitled to receive an annual cash preference payable from available cash of the partnership. Any unpaid preferences accumulate and are paid from future cash, if any. The limited partners' cash preferences are paid after the general partner's preferences are satisfied. The balance of available cash, if any, is distributed in accordance with the respective partner's interests. Upon liquidation of the partnership, proceeds from the sale of partnership assets are to be distributed in accordance with the respective partnership interests. The partners are not obligated to make any additional capital contributions to the partnership. The Company had retained an affiliate of one of the limited partners to provide management and leasing services to the property at an annual fee of \$125,000 through June 2007. The limited partner interest is reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as Minority Interests.

In August 2007, the Company purchased all of the limited partner interests in a consolidated partnership, in which the Company was the sole general partner for \$2.8 million. As a result of the purchase, the partnership was terminated and the property is now directly owned by the Company.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" ("SFAS No. 150"). SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for classifying and measuring as liabilities certain financial instruments that embody obligations of the issuer and have characteristics of both liabilities and equity. The FASB deferred the classification and measurement provisions of SFAS No. 150 that apply to certain mandatory redeemable non-controlling interests. This deferral is expected to remain in effect while these provisions are further evaluated by the FASB. The Company has one finite life joint venture which contains a mandatory redeemable non-controlling interest. At October 31, 2007, the estimated fair value of the minority interest was approximately \$3.5 million. The joint venture has a termination date of December 31, 2007.

#### (4) NON-CORE PROPERTIES

At October 31, 2007, the non-core properties consist of two industrial properties located outside of the Northeast region of the United States. The Board of Directors has authorized management, subject to its approval of any contract for sale, to sell the non-core properties of the Company over a period of several years in furtherance of the Company's objectives to focus on northeast properties.

The components of non-core properties were as follows (in thousands):

	2007	2006
Land	\$450	\$943
Buildings and improvements	933	5,440
	1,383	6,383
Accumulated depreciation	(657)	(3,762)
	\$726	\$2,621

Minimum rental payments on non-cancelable operating leases of the non-core properties totaling \$7,413,000 become due as follows: 2008 - \$1,840,000; 2009 - \$1,840,000; 2010 - \$1,840,000; 2011 - \$1,266,000; 2012 - \$627,000.

#### (5) DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The Company has adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets" (SFAS No. 144). SFAS No. 144 requires, among other things, that the results of operations of properties sold or that otherwise qualify as held for sale be classified as discontinued operations and presented separately in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In fiscal 2007, the Company sold a non-core retail property, in Tempe, Arizona, for a sale price of \$13.2 million, resulting in a gain on sale of the property of approximately \$11.4 million. In fiscal 2005, the Company sold two properties for a total sales price of \$18.95 million and recorded combined gains on the sales of \$7.0 million in fiscal 2005.

The operating results for the sold properties have been classified as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Revenues from discontinued operations were approximately \$320,000, \$747,000 and \$2,500,000 for the years ended October 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### (6) MORTGAGE NOTE RECEIVABLE

At October 31, 2007, mortgage note receivable consisted of one fixed rate mortgage with a contractual interest rate of 9%. The mortgage note matures in 2013 and is secured by a retail property. Interest is recognized on the effective yield method. The mortgage note is recorded at a discounted amount which reflects the market interest rate at the time of acceptance of the note. At October 31, 2007, the remaining unamortized discount was \$141,000.

At October 31, 2007, principal payments on the mortgage note receivable become due as follows: 2008 - \$90,000; 2009 - \$98,000; 2010 - \$108,000; 2011 - \$118,000; 2012 - \$129,000 and thereafter - \$903,000.

## (7) MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE AND BANK LINES OF CREDIT

At October 31, 2007, mortgage notes payable are due in installments over various periods to fiscal 2017 at effective rates of interest ranging from 5.52% to 7.78% and are collateralized by real estate investments having a net carrying value of \$169,426,000.

