

ENTERGY CORP /DE/
Form 10-Q
November 07, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2008

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

| Commission File Number | Registrant, State of Incorporation, Address of Principal Executive Offices, Telephone Number, and IRS Employer Identification No. | Commission File Number | Registrant, State of Incorporation, Address of Principal Executive Offices, Telephone Number, and IRS Employer Identification No. |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| 1-11299 | ENTERGY CORPORATION (a Delaware corporation) 639 Loyola Avenue New Orleans, Louisiana 70113 Telephone (504) 576-4000 72-1229752 | 1-31508 | ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC. (a Mississippi corporation) 308 East Pearl Street Jackson, Mississippi 39201 Telephone (601) 368-5000 64-0205830 |
| 1-10764 | ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC. (an Arkansas corporation) 425 West Capitol Avenue Little Rock, Arkansas 72201 Telephone (501) 377-4000 71-0005900 | 0-5807 | ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC. (a Louisiana corporation) 1600 Perdido Street, Building 505 New Orleans, Louisiana 70112 Telephone (504) 670-3700 72-0273040 |
| 333-148557 | ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C. (a Louisiana limited liability company) 446 North Boulevard Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802 Telephone (800) 368-3749 | 000-53134 | ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. (a Texas corporation) 350 Pine Street Beaumont, Texas 77701 Telephone (409) 838-6631 61-1435798 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

74-0662730

1-32718 ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
(a Texas limited liability company)
446 North Boulevard
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70802
Telephone (225) 381-5868
75-3206126

1-9067 SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES,
INC.
(an Arkansas corporation)
Echelon One
1340 Echelon Parkway
Jackson, Mississippi 39213
Telephone (601) 368-5000
72-0752777

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

| | Large accelerated filer | Accelerated filer | Non-accelerated filer | Smaller reporting company |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Entergy Corporation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | |
| Entergy Arkansas, Inc. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Entergy Louisiana, LLC | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Entergy Mississippi, Inc. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Entergy New Orleans, Inc. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| Entergy Texas, Inc. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| System Energy Resources, Inc. | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes ☐

No ☒

Common Stock Outstanding

Entergy Corporation

(\$0.01 par value)

Outstanding at October 31, 2008

189,330,159

Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Texas, Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc. separately file this combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Each company reports herein only as to itself and makes no other representations whatsoever as to any other company. This combined Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q supplements and updates the Entergy Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2007, the Entergy Texas Form 10, and the Entergy and Entergy Texas Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters

ended March 31, 2008 and June 30, 2008, filed by the individual registrants with the SEC, and should be read in conjunction therewith.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
September 30, 2008

Page
Number

| | |
|--|----|
| Definitions | 1 |
| Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries | |
| Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis | |
| Plan to Pursue Separation of Non-Utility Nuclear | 3 |
| Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike | 6 |
| Results of Operations | 7 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 14 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 21 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 26 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 26 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income | 27 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 28 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 30 |
| Consolidated Statements of Retained Earnings, Comprehensive Income, and Paid-In Capital | 32 |
| Selected Operating Results | 34 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | 35 |
| Part I. Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 62 |
| Entergy Arkansas, Inc. | |
| Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis | |
| Results of Operations | 63 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 6 |
| | 6 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 6 |
| | 7 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 70 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 70 |
| Income Statements | 71 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 73 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Balance Sheets | 74 |
| Selected Operating Results | 76 |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C. | |
| Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis | |
| Jurisdictional Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas | 77 |
| Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike | 77 |
| Results of Operations | 78 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 82 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 86 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 87 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 87 |
| Income Statements | 88 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 89 |
| Balance Sheets | 90 |
| Statements of Members' Equity and Comprehensive Income | 92 |
| Selected Operating Results | 93 |
| Entergy Louisiana, LLC | |
| Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis | |
| Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike | 94 |
| Results of Operations | 94 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 98 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 102 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 103 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 103 |

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
September 30, 2008

| | |
|--|------------------------------|
| | <u>Page</u> <u>Number</u> |
| Income Statements | 104 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 105 |
| Balance Sheets | 106 |
| Statements of Members' Equity and Comprehensive Income | 108 |
| Selected Operating Results | 109 |
| Entergy Mississippi, Inc. | |
| Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis | |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|-----|
| Results of Operations | 110 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 113 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 115 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 116 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 116 |
| Income Statements | 117 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 119 |
| Balance Sheets | 120 |
| Selected Operating Results | 122 |
| Entergy New Orleans, Inc. | |
| Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis | |
| Hurricane Katrina | 123 |
| Hurricane Gustav | 123 |
| Results of Operations | 124 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 127 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 128 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 129 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 129 |
| Income Statements | 130 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 131 |
| Balance Sheets | 132 |
| Selected Operating Results | 134 |
| Entergy Texas, Inc. | |
| Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis | |
| Jurisdictional Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas | 135 |
| Hurricane Ike | 135 |
| Results of Operations | 135 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 139 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 141 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 142 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 142 |
| Consolidated Income Statements | 143 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 145 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 146 |
| Consolidated Statements of Retained Earnings and Paid-in-Capital | 148 |
| Selected Operating Results | 149 |
| System Energy Resources, Inc. | |

Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis

| | |
|---|-----|
| Results of Operations | 150 |
| Liquidity and Capital Resources | 150 |
| Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties | 151 |
| Critical Accounting Estimates | 152 |
| New Accounting Pronouncements | 152 |

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
INDEX TO QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
September 30, 2008

Page
Number

| | |
|---|-----|
| Income Statements | 153 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 155 |
| Balance Sheets | 156 |
| Part II. Other Information | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 158 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 158 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 158 |
| Item 5. Other Information | 159 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 165 |
| Signature | 167 |

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

In this combined report and from time to time, Entergy Corporation and the Registrant Subsidiaries each makes statements as a registrant concerning its expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, strategies, and future events or performance. Such statements are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "believes," "intends," "plans," "predicts," "estimates," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements but are not the only means to identify these statements. Although each of these registrants believes that these forward-looking statements and the underlying assumptions are reasonable, it cannot provide assurance that they will prove correct. Any forward-looking statement is based on information current as of the date of this combined report and speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made. Except to the extent required by the federal securities laws, these registrants undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties. There are factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements, including those factors discussed or incorporated by reference in (a) Item 1A. Risk Factors in the Form 10-K and the Entergy Texas Form 10,

(b) Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis in the Form 10-K, the Entergy Texas Form 10, and in this report, and (c) the following factors (in addition to others described elsewhere in this combined report and in subsequent securities filings):

- resolution of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including various performance-based rate discussions and implementation of Texas restructuring legislation, and other regulatory proceedings, including those related to Entergy's System Agreement, Entergy's utility supply plan, recovery of storm costs, and recovery of fuel and purchased power costs
- changes in utility regulation, including the beginning or end of retail and wholesale competition, the ability to recover net utility assets and other potential stranded costs, the operations of the independent coordinator of transmission that includes Entergy's utility service territory, and the application of more stringent transmission reliability requirements or market power criteria by the FERC
- changes in regulation of nuclear generating facilities and nuclear materials and fuel, including possible shutdown of nuclear generating facilities, particularly those in the Non-Utility Nuclear business
- resolution of pending or future applications for license renewals or modifications of nuclear generating facilities
- the performance of Entergy's generating plants, and particularly the capacity factors at its nuclear generating facilities
- Entergy's ability to develop and execute on a point of view regarding future prices of electricity, natural gas, and other energy-related commodities
- prices for power generated by Entergy's unregulated generating facilities, the ability to hedge, sell power forward or otherwise reduce the market price risk associated with those facilities, including the Non-Utility Nuclear plants, and the prices and availability of fuel and power Entergy must purchase for its utility customers, and Entergy's ability to meet credit support requirements for fuel and power supply contracts
- volatility and changes in markets for electricity, natural gas, uranium, and other energy-related commodities
- changes in law resulting from federal energy legislation
- changes in environmental, tax, and other laws, including requirements for reduced emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, carbon, mercury, and other substances
- uncertainty regarding the establishment of interim or permanent sites for spent nuclear fuel and nuclear waste storage and disposal
- variations in weather and the occurrence of hurricanes and other storms and disasters, including uncertainties associated with efforts to remediate the effects of hurricanes (including most recently, Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike) and recovery of costs associated with restoration, including accessing funded storm reserves, federal and local recovery mechanisms, securitization, and insurance.
- Entergy's and its subsidiaries' ability to manage their capital projects and operation and maintenance costs
- Entergy's ability to purchase and sell assets at attractive prices and on other attractive terms
- the economic climate, and particularly growth in Entergy's service territory
- the effects of Entergy's strategies to reduce tax payments

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION (Concluded)

- changes in the financial markets, particularly those affecting the availability of capital and Entergy's ability to refinance existing debt, execute its share repurchase program, and fund investments and acquisitions
- actions of rating agencies, including changes in the ratings of debt and preferred stock, changes in general corporate ratings, and changes in the rating agencies' ratings criteria
- changes in inflation and interest rates
- the effect of litigation and government investigations
- advances in technology
- the potential effects of threatened or actual terrorism and war
- Entergy's ability to attract and retain talented management and directors
- changes in accounting standards and corporate governance

- and the following transactional factors (in addition to others described elsewhere in this and in subsequent securities filings): (i) risks inherent in the contemplated Non-Utility Nuclear spin-off, joint venture and related transactions (including the level of debt incurred by the spun-off company and the terms and costs related thereto); (ii) legislative and regulatory actions; and (iii) conditions of the capital markets during the periods covered by the forward-looking statements. Entergy Corporation cannot provide any assurances that the spin-off or any of the proposed transactions related thereto will be completed, nor can it give assurances as to the terms on which such transactions will be consummated. The transaction is subject to certain conditions precedent, including regulatory approvals and the final approval by the Board.

(Page left blank intentionally)

DEFINITIONS

Certain abbreviations or acronyms used in the text and notes are defined below:

| <u>Abbreviation or Acronym</u> | <u>Term</u> |
|--------------------------------|--|
| AEEC | Arkansas Electric Energy Consumers |
| AFUDC | Allowance for Funds Used During Construction |
| ALJ | Administrative Law Judge |
| ANO 1 and 2 | Units 1 and 2 of Arkansas Nuclear One Steam Electric Generating Station (nuclear), owned by Entergy Arkansas |
| APSC | Arkansas Public Service Commission |
| Board | Board of Directors of Entergy Corporation |
| capacity factor | Actual plant output divided by maximum potential plant output for the period |
| City Council or Council | Council of the City of New Orleans, Louisiana |
| Enexus | Enexus Energy Corporation, a Delaware corporation created to hold Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business after its separation from Entergy |
| Entergy | Entergy Corporation and its direct and indirect subsidiaries |

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Entergy Corporation | Entergy Corporation, a Delaware corporation |
| Entergy Gulf States, Inc. | Predecessor company for financial reporting purposes to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana that included the assets and business operations of both Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., a company created in connection with the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. and the successor company to Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for financial reporting purposes. The term is also used to refer to the Louisiana jurisdictional business of Entergy Gulf States, Inc., as the context requires. |
| Entergy-Koch | Entergy-Koch, LP, a joint venture equally owned by subsidiaries of Entergy and Koch Industries, Inc. |
| Entergy Texas | Entergy Texas, Inc., a company created in connection with the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. The term is also used to refer to the Texas jurisdictional business of Entergy Gulf States, Inc., as the context requires. |
| Entergy Texas Form 10 | Registration Statement on Form 10 filed with the SEC by Entergy Texas, as amended August 28, 2008. |
| EPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| EquaGen | EquaGen, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that will become the successor to Entergy Nuclear, Inc. and will operate Non-Utility Nuclear's nuclear power plants as part of the plan to separate Non-Utility Nuclear from Entergy |
| ERCOT | Electric Reliability Council of Texas |
| FASB | Financial Accounting Standards Board |
| FERC | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| firm LD | Transaction that requires receipt or delivery of energy at a specified delivery point (usually at a market hub not associated with a specific asset) or settles financially on notional quantities; if a party fails to deliver or receive energy, the defaulting party must compensate the other party as specified in the contract |
| Form 10-K | Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ended December 31, 2007 filed by Entergy Corporation and its Registrant Subsidiaries (other than Entergy Texas) with the SEC |
| Grand Gulf | Unit No. 1 of Grand Gulf Steam Electric Generating Station (nuclear), 90% owned or leased by System Energy |
| GWh | Gigawatt-hour(s), which equals one million kilowatt-hours |
| Independence | Independence Steam Electric Station (coal), owned 16% by Entergy Arkansas, 25% by Entergy Mississippi, and 7% by Entergy Power |

DEFINITIONS (Continued)

| | |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| IRS | Internal Revenue Service |
| ISO | Independent System Operator |
| kW | Kilowatt |
| kWh | Kilowatt-hour(s) |
| LPSC | Louisiana Public Service Commission |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| MMBtu | One million British Thermal Units |
| MPSC | Mississippi Public Service Commission |
| MW | Megawatt(s), which equals one thousand kilowatt(s) |
| MWh | Megawatt-hour(s) |
| Net debt ratio | Gross debt less cash and cash equivalents divided by total capitalization less cash and cash equivalents |
| Net MW in operation | Installed capacity owned or operated |
| Non-Utility Nuclear | Entergy's business segment that owns and operates six nuclear power plants and sells electric power produced by those plants to wholesale customers |
| NRC | Nuclear Regulatory Commission |
| NYPA | New York Power Authority |
| PPA | Purchased power agreement |
| production cost | Cost in \$/MMBtu associated with delivering gas, excluding the cost of the gas |
| PUCT | Public Utility Commission of Texas |
| PUHCA 1935 | Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended |
| PUHCA 2005 | Public Utility Holding Company Act of 2005, which repealed PUHCA 1935, among other things |
| Registrant Subsidiaries | Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C., Entergy Louisiana, LLC, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., Entergy New Orleans, Inc., Entergy Texas, Inc., and System Energy Resources, Inc. |
| River Bend | River Bend Steam Electric Generating Station (nuclear), owned by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana |
| SEC | Securities and Exchange Commission |
| SFAS | Statement of Financial Accounting Standards as promulgated by the FASB |
| System Agreement | Agreement, effective January 1, 1983, as modified, among the Utility operating companies relating to the sharing of generating capacity and other power resources |
| System Energy | System Energy Resources, Inc. |
| TIEC | Texas Industrial Energy Consumers |
| TWh | Terawatt-hour(s), which equals one billion kilowatt-hours |
| unit-contingent | Transaction under which power is supplied from a specific generation asset; if the asset is unavailable, the seller is not liable to the buyer for any damages |
| Unit Power Sales Agreement | Agreement, dated as of June 10, 1982, as amended and approved by FERC, among Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and System Energy, relating to the sale of capacity and energy from System Energy's share of Grand Gulf |
| Utility | Entergy's business segment that generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electric power, with a small amount of natural gas distribution |
| Utility operating companies | Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas |
| VDPS | Vermont Department of Public Service |
| VPSB | Vermont Public Service Board |
| Waterford 3 | Unit No. 3 (nuclear) of the Waterford Steam Electric Generating Station, 100% owned or leased by Entergy Louisiana |
| weather-adjusted usage | Electric usage excluding the effects of deviations from normal weather |

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Entergy operates primarily through two business segments: Utility and Non-Utility Nuclear.

- **Utility**

generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electric power in a four-state service territory that includes portions of Arkansas, Mississippi, Texas, and Louisiana, including the City of New Orleans; and operates a small natural gas distribution business.

- **Non-Utility Nuclear**

owns and operates six nuclear power plants located in the northern United States and sells the electric power produced by those plants primarily to wholesale customers. This business also provides services to other nuclear power plant owners.

In addition to its two primary, reportable, operating segments, Entergy also operates the non-nuclear wholesale assets business. The non-nuclear wholesale assets business sells to wholesale customers the electric power produced by power plants that it owns while it focuses on improving performance and exploring sales or restructuring opportunities for its power plants.

Plan to Pursue Separation of Non-Utility Nuclear

In November 2007, the Board approved a plan to pursue a separation of the Non-Utility Nuclear business from Entergy through a tax-free spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business to Entergy shareholders. Upon completion of the spin-off, Enexus Energy Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Entergy and formerly referred to as SpinCo, will be a new, separate, and publicly-traded company. In addition, under the plan, Enexus and Entergy are expected to enter into a nuclear services business joint venture, EquaGen LLC, with 50% ownership by Enexus and 50% ownership by Entergy. The EquaGen board of managers will be comprised of equal membership from both Entergy and Enexus.

Upon completion of the spin-off, Entergy Corporation's shareholders will own 100% of the common stock in both Enexus and Entergy. Entergy expects that Enexus' business will be substantially comprised of Non-Utility Nuclear's assets, including its six nuclear power plants, and Non-Utility Nuclear's power marketing operation. Entergy Corporation's remaining business will primarily be comprised of the Utility business. EquaGen is expected to operate the nuclear assets owned by Enexus, and provide certain services to the Utility's nuclear operations. EquaGen is also expected to offer nuclear services to third parties, including decommissioning, plant relicensing, plant operations, and ancillary services.

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., the current NRC-licensed operator of the Non-Utility Nuclear plants, filed an application in July 2007 with the NRC seeking indirect transfer of control of the operating licenses for the six Non-Utility Nuclear power plants, and supplemented that application in December 2007 to incorporate the planned business separation. Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., which is expected to be wholly-owned by EquaGen, will

remain the operator of the plants after the separation. Entergy Operations, Inc., the current NRC-licensed operator of Entergy's five Utility nuclear plants, will remain a wholly-owned subsidiary of Entergy and will continue to be the operator of the Utility nuclear plants. In the December 2007 supplement to the NRC application, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. provided additional information regarding the spin-off transaction, organizational structure, technical and financial qualifications, and general corporate information. The NRC published a notice in the Federal Register establishing a period for the public to submit a request for hearing or petition to intervene in a hearing proceeding. The NRC notice period expired on February 5, 2008 and two petitions to intervene in the hearing proceeding were filed before the deadline. Each of the petitions opposes the NRC's approval of the license transfer on various grounds, including contentions that the approval request is not adequately supported regarding the basis for the proposed structure, the adequacy of decommissioning funding, and the adequacy of financial qualifications. Entergy submitted answers to the petitions on March 31 and April 8. On August 22, 2008, the NRC issued an order denying all of the petitions to intervene based upon the petitioners' failure to demonstrate the requisite standing to pursue their hearing requests. One of the petitioner groups filed a motion for reconsideration on September 4, 2008 and on September 15,

2008, Entergy filed a response opposing the motion for

reconsideration. On September 23, 2008, the NRC issued an order denying the motion for reconsideration based upon several procedural errors.

Because resolution of any hearing requests is not a prerequisite to obtaining the required NRC approval, on July 28, 2008, the NRC staff approved the license transfers associated with the new ownership structure of EquaGen, the licensed operator, as well as the transfers to Enexus of the NRC licenses for Big Rock Point, FitzPatrick, Indian Point Units 1, 2 and 3, Palisades, Pilgrim and Vermont Yankee. The review conducted by the NRC staff included matters such as the financial and technical qualifications of the new organizations, as well as decommissioning funding assurance. In connection with the NRC approvals, Enexus agreed to enter into a financial support agreement with the entities licensed to own the nuclear power plants in the total amount of \$700 million to support the operating costs for all six operating nuclear power plants.

Pursuant to Federal Power Act Section 203, on February 21, 2008, an application was filed with the FERC requesting approval for the indirect disposition and transfer of control of jurisdictional facilities of a public utility. In June 2008 the FERC issued an order authorizing the requested indirect disposition and transfer of control.

On January 28, 2008, Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. requested approval from the Vermont Public Service Board (VPSB) for the indirect transfer of control, consent to pledge assets, issue guarantees and assign material contracts, amendment to certificate of public good, and replacement of guaranty and substitution of a credit support agreement for Vermont Yankee. Two Vermont utilities that buy power from Vermont Yankee, the regional planning commission for the area served by Vermont Yankee, a municipality in which the Vermont Yankee training center is located, the union that represents certain Vermont Yankee employees, and two unions that represent certain employees at the Pilgrim plant in Massachusetts petitioned to intervene. Although the Pilgrim unions' petition to intervene was denied, the Pilgrim unions filed for reconsideration or, in the alternative, for participation as amicus curiae, and the VPSB has allowed the unions to participate as amicus curiae. Discovery is underway in this proceeding, in which parties can ask questions about or request the production of documents related to the transaction.

In addition, the Vermont Department of Public Service (VDPS), which is the public advocate in proceedings before the VPSB, prefiled its initial and rebuttal testimony in the case in which the VDPS takes the position that Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. have not demonstrated that the restructuring promotes the public good because its benefits do not outweigh the risks, raising concerns that the target rating for Enexus' debt is below investment grade and that the company may not have the financial capability to withstand adverse financial developments, such as an extended outage. The VDPS testimony also expresses concern about the EquaGen joint venture structure and Enexus' ability, under the operating agreement between Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., to ensure that Vermont Yankee is well-operated. Two distribution utilities that buy Vermont Yankee power prefiled testimony that also expresses concerns about the structure but found that there was a small net benefit to the restructuring. The VPSB conducted hearings on July 28-30, 2008, during which it considered the testimony prefiled by Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., the VDPS, and the two distribution utilities. Post-hearing briefing is complete and a decision from the VPSB is pending.

On January 28, 2008, Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick, LLC, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2, LLC, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3, LLC, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc., and corporate affiliate NewCo (now named Enexus) filed a petition with the New York Public Service Commission (NYPSC) requesting a declaratory ruling regarding corporate reorganization or in the alternative an order approving the transaction and an order approving debt financing. Petitioners also requested confirmation that the corporate reorganization will not have an effect on Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick's, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 2's, Entergy Nuclear Indian Point 3's, and Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc.'s status as lightly regulated entities in New York, given that they will continue to be competitive wholesale generators. The New York State Attorney General's Office, Westchester County, and Riverkeeper, Inc. have filed objections to the business separation and to the transfer of the FitzPatrick and Indian Point Energy Center nuclear power plants, arguing that the debt associated with the spin-off could threaten access to adequate financial resources for those nuclear power plants, that Entergy could potentially be able to terminate revenue

sharing agreements with the New York Power Authority (NYPA), the entity from which Entergy purchased the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 nuclear power plants, and because the New York State Attorney General's Office believes Entergy must file an environmental impact statement assessing the proposed corporate restructuring. In addition to the New York State Attorney General's Office, several other parties have also requested to be added to the service list for this proceeding.

On May 23, 2008, the NYPSC issued its Order Establishing Further Procedures in this matter. In the order, the NYPSC determined that due to the nuclear power plants' unique role in supporting the reliability of electric service in New York, and their large size and unique operational concerns, a more searching inquiry of the transaction will be conducted than if other types of lightly-regulated generation were at issue. Accordingly, the NYPSC assigned an ALJ to preside over this proceeding and prescribed a sixty (60) day discovery period. The order provided that after at least sixty (60) days, the ALJ would establish when the discovery period would conclude. The NYPSC stated that the scope of discovery will be tightly bounded by the public interest inquiry relevant to this proceeding; namely, adequacy and security of support for the decommissioning of the New York nuclear facilities; financial sufficiency of the proposed capital structure in supporting continued operation of the facilities; and, arrangements for managing, operating and maintaining the facilities. The NYPSC also stated that during the discovery period, the NYPSC Staff may conduct

technical conferences to assist in the development of a full record in this proceeding.

On July 23, 2008, the ALJs issued a ruling concerning discovery and seeking comments on a proposed process and schedule. In the ruling, the ALJs proposed a process for completing a limited, prescribed discovery process, to be followed three weeks later by the filing of initial comments addressing defined issues, with reply comments due two weeks after the initial comment deadline. Following receipt of all comments, a ruling will be made on whether, and to what extent, an evidentiary hearing is required. The ALJs asked the parties to address three specific topic areas: (1) the financial impacts related to the specific issues previously outlined by the NYPSC; (2) other obligations associated with the arrangement for managing, operating and maintaining the facilities; and (3) the extent that NYPA revenues from value sharing payments under the value sharing agreement between Entergy and NYPA would decrease. The ALJs have indicated that the potential financial effect of the termination of the value sharing payments on NYPA and New York electric consumers are factors the ALJs believe should be considered by the NYPSC in making its public interest determination.

In August 2008, Non-Utility Nuclear entered into a resolution of a dispute with NYPA over the applicability of the value sharing agreements to the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 nuclear power plants after the separation. Under the resolution, Non-Utility Nuclear agreed not to treat the separation as a "Cessation Event" that would terminate its obligation to make the payments under the value sharing agreements. As a result, after the separation, Enexus will continue to be obligated to make payments to NYPA due under the amended and restated value sharing agreements described above. For further discussion of the value sharing agreements, see Note 1 to the financial statements herein.

Entergy continues to seek regulatory approval from the NYPSC in a timely manner. On October 23, 2008, the ALJs issued notification to all parties that from their review of the submissions, all issues of fact and policy material to the relief requested by the petitioners have been thoroughly addressed by the parties, an adequate record for decision is available to the NYPSC, and no further formal proceedings are warranted. The ALJs will now submit a recommendation to the NYPSC with respect to the transaction.

In connection with, but prior to completion of, the separation, Enexus is currently expected to incur up to \$4.5 billion of debt in the form of debt securities. The debt will be incurred in the following transactions:

- Enexus is expected to issue approximately \$3.0 billion of debt securities in partial consideration of Entergy's transfer to it of the Non-Utility Nuclear business.
- These debt securities are expected to be exchanged for approximately \$3.0 billion of debt securities that Entergy plans to issue prior to the separation. As a result of the exchange (should the exchange occur), the holders of the debt securities that Entergy plans to issue prior to the separation will become holders of approximately \$3.0 billion of Enexus debt securities.

5

- Enexus is expected to incur the balance of the debt through the issuance of debt securities directly to third parties.

Out of the proceeds Enexus receives from the issuance of up to approximately \$1.5 billion of debt securities to third parties, it expects to retain approximately \$500 million, which it intends to use for working capital and other general corporate purposes. All of the remaining proceeds are expected to be transferred to Entergy to settle Enexus' intercompany indebtedness owed to Entergy, including indebtedness that Entergy will transfer to Enexus in the separation. Enexus will not receive any proceeds from either the issuance of the approximately \$3.0 billion of its debt securities or the exchange of its debt securities for Entergy debt securities. Entergy expects to use the proceeds that it

receives from the issuance of its debt securities to reduce outstanding Entergy debt or repurchase Entergy shares. The amount to be paid to Entergy, the amount and term of the debt Enexus will incur, and the type of debt and entity that will incur the debt have not been finally determined, but will be determined prior to the separation. A number of factors could affect this final determination, and the amount of debt ultimately incurred could be different from the amount disclosed. Additionally, Entergy expects Enexus to enter into a proposed senior secured credit facility and certain lien-based hedging arrangements and credit support facilities in respect of hedging, and may enter into other financing arrangements meant to support Enexus' working capital and general corporate needs and credit support obligations arising from hedging and normal course of business requirements.

Entergy continues to target receiving regulatory decisions regarding the spin-off transaction in the fourth quarter 2008. Due to unprecedented turmoil in the financial markets, however, it is uncertain whether financing fundamental to the spin-off transaction can be effected in the near-term. Entergy and Enexus intend to launch the financing when market conditions are favorable for such an issuance. Entergy expects the transaction to qualify for tax-free treatment for U.S. federal income tax purposes for both Entergy and its shareholders, and Entergy has received a private letter ruling from the IRS regarding the tax free treatment. Final terms of the transactions and spin-off completion are subject to several conditions, including the final approval of the Board.

Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike

In September 2008, Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike caused catastrophic damage to portions of Entergy's service territories in Louisiana and Texas, and to a lesser extent in Arkansas and Mississippi. The storms resulted in widespread power outages, significant damage to distribution, transmission, and generation infrastructure, and the loss of sales during the power outages. Total restoration costs for the repair and/or replacement of Entergy's electric facilities damaged by Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike are estimated to be in the range of \$1.025 billion to \$1.225 billion, as follows:

| Company | Hurricane Gustav Restoration Costs (\$ in millions) | Hurricane Ike Restoration Costs |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Entergy Arkansas | 10-15 | 14-20 |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | 210-250 | 65-75 |
| Entergy Louisiana | 230-270 | 10-15 |
| Entergy Mississippi | 10-15 | - |
| Entergy New Orleans | 40-50 | 1-5 |
| Entergy Texas | - | 435-510 |
| Total | 500-600 | 525-625 |

The Utility operating companies are considering all reasonable avenues to recover storm-related costs from Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, including, but not limited to, accessing funded storm reserves; federal and local cost recovery mechanisms, including requests for Community Development Block Grant funding; securitization; and insurance, to the extent deductibles are met. In October 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and Entergy New Orleans drew a total of \$229 million from their funded storm reserves. Entergy Arkansas has requested a surcharge to recover \$26 million of its storm restoration costs, as discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements,

and the other affected Utility operating companies expect to file for recovery of their storm restoration costs no later than the spring 2009. Entergy is currently evaluating the amount of the losses covered by insurance for Entergy and each of the affected Utility operating companies. Because most of the Hurricane Gustav damage was to distribution and transmission facilities that are generally not covered by property insurance, Entergy does not expect to meet its deductibles for that storm. Because Hurricane Ike caused more damage by flooding and also caused more damage to generation facilities as compared to Hurricane Gustav, it is more likely that Entergy will meet its deductibles for that storm.

Entergy has recorded accounts payable for the estimated costs incurred that were necessary to return customers to service. Entergy recorded corresponding regulatory assets of approximately \$550 million and construction work in progress of approximately \$430 million. Entergy recorded the regulatory assets in accordance with its accounting policies and based on the historic treatment of such costs in its service territories (except for Entergy Arkansas), because management believes that recovery through some form of regulatory mechanism is probable. Because Entergy has not gone through the regulatory process regarding these storm costs, however, there is an element of risk, and Entergy is unable to predict with certainty the degree of success it may have in its recovery initiatives, the amount of restoration costs that it may ultimately recover, or the timing of such recovery.

Results of Operations

Income Statement Variances

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Following are income statement variances for Utility, Non-Utility Nuclear, Parent & Other, and Entergy comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

| | Utility | Non-Utility Nuclear | Parent & Other (1) | Entergy |
|--|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | | | |
| 3rd Quarter 2007 Consolidated Net Income | \$333,098 | \$160,913 | (\$32,852) | \$461,159 |
| Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits) | (117,038) | 93,812 | (11,503) | (34,729) |
| Other operation and maintenance expenses | (38,108) | (13,051) | 20,772 | (30,387) |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 11,023 | 427 | 246 | 11,696 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 20,087 | 4,263 | 242 | 24,592 |
| Other income | (372) | (12,828) | (7,780) | (20,980) |
| Interest charges | (4,723) | 4,429 | (25,455) | (25,749) |
| Other expenses | 8,787 | 8,816 | 7 | 17,610 |
| Income taxes | (39,190) | 31,689 | (55,100) | (62,601) |
| 3rd Quarter 2008 Consolidated Net Income | \$257,812 | \$205,324 | \$7,153 | \$470,289 |

(1) Parent & Other includes eliminations, which are primarily intersegment activity.

Refer to "**ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES - SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS**" for further information with respect to operating statistics.

7

Net Revenue

Utility

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$1,415 |
| Volume/weather | (84) |
| Purchased power capacity | (16) |
| Fuel recovery | (13) |
| Retail electric price | (12) |
| Other | 8 |
| 2008 net revenue | \$1,298 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to decreased electricity usage, including the effect of less favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007. Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, which hit the Utility's service territories in September 2008, also contributed an estimated \$46 million to the decrease in electricity usage. Billed retail electricity usage decreased a total of 569 GWh in all sectors, a decrease of 2%.

The purchased power capacity variance is primarily due to higher purchased power capacity charges, including the Ouachita interim tolling agreement. The Ouachita acquisition is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein.

The fuel recovery variance resulted primarily from decreased recovery of higher fuel and purchased power costs from special rate customers and the timing of recovery of higher fuel and purchased power costs from retail customers.

The retail electric price variance is due to the following:

- the absence of interim storm recoveries through the formula rate plans at Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana which ceased upon the Act 55 financing of storm costs in the third quarter 2008;
- a credit passed on to customers as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financings;
- a base rate credit implemented effective January 2008 at Entergy New Orleans; and
- an increase in the Attala power plant costs recovered through the power management rider by Entergy Mississippi. The net income effect of this recovery is limited to a portion representing an allowed return on equity with the remainder offset by Attala power plant costs in other operation and maintenance expenses, depreciation expenses, and taxes other than income taxes.

Refer to "**Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina**" below and Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein for a discussion of the interim recovery of storm costs and the Act 55 storm cost financings.

Non-Utility Nuclear

Net revenue increased for Non-Utility Nuclear from \$505 million for the third quarter 2007 to \$599 million for the third quarter 2008 primarily due to higher pricing in its contracts to sell power. Following are key performance measures for Non-Utility Nuclear for the third quarter 2008 and 2007:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Net MW in operation at September 30 | 4,998 | 4,998 |
| Average realized price per MWh | \$61.59 | \$53.11 |
| GWh billed | 10,316 | 10,105 |
| Capacity factor | 95% | 93% |
| Refueling Outage Days: | | |
| FitzPatrick | 16 | - |
| Palisades | - | 21 |

Realized Price per MWh

When Non-Utility Nuclear acquired its six nuclear power plants it also entered into purchased power agreements with the sellers. For four of the plants, the 688 MW Pilgrim, 838 MW FitzPatrick, 1,028 MW Indian Point 2, and 1,041 MW Indian Point 3 plants, the original purchased power agreements with the sellers expired in 2004. The purchased power agreement with the seller of the 605 MW Vermont Yankee plant extends into 2012, and the purchased power agreement with the seller of the 798 MW Palisades plant extends into 2022. Prevailing market prices in the New York and New England power markets, where the five plants with original purchased power agreements that have expired or expire by 2012 are located, have increased since the purchase of these plants, and the contracts that Non-Utility Nuclear has entered after the original contracts expired, as well as realized day ahead and spot market sales, have generally been at higher prices. Non-Utility Nuclear's annual average realized price per MWh has increased from \$39.40 for 2003 to \$52.69 for 2007. In addition, as shown in the contracted sale of energy table in the Market and Credit Risk section below, Non-Utility Nuclear has sold forward 92% of its planned energy output for the remainder of 2008 for an average contracted energy price of \$53 per MWh and 83% of its planned energy output for 2009 for an average contracted energy price of \$61 per MWh. Power prices have increased primarily because of increases in the price of natural gas. Natural gas prices have increased primarily because of rising production costs and limited imports of liquefied natural gas, both caused by global demand and increases in the price of crude oil. In addition, increases in the price of power are secondarily because of rising heat rates, which in turn are caused primarily by load growth outpacing new unit additions. The majority of the existing long-term contracts on these five plants expire by the end of 2012. Most of these existing contracts have contract prices that are lower than currently prevailing market prices. For example, while the average contracted energy price for Non-Utility Nuclear's portfolio is \$53 per MWh for the remainder of 2008, the twelve month rolling average price as of September 30, 2008 for the West and Hudson Valley regions of New York and the New England region were \$61.93 per MWh, \$89.75 per MWh and \$83.10 per MWh, respectively.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to a revision in the third quarter 2007 in the Utility related to depreciation on storm cost-related assets. Recoveries of the costs of those assets are now through the Act 55

financing of storm costs, as approved by the LPSC in the third quarter 2007. See "**Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina**" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the Act 55 storm cost financing.

Other Operation and Maintenance Expenses

Utility

Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased from \$458 million for the third quarter 2007 to \$420 million for the third quarter 2008 primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$21 million in payroll-related costs;

9

- a decrease of \$11 million due to a provision for storm-related bad debts in 2007; and
- a decrease of \$7 million in customer service costs primarily as a result of the write-off of uncollectible customer accounts in 2007.

The other operation and maintenance variance includes a decrease of approximately \$19 million as a result of the deferral or capitalization of storm restoration costs for Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, which hit the Utility's service territories in September 2008. This storm variance was offset, however, by approximately \$19 million of higher storm damage charges at Entergy Arkansas. Entergy Arkansas discontinued regulatory storm reserve accounting beginning July 2007 as a result of the APSC order issued in Entergy Arkansas' rate case. As a result, non-capital storm expenses are charged to other operation and maintenance expenses.

Parent & Other

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased for the parent company, Entergy Corporation, primarily due to outside services costs of \$23.8 million related to the planned spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business.

Interest Charges

Interest charges decreased for Parent & Other primarily due to lower interest rates on borrowings under Entergy Corporation's revolving credit facility.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate for the third quarter 2008 was 26.1%. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the third quarter 2008 is primarily due to:

- A capital loss recognized for income tax purposes on the liquidation of Entergy Power Generation, LLC in the third quarter 2008, which resulted in an income tax benefit of approximately \$79.5 million. Entergy Power Generation, LLC was a holding company in Entergy's non-nuclear wholesale assets business; and
- an adjustment to state income taxes for Non-Utility Nuclear to reflect the effect of a change in the methodology of computing Massachusetts state income taxes resulting from legislation passed in the third quarter 2008, which

resulted in an income tax benefit of approximately \$18.8 million.

These factors were partially offset by:

- income taxes recorded by Entergy Power Generation, LLC, prior to its liquidation, resulting from the redemption payments it received in connection with its investment in Entergy Nuclear Power Marketing, LLC during the third quarter 2008, which resulted in an income tax expense of approximately \$16.1 million; and
- book and tax differences for utility plant items and state income taxes at the Utility operating companies.

The effective income tax rate for the third quarter 2007 was 33.1%. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is primarily due to:

- an adjustment to state income taxes for Non-Utility Nuclear to reflect the effect of a change in the methodology of computing New York state income taxes as required by that state's taxing authority;
- book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction; and
- the amortization of investment tax credits.

These factors were partially offset by book and tax differences for utility plant items and state income taxes at the Utility operating companies.

10

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Following are income statement variances for Utility, Non-Utility Nuclear, Parent & Other, and Entergy comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

| | Utility | Non-Utility Nuclear | Parent & Other (1) | Entergy |
|--|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| | | (In Thousands) | | |
| 2007 Consolidated Net Income | \$585,741 | \$397,808 | (\$42,593) | \$940,956 |
| Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel | | | | |
| expense, purchased power, and other | (37,418) | 431,965 | (4,248) | 390,299 |
| regulatory charges/credits) | | | | |
| Other operation and maintenance | (8,237) | 47,130 | 48,249 | 87,142 |
| expenses | | | | |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 2,505 | 9,670 | (4,996) | 7,179 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 21,659 | 24,333 | 498 | 46,490 |
| Other income | (13,943) | (34,515) | (13,708) | (62,166) |
| Interest charges | (16,645) | 18,161 | (34,149) | (32,633) |
| Other expenses | 16,584 | 33,427 | 9 | 50,020 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| Income taxes | (16,158) | 91,900 | (14,843) | 60,899 |
| 2008 Consolidated Net Income | \$534,672 | \$570,637 | (\$55,317) | \$1,049,992 |

(1) Parent & Other includes eliminations, which are primarily intersegment activity.

Net Revenue

Utility

Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007:

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$3,552 |
| Volume/weather | (37) |
| Purchased power capacity | (22) |
| Retail electric price | 10 |
| Other | 12 |
| 2008 net revenue | \$3,515 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to decreased electricity usage primarily during the unbilled sales period and the effect of less favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007. Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, which hit the Utility's service territories in September 2008, contributed an estimated \$46 million to the decrease in electricity usage. This decrease in electricity usage was partially offset by an increase in electricity usage that had occurred through August 2008.

The purchased power capacity variance is primarily due to higher purchased power capacity charges, including the Ouachita interim tolling agreement. The Ouachita acquisition is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein.

The retail electric price variance is primarily due to:

- an increase in the Attala power plant costs recovered through the power management rider by Entergy Mississippi. The net income effect of this recovery is limited to a portion representing an allowed return on equity with the remainder offset by Attala power plant costs in other operation and maintenance expenses, depreciation expenses, and taxes other than income taxes;
- a storm damage rider that became effective in October 2007 at Entergy Mississippi; and
- an Energy Efficiency rider that became effective in November 2007 at Entergy Arkansas.

The establishment of the storm damage rider and the Energy Efficiency rider results in an increase in rider revenue and a corresponding increase in other operation and maintenance expense with no impact on net income. The retail electric price variance was partially offset by:

- the absence of interim storm recoveries through the formula rate plans at Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana which ceased upon the Act 55 financing of storm costs in the third quarter 2008; and
- a credit passed on to customers as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financings.

Refer to "**Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina**" below and Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein for a discussion of the interim recovery of storm costs and the Act 55 storm cost financings.

Non-Utility Nuclear

Net revenue increased for Non-Utility Nuclear from \$1,346 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to \$1,778 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 primarily due to higher pricing in its contracts to sell power, additional production resulting from the acquisition of the Palisades plant in April 2007, and fewer outage days. See "Realized Price per MWh" in the third quarter results discussion above for a discussion of the increase in price. In addition to refueling outages, second quarter 2007 was affected by a 28-day unplanned plant outage. Palisades contributed \$228 million of net revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to \$150 million of net revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Included in the Palisades net revenue is \$57 million and \$33 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, of amortization of the Palisades purchased power agreement, which is non-cash revenue and is discussed in Note 15 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K. Following are key performance measures for Non-Utility Nuclear for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Net MW in operation at September 30 | 4,998 | 4,998 |
| Average realized price per MWh | \$60.46 | \$53.12 |
| GWh billed | 31,221 | 27,315 |
| Capacity factor | 95% | 88% |
| Refueling Outage Days: | | |
| FitzPatrick | 16 | - |
| Indian Point 2 | 26 | - |
| Indian Point 3 | - | 24 |
| Palisades | - | 21 |
| Pilgrim | - | 33 |
| Vermont Yankee | - | 24 |

Depreciation and Amortization

Utility

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to a revision in the third quarter 2007 in the Utility related to depreciation on storm cost-related assets. Recoveries of the costs of those assets are now through the Act 55 financing of storm costs, as approved by the LPSC in the third quarter 2007. See "**Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina**" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the Act 55 storm cost financing.

Non-Utility Nuclear

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to the acquisition of the Palisades power plant in April 2007.

Other Operation and Maintenance Expenses

Utility

Other operation and maintenance expenses were \$1,327 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$1,319 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The variance includes:

- a decrease of \$22 million in payroll-related costs;
- a decrease of approximately \$19 million as a result of the deferral or capitalization of storm restoration costs for Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, which hit the Utility's service territories in September 2008;
- a decrease of \$11 million due to a provision for storm-related bad debts in 2007;
- a decrease of \$7 million in customer service costs primarily as a result of the write-off of uncollectible customer accounts in 2007;
- a reimbursement of \$7 million of costs in 2008 in connection with a litigation settlement;
- an increase of \$13 million in fossil expenses primarily due to higher costs for plant maintenance outages as a result of differing outage schedules for 2008 compared to 2007; and
- an increase of \$38 million in storm damage charges as a result of several storms hitting Entergy Arkansas' service territory in 2008, including Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike in the third quarter 2008. Entergy Arkansas discontinued regulatory storm reserve accounting beginning July 2007 as a result of the APSC order issued in Entergy Arkansas' rate case. As a result, non-capital storm expenses are charged to other operation and maintenance expenses.

Non-Utility Nuclear

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased from \$520 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 to \$567 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 primarily due to deferring costs from two refueling outages in 2008 compared to four refueling outages in 2007, in addition to the increase associated with owning the Palisades plant for the entire period. Other operation and maintenance expenses associated with the Palisades plant, which was acquired in April 2007, were \$35 million higher for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

Parent & Other

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased for the parent company, Entergy Corporation, primarily due to outside services costs of \$47.5 million related to the planned spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business.

Other Income

Other income decreased primarily due to approximately \$35 million in charges to interest income in 2008 resulting from the recognition of the other than temporary impairment of certain securities held in Non-Utility Nuclear's decommissioning trust funds. Other factors contributing to the decrease were a reduction in the allowance for equity funds used during construction in the Utility due to a revision in the first quarter 2007 related to removal costs and a reduction in carrying charges on storm costs as recovery of some of those costs has been completed.

Interest Charges

Interest charges decreased for Parent & Other primarily due to lower interest rates on borrowings under Entergy Corporation's revolving credit facility.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was 33.8%. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the statutory rate of 35% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 is primarily due to:

- A capital loss recognized for income tax purposes on the liquidation of Entergy Power Generation, LLC in the third quarter 2008, which resulted in an income tax benefit of approximately \$79.5 million. Entergy Power Generation, LLC was a holding company in Entergy's non-nuclear wholesale assets business; and
- an adjustment to state income taxes for Non-Utility Nuclear to reflect the effect of a change in the methodology of computing Massachusetts state income taxes resulting from legislation passed in the third quarter 2008, which resulted in an income tax benefit of approximately \$18.8 million.

