

M 2003 PLC
Form 20-F
October 08, 2004

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 8, 2004

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 20-F

(Mark One)

- REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
OR
- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2004
OR
- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 333-12430

M (2003) plc

Formerly Marconi plc
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

ENGLAND AND WALES
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

8 Salisbury Square

**London EC4Y 8BB
United Kingdom**
(Address of principal executive office)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

American Depositary Shares evidenced by American Depositary Receipts, each American Depositary Share representing 2 ordinary shares, nominal value 5 pence per share

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of March 31, 2004:

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

2,793,011,951 Ordinary Shares of 5p each

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES NO

Indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

ITEM 17 ITEM 18

(APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PAST FIVE YEARS)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Sections 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

YES NO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| PART I | 2 |
| <u>Item 1:</u> <u>Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 2:</u> <u>Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 3:</u> <u>Key Information</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 4:</u> <u>Information on the Company</u> | 5 |
| <u>Item 5:</u> <u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 6:</u> <u>Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u> | 17 |
| <u>Item 7:</u> <u>Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u> | 19 |
| <u>Item 8:</u> <u>Financial Information</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 9:</u> <u>The Offer and Listing</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 10:</u> <u>Additional Information</u> | 28 |
| <u>Item 11:</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 39 |
| <u>Item 12:</u> <u>Description of Securities other than Equity Securities</u> | 40 |
| PART II | 41 |
| <u>Item 13:</u> <u>Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u> | 41 |
| <u>Item 14:</u> <u>Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u> | 41 |
| <u>Item 15:</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 41 |
| <u>Item 16A:</u> <u>Audit Committee Financial Expert</u> | 41 |
| <u>Item 16B:</u> <u>Code of Ethics</u> | 41 |
| <u>Item 16C:</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 41 |
| PART III | 43 |
| <u>Item 17:*</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 18:</u> <u>Financial Statements</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 19:</u> <u>Exhibits</u> | 43 |
| <u>EXHIBIT 12.1</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 12.2</u> | |
| <u>EXHIBIT 13.1</u> | |

* We have responded to Item 18 in lieu of responding to this Item.

Table of Contents

PRESENTATION OF FINANCIAL AND OTHER INFORMATION

On May 19, 2003, M (2003) plc (then known as Marconi plc) and Marconi Corporation plc concluded the financial restructuring of the Marconi group. The financial restructuring was effected through separate schemes of arrangement under the U.K. Companies Act 1985 for each of M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc. As a result of the restructuring, the shares that M (2003) plc held in Marconi Corporation plc were cancelled. Marconi Corporation plc became the new parent holding company of the Marconi group and M (2003) plc ceased to be a member of the Marconi group. On October 21, 2003, Marconi plc changed its name to M (2003) plc. M (2003) plc no longer conducts any business and does not intend to revive any business operations. In this annual report, the terms we, us, our, Marconi, the Company refer to M (2003) plc and prior to May 19, 2003, its subsidiaries and joint ventures, as the context requires.

Under the terms of the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement, all of our assets, other than those necessary to fund the administration of the scheme and the company will be distributed to scheme creditors in accordance with the scheme of arrangement. We expect to dissolve M (2003) plc at approximately the same time as the completion of these distributions to scheme creditors. While M (2003) plc shares, and ADRs representing M (2003) plc shares, remain outstanding following the effectiveness of the financial restructuring, we believe that there will be no circumstances under which any additional value will be returned to shareholders of M (2003) plc. As that is the case, we believe M (2003) plc shares and ADRs are worthless.

M (2003) plc is incorporated as a public limited company under the laws of England and Wales. We state our financial statements in United Kingdom (U.K.) pounds sterling. In this annual report, references to pounds sterling, pounds or £ and to pence or p are to the currency of the United Kingdom, references to euro or € are to the common legal currency of the members of the European monetary union, and references to United States (U.S.) dollars, U.S.\$ or \$ are to the currency of the United States of America.

Some of the market share information and other statements in this annual report regarding our former position relative to our competitors with respect to the manufacture or distribution of particular products are not based on published statistical data or information obtained from independent third parties. Rather, such information and statements reflect our management's best estimates based upon information obtained from our customers and from trade and business organizations and associations and other contacts within the industries in which we competed. Unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise requires, market share and market data are based on fiscal 2003 sales.

Our fiscal year ends on March 31. Unless otherwise specified, all references in this annual report to our fiscal year refer to a twelve-month financial period ending March 31. For example, fiscal 2004 represents the fiscal year beginning on April 1, 2003 and ending on March 31, 2004.

The consolidated financial statements contained in this annual report have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, known as U.S. GAAP.

Various amounts and percentages set forth in this annual report may have been rounded and, accordingly, may not total.

The information concerning equity affiliates contained in this annual report, including financial information, has been taken from or based on publicly available documents and, where applicable, records on file with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), supplemented by additional information obtained in our capacity as shareholders.

Solely for convenience, this annual report contains translations of certain U.K. pounds sterling amounts into U.S. dollars at specified rates. These are simply translations and you should not expect that a U.K. pounds sterling amount actually represents a stated U.S. dollar amount or that it could be converted into U.S. dollars at the rate suggested, or any other rate. In this annual report, the translations of U.K. pounds sterling amounts into U.S. dollars, where indicated, have been made at the rate of U.S.\$1.84 per £1.00, the noon buying rate for cable transfers of U.K. pounds sterling, as reported by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the Noon Buying Rate) on March 31, 2004. The Noon Buying Rate on October 7, 2004 was U.S.\$1.78 per £1.00.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1: *Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers*

This item is not applicable.

Item 2: *Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable*

This item is not applicable.

Item 3: *Key Information*

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The selected historical consolidated financial information presented below as at and for each of the five years ended March 31, 2000 through 2004, has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

On May 19, 2003, we and Marconi Corporation plc concluded the financial restructuring of the Marconi group through two separate schemes of arrangement under the U.K. Companies Act 1985. As a result of the restructuring, Marconi Corporation plc became the new parent holding company of the Marconi group, replacing us, and we ceased to be a member of the Marconi group. Additional details on the restructuring are included elsewhere in this document.

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA (continued)**

| | At and for the fiscal year ended March 31, | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 2004 \$(³) | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ | 2001 £ | 2000 £ |
| (in millions, except share data) | | | | | | |
| STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA: | | | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | | |
| Network Equipment | 184 | 100 | 1,131 | 1,812 | 3,268 | 2,535 |
| Network Services | 125 | 68 | 743 | 969 | 1,016 | 543 |
| Other | | | 22 | 465 | 637 | 824 |
| Total | 309 | 168 | 1,896 | 3,246 | 4,921 | 3,902 |
| Operating loss ⁽¹⁾ | (107) | (58) | (608) | (6,392) | (52) | (380) |
| Other income/(expense), net ⁽²⁾ | 4,297 | 2,335 | (295) | 23 | 310 | (106) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interest and cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles | 4,190 | 2,277 | (903) | (6,369) | 258 | (486) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles | 4,188 | 2,276 | (756) | (6,094) | 101 | (451) |
| Cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | (240) | | |
| Net income/(loss) | 4,188 | 2,276 | (807) | (6,150) | 180 | 285 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | | | |
| £ per share | | | | | £0.05 | £0.11 |
| \$ equivalent per share | | | | | \$0.08 | \$0.17 |
| BALANCE SHEET DATA: | | | | | | |
| Total assets | 17 | 9 | 3,111 | 4,925 | 11,683 | 9,426 |
| Net assets/(liabilities) | 17 | 9 | (2,500) | (1,493) | 4,805 | 4,468 |
| Capital stock | 1,471 | 799 | 1,220 | 1,203 | 946 | 622 |
| Shares issued and outstanding (millions) | 2,793 | 2,793 | 2,793 | 2,793 | 2,785 | 2,724 |

Notes:

- (1) For fiscal 2002, operating loss is reflected after business restructuring and asset impairment charges of £5,319 million. For further information about this income/(charges), see consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere herein.
- (2) For fiscal 2004, other income includes a gain on financial restructuring of £2,183 million and a gain on settlement of equity forward contracts of £123 million. For fiscal 2002, other income includes a gain on early retirement of debt of £166 million which was previously shown as extraordinary income. This reclassification has been made on adoption of SFAS 145, *Recission of FASB Statements No. 4, 44, and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections*.
- (3) The consolidated financial statements are presented in millions of U.K. pounds sterling. U.S. dollar amounts are presented solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of £1.00 = \$1.84, the noon buying rate in the City of New York for cable transfers in pounds sterling as certified for customs purposes by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York on March 31, 2004. These translated amounts should not be construed as representations that the U.K. pounds sterling amounts could have been, or could in the future, be converted into U.S. dollars at this or any other exchange rate.
- (4) Dividend payments were made out of net income, which included income from discontinued operations.
- (5) We adopted EITF 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, the Company's Own Stock*, in fiscal 2002. The value of such instruments, as of the implementation date, was recorded as a cumulative effect of a change in

accounting principles of £240 million in fiscal 2002.

Table of Contents**EXCHANGE RATE INFORMATION**

The Noon Buying Rate for pounds sterling expressed in U.S. dollars per pounds sterling on October 7, 2004 was £1.00 = U.S.\$1.78.

The following table sets forth the high and low noon buying rate for pounds sterling expressed in U.S. dollars per pound sterling for each of the previous six months:

| 2004 | High | Low |
|-----------|--------|--------|
| April | 1.8564 | 1.7674 |
| May | 1.8369 | 1.7544 |
| June | 1.8387 | 1.8090 |
| July | 1.8734 | 1.8160 |
| August | 1.8459 | 1.7921 |
| September | 1.8105 | 1.7733 |

The following table sets forth the average noon buying rate for pounds sterling expressed in U.S. dollars per pound sterling for each of the five most recent fiscal years, based on the noon buying rate on the last business day of each month.

| Fiscal year ended March 31, | Average |
|-----------------------------|---------|
| 2000 | 1.6085 |
| 2001 | 1.4737 |
| 2002 | 1.4320 |
| 2003 | 1.5541 |
| 2004 | 1.8400 |

RISK FACTORS

As a result of our financial restructuring, we have ceased business operations and intend to distribute our remaining assets to our creditors.

On May 19, 2003, we concluded the financial restructuring of the Marconi group. The financial restructuring was effected through separate schemes of arrangement under the U.K. Companies Act 1985 for each of M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc. As a result of the restructuring, the shares that M (2003) plc held in Marconi Corporation plc were cancelled. Marconi Corporation plc became the new parent holding company of the Marconi group and we ceased to be a member of the Marconi group. M (2003) plc no longer conducts any business and does not intend to revive any business operations.

Under the terms of the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement, all of our assets, other than those necessary to fund the administration of the scheme and the Company, will be distributed to scheme creditors in accordance with the scheme of arrangement. We expect to dissolve M (2003) plc at approximately the same time as the completion of these distributions to scheme creditors. While M (2003) plc shares, and ADRs representing M (2003) plc shares, remain outstanding following the effectiveness of the financial restructuring, we believe that there will be no circumstances under which any additional value will be returned to shareholders of M (2003) plc. As that is the case, we believe M (2003) plc shares and ADRs are worthless.

Table of Contents

Item 4: Information on the Company

HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY

M (2003) plc, formerly Marconi plc, is a public limited company incorporated and domiciled in England and Wales and operating under the U.K. Companies Act 1985. M (2003) plc was incorporated as a public limited company in England in 1999. The address and telephone number of its registered office are 8 Salisbury Square, London EC4Y 8BB, United Kingdom and +44 (0)24 7656 5606.

Until the effectiveness on May 19, 2003 of the schemes of arrangement described below under the caption *Financial Restructuring*, M (2003) plc was the parent company of Marconi Corporation plc and its subsidiaries, which operated (and continues to operate) the business of the Marconi group. As a result of the effectiveness of those schemes of arrangement, we ceased to be a member of the Marconi group and ceased business operations. Unless the context otherwise requires, the discussion below relates to the business and operations of the Marconi group that was, and continues to be, operated through the former direct and indirect subsidiaries of M (2003) plc.

On October 21, 2003, Marconi plc changed its name to M (2003) plc.

Financial Restructuring

On May 19, 2003, the Marconi group concluded its financial restructuring. The restructuring was effected through two separate schemes of arrangement under the U.K. Companies Act 1985. A scheme of arrangement is a procedure under English law through which a company may enter into a voluntary compromise or arrangement with one or more classes of its creditors to effect a restructuring of its financial obligations. One scheme of arrangement involved all of the creditors of Marconi Corporation plc, other than certain excepted categories of creditors but including the syndicate banks and bondholders to whom our primary financial indebtedness was owed. The second scheme of arrangement involved creditors of M (2003) plc. As a result of the restructuring, the shares that M (2003) plc held in Marconi Corporation plc were cancelled. Marconi Corporation plc became the new parent holding company of the Marconi group, and M (2003) plc ceased to be a member of the Marconi group.

The financial restructuring covered approximately £4.8 billion of creditors' claims, comprising £4.0 billion of syndicated bank debt and externally held U.S. dollar and euro denominated bonds and £800 million of related party debt. In exchange for the cancellation of their claims against us and Marconi Corporation plc, on May 19, 2003 the creditors covered by these schemes of arrangement received:

Cash: £340 million in cash;

Senior Notes: U.S.\$717,139,584 (approximately £437 million) in aggregate principal amount of new guaranteed senior secured notes due April 2008 issued by Marconi Corporation plc, with interest payable quarterly in cash at a rate of 8% per annum. Since the implementation of the schemes, Marconi Corporation plc has fully redeemed for U.S. dollars these Notes;

Junior Notes: U.S.\$486,881,472 (approximately £297 million) in aggregate principal amount of new guaranteed junior secured notes due October 2008 issued by Marconi Corporation plc, with interest payable quarterly in cash at a rate of 10% per annum or, at our option, in kind, by issuing additional junior notes, at a rate of 12% per annum. Since the implementation of the schemes, Marconi Corporation plc has fully redeemed for U.S. dollars these Notes; and

Marconi Corporation plc Shares: 995 million ordinary shares, representing 99.5% of Marconi Corporation plc's issued ordinary share capital on May 19, 2003.

In addition, Marconi Corporation plc issued 5 million ordinary shares, representing 0.5% of its issued ordinary share capital upon consummation of the financial restructuring, and warrants to subscribe for up to 50 million additional ordinary shares, equal to 5% of its issued ordinary share capital upon consummation of the financial restructuring, to shareholders of M (2003) plc. In connection with the restructuring Marconi

Table of Contents

Corporation plc listed its ordinary shares on the London Stock Exchange and established an ADR program in respect of those shares.

In connection with the financial restructuring, our ordinary shares were delisted from the London Stock Exchange. Under the terms of the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement, all of our assets, other than those necessary to fund the administration of the scheme and the Company, will be distributed to scheme creditors in accordance with the scheme of arrangement. We expect to dissolve M (2003) plc at approximately the same time as the completion of these distributions to scheme creditors. While M (2003) plc shares, and ADRs representing M (2003) plc shares, remain outstanding following the effectiveness of the financial restructuring, we believe that there will be no circumstances under which any additional value will be returned to shareholders of M (2003) plc. As that is the case, we believe M (2003) plc shares and ADRs are worthless.

Prior to the financial restructuring, we had issued options in respect of M (2003) plc's shares to Marconi group employees under a number of different option plans. In order to hedge some of the potential cost of acquiring the shares necessary to satisfy the group's obligations under these plans, we, through an ESOP trust entity, entered into contracts, which we refer to as ESOP derivative transactions, to purchase shares in the future at prices that were fixed at the dates of the contracts. In connection with the restructuring process, on March 26, 2003 we and Marconi Corporation plc entered into a final settlement with the banks, which we refer to as the ESOP derivative banks, that were the counterparties under the ESOP derivative transactions. This settlement agreement definitively settled the claims of the ESOP derivative banks against M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc in relation to the ESOP derivative transactions. Under the settlement, which was conditional on Marconi Corporation plc's financial restructuring becoming effective, we paid a total of £35 million to the ESOP derivative banks and the claims of the ESOP derivative banks under the ESOP derivative transactions were excluded from our and Marconi Corporation plc's schemes of arrangement.

On May 6, 2004, the M (2003) plc scheme supervisors authorized a further distribution to creditors as a consequence of the settlement of a Marconi Corporation plc scheme claim, referred to as the Millionerrors claim. At the time of this distribution, the prevailing share price and exchange rate resulted in the distribution of consideration approximately equivalent to 2 pence per pound of admitted claims.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Until the effectiveness on May 19, 2003 of the schemes of arrangement of M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc was the parent company of Marconi Corporation plc and its subsidiaries, which operated (and continues to operate) the business of the Marconi group. As a result of the effectiveness of the M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc schemes of arrangement, we ceased to be a member of the Marconi group and ceased our business operations. The business of the Marconi group continues to be operated by Marconi Corporation plc, the current parent holding company of the Marconi group, and its subsidiaries.

As a consequence of the schemes of arrangement, we no longer conduct any business, and we do not intend to revive any business operations.

Prior to May 19, 2003, we, through our former Marconi group subsidiaries, were a global vendor of telecommunications equipment and services. A description of the business that we used to conduct is contained in our previous annual reports on Form 20-F filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our only remaining, dormant and non trading, subsidiaries are listed in Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this annual report.

PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Our registered office is located at 8 Salisbury Square, London EC4Y 8BB, United Kingdom. We currently have no property, plant or equipment.

Table of Contents

Item 5: Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with Key Information Risk Factors , the Key Information Selected Consolidated Financial Data and the consolidated financial statements and related notes and the descriptions of our businesses included elsewhere in this document.

Recently issued accounting pronouncements not yet adopted

The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of any recently issued accounting pronouncements that have yet to be adopted will have a material impact on its financial position, cash flows or results of operations because, after completion of the financial restructuring, the Company has no trading activities.

Overview

On May 19, 2003, the Marconi group concluded its financial restructuring, which was effected through two separate schemes of arrangement under the U.K. Companies Act 1985. As a result of the restructuring, we ceased to be a member of the Marconi group and ceased business operations. See the additional discussion of our financial restructuring in History and Development of the Company Financial Restructuring and Liquidity and Capital Resources below. Unless the context otherwise requires, the discussion below relates to the business and operations of the Marconi group that was operated by the former direct and indirect subsidiaries of M (2003) plc.

Additionally, because the financial restructuring occurred approximately seven weeks into fiscal 2004, any comparison of specific financial statement line items between fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2003 is not meaningful, and therefore a more detailed year to year comparison has not been included.

Results of operations

Fiscal 2004 compared to fiscal 2003

Prior to the financial restructuring on May 19, 2003, group companies generated revenue of £168 million and an operating loss of £58 million from the group's network equipment and network services businesses.

Business restructuring charges

Employee severance

We recorded a charge of £4 million in fiscal 2004 associated with redundancy payments for our employees. £2 million of this was paid out in cash prior to the restructuring, and the remaining balance of £2 million was transferred to Marconi Corporation plc at the time of the restructuring. We also made cash payments of £10 million in connection to charges incurred in fiscal 2003.

Advisor fees

We incurred no charges relative to advisor fees in fiscal 2004. In fiscal 2004 we made cash payments of £6 million in connection with charges incurred in fiscal 2003, and the balance of £4 million incurred in fiscal 2003 was transferred to Marconi Corporation plc at the time of the restructuring.

Accounting effects of the financial restructuring

In connection with the financial restructuring of the Marconi Group, the shares that M (2003) plc held in Marconi Corporation plc were cancelled, and M (2003) plc divested fully of its interests in the Marconi Group for nil proceeds. This resulted in a gain of £3,281 million on the transfer of ownership of the Marconi Group being equivalent to its consolidated net liabilities. On the same date the scheme of arrangement of Marconi Corporation plc came into effect resulting in an £804 million receivable from the Marconi Group being waived by M (2003) plc and its subsidiary undertakings reducing the net gain to £2,477 million. This has been accounted for by a gain of £2,183 million recorded in the consolidated statement of operations within other income and a credit of £294 million recorded in the consolidated statement of shareholders

Table of Contents

equity relating principally to the minimum pension liability. Expenses incurred by the Scheme Supervisors in the administration of the scheme post May 19, 2003 to March 31, 2004 are approximately £0.3 million.

Because the financial restructuring was implemented by way of two separate schemes of arrangement under section 425 of the U.K. Companies Act 1985, the gain on financial restructuring described above will not give rise to any taxable amounts.

Pursuant to the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement, the remaining assets of M (2003) plc will be distributed to scheme creditors, and it is intended that M (2003) plc will be dissolved. There will be no circumstances under which any value will be returned to shareholders under the terms of the scheme.

Fiscal 2003 compared to fiscal 2002*Revenues*

The following table sets forth our revenues by geographical region of destination for the two years ended March 31, 2003 as reported under U.S. GAAP:

| | 2003 | | 2002 | |
|--|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | £ | % of revenues | £ | % of revenues |
| United Kingdom | 500 | 26.4% | 837 | 25.8% |
| Italy | 156 | 8.2% | 201 | 6.2% |
| Other | 512 | 27.0% | 757 | 23.3% |
| Total Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) | 1,168 | 61.6% | 1,795 | 55.3% |
| United States | 519 | 27.4% | 1,064 | 32.7% |
| Central and Latin America (CALA) | 62 | 3.3% | 118 | 3.7% |
| Other | 15 | 0.8% | 39 | 1.2% |
| Total Americas | 596 | 31.4% | 1,221 | 37.6% |
| Asia-Pacific (APAC) | 132 | 7.0% | 230 | 7.1% |
| Total revenues | 1,896 | 100.0% | 3,246 | 100.0% |

Approximately 37%, 24% and 28% of our fiscal 2003 revenues were made in Sterling, Euros and U.S. dollars, respectively as compared with 33%, 21% and 31%, respectively in fiscal 2002.

The average currency exchange rates for the Euro to the Sterling were 1.55 and 1.63 in fiscal 2003 and 2002, respectively. The average currency exchange rates for the U.S. dollar to the Sterling were 1.55 and 1.43 in fiscal 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Total revenues of £1,896 million in fiscal 2003 reflected a decrease of £1,350 million or 42% as compared with £3,246 million in fiscal 2002. This decrease, observed across all major geographic zones and product lines, was primarily the result of continued reduced demand in the global market for telecommunications equipment and services, resulting in lower sales in our Network Equipment and Network Services segments. Sales in our Capital segment also declined substantially since the previous year as a result of business disposals and, in particular, the disposal of Marconi Applied Technologies in fiscal 2003 and Marconi Commerce Systems in fiscal 2002.

Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA) and the United States were our primary markets on a geographic basis. The majority of our revenues in the EMEA region were generated by our Optical Networks, while revenues in the U.S. were generated by our access, Outside Plant and Power (OPP) and Broadband Routing and Switching (BBRS) businesses. See the detailed segmental discussion and analysis below.

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

Revenues in EMEA, of £1,168 million, fell by £627 million or 35% as compared to £1,795 million in fiscal 2002. A large proportion of this decline related to Optical Networks as telecom operators maximized utilization in their existing networks to the detriment of new network build. Other types of Network

Table of Contents

Equipment sales also featured significant declines throughout EMEA, compared to the previous year, in particular access systems in the German market. Sales of Network Services in EMEA also fell from the previous year though were comparatively more robust than Network Equipment sales largely as a result of the phasing of long-term service contracts, particularly in the Middle East and the U.K.

Revenues in the U.S., of £519 million, fell by £545 million or 51% in fiscal 2003 as compared to £1,064 million in fiscal 2002. A large proportion of the reduction related to the Outside Plant and Power business. As in EMEA, the poor general market conditions led to substantial reductions in capital expenditure by U.S. telecom operators. U.S. sales of Optical Networks in fiscal 2003 amounted to only £4 million following the Group's decision to cease development and manufacture of its SONET product range in April 2002.

Revenues in CALA also witnessed a significant regional reduction in sales as they fell by £56 million or 47% in fiscal 2003 to £62 million as compared to £118 million in fiscal 2002. Sales were down across all products and service activities as a result of the deterioration in economic conditions and currency exchange rates compared to fiscal 2002 and the consequent reductions in capital expenditure by most of the major telecom operators in the region.

Revenues in APAC, of £132 million, fell by £98 million or 43% in fiscal 2003 as compared to £230 million in fiscal 2002. The main area of decline was Optical Networks, and this was mainly as a result of the lower levels of sales recorded in China following the completion of large network build projects in the region in fiscal 2002. There were also delays to certain major build projects by a number of the Group's Chinese customers.

Gross margin

Gross margin of £374 million in fiscal 2003 reflected an increase of £425 million as compared to (£51) million in fiscal 2002. Inventory write-downs and other charges negatively and significantly affected gross margin in fiscal 2002, with approximately £647 million related to inventory write-downs and other charges and an additional £158 million related to manufacturing outsourcing agreements recorded in fiscal 2002. The continuing deterioration in market conditions resulted in an additional £25 million write-down of inventory in fiscal 2003 relative to slow moving and obsolete inventories.

Excluding these charges of £805 million in fiscal 2002 and £25 million in fiscal 2003, gross margin declined £355 million or 47% in fiscal 2003 as compared to fiscal 2002. This decline resulted primarily from lower sales volumes, particularly for Network Equipment. This was compounded by an unfavorable sales mix where the relatively lower gross margin services business became a higher proportion of the total sales in fiscal 2003. Additionally price erosion had an impact on gross margin, particularly in the European and APAC Network Equipment areas. Partially offsetting these impacts to gross margin were significant cost savings achieved during fiscal 2003 in both the Network Equipment and Network Services segments. These cost savings principally resulted from headcount reductions and facility closures.

The Network Equipment and Network Services segments contributed approximately £1.1 billion, or 57%, of the decline in gross margins experienced in fiscal 2002, as compared with fiscal 2001. The lower gross margins were primarily a result of the deterioration in sales volumes discussed above and an unfavorable product mix in fiscal 2002 as compared with 2001. As a strong indication of this mix swing, the relatively lower gross margins services business represented 35% of the combined Network Equipment and Network Services revenue in fiscal 2002 as opposed to only 23% in fiscal 2001. In addition, the speed of this deterioration in revenues was more rapid than our ability to re-scale the cost base of our business, which contributed further to reduced gross margins. Businesses sold in fiscal 2002, including our commerce systems and optical components businesses, resulted in an additional £57 million reduction in gross margins in fiscal 2002 as compared with fiscal 2001.

Table of Contents*Operating expenses**Selling, general and administrative expenses*

Selling, general and administrative expenses of £356 million, or 18.8% of revenues, in fiscal 2003, declined by £480 million or 57% compared with £836 million, or 25.8% of revenues, in fiscal 2002. We achieved significant reductions in annual expenditures through focused headcount reductions, organization consolidation, site rationalization (including the relocation of our U.K. corporate headquarters) and closure of sales offices worldwide. A reduced level of discretionary marketing spend also contributed to lower costs in fiscal 2003. We achieved significant savings across substantially all categories of selling, general and administrative expense in fiscal 2003 as compared with fiscal 2002.

Research and development

Research and development expenses of £290 million, or 15.3% of revenues, in fiscal 2003 decreased £235 million or 44.8% as compared with £525 million or 16.2% of revenues in fiscal 2002. The majority of the cost savings were achieved as a result of headcount reductions and significant site rationalization, consolidation and closure initiatives, particularly in Europe, the U.S., Canada and Israel. Other factors contributing to the overall reduction in R&D expenditure included lower levels of third party and materials spend, continued reductions in the level of depreciation as a result of the write-down of development and test models relating to well-established or discontinued products and lower levels of capital expenditures. Optical Networks remained the key focus of our R&D investment, accounting for 40% of total Network Equipment and Network Services R&D expenses in fiscal 2003 as compared with 34% of total Network Equipment and Network Services R&D expenses in fiscal 2002. European Access, North American Access and BBRS accounted for 19%, 5% and 23% of Network Equipment and Network Services R&D spend in fiscal 2003 as compared with 22%, 9%, and 23% of Network Equipment and Network Services R&D spend in fiscal 2002, respectively, with greater than 50% of these expenditures focused on the further development of our multi-service core switch-router, the BXR-48000. The remaining 13% of our R&D expenses incurred in fiscal 2003 related mainly to OPP and wireless software developments within Network Services.

Amortization of goodwill

Effective April 1, 2002, we discontinued amortizing goodwill upon the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. (SFAS) 142. As a result, there was no amortization expense in fiscal 2003 as compared with £393 million or 12.1% of revenues in fiscal 2002.

Amortization of intangibles

Intangible asset amortization expense of £44 million or 2.3% of revenues in fiscal 2003 increased by £1 million, or 2.3%, as compared with intangible assets amortization expense of £43 million or 1.3% of revenues in fiscal 2002.

Business restructuring charges

Business restructuring charges of £230 million or 12.1% of revenues in fiscal 2003 decreased £166 million or 42% as compared to £396 million or 12.2% of revenues in fiscal 2002. Of the £230 million amount recorded in fiscal 2003, £128 million related to employee severance, £36 million to site rationalization and £73 million to advisors fees incurred and accrued to complete our group's financial restructuring. These charges were partially offset by the favorable settlement of litigation relating to an information technology systems implementation.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity relative to business restructuring charges reflected as charges to operating expenses in fiscal 2003 and the balances remaining in other liabilities at March 31, 2003:

| (in millions) | Balance at March 31, 2002 £ | Charged in fiscal 2003 £ | Utilized | | Balance at March 31 2003 £ |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | Net cash payments £ | Non cash movement £ | |
| <i>Business restructuring costs:</i> | | | | | |
| Employee severance | 30 | 128 | 148 | | 10 |
| Site rationalization | 40 | 36 | 30 | | 46 |
| Contractual commitments and other Restructuring | 13 | | | 10 | 3 |
| Systems implementation | 24 | (7) | 17 | | |
| Advisor fees | | 73 | 63 | | 10 |
| Total | 107 | 230 | 258 | 10 | 69 |

Employee severance

As a consequence of our objective to further reduce our annual operating cost base, we recorded a charge of £128 million during fiscal 2003 associated with redundancy payments for approximately 7,500 employees.

Site rationalization

We recorded a charge of £36 million in fiscal 2003 relative to site rationalization representing additional costs associated with closing and consolidating various sites around the world as part of our business reorganization. In fiscal 2002, we recorded a charge of £40 million. These site closures and consolidations were all commenced prior to December 15, 2002.

Contractual commitments and other restructuring

During fiscal 2002, we recorded a charge of £46 million in respect of other costs associated with our business reorganization program. We made cash payments of £26 million during fiscal 2002 and recorded a non-cash charge of £7 million, leaving a balance at March 31, 2002 of £13 million. Of this balance, non-cash costs of £10 million were charged during fiscal 2003 leaving a balance of £3 million at March 31, 2003.

Systems implementation

As discussed below, we had planned to implement a new global information technology system. However, in light of our revised trading outlook and our continued focus on cost reduction, we terminated the implementation in fiscal 2002. During fiscal 2003, we revised our previous estimate of the overall costs, leading to the release of £7 million from the amounts accrued in fiscal 2002.

