

Edgar Filing: MCI INC - Form 425

MCI INC  
Form 425  
April 12, 2005

Filed by Verizon Communications Inc.

Pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933

and deemed filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12

under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Subject Company: MCI, Inc.

Commission File No.: 001-10415

Attached are analyses prepared by The Eastern Management Group, Inc. (Eastern Management), which were filed pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act on March 14, 2005 and March 18, 2005 by Verizon Communications Inc. (Verizon). These analyses are being re-filed with the revised disclosure set forth below to explain more clearly Verizon's arrangement with Eastern Management.

The Eastern Management Group is one of the oldest and largest management consulting firms focused exclusively on the communications industry. For more than a quarter century, The Eastern Management Group has served over 400 communications industry clients worldwide including every major carrier, manufacturer and software company. The Eastern Management Group has offices in the U.S. and Japan.

The Eastern Management Group has done and continues to do work for Verizon. Verizon agreed to compensate Eastern Management for its work in preparing these analyses at Eastern Management's standard hourly rates, plus expenses, which totaled approximately \$50,000, plus expenses invoiced to date of approximately \$2,000. Verizon was shown drafts of these analyses and commented on them before they were publicly released.

**Critical Implications of the Proposed Qwest MCI Merger: A Financial Analysis**

**Paul Robinson, Ph.D., CMA, CFM, Vice President**

**Robert A. Saunders, Research Director**

**The Eastern Management Group, Inc.**

On March 14, 2005, The Eastern Management Group released its analysis of a Qwest purchase of MCI in a white paper entitled "Critical Implications of the Proposed Qwest-MCI Merger: An Industry White Paper." In the report, we detailed a host of reasons why our firm believes a Qwest-MCI merger would result in an overall lessening of competition in the long-haul Internet traffic market, greater job losses, markedly reduced capital expenditure, and drastically less broadband deployment than would be the case under a Verizon acquisition of MCI. Since the release of that white paper, Qwest Communications International, Inc. has announced a revised, third bid for MCI Communications, Inc.

The new offer does not differ significantly from Qwest's second bid for MCI, other than it raises the cash premium paid to MCI shareholders from \$9.10 to \$10.50 per share, resulting in a total offer of \$26.00 per share up from \$24.60. We believe the new offer is intended to sow further division between MCI shareholders who favor long-term investment prospects and those who acquired MCI shares for quick maximum profits. Our industry assessment of the competing offers continues to be that competition, customers, and the markets will all be better served by a Verizon-MCI merger rather than a Qwest takeover of MCI.

© The Eastern Management Group, 2005

## Introduction

The financial community and The Eastern Management Group believe that Qwest, currently fourth among the regional Bell operating companies, is in danger of falling out of its peer group. We believe that Qwest's management is under pressure to make a large acquisition that will improve its balance sheet and preserve the company's standing vis-à-vis the other three RBOCs. As we pointed out in our white paper entitled "Critical Implications of the Proposed Qwest-MCI Merger: An Industry White Paper," the general perception on Wall Street is that an MCI acquisition is a MUST-HAVE for Qwest so that the company may begin to pay down its \$17.3 billion debt load.<sup>1</sup> The market forces driving this deal are as follows:

Qwest's continued losses in the long distance market

Qwest's lack of its own home-grown wireless capability<sup>2</sup>

Qwest's lack of a directory-publishing business<sup>3</sup>

A core local telephone business that is tracking poorly when compared with industry trends

No offsetting growth businesses

Our analysis of Qwest's financials and the parameters of the MCI deal suggests that Qwest is trying to save itself rather than build long-term shareholder value. The MCI acquisition accomplishes several things for Qwest: 1) reduces price competition by removing an aggressive bidder on enterprise contracts; 2) allows Qwest to scuttle its Classic assets, (i.e., the long haul network), and take over MCI's; 3) cleanses the company's balance sheet of its weak net debt-to-EBITDA<sup>4</sup> ratio which has kept Qwest's stock in high yield territory.

