

MDC HOLDINGS INC
Form 10-Q
October 29, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File No. 1-8951

M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction

of incorporation or organization)

4350 South Monaco Street, Suite 500

84-0622967
(I.R.S. employer

identification no.)

80237

Denver, Colorado

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

(303) 773-1100

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of September 30, 2010, 47,139,000 shares of M.D.C. Holdings, Inc. common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010

INDEX

| | Page No. |
|--|-------------|
| Part I. Financial Information: | |
| Item 1. <u>Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements:</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u> | 1 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009</u> | 3 |
| <u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 4 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 28 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 56 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 56 |
| Part II. Other Information: | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 57 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 58 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 59 |
| Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 59 |
| Item 4. <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u> | 59 |
| Item 5. <u>Other Information</u> | 59 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 60 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 61 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 1. Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Consolidated Balance Sheets****(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 688,561 | \$ 1,234,252 |
| Marketable securities | 922,102 | 327,944 |
| Restricted cash | 504 | 476 |
| Receivables | | |
| Home sales receivables | 13,738 | 10,056 |
| Income taxes receivable | 642 | 145,144 |
| Other receivables | 11,518 | 5,844 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net | 48,161 | 62,315 |
| Inventories, net | | |
| Housing completed or under construction | 417,485 | 260,324 |
| Land and land under development | 365,307 | 262,860 |
| Property and equipment, net | 42,169 | 38,421 |
| Deferred tax asset, net of valuation allowance of \$221,477 and \$208,144 at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | - | - |
| Related party assets | 7,856 | 7,856 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets, net | 84,112 | 73,816 |
| Total Assets | \$ 2,602,155 | \$ 2,429,308 |
| Liabilities | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 50,770 | \$ 36,087 |
| Accrued liabilities | 277,871 | 291,969 |
| Related party liabilities | 153 | 1,000 |
| Mortgage repurchase facility | 11,155 | 29,115 |
| Senior notes, net | 1,242,114 | 997,991 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,582,063 | 1,356,162 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| | - | - |
| Stockholders Equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; none issued or outstanding | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized; 47,195,000 and 47,139,000 issued and outstanding, respectively, at September 30, 2010 and 47,070,000 and 47,017,000 issued and outstanding, respectively, at December 31, 2009 | 472 | 471 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 815,148 | 802,675 |
| Retained earnings | 200,508 | 270,659 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 4,623 | - |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 56,000 and 53,000 shares at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | (659) | (659) |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Total Stockholders Equity | 1,020,092 | 1,073,146 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 2,602,155 | \$ 2,429,308 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Operations****(In thousands, except per share amounts)****(Unaudited)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|---|--------------------|--|---------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Home sales revenue | \$ 216,501 | \$ 186,816 | \$ 668,720 | \$ 539,352 |
| Land sales revenue | 904 | 9,414 | 6,618 | 13,986 |
| Other revenue | 8,276 | 6,996 | 23,751 | 21,086 |
| Total Revenue | 225,681 | 203,226 | 699,089 | 574,424 |
| Costs and Expenses | | | | |
| Home cost of sales | 171,199 | 151,596 | 535,651 | 445,039 |
| Land cost of sales | 818 | 9,433 | 5,983 | 12,274 |
| Asset impairments, net | 3,718 | 1,197 | 3,718 | 17,009 |
| Marketing expenses | 11,191 | 9,631 | 29,726 | 26,393 |
| Commission expenses | 8,078 | 6,808 | 24,818 | 20,119 |
| General and administrative expenses | 39,269 | 45,800 | 124,060 | 121,981 |
| Other operating expenses | 817 | 3,594 | 1,837 | 4,151 |
| Related party expenses | - | 5 | 9 | 14 |
| Total Operating Costs and Expenses | 235,090 | 228,064 | 725,802 | 646,980 |
| Loss from Operations | (9,409) | (24,838) | (26,713) | (72,556) |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 7,544 | 2,724 | 19,513 | 9,763 |
| Interest expense | (9,000) | (9,760) | (28,810) | (29,338) |
| Other income | 271 | 56 | 475 | 177 |
| Loss before income taxes | (10,594) | (31,818) | (35,535) | (91,954) |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes, net | 355 | (230) | 739 | (10,529) |
| Net loss | \$ (10,239) | \$ (32,048) | \$ (34,796) | \$ (102,483) |
| Loss Per Share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.69) | \$ (0.75) | \$ (2.21) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.69) | \$ (0.75) | \$ (2.21) |
| Dividends Declared Per Share | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.75 |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(In thousands)****(Unaudited)**

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (34,796) | \$ (102,483) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 12,421 | 11,044 |
| Amortization of deferred marketing costs | 7,922 | 5,973 |
| Depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets | 3,884 | 4,155 |
| Asset impairments, net | 3,718 | 17,009 |
| Write-offs of land option deposits and pre-acquisition costs | 1,794 | 1,458 |
| Gain on sale of assets, net | (635) | (1,512) |
| Other non-cash expenses | 2,315 | 7,093 |
| Net changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Restricted cash | (28) | (263) |
| Home sales and other receivables | (9,356) | 6,841 |
| Income taxes receivable | 144,502 | 163,979 |
| Mortgage loans held-for-sale, net | 14,154 | 25,900 |
| Housing completed or under construction | (158,304) | 85,342 |
| Land and land under development | (103,029) | 34,353 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets, net | (21,850) | (10,439) |
| Accounts payable | 14,683 | 17,117 |
| Accrued liabilities | (14,986) | (21,061) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (137,591) | 244,506 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Purchases of marketable securities | (796,334) | (175,356) |
| Maturities of marketable securities | 129,519 | 78,960 |
| Sales of marketable securities | 75,662 | - |
| Proceeds from redemption requests on unsettled trades | 1,678 | 55,554 |
| Purchase of property and equipment | (7,651) | (6,096) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (597,126) | (46,938) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of senior notes | 242,288 | - |
| Payments on mortgage repurchase facility | (131,142) | (63,164) |
| Advances on mortgage repurchase facility | 113,182 | 41,301 |
| Dividend payments | (35,355) | (35,180) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 53 | 3,622 |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|--------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 189,026 | (53,421) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (545,691) | 144,147 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | |
| Beginning of period | 1,234,252 | 1,304,728 |
| End of period | \$ 688,561 | \$ 1,448,875 |

The accompanying Notes are an integral part of these Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements****1. Basis of Presentation**

The Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of M.D.C. Holdings, Inc. (MDC or the Company, which refers to M.D.C. Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries) have been prepared, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These statements reflect all normal and recurring adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of MDC at September 30, 2010 and for all periods presented. These statements should be read in conjunction with MDC s Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in MDC s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on February 5, 2010.

The Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. Refer to the economic conditions described under the caption Risk Factors in Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Risk Factors Relating to our Business in Item 1A of the Company s December 31, 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

2. Asset Impairment

The following table sets forth, by reportable segment, the asset impairments recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Land and Land Under Development (Held-for-Development) | | | | |
| West | \$ 2,490 | \$ 172 | \$ 2,490 | \$ 9,963 |
| Mountain | - | - | - | 254 |
| East | - | - | - | 1,600 |
| Other Homebuilding | - | 359 | - | 376 |
| Subtotal | 2,490 | 531 | 2,490 | 12,193 |
| Housing Completed or Under Construction (Held-for-Development) | | | | |
| West | 1,143 | 111 | 1,143 | 3,387 |
| Mountain | - | 191 | - | 191 |
| East | - | - | - | 875 |
| Other Homebuilding | - | 270 | - | 537 |
| Subtotal | 1,143 | 572 | 1,143 | 4,990 |
| Land and Land Under Development (Held-for-Sale) - West Segment | - | - | - | (557) |
| Other Assets | 85 | 94 | 85 | 383 |
| Consolidated Asset Impairments | \$ 3,718 | \$ 1,197 | \$ 3,718 | \$ 17,009 |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The \$3.7 million impairment during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to three subdivisions and more than 200 lots acquired during 2009 in the Arizona market of our West segment. The impairments during 2010 primarily resulted from lowering our estimated average selling prices of homes, driven in part by: (1) strong competition for sales of new homes; (2) overall low economic activity combined with high unemployment levels; (3) homebuyers having difficulty qualifying for new loans; and (4) the elevated levels of foreclosures and short sales of homes driving real estate values down.

The \$1.1 million impairment of the Company's held-for-development inventories during the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily related to three communities, one in each of our West, Mountain and Other Homebuilding segments. The impairments resulted primarily from declines in the average selling price of homes in each of these communities. The decline in average selling price resulted from an effort to generate new home sales.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company recorded consolidated asset impairments of \$17.0 million, primarily in its West segment. These impairments, of which \$14.6 million were recorded during the 2009 first quarter, were concentrated in the Nevada market of the West segment and resulted from a significant decrease in the average selling prices of closed homes during the 2009 first quarter, compared with the 2008 fourth quarter, in response to increased levels of competition in this market and continued high levels of home foreclosures. The impairments in the Mountain, East and Other Homebuilding segments primarily resulted from lower forecasted average selling prices for communities that were in the close-out phase.

3. Fair Value Measurements

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) ASC 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (ASC 820) defines fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. These tiers include: Level 1, defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; Level 2, defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and Level 3, defined as unobservable inputs for which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. For cash and cash equivalents, the fair value approximates carrying value.

Marketable Securities. The Company's marketable securities consist of both held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities. The fair value of the Company's marketable securities are based upon Level 1 and Level 2 fair value inputs. The Company's held-to-maturity marketable securities consist of both fixed rate and floating rate interest earning securities, primarily: (1) debt securities, which may include, among others, United States government and government agency debt and corporate debt; and (2) deposit securities, which may include, among others, certificates of deposit and time deposits. For those debt securities that the Company has both the ability and intent to hold to their maturity dates, the Company classifies such debt securities as held-to-maturity. The Company's held-to-maturity debt securities are reported at amortized cost in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table sets forth the Company's carrying and fair values of its held-to-maturity marketable securities by both security type and maturity date (in thousands).

| September 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Recorded Amount | Estimated Fair | Recorded Amount | Estimated Fair |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | Value | | Value |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Debt securities - maturity less than 1 year | \$ 462,129 | \$ 462,591 | \$ 160,765 | \$ 159,752 |
| Debt securities - maturity 1 to 5 years | 124,555 | 126,387 | 64,679 | 64,844 |
| Deposit securities - maturity less than 1 year | - | - | 2,500 | 2,558 |
| Total held-to-maturity securities | \$ 586,684 | \$ 588,978 | \$ 227,944 | \$ 227,154 |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Included in the Company's September 30, 2010 held-to-maturity investment balances are \$535.2 million of debt securities that were in a gross unrealized gain position of \$2.5 million and \$51.5 million of debt securities whose carrying value approximates fair value.

For certain debt securities, primarily corporate debt, the Company does not have the intent to hold until maturity and, as such, the Company classifies such debt securities as available-for-sale. The Company's available-for-sale securities also include holdings in a fund that invests predominantly in fixed income securities. The Company records all of its available-for-sale marketable securities at fair value with changes in fair value being recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and estimated fair value of the Company's available-for-sale marketable securities (in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
| Equity securities | \$ 102,204 | \$ 103,344 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |
| Debt securities | 228,591 | 232,074 | - | - |
| Total available-for-sale securities | \$ 330,795 | \$ 335,418 | \$ 100,000 | \$ 100,000 |

Mortgage Loans Held-for-Sale, Net. As of September 30, 2010, the primary components of the Company's mortgage loans held-for-sale that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are: (1) mortgage loans held-for-sale under commitments to sell; and (2) mortgage loans held-for-sale not under commitments to sell. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had \$30.5 million and \$42.8 million, respectively, of mortgage loans held-for-sale under commitments to sell for which fair value was based upon a Level 1 input being the quoted market prices for those mortgage loans. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had \$17.7 million and \$19.4 million, respectively, of mortgage loans held-for-sale that were not under commitments to sell and, as such, their fair value was based upon Level 2 fair value inputs, primarily estimated market price received from an outside party.

Inventories. The Company records its homebuilding inventory (housing completed or under construction and land and land under development) at fair value only when the undiscounted future cash flow of a subdivision is less than its carrying value. The Company determines the estimated fair value of each subdivision by calculating the present value of the estimated future cash flows at discount rates that are commensurate with the risk of the subdivision under evaluation. The discount rates used in our estimated discounted cash flows ranged from 13% to 21% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. These estimates are dependent on specific market or sub-market conditions for each subdivision. Local market-specific conditions that may impact these estimates for a subdivision include, among other things: (1) forecasted base selling prices and home sales incentives; (2) estimated land development costs and home cost of construction; (3) the current sales pace for active subdivisions; (4) changes by management in the sales strategy of a given subdivision; and (5) the intensity of competition within a market or sub-market, including publicly available home sales prices and home sales incentives offered by our competitors. The estimated fair values of these assets are based upon Level 3 cash flow inputs. The fair value of the Company's inventory that was impaired at September 30, 2010 was \$7.6 million.

Related Party Assets. The Company's related party assets are debt security bonds that it acquired from a quasi-municipal corporation in the state of Colorado. The Company has estimated the fair value of the related party assets based upon discounted cash flows as the Company does not believe there is a readily available market for such assets. The Company used a 15% discount rate in determining the present value of the estimated future cash flows from the bonds. The estimated cash flows from the bonds are ultimately based upon the Company's estimated cash flows associated with the building, selling and closing of homes in one of its Colorado subdivisions. The estimated fair values of these assets are based upon Level 3 cash flow inputs. Based upon this evaluation, the estimated fair value of the related party assets approximates its carrying

value.

Mortgage Repurchase Facility. The Company's Mortgage Repurchase Facility (as defined below) is at floating rates or at fixed rates that approximate current market rates and have relatively short-term maturities. The fair value approximates carrying value.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Senior Notes. The estimated fair values of the senior notes in the following table are based on Level 2 fair value inputs pursuant to ASC 820, including market prices of bonds in the homebuilding sector (in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| | Recorded Amount | Estimated Fair Value | Recorded Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| 7% Senior Notes due 2012 | \$ 149,601 | \$ 162,167 | \$ 149,460 | \$ 161,550 |
| 5 1/2% Senior Notes due 2013 | 349,721 | 362,600 | 349,642 | 360,500 |
| 5 3/8% Medium Term Senior Notes due 2014 | 249,224 | 259,167 | 249,102 | 240,050 |
| 5 3/8% Medium Term Senior Notes due 2015 | 249,812 | 259,075 | 249,787 | 236,800 |
| 5 5/8% Senior Notes due 2020 | 243,756 | 247,500 | - | - |
| Total | \$ 1,242,114 | \$ 1,290,509 | \$ 997,991 | \$ 998,900 |

4. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company utilizes certain derivative instruments in the normal course of business, which primarily include forward sales securities commitments and private investor sales commitments to hedge changes in fair value of mortgage loan inventory and commitments to originate mortgage loans. At September 30, 2010, the Company had \$120.0 million in interest rate lock commitments, which consisted of \$77.9 million in loan locks and \$42.1 million in promotional program commitments. Additionally, the Company had \$101.5 million in forward sales of mortgage-backed securities.

The Company records its mortgage loans held-for-sale at fair value to achieve matching of the changes in the fair value of its derivative instruments with the changes in fair values of the loans it is hedging, without having to designate its derivatives as hedging instruments. For forward sales commitments, as well as commitments to originate mortgage loans that are still outstanding at the end of a reporting period, the Company records the fair value of the derivatives in other revenue in the Consolidated Statements of Operations with an offset to either prepaid and other assets or accrued liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, depending on the nature of the change. The changes in fair value of the Company's derivatives were not material during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

5. Balance Sheet Components

The following table sets forth information relating to accrued liabilities (in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Accrued liabilities | | |
| Liability for unrecognized tax benefits | \$ 59,842 | \$ 60,226 |
| Insurance reserves | 48,941 | 51,606 |
| Warranty reserves | 43,248 | 59,022 |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Accrued interest payable | 21,723 | 12,023 |
| Accrued executive deferred compensation | 20,481 | 17,782 |
| Accrued compensation and related expenses | 19,872 | 20,297 |
| Land development and home construction accruals | 16,065 | 21,236 |
| Legal accruals | 14,420 | 14,489 |
| Loan loss reserves | 7,506 | 9,641 |
| Customer and escrow deposits | 5,279 | 5,524 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 20,494 | 20,123 |
| Total accrued liabilities | \$ 277,871 | \$ 291,969 |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****6. Loss Per Share**

For purposes of calculating loss per share (EPS), as the Company has participating security holders (security holders who receive non-forfeitable dividends on unvested restricted stock) it is required to utilize the two-class method. The two-class method is an allocation of earnings between the holders of common stock and the Company's participating security holders. Under the two-class method, earnings for the reporting period are allocated between common shareholders and other security holders, based on their respective rights to receive distributed earnings (i.e. dividends) and undistributed earnings (i.e. net income or loss). Currently, the Company has one class of security and has participating security holders consisting of shareholders of unvested restricted stock.