Advisor fees

The charge of £73 million that we recorded in fiscal 2003 relative to advisor fees represented charges from our external advisors with respect to our financial restructuring and administration of M (2003) plc. We made cash payments totaling £63 million during fiscal 2003 and a balance of £10 million remained at March 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

| (in millions) | Utilized | | | Balance at March 31 2002 £ |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Charged in fiscal 2002 £ | Net cash payments £ | Non cash movement £ | |
| <i>Business restructuring costs:</i> | | | | |
| Employee severance | 237 | 207 | | 30 |
| Site rationalization | 40 | | | 40 |
| Contractual commitments and other Restructuring | 46 | 26 | 7 | 13 |
| Systems implementation | 73 | 49 | | 24 |
| Total | 396 | 282 | 7 | 107 |

Employee severance

In fiscal 2002, we recorded a charge of £237 million to reflect the cost associated with voluntary redundancy payments for approximately 10,000 employees. We made cash payments of £207 million in fiscal 2002.

Site rationalization

The charge of £40 million in respect of site rationalization in fiscal 2002 represents costs associated with closing and consolidating various sites around the world as part of our business reorganization.

Contractual commitments and other restructuring

During fiscal 2002, we recorded a charge of £46 million in respect of other costs associated with our business reorganization program. We made cash payments of £26 million during fiscal 2002 and recorded a non-cash charge of £7 million, leaving a balance at March 31, 2002 of £13 million.

Systems implementation

During fiscal 2002, we planned to implement a new global information technology system. In light of our revised trading outlook and our continued focus on costs reduction, we terminated the implementation. The £73 million charge represents £43 million of capitalized external consultancy costs associated with the implementation, £24 million of hardware and software costs expensed, and £6 million of other associated costs of the project. We made cash payments of £49 million in fiscal 2002.

Impairment of long-lived assets

During fiscal 2003, we recorded a charge of £69 million related to the impairment of property, plant and equipment as compared with a charge of £154 million in fiscal 2002. There was no impairment of goodwill or intangibles in fiscal 2003 as compared with a charge of £3,814 million in fiscal 2002.

(Loss)/gain on sales of investments

We recorded a loss on the sale of investments of £5 million or 0.3% of revenues in fiscal 2003 as compared with a gain of £89 million or 2.7% of revenues in fiscal 2002. In fiscal 2002, we recognized gains of £282 million on the disposal of our investments in Lottomatica S.p.A., General Domestic Appliances, Siemens Telcommunications Pty Ltd, ipsaris Limited and our remaining stake in Alstom. These gains were partially offset by charges of £154 million related to other investments including NetDecisions Holdings Limited, EasyNet Group plc and Atlantic Telecom Group plc.

Table of Contents*Impairment of investments*

We recorded a charge of £40 million or 2.1% of revenues in fiscal 2003 as compared with £nil in fiscal 2002. This charge related to declines in the value of equity securities, primarily our holdings in Arraycom and Bookham Technology, of £38 million that we judged to be other than temporary and a charge of £2 million relating to the carrying value of investments in affiliates.

Other income

We recorded a gain of £34 million or 1.8% of revenues in fiscal 2003 related to adjustments to purchase consideration in respect of prior acquisitions. The gain of £166 million in fiscal 2002 related to the repurchase of approximately Euro 325 million of euro-bonds and U.S.\$261.1 million of yankee bonds previously issued by Marconi Corporation plc. The bonds had a fair value (after unamortized discount) at repurchase of approximately £375 million. There were no repurchases in fiscal 2003.

Interest expense, net

Net interest expense of £284 million or 15.0% of revenues in fiscal 2003 increased £52 million or 22% as compared to £232 million or 7.1% of revenues in fiscal 2002. The charge in fiscal 2003 related primarily to interest on our bonds and syndicated bank debt of £261 million. This amount included £113 million of accrued but unpaid interest that was subsequently included as claims in our scheme of arrangement and compromised in our financial restructuring. The interest charges were partly offset by net interest received on deposits, insurance and a tax refund.

Income tax expense

In fiscal 2003, our effective income tax rate was 23.1% compared to the U.K. statutory rate of 30%. This difference was primarily due to net non-deductible expenses (£30 million) such as business restructuring charges and a gain related to adjustments to purchase considerations in respect of prior acquisitions. This amount was partially offset by the release of tax provisions in respect of prior years following progress to resolve historic U.S. and U.K. tax issues and audits. In addition, the valuation allowance recorded against losses and other deferred tax assets increased by £126 million to £841 million.

In comparison, the fiscal 2002 effective income tax rate was negative 7.2% compared to the U.K. statutory rate of 30%. This was primarily due to non-deductible goodwill amortization and goodwill impairment charges of £1,276 million and a valuation allowance recorded against losses and other deferred tax assets of £715 million. These amounts were partially offset by the benefit of non-UK tax rate differences (£177 million), taxes recorded on items no longer considered permanently reinvested (£63 million), and other non taxable items (£242 million) such as book gains versus taxable gains and a U.S. GAAP difference relating to the treatment of realized gains and losses on the sale of investments that attracts no additional tax.

Equity in loss of affiliates

We recognized a loss on equity in loss of affiliates of £62 million or 3.3% of revenues in fiscal 2003 as compared with a loss of £196 million or 6.0% of revenues in fiscal 2002. This loss in fiscal 2003 related primarily to losses in Easynet (£22 million) and losses in joint ventures of £40 million. The loss of £196 million or 6.0% of revenues in fiscal 2002 was primarily a result of our share of the net losses in Easynet. As at December 31, 2002, Easynet had net liabilities under U.S. GAAP of which our share was approximately £40 million. Since we had no commitment to provide further financing to Easynet, we discontinued recording our share of Easynet's losses once we reduced the carrying value of our investment to £nil.

Table of Contents

Minority interest

In fiscal 2003, minority interest was £nil representing no change from fiscal 2002. In fiscal 2002, minority interest of £nil decreased by £3 million from £3 million in fiscal 2001. The decrease resulted from the recognition of the net losses in businesses in which there was a minority shareholding.

Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles

At March 31, 2001, the Marconi Employee Trust had entered into various agreements to purchase shares of M (2003) plc's common stock from various counter parties in order to satisfy market purchase obligations related to share option plans. The contracts were recorded in temporary equity at an amount equivalent to the net cash settlement of the shares outstanding under the contracts at March 31, 2001. On June 30, 2001, we adopted Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock, which requires such instruments to be marked to market and the obligations recorded as a liability. As a result, we recorded a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle of £240 million related to the loss on such instrument as of the implementation date in fiscal 2002 (June 30, 2001), which was previously recorded within additional paid in capital.

Subsequent to the implementation date, we recorded additional market losses of £95 million from the implementation date up to March 31, 2002 in other expenses in the statement of operations. There were no additional market losses recognized in fiscal 2003.

Liquidity and capital resources

Sources of liquidity

We have no external sources of liquidity. We have cash on hand sufficient to meet our anticipated ongoing administrative costs for the foreseeable future. Under the terms of the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement, all of our assets, other than those necessary to fund the administration of the scheme and the Company, will be distributed to scheme creditors in accordance with the scheme of arrangement. We expect to dissolve M (2003) plc at approximately the same time as the completion of these distributions to our scheme creditors. We therefore believe that there will be no future circumstances under which the Company will have any internal sources of liquidity other than the cash currently on hand.

Financial restructuring

At March 31, 2004, our cash and cash equivalents totaled £9 million, as compared to £1,159 million at March 31, 2003, all of which was denominated in sterling (at March 31, 2003, £518 million was denominated in sterling, £282 million in U.S. dollars, £306 million in Euro and the balance of £53 million in other currencies).

Our outstanding borrowings at March 31, 2004 were £nil as compared with £4,031 million at March 31, 2003.

The financial restructuring of the Marconi group covered approximately £4.8 billion of creditors' claims, comprising £4.0 billion of syndicated bank debt and externally held U.S. dollar and euro denominated bonds and £800 million of related party debt. In exchange for the cancellation of their claims against us and Marconi Corporation plc, on May 19, 2003 the creditors covered by these schemes of arrangement received:

Cash: £340 million in cash;

Senior Notes: U.S.\$717,139,584 (approximately £437 million) in aggregate principal amount of new guaranteed senior secured notes due April 2008 issued by Marconi Corporation plc, with interest payable quarterly in cash at a rate of 8% per annum. Since the implementation of the schemes, Marconi Corporation plc has fully redeemed for U.S. dollars these Notes;

Table of Contents

Junior Notes: U.S.\$486,881,472 (approximately £297 million) in aggregate principal amount of new guaranteed junior secured notes due October 2008 issued by Marconi Corporation plc, with interest payable quarterly in cash at a rate of 10% per annum or, at our option, in kind, by issuing additional junior notes, at a rate of 12% per annum. Since the implementation of the schemes, Marconi Corporation plc has fully redeemed for U.S. dollars these Notes; and

Marconi Corporation plc Shares: 995 million ordinary shares, representing 99.5% of Marconi Corporation plc's issued ordinary share capital on May 19, 2003.

In addition, on May 19, 2003, Marconi Corporation plc issued 5 million ordinary shares, representing 0.5% of its issued ordinary share capital upon consummation of the financial restructuring, and warrants to subscribe for up to 50 million additional ordinary shares, equal to 5% of its issued ordinary share capital upon consummation of the financial restructuring, to shareholders of M (2003) plc. In connection with the restructuring Marconi Corporation plc listed its ordinary shares on the London Stock Exchange and established an ADR program in respect of those shares.

As a result of the financial restructuring, the shares that M (2003) plc held in Marconi Corporation plc were cancelled, Marconi Corporation plc became the new parent holding company of the Marconi group and M (2003) plc ceased to be a member of the Marconi group. The overall effect on the Company of the financial restructuring was the elimination of all net liabilities, (except for cash of £9 million to cover the future costs of administering the scheme) for nil proceeds. This resulted in a gain on the financial restructuring of £2,477 million, accounted for as a gain of £2,183 million recorded in the consolidated statements of operations and a credit of £294 million recorded in the consolidated statements of shareholders' equity.

Following the financial restructuring, pursuant to the scheme of arrangement, our remaining assets, other than those necessary to fund the administration of the scheme and the Company, will be distributed to scheme creditors.

Operating activities

Net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations was £584 million in fiscal 2003. This resulted primarily from the loss from continuing activities of £756 million, a change of £177 million in current and deferred taxes and a gain of £34 million related to adjustments to purchase consideration of prior acquisitions, partially offset by depreciation and amortization of £169 million, impairment of long-lived assets of £69 million, impairment of investments of £40 million and changes in operating activities, net of acquisitions of £15 million. The reduction in working capital was primarily a result of the significant decrease in sales volumes in fiscal 2003 as compared with fiscal 2002. This sales decline led to decreases in accounts receivables of £284 million or 38% as compared with fiscal 2002, and inventories of £296 million or 56% as compared with fiscal 2002. The decrease in inventories was also due to our continued focus on reducing costs in all areas of production and overhead. In particular, we continued efforts to restructure our supply chain to remove excess capacity and reduce break-even points. In addition, fiscal 2003 reflected £258 million in payments relative to business restructuring charges.

Net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations was £603 million in fiscal 2002. This resulted primarily from the loss from continuing activities of £6,260 million and a change in current and deferred taxes of £468 million, partially offset by asset impairments of £3,968 million, a provision for slow moving and obsolete inventory of £805 million, depreciation and amortization of £653 million, a provision for doubtful accounts of £175 million, changes in operating activities, net of acquisitions, of £203 million, equity in net loss of affiliates of £196 million and loss on sale of businesses and properties of £149 million.

Investing activities

The net cash provided by investing activities of £411 million in fiscal 2003 was primarily due to net cash received from the disposal of businesses of £436 million and net proceeds received from the sale of property, plant and equipment of £30 million, partially offset by purchases of marketable securities of

Table of Contents

£20 million, and the purchases of property, plant and equipment of £35 million. The net cash proceeds received from the disposal of businesses relates primarily to the sale of the Strategic Communications business, which represented net proceeds of £373 million in fiscal 2003. During fiscal 2003, the majority of the capital expenditures were in the Optical Networks and BBRS businesses. The purchases of marketable securities of £20 million related to payments made in fiscal 2003 relative to our Ultramast put option, which was in litigation. The issue with Ultramast was resolved through a settlement in which our investment in Ultramast was terminated through a capital reduction in exchange for proceeds of £41 million, which was recorded as a gain on sale of investment in affiliates in fiscal 2003.

The net cash provided by investing activities of £1,118 million for fiscal 2002 was primarily due to cash received from the disposal of businesses of £1,001 million, net proceeds received from the sale and purchases of investment securities of £206 million and net proceeds received from the sale of property, plant and equipment of £171 million, partially offset by purchases of property, plant and equipment of £327 million. The net cash proceeds received from the disposal of businesses related primarily to £729 million, £283 million and £225 million received for our medical, data and commerce systems businesses, respectively. The net proceeds received from the purchases and sales of securities in fiscal 2002 came principally from the sale of securities held in Alstom and Lagardere. The purchases of property, plant and equipment included equipment for participation in technology trials with key customers, test equipment that supports on-going research and development activity, software licenses purchased as part of the implementation of a new information technology system and expenditures related to site and facility developments. We subsequently wrote off the software licenses as we terminated the implementation of the information technology project in light of our revised financial outlook.

Capital expenditures in the Network Equipment and Network Services segments were £ nil, £35 million and £168 million in fiscal 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively. It is not practicable to identify the capital expenditures of the Network Equipment and Network Services segments separately as the same assets were generally used to generate sales in each of these segments.

The Capital segment capital expenditures were £nil, £nil and £159 million in fiscal 2004, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

Financing activities

Net cash used in financing activities of £767 million in fiscal 2003 was primarily due to cash transferred to secured accounts of £692 million and payments on short-term debt and term loan repayments totaling £30 million and £45 million, respectively.

Net cash provided by financing activities of £496 million in fiscal 2002 was primarily due to proceeds from borrowings under term loans of £981 million, partially offset by net repayments under short-term borrowings of £206 million, restricted cash transferred to secured accounts of £191 million and dividends paid of £95 million.

Research and Development

In fiscal 2004, prior to the financial restructuring, group companies spent £30 million on research and development, or R&D. The majority of the R&D investment continued to be focused on the three main product areas: Optical Networks, Access Networks and BBRS.

We expended approximately £284 million, or approximately 18% of revenues, on R&D, in our Network Equipment and Network Services segments in fiscal 2003 and £487 million, or approximately 15% of revenues in fiscal 2002. We funded the entire amount.

Optical networks accounted for our largest product portfolio and generated the largest revenue base. Optical networks R&D expenditure accounted for almost 40% of our total R&D expenditure during fiscal 2003 as opposed to approximately 34% for fiscal 2002. R&D projects had been selected on the basis that they are expected to yield a higher overall return.

Table of Contents

The BBRS business accounted for approximately 23% of our total R&D expenditure in both fiscal 2003 and 2002. Over half of this expenditure was focused on the development of our new multi-service core switch, the BXR-48000. In November 2002, we demonstrated our ability to support the transport of encrypted high-speed data and high definition videos streams over the BXR-48000 using our newly developed 10 Gbps OC-192c ATM interface card.

R&D expenditure across our European Access and North American Access businesses combined, accounted for approximately 24% of our total R&D expenditure in fiscal 2003 as opposed to approximately 31% in fiscal 2002. During the first calendar quarter of 2002, we carried out an in-depth review of our complete portfolio of access solutions. This review was based on an evaluation of the forecast levels and timing of returns on investment and the cash generation potential of each product line. Following the review, we streamlined our portfolio of access technologies and refocused our R&D expenditure.

The remaining R&D investment in fiscal 2002 related mainly to OPP products and wireless software.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

As at March 31, 2004, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues, expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

TABULAR DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

We have no contractual obligations of the type requiring tabular disclosure under Item 5.F.

Item 6: Directors, Senior Management and Employees**DIRECTORS AND SENIOR MANAGEMENT****Directors**

The current members of our board of directors are:

| Name | Age | Title |
|--------------------------|-----|----------|
| John Jameson White | 66 | Chairman |
| Christopher James Shaw | 51 | Director |
| Richard Anthony Robinson | 56 | Director |

The business address of John White, Christopher Shaw and Richard Robinson is 8 Salisbury Square, London EC4Y 8BB, United Kingdom.

John Jameson White was appointed Chairman of our board of directors in August 2003. Mr. White, who is a solicitor, became a partner with the law firm CMS Cameron McKenna in 1964 having joined the firm in 1957. During his period with the firm, he became the first Chairman of the CMS European Banking Group.

Christopher James Shaw was appointed to our board of directors in August 2003. Mr. Shaw became a licensed insolvency practitioner in 1987. He has worked for KPMG LLP since May 1989 as a senior manager in their corporate recovery department, primarily on members voluntary liquidations.

Richard Anthony Robinson was appointed to our board of directors in September 2003. He is a Chartered Accountant and is currently working as a consultant. Previously he held various finance appointments within Marconi Corporation plc (previously The General Electric Company, p.l.c.) between 1987 to 1991 and from 1993 to 2003, latterly as VP Corporate Finance. He spent 2 years working for Guinness PLC between 1991 and 1993. He is also a Director of Plessey Holdings Ltd, Osram AS and Torro Advisors Ltd.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers**

Kevin David Smith was appointed company secretary in August 2003. Mr. Smith is a chartered secretary and was previously company secretary at Kalamazoo Computer Group plc.

Board and Management Changes

On May 19, 2003, David Clive Beck, Geoffrey William Doy, Damian Hugh Reid and Neil David Sutcliffe resigned from their positions with the Company. Mary Skelly resigned from her position as company secretary in August 2003.

Mr. M K Atkinson, Mr. D C Bonham, Mr. J F Devaney and Mr. W K Koepf resigned as directors of the Company on May 19, 2003. Mr. M W J Parton and Mr. M J Donovan resigned as directors of the Company on August 4, 2003. Mr. C C Holden resigned as a director of the Company on September 25, 2003.

COMPENSATION

The names of our current directors appear in the table at the beginning of this section. The following table shows emoluments paid or payable to all directors of the company as a group for the period to March 31, 2004.

| | 2004 | 2003 |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| | £ 000 | £ 000 |
| Directors' emoluments | 338 | 4,751 |
| Amounts receivable under long-term incentive schemes | | |
| Company contributions to money purchase pension schemes | 61 | 110 |
| Amounts paid to third parties in respect of directors' services | 7 | |

The aggregate of emoluments and amounts receivable under long-term incentive schemes of the highest paid director was £113,000 (March 31, 2003: £1,323,000), and company pension contributions of £61,000 (March 31, 2003: £nil) were made to a money purchase scheme on his behalf. He is also a member of a defined benefit scheme, under which his accrued pension at the year end was £29,000 (March 31, 2003: £7,000), and his accrued lump sum was £266,000 (March 31, 2003: £57,000).

During the year two directors received benefits under a defined benefit scheme. In addition one director received benefits under a money purchase scheme. There are no pension arrangements in place for any of the current directors.

The emoluments for all our directors and executive officers are in respect of full years or, where appropriate, from their dates of appointment until their date of resignation.

BOARD PRACTICES**General**

Our board currently comprises a chairman and two directors, one of whom acts as the company's Chief Financial Officer. The board also acts as the company's audit committee. There are no other board committees.

The board meets as and when circumstances require. Prior to the financial restructuring of the Marconi Group in May 2003, the board met on a regular basis principally to consider matters relating to the financial restructuring. Since that time, the board has met on four occasions to discharge its statutory and regulatory obligations and to consider matters relating to the company's scheme of arrangement.

The periods during which the current directors have served are given above, in *Directors and Senior Management*. Each director has been appointed for an initial term of two years, with the possibility that the

Table of Contents

appointment may be renewed for a further year if the rest of the board agrees. The directors' service contracts provide that upon termination, the directors are not entitled to any fee, compensation or other payment in respect of the period after the termination date.

EMPLOYEES

In fiscal 2003, we had an average of 21,000 employees. All of our employees were transferred to Marconi Corporation plc as a result of our restructuring on May 19, 2003. The company currently has no employees.

SHARE OWNERSHIP

The following table shows the interests of directors in ordinary shares of 5 pence each in M (2003) plc:

| | On Appointment | Acquired | Disposed | At March 31, 2004 |
|--------------|-------------------|----------|----------|----------------------|
| R A Robinson | 11,442 | nil | nil | 11,442 |
| C J Shaw | nil | nil | nil | nil |
| J J White | nil | nil | nil | nil |

R A Robinson had the following interests in share options as a result of his previous employment within the Marconi Group. There are no circumstances under which any value will be attributable to these share options:

| Plan | On Appointment | Granted | Exercised | Lapsed | At March 31, 2004 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|--------|----------------------|
| The Marconi Launch Plan | 1,000 | nil | nil | nil | 1,000 |
| The Marconi Long Term Incentive Plan | 15,164 | nil | nil | nil | 15,164 |
| Total | 16,164 | nil | nil | nil | 16,164 |

Item 7: Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions**MAJOR SHAREHOLDERS**

Trading in our shares on the London Stock Exchange ceased on May 16, 2003, and our shares were subsequently delisted.

As at October 6, 2004, there were 704 registered holders of our American depositary receipts. Each ADR issued represents two ordinary shares. Of these registered ADR holders, 681 have addresses in the United States. One of the registered ADR holders is The Depository Trust Company, which represents the total number of ADRs held in book-entry form. The ADR holders collectively held 98,775,470 ADRs, or approximately 7.1% of our total issued share capital as at October 6, 2004.

To our knowledge, there are no holders of 5% or more of the ordinary shares in M (2003) plc as at October 6, 2004.

To our knowledge, we are not owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by another corporation, by any foreign government or by any other natural or legal person or persons, severally or jointly.

To our knowledge, other than our recent restructuring described herein, there are no arrangements the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in us undergoing a change in control.

Table of Contents

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The following is a summary of certain transactions with related parties, which have been entered into or which have been in effect in the period since April 1, 2003.

Financial Restructuring Arrangements

Until the completion of our financial restructuring on May 19, 2003, M (2003) plc was the parent company of the Marconi group.

In connection with and to facilitate our financial restructuring, we entered into a number of intra-group restructuring arrangements with Marconi Corporation plc and other former group companies. The two primary agreements were the scheme implementation deed and the statement and waiver of intercompany balances agreement.

Scheme Implementation Deed

Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, E-A Continental Limited, Ancrane (formerly Ancrane Limited), Marconi Nominees Limited, British Sealed Beams Limited and various other former group companies entered into the scheme implementation deed on March 27, 2003. The primary purpose of the scheme implementation deed was to ensure that legally binding arrangements were in place to govern the rights and obligations between Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc in implementing our restructuring.

Non-voting undertakings

Ancrane, a subsidiary of M (2003) plc, had various claims against Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc. Ancrane undertook not to attend or vote or to use any other rights or powers available to it as a creditor at any of the scheme of arrangement meetings at which it was entitled to attend and vote. Ancrane also undertook not to take any steps to canvass, solicit or entice any other person, firm or company to attend and/or vote on its behalf at any of those meetings. These arrangements did not however prevent Ancrane from submitting a claim under either or both of the Marconi Corporation plc scheme of arrangement and the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement.

Marconi Corporation plc had a claim against M (2003) plc and undertook not to attend or vote at the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement meeting nor submit a form of proxy with respect to its claim. This did not, however, prevent Marconi Corporation plc from submitting a claim under the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement.

Certain other former group companies and British Sealed Beams Limited had various claims against Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc and therefore also undertook not to attend or vote at the scheme of arrangement meetings or use any other rights or powers available to them as a scheme of arrangement creditor to attend or vote at any of the scheme of arrangement meetings at which they were entitled to attend and vote.

Ancrane/ E-A Continental arrangements

E-A Continental Limited, a subsidiary of Marconi Corporation plc, owed M (2003) plc approximately £219 million and had a receivable of approximately £363 million due from Marconi Corporation plc. Pursuant to a deed of assignment entered into on March 27, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and E-A Continental Limited, Marconi Corporation plc agreed to the assignment by E-A Continental Limited to M (2003) plc of its £363 million receivable. The assignment of the £363 million receivable was in consideration for the release by M (2003) plc of the £219 million owed to M (2003) plc by E-A Continental Limited and the transfer by E-A Continental Limited to M (2003) plc of the balance of approximately £2,000,000 held on account with HSBC. On March 27, 2003, a deed of assignment was entered into between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and Ancrane under which M (2003) plc agreed to the assignment of the £363 million receivable to Ancrane in consideration for the issue to M (2003) plc by Ancrane of a share at a premium equal to the market value of the £363 million receivable.

Table of Contents

Ancrane re-registered as an unlimited company pursuant to section 49 of the U.K. Companies Act 1985 on March 25, 2003. Ancrane reduced its share capital, including for this purpose its share premium account, to £100 to enable it to make a repayment of capital in specie to M (2003) plc of its interest in all of its assets, save for £100 and the benefit of a specific covenant by Marconi Corporation plc, and made a repayment of such capital in specie to M (2003) plc.

Approvals

Marconi Corporation plc's shareholders, M (2003) plc and Marconi Nominees Limited, agreed to vote in favor of all shareholder resolutions which were in the reasonable opinion of the Marconi Corporation plc Board, necessary or desirable to give effect to the Marconi Corporation plc scheme of arrangement, and to consent in writing to each and every variation of the rights attached to their respective shareholdings in Marconi Corporation plc as may be involved in the passing and implementation of such shareholder resolutions and to take such actions as necessary to implement the restructuring and so as not to frustrate, delay or interfere with the performance, approval or implementation of the restructuring.

BAE Systems Plc (BAE) Deed of Novation

In 1999, Marconi Corporation plc, which was, at the time, named The General Electric Company, p.l.c., separated its international aerospace, naval shipbuilding, defence electronics and defence systems business and sold it to BAE. The original transaction agreement and payment deed were entered into between Marconi Corporation plc and BAE and subsequently novated from Marconi Corporation plc to M (2003) plc. All other transaction documentation in relation to the sale was entered into by M (2003) plc.

Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc agreed to novate the transaction agreement, payment deed and various other agreements from M (2003) plc back to Marconi Corporation plc with effect from the date of the effectiveness of Marconi Corporation plc's scheme of arrangement. Both Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc agreed to use all reasonable endeavours to procure that BAE entered into the novation.

In addition, M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc and BAE agreed that no amount should be paid by M (2003) plc or Marconi Corporation plc to BAE in relation to certain claims made by the parties under the BAE merger agreements and that Marconi Corporation plc would reduce any amounts which may be payable to it in the future by BAE under the BAE merger agreements by U.S.\$18,600,000.

Finmeccanica Guarantee

Under a share purchase agreement dated August 2, 2002, Marconi (Bruton Street) Limited sold all of the shares in Marconi Mobile Holdings SpA, the Italian holding company for the strategic communications business, to Finmeccanica SpA. A parent company guarantee in favour of Finmeccanica SpA was required to be given as part of the share sale, the Finmeccanica guarantee. M (2003) plc was therefore party to the share purchase agreement, as guarantor, pursuant to which, among other things, it guaranteed the performance by Marconi (Bruton Street) Limited of its obligations under the share purchase agreement and the related transaction documents, including a tax covenant, a transitional services agreement, a custody deed and a disclosure letter.

Finmeccanica SpA, Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc entered into a deed of novation and amendment, the Finmeccanica guarantee deed of novation, under which, with effect from May 19, 2003, the Finmeccanica guarantee and all other remaining obligations of M (2003) plc under the share purchase agreement would be novated from M (2003) plc to Marconi Corporation plc. As a result of that novation, Marconi Corporation plc became the guarantor of Marconi (Bruton Street) Limited's obligations under the share purchase agreement and related transaction documentation, and assumed all other obligations of M (2003) plc under the share purchase agreement, and M (2003) plc was released from all of its obligations under the Finmeccanica guarantee and the share purchase agreement. Marconi Corporation plc's obligations under the share purchase agreement, including the Finmeccanica guarantee, were excluded obligations for the purposes of the Marconi Corporation plc scheme of arrangement.

Table of Contents

Lemelson Licence

Under an agreement between M (2003) plc and Lemelson Medical, Education and Research Foundation, Limited Partnership, the Lemelson Foundation Partnership, dated December 1, 1999, Lemelson Foundation Partnership granted to M (2003) plc for itself and the benefit of its subsidiaries a non-exclusive licence for certain licensed patents relating principally to bar coding, the Lemelson agreement.

Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc agreed to novate the existing Lemelson agreement to Marconi Corporation plc and agreed to use all reasonable endeavours to procure that Lemelson Foundation Partnership entered into the novation.

Litigation

In the event that either Marconi Corporation plc or M (2003) plc becomes aware of anything which is likely to give rise to a claim or threat of litigation to it or the other entity, it shall inform the other of the relevant information as soon as possible and shall assist, so far as reasonably practicable, in investigating and defending the claim. In addition, Marconi Corporation plc is entitled to require M (2003) plc to take such steps and proceedings as Marconi Corporation plc believes is necessary to defend such proceedings and M (2003) plc shall not admit any liability or agree any compromise of such actions without Marconi Corporation plc's prior written consent.

Marconi Name

Marconi Corporation plc agreed with effect from May 19, 2003 to license the Marconi name to M (2003) plc for an initial period of twelve months. The licence is non-exclusive, non-transferable and royalty free. M (2003) plc has covenanted to use the Marconi name solely as its corporate name and not for any other purpose

On October 21, 2003, Marconi plc changed its name to M (2003) plc.

Tax

Marconi Corporation plc agreed to surrender up to a maximum of £200 million of relief to Ancrane and M (2003) plc.

M (2003) plc also undertook to enter into an election with Marconi Corporation plc and companies in the former group, the effect of which was the surrender of capital losses of approximately £15 billion to companies in the former group. Marconi Corporation plc agreed to make payments to M (2003) plc as and when it or a former subsidiary chooses to use these losses or one of the transferees of the losses is sold out of the Marconi group with unutilised capital losses.

Marconi Corporation plc agreed to pay corporation tax on behalf of M (2003) plc and Ancrane to the extent that it arises in their accounting periods commencing April 1, 2003 in respect of foreign exchange movements in relation to Marconi Corporation plc's notes and an inter-company loan to Highrose Limited.

Highrose Debt

Ancrane and M (2003) plc have agreed, with effect from May 19, 2003, to release Highrose Limited, a subsidiary of Marconi Corporation plc, from its obligation to repay an inter-company loan of approximately £24 million to Ancrane.

Intercompany Trade Balances

At the date of the scheme implementation deed, M (2003) plc was owed intra-group trade receivables from five Marconi Corporation plc subsidiaries in an aggregate amount of £5,540,623. M (2003) plc assigned the benefit of these intra-group trade receivables to Marconi Corporation plc in consideration for Marconi Corporation plc reducing the balance of £165,748,102 due to it from M (2003) plc by an amount of £19,160,663.

Table of Contents

Counter Indemnities and Waivers

Marconi Corporation plc agreed to indemnify M (2003) plc for any claims, including related costs, against M (2003) plc from Marconi Communications Limited arising as a result of any payment made by Marconi Communications Limited in settling M (2003) plc's obligations under the contracts and termination of employment of Robert Meakin and Stephen Hare.

