

ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC  
Form 10-K  
March 05, 2019  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**  
**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018**

**OR**

**TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number 001-33038**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)**

**Delaware**  
**(State or Other Jurisdiction of**  
**Incorporation or Organization)**

**One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard**  
**Plaza**

**Boston, Massachusetts**  
**(Address of Principal Executive Offices)**

**(617) 259-1970**

**84-1475642**  
**(IRS Employer**  
**Identification No.)**

**02129**  
**(Zip Code)**

**(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<b>Title of each class</b>	<b>Name of each exchange on which registered</b>
<b>Common Stock (par value \$0.001 per share)</b>	<b>Nasdaq Capital Market</b>
<b>Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None</b>	

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerate filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer

Non- Accelerated Filer

Accelerated Filer

Smaller Reporting Company

Emerging Growth Company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates was \$339,372,440 as of June 29, 2018 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter), based on a total of 112,374,980 shares of common stock held by non-affiliates and a closing price of \$3.02 as reported on the Nasdaq Capital Market on June 29, 2018. For purposes of this computation, all officers, directors, and 10% beneficial owners of the registrant are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination should not be deemed to be an admission that such officers, directors or 10% beneficial owners are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant.

As of February 21, 2019, there were 162,294,494 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, outstanding.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:**

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Portions of the definitive proxy statement for the registrant's 2019 annual meeting of stockholders, which is to be filed within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K, to the extent described in Part III.

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	4
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	33
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	64
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	64
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	65
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	65
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	66
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	67
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	68
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	81
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	81
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u>	81
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	81
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	82
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	83
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	83
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	83
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	83
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	83
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	84
Item 16. <u>Form 10-K Summary</u>	87
<u>Signatures</u>	88
<u>Financial Statements</u>	F-1

*All trademarks, trade names and service marks appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are the property of their respective owners*

**Table of Contents**

**Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, or Annual Report, contains forward-looking statements that are based on management's current beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to management. All statements other than statements of historical facts contained in this Annual Report are forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by words such as: anticipate, believe, estimate, expect, forecast, intend, may, plan, project, target, will and other words and terms of similar meaning.

These statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from the information expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Although we believe that we have a reasonable basis for each forward-looking statement contained in this Annual Report, we caution you that these statements are based on a combination of facts and factors currently known by us and our projections of the future, about which we cannot be certain. Forward-looking statements in this Annual Report include, but are not limited to, statements about:

our ability to raise substantial additional capital to fund our planned operations in the near term and to continue as a going concern;

our estimates regarding expenses, use of cash, timing of future cash needs and capital requirements;

the development of our product candidates, including statements regarding the timing of initiation, completion and the outcome of clinical studies or trials and related preparatory work and the period during which the results of the trials will become available;

our ability to advance our product candidates through various stages of development, especially through pivotal safety and efficacy trials;

the risk that final trial data may not support interim analysis of the viability of our product candidates;

our expectation regarding the safety and efficacy of our product candidates, the progress and timing of our research and development programs;

the timing, scope or likelihood of regulatory filings and approvals from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration or equivalent foreign regulatory agencies for our product candidates and for which indications;

our ability to license additional intellectual property relating to our product candidates from third parties and to comply with our existing license agreements;

our ability to enter into partnerships or achieve the results contemplated by our collaboration agreements and the benefits to be derived from relationships with collaborators;

developments and projections relating to competition from other pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies or our industry;

our estimates regarding the potential market opportunity for our product candidates;

the anticipated rate and degree of market acceptance of our product candidates for any indication, if approved;

the anticipated amount, timing and accounting of contract liability (formerly deferred revenue), milestones and other payments under licensing, collaboration or acquisition agreements, research and development costs and other expenses;

our intellectual property position, including the strength and enforceability of our intellectual property rights;

our ability to attract and retain qualified employees and key personnel;

**Table of Contents**

the impact of government laws and regulations in the United States and foreign countries; and

other risks and uncertainties, including those listed under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors .

Any forward-looking statements in this Annual Report reflect our current views with respect to future events and with respect to our future financial performance, and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, among other things, those described under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Annual Report. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements for any reason, even if new information becomes available in the future.

Unless the context requires otherwise, references in this Annual Report to Ziopharm, the Company, we, us and our refer to Ziopharm Oncology, Inc. and its subsidiaries.



---

**Table of Contents****PART I*****Item 1. Business******BUSINESS OVERVIEW***

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on discovering, acquiring, developing and commercializing next generation immunotherapy platforms that leverage cell- and gene-based therapies to treat patients with cancer. We are developing two immuno-oncology platform technologies that utilize the patient's immune system by employing novel, controlled gene expression and innovative cell engineering technologies to designed deliver safe, effective, and scalable cell- and viral-based therapies for the treatment of multiple cancer types. Our first platform is referred to as *Sleeping Beauty* and is based on the genetic engineering of immune cells using a non-viral transposon/transposase system to stably reprogram T cells outside of the body for subsequent infusion. Our second platform is termed Controlled IL-12, which is designed to stimulate expression of interleukin 12, or IL-12, a master regulator of the immune system, in a controlled and safe manner to focus the patient's immune system to attack cancer cells. We believe these two platforms will provide unique and powerful solutions to address the issues associated with (1) treating solid tumors with heterogeneous and unknown antigens, and (2) providing cost-effective scalable manufacturing solutions for T-cell receptor T-cell, or TCR<sup>+</sup> T, and chimeric antigen receptor, or CAR T-cell, or CAR<sup>+</sup> T, therapies for solid tumors and hematologic malignancies. We expect programs from our two platform technologies to be in the clinic in 2019.

Immuno-oncology, which typically utilizes a patient's own immune system to treat cancer, is one of the most actively pursued areas of research by biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies today. Cancer cells often contain new mutated proteins and may overexpress other proteins usually found in the body. The immune system typically recognizes unusual or aberrant cell protein expression and eliminates these cells in an efficient process known as immune surveillance. Central to immune surveillance are types of white blood cells known as T cells. In healthy individuals, T cells can identify and kill infected or abnormal cells, including cancer cells. Malignant cells develop the ability to evade immune surveillance, which is a key factor in their growth, spread, and persistence.

Our approach to immuno-oncology entails the application of engineering principles to biological systems for designing and constructing new biological systems or redesigning and modifying existing biological systems. This approach aims to engineer gene-based programs to modify cellular function to achieve a desired biological outcome, such as the survival of infused T cells, production of IL-12, or the safe elimination of cancerous cells.

Using our *Sleeping Beauty* platform, we are developing TCR<sup>+</sup> T therapies, initially to target solid tumors. Our T cell receptor, or TCR, program designs and manufactures T cells that target antigens unique to each patient, thereby delivering truly personalized therapy that can attack an individual patient's cancer. The *Sleeping Beauty* system uses DNA plasmids to reprogram T cells to express introduced TCRs on a patient-by-patient basis (addressing inter-tumor heterogeneity) and to express more than one TCR for each patient (addressing intra-tumor heterogeneity). We believe the scalability of our approach provides a competitive advantage to alternative viral-based approaches to T-cell manufacturing. Under our Cooperative Research and Development Agreement, or CRADA, the National Cancer Institute, or the NCI, intends to initiate a Phase 1 clinical trial in patients with a variety of solid tumors using the *Sleeping Beauty* platform to genetically modify T-cells to target patient-specific neoantigens in mid-2019. The clinical trial will be under the direction of Steven A. Rosenberg, M.D., Ph.D., Chief of the Surgery Branch at the NCI.

We are also developing CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies using our *Sleeping Beauty* platform. This CAR<sup>+</sup> T program seeks to solve the complex and costly manufacturing limitations of existing CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies that we believe will continue limiting their commercial potential. We believe using DNA plasmids in the *Sleeping Beauty* system to express CAR and our

proprietary membrane-bound interleukin 15, or mbIL15, in resting T cells obtained from peripheral blood will enable infused T cells to propagate within the patient to target leukemia and lymphoma, thus avoiding the need to numerically expand T cells for weeks in bioreactors before patient administration. We

**Table of Contents**

expect the lower cost of DNA plasmids compared with the virus used by other CAR<sup>+</sup> T programs, together with the avoidance of lengthy *ex vivo* manufacturing, will reduce the cost and complexity of manufacturing CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. These technologies should enable T cells to be infused within two days of gene transfer in a process we refer to as rapid personalized manufacture, or RPM. We are advancing our CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies in the United States in collaboration with The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, or MD Anderson, to target CD19 on malignant B cells. In 2019, we expect to initiate a Phase 1 clinical trial in the United States of our third-generation *Sleeping Beauty* modified CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, co-expressing CAR and mbIL15, manufactured and reinfused into the patient in less than two days from gene transfer. In addition, in a joint venture with TriArm Therapeutics, Ltd., or TriArm, we are forming Eden BioCell, Ltd., or Eden BioCell, to lead clinical development and commercialization of *Sleeping Beauty*-generated CD19-specific CAR-T therapies in the People's Republic of China, Taiwan and Korea. Eden BioCell will be owned equally by us and TriArm and the parties will share decision-making authority. TriArm has committed up to \$35.0 million to this joint venture and will manage all clinical development to execute trials in the territory. We expect our joint venture with TriArm to close in the first half of 2019 and we may evaluate additional programs to pursue in this joint venture.

Our Controlled IL-12 platform uses virotherapy based on an engineered replication-incompetent adenovirus (Ad-RTS-hIL-12) plus veledimex as a gene delivery system to conditionally produce IL-12, a potent, naturally occurring anti-cancer protein, to treat patients with solid tumors where a specific target is unknown, including brain cancer. Our Controlled IL-12 platform allows us to deliver IL-12 in a tunable dose, which we believe is critical for this potent cytokine. In a Phase 1 clinical trial of patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme, or rGBM, a subset of patients (n=6) who received low-dose steroids along with 20 mg of veledimex plus Ad-RTS-hIL-12, achieved 17.8 months median overall survival, or OS, compared with five to eight months OS established in historical controls. Thirty-six additional patients with rGBM have been recruited into a sub study designed to encourage use of low-dose steroids and 20 mg veledimex to further understand the potential of Controlled IL-12 as a monotherapy. We are also developing our Controlled IL-12 platform in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors. In June 2018, we began enrolling patients with rGBM to receive Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with OPDIVO® (nivolumab) in a Phase 1 dose-escalation trial. In November 2018, we announced a clinical supply agreement with Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Regeneron, to evaluate Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Regeneron's PD-1 antibody Libtayo® (cemiplimab-rwlc) for the treatment of patients with rGBM. We expect to initiate a Phase 2 clinical trial in the first half of 2019 in approximately 30 patients with rGBM to measure preliminary safety and efficacy of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Libtayo.

***OUR STRATEGY***

Our goal is to be a leading cell therapy company focused on discovering and developing TCR and CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies where the target of these T cells is known. We also seek to develop our Controlled IL-12 platform as both a monotherapy and in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors. We believe our ability to control IL-12 will allow us to use this platform to treat multiple types of cancers when the tumor target is unknown.

Key elements of our strategy include:

*Building an end-to-end TCR solution targeting solid tumors.* We believe that the NCI's study, expected to initiate in mid-2019, will represent the first time a non-viral, genetically engineered TCR<sup>+</sup> T-cell therapy will be administered to patients. We intend to strengthen our position in the field of T-cell targeting solid tumors by investing significantly to optimize and expand our process development and manufacturing capabilities, creating an end-to-end, scalable solution.

*Advancing our third generation CAR<sup>+</sup> T program.* We believe our CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies may solve the manufacturing difficulties limiting the commercial potential of other CAR<sup>+</sup> T programs. In 2019, we expect to initiate a U.S. Phase 1 clinical trial of our third generation *Sleeping Beauty*-modified CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, co-expressing mbIL15 with CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, manufactured and reinfused back into the patient in

## Table of Contents

less than two days from gene transfer. Our CAR<sup>+</sup>T program targeting CD19 on malignant B cells will be developed in collaboration with MD Anderson in the United States and with Eden BioCell in greater China, assuming the closing of this joint venture. Under our exclusive license agreement with Precigen, Inc., we also have rights to a second, unnamed CAR target.

*Executing on the clinical trials of our Controlled IL-12 platform as both a monotherapy and in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors.* In 2019, we will execute on several clinical trials to treat rGBM with our Controlled IL-12 program as both a monotherapy and in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors, such as PD-1 inhibitors, with top-line data likely in 2020. We are preparing our Controlled IL-12 platform to enter Phase 3 clinical trials following the completion of our ongoing and planned Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials. Our Controlled IL-12 platform may enable the treatment of a broad range of solid tumors and we expect to explore additional indications to pursue with one or more partners.

*Selectively collaborating with third parties that provide complementary technologies or capabilities.* We expect to collaborate selectively with companies that have enabling technologies or other capabilities to accelerate the development of our programs. In any collaboration, we expect to retain development control or receive significant economic and commercial rights to our product candidates.

*Creating a leading, fully integrated biotechnology company focused on advancing our oncology platforms through clinical trials.* Following the execution of our exclusive license agreement with Precigen, Inc. on October 5, 2018, we maintain full development control of our programs. We now seek to supplement our existing team with additional research and development depth, particularly focused on cell therapy, in order to accelerate the execution of our clinical programs. In addition, we expect to continue expanding our management team and board of directors.

### *SLEEPING BEAUTY PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY*

We are pursuing non-viral genetic engineering technologies to develop novel CAR<sup>+</sup> T and TCR therapies. The platform we have licensed from MD Anderson uses the *Sleeping Beauty* non-viral genetic modification system to generate and characterize new CAR and TCR designs in T cells.

#### *Limitations of Existing Approaches to Manufacturing T-Cell Therapies*

T cells are a type of white blood cell that play a central role in the immune system. T cells are involved in both detecting and killing infected or abnormal cells, such as cancer cells, as well as coordinating immune responses. In recent years, companies have begun developing therapies that include T cells engineered specifically for each patient. Manufacturing such products is separated into discrete steps and typically undertaken at a centralized facility. The production time varies from approximately two to four weeks with additional time needed for quality control requirements. Manufacturing based on viral transduction, propagation and shipping has many drawbacks:

*Time to manufacture.* The need to propagate (numerically expand) T cells requires the product be in culture in compliance with current manufacturing practice, or cGMP, during which the intended recipient may be unable to receive the genetically modified T cells.

*Expense of production.* The need to generate virus and the production time with the associated logistical complications increase the cost of manufacturing the genetically modified T cells.

*Required lymphodepletion.* The infusion of T cells that have been propagated *ex vivo*, or outside the body, tends to make them dependent on cytokines to survive and thrive after infusion. This has resulted in the use of chemotherapy and other approaches of immunosuppression to free up pro-survival cytokines, such as endogenous IL-15, in the recipient prior to the administration of T cells. Lymphodepletion facilitates the sustained persistence of genetically-modified T cells in the patient, but it exposes the patient to medical complications, raises expense, and limits the ability of the technology to be scaled as the administration of chemotherapy requires specialized centers.

## Table of Contents

*Toxicity.* Infusing large numbers of T cells recognizing a single antigen, such as CD19, commonly places the recipient at risk from the synchronous activation of these T cells resulting in cytokine release syndrome and other associated toxicities, which can be severe and life threatening.

We believe these disadvantages limit the commercial potential of CAR-T therapies and will restrict companies from commercializing effective TCR therapies.

### *Sleeping Beauty Solution*

The *Sleeping Beauty* system is a gene transfer method that utilizes a transposase enzyme to cut and paste donor transposon DNA from introduced plasmid into chromosomes using a process called transposition. The system can be used to deliver genes to a variety of cell types including human T cells. *Sleeping Beauty* transposons appear to integrate in a random distribution at thymine-adenine, or TA, dinucleotide sites, making them less likely to cause off-target effects when compared to other transposons and viral gene delivery methods.

We use the *Sleeping Beauty* system to express TCRs that target patients' antigens as well as CARs that enable a T cell to recognize specific proteins or antigens that are present on the surface of other cells. Our third generation CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapy uses the *Sleeping Beauty* system to co-express our proprietary mbIL15 and a kill switch along with the CAR. Interleukin 15 (IL-15) has a variety of apparently beneficial effects as it is considered a pro-survival cytokine that promotes survival of T cells. Our pre-clinical data suggest that incorporating mbIL15 into a CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapy enhances *in vivo* persistence of the CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell.

We believe our *Sleeping Beauty* platform has several advantages compared with the viral gene transfer technologies used by other CAR-T and TCR companies:

*Reduced costs.* By using DNA plasmid and avoiding the time-consuming and laborious manufacture of virus, our *Sleeping Beauty* technology may reduce the manufacturing expense and challenges associated with viral gene transfer systems in creating T-cells engineered to express CAR and TCR.

*Shortened manufacturing.* We expect the T-cell manufacturing process with *Sleeping Beauty* to significantly shorten virus-based manufacturing times. In the preclinical setting, the time to administration of third-generation *Sleeping Beauty*-modified T cells co-expressing mbIL15 and a kill switch has been shortened to two days or less from gene transfer. This reduction in time is primarily achieved through the elimination of the need for *in vitro* T-cell activation and propagation which avoids the need to culture T cells, which can take between approximately two and four weeks.

*Potential to avoid lymphodepletion.* The addition of our proprietary mbIL15 likely enables the administration of CAR-expressing younger T cells with an ability to be long-lived after infusion. The ability of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells to signal via mbIL15 increases CAR persistence and has the potential to eliminate lymphodepletion as the T cells rely on their own source of this pro-survival cytokine rather than scavenging endogenous soluble IL-15 from the recipient.

*Customizable therapies.* Our *Sleeping Beauty* platform may allow us to manufacture more customizable therapies, therefore enabling us to provide personalized TCR<sup>+</sup> T-cell therapy against unique, and potentially

multiple, patient-specific neoantigens.

*Potential improved safety profile.* Given the inclusion of mbIL15, we expect the T cells in our CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies to engraft from low starting (infusion) numbers. We believe this reduced T-cell dose may reduce the side effects caused by cytokine release syndrome, which is often experienced by patients receiving larger infusions of CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells.

*Local Manufacturing.* Our *Sleeping Beauty* technology enables the potential for a hospital-based manufacturing model rather than the centralized manufacturing approach currently being employed for other CAR<sup>+</sup> T-cell products.



**Table of Contents**

*SLEEPING BEAUTY TCR PROGRAM*

*Background*

Each T cell has a unique alpha/beta TCR and an ability to rapidly increase in numbers when the TCR interrogates a target and detects a threat. A TCR can recognize cancer cells as a threat as the receptor docks with a specialized set of molecules on the cancer cell surface called the major histocompatibility complex, or MHC. The MHC reveals the health of a cell based on the loading of peptides (processed protein), which then await examination by unique TCRs on populations of T cells. Two types of MHC, Class I and Class II, are interrogated by TCRs on T cells. Class I molecules activate CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells which have evolved an ability to be efficient killers. Class II molecules activate CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells which help coordinate an efficient immune response. In each person, there are both many different TCR structures and many different MHC structures. TCRs within each person are adapted to work with their own MHC structures or alleles. For a T cell to recognize and destroy a tumor cell, the TCR must recognize the foreign antigen in the context of MHC and then be activated to deepen the engagement to kill the cell. This is different than CARs, which directly recognize antigens, such as CD19 on the surface of malignant B cells, without the need for presentation by MHC.

Genes in cancer cells can lead to the production of proteins, which are then processed by the cell into protein fragments known as peptides. When these peptides are presented to T cells by MHC, by either tumor cells or antigen presenting cells, and they result in T-cell activation, they are known as antigens. When these presented peptides are derived from proteins which are in turn expressed from genes that are mutated only in tumor cells, they are known as neoantigens. Tumor cells presenting neoantigens via MHC are targets for T cells. T cells can recognize and kill neoantigen-presenting cancer cells and effect a positive feedback loop to heighten the immune response.

The immune system avoids targeting the body's own healthy cells principally through processes known as immune tolerance by which T cells do not respond to MHCs containing peptides from normal proteins and therefore avoid targeting healthy cells for destruction. The recognition by the TCR of peptide presented by the MHC is a vital immune mechanism that allows the body both to respond against foreign threats, including cancer, as well as to avoid targeting the body's own healthy cells.

Tumors utilize a variety of strategies to evade and suppress the host immune system. This renders T cells residing within the tumor, referred to as tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, or TIL, ineffective and, despite expressing tumor-specific TCRs, unable to recycle their effector functions to eliminate tumor. To overcome immune suppression, fresh T cells are needed, such as those found in the peripheral blood. However, these circulating T cells do not typically express tumor-specific TCRs in sufficient numbers. We seek to address this problem by genetically modifying peripheral blood-derived T cells to express TCRs with specificity to tumor-derived antigens, especially neoantigens, and propagating them to sufficient numbers prior to administration.

## **Table of Contents**

The figure below describes how T-cell recognition of genetic mutations leads to the killing of tumor cells.

### ***Targeting Neoantigens***

Neoantigens are encoded by tumor-specific mutated genes that are often unique to each patient. During cancer initiation and progression, tumor cells acquire mutations in naturally-occurring genes that are either responsible for transformation, known as driver mutations, or are a byproduct of the genomic instability that accompanies cancer formation, known as passenger mutations.

Several companies are pursuing public antigens that are encoded within a patient's normal germline, such as PRAME, MAGE series, and NY-ESO-1. Targeting these antigens when they occur in tumors typically enables a library of pre-assembled TCRs to be created as proteins from these germline targets can be shared within cancer types between patients. We believe there are several drawbacks to relying solely on this approach:

Public antigens are not present in many tumors, which limits their appeal.

Public antigens are often not homogeneously expressed throughout the tumor because they are typically not driver mutations, which increases the likelihood that the infused TCR-modified T cells will not deliver a complete response.

Public antigens are, by definition, also coded within the germline, leading to endogenous TCRs used for cloning and re-expression having weak affinity and the native T cell unable to recognize the cancer due to immune tolerance. As a result, *ex vivo* genetic alteration of the alpha and beta chains of the TCR is likely required to improve affinity, which increases the likelihood of on-target, but off-tissue toxicity resulting in adverse events.

We believe the superior approach is to genetically modify T cells to target each patient's neoantigens. This requires a personal approach to T-cell therapy in which the introduced TCRs recognize the neoantigens of a patient's tumor. These neoantigens can be driver mutations and, therefore, the cancer cell relies upon their presence rendering it less likely the tumor can escape and thus relapse from this form of targeted T-cell therapy. We believe that neoantigen-targeted therapies will improve patient outcomes, particularly for patients with solid tumors.

## Table of Contents

There are three essential steps in creating a T-cell therapy targeting personalized neoantigens:

1. *Detecting and prioritizing neoantigens.* Detecting a patient's unique set of neoantigens requires one or more samples of the patient's malignant tissue(s) and sampling of normal cells, followed by sequencing to reveal a catalog of candidate neoantigens that are found in the tumor cells, but not in normal cells. Bioinformatics can be used to prioritize the candidate neoantigens that are driver mutations.
2. *Detecting and prioritizing TCRs.* Only a subset of candidate sequence changes are neoantigens as defined by their ability to stimulate a T-cell response. Validating targets requires the presentation of candidate neoantigens via MHC with T cells to be co-cultured with antigen presenting cells to efficiently identify the reactive T cells. One or more of the TCRs from individual reactive T cells are then sequenced.
3. *Manufacturing TCR<sup>+</sup> T cells.* The sequence of one or more TCRs recognizing neoantigens are placed into DNA plasmids as *Sleeping Beauty* transposons. These DNA plasmids are inserted into T cells derived from peripheral blood using a process called electroporation. T cells stably expressing the introduced TCR(s) are then propagated to produce the TCR<sup>+</sup> T cells in clinically-sufficient numbers before they are released for administration into a patient.

The process for the production and infusion of *Sleeping Beauty* TCR-modified T cells is based on the electro-transfer of DNA plasmids containing coding for TCR(s) recognizing one or more neoantigens into T cells derived from a patient's peripheral blood. The TCR is sequenced from TIL responding to the targeted neoantigens. Following electroporation, the genetically modified T cells are propagated to large numbers based on the rapid expansion protocol which is a technology that has been shown to generate T cells that can recognize and eliminate solid tumors. We believe the use of circulating T cells, rather than TIL, will improve the T cell's ability to kill tumor cells because these lymphocytes are generally young and can proliferate and survive in vivo to provide anti-tumor effects.

To be successful, genetically modified T cells targeting one or more neoantigens will need to address the fact that (1) among a population of patients, not all tumors express the targeted neoantigen, referred to as inter-tumor heterogeneity, and (2) within a single patient, not all tumor cells express the targeted antigen, referred to as

## **Table of Contents**

intra-tumor heterogeneity. Inter-tumor heterogeneity limits the number of recipients that are eligible to receive a treatment and intra-tumor heterogeneity creates the risk of antigen-escape variants, increasing the likelihood of cancer relapse. As a result, we believe companies developing T-cell therapies targeting neoantigens must address both inter- and intra-tumor heterogeneity.

### ***Clinical Development of TCR***

We believe that a non-viral platform represents the only commercially feasible way of manufacturing neoantigen therapies due to the obstacles presented by inter-tumor heterogeneity and intra-tumor heterogeneity. In 2017, we entered into a CRADA with the NCI for the development of adoptive cell transfer-based immunotherapies to treat solid tumors.

The process used by the NCI under the CRADA, and the process we expect to use the future, includes three-linked parts. The first is identifying one or more neoantigens that underlie the changes from a normal to a malignant cell. The second is the identification of one or more TCRs that recognize the neoantigens. The third is the *ex vivo* manufacturing of therapeutic T cells with specificity redirected to the desired neoantigens through the expression of the isolated TCRs. This last step, the production of T cells, is based on the use of the *Sleeping Beauty* system to stably express TCRs. Once the patient-derived T cells are genetically modified, they are propagated based on the NCI's established technology referred to as rapid expansion protocol which has produced clinical-grade T cells resulting in anti-tumor effects in other studies, including in patients with solid tumors. It is anticipated that patients will receive populations of T cells genetically modified to express more than one TCR so that more than one neoantigen can be targeted in the patient. We expect infusing multiple TCRs per patient will reduce the probability of leaving some cancer cells unaddressed, lowering the risk of cancer relapse.

Under our CRADA, the NCI will perform clinical evaluations of the ability of these *Sleeping Beauty*-engineered T cells to express TCRs that are reactive against neoantigens to mediate cancer regression in patients with refractory (*e.g.*, metastatic) solid tumors for several tumor types, including gastrointestinal and genitourinary, breast, ovarian, non-small cell lung cancer and glioblastoma. This research is being conducted at the NCI under the direction of Steven A. Rosenberg, M.D., Ph.D., Chief of the Surgery Branch at the NCI. We expect the NCI will begin treating patients in this clinical trial in mid-2019.

### ***Solid Tumor Malignancy Market***

Cancer is the second most common cause of death in the United States, accounting for nearly one of every four deaths. Approximately 1,735,350 new cancer cases were expected to be diagnosed, and 609,640 cancer deaths expected to occur, in the United States in 2018 according to the American Cancer Society. Of these, the majority were caused by solid tumors. Invasive cancer, such as malignancies of epithelial tissue represent 80% to 90% of all cancer cases according to the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program of the National Cancer Institute. These diseases include colorectal, lung, ovarian, skin, bladder, head and neck cancers, among others.

### ***SLEEPING BEAUTY CAR-T PROGRAM***

#### ***Background***

We are developing CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell therapies targeting CD19 for hematologic malignancies using our *Sleeping Beauty* platform. Our CAR<sup>+</sup> T program is focused on (1) shortening the time the patient must wait for treatment with engineered T cells, (2) increasing the access of hospitals to deliver, and patients to receive, this therapy, and (3) providing safe and efficacious personalized T-cell therapies to patients.

CARs are engineered molecules that, when present on the surface of a T cell, enable the T cell to directly recognize specific proteins or antigens that are present on the surface of other cells. Autologous CAR<sup>+</sup> T-cell therapies are manufactured individually for the recipient's use by modifying the patient's own T cells outside the body, causing the T cells to stably express CARs. Our CAR<sup>+</sup> T program is focused on CD19, which is a protein expressed on the cell surface of B cells and a validated target for B cell driven hematological malignancies.

---

**Table of Contents**

Two autologous anti-CD19 CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell therapies have been approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for the treatment of relapsed/refractory (R/R) B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Kymriah<sup>®</sup>) and R/R large B-cell lymphoma (Kymriah<sup>®</sup> and Yescarta<sup>®</sup>). These approaches have been successful in helping patients fight cancer, in particular CD19-positive cancers, resulting in significant remission rates. However, we anticipate that the viral manufacturing approaches used to manufacture these therapies will limit their commercial success.

We believe our *Sleeping Beauty* CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapy will offer distinct advantages to the approach used by other CAR-T cell companies. In particular, the ability of the DNA plasmids from the *Sleeping Beauty* system to integrate into resting T cells, coupled with expression of mbIL15 and CAR, will enable infused T cells to propagate within the patient to target leukemias and lymphoma, thereby avoiding the need to numerically expand T cells for weeks in bioreactors before administration. The reduced cost associated with using DNA plasmids, instead of virus and avoiding lengthy *ex vivo* manufacturing, and the flexibility to insert industry leading CAR technology in a cassette based approach, provides a solution to the cost and complexity of the current approach to manufacturing CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells.

#### *Clinical Development of CAR<sup>+</sup> T*

*First generation.* We entered the clinic in 2015 with CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies utilizing the non-viral genetic modification capabilities of the *Sleeping Beauty* system. These trials used first-generation technologies, the results from which were published in the *Journal of Clinical Investigation* in September 2016.

An update on patients in the first-generation trials was presented in a poster at the 2017 Annual Meeting of ASH. The trials demonstrated that first-generation *Sleeping Beauty*-modified CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells appear to provide long-term cancer control when infused after hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation, or HSCT, for patients with advanced CD19<sup>+</sup> malignancies.

All seven patients with advanced CD19<sup>+</sup> non-Hodgkin's lymphoma that received autologous T-cells were alive with a median survival of 40 months since T-cell infusion. We reported the proportion of patients who were alive was 100% and progression free was 86%. For 19 patients with advanced CD19<sup>+</sup> acute lymphoblastic leukemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma infused with allogeneic T-cells following HSCT, nine patients were alive with a median survival of 31 months. The proportion of patients reported alive was 49% and the proportion of patients that were progression free was 32%. Of the subset of eight patients who received donor-derived T-cells after haploidentical HSCT, the proportion of patients who were alive was 63% and the proportion of patients that were progression free was 50%. These survival rates compare favorably with historical data for patients receiving HSCT without CAR<sup>+</sup> T administration. Patients receiving autologous HSCT have been reported to have a 3-year progression free survival of 49%. Patients receiving just allogeneic HSCT have been reported to have a one-year OS of 20-34%, and haploidentical (haplo) allogeneic HSCT patients have a 3-year OS of 37% and disease-free survival of 31%.

Persistence of circulating *Sleeping Beauty*-modified CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells was demonstrated at two years in an autologous and allogeneic patient and for four years in two autologous patients.

*Second generation.* We are currently enrolling patients in an investigator-led Phase 1 trial using second-generation CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells with a revised CAR structure in patients with advanced lymphoid malignancies at MD Anderson. Our second-generation CD19 trial employs a revised CAR design and shortened manufacturing process advancement, with culturing times as short as two weeks.

A summary of this ongoing trial was presented by Dr. Partow Kebriaei of MD Anderson in a presentation at the 2017 Annual Meeting of ASH in December 2017. Interim data from the trial demonstrated that autologous T-cells infused

after lymphodepleting chemotherapy could be detected and exhibited anti-tumor effects and had an encouraging safety profile in patients with relapsed/refractory CD19<sup>+</sup> malignancies. Complete responses at

## **Table of Contents**

one month were reported in four of eight patients with either ALL (n=5), chronic lymphocytic leukemia (n=1), or diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (n=2), with two morphologic complete responses at three months. Follow-up blood tests demonstrated sustained persistence of infused T-cells and targeting of malignant and normal B cells. There were no dose limiting toxicities with only grade 1 or 2 adverse events being reported. T-cell dose escalation continues and we have recently completed Cohort 3. We anticipate stopping enrollment in this trial when our third-generation trial moves forward in the clinic, as further described below.

*Third generation.* In the preclinical setting, the time to manufacture and administer third-generation *Sleeping Beauty*-modified CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells co-expressing mbIL15 has been reduced to two days or less from gene transfer. This very rapid manufacturing process likely delivers genetically modified T cells with superior therapeutic potential *in vivo*. Preclinical studies of third-generation *Sleeping Beauty* CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, presented at the 2017 Annual Meeting of ASH, demonstrated that a single dose of T cells co-expressing a CD19-specific CAR, mbIL15, and kill switch resulted in sustained *in vivo* persistence that produced potent anti-tumor effects and superior leukemia-free survival in mice.

In June 2018, we announced the FDA placed our investigator-led Investigational New Drug, or IND, application on clinical hold for the proposed Phase 1 trial to evaluate CD19-specific CAR-T therapies very rapidly manufactured at MD Anderson. The FDA has requested additional information relating to chemistry, manufacturing and controls, specifically requesting that the product meet a minimum threshold for cell viability. By applying improved principles of engineering and cell processing, we have made progress to achieve this threshold in manufacturing runs. Thus, we expect to respond to the FDA and begin treating patients in the second half of 2019.

### *Joint Venture with Eden BioCell Limited*

In December 2018, we announced that, in conjunction with TriArm Therapeutics, Ltd., or TriArm, we would launch Eden BioCell, Ltd., or Eden BioCell, to lead clinical development and commercialization of *Sleeping Beauty*-generated CAR-T therapies in the People's Republic of China (including Macau and Hong Kong), Taiwan and Korea. TriArm is a privately-owned cell therapy company with operations in Germany, China and the United States that was formed by Panacea Venture Healthcare, a fund co-founded and managed by James Huang, Managing Partner of Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers China.

We expect to license the rights to Eden BioCell for third-generation *Sleeping Beauty*-generated CAR-T therapies targeting the CD19 antigen. Eden BioCell will be owned equally by us and TriArm and the parties will share decision-making authority. TriArm has committed up to \$35.0 million to this joint venture and will manage all clinical development to execute trials in the territory. We expect our joint venture with TriArm to close in the first half of 2019.

### *Hematologic Tumor Malignancy Market*

According to the Leukemia and Lymphoma Society, an estimated 174,250 people are expected to be diagnosed with leukemia, lymphoma, or myeloma in 2018. New diagnoses for such hematologic malignancies in the United States represented approximately 10% of the new cancer cases in the United States in 2018.

## **CONTROLLED IL-12 PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY**

### *Background*



Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex is our gene delivery system to regulate production of IL-12, a potent, naturally occurring anti-cancer protein which functions as a master regulator of the immune system. We control the generation of recombinant IL-12 using a replication-incompetent adenoviral, or Ad, vector administered via a

**Table of Contents**

single injection of virus into the brain tumor and engineered to conditionally express human IL-12, or hIL-12. The conditional expression of hIL-12 is modulated with the RheoSwitch Therapeutic System® (RTS®) by the small molecule veledimex, an activator ligand orally administered that has been shown to cross the blood-brain barrier.

In this way, Ad-RTS-hIL-12 is administered within the tumor under the control of the RTS switch. Activation of the switch, and therefore conditional gene expression and subsequent IL-12 protein production, is tightly controlled by the activator ligand, veledimex, delivered to the patient as a daily oral capsule, typically over 14 days. When veledimex is administered to a patient, the switch is turned on and IL-12 is produced; when veledimex is withdrawn, the switch is turned off and production of recombinant IL-12 ceases. The amount of IL-12 produced is proportional to the dosing of veledimex which further enhances control of this cytokine.

The recombinant IL-12 appears to be biologically active as, for example, it can stimulate production of the body's own interferon-gamma, or IFN-g. IL-12 is a potent pro-inflammatory cytokine capable of reversing immune escape mechanisms and improving the function of tumor fighting natural killer, or NK, cells and T cells.

Controlled IL-12 has been shown to biologically turn cold tumors hot. In our clinical trials, we have seen deep and sustained infiltration of activated T cells (i.e., hot tumors) where previously there had been very little T cell infiltration (i.e., cold tumors). Data from repeat biopsies obtained four to six months following administration of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex has shown an increased and sustained infiltration of activated T-cells producing IFN-g within the brain-tumor lesion. Data from our Phase 1 monotherapy clinical trial provided compelling evidence from biopsies, taken more than four months after administration of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex, demonstrating that Controlled IL-12 causes a sustained influx of activated killer (CD8<sup>+</sup>) T cells into brain tumors. These data also show upregulated expression of PD-1/PDL-1 biomarkers, suggesting that the combination of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex with an immune checkpoint inhibitor, such as targeting PD-1, may improve patient outcomes.

These data are consistent with our biopsy data from patients with breast cancer and melanoma that received Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex.

**Table of Contents***Clinical Development of Controlled IL-12*

We have tested Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex in several Phase 1 and 2 clinical trials for the treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma, breast cancer and brain cancer. We have focused much of our efforts in developing Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex, as both a monotherapy and in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors, for adults and children with recurrent brain tumors. We believe Controlled IL-12 may have broad applicability and we may explore initiating clinical trials in additional oncology indications as a monotherapy or in combination with checkpoint inhibitors, either alone or with partners.

*Monotherapy: Clinical Development Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus Veledimex for Adult rGBM.* Our multi-center Phase 1 trial in patients with rGBM, which was initiated in June 2015, continues to show promising data with preliminary evidence of a survival benefit and a predictable and manageable safety profile. The primary objective of the Phase 1 trial is to determine the safety and tolerability of a single intra-tumoral Ad-RTS-hIL-12 injection activated upon dosing with oral veledimex. Secondary objectives are to determine the maximum tolerated dose, the immune responses elicited, and assessment of biologic response. The trial enrolled patients at doses ranging from 10 mg to 40 mg of veledimex.