The basic and diluted loss per share calculation is shown below (in thousands, except per share amounts).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Basic and Diluted Loss Per Common Share | | | | |
| Net loss | \$ (10,239) | \$ (32,048) | \$ (34,796) | \$ (102,483) |
| Less: distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities | (135) | (97) | (394) | (298) |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders | \$ (10,374) | \$ (32,145) | \$ (35,190) | \$ (102,781) |
| Basic and diluted weighted-average shares outstanding | 46,625 | 46,597 | 46,619 | 46,515 |
| Basic Loss Per Common Share | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.69) | \$ (0.75) | \$ (2.21) |
| Dilutive Loss Per Common Share | \$ (0.22) | \$ (0.69) | \$ (0.75) | \$ (2.21) |

Diluted EPS includes the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents and is computed using the weighted-average number of common stock and common stock equivalents outstanding during the reporting period. Common stock equivalents include stock options and unvested restricted stock. Diluted EPS for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 excluded common stock equivalents because the effect of their inclusion would be anti-dilutive, or would decrease the reported loss per share. Using the treasury stock method, the weighted-average common stock equivalents excluded from diluted EPS were 0.4 million shares during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and were 0.4 million shares during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

7. Interest Activity

The Company capitalizes interest on its senior notes associated with its qualifying assets. The Company has determined that inventory is a qualifying asset during the period of active development and through the completion of construction of a home. When construction of a home is complete, such home is no longer considered to be a qualifying asset and interest is no longer capitalized on that home.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Interest activity is shown below (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total Interest Incurred | | | | |
| Corporate and homebuilding segments | \$ 18,187 | \$ 14,482 | \$ 53,276 | \$ 43,430 |
| Financial Services and Other | 183 | 88 | 389 | 262 |
| Total interest incurred | \$ 18,370 | \$ 14,570 | \$ 53,665 | \$ 43,692 |
| Total Interest Capitalized | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 32,420 | \$ 32,089 | \$ 28,339 | \$ 39,239 |
| Capitalized during the period | 9,370 | 4,810 | 24,855 | 14,354 |
| Previously capitalized interest included in home cost of sales | (5,581) | (7,142) | (16,985) | (23,836) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 36,209 | \$ 29,757 | \$ 36,209 | \$ 29,757 |

8. Warranty Reserves

The Company records expenses and warranty reserves for general and structural warranty claims, as well as reserves for known, unusual warranty-related expenditures. The establishment of warranty reserves is primarily based on an actuarial study that includes known facts and interpretations of circumstances, including, among other things, the Company's trends in historical warranty payment levels and warranty payments for claims not considered to be normal and recurring. Warranty payments incurred for an individual house may differ from the related reserve established for the home at the time it was closed. The actual disbursements for warranty claims are evaluated in the aggregate to determine if an adjustment to the historical warranty reserve should be recorded. During 2010, in light of a continued decrease in the Company's warranty payments, and similar to its procedure in prior years, the Company engaged a third-party actuary to assist in its analysis of estimated future warranty payments. Based upon the actuarial analysis, the Company refined its methodology of estimating a reasonable range for warranty reserves during the 2010 second quarter. During the 2010 third quarter, the Company expanded its analysis and included all structural warranty claims experience in the actuarial analysis. Consistent with prior periods, certain known, unusual warranty claims continue to be evaluated and reserved for on an individual case by case basis. The Company believes the refined methodology results in a better estimate of warranty cost exposure, especially in periods of declining payment activity, and provides better visibility to the sensitivity of the estimate in the current environment. The Company will continue to periodically engage a third-party actuary for purposes of assisting in evaluating and determining the reasonableness of its general and structural warranty reserves.

The following table summarizes the warranty reserve activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 51,986 | \$ 73,552 | \$ 59,022 | \$ 89,318 |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Expense provisions | 1,403 | 1,576 | 4,613 | 4,922 |
| Cash payments | (2,944) | (2,974) | (7,584) | (7,539) |
| Adjustments | (7,197) | (10,814) | (12,803) | (25,361) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 43,248 | \$ 61,340 | \$ 43,248 | \$ 61,340 |

The favorable warranty adjustments recorded during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 is primarily the result of the continued favorable trend in the amount of warranty payments incurred on previously closed homes and were recorded as a reduction to home cost of sales in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****9. Insurance Reserves**

The Company records expenses and liabilities for losses and loss adjustment expenses for claims associated with: (1) insurance policies and re-insurance agreements issued by Allegiant Insurance Company, Inc., A Risk Retention Group (Allegiant) and StarAmerican Insurance Ltd. (StarAmerican); (2) self-insurance, including workers compensation; and (3) deductible amounts under the Company's insurance policies. The establishment of the provisions for outstanding losses and loss adjustment expenses is based on actuarial studies that include known facts and interpretations of circumstances, including the Company's experience with similar cases and historical trends involving claim payment patterns, pending levels of unpaid claims, product mix or concentration, claim severity, frequency patterns such as those caused by natural disasters, fires or accidents, depending on the business conducted, and changing regulatory and legal environments.

The following table summarizes the insurance reserve activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 48,312 | \$ 59,395 | \$ 51,606 | \$ 59,171 |
| Expense provisions | 848 | 776 | 2,662 | 2,603 |
| Cash payments | (212) | (2,534) | (5,320) | (3,130) |
| Adjustments | (7) | (943) | (7) | (1,950) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 48,941 | \$ 56,694 | \$ 48,941 | \$ 56,694 |

The insurance payments incurred during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 primarily related to payments for certain insurance claims for which separate case reserves were previously established.

10. Information on Business Segments

The Company's operating segments are defined as a component of an enterprise for which discrete financial information is available and is reviewed regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, to evaluate performance and make operating decisions. The Company has identified its chief operating decision-makers (CODMs) as three key executives the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer.

The Company has identified each homebuilding subdivision as an operating segment as each homebuilding subdivision engages in business activities from which it earns revenue, primarily from the sale of single-family detached homes, generally to first-time and first-time move-up homebuyers. Subdivisions in the reportable segments noted below have been aggregated because they are similar in the following regards: (1) economic characteristics; (2) housing products; (3) class of homebuyer; (4) regulatory environments; and (5) methods used to construct and sell homes. The Company's homebuilding reportable segments are as follows:

(1) West (Arizona, California and Nevada)

(2) Mountain (Colorado and Utah)

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

(3) East (Delaware Valley, Maryland and Virginia)

(4) Other Homebuilding (Florida and Illinois)

The Company's Financial Services and Other reportable segment consists of the operations of the following operating segments: (1) HomeAmerican Mortgage Corporation (HomeAmerican); (2) Allegiant; (3) StarAmerican; (4) American Home Insurance Agency, Inc.; and (5) American Home Title and Escrow Company. These operating segments have been aggregated into one reportable segment because they do not individually exceed 10 percent of: (1) consolidated revenue; (2) the greater of (A) the combined reported profit of all operating segments that did not report a loss or (B) the positive value of the combined reported loss of all operating segments that reported losses; or (3) consolidated assets. The Company's Corporate reportable segment incurs general and administrative expenses that are not identifiable specifically to another operating segment, earns interest income on its cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, and incurs interest expense on its senior notes.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table summarizes revenue for each of the Company's six reportable segments (in thousands). Inter-company adjustments noted in the revenue table below relate to Mortgage Loan Origination fees paid by the Company's homebuilding subsidiaries to HomeAmerican on behalf of homebuyers.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 66,233 | \$ 94,079 | \$ 246,563 | \$ 250,519 |
| Mountain | 89,111 | 61,945 | 245,905 | 163,720 |
| East | 58,304 | 33,033 | 162,466 | 113,004 |
| Other Homebuilding | 7,344 | 10,909 | 33,137 | 37,709 |
| Total Homebuilding | 220,992 | 199,966 | 688,071 | 564,952 |
| Financial Services and Other | 7,932 | 6,578 | 22,696 | 19,147 |
| Corporate | - | - | - | 50 |
| Intercompany adjustments | (3,243) | (3,318) | (11,678) | (9,725) |
| Consolidated | \$ 225,681 | \$ 203,226 | \$ 699,089 | \$ 574,424 |

The following table summarizes (loss) income before income taxes for each of the Company's six reportable segments (in thousands). Inter-company supervisory fees (Supervisory Fees), which are included in (loss) income before income taxes for each reportable segment in the table below, are charged by the Company's Corporate segment to the homebuilding segments and the Financial Services and Other segment. Supervisory Fees represent costs incurred by the Company's Corporate segment associated with certain resources that support the Company's other reportable segments. Transfers, if any, between operating segments are recorded at cost.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 4,900 | \$ 6,037 | \$ 13,611 | \$ 5,809 |
| Mountain | 520 | (1,681) | 6,652 | (8,800) |
| East | 2,021 | (1,707) | 1,957 | (8,704) |
| Other Homebuilding | (1,673) | (2,724) | (1,897) | (4,232) |
| Total Homebuilding | 5,768 | (75) | 20,323 | (15,927) |
| Financial Services and Other | 4,326 | (4,344) | 10,261 | (108) |
| Corporate | (20,688) | (27,399) | (66,119) | (75,919) |
| Consolidated | \$ (10,594) | \$ (31,818) | \$ (35,535) | \$ (91,954) |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The following table summarizes total assets for each of the Company's six reportable segments (in thousands). Inter-company adjustments noted in the table below relate to loans from the Company's Financial Services and Other segment to its Corporate segment. The assets in the Company's Corporate segment primarily include cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities.

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Homebuilding | | |
| West | \$ 309,178 | \$ 190,204 |
| Mountain | 320,447 | 237,702 |
| East | 171,867 | 112,964 |
| Other Homebuilding | 41,786 | 26,778 |
| Total Homebuilding | 843,278 | 567,648 |
| Financial Services and Other | 119,132 | 133,957 |
| Corporate | 1,642,402 | 1,773,660 |
| Intercompany adjustments | (2,657) | (45,957) |
| Consolidated | \$ 2,602,155 | \$ 2,429,308 |

The following table summarizes depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets and amortization of deferred marketing costs for each of the Company's six reportable segments (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 1,189 | \$ 1,388 | \$ 4,474 | \$ 3,905 |
| Mountain | 941 | 678 | 2,495 | 1,880 |
| East | 454 | 373 | 1,405 | 1,319 |
| Other Homebuilding | 97 | 79 | 495 | 259 |
| Total Homebuilding | 2,681 | 2,518 | 8,869 | 7,363 |
| Financial Services and Other | 169 | 169 | 508 | 555 |
| Corporate | 855 | 717 | 2,429 | 2,210 |
| Consolidated | \$ 3,705 | \$ 3,404 | \$ 11,806 | \$ 10,128 |

11. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company often is required to obtain bonds and letters of credit in support of its obligations for land development and subdivision improvements, homeowner association dues and start-up expenses, warranty work, contractor license fees and earnest money deposits. At September 30, 2010, the Company had issued and outstanding performance bonds and letters of credit totaling \$83.5 million and \$19.9 million, respectively, including \$5.5 million in letters of credit issued by HomeAmerican. In the event any such bonds or letters of credit issued by third

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

parties are called, MDC could be obligated to reimburse the issuer of the bond or letter of credit.

Mortgage Loan Loss Reserves. In the normal course of business, the Company establishes reserves for potential losses associated with HomeAmerican's sale of mortgage loans to third-parties. These reserves are created to address repurchase and indemnity claims by third-party purchasers of the mortgage loans, which claims arise primarily out of allegations of homebuyer fraud at the time of origination of the loan. These reserves are based upon, among other matters: (1) pending claims received from third-party purchasers associated with previously sold mortgage loans; (2) a current assessment of the potential exposure associated with future claims of homebuyer fraud in mortgage loans originated in prior periods; and (3) historical loss experience. During the 2009 third quarter, mortgage performance in general continued to deteriorate as evidenced by a significant year-over-year increase in delinquency rates. Additionally, foreclosures and foreclosures in process increased substantially. Similarly,

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

HomeAmerican experienced an increase in the number and magnitude of claims to repurchase previously sold mortgage loans. Accordingly, the Company increased its estimated mortgage loan loss reserve by \$7.3 million during the 2009 third quarter. The Company's mortgage loan reserves are reflected as a component of accrued liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and the associated expenses are included as a component of general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The following table summarizes the mortgage loan loss reserve activity for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 8,069 | \$ 2,073 | \$ 9,641 | \$ 1,142 |
| Expense provisions | - | 1,229 | - | 2,119 |
| Cash payments | (563) | (4) | (2,135) | (43) |
| Adjustments | - | 6,050 | - | 6,050 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 7,506 | \$ 9,348 | \$ 7,506 | \$ 9,268 |

Legal Reserves. Litigation has been filed by homeowners in West Virginia against MDC, its subsidiary Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc. (RAH West Virginia) and various subcontractors alleging a failure to install functional passive radon mitigation systems in their homes. The plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages and medical monitoring costs for alleged negligent construction, failure to warn, breach of warranty or contract, breach of implied warranty of habitability, fraud, and intentional and negligent infliction of emotional distress based upon alleged exposure to radon gas. The litigation includes the following actions:

Joy, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 08-C-204, Circuit Court of Jefferson County, West Virginia (Joy). This action was filed on May 16, 2008, by sixty-six plaintiffs from sixteen households. The Company and RAH West Virginia have answered and asserted claims against the subcontractors for contractual and implied indemnity and contribution.

Bauer, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 08-C-431, Circuit Court of Jefferson County, West Virginia (Bauer). This action was filed on October 24, 2008, by eighty-six plaintiffs from twenty-one households. This action has been consolidated for discovery and pre-trial proceedings with the Joy action.

Saliba, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 08-C-447, Circuit Court, Jefferson County, West Virginia (Saliba). This action was filed on November 7, 2008, by thirty-five plaintiffs from nine households. This action has been consolidated for discovery and pre-trial proceedings with the Joy action.

By orders dated November 4 and 18, 2009, the trial court struck the answers filed by the Company and RAH West Virginia and entered judgment by default in favor of the plaintiffs on liability, with damages to be determined in a subsequent jury trial. On December 7, 2009, the Company and RAH West Virginia filed with the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals a motion seeking to stay the proceedings and a petition for writ of prohibition to vacate the default judgment.

On June 16, 2010, the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals rendered its opinion holding that imposition of a default judgment sanction will be upheld if a trial court's findings adequately demonstrate and establish willfulness, bad faith or fault. The Supreme Court of Appeals found that the sanctions orders lacked the required specificity. The Supreme Court of Appeals noted that the trial court is authorized to impose sanctions if the action taken is based on specific factual findings of serious misconduct in light of the standards set forth in the opinion. The Supreme Court of Appeals granted the Company and RAH West Virginia a writ of prohibition and vacated the trial court's sanctions orders.

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Pursuant to the rules of the Supreme Court of Appeals, the underlying proceedings in the Circuit Court had been stayed pending the Supreme Court's decision. Under the Supreme Court's applicable rules, the stay expired on July 19, 2010.

Table of Contents

M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Separately, claims have been filed by homeowners in West Virginia against the Company, RAH West Virginia and individual superintendants who had worked for RAH West Virginia. The new litigation consists of the following:

Thorin, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 10-C-154, Circuit Court of Jefferson County, West Virginia (*Thorin*). This litigation was filed on May 12, 2010, by forty plaintiffs from eleven households in Jefferson and Berkeley Counties. To date, this action has not been consolidated for any purposes with the prior three actions. The claims asserted and the relief sought in the Thorin case are substantially similar to the Joy, Bauer and Saliba cases.

MDC and RAH West Virginia believe that they have meritorious defenses to each of the lawsuits and intend to vigorously defend the actions.

Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Company is a defendant in claims primarily relating to construction defects, product liability and personal injury claims. These claims seek relief from the Company under various theories, including breach of implied and express warranty, negligence, strict liability, misrepresentation and violation of consumer protection statutes. The Company has accrued for losses that may be incurred with respect to legal claims based upon information provided to it by its legal counsel, including counsels' on-going evaluation of the merits of the claims and defenses. Due to uncertainties in the estimation process, actual results could vary from those accruals. The Company had legal accruals of \$14.4 million and \$14.5 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

12. Lines of Credit and Total Debt Obligations

Homebuilding. On June 30, 2010, the Company terminated its homebuilding line of credit (*Homebuilding Line*), which was an unsecured revolving line of credit with a group of lenders that had a maturity date of March 21, 2011. The Company used this facility to provide letters of credit required in the ordinary course of its business and financing in support of its homebuilding segments. Prior to the termination of the Homebuilding Line, the Company transferred or replaced all letters of credit that had been outstanding. At the time of the termination, the Homebuilding Line had an aggregate commitment of \$12.0 million and the Company had no letters of credit and no borrowings outstanding under the line. The Homebuilding Line was terminated as the Company did not need the Homebuilding Line to meet its liquidity needs.