Marconi Corporation plc also agreed to meet certain costs and expenses of various professional advisers in relation to their restructuring, and irrevocably and unconditionally waived any right of counter indemnity or right of reimbursement or other claim against M (2003) plc in relation thereto, whether arising under contract, operation of law or otherwise.

ESOP Escrow Agreement Release

Following payment by Marconi Corporation plc of all payments pursuant to the ESOP settlement agreement, M (2003) plc agreed to unconditionally and irrevocably release all of its claims against its former subsidiaries to the extent that they relate to the ESOP derivative transactions. Upon such release by M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc unconditionally and irrevocably released any claims that it had against M (2003) plc arising from Marconi Corporation plc's funding of Bedell Cristin Trustees in respect of cash collateral calls from the ESOP derivatives banks.

M (2003) plc Waivers

M (2003) plc irrevocably and unconditionally agreed to waive and release Marconi Corporation plc and each other company within the Marconi group from any claim it may have against those former group companies which arises out of any matter or circumstance existing on or before May 19, 2003 other than any claim set out in the statement and waiver agreement that is expressed to continue, notwithstanding the terms of that agreement, and any other claim intended to be excluded by the terms of either scheme of arrangement or contemplated by the scheme implementation deed or the transactions contemplated by the scheme implementation deed.

M (2003) plc agreed that the distribution of consideration to its creditors in respect of any guarantee or indemnity given by M (2003) plc of any other former group company in connection with the schemes of arrangement, including M (2003) plc's guarantee of Marconi Corporation plc Bonds that were outstanding prior to being compromised pursuant to the restructuring, will not give rise to any counter indemnity or right of reimbursement or other claim by M (2003) plc against the relevant former group company.

Statement and Waiver

Each of M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc and certain other former group companies agreed to enter into the statement and waiver of inter-company balances agreement disclosed below.

M (2003) plc Scheme Expenses

Marconi Corporation plc agreed to procure the issue of a letter of credit, under its performance bonding facility, in an amount of £2 million in favor of the supervisors of the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement from time to time for them to draw on in relation to any ongoing costs. Marconi Corporation plc agreed to waive any right to reimbursement against M (2003) plc arising as a result of any payment made by Marconi Corporation plc as a result of any drawings by the supervisors under such letter of credit. In the event that Marconi Corporation plc was unable to procure the issue of the letter of credit, it undertook to provide the sum of £2 million for the supervisors for drawing in relation to any ongoing costs on similar terms to those set out in the scheme implementation deed and the performance bonding facility agreement in relation to the letter of credit.

After entering into this agreement, Marconi Corporation plc procured the issue of the letter of credit referred to above. The letter of credit was subsequently released because the supervisors of the scheme of arrangement were satisfied that it was no longer required.

Table of Contents

Statement and Waiver Agreement

To effect a clean up of existing inter-company claims owed to or by Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc entered into a statement and waiver of intercompany balances agreement with some other former Marconi group companies.

The effect of the statement and waiver agreement was to preserve all known and stated claims existing between (a) Marconi Corporation plc or M (2003) plc and (b) the participating group companies, and to waive all other claims which arose by reference to circumstances existing prior to our restructuring.

Under the statement and waiver agreement the following intra-group claims were preserved as between (a) Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc and (b) the participating group companies:

disclosed intra-group loan balances in existence as at December 31, 2002 plus interest accrued at such applicable commercial rate of interest as agreed between the parties to the respective loan;

any intra-group loan made on or after January 1, 2003 in the ordinary and usual course of business or with certain previously agreed creditor consent, including interest accrued at such applicable commercial rate of interest as agreed between the parties to the respective loan;

any trading and current account liabilities in existence as at March 31, 2002, in the case of any participating group company which is a trading or an active non-trading company, or September 30, 2002, in the case of any dormant participating group company. These liabilities are determined by reference to the management accounts upon which the audited consolidated financial accounts of M (2003) plc, as at March 31, 2002 or September 30, 2002, as applicable, were prepared;

any trading and current account liabilities incurred in the ordinary and usual course of business after March 31, 2002 between (a) Marconi Corporation plc and/or M (2003) plc and (b) any participating group company which is a trading or an active non-trading company;

any counter indemnity or equivalent reimbursement obligation, which is written or is implied by law and whether or not contingent, arising under any financial guarantee or indemnity, which is written or is implied by law, and is: (a) in favor of any third party which is not a member of the Marconi group, including the issuer of any performance bond, bank guarantee or similar instrument, and (b) in respect of any contractual obligations of the provider of the counter indemnity or equivalent; provided that where any payment has been made under such a guarantee or indemnity on or before March 31, 2002, the resultant counter indemnity shall not be preserved;

any counter indemnity or equivalent reimbursement obligation, which is written or is implied by law and whether or not contingent, arising under any written non-financial guarantee or indemnity, which is written or implied by law, and is: (a) in favor of any person which is not a member of the Marconi group, and (b) in respect of any contractual or implied by law obligations of the provider of the counter indemnity or equivalent and (c) disclosed in a schedule to the statement and waiver agreement; provided that where any payment has been made under such a guarantee or indemnity on or before March 31, 2002, the resultant counter indemnity shall not be preserved; and

any other claims specified in the agreement.

All other claims of Marconi Corporation plc or M (2003) plc against each participating group company and all other claims of each participating group companies against Marconi Corporation plc or M (2003) plc were released after our restructuring. The statement and waiver agreement does not affect claims which arise out of or in relation to any matter or circumstance arising after the financial restructuring. However, M (2003) plc has not entered into any related party transactions since the restructuring.

Table of Contents**Item 8: Financial Information****LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

Under the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement, any and all legal claims against M (2003) plc as at March 27, 2003, whether liquidated or unliquidated, or actual or contingent, were compromised. Therefore, there are no circumstances under which any of these claims will result in liability for M (2003) plc. Certain of these claims, however, may result in payments by the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement. Where such a claim is pending or threatened and may have or has had in the recent past, including at least the 12 months immediately preceding the date of this annual report, a significant effect on the financial position of the scheme as a whole, this is set out below. Where a liquidated sum is claimed, a *de minimis* figure of £5 million has been applied in determining which claims may have a significant effect. The figures given are the full amounts claimed by the claimants in each case, which may be much greater than the amounts the claimants realistically believe they can recover. We and our other former group companies intend to defend claims vigorously. While we believe that we have meritorious defenses, the duration and outcome of the litigation are not predictable at this point.

The following represents the largest recent or outstanding claims made against us:

Systems Management Specialists, Inc., or SMS, is a defendant in a demand for arbitration brought by Esprit de Corp, or Esprit, in April 2002. This action relates to two outsourcing agreements entered into by Esprit and SMS in 1995 and 1999; Esprit alleges that SMS breached its obligations under the agreements and is seeking damages in range of U.S.\$8.8-U.S.\$18.2 million. M (2003) plc was originally a party to the arbitration demand under a legal theory alleging that SMS and M (2003) plc are alter egos of one another. In April 2002, M (2003) plc filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, to enjoin Esprit's attempt to proceed in arbitration against M (2003) plc. In June, 2002, Esprit and M (2003) plc filed a joint stipulation to stay and enjoin the arbitration preceding as to M (2003) plc. In July 2002, M (2003) plc filed a motion to dismiss Esprit's claims and a motion to stay the federal court proceeding until after the arbitration between SMS and Esprit concluded. The Court denied M (2003) plc's motion to dismiss, but granted M (2003) plc's motion to stay, and, therefore, Esprit will be permitted to proceed on its claims against M (2003) plc only after the arbitration between SMS and Esprit is completed, and only to the extent Esprit prevails on any of its claims in the arbitration against SMS. The parties had agreed to conduct the arbitration hearing between October 13-24, 2003, and significant discovery had taken place. Beginning in September 2003, the parties reached a settlement in principle in the U.S.\$710,000-U.S.\$725,000 range, payable to Esprit in exchange for a full release and settlement of the actions. On September 28, 2004 the company and Scheme Supervisors finally reached agreement with Esprit.

Marconi Corporation plc and Marconi Commerce Systems Inc., or MCSI, are defendants in an action brought by a former employee, Larry Anthony Gillus, or Gillus. The complaint alleges that Gillus suffered racial discrimination and subsequent retaliatory action whilst employed by Gilbarco, subsequently known as MCSI, which has now been sold to subsidiaries of Danaher Corporation plc. A second claim has been brought against M (2003) plc and MCSI for retaliation and intentional infliction of emotional distress alleged to have occurred after he brought the original action. Additionally, on September 10, 2003, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to add a breach of employment contract claim and injunctive relief. Gillus' counsel has in the past stated that he is seeking a total of U.S.\$19 million in respect of both claims. Potential liabilities in respect of the claim against Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc were compromised pursuant to its restructuring. As a result, on November 5, 2003, Gillus voluntarily dismissed without prejudice Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc from the cases, thereby retaining his right to bring the same claim against the defendants in the future. Discovery has been completed with respect to the remaining defendant. On August 5, 2004, the Court issued an order that trial shall not be scheduled prior to February 1, 2005. On August 16, 2004, MCSI filed Motions for Summary Judgment in both

Table of Contents

actions. On September 13, 2004, Gillus filed a Brief in Opposition to MCSI's Motions for Summary Judgment in both actions. MCSI's Reply Briefs are due on October 4, 2004.

Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, Marconi Inc. and Marconi Data Systems Inc. are defendants in an action brought by a former employee, Thomas Edeus, or Edeus. The complaint asserts three causes of action; firstly that Edeus was unlawfully deprived of benefits to which he was entitled under Marconi Data Systems Inc.'s United States severance plan; secondly for failure to provide Edeus with a summary plan description relating to the severance plan; and thirdly for age discrimination in employment. The plaintiff has purported to have made out claims in various specified amounts totaling over U.S.\$901,000, some of which may be in the alternative, and also unspecified punitive damages, liquidated damages and front and back pay, making the impact of this claim on us and the former group difficult to assess. An answer and affirmative defenses have been filed on behalf of all defendants. On June 5, 2003, the court entered an order providing as follows: Plaintiff having advised the court that one or more defendants [sic] are in Bankruptcy, this action is placed on the court's [suspense] calendar pending disposition of the bankruptcy case. Plaintiff is directed to file a notice for hearing a motion to reinstate upon disposition of the bankruptcy proceedings. Potential liabilities in respect of the claim against Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc were compromised pursuant to our restructuring.

M (2003) plc and four of its former officers were defendants in a consolidated class action lawsuit pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania brought on behalf of a putative class of all persons, other than defendants and their respective affiliates, who purchased American depository receipts or were United States residents and purchased common stock of M (2003) plc between April 10, 2001 and July 5, 2001, inclusive. Plaintiffs alleged that, during this period, M (2003) plc and the individual defendants falsely reassured investors that Marconi's revenues would rise during the year and that its geographic and business mix left it relatively immune to the economic downturn affecting its competitors. Plaintiffs further alleged that on July 4, 2001 defendants belatedly disclosed that tougher trading conditions in the quarter ended June 30, 2001 indicated that M (2003) plc's sales and operating profits for fiscal 2002 would fall significantly from the levels previously estimated. Defendants' alleged misrepresentations were said to violate the anti-fraud provisions of Section 10(b) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, as to the individual defendants, Section 20(a) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Plaintiffs sought class certification, an award of unspecified damages, counsel and expert fees and other costs of suit and other unspecified relief. All defendants filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit, which by opinion and order dated September 18, 2002, was granted in part and denied in part. The Court ruled that it had no jurisdiction over claims of holders of Marconi ordinary shares not residents of the United States, but had jurisdiction over claims of American resident owners of ordinary shares and owners of ADRs. The parties agreed to a settlement, which resulted in M (2003) plc and the Marconi group not making a payment to the claimants. The court approved the settlement and entered the final order and judgment on January 16, 2004. No appeals were filed and the settlement is now final. The lawsuit has been dismissed.

In April 2002, 11 former employees of Ten Square Inc. brought a claim against directors of their company for fraud in reducing their compensation package before liquidating the company and restarting it under a different name. The claim was for a total of \$2,160,050.91. The plaintiffs alleged that M (2003) plc was a director of Ten Square Inc. although in fact M (2003) plc only had a right to appoint a director, a right M (2003) plc had not recently exercised. Marconi Ventures was also named as a plaintiff on September 9, 2002. The plaintiffs did not serve proceedings upon M (2003) plc and on October 24, 2002 an order for the dismissal of the claim against M (2003) plc and Marconi Ventures was entered. However, M (2003) plc was named in the second amended complaint which was filed on December 24, 2002. M (2003) plc is aware of the action but has not been served and is not yet a party to it. Potential liabilities in respect of the claim against M (2003) plc have been compromised pursuant to the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement.

Table of Contents

Since March 27, 2003, we are not and have not been engaged in, nor, so far as we are aware, do we have pending or threatened by or against us, any additional legal or arbitration proceedings which may have or have had a significant effect on our financial position as a whole.

DIVIDEND POLICY

As a result of its scheme of arrangement, M (2003) plc does not anticipate making any dividends or distributions to its shareholders. See Key Information Risk Factors As a result of our restructuring, we have ceased business operations and intend to dispose of our remaining assets for the benefit of our creditors .

SIGNIFICANT CHANGES

There has been no significant change in our financial position since March 31, 2004.

Item 9: The Offer and Listing**STOCK PRICE HISTORY/MARKETS**

From November 30, 1999, the ordinary shares of M (2003) plc were listed on the London Stock Exchange. On May 16, 2003, trading in the ordinary shares of M (2003) plc on the London Stock Exchange ceased and delisting followed. On October 17, 2000, the ADRs of M (2003) plc were added to quotation on the NASDAQ National Market, and on July 3, 2002, they were removed from quotation on the NASDAQ National Market and began trading on the over-the-counter bulletin board in the United States. The following table summarizes information regarding prices and trading of the M (2003) plc ordinary shares on the London Stock Exchange and the ADRs on the NASDAQ National Market and the over-the-counter bulletin board for the periods indicated:

| | London Stock Exchange (Sterling pence) | | ADRs (US dollars) | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------|----------------------|------|
| | High | Low | High | Low |
| Fiscal Year | | | | |
| April 1, 2000 to March 31, 2001 | 1250.00 | 340.00 | | |
| April 1, 2001 to March 31, 2002 | 424.00 | 6.25 | 12.85 | 0.18 |
| April 1, 2002 to March 31, 2003 | 12.55 | 1.27 | 0.44 | 0.04 |
| April 1, 2003 to March 31, 2004 | 1.80(*) | 0.60(*) | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| Fiscal Quarter | | | | |
| April 1, 2002 to June 30, 2002 | 12.55 | 3.95 | 0.44 | 0.12 |
| July 1, 2002 to September 30, 2002 | 4.10 | 1.27 | 0.14 | 0.05 |
| October 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002 | 2.54 | 1.43 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| January 1, 2003 to March 31, 2003 | 2.44 | 1.56 | 0.09 | 0.05 |
| April 1, 2003 to June 30, 2003 | 1.80(*) | 0.60(*) | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| July 1, 2003 to September 30, 2003 | | | 0.18 | 0.07 |
| October 1, 2003 to December 31, 2003 | | | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| January 1, 2004 to March 31, 2004 | | | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| Month | | | | |
| April 2004 | | | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| May 2004 | | | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| June 2004 | | | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| July 2004 | | | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| August 2004 | | | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| September 2004 | | | 0.01 | 0.01 |

* To May 16, 2003, which was the last day of dealings in M (2003) plc shares

Table of Contents

On April 11, 2003, the U.K. Financial Services Authority released a public statement concerning a contravention of the U.K. Listing Rules by M (2003) plc in July 2001. That public statement concluded that M (2003) plc had breached paragraph 9.2(c) of the U.K. Listing Rules when it failed to notify the Company Announcement Office without delay of a change in its expected performance for the half year ending September 2001 and full year ending March 2002.

Item 10: Additional Information

MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

Please see our annual report on Form 20-F for the period to March 31, 2003 for a description of our memorandum and articles of association.

MATERIAL CONTRACTS

The following is a summary of material contracts to which we or any member of our former group is or was a party and which were entered into in the past two years. The exhibit list in Item 19. Exhibits indicates which of these contracts are filed with this annual report and which of these contracts have already been filed with the SEC. Directions on how to obtain copies of these contracts are provided under Documents on Display .

General

Settlement Deed dated December 19, 2002 between RT Group Telecom Services Limited (RTSL), RT Group plc, Marconi Corporation plc, Ultramast Limited, James Smith and Nicholas Dargan.

The shares in Ultramast Limited held by Marconi Corporation plc have been cancelled through a capital reduction and a repayment of capital has been made to Marconi Corporation plc. The Settlement Deed contains several indemnities: (1) Marconi Corporation plc agreed to indemnify Ultramast Limited in respect of two employees seconded from our former group; this indemnity is capped at £300,000; (2) Marconi Corporation plc agreed to indemnify RT Group Telecom Services Limited in relation to a contract between Ente Sardo e Fognature and Ultramast Limited; this indemnity is capped at £1.68 million; and (3) Marconi Corporation plc agreed to indemnify RT Group Telecom Services Limited and Ultramast Limited in respect of a side letter between M (2003) plc and British Waterways Board (BWB), now known as British Waterways; this indemnity is capped at £10 million.

Agreement dated November 4, 2002 between UBS AG (UBS) and Marconi Corporation plc related to the payment of interest on certain interest rate swap transactions.

Pursuant to this agreement Marconi Corporation plc paid an amount of U.S.\$4,388,542 to UBS, a true-up payment, being a pro-rata portion, by reference to the period from May 3, 2002 to October 15, 2002, of the contractual payment due from Marconi Corporation plc to UBS under an interest rate swap in respect of the period from May 3, 2002 to November 4, 2002. This pro-rata payment was consistent with the heads of terms.

Following the making of the true-up payment, UBS unilaterally terminated the interest rate swap, as a result of which a termination sum of U.S.\$30,950,000 became payable by Marconi Corporation plc to UBS. With effect from March 25, 2003 until Marconi's restructuring, each of the unpaid portion of the contractual payment (U.S.\$531,944.11), the unpaid portion, and the termination sum was payable on demand. The termination sum had accrued interest from November 4, 2002 at a rate of 2.6525% per annum. The liabilities of Marconi Corporation plc in respect of the unpaid portion and the termination sum were compromised pursuant to its financial restructuring.

Table of Contents**Financial Restructuring Related Agreements*****Scheme Implementation Deed dated March 27, 2003, (SID) between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc, Ancrane, E-A Continental Limited, Marconi Nominees Limited, British Sealed Beams Limited and various other group companies.***

The purpose of the SID was to put in place the legally binding arrangements which govern the rights and obligations between, *inter alia*, M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc in implementing the restructuring. Pursuant to the SID, Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, E-A Continental Limited, Ancrane, Marconi Nominees Limited, British Sealed Beams Limited and various other group companies agreed to perform certain obligations and undertook not to do certain acts including, but not limited to, approving all shareholder resolutions necessary or desirable to give effect to our restructuring, assigning or novating certain guarantee obligations and/or license agreements, providing all reasonable assistance and information and undertaking all reasonable acts and deeds to give effect to the assignment of certain intellectual property, making certain intra-group tax loss and group relief surrenders and providing certain tax indemnities. Ancrane also agreed to make a repayment of capital in specie to M (2003) plc of its assets, other than £100. Marconi Corporation plc also agreed to procure the issue of a letter of credit, under our performance bonding facility, in an amount of £2 million in favor of the M (2003) plc scheme supervisors from time to time for them to draw on in relation to M (2003) plc's ongoing costs. In the event that Marconi Corporation plc was unable to procure the issue of such letter of credit, it undertook to provide the sum of £2 million for the M (2003) plc scheme supervisors to draw on in relation to M (2003) plc's ongoing costs on similar terms to those set out in the scheme implementation deed and the performance bonding facility agreement in relation to the letter of credit. After entering into this agreement, Marconi Corporation plc procured the issue of the letter of credit. The letter of credit was subsequently released because the supervisors of the scheme of arrangement were satisfied that it was no longer required. Under the terms of the restructuring, the liabilities of Marconi Corporation plc were compromised. Please see Item 7: Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Restructuring Arrangements Scheme Implementation Deed for further information.

Escrow and Distribution Agreement dated March 27, 2003 between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc, Regent Escrow Limited, The Bank of New York, The Law Debenture Trust Corporation plc, Ancrane, Bondholder Communications Group and the Supervisors.

The purpose of the Escrow and Distribution Agreement was to put in place the legally binding arrangements which govern the holding of the financial restructuring proceeds by the escrow trustee after it is issued or delivered on the effective date of each restructuring and the distribution of the restructuring proceeds to scheme creditors and designated recipients by the distribution agent. The scheme consideration was held by the escrow trustee on bare trust and distributed at the direction of the supervisors and, in the case of designated recipients, the trustees for the respective bond issues.

Statement and waiver of intercompany balances agreement dated on or about March 27, 2003 between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc and certain other group companies.

In order to facilitate the effective implementation of the financial restructuring, and in particular to effect a clean up of existing inter-company claims owed to or by Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc and certain other Marconi group companies, Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc entered into a statement and waiver of intercompany balances agreement with certain other Marconi group companies, listed below.

The following Marconi group companies agreed to participate in the statement and waiver agreement:

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| A.B. Dick Holdings Ltd | AEI Furnaces Pty Ltd | Albany Partnership Limited | Ancrane |
| APT Nederlands BV | APT Telecomunicaciones SL | Arrow Ltd | Associated Automation Ltd |
| Associated Electrical Industries (Manchester) Ltd | Associated Electrical Industries Holdings Ltd | Associated Electrical Industries International Limited | Associated Electrical Industries Limited |

Table of Contents

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Associated Electrical Industries Ltd (Now known as Marconi Australia Holdings Pty. Limited) | Beijing Marconi Communications Technology Co Ltd | Bruton Street Investments Limited | Bruton Street Overseas Investments Limited |
| Bruton Street Partnership | Clanville Limited | Combined Electrical Manufacturers Ltd | Coppenhall Nominees Limited |
| Daymo Ltd | EA Continental Limited | Elliot Automation Continental SA | Elliott-Automation Holdings Limited |
| FF Chrestian & Co Ltd | Fore Systems Limitada | Fore Systems Limited | FS Finance Corp |
| FS Holding Corp | GEC (Hong Kong) Limited | GEC of Pakistan Limited | GEC Zambia Limited |
| GPT (Nederland) BV | GPT Consumer Products Ltd | GPT Middle East Limited | GPT Payphone Systems Ltd |
| GPT Reliance Ltd | GPT Special Project Management Limited | Harman Information Technology Pty Ltd | Highrose Limited |
| Krayford Ltd | Larnerway Ltd | Layana Limited | Marconi (Bruton Street) Limited |
| Marconi (DGP1) Limited | Marconi (DGP2) Limited | Marconi (Elliott Automation) Limited | Marconi (Fifteen) Limited |
| Marconi (Fifty-Nine) Ltd | Marconi (Fifty-Three) Ltd | Marconi (Forty-Five) Limited | Marconi (Forty-Four) Ltd |
| Marconi (Forty-Three) Limited | Marconi (Holdings) Limited | Marconi (NCP) Limited | Marconi (Nine) Limited |
| Marconi (Sixteen) Limited | Marconi (Sixty-Nine) Limited | Marconi (Sixty-Two) Ltd | Marconi (Thirteen) Limited |
| Marconi (Thirty-One) Limited | Marconi (Thirty-Two) Limited | Marconi (TLC) Ltd | Marconi (Twenty-Seven) Limited |
| Marconi (WCGL) Unlimited | Marconi Acquisition Corp | Marconi Aerospace Unlimited | Marconi Ansty Limited |
| Marconi Applied Tecnologies SA | Marconi Australia Pty Limited | Marconi Bonding Limited | Marconi Capital Limited |
| Marconi Caswell Developments Limited | Marconi Channel Markets GmbH | Marconi Columbia SA | Marconi Communications (CIS) Limited |
| Marconi Communications AB | Marconi Communications Africa (Pty) Limited | Marconi Communications Argentina SA | Marconi Communications Asia Limited |
| Marconi Communications B.V. (Netherlands) | Marconi Communications BVBA | Marconi Communications Canada Holdings Inc. | Marconi Communications Canada Inc |
| Marconi Communications China Limited | Marconi de Mexico SA de CV | Marconi Communications do Brasil Ltda | Federal Inc Marconi Communications |
| Marconi Communications Global Networks Limited | Marconi Communications GmbH (Germany) | Marconi Communications GmbH (Switzerland) | Marconi Communications Holdings GmbH (Germany) |
| Marconi Communications Holdings Inc. | Marconi Communications Holdings Limited | Marconi Communications Inc | Marconi Communications International Holdings Limited |
| Marconi Communications International Investments Limited | Marconi Communications International Limited | Marconi Communications Investments Limited | Marconi International Limited |
| Marconi Communications Limited (Canada) | Marconi Communications Limited (Ireland) | Marconi Communications Limited (U.K.) | Marconi Communications North America Inc. |
| Marconi Communications Optical Fibres Limited | Marconi Communications Optical Networks Corp | Marconi Communications Optical Networks Limited (Ireland) | Marconi Communications Overseas Services Limited |
| Marconi Communications Real Estate GmbH | Marconi Communications SA (France) | Marconi Communications SARL | Marconi Communications Software Systems GmbH & Co KG |

Table of Contents

| | | | |
|--|---|--|---|
| Marconi Communications Software Systems Ver. GmbH | Marconi Communications South Africa Pty Limited | Marconi Communications South East Asia Pte Ltd | Marconi Communications Technology Inc |
| Marconi Communications Telemulti Limitada | Marconi Communications SpA | Marconi Corporation plc | Marconi Defense Overseas Limited |
| Marconi Finance Inc. | Marconi Finance plc | Marconi Fleet Management Limited | Marconi G.M. Limited |
| Marconi Holdings SpA | Marconi Iberia SA | Marconi Inc | Marconi India Limited |
| Marconi Information Systems Limited | Marconi Insurance Limited | Marconi International SpA | Marconi Middle East (Saudi Arabia) |
| Marconi Middle East LLC (Dubai) | Marconi Mobile Access SpA | Marconi Mobile Systems Limited | Marconi New Zealand Limited |
| Marconi Nominees Limited | Marconi Optical Components Limited | Marconi Photonica Limited | M (2003) plc |
| Marconi Projects Hong Kong Ltd | Marconi Property Ltd | Marconi Software International Inc | Marconi Software Solutions Limited |
| Marconi Sud SpA | Marconi Telecommunications India Private Ltd | Marconi Venezuela CA | MarconiCom Limited |
| McMichael Limited | Metapath Software International (Australia) Pty Ltd | Metapath Software International (France) SA | Metapath Software International (Hong Kong) Limited |
| Metapath Software International (India) | Metapath Software International (U.S) Inc | Metapath Software International AB | Metapath Software International Brasil Ltda |
| Private Limited Metapath Software International Inc. | Metapath Software International Limited | Metapath Software International Nominees Limited | Metropolitan-Vickers Electrical Co Ltd |
| Micro Scope Limited | MNI Tecnologiase e Sistemas de Comunicacao SA | Mobile Systems (Holdings) Ltd | Mobile Systems (U.K.) Ltd |
| Mobile Systems Group Ltd | Mobile Systems International Holdings Limited | Mobile Systems Services Ltd | MSI Cellular Investments (One) Ltd |
| Netscient Limited | Northwood Technologies Inc. | Northwood Technologies Limited | Palmaz Ltd |
| Photonica Limited | Photoniqa Limited | Pyford Limited | Rainford Group Trustees Ltd |
| Rainford Racks Ltd | RELTEC (Coventry) Ltd | RELTEC Mexico SA de CV (now known as Marconi Communications, S.A. de C.V.) | RELTEC Services (U.K.) Limited |
| Robert Stephenson & Hawthorns Ltd | Ronaldi Ltd | Salplex Ltd | SNC Composants & Cie |
| Styles & Mealing Limited | Systems Management Specialists Inc | TCL Projects Limited | Telephone Cables Limited |
| Tetrel Limited | The English Electric Company, Limited | The General Electric Company of Singapore Private Limited (Now known as Marconi Singapore Pte Ltd) | The Kingsway Housing Association Ltd |
| The M-O Valve Co Ltd | The Rotary Engineering Company Limited | The Vulcan Foundry Ltd | Woods of Colchester Housing Society Limited |
| Yeslink Unlimited | Zipbond Ltd | | |

Please see Item 7: Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions, Related Party Transactions, Restructuring Arrangement, Statement and Waiver Agreement for further information.

Table of Contents

Deed of Novation dated March 26, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and BAE SYSTEMS plc (BAE).

Under this deed, all obligations under the MES transaction were novated from M (2003) plc to Marconi Corporation plc with effect from May 19, 2003. In addition, M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc and BAE have agreed that no amount should be paid by M (2003) plc or Marconi Corporation plc to BAE in relation to certain claims made by the parties under the MES transaction and that Marconi Corporation plc will reduce any amounts which may be payable to it in the future by BAE under the MES transaction by U.S.\$18,600,000.

Assumption Agreement and Consent dated March 26, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and Lemelson Medical Education and Research Foundation, Limited Partnership (the Lemelson agreement).

Under this agreement, the Lemelson agreement was novated from M (2003) plc to Marconi Corporation plc.

Deed of Novation and Amendment dated March 26, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and Finmeccanica SpA (Finmeccanica).

Pursuant to this deed, all obligations of M (2003) plc under the share purchase agreement dated August 2, 2002 between M (2003) plc, as guarantor, Marconi (Bruton Street) Limited, as vendor, and Finmeccanica, as purchaser, were novated to Marconi Corporation plc with effect from May 19, 2003.

Sponsors Agreement dated March 31, 2003 between the Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, Lazard Brothers & Co. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Limited.

Under the sponsors agreement, Lazard and Morgan Stanley agreed to act as joint sponsors for Marconi Corporation plc in connection with admission of its ordinary shares to the London Stock Exchange. Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc have given Lazard and Morgan Stanley certain representations and warranties regarding, *inter alia*, the accuracy of information contained in the restructuring disclosure documentation. Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc also gave certain indemnities in relation to the financial restructuring and other indemnities on customary terms against certain liabilities in connection with the accuracy of information contained in the restructuring documentation and certain other documents in connection with admission.

ESOP Escrow Agreement dated December 13, 2002 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, HSBC Bank plc and Barclays Bank PLC (Barclays).

The ESOP Escrow Agreement implements the substantive provisions of the ESOP term sheet concluded on August 28, 2002 and provided the basis on which two escrow accounts could be funded and held pending agreement or determination of Barclays claims, if any, against certain former operating subsidiaries of M (2003) plc in relation to certain potential liabilities. Which escrow account was established depended on whether the restructuring was successful or not. The ESOP Escrow Agreement:

sets out the terms on which approximately £145 million will be held in escrow and creates a framework for resolving Barclays entitlement, if any, to the balance of such cash;

provides for a number of detailed assumptions to assist a court with that determination;

sets out the terms, if our restructuring had not become effective, on which a certain amount of cash would be paid into escrow in accordance with the interim security arrangements and created a framework for resolving Barclays entitlement, if any, to the balance of such cash; and

provides for the release of any claims that M (2003) plc or Barclays may have against each of the former operating subsidiaries under certain funding letters entered into by the operating subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

The terms of the ESOP Escrow Agreement dealing with the money to be held in escrow have been superseded by the ESOP settlement agreement referred to below. In other respects the ESOP Escrow Agreement continues to apply.