A subset of six subjects in this clinical trial who received low-dose steroids (less than 20 mg of dexamethasone) along with 20 mg of veledimex achieved 17.8 months median OS compared with five to eight months OS established in historical controls. In our Phase 1 trial in rGBM, Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex continues to be safe and well tolerated, with adverse events, or AEs, that were predictable and reversible, neurologic AEs that were relatively mild and transient, and with no drug-related deaths.

In 2018, we initiated an expansion sub study to enroll additional subjects taking 20 mg of veledimex, which is now fully enrolled with an additional 36 subjects. Based on the promising results seen in the six patients that received low-dose steroids in the original Phase 1 clinical trial, a goal of the sub study was to increase the patient population enrolled with 20 mg of veledimex as well low doses of steroids. At least 15 of the subjects in our expansion study received low-dose steroids (dexamethasone), bringing the total to at least 21 subjects in this subset.

**Results from Phase 1 Clinical Trial of Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus Veledimex for Adult rGBM**

	<b>Veledimex 20mg Cohort Craniotomy Group</b>			<b>Veledimex 20mg Cohort Stereotactic Group</b>			
<b>Cohort</b>	<b>Dexamethasone Use (Days 0-14)</b>	<b>mOS (months)</b>	<b>Lower bound</b>	<b>Upper bound</b>	<b>Mean F/U</b>	<b>No. Events</b>	<b>No. Censored</b>
20 mg Veledimex Craniotomy	£20 mg	17.8	14.6	23.7	18.4	6	0
	>20 mg	6.4	1.8	12.7	9.6	9	0
20 mg Veledimex Stereotactic	£20 mg	Not reached	1.7	Not reached	9.5	2	2
	>20 mg	1.9	1.3	8.7	3.9	3	0

---

## **Table of Contents**

*Combination Therapy.* We have initiated a Phase 1 clinical trial to evaluate Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Bristol-Myers Squibb Company's OPDIV<sup>®</sup> (nivolumab), an immune checkpoint inhibitor, or PD-1 inhibitor, in adult patients with rGBM. This trial was initiated in 2018 and will explore the potentially synergistic effect of this combination in up to 18 patients. We expect to complete enrollment in the second quarter of 2019.

In November 2018, we announced a clinical supply agreement with Regeneron to evaluate Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Regeneron's PD-1 antibody Libtayo<sup>®</sup> (cemiplimab-rwlc) to treat patients with rGBM. Libtayo has been approved in the United States for the treatment of patients with metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, or CSCC, or locally advanced CSCC who are not candidates for curative surgery or curative radiation. We expect to initiate a Phase 2 clinical trial in the first half of 2019 in approximately 30 patients with rGBM to measure preliminary safety and efficacy of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Libtayo. Under the terms of our agreement, we will be responsible for the conduct and costs of the clinical trial, and Regeneron will supply Libtayo for the trial. We may potentially explore the Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Libtayo in additional indications.

*Monotherapy: Pediatrics.* In 2017, we dosed the first patient in a Phase 1 clinical trial of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex for the treatment of pediatric brain tumors. This open label trial will assess the safety and tolerability of a single intra-tumoral injection of Ad-RTS-hIL-12, and is conducted in two groups. The first is comprised of pediatric patients with recurrent or progressive supratentorial brain tumors, while the second comprises pediatric patients with glioma in the pontine region of the brain, known as diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma, or DIPG. This Phase 1 trial is being conducted at leading pediatric cancer centers across the United States, including Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital in Chicago, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute in Boston and the University of California, San Francisco.

*Glioblastoma Market.* We are currently developing Controlled IL-12 to treat patients with rGBM. Glioblastoma is an aggressive primary brain tumor affecting approximately 74,000 people worldwide each year; it is a fast-growing, aggressive type of central nervous system tumor, with an estimated 12,760 new adult cases predicted in the United States for 2018 according to the American Brain Tumor Association. Recurrence rates for this type of cancer are near 90 percent, and prognosis for adult patients is poor with treatment often combining multiple approaches including surgery, radiation and chemotherapy.

Recurrent glioblastoma is an aggressive cancer with one of the lowest 3-year survival rates, at 3%, among all cancers. For patients who have experienced multiple recurrences, the prognosis is particularly poor, with a OS of six to seven months, while overall survival in patients who have failed temozolomide and bevacizumab, or equivalent salvage chemotherapy, is approximately three to five months. Given the poor overall prognosis and lack of effective treatments, new therapeutic approaches for malignant gliomas are needed.

In children, the incidence of brain cancer is approximately 4.84 per 100,000, according to the NCI. DIPG accounts for approximately 15 percent of all cases of pediatric brain tumors, with a median survival time of less than one year. Because of where these tumors are situated, DIPG is inaccessible to surgery and there are no known curative options.

## ***License Agreements, Intellectual Property and Other Agreements***

Our goal is to obtain, maintain, and enforce patent protection for our products, formulations, processes, methods, and other proprietary technologies to preserve our trade secrets and to operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of other parties. Our policy is to actively seek the broadest possible intellectual property protection for our product candidates through a combination of contractual arrangements and patents, both in the United States and abroad.



---

**Table of Contents**

*Exclusive License Agreement with Precigen, Inc.*

On October 5, 2018, we entered into an exclusive license agreement, or the License Agreement, with Precigen, Inc., or Precigen, a wholly owned subsidiary of Intrexon Corporation, or Intrexon. As between us and Precigen, the terms of the License Agreement replace and supersede the terms of: (a) that certain Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement by and between us and Intrexon, dated January 6, 2011, as amended by the First Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement effective September 13, 2011, the Second Amendment to the Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement effective March 27, 2015, and the Third Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement effective June 29, 2016, which was subsequently assigned by Intrexon to Precigen; (b) certain rights and obligations pursuant to that certain License and Collaboration Agreement effective March 27, 2015 between us, Intrexon and ARES TRADING Trading S.A., or Ares Trading, a subsidiary of Merck KGaA, or Merck, as assigned by Intrexon to Precigen, or the Ares Trading Agreement; (c) that certain License Agreement between us, Intrexon, and MD Anderson, with an effective date of January 13, 2015, or the MD Anderson License, which was subsequently assigned by Intrexon and assumed by Precigen effective as of January 1, 2018; and (d) that certain Research and Development Agreement between us, Intrexon and MD Anderson with an effective date of August 17, 2015, or the Research and Development Agreement, and any amendments or statements of work thereto.

Pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement, Precigen has granted us an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license to research, develop and commercialize (i) products utilizing Precigen's RheoSwitch® gene switch, or RTS, for the treatment of cancer, referred to as IL-12 Products, (ii) CAR products directed to (A) CD19 for the treatment of cancer, referred to as CD19 Products, and (B) a second target, subject to the rights of Merck to pursue such target under the Ares Trading Agreement, and (iii) TCR products designed for neoantigens for the treatment of cancer. Precigen has also granted us an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license for certain patents relating to the *Sleeping Beauty* technology to research, develop and commercialize TCR products for both neoantigens and shared antigens for the treatment of cancer, referred to as TCR Products.

We will be solely responsible for all aspects of the research, development and commercialization of the exclusively licensed products for the treatment of cancer. We are required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize IL-12 products and CD19 products and after a two-year period, the TCR Products.

Precigen has also granted us an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license to research, develop and commercialize products utilizing an additional construct that expresses RTS IL-12 for the treatment of cancer, referred to as Gorilla IL-12 Products.

In consideration of the licenses and other rights granted by Precigen, we will pay Precigen an annual license fee of \$100 thousand and we have agreed to reimburse Precigen for certain historical costs of the licensed programs up to \$1.0 million, payable quarterly.

We will make milestone payments totaling up to an additional \$52.5 million for each exclusively licensed program upon the initiation of later stage clinical trials and upon the approval of exclusively licensed products in various jurisdictions. In addition, we will pay Precigen tiered royalties ranging from low-single digit to high-single digit on the net sales derived from the sales of any approved IL-12 products and CAR products. We will also pay Precigen royalties ranging from low-single digit to mid-single digit on the net sales derived from the sales of any approved TCR products, up to a maximum royalty amount of \$100.0 million in the aggregate. We will also pay Precigen 20% of any sublicensing income received by us relating to the licensed products.

We are responsible for all development costs associated with each of the licensed products, other than Gorilla IL-12 products. We and Precigen will share the development costs and operating profits for Gorilla IL-12 products, and we

are responsible for 80% of the development costs and receiving 80% of the operating profits, and Precigen responsible for the remaining 20% of the development costs and receiving 20% of the operating profits.

---

**Table of Contents**

Precigen will pay us royalties ranging from low-single digits to mid-single digits on the net sales derived from the sale of Precigen's CAR products, up to \$50.0 million.

In consideration of our entry into the License Agreement, Intrexon has forfeited and returned to us all shares of our Series 1 preferred stock held by or payable to Intrexon as of the date of the License Agreement.

We determined that this transaction represented a capital transaction between related parties. We fair valued the preferred stock and the derivative liability on the date of the transaction, noting a total fair value of \$163.3 million. The relinquishment of our obligation under the Ares Trading Agreement was also considered part of the overall capital transaction. We recognized an additional credit to accumulated deficit of \$49.5 million as a result of the relief of the obligation under the Ares Trading Agreement (Note 8). The total amount of the settlement was \$212.8 million.

We incurred approximately \$7.4 million of transaction advisory costs with third-party vendors, of which \$5.4 million was considered a direct cost associated with the Series 1 preferred stock extinguishment and is also included as part of the consideration transferred. The remaining \$2.0 million of transaction costs were recognized as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2018.

We recognized a net credit to accumulated deficit of \$207.3 million, calculated as the difference in the carrying value of the Series 1 preferred stock, derivative liability, and contract liability, and the consideration transferred of \$5.4 million, in connection with the transaction. This amount is included in net income available to common shareholders in the calculation of earnings per share (Note 3).

*License Agreement The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center*

On January 13, 2015, we, together with Intrexon, entered into the MD Anderson License with MD Anderson (which Intrexon subsequently assigned to Precigen). Pursuant to the MD Anderson License, we, together with Precigen, hold an exclusive, worldwide license to certain technologies owned and licensed by MD Anderson including technologies relating to novel CAR T-cell therapies, non-viral gene transfer systems, genetic modification and/or propagation of immune cells and other cellular therapy approaches, Natural Killer, or NK Cells, and TCRs, arising from the laboratory of Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D., who became our Chief Executive Officer in May 2015 and was formerly a tenured professor of pediatrics at MD Anderson and is now currently a visiting scientist under that institution's policies.

On August 17, 2015, we, Precigen and MD Anderson entered into the Research and Development Agreement, to formalize the scope and process for the transfer by MD Anderson, pursuant to the terms of the MD Anderson License, of certain existing research programs and related technology rights, as well as the terms and conditions for future collaborative research and development of new and ongoing research programs.

Pursuant to the Research and Development Agreement, we, Precigen and MD Anderson formed a joint steering committee to oversee and manage and ongoing research programs. Under our License Agreement with Precigen, we and Precigen agreed that Precigen would no longer participate on the joint steering committee after the date of the License Agreement. As provided under the MD Anderson License, we provided funding for research and development activities in support of the research programs under the Research and Development Agreement for a period of three years and in an amount of no less than \$15.0 million and no greater than \$20.0 million per year. On November 14, 2017, we entered into an amendment to the Research and Development Agreement extending its term until April 15, 2021. During the year ended December 31, 2018, we made payments in the aggregate amount of \$2.7 million to MD Anderson compared to \$13.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in cash paid to MD Anderson during the year ended December 31, 2018 as compared to the same period in the prior year is a result of the



final quarterly payment being made to MD Anderson in January 2018 and the result of approved expenditures incurred by us being deducted from the January 2018 quarterly payment. The net balance of cash resources on hand at MD Anderson available to offset expenses and future costs is

---

**Table of Contents**

\$27.8 million, of which \$18.4 million is included in other current assets and the remaining \$9.4 million is included in non-current assets at December 31, 2018.

The term of the MD Anderson License expires on the last to occur of (a) the expiration of all patents licensed thereunder, or (b) the twentieth anniversary of the date of the MD Anderson License; provided, however, that following the expiration of the term of the MD Anderson License, we, together with Precigen, shall then have a fully-paid up, royalty free, perpetual, irrevocable and sublicensable license to use the licensed intellectual property thereunder. After ten years from the date of the MD Anderson License and subject to a 90-day cure period, MD Anderson will have the right to convert the MD Anderson License into a non-exclusive license if we and Precigen are not using commercially reasonable efforts to commercialize the licensed intellectual property on a case-by-case basis. After five years from the date of the MD Anderson License and subject to a 180-day cure period, MD Anderson will have the right to terminate the MD Anderson License with respect to specific technology(ies) funded by the government or subject to a third-party contract if we and Precigen are not meeting the diligence requirements in such funding agreement or contract, as applicable. MD Anderson may also terminate the agreement with written notice upon material breach by us and Precigen, if such breach has not been cured within 60 days of receiving such notice. In addition, the MD Anderson License will terminate upon the occurrence of certain insolvency events for both us and Precigen and may be terminated by the mutual written agreement of us, Precigen, and MD Anderson.

*Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with the National Cancer Institute*

On January 10, 2017, we announced the signing of the CRADA with the NCI for the development of adoptive cell transfer, or ACT,-based immunotherapies genetically modified using the *Sleeping Beauty* transposon/transposase system to express TCRs for the treatment of solid tumors. The principal goal of the CRADA is to develop and evaluate ACT for patients with advanced cancers using autologous peripheral blood lymphocytes, or PBL, genetically modified using the non-viral *Sleeping Beauty* system to express TCRs that recognize neoantigens expressed within a patient's cancer. Research conducted under the CRADA will be at the direction of Steven A. Rosenberg, M.D., Ph.D., Chief of the Surgery Branch at the NCI, in collaboration with our researchers and Precigen researchers. Our remaining obligation, as of December 31, 2018, for the CRADA is \$2.5 million over the next year, payable in \$625 thousand payments on a quarterly basis. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, we made payments of \$2.5 million, each year. In February 2019, we extended our CRADA with the NCI for two years, committing an additional \$5.0 million to this program.

**Patents and Other Intellectual Property Rights and Protection**

Patents extend for varying periods according to the date of patent filing or grant and the legal term of patents in the various countries where patent protection is obtained. The actual protection offering by a patent, which can vary from country to country, depends of the type of patent, the scope of its coverage and the availability of legal remedies in the country.

Pursuant to the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Amendments, some of our patents, under certain conditions, may be eligible for limited patent term extension for a period of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during drug development and the FDA regulatory review process. However, this extension period cannot be extended beyond 14 years from the drug's approval date. The patent term restoration period is generally one-half the period of time elapsed between the effective date of an IND application or the issue date of the patent, whichever is later, and the submission date of an NDA, plus the period of time between the submission date of the NDA or the issue date of the patent, whichever is later, and FDA approval. The United States Patent and Trademark Office, in consultation with the FDA, reviews and approves applications for any patent term extension or restoration. We intend to seek the benefits of this statute, but there can be no assurance

that we will be able to obtain any such benefits.

We also depend upon the skills, knowledge, and experience of our scientific and technical personnel, as well as those of our advisors, consultants, and other contractors, none of which is patentable. To help protect proprietary

## **Table of Contents**

know-how, which is not patentable, and for inventions for which patents may be difficult to enforce, we currently rely, and in the future, will continue to rely, on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect our interests. To this end, we generally require employees, consultants, advisors and other contractors to enter into confidentiality agreements that prohibit the disclosure of confidential information and, where applicable, require disclosure and assignment to us of the ideas, developments, discoveries and inventions important to our business.

Our patent position and proprietary rights are subject to certain risks and uncertainties. Please read the **Risk Related to Our Intellectual Property** section for further information about certain risks and uncertainties that may affect our patent position and proprietary rights.

Additional information as of December 31, 2018 about material patents and other proprietary rights covering our product candidates is set forth below.

### *Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex and DC-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex*

The patent estate licensed to us by Precigen covering Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus activator ligands, such as veledimex and DC-RTS-IL-12 plus activator ligand compositions, methods of use, methods of manufacture, and formulations includes over one hundred patents and applications. This portfolio also includes issued and pending foreign patents in Europe, Canada, Japan, Australia and other countries. The term of one or more of the issued patents may be extended due to the regulatory approval process.

### *CAR<sup>+</sup> T*

In January 2015, we in-licensed from MD Anderson a technology portfolio that includes intellectual property directed to certain non-viral *Sleeping Beauty* system and CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell and bioprocessing technology. Under the terms of the agreement, we have an exclusive license to certain of the intellectual property, a co-exclusive license to certain of the intellectual property technology and a non-exclusive license to certain of the intellectual property technology. Our rights to the MD Anderson intellectual property flow to us via our agreement with Precigen.

### ***Governmental Regulation and Product Approval***

As a biopharmaceutical company, we are subject to extensive regulation. Our programmed T-cell product candidates are regulated as biologics. With this classification, commercial production of our products will need to occur in registered and licensed facilities in compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practices, or cGMPs, for biologics.

Human immunotherapy products are a new category of therapeutics. The FDA categorizes human cell- or tissue-based products as either minimally manipulated or more than minimally manipulated and has determined that more than minimally manipulated products require clinical trials to demonstrate product safety and efficacy and the submission of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for marketing authorization.

Government authorities in the United States (at the federal, state and local level) and in other countries and jurisdictions, extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, preclinical and clinical testing, manufacturing, quality control, labeling, packaging, storage, record-keeping, promotion, advertising, sale, distribution, post-approval monitoring and reporting, marketing and export and import of biopharmaceutical products such as those we are developing. Our product candidates must be approved by the FDA before they may be legally marketed in the United States and by the appropriate foreign regulatory agency before they may be legally marketed in foreign countries. Generally, our activities in other countries will be subject to regulation that is similar in nature and scope as that imposed in the United States, although there can be important differences. The process for obtaining regulatory

marketing approvals and the subsequent compliance with applicable federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources.

**Table of Contents**

*U.S. Product Development Process*

In the United States, the FDA regulates biological products under the Public Health Service Act, or PHSA, and the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, and implementing regulations. Products are also subject to other federal, state and local statutes and regulations. The process of obtaining regulatory approvals and the subsequent compliance with appropriate federal, state, local and foreign statutes and regulations require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources. Failure to comply with the applicable U.S. requirements at any time during the product development process, approval process or after approval, may subject an applicant to administrative or judicial sanctions. FDA sanctions could include, among other actions, refusal to approve pending applications, withdrawal of an approval, a clinical hold, warning letters and similar public notice of alleged non-compliance with laws, product recalls or withdrawals from the market, product seizures, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, fines, refusals of government contracts, restitution, disgorgement of profits, or civil or criminal penalties. Any agency or judicial enforcement action could have a material adverse effect on us. The process required by the FDA before a biological product may be approved for marketing in the United States generally involves the following:

completion of preclinical laboratory tests and animal studies according to Good Laboratory Practices, or GLPs, and applicable requirements for the humane use of laboratory animals or other applicable regulations;

submission to the FDA of an Investigational New Drug Application, or IND, which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin;

performance of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials according to the FDA's regulations commonly referred to as Good Clinical Practices, or GCPs, and any additional requirements for the protection of human research subjects and their health information, to establish the safety and efficacy of the proposed biological product for its intended use;

preparation and submission to the FDA of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, for marketing approval that includes substantive evidence of safety, purity, and potency from results of nonclinical testing and clinical trials;

satisfactory completion of one or more FDA inspections of the manufacturing facility or facilities where the biological product is produced to assess compliance with cGMP to assure that the facilities, methods and controls used in product manufacture are adequate to preserve the biological product's identity, strength, quality and purity and, if applicable, the FDA's current Good Tissue Practices, or GTPs, for the use of human cellular and tissue products;

potential FDA audit of the nonclinical study and clinical trial sites that generated the data in support of the BLA;

payment of user fees for FDA review of the BLA; and

FDA acceptance, review and approval, or licensure, of the BLA, which might include review by an advisory committee, a panel typically consisting of independent clinicians and other experts who provide recommendations as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions.

Before testing any biological product candidate, including our product candidates, in humans, the product candidate must undergo rigorous the preclinical testing. Preclinical tests, also referred to as nonclinical studies, include laboratory evaluations as well as *in vitro* and animal studies to assess the potential safety and efficacy of the product candidate. The clinical trial sponsor must submit an IND to the FDA before clinical testing can begin in the United States. An IND must contain the results of the preclinical tests, manufacturing information, analytical data, any available clinical data or literature, a proposed clinical protocol, an investigator's brochure, a sample informed consent form, and other materials. Clinical trial protocols detail, among other things, the objectives of the clinical trial, dosing procedures, subject selection and exclusion criteria, and the parameters to be used to monitor subject safety, including stopping rules that assure a clinical trial will be stopped if certain

## **Table of Contents**

adverse events should occur. Each protocol and any amendments to the protocol must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Some preclinical testing, such as toxicity studies, may continue even after the IND is submitted.

The IND automatically becomes effective 30 days after receipt by the FDA, unless the FDA raises concerns or questions regarding the proposed clinical trials or places the trial on a clinical hold within that 30-day time period. In such a case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before the clinical trial can begin. The FDA may also impose clinical holds on a biological product candidate at any time before or during clinical trials due to safety concerns or non-compliance. If the FDA imposes a clinical hold, trials may not recommence without FDA authorization and then only under terms authorized by the FDA.

Further, each clinical trial must be reviewed and approved by an independent institutional review board, or IRB, at or servicing each institution at which the clinical trial will be conducted. An IRB is charged with protecting the welfare and rights of trial participants and considers such items as whether the risks to individuals participating in the clinical trials are minimized and are reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits. The IRB also approves the form and content of the informed consent that must be signed by each clinical trial subject or his or her legal representative and must monitor the clinical trial until completed. Clinical trials involving recombinant or synthetic nucleic acid molecules also must be reviewed by an institutional biosafety committee, or IBC, a local institutional committee that reviews and oversees basic and clinical research conducted at that institution. The IBC assesses the safety of the research and identifies any potential risk to public health or the environment.

Clinical trials involve the administration of the biological product candidate to healthy volunteers or patients under the supervision of qualified investigators, generally physicians not employed by or under the trial sponsor's control. Clinical trials must be conducted and monitored in accordance with the FDA's regulations comprising the GCP requirements.

Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap or be combined:

*Phase 1.* The biological product is initially introduced into healthy human subjects and tested for safety. In the case of some products for severe or life-threatening diseases, especially when the product may be too inherently toxic to ethically administer to healthy volunteers, the initial human testing is often conducted in patients with the target disease or condition.

*Phase 2.* The biological product is evaluated in a limited patient population to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks, to preliminarily evaluate the efficacy of the product for specific targeted diseases and to determine dosage tolerance, optimal dosage and dosing schedule.

*Phase 3.* Clinical trials are undertaken to further evaluate dosage, clinical efficacy, potency, and safety in an expanded patient population, generally at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites. These clinical trials are intended to generate enough data to statistically evaluate the efficacy and safety of the product for approval, to establish the overall risk to benefit profile of the product and to provide an adequate basis for product labeling.

Phase 1, Phase 2, and Phase 3 clinical trials may not be completed successfully within any specified period, if at all.



Post-approval clinical trials, sometimes referred to as Phase 4 clinical trials, may be conducted after initial marketing approval. These clinical trials are used to gain additional experience from the treatment of patients in the intended therapeutic indication, particularly for long-term safety follow-up.

During all phases of clinical development, regulatory agencies require extensive monitoring and auditing of all clinical activities, clinical data, and clinical trial investigators. Annual progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted to the FDA. Written IND safety reports must be promptly submitted to the FDA, the NIH and the investigators for serious and unexpected adverse events, any findings from other studies, tests in

---

**Table of Contents**

laboratory animals or *in vitro* testing that suggest a significant risk for human patients, or any clinically important increase in the rate of a serious suspected adverse reaction over that listed in the protocol or investigator brochure. The sponsor must submit an IND safety report within 15 calendar days after the sponsor determines that the information qualifies for reporting. The sponsor also must notify the FDA of any unexpected fatal or life-threatening suspected adverse reaction within seven calendar days after the sponsor's initial receipt of the information. The FDA or the sponsor or its data safety monitoring board, an independent group of experts that evaluates study data for safety and makes recommendations concerning continuation, modification, or termination of clinical trials, may suspend or terminate a clinical trial at any time on various grounds, including a finding that the research patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk, including risks inferred from other unrelated immunotherapy trials. Similarly, an IRB can suspend or terminate approval of a clinical trial at its institution if the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with the IRB's requirements or if the biological product has been associated with unexpected serious harm to patients.

Concurrently with clinical trials, companies usually complete additional nonclinical studies and must also develop additional information about the physical characteristics of the biological product as well as finalize a process for manufacturing the product in commercial quantities in accordance with cGMP requirements. To help reduce the risk of the introduction of adventitious agents with use of biological products, the PHSA emphasizes the importance of manufacturing control for products whose attributes cannot be precisely defined. The manufacturing process must be capable of consistently producing quality batches of the product candidate and, among other things, the sponsor must develop methods for testing the identity, strength, quality, potency and purity of the final biological product. Additionally, appropriate packaging must be selected and tested, and stability studies must be conducted to demonstrate that the biological product candidate does not undergo unacceptable deterioration over its shelf life.

### *U.S. Review and Approval Processes*

After the completion of clinical trials of a biological product, FDA approval of a BLA must be obtained before commercial marketing of the biological product. The BLA must include results of product development, laboratory and animal studies, human trials, information on the manufacture and composition of the product, proposed labeling and other relevant information.

Under the Prescription Drug User Fee Act, or PDUFA, as amended, each BLA must be accompanied by a significant user fee. The FDA adjusts the PDUFA user fees on an annual basis. PDUFA also imposes an annual program fee for approved biological products. Fee waivers or reductions are available in certain circumstances, including a waiver of the application fee for the first application filed by a small business. Additionally, no user fees are assessed on BLAs for products designated as orphan drugs, unless the product also includes a non-orphan indication.

Within 60 days following submission of the application, the FDA reviews a BLA submitted to determine if it is substantially complete before the agency accepts it for filing. The FDA may refuse to file any BLA that it deems incomplete or not properly reviewable at the time of submission and may request additional information. In this event, the BLA must be resubmitted with the additional information. The resubmitted application also is subject to review before the FDA accepts it for filing. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth substantive review of the BLA. The FDA reviews the BLA to determine, among other things, whether the proposed product is safe, potent, and/or effective for its intended use, and has an acceptable purity profile, and whether the product is being manufactured in accordance with cGMP to assure and preserve the product's identity, safety, strength, quality, potency and purity. The FDA may refer applications for novel biological products or biological products that present difficult questions of safety or efficacy to an advisory committee, typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts, for review, evaluation and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved and under what conditions. The FDA is not bound by the recommendations of an advisory committee, but it considers

such recommendations carefully when making decisions. During the biological product approval process, the FDA also will determine whether a Risk

## **Table of Contents**

Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy, or REMS, is necessary to ensure that the benefits of the product outweigh its risks and to assure the safe use of the biological product, which could include medication guides, physician communication plans, or elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries and other risk minimization tools. FDA determines the requirement for a REMS, as well as the specific REMS provisions, on a case-by-case basis. If the FDA concludes a REMS is needed, the sponsor of the BLA must submit a proposed REMS. The FDA will not approve a BLA without a REMS, if required.

Before approving a BLA, the FDA will inspect the facilities at which the product is manufactured. The FDA will not approve the product unless it determines that the manufacturing processes and facilities are in compliance with cGMP requirements and adequate to assure consistent production of the product within required specifications. For immunotherapy products, the FDA also will not approve the product if the manufacturer is not in compliance with the GTPs, to the extent applicable. These are FDA regulations and guidance documents that govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the manufacture of human cells, tissues, and cellular and tissue-based products, or HCT/Ps, which are human cells or tissue intended for implantation, transplant, infusion, or transfer into a human recipient. The primary intent of the GTP requirements is to ensure that cell and tissue-based products are manufactured in a manner designed to prevent the introduction, transmission and spread of communicable disease. FDA GTP regulations also require tissue establishments to register and list their HCT/Ps with the FDA and, when applicable, to evaluate donors through screening and testing. Additionally, before approving a BLA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical sites to assure that the clinical trials were conducted in compliance with IND trial requirements and GCP requirements.

Notwithstanding the submission of relevant data and information, the FDA may ultimately decide that the BLA does not satisfy its regulatory criteria for approval. If the agency decides not to approve the BLA in its present form, the FDA will issue a Complete Response Letter, which generally outlines the specific deficiencies in the BLA identified by the FDA and may require additional clinical or other data or impose other conditions that must be met in order to secure final approval of the application. The deficiencies identified may be minor, for example, requiring labeling changes, or major, for example, requiring additional clinical trials. Even with the submission of additional information, the FDA may ultimately decide that the application does not satisfy the regulatory criteria for approval. If a Complete Response Letter is issued, the applicant may either resubmit the BLA, addressing all of the deficiencies identified in the letter, or withdraw the application.

The FDA may require that certain contraindications, warnings or precautions be included in the product labeling, or otherwise limit the scope of any approval. In addition, the FDA may require post marketing clinical trials, sometimes referred to as Phase 4 clinical trials, designed to further assess a biological product's safety and effectiveness, and testing and surveillance programs to monitor the safety of approved products that have been commercialized. After approval, many types of changes to the approved product, such as adding new indications, manufacturing changes and additional labeling claims, are subject to further testing requirements and FDA review and approval.

In addition, under the Pediatric Research Equity Act, or PREA, a BLA or supplement to a BLA must contain data to assess the safety and effectiveness of the product for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations and to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the product is safe and effective. The FDA may grant deferrals for submission of data or full or partial waivers.

### *Post-Approval Requirements*

Any products for which we receive FDA approvals are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA, including, among other things, record-keeping requirements, reporting of adverse experiences with the product, providing the FDA with updated safety and efficacy information, product sampling and distribution requirements, and complying with FDA

promotion and advertising requirements.

In addition, quality control and manufacturing procedures must continue to conform to applicable manufacturing requirements after approval to ensure the long-term stability of the product. We rely, and expect to continue to

---

**Table of Contents**

rely, on third parties for the production of clinical and commercial quantities of our products in accordance with cGMP regulations. cGMP regulations require among other things, quality control and quality assurance as well as the corresponding maintenance of records and documentation and the obligation to investigate and correct any deviations from cGMP. Manufacturers and other entities involved in the manufacture and distribution of approved products are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies, and are subject to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA and certain state agencies for compliance with cGMP and other laws. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain cGMP compliance. Discovery of problems with a product after approval may result in restrictions on a product, manufacturer, or holder of an approved BLA, including, among other things, recall or withdrawal of the product from the market.

The FDA also may require post-marketing testing, known as Phase 4 testing, and surveillance to monitor the effects of an approved product. Discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, with manufacturing processes, or the failure to comply with applicable FDA requirements can have negative consequences, including adverse publicity, judicial or administrative enforcement, complete withdrawal from the market, product recalls, warning letters from the FDA, mandated corrective advertising or communications with doctors, product seizure or detention, injunctions, and civil or criminal penalties, among others. Newly discovered or developed safety or effectiveness data may require changes to a product's approved labeling, including the addition of new warnings and contraindications, and also may require the implementation of other risk management measures. Also, new government requirements, including those resulting from new legislation, may be established, or the FDA's policies may change, which could delay or prevent regulatory approval of our products under development.

Moreover, the FDA strictly regulates marketing, labeling, advertising and promotion of products. Drugs may be promoted only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved label, although physicians, in the practice of medicine, may prescribe approved drugs for unapproved indications. However, companies may share truthful and not misleading information that is otherwise consistent with the labeling. The FDA and other agencies actively enforce the laws and regulations prohibiting the promotion of off-label uses, and a company that is found to have improperly promoted off-label uses may be subject to significant liability.

*U.S. Marketing Exclusivity*

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act, or BPCIA, amended the PHSA to authorize the FDA to approve similar versions of innovative biologics, commonly known as biosimilars. Biosimilars are approved pursuant to an abbreviated pathway whereby applicants need not submit the full slate of preclinical and clinical data, and approval is based in part on the FDA's findings of safety, purity, and potency for the original biologic (i.e., the reference product). Reference products are eligible to receive 12 years of exclusivity from the time of first licensure of the product, which prevents the FDA from approving any biosimilars to the reference product through the abbreviated pathway, but does not prevent approval of BLAs that are accompanied by a full data package and that do not rely on the reference product. A biosimilar may be approved if the product is highly similar to the reference product notwithstanding minor differences in clinically inactive components and there are no clinically meaningful differences with the reference product in terms of the safety, purity, and potency.

Pediatric exclusivity is another type of regulatory market exclusivity in the United States. Pediatric exclusivity, if granted, adds six months to existing exclusivity periods and patent terms. This six-month exclusivity, which runs from the end of other exclusivity protection or patent term, may be granted based on the voluntary completion of a pediatric trial in accordance with an FDA-issued Written Request for such a trial.

*Coverage, Pricing and Reimbursement*

Significant uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of any product candidates for which we obtain regulatory approval. In the United States and markets in other countries, sales of any products for

---

**Table of Contents**

which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale will depend, in significant part, on the extent to which third-party payors provide coverage, and establish adequate reimbursement levels for such products. In the United States, third-party payors include federal and state healthcare programs, private managed care providers, health insurers and other organizations. The process for determining whether a third-party payor will provide coverage for a product may be separate from the process for setting the price of a product or for establishing the reimbursement rate that such a payor will pay for the product. Third-party payors may limit coverage to specific products on an approved list, also known as a formulary, which might not include all of the FDA-approved products for a particular indication. In addition, in the United States, no uniform policy of coverage and reimbursement for drug products exists among third-party payors. Therefore, coverage and reimbursement for drug products can differ significantly from payor to payor. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price, examining the medical necessity of and reviewing the cost-effectiveness of medical products, therapies and services, in addition to questioning their safety and efficacy.

Reimbursement may impact the demand for, and/or the price of, any product candidate which obtains marketing approval. Even if coverage and reimbursement is obtained for a given product candidate by a third-party payor, the resulting reimbursement payment rates may not be adequate or may require co-payments that patients find unacceptably high. Patients who are prescribed medications for the treatment of their conditions, and their prescribing physicians, generally rely on third-party payors to reimburse all or part of the costs associated with those medications. Patients are unlikely to use a product, and physicians may be less likely to prescribe a product, unless coverage is provided and reimbursement is adequate to cover all or a significant portion of the cost of the product. Therefore, coverage and adequate reimbursement is critical to new drug product acceptance.

The downward pressure on health care costs in general, particularly prescription drugs and biologics, has become very intense. Governments have shown significant interest in implementing cost-containment programs, including price controls, restrictions on reimbursement and requirements for substitution of generic products. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products. The marketability of any product candidates for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale may suffer if the government and third-party payors fail to provide favorable coverage and adequate reimbursement. In addition, emphasis on managed care in the United States has increased and we expect will continue to increase the pressure on healthcare pricing. Coverage policies and third-party reimbursement rates may change at any time. Even if favorable coverage and reimbursement status is attained for one or more products for which we receive regulatory approval, less favorable coverage policies and reimbursement rates may be implemented in the future.

*Health Care Laws Governing Interactions with Healthcare Providers*

Healthcare providers, physicians and third-party payors in the United States play a primary role in the recommendation and prescription of drug products. Arrangements with healthcare providers, physicians, third-party payors and customers can expose pharmaceutical manufactures to broadly applicable fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and. The applicable federal, state and foreign healthcare laws and regulations laws that may affect a pharmaceutical manufacture s ability to operate include, but are not limited to:

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which regulates our business activities, including our marketing practices, educational programs, pricing policies, and relationships with healthcare providers or other entities, by prohibiting, among other things, soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce, or in return for, either the referral of an individual or the purchase or recommendation of an item or service reimbursable under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;



Federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, including the False Claims Act which permits a private individual acting as a whistleblower to bring actions on behalf of the federal government alleging violations of the False Claims Act, which prohibit, among other things, individuals or entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third-party payors that are false or fraudulent;

## Table of Contents

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, which created new federal civil and criminal statutes that prohibit, among other things, executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters;

HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009, or HITECH, and their implementing regulations, which imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information on entities and individuals subject to the law including certain healthcare providers, health plans, and healthcare clearinghouses, known as covered entities, as well as individuals and entities that perform services for them which involve the use, or disclosure of, individually identifiable health information, known as business associates;

Requirements to report annually to CMS certain financial arrangements with physicians and teaching hospitals, as defined in the ACA and its implementing regulations, including reporting any transfer of value made or distributed to teaching hospitals, prescribers, and other healthcare providers and reporting any ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members and applicable group purchasing organizations during the preceding calendar year; and

State and foreign law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers; state laws that require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the industry's voluntary compliance guidelines and the applicable compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government that otherwise restricts certain payments that may be made to healthcare providers and entities; state laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfer of value to physicians and other healthcare providers and entities; state laws that require the reporting of information related to drug pricing; state and local laws that require the registration of pharmaceutical sales representatives; and state and foreign laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by HIPAA, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Efforts to ensure that business arrangements comply with applicable healthcare laws involve substantial costs. It is possible that governmental and enforcement authorities will conclude that a pharmaceutical manufacturer's business practices do not comply with current or future statutes, regulations or case law interpreting applicable fraud and abuse or other healthcare laws and regulations. If any such actions are instituted against a pharmaceutical manufacturer, and it is not successful in defending itself or asserting its rights, it may be subject to the imposition of significant civil, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, disgorgement, monetary fines, imprisonment, possible exclusion from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs, contractual damages, reputational harm, diminished profits and future earnings, and curtailment of operations, as well as additional reporting obligations and oversight if subject to a corporate integrity agreement or other agreement to resolve allegations of non-compliance with these laws. In addition, the approval and commercialization of drug products outside the United States may also subject a pharmaceutical manufacturer to foreign equivalents of the healthcare laws mentioned above, among other foreign laws.