Mortgage Lending. HomeAmerican has a Master Repurchase Agreement (the *Mortgage Repurchase Facility*) with U.S. Bank National Association (*USBNA*) and other banks that may be parties to the Mortgage Repurchase Facility (collectively with USBNA, the *Buyers*). As of September 30, 2010, USBNA was the only Buyer under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility. The Mortgage Repurchase Facility provides liquidity to HomeAmerican by providing for the sale of eligible mortgage loans to USBNA (as agent for the Buyers) with an agreement by HomeAmerican to repurchase the mortgage loans at a future date. Until such mortgage loans are transferred back to HomeAmerican, the documents relating to such loans are held by USBNA, as agent for the Buyers and as custodian, pursuant to the Custody Agreement (*Custody Agreement*), dated as of November 12, 2008, by and between HomeAmerican and USBNA. The Mortgage Repurchase Facility has a maximum aggregate commitment of \$70 million and includes an accordion feature that permits the maximum aggregate commitment to be increased to \$150 million, subject to the availability of additional commitments. On October 21, 2010, the Termination Date of the Mortgage Repurchase Facility was extended to September 16, 2011. Advances under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility carry a Pricing Rate equal to the greater of (i) the LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility) plus 2.5%, or (ii) 3.75%. At HomeAmerican's option the Balance Funded Rate (equal to 3.75%) may be applied to certain advances under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility provided the applicable Buyer is holding sufficient Qualifying Balances. The foregoing terms are defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had \$11.2 million and \$29.1 million, respectively, of mortgage loans that it was obligated to repurchase under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility.

The Mortgage Repurchase Facility is accounted for as a debt financing arrangement. Accordingly, at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, amounts advanced under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility, which were used to finance mortgage loan originations, have been reported as a liability in Mortgage Repurchase Facility in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

The Mortgage Repurchase Facility contains various representations, warranties and affirmative and negative covenants customary for agreements of this type. The negative covenants include, among others, (i) an Adjusted

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

Tangible Net Worth requirement, (ii) a minimum Adjusted Tangible Net Worth Ratio, (iii) an Adjusted Net Income requirement, (iv) a minimum Liquidity requirement; and (v) a requirement that HomeAmerican's HUD Compare Ratio may be no more than 1.50 to 1.00. Adjusted Tangible Net Worth means the sum of (a) all assets of HomeAmerican less (b) the sum of (i) all Debt and all Contingent Indebtedness of HomeAmerican, (ii) all assets of HomeAmerican that would be classified as intangible assets under generally accepted accounting principles, and (iii) receivables from Affiliates. HomeAmerican's Adjusted Tangible Net Worth Ratio is the ratio of HomeAmerican's total liabilities (excluding Permitted Letters of Credit) to the Adjusted Tangible Net Worth. HomeAmerican's Adjusted Net Income is a rolling twelve consecutive months of net income for HomeAmerican. HomeAmerican's Liquidity is defined as its unencumbered and unrestricted cash and Cash Equivalents plus the amount by which the aggregate Purchase Value of all Purchased Loans at such time exceeds the aggregate Purchase Price outstanding for all Open Transactions at such time. HomeAmerican's HUD Compare Ratio is the ratio of (a) the percentage of HomeAmerican's FHA Mortgage Loan originations that were seriously delinquent or claim terminated in the first two years to (b) the percentage of all such Mortgage Loan originations. The foregoing terms are defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility.

Failure to meet the foregoing negative covenants would constitute an event of default. In the event of default, USBNA may, at its option, declare the Repurchase Date for any or all Transactions to be deemed immediately to occur. Upon such event of default, and if USBNA exercises its right to terminate any Transactions, then (a) HomeAmerican's obligation to repurchase all Purchased Loans in such Transactions will become immediately due and payable; (b) the Repurchase Price for each such Transaction shall be increased by the aggregate amount obtained by daily multiplication of (i) the greater of the Pricing Rate for such Transaction and the Default Pricing Rate by (ii) the Purchase Price for the Transaction as of the Repurchase Date, (c) all Income paid after the event of default will be payable to and retained by USBNA and applied to the aggregate unpaid Repurchase Prices owed by HomeAmerican, and (d) HomeAmerican shall deliver any documents relating to Purchased Loans subject to such Transactions to USBNA. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, USBNA may (a) sell any or all Purchased Loans subject to such Transactions on a servicing released or servicing retained basis and apply the proceeds to the unpaid amounts owed by HomeAmerican, (b) give HomeAmerican credit for such Purchased Loans in an amount equal to the Market Value and apply such credit to the unpaid amounts owed by HomeAmerican, (c) replace HomeAmerican as Servicer, (d) exercise its right under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility with respect to the Income Account and Escrow Account, and (e) with notice to HomeAmerican, declare the Termination Date to have occurred. The foregoing terms are defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility.

The Company believes that it is in compliance with the representations, warranties and covenants included in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility and the Company is not aware of any covenant violations.

In January 2010, the Company completed a public offering of \$250 million principal amount of 5⁵/₈% senior notes due February 2020 (the 2020 Notes). The 2020 Notes, which pay interest in February and August of each year, are general unsecured obligations of MDC and rank equally and ratably with its other general unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. The Company is not required to make any principal payments until February 2020. In addition, the Notes are fully guaranteed on an unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by most of the Company's homebuilding subsidiaries. The 2020 Notes may be redeemed, at the election of the Company, in whole at any time or in part from time to time, at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of their principal amount; or (2) the present value of the remaining scheduled payments on the notes being redeemed on the redemption date discounted on a semiannual basis at the Treasury Rate (as defined) plus 0.35%, plus, in each case, accrued and unpaid interest. Upon the occurrence of both a change of control and a below investment grade rating event, the Company is required to offer to repurchase the 2020 Notes at a repurchase price in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of the notes. The Company received proceeds of \$242.3 million, net of discounts and issuance costs of \$6.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The Company is using the proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes.

The Company's senior notes are not secured and, while the senior note indentures contain some restrictions on secured debt and other transactions, they do not contain financial covenants. The Company's senior notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on an unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by most of its homebuilding segment subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

The Company's debt obligations at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 7% Senior Notes due 2012 | \$ 149,601 | \$ 149,460 |
| 5 1/2% Senior Notes due 2013 | 349,721 | 349,642 |
| 5 3/8% Medium-Term Senior Notes due 2014 | 249,224 | 249,102 |
| 5 3/8% Medium-Term Senior Notes due 2015 | 249,812 | 249,787 |
| 5 5/8% Senior Notes due 2020 | 243,756 | - |
| Total Senior Notes, net | \$ 1,242,114 | \$ 997,991 |
| Mortgage repurchase facility | 11,155 | 29,115 |
| Total Debt | \$ 1,253,269 | \$ 1,027,106 |

13. Income Taxes

The Company is required, at the end of each interim period, to estimate its annual effective tax rate for the fiscal year and use that rate to provide for income taxes for the current year-to-date reporting period. Based on these estimates, the Company's overall effective income tax rates were 3.4% and 2.1% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and -0.7% and -11.5% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The change in the effective tax rate during the 2010 third quarter, compared with the same period during 2009, was not material to the Company's Consolidated Statement of Operations. The change in the effective tax rates during the first nine months of 2010, compared with the same periods during 2009, resulted primarily from recording during 2009 a \$9.7 million income tax expense related to an IRS examination of the Company's 2008 net operating loss carryback to 2006.

The Company is required to recognize the financial statement effects of a tax position when it is more likely than not (defined as a likelihood of more than 50%), based on the technical merits, that the position will be sustained upon examination. Any difference between the income tax return position and the benefit recognized in the financial statements results in a liability for unrecognized tax benefits. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, there have been no material changes in the Company's liability for unrecognized tax benefits.

During the 2010 first quarter, the Company reached a settlement with the IRS on the audit of its 2004 and 2005 federal income tax returns, which settlement was subject to review by the Joint Committee on Taxation (Joint Committee). During the 2010 third quarter, the Company received a letter from the IRS communicating that the Joint Committee had taken exception to the settlement and the IRS could not enter into the settlement previously reached. At September 30, 2010, the Company and IRS were still attempting to reach a settlement.

Also during the 2010 first quarter, the Company received a \$142.1 million federal income tax refund, which was generated by a 2009 federal net operating loss carry back to the 2004 and 2005 tax years in accordance with the provisions contained in the Worker, Homeownership, and Business Assistance Act of 2009.

In October 2010, the Company reached a settlement with the Virginia Department of Taxation on the audit of its 2001 through 2003 state income tax returns. See Note 16 for further discussion of the settlement and the expected 2010 fourth quarter impact.

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The increase in the Company's total deferred tax asset at September 30, 2010

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

(per the table below) resulted primarily from an increase in the Company's federal and state net operating loss carry forwards, partially offset by a decrease in the Company's asset impairment charges.

A valuation allowance is recorded against a deferred tax asset if, based on the weight of available evidence, it is more-likely-than-not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company had a valuation allowance of \$221.5 million and \$208.1 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, resulting in a net deferred tax asset of zero. The Company's future realization

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

of its deferred tax assets ultimately depends upon the existence of sufficient taxable income in the carryback or carryforward periods under the tax laws. The Company will continue analyzing, in subsequent reporting periods, the positive and negative evidence in determining the expected realization of its deferred tax assets.

The tax effects of significant temporary differences that give rise to the net deferred tax asset are as follows (in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred tax assets | | |
| Federal net operating loss carryforward | \$ 57,692 | \$ 5,716 |
| Asset impairment charges | 47,251 | 87,121 |
| State net operating loss carryforward | 47,076 | 41,845 |
| Warranty, litigation and other reserves | 30,654 | 38,789 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 21,802 | 17,510 |
| Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward | 9,679 | 9,679 |
| Accrued liabilities | 9,131 | 7,921 |
| Inventory, additional costs capitalized for tax purposes | 6,961 | 7,317 |
| Property, equipment and other assets, net | 2,038 | 2,622 |
| Charitable contribution carryforward | 932 | 934 |
| Deferred revenue | 344 | 321 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 233,560 | 219,775 |
| Valuation allowance | (221,477) | (208,144) |
| Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance | 12,083 | 11,631 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | |
| Deferred revenue | 6,280 | 5,820 |
| Accrued liabilities | 1,012 | 926 |
| Inventory, additional costs capitalized for financial statement purposes | 616 | 681 |
| Other, net | 4,175 | 4,204 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 12,083 | 11,631 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ - | \$ - |

14. Variable Interest Entities

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into lot option purchase contracts (Option Contracts), generally through a deposit of cash or letter of credit, for the right to purchase land or lots at a future point in time with predetermined terms. The use of such land option and other contracts generally allows the Company to reduce the risks associated with direct land ownership and development, reduces the Company's capital and financial commitments, including interest and other carrying costs, and minimizes the amount of the Company's land inventories on its consolidated balance sheets.

In compliance with ASC 810 Consolidation (ASC 810), the Company analyzes its land option contracts and other contractual arrangements to determine whether the corresponding land sellers are variable interest entities (VIE) and, if so, whether the Company is the primary beneficiary.

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Although the Company does not have legal title to the optioned land, ASC 810 requires the Company to consolidate a VIE if the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary. As a result of its analyses, the Company determined that as of September 30, 2010 it was not the primary beneficiary of any VIEs from which it is purchasing land under land option contracts. In determining whether it is the primary beneficiary, the Company considers, among other things, whether it has the power to direct

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)**

the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, including, but not limited to, determining or limiting the scope or purpose of the VIE, selling or transferring property owned or controlled by the VIE, or arranging financing for the VIE. The Company also considers whether it has the obligation to absorb losses of, or the right to receive benefits from, the VIE.

The Company's financial exposure with respect to VIEs is limited to the forfeiture of non-refundable deposit of cash or letters of credit. As of September 30, 2010 the Company had cash deposits and letters of credit totaling \$9.3 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, associated with the right to acquire 4,745 lots under Option Contracts.

15. Other Comprehensive Loss

Total other comprehensive loss includes net loss and unrealized holding gains or losses on the Company's available-for-sale marketable securities. The Company did not have any available-for-sale marketable securities at September 30, 2009 and, as a result, there were no unrealized holding gains or losses during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

The following table sets forth the Company's other comprehensive loss during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| Net loss | \$ (10,239) | \$ (34,796) |
| Unrealized holding gains | 5,917 | 4,623 |
| Total other comprehensive loss | \$ (4,322) | \$ (30,173) |

16. Subsequent Events

In October 2010, the Company reached a settlement with the Virginia Department of Taxation on the audit of its 2001 through 2003 state income tax returns. The settlement is expected to result in an income tax benefit of approximately \$5.4 million in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations during the 2010 fourth quarter. The settlement is also expected to result in a decrease of approximately \$2.9 million in the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits. Finally, the settlement is expected to result in an increase of approximately \$1.4 million to income tax receivable and a decrease of approximately \$0.3 million to cash in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet during the 2010 fourth quarter.

Effective October 21, 2010, HomeAmerican entered into a Second Amendment (the "Second Amendment") to its Mortgage Repurchase Facility (defined above) with U.S. Bank National Association. Among other things, the Second Amendment: (i) extends the termination date of the Mortgage Repurchase Facility to September 16, 2011; (ii) adds a HUD Compare Ratio covenant; and (iii) reduces both the Balance Funded Rate and the minimum Pricing Rate applied to advances under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility to 3.75%. The foregoing terms are defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility and the Second Amendment.

17. Supplemental Guarantor Information

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company's senior notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on an unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by the following subsidiaries (collectively, the Guarantor Subsidiaries), which are 100%-owned subsidiaries of the Company.

M.D.C. Land Corporation
RAH of Florida, Inc.
Richmond American Construction, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Arizona, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Colorado, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Delaware, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Florida, LP
Richmond American Homes of Illinois, Inc.

Table of Contents

M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Richmond American Homes of Maryland, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Nevada, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of New Jersey, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Pennsylvania, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Utah, Inc.
Richmond American Homes of Virginia, Inc.

Subsidiaries that do not guarantee the Company's senior notes (collectively, the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries) primarily include:

American Home Insurance
American Home Title
HomeAmerican
StarAmerican
Allegiant
Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc.

During the 2010 third quarter, Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc. was released as a Guarantor of the Company's senior notes.

The Company has determined that separate, full financial statements of the Guarantor Subsidiaries would not be material to investors and, accordingly, supplemental financial information for the Guarantor Subsidiaries is presented.