ESOP Settlement Agreement dated March 26, 2003 between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc, HSBC Bank plc (HSBC), Barclays, Salomon Brothers International Limited (SBIL), UBS AG (UBS) and Bedell Cristin Trustees Limited (BCT).

On March 26, 2003, Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc reached agreement with the ESOP derivative banks for a settlement of their ESOP derivative related claims against the Marconi group. Under the terms of the settlement, Marconi Corporation plc paid a total of £35 million to the ESOP derivative banks in full and final settlement of their respective ESOP related claims against the Marconi group.

Memorandum of Understanding dated March 25, 2003 between the Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and the United States Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (PBGC).

Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc entered into a legally binding Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC under which the PBGC agreed (a) that it would not take any action in connection with the financial restructurings of Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc to involuntarily terminate either of the two tax-qualified defined benefit pension plans sponsored by Greensboro Associates, Inc., an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Marconi Corporation plc, or the U.S. pension plans, and (b) that it would withdraw any contingent claims filed by the PBGC under the M (2003) plc restructuring as of May 19, 2003. Under the Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC, (a) Marconi Corporation plc or the contributing employers to the U.S. pension plans will make annual contributions to the U.S. pension plans in an amount equal to each U.S. pension plan's respective minimum funding requirements under the applicable, United States statutes, including ERISA, and the U.S. Internal Revenue Code, or, if greater, the respective U.S. pension plan's normal cost, plus an additional U.S.\$9 million per annum payable in quarterly installments of U.S.\$2.25 million commencing as of June 30, 2003, but only to the extent deductible, (b) Marconi Corporation plc will provide a guarantee to the PBGC of the obligations of its subsidiaries in the United States with respect to (x) such subsidiaries' respective obligations to make contributions to the U.S. pension plans as provided in clause (a) of this sentence and, (y) any liability owing to the U.S. pension plans or to the PBGC if either or both of the U.S. pension plans should terminate while such guarantee is in effect. To the extent that any required annual contributions in excess of annual normal cost would result in a credit balance under either of the U.S. pension plans which could otherwise be used to satisfy minimum funding requirements, the Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC significantly limits such usage.

The Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC provides that if Marconi Corporation plc intends to sell any of its business units in the United States to a third-party purchaser whose debt immediately following the consummation of such transaction is not then rated investment grade, no proposed transfer of assets and liabilities of the U.S. pension plans to a pension plan of the third-party purchaser may be made without the consent of the PBGC. To the extent that any sale of a business unit will not include the transfer of the assets and liabilities of the applicable U.S. pension plan to a pension plan of the purchaser of such business unit, Marconi Corporation plc will cause a portion of the proceeds of such business unit sale equal to the net shortfall, if any, under the applicable U.S. pension plan which is attributable to such business unit to be contributed to the applicable U.S. pension plan upon completion of such business unit sale, with the amount to be contributed based on the then applicable PBGC safe harbor assumptions used for plan termination purposes, subject to any applicable limitations under ERISA or the U.S. Internal Revenue Code with respect to deductibility of such contributions or otherwise. The Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC also requires that one of the U.S. pension plans be fully funded or transferred to a purchaser of a business unit upon the occurrence of certain business unit sales.

The Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC further provides that Marconi Corporation plc will consent to jurisdiction in the United States federal district courts and will agree as a contractual matter to be jointly and severally liable with its U.S. subsidiaries which are participating employers in the U.S. pension plans with respect to its obligations under the PBGC Memorandum of Understanding.

Table of Contents

As part of its obligations under the Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC, Marconi Corporation plc will provide, or cause its U.S. subsidiaries to provide, certain specific information relevant to the U.S. pension plans to the PBGC on a regular basis during the term of the Memorandum of Understanding.

Marconi Corporation plc's obligation under this Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC with respect to any U.S. pension plan will cease on the earliest to occur of (a) the date that a U.S. pension plan is terminated in a standard termination under ERISA, or (b) on May 20, 2008 when either (x) such U.S. pension plan has been fully funded on a termination basis for two consecutive years ending on or after the expiration of such five-year period or (y) Marconi Corporation plc's debt is rated investment grade. If Marconi Corporation plc is acquired at any time while the Memorandum of Understanding with the PBGC remains in effect and the acquiror's debt is rated investment grade immediately following such sale, the PBGC will agree to review the acquisition of Marconi Corporation plc in good faith to determine whether the need for its Memorandum of Understanding with Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc still exists and whether such Memorandum of Understanding may then be terminated.

EXCHANGE CONTROLS

There are currently no decrees or regulations under the laws of the United Kingdom restricting the import or export of capital or affecting the remittance of dividends or other payments to holders of M (2003) plc ordinary shares or American depositary shares who are non-residents of the United Kingdom.

TAXATION

United States Federal Income Taxation

The following summary describes material U.S. federal income tax consequences that may be relevant to the acquisition, ownership and disposition of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs. This summary addresses only U.S. federal income tax considerations for holders that hold our ordinary shares and/or ADRs as capital assets. This summary does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all the tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to acquire our ordinary shares and/or ADRs. In particular, this summary does not address tax considerations applicable to holders that may be subject to special tax rules including, without limitation, the following: (a) financial institutions; (b) insurance companies; (c) dealers or traders in securities, currencies or notional principal contracts; (d) tax-exempt entities; (e) persons that will hold our ordinary shares and/or ADRs as part of a hedging or conversion transaction or as a position in a straddle or as part of a synthetic security or other integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes; (f) persons that have a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar; (g) persons that own (or are deemed to own) 10% or more (by voting power) of our share capital; (h) regulated investment companies; (i) persons who hold our ordinary shares and/or ADRs through partnerships or other pass-through entities; (j) real estate investment trusts; and (k) S corporations. Further, this summary does not address alternative minimum tax consequences.

This summary is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, U.S. Treasury regulations and judicial and administrative interpretations thereof, in each case as in effect and available on the date of this document. All of the foregoing is subject to change, which change could apply retroactively and could affect the tax consequences described below.

Prospective holders should consult their own tax advisor with respect to the U.S. federal, estate, state, local, gift and other tax consequences of acquiring, owning and disposing of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs.

U.S. Holders should also review the discussion below under United Kingdom Taxation for the U.K. tax consequences to a U.S. holder of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs.

Table of Contents

For purposes of this summary a U.S. holder is a beneficial owner of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs that is, for U.S. federal income tax purposes: (a) a citizen or resident of the United States; (b) a corporation created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any state thereof, including the District of Columbia; (c) an estate, the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or (d) a trust if (i) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary supervision over its administration and (ii) one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all of the substantial decisions of such trust. If a partnership holds our ordinary shares or ADRs, the consequences to a partner will generally depend upon the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. A partner of a partnership holding our ordinary shares or ADRs should consult its tax advisor. A Non-US holder is a beneficial owner of our ordinary shares or ADRs that is not a U.S. holder.

Distributions

Subject to the discussion *Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations*, the gross amount of any distribution that is actually or constructively received by a U.S. holder with respect to our ordinary shares and/or ADRs will be a dividend includible in gross income of a U.S. holder as ordinary income. Dividends paid on our ordinary shares and/or ADRs generally will constitute income from sources outside the United States and will not be eligible for the dividends received deduction to United States corporate shareholders. The amount of any distribution of property other than cash will be the fair market value of the property on the date of the distribution.

The gross amount of any distribution paid in foreign currency will be included in the gross income of a U.S. holder in an amount equal to the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the date received by the U.S. holder, regardless of whether the foreign currency is converted into U.S. dollars. If the foreign currency is converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder generally should not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the dividend. If the foreign currency received as a dividend is not converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, a U.S. holder will have a basis in the foreign currency equal to its U.S. dollar value on the date of receipt. Any gain or loss on a subsequent conversion or other disposition of the foreign currency will be treated as ordinary income or loss, and will generally be income or loss from sources within the United States for foreign tax credit limitation purposes.

Subject to the discussion under *Backup Withholding and Information Reporting*, a Non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on dividends received on our ordinary shares and/or ADRs unless that income is effectively connected with the conduct by that Non-U.S. holder of a trade or business within the United States.

However, we do not expect to pay a dividend in the foreseeable future.

Sale or Other Disposition of Marconi plc Shares and/or ADRs

Subject to the discussion *Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations*, a U.S. holder will generally recognize a gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes upon the sale or exchange of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs in an amount equal to the difference between the U.S. dollar value of the amount realized from such sale or exchange and the U.S. holder's tax basis in those ordinary shares or ADRs, as the case may be. That gain or loss will be a capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain (taxable at a reduced rate for individuals, trusts or estates) if our ordinary shares or ADRs, as appropriate, were held for more than one year. Any such gain or loss would generally be treated as from sources within the United States. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to significant limitations.

A U.S. holder that receives foreign currency on the sale or other disposition of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs will realize an amount equal to the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency on the date of sale (or in the case of cash basis and electing accrual basis taxpayers, the U.S. dollar value of the foreign currency on settlement date). If a U.S. holder receives foreign currency upon a sale or exchange of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs, gain or loss, if any, recognized on the subsequent sale, conversion or disposition of that foreign currency will be ordinary income or loss, and will generally be income or loss

Table of Contents

from sources within the United States for foreign tax credit limitation purposes. However, if such foreign currency is converted into U.S. dollars on the date received by the U.S. holder, a cash basis or electing accrual U.S. holder should not recognize any gain or loss on such conversion.

Subject to the discussion under Backup Withholding and Information Reporting, a Non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income or withholding tax on any gain realized on the sale or exchange of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs unless: (a) that gain is effectively connected with the conduct by that Non-U.S. holder of a trade or business in the United States, or (b) in the case of any gain realized by an individual Non-U.S. holder, that holder is present in the United States for 183 days or more in the taxable year of the sale or exchange and certain other conditions are met.

Passive Foreign Investment Company Considerations

A corporation organized outside the United States generally will be classified as passive foreign investment company, or a PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes in any taxable year in which either: (a) at least 75% of its gross income is passive income, or (b) on average at least 50% of the gross value of its assets is attributable to assets that produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income. Passive income for this purpose generally includes dividends, interest, royalties, rents and gains from commodities and securities transactions. In determining whether it is a PFIC a foreign corporation is required to take into account a pro rata portion of the income and assets of each corporation in which it owns, directly or indirectly, at least a 25% interest.

Based on our current assets it is likely that we were characterized as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes for the taxable year ended 31 March, 2004. Because this is a factual determination made annually at the end of the taxable year, there can be no assurance that we will not be considered a PFIC for any future taxable year. If we were a PFIC in any year, special, possibly materially adverse, consequences would, as discussed below, result for U.S. holders.

If we are a PFIC in any year during which a U.S. holder owns our ordinary shares and/or ADRs the U.S. holder will be subject to additional taxes on any excess distributions received from us and any gain realized from the sale or other disposition of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs (whether or not we continue to be a PFIC). A U.S. holder has an excess distribution to the extent that distributions on our ordinary shares and/or ADRs during a taxable year exceed 125% of the average amount received during the three preceding taxable years (or, if shorter, the U.S. holder's holding period). To compute the tax on the excess distributions or any gain, (a) the excess distribution or the gain is allocated ratably over the U.S. holder's holding period, (b) the amount allocated to the current taxable year and any year before we became a PFIC is taxed as ordinary income in the current year, and (c) the amount allocated to other taxable years is taxed at the highest applicable marginal rate in effect for each year and an interest charge is imposed to recover the deemed benefit from the deferred payment of the tax attributable to each year.

Some of the rules with respect to distributions and dispositions described above may be avoided if a U.S. holder makes a valid mark-to-market election (in which case, subject to certain limitations, the U.S. holder would essentially be required to take into account the difference, if any, between the fair market value and the adjusted tax basis of our ordinary shares or ADRs, as the case may be, at the end of a taxable year as ordinary income (or, subject to certain limitations, ordinary loss), in calculating its income for such year). In addition, gains from an actual sale or other disposition of our ordinary shares or ADRs will be treated as ordinary income, and any losses will be treated as ordinary losses to the extent of any mark-to-market gains for prior years. A mark-to-market election is only available to U.S. holders in any tax year that the PFIC stock is considered regularly traded on a qualified exchange within the meaning of applicable U.S. Treasury regulations. PFIC stock is regularly traded if, among other requirements, it is traded on at least 15 days during each calendar quarter. **Prospective holders should consult their own tax advisers as to whether our ordinary shares and/or ADRs would qualify for the mark-to-market election and whether such election is advisable.**

The foregoing rules with respect to distributions and dispositions may be avoided if a U.S. holder is eligible for and timely makes a valid QEF election (in which case the U.S. holder would be required to

Table of Contents

include in income on a current basis its pro rata share of our ordinary income and net capital gains). In order to be able to make the QEF election, we would be required to provide a U.S. holder with certain information. We may decide not to provide the required information.

Each U.S. holder of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs must make an annual return on IRS Form 8621, reporting distributions received and gains realized with respect to each PFIC in which it holds a direct or indirect interest.

Holders are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding whether an investment in our ordinary shares or ADRs will be treated as an investment in PFIC stock and the consequences of an investment in a PFIC.

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Backup withholding and information reporting requirements may apply to certain payments to U.S. holders of dividends on our ordinary shares and/or ADRs and to the proceeds of a sale or redemption of our ordinary shares or ADRs. We, our agent, a broker, or any paying agent, as the case may be, may be required to withhold tax from any payment that is subject to backup withholding at a maximum rate of 28% of such payment if the U.S. holder fails (a) to furnish the U.S. holder's taxpayer identification number, (b) to certify that the U.S. holder is not subject to backup withholding or (c) to otherwise comply with the applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. The backup withholding rate may be subject to change each year. Certain U.S. holders, including, among others, corporations, are not subject to the backup withholding and information reporting requirements. Non-U.S. holders who hold our ordinary shares or ADRs through a U.S. broker or agent or through the U.S. office of a non-U.S. broker or agent may be required to comply with applicable certification procedures to establish that they are not U.S. holders in order to avoid the application of such information reporting requirements and backup withholding. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Any amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules from a payment to a holder generally may be claimed as a credit against such holder's U.S. federal income tax liability provided that the required information is furnished timely to the IRS.

Prospective holders should consult their own tax advisers as to their qualification for exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining this exemption.

United Kingdom Taxation

The following summary describes certain U.K. tax consequences for certain holders of our ordinary shares and/or ADRs. It is intended to apply only to U.S. holders (as defined in the section above under "United States Federal Income Taxation") who are not also residents of the U.K. for U.K. tax purposes or carrying on a business in the U.K. through a branch, agency or permanent establishment and who hold the our ordinary shares and/or ADRs as investments. It may not apply to certain classes of holders, such as dealers in securities.

This summary is based on current U.K. law and U.K. Inland Revenue practice at the date hereof.

This summary is not intended to be comprehensive, and prospective holders of our ordinary shares and ADRs are recommended to consult their professional advisers to determine their tax position.

Taxation of dividends

Under current U.K. taxation legislation, no tax is required to be withheld at source from cash dividends paid on our ordinary shares and/or ADRs. However, we do not expect to pay a dividend in the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Taxation of capital gains

A U.S. shareholder who is not resident, and in the case of an individual also not ordinarily resident, in the U.K. for U.K. tax purposes will not be liable for U.K. taxation on capital gains realized on the disposal of his or her shares or ADRs unless at the time of the disposal:

the U.S. shareholder carries on a trade, profession or vocation in the U.K. through a branch, agency or permanent establishment; and

the shares or ADRs are or have been used, held or acquired for the purposes of the trade, profession, vocation, branch, agency or permanent establishment.

A U.S. shareholder who is an individual and who has ceased to be resident or ordinarily resident for tax purposes in the U.K. on or after March 17, 1998 and continues not to be resident or ordinarily resident in the U.K. for a period of less than five years of assessment and who disposes of his shares or ADRs during that period will also be liable on his return to the U.K. to U.K. tax on capital gains, subject to any available exemption or relief, even though he or she is not resident or ordinarily resident in the U.K. at the time of the disposal. There are special rules for individuals who leave the U.K. part way through a year of assessment.

U.K. stamp duty and stamp duty reserve tax (SDRT)

U.K. stamp duty or SDRT is payable upon the transfer or issue of shares to, or to a nominee or agent of, a person whose business is or includes issuing depositary receipts or providing clearance services. For this purpose, the current rate of stamp duty and SDRT is 1.5%, rounded up, in the case of stamp duty, to the nearest £5. The rate is applied, in each case, to the amount or value of the consideration or, in some circumstances, to the value of the shares.

Provided that the instrument of transfer is not executed in the U.K. and remains at all subsequent times outside the U.K., no U.K. stamp duty will be payable on the transfer of ADRs. An agreement to transfer ADRs will not give rise to a liability to SDRT.

The purchase of shares, as opposed to ADRs, may give rise to a charge to U.K. stamp duty or SDRT at the rate of 0.5%, rounded up, in the case of stamp duty, to the nearest £5. The rate is applied to the price payable for the shares at the time of the transfer or agreement to transfer. SDRT is generally the liability of the purchaser who usually pays U.K. stamp duty.

DOCUMENTS ON DISPLAY

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and file reports and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may read and copy all or any portion of the reports and their exhibits at the public reference facilities maintained by the Securities and Exchange Commission at Room 1024, Judiciary Plaza, 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549, and at its regional offices at Citicorp Center, 500 West Madison Street, Suite 1400, Chicago, Illinois 60661-2511. Copies of such material may also be obtained at prescribed rates by writing to the Public Reference Section of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20549. You may obtain more information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Securities and Exchange Commission also maintains a web site that contains reports and information about issuers, like us, who file electronically with the SEC. The address of that web site is www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

Item 11: *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*

The discussion below covers our exposure to market risk, related to changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and share prices for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2003 and the weeks in fiscal 2004 immediately preceding our financial restructuring. As at March 31, 2004, we no longer had any material risks in these areas.

Financial instruments

In connection with the financial restructuring process described above, we were required to manage immediate liquidity, which included the cancellation of all outstanding derivatives positions. Consequently, during fiscal 2003, out-of-the-money interest rate swap arrangements with fair value £83 million were converted to new loan agreements and cash proceeds of £8 million were received from unwinding in-the-money interest rate swap arrangements. At March 31, 2003, 45% of our interest-bearing borrowings were at fixed rates after taking account of interest rate swaps. Of this total, 24% were at fixed dollar rates of interest and 21% were at fixed euro rates of interest. These borrowings were later cancelled as part of our financial restructuring.

Our interest expense was exposed to interest rate movements in our floating rate debt, cash and investments. Prior to the effectiveness of our financial restructuring we were principally exposed to changes in short-term interest rates in pounds sterling and U.S. dollars. A one percentage point increase in market interest rates would have increased interest income by £8 million and increased interest expense by £22 million which, combined, would have increased loss from continuing operations before income taxes in fiscal 2003 by approximately £14 million.

Foreign exchange risk

We were a global communications company, and as such we conducted a significant portion of our business activities outside the United Kingdom in currencies other than sterling. Our principle exchange rate exposures related to U.S. dollar/pounds sterling and euro/pounds sterling exchange rates for both transactional and translation related exposures. Our foreign currency management policy sought to minimize the impact of fluctuations in exchange rates on future cash flows and required subsidiaries to hedge firm transaction exposures against their local currency at the time the exposure was identified. These exposures were hedged by the use of spot and forward exchange contracts. Due to the financial restructuring, we did not enter into any such contracts in fiscal 2003.

We also had overseas subsidiaries that earned profits and whose net assets were denominated in foreign currencies. It was our policy not to use derivatives to hedge exposures arising from the translation of these overseas profits and net assets into pounds sterling. However, approximately 83% of gross borrowings were denominated in foreign currencies in order to form a hedge for our investments in currencies other than sterling. Of these, 61%, denominated in U.S. dollars, formed a hedge for our investments in the U.S., and 21%, denominated in euro, formed a hedge for our investment in the Euro-zone.

If the pound sterling had strengthened such that the average exchange rates used in the translation of our overseas earnings changed by 10%, our reported income from continuing operations would have been increased by 2.7%, in fiscal 2003.

Share price risk

The Marconi group had, in the past, issued share options to our employees under a number of different option plans, collectively known as the Employee Share Option Plans (ESOP). Under these plans, options could be satisfied by way of a transfer of existing M (2003) plc ordinary shares acquired in the market by an

Table of Contents

employee trust or other vehicle, or, under some of the plans only, by an issue of new M (2003) plc shares. From January 2000, in order to hedge part of the potential cost of the plans estimated at that time, we entered into the ESOP derivative transactions with the ESOP derivative banks to purchase a total of 40 million shares in the future at prices which were fixed at the date of contract. At March 31, 2003, the purchase of 38.5 million shares under these contracts was outstanding. Our maximum exposure under the contracts was £337 million, plus accrued finance charges. At March 31, 2003, we had paid £214 million of collateral, the maximum amount of collateral payable under these ESOP derivative transactions. The remaining principal amount of £123 million under these contracts had been the subject of claims brought against the Group by the ESOP derivative banks. In connection with the financial restructuring process, we entered into a final settlement with the ESOP derivative banks that definitively settled the claims of the ESOP derivative banks against us in relation to the ESOP derivative transactions. Under the settlement, which was conditional on our financial restructuring becoming effective, we paid a total of £35 million to the ESOP derivative banks and we excluded the claims of the ESOP derivative banks under the ESOP derivative transactions from our schemes of arrangement.

Item 12: *Description of Securities other than Equity Securities*

This item is not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 13: *Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies*

In connection with the financial restructuring of M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc, Marconi Corporation plc did not repay amounts that became due under its banking facility on March 25, 2003 and M (2003) plc did not pay on its guarantee of such facility. On March 23, 2003, Marconi Corporation plc also failed to make interest payments due on its 7 3/4% Bonds due 2010 and its 8 3/8% Bonds due 2030, and on March 31, 2003, Marconi Corporation plc failed to make interest payments due on its 5.625% Bonds due 2005 and its 6.375% Bonds due 2030. M (2003) plc also did not pay on its guarantees of those bonds. These defaults were not cured but the debts, including accrued but unpaid interest, were retired pursuant to the M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc schemes of arrangement, which became effective on May 19, 2003. Accordingly, no amounts remained in arrears.

Item 14: *Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds*

Pursuant to the M (2003) plc and Marconi Corporation plc schemes of arrangement, our creditors, including the holder of Marconi Corporation plc's 7 3/4% Bonds due 2010 and our 8 3/8% Bonds due 2030 that were guaranteed by M (2003) plc, received a mixture of cash, new senior guaranteed notes and new junior guaranteed notes issued by Marconi Corporation plc and new ordinary shares in Marconi Corporation plc. Please see Item 4: Information on the Company History and Developments of the Company History Restructuring for additional information with respect to our financial restructuring. Further detail with respect to these schemes of arrangement can be found in the scheme document that Marconi Corporation plc furnished under cover of Form 6-K on March 31, 2003, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 15: *Controls and Procedures*

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we evaluated the effectiveness and design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2004. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of that date. Since the date of the evaluation, there have been no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect the controls. Therefore, no corrective actions were taken.

Item 16A: *Audit Committee Financial Expert*

We consider Richard Anthony Robinson to be the financial expert serving on our audit committee as defined by Item 16A(b). Mr. Robinson is an independent non-executive director.

Item 16B: *Code of Ethics*

We have not adopted a code of ethics as defined by Item 16B(b). Since we no longer conduct any business and do not intend to revive any business operations, we do not believe that a code of ethics is necessary.

Item 16C: *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The following table provides information on the aggregate fees paid for fiscal years ended March 31, 2004 and 2003 to our principal accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates (collectively "Deloitte & Touche") and to Arthur Andersen for internal audit fees in fiscal year 2003:

Table of Contents

| | (£ millions) | Fiscal year ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|------|
| | | 2004 | 2003 |
| Deloitte & Touche | | | |
| Audit | | | 1 |
| Audit-related | | | 13 |
| Tax | | | 2 |
| Other | | | 1 |
| <i>Total Deloitte & Touche</i> | | | 17 |
| Arthur Andersen | | | |
| Internal Audit | | | 2 |
| TOTAL FEES | | | 19 |

Audit and audit-related fees

Audit and audit-related fees consist of professional services rendered for the audits of the consolidated financial statements of the Company, statutory audits, income tax provision procedures and other work in connection with documents filed with the SEC. Our audit and audit related fees have decreased to £0.1 million (2003: £14 million) due to the cessation of trading and the completion of the Company's financial restructuring. Audit-related fees in fiscal 2003 principally relate to fees incurred in connection with our financial restructuring, including various documents filed with the London Stock Exchange and the UK courts.

Tax fees

Tax fees were £nil for fiscal 2004. For fiscal 2003 they consist primarily of dealing with tax computations, submissions, restructuring and expatriate services. Expatriate services are being transitioned to another professional services firm.

Other

Other services in fiscal 2003 include operational internal audit fees and project work and technical advice.

Pre approval procedures for Services

All audit and non-audit services performed by the auditors are pre-approved by the Company's audit committee, following a competitive tender where appropriate, in accordance with the committee's auditors' independence policy.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 17: *Financial Statements*

Financial statements are being furnished pursuant to the instructions of Item 18 of Form 20-F.

Item 18: *Financial Statements*

M (2003) plc is furnishing consolidated financial statements beginning at page F-1.

Item 19: *Exhibits*

| | |
|--------|--|
| 1.1*** | Memorandum and Articles of Association of M (2003) plc |
| 2.1 | Specimen ordinary share certificate of M (2003) plc |
| 2.2 | Deposit Agreement dated as of September 6, 2000 Between M (2003) plc and The Bank of New York, as depositary, and Owners and beneficial holders of American Depositary Receipts |
| 2.3 | American Depositary Receipt of M (2003) plc (included in Exhibit 2.2) |
| 2.4 | Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc's Proposals in Relation to Schemes of Arrangement under Section 425 of the UK Companies Act 1985, dated March 31, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and their respective scheme creditors. |
| 4.01** | Settlement Deed dated December 19, 2002 between RT Group Telecom Services Limited, RT Group plc, Marconi Corporation plc, Ultramast Limited, James Smith and Nicholas Dargan |
| 4.02** | Agreement dated November 4, 2002 between UBS AG and Marconi Corporation plc related to the payment of interest on certain interest rate swap transactions |
| 4.03** | Scheme Implementation Deed dated March 27, 2003, between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc, Ancrane, E A Continental Limited, Marconi Nominees Limited, British Sealed Beams Limited and various other group companies |
| 4.04** | Escrow and Distribution Agreement dated March 27, 2003 between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc, Regent Escrow Limited, The Bank of New York, The Law Debenture Trust Corporation plc, Ancrane, Bondholder Communications Group and the Supervisors |
| 4.05** | Statement and waiver of intercompany balances agreement Dated on or about March 27, 2003 between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc and certain other group companies |
| 4.06** | Deed of Novation dated March 26, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and BAE SYSTEMS plc |
| 4.07** | Assumption Agreement and Consent dated March 26, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and Lemelson Medical Education and Research Foundation, Limited Partnership |
| 4.08** | Deed of Novation and Amendment dated March 26, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and Finmeccanica SpA |
| 4.09** | Sponsors' Agreement dated March 31, 2003 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, Lazard Brothers & Co. and Morgan Stanley & Co. Limited |
| 4.10** | ESOP Escrow Agreement dated December 13, 2002 between Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, HSBC Bank plc and Barclays Bank PLC |
| 4.11** | ESOP Settlement Agreement dated March 26, 2003 between M (2003) plc, Marconi Corporation plc, HSBC Bank plc, Barclays, Salomon Brothers International Limited, UBS AG and Bedell Cristin Trustees Limited |
| 4.12** | Memorandum of Understanding dated March 25, 2003 between the Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc and the United States Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation |
| 8.1* | List of principal subsidiaries and other associated Companies of M (2003) plc (incorporated by Reference to Note 22 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report) |

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------|---|
| 12.1* | Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 12.2* | Certification required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a), as adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 13.1* | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Filed with this annual report.

** Incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-30294) filed with the SEC on September 25, 2003.

*** Incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 20-F (File No. 0-30294) filed with the SEC on September 28, 2001.

Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form 20-F (File No. 0-30924) filed with the SEC on September 5, 2000.

Incorporated by reference to the Report under cover of Form 6-K (File No. 0-30924) furnished to the SEC on March 31, 2003.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

M (2003) PLC

By: /s/ K D SMITH

Name: Kevin David Smith

Title: Company Secretary

Date: October 8, 2004

45

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

M (2003) plc and subsidiaries

Consolidated financial statements as of March 31, 2004 and 2003 and

for the three years ended March 31, 2004

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Report of independent registered public accounting firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated balance sheets</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of operations</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of cash flows</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of other comprehensive income/(loss)</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity/(deficit)</u> | F-8 |
| <u>Notes to consolidated financial statements</u> | F-9 |

F-1

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the board of directors and shareholders of M (2003) plc:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of M (2003) plc and its subsidiaries and joint ventures (together the Company) as of March 31, 2004 and 2003 and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, other comprehensive income/(loss), and shareholders' equity/(deficit) for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended March 31, 2004 (expressed in pounds sterling). These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2004 and 2003, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three fiscal years in the period ended March 31, 2004 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audits also comprehended the translation of certain pounds sterling amounts into U.S. Dollars and, in our opinion, such translation has been made in conformity with the basis described in note 2. Such U.S. Dollar amounts are presented solely for the convenience of readers in the United States of America.