These factors were partially offset by:

- income taxes recorded by Entergy Power Generation, LLC, prior to its liquidation, resulting from the redemption payments it received in connection with its investment in Entergy Nuclear Power Marketing, LLC during the third quarter 2008, which resulted in an income tax expense of approximately \$16.1 million; and
- book and tax differences for utility plant items and state income taxes at the Utility operating companies.

The effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was 33.5%. The difference in the effective income tax rate versus the federal statutory rate of 35% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 is primarily due to:

- the resolution of tax audit issues in the 2002-2003 audit cycle;
- an adjustment to state income taxes for Non-Utility Nuclear to reflect the effect of a change in the methodology of computing New York state income taxes as required by that state's taxing authority;
- book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction; and
- the amortization of investment tax credits.

These factors were partially offset by book and tax differences for utility plant items and state income taxes at the Utility operating companies.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's capital structure, capital expenditure plans and other uses of capital, and sources of capital. Following are updates to that discussion.

14

Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike and Other Short-term Liquidity Sources and Uses

As discussed above, Entergy is currently evaluating various avenues of recovering its Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike storm restoration costs. Entergy believes its total liquidity is sufficient to meet its current obligations, including the effects associated with Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike. Nevertheless, each Utility operating company is responsible for its storm restoration cost obligations and for recovering its storm-related costs. At the end of the third quarter 2008, Entergy had \$2.6 billion of cash and cash equivalents on hand on a consolidated basis, and believes that it has sufficient financing authority, subject to debt covenants, to meet its anticipated obligations. The Utility's short-term financing authorizations and credit facilities are discussed in more detail in Note 4 to the financial statements. As of September 30, 2008, Entergy had undrawn revolving credit facility capacity of \$224 million at Entergy Corporation, \$100 million at Entergy Arkansas, and \$50 million at Entergy Mississippi, subject to debt covenants. Entergy Corporation's revolving credit facility requires it to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65 percent or less of its total capitalization. Some of the Utility operating company credit facilities have similar covenants. In addition, the Utility operating companies had a total of \$262 million of funded storm reserves reflected in other property and investments as of September 30, 2008. In October 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and Entergy New Orleans drew a total of \$229 million from their funded storm reserves. Entergy also anticipates that operating cash flow in excess of storm restoration spending will remain a source of liquidity.

Long-term debt maturities in the fourth quarter 2008 include \$160 million at Entergy Texas and \$21 million at Non-Utility Nuclear. Long-term debt maturities in 2009 occur in the fourth quarter and include \$219 million at the Utility, \$30 million at Non-Utility Nuclear, and \$267 million at Entergy Corporation. \$500 million of Entergy Corporation notes associated with the equity units are subject to remarketing provisions in November or December 2008 or February 2009. In the event remarketing efforts fail, Entergy will issue shares of stock pursuant to the equity units conversion in February 2009 and retire \$500 million of notes. Should the remarketing succeed, Entergy will receive \$500 million of cash, issue shares of stock pursuant to the equity units and the \$500 million of notes will remain outstanding. The Entergy Arkansas and Entergy Mississippi revolving credit facilities of \$100 million and \$50 million expire in April and May 2009, respectively. These facilities are generally renewed on an annual basis. The remaining Utility operating company credit facilities and the Entergy Corporation credit facility expire in 2012. See Note 5 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and Note 4 to the financial statements herein for details regarding long-term debt.

Capital Structure

Entergy's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table. The increase in the debt to capital percentage from 2007 to 2008 is primarily the result of additional borrowings under Entergy Corporation's revolving credit facilities. The increase in the debt to capital percentage is in line with Entergy's financial and risk management aspirations.

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt to net capital | 54.9% | 54.7% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 5.5% | 2.9% |

| | | |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Debt to capital | 60.4% | 57.6% |
|-----------------|-------|-------|

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt, common shareholders' equity, and preferred stock without sinking fund. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy's financial condition.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, Entergy Corporation has in place a \$3.5 billion credit facility that expires in August 2012. Entergy Corporation has the ability to issue letters of credit against the total borrowing capacity of the facility. As of September 30, 2008, amounts outstanding under the credit facility are:

15

| Capacity | Borrowings | Letters of Credit | Capacity Available |
|---------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| (In Millions) | | | |
| \$3,500 | \$3,208 | \$68 | \$224 |

Under covenants contained in Entergy Corporation's credit facility and in the indenture governing the Entergy Corporation senior notes and the Entergy Corporation equity units, Entergy is required to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. The calculation of this debt ratio under Entergy Corporation's credit facility and in the indenture governing the Entergy Corporation senior notes and the Entergy Corporation equity units is different than the calculation of the debt to capital ratio above. Entergy is currently in compliance with this covenant. If Entergy fails to meet this ratio, or if Entergy or one of the Utility operating companies (except Entergy New Orleans) defaults on other indebtedness or is in bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, an acceleration of the Entergy Corporation credit facility's maturity date may occur and there may be an acceleration of amounts due under the Entergy Corporation senior notes and the Entergy Corporation equity units.

Capital Expenditure Plans and Other Uses of Capital

See the table and discussion in the Form 10-K under "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Capital Expenditure Plans and Other Uses of Capital**," that sets forth the amounts of planned construction and other capital investments by operating segment for 2008 through 2010. Following is an update to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Little Gypsy Repowering Project

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Little Gypsy repowering project. The preconstruction and operating air permits for the Little Gypsy repowering project were issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in November 2007 under then-effective federal and state air regulations, including the EPA's Clean Air Mercury Rule that had been issued in 2005 (CAMR 2005). As discussed in more detail in Part I, Item 1, "Environmental Regulation, Clean Air Act and Subsequent Amendments, Hazardous Air Pollutants" in the Form 10-K, in February 2008 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit struck down CAMR 2005. The D.C. Circuit decision requires utilities that have not yet begun construction of the facility in question to undergo before beginning construction a case-by-case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) analysis for construction or reconstruction of emission units pursuant to the Clean Air Act. The Little Gypsy project as currently configured is expected to meet MACT standards. Little Gypsy received its construction permit before a formal MACT analysis was required, however, and Entergy Louisiana has sought a MACT determination from the LDEQ. The filing was made in June 2008, and the LDEQ has certified that the filing is complete. A decision on the MACT determination is expected

by first quarter 2009. Entergy Louisiana also is awaiting permit determinations from several additional agencies. These permits are unrelated to CAMR 2005 and always have been part of the construction process. Onsite construction of the project was scheduled to begin in July 2008, but obtaining the MACT determination will cause a delay in the start of construction, which Entergy Louisiana now expects to begin in mid-year 2009. This delays the expected commercial operation date of the project to mid-year 2013.

The LPSC Phase I order has been appealed to the state district court in Baton Rouge, Louisiana by a group led by the Sierra Club and represented by the Tulane Environmental Law Clinic. A status conference is set for December 3, 2008, at which time a procedural schedule should be established for the appeal.

The LPSC had approved the temporary suspension of Phase II of the Little Gypsy proceedings because Entergy Louisiana must update its estimated project cost and schedule in order to support the request to recover cash earnings on its construction work in progress (CWIP) costs. On October 16, 2008, Entergy Louisiana, together with Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, filed an application to resume Phase II of the proceeding. The Phase II filing seeks certification for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to participate in a one-third ownership share in the

16

repowering project. In addition, Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana seek recovery of approximately 79% of their construction financing costs through the recovery of cash earnings on CWIP costs. The LPSC previously found that the recovery of CWIP for a large baseload project may be in the public interest as cash earnings may be needed to protect the utility's financial integrity, maintain an acceptable credit rating, prevent an undue increase in the utility's cost of capital, or to accomplish phasing in of the cost of a large capital project for the benefit of customers. In Phase II, the LPSC will rule on Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's certification request, determine the appropriate amount of CWIP costs, if any, to be recovered and will develop the allocation, accounting and rate recovery mechanisms for such recovery. The LPSC also will determine the appropriate procedure or mechanism for synchronizing base rate recovery of Little Gypsy's fixed or non-fuel costs with its commercial in-service date. A status conference is set for November 14, 2008, at which time a procedural schedule should be established for Phase II. Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana have requested that the case be decided in time to permit the recovery of cash earnings on CWIP beginning in July 2009.

The delayed construction of the Little Gypsy repowering project is expected to increase the total project cost from approximately \$1.55 billion to \$1.76 billion, primarily due to price escalation on non-contracted equipment and material and increased carrying cost due to the extended construction period.

Waterford 3 Steam Generator Replacement Project

As discussed in more detail in the Form 10-K, Entergy Louisiana plans to replace the Waterford 3 steam generators, along with the reactor vessel closure head and control element drive mechanisms, in 2011. In June 2008, Entergy Louisiana filed with the LPSC for approval of the project, including full cost recovery. Entergy Louisiana estimates in the filing that it will spend approximately \$511 million on this project. The filing seeks relief in two phases. Phase I seeks certification within 120 days that the public convenience and necessity would be served by undertaking this project. Among other relief requested, Entergy Louisiana is also seeking approval for a procedure to synchronize

permanent base rate recovery when the project is placed in service, either by a formula rate plan or base rate filing. In Phase II, Entergy Louisiana will seek cash earnings on construction work in progress. Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC staff filed a joint motion and a settlement that will resolve Phase I of the proceeding. The settlement also provides that Phase II of the proceeding will be consolidated with Phase II of the Little Gypsy proceeding described above. An ALJ will consider the settlement at a hearing scheduled for November 7, 2008.

White Bluff Environmental Project

The planned construction and other capital investments disclosure in the Form 10-K includes approximately \$24 million for initial spending during the 2008-2010 period on installation of scrubbers and low NOx burners at Entergy Arkansas' White Bluff coal plant, which under current environmental regulations must be operational by September 2013. The project remains in the planning stages and has not been fully designed, but the latest conceptual cost estimate has gone up significantly from previous estimates due to increases in equipment, commodity, and labor costs. These estimates indicate that Entergy Arkansas' share of the project could cost approximately \$630 million compared to the \$375 million reported in the Form 10-K. Entergy continues to review potential environmental spending needs and financing alternatives for any such spending, and future spending estimates could change based on the results of this continuing analysis.

Sources of Capital

The short-term borrowings of the Registrant Subsidiaries and certain other Entergy subsidiaries are limited to amounts authorized by the FERC. The current FERC-authorized limits are effective through March 31, 2010, as established by a FERC order issued March 31, 2008 (except for the Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas limits, which are effective through November 8, 2009, as established by an earlier FERC order). See Note 4 to the financial statements for further discussion of the Utility's short-term borrowing limits.

17

Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita

In August and September 2005, Hurricanes Katrina and Rita caused catastrophic damage to large portions of the Utility's service territories in Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas, including the effect of extensive flooding that resulted from levee breaks in and around the greater New Orleans area. The storms and flooding resulted in widespread power outages, significant damage to electric distribution, transmission, and generation and gas infrastructure, and the loss of sales and customers due to mandatory evacuations and the destruction of homes and businesses. Entergy has pursued a broad range of initiatives to recover storm restoration and business continuity costs, including obtaining reimbursement of certain costs covered by insurance and pursuing recovery through existing or new rate mechanisms regulated by the FERC and local regulatory bodies, including the issuance of securitization bonds. Following are updates regarding Entergy's cost recovery efforts.

Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3,

2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively, through prospective annual rate reductions of \$2 million and \$6 million for five years. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. In May 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted final approval of the Act 55 financings.

On July 29, 2008, the LPFA issued \$687.7 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the \$679 million of bond proceeds loaned by the LPFA to the LURC, the LURC deposited \$152 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Louisiana and transferred \$527 million directly to Entergy Louisiana. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Louisiana invested \$545 million, including \$17.8 million that was withdrawn from the restricted escrow account as approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, in exchange for 5,449,861.85 Class A preferred, non-voting, membership interest units of Entergy Holdings Company LLC, a company wholly-owned and consolidated by Entergy, that carry a 10% annual distribution rate. Distributions are payable quarterly commencing on September 15, 2008 and have a liquidation price of \$100 per unit. The preferred membership interests are callable at the option of Entergy Holdings Company LLC after ten years. The terms of the membership interests include certain financial covenants to which Entergy Holdings Company LLC is subject, including the requirement to maintain a net worth of at least \$1 billion.

On August 26, 2008, the LPFA issued \$278.4 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the \$274.7 million of bond proceeds loaned by the LPFA to the LURC, the LURC deposited \$87 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and transferred \$187.7 million directly to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana invested \$189.4 million, including \$1.7 million that was withdrawn from the restricted escrow account as approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, in exchange for 1,893,918.39 Class A preferred, non-voting, membership interest units of Entergy Holdings Company LLC, a company wholly-owned and consolidated by Entergy, that carry a 10% annual distribution rate. Distributions are payable quarterly commencing on September 15, 2008 and have a liquidation price of \$100 per unit.

The preferred membership interests are callable at the option of Entergy Holdings Company LLC after ten years. The terms of the membership interests include certain financial covenants to which Entergy Holdings Company LLC is subject, including the requirement to maintain a net worth of at least \$1 billion.

Entergy, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, and Entergy Louisiana will not report the bonds on their balance sheets because the bonds are the obligation of the LPFA, and there is no recourse against Entergy, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana or Entergy Louisiana in the event of a bond default.

Insurance Claims

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's conventional property insurance program and its Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita claims.

In April 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$53.6 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina claim, and almost all of the April 2008 proceeds were allocated to Entergy New Orleans. In the third quarter 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$17.5 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita claims, which were allocated as follows: \$1.8 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$2.2 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$9.7 million to Entergy New Orleans, and \$3.3 million to Entergy Texas, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Entergy has settled its lawsuit against one of its excess insurers on the Hurricane Katrina claim, and in July 2008 received \$71.5 million in proceeds on the claim. The July 2008 proceeds were allocated as follows: \$2.0 million to Entergy Arkansas, \$3.0 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$12.4 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$1.8 million to Entergy Mississippi, and \$48.4 million to Entergy New Orleans, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Cash Flow Activity

As shown in Entergy's Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|---------------|---------|
| | (In Millions) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$1,254 | \$1,016 |
| Effect of reconsolidating Entergy New Orleans | - | 17 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | 2,693 | 1,626 |
| Investing activities | (1,943) | (1,451) |
| Financing activities | 551 | 258 |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 1 | - |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,302 | 433 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$2,556 | \$1,466 |

Operating Activities

Entergy's cash flow provided by operating activities increased by \$1,067 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Following are cash flows from operating activities by segment:

- Utility provided \$1,885 million in cash from operating activities in 2008 compared to providing \$1,212 million in 2007 primarily due to proceeds of \$954 million received from the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation as a result of the Louisiana Act 55 storm cost financings. The Act 55 storm cost financings are discussed in more detail in Note 2 to the financial statements. A decrease in income tax payments of \$118 million also contributed to the increase. Offsetting these factors were decreased collection of fuel costs in 2008, the receipt of \$181 million of Community Development Block Grant funds by Entergy

New Orleans in 2007, and a \$100 million increase in pension contributions in 2008. Fuel prices have been increasing and, due to the time lag before the fuel recovery rates increase in response, the Utility has under-recovered fuel costs thus far in 2008.

- Non-Utility Nuclear provided \$970 million in cash from operating activities in 2008 compared to providing \$535 million in 2007, primarily due to an increase in net revenue, partially offset by an increase in operation and maintenance costs, both of which are discussed in "Results of Operations."
- Parent & Other used approximately \$162 million in cash in operating activities in 2008 and used approximately \$120 million in cash in operating activities in 2007.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities increased by \$492 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The following significant investing cash flow activity occurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

- Construction expenditures were \$373 million higher in 2008 than in 2007, primarily due to storm restoration spending caused by Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike and increased spending on various projects by the Utility that are discussed further in "Capital Expenditure Plans" in the Form 10-K.
- In April 2007, Non-Utility Nuclear purchased the 798 MW Palisades nuclear power plant located near South Haven, Michigan for a net cash payment of \$336 million.
- In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana purchased the Calcasieu Generating Facility, a 322 MW simple-cycle, gas-fired power plant located near the city of Sulphur in southwestern Louisiana, for approximately \$56 million.
- In September 2008, Entergy Arkansas purchased the Ouachita Plant, a 789 MW gas-fired plant located 20 miles south of the Arkansas state line near Sterlington, Louisiana, for approximately \$210 million.
- Non-Utility Nuclear made a \$72 million payment to NYPA in 2008 under the value sharing agreements associated with the acquisition of the Fitzpatrick and Indian Point 3 power plants. See Note 1 to the financial statements herein for additional discussion of the value sharing agreements.
- The investment of a net total of \$220 million in Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's and Entergy Louisiana's storm reserve escrow accounts as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financings. The Act 55 storm cost financings are discussed in more detail in Note 2 to the financial statements.
- Entergy Mississippi realized proceeds in 2007 from \$100 million of investments held in trust that were received from a bond issuance in 2006 and used to redeem bonds in 2007.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$293 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The following significant financing cash flow activity occurred in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

- Entergy Corporation increased the net borrowings under its revolving credit facility by \$957 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and by \$1,296 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007. See Note 4 to the financial statements for a description of the Entergy Corporation credit facility.
- Entergy Arkansas issued \$300 million of 5.4% Series First Mortgage Bonds in July 2008.
- Entergy Louisiana issued \$300 million of 6.5% Series First Mortgage Bonds in August 2008.
- Entergy Louisiana repurchased, prior to maturity, \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds in April 2008.
- Entergy New Orleans paid, at maturity, its \$30 million 3.875% Series first mortgage bonds in August 2008.

- The Utility operating companies increased the borrowings outstanding on their long-term credit facilities by \$400 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and increased the borrowings outstanding on their short term credit facilities by \$60 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007.
- A subsidiary of Entergy Texas issued \$329.5 million of securitization bonds in June 2007. See Note 5 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for additional information regarding the securitization bonds.
- Entergy Corporation paid \$237 million of notes payable at their maturities in 2008.
- Entergy Mississippi redeemed \$100 million of first mortgage bonds in 2007.
- Entergy Corporation repurchased \$468 million of its common stock in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and \$1,024 million of its common stock in the nine months ended September 30, 2007.
- Entergy Corporation increased the dividend on its common stock in the third quarter 2007. The quarterly dividend was \$0.54 per share for the first two quarters of 2007 and \$0.75 per share for each quarter since then.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Significant Factors and Known Trends" in the Form 10-K for discussions of rate regulation, federal regulation, and market and credit risk sensitive instruments. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

State and Local Rate Regulation

See the Form 10-K for a chart summarizing material rate proceedings. See Note 2 to the financial statements herein for updates to the proceedings discussed in that chart.

Federal Regulation

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of federal regulatory proceedings. Following are updates to that discussion.

System Agreement Proceedings

Production Cost Equalization Proceeding Commenced by the LPSC

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the June 2005 FERC decision in the System Agreement litigation that had been commenced by the LPSC, which was essentially affirmed in the FERC's decision in a December 2005 order on rehearing. The LPSC, APSC, MPSC, and the AEEC appealed the FERC's decision to the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit. Entergy and the City of New Orleans intervened in the various appeals. The D.C. Circuit issued its decision in April 2008. The D.C. Circuit affirmed the FERC's decision in most respects, but remanded the case to the FERC for further proceedings and reconsideration of its conclusion that it was prohibited from ordering refunds and its determination to implement the bandwidth remedy commencing with calendar year 2006 production costs (with the first payments/receipts commencing in June 2007), rather than commencing the remedy on June 1, 2005. The D.C. Circuit concluded the FERC had failed so far in the proceeding to offer a reasoned explanation regarding these issues. On July 17, 2008, the Utility operating companies filed with FERC a motion proposing additional procedures on the remanded issues. The proceeding is pending at the FERC.

Rough Production Cost Equalization Rates

J007 Rate Filing Based on Calendar Year 2006 Production Costs

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the proceeding in which Entergy filed the rates to implement the FERC's orders in the production cost equalization proceeding. Intervenor testimony was filed in which the intervenors and also the FERC Staff advocate a number of positions on issues that affect the level of production costs the individual Utility operating companies are permitted to reflect in the bandwidth calculation, including the level of depreciation and decommissioning expense for nuclear facilities. The effect of the various positions would be to reallocate

21

costs among the Utility operating companies. Additionally, the APSC, while not taking a position on whether Entergy Arkansas was imprudent for not exercising its right of first refusal to repurchase a portion of the Independence plant in 1996 and 1997 as alleged by the LPSC, alleges that if the FERC finds Entergy Arkansas to be imprudent for not exercising this option, the FERC should disallow recovery from customers by Entergy of approximately \$43 million of increased costs. The Utility operating companies filed rebuttal testimony refuting the allegations of imprudence concerning the decision not to acquire the portion of the Independence plant, explaining why the bandwidth payments are properly recoverable under the AmerenUE contract, and explaining why the positions of FERC Staff and intervenors on the other issues should be rejected. A hearing in this proceeding concluded in July 2008, and the ALJ issued an initial decision in September 2008. The ALJ's initial decision concludes, among other things, that: (1) the decisions to not exercise Entergy Arkansas' option to purchase the Independence plant in 1996 and 1997 were prudent; (2) Entergy Arkansas properly flowed a portion of the bandwidth payments through to AmerenUE in accordance with the wholesale power contract; and (3) the level of nuclear depreciation and decommissioning expense reflected in the bandwidth calculation should be calculated based on NRC-authorized license life, rather than the nuclear depreciation and decommissioning expense authorized by the retail regulators for purposes of retail ratemaking. Following briefing by the parties, the matter will be submitted to the FERC for decision.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, the Utility operating companies had also filed with the FERC during 2007 certain proposed modifications to the rough production cost equalization calculation. The FERC rejected certain of the proposed modifications, accepted certain of the proposed modifications without further proceedings, and set two of the proposed modifications for hearing and settlement procedures. With respect to the proceeding involving changes to the functionalization of costs to the production function, a hearing was held in March 2008 and the ALJ issued an Initial Decision in June 2008 finding the modifications proposed by the Utility operating companies to be just and reasonable. The matter is now pending before the FERC for decision. In the second proceeding, a contested settlement supported by the Utility operating companies is now pending before the FERC. In conjunction with the second proceeding, the LPSC has appealed to the Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit the FERC's determination that changes proposed by the Utility operating companies and accepted by the FERC can become effective for the next bandwidth calculation even though such bandwidth calculation may include production costs incurred prior to the date the change is proposed by the Utility operating companies. In August 2008, the D.C. Circuit dismissed the LPSC's appeal.

The intervenor AmerenUE has argued that its current wholesale power contract with Entergy Arkansas, pursuant to which Entergy Arkansas sells power to AmerenUE, does not permit Entergy Arkansas to flow through to AmerenUE

any portion of Entergy Arkansas' bandwidth payment. According to AmerenUE, Entergy Arkansas has sought to collect from AmerenUE approximately \$14.5 million of the 2007 Entergy Arkansas bandwidth payment. The AmerenUE contract is scheduled to expire in August 2009. In April 2008, AmerenUE filed a complaint with the FERC seeking refunds of this amount, plus interest, in the event the FERC ultimately determines that bandwidth payments are not properly recovered under the AmerenUE contract.

On March 31, 2008, the LPSC filed a complaint with the FERC seeking, among other things, three amendments to the rough production cost equalization bandwidth formula. On April 22, 2008, the Utility operating companies filed an answer to the LPSC complaint urging the FERC to reject two of the proposed amendments and not opposing the third. On July 2, 2008, the FERC issued an order that, among other things, ordered the Utility operating companies to implement the LPSC's proposed amendment that they did not oppose and setting two of the LPSC's proposed amendments for hearing and settlement proceedings. Settlement procedures have been terminated, and a hearing is set for March 2009.

J008 Rate Filing Based on Calendar Year 2007 Production Costs

In May 2008, Entergy filed with the FERC the rates for the second year to implement the FERC's orders in the System Agreement proceeding that are discussed in the Form 10-K. The filing shows the following payments/receipts among the Utility operating companies for 2008, based on calendar year 2007 production costs, commencing for service in June 2008, are necessary to achieve rough production cost equalization under the FERC's orders:

22

| | Payments or (Receipts) (In Millions) |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Entergy Arkansas | \$252 |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | (\$124) |
| Entergy Louisiana | (\$35) |
| Entergy Mississippi | (\$20) |
| Entergy New Orleans | (\$7) |
| Entergy Texas | (\$66) |

Several parties intervened in the proceeding at the FERC, including the APSC, the LPSC, and AmerenUE, which have also filed protests. Several other parties, including the MPSC and the City Council, have intervened in the proceeding without filing a protest. On July 29, 2008, the FERC set the proceeding for hearing and settlement procedures. Settlement procedures were terminated on October 22, 2008. A procedural schedule has not yet been established in the proceeding.

Entergy Arkansas will pay \$36 million per month for seven months in 2008, and began making the payments in June 2008. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the APSC has approved a production cost allocation rider for recovery from customers of the retail portion of the costs allocated to Entergy Arkansas.

Interruptible Load Proceeding

In April 2007 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit issued its opinion in the LPSC's appeal of the FERC's March 2004 and April 2005 orders related to the treatment under the System Agreement of the Utility operating companies' interruptible loads. In its opinion, the D.C. Circuit concluded that the FERC (1) acted arbitrarily and capriciously by allowing the Utility operating companies to phase-in the effects of the elimination of the interruptible

load over a 12-month period of time; (2) failed to adequately explain why refunds could not be ordered under Section 206(c) of the Federal Power Act; and (3) exercised appropriately its discretion to defer addressing the cost of sulfur dioxide allowances until a later time. The D.C. Circuit remanded the matter to the FERC for a more considered determination on the issue of refunds. The FERC issued its order on remand in September 2007, in which it directs Entergy to make a compliance filing removing all interruptible load from the computation of peak load responsibility commencing April 1, 2004 and to issue any necessary refunds to reflect this change. In addition, the order directs the Utility operating companies to make refunds for the period May 1995 through July 1996. Entergy, the APSC, the MPSC, and the City Council have requested rehearing of the FERC's order on remand. The FERC granted the Utility operating companies' request to delay the payment of refunds for the period May 1995 through July 1996 until 30 days following a FERC order on rehearing. The FERC issued in September 2008 an order denying rehearing. The refunds were made to the Utility operating companies that were due a refund on October 15, 2008. The APSC has appealed the FERC decisions to the D.C. Circuit.

AEEC Complaint Regarding the Ouachita Acquisition

In August 2008 the AEEC also filed a complaint at the FERC seeking a review by the FERC of "Entergy Corporation's efforts" to acquire the Ouachita plant, alleging that the acquisition violates the System Agreement and the Federal Power Act and that the plant should be an "[Entergy Arkansas] only resource." The AEEC complaint also states that it seeks clarity on whether Entergy Arkansas' termination of its participation in the System Agreement will affect Entergy Arkansas' rights to the Ouachita facility. The APSC, LPSC, MPSC, and City Council have intervened in the proceeding. Entergy filed in September 2008 its answer to the complaint and asked the FERC to dismiss the proceeding.

23

Independent Coordinator of Transmission

In the FERC's April 2006 order that approved Entergy's ICT proposal, the FERC stated that the weekly procurement process (WPP) must be operational within approximately 14 months of the FERC order, or June 24, 2007, or the FERC may reevaluate all approvals to proceed with the ICT. The Utility operating companies have been working with the ICT and a software vendor to develop the software and systems necessary to implement the WPP. The Utility operating companies also filed with the FERC in April 2007 a request to make certain corrections and limited modifications to the current WPP tariff provisions. The Utility operating companies have filed status reports with the FERC notifying the FERC that, due to unexpected issues with the development of the WPP software and testing, the WPP is still not operational. The Utility operating companies filed a revised tariff with the FERC on January 31, 2008 to address issues identified during the testing of the WPP. The Utility operating companies requested the FERC to rule on the proposed amendments by April 30, 2008 and allow them to go into effect May 11, 2008, following which the WPP would be expected to become operational. In May 2008, the FERC determined it would be premature to implement the WPP on May 11, 2008 as the WPP has not been shown to be just and reasonable. Accordingly, the FERC conditionally accepted and suspended Entergy's proposed tariff amendments for five months from the requested effective date, to become effective October 11, 2008, or on an earlier date, subject to refund and subject to a further order on proposed tariff revisions directed to be filed in the order. Entergy submitted a proposed tariff amendment in August 2008, and on October 10, 2008 the FERC issued an order accepting the amendment. The amendment establishes that the WPP shall take effect at a date to be determined, after completion of successful simulation trials and the ICT's endorsement of the WPP's implementation.

Market and Credit Risk Sensitive Instruments

Commodity Price Risk

Power Generation

As discussed more fully in the Form 10-K, the sale of electricity from the power generation plants owned by Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business, unless otherwise contracted, is subject to the fluctuation of market power prices. Following is an updated summary of the amount of the Non-Utility Nuclear business' output that is sold forward as of September 30, 2008 under physical or financial contracts (2008 represents the remaining quarter of the year):

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|
| Non-Utility Nuclear | | | | | |
| : | | | | | |
| Percent of planned energy output sold forward: | | | | | |
| Unit-contingent | 53% | 48% | 31% | 29% | 18% |
| Unit-contingent with availability guarantees | 35% | 35% | 28% | 14% | 7% |
| (1) | | | | | |
| Firm LD | 4% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Total | 92% | 83% | 59% | 43% | 25% |
| Planned energy output (TWh) | 10 | 41 | 40 | 41 | 41 |
| Average contracted price per MWh (2) | \$53 | \$61 | \$58 | \$55 | \$54 |

- (1) A sale of power on a unit-contingent basis coupled with a guarantee of availability provides for the payment to the power purchaser of contract damages, if incurred, in the event the seller fails to deliver power as a result of the failure of the specified generation unit to generate power at or above a specified availability threshold. All of Entergy's outstanding guarantees of availability provide for dollar limits on Entergy's maximum liability under such guarantees.
- (2) The Vermont Yankee acquisition included a 10-year PPA under which the former owners will buy most of the power produced by the plant, which is through the expiration in 2012 of the current operating license for the plant. The PPA includes an adjustment clause under which the prices specified in the PPA will be adjusted downward monthly, beginning in November 2005, if power market prices drop below PPA prices, which has not happened thus far and is not expected in the foreseeable future.

Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business' purchase of the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants from NYPA included value sharing agreements with NYPA. In October 2007, NYPA and the subsidiaries that own the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants amended and restated the value sharing agreements to clarify and amend certain provisions of the original terms. Under the amended value sharing agreements, Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business agreed to make annual payments to NYPA based on the generation output of the Indian Point 3 and FitzPatrick plants from January 2007 through December 2014. Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business will pay NYPA \$6.59 per MWh for power sold from Indian Point 3, up to an annual cap of \$48 million, and \$3.91 per MWh for power sold from FitzPatrick, up to an annual cap of \$24 million. The annual payment for each year is due by January 15 of the following year. In August 2008, Non-Utility Nuclear entered into a resolution of a dispute with NYPA over the applicability of the value sharing agreements to its FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 nuclear power plants after the planned spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business. Under the resolution, Non-Utility Nuclear agreed not to treat the separation as a "Cessation Event" that would terminate its obligation to make the payments under the value sharing agreements. As a result, after the spin-off transaction, Non-Utility Nuclear will continue to be obligated to make payments to NYPA under the amended and restated value sharing agreements.

Some of the agreements to sell the power produced by Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear power plants contain provisions

that require an Entergy subsidiary to provide collateral to secure its obligations under the agreements. The Entergy subsidiary is required to provide collateral based upon the difference between the current market and contracted power prices in the regions where Non-Utility Nuclear sells power. The primary form of collateral to satisfy these requirements is an Entergy Corporation guaranty. Cash and letters of credit are also acceptable forms of collateral. At September 30, 2008, based on power prices at that time, Entergy had in place as collateral \$631 million of Entergy Corporation guarantees for wholesale transactions, including \$60 million of guarantees that support letters of credit and \$11 million of cash collateral. The assurance requirement associated with Non-Utility Nuclear is estimated to increase by an amount of up to \$217 million if gas prices increase \$1 per MMBtu in both the short- and long-term markets. In the event of a decrease in Entergy Corporation's credit rating to below investment grade, Entergy will be required to replace Entergy Corporation guarantees with cash or letters of credit under some of the agreements.

For the planned energy output under contract through 2012, 56% of the planned energy output is under contract with counterparties with public investment grade credit ratings; 28% is with counterparties with public non-investment grade credit ratings, primarily Consumers Energy, the BB+ rated company from which Entergy purchased the Palisades power plant and entered into a long-term fixed-price purchased power agreement; and 16% is with load-serving entities without public credit ratings.

In addition to selling the power produced by its plants, the Non-Utility Nuclear business sells installed capacity to load-serving distribution companies in order for those companies to meet requirements placed on them by the ISO in their area. Following is a summary of the amount of the Non-Utility Nuclear business' installed capacity that is currently sold forward, and the blended amount of the Non-Utility Nuclear business' planned generation output and installed capacity that is currently sold forward as of September 30, 2008 (2008 represents the remaining quarter of the year):

| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Non-Utility Nuclear | | | | | |
| : | | | | | |
| Percent of capacity sold forward: | | | | | |
| Bundled capacity and energy contracts | 27% | 27% | 26% | 27% | 19% |
| Capacity contracts | 60% | 45% | 31% | 15% | 2% |
| Total | 87% | 72% | 57% | 42% | 21% |
| Planned net MW in operation | 4,998 | 4,998 | 4,998 | 4,998 | 4,998 |
| Average capacity contract price per kW per month | \$2.0 | \$2.1 | \$3.4 | \$3.7 | \$3.5 |
| <u>Blended Capacity and Energy (based on revenues)</u> | | | | | |
| % of planned generation and capacity sold forward | 89% | 80% | 53% | 35% | 18% |
| Average contract revenue per MWh | \$55 | \$62 | \$61 | \$57 | \$54 |

25

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, unbilled revenue, impairment of long-lived assets, qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and other contingencies. Following is an update to that discussion.

Qualified Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

Costs and Funding

As discussed in the Form 10-K, the intent of the Pension Protection Act of 2006 is to require companies to fund 100% of their pension liability; and then for companies to fund, on a going-forward basis, an amount generally estimated to be the amount that the pension liability increases each year due to an additional year of service by the employees eligible for pension benefits. The legislation requires that funding shortfalls be eliminated by companies over a seven-year period, beginning in 2008. The Pension Protection Act also extended the provisions of the Pension Funding Equity Act that would have expired in 2006 had the Pension Protection Act not been enacted, which increased the allowed discount rate used to calculate the pension funding liability.

The recent decline in stock market prices may affect Entergy's planned levels of contributions. Minimum required funding calculations as determined under Pension Protection Act guidance are performed annually as of January 1 of each year and are based on measurements of the market-related values of assets and funding liabilities as measured at that date. An excess of the funding liability over the market-related value of assets, as determined under the Pension Protection Act, would result in a funding shortfall which, under the Pension Protection Act, must be funded over a seven-year rolling period. Entergy's minimum required contributions for the 2009 plan year are generally payable in installments throughout 2009 and 2010 and will be based on the funding calculations as of January 1, 2009. The final date at which 2009 plan year contributions may be made is September 15, 2010. Absent a significant recovery in stock market prices by January 1, it is likely that the minimum required contributions for the 2009 plan year, payable in 2009 or 2010, will increase although the level of increase or timing of that increase cannot be determined until the January 1, 2009 valuation is performed. If the required discount rate to calculate the funding liabilities increases, it would result in a decrease in the liability, which would somewhat offset the increase in the level of the minimum required contributions caused by the decline in the market related values of assets. Entergy does not anticipate any significant additional pension funding contributions in 2008, and contributions for the 2008 plan year that remain to be paid in 2009 are not expected to increase significantly as a result of the current market conditions.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2008 the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161 "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS 161), which requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands, Except Share Data) | | | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$3,209,000 | \$2,646,546 | \$7,779,450 | \$6,952,648 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Natural gas | 41,981 | 30,154 | 185,361 | 158,014 |
| Competitive businesses | 712,903 | 612,387 | 2,128,077 | 1,641,836 |
| TOTAL | 3,963,884 | 3,289,087 | 10,092,888 | 8,752,498 |

OPERATING EXPENSES

Operating and Maintenance:

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale | 1,270,160 | 809,283 | 2,537,498 | 2,192,296 |
| Purchased power | 764,122 | 520,622 | 2,132,967 | 1,565,861 |
| Nuclear refueling outage expenses | 58,079 | 44,387 | 165,177 | 131,977 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 636,989 | 667,376 | 1,958,566 | 1,871,424 |
| Decommissioning | 47,515 | 43,597 | 140,327 | 123,507 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 140,819 | 129,123 | 375,332 | 368,153 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 263,656 | 239,064 | 756,617 | 710,127 |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 30,452 | 25,303 | 99,970 | 62,187 |
| TOTAL | 3,211,792 | 2,478,755 | 8,166,454 | 7,025,532 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| OPERATING INCOME | 752,092 | 810,332 | 1,926,434 | 1,726,966 |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|

OTHER INCOME

| | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 10,411 | 9,367 | 28,782 | 34,084 |
| Interest and dividend income | 30,400 | 63,754 | 108,080 | 174,811 |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated equity affiliates | 1,459 | 1,432 | (2,042) | 3,533 |
| Miscellaneous - net | 5,200 | (6,103) | (2,439) | (17,881) |
| TOTAL | 47,470 | 68,450 | 132,381 | 194,547 |

INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Interest on long-term debt | 128,746 | 133,165 | 371,793 | 380,321 |
| Other interest - net | 33,229 | 52,503 | 93,795 | 118,270 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (5,939) | (5,260) | (15,992) | (20,175) |
| Preferred dividend requirements and other | 4,998 | 6,375 | 14,971 | 18,784 |
| TOTAL | 161,034 | 186,783 | 464,567 | 497,200 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 638,528 | 691,999 | 1,594,248 | 1,424,313 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|

| | | | | |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Income taxes | 168,239 | 230,840 | 544,256 | 483,357 |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME | \$470,289 | \$461,159 | \$1,049,992 | \$940,956 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|

Earnings per average common share:

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Basic | \$2.47 | \$2.37 | \$5.48 | \$4.77 |
| Diluted | \$2.41 | \$2.30 | \$5.33 | \$4.63 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$0.75 | \$0.75 | \$2.25 | \$1.83 |

Basic average number of common shares
outstanding

| | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 190,379,009 | 194,864,359 | 191,444,611 | 197,443,652 |
| 194,960,830 | 200,532,942 | 197,064,629 | 203,362,110 |

Diluted average number of common shares
outstanding

See Notes to Financial Statements.