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Balance Sheet****September 30, 2010****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Asset | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 650,254 | \$ 4,903 | \$ 33,404 | \$ - | \$ 688,561 |
| Marketable securities | 892,475 | - | 29,627 | - | 922,102 |
| Restricted cash | - | 504 | - | - | 504 |
| Receivables | 11,529 | 14,988 | 2,038 | (2,657) | 25,898 |
| Mortgage loans held-for- sale, net | - | - | 48,161 | - | 48,161 |
| Inventories, net | | | | | |
| Housing completed or under construction | - | 417,485 | - | - | 417,485 |
| Land and land under development | - | 365,307 | - | - | 365,307 |
| Other assets, net | 89,944 | 40,575 | 3,618 | - | 134,137 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 103,366 | - | - | (103,366) | - |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,747,568 | \$ 843,762 | \$ 116,848 | \$ (106,023) | \$ 2,602,155 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and related party liabilities | \$ 2,892 | \$ 50,157 | \$ 531 | \$ (2,657) | \$ 50,923 |
| Accrued liabilities | 139,385 | 77,680 | 60,806 | - | 277,871 |
| Advances and notes payable to parent and subsidiaries | (656,915) | 651,614 | 5,301 | - | - |
| Mortgage repurchase facility | - | - | 11,155 | - | 11,155 |
| Senior notes, net | 1,242,114 | - | - | - | 1,242,114 |
| Total Liabilities | 727,476 | 779,451 | 77,793 | (2,657) | 1,582,063 |
| Stockholders Equity | 1,020,092 | 64,311 | 39,055 | (103,366) | 1,020,092 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 1,747,568 | \$ 843,762 | \$ 116,848 | \$ (106,023) | \$ 2,602,155 |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Balance Sheet****December 31, 2009****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Asset | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,210,123 | \$ 3,258 | \$ 20,871 | \$ - | \$ 1,234,252 |
| Marketable securities | 327,944 | - | - | - | 327,944 |
| Restricted cash | - | 476 | - | - | 476 |
| Receivables | 137,688 | 24,740 | 44,573 | (45,957) | 161,044 |
| Mortgage loans held-for- sale, net | - | - | 62,315 | - | 62,315 |
| Inventories, net | | | | | |
| Housing completed or under construction | - | 260,324 | - | - | 260,324 |
| Land and land under development | - | 262,860 | - | - | 262,860 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 90,413 | - | - | (90,413) | - |
| Other assets, net | 87,121 | 29,629 | 3,343 | - | 120,093 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,853,289 | \$ 581,287 | \$ 131,102 | \$ (136,370) | \$ 2,429,308 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Accounts payable and related party liabilities | \$ 48,331 | \$ 34,017 | \$ 696 | \$ (45,957) | \$ 37,087 |
| Accrued liabilities | 133,226 | 95,705 | 63,038 | - | 291,969 |
| Advances and notes payable to parent and subsidiaries | (399,405) | 410,285 | (10,880) | - | - |
| Mortgage repurchase facility | - | - | 29,115 | - | 29,115 |
| Senior notes, net | 997,991 | - | - | - | 997,991 |
| Total Liabilities | 780,143 | 540,007 | 81,969 | (45,957) | 1,356,162 |
| Stockholders Equity | 1,073,146 | 41,280 | 49,133 | (90,413) | 1,073,146 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | \$ 1,853,289 | \$ 581,287 | \$ 131,102 | \$ (136,370) | \$ 2,429,308 |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Statements of Operations****Three Months Ended September 30, 2010****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Home sales revenue | \$ - | \$ 219,743 | \$ - | \$ (3,242) | \$ 216,501 |
| Land sales and other revenue | - | 1,249 | 7,931 | - | 9,180 |
| Equity in (loss) income of subsidiaries | 9,066 | - | - | (9,066) | - |
| Total Revenue | 9,066 | 220,992 | 7,931 | (12,308) | 225,681 |
| Cost and Expenses | | | | | |
| Home cost of sales | - | 173,963 | 478 | (3,242) | 171,199 |
| Asset impairments, net | - | 3,718 | - | - | 3,718 |
| Marketing and commission expenses | - | 19,269 | - | - | 19,269 |
| General and administrative and other expenses | 18,530 | 13,078 | 9,296 | - | 40,904 |
| Total Operating Costs and Expenses | 18,530 | 210,028 | 9,774 | (3,242) | 235,090 |
| (Loss) income from Operations | (9,464) | 10,964 | (1,843) | (9,066) | (9,409) |
| Other (expense) income | (2,169) | 50 | 934 | - | (1,185) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (11,633) | 11,014 | (909) | (9,066) | (10,594) |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes | 1,394 | 753 | (1,792) | - | 355 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (10,239) | \$ 11,767 | \$ (2,701) | \$ (9,066) | \$ (10,239) |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Statements of Operations****Three Months Ended September 30, 2009****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Home sales revenue | \$ - | \$ 190,134 | \$ - | \$ (3,318) | \$ 186,816 |
| Land sales and other revenue | - | 9,826 | 6,584 | - | 16,410 |
| Equity in (loss) income of subsidiaries | 3,445 | - | - | (3,445) | - |
| Total Revenue | 3,445 | 199,960 | 6,584 | (6,763) | 203,226 |
| Cost and Expenses | | | | | |
| Home cost of sales | - | 154,915 | (1) | (3,318) | 151,596 |
| Asset impairments | - | 1,197 | - | - | 1,197 |
| Marketing and commission expenses | - | 16,439 | - | - | 16,439 |
| General and administrative and other expenses | 19,736 | 27,803 | 11,293 | - | 58,832 |
| Total Operating Costs and Expenses | 19,736 | 200,354 | 11,292 | (3,318) | 228,064 |
| Loss from Operations | (16,291) | (394) | (4,708) | (3,445) | (24,838) |
| Other (expense) income | (7,480) | 117 | 383 | - | (6,980) |
| Loss before income taxes | (23,771) | (277) | (4,325) | (3,445) | (31,818) |
| (Provision for) benefit from income taxes | (8,277) | 6,440 | 1,607 | - | (230) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (32,048) | \$ 6,163 | \$ (2,718) | \$ (3,445) | \$ (32,048) |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Statements of Operations****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|---|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Home sales revenue | \$ - | \$ 680,398 | \$ - | \$ (11,678) | \$ 668,720 |
| Land sales and other revenue | - | 7,673 | 22,696 | - | 30,369 |
| Equity in income of subsidiaries | 26,727 | - | - | (26,727) | - |
| Total Revenue | 26,727 | 688,071 | 22,696 | (38,405) | 699,089 |
| Cost and Expenses | | | | | |
| Home cost of sales | - | 546,888 | 441 | (11,678) | 535,651 |
| Asset impairments, net | - | 3,718 | - | - | 3,718 |
| Marketing and commission expenses | - | 54,544 | - | - | 54,544 |
| General and administrative and other expenses | 55,319 | 57,529 | 19,041 | - | 131,889 |
| Total Operating Costs and Expenses | 55,319 | 662,679 | 19,482 | (11,678) | 725,802 |
| (Loss) income from Operations | (28,592) | 25,392 | 3,214 | (26,727) | (26,713) |
| Other (expense) income | (10,799) | 126 | 1,851 | - | (8,822) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (39,391) | 25,518 | 5,065 | (26,727) | (35,535) |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes | 4,595 | 530 | (4,386) | - | 739 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (34,796) | \$ 26,048 | \$ 679 | \$ (26,727) | \$ (34,796) |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Statements of Operations****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Home sales revenue | \$ - | \$ 549,077 | \$ - | \$ (9,725) | \$ 539,352 |
| Land sales and other revenue | 50 | 15,869 | 19,153 | - | 35,072 |
| Equity in loss of subsidiaries | (18,659) | - | - | 18,659 | - |
| Total Revenue | (18,609) | 564,946 | 19,153 | 8,934 | 574,424 |
| Cost and Expenses | | | | | |
| Home cost of sales | - | 454,771 | (7) | (9,725) | 445,039 |
| Asset impairments | - | 17,009 | - | - | 17,009 |
| Marketing and commission expenses | - | 46,512 | - | - | 46,512 |
| General and administrative and other expenses | 54,558 | 63,293 | 20,569 | - | 138,420 |
| Total Operating Costs and Expenses | 54,558 | 581,585 | 20,562 | (9,725) | 646,980 |
| (Loss) income from Operations | (73,167) | (16,639) | (1,409) | 18,659 | (72,556) |
| Other (expense) income | (20,845) | 97 | 1,350 | - | (19,398) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes | (94,012) | (16,542) | (59) | 18,659 | (91,954) |
| Benefit from (provision for) income taxes | (8,471) | (1,903) | (155) | - | (10,529) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (102,483) | \$ (18,445) | \$ (214) | \$ 18,659 | \$ (102,483) |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Statements of Cash Flows****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|--|------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$ 70,017 | \$ (235,638) | \$ 11,456 | \$ 16,574 | \$ (137,591) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (566,995) | (1,029) | (29,102) | - | (597,126) |
| Financing activities | | | | | |
| (Advances to) payments from subsidiaries | (269,877) | 238,312 | 48,139 | (16,574) | - |
| Proceeds from issuance of senior notes, net | 242,288 | - | - | - | 242,288 |
| Payments on mortgage repurchase facility, net | - | - | (17,960) | - | (17,960) |
| Dividend payments | (35,355) | - | - | - | (35,355) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 53 | - | - | - | 53 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (62,891) | 238,312 | 30,179 | (16,574) | 189,026 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (559,869) | 1,645 | 12,533 | - | (545,691) |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | 1,210,123 | 3,258 | 20,871 | - | 1,234,252 |
| End of period | \$ 650,254 | \$ 4,903 | \$ 33,404 | \$ - | \$ 688,561 |

Table of Contents**M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.****Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)****Supplemental Condensed Combining Statements of Cash Flows****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009****(In thousands)**

| | MDC | Guarantor Subsidiaries | Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminating Entries | Consolidated MDC |
|--|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 90,070 | \$ 107,113 | \$ 28,714 | \$ 18,609 | \$ 244,506 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (46,892) | (46) | - | - | (46,938) |
| Financing activities | | | | | |
| Payments from (advances to) subsidiaries | 132,173 | (107,147) | (6,417) | (18,609) | - |
| Payments on mortgage repurchase facility, net | - | - | (21,863) | - | (21,863) |
| Dividend payments | (35,180) | - | - | - | (35,180) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 3,622 | - | - | - | 3,622 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 100,615 | (107,147) | (28,280) | (18,609) | (53,421) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 143,793 | (80) | 434 | - | 144,147 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | | |
| Beginning of period | 1,279,684 | 3,536 | 21,508 | - | 1,304,728 |
| End of period | \$ 1,423,477 | \$ 3,456 | \$ 21,942 | \$ - | \$ 1,448,875 |

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. This item contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those indicated in such forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Item 1A: Risk Factors Relating to our Business of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

INTRODUCTION

M.D.C. Holdings, Inc. is a Delaware corporation. We refer to M.D.C. Holdings, Inc. as the Company, MDC, we or our in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and these designations include our subsidiaries unless we state otherwise. We have two primary operations, homebuilding and financial services. Our homebuilding operations consist of wholly-owned subsidiary companies that generally purchase finished lots for the construction and sale of single-family detached homes to first-time and first-time move-up homebuyers under the name Richmond American Homes. Our homebuilding operations are comprised of many homebuilding subdivisions that we consider to be our operating segments. Homebuilding subdivisions in a given market are aggregated into reportable segments as follows: (1) West (Arizona, California and Nevada); (2) Mountain (Colorado and Utah); (3) East (Maryland, Virginia, which includes Virginia and West Virginia, and Delaware Valley, which includes Pennsylvania, Delaware and New Jersey); and (4) Other Homebuilding (Florida and Illinois).

Our Financial Services and Other segment consists of HomeAmerican Mortgage Corporation (HomeAmerican), which originates mortgage loans primarily for our homebuyers, American Home Insurance Agency, Inc. (American Home Insurance), which offers third-party insurance products to our homebuyers, and American Home Title and Escrow Company (American Home Title), which provides title agency services to the Company and our homebuyers in Colorado, Florida, Illinois, Maryland, Nevada and Virginia. This segment also includes Allegiant Insurance Company, Inc., A Risk Retention Group (Allegiant), which provides to its customers, primarily many of our homebuilding subsidiaries and certain subcontractors of these homebuilding subsidiaries, general liability coverage for construction work performed associated with closed homes, and StarAmerican Insurance Ltd. (StarAmerican), a Hawaii corporation and a wholly-owned subsidiary of MDC. StarAmerican has agreed to re-insure: (1) all claims pursuant to two policies issued to the Company by a third-party; and (2) pursuant to agreements beginning in June 2004, all Allegiant claims in excess of \$50,000 per occurrence, up to \$3.0 million per occurrence, subject to various aggregate limits, not to exceed \$18.0 million per year, through June 30, 2009. Effective July 1, 2009, StarAmerican re-insures Allegiant for all claims in excess of \$50,000 per occurrence, up to \$3.0 million per occurrence, subject to various aggregate limits, not to exceed \$6.0 million per year.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Despite new homes becoming more affordable and low interest rates, economic conditions continued to delay a recovery in the new home sales market. We continued to be faced with challenges, which include: (1) significant deterioration in new orders for homes following the expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit, which required the sale of homes to be completed by April 30, 2010; (2) high levels of home inventories; (3) significant competition for new home orders and acquisition of finished lots; and (4) overall low economic activity, low consumer confidence and high unemployment levels. In addition, our industry faces uncertainty surrounding the impact that new legislation and regulations may have on our business. However, the timing, strength and sustainability of any recovery in the new home sales market remains unclear. We believe that stability in the credit and capital markets, improvement in U.S. employment levels, declines in home foreclosure levels and an eventual renewal of confidence in the U.S. and global economies will play a major role in any turnaround in the homebuilding and mortgage lending industries. See **Forward-Looking Statements** below.

As a result of these conditions, we experienced a 22% decline in net orders for homes during the 2010 third quarter, compared with the same period in 2009, despite holding sales programs that focused on offering low mortgage interest rates in an effort to generate traffic. Although our Home Gross Margins (as defined below) improved slightly quarter over quarter, our recent orders reflect declining prices necessary to drive traffic and velocity. As a result, Home Gross Margins in our Backlog at September 30, 2010 are lower than the Home Gross Margins we achieved for our 2010 third quarter closings. In certain communities, primarily within our West segment, we are experiencing strong pricing competition. This pressure, coupled with the limited number of qualified buyers in this market, has resulted in asset impairments of \$3.7 million during the 2010 third quarter. Additionally, we had losses before income taxes of \$10.6

Table of Contents

million during the 2010 third quarter, which did represent improvement from the \$31.8 million loss we had during the 2009 third quarter. Our 2010 third quarter loss, compared with the 2009 third quarter loss, was lower primarily resulting from increases in Home Gross Margins during the 2010 third quarter, compared with the same period in 2009, an increase in interest income on our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities and a decline in our marketing, commission and general and administrative expenses.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, despite the contribution from the federal homebuyer tax credit and certain new home sales promotions, our net orders increased only slightly, compared with the same period during 2009. And while we did experience a 25% increase in home closings and increases in our Home Gross Margins during the first nine months of 2010 compared with the same period during 2009, we continued to have losses before income taxes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, albeit at a lower level than during the same period in 2009. The improvement in our financial performance during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily resulted from: (1) an increase in Home Gross Margins during the first nine months of 2010, compared with the first nine months of 2009; (2) a \$13.3 million decline in asset impairments; (3) and a \$9.8 million increase in interest income on our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. These items partially were offset by a \$10.1 million increase in our marketing, commission and general and administrative expenses.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we received \$144.5 million of our income tax receivable and completed the issuance of senior notes that generated \$242.3 million in cash. Additionally, we invested \$796.3 million of cash into various debt and equity securities during the first nine months of 2010. We continued to manage our inventory by limiting the number of finished specs and increasing the number of homes at drywall hold. Although acquisition of finished lots continued to be highly competitive during the 2010 third quarter and first nine months, we were able to increase the total number of lots controlled through acquisition and lot option contracts by 6,450 in 102 new subdivisions, including 2,044 lots in 27 subdivisions in the 2010 third quarter.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting policies generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Management evaluates such estimates and judgments on an on-going basis and makes adjustments as deemed necessary. Actual results could differ from these estimates if conditions are significantly different in the future. Additionally, using different estimates or assumptions in our critical accounting estimates and policies could have a material impact to our consolidated financial statements. See **Forward-Looking Statements** below.

The accounting policies and estimates, which we believe are critical and require the use of complex judgment in their application, are those related to:

homebuilding inventory valuation (held-for-development);

homebuilding inventory valuation (held-for-sale);

warranty costs;

insurance reserves;

legal accruals;

income taxes valuation allowance;

income tax reserves;

revenue recognition;

home cost of sales;

mortgage loan loss reserves;

stock-based compensation;

segment reporting; and

land option contracts.

Table of Contents

Except for warranty reserves noted below, our critical accounting estimates and policies have not changed from those reported in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Warranty Reserves. We record expenses and warranty reserves for general and structural warranty claims, as well as reserves for known, unusual warranty-related expenditures. The establishment of warranty reserves is primarily based on an actuarial study that includes known facts and interpretations of circumstances, including, among other things, our trends in historical warranty payment levels and warranty payments for claims not considered to be normal and recurring. Warranty payments incurred for an individual house may differ from the related reserve established for the home at the time it was closed. The actual disbursements for warranty claims are evaluated in the aggregate to determine if an adjustment to the historical warranty reserve should be recorded. During 2010, in light of a continued decrease in our warranty payments, and similar to our procedure in prior years, we engaged a third-party actuary to assist in our analysis of estimated future warranty payments. Based upon the actuarial analysis, we refined our methodology of estimating a reasonable range for warranty reserves during the 2010 second quarter. During the 2010 third quarter, we expanded our analysis and included all structural warranty claims experience in the actuarial analysis. Consistent with prior periods, certain known, unusual warranty claims continue to be evaluated and reserved for on an individual case by case basis. We believe the refined methodology results in a better estimate of warranty cost exposure, especially in periods of declining payment activity, and provides better visibility to the sensitivity of the estimate in the current environment. We will continue to periodically engage a third-party actuary for purposes of assisting in evaluating and determining the reasonableness of our general and structural warranty reserves.

Warranty reserve adjustments are recorded as an increase or reduction to home cost of sales in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. It is possible we could be required to record further adjustments to our warranty reserve balance in future reporting periods if the current favorable warranty payment trend continues. A 1% change in our estimated ultimate warranty losses for homes that closed over the last ten years would result in an adjustment to our warranty reserve balance of approximately \$2.0 million. See **Forward-Looking Statements** below.

Table of Contents**KEY HOMEBUILDING MEASURES**

The table below sets forth information relating to orders for homes.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------|------------------------------------|------------|-----------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Orders For Homes, net (units) | | | | | | | | |
| Arizona | 119 | 227 | (108) | -48% | 471 | 599 | (128) | -21% |
| California | 101 | 75 | 26 | 35% | 236 | 262 | (26) | -10% |
| Nevada | 106 | 214 | (108) | -50% | 471 | 462 | 9 | 2% |
| West | 326 | 516 | (190) | -37% | 1,178 | 1,323 | (145) | -11% |
| Colorado | 220 | 197 | 23 | 12% | 722 | 537 | 185 | 34% |
| Utah | 73 | 102 | (29) | -28% | 308 | 229 | 79 | 34% |
| Mountain | 293 | 299 | (6) | -2% | 1,030 | 766 | 264 | 34% |
| Delaware Valley | 10 | 13 | (3) | -23% | 26 | 46 | (20) | -43% |
| Maryland | 57 | 53 | 4 | 8% | 150 | 144 | 6 | 4% |
| Virginia | 60 | 61 | (1) | -2% | 202 | 178 | 24 | 13% |
| East | 127 | 127 | - | 0% | 378 | 368 | 10 | 3% |
| Florida | 50 | 71 | (21) | -30% | 156 | 193 | (37) | -19% |
| Illinois | - | 3 | (3) | N/M | - | 19 | (19) | N/M |
| Other Homebuilding | 50 | 74 | (24) | -32% | 156 | 212 | (56) | -26% |
| Total | 796 | 1,016 | (220) | -22% | 2,742 | 2,669 | 73 | 3% |
| Estimated Value of Orders for Homes, net | \$ 231,000 | \$ 272,000 | \$ (41,000) | -15% | \$ 770,000 | \$ 752,000 | \$ 18,000 | 2% |
| Estimated Average Selling Price of Order for Homes, net | \$ 290.2 | \$ 267.7 | \$ 22.5 | 8% | \$ 280.8 | \$ 281.8 | \$ (1.0) | 0% |
| N/M | Not meaningful | | | | | | | |

Orders for Homes, net. Despite new homes becoming more affordable, continued low interest rate levels and special sales promotions, net orders for homes declined in nearly each of our homebuilding segments during the three months ended September 30, 2010. Contributing to this decline was the impact of severe competition for home orders with other homebuilders and significant declines in orders for homes following the expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit on April 30, 2010. Net orders for homes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased slightly due to an increase in orders for homes in our Mountain segment. In our West and Other Homebuilding segments during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net orders for homes declined primarily resulting from high levels of competition and the expiration of the federal homebuyer tax credit.