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Birmingham, England
October 8, 2004

Table of Contents**M (2003) plc and subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| (in millions, except share data) | 2004 U.S.\$ | March 31, 2004 £ | 2003 £ |
|--|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 17 | 9 | 195 |
| Restricted cash | | | 964 |
| Investment in securities | | | 16 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | | 462 |
| Inventories, net | | | 234 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | | 126 |
| Total current assets | 17 | 9 | 1,997 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | | | 263 |
| Investments in affiliates | | | 18 |
| Goodwill | | | 657 |
| Intangibles, net | | | 101 |
| Other non-current assets | | | 75 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 17 | 9 | 3,111 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY/(DEFICIT) | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Short-term debt | | | 2,195 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | | 1,806 |
| Accounts payable | | | 163 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | | | 1,048 |
| Net liabilities of discontinued operations | | | 12 |
| Total current liabilities | | | 5,224 |
| Long-term debt | | | 30 |
| Deferred income taxes | | | 5 |
| Other liabilities | | | 352 |
| Total liabilities | | | 5,611 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 11) | | | |
| Minority interests | | | 3 |
| Shareholders' equity/(deficit) | | | |
| Ordinary shares, £0.05 par value; Authorized: 6,000,000,000 shares in 2004 and 2003; Issued and outstanding: 2,793,011,951 shares in 2004 and 2003 | 258 | 140 | 140 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,213 | 659 | 1,080 |
| Accumulated deficit | (1,454) | (790) | (3,441) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | | | (282) |
| Total shareholders' equity/(deficit) | 17 | 9 | (2,503) |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY/(DEFICIT) | 17 | 9 | 3,111 |

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**M (2003) plc and subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

| (in millions) | Fiscal year ended March 31, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2004 U.S.\$ | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Network equipment | 184 | 100 | 1,131 | 1,812 |
| Network services | 125 | 68 | 743 | 969 |
| Other | | | 22 | 465 |
| | 309 | 168 | 1,896 | 3,246 |
| Direct costs | 254 | 138 | 1,522 | 3,297 |
| | 55 | 30 | 374 | (51) |
| Gross margin | | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 90 | 49 | 356 | 836 |
| Research and development | 57 | 31 | 290 | 525 |
| Amortization of goodwill and intangibles | 11 | 6 | 44 | 436 |
| Business restructuring charges | 4 | 2 | 230 | 396 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | | 69 | 3,968 |
| (Gain)/loss on sale of businesses, net | | | (5) | 64 |
| Other (income)/expenses | | | (2) | 116 |
| Total operating expenses | 162 | 88 | 982 | 6,341 |
| Operating loss | (107) | (58) | (608) | (6,392) |
| Other income/(expense): | | | | |
| (Loss)/gain on sale of investments, net | | | (5) | 89 |
| Impairment of investments | | | (40) | |
| Gain on financial restructuring | 4,018 | 2,183 | | |
| Gain on settlement of equity forward contracts | 226 | 123 | | |
| Other income | | | 34 | 166 |
| Interest income | 53 | 29 | 45 | 40 |
| Interest expense | | | (329) | (272) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interests and cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles | 4,190 | 2,277 | (903) | (6,369) |
| Income tax (charge)/benefit | (2) | (1) | 209 | 471 |
| Equity in net loss of affiliates | | | (62) | (196) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations, before cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles | 4,188 | 2,276 | (756) | (6,094) |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax of £nil (2003, £2, and 2002, £36) | | | (74) | (64) |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations, net of tax of £nil | | | 23 | 248 |
| Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles, net of tax of £nil | | | | (240) |
| Net income/(loss) | 4,188 | 2,276 | (807) | (6,150) |

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

See notes to consolidated financial statements

F-4

Table of Contents**M (2003) plc and subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| (in millions) | Fiscal year ended March 31, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2004 U.S.\$ | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | | |
| Net income/(loss) | 4,188 | 2,276 | (807) | (6,150) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash used in operating activities of continuing operations: | | | | |
| Loss from discontinued operations | | | 74 | 64 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | | | (23) | (248) |
| Gain on early retirement of debt | | | | (166) |
| Cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles | | | | 240 |
| Loss on equity forward contracts | | | | 95 |
| Cash paid on settlement of equity forward contracts | (64) | (35) | | |
| Loss on disposal of businesses and properties | | | 3 | 149 |
| Gain on financial restructuring | (4,018) | (2,183) | | |
| Gain on settlement of equity forward contracts | (226) | (123) | | |
| Gain on sale of debt and marketable equity securities | | | | (26) |
| Loss/(gain) on sale of investments in affiliates | | | 5 | (63) |
| Stock compensation | 17 | 9 | 14 | 26 |
| Impairment of long-lived assets | | | 69 | 3,968 |
| Impairment of investments | | | 40 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 29 | 16 | 169 | 653 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | | | (10) | 175 |
| Provision for allowance for inventory write off | | | 25 | 805 |
| Other income | | | (34) | |
| Profit on disposal of property, plant and equipment | | | (9) | (85) |
| Change in current and deferred taxes | | | (177) | (468) |
| Equity in net loss of affiliates | | | 62 | 196 |
| Dividends received from affiliates | | | | 29 |
| Cash paid for restructuring | (36) | (20) | | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of the effect of acquisitions: | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 184 | 100 | 137 | 479 |
| Inventories | 2 | 1 | 228 | 151 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | | | (21) | (36) |
| Accounts payable | (48) | (26) | (175) | (231) |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (53) | (29) | (154) | (160) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (25) | (14) | (584) | (603) |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

| (in millions) | 2004 U.S.\$ | Fiscal year ended March 31, | | 2002 £ |
|---|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | | |
| Maturities and sales of debt and marketable equity securities | (384) | (209) | | 317 |
| Purchases of debt and marketable equity securities | | | (20) | (111) |
| Proceeds from the sale of investments in affiliates | | | | 150 |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (9) | (5) | (35) | (327) |
| Proceeds from the sale of property, plant and equipment | | | 30 | 171 |
| Net cash paid for investments in affiliates | | | | (65) |
| Cash (outflow)/received from disposals | (1,045) | (568) | 436 | 1,001 |
| Cash element of scheme consideration | (613) | (333) | | |
| Net cash paid for acquisitions | | | | (18) |
| Net cash (used in)/provided by investing activities | (2,051) | (1,115) | 411 | 1,118 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | | |
| Short-term debt repayments, net | (40) | (22) | (30) | (206) |
| Term loan (repayments)/borrowings, net | (2) | (1) | (45) | 981 |
| Dividends paid | | | | (95) |
| Issuance of ordinary shares | | | | 7 |
| Restricted cash transferred to secured accounts | 1,773 | 964 | (692) | (191) |
| Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities | 1,731 | 941 | (767) | 496 |
| Net cash provided by/(used in) discontinued operations | 3 | 2 | (80) | 40 |
| Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | | | (28) | (9) |
| Net (decrease)/increase in cash and cash equivalents | (342) | (186) | (1,048) | 1,042 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 359 | 195 | 1,243 | 201 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | 17 | 9 | 195 | 1,243 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow activity: | | | | |
| Cash payments for interest | (2) | (1) | 134 | 275 |
| Cash (repayment)/payment for income taxes | (2) | (1) | (31) | |
| Acquisitions: | | | | |
| Tangible assets acquired | | | | 1 |
| Liabilities assumed | | | | (2) |
| Intangible assets generated | | | | (22) |
| Deferred consideration | | | | 41 |
| Total cash paid for acquisitions | | | | 18 |

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, the Company received shares in various businesses as consideration for the disposal of Ipsaris, Marconi Optical Components, and Marconi Communications South Africa. Such consideration was fair valued at £245 million.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**M (2003) plc and subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME/(LOSS)**

| (in millions) | Fiscal year ended March 31, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2004 U.S.\$ | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
| Net income/(loss) | 4,188 | 2,276 | (807) | (6,150) |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss): | | | | |
| Unrealized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments | | | 22 | (23) |
| Accumulated translation adjustments, (net of income tax and reclassification adjustments) | | | 62 | (66) |
| Minimum pension liability, (net of income tax of £nil) | 543 | 295 | (295) | |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss) | 4,731 | 2,571 | (1,018) | (6,239) |
| Disclosure of tax and reclassification amounts: | | | | |
| Unrealized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments, net of tax of £nil (2003 £1 and 2002 £1) | 2 | 1 | 22 | (18) |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax of £1 | | | | (2) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for loss included in net income/(loss), net of tax of £1 | (2) | (1) | | (3) |
| Unrealized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments | | | 22 | (23) |
| Accumulated translation adjustments, net of tax of £nil | (26) | (14) | 62 | (111) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income/(loss), net of tax of £nil (2002, £nil) | 26 | 14 | | 45 |
| Net translation adjustments | | | 62 | (66) |
| Unrealized (gains)/losses on investments, net of tax of £nil (2003, £nil and 2002, £77) | | | | (217) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment for gains included in net (loss)/income, net of tax of £nil (2002, £77) | | | | 217 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**M (2003) plc and subsidiaries****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY/(DEFICIT)**

| (in £ millions, except share data) | Ordinary shares Shares | Amount | Additional paid-in capital | Retained earnings/ (deficit) | Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) | Treasury Shares | Total |
|---|---------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--------------------|---------|
| As of March 31, 2001 | 2,785,189,896 | 139 | 815 | 3,611 | 235 | (8) | 4,792 |
| Net loss | | | | (6,150) | | | (6,150) |
| Dividends paid (£ 0.05 per share) | | | | (95) | | | (95) |
| Equity forward contracts | | | (25) | | | | (25) |
| Issuance of ordinary shares under share option plans | 7,218,035 | 1 | 7 | | | | 8 |
| Issuance of ordinary shares for acquisitions | 230,889 | | | | | | |
| Sale of treasury shares | | | (8) | | | 8 | |
| Deferred compensation | | | 34 | | | | 34 |
| Fair value movements on derivative instruments | | | | | (21) | | (21) |
| Unrealized holding gains on investments, net of tax | | | | | (217) | | (217) |
| Translation adjustments | | | | | (66) | | (66) |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change EITF 00-19 | | | 240 | | | | 240 |
| Cumulative effect of accounting change SFAS 133 | | | | | (2) | | (2) |
| As of March 31, 2002 | 2,792,638,820 | 140 | 1,063 | (2,634) | (71) | | (1,502) |
| Net loss | | | | (807) | | | (807) |
| Issuance of ordinary shares under share option plan | 461,777 | | | | | | |
| Acquisition and retirement of ordinary shares | (88,646) | | | | | | |
| Fair value movements on derivative instruments | | | | | 22 | | 22 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 14 | | | | 14 |
| Shares issued by equity method investee | | | 3 | | | | 3 |
| Translation adjustments | | | | | 62 | | 62 |
| Minimum pension liability | | | | | (295) | | (295) |
| As of March 31, 2003 | 2,793,011,951 | 140 | 1,080 | (3,441) | (282) | | (2,503) |
| Net income | | | | 2,276 | | | 2,276 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | (46) | | | | (46) |
| Transfers | | | (375) | 375 | | | |
| Translation adjustments | | | | | (12) | | (12) |
| Eliminated upon financial restructuring | | | | | 294 | | 294 |
| As of March 31, 2004 | 2,793,011,951 | 140 | 659 | (790) | | | 9 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

M (2003) plc and subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Nature of Business and basis of preparation:

Nature of business

Until May 19, 2003 M (2003) plc (the Company) was the ultimate holding company for the Marconi Group. Through its subsidiaries the Marconi Group was a multi-regional vendor of telecommunications equipment and services and was organized into two main divisions: Core and Capital. The Core business included the provision of optical networks, broadband routing and switching, broadband access, outside plant and power, other network equipment and associated installation, maintenance and other value-added services. The Marconi Group s customers included telecommunications companies and providers of internet services for their public networks and some large corporations, government departments and agencies, utilities and educational institutions for their private networks. The Capital business comprised certain non-core businesses that were managed for value and ultimately for disposal. These included an investment in Easynet Group plc as well as a number of other minor activities, investments and assets with whom the Company had a base of installed equipment.

On May 19, 2003, the Company and its former subsidiary Marconi Corporation plc concluded a financial restructuring (the financial restructuring), which was effected through two separate schemes of arrangement under the U.K. Companies Act 1985 as described in note 3. Since the financial restructuring the Group consists of the Company and its non-trading and dormant subsidiaries listed in note 22. Therefore the Company and Group have no trading or business activities.

As explained in note 3, the remaining assets of the Company will be distributed over time to scheme creditors, following which it is intended that the Company will be dissolved.

The name of the Company was changed from Marconi plc to M (2003) plc on October 21, 2003.

Basis of preparation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. As explained in note 3, following the financial restructuring of May 19, 2003 and pursuant to the Company s Scheme of Arrangement, the remaining assets of the Company will be distributed over time to its creditors in order to settle liabilities as they are incurred. Following this it is intended that the Company will be liquidated or dissolved; however, this is not expected to occur within the next twelve months.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of M (2003) plc and its subsidiaries that are more than 50% owned and controlled. Investments in affiliates in which the Company exercises significant influence but not control (generally those with a 20-50% ownership interest) are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Investments in non-listed entities in which the Company has less than a 20% ownership interest are accounted for under the cost method. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation.

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for

Table of Contents

doubtful accounts, inventory reserves, depreciation and amortization, sales returns, warranty costs, taxes, certain accruals, payables, long-term contract profit estimates, employee benefits, long-lived asset impairment calculations and contingencies. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Income taxes

The Company recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates to calculate temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and the financial statement carrying amounts. The Company recognizes a valuation allowance against such deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that such assets will not be recovered. During fiscal years 2003 and 2002 all deferred tax assets, without offsetting liabilities in the same jurisdiction, were fully reserved. There are no deferred tax assets or liabilities at March 31, 2004.

Foreign currency

The consolidated financial statements are presented in U.K. pounds sterling. The functional currency of each of M (2003) plc's subsidiaries is the local currency in which each subsidiary is located. Transactions in currencies other than the functional currency are translated at the exchange rate in effect at the date of each transaction. Differences in exchange rates during the period between the date a transaction denominated in a foreign currency is consummated and the date on which it is either settled or translated are recognized in the statement of operations.

Net income and cash flows of non-U.K. pounds sterling subsidiaries and equity investments are translated at the average rates of exchange during the year. The assets and liabilities of such entities are translated at year-end rates of exchange. Translation adjustments are included in other comprehensive income/(loss), as a separate component of shareholders' equity/(deficit). Key exchange rates relative to U.K. pounds sterling used are as follows:

| | Average rates | | | Year-end rates | |
|-------------|-----------------------------|------|------|-----------------|------|
| | Fiscal year ended March 31, | | | As of March 31, | |
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 | 2004 | 2003 |
| U.S. Dollar | 1.70 | 1.55 | 1.43 | 1.84 | 1.58 |
| Euro | 1.44 | 1.55 | 1.63 | 1.50 | 1.45 |

Convenience translation

The consolidated financial statements are presented in millions of U.K. pounds sterling. In addition, the consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 are also presented in U.S. dollars. These U.S. dollar amounts are presented solely for the convenience of the reader at the rate of £1.00 = \$1.840, the noon buying rate of the U.S. Federal Reserve Bank as of March 31, 2004. This rate may differ from the rates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. These translated amounts should not be construed as representations that the U.K. pounds sterling amounts could have been, or could in the future be converted into U.S. dollars at this or any other exchange rate.

Revenue

The Company recognizes revenue under Statement of Position (SOP) 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and certain Production-Type Contracts*, SOP 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition* and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition* depending upon the terms of the contract.

Revenue from product sales of hardware and software is recognized when: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; customer acceptance has occurred; the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenue from services is recognized at time of performance and acceptance by the customer.

Table of Contents

Revenue from multiple element contracts is allocated based on the relative fair value of each individual element.

Revenues and estimated profits on long-term contracts are recognized under the percentage-of-completion method of accounting using a cost-to-cost methodology. Profit estimates are revised periodically based on the latest available information. When estimates of total contract revenues and costs indicate a loss, a provision for the entire amount of the contract loss is recognised in the period in which the loss becomes evident.

Revenue is reported net of sales returns and allowances, early settlement discounts, sales rebates settled by credit notes, volume discounts and commissions earned by distributors.

Research and development costs

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred unless specifically billable to and recoverable from customers under agreed contract terms of underlying agreements.

Cash and cash equivalents

All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

Restricted cash

Cash balances pledged or advanced as collateral are considered to be restricted cash. At March 31, 2004 and 2003, the total restricted cash was £nil and £964 million respectively. The total restricted cash balance consists of: £nil (2003, £771 million) held in secured accounts, £nil (2003, £135 million) of cash collateral placed against bonding facilities; £nil (2003, £27 million) of cash held in Employee Share Option Plan (ESOP) escrow accounts; £nil (2003, £17 million) of cash in insurance reserves and £nil (2003, £14 million) of cash deposited against secured loans in Italy.

Marketable equity securities

Investments in securities are classified as available-for-sale and recorded at fair value. Net unrealized holding gains and losses, net of tax, are reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity/(deficit), except where holding losses are determined to be other-than-temporary, whereby the losses are reported in gains and losses on sales of investments in the consolidated statement of operations. Gains and losses on disposals of marketable equity securities are determined using the specific identification method.

Inventories and contracts in progress

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost, including appropriate overheads, determined on a weighted-average basis or estimated net realizable value. Reserves are made for any losses incurred or expected to be incurred on uncompleted contracts. Profit on long-term contracts in progress is taken when a sale is recorded on part-delivery of products or part-performance of services, provided that the outcome of the contract can be assessed with reasonable certainty. Advance payments received from customers are shown as creditors unless there is a right of set-off against the value of work undertaken. Progress payments received are deducted from the value of the work carried out, any excess being included with payments received in advance.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment is stated at cost. Cost of significant assets includes capitalized interest incurred during the construction and development period. Depreciation expense is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the depreciable assets. The estimated useful lives for buildings and improvements are between 25 and 50 years and the estimated useful lives for machinery and equipment are between 3 and 10 years. Significant improvements which substantially extend the useful lives of assets are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Upon disposal of an

Table of Contents

asset, its accumulated depreciation is deducted from the original cost and any gain or loss is reflected in operating income/(loss).

Impairment or disposal of long-lived assets

The Company evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized when estimated future cash flows, on an undiscounted basis, expected to result from the use of the asset, including its disposition, is less than the carrying value of the asset. The impairment loss is calculated by comparing the carrying value of the asset with its fair value, which is usually estimated using discounted cash flows expected to be generated from the assets.

During fiscal 2004 tangible fixed assets were impaired by £nil (2003, £69 million and 2002, £154 million).

Long-lived assets are classified as held-for-sale when certain criteria are met, which include: management commitment to a plan to sell the assets; the availability of the assets for immediate sale in their present condition; whether an active program to locate buyers and other actions to sell the assets has been initiated; whether the sale of the assets is probable and their transfer is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale within one year; whether the assets are being marketed at reasonable prices in relation to their fair value; and how unlikely it is that significant changes will be made to the plan to sell the assets. The Company measures long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale at the lower of carrying amount and fair value less cost to sell. Fair value is determined using quoted market prices or the anticipated cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved.

The Company classifies an asset or asset group that will be disposed of other than by sale as held and used until the disposal transaction occurs. The asset or asset group continues to be depreciated based on revisions to its estimated useful life until the date of disposal or abandonment.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

Beginning in fiscal 2003 with the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, the Company no longer amortizes goodwill, but instead tests for impairment on an annual basis and at any other time if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount.

If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, its associated goodwill is written down to its implied fair value using a hypothetical purchase price allocation. Any impairment loss is recorded in the consolidated statement of operations. Measurement of the fair value of a reporting unit is based on one or more of the following fair value measures including: amounts at which the unit as a whole could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties; using present value techniques of estimated future cash flows; or using valuation techniques based on multiples of earnings or revenue, or a similar performance measure.

Upon adoption of SFAS 142, the Company completed a transitional goodwill impairment test. As a result, a net book value of £1 million of previously recognized intangible assets related to the assembled workforce was reclassified to goodwill. The Company has not identified any intangible assets for which it will adopt an indefinite useful life, nor has it revised the amortization periods for any intangible assets.

Intangible assets are carried at cost and are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated periods to be benefited, ranging from 4 to 15 years.

No impairment charge was recorded in respect of goodwill and other intangible assets in fiscal 2004 or 2003.

Prior to fiscal 2003, goodwill was amortized using the straight-line method over its estimated useful life.

Table of Contents

The Company periodically evaluated the recoverability of goodwill and other intangible assets by comparing the net book value of such assets to expected future cash flows, on an undiscounted basis, over the remaining amortization period of the asset. The Company measured impairment for enterprise level goodwill and identifiable intangible assets and goodwill associated with long-lived assets based on a discounted cash flow analysis. Based on these evaluations, an impairment charge of £3,814 million was recorded in respect of goodwill and other intangible assets in fiscal 2002. This is discussed further in notes 7 and 8.

Concentrations of credit risk

Credit risk relates to the risk of loss that the Company would incur as a result of non-performance by counterparties. Prior to the financial restructuring the Company maintained credit policies with regard to its counterparties that enabled management to manage overall credit risk. The Company generally did not obtain collateral to support the agreements but established credit limits, monitored the financial viability of counterparties and sought guarantees where appropriate. At March 31, 2004 the Company had no receivable balances.

Derivative instruments, excluding equity forward contracts

Prior to the financial restructuring the Company was exposed to interest rate risk on its borrowings, cash and investments. Its general policy was to maintain at least 50% of debt at fixed rates of interest. This was achieved by entering into receive-variable pay-fixed interest rate swaps to reduce variability of cash flows on floating-rate debt, and entering into receive fixed, pay-variable interest rate swaps to reduce the fair value risk on its fixed rate bonds. As a result of the discussions relative to the financial restructuring, these contracts were all terminated during fiscal 2003.

Prior to the financial restructuring the Company conducted a significant proportion of its business activities outside the United Kingdom in currencies other than sterling. Its principal exchange rate exposures related to U.S. dollar/pounds sterling and euro/pounds sterling exchange rates for both transactional and translation related exposures. The Company used foreign currency exchange contracts to manage currency rate fluctuations by generating cash flows, which offset the foreign currency cash flows of certain contractual and forecast payments and receipts incurred in the ordinary course of business.

The Company's derivative financial instruments were for purposes other than trading and were not entered into for speculative purposes. The Company's non-derivative financial instruments included letters of credit, commitments to extend credit and guarantees of debt.

Equity forward contracts

The Company has, in the past, issued share options to employees under a number of different option plans, collectively known as the ESOP. Under these plans, options may be satisfied by way of a transfer of existing Company ordinary shares acquired in the market by an employee trust or other vehicle, or, under some of the plans only, by an issue of new Company shares.

From January 2000, in order to hedge part of the potential cost of the plans estimated at that time, the independent trustee of the Marconi Employee Trust (MET), Bedell Cristin Trustees Limited (BCTL), entered into swap contracts with three financial institutions (the ESOP Derivative Banks) to purchase a total of 40 million shares in the future at prices which were fixed at the date of contract.

On June 30, 2001, the Company adopted Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) No. 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company's Own Stock*, which requires such instruments to be marked to market, and the obligations to be recorded as a liability. As a result, the Company recorded a cumulative effect of changes in accounting principles of £240 million related to the loss on such instrument as of the implementation date, which was previously recorded within equity. Subsequent to the implementation date, additional market losses of £95 million have been recorded in other expenses in the statement of operations.

Table of Contents

At March 31, 2003, the purchase of 38.5 million shares under these contracts was outstanding. The maximum exposure under the contracts was £337 million, plus accrued finance charges. Certain contracts require BCTL to deposit cash collateral with the relevant ESOP Derivative Banks if the share price falls to certain levels stipulated in those contracts. Prior to the financial restructuring the Company funded the provision of this collateral. The carrying value of the contracts at March 31, 2003 was £158 million.

An agreement was reached to settle these contracts and they were closed out on May 19, 2003. The agreed settlement amount was £35 million which resulted in a gain of £123 million recorded in the consolidated statement of operations as part of other income.

At March 31, 2004 £nil (2003 £27 million) of cash balances was held in escrow with respect to these equity forward contracts. See *Restricted cash* within this section.

Fair value hedges

Prior to the financial restructuring, as part of its overall risk management strategy, the Company used derivatives to convert its fixed-rate debt into variable-rate debt, and to hedge its foreign currency firm commitments. These derivatives were typically designated as fair value hedges, to manage the interest rate risk or foreign currency risk of the hedged item accordingly. The carrying amount of the hedged item is adjusted for gains or losses attributable to the hedged risk. This unrealised gain or loss is offset by changes in the fair value of the derivative. All hedging ineffectiveness is included in earnings in the current period.

Hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively when the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective hedge, the derivative is terminated or sold, or on the sale or early termination of the hedged item.

For fiscal 2004 and 2003, the ineffective portion of all the Company's fair value hedges was immaterial as the terms of the hedging instruments match the terms of the underlying hedged items. The Company does not exclude any components of the derivative gains and losses from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. The amount recognized in earnings for hedged firm commitments that no longer qualified for fair value hedges was immaterial.

Net investment hedges

The Company's policy has been to finance its activities in the same currencies as those used for its foreign investments in order to hedge foreign currency exposure of net investments in foreign operations. This policy has been implemented either by financing in the related currency or using derivatives, such as currency swaps, which provide a synthetic effect of a foreign currency loan, thereby reducing the exchange risk. As a result of the financial restructuring, which became effective May 19, 2003, the Company does not have any borrowings. For further information on the Company's financial restructuring, refer to note 3.

For fiscal 2004, £nil (2003, £169 million and 2002, £17 million) of net gains related to non-derivative instruments used as net investment hedges were included as a cumulative translation adjustment in the statement of comprehensive income/(loss). These net gains principally offset the net losses recorded on the respective net investments in foreign currencies being hedged. The hedge instruments used by the Company did not result in any material ineffectiveness. Their notional amounts were similar to the net investments being hedged as of the beginning of each quarter, and the currencies of the hedging instrument and hedged item were the same.

The recorded amounts of the hedging instruments are as follows:

| (in millions) | At March 31, 2004 £ | At March 31, 2003 £ |
|---------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Loans | | 2,330 |

Table of Contents

Cash flow hedges

The Company has used interest rate swaps to hedge the uncertainty of future cash flows due to its floating rate debt, and foreign currency forward exchange contracts that expire in less than twelve months to hedge against the effect that fluctuation in exchange rates may have on cash flow associated with forecasted purchases.

Hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively when the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective hedge or the derivative is terminated or sold. On the sale or early termination of the hedged item, gains and losses are immediately reclassified to other (income)/expense.

For fiscal 2004 and 2003, the total ineffectiveness of all cash flow hedges was immaterial since most of the terms of the hedging instruments match the terms of the underlying hedged items. The reclassification of gains and losses into earnings from accumulated other comprehensive income as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedges because management estimated that it was still probable that original forecasted transactions would occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within an additional two months thereafter was also immaterial.

For those hedging relationships that are designated as cash flow hedges the respective effective portion of the gain or loss recorded in other comprehensive income until it is necessary to be adjusted against net income in order to offset the respective change in expected future cash flows on the hedged transaction.

For fiscal 2003 the total amount of losses in accumulated other comprehensive income of £23 million (£1 million related to tax) was reclassified into earnings during the year because, as a consequence of the financial restructuring which occurred on May 19, 2003 it was no longer probable at March 31, 2003 that the forecasted transactions would occur.

Embedded derivatives

The Company has entered into various contracts that may contain embedded derivative instruments, implicit or explicit terms that affect some or all of the cash flows or the value of other exchanges required by the contract in a manner similar to a derivative instrument. According to the requirements of SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, they would need to be bifurcated from the host contract and accounted for as a derivative instrument. The Company has assessed the existence of potential embedded derivatives contained in its contracts. No material embedded derivatives in contracts were identified.

All derivatives not designated as certain hedges are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value with all changes in fair value being recorded as a component of income from continuing operations during the period that such contracts remain outstanding.

At March 31, 2004 and 2003, other current assets included £nil million and £14.9 million respectively, representing the fair value of derivative instruments. In addition, £nil million and £67.5 million were included in accrued expenses and other current payables for derivative liabilities at March 31, 2004 and 2003, respectively.

Pension and other post-retirement benefits

The Company accounts for its defined benefit pension plans and its non-pension post-retirement benefit plans using actuarial models required by SFAS 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions*, and SFAS 106, *Employers Accounting for Post-retirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*, respectively. These models use an attribution approach that generally spreads individual events over the service lives of the employees in the plan. Examples of events are plan amendments and changes in actuarial assumptions such as discount rate, rate of compensation increases and mortality. The principle underlying the required attribution approach is that employees render service over their service lives on a relatively smooth basis and, therefore, the income statement effects of pensions or non-pension post-retirement benefit plans are earned in, and should follow, the same pattern.

Table of Contents

One of the main components of the net periodic pension calculation is the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The required use of expected long-term rate of return on plan assets may result in recognized pension income that is greater or less than the actual returns of those plan assets in any given year. Over time, however, the expected long-term returns are designed to approximate the actual long-term returns and, therefore, result in a pattern of income and expense recognition that more closely matches the pattern of the services provided by the employees. Differences between actual and expected returns (to the extent that they exceed 10% of the market value of assets at the start of the period) are recognized in the net periodic pension calculation over the remaining average service lifetimes of active members.

The Company uses long-term historical actual return information, the mix of investments that comprise plan assets, and future estimates of long-term investment returns by reference to external sources to develop its expected return on plan assets.

The discount rate assumptions used for pension and non-pension post-retirement benefit plan accounting reflect the rates available on high-quality fixed-income debt instruments on March 31 of each year. The rate of compensation increase is another significant assumption used in the actuarial model for pension accounting and is determined by the Company based upon its long-term plans for such increases. For retiree medical plan accounting, the Company reviews external data and its own historical trends for health care costs to determine the health care cost trend rates.

If the unfunded accumulated benefit obligation exceeds the fair value of the plan assets, the Company recognizes an additional minimum liability that is at least equal to the unfunded accumulated benefit obligation. Where an additional minimum liability is recognized an intangible asset is recognized up to the amount of any unrecognized prior service cost and the balance is recognized through other comprehensive income.

Product warranty

Provisions for estimated expenses related to product warranties are made at the time products are sold. These estimates are established using historical information on the nature, frequency, and average cost of warranty claims. Management actively studies trends of warranty claims and takes action to improve equipment quality and minimize warranty claims. Management believes that the warranty reserve is appropriate; however, actual claims incurred could differ from the original estimates, requiring adjustments to the reserve.

Stock based compensation

Prior to the financial restructuring on May 19, 2003, the Company had nine plans under which it granted options. The Company accounted for employee share plans under Accounting Principles Board Opinion (APB) No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. No compensation cost was recognized for ordinary shares and share options issued under fixed plans with a price equal to fair market value. For fixed plans, the measurement date was the grant date. The Company recognized compensation cost for all ordinary shares and stock options issued with an exercise price below fair market value at the grant date and for plans subject to variable accounting. For variable plans, the compensation cost was re-measured on the basis of the current market value of M (2003) plc stock at the end of each reporting period. For ordinary options, such expense was recognized over the vesting period of the options. The Company recognized compensation expense for plans with performance conditions if achievement of these conditions became probable.

At the date of the financial restructuring, options outstanding under certain plans have been forfeited because the employees are no longer employed by a group company. For the remaining share options issued under variable plans, the compensation cost has been re-measured on the basis of a £nil value of M (2003) plc stock at March 31, 2004 because the directors believe that the shares are worthless. The full expense has been recognized in the current year because there is no future service period and there are no circumstances under which it is expected that any value will be attributable to these share options.

Table of Contents

The Company recorded a stock compensation credit in fiscal 2004 of approximately £37 million. This credit comprises a charge of £9 million (2003, £14 million and 2002, £26 million), related to stock options issued below fair market value and those plans, which are variable and a credit of £46 million relating to stock options that were forfeit as a result of the financial restructuring. This credit has been reported as part of the gain on financial restructuring. Had compensation cost for the employee share plans been determined consistent with the fair value methodology of SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, the Company's net (loss)/income would have been as follows:

| (in millions) | Fiscal year ended | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | 2004 | March 31, 2003 | 2002 |
| | £ | £ | £ |
| Net income/(loss): | | | |
| As reported | 2,276 | (807) | (6,150) |
| Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based method, net of related tax effects | | | (20) |
| (Deduct)/add back: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under APB 25 | (37) | 14 | 26 |
| Pro forma (loss)/income | 2,239 | (793) | (6,144) |

Under SFAS 123, the fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

| | 2004 | 2003 | 2002 |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|
| Risk-free interest rate | n/a | n/a | 4.41% |
| Expected life (years) | n/a | n/a | 4.9 |
| Assumed volatility | n/a | n/a | 60.0% |
| Expected dividends | | | |

No stock options were granted during fiscal 2004 or 2003.

