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## Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

$\left.\begin{array}{lrr}\text { ASSETS } & \begin{array}{r}\text { September 30,2009 } \\ \text { (unaudited) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { December 31, } \\ 2008\end{array} \\ \text { Cash } & \$ 37,180\end{array}\right)$

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

LIABILITIES:

| Deposits: | $\$ 323,630$ | $\$ 302,492$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Noninterest bearing | $1,263,139$ | $1,142,609$ |
| Savings and interest-bearing transaction accounts | 348,182 | 393,549 |
| Time deposits under $\$ 100$ thousand | 209,200 | 217,483 |
| Time deposits $\$ 100$ thousand and over | $2,144,151$ | $2,056,133$ |
|  |  | 62,363 |
| Total deposits | 62,001 | 62,960 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to | 200,900 | 77,322 |
| repurchase | 77,322 | 14,966 |
| Long-term debt | 15,989 | $2,421,684$ |
| Subordinated debentures | $2,500,363$ |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 2 |

Commitments and contingencies
Stockholders equity:
Preferred stock, Series A, no par value, $\$ 1,000$ liquidation value, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued 59,000 shares at September 30, 2009 (
Common stock, no par value; authorized shares, $40,000,000$; issued
shares, 24,740,564 at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008

| Accumulated deficit | $(35,028)$ | $(19,246)$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 907,316 shares at September 30, 2009 and | $(12,477)$ | $(14,496)$ |
| $1,053,561$ at December 31, 2008 | 942 | $(2,368)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 269,100 | 220,941 |
| TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS | EQUITY | $\$ 2,769,463$ |$\$ \$ 2,642,625$

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
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## Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

|  | For the three months For the nine months ended September 30, ended September 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Loans, leases and fees | \$28,633 | \$32,336 | \$87,931 | \$95,725 |
| Federal funds sold and interest-bearing deposits with banks | 32 | 68 | 89 | 293 |
| Taxable investment securities | 3,775 | 3,331 | 10,566 | 10,369 |
| Tax-exempt investment securities | 550 | 527 | 1,713 | 1,864 |
| TOTAL INTEREST INCOME | 32,990 | 36,262 | 100,299 | 108,251 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 6,561 | 8,973 | 21,469 | 29,924 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 29 | 437 | 96 | 1,356 |
| Long-term debt | 3,378 | 3,712 | 10,337 | 10,845 |
| TOTAL INTEREST EXPENSE | 9,968 | 13,122 | 31,902 | 42,125 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 23,022 | 23,140 | 68,397 | 66,126 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 4,718 | 3,273 | 45,177 | 12,698 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES | 18,304 | 19,867 | 23,220 | 53,428 |
| NONINTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 2,768 | 2,856 | 8,134 | 8,261 |
| Commissions and fees | 1,045 | 847 | 2,741 | 2,672 |
| Gains on investment securities | 0 | 1 | 353 | 53 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance | 324 | 344 | 1,473 | 1,015 |
| Gains (losses) on leasing related assets | (709) | 109 | $(1,055)$ | 921 |
| Other income | 126 | 61 | 291 | 329 |
| TOTAL NONINTEREST INCOME | 3,554 | 4,218 | 11,937 | 13,251 |
| NONINTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 8,545 | 8,282 | 25,867 | 24,379 |
| Net occupancy expense | 1,596 | 1,511 | 5,067 | 4,574 |
| Furniture and equipment | 1,235 | 1,165 | 3,719 | 3,697 |
| Stationery, supplies and postage | 394 | 370 | 1,215 | 1,243 |
| Marketing expense | 667 | 648 | 2,008 | 1,650 |
| Core deposit intangible amortization | 265 | 265 | 796 | 796 |
| FDIC insurance expense | 1,231 | 330 | 4,547 | 930 |
| Collection expense | 405 | 81 | 1,287 | 289 |
| Other real estate and repossessed asset expense | 133 | 0 | 917 | 34 |
| Other expenses | 2,606 | 2,268 | 8,058 | 7,083 |
| TOTAL NONINTEREST EXPENSE | 17,077 | 14,920 | 53,481 | 44,675 |
| Income (loss) before provision for income taxes | 4,781 | 9,165 | $(18,324)$ | 22,004 |
| Provision for income taxes (benefit) | 2,770 | 3,309 | $(10,788)$ | 7,728 |


| NET INCOME (LOSS) | $\$ 2,011$ | $\$ 5,856$ | $(\$ 7,536)$ | $\$ 14,276$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends on Preferred Stock and Accretion | 885 | 0 | 2,309 | 0 |
| Net Income (Loss) Available to Common Stockholders | $\$ 1,126$ | $\$ 5,856$ | $(\$ 9,845)$ | $\$ 14,276$ |
| PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK | $\$ 0.05$ | $\$ 0.25$ | $\$(0.42)$ | $\$ 0.61$ |
| Basic earnings (loss) | $\$ 0.05$ | $\$ 0.25$ | $\$(0.42)$ | $\$ 0.61$ |
| Diluted earnings (loss) | $\$ 0.05$ | $\$ 0.10$ | $\$ 0.25$ | $\$ 0.30$ |

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

|  | For the three months ended September 30, 20092008 |  | For the nine months ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$2,011 | \$5,856 | $(\$ 7,536)$ | \$14,276 |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) NET OF TAX: |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized securities gain (loss) during period | 2,925 | (985) | 3,524 | $(2,643)$ |
| Less: reclassification for gains (losses) included in net income (loss) | 0 | 1 | 229 | 35 |
| Change in pension liability, net | 5 | 5 | 15 | (39) |
| Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | 2,930 | (981) | 3,310 | $(2,717)$ |
| TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | \$ 4,941 | \$ 4,875 | (\$ 4,226) | \$ 11,559 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
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## Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

## Nine Months ended September 30, 2009



## Nine Months ended September 30, 2008



See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements
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## Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS-(UNAUDITED)

|  | For the nine months ended September 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2008 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$(7,536) | \$14,276 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Net amortization of premiums, discounts and deferred loan fees and costs | 2,604 | 1,022 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,285 | 3,397 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 45,177 | 12,698 |
| Gain on securities | (353) | (53) |
| (Gains) losses on sales of leases | 1,028 | (300) |
| Losses on sales of other assets | 285 |  |
| Writedown of other repossessed assets | 780 |  |
| Stock-based compensation | 326 | 249 |
| Increase in other assets | $(17,617)$ | $(1,215)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 668 | $(4,146)$ |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 28,647 | 25,928 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Proceeds from repayments on and maturity of securities: |  |  |
| Available for sale | 96,838 | 79,105 |
| Held to maturity | 34,764 | 30,143 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities: |  |  |
| Available for sale | 25,778 | 10,108 |
| Purchase of securities: |  |  |
| Available for sale | $(324,661)$ | $(56,081)$ |
| Held to maturity | $(12,800)$ | $(6,107)$ |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance | $(1,304)$ | 0 |
| Sales of leases held for sale | 53,407 |  |
| Net increase in loans and leases | $(38,225)$ | $(139,749)$ |
| Proceeds on sales of other repossessed assets | 5,529 | 1,004 |
| Capital expenditures | $(2,825)$ | $(1,861)$ |
| NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | $(163,499)$ | $(83,438)$ |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 88,018 | $(35,864)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (362) | 3,755 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | $(10,000)$ | $(30,855)$ |
| Issuance of long-term debt |  | 125,103 |
| Proceeds on issuance of preferred stock, net of costs | 58,838 |  |
| Exercise of stock options | 10 | 2,884 |
| Excess tax benefits | 1 | 117 |
| Issuance of stock to dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan | 24 | 50 |
| Dividends paid | $(6,437)$ | $(6,128)$ |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 130,092 | 59,062 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | $(4,760)$ | 1,552 |

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

## Note 1. Significant Accounting Policies

## Basis of Presentation.

This quarterly report presents the consolidated financial statements of Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) and its subsidiary, Lakeland Bank (Lakeland). The accounting and reporting policies of the Company and Lakeland and its subsidiaries conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) and predominant practices within the banking industry.

The Company s financial statements reflect all adjustments and disclosures which management believes are necessary for a fair presentation of interim results. The results of operations for the quarter presented do not necessarily indicate the results that the Company will achieve for all of 2009. You should read these interim financial statements in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes that are presented in the Lakeland Bancorp, Inc. Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (the 10-K).

The financial information in this quarterly report has been prepared in accordance with the Company s customary accounting practices; these financial statements have not been audited. Certain information and footnote disclosures required under generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted, as permitted by rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Company evaluated its September 30, 2009 financial statements for subsequent events through November 9, 2009, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. The Company is not aware of any subsequent events which would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

## Note 2. Stock-Based Compensation

On May 21, 2009, the Company s shareholders approved the 2009 Equity Compensation Program, which authorizes the granting of incentive stock options, supplemental stock options, restricted shares and restricted stock units to employees of the Company, including those employees serving as officers and directors of the Company. The plan authorizes the issuance of 2 million shares in connection with options and awards granted under the 2009 program. No awards have been granted under the 2009 program.

The Company established the 2000 Equity Compensation Program which authorized the granting of incentive stock options and supplemental stock options to employees of the Company, including those employees serving as officers and directors of the Company. The Company s 2000 program also allowed for the grant of restricted shares, as well as stock option grants. The 2000 program authorized the issuance of up to $2,257,368$ shares of common stock of the Company. The Company has no outstanding option awards with market or performance conditions attached to them. The Company generally issues shares for option exercises from its treasury stock. The 2009 Equity Compensation Program supersedes the 2000 Equity Compensation Program. No further awards will be granted from the 2000 program.

Share-based compensation expense of $\$ 326,000$ and $\$ 249,000$ was recognized for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. As of September 30, 2009, there was unrecognized compensation cost of $\$ 1.1$ million related to unvested restricted stock; that cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.6 years. Unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options was approximately $\$ 70,000$ as of September 30, 2009 and is expected to be recognized over a period of 2.2 years.