Despite the apparent attractiveness of MCI's asset portfolio (including a profitable Internet backbone network which would narrow losses at Qwest Classic), we are not persuaded that overall effects of the takeover will provide the combined company with benefits promised by Qwest management. Our research shows that companies occasionally overestimate synergies, while underestimating integration costs when selling the acquisition to shareholders, regulators, and Wall Street. We believe this to be the likely case in the Qwest-MCI estimates. Our analysis suggests that the combined company faces significant downward pressures in the market, which do not appear adequately incorporated into Qwest's portrait of the combined companies. Lastly, we foresee a liquidity crisis at Qwest-MCI based on the premium paid to MCI shareholders, lingering MCI bankruptcy issues, costs to unlock synergies, and hundreds of millions in unconditional purchase obligations for unnecessary capacity at Qwest Classic.

---

<sup>1</sup> Miller, Greg and Chris Chapple, "Q: Is the Revised Bid Enough to Derail the Verizon/MCI Deal?" NEUTRAL, Fulcrum Global Partners, February 28, 2005

<sup>2</sup> Qwest's current customer base of some 750,000 wireless customers comes through a resale arrangement with another carrier that effectively limits Qwest's ability to market the wireless voice and data services that are increasingly in demand by large business customers.

<sup>3</sup> Dex Holdings acquired Qwest's directory services businesses in 2002 for \$7 billion.

<sup>4</sup> Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization



### **MCI: A Solution to Qwest's LD and Leverage Problems?**

In our financial analysis we delved into the Qwest Classic business. Qwest Classic is the company's name for long haul assets which predate its purchase of U S West. Our research shows that backing out the local division (U S West) financials, as well as an estimated \$200-300 million in corporate overhead, Qwest's long distance division is losing approximately \$100-200 million of EBITDA per quarter<sup>5</sup>. Acquiring MCI could help Qwest plug this severe profit leakage. As Bradley J. Wilson, Daniel Zito, and Christopher C. King of Legg Mason Walker put it, "We believe Qwest's pursuit of MCI highlights its limited options to significantly remake its balance sheet and cost structure<sup>6</sup>. Inasmuch as MCI operates profitably, there could be several hundred million dollars of annual potential cost savings for Qwest. This picture does not, however, take into account the fact that the company would still be burdened by hundreds of millions of dollars in historical unconditional purchase obligations for services Qwest does not actually use (see Table 1).

Our analysis suggests that Qwest must also lower its leverage, i.e., the degree to which the business is utilizing borrowed money. Qwest has an \$8 billion market capitalization and \$14.3 billion in net debt<sup>7</sup> thus giving the company a 3.7x net debt/EBITDA leverage ratio. This ratio is a primary contributor to Qwest's junk ratings by the major rating agencies<sup>8</sup>. MCI, which erased its historical debt when it emerged from Chapter 11 bankruptcy, has a \$6.3 billion market capitalization and \$1 billion in estimated net debt with an attractive leverage ratio of 0.5. Marrying the two companies would, in a best case scenario, yield a near investment grade rating of 2.6x net debt-to-EBITDA.<sup>9</sup> If the combined company does not deliver on the promised synergies, on which the prospective net debt-to-EBITDA ratio depends, the investment ratings of Q-MCI may not even reach positive territory.

### **The Synergies of Qwest-MCI**

The Eastern Management Group's analysis of synergy expectations is a compelling reason to question the overall viability of the Qwest-MCI marriage. As mentioned above, it is not uncommon for acquiring companies to over-sell synergies to push through their acquisitions.

<sup>5</sup> Cohen, Ido and Steve Glik, "More LD M&A? What's Next?" Credit Suisse First Boston, February 3, 2005.

<sup>6</sup> Wilson, Bradley J., Daniel Zito, and Christopher C. King "Qwest to Modify MCI Bid," Legg Mason Wood Walker, February 18, 2005

<sup>7</sup> Net debt is long term debt plus the short-term component less cash and cash equivalents.

<sup>8</sup> In fact, Qwest's dogged commitment to the MCI acquisition is threatening to push its rating even lower. In response to the takeover bid of MCI, Standard & Poor's said in late February that it was planning on cutting Qwest's debt ratings for Qwest Communications International Inc., putting the company deeper into junk status. (Source: UPDATE 1-S&P Says May Cut Qwest Ratings, Reuters News, February 25, 2005).

<sup>9</sup> Barden, David W., Joseph Bende, Robert Dezego, Sven Eenmaa "Merger Monitor, Qwest Eyeing MCI Could Draw Verizon From Sidelines," Banc of America, February 3, 2005.