Combined aggregate principal maturities of mortgage notes payable during the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

	Scheduled Amortization	Principal Repayments	Total
2008	\$1,775	\$11,291	\$13,066
2009	1,435	17,107	18,542
2010	1,134	5,155	6,289
2011	1,137	3,946	5,083
2012	1,005	3,791	4,796
Thereafter	5,122	43,384	48,506
	\$11,608	\$84,674	\$96,282

At October 31, 2007, the Company had a secured revolving credit facility with a commercial bank (the "Secured Credit Facility") which provides for borrowings of up to \$30 million. The Secured Credit Facility expires in April 2008 and is collateralized by first mortgage liens on two of the Company's properties. Interest on outstanding borrowings is at prime + 1/2% or LIBOR + 1.5%.

The Secured Credit Facility requires the Company to maintain certain debt service coverage ratios during its term. The Company pays an annual fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Secured Credit Facility. The Secured Credit Facility is available to fund acquisitions, capital expenditures, mortgage repayments, working capital and other general corporate purposes.

The Company had outstanding borrowings under the secured credit line of \$12.2 million at October 31, 2007, (there were no outstanding borrowings on October 31, 2006.)

On October 24, 2007, the Company signed a commitment letter with a major commercial bank to act as administrative agent and sole lead arranger of a \$50 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Facility"). The Facility is to be used for working capital, general corporate and other purposes. The entering into and closing of the facility is subject to certain terms, conditions and covenants customary for facilities of this type including negotiation of a definitive credit agreement with the bank.

Interest paid in the years ended October 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 was approximately \$7.8 million, \$8.5 million and \$8.5 million, respectively.

## (8) REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

The 8.99% Series B Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock ("Series B Preferred Stock") and 8.50% Series C Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock ("Series C Preferred Stock") have no stated maturity, are not subject to any sinking fund or mandatory redemption and are not convertible into other securities or property of the Company. Commencing January 2008 (Series B Preferred Stock) and May 2010 (Series C Preferred Stock), the Company, at its option, may redeem the preferred stock issues, in whole or in part, at a redemption price of \$100 per share, plus all accrued dividends. Upon a change in control of the Company (as defined), each holder of Series B Preferred Stock and Series C Preferred Stock has the right, at such holder's option, to require the Company to repurchase all or any part of such

holder's stock for cash at a repurchase price of \$100 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends.

As the holders of the Series B Preferred Stock and Series C Preferred Stock only have a contingent right to require the Company to repurchase all or part of such holders' shares upon a change of control of the Company (as defined), the Series B Preferred Stock and Series C Preferred Stock are classified as redeemable equity instruments since a change in control is not certain to occur.

The Series B Preferred Stock and Series C Preferred Stock contain covenants that require the Company to maintain certain financial coverages relating to fixed charge and capitalization ratios. Shares of both Preferred Stock series are non-voting; however, under certain circumstances (relating to non-payment of dividends or failure to comply with the financial covenants) the preferred stockholders will be entitled to elect two directors. The Company was in compliance with such covenants at October 31, 2007 and 2006.

The Company is authorized to issue up to 20,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock. At October 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had issued and outstanding 150,000 shares of Series B Preferred Stock, 400,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock and 2,450,000 shares of Series D Senior Cumulative Preferred Stock (Series D Preferred Stock) (see Note 9).

## (9) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

The Series D Preferred stock has no maturity and is not convertible into any other security of the Company. The Series D Preferred Stock is redeemable at the Company's option on or after April 12, 2010 at a price of \$25.00 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends. Underwriting commissions and costs incurred in connection with the sale of the Series D Preferred Stock are reflected as a reduction of additional paid in capital.

The Class A Common Stock entitles the holder to 1/20 of one vote per share. The Common Stock entitles the holder to one vote per share. Each share of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock have identical rights with respect to dividends except that each share of Class A Common Stock will receive not less than 110% of the regular quarterly dividends paid on each share of Common Stock.

