

ATMOS ENERGY CORP  
Form DEF 14A  
December 20, 2013  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE 14A**

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)**

**of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**(Amendment No. )**

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

**Atmos Energy Corporation**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

## Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
  
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
  
- (5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount Previously Paid:
  
- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  
- (3) Filing Party:
  
- (4) Date Filed:



Table of Contents

# **Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement**

**Annual Meeting of Shareholders**

**Wednesday, February 5, 2014**

**Table of Contents**

December 20, 2013

Dear Atmos Energy Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of shareholders on Wednesday, February 5, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time. The meeting will be held at the Charles K. Vaughan Center, 3697 Mapleshade Lane, Plano, Texas 75075.

The matters to be acted upon at the meeting are described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement. In addition, we will review the affairs and progress of the Company during the past year and discuss the results of operations for the first quarter of our 2014 fiscal year.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you hold. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, please cast your vote, as instructed in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials ( Notice ) or proxy card, over the Internet, by telephone or on the proxy card, as promptly as possible. If you received only a Notice in the mail or by electronic mail, you may also request a paper proxy card to submit your vote by mail, if you prefer. However, we encourage you to vote over the Internet or by telephone because it is more convenient and saves natural resources, as well as printing costs and postage fees.

On behalf of your Board of Directors, thank you for your continued support and interest in Atmos Energy Corporation.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Best  
Chairman of the Board

Kim R. Cocklin  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**Table of Contents**

**ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION**

**P.O. Box 650205**

**Dallas, Texas 75265-0205**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING**

**OF SHAREHOLDERS**

To Our Shareholders:

The annual meeting of the shareholders of Atmos Energy Corporation will be held at the Charles K. Vaughan Center, 3697 Mapleshade Lane, Plano, Texas 75075 on Wednesday, February 5, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time for the following purposes:

1. To elect 11 directors named in the proxy statement for one-year terms expiring in 2015;
2. To ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young LLP ( Ernst & Young ) to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014;
3. To act upon a proposal for a non-binding, advisory vote by the shareholders to approve the compensation of the named executive officers of the Company for fiscal 2013 ( Say on Pay ); and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

Shareholders of record of our common stock at the close of business on December 10, 2013, will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, such meeting. The stock transfer books will not be closed. Your vote is very important to us. Regardless of the number of shares you own, please vote. All shareholders of record may vote (i) over the Internet, (ii) by toll-free telephone (please see the proxy card for instructions), (iii) by written proxy by signing and dating the proxy card and mailing it to us or (iv) by attending the annual meeting and voting in person. These various options for voting are described in the Notice or proxy card. For all shareholders who participate in our Retirement Savings Plan and Trust ( RSP ), your vote over the Internet, by telephone or on your proxy card will serve as voting instructions to the trustee of the RSP. If you own shares through the RSP, only the trustee may vote your plan shares even if you attend the annual meeting in person.

All shareholders who hold shares in street name in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee ( broker ) may submit your written votes through voting instruction forms provided by your brokers. If you hold shares in street name, you may also generally vote your proxy over the Internet or by telephone, in accordance with voting instructions provided by your broker. Brokers do not have the discretion to vote the shares of customers or clients who fail to provide voting instructions on any of the proposals listed above, except the proposal to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014. Therefore, if you do not provide instructions to your broker to vote your shares, the broker may vote your shares only on that one proposal at our annual meeting. In addition, if you own your shares in street name and you intend to vote in person at the meeting, you must first obtain a legal proxy from your broker and bring it to the annual meeting.

We encourage you to receive all proxy materials in the future electronically to help us save printing costs and postage fees, as well as to conserve natural resources in producing and distributing these materials. If you wish to receive these materials electronically for next year's annual meeting, please follow the instructions on the proxy card or on our website at [www.atmosenergy.com](http://www.atmosenergy.com) under the Investors tab.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Louis P. Gregory  
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate  
Secretary

December 20, 2013

**Table of Contents****TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY</u></b>	1
<b><u>GENERAL MEETING MATTERS</u></b>	5
<u>Date, Time, Place and Purpose of Meeting</u>	5
<u>Internet Availability of Proxy Materials</u>	5
<u>Revocability and Voting of Proxies</u>	5
<u>Solicitation of Proxies</u>	6
<u>Common Stock Information: Record Date</u>	6
<u>Quorum Requirement</u>	6
<u>Broker Non-Votes and Vote Required</u>	6
<b><u>CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OTHER BOARD MATTERS</u></b>	7
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	7
<u>Independence of Directors</u>	7
<u>Related Person Transactions</u>	8
<u>Board Leadership Structure</u>	11
<u>Risk Oversight Process</u>	12
<u>Lead Director and Communications with Directors</u>	12
<u>Committees of the Board of Directors</u>	13
<u>Other Board and Board Committee Matters</u>	14
<u>Independence of Audit Committee Members, Financial Literacy and Audit Committee Financial Experts</u>	14
<u>Independence of Human Resources Committee Members</u>	15
<u>Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy</u>	15
<u>Compensation Risk Assessment</u>	16
<b><u>PROPOSAL ONE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u></b>	17
<u>Background</u>	17
<u>Procedures for Nomination of Candidates for Director</u>	18
<u>Qualifications for Directors</u>	18
<u>Nominees for Director</u>	19
<b><u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u></b>	24
<u>Annual Compensation</u>	24
<u>Long-Term Compensation</u>	25
<u>Summary of Cash and Other Compensation</u>	26
<u>Director Deferred Board Fees</u>	27
<u>Director Share Units and Stock-for-Fee Awards</u>	28
<b><u>PROPOSAL TWO RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM</u></b>	29
<u>Audit and Related Fees</u>	29
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	29



**Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>
<b><u>PROPOSAL THREE NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE ON APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u></b>	31
<u>Background of the Proposal</u>	31
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	31
<b><u>BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK</u></b>	33
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners</u>	33
<u>Security Ownership of Management and Directors</u>	34
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	34
<b><u>HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE REPORT</u></b>	35
<b><u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS</u></b>	35
<u>Executive Summary</u>	35
<u>Executive Compensation Program Objectives and Strategy</u>	37
<u>Limitations in Executive Compensation Program</u>	37
<u>Elements of Executive Compensation</u>	38
<u>Additional Information on Executive Officer Compensation</u>	43
<u>Competitive Compensation Benchmarking</u>	44
<u>Executive Compensation Consultant</u>	46
<u>Management's Role in Setting Executive Officer Compensation</u>	46
<u>Executive Compensation Recoupment Policy</u>	47
<u>Policy Prohibiting Hedging-Related Transactions</u>	47
<u>Share Ownership Guidelines</u>	48
<b><u>NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION</u></b>	48
<u>Summary of Cash and Other Compensation</u>	48
<u>Annual Incentive Plan for Management</u>	50
<u>1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan</u>	51
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	53
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards</u>	54
<u>Stock Vested</u>	55
<u>Retirement Plans</u>	55
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	57
<u>Change in Control Severance Agreements</u>	58
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	59
<b><u>OTHER MATTERS</u></b>	65
<u>Shareholder Proposals</u>	65
<u>Other Business</u>	65
<u>Annual Report</u>	65

**Table of Contents**

**PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY**

To assist you in reviewing the proposals to be acted upon at our annual meeting of shareholders, including the election of directors and the non-binding advisory vote to approve our executive compensation, please review the information below in this summary. This summary represents only highlights of information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement. Please read the entire proxy statement before voting because this summary does not contain all the information you should consider.

**Fiscal 2013 Financial Highlights**

We have continued to deliver strong financial results, generating net income of \$243.2 million, or \$2.64 per diluted share, representing an 11% increase over fiscal 2012.

We have also continued to deliver positive returns to our shareholders, generating total shareholder returns (stock price appreciation and reinvested dividends) (TSR) of (i) 23% for fiscal 2013, compared to the TSR for our peer group of 17% for the same period and (ii) 64% for the three-year period ended September 30, 2013, compared to the TSR for our peer group of 59% for the same period.

## **Table of Contents**

- (a) The Atmos Energy peer group used in this graph is the same peer group that was used in determining our level of performance under our 2013 performance units at the end of the three-year performance period and is comprised of the following companies: AGL Resources Inc.; CenterPoint Energy, Inc.; CMS Energy Corporation; Integrys Energy Group, Inc.; National Fuel Gas Company; NiSource Inc.; ONEOK, Inc.; Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.; Questar Corporation; Vectren Corporation and WGL Holdings, Inc.

The indicated annual dividend is \$1.48, which represents a 5.7% increase over fiscal 2013 and is the 26<sup>th</sup> consecutive year of annual dividend increases for the Company.

### **Highlights of Executive Compensation Program**

#### *Objectives of Program*

Base salaries and total direct compensation of executive officers designed to be targeted at 50th percentile of competitive market practice, if performance targets reached

Stock-based incentive plans and share ownership guidelines are utilized to align executive officers' interests with those of our shareholders

Limited use of perquisites and other personal benefits for executive officers

#### *Limitations on Executive Compensation Program Adopted by Board*

Reduction of premium paid on conversion of incentive compensation amounts to time-lapse restricted stock units ( RSUs ) or shares of bonus stock

Performance targets and performance attainment for annual and long-term incentive compensation plans exclude mark-to-market gains or losses by Company's nonregulated operations

If TSR for a fiscal year under short-term incentive plan or three-year performance period under long-term incentive plan is negative, payout of awards to executive officers is limited to target level under each plan, even if actual performance would have otherwise resulted in an above-target award

Adoption of clawback policy, providing for recoupment by Company under certain circumstances of incentive compensation paid to officers, other than base salary

#### *No Changes to Executive Compensation Program in Fiscal 2013*

Our shareholders overwhelmingly approved the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2012 at our fiscal 2013 annual meeting of shareholders, with over 96 percent of the shares voted in favor of such compensation. Accordingly, the Human Resources Committee ( HR Committee ) and our Board decided not to change our executive compensation decisions and policies over the last fiscal year.

**Table of Contents***Compensation of Chief Executive Officer*

The Board awarded our President and Chief Executive Officer, Kim R. Cocklin, an amount of annual and long-term incentive compensation for fiscal 2013 that was commensurate with our business results and pay for performance philosophy. Such compensation included an annual incentive cash award of \$1,171,862 and long-term equity compensation awards with a total value of \$2,521,185 during fiscal 2013. Consistent with our executive compensation philosophy, a significant majority of Mr. Cocklin's total direct compensation of \$4,561,093 for fiscal 2013 was incentive-based and at risk, as illustrated by the following chart:

*Compensation of Other Named Executive Officers*

Consistent with its approach to the compensation of our CEO, the Board awarded each of our other named executive officers an amount of annual and long-term incentive compensation for fiscal 2013 that was also commensurate with our business results and pay for performance philosophy. A significant majority of each of their amounts of total direct compensation for fiscal 2013 was also incentive-based and at risk, as shown on the following table and as illustrated by the chart below:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2013 Base Salary	Fiscal 2013 Short-Term Cash Incentive Award	Fiscal 2013 Long-Term Incentive Awards Value	Fiscal 2013 Total Direct Compensation
Bret J. Eckert				
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	\$ 374,769	\$ 337,292	\$ 636,058	\$ 1,348,119
Marvin L. Sweetin				
Senior Vice President, Utility Operations	\$ 337,006	\$ 278,030	\$ 485,988	\$ 1,101,024
Louis P. Gregory				
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	\$ 351,513	\$ 289,998	\$ 490,147	\$ 1,131,658
Michael E. Haefner				
Senior Vice President, Human Resources	\$ 326,132	\$ 269,059	\$ 486,699	\$ 1,081,890

## **Table of Contents**

### **Proposals to Be Voted On By Our Shareholders**

#### *Proposal One Election of Directors*

You will find in this proxy statement important information about the qualifications, skills and experience of each of the 11 director nominees that you are being asked to elect at our annual meeting of shareholders. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee ( Nominating and CG Committee ) performs an annual assessment of the performance of each member of the Board of Directors to ensure that our directors have the qualifications, skills and experience to continue to serve effectively. The committee has determined that all of our current directors possess the qualifications, skills, experience and other qualities important to the continued success of the Company. Accordingly, our Board recommends that shareholders vote in favor of each nominee for re-election.

#### *Proposal Two Ratification of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm*

You will also find in this proxy statement important information about our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP. We believe Ernst & Young LLP continues to provide high quality professional services to the Company. Our Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote in favor of ratification of the firm s appointment by the Audit Committee for fiscal 2014.

#### *Proposal Three Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation Program*

Our shareholders have the opportunity to cast a non-binding, advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2013. As recommended by our shareholders at our 2011 annual meeting, we intend to provide our shareholders with an annual opportunity to vote on executive compensation until the next non-binding vote on the frequency of our advisory vote on executive compensation. We were pleased that at last year s annual meeting, over 96 percent of our shareholders voted to approve the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2012. In evaluating this Say on Pay proposal, we recommend that you review our Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this proxy statement, which explains how and why the HR Committee and our Board arrived at decisions concerning our fiscal 2013 executive compensation. Our Board of Directors recommends that shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2013.

**Table of Contents**

**ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION**

**P.O. Box 650205**

**Dallas, Texas 75265-0205**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**for the**

**2014 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

**to be Held on February 5, 2014**

**GENERAL MEETING MATTERS**

**Date, Time, Place and Purpose of Meeting**

Our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders will be held on Wednesday, February 5, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time at the Charles K. Vaughan Center, 3697 Mapleshade Lane, Plano, Texas 75075. The purpose of the 2014 annual meeting is set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders to which this proxy statement is attached. Atmos Energy Corporation is referred to as Atmos Energy, the Company, our, us or we in this proxy statement.

**Internet Availability of Proxy Materials**

Under rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ), we are furnishing proxy materials to our shareholders primarily over the Internet, rather than mailing paper copies of the materials (including our Summary Annual Report and Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2013) to each shareholder. If you received only a Notice by mail or electronic mail, you will not receive a paper copy of these proxy materials unless you request one. Instead, the Notice will instruct you as to how you may access and review the proxy materials over the Internet. The Notice will also instruct you on how you may access your proxy card to vote over the Internet. If you received a Notice by mail or electronic mail and would like to receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, free of charge, please follow the instructions included in the Notice.

We anticipate that the mailing of the Notice to our shareholders will commence on or about December 20, 2013 and will be sent by electronic mail to our shareholders who have opted for such means of delivery on or about December 23, 2013.

**Revocability and Voting of Proxies**

Any shareholder of record submitting a proxy has the power to revoke the proxy at any time prior to its exercise by (1) submitting a new proxy with a later date or time, including a proxy given over the Internet or by telephone; (2) notifying our Corporate Secretary in writing before the meeting or (3) voting in person at the meeting. Any shareholder owning shares in street name who wishes to revoke voting instructions previously given to a broker should contact such broker for further instructions. An independent inspector of election will count the votes. Your vote will not be disclosed to us and will remain confidential except under special circumstances. For example, a copy of your proxy card will be sent to us if you add any written comments to the card. If you are a shareholder of record and give us your signed proxy, but do not specify how to vote on any particular proposal, we will vote your shares in favor of the nominees for the election of directors (see *Proposal One Election of Directors*, beginning on page 17); in favor of the proposal to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for fiscal 2014 (see *Proposal Two Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public*

## **Table of Contents**

*Accounting Firm* beginning on page 29) and in favor of the advisory proposal to approve executive compensation for fiscal 2013 (see *Proposal Three Non-Binding, Advisory Vote on Approval of Executive Compensation*, beginning on page 31).

### **Solicitation of Proxies**

The proxy accompanying this statement is solicited by the management of the Company at the direction of our Board of Directors. It is expected that these materials will be first sent to our shareholders on or about December 20, 2013. We expect to solicit proxies primarily by mail, but our directors, officers, employees and agents may also solicit proxies in person or by telephone or other electronic means. We will pay for all costs of preparing, assembling and distributing the proxies and accompanying materials for the annual meeting of shareholders, including the costs of reimbursing brokers for forwarding proxies and proxy materials to their principals. We will ask brokers to prepare and send a Notice to each of their customers or clients for whom they hold shares and forward copies of the proxy materials to such beneficial owners who request a paper copy. In addition, Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Avenue, Stamford, Connecticut 06902 ( Morrow ) will assist us in the solicitation of proxies. We will pay approximately \$7,500 in fees, plus expenses and disbursements, to Morrow for its proxy solicitation services.

### **Common Stock Information; Record Date**

As of December 10, 2013, our record date, there were 90,956,899 shares of our common stock, no par value, issued and outstanding, all of which are entitled to vote. These shares constitute the only class of our stock issued and outstanding. As stated in the Notice, only shareholders of record at the close of business on December 10, 2013 will be entitled to vote at the meeting. Each share is entitled to one vote.

### **Quorum Requirement**

In accordance with Texas and Virginia law, our bylaws provide that if the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote are present in person or represented by proxy, there will be a quorum. The aggregate number of votes entitled to be cast by all shareholders present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting, whether those shareholders vote for, against or abstain from voting on any matter, will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. Broker non-votes, which are described below, will also be considered present for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists.

### **Broker Non-Votes and Vote Required**

If a broker holds your shares and you have previously elected to receive a paper copy of your proxy materials, a paper copy of this proxy statement and other proxy materials have been sent to your broker. You may have received this proxy statement directly from your broker, together with instructions as to how to direct the broker to vote your shares. If you desire to have your vote counted, it is important that you return your voting instructions to your broker. Rules of the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ) determine whether proposals presented at shareholder meetings are considered routine or non-routine. If a proposal is routine, a broker holding shares for an owner in street name may vote on the proposal without voting instructions from the owner. If a proposal is non-routine, the broker may vote on the proposal only if the owner has provided voting instructions. A broker non-vote occurs when the broker is unable to vote on a proposal because the proposal is non-routine and the owner does not provide instructions. Broker non-votes have no effect on the vote on such a

## **Table of Contents**

proposal because they are not considered present and entitled to vote. Proposals One and Three are considered non-routine proposals. Therefore, brokers may vote on these proposals only if voting instructions are provided by the owner of the shares. Only Proposal Two, the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for fiscal 2014, is considered a routine proposal under the rules of the NYSE. As a result, brokers holding shares for an owner in street name may vote on this proposal, even if no voting instructions are provided by the owner of the shares.

Generally, in accordance with Texas and Virginia law, under our bylaws, the number of votes required for the approval of a proposal is a majority of the shares of our common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as an "against" vote but, as discussed above, broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for these proposals. If any other proposals are properly presented to the shareholders at the meeting, the number of votes required for approval will depend on the nature of the proposal. The proxy gives discretionary authority to the proxy holders to vote on any matter not included in this proxy statement that is properly presented to the shareholders at the meeting. The persons named as proxies on the proxy card are Robert W. Best, Chairman of the Board and Nancy K. Quinn, Lead Director and Chair of the Audit Committee.

## **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OTHER BOARD MATTERS**

### **Corporate Governance**

In accordance with, and pursuant to, the corporate governance standards of the NYSE, the Board has adopted and periodically updated our Corporate Governance Guidelines ( "Guidelines" ), which govern the structure and proceedings of the Board and contain the Board's position on many governance issues. The Board has also adopted and periodically updated the Code of Conduct for our directors, officers and employees. The Code of Conduct provides guidance to the Board and management in areas of ethical business conduct and risk and provides guidance to employees and directors by helping them to recognize and deal with ethical issues including, but not limited to (i) conflicts of interest, (ii) gifts and entertainment, (iii) confidential information, (iv) fair dealing, (v) protection of corporate assets and (vi) compliance with rules and regulations. We have provided to our directors, officers and other employees a toll-free compliance hotline and a website by which they may report on an anonymous basis any observation of unethical behavior or any suspected violations of our Code of Conduct. In addition, the Board has adopted and periodically updated the charters for its Audit Committee, HR Committee, and Nominating and CG Committee. All of the foregoing documents are posted on the Corporate Governance page under the Investors tab of our website at [www.atmosenergy.com](http://www.atmosenergy.com).

### **Independence of Directors**

The Board is comprised of a majority of independent directors in accordance with NYSE corporate governance standards. In accordance with rules of the SEC and the NYSE, as well as our Guidelines, to be considered independent, a director must not have a direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or its management, other than as a director. To assist it in making its determination of the independence of each of its members, the Board has adopted its Categorical Standards of Director Independence ( "Standards" ). The Standards specify the criteria by which the independence of our directors will be determined and the types of relationships the Board has determined to be categorically immaterial, including relationships of directors and their immediate



## **Table of Contents**

families with respect to past employment or affiliation with the Company, our management or our independent registered public accounting firm. For purposes of the Standards, the Board has adopted the definition of an immediate family member as set forth by the NYSE, which includes a director's spouse, parents, children, siblings and in-laws of the director, as well as anyone else (other than any domestic employee) who shares such director's home. The Standards and our Guidelines are posted on the Corporate Governance page of our website.

Based on its review of the Standards, as well as applicable SEC rules and regulations, NYSE corporate governance standards, and taking into consideration all business relationships between the Company and each non-employee director and non-employee director nominee, the Board has concluded that none of such relationships are material, other than the relationship with Messrs. Best and Springer described below. Accordingly, the Board has affirmatively determined that Ms. Quinn, Dr. Meredith and Messrs. Douglas, Esquivel, Gordon, Grable, Sampson, and Ware are independent members of the Board. In addition, the Board has affirmatively determined that each member of the Audit Committee, HR Committee and Nominating and CG Committee are independent under the Standards, as well as applicable SEC rules and regulations and NYSE corporate governance standards.