Many studies over the past decade show that the majority of M&A deals result in worse returns for shareholders of acquiring companies than for their competitors. *Business Week* investigations in 2002 and 2004 showed that 61% of big deals hurt the buying company's shareholders. Half of them also leave customers dissatisfied.<sup>10</sup>

One of the main reasons for this failure in value-creation is overstating synergies and underestimating integration costs. We see this tendency in the Qwest offer to MCI. In its February 28, 2005 Securities and Exchange Commission 8-K filing, Qwest estimated annual savings from both operating expenditure (opex) synergies and capital expenditure (capex) synergies in a range of \$2,300 - \$2,700 million and \$200 million, respectively. On February 4, 2005, JP Morgan, estimated opex synergies in a range of only \$938 - \$1,407 million and capex synergies ranging from only \$83-\$125 million. Credit Suisse First Boston estimated synergies in a range of \$700-900 million and \$200 million for opex and capex respectively (See [Table 2](#)).

CIBC World Markets expressed skepticism over Qwest's \$14.8 billion cost- savings or synergy estimates. The investment firm Morgan Keegan noted the projections came as Qwest was trying to sell this transaction!<sup>11</sup>

Fulcrum Partners is similarly concerned:

[G]iven the size of a proposed Qwest/MCI relative to a Verizon/MCI or even SBC/AT&T (which expects about \$15 billion of synergies), it causes us to believe Qwest's estimation of synergies might be too aggressive - we are somewhat skeptical that a Qwest deal could generate more than twice the amount of synergies than a Verizon deal.<sup>12</sup>

Public statements by MCI's management have also thrown doubt on their belief in the achievability of Qwest's synergy expectations<sup>13</sup> according to the *Associated Press*:

MCI has been hesitant to change partners out of concern that Qwest is in weak financial condition and faces a grim business outlook, making its shares a riskier proposition to accept as payment compared with Verizon's stock.<sup>14</sup>

Qwest estimates the combined company EBITDA growth in excess of nine percent compound annual growth rate. We do not believe this to be feasible taking into account the downward pressures on both Qwest and MCI's businesses.

Telecommunication services continue to be, at least partly, driven by economic growth factors within each regional Bells' operating region. We do not believe that Qwest's region is exhibiting economic growth rates sufficient enough to warrant a more bullish stance on the company's growth prospects relative to the other RBOCs. While having settled with

---

<sup>10</sup> Henry, David. Have Dealmakers Wised Up? *Business Week*, February 10, 2005.

<sup>11</sup> Smith, Qwest Gets Opening, *ibid*.

<sup>12</sup> Miller, Greg and Chris Chapple, Q: Is the Revised Bid Enough to Derail the Verizon/MCI Deal? NEUTRAL, Fulcrum Global Partners, February 28, 2005.

<sup>13</sup> Miller and Chapple, *ibid*.

<sup>14</sup> Meyerson, Bruce, MCI Chief Executive Gets \$13.5 Million, Associated Press Newswire, March 4, 2005



the SEC, Qwest also faces an uncertain outcome regarding its investigations from the Department of Justice, and there remains risk that the company will be required to pay an undetermined amount to the government. In order for the shares of Qwest to move upward, either investors must be willing to pay a premium valuation multiple based on 2005 enterprise value to revenue or EBITDA, or investors must anticipate a marked improvement in operating margins and EBITDA margins at least on a par with, or better than, its regional Bell peers. We do not anticipate this occurring in 2005 and, while we expect mild improvement, we do not believe this will be sufficient to enable shares of Qwest to move materially higher.<sup>15</sup>

Despite these pressures on Qwest, the company is claiming that its synergies would be equivalent with those of the SBC-AT&T merger even with the much smaller size of Qwest-MCI. According to one estimate projected value of the synergies in an SBC/AT&T combination is worth about 16 percent of pro forma combined equity value; in the case of Verizon/MCI it is about seven percent; and in Qwest/MCI, Qwest claims the synergy value would be equal to about 122 percent of pro forma combined equity value.<sup>16</sup>

### **A Looming Liquidity Crisis**

If the merger goes through, The Eastern Management Group believes Qwest Communications could face a liquidity crisis. Qwest estimates that reasonable opex/capex investments required to unlock values [will total] approximately \$2.7 billion.<sup>17</sup> As of December 31, 2004, MCI and Qwest had a total of \$6.2 billion in cash and equivalents (1.7 billion for Qwest and 4.45 billion for MCI).<sup>18</sup> Qwest's 2004 10-K notes that the company will have to refinance \$2.7 billion in long-term debt during 2006-07.<sup>19</sup> Thus, in total, Qwest will need \$5.4 billion in cash outlay subsequent to deal closure. This is a significant and perhaps daunting requirement out of the starting gate. Hence, any loss of the synergies could be devastating. In addition to these costs, one must also consider the following:

