

ALABAMA POWER CO
Form 10-K
February 25, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K**

þ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2009
OR**

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Transition Period from to**

Commission File Number	Registrant, State of Incorporation, Address and Telephone Number	I.R.S. Employer Identification No.
1-3526	The Southern Company (A Delaware Corporation) 30 Ivan Allen Jr. Boulevard, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30308 (404) 506-5000	58-0690070
1-3164	Alabama Power Company (An Alabama Corporation) 600 North 18th Street Birmingham, Alabama 35291 (205) 257-1000	63-0004250
1-6468	Georgia Power Company (A Georgia Corporation) 241 Ralph McGill Boulevard, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30308 (404) 506-6526	58-0257110
0-2429	Gulf Power Company (A Florida Corporation) One Energy Place Pensacola, Florida 32520 (850) 444-6111	59-0276810
001-11229	Mississippi Power Company (A Mississippi Corporation) 2992 West Beach Gulfport, Mississippi 39501 (228) 864-1211	64-0205820
333-98553	Southern Power Company	58-2598670

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

(A Delaware Corporation)
30 Ivan Allen Jr. Boulevard, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
(404) 506-5000

Table of Contents

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:¹

Each of the following classes or series of securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

Title of each class		Registrant
Common Stock, \$5 par value		The Southern Company
 Class A preferred, cumulative, \$25 stated capital		 Alabama Power Company
5.20% Series	5.83% Series	
5.30% Series		
 Senior Notes		
5 5/8% Series AA	5.875% Series II	
5 7/8% Series GG	6.375% Series JJ	
5.875% Series 2007B		
 Class A Preferred Stock, non-cumulative, Par value \$25 per share		 Georgia Power Company
6 1/8% Series		
 Senior Notes		
5.90% Series O	6% Series R	5.70% Series X
5.75% Series T	6% Series W	5.75% Series G ²
6.375% Series 2007D	8.20% Series 2008C	
 Long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts, \$25 liquidation amount		
5 7/8% Trust Preferred Securities ³		
 Senior Notes		 Gulf Power Company
5.25% Series H	5.75% Series I	
5.875% Series J		

1 As of December 31, 2009.

2 Assumed by Georgia Power Company in connection with its merger with Savannah Electric and Power

Company,
effective July 1,
2006.

- 3 Issued by
Georgia Power
Capital Trust
VII and
guaranteed by
Georgia Power
Company.
-

Table of Contents

Senior Notes

**Mississippi Power
Company**

5 5/8% Series E

**Depository preferred shares, each representing one-fourth
of a share of preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value**

5.25% Series

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:⁴

Title of each class

Registrant

Preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value

**Alabama Power
Company**

4.20% Series

4.60% Series

4.72% Series

4.52% Series

4.64% Series

4.92% Series

Preferred stock, cumulative, \$100 par value

**Mississippi Power
Company**

4.40% Series

4.60% Series

4.72% Series

4 As of
December 31,
2009.

Table of Contents

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Registrant	Yes	No
The Southern Company	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Alabama Power Company	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Georgia Power Company	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Gulf Power Company		<input type="checkbox"/>
Mississippi Power Company		<input type="checkbox"/>
Southern Power Company		<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No (Response applicable to all registrants.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on their corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to submit and post such files). Yes No (Response applicable only to The Southern Company at this time.)

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrants' knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Registrant	Large Accelerated Filer	Accelerated Filer	Non-accelerated Filer	Smaller Reporting Company
The Southern Company	<input type="checkbox"/>			
Alabama Power Company			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Georgia Power Company			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Gulf Power Company			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Mississippi Power Company			<input type="checkbox"/>	
Southern Power Company			<input type="checkbox"/>	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No (Response applicable to all registrants.)

Table of Contents

Aggregate market value of The Southern Company's common stock held by non-affiliates of The Southern Company at June 30, 2009: \$24.8 billion. All of the common stock of the other registrants is held by The Southern Company. A description of each registrant's common stock follows:

Registrant	Description of Common Stock	Shares Outstanding at January 31, 2010
The Southern Company	Par Value \$5 Per Share	820,372,722
Alabama Power Company	Par Value \$40 Per Share	30,537,500
Georgia Power Company	Without Par Value	9,261,500
Gulf Power Company	Without Par Value	3,642,717
Mississippi Power Company	Without Par Value	1,121,000
Southern Power Company	Par Value \$0.01 Per Share	1,000

Documents incorporated by reference: specified portions of The Southern Company's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A relating to the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into PART III. In addition, specified portions of the Definitive Information Statements on Schedule 14C of Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, and Mississippi Power Company relating to each of their respective 2010 Annual Meetings of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into PART III.

Southern Power Company meets the conditions set forth in General Instructions I(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-K and is therefore filing this Form 10-K with the reduced disclosure format specified in General Instructions I(2)(b), (c), and (d) of Form 10-K.

This combined Form 10-K is separately filed by The Southern Company, Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, and Southern Power Company. Information contained herein relating to any individual company is filed by such company on its own behalf. Each company makes no representation as to information relating to the other companies.

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1</u>	I-1
<u>Business</u>	I-1
<u>The Southern Company System</u>	I-2
<u>Construction Programs</u>	I-4
<u>Financing Programs</u>	I-4
<u>Fuel Supply</u>	I-4
<u>Territory Served by the Traditional Operating Companies and Southern Power</u>	I-5
<u>Competition</u>	I-7
<u>Seasonality</u>	I-8
<u>Regulation</u>	I-8
<u>Rate Matters</u>	I-11
<u>Employee Relations</u>	I-15
<u>Item 1A</u>	I-16
<u>Risk Factors</u>	I-16
<u>Item 1B</u>	I-27
<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	I-27
<u>Item 2</u>	I-28
<u>Properties</u>	I-28
<u>Item 3</u>	I-32
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	I-32
<u>Item 4</u>	I-32
<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	I-32
<u>Executive Officers of Southern Company</u>	I-33
<u>Executive Officers of Alabama Power</u>	I-35
<u>Executive Officers of Georgia Power</u>	I-36
<u>Executive Officers of Mississippi Power</u>	I-37
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5</u>	II-1
<u>Market for Registrants' Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	II-1
<u>Item 6</u>	II-2
<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	II-2
<u>Item 7</u>	II-2
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	II-2
<u>Item 7A</u>	II-3
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	II-3
<u>Item 8</u>	II-4
<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	II-4
<u>Item 9</u>	II-5
<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	II-5
<u>Item 9A</u>	II-6
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	II-6
<u>Item 9A(T)</u>	II-6
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	II-6
<u>Item 9B</u>	II-7
<u>Other Information</u>	II-7
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10</u>	III-1
<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	III-1
<u>Item 11</u>	III-4
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	III-4
<u>Item 12</u>	III-40
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	III-40
<u>Item 13</u>	III-41
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	III-41
<u>Item 14</u>	III-42
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	III-42
<u>PART IV</u>	

Item 15

Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules
Signatures

IV-1
IV-2

i

Table of Contents

DEFINITIONS

When used in Items 1 through 5 and Items 9A through 15, the following terms will have the meanings indicated.

Term	Meaning
AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
Alabama Power	Alabama Power Company
AMEA	Alabama Municipal Electric Authority
Clean Air Act	Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990
Dalton	Dalton Utilities
DOE	United States Department of Energy
Duke Energy	Duke Energy Corporation
Energy Act of 1992	Energy Policy Act of 1992
Energy Act of 2005	Energy Policy Act of 2005
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FMPA	Florida Municipal Power Agency
FP&L	Florida Power & Light Company
Georgia Power	Georgia Power Company
Gulf Power	Gulf Power Company
Hampton	City of Hampton, Georgia
IBEW	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers
IIC	Intercompany Interchange Contract
IPP	Independent Power Producer
IRP	Integrated Resource Plan
IRS	Internal Revenue Service
KUA	Kissimmee Utility Authority
MEAG Power	Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia
Mirant	Mirant Corporation
Mississippi Power	Mississippi Power Company
Moody's	Moody's Investors Service
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
OPC	Oglethorpe Power Corporation
OUC	Orlando Utilities Commission
power pool	The operating arrangement whereby the integrated generating resources of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power are subject to joint commitment and dispatch in order to serve their combined load obligations
PowerSouth	PowerSouth Energy Cooperative (formerly, Alabama Electric Cooperative, Inc.)
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
Progress Energy Carolinas	Carolina Power & Light Company, d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.
Progress Energy Florida	Florida Power Corporation, d/b/a Progress Energy Florida, Inc.
PSC	Public Service Commission
registrants	The Southern Company, Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, Mississippi Power Company, and Southern Power Company

Table of Contents

DEFINITIONS

(continued)

Term	Meaning
RFP	Request for Proposal
RUS	Rural Utilities Service (formerly Rural Electrification Administration)
S&P	Standard and Poor's, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies
SCS	Southern Company Services, Inc. (the system service company)
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
SEGCO	Southern Electric Generating Company
SEPA	Southeastern Power Administration
SERC	Southeastern Electric Reliability Council
SMEPA	South Mississippi Electric Power Association
Southern Company	The Southern Company
Southern Company system	Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, SEGCO, Southern Nuclear, SCS, SouthernLINC Wireless, and other subsidiaries
Southern Holdings	Southern Company Holdings, Inc.
SouthernLINC Wireless	Southern Communications Services, Inc.
Southern Nuclear	Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.
Southern Power	Southern Power Company
Southern Renewable Energy	Southern Renewable Energy, Inc.
Stone & Webster	Stone & Webster, Inc.
traditional operating companies	Alabama Power Company, Georgia Power Company, Gulf Power Company, and Mississippi Power Company
TVA	Tennessee Valley Authority
Westinghouse	Westinghouse Electric Company LLC

Table of Contents

**CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING
FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION**

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning the strategic goals for the wholesale business, retail sales, customer growth, storm damage cost recovery and repairs, fuel cost recovery and other rate actions, environmental regulations and expenditures, earnings, dividend payout ratios, access to sources of capital, projections for postretirement benefit and nuclear decommissioning trust contributions, financing activities, start and completion of construction projects, plans and estimated costs for new generation resources, impacts of adoption of new accounting rules, potential exemptions from ad valorem taxation of the Kemper IGCC project, impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, impact of healthcare legislation, if any, estimated sales and purchases under new power sale and purchase agreements, and estimated construction and other expenditures. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as may, will, could, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurance that such indicated results will be realized. These factors include:

- the impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory change, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, environmental laws including regulation of water quality and emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, mercury, carbon, soot, particulate matter, or coal combustion byproducts and other substances, and also changes in tax and other laws and regulations to which Southern Company and its subsidiaries are subject, as well as changes in application of existing laws and regulations;

- current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings, or inquiries, including the pending EPA civil actions against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, FERC matters, IRS audits, and Mirant matters;

- the effects, extent, and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets in which Southern Company's subsidiaries operate;

- variations in demand for electricity, including those relating to weather, the general economy and recovery from the recent recession, population and business growth (and declines), and the effects of energy conservation measures; available sources and costs of fuels;

- effects of inflation;

- ability to control costs and avoid cost overruns during the development and construction of facilities;

- investment performance of Southern Company's employee benefit plans and nuclear decommissioning trusts;

- advances in technology;

- state and federal rate regulations and the impact of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including rate actions relating to fuel and other cost recovery mechanisms;

- regulatory approvals and actions related to the potential Plant Vogtle expansion, including Georgia PSC and NRC approvals and potential DOE loan guarantees;

- the performance of projects undertaken by the non-utility businesses and the success of efforts to invest in and develop new opportunities;

- internal restructuring or other restructuring options that may be pursued;

- potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed or beneficial to Southern Company or its subsidiaries;

- the ability of counterparties of Southern Company and its subsidiaries to make payments as and when due and to perform as required;

- the ability to obtain new short- and long-term contracts with wholesale customers;

- the direct or indirect effect on Southern Company's business resulting from terrorist incidents and the threat of terrorist incidents;

interest rate fluctuations and financial market conditions and the results of financing efforts, including Southern Company's and its subsidiaries' credit ratings;

the ability of Southern Company and its subsidiaries to obtain additional generating capacity at competitive prices;

catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, hurricanes, droughts, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences;

the direct or indirect effects on Southern Company's business resulting from incidents affecting the U.S. electric grid or operation of generating resources;

the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard setting bodies; and

other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports filed by the registrants from time to time with the SEC.

The registrants expressly disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

iv

Table of Contents**PART I****Item 1. BUSINESS**

Southern Company was incorporated under the laws of Delaware on November 9, 1945. Southern Company is domesticated under the laws of Georgia and is qualified to do business as a foreign corporation under the laws of Alabama. Southern Company owns all of the outstanding common stock of Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power, each of which is an operating public utility company. The traditional operating companies supply electric service in the states of Alabama, Georgia, Florida, and Mississippi. More particular information relating to each of the traditional operating companies is as follows:

Alabama Power is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Alabama on November 10, 1927, by the consolidation of a predecessor Alabama Power Company, Gulf Electric Company, and Houston Power Company. The predecessor Alabama Power Company had been in continuous existence since its incorporation in 1906.

Georgia Power was incorporated under the laws of the State of Georgia on June 26, 1930 and was admitted to do business in Alabama on September 15, 1948.

Gulf Power is a Florida corporation that has had a continuous existence since it was originally organized under the laws of the State of Maine on November 2, 1925. Gulf Power was admitted to do business in Florida on January 15, 1926, in Mississippi on October 25, 1976, and in Georgia on November 20, 1984. Gulf Power became a Florida corporation after being domesticated under the laws of the State of Florida on November 2, 2005.

Mississippi Power was incorporated under the laws of the State of Mississippi on July 12, 1972, was admitted to do business in Alabama on November 28, 1972, and effective December 21, 1972, by the merger into it of the predecessor Mississippi Power Company, succeeded to the business and properties of the latter company. The predecessor Mississippi Power Company was incorporated under the laws of the State of Maine on November 24, 1924 and was admitted to do business in Mississippi on December 23, 1924 and in Alabama on December 7, 1962.

In addition, Southern Company owns all of the common stock of Southern Power, which is also an operating public utility company. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market. Southern Power is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware on January 8, 2001 and was admitted to do business in the States of Alabama, Florida, and Georgia on January 10, 2001, in the State of Mississippi on January 30, 2001, and in the State of North Carolina on February 19, 2007.

Southern Company also owns all of the outstanding common stock or membership interests of SouthernLINC Wireless, Southern Nuclear, SCS, Southern Holdings, Southern Renewable Energy, and other direct and indirect subsidiaries. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and markets these services to the public and also provides wholesale fiber optic solutions to telecommunication providers in the Southeast. Southern Nuclear operates and provides services to Alabama Power's and Georgia Power's nuclear plants and is currently developing new nuclear generation at Plant Vogtle. SCS is the system service company providing, at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and its subsidiary companies. Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases. Southern Renewable Energy was formed in January 2010 to acquire, own, and construct renewable generation assets. Alabama Power and Georgia Power each own 50% of the outstanding common stock of SEGCO. SEGCO is an operating public utility company that owns electric generating units with an aggregate capacity of 1,019,680 kilowatts at Plant Gaston on the Coosa River near Wilsonville, Alabama. Alabama Power and Georgia Power are each entitled to one-half of SEGCO's capacity and energy. Alabama Power acts as SEGCO's agent in the operation of SEGCO's units and furnishes coal to SEGCO as fuel for its units. SEGCO also owns one 230,000 volt transmission line extending from Plant Gaston to the Georgia state line at which point connection is made with the Georgia Power

Table of Contents

transmission line system.

Southern Company's segment information is included in Note 12 to the financial statements of Southern Company in Item 8 herein.

The registrants' Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports are made available on Southern Company's website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. Southern Company's internet address is www.southerncompany.com.

The Southern Company System

Traditional Operating Companies

The traditional operating companies own generation, transmission, and distribution facilities. See PROPERTIES in Item 2 herein for additional information on the traditional operating companies' generating facilities. Each company's transmission facilities are connected to the respective company's own generating plants and other sources of power (including certain generating plants owned by Southern Power) and are interconnected with the transmission facilities of the other traditional operating companies and SEGCO. For information on the State of Georgia's integrated transmission system, see Territory Served by the Traditional Operating Companies and Southern Power herein.

Agreements in effect with principal neighboring utility systems provide for capacity and energy transactions that may be entered into from time to time for reasons related to reliability or economics. Additionally, the traditional operating companies have entered into voluntary reliability agreements with the subsidiaries of Entergy Corporation, Florida Electric Power Coordinating Group, and TVA and with Progress Energy Carolinas, Duke Energy, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, and Virginia Electric and Power Company, each of which provides for the establishment and periodic review of principles and procedures for planning and operation of generation and transmission facilities, maintenance schedules, load retention programs, emergency operations, and other matters affecting the reliability of bulk power supply. The traditional operating companies have joined with other utilities in the Southeast (including some of those referred to above) to form the SERC to augment further the reliability and adequacy of bulk power supply. Through the SERC, the traditional operating companies are represented on the National Electric Reliability Council.

The utility assets of the traditional operating companies and certain utility assets of Southern Power are operated as a single integrated electric system, or power pool, pursuant to the IIC. Activities under the IIC are administered by SCS, which acts as agent for the traditional operating companies and Southern Power. The fundamental purpose of the power pool is to provide for the coordinated operation of the electric facilities in an effort to achieve the maximum possible economies consistent with the highest practicable reliability of service. Subject to service requirements and other operating limitations, system resources are committed and controlled through the application of centralized economic dispatch. Under the IIC, each traditional operating company and Southern Power retains its lowest cost energy resources for the benefit of its own customers and delivers any excess energy to the power pool for use in serving customers of other traditional operating companies or Southern Power or for sale by the power pool to third parties. The IIC provides for the recovery of specified costs associated with the affiliated operations thereunder, as well as the proportionate sharing of costs and revenues resulting from power pool transactions with third parties. Southern Company, each traditional operating company, Southern Power, Southern Nuclear, SEGCO, and other subsidiaries have contracted with SCS to furnish, at direct or allocated cost and upon request, the following services: general and design engineering, purchasing, accounting and statistical analysis, finance and treasury, tax, information resources, marketing, auditing, insurance and pension administration, human resources, systems and procedures, digital wireless communications, and other services with respect to business and operations and power pool transactions. Southern Power and SouthernLINC Wireless have also secured from the traditional operating companies certain services which are furnished at cost and, in the case of Southern Power which is subject to FERC regulations, in compliance with such regulations.

Alabama Power and Georgia Power each have a contract with Southern Nuclear to operate Plant Farley and Plants

Table of Contents

Hatch and Vogtle, respectively. In addition, Georgia Power has a contract with Southern Nuclear to develop, construct, license, and operate additional generating units at Plant Vogtle. See Regulation Nuclear Regulation herein for additional information.

Southern Power

Southern Power is an electric wholesale generation subsidiary with market-based rate authority from the FERC. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based prices in the wholesale market. Southern Power's business activities are not subject to traditional state regulation like the traditional operating companies but are subject to regulation by the FERC. Southern Power has attempted to insulate itself from significant fuel supply, fuel transportation, and electric transmission risks by making such risks the responsibility of the counterparties to its PPAs. However, Southern Power's future earnings will depend on the parameters of the wholesale market, federal regulation, and the efficient operation of its wholesale generating assets. For additional information on Southern Power's business activities, see MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OVERVIEW Business Activities of Southern Power in Item 7 herein.

In June 2008, Southern Power completed construction on Plant Franklin Unit 3 which added 659 megawatts to the Southern Company system generating capacity. In December 2008, Southern Power announced plans to construct a 720 megawatt electric generating plant in North Carolina. This new plant is expected to go into commercial operation in 2012.

On October 8, 2009, Southern Power acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of Nacogdoches Power LLC from American Renewables LLC, the original developer of the project. Nacogdoches Power LLC is constructing a biomass generating plant in Sacul, Texas with an estimated capacity of 100 megawatts. The generating plant will be fueled from wood waste. Construction began in late 2009 and the plant is expected to begin commercial operation in 2012. The total estimated cost of the project is expected to be between \$475 million and \$500 million. The output of the plant is contracted under a PPA with Austin Energy that begins in 2012 and expires in 2032.

On December 17, 2009, Southern Power acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of West Georgia Generating Company, LLC (West Georgia) from Broadway Gen Funding, LLC, an affiliate of LS Power. West Georgia was merged into Southern Power as of the acquisition date and Southern Power now owns a dual-fueled generating plant near Thomaston, Georgia with nameplate capacity of approximately 669 megawatts. The plant consists of four combustion turbine natural gas generating units with oil back-up. The output from two units is contracted under PPAs with MEAG Power and the Georgia Energy Cooperative (GEC). The MEAG Power PPA began in 2009 and expires in 2029. The GEC PPA begins in 2010 and expires in 2030.

As of December 31, 2009, Southern Power had 7,880 megawatts of nameplate capacity in commercial operation.

Other Businesses

Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and markets its services to non-affiliates within the Southeast. SouthernLINC Wireless delivers multiple wireless communication options including push to talk, cellular service, text messaging, wireless internet access, and wireless data. Its system covers approximately 127,000 square miles in the Southeast. SouthernLINC Wireless also provides wholesale fiber optic solutions to telecommunication providers in the Southeast under the name Southern Telecom.

On January 25, 2010, Southern Renewable Energy was formed to acquire, own, and construct renewable generation assets.

These efforts to invest in and develop new business opportunities offer potential returns exceeding those of rate-regulated operations. However, these activities also involve a higher degree of risk.

Table of Contents**Construction Programs**

The subsidiary companies of Southern Company are engaged in continuous construction programs to accommodate existing and estimated future loads on their respective systems. For estimated construction and environmental expenditures for the periods 2010 through 2012, see Note 7 to the financial statements of Southern Company and each traditional operating company under Construction Program and Note 7 to the financial statements of Southern Power under Expansion Program in Item 8 herein. Estimated construction costs in 2010 are expected to be apportioned approximately as follows: (in millions)

	Southern Company System*	Alabama Power	Georgia Power	Gulf Power	Mississippi Power	Southern Power
New generation	\$2,188	\$	\$1,254	\$ 3	\$ 341	\$590
Environmental	545	136	259	113	11	
Other generating facilities, including associated plant substations	528	228	154	54	39	37
New business	435	169	218	25	23	
Transmission	461	119	265	45	32	
Distribution	290	137	110	25	18	
Nuclear fuel	258	111	147			
General plant	231	85	89	6	8	
	\$4,936	\$985	\$2,496	\$271	\$472	\$627

* These amounts include the traditional operating companies and Southern Power (as detailed in the table above) as well as the amounts for the other subsidiaries. See Other Businesses herein for additional information.

The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; changes in load projections; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in nuclear plants to meet new regulatory requirements; changes in FERC rules and regulations; PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered.

Under Georgia law, Georgia Power is required to file an IRP for approval by the Georgia PSC. Through the IRP process, the Georgia PSC must pre-certify the construction of new power plants and new PPAs. See **Rate Matters** **Integrated Resource Planning** herein for additional information.

See **Regulation** **Environmental Statutes and Regulations** herein for additional information with respect to certain existing and proposed environmental requirements and **PROPERTIES** **Jointly-Owned Facilities** in Item 2 herein for additional information concerning Alabama Power's, Georgia Power's, and Southern Power's joint ownership of certain generating units and related facilities with certain non-affiliated utilities.

Financing Programs

See each of the registrant's **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS** **FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY** in Item 7 herein and Note 6 to the financial statements of each registrant in Item 8 herein for information concerning financing programs.

Fuel Supply

The traditional operating companies' and SEGCO's supply of electricity is derived predominantly from coal. Southern Power's supply of electricity is primarily fueled by natural gas. See **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS** **RESULTS OF OPERATION** **Fuel and Purchased Power Expenses** of Southern Company and each traditional operating company in Item 7 herein for information regarding the electricity generated and the average cost of fuel in cents per net kilowatt-hour generated for the years 2007 through 2009.

Table of Contents

The traditional operating companies have agreements in place from which they expect to receive approximately 98% of their coal burn requirements in 2010. These agreements have terms ranging between one and eight years. In 2009, the weighted average sulfur content of all coal burned by the traditional operating companies was 74% sulfur. This sulfur level, along with banked and purchased sulfur dioxide allowances, allowed the traditional operating companies to remain within limits set by the Phase II acid rain requirements of the Clean Air Act. In 2009, the Southern Company system purchased approximately \$18.3 million of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions allowances to be used in current and future periods. As additional environmental regulations are proposed that impact the utilization of coal, the traditional operating companies' fuel mix will be monitored to ensure that the traditional operating companies remain in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Additionally, Southern Company and the traditional operating companies will continue to evaluate the need to purchase additional emissions allowances and the timing of capital expenditures for emissions control equipment. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Environmental Matters of Southern Company and each traditional operating company in Item 7 herein for information on the Clean Air Act and global climate issues.

SCS, acting on behalf of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power, has agreements in place for the natural gas burn requirements of the Southern Company system. For 2010, SCS has contracted for 207.5 billion cubic feet of natural gas supply under agreements with remaining terms up to 11 years. In addition to gas supply, SCS has contracts in place for both firm gas transportation and storage. Management believes that these contracts provide sufficient natural gas supplies, transportation, and storage to ensure normal operations of the Southern Company system's natural gas generating units.

Changes in fuel prices to the traditional operating companies are generally reflected in fuel adjustment clauses contained in rate schedules. See Rate Matters - Rate Structure and Cost Recovery Plans herein for additional information. Southern Power's PPAs generally provide that the counterparty is responsible for substantially all of the cost of fuel.

Alabama Power and Georgia Power have numerous contracts covering a portion of their nuclear fuel needs for uranium, conversion services, enrichment services, and fuel fabrication. These contracts have varying expiration dates and most of them are for less than 10 years. Management believes that sufficient capacity for nuclear fuel supplies and processing exists to preclude the impairment of normal operations of the Southern Company system's nuclear generating units.

Alabama Power and Georgia Power have contracts with the United States, acting through the DOE, that provide for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. The DOE failed to begin disposing of spent fuel in 1998, as required by the contracts, and Alabama Power and Georgia Power are pursuing legal remedies against the government for breach of contract. See Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Georgia Power under Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs in Item 8 herein for additional information.

Territory Served by the Traditional Operating Companies and Southern Power

The territory in which the traditional operating companies provide electric service comprises most of the states of Alabama and Georgia together with the northwestern portion of Florida and southeastern Mississippi. In this territory there are non-affiliated electric distribution systems which obtain some or all of their power requirements either directly or indirectly from the traditional operating companies. The territory has an area of approximately 120,000 square miles and an estimated population of approximately 13 million. Southern Power sells electricity at market-based prices in the wholesale market to investor-owned utilities, IPPs, municipalities, and electric cooperatives.

Alabama Power is engaged, within the State of Alabama, in the generation and purchase of electricity and the transmission, distribution, and sale of such electricity at retail in over 650 communities (including Anniston, Birmingham, Gadsden, Mobile, Montgomery, and Tuscaloosa), as well as in rural areas, and at wholesale to 15 municipally-owned electric distribution systems, 11 of which are served indirectly through sales to AMEA, and two rural distributing cooperative associations. Alabama Power owns coal reserves near its Plant Gorgas and uses the output of coal from the reserves in its generating plants. Alabama Power also sells, and cooperates with dealers in promoting the sale of, electric appliances.

Table of Contents

Georgia Power is engaged in the generation and purchase of electricity and the transmission, distribution, and sale of such electricity within the State of Georgia at retail in over 600 communities (including Athens, Atlanta, Augusta, Columbus, Macon, Rome, and Savannah), as well as in rural areas, and at wholesale currently to OPC, MEAG Power, Dalton, Hampton, and various electric membership corporations.

Gulf Power is engaged, within the northwestern portion of Florida, in the generation and purchase of electricity and the transmission, distribution, and sale of such electricity at retail in 71 communities (including Pensacola, Panama City, and Fort Walton Beach), as well as in rural areas, and at wholesale to a non-affiliated utility and a municipality.

Mississippi Power is engaged in the generation and purchase of electricity and the transmission, distribution, and sale of such electricity within 23 counties in southeastern Mississippi, at retail in 123 communities (including Biloxi, Gulfport, Hattiesburg, Laurel, Meridian, and Pascagoula), as well as in rural areas, and at wholesale to one municipality, six rural electric distribution cooperative associations, and one generating and transmitting cooperative. For information relating to kilowatt-hour sales by customer classification for the traditional operating companies, see MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - RESULTS OF OPERATIONS of each traditional operating company in Item 7 herein. Also, for information relating to the sources of revenues for Southern Company, each traditional operating company, and Southern Power, reference is made to Item 7 herein.

The RUS has authority to make loans to cooperative associations or corporations to enable them to provide electric service to customers in rural sections of the country. There are 71 electric cooperative organizations operating in the territory in which the traditional operating companies provide electric service at retail or wholesale.

One of these organizations, PowerSouth, is a generating and transmitting cooperative selling power to several distributing cooperatives, municipal systems, and other customers in south Alabama and northwest Florida.

PowerSouth owns generating units with approximately 1,776 megawatts of nameplate capacity, including an undivided 8.16% ownership interest in Alabama Power's Plant Miller Units 1 and 2. PowerSouth's facilities were financed with RUS loans secured by long-term contracts requiring distributing cooperatives to take their requirements from PowerSouth to the extent such energy is available.

Alabama Power and Gulf Power have entered into separate agreements with PowerSouth involving interconnection between their respective systems. The delivery of capacity and energy from PowerSouth to certain distributing cooperatives in the service areas of Alabama Power and Gulf Power is governed by the Southern Company/PowerSouth Network Transmission Service Agreement. The rates for this service to PowerSouth are on file with the FERC. See PROPERTIES - Jointly-Owned Facilities in Item 2 herein for details of Alabama Power's joint-ownership with PowerSouth of a portion of Plant Miller.

Four electric cooperative associations, financed by the RUS, operate within Gulf Power's service area. These cooperatives purchase their full requirements from PowerSouth and SEPA (a federal power marketing agency). A non-affiliated utility also operates within Gulf Power's service area and purchases its full requirements from Gulf Power.

Mississippi Power has an interchange agreement with SMEPA, a generating and transmitting cooperative, pursuant to which various services are provided, including the furnishing of protective capacity by Mississippi Power to SMEPA. There are also 65 municipally-owned electric distribution systems operating in the territory in which the traditional operating companies provide electric service at retail or wholesale.

Forty-eight municipally-owned electric distribution systems and one county-owned system receive their requirements through MEAG Power, which was established by a Georgia state statute in 1975. MEAG Power serves these requirements from self-owned generation facilities, some of which are acquired and jointly-owned with Georgia Power, power purchased from Georgia Power, and purchases from other resources. MEAG Power also has a pseudo

Table of Contents

scheduling and services agreement with Georgia Power. Dalton serves its requirements from self-owned generation facilities, some of which are acquired and jointly-owned with Georgia Power, and through purchases from Georgia Power and Southern Power through a service agreement. In addition, Georgia Power serves the full requirements of Hampton's electric distribution system under a market-based contract. See PROPERTIES Jointly-Owned Facilities in Item 2 herein for additional information.

Georgia Power has entered into substantially similar agreements with Georgia Transmission Corporation (formerly OPC's transmission division), MEAG Power, and Dalton providing for the establishment of an integrated transmission system to carry the power and energy of all parties. The agreements require an investment by each party in the integrated transmission system in proportion to its respective share of the aggregate system load. See PROPERTIES Jointly-Owned Facilities in Item 2 herein for additional information.

Southern Power has PPAs with some of the traditional operating companies and with other investor-owned utilities, IPPs, municipalities, and electric cooperatives. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL Power Sales Agreements of Southern Power in Item 7 herein for additional information concerning Southern Power's PPAs.

SCS, acting on behalf of the traditional operating companies, also has a contract with SEPA providing for the use of the traditional operating companies' facilities at government expense to deliver to certain cooperatives and municipalities, entitled by federal statute to preference in the purchase of power from SEPA, quantities of power equivalent to the amounts of power allocated to them by SEPA from certain United States government hydroelectric projects.

The retail service rights of all electric suppliers in the State of Georgia are regulated by the Territorial Electric Service Act of 1973. Pursuant to the provisions of this Act, all areas within existing municipal limits were assigned to the primary electric supplier therein. Areas outside of such municipal limits were either to be assigned or to be declared open for customer choice of supplier by action of the Georgia PSC pursuant to standards set forth in this Act. Consistent with such standards, the Georgia PSC has assigned substantially all of the land area in the state to a supplier. Notwithstanding such assignments, this Act provides that any new customer locating outside of 1973 municipal limits and having a connected load of at least 900 kilowatts may exercise a one-time choice for the life of the premises to receive electric service from the supplier of its choice. See Competition herein for additional information.

Pursuant to the 1956 Utility Act, the Mississippi PSC issued Grandfather Certificates of public convenience and necessity to Mississippi Power and to six distribution rural cooperatives operating in southeastern Mississippi, then served in whole or in part by Mississippi Power, authorizing them to distribute electricity in certain specified geographically described areas of the state. The six cooperatives serve approximately 325,000 retail customers in a certificated area of approximately 10,300 square miles. In areas included in a Grandfather Certificate, the utility holding such certificate may, without further certification, extend its lines up to five miles; other extensions within that area by such utility, or by other utilities, may not be made except upon a showing of, and a grant of a certificate of, public convenience and necessity. Areas included in such a certificate which are subsequently annexed to municipalities may continue to be served by the holder of the certificate, irrespective of whether it has a franchise in the annexing municipality. On the other hand, the holder of the municipal franchise may not extend service into such newly annexed area without authorization by the Mississippi PSC.

Competition

The electric utility industry in the United States is continuing to evolve as a result of regulatory and competitive factors. Among the early primary agents of change was the Energy Act of 1992 which allowed IPPs to access a utility's transmission network in order to sell electricity to other utilities.

The competition for retail energy sales among competing suppliers of energy is influenced by various factors, including price, availability, technological advancements, service, and reliability. These factors are, in turn, affected by, among other influences, regulatory, political, and environmental considerations, taxation, and supply.

Generally, the traditional operating companies have experienced, and expect to continue to experience, competition

Table of Contents

in their respective retail service territories in varying degrees as the result of self-generation (as described below) by customers and other factors. See also Territory Served by the Traditional Operating Companies and Southern Power herein for additional information concerning suppliers of electricity operating within or near the areas served at retail by the traditional operating companies.

Southern Power competes with investor owned utilities, IPPs, and others for wholesale energy sales primarily in the Southeastern United States wholesale market. The needs of this market are driven by the demands of end users in the Southeast and the generation available. Southern Power's success in wholesale energy sales is influenced by various factors including reliability and availability of Southern Power's plants, availability of transmission to serve the demand, price, and Southern Power's ability to contain costs.

Alabama Power currently has cogeneration contracts in effect with 11 industrial customers. Under the terms of these contracts, Alabama Power purchases excess generation of such companies. During 2009, Alabama Power purchased approximately 232 million kilowatt-hours from such companies at a cost of \$16.5 million.

Georgia Power currently has contracts in effect with nine small power producers whereby Georgia Power purchases their excess generation. During 2009, Georgia Power purchased 14.7 million kilowatt-hours from such companies at a cost of \$0.6 million. Georgia Power has PPAs for electricity with two cogeneration facilities. Payments are subject to reductions for failure to meet minimum capacity output. During 2009, Georgia Power purchased 42.3 million kilowatt-hours at a cost of \$19.7 million from these facilities.

Also during 2009, Georgia Power purchased energy from eight customer-owned generating facilities. Seven of the eight customers provide only energy to Georgia Power. These seven customers make no capacity commitment and are not dispatched by Georgia Power. Georgia Power does have a contract with the remaining customer for eight megawatts of dispatchable capacity and energy. During 2009, Georgia Power purchased a total of 56.3 million kilowatt-hours from the eight customers at a cost of approximately \$1.9 million.

Gulf Power currently has agreements in effect with various industrial, commercial, and qualifying facilities pursuant to which Gulf Power purchases as available energy from customer-owned generation. During 2009, Gulf Power purchased 76 million kilowatt-hours from such companies for approximately \$4.3 million.

Mississippi Power currently has a cogeneration agreement in effect with one of its industrial customers. Under the terms of this contract, Mississippi Power purchases any excess generation. During 2009, Mississippi Power did not purchase any excess generation from this customer.

Seasonality

The demand for electric power generation is affected by seasonal differences in the weather. At the traditional operating companies and Southern Power, the demand for power peaks during the summer months, with market prices reflecting the demand of power and available generating resources at that time. Power demand peaks can also be recorded during the winter. As a result, the overall operating results of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power in the future may fluctuate substantially on a seasonal basis. In addition, Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power have historically sold less power when weather conditions are milder.

Regulation

State Commissions

The traditional operating companies are subject to the jurisdiction of their respective state PSCs. The PSCs have broad powers of supervision and regulation over public utilities operating in the respective states, including their rates, service regulations, sales of securities (except for the Mississippi PSC), and, in the cases of the Georgia PSC and the Mississippi PSC, in part, retail service territories. See Territory Served by the Traditional Operating Companies and Southern Power and Rate Matters herein for additional information.

Table of Contents**Federal Power Act**

The traditional operating companies, Southern Power and its generation subsidiaries, and SEGCO are all public utilities engaged in wholesale sales of energy in interstate commerce and therefore are subject to the rate, financial, and accounting jurisdiction of the FERC under the Federal Power Act. The FERC must approve certain financings and allows an at cost standard for services rendered by system service companies such as SCS. The FERC is also authorized to establish regional reliability organizations which are authorized to enforce reliability standards, to address impediments to the construction of transmission, and to prohibit manipulative energy trading practices. Alabama Power and Georgia Power are also subject to the provisions of the Federal Power Act or the earlier Federal Water Power Act applicable to licensees with respect to their hydroelectric developments. Among the hydroelectric projects subject to licensing by the FERC are 14 existing Alabama Power generating stations having an aggregate installed capacity of 1,662,400 kilowatts and 18 existing Georgia Power generating stations having an aggregate installed capacity of 1,087,296 kilowatts.