In recommending to the Board that each non-employee director and non-employee nominee be found independent, other than Mr. Best, who was an executive officer of the Company until April 1, 2013, or Mr. Springer, the Nominating and CG Committee reviewed and considered the following transactions, relationships or arrangements during the past three fiscal years, as discussed below. All matters described below fall within the Standards, including the monetary thresholds set forth in such Standards. Such matters are more fully discussed below under *Related Person Transactions*.

Mr. Ware is president of Amarillo National Bank in Amarillo, Texas, which provides a \$25 million short-term line of credit to the Company and serves as a depository bank for us; and

Several of our other directors either are natural gas customers or are affiliated with businesses that are natural gas customers of the Company in the ordinary course of business, including Mr. Esquivel, who is affiliated with UT Southwestern Medical Center, as discussed more fully below under *Related Person Transactions*.

Because Mr. Springer's son-in-law is a partner with the firm of Ernst & Young, our independent registered public accounting firm, the Board has determined that Mr. Springer may not be considered independent from the Company under the Standards. However, Mr. Springer's son-in-law is not involved in our audit and is not considered a covered person with respect to us, as defined under the SEC's independence-related rules and regulations for auditors. Thus, this relationship has no effect on Ernst & Young's independence as our independent registered public accounting firm. Further, Mr. Springer does not serve on our Audit Committee, HR Committee or Nominating and CG Committee.

## **Related Person Transactions**

In accordance with applicable SEC rules and in recognition that transactions into which we enter with related persons may present potential or actual conflicts of interest, our Board has adopted and periodically reviews written guidelines with respect to related person transactions. For purposes of these guidelines, a reportable related person transaction is a transaction between the Company and any related person (i) involving more than \$120,000 when aggregated with all similar transactions during any fiscal year and (ii) where such related person has or will have a direct or indirect material interest in such transaction (other than solely as a result of being a director or a less than 10 percent

## **Table of Contents**

beneficial owner of another entity). A related person is any (a) person who is or was (since the beginning of the last fiscal year) an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director of the Company; (b) person who beneficially owns more than five percent of the Company's common stock or (c) immediate family member of any of the foregoing. An immediate family member includes a person's spouse, parents, children, siblings, in-laws and anyone (other than a tenant or employee) residing in such person's home.

Under the guidelines, all executive officers, directors and director nominees are required to identify, to the best of their knowledge after reasonable inquiry, business and financial affiliations involving themselves or their immediate family members, which could reasonably be expected to give rise to a related person transaction. Executive officers, directors and director nominees are required to advise the Corporate Secretary of the Company promptly of any change in the information provided and are asked periodically to review and reaffirm this information.

The Nominating and CG Committee reviews the material facts of all related person transactions and either approves or disapproves of the entry into any such transaction. However, if advance committee approval of a related person transaction is not feasible, then it shall be considered and, if the committee determines it to be appropriate, ratified at the committee's next regularly scheduled meeting. In determining whether to approve or ratify a related person transaction, the committee takes into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the related person transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction.

No director is allowed to participate in any discussion or approval of a related person transaction for which he or she is a related person, except that the director shall provide all material information concerning the transaction to the committee. If a related person transaction will be ongoing, the committee may establish guidelines for the Company's management to follow in its ongoing dealings with the related person. Thereafter, the committee, on at least an annual basis, will review and assess ongoing relationships with the related person to see that they remain in compliance with the committee's related person transaction guidelines and that the related person transaction remains appropriate. In addition, the committee will periodically review the related person guidelines to determine if changes or modifications may be appropriate.

The committee also makes a recommendation to the Board as to whether the committee determines that an identified transaction is required to be reported as a related person transaction under SEC rules. Under SEC rules, certain transactions are deemed not to involve a material interest and thus are not reportable (including transactions in which the amount involved in any 12-month period is less than \$120,000 and transactions with entities where a related person's interest is limited to service as a non-employee director). In determining materiality for this purpose, information is considered material if, in light of all the facts and circumstances of the transaction, there is a substantial likelihood a reasonable investor would consider the information important in deciding whether to buy, sell or vote shares of the Company's common stock. The types of transactions specified below, which are pre-approved by the committee, are presumed not to involve a material interest.

Transactions in the ordinary course of business with an entity for which a related person serves as an executive officer, provided (i) the affected director or executive officer does not participate in the decision on the part of the Company to enter into such transactions and (ii) the amount involved in any related category of transactions during any particular fiscal year is the lesser of (a) \$1 million or (b) an amount which is less than one percent of the entity's gross revenue for the most recently completed fiscal year for which data is publicly available;

**Table of Contents**

Charitable gifts made in the ordinary course of business to a foundation, university or other nonprofit organization, provided (i) the affected director or executive officer does not participate in the decision on the part of the Company to make such gifts and (ii) the amount of gifts during any particular fiscal year is the lesser of (a) \$120,000 or (b) an amount which is less than one percent of the nonprofit entity's gross revenue for the most recently completed fiscal year for which data is publicly available;

Employment by the Company of a family member of an executive officer, provided the executive officer does not participate in decisions regarding the hiring, performance evaluation, or compensation of the family member; and

Payments under the Company's employee benefit plans and other programs that are available generally to the Company's employees (including contributions under the Company's educational matching gift programs and payments to providers under the Company's health care plans).

The committee reviewed all ordinary course of business transactions during fiscal 2013 between the Company and companies for which related persons serve as executive officers, including the transactions described below, which represent the only reportable transactions of this type during fiscal 2013.

Mr. Esquivel is Vice President for Community and Corporate Relations for UT Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas, Texas. For the 2013 fiscal year, the Company received total revenues from UT Southwestern Medical Center ( UT Southwestern ) of approximately \$3,816,000. A total of about \$2,951,000 in revenues was received from UT Southwestern for natural gas purchased from the Company's marketing and trading affiliate, Atmos Energy Marketing, LLC ( AEM ), with the remainder of approximately \$865,000 in revenues being received for natural gas distribution and transportation services provided to UT Southwestern. All such services provided to UT Southwestern, including the sales of natural gas by AEM, were made in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms as other comparable transactions with third parties. The committee has received written confirmation that the total amount of revenues received from UT Southwestern during fiscal 2013 represents less than one percent of the gross revenues of UT Southwestern for that period. In addition, Mr. Esquivel did not participate in any decision to enter into such transactions. Based on these facts, the committee determined that Mr. Esquivel did not have a material interest in such transactions.

As noted above, in the discussion on the independence of our directors, Mr. Ware is president of Amarillo National Bank in Amarillo, Texas, which provides a \$25 million short-term line of credit to the Company and serves as a depository bank for us. During fiscal 2013, we paid a total of about \$213,000 to Amarillo National Bank for these services, which amount is reasonable and customary for these types of services and such services are substantially on the same terms as comparable third-party transactions. The committee has received written confirmation that such amount represents less than one percent of the gross revenues of the bank for the applicable period. Because these transactions with Amarillo National Bank fall within the types of transactions that have been pre-approved by the committee, such transactions are presumed not to involve a material interest.

The committee also reviewed all other transactions between the Company and other related persons, including those described below, which represent the only reportable transactions of this type during fiscal 2013.

State Street Corporation is the beneficial owner of more than five percent (5%) of the Company's common stock outstanding. State Street and its affiliates provide certain services for the Company and

## **Table of Contents**

our Master Retirement Trust. State Street acted as trustee of several benefits plans-related trusts for which we paid State Street a total of approximately \$113,000 during fiscal 2013. For our Master Retirement Trust, State Street acted as trustee, provided payment services for two benefits plans whose assets are held in the Trust and provided investment management services relating to assets held in the Master Trust. For such services, the Master Trust paid a total of approximately \$256,000 in fees to State Street during fiscal 2013.

Finally, Mr. Best, our Chairman of the Board, has a son-in-law employed by the Company in a non-executive officer position. The total value of the family member's compensation for fiscal 2013, including base salary, incentive compensation and equity awards appropriate for his position, exceeds the SEC's reporting threshold for disclosure of \$120,000 per fiscal year. The Company is not aware of any related person transactions required to be reported under applicable SEC rules since the beginning of the last fiscal year where our policies and procedures did not require review or where such policies and procedures were not followed.

## **Board Leadership Structure**

The Company's bylaws and Guidelines provide that our Board of Directors has the right to exercise its discretion to either separate or combine the offices of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer (CEO). This decision is based upon the Board's determination of what is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, in light of the circumstances and taking into consideration succession planning, skills and experience of the individuals filling those positions and other relevant factors. Until October 1, 2010, the Board had historically determined that the offices of the Chairman of the Board and the CEO should be combined, primarily to provide unified leadership and direction for the Company. However, on October 1, 2010, Mr. Best was appointed by the Board as Executive Chairman while Mr. Cocklin was appointed as President and CEO. Considering the skills and experience of Messrs. Best and Cocklin, the need to provide an orderly leadership transition from Mr. Best to Mr. Cocklin and the completion of the execution of the Company's succession planning process, the Board at that time determined that the Board and Company's leadership structure that was most appropriate was to have an Executive Chairman separate from its CEO. On April 1, 2013, Mr. Best retired as Executive Chairman and was appointed by the Board as Chairman. The current leadership structure is based on the experienced leadership provided by a Chairman of the Board (currently Mr. Best) and a full-time CEO (currently Mr. Cocklin), with both positions being subject to oversight and review by the Company's independent directors. The Board recognizes that if the circumstances change in the future, other leadership structures might also be appropriate and it has the discretion to revisit this determination of the Company's leadership structure. A combined Chairman and CEO Board leadership structure has previously worked well for the Company and its shareholders and may do so in the future.

The Board's leadership structure is designed so that independent directors exercise oversight of the Company's management and key issues related to strategy and risk. Only independent directors serve on our Audit Committee, HR Committee and Nominating and CG Committee of the Board and all standing Board committees, other than the Executive Committee, are chaired by independent directors. Additionally, independent directors regularly hold executive sessions of the Board outside the presence of the Chairman, the President and CEO or any other Company employee and they generally meet in a private session with the Chairman and the President and CEO at every regularly scheduled Board meeting.

---

**Table of Contents**

Each year, the independent directors of the Board select an independent director to serve as a Lead Director (the "Lead Director"). The Lead Director performs the following duties: (i) convenes and chairs meetings of the non-management directors in executive sessions as may be necessary; (ii) convenes and chairs meetings of the independent directors in executive sessions as may be necessary; (iii) coordinates and develops the agenda for executive sessions of the non-management directors; (iv) coordinates feedback to the Chairman and the President and CEO on behalf of the non-management directors regarding business, management or other issues; (v) collaborates with the Chairman and the President and CEO in developing the agenda for meetings of the Board; (vi) consults with the Chairman and the President and CEO on related information that is sent to the Board; (vii) discusses the results of the performance evaluation of the President and CEO with the Chairman and the chair of the HR Committee; (viii) reports to the President and CEO the results of his performance evaluation and (ix) identifies and develops with the Chairman and the President and CEO, along with the chair of the Nominating and CG Committee, the Board's compositional needs and criteria for the selection of candidates to serve as directors. In performing the duties described above, the Lead Director is expected to consult with the chairs of the appropriate Board committees and solicit their participation. The Lead Director also performs such other duties as may be assigned to the Lead Director by the Board of Directors, the independent directors, the Chairman or the President and CEO.

**Risk Oversight Process**

Our Board of Directors has the primary responsibility for risk oversight of the Company as a whole. However, the Board has delegated primary risk oversight responsibility to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing risks associated with financial and accounting matters, including compliance with all legal and regulatory requirements and internal control over financial reporting. In addition, the Audit Committee has oversight responsibility for the Company's overall business risk management process, which includes the identification, assessment, mitigation and monitoring of key business risks on a company-wide basis. KPMG LLP ("KPMG"), which serves as the Company's internal auditor, presents a report to the Audit Committee at its regularly-scheduled quarterly meetings on its internal audit activities. The report includes the audit activities performed the previous quarter, which address the key business risks identified by the Audit Committee, including evaluations and assessments of internal controls and procedures.

The Board has also charged the HR Committee with ensuring that our executive compensation policies and practices support the retention and development of executive talent with the experience required to manage risks inherent to our business and do not encourage or reward excessive risk-taking by our executives. See the discussion in *Compensation Risk Assessment*, beginning on page 16 for more information on the specific processes used by the HR Committee to assess the risk profile of our compensation programs. The Nominating and CG Committee oversees risks associated with corporate governance, including Board leadership structure, succession planning and other matters. The Board's role in risk oversight has had no significant effect on the Board's leadership structure. In addition, we believe that the current leadership structure of the Board supports effective oversight of the Company's risk management processes described above by providing independent leadership at the Board committee level, with ultimate oversight by the full Board as led by the Chairman, the President and CEO and the Lead Director.

**Lead Director and Communications with Directors**

In accordance with the corporate governance standards of the NYSE, the independent directors of the Board have designated Ms. Quinn as the Lead Director at all meetings of non-management directors,

---

## **Table of Contents**

which meetings will continue to be held by the Board on a regular basis. In addition, all independent members of the Board meet as a group at least once annually. Shareholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Lead Director, individual non-management directors, or the non-management directors as a group, by writing to Board of Directors, Atmos Energy Corporation, P.O. Box 650205, Dallas, Texas, 75265-0205 or by electronic mail at [boardofdirectors@atmosenergy.com](mailto:boardofdirectors@atmosenergy.com). Our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Louis P. Gregory, receives all such communications initially and forwards the communications to Ms. Quinn, as Lead Director, or another individual non-management director, if applicable, as he deems appropriate. Interested parties may also contact our only director who is a member of management, Kim R. Cocklin, President and CEO ([kim.cocklin@atmosenergy.com](mailto:kim.cocklin@atmosenergy.com)), by mail at Atmos Energy Corporation, P.O. Box 650205, Dallas, Texas 75265-0205 or by telephone at 972-934-9227.

### **Committees of the Board of Directors**

*Standing Committees.* We have certain standing committees, each of which is described below. The Executive Committee consists of the Chairman of the Board and the chairs of each of our standing committees. Current members of the Executive Committee are Ms. Quinn, Dr. Meredith and Messrs. Best, Gordon and Ware. Mr. Best serves as chair of the committee. In accordance with our bylaws, the Executive Committee has, and may exercise, all of the powers of the Board of Directors during the intervals between the Board's meetings, subject to certain limitations and restrictions as set forth in the bylaws or as may be established by resolution of the Board from time to time. The Executive Committee held no meetings during fiscal 2013.

The Board has established a separately-designated standing Audit Committee in accordance with applicable provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( Exchange Act ). The Audit Committee consists of Ms. Quinn and Messrs. Esquivel, Grable, Sampson and Ware. Ms. Quinn serves as chair of the committee. As discussed in *Independence of Directors*, beginning on page 7, the Board has determined that each member of the committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE and SEC. The Audit Committee oversees our accounting and financial reporting processes and procedures; reviews the scope and procedures of the internal audit function; appoints our independent registered public accounting firm and is responsible for the oversight of its work and the review of the results of its independent audits. The Audit Committee held five meetings during the last fiscal year and has adopted a charter that it follows in conducting its activities, which is available on the Corporate Governance page of our website.

The HR Committee consists of Dr. Meredith and Messrs. Douglas, Esquivel, Gordon, Grable and Sampson. Mr. Gordon serves as chair of the committee. The Board has determined that each member of the committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE and SEC. This committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board regarding executive compensation policy and strategy and specific compensation recommendations for the President and CEO as well as our other officers and division presidents. This committee retained the worldwide consulting firm of Pay Governance LLC ( Pay Governance ) during fiscal 2013 to serve as its executive compensation consultant, which was directly accountable to the committee for the performance of its consulting services. In addition, the committee determines, develops and makes recommendations to the Board regarding severance agreements, succession planning and other related matters concerning our President and CEO, as well as other officers and division presidents. This committee also administers our 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan ( LTIP ) and the Annual Incentive Plan for Management ( Incentive Plan ). During the last fiscal year, the committee held four meetings. The committee has

## **Table of Contents**

adopted a charter that it follows in conducting its activities, which is available on the Corporate Governance page of our website.

The Nominating and CG Committee consists of Ms. Quinn, Dr. Meredith and Messrs. Douglas, Gordon and Ware. Mr. Ware serves as chair of the committee. The Board has determined that each member of the committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE and SEC. This committee makes recommendations to the Board regarding the nominees for director to be submitted to our shareholders for election at each annual meeting of shareholders, selects candidates for consideration by the full Board to fill any vacancies on the Board, which may occur from time to time, and oversees all of our corporate governance matters. The committee held one meeting during the last fiscal year. The committee has adopted a charter that it follows in conducting its activities, which is available on the Corporate Governance page of our website.

The Work Session/Annual Meeting Committee consists of Dr. Meredith and Messrs. Douglas, Grable, Springer and Ware. Dr. Meredith serves as chair of the committee. This committee selects the site and plans the meeting and agenda for the work session meeting of the Board held each year for the purpose of focusing on long-range planning and corporate strategy issues and selects the site for the annual meeting of shareholders. During the last fiscal year, the committee held two meetings.

### **Other Board and Board Committee Matters**

*Human Resources Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation.* As discussed above, the members of the HR Committee during the last fiscal year were Dr. Meredith and Messrs. Douglas, Esquivel, Gordon, Grable and Sampson. None of the HR Committee members were, during fiscal 2013 or previously, an officer or employee of the Company or any of our subsidiaries. In addition, there was no interlocking relationship between any executive officer of the Company and any other corporation during fiscal 2013.

*Attendance at Board Meetings and Annual Meeting of Shareholders.* During fiscal 2013, our Board held nine meetings and each director attended at least 75 percent of the aggregate of (a) all meetings of the Board and (b) all meetings of the committees of the Board on which such director served. In addition, all members of the Board attended our annual meeting of shareholders on February 13, 2013. We strongly support and encourage each member of our Board to attend our annual meeting of shareholders.

### **Independence of Audit Committee Members, Financial Literacy and Audit Committee Financial Experts**

In addition to being declared as independent under the NYSE corporate governance standards, applicable NYSE and SEC rules and regulations require that each member of an audit committee satisfy additional independence and financial literacy requirements and at least one of these members must satisfy the additional requirement of having accounting or related financial management expertise. This additional requirement can be satisfied if the Board determines that at least one Audit Committee member is an audit committee financial expert, within the meaning of applicable SEC rules and regulations. Generally, the additional independence requirements provide that (i) a member of the Audit Committee, or his or her immediate family members, are prohibited from receiving any direct or indirect compensation or fee from the Company or its affiliates and (ii) he or she may not be an affiliated person of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. An immediate family member is

## **Table of Contents**

defined by applicable NYSE rules to include a director's spouse, parents, children, siblings and in-laws of the director, as well as anyone else (other than any domestic employee) who shares the director's home.

Generally, the financial literacy requirements provide that the Board, in its business judgment, shall determine if each member is financially literate, taking into account factors such as the member's education, experience and ability to read and understand financial statements of public companies. Audit committee financial experts must have the following five additional attributes: (i) an understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements, (ii) the ability to assess the general application of such principles in connection with the accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves, (iii) experience preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company's financial statements, or experience actively supervising one or more persons engaged in such activities, (iv) an understanding of internal control over financial reporting and (v) an understanding of how an audit committee functions.

Based on its review of the independence, financial literacy and audit committee financial expert requirements previously discussed, as well as its review of their individual backgrounds and qualifications, the Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee satisfy the additional independence and financial literacy requirements required by the SEC and NYSE for members of an audit committee. The Board has also designated Ms. Quinn and Messrs. Sampson and Ware each as an audit committee financial expert, as such term is defined by applicable rules and regulations of the SEC. As provided by the safe harbor contained in applicable SEC rules and regulations, our audit committee financial experts will not be deemed experts for any purpose as a result of being so designated. In addition, such designation does not impose on such persons any duties, obligations or liabilities that are greater than the duties, obligations and liabilities imposed on such persons as members of the Audit Committee or the Board in the absence of such designation. This designation also does not affect the duties, obligations or liabilities of any other member of the Audit Committee or the Board.

## **Independence of Human Resources Committee Members**

The Board has affirmatively determined that each member of the HR Committee has no relationship to the Company which is material to that director's ability to be independent from management of the Company in connection with the duties of an HR Committee member. In doing so, the Board considered all factors set forth in the NYSE corporate governance standards (and any exceptions thereto) and any other relevant factor, including, but not limited to (i) the source of all compensation paid by the Company to each member of the HR Committee during fiscal 2013, including any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fees and (ii) whether each HR Committee member is affiliated with the Company, a subsidiary of the Company or an affiliate of a subsidiary of the Company.