27

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Consolidated net income | \$1,049,992 | \$940,956 |
| Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities: | | |
| Reserve for regulatory adjustments | (1,861) | (18,337) |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 99,970 | 62,187 |
| Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning | 896,945 | 833,634 |
| Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 561,704 | 510,435 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated equity affiliates - net of dividends | 2,042 | (3,533) |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | (265,349) | (317,454) |
| Fuel inventory | (19,881) | 390 |
| Accounts payable | 126,665 | (155,736) |
| Taxes accrued | - | (176,790) |
| Interest accrued | (8,152) | 8,180 |
| Deferred fuel | (395,618) | (89,558) |
| Other working capital accounts | (88,417) | (53,977) |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | 230,834 | 24,753 |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 941,625 | 124,102 |
| Other | (437,685) | (62,500) |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 2,692,814 | 1,626,752 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Construction/capital expenditures | (1,455,657) | (1,083,090) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 28,782 | 34,084 |
| Nuclear fuel purchases | (327,606) | (272,137) |
| Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel | 250,447 | 128,292 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets and businesses | 30,725 | 13,063 |
| Payment for purchase of plant | (266,823) | (336,211) |
| Insurance proceeds received for property damages | 130,120 | 82,648 |
| Changes in transition charge account | (2,151) | - |
| NYPA value sharing payment | (72,000) | - |

| | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Decrease (increase) in other investments | (227,976) | 71,770 |
| Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales | 1,228,760 | 1,299,685 |
| Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds | (1,259,288) | (1,388,806) |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (1,942,667) | (1,450,702) |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

28

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|-------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of: | | |
| Long-term debt | 3,433,184 | 2,437,163 |
| Common stock and treasury stock | 35,841 | 59,175 |
| Retirement of long-term debt | (2,004,118) | (889,813) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (468,079) | (1,024,185) |
| Redemption of preferred stock | - | (3,450) |
| Changes in credit line borrowings - net | - | 60,000 |
| Dividends paid: | | |
| Common stock | (431,032) | (361,574) |
| Preferred stock | (15,028) | (19,532) |
| Net cash flow provided by financing activities | 550,768 | 257,784 |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 1,245 | (394) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,302,160 | 433,440 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 1,253,728 | 1,016,152 |
| Effect of the reconsolidation of Entergy New Orleans on cash and cash equivalents | - | 17,093 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$2,555,888 | \$1,466,685 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$455,791 | \$449,038 |
| Income taxes | \$127,953 | \$349,058 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

29

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Cash | \$132,169 | \$126,652 |
| Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market | 2,423,719 | 1,127,076 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 2,555,888 | 1,253,728 |
| Securitization recovery trust account | 21,424 | 19,273 |
| Accounts receivable: | | |
| Customer | 939,028 | 610,724 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (23,025) | (25,789) |
| Other | 249,808 | 303,060 |
| Accrued unbilled revenues | 275,605 | 288,076 |
| Total accounts receivable | 1,441,416 | 1,176,071 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 342,924 | - |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | - | 38,117 |
| Fuel inventory - at average cost | 228,465 | 208,584 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 755,220 | 692,376 |
| Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs | 187,394 | 172,936 |
| System agreement cost equalization | 108,048 | 268,000 |
| Prepayments and other | 246,185 | 129,162 |
| TOTAL | 5,886,964 | 3,958,247 |
| OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | | |
| Investment in affiliates - at equity | 78,116 | 78,992 |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 3,002,792 | 3,307,636 |
| Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation) | 232,213 | 220,204 |
| Other | 309,587 | 82,563 |
| TOTAL | 3,622,708 | 3,689,395 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | | |
| Electric | 34,196,682 | 32,959,022 |
| Property under capital lease | 738,129 | 740,095 |
| Natural gas | 301,535 | 300,767 |
| Construction work in progress | 1,453,227 | 1,054,833 |
| Nuclear fuel under capital lease | 450,961 | 361,502 |

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Nuclear fuel | 652,986 | 665,620 |
| TOTAL PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | 37,793,520 | 36,081,839 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 15,741,373 | 15,107,569 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT - NET | 22,052,147 | 20,974,270 |
| DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS | | |
| Regulatory assets: | | |
| SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net | 604,553 | 595,743 |
| Other regulatory assets | 2,830,088 | 2,971,399 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 168,122 | 168,122 |
| Goodwill | 377,172 | 377,172 |
| Other | 916,210 | 908,654 |
| TOTAL | 4,896,145 | 5,021,090 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$36,457,964 | \$33,643,002 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

30

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Currently maturing long-term debt | \$217,563 | \$996,757 |
| Notes payable | 25,034 | 25,037 |
| Accounts payable | 1,919,113 | 1,031,300 |
| Customer deposits | 302,116 | 291,171 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 42,418 | - |
| Interest accrued | 179,809 | 187,968 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 2,254 | 54,947 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 151,721 | 152,615 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 35,765 | 34,795 |
| System agreement cost equalization | 149,397 | 268,000 |
| Other | 294,167 | 214,164 |
| TOTAL | 3,319,357 | 3,256,754 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 6,764,567 | 6,379,679 |

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 330,058 | 343,539 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 308,826 | 220,438 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | 389,721 | 490,323 |
| Decommissioning and asset retirement cost liabilities | 2,633,331 | 2,489,061 |
| Accumulated provisions | 365,427 | 133,406 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 1,138,677 | 1,361,326 |
| Long-term debt | 11,952,591 | 9,728,135 |
| Other | 967,463 | 1,066,508 |
| TOTAL | 24,850,661 | 22,212,415 |

Commitments and Contingencies

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Preferred stock without sinking fund | 311,023 | 311,162 |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock, \$.01 par value, authorized 500,000,000

shares; issued 248,174,087 shares in 2008 and in 2007

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| | 2,482 | 2,482 |
| Paid-in capital | 4,864,968 | 4,850,769 |
| Retained earnings | 7,354,147 | 6,735,965 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (111,338) | 8,320 |

Less - treasury stock, at cost (58,319,245 shares in 2008 and 55,053,847 shares in 2007)

| | | |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 4,133,336 | 3,734,865 |
| TOTAL | 7,976,923 | 7,862,671 |

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| | \$36,457,964 | \$33,643,002 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS, COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, AND PAID-IN CAPITAL
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

2008 **2007**
(In Thousands)

RETAINED EARNINGS

| | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Retained Earnings - Beginning of period | \$7,027,630 | \$6,372,687 |
| Add: Consolidated net income | 470,286 | 461,159 |
| | \$470,286 | \$461,159 |

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| Deduct: | | | | |
| Dividends declared on common stock | 143,769 | | 145,891 | |
| Retained Earnings - End of period | \$7,354,147 | | \$6,687,955 | |
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period: | | | | |
| Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes | (\$476,586) | | (\$59,562) | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (109,034) | | (105,770) | |
| Net unrealized investment gains | 67,838 | | 116,897 | |
| Foreign currency translation | 6,824 | | 6,666 | |
| Total | (510,958) | | (41,769) | |
| Net derivative instrument fair value changes arising during the period (net of tax expense of \$245,497 and \$24,296) | 439,852 | 439,852 | 42,201 | 42,201 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$1,317) and \$682) | (547) | (547) | 69 | 69 |
| Net unrealized investment gains (losses) (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$33,716) and \$24,586) | (38,009) | (38,009) | 7,541 | 7,541 |
| Foreign currency translation (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$902) and \$82) | (1,676) | (1,676) | 152 | 152 |
| Balance at end of period: | | | | |
| Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes | (36,734) | | (17,361) | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (109,581) | | (105,701) | |
| Net unrealized investment gains | 29,829 | | 124,438 | |
| Foreign currency translation | 5,148 | | 6,818 | |
| Total | (\$111,338) | | \$8,194 | |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | \$869,906 | | \$511,122 |
| PAID-IN CAPITAL | | | | |
| Paid-in Capital - Beginning of period | \$4,860,481 | | \$4,841,059 | |
| Add: | | | | |
| Common stock issuances related to stock plans | 4,487 | | 5,775 | |
| Paid-in Capital - End of period | \$4,864,968 | | \$4,846,834 | |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

32

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS, COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, AND
PAID-IN CAPITAL
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | | 2007 | |
|--|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| | | (In Thousands) | | |
| RETAINED EARNINGS | | | | |
| Retained Earnings - Beginning of period | \$6,735,965 | | \$6,113,042 | |
| Add: | | | | |
| Consolidated net income | 1,049,992 | \$1,049,992 | 940,956 | \$940,956 |
| Adjustment related to FIN 48 implementation | - | | (4,600) | |
| Total | 1,049,992 | | 936,356 | |
| Deduct: | | | | |
| Dividends declared on common stock | 431,810 | | 361,443 | |
| Retained Earnings - End of period | \$7,354,147 | | \$6,687,955 | |
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period: | | | | |
| Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes | (\$12,540) | | (\$105,578) | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (107,145) | | (105,909) | |
| Net unrealized investment gains | 121,611 | | 104,551 | |
| Foreign currency translation | 6,394 | | 6,424 | |
| Total | 8,320 | | (100,512) | |
| Net derivative instrument fair value changes arising during the period (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$14,377) and \$54,472) | (24,194) | (24,194) | 88,217 | 88,217 |
| | (2,436) | (2,436) | 208 | 208 |

Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$3,008 and \$2,048)

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--------|--------|
| Net unrealized investment gains (losses) (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$68,247) and \$10,968) | (91,782) | (91,782) | 19,887 | 19,887 |
|--|----------|----------|--------|--------|

| | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|-----|-----|
| Foreign currency translation (net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$671) and \$442) | (1,246) | (1,246) | 394 | 394 |
|--|---------|---------|-----|-----|

Balance at end of period:

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--|--|
| Accumulated derivative instrument fair value changes | (36,734) | (17,361) | | |
|--|----------|----------|--|--|

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (109,581) | (105,701) | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|--|--|

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|
| Net unrealized investment gains | 29,829 | 124,438 | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Foreign currency translation | 5,148 | 6,818 | | |
|------------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|

| | | | | |
|-------|-------------|---------|--|--|
| Total | (\$111,338) | \$8,194 | | |
|-------|-------------|---------|--|--|

| | | | | |
|----------------------|--|-----------|--|-------------|
| Comprehensive Income | | \$930,334 | | \$1,049,662 |
|----------------------|--|-----------|--|-------------|

PAID-IN CAPITAL

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| Paid-in Capital - Beginning of period | \$4,850,769 | \$4,827,265 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|

Add (Deduct):

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--|--|
| Common stock issuances related to stock plans | 14,199 | 19,569 | | |
|---|--------|--------|--|--|

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| Paid-in Capital - End of period | \$4,864,968 | \$4,846,834 | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES **SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS** **For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007** **(Unaudited)**

| Description | Three Months Ended 2008 | 2007 | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|-------------|----------------------------|------|-------------------------|---|
| | (Dollars In Millions) | | | |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Utility Electric Operating Revenues:

| | | | | |
|------------------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| Residential | \$1,295 | \$1,076 | \$219 | 20 |
| Commercial | 867 | 684 | 183 | 27 |
| Industrial | 897 | 646 | 251 | 39 |
| Governmental | 74 | 60 | 14 | 23 |
| Total retail | 3,133 | 2,466 | 667 | 27 |
| Sales for resale | 91 | 106 | (15) | (14) |
| Other | (15) | 75 | (90) | (120) |
| Total | \$3,209 | \$2,647 | \$562 | 21 |

Utility Billed Electric Energy

Sales (GWh):

| | | | | |
|------------------|--------|--------|-------|-----|
| Residential | 10,671 | 11,128 | (457) | (4) |
| Commercial | 7,997 | 8,111 | (114) | (1) |
| Industrial | 10,110 | 10,120 | (10) | - |
| Governmental | 649 | 637 | 12 | 2 |
| Total retail | 29,427 | 29,996 | (569) | (2) |
| Sales for resale | 1,431 | 1,413 | 18 | 1 |
| Total | 30,858 | 31,409 | (551) | (2) |

Non-Utility Nuclear:

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|----|
| Operating Revenues | \$654 | \$554 | \$100 | 18 |
| Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh) | 10,316 | 10,105 | 211 | 2 |

| Description | Nine Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| (Dollars In Millions) | | | | |
| Utility Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$2,833 | \$2,512 | \$321 | 13 |
| Commercial | 2,076 | 1,816 | 260 | 14 |
| Industrial | 2,241 | 1,920 | 321 | 17 |
| Governmental | 186 | 163 | 23 | 14 |
| Total retail | 7,336 | 6,411 | 925 | 14 |
| Sales for resale | 277 | 295 | (18) | (6) |
| Other | 166 | 247 | (81) | (33) |
| Total | \$7,779 | \$6,953 | \$826 | 12 |
| Utility Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh): | | | | |
| Residential | 26,055 | 25,905 | 150 | 1 |
| Commercial | 20,922 | 20,708 | 214 | 1 |
| Industrial | 29,217 | 29,256 | (39) | - |
| Governmental | 1,805 | 1,749 | 56 | 3 |
| Total retail | 77,999 | 77,618 | 381 | - |
| Sales for resale | 4,160 | 4,479 | (319) | (7) |
| Total | 82,159 | 82,097 | 62 | - |
| Non-Utility Nuclear: | | | | |
| Operating Revenues | \$1,945 | \$1,484 | \$461 | 31 |
| Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh) | 31,221 | 27,315 | 3,906 | 14 |

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

NOTE 1. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries are involved in a number of legal, regulatory, and tax proceedings before various courts, regulatory commissions, and governmental agencies in the ordinary course of business. While management is unable to predict the outcome of such proceedings, management does not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse effect on Entergy's results of operations, cash flows, or financial condition, except as otherwise discussed in the Form 10-K or herein. Entergy discusses regulatory proceedings in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K, the Entergy Texas Form 10, and herein and discusses tax proceedings in Note 3 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and the Entergy Texas Form 10, and in Note 10 to the financial statements herein.

Nuclear Insurance

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information on nuclear liability and property insurance associated with Entergy's nuclear power plants.

Conventional Property Insurance

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and Note 6 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for information regarding Entergy's non-nuclear property insurance program. In April 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$53.6 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina claim, and almost all of the April 2008 proceeds were allocated to Entergy New Orleans. In the third quarter 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$17.5 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita claims, which were allocated as follows: \$1.8 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$2.2 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$9.7 million to Entergy New Orleans, and \$3.3 million to Entergy Texas, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Entergy has settled its lawsuit against one of its excess insurers on the Hurricane Katrina claim, and in July 2008 received \$71.5 million in proceeds on the claim. The July 2008 proceeds were allocated as follows: \$2.0 million to Entergy Arkansas, \$3.0 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$12.4 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$1.8 million to Entergy Mississippi, and \$48.4 million to Entergy New Orleans, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

NYPA Value Sharing Agreements

Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business' purchase of the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants from NYPA included value sharing agreements with NYPA. In October 2007, NYPA and the subsidiaries that own the FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 plants amended and restated the value sharing agreements to clarify and amend certain provisions of the original terms. Under the amended value sharing agreements, Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business agreed to make annual payments to NYPA based on the generation output of the Indian Point 3 and FitzPatrick plants from January 2007 through December 2014. Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business will pay NYPA \$6.59 per MWh for power sold from Indian Point 3, up to an annual cap of \$48 million, and \$3.91 per MWh for power sold from FitzPatrick, up to an annual cap of \$24 million. The annual payment for each year is due by January 15 of the following year. In August 2008, Non-Utility Nuclear entered into a resolution of a dispute with NYPA over the applicability of the value sharing agreements to its FitzPatrick and Indian Point 3 nuclear power plants after the planned spin-off of the Non-Utility Nuclear business. Under the resolution, Non-Utility Nuclear agreed not to treat the separation as a

35

"Cessation Event" that would terminate its obligation to make the payments under the value sharing agreements. As a result, after the spin-off transaction, Non-Utility

Nuclear will continue to be obligated to make payments to NYPA under the amended and restated value sharing agreements.

Employment Litigation

The Registrant Subsidiaries and other Entergy subsidiaries are responding to various lawsuits in both state and federal courts and to other labor-related proceedings filed by current and former employees and third parties not selected for open positions. These actions include, but are not limited to, allegations of wrongful employment actions; wage disputes and other claims under the Fair Labor Standards Act or its state counterparts; claims of race, gender and disability discrimination; disputes arising under collective bargaining agreements; unfair labor practice proceedings and other administrative proceedings before the National Labor Relations Board; claims of retaliation; and claims for or regarding benefits under various Entergy Corporation sponsored plans. Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries are responding to these suits and proceedings and deny liability to the claimants.

Asbestos and Hazardous Material Litigation

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, and Entergy Texas)

See Note 8 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding asbestos and hazardous material litigation involving Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy New Orleans and see Note 6 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for information regarding asbestos and hazardous material litigation involving Entergy Texas.

NOTE 2. RATE AND REGULATORY MATTERS

Regulatory Assets

Other Regulatory Assets

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for information regarding regulatory assets in the Utility business reflected on the balance sheets of Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries.

Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike

As a result of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, which caused catastrophic damage to portions of Entergy's service territories in Louisiana and Texas, and to a lesser extent in Arkansas and Mississippi, Entergy has recorded accounts payable for the estimated costs incurred that were necessary to return customers to service. Entergy recorded corresponding regulatory assets of approximately \$550 million and construction work in progress of approximately \$430 million. Entergy recorded the regulatory assets in accordance with its accounting policies and based on the historic treatment of such costs in its service territories (except for Entergy Arkansas), because management believes that recovery through some form of regulatory mechanism is probable. Because Entergy has not gone through the regulatory process regarding these storm costs, however, there is an element of risk, and Entergy is unable to predict with certainty the degree of success it may have in its recovery initiatives, the amount of restoration costs that it may ultimately recover, or the timing of such recovery. The construction work in progress that has not been paid as of September 30, 2008, approximately \$117 million, including \$47 million for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$11 million for Entergy Louisiana, \$13 million for Entergy New Orleans, and \$44 million for Entergy Texas, represents non-cash investing activity not reported in the statement of cash flows.

Fuel and purchased power cost recovery

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding fuel proceedings involving the Utility operating companies. Following are updates to that information.

36

Entergy Arkansas

Production Cost Allocation Rider

In its June 2007 decision on Entergy Arkansas' August 2006 rate filing, the APSC approved a production cost allocation rider for recovery from customers of the retail portion of the costs allocated to Entergy Arkansas as a result of the System Agreement proceedings, but set a termination date of December 31, 2008 for the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued a subsequent order stating the production cost allocation rider will remain in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On March 18, 2008, the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The appellants' and appellees' briefs have been filed with the court of appeals.

In June 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual redetermination of the production cost allocation rider. The redetermination resulted in a slight increase in the rates beginning with the first billing cycle of July 2008.

See Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries' "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Significant Factors and Known Trends - Federal Regulation - System Agreement Proceedings**" in the Form 10-K and herein for a discussion of the System Agreement proceedings.

Energy Cost Recovery Rider

Entergy Arkansas' retail rates include an energy cost recovery rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order stating that Entergy Arkansas' energy cost recovery rider will remain in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On March 18, 2008, the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The appellants' and appellees' briefs have been filed with the court of appeals.

In March 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual energy cost rate for the period April 2008 through March 2009. The filed energy cost rate increased from \$0.01179/kWh to \$0.01869/kWh. The increase was caused by the following: 1) all three of the nuclear power plants from which Entergy Arkansas obtains power, ANO 1 and 2 and Grand Gulf, will have refueling outages in 2008, and the energy cost rate is adjusted to account for the replacement power costs that will be incurred while these units are down; 2) Entergy Arkansas has a deferred fuel cost balance from under-recovered fuel costs at December 31, 2007; and 3) fuel and purchased power prices have increased.

In August 2008, as provided for by its energy cost recovery rider, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC an interim revision to its energy cost rate. The revised energy cost rate is an increase from \$0.01869/kWh to \$0.02456/kWh. The increase was caused by the continued increase in natural gas and purchased power prices from the levels used in setting the rate in March 2008. The interim revised energy cost rate went into effect for the first billing cycle of September 2008. In October 2008 the APSC issued an order that requires Entergy Arkansas to file for investigative purposes only monthly updates of its actual and projected over/under-recovery of fuel and purchased power costs. The APSC order also states that the interim revised energy cost rate will remain in effect pending further investigation and order of the APSC, and the APSC reserves the right after notice and hearing to prospectively modify the energy cost rate.

APSC Investigations

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the APSC's investigation of Entergy Arkansas' energy cost recovery practices. In January 2007, the APSC issued an order in its review of Entergy Arkansas' September 2005 interim rate. The APSC found that Entergy Arkansas failed to maintain an adequate coal inventory level going into the summer of 2005 and that Entergy Arkansas should be responsible for any incremental

energy costs resulting from two outages caused by employee and contractor error. The coal plant generation curtailments were caused by railroad delivery problems and Entergy has since resolved litigation with the railroad regarding the delivery problems. The APSC staff was directed to perform an analysis with Entergy Arkansas' assistance to determine the additional fuel and purchased energy costs associated with these findings and file the analysis within 60 days of the order. After a final determination of the costs is made by the APSC, Entergy Arkansas would be directed to refund that amount with interest to its customers as a credit on the energy cost recovery rider. The order also stated that the APSC would address any additional issues regarding the energy cost recovery rider in Entergy Arkansas' rate case filed in August 2006. Entergy Arkansas requested rehearing of the order. In March 2007, in order to allow further consideration by the APSC, the APSC granted Entergy Arkansas' petition for rehearing and

for stay of the APSC order. In October 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed a motion to lift the stay and asks for rescission of the APSC's January 2007 order in light of the arguments advanced in Entergy Arkansas' rehearing petition and because the value for the Entergy Arkansas' customers obtained through the resolved railroad litigation is significantly greater than the incremental cost of actions identified by the APSC as imprudent. The APSC staff, the AEEC, and the Arkansas attorney general support the lifting of the stay but request additional proceedings. The APSC staff submitted a proposed procedural schedule that calls for a hearing in April 2009.

Entergy Mississippi

In May 2008, Entergy Mississippi filed its quarterly fuel adjustment factor for the third quarter 2008, effective beginning with July 2008 bills. The third quarter 2008 factor is \$0.038861/kWh, which is an increase from the \$0.010878/kWh factor for the second quarter 2008. The increase is due to a significant increase in fuel prices, and Entergy Mississippi has gone from an over-recovery to an under-recovery position during 2008. After a decline in fuel prices, Entergy Mississippi filed on August 13, 2008 a mid-quarter revision to its fuel adjustment factor. The revised factor is \$0.024058/kWh, effective for September 2008 bills. On August 15, 2008, Entergy Mississippi filed its quarterly fuel adjustment factor for the fourth quarter 2008, effective beginning with October 2008 bills. Under an agreement with the Mississippi Public Utilities staff, approved by the MPSC, the fourth quarter 2008 rate will be set at the September 2008 rate of \$0.024058/kWh.

In July 2008, the MPSC began a proceeding to investigate the fuel procurement practices and fuel adjustment schedules of the Mississippi utility companies, including Entergy Mississippi. A two-day public hearing was held in July 2008, and after a recess during which the MPSC reviewed information, the hearing resumed on August 5, 2008 for additional testimony by an expert witness retained by the MPSC. The expert witness presented testimony regarding a review of the utilities' fuel adjustment clauses. The MPSC stated that the goal of the proceeding is fact-finding so that the MPSC may decide whether to amend the current fuel cost recovery process.

The Mississippi attorney general has also issued a civil investigative demand directed at Entergy Corporation, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy Services regarding information related to Entergy Mississippi's fuel adjustment clause. The Mississippi attorney general states that he is investigating whether Entergy has violated Mississippi's consumer protection laws. Entergy opposes the civil investigative demand of the Mississippi attorney general on several grounds, including that the proper jurisdiction for the Mississippi attorney general's request for information is through the MPSC and the FERC. On October 29, 2008, the MPSC issued a subpoena to Entergy Mississippi and Entergy Services requesting documents associated with fuel adjustment clause litigation in Louisiana involving Entergy Louisiana and Entergy New Orleans.

Entergy Texas

In January 2008, Entergy Texas made a compliance filing with the PUCT describing how its 2007 Rough Production Cost Equalization receipts under the System Agreement were allocated between Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s Texas and Louisiana jurisdictions. Several parties have intervened in the proceeding. A hearing was held at the end of July 2008, and in October 2008 the ALJ issued a proposal for decision recommending an additional \$18.6 million allocation to Texas retail customers. Entergy Texas will file exceptions to the ALJ's proposal for decision. Because the PUCT allocation to Texas retail customers is inconsistent with the LPSC allocation to Louisiana retail customers, adoption of the proposal for decision by the PUCT would result in trapped costs between the Texas and Louisiana jurisdictions.

Entergy will seek relief from the FERC or other appropriate relief if that occurs. The PUCT will consider final action on the proposal for decision and exceptions thereto at a future meeting.

In October 2007, Entergy Texas filed a request with the PUCT to refund \$45.6 million, including interest, of fuel cost recovery over-collections through September 2007. In January 2008, Entergy Texas filed with the PUCT a stipulation and settlement agreement among the parties that updated the over-collection balance through November 2007 and establishes a refund amount, including interest, of \$71 million. The PUCT approved the agreement in February 2008. The refund was made over a two-month period beginning February 2008, but was reduced by \$10.3 million of under-recovered incremental purchased capacity costs. Amounts refunded through the interim fuel refund are subject to final reconciliation in a future fuel reconciliation proceeding.

Storm Cost Recovery Filings

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding storm cost recovery filings involving the Utility operating companies. The following is an update to the Form 10-K.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana - Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3, 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively, through prospective annual rate reductions of \$2 million and \$6 million for five years. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. In May 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted final approval of the Act 55 financings.

On July 29, 2008, the LPFA issued \$687.7 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the \$679 million of bond proceeds loaned by the LPFA to the LURC, the LURC deposited \$152 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Louisiana and transferred \$527 million directly to Entergy Louisiana. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Louisiana invested \$545 million, including \$17.8 million that was withdrawn from the restricted escrow account as approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, in exchange for 5,449,861.85 Class A preferred, non-voting, membership interest units of Entergy Holdings Company LLC, a company wholly-owned and consolidated by Entergy, that carry a 10% annual distribution rate. Distributions are payable quarterly commencing on September 15, 2008 and have a liquidation price of \$100 per unit. The preferred membership interests are callable at the option of Entergy Holdings Company LLC after ten years. The terms of the membership interests include certain financial covenants to which Entergy Holdings Company LLC is subject, including the requirement to maintain a net worth of at least \$1 billion.

On August 26, 2008, the LPFA issued \$278.4 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the \$274.7 million of bond proceeds loaned by the LPFA to the LURC, the LURC deposited \$87 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and transferred \$187.7 million directly to

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana invested \$189.4 million, including \$1.7 million that was withdrawn from

the restricted escrow account as approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, in exchange for 1,893,918.39 Class A preferred, non-voting, membership interest units of Entergy Holdings Company LLC, a company wholly-owned and consolidated by Entergy, that carry a 10% annual distribution rate. Distributions are payable quarterly commencing on September 15, 2008 and have a liquidation price of \$100 per unit. The preferred membership interests are callable at the option of Entergy Holdings Company LLC after ten years. The terms of the membership interests include certain financial covenants to which Entergy Holdings Company LLC is subject, including the requirement to maintain a net worth of at least \$1 billion.

Entergy, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, and Entergy Louisiana will not report the bonds on their balance sheets because the bonds are the obligation of the LPFA, and there is no recourse against Entergy, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana or Entergy Louisiana in the event of a bond default.

Retail Rate Proceedings

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for information regarding retail rate proceedings involving the Utility operating companies. The following are updates to the Form 10-K.

Filings with the APSC (Entergy Arkansas)

Retail Rates

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the proceedings in Entergy Arkansas' August 2006 request for a change in base rates. Oral argument on Entergy Arkansas' appeal to the Arkansas Court of Appeals has been scheduled for November 19, 2008.

Ouachita Acquisition

Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC in September 2007 for its approval of the Ouachita plant acquisition, including full cost recovery. The APSC Staff and the Arkansas attorney general supported Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the plant, but oppose the sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The industrial group AEEC opposed Entergy Arkansas' purchase of the plant. The Arkansas attorney general opposed recovery of the non-fuel costs of the plant through a separate rider, while the APSC Staff recommended revisions to the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order approving recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement, which was in effect until the APSC took action on the acquisition of the plant. A hearing before the APSC was held in April 2008 to address Entergy Arkansas' request for acquisition of the plant and concurrent cost recovery. In June 2008 the APSC approved Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the Ouachita plant and approved recovery of the acquisition and ownership costs through a rate rider. The APSC also approved the planned sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The Arkansas attorney general, the AEEC, and Entergy Arkansas requests for rehearing of the APSC order were denied. Entergy Arkansas' request for rehearing concerned the 7.61% before-tax return on rate base approved by the APSC, which reflects significant sources of zero-cost capital already reflected in base rates. Entergy Arkansas had requested a 10.87% before-tax return on rate base reflecting the cost of the debt and equity capital resources available to finance the Ouachita plant

acquisition.

On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order that approved recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement. This order also rejected various annual earnings review proposals. The Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed their appeal briefs in October 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due November 12, 2008.

In August 2008 the AEEC also filed a complaint at the FERC seeking a review by the FERC of "Entergy Corporation's efforts" to acquire the Ouachita plant, alleging that the acquisition violates the System Agreement and the Federal Power Act and that the plant should be an "[Entergy Arkansas] only resource." The AEEC complaint also states that it seeks clarity on whether Entergy Arkansas' termination of its participation in the System Agreement will affect Entergy Arkansas' rights to the Ouachita facility. The APSC, LPSC, MPSC, and City

40

Council have intervened in the proceeding. Entergy filed in September 2008 its answer to the complaint and asked the FERC to dismiss the proceeding.

Entergy Arkansas purchased the Ouachita plant on September 30, 2008.

Storm Cost Recovery in Arkansas

In June 2008, together with other Arkansas utilities, Entergy Arkansas filed a joint application for approval of storm cost recovery accounting and a storm damage rider. To enable recovery of 2008 storm cost expenditures through the rider and storm reserve accounting, the applicants requested that the APSC establish a procedural schedule that would allow resolution of this proceeding no later than December 15, 2008. In light of a separate docket established by the APSC in September 2008 to consider "innovative approaches to utility regulation," including approaches to address "recovery of extraordinary storm damage restoration expenses," the utilities withdrew their joint application in October 2008.

The utilities noted in their withdrawal that the new APSC docket is unlikely to be concluded in 2008, and Entergy Arkansas has experienced extraordinary storm costs in 2008 and requires APSC action to address their effects. Therefore, on October 15, 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed a petition for an accounting order authorizing a regulatory asset and storm damage rider. In the petition, Entergy Arkansas requests the deferral of \$26 million in a regulatory asset that represents extraordinary storm restoration costs for the year 2008 that are in excess of the \$14.4 million included in base rates. The regulatory asset would be recovered through a surcharge over a 12-month period beginning in January 2009. A public hearing has been set for December 5, 2008 to consider the petition.

Filings with the LPSC

Retail Rates - Electric

(Entergy Louisiana)

In May 2008, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year, seeking an \$18.4 million rate increase, comprised of \$12.6 million of recovery of incremental and deferred capacity costs and \$5.8 million based on a cost of service revenue deficiency related to continued lost contribution to fixed costs associated with the loss of customers due to Hurricane Katrina. The filing includes two alternative versions of the calculated revenue requirement, one that reflects Entergy Louisiana's full request for recovery of the loss of fixed cost contribution and the other that reflects the anticipated rate implementation in September 2008, subject to refund, of only a portion of the full request, with the remainder deferred, until the lost fixed cost contribution issue is resolved. Under the first alternative, Entergy Louisiana's earned return on common equity was 9.44%, whereas under the other alternative, its earned return on common equity was 9.04%. The LPSC staff and intervenors issued their reports on Entergy Louisiana's filing on July 31, 2008 and, with minor exceptions, primarily raised proposed disallowance issues that were previously raised with regard to Entergy Louisiana's May 2007 filing and remain at issue in that proceeding. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed adjustments. In August 2008, Entergy Louisiana implemented a \$43.9 million formula rate plan decrease to remove interim storm cost recovery and to reduce the storm damage accrual. Entergy Louisiana then implemented a \$16.9 million formula rate plan increase, subject to refund, effective the first billing cycle in September 2008, comprised of \$12.6 million of recovery of incremental and deferred capacity costs and \$4.3 million based on a cost of service deficiency. A procedural schedule has not been established yet for further consideration of the issues raised regarding the formula rate plan filing.

In May 2007, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year, indicating a 7.6% earned return on common equity. That filing included Entergy Louisiana's request to recover \$39.8 million in unrecovered fixed costs associated with the loss of customers that resulted from Hurricane Katrina, a request that was recently reduced to \$31.7 million. In September 2007, Entergy Louisiana modified its formula rate plan filing to reflect its implementation of certain adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff in its review of Entergy

Louisiana's original filing with which Entergy Louisiana agreed, and to reflect its implementation of an \$18.4 million annual formula rate plan increase comprised of (1) a \$23.8 million increase representing 60% of Entergy Louisiana's revenue deficiency, and (2) a \$5.4 million decrease for reduced incremental and deferred capacity costs. The LPSC authorized Entergy Louisiana to defer for accounting purposes the difference between its \$39.8 million claim, now at \$31.7 million, for unrecovered fixed cost and 60% of the revenue deficiency to preserve Entergy Louisiana's right to pursue that claim in full during the formula rate plan proceeding. In October 2007, Entergy Louisiana implemented a \$7.1 million formula rate plan decrease that was due primarily to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC Order. The LPSC staff and intervenors have recommended disallowance of certain costs included in Entergy Louisiana's filing. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed disallowances and a hearing on the disputed issues was held in late-September/early-October 2008. Post-hearing briefing is scheduled to conclude in mid-December 2008.

In May 2006, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2005 test year. Entergy Louisiana modified the filing in August 2006 to reflect a 9.45% return on equity which is within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing includes an increase of \$24.2 million for interim recovery of storm costs from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and a \$119.2 million rate increase to recover LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The filing requested recovery of approximately \$50 million for the amortization of capacity deferrals over a three-year period, including carrying charges, and approximately \$70 million for ongoing capacity costs. The increase was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of September 2006. Entergy Louisiana subsequently updated its formula rate plan rider to reflect adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff with which it agrees. The adjusted return on equity of 9.56% remains within the allowed bandwidth. Ongoing and deferred

incremental capacity costs were reduced to \$118.7 million. The updated formula rate plan rider was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of October 2006. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008. In the settlement Entergy Louisiana agreed to credit customers \$7.2 million, plus \$0.7 million of interest, for customer contributions to the Central States Compact in Nebraska that was never completed and agreed to a one-time \$2.6 million deduction from the deferred capacity cost balance. The credit, for which Entergy Louisiana had previously recorded a provision, was made in May 2008.

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year. The filing reflected a 9.26% return on common equity, which is below the allowed earnings bandwidth, and indicated a \$5.4 million revenue deficiency, offset by a \$4.1 million decrease in required additional capacity costs. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$20.7 million formula rate plan decrease, subject to refund, effective the first billing cycle in September 2008. The decrease includes removal of interim storm cost recovery and a reduction in the storm damage accrual. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana then implemented a \$16.0 million formula rate plan increase, subject to refund, effective the first billing cycle in October 2008 to collect previously deferred and ongoing costs associated with LPSC approved additional capacity, including the Ouachita power plant. Consideration of the formula rate plan filing is pending.

In May 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year. The filing reflected a 10.0% return on common equity, which is within the allowed earnings bandwidth, and an anticipated formula rate plan decrease of \$23 million annually attributable to adjustments outside of the formula rate plan sharing mechanism related to capacity costs and the anticipated securitization of storm costs related to Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the securitization of a storm reserve. In September 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana modified the formula rate plan filing to reflect a 10.07% return on common equity, which is still within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing also reflected implementation of a \$4.1 million rate increase, subject to refund, attributable to recovery of additional LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The rate decrease anticipated in the original filing did not occur because of the additional capacity costs approved by the LPSC, and because securitization of storm costs associated with Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the establishment of a storm reserve had not yet occurred. In October 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$16.4 million formula rate plan decrease that is due to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC order. The LPSC staff issued its final report in December 2007, indicating a \$1.6 million decrease in

formula rate plan revenues for which interim rates were already in effect. In addition, the LPSC staff recommended that the LPSC give a one-year extension of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's formula rate plan to synchronize with the final year of Entergy Louisiana's formula rate plan, or alternatively, to extend the formula rate plan for a longer period. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana indicated it is amenable to a one-year extension. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place and extend the formula rate plan for one year, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008.

Retail Rates - Gas

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In January 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana filed with the LPSC its gas rate stabilization plan for the test year ending September 30, 2007. The filing showed a revenue deficiency of \$3.7 million based on a return on common equity mid-point of 10.5%. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$3.4 million rate increase in April 2008 pursuant to an uncontested agreement with the LPSC staff.

Filings with the PUCT and Texas Cities

Entergy Texas made a rate filing in September 2007 with the PUCT requesting an annual rate increase totaling \$107.5 million, including a base rate increase of \$64.3 million and riders totaling \$43.2 million. The base rate increase request includes a \$12.2 million annual increase for the storm damage reserve. Entergy Texas requested an 11% return on common equity. In December 2007 the PUCT issued an order setting September 26, 2008 (which it subsequently moved to November 27, 2008) as the effective date for the rate change proposed in this matter. In May 2008, Entergy Texas and certain parties in the rate case filed a non-unanimous settlement that provides for a \$42.5 million base rate increase beginning in October 2008 and an additional \$17 million base rate increase beginning in October 2009. The non-unanimous settlement also provides that \$25 million of System Agreement rough production cost equalization payments will offset the effect on customers of the rate increase. The non-unanimous settlement further provides that an additional \$17 million on an annual basis of System Agreement rough production cost equalization payments will be retained by Entergy Texas from January 2009 through September 2009. The non-unanimous settlement also resolves the fuel reconciliation portion of the proceeding with a \$4.5 million disallowance. The PUCT staff, the Texas Industrial Energy Consumers (TIEC), and the state of Texas did not join in the settlement and filed a separate agreement among them that provides for a rate decrease, later revised to a slight increase, and a \$4.7 million fuel cost disallowance. In May 2008 the ALJs issued an order stating that the proceeding will continue with Entergy Texas having the burden of proof to show that the non-unanimous settlement results in reasonable rates. The hearing on the merits of the non-unanimous settlement was held from June 23 through July 2, 2008, and in September 2008 the ALJs issued a proposal for decision recommending approval of the non-unanimous settlement. On November 5, 2008, the PUCT rejected the non-unanimous settlement and remanded the case for further hearings on the merits of the rate request. The hearings on remand are expected to begin by early December 2008. Entergy Texas agreed to extend until March 2, 2009 the PUCT's jurisdictional deadline to render a decision. In accordance with applicable law, after the requisite number of hearing days occurs, Entergy Texas will have the right to implement rates, up to the level of the requested rates, under bond and subject to refund.

Filings with the MPSC

In March 2008, Entergy Mississippi made its annual scheduled formula rate plan filing for the 2007 test year with the MPSC. The filing showed that a \$10.1 million increase in annual electric revenues is warranted. In June 2008, Entergy Mississippi reached a settlement with the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff that results in a \$3.8 million rate increase. An MPSC decision on the settlement is pending.

Filings with the New Orleans City Council

Retail Rates

In January 2008, Entergy New Orleans voluntarily implemented a 6.15% base rate credit (the recovery credit) for electric customers, which Entergy New Orleans estimates will return approximately \$10.6 million to electric customers in 2008. Entergy New Orleans was able to

implement this credit because during 2007 the recovery of New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina was occurring faster than expected in 2006 projections. In addition, Entergy New Orleans committed to set aside \$2.5 million for an energy efficiency program focused on community education and outreach and weatherization of homes.

On July 31, 2008, Entergy New Orleans filed an electric and gas base rate case with the City Council. The filing requests an 11.75% return on common equity. The filing calls for a \$23.0 million decrease in electric base rates, which includes keeping the recovery credit in effect, as well as realigning approximately \$12.3 million of capacity costs from recovery through the fuel adjustment clause to electric base rates. The filing also calls for a \$9.1 million increase in gas base rates to fund ongoing operations. This request is unrelated to the ongoing rebuild of Entergy New Orleans' natural gas system. The procedural schedule calls for a hearing on the filing to commence on March 2, 2009, with certification of the evidentiary record by a hearing officer on or before March 16, 2009, and a decision by the City Council on or before April 30, 2009.

Fuel Adjustment Clause Litigation

See Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the complaint filed in April 1999 by a group of ratepayers against Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Corporation, Entergy Services, and Entergy Power in state court in Orleans Parish purportedly on behalf of all Entergy New Orleans ratepayers and a corresponding complaint filed with the City Council. In February 2004, the City Council approved a resolution that resulted in a refund to customers of \$11.3 million, including interest, during the months of June through September 2004. In May 2005 the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans affirmed the City Council resolution, finding no support for the plaintiffs' claim that the refund amount should be higher. In June 2005, the plaintiffs appealed the Civil District Court decision to the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal. On February 25, 2008, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal issued a decision affirming in part, and reversing in part, the Civil District Court's decision. Although the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal did not reverse any of the substantive findings and conclusions of the City Council or the Civil District Court, the Fourth Circuit found that the amount of the refund was arbitrary and capricious and increased the amount of the refund to \$34.3 million. Entergy New Orleans believes that the increase in the refund ordered by the Fourth Circuit is not justified. Entergy New Orleans, the City Council, and the plaintiffs requested rehearing, and in April 2008, the Fourth Circuit granted the plaintiffs' request for rehearing. In addition to changing the basis for the court's decision in the manner requested by the plaintiffs, the court also granted the plaintiffs' request that it provide for interest on the refund amount. The court denied the motions for rehearing filed by the City Council and Entergy New Orleans. In May 2008, Entergy New Orleans and the City Council filed with the Louisiana Supreme Court applications for a writ of certiorari seeking, among other things, reversal of the Fourth Circuit decision. The Louisiana Supreme Court granted these writ applications in October 2008 and will review the Fourth Circuit's decision.

System Energy Rate Proceeding

In March 2008, the LPSC filed a complaint at the FERC under Federal Power Act section 206 against System Energy and Entergy Services. The complaint requests that the FERC set System Energy's rate of return on common equity at no more than 9.75%. The LPSC's complaint further requests that System Energy base its decommissioning and depreciation expenses on a 60-year useful life for Grand Gulf as opposed to the 40-year life specified in the existing NRC operating license. The APSC, the City of New Orleans, the MPSC, and other parties have intervened in the proceeding. System Energy filed its answer to the complaint in April 2008, in which it denies the allegations of the LPSC and requests that the FERC dismiss the complaint without a hearing. On July 1, 2008, the FERC issued an order denying the relief requested by the LPSC.

Electric Industry Restructuring in Texas

Refer to Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and Entergy Texas Form 10 for a discussion of electric industry restructuring activity that involves Entergy Texas.

NOTE 3. COMMON EQUITY

Common Stock

Earnings per Share

The following tables present Entergy's basic and diluted earnings per share calculations included on the consolidated income statement:

| | For the Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|--|----------|---------|----------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | |
| | (In Millions, Except \$/share Data) | | | |
| | | \$/share | | \$/share |
| Consolidated net income | \$470.3 | | \$461.2 | |
| Average number of common shares outstanding - basic | 190.4 | \$2.47 | 194.9 | \$2.37 |
| Average dilutive effect of: | | | | |
| Stock Options | 3.8 | (0.048) | 4.6 | (0.055) |
| Equity Units | 0.8 | (0.010) | 0.9 | (0.011) |
| Deferred Units | - | (0.000) | 0.1 | (0.001) |
| Average number of common shares outstanding - diluted | 195.0 | \$2.41 | 200.5 | \$2.30 |

| | For the Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|---|----------|---------|----------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | |
| | (In Millions, Except \$/share Data) | | | |
| | | \$/share | | \$/share |
| Consolidated net income | \$1,050 | | \$941.0 | |
| Average number of common shares outstanding - basic | 191.4 | \$5.48 | 197.4 | \$4.77 |
| Average dilutive effect of: | | | | |
| Stock Options | 4.5 | (0.124) | 4.9 | (0.115) |
| Equity Units | 1.2 | (0.033) | 1.0 | (0.023) |
| Deferred Units | - | (0.001) | 0.1 | (0.003) |
| Average number of common shares outstanding - diluted | 197.1 | \$5.33 | 203.4 | \$4.63 |

Entergy's stock option and other equity compensation plans are discussed in Note 12 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

Treasury Stock

During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Entergy Corporation issued 996,901 shares of its previously repurchased common stock to satisfy stock option exercises and other stock-based awards. Also, during the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Entergy Corporation purchased 4,262,299 shares of common stock for a total purchase price of \$468.1 million.

45

Retained Earnings

On October 31, 2008, Entergy Corporation's Board of Directors declared a common stock dividend of \$0.75 per share, payable on December 1, 2008 to holders of record as of November 12, 2008.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Based on market prices as of September 30, 2008, cash flow hedges with net unrealized losses of approximately \$10.8 million net-of-tax at September 30, 2008 are expected to be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to operating revenues during the next twelve months. The actual amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income, however, could vary due to future changes in market prices. See Note 1 (Derivative Financial Instruments and Commodity Derivatives) and Note 16 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for additional discussion of the accounting treatment of cash flow hedges.

NOTE 4. LINES OF CREDIT, RELATED SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS, AND LONG-TERM DEBT

Entergy Corporation has in place a credit facility that expires in August 2012 and has a borrowing capacity of \$3.5 billion. Entergy Corporation also has the ability to issue letters of credit against the total borrowing capacity of the credit facility. The facility fee is currently 0.09% of the commitment amount. Facility fees and interest rates on loans under the credit facility can fluctuate depending on the senior unsecured debt ratings of Entergy Corporation. The weighted average interest rate as of September 30, 2008 was 2.969% on the drawn portion of the facility. Following is a summary of the borrowings outstanding and capacity available under the facility as of September 30, 2008.

| Capacity | Borrowings | Letters of Credit | Capacity Available |
|----------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | (In Millions) | | |
| \$3,500 | \$3,208 | \$68 | \$224 |

Entergy Corporation's facility requires it to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. Entergy is in compliance with this covenant. If Entergy fails to meet this ratio, or if Entergy or one of the Utility operating companies (except Entergy New Orleans) defaults on other indebtedness or is in bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings, an acceleration of the facility maturity date may occur.

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy Texas each had credit facilities available as of September 30, 2008 as follows:

| Company | Expiration Date | Amount of Facility | Interest Rate (a) | Amount Drawn as of September 30, 2008 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Entergy Arkansas | April 2009 | \$100 million (b) | 4.50% | - |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | August 2012 | \$100 million (c) | 5.05% | \$100 million |
| Entergy Louisiana | August 2012 | \$200 million (d) | 5.05% | \$200 million |
| Entergy Mississippi | May 2009 | \$30 million (e) | 4.2875% | - |
| Entergy Mississippi | May 2009 | \$20 million (e) | 4.2875% | - |
| Entergy Texas | August 2012 | \$100 million (f) | 5.05% | \$100 million |

- (a) The interest rate is the weighted average interest rate as of September 30, 2008 applied or that would be applied to the outstanding borrowings under the facility.
- (b) The credit facility requires Entergy Arkansas to maintain a debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization.

46

- (c) The credit facility allows Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to issue letters of credit against the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of September 30, 2008, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. Pursuant to the terms of the credit agreement, the amount of debt assumed by Entergy Texas (\$930 million as of September 30, 2008 and \$1.079 billion as of December 31, 2007) is excluded from debt and capitalization in calculating the debt ratio.
- (d) The credit facility allows Entergy Louisiana to issue letters of credit against the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of September 30, 2008, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Louisiana to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization.
- (e) Borrowings under the Entergy Mississippi credit facilities may be secured by a security interest in its accounts receivable.
- (f) The credit facility allows Entergy Texas to issue letters of credit against the borrowing capacity of the facility. As of September 30, 2008, no letters of credit were outstanding. The credit facility requires Entergy Texas to maintain a consolidated debt ratio of 65% or less of its total capitalization. Pursuant to the terms of the credit agreement, the transition bonds issued by Entergy Gulf States Reconstruction Funding I, LLC, a subsidiary of Entergy Texas, are excluded from debt and capitalization in calculating the debt ratio.

The facility fees on the credit facilities range from 0.09% to 0.15% of the commitment amount.

The short-term borrowings of the Registrant Subsidiaries and certain other Entergy subsidiaries are limited to amounts authorized by the FERC. The current FERC-authorized limits are effective through March 31, 2010 (except the Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas limits, which are effective through November 8, 2009). In addition to borrowings from commercial banks, these companies are authorized under a FERC order to borrow from the Entergy System money pool. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' dependence on external short-term borrowings. Borrowings from the money pool and external

short-term borrowings combined may not exceed the FERC authorized limits. As of September 30, 2008, Entergy's subsidiaries' aggregate money pool and external short-term borrowings authorized limit was \$2.1 billion, the aggregate outstanding borrowing from the money pool was \$365 million, and Entergy's subsidiaries' had no outstanding short-term borrowing from external sources.

The following are the FERC-authorized limits for short-term borrowings and the outstanding short-term borrowings for the Registrant Subsidiaries as of September 30, 2008:

| | Authorized | Borrowings |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| | (In Millions) | |
| Entergy Arkansas | \$250 | \$5.7 |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | \$200 | - |
| Entergy Louisiana | \$250 | - |
| Entergy Mississippi | \$175 | \$28.2 |
| Entergy New Orleans | \$100 | - |
| Entergy Texas | \$200 | - |
| System Energy | \$200 | - |

Debt Issuances and Redemptions

(Entergy Arkansas)

In July 2008, Entergy Arkansas issued \$300 million of 5.4% Series First Mortgage Bonds due August 2013. Entergy Arkansas used a portion of the net proceeds to fund the purchase of the Ouachita power plant on September 30, 2008, and the remaining net proceeds will be used to fund improvements relating to the Ouachita power plant and for general corporate purposes. Prior to their application, the remaining net proceeds will be used for working capital purposes, including repayment of short-term debt, and may be invested in temporary cash investments or the Entergy System money pool.

47

(Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana issued \$375 million of 6.00% Series First Mortgage Bonds due May 2018. The proceeds were used to pay at maturity the portion of the \$325 million of the 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had not been assumed by Entergy Texas and to redeem, prior to maturity, \$189.7 million of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage Bonds due December 2008, and for other general corporate purposes.