### *Healthcare Reform Efforts*

A primary trend in the United States healthcare industry and elsewhere is cost containment. Over the last several years, there have been federal and state proposals and legislation enacted regarding the pricing of pharmaceutical and

biopharmaceutical products, limiting coverage and reimbursement for drugs and other medical products, and making changes to healthcare financing and the delivery of care in the United States.

**Table of Contents**

In March 2010, the ACA was enacted, which includes measures that have significantly changed healthcare financing by both governmental and private insurers. The provisions of the ACA of importance to the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry are, among others, the following:

created an annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports certain branded prescription drug agents or biologic agents, which is apportioned among these entities according to their market share in certain government healthcare programs;

increased the rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program to 23.1% and 13% of the average manufacturer price for branded and generic drugs, respectively;

created a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must now agree to offer 70% point-of-sale discounts to negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for the manufacturer's outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D;

extended manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability to covered drugs dispensed to individuals who are enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations, unless the drug is subject to discounts under the 340B drug discount program;

created a new methodology by which rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program are calculated for drugs that are inhaled, infused, instilled, implanted or injected;

expanded eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs by, among other things, allowing states to offer Medicaid coverage to additional individuals and by adding new mandatory eligibility categories for certain individuals with income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level, thereby potentially increasing manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability;

expanded the entities eligible for discounts under the Public Health Service pharmaceutical pricing program;

created a new requirement to annually report drug samples that certain manufacturers and authorized distributors provide to physicians;

expanded healthcare fraud and abuse laws, including the False Claims Act and the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, new government investigative powers, and enhanced penalties for noncompliance;

created new requirements under the federal Physician Payments Sunshine Act for drug manufacturers to annually report information related to payments and other transfers of value made to physicians and teaching hospitals as well as ownership or investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members;

created a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research;

established a Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation at CMS to test innovative payment and service delivery models to lower Medicare and Medicaid spending, potentially including prescription drug spending; and

created a licensure framework for follow on biologic products.

Some of the provisions of the ACA have yet to be implemented, and there have been legal and political challenges to certain aspects of the ACA. Since January 2017, President Trump has signed two executive orders and other directives designed to delay, circumvent or loosen certain requirements mandated by the ACA. Concurrently, Congress has considered legislation that would repeal or repeal and replace all or part of the ACA. While Congress has not passed repeal legislation, two bills affecting the implementation of certain taxes under the ACA have been signed into law. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, or Tax Act, includes a provision which repealed, effective January 1, 2019, the tax-based shared responsibility payment imposed by the ACA on certain

---

**Table of Contents**

individuals who fail to maintain qualifying health coverage for all or part of a year that is commonly referred to as the individual mandate . On January 22, 2018, President Trump signed a continuing resolution on appropriations for fiscal year 2018 that delayed the implementation of certain ACA-mandated fees, including the so-called Cadillac tax on certain high cost employer-sponsored insurance plans, the annual fee imposed on certain health insurance providers based on market share, and the medical device excise tax on non-exempt medical devices. The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, or the BBA, among other things, amended the ACA, effective January 1, 2019, to increase from 50 percent to 70 percent the point-of-sale discount that is owed by pharmaceutical manufacturers who participate in Medicare Part D and to close the coverage gap in most Medicare drug plans, commonly referred to as the donut hole . In July 2018, CMS published a final rule permitting further collections and payments to and from certain ACA qualified health plans and health insurance issuers under ACA risk adjustment program in response to the outcome of federal district court litigation regarding the method CMS uses to determine this risk adjustment. On December 14, 2018, a Texas U.S. District Court Judge ruled that ACA is unconstitutional in its entirety because the individual mandate was repealed by Congress as part of the Tax Act. While the Texas U.S. District Court Judge, as well as the Trump administration and CMS, have stated that the ruling will have no immediate effect pending appeal of the decision, it is unclear how this decision, subsequent appeals, and other efforts to repeal and replace ACA will impact ACA.

In addition, other federal health reform measures have been proposed and adopted in the United States since the ACA was enacted. For example, as a result of the Budget Control Act of 2011, providers are subject to Medicare payment reductions of 2% per fiscal year, which went into effect on April 1, 2013 and, due to subsequent legislative amendments to the statute, including the BBA, will remain in effect through 2027 unless additional Congressional action is taken. Further, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 reduced Medicare payments to several providers and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments from providers from three to five years. The Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 also introduced a quality payment program under which certain individual Medicare providers will be subject to certain incentives or penalties based on new program quality standards. Payment adjustments for the Medicare quality payment program will begin in 2019.

Further, there has been heightened governmental scrutiny in the United States of pharmaceutical pricing practices in light of the rising cost of prescription drugs and biologics. Such scrutiny has resulted in several recent Congressional inquiries and proposed and enacted federal and state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to product pricing, review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, and reform government program reimbursement methodologies for products. At the federal level, the Trump administration's budget proposal for fiscal year 2019 contains further drug price control measures that could be enacted during the 2019 budget process or in other future legislation, including, for example, measures to permit Medicare Part D plans to negotiate the price of certain drugs under Medicare Part B, to allow some states to negotiate drug prices under Medicaid, and to eliminate cost sharing for generic drugs for low-income patients. For example, in September 2018, CMS announced that it will allow Medicare Advantage Plans the option to use step therapy for Part B drugs beginning January 1, 2019, and in October 2018, CMS proposed a new rule that would require direct-to-consumer television advertisements of prescription drugs and biological products, for which payment is available through or under Medicare or Medicaid, to include in the advertisement the Wholesale Acquisition Cost, or list price, of that drug or biological product. On January 31, 2019, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, proposed modifications to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute discount safe harbor for the purpose of reducing the cost of drug products to consumers which, among other things, if finalized, will affect discounts paid by manufacturers to Medicare Part D plans, Medicaid managed care organizations and pharmacy benefit managers working with these organizations. While some of these and other proposed measures may require authorization through additional legislation to become effective, Congress and the Trump administration have each indicated that it will continue to seek new legislative and/or administrative measures to control drug costs. At the state level, legislatures have increasingly enacted legislation and implemented regulations designed to control pharmaceutical and biological product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts,

restrictions on certain product access and marketing cost disclosure and transparency measures, and, in some cases, designed to encourage importation

## **Table of Contents**

from other countries and bulk purchasing. In addition, regional healthcare authorities and individual hospitals are increasingly using bidding procedures to determine what pharmaceutical products and which suppliers will be included in their prescription drug and other healthcare programs.

Additionally, on May 30, 2018, the Trickett Wendler, Frank Mongiello, Jordan McLinn, and Matthew Bellina Right to Try Act of 2017, or the Right to Try Act, was signed into law. The law, among other things, provides a federal framework for certain patients to access certain investigational new drug products that have completed a Phase 1 clinical trial and that are undergoing investigation for FDA approval. Under certain circumstances, eligible patients can seek treatment without enrolling in clinical trials and without obtaining FDA permission under the FDA expanded access program. There is no obligation for a drug manufacturer to make its drug products available to eligible patients as a result of the Right to Try Act.

### *U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, U.K. Bribery Act and Other Laws*

The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or the FCPA, prohibits any U.S. individual or business from paying, offering, or authorizing payment or offering of anything of value, directly or indirectly, to any foreign official, political party or candidate for the purpose of influencing any act or decision of the foreign entity in order to assist the individual or business in obtaining or retaining business. The FCPA also obligates companies whose securities are listed in the United States to comply with accounting provisions requiring the company to maintain books and records that accurately and fairly reflect all transactions of the corporation, including international subsidiaries, and to devise and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls for international operations. Activities that violate the FCPA, even if they occur wholly outside the United States, can result in criminal and civil fines, imprisonment, disgorgement, oversight, and debarment from government contracts.

Our operations are also subject to non-U.S. anti-corruption laws such as the U.K. Bribery Act 2010, or the Bribery Act. As with the FCPA, these laws generally prohibit us and our employees and intermediaries from authorizing, promising, offering, or providing, directly or indirectly, improper or prohibited payments, or anything else of value, to government officials or other persons to obtain or retain business or gain some other business advantage. Under the Bribery Act, we may also be liable for failing to prevent a person associated with us from committing a bribery offense.

We are also subject to other laws and regulations governing our international operations, including regulations administered by the governments of the United Kingdom and the United States and authorities in the European Union, including applicable export control regulations, economic sanctions and embargoes on certain countries and persons, anti-money laundering laws, import and customs requirements and currency exchange regulations, collectively referred to as trade control laws.

Failure to comply with the Bribery Act, the FCPA and other anti-corruption laws and trade control laws could subject us to criminal and civil penalties, disgorgement and other sanctions and remedial measures, and legal expenses.

### ***Competition***

The development and commercialization for new products to treat cancer, including the indications we are pursuing, is highly competitive and considerable competition exists from major pharmaceutical, biotechnology and specialty cancer companies. Many of these companies have more experience in preclinical and clinical development, manufacturing, regulatory, and global commercialization. We are also competing with academic institutions, governmental agencies, and private organizations that are conducting research in the field of cancer.



The biopharmaceutical industry, and the rapidly evolving market for developing genetically engineered T-cells, is characterized by significant competition and rapid innovation. Our genetically engineering T-cell programs face significant competition in the CAR and TCR technology space from multiple companies and their

**Table of Contents**

collaborators. Two such companies, Novartis International AG (Kymriah®) and Kite Pharma Inc./Gilead Sciences, Inc. (Yescarta®), have now commercialized autologous CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells against CD19. Additional companies developing autologous CAR<sup>+</sup> T targets include Juno Therapeutics Inc./Celgene Corporation, bluebird bio, Inc., in collaboration with Celgene Corporation, Nanjing Legend Biotech and Janssen Biotech, Inc., a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, Bellicum Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Autolus Therapeutics plc, Mustang Bio, Inc. and Marker Therapeutics, Inc. Several companies are pursuing the development of allogeneic CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies, including Allogene Therapeutics, Inc. (in collaboration with Pfizer Inc.), Atara Biotherapeutics, Inc. and Collectis SA (in collaboration with Servier) which may compete with our product candidates.

Our TCR program faces competition from companies targeting shared antigens, including from Adaptimmune Therapeutics plc in collaboration with GlaxoSmithKline plc, Kite Pharma Inc./Gilead Sciences, Inc., Tmunity Therapeutics Inc, Medigene AG, Tactiva Therapeutics, LLC, Takara Bio Inc., TC BioPharm Ltd., TCR<sup>2</sup> Therapeutics Inc. and Zelluna Immunotherapy AS. Several companies, including Advaxis Inc./Amgen Inc., BioNTech AG, Neon Therapeutics Inc. and Gritstone Oncology, Inc., are pursuing vaccine platforms to target neoantigens for solid tumors. Other companies are developing non-viral gene therapies, including Poseida Therapeutics, Inc. and several companies developing CRISPR technology. We also face competition from non-cell-based treatments offered by other companies such as Amgen Inc., AstraZeneca plc, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Incyte Corporation, Merck & Co., Inc., and Roche Holding AG.

We are initially developing our Controlled IL-12 platform for the treatment of rGBM. Companies that sell marketed drugs for rGBM are Genentech, Inc. and Roche Holding AG with Avastin (bevacizumab), a vascular endothelial growth factor directed antibody indicated for the treatment of adults with rGBM. Arbor Pharmaceuticals Inc. markets GLIADEL Wafer, which is indicated in patients with newly diagnosed high-grade malignant glioma as an adjunct to surgery and radiation and is also indicated in patients with rGBM multiforme as an adjunct to surgery.

Several companies have product candidates in Phase 3 development for the treatment of glioblastoma. Tocagen Inc. is conducting a Phase 2/3 randomized, open-label study of Toca 511, a retroviral replicating vector, combined with Toca FC in subjects undergoing planned resection for rGBM. Vascular Biogenics Ltd. is developing VB-111, an anti-angiogenic non-replicating adenovirus, combined with bevacizumab, in patients with rGBM. DelMar Pharmaceuticals, Inc. is developing VAL-083, a systemic alkylating agent, in patients with rGBM who have failed standard temozolomide/radiation therapy and bevacizumab.

Other competitors with product candidates currently in Phase 2 clinical trials include AbbVie Inc.'s Depatus-M (ABT-414) and DNA-2401, a conditionally replicative adenovirus being evaluated in combination with pembrolizumab (KEYTRUDA®) for rGBM by DNATrix Inc. and Merck & Co., Inc. Duke University is enrolling a randomized Phase 2 study of oncolytic polio/rhinovirus recombinant (PVSRIPO) alone or in combination with lomustine in recurrent WHO Grade IV malignant glioma patients. Also, MedImmune, LLC/AstraZeneca plc's durvalumab was evaluated in a Phase 2 trial in patients with rGBM.

***Employees***

As of February 21, 2019, we had 48 full-time employees, 33 of whom were engaged in research and development activities and 15 of whom were engaged in business development, finance, information systems, facilities, human resources or administrative support. None of our employees are subject to a collective bargaining agreement.

***Corporate Information***

We originally incorporated in Colorado in September 1998 (under the name Net Escapes, Inc.) and later changed our name to EasyWeb, Inc. in February 1999. We re-incorporated in Delaware on May 16, 2005 under the same name. On September 13, 2005, we completed a reverse acquisition of privately held Ziopharm, Inc., a Delaware corporation. To affect this transaction, we caused ZIO Acquisition Corp., our wholly-owned subsidiary, to merge with and into Ziopharm, Inc., with Ziopharm, Inc. surviving as our wholly owned subsidiary. In accordance with the terms of the merger, the outstanding common stock of Ziopharm, Inc. automatically converted into the right to receive an aggregate of approximately 97.3% of our outstanding common stock (after giving effect to the transaction). Following the merger, we caused Ziopharm, Inc. to merge

**Table of Contents**

with and into us and we changed our name to Ziopharm Oncology, Inc. Although EasyWeb, Inc. was the legal acquirer in the transaction, we accounted for the transaction as a reverse acquisition under generally accepted accounting principles. As a result, Ziopharm, Inc. became the registrant with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, and the historical financial statements of Ziopharm, Inc. became our historical financial statements.

Our principal executive offices are located at One First Avenue, Parris Building 34, Navy Yard Plaza, Boston, Massachusetts 02129, and our telephone number is (617) 259-1970.

***Available Information***

Our website address is [www.ziopharm.com](http://www.ziopharm.com). Our website and information included in or linked to our website are not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We file reports with the SEC, which we make available on our website free of charge. These reports include annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports, each of which is provided on our website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such materials with or furnish them to the SEC. In addition, the SEC maintains a website ([www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov)) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, like us, that file electronically with the SEC, including us.

---

**Table of Contents**

***Item 1A. Risk Factors***

***An investment in our common stock is very risky. In addition to the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, you should carefully consider the following risk factors in evaluating us and our business. If any of the events described in the following risk factors were to occur, our business, financial condition, results of operation and future growth prospects would likely be materially and adversely affected. In that event, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or a part of your investment in our common stock. Therefore, we urge you to carefully review this entire report and consider the risk factors discussed below. Moreover, the risks described below are not the only ones that we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our business, financial condition, operating results or prospects.***

**RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS**

***We will require substantial additional financial resources to continue ongoing development of our product candidates and pursue our business objectives; if we are unable to obtain these additional resources when needed, we may be forced to delay or discontinue our planned operations, including clinical testing of our product candidates.***

We have not generated significant revenue and have incurred significant net losses in each year since our inception. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we had a net loss of \$53.1 million, and, as of December 31, 2018, we have incurred approximately \$566.3 million of accumulated deficit since our inception in 2003. We expect to continue to incur significant operating expenditures and net losses. Further development of our product candidates will likely require substantial increases in our expenses as we:

continue to undertake clinical trials for product candidates;

scale-up the formulation and manufacturing of our product candidates;

seek regulatory approvals for product candidates;

work with regulatory authorities to identify and address program-related inquiries;

implement additional internal systems and infrastructure; and

hire additional personnel.

We continue to seek additional financial resources to fund the further development of our product candidates. If we are unable to obtain sufficient additional capital, one or more of these programs could be placed on hold.

As of December 31, 2018, we have approximately \$61.7 million of cash and cash equivalents. Given our current development plans, we anticipate cash resources will be sufficient to fund our operations into the second quarter of

2020, and we have no committed sources of additional capital at this time. The forecast of cash resources is forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties, and the actual amount of our expenses could vary materially and adversely as a result of a number of factors. We have based our estimates on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and our expenses could prove to be significantly higher than we currently anticipate. Management does not know whether additional financing will be on terms favorable or acceptable to us when needed, if at all. If adequate additional funds are not available when required, or if we are unsuccessful in entering into partnership agreements for further development of our product candidates, management may need to curtail its development efforts and planned operations.

***We need to raise additional capital to fund our operations. The manner in which we raise any additional funds may affect the value of your investment in our common stock.***

Until such time, if ever, as we can generate substantial revenue, we may finance our cash needs through a combination of equity offerings, debt financings and license and collaboration agreements. As of December 31,

## **Table of Contents**

2018, we have incurred approximately \$566.3 million of accumulated deficit and had approximately \$61.7 million of cash and cash equivalents. Given our current development plans, we anticipate that our current cash resources will be sufficient to fund our operations into the second quarter of 2020. However, changes may occur that would consume our existing capital prior to then, including expansion of the scope of, and/or slower than expected progress of, our research and development efforts and changes in governmental regulation. Actual costs may ultimately vary from our current expectations, which could materially impact our use of capital and our forecast of the period of time through which our financial resources will be adequate to support our operations.

In addition to above factors, our actual cash requirements may vary materially from our current expectations for a number of other factors that may include, but are not limited to, changes in the focus and direction of our development programs, competitive and technical advances, costs associated with the development of our product candidates, our ability to secure partnering arrangements, and costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing our intellectual property rights. If we exhaust our capital reserves more quickly than anticipated, regardless of the reason, and we are unable to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all, we will be unable to proceed with development of some or all of our product candidates on expected timelines and will be forced to prioritize among them.

The unpredictability of the capital markets may severely hinder our ability to raise capital within the time periods needed or on terms we consider acceptable, if at all. Moreover, if we fail to advance one or more of our current product candidates to later-stage clinical trials, successfully commercialize one or more of our product candidates, or acquire new product candidates for development, we may have difficulty attracting investors that might otherwise be a source of additional financing.

Our need for additional capital and limited capital resources may force us to accept financing terms that could be significantly dilutive to existing stockholders. To the extent that we raise additional capital by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience dilution. In addition, we may grant future investors rights superior to those of our existing stockholders. If we raise additional funds through collaborations and licensing arrangements, it may be necessary to relinquish some rights to our technologies, product candidates or products, or grant licenses on terms that are not favorable to us. If we raise additional funds by incurring debt, we could incur significant interest expense and become subject to covenants in the related transaction documentation that could affect the manner in which we conduct our business.

***Our plans to develop and commercialize non-viral and viral adoptive cellular therapies based on engineered cytokines and CAR T-cell as well as TCR therapies can be considered as new approaches to cancer treatment, the successful development of which is subject to significant challenges.***

We intend to employ technologies such as the technology licensed from MD Anderson pursuant to the MD Anderson License described above, and from Precigen, pursuant to the License Agreement, to pursue the development and commercialization of non-viral and viral adoptive cellular therapies based on cytokines, T-cells, CARs and TCRs, possibly under control of the RTS<sup>®</sup> and other switch technologies targeting both hematologic and solid tumor malignancies. Because this is a new approach to cancer immunotherapy and cancer treatment generally, developing and commercializing product candidates subjects us to a number of challenges, including:

obtaining regulatory approval from the FDA and other regulatory authorities that have very limited experience with the commercial development of genetically modified and/or unmodified T-cell therapies for cancer;

developing and deploying consistent and reliable processes for engineering a patient's and/or donor's T-cells *ex vivo* and infusing the T-cells back into the patient;

possibly conditioning patients with chemotherapy in conjunction with delivering each of the potential products, which may increase the risk of adverse side effects of the potential products;



**Table of Contents**

educating medical personnel regarding the potential side effect profile of each of the potential products, such as the potential adverse side effects related to cytokine release;

addressing any competing technological and market developments;

developing processes for the safe administration of these potential products, including long-term follow-up for all patients who receive the potential products;

sourcing additional clinical and, if approved, commercial supplies for the materials used to manufacture and process the potential products;

developing a manufacturing process and distribution network with a cost of goods that allows for an attractive return on investment;

establishing sales and marketing capabilities after obtaining any regulatory approval to gain market acceptance;

developing therapies for types of cancers beyond those addressed by the current potential products;

maintaining and defending the intellectual property rights relating to any products we develop;

and not infringing the intellectual property rights, in particular, the patent rights, of third parties, including competitors, such as those developing T-cell therapies.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully address these challenges, which could prevent us from achieving our research, development and commercialization goals.

***Our current product candidates are based on novel technologies and are supported by limited clinical data and we cannot assure you that our current and planned clinical trials will produce data that supports regulatory approval of one or more of these product candidates.***

The immuno-oncology effector platform in which we have acquired rights pursuant to our License Agreement with Precigen represents early-stage technology in the field of human oncology biotherapeutics, with Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex having completed trials, in melanoma, breast cancer and rGBM. Similarly, our genetically modified and/or non-modified T-cell candidates are supported by limited clinical data, all of which has been generated through trials conducted by MD Anderson, not by us. We plan to assume control of the overall clinical and regulatory development of our T-cell product candidates, and any failure to obtain, or delays in obtaining, sponsorship of new INDs, or in filing INDs sponsored by us for these or any other product candidates we determine to advance could negatively affect the timing of our potential future clinical trials. Such an impact on timing could increase research and development costs and could delay or prevent obtaining regulatory approval for our product candidates, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Further, we did not control the design or conduct of the previous trials. It is possible that the FDA will not accept these previous trials as providing adequate support for future clinical trials, whether controlled by us or third parties, for any of one or more reasons, including the safety, purity, and potency of the product candidate, the degree of product characterization, elements of the design or execution of the previous trials or safety concerns, or other trial results. We may also be subject to liabilities arising from any treatment-related injuries or adverse effects in patients enrolled in these previous trials. As a result, we may be subject to unforeseen third-party claims and delays in our potential future clinical trials. We may also be required to repeat in whole or in part clinical trials previously conducted by MD Anderson or other entities, which will be expensive and delay the submission and licensure or other regulatory approvals with respect to any of our product candidates.

In addition, the results of the limited clinical trials conducted to date may not be replicated in future clinical trials. Our Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex and genetically modified and non-modified T-cell product candidates, as well as other product candidates, may fail to show the desired safety and efficacy in clinical development, and

---

**Table of Contents**

we cannot assure you that the results of any future trials will demonstrate the value and efficacy of our product candidates. Moreover, there are a number of regulatory requirements that we must satisfy before we can continue clinical trials of CAR<sup>+</sup> T or other cellular therapy product candidates in the United States. Satisfaction of these requirements will entail substantial time, effort and financial resources. Any time, effort and financial resources we expend on our Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex and genetically modified and non-modified T-cell product candidates and other early-stage product candidate development programs may adversely affect our ability to continue development and commercialization of our immuno-oncology product candidates.

***We report interim data on certain of our clinical trials and we cannot assure you that interim data will be predictive of either future interim results or final study results.***

As part of our business, we provide updates related to the development of our product candidates, which may include updates related to interim clinical trial data. To date, our clinical trials have involved small patient populations and because of the small sample size, the interim results of these clinical trials may be subject to substantial variability and may not be indicative of either future interim results or final results.

***We face substantial competition from other biopharmaceutical companies, which may result in others discovering, developing or commercializing products before, or more successfully than, we do.***

The biopharmaceutical industry, and the rapidly evolving market for developing genetically engineered T-cells in particular, is characterized by intense competition and rapid innovation. The business of genetically engineering T-cells faces significant competition in the CAR and TCR technology space from multiple companies and their collaborators.

Two such companies, Novartis International AG (Kymriah<sup>®</sup>) and Kite Pharma Inc./Gilead Sciences, Inc. (Yescarta<sup>®</sup>), have now commercialized autologous CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells against CD19. Additional companies developing autologous CAR<sup>+</sup> T products include Juno Therapeutics Inc./Celgene Corporation, bluebird bio, Inc., in collaboration with Celgene Corporation, Nanjing Legend Biotech and Janssen Biotech, Inc., a subsidiary of Johnson & Johnson, Bellicum Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Autolus Therapeutics plc, Mustang Bio, Inc. and Marker Therapeutics, Inc. Several companies are pursuing the development of allogeneic CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies, including Allogene Therapeutics, Inc. (in collaboration with Pfizer Inc.), Atara Biotherapeutics, Inc. and Collectis SA (in collaboration with Servier) which may also compete with our product candidates.

Our TCR program faces competition from companies targeting shared antigens, including from Adaptimmune Therapeutics plc in collaboration with GlaxoSmithKline plc, Kite Pharma Inc./Gilead Sciences, Inc., Tmunity Therapeutics Inc, Medigene AG, Tactiva Therapeutics, LLC, Takara Bio, Inc., TC Biopharm Ltd., TCR2 Therapeutics Inc. and Zelluna Immunotherapy AS and others. Several companies, including Advaxis Inc./Amgen Inc., BioNTech AG, Neon Therapeutics Inc. and Gritstone Oncology, Inc., are pursuing vaccine platforms to target neoantigens for solid tumors.

We are initially developing our Controlled IL-12 platform for the treatment of rGBM. Companies that sell marketed drugs for rGBM are Genentech Inc. and Roche Holding AG with Avastin (bevacizumab), a vascular endothelial growth factor directed antibody indicated for the treatment of adults with rGBM. Arbor Pharmaceuticals Inc. markets GLIADEL Wafer, which is indicated in patients with newly diagnosed high-grade malignant glioma as an adjunct to surgery and radiation and is also indicated in patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme as an adjunct to surgery.

Several companies have product candidates in Phase 3 development for the treatment of glioblastoma, including Tocagen Inc., Vascular Biogenics Ltd., and DelMar Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Several companies and institutions have

product candidates currently in Phase 2 clinical trials, including Abbvie Inc., Duke University and MedImmune LLC/AstraZeneca plc.

Even if we obtain regulatory approval of potential products, we may not be the first to market and that may affect the price or demand for our potential products. Existing or future competing products may provide greater therapeutic convenience or clinical or other benefits for a specific indication than our products or may offer comparable performance at a lower cost. Additionally, the availability and price of our competitors' products

## Table of Contents

could limit the demand and the price we are able to charge for our potential products. We may not be able to implement our business plan if the acceptance of our potential products is inhibited by price competition or the reluctance of physicians to switch from existing methods of treatment to our potential products, or if physicians switch to other new drug or biologic products or choose to reserve our potential products. Additionally, a competitor could obtain orphan product exclusivity from the FDA with respect to such competitor's product. If such competitor product is determined to be the same product as one of our potential products, that may prevent us from obtaining approval from the FDA for such potential products for the same indication for seven years, except in limited circumstances. If our products fail to capture and maintain market share, we may not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.

We compete against fully integrated pharmaceutical companies and smaller companies that are collaborating with larger pharmaceutical companies, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations. Many of these competitors have products already approved or in development. In addition, many of these competitors, either alone or together with their collaborative partners, operate larger research and development programs or have substantially greater financial resources than we do, as well as significantly greater experience in:

developing drugs and biopharmaceuticals;

undertaking preclinical testing and human clinical trials;

obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals of drugs and biopharmaceuticals;

formulating and manufacturing drugs and biopharmaceuticals; and

launching, marketing, and selling drugs and biopharmaceuticals.

Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products that are more effective, have fewer or less severe side effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any products that we may develop. Our competitors also may obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we are able to enter the market. In addition, our ability to compete may be affected in many cases by insurers or other third-party payors seeking to encourage the use of generic products.

***Any termination of our licenses with Precigen or MD Anderson could result in the loss of significant rights and could harm our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates.***

We are dependent on patents, know-how, and proprietary technology that are licensed from others, particularly MD Anderson and Precigen. Any termination of these licenses could result in the loss of significant rights and could harm our ability to commercialize our product candidates. Disputes may also arise between us and these licensors regarding intellectual property subject to a license agreement, including those relating to:

the scope of rights granted under the applicable license agreement and other interpretation-related issues;

whether and the extent to which our technology and processes, and the technology and processes of Precigen, MD Anderson and our other licensors, infringe on intellectual property of the licensor that is not subject to the applicable license agreement;

our right to sublicense patent and other rights to third parties pursuant to our relationships with our licensors and partners;

whether we are complying with our diligence obligations with respect to the use of the licensed technology in relation to our development and commercialization of our potential products under the MD Anderson License; and

**Table of Contents**

the allocation of ownership of inventions and know-how resulting from the joint creation or use of intellectual property by our licensors and by us.

If disputes over intellectual property that we have licensed prevent or impair our ability to maintain our current licensing arrangements, particularly with MD Anderson and Precigen, on acceptable terms, we may be unable to successfully develop and commercialize the affected potential products. We are generally also subject to all of the same risks with respect to protection of intellectual property that we license as we are for intellectual property that we own. If we or our licensors fail to adequately protect this intellectual property, our ability to commercialize potential products under our applicable licenses could suffer. There is a substantial amount of litigation involving patents and other intellectual property rights in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries, as well as administrative proceedings for challenging patents, including interference, derivation, and reexamination proceedings before the United States Patent and Trademark Office, or USPTO, or oppositions and other comparable proceedings in foreign jurisdictions. Recently, due to changes in U.S. law referred to as patent reform, new procedures including inter partes review and post-grant review have been implemented, which adds uncertainty to the possibility of challenge to our or our licensors' patents in the future.

***Clinical trials are very expensive, time-consuming, and difficult to design, initiate and implement.***

Human clinical trials are very expensive and difficult to design, initiate and implement, in part because they are subject to rigorous regulatory requirements. The clinical trial start-up and process itself is also time-consuming and results are inherently uncertain. We estimate that clinical trials of our product candidates will take at least several years to complete. Furthermore, failure can occur at any stage of the trials, and we could encounter problems that cause us to delay the start of, abandon or repeat clinical trials. The commencement and completion of clinical trials may be delayed by several factors, including:

Additional nonclinical data requests by regulatory agencies;

Unforeseen safety issues;

Determination of dosing issues;

Lack of effectiveness during clinical trials;

Slower than expected rates of patient recruitment and enrollment;

Inability to monitor patients adequately during or after treatment;

Inability or unwillingness of medical investigators to follow our clinical protocols; and

Regulatory determinations to temporarily or permanently cease enrollment for other reasons not related to patient safety.

Success in preclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful. In addition, we or the FDA may suspend our clinical trials at any time if it appears that we are exposing participants to unacceptable health risks or if the FDA finds deficiencies in our IND submission or in the conduct of these trials. In June 2018, we announced that the FDA placed our Phase 1 trial on clinical hold to evaluate CD19-specific CAR-T therapies manufactured using our rapid personalized manufacturing technology and requested additional information in support of the IND submission for the trial. Our business may be materially harmed if we or our partners are unable to adequately address the FDA's requests for this trial in a timely manner.

See also Risks Related to the Clinical Testing, Regulatory Approval and Manufacturing of our Product Candidates *Our product candidates are in various stages of clinical trials, which are very expensive and time-consuming. We cannot be certain when we will be able to submit a BLA, to the FDA and any failure or delay in completing clinical trials for our product candidates could harm our business.*



## **Table of Contents**

***We may not be able to commercialize any products, generate significant revenues, or attain profitability.***

To date, none of our product candidates have been approved for commercial sale in any country. The process to develop, obtain regulatory approval for, and commercialize potential product candidates is long, complex, and costly. Unless and until we receive approval from the FDA and/or other foreign regulatory authorities for our product candidates, we cannot sell our products and will not have product revenues. Even if we obtain regulatory approval for one or more of our product candidates, if we are unable to successfully commercialize our products, we may not be able to generate sufficient revenues to achieve or maintain profitability, or to continue our business without raising significant additional capital, which may not be available. Our failure to achieve or maintain profitability could negatively impact the trading price of our common stock.

***Ethical, legal and social concerns about synthetic biologically engineered products could limit or prevent the use of our product candidates.***

Our product candidates use an immuno-oncology platform. Public perception about the safety and environmental hazards of, and ethical concerns over, genetically engineered products could influence public acceptance of our product candidates. If we and our collaborators are not able to overcome the ethical, legal and social concerns relating to biological engineering, our product candidates may not be accepted. These concerns could result in increased expenses, regulatory scrutiny, delays or other impediments to the public acceptance and commercialization of our product candidates. Our ability to develop and commercialize products could be limited by public attitudes and governmental regulation.

The subject of genetically modified organisms has received negative publicity, which has aroused public debate. This adverse publicity could lead to greater regulation and trade restrictions on the development and commercialization of genetically altered products. Further, there is a risk that our product candidates could cause adverse health effects or other AEs, which could also lead to negative publicity.

The biological platform that we use may have significantly enhanced characteristics compared to those found in naturally occurring organisms, enzymes or microbes. While we believe we produce biological technologies only for use in a controlled laboratory and industrial environment, the release of such biological technologies into uncontrolled environments could have unintended consequences. Any adverse effect resulting from such a release could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition, and we may have exposure to liability for any resulting harm.

***We will incur additional expenses in connection with our License Agreement with Precigen.***

We expect our overall research and development expenses will continue to increase as we move forward with our research and development efforts under the License Agreement with Precigen. Although all human clinical trials are expensive and difficult to design and implement, we believe that due to complexity, costs associated with clinical trials for immuno-oncology products are greater than the corresponding costs associated with clinical trials for small-molecule candidates. We now control many of the activities previously performed by Precigen on our behalf, including the manufacturing of our products in development. As a result, we expect to add increased headcount to support these efforts, among other expenses, which would add to our research and development expenses going forward.

Although our forecasts for expenses and the sufficiency of our capital resources take into account our plans to develop products under the License Agreement, the actual costs associated therewith may be significantly in excess of forecasted amounts. In addition to the amount and timing of expenses related to the clinical trials, our actual cash

requirements may vary materially from our current expectations for a number of other factors that may include, but are not limited to, changes in the focus and direction of our development programs, competitive and technical advances, costs associated with the development of our product candidates and costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing our intellectual property rights. If we exhaust our capital reserves more

---

**Table of Contents**

quickly than anticipated, regardless of the reason, and we are unable to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all, we will be unable to proceed with development of some or all of our product candidates on expected timelines and will be forced to prioritize among them.

***We may incur additional expenses in connection with our License Agreement with MD Anderson.***

Pursuant to the MD Anderson License with MD Anderson, we, together with Precigen, obtained an exclusive, worldwide license to certain technologies owned and licensed by MD Anderson including technologies relating to novel CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell and TCR cell therapies arising from the laboratory of Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D., who was then at MD Anderson, as well as either co-exclusive or non-exclusive licenses under certain related technologies. Pursuant to the MD Anderson License, we, together with Precigen, entered into a research and development agreement with MD Anderson pursuant to which we agreed to provide funding for certain research and development activities of MD Anderson for a period of three years from the date of the MD Anderson License, in an amount between \$15.0 and \$20.0 million per year. We made the final payment in January 2018.

Although our forecasts for expenses and the sufficiency of our capital resources takes into account the funds available at MD Anderson, our actual cash requirements may vary materially from our current expectations for a number of other factors that may include, but are not limited to, changes in the focus and direction of our development programs, competitive and technical advances, costs associated with the development of our product candidates and costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing our intellectual property rights. If we exhaust the funds available at MD Anderson more quickly than anticipated, regardless of the reason, and we are unable to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all, we will be unable to proceed with development of some or all of our product candidates on expected timelines and will be forced to prioritize among them.

***We may not be able to retain the rights licensed to us and Precigen by MD Anderson to technologies relating to CAR, T-cell therapies and other related technologies.***

Under the MD Anderson License, we, together with Precigen, received an exclusive, worldwide license to certain technologies owned and licensed by MD Anderson including technologies relating to novel CAR<sup>+</sup> T cell and TCR cell therapies arising from the laboratory of Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D., who was then at MD Anderson, as well as either co-exclusive or non-exclusive licenses under certain related technologies. When combined with Precigen's technology suite and Ziopharm's clinically tested RT<sup>®</sup> interleukin 12 modules, the resulting proprietary methods and technologies may help realize the promise of genetically modified CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells and TCR therapies by controlling cell expansion and activation in the body, minimizing off-target and unwanted on-target effects and toxicity while maximizing therapeutic efficacy. The term of the MD Anderson License expires on the last to occur of (a) the expiration of all patents licensed thereunder, or (b) the twentieth anniversary of the date of the MD Anderson License; provided, however, that following the expiration of the term, we and Precigen shall then have a fully-paid up, royalty free, perpetual, irrevocable and sublicensable license to use the licensed intellectual property thereunder.

After 10 years from the date of the MD Anderson License and subject to a 90-day cure period, MD Anderson will have the right to convert the MD Anderson License into a non-exclusive license if we and Precigen are not using commercially reasonable efforts to commercialize the licensed intellectual property on a case-by-case basis. After five years from the date of the MD Anderson License and subject to a 180-day cure period, MD Anderson will have the right to terminate the MD Anderson License with respect to specific technology(ies) funded by the government or subject to a third-party contract if we and Precigen are not meeting the diligence requirements in such funding agreement or contract, as applicable. MD Anderson may also terminate the agreement with written notice upon material breach by us or Precigen, if such breach has not been cured within 60 days of receiving such notice. In addition, the MD Anderson License will terminate upon the occurrence of certain insolvency events for both us or

Precigen and may be terminated by the mutual written agreement of us, Precigen and MD Anderson.

## **Table of Contents**

There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully perform under the MD Anderson License and if the MD Anderson License is terminated it may prevent us from achieving our business objectives.