## **Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy**

The Audit Committee has adopted a pre-approval policy relating to the provision of both audit and non-audit services by Ernst & Young. Our Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy provides for the pre-approval of audit, audit-related, tax and other services specifically described in appendices to the



## **Table of Contents**

policy on an annual basis. Such services are pre-approved up to a specified fee limit. All other permitted services, as well as proposed services exceeding the pre-approved fee limit, must be separately pre-approved by the Audit Committee. Requests for services that require separate approval by the Audit Committee must be submitted to the Audit Committee by both our Chief Financial Officer and our independent registered public accounting firm and must include a joint statement as to whether, in their view, the request is consistent with the SEC's rules on auditor independence. The policy authorizes the Audit Committee to delegate to one or more of its members pre-approval authority with respect to permitted services. The Audit Committee did not delegate pre-approval authority to any members during fiscal 2013 and pre-approved all audit, audit-related and tax fees for services performed by Ernst & Young in fiscal 2013 in accordance with such pre-approval policy. The Audit Committee further concluded that the provision of these services by Ernst & Young was compatible with maintaining its independence. The Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy is available on the Corporate Governance page of our website.

## **Compensation Risk Assessment**

During fiscal 2013, the HR Committee engaged Pay Governance, which is the HR Committee's independent executive compensation consultant, to assist the committee in assessing the risk profile of the compensation plans of the Company. Pay Governance reviewed all of the compensation plans of the Company to gauge whether any compensation plan encourages employees to engage in excessively risky behaviors detrimental to the Company and its shareholders. Our two annual incentive compensation plans are the Variable Pay Plan ( VPP ) and the Incentive Plan. Pay Governance also evaluated our long-term incentive plan, the LTIP, which includes grants of both time-lapse RSUs and performance-based RSUs. The review by Pay Governance of these incentive plans included an evaluation of the plans' design features and provisions, including such provisions as the establishment of target levels, the determination of awards, the types of performance criteria measured, the capping of maximum award opportunities, the balance between annual and long-term opportunities, the role of the HR Committee in its governance and oversight and other issues. At the conclusion of its review and evaluation, Pay Governance reported to the HR Committee that none of these incentive compensation plans encourage our employees to engage in excessive risk-taking activities for short-term gains. In particular, Pay Governance reported that the features shown below help to mitigate any excessive risk-taking on the part of the participants in these plans:

Both the Incentive Plan and LTIP are designed to be performance-based plans, under which awards may be deductible as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code ), and which have objective, formulaic performance criteria that are reviewed and approved by the plans' governing authority (*i.e.*, the HR Committee) at the start of all performance periods under each plan.

Both annual incentive plans place a cap on the size of any cash awards earned by any single participant during the plan year.

Long-term incentives are granted each year to Incentive Plan participants to appropriately balance short-term interests with long-term value creation.

One-half of the value of the long-term incentive awards is represented by performance-based RSUs, which are tied to the three fiscal year cumulative earnings per share ( EPS ) performance for all participants; and for the members of the Management Committee only, the total shareholder return (share price appreciation plus accrued dividends) ( Total Shareholder Return or TSR ).

## **Table of Contents**

The Incentive Plan allows participants to make a voluntary conversion of annual cash awards, in 25 percent increments, to three-year time-lapse RSUs, with a 20 percent premium or bonus stock with a five percent premium.

Once the threshold levels are achieved, both the Incentive Plan and the LTIP use mathematical interpolation to calculate payouts between performance levels, thereby removing any payout cliffs.

All performance targets under the Incentive Plan and the LTIP, as well as the measurement of actual performance attained under each such target, excludes any mark-to-market gains or losses recognized by the Company's nonregulated operations.

Any potential severance compensation paid to an executive officer in the event of a change in control is subject to a double-trigger requirement and does not include any federal income tax gross-up payments for the purposes of excise tax settlements.

The Company has a policy for the recoupment of executive compensation ( clawback policy ) that provides for the repayment or forfeiture of any incentive awards, excluding base salary, earned due to fraud, misconduct, misstatement of financial results or other unethical behavior.

The Company has a policy that prohibits hedging transactions in the Company's shares of common stock by any employee or director.

All officers are subject to voluntary share ownership guidelines, which encourage the executives to own a specified number of Company shares commensurate with their positions with the Company, thereby aligning their interests with the long-term interests of shareholders and all other stakeholders.

Accordingly, the HR Committee has determined that neither of the Company's incentive compensation plans encourage our executive officers or other employees to take excessive risks and that the risks arising from these plans are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

## **PROPOSAL ONE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

### **Background**

The Board is nominating Ms. Quinn, Dr. Meredith and Messrs. Best, Cocklin, Douglas, Esquivel, Gordon, Grable, Sampson, Springer and Ware to continue serving as directors whose one-year terms will expire in 2015. All nominees were recommended for nomination by the Nominating and CG Committee of the Board. We did not pay a fee to any third party to identify, evaluate or assist in identifying or evaluating potential nominees for the Board. In addition, the Nominating and CG Committee did not receive any recommendations from a shareholder or a group of shareholders who, individually or in the aggregate, beneficially owned greater than five percent of our common stock for at least one year.

The names, ages, biographical summaries and qualifications of the persons who have been nominated to serve as our directors are set forth under *Nominees for Director*, beginning on page 19. Each of the nominees has consented to be a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. If we receive proxies that are signed but do not specify how to vote, we will vote those shares FOR all of the nominees. In accordance with Texas and Virginia law, to be elected as a director, our bylaws require a

## **Table of Contents**

nominee to receive the vote of a majority of the shares of our common stock entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy at a meeting of shareholders at which a quorum is present.

### **Procedures for Nomination of Candidates for Director**

There are no differences in the manner in which the Nominating and CG Committee evaluates nominees for director based on whether or not the nominee is presented by a shareholder. All director candidates shall, at a minimum, possess the qualifications for director discussed below. According to our bylaws, any shareholder may make nominations for the election of directors if notice of such nominations is delivered to, or mailed and received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company at our principal executive offices, not less than 60 days nor more than 85 days prior to the date of the originally scheduled meeting. However, if less than 75 days notice or prior public disclosure of the date of the meeting is given by the Company, notice of such nominations must be so received no later than the close of business on the 25th day following the earlier of the day on which notice of the meeting was sent or the day on which such public disclosure was made. Since we are providing less than 75 days notice or prior public disclosure of the date of the 2014 annual meeting, shareholders may make nominations for the election of directors at the 2014 annual meeting, if notice of such nominations is delivered to, or mailed and received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company at our principal executive offices no later than the close of business on January 14, 2014, the 25th day following the day on which notice of the meeting is to be sent, December 20, 2013. If no nominations are so made, only the nominations made by the Board of Directors may be voted upon at the 2014 annual meeting.

Each notice of a director nomination should include the following: (i) name, address and number of shares owned by the nominating shareholder, (ii) the nominee's name and address, (iii) a listing of the nominee's background and qualifications, (iv) a description of all arrangements between such shareholder and each nominee and any other person and (v) all other information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in the solicitations for proxies for election of directors under applicable SEC and NYSE rules and regulations. A signed statement from the nominee should accompany the notice of nomination indicating that he or she consents to being considered as a nominee and that, if nominated by the Board and elected by the shareholders, he or she will serve as a director.

### **Qualifications for Directors**

The Nominating and CG Committee uses a variety of methods to identify nominees for director, including considering potential director candidates who come to the committee's attention through current officers, directors, professional search firms, shareholders or other persons. Nominees for director must possess, at a minimum, the level of education, experience, sophistication and expertise required to perform the duties of a member of the board of directors of a public company of our size and scope. Once a person is nominated, the committee will assess the qualifications of the nominee, including an evaluation of his or her judgment and skills. The Board has adopted guidelines outlining the qualifications sought when considering non-employee director nominees, which are discussed in our Guidelines posted on the Corporate Governance page of our website.

Based on the Guidelines, the specific qualifications and skills the Board seeks across its membership to achieve a balance of experiences important to the Company include, but are not limited to, outstanding achievement in personal careers; prior board experience; wisdom, integrity and ability to make independent, analytical inquiries; understanding of our business environment; and a willingness to devote adequate time to Board duties. Other required specific qualifications and skills

## Table of Contents

include a basic understanding of principal operational and financial objectives and plans and strategies of a corporation or organization of our stature; results of operations and financial condition of an organization and of any significant subsidiaries or business segments and a relative understanding of an organization and its business segments in relation to its competitors.

The Board is committed to diversified membership and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion or disability in selecting nominees. Although the Board has not established a formal policy on diversity, the Board and the committee believe it is important that our directors represent diverse viewpoints and backgrounds. Our Guidelines provide that the committee shall evaluate each director's continued service on the Board, at least annually, by considering the appropriate skills and characteristics of members of the Board of Directors in the context of the then current makeup of the Board. This assessment includes the following factors: diversity (including diversity of skills, background and experience); age; business or professional background; financial literacy and expertise; availability and commitment; independence and other criteria that the committee or the full Board finds to be relevant. It is also the practice of the committee to consider these factors when screening and evaluating candidates for nomination to the Board.

### **Nominees for Director**

Each of the following current directors has been nominated to serve an additional one-year term on the Board of Directors with such term expiring in 2015.

**Robert W. Best**, Chairman of the Board of Atmos Energy since April 2013; formerly Executive Chairman of the Board of Atmos Energy from October 2010 through March 2013; Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Atmos Energy from October 2008 to September 2010 and Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Atmos Energy from March 1997 to September 2008; currently a director of Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Limited. Mr. Best, 67, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 1997.

Mr. Best led the senior management team of Atmos Energy, from March 1997 until his retirement as Chief Executive Officer on September 30, 2010. Prior to joining Atmos Energy, Mr. Best had an extensive background in the natural gas industry, especially in the interstate pipeline, gas marketing and gas distribution segments of the industry, while serving in leadership roles at Consolidated Natural Gas Company, Transco Energy Company and Texas Gas Transmission Corporation during his almost 40 year career. Mr. Best also has outside board experience as a member of the boards of the Maguire Energy Institute in the Cox School of Business at Southern Methodist University, Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Limited and the Gas Technology Institute, with leadership experience as chairman of the boards of Atmos Energy, American Gas Association, Southern Gas Association and Dallas Regional Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Best's knowledge and expertise in the energy industry and leadership abilities developed while with Atmos Energy, other energy companies and industry associations, as well as his demonstration of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, has led the Board to nominate Mr. Best to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Table of Contents**

**Kim R. Cocklin**, President and Chief Executive Officer of Atmos Energy since October 2010; formerly President and Chief Operating Officer of Atmos Energy from October 2008 through September 2010 and Senior Vice President, Regulated Operations of Atmos Energy from June 2006 through September 2008. Mr. Cocklin, 62, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2009.

Mr. Cocklin was promoted to lead Atmos Energy as President and Chief Executive Officer in October 2010 and has been on the Company's senior management team since June 2006. Mr. Cocklin has over 31 years of experience in the natural gas industry, most of that serving in senior management positions at Atmos Energy, Piedmont Natural Gas Company and The Williams Companies. Mr. Cocklin has a strong background in the natural gas industry, including interstate pipeline companies, local distribution companies and gas treatment facilities. He also has extensive experience in rates and regulatory matters, business development and Sarbanes-Oxley compliance matters. Mr. Cocklin has held leadership roles within leading natural gas industry associations, including the Southern Gas Association and American Gas Association. Due to his professional experience in the energy industry and leadership roles with Atmos Energy, other energy companies and industry associations, as well as possessing those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Cocklin to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Richard W. Douglas**, Executive Vice President for Jones Lang LaSalle LLC in Dallas, Texas since July 2008. Mr. Douglas, 66, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2007.

Mr. Douglas gained leadership experience with Jones Lang LaSalle LLC, a global real estate management and investment firm and developed business and strategic planning expertise while at The Staubach Company, a nationally renowned real estate brokerage and services firm with international partnerships. Mr. Douglas also possesses outside board experience on numerous civic and nonprofit boards such as the United Way of Metropolitan Dallas and the Greater Dallas Chamber of Commerce. As a result of Mr. Douglas' experience as a leader in commercial real estate and numerous non-profit community organizations, his expertise in business and strategic planning, as well as his exhibition of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Douglas to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Table of Contents**

**Ruben E. Esquivel**, Vice President for Community and Corporate Relations for UT Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas, Texas since December 1995. Mr. Esquivel, 70, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2008.

Mr. Esquivel has led the community and corporate relations efforts for UT Southwestern, one of the nation's leading academic medical and research institutions, for the past 18 years. During his 34-year career with AVO International, Mr. Esquivel gained valuable leadership and managerial experience. Mr. Esquivel also has served as a leader on the boards of publicly-held and non-profit organizations, including his past appointment as chairman of the Texas Guaranteed Student Loan Corporation, and chairman of several boards including the Dallas County Hospital District, North Texas Commission and YMCA of Metropolitan Dallas. As a result of his business and leadership experience with AVO International and UT Southwestern as well as leadership experience on the boards of other publicly-held and non-profit corporations, in addition to displaying those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Esquivel to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Richard K. Gordon**, General Partner of Juniper Capital LP in Houston, Texas since March 2003 and General Partner of Juniper Energy LP in Houston, Texas since August 2006; currently a director of ExoStat Medical, Inc. and Resuscitation International, LLC. Mr. Gordon, 64, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2001.

For both Juniper Capital LP and Juniper Energy LP, Mr. Gordon has been responsible for managing a portfolio comprised of approximately \$2 billion of power generation, mineral, oil and gas, natural gas gathering and oilfield services assets for more than 10 years. Prior to working with Juniper Capital and Juniper Energy, Mr. Gordon spent 29 years working with such financial services firms as Dillon, Read & Co., The First Boston Corporation and Merrill Lynch & Co. At such firms, Mr. Gordon was responsible for investment banking activities related to energy and power companies, including natural gas distribution companies. Based upon his extensive business experience in investment banking and the energy industry and his in-depth leadership experience, including chairing the HR Committee, as well as possessing those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Gordon to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Table of Contents**

**Robert C. Grable**, founding Partner, Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP, Fort Worth, Texas since April 1979. Mr. Grable, 67, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2009.

Mr. Grable possesses advanced leadership skills developed as partner and one of seven founders of Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP, a large regional law firm. Mr. Grable has extensive experience in representing companies in the oil and gas industry, having represented oil and gas producers, pipelines and utilities in transactions, regulatory matters and litigation, for over 33 years. Mr. Grable also has outside board experience as a Trustee of the University of Texas Law School Foundation and as an advisory board member for the local division of a global financial services firm. Mr. Grable is also a member of the McDonald Observatory and Astronomy Board of Visitors at the University of Texas at Austin. As a result of his extensive legal experience with clients in the energy industry and leadership experience with boards of for-profit and non-profit corporations, as well as possessing those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Grable to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Thomas C. Meredith, Ed.D**, President, Effective Leadership LLC from April 2009 to present; formerly Commissioner of Mississippi Institutions of Higher Learning in Jackson, Mississippi from October 2005 until November 2008. Dr. Meredith, 72, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 1995.

Dr. Meredith has exhibited leadership skills over the past 16 years as an administrative and financial consultant to university boards and presidents, Commissioner of Mississippi Institutions of Higher Learning, Chancellor of the University System of Georgia and Chancellor of the University of Alabama System. He also led an economic development task force for the State of Alabama, which led to the implementation of a major economic development plan for that state. Dr. Meredith is a recognized consultant in executive leadership and board development matters and he has experience in supervising executive level accounting staff, which has added to his financial and macroeconomic knowledge and related skills. Dr. Meredith's in-depth experience with management and strategic planning, his leadership abilities and his display of the attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section have resulted in the Board's nomination of Dr. Meredith to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Table of Contents**

**Nancy K. Quinn**, Independent energy consultant in East Hampton, New York and Key Biscayne, Florida since July 1996; currently a director and chair of the audit committee of Endeavour International Corporation, and a director of Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc., both New York Stock Exchange companies. Ms. Quinn, 60, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2004.

Ms. Quinn provides senior financial and strategic advice, primarily to clients in the energy and natural resources industries. Prior to 1996, Ms. Quinn held a senior advisory role with the Beacon Group, focusing on energy industry private equity opportunities and merger and acquisition transactions. Ms. Quinn gained extensive experience in independent exploration and production, as well as in diversified natural gas and oilfield service sectors, while holding leadership positions at such firms as PaineWebber Incorporated and Kidder, Peabody & Co. Incorporated. Ms. Quinn has corporate governance leadership experience as chair of the audit committee of Endeavour International and has outside board experience as a member of the boards of Endeavour International and Helix Energy Solutions Group. Ms. Quinn was also previously a member of the boards of Louis Dreyfus Natural Gas and DeepTech International. The Board has nominated Ms. Quinn, based upon her considerable experience in the natural gas industry, her demonstrated leadership abilities as a board leader in several public companies and her exhibition of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**Richard A. Sampson**, General Partner and Founder of RS Core Capital, LLC, a registered investment advisory firm since March 2012; formerly Managing Director and Client Adviser of JPMorgan Chase & Co. in Denver, Colorado from May 2006 to May 2012. Mr. Sampson, 63, has been a director of Atmos Energy since May 2012.

Mr. Sampson held a senior role in which he gained extensive knowledge of portfolio management, investment concepts, strategies and analytical methodologies. Mr. Sampson's 26 years in investment management has provided him with an understanding of global and domestic macroeconomics and capital market issues, financial markets, securities and a solid understanding of state and federal laws, regulations and policies. In addition to his display of the attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, his substantial experience in investment management and his knowledge of complex financial transactions, the Board has nominated Mr. Sampson to continue to serve as a director of Atmos Energy.



---

**Table of Contents**

**Stephen R. Springer**, Retired. Currently a director of DCP Midstream Partners, LP. Mr. Springer, 67, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2005.

Mr. Springer's professional career includes 32 years in the regulated and nonregulated energy industry, while holding leadership roles at Texas Gas Transmission Corporation, Transco Energy Company and The Williams Companies. Mr. Springer's knowledge of the natural gas industry includes natural gas transmission, marketing, supply, transportation, business development, distribution and gathering and processing segments of the industry. Mr. Springer also has outside board experience as a member of the boards of DCP Midstream Partners, LP and the Indiana University Foundation. The Board has nominated Mr. Springer to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy in light of his considerable experience in the natural gas industry, his leadership abilities developed while with The Williams Companies and service on the boards of other public companies, as well as his exhibition of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section.

**Richard Ware II**, President of Amarillo National Bank in Amarillo, Texas since 1981. Mr. Ware, 67, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 1994.

Mr. Ware has developed substantial knowledge of the financial services industry during his 42-year career with a nationally recognized banking institution. Mr. Ware has a strong background in assessing and overseeing complex financial matters, leadership experience in supervising principal financial officers and experience on the audit or finance committees of Atmos Energy, Southwest Coca Cola Bottling Company and the board of trustees of Southern Methodist University. Due to his valuable insight into financial-related matters gained through his extensive banking industry experience and demonstrated leadership, particularly in his past and present directorships, as well as his demonstration of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Ware to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS**

**VOTE FOR EACH OF THE ABOVE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR.**

**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

**Annual Compensation**

As compensation for serving as a director during fiscal 2013, each of our non-employee directors received an annual retainer of \$75,000, payable in advance on a quarterly basis. Beginning on April 1, 2013, Mr. Best has received an annual fee of \$125,000, payable in advance on a quarterly basis, for the additional services he has performed in connection with being the Chairman of the Board. In addition, since January 2013, our Lead Director, Ms. Quinn, has received an annual fee of \$25,000, also payable in advance on a quarterly basis, for additional services she has performed in connection with being the Lead Director. Committee chairpersons are paid an additional annual fee of \$7,500 for additional services performed in connection with their committee duties and responsibilities.

## **Table of Contents**

The Company also provides our non-employee directors the option to receive all or part of their director fees (in 10 percent increments) in Atmos Energy common stock through the Atmos Energy Corporation Outside Directors Stock-for-Fee Plan ( Stock-for-Fee Plan ). The purpose of this plan is to increase the proprietary interest of our non-employee directors in the Company s long-term prospects and the strategic growth of our business. The common stock portion of the payment of the fee earned in each quarter is issued as soon as possible following the first business day of each quarter. The number of shares issued is equal to the amount of the fee that would have been paid to the non-employee director during a quarter divided by the fair market value (mean of the highest and lowest prices as reported on the NYSE Consolidated Tape) on the first business day of such quarter. Only whole numbers of shares of common stock may be issued; fractional shares are paid in cash.

With respect to other director compensation matters, all directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with attendance at Board and committee meetings. A director who is also an officer or employee receives no compensation for his or her service as a director. We provide business travel accident insurance for non-employee directors and their spouses. The policy provides \$100,000 coverage to directors and \$50,000 coverage to their spouses per accident while traveling on Company business.

## **Long-Term Compensation**

Each non-employee director participates in the Atmos Energy Corporation Equity Incentive and Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors ( Directors Plan ). This plan allows each such director to defer receipt of his or her annual retainer fee or other director fees and to invest such deferred fees in either a cash account or a stock account (in 10 percent increments). The Directors Plan is intended to encourage qualified individuals to accept nominations as directors of the Company and to better align the interests between the non-employee directors and the Company s other shareholders.