Other comprehensive income/(loss)

Comprehensive income/(loss) represents the net income/(loss) for the period plus the results of certain shareholders' equity/(deficit) changes that are not reflected in the consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

The accumulated balances of other comprehensive income/(loss) are as follows:

| | Net unrealized gains/(losses) on investments £ | Accumulated translation adjustments £ | Net unrealized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments £ | Minimum pension liability adjustment £ | Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) £ |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| As of March 31, 2001 | 217 | 18 | | | 235 |
| Cumulative effect of change in accounting principles | | | (2) | | (2) |
| Movement for the year | (217) | (66) | (21) | | (304) |
| As of March 31, 2002 | | (48) | (23) | | (71) |
| Movement for the year | | 62 | 22 | (295) | (211) |
| As of March 31, 2003 | | 14 | (1) | (295) | (282) |
| Movement for the year | | (12) | | | (12) |
| Transfer on financial restructuring | | (2) | 1 | 295 | 294 |
| As of March 31, 2004 | | | | | |

Recently issued accounting pronouncements not yet adopted

The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of any recently issued accounting pronouncements that have yet to be adopted will have a material impact on its financial position, cash flows or results of operations because, after completion of the financial restructuring, the Company has no trading activities.

Financial statement reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to 2002 balances as well as segment information, in order to conform to the 2003 and 2004 presentation.

Non-statutory accounts

The accompanying financial statements do not comprise statutory accounts within the meaning of Section 240 of the Companies Act 1985. The Company's statutory accounts for fiscal 2004 prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United Kingdom (U.K. GAAP) have been delivered to the Registrar of Companies for England and Wales.

The auditors' report on those accounts was unqualified and did not contain a statement under Section 237(2) or 237(3) of the Companies Act 1985.

3. Financial restructuring

Until May 19, 2003, the Company was the parent company for the Marconi Group.

On May 19, 2003, the Company and its then subsidiary Marconi Corporation plc concluded a financial restructuring (the financial restructuring), which was effected through two separate schemes of arrangement under the U.K. Companies Act 1985. Under the schemes of arrangement:

Marconi Corporation plc issued new share capital, loan notes and cash to the creditors of Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc in exchange for the cancellation of claims against the Companies;

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

The shares in Marconi Corporation plc previously held by M (2003) plc were cancelled; and

The great majority of inter-group receivables held by M (2003) plc were waived except as specified in the scheme.

F-18

Table of Contents

The following amounts were issued by Marconi Corporation plc in connection with the cancellation of the claims against the Companies:

Cash: £340 million in cash;

Senior notes: U.S.\$717,139,584 (approximately £437 million) in aggregate principal amount of new guaranteed senior secured notes due April 2008 with interest payable quarterly in cash at a rate of 8% per annum;

Junior notes: U.S.\$486,881,472 (approximately £297 million) in aggregate principal amount of new guaranteed junior secured notes due October 2008 with interest payable quarterly in cash at a rate of 10% per annum or, at the option of Marconi Corporation plc, in kind, by issuing additional junior notes, at a rate of 12% per annum; and

Shares: 995 million ordinary shares, representing 99.5% of Marconi Corporation plc's issued ordinary share capital on May 19, 2003, were issued to creditors and 5 million ordinary shares, representing 0.5% of Marconi Corporation plc's issued ordinary share capital on May 19, 2003, were issued to shareholders of M (2003) plc with warrants to subscribe for up to 50 million additional ordinary shares.

These new securities were then eliminated from the group when the shares in Marconi Corporation plc previously held by M (2003) plc were cancelled. Therefore the Company ceased to be the parent company of the Marconi Group on May 19, 2003 and from that date ceased to operate any trading activities. Consequently, the entities included in the consolidated financial statements presented in this document for the period after May 19, 2003 are the Company and its subsidiaries (which are all dormant and non-trading) listed in note 22. Trading in the Company's shares on the London Stock Exchange ceased on May 16, 2003 and the Company's shares were subsequently delisted.

The name of the Company changed from Marconi plc to M (2003) plc on October 21, 2003.

Since the three steps in the schemes of arrangement were all contingent upon each other, the overall effect on the Company of the financial restructuring was the elimination of all net liabilities, (except for cash of £9 million to cover the future costs of administering the scheme) for nil proceeds. This resulted in a gain on the financial restructuring as set out in the table below.

Table of Contents

The gain was calculated as follows:

Gain on financial restructuring

| | £ million |
|---|------------------|
| Net (liabilities)/assets eliminated | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 251 |
| Investments in joint ventures, affiliates and other investments | 35 |
| Goodwill | 654 |
| Intangibles, net | 95 |
| Inventories, net | 237 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 526 |
| Net cash/(overdrafts) | 1,117 |
| Borrowings (excluding overdrafts)* | (4,770) |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | (1,081) |
| Deferred income tax liability, net | (5) |
| Capital lease obligations | (3) |
| Minority interests | (3) |
| Retirement benefit deficit | (334) |
| | <u>(3,281)</u> |
| Amounts waived on Scheme of Arrangement | 804 |
| Elimination of amounts included in shareholders' equity: | |
| Minimum pension liability | 295 |
| Accumulated translation adjustments | (2) |
| Net unrealised gains/(losses) on derivative instruments | 1 |
| | <u>1</u> |
| Gain on financial restructuring recorded in statement of operations | <u>(2,183)</u> |

* This represents the borrowings of the Group before the financial restructuring.

The financial restructuring was implemented by way of two separate schemes of arrangement under section 425 of the U.K. Companies Act 1985. As a result, the gain on financial restructuring described above will not give rise to any taxable amounts.

Pursuant to the Company's Scheme, the remaining assets of the Company will be distributed over time to scheme creditors, following which it is intended that the Company and its remaining subsidiaries will be dissolved. There will be no circumstances under which any value will be returned to shareholders under the terms of the Company's Scheme.

On the date of the financial restructuring, a further gain of £123 million was realised on the settlement of equity forward contracts outstanding and was recorded in the consolidated statement of operations within other income. See note 2 and note 18 for further discussion of these equity forward contracts.

Expenses incurred by the Scheme Supervisors in the administration of the Scheme post May 19, 2003 to March 31, 2004 are approximately £0.3 million.

Table of Contents**4. Balance sheet information**

| (in millions) | March 31, | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| | 2004 £ | 2003 £ |
| <i>Accounts receivable, net:</i> | | |
| Accounts receivable | | 540 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | | (78) |
| Total | | 462 |
| <i>Inventories:</i> | | |
| Finished goods | | 76 |
| Work-in-process | | 69 |
| Raw materials | | 89 |
| Total | | 234 |
| <i>Accrued expenses and other current liabilities:</i> | | |
| Accrued income and other taxes | | 160 |
| Accrued expenses and deferred income | | 182 |
| Other | | 706 |
| Total | | 1,048 |
| <i>Property, plant and equipment, net:</i> | | |
| Land | | 139 |
| Building and improvements | | 10 |
| Machinery and equipment | | 785 |
| | | 934 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | | (671) |
| Total | | 263 |

Depreciation expense for fiscal 2004 was £10 million (2003, £125 million and 2002, £217 million). During fiscal 2004 the Company capitalized £nil (2003, £0.4 million and 2002, £4 million) in interest expense related to construction in progress.

During fiscal 2003 the Company disposed of land and buildings with a net book value of £6 million for sales proceeds of £17 million. The Company subsequently leased back 40% of these land and buildings under a 10-year sale and leaseback agreement. The Company accounted for the 40% leased back as a financing transaction.

During fiscal 2003 in conjunction with the business restructuring and site rationalizations described in note 6, Business restructuring charges, the Company impaired property, plant and equipment by £69 million.

On May 19, 2003 the company underwent the financial restructuring described in note 3. At the same date the Company divested fully of its interests in the Marconi Group. At March 31, 2004 the company had no assets or liabilities other than cash of £9 million, to be used for the payment of creditors prior to liquidation.

5. Debt and credit facilities

Short-term debt

Prior to the financial restructuring the Company's short-term borrowings consisted primarily of bank loans and overdrafts and were unsecured.

F-21

Table of Contents

Under the Company's 1998 syndicated credit agreement, a group of banks committed a maximum of 4.5 billion (approximately £2.8 billion) at March 31, 2001 on an unsecured, revolving basis until March 25, 2003. Effective March 22, 2002, the undrawn portion of this facility was cancelled and the facility was placed on demand. Under the terms of this agreement, borrowings bore interest of 0.175% per annum over London inter-bank offered rate (LIBOR). As of March 31, 2003, the average interest rate was 6.36%.

No undrawn amounts were available to the Company under outstanding credit facilities at March 31, 2004 or March 31, 2003.

Long-term debt

| (in millions) | March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2004 £ | 2003 £ |
| Term loans | | 1,836 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | | (1,806) |
| Total long-term debt | | 30 |

On September 19, 2000, the Company issued for cash consideration, two unsecured yankee bonds having an aggregate principal amount of \$1.8 billion (approximately £1.3 billion). One bond was for \$900 million (approximately £630 million) with a coupon rate of 7.75% per annum, maturing on September 15, 2010. The other bond was for \$900 million (approximately £630 million) with a coupon rate of 8.375% per annum, maturing on September 15, 2030. On March 17, 2003 the Company failed to meet a scheduled interest payment on these bonds. As a result, as at March 31, 2003, these bonds were repayable on demand.

On March 30, 2000, the Company issued for cash consideration two unsecured euro bonds having an aggregate principal amount of 1.5 billion (approximately £927 million). One bond was for 500 million (approximately £309 million) with a coupon rate of 5.625% per annum, maturing on March 30, 2005. The other bond was for 1,000 million (approximately £618 million) with a coupon rate of 6.375% per annum, maturing on March 30, 2010.

Both the yankee bonds and the euro bonds were issued by Marconi Corporation plc and were fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company up to the date of the financial restructuring of May 19, 2003 described in note 3.

During fiscal 2002, the Company repurchased 67.9 million (£41.7 million) of euro bonds with a coupon rate of 5.625% per annum maturing on March 30, 2005, 256.7 million (£157.5 million) of euro bonds with a coupon rate of 6.375% per annum maturing on March 31, 2010, \$131.0 million (£92.3 million) of the 7.75% yankee bonds with a coupon rate of 7.75% per annum maturing September 15, 2010 and \$130.1 million (£91.6 million) of yankee bonds with a coupon rate of 8.75% maturing September 15, 2030. The gain on these repurchases of £166 million was recognized as other income.

As of March 31, 2004, the Company had other obligations of £nil (2003, £20 million) related to secured debenture loans.

Key covenants

Under the terms of the financial restructuring, the covenants and mandatory reductions in principal under the terms of all long term debt agreements have changed significantly and are no longer applicable to the Company. For further details on the impact of the recently announced financial restructuring on the long-term debt, refer to note 3.

Table of Contents**6. Business restructuring charges**

In September 2001, following the sudden and significant downturn in trading in the global telecommunications markets, the results of an operational review that had three main objectives were announced. The original objectives were to reorganize the group into two main reporting divisions: Core and Capital, to reduce group indebtedness from £4.4 billion at August 31, 2001 to between £2.7 billion and £3.2 billion by March 31, 2002 and to reduce the annual operating cost base. These objectives were later revised to reflect further operating cost base reductions during fiscal 2004.

When management reviews the operating income performance of the segments described in note 10, Segment and related information disclosures, management uses U.K. GAAP operating profit/(loss) before goodwill and intangible asset amortization, in process research and development write-offs, U.K. GAAP operating exceptional items, gains and losses on business disposal and the impact of less than 50% owned affiliates. This measure allocated to those segments does not include business restructuring charges, which relate to the reorganization of the business as a whole. A segmental allocation of restructuring charges is impracticable. Consequently, a segmental analysis has not been provided.

The following tables show the activity by statement of operations heading and the balances remaining in other liabilities at March 31, 2004 and 2003 following the implementation of actions required to achieve these objectives.

| (in millions) | Balance at | Charged | Utilized during | | Balance at |
|---|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2003 | during fiscal 2004 | Net cash payments | Non cash movement | March 31, 2004 |
| | £ | £ | £ | £ | £ |
| <i>Direct costs:</i> | | | | | |
| Manufacturing outsourcing | 8 | (1) | | (7) | |
| Onerous contracts | 6 | (2) | | (4) | |
| | 14 | (3) | | (11) | |
| <i>Business restructuring costs:</i> | | | | | |
| Employee severance | 10 | 4 | (12) | (2) | |
| Site rationalization | 46 | 1 | (2) | (45) | |
| Contractual commitments and other restructuring | 3 | | | (3) | |
| Advisor fees | 10 | | (6) | (4) | |
| | 69 | 5 | (20) | (54) | |
| Total | 83 | 2 | (20) | (65) | |

Table of Contents

| (in millions) | Balance at March 31, 2002 £ | Charged during fiscal 2003 £ | Utilized during fiscal 2003 | | Balance at March 31, 2003 £ |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | | Net cash payments £ | Non cash movement £ | |
| <i>Direct costs:</i> | | | | | |
| Inventory write-downs and related costs | 40 | | 20 | 20 | |
| Manufacturing outsourcing | 31 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 8 |
| Onerous contracts | 31 | 5 | 30 | | 6 |
| | 102 | 26 | 71 | 43 | 14 |
| <i>Business restructuring costs:</i> | | | | | |
| Employee severance | 30 | 128 | 148 | | 10 |
| Site rationalization | 40 | 36 | 30 | | 46 |
| Contractual commitments and other restructuring | 13 | | | 10 | 3 |
| Systems implementation | 24 | (7) | 17 | | |
| Advisor fees | | 73 | 63 | | 10 |
| | 107 | 230 | 258 | 10 | 69 |
| Total | 209 | 256 | 329 | 53 | 83 |

| (in millions) | Charged during fiscal 2002 £ | Utilized during fiscal 2002 | | Balance at March 31, 2002 £ |
|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | Net cash payments £ | Non cash movement £ | |
| <i>Direct costs:</i> | | | | |
| Inventory write-downs and related costs | 647 | 51 | 556 | 40 |
| Manufacturing outsourcing | 127 | 77 | 19 | 31 |
| Onerous contracts | 31 | | | 31 |
| | 805 | 128 | 575 | 102 |
| <i>Business restructuring costs:</i> | | | | |
| Employee severance | 237 | 207 | | 30 |
| Site rationalization | 40 | | | 40 |
| Contractual commitments and other restructuring | 46 | 26 | 7 | 13 |
| Systems implementation | 73 | 49 | | 24 |
| | 396 | 282 | 7 | 107 |
| Total | 1,201 | 410 | 582 | 209 |

Inventory write-downs and related costs

In fiscal 2002 the inventory write-downs and related costs charged to cost of sales in the year included £556 million for obsolescence and slow-moving provisions against a number of product lines, predominantly optical networking products, and £91 million in respect of supplier commitments. Of the £91 million for supplier commitments, £51 million was paid out during fiscal 2002. The remaining balance of £40 million

was utilized during fiscal 2003.

Manufacturing outsourcing

In fiscal 2002 restructuring costs classified in cost of sales includes a charge of £127 million representing additional costs incurred as a consequence of the decision to outsource certain manufacturing

F-24

Table of Contents

operations to Jabil Circuit Inc. Under the terms of that agreement, the Company made payments of £77 million during fiscal 2002. In fiscal 2003, the charge of £21 million represents additional net costs to Jabil Circuit Inc. arising in the year. In fiscal 2004, £1 million was credited to restructuring costs relating to the outsourcing of certain manufacturing operations. The remaining balance was transferred out of the group as part of the financial restructuring at May 19, 2003.

Onerous contracts

The charge of £5 million in respect of onerous contracts in fiscal 2003 (2002, £31 million) represents certain liabilities to which the Company was committed as a result of the operational restructuring. This includes liabilities relating to equipment leasing contracts and supply contracts under which we had agreed to purchase minimum volumes of goods and services offering no economic value to our business as a result of its reduced size. In fiscal 2004 £2 million was released to the statement of operations in relation to onerous contracts. The remaining balance was transferred out of the group as part of the financial restructuring at May 19, 2003.

Employee severance

As a consequence of the objective to reduce the annual operating cost base, the Company recorded a charge of £128 million during fiscal 2003 associated with redundancy payments for approximately 7,500 employees. In fiscal 2002, the Company recorded a charge of £237 million to reflect the charges associated with voluntary redundancy payments for approximately 10,000 employees. The Company made cash payments of £148 million in fiscal 2003 (2002, £207 million). In fiscal 2004, a charge of £4 million was recorded as part of the group's cost reduction actions and payments of approximately £12 million were made. The remaining balance was transferred out of the group as part of the financial restructuring at May 19, 2003.

Site rationalization

The charge of £36 million in respect of site rationalization in fiscal 2003 (2002, £40 million) represents additional costs associated with closing and consolidating various sites around the world as part of the business restructuring. These site closures and consolidations were all commenced prior to December 15, 2002. In fiscal 2004, a charge of £1 million was recorded as part of the group's cost reduction actions and payments of approximately £2 million were made. The remaining balance was transferred out of the group as part of the financial restructuring at May 19, 2003.

Contractual commitments and other restructuring

During fiscal 2002 a charge of £46 million was recorded in respect of other costs associated with the restructuring program. Payments of £26 million were made during fiscal 2002 and an additional non-cash charge of £7 million recorded, leaving a balance at March 31, 2002 of £13 million. Of this balance, costs of £10 million have been charged during fiscal 2003 and a balance of £3 million remains at March 31, 2003. In fiscal 2004, the remaining balance was transferred out of the group as part of the financial restructuring at May 19, 2003.

Systems implementation

During fiscal 2002 the Group planned to implement a new global information technology system. In light of the revised trading outlook and the continued focus on cost reduction, the implementation was terminated. The £73 million charge in fiscal 2002 represents £43 million of capitalised external consultancy costs associated with the implementation, £24 million of hardware and software costs expensed, and £6 million of other associated costs of the project. Payments of £49 million were made in fiscal 2002. During fiscal 2003, the Company revised its previous estimate of the overall costs leading to the release of £7 million from the amounts accrued in fiscal 2002. Payments of £17 million were made in fiscal 2003.

Table of Contents*Advisor fees*

The charge of £73 million in fiscal 2003 in respect of advisor fees represents charges from the Company's external advisors with respect to services rendered in fiscal 2003 related to the financial restructuring. Payments of £63 million were made during fiscal 2003 and a balance of £10 million remained at March 31, 2003. In fiscal 2004, payments of approximately £6 million were made and the remaining balance was transferred out of the group as part of the financial restructuring at May 19, 2003.

7. Goodwill

When management reviews the operating income performance of the segments described in note 10, Segment and related information disclosures, management uses the U.K. GAAP operating profit/(loss) before goodwill and intangible asset amortization, in process research and development write-offs, U.K. GAAP operating exceptional items, gains and losses on business disposal and the impact of less than 50% owned affiliates. This measure allocated to those segments does not include goodwill amortization charges. A segmental allocation of goodwill is impracticable. Consequently, a segmental analysis has not been provided.

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended March 31, 2004, are as follows:

| (in millions) | Cost £ | Accumulated amortization £ | Total £ |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|
| Balance at April 1, 2002 | 1,903 | (1,224) | 679 |
| Goodwill disposed of | (3) | 1 | (2) |
| Translation adjustment | (20) | | (20) |
| Balance at March 31, 2003 | 1,880 | (1,223) | 657 |
| Goodwill disposed of | (1,877) | 1,223 | (654) |
| Translation adjustment | (3) | | (3) |
| Balance at March 31, 2004 | | | |

In accordance with SFAS 142, goodwill is no longer subject to amortization, rather, it is subject to at least an annual assessment of impairment, applying a fair-value based test. The Company completed the SFAS 142 transitional impairment test during the second quarter of fiscal 2003 and concluded that there was no impairment of recorded goodwill. The assessment measured the amount by which the carrying amounts of the goodwill and intangible assets exceeded the present value of expected future cash flows from operations. Following a similar review in fiscal 2002 goodwill was impaired by £2,622 million. This amount is included in the caption "Impairment of long-lived assets" in the consolidated statement of operations and explained further in note 2, Summary of significant accounting policies. Amortization expense for fiscal 2002 was £393 million.

The total goodwill balance at May 19, 2003 was disposed of as part of the financial restructuring described in Note 3.

A reconciliation of net income and earnings per common share for fiscal 2003 and 2002, as if SFAS 142 had been adopted at the beginning of that year, follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|
| Reported net income/(loss) | 2,276 | (807) | (6,150) |
| Add back amortization of goodwill | | | 393 |

| | | | |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Adjusted net income/(loss) | 2,276 | (807) | (5,757) |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|---------|

F-26

Table of Contents**8. Intangible assets**

Intangible assets subject to amortization, net of impairment of £nil (2003, £nil and 2002, £1,192 million) consist of the following:

| (in millions) | March 31, | | March 31, | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| | 2004 | 2003 | 2004 | 2003 |
| | Cost £ | Accumulated amortization £ | Cost £ | Accumulated amortization £ |
| Core developed technology | | | 360 | (267) |
| Internally generated software | | | 5 | (3) |
| Trademarks | | | 1 | |
| Customer lists | | | 6 | (1) |
| | | | 372 | (271) |

Amortization of intangibles for fiscal 2004 was £6 million (2003, £44 million and 2002, £43 million). All intangible assets were disposed of as part of the financial restructuring described in Note 3.

9. Pension plans and other post-retirement plans***Pension plans***

On May 19, 2003 the Company and its then subsidiary, Marconi Corporation plc, entered into schemes of arrangement as described in note 3. As a result of this, the pension schemes are not part of the M (2003) plc Group as at March 31, 2004. The pension schemes are now liabilities of the group now headed by Marconi Corporation plc.

Prior to May 19, 2003, the Marconi businesses previously held by the Group operated defined benefit pension plans in the UK, US and Europe, and post retirement benefit plans in the US. The most significant pension plan was the GEC 1972 Plan (the UK Plan) in the UK, a defined benefit plan.

The assets of the Plan were held separately from the assets of the Group, were administered by trustees and were managed professionally.

The benefits offered to specific employees vary based upon the location of and past business decisions made by a specific business unit, as well as local statutory requirements. Defined benefit pension plans, that generally provide benefits to eligible individuals, after minimum service requirements are met, are based on years of credit service and average earnings of the employee. Defined contribution plans, that provide benefits to eligible employees, are based on the value of contributions paid into the applicable plan adjusted for investment returns.

The Company funded its defined benefit pension obligations at a level, which met or exceeded local legal requirements. Funded pension plan assets were primarily invested in equity and debt securities.

Table of Contents

Data with respect to benefit obligations, excluding those related to discontinued operations, is as follows:

| (in millions) | Pension benefits U.K. plans | | Pension benefits Overseas plans | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2004 £ | 2003 £ |
| Change in benefit obligations: | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | 2,444 | 2,393 | 245 | 215 |
| Service cost | 5 | 26 | | 7 |
| Interest cost/(income) | (1) | 138 | | 14 |
| Plan participants contributions | | 6 | | |
| Actuarial loss/(gain) | | 64 | | 24 |
| Benefits paid | (1) | (183) | (1) | (10) |
| Curtailment loss | | | | 3 |
| Settlement gain | | | | (2) |
| Divestitures | (2,447) | | (244) | |
| Foreign currency exchange rate changes | | | | (6) |
| Benefit obligations at end of year | | 2,444 | | 245 |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | 2,237 | 2,372 | 134 | 178 |
| Actual return on plan assets | | 19 | | (17) |
| Employer contributions | | 23 | | |
| Plan participants contributions | | 6 | | |
| Benefits paid | (1) | (183) | (1) | (10) |
| Divestitures | (2,236) | | (133) | |
| Foreign currency exchange rate changes | | | | (17) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | | 2,237 | | 134 |
| Under funded status | | (207) | | (111) |
| Unrecognized net actuarial loss | | 301 | | 62 |
| Unrecognized net transition obligation | | 3 | | |
| Net amount recognized | | 97 | | (49) |
| Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet consist of: | | | | |
| Prepaid benefit cost | | | | |
| Accrued benefit liability | | (139) | | (108) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | | 236 | | 59 |
| Net amount recognized | | 97 | | (49) |

The actuarial assumptions used to develop the periodic benefit cost and funded status were as follows:

| (in millions) | Pension benefits U.K. plans | | | Pension benefits Overseas plans | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2004 % | 2003 % | 2002 % | 2004 % | 2003 % | 2002 % |
| Weighted average assumptions: | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Discount rate for pension Expense | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 7.5 |
| Discount rate for year end disclosure | 5.3 | 5.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.9 |
| Expected return on plan Assets | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.5 |
| Rate of compensation increases | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.2 |
| Rate of pension increases | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 |

F-28

Table of Contents

The table below presents data with respect to net periodic benefit expense/(income) excluding those related to discontinued operations:

| (in millions) | Pension benefits U.K. plans | | | Pension benefits Overseas plans | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ | 2004 £ | 2003 £ |
| Components of net periodic Benefit Expense/(income): | | | | | |
| Service cost | 5 | 26 | 34 | 7 | 4 |
| Interest cost/(income) | (1) | 138 | 143 | 14 | 18 |
| Expected return on plan Assets | | (138) | (181) | (13) | (25) |
| Amortization of unrecognized transition liability/(asset) | | 3 | 3 | | |
| Amortization of prior service Cost | | | | | 1 |
| Amortization of actuarial Gains | | | | | (1) |
| Net periodic benefit expense/(income) of defined benefit plans | 4 | 29 | (1) | 8 | (3) |
| Amounts recognized in respect of discontinued operations | | | | | 9 |
| Net curtailment loss | | | | 3 | |
| Net periodic benefit expense/(income) | 4 | 29 | (1) | 11 | 6 |

At March 31, 2004 the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation, and fair value of plan assets for pension plans which have an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets were £nil million (2003, £2,689 million, £2,618 million, and £2,371 million respectively).

Other post-retirement benefits

At March 31, 2004 no active employees and no retired employees (2003, no active employees and 1,730 retired employees) of companies in the United States of America and Canada were entitled to health care benefits after retirement.

Table of Contents

All of the other post retirement plans are unfunded. The benefit cost charges and provisions for the liability are as follows:

| (in millions) | Post retirement Benefits March 31, | |
|--|--|-----------|
| | 2004 £ | 2003 £ |
| Change in benefit obligations: | | |
| Benefit obligations at beginning of year | 29 | 38 |
| Service cost | | |
| Interest cost | | 2 |
| Plan amendments | | (1) |
| Actuarial loss | | 3 |
| Businesses disposed | (28) | |
| Curtailement gain | | (5) |
| Benefits paid | | (4) |
| Foreign currency exchange rate changes | (1) | (4) |
| Benefit obligations at end of year | | 29 |
| Funded status | | (29) |
| Unrecognized net actuarial gain | | (9) |
| Unrecognized prior service cost | | (3) |
| Net accrued benefit cost | | (41) |

Data with respect to net periodic benefit cost is as follows:

| (in millions) | Post retirement benefits March 31, | | |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|
| | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
| Components of net periodic benefit (income)/expense: | | | |
| Service cost | | | 1 |
| Interest cost | | 2 | 3 |
| Amortization of net gain | | (1) | (1) |
| Net curtailment gain | | (5) | |
| Net periodic benefit (income)/expense of other post-retirement plans | | (4) | 3 |

Different assumptions were made in relation to the cost of retiree health care benefits. For the majority of plans, the assumptions were as follows:

In fiscal 2003, an annual rate of increase per capita in the cost of retiree health care benefits of 12% for 2003 (decreasing gradually over the following years to 6% in 2012) was assumed for all employees and retirees. The annual rate of increase in the cost of prescription drugs of 15% in 2003 (decreasing gradually over the following years to 6% in 2012) was assumed for all employees and retirees. In fiscal 2002, the annual rate of increase per capita in the cost of retiree healthcare benefits (including prescription drugs) for employees under age 65 was assumed to be 6% and for retirees over 65 was assumed to be 7.5% for 2002 (decreasing over the following years to 5% in 2005).

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

An increase or decrease of one percentage point in such assumed rates would have resulted in no change to the benefit obligation at March 31, 2004 and the service and interest cost components of the net periodic benefit cost for the year then ended.

F-30

Table of Contents

Defined contribution plans

The former U.S. subsidiaries of the Company operate 401(k) plans for eligible employees who contribute a percentage of their pre-tax compensation with the Company matching these contributions up to prescribed limits. For fiscal 2004, the matching contributions were £1 million (2003, £7 million and 2002, £14 million).

10. Segment and related information disclosures

The Company's reportable segments for trading activities prior to the financial restructuring on May 19, 2003 have been determined based upon the nature of the products and services that were offered to its customers, which were managed separately and were comprised of the following:

The Network Equipment segment developed, manufactured, sold and supported optical networks, transmission systems and network management software for customers in the carrier network market. It also provided to customers in the carrier network market a broad range of access products. In addition, it supplied customers in both the carrier and the enterprise network markets a broad range of high-performance, high-capacity broadband switches, which select paths for sending large amounts of voice and data traffic through a network

The Network Services segment provided a broad range of support services to the communications industry worldwide tailored to suit customers' needs. It supported both the Company's products and those of other network equipment manufacturers.

The Other segment contains the Company's other investments and businesses not included in other segments.

Management referred to Network Equipment and Network Services in aggregate as the Core division and Other as the Capital division.

Discontinued segments

During fiscal 2002, the Company disposed of both its Data Systems and Medical Systems businesses, and on August 2, 2002, the Company announced the disposal of its strategic communications business, a significant portion of the Mobile segment. Effective March 31, 2002, these segments were classified as discontinued operations as described further in note 13, Discontinued operations.

The Mobile Communications segment designed, developed, and integrated communications and information technologies into wireless communications systems for professional and military uses.

The Data Systems segment provided a broad range of marking and imaging equipment used in the identification, distribution, and tracking of food products, goods and printed matter.

The Medical Systems segment manufactured and designed medical imaging equipment for both diagnostic and therapeutic uses.

Segmental performance

Revenues and operating profits are measured on a segmental basis in accordance with U.K. GAAP for 2003 and 2002. In 2004 all revenues and operating profits were analyzed as discontinued operations in the UK GAAP accounts but have been analyzed consistently with prior years below. The principal measurement differences between U.K. GAAP and U.S. GAAP as related to the information reported on a segmental basis are the result of differences in the accounting for pensions and post-retirement benefits, reorganization costs, goodwill and employee share options.

Capital employed is also as reported under U.K. GAAP. It is not practicable to identify the total capital employed of network equipment and network services separately as the same assets are, generally, used to generate sales in each of these segments. The operating results of these segments are separately reportable.

Table of Contents

Management uses the U.K. GAAP operating (loss)/profit before goodwill and intangible asset amortization, in-process research and development write-offs, U.K. GAAP exceptional items, gains and losses on business disposals and the impact of 50% or less owned affiliates as its measure of segment profitability. In the tables below, this measure is referred to as segment operating (loss)/profit.