The Company has a Dividend Reinvestment and Share Purchase Plan as amended (the "DRIP"), that permits stockholders to acquire additional shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock by automatically reinvesting dividends. During fiscal 2007, the Company issued 32,377 shares of Common Stock and 12,444 shares of Class A Common Stock (30,810 shares of Common Stock and 15,431 shares of Class A Common Stock in fiscal 2006) through the DRIP. As of October 31, 2007, there remained 177,330 shares of common stock and 481,586 shares of Class A common stock available for issuance under the DRIP.

The Company has a stockholder rights agreement that expires on November 12, 2008. The rights are not currently exercisable. When they are exercisable, the holder will be entitled to purchase from the Company one one-hundredth of a share of a newly-established Series A Participating Preferred Stock at a price of \$65 per one one-hundredth of a preferred share, subject to certain adjustments. The distribution date for the rights will occur 10 days after a person or group either acquires or obtains the right to acquire 10% ("Acquiring Person") or more of the combined voting power of the Company's Common Shares, or announces an offer, the consummation of which would result in such person or group owning 30% or more of the then outstanding Common Shares. Thereafter, shareholders other than the Acquiring Person will be entitled to purchase original common shares of the Company having a value equal to two times the exercise price of the right.

If the Company is involved in a merger or other business combination at any time after the rights become exercisable, and the Company is not the surviving corporation or 50% or more of the Company assets are sold or transferred, the rights agreement provides that the holder other than the Acquiring Person will be entitled to purchase a number of shares of common stock of the acquiring company having a value equal to two times the exercise price of each right.

The Company's articles of incorporation provide that if any person acquires more than 7.5% of the aggregate value of all outstanding stock, except, among other reasons, as approved by the Board of Directors, such shares in excess of this limit automatically shall be exchanged for an equal number of shares of Excess Stock. Excess Stock has limited rights, may not be voted and is not entitled to any dividends.

In fiscal 2005, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of up to 500,000 shares of Common Stock and Class A common stock in the aggregate. During fiscal 2007, the Company repurchased 21,200 Class A Common Shares at an aggregate cost of \$317,500. As of October 31, 2007, the Company had repurchased 3,600 shares of Common Stock and 62,600 shares of Class A Common Stock under the stock repurchase program.

## (10) STOCK COMPENSATION AND OTHER BENEFIT PLANS

### Restricted Stock Plan

The Company has a restricted stock plan (the "Plan") for key employees and directors of the Company. The Plan, as amended, permits the grant of up to 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common equity consisting of 350,000

Common shares, 350,000 Class A Common shares and 1,300,000 shares, which at the discretion of the Company's compensation committee, may be awarded in any combination of Class A Common shares or Common shares.

Prior to November 1, 2005, the grant date fair value of nonvested restricted stock awards was expensed over the explicit stock award vesting periods. Such awards provided for continued vesting after retirement. Upon adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the Company changed its policy for recognizing compensation expense for restricted stock awards to the earlier of the explicit vesting period or the date a participant first becomes eligible for retirement. For nonvested restricted stock awards granted prior to the adoption of SFAS No.123R, the Company continues to recognize compensation expense over the explicit vesting periods and accelerates any remaining unrecognized compensation cost when a participant actually retires.



Had compensation expense for nonvested restricted stock awards issued prior to November 1, 2005 been determined based on the date a participant first becomes eligible for retirement, the Company's income from continuing operations in the three year period ended October 31, 2007 would have been as follows (amounts in thousands, except per share):

	Year Ended October 31,		
	2007	2006	2005
Income from continuing operations, as reported	\$ 23,409	\$ 15,202	\$ 15,959
Adjustment to compensation expense had SFAS No. 123R been adopted prior to November 1, 2005	428	551	(732)
Pro forma income from continuing operations	\$ 23,837	\$ 15,753	\$ 15,227
Pro forma earnings per share from continuing operations:			
Basic:			
Common share	\$ .87	\$ .58	\$ .57
Class A Common share	\$ .97	\$ .65	\$ .63
Diluted:			
Common share	\$ .85	\$ .57	\$ .56
Class A Common share	\$ .94	\$ .64	\$ .62

Consistent with the provisions of APB No. 25, the Company recorded the fair value of nonvested restricted stock grants and an offsetting unamortized restricted stock compensation amount within stockholders' equity. Under SFAS No. 123R, an equity instrument is not considered to be issued until the instrument vests. Accordingly, the Company reversed \$8,221,000 of unamortized restricted stock compensation and additional paid in capital included in stockholders' equity as of November 1, 2005 representing the nonvested portions of restricted stock grants awarded prior to the effective date of SFAS No. 123R resulting in no net impact on the balance of total stockholders' equity.