Qwest will be required to pay approximately \$3.0 billion in cash to MCI's current shareholders

Another \$2.0 billion or more will be spent to settle MCI's remaining bankruptcy-related claims (which is made larger by Qwest's unknowable litigation liabilities)

Around \$500 million will apparently be required to deal with restructuring charge reserves at Qwest

Hundreds of millions of unconditional purchase obligations for unnecessary capacity

<sup>15</sup> Bowen, Michael and Eugene Park, "Qwest Provides Q-MCI Synergy Details, but Verizon Will Likely Still Prevail," Friedman Billings Ramsey, March 2, 2005.

<sup>16</sup> Verizon Communications, public letter to executive management of MCI Communications, March 16, 2005.

<sup>17</sup> Qwest SEC 8-K filing, February 28, 2005

<sup>18</sup> Qwest 10-K filing, February 18, 2005 and MCI 10-K filing, March 16, 2005.

<sup>19</sup> Qwest 10-K filing, February 18, 2005.

<sup>20</sup> Verizon, *ibid.* Based on the announcement that Qwest is adding \$1.40 per share in cash to the original bid of \$24.60 (\$9.10 of which was in cash including regular dividends), The Eastern Management Group estimates that the total amount of cash paid to MCI shareholders will be \$3.34 billion based on a number of 318,000,000 shares outstanding.



These figures are, frankly, disturbing especially when one considers the alternative offered under a Verizon-MCI merger.

### **Conclusion**

Despite the increase in the share price offer to MCI shareholders, The Eastern Management Group continues to maintain its original position on the Qwest-MCI merger. We believe that Verizon's size and industry position grants substantially more stability to stakeholders. Furthermore, we feel that capex trends and realizable commitments on both sides favor a Verizon-MCI match-up. We also believe the due diligence conducted by Verizon in the months leading up to the February 14<sup>th</sup> announcement of a merger have resulted in reasonable estimates of synergies. The research we conducted for this financial analysis suggest that Qwest's unflagging pursuit of MCI is driven by diminishing opportunities to improve the company's balance sheet, enduring difficulties in the company's long distance business, and a poor market position vis-à-vis its peers. In order to sell the deal to the industry, regulators, and shareholders, Qwest has overestimated synergies between its business and its competitor MCI and underestimated integration costs to make the deal look rosier than it probably is. If Qwest triumphs, we believe the combined company's incurred expenses will result in liquidity crisis based on purchase obligations, outstanding bankruptcy claims at MCI, and, most importantly, the multibillion dollar premium which will need to be paid to MCI's shareholders. We are doubtful that combined Qwest-MCI will have the financial wherewithal to deliver on the many promises. In our view, MCI has made its preference for a marriage with Verizon clear. Qwest needs to leave well enough alone.

## Appendix:

Table 1:

|                                           | Payments Due by Period |          |          |          |          |            |           |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|
|                                           | 2005                   | 2006     | 2007     | 2008     | 2009     | Thereafter | Total     |
|                                           | (Dollars in millions)  |          |          |          |          |            |           |
| Future Contractual Obligations            |                        |          |          |          |          |            |           |
| Long-term debt                            | \$ 591                 | \$ 494   | \$ 2,249 | \$ 595   | \$ 1,315 | \$ 12,000  | \$ 17,244 |
| Interest on debt                          | 1,496                  | 1,432    | 1,339    | 1,202    | 1,133    | 7,566      | 14,168    |
| Capital lease and other obligations       | 22                     | 19       | 20       | 18       | 15       | 28         | 122       |
| Operating leases                          | 324                    | 279      | 257      | 231      | 205      | 1,393      | 2,689     |
|                                           |                        |          |          |          |          |            |           |
| Subtotal                                  | 2,433                  | 2,224    | 3,865    | 2,046    | 2,668    | 20,987     | 34,223    |
| Purchase commitment obligations           |                        |          |          |          |          |            |           |
| Telecommunications commitments            | 435                    | 204      | 122      | 61       | 10       |            | 832       |
| IRU operating and maintenance obligations | 20                     | 19       | 19       | 19       | 19       | 236        | 332       |
| Advertising and promotion                 | 53                     | 36       | 31       | 31       | 31       | 214        | 396       |
| Services                                  | 274                    | 264      | 199      | 196      | 166      | 89         | 1,188     |
|                                           |                        |          |          |          |          |            |           |
| Total purchase commitment obligations     | 782                    | 523      | 371      | 307      | 226      | 539        | 2,748     |
|                                           |                        |          |          |          |          |            |           |
| Total future contractual obligation       | \$ 3,215               | \$ 2,747 | \$ 4,236 | \$ 2,353 | \$ 2,894 | \$ 21,526  | \$ 36,971 |