Table of Contents

Homes Closed. The following table sets forth homes closed for each market within our homebuilding segments (in units).

| | Three Months | | | | Nine Months | | | |
|--------------|---------------------|------|--------|------|---------------------|-------|--------|------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Change | | Ended September 30, | | Change | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Arizona | 111 | 152 | (41) | -27% | 461 | 505 | (44) | -9% |
| California | 62 | 80 | (18) | -23% | 176 | 191 | (15) | -8% |
| Nevada | 108 | 106 | 2 | 2% | 427 | 294 | 133 | 45% |
| West | 281 | 338 | (57) | -17% | 1,064 | 990 | 74 | 7% |
| Colorado | 208 | 159 | 49 | 31% | 546 | 363 | 183 | 50% |
| Utah | 78 | 40 | 38 | 95% | 277 | 136 | 141 | 104% |
| Mountain | 286 | 199 | 87 | 44% | 823 | 499 | 324 | 65% |
| Delaware | | | | | | | | |
| Valley | 13 | 12 | 1 | 8% | 29 | 42 | (13) | -31% |
| Maryland | 55 | 25 | 30 | 120% | 156 | 90 | 66 | 73% |
| Virginia | 58 | 34 | 24 | 71% | 166 | 120 | 46 | 38% |
| East | 126 | 71 | 55 | 77% | 351 | 252 | 99 | 39% |
| Florida | 29 | 48 | (19) | -40% | 142 | 141 | 1 | 1% |
| Illinois | - | 3 | (3) | N/M | - | 22 | (22) | N/M |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| Homebuilding | 29 | 51 | (22) | -43% | 142 | 163 | (21) | -13% |
| Total | 722 | 659 | 63 | 10% | 2,380 | 1,904 | 476 | 25% |

N/M Not meaningful

Homes closed during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased in our Mountain and East segments, primarily resulting from more homes in Backlog in each of these segments at the beginning of the 2010 third quarter, compared with the beginning of the 2009 third quarter. In our West segment, home closings were lower during the 2010 third quarter, as we had fewer homes in Backlog leading into the 2010 third quarter, compared with the beginning of the 2009 third quarter. Home closings in the West segment during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 were higher, primarily driven by our ability to convert more orders for homes received during the first nine months of 2010 into closings during this period, compared with the 2009 period. In our Other Homebuilding segment, home closings were lower during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 as we had fewer homes in Backlog leading into each 2010 period.

Table of Contents

Backlog. The following table below sets forth information relating to Backlog for each market within our homebuilding segments (dollars in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Backlog (units) | | | |
| Arizona | 113 | 103 | 252 |
| California | 136 | 76 | 120 |
| Nevada | 132 | 88 | 221 |
| West | 381 | 267 | 593 |
| Colorado | 383 | 207 | 246 |
| Utah | 125 | 94 | 135 |
| Mountain | 508 | 301 | 381 |
| Delaware Valley | 20 | 23 | 31 |
| Maryland | 97 | 103 | 112 |
| Virginia | 109 | 73 | 94 |
| East | 226 | 199 | 237 |
| Florida | 73 | 59 | 87 |
| Illinois | - | - | - |
| Other Homebuilding | 73 | 59 | 87 |
| Total | 1,188 | 826 | 1,298 |
| Backlog Estimated Sales Value | \$ 368,000 | \$ 265,000 | \$ 383,000 |
| Estimated Average Selling Price of Homes in Backlog | \$ 309.8 | \$ 320.8 | \$ 295.1 |

We define Backlog as homes under contract but not yet delivered. Our Backlog at September 30, 2010 increased in each of our homebuilding segments, as our net orders for homes during the first nine months of 2010 exceeded our home closings in each homebuilding segment. Contributing to the improvement in our September 30, 2010 Backlog was the impact of the federal homebuyer tax credit and two sale promotions, which stimulated improvement in our net orders for homes during the first nine months of 2010. The Backlog estimated sales value also increased from December 31, 2009 due to the 44% increase in the number of homes in Backlog at September 30, 2010, slightly offset by the 3% decrease in the estimated average selling price of homes in Backlog.

Cancellation Rate. We define our home order Cancellation Rate as the approximate number of cancelled home order contracts during a reporting period as a percentage of total home order contracts received during such reporting period. The following tables set forth our Cancellation Rate by segment.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
| Homebuilding | | | |
| West | 27% | 21% | 6% |
| Mountain | 34% | 24% | 10% |
| East | 30% | 27% | 3% |
| Other Homebuilding | 30% | 27% | 3% |
| Consolidated | 30% | 23% | 7% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
| Homebuilding | | | |
| West | 22% | 19% | 3% |
| Mountain | 29% | 24% | 5% |
| East | 28% | 27% | 1% |
| Other Homebuilding | 31% | 24% | 7% |
| Consolidated | 26% | 22% | 4% |

The Cancellation Rate in each of our homebuilding segments increased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily as a result of homebuyers not being able to qualify for mortgage loans and our prospective homebuyers having difficulty selling their existing homes.

Active Subdivisions. The following table displays the number of our active subdivisions for each market within our homebuilding segments.

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Arizona | 28 | 28 | 30 |
| California | 10 | 3 | 5 |
| Nevada | 19 | 18 | 20 |
| West | 57 | 49 | 55 |
| Colorado | 39 | 42 | 41 |
| Utah | 19 | 16 | 17 |
| Mountain | 58 | 58 | 58 |
| Delaware Valley | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Maryland | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Virginia | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| East | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Florida | 11 | 10 | 8 |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| Illinois | - | - | - |
| Other Homebuilding | 11 | 10 | 8 |
| Total | 142 | 133 | 137 |

We define an active subdivision as a subdivision that has more than five homes available to be sold and closed, and that has sold at least five homes. Our active subdivisions increased from December 31, 2009, primarily

Table of Contents

resulting from an increase in the California market of our West segment as we have begun selling and closing homes in subdivisions that were purchased in 2009 and 2010. In addition, 64 new communities are close to reaching active status, while only 38 active subdivisions are nearing inactive status.

Average Selling Price Per Home Closed. The average selling price for our closed homes includes the base sales price, any purchased options and upgrades, reduced by any Sales Price Incentives (defined as discounts on the sales price of a home) or Mortgage Loan Origination Fees (defined as mortgage loan origination fees paid by Richmond American Homes to HomeAmerican). The following tables set forth our average selling price per home closed, by market (dollars in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------|---------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Arizona | \$ 200.7 | \$ 193.6 | \$ 7.1 | 4% |
| California | 375.2 | 417.0 | (41.8) | -10% |
| Colorado | 322.6 | 317.3 | 5.3 | 2% |
| Delaware Valley | 369.1 | 429.5 | (60.4) | -14% |
| Florida | 253.2 | 208.2 | 45.0 | 22% |
| Illinois | - | 294.0 | N/M | N/M |
| Maryland | 460.8 | 405.7 | 55.1 | 14% |
| Nevada | 190.2 | 204.6 | (14.4) | -7% |
| Utah | 268.9 | 283.1 | (14.2) | -5% |
| Virginia | 484.5 | 521.1 | (36.6) | -7% |
| Average | \$ 299.9 | \$ 283.5 | \$ 16.4 | 6% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------|----------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Arizona | \$ 196.1 | \$ 194.8 | \$ 1.3 | 1% |
| California | 396.0 | 410.3 | (14.3) | -3% |
| Colorado | 309.8 | 333.7 | (23.9) | -7% |
| Delaware Valley | 367.6 | 418.0 | (50.4) | -12% |
| Florida | 230.6 | 217.9 | 12.7 | 6% |
| Illinois | - | 313.0 | N/M | N/M |
| Maryland | 462.2 | 405.4 | 56.8 | 14% |
| Nevada | 188.4 | 206.4 | (18.0) | -9% |
| Utah | 272.8 | 295.2 | (22.4) | -8% |
| Virginia | 479.5 | 490.6 | (11.1) | -2% |
| Average | \$ 281.0 | \$ 283.3 | \$ (2.3) | -1% |

N/M Not meaningful

The average selling price of our closed homes increased 6% during the three months ended September 30, 2010 driven primarily by a change in the mix of homes we delivered to higher priced markets. We did see an increase in the average selling price of closed homes in our Florida and Maryland markets primarily from a shift in product mix as we closed a higher concentration of homes in higher priced subdivisions. In most of our other markets, the average selling price for our closed homes during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was generally impacted by closing more of our newer and smaller products, which were introduced into the market in the second half of 2009.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following discussion compares results for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 with the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Home Gross Margins. We define Home Gross Margins to mean home sales revenue less home cost of sales as a percent of home sales revenue. Home sales revenue from a home closing includes the base sales price and any purchased options and upgrades and is reduced for any Sales Price Incentives (defined as discounts on the sales price of a home) or Mortgage Loan Origination Fees (defined as mortgage loan origination fees paid by Richmond American Homes to HomeAmerican). Home cost of sales primarily includes land acquisition, land development and related costs (both incurred and estimated to be incurred), warranty costs and finance and closing costs, including Closing Cost Incentives (defined as homebuyer closing costs assistance paid by Richmond American Homes to a third-party). Home cost of sales excludes expenses associated with commissions, amortization of deferred marketing costs and inventory impairment charges. However, while inventory impairment charges recorded during a reporting period do not impact home cost of sales, they do impact future home cost of sales as they lower the lot cost basis of the impaired inventory.

The following table sets forth our Home Gross Margins by reportable segment.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Homebuilding | | | |
| West | 35.3% | 26.9% | 8.4% |
| Mountain | 12.0% | 11.5% | 0.5% |
| East | 18.5% | 14.1% | 4.4% |
| Other Homebuilding | 9.2% | 6.5% | 2.7% |
| Consolidated | 20.9% | 18.9% | 2.0% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Homebuilding | | | |
| West | 25.7% | 25.1% | 0.6% |
| Mountain | 14.9% | 9.3% | 5.6% |
| East | 17.7% | 14.2% | 3.5% |
| Other Homebuilding | 18.7% | 9.8% | 8.9% |
| Consolidated | 19.9% | 17.5% | 2.4% |

Home Gross Margins can be impacted positively or negatively in a reporting period by adjustments in our warranty reserves. Beginning in 2007 and continuing into the first nine months of 2010, the Company experienced a significant favorable trend in the amount of warranty payments incurred on its previously closed homes. Because our warranty reserve balance at each period end is generally determined based upon historical warranty payment patterns, the foregoing favorable trend in warranty payments impacted significantly our warranty reserves during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. As a result of the significant decline in warranty payments incurred on previously closed homes, we recorded reductions to our warranty reserves for previously closed homes totaling \$7.2 million and \$12.8 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and \$10.8 million and \$25.4 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

Home Gross Margins are also impacted by interest included in home cost of sales. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, interest in home cost of sales was 2.6% and 3.8% percent of home sales revenue, respectively, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, interest in home cost of sales was 2.5% and 4.4%, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth our Home Gross Margins excluding warranty adjustments and interest in cost of sales during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
| West | 24.0% | 19.6% | 4.4% |
| Mountain | 17.5% | 13.7% | 3.8% |
| East | 18.8% | 16.5% | 2.3% |
| Other | 21.0% | 9.9% | 11.1% |
| Consolidated | 20.2% | 16.9% | 3.3% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Change |
| West | 23.0% | 19.9% | 3.1% |
| Mountain | 18.0% | 14.0% | 4.0% |
| East | 19.1% | 16.5% | 2.6% |
| Other | 21.1% | 11.9% | 9.2% |
| Consolidated | 20.5% | 17.2% | 3.3% |

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, Home Gross Margins, excluding warranty adjustments and interest expense, for each of our homebuilding segments were generally favorably impacted by decreases in home cost of construction, as we have been closing more of our smaller and redesigned homes, partially offset by increases in the lot cost per closed home.

Future Home Gross Margins may be impacted negatively by, among other things: (1) a weaker economic environment, including recurring effects of the recession in the United States, as well as homebuyers' reluctance to purchase new homes based on concerns about employment conditions; (2) continued and/or increases in home foreclosure levels; (3) on-going tightening of mortgage loan origination requirements; (4) increased competition and increases in the level of home order cancellations, which could affect our ability to maintain existing home prices and/or home sales incentive levels; (5) deterioration in the demand for new homes in our markets; (6) fluctuating energy costs, including oil and gasoline; (7) increases in the costs of subcontracted labor, finished lots, building materials, and other resources, to the extent that market conditions prevent the recovery of increased costs through higher selling prices; (8) increases in interest expense included in home cost of sales; (9) increases in the costs of finished lots; (10) changes in our warranty payment experiences and/or increases in warranty expenses or litigation expenses associated with construction defect claims; and (11) other general risk factors. See **Forward-Looking Statements** below.

The following table sets forth by reportable segment a reconciliation of our home cost of sales, as reported, to home cost of sales excluding warranty adjustments and interest in cost of sales, which is used in the calculation of Home Gross Margins, excluding warranty adjustments and interest in cost of sales.

Table of Contents

| | Home Sales Revenue - As reported | Home Cost of Sales - As reported | Warranty Adjustments | Interest in Cost of Sales | Home Cost of Sales - Excluding Warranty Adjustments and Interest | Home Gross Margins - Excluding Warranty Adjustments and Interest ⁽¹⁾ |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| West | \$ 66,076 | \$ 42,775 | \$ (9,287) | \$ 1,825 | \$ 50,237 | 24.0% |
| Mountain | 88,080 | 77,505 | 2,550 | 2,254 | 72,701 | 17.5% |
| East | 58,243 | 47,494 | (1,197) | 1,370 | 47,321 | 18.8% |
| Other | 7,345 | 6,668 | 737 | 132 | 5,799 | 21.0% |
| Intercompany adjustments | (3,243) | (3,243) | - | - | (3,243) | N/A |
| Consolidated | \$ 216,501 | \$ 171,199 | \$ (7,197) | \$ 5,581 | \$ 172,815 | 20.2% |

Three Months Ended September 30,
2009

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------|
| West | \$ 84,474 | \$ 61,737 | \$ (9,163) | \$ 2,966 | \$ 67,934 | 19.6% |
| Mountain | 61,772 | 54,659 | (1,077) | 2,448 | 53,288 | 13.7% |
| East | 33,015 | 28,357 | (549) | 1,334 | 27,572 | 16.5% |
| Other | 10,873 | 10,161 | (25) | 394 | 9,792 | 9.9% |
| Intercompany adjustments | (3,318) | (3,318) | - | - | (3,318) | N/A |
| Consolidated | \$ 186,816 | \$ 151,596 | \$ (10,814) | \$ 7,142 | \$ 155,268 | 16.9% |

| | Home Sales Revenue - As reported | Home Cost of Sales - As reported | Warranty Adjustments | Interest in Cost of Sales | Home Cost of Sales - Excluding Warranty Adjustments and Interest | Home Gross Margins - Excluding Warranty Adjustments and Interest ⁽¹⁾ |
|---|---|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 | | | | | | |
| West | \$ 240,555 | \$ 178,738 | \$ (13,114) | \$ 6,540 | \$ 185,312 | 23.0% |
| Mountain | 244,751 | 208,310 | \$ 1,869 | 5,820 | 200,621 | 18.0% |
| East | 162,351 | 133,650 | \$ (1,767) | 4,031 | 131,386 | 19.1% |
| Other | 32,741 | 26,631 | \$ 209 | 594 | 25,828 | 21.1% |
| Intercompany adjustments | \$ (11,678) | (11,678) | - | - | (11,678) | N/A |
| Consolidated | \$ 668,720 | \$ 535,651 | \$ (12,803) | \$ 16,985 | \$ 531,469 | 20.5% |

Nine Months Ended September 30,
2009

| | | | | | | |
|----------|------------|------------|-------------|----------|------------|-------|
| West | \$ 237,406 | \$ 177,764 | \$ (21,586) | \$ 9,106 | \$ 190,244 | 19.9% |
| Mountain | 161,267 | 146,325 | (523) | 8,178 | 138,670 | 14.0% |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| East | 112,789 | 96,733 | (2,539) | 5,039 | 94,233 | 16.5% |
| Other | 37,615 | 33,942 | (713) | 1,513 | 33,142 | 11.9% |
| Intercompany adjustments | (9,725) | (9,725) | - | - | (9,725) | N/A |
| Consolidated | \$ 539,352 | \$ 445,039 | \$ (25,361) | \$ 23,836 | \$ 446,564 | 17.2% |

- (1) Home Gross Margins excluding the impact of warranty adjustments and interest in cost of sales is a non-GAAP financial measure. We believe this information is meaningful as it isolates the impact that warranty adjustments and interest have on our Home Gross Margins.

Table of Contents

Land Sales. Land sales revenue was \$0.9 million and \$6.6 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and \$9.4 million and \$14.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The sale of land during the 2010 and 2009 periods related primarily to our West segment.