In January 2007, the Company awarded 105,800 shares of Common Stock and 70,300 shares of Class A Common Stock to participants in the Plan. The grant date fair value of restricted stock grants awarded to participants was \$3.2 million. As of October 31, 2007, there remained a total of \$10.7 million of unrecognized restricted stock compensation related to outstanding nonvested restricted stock grants awarded under the Plan at that date. Restricted stock compensation is expected to be expensed over a remaining weighted average period of eight years. For the years ended October 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, amounts charged to compensation expense totaled \$2,071,000, \$2,007,000 and \$1,617,000, respectively.

A summary of the status of the Company's nonvested restricted stock awards as of October 31, 2007, and changes during the year ended October 31, 2007 are presented below:

	Common Shares		Class A Common Shares	
	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value
Nonvested at November 1, 2006	939,975	\$ 13.10	465,975	\$ 12.46
Granted	105,800	\$ 17.55	70,300	\$ 19.09
Vested	(148,375)	\$ 9.42	(81,425)	\$ 8.51

Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Forfeited	-	\$ -	(31,500)	\$ 15.94
Nonvested at October 31, 2007	897,400	\$ 14.16	423,350	\$ 13.90

Stock Option Plan

Prior to December 2006, the Company had a stock option plan whereby shares of Common Stock and Class A Common Stock were reserved for issuance to key employees and Directors of the Company. In December 2006, the Board of Directors approved the termination of the stock option plan. There were no grants of stock options in each of the three years ended October 31, 2007. At October 31, 2007, there were outstanding stock options to purchase 5,932 shares of Common Stock and 5,906 shares of Class A Common Stock.

In connection with the exercise of stock options in a prior year, an officer of the Company executed a full recourse promissory note equal to the purchase price of the shares. At October 31, 2007 and 2006, the outstanding balance of the officer's note receivable totaled \$1,300,000. The outstanding note matures in 2012 and bears interest at 6.78%. The shares are pledged as additional collateral for the note. Interest is payable quarterly. The note was fully repaid in December 2007.

### Profit Sharing and Savings Plan

The Company has a profit sharing and savings plan (the "401K Plan"), which permits all eligible employees to defer a portion of their compensation in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code. Under the 401K Plan, the Company may make discretionary contributions on behalf of eligible employees. For the years ended October 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Company made contributions to the 401K Plan of \$140,000, \$149,000 and \$135,000, respectively. The Company also has an Excess Benefits and Deferred Compensation Plan that allows eligible employees to defer benefits in excess of amounts provided under the Company's 401K Plan and a portion of the employee's current compensation.

### (11) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the normal course of business, from time to time, the Company is involved in legal actions relating to the ownership and operations of its properties. In management's opinion, the liabilities, if any, that ultimately may result from such legal actions are not expected to have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

At October 31, 2007, the Company had commitments of approximately \$765,000 for tenant related obligations.

The Company has an outstanding letter of credit of \$144,658 that expired in December 2007.