The amount of the fee allocated as a credit to the cash account is converted to a cash balance as of the first business day of each quarter to be credited with interest at a rate equal to 2.5 percent plus the annual yield reported on a 10-year U.S. Treasury Note for the first business day of January for each plan year. Interest on the accumulated balance of the cash account is payable monthly. The amount of the fee allocated as a credit to the stock account is converted to share units. The fee payable for the quarter is converted to a number of whole and, if applicable, fractional share units on the first business day of that quarter. Share units are also credited with dividend equivalents whenever dividends are declared on shares of the Company s common stock. Such dividend equivalent credits are converted to whole and, if applicable, fractional share units on the same day on which such dividends are paid. At the time of a participating director s retirement, plan benefits paid from the cash account are paid in the form of cash. Plan benefits paid from the stock account are paid in the form of shares of common stock equal in number to whole share units in the director s stock account. Any fractional share units are rounded up to a whole share unit prior to distribution. Each non-employee director also receives an annual grant of share units (currently 3,000 units) under the LTIP each year he or she serves on the Company s Board of Directors. The grants generally occur on the 30 day following the Company s annual meeting of shareholders each year.

**Table of Contents****Summary of Cash and Other Compensation**

The following table sets forth all compensation paid to our non-employee directors for fiscal 2013:

**Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013(a)**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(b)	Stock Awards \$(c)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$(d)	All Other Compensation \$(e)	Total (\$)
Robert W. Best(f)	100,000	128,919			228,919
Richard W. Douglas	75,000	148,740			223,740
Ruben E. Esquivel	45,000	144,383	3,871		193,254
Richard K. Gordon	82,500	167,933			250,433
Robert C. Grable	52,561	162,687			215,248
Dr. Thomas C. Meredith	82,500	184,632	2,161		269,293
Nancy K. Quinn	81,000	184,979	3		265,982
Richard A. Sampson	75,000	126,552			201,552
Stephen R. Springer	75,000	156,196			231,196
Charles K. Vaughan(g)	26,875	122,420		22,456	171,751
Richard Ware II	24,853	239,952			264,805

- (a) No options were awarded to our directors and no non-equity incentive plan compensation was earned by our directors in fiscal 2013.
- (b) Mr. Best received a pro rata portion of both his annual retainer of \$75,000 and additional annual fee of \$125,000 for serving as Chairman. Non-employee directors may defer all or a part of their annual cash retainer under our Directors Plan. During fiscal 2013, \$50,250 of the total amount payable for directors fees was deferred, at the election of two of our directors, under our Directors Plan. Ms. Quinn and Mr. Esquivel elected to defer all or a portion of their director fees in fiscal 2013, as described in the table below. Deferred amounts are invested, at the election of the participating director, either in a stock account or a cash account. Although Dr. Meredith did not participate in the deferred compensation feature of the Directors Plan in fiscal 2013, his accumulated balance associated with participation in previous years has continued to earn interest payable monthly.
- (c) The amounts in this column represent the fair market value on the date of grant, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of the share units awarded to our directors under our LTIP for service on our Board in fiscal 2013. The grants do not contain restrictions and were awarded to Ms. Quinn, Dr. Meredith, and Messrs. Douglas, Esquivel, Gordon, Grable, Sampson, Springer and Ware on March 15, 2013 at a fair market value of \$41.13 per share and to Mr. Best on April 1, 2013 at a fair market value of \$42.27 per share.
- The amounts shown above also reflect the fair market value of shares of stock issued under our Stock-for-Fee Plan to Messrs. Grable and Ware, who elected to receive all or a portion of their director's fees in the form of shares of stock in lieu of cash retainers for service on our Board in fiscal 2013. These shares also do not contain any restrictions. Shares are awarded on the first trading day of the quarter in which such fees were earned at the fair market value on that date. As a result, shares were issued to Messrs. Grable and Ware on the following dates and at the following fair market values during fiscal 2013: (i) October 1, 2012, with a fair market value of \$35.48 per share; (ii) January 2, 2013, with a fair market value of \$35.70 per share; (iii) April 1, 2013, with a fair market value of \$42.27 per share and (iv) July 1, 2013, with a fair market value of \$40.94 per share.
- (d) The amounts in this column represent the amount of above-market interest earned during fiscal 2013 on the accumulated amount of Board fees deferred to cash accounts. Interest considered above-market is the incremental rate of interest earned above 120 percent of the 10-year U.S. Treasury Note rate, which is reset on January 1 each year.

## Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (e) No director received perquisites and other personal benefits with an aggregate value equal to or exceeding \$10,000 during fiscal 2013 other than Mr. Vaughan, who retired from the Board on December 27, 2012. Mr. Vaughan received perquisites and other personal benefits primarily related to retirement gifts in recognition of his long and valued service of over fifty years to the Company. Mr. Vaughan also received perquisites and other benefits related to his personal use of tickets to major league sporting events in Dallas, Texas, which are generally available to all our directors, officers and other employees of the Company. All perquisites and other benefits were valued at the aggregate incremental cost to the Company.

**Table of Contents**

- (f) Mr. Best, who was appointed Chairman of the Board, effective April 1, 2013, also received compensation from the Company as an executive officer during the fiscal year in connection with his service as Executive Chairman from October 1, 2012 until April 1, 2013. See the table below for the components of such compensation:

Name	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards \$(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$(2)	All Other Compensation \$(3)	Total (\$)
Robert W. Best(4)	2013	280,402	118,701	417,355	185,629	1,002,087

- (1) Valuation of stock awards based on grant date fair value of time-lapse RSUs and performance-based RSUs granted during fiscal 2013. At September 30, 2013, Mr. Best had the following outstanding incentive awards that will vest and be distributed through April 2016: 99,377 time-lapse RSUs and 11,453 performance-based RSUs.
- (2) Reflects pro-rated payment attributable to performance achieved at the level of 150 percent of target EPS in fiscal 2013 under our Incentive Plan.
- (3) Includes cash dividend equivalents paid on time-lapse RSUs, the cost of financial planning services, Company contributions to our RSP and the cost of the term life insurance premium.
- (4) In addition to the compensation described above, Mr. Best received a lump sum payout of \$16,815,547 in payment of his accumulated benefits under the Supplemental Executive Benefits Plan and a lump sum payout of \$601,474 in payment of his accumulated benefits under the Pension Account Plan, both in connection with his retirement as Executive Chairman, effective April 1, 2013.
- (g) In addition to the compensation described above, Mr. Vaughan received a distribution of 55,077 shares of common stock attributable to share units he had accumulated in his Stock Account under the Directors Plan at a fair market value of \$34,785 on December 27, 2012 or a total value of \$1,915,853, in connection with his retirement from the Board of Directors, effective December 27, 2012.

**Director Deferred Board Fees**

The following table sets forth, for each participating non-employee director, the amount of director compensation deferred during fiscal 2013 and cumulative deferred compensation as of September 30, 2013:

**Director Deferred Board Fees for Fiscal Year 2013**

Name	Board Fees Deferred to Stock Account \$(a)	Dividend Equivalents Earned on Stock Account and Reinvested \$(b)	Cumulative Board Fees Deferred to Stock Account at September 30 (\$)	Board Fees Deferred to Cash Account (\$)	Interest Earned on Cash Account \$(c)	Cumulative Board Fees Deferred to Cash Account at September 30 (\$)
Ruben E. Esquivel				30,000	7,672	190,638
Dr. Thomas C. Meredith		2,935	49,520		4,286	100,124
Nancy K. Quinn	20,250	4,643	105,638		6	131

- (a) Ms. Quinn elected to receive 20 percent of her director fees in deferred stock for fiscal 2013. The \$20,250 amount represents 525 share units received in fiscal 2013. Deferrals of amounts in the stock account are treated as though the deferred amounts are invested in our common stock at the fair market value of the shares on the date earned. Shares of our common stock equal to the number of share units in a director's stock account are issued to such director on the last day of the director's service or a later date selected by the director.

## Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (b) Dividend equivalents earned on amounts of share units in the stock account are reinvested in additional share units based on the fair market value of the shares on the dividend payment date. Such fair market values for fiscal 2013 were as follows: \$35.77 on December 10, 2012; \$41.01 on March 18, 2013; \$42.09 on June 3, 2013 and \$39.49 on September 9, 2013.
  
- (c) The amounts in this column represent interest earned on the accumulated amount of board fees deferred to the cash account during fiscal 2013, including deferrals made to the cash account in fiscal 2013, at a rate equal to the 10-year U.S. Treasury Note rate on the first day of each plan year (January 1) plus 250 basis points.

**Table of Contents****Director Share Units and Stock-for-Fee Awards**

The following table sets forth the number of share units issued to our non-employee directors during fiscal 2013 for service on our Board or a Board committee and the number of share units earned as dividend equivalents during fiscal 2013 on the accumulated balances of share units for each director. The table also shows the amount of shares granted to directors in fiscal 2013 who elected to take all or a portion of their directors fees in stock under our Stock-for-Fee Plan.

**Director Share Units and Stock-for-Fee Awards for Fiscal Year 2013(a)**

Name	Share Units Awarded#(b)	Share Units Earned as Dividend Equivalents#(c)	Shares Received as Stock-for- Fee Awards#(d)	Aggregate Grant Date Fair Value(\$)
Robert W. Best	3,000	52		128,919
Richard W. Douglas	3,000	640		148,740
Ruben E. Esquivel	3,000	530		144,383
Richard K. Gordon	3,000	1,127		167,933
Robert C. Grable	3,000	425	585	162,687
Dr. Thomas C. Meredith	3,000	1,557		184,632
Nancy K. Quinn	3,525	1,048		184,979
Richard A. Sampson	3,000	78		126,552
Stephen R. Springer	3,000	829		156,196
Charles K. Vaughan	3,000	505		122,420
Richard Ware II	3,000	1,491	1,503	239,952

- (a) All awards of share units under our LTIP vest immediately upon grant. Accordingly, no unvested awards of share units are presented in this table.
- (b) This amount represents annual grants of share units awarded to each non-employee director under our LTIP generally on the 30th calendar day following our annual meeting and the amount of share units held in a stock account, which reflect the portion of director fees elected by the non-employee director for conversion to deferred share units as indicated in the *Director Deferred Board Fees for Fiscal Year 2013*, table on page 27. The share units are converted to common stock on a one-for-one basis at the time of retirement from our Board and directors have the option to take distribution in a single lump sum or in up to five annual installments. Mr. Best received his grant in connection with his appointment as Chairman of the Board on April 1, 2013 and Mr. Vaughan received his grant in connection with his retirement from the Board on December 27, 2012.
- (c) Dividend equivalents earned on amounts of share units in the stock account are reinvested in additional share units based on the fair market value of the shares on the dividend payment date. Such fair market values for fiscal 2013 were as follows: \$35.77 on December 10, 2012; \$41.01 on March 18, 2013; \$42.09 on June 3, 2013 and \$39.49 on September 9, 2013.
- (d) As discussed in footnote (c) to the *Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2013* table on page 26, shares received as Stock-for-Fee awards are issued on the first trading day of the quarter in which they are earned and the number of shares awarded equals the amount of fees divided by the fair market value on that date. Only whole shares are issued; fractional shares are paid in cash. Such fair market values for fiscal 2013 were as follows: \$35.48 on October 1, 2012; \$35.70 on January 2, 2013; \$42.27 on April 1, 2013 and \$40.94 on July 1, 2013.

**Table of Contents**

**PROPOSAL TWO RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF  
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed Ernst & Young to continue as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2014. The firm of Ernst & Young (and its predecessors) has been our independent registered public accounting firm since our incorporation in 1983. It is expected that representatives of Ernst & Young will be present at the annual meeting. The representatives of Ernst & Young will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

As a matter of good corporate governance, the Company submits the Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young as its independent registered public accounting firm to our shareholders for ratification each year. If the appointment of Ernst & Young is not so ratified, the Audit Committee will take into account the outcome of the vote in its future selection of an independent registered public accounting firm.

**Audit and Related Fees**

Fees for professional services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young, in each of the last two fiscal years, in each of the following categories are:

	<b>September 30</b>	
	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
	<b>(\$ In thousands)</b>	
Audit Fees	3,469	3,203
Audit-Related Fees		
Tax Fees	48	44
All Other Fees		
<b>Total Fees</b>	<b>3,517</b>	<b>3,247</b>

*Audit Fees.* Fees for audit services include fees associated with the annual audit, the assessment by the firm of our design and operating effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and the reviews of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q.

*Tax Fees.* Tax fees include fees relating to reviews of tax returns, tax consulting and assistance with sales and use tax filings and audits.

**Audit Committee Report**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors is composed of five directors who are independent directors as required by and in compliance with all applicable corporate governance standards of the NYSE, as well as all applicable rules and regulations of the SEC, as discussed in the *Corporate Governance and Other Board Matters* section of this proxy statement. The Audit Committee acts under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, which sets forth its detailed responsibilities and duties, as well as requirements for the Audit Committee's composition and meetings. A copy of the charter is available on the Corporate Governance page of the Company's website.



## **Table of Contents**

The primary purpose of the Audit Committee is to oversee the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board of Directors. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the financial reporting processes of the Company, including systems of internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. Ernst & Young is responsible for (i) expressing an opinion, based on its audit, as to the conformity of the audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles and (ii) expressing an opinion, based on its audit, on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements appearing in the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K with both management and Ernst & Young, which included a discussion of the critical accounting policies and practices used by the Company, and alternative treatments of financial information within generally accepted accounting principles, if any, and their effects, including the treatments preferred by the independent registered public accounting firm, if applicable. In addition, the Committee reviewed all other material communications between the Company and Ernst & Young.

Management has represented to the Audit Committee that the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective. The Audit Committee then reviewed and discussed management's assessment with management and Ernst & Young. The Audit Committee also discussed with Ernst & Young its report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting as well as the matters required to be discussed under generally accepted auditing standards, including those matters set forth in Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communication with Audit Committees*, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB).

In addition, the Audit Committee has received and reviewed the written disclosures and letter from Ernst & Young, which are required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and discussed with Ernst & Young the firm's independence. The Audit Committee also received and reviewed those disclosures related to the independence of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm required by the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related rules and regulations of the SEC. In addition, the Audit Committee has considered the fees paid to Ernst & Young during the last fiscal year for audit and non-audit services and has determined that the non-audit services provided are compatible with the firm's independence and are in compliance with applicable law.

The Audit Committee has also discussed with KPMG, which provides internal audit services to the Company, and Ernst & Young, the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Committee periodically meets with both firms, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, the assessments of the Company's internal control over financial reporting and the overall quality of the Company's financial reporting.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors (which the Board has approved) that the Company's audited financial statements be included in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2013 for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee has also appointed Ernst & Young as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2014 fiscal year, which appointment will be submitted to our shareholders for their ratification at our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders.

## **Table of Contents**

Respectfully submitted by the members of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors:

Nancy K. Quinn, Chair

Ruben E. Esquivel

Robert C. Grable

Richard A. Sampson

Richard Ware II

As discussed in *Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy* on page 15, all professional services provided by Ernst & Young were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with its pre-approval policy.

### **THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR FISCAL 2014.**

#### **PROPOSAL THREE NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE**

#### **ON APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### **Background of the Proposal**

We are required by Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act to hold a separate non-binding, advisory shareholder vote to approve the compensation of our executive officers as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the executive compensation tables and any related information in our proxy statement (commonly known as the Say on Pay proposal). At our annual meeting of shareholders on February 9, 2011, our shareholders voted overwhelmingly to adopt the recommendation of our Board to vote on the Say on Pay proposal every year at our annual meeting. As a result, we will submit our Say on Pay proposal to our shareholders at each annual meeting until we are required to submit to our shareholders within the next three years another proposal on the frequency of the vote on the Say on Pay proposal.

#### **Executive Compensation**

As discussed below in the *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* section of this proxy statement, beginning on page 35, the Board believes that our current executive compensation program directly links executive compensation to our financial performance and aligns the interests of our executive officers with those of our shareholders. Our Board also believes that our executive compensation program provides our executive officers with a balanced compensation package that includes a reasonable base salary along with annual and long-term incentive compensation plans that are based on the Company's financial performance. As discussed below in *Elements of Executive Compensation* beginning on page 38, for fiscal 2013, about 81 percent of our President and Chief Executive Officer's actual total direct compensation was performance-based, while the average for the other named executive officers was about 70 percent. These incentive plans are designed to reward our executive officers on both an annual and long-term basis if they attain specified target goals, the attainment of which do not require the taking of an unreasonable amount of risk, as discussed below in *Compensation Risk Assessment*, beginning on page 16. Our shareholders overwhelmingly approved this executive compensation philosophy when they approved the executive compensation of our named executive officers at our last annual meeting with a positive vote of over 96 percent. See *Additional Information on Executive Compensation*, beginning on page 43.

---

**Table of Contents**

The HR Committee periodically reviews the Company's overall approach to executive compensation to see that the Company's current benefits, perquisites, policies and practices continue to be in line with the best practices of companies in the natural gas distribution industry and in Fortune 500 companies and to assist us with the hiring and retention of a high-quality management team. As a result, during the past several years, (as discussed below in *Compensation Discussion and Analysis*, beginning on page 35) the HR Committee recommended changes to our executive compensation program, which changes were approved by the Board, as follows:

Reduction in premium paid from 50 percent to 20 percent of the value at the date of grant of time-lapse RSUs granted to participants in our Incentive Plan who elect to convert all or a portion of their incentive payments to such equity grants. Also, reduction in premium from 10 percent to five percent of the value at the date grant of shares of bonus stock granted to participants who elect to convert all or a portion of their incentive payments to such equity grants;

Exclusion from the performance targets and actual performance attainment for both the Incentive Plan and performance-based RSUs granted under the LTIP of any mark-to-market gains or losses recognized by the Company's nonregulated operations;

Imposition of a limit, at the target level of performance, on both short-term and long-term incentive compensation paid to our named executive officers if our Total Shareholder Return during the fiscal year or during the three fiscal year performance period is negative;

Adoption of a clawback policy, which provides for the recoupment by the Company under certain circumstances of all incentive compensation paid to all our corporate officers and division presidents; and

Adoption of a policy that prohibits hedging transactions in our common stock by employees and directors of Atmos Energy.

The *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* discussion, beginning on page 35, includes additional details about our executive compensation program. This Say on Pay proposal is set forth in the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Atmos Energy Corporation approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of its named executive officers for fiscal 2013, as disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and any related information found in the proxy statement of Atmos Energy Corporation.

Because your vote on this proposal is advisory, it will not be binding on the Board or the Company. However, the HR Committee and the Board of Directors will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF EXECUTIVE  
COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS,  
  
AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT, PURSUANT TO THE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE  
RULES OF THE SECURITIES AND  
  
EXCHANGE COMMISSION.**

**Table of Contents****BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK****Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

The following table lists the beneficial ownership, as of November 29, 2013, with respect to each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of any class of our voting securities:

<b>Title of Class</b>	<b>Name and Address of Beneficial Owner</b>	<b>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership</b>	<b>Percent (%) of Class(a)</b>
Common stock	BlackRock, Inc.(b)  40 East 52 <sup>nd</sup> Street  New York, NY 10022	5,231,899	5.75%
Common stock	The Vanguard Group(c)  100 Vanguard Blvd.  Malvern, PA 19355	5,032,708	5.53%
Common stock	State Street Corporation(d)  State Street Financial Center  Boston, MA 02111	5,018,377	5.52%

(a) The percent of voting securities is based on the number of outstanding shares of our common stock as of November 29, 2013.

(b) Based solely upon information contained in the most recently filed Schedule 13G/A, which was filed with the SEC on February 8, 2013, in which BlackRock, Inc. reported that as of December 31, 2012, it held all of its shares as a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act. BlackRock Inc. has not subsequently filed any Schedules G or amendments thereto with respect to its beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock.

(c) Based solely upon information contained in the most recently filed Schedule 13G, which was filed with the SEC on February 13, 2013, in which The Vanguard Group reported that as of December 31, 2012, it held all of its shares as an investment advisor in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Exchange Act and has sole voting power of 119,520 of the total shares, sole dispositive power of 4,972,588 of the total shares and shared dispositive power of 60,120 of the total shares. Based on the Schedule 13G, (i) the Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company ( VFTC ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vanguard, is the beneficial owner of 60,120 shares or 0.06% of the common stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts, and as such, VFTC directs the voting of these 60,120 shares; and (ii) the Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. ( VIA ), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vanguard, is the beneficial owner of 59,400 shares or 0.07% of the common stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of Australian investment offerings, and as such, VIA directs the voting of these 59,400 shares. The Vanguard Group has not subsequently filed any Schedules G or amendments thereto with respect to its beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock.

(d) Based solely upon information contained in the most recently filed Schedule 13G, which was filed with the SEC on February 11, 2013, in which State Street Corporation reported that as of December 31, 2012, it held all of its shares as a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act. State Street has not subsequently filed any Schedules G or amendments thereto with respect to its beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock.

State Street is currently considered a related person under our related person transactions guidelines as a result of being a beneficial owner of more than five percent (5%) of our common stock outstanding. As discussed above under *Related Person Transactions*, State Street and its affiliates provide certain services to the Company and our Master Retirement Trust, including providing payment services for certain of our

benefit plans, acting as trustee of our Master Retirement Trust and other benefits plans-related trusts, as well as providing investment management services. We paid State Street a total of approximately \$113,000 and the Master Trust paid State Street a total of approximately \$256,000 for these services during fiscal 2013.