Other Revenue. Gains on the sale of mortgage loans and broker origination fees, net, primarily represent revenue earned by HomeAmerican from the sale of HomeAmerican's originated mortgage loans to third-parties, and fees that HomeAmerican earns upon brokering a mortgage loan for a home closing. Insurance premiums collected by StarAmerican and Allegiant from our homebuilding subcontractors in connection with the construction of homes primarily comprise insurance revenue. Title and other revenue primarily consists of forfeiture of homebuyer deposits on home sales contracts and revenue associated with our American Home Title operations. The table below sets forth the components of other revenue (dollars in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-----|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Gains on sales of mortgage loans and broker origination fees, net | \$ 5,920 | \$ 4,715 | \$ 1,205 | 26% |
| Insurance revenue | 1,525 | 1,501 | 24 | 2% |
| Title and other revenue | 831 | 780 | 51 | 7% |
| Total other revenue | \$ 8,276 | \$ 6,996 | \$ 1,280 | 18% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Gains on sales of mortgage loans and broker origination fees, net | \$ 16,523 | \$ 13,783 | \$ 2,740 | 20% |
| Insurance revenue | 4,702 | 4,362 | 340 | 8% |
| Title and other revenue | 2,526 | 2,941 | (415) | -14% |
| Total other revenue | \$ 23,751 | \$ 21,086 | \$ 2,665 | 13% |

Other revenue increased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to increases in the gains on sales of mortgage loans and other broker origination fees as we increased our home closings during these periods by 10% and 25%, respectively.

Land Cost of Sales. Land cost of sales during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$0.8 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, and \$9.4 million and \$12.3 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. Land cost of sales during the 2010 and 2009 periods related to the sale of land primarily in our West segment.

Table of Contents

Asset Impairments. The following table sets forth, by reportable segment, the asset impairments recorded for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Land and Land Under Development (Held-for-Development) | | |
| West | \$ 2,490 | \$ 172 |
| Other Homebuilding | - | 359 |
| Subtotal | 2,490 | 531 |
| Housing Completed or Under Construction (Held-for-Development) | | |
| West | 1,143 | 111 |
| Mountain | - | 191 |
| Other Homebuilding | - | 270 |
| Subtotal | 1,143 | 572 |
| Other Assets | 85 | 94 |
| Consolidated Asset Impairments | \$ 3,718 | \$ 1,197 |
| | | |
| | Nine Months Ended September | |
| | 2010 | 30, 2009 |
| Land and Land Under Development (Held-for-Development) | | |
| West | \$ 2,490 | \$ 9,963 |
| Mountain | - | 254 |
| East | - | 1,600 |
| Other Homebuilding | - | 376 |
| Subtotal | 2,490 | 12,193 |
| Housing Completed or Under Construction (Held-for-Development) | | |
| West | 1,143 | 3,387 |
| Mountain | - | 191 |
| East | - | 875 |
| Other Homebuilding | - | 537 |
| Subtotal | 1,143 | 4,990 |
| Land and Land Under Development (Held-for-Sale) - West Segment | | |
| Other Assets | 85 | 383 |
| Consolidated Asset Impairments | \$ 3,718 | \$ 17,009 |

The \$3.7 million impairment during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily related to three subdivisions in the Arizona market of our West segment. The impairments during 2010 primarily resulted from lowering our estimated average selling prices of homes, driven in part by: (1) strong competition for sales of new homes; (2) overall low economic activity combined with high unemployment levels;

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

(3) homebuyers having difficulty qualifying for new loans; and (4) the elevated levels of foreclosures and short sales of homes driving real estate values down.

The impairment of our held-for-development inventories during the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily related to three communities, one in each of our West, Mountain and Other Homebuilding segments. These impairments resulted primarily from declines in the average selling price of homes in each of these communities, implemented in an effort to generate new home sales.

Table of Contents

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded impairments of \$17.0 million, primarily in the West segment. These impairments, of which \$14.6 million were recorded during the 2009 first quarter, were concentrated in the Nevada market of the West segment and resulted from a significant decrease in the average selling prices of closed homes during the 2009 first quarter, compared with the 2008 fourth quarter, in response to increased levels of competition in this market and continued high levels of home foreclosures. The impairments in the Mountain, East and Other Homebuilding segments primarily resulted from lower forecasted average selling prices for communities that are in the close out phase.

Marketing Expenses. Marketing expenses primarily include advertising, amortization of deferred marketing costs, model home expenses, compensation related expenses and other selling costs. The following table summarizes our marketing expenses by reportable segment (in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|-----|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 4,940 | \$ 4,582 | \$ 358 | 8% |
| Mountain | 3,491 | 2,750 | 741 | 27% |
| East | 1,902 | 1,656 | 246 | 15% |
| Other Homebuilding | 858 | 643 | 215 | 33% |
| Consolidated | \$ 11,191 | \$ 9,631 | \$ 1,560 | 16% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 13,188 | \$ 12,685 | \$ 503 | 4% |
| Mountain | 9,137 | 6,977 | 2,160 | 31% |
| East | 5,196 | 4,941 | 255 | 5% |
| Other Homebuilding | 2,205 | 1,790 | 415 | 23% |
| Consolidated | \$ 29,726 | \$ 26,393 | \$ 3,333 | 13% |

The \$1.6 million increase in marketing expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2010 primarily resulted from increases of: (1) \$0.9 million in product advertising, primarily resulting from new advertising signs purchased in most of our subdivisions, and other advertising costs in conjunction with our sales efforts; and (2) \$0.5 million in amortization of deferred marketing costs and sales office expenses resulting from closing 63 more homes during the 2010 third quarter and an increase in model homes from September 2009.

The \$3.3 million increase in marketing expenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily resulted from increases of: (1) \$2.0 million in product advertising, primarily resulting from new advertising signs purchased in most of our subdivisions, and other advertising costs in conjunction with our sales efforts; and (2) \$1.9 million in amortization of deferred marketing costs resulting from closing 476 more homes. These items partially were offset by a \$0.5 million decrease in our employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs.

Commission Expenses. Commission expenses primarily include direct incremental commissions paid to employees and third-parties for closed homes. The following table summarizes our commission expenses by reportable segment (in thousands).

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 2,655 | \$ 3,036 | \$ (381) | -13% |
| Mountain | 3,176 | 2,253 | 923 | 41% |
| East | 1,903 | 1,081 | 822 | 76% |
| Other Homebuilding | 344 | 438 | (94) | -21% |
| Consolidated | \$ 8,078 | \$ 6,808 | \$ 1,270 | 19% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 9,320 | \$ 8,384 | \$ 936 | 11% |
| Mountain | 8,649 | 5,939 | 2,710 | 46% |
| East | 5,428 | 4,301 | 1,127 | 26% |
| Other Homebuilding | 1,421 | 1,495 | (74) | -5% |
| Consolidated | \$ 24,818 | \$ 20,119 | \$ 4,699 | 23% |

Commission expense increased in our Mountain and East segments during the 2010 third quarter, primarily resulting from closing 87 and 55 more homes, respectively. Commission expense decreased during the 2010 third quarter in our West and Other Homebuilding segment, primarily due to closing 57 and 22 fewer homes.

Commission expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased in our Mountain, East and West segments, primarily due to closing 324, 99 and 74 more homes, respectively. The decline in commissions in our Other Homebuilding segment resulted from closing 21 fewer homes.

Table of Contents

General and Administrative Expenses. The following table summarizes our general and administrative expenses by reportable segment (dollars in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 6,717 | \$ 6,443 | \$ 274 | 4% |
| Mountain | 3,532 | 3,590 | (58) | -2% |
| East | 4,805 | 3,577 | 1,228 | 34% |
| Other Homebuilding | 1,057 | 969 | 88 | 9% |
| Total Homebuilding | 16,111 | 14,579 | 1,532 | 11% |
| Financial Services and Other | 4,521 | 11,303 | (6,782) | -60% |
| Corporate | 18,637 | 19,918 | (1,281) | -6% |
| Consolidated | \$ 39,269 | \$ 45,800 | \$ (6,531) | -14% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 22,037 | \$ 19,406 | \$ 2,631 | 14% |
| Mountain | 12,004 | 11,035 | 969 | 9% |
| East | 16,043 | 12,857 | 3,186 | 25% |
| Other Homebuilding | 4,242 | 2,966 | 1,276 | 43% |
| Total Homebuilding | 54,326 | 46,264 | 8,062 | 17% |
| Financial Services and Other | 14,267 | 20,646 | (6,379) | -31% |
| Corporate | 55,467 | 55,071 | 396 | 1% |
| Consolidated | \$ 124,060 | \$ 121,981 | \$ 2,079 | 2% |

Our consolidated general and administrative expense decreased during the three months ended September 30, 2010, primarily resulting from the following: (1) we incurred \$7.3 million of expenses associated with our mortgage loan loss reserve in the 2009 third quarter that we did not incur during the 2010 third quarter (see Note 11 to our Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements); (2) a \$3.3 million decrease in fees associated with our homebuilding line of credit that was terminated during the 2010 second quarter; and (3) \$1.6 million in legal-related costs. These items partially were offset by a \$5.1 million increase in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs.

General and administrative expenses in our West segment increased slightly during the three months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to a \$1.1 million increase in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs and a \$0.5 million increase in supervisory fees. These items partially were offset by a decrease of \$1.5 million in legal-related costs. In our Mountain segment, while general and administrative expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2010 were relatively flat, they were impacted positively by a \$0.6 million decrease in legal-related costs, partially offset by a \$0.5 million increase in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs.

In our East segment, general and administrative expenses were higher during the three months ended September 30, 2010, primarily resulting from the following increases: (1) \$0.6 million in legal-related costs; and (2) \$0.3 million in employee compensation and other employee-related benefits. In our Other Homebuilding segment, general and administrative expenses were flat during the three months ended September 30, 2010.

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

In our Financial Services and Other segment, general and administrative expenses decreased due to a \$7.3 million decline in expenses associated with our mortgage loan loss reserves. In our Corporate segment, general and administrative costs were lower during the three months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to a \$3.3 million decrease in fees associated with our homebuilding line of credit that was terminated during the 2010 second quarter, partially offset by a \$2.8 million increase in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs.

Table of Contents

Our consolidated general and administrative expense increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily resulting from the following increases: (1) \$12.0 million in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs; (2) \$2.3 million in legal-related costs; and (3) \$1.9 million in other general and administrative expenses, consisting primarily of travel-related costs. These items partially were offset by a decrease in expenses associated with our mortgage loan loss reserves as we incurred \$8.2 million of expenses during the first nine months of 2009 that we did not incur during the 2010 first nine months. Additionally, we had a decrease of \$4.9 million in fees associated with our homebuilding line of credit that was terminated during the 2010 second quarter.

General and administrative expenses in our West segment increased during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to a \$2.1 million increase in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs. In our Mountain segment, general and administrative costs were higher during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs.

In our East and Other Homebuilding segments, general and administrative costs were higher during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to increased legal-related costs.

In our Financial Services and Other segment, general and administrative expenses decreased, primarily resulting from an \$8.2 million decline in expenses associated with our mortgage loan loss reserves. This was partially offset by an increase of \$1.3 million in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs.

In our Corporate segment, while general and administrative costs were flat during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, they were impacted positively by a \$4.9 million decrease in fees associated with our homebuilding line of credit that was terminated during the 2010 second quarter. This was offset by a \$6.0 million increase in employee compensation and other employee-related benefit costs.

Other Operating Expenses. Other operating expenses were \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. These costs primarily relate to write-offs of pre-acquisition costs and deposits on lot option contracts that we elected not to exercise. Other operating expenses were \$3.6 million and \$4.2 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, and relate primarily to a valuation allowance recorded against other receivables and write-offs of pre-acquisition cost and deposits.

Other Income (Expense). Other income (expense) primarily includes interest income on our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, interest expense, primarily on our senior notes, and gain or loss on the sale of other assets. Interest income during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 increased, primarily from an increase in marketable securities balances during 2010. Interest expense was also higher during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily resulting from an increase in our debt level due to the issuance of \$250 million in senior notes in January 2010.

Table of Contents

(Loss) Income Before Income Taxes. The table below summarizes our (loss) income before income taxes by segment (dollars in thousands).

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 4,900 | \$ 6,037 | \$ (1,137) | -19% |
| Mountain | 520 | (1,681) | 2,201 | 131% |
| East | 2,021 | (1,707) | 3,728 | 218% |
| Other Homebuilding | (1,673) | (2,724) | 1,051 | 39% |
| Total Homebuilding | 5,768 | (75) | 5,843 | N/M |
| Financial Services and Other | 4,326 | (4,344) | 8,670 | -200% |
| Corporate | (20,688) | (27,399) | 6,711 | 24% |
| Consolidated | \$ (10,594) | \$ (31,818) | \$ 21,224 | 67% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Homebuilding | | | | |
| West | \$ 13,611 | \$ 5,809 | \$ 7,802 | -134% |
| Mountain | 6,652 | (8,800) | 15,452 | 176% |
| East | 1,957 | (8,704) | 10,661 | 122% |
| Other Homebuilding | (1,897) | (4,232) | 2,335 | 55% |
| Total Homebuilding | 20,323 | (15,927) | 36,250 | 228% |
| Financial Services and Other | 10,261 | (108) | 10,369 | N/M |
| Corporate | (66,119) | (75,919) | 9,800 | 13% |
| Consolidated | \$ (35,535) | \$ (91,954) | \$ 56,419 | 61% |

N/M Not meaningful

Overall, the financial performance in most of our homebuilding segments improved during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared with the same periods during 2009. We recorded impairments during the 2010 third quarter and first nine months of \$3.7 million, compared with \$1.2 million and \$17.0 million during the same periods in 2009. The increased number of home closings, 63 and 476 during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and slight improvements in Home Gross Margins also contributed to the improvement in income (loss) before income taxes for most of our segments. Despite improved affordability and unprecedented low interest rates, we have been negatively impacted by a number of factors, including the expiration of the homebuyer tax credit, unprecedented changes that occurred in the mortgage finance, banking and insurance industries during 2008 and 2009, and the failure or takeover of a number of major industry leaders, as well as governmental intervention in, and support of, the businesses of many surviving entities. These factors contributed to (1) high levels of competition for new home orders, driven by builders that significantly reduced new home sales prices; (2) continued high levels of home sales incentives and, in many cases, increased home sales incentives offered to stimulate new home orders and maintain previous home orders in Backlog until they close; (3) high levels of home foreclosures, which contributed to an excess supply of homes available to be purchased; and (4) prospective homebuyers experiencing difficulty in selling their existing homes in this competitive environment.

In our West segment, we reported income before income taxes of \$4.9 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$6.0 million during the same period in 2009. This decline resulted from: (1) a \$0.3 million increase in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses; (2) closing 57 fewer homes; and (3) an inventory impairment of \$2.5 million compared with \$0.2 million of impairments

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

during the same period in 2009. These items were partially offset by an 840 basis point improvement in our Home Gross Margins during the 2010 third quarter.

Table of Contents

In our Mountain segment, we reported income before income taxes of \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared with a loss before income taxes of \$1.7 million during the same period in 2009. This improvement was primarily due to: (1) a 50 basis point improvement in our Home Gross Margins; and (2) closing 87 more homes, a 44% improvement over closings in the 2009 third quarter. These items partially were offset by a \$1.6 million increase in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses.

In our East segment, we reported income before income taxes of \$2.0 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared with a loss before income taxes of \$1.7 million during the same period in 2009. This improvement was primarily due to: (1) a 440 basis point improvement in our Home Gross Margins; and (2) closing 55 more homes, a 77% improvement over closings in the 2009 third quarter. These items partially were offset by a \$2.3 million increase in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses.

In our Other Homebuilding segment, we reported loss before income taxes of \$1.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared with a loss of \$2.7 million for the same period in 2009. This improvement was primarily due to: (1) a 270 basis point improvement in our Home Gross Margins; and (2) the absence of any inventory impairments during the 2010 third quarter, compared with \$0.6 million of impairments during the same period in 2009. These items partially were offset by a \$0.2 million increase in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses and closing 22 fewer homes compared to the 2010 third quarter.

In our Financial Services and Other segment, income before income taxes during the three months ended September 30, 2010, increased, primarily due to a \$1.4 million increase in revenue, and a \$6.8 million decrease in general and administrative expenses.

Loss before income taxes in our Corporate segment during the three months ended September 30, 2010 decreased, primarily due to: (1) a \$4.3 million increase in interest income; (2) a \$0.8 decrease in interest expense; and (3) a \$1.3 million decrease in general and administrative expenses.

In our West segment, we reported income before income taxes of \$13.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to income of \$5.8 million during the same period in 2009. This improvement primarily resulted from: (1) inventory impairments of \$3.6 million, compared with \$13.4 million of impairments during the same period in 2009; (2) a 60 basis point improvement in home gross margins; and (3) the impact of closing 74 more homes during the 2010 period. These improvements were partially offset by an increase of \$4.1 million in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses.

In our Mountain segment, we reported income before income taxes of \$6.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared with a loss before income taxes of \$8.8 million during the same period in 2009. This improvement was primarily due to: (1) a 560 basis point improvement in our Home Gross Margins; and (2) closing 324 more homes, a 65% improvement over closings in the same period in 2009. These items partially were offset by a \$5.8 million increase in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses.