***We have a limited operating history upon which to base an investment decision.***

We have not demonstrated an ability to perform the functions necessary for the successful commercialization of any product candidates. The successful commercialization of any product candidates will require us to perform a variety of functions, including:

Continuing to undertake preclinical development and clinical trials;

Participating in regulatory approval processes;

Formulating and manufacturing products; and

Conducting sales and marketing activities.

Our operations have been limited to organizing and staffing our company, acquiring, developing and securing our proprietary product candidates, and undertaking preclinical and clinical trials of our product candidates. These operations provide a limited basis for you to assess our ability to commercialize our product candidates and the advisability of investing in our securities.

***We may not be successful in establishing development and commercialization collaborations, which failure could adversely affect, and potentially prohibit, our ability to develop our product candidates.***

Developing biopharmaceutical products and complementary technologies, conducting clinical trials, obtaining marketing approval, establishing manufacturing capabilities and marketing approved products is expensive and, therefore, we anticipate exploring collaborations with third parties that have alternative technologies, more resources and more experience than we do. In situations where we enter into a development and commercial collaboration arrangement for a product candidate or complementary technology, we may also seek to establish additional collaborations for development and commercialization in territories outside of those addressed by the first collaboration arrangement for such product candidate or technology. There are a limited number of potential partners, and we expect to face competition in seeking appropriate partners. If we are unable to enter into any development and commercial collaborations and/or sales and marketing arrangements on reasonable and acceptable terms, if at all, we may be unable to successfully develop and seek regulatory approval for our product candidates and/or effectively market and sell future approved products, if any, in some or all of the territories outside of the United States where it may otherwise be valuable to do so.

***Because we currently do not have internal research capabilities, we are dependent upon pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies and academic and other researchers to sell or license us their product candidates and technology.***

Proposing, negotiating, and implementing an economically viable product acquisition or license is a lengthy and complex process. We compete for partnering arrangements and license agreements with pharmaceutical,

biopharmaceutical, and biotechnology companies, many of which have significantly more experience than we do and have significantly more financial resources. Our competitors may have stronger relationships with certain third parties including academic research institutions, with whom we are interested in collaborating and may have, therefore, a competitive advantage in entering into partnering arrangements with those third parties. We may not be able to acquire rights to additional product candidates or complementary technology on terms that we find acceptable, or at all.

We expect that any product candidate to which we acquire rights will require significant additional development and other efforts prior to commercial sale, including extensive clinical testing and approval by the FDA and applicable foreign regulatory authorities. All product candidates are subject to the risks of failure inherent in

**Table of Contents**

biopharmaceutical product development, including the possibility that the product candidate will not be shown to be sufficiently safe or effective for approval by regulatory authorities. Even if our product candidates are approved, they may not be economically manufactured or produced, or be successfully commercialized.

We actively evaluate complementary technologies to acquire or license. Such complementary technologies could significantly increase our capital requirements and place further strain on the time of our existing personnel, which may delay or otherwise adversely affect the development of our existing product candidates. We must manage our development efforts and clinical trials effectively, and hire, train and integrate additional management, administrative, and research and development personnel. We may not be able to accomplish these tasks, and our failure to accomplish any of them could prevent us from successfully growing.

***We may not be able to successfully manage our growth.***

In the future, if we are able to advance our product candidates to the point of, and thereafter through, clinical trials, we will need to expand our development, regulatory, manufacturing, marketing and sales capabilities or contract with third parties to provide for these capabilities. Any future growth will place a significant strain on our management and on our administrative, operational, and financial resources. Therefore, our future financial performance and our ability to commercialize our product candidates and to compete effectively will depend, in part, on our ability to manage any future growth effectively. To manage this growth, we must expand our facilities, augment our operational, financial and management systems, and hire and train additional qualified personnel. If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, our business may be harmed.

***Our business will subject us to the risk of liability claims associated with the use of hazardous materials and chemicals.***

Our contract research and development activities may involve the controlled use of hazardous materials and chemicals. Although we believe that our safety procedures for using, storing, handling and disposing of these materials comply with federal, state and local laws and regulations, we cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental injury or contamination from these materials. In the event of such an accident, we could be held liable for any resulting damages and any liability could have a materially adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. In addition, the federal, state and local laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of hazardous or radioactive materials and waste products may require our contractors to incur substantial compliance costs that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

***We rely on key executive officers and scientific and medical advisors, and their knowledge of our business and technical expertise would be difficult to replace.***

We are highly dependent on Dr. Laurence J.N. Cooper, our Chief Executive Officer; Dr. David Mauney, our President; and our principal scientific, regulatory, and medical advisors. Each of Drs. Cooper and Mauney may terminate their employment with us at any time, subject, however, to certain non-compete and non-solicitation covenants. The loss of the technical knowledge and management and industry expertise of each of Drs. Cooper or Mauney, or any of our other key personnel, could result in delays in product development, loss of customers and sales, and diversion of management resources, which could adversely affect our operating results. We do not carry key person life insurance policies on any of our officers or key employees.

***If we are unable to hire additional qualified personnel, our ability to grow our business may be harmed.***

We will need to hire additional qualified personnel with expertise in preclinical and clinical research and testing, government regulation, formulation and manufacturing, and eventually, sales and marketing. In particular, we expect to significantly expand our internal cell therapy capabilities in our Houston, Texas facilities by hiring additional research and development personnel. We compete for qualified individuals with numerous



**Table of Contents**

biopharmaceutical companies, universities, and other research institutions. Competition for such individuals is intense and we cannot be certain that our search for such personnel will be successful. Attracting and retaining qualified personnel will be critical to our success. If we are unable to hire additional qualified personnel, our ability to grow our business may be harmed.

***We may incur substantial liabilities and may be required to limit commercialization of our products in response to product liability lawsuits.***

The testing and marketing of medical products entail an inherent risk of product liability. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against product liability claims, we may incur substantial liabilities or be required to limit commercialization of our products, if approved. Even a successful defense would require significant financial and management resources. Regardless of the merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

Decreased demand for our product candidates;

Injury to our reputation;

Withdrawal of clinical trial participants;

Withdrawal of prior governmental approvals;

Costs of related litigation;

Substantial monetary awards to patients;

Product recalls;

Loss of revenue; and

The inability to commercialize our product candidates.

We currently carry clinical trial insurance and product liability insurance. However, an inability to renew our policies or to obtain sufficient insurance at an acceptable cost could prevent or inhibit the commercialization of pharmaceutical products that we develop, alone or with collaborators.

***Our business and operations would suffer in the event of system failures.***

Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems and those of our current and future contractors and consultants are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters,

terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. While we are not aware of any such material system failure, accident or security breach to date, if such an event were to occur and cause interruptions in our operations, it could result in a material disruption of our development programs and our business operations. For example, the loss of clinical trial data from completed or future clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. Likewise, we rely on third parties to manufacture our product candidates and conduct clinical trials, and similar events relating to their computer systems could also have a material adverse effect on our business. To the extent that any disruption or security breach were to result in a loss of, or damage to, our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur liability and the further development and commercialization of our product candidates could be delayed.

**RISKS RELATED TO THE CLINICAL TESTING, REGULATORY APPROVAL AND MANUFACTURING OF OUR PRODUCT CANDIDATES**

*If we are unable to obtain the necessary U.S. or worldwide regulatory approvals to commercialize any product candidate, our business will suffer.*

We may not be able to obtain the approvals necessary to commercialize our product candidates, or any product candidate that we may acquire or develop in the future for commercial sale. We will need FDA approval to

---

**Table of Contents**

commercialize our product candidates in the United States and approvals from regulatory authorities in foreign jurisdictions equivalent to the FDA to commercialize our product candidates in those jurisdictions. In order to obtain FDA approval of any product candidate, we must submit to the FDA a Biologics License Application, or BLA, demonstrating that the product candidate is safe for humans and effective for its intended use. This demonstration requires significant research and animal tests, which are referred to as preclinical studies, as well as human tests, which are referred to as clinical trials. Satisfaction of the FDA's regulatory requirements typically takes many years, depending upon the type, complexity, and novelty of the product candidate, and will require substantial resources for research, development, and testing. We cannot predict whether our research, development, and clinical approaches will result in products that the FDA will consider safe for humans and effective for their intended uses. The FDA has substantial discretion in the approval process and may require us to conduct additional preclinical and clinical testing or to perform post-marketing studies. The approval process may also be delayed by changes in government regulation, future legislation, or administrative action or changes in FDA policy that occur prior to or during our regulatory review. Delays in obtaining regulatory approvals may:

Delay commercialization of, and our ability to derive product revenues from, our product candidates;

Impose costly procedures on us; and

Diminish any competitive advantages that we may otherwise enjoy.

Even if we comply with all FDA requests, the FDA may ultimately reject one or more of our BLAs. We cannot be sure that we will ever obtain regulatory approval for any of our product candidates. Failure to obtain FDA approval for our product candidates will severely undermine our business by leaving us without a saleable product, and therefore without any potential revenue source, until another product candidate can be developed. There is no guarantee that we will ever be able to develop or acquire another product candidate or that we will obtain FDA approval if we are able to do so.

In foreign jurisdictions, we similarly must receive approval from applicable regulatory authorities before we can commercialize any of our product candidates. Foreign regulatory approval processes generally include all of the risks associated with the FDA approval procedures described above.

***Our product candidates are in various stages of clinical trials, which are very expensive and time-consuming. We cannot be certain when we will be able to submit a BLA to the FDA and any failure or delay in completing clinical trials for our product candidates could harm our business.***

Our product candidates are in various stages of development and require extensive clinical testing. Notwithstanding our current clinical trial plans for each of our existing product candidates, we may not be able to commence additional trials or see results from these trials within our anticipated timelines. As they enter later stages of development, our product candidates generally will become subject to more stringent regulatory requirements, including the FDA's requirements for chemistry, manufacturing and controls for product candidates entering Phase 3 clinical trials. There is no guarantee the FDA will allow us to commence Phase 3 clinical trials for product candidates studied in early clinical trials. If the FDA does not allow our product candidates to enter later stage clinical trials, or requires changes to the formulation or manufacture of our product candidates before commencing Phase 3 clinical trials, our ability to further develop, or seek approval for, such product candidates may be materially impacted. As such, we cannot predict with any certainty if or when we might submit a BLA for regulatory approval of our product candidates or whether

such a BLA will be accepted. Because we do not anticipate generating revenues unless and until we submit one or more BLAs and thereafter obtain requisite FDA approvals, the timing of our BLA submissions and FDA determinations regarding approval thereof, will directly affect if and when we are able to generate revenues.

## **Table of Contents**

***Our product candidates may cause undesirable side effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent their regulatory approval, limit the commercial profile of an approved label, or result in significant negative consequences following any potential marketing approval.***

As with many pharmaceutical and biological products, treatment with our product candidates may produce undesirable side effects or adverse reactions or events, including potential adverse side effects related to cytokine release. If our product candidates or similar products or product candidates under development by third parties demonstrate unacceptable AEs, we may be required to halt or delay further clinical development of our product candidates. The FDA or other foreign regulatory authorities could order us to cease further development of or deny approval of our product candidates for any or all targeted indications.

The product-related side effects could affect patient recruitment or the ability of enrolled patients to complete the trial or result in potential product liability claims. In addition, these side effects may not be appropriately or timely recognized or managed by the treating medical staff, particularly outside of the institutions that collaborate with us, as toxicities resulting from our novel technologies may not be normally encountered in the general patient population and by medical personnel. We expect to have to train medical personnel using our product candidates to understand their side effect profiles, both for our planned clinical trials and upon any commercialization of any product candidates. Inadequate training in recognizing or managing the potential side effects of our product candidates could result in adverse effects to patients, including death.

Additionally, if one or more of our product candidates receives marketing approval, and we or others later identify undesirable side effects caused by such products, including during any long-term follow-up observation period recommended or required for patients who receive treatment using our products, a number of potentially significant negative consequences could result, including:

regulatory authorities may withdraw approvals of such product;

regulatory authorities may require additional warnings on the label;

we may be required to create a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy plan, which could include a medication guide outlining the risks of such side effects for distribution to patients, a communication plan for healthcare providers, and/or other elements to assure safe use;

we could be sued and held liable for harm caused to patients; and

our reputation may suffer.

Any of the foregoing could prevent us from achieving or maintaining market acceptance of the particular product candidate, if approved. Furthermore, any of these occurrences may harm our business, financial condition and prospects significantly.

***Our cell-based and gene therapy immuno-oncology products rely on the availability of reagents, specialized equipment, and other specialty materials and infrastructure, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms***

*or at all. For some of these reagents, equipment, and materials, we rely or may rely on sole source vendors or a limited number of vendors, which could impair our ability to manufacture and supply our products.*

Manufacturing our product candidates will require many reagents, which are substances used in our manufacturing processes to bring about chemical or biological reactions, and other specialty materials and equipment, some of which are manufactured or supplied by small companies with limited resources and experience to support commercial biologics production. We currently depend on a limited number of vendors for certain materials and equipment used in the manufacture of our product candidates. Some of these suppliers may not have the capacity to support commercial products manufactured under current good manufacturing practices by biopharmaceutical firms or may otherwise be ill-equipped to support our needs. We also do not have supply contracts with many of these suppliers and may not be able to obtain supply contracts with them on acceptable

## **Table of Contents**

terms or at all. Accordingly, we may experience delays in receiving key materials and equipment to support clinical or commercial manufacturing.

For some of these reagents, equipment, infrastructure, and materials, we rely and may in the future rely on sole source vendors or a limited number of vendors. An inability to continue to source product from any of these suppliers, which could be due to regulatory actions or requirements affecting the supplier, adverse financial or other strategic developments experienced by a supplier, labor disputes or shortages, unexpected demands, or quality issues, could adversely affect our ability to satisfy demand for our product candidates, which could adversely and materially affect our product sales and operating results or our ability to conduct clinical trials, either of which could significantly harm our business.

As we continue to develop and scale our manufacturing process, we expect that we will need to obtain rights to and supplies of certain materials and equipment to be used as part of that process. We may not be able to obtain rights to such materials on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, and if we are unable to alter our process in a commercially viable manner to avoid the use of such materials or find a suitable substitute, it would have a material adverse effect on our business. Even if we are able to alter our process so as to use other materials or equipment, such a change may lead to a delay in our clinical development and/or commercialization plans. If such a change occurs for product candidate that is already in clinical testing, the change may require us to perform both ex vivo comparability studies and to collect additional data from patients prior to undertaking more advanced clinical trials.

### ***The results of our clinical trials may not support our product candidate claims.***

Even if our clinical trials are completed as planned, we cannot be certain that their results will support approval of our product candidates. The FDA normally expects two randomized, well-controlled Phase 3 pivotal trials in support of approval of a BLA. Success in preclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful, and we cannot be certain that the results of later clinical trials will replicate the results of prior clinical trials and preclinical testing. The clinical trial process may fail to demonstrate that our product candidates are safe for humans and effective for the indicated uses. This failure would cause us to abandon a product candidate and may delay development of other product candidates. Any delay in, or termination of, our clinical trials will delay the submission of our BLAs with the FDA and, ultimately, our ability to commercialize our product candidates and generate product revenues. In addition, our clinical trials involve small patient populations. Because of the small sample size, the results of these clinical trials may not be indicative of future results.

### ***Our immuno-oncology product candidates are based on a novel technology, which makes it difficult to predict the time and cost of product candidate development and subsequently obtaining regulatory approval. Currently, few gene therapy and cell therapy products have been approved in the United States and Europe.***

We are currently focused on developing products in immuno-oncology that employ novel gene expression, control and cell technologies to deliver safe, effective and scalable cell- and viral-based therapies for the treatment of cancer. Due to the novelty of this medical technology, there can be no assurance that any development problems we experience in the future related to our immuno-oncology platforms will not cause significant delays or unanticipated costs, or that such development problems can be solved. We may also experience unanticipated problems or delays in expanding our manufacturing capacity or transferring our manufacturing process to commercial partners, which may prevent us from completing our clinical trials or commercializing our immuno-oncology product candidates on a timely or profitable basis, if at all.

In addition, the clinical study requirements of the FDA, the EMA and other regulatory agencies and the criteria these regulators use to determine the safety and efficacy of a product candidate vary substantially according to the type,

complexity, novelty and intended use and market of the potential products. The regulatory approval process for novel product candidates such as ours can be more expensive and take longer than for other, better known or extensively studied pharmaceutical or other product candidates. These factors make it difficult to



## **Table of Contents**

determine how long it will take or how much it will cost to obtain regulatory approvals for our product candidates in either the United States or Europe. Approvals by the EMA may not be indicative of what the FDA may require for approval.

Regulatory requirements governing gene and cell therapy products have changed frequently and may continue to change in the future. For example, the FDA has established the Office of Tissue and Advanced Therapies within its Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, or CBER, to consolidate the review of gene therapy and related products, and the Cellular, Tissue and Gene Therapies Advisory Committee to advise CBER on its review. Also, before a clinical trial can begin at an institution, that institution's institutional review board, or IRB, and its Institutional Biosafety Committee will have to review the proposed clinical trial to assess the safety of the trial. In addition, adverse developments in clinical trials of gene therapy products conducted by others may cause the FDA or other regulatory bodies to change the requirements for approval of any of our product candidates.

These regulatory review committees and advisory groups and the new guidelines they promulgate may lengthen the regulatory review process, require us to perform additional studies, increase our development costs, lead to changes in regulatory positions and interpretations, delay or prevent approval and commercialization of these treatment candidates or lead to significant post-approval limitations or restrictions. As we advance our immuno-oncology product candidates, we will be required to consult with these regulatory and advisory groups, and comply with applicable guidelines. If we fail to do so, we may be required to delay or discontinue development of our product candidates. These additional processes may result in a review and approval process that is longer than we otherwise would have expected for oncology product candidates. Delay or failure to obtain, or unexpected costs in obtaining, the regulatory approval necessary to bring a potential product to market could decrease our ability to generate sufficient product revenue to maintain our business.

***Because we are dependent upon clinical research institutions and other contractors for clinical testing and for research and development activities, the results of our clinical trials and such research activities are, to a certain extent, beyond our control.***

We materially rely upon independent investigators and collaborators, such as universities and medical institutions, to conduct our preclinical and clinical trials under agreements with us. These collaborators are not our employees and we cannot control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our programs. These investigators may not assign as great a priority to our programs or pursue them as diligently as we would if we were undertaking such programs ourselves. If outside collaborators fail to devote sufficient time and resources to our product development programs, or if their performance is substandard, the approval of our FDA applications, if any, and our introduction of new products, if any, will be delayed. These collaborators may also have relationships with other commercial entities, some of whom may compete with us. If our collaborators assist our competitors to our detriment, our competitive position would be harmed.

***Our reliance on third parties to formulate and manufacture our product candidates exposes us to a number of risks that may delay the development, regulatory approval and commercialization of our products or result in higher product costs.***

We have limited experience in biopharmaceutical manufacturing. We currently lack the internal resources and expertise to formulate or manufacture our own product candidates and, therefore, contract the manufacture of our product candidates with third parties. We intend to contract with one or more manufacturers to manufacture, supply, store, and distribute supplies for our clinical trials. If a product candidate we develop or acquire in the future receives FDA approval, we may rely on one or more third-party contractors to manufacture our products. Our anticipated future reliance on a limited number of third-party manufacturers exposes us to the following risks:

We may be unable to identify manufacturers on acceptable terms or at all because the number of potential manufacturers is limited and the FDA must approve any replacement contractor. This

**Table of Contents**

approval would require new testing and compliance inspections. In addition, a new manufacturer would have to be educated in, or develop substantially equivalent processes for, production of our products after receipt of FDA approval, if any.

Our third-party manufacturers might be unable to formulate and manufacture our products in the volume and of the quality required to meet our clinical needs and commercial needs, if any.

Our future contract manufacturers may not perform as agreed or may not remain in the contract manufacturing business for the time required to supply our clinical trials or to successfully produce, store, and distribute our products.

Biopharmaceutical manufacturers are subject to ongoing periodic unannounced inspection by the FDA, the Drug Enforcement Administration and corresponding state and foreign agencies to ensure strict compliance with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, and other government regulations and corresponding foreign standards. We do not have control over third-party manufacturers' compliance with these regulations and standards.

If any third-party manufacturer makes improvements in the manufacturing process for our products, we may not own, or may have to share, the intellectual property rights to the innovation.

Our third-party manufacturers may not be able to comply with cGMP regulations or similar regulatory requirements outside the United States. Our failure, or the failure of our third-party manufacturers, to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including clinical holds, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of our products.

Each of these risks could delay our clinical trials, the approval, if any, of our product candidates by the FDA or the commercialization of our product candidates or result in higher costs or deprive us of potential product revenues.

***Any product candidate for which we obtain marketing approval could be subject to post-marketing restrictions or withdrawal from the market and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or if we experience unanticipated problems with our products, when and if any of them are approved.***

Any product candidate for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical data, labeling, advertising and promotional activities for such product, will be subject to continual requirements of and review by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. These requirements include, among other things, submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration and listing requirements, cGMP requirements relating to manufacturing, quality control, quality assurance and corresponding maintenance of records and documents, requirements regarding the distribution of samples to physicians and recordkeeping. Even if marketing approval of a product candidate is granted, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, including the requirement to implement a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy, or REMS, which could include requirements for a restricted distribution system. If any of our product candidates receives marketing approval, the accompanying label

may limit the approved uses, which could limit sales of the product.

The FDA may also impose requirements for costly post-marketing studies or clinical trials and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of our approved products. The FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of products to ensure that they are marketed only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved labeling. However, companies may share truthful and not misleading information that is otherwise consistent with the labeling. The FDA imposes stringent restrictions on manufacturers' communications regarding off-label use and if we market our products outside of their approved

**Table of Contents**

indications, we may be subject to enforcement action for off-label marketing. Violations of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act relating to the promotion of prescription drugs may lead to investigations alleging violations of federal and state health care fraud and abuse laws, as well as state consumer protection laws.

In addition, later discovery of previously unknown AEs or other problems with our products, manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may yield various results, including:

Litigation involving patients taking our product;

Restrictions on such products, manufacturers or manufacturing processes;

Restrictions on the labeling or marketing of a product;

Restrictions on product distribution or use;

Requirements to conduct post-marketing studies or clinical trials;

Warning letters;

Withdrawal of the products from the market;

Refusal to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications that we submit;

Recall of products;

Fines, restitution or disgorgement of profits or revenues;

Suspension or withdrawal of marketing approvals;

Damage to relationships with existing and potential collaborators;

Unfavorable press coverage and damage to our reputation;

Refusal to permit the import or export of our products;

Product seizure; or

Injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Noncompliance with requirements regarding safety monitoring or pharmacovigilance can also result in significant financial penalties. Similarly, failure to comply with U.S. and foreign regulatory requirements regarding the development of products for pediatric populations and the protection of personal health information can also lead to significant penalties and sanctions.

## **RISKS RELATED TO OUR ABILITY TO COMMERCIALIZE OUR PRODUCT CANDIDATES**

*If we are unable either to create sales, marketing and distribution capabilities or enter into agreements with third parties to perform these functions, we will be unable to commercialize our product candidates successfully.*

We currently have no marketing, sales, or distribution capabilities. If and when we become reasonably certain that we will be able to commercialize our current or future product candidates, we anticipate allocating resources to the marketing, sales and distribution of our proposed products in North America and in certain other countries; however, we cannot assure that we will be able to market, sell, and distribute our products successfully. Our future success also may depend, in part, on our ability to enter into and maintain collaborative relationships for such capabilities and to encourage the collaborator's strategic interest in the products under development, and such collaborator's ability to successfully market and sell any such products. Although we intend to pursue certain collaborative arrangements regarding the sale and marketing of certain of our product candidates, there are no assurances that we will be able to establish or maintain collaborative arrangements or, if we are able to do so, whether we would be able to conduct our own sales efforts. There can also be no assurance that we will be

## Table of Contents

able to establish or maintain relationships with third-party collaborators or develop in-house sales and distribution capabilities. To the extent that we depend on third parties for marketing and distribution, any revenues we receive will depend upon the efforts of such third parties, and there can be no assurance that such efforts will be successful. In addition, there can also be no assurance that we will be able to market and sell our product candidates in the United States or overseas.

If we are not able to partner with a third party and are not successful in recruiting sales and marketing personnel or in building a sales and marketing infrastructure, we will have difficulty commercializing our product candidates, which would harm our business. If we rely on pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies with established distribution systems to market our products, we will need to establish and maintain partnership arrangements, and we may not be able to enter into these arrangements on acceptable terms or at all. To the extent that we enter into co-promotion or other arrangements, any revenues we receive will depend upon the efforts of third parties that may not be successful and that will be only partially in our control.

***If we cannot compete successfully for market share against other biopharmaceutical companies, we may not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.***

The market for our product candidates is characterized by intense competition and rapid technological advances. If a product candidate receives FDA approval, it will compete with a number of existing and future products and therapies developed, manufactured and marketed by others. Existing or future competing products may provide greater therapeutic convenience or clinical or other benefits for a specific indication than our products or may offer comparable performance at a lower cost. If our products fail to capture and maintain market share, we may not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.

We will compete against fully integrated pharmaceutical companies and smaller companies that are collaborating with larger pharmaceutical companies, academic institutions, government agencies and other public and private research organizations. Many of these competitors have products already approved or in development. In addition, many of these competitors, either alone or together with their collaborative partners, operate larger research and development programs or have substantially greater financial resources than we do, as well as significantly greater experience in:

Developing drugs and biopharmaceuticals;

Undertaking preclinical testing and human clinical trials;

Obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals of drugs and biopharmaceuticals;

Formulating and manufacturing drugs and biopharmaceuticals; and

Launching, marketing, and selling drugs and biopharmaceuticals.

Our commercial opportunity could be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and commercialize products that are more effective, have fewer or less severe side effects, are more convenient or are less expensive than any products that we may develop. Our competitors also may obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their products

more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours, which could result in our competitors establishing a strong market position before we are able to enter the market. In addition, our ability to compete may be affected in many cases by insurers or other third-party payors seeking to encourage the use of generic products.

***If physicians and patients do not accept and use our product candidates, our ability to generate revenue from sales of our products will be materially impaired.***

Even if the FDA and/or foreign equivalents thereof approve our product candidates, physicians and patients may not accept and use them. Acceptance and use of our products will depend upon a number of factors including:

Perceptions by members of the healthcare community, including physicians, about the safety and effectiveness of our products;



**Table of Contents**

Pharmacological benefit and cost-effectiveness of our products relative to competing products;

Availability of coverage and adequate reimbursement for our products from government or other third-party payors;

Effectiveness of marketing and distribution efforts by us and our licensees and distributors, if any; and

The price at which we sell our products.

Because we expect sales of our current product candidates, if approved, to generate substantially all of our product revenues for the foreseeable future, the failure of a product to find market acceptance would harm our business and could require us to seek additional financing in order to fund the development of future product candidates.

***Our ability to generate product revenues will be diminished if our products do not obtain coverage and adequate reimbursement from payors.***

Our ability to commercialize our product candidates, if approved, alone or with collaborators, will depend in part on the extent to which coverage and reimbursement will be available from third-party payors, including government and health administration authorities, private health maintenance organizations and health insurers and other payors.

Patients who are prescribed medicine for the treatment of their conditions generally rely on third-party payors to reimburse all or part of the costs associated with their prescription drugs. Sufficient coverage and adequate reimbursement from third-party payors are critical to new product acceptance. Coverage decisions may depend upon clinical and economic standards that disfavor new drug products when more established or lower cost therapeutic alternatives are already available or subsequently become available. It is difficult to predict the coverage and reimbursement decisions that will be made by third-party payors for novel gene and cell therapy products such as ours. Even if we obtain coverage for our product candidates, the resulting reimbursement payment rates might not be adequate or may require co-payments that patients find unacceptably high. Patients are unlikely to use our product candidates unless coverage is provided and reimbursement is adequate to cover a significant portion of the cost of our product candidates.

In addition, the market for our product candidates for which we may receive regulatory approval will depend significantly on access to third-party payors' drug formularies or lists of medications for which third-party payors provide coverage and reimbursement, which might not include all of the FDA-approved drugs for a particular indication. The industry competition to be included in such formularies often leads to downward pricing pressures on pharmaceutical companies. Also, third-party payors may refuse to include a particular branded drug in their formularies or otherwise restrict patient access to a branded drug when a less costly generic equivalent or other alternative is available.

Third-party payors, whether foreign or domestic, or governmental or commercial, are developing increasingly sophisticated methods of controlling healthcare costs. In addition, in the United States, no uniform policy of coverage and reimbursement for drug products exists among third-party payors. Therefore, coverage and reimbursement for drug products can differ significantly from payor to payor. As a result, the coverage determination process is often a time-consuming and costly process that requires us to provide scientific and clinical support for the use of our products to each payor separately, with no assurance that approval will be obtained. If we are unable to obtain coverage of and adequate payment levels for our product candidates from third-party payors, physicians may limit

how much or under what circumstances they will prescribe or administer our products and patients may decline to purchase them. This in turn could affect our ability to successfully commercialize our products and impact our profitability, results of operations, financial condition, and future success.

In addition, in many foreign countries, particularly the countries of the EU, the pricing of prescription drugs is subject to government control. In some non-U.S. jurisdictions, the proposed pricing for a drug must be approved

---

**Table of Contents**

before it may be lawfully marketed. The requirements governing drug pricing vary widely from country to country. For example, the EU provides options for its member states to restrict the range of medicinal products for which their national health insurance systems provide reimbursement and to control the prices of medicinal products for human use. A member state may approve a specific price for the medicinal product or it may instead adopt a system of direct or indirect controls on the profitability of the company placing the medicinal product on the market. We may face competition for our product candidates from lower-priced products in foreign countries that have placed price controls on pharmaceutical products. In addition, there may be importation of foreign products that compete with our own products, which could negatively impact our profitability.

***The market opportunities for our product candidates may be limited to those patients who are ineligible for or have failed prior treatments and may be small.***

Cancer therapies are sometimes characterized as first line, second line, or third line, and the FDA often approves new therapies initially only for third line use. When cancer is detected early enough, first line therapy is sometimes adequate to cure the cancer or prolong life without a cure. Whenever first line therapy, usually chemotherapy, hormone therapy, surgery, or a combination of these, proves unsuccessful, second line therapy may be administered. Second line therapies often consist of more chemotherapy, radiation, antibody drugs, tumor targeted small molecules, or a combination of these. Third line therapies can include bone marrow transplantation, antibody and small molecule targeted therapies, more invasive forms of surgery, and new technologies. We expect to initially seek approval of our product candidates as a third line therapy for patients who have failed other approved treatments.

Subsequently, for those products that prove to be sufficiently beneficial, if any, we would expect to seek approval as a second line therapy and potentially as a first line therapy, but there is no guarantee that our product candidates, even if approved, would be approved for second line or first line therapy. In addition, we may have to conduct additional clinical trials prior to gaining approval for second line or first line therapy.

Our projections of both the number of people who have the cancers we are targeting, as well as the subset of people with these cancers in a position to receive third line therapy and who have the potential to benefit from treatment with our product candidates, are based on our beliefs and estimates. These estimates have been derived from a variety of sources, including scientific literature, surveys of clinics, patient foundations, or market research and may prove to be incorrect. Further, new studies may change the estimated incidence or prevalence of these cancers. The number of patients may turn out to be lower than expected. Additionally, the potentially addressable patient population for our product candidates may be limited or may not be amenable to treatment with our product candidates. Even if we obtain significant market share for our product candidates, because the potential target populations are small, we may never achieve profitability without obtaining regulatory approval for additional indications, including use as a first- or second-line therapy.

Our market opportunities may also be limited by competitor treatments that may enter the market. See also **Risks Related to Our Ability to Commercialize Our Product Candidates** *If we cannot compete successfully for market share against other biopharmaceutical companies, we may not achieve sufficient product revenues and our business will suffer.*

***Healthcare legislative reform measures may have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.***

In both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory enactments in recent years that change the healthcare system in ways that could impact our future ability to sell our product candidates profitably.

Furthermore, there have been and continue to be a number of initiatives at the federal and state level that seek to reduce healthcare costs. Most significantly, in March 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient

**Table of Contents**

Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or collectively the ACA, which included measures that have significantly changed the way healthcare is financed by both governmental and private insurers. Among the provisions of the ACA of importance to the pharmaceutical industry are the following:

Created an annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports certain branded prescription drugs and biologic agents, apportioned among these entities according to their market share in certain government healthcare programs;

Increased the statutory minimum rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program to 23.1% and 13% of the average manufacturer price for most branded and generic drugs, respectively;

Created a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must now agree to offer 70% point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for the manufacturer's outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D;

Extended manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability to covered drugs dispensed to individuals who are enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations;

Created new methodologies by which rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program are calculated for drugs that are inhaled, infused, instilled, implanted or injected, and for drugs that are line extensions;

Expanded eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs by, among other things, allowing states to offer Medicaid coverage to additional individuals with income at or below 133% of the Federal Poverty Level, thereby potentially increasing both the volume of sales and manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability;

Expanded the entities eligible for discounts under the Public Health Service pharmaceutical pricing program;

Created a new requirement to annually report drug samples that certain manufacturers and authorized distributors provide to physicians;

Expanded healthcare fraud and abuse laws, including the False Claims Act and the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, new government investigative powers, and enhanced penalties for noncompliance;

Created a licensure framework for follow-on biologic products;

Created new requirements under the federal Physician Payments Sunshine Act for drug manufacturers to annually report information related to payments and other transfers of value made to physicians and teaching hospitals as well as ownership or investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members;

Created a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research; and

Established a Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation at the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, to test innovative payment and service delivery models to lower Medicare and Medicaid spending, potentially including prescription drug spending.

Some of the provisions of the ACA have yet to be implemented, and there have been legal and political challenges to certain aspects of the ACA. Since January 2017, President Trump has signed two executive orders and other directives designed to delay, circumvent, or loosen certain requirements mandated by the ACA. Concurrently, Congress has considered legislation that would repeal or repeal and replace all or part of the ACA. While Congress has not passed repeal legislation, two bills affecting the implementation of certain taxes under

---

**Table of Contents**

the ACA have been signed into law. In December 2017, Congress repealed the tax penalty, effective January 1, 2019, for an individual's failure to maintain ACA-mandated health insurance as part of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, or Tax Act. On January 22, 2018, President Trump signed a continuing resolution on appropriations for fiscal year 2018 that delayed the implementation of certain ACA-mandated fees, including the so-called Cadillac tax on certain high cost employer-sponsored insurance plans and the annual fee imposed on certain health insurance providers based on market share. The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, or the BBA, among other things, amended the ACA, effective January 1, 2019, to increase from 50 percent to 70 percent the point-of-sale discount that is owed by pharmaceutical manufacturers who participate in Medicare Part D and close the coverage gap in most Medicare drug plans, commonly referred to as the donut hole. In July 2018, CMS published a final rule permitting further collections and payments to and from certain ACA qualified health plans and health insurance issuers under the ACA risk adjustment program in response to the outcome of federal district court litigation regarding the method CMS uses to determine this risk adjustment. On December 14, 2018, a Texas U.S. District Court Judge ruled that ACA is unconstitutional in its entirety because the individual mandate was repealed by Congress as part of the Tax Act. While the Texas U.S. District Court Judge, as well as the Trump administration and CMS, have stated that the ruling will have no immediate effect pending appeal of the decision, it is unclear how this decision, subsequent appeals, and other efforts to repeal and replace ACA will impact ACA and our business. The ultimate content, timing or effect of any healthcare reform legislation on the U.S. healthcare industry is unclear.

In addition, other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the ACA was enacted. For example, in August 2011, President Obama signed into law the Budget Control Act of 2011, which, among other things, created the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction to recommend proposals in spending reductions to Congress. The Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction did not achieve its targeted deficit reduction of at least \$1.2 trillion for the years 2013 through 2021, triggering the legislation's automatic reductions to several government programs. These reductions include aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of 2% per fiscal year, which went into effect on April 1, 2013, and, due to subsequent legislative amendments, including the BBA, will stay in effect through 2027 unless additional Congressional action is taken. In January 2013, President Obama signed into law the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012, which, among other things, further reduced Medicare payments to several providers and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments to providers from three to five years.

Further, there has been increasing legislative and enforcement interest in the United States with respect to specialty drug pricing practices. As a result, there have been several U.S. Congressional inquiries and proposed and enacted federal and state legislation designed to, among other things, bring more transparency to drug pricing, review the relationship between pricing and manufacturer patient programs, and reform government program reimbursement methodologies for drugs. At the federal level, the Trump administration's budget proposal for fiscal year 2019 contains further drug price control measures that could be enacted during the 2019 budget process or in other future legislation, including, for example, measures to permit Medicare Part D plans to negotiate the price of certain drugs under Medicare Part B, to allow some states to negotiate drug prices under Medicaid, and to eliminate cost sharing for generic drugs for low-income patients. Further, the Trump administration released a Blueprint, or plan, to lower drug prices and reduce out of pocket costs of drugs that contains additional proposals to increase drug manufacturer competition, increase the negotiating power of certain federal healthcare programs, incentivize manufacturers to lower the list price of their products, and reduce the out of pocket costs of drug products paid by consumers. The Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS, has already started the process of soliciting feedback on some of these measures and, at the same, is immediately implementing others under its existing authority. For example, in September 2018, CMS announced that it will allow Medicare Advantage plans the option to use step therapy for Part B drugs beginning January 1, 2019, and in October 2018, CMS proposed a new rule that would require direct-to-consumer television advertisements of prescription drugs and biological products, for which payment is available through or under Medicare or Medicaid, to include in the advertisement the Wholesale Acquisition Cost, or

list price, of that drug or biological product. On January 31, 2019, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General, proposed modifications to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute discount safe harbor for the



---

**Table of Contents**

purpose of reducing the cost of drug products to consumers which, among other things, if finalized, will affect discounts paid by manufacturers to Medicare Part D plans, Medicaid managed care organizations and pharmacy benefit managers working with these organizations. While some of these, and other proposed, measures may require additional authorization through additional legislation to become effective, Congress and the Trump administration have each indicated that it will continue to seek new legislative and/or administrative measures to control drug costs. Individual states in the United States have also increasingly passed legislation and implemented regulations designed to control pharmaceutical product pricing, including price or patient reimbursement constraints, discounts, restrictions on certain product access and marketing cost disclosure and transparency measures, and, in some cases, designed to encourage importation from other countries and bulk purchasing.