In May 2008, the FERC issued a new 30-year license for the Morgan Falls project, located on the Chattahoochee River near Atlanta, with an effective start date of March 1, 2009. In 2007, Georgia Power began the relicensing process for Bartlett's Ferry which is located on the Chattahoochee River near Columbus, Georgia. The current Bartlett's Ferry license expires in 2014 and the application for a new license is expected to be submitted to the FERC in 2012. In July 2005, Alabama Power filed two applications with the FERC for new 50-year licenses for its seven hydroelectric developments on the Coosa River (Weiss, Henry, Logan Martin, Lay, Mitchell, Jordan, and Bouldin) and for the Lewis Smith and Bankhead developments on the Warrior River. The FERC licenses for all of these nine developments expired in July and August 2007. The FERC issued an annual license for the Coosa developments in August 2007 and issued an annual license for the Warrior developments in September 2007. Both of these licenses were automatically renewed in 2008 and 2009 pursuant to FERC regulations. These annual licenses provide the FERC with additional time to complete its review of the license applications. In 2006, Alabama Power initiated the process of developing an application to relicense the Martin hydroelectric project located on the Tallapoosa River. The current Martin license will expire in 2013 and the application for a new license is expected to be filed with the FERC in 2011. In 2010, Alabama Power plans to initiate the process of developing an application to relicense the Holt hydroelectric project located on Warrior River. The current Holt license will expire in August 2015 and the application for a new license is expected to be filed prior to that time. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - FERC Matters of Alabama Power in Item 7 herein for additional information. Georgia Power and OPC also have a license, expiring in 2027, for the Rocky Mountain Plant, a pure pumped storage facility of 847,800 kilowatt capacity. See PROPERTIES - Jointly-Owned Facilities in Item 2 herein for additional information.

Licenses for all projects, excluding those discussed above, expire in the period 2023-2034 in the case of Alabama Power's projects and in the period 2014-2039 in the case of Georgia Power's projects.

Upon or after the expiration of each license, the United States Government, by act of Congress, may take over the project or the FERC may relicense the project either to the original licensee or to a new licensee. In the event of takeover or relicensing to another, the original licensee is to be compensated in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Power Act, such compensation to reflect the net investment of the licensee in the project, not in excess of the fair value of the property, plus reasonable damages to other property of the licensee resulting from the severance therefrom of the property. If the FERC does not act on the new license application prior to the expiration of the existing license, the FERC is required to issue annual licenses, under the same terms and conditions of the existing license, until a new license is issued.

Nuclear Regulation

Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Southern Nuclear are subject to regulation by the NRC. The NRC is responsible for licensing and regulating nuclear facilities and materials and for conducting research in support of the licensing and regulatory process, as mandated by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; and the Nuclear Nonproliferation Act of 1978; and in accordance with the

Table of Contents

National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and other applicable statutes. These responsibilities also include protecting public health and safety, protecting the environment, protecting and safeguarding nuclear materials and nuclear power plants in the interest of national security, and assuring conformity with antitrust laws.

In January 2002, the NRC granted Georgia Power a 20-year extension of the licenses for both units at Plant Hatch which permits the operation of units 1 and 2 until 2034 and 2038, respectively. In May 2005, the NRC granted Alabama Power a 20-year extension of the licenses for both units at Plant Farley which permits operation of units 1 and 2 until 2037 and 2041, respectively. On June 3, 2009, the NRC approved 20-year extensions of the licenses for the operation of Plant Vogtle Units 1 and 2 to 2047 and 2049, respectively.

On August 26, 2009, the NRC issued an Early Site Permit and Limited Work Authorization to Southern Nuclear, on behalf of Georgia Power, OPC, MEAG Power, and Dalton (collectively, Owners), related to two additional nuclear units on the site of Plant Vogtle (Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4). In March 2008, Southern Nuclear filed an application with the NRC for a combined construction and operating license for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4, which, if licensed by the NRC, are scheduled to be placed in service in 2016 and 2017, respectively. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Construction Nuclear of Georgia Power in Item 7 herein and Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company under Retail Regulatory Matters - Georgia Power Nuclear Construction and Georgia Power under Construction Nuclear in Item 8 herein for additional information. See Notes 1 and 9 to the financial statements of Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Georgia Power in Item 8 herein for information on nuclear decommissioning costs and nuclear insurance.

Environmental Statutes and Regulations

Southern Company's operations are subject to extensive regulation by state and federal environmental agencies under a variety of statutes and regulations governing environmental media, including air, water, and land resources.

Compliance with these existing environmental requirements involves significant capital and operating costs, a major portion of which is expected to be recovered through existing ratemaking provisions or market-based rates for Southern Power. There is no assurance, however, that all such costs will be recovered.

Compliance with the federal Clean Air Act and resulting regulations has been, and will continue to be, a significant focus for Southern Company, each traditional operating company, Southern Power, and SEGCO. In addition, existing environmental laws and regulations may be changed or new laws and regulations may be adopted or otherwise become applicable to Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, or SEGCO, including laws and regulations designed to address global climate change, air quality, water quality, management of waste materials and coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, or other environmental, public health, and welfare concerns. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL

Environmental Matters of Southern Company and each of the traditional operating companies in Item 7 herein for additional information about the Clean Air Act and other environmental issues, including, but not limited to, the litigation brought by the EPA under the New Source Review provisions of the Clean Air Act, possible additional and/or revised regulations related to air and water quality, possible climate change legislation and regulation, and possible regulation of coal combustion byproducts. Also see MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Environmental Matters of Southern Power in Item 7 herein for information about the environmental issues and possible climate change legislation and regulation.

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and SEGCO are unable to predict at this time what additional steps they may be required to take as a result of the implementation of existing or future requirements pertaining to climate change, air quality, water quality, and management of waste materials and coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, but such steps could adversely affect system operations and result in substantial additional costs.

The outcome of the matters mentioned above under Regulation cannot now be determined, except that these developments may affect unit retirement and replacement decisions and may result in delays in obtaining appropriate licenses for generating facilities, increased construction and operating costs, or reduced generation, the nature and extent of which, while not determinable at this time, could be substantial.

Table of Contents**Rate Matters****Rate Structure and Cost Recovery Plans**

The rates and service regulations of the traditional operating companies are uniform for each class of service throughout their respective service areas. Rates for residential electric service are generally of the block type based upon kilowatt-hours used and include minimum charges. Residential and other rates contain separate customer charges. Rates for commercial service are presently of the block type and, for large customers, the billing demand is generally used to determine capacity and minimum bill charges. These large customers' rates are generally based upon usage by the customer and include rates with special features to encourage off-peak usage. Additionally, Alabama Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power are generally allowed by their respective state PSCs to negotiate the terms and cost of service to large customers. Such terms and cost of service, however, are subject to final state PSC approval.

Fuel and net purchased energy costs are recovered through specific fuel cost recovery provisions at the traditional operating companies. These fuel cost recovery provisions are adjusted to reflect increases or decreases in such costs as needed. Gulf Power's and Mississippi Power's fuel cost recovery provisions are adjusted annually to reflect increases or decreases in such costs. Georgia Power filed for an adjustment to its fuel cost recovery rate on December 15, 2009. If approved by the Georgia PSC, the adjustment would be effective on April 1, 2010. Alabama Power's fuel clause is adjusted as required. Revenues are adjusted for differences between recoverable costs and amounts actually recovered in current rates.

Approved environmental compliance and storm damage costs are recovered at Alabama Power and Mississippi Power through cost recovery provisions approved by their respective state PSCs. Within limits approved by their respective PSCs, these rates are adjusted to reflect increases or decreases in such costs as required.

Georgia Power's environmental compliance costs are recovered in base rates. Under the 2007 retail rate plan, an environmental compliance cost recovery tariff was implemented effective January 1, 2008 to allow recovery of environmental costs mandated by state and federal regulation. See Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Retail Rate Plans and Georgia Power under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate Plans in Item 8 herein for additional information.

See Integrated Resource Planning herein for a discussion of Georgia PSC certification of new demand-side or supply-side resources for Georgia Power. In addition, see MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL Construction Nuclear of Georgia Power in Item 7 herein and Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Nuclear Construction and Georgia Power under Construction Nuclear in Item 8 herein for a discussion of the Georgia Nuclear Financing Act and the Georgia PSC certification of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4, which allow Georgia Power to recover financing costs for construction of the new nuclear units during the construction period beginning in 2011.

Alabama Power recovers the cost of certificated new plant and purchased power capacity through cost recovery provisions which are approved annually. Gulf Power files a rate clause request annually with the Florida PSC to recover costs associated with purchased power capacity, energy conservation, and environmental compliance.

Revenues are adjusted for differences between recoverable costs and amounts actually recovered in current rates. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters of Southern Company and each of the traditional operating companies in Item 7 herein and Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company under Retail Regulatory Matters and Note 3 to the financial statements of each of the traditional operating companies under Retail Regulatory Matters in Item 8 herein for a discussion of rate matters. Also, see Note 1 to the financial statements of Southern Company and each of the traditional operating companies in Item 8 herein for a discussion of recovery of fuel costs, storm damage costs, and environmental compliance costs through rates.

The traditional operating companies and Southern Power are authorized by the FERC to sell power to non-affiliates, including short-term opportunity sales, at market-based prices. Specific FERC approval must be obtained with respect to a market-based contract with an affiliate.

Table of Contents**Integrated Resource Planning*****Georgia Power***

Triennially, Georgia Power must file an IRP with the Georgia PSC that specifies how it intends to meet the future electrical needs of its customers through a combination of demand-side and supply-side resources. The Georgia PSC, under state law, must certify any new demand-side or supply-side resources for Georgia Power to get cost recovery. Once certified, the lesser of actual or certified construction costs and purchased power costs will be recoverable through rates.

On August 31, 2009, Georgia Power filed with the Georgia PSC its first semi-annual construction monitoring report for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 for the period ended June 30, 2009, which did not include any proposed change to the estimated construction cost as certified by the Georgia PSC in March 2009. On February 25, 2010, the Georgia PSC approved the expenditures made by Georgia Power pursuant to the certification through June 30, 2009. The Georgia PSC also ordered that in its future semi-annual construction monitoring reports, Georgia Power will report against a total certified cost of approximately \$6.1 billion, which is the effective certified amount after giving effect to the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act. Georgia Power will continue to file construction monitoring reports by February 28 and August 31 of each year during the construction period.

In connection with its approval of the updated IRP on March 17, 2009, the Georgia PSC also approved Georgia Power's plan for the installation of emissions controls at its Plant Branch Units 1-4 and Plant Yates Units 6 and 7. However, Georgia Power has suspended further engineering and construction activity on the emissions control projects at Plant Branch Units 1 and 2 and Plant Yates Units 6 and 7 until more information is available from the rulemaking and legislative process, thereby mitigating the risk related to significant capital expenditures associated with those projects. Georgia Power continues to review the economic feasibility of installing controls at Plant Branch Units 3 and 4. Georgia Power intends to continue to operate these units in the near term and reevaluate the economics of installing emissions controls on these units as more information becomes available.

Georgia Power plans to convert the 155-megawatt coal-fired Plant Mitchell Unit 3 to a renewable biomass facility fueled primarily with wood chips. Georgia Power filed a request for approval of the certification of the Plant Mitchell biomass conversion with the Georgia PSC in August 2008. On March 17, 2009, the Georgia PSC approved Georgia Power's request for certification of the Plant Mitchell biomass conversion. Georgia Power filed an air permit application for the conversion with the Georgia Environmental Protection Division in December 2008. Georgia Power expects to be granted an air permit in 15 to 18 months from the filing date. With the uncertainty of how future EPA regulations might affect allowable industrial boiler emissions, Georgia Power has decided to delay the conversion of Plant Mitchell Unit 3 to biomass until the EPA rules are better defined, which is expected in April 2010. Georgia Power had originally planned to begin retrofit construction at Plant Mitchell in April 2011 with the unit becoming operational in June 2012. A new project schedule has yet to be determined.

On January 29, 2010, Georgia Power filed its 2010 IRP for approval by the Georgia PSC. The 2010 IRP projected that Georgia Power's current supply-side and demand-side resources are sufficient to provide a cost effective and reliable source of capacity and energy at least through 2014. The 2010 IRP identifies potential regulations relating to coal combustion byproducts and maximum achievable control technology for hazardous air pollutants, as well as potential legislation or regulations that would impose mandatory restrictions on greenhouse gas emissions. See **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Environmental Matters - Environmental Statutes and Regulations - Air Quality, Environmental Matters - Environmental Statutes and Regulations - Coal Combustion Byproducts, and Environmental Matters - Global Climate Issues** of Georgia Power in Item 7 herein. While neither proposed nor final EPA regulations have been released at this time with respect to hazardous air pollutants or coal combustion byproducts, Georgia Power currently estimates that compliance would be required by about January 2015. The 2010 IRP includes preliminary retirement studies under a variety of potential scenarios for units at seven of Georgia Power's coal-fired generating plants. These studies indicated that, depending on the final requirements in both of these anticipated EPA regulations and any legislation or regulation relating to greenhouse gas emissions, as well as estimates of long-term fuel prices, Georgia Power may conclude that it is more economical to retire certain coal-fired generating units than to install the required controls and/or that Georgia Power may not be able to complete installation of required controls on all such units by 2015 where such installation is determined to be

more economical. Given the uncertainty and the amount of capacity at

I-12

Table of Contents

risk of retirement, Georgia Power has restarted its 2015 RFP for 1,000 megawatts of capacity and energy. However, Georgia Power's capacity needs could change significantly depending on the final requirements resulting from these environmental regulations.

The Georgia PSC certified the construction of Plant McDonough Units 4, 5, and 6 (natural gas-fired units) and the retirement of Plant McDonough Units 1 and 2 (coal-fired units) in 2007. On August 10, 2009, Georgia Power filed its quarterly construction monitoring report for Plant McDonough Units 4, 5, and 6 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. On September 30, 2009, Georgia Power amended the report. As amended, the report includes a request for an increase in the certified costs to construct Plant McDonough. The Georgia PSC held a hearing in December 2009 and is scheduled to render its decision on March 16, 2010.

The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

See Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company and Georgia Power in Item 8 herein for additional information regarding the proposed Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

Gulf Power

Annually by April 1, Gulf Power must file a 10-year site plan with the Florida PSC containing Gulf Power's estimate of its power-generating needs in the period and the general location of its proposed power plant sites. The 10-year site plans submitted by the state's electric utilities are reviewed by the Florida PSC and subsequently classified as either suitable or unsuitable. The Florida PSC then reports its findings along with any suggested revisions to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection for its consideration at any subsequent electrical power plant site certification proceedings. Under Florida law, any 10-year site plans submitted by an electric utility are considered tentative information for planning purposes only and may be amended at any time at the discretion of the utility with written notification to the Florida PSC. At least every five years, the Florida PSC must conduct proceedings to establish numerical goals for all investor-owned electric utilities and certain municipal or cooperative electric utilities in the state to reduce the growth rates of weather-sensitive peak demand, to reduce and control the growth rates of electric consumption, and to increase the conservation of expensive resources, such as petroleum fuels. Overall residential kilowatts and kilowatt hours goals and overall commercial/industrial kilowatt and kilowatt hours goals for each utility are set by the Florida PSC for each year over a 10-year period. The goals are to be based on an estimate of the total cost effective kilowatts and kilowatt hours savings reasonably achievable through demand-side management in each utility's service area over a 10-year period. Once goals have been set, each affected utility must develop and submit plans and programs to meet the overall goals within its service area to the Florida PSC for review and approval. Once approved, the utilities are required to submit periodic reports which the Florida PSC then uses to prepare its annual report to the Governor and Legislature of the goals that have been established and the progress towards meeting those goals.

Gulf Power's most recent 10-year site plan was classified by the Florida PSC as suitable in December 2009. Gulf Power's most recent 10-year site plan and environmental compliance plan identify potential environmental regulations relating to maximum achievable control technology for hazardous air pollutants and potential legislation or regulation that would impose mandatory restrictions on greenhouse gas emissions. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL Environmental Matters Environmental Statutes and Regulations Air Quality, Environmental Matters Environmental Statutes and Regulations Coal Combustion Byproducts, and Environmental Matters Global Climate Issues of Gulf Power in Item 7 herein. The site plan and environmental compliance plan include preliminary retirement studies under a variety of potential scenarios for units at each of Gulf Power's coal-fired generating plants. These studies indicate that, depending on the final requirements in these anticipated EPA regulations and any legislation or regulations relating to greenhouse gas emissions, as well as estimates of long-term fuel prices, Gulf Power may conclude that it is more economical to retire certain of its coal-fired generating units prior to 2020 and to replace such units with new or purchased capacity.

Also in December 2009, the Florida PSC adopted new numerical conservation goals for Gulf Power along with other electric utilities in the state. The Florida PSC adopted more aggressive goals due in part to the consideration of possible greenhouse gas emissions costs incurred in connection with possible climate change legislation and a change in the manner in which the Florida PSC considers the effect of so-called free-riders on the level of

Table of Contents

conservation reasonably achievable through utility programs. Gulf Power's plans and programs to meet the new goals are scheduled to be submitted to the Florida PSC for review by the end of the first quarter 2010. The costs of implementing Gulf Power's conservation plans and programs are recovered through specific conservation recovery rates set annually by the Florida PSC.

The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Mississippi Power

On December 7, 2009, Mississippi Power filed its 2010 IRP with the Mississippi PSC. The filing was made in connection with the Mississippi PSC certification proceedings relating to the proposed Kemper County IGCC project. In the 2010 IRP, Mississippi Power projected that it will have a need for new capacity in the 2013 to 2015 timeframe. The 2010 IRP indicated a need range of approximately 200 megawatts to 300 megawatts in 2014, which reflects growth in load and the anticipated retirement of older gas steam units Plant Eaton Units 1 through 3 and Plant Watson Units 1 through 3 in 2012 and 2013, respectively. In addition, due to potential retirements of existing coal units, the Mississippi PSC found a need in 2015 that ranges from 304 megawatts to 1,276 megawatts.

The range of needs for 2015 is based on potential environmental regulations relating to maximum achievable control technology for hazardous air pollutants, as well as potential legislation or regulations that would impose mandatory restrictions on greenhouse gas emissions. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL Environmental Matters Environmental Statutes and Regulations Air Quality and Environmental Matters Global Climate Issues of Mississippi Power in Item 7 herein. Depending on the final requirements in the anticipated EPA regulations and any legislation or regulation relating to greenhouse gas emissions, as well as estimates of long-term fuel prices, Mississippi Power may conclude that it is more economical to discontinue burning coal at certain coal-fired generating units than to install the required controls.

Mississippi Power's 2010 IRP indicated that Mississippi Power plans to construct the Kemper County IGCC to meet its identified needs, to add environmental controls at Plant Daniel Units 1 and 2, to defer environmental controls at Plant Watson Units 4 and 5, and to continue operation of the combined cycle Plant Daniel Units 3 and 4.

The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Mississippi Base Load Construction Legislation

In the 2008 regular session of the Mississippi legislature, a bill was passed and signed by the Governor in May 2008 to enhance the Mississippi PSC's authority to facilitate development and construction of base load generation in the State of Mississippi (Baseload Act). The Baseload Act authorizes, but does not require, the Mississippi PSC to adopt a cost recovery mechanism that includes in retail base rates, prior to and during construction, all or a portion of the prudently incurred pre-construction and construction costs incurred by a utility in constructing a base load electric generating plant. Prior to the passage of the Baseload Act, such costs would traditionally be recovered only after the plant was placed in service. The Baseload Act also provides for periodic prudence reviews by the Mississippi PSC and prohibits the cancellation of any such generating plant without the approval of the Mississippi PSC. In the event of cancellation of the construction of the plant without approval of the Mississippi PSC, the Baseload Act authorizes the Mississippi PSC to make a public interest determination as to whether and to what extent the utility will be afforded rate recovery for costs incurred in connection with such cancelled generating plant. The effect of this legislation on Southern Company and Mississippi Power cannot now be determined.

On January 16, 2009, Mississippi Power filed for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity with the Mississippi PSC to allow construction of a new electric generating plant located in Kemper County, Mississippi. This certificate, if approved by the Mississippi PSC, would authorize Mississippi Power to acquire, construct, and operate the Kemper IGCC and related facilities. The Kemper IGCC, subject to federal and state reviews and certain regulatory approvals, is expected to begin commercial operation in May 2014. See Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company and Mississippi Power in Item 8 herein for additional information.

Table of Contents**Employee Relations**

The Southern Company system had a total of 26,112 employees on its payroll at December 31, 2009.

	Employees at December 31, 2009
Alabama Power	6,842
Georgia Power	8,599
Gulf Power	1,365
Mississippi Power	1,285
SCS	4,184
Southern Holdings*	
Southern Nuclear	3,485
Southern Power**	
Other	352
Total	26,112

* Southern Holdings has agreements with SCS whereby all employee services are rendered at cost.

** Southern Power has no employees. Southern Power has agreements with SCS and the traditional operating companies whereby employee services are rendered at amounts in compliance with FERC regulations.

The traditional operating companies have separate agreements with local unions of the IBEW generally covering wages, working conditions, and procedures for handling grievances and arbitration. These agreements apply with certain exceptions to operating, maintenance, and construction employees.

On August 15, 2009, a five-year labor agreement between Alabama Power and nine local unions with the IBEW expired. Prior to the expiration of this agreement, Alabama Power and the IBEW entered into a new five-year labor

agreement with a ratification date of May 29, 2009. Parts of this new agreement took effect on August 15, 2009, when the original agreement expired, and the remainder took effect on January 1, 2010. The new agreement expires on August 15, 2014.

Georgia Power has an agreement with the IBEW covering wages and working conditions, which is in effect through June 30, 2011. Upon notice given at least 60 days prior to that date, negotiations may be initiated with respect to agreement terms to be effective after such date.

The agreement between Gulf Power and the IBEW covering wages and working conditions was scheduled to expire on October 15, 2009. The agreement has not been terminated by either party and remains in effect through October 14, 2010. Negotiations for a new agreement began in September 2009 and are on-going.

Mississippi Power has an agreement with the IBEW covering wages and working conditions, which is in effect until August 16, 2010. Upon notice given at least 60 days prior to that date, negotiations may be initiated with respect to agreement terms to be effective after such date.

Southern Nuclear and the IBEW ratified a labor agreement for certain employees at Plants Hatch and Vogtle on May 21, 2009. The agreement is effective through June 30, 2011. A five-year agreement between Southern Nuclear and the IBEW representing certain employees at Plant Farley was ratified on July 8, 2009. The agreement became effective on August 15, 2009 and will remain in effect through August 15, 2014.

The agreements also make the terms of the pension plans for the companies discussed above subject to collective bargaining with the unions at either a five-year or a 10-year cycle, depending upon union and company actions.

Table of Contents**Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

In addition to the other information in this Form 10-K, including MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS – FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL in Item 7 of each registrant, and other documents filed by Southern Company and/or its subsidiaries with the SEC from time to time, the following factors should be carefully considered in evaluating Southern Company and its subsidiaries. Such factors could affect actual results and cause results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, Southern Company and/or its subsidiaries.

Risks Related to the Energy Industry

Southern Company and its subsidiaries are subject to substantial governmental regulation. Compliance with current and future regulatory requirements and procurement of necessary approvals, permits, and certificates may result in substantial costs to Southern Company and its subsidiaries.

Southern Company and its subsidiaries, including the traditional operating companies and Southern Power, are subject to substantial regulation from federal, state, and local regulatory agencies. Southern Company and its subsidiaries are required to comply with numerous laws and regulations and to obtain numerous permits, approvals, and certificates from the governmental agencies that regulate various aspects of their businesses, including rates and charges, service regulations, retail service territories, sales of securities, asset acquisitions and sales, accounting policies and practices, and the operation of fossil-fuel, hydroelectric, and nuclear generating facilities. For example, the rates charged to wholesale customers by the traditional operating companies and by Southern Power must be approved by the FERC. These wholesale rates could be affected absent the ability to conduct business pursuant to FERC market-based rate authority. Additionally, the respective state PSCs must approve the traditional operating companies' requested rates for retail customers. While the retail rates of the traditional operating companies are designed to provide for the full recovery of costs (including a reasonable return on invested capital), there can be no assurance that a state PSC, in a future rate proceeding, will not attempt to alter the timing or amount of certain costs for which recovery is sought or to modify the current authorized rate of return.

Southern Company and its subsidiaries believe the necessary permits, approvals, and certificates have been obtained for their respective existing operations and that their respective businesses are conducted in accordance with applicable laws; however, the impact of any future revision or changes in interpretations of existing regulations or the adoption of new laws and regulations applicable to Southern Company or any of its subsidiaries cannot now be predicted. Changes in regulation or the imposition of additional regulations could influence the operating environment of Southern Company and its subsidiaries and may result in substantial costs.

Risks Related to Environmental and Climate Change Legislation and Regulation

Southern Company's, the traditional operating companies', and Southern Power's costs of compliance with environmental laws are significant. The costs of compliance with future environmental laws, including laws and regulations designed to address global climate change, renewable energy standards, air quality, coal combustion byproducts, and other matters and the incurrence of environmental liabilities could affect unit retirement decisions and negatively impact the net income, cash flows, and financial condition of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power.

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are subject to extensive federal, state, and local environmental requirements which, among other things, regulate air emissions, water usage and discharges, and the management of hazardous and solid waste in order to adequately protect the environment. Compliance with these legal requirements requires Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power to commit significant expenditures for installation of pollution control equipment, environmental monitoring, emissions fees, and permits at all of their respective facilities. These expenditures are significant and Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power expect that they will increase in the future. Through 2009, Southern Company had invested approximately \$7.5 billion in capital projects to comply with these requirements, with annual totals of \$1.3 billion, \$1.6 billion, and \$1.5 billion for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Southern Company expects that capital expenditures to assure compliance with existing and new statutes and regulations will be an additional \$545 million, \$721 million, and \$1.2 billion for 2010, 2011, and 2012,

Table of Contents

respectively. Because the compliance strategy is impacted by changes to existing environmental laws, statutes, and regulations, the cost, availability, and existing inventory of emissions allowances, and the fuel mix, the ultimate outcome cannot be determined at this time.

If Southern Company, any traditional operating company, or Southern Power fails to comply with environmental laws and regulations, even if caused by factors beyond its control, that failure may result in the assessment of civil or criminal penalties and fines. The EPA has filed civil actions against Alabama Power and Georgia Power and issued notices of violation to Gulf Power and Mississippi Power alleging violations of the new source review provisions of the Clean Air Act. Southern Company is a party to suits alleging emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming. An adverse outcome in any of these matters could require substantial capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect unit retirement and replacement decisions, and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates or market-based rates for Southern Power. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. Existing environmental laws and regulations may be revised or new laws and regulations related to global climate change, air quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, or other environmental and health concerns may be adopted or become applicable to Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power. For example, federal legislative proposals that would impose mandatory requirements on greenhouse gas emissions and renewable energy standards continue to be actively considered in Congress, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions has been identified as a high priority by the current Administration. On June 26, 2009, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009, which would impose mandatory greenhouse gas restrictions through implementation of a cap and trade program, a renewable energy standard, and other measures, was passed by the House of Representatives. Similar legislation is being considered by the Senate. In 2007, the U. S. Supreme Court ruled that the EPA has authority to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published a final determination, which became effective on January 14, 2010, that certain greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles endanger public health and welfare due to climate change. The EPA has stated that finalization of this rule will cause carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases to become regulated pollutants under certain provisions of the Clean Air Act applicable to stationary sources, including power plants. On October 27, 2009, the EPA published a proposed rule governing how these programs would be applied to such sources. The EPA has stated that it expects to finalize these proposed rules in March 2010.

In addition, the EPA is expected to issue additional regulations and designations with respect to air quality under the Clean Air Act, including eight-hour ozone standards, sulfur dioxide standards, a replacement Clean Air Interstate Rule relating to nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide emissions, and a Maximum Achievable Control Technology rule for coal and oil-fired electric generating units, which will likely address numerous hazardous air pollutants, including mercury. In addition, the EPA is currently evaluating whether additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts is merited under federal solid and hazardous waste laws. The EPA is expected to issue a proposal regarding additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts in early 2010.

International climate change negotiations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change also continue. A nonbinding agreement was announced during the most recent round of negotiations in December 2009 that included a pledge from both developed and developing countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The cost impact of such legislation, regulation, new interpretations, or international negotiations would depend upon the specific requirements enacted and cannot be determined at this time. For example, the impact of currently proposed legislation relating to greenhouse gas emissions would depend on a variety of factors, including the specific greenhouse gas emissions limits or renewable energy requirements, the timing of implementation of these

Table of Contents

limits or requirements, the level of emissions allowances allocated and the level that must be purchased, the purchase price of emissions allowances, the development and commercial availability of technologies for renewable energy and for the reduction of emissions, the degree to which offsets may be used for compliance, provisions for cost containment (if any), the impact on coal and natural gas prices, and cost recovery through regulated rates or market-based rates for Southern Power.

Although the outcome cannot be determined at this time, legislation or regulation related to greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy standards, air quality, coal combustion byproducts and other matters, individually or together, are likely to result in significant and additional compliance costs, including significant capital expenditures, and could result in additional operating restrictions. These costs could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions, and could result in the retirement of a significant number of coal-fired generating units of the traditional operating companies. Additional compliance costs and costs related to potential unit retirements could affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered from customers. Further, higher costs that are recovered through regulated rates could contribute to reduced demand for electricity, which could negatively impact results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

General Risks Related to Operation of Southern Company's Utility Subsidiaries

The regional power market in which Southern Company and its utility subsidiaries compete may have changing transmission regulatory structures, which could affect the ownership of these assets and related revenues and expenses.

The traditional operating companies currently own and operate transmission facilities as part of a vertically integrated utility. Transmission revenues are not separated from generation and distribution revenues in their approved retail rates. Current FERC efforts that may potentially change the regulatory and/or operational structure of transmission include rules related to the standardization of generation interconnection. The financial condition, net income, and cash flows of Southern Company and its utility subsidiaries could be adversely affected by future changes in the federal regulatory or operational structure of transmission.

The net income of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power could be negatively impacted by competitive activity in the wholesale electricity markets.

Competition at the wholesale level continues to expand and evolve in the electricity markets. As a result of changes in federal law and regulatory policy, competition in the wholesale electricity markets has increased due to greater participation by traditional electricity suppliers, non-utility generators, IPPs, wholesale power marketers, and brokers. FERC rules related to transmission are designed to facilitate competition in the wholesale market on a nationwide basis by providing greater flexibility and more choices to wholesale power customers, including initiatives designed to promote and encourage the integration of renewable sources of supply. Moreover, along with transactions contemplating physical delivery of energy, futures contracts and derivatives are traded on various commodities exchanges. Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power cannot predict the impact of these and other such developments, nor can they predict the effect of changes in levels of wholesale supply and demand, which are typically driven by factors beyond their control.

Risks Related to Southern Company and its Business

Southern Company may be unable to meet its ongoing and future financial obligations and to pay dividends on its common stock if its subsidiaries are unable to pay upstream dividends or repay funds to Southern Company.

Southern Company is a holding company and, as such, Southern Company has no operations of its own. Substantially all of Southern Company's consolidated assets are held by subsidiaries. Southern Company's ability to meet its financial obligations and to pay dividends on its common stock is primarily dependent on the net income and cash flows of its subsidiaries and their ability to pay upstream dividends or to repay funds to Southern Company. Prior to funding Southern Company, Southern Company's subsidiaries have regulatory restrictions and financial obligations that must be satisfied, including among others, debt service and preferred and preference stock dividends. Southern Company's subsidiaries are separate legal entities and have no obligation to provide Southern Company with funds for its payment obligations.

Table of Contents

The financial performance of Southern Company and its subsidiaries may be adversely affected if they are unable to successfully operate their facilities or perform certain corporate functions.

The financial performance of Southern Company and its subsidiaries depends on the successful operation of its subsidiaries' electric generating, transmission, and distribution facilities. Operating these facilities involves many risks, including:

operator error or failure of equipment or processes;

operating limitations that may be imposed by environmental or other regulatory requirements;

labor disputes;

terrorist attacks;

fuel or material supply interruptions;

compliance with mandatory reliability standards, including mandatory cyber security standards;

information technology system failure;

cyber intrusion; and

catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, droughts, hurricanes, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences.

A severe drought could reduce the availability of water and restrict or prevent the operation of certain generating facilities. A decrease or elimination of revenues from the electric generation, transmission, or distribution facilities or an increase in the cost of operating the facilities would reduce the net income and cash flows and could adversely impact the financial condition of the affected traditional operating company or Southern Power and of Southern Company.

The traditional operating companies could be subject to higher costs and penalties as a result of mandatory reliability standards.

As a result of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, owners and operators of bulk power transmission systems, including the traditional operating companies, are subject to mandatory reliability standards enacted by the North American Reliability Corporation and enforced by the FERC. Compliance with the mandatory reliability standards may subject the traditional operating companies and Southern Company to higher operating costs and may result in increased capital expenditures. If any traditional operating company is found to be in noncompliance with the mandatory reliability standards, the traditional operating company could be subject to sanctions, including substantial monetary penalties.

The revenues of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power depend in part on sales under PPAs. The failure of a counterparty to one of these PPAs to perform its obligations, or the failure to renew the PPAs, could have a negative impact on the net income and cash flows of the affected traditional operating company or Southern Power and of Southern Company.

Most of Southern Power's generating capacity has been sold to purchasers under PPAs. In addition, the traditional operating companies enter into PPAs with non-affiliated parties. Revenues are dependent on the continued performance by the purchasers of their obligations under these PPAs. Even though Southern Power and the traditional operating companies have a rigorous credit evaluation process, the failure of one of the purchasers to perform its obligations could have a negative impact on the net income and cash flows of the affected traditional operating company or Southern Power and of Southern Company. Although these credit evaluations take into account the possibility of default by a purchaser, actual exposure to a default by a purchaser may be greater than the

Table of Contents

credit evaluation predicts. Additionally, neither Southern Power nor any traditional operating company can predict whether the PPAs will be renewed at the end of their respective terms or on what terms any renewals may be made. If a PPA is not renewed, a replacement PPA cannot be assured.

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power may incur additional costs or delays in the construction of new plants or other facilities and may not be able to recover their investments.

The facilities of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power require ongoing capital expenditures.

The businesses of the registrants require substantial capital expenditures for investments in new facilities and capital improvements to transmission, distribution, and generation facilities, including those to meet environmental standards. Certain of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power are in the process of constructing new generating facilities and adding environmental controls equipment at existing generating facilities. Southern Company intends to continue its strategy of developing and constructing other new facilities, including new nuclear generating units, combined cycle units, including the proposed integrated coal gasification combined cycle facility, and the proposed biomass generating units, expanding existing facilities, and adding environmental control equipment. These types of projects are long-term in nature and may involve facility designs that have not been finalized or previously constructed. The completion of these types of projects without delays or significant cost overruns is subject to substantial risks, including:

shortages and inconsistent quality of equipment, materials, and labor;

work stoppages;

contractor or supplier non-performance under construction or other agreements;

delays in or failure to receive necessary permits, approvals, and other regulatory authorizations;

impacts of new and existing laws and regulations, including environmental laws and regulations;

continued public and policymaker support for such projects;

adverse weather conditions;

unforeseen engineering problems;

changes in project design or scope;

environmental and geological conditions;

delays or increased costs to interconnect facilities to transmission grids;

unanticipated cost increases, including materials and labor; and

attention to other projects.

In addition, with respect to the construction of new nuclear units, a major incident at a nuclear facility anywhere in the world could cause the NRC to delay or prohibit construction of new nuclear units. If a traditional operating company or Southern Power is unable to complete the development or construction of a facility or decides to delay or cancel construction of a facility, it may not be able to recover its investment in that facility and may incur substantial cancellation payments under equipment purchase orders or construction contracts. Even if a construction project is completed, the total costs may be higher than estimated and there is no assurance that the traditional operating company will be able to recover such expenditures through regulated rates. In addition, construction delays and contractor performance shortfalls can result in the loss of revenues and may, in turn, adversely affect the net income

and financial position of a traditional operating company or Southern Power and of Southern Company.
I-20

Table of Contents

Furthermore, if construction projects are not completed according to specification, a traditional operating company or Southern Power and Southern Company may incur liabilities and suffer reduced plant efficiency, higher operating costs, and reduced net income.

Once facilities come into commercial operation, ongoing capital expenditures are required to maintain reliable levels of operation. Significant portions of the traditional operating companies' existing facilities were constructed many years ago. Older generation equipment, even if maintained in accordance with good engineering practices, may require significant capital expenditures to maintain efficiency, to comply with changing environmental requirements, or to provide reliable operations.

Changes in technology may make Southern Company's electric generating facilities owned by the traditional operating companies and Southern Power less competitive.

A key element of the business model of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power is that generating power at central station power plants achieves economies of scale and produces power at a competitive cost. There are distributed generation technologies that produce power, including fuel cells, microturbines, wind turbines, and solar cells. It is possible that advances in technology will reduce the cost of alternative methods of producing power to a level that is competitive with that of most central station power electric production. If this were to happen and if these technologies achieved economies of scale, the market share of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power could be eroded, and the value of their respective electric generating facilities could be reduced. It is also possible that rapid advances in central station power generation technology could reduce the value of the current electric generating facilities owned by Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power. Changes in technology could also alter the channels through which electric customers buy or utilize power, which could reduce the revenues or increase the expenses of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power.

Operation of nuclear facilities involves inherent risks, including environmental, health, regulatory, terrorism, and financial risks, that could result in fines or the closure of Southern Company's nuclear units owned by Alabama Power or Georgia Power and which may present potential exposures in excess of insurance coverage.

Alabama Power owns, and contracts for the operation of, two nuclear units and Georgia Power holds undivided interests in, and contracts for the operation of, four existing nuclear units and the construction of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. The six existing units are operated by Southern Nuclear and represent approximately 3,680 megawatts, or 8.6%, of Southern Company's generation capacity as of December 31, 2009. Nuclear facilities are subject to environmental, health, and financial risks such as on-site storage of spent nuclear fuel, the ability to dispose of such spent nuclear fuel, the ability to maintain adequate reserves for decommissioning, potential liabilities arising out of the operation of these facilities, and the threat of a possible terrorist attack. Alabama Power and Georgia Power maintain decommissioning trusts and external insurance coverage to minimize the financial exposure to these risks; however, it is possible that damages could exceed the amount of insurance coverage.

The NRC has broad authority under federal law to impose licensing and safety-related requirements for the operation of nuclear generation facilities. In the event of non-compliance, the NRC has the authority to impose fines or shut down any unit, depending upon its assessment of the severity of the situation, until compliance is achieved. NRC orders or regulations related to increased security measures and any future safety requirements promulgated by the NRC could require Alabama Power and Georgia Power to make substantial operating and capital expenditures at their nuclear plants. In addition, although Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Southern Company have no reason to anticipate a serious nuclear incident at their plants, if an incident did occur, it could result in substantial costs to Alabama Power or Georgia Power and Southern Company. A major incident at a nuclear facility anywhere in the world could cause the NRC to limit or prohibit the operation or licensing of any domestic nuclear unit.