In the first nine months of 2009, the Company granted 14,452 shares of restricted stock at a market value of $\$ 9.26$ per share under the 2000 program. These shares vest over a four year period. Compensation expense on these shares is expected to be approximately $\$ 26,000$ per year for the next four years. In the first nine months of 2008, the Company granted 72,000 shares of restricted stock at a weighted market value of $\$ 12.85$ per share. Compensation expense on these shares is expected to be approximately $\$ 194,000$ per year over an average period of 4.1 years.
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There were no grants of stock options in the first nine months of 2009. In the first nine months of 2008, the Company granted options to purchase 25,000 shares to a new non-employee director of the Company at an exercise price of $\$ 13.16$ per share. The director s options vest in five equal installments beginning on the date of grant and continuing on the next four anniversaries of the date of grant. The Company estimated the fair value of the 2008 option grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions: the risk-free interest rate was $3.09 \%$; the expected dividend yield $3.25 \%$; the expected volatility was $32 \%$ and the expected life was seven years. The fair value of the option granted was estimated to be $\$ 3.42$. The expected compensation expense to be recorded over the vesting period was $\$ 86,000$.

Option activity under the Company s stock option plans as of September 30, 2009 is as follows:

|  | Number of shares | Weighted average exercise price | Weighted average remaining contractual term (in years) | Aggregate intrinsic value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding, January 1, 2009 | 895,521 | \$12.45 |  | \$793,473 |
| Granted | 0 | 0.00 |  |  |
| Exercised | $(1,800)$ | 6.16 |  |  |
| Forfeited | $(42,062)$ | 14.30 |  |  |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2009 | 851,659 | \$12.37 | 3.51 | \$94,436 |
| Options exercisable at |  |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2009 | 826,157 | \$12.38 | 3.37 | \$94,436 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value (the difference between the Company s closing stock price on the last trading day of the third quarter of 2009 and the exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money options).

Stock options outstanding were 851,659 and 898,886 at September 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised during the first nine months ended September 30,2009 and 2008 was $\$ 6,000$ and $\$ 364,000$, respectively. Exercise of stock options during the first nine months of 2009 and 2008 resulted in cash receipts of $\$ 11,000$ and $\$ 2.9$ million, respectively.

Information regarding the Company s restricted stock (all unvested) and changes during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 is as follows:

|  | Number of <br> shares | Weighted <br> average <br> price |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Outstanding, January 1, 2009 | 114,008 | $\$ 12.53$ |
| Granted | 14,452 | 9.26 |
| Vested | $(1,125)$ | 10.56 |
| Forfeited | $(543)$ | 11.19 |
|  |  |  |
| Outstanding, September 30, 2009 | 126,792 | $\$ 12.18$ |
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## Note 3. Comprehensive Income

The components of other comprehensive income are as follows:

September 30, 2009
Before Tax Benefit Net of For the quarter ended:

|  | (dollars in thousands) |  |  | (dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period | \$4,643 | $(\$ 1,718)$ | \$2,925 | $(\$ 1,605)$ | \$620 | (\$985) |
| Less reclassification adjustment for net gains arising during the period | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) | \$4,643 | (\$1,718) | \$2,925 | $(\$ 1,606)$ | \$620 | (\$986) |
| Change in minimum pension liability | 7 | (2) | 5 | 7 | (2) | 5 |
| Other comprehensive gains (loss) net | \$4,650 | (\$1,720) | \$2,930 | $(\$ 1,599)$ | \$618 | (\$981) |


|  | Before | Tax Benefit | Net of | Before | Tax Benefit | Net of |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| For the nine months ended: | tax amount | (Expense) | tax amount | tax amount | (Expense) | tax amount |


|  | (dollars in thousands) |  |  | (dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on available for sale securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period | \$5,638 | $(\$ 2,114)$ | \$3,524 | $(\$ 4,222)$ | \$1,579 | $(\$ 2,643)$ |
| Less reclassification adjustment for net gains arising during the period | 353 | (124) | 229 | 53 | (18) | 35 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) | \$5,285 | $(\$ 1,990)$ | \$3,295 | $(\$ 4,275)$ | \$1,597 | $(\$ 2,678)$ |
| Change in minimum pension liability | 23 | (8) | 15 | (60) | 21 | (39) |
| Other comprehensive gains (loss) net | \$5,308 | $(\$ 1,998)$ | \$3,310 | $(\$ 4,335)$ | \$1,618 | (\$2,717) |

## Note 4. Statement of Cash Flow Information.

September 30, 2008
Before Tax Benefit Net of tax amount (Expense) tax amount
 $\$ 4,650 \quad(\$ 1,720) \quad \$ 2,930$ (\$981)
Other comprehensive gains (loss), net $\begin{array}{rrrrrr}\text { Before } & \text { Tax Benefit } & \begin{array}{r}\text { Net of }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Before }\end{array} & \text { Tax Benefit } & \text { Net of } \\ \text { tax amount } & \text { (Expense) } & \text { tax amount } & \text { tax amount } & \text { (Expense) } & \text { tax amount }\end{array}$ dollars in thousands) (dollars in thousands)
Net unrealized gains (losses) on Net unrealized holding gains

Other comprehensive gains (loss),

For the nine months ended
September 30,
20092008

|  | (in thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities: | $\$ 2,745$ | $\$ 9,237$ |
| Cash paid during the period for income taxes | 32,628 | 43,781 |
| Cash paid during the period for interest | 3,751 | 3,091 |
| Transfer of loans and leases into other repossessed assets | 67,945 |  |
| Transfer of loans and leases receivable to leases held for sale |  |  |
| Cash flows of $\$ 26.9$ million related to the sales of the held for sale leasing pools were presented in operating activities for the six months ended |  |  |
| June 30, 2009. These cash flows were reclassified during the third quarter to investing activities to more appropriately reflect the original |  |  |
| classification of the lease pools as the reclassification was not considered material to the overall financial statements. |  |  |
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## Note 5. Earnings Per Share.

Basic earnings per share for a particular period of time is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during that period.

Diluted earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of outstanding common shares and common share equivalents. The Company soutstanding common share equivalents are options to purchase its common stock, restricted stock and a warrant issued to the United States Treasury to purchase its common stock.

All weighted average, actual shares and per share information set forth in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q have been adjusted retroactively for the effects of stock dividends. The following schedule shows the Company s earnings per share for the periods presented:

| (In thousands except per share data) | For the three months endedFor the nine months ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$1,126 | \$5,856 | \$ $(9,845)$ | \$14,276 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic | 23,695 | 23,541 | 23,651 | 23,423 |
| Share-based plans | 36 | 82 | 0 | 95 |
| Weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents - diluted | 23,731 | 23,623 | 23,651 | 23,518 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share | \$0.05 | \$0.25 | \$(0.42) | \$0.61 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | \$0.05 | \$0.25 | \$(0.42) | \$0.61 |

Options to purchase 683,483 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 13.76$ per share, a warrant to purchase 949,570 shares of common stock at a price of $\$ 9.32$ per share, and 100,831 shares of restricted stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 12.87$ per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 because the option exercise price and the grant-date price were greater than the average market price. Options to purchase 691,902 shares of common stock and 66,000 shares of restricted stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 13.95$ and $\$ 13.06$ per share, respectively, were outstanding and were not included in the computations of diluted earnings per share for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 because the option exercise price and the grant-date price were greater than the average market price during the period.

Options to purchase 851,659 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 12.37$ per share, a warrant to purchase 949,571 shares of common stock at a price of $\$ 9.32$ per share, and 126,792 shares of restricted stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 12.18$ per share were outstanding and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 due to the net loss recorded. Options to purchase 691,902 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of $\$ 13.95$ per share were outstanding and were not included in the computations of diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 because the option exercise price was greater than the average market price during the period.
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Note 6. Investment Securities

AVAILABLE FOR SALE
September 30, 2009
December 31, 2008
Fair

| HELD TO MATURITY | September 30, 2009 |  |  | December 31, 2008 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value } \end{array}$ |
| U.S. government agencies | \$5,994 | \$346 | \$ | \$6,340 | \$21,760 | \$684 | \$0 | \$22,444 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 29,530 | 985 | (4) | 30,511 | 34,141 | 524 | (102) | 34,563 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 50,932 | 1,753 | (12) | 52,673 | 52,626 | 872 | (74) | 53,424 |
| Corporate debt securities | 1,580 | 11 | (36) | 1,555 | 1,587 |  | (137) | 1,450 |
|  | \$88,036 | \$3,095 | \$(52) | \$91,079 | \$110,114 | \$2,080 | \$(313) | \$111,881 |

September 30, 2009
Available for Sale Held to Maturity

|  | Amortized <br> Cost | Fair <br> Value | Amortized <br> Cost | Fair <br> Value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |

The following table shows proceeds from sales of securities, gross gains on sales of securities, gross losses on sales of securities and other than temporary impairments for the periods indicated (in thousands):

|  | For the three months ended <br> September 30, <br> 2009 | For the nine months ended <br> September 30, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
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Securities with a carrying value of approximately $\$ 284.7$ million and $\$ 280.9$ million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes required by applicable laws and regulations.