The portion of the \$325 million of 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had been assumed by Entergy Texas was paid at maturity by Entergy Texas in June 2008, and that bond series is no longer outstanding. The remainder of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage Bonds due December 2008 had been assumed by Entergy Texas, and management expects Entergy Texas to redeem those bonds by their maturity date.

(Entergy Louisiana)

In April 2008, Entergy Louisiana repurchased, prior to maturity, \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds, which are being held for possible remarketing at a later date.

In August 2008, Entergy Louisiana issued \$300 million of 6.50% Series First Mortgage Bonds due September 2018. The net proceeds of the issuance will be used for capital expenditures, working capital needs, and general corporate purposes. Prior to their application, the remaining net proceeds may be invested in temporary cash investments or the Entergy System money pool.

(Entergy Mississippi)

In April 2008, Entergy Mississippi repurchased its \$30 million series of Independence County Pollution Control Revenue Bonds due July 2022. In June 2008, Entergy Mississippi remarketed the series and fixed the interest rate to maturity at 4.90%. Entergy Mississippi used the proceeds from the remarketing to repay short-term borrowings that were drawn on its credit facilities to repurchase the bonds in April 2008.

(Entergy New Orleans)

In August 2008, Entergy New Orleans paid, at maturity, its \$30 million 3.875% Series first mortgage bonds.

Tax Exempt Bond Audit

The IRS completed an audit of certain Tax Exempt Bonds (Bonds) issued by St. Charles Parish, State of Louisiana (the Issuer). The Bonds were issued to finance previously unfinanced acquisition costs expended by Entergy Louisiana to acquire certain radioactive solid waste disposal facilities (the Facilities) at the Waterford Steam Electric Generating Station. In March and April 2005, the IRS issued proposed adverse determinations that the Issuer's 7.0% Series bonds due 2022, 7.5% Series bonds due 2021, and 7.05% Series bonds due 2022 were not tax exempt. The stated basis for these determinations was that radioactive waste did not constitute "solid waste" within the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and therefore the Facilities did not qualify as solid waste disposal facilities. The three series of Bonds are the only series of bonds issued by the Issuer for the benefit of Entergy Louisiana that were the subject of audits by the IRS. Because the Issuer, Entergy Louisiana, and IRS Office of Appeals desired to settle the issue that was raised, Entergy Louisiana made a \$1.25 million payment to the IRS. The terms of the settlement have no effect on the Issuer or the bondholders.

NOTE 5. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Entergy grants stock options, which are described more fully in Note 12 to the consolidated financial statements in the Form 10-K. Entergy adopted SFAS 123R, "Share-Based Payment" on January 1, 2006. The adoption of the standard did not materially affect Entergy's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows because Entergy adopted the fair value based method of accounting for stock options prescribed

by SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" on January 1, 2003. Prior to 2003, Entergy applied the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations in accounting for those plans. Awards under Entergy's plans generally vest over three years.

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

The following table includes financial information for stock options for the third quarter and nine months ended September 30 for each of the years presented:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|---------------|--------|
| | (In Millions) | |
| Compensation expense included in Entergy's Net Income for the third quarter | \$4.7 | \$3.9 |
| Tax benefit recognized in Entergy's Net Income for the third quarter | \$1.8 | \$1.5 |
| Compensation expense included in Entergy's Net Income for the nine months ended September 30, | \$13.8 | \$11.0 |
| Tax benefit recognized in Entergy's Net Income for the nine months ended September 30, | \$5.3 | \$4.2 |
| Compensation cost capitalized as part of fixed assets and inventory for the nine months ended September 30, | \$2.6 | \$1.8 |

Entergy granted 1,617,400 stock options during the first quarter 2008 with a weighted-average fair value of \$14.43. At September 30, 2008, there were 11,132,319 stock options outstanding with a weighted-average exercise price of \$66.37. The aggregate intrinsic value of the stock options outstanding was \$252 million.

NOTE 6. RETIREMENT AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Components of Net Pension Cost

Entergy's qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the third quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$22,598 | \$24,263 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 51,647 | 46,508 |
| Expected return on assets | (57,639) | (51,008) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 1,266 | 1,383 |
| Amortization of loss | 6,708 | 11,444 |
| Net pension costs | \$24,580 | \$32,590 |

Entergy's qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$67,794 | \$72,301 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 154,941 | 138,662 |
| Expected return on assets | (172,917) | (152,514) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 3,798 | 4,149 |
| Amortization of loss | 20,124 | 34,332 |
| Net pension costs | \$73,740 | \$96,930 |

The Registrant Subsidiaries' qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the third quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| | Entergy | Entergy Gulf States | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | System |
|--|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| 2008 | Arkansas | Louisiana | Louisiana | Mississippi | New Orleans | Texas | Energy |
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$3,584 | \$1,841 | \$2,058 | \$1,063 | \$445 | \$968 | \$930 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 11,616 | 5,047 | 6,784 | 3,627 | 1,415 | 3,882 | 1,937 |
| Expected return on assets | (11,765) | (7,165) | (8,134) | (4,075) | (1,839) | (5,047) | (2,452) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 223 | 110 | 119 | 90 | 52 | 80 | 9 |
| Amortization of loss | 2,303 | 115 | 920 | 485 | 319 | 156 | 90 |
| Net pension cost/(income) | \$5,961 | (\$52) | \$1,747 | \$1,190 | \$392 | \$39 | \$514 |

| | Entergy | Entergy Gulf States | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | System |
|--|----------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| 2007 | Arkansas | Louisiana | Louisiana | Mississippi | New Orleans | Texas | Energy |
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$3,638 | \$3,011 | \$2,231 | \$1,089 | \$470 | \$1,012 | \$1,021 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 10,498 | 8,139 | 6,251 | 3,371 | 1,260 | 3,439 | 1,710 |
| Expected return on assets | (11,009) | (10,750) | (7,808) | (3,837) | (1,446) | (4,536) | (2,136) |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Amortization of prior service cost | 412 | 304 | 160 | 114 | 44 | 133 | 12 |
| Amortization of loss | 2,721 | 623 | 1,433 | 749 | 368 | 262 | 151 |
| Net pension cost | \$6,260 | \$1,327 | \$2,267 | \$1,486 | \$696 | \$310 | \$758 |

50

The Registrant Subsidiaries' qualified pension cost, including amounts capitalized, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| | Entergy | Entergy Gulf States | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | System |
|--|----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| 2008 | Arkansas | Louisiana | Louisiana | Mississippi | New Orleans | Texas | Energy |
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$10,752 | \$5,523 | \$6,174 | \$3,189 | \$1,335 | \$2,904 | \$2,790 |
| Interest cost on projected benefit obligation | 34,848 | 15,141 | 20,352 | 10,881 | 4,245 | 11,646 | 5,811 |
| Expected return on assets | (35,295) | (21,495) | (24,402) | (12,225) | (5,517) | (15,141) | (7,356) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 669 | 330 | 357 | 270 | 156 | 240 | 27 |
| Amortization of loss | 6,909 | 345 | 2,760 | 1,455 | 957 | 468 | 270 |
| Net pension cost/(income) | \$17,883 | (\$156) | \$5,241 | \$3,570 | \$1,176 | \$117 | \$1,542 |
| | Entergy | Entergy Gulf States | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | System |
| 2007 | Arkansas | Louisiana | Louisiana | Mississippi | New Orleans | Texas | Energy |
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost - benefits earned | \$10,914 | \$9,033 | \$6,693 | \$3,267 | \$1,410 | \$3,036 | \$3,063 |

during the
period

Interest cost on
projected

| | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| benefit obligation | 31,494 | 24,417 | 18,753 | 10,113 | 3,780 | 10,317 | 5,130 |
| Expected return on assets | (33,027) | (32,250) | (23,424) | (11,511) | (4,338) | (13,608) | (6,408) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 1,236 | 912 | 480 | 342 | 132 | 399 | 36 |
| Amortization of loss | 8,163 | 1,869 | 4,299 | 2,247 | 1,104 | 786 | 453 |
| Net pension cost | \$18,780 | \$3,981 | \$6,801 | \$4,458 | \$2,088 | \$930 | \$2,274 |

Entergy recognized \$4.3 million and \$4.0 million in pension cost for its non-qualified pension plans in the third quarters of 2008 and 2007, respectively. Entergy recognized \$12.8 million and \$12.0 million in pension cost for its non-qualified pension plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Registrant Subsidiaries recognized the following pension cost for their non-qualified pension plans in the third quarters of 2008 and 2007:

| | Entergy Arkansas | Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | Entergy Louisiana | Entergy Mississippi | Entergy New Orleans | Entergy Texas |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | | | | | |
| Non-Qualified Pension Cost Third Quarter 2008 | \$133 | \$78 | \$7 | \$54 | \$12 | \$227 |
| Non-Qualified Pension Cost Third Quarter 2007 | \$123 | \$317 | \$6 | \$44 | \$57 | \$231 |

51

The Registrant Subsidiaries recognized the following pension cost for their non-qualified pension plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

| | Entergy Arkansas | Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | Entergy Louisiana | Entergy Mississippi | Entergy New Orleans | Entergy Texas |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | | | | | |

Non-Qualified

Pension Cost

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 | \$399 | \$234 | \$21 | \$162 | \$36 | \$681 |
|---|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|

Non-Qualified

Pension Cost

| | | | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 | \$369 | \$951 | \$19 | \$131 | \$171 | \$693 |
|---|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|

Components of Net Other Postretirement Benefit Cost

Entergy's other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the third quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$11,800 | \$11,105 |
| Interest cost on APBO | 17,824 | 15,869 |
| Expected return on assets | (7,027) | (6,358) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 957 | 958 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (4,104) | (3,959) |
| Amortization of loss | 3,890 | 4,743 |
| Net other postretirement benefit cost | \$23,340 | \$22,358 |

Entergy's other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$35,400 | \$33,032 |
| Interest cost on APBO | 53,472 | 47,363 |
| Expected return on assets | (21,081) | (18,943) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 2,871 | 2,874 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (12,312) | (11,877) |
| Amortization of loss | 11,670 | 14,230 |
| Net other postretirement benefit cost | \$70,020 | \$66,679 |

The Registrant Subsidiaries' other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the third quarters of 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | System |
|---------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| | Gulf States | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| 2008 | Arkansas | Louisiana | Louisiana | Mississippi | New Orleans | Texas | Energy |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$1,706 | \$1,251 | \$1,099 | \$514 | \$295 | \$606 | \$513 |
| Interest cost on APBO | 3,443 | 1,917 | 2,187 | 1,141 | 953 | 1,440 | 531 |
| Expected return on assets | (2,492) | - | - | (905) | (789) | (1,885) | (511) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 205 | 84 | 96 | 88 | 415 | 66 | 2 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (197) | 146 | 117 | (62) | 90 | 72 | (283) |
| Amortization of loss | 1,440 | 494 | 677 | 534 | 291 | 357 | 177 |
| Net other postretirement benefit cost | \$4,105 | \$3,892 | \$4,176 | \$1,310 | \$1,255 | \$656 | \$429 |

| | Entergy | Entergy Gulf States | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy | System |
|--|----------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------|
| 2007 | Arkansas | Louisiana | Louisiana | Mississippi | New Orleans | Texas | Energy |
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$1,525 | \$1,547 | \$973 | \$476 | \$255 | \$500 | \$451 |
| Interest cost on APBO | 3,037 | 2,876 | 1,941 | 1,049 | 870 | 1,260 | 433 |
| Expected return on assets | (2,231) | (1,697) | - | (819) | (682) | (1,697) | (470) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 205 | 151 | 96 | 88 | 416 | 67 | 2 |
| Amortization of prior service | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| cost | (197) | 218 | 117 | (62) | 90 | 72 | (283) |
| Amortization of loss | 1,500 | 793 | 764 | 613 | 282 | 349 | 149 |
| Net other postretirement benefit cost | \$3,839 | \$3,888 | \$3,891 | \$1,345 | \$1,231 | \$551 | \$282 |

53

The Registrant Subsidiaries' other postretirement benefit cost, including amounts capitalized, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, included the following components:

| | Entergy Arkansas | Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | Entergy Louisiana | Entergy Mississippi | Entergy New Orleans | Entergy Texas | System Energy |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2008 | | | | | | | |
| (In Thousands) | | | | | | | |
| Service cost - benefits earned during the period | \$5,118 | \$3,753 | \$3,297 | \$1,542 | \$885 | \$1,818 | \$1,539 |
| Interest cost on APBO | 10,329 | 5,751 | 6,561 | 3,423 | 2,859 | 4,320 | 1,593 |
| Expected return on assets | (7,476) | - | - | (2,715) | (2,367) | (5,655) | (1,533) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 615 | 252 | 288 | 264 | 1,245 | 198 | 6 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (591) | 438 | 351 | (186) | 270 | 216 | (849) |
| Amortization of loss | 4,320 | 1,482 | 2,031 | 1,602 | 873 | 1,071 | 531 |
| Net other postretirement benefit cost | \$12,315 | \$11,676 | \$12,528 | \$3,930 | \$3,765 | \$1,968 | \$1,287 |
| 2007 | Entergy Arkansas | Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | Entergy Louisiana | Entergy Mississippi | Entergy New Orleans | Entergy Texas | System Energy |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

(In Thousands)

| | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Service cost - benefits earned | | | | | | | |
| during the period | \$4,575 | \$4,641 | \$2,919 | \$1,428 | \$765 | \$1,500 | \$1,353 |
| Interest cost on APBO | 9,111 | 8,628 | 5,823 | 3,147 | 2,610 | 3,780 | 1,299 |
| Expected return on assets | (6,693) | (5,091) | - | (2,457) | (2,046) | (5,091) | (1,410) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | 615 | 453 | 288 | 264 | 1,248 | 201 | 6 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (591) | 654 | 351 | (186) | 270 | 216 | (849) |
| Amortization of loss | 4,500 | 2,379 | 2,292 | 1,839 | 846 | 1,047 | 447 |
| Net other postretirement benefit cost | \$11,517 | \$11,664 | \$11,673 | \$4,035 | \$3,693 | \$1,653 | \$846 |

Employer Contributions

As of the end of October 2008, Entergy had contributed \$288 million to its pension plans. Entergy does not anticipate making additional contributions to its qualified pension plans in 2008.

54

The Registrant Subsidiaries had contributed the following to qualified pension plans through October 2008 and do not anticipate additional contributions in 2008:

| | Entergy Arkansas | Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | Entergy Louisiana | Entergy Mississippi | Entergy New Orleans | Entergy Texas | System Energy |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | | | | | | |
| Pension contributions made through October 2008 | \$38,866 | \$34,260 | \$ 53 | \$11,688 | \$ - | \$18,882 | \$5,812 |

Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Act)

Based on actuarial analysis, the estimated effect of future Medicare subsidies reduced the December 31, 2007 Accumulated Postretirement Benefit Obligation (APBO) by \$182 million, and reduced the third quarter 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost by \$6.2 million and \$6.6 million, respectively. It reduced the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost by \$18.6 million and \$19.9 million,

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

respectively. In the third quarter 2008 and the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Entergy received \$2.1 million in Medicare subsidies for prescription drug claims.

Based on actuarial analysis, the estimated effect of future Medicare subsidies reduced the December 31, 2007 APBO, the third quarters 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost and the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 other postretirement benefit cost for the Registrant Subsidiaries as follows:

| | Entergy | Entergy Gulf States | Entergy | Entergy | Entergy New | Entergy | System |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|------------|-------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| | Arkansas | Louisiana | Louisiana | Mississippi | Orleans | Texas | Energy |
| | (In Thousands) | | | | | | |
| Reduction in 12/31/2007 APBO | (\$39,653) | (\$19,662) | (\$21,797) | (\$13,223) | (\$9,487) | (\$15,270) | (\$6,185) |
| Reduction in third quarter 2008 | | | | | | | |
| other postretirement benefit cost | (\$1,266) | (\$876) | (\$706) | (\$406) | (\$279) | (\$263) | (\$236) |
| Reduction in third quarter 2007 | | | | | | | |
| other postretirement benefit cost | (\$1,376) | (\$1,222) | (\$762) | (\$438) | (\$311) | (\$172) | (\$246) |
| Reduction in nine months ended September 30, 2008 other | | | | | | | |
| postretirement benefit cost | (\$3,798) | (\$2,628) | (\$2,118) | (\$1,218) | (\$837) | (\$789) | (\$708) |
| Reduction in nine months ended September 30, 2007 other | | | | | | | |
| postretirement benefit cost | (\$4,128) | (\$3,666) | (\$2,286) | (\$1,314) | (\$933) | (\$516) | (\$738) |
| Medicare subsidies received in the third quarter 2008 & the nine months ended September 30, 2008 | \$495 | \$291 | \$316 | \$169 | \$188 | \$229 | \$41 |

For further information on the Medicare Act refer to Note 11 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

NOTE 7. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

Entergy Corporation

Entergy's reportable segments as of September 30, 2008 are Utility and Non-Utility Nuclear. Utility generates, transmits, distributes, and sells electric power in portions of Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, and Texas, and provides natural gas utility service in portions of Louisiana. Non-Utility Nuclear owns and operates six nuclear power plants and is primarily focused on selling electric power produced by those plants to wholesale customers. "All Other" includes the parent company, Entergy Corporation, and other business activity, including the non-nuclear wholesale assets business and earnings on the proceeds of sales of previously-owned businesses.

Entergy's segment financial information for the third quarters of 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

| | Utility | Non-Utility Nuclear* | All Other* (In Thousands) | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues | \$3,251,796 | \$654,432 | \$64,125 | (\$6,469) | \$3,963,884 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated equity affiliates | \$- | \$- | \$1,459 | \$- | \$1,459 |
| Income Taxes (Benefit) | \$155,392 | \$93,552 | (\$80,705) | \$- | \$168,239 |
| Net Income | \$257,812 | \$205,324 | \$7,153 | \$- | \$470,289 |
| 2007 | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues | \$2,677,291 | \$554,128 | \$64,460 | (\$6,792) | \$3,289,087 |
| Equity in earnings of unconsolidated equity affiliates | \$- | \$- | \$1,432 | \$- | \$1,432 |
| Income Taxes (Benefit) | \$189,062 | \$61,863 | (\$20,085) | \$- | \$230,840 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$333,098 | \$160,913 | (\$32,852) | \$- | \$461,159 |

Entergy's segment financial information for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 is as follows:

| | Utility | Non-Utility Nuclear* | All Other* (In Thousands) | Eliminations | Consolidated |
|--|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
|--|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2008 | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues | \$7,967,429 | \$1,944,647 | \$201,014 | (\$20,202) | \$10,092,888 |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated equity affiliates | \$- | \$- | (\$2,042) | \$- | (\$2,042) |
| Income Taxes (Benefit) | \$352,057 | \$302,427 | (\$110,228) | \$- | \$544,256 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$534,672 | \$570,637 | (\$55,317) | \$- | \$1,049,992 |
| Total Assets | \$28,200,131 | \$7,672,826 | \$1,881,122 | (\$1,296,115) | \$36,457,964 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| 2007 | | | | | |
| Operating Revenues | \$7,112,945 | \$1,483,900 | \$175,326 | (\$19,673) | \$8,752,498 |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated equity affiliates | (\$1) | \$- | \$3,534 | \$- | \$3,533 |
| Income Taxes (Benefit) | \$368,215 | \$210,527 | (\$95,385) | \$- | \$483,357 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$585,741 | \$397,808 | (\$42,593) | \$- | \$940,956 |
| Total Assets | \$26,472,335 | \$6,863,007 | \$1,931,799 | (\$1,508,624) | \$33,758,517 |

56

Businesses marked with * are sometimes referred to as the "competitive businesses," with the exception of the parent company, Entergy Corporation. Eliminations are primarily intersegment activity. Almost all of Entergy's goodwill is related to the Utility segment.

Registrant Subsidiaries

Each of the Registrant Subsidiaries have one reportable segment, which is an integrated utility business, except for System Energy, which is an electricity generation business. Each of the Registrant Subsidiaries' operations is managed on an integrated basis by that company because of the substantial effect of cost-based rates and regulatory oversight on their business processes, cost structures, and operating results.

NOTE 8. ACQUISITIONS

Ouachita (Entergy Arkansas)

In September 2008, Entergy Arkansas purchased the Ouachita Plant, a 789 MW three-train gas-fired combined cycle generating turbine (CCGT) electric power plant located 20 miles south of the Arkansas state line near Sterlington, Louisiana, for approximately \$210 million from a subsidiary of Cogentrix Energy, Inc. Entergy Arkansas received the plant, materials and supplies, SO₂ emission allowances, and related real estate in the transaction. The FERC and the APSC approved the acquisition. The APSC also approved the recovery of the acquisition and ownership costs through a rate rider and the planned sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.

Calcasieu (Entergy Gulf States Louisiana)

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana purchased the Calcasieu Generating Facility, a 322 MW simple-cycle gas-fired power plant located near the city of Sulphur in southwestern Louisiana, for approximately \$56.4 million from Dynegy, Inc. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana received the plant, materials and supplies, SO₂ emission allowances, and related real estate in the transaction. The FERC and the LPSC approved the acquisition.

NOTE 9. RISK MANAGEMENT AND FAIR VALUE

Fair Values

See Note 16 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's and the Registrant Subsidiaries' exposure to market and commodity risks. See Note 17 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy's and the Registrant Subsidiaries' decommissioning trust funds.

Effective January 1, 2008, Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 generally does not require any new fair value measurements. However, in some cases, the application of SFAS 157 in the future may change Entergy's and the Registrant Subsidiaries' practice for measuring and disclosing fair values under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements.

SFAS 157 defines fair value as an exit price, or the price that would be received to sell an asset or the amount that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between knowledgeable market participants at date of measurement. Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries use assumptions or market input data that market participants would use in pricing assets or liabilities at fair value. The inputs can be readily observable, corroborated by market data, or generally unobservable. Entergy and the Registrant Subsidiaries endeavor to use the best available information to determine fair value.

57

SFAS 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy establishes the highest priority for unadjusted market quotes in an active market for the identical asset or liability and the lowest priority for unobservable inputs. The three levels of fair value hierarchy defined in SFAS 157 are as follows:

- Level 1 - Level 1 inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Level 1 primarily consists of individually owned common stocks, cash equivalents, debt instruments, and gas hedge contracts.
- Level 2 - Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in level 1 that are, either directly or indirectly, observable for the asset or liability at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs include the following:

- ◆ quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- ◆ quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets;
- ◆ inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; or
- ◆ inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 2 consists primarily of individually owned debt instruments or shares in common trusts.

- Level 3 - Level 3 inputs are pricing inputs that are generally less observable or unobservable from objective sources. These inputs are used with internally developed methodologies to produce management's best estimate of fair value for the asset or liability. Level 3 consists primarily of derivative power contracts used as cash flow hedges of power sales at unregulated power plants.

The values for the cash flow hedges that are recorded as derivative contract assets or liabilities are based on both observable inputs including public market prices and unobservable inputs such as model-generated prices for longer-term markets and are classified as Level 3 assets and liabilities. The amounts reflected as the fair value of derivative assets or liabilities are based on the estimated amount that the contracts are in-the-money at the balance sheet date (treated as an asset) or out-of-the-money at the balance sheet date (treated as a liability) and would equal the estimated amount receivable from or payable to Entergy if the contracts were settled at that date. These derivative contracts include cash flow hedges that swap fixed for floating cash flows for sales of the output from Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business. The fair values are based on the mark-to-market comparison between the fixed contract prices and the floating prices determined each period from a combination of quoted forward power market prices for the period for which such curves are available, and model-generated prices using quoted forward gas market curves and estimates regarding heat rates to convert gas to power and the costs associated with the transportation of the power from the plants' bus bar to the contract's point of delivery, generally a power market hub, for the period thereafter. The difference between the fixed price in the swap contract and these market-related prices multiplied by the volume specified in the contract and discounted at the counterparties' credit adjusted risk free rate are recorded as derivative contract assets or liabilities. Included in the \$52 million net liability at September 30, 2008 are approximately \$30 million of in-the-money contracts with counterparties who are currently all investment grade.

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy established by SFAS 157, Entergy's assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2008. The assessment of the significance of a particular input to a fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

58

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | (In Millions) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Temporary cash investments | \$2,424 | \$- | \$- | \$2,424 |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 532 | 2,471 | - | 3,003 |
| Securitization recovery trust account | 21 | - | - | 21 |
| Other investments | 262 | - | - | 262 |
| | \$3,239 | \$2,471 | \$- | \$5,710 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Liabilities:

| | | | | |
|---------------------|------|-----|------|-------|
| Gas hedge contracts | \$61 | \$- | \$- | \$61 |
| Power contracts | - | - | 52 | 52 |
| | \$61 | \$- | \$52 | \$113 |

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of changes in the liabilities for the fair value of derivatives classified as level 3 in the SFAS 157 fair value hierarchy (in millions):

Third Quarter
2008

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

Balance as of beginning of period

\$734

\$12

Price changes

(638)

39

Originated

(6)

70

79

Settlements

(38)

(69)

Balance as of September 30, 2008

\$52

\$52

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy established by SFAS 157, the Registrant Subsidiaries' assets and liabilities that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2008. The assessment of the significance of a particular input to a fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect its placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | (In Millions) | | | |
| Entergy Arkansas: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Decommissioning trust funds | \$22.4 | \$398.1 | \$- | \$420.5 |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana: | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Temporary cash investments | \$124.0 | \$- | \$- | \$124.0 |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 17.6 | 312.3 | - | 329.9 |
| Other investments | 85.3 | - | - | 85.3 |
| | \$226.9 | \$312.3 | \$- | \$539.2 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Gas hedge contracts | \$17.9 | \$- | \$- | \$17.9 |

Entergy Louisiana:

Assets:

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-----|---------|
| Temporary cash investments | \$186.1 | \$- | \$- | \$186.1 |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 47.8 | 152.0 | - | 199.8 |
| Other investments | 134.4 | - | - | 134.4 |
| | \$368.3 | \$152.0 | \$- | \$520.3 |

Liabilities:

| | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|
| Gas hedge contracts | \$24.7 | \$- | \$- | \$24.7 |
|---------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|

Entergy Mississippi:

Assets:

| | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|
| Other investments | \$31.5 | \$- | \$- | \$31.5 |
|-------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|

Liabilities:

| | | | | |
|---------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|
| Gas hedge contracts | \$12.3 | \$- | \$- | \$12.3 |
|---------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|

Entergy New Orleans:

Assets:

| | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|
| Other investments | \$10.9 | \$- | \$- | \$10.9 |
|-------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|

Liabilities:

| | | | | |
|---------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| Gas hedge contracts | \$5.7 | \$- | \$- | \$5.7 |
|---------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------|

Entergy Texas:

Assets:

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|
| Securitization recovery trust account | \$21.4 | \$- | \$- | \$21.4 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|--------|

System Energy:

Assets:

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-----|---------|
| Temporary cash investments | \$135.8 | \$- | \$- | \$135.8 |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 62.9 | 229.2 | - | 292.1 |
| | \$198.7 | \$229.2 | \$- | \$427.9 |

Other Than Temporary Impairment

Non-Utility Nuclear recorded a \$3.7 million charge in the first quarter 2008, a \$24.4 million charge in the second quarter 2008, and a \$7.1 million charge in the third quarter 2008, all to interest income, resulting from the recognition of the other than temporary impairment of certain securities held in its decommissioning trust funds.

NOTE 10. INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Audits and Litigation

In 2003, Entergy implemented an accounting method change regarding the capitalization of certain indirect production costs. Entergy's deductions related to the simplified service cost method totaled \$601 million over the two year period 2003 and 2004. In 2005 the IRS issued new regulations that precluded the use of this method. The likely impact of the new regulations is to increase taxable income by \$361 million for 2005 and \$240 million for 2006. Because Entergy has a consolidated net operating loss carryover into these years, there was no cash tax impact from this law change, and it will not have a material effect on the Registrant Subsidiaries' net income. Of the total \$601 million increase to taxable income, the taxable income of the Registrant Subsidiaries will increase as follows: Entergy Arkansas, \$173 million; Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$200 million, of which Entergy Texas is accountable for \$104 million in accordance with the jurisdictional separation plan; Entergy Louisiana, \$15 million; Entergy Mississippi, \$89 million; Entergy New Orleans, \$15 million; and System Energy, \$20 million.

NOTE 11. ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA AND ENTERGY TEXAS BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Effective December 31, 2007, Entergy Gulf States, Inc. completed a jurisdictional separation into two vertically integrated utility companies, one operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the PUCT, Entergy Texas, and the other operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the LPSC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. Entergy Texas now owns all Entergy Gulf States, Inc. distribution and transmission assets located in Texas, the gas-fired generating plants located in Texas, undivided 42.5% ownership shares of Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s 70% ownership interest in Nelson 6 and 42% ownership interest in Big Cajun 2, Unit 3, which are coal-fired generating plants located in Louisiana, and other assets and contract rights to the extent related to utility operations in Texas. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana now owns all of the remaining assets that were owned by Entergy Gulf States, Inc. On a book value basis, approximately 58.1% of the Entergy Gulf States, Inc. assets were allocated to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and approximately 41.9% were allocated to Entergy Texas.

As the successor to Entergy Gulf States, Inc. for financial reporting purposes, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's income statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and cash flow statement for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 include the operations of Entergy Texas. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's income statements for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, cash flow statement for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, and balance sheets as of December 31, 2007 and September 30, 2008 reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business.

Because the jurisdictional separation was a transaction involving entities under common control, Entergy Texas recognized the assets and liabilities allocated to it at their carrying amounts in the accounts of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. at the time of the jurisdictional separation. Entergy Texas' financial statements herein report results of operations for 2007 as though the jurisdictional separation had occurred at the beginning of 2007.

NOTE 12. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In March 2008 the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" (SFAS 161), which requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. SFAS 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008.

In the opinion of the management of Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting primarily of normal recurring accruals and reclassification of previously reported amounts to conform to current classifications) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. The business of the Registrant Subsidiaries is subject to seasonal fluctuations, however, with the peak periods occurring during the third quarter. The results for the interim periods presented should not be used as a basis for estimating results of operations for a full year.

Part I, Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of September 30, 2008, evaluations were performed under the supervision and with the participation of Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy (individually "Registrant" and collectively the "Registrants") management, including their respective Chief Executive Officers (CEO) and Chief Financial Officers (CFO). The evaluations assessed the effectiveness of the Registrants' disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the evaluations, each CEO and CFO has concluded that, as to the Registrant or Registrants for which they serve as CEO or CFO, the Registrant's or Registrants' disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by each Registrant in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms; and that the Registrant's or Registrants' disclosure controls and procedures are also effective in reasonably assuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Registrant's or Registrants' management, including their respective CEOs and CFOs, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Registrants' management, including their respective CEOs and CFOs, the Registrants evaluated changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2008 and found no change that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

62

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Results of Operations

Net Income

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net income decreased \$33.4 million primarily due to lower net revenue, higher other operation and maintenance expenses, higher taxes other than income taxes, and a higher effective income tax rate.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net income decreased \$42.7 million primarily due to lower net revenue, higher other operation and maintenance expenses, higher taxes other than income taxes, higher depreciation and amortization expenses, lower other income, and a higher effective income tax rate, partially offset by lower interest and other charges.

Net Revenue

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory credits. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$356.1 |
| Volume/weather | (21.9) |
| Purchased power capacity | (7.0) |
| Other | 3.4 |

| | |
|------------------|---------|
| 2008 net revenue | \$330.6 |
|------------------|---------|

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to the effect of less favorable weather during the billed and unbilled sales periods compared to the same period in 2007. Billed electricity usage decreased 257 GWh in all sectors.

The purchased power capacity variance is primarily due to higher purchased power capacity charges, including the Ouachita interim tolling agreement and higher reserve equalization expenses. The Ouachita acquisition is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein.

Gross operating revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$50.5 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to changes in the energy cost recovery rider effective April 2008 and September 2008. The energy cost recovery rider filings are discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements; and
- an increase of \$49.9 million in gross wholesale revenue due to an increase in the average price of energy available for resale sales and an increase in sales to affiliated customers.

63

The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$21.9 million related to volume/weather, as discussed above.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to an increase of \$49.9 million in deferred fuel expense related to higher energy cost recovery rates effective April 2008 and September 2008, as discussed above and an increase in the average market price of purchased power.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory credits. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$877.0 |
| Volume/weather | (22.9) |
| Purchased power capacity | (8.3) |
| Net wholesale revenue | 4.4 |
| Other | 8.5 |
| 2008 net revenue | \$858.7 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to the effect of less favorable weather during the billed and unbilled sales periods compared to 2007. Billed electricity usage decreased 140 GWh in all sectors.

The purchased power capacity variance is primarily due to higher purchased power capacity charges, including the Ouachita interim tolling agreement. The Ouachita acquisition is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein.

The net wholesale revenue variance is primarily due to improved results from wholesale contracts.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory credits

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$120.6 million in gross wholesale revenue due to an increase in the average price of energy available for resale sales and an increase in sales to affiliated customers; and
- an increase of \$107 million in production cost allocation rider revenues which became effective in July 2007 as a result of the System Agreement proceedings. As a result of the System Agreement proceedings, Entergy Arkansas also has a corresponding increase in deferred fuel expense for payments to other Entergy system companies such that there is no effect on net income. Entergy Arkansas made payments based on the 2006 test year over a seven-month period in 2007 but collections from customers occurred over a twelve-month period. The production cost allocation rider and System Agreement proceedings are discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$22.9 million related to volume/weather, as discussed above.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to an increase of \$107 million in deferred System Agreement payments, as discussed above and increases in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power, partially offset by a decrease in deferred fuel expense due to a lower energy cost recovery rate.

Other regulatory credits decreased primarily due to increased recovery of Grand Gulf costs due higher rates.

Other Income Statement Variances

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased primarily due to an increase of \$18 million in storm damage charges as a result of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike hitting Entergy Arkansas' service territory in September 2008. Entergy Arkansas discontinued regulatory storm reserve accounting beginning July 2007 as a result of the APSC order issued in Entergy Arkansas' rate case. As a result, non-capital storm expenses are charged to other operation and maintenance expenses. The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$4.0 million in payroll-related costs and lower fossil maintenance expenses of \$3.3 million compared to 2007.

Taxes other than income taxes increased primarily due to a tax contingency recorded for sales and use tax audits and an increase in local franchise taxes as a result of higher residential and commercial revenue.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased primarily due to an increase in storm damage charges of \$38.1 million as a result of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike hitting Energy Arkansas's service territory in the third quarter 2008 and several storms hitting Entergy Arkansas' service territory in the first quarter 2008. Entergy Arkansas discontinued regulatory storm reserve accounting beginning July 2007 as a result of the APSC order issued in Entergy Arkansas' rate case. As a result, non-capital storm expenses are charged to other operation and maintenance expenses. The increase was partially offset by a reimbursement of \$7 million of costs in connection with a litigation settlement and a decrease of \$5.1 million in payroll-related costs compared to 2007.

Taxes other than income taxes increased primarily due to an increase in local franchise taxes as a result of higher residential and commercial revenue and an increase in ad valorem taxes due to a higher millage rate and a higher 2008 assessment.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to an increase in plant in service.

Other income decreased primarily due to a revision in 2007 to the allowance for equity funds used during construction related to removal costs.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to interest expense of \$6.5 million recorded on advances from independent power producers in 2007 per a FERC order.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 44.3% for the third quarter of 2008 and 44.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The difference in the effective income tax rates for the third quarter 2008 and the nine months ended September 30, 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes.

The effective income tax rate was 34.9% for the third quarter of 2007 and 37.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes.

65

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$212 | \$34,815 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Operating activities | 255,136 | 262,234 |
| Investing activities | (466,585) | (196,893) |
| Financing activities | 213,811 | (96,831) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 2,362 | (31,490) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$2,574 | \$3,325 |

Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities increased \$269.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to:

- the purchase of the Ouachita plant for \$210 million in September 2008;
- an increase in fossil plant expenditures resulting from a coal plant equipment purchase in 2008; and
- an increase in distribution and transmission construction expenditures in 2008 due to Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, as well as several storms hitting Entergy Arkansas' service territory in the first quarter of 2008.

Financing Activities

Financing activities provided \$213.8 million of cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to using \$96.8 million of cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the issuance of \$300 million of 5.4% Series First Mortgage Bonds in July 2008 and a decrease of \$174.7 million in common stock dividends paid in 2008, partially offset by money pool activity and borrowings of \$60 million on a credit facility in 2007.

Decreases in Entergy Arkansas' payable to the money pool are a use of cash flow, and Entergy Arkansas' payable to the money pool decreased by \$72.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to increasing by \$29.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Capital Structure

Entergy Arkansas' capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table. The increase in the debt to capital ratio for Entergy Arkansas as of September 30, 2008 is primarily due to the issuance of \$300 million of 5.4% Series First Mortgage Bonds in July 2008.

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt to net capital | 52.3% | 49.0% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 0.1% | 0.0% |
| Debt to capital | 52.4% | 49.0% |

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and shareholders' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Arkansas uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Arkansas' financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Arkansas' uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

The planned construction and other capital investments disclosure in the Form 10-K includes approximately \$24 million for initial spending during the 2008-2010 period on installation of scrubbers and low NOx burners at Entergy Arkansas' White Bluff coal plant, which under current environmental regulations must be operational by September 2013. The project remains in the planning stages and has not been fully designed, but the latest conceptual cost estimate has gone up significantly from previous estimates due to increases in equipment, commodity, and labor costs. These estimates indicate that Entergy Arkansas' share of the project could cost approximately \$630 million compared to the \$375 million reported in the Form 10-K. Entergy Arkansas continues to review potential environmental spending needs and financing alternatives for any such spending, and future spending estimates could change based on the results of this continuing analysis.

In July 2008, Entergy Arkansas issued \$300 million of 5.4% Series First Mortgage Bonds due August 2013. Entergy Arkansas used a portion of the net proceeds to fund the purchase of the Ouachita power plant on September 30, 2008, and the remaining net proceeds will be used to fund improvements relating to the Ouachita power plant and for general corporate purposes. Prior to their application, the remaining net proceeds will be used for working capital purposes, including repayment of short-term debt, and may be invested in temporary cash investments or the Entergy System money pool.

In April 2008, Entergy Arkansas renewed its \$100 million credit facility through April 2009. No borrowings were outstanding under the credit facility as of September 30, 2008.

Entergy Arkansas' receivables from or (payables to) the money pool were as follows:

| September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | |
| (\$5,747) | (\$77,882) | (\$29,924) | \$16,109 |

In May 2007, \$1.8 million of Entergy Arkansas' receivable from the money pool was replaced by a note receivable from Entergy New Orleans. See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Significant Factors and Known Trends" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation, federal regulation, the Energy Policy Act of 2005, utility restructuring, nuclear matters, and environmental risks. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

State and Local Rate Regulation

Retail Rates

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the proceedings in Entergy Arkansas' August 2006 request for a change in base rates. Oral argument on Entergy Arkansas' appeal to the Arkansas Court of Appeals has been scheduled for November 19, 2008.

Ouachita Acquisition

Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC in September 2007 for its approval of the Ouachita plant acquisition, including full cost recovery. The APSC Staff and the Arkansas attorney general supported Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the plant, but oppose the sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The industrial group AEEC opposed Entergy Arkansas' purchase of the plant. The Arkansas attorney general opposed recovery of the non-fuel costs of the plant through a separate rider, while the APSC Staff recommended revisions to the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order approving recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement, which was in effect until the APSC took action on the acquisition of the plant. A hearing before the APSC was held in April 2008 to address Entergy Arkansas' request for acquisition of the plant and concurrent cost recovery. In June 2008 the APSC approved Entergy Arkansas' acquisition of the Ouachita plant and approved recovery of the acquisition and ownership costs through a rate rider. The APSC also approved the planned sale of one-third of the capacity and energy to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The Arkansas attorney general, the AEEC, and Entergy Arkansas requests for rehearing of the APSC order were denied. Entergy Arkansas' request for rehearing concerned the 7.61% before-tax return on rate base approved by the APSC, which reflects significant sources of zero-cost capital already reflected in base rates. Entergy Arkansas had requested a 10.87% before-tax return on rate base reflecting the cost of the debt and equity capital resources available to finance the Ouachita plant acquisition.

On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order that approved recovery through a rider of the capacity costs associated with the interim tolling agreement. This order also rejected various annual earnings review proposals. The Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed their appeal briefs in October 2008, and the appellees' briefs, including Entergy Arkansas', are due November 12, 2008.

In August 2008 the AEEC also filed a complaint at the FERC seeking a review by the FERC of "Entergy Corporation's efforts" to acquire the Ouachita plant, alleging that the acquisition violates the System Agreement and the Federal Power Act and that the plant should be an "[Entergy Arkansas] only resource." The AEEC complaint also states that it seeks clarity on whether Entergy Arkansas' termination of its participation in the System Agreement will affect Entergy Arkansas' rights to the Ouachita facility. The APSC, LPSC, MPSC, and City Council have intervened in the proceeding. Entergy filed in September 2008 its answer to the complaint and asked the FERC to dismiss the proceeding.

Entergy Arkansas purchased the Ouachita plant on September 30, 2008.

Production Cost Allocation Rider

In its June 2007 decision on Entergy Arkansas' August 2006 rate filing, the APSC approved a production cost allocation rider for recovery from customers of the retail portion of the costs allocated to Entergy Arkansas as a result of the System Agreement proceedings, but set a termination date of December 31, 2008 for the rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued a subsequent order stating the production cost allocation rider will remain in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The appellants' and appellees' briefs have been filed with the court of appeals.

In June 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual redetermination of the production cost allocation rider. The redetermination resulted in a slight increase in the rates beginning with the first billing cycle of July 2008.

Energy Cost Recovery Rider

Entergy Arkansas' retail rates include an energy cost recovery rider. In December 2007, the APSC issued an order stating that Entergy Arkansas' energy cost recovery rider will remain in effect, and any future termination of the rider will be subject to eighteen months advance notice by the APSC, which would occur following notice and hearing. On March 18, 2008 the Arkansas attorney general and the AEEC filed a notice of appeal of the December 2007 APSC order. The appellants' and appellees' briefs have been filed with the court of appeals.

In March 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC its annual energy cost rate for the period April 2008 through March 2009. The filed energy cost rate increased from \$0.01179/kWh to \$0.01869/kWh. The increase was caused by the following: 1) all three of the nuclear power plants from which Entergy Arkansas obtains power, ANO 1 and 2 and Grand Gulf, will have refueling outages in 2008, and the energy cost rate is adjusted to account for the replacement power costs that will be incurred while these units are down; 2) Entergy Arkansas has a deferred fuel cost balance from under-recovered fuel costs at December 31, 2007; and 3) fuel and purchased power prices have increased.

In August 2008, as provided for by its energy cost recovery rider, Entergy Arkansas filed with the APSC an interim revision to its energy cost rate. The revised energy cost rate is an increase from \$0.01869/kWh to \$0.02456/kWh. The increase was caused by the continued increase in natural gas and purchased power prices from the levels used in setting the rate in March 2008. The interim revised energy cost rate went into effect for the first billing cycle of September 2008. In October 2008 the APSC issued an order that requires Entergy Arkansas to file for investigative purposes only monthly updates of its actual and projected over/under-recovery of fuel and purchased power costs. The APSC order also states that the interim revised energy cost rate will remain in effect pending further investigation and order of the APSC, and the APSC reserves the right after notice and hearing to prospectively modify the energy cost rate.

APSC Investigations

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the APSC's investigation of Entergy Arkansas' energy cost recovery practices. In January 2007, the APSC issued an order in its review of Entergy Arkansas' September 2005 interim rate. The APSC found that Entergy Arkansas failed to maintain an adequate coal inventory level going into the summer of 2005 and that Entergy Arkansas should be responsible for any incremental energy costs resulting from two outages caused by employee and contractor error. The coal plant generation curtailments were caused by railroad delivery problems and Entergy has since resolved litigation with the railroad regarding the delivery problems. The APSC staff was directed to perform an analysis with Entergy Arkansas' assistance to determine the additional fuel and purchased energy costs associated with these findings and file the analysis within 60 days of the order. After a final determination of the costs is made by the APSC, Entergy Arkansas would be directed to refund that amount with interest to its customers as a credit on the energy cost recovery rider. The order also stated that the APSC would address any additional issues regarding the energy cost recovery rider in Entergy Arkansas' rate case filed in August 2006. Entergy Arkansas requested rehearing of the order. In March 2007, in order to allow further consideration by the APSC, the APSC granted Entergy Arkansas' petition for rehearing and for stay of the APSC order. In October 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed a motion to lift the stay and asks for rescission of the APSC's January 2007 order in light of the arguments advanced in Entergy Arkansas' rehearing petition and because the value for the Entergy Arkansas' customers obtained through the resolved railroad litigation is significantly greater than the incremental cost of actions identified by the APSC as imprudent. The APSC staff, the AEEC, and the Arkansas attorney general support the lifting of the stay but request additional proceedings. The APSC staff submitted a proposed procedural schedule that calls for a hearing in April 2009.