In addition, potential terrorist threats and increased public scrutiny of utilities could result in increased nuclear licensing or compliance costs that are difficult or impossible to predict.

The generation operations and energy marketing operations of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are subject to risks, many of which are beyond their control, including

Table of Contents

changes in power prices and fuel costs, that may reduce Southern Company's, the traditional operating companies', and Southern Power's revenues and increase costs.

The generation operations and energy marketing operations of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are subject to changes in power prices or fuel costs, which could increase the cost of producing power or decrease the amount Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power receive from the sale of power. The market prices for these commodities may fluctuate significantly over relatively short periods of time. Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power attempt to mitigate risks associated with fluctuating fuel costs by passing these costs on to customers through the traditional operating companies' fuel cost recovery clauses or through PPAs. Among the factors that could influence power prices and fuel costs are:

prevailing market prices for coal, natural gas, uranium, fuel oil, and other fuels used in the generation facilities of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power including associated transportation costs, and supplies of such commodities;

demand for energy and the extent of additional supplies of energy available from current or new competitors;

liquidity in the general wholesale electricity market;

weather conditions impacting demand for electricity;

seasonality;

transmission or transportation constraints or inefficiencies;

availability of competitively priced alternative energy sources;

forced or unscheduled plant outages for the Southern Company system, its competitors, or third party providers;

the financial condition of market participants;

the economy in the service territory, the nation, and worldwide, including the impact of economic conditions on industrial and commercial demand for electricity and the worldwide demand for fuels;

natural disasters, wars, embargos, acts of terrorism, and other catastrophic events; and

federal, state, and foreign energy and environmental regulation and legislation.

Certain of these factors could increase the expenses of the traditional operating companies or Southern Power and Southern Company. For the traditional operating companies, such increases may not be fully recoverable through rates. Other of these factors could reduce the revenues of the traditional operating companies or Southern Power and Southern Company.

Historically, the traditional operating companies from time to time have experienced underrecovered fuel cost balances and deficits in their storm cost recovery reserve balances and may experience such balances in the future. While the traditional operating companies are generally authorized to recover underrecovered fuel costs through fuel cost recovery clauses and storm recovery costs through special rate provisions administered by the respective PSCs, recovery may be denied if costs are deemed to be imprudently incurred and delays in the authorization of such recovery could negatively impact the cash flows of the affected traditional operating company and Southern Company.

Table of Contents**A downgrade in the credit ratings of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power could negatively affect their ability to access capital at reasonable costs and/or could require Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power to post collateral or replace certain indebtedness.**

There are a number of factors that rating agencies evaluate to arrive at credit ratings for Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power, including capital structure, regulatory environment, the ability to cover liquidity requirements, and other commitments for capital. Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power could experience a downgrade in their ratings if any of the rating agencies conclude that the level of business or financial risk of the industry or Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power has deteriorated. Changes in ratings methodologies by the agencies could also have a negative impact on credit ratings. If one or more rating agencies downgrade Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power, borrowing costs would increase, its pool of investors and funding sources would likely decrease, and, particularly for any downgrade to below investment grade, collateral requirements may be triggered in a number of contracts.

The use of derivative contracts by Southern Company and its subsidiaries in the normal course of business could result in financial losses that negatively impact the net income of Southern Company and its subsidiaries.

Southern Company and its subsidiaries, including the traditional operating companies and Southern Power, use derivative instruments, such as swaps, options, futures, and forwards, to manage their commodity and interest rate exposures and, to a lesser extent, engage in limited trading activities. Southern Company and its subsidiaries could recognize financial losses as a result of volatility in the market values of these contracts or if a counterparty fails to perform. These risks are managed through risk management policies, limits, and procedures. These risk management policies, limits, and procedures might not work as planned and cannot entirely eliminate the risks associated with these activities. In addition, derivative contracts entered for hedging purposes might not off-set the underlying exposure being hedged as expected resulting in financial losses. In the absence of actively quoted market prices and pricing information from external sources, the valuation of these financial instruments can involve management's judgment or use of estimates. The factors used in the valuation of these instruments become more difficult to predict and the calculations become less reliable the further into the future these estimates are made. As a result, changes in the underlying assumptions or use of alternative valuation methods could affect the value of the reported fair value of these contracts.

The traditional operating companies and Southern Power may not be able to obtain adequate fuel supplies, which could limit their ability to operate their facilities.

The traditional operating companies and Southern Power purchase fuel, including coal, natural gas, uranium, and fuel oil, from a number of suppliers. Disruption in the delivery of fuel, including disruptions as a result of, among other things, transportation delays, weather, labor relations, force majeure events, or environmental regulations affecting any of these fuel suppliers, could limit the ability of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power to operate their respective facilities, and thus reduce the net income of the affected traditional operating company or Southern Power and Southern Company.

The traditional operating companies are dependent on coal for much of their electric generating capacity. Each traditional operating company has coal supply contracts in place; however, there can be no assurance that the counterparties to these agreements will fulfill their obligations to supply coal to the traditional operating companies. The suppliers under these agreements may experience financial or technical problems which inhibit their ability to fulfill their obligations to the traditional operating companies. In addition, the suppliers under these agreements may not be required to supply coal to the traditional operating companies under certain circumstances, such as in the event of a natural disaster. If the traditional operating companies are unable to obtain their coal requirements under these contracts, the traditional operating companies may be required to purchase their coal requirements at higher prices, which may not be fully recoverable through rates.

In addition, Southern Power in particular, and the traditional operating companies to a lesser extent, are dependent on natural gas for a portion of their electric generating capacity. Natural gas supplies can be subject to disruption in

Table of Contents

the event production or distribution is curtailed, such as in the event of a hurricane.

In addition, world market conditions for fuels can impact the availability of natural gas, coal, and uranium.

Demand for power could exceed supply capacity, resulting in increased costs for purchasing capacity in the open market or building additional generation capabilities.

Through the traditional operating companies and Southern Power, Southern Company is currently obligated to supply power to retail customers and wholesale customers under long-term PPAs. At peak times, the demand for power required to meet this obligation could exceed Southern Company's available generation capacity. Market or competitive forces may require that the traditional operating companies or Southern Power purchase capacity on the open market or build additional generation capabilities. Because regulators may not permit the traditional operating companies to pass all of these purchase or construction costs on to their customers, the traditional operating companies may not be able to recover any of these costs or may have exposure to regulatory lag associated with the time between the incurrence of costs of purchased or constructed capacity and the traditional operating companies' recovery in customers' rates. Under Southern Power's long-term fixed price PPAs, Southern Power would not have the ability to recover any of these costs. These situations could have negative impacts on net income and cash flows for the affected traditional operating company or Southern Power and Southern Company.

Demand for power could decrease or fail to grow at expected rates, resulting in stagnant or reduced revenues, limited growth opportunities, and potentially stranded generation assets.

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power each engage in a long-term planning process to determine the optimal mix and timing of new generation assets required to serve future load obligations. This planning process must look many years into the future in order to accommodate the long lead times associated with the permitting and construction of new generation facilities. Inherent risk exists in predicting demand this far into the future as these future loads are dependent on many uncertain factors, including regional economic conditions, customer usage patterns, efficiency programs, and customer technology adoption. Because regulators may not permit the traditional operating companies to adjust rates to recover the costs of new generation assets while such assets are being constructed, the traditional operating companies may not be able to fully recover these costs or may have exposure to regulatory lag associated with the time between the incurrence of costs of additional capacity and the traditional operating companies' recovery in customers' rates. Under Southern Power's model of selling capacity and energy at negotiated market-based rates under long-term PPAs, Southern Power might not be able to fully execute its business plan if market prices drop below original forecasts. Southern Power may not be able to extend its existing PPAs or to find new buyers for existing generation assets as existing PPAs expire, or it may be forced to market these assets at prices lower than originally intended. These situations could have negative impacts on net income and cash flows for the affected traditional operating company or Southern Power and Southern Company.

The operating results of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are affected by weather conditions and may fluctuate on a seasonal and quarterly basis. In addition, significant weather events, such as hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, and droughts, or a terrorist attack could result in substantial damage to or limit the operation of the properties of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power and could negatively impact results of operation, financial condition, and liquidity.

Electric power supply is generally a seasonal business. In many parts of the country, demand for power peaks during the summer months, with market prices also peaking at that time. In other areas, power demand peaks during the winter. As a result, the overall operating results of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power in the future may fluctuate substantially on a seasonal basis. In addition, the traditional operating companies and Southern Power have historically sold less power when weather conditions are milder. Unusually mild weather in the future could reduce the revenues, net income, available cash, and borrowing ability of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power.

In addition, volatile or significant weather events or a terrorist attack could result in substantial damage to the transmission and distribution lines of the traditional operating companies and the generating facilities of the

Table of Contents

traditional operating companies and Southern Power. The traditional operating companies and Southern Power have significant investments in the Atlantic and Gulf Coast regions which could be subject to major storm activity. Further, severe drought conditions can reduce the availability of water and restrict or prevent the operation of certain generating facilities.

Each traditional operating company maintains a reserve for property damage to cover the cost of damages from weather events to its transmission and distribution lines and the cost of uninsured damages to its generating facilities and other property. In the event a traditional operating company experiences any of these weather events or any natural disaster, or other catastrophic event, such as a terrorist attack, recovery of costs in excess of reserves and insurance coverage is subject to the approval of its state PSC. While the traditional operating companies generally are entitled to recover prudently incurred costs incurred in connection with such an event, any denial by the applicable state PSC or delay in recovery of any portion of such costs could have a material negative impact on a traditional operating company's and Southern Company's results of operations, financial condition, and liquidity.

In addition, damages resulting from significant weather events within the service territory of any traditional operating company or affecting Southern Power's customers may result in the loss of customers and reduced demand for electricity. For example, Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast of Mississippi in August 2005 and caused substantial damage within Mississippi Power's service territory. As of December 31, 2009, Mississippi Power had approximately 4.6% fewer retail customers as compared to pre-storm levels. Any significant loss of customers or reduction in demand for electricity could have a material negative impact on a traditional operating company's, Southern Power's, and Southern Company's results of operations, financial condition, and liquidity.

Failure to attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce could negatively impact Southern Company's and its subsidiaries' results of operations.

Events such as an aging workforce without appropriate replacements, mismatch of skillset to future needs, or unavailability of contract resources may lead to operating challenges or increased costs. Such operating challenges include lack of resources, loss of knowledge, and a lengthy time period associated with skill development, especially with the workforce needs associated with new nuclear construction. Failure to hire and adequately obtain replacement employees, including the ability to transfer significant internal historical knowledge and expertise to the new employees, or the future availability and cost of contract labor may adversely affect Southern Company and its subsidiaries' ability to manage and operate their businesses. If Southern Company and its subsidiaries, including the traditional operating companies, are unable to successfully attract and retain an appropriately qualified workforce, results of operations could be negatively impacted.

Risks Related to Market and Economic Volatility

The business of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power is dependent on their ability to successfully access funds through capital markets and financial institutions. The inability of Southern Company, any traditional operating company, or Southern Power to access funds may limit its ability to execute its business plan by impacting its ability to fund capital investments or acquisitions that Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power may otherwise rely on to achieve future earnings and cash flows.

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power rely on access to both short-term money markets and longer-term capital markets as a significant source of liquidity for capital requirements not satisfied by the cash flow from their respective operations. If Southern Company, any traditional operating company, or Southern Power is not able to access capital at competitive rates, its ability to implement its business plan will be limited by impacting its ability to fund capital investments or acquisitions that Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, or Southern Power may otherwise rely on to achieve future earnings and cash flows. In addition, Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power rely on committed bank lending agreements as back-up liquidity which allows them to access low cost money markets. Each of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power believes that it will maintain sufficient access to these financial markets based upon current credit ratings. However, certain market disruptions may increase its cost of borrowing or adversely affect its ability to raise capital through the issuance of securities or other borrowing arrangements or its ability to secure committed bank lending agreements used as back-up sources of

Table of Contents

capital. Such disruptions could include:

an economic downturn or uncertainty;

the bankruptcy of an unrelated energy company or financial institution;

capital markets volatility and interruption;

financial institution distress;

market prices for electricity and gas;

terrorist attacks or threatened attacks on Southern Company's facilities or unrelated energy companies' facilities;

war or threat of war; or

the overall health of the utility and financial institution industries.

Market performance and other changes may decrease the value of benefit plans and decommissioning trust assets or may increase medical costs, which then could require significant additional funding.

The performance of the capital markets affects the values of the assets held in trust under Southern Company's pension and postretirement benefit plans and the assets held in trust to satisfy obligations to decommission Alabama Power's and Georgia Power's nuclear plants. Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Georgia Power have significant obligations in these areas and hold significant assets in these trusts. These assets are subject to market fluctuations and will yield uncertain returns, which may fall below projected return rates. A decline in the market value of these assets, as has been experienced in prior periods, may increase the funding requirements relating to Southern Company's benefit plan liabilities and Alabama Power's and Georgia Power's decommissioning obligations. Additionally, changes in interest rates affect the liabilities under Southern Company's pension and postretirement benefit plans; as interest rates decrease, the liabilities increase, potentially requiring additional funding. Further, changes in demographics, including increased numbers of retirements or changes in life expectancy assumptions, may also increase the funding requirements of the obligations related to the pension benefit plans. Southern Company and its subsidiaries are also facing rising medical benefit costs, including the current costs for active and retired employees. It is possible that these costs may increase at a rate that is significantly higher than anticipated. If Southern Company is unable to successfully manage benefit plan assets and medical benefit costs and Alabama Power and Georgia Power are unable to successfully manage the decommissioning trust funds, results of operations and financial position could be negatively affected. Additionally, Southern Company and its subsidiaries may also be affected by the potential passage of healthcare legislation.

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are subject to risks associated with a changing economic environment, which could impact their ability to obtain adequate insurance and the financial stability of the customers of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power.

The financial condition of some insurance companies, the threat of terrorism, and the hurricanes that affected the Gulf Coast, among other things, have had disruptive effects on the insurance industry. The availability of insurance covering risks that Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and their respective competitors typically insure against may decrease, and the insurance that Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are able to obtain may have higher deductibles, higher premiums, and more restrictive policy terms.

Additionally, Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are exposed to risks related to general economic conditions in their applicable service territory and are thus impacted by the economic cycles of the customers each serves. Any economic downturn or disruption of financial markets could negatively affect the financial stability of the customers and counterparties of the traditional operating companies and Southern

Table of Contents

Power. As territories served by the traditional operating companies and Southern Power experience economic downturns, energy consumption patterns may change and revenues may be negatively impacted. Additionally, customers could voluntarily reduce their consumption of electricity in response to decreases in their disposable income or individual conservation efforts. If commercial and industrial customers experience economic downturns, their consumption of electricity may decline. As a result, revenues may be negatively impacted.

Further, the results of operations of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power are affected by customer growth in their applicable service territory. Customer growth and customer usage can be affected by economic factors in the service territory of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power and elsewhere, including, for example, job and income growth, housing starts, and new home prices. A population decline and/or business closings in the territory served by the traditional operating companies or Southern Power or slower than anticipated customer growth as a result of the current recession or otherwise could also have a negative impact on revenues and could result in greater expense for uncollectible customer balances.

As with other parts of the country, the territories served by the traditional operating companies and Southern Power have been impacted by the current economic recession. The traditional operating companies have experienced some decline in the rate of residential and commercial sales growth, and also have experienced declining sales to commercial and industrial customers due to the economic recession. Southern Power is expected to experience reduced future revenues for its requirements customers due to the economic recession. The timing and extent of the recovery cannot be predicted.

These and the other factors discussed above could adversely affect Southern Company's, the traditional operating companies', and Southern Power's level of future net income.

Energy conservation and energy price increases could negatively impact financial results.

A number of regulatory and legislative bodies have proposed or introduced requirements and/or incentives to reduce energy consumption by certain dates. Conservation programs could impact the financial results of Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power in different ways. To the extent conservation results in reduced energy demand or significantly slows the growth in demand, the value of wholesale generation assets of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power and other unregulated business activities could be adversely impacted. In addition, conservation could negatively impact the traditional operating companies depending on the regulatory treatment of the associated impacts. If any traditional operating company is required to invest in conservation measures that result in reduced sales from effective conservation, regulatory lag in adjusting rates for the impact of these measures could have a negative financial impact on such traditional operating company and Southern Company. Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power could also be impacted if any future energy price increases result in a decrease in customer usage. Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are unable to determine what impact, if any, conservation and increases in energy prices will have on financial condition or results of operations.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.

Table of Contents**Item 2. PROPERTIES****Electric Properties The Electric Utilities**

The traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and SEGCO, at December 31, 2009, owned and/or operated 34 hydroelectric generating stations, 34 fossil fuel generating stations, three nuclear generating stations, and 12 combined cycle/cogeneration stations. The amounts of capacity for each company are shown in the table below.

Generating Station	Location	Nameplate Capacity (1)
		(Kilowatts)
FOSSIL STEAM		
Gadsden	Gadsden, AL	120,000
Gorgas	Jasper, AL	1,221,250
Barry	Mobile, AL	1,525,000
Greene County	Demopolis, AL	300,000(2)
Gaston Unit 5	Wilsonville, AL	880,000
Miller	Birmingham, AL	2,532,288(3)
Alabama Power Total		6,578,538
Bowen	Cartersville, GA	3,160,000
Branch	Milledgeville, GA	1,539,700
Hammond	Rome, GA	800,000
Kraft	Port Wentworth, GA	281,136
McDonough (4)	Atlanta, GA	490,000
McIntosh	Effingham County, GA	163,117
McManus	Brunswick, GA	115,000
Mitchell	Albany, GA	125,000
Scherer	Macon, GA	750,924(5)
Wansley	Carrollton, GA	925,550(6)
Yates	Newnan, GA	1,250,000
Georgia Power Total		9,600,427
Crist	Pensacola, FL	970,000
Daniel	Pascagoula, MS	500,000(7)
Lansing Smith	Panama City, FL	305,000
Scholz	Chattahoochee, FL	80,000
Scherer Unit 3	Macon, GA	204,500(5)
Gulf Power Total		2,059,500
Daniel	Pascagoula, MS	500,000(7)
Eaton	Hattiesburg, MS	67,500
Greene County	Demopolis, AL	200,000(2)
Sweatt	Meridian, MS	80,000

Watson	Gulfport, MS	1,012,000
Mississippi Power Total		1,859,500
Gaston Units 1-4	Wilsonville, AL	
SEGCO Total		1,000,000(8)
Total Fossil Steam		21,097,965
NUCLEAR STEAM		
Farley	Dothan, AL	
Alabama Power Total		1,720,000
Hatch	Baxley, GA	899,612(9)
Vogtle	Augusta, GA	1,060,240(10)
Georgia Power Total		1,959,852
Total Nuclear Steam		3,679,852
COMBUSTION TURBINES		
Greene County	Demopolis, AL	
Alabama Power Total		720,000
Boulevard	Savannah, GA	59,100
Bowen	Cartersville, GA	39,400
Intercession City	Intercession City, FL	47,667(11)
Kraft	Port Wentworth, GA	22,000
McDonough	Atlanta, GA	78,800
McIntosh Units 1 through 8	Effingham County, GA	640,000
McManus	Brunswick, GA	481,700
Mitchell	Albany, GA	118,200
Robins	Warner Robins, GA	158,400
Wansley	Carrollton, GA	26,322
Wilson	Augusta, GA	354,100
Georgia Power Total		2,025,689
Lansing Smith Unit A	Panama City, FL	39,400
Pea Ridge Units 1-3	Pea Ridge, FL	15,000
Gulf Power Total		54,400
Chevron Cogenerating Station	Pascagoula, MS	147,292(12)

Table of Contents

Generating Station	Location	Nameplate Capacity (1) (Kilowatts)
Watson	Gulfport, MS	39,360
Mississippi Power Total		226,052
Dahlberg	Jackson County, GA	756,000
Oleander	Cocoa, FL	791,301
Rowan	Salisbury, NC	455,250
West Georgia	Thomaston, GA	668,800
Southern Power Total		2,671,351
Gaston (SEGCO)	Wilsonville, AL	19,680(8)
Total Combustion Turbines		5,717,172
COGENERATION		
Washington County	Washington County, AL	123,428
GE Plastics Project	Burkeville, AL	104,800
Theodore	Theodore, AL	236,418
Total Cogeneration		464,646
COMBINED CYCLE		
Barry	Mobile, AL	
Alabama Power Total		1,070,424
McIntosh Units 10&11	Effingham County, GA	
Georgia Power Total		1,318,920
Smith	Lynn Haven, FL	
Gulf Power Total		545,500
Daniel (Leased)	Pascagoula, MS	
Mississippi Power Total		1,070,424
Franklin	Smiths, AL	1,857,820
Harris	Autaugaville, AL	1,318,920
Rowan	Salisbury, NC	530,550
Stanton Unit A	Orlando, FL	428,649(13)
Wansley	Carrollton, GA	1,073,000

Southern Power Total		5,208,939
Total Combined Cycle		9,214,207
HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES		
Bankhead	Holt, AL	53,985
Bouldin	Wetumpka, AL	225,000
Harris	Wedowee, AL	132,000
Henry	Ohatchee, AL	72,900
Holt	Holt, AL	46,944
Jordan	Wetumpka, AL	100,000
Lay	Clanton, AL	177,000
Lewis Smith	Jasper, AL	157,500
Logan Martin	Vincent, AL	135,000
Martin	Dadeville, AL	182,000
Mitchell	Verbena, AL	170,000
Thurlow	Tallassee, AL	81,000
Weiss	Leesburg, AL	87,750
Yates	Tallassee, AL	47,000
Alabama Power Total		1,668,079
Barnett Shoals (Leased)	Athens, GA	2,800
Bartletts Ferry	Columbus, GA	173,000
Goat Rock	Columbus, GA	38,600
Lloyd Shoals	Jackson, GA	14,400
Morgan Falls	Atlanta, GA	16,800
North Highlands	Columbus, GA	29,600
Oliver Dam	Columbus, GA	60,000
Rocky Mountain	Rome, GA	215,256(14)
Sinclair Dam	Milledgeville, GA	45,000
Tallulah Falls	Clayton, GA	72,000
Terrora	Clayton, GA	16,000
Tugalo	Clayton, GA	45,000
Wallace Dam	Eatonton, GA	321,300
Yonah	Toccoa, GA	22,500
6 Other Plants		18,080
Georgia Power Total		1,090,336
Total Hydroelectric Facilities		2,758,415
Total Generating Capacity		42,932,257

Notes:

- (1) See Jointly-Owned Facilities herein for additional information.
- (2) Owned by Alabama Power and Mississippi Power as tenants in common in the proportions of 60% and 40%, respectively.
- (3) Capacity shown is Alabama Power's portion (91.84%) of total plant capacity.
- (4) McDonough Units 1 and 2 are scheduled to be retired in October 2011 and October 2010, respectively.
- (5) Capacity shown for Georgia Power is 8.4% of Units 1 and 2 and 75% of Unit 3. Capacity shown for Gulf Power is 25% of Unit 3.

Table of Contents

- (6) Capacity shown is Georgia Power's portion (53.5%) of total plant capacity.
- (7) Represents 50% of the plant which is owned as tenants in common by Gulf Power and Mississippi Power.
- (8) SEGCO is jointly-owned by Alabama Power and Georgia Power. See BUSINESS in Item 1 herein for additional information.
- (9) Capacity shown is Georgia Power's portion (50.1%) of total plant capacity.
- (10) Capacity shown is Georgia Power's portion (45.7%) of total plant capacity.
- (11) Capacity shown represents 33 1/3% of total plant capacity. Georgia Power owns a 1/3 interest in the unit with 100% use of the unit from June through September.

Progress Energy
Florida operates
the unit.

(12) Generation is
dedicated to a
single industrial
customer.

(13) Capacity shown
is Southern
Power's portion
(65%) of total
plant capacity.

(14) Capacity shown
is Georgia
Power's portion
(25.4%) of total
plant capacity.
OPC operates
the plant.

Except as discussed below under "Titles to Property," the principal plants and other important units of the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and SEGCO are owned in fee by the respective companies. It is the opinion of management of each such company that its operating properties are adequately maintained and are substantially in good operating condition.

Mississippi Power owns a 79-mile length of 500-kilovolt transmission line which is leased to Entergy Gulf States. The line, completed in 1984, extends from Plant Daniel to the Louisiana state line. Entergy Gulf States is paying a use fee over a 40-year period covering all expenses and the amortization of the original \$57 million cost of the line. At December 31, 2009, the unamortized portion of this cost was approximately \$21 million.

In 2009, the maximum demand on the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and SEGCO was 34,471,000 kilowatts and occurred on June 22, 2009. The all-time maximum demand of 38,777,000 kilowatts on the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and SEGCO occurred on August 22, 2007. These amounts exclude demand served by capacity retained by MEAG Power, OPC, and SEPA. The reserve margin for the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and SEGCO in 2009 was 26.4%. See SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA in Item 6 herein for additional information on peak demands.

Table of Contents

Jointly-Owned Facilities

Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Southern Power have undivided interests in certain generating plants and other related facilities to or from non-affiliated parties. The percentages of ownership are as follows:

	Total Capacity	Percentage Ownership											
		Alabama Power	Georgia Power	OPC	MEAG Power	Progress Energy	Southern Power	OUC	FMPA	KUA			
	(Megawatts)												
Plant Miller													
Units 1 and 2	1,320	91.8%	8.2%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Plant Hatch	1,796			50.1	30.0	17.7	2.2						
Plant Vogtle	2,320			45.7	30.0	22.7	1.6						
Plant Scherer													
Units 1 and 2	1,636			8.4	60.0	30.2	1.4						
Plant Wansley	1,779			53.5	30.0	15.1	1.4						
Rocky Mountain	848			25.4	74.6								
Intercession City, FL	143			33.3				66.7					
Plant Stanton A	660								65%	28%	3.5%	3.5%	

Alabama Power and Georgia Power have contracted to operate and maintain the respective units in which each has an interest (other than Rocky Mountain and Intercession City) as agent for the joint owners. SCS provides operation and maintenance services for Plant Stanton A.

In addition, Georgia Power has commitments regarding a portion of a five percent interest in Plant Vogtle Units 1 and 2 owned by MEAG Power that are in effect until the later of retirement of the plant or the latest stated maturity date of MEAG Power's bonds issued to finance such ownership interest. The payments for capacity are required whether any capacity is available. The energy cost is a function of each unit's variable operating costs. Except for the portion of the capacity payments related to the Georgia PSC's disallowances of Plant Vogtle Units 1 and 2 costs, the cost of such capacity and energy is included in purchased power from non-affiliates in Georgia Power's statements of income in Item 8 herein. Also see Note 7 to the financial statements of Georgia Power under Commitments - Purchased Power Commitments in Item 8 herein for additional information.

Titles to Property

The traditional operating companies, Southern Power's, and SEGCO's interests in the principal plants (other than certain pollution control facilities, one small hydroelectric generating station leased by Georgia Power, combined cycle units at Plant Daniel leased by Mississippi Power, and the land on which five combustion turbine generators of Mississippi Power are located, which is held by easement) and other important units of the respective companies are owned in fee by such companies, subject only to the liens pursuant to pollution control revenue bonds of Alabama Power and Gulf Power on specific pollution control facilities. See Note 6 to the financial statements of Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Gulf Power under Assets Subject to Lien and Note 7 to the financial statements of Mississippi Power under Operating Leases - Plant Daniel Combined Cycle Generating Units in Item 8 herein for additional information. The traditional operating companies own the fee interests in certain of their principal plants as tenants in common. See Jointly-Owned Facilities herein for additional information. Properties such as electric transmission and distribution lines and steam heating mains are constructed principally on rights-of-way which are maintained under franchise or are held by easement only. A substantial portion of lands submerged by reservoirs is held under flood right easements.

Table of Contents

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

(1) United States of America v. Alabama Power (United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama)
United States of America v. Georgia Power (United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia)

See Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company and each traditional operating company under Environmental Matters New Source Review Actions in Item 8 herein for information.

(2) Environmental Remediation

See Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power under Environmental Matters Environmental Remediation and Note 3 to the financial statements of Mississippi Power under Retail Regulatory Matters Environmental Compliance Overview Plan in Item 8 herein for information related to environmental remediation.

(3) Right of Way Litigation

See Note 3 to the financial statements of Southern Company and Mississippi Power under Right of Way Litigation in Item 8 herein for information.

See Note 3 to the financial statements of each registrant in Item 8 herein for descriptions of additional legal and administrative proceedings discussed therein.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and Southern Power
None.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF SOUTHERN COMPANY

(Identification of executive officers of Southern Company is inserted in Part I in accordance with Regulation S-K, Item 401(b), Instruction 3.) The ages of the officers set forth below are as of December 31, 2009.

David M. Ratcliffe

Chairman, President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director

Age 61

Elected in 1999. President since April 2004; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer since July 2004.

W. Paul Bowers

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Age 53

Elected in 2001. Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since February 2008 and Executive Vice President since May 2007. Previously served as President of Southern Company Generation, a business unit of Southern Company, and Executive Vice President of SCS from May 2001 through January 2008; and President and Chief Executive Officer of Southern Power from May 2001 through March 2005.

Thomas A. Fanning

Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

Age 52

Elected in 2003. Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since February 2008. Previously served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from May 2007 through January 2008 and Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer from April 2003 to May 2007.

Michael D. Garrett

Executive Vice President

Age 60

Elected in 2004. Executive Vice President since January 2004. He also serves as Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director of Georgia Power since April 2004.

G. Edison Holland, Jr.

Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary

Age 57

Elected in 2001. Executive Vice President and General Counsel since April 2001.

C. Alan Martin

Executive Vice President

Age 61

Elected in 2008. Executive Vice President since February 2008. He also serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of SCS since February 2008. Previously served as Executive Vice President of the Customer Service Organization at Alabama Power from May 2001 through January 2008.

Charles D. McCrary

Executive Vice President

Age 58

Elected in 1998. Executive Vice President since February 2002. He also serves as Chief Executive Officer, President, and Director of Alabama Power since October 2001.

Table of Contents

James H. Miller, III

President and Chief Executive Officer of Southern Nuclear

Age 60

Elected in 2008. President and Chief Executive Officer of Southern Nuclear since August 27, 2008. Previously served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of Georgia Power from March 2004 through August 2008.

Susan N. Story

President and Chief Executive Officer of Gulf Power

Age 49

Elected in 2003. President and Chief Executive Officer of Gulf Power since April 2003.

Anthony J. Topazi

President and Chief Executive Officer of Mississippi Power

Age 59

Elected in 2003. President and Chief Executive Officer of Mississippi Power since January 2004.

Christopher C. Womack

Executive Vice President

Age 51

Elected in 2008. Executive Vice President and President of External Affairs since January 1, 2009. Previously served as Executive Vice President of External Affairs of Georgia Power from March 2006 through December 2008 and Senior Vice President of Fossil and Hydro Generation and Senior Production Officer of Georgia Power from December 2001 to February 2006.

The officers of Southern Company were elected for a term running from the first meeting of the directors following the last annual meeting (May 27, 2009) for one year until the first board meeting after the next annual meeting or until their successors are elected and have qualified.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF ALABAMA POWER

(Identification of executive officers of Alabama Power is inserted in Part I in accordance with Regulation S-K, Item 401(b), Instruction 3.) The ages of the officers set forth below are as of December 31, 2009.

Charles D. McCrary

President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director

Age 58

Elected in 2001. President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director since October 2001; Executive Vice President of Southern Company since February 2002.

Art P. Beattie

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer

Age 55

Elected in 2004. Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer since February 2005. Previously served as Vice President and Comptroller of Alabama Power from 1998 through January 2005.

Mark A. Crosswhite

Executive Vice President

Age 47

Elected in 2008. Executive Vice President of External Affairs since February 1, 2008. Previously served as Senior Vice President and Counsel of Alabama Power from July 2006 through January 2008; Senior Vice President, General Counsel, and Assistant Secretary of Southern Power from March 2004 through January 2005; and Vice President of SCS from March 2004 through January 2008.

Steven R. Spencer

Executive Vice President

Age 54

Elected in 2001. Executive Vice President of the Customer Service Organization since February 1, 2008. Previously served as Executive Vice President of External Affairs from 2001 through January 2008.

Jerry L. Stewart

Senior Vice President

Age 60

Elected in 1999. Senior Vice President of Fossil and Hydro Generation since 1999.

The officers of Alabama Power were elected for a term running from the meeting of the directors held on April 24, 2009 for one year or until their successors are elected and have qualified.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF GEORGIA POWER

(Identification of executive officers of Georgia Power is inserted in Part I in accordance with Regulation S-K, Item 401(b), Instruction 3.) The ages of the officers set forth below are as of December 31, 2009.

Michael D. Garrett

President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director

Age 60

Elected in 2003. President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director of Georgia Power since April 2004.

Mickey A. Brown

Executive Vice President

Age 62

Elected in 2001. Executive Vice President of the Customer Service Organization since January 2005.

Ronnie R. Labrato

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer

Age 56

Elected in 2009. Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer since April 2009. Previously served as Vice President of Internal Auditing at SCS from April 2008 to March 2009 and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Gulf Power from July 2001 to March 2008.

Joseph A. Miller

Executive Vice President

Age 48

Elected in 2009. Executive Vice President of Nuclear Development since May 2009. Also serves as Executive Vice President of Nuclear Development at Southern Nuclear since February 2006. Previously served as Vice President of Government Relations at SCS from May 1999 to January 2006.

W. Craig Barrs

Executive Vice President

Age 52

Elected in 2008. Executive Vice President of External Affairs since January 2010. Previously served as Senior Vice President of External Affairs from January 2009 to January 2010, Vice President of Governmental and Regulatory Affairs from April 2008 to December 2008, Vice President of the Coastal Region from August 2006 to March 2008, President and Chief Executive Officer of Savannah Electric and Power Company from January 2006 until its merger with and into Georgia Power which was completed in July 2006, and Vice President of Community and Economic Development from November 2002 to December 2005.

Douglas E. Jones

Senior Vice President

Age 51

Elected in 2005. Senior Vice President of Fossil and Hydro Generation since March 2006. Previously served as Senior Vice President of Customer Service and Sales from January 2005 to February 2006 and Executive Vice President of Southern Power from January 2004 to January 2005.

Thomas P. Bishop

Senior Vice President, Chief Compliance Officer, and General Counsel

Age 49

Elected in 2008. Senior Vice President, Chief Compliance Officer, and General Counsel since September 2008.

Previously served as Vice President and Associate General Counsel for SCS from July 2004 to September 2008.

The officers of Georgia Power were elected for a term running from the meeting of the directors held on May 20, 2009 for one year or until their successors are elected and have qualified.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF MISSISSIPPI POWER

(Identification of executive officers of Mississippi Power is inserted in Part I in accordance with Regulation S-K, Item 401(b), Instruction 3.) The ages of the officers set forth below are as of December 31, 2009.

Anthony J. Topazi

President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director

Age 59

Elected in 2003. President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director since January 1, 2004.

Thomas O. Anderson, IV

Vice President

Age 50

Elected in 2009. Vice President of Generation Development since July 2009. Previously served as Project Director, Mississippi Power Generation Development from March 2008 to July 2009; Project Manager, Southern Power Generation from June 2007 to March 2008; and Generation Development Manager, SCS Generation Development from September 1998 to June 2007.

John W. Atherton

Vice President

Age 49

Elected in 2004. Vice President of External Affairs since January 2005. Previously served as the Director of Economic Development from September 2003 to January 2005.

Kimberly D. Flowers

Vice President

Age 45

Elected in 2005. Vice President and Senior Production Officer since March 2005. Previously served as Plant Manager, Plant Bowen, Georgia Power from November 2000 until March 2005.

Donald R. Horsley

Vice President

Age 55

Elected in 2006. Vice President of Customer Services and Retail Marketing since April 2006. Previously served as Vice President of Transmission at Alabama Power from March 2005 to March 2006 and Manager, Transmission Lines at Alabama Power from February 2001 to March 2005.

Frances Turnage

Vice President, Treasurer, and
Chief Financial Officer

Age 61

Elected in 2005. Vice President, Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer since March 2005. Previously served as Comptroller from 1993 to March 2005.

The officers of Mississippi Power were elected for a term running from the meeting of the directors held on April 8, 2009 for one year or until their successors are elected and have qualified.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANTS' COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

(a)(1) The common stock of Southern Company is listed and traded on the New York Stock Exchange. The common stock is also traded on regional exchanges across the United States. The high and low stock prices as reported on the New York Stock Exchange for each quarter of the past two years were as follows:

	High	Low
2009		
First Quarter	\$37.62	\$26.48
Second Quarter	32.05	27.19
Third Quarter	32.67	30.27
Fourth Quarter	34.47	30.89
2008		
First Quarter	\$40.60	\$33.71
Second Quarter	37.81	34.28
Third Quarter	40.00	34.46
Fourth Quarter	38.18	29.82

There is no market for the other registrants' common stock, all of which is owned by Southern Company.

(a)(2) Number of Southern Company's common stockholders of record at January 31, 2010: 92,374

Each of the other registrants have one common stockholder, Southern Company.

(a)(3) Dividends on each registrant's common stock are payable at the discretion of their respective board of directors. The dividends on common stock declared by Southern Company and the traditional operating companies to their stockholder(s) for the past two years were as follows:

Registrant	Quarter	2009	2008
		(in thousands)	
Southern Company	First	\$326,780	\$307,960
	Second	343,446	322,634
	Third	348,702	323,844
	Fourth	350,538	325,681
Alabama Power	First	130,700	122,825
	Second	130,700	122,825
	Third	130,700	122,825
	Fourth	130,700	122,825
Georgia Power	First	184,725	180,300
	Second	184,725	180,300
	Third	184,725	180,300
	Fourth	184,725	180,300

Gulf Power	First	22,350	20,425
	Second	22,300	20,425
	Third	22,325	20,425
	Fourth	22,325	20,425

Mississippi Power	First	17,125	17,100
	Second	17,125	17,100
	Third	17,125	17,100
	Fourth	17,125	17,100

II-1

Table of Contents

In 2009 and 2008, Southern Power paid dividends to Southern Company as follows:

Registrant	Quarter	2009	2008
		(in millions)	
Southern Power	First	\$26.525	\$23.63
	Second	26.525	23.63
	Third	26.525	23.63
	Fourth	26.525	23.63

The dividend paid per share of Southern Company's common stock was 40.25¢ for the first quarter of 2008 and 42¢ for the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2008. In 2009, Southern Company paid a dividend per share of 42¢ in the first quarter of 2009 and 43.75¢ for the second, third, and fourth quarters of 2009.