Additionally, on May 30, 2018, the Trickett Wendler, Frank Mongiello, Jordan McLinn, and Matthew Bellina Right to Try Act of 2017, or the Right to Try Act, was signed into law. The law, among other things, provides a federal framework for certain patients to access certain investigational new drug products that have completed a Phase 1 clinical trial and that are undergoing investigation for FDA approval. Under certain circumstances, eligible patients can seek treatment without enrolling in clinical trials and without obtaining FDA permission under the FDA expanded access program. There is no obligation for a manufacturer to make its products available to eligible patients as a result of the Right to Try Act.

We expect that the ACA, as well as other healthcare reform measures that may be adopted in the future, may result in more rigorous coverage criteria and in additional downward pressure on the price that we may receive for any approved product. Any reduction in reimbursement from Medicare or other government programs may result in a similar reduction in payments from private payors. The implementation of cost containment measures or other healthcare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue, attain profitability, or if we receive regulatory approval, commercialize our products.

***If we fail to comply with federal and state healthcare laws, including fraud and abuse and health information privacy and security laws, we could face substantial penalties and our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects could be adversely affected.***

As a pharmaceutical company, even though we do not and will not control referrals of healthcare services or bill directly to Medicare, Medicaid or other third-party payors, certain federal and state healthcare laws and regulations pertaining to fraud and abuse and patients' rights are and will be applicable to our business. For example, we could be subject to healthcare fraud and abuse and patient privacy regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. The laws that may affect our ability to operate include, among others:

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which regulates our business activities, including our marketing practices, educational programs, pricing policies, and relationships with healthcare providers or other entities, by prohibiting, among other things, soliciting, receiving, offering or paying remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce, or in return for, either the referral of an individual or the purchase or recommendation of an item or service reimbursable under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;

Federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, including the False Claims Act which permits a private individual acting as a whistleblower to bring actions on behalf of the federal government alleging violations of the False Claims Act, which prohibit, among other things, individuals or

entities from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third-party payors that are false or fraudulent;

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, which created new federal civil and criminal statutes that prohibit, among other things, executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters;

**Table of Contents**

HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act of 2009, or HITECH, and their implementing regulations, which imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information on entities and individuals subject to the law including certain healthcare providers, health plans, and healthcare clearinghouses, known as covered entities, as well as individuals and entities that perform services for them which involve the use, or disclosure of, individually identifiable health information, known as business associates;

Requirements to report annually to CMS certain financial arrangements with physicians and teaching hospitals, as defined in the ACA and its implementing regulations, including reporting any transfer of value made or distributed to teaching hospitals, prescribers, and other healthcare providers and reporting any ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members and applicable group purchasing organizations during the preceding calendar year; and

State and foreign law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws which may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers; state laws that require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the industry's voluntary compliance guidelines and the applicable compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government that otherwise restricts certain payments that may be made to healthcare providers and entities; state laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfer of value to physicians and other healthcare providers and entities; state laws that require the reporting of information related to drug pricing; state and local laws that require the registration of pharmaceutical sales representatives; and state and foreign laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by HIPAA, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the statutory exceptions and safe harbors available, it is possible that some of our business activities, including our consulting agreements with physicians, some of whom receive stock or stock options as compensation for their services, could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. In addition, recent health care reform legislation has further strengthened these laws. For example, the ACA, among other things, amended the intent requirement of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute and certain criminal healthcare fraud statutes. A person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it. Moreover, the ACA provides that the government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the False Claims Act.

To the extent that any of our product candidates is ultimately sold in a foreign country, we may be subject to similar foreign laws and regulations.

Efforts to ensure that our business arrangements comply with applicable healthcare laws involve substantial costs. It is possible that governmental and enforcement authorities will conclude that our business practices do not comply with current or future statutes, regulations or case law interpreting applicable fraud and abuse or other healthcare laws and regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, we may be subject to significant penalties, including administrative, civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines, exclusion from participation in United States federal or state health care programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, disgorgement, imprisonment, integrity oversight and reporting obligations, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations any of which could materially adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our financial results. Although compliance programs can mitigate the risk of investigation and prosecution for

violations of these laws, the risks cannot be entirely eliminated. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management's attention from the operation of our business. Moreover, achieving and sustaining compliance with applicable federal and state privacy, security and fraud laws may prove costly.

---

**Table of Contents**

***Our immuno-oncology product candidates may face competition in the future from biosimilars.***

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, provides an abbreviated pathway for the approval of follow-on biological products. Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product cannot be approved by the FDA until 12 years after the original branded product was approved under a BLA. However, there is a risk that the U.S. Congress could amend the BPCIA to significantly shorten this exclusivity period, potentially creating the opportunity for generic competition sooner than anticipated. Further, this data exclusivity does not prevent another company from developing a product that is highly similar to the original branded product, generating its own data and seeking approval. Data exclusivity only assures that another company cannot rely upon the data within the innovator's application to support the biosimilar product's approval.

**RISKS RELATED TO OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY**

***If we or our licensors fail to adequately protect or enforce our intellectual property rights or secure rights to patents of others, the value of our intellectual property rights would diminish and our ability to successfully commercialize our products may be impaired.***

Our success, competitive position, and future revenues will depend in part on our ability and the abilities of our licensors to obtain and maintain patent protection for our products, methods, processes and other technologies, to preserve our trade secrets, to prevent third parties from infringing on our proprietary rights, and to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties.

To date, we have exclusive rights in the field of cancer treatment to certain U.S. and foreign intellectual property with respect to the Precigen technology, including Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex, and with respect to CAR<sup>+</sup> T, NK and TCR cell therapies arising from the laboratory of Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D., who was then at MD Anderson. Under our License Agreement with Precigen, Precigen has the right, but not the obligation, to prepare, file, prosecute, and maintain the patents and patent applications licensed to us and shall bear any related costs incurred by it in regard to those actions. Precigen is required to consult with us and keep us reasonably informed of the status of the patents and patent applications licensed to us, and to confer with us and incorporate our comments prior to submitting any related filings and correspondence. Although under the agreement Precigen has agreed to consider in good faith and consult with us regarding any comments we may have regarding these patents and patent applications, we cannot guarantee that our comments will be solicited or followed. Under the MD Anderson License, future filings and applications require the agreement of each of MD Anderson, Precigen and us, and MD Anderson has the right to control the preparation and filing of additional patent applications unless the parties agree that we or Precigen may prosecute the application directly. Although under the agreement MD Anderson has agreed to review and incorporate any reasonable comments that we or Precigen may have regarding these patents and patent applications, we cannot guarantee that our comments will be solicited or followed. Without direct control of the in-licensed patents and patent applications, we are dependent on Precigen or MD Anderson, as applicable, to keep us advised of prosecution, particularly in foreign jurisdictions where prosecution information may not be publicly available. We anticipate that we, Precigen and MD Anderson will file additional patent applications both in the United States and in other countries. However, we cannot predict or guarantee:

The degree and range of protection any patents will afford us against competitors, including whether third parties will find ways to invalidate or otherwise circumvent our patents;

If and when patents will be issued;

Whether or not others will obtain patents claiming subject matter related to or relevant to our product candidates; or

Whether we will need to initiate litigation or administrative proceedings that may be costly whether we win or lose.

The patent prosecution process is expensive and time-consuming, and we may not be able to file and prosecute all necessary or desirable patent applications at a reasonable cost, in a timely manner, or in all jurisdictions. It is

## **Table of Contents**

also possible that we will fail to identify patentable aspects of our research and development output before it is too late to obtain patent protection. Moreover, in some circumstances, we do not have the right to control the preparation, filing and prosecution of patent applications, or to maintain the patents, covering technology that we license from third parties. We may also require the cooperation of our licensors in order to enforce the licensed patent rights, and such cooperation may not be provided. Therefore, these patents and applications may not be prosecuted and enforced in a manner consistent with the best interests of our business.

The patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies generally is highly uncertain, involves complex legal and factual questions and has in recent years been the subject of much litigation. In addition, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States and we may fail to seek or obtain patent protection in all major markets. For example, European patent law restricts the patentability of methods of treatment of the human body more than United States law does. Publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind the actual discoveries, and patent applications in the United States and other jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, or in some cases not at all.

Changes in patent laws or in interpretations of patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection. In September 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the Leahy-Smith Act, was signed into law, resulting in a number of significant changes to United States patent law. These changes include provisions that affect the way patent applications will be prosecuted and may also affect patent litigation. In addition, the United States Supreme Court has ruled on several patent cases in recent years, either narrowing the scope of patent protection available in certain circumstances or weakening the rights of patent owners in certain situations. This combination of events has created uncertainty with respect to the value of patents, once obtained, and with regard to our ability to obtain patents in the future. As the USPTO continues to implement the Leahy-Smith Act, and as the federal courts have the opportunity to interpret the Leahy-Smith Act, the laws and regulations governing patents, and the rules regarding patent procurement could change in unpredictable ways that would weaken our ability to obtain new patents or to enforce our existing patents and patents that we might obtain in the future.

Certain technologies utilized in our research and development programs are already in the public domain. Moreover, a number of our competitors have developed technologies, filed patent applications or obtained patents on technologies, compositions and methods of use that are related to our business and may cover or conflict with our owned or licensed patent applications, technologies or product candidates. Such conflicts could limit the scope of the patents that we may be able to obtain or may result in the rejection of claims in our patent applications. Because patent applications in the United States and many foreign jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, or in some cases not at all, and because publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind actual discoveries, neither we nor our licensors can be certain that others have not filed or maintained patent applications for technology used by us or covered by our pending patent applications without our being aware of these applications. Therefore, we cannot know with certainty whether we were the first to make the inventions claimed in our owned patents or pending patent applications, or that we were the first to file for patent protection of such inventions, nor can we know whether those from whom we license patents were the first to make the inventions claimed or were the first to file. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability and commercial value of our patent rights are highly uncertain. Our pending and future patent applications may not result in patents being issued which protect our technology or products, in whole or in part, or which effectively prevent others from commercializing competitive technologies and products. Changes in either the patent laws or interpretation of the patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our patents or narrow the scope of our patent protection. In addition, our own earlier filed patents and applications or those of Precigen or MD Anderson may limit the scope of later patents we obtain or may result in the rejection of claims in our later filed patent applications. If third parties filed patent applications or obtained patents on technologies, compositions and methods of use that are related to our business and that cover or

conflict with our owned or licensed patent applications, technologies or product



## **Table of Contents**

candidates, we may be required to challenge such protection, terminate or modify our programs impacted by such protection or obtain licenses from such third parties, which might not be available on acceptable terms, or at all.

Even if our owned and licensed patent applications issue as patents, they may not issue in a form that will provide us with any meaningful protection, prevent competitors from competing with us or otherwise provide us with any competitive advantage. Our competitors may be able to circumvent our owned or licensed patents by developing similar or alternative technologies or products in a non-infringing manner.

The issuance of a patent is not conclusive as to its inventorship, scope, validity or enforceability, and our owned and licensed patents may be challenged in the courts or patent offices in the United States and abroad. Such challenges may result in loss of exclusivity or freedom to operate or in patent claims being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable, in whole or in part, which could limit our ability to stop others from using or commercializing similar or identical technology and products, or limit the duration of the patent protection of our technology and products. Given the amount of time required for the development, testing and regulatory review of new product candidates, patents protecting such candidates might expire before or shortly after such candidates are commercialized. As a result, our owned and licensed patent portfolio may not provide us with sufficient rights to exclude others from commercializing products similar or identical to ours.

***If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our trade secrets, our business and competitive position would be harmed.***

Our success also depends upon the skills, knowledge, and experience of our scientific and technical personnel, our consultants and advisors, as well as our licensors and contractors. To help protect our proprietary know-how and our inventions for which patents may be unobtainable or difficult to obtain, and to maintain our competitive position, we rely on trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements. To this end, it is our general policy to require our employees, consultants, advisors, and contractors to enter into agreements that prohibit the disclosure of confidential information and, where applicable, require disclosure and assignment to us of the ideas, developments, discoveries, and inventions important to our business. These agreements may not provide adequate protection for our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information in the event of any unauthorized use or disclosure or the lawful development by others of such information. Moreover, we may not be able to obtain adequate remedies for any breaches of these agreements. Our trade secrets may also be obtained by third parties by other means, such as breaches of our physical or computer security systems. Enforcing a claim that a party illegally disclosed or misappropriated a trade secret is difficult, expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, some courts inside and outside the United States are less willing or unwilling to protect trade secrets. If any of our trade secrets were to be lawfully obtained or independently developed by a competitor, we would have no right to prevent them, or those to whom they communicate it, from using that technology or information to compete with us. If any of our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information is disclosed, the value of our trade secrets, know-how and other proprietary rights would be significantly impaired and our business and competitive position would suffer.

***Third-party claims of intellectual property infringement would require us to spend significant time and money and could prevent us from developing or commercializing our products.***

In order to protect or enforce patent rights, we may initiate patent infringement litigation against third parties. Similarly, we may be sued by others for patent infringement. We also may become subject to proceedings conducted in the United States Patent and Trademark Office, including interference proceedings to determine the priority or derivation of inventions, or post-grant review, inter partes review, or reexamination proceedings reviewing the patentability of our patented claims. In addition, any foreign patents that are granted may become subject to opposition, nullity, or revocation proceedings in foreign jurisdictions having such proceedings. The defense and

prosecution, if necessary, of intellectual property actions are costly and divert technical and management personnel away from their normal responsibilities.

## Table of Contents

Our commercial success depends upon our ability, and the ability of our collaborators, to develop, manufacture, market and sell our product candidates without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. There is considerable intellectual property litigation in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries. While no such litigation has been brought against us and we have not been held by any court to have infringed a third party's intellectual property rights, we cannot guarantee that our products or use of our products do not infringe third-party patents. It is also possible that we have failed to identify relevant third-party patents or applications. For example, applications filed before November 29, 2000 and certain applications filed after that date that will not be filed outside the United States remain confidential until patents issue. Patent applications in the United States and elsewhere are published approximately 18 months after the earliest filing, which is referred to as the priority date. Therefore, patent applications covering our products or technology could have been filed by others without our knowledge. Additionally, pending patent applications which have been published can, subject to certain limitations, be later amended in a manner that could cover our products or the use of our products.

Our research, development and commercialization activities, as well as any product candidates or products resulting from these activities, may infringe or be claimed to infringe patents or patent applications under which we do not hold licenses or other rights. Patents do not protect its owner from a claim of infringement of another owner's patent. Therefore, our patent position cannot and does not provide any assurance that we are not infringing the patent rights of another.

The patent landscape in the field of immuno-oncology is particularly complex. We are aware of numerous United States and foreign patents and pending patent applications of third parties that cover compositions, methods of use and methods of manufacture of immuno-oncology. In addition, there may be patents and patent applications in the field of which we are not aware. The technology we license from Precigen and MD Anderson is early-stage technology and we are in the process of designing and developing products using this technology. Although we will seek to avoid pursuing the development of products that may infringe any patent claims that we believe to be valid and enforceable, we may fail to do so. Moreover, given the breadth and number of claims in patents and pending patent applications in the field of immuno-oncology and the complexities and uncertainties associated with them, third parties may allege that we are infringing upon patent claims even if we do not believe such claims to be valid and enforceable.

If a claim for patent infringement is asserted, there can be no assurance that the resolution of the claim would permit us to continue marketing the relevant product on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. We may not have sufficient resources to bring these actions to a successful conclusion. If we do not successfully defend any infringement actions to which we become a party or are unable to have infringed patents declared invalid or unenforceable, we may have to pay substantial monetary damages, which can be tripled if the infringement is deemed willful or be required to discontinue or significantly delay commercialization and development of the affected products.

Any legal action against us or our collaborators claiming damages and seeking to enjoin developmental or marketing activities relating to affected products could, in addition to subjecting us to potential liability for damages, require us or our collaborators to obtain licenses to continue to develop, manufacture, or market the affected products. Such a license may not be available to us on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

An adverse determination in a proceeding involving our owned or licensed intellectual property may allow entry of generic substitutes for our products.

***Obtaining and maintaining our patent protection depends on compliance with various procedural, document submission, fee payment and other requirements imposed by governmental patent agencies, and our patent protection could be reduced or eliminated for noncompliance with these requirements.***

Periodic maintenance fees on any issued patent are due to be paid to the USPTO and foreign patent agencies in several stages over the lifetime of the patent. The USPTO and various foreign governmental patent agencies

## **Table of Contents**

require compliance with a number of procedural, documentary, fee payment and other similar provisions during the patent application process. While an inadvertent lapse can in many cases be cured by payment of a late fee or by other means in accordance with the applicable rules, there are situations in which noncompliance can result in abandonment or lapse of the patent or patent application, resulting in partial or complete loss of patent rights in the relevant jurisdiction. Noncompliance events that could result in abandonment or lapse of a patent or patent application include, but are not limited to, failure to respond to official actions within prescribed time limits, non-payment of fees and failure to properly legalize and submit formal documents. In such an event, our competitors might be able to enter the market, which would have a material adverse effect on our business.

***If we breach any of the agreements under which we license rights to products or technology from others, we could lose license rights that are material to our business or be subject to claims by our licensors.***

We license rights to products and technology that are important to our business, and we expect to enter into additional licenses in the future. For instance, we have exclusively licensed patents and patent applications under our License Agreement with Precigen as well as under the MD Anderson License. Under these agreements, we are subject to a range of commercialization and development, sublicensing, royalty, patent prosecution and maintenance, insurance and other obligations.

Any failure by us to comply with any of these obligations or any other breach by us of our license agreements could give the licensor the right to terminate the license in whole, terminate the exclusive nature of the license or bring a claim against us for damages. Any such termination or claim could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or business. Even if we contest any such termination or claim and are ultimately successful, such dispute could lead to delays in the development or commercialization of potential products and result in time-consuming and expensive litigation or arbitration. On termination we may be required to license to the licensor any related intellectual property that we developed.

In addition, in certain cases, the rights licensed to us are rights of a third party licensed to our licensor. In such instances, if our licensors do not comply with their obligations under such licenses, our rights under our license agreements with our licensor may be adversely affected.

***We may be subject to claims by third parties asserting that our employees or we have misappropriated their intellectual property or claiming ownership of what we regard as our own intellectual property.***

Many of our employees were previously employed at universities or other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although we try to ensure that our employees do not use the proprietary information or know-how of others in their work for us, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have used or disclosed intellectual property, including trade secrets or other proprietary information, of any such employee's former employer. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims.

In addition, while it is our policy to require our employees and contractors who may be involved in the development of intellectual property to execute agreements assigning such intellectual property to us, we may be unsuccessful in executing such an agreement with each party who in fact develops intellectual property that we regard as our own. Our and their assignment agreements may not be self-executing or may be breached, and we may be forced to bring claims against third parties, or defend claims they may bring against us, to determine the ownership of what we regard as our intellectual property.

If we fail in prosecuting or defending any such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. Even if we are successful in prosecuting or defending against such claims,

litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

**Table of Contents**

**OTHER RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPANY**

*Our stock price has been, and may continue to be, volatile.*

The market price for our common stock is volatile and may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of factors, most of which we cannot control, including:

Price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market;

Market conditions or trends in our industry or the economy as a whole;

Laboratory or clinical trial results;

Public concern as to the safety of drugs developed by us or others;

Changes in operating results and performance and stock market valuations of other biopharmaceutical companies generally, or those that develop and commercialize cancer drugs in particular;

The financial or operational projections we may provide to the public, any changes in these projections or our failure to meet these projections;

Comments by securities analysts or changes in financial estimates or ratings by any securities analysts who follow our common stock, our failure to meet these estimates or failure of those analysts to initiate or maintain coverage of our common stock;

The public's response to press releases or other public announcements by us or third parties, including our filings with the SEC, as well as announcements of the status of development of our products, announcements of technological innovations or new therapeutic products by us or our competitors, announcements regarding collaborative agreements and other announcements relating to product development, litigation and intellectual property impacting us or our business;

Government regulation;

FDA determinations on the approval of a product candidate BLA submission;

The sustainability of an active trading market for our common stock;

Future sales of our common stock by our executive officers, directors and significant stockholders;

Announcements of mergers or acquisition transactions;

Our inclusion or deletion from certain stock indices;

Developments in patent or other proprietary rights;

Changes in reimbursement policies;

Announcements of medical innovations or new products by our competitors;

Announcements of changes in our senior management;

Other events or factors, including those resulting from war, incidents of terrorism, natural disasters or responses to these events; and

Changes in accounting principles.

In addition, the stock market from time to time experiences significant price and volume fluctuations unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. The stock markets, and in particular the Nasdaq Capital Market, have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have affected and continue to affect the market prices of equity securities of many biopharmaceutical companies. Stock prices of many biopharmaceutical companies have fluctuated in a manner unrelated or disproportionate to the operating performance of those companies. In the past, stockholders have instituted securities class action litigation following periods of market volatility. If we were involved in securities litigation, we could incur substantial costs and our resources, and the attention of management could be diverted from our business.



---

**Table of Contents**

***Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law may make an acquisition of us, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult.***

Provisions of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions of Delaware law, could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if doing so would benefit our stockholders. These provisions authorize the issuance of blank check preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors to increase the number of outstanding shares and hinder a takeover attempt, and limit who may call a special meeting of stockholders. In addition, Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law generally prohibits a publicly-held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with a party that owns at least 15% of its common stock unless the business combination is approved by the company's board of directors before the person acquires the 15% ownership stake or later by its board of directors and two-thirds of its stockholders. Section 203 could have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control that our stockholders might consider to be in their best interests.

***Because we do not expect to pay dividends, you will not realize any income from an investment in our common stock unless and until you sell your shares at profit.***

We have never paid dividends on our common stock and we do not anticipate that we will pay any dividends for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, any return on an investment in us will be realized, if at all, only when you sell shares of our common stock.

***Our ability to use net operating loss carryforwards and research tax credits to reduce future tax payments may be limited or restricted.***

We have generated significant net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, and research and development tax credits, or R&D credits, as a result of our incurrence of losses and our conduct of research activities since inception. We generally are able to carry NOLs and R&D credits forward to reduce our tax liability in future years. However, our ability to utilize the NOLs and R&D credits is subject to the rules of Sections 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, respectively. Those sections generally restrict the use of NOLs and R&D credits after an ownership change. An ownership change occurs if, among other things, the stockholders (or specified groups of stockholders) who own or have owned, directly or indirectly, 5% or more of a corporation's common stock or are otherwise treated as 5% stockholders under Section 382 of the code and the United States Treasury Department regulations promulgated thereunder increase their aggregate percentage ownership of that corporation's stock by more than 50 percentage points over the lowest percentage of the stock owned by these stockholders over the applicable testing period. In the event of an ownership change, Section 382 imposes an annual limitation on the amount of taxable income a corporation may offset with NOL carry forwards and Section 383 imposes an annual limitation on the amount of tax a corporation may offset with business credit (including the R&D credit) carry forwards.

We may have experienced an ownership change within the meaning of Section 382 in the past and there can be no assurance that we will not experience additional ownership changes in the future. As a result, our NOLs and business credits (including the R&D credit) may be subject to limitations and we may be required to pay taxes earlier and in larger amounts than would be the case if our NOLs or R&D credits were freely usable.

***If securities and/or industry analysts fail to continue publishing research about our business, if they change their recommendations adversely or if our results of operations do not meet their expectations, our stock price and trading volume could decline.***

The trading market for our common stock will be influenced by the research and reports that industry or securities analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to publish reports on us regularly, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which in turn

## **Table of Contents**

could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline. In addition, it is likely that in some future period our operating results will be below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock, or if our results of operations do not meet their expectations, our stock price could decline.

***Our principal stockholders, executive officers and directors have substantial control over the company, which may prevent you and other stockholders from influencing significant corporate decisions and may harm the market price of our common stock.***

As of December 31, 2018, our executive officers, directors and holders of five percent or more of our outstanding common stock, beneficially owned, in the aggregate, 26.2% of our outstanding common stock. These stockholders may have interests that conflict with our other stockholders and, if acting together, have the ability to influence the outcome of matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, including the election and removal of directors and any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. Accordingly, this concentration of ownership may harm the market price of our common stock by:

Delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control;

Impeding a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us; or

Discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us. ***The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, signed into law in 2017 could adversely affect our business and financial condition.***

On December 22, 2017, President Trump signed into law legislation, known as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017, or Tax Act, that significantly revises the Code. The federal income tax law is referred to as the Tax Act, and contains significant changes to corporate taxation, including reduction of the corporate tax rate from a top marginal rate of 35% to a flat rate of 21%, limitation of the tax deduction for interest expense to 30% of adjusted earnings (except for certain small businesses), limitation of the deduction for NOLs to 80% of current year taxable income and elimination of NOL carrybacks, one time taxation of offshore earnings at reduced rates regardless of whether they are repatriated, elimination of U.S. tax on foreign earnings (subject to certain important exceptions), immediate deductions for certain new investments instead of deductions for depreciation expense over time, and modifying or repealing many business deductions and credits. Notwithstanding the reduction in the corporate income tax rate, the overall impact of the Tax Act is uncertain and our business and financial condition could be adversely affected. In addition, it is uncertain if and to what extent various states will conform to the Tax Act. The impact of the Tax Act on holders of our common stock is also uncertain and could be adverse. We urge our stockholders to consult with their legal and tax advisors with respect to this legislation and the potential tax consequences of investing in or holding our common stock.

### ***Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments***

None.

### ***Item 2. Properties***

Our corporate office is located at One First Avenue, Parris Building #34, Navy Yard Plaza, Boston, Massachusetts 02129. The Boston office is leased pursuant to a lease agreement that expires in August 2021. On December 21, 2015, we renewed a portion of the lease for Boston office through August 31, 2021 for \$427 thousand, annually. We believe that our existing facilities are adequate to meet our current needs.

We also lease office space at MD Anderson in Houston, Texas pursuant to a lease agreement that expires in April 2021. Under the terms of the Houston lease agreement, we lease approximately two hundred and ten square

**Table of Contents**

feet and are required to make rental payments at an average monthly rate of approximately \$1 thousand. The monthly rent expense is deducted from our prepayment at MD Anderson. See Note 8 to the accompanying financial statements.

We are currently evaluating alternatives to expand our research and manufacturing capacities for our CAR-T and TCR programs. To support this expansion, we expect to lease additional facilities suitable for these efforts and we anticipate these facilities will be located in Houston, Texas.

***Item 3. Legal Proceedings***

In the ordinary course of business, we may periodically become subject to legal proceedings and claims arising in connection with ongoing business activities. The results of litigation and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, and unfavorable resolutions are possible and could materially affect our results of operations, cash flows or financial position. In addition, regardless of the outcome, litigation could have an adverse impact on us because of defense costs, diversion of management resources and other factors.

There are no matters, as of December 31, 2018, that, in the opinion of management, might have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

***Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures***

Not applicable.

---

**Table of Contents**

**PART II**

***Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholders Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

***Record Holders***

As of February 21, 2019, we had approximately 286 holders of record of our common stock, one of which was Cede & Co., a nominee for Depository Trust Company, or DTC. Shares of common stock that are held by financial institutions as nominees for beneficial owners are deposited into participant accounts at DTC and are considered to be held of record by Cede & Co. as one stockholder. As of February 21, 2019, we had approximately 28,718 beneficial holders of our common stock.

***Dividends***

We have never declared or paid a cash dividend on our common stock and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

***Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities***

On November 11, 2018, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional and accredited investors pursuant to which we agreed to issue and sell to the investors an aggregate of 18,939,394 immediately separable units at a price per unit of \$2.64, for gross proceeds of approximately \$50 million. Each unit was comprised of (i) one share of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share and (ii) a warrant to purchase one share of our common stock. The warrants have a five-year term expiring on November 13, 2023 and have a per share exercise price of \$3.01. The exercise price and number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants may be adjusted in certain circumstances, including stock splits, stock dividends, reclassifications and the like. The number of shares of our common stock that may be acquired upon any exercise of the warrants is generally limited to the extent necessary to ensure that, following such exercise, such person exercising the warrant would not, together with its affiliates and any other persons or entities whose beneficial ownership of our common stock would be aggregated with such person for purposes of Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, beneficially own in excess of 9.99% of the total number of shares of our common stock then issued and outstanding and/or the then combined voting power of all of our voting securities, which we refer to as the Exercise Limitation. The Exercise Limitation (i) may be increased, decreased or terminated, in each stockholder's sole discretion, upon at least 61 days' prior notice to us and (ii) terminates automatically on the date that is 15 days prior to the expiration of the warrants.

The securities issued by us pursuant to the securities purchase agreement and to be issued upon exercise of the warrants were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements. When issuing the units, we relied on the private placement exemption from registration provided by Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act and by Rule 506 of Registration D, promulgated thereunder and on similar exemptions under applicable state laws and filed a Form D with the SEC on November 19, 2018. On February 7, 2019, we filed a registration statement on Form S-3 registering the resale of shares issued pursuant to the securities purchase agreement and the resale of shares that may be issued upon exercise of the warrants.

***Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

During the three months ended December 31, 2018, we purchased an aggregate of 202,783 shares of restricted stock from certain employees and members of our board of directors to cover the applicable withholding taxes due from them for the shares of restricted stock at the time that the applicable forfeiture restrictions lapsed. The

**Table of Contents**

following table provides information about these purchases of restricted shares for the three months ended December 31, 2018:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share
October 1 to 31, 2018		\$
November 1 to 30, 2018		
December 1 to 31, 2018	202,783	1.70
Total	202,783	

**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The selected financial data presented below has been derived from our financial statements. This data may not be indicative of our future financial condition or results of operations and should be read in conjunction with

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our financial statements and accompanying notes included elsewhere herein.

	Year Ended December 31, (in thousands, except share data and per share amounts)				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
<b>Statements of Operations</b>					
<b>Data:</b>					
Collaboration revenue	\$ 146	\$ 6,389	\$ 6,861	\$ 4,332	\$ 1,373
Total operating expenses	54,052	59,882	172,168	124,432	44,872
Loss from operations	(53,906)	(53,493)	(165,307)	(120,100)	(43,499)
Other income (expense), net	631	465	134	12	(5)
Change in fair value of warrants					11,723
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	158	(1,295)	(124)		
Net loss	(53,117)	(54,323)	(165,297)	(120,088)	(31,781)
Preferred stock dividends	(16,998)	(18,938)	(7,123)		
Settlement of a related party relationship	207,361				
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	137,246	(73,261)	(172,420)	(120,088)	(31,781)
Net income (loss) per share - basic	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.53)	\$ (1.32)	\$ (0.96)	\$ (0.31)



Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$	0.96	\$	(0.53)	\$	(1.32)	\$	(0.96)	\$	(0.31)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: basic		143,508,674		136,938,264		130,391,463		125,416,084		101,130,710
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding: diluted		143,710,160		136,938,264		130,391,463		125,416,084		101,130,710

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended December 31, (in thousands)				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 61,729	\$ 70,946	\$ 81,053	\$ 140,717	\$ 42,803
Total assets	95,051	105,606	106,348	153,724	45,237
Derivative liabilities		2,424	862		
Total liabilities	9,487	58,420	58,325	66,353	11,396
Series 1 Preferred Stock		143,992	125,321		
Stockholders' equity (deficit)	85,564	(96,806)	(77,298)	87,371	33,841

**Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. In addition to historical financial information, the following discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our plans, estimates and beliefs. Our actual results could differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include those under "Risk Factors" included in Part I, Item 1A and under "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" or in other parts of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.*

**Business Overview**

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on discovering, acquiring, developing and commercializing next generation immunotherapy platforms that leverage cell- and gene-based therapies to treat patients with cancer. We are developing two immuno-oncology platform technologies designed to utilize the patient's immune system by employing novel, controlled gene expression and innovative cell engineering technologies to deliver safe, effective, and scalable cell- and viral-based therapies for the treatment of multiple cancer types. Our first platform is referred to as *Sleeping Beauty* and is based on the genetic engineering of immune cells using a non-viral transposon/transposase system to stably reprogram T cells outside of the body for subsequent infusion. Our second platform is termed Controlled IL-12, which is designed to stimulate expression of interleukin 12, or IL-12, a master regulator of the immune system, in a controlled and safe manner to focus the patient's immune system to attack cancer cells. We believe these two platforms will provide unique and powerful solutions to address the issues associated with (1) treating solid tumors with heterogeneous and unknown antigens, and (2) providing cost-effective scalable manufacturing solutions for T-cell receptor T-cell, or TCR<sup>+</sup> T, and chimeric antigen receptor, or CAR T-cell, or CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies for solid tumors and hematologic malignancies. We expect programs from our two platform technologies to be in the clinic in 2019.

Immuno-oncology, which typically utilizes a patient's own immune system to treat cancer, is one of the most actively pursued areas of research by biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies today. Cancer cells often contain new mutated proteins and may overexpress other proteins usually found in the body. The immune system typically recognizes unusual or aberrant cell protein expression and eliminates these cells in an efficient process known as immune surveillance. Central to immune surveillance are types of white blood cells known as T cells. In healthy individuals, T cells can identify and kill infected or abnormal cells, including cancer cells. Malignant cells develop the ability to evade immune surveillance, which is a key factor in their growth, spread, and persistence.

Our approach to immuno-oncology entails the application of engineering principles to biological systems for designing and constructing new biological systems or redesigning and modifying existing biological systems. This approach aims to engineer gene-based programs to modify cellular function to achieve a desired biological outcome,

such as the survival of infused T cells, production of IL-12, or the safe elimination of cancerous cells.

---

**Table of Contents**

Using our *Sleeping Beauty* platform, we are developing our TCR<sup>+</sup> T therapies, initially to target solid tumors. Our T cell receptor, or TCR, program designs and manufactures T cells that target antigens unique to each patient, thereby delivering truly personalized therapy that can attack an individual patient's cancer. Our *Sleeping Beauty* system uses DNA plasmids to reprogram T cells to express introduced TCRs on a patient-by-patient basis (addressing inter-tumor heterogeneity) and to express more than one TCR for each patient (addressing intra-tumor heterogeneity). We believe the scalability of our approach provides a competitive advantage to alternative viral-based approaches to T-cell manufacturing. Under our Cooperative Research and Development Agreement, or CRADA, the National Cancer Institute, or the NCI, intends to initiate a Phase 1 clinical trial in patients with a variety of solid tumors using the *Sleeping Beauty* platform to genetically modify T-cells to target patient-specific neoantigens in mid-2019. The clinical trial will be under the direction of Steven A. Rosenberg, M.D., Ph.D., Chief of the Surgery Branch at the NCI.

We are also developing CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies using our *Sleeping Beauty* platform. Our CAR<sup>+</sup> T program seeks to solve the complex and costly manufacturing limitations of existing CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies that we believe will continue limiting their commercial potential. We believe using DNA plasmids in the *Sleeping Beauty* system to express CAR and our proprietary membrane-bound interleukin 15, or mbIL15, in resting T cells obtained from peripheral blood will enable infused T cells to propagate within the patient to target leukemia and lymphoma, thus avoiding the need to numerically expand T cells for weeks in bioreactors before patient administration. We expect the lower cost of DNA plasmids compared with the virus used by other CAR<sup>+</sup> T programs, together with the avoidance of lengthy *ex vivo* manufacturing, will reduce the cost and complexity of manufacturing CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells. These technologies should enable T cells to be infused within two days of gene transfer in a process we refer to as rapid personalized manufacture, or RPM. We are advancing our CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapies in the United States in collaboration with The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, or MD Anderson, to target CD19 on malignant B cells. In 2019, we expect to initiate a Phase 1 clinical trial in the United States of our third-generation *Sleeping Beauty* modified CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells, co-expressing CAR and mbIL15, manufactured and reinfused into the patient in less than two days from gene transfer. In addition, in a joint venture with TriArm Therapeutics, Ltd., or TriArm, we are forming Eden BioCell, Ltd., or Eden BioCell, to lead clinical development and commercialization of *Sleeping Beauty*-generated CD19-specific CAR-T therapies in the People's Republic of China, Taiwan and Korea. Eden BioCell is owned equally by us and TriArm and the parties will share decision-making authority, and TriArm has committed up to \$35.0 million to this joint venture and will manage all clinical development to execute trials in the territory. We expect our joint venture with TriArm to close in the first half of 2019 and we may evaluate additional programs to pursue in this joint venture.

Our Controlled IL-12 platform uses virotherapy based on an engineered replication-incompetent adenovirus (Ad-RTS-hIL-12) plus veledimex as a gene delivery system to conditionally produce IL-12, a potent, naturally occurring anti-cancer protein, to treat patients with solid tumors where a specific target is unknown, including brain cancer. Our Controlled IL-12 platform allows us to deliver IL-12 in a tunable dose, which we believe is critical for this potent cytokine. In a Phase 1 clinical trial of patients with recurrent glioblastoma multiforme, or rGBM, a subset of patients (n=6) who received low-dose steroids along with 20 mg of veledimex plus Ad-RTS-hIL-12, achieved 17.8 months median OS compared with five to eight months OS established in historical controls. Thirty-six additional patients with rGBM have been recruited into a sub study designed to encourage use of low-dose steroids and 20 mg veledimex to further understand the potential of Controlled IL-12 as a monotherapy. We are also developing our Controlled IL-12 platform in combination with immune checkpoint inhibitors. In June 2018, we began enrolling patients with rGBM to receive Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with OPDIVO® (nivolumab) in a Phase 1 dose-escalation trial. In November 2018, we announced a clinical supply agreement with Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc., or Regeneron, to evaluate Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Regeneron's PD-1 antibody Libtayo® (cemiplimab-rwlc) for the treatment of patients with rGBM. We expect to initiate a Phase 2 clinical trial in the first half of 2019 in approximately 30 patients with rGBM to measure preliminary safety and efficacy of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 plus veledimex in combination with Libtayo.