The following table indicates the length of time individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| September 30, 2009 | Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE | Fair value | Unrealized Losses | Fair value | Unrealized Losses | Number of securities | Fair value | Unrealized Losses |
| (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agencies | \$22,224 | \$54 | \$ | \$ | 6 | \$22,224 | \$54 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$57,604 | 380 | 12,612 | 276 | 31 | 70,216 | 656 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 310 |  | 64 | 1 | 2 | 374 | 1 |
| Corporate debt securities | 0 |  | 9,563 | 2,392 | 4 | 9,563 | 2,392 |
| Equity securities | 277 | 48 | 4,566 | 708 | 6 | 4,843 | 756 |
|  | \$80,415 | \$482 | \$26,805 | \$3,377 | 49 | \$107,220 | \$3,859 |
| HELD TO MATURITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 981 | 4 | 9 |  | 2 | 990 | 4 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  |  | 1,126 | 12 | 3 | 1,126 | 12 |
| Other debt securities |  |  | 1,008 | 36 | 2 | 1,008 | 36 |
|  | \$981 | \$4 | \$2,143 | \$48 | 7 | \$3,124 | \$52 |


| December 31, 2008 | Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or longer |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AVAILABLE FOR SALE | Fair value | Unrealized Losses | Fair value | Unrealized Losses | Number of securities | Fair value | Unrealized Losses |
| (dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agencies | \$5,000 | \$2 | \$ | \$ | 1 | \$5,000 | \$2 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 15,786 | 540 | 21,045 | 958 | 37 | 36,831 | 1,498 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions | 528 | 15 |  |  | 2 | 528 | 15 |
| Corporate debt securities | 507 | 1 | 8,071 | 3,885 | 5 | 8,578 | 3,886 |
| Equity securities | 5,480 | 551 | 4,674 | 441 | 6 | 10,154 | 992 |
|  | \$27,301 | \$1,109 | \$33,790 | \$5,284 | 51 | \$61,091 | \$6,393 |
| HELD TO MATURITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. government agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$0 | \$0 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 4,653 | 54 | 3,937 | 48 | 12 | 8,590 | 102 |


| Obligations of states and political |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  <br> subdivisions | 2,001 | 67 | 354 | 7 | 7 | 2,355 | 74 |
| Other debt securities |  |  | 1,450 | 137 | 3 | 1,450 | 137 |
|  | $\$ 6,654$ | $\$ 121$ | $\$ 5,741$ | $\$ 192$ | 22 | $\$ 12,395$ | $\$ 313$ |

Management has evaluated the securities in the above table and has concluded that, with the exception of the equity security discussed below, none of the securities with losses has impairments that are other-than-temporary. In its evaluation, management considered the credit rating on the securities and the results of discounted cash flow analysis. Investment securities, including the mortgage backed securities and corporate securities are evaluated on a periodic basis to determine if factors are identified that would require further analysis. In evaluating the Company $s$ securities, including mortgage backed securities and
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corporate securities, management considers the following items:

> The credit ratings of the underlying issuer and if any changes in the credit rating have occurred;
> The Company s ability and intent to hold the securities, including an evaluation of the need to sell the security to meet certain liquidity measures, or whether the Company has sufficient levels of cash to hold the identified security in order to recover the entire amortized cost of the security;
> The length of time the security s fair value has been less than amortized cost; and
> Adverse conditions related to the security or its issuer if the issuer has failed to make scheduled payments or other factors. In the second quarter of 2009 , the Company recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of $\$ 532,000$ on one investment in the equity securities portfolio. Management evaluated its portfolio of equity securities and, based on its evaluation of the financial condition and near-term prospects of an issuer, management was unsure that it could recover its investment in the security.

## Note 7. Loans and Leases.

|  | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (in thousands) |  |
| Commercial | \$1,026,102 | \$958,620 |
| Leases | 130,011 | 311,463 |
| Leases held for sale, at fair value | 8,946 | 0 |
| Real estate-construction | 107,210 | 107,928 |
| Real estate-mortgage | 372,877 | 336,951 |
| Installment | 319,478 | 315,704 |
| Total loans | 1,964,624 | 2,030,666 |
| Plus: deferred costs | 3,408 | 4,165 |
| Loans net of deferred costs | \$1,968,032 | \$2,034,831 |

Loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that Lakeland will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the original contractual terms of the loan agreement, including scheduled principal and interest payments. Impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, a creditor may measure impairment based on a loan s observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent. Regardless of the measurement method, a creditor must measure impairment based on the fair value of the collateral when the creditor determines that foreclosure is probable. Most of Lakeland s impaired loans are collateral dependent. Lakeland groups commercial loans under $\$ 250,000$ into a homogeneous pool and collectively evaluates them for impairment.

The following table shows Lakeland s recorded investment in impaired loans and leases, the related valuation allowance and the year-to-date average recorded investment as of September 30, 2009, December 31, 2008 and September 30, 2008:

|  |  | Average Recorded <br> Investment |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date | Investment | Valuation Allowance | Year-to-date |
| September 30, 2009 | $\$ 34.0$ million | $\$ 3.0$ million | $\$ 21.0$ million |
| December 31, 2008 | $\$ 14.1$ million | $\$ 3.7$ million | $\$ 9.7$ million |
| September 30, 2008 | $\$ 9.5$ million | $\$ 1.9$ million | $\$ 9.4$ million |
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Interest received on impaired loans and leases may be recorded as interest income. However, if management is not reasonably certain that an impaired loan will be repaid in full, or if a specific time frame to resolve full collection cannot yet be reasonably determined, all payments received are recorded as reductions of principal. Lakeland recognized interest on impaired loans and leases of $\$ 51,000$ and $\$ 184,000$ in the first nine months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. Interest that would have accrued had the loans and leases performed under original terms would have been $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 509,000$ for the first nine months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

## Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Lakeland classified $\$ 90.1$ million in lease pools as held for sale and recorded a mark-to-market adjustment of $\$ 22.1$ million upon transfer into held for sale to record the leases at lower of cost or market. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, Lakeland sold lease pools with a carrying value of $\$ 54.2$ million for $\$ 53.4$ million and recorded a loss on sale of leases of $\$ 792,000$. The following table shows the components of losses on held for sale leasing assets for the periods presented:

For the three months ended September 30, 2009

For the nine months ended September 30, 2009

| Losses on sales of Held for sale Leases | $(\$ 792)$ | $(\$ 792)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mark-to-market adjustment on held for sale |  | $(790)$ |
| leases |  | $(790)$ |
| Realized gains on paid off held for sale | 554 | 554 |
| leases | 301 | $(285)$ |
| Gains (losses) on other repossessed assets | $(\$ 727)$ | $(\$ 1,313)$ |

Loss on held for sale leasing assets is included in gain (loss) on leasing related assets along with other miscellaneous leasing income normally recorded in Lakeland s leasing business.

Lakeland had leases held for sale with a fair market value of $\$ 8.9$ million as of September 30, 2009. Management recorded the mark-to-market adjustments on the pools of leases based on indications of interest from potential buyers, and sales prices of similar leases previously sold adjusted for differences in types of collateral and other characteristics.

## Note 8. Employee Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic pension cost for the Newton Trust Company s defined pension plan are as follows:

|  | For the three months ended <br> September 30, | For the nine months ended <br> September 30, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 |  |  |

## Note 9. Directors Retirement Plan

The components of net periodic plan costs for the directors retirement plan are as follows:

For the three months ended
September 30,
20092008
(in thousands)
(in thousands)
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| Service cost | $\$ 7$ | $\$ 6$ | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 17$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Interest cost | 13 | 15 | 38 | 45 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 7 | 7 | 23 | 23 |
| Amortization of unrecognized net actuarial loss | 3 | 2 | 9 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net periodic benefit expense | $\$ 30$ | $\$ 30$ | $\$ 90$ | $\$ 92$ |

The Company made contributions of $\$ 80,000$ to the plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and does not expect to make any more contributions in 2009.
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## Note 10. Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Fair Market Value

US GAAP, under FASB ASC Topic 825, Financial Instruments, requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of an entity s assets and liabilities considered to be financial instruments. For the Company, as for most financial institutions, the majority of its assets and liabilities are considered financial instruments. However, many such instruments lack an available trading market, as characterized by a willing buyer and seller engaging in an exchange transaction. Also, it is the Company s general practice and intent to hold its financial instruments to maturity and not to engage in trading or sales activities, except for certain loans. Therefore, the Company had to use significant estimations and present value calculations to prepare this disclosure.

Changes in the assumptions or methodologies used to estimate fair values may materially affect the estimated amounts. Also, management is concerned that there may not be reasonable comparability between institutions due to the wide range of permitted assumptions and methodologies in the absence of active markets. This lack of uniformity gives rise to a high degree of subjectivity in estimating financial instrument fair values.

Estimated fair values have been determined by the Company using the best available data and an estimation methodology suitable for each category of financial instruments. The estimation methodologies used, the estimated fair values, and recorded book balances at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are outlined below.

For cash and cash equivalents and interest-bearing deposits with banks, the recorded book values approximate fair values. The estimated fair values of investment securities are based on quoted market prices, if available. Estimated fair values are based on quoted market prices of comparable instruments if quoted market prices are not available.

The net loan portfolio at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 has been valued using a present value discounted cash flow where market prices were not available. The discount rate used in these calculations is the estimated current market rate adjusted for credit risk. The carrying value of accrued interest approximates fair value.

The estimated fair values of demand deposits (i.e. interest (checking) and non-interest bearing demand accounts, savings and certain types of money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e. their carrying amounts). The carrying amounts of variable rate accounts approximate their fair values at the reporting date. For fixed maturity certificates of deposit, fair value was estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

The fair value of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, long-term debt and subordinated debentures are based upon discounted value of contractual cash flows. The Company estimates the discount rate using the rates currently offered for similar borrowing arrangements.

The fair values of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counter parties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of guarantees and letters of credit is based on fees currently charged for similar agreements or on the estimated cost to terminate them or otherwise settle the obligations with the counter parties at the reporting date.

The carrying values and estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments are as follows:
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|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Value | Estimated fair value | Carrying Value | Estimated fair value |
| Financial Assets: | (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$45,016 | \$45,016 | \$49,776 | \$49,776 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 488,124 | 488,124 | 282,174 | 282,174 |
| Investment securities held to maturity | 88,036 | 91,079 | 110,114 | 111,881 |
| Loans | 1,959,086 | 1,971,169 | 2,034,831 | 2,085,336 |
| Leases held for sale | 8,946 | 8,946 |  |  |
| Financial Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 2,144,151 | 2,148,883 | 2,056,133 | 2,065,332 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 62,001 | 62,001 | 62,363 | 62,363 |
| Long-term debt | 200,900 | 223,806 | 210,900 | 225,760 |
| Subordinated debentures | 77,322 | 82,116 | 77,322 | 83,858 |
| Commitments: |  |  |  |  |
| Standby letters of credit |  | 25 |  | 11 |

US GAAP, under FASB ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels giving the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest level priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The following describes the three levels of fair value hierarchy:

Level 1 unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.

Level 2 Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; estimates using pricing models or matrix pricing; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, yield curves, volatilities, etc.)