The traditional operating companies and Southern Power can only pay dividends to Southern Company out of retained earnings or paid-in-capital.

Southern Power's credit facility and senior note indenture contain potential limitations on the payment of common stock dividends. At December 31, 2009, Southern Power was in compliance with the conditions of this credit facility and thus had no restrictions on its ability to pay common stock dividends. See Note 8 to the financial statements of Southern Company under "Common Stock Dividend Restrictions" and Note 6 to the financial statements of Southern Power under "Dividend Restrictions" in Item 8 herein for additional information regarding these restrictions.

(a)(4) Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans.

See Part III, Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters under the heading "Equity Compensation Plan Information" herein.

(b) Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

None.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Southern Company. See "SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA," contained herein at pages II-95 and II-96.

Alabama Power. See "SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA," contained herein at pages II-167 and II-168.

Georgia Power. See "SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA," contained herein at pages II-242 and II-243.

Gulf Power. See "SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA," contained herein at pages II-308 and II-309.

Mississippi Power. See "SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA," contained herein at pages II-382 and II-383.

Southern Power. See "SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA," contained herein at page II-430.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Southern Company. See "MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS," contained herein at pages II-11 through II-39.

Table of Contents

Alabama Power. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, contained herein at pages II-100 through II-122.

Georgia Power. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, contained herein at pages II-172 through II-195.

Gulf Power. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, contained herein at pages II-247 through II-267.

Mississippi Power. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, contained herein at pages II-313 through II-338.

Southern Power. See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, contained herein at pages II-387 through II-406.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY - Market Price Risk of each of the registrants in Item 7 herein and Note 1 of each of the registrant's financial statements under Financial Instruments in Item 8 herein. See also Note 10 to the financial statements of Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Georgia Power, Note 9 to the financial statements of Gulf Power and Mississippi Power, and Note 8 to the financial statements of Southern Power in Item 8 herein.

II-3

Table of Contents**Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA***INDEX TO 2009 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS*

	Page
<u>The Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies:</u>	
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	II-9
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	II-10
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-40
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-41
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-42
<u>Consolidated Statements of Capitalization at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-44
<u>Consolidated Statements of Common Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-46
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-47
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	II-48
<u>Alabama Power:</u>	
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	II-98
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	II-99
<u>Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-123
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-124
<u>Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-125
<u>Statements of Capitalization at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-127
<u>Statements of Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-129
<u>Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-130
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	II-131
<u>Georgia Power:</u>	
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	II-170
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	II-171
<u>Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-196
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-197
<u>Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-198
<u>Statements of Capitalization at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-200
<u>Statements of Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-201
<u>Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-202
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	II-203
<u>Gulf Power:</u>	
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	II-245
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	II-246
<u>Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-268
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-269
<u>Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-270
<u>Statements of Capitalization at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-272
<u>Statements of Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-273
<u>Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-274
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	II-275

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Mississippi Power:</u>	
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	II-311
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	II-312
<u>Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-339
<u>Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-340
<u>Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-341
<u>Statements of Capitalization at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-343
<u>Statements of Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-344
<u>Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-345
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	II-346
<u>Southern Power and Subsidiary Companies:</u>	
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	II-385
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	II-386
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-407
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-408
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	II-409
<u>Consolidated Statements of Common Stockholder's Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-411
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007</u>	II-412
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	II-413
Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	
None.	

Table of Contents

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls And Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this annual report, Southern Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of Southern Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Sections 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon this evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

(a) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Southern Company's Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is included on page II-9 of this Form 10-K.

(b) Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm.

The report of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Southern Company's independent registered public accounting firm, regarding Southern Company's internal control over financial reporting is included on page II-10 of this Form 10-K.

(c) Changes in internal controls.

There have been no changes in Southern Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the fourth quarter 2009 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect Southern Company's internal control over financial reporting other than as described in the next paragraph.

In October 2009, Georgia Power implemented a new general ledger system. The implementation of this system provides additional operational and internal control benefits including system security and automation of previously manual controls. This process improvement initiative was not in response to an identified internal control deficiency.

Item 9A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls And Procedures.

As of the end of the period covered by this annual report, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and Southern Power conducted separate evaluations under the supervision and with the participation of each company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Sections 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon these evaluations, the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, in each case, concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

(a) Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Alabama Power's Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is included on page II-98 of this Form 10-K.

Georgia Power's Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is included on page II-170 of this Form 10-K.

Gulf Power's Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is included on page II-245 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Mississippi Power's Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is included on page II-311 of this Form 10-K.

Southern Power's Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting is included on page II-385 of this Form 10-K.

(b) Changes in internal controls.

There have been no changes in Alabama Power's, Gulf Power's, Mississippi Power's, or Southern Power's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the fourth quarter 2009 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect Alabama Power's, Gulf Power's, Mississippi Power's, or Southern Power's internal control over financial reporting.

There have been no changes in Georgia Power's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the fourth quarter 2009 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect Georgia Power's internal control over financial reporting, other than as described in the next sentence. In October 2009, Georgia Power implemented a new general ledger system. The implementation of this system provides additional operational and internal control benefits including system security and automation of previously manual controls. This process improvement initiative was not in response to an identified internal control deficiency.

Item 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

Georgia Power

On February 23, 2010, Georgia Power, acting for itself and as agent for OPC, MEAG Power, and Dalton (collectively, Owners), and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse and Stone & Webster (collectively, Consortium) entered into an amendment (Amendment) to the Engineering, Procurement, and Construction Agreement, dated as of April 8, 2008 (Agreement), between the Owners and the Consortium, relating to Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. Under the Agreement, the Owners agreed to pay a purchase price that will be subject to certain price escalation and adjustments, including certain index-based adjustments, as well as adjustments for change orders, and performance bonuses. The Amendment, which is subject to the approval of the Georgia PSC, replaces certain of the index-based adjustments to the purchase price with fixed escalation amounts.

See MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS - FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Construction Nuclear of Georgia Power in Item 7 herein and Note 3 to the financial statements of Georgia Power under Construction Nuclear in Item 8 herein for information regarding Georgia Power's construction of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

Table of Contents

**THE SOUTHERN COMPANY
AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
FINANCIAL SECTION**

II-8

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Southern Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). A control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Under management's supervision, an evaluation of the design and effectiveness of Southern Company's internal control over financial reporting was conducted based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that Southern Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009. Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as auditors of Southern Company's financial statements, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of Southern Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. Deloitte & Touche LLP's report on Southern Company's internal control over financial reporting is included herein.

/s/ David M. Ratcliffe

David M. Ratcliffe

Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ W. Paul Bowers

W. Paul Bowers

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

February 25, 2010

II-9

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Southern Company**

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of capitalization of Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (page II-9). Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements (pages II-40 to II-93) referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia
February 25, 2010

II-10

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

OVERVIEW

Business Activities

The primary business of Southern Company (the Company) is electricity sales in the Southeast by the traditional operating companies—Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power—and Southern Power. The four traditional operating companies are vertically integrated utilities providing electric service in four Southeastern states. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market.

Many factors affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of Southern Company's electricity business. These factors include the traditional operating companies' ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment, to maintain energy sales given the effects of the recession, and to effectively manage and secure timely recovery of rising costs. Each of the traditional operating companies has various regulatory mechanisms that operate to address cost recovery. Appropriately balancing required costs and capital expenditures with customer prices will continue to challenge the Company for the foreseeable future.

Another major factor is the profitability of the competitive market-based wholesale generating business and federal regulatory policy, which may impact Southern Company's level of participation in this market. The Company continues to face regulatory challenges related to transmission issues at the national level. Southern Power continues to execute its strategy through a combination of acquiring and constructing new power plants and by entering into power purchase agreements (PPAs) with investor owned utilities, independent power producers, municipalities, and electric cooperatives.

Southern Company's other business activities include investments in leveraged lease projects, renewable energy projects, and telecommunications. Management continues to evaluate the contribution of each of these activities to total shareholder return and may pursue acquisitions and dispositions accordingly.

Key Performance Indicators

In striving to maximize shareholder value while providing cost-effective energy to more than four million customers, Southern Company continues to focus on several key indicators. These indicators include customer satisfaction, plant availability, system reliability, and earnings per share (EPS), excluding the MC Asset Recovery, LLC (MC Asset Recovery) litigation settlement discussed below. Southern Company's financial success is directly tied to the satisfaction of its customers. Key elements of ensuring customer satisfaction include outstanding service, high reliability, and competitive prices. Management uses customer satisfaction surveys and reliability indicators to evaluate the Company's results.

Peak season equivalent forced outage rate (Peak Season EFOR) is an indicator of fossil/hydro and nuclear plant availability and efficient generation fleet operations during the months when generation needs are greatest. The rate is calculated by dividing the number of hours of forced outages by total generation hours. The fossil/hydro 2009 Peak Season EFOR of 1.44% was better than the target. The nuclear 2009 Peak Season EFOR of 2.61% was slightly better than the target. Transmission and distribution system reliability performance is measured by the frequency and duration of outages. Performance targets for reliability are set internally based on historical performance, expected weather conditions, and expected capital expenditures. The performance for 2009 was better than the target for these reliability measures.

Southern Company entered into a settlement agreement with MC Asset Recovery to resolve a complaint alleging that Southern Company caused Mirant Corporation (Mirant) to engage in certain fraudulent transfers and to pay illegal dividends to Southern Company prior to the spin-off of Mirant in 2001. Pursuant to the settlement, Southern Company recorded a charge of \$202 million in 2009. The settlement has been completed and resolves all claims by MC Asset Recovery against Southern Company. Southern Company management uses the non-GAAP (defined below) measure of EPS, excluding the MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement, to evaluate the performance of Southern Company's ongoing business activities. Southern Company believes the presentation of this non-GAAP measure of earnings and EPS excluding the MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement is useful for investors because it provides earnings

information that is consistent with the historical and ongoing business activities of the Company. The presentation of this information is not meant to be considered a substitute for financial measures prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

II-11

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Southern Company's 2009 results compared with its targets for some of these key indicators are reflected in the following chart:

Key Performance Indicator	2009 Target Performance	2009 Actual Performance
	Top quartile in	Top
Customer Satisfaction	customer surveys	quartile
Peak Season EFOR fossil/hydro	2.75% or less	1.44%
Peak Season EFOR nuclear	2.75% or less	2.61%
Basic EPS	\$2.30 \$2.45	\$ 2.07
EPS, excluding the MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement		\$ 2.32

See RESULTS OF OPERATIONS herein for additional information on the Company's financial performance. The performance achieved in 2009 reflects the continued emphasis that management places on these indicators as well as the commitment shown by employees in achieving or exceeding management's expectations.

Earnings

Southern Company's net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries was \$1.64 billion in 2009, a decrease of \$99 million from the prior year. This decrease was primarily the result of a litigation settlement with MC Asset Recovery, a decrease in revenues from lower kilowatt-hour (KWH) demand across all customer classes, a decrease in revenues from market-response rates to large commercial and industrial customers, higher depreciation and amortization, higher interest expense, and unfavorable weather. The 2009 decrease was partially offset by an increase in revenues from customer charges at Alabama Power, increased recognition of environmental compliance cost recovery (ECCR) revenues at Georgia Power in accordance with its retail rate plan for the years 2008 through 2010 (2007 Retail Rate Plan), lower operations and maintenance expenses, an increase in allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) equity, which is not taxable, a 2008 charge related to the tax treatment of leveraged lease investments, and a gain on the early retirement of two international leveraged lease investments. Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries was \$1.74 billion in 2008 and \$1.73 billion in 2007. Basic EPS was \$2.07 in 2009, \$2.26 in 2008, and \$2.29 in 2007. Diluted EPS, which factors in additional shares related to stock-based compensation, was \$2.06 in 2009, \$2.25 in 2008, and \$2.28 in 2007.

Dividends

Southern Company has paid dividends on its common stock since 1948. Dividends paid per share of common stock were \$1.7325 in 2009, \$1.6625 in 2008, and \$1.595 in 2007. In January 2010, Southern Company declared a quarterly dividend of 43.75 cents per share. This is the 249th consecutive quarter that Southern Company has paid a dividend equal to or higher than the previous quarter. The Company targets a dividend payout ratio of approximately 65% to 70% of net income. For 2009, the actual payout ratio was 83.3% while the payout ratio of net income excluding the MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement was 74.2%.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Electricity Business

Southern Company's electric utilities generate and sell electricity to retail and wholesale customers in the Southeast. A condensed statement of income for the electricity business follows:

	Amount		Increase (Decrease) from Prior Year	
	2009	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Electric operating revenues	\$ 15,642	\$ (1,358)	\$ 1,860	\$ 1,052
Fuel	5,952	(865)	973	701
Purchased power	474	(341)	300	(28)
Other operations and maintenance	3,401	(183)	111	183
Depreciation and amortization	1,476	62	199	51
Taxes other than income taxes	816	22	56	23
Total electric operating expenses	12,119	(1,305)	1,639	930
Operating income	3,523	(53)	221	122
Other income (expense), net	199	53	26	66
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	834	61	10	46
Income taxes	988	(49)	87	1
Net income	1,900	(12)	150	141
Dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries	65		17	13
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries	\$ 1,835	\$ (12)	\$ 133	\$ 128

Electric Operating Revenues

Details of electric operating revenues were as follows:

	Amount		
	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Retail - prior year	\$ 14,055	\$ 12,639	\$ 11,801
Estimated change in			
Rates and pricing	144	668	161
Sales growth (decline)	(208)		60
Weather	(21)	(106)	54
Fuel and other cost recovery	(663)	854	563
Retail - current year	13,307	14,055	12,639

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Wholesale revenues	1,802	2,400	1,988
Other electric operating revenues	533	545	513
Electric operating revenues	\$15,642	\$17,000	\$15,140
Percent change	(8.0%)	12.3%	7.5%

II-13

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Retail revenues decreased \$748 million, increased \$1.4 billion, and increased \$838 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The significant factors driving these changes are shown in the preceding table. The increase in rates and pricing in 2009 was primarily due to an increase in revenues from customer charges at Alabama Power and increased recognition of ECCR revenues at Georgia Power in accordance with its 2007 Retail Rate Plan, partially offset by a decrease in revenues from market-response rates to large commercial and industrial customers at Georgia Power. The 2008 increase in rates and pricing when compared to the prior year was primarily due to Alabama Power's increase under its Rate Stabilization and Equalization Plan (Rate RSE), as ordered by the Alabama Public Service Commission (PSC), and Georgia Power's increase under its 2007 Retail Rate Plan, as ordered by the Georgia PSC. Also contributing to the 2008 increase was an increase in revenues from market-response rates to large commercial and industrial customers. The 2007 increase in rates and pricing when compared to the prior year was primarily due to Alabama Power's increase under its Rate RSE, as ordered by the Alabama PSC. Partially offsetting the 2007 increase was a decrease in revenues from market-response rates to large commercial and industrial customers. See Energy Sales below for a discussion of changes in the volume of energy sold, including changes related to sales growth (decline) and weather.

Electric rates for the traditional operating companies include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs, including the energy component of purchased power costs. Under these provisions, fuel revenues generally equal fuel expenses, including the fuel component of purchased power, and do not affect net income. The traditional operating companies may also have one or more regulatory mechanisms to recover other costs such as environmental, storm damage, new plants, and PPAs.

Wholesale revenues consist of PPAs with investor-owned utilities and electric cooperatives, unit power sales contracts, and short-term opportunity sales. Short-term opportunity sales are made at market-based rates that generally provide a margin above the Company's variable cost to produce the energy.

In 2009, wholesale revenues decreased \$598 million. Wholesale fuel revenues, which are generally offset by wholesale fuel expenses and do not affect net income, decreased \$603 million in 2009. Excluding wholesale fuel revenues, wholesale revenues increased \$5 million primarily due to additional revenues associated with a new PPA at Southern Power's Plant Franklin Unit 3 which began in January 2009, partially offset by fewer short-term opportunity sales due to lower gas prices and reduced margins on short-term opportunity sales.

In 2008, wholesale revenues increased \$412 million primarily as a result of a 21.8% increase in the average cost of fuel per net KWH generated, as well as revenues resulting from new and existing PPAs and revenues derived from contracts for Southern Power's Plant Oleander Unit 5 and Plant Franklin Unit 3 placed in operation in December 2007 and June 2008, respectively. The 2008 increase was partially offset by a decrease in short-term opportunity sales and weather-related generation load reductions.

In 2007, wholesale revenues increased \$166 million primarily as a result of a 9.5% increase in the average cost of fuel per net KWH generated. Excluding fuel, wholesale revenues were flat when compared to the prior year.

Revenues associated with PPAs and opportunity sales were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Other power sales			
Capacity and other	\$ 575	\$ 538	\$ 533
Energy	735	1,319	989
Total	\$ 1,310	\$ 1,857	\$ 1,522

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Capacity revenues under unit power sales contracts, principally sales to Florida utilities, reflect the recovery of fixed costs and a return on investment. Unit power KWH sales decreased 7.5%, 2.1%, and 0.8% in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Fluctuations in oil and natural gas prices, which are the primary fuel sources for unit power sales contracts, influence changes in these sales. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Alabama Power herein for additional information regarding the termination of certain unit power sales contracts in 2010. However, because the energy is generally sold at variable cost, these fluctuations have a minimal effect on earnings. The capacity and energy components of the unit power sales contracts were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Unit power sales			
Capacity	\$225	\$223	\$202
Energy	267	320	264
Total	\$492	\$543	\$466

Energy Sales

Changes in revenues are influenced heavily by the change in the volume of energy sold from year to year. KWH sales for 2009 and the percent change by year were as follows:

	KWHs		Percent Change	
	2009	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in billions)</i>			
Residential	51.7	(1.1)%	(2.0)%	1.8%
Commercial	53.5	(1.7)	(0.4)	3.2
Industrial	46.4	(11.8)	(3.7)	(0.7)
Other	1.0	2.0	(2.9)	4.4
Total retail	152.6	(4.8)	(2.1)	1.4
Wholesale	33.5	(14.9)	(3.4)	5.9
Total energy sales	186.1	(6.8)	(2.3)	2.3

Changes in retail energy sales are comprised of changes in electricity usage by customers, changes in weather, and changes in the number of customers. Retail energy sales decreased 7.7 billion KWHs in 2009 primarily as a result of lower usage by industrial customers due to the recessionary economy. Reduced demand in the primary metal, chemical, and textile sectors, as well as the stone, clay, and glass sector, contributed most significantly to the decrease in industrial KWH sales. Unfavorable weather also contributed to lower KWH sales across all customer classes. The number of customers in 2009 was flat compared to 2008. Retail energy sales in 2008 decreased 3.4 billion KWHs as a result of a 1.4% decrease in electricity usage mainly due to a slowing economy that worsened during the fourth quarter. The 2008 decrease in residential sales resulted primarily from lower home occupancy rates in Southern Company's service area when compared to 2007. Throughout the year, reduced demand in the textile sector, the lumber sector, and the stone, clay, and glass sector contributed to the decrease in 2008 industrial sales. Additional weakness in the fourth quarter 2008 affected all major industrial segments. Significantly less favorable weather in

2008 when compared to 2007 also contributed to the 2008 decrease in retail energy sales. These decreases were partially offset by customer growth of 0.6%. Retail energy sales in 2007 increased 2.3 billion KWHs as a result of 1.3% customer growth and favorable weather in 2007 when compared to 2006. The 2007 decrease in industrial sales primarily resulted from reduced demand and closures within the textile sector, as well as decreased demand in the primary metals sector and the stone, clay, and glass sector.

Wholesale energy sales decreased by 5.9 billion KWHs in 2009, decreased by 1.4 billion KWHs in 2008, and increased by 2.3 billion KWHs in 2007. The decrease in wholesale energy sales in 2009 was primarily related to fewer short-term opportunity sales driven by lower gas prices and fewer uncontracted generating units at Southern Power available to sell electricity on the wholesale market. The decrease in wholesale energy sales in 2008 was primarily related to longer planned maintenance outages at a fossil unit in 2008 as compared to 2007 which reduced the availability of this unit for wholesale sales. Lower short-term opportunity sales primarily related to higher coal prices also contributed to the 2008 decrease. These decreases were partially offset by Plant Oleander Unit 5 and Plant Franklin Unit 3 being placed in operation in December 2007 and June 2008, respectively. The increase in wholesale energy sales in 2007 was primarily related to new PPAs acquired by Southern Company through the acquisition of Plant Rowan in September 2006, as well as new contracts with EnergyUnited Electric Membership Corporation that commenced in September 2006 and January 2007. An increase in KWH sales under existing PPAs also contributed to the 2007 increase.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
Fuel and Purchased Power Expenses

Fuel costs constitute the single largest expense for the electric utilities. The mix of fuel sources for generation of electricity is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units. Additionally, the electric utilities purchase a portion of their electricity needs from the wholesale market. Details of electricity generated and purchased by the electric utilities were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Total generation (<i>billions of KWHs</i>)	187	198	206
Total purchased power (<i>billions of KWHs</i>)	8	11	8
Sources of generation (<i>percent</i>)			
Coal	57	68	70
Nuclear	16	15	14
Gas	23	16	15
Hydro	4	1	1
Cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)			
Coal	3.70	3.27	2.61
Nuclear	0.55	0.50	0.50
Gas	4.58	7.58	6.64
Average cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)*	3.38	3.52	2.89
Average cost of purchased power (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)	6.37	7.85	7.20

* Fuel includes fuel purchased by the Company for tolling agreements where power is generated by the provider and is included in purchased power when determining the average cost of purchased power.

In 2009, fuel and purchased power expenses were \$6.4 billion, a decrease of \$1.2 billion or 15.8% below 2008 costs. This decrease was primarily the result of an \$839 million decrease related to the total KWHs generated and purchased due primarily to lower customer demand. Also contributing to this decrease was a \$367 million reduction in the average cost of fuel and purchased power resulting primarily from a 39.6% decrease in the cost of gas per KWH generated.

In 2008, fuel and purchased power expenses were \$7.6 billion, an increase of \$1.3 billion or 20.0% above 2007 costs. This increase was primarily the result of a \$1.3 billion net increase in the average cost of fuel and purchased power partially resulting from a 25.3% increase in the cost of coal per net KWH generated and a 14.2% increase in the cost of gas per net KWH generated.

In 2007, fuel and purchased power expenses were \$6.4 billion, an increase of \$673 million or 11.8% above 2006 costs. This increase was primarily the result of a \$543 million net increase in the average cost of fuel and purchased power partially resulting from a 51.4% decrease in hydro generation as a result of a severe drought. Also contributing to this increase was a \$130 million increase related to higher net KWHs generated and purchased.

Coal prices continued to be influenced by worldwide demand from developing countries, as well as increased mining and fuel transportation costs. While coal prices reached unprecedented high levels in 2008, the recessionary economy pushed prices downward in 2009. However, the lower prices did not fully offset the higher priced coal already in inventory and under long-term contract. Demand for natural gas in the United States also was affected by the recessionary economy leading to significantly lower natural gas prices. During 2009, uranium prices continued to moderate from the highs set during 2007. Worldwide production levels increased in 2009; however, secondary supplies and inventories were still required to meet worldwide reactor demand.

Fuel expenses generally do not affect net income, since they are offset by fuel revenues under the traditional operating companies' fuel cost recovery provisions. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Fuel Cost Recovery herein for additional information. Likewise, Southern Power's PPAs generally provide that the purchasers are responsible for substantially all of the cost of fuel.

Other Operations and Maintenance Expenses

Other operations and maintenance expenses were \$3.4 billion, \$3.6 billion, and \$3.5 billion, decreasing \$183 million, increasing \$111 million, and increasing \$183 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Discussion of significant variances for components of other operations and maintenance expenses follows.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Other production expenses at fossil, hydro, and nuclear plants decreased \$70 million, increased \$63 million, and increased \$128 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Production expenses fluctuate from year to year due to variations in outage schedules and normal changes in the cost of labor and materials. Other production costs decreased in 2009 mainly due to a \$104 million decrease related to less planned spending on outages and maintenance, as well as other cost containment activities, which were the results of efforts to offset the effects of the recessionary economy. The 2009 decrease was partially offset by a \$6 million increase related to new facilities, a \$5 million loss on the transfer of Southern Power's Plant Desoto in 2009, a \$6 million gain recognized in 2008 by Southern Power on the sale of an undeveloped tract of land to the Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC), and a \$17 million increase in nuclear refueling costs. See Note 1 to the financial statements under Property, Plant, and Equipment for additional information regarding nuclear refueling costs. Other production expenses increased in 2008 primarily due to a \$64 million increase related to expenses incurred for maintenance outages at generating units and a \$30 million increase related to labor and materials expenses, partially offset by a \$15 million decrease in nuclear refueling costs. The 2008 increase was also partially offset by a \$24 million decrease related to new facilities, mainly lower costs associated with the 2007 write-off of Southern Power's integrated coal gasification combined cycle (IGCC) project with the OUC. Other production expenses increased in 2007 primarily due to a \$40 million increase related to expenses incurred for maintenance outages at generating units and a \$29 million increase related to new facilities, mainly costs associated with the write-off of Southern Power's IGCC project and the acquisitions of Plants DeSoto and Rowan by Southern Power in June and September 2006, respectively. A \$25 million increase related to labor and materials expenses and a \$22 million increase in nuclear refueling costs also contributed to the 2007 increase.

Transmission and distribution expenses decreased \$41 million, increased \$4 million, and increased \$21 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Transmission and distribution expenses fluctuate from year to year due to variations in maintenance schedules and normal changes in the cost of labor and materials. Transmission and distribution expenses decreased in 2009 primarily related to lower planned spending, as well as other cost containment activities. The 2008 increase in transmission and distribution expenses was not material when compared to the prior year. Transmission and distribution expenses increased in 2007 primarily as a result of increases in labor and materials costs and maintenance associated with additional investment to meet customer growth.

Customer sales and service expenses decreased \$42 million, increased \$32 million, and increased \$7 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Customer sales and service expenses decreased in 2009 primarily as a result of a \$12 million decrease in customer service expenses, an \$8 million decrease in meter reading expenses, a \$10 million decrease in sales expenses, and a \$7 million decrease in customer records related expenses. The 2008 increase in customer sales and service expenses was primarily a result of an increase in customer service expenses, including a \$13 million increase in uncollectible accounts expense, a \$9 million increase in meter reading expenses, and an \$8 million increase for customer records and collections. The 2007 increase in customer sales and service expenses was not material when compared to the prior year.

Administrative and general expenses decreased \$30 million, increased \$12 million, and increased \$27 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The 2009 decrease in administrative and general expenses was primarily the result of cost containment activities which were the results of efforts to offset the effects of the recessionary economy. The 2008 increase in administrative and general expenses was not material when compared to 2007. Administrative and general expenses increased in 2007 primarily as a result of a \$16 million increase in legal costs and expenses associated with an increase in employees. Also contributing to the 2007 increase was a \$14 million increase in accrued expenses for the litigation and workers' compensation reserve, partially offset by an \$8 million decrease in property damage expense.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$62 million in 2009 primarily as a result of an increase in plant in service related to environmental, transmission, and distribution projects mainly at Alabama Power and Georgia Power and the completion of Southern Power's Plant Franklin Unit 3, as well as an increase in depreciation rates at Southern Power. Partially offsetting the 2009 increase was a decrease associated with the amortization of the regulatory liability related

to the cost of removal obligations as authorized by the Georgia PSC. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Cost of Removal for additional information regarding Georgia Power's cost of removal amortization.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$199 million in 2008 primarily as a result of an increase in plant in service related to environmental, transmission, and distribution projects mainly at Alabama Power and Georgia Power and generation projects at Georgia Power. An increase in depreciation rates at Georgia Power and Southern Power also contributed to the 2008 increase, as well as the expiration of a rate order previously allowing Georgia Power to levelize certain purchased power capacity costs and the completion of Plant Oleander Unit 5 in December 2007 and Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008.

II-17

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Depreciation and amortization increased \$51 million in 2007 primarily as a result of an increase in plant in service related to environmental, transmission, and distribution projects mainly at Alabama Power and Georgia Power. An increase in the amortization expense of a regulatory liability recorded in 2003 in connection with the Mississippi PSC's accounting order on Plant Daniel capacity also contributed to the 2007 increase. Partially offsetting the 2007 increase was a reduction in amortization expense due to a Georgia Power regulatory liability related to the levelization of certain purchased power capacity costs as ordered by the Georgia PSC under the terms of the retail rate order effective January 1, 2005. See Note 1 to the financial statements under **Depreciation and Amortization** for additional information.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

Taxes other than income taxes increased \$22 million in 2009 primarily as a result of increases in the bases of state and municipal public utility license taxes at Alabama Power and an increase in franchise fees at Gulf Power. Increases in franchise fees are associated with increases in revenues from energy sales. Taxes other than income taxes increased \$56 million in 2008 primarily as a result of increases in franchise fees and municipal gross receipt taxes associated with increases in revenues from energy sales, as well as increases in property taxes associated with property tax actualizations and additional plant in service. Taxes other than income taxes increased \$23 million in 2007 primarily as a result of increases in franchise and municipal gross receipts taxes associated with increases in revenues from energy sales, partially offset by a decrease in property taxes resulting from the resolution of a dispute with Monroe County, Georgia.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net increased \$53 million in 2009 primarily due to an increase in AFUDC equity as a result of environmental projects at Alabama Power and Gulf Power and additional investments in transmission and distribution projects at Alabama Power. In addition, during 2009, Southern Power recognized a \$13 million profit under a construction contract with the OUC whereby Southern Power provided engineering, procurement, and construction services to build a combined cycle unit. Other income (expense), net increased \$26 million in 2008 primarily as a result of an increase in AFUDC equity related to additional investments in environmental equipment at generating plants at Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Gulf Power, as well as additional investments in transmission and distribution projects mainly at Alabama Power and Georgia Power. Other income (expense), net increased \$66 million in 2007 primarily as a result of an increase in AFUDC equity related to additional investments in environmental equipment at generating plants and transmission and distribution projects mainly at Alabama Power and Georgia Power.

Interest Expense, Net of Amounts Capitalized

Total interest charges and other financing costs increased by \$61 million in 2009 primarily as a result of a \$100 million increase associated with \$1.4 billion in additional debt outstanding at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008. Also contributing to the 2009 increase was \$16 million in other interest costs. The 2009 increase was partially offset by \$42 million related to lower average interest rates on existing variable rate debt and \$13 million of additional capitalized interest as compared to 2008.

Total interest charges and other financing costs increased by \$10 million in 2008 primarily as a result of a \$65 million increase associated with \$1.8 billion in additional debt outstanding at December 31, 2008 compared to December 31, 2007. Also contributing to the 2008 increase was \$5 million in other interest costs. The 2008 increase was partially offset by \$55 million related to lower average interest rates on existing variable rate debt and \$7 million of additional capitalized interest as compared to 2007.

Total interest charges and other financing costs increased by \$46 million in 2007 primarily as a result of a \$59 million increase associated with \$703 million in additional debt outstanding at December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006 and higher interest rates associated with the issuance of new long-term debt. Also contributing to the 2007 increase was \$7 million related to higher average interest rates on existing variable rate debt and \$19 million in other interest costs. The 2007 increase was partially offset by \$38 million of additional capitalized interest as compared to 2006.

Income Taxes

Income taxes decreased \$49 million in 2009 primarily due to lower pre-tax earnings as compared to 2008, an increase in AFUDC equity, which is not taxable, and an increase in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code), Section 199 production activities deduction. See Note 5 to the financial statements under **Effective Tax Rate** for additional information.

II-18

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Income taxes increased \$87 million in 2008 primarily due to higher pre-tax earnings as compared to 2007 and a 2007 deduction for a Georgia Power land donation. The 2008 increase was partially offset by an increase in AFUDC equity, which is not taxable.

Income taxes were relatively flat in 2007 as higher pre-tax earnings as compared to 2006 were largely offset due to a deduction for a Georgia Power land donation; an increase in AFUDC equity, which is not taxable; and an increase in the Section 199 production activities deduction.

Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock of Subsidiaries

Dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries for 2009 were flat compared to the prior year.

Dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries increased \$17 million in 2008 primarily as a result of issuances of \$320 million and \$150 million of preference stock in the third and fourth quarters of 2007, respectively, partially offset by the redemption of \$125 million of preferred stock in January 2008.

Dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries increased \$13 million in 2007 primarily as a result of a \$470 million increase associated with additional preference stock outstanding at December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006.

Other Business Activities

Southern Company's other business activities include the parent company (which does not allocate operating expenses to business units), investments in leveraged lease projects, and telecommunications. Southern Company's investment in synthetic fuel projects ended at December 31, 2007. These businesses are classified in general categories and may comprise one or more of the following subsidiaries: Southern Company Holdings invests in various projects, including leveraged lease projects; SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and also markets these services to the public and provides fiber cable services within the Southeast.

A condensed statement of income for Southern Company's other business activities follows:

	Amount	Increase (Decrease) from Prior Year		
	2009	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Operating revenues	\$ 101	\$ (26)	\$ (86)	\$(55)
Other operations and maintenance	125	(40)	(44)	(29)
MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement	202	202		
Depreciation and amortization	27	(2)	(1)	(6)
Taxes other than income taxes	2	(1)		
Total operating expenses	356	159	(45)	(35)
Operating income (loss)	(255)	(185)	(41)	(20)
Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries	(1)	(11)	35	35
Leveraged lease income (losses)	40	125	(125)	(29)
Other income (expense), net	3	(8)	(31)	74
Interest expense	71	(22)	(30)	(26)
Income taxes	(92)	30	(7)	53

Net income (loss)	\$ (192)	\$ (87)	\$(125)	\$ 33
-------------------	----------	---------	---------	-------

Operating Revenues

Southern Company's non-electric operating revenues from these other businesses decreased \$26 million in 2009 primarily as a result of a \$25 million decrease in revenues at SouthernLINC Wireless related to lower average revenue per subscriber and fewer subscribers due to increased competition in the industry. The \$86 million decrease in 2008 primarily resulted from a \$60 million decrease associated with Southern Company terminating its investment in synthetic fuel projects at December 31, 2007 and a \$21 million decrease in revenues at SouthernLINC Wireless related to lower average revenue per subscriber and fewer subscribers due to

II-19

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

increased competition in the industry. Also contributing to the 2008 decrease was a \$5 million decrease in revenues from Southern Company's energy-related services business. The \$55 million decrease in 2007 primarily resulted from a \$14 million decrease in fuel procurement service revenues following a contract termination, a \$13 million decrease in revenues at SouthernLINC Wireless related to lower average revenue per subscriber and fewer subscribers due to increased competition in the industry, and an \$11 million decrease in revenues from Southern Company's energy-related services business.

Other Operations and Maintenance Expenses

Other operations and maintenance expenses for these other businesses decreased \$40 million in 2009 primarily as a result of a \$15 million decrease in salary and wages, advertising, equipment, and network costs at SouthernLINC Wireless; a \$10 million decrease in expenses associated with leveraged lease litigation costs; and a \$6 million decrease in parent company expenses associated with the MC Asset Recovery litigation. Other operations and maintenance expenses decreased \$44 million in 2008 primarily as a result of \$11 million of lower coal expenses related to Southern Company terminating its investment in synthetic fuel projects at December 31, 2007; \$9 million of lower sales expenses at SouthernLINC Wireless related to lower sales volume; and \$5 million of lower parent company expenses related to advertising, litigation, and property insurance costs. Other operations and maintenance expenses decreased \$29 million in 2007 primarily as a result of \$11 million of lower production expenses related to the termination of Southern Company's membership interest in one of the synthetic fuel entities and \$8 million attributed to the wind-down of one of the Company's energy-related services businesses.

MC Asset Recovery Litigation Settlement

On March 31, 2009, Southern Company entered into a litigation settlement agreement with MC Asset Recovery which resulted in a charge of \$202 million and requires MC Asset Recovery to release Southern Company and certain other designated avoidance actions assigned to MC Asset Recovery in connection with Mirant's plan of reorganization, as well as to release all actions against current or former officers and directors of Mirant and Southern Company that have or could have been filed. Pursuant to the settlement, Southern Company recorded a charge in the first quarter 2009 of \$202 million, which was paid in the second quarter 2009. The settlement has been completed and resolves all claims by MC Asset Recovery against Southern Company. On June 29, 2009, the case was dismissed with prejudice.

Equity in Income (Losses) of Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

Southern Company made investments in two synthetic fuel production facilities that generated operating losses. These investments allowed Southern Company to claim federal income tax credits that offset these operating losses and made the projects profitable. Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries decreased \$11 million in 2009 as a result of an \$11 million gain recognized in 2008 related to the dissolution of a partnership that was associated with these synthetic fuel production facilities. Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries increased \$35 million in 2008 primarily as a result of Southern Company terminating its investment in synthetic fuel projects at December 31, 2007. Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated subsidiaries increased \$35 million in 2007 primarily as a result of terminating Southern Company's membership interest in one of the synthetic fuel entities which reduced the amount of the Company's share of the losses and, therefore, the funding obligation for the year. Also contributing to the 2007 decrease were adjustments to the phase-out of the related federal income tax credits, partially offset by higher operating expenses due to idled production in 2006 and decreased production in 2007 in anticipation of exiting the business.

Leveraged Lease Income (Losses)

Southern Company has several leveraged lease agreements which relate to international and domestic energy generation, distribution, and transportation assets. Southern Company receives federal income tax deductions for depreciation and amortization, as well as interest on long-term debt related to these investments. Leveraged lease income (losses) increased \$125 million in 2009 primarily as a result of the application in 2008 of certain accounting standards related to leveraged leases, as well as a \$26 million gain recorded in the second quarter 2009 associated with the early termination of two international leveraged lease investments. The proceeds from the termination were required to be used to extinguish all debt related to leveraged lease investments, a portion of which had make-whole

redemption provisions. This resulted in a \$17 million loss and partially offset the 2009 increase. Leveraged lease income (losses) decreased \$125 million in 2008 as a result of Southern Company's decision to participate in a settlement with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) related to deductions for several sale-in-lease-out transactions and the resulting application of certain accounting standards related to leveraged leases. Leveraged lease income (losses) decreased \$29 million in 2007 as a result of the adoption of certain accounting standards related to leveraged leases, as well as an expected decline in leveraged lease income over the terms of the leases.

II-20

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report*****Other Income (Expense), Net***

The 2009 change in other income (expense), net for these other businesses when compared to the prior year was not material. Other income (expense), net decreased \$31 million in 2008 primarily as a result of the 2007 gain on a derivative transaction in the synthetic fuel business which settled on December 31, 2007. Other income (expense), net increased \$74 million in 2007 primarily as a result of a \$60 million increase related to changes in the value of derivative transactions in the synthetic fuel business and a \$16 million increase related to the 2006 impairment of investments in the synthetic fuel entities, partially offset by the release of \$6 million in certain contractual obligations associated with these investments in 2006.