## **Table of Contents**

As of December 31, 2018, we have approximately \$61.7 million of cash and cash equivalents. Given our current development plans, we anticipate cash resources will be sufficient to fund our operations into the second quarter of 2020, and we have no committed sources of additional capital at this time. The forecast of cash resources is forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties, and the actual amount of our expenses could vary materially and adversely as a result of a number of factors. We have based our estimates on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and our expenses could prove to be significantly higher than we currently anticipate. Management does not know whether additional financing will be on terms favorable or acceptable to us when needed, if at all. If adequate additional funds are not available when required, or if we are unsuccessful in entering into partnership agreements for further development of our product candidates, management may need to curtail its development efforts and planned operations.

We have not generated significant revenue and have incurred significant net losses in each year since our inception. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we had a net loss of \$53.1 million, and, as of December 31, 2018, we have incurred approximately \$566.3 million of accumulated deficit since our inception in 2003. We expect to continue to incur significant operating expenditures and net losses. Further development of our product candidates will likely require substantial increases in our expenses as we:

continue to undertake clinical trials for product candidates;

seek regulatory approvals for product candidates;

work with regulatory authorities to identify and address program-related inquiries;

implement additional internal systems and infrastructure;

hire additional personnel; and

scale-up the formulation and manufacturing of our product candidates.

We continue to seek additional financial resources to fund the further development of our product candidates. If we are unable to obtain sufficient additional capital, one or more of these programs could be delayed, and we may be unable to continue our operations at planned levels and be forced to reduce our operations. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with product development, we are unable to predict the timing or amount of increased expenses or when or if we will be able to achieve or maintain profitability.

## **Financial Overview**

### **Overview of Results of Operations**

#### *Collaboration Revenue*

We recognize research and development funding revenue over the estimated period of performance. We have not generated product revenues since our inception. Unless and until we receive approval from the FDA and/or other regulatory authorities for our product candidates, we cannot sell our products and will not have product revenues.

*Research and Development Expenses*

Our research and development expense consists primarily of salaries and related expenses for personnel, costs of contract manufacturing services, costs of facilities and equipment, fees paid to professional service providers in conjunction with our clinical trials, fees paid to contract research organizations in conjunction with preclinical animal studies, costs of materials used in research and development, consulting, license and milestone payments and sponsored research fees paid to third parties.

We have not accumulated and tracked our internal historical research and development costs or our personnel and personnel-related costs on a program-by-program basis. Our employee and infrastructure resources are allocated across several projects, and many of our costs are directed to broadly applicable research endeavors. As a result, we cannot state the costs incurred for each of our oncology programs on a program-by-program basis.

**Table of Contents**

For the year ended December 31, 2018, our clinical stage projects included a Phase 1 clinical trial with Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex in progressive glioblastoma; a Phase 1b/2 trial with Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex in metastatic breast cancer; an investigator-led Phase 1 clinical trial infusing our 2nd generation CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells in patients with advanced lymphoid malignancies; an investigator-led Phase 1 clinical trial infusing our CD33-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapy for relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia; and a Phase 1 clinical trial of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 with veledimex for the treatment of pediatric brain tumors. The expenses incurred by us to third parties for our Phase 1 clinical trial with Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex in progressive glioblastoma were \$2.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2018, and \$6.8 million from the project's inception in June 2015 through December 31, 2018. The expenses incurred by us to third parties for our Phase 1b/2 clinical trial with Ad-RTS-IL-12 plus veledimex in metastatic breast cancer for the year ended December 31, 2018 were \$0.2 million, and expenses from the project's inception in April 2015 through December 31, 2018 were \$1.0 million. The expenses incurred by us to third parties for our investigator-led Phase 1 clinical trial infusing our 2nd generation CD19-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T cells in patients with advanced lymphoid malignancies were \$1.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 and \$4.7 million from the project's inception in December 2015 through December 31, 2018. The expenses incurred by us to third parties for our investigator-led Phase 1 clinical trial infusing our CD33-specific CAR<sup>+</sup> T therapy for relapsed or refractory acute myeloid leukemia for the year ended December 31, 2018 were \$2.3 million and \$3.7 million from the project's inception in September 2017 through December 31, 2018. The expenses incurred by us to third parties for our investigator-led Phase 1 clinical trial of Ad-RTS-hIL-12 with veledimex for the treatment of pediatric brain tumors were \$0.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 and the \$1.5 million from the project's inception in October 2017 through December 31, 2018.

Our future research and development expenses in support of our current and future programs will be subject to numerous uncertainties in timing and cost to completion. We test potential products in numerous preclinical studies for safety, toxicology and efficacy. We may conduct multiple clinical trials for each product. As we obtain results from trials, we may elect to discontinue or delay clinical trials for certain products in order to focus our resources on more promising products or indications. Completion of clinical trials may take several years or more, and the length of time generally varies substantially according to the type, complexity, novelty and intended use of a product. It is not unusual for preclinical and clinical development of each of these types of products to require the expenditure of substantial resources.

We estimate that clinical trials of the type generally needed to secure new drug approval are typically completed over the following timelines:

Clinical Phase	Estimated Completion Period
Phase 1	1 - 2 years
Phase 2	2 - 3 years
Phase 3	2 - 4 years

The duration and the cost of clinical trials may vary significantly over the life of a project as a result of differences arising during clinical development, including, among others, the following:

The number of clinical sites included in the trials;

The length of time required to enroll suitable patients;



The number of patients that ultimately participate in the trials;

The duration of patient follow-up to ensure the absence of long-term product-related adverse events; and

The efficacy and safety profile of the product.

As a result of the uncertainties discussed above, we are unable to determine the duration and completion costs of our programs or when and to what extent we will receive cash inflows from the commercialization and sale of a

**Table of Contents**

product. Our inability to complete our programs in a timely manner or our failure to enter into appropriate collaborative agreements could significantly increase our capital requirements and could adversely impact our liquidity. These uncertainties could force us to seek additional, external sources of financing from time-to-time in order to continue with our product development strategy. Our inability to raise additional capital, or to do so on terms reasonably acceptable to us, would jeopardize the future success of our business.

*General and Administrative Expenses*

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation, consulting and professional fees, including patent related costs, general corporate costs and facility costs not otherwise included in research and development expenses or cost of product revenue.

*Other Income (Expense)*

Other income (expense) consists primarily of changes in the fair value of our Series 1 preferred stock. All of the Series 1 preferred stock was forfeited on October 5, 2018 in conjunction with entering the License Agreement with Precigen.

**Results of Operations for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2018 versus December 31, 2017***Collaboration Revenues*

Revenues for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		Change
	2018	2017	
Collaboration revenue	\$ 146	\$ 6,389	\$(6,243) -98%

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2018 decreased by \$6.2 million in comparison to revenue for the year ended December 31, 2017 due to the adoption of ASC 606 (Note 3). During the year ended December 31, 2018, we recognized \$146 thousand of revenue related to the Ares Trading Agreement under ASC 606. During the year ended December 31, 2017, we recognized \$6.4 million through our Ares Trading Agreement under ASC 605. (Note 3).

*Research and Development Expenses*

Research and development expenses during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		Change
	2018	2017	
Research and development	\$ 34,134	\$ 45,084	\$(10,950) -24%

Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 decreased by \$11.0 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in expense during the year ended December 31, 2018

was due to a decrease of \$10.4 million in preclinical activities, a decrease of \$1.7 million related to graft versus host disease, or GvHD, expenses, and a reduction of \$0.6 million in other clinical expenses. The decrease in preclinical, GvHD, and other clinical expenses was offset by increases in Gorilla IL-12 expenses due to Precigen under the License Agreement of \$1.0 million (Note 7) and of \$0.7 million related to salary and employee related expense during the year ended December 31, 2018. We previously determined that the pursuit of GvHD as an indication was not a material part of its corporate strategy and decided to stop pursuing the development of engineered cell therapy strategies, used either separately or in combination, for targeted treatment of GvHD (Note 8).

**Table of Contents***General and Administrative Expenses*

General and administrative expenses during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		Change	
	2018	2017		
General and administrative	\$ 19,918	\$ 14,798	\$ 5,120	35%

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 increased by \$5.1 million as compared to the prior year. The change was primarily due to increased contracted outside services and advisory fees related to our License Agreement with Precigen (Note 7) of \$4.1 million and an increase of \$1.3 million related to salary and employee related expense during the year ended December 31, 2018. The increased costs in 2018 were offset by a reduction of milestone payments of \$0.3 million due to Baxter Healthcare S.A., or Baxter, as our license agreement with Baxter expired in November 2017 (Note 8).

*Other Income (Expense)*

Other income (expense) during the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

(\$ in thousands)	Year ended December 31,		Change	
	2018	2017		
Other income (expense), net	\$ 631	\$ 465	\$ 166	36%
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	158	(1,295)	1,453	-112%
Total	\$ 789	\$ (830)	\$ 1,619	

During the year ended December 31, 2018 we recorded a gain on the change in fair value of the derivative liabilities of \$158 thousand, compared to a loss of \$1.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2017 (Note 12). These changes are derived from the number of previously outstanding shares of Series 1 preferred stock and their respective valuations. Additionally, we recorded \$631 thousand in other income for the year ended December 31, 2018, compared to \$465 thousand earned in the prior year, due to increases in our cash equivalent accounts (Note 3).

**Results of Operations for the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2017 versus December 31, 2016***Collaboration Revenues*

Revenues for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

Year  
ended December 31,

	2017	2016	Change	
<b>(\$ in thousands)</b>				
Collaboration revenue	\$ 6,389	\$ 6,861	\$ (472)	-7%

Revenue for the year ended December 31, 2017 decreased by \$472 thousand in comparison to revenue for the year ended December 31, 2016. During each of the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, we recognized revenue of \$6.4 million under the Ares Trading Agreement. During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recognized \$272 thousand from our agreement with Solasia and \$200 thousand from our agreement with Predictive Therapeutics. We recognized no revenue from our agreements with Solasia and Predictive Therapeutics during the year ended December 31, 2017.

**Table of Contents***Research and Development Expenses*

Research and development expenses during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>			
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>Change</b>	
<b>(\$ in thousands)</b>				
Research and development	\$ 45,084	\$ 157,791	\$(112,707)	-71%

Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 decreased by \$112.7 million when compared to the year ended December 31, 2016. During the year ended December 31, 2016, we incurred a noncash charge of \$119.0 million related to Series 1 preferred stock and related dividends issued to Intrexon. Excluding the noncash charge of \$119.0 in 2016, research and development expenses would have been higher by \$6.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 compared to the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase in expenses during the year ended December 31, 2017 was due to an increase of \$2.8 million for salary and employee related expense due to increased headcount, \$1.6 million in cell therapy expenses to support our ongoing trials at MD Anderson, \$1.2 million to support our ongoing gene therapy programs, and \$0.7 million in other operating expense.

*General and Administrative Expenses*

General and administrative expenses during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>			
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>Change</b>	
<b>(\$ in thousands)</b>				
General and administrative	\$ 14,798	\$ 14,377	\$ 421	3%

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 increased by \$421 thousand as compared to the prior year. The change was primarily due to increased salary and employee related expenses as a result of headcount additions during the year ended December 31, 2017.

*Other Income (Expense)*

Other income (expense) during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>			
	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>Change</b>	
<b>(\$ in thousands)</b>				
Other income (expense), net	\$ 465	\$ 134	\$ 331	247%
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	(1,295)	(124)	(1,171)	-944%
Total	\$ (830)	\$ 10	\$ (840)	

During the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, we recorded a loss on the change in fair value of the derivative liabilities of \$1.3 million and \$124 thousand, respectively (Note 10). These changes are derived from the number of outstanding shares of Series 1 preferred stock and their respective valuations. Additionally, we recorded \$465 thousand in other income for the year ended December 31, 2017, compared to \$134 thousand earned in the prior year, due to increases in our cash equivalent accounts (Note 3).

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of December 31, 2018, we have approximately \$61.7 million of cash and cash equivalents. Given our development plans, we anticipate our cash resources will be sufficient to fund our operations into the second

## **Table of Contents**

quarter of 2020. We currently have no committed sources of additional capital. The forecast of cash resources is forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties, and the actual amount of our expenses could vary materially and adversely as a result of a number of factors. We have based our estimates on assumptions that may prove to be wrong, and our expenses could prove to be significantly higher than we currently anticipate. Management does not know whether additional financing will be on terms favorable or acceptable to us when needed, if at all. If adequate additional funds are not available when required, or if we are unsuccessful in entering into partnership agreements for further development of our products, management may need to curtail development efforts.

In addition to these factors, our actual cash requirements may vary materially from our current expectations for a number of other factors that may include, but are not limited to, changes in the focus and direction of our development programs, competitive and technical advances, costs associated with the development of our product candidates, our ability to secure partnering arrangements, and the costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing our intellectual property rights. If we exhaust our capital reserves more quickly than anticipated, regardless of the reason, and we are unable to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all, we will be unable to proceed with development of some or all of our product candidates on expected timelines and will be forced to prioritize among them.

We expect that we will need additional financing to support our long-term plans for clinical trials and new product development. We expect to finance our cash needs through the sale of equity securities, strategic collaborations and/or debt financings, or through other sources that may be dilutive to existing stockholders. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain funding from any of these sources or, if obtained, what the terms of such funding(s) may be, or that any amount that we are able to obtain will be adequate to support our working capital requirements until we achieve profitable operations. We have no current committed sources of additional capital. Recently, capital markets have experienced a period of instability that may severely hinder our ability to raise capital within the time periods needed or on terms we consider acceptable, if at all. If we are unable to raise additional funds when needed, we may not be able to continue development and regulatory approval of our products, or we could be required to delay, scale back or eliminate some or all our research and development programs.

## **Recent Financing Transactions**

### *November 2018 Private Placement*

On November 11, 2018, we entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional and accredited investors pursuant to which we agreed to issue and sell to the investors an aggregate of 18,939,394 immediately separable units at a price per unit of \$2.64, for net proceeds of approximately \$47.1 million. Each unit was comprised of (i) one share of our common stock, par value \$0.001 per share and (ii) a warrant to purchase one share of common stock. The securities issued by us pursuant to the securities purchase agreement and to be issued upon exercise of the warrants were not registered under the Securities Act and may not be offered or sold in the United States absent registration or an applicable exemption from registration requirements. When issuing the units, we relied on the private placement exemption from registration provided by Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act and by Rule 506 of Registration D, promulgated thereunder and on similar exemptions under applicable state laws and filed a Form D with the SEC on November 19, 2018. On February 7, 2019, we filed a registration statement on Form S-3 registering the resale of shares issued pursuant to the securities purchase agreement and the resale of shares that may be issued upon exercise of the warrants.

### *May 2017 Public Offering*



On May 11, 2017, we sold in an underwritten public offering an aggregate of 9,708,738 shares of our common stock to a single institutional investor in an underwritten offering. The price to the investor in the offering was \$5.15 per share, and the underwriters agreed to purchase the shares from us pursuant to the underwriting agreement at a purchase price of \$4.893 per share. The offering was made pursuant to a registration statement on

**Table of Contents**

Form S-3ASR previously filed with the SEC, and a prospectus supplement thereunder. The net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$47.3 million after deducting underwriting commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by us.

**Cash Increases and (Decreases)**

The following table summarizes our net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016:

	Year ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
<b>(\$ in thousands)</b>			
Net cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ (49,457)	\$ (54,669)	\$ (58,325)
Investing activities	(459)	(737)	(551)
Financing activities	40,311	45,299	(788)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (9,605)	\$ (10,107)	\$ (59,664)

Cash flows from operating activities represent the cash receipts and disbursements related to all of our activities other than investing and financing activities. Operating cash flow is derived by adjusting our net loss for:

Non-cash operating items such as depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation and common and preferred stock issued in exchange for license agreements;

Changes in operating assets and liabilities which reflect timing differences between the receipt and payment of cash associated with transactions and when they are recognized in results of operations; and

Changes associated with the fair value of our derivative liabilities.

Net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2018 was \$49.5 million, as compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$54.7 million and \$58.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2018 was primarily a result of our net loss of \$53.1 million, offset by an increase in prepaid expenses and receivables of \$3.1 million, a decrease in other noncurrent assets of \$3.9 million, and a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$4.8 million. The net cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was primarily a result of our net loss of \$54.3 million, a decrease in prepaid expenses of \$4.0 million, an increase in other noncurrent assets of \$13.0 million and an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$5.1 million. The net cash used in operating activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2016 was primarily a result of our net loss of \$165.3 million, an increase of \$12.5 million in charges related to prepayments for cell therapy programs under our license agreements, a decrease in deferred revenue of \$6.9 million, a decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1.6 million and an increase in stock compensation of \$0.4 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$459 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to \$737 thousand and \$551 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively. The change was due primarily to increases in equipment purchases under our agreement with MD Anderson to support our ongoing clinical trials in Houston, Texas during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$40.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2018 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$45.3 million and \$788 thousand cash used in financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. The \$40.3 million provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2018 is a result of net proceeds of \$47.1 million from our November 2018 financing (Note 2) which were offset by cash paid of \$5.4 million from our License Agreement (Note 8) and

**Table of Contents**

\$1.6 million paid for the repurchase of common stock. The \$45.3 million provided by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2017 is a result of net proceeds of \$47.3 million from our May 2017 offering (Note 2) which was offset by \$2.1 million in cash used in the issuance of restricted common stock.

**Operating Capital and Capital Expenditure Requirements**

We anticipate that losses will continue for the foreseeable future. At December 31, 2018, our accumulated deficit was approximately \$566.3 million. Our actual cash requirements may vary materially from those planned because of a number of factors including:

changes in the focus, direction and pace of our development programs;

competitive and technical advances;

costs associated with the development of our product candidates;

our ability to secure partnering arrangements;

costs of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and any other intellectual property rights, or other developments; and

other matters identified under Part I Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Working capital as of December 31, 2018 was \$74.8 million, consisting of \$84.3 million in current assets and \$9.5 million in current liabilities. Working capital as of December 31, 2017 was \$69.9 million, consisting of \$90.8 million in current assets and \$20.9 million in current liabilities.

**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our outstanding obligations as of December 31, 2018 and the effect those obligations are expected to have on our liquidity and cash flows in future periods:

(\$ in thousands)	Total	Less than 1 year	2 - 3 years	4 - 5 years	More than 5 years
Operating leases	\$ 1,947	\$ 723	\$ 1,224	\$	\$
CRADAs	\$ 2,500	2,500			
Royalty and license fees	\$ 2,000	100	200	200	1,500
Total	\$ 6,447	\$ 3,323	\$ 1,424	\$ 200	\$ 1,500

Our commitments for operating leases relate to the lease for our corporate headquarters in Boston, Massachusetts, and office space in Houston, Texas. On December 21, 2015 and April 15, 2016, we renewed the sublease for our corporate headquarters in Boston, MA through August 31, 2021. On January 30, 2018, we entered into a lease agreement for office space in Houston, TX at MD Anderson through April 15, 2021.

On January 10, 2017, we announced the signing of a CRADA with the NCI for the development of ACT-based immunotherapies genetically modified using the *Sleeping Beauty* transposon/transposase system for the treatment of solid tumors. Our obligation for the CRADA is reflected above with \$2.5 million in the column *Less than 1 Year* .

On October 5, 2018, we entered into the License Agreement with Precigen. Under the License Agreement, we are obligated to pay Precigen annual licensing fees of \$100 thousand expected to be paid through the term of the agreement.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Significant Estimates**

Our Management's Discussion and Analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted

## **Table of Contents**

in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements as well as the reported expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following are our more significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of our financial statements:

Clinical trial expenses;

Collaboration agreements;

Fair value measurements of stock-based compensation and Series 1 Preferred Stock (including related dividends); and

Income taxes.

### **Clinical Trial Expenses**

Clinical trial expenses include expenses associated with clinical research organizations, or CROs. The invoicing from CROs for services rendered can lag several months. We accrue the cost of services rendered in connection with CRO activities based on our estimate of site management, monitoring costs, and project management costs. We maintain regular communication with our CROs to gauge the reasonableness of our estimates. Differences between actual clinical trial expenses and estimated clinical trial expenses recorded have not been material and are adjusted for in the period in which they become known.

### **Revenue Recognition from Collaboration Agreements**

The Company primarily generates revenue through collaboration arrangements with strategic partners for the development and commercialization of product candidates. Commencing January 1, 2018, the Company recognized revenue in accordance with ASC 606 which replaced ASC 605, *Multiple Element Arrangements*, as used in historical years. The core principle of ASC 606 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods and/or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and/or services. To determine the appropriate amount of revenue to be recognized for arrangements that the Company determines are within the scope of ASC 606, the Company performs the following steps: (i) identify the contract(s) with the customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) each performance obligation is satisfied.

The Company recognizes collaboration revenue under certain of the Company's license or collaboration agreements that are within the scope of ASC 606. The Company's contracts with customers typically include promises related to licenses to intellectual property, research and development services and options to purchase additional goods and/or services. If the license to the Company's intellectual property is determined to be distinct from the other performance

obligations identified in the arrangement, the Company recognizes revenue from non-refundable, up-front fees allocated to the license when the license is transferred to the licensee and the licensee is able to use and benefit from the license. For licenses that are bundled with other promises, the Company utilizes judgment to assess the nature of the combined performance obligation to determine whether the combined performance obligation is satisfied over time or at a point in time and, if over time, the appropriate method of measuring progress for purposes of recognizing revenue from non-refundable, up-front fees. Contracts that include an option to acquire additional goods and/or services are evaluated to determine if such option provides a material right to the customer that it would not have received without entering into the contract. If so, the option is accounted for as a separate performance obligation. If not, the option is considered a marketing offer which would be accounted for as a separate contract upon the customer's election.

---

**Table of Contents**

The terms of the Company's arrangements with customers typically include the payment of one or more of the following: (i) non-refundable, up-front payment, (ii) development, regulatory and commercial milestone payments, (iii) future options and (iv) royalties on net sales of licensed products. Accordingly, the transaction price is generally comprised of a fixed fee due at contract inception and variable consideration in the form of milestone payments due upon the achievement of specified events and tiered royalties earned when customers recognize net sales of licensed products. The Company measures the transaction price based on the amount of consideration to which it expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods and/or services to the customer. The Company utilizes the most likely amount method to estimate the amount of variable consideration, to predict the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled for its one open contract. Amounts of variable consideration are included in the transaction price to the extent that it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is subsequently resolved. At the inception of each arrangement that includes development and regulatory milestone payments, the Company evaluates whether the associated event is considered probable of achievement and estimates the amount to be included in the transaction price using the most likely amount method. Milestone payments that are not within the control of the Company or the licensee, such as those dependent upon receipt of regulatory approval, are not considered to be probable of achievement until the triggering event occurs. At the end of each reporting period, the Company reevaluates the probability of achievement of each milestone and any related constraint, and if necessary, adjusts its estimate of the overall transaction price. Any such adjustments are recorded on a cumulative catch-up basis, which would affect revenue and net loss in the period of adjustment. For arrangements that include sales-based royalties, including milestone payments based upon the achievement of a certain level of product sales, the Company recognizes revenue upon the later of: (i) when the related sales occur or (ii) when the performance obligation to which some or all of the payment has been allocated has been satisfied (or partially satisfied). To date, the Company has not recognized any development, regulatory or commercial milestones or royalty revenue resulting from any of its collaboration arrangements. Consideration that would be received for optional goods and/or services is excluded from the transaction price at contract inception.

The Company allocates the transaction price to each performance obligation identified in the contract on a relative standalone selling price basis. However, certain components of variable consideration are allocated specifically to one or more particular performance obligations in a contract to the extent both of the following criteria are met: (i) the terms of the payment relate specifically to the efforts to satisfy the performance obligation or transfer the distinct good or service and (ii) allocating the variable amount of consideration entirely to the performance obligation or the distinct good or service is consistent with the allocation objective of the standard whereby the amount allocated depicts the amount of consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods or services. The Company develops assumptions that require judgment to determine the standalone selling price for each performance obligation identified in each contract. The key assumptions utilized in determining the standalone selling price for each performance obligation may include forecasted revenues, development timelines, estimated research and development costs, discount rates, likelihood of exercise and probabilities of technical and regulatory success.

Revenue is recognized based on the amount of the transaction price that is allocated to each respective performance obligation when or as the performance obligation is satisfied by transferring a promised good and/or service to the customer. For performance obligations that are satisfied over time, the Company recognizes revenue by measuring the progress toward complete satisfaction of the performance obligation using a single method of measuring progress which depicts the performance in transferring control of the associated goods and/or services to the customer. The Company uses input methods to measure the progress toward the complete satisfaction of performance obligations satisfied over time. The Company evaluates the measure of progress each reporting period and, if necessary, adjusts the measure of performance and related revenue recognition. Any such adjustments are recorded on a cumulative catch-up basis, which would affect revenue and net loss in the period of adjustment.





## **Table of Contents**

### **Fair Value Measurements of Stock Based Compensation and Series 1 Preferred Stock (including related dividends)**

Accounting standards define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and enhance disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The standard describes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that may be used to measure fair value which are the following:

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

We make certain assumptions to value and expense our share-based compensation awards, as well as our Series 1 preferred stock (including related dividends), which as of October 2018 is no longer outstanding. In connection with valuing stock options we use the Black-Scholes, which require us to estimate certain subjective assumptions. The key assumptions we make are: the expected volatility of our stock; the expected term of the award; and the forfeiture rate related to share based awards. In connection with our restricted stock programs, we make assumptions principally related to the forfeiture rate.

We review our valuation assumptions periodically and, as a result, we may change our valuation assumptions used to value share-based awards granted in future periods. Such changes may lead to a significant change in the expense we recognize in connection with share-based payments.

### **Income Taxes**

In preparing our financial statements, we estimate our income tax liability in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate by estimating our actual current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of items for tax and financial reporting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which, prior to the consideration for the need for a valuation allowance, are included on the balance sheet. Significant management judgment is required in assessing the realizability of our deferred tax assets. In performing this assessment, we consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. In making this determination, under the applicable financial accounting standards, we are allowed to consider the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and the effects of tax planning strategies. Our estimates of future taxable income include, among other items, our estimates of future income tax deductions related to the exercise of stock

options. In the event that actual results differ from our estimates, we adjust our estimates in future periods and we may need to establish a valuation allowance, which could materially impact our financial position and results of operations.

We account for uncertain tax positions using a more-likely-than-not threshold for recognizing and resolving uncertain tax positions. The evaluation of uncertain tax positions is based on factors that include, but are not limited to, changes in tax law, the measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in tax returns, the effective settlement of matters subject to audit, new audit activity and changes in facts or circumstances related to

## **Table of Contents**

a tax position. We evaluate uncertain tax positions on an annual basis and adjust the level of the liability to reflect any subsequent changes in the relevant facts surrounding the uncertain positions. Our liabilities for uncertain tax positions can be relieved only if the contingency becomes legally extinguished through either payment to the taxing authority or the expiration of the statute of limitations, the recognition of the benefits associated with the position meet the more-likely-than-not threshold or the liability becomes effectively settled through the examination process. We consider matters to be effectively settled once the taxing authority has completed all of its required or expected examination procedures, including all appeals and administrative reviews; we have no plans to appeal or litigate any aspect of the tax position; and we believe that it is highly unlikely that the taxing authority would examine or re-examine the related tax position. We also accrue for potential interest and penalties, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

For a discussion of new accounting standards, please read Note 3 to the accompanying financial statements, *Summary of Significant Accounting Principles* included in this report.

## **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We have not entered into, nor do we currently have any special purpose entities or off-balance sheet financing arrangements as defined under SEC rules.

## ***Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Mark Risk***

Not applicable to smaller reporting companies.

## ***Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***

The information required by this Item 8 is contained on pages F-1 through F-40 of this Annual Report and is incorporated herein by reference.

## ***Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures***

None.

## ***Item 9A. Controls and Procedures***

### ***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.***

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer, we have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act, as of December 31, 2018. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Accounting Officer have concluded that as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

## ***Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for us. Internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) is a process to provide

reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Internal control over financial reporting includes maintaining records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions; providing reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary for preparation of our financial statements; providing reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of company assets are made in accordance with management authorization; and providing reasonable assurance that unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of company assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements would be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting is not intended to provide absolute assurance that a misstatement of our financial statements would be prevented or detected.

**Table of Contents**

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness, as of December 31, 2018, of our internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2018.

RSM US LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018. That report is included in this Annual Report.

***Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting***

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the year ended December 31, 2018 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

***Item 9B. Other Information***

None.

**Table of Contents****PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

Information in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report under the sections titled *Proposals Election of Directors, Current Directors, Director Nominees and Executive Officers, Information Regarding the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance and Stock Ownership*.

**Item 11. Executive Compensation**

Information in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report under the section entitled *Executive Compensation*.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters****Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans**

Our Amended and Restated 2003 Stock Option Plan, or the 2003 Plan, and our 2012 Stock Option Plan, or the 2012 Plan, are our only equity compensation plans approved by our stockholders. The following table sets forth certain information as of December 31, 2018 with respect to the 2003 and 2012 Plans:

<b>Plan Category</b>	<b>Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options (A)</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options (B)</b>	<b>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (A)) (C)</b>
<b>Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders:</b>			
2003 Stock Option Plan	674,167	\$ 4.31	
2012 Stock Option Plan	4,602,918	4.23	3,895,923
Total:	5,277,085	\$ 4.24	3,895,923
<b>Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders:</b>			
Inducement Award	500,000	6.19	
Total:	500,000	\$ 6.19	

Additional information in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report under the section titled *Stock Ownership*.

***Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence***

Information in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report under the section titled *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Information Regarding the Board of Directors and Corporate Governance*.

***Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services***

Information in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference to the information from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report under the section titled *Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Fees and Other Matters*.



**Table of Contents****PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules**

## (1) Financial Statements:

The Financial Statements required to be filed by Item 8 of this Annual Report, and filed in this Item 15, are as follows:

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017</u>	F-4
<u>Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016</u>	F-5
<u>Statements of Changes Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016</u>	F-6-8
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016</u>	F-9
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-10

## (2) Financial Statement Schedules:

Schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or are not required, or because the information is included in the financial statements and notes thereto.

## (3) Exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
2.1	<u>Agreement and Plan of Merger among the Registrant (formerly EasyWeb, Inc. ), ZIO Acquisition Corp. and ZIOPHARM, Inc., dated August 3, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed August 9, 2005).</u>
3.1	<u>Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on April 26, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed April 26, 2006).</u>
3.2	<u>Certificate of Merger dated September 13, 2005, relating to the merger of ZIO Acquisition Corp. with and into ZIOPHARM, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed September 19, 2005).</u>
3.3	<u>Certificate of Ownership of the Registrant (formerly EasyWeb, Inc. ) dated as of September 14, 2005, relating the merger of ZIOPHARM, Inc. with and into the Registrant, and changing the Registrant's corporate name from EasyWeb, Inc. to ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed September 19, 2005).</u>
3.4	<u>Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series 1 Preferred Stock, as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on July 1, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed July 1, 2016).</u>

- 3.5 Bylaws, as amended to date (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Form 8-K, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed September 19, 2005).
- 4.1 Specimen common stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, SEC File No. 333-129020, filed October 14, 2005).
- 4.2 Form of Option for the Purchase of Shares of common stock dated August 30, 2004 and issued to The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed March 20, 2006).

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
4.3	<u>Schedule identifying Material Terms of Options for the Purchase of Shares of Common Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed March 20, 2006).</u>
4.4	<u>Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed November 13, 2018).</u>
10.1	<u>ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. Amended and Restated 2003 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed March 1, 2011).</u>
10.2	<u>Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement granted under the Registrant's 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed March 20, 2006).</u>
10.3	<u>Form of Director Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement granted under the Registrant's 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, SEC File No. 000-32353, filed March 20, 2006).</u>
10.4	<u>Form of Restricted Stock Agreement granted under the Registrant's 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed December 18, 2007).</u>
10.6	<u>ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed June 26, 2012).</u>
10.7	<u>Form of Restricted Stock Agreement Granted Under the ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed June 26, 2012).</u>
10.8	<u>Form of Option Agreement Granted Under the ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed June 26, 2012).</u>
10.9+	<u>Patent and Technology License Agreement dated August 24, 2004, among ZIOPHARM, Inc. (predecessor to the Registrant), the Board of Regents of the University of Texas System on behalf of the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center and the Texas A&amp;M University System (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, SEC File No. 333-129020, filed October 14, 2005).</u>
10.10	<u>Form of Indemnity Agreement for directors and executive officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed January 31, 2013).</u>
10.11	<u>License Agreement by and among the Registrant, Intrexon Corporation and The University of Texas System Board of Regents on behalf of The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center dated as of January 13, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed January 28, 2015).</u>
10.12+	<u>License and Collaboration Agreement by and among the Registrant, Intrexon Corporation and ARES TRADING S.A. dated as of March 27, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed April 2, 2015).</u>

10. 13

Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Laurence James Neil Cooper, M.D., Ph.D. dated as of May 5, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed May 7, 2015).

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
10.14	<u>Research and Development Agreement by and among the Registrant, Intrexon Corporation and The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center dated as of August 17, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed August 21, 2015).</u>
10.15	<u>Offer Letter by and between the Registrant and David Mauney, M.D., dated as of September 26, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed September 28, 2017).</u>
10.16	<u>Severance Agreement by and between the Registrant and David Mauney, M.D., dated as of September 28, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed September 28, 2017).</u>
10.17+	<u>Exclusive License Agreement by and between the Registrant, Precigen, Inc. and Intrexon Corporation, dated October 5, 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, SEC File No. 001-33038, filed November 9, 2018).</u>
10.18	<u>Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated November 11, 2018, by and between the Registrant and certain investors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed November 13, 2018).</u>
10.19	<u>Form of Registration Rights Agreement, dated November 11, 2018, by and between the Registrant and certain investors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed November 13, 2018).</u>
10.20	<u>Placement Agency Agreement, dated November 11, 2018, by and among Ziopharm Oncology, Inc. and Raymond James &amp; Associates, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K SEC File No. 001-33038 filed November 13, 2018).</u>
10.21*	<u>Amendment #1 to the Research and Development Agreement by and among the Registrant, Intrexon Corporation and The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center dated as of August 30, 2016.</u>
10.22*	<u>Amendment #2 to the Research and Development Agreement by and among the Registrant, Intrexon Corporation and The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center dated as of January 17, 2017.</u>
10.23*	<u>Amendment #3 to the Research and Development Agreement by and among the Registrant, Intrexon Corporation and The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center dated as of November 14, 2017.</u>
21.1*	<u>Subsidiaries of the Registrant.</u>
23.1*	<u>Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>
24.1	<u>Power of Attorney (incorporated by reference to the signature page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K).</u>
31.1*	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or 15(d)-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
31.2*	<u>Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) or 15(d)-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

32.1*	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
32.2*	<u>Certification of Chief Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document

**Table of Contents**

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

+ Confidential treatment has been granted as to certain portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 406 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

\* Filed herewith.

***Item 16. Form 10-K Summary***

Not applicable.

**Table of Contents****SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

## ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY, INC.

Date: March 5, 2019

By: /s/ Laurence J.N. Cooper  
 Laurence J.N. Cooper, M.D., Ph.D.  
 Chief Executive Officer  
 (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 5, 2019

By: /s/ Kevin G. Lafond  
 Kevin G. Lafond  
 Senior Vice President Finance, Chief Accounting Officer  
 and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Laurence J.N. Cooper and Kevin G. Lafond, jointly and severally, as his or her true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him or her, and in his or her name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite or necessary to be done in and about the premises hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Laurence J.N. Cooper		
Laurence J.N. Cooper, M.D., Ph.D.	Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer), and Director	March 5, 2019
/s/ Kevin G. Lafond		
Kevin G. Lafond	Senior Vice President Finance, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	March 5, 2019
/s/ Scott Braunstein		
Scott Braunstein	Director	March 5, 2019



/s/ James Cannon

James Cannon

Director

March 5, 2019

/s/ Elan Ezickson

Elan Ezickson.

Director

March 5, 2019

/s/ Douglas Pagán

Douglas Pagán

Director

March 5, 2019

/s/ Scott Tariff

Scott Tariff

Director

March 5, 2019

**Table of Contents**

ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2018 and 2017</u>	F-4
<u>Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016</u>	F-5
<u>Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) for the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016</u>	F-6-8
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016</u>	F-9
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	F-10

**Table of Contents**

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Stockholders and the Board of Directors of

ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.

**Opinions on the Financial Statements and Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc. (the Company) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes (collectively, the financial statements). We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in 2013.

**Change in Accounting Principle**

As discussed in Note 3 to the financial statements, the Company has changed its method of accounting for collaboration revenue in 2018 due to the adoption of ASC 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, as of January 1, 2018.

**Basis for Opinions**

The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's financial statements and an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB) and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud, and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audits of the financial statements included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial

statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

F-2

**Table of Contents**

**Definition and Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ RSM US LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2010.