Storm Cost Recovery in Arkansas

In June 2008, together with other Arkansas utilities, Entergy Arkansas filed a joint application for approval of storm cost recovery accounting and a storm damage rider. To enable recovery of 2008 storm cost expenditures through the rider and storm reserve accounting, the applicants requested that the APSC establish a procedural schedule that would allow resolution of this proceeding no later than December 15, 2008. In light of a separate docket established by the APSC in September 2008 to consider "innovative approaches to utility regulation," including approaches to address "recovery of extraordinary storm damage restoration expenses," the utilities withdrew their joint application in October 2008.

The utilities noted in their withdrawal that the new APSC docket is unlikely to be concluded in 2008, and Entergy Arkansas has experienced extraordinary storm costs in 2008 and requires APSC action to address their effects. Therefore, on October 15, 2008, Entergy Arkansas filed a petition for an accounting order authorizing a regulatory asset and storm damage rider. In the petition, Entergy Arkansas requests the deferral of \$26 million in a regulatory asset that represents extraordinary storm restoration costs for the year 2008 that are in excess of the \$14.4 million included in base rates. The regulatory asset would be recovered through a surcharge over a 12-month period beginning in January 2009. A public hearing has been set for December 5, 2008 to consider the petition.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Arkansas' accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, unbilled revenue, and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and see Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates**" in this Form 10-Q for an update to the discussion regarding qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "**New Accounting Pronouncements**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$711,835 | \$624,664 | \$1,791,671 | \$1,561,428 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance: | | | | |
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale | 49,268 | (6,674) | 216,533 | 114,173 |
| Purchased power | 336,048 | 277,627 | 725,890 | 587,122 |
| Nuclear refueling outage expenses | 7,438 | 7,137 | 21,655 | 21,410 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 119,207 | 111,723 | 342,878 | 326,781 |
| Decommissioning | 8,843 | 8,271 | 26,091 | 24,405 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 27,106 | 23,011 | 65,325 | 59,245 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 59,716 | 57,278 | 176,020 | 170,107 |
| Other regulatory credits - net | (4,084) | (2,405) | (9,477) | (16,896) |
| TOTAL | 603,542 | 475,968 | 1,564,915 | 1,286,347 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 108,293 | 148,696 | 226,756 | 275,081 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 1,583 | 1,794 | 4,924 | 9,191 |
| Interest and dividend income | 3,377 | 3,687 | 14,180 | 15,420 |
| Miscellaneous - net | (492) | (594) | (2,226) | (2,400) |
| TOTAL | 4,468 | 4,887 | 16,878 | 22,211 |
| INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES | | | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 21,340 | 19,325 | 58,175 | 58,456 |
| Other interest - net | 2,122 | 6,396 | 5,968 | 13,211 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (882) | (748) | (2,482) | (4,261) |
| TOTAL | 22,580 | 24,973 | 61,661 | 67,406 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 90,181 | 128,610 | 181,973 | 229,886 |
| Income taxes | 39,908 | 44,909 | 81,460 | 86,709 |
| NET INCOME | 50,273 | 83,701 | 100,513 | 143,177 |
| Preferred dividend requirements and other | 1,718 | 1,718 | 5,155 | 5,155 |
| EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCK | \$48,555 | \$81,983 | \$95,358 | \$138,022 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(Page left blank intentionally)

72

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------------------|-------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$100,513 | \$143,177 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities: | | |
| Reserve for regulatory adjustments | (2,167) | (18,607) |
| Other regulatory credits - net | (9,477) | (16,896) |
| Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning | 202,111 | 194,512 |

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 66,291 | 2,770 |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | 30,045 | (20,717) |
| Fuel inventory | (7,917) | 3,555 |
| Accounts payable | (231,263) | 83,139 |
| Taxes accrued | - | (37,161) |
| Interest accrued | 7,161 | 1,339 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 4,253 | (68,021) |
| Other working capital accounts | 140,572 | (135,837) |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | 534 | (183) |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 26,396 | 26,956 |
| Other | (71,916) | 104,208 |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 255,136 | 262,234 |

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Construction expenditures | (251,917) | (212,835) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 4,924 | 9,191 |
| Nuclear fuel purchases | (94,489) | (40,353) |
| Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel | 94,489 | 42,220 |
| Payment for purchase of plant | (210,029) | - |
| Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales | 137,509 | 59,155 |
| Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds | (147,072) | (68,569) |
| Change in money pool receivable - net | - | 14,298 |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (466,585) | (196,893) |

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

| | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 298,001 | - |
| Change in credit borrowing - net | - | 60,000 |
| Change in money pool payable - net | (72,135) | 29,924 |
| Dividends paid: | | |
| Common stock | (6,900) | (181,600) |
| Preferred stock | (5,155) | (5,155) |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities | 213,811 | (96,831) |

| | | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 2,362 | (31,490) |
|---|--------------|-----------------|

| | | |
|---|------------|---------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 212 | 34,815 |
|---|------------|---------------|

| | | |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$2,574 | \$3,325 |
|---|----------------|----------------|

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$50,315 | \$60,050 |
| Income taxes | \$36,174 | \$25,795 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$2,574 | \$212 |
| Accounts receivable: | | |
| Customer | 137,378 | 85,414 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (16,152) | (16,649) |
| Associated companies | 48,606 | 75,756 |
| Other | 61,254 | 124,111 |
| Accrued unbilled revenues | 75,741 | 68,240 |
| Total accounts receivable | 306,827 | 336,872 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 110,510 | 114,763 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 35,168 | - |
| Fuel inventory - at average cost | 28,422 | 20,505 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 113,926 | 106,165 |
| Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs | 21,296 | 17,623 |
| System agreement cost equalization | 108,048 | 268,000 |
| Prepayments and other | 34,998 | 16,511 |
| TOTAL | 761,769 | 880,651 |
| OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | | |
| Investment in affiliates - at equity | 11,203 | 11,203 |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 420,536 | 466,348 |
| Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation) | 1,440 | 1,442 |
| Other | 5,391 | 5,391 |
| TOTAL | 438,570 | 484,384 |
| UTILITY PLANT | | |
| Electric | 7,210,393 | 6,792,825 |
| Property under capital lease | 1,444 | 2,436 |
| Construction work in progress | 140,761 | 146,651 |
| Nuclear fuel under capital lease | 127,815 | 124,585 |
| Nuclear fuel | 13,763 | 19,548 |
| TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 7,494,176 | 7,086,045 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 3,229,868 | 3,112,896 |
| UTILITY PLANT - NET | 4,264,308 | 3,973,149 |

**DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER
ASSETS**

Regulatory assets:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net | 91,333 | 93,557 |
| Other regulatory assets | 520,909 | 534,937 |
| Other | 32,904 | 33,128 |
| TOTAL | 645,146 | 661,622 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$6,109,793 | \$5,999,806 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

74

**ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)**

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable: | | |
| Associated companies | \$145,234 | \$486,201 |
| Other | 138,719 | 100,246 |
| Customer deposits | 60,825 | 57,751 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | - | 26,964 |
| Interest accrued | 24,608 | 17,447 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 48,786 | 49,738 |
| Other | 18,357 | 10,890 |
| TOTAL | 436,529 | 749,237 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 1,453,111 | 1,330,324 |
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 52,874 | 55,854 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 80,474 | 77,283 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | 62,134 | 117,510 |
| Decommissioning | 531,717 | 505,626 |
| Accumulated provisions | 14,948 | 14,414 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 224,694 | 260,381 |
| Long-term debt | 1,617,632 | 1,314,525 |
| Other | 46,392 | 73,739 |
| TOTAL | 4,083,976 | 3,749,656 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock without sinking fund | 116,350 | 116,350 |

Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized
325,000,000
shares; issued and outstanding 46,980,196
shares in 2008
and 2007

| | | |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 470 | 470 |
| Paid-in capital | 588,444 | 588,527 |
| Retained earnings | 884,024 | 795,566 |
| TOTAL | 1,589,288 | 1,500,913 |

**TOTAL LIABILITIES AND
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

| | |
|-------------|-------------|
| \$6,109,793 | \$5,999,806 |
|-------------|-------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

75

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| Description | Three Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| | (Dollars In Millions) | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 249 | \$ 239 | \$ 10 | 4 |
| Commercial | 143 | 127 | 16 | 13 |
| Industrial | 140 | 122 | 18 | 15 |
| Governmental | 6 | 6 | - | - |
| Total retail | 538 | 494 | 44 | 9 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 123 | 74 | 49 | 66 |
| Non-associated companies | 42 | 41 | 1 | 2 |
| Other | 9 | 16 | (7) | (44) |
| Total | \$ 712 | \$ 625 | \$ 87 | 14 |
| Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh): | | | | |
| Residential | 2,354 | 2,515 | (161) | (6) |
| Commercial | 1,758 | 1,809 | (51) | (3) |
| Industrial | 1,977 | 2,022 | (45) | (2) |
| Governmental | 79 | 77 | 2 | 3 |
| Total retail | 6,168 | 6,423 | (255) | (4) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 2,290 | 1,686 | 604 | 36 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-----|---|
| Non-associated companies | 516 | 503 | 13 | 3 |
| Total | 8,974 | 8,612 | 362 | 4 |

| Description | Nine Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| (Dollars In Millions) | | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 586 | \$ 545 | \$ 41 | 8 |
| Commercial | 346 | 309 | 37 | 12 |
| Industrial | 342 | 304 | 38 | 13 |
| Governmental | 15 | 15 | - | - |
| Total retail | 1,289 | 1,173 | 116 | 10 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 334 | 222 | 112 | 50 |
| Non-associated companies | 119 | 110 | 9 | 8 |
| Other | 50 | 56 | (6) | (11) |
| Total | \$ 1,792 | \$ 1,561 | \$ 231 | 15 |
| Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh): | | | | |
| Residential | 6,049 | 6,070 | (21) | - |
| Commercial | 4,489 | 4,519 | (30) | (1) |
| Industrial | 5,454 | 5,542 | (88) | (2) |
| Governmental | 209 | 210 | (1) | - |
| Total retail | 16,201 | 16,341 | (140) | (1) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 6,207 | 5,257 | 950 | 18 |
| Non-associated companies | 1,647 | 1,758 | (111) | (6) |
| Total | 24,055 | 23,356 | 699 | 3 |

76

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Jurisdictional Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas

See Part I, Item 1 in the Form 10-K and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into two vertically integrated utility companies, one operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the PUCT, Entergy Texas, and the other operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the LPSC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is the successor for financial reporting purposes to Entergy Gulf States, Inc. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Income Statement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Cash Flow Statement for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Income Statement for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Cash Flow Statement for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, include the operations of Entergy Texas. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's balance sheets as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business.

Pursuant to the LPSC order approving the jurisdictional separation plan, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana has made two compliance filings in 2008. On March 31, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its jurisdictional separation plan balance sheet compliance filing with the LPSC. On June 11, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its revenue and expense compliance filing.

Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike

In September 2008, Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike caused catastrophic damage to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's service territory. The storms resulted in widespread power outages, significant damage to distribution, transmission, and generation infrastructure, and the loss of sales during the power outages. Total restoration costs for the repair and/or replacement of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's electric facilities damaged by Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike are estimated to be in the range of \$275 million to \$325 million. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is considering all reasonable avenues to recover storm-related costs from Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, including, but not limited to, accessing funded storm reserves; federal and local cost recovery mechanisms, including requests for Community Development Block Grant funding; securitization; and insurance, to the extent deductibles are met.

On October 9, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana drew \$85 million from its funded storm reserve. On October 15, 2008, the LPSC approved Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's request to defer and accrue carrying cost on unrecovered storm expenditures during the period the company seeks regulatory recovery. The approval was without prejudice to the ultimate resolution of the total amount of prudently incurred storm cost or final carrying cost rate. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana expects to initiate its storm cost recovery proceeding in the first quarter 2009. The existing securitization legislation in Louisiana extends to Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike. Entergy is currently evaluating the amount of the losses covered by insurance for Entergy and each of the affected Utility operating companies. Because most of the Hurricane Gustav damage was to distribution and transmission facilities that are generally not covered by property insurance, Entergy does not expect to meet its deductibles for that storm. Because Hurricane Ike caused more damage by flooding and also caused more damage to generation facilities as compared to Hurricane Gustav, it is more likely that Entergy will meet its deductibles for that storm.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana has recorded accounts payable for the estimated costs incurred that were necessary to return customers to service. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana recorded corresponding regulatory assets of approximately \$148 million and construction work in progress of approximately \$120 million. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana recorded the regulatory assets in accordance with its accounting policies and based on the historic treatment of such costs in its service territory, because management believes that recovery through some form of

regulatory mechanism is probable. Because Entergy Gulf States Louisiana has not gone through the regulatory process regarding these storm costs, however, there is an element of risk, and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana is unable to predict with certainty the degree of success it may have in its recovery initiatives, the amount of restoration costs that

it may ultimately recover, or the timing of such recovery.

Results of Operations

Following are income statement variances for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

| | Third Quarter 2007 | Variance caused directly by the jurisdictional separation (In Thousands) | Variance caused by other factors | Third Quarter 2008 |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits) | \$394,770 | (\$149,448) | (\$3,979) | \$241,343 |
| Other operation and maintenance expenses | 128,154 | (43,551) | (9,818) | 74,785 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 35,838 | (12,941) | (276) | 22,621 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 50,925 | (16,644) | 809 | 35,090 |
| Other expenses | 5,490 | (44) | 6,290 | 11,736 |
| Other income | 31,746 | 7,002 | (19,022) | 19,726 |
| Interest charges | 41,701 | (7,789) | (2,817) | 31,095 |
| Income taxes | 65,026 | (21,222) | (17,997) | 25,807 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$99,382 | (\$40,255) | \$808 | \$59,935 |

Following are income statement variances for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 showing how much the line item increased or (decreased) in comparison to the prior period:

78

| | Nine months ended September 30, 2007 | Variance caused directly by the jurisdictional separation (In Thousands) | Variance caused by other factors | Nine months ended September 30, 2008 |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Net revenue (operating revenue less fuel expense, purchased power, and other regulatory charges/credits) | \$981,877 | (\$315,669) | (\$22,453) | \$643,755 |
| Other operation and maintenance expenses | 395,283 | (132,741) | (16,793) | 245,749 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 101,980 | (38,945) | (3,729) | 59,306 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Depreciation and amortization | 156,400 | (51,124) | (2,952) | 102,324 |
| Other expenses | 18,707 | (129) | 11,080 | 29,658 |
| Other income | 71,128 | 16,437 | (24,683) | 62,882 |
| Interest charges | 115,682 | (15,356) | (6,225) | 94,101 |
| Income taxes | 106,014 | (24,240) | (20,223) | 61,551 |
| Net Income (Loss) | \$158,939 | (\$36,697) | (\$8,294) | \$113,948 |

Net Income

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net income decreased by \$39.4 million primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007, and lower other income, partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expenses and a lower effective income tax rate. For the three months ended September 30, 2007, Entergy Texas reported net income of \$40.3 million.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net income decreased by \$45.0 million primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007, lower net revenue other than the effect on net revenue directly caused by the jurisdictional separation, and lower other income, partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expenses and a lower effective income tax rate. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, Entergy Texas reported net income of \$36.7 million.

Net Revenue

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses and 3) other regulatory charges (credits). Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007.

79

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$394.8 |
| Jurisdictional separation | (149.4) |
| Volume/weather | (11.9) |
| Other | 7.8 |
| 2008 net revenue | \$241.3 |

Net revenue decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to the effects of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike.

The Other variance is primarily caused by various operational effects of the jurisdictional separation on revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges (credits)

Gross operating revenues decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007, partially offset by an increase in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates.

Fuel and purchased power expense increased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Other regulatory charges decreased primarily due to a decrease in capacity charges and due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses and 3) other regulatory charges (credits). Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$981.9 |
| Jurisdictional separation | (315.7) |
| Other | (22.4) |
| 2008 net revenue | \$643.8 |

Net revenue decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

The Other variance is primarily caused by various operational effects of the jurisdictional separation on revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges (credits)

Gross operating revenues decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007, partially offset by an increase in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and increased usage.

Fuel and purchased power expense decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Other regulatory charges decreased primarily due to a decrease in capacity charges and due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Other Income Statement Variances

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Taxes other than income taxes decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Depreciation and amortization decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Nuclear refueling outage expenses increased due to the amortization of higher expenses associated with the planned maintenance and refueling outage at River Bend in the first quarter 2008 as well as the delay of this outage from late 2007 to early 2008 resulting in a shorter amortization period for these costs.

Other income includes \$15 million in interest and dividend income in 2008 related to the debt assumption agreement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas and the \$1.079 billion of debt assumed by Entergy Texas as of December 31, 2007. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana remains primarily liable on this debt. The increase in interest income is partially offset by \$8 million of other income reported by Entergy Texas for the third quarter 2007. The income from the debt assumption agreement offsets the interest expense on the portion of long-term debt assumed by Entergy Texas. The remaining variance is primarily due to lower carrying charges on storm restoration costs and a decrease in interest earned on money pool investments.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007 and due to a decrease in long-term debt outstanding.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Taxes other than income taxes decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Depreciation and amortization decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007.

Nuclear refueling outage expenses increased due to the amortization of higher expenses associated with the planned maintenance and refueling outage at River Bend in the first quarter 2008 as well as the delay of this outage from late 2007 to early 2008 resulting in a shorter amortization period for these costs.

Other income includes \$46 million in interest and dividend income in 2008 related to the debt assumption agreement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas and the \$1.079 billion of debt assumed by Entergy Texas as of December 31, 2007. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana remains primarily liable on this debt. The increase in interest income is partially offset by \$29 million of other income reported by Entergy Texas for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The income from the debt assumption agreement offsets the interest expense on the portion of long-term debt assumed by Entergy Texas. The remaining variance is primarily due to the absence of carrying charges on storm restoration costs and a decrease in interest earned on money pool investments.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007 and due to a decrease in long-term debt outstanding.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 30.1% for the third quarter 2008 and 35.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the third quarter 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is due to flow-through book and tax timing differences and book and tax differences related to storm cost financing and to utility plant items, partially offset by state income taxes.

The effective income tax rate was 39.6% for the third quarter 2007 and 40.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The differences in the effective income tax rate for the third quarter 2007 and the nine months ended September 30, 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% are primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes, partially offset by flow-through book and tax timing differences related to a pension payment, book and tax differences related to allowance for equity funds used during construction, and the amortization of investment tax credits.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$108,036 | \$180,381 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | 505,770 | 380,945 |
| Investing activities | (554,329) | (361,600) |
| Financing activities | 64,685 | 239,609 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 16,126 | 258,954 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$124,162 | \$439,335 |

Operating Activities

-

Net cash flow provided by operating activities increased \$124.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to storm cost proceeds of \$274.7 million

received from the Louisiana Utilities Restoration

82

Corporation (LURC) as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financings, partially offset by the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, effective December 31, 2007. The storm cost financings are discussed in further detail below.

Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities increased \$192.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to:

- the investment of \$189.4 million in affiliate securities and the investment of \$85.3 million in the storm reserve escrow account as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financings. The storm cost financings are discussed in further detail below; and
- the purchase of the Calcasieu power plant for \$56.4 million. See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Calcasieu purchase which was completed in March 2008.

The increase was partially offset by a change in money pool activity.

Increases in Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's receivable from the money pool are a use of cash flow, and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's receivable from the money pool increased by \$15 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to increasing by \$120.3 million the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Financing Activities

Net cash flow provided by financing activities decreased \$174.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the issuance of \$329.5 million of securitization bonds in June 2007 by a subsidiary of Entergy Texas, partially offset by borrowings in 2008 of \$100 million on Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's credit facility and a decrease of \$39.8 million in 2008 in common membership interest distributions paid.

Capital Structure

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table.

The calculation below does not reduce the debt by the long-term debt assumed by Entergy Texas (\$930 million as of September 30, 2008 and \$1.079 billion as of December 31, 2007) because Entergy Gulf States Louisiana remains primarily liable on the debt.

| | |
|---------------|--------------|
| September 30, | December 31, |
| 2008 | 2007 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Net debt to net capital | 62.6% | 64.4% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 1.2% | 1.0% |
| Debt to capital | 63.8% | 65.4% |

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and members' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's financial condition.

83

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's receivables from the money pool were as follows:

| September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | |
| \$70,533 | \$55,509 | \$195,371 | \$75,048 |

See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana has a credit facility in the amount of \$100 million scheduled to expire in August 2012. As of September 30, 2008, \$100 million was outstanding on the credit facility.

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana issued \$375 million of 6.00% Series First Mortgage Bonds due May 2018. The proceeds were used to pay at maturity the portion of the \$325 million of 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had not been assumed by Entergy Texas and to redeem, prior to maturity, \$189.7 million of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage bonds due December 2008, and for other general corporate purposes.

The portion of the \$325 million of 3.6% Series First Mortgage Bonds due June 2008 that had been assumed by Entergy Texas was paid at maturity by Entergy Texas in June 2008, and that bond series is no longer outstanding. The remainder of the \$350 million Floating Rate series of First Mortgage bonds due December 2008 had been assumed by Entergy Texas, and management expects Entergy Texas to redeem those bonds by their maturity date.

Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the effects of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, which hit Entergy Gulf States Inc.'s jurisdictions in Louisiana and Texas in August and September 2005, which resulted in power outages, significant damage to electric distribution, transmission, and generation infrastructure, the temporary loss of sales and customers due to mandatory evacuations, and Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s initiatives to recover storm restoration and business continuity costs and incremental losses.

Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3, 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively, through prospective annual rate reductions of \$2 million and \$6

84

million for five years. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. In May 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted final approval of the Act 55 financings.

On August 26, 2008, the LPFA issued \$278.4 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the \$274.7 million of bond proceeds loaned by the LPFA to the LURC, the LURC deposited \$87 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and transferred \$187.7 million directly to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Gulf States Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana invested \$189.4 million, including \$1.7 million that was withdrawn from the restricted escrow account as approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, in exchange for 1,893,918.39 Class A preferred, non-voting, membership interest units of Entergy Holdings Company LLC, a company wholly-owned and consolidated by Entergy, that carry a 10% annual distribution rate. Distributions are payable quarterly commencing on September 15, 2008 and have a liquidation price of \$100 per unit. The preferred membership interests are callable at the option of Entergy Holdings Company LLC after ten years. The terms of the membership interests include certain financial covenants to which Entergy Holdings Company LLC is subject, including the requirement to maintain a net worth of at least \$1 billion.

Entergy Gulf States Louisiana will not report the bonds on its balance sheet because the bonds are the obligation of the LPFA, and there is no recourse against Entergy Gulf States Louisiana in the event of a bond default.

Little Gypsy Repowering Project

See Entergy Corporation's Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Little Gypsy repowering project. The preconstruction and operating air permits for the Little Gypsy repowering project were issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in November 2007 under then-effective federal and state air regulations, including the EPA's Clean Air Mercury Rule that had been issued in 2005 (CAMR 2005). As discussed in more detail in Part I, Item 1, "Environmental Regulation, Clean Air Act and Subsequent Amendments, Hazardous Air Pollutants" in the Form 10-K, in February 2008 the U.S. Court of Appeals

for the D.C. Circuit struck down CAMR 2005. The D.C. Circuit decision requires utilities that have not yet begun construction of the facility in question to undergo before beginning construction a case-by-case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) analysis for construction or reconstruction of emission units pursuant to the Clean Air Act. The Little Gypsy project as currently configured is expected to meet MACT standards. Little Gypsy received its construction permit before a formal MACT analysis was required, however, and Entergy Louisiana has sought a MACT determination from the LDEQ. The filing was made in June 2008, and the LDEQ has certified that the filing is complete. A decision on the MACT determination is expected by first quarter 2009. Entergy Louisiana also is awaiting permit determinations from several additional agencies. These permits are unrelated to CAMR 2005 and always have been part of the construction process. Onsite construction of the project was scheduled to begin in July 2008, but obtaining the MACT determination will cause a delay in the start of construction, which Entergy Louisiana now expects to begin in mid-year 2009. This delays the expected commercial operation date of the project to mid-year 2013.

The LPSC Phase I order has been appealed to the state district court in Baton Rouge, Louisiana by a group led by the Sierra Club and represented by the Tulane Environmental Law Clinic. A status conference is set for December 3, 2008, at which time a procedural schedule should be established for the appeal.

The LPSC had approved the temporary suspension of Phase II of the Little Gypsy proceedings because Entergy Louisiana must update its estimated project cost and schedule in order to support the request to recover cash earnings on its construction work in progress (CWIP) costs. On October 16, 2008, Entergy Louisiana, together with Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, filed an application to resume Phase II of the proceeding. The Phase II filing seeks certification for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to participate in a one-third ownership share in the repowering project. In addition, Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana seek recovery of approximately 79% of their construction financing costs through the recovery of cash earnings on CWIP costs. The LPSC previously found that the recovery of CWIP for

a large baseload project may be in the public interest as cash earnings may be needed to protect the utility's financial integrity, maintain an acceptable credit rating, prevent an undue increase in the utility's cost of capital, or to accomplish phasing in of the cost of a large capital project for the benefit of customers. In Phase II, the LPSC will rule on Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's certification request, determine the appropriate amount of CWIP costs, if any, to be recovered and will develop the allocation, accounting and rate recovery mechanisms for such recovery. The LPSC also will determine the appropriate procedure or mechanism for synchronizing base rate recovery of Little Gypsy's fixed or non-fuel costs with its commercial in-service date. A status conference is set for November 14, 2008, at which time a procedural schedule should be established for Phase II. Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana have requested that the case be decided in time to permit the recovery of cash earnings on CWIP beginning in July 2009.

The delayed construction of the Little Gypsy repowering project is expected to increase the total project cost from approximately \$1.55 billion to \$1.76 billion, primarily due to price escalation on non-contracted equipment and material and increased carrying cost due to the extended construction period.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation; transition to retail competition; federal

regulation; the Energy Policy Act of 2005; industrial and commercial customers; nuclear matters; and environmental risks. Following are updates to the information disclosed in the Form 10-K.

State and Local Rate Regulation

Retail Rates - Electric

In August 2008, the LPSC issued an order approving an uncontested settlement between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and the LPSC Staff authorizing Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's purchase of one-third of the capacity and energy from the 789 MW Ouachita plant, which Entergy Arkansas acquired on September 30, 2008. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana will purchase one-third of the plant's capacity and output from Entergy Arkansas under a life-of-unit agreement.

In May 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year. The filing reflected a 9.26% return on common equity, which is below the allowed earnings bandwidth, and indicated a \$5.4 million revenue deficiency, offset by a \$4.1 million decrease in required additional capacity costs. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$20.7 million formula rate plan decrease, subject to refund, effective the first billing cycle in September 2008. The decrease includes removal of interim storm cost recovery and a reduction in the storm damage accrual. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana then implemented a \$16.0 million formula rate plan increase, subject to refund, effective the first billing cycle in October 2008 to collect previously deferred and ongoing costs associated with LPSC approved additional capacity, including the Ouachita power plant. Consideration of the formula rate plan filing is pending.

In May 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year. The filing reflected a 10.0% return on common equity, which is within the allowed earnings bandwidth, and an anticipated formula rate plan decrease of \$23 million annually attributable to adjustments outside of the formula rate plan sharing mechanism related to capacity costs and the anticipated securitization of storm costs related to Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the securitization of a storm reserve. In September 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana modified the formula rate plan filing to reflect a 10.07% return on common equity, which is still within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing also reflected implementation of a \$4.1 million rate increase, subject to refund, attributable to recovery of additional LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The rate decrease anticipated in the original filing did not occur because of the additional capacity costs approved by the LPSC, and because securitization of storm costs associated with Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and the establishment of a storm reserve had not yet occurred. In October 2007, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$16.4

million formula rate plan decrease that is due to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC order. The LPSC staff issued its final report in December 2007, indicating a \$1.6 million decrease in formula rate plan revenues for which interim rates were already in effect. In addition, the LPSC staff recommended that the LPSC give a one-year extension of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's formula rate plan to synchronize with the final year of Entergy Louisiana's formula rate plan, or alternatively, to extend the formula rate plan for a longer period. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana indicated it is amenable to a one-year extension. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place and extend the formula rate plan for one year, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008.

Retail Rates - Gas

In January 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana filed with the LPSC its gas rate stabilization plan for the test year ending September 30, 2007. The filing showed a revenue deficiency of \$3.7 million based on a return on common equity mid-point of 10.5%. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana implemented a \$3.4 million rate increase in April 2008 pursuant to an uncontested agreement with the LPSC staff.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, the application of SFAS 71, unbilled revenue, and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and see Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in this Form 10-Q for an update to the discussion regarding qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "New Accounting Pronouncements" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$840,696 | \$946,222 | \$2,042,483 | \$2,606,045 |
| Natural gas | 16,186 | 11,818 | 75,499 | 66,836 |
| TOTAL | 856,882 | 958,040 | 2,117,982 | 2,672,881 |

OPERATING EXPENSES

Operation and Maintenance:

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale | 180,362 | 210,890 | 262,478 | 643,081 |
| Purchased power | 441,998 | 341,278 | 1,214,183 | 1,024,478 |
| Nuclear refueling outage expenses | 8,571 | 2,529 | 20,354 | 10,000 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 74,785 | 128,154 | 245,749 | 395,283 |
| Decommissioning | 3,165 | 2,961 | 9,304 | 8,707 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 22,621 | 35,838 | 59,306 | 101,980 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,090 | 50,925 | 102,324 | 156,400 |
| Other regulatory charges (credits) - net | (6,821) | 11,102 | (2,434) | 23,445 |
| TOTAL | 759,771 | 783,677 | 1,911,264 | 2,363,374 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 97,111 | 174,363 | 206,718 | 309,507 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 1,476 | 2,512 | 4,391 | 8,943 |
| Interest and dividend income | 19,900 | 29,020 | 62,169 | 61,314 |
| Miscellaneous - net | (1,650) | 214 | (3,678) | 871 |
| TOTAL | 19,726 | 31,746 | 62,882 | 71,128 |
| INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES | | | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 30,439 | 39,878 | 93,691 | 109,567 |
| Other interest - net | 1,553 | 3,433 | 3,117 | 11,899 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (897) | (1,610) | (2,707) | (5,784) |
| TOTAL | 31,095 | 41,701 | 94,101 | 115,682 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 85,742 | 164,408 | 175,499 | 264,953 |
| Income taxes | 25,807 | 65,026 | 61,551 | 106,014 |
| NET INCOME | 59,935 | 99,382 | 113,948 | 158,939 |
| Preferred distribution requirements and other | 206 | 955 | 619 | 2,846 |
| EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO COMMON EQUITY | \$59,729 | \$98,427 | \$113,329 | \$156,093 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$113,948 | \$158,939 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities: | | |
| Reserve for regulatory adjustments | - | 270 |
| Other regulatory charges (credits) - net | (2,434) | 23,445 |
| Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning | 111,628 | 165,107 |
| Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 93,503 | 1,126 |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | (50,114) | (178,606) |
| Fuel inventory | (2,147) | (8,685) |
| Accounts payable | 1,545 | 38,139 |
| Taxes accrued | - | 22,199 |
| Interest accrued | 4,326 | 6,270 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 7,897 | 8,884 |
| Other working capital accounts | (72,002) | 59,625 |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | 86,733 | (4,236) |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 239,821 | (48,544) |
| Other | (26,934) | 137,012 |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 505,770 | 380,945 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Construction expenditures | (206,694) | (226,941) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 4,391 | 8,943 |
| Insurance proceeds | - | 6,580 |
| Nuclear fuel purchases | (21,807) | (35,376) |
| Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel | 21,819 | 13,839 |
| Payment for purchase of plant | (56,409) | - |
| Investment in affiliates | (189,400) | - |
| Payment to storm reserve escrow account | (85,306) | - |
| Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales | 41,587 | 48,918 |
| Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds | (51,420) | (59,621) |
| Change in money pool receivable - net | (15,024) | (120,323) |
| Changes in other investments - net | 3,934 | 2,381 |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (554,329) | (361,600) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 369,821 | 323,486 |
| Retirement of long-term debt | (366,683) | - |
| Redemption of preferred stock | - | (3,450) |
| Changes in credit borrowing - net | 100,000 | - |
| Dividends/distributions paid: | | |
| Common equity | (37,800) | (77,600) |
| Preferred membership interests | (653) | (2,827) |
| Net cash flow provided by financing activities | 64,685 | 239,609 |

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 16,126 | 258,954 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 108,036 | 180,381 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$124,162 | \$439,335 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$89,947 | \$108,372 |
| Income taxes | \$2,324 | \$15,066 |

Noncash financing activities:

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----|
| Repayment by Entergy Texas of assumed long-term debt | \$148,837 | \$- |
|--|-----------|-----|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.

BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

2008 **2007**
(In Thousands)

CURRENT ASSETS

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Cash | \$200 | \$233 |
| Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market | 123,962 | 107,803 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 124,162 | 108,036 |
| Accounts receivable: | | |
| Customer | 114,476 | 62,408 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,504) | (979) |
| Associated companies | 219,784 | 218,891 |
| Other | 77,864 | 59,059 |
| Accrued unbilled revenues | 47,918 | 54,021 |
| Total accounts receivable | 458,538 | 393,400 |
| Deferred fuel costs | - | 5,644 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | - | 21,938 |
| Fuel inventory - at average cost | 33,957 | 31,810 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 105,398 | 100,161 |
| Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs | 22,457 | 5,155 |
| Debt assumption by Entergy Texas | 160,286 | 309,123 |
| Prepayments and other | 21,699 | 23,533 |
| TOTAL | 926,497 | 998,800 |

OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Investment in affiliates | 189,400 | - |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 329,892 | 366,062 |
| Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation) | 121,654 | 109,517 |
| Storm reserve escrow account | 85,306 | - |
| Other | 13,092 | 17,350 |
| TOTAL | 739,344 | 492,929 |

UTILITY PLANT

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Electric | 6,352,342 | 6,132,362 |
| Natural gas | 104,736 | 98,484 |
| Construction work in progress | 206,838 | 141,528 |
| Nuclear fuel under capital lease | 116,143 | 110,769 |
| Nuclear fuel | 7,692 | 11,256 |
| TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 6,787,751 | 6,494,399 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 3,545,630 | 3,433,131 |
| UTILITY PLANT - NET | 3,242,121 | 3,061,268 |

DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS

| | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Regulatory assets: | | |
| SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net | 320,843 | 299,023 |
| Other regulatory assets | 311,012 | 335,897 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 100,124 | 100,124 |
| Long-term receivables | 1,316 | 1,872 |
| Debt assumption by Entergy Texas | 769,971 | 769,971 |
| Other | 16,238 | 12,807 |
| TOTAL | 1,519,504 | 1,519,694 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$6,427,466 | \$6,072,691 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.

BALANCE SHEETS

LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY

September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Currently maturing long-term debt | \$160,286 | \$675,000 |
| Accounts payable: | | |

| | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|
| Associated companies | 214,401 | 201,217 |
| Other | 313,289 | 111,579 |
| Customer deposits | 40,418 | 38,061 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 45,029 | - |
| Interest accrued | 33,724 | 29,398 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 2,253 | - |
| Obligations under capital leases | 28,795 | 28,795 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 7,255 | 7,064 |
| Gas hedge contracts | 17,939 | - |
| System agreement cost equalization | 53,146 | 124,775 |
| Other | 8,863 | 9,052 |
| TOTAL | 925,398 | 1,224,941 |

NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES

| | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 1,267,548 | 1,219,568 |
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 92,662 | 95,745 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 87,348 | 81,974 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | 33,635 | 69,890 |
| Decommissioning and asset retirement cost liabilities | 218,252 | 204,828 |
| Accumulated provisions | 98,620 | 11,887 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 68,752 | 102,510 |
| Long-term debt | 2,147,259 | 1,674,113 |
| Other | 112,275 | 87,468 |
| TOTAL | 4,126,351 | 3,547,983 |

Commitments and Contingencies

MEMBERS' EQUITY

| | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Preferred membership interests without sinking fund | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Members' equity | 1,388,220 | 1,312,701 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (22,503) | (22,934) |
| TOTAL | 1,375,717 | 1,299,767 |

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY

\$6,427,466 **\$6,072,691**

See Notes to Financial Statements.

| | | Three Months Ended | | |
|---|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| | 2008 | | | 2007 |
| | | (In Thousands) | | |
| MEMBERS' EQUITY | | | | |
| Members' Equity - Beginning of period | \$1,328,501 | | \$2,237,631 | |
| Add: Net Income | 59,935 | \$59,935 | 99,382 | \$99,382 |
| Deduct: | | | | |
| Dividends/distributions declared on common equity | - | | 32,100 | |
| Preferred membership interests | 206 | 206 | 955 | 955 |
| Other | 8 | | - | |
| | 214 | | 33,055 | |
| Members' Equity - End of period | \$1,388,222 | | \$2,303,958 | |

| | | | | |
|--|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (Net of Taxes): | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$22,302) | | (\$19,245) | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$959 and \$326) | (201) | (201) | 335 | 335 |
| Balance at end of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$22,503) | | (\$18,910) | |
| Comprehensive Income | | \$59,528 | | \$98,762 |

| | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | | 2007 | |
| | (In Thousands) | | | |
| MEMBERS' EQUITY | | | | |
| Members' Equity - Beginning of period | \$1,312,701 | | \$2,225,465 | |
| Add: Net Income | 113,948 | \$113,948 | 158,939 | \$158,939 |
| Deduct: | | | | |
| Dividends/distributions declared on common equity | 37,800 | | 77,600 | |
| Preferred membership interests | 619 | 619 | 2,846 | 2,846 |
| Other | 10 | | - | |
| | 38,429 | | 80,446 | |
| Members' Equity - End of period | \$1,388,220 | | \$2,303,958 | |

**ACCUMULATED OTHER
COMPREHENSIVE
LOSS (Net of Taxes):**

| | | | | |
|--|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Balance at beginning of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$22,934) | | (\$19,914) | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$1,839 and \$978) | 431 | 431 | 1,004 | 1,004 |
| Balance at end of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$22,503) | | (\$18,910) | |
| Comprehensive Income | | \$113,760 | | \$157,097 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

92

ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| Description | Three Months Ended 2008 | 2007 | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| | (Dollars In Millions) | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues | | | | |
| (1): | | | | |
| Residential | \$194 | \$320 | (\$126) | (39) |
| Commercial | 161 | 210 | (49) | (23) |
| Industrial | 202 | 247 | (45) | (18) |
| Governmental | 7 | 10 | (3) | (30) |
| Total retail | 564 | 787 | (223) | (28) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 217 | 85 | 132 | 155 |
| Non-associated companies | 63 | 51 | 12 | 24 |
| Other | (3) | 23 | (26) | (113) |
| Total | \$841 | \$946 | (\$105) | (11) |
| Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh) (1): | | | | |
| Residential | 1,608 | 3,359 | (1,751) | (52) |
| Commercial | 1,439 | 2,577 | (1,138) | (44) |
| Industrial | 2,256 | 3,815 | (1,559) | (41) |
| Governmental | 55 | 114 | (59) | (52) |
| Total retail | 5,358 | 9,865 | (4,507) | (46) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 1,747 | 728 | 1,019 | 140 |
| Non-associated companies | 685 | 704 | (19) | (3) |

| | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| Total | 7,790 | 11,297 | (3,507) | (31) |
|-------|-------|--------|---------|------|

| Description | Nine Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 (Dollars In Millions) | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues | | | | |
| (1): | | | | |
| Residential | \$440 | \$800 | (\$360) | (45) |
| Commercial | 403 | 612 | (209) | (34) |
| Industrial | 534 | 785 | (251) | (32) |
| Governmental | 18 | 34 | (16) | (47) |
| Total retail | 1,395 | 2,231 | (836) | (37) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 465 | 151 | 314 | 208 |
| Non-associated companies | 157 | 153 | 4 | 3 |
| Other | 25 | 71 | (46) | (65) |
| Total | \$2,042 | \$2,606 | (\$564) | (22) |
| Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh) (1): | | | | |
| Residential | 3,831 | 7,890 | (4,059) | (51) |
| Commercial | 3,787 | 6,761 | (2,974) | (44) |
| Industrial | 6,553 | 11,317 | (4,764) | (42) |
| Governmental | 163 | 335 | (172) | (51) |
| Total retail | 14,334 | 26,303 | (11,969) | (46) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 4,425 | 1,962 | 2,463 | 126 |
| Non-associated companies | 2,020 | 2,248 | (228) | (10) |
| Total | 20,779 | 30,513 | (9,734) | (32) |

(1) Amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008 reflect the effects of the separation of the Texas business. Amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 include the operations of Entergy Texas.

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike

In September 2008, Hurricane Gustav (and, to a much lesser extent, Hurricane Ike) caused catastrophic damage to Entergy Louisiana's service territory. The storms resulted in widespread power outages, significant damage to

distribution, transmission, and generation infrastructure, and the loss of sales during the power outages. Total restoration costs for the repair and/or replacement of Entergy Louisiana's electric facilities damaged by Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike are estimated to be in the range of \$240 million to \$285 million. Entergy Louisiana is considering all reasonable avenues to recover storm-related costs, including, but not limited to, accessing funded storm reserves; federal and local cost recovery mechanisms, including requests for Community Development Block Grant funding; securitization; and insurance, to the extent deductibles are met.

On October 9, 2008, Entergy Louisiana drew \$134 million from its funded storm reserves. On October 15, 2008, the LPSC approved Entergy Louisiana's request to defer and accrue carrying cost on unrecovered storm expenditures during the period the company seeks regulatory recovery. The approval was without prejudice to the ultimate resolution of the total amount of prudently incurred storm cost or final carrying cost rate. Entergy Louisiana expects to initiate its storm cost recovery proceeding in the first quarter 2009. The existing securitization in Louisiana extends to Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike. Entergy is currently evaluating the amount of the losses covered by insurance for Entergy and each of the affected Utility operating companies. Because most of the Hurricane Gustav damage was to distribution and transmission facilities that are generally not covered by property insurance, Entergy does not expect to meet its deductibles for that storm.

Entergy Louisiana has recorded accounts payable for the estimated costs incurred that were necessary to return customers to service. Entergy Louisiana recorded corresponding regulatory assets of approximately \$130 million and construction work in progress of approximately \$113 million. Entergy Louisiana recorded the regulatory assets in accordance with its accounting policies and based on the historic treatment of such costs in its service territory, because management believes that recovery through some form of regulatory mechanism is probable. Because Entergy Louisiana has not gone through the regulatory process regarding these storm costs, however, there is an element of risk, and Entergy Louisiana is unable to predict with certainty the degree of success it may have in its recovery initiatives, the amount of restoration costs that it may ultimately recover, or the timing of such recovery.

Results of Operations

Net Income

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net income decreased \$13.1 million primarily due to lower net revenue and higher depreciation and amortization expenses, partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expenses and higher other income.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net income decreased \$11.5 million primarily due to lower net revenue and higher depreciation and amortization expenses, partially offset by higher other income.

94

Net Revenue

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007.

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$309.4 |
| Volume/weather | (27.0) |
| Retail electric price | (13.5) |
| Net wholesale revenue | (5.4) |
| Other | 0.4 |
| 2008 net revenue | \$263.9 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to decreased electricity usage, including the effects of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, which contributed an estimated \$18 million to the decrease, primarily during the unbilled sales period, and the effect of less favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007.

The retail electric price variance is primarily due to the cessation of the interim storm recovery through the formula rate plan upon the Act 55 financing of storm costs and a credit passed on to customers as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financing. Refer to "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein for a discussion of the interim recovery of storm costs and the Act 55 storm cost financing.

The net wholesale revenue variance is primarily due to provisions recorded for potential rate refunds related to interruptible load revenues.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$248.4 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and usage, partially offset by a decrease in volume/weather, as discussed above.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to an increase in the recovery from customers of deferred fuel costs in addition to increases in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power.

Other regulatory charges decreased primarily due to the amortization of interim storm recoveries in 2007 that ceased in July 2008 with the Act 55 financing of storm costs. See Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the interim storm recoveries and the Act 55 financing.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$770.2 |
| Volume/weather | (13.8) |
| Purchased power capacity | (8.8) |
| Retail electric price | (6.9) |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | |
|-----------------------|---------|
| Net wholesale revenue | (6.0) |
| Other | 6.7 |
| 2008 net revenue | \$741.4 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to decreased electricity usage, including the effects of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, during the unbilled sales period and the effect of less favorable weather compared to 2007, offset by other miscellaneous factors.