Interest Expense

Total interest charges and other financing costs for these other businesses decreased \$22 million in 2009 primarily as a result of \$26 million associated with lower average interest rates on existing variable rate debt and a \$2 million decrease attributed to other interest charges. The 2009 decrease was partially offset by a \$4 million increase associated with \$63 million in additional debt outstanding at December 31, 2009 compared to December 31, 2008. Total interest charges and other financing costs decreased \$30 million in 2008 primarily as a result of \$29 million associated with lower average interest rates on existing variable rate debt and a \$4 million decrease attributed to lower interest rates associated with new debt issued to replace maturing securities. At December 31, 2008, these other businesses had \$92 million in additional debt outstanding compared to December 31, 2007. The 2008 decrease was partially offset by a \$5 million increase in other interest costs. Total interest charges and other financing costs decreased by \$26 million in 2007 primarily as a result of \$16 million of losses on debt that was reacquired in 2006. Also contributing to the 2007 decrease was \$97 million less debt outstanding at December 31, 2007 compared to December 31, 2006, lower interest rates associated with the issuance of new long-term debt, and a \$4 million decrease in other interest costs.

Income Taxes

Income taxes for these other businesses increased \$30 million in 2009 excluding the effects of the \$202 million charge resulting from the litigation settlement with MC Asset Recovery in the first quarter 2009. The 2009 increase was primarily due to the application in 2008 of certain accounting standards related to leveraged leases and income taxes. Partially offsetting this increase was lower tax expense associated with the early termination of two international leveraged lease investments and the extinguishment of the associated debt discussed previously under Leveraged Lease Income (Losses). Income taxes decreased \$7 million in 2008 primarily as a result of leveraged lease losses discussed previously under Leveraged Lease Income (Losses), partially offset by a \$36 million decrease in net synthetic fuel tax credits as a result of Southern Company terminating its investment in synthetic fuel projects at December 31, 2007. Income taxes increased \$53 million in 2007 primarily as a result of a \$30 million decrease in net synthetic fuel tax credits as a result of terminating Southern Company's membership interest in one of the synthetic fuel entities in 2006 and increasing the synthetic fuel tax credit reserves due to an anticipated phase-out of synthetic fuel tax credits due to higher oil prices. See Note 5 to the financial statements under Effective Tax Rate for further information.

Effects of Inflation

The traditional operating companies are subject to rate regulation that is generally based on the recovery of historical and projected costs. The effects of inflation can create an economic loss since the recovery of costs could be in dollars that have less purchasing power. Southern Power is party to long-term contracts reflecting market-based rates, including inflation expectations. Any adverse effect of inflation on Southern Company's results of operations has not been substantial.

FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL**General**

The four traditional operating companies operate as vertically integrated utilities providing electricity to customers within their service areas in the Southeastern United States. Prices for electricity provided to retail customers are set by state PSCs under cost-based regulatory principles. Prices for wholesale electricity sales, interconnecting transmission lines, and the exchange of electric power are regulated by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

(FERC). Retail rates and earnings are reviewed and may be adjusted periodically within certain limitations. Southern Power continues to focus on long-term capacity contracts, optimized by limited energy trading activities. See ACCOUNTING POLICIES Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Electric Utility Regulation herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for additional information about regulatory matters.

II-21

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The results of operations for the past three years are not necessarily indicative of future earnings potential. The level of Southern Company's future earnings depends on numerous factors that affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of Southern Company's primary business of selling electricity. These factors include the traditional operating companies' ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment that continues to allow for the recovery of prudently incurred costs during a time of increasing costs. Other major factors include the profitability of the competitive wholesale supply business and federal regulatory policy which may impact Southern Company's level of participation in this market. Southern Company continues to face regulatory challenges related to transmission issues at the national level. Future earnings for the electricity business in the near term will depend, in part, upon maintaining energy sales, which is subject to a number of factors. These factors include weather, competition, new energy contracts with neighboring utilities and other wholesale customers, energy conservation practiced by customers, the price of electricity, the price elasticity of demand, and the rate of economic growth or decline in the service area. In addition, the level of future earnings for the wholesale supply business also depends on numerous factors including creditworthiness of customers, total generating capacity available in the Southeast, future acquisitions and construction of generating facilities, and the successful remarketing of capacity as current contracts expire. Recessionary conditions have negatively impacted sales for the traditional operating companies, particularly to industrial and commercial customers, and have negatively impacted wholesale capacity revenues at Southern Power. The timing and extent of the economic recovery will impact future earnings.

Southern Company system generating capacity increased 325 megawatts due to Southern Power's acquisition of West Georgia Generating Company, LLC and divestiture of DeSoto County Generating Company, LLC in December 2009. In general, Southern Company has constructed or acquired new generating capacity only after entering into long-term capacity contracts for the new facilities or to meet requirements of Southern Company's regulated retail markets, both of which are optimized by limited energy trading activities. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Construction Program herein and Note 7 to the financial statements for additional information.

As part of its ongoing effort to adapt to changing market conditions, Southern Company continues to evaluate and consider a wide array of potential business strategies. These strategies may include business combinations, partnerships, acquisitions involving other utility or non-utility businesses or properties, disposition of certain assets, internal restructuring, or some combination thereof. Furthermore, Southern Company may engage in new business ventures that arise from competitive and regulatory changes in the utility industry. Pursuit of any of the above strategies, or any combination thereof, may significantly affect the business operations, risks, and financial condition of Southern Company.

Environmental Matters

Compliance costs related to the Clean Air Act and other environmental statutes and regulations could affect earnings if such costs cannot continue to be fully recovered in rates on a timely basis. Environmental compliance spending over the next several years may exceed amounts estimated. Some of the factors driving the potential for such an increase are higher commodity costs, market demand for labor, and scope additions and clarifications. The timing, specific requirements, and estimated costs could also change as environmental statutes and regulations are adopted or modified. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Environmental Matters for additional information.

New Source Review Actions

In November 1999, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including Alabama Power and Georgia Power, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and Georgia Power, including facilities co-owned by Mississippi Power and Gulf Power. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The EPA concurrently issued notices of

violation to Gulf Power and Mississippi Power relating to Gulf Power's Plant Crist and Mississippi Power's Plant Watson. In early 2000, the EPA filed a motion to amend its complaint to add Gulf Power and Mississippi Power as defendants based on the allegations in the notices of violation. However, in March 2001, the court denied the motion based on lack of jurisdiction, and the EPA has not re-filed. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

II-22

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

Southern Company believes that the traditional operating companies complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to

II-23

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but the traditional operating companies and Southern Power were named as defendants in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Statutes and Regulations***General***

The electric utilities' operations are subject to extensive regulation by state and federal environmental agencies under a variety of statutes and regulations governing environmental media, including air, water, and land resources.

Applicable statutes include the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Toxic Substances Control Act; the Emergency Planning & Community Right-to-Know Act; the Endangered Species Act; and related federal and state regulations. Compliance with these environmental requirements involves significant capital and operating costs, a major portion of which is expected to be recovered through existing ratemaking provisions. Through 2009, the electric utilities had invested approximately \$7.5 billion in capital projects to comply with these requirements, with annual totals of \$1.3 billion, \$1.6 billion, and \$1.5 billion for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company expects that capital expenditures to assure compliance with existing and new statutes and regulations will be an additional \$545 million, \$721 million, and \$1.2 billion for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The Company's compliance strategy can be affected by changes to existing environmental laws, statutes, and regulations; the cost, availability, and existing inventory of emissions allowances; and the Company's fuel mix. Environmental costs that are known and estimable at this time are included in capital expenditures discussed under FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY - Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations herein.

Compliance with any new federal or state legislation or regulations related to global climate change, air quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, or other environmental and health concerns could also significantly affect Southern Company. Although new or revised environmental legislation or regulations could affect many areas of Southern Company's operations, the full impact of any such changes cannot be determined at this time.

Air Quality

Compliance with the Clean Air Act and resulting regulations has been and will continue to be a significant focus for Southern Company. Through 2009, the electric utilities have spent approximately \$6.6 billion in reducing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions and in monitoring emissions pursuant to the Clean Air Act. Additional controls are currently being installed at several plants to further reduce air emissions, maintain compliance with existing regulations, and meet new requirements.

The EPA regulates ground level ozone through implementation of an eight-hour ozone air quality standard. A 20-county area within metropolitan Atlanta is the only location within Southern Company's service area that is currently designated as nonattainment for the standard, which could require additional reductions in NO_x emissions from power plants. In March 2008, however, the EPA issued a final rule establishing a more stringent eight-hour ozone standard, and on January 6, 2010, the EPA proposed further reductions in the standard. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised standard in August 2010 and require state implementation plans for any nonattainment areas by December 2013. The revised eight-hour ozone standard is expected to result in designation of new nonattainment areas within Southern Company's service territory.

During 2005, the EPA's annual fine particulate matter nonattainment designations became effective for several areas within Southern Company's service area in Alabama and Georgia. State plans for addressing the nonattainment designations for this standard could require further reductions in SO₂ and NO_x emissions from power plants. In September 2006, the EPA published a final rule which increased the stringency of the 24-hour average fine particulate matter air quality standard. The Birmingham, Alabama area has been designated as nonattainment for the 24-hour standard, and a state implementation plan for this nonattainment area is due in December 2012.

On December 8, 2009, the EPA also proposed revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for SO₂. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised SO₂ standard in June 2010.

Twenty-eight eastern states, including each of the states within Southern Company's service area, are subject to the requirements of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). The rule calls for additional reductions of NO_x and/or SO₂ to be achieved in two phases, 2009/2010 and 2015. In July 2008 and December 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

decisions invalidating certain aspects of CAIR, but left CAIR compliance requirements in place while the EPA develops a revised rule. States in the Southern Company service territory have completed plans to implement CAIR, and emissions reductions are being accomplished by the installation of emissions controls at coal-fired facilities of the electric utilities and/or by the purchase of emissions allowances. The EPA is expected to issue a proposed CAIR replacement rule in July 2010.

The Clean Air Visibility Rule was finalized in July 2005, with a goal of restoring natural visibility conditions in certain areas (primarily national parks and wilderness areas) by 2064. The rule involves the application of Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) to certain sources built between 1962 and 1977, and any additional emissions reductions necessary for each designated area to achieve reasonable progress toward the natural conditions goal by 2018 and for each ten-year period thereafter. For power plants, the Clean Air Visibility Rule allows states to determine that CAIR satisfies BART requirements for SO₂ and NO_x, and no additional controls beyond CAIR are anticipated to be necessary at any of the traditional operating companies' facilities. States have completed or are currently completing implementation plans for BART compliance and other measures required to achieve the first phase of reasonable progress.

The EPA is currently developing a Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) rule for coal and oil-fired electric generating units, which will likely address numerous Hazardous Air Pollutants, including mercury. In March 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), a cap and trade program for the reduction of mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. In February 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the CAMR. In a separate proceeding in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the EPA entered into a proposed consent decree that requires the EPA to issue a proposed MACT rule by March 16, 2011 and a final rule by November 16, 2011.

In February 2004, the EPA finalized the Industrial Boiler (IB) MACT rule, which imposed limits on hazardous air pollutants from industrial boilers, including biomass boilers. Compliance with the final rule was scheduled to begin in September 2007; however, in response to challenges to the final rule, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the IB MACT rule in its entirety in July 2007 and ordered the EPA to develop a new IB MACT rule. In September 2009, the deadline to promulgate a proposed rule was extended from July 15, 2009 to April 15, 2010, with a final rule required by December 16, 2010. The EPA is currently developing the new rule and may change the methodology to determine the MACT limits for industrial boilers.

The impacts of the eight-hour ozone standards, the fine particulate matter nonattainment designations, and future revisions to CAIR, the SO₂ standard, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, and the MACT rules for electric generating units and industrial boilers on the Company cannot be determined at this time and will depend on the specific provisions of the final rules, resolution of any legal challenges, and the development and implementation of rules at the state level. However, these additional regulations could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

The Company has developed and continually updates a comprehensive environmental compliance strategy to assess compliance obligations associated with the continuing and new environmental requirements discussed above. As part of this strategy, the Company has already installed a number of SO₂ and NO_x emissions controls and plans to install additional controls within the next several years to ensure continued compliance with applicable air quality requirements. In addition, most units in Georgia are required to install specific emissions controls according to a schedule set forth in the state's Multipollutant Rule, which is designed to reduce emissions of SO₂, NO_x, and mercury in Georgia.

Water Quality

In July 2004, the EPA published final regulations under the Clean Water Act to reduce impingement and entrainment of fish, shellfish, and other forms of aquatic life at existing power plant cooling water intake structures. The use of cost-benefit analysis in the rule was ultimately appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court held that the EPA could consider costs in arriving at its standards and in providing variances from

those standards for existing intake structures. The EPA is now in the process of revising the regulations. While the U.S. Supreme Court's decision may ultimately result in greater flexibility for demonstrating compliance with the standards, the full scope of the regulations will depend on further rulemaking by the EPA and the actual requirements established by state regulatory agencies and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

On December 28, 2009, the EPA announced its determination that revision of the current effluent guidelines for steam electric power plants is warranted and proposed a plan to adopt such revisions by 2013. New wastewater treatment requirements are expected and may result in the installation of additional controls on certain Southern Company system facilities. The impact of revised guidelines will depend on the studies conducted in connection with the rulemaking, as well as the specific requirements of the final rule, and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

II-25

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report***Environmental Remediation*

Southern Company must comply with other environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the traditional operating companies could incur substantial costs to clean up properties. The traditional operating companies conduct studies to determine the extent of any required cleanup and have recognized in their respective financial statements the costs to clean up known sites. Amounts for cleanup and ongoing monitoring costs were not material for any year presented. The traditional operating companies may be liable for some or all required cleanup costs for additional sites that may require environmental remediation. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Environmental Matters Environmental Remediation for additional information.

Coal Combustion Byproducts

The EPA is currently evaluating whether additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts is merited under federal solid and hazardous waste laws. The EPA has collected information from the electric utility industry on surface impoundment safety and conducted on-site inspections at three facilities of Alabama Power and Georgia Power as part of its evaluation. The traditional operating companies have a routine and robust inspection program in place to ensure the integrity of their respective coal ash surface impoundments. The EPA is expected to issue a proposal regarding additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts in early 2010. The impact of these additional regulations on the Company will depend on the specific provisions of the final rule and cannot be determined at this time. However, additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts could have a significant impact on the traditional operating companies' management, beneficial use, and disposal of such byproducts and could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Global Climate Issues

Federal legislative proposals that would impose mandatory requirements related to greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy standards, and energy efficiency standards continue to be considered in Congress, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions has been identified as a high priority by the current Administration. On June 26, 2009, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (ACES), which would impose mandatory greenhouse gas restrictions through implementation of a cap and trade program, a renewable energy standard, and other measures, was passed by the House of Representatives. ACES would require reductions of greenhouse gas emissions on a national basis to a level that is 17% below 2005 levels by 2020, 42% below 2005 levels by 2030, and 83% below 2005 levels by 2050. In addition, ACES would provide for renewable energy standards of 6% by 2012 and 20% by 2020. Similar legislation is being considered by the Senate. The financial and operational impact of such legislation, if enacted, will depend on a variety of factors. These factors include the specific greenhouse gas emissions limits or renewable energy requirements, the timing of implementation of these limits or requirements, the level of emissions allowances allocated and the level that must be purchased, the purchase price of emissions allowances, the development and commercial availability of technologies for renewable energy and for the reduction of emissions, the degree to which offsets may be used for compliance, provisions for cost containment (if any), the impact on coal and natural gas prices, and cost recovery through regulated rates. There can be no assurance that any legislation will be enacted or as to the ultimate form of any legislation. Additional or alternative legislation may be adopted as well.

In April 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the EPA has authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published a final determination, which became effective on January 14, 2010, that certain greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles endanger public health and welfare due to climate change. On September 28, 2009, the EPA published a proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles under the Clean Air Act. The EPA has stated that once this rule is effective, it will cause carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases to become regulated pollutants under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) preconstruction permit program and the Title V operating permit program, which both apply to power plants. As a result, the construction of new facilities or the major modification of existing facilities could trigger the requirement for a PSD permit and the installation of the best available control

technology for carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. The EPA also published a proposed rule governing how these programs would be applied to stationary sources, including power plants, on October 27, 2009. The EPA has stated that it expects to finalize these proposed rules in March 2010. The ultimate outcome of the endangerment finding and these proposed rules cannot be determined at this time and will depend on additional regulatory action and any legal challenges.

International climate change negotiations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change also continue. A nonbinding agreement was announced during the most recent round of negotiations in December 2009 that included a pledge from both developed and developing countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The outcome and impact of the international negotiations cannot be determined at this time.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Although the outcome of federal, state, or international initiatives cannot be determined at this time, mandatory restrictions on the Company's greenhouse gas emissions or requirements relating to renewable energy or energy efficiency on the federal or state level are likely to result in significant additional compliance costs, including significant capital expenditures. These costs could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions, and could result in the retirement of a significant number of coal-fired generating units. See Item 1 BUSINESS Rate Matters Integrated Resource Planning for additional information. Also, additional compliance costs and costs related to unit retirements could affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates. Further, higher costs that are recovered through regulated rates could contribute to reduced demand for electricity, which could negatively impact results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition. In 2008, the total carbon dioxide emissions from the fossil fuel-fired electric generating units owned by the electric utilities were approximately 142 million metric tons. The preliminary estimate of carbon dioxide emissions from these units in 2009 is approximately 121 million metric tons. The level of carbon dioxide emissions from year to year will be dependent on the level of generation and mix of fuel sources, which is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

The Company is actively evaluating and developing electric generating technologies with lower greenhouse gas emissions. These include new nuclear generation, including two additional generating units at Plant Vogtle in Georgia; proposed construction of an advanced IGCC unit with approximately 65% carbon capture in Kemper County, Mississippi; and renewables investments, including the construction of a biomass plant in Sacul, Texas. The Company is currently considering additional projects and is pursuing research into the costs and viability of other renewable technologies for the Southeast.

PSC Matters***Alabama Power***

Rate RSE adjustments are based on forward-looking information for the applicable upcoming calendar year. Rate adjustments for any two-year period, when averaged together, cannot exceed 4% per year and any annual adjustment is limited to 5%. Retail rates remain unchanged when the retail return on common equity (ROE) is projected to be between 13% and 14.5%. If Alabama Power's actual retail ROE is above the allowed equity return range, customer refunds will be required; however, there is no provision for additional customer billings should the actual retail ROE fall below the allowed equity return range.

On December 1, 2009, Alabama Power made its Rate RSE submission to the Alabama PSC of projected data for calendar year 2010. The Rate RSE increase for 2010 is 3.2%, or \$152 million annually, and was effective in January 2010. The revenue adjustment under the Rate RSE is largely attributable to the costs associated with fossil capacity which is currently dedicated to certain long-term wholesale contracts that expire during 2010. Retail cost of service for 2010 reflects the cost for that portion of the year in which this capacity is no longer committed to wholesale. The termination of these long-term wholesale contracts will result in a significant decrease in unit power sales capacity revenues. In an Alabama PSC order dated January 5, 2010, the Alabama PSC acknowledged that a full calendar year of costs for such capacity would be reflected in the Rate RSE calculation beginning in 2011 and thereafter. Under the terms of Rate RSE, the maximum increase for 2011 cannot exceed 4.76%.

The Alabama PSC has also approved a rate mechanism that provides for adjustments to recognize the cost of placing new generating facilities in retail service and for the recovery of retail costs associated with certificated PPAs under a Rate Certificated New Plant (Rate CNP). There was no adjustment to Rate CNP in April 2007, 2008, or 2009. Effective April 2010, Rate CNP will be reduced approximately \$70 million annually, primarily due to the expiration on May 31, 2010 of the PPA with Southern Power covering the capacity of Plant Harris Unit 1. Rate CNP also allows for the recovery of Alabama Power's retail costs associated with environmental laws, regulations, or other such mandates. The rate mechanism is based on forward-looking information and provides for the recovery of these costs pursuant to a factor that is calculated annually. Environmental costs to be recovered include operations and maintenance expenses, depreciation, and a return on invested capital.

On December 1, 2009, Alabama Power made its Rate CNP environmental submission to the Alabama PSC of projected data for calendar year 2010. The Rate CNP environmental increase for 2010 is 4.3%, or \$195 million annually, based upon projected billings. Under the terms of the rate mechanism, the adjustment became effective in January 2010. The Rate CNP environmental adjustment is primarily attributable to scrubbers being placed in service during 2010 at four of Alabama Power's generating plants. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters - Alabama Power - Retail Rate Plans for further information.

II-27

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report*****Georgia Power***

In December 2007, the Georgia PSC approved the 2007 Retail Rate Plan. Under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, Georgia Power's earnings are evaluated against a retail ROE range of 10.25% to 12.25%. Retail base rates increased by approximately \$100 million effective January 1, 2008 to provide for cost recovery of transmission, distribution, generation, and other investments, as well as increased operating costs. In addition, the ECCR tariff was implemented to allow for the recovery of costs related to environmental projects mandated by state and federal regulations. The ECCR tariff increased rates by approximately \$222 million effective January 1, 2008.

In connection with the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, Georgia Power agreed that it would not file for a general base rate increase during this period unless its projected retail ROE falls below 10.25%. The economic recession has significantly reduced Georgia Power's revenues upon which retail rates were set under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan. In June 2009, despite stringent efforts to reduce expenses, Georgia Power's projected retail ROE for both 2009 and 2010 was below 10.25%. However, in lieu of filing to increase customer rates as allowed under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, on June 29, 2009, Georgia Power filed a request with the Georgia PSC for an accounting order that would allow Georgia Power to amortize up to \$324 million of its regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations. On August 27, 2009, the Georgia PSC approved the accounting order. Under the terms of the accounting order, Georgia Power was entitled to amortize up to one-third of the regulatory liability (\$108 million) in 2009, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 9.75% retail ROE. For the year ended December 31, 2009, Georgia Power amortized \$41 million of the regulatory liability. In addition, Georgia Power may amortize up to two-thirds of the regulatory liability (\$216 million) in 2010, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 10.15% retail ROE. Georgia Power is required to file a general rate case by July 1, 2010, in response to which the Georgia PSC would be expected to determine whether the 2007 Retail Rate Plan should be continued, modified, or discontinued. See Note 3 to the financial statements under "Retail Regulatory Matters - Georgia Power - Retail Rate Plans" for additional information.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The traditional operating companies each have established fuel cost recovery rates approved by their respective state PSCs. In previous years, the traditional operating companies experienced higher than expected fuel costs for coal, natural gas, and uranium. These higher fuel costs have resulted in total under recovered fuel costs included in the balance sheets of Georgia Power and Gulf Power of approximately \$667 million at December 31, 2009. During the third quarter 2009, Alabama Power and Mississippi Power collected all previously under recovered fuel costs and, as of December 31, 2009, have a total over recovered fuel balance of \$229 million. The total under recovered fuel costs included in the balance sheets of the traditional operating companies at December 31, 2008 was \$1.2 billion. The traditional operating companies continuously monitor the under or over recovered fuel cost balances.

Fuel cost recovery revenues as recorded on the financial statements are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, changing the billing factor has no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income, but does impact annual cash flow. See Note 1 to the financial statements under "Revenues" and Note 3 to the financial statements under "Retail Regulatory Matters - Alabama Power - Fuel Cost Recovery" and "Retail Regulatory Matters - Georgia Power - Fuel Cost Recovery" for additional information.

Legislation

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Major tax incentives in the ARRA include an extension of bonus depreciation and multiple renewable energy incentives, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of Southern Company. Southern Company's cash flow reduction to 2009 tax payments as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions of the ARRA was approximately \$250 million. On December 8, 2009, President Obama announced proposals to accelerate job growth that include an extension of the bonus depreciation provision for the ARRA for 2010, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of Southern Company.

On October 27, 2009, Southern Company and its subsidiaries received notice that an award of \$165 million had been granted under the ARRA grant application for transmission and distribution automation and modernization projects

pending final negotiations. Southern Company continues to assess the other financial implications of the ARRA. The U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate have passed separate bills related to healthcare reform. Both bills include a provision that would make Medicare Part D subsidy reimbursements taxable. If enacted into law, this provision could have a

II-28

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

significant negative impact on Southern Company's net income. See Note 2 to the financial statements under "Other Postretirement Benefits" for additional information.

The ultimate impact of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Income Tax Matters***Georgia State Income Tax Credits***

Georgia Power's 2005 through 2008 income tax filings for the State of Georgia include state income tax credits for increased activity through Georgia ports. Georgia Power has also filed similar claims for the years 2002 through 2004. The Georgia Department of Revenue has not responded to these claims. In July 2007, Georgia Power filed a complaint in the Superior Court of Fulton County to recover the credits claimed for the years 2002 through 2004. An unrecognized tax benefit has been recorded related to these credits. See Note 5 to the financial statements under

"Unrecognized Tax Benefits" for additional information. If Georgia Power prevails, these claims could have a significant, and possibly material, positive effect on Southern Company's net income. If Georgia Power is not successful, payment of the related state tax could have a significant, and possibly material, negative effect on Southern Company's cash flow. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

Internal Revenue Code Section 199 Domestic Production Deduction

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code. The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. See Note 5 to the financial statements under "Effective Tax Rate" for additional information.

Construction Program

The subsidiary companies of Southern Company are engaged in continuous construction programs to accommodate existing and estimated future loads on their respective systems. Southern Company intends to continue its strategy of developing and constructing new generating facilities, including units at Southern Power, proposed new nuclear units, and a proposed IGCC facility, as well as adding environmental control equipment and expanding the transmission and distribution systems. For the traditional operating companies, major generation construction projects are subject to state PSC approvals in order to be included in retail rates. While Southern Power generally constructs and acquires generation assets covered by long-term PPAs, any uncontracted capacity could negatively affect future earnings. See Note 7 to the financial statements under "Construction Program" for estimated construction expenditures for the next three years. In addition, see Note 3 to the financial statements under "Retail Regulatory Matters—Georgia Power Nuclear Construction" and "Retail Regulatory Matters—Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle" for additional information.

Other Matters

Southern Company and its subsidiaries are involved in various other matters being litigated, regulatory matters, and certain tax-related issues that could affect future earnings. In addition, Southern Company and its subsidiaries are subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The business activities of Southern Company's subsidiaries are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment, such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against Southern Company and its subsidiaries cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on Southern Company's financial statements. See Note 3 to the financial statements for information regarding material issues.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****ACCOUNTING POLICIES****Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Southern Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. In the application of these policies, certain estimates are made that may have a material impact on Southern Company's results of operations and related disclosures. Different assumptions and measurements could produce estimates that are significantly different from those recorded in the financial statements. Senior management has discussed the development and selection of the critical accounting policies and estimates described below with the Audit Committee of Southern Company's Board of Directors.

Electric Utility Regulation

Southern Company's traditional operating companies, which comprised approximately 97% of Southern Company's total operating revenues for 2009, are subject to retail regulation by their respective state PSCs and wholesale regulation by the FERC. These regulatory agencies set the rates the traditional operating companies are permitted to charge customers based on allowable costs. As a result, the traditional operating companies apply accounting standards which require the financial statements to reflect the effects of rate regulation. Through the ratemaking process, the regulators may require the inclusion of costs or revenues in periods different than when they would be recognized by a non-regulated company. This treatment may result in the deferral of expenses and the recording of related regulatory assets based on anticipated future recovery through rates or the deferral of gains or creation of liabilities and the recording of related regulatory liabilities. The application of the accounting standards has a further effect on the Company's financial statements as a result of the estimates of allowable costs used in the ratemaking process. These estimates may differ from those actually incurred by the traditional operating companies; therefore, the accounting estimates inherent in specific costs such as depreciation, nuclear decommissioning, and pension and postretirement benefits have less of a direct impact on the Company's results of operations than they would on a non-regulated company.

As reflected in Note 1 to the financial statements, significant regulatory assets and liabilities have been recorded. Management reviews the ultimate recoverability of these regulatory assets and liabilities based on applicable regulatory guidelines and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, adverse legislative, judicial, or regulatory actions could materially impact the amounts of such regulatory assets and liabilities and could adversely impact the Company's financial statements.

Contingent Obligations

Southern Company and its subsidiaries are subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, as well as other factors and conditions that potentially subject them to environmental, litigation, income tax, and other risks. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for more information regarding certain of these contingencies. Southern Company periodically evaluates its exposure to such risks and, in accordance with GAAP, records reserves for those matters where a non-tax-related loss is considered probable and reasonably estimable and records a tax asset or liability if it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained. The adequacy of reserves can be significantly affected by external events or conditions that can be unpredictable; thus, the ultimate outcome of such matters could materially affect Southern Company's financial statements.

These events or conditions include the following:

- Changes in existing state or federal regulation by governmental authorities having jurisdiction over air quality, water quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, control of toxic substances, hazardous and solid wastes, and other environmental matters.

- Changes in existing income tax regulations or changes in IRS or state revenue department interpretations of existing regulations.

- Identification of additional sites that require environmental remediation or the filing of other complaints in which Southern Company or its subsidiaries may be asserted to be a potentially responsible party.

Identification and evaluation of other potential lawsuits or complaints in which Southern Company or its subsidiaries may be named as a defendant.

Resolution or progression of new or existing matters through the legislative process, the court systems, the IRS, state revenue departments, the FERC, or the EPA.

II-30

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report*****Unbilled Revenues***

Revenues related to the retail sale of electricity are recorded when electricity is delivered to customers. However, the determination of KWH sales to individual customers is based on the reading of their meters, which is performed on a systematic basis throughout the month. At the end of each month, amounts of electricity delivered to customers, but not yet metered and billed, are estimated. Components of the unbilled revenue estimates include total KWH territorial supply, total KWH billed, estimated total electricity lost in delivery, and customer usage. These components can fluctuate as a result of a number of factors including weather, generation patterns, and power delivery volume and other operational constraints. These factors can be unpredictable and can vary from historical trends. As a result, the overall estimate of unbilled revenues could be significantly affected, which could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

Southern Company's calculation of pension and other postretirement benefits expense is dependent on a number of assumptions. These assumptions include discount rates, health care cost trend rates, expected long-term return on plan assets, mortality rates, expected salary and wage increases, and other factors. Components of pension and other postretirement benefits expense include interest and service cost on the pension and other postretirement benefit plans, expected return on plan assets and amortization of certain unrecognized costs and obligations. Actual results that differ from the assumptions utilized are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect recognized expense and the recorded obligation in future periods. While the Company believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions would affect its pension and other postretirement benefits costs and obligations.

Key elements in determining Southern Company's pension and other postretirement benefit expense in accordance with GAAP are the expected long-term return on plan assets and the discount rate used to measure the benefit plan obligations and the periodic benefit plan expense for future periods. The expected long-term return on postretirement benefit plan assets is based on Southern Company's investment strategy, historical experience, and expectations for long-term rates of return that considers external actuarial advice.

Southern Company determines the long-term return on plan assets by applying the long-term rate of expected returns on various asset classes to Southern Company's target asset allocation. Southern Company discounts the future cash flows related to its postretirement benefit plans using a single-point discount rate developed from the weighted average of market-observed yields for high quality fixed income securities with maturities that correspond to expected benefit payments.

The following table illustrates the sensitivity to changes in Southern Company's long-term assumptions with respect to the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the assumed discount rate:

Change in Assumption	Increase/(Decrease) in Total Benefit Expense for 2010	Increase/(Decrease) in Projected Obligation for Pension Plan at December 31, 2009	Increase/(Decrease) in Projected Obligation for Other Postretirement Benefit Plans at December 31, 2009
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
25 basis point change in discount rate	\$11/\$(8)	\$226/\$(214)	\$53/\$(51)
25 basis point change in salary assumption	\$9/\$(8)	\$58/\$(55)	N/M
25 basis point change in long-term return on plan assets	\$19/\$(19)	N/M	N/M

N/M Not meaningful

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****New Accounting Standards*****Variable Interest Entities***

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities, which replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative, requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. Southern Company adopted this new guidance effective January 1, 2010, with no material impact on its financial statements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY**Overview**

Southern Company's financial condition remained stable at December 31, 2009. Throughout the turmoil in the financial markets, Southern Company has maintained adequate access to capital without drawing on any of its committed bank credit arrangements used to support its commercial paper programs and variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. Southern Company intends to continue to monitor its access to short-term and long-term capital markets as well as its bank credit arrangements to meet future capital and liquidity needs. Market rates for committed credit have increased, and Southern Company and its subsidiaries have been and expect to continue to be subject to higher costs as existing facilities are replaced or renewed. Total committed credit fees for Southern Company and its subsidiaries currently average less than 1/2 of 1% per year. See *Sources of Capital* and *Financing Activities* herein for additional information.

Southern Company's investments in pension and nuclear decommissioning trust funds remained stable in value as of December 31, 2009. Southern Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012 and such contribution could be significant; however, projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables including future trust fund performance and cannot be determined at this time. Southern Company does not expect any changes to funding obligations to the nuclear decommissioning trusts prior to 2011. Net cash provided from operating activities in 2009 totaled \$3.3 billion, a decrease of \$201 million from the corresponding period in 2008. Significant changes in operating cash flow for 2009 as compared to the corresponding period in 2008 include a reduction to net income as previously discussed, increased levels of coal inventory, and increased cash outflows for tax payments. These uses of funds were partially offset by increased cash inflows as a result of higher fuel cost recovery rates included in customer billings. Net cash provided from operating activities in 2008 totaled \$3.5 billion, an increase of \$30 million as compared to 2007. Significant changes in operating cash flow for 2008 included a \$264 million increase in the use of funds for fossil fuel inventory as compared to the corresponding period in 2007. This use of funds was offset by an increase in cash of \$312 million in accrued taxes primarily due to a difference between the periods in payments for federal taxes and property taxes. Net cash provided from operating activities in 2007 totaled \$3.4 billion, an increase of \$583 million as compared to the corresponding period in 2006. The increase was primarily due to an increase in net income as previously discussed, an increase in cash collections from previously deferred fuel and storm damage costs, and a reduction in cash outflows compared to the previous year in fossil fuel inventory.

Net cash used for investing activities in 2009 totaled \$4.3 billion primarily due to property additions to utility plant of \$4.7 billion, partially offset by approximately \$340 million in cash received from the early termination of two leveraged lease investments. Net cash used for investing activities in 2008 totaled \$4.1 billion primarily due to property additions to utility plant of \$4.0 billion. In 2007, net cash used for investing activities was \$3.7 billion primarily due to property additions to utility plant of \$3.5 billion.

Net cash provided from financing activities totaled \$1.3 billion in 2009 primarily due to the issuance of new long-term debt and common stock issuances, partially offset by cash outflows for repayments of long-term debt and dividend payments. Net cash provided from financing activities totaled \$878 million in 2008 primarily due to long-term debt issuances. Net cash provided from financing activities totaled \$309 million in 2007 primarily due to replacement of short-term debt with longer term financing and cash raised from common stock programs.

Significant balance sheet changes in 2009 include an increase of \$3.4 billion in total property, plant, and equipment for the installation of equipment to comply with environmental standards and construction of generation, transmission, and distribution facilities. Other

II-32

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

significant changes include an increase in long-term debt, excluding amounts due within one year, of \$1.3 billion used primarily for construction expenditures and general corporate purposes and \$1.6 billion of additional equity.

At the end of 2009, the closing price of Southern Company's common stock was \$33.32 per share, compared with book value of \$18.15 per share. The market-to-book value ratio was 184% at the end of 2009, compared with 217% at year-end 2008.

Southern Company, each of the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power have received investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt, preferred securities, preferred stock, and/or preference stock. Southern Company Services, Inc. has an investment grade corporate credit rating. See **Credit Rating Risk** herein for additional information.

Sources of Capital

Southern Company intends to meet its future capital needs through internal cash flow and external security issuances. Equity capital can be provided from any combination of the Company's stock plans, private placements, or public offerings. The amount and timing of additional equity capital to be raised in 2010, as well as in subsequent years, will be contingent on Southern Company's investment opportunities.

The traditional operating companies and Southern Power plan to obtain the funds required for construction and other purposes from sources similar to those used in the past, which were primarily from operating cash flows, security issuances, term loans, short-term borrowings, and equity contributions from Southern Company. However, the type and timing of any financings, if needed, will depend upon prevailing market conditions, regulatory approval, and other factors. In addition, on February 16, 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) offered Georgia Power a conditional commitment for federal loan guarantees that would apply to future Georgia Power borrowings related to two additional nuclear units on the site of Plant Vogtle (Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4). Any borrowings guaranteed by the DOE would be full recourse to Georgia Power and secured by a first priority lien on Georgia Power's 45.7% undivided ownership interest in Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. Total guaranteed borrowings would not exceed 70% of eligible project costs, or approximately \$3.4 billion, and are expected to be funded by the Federal Financing Bank. Georgia Power has 90 days to accept the conditional commitment, including obtaining any necessary regulatory approvals. Georgia Power will work with the DOE to finalize loan guarantees. Final approval and issuance of loan guarantees by the DOE are subject to receipt of the combined construction and operating license for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 from the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), negotiation of definitive agreements, completion of due diligence by the DOE, receipt of any necessary regulatory approvals, and satisfaction of other conditions. There can be no assurance that the DOE will issue loan guarantees for Georgia Power.

The issuance of securities by the traditional operating companies is generally subject to the approval of the applicable state PSC. The issuance of all securities by Mississippi Power and Southern Power and short-term securities by Georgia Power is generally subject to regulatory approval by the FERC. Additionally, with respect to the public offering of securities, Southern Company and certain of its subsidiaries file registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (1933 Act). The amounts of securities authorized by the appropriate regulatory authorities, as well as the amounts, if any, registered under the 1933 Act, are continuously monitored and appropriate filings are made to ensure flexibility in the capital markets.

Southern Company, each traditional operating company, and Southern Power obtain financing separately without credit support from any affiliate. See Note 6 to the financial statements under **Bank Credit Arrangements** for additional information. The Southern Company system does not maintain a centralized cash or money pool.

Therefore, funds of each company are not commingled with funds of any other company.

Southern Company's current liabilities frequently exceed current assets because of the continued use of short-term debt as a funding source to meet cash needs as well as scheduled maturities of long-term debt. To meet short-term cash needs and contingencies, Southern Company has substantial cash flow from operating activities and access to capital markets, including commercial paper programs (which are backed by bank credit facilities).