### (12) QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

The unaudited quarterly results of operations for the years ended October 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended October 31, 2007				Year Ended October 31, 2006			
	Jan 31	Quarter Ended Apr 30	July 31	Oct 31	Jan 31	Quarter Ended Apr 30	July 31	Oct 31
Revenues (1)	\$ 19,310	\$ 25,163	\$ 19,138	\$ 18,269	\$ 18,275	\$ 18,290	\$ 17,795	\$ 17,942
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 7,149	\$ 12,624	\$ 6,519	\$ 6,459	\$ 6,353	\$ 5,970	\$ 5,758	\$ 6,463
Net Income	\$ 7,149	\$ 24,168	\$ 6,519	\$ 6,552	\$ 6,470	\$ 6,094	\$ 5,882	\$ 6,586
Preferred Stock Dividends	(2,336)	(2,335)	(2,336)	(2,335)	(2,336)	(2,335)	(2,336)	(2,335)
Net Income Applicable to Common and Class A Common Stockholders (2)	\$ 4,813	\$ 21,833	\$ 4,183	\$ 4,217	\$ 4,134	\$ 3,759	\$ 3,546	\$ 4,251

Per Share Data:

Net Income  
from  
Continuing  
Operations-  
Basic:

Class A																
Common Stock	\$	.20	\$	.42	\$	.17	\$	.16	\$	.17	\$	.15	\$	.14	\$	.17
Common Stock	\$	.18	\$	.38	\$	.15	\$	.15	\$	.15	\$	.13	\$	.13	\$	.15

Net Income  
from  
Continuing  
Operations-  
Diluted:

Class A																
Common Stock	\$	.19	\$	.41	\$	.17	\$	.16	\$	.16	\$	.15	\$	.14	\$	.16
Common Stock	\$	.17	\$	.37	\$	.15	\$	.14	\$	.15	\$	.13	\$	.12	\$	.15

(1) Includes settlement of lease guarantee obligation of \$6 million in quarter ended April 30, 2007

(2) Includes gains on sales of properties of \$11.4 million in quarter ended April 30, 2007.

### (13) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On December 12, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company declared cash dividends of \$0.2150 for each share of Common Stock and \$0.2375 for each share of Class A Common Stock. The dividends are payable on January 18, 2008 to stockholders of record on January 4, 2008. The Board of Directors also ratified the actions of the Company's compensation committee authorizing the awards of 170,000 shares of Common Stock and 54,500 shares of Class A Common Stock to certain key officers and directors of the Company on January 2, 2008 pursuant to the Company's restricted stock plan. The fair value of the shares awarded totaling \$3.3 million will be charged to expense over the respective vesting periods.

In November 2007, the Company acquired a retail property containing approximately 20,000 square feet of GLA for a cash purchase price of \$6.3 million.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. (the “Company”) as of October 31, 2007 and 2006 and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended October 31, 2007. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. at October 31, 2007 and 2006, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended October 31, 2007, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of October 31, 2007 based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated January 10, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

New York, New York  
January 10, 2008

/s/ PKF  
Certified Public Accountants  
A Professional Corporation

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc. (the "Company") for the year ended October 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated results of Urstadt Biddle Properties Inc's operations and its cash flows for the year ended October 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

New York, New York  
January 12, 2006, except for Note 5,  
as to which the date is January 10, 2008

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

URSTADT BIDDLE  
 PROPERTIES INC.  
 OCTOBER 31, 2007  
 SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED  
 DEPRECIATION

(In  
 thousands)

COL. A	COL. B	COL. C		COL. D	COL. E		COL. F	COL. G
Description and Location Real Estate Subject to Operating Leases (Note (a) (e)): Office Buildings:	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company		Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Amount at which Carried at Close of Period		TOTAL (a)	Accumul Deprecia (Note (
		Land	Building & Improvements	Land Improvements	Land	Building & Improvements		
Greenwich, CT	**	\$ 708	\$ 1,641	\$ 45	\$ 708	\$ 1,686	\$ 2,394	
Greenwich, CT	**	488	1,139	36	488	1,175	1,663	
Greenwich, CT	**	570	2,359	207	570	2,566	3,136	
Greenwich, CT	**	199	795	216	199	1,011	1,210	
Greenwich, CT	**	111	444	-	111	444	555	
	5,299	2,076	6,378	504	2,076	6,882	8,958	1
<b>Retail Properties:</b>								
Somers, NY	-	4,318	17,268	487	4,318	17,755	22,073	2
Stratford, CT	-	10,173	40,794	7,115	10,173	47,909	58,082	2
Yorktown Heights, NY	7,862	5,786	23,221	174	5,786	23,395	29,181	1
Westport, CT	-	2,076	8,305	188	2,076	8,493	10,569	1
White Plains, NY	-	8,065	32,258	3,173	8,065	35,431	43,496	4
Queens, NY	-	951	3,802	-	951	3,802	4,753	