The following tables present the Company's revenues, operating (loss)/income before exceptional items, goodwill amortization and other items, and other financial data from the Company's reportable segments presented in accordance with U.K. GAAP and then reconciled to U.S. GAAP financial information consolidated totals:

Analysis of reportable segments (U.K. GAAP)

| As of and for the year ended March 31, 2004 (in millions) | Revenues £ | Segment operating (loss)/profit £ | Capital employed ⁽²⁾ £ |
|---|---------------|--|---|
| Network equipment | 100 | (28) | |
| Network services | 68 | 4 | |
| Other (including intra activity sales) | | (14) | |
| Segment total U.K. GAAP | 168 | (38) | |

| As of and for the year ended March 31, 2003 (in millions) | Revenues £ | Segment operating (loss)/profit £ | Capital employed ⁽²⁾ £ |
|---|---------------|--|---|
| Network equipment | 1,131 | (259) | 220 |
| Network services | 743 | 52 | |
| Capital (net of intra segment revenue of £7) | 40 | (107) | (27) |
| Discontinued ⁽¹⁾ | 88 | (2) | |
| Segment total U.K. GAAP | 2,002 | (316) | 193 |

| As of and for the year ended March 31, 2002 (in millions) | Revenues £ | Segment operating (loss)/profit £ | Capital employed ⁽²⁾ £ |
|---|---------------|--|---|
| Network equipment | 1,804 | (464) | 607 |
| Network services | 969 | 35 | |
| Capital (net of intra segment revenue of £32) | 390 | (138) | 122 |
| Discontinued ⁽¹⁾ | 1,404 | 104 | 196 |
| Segment total U.K. GAAP | 4,567 | (463) | 925 |

(1) For fiscal 2003 discontinued operations relate to mobile and for fiscal 2002 discontinued operations are related to data systems, medical systems and mobile.

- (2) Included in capital employed are the following: property, plant and equipment, inventory, accounts receivable, prepaid expense and other current assets, other non-current assets, accounts payable, accrued liabilities, non-current liabilities, and other liabilities.

F-32

Table of Contents*Analysis of revenue by product (U.K. GAAP)*

| | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|--|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Network Equipment: | | | |
| Optical Networks | 35 | 439 | 737 |
| BPRS | 9 | 142 | 209 |
| European Access | 19 | 258 | 361 |
| North American Access | 13 | 95 | 121 |
| Outside Plant & Power | 18 | 140 | 247 |
| Other Network Equipment | 6 | 57 | 129 |
| Total | 100 | 1,131 | 1,804 |
| Network Services: | | | |
| IC&M | 35 | 370 | 528 |
| VAS | 33 | 373 | 441 |
| Total | 68 | 743 | 969 |
| Total Network Equipment and Network Services revenues | 168 | 1,874 | 2,773 |
| Total capital revenue | | 40 | 390 |
| Total discontinued | | 88 | 1,404 |
| Total revenues | 168 | 2,002 | 4,567 |

*Reconciliation of U.K. GAAP segmental information to U.S. GAAP**Revenue*

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|---|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Revenue in accordance with U.K. GAAP | 168 | 2,002 | 4,567 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| data systems | | | (175) |
| Medical | | | (584) |
| Mobile | | (106) | (355) |
| Joint ventures | | | (257) |
| Long-term contract adjustment | | | 50 |
| Revenue in accordance with U.S. GAAP | 168 | 1,896 | 3,246 |

Table of Contents*Operating (loss)/income*

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|--|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Segmental operating loss per U.K. GAAP | (38) | (316) | (463) |
| Amortization expense | (13) | (104) | (433) |
| Share of associates operating loss | | (89) | (181) |
| Operating exceptional items | (2) | (358) | (5,216) |
| U.K. GAAP operating loss | (53) | (867) | (6,293) |
| Joint ventures and associates operating loss/(profit) | | 129 | 178 |
| U.K. GAAP non-operating exceptional items included in U.S. GAAP operating income | | | 36 |
| U.K. GAAP operating loss/(income) of discontinued operations | | 70 | 35 |
| U.S. GAAP adjustments: | | | |
| Share option plans | (9) | (14) | (31) |
| Long-term contract adjustment | | | 30 |
| Pension and other post-retirement benefits | (3) | 11 | 30 |
| Goodwill and intangible asset amortization and impairment Charges | 7 | 37 | (298) |
| Gain/(loss) on disposal of businesses | | 5 | (68) |
| Restructuring costs | | 18 | (10) |
| Other | | 3 | (1) |
| U.S. GAAP operating loss | (58) | (608) | (6,392) |
| (Loss)/gain on investments, net | | (45) | 89 |
| Gain on financial restructuring | 2,183 | | |
| Gain on settlement of equity forward contracts | 123 | | |
| Other income | | 34 | 166 |
| Interest income | 29 | 45 | 40 |
| Interest expense | | (329) | (272) |
| U.S. GAAP income/(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interests and cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles | 2,277 | (903) | (6,369) |

Table of Contents*Capital employed/total assets*

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | 2003 £ |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Total U.K. GAAP segment capital employed | | 193 |
| Capital employed of fixed asset investments | | 47 |
| Corporate capital employed not allocated to segments: | | |
| Goodwill | | 597 |
| Net amount due from related parties | | 21 |
| Tax | | (137) |
| Net interest bearing assets and liabilities | | (2,780) |
| Net assets of non trading entities | | 227 |
| U.K. GAAP capital employed | | (1,832) |
| U.S. GAAP adjustments: | | |
| Provisions for restructuring not included in U.K. GAAP capital employed | | (355) |
| Provisions for restructuring not included in U.S. GAAP capital employed | 9 | |
| Goodwill and intangible asset amortization and impairment charges | | 161 |
| Derivatives | | (68) |
| Pensions and other post-retirement benefits | | (9) |
| Other | | (10) |
| | 9 | (2,113) |
| Add back credit balances included in capital employed: | | |
| Accounts payable | | 163 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | | 1,048 |
| Net liabilities of discontinued operations | | 12 |
| Current portion of long term debt | | 1,806 |
| Short-term debt | | 2,195 |
| Total U.S. GAAP assets | 9 | 3,111 |

U.K. U.S. GAAP adjustments

The following describes the significant U.K. GAAP to U.S. GAAP adjustments as they relate to segment information.

Joint venture revenues

As required under U.K. GAAP, the segment revenue total includes the Company's share of revenues earned by joint ventures. Accordingly, the Company's share of joint ventures revenue is deducted in reconciling from revenue as reported under U.K. GAAP to the revenue recorded in the U.S. GAAP consolidated financial statements.

U.K. GAAP operating exceptional items

Under U.K. GAAP, amounts included within operating profit may be separately disclosed if they are unusual in size or nature and are not expected to recur. These items are referred to as operating exceptional items. There is no equivalent term or treatment in U.S. GAAP and such items are included in an appropriate line item in arriving at operating (loss)/profit.

For fiscal 2004, 2003 and 2002, the operating exceptional items have been classified under business restructuring charges, direct costs and long-lived asset impairments in the consolidated statement of operations due to the nature of the balances.

Table of Contents

Discontinued operations

U.K. GAAP requires disclosure as discontinued operations any businesses ceased or disposed of before the earlier of three months after the balance sheet date and the approval date of the financial statements. Under U.S. GAAP, discontinued operations also include those operations where management has committed to a formal plan of disposal by the balance sheet date. Under U.S. GAAP, the results of the Mobile Communications, Medical Systems, Data Systems and MES businesses have been presented as discontinued operations for all years reported.

Share option plans

Under both U.K. GAAP and U.S. GAAP, share option plans give rise to compensation expense when specified criteria are met. The measurement date and calculation of expense can be different, giving rise to GAAP adjustments to compensation expense, accrued liabilities, and additional paid-in capital as well as goodwill and amortization if such options are issued in connection with a business combination.

Long-term contract adjustment

An agreement existed for the sale of equipment, which has been installed and accepted by the customer. Under U.K. GAAP, these types of arrangements qualify for long-term contract accounting with revenue recorded based on work performed under the contract. U.S. GAAP does not permit revenue recognition in those instances where specific criteria have not yet occurred, and where the obligation for the customer to pay has not yet fallen due. Accordingly, adjustments arise due to the timing differences for recognition under U.K. and U.S. GAAP. At March 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002, there were no cumulative differences in revenue recognized between U.K. and U.S. GAAP.

Pension and other post-retirement benefits

Under both U.K. GAAP and U.S. GAAP pension costs are provided for so as to provide for future pension liabilities. However, there are differences in the prescribed methods of valuation, which give rise to GAAP adjustments to the pension cost and obligation or prepayment. Furthermore, under U.K. GAAP the notional interest cost associated with the pension and post-retirement benefit obligation is classified as interest expense, whereas under U.S. GAAP it is classified with employee costs.

Goodwill and intangible asset amortization and impairment charges

Under both U.K. and U.S. GAAP, the purchase price of a transaction accounted for as an acquisition is based on the fair value of the consideration. Differences in the carrying value of goodwill arise as the result of U.S. GAAP differences in the net assets acquired, such as the treatment of share options issued for acquisitions and deferred taxes. Such differences in the calculation of goodwill resulted in differences in amortization expense. Also, effective April 1, 2002, goodwill is no longer amortized under U.S. GAAP but continues to be amortised for U.K. GAAP. Differences in the impairment loss recognized arise as a result of the differences in the carrying value of the underlying goodwill and net assets under U.K. GAAP and U.S. GAAP.

Gain/(loss) on sale of businesses and gain on financial restructuring

Differences in the gain or loss on the sale of a business and in the gain on financial restructuring arise as a result of the differences in the carrying value of the underlying net assets under U.K. GAAP and U.S. GAAP.

Restructuring costs

Under U.K. GAAP, provisions are recognized when the Company has a present obligation as a result of a past event, when it is probable that a transfer of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation, and when a reliable estimate can be made of the amount of the obligation. Under U.S. GAAP, a number of

Table of Contents

specific criteria must be met before restructuring costs can be recognized as an expense. Among these criteria is the requirement that all significant actions arising from the restructuring plan and their completion dates must be identified by the balance sheet date, and employees must be notified in order for termination benefits to be accrued. Accordingly, adjustments arise due to the timing differences for recognition under U.K. and U.S. GAAP.

Derivatives

Under U.S. GAAP, the carrying amount of certain hedged items are adjusted for gains or losses attributable to the hedged risk. This unrealized gain is offset by changes in the fair value of the derivative. Additionally, on the sale or early termination of the hedged items, gains and losses are immediately reclassified to other (income)/expense. Under U.K. GAAP, these gains and losses are amortized until the date of termination.

Major customers

At March 31, 2004 the Company no longer operated any trading activities. At March 31, 2003, the Company had one customer that accounted for 17% (2002, 12%) of revenue.

Revenue by geography (U.S. GAAP)

Revenue to unaffiliated customers by geographic region is as follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | Year ended March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|---|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Revenue by territory of destination: | | | |
| United Kingdom | 42 | 500 | 837 |
| Other | 58 | 668 | 958 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Total Europe, Middle East, Africa (EMEA) | 100 | 1,168 | 1,795 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| United States | 50 | 519 | 1,064 |
| Central and Latin America (CALA) | 5 | 62 | 118 |
| Other | | 15 | 39 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Total the Americas | 55 | 596 | 1,221 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Asia Pacific (APAC) | 13 | 132 | 230 |
| <hr/> | | | |
| Total external revenue | 168 | 1,896 | 3,246 |
| <hr/> | | | |

Table of Contents

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | Year ended March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|---|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Revenue by territory of origin: | | | |
| United Kingdom | 58 | 692 | 1,058 |
| Italy | 19 | 230 | 299 |
| Other | 21 | 219 | 449 |
| Total Europe, Middle East, Africa (EMEA) | 98 | 1,141 | 1,806 |
| United States | 58 | 534 | 1,009 |
| Central and Latin America (CALA) | 4 | 57 | 188 |
| Other | | 18 | 42 |
| Total the Americas | 62 | 609 | 1,239 |
| Asia Pacific (APAC) | 8 | 146 | 201 |
| Total external revenue | 168 | 1,896 | 3,246 |

No other individual country contributed more than 10% of revenue in any of the years reported.

Revenue by product (U.S. GAAP)

Revenue by product is as follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | Year ended March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|---|------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Optical networks | 35 | 439 | 787 |
| Access systems | 50 | 493 | 729 |
| Broadband routing and switching | 9 | 142 | 209 |
| Other network equipment | 6 | 57 | 87 |
| Network Equipment | 100 | 1,131 | 1,812 |
| Value-added services | 33 | 373 | 441 |
| Installation, commissioning and maintenance | 35 | 370 | 528 |
| Network Services | 68 | 743 | 969 |
| Total Core revenues | 168 | 1,874 | 2,781 |
| Capital businesses | | 22 | 465 |
| Total external revenue | 168 | 1,896 | 3,246 |

Table of Contents**Long-lived assets**

Within capital employed, the balance sheet measure reviewed by the chief operating decision maker, the only long-lived assets included are property, plant and equipment and other non-current assets.

Expenditure by sector on property, plant and equipment is as follows:

| | (in millions) | Year ended March 31, | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
| Network Equipment | | | 34 | 168 |
| Network Services | | | | |
| Capital | | | 1 | 159 |
| Total | | | 35 | 327 |
| Discontinued operations | | | 8 | 35 |
| Total | | | 43 | 362 |

The geographical split of property, plant and equipment and goodwill and intangibles is as follows:

| | (in millions) | Year ended March 31, | | |
|----------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
| United Kingdom | | | 567 | 638 |
| United States | | | 249 | 354 |
| Italy | | | 48 | 59 |
| Other | | | 157 | 251 |
| Total | | | 1,021 | 1,302 |

Long-lived assets within other countries are not individually material for any fiscal year presented.

11. Commitments and contingencies**Legal proceedings**

Under the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement, any and all legal claims against M (2003) plc as at March 27, 2003, whether liquidated or unliquidated, or actual or contingent, were compromised. Therefore, there are no circumstances under which any of these claims will result in liability for M (2003) plc. Certain of these claims, however, may result in payments by the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement. Where such a claim is pending or threatened and may have or has had in the recent past, including at least the 12 months immediately preceding the date of this annual report, a significant effect on the financial position of the scheme as a whole, this is set out below. Where a liquidated sum is claimed, a *de minimis* figure of £5 million has been applied in determining which claims may have a significant effect. The figures given are the full amounts claimed by the claimants in each case, which may be much greater than the amounts the claimants realistically believe they can recover. We and our other former group companies intend to defend claims vigorously. While we believe that we have meritorious defenses, the duration and outcome of the litigation are not predictable at this point.

The following represents the largest recent or outstanding claims made against us:

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

Systems Management Specialists, Inc., or SMS, is a defendant in a demand for arbitration brought by Esprit de Corp, or Esprit, in April 2002. This action relates to two outsourcing agreements entered into by Esprit and SMS in 1995 and 1999; Esprit alleges that SMS breached its obligations under the agreements and is seeking damages in range of U.S.\$8.8-U.S.\$18.2 million. M (2003) plc was originally a party to the arbitration demand under a legal theory alleging that SMS and M (2003) plc

F-39

Table of Contents

are alter egos of one another. In April 2002, M (2003) plc filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief in the US District Court for the Central District of California, to enjoin Esprit's attempt to proceed in arbitration against M (2003) plc. In June, 2002, Esprit and M (2003) plc filed a joint stipulation to stay and enjoin the arbitration proceeding as to M (2003) plc. In July 2002, M (2003) plc filed a motion to dismiss Esprit's claims and a motion moved to stay the federal court proceeding until after the arbitration between SMS and Esprit concluded. The Court denied M (2003) plc's motion to dismiss, but granted M (2003) plc's motion to stay, and, therefore, Esprit will be permitted to proceed on its claims against M (2003) plc only after the arbitration between SMS and Esprit is completed, and only to the extent Esprit prevails on any of its claims in the arbitration against SMS. The parties had agreed to conduct the arbitration hearing between October 13-24, 2003, and significant discovery had taken place. Beginning in September 2003, the parties reached a settlement in principle in the U.S.\$710,000-U.S.\$725,000 range, payable to Esprit in exchange for a full release and settlement of the actions. On September 28, 2004 the company and Scheme Supervisors finally reached agreement with Esprit.

Marconi Corporation plc and Marconi Commerce Systems Inc., or MCSI, are defendants in an action brought by a former employee, Larry Anthony Gillus, or Gillus. The complaint alleges that Gillus suffered racial discrimination and subsequent retaliatory action whilst employed by Gilbarco, subsequently known as MCSI, which has now been sold to subsidiaries of Danaher Corporation plc. A second claim has been brought against M (2003) plc and MCSI for retaliation and intentional infliction of emotional distress alleged to have occurred after he brought the original action. Additionally, on September 10, 2003, the Court granted plaintiff's motion to add a breach of employment contract claim and injunctive relief. Gillus' counsel has in the past stated that he is seeking a total of U.S.\$19 million in respect of both claims. Potential liabilities in respect of the claim against Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc were compromised pursuant to its restructuring. As a result, on November 5, 2003, Gillus voluntarily dismissed without prejudice Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc from the cases, thereby retaining his right to bring the same claim against the defendants in the future. Discovery has been completed with respect to the remaining defendant. On August 5, 2004, the Court issued an order that trial shall not be scheduled prior to February 1, 2005. On August 16, 2004, MCSI filed Motions for Summary Judgment in both actions. On September 13, 2004, Gillus filed a Brief in Opposition to MCSI's Motions for Summary Judgment in both actions. MCSI's Reply Briefs are due on October 4, 2004.

Marconi Corporation plc, M (2003) plc, Marconi Inc. and Marconi Data Systems Inc. are defendants in an action brought by a former employee, Thomas Edeus, or Edeus. The complaint asserts three causes of action; firstly that Edeus was unlawfully deprived of benefits to which he was entitled under Marconi Data Systems Inc.'s United States severance plan; secondly for failure to provide Edeus with a summary plan description relating to the severance plan; and thirdly for age discrimination in employment. The plaintiff has purported to have made out claims in various specified amounts totaling over U.S.\$901,000, some of which may be in the alternative, and also unspecified punitive damages, liquidated damages and front and back pay, making the impact of this claim on us and the former group difficult to assess. An answer and affirmative defenses have been filed on behalf of all defendants. On June 5, 2003, the court entered an order providing as follows: Plaintiff having advised the court that one or more defendants [sic] are in Bankruptcy, this action is placed on the court's [suspense] calendar pending disposition of the bankruptcy case. Plaintiff is directed to file a notice for hearing a motion to reinstate upon disposition of the bankruptcy proceedings. Potential liabilities in respect of the claim against Marconi Corporation plc and M (2003) plc were compromised pursuant to our restructuring.

M (2003) plc and four of its former officers were defendants in a consolidated class action lawsuit pending in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania brought on behalf of a putative class of all persons, other than defendants and their respective affiliates, who purchased American depository receipts or were United States residents and purchased common stock of M (2003) plc between April 10, 2001 and July 5, 2001, inclusive. Plaintiffs alleged that, during

Table of Contents

this period, M (2003) plc and the individual defendants falsely reassured investors that Marconi's revenues would rise during the year and that its geographic and business mix left it relatively immune to the economic downturn affecting its competitors. Plaintiffs further alleged that on July 4, 2001 defendants belatedly disclosed that tougher trading conditions in the quarter ended June 30, 2001 indicated that M (2003) plc's sales and operating profits for fiscal 2002 would fall significantly from the levels previously estimated. Defendants alleged misrepresentations were said to violate the anti-fraud provisions of Section 10(b) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, as to the individual defendants, Section 20(a) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Plaintiffs sought class certification, an award of unspecified damages, counsel and expert fees and other costs of suit and other unspecified relief. All defendants filed a motion to dismiss the lawsuit, which by opinion and order dated September 18, 2002, was granted in part and denied in part. The Court ruled that it had no jurisdiction over claims of holders of Marconi ordinary shares not residents of the United States, but had jurisdiction over claims of American resident owners of ordinary shares and owners of ADRs. The parties agreed to a settlement, which resulted in M (2003) plc and the Marconi group not making a payment to the claimants. The court approved the settlement and entered the final order and judgment on January 16, 2004. No appeals were filed and the settlement is now final. The lawsuit has been dismissed.

In April 2002, 11 former employees of Ten Square Inc. brought a claim against directors of their company for fraud in reducing their compensation package before liquidating the company and restarting it under a different name. The claim was for a total of \$2,160,050.91. The plaintiffs alleged that M (2003) plc was a director of Ten Square Inc. although in fact M (2003) plc only had a right to appoint a director, a right M (2003) plc had not recently exercised. Marconi Ventures was also named as a plaintiff on September 9, 2002. The plaintiffs did not serve proceedings upon M (2003) plc and on October 24, 2002 an order for the dismissal of the claim against M (2003) plc and Marconi Ventures was entered. However, M (2003) plc was named in the second amended complaint which was filed on December 24, 2002. M (2003) plc is aware of the action but has not been served and is not yet a party to it. Potential liabilities in respect of the claim against M (2003) plc have been compromised pursuant to the M (2003) plc scheme of arrangement.

Since March 27, 2003, we are not and have not been engaged in, nor, so far as we are aware, do we have pending or threatened by or against us, any additional legal or arbitration proceedings which may have or have had a significant effect on our financial position as a whole.

Third party guarantees

The Company has previously entered into agreements that contained features, which meet the definition of a guarantee under FIN 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*. FIN 45 defines a guarantee to be a contract that contingently requires the Company to make payments (either in cash, financial instruments, other assets, common shares of the Company or through the provision of services) to a third party based on changes in an underlying economic characteristic (such as interest rates or market value) that is related to an asset, a liability or an equity security of the other party. FIN 45 requires that upon issuance of a guarantee, the guarantor must disclose and recognize a liability for the fair value of the obligation it assumes under that guarantee. The initial recognition and measurement requirement of FIN 45 was effective for guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. As of March 31, 2004, the fair value of the Company's guarantees that were issued or modified after December 31, 2002 was not material.

The Company had the following major types of guarantees that are subject to the disclosure requirements of FIN 45.

Financial and other guarantees

The Company and various of its subsidiaries have previously undertaken, in the normal course of business, to provide support to the joint ventures of the Company, to meet their liabilities. These undertakings

Table of Contents

contain financial guarantees. A payment under these undertakings would have been required in the event of any of the joint ventures being unable to pay its liabilities. Payment of this funding would be required if requested by the joint ventures in accordance with the funding agreements. The potential amount of future payments, which the Company may be required to make under these guarantees at March 31, 2004 was £nil.

Other guarantees related primarily to financial standby letters of credit, irrevocable undertakings to guarantee payment of a specified financial obligation. The maximum potential amount of future payments which may be required to be made by the Company under these guarantees is £nil.

Purchase commitments

In the ordinary course of business the Company entered into contracts for capital expenditure as set out below:

| | (in millions) | Capital expenditure commitments | | |
|-------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | 2004 £ | 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
| At March 31 | | | 4 | 3 |

Leases

The Company leases certain facilities and equipment under operating leases, many of which contain renewal options and escalation clauses. Total rental expense for fiscal 2004 was £5 Million (2003, £34 million and 2002, £43 million).

At March 31, 2004, minimum future rental commitments under operating leases having non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year are £nil (2003, £128 million)

12. Business combinations

The Company made no acquisitions during fiscal 2004 or 2003. The following table presents information about acquisitions by the Company during fiscal 2002. All of these acquisitions were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting.

The aggregate impact of the acquisitions in fiscal 2002 was not material to net sales, net loss, or basic earnings per share.

| | Acquisition date | Purchase price £ | Goodwill £ | Existing technology £ | Other intangibles £ | IPRD (after tax) | Amortization period (in years) | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | Goodwill* | Existing technology | Other intangibles |
| Fiscal 2002 | | | | | | | | | |
| Northwood | 5/01 | 19 | 16 | 2 | 1 | n/a | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Telit | 4/01 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 1 | n/a | 7 | 4 | 5 |
| Other | | 3 | 5 | n/a | n/a | n/a | 7 | n/a | n/a |
| Fiscal 2002 Total | | 33 | 34 | 3 | 2 | n/a | | | |

* Amortization of goodwill ceased effective April 1, 2002 following the adoption of SFAS 142.

Northwood is a leading provider of radio planning and engineering software.

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

Telit is a company involved in the development of products for the public mobile network infrastructure. Following an impairment review in fiscal 2002, an impairment charge of approximately £13 million was recognized against goodwill and other intangible assets of Telit, and included within the (loss)/income from discontinued operations. As a result, the remaining net book value of the goodwill and intangible assets associated with Telit at March 31, 2002 was £nil and this was included in the net assets of discontinued operations at March 31, 2002.

F-42

Table of Contents

In addition, reductions to goodwill and other intangibles arising in respect of prior-year acquisitions totalling £20 million were recorded in fiscal 2002, which related primarily to purchase price adjustments made during 2002.

Purchased in-process research and development***Fiscal 2002***

No in-process research and development was identified in respect of fiscal 2002 acquisitions, as none of the acquisitions had significant products that had not reached technological feasibility.

13. Discontinued operations

During fiscal 2002 the Company established a formal plan for the disposal of the various businesses comprising the mobile segment. The strategic communication business, which represents the majority of the segment, was sold to Finmeccanica S.p.A. for approximately £387 million in August 2002. The proceeds were received in the form of cash and debt assumed by Finmeccanica S.p.A. On February 5, 2002, the Company completed the sale of its data systems business for net cash proceeds of £283 million.

On October 19, 2001, the Company completed the sale of its medical systems business for net cash proceeds of £729 million.

Accordingly, the results of these businesses have been shown as discontinued operations for all years presented. A summary of operating results of the medical, data systems and mobile segments is presented below:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | Year ended March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|--|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Revenues | | 106 | 1,114 |
| Operating loss | | (70) | (35) |
| Loss before income taxes and minority interest | | (71) | (28) |
| Income tax provision and minority interest | | (3) | (36) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | | (74) | (64) |

Net assets of discontinued operations are as follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | March 31, 2003 £ |
|--|-----------|------------------------|
| Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | 2 |
| Accounts receivable | | 2 |
| Total current assets | | 4 |
| Total assets | | 4 |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | | 10 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | | 6 |
| Total current liabilities | | 16 |
| Total liabilities | | 16 |

Net liabilities of discontinued operations

(12)

F-43

Table of Contents

14. Investments in affiliates

Fiscal 2004

All investments in affiliates were disposed of as a result of the financial restructuring on May 19, 2003, as described in note 3.

Fiscal 2003

At March 31, 2003, the Company had two investments, which it accounted for using the equity method, Easynet Group plc (Easynet) and Confirant. At March 31, 2002 Ultramast was also accounted for using the equity method.

In February 2003, the Company completed the disposition of Ultramast through a capital reduction and settled all litigation associated with Ultramast. As a result, the Company acquired an additional 1.3 million ordinary shares in Easynet, which increased its equity holding to 72.7% and its holding of voting shares to 51.6%. Under the Articles of Association of Easynet and the Company's Relationship Agreement with Easynet, the Company's voting rights were limited to 49.9%. Accordingly, despite ownership of a majority-voting shareholder, the Company determined that it did not control Easynet in the period and the Company continued to account for its investment in Easynet using the equity method of accounting. In addition to the Easynet shares, the Company also received cash proceeds of £41 million reflecting a gain on disposal of £14 million.

As at December 31, 2002, Easynet had net liabilities under U.S. GAAP of approximately £39 million. Consequently, the Company discontinued recording its share of the losses of Easynet because it had no commitment to provide further funding to Easynet.

Fiscal 2002

In March 2002, the Company disposed of its 50% stake in General Domestic Appliances Holdings Limited (GDA) for cash consideration of £113 million, recognizing a profit of £35 million on the transaction. At March 31, 2001, the Company held a 50% share of GDA for which it accounted under the equity method. At this time, there was no difference between the carrying value and the underlying equity in net assets of GDA. GDA was not listed so a market value cannot be provided.

In July 2001, the Company sold its interest in ipsaris (a subsidiary) and received a 71.9% equity holding in and a 49.9% holding in the voting shares in Easynet. As the Company had 22% of board representation, no unilateral rights or vetoes, and no involvement in day-to-day operations, the Company determined that control did not exist, but that significant influence did exist, and therefore accounted for its investment using the equity method. The consideration was determined based on the fair value of the shares received of £217 million and a loss on disposal of £185 million was recorded.

Easynet has a December 31 year-end. As it is a company listed on The London Stock Exchange, no interim period results are available. Therefore the results from the date of acquisition to December 31 have been used to record the equity share of Easynet results. No material events occurred in the intervening period.

In June 2001, the Company disposed of its remaining stake in Alstom SA, a publicly traded company which specializes in the contracting of infrastructure construction projects for power generation, power transmission and distribution and railway transportation, for cash consideration of £235 million, recording a gain on disposal of £214 million.

In April 2001, the Company invested £65 million in a 50% equity stake in Ultramast Limited, a joint venture with Railtrack Telecom Services Limited. The Company determined that it did not exercise control, but that significant influence did exist, and therefore accounted for its investment using the equity method.

Dividends received from equity investments for fiscal year 2004 were £nil (2003, £nil and 2002, £29 million).

Table of Contents

Summarized financial information for investment in affiliates is as follows:

| (in millions) | March 31, | |
|---------------------------|-----------|------|
| | 2004 | 2003 |
| | £ | £ |
| Balance sheet data | | |
| Current assets | | 139 |
| Non-current assets | | 81 |
| Total assets | | 220 |
| Current liabilities | | 94 |
| Non-current liabilities | | 118 |
| Total liabilities | | 212 |

| (in millions) | 2004 | March 31, | 2002 |
|-------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------|
| | £ | 2003 | £ |
| | £ | £ | £ |
| Statement of operations data | | | |
| Revenues | | 89 | 291 |
| Operating loss | | (74) | (276) |
| Net loss | | (81) | (240) |

15. Investment in securities

All marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and reported at fair value as follows:

| (in millions) | Carrying value £ | Gross unrealized Gains £ | Gross unrealized losses £ | Estimated fair value £ |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| March 31, 2004: | | | | |
| Equity securities | | | | |
| March 31, 2003: | | | | |
| Equity securities | 16 | | | 16 |

During fiscal 2004, an impairment charge of £nil (2003, £38 million, and 2002, £nil) was recorded in the statement of operations related to declines in value of equity securities judged to be other than temporary.

Proceeds from the sale of investment in securities were £289 million for fiscal 2002. The Company realized gains on the sales of these investments in the amount of £41 million.

On May 19, 2003 the investment in securities were disposed of as part of the financial restructuring described in note 3.

16. Shareholders equity

Edgar Filing: M 2003 PLC - Form 20-F

Holders of ordinary shares in the Company are entitled to one vote per share on matters to be voted on by the shareholders, and to receive dividends when and as declared by the board. Shareholders are not entitled to pre-emptive rights and have no subscription, redemption or conversion privileges. The ordinary shares do not have cumulative voting rights. The rights, preferences and privileges of holders of ordinary shares are subject to the rights of the holders of shares of any series of preferred shares issued or that may be issued in the future.