At December 31, 2009, Southern Company and its subsidiaries had approximately \$690 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$4.8 billion of unused credit arrangements with banks, of which \$1.5 billion expire in 2010,

\$25 million expire in 2011, and \$3.2 billion expire in 2012. Approximately \$81 million of the credit facilities expiring in 2010 allow for the execution of term loans for an additional two-year period, and \$517 million allow for the execution of one-year term loans. Most of these arrangements contain covenants that limit debt levels and typically contain cross default provisions that are restricted only to the indebtedness of the individual company. Southern Company and its subsidiaries are currently in compliance with all such covenants. A portion of the unused credit with banks is allocated to provide liquidity support to the traditional operating companies variable rate pollution control

II-33

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

revenue bonds. The amount of variable rate pollution control revenue bonds requiring liquidity support as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$1.6 billion. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two remarketings of pollution control revenue bonds increased that amount to \$1.8 billion. See Note 6 to the financial statements under "Bank Credit Arrangements" for additional information.

Financing Activities

During 2009, Southern Company issued \$350 million of Series 2009A 4.15% Senior Notes due May 15, 2014 and \$300 million of Series 2009B Floating Rate Senior Notes due October 21, 2011, and its subsidiaries issued \$1.8 billion of senior notes and incurred obligations of \$625 million related to the issuance of pollution control revenue bonds. A portion of the proceeds of the newly issued pollution control revenue bonds were used to retire \$327 million of outstanding pollution control revenue bonds. Southern Company also issued 22.6 million shares of common stock for \$673 million through the Southern Investment Plan and employee and director stock plans. In addition, Southern Company issued 19.9 million shares of common stock through at-the-market issuances pursuant to sales agency agreements related to Southern Company's continuous equity offering program and received cash proceeds of \$613 million, net of \$6 million in fees and commissions. The proceeds were primarily used to redeem or repay at maturity \$1.2 billion of long-term debt, to fund ongoing construction projects, to repay short-term and long-term indebtedness, and for general corporate purposes.

Also during 2009, Georgia Power and Gulf Power entered into forward starting interest rate swaps to mitigate exposure to interest rate changes related to anticipated debt issuances. The notional amounts of the swaps totaled \$200 million and \$100 million, respectively. Georgia Power had net realized losses of \$19 million upon termination of \$300 million of interest rate hedges during 2009. The effective portion of these losses has been deferred in other comprehensive income and is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the original interest rate hedge.

In 2009, Southern Company used a portion of the cash received from the early termination of two leveraged lease investments to extinguish \$253 million of debt which included all debt related to these leveraged lease investments and to pay make-whole redemption premiums of \$17 million associated with such debt.

In addition to any financings that may be necessary to meet capital requirements and contractual obligations, Southern Company and its subsidiaries plan to continue, when economically feasible, a program to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit.

Off-Balance Sheet Financing Arrangements

In 2001, Mississippi Power began the initial 10-year term of a lease agreement for a combined cycle generating facility built at Plant Daniel for approximately \$370 million. In 2003, the generating facility was acquired by Juniper Capital L.P. (Juniper), a limited partnership whose investors are unaffiliated with Mississippi Power. Simultaneously, Juniper entered into a restructured lease agreement with Mississippi Power. Juniper has also entered into leases with other parties unrelated to Mississippi Power. The assets leased by Mississippi Power comprise less than 50% of Juniper's assets. Mississippi Power is not required to consolidate the leased assets and related liabilities, and the lease with Juniper is considered an operating lease. The lease also provides for a residual value guarantee, approximately 73% of the acquisition cost, by Mississippi Power that is due upon termination of the lease in the event that Mississippi Power does not renew the lease or purchase the assets and that the fair market value is less than the unamortized cost of the assets. In April 2010, 18 months prior to the end of the initial lease term, Mississippi Power may elect to renew for 10 years. See Note 7 to the financial statements under "Operating Leases" for additional information.

Credit Rating Risk

Southern Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain contracts that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of a credit rating change of certain subsidiaries to BBB and Baa2, or BBB- and/or Baa3 or below. These contracts are for physical electricity purchases and sales, fuel purchases, fuel transportation and storage, emissions allowances, energy price risk management, and construction of new generation facilities. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a BBB and

Baa2 rating were approximately \$9 million and at a BBB- and/or Baa3 rating were approximately \$467 million. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3 were approximately \$2.3 billion. Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Additionally, any credit rating downgrade could impact Southern Company's ability to access capital markets, particularly the short-term debt market.

II-34

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

On September 2, 2009, Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) affirmed the credit ratings of Southern Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper of A3/P-1, respectively, and revised the rating outlook for Southern Company to negative. On September 4, 2009, Fitch Ratings, Inc. affirmed Southern Company's long-term and commercial paper credit ratings of A/F1, respectively, and maintained its stable rating outlook. On October 6, 2009, Standard and Poor's Rating Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P) affirmed the credit ratings of Southern Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper of A-/A-1, respectively, and maintained a stable rating outlook.

Market Price Risk

Southern Company is exposed to market risks, primarily commodity price risk and interest rate risk. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets the exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. Company policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis.

To mitigate future exposure to a change in interest rates, the Company enters into forward starting interest rate swaps and other derivatives that have been designated as hedges. Derivatives outstanding at December 31, 2009 have a notional amount of \$976 million and are related to anticipated debt issuances and various floating rate obligations over the next year. The weighted average interest rate on \$2.7 billion of long-term variable interest rate exposure that has not been hedged at January 1, 2010 was 0.76%. If Southern Company sustained a 100 basis point change in interest rates for all unhedged variable rate long-term debt, the change would affect annualized interest expense by approximately \$27 million at January 1, 2010. For further information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Financial Instruments and Note 11 to the financial statements.

Due to cost-based rate regulation, the traditional operating companies continue to have limited exposure to market volatility in interest rates, commodity fuel prices, and prices of electricity. In addition, Southern Power's exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity is limited because its long-term sales contracts shift substantially all fuel cost responsibility to the purchaser. However, Southern Power has been and may continue to be exposed to market volatility in energy-related commodity prices as a result of sales of uncontracted generating capacity. To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the traditional operating companies enter into physical fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market and, to a lesser extent, into financial hedge contracts for natural gas purchases. The traditional operating companies continue to manage fuel-hedging programs implemented per the guidelines of their respective state PSCs. The changes in fair value of energy-related derivative contracts were as follows at December 31:

	2009	2008
	Changes	Changes
	Fair Value	
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Contracts outstanding at the beginning of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(285)	\$ 4
Contracts realized or settled	367	(150)
Current period changes ^(a)	(260)	(139)
Contracts outstanding at the end of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(178)	\$(285)

(a)

Current period changes also include the changes in fair value of new contracts entered into during the period, if any.

The change in the fair value positions of the energy-related derivative contracts for the year ended December 31, 2009 was an increase of \$107 million, substantially all of which is due to natural gas positions. The change is attributable to both the volume of million British thermal units (mmBtu) and prices of natural gas. At December 31, 2009, Southern Company had a net hedge volume of 154 million mmBtu (includes location basis of 2 million mmBtu) with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$1.17 per mmBtu above market prices, compared to 149 million mmBtu (includes location basis of 2 million mmBtu) at December 31, 2008 with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$1.97 per mmBtu above market prices. The majority of the natural gas hedges are recorded through the traditional operating companies fuel cost recovery clauses.

II-35

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

At December 31, the net fair value of energy-related derivative contracts by hedge designation was reflected in the financial statements as assets/(liabilities) as follows:

Asset (Liability) Derivatives	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Regulatory hedges	\$ (175)	\$ (288)
Cash flow hedges	(2)	(1)
Not designated	(1)	4
Total fair value	\$ (178)	\$ (285)

Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate to the traditional operating companies' fuel hedging programs, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as they are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clauses. Gains and losses on energy-related derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are mainly used by Southern Power to hedge anticipated purchases and sales and are initially deferred in other comprehensive income before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transaction. Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Total net unrealized pre-tax gains (losses) recognized in the statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 for energy-related derivative contracts that are not hedges were \$(5) million, \$1 million, and \$3 million, respectively.

The maturities of the energy-related derivative contracts and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	December 31, 2009			
	Total	Fair Value Measurements		
		Fair Value	Year 1	Maturity Years 2&3
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Level 1	\$	\$	\$	\$
Level 2	(178)	(113)	(65)	
Level 3				
Fair value of contracts outstanding at end of period	\$(178)	\$(113)	\$(65)	\$

Southern Company uses over-the-counter contracts that are not exchange traded but are fair valued using prices which are actively quoted, and thus fall into Level 2. See Note 10 to the financial statements for further discussion on fair value measurement.

Southern Company is exposed to market price risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to energy-related and interest rate derivative contracts. Southern Company only enters into agreements and material transactions with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings by Moody's and S&P or with counterparties who have posted collateral to cover potential credit exposure. Therefore, Southern Company does not anticipate market risk exposure from nonperformance by the counterparties. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Financial Instruments and Note 11 to the financial statements.

Southern Company performs periodic reviews of its leveraged lease transactions, both domestic and international and the creditworthiness of the lessees, including a review of the value of the underlying leased assets and the credit ratings of the lessees. Southern Company's domestic lease transactions generally do not have any credit enhancement mechanisms; however, the lessees in its international lease transactions have pledged various deposits as additional security to secure the obligations. The lessees in the Company's international lease transactions are also required to provide additional collateral in the event of a credit downgrade below a certain level.

During 2007, Southern Company had derivatives in place to reduce its exposure to a phase-out of certain income tax credits related to synthetic fuel production in 2007. In accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 45K, these tax credits were subject to limitation as the annual average price of oil increased. Because these transactions were not designated as hedges, the gains and losses were recognized in the statements of income as incurred. These derivatives settled on January 1, 2008 and thus there was no income statement impact for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2009. For 2007, the unrealized fair value gain recognized in other income to mark the transactions to market was \$27 million.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations

The construction program of Southern Company is currently estimated to be \$4.9 billion for 2010, \$5.3 billion for 2011, and \$6.2 billion for 2012. These estimates include costs for new generation construction. Environmental expenditures included in these estimated amounts are \$545 million, \$721 million, and \$1.2 billion for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; changes in load projections; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in nuclear plants to meet new regulatory requirements; changes in FERC rules and regulations; PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Nuclear Construction and Retail Regulatory Matters Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle and Note 7 to the financial statements under Construction Program for additional information.

As a result of NRC requirements, Alabama Power and Georgia Power have external trust funds for nuclear decommissioning costs; however, Alabama Power currently has no additional funding requirements. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Nuclear Decommissioning.

In addition, as discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, Southern Company provides postretirement benefits to substantially all employees and funds trusts to the extent required by the traditional operating companies respective regulatory commissions.

Other funding requirements related to obligations associated with scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as the related interest, derivative obligations, preferred and preference stock dividends, leases, and other purchase commitments are as follows. See Notes 1, 6, 7, and 11 to the financial statements for additional information.

Table of Contents
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
Contractual Obligations

	2010	2011- 2012	2013- 2014	After 2014	Uncertain Timing ^(d)	Total
	<i>(in millions)</i>					
Long-term debt ^(a)						
Principal	\$ 1,092	\$ 2,880	\$ 1,361	\$ 13,836	\$	\$ 19,169
Interest	894	1,732	1,455	11,905		15,986
Preferred and preference stock dividends ^(b)	65	130	130			325
Other derivative obligations ^(c)						
Energy-related	119	66				185
Operating leases	144	192	99	124		559
Capital leases	21	26	11	40		98
Unrecognized tax benefits and interest ^(d)	184				36	220
Purchase commitments ^(e)						
Capital ^(f)	4,665	11,160				15,825
Limestone ^(g)	37	72	76	110		295
Coal	4,490	4,707	1,913	2,508		13,618
Nuclear fuel	271	323	231	297		1,122
Natural gas ^(h)	1,349	2,192	1,504	4,153		9,198
Biomass fuel ⁽ⁱ⁾		17	35	128		180
Purchased power	253	524	502	2,742		4,021
Long-term service agreements ^(j)	103	251	263	1,738		2,355
Trusts						
Nuclear decommissioning ^(k)	3	7	7	53		70
Postretirement benefits ^(l)	43	76				119
Total	\$ 13,733	\$ 24,355	\$ 7,587	\$ 37,634	\$ 36	\$ 83,345

(a) All amounts are reflected based on final maturity dates. Southern Company and its subsidiaries plan to continue to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit. Variable rate

interest obligations are estimated based on rates as of January 1, 2010, as reflected in the statements of capitalization.

Fixed rates include, where applicable, the effects of interest rate derivatives employed to manage interest rate risk.

Excludes capital lease amounts (shown separately).

- (b) Preferred and preference stock do not mature; therefore, amounts are provided for the next five years only.
- (c) For additional information, see Notes 1 and 11 to the financial statements.
- (d) The timing related to the realization of \$36 million in unrecognized tax benefits and interest payments in individual years beyond 12 months cannot be reasonably and reliably estimated due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective

settlement of tax positions. See Notes 3 and 5 to the financial statements for additional information.

- (e) Southern Company generally does not enter into non-cancelable commitments for other operations and maintenance expenditures. Total other operations and maintenance expenses for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$3.5 billion, \$3.8 billion, and \$3.7 billion, respectively.

- (f) Southern Company forecasts capital expenditures over a three-year period. Amounts represent current estimates of total expenditures excluding those amounts related to contractual purchase commitments for nuclear fuel. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction

program.

- (g) As part of Southern Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from its coal plants, the traditional operating companies have entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment.
- (h) Natural gas purchase commitments are based on various indices at the time of delivery. Amounts reflected have been estimated based on the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.
- (i) Biomass fuel commitments are based on minimum committed tonnage of wood waste purchases.
- (j) Long-term service agreements include price escalation based on inflation indices.

- (k) Projections of nuclear decommissioning trust contributions are based on the 2007 Retail Rate Plan and are subject to change in Georgia Power's 2010 retail rate case.
- (l) Southern Company forecasts postretirement trust contributions over a three-year period. Southern Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012 and such contribution could be significant; however, projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables including future trust fund performance and cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, no amounts related to the pension trust fund are included in the table. See Note 2 to the financial statements for additional information

related to the pension and postretirement plans, including estimated benefit payments. Certain benefit payments will be made through the related trusts. Other benefit payments will be made from Southern Company's corporate assets.

II-38

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Southern Company's 2009 Annual Report contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning the strategic goals for the wholesale business, retail sales, customer growth, storm damage cost recovery and repairs, fuel cost recovery and other rate actions, environmental regulations and expenditures, earnings, dividend payout ratios, access to sources of capital, projections for postretirement benefit and nuclear decommissioning trust contributions, financing activities, start and completion of construction projects, plans and estimated costs for new generation resources, impacts of adoption of new accounting rules, potential exemptions from ad valorem taxation of the Kemper IGCC project, impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, impact of healthcare legislation, if any, estimated sales and purchases under new power sale and purchase agreements, and estimated construction and other expenditures. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as may, will, could, should, expects, plans, anticipates, estimates, projects, predicts, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurance that such indicated results will be realized. These factors include:

- the impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory change, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, environmental laws including regulation of water quality and emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, mercury, carbon, soot, particulate matter, or coal combustion byproducts and other substances, and also changes in tax and other laws and regulations to which Southern Company and its subsidiaries are subject, as well as changes in application of existing laws and regulations;
- current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings, or inquiries, including the pending EPA civil actions against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, FERC matters, IRS audits, and Mirant matters;
- the effects, extent, and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets in which Southern Company's subsidiaries operate;
- variations in demand for electricity, including those relating to weather, the general economy and recovery from the recent recession, population and business growth (and declines), and the effects of energy conservation measures;
- available sources and costs of fuels;
- effects of inflation;
- ability to control costs and avoid cost overruns during the development and construction of facilities;
- investment performance of Southern Company's employee benefit plans and nuclear decommissioning trusts;
- advances in technology;
- state and federal rate regulations and the impact of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including rate actions relating to fuel and other cost recovery mechanisms;
- regulatory approvals and actions related to the potential Plant Vogtle expansion, including Georgia PSC and NRC approvals and potential DOE loan guarantees;

the performance of projects undertaken by the non-utility businesses and the success of efforts to invest in and develop new opportunities;

internal restructuring or other restructuring options that may be pursued;

potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed or beneficial to Southern Company or its subsidiaries;

the ability of counterparties of Southern Company and its subsidiaries to make payments as and when due and to perform as required;

the ability to obtain new short- and long-term contracts with wholesale customers;

the direct or indirect effect on Southern Company's business resulting from terrorist incidents and the threat of terrorist incidents;

interest rate fluctuations and financial market conditions and the results of financing efforts, including Southern Company's and its subsidiaries' credit ratings;

the ability of Southern Company and its subsidiaries to obtain additional generating capacity at competitive prices;

catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, hurricanes, droughts, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences;

the direct or indirect effects on Southern Company's business resulting from incidents affecting the U.S. electric grid or operation of generating resources;

the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard setting bodies; and

other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports (including the Form 10-K) filed by the Company from time to time with the SEC.

Southern Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

II-39

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Operating Revenues:			
Retail revenues	\$ 13,307	\$ 14,055	\$ 12,639
Wholesale revenues	1,802	2,400	1,988
Other electric revenues	533	545	513
Other revenues	101	127	213
Total operating revenues	15,743	17,127	15,353
Operating Expenses:			
Fuel	5,952	6,818	5,856
Purchased power	474	815	515
Other operations and maintenance	3,526	3,748	3,670
MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement	202		
Depreciation and amortization	1,503	1,443	1,245
Taxes other than income taxes	818	797	741
Total operating expenses	12,475	13,621	12,027
Operating Income	3,268	3,506	3,326
Other Income and (Expense):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	200	152	106
Interest income	23	33	45
Equity in (losses) income of unconsolidated subsidiaries	(1)	11	(24)
Leveraged lease income (losses)	31	(85)	40
Gain on disposition of lease termination	26		
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(17)		
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(905)	(866)	(886)
Other income (expense), net	(21)	(29)	10
Total other income and (expense)	(664)	(784)	(709)
Earnings Before Income Taxes	2,604	2,722	2,617
Income taxes	896	915	835
Consolidated Net Income	1,708	1,807	1,782
Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock of Subsidiaries	65	65	48
Consolidated Net Income After Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock of Subsidiaries	\$ 1,643	\$ 1,742	\$ 1,734

Common Stock Data:

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Earnings per share (EPS)			
Basic EPS	\$ 2.07	\$ 2.26	\$ 2.29
Diluted EPS	2.06	2.25	2.28
Average number of shares of common stock outstanding (in millions)			
Basic	795	771	756
Diluted	796	775	761
Cash dividends paid per share of common stock	\$ 1.7325	\$ 1.6625	\$ 1.595

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-40

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Operating Activities:			
Consolidated net income	\$ 1,708	\$ 1,807	\$ 1,782
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash provided from operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization, total	1,788	1,704	1,486
Deferred income taxes	25	215	7
Deferred revenues	(54)	120	(2)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(200)	(152)	(106)
Equity in (income) losses of unconsolidated subsidiaries	1	(11)	24
Leveraged lease (income) losses	(31)	85	(40)
Gain on disposition of lease termination	(26)		
Loss on extinguishment of debt	17		
Pension, postretirement, and other employee benefits	(3)	21	39
Stock based compensation expense	23	20	28
Hedge settlements	(19)	15	10
Other, net	79	(97)	80
Changes in certain current assets and liabilities			
-Receivables	585	(176)	165
-Fossil fuel stock	(432)	(303)	(39)
-Materials and supplies	(39)	(23)	(71)
-Other current assets	(47)	(36)	
-Accounts payable	(125)	(74)	105
-Accrued taxes	(95)	293	(19)
-Accrued compensation	(226)	36	(40)
-Other current liabilities	334	20	25
Net cash provided from operating activities	3,263	3,464	3,434
Investing Activities:			
Property additions	(4,670)	(3,961)	(3,546)
Investment in restricted cash from pollution control revenue bonds	(55)	(96)	(157)
Distribution of restricted cash from pollution control revenue bonds	119	69	78
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund purchases	(1,234)	(720)	(783)
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	1,228	712	775
Proceeds from property sales	340	34	33
Cost of removal, net of salvage	(119)	(123)	(108)
Change in construction payables	215	83	38
Other investing activities	(143)	(124)	(39)
Net cash used for investing activities	(4,319)	(4,126)	(3,709)
Financing Activities:			

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Decrease in notes payable, net	(306)	(314)	(669)
Proceeds			
Long-term debt issuances	3,042	3,687	3,826
Preferred and preference stock			470
Common stock issuances	1,286	474	538
Redemptions			
Long-term debt	(1,234)	(1,469)	(2,565)
Redeemable preferred stock		(125)	
Payment of common stock dividends	(1,369)	(1,280)	(1,205)
Payment of dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries	(65)	(66)	(40)
Other financing activities	(25)	(29)	(46)
Net cash provided from financing activities	1,329	878	309
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	273	216	34
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	417	201	167
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 690	\$ 417	\$ 201

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-41

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Assets	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 690	\$ 417
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	43	103
Receivables		
Customer accounts receivable	953	1,054
Unbilled revenues	394	320
Under recovered regulatory clause revenues	333	646
Other accounts and notes receivable	375	301
Accumulated provision for uncollectible accounts	(25)	(26)
Fossil fuel stock, at average cost	1,447	1,018
Materials and supplies, at average cost	794	757
Vacation pay	145	140
Prepaid expenses	508	302
Other regulatory assets, current	167	275
Other current assets	49	51
Total current assets	5,873	5,358
Property, Plant, and Equipment:		
In service	53,588	50,618
Less accumulated depreciation	19,121	18,286
Plant in service, net of depreciation	34,467	32,332
Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost	593	510
Construction work in progress	4,170	3,036
Total property, plant, and equipment	39,230	35,878
Other Property and Investments:		
Nuclear decommissioning trusts, at fair value	1,070	864
Leveraged leases	610	897
Miscellaneous property and investments	283	227
Total other property and investments	1,963	1,988
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Deferred charges related to income taxes	1,047	973
Unamortized debt issuance expense	208	208
Unamortized loss on reacquired debt	255	271
Deferred under recovered regulatory clause revenues	373	606
Other regulatory assets, deferred	2,702	2,636
Other deferred charges and assets	395	429

Total deferred charges and other assets	4,980	5,123
Total Assets	\$ 52,046	\$ 48,347

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-42

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Current Liabilities:		
Securities due within one year	\$ 1,113	\$ 617
Notes payable	639	953
Accounts payable	1,329	1,250
Customer deposits	331	302
Accrued taxes		
Accrued income taxes	13	197
Unrecognized tax benefits	166	131
Other accrued taxes	398	396
Accrued interest	218	196
Accrued vacation pay	184	179
Accrued compensation	248	447
Liabilities from risk management activities	125	261
Other regulatory liabilities, current	528	78
Other current liabilities	292	219
Total current liabilities	5,584	5,226
Long-Term Debt (See accompanying statements)	18,131	16,816
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	6,455	6,080
Deferred credits related to income taxes	248	259
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	448	455
Employee benefit obligations	2,304	2,057
Asset retirement obligations	1,201	1,183
Other cost of removal obligations	1,091	1,321
Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	278	262
Other deferred credits and liabilities	346	330
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	12,371	11,947
Total Liabilities	36,086	33,989
Redeemable Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries (See accompanying statements)	375	375
Total Stockholders Equity (See accompanying statements)	15,585	13,983
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 52,046	\$ 48,347
Commitments and Contingent Matters (See notes)		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-43

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

		2009	2008	2009	2008
		<i>(in millions)</i>		<i>(percent of total)</i>	
Long-Term Debt:					
Long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts					
<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Interest Rates</u>				
2044	5.88%	\$ 206	\$ 206		
Variable rate (3.35% at 1/1/10) due 2042		206	206		
Total long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts		412	412		
Long-term senior notes and debt					
<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Interest Rates</u>				
2009	4.10% to 7.00%		128		
2010	4.70%	102	102		
2011	4.00% to 5.57%	304	303		
2012	4.85% to 6.25%	1,778	1,778		
2013	4.35% to 6.00%	936	936		
2014	4.15% to 4.90%	425	75		
2015 through 2048	4.25% to 8.20%	9,847	8,362		
Adjustable rates (at 1/1/10):					
2009	2.3288% to 2.36%		440		
2010	0.35% to 0.97%	990	1,034		
2011	0.68% to 2.95%	790	490		
Total long-term senior notes and debt		15,172	13,648		
Other long-term debt					
Pollution control revenue bonds					
<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Interest Rates</u>				
2016 through 2048	1.40% to 6.00%	1,973	2,030		
Variable rates (at 1/1/10):					
2011 through 2049	0.18% to 0.44%	1,612	1,257		
Total other long-term debt		3,585	3,287		
Capitalized lease obligations		98	106		
Unamortized debt (discount), net		(23)	(20)		
Total long-term debt (annual interest requirement \$894 million)		19,244	17,433		
Less amount due within one year		1,113	617		

Long-term debt excluding amount due within one year	18,131	16,816	53.2%	53.9%
---	---------------	--------	--------------	-------

II-44

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION (continued)
At December 31, 2009 and 2008
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009 <i>(in millions)</i>	2008	2009 <i>(percent of total)</i>	2008
Redeemable Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries:				
<u>Cumulative preferred stock</u>				
\$100 par or stated value		4.20% to 5.44%		
Authorized	20 million shares			
Outstanding	1 million shares	81		81
\$1 par value	4.95% to 5.83%			
Authorized	28 million shares			
Outstanding	12 million shares: \$25 stated value	294		294
Total redeemable preferred stock of subsidiaries (annual dividend requirement \$20 million)	375	375	1.1	1.2
Common Stockholders Equity:				
Common stock, par value \$5 per share	4,101	3,888		
Authorized	1 billion shares			
Issued	2009: 820 million shares 2008: 778 million shares			
Treasury	2009: 0.5 million shares 2008: 0.4 million shares			
Paid-in capital	2,995	1,893		
Treasury, at cost	(15)	(12)		
Retained earnings	7,885	7,612		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(88)	(105)		
Total common stockholders equity	14,878	13,276	43.6	42.6
Preferred and Preference Stock of Subsidiaries:				
<u>Non-cumulative preferred stock</u>				
\$25 par value		6.00% to 6.13%		
Authorized	60 million shares			
Outstanding	2 million shares	45		45
<u>Preference stock</u>				
Authorized	65 million shares			
Outstanding	\$1 par value 5.63% to 6.50%	343		343
	14 million shares (non-cumulative)			
	\$100 par or stated value 6.00% to 6.50%	319		319
	3 million shares (non-cumulative)			
Total preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries	707	707	2.1	2.3

(annual dividend requirement \$45 million)

Total stockholders' equity	15,585	13,983		
Total Capitalization	\$34,091	\$31,174	100.0%	100.0%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-45

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	Number of		Common Stock			Accumulated	Preferred	Total	
	Common Shares Issued (in thousands)	Treasury	Par Value	Paid-In Capital	Treasury	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	and Preference Stock of Subsidiaries		
						Retained Earnings (in millions)			
Balance at December 31, 2006	751,864	(5,594)	\$3,759	\$1,096	\$(192)	\$ 6,765	\$ (57)	\$ 246	\$11,617
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries						1,734			1,734
Other comprehensive income							27		27
Cumulative effect of new accounting standards (a)						(140)			(140)
Stock issued	11,639	5,255	58	356	183			461	1,058
Cash dividends						(1,204)			(1,204)
Other		(60)		2	(2)				
Balance at December 31, 2007	763,503	(399)	3,817	1,454	(11)	7,155	(30)	707	13,092
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries						1,742			1,742
Other comprehensive income							(75)		(75)
Stock issued	14,113		71	438					509
Cash dividends						(1,279)			(1,279)
Other		(25)		1	(1)	(6)			(6)
Balance at December 31, 2008	777,616	(424)	3,888	1,893	(12)	7,612	(105)	707	13,983

Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries										1,643	1,643
Other comprehensive income									17		17
Stock issued	42,536		213	1,100							1,313
Cash dividends									(1,369)		(1,369)
Other		(81)		2	(3)				(1)		(2)
Balance at December 31, 2009	820,152	(505)	\$4,101	\$2,995	\$ (15)	\$ 7,885	\$ (88)	\$ 707			\$15,585

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

(a) In 2007 Southern Company recorded two adjustments net of tax in respect of new accounting guidance; a \$125 million adjustment in respect of leverage lease transactions and a \$15 million adjustment in respect of uncertain tax positions.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Consolidated Net Income	\$ 1,708	\$ 1,807	\$ 1,782
Other comprehensive income:			
Qualifying hedges:			
Changes in fair value, net of tax of \$(3), \$(19), and \$(3), respectively	(4)	(30)	(5)
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income, net of tax of \$18, \$7, and \$6, respectively	28	11	9
Marketable securities:			
Change in fair value, net of tax of \$1, \$(4), and \$3, respectively	4	(7)	4
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income, net of tax of \$-, \$-, and \$-, respectively			(1)
Pension and other postretirement benefit plans:			
Benefit plan net gain (loss), net of tax of \$(8), \$(32), and \$13, respectively	(12)	(51)	20
Additional prior service costs from amendment to non-qualified plans, net of tax of \$-, \$-, and \$(2), respectively			(2)
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income, net of tax of \$1, \$1, and \$1, respectively	1	2	2
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	17	(75)	27
Dividends on preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries	(65)	(65)	(48)
Consolidated Comprehensive Income	\$ 1,660	\$ 1,667	\$ 1,761

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-47

Table of Contents**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES****General**

The Southern Company (the Company) is the parent company of four traditional operating companies, Southern Power Company (Southern Power), Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), Southern Communications Services, Inc. (SouthernLINC Wireless), Southern Company Holdings, Inc. (Southern Holdings), Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), and other direct and indirect subsidiaries. The traditional operating companies, Alabama Power Company (Alabama Power), Georgia Power Company (Georgia Power), Gulf Power Company (Gulf Power), and Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi Power), are vertically integrated utilities providing electric service in four Southeastern states. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market. SCS, the system service company, provides, at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and its subsidiary companies. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and also markets these services to the public and provides fiber cable services within the Southeast. Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding company subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases. Southern Nuclear operates and provides services to Southern Company's nuclear power plants.

The financial statements reflect Southern Company's investments in the subsidiaries on a consolidated basis. The equity method is used for entities in which the Company has significant influence but does not control and for variable interest entities where the Company is not the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain prior years' data presented in the financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and certain of their subsidiaries are subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the traditional operating companies are also subject to regulation by their respective state public service commissions (PSC). The companies follow accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and comply with the accounting policies and practices prescribed by their respective commissions. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the use of estimates, and the actual results may differ from those estimates.

Related Party Transactions

Alabama Power and Georgia Power purchased synthetic fuel from Alabama Fuel Products, LLC (AFP), an entity in which Southern Holdings held a 30% ownership interest until July 2006, when its ownership interest was terminated. Synfuel Services, Inc. (SSI), another subsidiary of Southern Holdings, provided fuel transportation services to AFP that were ultimately reflected in the cost of the synthetic fuel billed to Alabama Power and Georgia Power. Subsequent to the termination of Southern Company's membership interest in AFP, Alabama Power and Georgia Power continued to purchase an additional \$6 million and \$750 million in fuel from AFP in 2008 and 2007, respectively. SSI continued to provide fuel transportation services of \$131 million in 2007, which were eliminated against fuel expense in the financial statements. SSI also provided other additional services to AFP and a related party of AFP totaling \$47 million in 2007. The synthetic fuel investments and related party transactions were terminated on December 31, 2007.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Regulatory Assets and Liabilities**

The traditional operating companies are subject to the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board in accounting for the effects of rate regulation. Regulatory assets represent probable future revenues associated with certain costs that are expected to be recovered from customers through the ratemaking process. Regulatory liabilities represent probable future reductions in revenues associated with amounts that are expected to be credited to customers through the ratemaking process. Regulatory assets and (liabilities) reflected in the balance sheets at December 31 relate to:

	2009	2008	Note
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Deferred income tax charges	\$ 1,048	\$ 972	(a)
Asset retirement obligations-asset	125	236	(a,i)
Asset retirement obligations-liability	(47)	(5)	(a,i)
Other cost of removal obligations	(1,307)	(1,321)	(a)
Deferred income tax credits	(249)	(260)	(a)
Loss on reacquired debt	255	271	(b)
Vacation pay	145	140	(c,i)
Under recovered regulatory clause revenues	40	432	(d)
Over recovered regulatory clause revenues	(218)	(3)	(d)
Building leases	47	49	(f)
Generating plant outage costs	39	45	(d)
Under recovered storm damage costs	22	27	(d)
Property damage reserves	(157)	(97)	(h)
Fuel hedging-asset	187	314	(d)
Fuel hedging-liability	(2)	(10)	(d)
Other assets	156	163	(d)
Environmental remediation-asset	68	67	(h,i)
Environmental remediation-liability	(13)	(19)	(h)
Environmental compliance cost recovery	(96)	(135)	(g)
Other liabilities	(51)	(43)	(j)
Underfunded retiree benefit plans	2,268	2,068	(e,i)
Total assets (liabilities), net	\$ 2,260	\$ 2,891	

Note: The recovery and amortization periods for these regulatory assets and (liabilities) are as follows:

- (a) Asset retirement and removal assets and liabilities are recorded, deferred income tax assets are recovered, other cost of removal, and deferred tax liabilities are amortized over the related property lives, which may range up to 65 years. Asset retirement and removal assets and liabilities will be settled and trued up following completion of the related activities. Other cost of removal obligations include \$216 million at Georgia Power that may be amortized during 2010 in accordance with the August 27, 2009 Georgia PSC order. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Cost of Removal for additional information.
- (b) Recovered over either the remaining life of the original issue or, if refinanced, over the life of the new issue, which may range up to 50 years.
- (c) Recorded as earned by employees and recovered as paid, generally within one year.

- (d) Recorded and recovered or amortized as approved by the appropriate state PSCs over periods not exceeding 10 years.
- (e) Recovered and amortized over the average remaining service period which may range up to 15 years. See Note 2 for additional information.
- (f) Recovered over the remaining lives of the buildings through 2026.
- (g) This balance represents deferred revenue associated with Georgia Power's environmental compliance cost recovery (ECCR) tariff established in its retail rate plan for the years 2008 through 2010 (2007 Retail Rate Plan). The recovery of the forecasted environmental compliance costs was levelized to collect equal annual amounts between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2010 under the tariff.
- (h) Recovered as storm restoration or environmental remediation expenses are incurred.
- (i) Not earning a return as offset in rate base by a corresponding asset or liability.
- (j) Recorded and recovered or amortized as approved by the appropriate state PSC over periods up to the life of the plant or the remaining life of the original issue or, if refinanced, over the life of the new issue which may range up to 50 years.

In the event that a portion of a traditional operating company's operations is no longer subject to applicable accounting rules for rate regulation, such company would be required to write off or reclassify to accumulated other comprehensive income related regulatory assets and liabilities that are not specifically recoverable through regulated rates. In addition, the traditional operating company would be required to determine if any impairment to other assets, including plant, exists and write down the assets, if impaired, to their fair values. All regulatory assets and liabilities are to be reflected in rates. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Alabama Power, Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power, and Retail Regulatory Matters Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle for additional information.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Revenues**

Wholesale capacity revenues are generally recognized on a levelized basis over the appropriate contract periods. Energy and other revenues are recognized as services are provided. Unbilled revenues related to retail sales are accrued at the end of each fiscal period. Electric rates for the traditional operating companies include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs, fuel hedging, the energy component of purchased power costs, and certain other costs. Revenues are adjusted for differences between these actual costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Under or over recovered regulatory clause revenues are recorded in the balance sheets and are recovered or returned to customers through adjustments to the billing factors.

Retail fuel cost recovery mechanisms vary by each traditional operating company, but in general, the process requires periodic filings with the appropriate state PSC. Alabama Power continuously monitors the under/over recovered balance and files for a revised fuel rate when management deems appropriate. Georgia Power filed a new fuel case on December 15, 2009. The new rates are expected to become effective April 1, 2010. Gulf Power is required to notify the Florida PSC if the projected fuel cost over or under recovery exceeds 10% of the projected fuel revenue applicable for the period and indicate if an adjustment to the fuel cost recovery factor is being requested. Mississippi Power is required to file for an adjustment to the fuel cost recovery factor annually. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters

Alabama Power Fuel Cost Recovery and Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Fuel Cost Recovery for additional information.

Southern Company has a diversified base of customers. No single customer or industry comprises 10% or more of revenues. For all periods presented, uncollectible accounts averaged less than 1% of revenues.

Fuel Costs

Fuel costs are expensed as the fuel is used. Fuel expense generally includes the cost of purchased emissions allowances as they are used. Fuel expense also includes the amortization of the cost of nuclear fuel and a charge, based on nuclear generation, for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. See Note 3 under Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs for additional information.

Income and Other Taxes

Southern Company uses the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provides deferred income taxes for all significant income tax temporary differences. Taxes that are collected from customers on behalf of governmental agencies to be remitted to these agencies are presented net on the statements of income.

In accordance with regulatory requirements, deferred investment tax credits (ITCs) for the traditional operating companies are amortized over the lives of the related property with such amortization normally applied as a credit to reduce depreciation in the statements of income. Credits amortized in this manner amounted to \$24 million in 2009, \$23 million in 2008, and \$23 million in 2007. At December 31, 2009, all ITCs available to reduce federal income taxes payable had been utilized.

Under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, certain renewable projects at Southern Company's non-regulated subsidiaries are eligible for ITCs or cash grants. These non-regulated companies have elected to receive ITCs. The credits are recorded as a deferred credit, which will be amortized over the life of the asset, and the tax basis of the asset is reduced by 50% of the credits received, resulting in a deferred tax asset. The non-regulated companies have elected to recognize the tax benefit of this basis difference as a reduction to income tax expense as costs are incurred during the construction period. This basis difference will reverse and be recorded to income tax expense over the useful life of the asset once placed in service.