Level 3 unobservable inputs for the asset or liability these shall be used to the extent that observable inputs are not available allowing for situations in which there is little, if any, market activity available.
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The following table sets forth the Company s financial assets that were accounted for at fair values as of September 30, 2009 by level within the fair value hierarchy. The Company had no liabilities accounted for at fair value as of September 30, 2009. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

| (in thousands) | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets <br> (Level 1) | Significant <br> Other <br> Observable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Balance <br> 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities, available for sale |  |  |  |  |
| US government agencies | \$ | \$103,014 | \$ | \$103,014 |
| Mortgage backed securities |  | 334,309 |  | 334,309 |
| Obligations of states and political subdivisions |  | 14,846 |  | 14,846 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 12,631 |  | 12,631 |
| Other equity securities | 1,598 | 21,726 |  | 23,324 |
| Total securities available for sale | \$1,598 | \$486,526 | \$ | \$488,124 |

The following table sets forth the Company s financial assets subject to fair value adjustments (impairment) on a nonrecurring basis as they are valued at the lower of cost or market. Assets are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

| Quoted Prices in |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Active Markets |  |  | Balance |  |
|  | for Identical | Significant | Other | Significant |


| Assets: | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$ 8,946$ | $\$ 8,946$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Leases held for sale |  | 33,995 | $\$ 33,995$ |  |

Leases held for sale are those leases that Lakeland identified and intends to sell. Leases held for sale were valued at the lower of cost or market.
Market indications were derived from sale price indications from potential buyers and based on sale prices of prior lease pools adjusted for differences in types of collateral and other characteristics.

Impaired loans and leases are evaluated and valued at the time the loan is identified as impaired at the lower of cost or market value. Market value is measured based on the value of the collateral securing these loans and leases and is classified at a level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. Collateral may be real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment and/or other business assets. The value of the real estate is assessed based on appraisals by qualified third party licensed appraisers. The value of the equipment may be determined by an appraiser, if significant, inquiry through a recognized valuation resource, or by the value on the borrower s financial statements. Field examiner reviews on business assets may be conducted based on the loan exposure and reliance on this type of collateral. Appraised and reported values may be discounted based on management $s$ historical knowledge, changes in market conditions from the time of valuation, and/or management s expertise and knowledge of the client and client s business. Impaired loans and leases are reviewed and evaluated on at least a quarterly basis for additional impairment and adjusted accordingly, based on the same factors identified above.
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## Note 11. Preferred Stock

On February 6, 2009, under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Capital Purchase Program (CPP), the Company issued 59,000 shares of its Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the Series A preferred stock ) to the U.S. Department of the Treasury for a purchase price of $\$ 59.0$ million. The Series A preferred stock has a $5 \%$ annual dividend rate for the first five years and a $9 \%$ annual dividend thereafter if the Series A preferred stock are not redeemed by the Company. The Company may redeem the Series A preferred stock with the consent of the Treasury Department in conjunction with the Company s primary regulator at any time.

In conjunction with the issuance of our Series A preferred stock, the Company also issued a warrant to purchase 949,571 shares of the Company s common stock to the Treasury Department. The warrant has a 10 -year term and is immediately exercisable at an exercise price, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, of $\$ 9.32$ per share.

The proceeds from the Treasury Department are allocated to the Series A preferred stock and the warrant based on their relative fair values. The fair value of the Series A preferred stock was determined through a discounted future cash flow model. The Company calculated the fair value of the Series A preferred stock by using a $14 \%$ discount rate and discounting the cash flows over a 10 year period. A Black-Scholes pricing model was used to calculate the fair value of the warrant. The Black-Scholes model used the following assumptions, a dividend yield of $5.12 \%$, volatility of $32 \%$ and a risk-free interest rate of $3.05 \%$.

A $\$ 3.3$ million discount is being amortized over a five year period using a level yield method. The effective yield on the amortization of the Series A Preferred Stock is approximately $6.36 \%$. In determining net income (loss) available to common shareholders, the periodic amortization and the cash dividend on the Series A preferred stock are subtracted from net income (loss).

## Note 12. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On June 29, 2009, the FASB issued an accounting pronouncement establishing the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (the ASC ) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. This pronouncement, FASB ASC Topic 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, was effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, for most entities. On the effective date, all non-SEC accounting and reporting standards will be superseded. The Company adopted this new accounting pronouncement for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009, as required, and adoption did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements taken as a whole.

In June 2008, the FASB issued guidance addressing whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the calculation of earnings per share (EPS). The guidance was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 with prior period EPS data adjusted retrospectively to conform to its provisions, and did not have a material effect on the Company s EPS. This guidance was codified under FASB ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share.

In December 2008, the FASB issued guidance on an employer s disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. This guidance was codified under ASC Topic 715, Compensation - Retirement Benefits. ASC Topic 715 requires disclosure of the fair value of each major category of plan assets for pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans as of the annual reporting date. This guidance becomes effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASC Topic 715 on the consolidated financial statements, but it is not expected to have a material impact.

On April 9, 2009, the FASB issued the following three final staff positions that were intended to provide additional guidance and enhance disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairments of securities:

Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly provides guidelines for making fair value
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measurements more consistent with the existing accounting principles when the volume and level of activity of an asset or liability have decreased significantly. This guidance was subsequently codified into FASB ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements.

Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments enhances consistency in financial disclosure by requiring fair value disclosures for financial instruments to be reported in interim financial statements. Previously, fair values for financial instruments were only disclosed annually. This guidance was subsequently codified into FASB ASC 825-10-50, Financial Instruments.

Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, provides additional guidance designed to create greater clarity and consistency in accounting for and presenting impairment losses on securities. This guidance is intended to bring greater consistency to the timing of impairment recognition, and provide greater clarity to investors about the credit and noncredit components of impaired debt securities that are not expected to be sold. This guidance was subsequently codified into FASB ASC 320-10, Investments in Debt and Equity Securities.
The above guidance was effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted this guidance in the second quarter and expanded the disclosures as required.

On April 13, 2009, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 111, Other Than Temporary Impairment of Certain Investments in Equity Securities (SAB 111 ). SAB 111 provides guidance on how to evaluate equity securities for other than temporary impairment and when a write-down of the carrying value is required. There was no material impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements upon adoption. The company recorded an other-than-temporary impairment on one of its equity securities in second quarter 2009 as disclosed in Note 6.

On May 28, 2009, the FASB issued FASB ASC 855, Subsequent Events, which established general standards of accounting for and disclosure of subsequent events, which are events occurring after the balance sheet date but before the date the financial statements are issued or available to be issued. In particular, the pronouncement requires entities to recognize in the financial statements the effect of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence of conditions that existed at the balance sheet date, including the estimates inherent in the financial preparation process. Entities may not recognize the impact of subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the balance sheet date but arose after that date. This pronouncement also requires entities to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. This pronouncement was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company adopted the provisions of this pronouncement for the quarter ended June 30, 2009, as required, and adoption did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements taken as a whole.

On June 12, 2009, the FASB issued two related accounting pronouncements changing the accounting principles and disclosures requirements related to securitizations and special-purpose entities. Specifically, SFAS No. 166, Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets, an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 and SFAS No 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) eliminate the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, change the requirements for derecognizing financial assets and change how a company determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. These pronouncements also expand existing disclosure requirements to include more information about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. These pronouncements will be effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity s first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Earlier application is prohibited. The recognition and measurement provisions regarding transfers of financial assets shall be applied to transfers that occur on or after the effective date. Management has not determined the impact adoption may have on the Company s consolidated financial statements. These accounting pronouncements have not yet been codified.

In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU )No. 2009-05, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820) Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value. This ASU provides amendments for fair value measurements of liabilities. It provides clarification that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, a reporting entity is required to measure fair value using one or more techniques. ASU 2009-05 also clarifies that
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when estimating a fair value of a liability, a reporting entity is not required to include a separate input or adjustment to other inputs relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of the liability. ASU 2009-05 is effective for the first reporting period (including interim periods) beginning after issuance or the fourth quarter of 2009 for the Company. The Company is assessing the impact of ASU 2009-05 on our financial condition, results of operations, and disclosures.

## PART I ITEM 2

## Management s Discussion and Analysis of

## Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read this section in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. All weighted average, actual share and per share information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q has been adjusted retroactively for the effects of stock dividends.

## Statements Regarding Forward Looking Information

The information disclosed in this document includes various forward-looking statements that are made in reliance upon the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 with respect to credit quality (including delinquency trends and the allowance for loan and lease losses), corporate objectives, and other financial and business matters. The words anticipates, projects, intends, estimates, expects, believes, plans, may, will, should, could, and other similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking stateme Company cautions that these forward-looking statements are necessarily speculative and speak only as of the date made, and are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, all of which may change over time. Actual results could differ materially from such forward-looking statements.

In addition to the risk factors disclosed elsewhere in this document, the following factors, among others, could cause the Company s actual results to differ materially and adversely from such forward-looking statements: changes in the financial services industry and the U.S. and global capital markets, changes in economic conditions nationally, regionally and in the Company s markets, the nature and timing of actions of the Federal Reserve Board and other regulators, the nature and timing of legislation affecting the financial services industry, government intervention in the U.S. financial system, passage by the U.S. Congress of legislation which unilaterally amends the terms of the U.S. Treasury Department s preferred stock investment in the Company, changes in levels of market interest rates, pricing pressures on loan and deposit products, credit risks of the Company s lending and leasing activities, customers acceptance of the Company sproducts and services and competition.

The above-listed risk factors are not necessarily exhaustive, particularly as to possible future events, and new risk factors may emerge from time to time. Certain events may occur that could cause the Company s actual results to be materially different than those described in the Company s periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Any statements made by the Company that are not historical facts should be considered to be forward-looking statements. The Company is not obligated to update and does not undertake to update any of its forward-looking statements made herein.