The purchased power capacity variance is due to the amortization of deferred capacity costs effective September 2007 as a result of the formula rate plan filing in May 2007. See Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the formula rate plan filing.

The retail electric price variance is primarily due to the cessation of the interim storm recovery through the formula rate plan upon the Act 55 financing of storm costs and a credit passed on to customers as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financing, partially offset by increases in the formula rate plan effective October 2007. Refer to "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and to Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K and herein for a discussion of the interim recovery of storm costs, the Act 55 storm cost financing, and the formula rate plan filing.

The net wholesale revenue variance is primarily due to provisions recorded for potential rate refunds related to interruptible load revenues.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$275.8 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and usage, partially offset by a decrease in volume/weather, as discussed above.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to increases in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power and the shift from lower-priced nuclear generation due to the scheduled nuclear refueling outage in 2008, partially offset by a decrease in the recovery from customers of deferred fuel costs.

Other regulatory charges decreased primarily due to the amortization of interim storm recoveries in 2007 that ceased in July 2008 with the Act 55 financing of storm costs and the amortization in 2007 related to the voluntary severance program. See "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the interim storm recoveries and the Act 55 financing.

96

Other Income Statement Variances

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$6.9 million in customer service costs primarily as the result of write-offs in 2007 of uncollectible customer accounts;
- a decrease of \$5.4 million in payroll-related costs; and
- a decrease of \$3.2 million in loss reserves for storm damage in 2008 upon the Act 55 storm cost financing.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased due to a revision in the third quarter 2007 related to depreciation on storm cost-related assets. Recovery of the cost of those assets will now be through the Act 55 financing of storm costs as approved by the LPSC in the third quarter 2007. See "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to

the financial statements for a discussion of the Act 55 storm cost financing.

Other income increased primarily due to dividends earned on preferred stock purchased from Entergy Holdings Company with the proceeds received from the Act 55 storm cost financing. See "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the storm cost financing.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased due to a revision in the third quarter 2007 related to depreciation on storm cost-related assets. Recovery of the cost of those assets will now be through the Act 55 financing of storm costs as approved by the LPSC in the third quarter 2007. See "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the Act 55 storm cost financing.

Other income increased primarily due to dividends earned on preferred stock purchased from Entergy Holdings Company with the proceeds received from the Act 55 Storm Cost Financings, interest earned on the deferred fuel balance, and carrying charges on storm restoration costs approved by the LPSC. See "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the Act 55 storm cost financing.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 34.0% for the third quarter 2008 and 37.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The effective income tax rate was 34.8% for the third quarter 2007 and 36.2% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The difference in the effective income tax rates for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35.0% is primarily due to book and tax differences related to utility plant items and state income taxes, partially offset by book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction and the amortization of investment tax credits. Also contributing to the nine months ended September 30, 2008 income tax rate versus the federal statutory rate is book and tax differences related to the storm cost financing.

97

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$300 | \$2,743 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | 925,998 | 193,117 |
| Investing activities | (1,168,734) | (199,231) |
| Financing activities | 429,044 | 3,898 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 186,308 | (2,216) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$186,608 | \$527 |

Operating Activities

Cash flow provided by operating activities increased \$732.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to storm cost proceeds of \$679 million received from the LURC as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financings and income tax refunds of \$5.7 million in 2008 compared to income tax payments of \$98.9 million in 2007. The increase was partially offset by decreased recovery of deferred fuel costs. See "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the storm cost financings.

Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities increased \$970 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to:

- the investment of \$545 million in affiliate securities and the investment of \$134.4 million in the storm reserve escrow account as a result of the Act 55 storm cost financings. See "Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina" below and Note 2 to the financial statements for a discussion of the storm cost financings;
- increased construction expenditures in 2008 due to Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, the Little Gypsy Unit 3 repowering project, and various nuclear project; and
- money pool activity.

Increases in Entergy Louisiana's receivable from the money pool are a use of cash flow, and Entergy Louisiana's receivable from the money pool increased by \$106.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries need for external short-term borrowings.

98

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$425.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the issuance of \$300 million of 6.50% Series First Mortgage Bonds in August 2008 and borrowings of \$200 million on Entergy Louisiana's credit facility, partially offset by the repurchase, prior to maturity, of \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds, which are being held for remarketing at a later date, and money pool activity.

Decreases in Entergy Louisiana's payable to the money pool are a use of cash flow, and Entergy Louisiana's payable to the money pool decreased by \$2.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to increasing by \$9.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Capital Structure

Entergy Louisiana's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table. The increase in the debt to capital ratio for Entergy Louisiana as of September 30, 2008 is primarily due to borrowings of \$200 million on Entergy Louisiana's credit facility and the issuance of \$300 million 6.50% Series First Mortgage Bonds in August 2008, partially offset by the repurchase, prior to maturity, of \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds.

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt to net capital | 47.1% | 43.4% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 3.0% | - |
| Debt to capital | 50.1% | 43.4% |

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and members' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Louisiana uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Louisiana's financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Louisiana's uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Entergy Louisiana's receivables from or (payables to) the money pool were as follows:

| September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | |
| \$106,427 | (\$2,791) | (\$63,151) | (\$54,041) |

See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, Entergy Louisiana has a credit facility in the amount of \$200 million scheduled to expire in August 2012. As of September 30, 2008, \$200 million was outstanding on the credit facility.

In April 2008, Entergy Louisiana repurchased, prior to maturity, \$60 million of Auction Rate governmental bonds, which are being held for possible remarketing at a later date.

In August 2008, Entergy Louisiana issued \$300 million of 6.50% Series First Mortgage Bonds due September 2018. The net proceeds of the issuance will be used for capital expenditures, working capital needs, and general corporate purposes. Prior to their application, the remaining net proceeds may be invested in temporary cash investments or the Entergy System money pool.

-

-

Hurricane Rita and Hurricane Katrina

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the effects of Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita and Entergy's initiatives to recover storm restoration and business continuity costs and incremental losses, which includes obtaining reimbursement of certain costs covered by insurance and pursuing recovery through existing or new rate mechanisms

regulated by the FERC and local regulatory bodies, in combination with securitization. In August and September 2005, Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita, along with extensive flooding that resulted from levee breaks in and around Entergy Louisiana's service territory, caused catastrophic damage.

Insurance Claims

Entergy has settled its lawsuit against one of its excess insurers on the Hurricane Katrina claim, and in July 2008 received \$71.5 million in proceeds on the claim. The July 2008 proceeds were allocated as follows: \$2.0 million to Entergy Arkansas, \$3.0 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$12.4 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$1.8 million to Entergy Mississippi, and \$48.4 million to Entergy New Orleans, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Storm Cost Financings

In March 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, and the Louisiana Utilities Restoration Corporation (LURC), an instrumentality of the State of Louisiana, filed at the LPSC an application requesting that the LPSC grant financing orders authorizing the financing of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana storm costs, storm reserves, and issuance costs pursuant to Act 55 of the Louisiana Legislature (Act 55 financings). The Act 55 financings are expected to produce additional customer benefits as compared to Act 64 traditional securitization. Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana also filed an application requesting LPSC approval for ancillary issues including the mechanism to flow charges and savings to customers via a Storm Cost Offset rider. On April 3, 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted preliminary approval for the Act 55 financings. On April 8, 2008, the Louisiana Public Facilities Authority (LPFA), which is the issuer of the bonds pursuant to the Act 55 financings, approved requests for the Act 55 financings. On April 10, 2008, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana and the LPSC Staff filed with the LPSC an uncontested stipulated settlement that includes Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Louisiana's proposals under the Act 55 financings, which includes a commitment to pass on to customers a minimum of \$10 million and \$30 million of customer benefits, respectively, through prospective annual rate reductions of \$2 million and \$6 million for five years. On April 16, 2008, the LPSC approved the settlement and issued two financing orders and one ratemaking order intended to facilitate implementation of the Act 55 financings. In May 2008, the Louisiana State Bond Commission granted final approval of the Act 55 financings.

On July 29, 2008, the LPFA issued \$687.7 million in bonds under the aforementioned Act 55. From the \$679 million of bond proceeds loaned by the LPFA to the LURC, the LURC deposited \$152 million in a restricted escrow account as a storm damage reserve for Entergy Louisiana and transferred \$527 million directly to Entergy Louisiana. From the bond proceeds received by Entergy Louisiana from the LURC, Entergy Louisiana invested \$545 million, including \$17.8 million that was withdrawn from the restricted escrow account as approved by the April 16, 2008 LPSC orders, in exchange for 5,449,861.85 Class A preferred, non-voting, membership interest units of Entergy Holdings Company LLC, a company wholly-owned and consolidated by Entergy, that carry a 10% annual distribution rate. Distributions are payable quarterly commencing on September 15, 2008 and have a liquidation price of \$100 per unit. The preferred membership interests are callable at the option of Entergy Holdings Company LLC after ten years. The terms of the membership interests include certain financial covenants to which Entergy Holdings Company LLC is subject, including the requirement to maintain a net worth of at least \$1 billion.

Entergy Louisiana will not report the bonds on its balance sheet because the bonds are the obligation of the LPFA, and there is no recourse against Entergy Louisiana in the event of a bond default.

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Little Gypsy repowering project. The preconstruction and operating air permits for the Little Gypsy repowering project were issued by the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) in November 2007 under then-effective federal and state air regulations, including the EPA's Clean Air Mercury Rule that had been issued in 2005 (CAMR 2005). As discussed in more detail in Part I, Item 1, "Environmental Regulation, Clean Air Act and Subsequent Amendments, Hazardous Air Pollutants" in the Form 10-K, in February 2008 the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit struck down CAMR 2005. The D.C. Circuit decision requires utilities that have not yet begun construction of the facility in question to undergo before beginning construction a case-by-case Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) analysis for construction or reconstruction of emission units pursuant to the Clean Air Act. The Little Gypsy project as currently configured is expected to meet MACT standards. Little Gypsy received its construction permit before a formal MACT analysis was required, however, and Entergy Louisiana has sought a MACT determination from the LDEQ. The filing was made in June 2008, and the LDEQ has certified that the filing is complete. A decision on the MACT determination is expected by first quarter 2009. Entergy Louisiana also is awaiting permit determinations from several additional agencies. These permits are unrelated to CAMR 2005 and always have been part of the construction process. Onsite construction of the project was scheduled to begin in July 2008, but obtaining the MACT determination will cause a delay in the start of construction, which Entergy Louisiana now expects to begin in mid-year 2009. This delays the expected commercial operation date of the project to mid-year 2013.

The LPSC Phase I order has been appealed to the state district court in Baton Rouge, Louisiana by a group led by the Sierra Club and represented by the Tulane Environmental Law Clinic. A status conference is set for December 3, 2008, at which time a procedural schedule should be established for the appeal.

The LPSC had approved the temporary suspension of Phase II of the Little Gypsy proceedings because Entergy Louisiana must update its estimated project cost and schedule in order to support the request to recover cash earnings on its construction work in progress (CWIP) costs. On October 16, 2008, Entergy Louisiana, together with Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, filed an application to resume Phase II of the proceeding. The Phase II filing seeks certification for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana to participate in a one-third ownership share in the repowering project. In addition, Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana seek recovery of approximately 79% of their construction financing costs through the recovery of cash earnings on CWIP costs. The LPSC previously found that the recovery of CWIP for a large baseload project may be in the public interest as cash earnings may be needed to protect the utility's financial integrity, maintain an acceptable credit rating, prevent an undue increase in the utility's cost of capital, or to accomplish phasing in of the cost of a large capital project for the benefit of customers. In Phase II, the LPSC will rule on Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's certification request, determine the appropriate amount of CWIP costs, if any, to be recovered and will develop the allocation, accounting and rate recovery mechanisms for such recovery. The LPSC also will determine the appropriate procedure or mechanism for synchronizing base rate recovery of Little Gypsy's fixed or non-fuel costs with its commercial in-service date. A status conference is set for November 14, 2008, at which time a procedural schedule should be established for Phase II. Entergy Louisiana and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana have requested that the case be decided in time to permit the recovery of cash earnings on CWIP beginning in July 2009.

Entergy Louisiana expects a net reduction of committed capital expenditures for 2008-2010 of approximately \$210 million from the estimates disclosed in the Form 10-K as a result of delayed construction of the Little Gypsy repowering project. The delay is expected to increase the total project cost, however, from approximately \$1.55 billion to \$1.76 billion, primarily due to price escalation on non-contracted equipment and material and increased carrying cost due to the extended construction period.

Waterford 3 Steam Generator Replacement Project

As discussed in more detail in the Form 10-K, Entergy Louisiana plans to replace the Waterford 3 steam generators, along with the reactor vessel closure head and control element drive mechanisms, in 2011. In June 2008, Entergy Louisiana filed with the LPSC for approval of the project, including full cost recovery. Entergy Louisiana estimates in

the filing that it will spend approximately \$511 million on this project. The

petition seeks relief in two phases. Phase I seeks certification within 120 days that the public convenience and necessity would be served by undertaking this project. Among other relief requested, Entergy Louisiana is also seeking approval for a procedure to synchronize permanent base rate recovery when the project is placed in service, either by a formula rate plan or base rate filing. In Phase II, Entergy Louisiana will seek cash earnings on construction work in progress. The settlement also provides that Phase II of the proceeding will be consolidated with Phase II of the Little Gypsy proceeding described above. An ALJ will consider the settlement at a hearing scheduled for November 7, 2008.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation, federal regulation, the Energy Policy Act of 2005, utility restructuring, nuclear matters, and environmental risks. Following are updates to the information provided in the Form 10-K.

Retail Rates

In May 2008, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2007 test year, seeking an \$18.4 million rate increase, comprised of \$12.6 million of recovery of incremental and deferred capacity costs and \$5.8 million based on a cost of service revenue deficiency related to continued lost contribution to fixed costs associated with the loss of customers due to Hurricane Katrina. The filing includes two alternative versions of the calculated revenue requirement, one that reflects Entergy Louisiana's full request for recovery of the loss of fixed cost contribution and the other that reflects the anticipated rate implementation in September 2008, subject to refund, of only a portion of the full request, with the remainder deferred, until the lost fixed cost contribution issue is resolved. Under the first alternative, Entergy Louisiana's earned return on common equity was 9.44%, whereas under the other alternative, its earned return on common equity was 9.04%. The LPSC staff and intervenors issued their reports on Entergy Louisiana's filing on July 31, 2008 and, with minor exceptions, primarily raised proposed disallowance issues that were previously raised with regard to Entergy Louisiana's May 2007 filing and remain at issue in that proceeding. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed adjustments. In August 2008, Entergy Louisiana implemented a \$43.9 million formula rate plan decrease to remove interim storm cost recovery and to reduce the storm damage accrual. Entergy Louisiana then implemented a \$16.9 million formula rate plan increase, subject to refund, effective the first billing cycle in September 2008, comprised of \$12.6 million of recovery of incremental and deferred capacity costs and \$4.3 million based on a cost of service deficiency. A procedural schedule has not been established yet for further consideration of the issues raised regarding the formula rate plan filing.

In May 2007, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2006 test year, indicating a 7.6% earned return on common equity. That filing included Entergy Louisiana's request to recover \$39.8 million in unrecovered fixed costs associated with the loss of customers that resulted from Hurricane Katrina, a request that was recently reduced to \$31.7 million. In September 2007, Entergy Louisiana modified its formula rate plan filing to reflect its implementation of certain adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff in its review of Entergy Louisiana's original filing with which Entergy Louisiana agreed, and to reflect its implementation of an \$18.4 million annual formula rate plan increase comprised of (1) a \$23.8 million increase representing 60% of Entergy Louisiana's revenue deficiency, and (2) a \$5.4 million decrease for reduced incremental and deferred capacity costs. The LPSC authorized

Entergy Louisiana to defer for accounting purposes the difference between its \$39.8 million claim, now at \$31.7 million, for unrecovered fixed cost and 60% of the revenue deficiency to preserve Entergy Louisiana's right to pursue that claim in full during the formula rate plan proceeding. In October 2007, Entergy Louisiana implemented a \$7.1 million formula rate plan decrease that was due primarily to the reclassification of certain franchise fees from base rates to collection via a line item on customer bills pursuant to an LPSC Order. The LPSC staff and intervenors have recommended disallowance of certain costs included in Entergy Louisiana's filing. Entergy Louisiana disagrees with the majority of the proposed disallowances and a hearing on the disputed issues was held in late-September/early-October 2008. Post-hearing briefing is scheduled to conclude in mid-December 2008.

102

In May 2006, Entergy Louisiana made its formula rate plan filing with the LPSC for the 2005 test year. Entergy Louisiana modified the filing in August 2006 to reflect a 9.45% return on equity which is within the allowed bandwidth. The modified filing includes an increase of \$24.2 million for interim recovery of storm costs from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita and a \$119.2 million rate increase to recover LPSC-approved incremental deferred and ongoing capacity costs. The filing requested recovery of approximately \$50 million for the amortization of capacity deferrals over a three-year period, including carrying charges, and approximately \$70 million for ongoing capacity costs. The increase was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of September 2006. Entergy Louisiana subsequently updated its formula rate plan rider to reflect adjustments proposed by the LPSC Staff with which it agrees. The adjusted return on equity of 9.56% remains within the allowed bandwidth. Ongoing and deferred incremental capacity costs were reduced to \$118.7 million. The updated formula rate plan rider was implemented, subject to refund, with the first billing cycle of October 2006. An uncontested stipulated settlement was filed in February 2008 that will leave the current base rates in place, and the LPSC approved the settlement in March 2008. In the settlement Entergy Louisiana agreed to credit customers \$7.2 million, plus \$0.7 million of interest, for customer contributions to the Central States Compact in Nebraska that was never completed and agreed to a one-time \$2.6 million deduction from the deferred capacity cost balance. The credit, for which Entergy Louisiana had previously recorded a provision, was made in May 2008.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Louisiana's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs, unbilled revenue, and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and see Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in this Form 10-Q for an update to the discussion regarding qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "New Accounting Pronouncements" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

103

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
INCOME STATEMENTS

For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$1,021,588 | \$801,890 | \$2,340,109 | \$2,075,668 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance: | | | | |
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and | | | | |
| gas purchased for resale | 476,050 | 266,674 | 731,324 | 633,392 |
| Purchased power | 276,996 | 214,769 | 841,845 | 638,697 |
| Nuclear refueling outage expenses | 5,196 | 4,494 | 13,921 | 13,109 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 89,936 | 108,055 | 302,345 | 304,216 |
| Decommissioning | 5,021 | 4,673 | 14,796 | 13,772 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 17,801 | 15,296 | 49,049 | 44,072 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 48,354 | 36,097 | 143,324 | 134,289 |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 4,634 | 11,071 | 25,561 | 33,363 |
| TOTAL | 923,988 | 661,129 | 2,122,165 | 1,814,910 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 97,600 | 140,761 | 217,944 | 260,758 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 4,530 | 2,737 | 11,552 | 8,994 |
| Interest and dividend income | 19,520 | (526) | 28,225 | 4,929 |
| Miscellaneous - net | (947) | (876) | (2,886) | (2,565) |
| TOTAL | 23,103 | 1,335 | 36,891 | 11,358 |
| INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES | | | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 21,046 | 20,084 | 59,378 | 60,667 |
| Other interest - net | 5,065 | 5,271 | 9,251 | 10,989 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (2,772) | (1,842) | (7,077) | (6,142) |
| TOTAL | 23,339 | 23,513 | 61,552 | 65,514 |

| | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 97,364 | 118,583 | 193,283 | 206,602 |
| Income taxes | 33,139 | 41,272 | 72,919 | 74,725 |
| NET INCOME | 64,225 | 77,311 | 120,364 | 131,877 |
| Preferred dividend requirements and other | 1,738 | 1,738 | 5,213 | 5,213 |
| EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO COMMON EQUITY | \$62,487 | \$75,573 | \$115,151 | \$126,664 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

104

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$120,364 | \$131,877 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities: | | |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 25,561 | 33,363 |
| Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning | 158,120 | 148,061 |
| Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 28,473 | (38,843) |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | (79,325) | (125,163) |
| Accounts payable | 30,663 | (96,906) |
| Taxes accrued | 96,964 | 71,381 |
| Interest accrued | (230) | 4,253 |
| Deferred fuel costs | (101,082) | 44,518 |
| Other working capital accounts | (42,171) | 29,030 |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | 135,054 | (5,425) |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 599,709 | (96,758) |
| Other | (46,102) | 93,729 |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 925,998 | 193,117 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |

| | | |
|--|--------------------|------------------|
| Construction expenditures | (400,146) | (223,734) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 11,552 | 8,994 |
| Insurance proceeds | 11,317 | 10,065 |
| Nuclear fuel purchases | (71,253) | (3,131) |
| Proceeds from the sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel | 70,818 | 14,279 |
| Payment to storm reserve escrow account | (134,423) | - |
| Investment in affiliates | (544,994) | - |
| Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales | 15,163 | 17,768 |
| Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds | (20,341) | (23,472) |
| Change in money pool receivable - net | (106,427) | - |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (1,168,734) | (199,231) |

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

| | | |
|---|----------------|--------------|
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 297,048 | - |
| Additional equity from parent | - | 1,119 |
| Retirement of long-term debt | (60,000) | - |
| Change in money pool payable - net | (2,791) | 9,110 |
| Changes in credit borrowing, net | 200,000 | - |
| Distributions paid: | | |
| Preferred membership interests | (5,213) | (6,331) |
| Net cash flow provided by financing activities | 429,044 | 3,898 |

Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents 186,308 (2,216)

Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period 300 2,743

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period \$186,608 \$527

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

| | | |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Cash paid/(received) during the period for: | | |
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$66,352 | \$64,457 |
| Income taxes | (\$5,661) | \$98,904 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC

BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007

(Unaudited)

2008

2007

(In Thousands)

CURRENT ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents:

| | | |
|--|---------|-------|
| Cash | \$513 | \$300 |
| Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market | 186,095 | - |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 186,608 | 300 |

Accounts receivable:

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Customer | 207,227 | 96,679 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,864) | (1,988) |
| Associated companies | 183,614 | 91,873 |
| Other | 10,103 | 14,186 |
| Accrued unbilled revenues | 63,282 | 75,860 |
| Total accounts receivable | 462,362 | 276,610 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 52,298 | - |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | - | 15,229 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 129,074 | 108,959 |
| Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs | 25,807 | 7,080 |
| Prepayments and other | 14,644 | 7,820 |
| TOTAL | 870,793 | 415,998 |

OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Investment in affiliates | 544,994 | - |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 199,758 | 221,971 |
| Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation) | 1,351 | 1,488 |
| Note receivable - Entergy New Orleans | 9,353 | 9,353 |
| Storm reserve escrow account | 134,423 | - |
| Other | 504 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 890,383 | 232,816 |

UTILITY PLANT

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Electric | 6,708,636 | 6,550,597 |
| Property under capital lease | 253,387 | 253,387 |
| Construction work in progress | 463,179 | 276,974 |
| Nuclear fuel under capital lease | 87,456 | 44,532 |
| TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 7,512,658 | 7,125,490 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 3,208,728 | 3,095,473 |
| UTILITY PLANT - NET | 4,303,930 | 4,030,017 |

DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS

Regulatory assets:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net | 85,781 | 117,322 |
| Other regulatory assets | 408,547 | 832,449 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 67,998 | 67,998 |
| Long-term receivables | 1,772 | 2,982 |

| | | |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|
| Other | 22,429 | 23,539 |
| TOTAL | 586,527 | 1,044,290 |

| | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$6,651,633 | \$5,723,121 |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

106

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable: | | |
| Associated companies | \$67,796 | \$65,930 |
| Other | 330,973 | 148,651 |
| Customer deposits | 79,075 | 79,013 |
| Taxes accrued | 104,720 | 7,756 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 7,056 | - |
| Interest accrued | 29,509 | 29,739 |
| Deferred fuel costs | - | 48,784 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 42,714 | 42,714 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 8,936 | 8,772 |
| System agreement cost equalization | 15,524 | 46,000 |
| Gas hedge contracts | 24,662 | 2,741 |
| Other | 28,044 | 16,220 |
| TOTAL | 739,009 | 496,320 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 1,797,779 | 1,803,430 |
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 83,647 | 86,045 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 44,742 | 1,818 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | 62,033 | 127,836 |
| Decommissioning | 271,862 | 257,066 |
| Accumulated provisions | 153,459 | 18,405 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 151,626 | 145,786 |
| Long-term debt | 1,587,465 | 1,147,660 |

| | | |
|--------------|------------------|------------------|
| Other | 89,923 | 85,214 |
| TOTAL | 4,242,536 | 3,673,260 |

Commitments and Contingencies

MEMBERS' EQUITY

| | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Preferred membership interests without sinking fund | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Members' equity | 1,596,610 | 1,481,509 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (26,522) | (27,968) |
| TOTAL | 1,670,088 | 1,553,541 |

| | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' EQUITY | \$6,651,633 | \$5,723,121 |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

107

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC STATEMENTS OF MEMBERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 (Unaudited)

| | 2008 | Three Months Ended | | 2007 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|
| | | (In Thousands) | | |
| MEMBERS' EQUITY | | | | |
| Members' Equity - Beginning of period | \$1,534,173 | | \$1,396,213 | |
| Add: | | | | |
| Net income | 64,225 | \$64,225 | 77,311 | \$77,311 |
| Deduct: | | | | |
| Distributions declared: | | | | |
| Preferred membership interests | 1,738 | 1,738 | 1,738 | 1,738 |
| Other | 50 | | - | |
| | 1,788 | | 1,738 | |
| Members' Equity - End of period | \$1,596,610 | | \$1,471,786 | |

ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (Net of Taxes):

| | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| Balance at beginning of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$27,004) | | (\$24,673) | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$409 and \$465) | 482 | 482 | 512 | 512 |
| Balance at end of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$26,522) | | (\$24,161) | |
| Comprehensive Income | | \$62,969 | | \$76,085 |

| | Nine Months Ended | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | (In Thousands) | | 2007 |
| MEMBERS' EQUITY | | | | |
| Members' Equity - Beginning of period | \$1,481,509 | | \$1,344,003 | |
| Add: | | | | |
| Net income | 120,364 | \$120,364 | 131,877 | \$131,877 |
| Additional equity from parent | - | | 1,119 | |
| | 120,364 | | 132,996 | |
| Deduct: | | | | |
| Distributions declared: | | | | |
| Preferred membership interests | 5,213 | 5,213 | 5,213 | 5,213 |
| Other | 50 | | - | |
| | 5,263 | | 5,213 | |
| Members' Equity - End of period | \$1,596,610 | | \$1,471,786 | |

| | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (Net of Taxes): | | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$27,968) | | (\$25,695) | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities (net of tax expense of \$1,227 and \$1,397) | 1,446 | 1,446 | 1,534 | 1,534 |
| Balance at end of period: | | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | (\$26,522) | | (\$24,161) | |
| Comprehensive Income | | \$116,597 | | \$128,198 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| Description | Three Months Ended 2008 | 2007 (Dollars In Millions) | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$365 | \$285 | \$80 | 28 |
| Commercial | 218 | 164 | 54 | 33 |
| Industrial | 333 | 215 | 118 | 55 |
| Governmental | 16 | 11 | 5 | 45 |
| Total retail | 932 | 675 | 257 | 38 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 104 | 101 | 3 | 3 |
| Non-associated companies | 2 | 3 | (1) | (33) |
| Other | (16) | 23 | (39) | (170) |
| Total | \$1,022 | \$802 | \$220 | 27 |
| Billed Electric Energy Sales (GWh): | | | | |
| Residential | 2,756 | 2,914 | (158) | (5) |
| Commercial | 1,688 | 1,740 | (52) | (3) |
| Industrial | 3,444 | 3,403 | 41 | 1 |
| Governmental | 118 | 112 | 6 | 5 |
| Total retail | 8,006 | 8,169 | (163) | (2) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 613 | 752 | (139) | (18) |
| Non-associated companies | 49 | 34 | 15 | 44 |
| Total | 8,668 | 8,955 | (287) | (3) |

| Description | Nine Months Ended 2008 | 2007 (Dollars In Millions) | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----|
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$762 | \$665 | \$97 | 15 |
| Commercial | 500 | 437 | 63 | 14 |
| Industrial | 797 | 658 | 139 | 21 |
| Governmental | 38 | 32 | 6 | 19 |
| Total retail | 2,097 | 1,792 | 305 | 17 |

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---------|---------|-------|------|
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 201 | 208 | (7) | (3) |
| Non-associated | | | | |
| companies | 7 | 9 | (2) | (22) |
| Other | 35 | 67 | (32) | (48) |
| Total | \$2,340 | \$2,076 | \$264 | 13 |
| Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh): | | | | |
| Residential | 6,703 | 6,721 | (18) | - |
| Commercial | 4,431 | 4,415 | 16 | - |
| Industrial | 10,111 | 9,898 | 213 | 2 |
| Governmental | 348 | 336 | 12 | 4 |
| Total retail | 21,593 | 21,370 | 223 | 1 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 1,723 | 1,704 | 19 | 1 |
| Non-associated | | | | |
| companies | 102 | 92 | 10 | 11 |
| Total | 23,418 | 23,166 | 252 | 1 |

109

ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Results of Operations

Net Income

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net income decreased by \$8.2 million primarily due to lower net revenue, higher other operation and maintenance expenses, and higher taxes other than income taxes, partially offset by lower interest charges.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net income decreased by \$7.1 million primarily due to higher other operation and maintenance expenses, lower other income, and a higher effective income tax rate in 2008, partially offset by higher net revenue.

Net Revenue

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$151.0 |
| Volume/weather | (7.1) |
| Reserve equalization | (3.0) |
| Rider revenue | 2.4 |
| Attala costs | 4.3 |
| Other | (3.2) |
| 2008 net revenue | \$144.4 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to the effect of less favorable weather on billed and unbilled electric sales compared to the same period in 2007. Billed electricity usage decreased a total of 185 GWh in the residential and commercial sectors.

The reserve equalization variance is primarily due to a revision in 2008 of reserve equalization payments among Entergy companies due to a FERC ruling regarding the inclusion of interruptible loads in reserve equalization calculations and lower reserve equalization revenue related to changes in the Entergy System generation mix compared to the same period in 2007.

The rider revenue variance is the result of a storm damage rider that became effective in October 2007. The establishment of this rider results in an increase in rider revenue and a corresponding increase in other operation and maintenance expense for the storm reserve with no impact on net income.

110

The Attala costs variance is primarily due to an increase in the Attala power plant costs that are recovered through the power management rider. The net income effect of this recovery is limited to a portion representing an allowed return on equity with the remainder offset by Attala power plant costs in other operation and maintenance expenses, depreciation expenses, and taxes other than income taxes. The recovery of Attala power plant costs is discussed in "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Use of Capital" in the Form 10-K.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$90.7 million in fuel cost recoveries due to higher fuel rates. The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$35 million in gross wholesale revenues due to a decrease in net generation and purchases in excess of decreased net area demand resulting in less energy available for resale sales coupled with a decrease in System Agreement remedy receipts.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to an increase in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power, partially offset by decreased demand.

Other regulatory charges increased primarily due to increased recovery of costs associated with the power management recovery rider. There is no material effect on net income due to quarterly adjustments to the power management recovery rider.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$371.0 |
| Attala costs | 9.9 |
| Rider revenue | 6.1 |
| Base revenue | 5.2 |
| Volume/weather | (2.9) |
| Reserve equalization | (3.6) |
| Other | (0.5) |
| 2008 net revenue | \$385.2 |

The Attala costs variance is primarily due to an increase in the Attala power plant costs that are recovered through the power management rider. The net income effect of this recovery is limited to a portion representing an allowed return on equity with the remainder offset by Attala power plant costs in other operation and maintenance expenses, depreciation expenses, and taxes other than income taxes. The recovery of Attala power plant costs is discussed in "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources - Use of Capital" in the Form 10-K.

The rider revenue variance is the result of a storm damage rider that became effective in October 2007. The establishment of this rider results in an increase in rider revenue and a corresponding increase in other operation and maintenance expense for the storm reserve with no impact on net income.

The base revenue variance is primarily due to a formula rate plan increase effective July 2007. The formula rate plan is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to the effect of less favorable weather on billed and unbilled electric sales compared to the same period in 2007. Billed electricity usage decreased a total of 87 GWh in the residential and commercial sectors.

The reserve equalization variance is primarily due to changes in the Entergy System generation mix compared to the same period in 2007 and a revision of reserve equalization payments among Entergy companies due to a FERC ruling regarding the inclusion of interruptible loads in reserve equalization calculations.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to an increase of \$107.3 million in fuel cost recoveries due to higher fuel rates, partially offset by a decrease of \$30.5 million in gross wholesale revenues due to a decrease in net generation and purchases in excess of decreased net area demand resulting in less energy available for resale sales coupled with a decrease in System Agreement remedy receipts.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to increases in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power, partially offset by decreased demand and decreased recovery from customers of deferred fuel costs.

Other regulatory charges increased primarily due to increased recovery through the Grand Gulf Rider of Grand Gulf capacity costs due to higher rates and increased recovery of costs associated with the power management recovery rider. There is no material effect on net income due to quarterly adjustments to the power management recovery rider.

Other Income Statement Variances

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased primarily due to an increase of \$2.9 million in loss reserves for storm damages and an increase of \$1.6 million due to higher fossil plant maintenance costs. The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$2.3 million in payroll-related costs.

Taxes other than income taxes increased due to an increase in local franchise taxes as a result of higher revenues primarily in the commercial sector as compared to 2007.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to interest expense of \$1.7 million recorded in the third quarter 2007 on advances from independent power producers per a FERC order.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$8 million in loss reserves for storm damages;
- an increase of \$5.3 million in fossil expenses due to higher plant maintenance costs, higher Attala equipment service agreement expenses, and increased outage costs; and
- an increase of \$2.2 million in distribution expenses due primarily to a higher non-incremental storm adjustment, the timing of contract work, and lower reimbursements.

The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$3 million in payroll-related costs.

Other income decreased primarily due to the gain recorded in 2007 on the sale of non-utility property.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 37.3% for the third quarter 2008 and 36.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the third quarter 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of

35% is primarily due to state income taxes.

The effective income tax rate was 35.4% for the third quarter 2007 and 33.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is primarily due to the amortization of investment tax credits and excess deferred income taxes, a federal tax reserve adjustment and book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction, partially offset by state income taxes and book and tax differences related to utility plant items.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$40,582 | \$73,417 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | 33,744 | 106,474 |
| Investing activities | (87,621) | (17,379) |
| Financing activities | 15,028 | (125,721) |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (38,849) | (36,626) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$1,733 | \$36,791 |

Operating Activities

Cash flow provided by operating activities decreased \$72.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the decreased recovery of deferred fuel costs and securitization proceeds of \$48 million received in 2007, partially offset by the timing of payments to vendors and the collection of receivables from customers. Fuel prices have been increasing and, due to the time lag before the fuel recovery rate increases in response, Entergy Mississippi has under-recovered fuel costs thus far in 2008.

Investing Activities

Cash flow used in investing activities increased \$70.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the receipt of proceeds in 2007 from funds held in trust in 2006 that were used for the redemption in January 2007, prior to maturity, of its \$100 million, 4.35% Series First Mortgage Bonds, partially offset by the transfer in 2007 of \$30.4 million to a storm damage reserve escrow account and money pool activity.

Decreases in Entergy Mississippi's receivable from the money pool are a source of cash flow, and Entergy Mississippi's receivable from the money pool decreased by \$21 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to decreasing by \$16.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Financing Activities

Entergy Mississippi's financing activities provided \$15 million in cash flow for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to using \$125.7 million in cash flow for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the redemption, prior to maturity, of \$100 million of 4.35% Series First Mortgage Bonds in January 2007, money pool activity, and a decrease of \$13 million in common stock dividends paid.

Increases in Entergy Mississippi's payable to the money pool are a source of cash flow, and Entergy Mississippi's payable to the money pool increased by \$28.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Capital Structure

Entergy Mississippi's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table.

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt to net capital | 48.4% | 48.4% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 0.0% | 1.5% |
| Debt to capital | 48.4% | 49.9% |

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and shareholders' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Mississippi uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Mississippi's financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy Mississippi's uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the information presented in the Form 10-K.

Entergy Mississippi's receivables from or (payables to) the money pool were as follows:

| September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | |
| (\$28,250) | \$20,997 | \$16,498 | \$39,573 |

In May 2007, \$6.6 million of Entergy Mississippi's receivable from the money pool was replaced by a note receivable from Entergy New Orleans. See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

As discussed in the Form 10-K, Entergy Mississippi has two separate credit facilities in the aggregate amount of \$50 million and renewed both facilities through May 2009. Borrowings under the credit facilities may be secured by a

security interest in Entergy Mississippi's accounts receivable. No borrowings were outstanding under either credit facility as of September 30, 2008.

114

In April 2008, Entergy Mississippi repurchased its \$30 million series of Independence County Pollution Control Revenue Bonds due July 2022. In June 2008, Entergy Mississippi remarketed the series and fixed the interest rate to maturity at 4.90%. Entergy Mississippi used the proceeds from the remarketing to repay short-term borrowings that were drawn on its credit facilities to repurchase the bonds in April 2008.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation, federal regulation, the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and utility restructuring. Following is an update to that discussion.

State and Local Rate Regulation

Fuel and purchased power cost recovery

In May 2008, Entergy Mississippi filed its quarterly fuel adjustment factor for the third quarter 2008, effective beginning with July 2008 bills. The third quarter 2008 factor is \$0.038861/kWh, which is an increase from the \$0.010878/kWh factor for the second quarter 2008. The increase is due to a significant increase in fuel prices, and Entergy Mississippi has gone from an over-recovery to an under-recovery position during 2008. After a decline in fuel prices, Entergy Mississippi filed on August 13, 2008 a mid-quarter revision to its fuel adjustment factor. The revised factor is \$0.024058/kWh, effective for September 2008 bills. On August 15, 2008, Entergy Mississippi filed its quarterly fuel adjustment factor for the fourth quarter 2008, effective beginning with October 2008 bills. Under an agreement with the Mississippi Public Utilities staff, approved by the MPSC, the fourth quarter 2008 rate will be set at the September 2008 rate of \$0.024058/kWh.

In July 2008, the MPSC began a proceeding to investigate the fuel procurement practices and fuel adjustment schedules of the Mississippi utility companies, including Entergy Mississippi. A two-day public hearing was held in July 2008, and after a recess during which the MPSC reviewed information, the hearing resumed on August 5, 2008 for additional testimony by an expert witness retained by the MPSC. The expert witness presented testimony regarding a review of the utilities' fuel adjustment clauses. The MPSC stated that the goal of the proceeding is fact-finding so that the MPSC may decide whether to amend the current fuel cost recovery process.

The Mississippi attorney general has also issued a civil investigative demand directed at Entergy Corporation, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy Services regarding information related to Entergy Mississippi's fuel adjustment clause. The Mississippi attorney general states that he is investigating whether Entergy has violated Mississippi's consumer protection laws. Entergy opposes the civil investigative demand of the Mississippi attorney general on several grounds, including that the proper jurisdiction for the Mississippi attorney general's request for information is through the MPSC and the FERC. On October 29, 2008, the MPSC issued a subpoena to Entergy Mississippi and Entergy Services requesting documents associated with fuel adjustment clause litigation in Louisiana involving Entergy Louisiana and Entergy New Orleans.

Formula rate plan filing

In March 2008, Entergy Mississippi made its annual scheduled formula rate plan filing for the 2007 test year with the MPSC. The filing showed that a \$10.1 million increase in annual electric revenues is warranted. In June 2008, Entergy Mississippi reached a settlement with the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff that results in a \$3.8 million rate

increase. An MPSC decision on the settlement is pending.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

115

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Mississippi's accounting for unbilled revenue and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and see Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in this Form 10-Q for an update to the discussion regarding qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "New Accounting Pronouncements" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

116

ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC.
INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$491,113 | \$447,244 | \$1,137,945 | \$1,063,685 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance: | | | | |
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and | | | | |
| gas purchased for resale | 164,632 | 186,302 | 313,824 | 358,377 |
| Purchased power | 149,603 | 102,964 | 365,971 | 308,085 |

145

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Other operation and maintenance | 49,748 | 47,673 | 160,094 | 145,381 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 18,412 | 15,147 | 48,386 | 47,037 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 21,082 | 20,218 | 62,356 | 60,429 |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 32,509 | 7,005 | 72,908 | 26,289 |
| TOTAL | 435,986 | 379,309 | 1,023,539 | 945,598 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 55,127 | 67,935 | 114,406 | 118,087 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 782 | 756 | 2,396 | 3,149 |
| Interest and dividend income | 649 | 1,458 | 1,423 | 4,099 |
| Miscellaneous - net | (460) | (541) | 483 | 1,652 |
| TOTAL | 971 | 1,673 | 4,302 | 8,900 |
| INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES | | | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 10,404 | 10,682 | 31,149 | 31,501 |
| Other interest - net | 1,723 | 3,447 | 4,168 | 5,929 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (541) | (485) | (1,444) | (2,065) |
| TOTAL | 11,586 | 13,644 | 33,873 | 35,365 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 44,512 | 55,964 | 84,835 | 91,622 |
| Income taxes | 16,588 | 19,839 | 31,102 | 30,757 |
| NET INCOME | 27,924 | 36,125 | 53,733 | 60,865 |
| Preferred dividend requirements and other | 707 | 707 | 2,121 | 2,121 |
| EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO COMMON STOCK | \$27,217 | \$35,418 | \$51,612 | \$58,744 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(Page left blank intentionally)

118

ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$53,733 | \$60,865 |

Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities:

| | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|
| Other regulatory charges - net | 72,908 | 26,289 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 62,356 | 60,429 |
| Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 1,441 | (39,128) |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | (67,954) | (82,111) |
| Fuel inventory | 1,468 | (236) |
| Accounts payable | 40,189 | 24,156 |
| Taxes accrued | 21,283 | 36,677 |
| Interest accrued | 2,924 | 2,775 |
| Deferred fuel costs | (134,470) | (63,150) |
| Other working capital accounts | 26,665 | 14,449 |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | (10,079) | 39,907 |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 4,955 | 31,292 |
| Other | (41,675) | (5,740) |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 33,744 | 106,474 |

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

| | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Construction expenditures | (110,277) | (109,264) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 2,396 | 3,149 |
| Changes in other temporary investments - net | - | 100,000 |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | - | 2,616 |
| Change in money pool receivable - net | 20,997 | 16,474 |
| Payment to storm reserve escrow account | (737) | (30,354) |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (87,621) | (17,379) |

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

| | | |
|---|---------------|------------------|
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | 29,499 | - |
| Retirement of long-term debt | (30,000) | (100,000) |
| Change in money pool payable - net | 28,250 | - |
| Dividends paid: | | |
| Common stock | (10,600) | (23,600) |
| Preferred stock | (2,121) | (2,121) |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities | 15,028 | (125,721) |

| | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (38,849) | (36,626) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 40,582 | 73,417 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$1,733 | \$36,791 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$30,869 | \$32,768 |
| Income taxes | \$4,209 | \$8,290 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Cash | \$1,733 | \$117 |
| Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market | - | 40,465 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 1,733 | 40,582 |
| Accounts receivable: | | |
| Customer | 112,226 | 62,052 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,201) | (615) |
| Associated companies | 16,720 | 23,534 |
| Other | 7,881 | 8,234 |
| Accrued unbilled revenues | 38,071 | 33,535 |
| Total accounts receivable | 173,697 | 126,740 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 57,888 | - |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | - | 7,686 |
| Fuel inventory - at average cost | 8,898 | 10,366 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 31,321 | 30,167 |
| Prepayments and other | 6,399 | 13,701 |
| TOTAL | 279,936 | 229,242 |
| OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | | |
| Investment in affiliates - at equity | 5,535 | 5,531 |
| Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation) | 5,036 | 5,140 |
| Storm reserve escrow account | 31,485 | 30,748 |
| Note receivable - Entergy New Orleans | 7,610 | 7,610 |
| TOTAL | 49,666 | 49,029 |
| UTILITY PLANT | | |
| Electric | 2,919,691 | 2,829,065 |
| Property under capital lease | 8,140 | 9,116 |
| Construction work in progress | 79,891 | 72,753 |

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 3,007,722 | 2,910,934 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 1,046,863 | 995,902 |
| UTILITY PLANT - NET | 1,960,859 | 1,915,032 |

DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS

Regulatory assets:

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net | 35,293 | 29,868 |
| Other regulatory assets | 143,107 | 141,717 |
| Other | 22,087 | 21,381 |
| TOTAL | 200,487 | 192,966 |

| | | |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$2,490,948 | \$2,386,269 |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

120

ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable: | | |
| Associated companies | \$80,909 | \$46,424 |
| Other | 79,457 | 36,104 |
| Customer deposits | 57,498 | 55,719 |
| Taxes accrued | 57,321 | 36,038 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 7,303 | - |
| Interest accrued | 18,118 | 15,194 |
| Deferred fuel costs | - | 76,582 |
| System agreement cost equalization | 8,664 | - |
| Gas hedge contracts | 12,262 | 2,273 |
| Other | 6,717 | 6,632 |
| TOTAL | 328,249 | 274,966 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 524,498 | 535,469 |

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 8,891 | 9,748 |
| Obligations under capital lease | 6,773 | 7,806 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | 50,351 | - |
| Asset retirement cost liabilities | 4,714 | 4,505 |
| Accumulated provisions | 40,185 | 50,264 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 45,361 | 56,946 |
| Long-term debt | 695,314 | 695,266 |
| Other | 38,544 | 44,243 |
| TOTAL | 1,414,631 | 1,404,247 |

Commitments and Contingencies

SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Preferred stock without sinking fund | 50,381 | 50,381 |
| Common stock, no par value, authorized 15,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 8,666,357 shares in 2008 and 2007 | 199,326 | 199,326 |
| Capital stock expense and other | (690) | (690) |
| Retained earnings | 499,051 | 458,039 |
| TOTAL | 748,068 | 707,056 |

TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

\$2,490,948 \$2,386,269

See Notes to Financial Statements.