**Table of Contents****Security Ownership of Management and Directors**

The following table lists the beneficial ownership, as of November 29, 2013, of our common stock, the only class of securities issued and outstanding, with respect to all our directors and nominees for director, our chief executive officer, chief financial officer and our three other most highly compensated executive officers (our named executive officers) and all our directors and executive officers as a group. Except as otherwise noted, the directors, nominees and named executive officers or other executive officers, individually or as a group, have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares listed.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership#(a)	Percent (%) of Class(b)
Robert W. Best	644,826(c)	
Kim R. Cocklin	302,449	
Richard W. Douglas	24,931(c)	
Bret J. Eckert	14,301	
Ruben E. Esquivel	17,093(c)	
Richard K. Gordon	43,283(c)	
Robert C. Grable	17,601(c)	
Louis P. Gregory	106,999	
Michael E. Haefner	86,535	
Dr. Thomas C. Meredith	46,526(c)	
Nancy K. Quinn	33,050(c)	
Richard A. Sampson	4,578(c)	
Stephen R. Springer	25,716(c)	
Marvin L. Sweetin	51,453(c)	
Richard Ware II	56,487(c)	
All directors, nominees and executive officers as a group (15 individuals)(b)(c)(d)	1,475,828	1.62%

(a) The shares shown above are shares of our common stock owned directly or indirectly by each listed person, including shares held in our RSP, and by members of his or her household and are held individually, jointly or pursuant to a trust agreement, an IRA or other type of arrangement.

(b) The percentage of shares beneficially owned by any individual does not exceed one percent of the class so owned.

(c) Includes cumulative share units, with no voting rights, credited to the following directors under our Directors Plan and LTIP in the following respective amounts: Mr. Best 3,052 units; Mr. Douglas, 19,273 units; Mr. Esquivel, 16,093 units; Mr. Gordon, 33,283 units; Mr. Grable, 13,075 units; Dr. Meredith, 45,506 units; Ms. Quinn, 31,050 units; Mr. Sampson, 3,078 units; Mr. Springer, 24,716 units and Mr. Ware, 43,774 units.

**Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in their ownership in our common stock. Directors, certain executive officers and greater-than-ten-percent beneficial shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us, we believe that, during fiscal 2013, all of our directors (other than Ms. Quinn), named executive officers and greater-than-ten-percent beneficial owners were in compliance with the Section 16(a) filing requirements. A Form 4 was inadvertently filed by Ms. Quinn one day late on

---

**Table of Contents**

April 4, 2013 (which was due April 3, 2013), concerning an award of share units which she received on April 1, 2013 under the deferred compensation feature of our Directors Plan.

**HUMAN RESOURCES COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Human Resources Committee of the Board of Directors has the responsibility for reviewing and recommending to the full Board of Directors, the Company's executive compensation program. The committee is composed entirely of persons who qualify as independent directors under the corporate governance standards of the NYSE. In this context, the committee has met, reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this proxy statement. Based on this review and discussion, the committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors approved, the inclusion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in this proxy statement.

Respectfully submitted by the members of the Human Resources Committee of the Board of Directors:

Richard K. Gordon, Chair

Richard W. Douglas

Ruben E. Esquivel

Robert C. Grable

Thomas C. Meredith

Richard A. Sampson

**COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

**Executive Summary**

In this section of the proxy statement, we discuss our executive compensation objectives and strategy, the elements of compensation that we provide to our named executive officers, and the analysis we employed in reaching the decisions to pay the specific amounts and types of executive compensation discussed. Later, under *Named Executive Officer Compensation*, beginning on page 48, we present a series of tables containing specific information about the compensation paid to or earned by our named executive officers during fiscal 2013, as well as more information about the elements of our executive officer compensation program. The discussion below is intended to assist you in understanding the information provided in the tables and in putting that information into context. Our named executive officers for fiscal 2013 are listed below:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>
Kim R. Cocklin	President and Chief Executive Officer
Bret J. Eckert	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Marvin L. Sweetin	Senior Vice President, Utility Operations
Louis P. Gregory	Senior Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
Michael E. Haefner	Senior Vice President, Human Resources

---

**Table of Contents**

Our executive compensation program is built upon our strategy of Total Rewards, which we adopted for all our employees in 1998. Under our Total Rewards strategy, we take a comprehensive view of the various compensation plans and employee benefits that comprise the total package of compensation that is offered to all our corporate officers, including the named executive officers in this proxy statement, division presidents and other key employees. The Total Rewards strategy is based on the payment of (i) total cash compensation, composed of base salary and annual incentive compensation and (ii) total direct compensation, composed of total cash compensation and the annualized expected value of long-term incentive compensation awards, being targeted at the 50th percentile of all such compensation for equivalent positions at companies of comparable size in the natural gas distribution industry, which is represented by companies in our proxy peer group and in an energy services industry database, as discussed below under *Competitive Compensation Benchmarking* beginning on page 44. We believe this strategy also fosters a philosophy of pay for performance through the use of both annual and long-term incentive plans.

Our Total Rewards strategy, in which we limit the use of executive benefits and perquisites, is reviewed each year and updated as needed by our HR Committee, with assistance from its independent executive compensation consultant, Pay Governance LLC. None of our employees, including our named executive officers, have an employment agreement with the Company. Our executive compensation program does not permit or include problematic pay practices such as (i) the repricing of underwater stock options without shareholder approval, (ii) excessive perquisites or (iii) change in control severance payments that (a) exceed three times base salary and most recent bonus, (b) are triggered without an involuntary job loss or substantial diminution of duties (single triggers) or (c) contain excise tax gross-up payments. We believe that our executive compensation program provides our executive officers with a balanced compensation approach each year by providing a reasonable base salary along with annual and long-term incentive compensation plans that are based on the Company's financial performance. These incentive plans are designed to reward our executive officers on both an annual and long-term basis if they attain specified target goals, the attainment of which do not require the taking of an unreasonable amount of risk, as discussed in *Compensation Risk Assessment*, beginning on page 16.

*Overview of Annual Incentive Compensation Paid for Fiscal 2013 Financial Performance.* The Company exceeded its target EPS goal under the Incentive Plan of \$2.47 per diluted share in fiscal 2013, by earning \$2.53 per diluted share, excluding both mark-to-market gains recognized by the Company's nonregulated operations and the gain recognized on the sale of the Georgia operations to Liberty Energy (Georgia) Corp. in April 2013. This performance attainment resulted in the named executive officers, and the other participants in the Incentive Plan, receiving awards equal to 150 percent of their respective target awards (as a specified percentage of base salary). See *Annual Incentive Compensation*, beginning on page 39.

*Overview of Long-Term Incentive Compensation Paid for Fiscal 2011-2013 Financial Performance.* The Company achieved a cumulative EPS amount of \$7.28, compared to the cumulative EPS target amount of \$7.15 during the three-year performance period ended September 30, 2013 (fiscal 2011-2013), for the grants of performance-based RSUs awarded in May 2011. The participants, including the named executive officers, earned a total number of performance-based RSUs equal to approximately 126 percent of the target plus dividend equivalents, in the form of shares of common stock issued in November 2013. See *Long-Term Incentive Compensation*, beginning on page 41.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Executive Compensation Program Objectives and Strategy**

Our executive compensation program is designed to ensure that the interests of our executive officers are closely aligned with those of our shareholders and that our executive officers are paid an appropriate amount of incentive compensation only when the Company's performance warrants the payment of such compensation. We believe that our executive compensation program is effective in allowing the organization to attract and retain highly-qualified senior management who can deliver outstanding performance.

As discussed above, our executive compensation program is built on our Total Rewards strategy and is founded upon the following principles:

Our compensation strategy should be aligned with the overall business strategy of providing safe, quality service to our customers, seeking ongoing improvements in operating efficiencies and focusing upon growth opportunities;

Overall pay targets should reflect the intent to pay executive base salaries at the 50th percentile of the competitive market practice with targeted total cash compensation and targeted total direct compensation to be paid at the 50th percentile of competitive market practice, if established performance targets are reached;

Key executives charged with the responsibility for establishing and executing business strategy should have incentive compensation opportunities that are aligned with the creation of shareholder value and include upside potential with commensurate downside risk;

Incentive compensation plans, to the extent practical and consistent with our overall corporate business strategy, should comply with Section 162(m) of the Code, so that income tax deductions for executive compensation may be possibly taken by the Company;

Stock ownership, which is an important component of our executive compensation strategy, should closely align executives' interests with those of our shareholders. To facilitate stock ownership for executives, stock-based incentive plans should be utilized, along with share ownership guidelines; and

Our compensation strategy should have a limited emphasis upon perquisites and other personal benefits. For example, the Company should not allow the personal use of Company automobiles or reimburse its officers for country club memberships or dues.

### **Limitations in Executive Compensation Program**

During the last few years, the HR Committee, along with Pay Governance, with the assistance of its former executive compensation consultant, Towers Watson, have completed periodic reviews of the Company's overall approach to executive benefits and perquisites, to ensure that the Company's current benefits, perquisites, policies and practices have continued to be in line with best practices of other companies in the natural gas distribution industry as well as Fortune 500 companies. As a result of those reviews, the HR Committee has approved several limitations to the Company's benefits, perquisites, policies and practices that have maintained the alignment of the Company's executive compensation plans with best practices utilized by other companies in our industry peer group, as well as by Fortune 500 companies in general, and have reduced the overall cost of executive compensation

**Table of Contents**

for all of the Company's officers and division presidents. These limitations, which were all approved by our Board of Directors, include those specifically discussed below:

When participants in the Incentive Plan elect to convert all or a portion of their incentive payments to time-lapse RSUs or shares of bonus stock prior to the beginning of each fiscal year, the premium in value they will receive for the conversion to RSUs has been reduced from 50 percent to 20 percent of the value at the date of grant, while the premium received for shares of bonus stock has been reduced from 10 percent to five percent;

The performance targets and actual performance attainment for both the Incentive Plan and performance-based RSUs granted under our LTIP exclude any mark-to-market gains or losses recognized by the Company's nonregulated operations. See the discussion under *Annual Incentive Compensation*, beginning on page 39 and *Long-Term Incentive Compensation*, beginning on page 41;

The Company has imposed limits on the amount of awards earned as annual incentive compensation by our named executive officers with respect to the payouts under the Incentive Plan. Even if the Company's performance exceeds the performance target, if the Total Shareholder Return during the fiscal year is negative, the payout of the award for each named executive officer will be limited to the amount awarded at the target level of the applicable incentive opportunity for each named executive officer. See the discussion under *Annual Incentive Compensation*, beginning on page 39;

The Company has also imposed limits on the amount of awards earned as long-term compensation by our named executive officers. Any distributions of awards of performance-based RSUs that have been granted to our named executive officers under our LTIP shall be limited to the amount awarded at the target level of performance, unless the Total Shareholder Return during the three-year performance period is positive. See *1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan*, beginning on page 51;

The Company has adopted a clawback policy, known as the Executive Compensation Recoupment Policy, which provides for the recoupment by the Company under certain circumstances of incentive compensation, including annual incentive awards, stock-based awards, performance-based compensation and any other forms of cash or equity compensation other than base salary. See the discussion under *Executive Compensation Recoupment Policy*, on page 47; and

The Company has adopted a policy that prohibits hedging transactions in our common stock by any employee or director of Atmos Energy through the purchase of any financial instruments that establish a short position in our common stock and are designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of our common stock. See the discussion under *Policy Prohibiting Hedging-Related Transactions* on page 47.

**Elements of Executive Compensation**

The following discussion describes the various elements of executive compensation that we have provided to our named executive officers, as well as a discussion of why we pay each element, how we determine the amount we pay under each element and how each element fits into our overall compensation objectives.

*Base Salary.* The amount of base salary paid to each named executive officer is a major determinant of the amounts of all other elements of compensation paid to our named executive officers.

**Table of Contents**

For example, the annual awards under the Incentive Plan are based on a percentage of base salary. See *Annual Incentive Compensation*, below. In addition, the value of our long-term incentive compensation that the HR Committee has granted to our executive officers is based on a percentage of each named executive officer's midpoint of his respective salary range. See *Long-Term Incentive Compensation*, beginning on page 41. Base salaries constitute only a portion of total direct compensation (base salary plus annual incentive award plus grants of long-term equity incentive compensation). In fiscal 2013, the base salary for our President and Chief Executive Officer constituted about 19 percent of his actual total direct compensation, while the average for the other named executive officers was about 30 percent. Positions are compared on the basis of job content to similar positions in companies in our proxy peer group and the energy services industry database. Salary ranges are reviewed on an annual basis and proposed salary ranges are reviewed and considered by the HR Committee in October of each year. The midpoint of each salary range is designed to approximate the 50th percentile of base salaries of such comparable companies. Our President and CEO provided the HR Committee with an oral presentation discussing his individual performance and contributions, along with a performance evaluation of each other named executive officer.

Each named executive officer's base salary for the calendar year beginning January 1, 2013 was established by the HR Committee after considering the competitive benchmarking data for each position discussed below, the committee's subjective evaluation of the performance of each named executive officer, the Company's overall salary increase budget and related salary increase guidelines established by the Company as well as current economic conditions. Generally, the base salary for each named executive officer, as determined by the HR Committee for 2013, was established at or near the salary range midpoint for his pay grade, based upon the factors discussed above. As a result, the HR Committee approved an increase of three percent in the base salaries during calendar year 2013 for each of the named executive officers, other than Mr. Eckert, resulting in the following amounts:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Base Salary</b>
Kim R. Cocklin	\$875,500
Bret J. Eckert	\$385,000
Marvin L. Sweetin	\$339,900
Louis P. Gregory	\$354,531
Michael E. Haefner	\$328,933

Mr. Eckert was granted an increase in base salary of ten percent, based primarily on competitive benchmarking data for the position of chief financial officer, to which he was appointed effective October 1, 2012, and to adjust his base salary nearer to the salary range midpoint for his pay grade. The HR Committee believes that the base salaries as determined for each of the named executive officers were appropriate and competitive with salaries offered for similar positions by companies in our proxy peer group and the energy services industry database and are consistent with our Total Rewards strategy.

*Annual Incentive Compensation.* We believe it is important to provide our named executive officers with a reasonable financial incentive to maximize the Company's financial performance each year. Through our Incentive Plan, we provide our named executive officers, along with our other officers, division presidents and other key management employees, an opportunity to earn an annual incentive award based upon the Company's actual financial performance each year. The Incentive Plan is based on our ability to achieve a target level of EPS each year. The EPS performance measurement

**Table of Contents**

is the lynchpin of both our short-term (annual) and long-term compensation plans. The HR Committee believes that EPS is the most appropriate measurement of our financial performance both on an annual and long-term basis, because it reflects the growth of our operations. EPS is also one of the most well-known measurements of overall financial performance, which is widely used by financial analysts as well as the investing public. The HR Committee believes that using this measurement as the basis for our incentive compensation plans better aligns the interests of the participants in the Incentive Plan and the LTIP, including our named executive officers, with the interests of our shareholders.

For fiscal 2013, the HR Committee reviewed competitive compensation benchmarking data, as discussed below, to establish an annual target opportunity expressed as a percentage of salary earned for the fiscal year for each participant in the Incentive Plan. The HR Committee has historically used varying percentages for annual target incentive award opportunities for all participants in the Incentive Plan, based on each participant's particular pay grade, which range from Grades 11-14 for our named executive officers. Our pay grades are based on competitive market data, as well as the job content and responsibility of each participant, and the potential impact that each participant could have on the operations and financial performance of the Company. The target incentive award opportunities for each participant are reviewed each year and benchmarked against the 50th percentile as described above in *Executive Compensation Program Objectives and Strategy* on page 37. There were no changes in the Incentive Plan targets from the prior fiscal year.

The Incentive Plan targets for fiscal 2013 for each of the named executive officers were as follows:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Fiscal Year 2013 Incentive Plan Target as Percentage (%) of Salary Earned</b>
Kim R. Cocklin	90
Bret J. Eckert	60
Marvin L. Sweetin	55
Louis P. Gregory	55
Michael E. Haefner	55

At its meeting in October 2012, the HR Committee established the threshold, target and maximum performance levels of EPS presented below, upon which the Incentive Plan's awards would be based for fiscal 2013. The target EPS goal was based on our annual business plan and budget and took into account such factors as the allowed rates of return in our established service areas, natural gas pricing and volatility, budgeted capital expenditures, expected growth within our service areas, competitive factors from other service providers and other business considerations embedded in the annual business planning process.

The Company's target level of EPS was \$2.47 for fiscal 2013 and the HR Committee adopted this level as the Incentive Plan's target goal, with the threshold and maximum levels of performance and corresponding percentages of target awards as set forth in the table below. Since the actual performance level attained was between target and maximum, straight-line interpolation was used to compute the percentage of the target award earned. The HR Committee has the discretion under the Incentive Plan to make downward adjustments to earned awards but may not make upward adjustments. For fiscal 2013, the HR Committee did not use its discretion to make negative adjustments to any awards for any participant in the Incentive Plan, including the named executive officers. However, the HR Committee places a limit under certain conditions on the amount of earned

**Table of Contents**

awards for all members of the Management Committee, which is composed of all our named executive officers. If the TSR during any fiscal year is negative, the earned award for each such officer for that fiscal year will be limited to the amount earned at the target level of performance. This limitation was not applicable in fiscal 2013 because the TSR was positive for the fiscal year at 23.3 percent.

For each of the last three fiscal years prior to fiscal 2013, we reached or exceeded our target level of performance based on EPS, with the payouts to participants averaging approximately 109 percent of their target awards each year over that period. Our EPS target levels under the plan have historically increased between four and six percent each year and have been within the range of announced EPS guidance provided to the public in October or November of each year. The following table summarizes the performance targets and actual performance attainment for the Incentive Plan for fiscal 2013:

Performance	Annual EPS Performance	Percentage (%) of Target Award Earned
Below Threshold	< \$2.35	No award
Threshold	\$2.35	50
Target	\$2.47	100
Adjusted EPS Earned(a)	\$2.53	150
Maximum	\$2.59	200

- (a) Beginning in fiscal 2012, the performance targets and actual performance attainment for the Incentive Plan exclude any mark-to-market gains or losses recognized by the Company's nonregulated operations. This change was made in an effort to remove the impact of such gains or losses on earnings since they do not truly reflect the operating performance of the Company. The Adjusted EPS Earned amount above also excludes the gain recognized on the sale of the Georgia operations to Liberty Energy (Georgia) Corp. in April 2013.

*Long Term Incentive Compensation.* The HR Committee awards grants that are structured with 50 percent of the targeted long-term value in the form of time-lapse RSUs with three-year cliff vesting with the remaining 50 percent in the form of performance-based RSUs. The value of the long-term compensation that the HR Committee grants has been based on a percentage of each named executive officer's midpoint of his respective salary range. We based the actual number and value of awards granted on the competitive compensation benchmarking of grants made by the companies in our proxy peer group and the energy services industry database, as discussed below.

The HR Committee believes that the payment of long-term incentive compensation in the form of grants of time-lapse RSUs promotes and encourages long-term retention and service to the Company and better aligns the interests of our named executive officers with those of our shareholders through increased share ownership. The HR Committee also believes that an equal amount of grants of performance-based RSUs, as measured by cumulative EPS over a three-year performance period, provide a balanced approach to long-term compensation by rewarding our named executive officers for improved financial performance of the Company, thereby giving them an incentive to enhance long-term shareholder value.

With respect to the grants of performance-based RSUs awarded in May 2011 for the fiscal 2011-2013 performance period, the HR Committee set the cumulative EPS target performance at \$7.15 per share, with the threshold and maximum levels of performance and corresponding percentages of target awards as set forth in the table below. Since the actual performance level attained was between target and maximum, straight-line interpolation was used to compute the percentage of the target award earned. The participants, including the named executive officers, earned a total number of performance-based RSUs equal to the percentage of the target earned, as shown below, plus dividend

**Table of Contents**

equivalents, in the form of shares of common stock issued in November 2013. The TSR limitations on the payout of the grants of performance-based RSUs were in effect for the fiscal 2011-2013 performance period but since the TSR for such performance period was positive at 64.1 percent, the limitations did not apply. The following table summarizes the performance targets and actual performance attainment for the fiscal 2011-2013 performance period:

<b>Performance</b>	<b>Cumulative EPS Performance</b>	<b>Percentage (%) of Target Award Earned</b>
Below Threshold	< \$6.90	No award
Threshold	\$6.90	50
Target	\$7.15	100
Actual Performance	\$7.28	126
Maximum	\$7.40	150

In April 2013, the HR Committee also awarded grants of new performance-based RSUs for the fiscal 2013-2015 performance period and approved an increase in the maximum percentage of target award from 150% to 200%. The following table shows the three-year performance criteria for such period:

<b>Performance</b>	<b>Cumulative EPS Performance</b>	<b>Percentage (%) of Target Award Earned</b>
Below Threshold	< \$7.48	No award
Threshold	\$7.48	50
Target	\$7.87	100
Maximum	\$8.26	200

As discussed above in *Limitations in Executive Compensation Program*, beginning on page 37, beginning with grants made in fiscal 2012, like under the Incentive Plan, the performance targets and actual performance attainment for any performance-based RSUs granted under the LTIP exclude any mark-to-market gains or losses recognized by the Company's nonregulated operations. This same change was made in an effort to remove the impact of such gains or losses on earnings over the three fiscal year performance period since they do not truly reflect the operating performance of the Company over such period.