In accordance with accounting standards related to the uncertainty in income taxes, Southern Company recognizes tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities. See Note 5 under Unrecognized Tax Benefits for additional information.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment is stated at original cost less regulatory disallowances and impairments. Original cost includes: materials; labor; minor items of property; appropriate administrative and general costs; payroll-related costs such as taxes, pensions, and other benefits; and the interest capitalized and/or cost of funds used during construction.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Southern Company's property, plant, and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Generation	\$ 28,204	\$ 26,154
Transmission	7,380	7,085
Distribution	14,335	13,856
General	2,917	2,750
Plant acquisition adjustment	43	43
Utility plant in service	52,879	49,888
IT equipment and software	182	240
Communications equipment	423	450
Other	104	40
Other plant in service	709	730
Total plant in service	\$ 53,588	\$ 50,618

The cost of replacements of property, exclusive of minor items of property, is capitalized. The cost of maintenance, repairs, and replacement of minor items of property is charged to maintenance expense as incurred or performed with the exception of nuclear refueling costs, which are recorded in accordance with specific state PSC orders. Alabama Power accrues estimated nuclear refueling costs in advance of the unit's next refueling outage. Georgia Power defers and amortizes nuclear refueling costs over the unit's operating cycle before the next refueling. The refueling cycles for Alabama Power and Georgia Power range from 18 to 24 months for each unit. In accordance with a Georgia PSC order, Georgia Power also defers the costs of certain significant inspection costs for the combustion turbines at Plant McIntosh and amortizes such costs over 10 years, which approximates the expected maintenance cycle.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation of the original cost of utility plant in service is provided primarily by using composite straight-line rates, which approximated 3.2% in 2009, 3.2% in 2008, and 3.0% in 2007. Depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update the composite rates. These studies are filed with the respective state PSC for the traditional operating companies. Accumulated depreciation for utility plant in service totaled \$18.7 billion and \$17.9 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. When property subject to composite depreciation is retired or otherwise disposed of in the normal course of business, its original cost, together with the cost of removal, less salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation. For other property dispositions, the applicable cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the balance sheet accounts and a gain or loss is recognized. Minor items of property included in the original cost of the plant are retired when the related property unit is retired.

Under Georgia Power's retail rate plan for the three years ended December 31, 2007 (2004 Retail Rate Plan), Georgia Power was ordered to recognize Georgia PSC-certified capacity costs in rates evenly over the three years covered by the 2004 Retail Rate Plan. Georgia Power recorded credits to amortization of \$19 million in 2007. The 2007 Retail Rate Plan did not include a similar order. On August 27, 2009, the Georgia PSC approved an accounting order allowing Georgia Power to amortize up to \$324 million of its regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Cost of Removal for additional information. In May 2004, the Mississippi PSC approved Mississippi Power's request to reclassify 266 megawatts (MWs) of Plant Daniel Units 3 and 4 capacity to jurisdictional cost of service effective January 1, 2004 and authorized Mississippi Power to include the related costs and revenue credits in jurisdictional rate base, cost of service, and revenue

requirement calculations for purposes of retail rate recovery. Mississippi Power amortized the related regulatory liability, pursuant to the Mississippi PSC's order, by \$6 million in 2007 resulting in an increase to earnings in that year. Depreciation of the original cost of other plant in service is provided primarily on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives ranging from three to 30 years. Accumulated depreciation for other plant in service totaled \$419 million and \$433 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Asset Retirement Obligations and Other Costs of Removal

Asset retirement obligations are computed as the present value of the ultimate costs for an asset's future retirement and are recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred. The costs are capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset's useful life. The Company has received accounting guidance from the various state PSCs allowing the continued accrual of

II-51

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

other future retirement costs for long-lived assets that the Company does not have a legal obligation to retire. Accordingly, the accumulated removal costs for these obligations are reflected in the balance sheets as a regulatory liability. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Cost of Removal for additional information related to Georgia Power's cost of removal regulatory liability.

The liability recognized to retire long-lived assets primarily relates to the Company's nuclear facilities, Plants Farley, Hatch, and Vogtle. The fair value of assets legally restricted for settling retirement obligations related to nuclear facilities as of December 31, 2009 was \$1.1 billion. In addition, the Company has retirement obligations related to various landfill sites, underground storage tanks, asbestos removal, and disposal of polychlorinated biphenyls in certain transformers. The Company also has identified retirement obligations related to certain transmission and distribution facilities, co-generation facilities, certain wireless communication towers, and certain structures authorized by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. However, liabilities for the removal of these assets have not been recorded because the range of time over which the Company may settle these obligations is unknown and cannot be reasonably estimated. The Company will continue to recognize in the statements of income allowed removal costs in accordance with its regulatory treatment. Any differences between costs recognized in accordance with accounting standards related to asset retirement and environmental obligations, and those reflected in rates are recognized as either a regulatory asset or liability, as ordered by the various state PSCs, and are reflected in the balance sheets. See

Nuclear Decommissioning herein for further information on amounts included in rates. Details of the asset retirement obligations included in the balance sheets are as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance beginning of year	\$ 1,185	\$ 1,203
Liabilities incurred	2	4
Liabilities settled	(10)	(4)
Accretion	77	75
Cash flow revisions	(48)	(93)
Balance end of year	\$ 1,206	\$ 1,185

Nuclear Decommissioning

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requires licensees of commercial nuclear power reactors to establish a plan for providing reasonable assurance of funds for future decommissioning. Alabama Power and Georgia Power have external trust funds (the Funds) to comply with the NRC's regulations. Use of the Funds is restricted to nuclear decommissioning activities and the Funds are managed and invested in accordance with applicable requirements of various regulatory bodies, including the NRC, the FERC, and state PSCs, as well as the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The Funds are required to be held by one or more trustees with an individual net worth of at least \$100 million. The FERC requires the Funds' managers to exercise the standard of care in investing that a prudent investor would use in the same circumstances. The FERC regulations also require, except for investments tied to market indices or other mutual funds, that the Funds' managers may not invest in any securities of the utility for which it manages funds or its affiliates. In addition, the NRC prohibits investments in securities of power reactor licensees. While Southern Company is allowed to prescribe an overall investment policy to the Funds' managers, neither Southern Company nor its subsidiaries or affiliates are allowed to engage in the day-to-day management of the Funds or to mandate individual investment decisions. Day-to-day management of the investments in the Funds is delegated to unrelated third party managers with oversight by Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Georgia Power management. The Funds managers are authorized, within broad limits, to actively buy and sell securities at their own discretion in order to maximize the investment return on the Funds' investments. The Funds are invested in a tax-efficient manner in a diversified mix of equity and fixed income securities and are reported as trading securities.

Southern Company records the investment securities held in the Funds at fair value, as disclosed in Note 10. Gains and losses, whether realized, unrealized, or identified as other-than-temporary, are recorded in the regulatory liability for asset retirement obligations in the balance sheets and are not included in net income or other comprehensive income. Fair value adjustments, realized gains, and other-than-temporary impairment losses are determined on a specific identification basis.

At December 31, 2009, investment securities in the Funds totaled \$1.1 billion consisting of equity securities of \$774 million, debt securities of \$272 million, and \$22 million of other securities. At December 31, 2008, investment securities in the Funds totaled \$862 million consisting of equity securities of \$518 million, debt securities of \$323 million, and \$21 million of other securities. These amounts exclude receivables related to investment income and pending investment sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

II-52

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Sales of the securities held in the Funds resulted in cash proceeds of \$1.2 billion, \$712 million, and \$775 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, all of which were reinvested. For 2009, fair value increases, including reinvested interest and dividends and excluding expenses, were \$215 million, of which \$198 million related to securities held in the Funds at December 31, 2009. For 2008, fair value reductions, including reinvested interest and dividends and excluding expenses, were \$(278) million. Realized gains and other-than-temporary impairment losses were \$78 million and \$(76) million, respectively, in 2007. While the investment securities held in the Funds are reported as trading securities, the Funds continue to be managed with a long-term focus. Accordingly, all purchases and sales within the Funds are presented separately in the statement of cash flows as investing cash flows, consistent with the nature of and purpose for which the securities were acquired.

Amounts previously recorded in internal reserves are being transferred into the external trust funds over periods approved by the Alabama PSC. The NRC's minimum external funding requirements are based on a generic estimate of the cost to decommission only the radioactive portions of a nuclear unit based on the size and type of reactor.

Alabama Power and Georgia Power have filed plans with the NRC designed to ensure that, over time, the deposits and earnings of the external trust funds will provide the minimum funding amounts prescribed by the NRC.

At December 31, 2009, the accumulated provisions for decommissioning were as follows:

	Plant Farley	Plant Hatch <i>(in millions)</i>	Plant Vogtle
External trust funds	\$ 490	\$ 360	\$ 206
Internal reserves	25		
Total	\$ 515	\$ 360	\$ 206

Site study cost is the estimate to decommission a specific facility as of the site study year. The estimated costs of decommissioning based on the most current studies, which were performed in 2008 for Plant Farley and in 2009 for the Georgia Power plants, were as follows for Alabama Power's Plant Farley and Georgia Power's ownership interests in Plants Hatch and Vogtle:

	Plant Farley	Plant Hatch	Plant Vogtle
Decommissioning periods:			
Beginning year	2037	2034	2047
Completion year	2065	2063	2067
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Site study costs:			
Radiated structures	\$ 1,060	\$ 583	\$ 500
Non-radiated structures	72	46	71
Total	\$ 1,132	\$ 629	\$ 571

The decommissioning periods and site study costs for Plant Vogtle reflect the extended operating license approved by the NRC on June 3, 2009. The decommissioning cost estimates are based on prompt dismantlement and removal of the plant from service. The actual decommissioning costs may vary from the above estimates because of changes in the assumed date of decommissioning, changes in NRC requirements, or changes in the assumptions used in making

these estimates.

For ratemaking purposes, Alabama Power's decommissioning costs are based on the site study, and Georgia Power's decommissioning costs are based on the NRC generic estimate to decommission the radioactive portion of the facilities as of 2006. The estimates used in current rates are \$531 million and \$366 million for Plants Hatch and Vogtle, respectively. Amounts expensed were \$3 million annually for 2009 and 2008 and \$7 million for 2007 for Plant Vogtle. Significant assumptions used to determine these costs for ratemaking were an inflation rate of 4.5% and 2.9% for Alabama Power and Georgia Power, respectively, and a trust earnings rate of 7.0% and 4.9% for Alabama Power and Georgia Power, respectively. As a result of license extensions, amounts previously contributed to the external trust funds for Plants Hatch and Farley are currently projected to be adequate to meet the decommissioning obligations.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) and Interest Capitalized

In accordance with regulatory treatment, the traditional operating companies record AFUDC, which represents the estimated debt and equity costs of capital funds that are necessary to finance the construction of new regulated facilities. While cash is not realized currently from such allowance, it increases the revenue requirement over the service life of the plant through a higher rate base and higher depreciation. The equity component of AFUDC is not included in calculating taxable income. Interest related to the construction of new facilities not included in the traditional operating companies' regulated rates is capitalized in accordance with

II-53

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

standard interest capitalization requirements. AFUDC and interest capitalized, net of income taxes were 15.3%, 11.2%, and 8.4% of net income for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Cash payments for interest totaled \$788 million, \$787 million, and \$798 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, net of amounts capitalized of \$84 million, \$71 million, and \$64 million, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangibles

Southern Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on either a specific regulatory disallowance or an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with the carrying value of the assets. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by either the amount of regulatory disallowance or by estimating the fair value of the assets and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. For assets identified as held for sale, the carrying value is compared to the estimated fair value less the cost to sell in order to determine if an impairment loss is required. Until the assets are disposed of, their estimated fair value is re-evaluated when circumstances or events change.

Storm Damage Reserves

Each traditional operating company maintains a reserve to cover the cost of damages from major storms to its transmission and distribution lines and generally the cost of uninsured damages to its generation facilities and other property. In accordance with their respective state PSC orders, the traditional operating companies accrued \$44 million in 2009. Alabama Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power also have discretionary authority from their state PSCs to accrue certain additional amounts as circumstances warrant. In 2009, such additional accruals totaled \$40 million. There were no material accruals for 2008 or 2007.

Leveraged Leases

Southern Company has several leveraged lease agreements, with terms ranging up to 45 years, which relate to international and domestic energy generation, distribution, and transportation assets. Southern Company receives federal income tax deductions for depreciation and amortization, as well as interest on long-term debt related to these investments. The Company reviews all important lease assumptions at least annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that a change in assumptions has occurred or may occur. These assumptions include the effective tax rate, the residual value, the credit quality of the lessees, and the timing of expected tax cash flows. Southern Company's net investment in domestic leveraged leases consists of the following at December 31:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Net rentals receivable	\$ 487	\$ 492
Unearned income	(218)	(230)
Investment in leveraged leases	269	262
Deferred taxes from leveraged leases	(211)	(189)
Net investment in leveraged leases	\$ 58	\$ 73

A summary of the components of income from domestic leveraged leases was as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Pretax leveraged lease income	\$ 12	\$ 14	\$ 16
Income tax expense	(5)	(6)	(7)

Net leveraged lease income	\$ 7	\$ 8	\$ 9
----------------------------	------	------	------

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Southern Company's net investment in international leveraged leases consists of the following at December 31:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Net rentals receivable	\$ 734	\$1,298
Unearned income	(393)	(663)
Investment in leveraged leases	341	635
Current taxes payable		(120)
Deferred taxes from leveraged leases	(40)	(117)
Net investment in leveraged leases	\$ 301	\$ 398

A summary of the components of income from international leveraged leases was as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Pretax leveraged lease income (loss)	\$19	\$(99)	\$24
Income tax benefit (expense)	(7)	35	(8)
Net leveraged lease income (loss)	\$12	\$(64)	\$16

The Company terminated two international leveraged lease investments during 2009. The proceeds were used to extinguish all debt related to leveraged lease investments, a portion of which had make-whole redemption provisions. This resulted in a \$17 million loss which partially offset a \$26 million gain on the terminations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the financial statements, temporary cash investments are considered cash equivalents. Temporary cash investments are securities with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Materials and Supplies

Generally, materials and supplies include the average costs of transmission, distribution, and generating plant materials. Materials are charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed or capitalized to plant, as appropriate, at weighted average cost when installed.

Fuel Inventory

Fuel inventory includes the average costs of oil, coal, natural gas, and emissions allowances. Fuel is charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed as used and recovered by the traditional operating companies through fuel cost recovery rates approved by each state PSC. Emissions allowances granted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are included in inventory at zero cost.

Financial Instruments

Southern Company uses derivative financial instruments to limit exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, the prices of certain fuel purchases, and electricity purchases and sales. All derivative financial instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities (included in Other or shown separately as Risk Management Activities) and are measured at fair value. See Note 10 for additional information. Substantially all of Southern Company's bulk energy purchases and sales contracts that meet the definition of a derivative are excluded from fair value accounting requirements because they qualify for the normal scope exception, and are accounted for under the accrual method. Other derivative contracts qualify as cash flow hedges of anticipated transactions or are recoverable through the traditional operating companies' fuel hedging programs. This results in the deferral of related gains and losses in other comprehensive income or regulatory assets and liabilities, respectively, until the hedged transactions occur. Any ineffectiveness

arising from cash flow hedges is recognized currently in net income. Other derivative contracts, including derivatives related to synthetic fuel investments, are marked to market through current period income and are recorded on a net basis in the statements of income. See Note 11 for additional information.

II-55

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for multiple derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. At December 31, 2009, the amount included in Accounts payable in the balance sheets that the Company has recognized for the obligation to return cash collateral arising from derivative instruments was not material.

Southern Company is exposed to losses related to financial instruments in the event of counterparties nonperformance. The Company has established controls to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties in order to mitigate the Company's exposure to counterparty credit risk.

Comprehensive Income

The objective of comprehensive income is to report a measure of all changes in common stock equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners.

Comprehensive income consists of net income, changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges and marketable securities, certain changes in pension and other postretirement benefit plans, and reclassifications for amounts included in net income.

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) balances, net of tax effects, were as follows:

	Qualifying Hedges	Marketable Securities	Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
				<i>(in millions)</i>
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ (73)	\$ 6	\$(38)	\$ (105)
Current period change	24	4	(11)	17
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ (49)	\$ 10	\$(49)	\$ (88)

Variable Interest Entities

The primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity must consolidate the related assets and liabilities. Certain of the traditional operating companies have established certain wholly-owned trusts to issue preferred securities. See Note 6 under Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Trusts for additional information. However, Southern Company and the applicable traditional operating companies are not considered the primary beneficiaries of the trusts. Therefore, the investments in these trusts are reflected as Other Investments, and the related loans from the trusts are included in Long-term Debt in the balance sheets.

2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Southern Company has a defined benefit, trusteed, pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan is funded in accordance with requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA). No contributions to the plan are expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. Southern Company also provides certain defined benefit pension plans for a selected group of management and highly compensated employees. Benefits under these non-qualified pension plans are funded on a cash basis. In addition, Southern Company provides certain medical care and life insurance benefits for retired employees through other postretirement benefit plans. The traditional operating companies fund related trusts to the extent required by their respective regulatory commissions. For the year ending December 31, 2010, postretirement trust contributions are expected to total approximately \$43 million.

The measurement date for plan assets and obligations for 2009 and 2008 was December 31 while the measurement date for prior years was September 30. Pursuant to accounting standards related to defined postretirement benefit plans, Southern Company was required to change the measurement date for its defined postretirement benefit plans

from September 30 to December 31 beginning with the year ended December 31, 2008. As permitted, Southern Company adopted the measurement date provisions effective January 1, 2008, resulting in an increase in long-term liabilities of \$28 million and an increase in prepaid pension costs of approximately \$16 million.

II-56

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Pension Plans**

The total accumulated benefit obligation for the pension plans was \$6.3 billion in 2009 and \$5.5 billion in 2008. Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the projected benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 5,879	\$ 5,660
Service cost	146	182
Interest cost	387	435
Benefits paid	(282)	(324)
Actuarial loss (gain)	628	(74)
Balance at end of year	6,758	5,879
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	5,093	7,624
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	792	(2,234)
Employer contributions	24	27
Benefits paid	(282)	(324)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	5,627	5,093
Accrued liability	\$(1,131)	\$ (786)

At December 31, 2009, the projected benefit obligations for the qualified and non-qualified pension plans were \$6.3 billion and \$0.4 billion, respectively. All pension plan assets are related to the qualified pension plan. Pension plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code). In 2009, in determining the optimal asset allocation for the pension fund, the Company performed an extensive study based on projections of both assets and liabilities over a 10-year forward horizon. The primary goal of the study was to maximize plan funded status. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk. The actual composition of the Company's pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	29%	33%	34%
International equity	28	29	23
Fixed income	15	15	14
Special situations	3		
Real estate investments	15	13	19
Private equity	10	10	10
Total	100%	100%	100%

The investment strategy for plan assets related to the Company's defined benefit plan is to be broadly diversified across major asset classes. The asset allocation is established after consideration of various factors that affect the assets and liabilities of the pension plan including, but not limited to, historical and expected returns, volatility, correlations of asset classes, the current level of assets and liabilities, and the assumed growth in assets and liabilities. Because a significant portion of the liability of the pension plan is long-term in nature, the assets are invested consistent with long-term investment expectations for return and risk. To manage the actual asset class exposures relative to the target asset allocation, the Company employs a formal rebalancing program. As additional risk management, external investment managers and service providers are subject to written guidelines to ensure appropriate and prudent investment practices.

II-57

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed through an allocation to long-dated, investment grade corporate and government bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

The fair values of pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$1,117	\$ 462	\$	\$1,579
International equity*	1,444	144		1,588
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		416		416
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		113		113
Corporate bonds		279		279
Pooled funds		10		10
Cash equivalents and other	3	341		344
Special situations				
Real estate investments	174		547	721

Private equity			555	555
Total	\$2,738	\$1,765	\$ 1,102	\$5,605
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(5)	(1)		(6)
Total	\$2,733	\$1,764	\$ 1,102	\$5,599

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Fair Value Measurements Using**

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Fair Value Measurements Using		Total
		Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2008:				
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 1,049	\$ 427	\$	\$ 1,476
International equity*	944	87		1,031
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		441		441
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		209		209
Corporate bonds		286		286
Pooled funds		3		3
Cash equivalents and other	22	202		224
Special situations				
Real estate investments	144		839	983
Private equity			490	490
Total	\$ 2,159	\$ 1,655	\$ 1,329	\$ 5,143
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(8)			(8)
Total	\$ 2,151	\$ 1,655	\$ 1,329	\$ 5,135

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified

with no
significant
concentrations
of risk.

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the pension plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate	Private	Real Estate	Private
	Investments	Equity	Investments	Equity
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Beginning balance	\$ 839	\$490	\$1,045	\$ 520
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(240)	37	(170)	(141)
Related to investments sold during the year	(65)	10	4	25
Total return on investments	(305)	47	(166)	(116)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	13	18	(40)	86
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 547	\$555	\$ 839	\$ 490

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

II-59

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued using matrix pricing, a common model using observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets related to the Company's pension plans consist of the following:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 1,894	\$1,579
Other current liabilities	(25)	(23)
Employee benefit obligations	(1,106)	(763)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	74	54

Presented below are the amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income and regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the defined benefit pension plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain)Loss
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2009:		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 10	\$ 64
Regulatory assets	188	1,706
Total	\$198	\$ 1,770
Balance at December 31, 2008:		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 12	\$ 42
Regulatory assets	220	1,359
Total	\$232	\$ 1,401
Estimated amortization in net periodic pension cost in 2010:		
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 1	\$ 1
Regulatory assets	31	9

Total

\$ 32

\$ 10

II-60

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The components of other comprehensive income, along with the changes in the balances of regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities, related to the defined benefit pension plans for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Regulatory Assets (in millions)	Regulatory Liabilities
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$(26)	\$ 188	\$(1,288)
Net loss	83	1,412	1,322
Change in prior service costs			
Reclassification adjustments:			
Amortization of prior service costs	(2)	(10)	(34)
Amortization of net gain	(1)	(11)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(3)	(21)	(34)
Total change	80	1,391	1,288
Balance at December 31, 2008	54	1,579	
Net loss	21	355	
Change in prior service costs		1	
Reclassification adjustments:			
Amortization of prior service costs	(1)	(34)	
Amortization of net gain		(7)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(1)	(41)	
Total change	20	315	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 74	\$1,894	\$

Components of net periodic pension cost were as follows:

	2009	2008 (in millions)	2007
Service cost	\$ 146	\$ 146	\$ 147
Interest cost	387	348	324
Expected return on plan assets	(541)	(525)	(481)
Recognized net loss	7	9	10
Net amortization	35	37	35
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 34	\$ 15	\$ 35

Net periodic pension cost is the sum of service cost, interest cost, and other costs netted against the expected return on plan assets. The expected return on plan assets is determined by multiplying the expected rate of return on plan assets

and the market-related value of plan assets. In determining the market-related value of plan assets, the Company has elected to amortize changes in the market value of all plan assets over five years rather than recognize the changes immediately. As a result, the accounting value of plan assets that is used to calculate the expected return on plan assets differs from the current fair value of the plan assets.

Future benefit payments reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the projected benefit obligation for the pension plans. At December 31, 2009, estimated benefit payments were as follows:

	Benefit Payments <i>(in millions)</i>
2010	\$ 323
2011	341
2012	360
2013	383
2014	417
2015 to 2019	2,456

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Other Postretirement Benefits**

Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligations (APBO) and in the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 1,733	\$ 1,797
Service cost	26	36
Interest cost	113	138
Benefits paid	(93)	(108)
Actuarial loss (gain)	34	(139)
Plan amendments	(59)	
Retiree drug subsidy	5	9
Balance at end of year	1,759	1,733
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	631	820
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	127	(232)
Employer contributions	72	142
Benefits paid	(87)	(99)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	743	631
Accrued liability	\$(1,016)	\$(1,102)

Other postretirement benefit plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk. The actual composition of the Company's other postretirement benefit plan assets as of the end of the year, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	42%	37%	34%
International equity	19	24	18
Fixed income	30	32	38
Special situations	1		
Real estate investments	5	4	7
Private equity	3	3	3
Total	100%	100%	100%

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed through an allocation to long-dated, investment grade corporate and government bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Trust-owned life insurance. Some of the Company's taxable trusts invest in these investments in order to minimize the impact of taxes on the portfolio.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category. The fair values of other postretirement benefit plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 149	\$ 42	\$	\$ 191
International equity*	62	36		98
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		22		22
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		5		5
Corporate bonds		12		12
Pooled funds		18		18
Cash equivalents and other		54		54
Trust-owned life insurance		270		270
Special situations				
Real estate investments	7		24	31
Private equity			24	24
Total	\$ 218	\$ 459	\$ 48	\$ 725

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2008:				
Assets:				

Assets:

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Domestic equity*	\$ 114	\$ 47	\$	\$ 161
International equity*	41	24		65
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		23		23
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		9		9
Corporate bonds		12		12
Pooled funds		9		9
Cash equivalents and other	1	73		74
Trust-owned life insurance		215		215
Special situations				
Real estate investments	6		36	42
Private equity			21	21
Total	\$ 162	\$ 412	\$ 57	\$ 631

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the other postretirement benefit plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity
Beginning balance	\$ 36	\$ 21	\$44	\$ 22
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(10)	2	(6)	(6)
Related to investments sold during the year	(3)			1
Total return on investments	(13)	2	(6)	(5)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	1	1	(2)	4
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 24	\$ 24	\$36	\$ 21

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued using matrix pricing, a common model using observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's other postretirement benefit plans consist of the following:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 374	\$ 489
Other current liabilities		(3)
Employee benefit obligations	(1,016)	(1,099)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	5	8

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Presented below are the amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income and regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the other postretirement benefit plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic postretirement benefit cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain) Loss <i>(in millions)</i>	Transition Obligation
Balance at December 31, 2009:			
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$	\$ 5	\$
Regulatory assets	41	298	35
Total	\$41	\$ 303	\$ 35
Balance at December 31, 2008:			
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 3	\$ 5	\$
Regulatory assets	88	335	66
Total	\$91	\$ 340	\$ 66
Estimated amortization as net periodic postretirement benefit cost in 2010:			
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$	\$	\$
Regulatory assets	5	5	10
Total	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 10

The components of other comprehensive income, along with the changes in the balance of regulatory assets, related to the other postretirement benefit plans for the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income <i>(in millions)</i>	Regulatory Assets
Balance at December 31, 2007		
Net loss	\$ 8	\$ 360
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	1	166
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of transition obligation		(18)
Amortization of prior service costs	(1)	(11)
Amortization of net gain		(8)
Total reclassification adjustments	(1)	(37)
Total change		129

Balance at December 31, 2008	8	489
Net loss (gain)		(33)
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	(3)	(56)
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of transition obligation		(13)
Amortization of prior service costs		(8)
Amortization of net gain		(5)
Total reclassification adjustments		(26)
Total change	(3)	(115)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 5	\$ 374

II-65

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Components of the other postretirement benefit plans net periodic cost were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Service cost	\$ 26	\$ 28	\$ 27
Interest cost	113	111	107
Expected return on plan assets	(61)	(59)	(52)
Net amortization	25	31	38
 Net postretirement cost	 \$103	 \$111	 \$120

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Act) provides a 28% prescription drug subsidy for Medicare eligible retirees. The effect of the subsidy reduced Southern Company's expenses for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 by approximately \$33 million, \$35 million, and \$35 million, respectively.

Future benefit payments, including prescription drug benefits, reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the accumulated benefit obligation for the postretirement plans. Estimated benefit payments are reduced by drug subsidy receipts expected as a result of the Medicare Act as follows:

	Benefit Payments	Subsidy Receipts <i>(in millions)</i>	Total
2010	\$ 107	\$ (8)	\$ 99
2011	117	(9)	108
2012	123	(11)	112
2013	129	(12)	117
2014	134	(14)	120
2015 to 2019	722	(93)	629

Actuarial Assumptions

The weighted average rates assumed in the actuarial calculations used to determine both the benefit obligations as of the measurement date and the net periodic costs for the pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the following year are presented below. Net periodic benefit costs were calculated in 2006 for the 2007 plan year using a discount rate of 6.00% and an annual salary increase of 3.50%.

	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate:			
Pension plans	5.93%	6.75%	6.30%
Other postretirement benefit plans	5.83	6.75	6.30
Annual salary increase	4.18	3.75	3.75
Long-term return on plan assets:			
Pension plans	8.50	8.50	8.50
Other postretirement benefit plans	7.51	7.59	7.58

The Company estimates the expected rate of return on pension plan and other postretirement benefit plan assets using a financial model to project the expected return on each current investment portfolio. The analysis projects an expected rate of return on each of seven different asset classes in order to arrive at the expected return on the entire

portfolio relying on each trust's target asset allocation and reasonable capital market assumptions. The financial model is based on four key inputs: anticipated returns by asset class (based in part on historical returns), each trust's asset allocation, an anticipated inflation rate, and the projected impact of a periodic rebalancing of each trust's portfolio.

II-66

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

An additional assumption used in measuring the APBO was a weighted average medical care cost trend rate of 8.50% for 2010, decreasing gradually to 5.25% through the year 2016 and remaining at that level thereafter. An annual increase or decrease in the assumed medical care cost trend rate of 1% would affect the APBO and the service and interest cost components at December 31, 2009 as follows:

	1 Percent Increase	1 Percent Decrease
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Benefit obligation	\$ 115	\$ 102
Service and interest costs	9	9

Employee Savings Plan

Southern Company also sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering substantially all employees. The Company provides an 85% matching contribution up to 6% of an employee's base salary. Total matching contributions made to the plan for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$78 million, \$76 million, and \$73 million, respectively.

3. CONTINGENCIES AND REGULATORY MATTERS**General Litigation Matters**

Southern Company and its subsidiaries are subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the business activities of Southern Company's subsidiaries are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against Southern Company and its subsidiaries cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on Southern Company's financial statements.

Mirant Matters

Mirant Corporation (Mirant) was an energy company with businesses that included independent power projects and energy trading and risk management companies in the U.S. and selected other countries. It was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Company until its initial public offering in October 2000. In April 2001, Southern Company completed a spin-off to its shareholders of its remaining ownership, and Mirant became an independent corporate entity.

In July 2003, Mirant and certain of its affiliates filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas. The Bankruptcy Court entered an order confirming Mirant's plan of reorganization in December 2005, and Mirant announced that this plan became effective in January 2006. As part of the plan, Mirant transferred substantially all of its assets and its restructured debt to a new corporation that adopted the name Mirant Corporation (Reorganized Mirant).

Under the terms of the separation agreements entered into in connection with the spin-off, Mirant agreed to indemnify Southern Company for certain costs. As a result of Mirant's bankruptcy, Southern Company sought reimbursement as an unsecured creditor in Mirant's Chapter 11 proceeding. If Southern Company's claims for indemnification with respect to these costs are allowed, then Mirant's indemnity obligations to Southern Company would constitute unsecured claims against Mirant entitled to stock in Reorganized Mirant. As a result of the \$202 million settlement on March 31, 2009 of another suit related to Mirant (MC Asset Recovery litigation), the maximum amount Southern Company can assert by proof of claim in the Mirant bankruptcy is capped at \$9.5 million. See Note 5 under Effective

Tax Rate for more information regarding the MC Asset Recovery settlement. The final outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

II-67

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Environmental Matters*****New Source Review Actions***

In November 1999, the EPA brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including Alabama Power and Georgia Power, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and Georgia Power, including facilities co-owned by Mississippi Power and Gulf Power. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The EPA concurrently issued notices of violation to Gulf Power and Mississippi Power relating to Gulf Power's Plant Crist and Mississippi Power's Plant Watson. In early 2000, the EPA filed a motion to amend its complaint to add Gulf Power and Mississippi Power as defendants based on the allegations in the notices of violation. However, in March 2001, the court denied the motion based on lack of jurisdiction, and the EPA has not re-filed. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

Southern Company believes that the traditional operating companies complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly

II-68

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but the traditional operating companies and Southern Power were named as defendants in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

Southern Company's subsidiaries must comply with environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the subsidiaries may also incur substantial costs to clean up properties. The traditional operating companies have each received authority from their respective state PSCs to recover approved environmental compliance costs through regulatory mechanisms. Within limits approved by the state PSCs, these rates are adjusted annually or as necessary.

Georgia Power's environmental remediation liability as of December 31, 2009 was \$12.5 million. Georgia Power has been designated or identified as a potentially responsible party (PRP) at sites governed by the Georgia Hazardous Site Response Act and/or by the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), including a large site in Brunswick, Georgia on the CERCLA National Priorities List (NPL). The parties have completed the removal of wastes from the Brunswick site as ordered by the EPA. Additional claims for recovery of natural resource damages at this site or for the assessment and potential cleanup of other sites on the Georgia Hazardous Sites Inventory and CERCLA NPL are anticipated.

By letter dated September 30, 2008, the EPA advised Georgia Power that it has been designated as a PRP at the Ward Transformer Superfund site located in Raleigh, North Carolina. Numerous other entities have also received notices from the EPA. Georgia Power, along with other named PRPs, is negotiating with the EPA to address cleanup of the site and reimbursement for past expenditures related to work performed at the site. In addition, on April 30, 2009, two PRPs filed separate actions in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina against numerous other PRPs, including Georgia Power, seeking contribution from the defendants for expenses incurred by the plaintiffs related to work performed at a portion of the site. The ultimate outcome of these matters will depend upon further environmental assessment and the ultimate number of PRPs and cannot be determined at this time; however, it is not expected to have a material impact on Southern Company's financial statements.

Gulf Power's environmental remediation liability includes estimated costs of environmental remediation projects of approximately \$65.2 million as of December 31, 2009. These estimated costs relate to site closure criteria by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for potential impacts to soil and groundwater from herbicide applications at Gulf Power substations. The schedule for completion of the remediation projects will be subject to FDEP approval. The projects have been approved by the Florida PSC for recovery through Gulf Power's

environmental cost recovery clause; therefore, there was no impact on net income as a result of these estimates. The final outcome of these matters cannot now be determined. However, based on the currently known conditions at these sites and the nature and extent of activities relating to these sites, management does not believe that additional liabilities, if any, at these sites would be material to the financial statements.

II-69

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****FERC Matters*****Market-Based Rate Authority***

Each of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power has authorization from the FERC to sell power to non-affiliates, including short-term opportunity sales, at market-based prices. Specific FERC approval must be obtained with respect to a market-based contract with an affiliate.

In December 2004, the FERC initiated a proceeding to assess Southern Company's generation market power within its retail service territory. The ability to charge market-based rates in other markets was not an issue in the proceeding. Any new market-based rate sales by any subsidiary of Southern Company in Southern Company's retail service territory entered into during a 15-month refund period that ended in May 2006 could have been subject to refund to a cost-based rate level.

On December 23, 2009, Southern Company and the FERC trial staff reached an agreement in principle that would resolve the proceeding in its entirety. The agreement does not reflect any finding or suggestion that any subsidiary of Southern Company possesses or has exercised any market power. The agreement likewise does not require Southern Company to make any refunds related to sales during the 15-month refund period. The agreement does provide for the traditional operating companies and Southern Power to donate a total of \$1.7 million to nonprofit organizations in the states in which they operate for the purpose of offsetting the electricity bills of low-income retail customers. The agreement is subject to review and approval by the FERC.

Intercompany Interchange Contract

The Company's generation fleet in its retail service territory is operated under the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the FERC. In May 2005, the FERC initiated a new proceeding to examine (1) the provisions of the IIC among the traditional operating companies, Southern Power, and SCS, as agent, under the terms of which the power pool of Southern Company is operated, (2) whether any parties to the IIC have violated the FERC's standards of conduct applicable to utility companies that are transmission providers, and (3) whether Southern Company's code of conduct defining Southern Power as a system company rather than a marketing affiliate is just and reasonable. In connection with the formation of Southern Power, the FERC authorized Southern Power's inclusion in the IIC in 2000. The FERC also previously approved Southern Company's code of conduct.

In October 2006, the FERC issued an order accepting a settlement resolving the proceeding subject to Southern Company's agreement to accept certain modifications to the settlement's terms. Southern Company notified the FERC that it accepted the modifications. The modifications largely involve functional separation and information restrictions related to marketing activities conducted on behalf of Southern Power. In November 2006, Southern Company filed with the FERC a compliance plan in connection with the order. In April 2007, the FERC approved, with certain modifications, the plan submitted by Southern Company. Implementation of the plan did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In November 2007, Southern Company notified the FERC that the plan had been implemented. In December 2008, the FERC division of audits issued for public comment its final audit report pertaining to compliance implementation and related matters. No comments were submitted challenging the audit report's findings of Southern Company's compliance. The proceeding remains open pending a decision from the FERC regarding the audit report.

Right of Way Litigation

Southern Company and certain of its subsidiaries, including Mississippi Power, have been named as defendants in numerous lawsuits brought by landowners since 2001. The plaintiffs' lawsuits claim that defendants may not use, or sublease to third parties, some or all of the fiber optic communications lines on the rights of way that cross the plaintiffs' properties and that such actions exceed the easements or other property rights held by defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for, among other things, trespass and unjust enrichment and seek compensatory and punitive damages and injunctive relief. Management of Southern Company believes that its subsidiaries have complied with applicable laws and that the plaintiffs' claims are without merit.

To date, Mississippi Power has entered into agreements with plaintiffs in approximately 95% of the actions pending against Mississippi Power to clarify its easement rights in the State of Mississippi. These agreements have been

approved by the Circuit Courts of Harrison County and Jasper County, Mississippi (First Judicial Circuit), and the related cases have been dismissed. These agreements have not resulted in any material effects on Southern Company's financial statements.

II-70

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

In addition, in late 2001, certain subsidiaries of Southern Company, including Mississippi Power, were named as defendants in a lawsuit brought in Troup County, Georgia, Superior Court by Interstate Fibernet, Inc., a subsidiary of telecommunications company ITC DeltaCom, Inc. that uses certain of the defendants' rights of way. This lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the defendants are contractually obligated to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the telecommunications company from any liability that may be assessed against it in pending and future right of way litigation. The Company believes that the plaintiff's claims are without merit. In the fall of 2004, the trial court stayed the case until resolution of the underlying landowner litigation discussed above. In January 2005, the Georgia Court of Appeals dismissed the telecommunications company's appeal of the trial court's order for lack of jurisdiction. An adverse outcome in this matter, combined with an adverse outcome against the telecommunications company in one or more of the right of way lawsuits, could result in substantial judgments.

The final outcome of these matters cannot now be determined.

Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs

Alabama Power and Georgia Power have contracts with the United States, acting through the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), which provide for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. The DOE failed to begin disposing of spent nuclear fuel in 1998 as required by the contracts, and Alabama Power and Georgia Power are pursuing legal remedies against the government for breach of contract.