121

ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC.
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| Description | Three Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| | (Dollars In Millions) | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 213 | \$ 179 | \$ 34 | 19 |
| Commercial | 161 | 129 | 32 | 25 |
| Industrial | 64 | 49 | 15 | 31 |
| Governmental | 14 | 11 | 3 | 27 |
| Total retail | 452 | 368 | 84 | 23 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 19 | 56 | (37) | (66) |
| Non-associated companies | 14 | 11 | 3 | 27 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------|--------|--------|-------|------|
| Other | 6 | 12 | (6) | (50) |
| Total | \$ 491 | \$ 447 | \$ 44 | 10 |

Billed Electric Energy

Sales (GWh):

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|
| Residential | 1,757 | 1,898 | (141) | (7) |
| Commercial | 1,426 | 1,470 | (44) | (3) |
| Industrial | 710 | 724 | (14) | (2) |
| Governmental | 116 | 122 | (6) | (5) |
| Total retail | 4,009 | 4,214 | (205) | (5) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 80 | 444 | (364) | (82) |
| Non-associated companies | 152 | 167 | (15) | (9) |
| Total | 4,241 | 4,825 | (584) | (12) |

| Description | Nine Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| | (Dollars In Millions) | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 441 | \$ 393 | \$ 48 | 12 |
| Commercial | 369 | 323 | 46 | 14 |
| Industrial | 150 | 139 | 11 | 8 |
| Governmental | 33 | 30 | 3 | 10 |
| Total retail | 993 | 885 | 108 | 12 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 75 | 108 | (33) | (31) |
| Non-associated companies | 28 | 26 | 2 | 8 |
| Other | 42 | 45 | (3) | (7) |
| Total | \$ 1,138 | \$ 1,064 | \$ 74 | 7 |

Billed Electric Energy

Sales (GWh):

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------|------|
| Residential | 4,203 | 4,291 | (88) | (2) |
| Commercial | 3,685 | 3,684 | 1 | - |
| Industrial | 1,953 | 2,072 | (119) | (6) |
| Governmental | 311 | 317 | (6) | (2) |
| Total retail | 10,152 | 10,364 | (212) | (2) |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 478 | 893 | (415) | (46) |
| Non-associated companies | 302 | 370 | (68) | (18) |
| Total | 10,932 | 11,627 | (695) | (6) |

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Hurricane Katrina

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the effects of Hurricane Katrina, which in August 2005 caused catastrophic damage to Entergy New Orleans' service territory, including the effect of extensive flooding that resulted from levee breaks in and around the New Orleans area, and Entergy's initiatives to recover storm restoration and business continuity costs.

Bankruptcy Proceedings

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the significant terms in Entergy New Orleans' plan of reorganization that became effective in May 2007.

Insurance Claim

In April 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$53.6 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina claim, and almost all of the April 2008 proceeds were allocated to Entergy New Orleans. In the third quarter 2008, Entergy received from its primary insurer \$17.5 million of additional insurance proceeds on its Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita claims, which were allocated as follows: \$1.8 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$2.2 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$9.7 million to Entergy New Orleans, and \$3.3 million to Entergy Texas, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Entergy has settled its lawsuit against one of its excess insurers on the Hurricane Katrina claim, and in July 2008 received \$71.5 million in proceeds on the claim. The July 2008 proceeds were allocated as follows: \$2.0 million to Entergy Arkansas, \$3.0 million to Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, \$12.4 million to Entergy Louisiana, \$1.8 million to Entergy Mississippi, and \$48.4 million to Entergy New Orleans, with the remainder allocated in smaller amounts to other Entergy subsidiaries.

Hurricane Gustav

In September 2008, Hurricane Gustav caused severe damage to Entergy New Orleans' service territory. The storm resulted in widespread power outages, significant damage to distribution and transmission infrastructure, and the loss of sales during the power outages. Total restoration costs for the repair and/or replacement of Entergy New Orleans' electric facilities damaged by Hurricane Gustav are estimated to be approximately \$50 million. Entergy New Orleans is considering all reasonable avenues to recover storm-related costs, including, but not limited to, accessing funded storm reserves; federal and local cost recovery mechanisms, including requests for Community Development Block Grant funding; securitization; and insurance, to the extent deductibles are met. On October 9, 2008, ENOI drew \$10 million of its funded storm reserve. Entergy is currently evaluating the amount of the losses covered by insurance for Entergy and each of the affected Utility operating companies. Because most of the Hurricane Gustav damage was to distribution and transmission facilities that are generally not covered by property insurance, Entergy does not expect to meet its deductibles for that storm.

Entergy New Orleans has recorded accounts payable for the estimated costs incurred that were necessary to return customers to service. Entergy New Orleans recorded corresponding regulatory assets of approximately \$26 million and construction work in progress of approximately \$23 million. Entergy recorded the regulatory assets in accordance with its accounting policies and based on the historic treatment of such costs in its service territory, because

management believes that recovery through some form of regulatory mechanism is probable. Because Entergy New Orleans has not gone through the regulatory process regarding these storm costs, however, there is an element of risk, and Entergy New Orleans is unable to predict with certainty the degree of success it may have in its recovery initiatives, the amount of restoration costs that it may ultimately recover, or the timing of such recovery.

123

Results of Operations

Net Income

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net income increased \$2.4 million primarily due to lower other operation and maintenance expenses.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net income increased \$6.8 million primarily due to higher net revenue, lower other operation and maintenance expenses, and lower interest and other charges, partially offset by higher taxes other than income taxes and lower other income.

Net Revenue

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the changes in net revenue comparing the third quarter 2008 to the third quarter 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$71.5 |
| Volume/weather | (4.6) |
| Other | 0.6 |
| 2008 net revenue | \$67.5 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to decreased electricity usage during the unbilled sales period and the effects of the power outages caused by Hurricane Gustav, which contributed an estimated \$2 million to the decrease.

Gross operating revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$16.9 million in electric fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and increased electricity usage; and
- an increase of \$7.5 million in gross gas revenue primarily due to higher fuel recovery revenues.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to an increase in the average market price of natural gas.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses, and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the changes in net revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

124

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$183.6 |
| Net gas revenue | 5.5 |
| Volume/weather | 5.0 |
| Storm reserve rider | 3.7 |
| Other | (1.3) |
| 2008 net revenue | \$196.5 |

The net gas revenue variance is primarily due to an increase in base rates in March and November 2007 and increased gas usage. Refer to Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the base rate increase.

The volume/weather variance is due to an increase in electricity usage. Billed retail electricity usage increased a total of 227 GWh, an increase of 7%.

The storm reserve rider variance is primarily due to increased electricity and gas usage. The storm reserve rider was effective March 2007 as a result of the City Council's approval of a settlement agreement in October 2006. The approved storm reserve will be created over a ten-year period through the rider and the funds are deposited in a restricted escrow account. The settlement agreement is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K.

Gross operating revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses

Gross operating revenues increased primarily due to:

- an increase of \$48.7 million in gross wholesale revenue due to increased sales to affiliated customers and an increase in the average price of energy available for resale sales;
- an increase of \$36.7 million in electric fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and increased electricity usage; and
- an increase of \$18.7 million in gross gas revenues primarily due to higher fuel recovery revenues and increases in gas base rates in March 2007 and November 2007.

Fuel and purchased power increased primarily due to increases in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power in addition to an increase in demand.

Other Income Statement Variances

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily due to a provision for storm-related bad debts of \$11 million recorded in 2007.

Other income decreased primarily due to a reduction in the allowance for equity funds used during construction related to a decrease in storm-related construction, lower carrying costs related to the Hurricane Katrina storm costs regulatory asset, and lower interest income earned on temporary cash investments.

125

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily due to:

- a provision for storm-related bad debts of \$11 million recorded in 2007; and
- a decrease of \$2.2 million in gas operations spending due to higher labor and material costs for reliability work in 2007.

The decrease was partially offset by:

- an increase of \$2.4 million due to expenses associated with the Energy Efficiency and Economic Development Funds effective in the first quarter 2008;
- an increase of \$2.0 million due to the implementation of the storm reserve rider in March 2007;
- an increase of \$1.4 million in fossil expenses primarily due to higher costs for plant maintenance outages as a result of differing outage schedules for 2008 compared to 2007; and
- an increase of \$1.0 million in transmission spending primarily due to higher transmission equalization expenses.

Taxes other than income taxes increased primarily due to increased local franchise taxes as a result of higher electric and gas retail revenues partially offset by the reduction of sales and use tax reserves.

Other income decreased primarily due to a reduction in the allowance for equity funds used during construction related to a decrease in storm-related construction, lower carrying costs related to the Hurricane Katrina storm costs regulatory asset, and lower interest income earned on temporary cash investments.

Interest and other charges decreased as a result of interest accrued on pre-petition liabilities in 2007 in addition to a lower interest rate on the 3-year note issued to satisfy its affiliate pre-petition accounts payable in its plan of reorganization. Refer to Note 18 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for Entergy New Orleans bankruptcy proceedings.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 40.5% for the third quarter 2008 and 41.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the third quarter and nine months ended September 30, 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is primarily due to state income taxes and book and tax differences related to utility plant items.

The effective income tax rate was 33.4% for the third quarter 2007 and 37.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the third quarter 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is primarily due to an adjustment of prior year's federal tax reserve partially offset by state income taxes and

book and tax differences related to utility plant items. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is primarily due to state income taxes and book and tax differences related to utility plant items, partially offset by the amortization of deferred income taxes, book and tax differences related to the allowance for equity funds used during construction, and an adjustment of prior year's federal tax reserve.

126

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$92,010 | \$17,093 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | 63,204 | 163,563 |
| Investing activities | (3,043) | 8,910 |
| Financing activities | (31,498) | (53,586) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 28,663 | 118,887 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$120,673 | \$135,980 |

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$100.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the receipt of CDBG funds of \$180.8 million in 2007 and the timing of collections of receivables from customers, partially offset by the timing of payments to vendors and a decrease of \$43.6 million in pension contributions.

Investing Activities

Investing activities used \$3.0 million of cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to providing \$8.9 million of cash for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to:

- additional work performed on the gas distribution rebuild project;
- money pool activity; and
- proceeds of \$10 million received in 2007 related to the sale in the first quarter 2007 of a power plant that had been out of service since 1984.

The decrease was substantially offset by an increase of \$46.9 million in insurance proceeds related to Hurricane Katrina.

Increases in Entergy New Orleans' receivable from the money pool are a use of cash flow, and Entergy New Orleans' receivable from the money pool increased by \$21.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The

money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities decreased \$22.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the repayment of Entergy New Orleans' borrowings under the debtor-in-possession credit facility in 2007, partially offset by the redemption, at maturity, of \$30 million 3.875% Series first mortgage bonds in August 2008.

127

Capital Structure

Entergy New Orleans' capitalization is shown in the following table. The decrease in the debt to capital ratio is primarily due to a decrease in debt outstanding and an increase in shareholders' equity resulting from net income in 2008.

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt to net capital | 39.9% | 51.8% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 14.4% | 8.8% |
| Debt to capital | 54.3% | 60.6% |

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and shareholders' equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy New Orleans uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy New Orleans' financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of Entergy New Orleans' uses and sources of capital. The following are updates to the Form 10-K.

Entergy New Orleans' receivables from or (payables to) the money pool was as follows:

| September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | |
| \$69,013 | \$47,705 | \$- | (\$37,166) |

See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool. As discussed in the Form 10-K, in May 2007, Entergy New Orleans issued notes in satisfaction of its affiliate prepetition accounts payable, including its indebtedness to the Entergy System money pool.

On August 1, 2008, Entergy New Orleans paid, at maturity, its \$30 million 3.875% Series first mortgage bonds.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of state and local rate regulation, federal regulation, the Energy Policy Act of 2005, and environmental risks. The following are updates to the Form 10-K.

State and Local Rate Regulation

Retail Rates

In January 2008, Entergy New Orleans voluntarily implemented a 6.15% base rate credit (the recovery credit) for electric customers, which Entergy New Orleans estimates will return approximately \$10.6 million to electric customers in 2008. Entergy New Orleans was able to implement this credit because during 2007 the recovery of New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina was occurring faster than expected in 2006 projections. In addition, Entergy New Orleans committed to set aside \$2.5 million for an energy efficiency program focused on community education and outreach and weatherization of homes.

128

On July 31, 2008, Entergy New Orleans filed an electric and gas base rate case with the City Council. The filing requests an 11.75% return on common equity. The filing calls for a \$23.0 million decrease in electric base rates, which includes keeping the recovery credit in effect, as well as realigning approximately \$12.3 million of capacity costs from recovery through the fuel adjustment clause to electric base rates. The filing also calls for a \$9.1 million increase in gas base rates to fund ongoing operations. This request is unrelated to the ongoing rebuild of Entergy New Orleans' natural gas system. The procedural schedule calls for a hearing on the filing to commence on March 2, 2009, with certification of the evidentiary record by a hearing officer no later than March 16, 2009, and a decision by the City Council on or before April 30, 2009.

Fuel Adjustment Clause Litigation

See the Form 10-K for a discussion of the complaint filed in April 1999 by a group of ratepayers against Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Corporation, Entergy Services, and Entergy Power in state court in Orleans Parish purportedly on behalf of all Entergy New Orleans ratepayers and a corresponding complaint filed with the City Council. In February 2004, the City Council approved a resolution that resulted in a refund to customers of \$11.3 million, including interest, during the months of June through September 2004. In May 2005 the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans affirmed the City Council resolution, finding no support for the plaintiffs' claim that the refund amount should be higher. In June 2005, the plaintiffs appealed the Civil District Court decision to the Louisiana Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal. On February 25, 2008, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal issued a decision affirming in part, and reversing in part, the Civil District Court's decision. Although the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal did not reverse any of the substantive findings and conclusions of the City Council or the Civil District Court, the Fourth Circuit found that the amount of the refund was arbitrary and capricious and increased the amount of the refund to \$34.3 million. Entergy New Orleans believes that the increase in the refund ordered by the Fourth Circuit is not justified. Entergy New Orleans, the City Council, and the plaintiffs requested rehearing, and in April 2008, the Fourth Circuit granted the plaintiffs' request for rehearing. In addition to changing the basis for the court's decision in the manner requested by the plaintiffs, the court also granted the plaintiffs' request that it provide for interest on the refund amount. The court denied the motions for rehearing filed by the City Council and Entergy New Orleans. In May 2008, Entergy New Orleans and the City Council filed with the Louisiana Supreme Court applications for a writ of certiorari seeking,

among other things, reversal of the Fourth Circuit decision. The Louisiana Supreme Court granted these writ applications in October 2008 and will review the Fourth Circuit's decision.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for updates to the discussion in the Form 10-K.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Critical Accounting Estimates**" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy New Orleans' accounting for unbilled revenue and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and see Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Critical Accounting Estimates**" in this Form 10-Q for an update to the discussion regarding qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "**New Accounting Pronouncements**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

129

ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC.
INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$189,808 | \$172,528 | \$524,603 | \$431,815 |
| Natural gas | 25,795 | 18,335 | 109,863 | 91,178 |
| TOTAL | 215,603 | 190,863 | 634,466 | 522,993 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance: | | | | |
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale | 82,409 | 58,134 | 263,366 | 189,727 |
| Purchased power | 64,679 | 60,188 | 171,485 | 146,543 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 26,094 | 35,326 | 78,327 | 82,121 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 11,158 | 10,537 | 31,391 | 29,339 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 8,250 | 8,117 | 24,553 | 24,227 |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 1,028 | 1,031 | 3,087 | 3,096 |
| TOTAL | 193,618 | 173,333 | 572,209 | 475,053 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 21,985 | 17,530 | 62,257 | 47,940 |

OTHER INCOME

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 109 | 133 | 244 | 1,592 |
| Interest and dividend income | 2,743 | 2,877 | 7,589 | 8,902 |
| Miscellaneous - net | (157) | (202) | (1,173) | (569) |
| TOTAL | 2,695 | 2,808 | 6,660 | 9,925 |

INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| Interest on long-term debt | 3,072 | 3,246 | 9,552 | 9,736 |
| Other interest - net | 1,340 | 2,663 | 5,748 | 9,398 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (71) | (98) | (158) | (1,195) |
| TOTAL | 4,341 | 5,811 | 15,142 | 17,939 |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 20,339 | 14,527 | 53,775 | 39,926 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|

| | | | | |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Income taxes | 8,235 | 4,848 | 22,092 | 15,079 |
|--------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|

| | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| NET INCOME | 12,104 | 9,679 | 31,683 | 24,847 |
|-------------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|

| | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Preferred dividend requirements and other | 241 | 402 | 724 | 884 |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|

**EARNINGS APPLICABLE TO
COMMON STOCK**

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | \$11,863 | \$9,277 | \$30,959 | \$23,963 |
|--|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

130

ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$31,683 | \$24,847 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities: | | |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 3,087 | 3,096 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 24,553 | 24,227 |
| Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 16,021 | 18,591 |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | (17,154) | (673) |
| Fuel inventory | 998 | 674 |

| | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|
| Accounts payable | 2,820 | (15,016) |
| Taxes accrued | 6,028 | (2,086) |
| Interest accrued | (2,798) | (14,583) |
| Deferred fuel costs | (20,295) | (11,789) |
| Other working capital accounts | 3,615 | (4,763) |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | 5,229 | 3,811 |
| Changes in pension liability | (4,594) | (45,759) |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 15,216 | 186,324 |
| Other | (1,205) | (3,338) |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 63,204 | 163,563 |

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

| | | |
|---|----------------|--------------|
| Construction expenditures | (79,223) | (55,526) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 244 | 1,592 |
| Insurance proceeds | 102,914 | 55,973 |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets | - | 10,046 |
| Change in money pool receivable - net | (21,308) | - |
| Change in other investments - net | (5,670) | (3,175) |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) investing activities | (3,043) | 8,910 |

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

| | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Retirement of long-term debt | (30,774) | - |
| Repayment of DIP credit facility | - | (51,934) |
| Dividends paid: | | |
| Preferred stock | (724) | (1,652) |
| Net cash flow used in financing activities | (31,498) | (53,586) |

| | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 28,663 | 118,887 |
|--|---------------|----------------|

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 92,010 | 17,093 |
|---|---------------|---------------|

| | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$120,673 | \$135,980 |
|---|------------------|------------------|

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$17,545 | \$15,153 |
| Income taxes | \$1,270 | \$381 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | |
| Cash | \$ - | \$119 |
| Temporary cash investments - at cost which approximates market | 120,673 | 91,891 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 120,673 | 92,010 |
| Accounts receivable: | | |
| Customer | 67,674 | 45,478 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,116) | (4,639) |
| Associated companies | 74,646 | 58,952 |
| Other | 2,935 | 9,928 |
| Accrued unbilled revenues | 28,884 | 24,842 |
| Total accounts receivable | 173,023 | 134,561 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 37,576 | 17,281 |
| Fuel inventory - at average cost | 3,502 | 4,500 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 9,763 | 9,007 |
| Prepayments and other | 6,375 | 2,539 |
| TOTAL | 350,912 | 259,898 |
| OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | | |
| Investment in affiliates - at equity | 3,259 | 3,259 |
| Non-utility property at cost (less accumulated depreciation) | 1,016 | 1,016 |
| Other property and investments | 10,942 | 5,272 |
| TOTAL | 15,217 | 9,547 |
| UTILITY PLANT | | |
| Electric | 766,392 | 745,426 |
| Natural gas | 196,387 | 201,870 |
| Construction work in progress | 26,101 | 14,144 |
| TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 988,880 | 961,440 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 529,992 | 507,537 |
| UTILITY PLANT - NET | 458,888 | 453,903 |
| DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS | | |
| Regulatory assets: | | |
| Other regulatory assets | 175,519 | 143,726 |
| Long term receivables | - | 126 |
| Other | 8,474 | 8,995 |
| TOTAL | 183,993 | 152,847 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$1,009,010 | \$876,195 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Currently maturing long-term debt | \$ - | \$30,000 |
| Accounts payable: | | |
| Associated companies | 24,072 | 27,138 |
| Other | 69,309 | 23,366 |
| Customer deposits | 19,065 | 17,803 |
| Taxes accrued | 11,009 | 4,981 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 11,944 | 1,754 |
| Interest accrued | 2,419 | 5,217 |
| Other | 16,889 | 9,944 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES | 154,707 | 120,203 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 117,198 | 114,729 |
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 2,552 | 2,809 |
| SFAS 109 regulatory liability - net | 74,918 | 73,613 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | 9,522 | 9,522 |
| Retirement cost liability | 2,916 | 2,772 |
| Accumulated provisions | 19,558 | 14,329 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 10,890 | 15,484 |
| Long-term debt | 273,149 | 273,912 |
| Gas system rebuild insurance proceeds | 100,270 | 36,958 |
| Other | 15,147 | 14,640 |
| TOTAL NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | 626,120 | 558,768 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock without sinking fund | 19,780 | 19,780 |
| Common stock, \$4 par value, authorized 10,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 8,435,900 shares in 2008 and 2007 | 33,744 | 33,744 |
| Paid-in capital | 36,294 | 36,294 |
| Retained earnings | 138,365 | 107,406 |
| TOTAL | 228,183 | 197,224 |

**TOTAL LIABILITIES AND
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

\$1,009,010

\$876,195

See Notes to Financial Statements.

133

ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC.
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| Description | Three Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| | (Dollars In Millions) | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 61 | \$ 53 | \$ 8 | 15 |
| Commercial | 59 | 54 | 5 | 9 |
| Industrial | 15 | 14 | 1 | 7 |
| Governmental | 24 | 21 | 3 | 14 |
| Total retail | 159 | 142 | 17 | 12 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 28 | 25 | 3 | 12 |
| Non-associated companies | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Other | 2 | 6 | (4) | (67) |
| Total | \$ 190 | \$ 173 | \$ 17 | 10 |
| Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh): | | | | |
| Residential | 469 | 442 | 27 | 6 |
| Commercial | 506 | 515 | (9) | (2) |
| Industrial | 148 | 156 | (8) | (5) |
| Governmental | 216 | 211 | 5 | 2 |
| Total retail | 1,339 | 1,324 | 15 | 1 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 233 | 224 | 9 | 4 |
| Non-associated companies | 6 | 5 | 1 | 20 |
| Total | 1,578 | 1,553 | 25 | 2 |

| Description | Nine Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|----|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| | (Dollars In Millions) | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$ 132 | \$ 108 | \$ 24 | 22 |
| Commercial | 147 | 134 | 13 | 10 |
| Industrial | 36 | 34 | 2 | 6 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-----|-----|
| Governmental | 59 | 53 | 6 | 11 |
| Total retail | 374 | 329 | 45 | 14 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 133 | 84 | 49 | 58 |
| Non-associated companies | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Other | 17 | 18 | (1) | (6) |
| Total | \$ 525 | \$ 432 | 93 | 22 |
| Billed Electric Energy | | | | |
| Sales (GWh): | | | | |
| Residential | 1,098 | 933 | 165 | 18 |
| Commercial | 1,366 | 1,330 | 36 | 3 |
| Industrial | 418 | 426 | (8) | (2) |
| Governmental | 585 | 551 | 34 | 6 |
| Total retail | 3,467 | 3,240 | 227 | 7 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 1,037 | 799 | 238 | 30 |
| Non-associated companies | 16 | 12 | 4 | 33 |
| Total | 4,520 | 4,051 | 469 | 12 |

134

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Jurisdictional Separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas

See the Entergy Texas Form 10 for a discussion of the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into two vertically integrated utility companies, one operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the PUCT, Entergy Texas, and the other operating under the sole retail jurisdiction of the LPSC, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.

Because the jurisdictional separation was a transaction involving entities under common control, Entergy Texas recognized the assets and liabilities allocated to it at their carrying amounts in the accounts of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. at the time of the jurisdictional separation. Entergy Texas' financial statements contained herein report results of operations for 2007 as though the jurisdictional separation had occurred at the beginning of 2007.

Hurricane Ike

In September 2008, Hurricane Ike caused catastrophic damage to Entergy Texas' service territory. The storm resulted in widespread power outages, significant damage to distribution, transmission, and generation infrastructure, and the loss of sales during the power outages. Total restoration costs for the repair and/or replacement of Entergy Texas' electric facilities damaged by Hurricane Ike are estimated to be in the range of \$435 million to \$510 million. Entergy Texas is considering all reasonable avenues to recover storm-related costs, including, but not limited to, federal and local cost recovery mechanisms, including requests for Community Development Block Grant funding; securitization; and insurance, to the extent deductibles are met. Entergy Texas expects to initiate its storm cost recovery proceeding in the spring of 2009, because new securitization legislation is required for it to securitize its Hurricane Ike restoration

costs. The Texas legislative session begins in January 2009. Entergy is currently evaluating the amount of the losses covered by insurance for Entergy and each of the affected Utility operating companies. Because most of its Hurricane Gustav damage was to distribution and transmission facilities that are generally not covered by property insurance, Entergy does not expect to meet its deductibles for that storm. Because Hurricane Ike caused more damage by flooding and also caused more damage to generation facilities as compared to Hurricane Gustav, it is more likely that Entergy will meet its deductibles for that storm.

Entergy Texas has recorded accounts payable for the estimated costs incurred that were necessary to return customers to service. Entergy Texas recorded corresponding regulatory assets of approximately \$240 million and construction work in progress of approximately \$154 million. Entergy Texas recorded the regulatory assets in accordance with its accounting policies and based on the historic treatment of such costs in its service territory, because management believes that recovery through some form of regulatory mechanism is probable. Because Entergy Texas has not gone through the regulatory process regarding these storm costs, however, there is an element of risk, and Entergy Texas is unable to predict with certainty the degree of success it may have in its recovery initiatives, the amount of restoration costs that it may ultimately recover, or the timing of such recovery.

Results of Operations

Net Income

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net income decreased by \$17.3 million primarily due to lower net revenue and higher depreciation and amortization expenses, partially offset by lower other operation and maintenance expenses and lower interest and other charges.

135

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net income increased by \$15.3 million primarily due to higher net revenue and lower other operation and maintenance expenses, partially offset by higher depreciation and amortization expenses and lower other income.

Net Revenue

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the third quarter of 2008 to the third quarter of 2007.

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$149.4 |
| Volume/weather | (12.8) |
| Other | (20.2) |
| 2008 net revenue | \$116.4 |

The volume/weather variance is primarily due to decreased electricity usage, including the effects of Hurricane Ike, which contributed an estimated \$11.7 million to the decrease, and less favorable weather compared to the same period in 2007 during the unbilled sales period.

The Other variance is primarily caused by various operational effects of the jurisdictional separation on revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses.

Gross operating revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses

Gross operating revenues increased \$175.2 million primarily due to:

- an increase of \$164 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and increased usage; and
- an increase of \$23.5 million in affiliated wholesale revenue primarily due to increases in the cost of energy.

The increase was partially offset by a decrease of \$12.8 million related to volume/weather as discussed above.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to an increase in power purchases as a result of the purchased power agreements between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas, an increase in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power, and an increase in deferred fuel expense as the result of increased recovery from customers of fuel costs.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Net revenue consists of operating revenues net of: 1) fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale, 2) purchased power expenses and 3) other regulatory charges. Following is an analysis of the change in net revenue comparing the nine months ended September 30, 2008 to the nine months ended September 30, 2007.

136

| | Amount (In Millions) |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2007 net revenue | \$315.7 |
| Fuel recovery | 10.8 |
| Securitization transition charge | 9.4 |
| Other | (1.6) |
| 2008 net revenue | \$334.3 |

The fuel recovery variance is primarily due to a reserve for potential rate refunds made in the first quarter 2007 as a result of a PUCT ruling related to the application of past PUCT rulings addressing transition to competition in Texas.

The securitization transition charge variance is primarily due to the issuance of securitization bonds. In June 2007, Entergy Gulf States Reconstruction Funding I, LLC, a company wholly-owned and consolidated by Entergy Texas, issued securitization bonds and with the proceeds purchased from Entergy Texas the transition property, which is the right to recover from customers through a transition charge amounts sufficient to service the securitization bonds.

There is a corresponding increase in interest and other charges with no impact on net income. See Note 5 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for additional information regarding the securitization bonds.

The Other variance is primarily caused by various operational effects of the jurisdictional separation on revenues and fuel and purchased power expenses.

Gross operating revenues, fuel and purchased power expenses, and other regulatory charges

Gross operating revenues increased \$267.3 million primarily due to the following reasons:

- an increase of \$144.7 million in fuel cost recovery revenues due to higher fuel rates and increased usage, partially offset by interim fuel refunds to customers for fuel cost recovery over-collections through November 2007. The refund was distributed over a two-month period beginning February 2008. The interim refund and the PUCT approval is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10;
- an increase of \$91.7 million in affiliated wholesale revenue primarily due to increases in the cost of energy;
- an increase of \$17.4 million in transition charge amounts collected from customers to service the securitization bonds. See Note 5 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for additional information regarding the securitization bonds; and
- implementation of an interim surcharge to collect \$10.3 million in under-recovered incremental purchased capacity costs incurred through July 2007. The surcharge was collected over a two-month period beginning February 2008. The incremental capacity recovery rider and PUCT approval is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10.

Fuel and purchased power expenses increased primarily due to an increase in power purchases as a result of the purchased power agreements between Entergy Gulf States Louisiana and Entergy Texas and an increase in the average market prices of natural gas and purchased power, substantially offset by a decrease in deferred fuel expense as the result of decreased recovery from customers of fuel costs.

Other regulatory charges increased primarily due to an increase of \$8 million in the recovery of bond expenses related to the securitization bonds. The recovery became effective July 2007. See Note 5 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for additional information regarding the securitization bonds.

Other Income Statement Variances

Third Quarter 2008 Compared to Third Quarter 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$2.3 million in transmission spending due to lower transmission equalization expenses;
- a decrease of \$2.1 million in property insurance expenses;
- a decrease of \$1.4 million in regulatory commission expenses; and
- a decrease of \$1.1 million in payroll and payroll-related costs.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to an increase in plant in service.

Other income decreased primarily due to a decrease in interest earned on money pool investments, substantially offset by an increase in taxes collected on advances for transmission projects.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to a decrease in first mortgage bonds outstanding.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Other operation and maintenance expenses decreased primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$4.7 million in transmission spending due to lower transmission equalization expenses;
- a decrease of \$3.6 million in customer service support costs, including a decrease in customer write-offs; and
- a decrease of \$2.5 million in regulatory commission expenses.

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased primarily due to an increase in plant in service.

Other income decreased primarily due to the absence of carrying charges on storm restoration costs that were approved by the PUCT in the fourth quarter 2006 and a decrease in interest earned on money pool investments. In June 2007, Entergy Gulf States Reconstruction Funding I, LLC issued securitization bonds and the carrying charges ended. The PUCT approval of carrying charges, the securitization filing and the approval for the recovery of reconstruction costs are discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in taxes collected on advances for transmission projects.

Interest and other charges decreased primarily due to the absence of interest recorded on advances from independent power producers per a FERC order during the first quarter 2007. This decrease was partially offset by an increase in long-term debt outstanding as a result of the issuance of securitization bonds during the second quarter 2007, partially offset by a decrease in first mortgage bonds outstanding. See Note 5 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for additional information regarding the securitization bonds.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rate was 37.5% for the third quarter 2008 and 37.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the third quarter 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is primarily due to state income taxes. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% is primarily due to state income taxes, partially offset by the amortization of investment tax credits.

The effective income tax rate was 34.5% for the third quarter 2007 and 39.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The difference in the effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 versus the federal statutory rate of 35% were primarily due to state income taxes and book and tax differences related to utility plant items, partially offset by the amortization of investment tax credits.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$297,082 | \$77,115 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | 26,515 | 106,073 |
| Investing activities | (50,648) | (156,611) |
| Financing activities | (221,232) | 290,001 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (245,365) | 239,463 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$51,717 | \$316,578 |

Operating Activities

Net cash flow provided by operating activities decreased \$79.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to decreased recovery of deferred fuel costs, partially offset by the timing of collections of receivables from customers and payments to vendors. The decreased fuel recovery was primarily caused by the \$71 million fuel cost over-recovery refund that is discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, in addition to the over-recovery of fuel costs for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared to under-recovering for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Fuel prices have been increasing and due to the time lag before the fuel recovery rate increases in response, Entergy Texas has under-recovered fuel costs thus far in 2008.

Investing Activities

Net cash flow used in investing activities decreased \$106 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to money pool activity, partially offset by an increase in distribution construction expenditures due to various tropical storms. Decreases in Entergy Texas' receivable from the money pool are a source of cash flow, and Entergy Texas' receivable from the money pool decreased by \$124.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to increasing by \$43.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The money pool is an inter-company borrowing arrangement designed to reduce Entergy's subsidiaries' need for external short-term borrowings.

Financing Activities

Financing activities used cash of \$221.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to providing cash of \$290 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to the issuance of \$329.5 million of securitization bonds in June 2007, the retirement of \$159.2 million of long-term debt in June 2008, and \$150 million of capital returned to Entergy Corporation in February 2008, partially offset by borrowings of \$100 million on Entergy Texas' credit facility. After the effects of Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita, Entergy Corporation made a \$300 million capital contribution to Entergy Gulf States, Inc. in 2005, which was part of Entergy's financing plan that provided liquidity and capital resources to Entergy and its subsidiaries while storm restoration cost recovery was pursued. See Note 5 in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for additional information regarding the securitization bonds.

Capital Structure

Entergy Texas' capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table.

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt to net capital | 59.3% | 52.6% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 0.9% | 5.9% |
| Debt to capital | 60.2% | 58.5% |

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion and also including the debt assumption liability. Capital consists of debt and shareholder's equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. Entergy Texas uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating Entergy Texas' financial condition.

On June 2, 2008, under the terms of the debt assumption agreement between Entergy Texas and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana that is discussed in Note 5 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10, Entergy Texas paid at maturity \$148.8 million of Entergy Gulf States Louisiana first mortgage bonds, which results in a corresponding decrease in Entergy Texas' debt assumption liability.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "**MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources**" in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for a discussion of Entergy Texas' uses and sources of capital. Following are updates to the information provided in the Entergy Texas Form 10.

Entergy Texas' receivables from the money pool were as follows:

| September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | |
| \$29,416 | \$154,176 | \$140,651 | \$97,277 |

See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for a description of the money pool.

As discussed in the Entergy Texas Form 10, Entergy Texas has a credit facility in the amount of \$100 million that will expire in August 2012. The facility became available to Entergy Texas on May 30, 2008, after the fulfillment of certain closing conditions. As of September 30, 2008, \$100 million was outstanding on the credit facility.

Hurricane Ike and Other Short-term Liquidity Sources and Uses

As discussed above, Entergy Texas is currently evaluating various avenues of recovering its Hurricane Ike storm restoration costs. Entergy Texas believes its total liquidity is sufficient to meet its current obligations, including the effects associated with Hurricane Ike. At the end of the third quarter 2008, Entergy Texas had \$51.7 million of cash and cash equivalents on hand, and believes that it has sufficient financing authority, subject to debt covenants, to meet its anticipated obligations. Entergy Texas has \$200 million of available FERC-authorized short-term borrowing

authority, which is discussed in more detail in Note 4 to the financial statements, and also has FERC-authorized long-term borrowing authority.

In addition to its Hurricane Ike storm restoration cost obligations, Entergy Texas has a long-term debt maturity of \$160 million in the fourth quarter 2008. Entergy Texas plans to refinance this debt maturity, and also plans to issue additional long-term debt to assist in meeting its

140

obligations. In the event that Entergy Texas is unable to access the credit markets on reasonable commercial terms by the end of 2008, it has intercompany sources of funds available to it. Entergy Texas' FERC-authorized short-term borrowing authority includes the ability to access the Entergy system money pool or other forms of intercompany debt. Entergy Texas' FERC-authorized long-term borrowing authority includes the ability to access intercompany debt and also includes authority to issue various forms of equity, including on an intercompany basis.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - **Significant Factors and Known Trends**" in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for a discussion of transition to retail competition in Texas; state and local rate regulation; federal regulation; the Energy Policy Act of 2005; industrial and commercial customers; market and credit risk sensitive instruments; and environmental risks. Following are updates to the information disclosed in the Entergy Texas Form 10.

State and Local Rate Regulation

Filings with the PUCT

Entergy Texas made a rate filing in September 2007 with the PUCT requesting an annual rate increase totaling \$107.5 million, including a base rate increase of \$64.3 million and riders totaling \$43.2 million. The base rate increase request includes a \$12.2 million annual increase for the storm damage reserve. Entergy Texas requested an 11% return on common equity. In December 2007 the PUCT issued an order setting September 26, 2008 (which it subsequently moved to November 27, 2008) as the effective date for the rate change proposed in this matter. In May 2008, Entergy Texas and certain parties in the rate case filed a non-unanimous settlement that provides for a \$42.5 million base rate increase beginning in October 2008 and an additional \$17 million base rate increase beginning in October 2009. The non-unanimous settlement also provides that \$25 million of System Agreement rough production cost equalization payments will offset the effect on customers of the rate increase. The non-unanimous settlement further provides that an additional \$17 million on an annual basis of System Agreement rough production cost equalization payments will be retained by Entergy Texas from January 2009 through September 2009. The non-unanimous settlement also resolves the fuel reconciliation portion of the proceeding with a \$4.5 million disallowance. The PUCT staff, the Texas Industrial Energy Consumers (TIEC), and the state of Texas did not join in the settlement and filed a separate agreement among them that provides for a rate decrease, later revised to a slight increase, and a \$4.7 million fuel cost disallowance. In May 2008 the ALJs issued an order stating that the proceeding will continue with Entergy Texas having the burden of proof to show that the non-unanimous settlement results in reasonable rates. The hearing on the merits of the non-unanimous settlement was held from June 23 through July 2, 2008, and in September 2008 the ALJs issued a proposal for decision recommending approval of the non-unanimous settlement. On November 5, 2008, the PUCT rejected the non-unanimous settlement and remanded the case for further hearings on the merits of Entergy Texas' original rate request. The hearings on remand are expected to begin by early December 2008. Entergy Texas agreed to extend until March 2, 2009 the PUCT's jurisdictional deadline to render a decision. In accordance with applicable law, after the requisite number of hearing days occurs, Entergy Texas will have the right to implement

rates, up to the level of the requested rates, under bond and subject to refund.

In January 2008, Entergy Texas made a compliance filing with the PUCT describing how its 2007 Rough Production Cost Equalization receipts under the System Agreement were allocated between Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s Texas and Louisiana jurisdictions. Several parties have intervened in the proceeding. A hearing was held at the end of July 2008, and in October 2008 the ALJ issued a proposal for decision recommending an additional \$18.6 million allocation to Texas retail customers. Entergy Texas will file exceptions to the ALJ's proposal for decision. Because the PUCT allocation to Texas retail customers is inconsistent with the LPSC allocation to Louisiana retail customers, adoption of the proposal for decision by the PUCT would result in trapped costs between the Texas and Louisiana jurisdictions. Entergy will seek relief from the FERC or other appropriate relief if that occurs. The PUCT will consider final action on the proposal for decision and exceptions thereto at a future meeting.

141

In October 2007, Entergy Texas filed a request with the PUCT to refund \$45.6 million, including interest, of fuel cost recovery over-collections through September 2007. In January 2008, Entergy Texas filed with the PUCT a stipulation and settlement agreement among the parties that updated the over-collection balance through November 2007 and establishes a refund amount, including interest, of \$71 million. The PUCT approved the agreement in February 2008. The refund was made over a two-month period beginning February 2008, but was reduced by \$10.3 million of under-recovered incremental purchased capacity costs. Amounts refunded through the interim fuel refund are subject to final reconciliation in a future fuel reconciliation proceeding.