Boston, Massachusetts

March 5, 2019

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except share and per share data)**

	<b>December 31, 2018</b>	<b>December 31, 2017</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 61,729	\$ 70,946
Receivables	1,864	19
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	20,692	19,818
Total current assets	84,285	90,783
Property and equipment, net	1,097	1,211
Deposits	128	128
Other non-current assets	9,541	13,484
Total assets	\$ 95,051	\$ 105,606
<b>LIABILITIES, PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 707	\$ 4,417
Accrued expenses	8,763	9,909
Deferred revenue - current portion		6,389
Deferred rent - current portion	13	141
Total current liabilities	9,483	20,856
Deferred revenue, net of current portion		35,139
Deferred rent, net of current portion	4	1
Derivative liabilities		2,424
Total liabilities	9,487	58,420
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized		
Series 1 preferred stock, \$1,200 stated value; 250,000 designated; 0 and 119,644 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2018 and 2017 respectively; liquidation value of \$0 million and \$143.6 at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively		143,992
Stockholders deficit:		

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized; 161,066,136 and 142,658,037 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively	161	143
Additional paid-in capital	651,732	615,493
Accumulated deficit	(566,329)	(712,442)
<b>Total stockholders' equity (deficit)</b>	<b>85,564</b>	<b>(96,806)</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit)</b>	<b>\$ 95,051</b>	<b>\$ 105,606</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

F-4

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(in thousands, except share and per share data)**

	<b>For the Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Collaboration revenue	\$ 146	\$ 6,389	\$ 6,861
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	34,134	45,084	157,791
General and administrative	19,918	14,798	14,377
Total operating expenses	54,052	59,882	172,168
Loss from operations	(53,906)	(53,493)	(165,307)
Other income (expense), net	631	465	134
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	158	(1,295)	(124)
Net loss	\$ (53,117)	\$ (54,323)	\$ (165,297)
Preferred stock dividends	\$ (16,998)	\$ (18,938)	\$ (7,123)
Settlement of a related party relationship	\$ 207,361	\$	\$
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$ 137,246	\$ (73,261)	\$ (172,420)
Net income (loss) per share - basic	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.53)	\$ (1.32)
Net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.53)	\$ (1.32)
Weighted average common shares outstanding used to compute basic net income (loss) per share	143,508,674	136,938,264	130,391,463
Weighted average common shares outstanding used to compute diluted net income (loss) per share	143,710,160	136,938,264	130,391,463

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.



Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)****(in thousands, except share and per share data)**

	<b>Series 1 Preferred Stock-Mezzanine</b>		<b>Common Stock</b>		<b>Additional</b>	<b>Accumulated</b>	<b>Total</b>
	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Shares</b>	<b>Amount</b>	<b>Paid In Capital</b>	<b>Deficit</b>	<b>Stockholders Equity (Deficit)</b>
Balance at December 31, 2015			131,718,579	132	579,939	(492,700)	87,371
Exercise of employee stock options			189,696	2	712		714
Stock-based compensation					8,452		8,452
Issuance of restricted common stock			711,770	712	(712)		
Issuance of common stock in a license agreement					87		87
Repurchase of common stock			(243,207)	(2)	(1,498)		(1,500)
Stock buy-back			(168)		(2)		(2)
Issuance of Series 1 Preferred Stock in a license agreement with Intrexon, net of issuance costs of \$109	100,000	118,242					
Preferred stock dividends	6,184	7,079			(7,123)		(7,123)
Net loss						(165,297)	(165,297)
Balance at December 31, 2016	106,184	\$ 125,321	132,376,670	\$ 132	\$ 580,567	\$ (657,997)	\$ (77,298)

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)  
(Cont.)**

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Series 1 Preferred Stock-Mezzanine		Common Stock		Additional Paid In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Cumulative effect adjustment ASU No. 2016-09					122	(122)	
Exercise of stock options			59,864	1	87		88
Stock-based compensation					8,454		8,454
Issuance of restricted common stock			907,032	1	(1)		
Repurchase of common stock			(394,267)	(1)	(2,058)		(2,059)
Issuance of common stock, net of commissions and expenses of \$2.7 million			9,708,738	10	47,260		47,270
Preferred stock dividends	13,460	18,672			(18,938)		(18,938)
Net loss						(54,323)	(54,323)
Balance at December 31, 2017	119,644	\$ 143,993	142,658,037	\$ 143	\$ 615,493	\$ (712,442)	\$ (96,806)

F-7

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN PREFERRED STOCK AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (DEFICIT)  
(Cont.)**

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	Series 1 Preferred Stock-Mezzanine		Common Stock		Additional Paid In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount			
Adjustment for implementation of ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers						(8,131)	(8,131)
Stock-based compensation					7,534		7,534
Issuance of restricted common stock			150,321	2	(1)		1
Exercise of employee stock options			104,166	2	240		242
Cancelled restricted common stock			(271,433)	(2)	3		1
Repurchase of restricted common stock			(514,349)	(3)	(1,621)		(1,624)
Issuance of warrants and common stock in a private placement, net of commissions and expenses of \$2,898			18,939,394	19	47,082		47,101
Preferred stock dividends	11,415	16,775			(16,998)		(16,998)
Settlement of a related party relationship (Note 7)	(131,059)	(160,767)				207,361	207,361
Net loss						(53,117)	(53,117)
Balance at December 31, 2018		\$	161,066,136	\$ 161	\$ 651,732	\$ (566,329)	\$ 85,564

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

	<b>For the Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>			
Net loss	\$ (53,117)	\$ (54,323)	\$ (165,297)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation	575	369	290
Stock-based compensation	7,534	8,454	8,452
Preferred stock issued in exchange for 2016 ECP amendment			118,936
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	(158)	1,295	124
Issuance of common stock in a license agreement			87
Change in operating assets and liabilities:			
(Increase) decrease in:			
Receivables	(1,845)	2	425
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(1,263)	3,992	(12,452)
Other noncurrent assets	3,942	(12,991)	
Increase (decrease) in:			
Accounts payable	(3,709)	4,261	(1,852)
Accrued expenses	(1,145)	800	203
Deferred revenue	(146)	(6,389)	(6,861)
Deferred rent	(125)	(139)	(380)
Net cash used in operating activities	(49,457)	(54,669)	(58,325)
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Purchases of property and equipment	(459)	(737)	(551)
Net cash used in investing activities	(459)	(737)	(551)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	240	88	714
Issuance of restricted common stock		(2,059)	(1,500)
Repurchase of common stock	(1,622)		(2)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net		47,270	
Proceeds from underwritten financing	47,101		
Cash paid for settlement of related party relationship	(5,408)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	40,311	45,299	(788)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents, and restricted cash	(9,605)	(10,107)	(59,664)

Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents, and restricted cash, beginning of period	71,334	81,441	140,717
Cash and cash equivalents, and restricted cash, end of period	\$ 61,729	\$ 71,334	\$ 81,441
<b>Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:</b>			
Cash paid for interest	\$	\$	\$
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	\$	\$
<b>Supplementary disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:</b>			
Noncash portion of related party relationship settlement	\$ 212,769	\$	
Payment of Series 1 preferred stock dividends in preferred stock	\$ 16,998	\$ 18,938	\$ 7,123
Series 1 preferred stock issued as consideration for a license agreement	\$	\$	\$ 119,045

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. Organization**

ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc., which is referred to herein as ZIOPHARM, or the Company, is a biopharmaceutical company seeking to develop, acquire, and commercialize, on its own or with partners, a diverse portfolio of immuno-oncology therapies.

The Company's operations to date have consisted primarily of raising capital and conducting research and development. The Company's fiscal year ends on December 31.

The Company has operated at a loss since its inception in 2003 and has no recurring revenues from operations. The Company anticipates that losses will continue for the foreseeable future. As of December 31, 2018, the Company had approximately \$61.7 million of cash and cash equivalents and the Company's accumulated deficit was approximately \$566.3 million. Given its current development plans, the Company anticipates cash resources will be sufficient to fund operations into the second quarter of 2020. The Company's ability to continue operations after its current cash resources are exhausted depends on its ability to obtain additional financing or to achieve profitable operations, as to which no assurances can be given. Cash requirements may vary materially from those now planned because of changes in the Company's focus and direction of its research and development programs, competitive and technical advances, patent developments, regulatory changes or other developments. If adequate additional funds are not available when required, or if we are unsuccessful in entering into partnership agreements for further development of our product candidates, management may need to curtail its development efforts and planned operations to conserve cash.

**2. Financings**

On November 11, 2018, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional and accredited investors pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue and sell to the Investors an aggregate of 18,939,394 immediately separable units with each Unit being comprised of (i) one share of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share and (ii) a warrant to purchase one share of common stock at a price per unit of \$2.64, for net proceeds of approximately \$47.1 million.

On May 11, 2017, the Company sold in an underwritten offering an aggregate of 9,708,738 shares of its common stock to a single investor. The price to the investor in the offering was \$5.15 per share, and the underwriters agreed to purchase the shares from the Company pursuant to the underwriting agreement at a purchase price of \$4.893 per share. The net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$47.3 million after deducting underwriting commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by the Company.

**3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***Basis of Presentation***

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America or U.S. GAAP.

*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Although the Company regularly assesses these estimates, actual results could differ from those estimates. Changes in estimates are recorded in the period in which they become known.

The Company's most significant estimates and judgments used in the preparation of the financial statements are:

Clinical trial expenses;

Collaboration agreements;

Fair value measurements of stock-based compensation and Series 1 preferred stock (and related dividends); and

Income taxes.

***Subsequent Events***

The Company evaluated all events and transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date through the date of this filing. Except as disclosed below, the Company did not have any material subsequent events that impacted its financial statements or disclosures.

On December 18, 2018, Ziopharm and TriArm Therapeutics, Ltd. ( TriArm ) announced that the companies plan to launch Eden BioCell, Ltd. ( Eden BioCell ) to lead clinical development and commercialization of *Sleeping Beauty*-generated CAR-T therapies in the People's Republic of China (including Macau and Hong Kong), Taiwan and Korea. TriArm is a cell therapy company with operations in Germany, China and the United States.

For the territory of China, Taiwan and Korea, Ziopharm will license the rights to Eden BioCell for third-generation *Sleeping Beauty*-generated CAR-T therapies targeting the CD19 antigen. Eden BioCell will be jointly-owned by Ziopharm and TriArm. TriArm has committed up to \$35.0 million to this joint venture. Under the terms of the agreement, Eden BioCell has rights in the region to CAR-T cells very rapidly manufactured in two days or less using the *Sleeping Beauty* platform to express a CD19-specific CAR and membrane-bound interleukin 15, or mbIL15, along with a kill switch. Each party will share decision-making authority. TriArm will manage all clinical development to execute trials in China for Eden BioCell. On January 3, 2019 Eden BioCell was incorporated in Hong Kong. The definitive agreements are expected to be executed in the first half of 2019.



In February 2019, the Company extended its CRADA with the NCI for the development of adoptive cell transfer, or ACT,-based immunotherapies genetically modified using the *Sleeping Beauty* transposon/transposase system to express TCRs for the treatment of solid tumors. The Company has committed an additional \$5.0 million to this program through January 2022.

***Cash and Cash Equivalents***

Cash equivalents consist primarily of demand deposit accounts and deposits in short-term U.S. treasury money market mutual funds. Cash equivalents are stated at cost, which approximates fair market value.

***Concentrations of Credit Risk***

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents. The Company maintains cash accounts in commercial banks, which may, at times, exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The Company believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

***Property and Equipment***

Property and equipment are recorded at cost. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense while the costs of significant improvements are capitalized. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives of the related assets, which is between three and five years. Upon retirement or sale, the cost of the assets disposed of and the related accumulated depreciation are eliminated from the balance sheets and related gains or losses are reflected in the statements of operations.

***Restricted Cash***

Restricted cash consists of \$105 thousand, which is restricted as collateral for a line of credit and is included in other assets.

***Long-Lived Assets***

The Company reviews the carrying values of its long-lived assets for possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the assets may not be recoverable. Any long-lived assets held for disposal are reported at the lower of their carrying amounts or fair values less costs to sell.

***Operating Segments***

Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise about which separate discrete financial information is available for evaluation by the chief operating decision maker, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, in making decisions regarding resource allocation and assessing performance. The Company views its operations and manages its business in one operating segment and does not track expenses on a program-by-program basis.

***Warrants***

The Company assesses whether an equity issued financial instrument is indexed to an entity's own stock for purposes of determining whether a financial instrument should be treated as a derivative. In applying the methodology, the Company concluded that warrants issued by the Company have terms that meet the criteria to be considered indexed to the Company's own stock and therefore are classified as equity on the Company's balance sheet.

***Fair Value Measurements***

The Company has certain financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value which have been classified as Level 1, 2 or 3 within the fair value hierarchy as described in the accounting standards for fair value measurements.

Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

F-12

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows:

Description	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			
	Balance as of December 31, 2018	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash equivalents	\$ 24,437	\$ 24,437	\$	\$

Description	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			
	Balance as of December 31, 2017	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets/Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Cash equivalents	\$ 66,156	\$ 66,156	\$	\$
Derivative liabilities	\$ (2,424)	\$	\$	\$ (2,424)

The cash equivalents represent deposits in a short-term United States treasury money market mutual fund quoted in an active market and classified as a Level 1 asset.

As discussed further in Notes 6, 8, and 11, the Company issued Intrexon Corporation, or Intrexon, 100,000 shares of the Company's Series 1 preferred stock, a class of preferred stock authorized by the Company's board of directors, in consideration of the parties entering into a Third Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement, or the 2016 ECP Amendment, amending the existing Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement, effective January 6, 2011 and as amended to date, which the Company refers to as the Channel Agreement, and an Amendment to Exclusive Channel

Collaboration Agreement, or the 2016 GvHD Amendment, amending the existing Exclusive Channel Collaboration Agreement, effective September 28, 2015, which the Company refers to as the GvHD Agreement. The Series 1 preferred stock were financial liabilities that consist of a conversion option and a redemption feature and were classified as a Level 3 asset. There were no transfers between asset classes during the year ended December 31, 2018.

At June 30, 2016, the Company's Series 1 preferred stock was valued using a probability-weighted approach and a Monte Carlo simulation model. Additionally, the monthly dividends issued on the outstanding Series 1 preferred stock were valued using the same probability-weighted approach and a Monte Carlo simulation model. However, there is no adjustment or further revaluation after the initial valuation on the Series 1 preferred stock other than required periodic dividends.

The Company's Level 3 financial liabilities consisted of a conversion option and a redemption feature associated with the Company's Series 1 preferred stock issued to Intrexon that had been bifurcated from the Series 1 preferred stock and were accounted for as derivative liabilities at fair value. The preferred stock derivative liabilities were valued using a probability-weighted approach and a Monte Carlo simulation model. The fair value of the embedded derivatives was estimated using the with and without method where the preferred stock was first valued with all of its features (with scenario) and then without derivatives subject to the valuation analysis (without scenario). The fair value of the derivatives was then estimated as the difference between the fair value of the preferred stock in the with scenario and the preferred stock in the without

---

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

scenario. See Note 8 for additional disclosures on the 2016 ECP Amendment and 2016 GvHD Amendments and Note 11 for additional disclosure on the rights and preferences of the Series 1 preferred stock and valuation methodology. All shares of the Series 1 preferred stock were forfeited by Intrexon on October 5, 2018 in conjunction with the Company's entry into an Exclusive License Agreement with Precigen, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Intrexon (Precigen).

***Revenue Recognition from Collaboration Agreements***

The Company adopted Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC Topic 606, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*, or ASC 606, using the modified retrospective approach on January 1, 2018. The Company completed its assessment and the implementation resulted in a cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit as of January 1, 2018 of approximately \$8.1 million and a corresponding increase to the contract liability (formerly deferred revenue). The adjustment to the Company's financial statements due to the adoption of ASC 606 is related to the Company's Ares Trading Agreement (Note 6), which was the Company's sole open revenue contract outstanding at January 1, 2018.

The Company primarily generates revenue through collaboration arrangements with strategic partners for the development and commercialization of product candidates. Commencing January 1, 2018, the Company recognized revenue in accordance with ASC 606 which replaced ASC 605, *Multiple Element Arrangements*, as used in historical years. The core principle of ASC 606 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods and/or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and/or services. To determine the appropriate amount of revenue to be recognized for arrangements that the Company determines are within the scope of ASC 606, the Company performs the following steps: (i) identify the contract(s) with the customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) each performance obligation is satisfied.

The Company recognizes collaboration revenue under certain of the Company's license or collaboration agreements that are within the scope of ASC 606. The Company's contracts with customers typically include promises related to licenses to intellectual property, research and development services and options to purchase additional goods and/or services. If the license to the Company's intellectual property is determined to be distinct from the other performance obligations identified in the arrangement, the Company recognizes revenue from non-refundable, up-front fees allocated to the license when the license is transferred to the licensee and the licensee is able to use and benefit from the license. For licenses that are bundled with other promises, the Company utilizes judgement to assess the nature of the combined performance obligation to determine whether the combined performance obligation is satisfied over time or at a point in time and, if over time, the appropriate method of measuring progress for purposes of recognizing revenue from non-refundable, up-front fees. Contracts that include an option to acquire additional goods and/or services are evaluated to determine if such option provides a material right to the customer that it would not have received without entering into the contract. If so, the option is accounted for as a separate performance obligation. If not, the option is considered a marketing offer which would be accounted for as a separate contract upon the

customer's election.

The terms of the Company's arrangements with customers typically include the payment of one or more of the following: (i) non-refundable, up-front payment, (ii) development, regulatory and commercial milestone payments, (iii) future options and (iv) royalties on net sales of licensed products. Accordingly, the transaction price is generally comprised of a fixed fee due at contract inception and variable consideration in the form of milestone payments due upon the achievement of specified events and tiered royalties earned when customers

F-14

---

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

recognize net sales of licensed products. The Company measures the transaction price based on the amount of consideration to which it expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods and/or services to the customer. The Company utilizes the most likely amount method to estimate the amount of variable consideration, to predict the amount of consideration to which it will be entitled for its one open contract. Amounts of variable consideration are included in the transaction price to the extent that it is probable that a significant reversal in the amount of cumulative revenue recognized will not occur when the uncertainty associated with the variable consideration is subsequently resolved. At the inception of each arrangement that includes development and regulatory milestone payments, the Company evaluates whether the associated event is considered probable of achievement and estimates the amount to be included in the transaction price using the most likely amount method. Milestone payments that are not within the control of the Company or the licensee, such as those dependent upon receipt of regulatory approval, are not considered to be probable of achievement until the triggering event occurs. At the end of each reporting period, the Company reevaluates the probability of achievement of each milestone and any related constraint, and if necessary, adjusts its estimate of the overall transaction price. Any such adjustments are recorded on a cumulative catch-up basis, which would affect revenue and net loss in the period of adjustment. For arrangements that include sales-based royalties, including milestone payments based upon the achievement of a certain level of product sales, the Company recognizes revenue upon the later of: (i) when the related sales occur or (ii) when the performance obligation to which some or all of the payment has been allocated has been satisfied (or partially satisfied). To date, the Company has not recognized any development, regulatory or commercial milestones or royalty revenue resulting from any of its collaboration arrangements. Consideration that would be received for optional goods and/or services is excluded from the transaction price at contract inception.

The Company allocates the transaction price to each performance obligation identified in the contract on a relative standalone selling price basis. However, certain components of variable consideration are allocated specifically to one or more particular performance obligations in a contract to the extent both of the following criteria are met: (i) the terms of the payment relate specifically to the efforts to satisfy the performance obligation or transfer the distinct good or service and (ii) allocating the variable amount of consideration entirely to the performance obligation or the distinct good or service is consistent with the allocation objective of the standard whereby the amount allocated depicts the amount of consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for transferring the promised goods or services. The Company develops assumptions that require judgment to determine the standalone selling price for each performance obligation identified in each contract. The key assumptions utilized in determining the standalone selling price for each performance obligation may include forecasted revenues, development timelines, estimated research and development costs, discount rates, likelihood of exercise and probabilities of technical and regulatory success.

Revenue is recognized based on the amount of the transaction price that is allocated to each respective performance obligation when or as the performance obligation is satisfied by transferring a promised good and/or service to the customer. For performance obligations that are satisfied over time, the Company recognizes revenue by measuring the progress toward complete satisfaction of the performance obligation using a single method of measuring progress which depicts the performance in transferring control of the associated goods and/or services to the customer. The Company uses input methods to measure the progress toward the complete satisfaction of performance obligations



satisfied over time. The Company evaluates the measure of progress each reporting period and, if necessary, adjusts the measure of performance and related revenue recognition. Any such adjustments are recorded on a cumulative catch-up basis, which would affect revenue and net loss in the period of adjustment.

F-15

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

As it relates to the Ares Trading Agreement (Note 6), the Company recognized the upfront payment associated with its one open contract as a contract liability upon receipt of payment as it requires deferral of revenue recognition to a future period until the Company performs its obligations under the arrangement. Amounts expected to be recognized as revenue within the twelve months following the balance sheet date are classified in current liabilities. Amounts not expected to be recognized as revenue within the twelve months following the balance sheet date are classified as contract liabilities, net of current portion. The Company determined that there were three performance obligations; the first performance obligation consists of the license and research development services and the other two performance obligations are material rights as it relates to potential future targets that have not yet been identified. As described above, the transaction price of \$57.5 million was allocated to the performance obligations based on their relative standalone selling prices.

There are multiple distinct performance obligations, including material rights; thus, the Company allocates the transaction price to each distinct performance obligation based on its relative standalone selling price. The standalone selling price is generally determined based on the prices charged to customers or using expected cost-plus margin. Revenue is recognized by measuring the progress toward complete satisfaction of the performance obligations using an input measure. Furthermore, the Company has not capitalized any contract costs under the guidance in ASC 340-40, *Other Assets and Deferred Costs: Contracts with Customers*.

The Company does not believe that any variable consideration should be included in the transaction price at the date of adoption of ASC 606 on January 1, 2018. Such assessment considered the application of the constraint to ensure that estimates of variable consideration would be included in the transaction price only to the extent the Company had a high degree of confidence that revenue would not be reversed in a subsequent reporting period. The Company will re-evaluate the transaction price, including the estimated variable consideration included in the transaction price and all constrained amounts, in each reporting period and as other changes in circumstances occur.

*Impact of Topic 606 Adoption*

As a result of adopting ASC 606, the Company recorded an \$8.1 million adjustment to the opening balance of accumulated deficit in the first quarter of 2018 as a result of the treatment of the up-front consideration received in July 2015 under ASC 605-25 versus ASC 606. Refer below for a summary of the amount by which each financial statement line item was affected by the impact of the cumulative adjustment:

Description	Impact of Topic 606 Adoption on the Balance Sheet as of January 1, 2018		
	As reported under Topic 606	Adjustments	Balances without adoption of
(\$ in thousands)			

			Topic 606
Contract liability, current portion	\$ 622	\$ (5,767)	\$ 6,389
Contract liability, net of current portion	\$ 49,037	\$ 13,898	\$ 35,139
Accumulated deficit	\$ (720,573)	\$ (8,131)	\$ (712,442)

F-16

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

Impact of Topic 606 Adoption  
on the Statement of Operations  
for the Year Ended December 31, 2018

*(\$ in thousands)*

Description	As reported under Topic 606	Adjustments	Balances without adoption of Topic 606
Collaboration revenue	\$ 146	\$ (4,732)	\$ 4,878
Net loss	\$ (53,117)	\$ (4,732)	\$ (48,385)
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$ 137,246	\$ (4,732)	\$ 141,978
Net income (loss) per share - basic	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.99
Net income (loss) per share - diluted	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.99

Impact of Topic 606 Adoption  
on the Statement of Cash Flows  
for the Year Ended December 31, 2018

*(\$ in thousands)*

Description	As reported under Topic 606	Adjustments	Balances without adoption of Topic 606
Net loss	\$ (53,117)	\$ (4,732)	\$ (48,385)
Changes in contract liability	\$	\$	\$

The most significant change above relates to the Company's collaboration revenue, which to date has been exclusively generated from its collaboration arrangement with Ares Trading and Precigen, formerly Intrexon (Note 8). Under ASC 605, the Company accounted for the up-front payment over the estimated period of performance of the research and development services which was estimated to be 9 years. In connection with the adoption of ASC 606, the Company uses cost-based input method to measure progress because such method best reflects the satisfaction of the performance obligation. In applying the cost-based input method of revenue recognition, the Company uses actual costs incurred relative to the budgeted costs to complete the research programs. These costs consist primarily of internal full-time equivalent effort and third-party contract costs. Revenue is recognized based on actual costs incurred as a percentage of total budgeted costs. As a result, although the performance obligations noted above and identified under ASC 606 were generally consistent with the units of account identified under ASC 605, the timing of the allocation of the transaction price to the identified performance obligations under ASC 606 differed from the

allocations of consideration under ASC 605. Accordingly, the transaction price ultimately allocated to each performance obligation under ASC 606 differed from the amounts allocated under ASC 605. Additionally, at December 31, 2018, the contract liability is \$0 under both methods of revenue recognition (Note 7).

***Research and Development Costs***

Research and development expenditures are charged to the statement of operations as incurred. Such costs include proprietary research and development activities, purchased research and development, and expenses associated with research and development contracts, whether performed by the Company or contracted with independent third parties.

***Income Taxes***

Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

apply to taxable income in the year in which the temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The Company evaluates the realizability of its deferred tax assets and establishes a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of deferred tax assets will not be realized.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions using a more-likely-than-not threshold for recognizing and resolving uncertain tax positions. The evaluation of uncertain tax positions is based on factors including, but not limited to, changes in tax law, the measurement of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in tax returns, the effective settlement of matters subject to audit, new audit activity and changes in facts or circumstances related to a tax position. The Company evaluates this tax position on an annual basis. The Company also accrues for potential interest and penalties, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense (Note 10).

***Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation***

Stock-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date, based on the estimated fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee's requisite service period. Stock-based compensation expense is based on the number of awards ultimately expected to vest and is therefore reduced for an estimate of the awards that are expected to be forfeited prior to vesting. Consistent with prior years, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model which requires estimates of the expected term option holders will retain their options before exercising them and the estimated volatility of the Company's common stock price over the expected term.

The Company recognizes the full impact of its share-based employee payment plans in the statements of operations for each of the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 and did not capitalize any such costs on the balance sheets. The Company recognized \$3.0 million, \$2.5 million, and \$3.0 million of compensation expense related to stock options during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. In the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, the Company recognized \$4.5 million, \$6.0 million, and \$5.5 million of compensation expense, respectively, related to restricted stock (Note 13). The total compensation expense relating to vesting of stock options and restricted stock awards for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was \$7.5 million, \$8.5 million, and \$8.5 million, respectively. The following table presents share-based compensation expense included in the Company's Statements of Operations:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>Year ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Research and development	\$ 1,683	\$ 2,401	\$ 2,077
General and administrative	5,851	6,053	6,375
Share based employee compensation expense before tax	7,534	8,454	8,452
Income tax benefit			

Net share based employee compensation expense	\$ 7,534	\$ 8,454	\$ 8,452
---	----------	----------	----------

The fair value of each stock option is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The estimated weighted-average fair value of stock options granted to employees in 2018, 2017, and 2016 was approximately \$1.64, \$3.94, and \$4.43 per share, respectively. Assumptions regarding volatility, expected term, dividend yield and risk-free interest rate are required for the Black-Scholes model. The volatility assumption is based on the Company's historical experience. The risk-free interest rate is based on a U.S. treasury note with a maturity similar to the option award's expected life. The expected life represents the average period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The Company calculated expected term using the simplified method described in SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin, or SAB, No. 107 and No. 110 as it continues to meet the

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

requirements promulgated in SAB No. 110. The assumptions for volatility, expected life, dividend yield and risk-free interest rate are presented in the table below:

	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Weighted average risk-free interest rate	2.55 - 3.06%	1.85 - 2.27%	1.27 - 2.09%
Expected life in years	6	6	6
Expected volatility	80.75 - 84.71%	80.31 - 81.03%	79.15 - 82.95%
Expected dividend yield	0	0	0

***Net Loss Per Share***

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of outstanding options and warrants, using the treasury stock method and the average market price of the Company's common stock during the applicable period.

<i>in thousands, except share and per share data</i>	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
<b>Basic</b>			
Net loss	\$ (53,117)	\$ (54,323)	\$ (165,297)
Preferred stock dividends	(16,998)	(18,938)	(7,123)
Settlement of a related party relationship	207,361		
Net income / (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$ 137,246	\$ (73,261)	\$ (172,420)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	143,508,674	136,938,264	130,391,463
Earnings per share, basic	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.53)	\$ (1.32)
<b>Diluted</b>			
Net Loss	\$ (53,117)	\$ (54,323)	\$ (165,297)
Preferred stock dividends	(16,998)	(18,938)	(7,123)
Precigen license transaction	207,361		
	\$ 137,246	\$ (73,261)	\$ (172,420)



Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Net income / (loss) applicable to common  
shareholders

Weighted-average common shares outstanding	143,508,674	136,938,264	130,391,463
Effect of dilutive securities			
Stock options	201,362		
Unvested restricted common stock	124		
Warrants			
Dilutive potential common shares	201,486		
Shares used in calculating diluted earnings per share	143,710,160	136,938,264	130,391,463
Earnings per share, diluted	\$ 0.96	\$ (0.53)	\$ (1.32)

F-19

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

Certain shares related to some of the Company's outstanding common stock options, unvested restricted stock, preferred stock, and warrants have not been included in the computation of diluted net earnings (loss) per share for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 as the result would be antidilutive. Such potential common shares at December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 consist of the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>		
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Stock options	5,075,723	4,352,135	3,465,335
Unvested restricted stock	681,946	1,808,559	1,680,492
Preferred stock		34,134,524	20,465,067
Warrants	18,939,394		
	24,697,063	40,295,218	25,610,894

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company and Precigen, a wholly owned subsidiary Intrexon entered into a License Agreement to replace all existing agreements between the companies that will provide Ziopharm with certain exclusive and non-exclusive rights to technology controlled by Precigen, Inc. The License Agreement was dated October 5, 2018. In consideration of the Company entering into the License Agreement, Intrexon agreed to forfeit and return to the Company all shares of the Company's Series 1 Preferred Stock held by or payable to Intrexon as of the date of the License Agreement (Note 7).

***New Accounting Pronouncements***

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842), to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by requiring the recognition of a right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for most lease arrangements on the balance sheet. Under the standard, disclosures are required to meet the objective of enabling users of financial statements to assess the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption permitted. The standard permits two transition methods, (1) to apply the new lease requirements at the beginning of the earliest period presented, or (2) to apply the new lease requirements at the effective date. Under both transition methods there is a cumulative effect adjustment. The Company intends to. It also intends to elect the package of practical expedients permitted under the transition guidance within the new standard, which, among other things, allows us to carry forward the historical lease classification. Additionally, the right-of-use asset is subject to an impairment analysis under ASC 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, at each reporting period, to evaluate asset recoverability. The Company is currently evaluating the potential changes from this ASU to its future financial reporting and disclosures and designing and implementing related processes and controls. The Company expects the standard to have an impact of approximately \$1.7 million on its assets and liabilities for the addition of right-of-use assets and lease liabilities, but

it does not expect it to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, *Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*, or ASU 2016-15, to address how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows in an effort to reduce existing diversity in practice. The update includes eight specific cash flow issues and provides guidance on the appropriate cash flow presentation for each. ASU 2016-15 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within each annual reporting period. The Company adopted this standard on January 1, 2018. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, *Statement of Cash Flows: Restricted Cash* or ASU 2016-18. The amendments in this update require that amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents be included within cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-18 was effective January 1, 2018. As a result of adopting ASU 2016-18, the Company includes its restricted cash balance in the cash and cash equivalents reconciliation of operating, investing and financing activities. The following table provides a reconciliation of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash within the statement of financial position that sum to the total of the same such amounts shown in the statement of cash flows.

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>December 31,</b>		
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 61,729	\$ 70,946	\$ 81,053
Restricted cash included in prepaid expenses and other current assets		388	
Restricted cash included in other non-current assets			388
Total cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash shown in the statement of cash flows	\$ 61,729	\$ 71,334	\$ 81,441

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, *Compensation Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting*, or ASU 2017-09, to clarify when to account for a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award as a modification. Under this new guidance, modification accounting is required if the fair value, vesting conditions, or classification of the award changes as a result of the change in terms or conditions. ASU 2017-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within each annual reporting period. The Company adopted this standard on January 1, 2018. The adoption did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-07, *Compensation Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting*, or ASU 2018-07. The guidance in this ASU expand the scope of Topic 718 to include sharebased payment transactions for acquiring goods and services from nonemployees. The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim reporting periods within each annual reporting period. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU on the financial statements.

In August 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-03, *Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Disclosure Framework Changes to the Disclosure Requirements for Fair Value Measurement*, or ASU 2018-03. The guidance in this ASU modify the disclosure requirements on fair value measurements in Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement.

Under the new guidance, transfers between asset classes and the valuation related to level 3 assets is modified. The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim reporting periods within each annual reporting period. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU on the financial statements.

F-21

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****4. Property and Equipment, net**

Property and equipment, net, consists of the following:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
Office and computer equipment	\$ 1,249	\$ 1,215
Software	1,030	913
Leasehold improvements	1,839	1,553
Research and development equipment	1,182	1,161
	5,300	4,842
Less: accumulated depreciation	(4,203)	(3,631)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 1,097	\$ 1,211

Depreciation charged to the statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 was \$573 thousand, \$369 thousand and \$290 thousand, respectively.

**5. Accrued Expenses**

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
Clinical consulting services	\$ 3,003	\$ 3,022
Employee compensation	1,786	1,919
Preclinical services	1,247	2,210
Manufacturing services	1,164	902
Professional services	745	256
Accrued vacation	363	361
Payroll taxes and benefits	349	1,017
Other consulting services	106	222
Total	\$ 8,763	\$ 9,909

**6. Related Party Transactions**

***Collaborations with Intrexon/ Precigen***

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company and Precigen entered into an Exclusive License Agreement (Note 7).

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company issued an aggregate of 11,415 shares of Series 1 preferred stock to Intrexon, the holder of all of the outstanding shares of the Company's Series 1 preferred stock, as monthly dividend payments. The Company recorded such shares of Series 1 preferred stock at a fair value of \$18.9 million, which is a component of temporary equity and recorded a loss on the change of the derivative liabilities in the amount of \$1.3 million. See Notes 3 and 12 for additional discussion regarding the accounting for and valuation of these derivative financial instruments.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**6. Related Party Transactions (Continued)**

During the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, the Company expensed \$8.1 million, \$21.4 million, and \$22.2 million, respectively, for services performed by Intrexon. As of December 31, 2018, and 2017, the Company recorded \$1.9 million and \$6.8 million, respectively, in current liabilities on its balance sheet for amounts due to Intrexon.

***Collaboration with Precigen and MD Anderson***

On January 13, 2015, the company, together with Intrexon, entered into the MD Anderson License with MD Anderson (which Intrexon subsequently assigned to Precigen). Pursuant to the MD Anderson License, the company, together with Precigen, hold an exclusive, worldwide license to certain technologies owned and licensed by MD Anderson including technologies relating to novel CAR T-cell therapies, non-viral gene transfer systems, genetic modification and/or propagation of immune cells and other cellular therapy approaches, Natural Killer, or NK Cells, and TCRs, arising from the laboratory of Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D., who became the Company's Chief Executive Officer in May 2015 and was formerly a tenured professor of pediatrics at MD Anderson and is now currently a visiting scientist under that institution's policies. In partial consideration for entering into the MD Anderson License, the Company issued MD Anderson an aggregate of 11,722,163 shares of common stock for which the Company incurred a \$67.3 million charge recorded in 2015.

The Company has determined that the rights acquired in the MD Anderson License represent in-process research and development with no alternative future use. During the year ending December 31, 2018, the Company made one quarterly payments totaling \$2.7 million, bringing the total aggregate payments to \$41.9 million under this arrangement. The net balance of cash resources on hand at MD Anderson available to offset expenses and future costs is \$27.8 million, of which \$18.4 million is included in other current assets and the remaining \$9.4 million is included in non-current assets at December 31, 2018. The classification is based on management's current estimate of plans to utilize the prepaid balance and is subject to revision on a quarterly basis.

**7. Settlement of a Related Party Relationship**

***Exclusive License Agreement with Precigen***

On October 5, 2018, the Company entered into the license agreement with Precigen. As between the Company and Precigen, the terms of the License Agreement replace the terms of: (a) the Channel Agreement, including all amendments to the Channel Agreement; (b) certain rights and obligations pursuant to the Ares Trading Agreement; (c) the MD Anderson License; and (d) that certain Research and Development Agreement between the Company, Intrexon and MD Anderson with an effective date of August 17, 2015 (the Research and Development Agreement), and any amendments or statements of work thereto.



Pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement, Precigen has granted the Company an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license to research, develop and commercialize (i) products utilizing Precigen's RheoSwitch® gene switch, or RTS, for the treatment of cancer, referred to as IL-12 Products, (ii) CAR products directed to (A) CD19 for the treatment of cancer, referred to as CD19 Products, and (B) a second target, subject to the rights of Ares Trading (now Intrexon) to pursue such target under the Ares Trading Agreement, and (iii) T-cell receptor, or TCR, products designed for neoantigens for the treatment of cancer. Precigen has also granted the Company an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license for certain patents relating to the *Sleeping Beauty* technology to research, develop and commercialize TCR products for both neoantigens and shared antigens for the treatment of cancer, referred to as TCR Products.

The Company is solely responsible for all aspects of the research, development and commercialization of the exclusively licensed products for the treatment of cancer. The Company is required to use commercially

---

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**7. Settlement of a Related Party Relationship (Continued)**

reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize IL-12 Products and CD19 Products and after a two-year period, the TCR Products. Precigen has also granted the Company an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license to research, develop and commercialize products utilizing an additional construct that expresses RTS IL-12 for the treatment of cancer, referred to as Gorilla IL-12 Products.

Ziopharm agreed to reimburse Precigen for certain historical costs of the licensed programs up to \$1.0 million, payable quarterly. The Company determined that the fair value of this program was \$1.0 million and this was expensed in accordance with ASC 730, Research and Development during the year ended December 31, 2018 and it has been included in accrued expense on the balance sheet.