## Significant Accounting Policies, Judgments and Estimates

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company and its subsidiaries conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and predominant practices within the banking industry. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, Lakeland, Lakeland Investment Corp. and Lakeland NJ Investment Corp. All inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. These estimates and assumptions also affect reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Significant estimates implicit in these financial statements are as follows:

The principal estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the allowance for loan
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and lease losses, the valuation of the Company s securities portfolio, the analysis of goodwill impairment and the Company s deferred tax assets. The evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses includes, among other factors, an analysis of historical loss rates, by category, applied to current loan totals. However, actual losses may be higher or lower than historical trends, which vary. Actual losses on specified problem loans and leases, which also are provided for in the evaluation, may vary from estimated loss percentages.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is established through a provision for loan and lease losses charged to expense. Loan principal considered to be uncollectible by management is charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. The allowance is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb losses on existing loans and leases that may become uncollectible based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The evaluation takes into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and size of the loan portfolio, overall portfolio quality, specific problem loans and leases, and current economic conditions which may affect the borrowers ability to pay. The evaluation also details historical losses by loan category, the resulting loss rates for which are projected at current loan total amounts. Loss estimates for specified problem loans and leases are also detailed. All of the factors considered in the analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses may be subject to change. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provisions for loan and lease losses may be required that would adversely impact earnings in future periods.

The Company accounts for impaired loans and leases in accordance with US GAAP. Impairment is measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, except that as a practical expedient, a creditor may measure impairment based on a loan s observable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent. Regardless of the measurement method, a creditor must measure impairment based on the fair value of the collateral when the creditor determines that foreclosure is probable.

Fair values of financial instruments are volatile and may be influenced by a number of factors, including market interest rates, prepayment speeds, discount rates, credit ratings and yield curves. Fair values for investment securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on the quoted prices of similar instruments or an estimate of fair value by using a range of fair value estimates in the market place as a result of the illiquid market specific to the type of security. In the second and third quarters of 2009, the Company reclassified certain leases as held for sale and recorded them at estimated fair value based on sale price indications from potential buyers and on prior lease sales adjusted for differences in collateral and other characteristics.

When the fair value of a security is below its amortized cost, and depending on the length of time the condition exists and the extent the fair value is below amortized cost, additional analysis is performed to determine whether an other-than-temporary impairment condition exists. Available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities are analyzed quarterly for possible other-than-temporary impairment. The analysis considers (i) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (ii) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (iii) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. Often, the information available to conduct these assessments is limited and rapidly changing, making estimates of fair value subject to judgment. If actual information or conditions are different than estimated, the extent of the impairment of the security may be different than previously estimated, which could have a material effect on the Company s results of operations and financial condition.

The Company accounts for income taxes under the liability method of accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates that will be in effect when these differences reverse. Deferred tax expense is the result of changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities. The principal types of differences between assets and liabilities for financial statement and tax return purposes are the allowance for loan and lease losses, deferred loan fees, deferred compensation and securities available for sale. The Company evaluates the realizability of its deferred tax assets by examining its earnings history and projected future earnings and by assessing whether it is more likely than not that carryforwards would not be realized. Because the majority of the Company s deferred tax assets have no expiration date, because of the Company searnings history, and because of the projections of future earnings, the Company s management believes that it is more likely than not that all of the Company s deferred tax assets will be realized.

The Company evaluates tax positions that may be uncertain using a recognition threshold of more-likely-than-not, and a measurement attribute for all tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return, in order for those tax positions to be recognized in the financial statements. Additional information regarding the Company s uncertain tax positions is set forth in Note 9 to the Financial Statements of the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.
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The Company tests goodwill for impairment annually or when circumstances indicate a potential for impairment at the reporting unit level. The Company has determined that it has one reporting unit, Community Banking. The Company analyzes goodwill using various market valuation methodologies including an analysis of the Company s enterprise value and a comparison of pricing multiples in recent acquisitions of similar companies and applying these multiples to the Company. The Company tested the goodwill as of December 31, 2008 and determined that it is not impaired. There were no triggering events in the third quarter of 2009 that would cause the Company to do an interim valuation.

## Results of Operations

## (Third Quarter 2009 Compared to Third Quarter 2008)

## Net Income

Net income for the third quarter of 2009 was $\$ 2.0$ million, compared to net income of $\$ 5.9$ million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of $\$ 3.8$ million. Net income available to common shareholders was $\$ 1.1$ million compared to $\$ 5.9$ million for the same period last year. Diluted earnings per share was $\$ 0.05$ for the third quarter of 2009, compared to diluted earnings of $\$ 0.25$ per share for the same period last year.

The third quarter 2009 results were impacted by a loan and lease loss provision of $\$ 4.7$ million, compared to a provision of $\$ 3.3$ million for the same period last year. Of the total provision recorded in the third quarter of 2009, $\$ 1.3$ million was allocated to leasing. Also impacting third quarter 2009 results were $\$ 709,000$ in losses on leasing related assets and $\$ 1.2$ million in FDIC expense compared to $\$ 330,000$ for the same period last year.

In the third quarter of 2009, the Company continued to reduce its exposure in the leasing business. Total leases, including leases held for sale, at September 30, 2009 were $\$ 139.0$ million, a $\$ 54.6$ million, or $28 \%$, decline from the $\$ 193.6$ million at June 30, 2009. Leases held for sale were $\$ 8.9$ million at September 30, 2009, down from $\$ 39.2$ million at June 30, 2009. The reduction in total leases includes the sales in the third quarter of approximately $\$ 27.9$ million of leases, and other lease related transactions, which resulted in a loss on sale and disposition of leases of $\$ 1.0$ million offset by $\$ 301,000$ in gains on sales of other repossessed assets. At September 30, 2009, leases represent $7 \%$ of total loans and leases compared to $15 \%$ at year end 2008. This will be discussed in more detail below.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis for the third quarter of 2009 was $\$ 23.3$ million, which was $\$ 106,000$ below the $\$ 23.4$ million net interest income earned in the third quarter of 2008. The net interest margin declined from $3.92 \%$ in the third quarter of 2008 to $3.62 \%$ in the third quarter of 2009 as a result of a decline in rates and a shift in interest-earning assets from loans and leases to the investment portfolio primarily as a result of the reduction in leasing assets. The components of net interest income will be discussed in greater detail below.

The following table reflects the components of the Company $s$ net interest income, setting forth for the periods presented, (1) average assets, liabilities and stockholders equity, (2) interest income earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (3) average yields earned on interest-earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (4) the Company s net interest spread (i.e., the average yield on interest-earning assets less the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities) and (5) the Company s net interest margin. Rates are computed on a tax equivalent basis using a tax rate of $35 \%$.
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|  | For the three months ended, September 30, 2009 |  |  |  | For the three months ended, September 30, 2008 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  | Average Balance | Interest Income/ <br> Expense | Average <br> rates <br> earned/ paid <br> (dollars in th |  | Average <br> Balance sands) | Interest Income/ <br> Expense | Average rates earned/ paid |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases (A) | \$ | 1,982,700 | \$ 28,633 | 5.73\% | \$ | 2,002,869 | \$ 32,336 | 6.42\% |
| Taxable investment securities |  | 456,735 | 3,775 | 3.31\% |  | 301,042 | 3,331 | 4.43\% |
| Tax-exempt securities |  | 64,733 | 846 | 5.23\% |  | 58,846 | 811 | 5.51\% |
| Federal funds sold (B) |  | 49,964 | 32 | 0.26\% |  | 14,718 | 68 | 1.85\% |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 2,554,132 | 33,286 | 5.18\% |  | 2,377,475 | 36,546 | 6.12\% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(26,419)$ |  |  |  | $(20,198)$ |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 243,645 |  |  |  | 217,506 |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS |  | 2,771,358 |  |  |  | 2,574,783 |  |  |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$ | 306,449 | \$ 336 | 0.43\% | \$ | 308,840 | \$ 879 | 1.13\% |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts |  | 928,082 | 2,222 | 0.95\% |  | 816,474 | 3,438 | 1.68\% |
| Time deposits |  | 600,638 | 4,003 | 2.67\% |  | 519,949 | 4,656 | 3.58\% |
| Borrowings |  | 327,607 | 3,407 | 4.16\% |  | 391,567 | 4,149 | 4.24\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 2,162,776 | 9,968 | 1.84\% |  | 2,036,830 | 13,122 | 2.57\% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits |  | 322,337 |  |  |  | 306,480 |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 18,957 |  |  |  | 13,705 |  |  |
| Stockholders equity |  | 267,288 |  |  |  | 217,768 |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  | 2,771,358 |  |  |  | 2,574,783 |  |  |
| Net interest income/spread |  |  | 23,318 | 3.33\% |  |  | 23,424 | 3.55\% |
| Tax equivalent basis adjustment |  |  | 296 |  |  |  | 284 |  |
| NET INTEREST INCOME |  |  | \$ 23,022 |  |  |  | \$ 23,140 |  |
| Net interest margin (C) |  |  |  | 3.62\% |  |  |  | 3.92\% |

(A) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.
(B) Includes interest-bearing cash accounts.
(C) Net interest income divided by interest-earning assets.

Although total interest-earning assets increased $\$ 176.7$ million or $7 \%$ from the third quarter of 2008 to the third quarter of 2009, interest income on a tax equivalent basis decreased $\$ 3.3$ million or $9 \%$ from the third quarter of 2008 to the third quarter of 2009. The decrease in interest income was due to a 94 basis point decrease in the average yield earned on interest earning assets. This decrease reflects the declining interest rate environment along with a lower percentage of earning assets being deployed in loans and leases, as the size of the lease portfolio continues to decrease. Loans and leases as a percent of interest earning assets declined from $84 \%$ in the third quarter of 2008 to $78 \%$ in the third quarter of 2009. Investments including securities and federal funds sold increased from $16 \%$ of interest earnings assets in the third quarter of 2008 to $22 \%$ in the third quarter of 2009. Loans typically earn higher yields than investment securities.