Federal Regulation

See "System Agreement Proceedings" and "Independent Coordinator of Transmission" in the "**Significant Factors and Known Trends**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis herein for updates to the discussion in the Entergy Texas Form 10.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in Entergy Texas' accounting for the application of SFAS 71, unbilled revenue, and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and see Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in this Form 10-Q for an update to the discussion regarding qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "New Accounting Pronouncements" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

142

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$621,321 | \$446,130 | \$1,583,698 | \$1,316,423 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance: | | | | |
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale | 207,949 | 83,962 | 435,131 | 394,446 |
| Purchased power | 289,157 | 204,664 | 794,750 | 595,071 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 34,517 | 43,551 | 119,192 | 132,741 |
| Decommissioning | 46 | 44 | 137 | 128 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 14,006 | 12,941 | 40,550 | 38,945 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 19,057 | 16,644 | 56,294 | 51,124 |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 7,826 | 8,056 | 19,523 | 11,239 |
| TOTAL | 572,558 | 369,862 | 1,465,577 | 1,223,694 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 48,763 | 76,268 | 118,121 | 92,729 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 563 | 692 | 1,541 | 2,462 |
| Interest and dividend income | 2,127 | 7,466 | 7,680 | 27,255 |
| Miscellaneous - net | 3,968 | (115) | 15,068 | (465) |
| TOTAL | 6,658 | 8,043 | 24,289 | 29,252 |
| INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES | | | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 17,280 | 21,002 | 55,787 | 53,248 |
| Other interest - net | 1,771 | 2,275 | 4,346 | 9,389 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (318) | (443) | (875) | (1,593) |
| TOTAL | 18,733 | 22,834 | 59,258 | 61,044 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | | | | |
| Taxes | 36,688 | 61,477 | 83,152 | 60,937 |
| Income taxes | 13,772 | 21,222 | 31,108 | 24,240 |
| NET INCOME | \$22,916 | \$40,255 | \$52,044 | \$36,697 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

(Page left blank intentionally)

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007

(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$52,044 | \$36,697 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities: | | |
| Reserve for regulatory adjustments | 285 | 270 |
| Other regulatory charges - net | 19,523 | 11,239 |
| Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning | 56,431 | 51,252 |
| Deferred income taxes and investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 42,073 | 113,424 |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | 35,134 | (92,947) |
| Fuel inventory | (227) | (3,657) |
| Accounts payable | 85,084 | 34,335 |
| Taxes accrued | (15,657) | 14,213 |
| Interest accrued | (1,246) | 5,982 |
| Deferred fuel costs | (151,922) | 2,251 |
| Other working capital accounts | (26,404) | 42,502 |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | 2,072 | (774) |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 76,315 | (107,291) |
| Other | (146,990) | (1,423) |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 26,515 | 106,073 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Construction expenditures | (176,218) | (112,623) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 1,541 | 2,462 |
| Insurance proceeds | 1,420 | 5,558 |
| Change in money pool receivable - net | 124,760 | (43,374) |
| Collections remitted to transition charge account | (2,151) | (8,634) |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (50,648) | (156,611) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | - | 323,647 |
| Return of capital to parent | (150,000) | - |
| Retirement of long-term debt | (159,232) | - |
| Changes in credit borrowings - net | 100,000 | - |
| Dividends paid: | | |
| Common stock | (12,000) | (33,646) |
| Net cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities | (221,232) | 290,001 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (245,365) | 239,463 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 297,082 | 77,115 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$51,717 | \$316,578 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid during the period for:

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$58,645 | \$53,257 |
| Income taxes | \$7,293 | \$5,104 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

145

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Cash | \$232 | \$10 |
| Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market | 51,485 | 297,072 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 51,717 | 297,082 |
| Securitization recovery trust account | 21,424 | 19,273 |
| Accounts receivable: | | |
| Customer | 114,475 | 61,108 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (1,188) | (918) |
| Associated companies | 192,355 | 377,478 |
| Other | 17,662 | 35,048 |
| Accrued unbilled revenues | 20,492 | 30,974 |
| Total accounts receivable | 343,796 | 503,690 |
| Deferred fuel costs | 84,652 | - |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | - | 24,507 |
| Fuel inventory - at average cost | 56,005 | 55,778 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 33,856 | 31,454 |
| Prepayments and other | 16,493 | 14,756 |
| TOTAL | 607,943 | 946,540 |
| OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | | |
| Investments in affiliates - at equity | 870 | 863 |
| Non-utility property - at cost (less accumulated depreciation) | 1,810 | 2,030 |
| Other | 17,279 | 16,514 |
| TOTAL | 19,959 | 19,407 |
| UTILITY PLANT | | |
| Electric | 2,892,272 | 2,817,681 |
| Construction work in progress | 182,507 | 71,519 |
| TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 3,074,779 | 2,889,200 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 1,081,561 | 1,043,183 |

| | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| UTILITY PLANT - NET | 1,993,218 | 1,846,017 |
| DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS | | |
| Regulatory assets: | | |
| SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net | 85,219 | 87,531 |
| Other regulatory assets | 888,426 | 645,941 |
| Long-term receivables | 789 | 1,284 |
| Other | 126,828 | 60,032 |
| TOTAL | 1,101,262 | 794,788 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$3,722,382 | \$3,606,752 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

146

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Currently maturing portion of debt assumption liability | \$160,286 | \$309,123 |
| Accounts payable: | | |
| Associated companies | 122,640 | 40,120 |
| Other | 424,601 | 80,917 |
| Customer deposits | 40,459 | 37,962 |
| Taxes accrued | 96 | 15,753 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 1,877 | - |
| Interest accrued | 26,803 | 28,049 |
| Deferred fuel costs | - | 67,270 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 1,236 | 1,236 |
| System agreement cost equalization | 65,597 | 92,225 |
| Other | 7,182 | 5,316 |
| TOTAL | 850,777 | 677,971 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 708,442 | 697,693 |
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 24,527 | 25,724 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | - | 4,881 |
| Asset retirement cost liabilities | 3,203 | 3,066 |
| Accumulated provisions | 10,935 | 8,863 |
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | - | 14,418 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Long-term debt - assumption liability | 769,971 | 769,971 |
| Other long-term debt | 422,877 | 333,892 |
| Other | 37,352 | 66,019 |
| TOTAL | 1,977,307 | 1,924,527 |

Commitments and Contingencies

SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY

| | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|
| Common stock, no par value, authorized 200,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 46,525,000 shares in 2008 and 2007 | 49,452 | 49,452 |
| Paid-in capital | 481,994 | 631,994 |
| Retained earnings | 362,852 | 322,808 |
| TOTAL | 894,298 | 1,004,254 |

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY | \$3,722,382 | \$3,606,752 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF RETAINED EARNINGS AND PAID-IN CAPITAL
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | |
| RETAINED EARNINGS | | |
| Retained Earnings - Beginning of period | \$339,936 | \$283,352 |
| Add: | | |
| Net Income | 22,916 | 40,255 |
| Other additions | - | 418 |
| | 22,916 | 40,673 |
| Deduct: | | |
| Dividends declared on common stock | - | 13,918 |
| Retained Earnings - End of period | \$362,852 | \$310,107 |
| PAID-IN CAPITAL | | |
| Paid-in Capital - Beginning of period | \$481,994 | \$632,222 |
| Deduct: | | |
| Return of capital to parent | - | - |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Paid-in Capital - End of period | \$481,994 | \$632,222 |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | |
| RETAINED EARNINGS | | |
| Retained Earnings - Beginning of period | \$322,808 | \$306,266 |
| Add: | | |
| Net Income | 52,044 | 36,697 |
| Other additions | - | 790 |
| | 52,044 | 37,487 |
| Deduct: | | |
| Dividends declared on common stock | 12,000 | 33,646 |
| Retained Earnings - End of period | \$362,852 | \$310,107 |
| PAID-IN CAPITAL | | |
| Paid-in Capital - Beginning of period | \$631,994 | \$632,222 |
| Deduct: | | |
| Return of capital to parent | (150,000) | - |
| Paid-in Capital - End of period | \$481,994 | \$632,222 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

148

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
SELECTED OPERATING RESULTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| Description | Three Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------------|----|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| (Dollars In Millions) | | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$212 | \$152 | \$60 | 39 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Commercial | 125 | 80 | 45 | 56 |
| Industrial | 145 | 84 | 61 | 73 |
| Governmental | 7 | 5 | 2 | 40 |
| Total retail | 489 | 321 | 168 | 52 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 139 | 115 | 24 | 21 |
| Non-associated companies | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| Other | (9) | 8 | (17) | (213) |
| Total | \$621 | \$446 | \$175 | 39 |

Billed Electric Energy

Sales (GWh):

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Residential | 1,727 | 1,704 | 23 | 1 |
| Commercial | 1,180 | 1,172 | 8 | 1 |
| Industrial | 1,575 | 1,526 | 49 | 3 |
| Governmental | 66 | 63 | 3 | 5 |
| Total retail | 4,548 | 4,465 | 83 | 2 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 1,130 | 1,211 | (81) | (7) |
| Non-associated companies | 23 | 28 | (5) | (17) |
| Total | 5,701 | 5,704 | (3) | - |

| Description | Nine Months Ended | | Increase/ (Decrease) | % |
|------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------------|------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| (Dollars In Millions) | | | | |
| Electric Operating Revenues: | | | | |
| Residential | \$472 | \$410 | \$62 | 15 |
| Commercial | 313 | 266 | 47 | 18 |
| Industrial | 383 | 305 | 78 | 26 |
| Governmental | 20 | 17 | 3 | 18 |
| Total retail | 1,188 | 998 | 190 | 19 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 377 | 287 | 90 | 31 |
| Non-associated companies | 7 | 5 | 2 | 40 |
| Other | 12 | 26 | (14) | (54) |
| Total | \$1,584 | \$1,316 | \$268 | 20 |

Billed Electric Energy

Sales (GWh):

| | | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------|-----|---|
| Residential | 4,171 | 4,071 | 100 | 2 |
|-------------|-------|-------|-----|---|

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-----|
| Commercial | 3,165 | 3,069 | 96 | 3 |
| Industrial | 4,726 | 4,393 | 333 | 8 |
| Governmental | 189 | 183 | 6 | 3 |
| Total retail | 12,251 | 11,716 | 535 | 5 |
| Sales for resale | | | | |
| Associated companies | 3,105 | 3,206 | (101) | (3) |
| Non-associated companies | 73 | 75 | (2) | (3) |
| Total | 15,429 | 14,997 | 432 | 3 |

149

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

-

Results of Operations

System Energy's principal asset consists of a 90% ownership and leasehold interest in Grand Gulf. The capacity and energy from its 90% interest are sold under the Unit Power Sales Agreement to its only four customers, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, and Entergy New Orleans. System Energy's operating revenues are derived from the allocation of the capacity, energy, and related costs associated with its 90% interest in Grand Gulf pursuant to the Unit Power Sales Agreement. Payments under the Unit Power Sales Agreement are System Energy's only source of operating revenues.

Net income decreased by \$5.0 million for the third quarter 2008 compared to the third quarter 2007 primarily due to a decrease in rate base in the third quarter 2008 resulting in lower operating income combined with lower interest income. The lower interest income resulted from interest income of \$2.5 million recorded on an IRS audit settlement in the third quarter 2007 and lower interest earned on decommissioning trust funds and money pool investments.

Net income decreased by \$15.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 primarily due to a decrease in rate base in 2008 resulting in lower operating income combined with lower interest income. The lower interest income resulted from lower interest income earned on money pool investments and interest income of \$2.5 million recorded on an IRS audit settlement in the third quarter of 2007.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flow

Cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 were as follows:

2008 2007
(In Thousands)

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | \$105,005 | \$135,012 |
| Cash flow provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | 182,138 | 174,409 |
| Investing activities | (79,376) | (97,414) |
| Financing activities | (71,901) | (29,155) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 30,861 | 47,840 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$135,866 | \$182,852 |

Operating Activities

The increase of \$7.7 million in net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 is primarily due to a decrease of \$19.8 million in income tax payments, partially offset by lower net income.

150

Investing Activities

The decrease of \$18.0 million in net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 is primarily due to higher spending in 2007 on the initial development of potential new nuclear development at the Grand Gulf and River Bend sites.

Financing Activities

The increase of \$42.7 million in net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007 is primarily due to the issuance of \$70 million of First Mortgage Bonds in September 2007, partially offset by an increase of \$30.1 million in common stock dividends paid.

Capital Structure

System Energy's capitalization is balanced between equity and debt, as shown in the following table.

| | September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Net debt to net capital | 46.2% | 47.4% |
| Effect of subtracting cash from debt | 4.1% | 3.2% |
| Debt to capital | 50.3% | 50.6% |

Net debt consists of debt less cash and cash equivalents. Debt consists of notes payable, capital lease obligations, and long-term debt, including the currently maturing portion. Capital consists of debt and common shareholder's equity. Net capital consists of capital less cash and cash equivalents. System Energy uses the net debt to net capital ratio in analyzing its financial condition and believes it provides useful information to its investors and creditors in evaluating System Energy's financial condition.

Uses and Sources of Capital

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Liquidity and Capital Resources" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of System Energy's uses and sources of capital. The following is an update to the Form 10-K.

System Energy's receivables from the money pool were as follows:

| September 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 | September 30, 2007 | December 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| (In Thousands) | | | |
| \$73,614 | \$53,620 | \$83,418 | \$88,231 |

In May 2007, \$22.5 million of System Energy's receivable from the money pool was replaced by a note receivable from Entergy New Orleans. See Note 4 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for a description of the money pool.

Significant Factors, Known Trends, and Uncertainties

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Significant Factors and Known Trends" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, nuclear matters, and environmental risks. The following is an update to the Form 10-K.

System Energy Rate Proceeding

In March 2008, the LPSC filed a complaint at the FERC under Federal Power Act section 206 against System Energy and Entergy Services. The complaint requests that the FERC set System Energy's rate of return on common equity at no more than 9.75%. The LPSC's complaint further requests that System Energy base its decommissioning and depreciation expenses on a 60-year useful life for Grand Gulf as opposed to the 40-year life specified in the existing NRC operating license. The APSC, the City of New Orleans, the MPSC, and other parties have intervened in the proceeding. System Energy filed its answer to the complaint in April 2008, in which it denies the allegations of the LPSC and requests that the FERC dismiss the complaint without a hearing. On July 1, 2008, the FERC issued an order denying the relief requested by the LPSC.

Critical Accounting Estimates

See "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in the Form 10-K for a discussion of the estimates and judgments necessary in System Energy's accounting for nuclear decommissioning costs and qualified pension and other postretirement benefits, and see Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries "MANAGEMENT'S FINANCIAL DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - Critical Accounting Estimates" in this Form 10-Q for an update to the discussion regarding qualified pension and other postretirement benefits.

New Accounting Pronouncements

See "**New Accounting Pronouncements**" section of Entergy Corporation and Subsidiaries Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of new accounting pronouncements.

152

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
INCOME STATEMENTS
For the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | (In Thousands) | | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Electric | \$142,045 | \$144,383 | \$384,783 | \$400,011 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance: | | | | |
| Fuel, fuel-related expenses, and gas purchased for resale | 11,315 | 10,560 | 34,619 | 29,281 |
| Nuclear refueling outage expenses | 4,256 | 4,177 | 12,669 | 12,403 |
| Other operation and maintenance | 30,712 | 30,831 | 87,709 | 83,372 |
| Decommissioning | 6,972 | 6,486 | 20,543 | 19,110 |
| Taxes other than income taxes | 6,068 | 6,520 | 10,097 | 19,525 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 36,427 | 35,244 | 87,504 | 85,232 |
| Other regulatory credits - net | (4,641) | (2,500) | (9,198) | (7,110) |
| TOTAL | 91,109 | 91,318 | 243,943 | 241,813 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 50,936 | 53,065 | 140,840 | 158,198 |
| OTHER INCOME | | | | |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 1,367 | 1,437 | 3,733 | 2,217 |
| Interest and dividend income | 2,134 | 7,869 | 8,346 | 18,454 |
| Miscellaneous - net | (116) | (87) | (404) | 491 |
| TOTAL | 3,385 | 9,219 | 11,675 | 21,162 |
| INTEREST AND OTHER CHARGES | | | | |
| Interest on long-term debt | 16,065 | 16,444 | 39,348 | 40,133 |
| Other interest - net | 157 | 51 | 237 | 103 |
| Allowance for borrowed funds used during construction | (458) | (475) | (1,251) | (730) |
| TOTAL | 15,764 | 16,020 | 38,334 | 39,506 |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 38,557 | 46,264 | 114,181 | 139,854 |
| Income taxes | 16,173 | 18,832 | 48,105 | 58,161 |
| NET INCOME | \$22,384 | \$27,432 | \$66,076 | \$81,693 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

153

(Page left blank intentionally)

154

**SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

**For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007
(Unaudited)**

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$66,076 | \$81,693 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flow provided by operating activities: | | |
| Other regulatory credits - net | (9,198) | (7,110) |
| Depreciation, amortization, and decommissioning | 108,047 | 104,342 |
| Deferred income taxes, investment tax credits, and non-current taxes accrued | 35,202 | 68,879 |
| Changes in working capital: | | |
| Receivables | 10,937 | 437 |
| Accounts payable | 2,846 | 3,134 |
| Taxes accrued | - | (29,265) |
| Interest accrued | (16,330) | (15,762) |
| Other working capital accounts | (21,352) | (19,861) |
| Provision for estimated losses and reserves | (389) | 81 |
| Changes in other regulatory assets | 4,390 | 17,868 |
| Other | 1,909 | (30,027) |
| Net cash flow provided by operating activities | 182,138 | 174,409 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Construction expenditures | (43,099) | (61,562) |
| Allowance for equity funds used during construction | 3,733 | 2,217 |
| Nuclear fuel purchases | (63,319) | (56,260) |
| Proceeds from sale/leaseback of nuclear fuel | 63,322 | 56,580 |
| Proceeds from nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales | 344,772 | 53,810 |
| Investment in nuclear decommissioning trust funds | (364,791) | (74,484) |
| Changes in money pool receivable - net | (19,994) | (17,715) |
| Net cash flow used in investing activities | (79,376) | (97,414) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of long-term debt | - | 69,480 |
| Retirement of long-term debt | (26,701) | (23,335) |
| Dividends paid: | | |
| Common stock | (45,200) | (75,300) |
| Net cash flow used in financing activities | (71,901) | (29,155) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 30,861 | 47,840 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 105,005 | 135,012 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$135,866 | \$182,852 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest - net of amount capitalized | \$52,060 | \$51,861 |
| Income taxes | \$16,072 | \$35,897 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

155

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
ASSETS
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Cash | \$103 | \$406 |
| Temporary cash investments - at cost, which approximates market | 135,763 | 104,599 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 135,866 | 105,005 |
| Accounts receivable: | | |
| Associated companies | 121,174 | 112,598 |
| Other | 4,402 | 3,921 |
| Total accounts receivable | 125,576 | 116,519 |
| Materials and supplies - at average cost | 73,692 | 68,613 |
| Deferred nuclear refueling outage costs | 13,606 | 13,640 |
| Prepayments and other | 25,732 | 9,225 |
| TOTAL | 374,472 | 313,002 |
| OTHER PROPERTY AND INVESTMENTS | | |
| Decommissioning trust funds | 292,074 | 315,654 |
| Note receivable - Entergy New Orleans | 25,560 | 25,560 |
| TOTAL | 317,634 | 341,214 |
| UTILITY PLANT | | |
| Electric | 3,292,812 | 3,273,390 |
| Property under capital lease | 475,157 | 475,157 |
| Construction work in progress | 110,846 | 88,296 |
| Nuclear fuel under capital lease | 119,547 | 81,616 |
| Nuclear fuel | 8,302 | 7,656 |
| TOTAL UTILITY PLANT | 4,006,664 | 3,926,115 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation and amortization | 2,183,721 | 2,101,484 |

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| UTILITY PLANT - NET | 1,822,943 | 1,824,631 |
| DEFERRED DEBITS AND OTHER ASSETS | | |
| Regulatory assets: | | |
| SFAS 109 regulatory asset - net | 88,295 | 93,083 |
| Other regulatory assets | 272,296 | 274,202 |
| Other | 11,506 | 12,628 |
| TOTAL | 372,097 | 379,913 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$2,887,146 | \$2,858,760 |

See Notes to Financial Statements.

156

SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.
BALANCE SHEETS
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY
September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007
(Unaudited)

| | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|
| | (In Thousands) | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Currently maturing long-term debt | \$28,440 | \$26,701 |
| Accounts payable: | | |
| Associated companies | 1,327 | 8,902 |
| Other | 39,603 | 29,182 |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes | 4,376 | 4,494 |
| Interest accrued | 31,073 | 47,403 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 30,058 | 30,058 |
| Other | 200 | - |
| TOTAL | 135,077 | 146,740 |
| NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accumulated deferred income taxes and taxes accrued | 340,562 | 314,991 |
| Accumulated deferred investment tax credits | 62,577 | 65,184 |
| Obligations under capital leases | 89,488 | 51,558 |
| Other regulatory liabilities | 214,380 | 243,450 |
| Decommissioning | 389,102 | 368,559 |
| Accumulated provisions | 2,080 | 2,469 |

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| Pension and other postretirement liabilities | 25,756 | 30,031 |
| Long-term debt | 744,881 | 773,266 |
| Other | - | 145 |
| TOTAL | 1,868,826 | 1,849,653 |

Commitments and Contingencies

SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY

| | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|
| Common stock, no par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 789,350 shares in 2008 and 2007 | 789,350 | 789,350 |
| Retained earnings | 93,893 | 73,017 |
| TOTAL | 883,243 | 862,367 |

| | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDER'S EQUITY | \$2,887,146 | \$2,858,760 |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|

See Notes to Financial Statements.

157

ENTERGY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See "**PART I, Item 1, Litigation**" in the Form 10-K and "Item 8, Legal Proceedings" in the Entergy Texas Form 10 for a discussion of legal, administrative, and other regulatory proceedings affecting Entergy.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

See the risk factors discussed in "**PART I, Item 1A, Risk Factors**" in the Form 10-K and in the Entergy Texas Form 10. Following is an update to the risk factors discussed in the Form 10-K and the Entergy Texas Form 10.

Utility

(Entergy Corporation, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy New Orleans and Entergy Texas)

A delay or failure in recovering amounts for storm restoration costs incurred, or revenue lost, as a result of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike could have material, adverse effects on Entergy and those Utility operating companies affected by these storms.

As a result of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans and Entergy Texas expect to incur a total of approximately \$1.025 to \$1.225 billion in storm restoration costs for the repair or replacement of their electric facilities damaged by the hurricanes. These costs do not include other incremental losses, such as the inability to recover fixed costs scheduled for recovery through base rates due to reduced sales volumes resulting from the storms. Entergy and the Utility operating companies are pursuing a broad range of initiatives to recover storm restoration costs, including obtaining reimbursement of certain costs covered by insurance and pursuing recovery through existing or new rate mechanisms regulated by FERC, state and local regulatory bodies, in combination with the possible issuance of bonds securitized by the storm recovery rates.

The non-capital portion of the restoration costs are being accumulated as regulatory assets (except for Entergy Arkansas) and not charged against current income based upon expectation of cost recovery. Because the Utility operating companies have not completed the regulatory process for recovery of these storm costs, however, there is an element of risk regarding recovery. For further information regarding the effects of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike, see "Management's Financial Discussion and Analysis - Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike" for Entergy and each of the Utility operating companies (other than Entergy Mississippi). For further information with respect to storm cost recovery regulatory filings, see Note 2 to the financial statements.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (1)

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan | Maximum \$ Amount of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under a Plan (2) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 7/01/2008-7/31/2008 | - | \$ - | - | \$707,627,695 |
| 8/01/2008-8/31/2008 | 705,000 | \$102.19 | 705,000 | \$666,779,561 |
| 9/01/2008-9/30/2008 | 290,000 | \$ 91.12 | 290,000 | \$640,595,073 |
| Total | 995,000 | \$ 98.96 | 995,000 | |

158

- (1) In accordance with Entergy's stock-based compensation plans, Entergy periodically grants stock options to key employees that may be exercised to obtain shares of Entergy's common stock. According to the plans, these shares can be newly issued shares, treasury stock, or shares purchased on the open market. Entergy's management has been authorized by the Board to repurchase on the open market shares up to an amount sufficient to fund the exercise of grants under the plans. In addition to this authority, in January 2007 the Board approved a repurchase program under which Entergy is authorized to repurchase up to \$1.5 billion of its common stock. The program does not have an expiration date, but Entergy expects to complete it in 2008. In January 2008, the Board authorized an incremental \$500 million share repurchase program to enable Entergy to consider opportunistic purchases in response to equity market conditions. See Note 12 to the financial statements in the Form 10-K for additional discussion of the stock-based compensation plans.
- (2) Maximum amount of shares that may yet be repurchased relates only to the \$1.5 billion and \$500 million plans and does not include an estimate of the amount of shares that may be purchased to fund the exercise of grants under the stock-based compensation plans.

The amount of share repurchases may vary as a result of material changes in business results or capital spending or new investment opportunities.

Item 5. Other Information

Affiliate Purchased Power Agreements

See Part I, Item 1 of the Form 10-K for a discussion of the FERC proceeding involving the purchased power agreements entered by Entergy Louisiana and Entergy New Orleans to procure electric power from affiliates, the FERC's decision in the proceeding, and the LPSC's appeal of that decision. On April 10, 2008, the LPSC filed its initial brief with the D.C. Circuit. In its initial brief, the LPSC argues the FERC erred: (1) in concluding that Entergy Arkansas' short term sale of capacity and energy to third parties did not trigger the obligation to offer a right of first refusal with respect to this capacity to the other Utility operating companies pursuant to the provisions of the System Agreement; and (2) by approving an allocation of baseload generating resources that unduly preferred Entergy New Orleans and unduly discriminated against Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. Oral argument is scheduled for November 7, 2008.

Franchises and Certificates

As discussed in the Entergy Texas Form 10, on December 28, 2007, the Texas Industrial Energy Consumers (TIEC) filed a petition asking the PUCT to declare that Entergy Gulf States, Inc. was required to obtain prior PUCT approval in connection with Entergy Texas' acquisition of its certificate of convenience and necessity as part of the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into Entergy Texas and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana. The TIEC further requested that the PUCT declare Entergy Texas' acquisition of the certificate of convenience and necessity null and void if it occurred without prior PUCT approval.

To resolve expeditiously any outstanding related issues, on March 31, 2008, Entergy Texas filed a request with the PUCT for approval of the allocation to Entergy Texas of the certificate of convenience and necessity to the extent the PUCT finds such an approval is necessary. On May 8, 2008, the ALJ issued an order consolidating the TIEC proceeding discussed above with this proceeding because the filings share threshold issues. On May 16, 2008, the ALJ certified two issues for the PUCT to consider that relate to whether Entergy Gulf States, Inc. needed to obtain PUCT approval with regard to allocating its certificate of convenience and necessity to Entergy Texas. In June 2008 the PUCT determined that the legislation authorizing the completion of the jurisdictional separation of Entergy Gulf States, Inc. into two separate companies contemplated Entergy Texas' succession to Entergy Gulf States, Inc.'s rights under the certificate of convenience and necessity without further regulatory approval. The PUCT issued in August 2008 its order dismissing this proceeding.

Environmental Regulation

Ozone Non-attainment

Entergy Texas and Entergy Gulf States Louisiana each operate fossil-fueled generating units in geographic areas that are not in attainment of the currently-enforced national ambient air quality standards for ozone. Texas non-attainment areas that affect Entergy are the Houston-Galveston and the Beaumont-Port Arthur areas. In Louisiana, Entergy is affected by the non-attainment status of the Baton Rouge area. Areas in non-attainment are classified as "marginal", "moderate," "serious," or "severe." When an area fails to meet the ambient air standard, the EPA requires state regulatory authorities to prepare state implementation plans meant to cause progress toward bringing the area into attainment with applicable standards.

In April 2004, the EPA issued a final rule, effective June 2005, revoking the 1-hour ozone standard, including designations and classifications. In a separate action over the same period, the EPA enacted 8-hour ozone non-attainment classifications and stated that areas designated as non-attainment under a new 8-hour ozone standard shall have one year to adjust to the new requirements with submittal of a new attainment plan. For Louisiana, the Baton Rouge area is currently classified as a "marginal" (rather than "severe") non-attainment area under the new standard with an attainment date of June 15, 2007. On March 21, 2008 the EPA published a notice that the Baton Rouge area had failed to meet the standard by the attainment date and was proceeding with a "bump-up" of the area to the next higher non-attainment level. The Baton Rouge area is now classified as "moderate" non-attainment under the new standard with an attainment date of June 15, 2010.

For Texas, the Beaumont-Port Arthur area was originally classified as a "marginal" (rather than "serious") non-attainment area under the new standard with an attainment date of June 15, 2007. On March 18, 2008 the EPA published a notice that the Beaumont-Port Arthur area had failed to meet the standard by the attainment date based on the area's 2004-2006 monitoring data and was proceeding with a "bump-up" of the area to the next higher non-attainment level. However, 2005-2007 monitoring data showed the area to be in attainment, and on July 9, 2008 the Texas environmental commission proposed a Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for EPA redesignation of the area from non-attainment to attainment under the 8-hour ozone standard.

The Houston-Galveston-Brazoria area was originally classified as "moderate" non-attainment under the new standard with an attainment date of June 15, 2010. On June 15, 2007, the Texas governor petitioned the EPA to reclassify Houston-Galveston-Brazoria from "moderate" to "severe." On December 31, 2007 the EPA proposed to reclassify the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria area to a severe nonattainment area for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard. On October 1, 2008 the EPA granted the request by the Governor of the State of Texas to voluntarily reclassify the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria ozone nonattainment area from a moderate 8-hour ozone nonattainment area to a severe 8-hour ozone nonattainment area. The EPA also set April 15, 2010, as the date for the State of Texas to submit a revised State Implementation Plan addressing the severe ozone nonattainment area requirements of the Clean Air Act. The reclassification is effective on October 31, 2008. The area's new attainment date for the 1997 eight-hour ozone standard is as expeditiously as practicable, but no later than June 15, 2019.

In December 2006, the EPA's revocation of the 1-hour ozone standard was rejected by the courts. As a result, numerous requirements can return for areas that fail to meet 1-hour ozone levels by dates set by the law. These requirements include the potential to increase fees significantly for plants operating in these areas. In addition, it is possible that new emission controls may be required. Specific costs of compliance cannot be estimated at this time, but Entergy is monitoring development of the respective state implementation plans and will develop specific compliance strategies as the plans move through the adoption process.

On March 12, 2008 the EPA reduced the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for ozone, which will in turn place additional counties and parishes in which Entergy operates in nonattainment status. States will develop state implementation plans that outline control requirements to enable these counties and parishes to reach attainment status. Entergy facilities in these areas will be subject to installation of NO_x controls, but the degree of control will not be known until the state implementation plans are developed. Entergy will monitor and be involved in the state implementation plans development process in states where Entergy has facilities.

Interstate Air Transport

In March 2005, the EPA finalized the Clean Air Interstate Rule, which would have reduced SO₂ and NO_x emissions from electric generation plants in order to address transport issues and improve air quality in 29 eastern states. The Clean Air Interstate Rule was vacated by the

U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit on July 11, 2008. The court found that the EPA failed to address basic obligations under the Clean Air Act's "good neighbor" provision regarding "upwind" states' contribution to air quality impairment in "downwind" states. Entergy is currently evaluating the impact of the D.C. Circuit's decision on both state plans to assure compliance with National Ambient Air Quality Standards and on the regional haze program, discussed below, because the regional haze program regulations rely in part on reductions expected to be gained through the Clean Air Interstate Rule program.

Regional Haze

In June 2005, the EPA issued final Best Available Retrofit Control Technology (BART) regulations, which could potentially result in a requirement to install SO₂ pollution control technology on certain of Entergy's coal and oil generation units. The rule leaves certain BART determinations to the states. The Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) has completed its State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Arkansas facilities to implement its obligations under the Clean Air Visibility Rule. The ADEQ has determined that Entergy Arkansas' White Bluff power plant affects Class I Area visibility and will be subject to the EPA's presumptive BART requirements to install scrubbers and low NO_x burners by 2013. Under current regulations, the scrubbers must be operational by September 2013. On October 2, 2008, Entergy Arkansas, along with the co-owners of the White Bluff, filed a Third Party Rulemaking Petition before the Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission (APCE) to modify Arkansas environmental Regulation 19 to coincide with the federal date for implementation, which is within 5 years after the EPA approves the Arkansas SIP. This action was considered necessary to ensure that Entergy Arkansas consumers do not assume any risk from early investment in control equipment that the EPA could consider inadequate subsequent to the close of capital purchase agreements. The APCE has initiated the rulemaking procedure (an action which does not indicate agreement or disagreement with Entergy Arkansas' position), and the proposed rule change will now be published for public notice and comment, followed by legislative committee review and a possible APCE decision on adoption or rejection. Currently, the project remains in the planning stages and has not been fully designed, but the latest conceptual cost estimate has gone up significantly from previous estimates due to increases in equipment, commodity, and labor costs. These estimates indicate that Entergy Arkansas' share of the project could cost approximately \$630 million compared to the \$375 million reported in the Form 10-K. Entergy continues to review potential environmental spending needs and financing alternatives for any such spending, and future spending estimates could change based on the results of this continuing analysis.

316(b) Cooling Water Intake Structures

Entergy's Non-Utility Nuclear business is currently in various stages of the data evaluation and discharge permitting process for its generation facilities. Indian Point is involved in an administrative permitting process with the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) for renewal of the Indian Point 2 and 3 discharge permits. In November 2003, the NYSDEC issued a draft permit indicating that closed cycle cooling would be considered the "best technology available" for minimizing perceived adverse environmental impacts attributable to the intake and discharge of cooling water at Indian Point 2 and 3. The draft permit would require Entergy to take certain steps to assess the feasibility of retrofitting the site to install cooling towers because Entergy has announced its intent to apply for NRC license renewal at Indian Point 2 and 3. The draft permit could also require, upon its becoming effective, the facilities to take an annual 42 unit-day outage (coordinated with the existing refueling outage schedule) and provide a payment into a NYSDEC account until the start of cooling tower construction. Entergy is participating in the administrative process in order to have the draft permit modified prior to final issuance and opposes any requirement to install cooling towers or to begin annual outages at Indian Point 2 and 3. Entergy notified the NYSDEC that the cost of retrofitting Indian Point 2 and 3 with cooling towers likely would cost, in 2003 dollars, at least \$740 million in capital costs and an additional \$630 million in lost generation during construction. Due to fluctuations in power pricing and because a retrofitting of this size and complexity has never been undertaken, significant uncertainties exist in these estimates. An August 13, 2008 ruling by the NYSDEC's Assistant Commissioner has restructured the permitting process. The NYSDEC is now likely to direct Entergy to develop detailed feasibility information regarding the construction and operation of cooling towers prior to the issuance of a

new draft permit. A comment period and further contested proceedings likely would follow.

161

In March 2008, the NYSDEC issued a draft water quality certification and a draft discharge permit for FitzPatrick, opening a 30-day public comment period on these documents. The certification, or a waiver or exemption of the same, is required by section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act as a supporting document to the NRC's license renewal decision. The discharge permit action is not related to the license renewal decision. The NYSDEC received comments on the draft documents from Entergy and from the public. In response, the NYSDEC issued a draft denial without prejudice of the certification and held hearings on both draft documents in July 2008. FitzPatrick, having filed a timely and complete application for permit renewal, has continued to operate under its administratively continued discharge permit. On July 16, 2008, negotiations with the state concerning issuance of these authorizations resulted in an agreement, memorialized in a stipulation executed by the state and Entergy on July 17, 2008. The agreement includes a voluntary commitment by Entergy to install ristroph screens and an initial fish return system during the next five-year permit cycle. Additionally, Entergy has agreed to conduct further studies regarding the feasibility and effectiveness of relocating the facility's offshore intake structure and of additional fish return technologies. The permit to be issued under the agreement requires that the NYSDEC initiate a permit modification (triggering Entergy's right to challenge) if the state decides to require the installation and operation of additional fish return technology. The NYSDEC issued the water permit as described above and issued the water quality certification. Additionally, the New York Department of State has issued the Coastal Zone Management consistency determination, also required for the NRC to complete the licensing process.

At the request of EPA Region 1, Entergy submitted extensive data to the agency in July 2008 concerning cooling water intake impacts at the Pilgrim facility. Analysis of what technologies may be appropriate for Pilgrim continues, but it appears at this point that cooling towers are not feasible due to restrictions in the plant's condenser design and capacity. Other technologies, such as variable speed pumps and the relocation of the cooling water intake, are under consideration if monitoring indicates that any action needs to be taken at the station.

Vermont Yankee Thermal Discharge Permit

Opposition groups appealed a final permit issued to Vermont Yankee pursuant to the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System in which the Vermont Agency of Natural Resources (VANR) allowed a small increase in the amount of heat the facility can discharge to the Connecticut River from June 16 to October 14 each year. The VANR permit amendment increases operational flexibility for the required usage rate of the existing cooling towers and for the generation rate of the facility that is especially helpful in conditions of high ambient temperatures or low river flow conditions. The trial of this matter took place in the Vermont Environmental Court during the summer of 2007. On May 22, 2008, the Vermont Environmental Court entered its judgment and order granting the increased thermal discharge provided in the amendment for the period from July 8 through October 14 each year but imposing additional management and measurement requirements with respect to the period from June 16 through July 7. Entergy generally accepts these additional requirements but it and VANR have requested clarification of certain aspects of the Court's order. The period for opposition groups to appeal the Court's judgment and order does not run while those requests for clarification are pending. A reversal on appeal would require that Vermont Yankee return to its previous thermal discharge permit limits with the loss of additional operational flexibility.

Indian Point 2 Hazardous Waste Remediation

As part of the effort to terminate the current Indian Point 2 mixed waste storage permit, Entergy was required to perform groundwater and soil sampling for metals, PCBs and other non-radiological contaminants on plant property, regardless of whether these contaminants stem from onsite activities or were related to the waste stored on-site pursuant to the permit. Entergy believes this permit is no longer necessary for the facility due to an exemption for mixed wastes (hazardous waste that is also radioactive) recently promulgated as part of the EPA's hazardous waste regulations. This exemption allows mixed waste to be regulated through the NRC license instead of through a separate EPA or state hazardous waste permit. In February 2008, Entergy submitted its report on this sampling effort to the NYSDEC. The report indicated the presence of various metals in soils at levels above the NYSDEC cleanup objectives. It does not appear that these metals are connected to operation of the nuclear facility. At the request of the NYSDEC, Entergy submitted a plan on August 8, 2008 for a study which will identify the

162

sources of the metals. The NYSDEC recently approved this workplan with some conditions related to the need to study whether the soil impact observed may have originated from plant construction materials. This issue is being studied by Entergy to determine if any changes to the workplan are necessary. The NYSDEC may require additional work to define the vertical and lateral extent of the contamination on-site, and evaluate any potential for migration off-site. The NYSDEC plans to use the results of this investigation to determine whether the permit can be terminated and the metals left in place until plant decommissioning or if further investigation and/or remediation are required. Entergy is unable to determine what the extent or cost of required remediation, if any, will be at this time.

Groundwater Contamination at Certain Nuclear Sites

The NRC requires nuclear power plants to regularly monitor and report the presence of radioactive material in the environment. Entergy joined other nuclear utilities and the Nuclear Energy Institute in 2006 to develop a voluntary groundwater monitoring and protection program. This initiative began after detection of very low levels of radioactive material, primarily tritium, in groundwater at several plants in the United States, including the Indian Point Energy Center. In addition to tritium, other radionuclides have been found in on site ground water at Indian Point.

As part of the groundwater monitoring and protection program, Entergy has: (1) performed reviews of plant groundwater characteristics (hydrology) and historical records of past events on site which may have potentially impacted groundwater; (2) implemented fleet procedures on how to handle events that could impact groundwater; and (3) installed groundwater monitoring wells and began periodic sampling. The program also includes protocols for notifying local officials if contamination is found.

Entergy identified two sources of the contamination at Indian Point. The first source is a historical leak from the Unit 2 spent fuel pool, which has been remediated. The other source was leakage from the Unit 1 spent fuel pool. Since the Unit 1 spent fuel pool has now been drained, Entergy expects no further migration of radionuclides into the groundwater. In October 2007, the EPA announced that it was consulting with the NRC and the NYSDEC regarding Indian Point. The EPA stated that after reviewing data it confirmed with New York State that there have been no violations of federal drinking water standards for radionuclides in drinking water supplies.

Indian Point has implemented an extensive groundwater monitoring and protection program, including installing approximately 35 monitoring wells, with five to six sampling points per well. Entergy has been working cooperatively with the NRC and NYSDEC in a split sample program to independently analyze test samples. Minor levels of tritium

have also been found at the Pilgrim and Palisades plants, and those sites are addressing these findings.

In April 2006, an environmental advocacy organization served a notice of intent to bring an environmental citizen's suit pursuant to the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) against Entergy. Notice of suit is required by RCRA sixty days before actual filing. The suit, if filed, will allege that Entergy violated an EPA regulation by failing formally to report the discovered release of radioactive material into the environment at Indian Point. It is expected that the environmental advocacy organization will ask the court to require Entergy formally to notify the EPA of the site condition, will seek to have the EPA formally involved in the ongoing site investigation and any required remediation, will seek attorney's fees under the statute, and may seek to have the judge impose statutory penalties. In response, the EPA stated that they had reviewed Entergy's investigation program and were satisfied that the program was protective of human health and the environment. To date, the advocacy group has not filed a complaint in the matter.

Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike

Recent damage sustained by Entergy Louisiana's and Entergy Texas' electrical transmission infrastructure due to the effects of Hurricane Gustav and Hurricane Ike necessitated that significant amounts of restoration work occur in areas classified as jurisdictional wetlands and coastal marsh. While measures were taken to minimize the impact in these environmentally-sensitive areas, some level of damage to the

163

wetland and marsh areas likely has occurred. Mitigation requirements for these possible impacts have yet to be assessed or required by regulatory authorities. Following Hurricane Katrina and Hurricane Rita, the regulatory authorities deferred assessing mitigation requirements for such impacts pending an evaluation of spontaneous recovery of the marsh and wetlands damaged during line repairs.

Nuclear Retention Plan

Effective January 1, 2009, Entergy Corporation elected to renew the participation in its Nuclear Retention Plan of one of its Named Executive Officers, Mr. Gary J. Taylor, the current Group President, Utility Operations, who previously served as Entergy's Executive Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer. The Nuclear Retention Plan (the "Plan") is a special retention plan for officers and other leaders with special expertise in the nuclear industry. Entergy established the plan to attract and retain management talent in the nuclear power field, a field that requires unique technical and other expertise that is in great demand in the utility industry. Mr. Taylor's continued participation in the plan will cover a three-year period beginning January 1, 2009. In January 2010, 2011 and 2012, Mr. Taylor will receive a cash bonus equal to 30 percent of his base salary as of January 1, 2009. If Mr. Taylor's participation in the Plan had not been renewed, his current participation would have ceased on December 2008 with Mr. Taylor receiving a final payout in January 2009 equal to 25% of his 2005 salary (his salary at the time of his enrollment in the plan). For more information about the Plan, see Exhibit 10(a)107 to the Form 10-K.

Earnings Ratios

(Entergy Arkansas, Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, Entergy Louisiana, Entergy Mississippi, Entergy New Orleans, Entergy Texas, and System Energy)

Edgar Filing: ENTERGY CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

The Registrant Subsidiaries have calculated ratios of earnings to fixed charges and ratios of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred dividends/distributions pursuant to Item 503 of Regulation S-K of the SEC as follows:

| | Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges Twelve Months Ended | | | | | September 30, 2008 |
|----------------------------------|--|------|----------------------|------|------|-----------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | December 31, 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | |
| Entergy Arkansas | 3.17 | 3.37 | 3.75 | 3.37 | 3.19 | 2.85 |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | 1.51 | 3.04 | 3.34 | 3.01 | 2.84 | 2.58 |
| Entergy Louisiana | 3.93 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.23 | 3.44 | 3.41 |
| Entergy Mississippi | 3.06 | 3.41 | 3.16 | 2.54 | 3.22 | 3.18 |
| Entergy New Orleans | 1.73 | 3.60 | 1.22 | 1.52 | 2.74 | 4.03 |
| Entergy Texas | 1.21 | 2.07 | 2.06 | 2.12 | 2.07 | 2.38 |
| System Energy | 3.66 | 3.95 | 3.85 | 4.05 | 3.95 | 3.57 |

| | Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends/Distributions Twelve Months Ended | | | | | September 30, 2008 |
|----------------------------------|--|------|----------------------|------|------|-----------------------|
| | 2003 | 2004 | December 31, 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | |
| Entergy Arkansas | 2.79 | 2.98 | 3.34 | 3.06 | 2.88 | 2.52 |
| Entergy Gulf States Louisiana | 1.45 | 2.90 | 3.18 | 2.90 | 2.73 | 2.53 |
| Entergy Louisiana | - | - | - | 2.90 | 3.08 | 3.03 |
| Entergy Mississippi | 2.77 | 3.07 | 2.83 | 2.34 | 2.97 | 2.91 |
| Entergy New Orleans | 1.59 | 3.31 | 1.12 | 1.35 | 2.54 | 3.71 |

164

Item 6. Exhibits *

- | | |
|---------|---|
| 4 (a) - | Sixty-fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2008, to the Entergy Louisiana, LLC Mortgage and Deed of Trust, dated as of April 1, 1944. |
| 10(a) - | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Entergy Holdings Company LLC dated as of July 29, 2008. |
| 12(a) - | Entergy Arkansas' Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined. |
| 12(b) - | Entergy Gulf States Louisiana's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Distributions, as defined. |

- 12(c) - Entergy Louisiana's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Distributions, as defined.
- 12(d) - Entergy Mississippi's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined.
- 12(e) - Entergy New Orleans' Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Dividends, as defined.
- 12(f) - Entergy Texas' Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges, as defined.
- 12(g) - System Energy's Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges, as defined.
- 31(a) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Corporation.
- 31(b) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Corporation.
- 31(c) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Arkansas.
- 31(d) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Arkansas.
- 31(e) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.
- 31(f) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.
- 31(g) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Louisiana.
- 31(h) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Louisiana.
- 31(i) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Mississippi.
- 31(j) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Mississippi.
- 31(k) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy New Orleans.
- 31(l) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy New Orleans.

31(m) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Texas.

31(n) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for Entergy Texas.

31(o) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for System Energy.

165

31(p) - Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification for System Energy.

32(a) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Corporation.

32(b) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Corporation.

32(c) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Arkansas.

32(d) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Arkansas.

32(e) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.

32(f) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Gulf States Louisiana.

32(g) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Louisiana.

32(h) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Louisiana.

32(i) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Mississippi.

32(j) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Mississippi.

32(k) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy New Orleans.

32(l) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy New Orleans.

32(m) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Texas.

32(n) - Section 1350 Certification for Entergy Texas.

32(o) - Section 1350 Certification for System Energy.

32(p) -

Section 1350 Certification for System Energy.

Pursuant to Item 601(b)(4)(iii) of Regulation S-K, Entergy Corporation agrees to furnish to the Commission upon request any instrument with respect to long-term debt that is not registered or listed herein as an Exhibit because the total amount of securities authorized under such agreement does not exceed ten percent of the total assets of Entergy Corporation and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis.

- * Reference is made to a duplicate list of exhibits being filed as a part of this report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008, which list, prepared in accordance with Item 102 of Regulation S-T of the SEC, immediately precedes the exhibits being filed with this report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008.
- ** Incorporated herein by reference as indicated.

166

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. The signature for each undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company or its subsidiaries.

ENTERGY CORPORATION
ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
ENTERGY GULF STATES LOUISIANA, L.L.C.
ENTERGY LOUISIANA, LLC
ENTERGY MISSISSIPPI, INC.
ENTERGY NEW ORLEANS, INC.
ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
SYSTEM ENERGY RESOURCES, INC.

_____/s/ Theodore H. Bunting, Jr.

Theodore H. Bunting, Jr.
Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer
(For each Registrant and for each as
Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: November 7, 2008

167