F-45

Table of Contents**17. Employee stock option plans**

Prior to the financial restructuring the Company accounted for employee stock option plans under APB 25 and had nine plans under which it granted options: the GEC Employee 1992 Savings-Related Share Option Plan, the GEC 1984 Managers Share Option Plan, the GEC 1997 Executive Share Option Plan, the Marconi 1999 Stock Option Plan, the Marconi U.K. Sharesave Plan, the Marconi International Sharesave Plan, the Marconi Launch Share Plan, the Marconi Long Term Incentive Plan and the Marconi Phantom Option Plan. In addition, during fiscal 2002, the Company granted options in its ADRs under the Marconi Employee Stock Purchase Plan for the Company's employees in North America.

As of March 31, 2003, the Company had granted options under the following eight plans in respect of the acquisitions of Reltec, Mariposa, MSI and Northwood Technologies: the 1998 Equity Participation Plan of Reltec Corporation, the amended and restated 1995 Stock Purchase and Option Plan for employees of Reltec Holdings Inc., and subsidiaries, the MSI 1995 Stock Option Plan, the MSI 1999 Stock Option Plan, the MSIH Stock Option Plan, the Mariposa Technology, Inc. 1998 Employee Incentive Plan and the Marconi Restricted Share Plan.

Under the terms of the stock option plans, employees have been granted rights to purchase ordinary shares and/or phantom options. The terms of the grant vary and each of the main plans is described below.

At the date of the financial restructuring, options outstanding under the majority of plans have been forfeited because the employees are no longer employed by a group company. For the remaining share options issued under variable plans, the compensation cost has been re-measured on the basis of a £nil value of M (2003) plc stock at March 31, 2004 because the directors believe that the shares are worthless. The full expense has been recognized in the current year because there is no future service period and the options are not expected to hold any future value.

Plans under which options were still outstanding in respect of the Company's ordinary shares at March 31, 2004:***Non-savings related plans****The Marconi Launch Share Plan*

Under this plan, employees at November 30, 1999 were, at the discretion of the board, granted the right to receive up to 1,000 Company ordinary shares, which would be exercisable provided that two conditions are met. The first condition is that the market price of a Company ordinary share must have doubled from 801.5p to £16.03 during the period between November 30, 1999 and November 30, 2004. The second condition is that a participant must normally remain in employment until November 30, 2002 or, if later, at the time that the first condition is met. The Company applied variable plan accounting for grants under this plan and would have accounted for the compensation expense if the first condition had been met. At March 31, 2004, 19,589,228 Company shares were outstanding to be purchased under the plan.

Metapath Software Corporation Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Option Plan, the Metapath Software International, Inc. Amended and Restated 1999 Stock Option Plan, and the Mobile Systems International Holdings Limited Share Option Plan.

Each of these option plans had been in place over MSI shares prior to the acquisition by the Company in June 2000. Following the acquisition, MSI option holders who would become employees of the Company exchanged options over MSI shares for options over Marconi plc shares. Following the exchange the option holder was kept in the same economic position as before the exchange through an adjustment to the exercise price and an increase in the number of shares under option. The option holder was given a choice to retain their options with their existing vesting schedule, or to elect to accept an amended vesting schedule (vesting one third of their options on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the acquisition) together with an award of restricted stock over the same number of shares as they had shares under option. Compensation expense was recognized on the shares that were unvested at the acquisition date (based on the intrinsic value of the shares as of the acquisition date) over the vesting period. The fair value of all shares issued at the date

Table of Contents

of acquisition, less the amount allocated to compensation expense, has been recorded as a cost of the acquisition. Certain arrangements under the Marconi Restricted Share Plan, the Marconi 1999 Stock Option Plan, the MSI 1995 Plan and the MSIH Plan were modified subsequent to acquisition. As a result, the modified arrangements became subject to variable accounting.

At March 31, 2004, 2,530,225 Company shares were outstanding. For fiscal 2004, a charge of approximately £2 million has been recorded related to these plans to recognize in full the remaining compensation cost relating to the outstanding shares.

Mariposa Technology, Inc. 1998 Employee Incentive Plan

Prior to the acquisition by the Company in October 2000, options had been granted under The Mariposa Technology, Inc. 1998 Employee Incentive Plan over Mariposa Technology, Inc. shares. Following the acquisition, option holders who would become employees of the Company exchanged options over Mariposa Technology, Inc. shares for options over Company shares. Following the exchange the option holder was kept in the same economic position as before the exchange through an adjustment to the exercise price and an increase in the number of shares under option. Compensation expense was recognized on the shares that were unvested at the acquisition date (based on the intrinsic value of the shares as of the acquisition date) over the vesting period. The fair value of all shares issued at the date of acquisition, less the amount allocated to compensation expense, has been recorded as a cost of the acquisition.

At March 31, 2004, 320,684 Company shares were outstanding. For fiscal 2004, a charge of approximately £7 million has been recorded related to these plans to recognize in full the remaining compensation cost relating to the outstanding shares.

The Marconi Long Term Incentive Plan

Under the long term incentive plan (LTIP), participants could be granted performance-related awards entitling them, at the end of a three-year period, to be granted a right to call for a number of ordinary shares of the Company without payment based on corporate performance of the business in which they worked and of the Company as a whole over that period. The annual award was limited to a maximum value of 50% of base salary. Any right so granted would normally become exercisable in three equal tranches. The first tranche would become exercisable immediately, and the second and third tranches would normally become exercisable on the first and second anniversaries of the date of grant. All full-time employees of the Company, directors of the Company and executive directors of the Company were eligible to participate in the long-term incentive plan, at the discretion of the remuneration committee of the board of directors of the Company. No newly issued shares could be used to satisfy options under this plan. The Company applied variable plan accounting for grants under this plan and recognized compensation cost when achievement of the performance conditions became probable. No new shares were granted during the year and no charges were recorded. At March 31, 2004, 617,963 Company shares were outstanding.

Additional plans at March 31, 2003:

Savings related plans

The Marconi U.K. Sharesave Plan

All employees of participating U.K. companies including full-time executive directors were eligible to participate in the U.K. sharesave plan. Under this plan, participants were granted options to purchase shares with an exercise price not less than 80% of the market value of a Company ordinary share on the trading day immediately before the invitation day (as defined in the rules of the plan). In order to participate, each employee must have entered into a savings contract with a specified financial institution under which they agreed to make monthly contributions, not exceeding £250 per month in aggregate. The savings contracts typically expired on the third or fifth anniversary of the date of grant. The plan was compensatory and compensation expense was recorded over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

The Marconi International Sharesave Plan

The international sharesave plan, at the discretion of the board of directors of Marconi plc permitted employees of the Company who were resident outside the U.K. to participate in a share option plan that was substantially similar to the U.K. sharesave plan. Unlike the U.K. sharesave plan, under the international sharesave plan the savings contracts could not generate the exact amount required to exercise the options because of currency fluctuations and interest rate differences. Additional cash may have been required when the options were exercised, however, any excess savings generated could not be used to purchase additional shares.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

The Marconi International Sharesave Plan Italian Appendix

All employees and executive directors whose remuneration was subject to taxation in Italy were eligible to participate in the Italian Appendix of the International Sharesave Plan. Under the Italian Appendix of the International Sharesave Plan, participants could exercise options to purchase shares with an exercise price not less than the market value of a Company ordinary share averaged over the 30 trading days immediately before the date of grant. Upon exercise, participants would be gifted such number of additional shares as could be purchased on the market with 10% of their total accumulated savings and interest. In order to participate, each employee must have entered into a savings contract with a specified financial institution under which they agreed to make monthly contributions, not exceeding the Italian Lira/ Euro equivalent of £250 per month in aggregate.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

The Marconi Employee Stock Purchase Plan for Employees in North America

All employees of participating U.S. and Canadian companies were eligible to participate in the Stock Purchase Plan. Employees could purchase shares with after-tax payroll deductions at the end of an Offering Period, at a price not less than 85% of the lower of the closing price of a share on the Offering Date and the closing price of a share on the Purchase Date (as defined in the rules of the Plan). No employee could purchase shares pursuant to the Stock Purchase Plan at a rate, which exceeded U.S.\$25,000 in any calendar year or such lower limit as the Company specified from time to time. This plan was non-compensatory in nature.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

Non-savings related plans

The Marconi 1999 Share Option Plan

All employees and full-time executive directors were eligible to be granted options under the option plan at the discretion of the remuneration committee. Options granted prior to July 2001 to participants were not normally exercisable unless our earnings per share over a period of at least three financial years exceeded the growth in the U.K. Retail Price Index by at least an average of 3% per year. Options granted after July 2001 became exercisable over periods and subject to conditions defined by the remuneration committee. Options granted under the plan in November 2001 became progressively exercisable over four years and were subject to conditions related to reduction in the Company's consolidated net debt and the Company's total shareholder return being better than that of the company at the fiftieth percentile of FTSE 100 companies.

Table of Contents

Options entitled the option holder to acquire Company ordinary shares at a price per share determined by the remuneration committee, not less than the market value of a Company share shortly before the date of grant.

The Company applied variable plan accounting for grants under this scheme and recognized compensation cost if achievement of the performance conditions became probable.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

The Marconi Phantom Option Plan

In June 1999, the GEC remuneration committee adopted the phantom option plan for the purpose of granting incentives relating to any increase in our value primarily to executives and employees of Reltec and Fore Systems following our acquisition of those businesses. From November 1999, the Company operated the phantom option plan and made awards by reference to Company shares and previous awards (grants made between June 1999 and November 1999 in relation to GEC Shares) were adjusted so that they related to Company shares on a value-for-value basis. Following the adjustment the holder of a phantom unit was kept in the same economic position as before through an adjustment to the exercise price and an increase in the number of units. A phantom option is similar to a share option except that it is a cash-based award granted in relation to a stated number of phantom units, each of which has the same economic value as a Company ordinary share. Upon exercise of a phantom option, the holder was entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the difference between the base price of the phantom option (normally corresponding to the market value of a Company ordinary share at the time the phantom option was granted) and market value of a Company ordinary share on the date of exercise. The Company may give notice to participants that it elects to substitute options to acquire real Company ordinary shares for phantom options. If such an election is made, a participant would be required on exercise to pay an amount equal to the base price of the phantom options to the Company and would receive Company ordinary shares. Options were normally exercisable between the third and tenth anniversaries of grant. The Company recognized compensation expense measured at the end of each period as the amount by which the quoted market value of a Company share exceeded the unit price payable by the unit holder. The expense was recognized over the service period. Changes, either increases or decreases, in the quoted market value of the shares between the date of grant and the date the phantom options were exercised result in a change in the compensation expense to be recognized.

Where the phantom options were granted in exchange for Reltec and Fore System's options as part of those business combinations, the fair value of those options was treated as part of the respective purchase prices.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

Marconi Restricted Share Plan

The Restricted Share Plan was introduced to enable the Company to award share based incentives to employees of companies which the Company acquired. The Remuneration Committee had discretion to make awards of restricted stock, which entitled the employee to call for shares at £nil cost once the stock had vested. The stock vested either at certain specified times or subject to the satisfaction of performance conditions. In practice the performance conditions imposed generally related to specific integration targets or business goals of the acquired company. Once the restrictions had ended or the conditions had been met, the restricted share vested and would either be automatically released (in the case of U.S. employees) or could be called for by the employee (in all other jurisdictions). The Company applied variable plan accounting for grants under this plan, and compensation expense was recorded over the vesting period.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

Table of Contents

The Marconi Associated Companies Share Option Plan

The associated companies option plan enabled options to be granted to executives of companies in which the Company had a direct or indirect equity interest of between 20% and 50%. The terms of the associated companies option plan were substantially similar to the Marconi 1999 Share Option Plan. No options were granted under this plan.

Existing GEC share option plans

Options plans similar to the Marconi 1999 Stock Option Plan and the Marconi U.K. Sharesave Plan had been in place over GEC shares. There was a non-compensatory plan known as the 1992 Savings-Related Scheme. There was a fixed plan known as the 1984 Managers Scheme (1984 Scheme). There was a variable plan known as the 1997 Executive Scheme (1997 Scheme). During fiscal 2000, option holders who would remain employees of the Company were able to exchange options over GEC shares for options over Company shares. Following the exchange the option holder was kept in the same economic position after the exchange as before the exchange through an adjustment to the exercise price and an increase in the number of shares under option. The exchange resulted in no change in measurement date for either the 1992 Savings-Related Scheme or the 1984 Scheme. As performance conditions in respect of the 1997 Scheme ceased to apply, the measurement date for this option plan occurred. These performance conditions were the achievement of earnings per share targets and a vesting period. Option holders in the three plans who would not remain employees of the Company were able to exercise their options.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

The 1998 Equity Participation Plan of Reltec Corporation, the Amended and Restated 1995 Stock Purchase and Option Plan for Employees of Reltec Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

During fiscal 2002, options originally granted under the Marconi Phantom Option Plan, governed by the rules of these plans, were converted to options over Company shares, governed by the rules of these plans, and continued to be accounted for as variable options under APB 25.

The Northwood Technologies Inc. Stock Option Plan

Prior to the acquisition by the Company in October 2002, Northwood Technologies Inc. (Northwood) granted options under the Northwood Technologies Inc. stock option plan over Northwood shares. Following the acquisition by the Company, option holders who would become employees of the Company exchanged options over Northwood shares for options over 70,536 Company shares. Following the exchange, the option-holder was kept in the same economic position as before the exchange through an adjustment to the exercise price and an increase in the number of shares under option. Compensation expense was recognized on the shares that were unvested at the acquisition date (based on the intrinsic value of the shares as of the acquisition date) over the vesting period. The fair value of all share issued at the date of acquisition, less the amount allocated to compensation expense, was recorded as a cost of the acquisition.

At May 19, 2003, the outstanding options were forfeited due to the financial restructuring as a result of which the participants are no longer employees of the Company.

Table of Contents

Option activity under the non-savings related plans is as follows:

| | Number of shares | Weighted average exercise price |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Outstanding, March 31, 2001 | 213,455,397 | 4.98 |
| Granted (weighted average fair value of £0.22) | 87,502,652 | 0.35 |
| Lapsed | (35,672,282) | 6.50 |
| Exercised | (7,087,202) | 0.64 |
| Outstanding March 31, 2002 | 258,198,565 | 3.32 |
| Granted | | |
| Lapsed | (58,148,518) | 4.12 |
| Exercised | (2,928,096) | 0.47 |
| Outstanding March 31, 2003 | 197,121,951 | 3.24 |
| Granted | | |
| Forfeited ⁽¹⁾ | (174,063,851) | 3.61 |
| Exercised | | |
| Outstanding March 31, 2004 | 23,058,100 | 0.43 |

(1) At the date of the financial restructuring, options outstanding under the majority of plans have been forfeited because the employees are no longer employed by a group company.

All options were granted with an exercise price equal to the market value of shares as of date of grant, with the exception of those granted for acquisitions and the LTIP as noted above.

Of the total number of non-savings related options outstanding at March 31, 2004, none are expected to be exercised as the Company's shares were delisted as part of the financial restructuring and there are no circumstances under which any value will be attributed to these share options. All options are considered to be anti-dilutive.

Additional information regarding all options outstanding as of March 31, 2004 is noted in the table below:

| Range of exercise prices (£) | Total options outstanding | | | Total options exercisable | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| | Total number outstanding | Weighted average remaining contractual life (years) | Weighted average exercise price (£) | Number exercisable | Weighted average exercise price (£) | |
| nil | 20,207,191 | 2.59 | nil | 617,963 | nil | |
| 0.03 0.2 | 66,715 | 2.54 | 0.19 | 66,715 | 0.19 | |
| 0.35 0.8 | 324,118 | 5.71 | 0.56 | 324,118 | 0.56 | |
| 1.22 2.66 | 1,708,105 | 5.21 | 2.12 | 1,708,105 | 2.12 | |
| 2.73 4.72 | 63,367 | 4.61 | 2.91 | 63,367 | 2.91 | |
| 6.85 9.57 | 688,604 | 5.89 | 8.73 | 688,604 | 8.73 | |
| nil 9.57 | 23,058,100 | 2.93 | 0.43 | 3,468,872 | 3.51 | |

Table of Contents**18. Fair values of financial instruments**

The carrying amounts and fair values of material financial instruments at March 31, 2004 and 2003 are as follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 | | 2003 | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | Carrying amounts £ | Fair values £ | Carrying amounts £ | Fair values £ |
| Marketable securities | | | 16 | 16 |
| Total long-term debt* | | | 30 | 30 |
| Equity forward contracts | | | (158) | (158) |

* excluding current maturities of long-term debt.

The following methods and assumptions were used in estimating the fair values of financial instruments:

Equity forward contracts

At March 31, 2003 the carrying value and fair value of the equity forward contracts was £158 million. As a result of the financial restructuring, which was concluded on May 19, 2003, an agreement was reached and these equity forward contracts were settled for £35 million. See note 2 for further discussion of these equity forward contracts.

Cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables, accrued liabilities and short-term borrowings

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, payables, accrued liabilities and short-term borrowings approximate their fair values due to the short-term nature of the instruments.

Marketable securities, long-term debt and finance leases

The fair value of marketable securities has been determined using quoted market prices. The fair value of finance leases and long-term debt, including current portion, has been determined by discounting projected future cash flows, using reference rates taken from the debt markets at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same maturities. In the case of the Marconi Corporation plc bonds, which were guaranteed by M (2003) plc, a fair value was derived from traded market prices.

19. Valuation and qualifying accounts

| Description | March 31, 2003 £ | Additions/ (release) £ | Net effect of financial restructuring £ | Effect of exchange rate changes £ | Utilization £ | March 31, 2004 £ |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|------------------|------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 78 | (2) | (76) | | | |
| Warranty reserve* | 43 | 2 | (43) | | (2) | |
| Total | 121 | | (119) | | (2) | |

Table of Contents

| Description | March 31, 2002 £ | Additions/ (release) £ | Effect of exchange rate changes £ | Utilization £ | March 31, 2003 £ |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------|------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 167 | (10) | (7) | (72) | 78 |
| Warranty reserve* | 29 | 49(1) | (1) | (34) | 43 |
| Total | 196 | 39 | (8) | (106) | 121 |

(1) The addition of £49 million in fiscal 2003 includes a £12 million change in liability in respect of the estimated pre-existing warranties at April 1, 2002.

| Description | March 31, 2001 £ | Additions £ | Net effect of acquisitions less disposals £ | Effect of exchange rate changes £ | Utilization £ | March 31, 2002 £ |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|--|--|------------------|------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 64 | 175 | (3) | | (69) | 167 |
| Warranty reserve* | 34 | 43 | (2) | | (46) | 29 |
| Total | 98 | 218 | (5) | | (115) | 196 |

* These amounts are included in accounts payable and other liabilities.

In light of the declining market and economic trends the Company was experiencing, a provision against bad and doubtful debts of £150 million was charged during fiscal 2002. Of this amount, £10 million was reassessed and released to the statement of operations in fiscal 2003.

20. Income taxes

The geographic analysis of income /(loss) from continuing operations before income taxes, minority interests and cumulative changes in accounting principles is as follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|--------------------|--------------|------------------------|----------------|
| United Kingdom | 2,304 | (673) | (260) |
| Non-United Kingdom | (27) | (230) | (6,109) |
| Total | 2,277 | (903) | (6,369) |

Table of Contents

Income tax benefit/(provision) includes:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|---------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|
| Current income taxes | | | |
| United Kingdom | | 155 | 18 |
| Non-United Kingdom | (1) | 32 | (25) |
| Total current taxes | (1) | 187 | (7) |
| Deferred income taxes | | | |
| United Kingdom | | 29 | 96 |
| Non-United Kingdom | | (7) | 382 |
| Total deferred taxes | | 22 | 478 |
| Total income taxes | (1) | 209 | 471 |

The differences between the Company's tax on profit on ordinary activities, and the statutory income tax rate in the United Kingdom are as follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | March 31, 2003 £ | 2002 £ |
|---|-----------|------------------------|--------------|
| Taxes computed at the statutory rate: (30% 2004, 2003 and 2002) | 683 | (271) | (1,911) |
| Non-deductible intangible amortization and impairment charge | | | 1,276 |
| Non-U.K. tax rate differences | | (9) | (177) |
| Non-deductible/(non-taxable) items | (682) | 30 | (292) |
| Changes in reinvestment position | | | (63) |
| Valuation allowances on losses and other assets | | 38 | 715 |
| Other, net | | 3 | (19) |
| Income tax (benefit)/provision | 1 | (209) | (471) |
| Effective tax rate | 0% | 23.1% | 7.2% |

Table of Contents

Deferred income tax assets/(liabilities) in the balance sheet are as follows:

| (in millions) | 2004 £ | March 31, 2003 £ |
|---|-----------|------------------------|
| Net deferred tax assets | | |
| Net operating losses | | 670 |
| Provisions and other expenses | | 90 |
| SFAS 133 | | 21 |
| Pension and post-retirement benefits | | 93 |
| Investment write-downs | | 3 |
| Sub total | | 877 |
| Valuation allowance | | (841) |
| Sub total | | 36 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Property and equipment | | (8) |
| Intangible assets (other than goodwill) | | (33) |
| Sub total | | (41) |
| Total | | (5) |

All deferred tax assets or liabilities were disposed of with the assets, liabilities and businesses to which they relate as part of the financial restructuring of May 19, 2003.

As of March 31, 2003 the net deferred income tax liability of £5 million was presented in the balance sheet based on tax jurisdiction as required by SFAS 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, as a non-current deferred tax liability of £5 million. At that date approximately £2.3 billion of net operating losses were available to be carried forward. A full valuation allowance was recorded against the deferred tax asset in relation to such losses in 2003 and 2002 due to the fact that it was more likely than not, that such assets would not be realized.

Although the Company had in excess of £2 billion of net operating tax losses as of March 31, 2003, these may not have been available to cover earlier years that were open for or under tax audit. In addition, in some jurisdictions the loss carry forwards may be forfeited (this applies to the U.S.) or could be restricted (this may apply to Germany and the U.K.) following the Company's financial restructuring. There will be ongoing tax cash costs, therefore, where losses are unavailable.

No deferred tax assets were provided for the undistributed losses to the extent that they were permanently reinvested in the Company's non-U.K. operations.

The financial restructuring was implemented by way of two separate schemes of arrangement under section 425 of the U.K. Companies Act 1985. As a result, the gain on financial restructuring described in note 3 will not give rise to any taxable amounts.

The tax on discontinued operations and on the disposal of discontinued operations is £nil.

21. Related party transactions

The Company and its subsidiaries had sales and purchases during the year with equity investments, joint ventures and associates, which are not consolidated, during fiscal 2004, 2003 and 2002. All transactions are in the ordinary course of business. The primary transactions between the Company and related parties are summarized as follows:

Table of Contents

| (in millions) | Year ended March 31, 2004 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | MMFG ⁽¹⁾ £ | Other £ |
| Statement of operations: | | |
| Net sales | | 4 |
| Purchases | | |
| Balance sheet: | | |
| Trade receivables | | |
| Trade payables | | |

| (in millions) | Year ended March 31, 2003 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | MMFG ⁽¹⁾ £ | Other £ |
| Statement of operations: | | |
| Net sales | | 30 |
| Purchases | | |
| Balance sheet: | | |
| Trade receivables | | 26 |
| Trade payables | | 9 |

| (in millions) | Year ended March 31, 2002 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | MMFG ⁽¹⁾ £ | Other £ |
| Statement of operations: | | |
| Net sales | 25 | 40 |
| Purchases | 1 | 14 |
| Balance sheet: | | |
| Trade receivables | | 26 |
| Trade payables | | 9 |

(1) MMFG Marconi Medical Financial Group (formerly known as Picker Financial)

All the contracts and other arrangements are with Atlantic Telecommunications Limited/ GaMMA, Alstom and the joint ventures described below which management believe have been on arm's-length terms and which were part of the Group prior to the financial restructuring on May 19, 2003.

Atlantic Telecommunications Limited/GaMMA

The Company owned 19.7% of Atlantic Telecommunications Limited (Atlantic). Atlantic went into administration on October 5, 2001. GaMMA acquired certain assets from Atlantic in bankruptcy. The Company received a 14.3% beneficial holding in GaMMA in December 2001, in consideration of the waiver of retention of title claims against certain assets of Atlantic, the grant of software licenses and procurement of the assignment of the indefeasible right of use terms granted by Easynet. Under the terms of the agreement with GaMMA, the Company received warrants equating to 1.7% of the authorized share capital of GaMMA.

Alstom

The Company owned approximately 24% of Alstom until February 2001, when it disposed of 18% through a public offering of shares. Upon the initial public offering of Alstom in 1998, prior to which we had a 50% stake in GEC Alstom, as it was called prior to the initial public offering, the Company entered into a transitional services agreement providing for the termination of existing group services provided by the

Table of Contents

Company to Alstom except that the Company would continue to provide transitional services in the fields of research and development, real estate management, bulk purchasing, and environmental advice and insurance. These transitional services are now completed, and as a result, among others, the Company no longer provides insurance services to Alstom. The Company did however, have an ongoing maintenance contract and provided some supply works on Alstom's Northern Line. From time to time in the ordinary course of business, the Company supplied products or services to Alstom and Alstom supplied products or services to the Company. In June 2001, the Company disposed of its remaining interest in Alstom.

CosmoCom

As of June 18, 2003, Capital Limited owned 5.7% of CosmoCom, Inc. CosmoCom, Inc. develops and deploys call center products and services. Marconi Communications International Limited, or MCIL, entered into a Value Added Reseller Agreement with CosmoCom, Inc. on March 3, 2000, as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated September 8, 2000, the VAR, whereby MCIL would act as a reseller of certain CosmoCom, Inc. products. Subject to other terms of the VAR, including the termination provisions contained therein, MCIL was required to purchase products and/or services of \$12,000,000 over approximately a three year period. On or about October 29, 2001, MCIL advised CosmoCom, Inc. that it was terminating the VAR, and is making no further purchases at this time. During the term of the VAR, MCIL purchased approximately \$1.5 million of products and/or services.

Marconi (Malaysia) SDN BHD

Marconi Communications S.p.A. owns a 30% shareholding in Marconi (Malaysia) SDN BHD, a business that sells and installs telecommunications equipment. During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2003, the Company supplied network equipment products totaling £23.1 million to Marconi (Malaysia) SDN BHD, at arm's-length terms.

Ultramast

The Company formed a joint venture company with Railtrack Telecom Services Limited, or RTSL, on April 26, 2001 to support the deployment of next generation broadband wireless networks. The Company and RTSL each had a 50 percent interest in the joint venture company until February 2003 when the Company settled litigation with RTSL and RTSL assumed full control of Ultramast. Albany Partnership Ltd., or APT, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company prior to the financial restructuring, has a consultancy agreement with Ultramast to provide it with telecommunications consultancy services to design, construct and maintain masts for the next generation networks. Ultramast also has an agreement with ipsaris Limited under which ipsaris is nominated as the preferred supplier for connectivity for the telecommunications network.

Confirmant

Confirmant is a 50-50 joint venture between Marconi Corporation plc and Oxford Glyco Sciences (U.K.) Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary of Oxford Glyco Sciences plc. Confirmant was formed in June 2001 for the purpose of completing and then offering for subscription a proteomic database and for providing managed hosting services to the biotech sector. Although the Company's outstanding contracts with Confirmant have not been formally cancelled, all members of the Confirmant board of directors have acknowledged that they are de facto cancelled and, therefore, the Company has no remaining liability under those contracts.

Easynet Group plc

In February 2002, the Company was obliged to acquire by a put option 1,324,054 ordinary shares in Easynet for £20 million. The Company disputed the legal basis of the put option and entered into litigation with Railtrack Group. In February 2003, the litigation with Railtrack Group was settled and the Company became beneficial owners of the 1,324,054 Easynet ordinary shares under the put option. Consequently, the £20 million and related impairment have been reflected in equity in loss of affiliates. The put option increased

Table of Contents

the equity holding to 72.7% and the holding of voting shares to 51.6%. However, under the Articles of Association of Easynet and a relationship agreement with Easynet, the voting rights in Easynet are limited to 49.9%. Accordingly, Easynet applied in April 2003 to the U.K. Listing Authority to cancel the 1,324,054 ordinary shares and non-voting convertible shares were issued to the Company in exchange. Since the Company was not able to exercise control over Easynet at anytime, the Company continued to account for Easynet using the equity method of accounting prior to the financial restructuring.

No significant transactions with directors or other executive officers of the Company have occurred during fiscal 2004, 2003 or 2002. Sir Alan Rudge, a non-executive director of the Company and non-executive chairman of MSI (acquired in 2001), held a substantial number of share options in MSI. At completion, he received approximately \$5.3 million from MSI in respect of the cancellation of his options. Sir Alan Rudge did not participate in that part of any board meeting, which considered the acquisition of MSI, nor did he receive any board papers related thereto.

22. Subsidiary company and equity investee information

The following table provides information on the principal subsidiary undertakings and other associated companies that the Company considers to have had a significant impact on the assessment of the assets and liabilities, the financial position and/or the profits and losses of the Company to March 31, 2004. Except where stated otherwise, each of these companies was wholly owned by a member of the Company and the share capital was fully paid up to May 19, 2003.

| Name | Registered Office |
|---|--|
| Network Equipment and Services | |
| Marconi Communications Limited | New Century Park, PO Box 53, Coventry CV3 1HJ, England |
| Marconi Communications S.p.A. | Via Ludovico Calda 5, 16153 Genoa, Italy |
| Marconi Communications, Inc. | c/o The Corporation Trust Company, Corporation Trust Center, 1209 Orange Street, Wilmington, Delaware, 19801, U.S.A. |
| Marconi Communications GmbH | Gerberstrasse 33, D 71522 Backnang, Germany |
| Capital | |
| Marconi Mobile S.p.A. ⁽¹⁾ | Via A. Negrone 1/ A, 16153 Genoa, Italy |
| Other Associated Companies | |
| Easynet Group plc (72.7% group equity share; 49.9% voting share) ⁽²⁾ | 44-46 Whitfield Street, London W1T 2RJ, England |

(1) Marconi Mobile S.p.A. was sold as part of the disposal of Marconi Mobile Holdings S.p.A. to Finmeccanica S.p.A. on August 2, 2002.

(2) See note 14, Investments in affiliates.

The undertakings in which the Company's interest at March 31, 2004 is more than 20% are as follows:

| Undertakings | Country of incorporation | Principal activity | Class and percentage of ordinary shares held |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Ancrane | England and Wales | Non trading | 100% |
| M Ansty Limited | England and Wales | Dormant | 100% |
| M Nominees Limited | England and Wales | Dormant | 100% |
| Photoniqa Limited | England and Wales | Dormant | 100% |
| GEC Reconstructions Limited* | England and Wales | Dormant | 50% |
| Yeslink Unlimited** | England and Wales | Dormant | 100% |

Table of Contents

* GEC Reconstructions Limited was liquidated on June 8, 2004.

** Yeslink Unlimited is a subsidiary of Photonika Limited.

All other subsidiary undertakings were disposed of as part of the financial restructuring on May 19, 2003 described in note 3.

F-59