Edgar Filing: URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC - Form 10-K

Queens, NY	-	826	3,304	-	826	3,304	4,130	
Pelham, NY	-	1,694	6,843	-	1,694	6,843	8,537	
Rye, NY	328	909	3,637	42	909	3,679	4,588	
Rye, NY	1,812	483	1,930	6	483	1,936	2,419	
Rye, NY	824	239	958	-	239	958	1,197	
Rye, NY	1,530	695	2,782	-	695	2,782	3,477	
Orange, CT	-	2,320	10,564	1,077	2,320	11,641	13,961	1
Stamford, CT	52,468	17,965	71,859	5,463	17,965	77,322	95,287	10
Danbury, CT	-	2,459	4,566	491	2,459	5,057	7,516	
Briarcliff, NY	3,542	2,222	5,185	39	2,222	5,224	7,446	
Somers, NY	5,559	1,833	7,383	351	1,833	7,734	9,567	1
Briarcliff, NY	-	2,300	9,708	3,423	2,315	13,130	15,445	2
Ridgefield, CT	-	900	3,793	561	900	4,354	5,254	1
Darien, CT	12,600	4,260	17,192	640	4,260	17,832	22,092	4
Eastchester, NY	-	1,500	6,128	1,443	1,500	7,571	9,071	1
Danbury, CT *	-	3,850	15,811	4,404	3,850	20,215	24,065	6
Carmel, NY	4,458	1,488	5,973	1,764	1,488	7,737	9,225	2
Meriden, CT	-	5,000	20,309	6,552	5,000	26,861	31,861	12
Somers, NY	-	821	2,600	-	821	2,600	3,421	1
Wayne, NJ *	-	2,492	9,966	327	2,492	10,293	12,785	3
Emerson NJ	-	3,633	14,531	-	3,633	14,531	18,164	
Briarcliff, NY	-	380	1,531	2,348	380	3,879	4,259	1
Monroe, CT	-	765	3,060	-	765	3,060	3,825	
Newington, NH	-	728	1,997	3,375	728	5,372	6,100	3
Springfield, MA	-	1,372	3,656	15,307	1,709	18,962	20,671	12
	90,983	92,503	360,914	58,348	92,855	419,662	512,517	83
Industrial Distribution Centers								
Dallas, TX	-	218	-	-	218	-	218	
St. Louis, MO	-	233	933	-	233	933	1,166	
	-	451	933	-	451	933	1,384	
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 96,282</b>	<b>\$ 95,030</b>	<b>\$ 368,235</b>	<b>\$ 59,352</b>	<b>\$ 95,382</b>	<b>\$ 427,477</b>	<b>\$ 522,859</b>	<b>\$ 85</b>

\* Properties secure a \$30 million secured revolving credit line. At October 31, 2007 there were outstanding borrowings of \$12.

\*\*Properties are cross collateralized for a mortgage in the amount of \$5,299 at October 31, 2007.





## URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.

OCTOBER 31, 2007

## SCHEDULE III - REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION - CONTINUED

(In thousands)

NOTES:	2007	Year Ended October 31	
		2006	2005
(a) RECONCILIATION OF REAL ESTATE			
-			
OWNED SUBJECT TO OPERATING LEASES			
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 495,543	\$ 474,827	\$ 402,558
Property improvements during the year	12,219	3,915	6,810
Properties acquired during the year	21,314	17,398	80,301
Properties sold during the year	(4,156)	---	(14,238)
Property assets fully written off	(2,061)	(597)	(604)
Balance at end of year	\$ 522,859	\$ 495,543	\$ 474,827

## (b) RECONCILIATION OF ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

Balance at beginning of year	\$ 77,258	\$ 65,253	\$ 61,389
Provision during the year charged to income	12,838	12,602	11,735
Property sold during the year	(2,480)	---	(7,267)
Property assets fully written off	(2,061)	(597)	(604)
Balance at end of year	\$ 85,555	\$ 77,258	\$ 65,253

(c) Tenant improvement costs are depreciated over the life of the related leases, which range from 5 to 20 years.