In our East segment, we reported income before income taxes of \$2.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared with a loss before income taxes of \$8.7 million during the same period in 2009. This improvement was primarily due to: (1) a 350 basis point improvement in our Home Gross Margins; and (2) closing 99 more homes, a 39% improvement over closings during the first nine months of 2009. These items partially were offset by a \$4.6 million increase in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses.

In our Other Homebuilding segment, we reported loss before income taxes of \$1.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared with loss of \$4.2 million for the same period in 2009. This improvement was primarily due to an 890 basis point improvement in our Home Gross Margins, partially offset by a \$1.6 million increase in general and administrative, marketing and commission expenses and closing 21 fewer homes compared the same period in 2009.

In our Financial Services and Other segment, income before income taxes during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, increased, primarily due to an \$8.6 million increase in revenue and \$6.4 million decrease in general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

Loss before income taxes in our Corporate segment during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was lower, primarily due to a \$9.8 million increase in interest income. These items were partially offset by a \$0.4 million increase in general and administrative expenses.

Income Taxes. We are required, at the end of each interim period, to estimate our annual effective tax rate for the fiscal year and use that rate to provide for income taxes for the current year-to-date reporting period. Based on these estimates, our overall effective income tax rates were 3.4% and 2.1% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and -0.7% and -11.5% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The change in the effective tax rate during the 2010 third quarter, compared with the same period during 2009, was not material to our Consolidated Statement of Operations. The change in the effective tax rates during the first nine months of 2010, compared with the same periods during 2009, resulted primarily from recording during 2009 a \$9.7 million income tax expense related to an IRS examination of our 2008 net operating loss carryback to 2006.

OTHER HOMEBUILDING MEASURES

Inventory. Our inventory consists of land and land under development and housing completed or under construction. Land and land under development on our Consolidated Balance Sheets primarily includes land acquisition and development costs associated with subdivisions for which we have the intent to construct and sell homes. Also, we include land that is accounted for as held-for-sale as a component of land and land under development in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Housing completed or under construction in our Consolidated Balance Sheets primarily includes: (1) land costs transferred from land and land under development; (2) hard costs associated with the construction of a house; (3) overhead costs, which include real property taxes, engineering and permit fees; (4) capitalized interest; and (5) certain indirect fees.

The following table shows the carrying value of land and land under development for each market within our homebuilding segments (dollars in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Arizona | \$ 52,509 | \$ 32,839 | \$ 26,031 |
| California | 82,104 | 36,790 | 11,252 |
| Nevada | 20,306 | 27,591 | 12,221 |
| West | 154,919 | 97,220 | 49,504 |
| Colorado | 117,987 | 97,406 | 75,353 |
| Utah | 26,983 | 24,093 | 18,390 |
| Mountain | 144,970 | 121,499 | 93,743 |
| Delaware Valley | 1,576 | 2,909 | 2,664 |
| Maryland | 15,994 | 6,592 | 8,406 |
| Virginia | 36,261 | 26,071 | 16,587 |
| East | 53,831 | 35,572 | 27,657 |
| Florida | 8,958 | 5,329 | 3,362 |
| Illinois | 2,629 | 3,240 | 3,622 |
| Other Homebuilding | 11,587 | 8,569 | 6,984 |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|
| Total | \$ 365,307 | \$ 262,860 | \$ 177,888 |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|

Table of Contents

The following table shows the carrying value of housing completed or under construction for each market within our homebuilding segments (dollars in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Arizona | \$ 40,075 | \$ 30,838 | \$ 46,596 |
| California | 57,533 | 23,890 | 47,137 |
| Nevada | 35,706 | 23,714 | 36,301 |
| West | 133,314 | 78,442 | 130,034 |
| Colorado | 122,830 | 85,537 | 85,849 |
| Utah | 35,034 | 19,239 | 20,956 |
| Mountain | 157,864 | 104,776 | 106,805 |
| Delaware Valley | 5,163 | 3,907 | 6,809 |
| Maryland | 40,851 | 26,729 | 28,572 |
| Virginia | 52,613 | 29,739 | 37,554 |
| East | 98,627 | 60,375 | 72,935 |
| Florida | 27,060 | 16,731 | 15,483 |
| Illinois | 620 | - | - |
| Other Homebuilding | 27,680 | 16,731 | 15,483 |
| Total | \$ 417,485 | \$ 260,324 | \$ 325,257 |

Table of Contents

The tables below show the total number of lots owned (excluding homes completed or under construction) and lots controlled under option agreements for each market within our homebuilding segments (in units).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Lots Owned | | | |
| Arizona | 1,290 | 1,075 | 1,303 |
| California | 1,095 | 581 | 721 |
| Nevada | 632 | 966 | 706 |
| West | 3,017 | 2,622 | 2,730 |
| Colorado | 2,762 | 2,514 | 2,345 |
| Utah | 494 | 545 | 469 |
| Mountain | 3,256 | 3,059 | 2,814 |
| Delaware Valley | 47 | 82 | 91 |
| Maryland | 160 | 100 | 131 |
| Virginia | 380 | 241 | 161 |
| East | 587 | 423 | 383 |
| Florida | 204 | 138 | 196 |
| Illinois | 130 | 141 | 141 |
| Other Homebuilding | 334 | 279 | 337 |
| Total | 7,194 | 6,383 | 6,264 |
| Lots Controlled Under Option | | | |
| Arizona | 453 | 328 | 413 |
| California | 45 | 113 | 46 |
| Nevada | 1,018 | 222 | 87 |
| West | 1,516 | 663 | 546 |
| Colorado | 616 | 537 | 532 |
| Utah | 581 | 117 | 143 |
| Mountain | 1,197 | 654 | 675 |
| Delaware Valley | - | - | - |
| Maryland | 906 | 575 | 528 |
| Virginia | 220 | 192 | 278 |

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| East | 1,126 | 767 | 806 |
| Florida | 906 | 500 | 299 |
| Illinois | - | - | - |
| Other Homebuilding | 906 | 500 | 299 |
| Total | 4,745 | 2,584 | 2,326 |
| Total Lots Owned and Controlled | 11,939 | 8,967 | 8,590 |

As of September 30, 2010, our total number of lots owned (excluding homes completed or under construction) increased from the total at December 31, 2009, primarily due to the purchase of approximately 3,900 lots across most of our markets during the first nine months of 2010, including the purchase of approximately 600 during the 2010 third quarter. While we continued to purchase lots during the 2010 third quarter, the number of lots we did purchase during this period declined from the 2010 first and second quarters as we put more lots under control through lot option contracts. These purchases of lots partially were offset by the transfer of lots from land to homes completed or under construction and the sale of more than 100 lots during the first nine months of 2010,

Table of Contents

primarily in the Nevada market of our West segment. Despite the impact of transferring lots to work in process, we increased our land and land under development by \$102.4 million since December 31, 2009. The acquisition and controlling of lots under option during the first nine months of 2010 relates to approximately 6,400 lots in more than 100 subdivisions that have or we expect will become active. Additionally, our housing completed and under construction increased by \$157.2 million, as we increased the total homes under construction from 1,321 at December 31, 2009 to 2,086 at September 30, 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to a 68% increase in the number of sold homes under construction and a 64% increase in the number of unsold homes under construction.

Our total number of lots under option increased in each of our homebuilding segments and within most of our markets from December 2009. The increase in each homebuilding segment resulted from entering into lot option agreements that we believe meet our underwriting criteria within each market of this segment. This increase was partially offset by exercising our right to purchase lots under existing option contracts.

The table below shows the amount of deposits we have made in connection with our lot option contracts that are beyond the due diligence period (in thousands).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Option Deposits | | | |
| Cash | \$ 9,314 | \$ 7,654 | \$ 5,430 |
| Letters of Credit | 3,086 | 2,134 | 2,702 |
| Total Option Deposits | \$ 12,400 | \$ 9,788 | \$ 8,132 |

The table below shows the stage of construction for our homes completed or under construction, number of sold homes under construction and model homes (in units).

| | September 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | September 30, 2009 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Unsold Homes Under Construction - Final | 56 | 41 | 19 |
| Unsold Homes Under Construction - Frame | 725 | 389 | 298 |
| Unsold Homes Under Construction - Foundation | 104 | 109 | 226 |
| Total Unsold Homes Under Construction | 885 | 539 | 543 |
| Sold Homes Under Construction | 955 | 570 | 1,073 |
| Model Homes | 246 | 212 | 215 |
| Homes Completed or Under Construction | 2,086 | 1,321 | 1,831 |

OTHER OPERATING ACTIVITIES

HomeAmerican Operating Activities. The following table sets forth information relating to mortgage loans originated by our HomeAmerican operations, mortgage loans brokered and our Capture Rate (dollars in thousands). The Capture Rate is defined as the number of mortgage loans originated by HomeAmerican for our homebuyers as a percentage of total Company home closings.

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Principal amount of mortgage loans originated | \$ 158,337 | \$ 137,990 | \$ 20,347 | 15% |
| Principal amount of mortgage loans brokered | \$ 370 | \$ 6,136 | \$ (5,766) | -94% |
| Capture Rate | 79% | 80% | -5% | |
| Including brokered loans | 79% | 84% | -8% | |
| Mortgage products (% of mortgage loans originated) | | | | |
| Fixed rate | 97% | 100% | -3% | |
| Adjustable rate - other | 3% | 0% | 3% | |
| Prime loans ⁽¹⁾ | 32% | 28% | 4% | |
| Government loans ⁽²⁾ | 68% | 72% | -4% | |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|-------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | Amount | % |
| Principal amount of mortgage loans originated | \$ 507,120 | \$ 406,688 | \$ 100,432 | 25% |
| Principal amount of mortgage loans brokered | \$ 5,883 | \$ 25,131 | \$ (19,248) | -77% |
| Capture Rate | 81% | 80% | -3% | |
| Including brokered loans | 82% | 85% | -7% | |
| Mortgage products (% of mortgage loans originated) | | | | |
| Fixed rate | 96% | 100% | -4% | |
| Adjustable rate - other | 4% | 0% | 4% | |
| Prime loans | 27% | 32% | -5% | |
| Government loans | 73% | 68% | 5% | |

(1) Prime loans are defined as loans with Fair, Isaac & Company (FICO) scores greater than 620 and which comply with the documentation standards of the government sponsored enterprise guidelines.

(2) Government loans are loans either insured by the FHA or guaranteed by the VA.

The principal amount of mortgage loans originated increased during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to the Company closing 63 and 476 more homes, respectively, than in the same periods in 2009.

Forward Sales Commitments. HomeAmerican originates mortgage loans that generally are sold forward and subsequently delivered to third-party purchasers within approximately 30 days from origination. Forward commitments are used for non-trading purposes to sell mortgage loans and hedge price risk due to fluctuations in interest rates on rate-locked mortgage loans in process that have not closed. Due to this economic hedging philosophy, the market risk associated with these mortgages is limited. We apply the fair value option under ASC 825 for our mortgage loans held-for-sale and attempt to achieve a matching of the changes in the fair value of our derivatives with the changes in fair values of the loans we are hedging without having to designate our derivatives as hedging instruments. For forward sales commitments, as well as commitments to originate mortgage loans that are still outstanding at the end of a reporting period, we record the fair value of the derivatives in the Consolidated Statements of Operations with an offset to either derivative assets or liabilities, depending on the nature of the change. Due to this hedging philosophy, we believe that the market risk associated with HomeAmerican's mortgages is limited. Reported gains on sales of mortgage loans may vary significantly from period to period depending on the volatility in the interest rate market. See **Forward-Looking Statements** above.

Table of Contents**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

We use our liquidity and capital resources to (1) support our operations, including the purchase of land, land development and construction of homes; (2) provide working capital; and (3) provide mortgage loans for our homebuyers. Liquidity, which includes our balances of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and capital resources, is currently being generated internally through cash flows from operations and from external sources, primarily our senior notes and Mortgage Repurchase Facility (as defined below). Additionally, we have an existing effective shelf registration statement that, after the issuance of \$250 million of senior notes in January 2010, allows us to issue equity, debt or hybrid securities up to \$750 million.

Our marketable securities consist of both held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities. Our held-to-maturity marketable securities consist of both fixed rate and floating rate interest earning securities, primarily debt securities, which may include, among others, United States government and government agency debt, and corporate debt. For those debt securities that the Company has both the ability and intent to hold to their maturity dates, the Company classifies such debt securities as held-to-maturity. Our holdings in held-to-maturity securities were \$586.7 million and \$227.9 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Our marketable securities also include certain debt securities, primarily corporate debt, which we do not have the intent to hold until maturity, and holdings in a fund that invests predominantly in fixed income securities. These marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale and totaled \$335.4 million and \$100.0 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. We increased our holdings of marketable securities during 2010, primarily due to our efforts to improve our rate of returns.

In January 2010, we completed a public offering of \$250 million principal amount of 5⁵/₈% senior notes due February 2020 (the Notes). The Notes, which pay interest February and August of each year, are general unsecured obligations of MDC and rank equally and ratably with our other general unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness. In addition, the Notes are fully guaranteed on an unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by most of our homebuilding subsidiaries. We received proceeds of \$242.3 million, net of discounts and issuance costs of \$6.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. The Company is using the proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes. With respect to our current liquidity, we are managing our cash and investments as we begin to redeploy our cash back into homebuilding through acquisition of land.

On June 30, 2010, we terminated our homebuilding line of credit (Homebuilding Line), which was an unsecured revolving line of credit with a group of lenders that had a maturity date of March 21, 2011. We used this facility to provide letters of credit required in the ordinary course of our business and financing in support of our homebuilding segments. Prior to the termination of the Homebuilding Line, we transferred or replaced all letters of credit that had been outstanding. At the time of the termination, the Homebuilding Line had an aggregate commitment of \$12.0 million and we had no letters of credit and no borrowings outstanding under the line. The Homebuilding Line was terminated as we did not need it to meet our liquidity needs.

During 2010, we entered into facility agreements with various banks that provide for the issuance of letters of credit on an unsecured basis.

Capital Resources

Our capital structure is primarily a combination of (1) permanent financing, represented by stockholders' equity; (2) long-term financing, represented by our publicly traded 7% senior notes due 2012, 5¹/₂% senior notes due 2013, 5³/₈% medium-term senior notes due 2014 and 2015 and 5⁵/₈% senior notes due 2020; and (3) our Mortgage Repurchase Facility. Because of our current balance of cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities and available capacity under our Mortgage Repurchase Facility, we believe that our capital resources are adequate to satisfy our near and intermediate term capital requirements. Additionally, we believe that we can meet our long-term capital needs (including meeting future payments on our senior notes as they become due) from operations and external financing sources, assuming that no significant adverse changes in our business or our country's capital or credit markets occurs as a result of the various risk factors described in Item 1A *Risk Factors*. See **Forward-Looking Statements** below.

Table of Contents

Senior Notes and Mortgage Repurchase Facility

Senior Notes. Our senior notes are not secured and, while the senior note indentures contain some restrictions on secured debt and other transactions, they do not contain financial covenants. Our senior notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on an unsecured basis, jointly and severally, by most of our homebuilding segment subsidiaries.

Mortgage Lending. HomeAmerican has a Master Repurchase Agreement (the Mortgage Repurchase Facility) with U.S. Bank National Association (USBNA) and other banks that may be parties to the Mortgage Repurchase Facility (collectively with USBNA, the Buyers). As of September 30, 2010, USBNA was the only Buyer under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility. The Mortgage Repurchase Facility provides liquidity to HomeAmerican by providing for the sale of eligible mortgage loans to USBNA (as agent for the Buyers) with an agreement by HomeAmerican to repurchase the mortgage loans at a future date. Until such mortgage loans are transferred back to HomeAmerican, the documents relating to such loans are held by USBNA, as agent for the Buyers and as custodian, pursuant to the Custody Agreement (Custody Agreement), dated as of November 12, 2008, by and between HomeAmerican and USBNA. The Mortgage Repurchase Facility has a maximum aggregate commitment of \$70 million and includes an accordion feature that permits the maximum aggregate commitment to be increased to \$150 million, subject to the availability of additional commitments. On October 21, 2010, the Termination Date of the Mortgage Repurchase Facility was extended to September 16, 2011. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had \$11.2 million and \$29.1 million, respectively, of mortgage loans that we are obligated to repurchase under our Mortgage Repurchase Facility. Mortgage loans that we are obligated to repurchase under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility are accounted for as a debt financing arrangement and are reported as mortgage repurchase facility on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Advances under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility carry a Pricing Rate equal to the greater of (i) the LIBOR Rate (as defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility) plus 2.5%, or (ii) 3.75%. At HomeAmerican's option the Balance Funded Rate (equal to 3.75%) may be applied to advances under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility provided that Buyer is holding sufficient Qualifying Balances. The foregoing terms are defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility.