**Retirement Benefits.** All of our named executive officers, other than Mr. Eckert, participate in our Pension Account Plan ( PAP ), which is a qualified, cash balance defined benefit pension plan. Benefits under this plan become vested and non-forfeitable after completion of three years of continuous employment. For any named executive officer who retires with vested benefits under the plan, the compensation shown as Salary in the *Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2013*, beginning on page 48 would be considered eligible compensation in determining benefits. See the discussion under *Pension Account Plan* on page 55 for more information about this plan. In addition, all of our named executive officers participate in our RSP, which is a defined contribution plan. Because Mr. Eckert joined the Company after September 30, 2010, he and any other employee who joined the Company after that date have not been eligible to participate in the PAP. However, Mr. Eckert and all other new employees after that date have each received a fixed annual company contribution, which is equal to four percent (4%) of eligible earnings for all participants in the RSP. See the discussion under *Retirement Savings Plan* on page 56 for more information about this plan.

---

**Table of Contents**

Our named executive officers (as well as most of our other officers, division presidents and other employees designated by the Board) also participate in a supplemental retirement plan, which provides retirement benefits (as well as supplemental disability and death benefits). Generally, each of our named executive officers who has participated in the plan for at least two years and who have attained the age of 55 is entitled to an annual retirement supplement in an amount that, when added to the annual retirement amount payable to him under either the PAP or RSP, equals 60 percent of his compensation. The annual supplemental retirement amount will generally be equal to the sum of the amount of the participant's last annual base salary and the amount of his or her last award under the Incentive Plan, subject to reductions for less than ten years of employment with the Company and for retirement prior to age 62. In addition, should the Board appoint any officers to the Company's Management Committee in the future, such officer will also participate in this supplemental retirement plan. However, all other officers or division presidents who have been appointed by the Board on or after August 5, 2009 instead participate in a supplemental account balance retirement plan that provides deferred compensation retirement benefits to the participants. The HR Committee believes that these retirement benefits at the amounts provided to our named executive officers are an important component of the total compensation and benefits that we provide under our Total Rewards strategy and are required to ensure that our overall executive compensation package remains competitive with packages offered by other major public companies in our industry. See the discussion under *Retirement Plans*, beginning on page 55 for more information on our retirement benefits.

*Change in Control Severance Benefits.* We have severance agreements in place with each of the named executive officers to provide certain severance benefits for them in the event of the termination of their employment within three years following a change in control of the Company (as defined in the severance agreements and described generally in *Change in Control Severance Agreements*, beginning on page 58). The severance agreement for each named executive officer generally provides that the Company will pay such officer as severance pay in one lump sum an amount equal to (a) 2.5 times his total compensation (annual base salary and the higher of the last payment or the average of the three highest payments received under the Incentive Plan) and (b) the total of (i) an amount that is actuarially equivalent to an additional three years of annual age and service credits payable to the officer under our PAP or RSP, (ii) an amount that is actuarially equivalent to an additional three years of Company matching contributions payable to the officer under our RSP, (iii) an amount that is generally actuarially equivalent to an additional three years of health and welfare benefits and (iv) an amount actuarially equivalent to 36 months of accident and life insurance coverage, along with disability coverage. If such lump sum severance payment results in the imposition of excise taxes imposed by Section 4999 of the Code, the officer has the ability to elect to have the payment reduced to a level that will result in no payment of such excise tax. In lieu of reducing the severance payments under the agreement, each participant may elect to have the Company pay the full severance amount, thereby leaving the participant responsible for personally paying the excise tax penalties imposed for excess parachute payments.

**Additional Information on Executive Officer Compensation**

The compensation of our President and CEO, Mr. Cocklin, was higher in fiscal 2013 than that of any of our other named executive officers, primarily in recognition of his level of responsibility and the competitive market data for chief executive officers of comparably sized companies in our proxy peer group and the energy services industry database. However, Mr. Cocklin participated in all the same compensation plans as the other officers and division presidents and was subject to the same performance measurement determinations under the incentive compensation plans. We do not have any

---

## **Table of Contents**

individual compensation policies or plans that are not applied consistently to all of our officers and division presidents. We also do not have a policy under which the annual levels of compensation and the grants of both Incentive Plan and LTIP awards are adjusted each year to reflect the projected gains that may be realized by an executive officer from stock-based compensation. Each year, we set our target opportunities in incentive compensation based solely upon competitive market conditions and the other factors discussed below.

In addition, the HR Committee and our Board of Directors considered the results of our most recent shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation at our February 13, 2013 meeting of shareholders. Our shareholders overwhelmingly approved the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2012, with over 96 percent of the shares voted in favor of such compensation. Accordingly, the HR Committee and our Board decided to continue to adhere to its pay for performance philosophy and did not materially change our executive compensation decisions and policies over the last fiscal year as a result of the most recent shareholders advisory vote on executive compensation or otherwise. However, the HR Committee and Board will continue to review our executive compensation program in the future and will consider the views of our shareholders and other developments during such review.

### **Competitive Compensation Benchmarking**

Like all major corporations, we operate in a competitive environment for talented executives. Pay Governance provided a comprehensive review of the compensation program elements and pay levels for companies similar to us and of comparable size as measured by financial measures and market capitalization for fiscal 2013. The competitive compensation benchmarking included assessments of all elements of compensation for our named executive officers, as well as the compensation program for the non-employee members of our Board.

The competitive compensation benchmarking data reviewed by the HR Committee included base salary, annual incentive compensation and long-term incentive compensation found in the proxy statements filed by companies in the proxy peer group. This set of proxy peer group companies was also used to benchmark annual share utilization data, stock overhang and market capitalization data for long-term incentive compensation analysis. The companies in the proxy peer group were selected because they represent those companies considered by the HR Committee to be the most comparable to the Company in terms of business operations, market capitalization and overall financial performance. The annual revenue for the companies in the proxy peer group ranged from \$1.1 billion to \$12.6 billion, with the average being \$4.4 billion for the most recent year reported, while the Company reported revenue of \$3.4 billion for the year ended September 30, 2012. The market capitalization for the companies in the proxy peer group ranged from \$2.3 billion to \$10.3 billion, with the average being \$5.7 billion, while the market capitalization for the Company was \$3.9 billion as of March 2013. The companies in the proxy peer group are selected annually by the HR Committee, after its review of the recommendation of Pay Governance. The companies in the proxy peer group selected for the 2013 fiscal year are as follows:

AGL Resources Inc.  
CenterPoint Energy, Inc.  
CMS Energy Corporation  
Integrus Energy Group, Inc.  
National Fuel Gas Company  
NiSource Inc.

ONEOK, Inc.  
Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc.  
Questar Corporation  
Vectren Corporation  
WGL Holdings, Inc.



**Table of Contents**

The companies in this group remained unchanged from the prior fiscal year. To supplement the executive compensation information derived from its study of the proxy peer group, the HR Committee also considered executive compensation benchmarking data from the latest Towers Watson U.S. CDB Energy Services Executive Compensation Database ( energy services industry database ). The companies in this database constitute a larger and more diverse set of companies, including companies in the gas, nuclear and electric utilities industries. To adjust for size differences, Towers Watson employed a statistical analysis (single regression analysis) in the survey based on relative total annual revenues to determine competitive pay rates for our named executive officers based upon the data derived from all companies in the energy services industry database. The companies in this database are as follows:

AEI Services, LLC	Entergy Corporation	Portland General Electric
AES Corporation	Enterprise Products Partners L.P.	PPL Corporation
Allete, Inc.	EQT Corporation	Primary Energy Recycling Corporation
Alliant Energy Corporation	Exelon Corporation	Progress Energy, Inc.
Ameren Corporation	FirstEnergy Corp.	Proliance Holdings
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	GenOn Energy	Public Service Enterprise Group Incorporated
ATC Management, Inc.	Iberdrola Renewables	Puget Energy, Inc.
Avista Corporation	Idaho Power Company	Salt River Project
Babcock & Wilcox Company	Integrus Energy Group, Inc.	SCANA Corporation
Babcock Power, Inc.	IPR GDF SUEZ North America	Sempra Energy
BG US Services	Kinder Morgan Kansas, Inc.	South Jersey Gas Company
Black Hills Power, Inc.	LG&E and KU Energy Services	Southern Company Services
Capital Power Corporation	Lower Colorado River Authority	Southern Union Company
CenterPoint Energy Resources Corporation	MDU Resources Group, Inc.	Spectra Energy Corp.
CH Energy Group	MGE Energy	STP Nuclear Operating
Cheniere Energy, Inc.	MidAmerican Energy Company	Targa Resources Corp.
CMS Energy Corporation	New York Power Authority	TECO Energy
Colorado Springs Utilities	NextEra Energy	Tennessee Valley Authority
Consolidated Edison, Inc.	Northeast Utilities	TransCanada Corporation
Crosstex Energy	NorthWestern Energy, LLC	UGI Corporation
Dominion Resources, Inc.	NRG Energy, Inc.	UIL Holdings Corporation
DTE Energy Corporation	NSTAR Electric Company	

## Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Duke Energy Corporation	NV Energy, Inc.	UniSource Energy Corporation
Dynegy, Inc.	NW Natural	Unitil Corporation
Edison International	OGE Energy Corp.	URENCO USA
El Paso Corporation	Oglethorpe Power Corporation	Vectren Corporation
El Paso Electric	Ohio Valley Electric Corporation	Westar Energy, Inc.
Electricities of North Carolina, Inc.	Omaha Public Power	Williams Companies
Enbridge Energy Company, Inc.	Pacific Gas & Electric Company	Wisconsin Energy Corporation
Energen Corporation	Pepco Holdings, Inc.	Wolf Creek Nuclear
Energy Future Holdings Corporation	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	Xcel Energy, Inc.
Energy Northwest	PNM Resources, Inc.	

Using primarily the proxy peer group compensation analysis, as well as corroborating data from the energy services industry database, the HR Committee reviewed competitive target compensation levels for each named executive officer at the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile level of the competitive market. For each named executive officer position, base salary, target total cash compensation (base salary plus annual incentive award) and target total direct compensation (base salary plus annual incentive award plus the annualized present value of long-term incentive compensation) were benchmarked and analyzed as the Company's desired competitive compensation positioning. In reviewing the competitive compensation data with the current base salaries and target compensation levels of our named executive officers, the HR Committee found that base salaries, target total cash compensation, and target total direct

## **Table of Contents**

compensation for our named executive officers fell slightly below the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile benchmarks for each element of compensation and in the aggregate.

### **Executive Compensation Consultant**

The HR Committee has been granted through its charter the sole authority from the Board of Directors for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the Company's executive compensation consultant. The HR Committee retained Pay Governance during the 2013 fiscal year as its consultant to assist the HR Committee with its responsibilities related to the Company's compensation program for its executives and Board of Directors. The HR Committee directed Pay Governance to (i) regularly attend meetings of the committee, (ii) conduct studies of competitive compensation practices and (iii) develop conclusions and recommendations related to the executive compensation plans of the Company for consideration by the committee. Pay Governance prepared reports and analyses and assisted with (i) the identification of the Company's proxy peer group, (ii) an assessment of competitive compensation for non-employee directors, and (iii) a review of base salary, annual incentives and long-term incentive compensation opportunities relative to competitive practices. Pay Governance also prepared a report on emerging trends and developments in executive compensation, provided recommendations regarding our executive compensation strategy and performed an assessment of the risks contained in the Company's incentive compensation plans. A senior consultant from Pay Governance attended all three HR Committee meetings held in fiscal 2013. Pay Governance provided no additional services to the Company during fiscal 2013. Based on policies and procedures implemented by the HR Committee and by Pay Governance to ensure the objectivity and independence of the individual executive compensation consultants for Pay Governance, the committee believes that the consulting advice it received during the fiscal year from Pay Governance and its individual consultants was objective, not influenced by any other relationships Pay Governance had with the Company and raised no conflicts of interest. In making this determination, the HR Committee also assessed the independence factors set forth in applicable SEC regulations and rules, NYSE corporate governance standards and other facts and circumstances and concluded that both Pay Governance and its individual consultants were independent from the Company.

### **Management's Role in Setting Executive Officer Compensation**

The HR Committee and Kim Cocklin, our President and CEO, met with representatives of Pay Governance at the beginning of fiscal 2013 to review and discuss the compensation of all other named executive officers. However, at no time did Mr. Cocklin meet with representatives of Pay Governance regarding his own compensation. The only other executive officer of the Company who regularly worked with Pay Governance is the Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Mr. Haefner. For fiscal 2013, Mr. Cocklin recommended to the HR Committee compensation for Messrs. Eckert, Sweetin, Gregory and Haefner, while Pay Governance provided to the committee general guidance and competitive compensation data for Mr. Cocklin.

Mr. Cocklin may be present during a portion of the HR Committee's meetings on executive compensation. However, Mr. Cocklin (along with any other members of management in attendance at HR Committee meetings) are excused when those executives' compensation is discussed and decisions regarding their compensation are reached by the committee. All decisions by the HR Committee concerning executive compensation levels to be paid to the President and CEO and the other named executive officers are approved by the Board.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Executive Compensation Recoupment Policy**

Our Board of Directors has adopted a clawback policy, which provides for the recoupment by the Company under certain circumstances of incentive compensation, including annual cash incentive compensation, stock-based awards, performance-based compensation and any other forms of cash or equity compensation other than salary ( awards ). This policy applies to any current or former employee holding (or who held) a position of division president or corporate vice president or above. In the event of an accounting restatement of the Company s previously issued financial statements due to the material noncompliance of the Company with any financial reporting requirement under the federal securities laws, the Company will seek recovery from any current or former officer who received the amount or portion of any awards paid or granted during the three-year period preceding the date on which the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement, based on the erroneous data, in excess of what would have been paid or granted to the officer under the accounting restatement.

In addition, in the event of an accounting restatement as a result of errors, omission, fraud or other causes, the HR Committee shall review the facts and circumstances underlying the restatement (including any potential wrongdoing and whether the restatement was the result of negligence or intentional or gross misconduct) and may, in its discretion, direct that the Company recover all or a portion of any award from one or more officers with respect to any fiscal year in which the Company s financial results are negatively affected by such restatement. If (a) the payment, grant or vesting of any award(s) is based upon the achievement of financial results that are subsequently restated or (b) a lower payment, award value or vesting would have occurred based upon the restated financial results, the HR Committee may seek to recoup, and such officer shall forfeit or repay, all or any portion of such excess compensation as the committee deems appropriate. Finally, if the HR Committee determines that an officer engaged in an act of fraud or misconduct that contributed to the need for an accounting restatement, the committee may, in its discretion, recover and the officer shall forfeit or repay, all of the officer s awards for the relevant period, plus a reasonable rate of interest. This policy, however, does not affect the Company s ability to recoup executive compensation under any other applicable law or regulation, including, but not limited to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, which became law in 2010.

### **Policy Prohibiting Hedging-Related Transactions**

Our Board of Directors has also adopted a policy prohibiting hedging transactions in our common stock as an amendment to our insider trading policy, which provides that no member of our Board of Directors or any employee of the Company may purchase any financial instruments (including, without limitation, prepaid variable forward contracts, equity swaps, collars and exchange funds) that establish a short position in our common stock and are designed to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of our common stock granted by Atmos Energy as part of compensation to employees or our common stock already held by them. In addition, the following transactions are prohibited: (i) short sales, which are sales of our common stock that are not then owned and (ii) trading of put options, call options or other derivatives of our common stock. Finally, no member of our Board of Directors or any executive officer of the Company may purchase our common stock on margin or hold our common stock in a margin account, borrow against any account in which our common stock is held or otherwise pledge our common stock as collateral for a loan.

**Table of Contents****Share Ownership Guidelines**

We have adopted share ownership guidelines for our named executive officers and for other officers and division presidents, which are voluntary and are intended to be achieved by each such executive over the course of five years. The HR Committee believes that executive share ownership promotes better alignment of the interests of our executives with those of our shareholders and it monitors compliance with the ownership guidelines each year. Our President and CEO has a guideline to reach a share ownership position of five times his base salary, with each of the remaining named executive officers having a guideline to reach a share ownership position of 2.5 times base salary. The share ownership positions include shares of unvested time-lapse RSUs but do not include unvested performance-based RSUs. Each of the named executive officers serving as of the end of fiscal 2013 (other than Mr. Eckert, who did not join the Company as an officer until June 2012) had achieved his individual ownership objective as of that time.

**NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION****Summary of Cash and Other Compensation**

The following table provides information concerning compensation we paid to or accrued on behalf of our Principal Executive Officer, our Principal Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers serving as such on September 30, 2013:

**Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2013(a)**

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Stock Awards \$(b)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation \$(c)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$(d)	All Other Compensation \$(e)	Total (\$)
Kim R. Cocklin							6,902,578
President and Chief Executive Officer	2013	868,046	2,521,185	1,171,862	2,196,324	145,161	7,319,132
	2012	846,154	2,150,507	967,154	3,207,891	147,426	4,742,635
	2011	743,330	1,783,052	594,664	1,488,867	132,722	4,742,635
Bret J. Eckert(f)							1,590,949
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2013	374,769	636,058	337,292	223,178	19,652	1,590,949
	2012						
	2011						
Marvin L. Sweetin(g)							1,232,194
Senior Vice President, Utility Operations	2012	337,006	485,988	278,030	79,130	52,040	1,232,194
	2011						
Louis P. Gregory							1,351,270
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2013	351,513	490,147	289,998	145,446	74,166	1,351,270
	2012	341,337	443,785	238,407	944,594	68,101	2,036,224
	2011	331,372	484,868	182,255	588,707	71,230	1,658,432
Michael E. Haefner							1,381,436
	2013	326,132	486,699	269,059	228,621	70,925	1,381,436

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Senior Vice President,	2012	316,709	436,533	221,193	627,345	65,454	1,667,234
Human Resources	2011	304,988	476,086	167,743	301,265	55,254	
							1,305,336

- (a) No bonuses, as defined by applicable SEC rules and regulations, were paid or options awarded to any named executive officers in fiscal years 2013, 2012 or 2011.
- (b) In accordance with applicable SEC rules, the valuation of stock awards in this table is based upon the grant date fair value of time-lapse RSUs granted during fiscal 2011-2013, along with performance-based RSUs granted during fiscal 2011-2013 and excludes any estimate

**Table of Contents**

of forfeitures related to service vesting conditions. The stock awards are valued at the grant date fair value calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The valuation also includes the fair value of a 20 percent premium granted in connection with the time-lapse RSUs converted from the portion of incentive compensation elected to be converted by the named executive officers in the prior fiscal year. In our financial statements, we use an estimated forfeiture rate of two percent of each grant (other than special one-time grants). The fair value of time-lapse RSUs and performance-based RSUs was determined based on the fair market value on the grant date, plus the value of the dividend equivalents for performance-based RSUs. The fair value of the performance-based RSUs on the grant date are shown in the following table at their maximum value, assuming the highest level of performance conditions (150 percent of the target for fiscal 2011 and 2012 and 200 percent for fiscal 2013) will be achieved during the performance period of the performance-based RSUs:

Name	Year	Stock Awards (\$)
Kim R. Cocklin	2013	3,837,603
	2012	2,675,635
	2011	2,228,398
Bret J. Eckert	2013	968,170
	2012	
	2011	
Marvin L. Sweetin	2013	717,023
	2012	
	2011	
Louis P. Gregory	2013	721,182
	2012	536,294
	2011	588,975
Michael E. Haefner	2013	717,734
	2012	529,042
	2011	580,193

- (c) The amounts reflect the payments attributable to performance achieved at the level of 150 percent of target EPS in fiscal 2013 under our Incentive Plan. For a discussion of the performance criteria established by our HR Committee for awards in fiscal 2013 under our Incentive Plan, see *Elements of Executive Compensation*, beginning on page 38. Awards under the Incentive Plan are paid in cash and are based on the participant's annual salary as of the grant date of the award. However, participants may elect prior to the beginning of each fiscal year to convert all or a portion of their awards either to bonus stock, with a premium equal to five percent (10 percent, prior to fiscal 2012) of the total amount converted, or to time-lapse RSUs, with a premium equal to 20 percent (50 percent prior to fiscal 2012) of the amount converted, with such units being awarded under our LTIP. The amounts shown above do not include incentive compensation that was converted through an election by participating named executive officers prior to the beginning of fiscal 2013 to time-lapse RSUs, as shown in the table below. Such Incentive Plan payments include a premium of 20 percent of the value associated with the conversion in November 2013 to shares of time-lapse RSUs. The grants of such units, which were made November 5, 2013 at a fair market value of \$44.29 per share, will be reflected in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table for fiscal 2014. These units vest three years following the date of grant. The conversion elections are reflected in the table below.

Name	Incentive Plan			Restricted Stock	Value of Restricted	
	Award (\$)	Cash (%)	Amount (\$)	Units Elected (%)	Stock Units (\$)	Units (#)
Kim R. Cocklin	1,171,862	75	878,897	25	351,559	7,938
Bret J. Eckert	337,292	100	337,292			
Marvin L. Sweetin	278,030			100	333,636	7,534
Louis P. Gregory	289,998			100	347,998	7,858
Michael E. Haefner	269,059			100	322,871	7,290

- (d) The amounts reflect the aggregate current year increase in pension values for each named executive officer, other than Mr. Eckert, based on the change in the present value of the benefit as presented in the *Pension Benefits for Fiscal Year 2013* table beginning on page 57. The present value is based on the earliest age for which an unreduced benefit is available and assumptions from the September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2013 measurement dates. For Mr. Eckert, the change in present value reflects the increase in his Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) pension benefit and an increase in the value of his benefit earned under our RSP.

## Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (e) The components of All Other Compensation are reflected in the table below.
  
- (f) Mr. Eckert was appointed Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, effective October 1, 2012.
  
- (g) Mr. Sweetin was appointed Senior Vice President, Utility Operations, effective November 9, 2011.



**Table of Contents****All Other Compensation****for Fiscal Year 2013**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Company Contributions to Retirement Savings Plan</b>	<b>Cost of Premiums for Company-Paid Term Life Insurance</b>	<b>Dividends/ Dividend Equivalents Paid on Restricted Stock Units Awards</b>	<b>Financial Planning</b>	<b>Perquisites</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>	<b>\$(a)</b>	<b>\$(b)</b>	<b>\$(c)</b>	<b>(\$)</b>
Kim R. Cocklin	10,146	1,856	130,985	2,174		145,161
Bret J. Eckert	2,746	1,856	15,050			19,652
Marvin L. Sweetin	10,146	1,790	31,475	8,629		52,040
Louis P. Gregory	10,146	1,848	56,672	5,500		74,166
Michael E. Haefner	10,146	1,732	53,752	5,295		70,925

(a) The amounts represent cash dividend equivalents paid on time-lapse RSUs, which are paid at the same rate as dividends on shares of common stock.

(b) We provide financial planning services to our named executive officers, which benefit is valued at the actual charge for the services.

(c) No named executive officer received perquisites and other personal benefits with an aggregate value equal to or exceeding \$10,000 during fiscal 2013.

**Annual Incentive Plan for Management**

*Background and Purpose.* Along with the LTIP, the Incentive Plan represents an integral part of our overall balanced and competitive compensation program. The Incentive Plan represents another part of our Total Rewards strategy, which we developed as a result of a study we conducted of all employee, executive and non-employee director compensation and benefits. The Board of Directors adopted the Incentive Plan, effective October 1, 1998, which was approved by our shareholders in February 1999, and amended subsequent to the approval of our shareholders in February 2002, February 2007 and February 2011 to extend the term of the plan through September 30, 2016. The purpose of the Incentive Plan is to promote our interests and those of our shareholders by attracting, motivating and retaining executives and senior managers. The Incentive Plan is also intended to establish a sense of personal commitment on the part of our executives and senior managers in our growth, development and financial success and reward these key employees accordingly.

Any of our employees, including an employee who is also an officer, is eligible to participate in the Incentive Plan. However, only officers, division presidents and other key employees (a total of 190 employees in the last fiscal year) have participated in the Incentive Plan since its inception. The HR Committee, in its sole discretion, may make, but shall not be required to make, an award to any employee. In the event of a change in control (as defined in the Incentive Plan), all awards for the performance period shall be deemed earned at the maximum performance goal level and payment of the maximum award shall be made within 10 days after the effective date of the change in control. We will require any successor to assume and agree to perform our obligations under the Incentive Plan in the same manner and to the same extent that we would be required to perform them if no such succession had taken place. The Board may at any time amend, suspend or terminate the Incentive Plan; provided, however, that any amendment shall be made only with shareholder approval where such approval is necessary to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code.

---

**Table of Contents**

*Administration.* The Incentive Plan is administered and interpreted by the HR Committee, unless otherwise determined by the Board. Actions taken by the HR Committee with respect to the Incentive Plan have been and will continue to be taken by those members who are non-employee directors and who qualify as outside directors under Section 162(m) of the Code and as non-employee directors under the rules promulgated under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, insofar as such actions are affected by Section 162(m) or Section 16. The HR Committee determines and designates the eligible persons to whom awards will be made. The HR Committee also has the power to: (i) interpret the Incentive Plan, (ii) prescribe, amend and waive any rules and regulations necessary for the administration of the Incentive Plan and (iii) make such other determinations and take such other action as it deems necessary or advisable in the administration of the Incentive Plan. All interpretations, determinations or actions made or taken by the HR Committee are final, binding and conclusive on all interested parties.

*Performance Goals and Measurement.* Performance goals are established by the HR Committee in writing not later than 90 days after the beginning of the applicable performance period. Performance goals may be the same for all participants, or at the discretion of the HR Committee, may differ to reflect more appropriate measures of individual performance. Performance goals may be based on one or more business and/or financial criteria. In establishing performance goals for the plan year, the HR Committee may include one or any combination of the following criteria: Total Shareholder Return; return on assets, equity, capital or investment; earnings per share; cash flow; levels of operating expense; and measures of customer satisfaction and service. However, since the adoption of the Incentive Plan, the HR Committee has established the achievement of an earnings per share target for the fiscal year as the sole performance goal each year. The HR Committee also has the discretion to make adjustments in calculating the attainment of performance goals in recognition of extraordinary items, changes in the law or financial reporting. For example, the HR Committee determined that the gain from the sale of the Company's Georgia operations that was completed in April 2013 should be excluded in the calculation of each award under the Incentive Plan for fiscal 2013.

*Awards.* Awards are generally paid in cash. However, if the HR Committee so permits and if the participant makes an election in advance, the participant may elect to convert his or her award in 25 percent increments, in whole or in part, into the following forms: (a) unrestricted stock in the form of bonus stock (value equal to 105 percent of the amount of the award) granted under the LTIP or (b) time-lapse RSUs with three-year cliff vesting (value equal to 120 percent of the amount of the award) granted under the LTIP. The maximum cash award for any performance period is \$2,000,000.

**1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan**

To provide our named executive officers, other officers, division presidents and other key management employees with the incentive to achieve our long-term growth and profitability goals as well as to focus upon the creation of shareholder value, the HR Committee makes recommendations to the Board concerning grants of long-term incentive awards under our LTIP.

The HR Committee recommends grants of all annual long-term incentive grants at its regularly scheduled March meeting, which are then approved by the Board at its next regularly scheduled meeting. In addition, when an award is granted in connection with a pre-established performance goal, the HR Committee approves the performance goal and the related compensation formula within the first 90 days of the fiscal year. Each year, prior to making grants, the HR Committee establishes a target long-term incentive value for the LTIP participants, including the named executive officers. The

## **Table of Contents**

actual value of grants ultimately received by participants may differ from the intended value or target long-term incentive value granted, depending upon share price performance and any performance considerations imposed upon such awards.

No options have been granted to any participants since fiscal 2003 although they may still be granted under the terms of the LTIP. However, some participants did receive options in fiscal 2004 and 2005 pursuant to their election to convert all or a portion of their incentive compensation received under the Incentive Plan in those years, which election is no longer allowed under the Incentive Plan. Options may be exercisable in full at the time of grant or may become exercisable in one or more installments. Options are exercisable for a period of ten years after the date of grant of the option. Since October 1, 2007, however, participants exercising options may not sell or otherwise transfer, for at least one year after the date of exercise, more than 25 percent of the number of shares of common stock acquired through the exercise. In the event of a participant's termination of service, the options lapse 90 days after said termination, except in the case of retirement, in which case the retiring participant may exercise the options at any time within three years from the date of retirement. In the event of death, the options may be exercised by the personal representative of the optionee at any time within three years from the date of death.

We use time-lapse RSUs and performance-based RSUs as our form of long-term incentive compensation. Dividend equivalents are paid on the time-lapse RSUs at the same time and at the same rate as dividends are paid on shares of common stock. Once the restrictions have lapsed, an equivalent number of shares of common stock is issued to the participant by the Company, net of shares withheld for taxes, provided that he or she is an employee of the Company at that time, unless such participant has retired, died, become disabled or is no longer employed by the Company as a result of certain types of termination. The distributions of shares are also subject to the provisions of Section 409A of the Code, if applicable.

Performance-based RSUs are subject to a three-year performance criterion expressed as a cumulative EPS target amount. The cumulative EPS target is derived from our annual business plan, with the first fiscal year of such EPS target equal to the same EPS target as that used under the Incentive Plan and the remainder of the cumulative EPS target generally representing a four to six percent increase above the first fiscal year's EPS target, on average, for each of second and third fiscal years on a compounded basis. At the conclusion of the three-year performance period, the total number of performance-based RSUs to be issued is determined by a formula with an established threshold, target and maximum number of RSUs earned, ranging from 0 percent to 150 percent, of the performance-based RSUs granted, based on the cumulative amount of EPS achieved over the three-year performance period. Beginning in fiscal 2013, the HR Committee adjusted such range to from 0 to 200 percent of the performance-based RSUs granted, based on the cumulative amount of EPS achieved over the three-year performance period. Although our LTIP provides that cash, shares of our common stock or a combination thereof may be issued to participants in payment for their units, we have always paid participants for their units in the form of shares of our common stock at the end of each three-year performance period. In addition, dividend equivalents are credited to each participant's account with respect to the performance-based RSUs earned, with the payment of such dividend equivalents in the form of additional shares of stock not occurring until the three-year cumulative EPS performance targets are measured and vesting is completed. Beginning with grants made to our named executive officers during fiscal 2010, any distributions of awards of performance-based RSUs that have been granted to our named executive officers have been limited to the amount awarded at the target level of performance, unless the TSR during the three-year performance period is positive. In addition, as

**Table of Contents**

discussed above under *Long-Term Incentive Compensation*, beginning on page 41, beginning with grants in fiscal 2012, the performance targets and actual performance attainment for all performance-based RSUs granted under the LTIP have excluded any mark-to-market gains or losses recognized by the Company's nonregulated operations.

**Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table shows the grants of executive compensation plan-based awards to the named executive officers during fiscal 2013:

**Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013(a)**

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(b)			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(c)			All Other Stock Awards Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)		
<b>Kim R. Cocklin</b>									
Incentive Plan	10/01/12	390,621	781,241	1,562,483					
Restricted Stock Units	04/30/13						27,350	1,204,768	
PBR Stock Units	04/30/13				13,675	27,350	54,700		1,204,768
<b>Bret J. Eckert</b>									
Incentive Plan	10/01/12	112,431	224,862	449,723					
Restricted Stock Units	04/30/13						6,900	303,945	
PBR Stock Units	04/30/13				3,450	6,900	13,800		303,945
<b>Marvin L. Sweetin</b>									
Incentive Plan	10/01/12	92,677	185,353	370,707					
Restricted Stock Units	11/06/12						7,345	261,115(d)	
Restricted Stock Units	04/30/13						4,800	211,400	
PBR Stock Units	04/30/13				2,400	4,800	9,600		211,400
<b>Louis P. Gregory</b>									
Incentive Plan	10/01/12	96,666	193,332	386,664					
Restricted Stock Units	11/06/12						8,048	286,089(d)	
Restricted Stock Units	04/30/13						4,800	211,400	
PBR Stock Units	04/30/13				2,400	4,800	9,600		211,400
<b>Michael E. Haefner</b>									
Incentive Plan	10/01/12	89,686	179,373	358,745					
Restricted Stock Units	11/06/12						7,467	265,432(d)	
Restricted Stock Units	04/30/13						4,800	211,400	
PBR Stock Units	04/30/13				2,400	4,800	9,600		211,400

(a) No stock options were awarded to any named executive officer in fiscal 2013.

(b) The amounts reflect the estimated payments which could have been made under our Incentive Plan, based upon the participant's annual salary as of the date presented. The plan provides that our officers may receive annual cash incentive awards based on the performance and profitability of the Company. The HR Committee establishes annual target awards for each officer. The actual amounts earned by the named executive officers in fiscal 2013 under the plan are set forth under the *Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation* column in the *Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2013*, beginning on page 48.

(c) The amounts reflect the performance-based RSUs granted under our LTIP, which vest three years from the beginning of the performance measurement period (October 1, 2012), at which time the holder is entitled to receive a percentage of the performance-based RSUs



**Table of Contents**

granted, based on our cumulative EPS performance over the period October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2015, payable in shares of our common stock, including credited dividend equivalents. The grant date fair market value on April 30, 2013 of \$44.05 is reflected at the target level of performance.

- (d) The amounts reflect the incentive compensation received under the Incentive Plan for fiscal 2012 attributable to conversions to shares of time-lapse RSUs issued under our LTIP. Such shares were granted at the fair market value of \$35.55 on the date of grant on November 6, 2012. The grant date fair value is the value of the shares attributable to the original amount of incentive compensation converted plus the 20 percent value premium received in connection with such conversion.

**Outstanding Equity Awards**

The following table shows the outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers at September 30, 2013:

**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for 2013(a)**

Name	Number of Shares of Stock or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested		Stock Awards Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
	(#)(b)	Market Value of Shares of Stock or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)(c)	(#)(d)		(\$)(c)
Kim R. Cocklin	101,236	4,311,641	56,300		2,397,817
Bret J. Eckert	14,200	604,778	14,200		604,778
Marvin L. Sweetin	24,607	1,048,012	9,900		421,641
Louis P. Gregory	40,130	1,709,137	9,900		421,641
Michael E. Haefner	38,044	1,620,294	9,900		421,641

- (a) There were no securities underlying either unexercised options, which were exercisable or unexercisable, or unexercised unearned options granted under any equity incentive plan at the end of fiscal 2013. This table does not include amounts of time-lapse RSUs that were issued in November 2013 as a result of elections by the named executive officers to convert all or a portion of incentive compensation attributable to fiscal 2013. However, it does include amounts of time-lapse RSUs that were issued in November 2012 as a result of elections by the named executive officers to convert all or a portion of their incentive compensation attributable to fiscal 2012.
- (b) Represents time-lapse RSUs, which generally vest three years from the date of grant, as reflected in the next table.
- (c) Market value is based on the closing price of our common stock of \$42.59, as reported on the NYSE Consolidated Tape on September 30, 2013.
- (d) Represents performance-based RSUs. See footnote (c) to the *Grants of Plan-Based Awards for Fiscal Year 2013* table, beginning on page 53 for a discussion of the vesting terms of our performance-based RSUs. Based on our performance at September 30, 2013, performance-based RSUs, at the target level of performance, will vest as indicated in the *Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Vesting Schedule* below.

**Time-Lapse Restricted Stock Units Vesting Schedule(a)**

Name	11-02-13(b)	5-03-14(c)	11-08-14(b)	5-01-15(c)	6-04-15(d)	11-06-15(b)	4-30-16(c)	Total
Kim R. Cocklin	9,126	23,100	12,710	28,950			27,350	101,236
Bret J. Eckert					7,300		6,900	14,200
Marvin L. Sweetin	2,188	1,800	3,374	5,100		7,345	4,800	24,607
Louis P. Gregory	8,991	5,400	7,791	5,100		8,048	4,800	40,130

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Michael E. Haefner	8,106	5,400	7,171	5,100	7,467	4,800	38,044
--------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------

- (a) This table does not include amounts of time-lapse RSUs that were issued in November 2013 as a result of elections by the named executive officers to convert all or a portion of incentive compensation received for fiscal 2013.

**Table of Contents**

- (b) The amounts represent time-lapse RSUs granted under our LTIP as a result of the participant's election to convert all or a portion of his Incentive Plan payment attributable to prior fiscal years.
- (c) The amounts represent time-lapse RSUs granted under our LTIP, which vest three years from the date of grant.
- (d) The amount represents time-lapse RSUs granted in connection with Mr. Eckert's commencement of employment on June 4, 2012.

**Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Vesting Schedule(a)**

Name	9-30-14	9-30-15	Total
Kim R. Cocklin	28,950	27,350	56,300
Bret J. Eckert	7,300	6,900	14,200
Marvin L. Sweetin	5,100	4,800	9,900
Louis P. Gregory	5,100	4,800	9,900
Michael E. Haefner	5,100	4,800	9,900

- (a) The amounts represent performance-based RSUs, which vest at the end of each applicable three fiscal year performance period.

**Stock Vested**

The following table sets forth the vested common stock received by the named executive officers during fiscal 2013:

**Stock Vested for Fiscal Year 2013**

Name	Stock Awards(a)	
	Stock Awards(#)(b)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(c)
Kim R. Cocklin	62,095	2,586,743
Bret J. Eckert		
Marvin L. Sweetin	5,183	209,680
Louis P. Gregory	20,157	827,050
Michael E. Haefner	19,363	799,522

- (a) The named executive officers elected to have vested shares withheld, in each case, to cover applicable state and federal taxes incurred, upon receipt of their vested shares. Such amounts of shares withheld are not reflected in the table above.
- (b) Includes shares that vested during fiscal 2013 attributable to time-lapse RSUs and performance-based RSUs, including shares received as dividend equivalents on performance-based RSUs over the three fiscal year performance period.
- (c) The value received on vesting represents the fair market value of the shares received on the vesting dates as follows: \$34.67 on November 10, 2012; \$44.61 on May 4, 2013 and \$44.29 on November 5, 2013.

**Retirement Plans**

*Pension Account Plan.* Our PAP is a qualified, cash balance defined benefit pension plan under both the Code and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended. The plan covers a majority of our employees, including all named executive officers, other than



## Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Mr. Eckert, since he joined the Company after September 30, 2010 and was not eligible to participate in the PAP. Benefits under this plan become vested and non-forfeitable after completion of three years of continuous employment. Under the terms of the PAP, a vested participant receives a benefit based on the value of the cash balance account at termination or retirement from the Company. Benefits payable

**Table of Contents**

under our retirement plan are not offset by Social Security benefits. Under the Code, the annual compensation of each employee to be taken into account under our retirement plan for 2013 cannot exceed \$255,000.

The earnings utilized in the PAP include Form W-2 earnings, deferrals to the RSP and Code Section 125 ( cafeteria plan ) reductions, while it excludes all incentive pay and expense reimbursements. All participants are fully vested in their account balances after three years of eligible service and may choose to receive their account balances in the form of a lump sum or an annuity. For any named executive officer who retires with vested benefits under the plan, the compensation shown as Salary in the *Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal Year 2013*, beginning on page 48 would be considered eligible compensation in determining benefits, subject to applicable limitations under the Code.

*Retirement Savings Plan.* The RSP is a defined contribution plan, which is intended to comply with Section 404(C) of the Employment Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended. All employees are eligible to participate in the RSP immediately upon joining the Company. Investments may be made in shares of Company stock or in a variety of other equity and fixed income investments offered by the RSP administrator. Employees may make pre-tax contributions to the RSP based on eligible earnings, which are composed of Form W-2 earnings, pre-tax contributions to the RSP and cafeteria plan reductions, excluding all incentive compensation and expense reimbursements. Upon the completion of one year of employment, the Company matches a participant's contribution up to four percent (4%) of eligible earnings. The RSP was revised in late 2010 to also include a fixed annual company contribution, which is equal to four percent (4%) of eligible earnings for all participants in the RSP who joined the Company after September 30, 2010, when new employees ceased to be eligible to participate in the PAP. Eligible participants begin receiving the fixed annual company contribution after one year of employment. All participants are immediately vested in their contributions to the RSP and matching Company contributions. Participants are vested in the fixed annual company contributions component of their RSP account balances after three continuous years of employment.

*Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.* All named executive officers participate in the Company's SERP, which provides retirement benefits (as well as supplemental disability and death benefits) to most officers, division presidents and other employees, all as approved by the Board. For any participant in the SERP prior to November 2008, the SERP provides that an officer or division president (or any other employee selected by the Board) who has participated in the SERP for at least two years and has attained age 55 is entitled to an annual supplemental pension in an amount that, when added to his or her annual pension payable under the PAP, equals 60 percent of his compensation, subject to reductions for less than ten years of employment and for retirement prior to age 62. The Board amended the SERP in November 2008 to provide that any participant who begins participation in the SERP beginning in November 2008 must have participated in the SERP for at least three years and attained age 55 to receive the same benefits, subject to reductions for less than ten years of participation in the plan and for retirement prior to age 62.

The SERP covers compensation in an amount equal to the sum of (a) the greater of the participant's annual base salary at the date of termination of employment or the average of the participant's annual base salary for the highest of three calendar years (whether or not consecutive) of employment with the Company and (b) the greater of the amount of the participant's last award under

**Table of Contents**

any of the Company's annual performance bonus or incentive plans or the average of the participant's highest three performance awards under such plans (whether or not consecutive). The amount of current compensation covered by the SERP at the end of fiscal 2013 for each of the named executive officers listed in the Summary Compensation Table is as follows: Mr. Cocklin, \$2,047,362; Mr. Eckert, \$722,292; Mr. Sweetin, \$617,930; Mr. Gregory, \$644,529 and Mr. Haefner, \$597,992.

Each of such named executive officers has also entered into a participation agreement with the Company as required by the SERP, which provides that the accrued benefits, as calculated pursuant to the plan, of each participant will vest if: (a) the plan is terminated by the Company; (b) the plan is amended by the Company, resulting in a decrease in the benefits otherwise payable to the participant; (c) the participant's employment is terminated by the Company for any reason other than cause; (d) the participant's participation in the plan is terminated by the Company for any reason other than cause prior to the participant's termination of employment; (e) within any time during the three-year period following a change of control of the Company (as such term is defined in the plan), (i) the participant's employment is terminated involuntarily by the Company for any reason other than cause or (ii) the participant is demoted or reassigned to a position that would cause him to cease to be eligible for participation in the plan; or (f) in anticipation of a change in control (whether or not a change in control ever occurs), if (i) the participant's employment is terminated involuntarily by the Company for any reason other than cause at the request of a party to a pending transaction that would constitute a change in control, if and when the transaction were consummated or (ii) the participant's participation in the plan is terminated for any reason other than cause prior to the participant's termination of employment. The participation agreements set forth the specific rights of the participants to their accrued benefits upon the occurrence of the events described above and constitute enforceable contracts separate from the provisions of the SERP.