## Edgar Filing: LAKELAND BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total interest expense decreased from $\$ 13.1$ million in the third quarter of 2008 to $\$ 10.0$ million in the third quarter of 2009, a decrease of $\$ 3.2$ million, or $24 \%$. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased $\$ 125.9$ million, but the cost of those liabilities decreased from $2.57 \%$ in 2008 to $1.84 \%$ in 2009. The decrease in yield was due to the declining rate environment. Average interest-bearing deposits increased from $\$ 1.65$ billion in the third quarter of 2008 to $\$ 1.84$ billion in the third quarter of 2009, an increase of $\$ 189.9$ million, or $12 \%$. Average borrowings decreased from $\$ 391.6$ million in 2008 to $\$ 327.6$ million in 2009 due to increased liquidity as a result of several factors, including increased deposits and the receipt of $\$ 59.0$ million in proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock to the U.S. Department of the Treasury in the first quarter of 2009.
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## Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

In determining the provision for loan and lease losses, management considers historical loan and lease loss experience, changes in composition and volume of the portfolio, the level and composition of non-performing loans and leases, the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses, and prevailing economic conditions.

In the third quarter of 2009, a $\$ 4.7$ million provision for loan and lease losses was recorded compared to a $\$ 3.3$ million provision for the same period last year. The Company requires a reserve on its loans and leases based on the financial strength of the borrower, collateral adequacy, delinquency history and other factors discussed under Risk Elements below. The reserve for leases is more specifically assessed based on the borrower s payment history, financial strength of the borrower determined through financial information provided or credit scoring criteria, value of the underlying assets and in the case of recourse transactions, the financial strength of the originator (servicer). As discussed in Note 7 above, in the second and third quarters of 2009, the Company classified certain leases as held for sale as part of a plan to reduce the overall exposure in its leasing portfolio. In the third quarter, management classified $\$ 2.4$ million of leases held for sale, recorded a mark-to-market adjustment of $\$ 542,000$ and subsequently sold these leases. The provision for leasing losses was $\$ 1.3$ million in the third quarter of 2009. The commercial loan provision was $\$ 2.6$ million because of the increase in the non-performing commercial loans discussed below in Risk Elements.

During the third quarter of 2009, the Company charged off loans of $\$ 5.6$ million (including $\$ 2.8$ million in leases) and recovered $\$ 667,000$ in previously charged off loans and leases compared to $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 219,000$, respectively, during the same period in 2008. For more information regarding the determination of the provision, see Risk Elements under Financial Condition.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income decreased $\$ 664,000$ or $16 \%$ to $\$ 3.6$ million from the third quarter of 2008 to the third quarter of 2009. Included in noninterest income for the third quarter of 2009 was a $\$ 709,000$ loss on sale or disposition of leasing related assets, compared to a gain of $\$ 109,000$ for the same period last year. The loss on sale or disposition of leasing related assets included $\$ 792,000$ in losses on leases held for sale and a mark-to-market loss of $\$ 236,000$ on leases held for sale offset by $\$ 301,000$ in gains on sales of other repossessed assets. Commissions and fees increased by $\$ 198,000$ or $23 \%$ to $\$ 1.0$ million in the third quarter of 2009 compared to $\$ 847,000$ for third quarter of 2008 due to increased loan fees and investment services income. Other income at $\$ 126,000$ increased $\$ 65,000$ from $\$ 61,000$ in the third quarter of 2008 due to gains on sales of mortgages.

## Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the third quarter of 2009 was $\$ 17.1$ million compared to $\$ 14.9$ million in 2008, a $14 \%$ increase. Salary and benefit expense increased by $\$ 263,000$ or $3 \%$ to $\$ 8.5$ million due to increased staffing levels and normal salary increases. Net occupancy expense and furniture and equipment increased $6 \%$ to $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million, respectively, due primarily to the opening of two new branch offices subsequent to the third quarter of 2008. FDIC expense increased by $\$ 901,000$ to $\$ 1.2$ million due to increased assessments. Collection expense increased $\$ 325,000$ to $\$ 405,000$ in the third quarter of 2009 due to leasing related costs. Other real estate and other repossessed asset expense totaled $\$ 133,000$ for the quarter as real estate taxes were paid on property in process of foreclosure. Other expenses increased by $\$ 338,000$ or $15 \%$ to $\$ 2.6$ million in the third quarter of 2009 , primarily due to an increase in legal fees and appraisal fees. The Company sefficiency ratio was $62 \%$ in the third quarter of 2009 , compared to $53 \%$ for the same period last year. The efficiency ratio expresses the relationship between noninterest expense (excluding other repossessed asset expense and core deposit amortization) to total tax-equivalent revenue (excluding gains (losses) on sales of securities). The efficiency ratio increased due primarily to the increase in FDIC insurance expense and leasing related expenses.
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## (Year-to-Date 2009 Compared to Year-to-Date 2008)

## Net Income (Loss)

Net loss for the first nine months of 2009 was $\$ 7.5$ million, compared to net income of $\$ 14.3$ million for the same period in 2008. Net loss available to common shareholders was $\$ 9.8$ million for the first nine months of 2009 compared to net income of $\$ 14.3$ million for the same period last year. Diluted loss per share was $(\$ 0.42)$ for the first nine months of 2009 , compared to diluted earnings per share of $\$ 0.61$ in the first nine months of 2008. The decline in net income related to the increase in the provision for loan and lease losses from $\$ 12.7$ million in the first nine months of 2008 to $\$ 45.2$ million in the first nine months of 2009.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a tax equivalent basis for the first nine months of 2009 was $\$ 69.3$ million, a $\$ 2.2$ million or $3 \%$ increase from the $\$ 67.1$ million earned in the first nine months of 2008. The increase in net interest income resulted primarily from a decrease in the cost of interest bearing liabilities. The components of net interest income will be discussed in greater detail below.

The following table reflects the components of the Company $s$ net interest income, setting forth for the periods presented, (1) average assets, liabilities and stockholders equity, (2) interest income earned on interest-earning assets and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (3) average yields earned on interest-earning assets and average rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities, (4) the Company s net interest spread (i.e., the average yield on interest-earning assets less the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities) and (5) the Company s net interest margin. Rates are computed on a tax equivalent basis using a tax rate of $35 \%$.

## Table of Contents

## CONSOLIDATED STATISTICS ON A TAX EQUIVALENT BASIS

|  | For the nine months ended, September 30, 2009 |  |  |  |  | For the nine months ended, September 30, 2008 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  | Average Balance |  | Interest Income/ <br> Expense | Average <br> rates <br> earned/ <br> paid <br> (dollars in |  | Average <br> Balance usands) |  | Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Average rates earned/ paid |
| Interest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans (A) | \$ | 2,010,594 | \$ | 87,931 | 5.85\% |  | \$ 1,952,680 |  | 95,725 | 6.55\% |
| Taxable investment securities |  | 392,892 |  | 10,566 | 3.59\% |  | 310,516 |  | 10,369 | 4.45\% |
| Tax-exempt securities |  | 66,103 |  | 2,635 | 5.32\% |  | 68,496 |  | 2,868 | 5.58\% |
| Federal funds sold (B) |  | 46,097 |  | 89 | 0.26\% |  | 14,854 |  | 293 | 2.63\% |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 2,515,686 |  | 101,221 | 5.38\% |  | 2,346,546 |  | 109,255 | 6.22\% |
| Noninterest-earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(25,234)$ |  |  |  |  | $(16,995)$ |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 228,751 |  |  |  |  | 226,098 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS |  | 2,719,203 |  |  |  |  | \$ 2,555,649 |  |  |  |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Savings accounts | \$ | 302,376 | \$ | 1,300 | 0.57\% |  | \$ 316,644 | \$ | 3,075 | 1.30\% |
| Interest-bearing transaction accounts |  | 870,424 |  | 6,766 | 1.04\% |  | 791,190 |  | 10,476 | 1.77\% |
| Time deposits |  | 620,001 |  | 13,403 | 2.88\% |  | 546,503 |  | 16,373 | 3.99\% |
| Borrowings |  | 333,835 |  | 10,433 | 4.17\% |  | 370,688 |  | 12,201 | 4.39\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 2,126,636 |  | 31,902 | 2.00\% |  | 2,025,025 |  | 42,125 | 2.78\% |
| Noninterest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits |  | 308,878 |  |  |  |  | 299,209 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 17,048 |  |  |  |  | 15,356 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders equity |  | 266,641 |  |  |  |  | 216,059 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY |  | 2,719,203 |  |  |  |  | \$ 2,555,649 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income/spread |  |  |  | 69,319 | 3.38\% |  |  |  | 67,130 | 3.44\% |
| Tax equivalent basis adjustment |  |  |  | 922 |  |  |  |  | 1,004 |  |
| NET INTEREST INCOME |  |  | \$ | 68,397 |  |  |  |  | 66,126 |  |
| Net interest margin (C) |  |  |  |  | 3.68\% |  |  |  |  | 3.82\% |

(A) Includes non-accrual loans, the effect of which is to reduce the yield earned on loans, and deferred loan fees.
(B) Includes interest-bearing cash accounts.
(C) Net interest income divided by interest-earning assets.

Interest income on a tax equivalent basis decreased from $\$ 109.3$ million in the first nine months of 2008 to $\$ 101.2$ million in 2009, a decrease of $\$ 8.0$ million or $7 \%$. The decrease in interest income was due primarily to an 84 basis point decrease in the average yield earned on interest earning assets. The decline in the yield in earning assets resulted from the decline in rates and the change in mix discussed previously in the
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comparison of the results of operations between the third quarter of 2009 and the third quarter of 2008.

Total interest expense decreased from $\$ 42.1$ million in the first nine months of 2008 to $\$ 31.9$ million in the first nine months of 2009, a decrease of $\$ 10.2$ million, or $24 \%$. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased $\$ 101.6$ million, but the cost of those liabilities decreased from $2.78 \%$ in 2008 to $2.00 \%$ in 2009 for the same reasons as discussed in the quarterly analysis.

## Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses increased to $\$ 45.2$ million for the first nine months of 2009 from $\$ 12.7$ million for the same period last year. This was primarily a result of management s evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the impact current economic conditions have had on our lease portfolio. In the second quarter of 2009, because of continued economic challenges, accelerated deterioration of collateral values due to the supply of transportation and construction vehicles exceeding demand and the resulting affect on delinquencies, the Company increased the reserve percentages on its leases to the highest risk level on its evaluation matrix. Due to continued overcapacity of the collateral impacting resale values, the Company continued to adjust the collateral value of the underlying assets which had the effect of increasing charge-
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offs. Because of the increase in the calculated reserves, because of the charge-offs recorded in the second quarter and due to the Company s decision to sell pools of leases that represented increased risk to the Company, the Company s year-to-date provision for loan and lease losses was $\$ 45.2$ million. Included in the provision for loan and lease losses was a $\$ 7.1$ million provision allocated to commercial loans as a result of an increase in non-performing loans.

During the first nine months of 2009, excluding mark-to-market adjustments, Lakeland charged off loans and leases of $\$ 25.7$ million and recovered $\$ 1.7$ million in previously charged off loans and leases compared to $\$ 7.7$ million and $\$ 460,000$, respectively, during the same period in 2008. The charge-offs in the first nine months of 2009 included $\$ 20.7$ million in leases exclusive of the mark-to-market adjustments of leases held for sale. The charge-offs included $\$ 11.0$ million for the two leasing originators that informed Lakeland that they could no longer perform under contractual recourse provisions. The charge-offs resulted from a continued deterioration in economic conditions and in the underlying collateral value of the leases. For more information regarding the determination of the provision, see Risk Elements under Financial Condition.

## Noninterest Income

Noninterest income was $\$ 11.9$ million for the first nine months of 2009 compared to $\$ 13.3$ million earned in the first nine months of 2008. The decrease in this category is primarily due to a $\$ 1.1$ million loss on sales and dispositions of leasing related assets, as compared to a gain of $\$ 921,000$ last year. Gains on investment securities was $\$ 353,000$ for the first nine months of 2009 , compared to $\$ 52,000$ for the first nine months of 2008. Income on bank owned life insurance at $\$ 1.5$ million increased by $\$ 458,000$, as the Company received an insurance benefit on a bank owned life insurance policy for insurance proceeds received on the death of a former employee.

## Noninterest Expense

For the first nine months of 2009 , noninterest expense was $\$ 53.5$ million, compared to $\$ 44.7$ million in 2008, an increase of $20 \%$. Salary and benefit costs increased by $\$ 1.5$ million, to $\$ 25.9$ million, and net occupancy expense increased by $\$ 493,000$ due to the same reasons discussed above in the quarterly discussion. FDIC expense at $\$ 4.5$ million increased by $\$ 3.6$ million due to increased assessments including the one-time special assessment in the second quarter of 2009. Marketing expense increased to $\$ 2.0$ million from $\$ 1.7$ million as a result of deposit promotions and branch openings. Collection expense increased $\$ 1.0$ million to $\$ 1.3$ million and other real estate and repossessed asset expense increased by $\$ 883,000$ to $\$ 917,000$ in the first nine months of 2009 due to the leasing related items. Other expenses increased by $\$ 975,000$, or $14 \%$ to $\$ 8.1$ million primarily due to a $\$ 704,000$ pretax payout to the beneficiary of the bank owned life insurance proceeds previously mentioned. Also impacting other expenses was an increase in appraisal expense and audit expense. The Company s efficiency ratio was $64 \%$ in the first nine months of 2009 , compared to $55 \%$ for the same period last year.

## Income Taxes

The Company s effective tax rate was $59 \%$ in the first nine months of 2009 because of its net loss and the impact that tax advantaged income had on the tax benefit of the loss. The tax advantaged income includes tax exempt security income and income on bank owned life insurance policies. The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was $35 \%$.

## Financial Condition

The Company s total assets increased $\$ 126.8$ million or $5 \%$ from $\$ 2.64$ billion at December 31, 2008, to $\$ 2.77$ billion at September 30, 2009. Total deposits increased from $\$ 2.06$ billion on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 2.14$ billion on September 30, 2009, an increase of $\$ 88.0$ million or $4 \%$.

## Loans and Leases

Gross loans and leases, including leases held for sale, decreased from $\$ 2.03$ billion on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 1.96$ billion on September 30, 2009 , a decrease of $\$ 66.8$ million, or $3 \%$. The decrease in gross loans and leases is due to leases decreasing $\$ 172.5$ million or $55 \%$ from $\$ 311.5$ million at December 31, 2008 to $\$ 139.0$ million (including $\$ 8.9$ million held for sale) on September 30, 2009. Excluding leases, loans increased $\$ 106.5$ million or $6 \%$ from December 31, 2009. Commercial
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loans and residential mortgages increased by $\$ 67.5$ million, or $7 \%$, and $\$ 35.9$ million, or $11 \%$, respectively. For more information on the loan portfolio, see Note 7 in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

## Risk Elements

The following schedule sets forth certain information regarding the Company s non-accrual, past due and renegotiated loans and leases and other real estate owned on the dates presented:

| (in thousands) | September 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 | September 30, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual loans and leases | \$42,174 | \$16,544 | \$11,455 |
| Other real estate and other repossessed assets | 1,157 | 3,997 | 2,087 |
| TOTAL NON-PERFORMING ASSETS | \$43,331 | \$20,541 | \$13,542 |
| Loans and leases past due 90 days or more and still accruing | \$2,261 | \$825 | \$329 |
| Troubled debt restructured loans | \$2,562 | \$275 | \$0 |

Non-performing assets increased from $\$ 20.5$ million on December 31, 2008, or $0.78 \%$ of total assets, to $\$ 43.3$ million, or $1.56 \%$ of total assets, on September 30, 2009. The change in non-accrual loans and leases from $\$ 16.5$ million on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 42.2$ million on September 30, 2009 included an increase in commercial loan non-accruals of $\$ 24.7$ million, which was partially offset by a decline in leasing non-accruals of $\$ 3.8$ million. The increase in commercial loan non-accruals included one commercial relationship totaling $\$ 7.2$ million and three other relationships totaling $\$ 6.9$ million. The leasing non-accruals declined because of the sales of lease pools, and the mark-to-market process described in Note 7 above. Other repossessed assets decreased from $\$ 4.0$ million on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 1.1$ million on September 30, 2009, which included $\$ 1.4$ million in assets sold in the sales described above. Loans and leases past due 90 days or more and still accruing at September 30, 2009 increased $\$ 1.4$ million to $\$ 2.3$ million from $\$ 825,000$ on December 31, 2008. Loans and leases past due 90 days or more and still accruing are those loans and leases that are both well-secured and in process of collection.

On September 30, 2009, the Company had $\$ 34.0$ million in impaired loans and leases (consisting primarily of non-accrual loans and troubled debt restructurings) compared to $\$ 14.1$ million at year-end 2008. These impaired loans have a valuation allowance of $\$ 3.0$ million at September 30, 2009 compared to $\$ 3.7$ million at year-end 2008. For more information on these loans and leases, see Note 7 in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The impairment of the loans and leases is measured using the present value of future cash flows on certain impaired loans and leases or is based on the fair value of the underlying collateral for the remaining loans and leases. Based on such evaluation, $\$ 3.0$ million has been allocated as a portion of the allowance for loan and lease losses for impairment at September 30, 2009. At September 30, 2009, the Company also had $\$ 20.1$ million in loans and leases that were rated substandard that were not classified as non-performing or impaired.
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The following table sets forth for the periods presented, the historical relationships among the allowance for loan and lease losses, the provision for loan losses, the amount of loans and leases charged-off and the amount of loan recoveries:

| (dollars in thousands) | Nine months ended September 30, 2009 | Year ended December 31, 2008 | Nine months ended September 30, 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance of the allowance at the beginning of the year | \$25,053 | \$14,689 | \$14,689 |
| Loans and leases charged off: |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 2,936 | 593 | 528 |
| Leases | 20,706 | 11,211 | 5,903 |
| Charge down of leases held for sale(1) | 22,122 |  |  |
| Home Equity and consumer | 1,866 | 2,044 | 1,111 |
| Real estate mortgage | 189 | 123 | 123 |
| Total loans charged off | 47,819 | 13,971 | 7,665 |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 154 | 79 | 94 |
| Leases | 1,413 | 150 | 61 |
| Home Equity and consumer | 171 | 376 | 305 |
| Total Recoveries | 1,738 | 605 | 460 |
| Net charge-offs: | 46,081 | 13,366 | 7,205 |
| Provision for loan and lease losses | 45,177 | 23,730 | 12,698 |
| Ending balance | \$24,149 | \$25,053 | \$20,182 |


| Ratio of annualized net charge-offs to average loans <br> and leases outstanding: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| including charge down of leases held for sale | $3.06 \%$ | $0.68 \%$ | $0.49 \%$ |
| excluding charge down of leases held for sale | $1.59 \%$ | $0.68 \%$ | $0.49 \%$ |
| Ratio of allowance at end of period as a percentage <br> of period end total loans and leases | $1.23 \%$ | $1.23 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |

(1) Amount recorded upon reclassification from held for investment to held for sale

The ratio of the allowance for loan and lease losses to loans and leases outstanding reflects management $s$ evaluation of the underlying credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio. The determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and periodic provisioning for estimated losses included in the consolidated financial statements is the responsibility of management and the Board of Directors. The evaluation process is undertaken on a quarterly basis.

Methodology employed for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses consists of the following criteria:

The establishment of reserve amounts for all specifically identified classified loans and leases that have been designated as requiring attention by the Company or its external loan review consultant.
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The establishment of reserves for pools of homogeneous types of loans and leases not subject to specific review, including commercial loans under $\$ 250,000,14$ family residential mortgages and consumer loans.

The establishment of reserve amounts for the non-classified loans and leases in each portfolio based upon the historical average loss experience of these portfolios and management sevaluation of key factors described below.
Consideration is given to the results of ongoing credit quality monitoring processes, the adequacy and expertise of the Company s lending staff, underwriting policies, loss histories, delinquency trends, and the cyclical nature of economic and business conditions. Since many of the Company s loans depend on the sufficiency of collateral as a secondary means of repayment, any adverse trend in the real estate markets could affect underlying values available to protect the Company against loss.