The Mortgage Repurchase Facility contains various representations, warranties and affirmative and negative covenants customary for agreements of this type. The negative covenants include, among others, (i) an Adjusted Tangible Net Worth requirement, (ii) a minimum Adjusted Tangible Net Worth Ratio, (iii) an Adjusted Net Income requirement, (iv) a minimum Liquidity requirement; and (v) a requirement that HomeAmerican's HUD Compare Ratio may be no more than 1.50 to 1.00. Adjusted Tangible Net Worth means the sum of (a) all assets of HomeAmerican less (b) the sum of (i) all Debt and all Contingent Indebtedness of HomeAmerican, (ii) all assets of HomeAmerican that would be classified as intangible assets under generally accepted accounting principles, and (iii) receivables from Affiliates. HomeAmerican's Adjusted Tangible Net Worth Ratio is the ratio of HomeAmerican's total liabilities (excluding Permitted Letters of Credit) to the Adjusted Tangible Net Worth. HomeAmerican's Adjusted Net Income is a rolling twelve consecutive months of net income for HomeAmerican. HomeAmerican's Liquidity is defined as its unencumbered and unrestricted cash and Cash Equivalents plus the amount by which the aggregate Purchase Value of all Purchased Mortgage Loans at such time exceeds the aggregate Purchase Price outstanding for all Open Transactions at such time. HomeAmerican's HUD Compare Ratio is the ratio of (a) the percentage of HomeAmerican's FHA Mortgage Loan originations that were seriously delinquent or claim terminated in the first two years to (b) the percentage of all such Mortgage Loan originations. The foregoing terms are defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility.

Failure to meet the foregoing negative covenants would constitute an event of default. In the event of default, USBNA may, at its option, declare the Repurchase Date for any or all Transactions to be deemed immediately to occur. Upon such event of default, and if USBNA exercises its right to terminate any Transactions, then (a) HomeAmerican's obligation to repurchase all Purchased Loans in such Transactions will become immediately due and payable; (b) the Repurchase Price for each such Transaction shall be increased by the aggregate amount obtained by daily multiplication of (i) the greater of the Pricing Rate for such Transaction and the Default Pricing Rate by (ii) the Purchase Price for the Transaction as of the Repurchase Date, (c) all Income paid after the event of default will be payable to and retained by USBNA and applied to the aggregate unpaid Repurchase Prices owed by HomeAmerican and (d) HomeAmerican shall deliver any documents relating to Purchased Loans subject to such Transactions to USBNA. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, USBNA may (a) sell any or all Purchased Loans subject to such Transactions on a servicing released or servicing retained basis and apply the proceeds to the unpaid amounts owed by HomeAmerican, (b) give HomeAmerican credit for such Purchased Loans in an amount equal to the Market Value and apply such credit to the unpaid amounts owed by HomeAmerican, (c) replace HomeAmerican as Servicer, (d) exercise its right under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility with respect to the Income Account and Escrow Account, and (e) with notice to HomeAmerican, declare the Termination Date to have occurred. The foregoing terms are defined in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the actual results of the covenant calculations and covenant requirements under the Mortgage Repurchase Facility at September 30, 2010.

| | Covenant Test | Covenant Results |
|---|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Adjusted Tangible Net Worth (minimum) | \$ 18,000,000 | \$ 29,736,000 |
| Adjusted Tangible Net Worth Ratio (maximum) | 8.0 : 1.0 | 0.78 : 1.0 |
| Adjusted Net Income (minimum) | \$ 1 | \$ 6,661,000 |
| Liquidity Test (minimum) | \$ 8,000,000 | \$ 34,230,000 |

We believe we are in compliance with the representations, warranties and covenants included in the Mortgage Repurchase Facility and we are not aware of any covenant violations.

MDC Common Stock Repurchase Program

At September 30, 2010, we were authorized to repurchase up to 4,000,000 shares of our common stock. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Consolidated Cash Flow

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we used \$137.6 million of cash from operating activities, primarily due to the increase of our homebuilding inventory, which resulted in the use of \$261.3 million of cash during the first nine months of 2010 as we purchased approximately 3,900 lots and increased the total homes under construction from 1,321 at December 31, 2009 to 2,086 at September 30, 2010. These items partially were offset by the reduction of \$144.5 million in our income tax receivable.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, we generated \$244.5 million of cash from operating activities, primarily resulting from the following: (1) \$164.0 million due to collecting a significant portion of our 2008 income tax receivable; (2) \$119.7 million by reducing our inventory levels, which primarily resulted from closing 1,904 homes, partially offset by increasing our sold homes under construction by 664 units from December 31, 2008; and (3) decreasing our mortgage loans-held-for-sale by \$25.9 million. These items partially were offset by \$57.3 million of net loss before non-cash charges of \$45.2 million. Our non-cash charges primarily related to: (1) asset impairments; (2) stock-based compensation expense; (3) depreciation and amortization; and (4) other non-cash expenses, primarily a \$2.7 million valuation allowance against other receivables and a \$2.7 million write-off of debt issuance costs when we reduced our Homebuilding Line from \$800 million to \$100 million.

We used \$597.1 million in cash from investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily attributable to purchasing \$796.3 million of marketable securities and \$7.7 million of property and equipment relating to our new enterprise resource planning system. These items partially were offset by the \$205.2 million of marketable securities that matured or were sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and the collection of \$1.7 million associated with our investments in The Reserve's Primary Fund.

We used \$46.9 million in cash from investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily attributable to purchasing \$175.4 million of marketable securities and \$6.1 million on purchases of property and equipment relating to our new enterprise resource planning system. Our purchases of marketable securities were made seeking greater returns on certain securities whose original maturities to the Company were longer than three months. These items partially were offset by the \$79.0 million of marketable securities that matured during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and \$55.6 million of settlements associated with our investments in The Reserve's Primary and Government money market funds.

During the first nine months of 2010, we generated \$189.0 million in cash from financing activities, primarily due to the issuance of senior notes that raised \$242.3 million. The proceeds from the issuance of the senior notes are being used for general corporate purposes. This was partially offset as we had net payments under our Mortgage Repurchase Facility of \$18.0 million and \$35.4 million in dividend payments. We used \$53.4 million of cash from financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, primarily resulting from \$35.2 million of dividend payments and a net payment on our mortgage repurchase facility of \$21.9 million. These items partially were offset by cash proceeds of \$3.6 million from the exercise of stock options.

Table of Contents**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into lot option purchase contracts in order to procure lots for the construction of homes. Lot option contracts enable us to control lot positions with a minimal capital investment, which substantially reduces the risks associated with land ownership and development. At September 30, 2010, we had deposits with respect to our option contracts that were beyond the due diligence period of \$9.3 million in the form of cash and \$3.1 million in the form of letters of credit to secure option contracts to purchase lots.

At September 30, 2010, we had outstanding performance bonds and letters of credit totaling approximately \$83.5 million and \$19.9 million, respectively, including \$5.5 million in letters of credit issued by HomeAmerican, with the remaining issued by third-parties, to secure our performance under various contracts. We expect that the obligations secured by these performance bonds and letters of credit generally will be performed in the ordinary course of business and in accordance with the applicable contractual terms. To the extent that the obligations are performed, the related performance bonds and letters of credit should be released and we should not have any continuing obligations. However, in the event any such performance bonds or letters of credit issued by third parties are called, our indemnity obligations could require us to reimburse the issuer of the performance bond or letter of credit.

We have made no material guarantees with respect to third-party obligations.

Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations have not changed materially from those at December 31, 2009, except for the additional interest to be paid pursuant to our \$250 million 5⁵/₈% senior notes due 2020. Our contractual obligations associated with the 5⁵/₈% senior notes due 2020 are as follows (in thousands):

| | Payments due by Period (in thousands) | | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Total | Less than 1 Year | 1 - 3 Years | 4 - 5 Years | After 5 Years |
| Interest | \$ 133,594 | \$ 14,063 | \$ 28,125 | \$ 28,125 | \$ 63,281 |
| Principal | 250,000 | - | - | - | 250,000 |
| Total | \$ 383,594 | \$ 14,063 | \$ 28,125 | \$ 28,125 | \$ 313,281 |

IMPACT OF INFLATION, CHANGING PRICES AND ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

The impact of inflation and changing prices have not changed materially from the disclosure in our December 31, 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

OTHER**Forward-Looking Statements**

Certain statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as statements made by us in periodic press releases, oral statements made by our officials in the course of presentations about the Company and conference calls in connection with quarterly earnings releases, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include statements regarding our business, financial condition, results of operation, cash flows, strategies and prospects. These forward-looking statements may be identified by terminology such as likely, may, will, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, or continue, or the negative of such terms and other comparable terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements contained in this Report are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of

Table of Contents

new information, future events or otherwise. However, any further disclosures made on related subjects in subsequent reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K should be consulted. Additionally, information about issues that could lead to material changes in performance and risk factors that have the potential to affect us is contained under the caption "Risk Factors Relating to our Business" in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and Item 1A of Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes from the 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K related to the Company's exposure to market risk from interest rates.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) *Conclusion regarding the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures* - An evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures was performed under the supervision, and with the participation, of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at September 30, 2010.

(b) *Changes in internal control over financial reporting* - There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.

FORM 10-Q

PART II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Because of the nature of the homebuilding business, we and certain of our subsidiaries and affiliates have been named as defendants in various claims, complaints and other legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business, including product liability claims and claims associated with the sale and financing of our homes. In the opinion of management, the outcome of these ordinary course matters will not have a material adverse effect upon our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Additionally, litigation has been filed by homeowners in West Virginia against MDC, its subsidiary Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc. (RAH West Virginia) and various subcontractors alleging a failure to install functional passive radon mitigation systems in their homes. The plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages and medical monitoring costs for alleged negligent construction, failure to warn, breach of warranty or contract, breach of implied warranty of habitability, fraud, and intentional and negligent infliction of emotional distress based upon alleged exposure to radon gas. The litigation consists of the following actions:

Joy, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 08-C-204, Circuit Court of Jefferson County, West Virginia (*Joy*). This action was filed on May 16, 2008, by sixty-six plaintiffs from sixteen households. The Company and RAH West Virginia have answered and asserted claims against the subcontractors for contractual and implied indemnity and contribution.

Bauer, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 08-C-431, Circuit Court of Jefferson County, West Virginia (*Bauer*). This action was filed on October 24, 2008, by eighty-six plaintiffs from twenty-one households. This action has been consolidated for discovery and pre-trial proceedings with the Joy action.

Saliba, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 08-C-447, Circuit Court, Jefferson County, West Virginia (*Saliba*). This action was filed on November 7, 2008, by thirty-five plaintiffs from nine households. This action has been consolidated for discovery and pre-trial proceedings with the Joy action.

By orders dated November 4 and 18, 2009, the trial court struck the answers filed by the Company and RAH West Virginia and entered judgment by default in favor of the plaintiffs on liability, with damages to be determined in a subsequent jury trial. On December 7, 2009, the Company and RAH West Virginia filed with the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals a motion seeking to stay the proceedings and a petition for writ of prohibition to vacate the default judgment.

On June 16, 2010, the West Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals rendered its opinion holding that imposition of a default judgment sanction will be upheld if a trial court's findings adequately demonstrate and establish willfulness, bad faith or fault. The Supreme Court of Appeals found that the sanctions orders lacked the required specificity. The Supreme Court of Appeals noted that the trial court is authorized to impose sanctions if the action taken is based on specific factual findings of serious misconduct in light of the standards set forth in the opinion. The Supreme Court of Appeals granted the Company and RAH West Virginia a writ of prohibition and vacated the trial court's sanctions orders.

Pursuant to the rules of the Supreme Court of Appeals, the underlying proceedings in the Circuit Court had been stayed pending the Supreme Court's decision. Under the Supreme Court's applicable rules, the stay expired on July 19, 2010.

Separately, claims have been filed by homeowners in West Virginia against the Company, RAH West Virginia and individual superintendents who had worked for RAH West Virginia. The new litigation consists of the following:

Thorin, et al. v. Richmond American Homes of West Virginia, Inc., et al., No. 10-C-154, Circuit Court of Jefferson County, West Virginia (*Thorin*). This litigation was filed on May 12, 2010, by forty plaintiffs from

Table of Contents

eleven households in Jefferson and Berkeley Counties. To date, this action has not been consolidated for any purposes with the prior three actions. The claims asserted and the relief sought in the Thorin case are substantially similar to the Joy, Bauer and Saliba cases.

MDC and RAH West Virginia believe that they have meritorious defenses to each of the lawsuits and intend to vigorously defend the actions.

We can give no assurance as to the final outcomes of these cases, or whether they would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no significant changes in the risk factors previously identified as being attendant to our business in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, except with respect to the following:

Decreases in the market value of the Company's investments in marketable securities could have an adverse impact on its results of operations.

The Company has invested \$922.1 million in marketable securities the market value of which is subject to changes from period to period. Decreases in the market value of the Company's marketable securities could have an adverse impact on the Company's results of operations.

Effective October 1, 2010, we began utilizing a new enterprise resource planning (ERP) system in two of our homebuilding divisions and if we encounter any issues with this implementation or implementation throughout our remaining homebuilding divisions, it could have an adverse impact on our operating activities and/or financial reporting capabilities.

Effective October 1, 2010, we began utilizing a new ERP system in two of our homebuilding divisions. The full implementation of this system throughout all of our homebuilding divisions is scheduled to take place over the course of the next several quarters, and to the extent that we encounter any issues, delays or disruptions in our implementation process, our ability to effectively monitor and manage our operating activities and/or financial reporting capabilities could be adversely impacted.

For a more complete discussion of other risk factors that affect our business, see "Risk Factors Relating to our Business" in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which include the following:

Further uncertainty in the mortgage lending industry, particularly including repurchase requirements associated with HomeAmerican's sale of mortgage loans, could negatively impact our results of operations.

Our business is subject to numerous federal, local and state laws and regulations concerning land development, construction of homes, sales, mortgage lending, environmental and other aspects of our business. These laws and regulations could give rise to additional liabilities or expenditures, or restrictions on our business.

The homebuilding industry has experienced a significant downturn, and although there have been recent signs of improvement, its duration and ultimate severity remain uncertain. A continuation or further deterioration in industry conditions or in the broader economic conditions could have additional adverse effects on our business and financial results.

Increased competition levels in the homebuilding and mortgage lending industries could result in lower net home orders, closings and decreases in the average selling prices of sold and closed homes, which could have a negative impact on our home sales revenue and results of operations.

Edgar Filing: MDC HOLDINGS INC - Form 10-Q

Further decline in the market value of our homes or carrying value of our land would have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial position.

Our strategies in responding to the adverse conditions in the homebuilding industry and overall recession in the U.S. economy have had limited success, and the continued implementation of these and other strategies may not be successful.

Increases in our Cancellation Rate could have a negative impact on our Home Gross Margins and home sales revenue.

If land is not available at reasonable prices, our homes sales revenue and results of operations could be negatively impacted and/or we could be required to scale back our operations in a given market.

If mortgage interest rates rise or if mortgage financing otherwise becomes less affordable, it could adversely affect our sales and business, and the duration and ultimate severity of the effects are uncertain.

Table of Contents

We have financial needs that we meet through the capital markets, including the debt and secondary mortgage markets, and continued disruptions in these markets could have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial position and/or cash flows.

In the ordinary course of business, we are required to obtain performance bonds, the unavailability of which could adversely affect our results of operations and/or cash flows.

Our homebuilding and financial services operations have concentration risks that could impact our results of operations.

Product liability litigation and warranty claims that arise in the ordinary course of business may be costly.

Our income tax provision and other tax liabilities may be insufficient if taxing authorities are successful in asserting tax positions that are contrary to our position.

The homebuilding industry is cyclical and affected by changes in general economic, real estate or other business conditions that could adversely affect our business or financial results.

Because of the seasonal nature of our business, our quarterly operating results can fluctuate.

Supply shortages and other risks related to the demand for skilled labor and building materials could increase costs and delay deliveries.

Natural disasters could cause an increase in home construction costs, as well as delays, and could negatively impact our results of operations.

We are dependent on the services of key employees, and the loss of their services could hurt our business.

The interests of certain controlling shareholders may be adverse to investors.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company did not repurchase any shares during the third quarter of 2010. Additionally, there were no sales of unregistered equity securities during the third quarter of 2010.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. **(Removed and Reserved)**

None.

Item 5. **Other Information**

On October 25, 2010, MDC's Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of twenty five cents (\$0.25) per share. The dividend will be paid on November 23, 2010 to shareowners of record on November 9, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 10.1 Second Amendment to Master Repurchase Agreement between HomeAmerican Mortgage Corporation, as Seller, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Agent and Buyer, dated as of October 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 25, 2010). *
- 12 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges Schedule.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(b), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(b), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following financial statements, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009; and (iv) Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. The information in Exhibit 101 is furnished and not filed, as provided in Rule 402 of Regulation S-T.

* Incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: October 29, 2010

M.D.C. HOLDINGS, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Christopher M. Anderson
Christopher M. Anderson,

Senior Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Vilia Valentine
Vilia Valentine,

Vice President Finance, Corporate

Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

61

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 10.1 Second Amendment to Master Repurchase Agreement between HomeAmerican Mortgage Corporation, as Seller, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Agent and Buyer, dated as of October 21, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 25, 2010). *
- 12 Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges Schedule.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(a), pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(b), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer required by 17 CFR 240.13a-14(b), pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101 The following financial statements, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009; and (iv) Notes to the Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text. The information in Exhibit 101 is furnished and not filed, as provided in Rule 402 of Regulation S-T.

* Incorporated by reference.