(Source: Qwest Communications International, Inc. 10-K, February 22, 2005)

Table 2:

|              | Qwest/MCI Annual Merger Synergies |                 |                |            |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|
|              | Range (\$M)                       |                 | Midpoint (\$M) |            |
|              | Opex                              | Capex           | Opex           | Capex      |
| <b>Qwest</b> | <b>2,300 - 2,700</b>              | <b>200</b>      | <b>2,500</b>   | <b>200</b> |
| <b>JPM</b>   | <b>938 - 1,407</b>                | <b>83 - 125</b> | <b>1,172</b>   | <b>104</b> |
| <b>CSFB</b>  | <b>700 - 900</b>                  | <b>200</b>      | <b>800</b>     | <b>200</b> |

Source: Qwest, JP Morgan and Credit Suisse First Boston estimates



**The Eastern Management Group, Inc.**

The Eastern Management Group is one of the oldest and largest management consulting firms focused exclusively on the communications industry. For more than a quarter century, The Eastern Management Group has served over 400 communications industry clients worldwide including every major carrier, manufacturer and software company. The Eastern Management Group has done and continues to do work for Verizon. The Eastern Management Group has offices in the U.S. and Japan.

**The Eastern Management Group**

**1031 US Highway 22**

**Bridgewater, N.J. 08807**

**T: (908) 707-4200**

**F: (908) 707-8767**

**[www.easternmanagement.com](http://www.easternmanagement.com)**

In connection with the proposed acquisition of MCI, Verizon filed, with the SEC on April 12, 2005, a proxy statement and prospectus on Form S-4 that contain important information about the proposed acquisition. These materials are not yet final and will be amended. Investors are urged to read the proxy statement and prospectus filed, and any other relevant materials filed by Verizon or MCI because they contain, or will contain, important information about Verizon, MCI and the proposed acquisition. The preliminary materials filed on April 12, 2005, the definitive versions of these materials and other relevant materials (when they become available) and any other documents filed by Verizon or MCI with the SEC, may be obtained for free at the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Investors may also obtain free copies of these documents at [www.verizon.com/investor](http://www.verizon.com/investor), or by request to Verizon Communications Inc., Investor Relations, 1095 Avenue of the Americas, 36th Floor, New York, NY 10036. Free copies of MCI's filings are available at [www.mci.com/about/investor\\_relations](http://www.mci.com/about/investor_relations), or by request to MCI, Inc., Investor Relations, 22001 Loudoun County Parkway, Ashburn, VA 20147. Investors are urged to read the proxy statement and prospectus and the other relevant materials when such other materials become available before making any voting or investment decision with respect to the proposed acquisition.

Verizon, MCI, and their respective directors, executive officers, and other employees may be deemed to be participants in the solicitation of proxies from MCI shareholders with respect to the proposed transaction. Information about Verizon's directors and executive officers is available in Verizon's proxy statement for its 2005 annual meeting of shareholders, dated March 21, 2005. Information about MCI's directors and executive officers is available in MCI's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003. Additional information about the interests of potential participants will be included in the registration statement and proxy statement and other materials filed with the SEC.

NOTE: This document contains statements about expected future events and financial results that are forward-looking and subject to risks and uncertainties. For those statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The following important factors could affect future results and could cause those results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements: a significant change in the timing of, or the imposition of any government conditions to, the closing of the transaction; actual and contingent liabilities; and the extent and timing of our ability to obtain revenue enhancements and cost savings following the transaction. Additional factors that may affect the future results of Verizon and MCI are set forth in their respective filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which are available at [www.verizon.com/investor](http://www.verizon.com/investor) and [www.mci.com/about/investor\\_relations/sec/](http://www.mci.com/about/investor_relations/sec/).