The agreement also calls for an annual license fee of \$100 thousand as long as the agreement is effective. The Company will also make milestone payments totaling up to an additional \$52.5 million for each exclusively licensed program upon the initiation of later stage clinical trials and upon the approval of exclusively licensed products in various jurisdictions. In addition, the Company will pay Precigen tiered royalties ranging from low-single digit to high-single digit on the net sales derived from the sales of any approved IL-12 Products and CAR Products. The Company will also pay Precigen royalties ranging from low-single digit to mid-single digit on the net sales derived from the sales of any approved TCR Products, up to a maximum royalty amount of \$100.0 million in the aggregate. The Company will also pay Precigen 20% of any sublicensing income received by the Company relating to the licensed products.

The Company is responsible for all development costs associated with each of the licensed products, other than Gorilla IL-12 Products. The Company and Precigen will share the development costs and operating profits for Gorilla IL-12 Products, with the Company responsible for 80% of the development costs and receiving 80% of the operating profits, and Precigen responsible for the remaining 20% of the development costs and receiving 20% of the operating profits.

Precigen will pay the Company royalties ranging from low-single digits to mid-single digits on the net sales derived from the sale of Precigen's CAR products, up to \$50.0 million.

In consideration of the Company entering into the License Agreement, Intrexon forfeited and returned to the Company all shares of the Company's Series 1 preferred stock held by or payable to Intrexon as of the date of the License Agreement. In addition, Precigen is required to transfer all of Ziopharm's rights and obligations under the Ares Trading Agreement to Intrexon (or its affiliate). As a result, Ziopharm shall not be responsible for any remaining obligations under the Merck Agreement. Additionally, Intrexon forfeited and returned to the Company all shares of the Company's Series 1 preferred stock held by or payable to Intrexon as of the date of the License Agreement.

The Company determined that this transaction represented a capital transaction between related parties. The Company fair valued the preferred stock and the derivative liability on the date of the transaction, noting a total fair value of \$163.3 million. The relinquishment of the Ziopharm's obligation under the Ares Trading Agreement was also

considered part of the overall capital transaction. The Company recognized an additional credit to accumulated deficit of \$49.5 million as a result of the relief of the obligation under the Ares Trading Agreement (Note 8). The total amount of the settlement was \$212.8 million.

The Company incurred approximately \$7.4 million of transaction advisory costs with third-party vendors, of which \$5.4 million was considered a direct cost associated with the Series 1 preferred stock extinguishment and is also included as part of the consideration transferred. The remaining \$2.0 million of transaction costs were recognized as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2018.

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****7. Settlement of a Related Party Relationship (Continued)**

The Company recognized a net credit to accumulated deficit of \$207.3 million, calculated as the difference in the carrying value of the Series 1 preferred stock, derivative liability, and contract liability, and the consideration transferred of \$5.4 million, in connection with the transaction. This amount is included in net income available to common shareholders in the calculation of earnings per share (Note 3).

**8. Commitments and Contingencies****Operating Leases**

Prior to December 31, 2012, the Company entered into an operating lease in New York, NY for office space. In accordance with this agreement, the Company entered into a letter of credit in the amount of \$388 thousand, naming the Company's landlord as beneficiary. In January 2012, the Company amended the lease agreement, adding additional office space. The collateral for the letter of credit was recorded in other current assets on the balance sheet as of December 31, 2017. The lease for office space in New York, NY expired in October 2018.

On October 17, 2013, the Company entered into a sublease agreement to lease all of its New York office space to a subtenant. The Company recorded a loss on the sublease in the amount of \$729 thousand for the year ended December 31, 2013, representing the remaining contractual obligation of \$2.3 million, less \$1.6 million in payments from its subtenant. The sublease agreement for the New York office space expired in October 2018 in conjunction with the Company's lease expiring for the New York office space.

In June 2012, the Company entered into a master lease for the Company's Boston office, which was originally set to expire in August 2016. On December 21, 2015 and April 15, 2016, the Company renewed the sublease for the Company's corporate headquarters in Boston, MA through August 31, 2021. As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, a total security deposit of \$128 thousand is included in deposits on the balance sheet.

On January 30, 2018, the Company entered into a lease agreement for office space in Houston, TX at MD Anderson. Under the terms of the Houston lease agreement, the Company leases approximately two hundred and ten square feet and are required to make rental payments at an average monthly rate of approximately \$1 thousand through April 2021. All future rent expense incurred in Houston, will be deducted from the Company's prepayments at MD Anderson described in the license agreement section below.

Future net minimum lease payments under operating leases as of December 31, 2018 are as follows (in thousands):

2019	723
2020	736

2021	488
------	-----

Future minimum lease payments, net	\$ 1,947
------------------------------------	----------

Total rent expense was approximately \$0.7 million, \$0.7 million, and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

The Company records rent expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease. Accordingly, the Company has recorded a liability for deferred rent at December 31, 2018 and 2017 of \$17 thousand (\$13 thousand current and \$4 thousand long-term) and \$142 thousand (\$141 thousand current and \$1 thousand long-term) respectively, which is recorded in deferred rent on the balance sheet.

F-25

---

Table of Contents

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

License Agreements

*Exclusive License Agreement with Precigen, Inc.*

On October 5, 2018, the Company entered into an exclusive license agreement, or the License Agreement, with Precigen, Inc., or Precigen, a wholly owned subsidiary of Intrexon Corporation, or Intrexon. As between the Company and Precigen, the terms of the License Agreement replace and supersede the terms of: (a) that certain Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement by and between the Company and Intrexon, dated January 6, 2011, as amended by the First Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement effective September 13, 2011, the Second Amendment to the Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement effective March 27, 2015, and the Third Amendment to Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement effective June 29, 2016, which was subsequently assigned by Intrexon to Precigen; (b) certain rights and obligations pursuant to that certain License and Collaboration Agreement effective March 27, 2015 between ZIOPHARM, Intrexon and ARES TRADING Trading S.A., or Ares Trading, a subsidiary of Merck KGaA, or Merck, as assigned by Intrexon to Precigen, or the Ares Trading Agreement; (c) that certain License Agreement between the Company, Intrexon, and MD Anderson, with an effective date of January 13, 2015, or the MD Anderson License, which was subsequently assigned by Intrexon and assumed by Precigen effective as of January 1, 2018; and (d) that certain Research and Development Agreement between the Company, Intrexon and MD Anderson with an effective date of August 17, 2015, or the Research and Development Agreement, and any amendments or statements of work thereto.

Pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement, Precigen has granted the Company an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license to research, develop and commercialize (i) products utilizing Precigen's RheoSwitch® gene switch, or RTS, for the treatment of cancer, referred to as IL-12 Products, (ii) CAR products directed to (A) CD19 for the treatment of cancer, referred to as CD19 Products, and (B) a second target, subject to the rights of Merck to pursue such target under the Ares Trading Agreement, and (iii) TCR products designed for neoantigens for the treatment of cancer. Precigen has also granted the Company an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license for certain patents relating to the *Sleeping Beauty* technology to research, develop and commercialize TCR products for both neoantigens and shared antigens for the treatment of cancer, referred to as TCR Products.

The Company will be solely responsible for all aspects of the research, development and commercialization of the exclusively licensed products for the treatment of cancer. The Company are required to use commercially reasonable efforts to develop and commercialize IL-12 products and CD19 products and after a two-year period, the TCR Products.

Precigen has also granted the Company an exclusive, worldwide, royalty-bearing, sub-licensable license to research, develop and commercialize products utilizing an additional construct that expresses RTS IL-12 for the treatment of cancer, referred to as Gorilla IL-12 Products.

In consideration of the licenses and other rights granted by Precigen, the Company will pay Precigen an annual license fee of \$100 thousand and has agreed to reimburse Precigen for certain historical costs of the licensed programs up to \$1.0 million, payable quarterly.

The Company will make milestone payments totaling up to an additional \$52.5 million for each exclusively licensed program upon the initiation of later stage clinical trials and upon the approval of exclusively licensed products in various jurisdictions. In addition, the Company will pay Precigen tiered royalties ranging from low-single digit to high-single digit on the net sales derived from the sales of any approved IL-12 products and CAR products. The Company will also pay Precigen royalties ranging from low-single digit to mid-single digit

---

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

on the net sales derived from the sales of any approved TCR products, up to a maximum royalty amount of \$100.0 million in the aggregate. The Company will also pay Precigen 20% of any sublicensing income received by the Company relating to the licensed products.

The Company is responsible for all development costs associated with each of the licensed products, other than Gorilla IL-12 products. ZIOPHARM and Precigen will share the development costs and operating profits for Gorilla IL-12 products, and ZIOPHARM is responsible for 80% of the development costs and receiving 80% of the operating profits, and Precigen responsible for the remaining 20% of the development costs and receiving 20% of the operating profits.

Precigen will pay the Company royalties ranging from low-single digits to mid-single digits on the net sales derived from the sale of Precigen's CAR products, up to \$50.0 million.

In consideration of entering into the License Agreement, Intrexon has forfeited and returned to the Company all shares of Series 1 preferred stock held by or payable to Intrexon as of the date of the License Agreement (Note 7).

*License Agreement The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center*

On January 13, 2015, ZIOPHARM, together with Intrexon, entered into the MD Anderson License with MD Anderson (which Intrexon subsequently assigned to Precigen). Pursuant to the MD Anderson License, the Company, together with Precigen, holds an exclusive, worldwide license to certain technologies owned and licensed by MD Anderson including technologies relating to novel CAR T-cell therapies, non-viral gene transfer systems, genetic modification and/or propagation of immune cells and other cellular therapy approaches, Natural Killer, or NK Cells, and TCRs, arising from the laboratory of Laurence Cooper, M.D., Ph.D., who became the Company's Chief Executive Officer in May 2015 and was formerly a tenured professor of pediatrics at MD Anderson and is now currently a visiting scientist under that institution's policies.

On August 17, 2015, ZIOPHARM, Precigen and MD Anderson entered into the Research and Development Agreement, to formalize the scope and process for the transfer by MD Anderson, pursuant to the terms of the MD Anderson License, of certain existing research programs and related technology rights, as well as the terms and conditions for future collaborative research and development of new and ongoing research programs.

Pursuant to the Research and Development Agreement, ZIOPHARM, Precigen and MD Anderson have agreed to form a joint steering committee that will oversee and manage the new and ongoing research programs. Under the License Agreement with Precigen, ZIOPHARM and Precigen agreed that Precigen would no longer participate on the joint steering committee after the date of the License Agreement. As provided under the MD Anderson License, the Company provided funding for research and development activities in support of the research programs under the Research and Development Agreement for a period of three years and in an amount of no less than \$15.0 million and no greater than \$20.0 million per year. On November 14, 2017, the Company entered into an amendment to the



Research and Development Agreement extending its term until April 15, 2021. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company made payments in the aggregate amount of \$2.7 million to MD Anderson compared to \$13.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2017. The decrease in cash paid to MD Anderson during the year ended December 31, 2018 as compared to the same period in the prior year is a result of the final quarterly payment being made to MD Anderson in January 2018 and the result of approved expenditures incurred by us being deducted from the January 2018 quarterly payment.

F-27

---

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

The net balance of cash resources on hand at MD Anderson available to offset expenses and future costs is \$27.8 million, of which \$18.4 million is included in other current assets and the remaining \$9.4 million is included in non-current assets at December 31, 2018.

The term of the MD Anderson License expires on the last to occur of (a) the expiration of all patents licensed thereunder, or (b) the twentieth anniversary of the date of the MD Anderson License; provided, however, that following the expiration of the term of the MD Anderson License, the Company, together with Precigen, shall then have a fully-paid up, royalty free, perpetual, irrevocable and sublicensable license to use the licensed intellectual property thereunder. After ten years from the date of the MD Anderson License and subject to a 90-day cure period, MD Anderson will have the right to convert the MD Anderson License into a non-exclusive license if ZIOPHARM and Precigen are not using commercially reasonable efforts to commercialize the licensed intellectual property on a case-by-case basis. After five years from the date of the MD Anderson License and subject to a 180-day cure period, MD Anderson will have the right to terminate the MD Anderson License with respect to specific technology(ies) funded by the government or subject to a third-party contract if the Company and Precigen are not meeting the diligence requirements in such funding agreement or contract, as applicable. MD Anderson may also terminate the agreement with written notice upon material breach by us and Precigen, if such breach has not been cured within 60 days of receiving such notice. In addition, the MD Anderson License will terminate upon the occurrence of certain insolvency events for both us and Precigen and may be terminated by the mutual written agreement of us, Precigen, and MD Anderson.

*Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) with the National Cancer Institute*

On January 10, 2017, the Company announced the signing of the CRADA with the NCI for the development of adoptive cell transfer, or ACT,-based immunotherapies genetically modified using the *Sleeping Beauty* transposon/transposase system to express TCRs for the treatment of solid tumors. The principal goal of the CRADA is to develop and evaluate ACT for patients with advanced cancers using autologous peripheral blood lymphocytes, or PBL, genetically modified using the non-viral *Sleeping Beauty* system to express TCRs that recognize neoantigens expressed within a patient's cancer. Research conducted under the CRADA will be at the direction of Steven A. Rosenberg, M.D., Ph.D., Chief of the Surgery Branch at the NCI, in collaboration with the Company's researchers and Precigen researchers. The remaining obligation, as of December 31, 2018, for the CRADA is \$2.5 million over the next year, payable in \$625 thousand payments on a quarterly basis. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company made payments of \$2.5 million, each year. In February 2019, the Company extended the CRADA with the NCI for two years, committing an additional \$5.0 million to this program (Note 3).

*Exclusive Channel Partner Agreement with Precigen for the Cancer Programs*

From 2011 to 2018, the Company was party to various arrangements with Intrexon (now Precigen) in which the Company used Precigen's technology to research and develop cancer treatments in return for various future profit sharing and royalty arrangements. These agreements were modified or terminated by the License Agreement

described in Note 7.

*Exclusive Channel Collaboration Agreement with Precigen for GvHD*

On September 28, 2015, the Company entered into the GvHD Agreement with Intrexon (now Precigen), under which the Company would use Precigen's technology directed towards *in vivo* expression of effectors to

F-28

**Table of Contents**

**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

research, develop and commercialize products for use in the treatment or prevention of GvHD. The GvHD Agreement granted the Company a worldwide license to use specified patents and other intellectual property of Precigen in connection with the research, development, use, importing, manufacture, sale, and offer for sale of products developed under the GvHD Agreement.

In November 2017, the Company determined that the pursuit of GvHD as an indication was not a material part of its corporate strategy and therefore stopped pursuing the development of engineered cell therapy strategies, used either separately or in combination, for targeted treatment of GvHD. At such time, the Company reverted the rights under the GvHD program back to Precigen.

*Ares Trading License and Collaboration Agreement*

On March 27, 2015, the Company, together with Intrexon (now Precigen), signed the Ares Trading Agreement, with Ares Trading S.A., a subsidiary of the biopharmaceutical business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany, through which the parties established a collaboration for the research and development and commercialization of certain products for the prophylactic, therapeutic, palliative or diagnostic use for cancer in humans.

Precigen was entitled to receive \$5.0 million, from Ares Trading, payable in equal quarterly installments over two years for each identified product candidate, which will be used to fund discovery work. The Company was responsible for costs exceeding the quarterly installments and all other costs of the preclinical research and development. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company expensed \$0.1 million under the Ares Trading Agreement. For the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company has expensed \$1.6 million under the Ares Trading Agreement, respectively. The Company did not incur any costs under the agreement for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Ares Trading paid a non-refundable upfront fee of \$115.0 million to Intrexon as consideration for entry into the Ares Trading Agreement. Pursuant to the ECP Amendment, the Company was entitled to receive 50% of the upfront fee, or \$57.5 million, which was received from Intrexon in July 2015.

Under the License Agreement, Precigen agreed to perform all future obligations of the Company under the Ares Trading Agreement other than certain payment obligations. Accordingly, the Company recognized the remaining deferred revenue as part of the settlement of related party relationships as described in Note 7.

*Patent and Technology License Agreement The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center and the Texas A&M University System*

On August 24, 2004, the Company entered into a patent and technology license agreement with MD Anderson and the Texas A&M University System, which the Company refers to, collectively, as the Licensors. Under this agreement, were granted an exclusive, worldwide license to rights (including rights to U.S. and foreign patent and patent applications and related improvements and know-how) for the manufacture and commercialization of two classes of

organic arsenicals (water- and lipid-based) for human and animal use. The class of water-based organic arsenicals includes darinaparsin.

The Company issued options to purchase 50,222 shares outside of its stock option plans following the successful completion of certain clinical milestones, of which 37,666 shares have vested. The remaining 12,556 shares vested upon enrollment of the first patient in a multi-center pivotal clinical trial *i.e.* a human clinical trial intended to provide the substantial evidence of efficacy necessary to support the filing of an approvable

---

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

New Drug Application, or NDA. An expense of \$87 thousand was charged to research and development expense for the vesting event which occurred in March 2016. This trial was initiated by Solasia Pharma K.K., or Solasia, on March 28, 2016 and triggered a \$1.0 million milestone payment to the Company from Solasia which was received in May 2016. An equivalent of \$1.0 million milestone payment was subsequently made to MD Anderson and reported net. In addition, the Licensors are entitled to receive certain milestone payments. In addition, the Company may be required to make additional payments to the Licensors (as defined in the MD Anderson License) upon achievement of certain other milestones in varying amounts which, on a cumulative basis could total up to an additional \$4.5 million. In addition, the Licensors are entitled to receive single digit percentage royalty payments on sales from a licensed product and will also be entitled to receive a portion of any fees that the Company may receive from a possible sublicense under certain circumstances.

*Collaboration Agreement with Solasia Pharma K.K.*

On March 7, 2011, the Company entered into a License and Collaboration Agreement with Solasia. Pursuant to the License and Collaboration Agreement, the Company granted Solasia an exclusive license to develop and commercialize darinaparsin in both intravenous and oral forms and related organic arsenic molecules, in all indications for human use in a pan-Asian/Pacific territory comprising Japan, China, Hong Kong, Macau, Republic of Korea, Taiwan, Singapore, Australia, New Zealand, Malaysia, Indonesia, Philippines and Thailand.

As consideration for the license, the Company received an upfront payment of \$5.0 million to be used exclusively for further clinical development of darinaparsin outside of the pan-Asian/Pacific territory and will be entitled to receive additional payments of up to \$32.5 million in development-based milestones and up to \$53.5 million in sales-based milestones. The Company will also be entitled to receive double digit royalty payments from Solasia based upon net sales of licensed products in the applicable territories, once commercialized, and a percentage of sublicense revenues generated by Solasia. The \$5.0 million upfront payment received in March 2011 was amortized over the period of the research and development effort, which was completed in March 2016.

On July 31, 2014, the Company entered into an amendment and restatement of the License and Collaboration Agreement granting Solasia an exclusive worldwide license to develop and commercialize darinaparsin, and related organoarsenic molecules, in both intravenous and oral forms in all indications for human use. In exchange, the Company will be eligible to receive from Solasia development- and sales-based milestones, a royalty on net sales of darinaparsin, once commercialized, and a percentage of any sublicense revenues generated by Solasia.

Solasia will be responsible for all costs related to the development, manufacturing and commercialization of darinaparsin. The Company's Licensors, as defined in the agreement, will receive a portion of all milestone and royalty payments made by Solasia to the Company in accordance with the terms of the license agreement with the Licensors.

On March 28, 2016, Solasia initiated a multi-center pivotal clinical trial intended to provide substantial evidence of efficacy necessary to support the filing of an application for an NDA for darinaparsin in certain of the territories

assigned to Solasia. The initiation of the trial on March 28, 2016 triggered a \$1.0 million milestone payment from Solasia to the Company which was received in May 2016. The Company subsequently made an equivalent payment to MD Anderson as the ultimate licensor of darinaparsin (see above).

F-30

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****8. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)***License Agreement with Baxter Healthcare S.A.*

On November 3, 2006, the Company entered into a definitive Asset Purchase Agreement for indibulin and a License Agreement to proprietary nanosuspension technology with affiliates of Baxter Healthcare S.A. The purchase included the entire indibulin intellectual property portfolio as well as existing drug substance and capsule inventories. The terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement included an upfront cash payment and an additional payment for existing inventory. During the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company made the final payment of \$250 thousand under the asset agreement. The Company is not actively pursuing the development of indibulin.

**9. Warrants**

The Company assesses whether an equity classified financial instrument is indexed to an entity's own stock for purposes of determining whether a financial instrument should be treated as a derivative.

In connection with the November 2018 financing (Note 2), the Company issued warrants to purchase an aggregate of 18,939,394 shares of common stock which are exercisable six months after the closing. The warrants have an exercise price of \$3.01 per share and have a five-year term. The relative fair value of the warrants was estimated at \$18.4 million using a Black-Scholes model with the following assumptions: expected volatility of 71%, risk free interest rate of 2.99%, expected life of five years and no dividends.

The Company assessed whether the warrants require accounting as derivatives. The Company determined that the warrants were (1) indexed to the Company's own stock and (2) classified in stockholders' equity in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*. As such, the Company has concluded the warrants meet the scope exception for determining whether the instruments require accounting as derivatives and should be classified in stockholders' equity.

**10. Income Taxes**

There is no provision for income taxes because the Company has incurred operating losses since inception. The reported amount of income tax expense for the years differs from the amount that would result from applying domestic federal statutory tax rates to pretax losses primarily because of the changes in the valuation allowance. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>



Edgar Filing: ZIOPHARM ONCOLOGY INC - Form 10-K

Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 106,430	\$ 89,098
Start-up and organizational costs	33,977	37,488
Research and development credit carryforwards	33,684	32,395
Stock compensation	990	1,330
Capitalized acquisition costs	5,160	5,822
Deferred revenue		11,126
Depreciation	132	136
Other	920	993
	181,293	178,388
Less valuation allowance	(181,293)	(178,388)
Effective tax rate	\$	\$

F-31

---

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. At December 31, 2018, the Company has aggregate net operating loss carryforwards for federal tax purposes of approximately \$403.0 million and \$344.0 million for Federal and state purposes, respectively, available to offset future federal and state taxable income to the extent permitted under the Internal Revenue Code, or IRC, expiring in varying amounts through 2038.

Additionally, the Company has approximately \$34.0 million of research and development credits at December 31, 2018, expiring in varying amounts through 2038, which may be available to reduce future taxes.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting (ASU 2016-09), which is intended to simplify several aspects of accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax effects, statutory withholding requirements, forfeitures, and classification on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-09 is effective for annual reporting periods after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within each annual reporting period. The Company adopted this standard on January 1, 2017. The update revises requirements in the following areas: minimum statutory withholding, accounting for income taxes, and forfeitures. Prior to adoption, the Company recognized share-based compensation, net of estimated forfeitures, over the vesting period of the grant. Upon adoption of ASU 2016-09, the Company elected to change its accounting policy to recognize forfeitures as they occur. The new forfeiture policy election was adopted using a modified retrospective approach with a cumulative effect adjustment of \$122 thousand recorded to retained earnings as of January 1, 2017. The update requires the Company to recognize the income tax effect of awards in the income statement when the awards vest or are settled without triggering a liability. The income tax related items had no effect on the current period presentation and the Company maintains a full valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets. As a result, an accumulated excess tax benefit of 10.2 million was recognized as a deferred tax asset with a full valuation allowance against it. Additionally, the Company continued to estimate the number of awards expected to be vested. The adoption had no material impact on the Company's financial statements for the 2017 tax year or the interim periods within.

In May 2014, the FASB issued an accounting standard update which provides for new revenue recognition guidance, superseding nearly all prior revenue recognition guidance. The new revenue standard outlines a single comprehensive model for accounting for revenue from contracts with customers and requires more detailed revenue disclosures.

The Company adopted the new revenue standard on January 1, 2018 and as a result of the adoption increased deferred revenue by \$8.1 million and decreased retained earnings by the same amount. Previously the Company had recorded revenue of \$15.9 million and had deferred revenue of \$41.5 million at December 31, 2017. The increase in the deferred revenue represented the recapture of revenue that was previously recorded and taxed. There was no impact to tax as the increase to the deferred tax asset was fully offset by the Company's full valuation allowance.

Under the IRC Section 382, certain substantial changes in the Company's ownership may limit the amount of net operating loss carryforwards that can be utilized in any one year to offset future taxable income.

Section 382 of the IRC provides limits to which a corporation that has undergone a change in ownership (as defined) can utilize any net operating loss, or NOL, and general business tax credit carryforwards it may have. The Company commissioned an analysis to determine whether Section 382 could limit the use of its carryforwards in this manner. After completing the analysis, it was determined an ownership change had occurred in February 2007. As a result of this change, the Company's NOLs and general business tax credits

F-32

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

from February 23, 2007 and prior would be completely limited under IRC Section 382. The deferred tax assets related to NOLs and general business credits have been reduced by \$11.2 million and \$636 thousand, respectively, as a result of the change. The Company updated the IRC Section 382 analysis through December 31, 2018. There was no change in ownership at this time.

The Company has provided a valuation allowance for the full amount of these net deferred tax assets, since it is more likely than not that these future benefits will not be realized. However, these deferred tax assets may be available to offset future income tax liabilities and expenses. The valuation allowance decreased by \$2.9 million in 2018 primarily due to net operating loss carryforwards and the increase in research and development credits.

Income taxes using the federal statutory income tax rate differ from the Company's effective tax rate primarily due to non-deductible expenses related to the Company's issuance of preferred stock along with the change in the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets.

A reconciliation of income tax expense (benefit) at the statutory federal income tax rate and income taxes as reflected in the financial statements is as follows:

<i>(in thousands)</i>	<b>Year Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>2016</b>
Federal income tax at statutory rates	21%	34%	34%
State income tax, net of federal tax benefit	4%	4%	1%
Research and development credits	2%	3%	3%
Stock compensation	-1%	-1%	-1%
Channel rights	0%	0%	-25%
Research and development true-up	0%	-7%	0%
Officers compensation	-1%	-2%	0%
Other	-2%	-3%	0%
Federal rate change	3%	-124%	0%
Change in valuation allowance	-26%	96%	-12%
<b>Effective tax rate</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>

The Company adopted ASC 740, *Accounting for Uncertain Tax Positions* on January 1, 2007. ASC 740 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. ASC 740 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The Company did not establish any additional reserves for uncertain tax liabilities upon adoption of ASC 740. There were no adjustments to its uncertain tax positions in the

years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016.

The Company has not recognized any interest and penalties in the statement of operations because of the Company's net operating losses and tax credits that are available to be carried forward. When necessary, the Company will account for interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as part of its provision for federal and state income taxes. The Company does not expect the amounts of unrecognized benefits will change significantly within the next twelve months.

The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the Internal Revenue Service and state jurisdictions for the years ended December 31, 1999 through 2018.

F-33

---

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****10. Income Taxes (Continued)**

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, or the Tax Act, was enacted in December 2017. The act significantly changes US tax law by, among other things, lowering US corporate income tax rates, implementing a territorial tax system, and imposing a one-time transition tax on deemed repatriated earnings of foreign subsidiaries. The Tax Act reduces the US corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21%, effective January 1, 2018. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse. As a result of the reduction in the US corporate tax rate from 35% to 21% under the Tax Act, the Company revalued its ending net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2017. There was no impact as a result of the revaluation of the deferred tax assets as the calculated provisional tax benefit of approximately \$67.0 million was offset by the Company's subsequent change in valuation allowance. There was no impact to the Company with regards to the implementation of the territorial tax system as the Company has no foreign subsidiaries.

**11. Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)**

On April 26, 2006, the date of the Company's annual stockholders meeting that year, the shareholders approved the adoption of an Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation pursuant to which the Company has 280,000,000 shares of authorized capital stock, of which 250,000,000 shares are designated as common stock (par value \$0.001 per share), and 30,000,000 shares are designated as preferred stock (par value \$0.001 per share).

**Common Stock**

On November 11, 2018, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with certain institutional and accredited investors, pursuant to which the Company agreed to issue and sell to the Investors an aggregate of 18,939,394 immediately separable units, with each unit being composed of (i) one share of the Company's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, and (ii) a warrant to purchase one share of common stock, at a price per unit of \$2.64, for net proceeds of approximately \$47.1 million.

On May 11, 2017, the Company sold in an underwritten offering an aggregate of 9,708,738 shares of its common stock. The price to the investor in the offering was \$5.15 per share, and the underwriters agreed to purchase the shares from the Company pursuant to the Company's registration statement on Form S-3ASR (File No. 333-201826) previously filed with the SEC, and a prospectus supplement thereunder. The net proceeds from the offering were approximately \$47.3 million after deducting underwriting commissions and estimated offering expenses payable by the Company.

**Preferred Stock**

The Company's Board of Directors are authorized to designate any series of Preferred Stock, to fix and determine the variations in relative rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions as between and among such series.

On June 29, 2016, the Company entered into the 2016 ECP Amendment and 2016 GvHD Amendment with Intrexon (now Precigen) (Note 8). In consideration for the execution and delivery of the 2016 ECP Amendment and the 2016 GvHD Amendment, the Company issued to Intrexon 100,000 shares of its newly designated Series 1 preferred stock. Each share of the Company's Series 1 preferred stock had a stated value of \$1,200, subject to appropriate adjustment in the event of any stock dividend, stock split, combination or other recapitalization. The Series 1 preferred stock had certain rights, preferences, privileges and obligations, including dividend rights, conversion rights, consent rights with respect to certain Company actions, and rights to

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****11. Preferred Stock and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) (Continued)**

preferential payments in the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company or a change of control or sale, lease, transfer or exclusive license of all or substantially all of the Company's assets prior to the conversion of the Series 1 preferred stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company and Precigen entered into the License Agreement to replace all existing agreements between the companies that will provide Ziopharm with certain exclusive and non-exclusive rights to technology controlled by Precigen. The License Agreement was dated October 5, 2018. In consideration of the Company entering into the License Agreement, Intrexon forfeited and returned to the Company all shares of the Company's Series 1 preferred stock held by or payable to Intrexon as of the date of the License Agreement. (Notes 6 and 7)

**12. Derivative Financial Instruments**

The Company determined that certain embedded features related to the Series 1 preferred stock were derivative financial instruments. The company values the embedded derivative financial instruments related to the Series 1 preferred stock as Level 3 financial liabilities (Note 3).

On October 5, 2018, the Company entered into the License Agreement with Precigen. In partial consideration for the termination of the former agreements, the companies agreed that Intrexon would forfeit all outstanding shares of the Series 1 preferred stock held by Intrexon, including any accrued dividends.

The change in the derivative liability for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 consists of the following:

	Fair Value
Balance, June 30, 2016	\$ 694
Dividends	44
Change in fair value	124
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$ 862
Dividends	267
Change in fair value	1,295
Balance, December 31, 2017	\$ 2,424
Dividends	223
Change in fair value	(158)



Settlement of a related party relationship	(2,489)
--	---------

Balance, December 31, 2018	\$
----------------------------	----

The fair value of the Series 1 preferred stock dividends was estimated using a probability-weighted approach and a Monte Carlo simulation model. The fair value of the embedded derivatives was estimated using the with and without method where the preferred stock was first valued with all of its features ( with scenario) and then without derivatives subject to the valuation analysis ( without scenario). The fair value of the derivatives was then estimated as the difference between the fair value of the preferred stock in the with scenario and the preferred stock in the without scenario. The model also takes into account, management estimates of clinical success/failure based upon market studies and probability of potential conversion and liquidation events. If these

F-35

Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****12. Derivative Financial Instruments (Continued)**

estimates were different, the valuations would change, and that change could be material. Inputs to the models included the following:

	<b>December 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
Risk-free interest rate	2.50 - 3.13%	1.92 - 2.12%
Expected dividend rate	0	0
Expected volatility	77.6 - 82.4%	68.7 - 80.4%
Preferred stock conversion limit percentage of outstanding common stock	19.90%	19.90%
Preferred conversion floor price	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00

See Note 3 for additional discussion regarding the accounting for and valuation of these derivative financial instruments.

**13. Stock Option Plan**

The Company adopted the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2012 Plan, in May 2012. Including subsequent increases, the Company has reserved 14.0 million shares for issuance. At December 31, 2018, there are 5,284,988 shares reserved for issuance and 3,895,923 available for future grant.

As of December 31, 2018, the Company had outstanding options to its employees to purchase up to 4,146,135 shares of the Company's common stock, to its directors to purchase up to 1,120,950 shares of the Company's common stock, as well as options to consultants in connection with services rendered to purchase up to 10,000 shares of the Company's common stock.

Stock options to employees generally vest ratably in annual installments over three years, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date and have contractual terms of ten years. Stock options to directors generally vest ratably over one or three years and have contractual terms of ten years. Stock options are valued using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and compensation is recognized based on such fair value over the period of vesting on a straight-line basis. The Company has also reserved an aggregate of 526,364 additional shares for issuance under options granted outside of the 2003 and 2012 Plans.

Proceeds from the option exercises during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 amounted to \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.7 million respectively. The intrinsic value of these options amounted to \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million for years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.



Table of Contents**ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****13. Stock Option Plan (Continued)**

Transactions under the 2012 Plan for the years ending December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016 were as follows:

<i>(in thousands, except share and per share data)</i>	<b>Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Contractual Term (Years)</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</b>
Outstanding, December 31, 2015	3,481,468	\$ 4.96		
Granted	362,800	6.40		
Exercised	(234,833)	4.57		
Cancelled	(144,100)	6.43		
Outstanding, December 31, 2016	3,465,335	5.07		
Granted	688,800	5.27		
Exercised	(180,000)	3.67		
Cancelled	(122,000)	6.64		
Outstanding, December 31, 2017	3,852,135	5.12		
Granted	1,744,950	2.35		
Exercised	(104,167)	2.30		
Cancelled	(215,833)	5.72		
Outstanding, December 31, 2018	5,277,085	\$ 4.24	6.86	\$ 88
Options exercisable, December 31, 2018	3,099,935	\$ 5.15	4.93	\$ 88
Options exercisable, December 31, 2017	2,925,502	\$ 5.12	5.58	\$ 1,152
Options available for future grant at December 31, 2018	3,895,923			

In September 2017, the Company granted an option for 500,000 shares of its common stock, with an exercise price of \$6.16 per share, which vests ratably in annual installments over three years, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date and has a contractual term of ten years. This option was granted outside of the 2012 plan and therefore, is not included in the table above. The grant date fair value was \$2.2 million. As of December 31, 2018, all 500,000 options are outstanding.

At December 31, 2018, total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested stock options outstanding amounted to \$5.1 million. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.53 years.

*Restricted Stock*

In December 2018, the Company issued 30,000 shares of restricted stock to its employees, which vest ratably in annual installments over three years, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date. In December 2017, the Company issued 838,000 shares of restricted stock to its employees, which vest ratably in annual installments over three years, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date. In December 2016, the Company issued 625,750 shares of restricted stock to its employees, which vest ratably in annual installments over three years, commencing on the first anniversary of the grant date. In December 2018, the Company issued 120,321 shares of restricted stock to its non-employee directors, which vest in their entirety on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. In December 2017, the Company issued 69,032 shares of restricted stock to its non-employee directors, which vest in their entirety on the one-year anniversary of the grant date. In December 2016, the Company issued 86,020 shares of restricted stock to its non-employee directors, which vest in their entirety on the one-year anniversary of the grant date.

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****13. Stock Option Plan (Continued)**

In the year ended December 31, 2018, the Company repurchased 514,349 shares at average prices ranging from \$1.70 to 4.41 to cover payroll taxes. In the year ended December 31, 2017, the Company repurchased 394,269 shares at average prices ranging from \$4.14 to \$7.12 to cover payroll taxes. In the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company repurchased 133,656 shares at average prices ranging from \$5.35 to \$7.74 to cover payroll taxes. A summary of the status of non-vested restricted stock as of December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

	<b>Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value</b>
Non-vested, December 31, 2015	1,586,388	\$ 9.00
Granted	711,770	5.35
Vested	(617,666)	8.90
Cancelled		
Non-vested, December 31, 2016	1,680,492	7.49
Granted	907,032	4.14
Vested	(778,965)	7.66
Cancelled		
Non-vested, December 31, 2017	1,808,559	5.74
Granted	150,321	1.87
Vested	(1,005,377)	6.62
Cancelled	(271,433)	5.00
Non-vested, December 31, 2018	682,070	\$ 3.47

As of December 31, 2018, there was \$2.6 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested restricted stock arrangements. The expense is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.33 years.

**14. Employee Benefit Plan**

The Company sponsors a qualified 401(k) retirement plan under which employees are allowed to contribute certain percentages of their pay, up to the maximum allowed under Section 401(k) of the IIRC. The Company may make contributions to this plan at its discretion. The Company contributed approximately \$329 thousand, \$90 thousand, and \$75 thousand to this plan during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively.

F-38

**Table of Contents****ZIOPHARM Oncology, Inc.****NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****15. Selected Quarterly Information (Unaudited)**  
**(in thousands, except per share amount)**

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2018</b>				
Revenue	\$ 146	\$	\$	\$
Total operating expenses	16,342	12,378	12,570	12,762
Loss from operations	(16,196)	(12,378)	(12,570)	(12,762)
Preferred stock dividends	(5,120)	(5,462)	(6,074)	(342)
Settlement of a related party relationship				207,361
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	(21,540)	(17,493)	(18,659)	194,538
Net income (loss) per share, basic	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.13)	\$ 1.29
Net income (loss) per share, diluted	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.13)	\$ 1.29
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
<b>Year Ended December 31, 2017</b>				
Revenue	\$ 1,597	\$ 1,597	\$ 1,598	\$ 1,597
Total operating expenses	15,562	14,611	14,676	15,033
Loss from operations	(13,965)	(13,014)	(13,078)	(13,436)
Preferred stock dividends	(4,171)	(4,865)	(4,903)	(4,999)
Net (loss) applicable to common shareholders	(19,658)	(17,727)	(17,604)	(18,272)
Loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.13)	\$ (0.12)

F-39