**Pension Benefits**

The table below shows the present value of accumulated benefits payable to each of the named executive officers including the number of years of service credited to each such named executive officer under our PAP, RSP and SERP as applicable, along with the total amount of payments made during fiscal 2013 under the PAP. See the discussion under *Pension Account Plan*, beginning on page 55 and *Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan*, beginning on page 56 for more information on these plans. As discussed above under *Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan*, each of the named executive officers, other than Mr. Eckert, will receive a benefit under both the PAP and the SERP, the present values of which are presented in the table below. We used the following assumptions in calculating the present value of accumulated benefits for the PAP and the SERP:

**Pension Benefits for Fiscal Year 2013**

Retirement age:	(a) 65, or current age if later, for the PAP and (b) 62, or current age if later, for the Company's SERP
Discount Rate:	4.95 percent
Postretirement mortality:	Use of the applicable mortality table for 2013, as defined in Code Section 417(e)(3)

**Table of Contents**

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service(#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit(\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year(\$)
Kim R. Cocklin(a)	Pension Account Plan	7.33	148,550	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	7.33	8,921,464	
Bret J. Eckert(b)	Retirement Savings Plan & Trust	1.25	3,968	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	1.25	219,210	
Marvin L. Sweetin(c)	Pension Account Plan	13.33	155,079	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	1.83	119,798	
Louis P. Gregory(d)	Pension Account Plan	13.00	268,419	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	13.00	3,948,516	
Michael E. Haefner(c)	Pension Account Plan	5.25	89,107	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	5.25	1,455,245	

- (a) Mr. Cocklin is eligible for early retirement with an immediate PAP benefit and a reduced benefit under the SERP.
- (b) Mr. Eckert participates in the Retirement Savings Plan rather than the PAP since he joined the Company after September 30, 2010. Upon attainment of age 55, Mr. Eckert will be eligible for early retirement with a reduced benefit under the SERP.
- (c) Upon attainment of age 55, Messrs. Sweetin and Haefner will be eligible for early retirement with an immediate PAP benefit and a reduced benefit under the SERP.
- (d) Mr. Gregory is currently eligible for early retirement with an immediate PAP benefit and a reduced benefit under the SERP.

**Change in Control Severance Agreements**

We have entered into severance agreements with each of the current named executive officers to provide certain severance benefits for them in the event of the termination of their employment within three years following a change in control of the Company (as defined in the severance agreements and described generally below). In addition, such executive officer will be entitled to all rights and benefits, if any, provided under any other plan or agreement between him and the Company.

The severance agreement for each such named executive officer generally provides that the Company will pay such officer as severance pay in one lump sum an amount equal to (a) 2.5 times his total compensation (annual base salary and average amount of annual incentive compensation received under the Incentive Plan) and (b) for executives participating in the PAP, the total of (i) an amount that is actuarially equivalent to an additional three years of annual age and service credits payable to the officer under the PAP and (ii) an amount that is actuarially equivalent to an additional three years of Company matching contributions payable to the officer under the RSP or (c) for executives who are not participating in the PAP (i) an amount that is actuarially equivalent to an additional three years of fixed annual Company contributions and (ii) an amount that is actuarially equivalent to an additional three years of Company matching contributions payable to the officer under the RSP. The Company is also obligated to provide the officer with all medical, dental, vision and any other health benefits which qualify for continuation coverage under Code Section 4980B, for a period of 18 months from the date of termination. In addition, following the end of the 18-month period, the Company is to pay such officer a lump sum amount equal to the present value of the cost to the Company of providing those benefits to him for an additional 18-month period. Also, the Company must pay the officer a lump sum amount equal to the present value of the cost to the Company of providing accident and life insurance benefits as well as disability benefits for a period of 36 months from his date of termination, equal to such benefits in effect for the officer at the time of the change in control.

However, if an executive officer is terminated by the Company for cause (as defined in the severance agreement), or his employment is terminated by retirement, death or disability, the Company

## **Table of Contents**

is not obligated to pay such officer the lump sum severance payment. Further, if an executive officer voluntarily terminates his employment except for constructive termination (as defined in the severance agreement), the Company is not obligated to pay such officer the lump sum severance payment. The Company is not responsible for the payment of any excise tax gross-up payments which may be due on the payment of severance benefits to our named executive officers. As a result, if such lump sum severance benefit payments result in the imposition of excise taxes imposed by Section 4999 of the Code, the officer will have the option to elect to have the payment reduced to a level that will result in no payment of such excise tax by such officer.

For the purposes of these agreements, a change in control will generally be deemed to have occurred at any one of the following times:

on the date any person acquires ownership of common stock, that together with stock already held by such person, results in the person having beneficial ownership of 50 percent or more of the total fair market value or total voting power of our common stock;

on the date that a person acquires or has acquired over a 12-month period ownership of our common stock possessing 30 percent or more of the total voting power of our stock;

on the date a majority of the members of our Board is replaced during any 12-month period by directors whose election is not endorsed by a majority of the Board before the date of the election; or

on the date that a person acquires at least 40 percent of the total gross fair market value of our assets over a 12-month period immediately before such acquisition, except if such sale is to a person or entity owning, directly or indirectly, at least 50 percent of the total value or voting power of our common stock before such acquisition.

For the purposes of these severance agreements, cause means (i) the willful and continued failure by the employee to substantially perform his duties with the Company (other than any such failure resulting from his incapacity due to physical or mental illness) after a written demand for substantial performance is delivered to the employee by the Board that specifically identifies the manner in which the Board believes that the employee has not substantially performed his duties or (ii) an employee's willful engagement in conduct that is demonstrably and materially injurious to the Company, monetarily or otherwise. No act, or failure to act, on an employee's part shall be deemed willful unless done, or omitted to be done, by the employee not in good faith and without a reasonable belief that the action or omission was in the best interests of the Company. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the employee shall not be deemed to have been terminated for cause unless approved by an affirmative vote of not less than three-quarters (3/4) of the entire membership of our Board at a meeting called and held for such determination.

## **Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control**

*Payments Made Upon Any Termination.* Regardless of the manner in which a named executive officer's employment is terminated, he is entitled to receive the following amounts earned during his term of employment. Such amounts include:

amount of accrued but unpaid base salary;

amounts contributed under, or otherwise vested in our RSP;

amounts accrued and vested through our PAP and SERP;



**Table of Contents**

amounts attributable to the exercise of rights with respect to each outstanding and vested stock option granted under our LTIP; and

all outstanding grants of performance-based RSUs and time-lapse RSUs under our LTIP, are treated as discussed under *Payments Made Upon Retirement* .

*Payments Made Upon Retirement.* In the event of the retirement of a named executive officer, in addition to the items identified above, such named executive officer will be entitled to receive:

a *pro rata* portion, at the end of the three-year performance period, based on the number of months completed of such performance period, of each outstanding grant of performance-based RSUs under our LTIP, at a value equal to the actual level of performance achieved during the period; and

upon the termination of the restricted period, shares of stock equal to the number of time-lapse RSUs granted under our LTIP or issued as a result of an election to convert all or a portion of an Incentive Plan payment.

*Payments Made Upon Death or Disability.* In the event of the death or disability of a named executive officer, in addition to the benefits listed under the headings, *Payments Made Upon Any Termination* and *Payments Made Upon Retirement* , the named executive officer or designated beneficiary will receive benefits under the Company's disability plan or payments under the Company's life insurance plan, as appropriate.

*Payments Made Upon a Change in Control.* As discussed above in *Change in Control Severance Agreements*, beginning on page 58, we have also entered into severance agreements with each of the named executive officers to provide certain severance benefits for them in the event of the termination or constructive termination of their employment within three years following a change in control of the Company, as such terms are defined in the agreements. As is also discussed above, under the best net approach, the Company is not liable for the tax gross-up payments on behalf of those individuals whose severance payments would trigger excise tax penalties. In the tables below under the heading *Termination Upon Change in Control*, we assume the named executive officers would pay any related excise tax penalties. The severance agreement for each such executive officer provides that the Company will pay such executive officer a lump sum severance payment as described above in *Change in Control Severance Agreements*.

In the tables below, we have shown the severance compensation and employee benefits to be provided in the aggregate to each named executive officer in the event of each of five termination scenarios. In each scenario, there are differences in how retirement benefits and health and welfare benefits are determined. The discussion below provides more specific information on the retirement benefits and health and welfare benefits under each of the various scenarios.

*Termination Upon Death.* The SERP benefit is the sum of the following:

two times final average earnings (base salary plus annual payment under the Incentive Plan) less the amount paid through the Company's group life insurance plan;

a life annuity benefit of 50 percent of final average earnings (base salary plus annual payment under the Incentive Plan) payable to the surviving spouse;

**Table of Contents**

a temporary life annuity benefit of 25 percent of final average earnings (base salary plus annual payment under Incentive Plan) payable to dependent children until children reach the age of 18 years;

the PAP plan benefit equal to the account balance at the time of death; and

the RSP plan benefit equal to the account balance at the time of death.

*Termination Upon Disability.* The SERP benefit is the sum of the following:

a monthly benefit based on 60 percent of compensation (base salary plus annual payment under the Incentive Plan) less the amount paid from the Company's group disability plan, with this net benefit payable as a temporary benefit until the age of 65 years;

regular retirement benefit (described below in *Termination Upon Retirement*) payable after age of 65 years;

the PAP plan benefit equal to the value of the projected age 65 monthly benefit assuming level future earnings from date of disability; and

the RSP plan benefit equal to the account balance at the time of disability.

*Termination Upon Retirement.* For the named executive officers, their SERP benefit at retirement is the lump sum benefit based on a target benefit of 60 percent of final average earnings (base salary plus annual payment under the Incentive Plan) less an offset for the benefits to be paid from the tax-qualified PAP or RSP, as applicable, which would be reduced if retirement were to occur prior to age 62 or the service period in the SERP were less than ten years. In addition, the following benefits are payable at retirement:

the PAP plan benefit equal to the account balance at the time of retirement; and

the RSP plan benefit equal to the account balance at the time of retirement.

*Termination Without Cause.* The retirement benefits for a termination without cause are equal to the same retirement benefits as described above with respect to termination upon retirement.

*Termination Upon Change in Control.* The SERP benefit upon termination pursuant to a change in control is equal to the same retirement benefits as described above with respect to termination upon retirement with the following additional provisions:

there is no reduction applied to the earned benefit in the event that the executive has less than 10 years of service;

there is no reduction applied to the earned benefit for early commencement prior to age 62;

the executive officer is immediately vested in the accrued benefit;



## Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

the PAP benefit includes the accrued benefit at the time of termination plus an additional three years of earned compensation credits; and

the RSP benefit includes the accrued benefit at the time of termination plus an additional three years of Company matching contributions.

*Health and Welfare Benefits.* The Company only provides supplemental benefits in the form of health and welfare benefits in the event of the termination of a named executive officer pursuant to a change in control. The supplemental health and welfare benefits reported in the following tables

**Table of Contents**

represent the following benefits: (i) all medical, dental, vision and any other health benefits which qualify for continuation coverage under Code Section 4980B for a period of 18 months from the date of termination; (ii) payment of a lump sum equal to the present value of the cost to the Company of providing those benefits for an additional 18-month period; and (iii) payment of a lump sum equal to the present value of the cost to the Company of providing accident and life insurance benefits as well as disability benefits for a period of 36 months from his date of termination, equal to such benefits in effect for the officer at the time of the change in control.

*Potential Post-Employment Payment Tables.* The following tables reflect estimates of the total amount of compensation due each named executive officer in the event of such executive's termination of employment by reason of death, disability or retirement, termination of employment without cause, or termination of employment following a change in control. The amounts shown below assume that such termination was effective as of September 30, 2013 and are estimates of the amounts which would be paid out to the executives upon such termination. The actual amounts to be paid out can only be determined at the time of such executive's separation from the Company.

	Termination Upon Death(\$)	Termination Upon Disability(\$)	Termination Upon Retirement(\$)	Termination Without Cause(\$)	Termination Upon Change in Control(\$)
<b>Kim R. Cocklin</b>					
Cash Severance					5,118,405
Equity					
Time-Lapse Restricted Stock Units	4,311,641	4,311,641	4,311,641	4,311,641	4,311,641
Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units	1,286,039	1,286,039	1,286,039	1,286,039	1,286,039
Total	5,597,680	5,597,680	5,597,680	5,597,680	5,597,680
Retirement Benefits					
Pension Account Plan	138,626	221,307	138,626	138,626	201,326
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	15,830,695	10,695,239	10,851,356	10,851,356	15,423,470
Retirement Savings Plan	313,724	313,724	313,724	313,724	341,527
Total	16,283,045	11,230,270	11,303,706	11,303,706	15,966,323
Other Benefits					
Health & Welfare					44,941
Total	21,880,725	16,827,950	16,901,386	16,901,386	26,727,349

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Termination Upon Death(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Disability(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Retirement(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Without Cause(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Change in Control(\$)</b>
<b>Bret J. Eckert</b>					
Cash Severance					1,805,730
Equity					
Time-Lapse Restricted Stock Units	604,778	604,778			604,778
Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units	324,337	324,337			324,337
Total	929,115	929,115			929,115
Retirement Benefits					
Pension Account Plan					
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan		2,500,047			3,718,347
Retirement Savings Plan	10,666	10,666	10,666	10,666	66,272
Total	10,666	2,510,713	10,666	10,666	3,784,619
Other Benefits					
Health & Welfare					61,025
Total	939,781	3,439,828	10,666	10,666	6,580,489

	<b>Termination Upon Death(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Disability(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Retirement(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Without Cause(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Change in Control(\$)</b>
<b>Marvin L. Sweetin</b>					
Cash Severance					1,544,825
Equity					
Time-Lapse Restricted Stock Units	1,048,012	1,048,012			1,048,012
Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units	226,290	226,290			226,290
Total	1,274,302	1,274,302			1,274,302
Retirement Benefits					
Pension Account Plan					
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan		2,174,004			4,336,147
Retirement Savings Plan	489,327	489,327	489,327	489,327	517,130
Total	638,353	3,058,990	638,353	638,353	5,050,914
Other Benefits					
Health & Welfare					60,776
Total	1,912,655	4,333,292	638,353	638,353	7,930,817

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Termination Upon Death(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Disability(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Retirement(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Without Cause(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Change in Control(\$)</b>
<b>Louis P. Gregory</b>					
Cash Severance					1,611,323
Equity					
Time-Lapse Restricted Stock Units	1,709,137	1,709,137	1,709,137	1,709,137	1,709,137
Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units	226,290	226,290	226,290	226,290	226,290
Total	1,935,427	1,935,427	1,935,427	1,935,427	1,935,427
Retirement Benefits					
Pension Account Plan	253,253	414,193	253,253	253,253	315,953
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	4,975,312	4,864,241	4,487,761	4,487,761	5,168,028
Retirement Savings Plan	689,262	689,262	689,262	689,262	717,065
Total	5,917,827	5,967,696	5,430,276	5,430,276	6,201,046
Other Benefits					
Health & Welfare					61,025
Total	7,853,254	7,903,123	7,365,703	7,365,703	9,808,821

	<b>Termination Upon Death(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Disability(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Retirement(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Without Cause(\$)</b>	<b>Termination Upon Change in Control(\$)</b>
<b>Michael E. Haefner</b>					
Cash Severance					1,494,980
Equity					
Time-Lapse Restricted Stock Units	1,620,294	1,620,294			1,620,294
Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units	226,290	226,290			226,290
Total	1,846,584	1,846,584			1,846,584
Retirement Benefits					
Pension Account Plan	85,069	273,677	85,069	85,069	133,680
Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	4,779,716	3,024,402			4,793,944
Retirement Savings Plan	235,857	235,857	235,857	235,857	263,660
Total	5,100,642	3,533,936	320,926	320,926	5,191,284
Other Benefits					
Health & Welfare					60,610
Total	6,947,226	5,380,520	320,926	320,926	8,593,458

**Table of Contents**

**OTHER MATTERS**

**Shareholder Proposals**

In the event a shareholder intends to present a proposal at our annual meeting of shareholders on February 5, 2014, in accordance with the Company's bylaws, the shareholder must be a shareholder of record on the record date, December 10, 2013, who shall continue to be entitled to vote at the annual meeting and who mails a notice of such proposal so that it is received by the Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices by January 14, 2014 and includes the information required by the Company's bylaws. In the event a shareholder intends to present a proposal at our 2015 annual meeting of shareholders, if such proposal is to be included in our proxy statement relating to such meeting, it must be received by the Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices no later than August 22, 2014 and it must be prepared according to applicable law, as determined by the Company.

**Other Business**

We know of no other business that may come before the annual meeting. However, if any other matters are properly brought before the meeting by the management or any shareholder, it is the intention of each person named in the accompanying proxy to vote such proxy in accordance with his or her judgment on such matters. The proxy confers discretionary authority to the proxy holders to vote on any matter not included in this proxy statement that is properly presented to the shareholders at the meeting.

**Annual Report**

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2013, including the financial statements and the financial statement schedules included therein, accompanies this proxy statement. In addition, the exhibits of the Annual Report on Form 10-K are available upon payment of charges that approximate our cost of reproduction. **If you would like to receive a copy of these exhibits, please visit our website at [www.atmosenergy.com](http://www.atmosenergy.com), call Shareholder Relations at 972-855-3792 or mail your written request to Shareholder Relations, Atmos Energy Corporation, P.O. Box 650205, Dallas, Texas 75265-0205.**

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Louis P. Gregory

Senior Vice President, General Counsel

and Corporate Secretary

Dallas, Texas

December 20, 2013

**Table of Contents**

**Table of Contents**

*ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION*  
*C/O AMERICAN STOCK TRANSFER*  
*6201 15TH AVENUE*  
*BROOKLYN, NY 11219*

**VOTE BY INTERNET - [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com)**

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the website and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

**ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS**

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years. You may also request electronic delivery of proxy materials on our website at [www.atmosenergy.com](http://www.atmosenergy.com).

**VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903**

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

**VOTE BY MAIL**

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M64549-P44630-Z61896

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION ONLY

**THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.**

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

The Board of Directors recommends you vote

FOR the following listed nominees:

1. ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	For	Against	Abstain		For	Against	Abstain
<b>Nominees:</b>	..	..	..	ii. Richard A. Sampson	..	..	..
1a. Robert W. Best							
1b. Kim R. Cocklin	..	..	..	1j. Stephen R. Springer	..	..	..
1c. Richard W. Douglas	..	..	..	1k. Richard Ware II	..	..	..
1d. Ruben E. Esquivel	..	..	..	<b>The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR proposals 2 and 3.</b>			
1e. Richard K. Gordon	..	..	..				
1f. Robert C. Grable	..	..	..	2. Proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2014.	..	..	..
1g. Thomas C. Meredith	..	..	..	3. Proposal for an advisory vote by shareholders to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers for fiscal 2013 ( Say on Pay ).	..	..	..
1h. Nancy K. Quinn	..	..	..				

For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.

Please sign exactly as your name(s) appear(s) hereon. When signing as attorney, executor, administrator, or other fiduciary, please give full title as such. Joint owners should each sign personally. All holders must sign. If a corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name by authorized officer.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Date

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date



**Table of Contents**

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:**

The Notice and Proxy Statement, Summary Annual Report and Form 10-K are available at [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com).

Please date, sign and mail your proxy card back as soon as possible!

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

February 5, 2014

-Please Detach and Mail in Envelope Provided-

M64550-P44630-Z61896

**ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION**

**PROXY FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS**

**FEBRUARY 5, 2014**

The undersigned hereby appoints Robert W. Best and Nancy K. Quinn, or either of them, each with full power of substitution, to represent the undersigned at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Atmos Energy Corporation to be held at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time on February 5, 2014, at the Charles K. Vaughan Center, 3697 Mapleshade Lane, Plano, TX 75075, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof, and to vote the number of shares the undersigned would be entitled to vote if personally present at the meeting on the matters listed on the reverse side.

**THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION. THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED. IN THE ABSENCE OF DIRECTION, THIS PROXY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE NOMINEES FOR ELECTION, FOR PROPOSAL 2 AND FOR PROPOSAL 3. In their discretion, the proxy holders are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof, to the extent authorized by Rule 14a-4(c) promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and by applicable state laws (including matters that the proxy holders do not know, a reasonable time before this solicitation, are to be presented).**

**WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN PERSON, YOU ARE URGED TO COMPLETE THIS PROXY BY MAIL BY DATING, SIGNING AND PROMPTLY MAILING THIS PROXY IN THE ENCLOSED RETURN ENVELOPE SO THAT THE SHARES MAY BE REPRESENTED AT THE MEETING.**

Address Changes/Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

**Continued and to be signed on reverse side**