(d) The depreciation provision represents the expense calculated on real property only.

(e) The aggregate cost for Federal Income Tax purposes for real estate subject to operating leases was approximately \$410 million at October 31, 2007.



URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
OCTOBER 31, 2007  
SCHEDULE IV - MORTGAGE LOANS ON REAL ESTATE

(In thousands)

COL. A	COL. B	COL. C	COL. D	COL. E	COL. F
Description	Interest Rate Coupon Effective	Final Maturity Date	Periodic Payment Terms	Remaining Face Amount of Mortgages (Note (b)) (In Thousands)	Carrying Amount of Mortgage (Note (a)) (In Thousands)
<b>FIRST MORTGAGE LOANS ON BUSINESS PROPERTIES</b>					
(Notes (c) and (d)):					
<b>Retail Store:</b>					
Riverside, CA	9%	12% 15-Jan-13	Payable in quarterly installments of Principal and Interest of \$54	1,446	1,305
<b>TOTAL MORTGAGE LOANS ON REAL ESTATE</b>				<b>\$1,446</b>	<b>\$1,305</b>

URSTADT BIDDLE PROPERTIES INC.  
OCTOBER 31, 2007  
SCHEDULE IV - MORTGAGE LOANS ON REAL ESTATE  
(Continued)  
(In thousands)

NOTES TO SCHEDULE IV

Year Ended October 31

(a) Reconciliation of Mortgage Loans on Real Estate

	2007	2006	2005
Balance at beginning of period:	\$ 1,361	\$ 2,024	\$ 2,109
Deductions during the current period:			
Collections of principal and amortization of discounts	(56)	(663)	(85)
Balance at end of period:	\$ 1,305	\$ 1,361	\$ 2,024

(b) The aggregate cost basis for Federal income tax purposes is equal to the face amount of the mortgages

(c) At October 31, 2007 no mortgage loans were delinquent in payment of currently due principal or interest.

(d) There are no prior liens for any of the Mortgage Loans on Real Estate.

Item 16. Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

URSTADT  
BIDDLE  
PROPERTIES  
INC.  
(Registrant)

/s/ Charles J.  
Urstadt  
Charles J.  
Urstadt  
Chairman  
and Chief  
Executive  
Officer

/s/ James R.  
Moore  
James R.  
Moore  
Executive Vice  
President and  
Chief Financial  
Officer  
(Principal  
Financial  
Officer

Dated: and Principal  
January 10, Accounting  
2008 Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated have signed this Report below.

/s/ Charles J. Urstadt  
Charles J. Urstadt  
Chairman and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer) January 10, 2008

/s/ Willing L. Biddle  
Willing L. Biddle  
President and Director January 10, 2008

/s/ James R. Moore  
James R. Moore  
Executive Vice President & Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer  
and Principal Accounting Officer) January 10, 2008

/s/ E. Virgil Conway  
E. Virgil Conway  
Director January 10, 2008

/s/ Robert R. Douglass  
Robert R. Douglass  
Director January 10, 2008

/s/ Peter Herrick  
Peter Herrick  
Director January 10, 2008

/s/ George H.C. Lawrence  
George H. C. Lawrence  
Director January 10, 2008

/s/ Robert J. Mueller  
Robert J. Mueller  
Director January 10, 2008

/s/ Charles D. Urstadt  
Charles D. Urstadt  
Director January 10, 2008

/s/ George J. Vojta  
George J. Vojta  
Director January 10, 2008