In the second and fourth quarters of 2008, the Company disclosed that it had two leasing originators that indicated that they could no longer meet all of their obligations under contractual recourse provisions. Lakeland assesses the adequacy of the allowance for its lease portfolio based on the borrower s payment history, financial strength of the borrower determined through financial information provided or credit scoring criteria, value of the underlying assets and in the case of recourse transactions, the financial strength of the originator (servicer). If the servicer is able to continue servicer advances for delinquent leases, Lakeland assesses a reserve on the lease based on credit scores and delinquency status. In the case of the two originators who could no longer perform under their contractual recourse obligations, once the lease becomes over 90 days past due, the lease is charged down to its net realizable value using a recognized valuation method to the extent available and placed on non-accrual. From that point forward, reserves are adjusted as necessary based on delinquency status and where the lease is in the collection process.

The collateral underlying the aforementioned lease pools was predominately transportation and construction use vehicles. Because of economic conditions, including fuel costs in 2008 and the general economic downturn further depressing these industries into 2009, leasing delinquencies and declines in collateral value resulted in increased charge-offs and provisions for lease losses into 2009. As a result, management made a decision in the second quarter of 2009 to reduce the risk in its portfolio by selling those two lease pools as well as other lease pools with characteristics that did not fit into the Company s core banking strategy.

In the second quarter of 2009, a $\$ 34.1$ million provision for loan and lease losses was recorded which included a $\$ 28.4$ million provision for lease losses. This provision was made due to management s evaluation of identified risk in the lease portfolio as well as the mark-to-market adjustment of $\$ 9.1$ million on the lease pools sold during the second quarter and the $\$ 12.5$ million mark-to-market adjustment on additional lease pools held for sale on June 30, 2009. In the third quarter of 2009, management continued to sell the lease pools that were marked to market in the second quarter. Lakeland sold $\$ 27.9$ million in leases held for sale in the third quarter, leaving remaining held for sale leases of $\$ 8.9$ million.

While non-performing loans increased from $\$ 16.5$ million on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 42.2$ million on September 30, 2009, the allowance for loan and lease losses remained at $1.23 \%$ of total loans on September 30, 2009. The increase in non-accrual loans, as discussed above, was primarily in four commercial loans which totaled $\$ 14.1$ million. These loans were secured by commercial real estate. Management believes, based on appraisals and estimated selling costs, that the majority of these loans were well secured and no specific reserve was necessary.

Based upon the process employed and giving recognition to all accompanying factors related to the loan and lease portfolio, management considers the allowance for loan and lease losses to be adequate at September 30, 2009. The preceding statement constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

## Investment Securities

For detailed information on the composition and maturity distribution of the Company s investment securities portfolio, see Note 6 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Form 10-Q. Total investment securities increased from $\$ 392.3$ million on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 576.2$ million on September 30, 2009, an increase of $\$ 183.9$ million, or $47 \%$ which resulted from increased liquidity due to increased deposits and a decline in loans and leases.

## Deposits

Total deposits increased from $\$ 2.06$ billion on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 2.14$ billion on September 30, 2009, an increase of $\$ 88.0$ million, or $4 \%$. Noninterest bearing deposits increased $\$ 21.1$ million or $7 \%$ to $\$ 323.6$ million, while savings and
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interest bearing transaction accounts increased $\$ 120.5$ million or $11 \%$ to $\$ 1.3$ billion as of September 30, 2009.

Time deposits decreased from $\$ 611.0$ million on December 31, 2008 to $\$ 557.4$ million on September 30, 2009, a decrease of $\$ 53.6$ million. Time deposits have decreased as a result of the declining rate environment. Depositors would rather keep their deposits in liquid transaction accounts versus a long term account in the current low rate environment.

## Liquidity

Cash and cash equivalents, totaling $\$ 45.0$ million on September 30, 2009, decreased $\$ 4.8$ million from December 31, 2008. Operating activities provided $\$ 28.6$ million in net cash. Investing activities used $\$ 163.5$ million in net cash, primarily reflecting the purchase of securities. Financing activities provided $\$ 130.1$ million in net cash, reflecting proceeds from the issuance of preferred stock and a warrant to the U.S. Treasury Department and an increase in deposits of $\$ 88.0$ million partially offset by repayment of long term debt of $\$ 10.0$ million. The Company anticipates that it will have sufficient funds available to meet its current loan commitments and deposit maturities. This constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. At September 30, 2009, the Company had outstanding loan origination commitments of $\$ 423.5$ million. These commitments include $\$ 356.4$ million that mature within one year; $\$ 40.6$ million that mature after one but within three years; $\$ 7.5$ million that mature after three but within five years and $\$ 19.0$ million that mature after five years. The Company also had $\$ 9.9$ million in letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2009. This included $\$ 9.7$ million that are maturing within one year, $\$ 60,000$ that mature after one but within three years and $\$ 80,000$ that mature after 5 years. Time deposits issued in amounts of $\$ 100,000$ or more maturing within one year total $\$ 181.2$ million.

## Capital Resources

Stockholders equity increased from $\$ 220.9$ million on December 31,2008 to $\$ 269.1$ million on September 30, 2009. Book value per common share decreased to $\$ 8.95$ on September 30, 2009 from $\$ 9.33$ on December 31, 2008. The increase in stockholders equity from December 31, 2008 to September 30, 2009 was primarily due to the issuance of $\$ 59.0$ million in preferred stock and a warrant to the U.S Treasury Department. For more information, please see Note 11 in Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Offsetting the impact of the $\$ 59.0$ million in preferred stock was a net loss of $\$ 7.5$ million, and the payment of dividends of $\$ 6.4$ million.

The Company and Lakeland are subject to various regulatory capital requirements that are monitored by federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can lead to certain supervisory actions by regulators; any supervisory action could have a direct material effect on the Company or Lakeland sfinancial statements. Management believes, as of September 30, 2009, that the Company and Lakeland meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

The capital ratios for the Company and Lakeland at September 30, 2009 and the minimum regulatory guidelines for such capital ratios for qualification as a well-capitalized institution are as follows:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tier 1 Capital } \\
\text { to Total Average } \\
\text { Assets Ratio }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Tier 1 Capital } \\
\text { to Risk-Weighted }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total Capital } \\
\text { Assets Ratio } \\
\text { to Risk-Weighted }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Assets Ratio\end{array}\right]\)| September 30, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Table of Contents

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company manages interest rate risk and market risk by identifying and quantifying interest rate risk exposures using simulation analysis, economic value at risk models and gap analysis. At September 30, 2009, the cumulative one-year gap was (\$102.0) million or (4\%) of total assets.

The Company uses net interest income simulation because the Company s Asset/Liability Management Committee believes that the interest rate sensitivity modeling more accurately reflects the effects and exposure to changes in interest rates. Net interest income simulation considers the relative sensitivities of the balance sheet including the effects of interest rate caps on adjustable rate mortgages and the relatively stable aspects of core deposits. As such, net interest simulation is designed to address the probability of interest rate changes and the behavioral response of the balance sheet to those changes. Market Value of Portfolio Equity represents the fair value of the net present value of assets, liabilities and off-balance-sheet items. The Company s Market Value of Portfolio Equity at September 30, 2009 was $\$ 355.6$ million.

Based on its simulation models, the Company estimates that for a 200 basis point rate shock increase, the Company s Market Value of Portfolio Equity would decline $(6.6 \%)$ and would decrease $(10.1 \%)$ for a 200 basis point rate shock decrease. The simulation model also shows that for a 200 basis point rate increase, the Company s projected net interest income for the next 12 months would decrease ( $1.8 \%$ ), and would decrease $(2.8 \%)$ for a 200 basis point rate decrease. The information provided for net interest income over the next 12 months assumes that changes in interest rates of plus 200 basis points and minus 200 basis points change gradually in equal increments over the following 12 month period. The above information is based on significant estimates and assumptions and constitutes a forward-looking statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. For more information regarding the Company s market risk and assumptions used in the Company s simulation models, please refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Disclosure controls and procedures. As of the end of the Company s most recently completed fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and are operating in an effective manner and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
(b) Changes in internal controls over financial reporting. There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company s last fiscal quarter to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no pending legal proceedings involving the Company or the Bank other than those arising in the normal course of business. Management does not anticipate that the ultimate liability, if any, arising out of such litigation will have a material effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company and Lakeland on a consolidated basis.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except for the addition of the risk factor detailed below, there have been no material changes in risk factors from those disclosed under Item 1 A , Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

If we are unsuccessful in continuing to reduce the risk in the Bank s lease portfolio, our earnings and profitability could be materially and adversely affected.

The risk profile of the Bank s lease portfolio is measurably greater than its core loan portfolios. We have undertaken a strategy, which we intend to continue to pursue, to accelerate the disposition of those leases that were generated by originators whose lease pools reflect enhanced risk or do not fit into our core banking strategic direction. From December 31, 2008 through September 30, 2009, our lease portfolio has been reduced from approximately $\$ 311.5$ million, which was $15 \%$ of total loans, to approximately $\$ 139.0$ million, or approximately $7 \%$ of total loans. During 2009, the Bank recorded a $\$ 35.4$ million provision for losses against the lease portfolio reflecting, in part, the disposition strategy which included the mark-to-market adjustment of certain leases held for sale. Based on the still evident economic uncertainty, we cannot assure you that we will be able to dispose of the leases held for sale or any remaining leases that reflect enhanced risk or do not fit into our core banking strategic direction or that if we are able to dispose of such leases, such sales will not be at prices that represent a discount to the net receivable values of such leases. This could result in a loss in any particular period, and could otherwise materially and adversely affect our earnings and profitability.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.
Item 5. Other Information
Item 6. Exhibits
31.1 Certification by Thomas J. Shara pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.
31.2 Certification by Joseph F. Hurley pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.
32.1 Certification by Thomas J. Shara and Joseph F. Hurley pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act.

## Table of Contents

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Lakeland Bancorp, Inc.
(Registrant)
/s/ Thomas J. Shara
Thomas J. Shara
President and Chief Executive Officer
/s/Joseph F. Hurley
Joseph F. Hurley
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