In July 2007, the U.S. Court of Federal Claims awarded Georgia Power approximately \$30 million, based on its ownership interests, and awarded Alabama Power approximately \$17 million, representing substantially all of the direct costs of the expansion of spent nuclear fuel storage facilities at Plants Farley, Hatch, and Vogtle from 1998 through 2004. In November 2007, the government's motion for reconsideration was denied. In January 2008, the government filed an appeal and, in February 2008, filed a motion to stay the appeal. In April 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit granted the government's motion to stay the appeal pending the court's decisions in three other similar cases already on appeal. Those cases were decided in August 2008. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has left the stay of appeals in place pending the decision in an appeal of another case involving spent nuclear fuel contracts.

In April 2008, a second claim against the government was filed for damages incurred after December 31, 2004 (the court-mandated cut-off in the original claim), due to the government's alleged continuing breach of contract. In October 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit denied a similar request by the government to stay this proceeding. The complaint does not contain any specific dollar amount for recovery of damages. Damages will continue to accumulate until the issue is resolved or the storage is provided. No amounts have been recognized in the financial statements as of December 31, 2009 for either claim. The final outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time, but no material impact on net income is expected as any damage amounts collected from the government are expected to be returned to customers.

Sufficient pool storage capacity for spent fuel is available at Plant Vogtle to maintain full-core discharge capability for both units into 2014. Construction of an on-site dry storage facility at Plant Vogtle is expected to begin in sufficient time to maintain pool full-core discharge capability. At Plants Hatch and Farley, on-site dry storage facilities are operational and can be expanded to accommodate spent fuel through the expected life of each plant.

Income Tax Matters

Georgia Power's 2005 through 2008 income tax filings for the State of Georgia include state income tax credits for increased activity through Georgia ports. Georgia Power has also filed similar claims for the years 2002 through 2004. The Georgia Department of Revenue has not responded to these claims. In July 2007, Georgia Power filed a complaint in the Superior Court of Fulton County to recover the credits claimed for the years 2002 through 2004. An unrecognized tax benefit has been recorded related to these credits. See Note 5 under "Unrecognized Tax Benefits" for additional information. If Georgia Power prevails, these claims could have a significant, and possibly material, positive effect on Southern Company's net income. If Georgia Power is not successful, payment of the related state tax could have a significant, and possibly material, negative effect on Southern Company's cash flow. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Retail Regulatory Matters*****Alabama Power******Retail Rate Plans***

Alabama Power operates under a Rate Stabilization and Equalization Plan (Rate RSE) approved by the Alabama PSC. Rate RSE adjustments are based on forward-looking information for the applicable upcoming calendar year. Rate adjustments for any two-year period, when averaged together, cannot exceed 4% per year and any annual adjustment is limited to 5%. Retail rates remain unchanged when the retail return on common equity (ROE) is projected to be between 13% and 14.5%. If Alabama Power's actual retail ROE is above the allowed equity return range, customer refunds will be required; however, there is no provision for additional customer billings should the actual retail ROE fall below the allowed equity return range. In October 2008, the Alabama PSC approved a corrective rate package effective January 2009, that primarily provides for adjustments associated with customer charges to certain existing rate structures. Alabama Power agreed to a moratorium on any increase in rates in 2009 under Rate RSE. On December 1, 2009, Alabama Power made its Rate RSE submission to the Alabama PSC of projected data for calendar year 2010. The Rate RSE increase for 2010 is 3.2%, or \$152 million annually, and became effective in January 2010. The revenue adjustment under the Rate RSE is largely attributable to the costs associated with fossil capacity which is currently dedicated to certain long-term wholesale contracts that expire during 2010. Retail cost of service for 2010 reflects the costs for that portion of the year in which this capacity is no longer committed to wholesale. In an Alabama PSC order dated January 5, 2010, the Alabama PSC acknowledged that a full calendar year of costs for such capacity would be reflected in the Rate RSE calculation beginning in 2011 and thereafter. Under the terms of Rate RSE, the maximum increase for 2011 cannot exceed 4.76%.

The Alabama PSC has also approved a rate mechanism that provides for adjustments to recognize the cost of placing new generating facilities in retail service and for the recovery of retail costs associated with certificated power purchase agreements (PPAs) under a Rate Certificated New Plant (Rate CNP). There was no adjustment to Rate CNP in April 2007, 2008, or 2009. Effective April 2010, Rate CNP will be reduced approximately \$70 million annually, primarily due to the expiration on May 31, 2010 of the PPA with Southern Power covering the capacity of Plant Harris Unit 1. Rate CNP also allows for the recovery of Alabama Power's retail costs associated with environmental laws, regulations, or other such mandates. The rate mechanism is based on forward-looking information and provides for the recovery of these costs pursuant to a factor that is calculated annually. Environmental costs to be recovered include operations and maintenance expenses, depreciation, and a return on invested capital. Retail rates increased approximately 2.4% in January 2008 and 0.6% in January 2007 due to environmental costs. In October 2008, Alabama Power agreed to defer collection during 2009 of any increase in rates under this portion of Rate CNP which permits recovery of costs associated with environmental laws and regulations until 2010. The deferral of the retail rate adjustments had an immaterial impact on annual cash flows, and had no significant effect on Southern Company's revenues or net income in 2009. On December 1, 2009, Alabama Power made its Rate CNP environmental submission to the Alabama PSC of projected data for calendar year 2010. The Rate CNP environmental increase for 2010 is 4.3%, or \$195 million annually, based upon projected billings. Under the terms of the rate mechanism, the adjustment became effective in January 2010. The Rate CNP environmental adjustment is primarily attributable to scrubbers being placed in service during 2010 at four of Alabama Power's generating plants.

Fuel Cost Recovery

Alabama Power has established fuel cost recovery rates under an energy cost recovery clause (Rate ECR) approved by the Alabama PSC. Rates are based on an estimate of future energy costs and the current over or under recovered balance. In June 2007, the Alabama PSC approved Alabama Power's request to increase the retail energy cost recovery rate to 3.100 cents per kilowatt hour (KWH), effective with billings beginning July 2007. In October 2008, the Alabama PSC approved an increase in Alabama Power's Rate ECR factor to 3.983 cents per KWH effective with billings beginning October 2008. On June 2, 2009, the Alabama PSC approved a decrease in Alabama Power's Rate ECR factor to 3.733 cents per KWH for billings beginning June 9, 2009. On December 1, 2009, the Alabama PSC approved a decrease in Alabama Power's Rate ECR factor to 2.731 cents per KWH for billings beginning

January 2010 through December 2011. The Alabama PSC further approved an additional reduction in the Rate ECR factor of 0.328 cents per KWH for the billing months of January 2010 through December 2010 resulting in a Rate ECR factor of 2.403 cents per KWH for such 12-month period. For billing months beginning January 2012, the Rate ECR factor shall be 5.910 cents per KWH, absent a contrary order by the Alabama PSC. Rate ECR revenues, as recorded on the financial statements, are adjusted for the difference in actual recoverable fuel costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, the approved decreases in the Rate ECR factor will have no significant effect on Southern Company's net income, but will decrease operating cash flows related to fuel cost recovery in 2010 when compared to 2009. As of December 31, 2009, Alabama Power had an over recovered fuel balance of approximately \$200 million, of which approximately \$22 million is included in other regulatory liabilities, deferred in the balance sheets. Alabama Power, along with the Alabama PSC, will continue to monitor the over recovered fuel cost balance to determine whether an additional adjustment to billing rates is required.

II-72

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report*****Georgia Power******Retail Rate Plans***

In December 2004, the Georgia PSC approved the 2004 Retail Rate Plan. Under the terms of the 2004 Retail Rate Plan, Georgia Power's earnings were evaluated against a retail ROE range of 10.25% to 12.25%. Two-thirds of any earnings above 12.25% were applied to rate refunds, with the remaining one-third retained by Georgia Power. Retail rates and customer fees increased by approximately \$203 million effective January 1, 2005 to cover the higher costs of purchased power, operating and maintenance expenses, environmental compliance, and continued investment in new generation, transmission, and distribution facilities to support growth and ensure reliability. In 2007, Georgia Power refunded 2005 earnings above 12.25% retail ROE. There were no refunds related to earnings for 2007.

In December 2007, the Georgia PSC approved the 2007 Retail Rate Plan. Under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, Georgia Power's earnings are evaluated against a retail ROE range of 10.25% to 12.25%. Retail base rates increased by approximately \$100 million effective January 1, 2008 to provide for cost recovery of transmission, distribution, generation, and other investments, as well as increased operating costs. In addition, the ECCR tariff was implemented to allow for the recovery of costs related to environmental projects mandated by state and federal regulations. The ECCR tariff increased rates by approximately \$222 million effective January 1, 2008. In connection with the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, Georgia Power agreed that it would not file for a general base rate increase during this period unless its projected retail ROE falls below 10.25%. Georgia Power is required to file a general rate case by July 1, 2010, in response to which the Georgia PSC would be expected to determine whether the 2007 Retail Rate Plan should be continued, modified, or discontinued.

Cost of Removal

The economic recession has significantly reduced Georgia Power's revenues upon which retail rates were set under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan. In June 2009, despite stringent efforts to reduce expenses, Georgia Power's projected retail ROE for both 2009 and 2010 was below 10.25%. However, in lieu of filing to increase customer rates as allowed under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, on June 29, 2009, Georgia Power filed a request with the Georgia PSC for an accounting order that would allow Georgia Power to amortize up to \$324 million of its regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations.

On August 27, 2009, the Georgia PSC approved the accounting order. Under the terms of the accounting order, Georgia Power was entitled to amortize up to one-third of the regulatory liability (\$108 million) in 2009, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 9.75% retail ROE. For the year ended December 31, 2009, Georgia Power amortized \$41 million of the regulatory liability. In addition, Georgia Power may amortize up to two-thirds of the regulatory liability (\$216 million) in 2010, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 10.15% retail ROE.

Fuel Cost Recovery

Georgia Power has established fuel cost recovery rates approved by the Georgia PSC. The Georgia PSC approved increases in Georgia Power's total annual billings of approximately \$383 million effective March 1, 2007 and approximately \$222 million effective June 1, 2008. On December 15, 2009, Georgia Power filed for a fuel cost recovery increase with the Georgia PSC. On February 22, 2010, Georgia Power, the Georgia PSC Public Interest Advocacy Staff, and three customer groups entered into a stipulation to resolve the case, subject to approval by the Georgia PSC (the Stipulation). Under the terms of the Stipulation, Georgia Power's annual fuel cost recovery billings will increase by approximately \$425 million. In addition, Georgia Power will implement an interim fuel rider, which would allow Georgia Power to adjust its fuel cost recovery rates prior to the next fuel case if the under recovered fuel balance exceeds budget by more than \$75 million. Georgia Power is required to file its next fuel case by March 1, 2011. The Georgia PSC is scheduled to vote on the Stipulation on March 11, 2010 with the new fuel rates to become effective April 1, 2010. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

As of December 31, 2009, Georgia Power's under recovered fuel balance totaled approximately \$665 million, which if the Stipulation is approved, Georgia Power will recover over 32 months beginning April 1, 2010. Therefore, approximately \$373 million of the under recovered regulatory clause revenues for Georgia Power is included in deferred charges and other assets at December 31, 2009.

Fuel cost recovery revenues as recorded in the financial statements are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, a change in the billing factor has no significant effect on Southern Company's revenues or net income, but does impact annual cash flow.

II-73

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report***Nuclear Construction*

On August 26, 2009, the NRC issued an Early Site Permit and Limited Work Authorization to Southern Nuclear, on behalf of Georgia Power, Oglethorpe Power Corporation (OPC), the Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia (MEAG Power), and the City of Dalton, Georgia, an incorporated municipality in the State of Georgia acting by and through its Board of Water, Light and Sinking Fund Commissioners (collectively, Owners), related to two additional nuclear units on the site of Plant Vogtle (Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4). See Note 4 for additional information on these co-owners. In March 2008, Southern Nuclear filed an application with the NRC for a combined construction and operating license for the new units. If licensed by the NRC, Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 are scheduled to be placed in service in 2016 and 2017, respectively.

In April 2008, Georgia Power, acting for itself and as agent for the Owners, and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse Electric Company LLC (Westinghouse) and Stone & Webster, Inc. (collectively, Consortium) entered into an engineering, procurement, and construction agreement to design, engineer, procure, construct, and test two AP1000 nuclear units with electric generating capacity of approximately 1,100 MWs each and related facilities, structures, and improvements at Plant Vogtle (Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement).

The Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement is an arrangement whereby the Consortium supplies and constructs the entire facility with the exception of certain items provided by the Owners. Under the terms of the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement, the Owners agreed to pay a purchase price that will be subject to certain price escalations and adjustments, including certain index-based adjustments, as well as adjustments for change orders, and performance bonuses for early completion and unit performance. Each Owner is severally (and not jointly) liable for its proportionate share, based on its ownership interest, of all amounts owed to the Consortium under the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement. Georgia Power's proportionate share is 45.7%.

On February 23, 2010, Georgia Power, acting for itself and as agent for the Owners, and the Consortium entered into an amendment to the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement. The amendment, which is subject to the approval of the Georgia PSC, replaces certain of the index-based adjustments to the purchase price with fixed escalation amounts.

On March 17, 2009, the Georgia PSC voted to certify construction of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 at an in-service cost of \$6.4 billion. In addition, the Georgia PSC voted to approve inclusion of the related construction work in progress accounts in rate base.

On April 21, 2009, the Governor of the State of Georgia signed into law the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act that will allow Georgia Power to recover financing costs for nuclear construction projects by including the related construction work in progress accounts in rate base during the construction period. The cost recovery provisions will become effective on January 1, 2011. With respect to Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4, this legislation allows Georgia Power to recover projected financing costs of approximately \$1.7 billion during the construction period beginning in 2011, which reduces the projected in-service cost to approximately \$4.4 billion.

On June 15, 2009, an environmental group filed a petition in the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia seeking review of the Georgia PSC's certification order and challenging the constitutionality of the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act. Georgia Power believes there is no meritorious basis for this petition and intends to vigorously defend against the requested actions.

On August 27, 2009, the NRC issued letters to Westinghouse revising the review schedules needed to certify the AP1000 standard design for new reactors and expressing concerns related to the availability of adequate information and the shield building design. The shield building protects the containment and provides structural support to the containment cooling water supply. Georgia Power is continuing to work with Westinghouse and the NRC to resolve these concerns. Any possible delays in the AP1000 design certification schedule, including those addressed by the NRC in their letters, are not currently expected to affect the projected commercial operation dates for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

There are pending technical and procedural challenges to the construction and licensing of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. Similar additional challenges at the state and federal level are expected as construction proceeds.

On August 31, 2009, Georgia Power filed with the Georgia PSC its first semi-annual construction monitoring report for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 for the period ended June 30, 2009 which did not include any proposed change to the estimated construction cost as certified by the Georgia PSC in March 2009. On February 25, 2010, the Georgia PSC approved the expenditures made by Georgia Power pursuant to the certification through June 30, 2009. The Georgia PSC also ordered that in its future semi-annual construction monitoring reports, Georgia Power will report against a total certified cost of approximately \$6.1 billion, which is the effective certified amount after giving effect to the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act as described above. Georgia Power will continue to file construction monitoring reports by February 28 and August 31 of each year during the construction period. The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot now be determined.

II-74

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC)**

On January 16, 2009, Mississippi Power filed for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity with the Mississippi PSC to allow construction of a new electric generating plant located in Kemper County, Mississippi. The plant would utilize an advanced integrated coal gasification combined cycle technology with an output capacity of 582 MWs. The Kemper IGCC will use locally mined lignite from a proposed mine adjacent to the plant as fuel. This certificate, if approved by the Mississippi PSC, would authorize Mississippi Power to acquire, construct and operate the Kemper IGCC and related facilities. The Kemper IGCC, subject to federal and state reviews and certain regulatory approvals, is expected to begin commercial operation in May 2014. The Mississippi PSC has issued orders allowing Mississippi Power to defer the costs associated with the generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening activities as a regulatory asset. As of December 31, 2009, Mississippi Power had spent a total of \$73.5 million of such costs including regulatory filing costs.

On November 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order that found Mississippi Power has a demonstrated need for additional capacity. Hearings to determine the appropriate resource to fill the need were held in February 2010 with a decision due by May 2010.

The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

4. JOINT OWNERSHIP AGREEMENTS

Alabama Power owns an undivided interest in units 1 and 2 of Plant Miller and related facilities jointly with Power South Energy Cooperative, Inc. Georgia Power owns undivided interests in Plants Vogtle, Hatch, Scherer, and Wansley in varying amounts jointly with OPC, MEAG Power, the City of Dalton, Georgia, Florida Power & Light Company, and Jacksonville Electric Authority. In addition, Georgia Power has joint ownership agreements with OPC for the Rocky Mountain facilities and with Florida Power Corporation for a combustion turbine unit at Intercession City, Florida. Southern Power owns an undivided interest in Plant Stanton Unit A and related facilities jointly with the Orlando Utilities Commission, Kissimmee Utility Authority, and Florida Municipal Power Agency.

At December 31, 2009, Alabama Power's, Georgia Power's, and Southern Power's ownership and investment (exclusive of nuclear fuel) in jointly owned facilities with the above entities were as follows:

	Percent Ownership	Amount of Investment	Accumulated Depreciation
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Plant Vogtle (nuclear) Units 1 and 2	45.7%	\$3,285	\$ 1,916
Plant Hatch (nuclear)	50.1	937	522
Plant Miller (coal) Units 1 and 2	91.8	1,063	449
Plant Scherer (coal) Units 1 and 2	8.4	133	70
Plant Wansley (coal)	53.5	696	195
Rocky Mountain (pumped storage)	25.4	175	106
Intercession City (combustion turbine)	33.3	12	3
Plant Stanton (combined cycle) Unit A	65.0	151	20

At December 31, 2009, the portion of total construction work in progress related to Plants Miller, Scherer, Wansley, and Vogtle Units 3 and 4 was \$244 million, \$247 million, \$5 million, and \$611 million, respectively. Construction at Plants Miller, Wansley, and Scherer relates primarily to environmental projects. See Note 3 under "Retail Regulatory Matters - Georgia Power - Nuclear Construction" for information on Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Southern Power have contracted to operate and maintain the jointly owned facilities, except for Rocky Mountain and Intercession City, as agents for their respective co-owners. The companies proportionate share of their plant operating expenses is included in the corresponding operating expenses in the statements of income and each company is responsible for providing its own financing.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****5. INCOME TAXES**

Southern Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and combined state income tax returns for the States of Alabama, Georgia, and Mississippi. Under a joint consolidated income tax allocation agreement, each subsidiary's current and deferred tax expense is computed on a stand-alone basis. In accordance with IRS regulations, each company is jointly and severally liable for the tax liability.

Current and Deferred Income Taxes

Details of income tax provisions are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Federal			
Current	\$771	\$628	\$715
Deferred	40	177	11
	811	805	726
State			
Current	100	72	114
Deferred	(15)	38	(5)
	85	110	109
Total	\$896	\$915	\$835

Net cash payments for income taxes in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$975 million, \$537 million, and \$732 million, respectively.

The tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their respective tax bases, which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>
Deferred tax liabilities		
Accelerated depreciation	\$5,938	\$5,356
Property basis differences	986	968
Leveraged lease basis differences	251	306
Employee benefit obligations	384	364
Under recovered fuel clause	271	516
Premium on reacquired debt	100	107
Regulatory assets associated with employee benefit obligations	939	869
Regulatory assets associated with asset retirement obligations	486	480
Other	216	132
Total	9,571	9,098
Deferred tax assets		
Federal effect of state deferred taxes	302	354
State effect of federal deferred taxes	108	105

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Employee benefit obligations	1,435	1,325
Over recovered fuel clause	119	
Other property basis differences	132	144
Deferred costs	65	99
Cost of removal	109	
Unbilled revenue	96	100
Other comprehensive losses	81	82
Asset retirement obligations	486	480
Other	458	279
Total	3,391	2,968
Total deferred tax liabilities, net	6,180	6,130
Portion included in prepaid expenses (accrued income taxes), net	229	(90)
Deferred state tax assets	105	103
Valuation allowance	(59)	(63)
Accumulated deferred income taxes	\$6,455	\$6,080

II-76

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, Southern Company had a State of Georgia net operating loss (NOL) carryforward totaling \$1.0 billion, which could result in net state income tax benefits of \$55 million, if utilized. However, Southern Company has established a valuation allowance for the potential \$55 million tax benefit due to the remote likelihood that the tax benefit will be realized. These NOLs expire between 2010 and 2021. During 2009, Southern Company utilized \$4 million in available NOLs, which resulted in a \$0.2 million state income tax benefit. The State of Georgia allows the filing of a combined return, which should substantially reduce any additional NOL carryforwards.

At December 31, 2009, the tax-related regulatory assets and liabilities were \$1.05 billion and \$249 million, respectively. These assets are attributable to tax benefits flowed through to customers in prior years and to taxes applicable to capitalized interest. These liabilities are attributable to deferred taxes previously recognized at rates higher than the current enacted tax law and to unamortized investment tax credits.

Effective Tax Rate

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income taxes determined by applying the applicable U.S. federal statutory rate to earnings before income taxes and preferred and preference dividends of subsidiaries, as a result of the following:

	2009	2008	2007
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax, net of federal deduction	2.1	2.6	2.7
Synthetic fuel tax credits			(1.4)
Employee stock plans dividend deduction	(1.4)	(1.3)	(1.3)
Non-deductible book depreciation	0.9	0.8	0.9
Difference in prior years deferred and current tax rate	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)
AFUDC-Equity	(2.7)	(1.9)	(1.4)
Production activities deduction	(0.7)	(0.4)	(0.8)
Leveraged lease termination	(0.9)		
MC Asset Recovery	2.7		
Donations	(0.4)		(0.8)
Other	(0.1)	(1.0)	(0.8)
Effective income tax rate	34.4%	33.6%	31.9%

Southern Company's 2009 effective tax rate increased from 2008 primarily due to the \$202 million charge recorded for the MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement, which completed and resolved all claims by MC Asset Recovery against Southern Company. Southern Company is currently evaluating potential recovery of the settlement payment through various means. The degree to which any recovery is realized will determine, in part, the final income tax treatment of the settlement payment. The ultimate outcome of any such recovery and/or income tax treatment cannot be determined at this time. The increase in Southern Company's effective tax rate was partially offset by the gain on the early termination of an international leveraged lease investment and the increase in AFUDC related to increased construction expenditures.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U. S. production activities as defined in the Internal Revenue Code Section 199 (production activities deduction). The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. The IRS has not clearly defined a methodology for calculating this deduction. However, Southern Company reached an agreement with the IRS on a calculation methodology and signed a closing agreement in December 2008. Therefore, in 2008, Southern Company reversed the unrecognized tax benefit related to the calculation methodology and adjusted the deduction for all previous years to conform to the agreement

which resulted in a decrease in the 2008 deduction when compared to the 2007 deduction. Certain aspects of the production activities deduction remain unresolved. The net impact of the reversal of the unrecognized tax benefits combined with the application of the new methodology had no material effect on the Company's financial statements. For 2009, Georgia Power donated 5,111 acres of land to the State of Georgia. In 2007, Georgia Power donated 2,200 acres of land in the Tallulah Gorge State Park to the State of Georgia. The estimated value of the donations lowered the effective income tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2007.

II-77

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Unrecognized Tax Benefits**

For 2009, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$53 million, resulting in a balance of \$199 million as of December 31, 2009.

Changes during the year in unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$146	\$ 264	\$211
Tax positions from current periods	53	49	46
Tax positions from prior periods	2	130	7
Reductions due to settlements		(297)	
Reductions due to expired statute of limitations	(2)		
Balance at end of year	\$199	\$ 146	\$264

The tax positions from current periods increase for 2009 relate primarily to the Georgia state tax credits litigation, the production activities deduction tax position, and other miscellaneous uncertain tax positions. The tax positions increase from prior periods for 2009 relates primarily to the production activities deduction tax position. See Note 3 under **Income Tax Matters** for additional information.

Impact on Southern Company's effective tax rate, if recognized, is as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Tax positions impacting the effective tax rate	\$199	\$143	\$ 96
Tax positions not impacting the effective tax rate		3	168
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits	\$199	\$146	\$264

The tax positions impacting the effective tax rate primarily relate to Georgia state tax credit litigation at Georgia Power and the production activities deduction tax position. See Note 3 under **Income Tax Matters** for additional information.

Accrued interest for unrecognized tax benefits was as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Interest accrued at beginning of year	\$15	\$ 31	\$27
Interest reclassified due to settlements		(49)	
Interest accrued during the year	6	33	4
Balance at end of year	\$21	\$ 15	\$31

Southern Company classifies interest on tax uncertainties as interest expense. The net amount of interest accrued during 2009 was primarily associated with the Georgia state tax credit litigation.

Southern Company did not accrue any penalties on uncertain tax positions.

It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized benefit with respect to a majority of Southern Company's unrecognized tax positions will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months. The possible settlement of the Georgia state tax credits litigation and/or the conclusion or settlement of state audits could impact the balances significantly. At this time, an estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes cannot be determined. The IRS has audited and closed all tax returns prior to 2004. The audits for the state returns have either been concluded, or the statute of limitations has expired, for years prior to 2006.

II-78

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****6. FINANCING****Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Trusts**

Certain of the traditional operating companies have formed certain wholly-owned trust subsidiaries for the purpose of issuing preferred securities. The proceeds of the related equity investments and preferred security sales were loaned back to the applicable traditional operating company through the issuance of junior subordinated notes totaling \$412 million, which constitute substantially all of the assets of these trusts and are reflected in the balance sheets as

Long-term Debt. Such traditional operating companies each consider that the mechanisms and obligations relating to the preferred securities issued for its benefit, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by it of the respective trusts' payment obligations with respect to these securities. At December 31, 2009, preferred securities of \$400 million were outstanding. See Note 1 under Variable Interest Entities for additional information on the accounting treatment for these trusts and the related securities.

Securities Due Within One Year

A summary of scheduled maturities and redemptions of securities due within one year at December 31 was as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Capitalized leases	\$ 21	\$ 20
Senior notes	1,090	565
Other long-term debt	2	32
Total	\$1,113	\$617

Maturities through 2014 applicable to total long-term debt are as follows: \$1.1 billion in 2010; \$1.1 billion in 2011; \$1.8 billion in 2012; \$941 million in 2013; and \$430 million in 2014.

Bank Term Loans

Certain of the traditional operating companies have entered into bank term loan agreements. In 2008, Georgia Power borrowed \$300 million under a three-year term loan agreement. In 2008, Gulf Power borrowed \$110 million under a three-year loan agreement. Mississippi Power also borrowed \$80 million under a three-year term loan agreement in 2008. The proceeds of these loans were used to repay maturing long-term and short-term indebtedness and for other general corporate purposes.

Senior Notes

Southern Company and its subsidiaries issued a total of \$2.4 billion of senior notes in 2009. Southern Company issued \$650 million, and the traditional operating companies' combined issuances totaled \$1.8 billion. The proceeds of these issuances were used to repay long-term and short-term indebtedness and for other general corporate purposes.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, Southern Company and its subsidiaries had a total of \$14.7 billion and \$12.9 billion, respectively, of senior notes outstanding. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, Southern Company had a total of \$1.8 billion and \$1.1 billion, respectively, of senior notes outstanding.

Pollution Control Revenue Bonds

Pollution control obligations represent loans to the traditional operating companies from public authorities of funds derived from sales by such authorities of revenue bonds issued to finance pollution control and solid waste disposal facilities. The traditional operating companies have \$3.6 billion of outstanding pollution control revenue bonds and are required to make payments sufficient for the authorities to meet principal and interest requirements of such bonds. Proceeds from certain issuances are restricted until qualifying expenditures are incurred.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Assets Subject to Lien**

Each of Southern Company's subsidiaries is organized as a legal entity, separate and apart from Southern Company and its other subsidiaries. Alabama Power and Gulf Power have granted one or more liens on certain of their respective property in connection with the issuance of certain pollution control revenue bonds with an outstanding principal amount of \$194 million. There are no agreements or other arrangements among the subsidiary companies under which the assets of one company have been pledged or otherwise made available to satisfy obligations of Southern Company or any of its other subsidiaries.

Bank Credit Arrangements

At December 31, 2009, unused credit arrangements with banks totaled \$4.8 billion, of which \$1.5 billion expires during 2010, \$25 million expires in 2011, and \$3.2 billion expires in 2012. The following table outlines the credit arrangements by company:

Company	Total	Unused	Executable Term-Loans		Expires		
			One Year	Two Years <i>(in millions)</i>	2010	2011	2012
Southern Company	\$ 950	\$ 950	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 950
Alabama Power	1,271	1,271	372		481	25	765
Georgia Power	1,715	1,703		40	595		1,120
Gulf Power	220	220	70		220		
Mississippi Power	156	156	15	41	156		
Southern Power	400	400					400
Other	60	60	60		60		
Total	\$4,772	\$4,760	\$517	\$81	\$1,512	\$25	\$3,235

All of the credit arrangements require payment of commitment fees based on the unused portion of the commitments or the maintenance of compensating balances with the banks. Commitment fees average approximately 1/2 of 1% or less for Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power. Compensating balances are not legally restricted from withdrawal.

Most of the credit arrangements with banks have covenants that limit debt levels to 65% of total capitalization, as defined in the agreements. For purposes of these definitions, debt excludes the long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts and, in certain arrangements, other hybrid securities. At December 31, 2009, Southern Company, Southern Power, and the traditional operating companies were each in compliance with their respective debt limit covenants. In addition, the credit arrangements typically contain cross default provisions that would be triggered if the borrower defaulted on other indebtedness above a specified threshold. The cross default provisions are restricted only to the indebtedness, including any guarantee obligations, of the company that has such credit arrangements. Southern Company and its subsidiaries are currently in compliance with all such covenants.

A portion of the \$4.8 billion unused credit with banks is allocated to provide liquidity support to the traditional operating companies' variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. The amount of variable rate pollution control revenue bonds requiring liquidity support as of December 31, 2009 was approximately \$1.6 billion. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two remarketings of pollution control revenue bonds increased the total requiring liquidity support to \$1.8 billion.

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power make short-term borrowings primarily through commercial paper programs that have the liquidity support of committed bank credit arrangements. Southern

Company and the traditional operating companies may also borrow through various other arrangements with banks. The amounts of commercial paper outstanding and included in notes payable in the balance sheets at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$638 million and \$794 million, respectively. The amounts of short-term bank loans included in notes payable in the balance sheets at December 31, 2008 were \$150 million. There were no short term-bank loans included in notes payable in the balance sheet at December 31, 2009.

During 2009, the peak amount outstanding for short-term debt was \$1.4 billion, and the average amount outstanding was \$956 million. The average annual interest rate on short-term debt was 0.4% for 2009 and 2.7% for 2008.

II-80

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Changes in Redeemable Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries**

Each of the traditional operating companies has issued preferred and/or preference stock. The preferred stock of Alabama Power and Mississippi Power contains a feature that allows the holders to elect a majority of such subsidiary's board of directors if dividends are not paid for four consecutive quarters. Because such a potential redemption-triggering event is not solely within the control of Alabama Power and Mississippi Power, this preferred stock is presented as Redeemable Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries in a manner consistent with temporary equity under applicable accounting standards. The preferred and preference stock at Georgia Power and the preference stock at Alabama Power and Gulf Power do not contain such a provision that would allow the holders to elect a majority of such subsidiary's board. As a result, under applicable accounting standards, the preferred and preference stock at Georgia Power and the preference stock at Alabama Power and Gulf Power are required to be shown as noncontrolling interest, separately presented as a component of Stockholders' Equity on Southern Company's consolidated balance sheets, consolidated statements of capitalization, and consolidated statements of stockholders' equity.

The following table presents changes during the year in redeemable preferred stock of subsidiaries for Southern Company:

	Redeemable Preferred Stock of Subsidiaries (in millions)
Balance at December 31, 2006	\$ 498
Issued	
Redeemed	
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 498
Issued	
Redeemed	(125)
Other	2
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 375
Issued	
Redeemed	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 375

7. COMMITMENTS**Construction Program**

Southern Company is engaged in continuous construction programs, currently estimated to total \$4.9 billion in 2010, \$5.3 billion in 2011, and \$6.2 billion in 2012. These amounts include \$271 million, \$157 million, and \$166 million in 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively, for construction expenditures related to contractual purchase commitments for nuclear fuel included herein under Fuel and Purchased Power Commitments. The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; changes in load projections; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in nuclear plants to meet new regulatory requirements; changes in FERC rules and regulations; PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were

outstanding in connection with the ongoing construction program, which includes new facilities and capital improvements to transmission, distribution, and generation facilities, including those to meet environmental standards. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Georgia Power Nuclear Construction and Retail Regulatory Matters Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle for additional information.

Long-Term Service Agreements

The traditional operating companies and Southern Power have entered into Long-Term Service Agreements (LTSAs) with General Electric (GE), Alstom Power, Inc., Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas, Inc., and Siemens AG for the purpose of securing maintenance support for the combined cycle and combustion turbine generating facilities owned or under construction by the subsidiaries. The LTSAs cover all planned inspections on the covered equipment, which generally includes the cost of all labor and materials. The LTSAs are also obligated to cover the costs of unplanned maintenance on the covered equipment subject to limits and scope specified in each contract.

II-81

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

In general, these LTSAs are in effect through two major inspection cycles per unit. Scheduled payments under the LTSAs, which are subject to price escalation, are made at various intervals based on actual operating hours or number of gas turbine starts of the respective units. Total remaining payments under these agreements for facilities owned are currently estimated at \$2.4 billion over the remaining life of the agreements, which are currently estimated to range up to 24 years. However, the LTSAs contain various cancellation provisions at the option of the purchasers.

Georgia Power has also entered into an LTSA with GE through 2014 for neutron monitoring system parts and electronics at Plant Hatch. Total remaining payments to GE under this agreement are currently estimated at \$8 million. The contract contains cancellation provisions at the option of Georgia Power.

Payments made under the LTSAs prior to the performance of any work are recorded as a prepayment in the balance sheets. All work performed is capitalized or charged to expense (net of any joint owner billings), as appropriate based on the nature of the work.

Limestone Commitments

As part of Southern Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from its coal plants, the traditional operating companies have entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment. Limestone contracts are structured with tonnage minimums and maximums in order to account for fluctuations in coal burn and sulfur content. Southern Company has a minimum contractual obligation of 7.0 million tons, equating to approximately \$295 million, through 2019. Estimated expenditures (based on minimum contracted obligated dollars) over the next five years are \$37 million in 2010, \$36 million in 2011, \$37 million in 2012, \$38 million in 2013, and \$39 million in 2014.

Fuel and Purchased Power Commitments

To supply a portion of the fuel requirements of the generating plants, Southern Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of fossil, biomass fuel, and nuclear fuel. In most cases, these contracts contain provisions for price escalations, minimum purchase levels, and other financial commitments. Coal commitments include forward contract purchases for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions allowances. Natural gas purchase commitments contain fixed volumes with prices based on various indices at the time of delivery; amounts included in the chart below represent estimates based on New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009. Also, Southern Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the purchase of capacity and electricity. Total estimated minimum long-term obligations at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Commitments				
	Natural Gas	Coal	Nuclear Fuel <i>(in millions)</i>	Biomass Fuel	Purchased Power*
2010	\$ 1,349	\$ 4,490	\$ 271	\$	\$ 253
2011	1,266	3,135	157		258
2012	926	1,572	166	17	266
2013	816	1,063	148	17	235
2014	688	850	83	18	267
2015 and thereafter	4,153	2,508	297	128	2,742
Total	\$9,198	\$13,618	\$ 1,122	\$ 180	\$ 4,021

* Certain PPAs reflected in the table are accounted for as

operating leases.

Additional commitments for fuel will be required to supply Southern Company's future needs. Total charges for nuclear fuel included in fuel expense amounted to \$160 million in 2009, \$147 million in 2008, and \$144 million in 2007.

Operating Leases

In 2001, Mississippi Power began the initial 10-year term of a lease agreement for a combined cycle generating facility built at Plant Daniel for approximately \$370 million. In 2003, the generating facility was acquired by Juniper Capital L.P. (Juniper), whose partners are unaffiliated with Mississippi Power. Simultaneously, Juniper entered into a restructured lease agreement with Mississippi Power. Juniper has also entered into leases with other parties unrelated to Mississippi Power. The assets leased by Mississippi Power comprise less than 50% of Juniper's assets. Mississippi Power is not required to consolidate the leased assets and related liabilities, and the lease with Juniper is considered an operating lease. The initial lease term ends in 2011, and the lease includes a purchase and

II-82

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

renewal option based on the cost of the facility at the inception of the lease. Mississippi Power is required to amortize approximately 4% of the initial acquisition cost over the initial lease term. In April 2010, 18 months prior to the end of the initial lease term, Mississippi Power must notify Juniper if the lease will be terminated. Mississippi Power may elect to renew the lease for 10 years. If the lease is renewed, the agreement calls for Mississippi Power to amortize an additional 17% of the initial completion cost over the renewal period. Upon termination of the lease, at Mississippi Power's option, it may either exercise its purchase option or the facility can be sold to a third party. If Mississippi Power does not exercise either its purchase option or its renewal option, Mississippi Power could lose its rights to some or all of the 1,064 MWs of capacity at that time.

The lease provides for a residual value guarantee, approximately 73% of the acquisition cost, by Mississippi Power that is due upon termination of the lease in the event that Mississippi Power does not renew the lease or purchase the assets and that the fair market value is less than the unamortized cost of the asset. A liability of approximately \$3 million, \$5 million, and \$7 million for the fair market value of this residual value guarantee is included in the balance sheets as of December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Southern Company also has other operating lease agreements with various terms and expiration dates. Total operating lease expenses were \$186 million, \$184 million, and \$187 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Southern Company includes any step rents, escalations, and lease concessions in its computation of minimum lease payments, which are recognized on a straight-line basis over the minimum lease term.

At December 31, 2009, estimated minimum lease payments for noncancelable operating leases were as follows:

	Minimum Lease Payments				
	Plant Daniel	Barges & Rail		Other	Total
		Cars	<i>(in millions)</i>		
2010	\$28	\$ 70	\$ 46	\$144	
2011	28	57	38	123	
2012		40	29	69	
2013		32	22	54	
2014		27	18	45	
2015 and thereafter		28	96	124	
Total	\$56	\$ 254	\$249	\$559	

For the traditional operating companies, a majority of the barge and rail car lease expenses are recoverable through fuel cost recovery provisions. In addition to the above rental commitments, Alabama Power and Georgia Power have obligations upon expiration of certain leases with respect to the residual value of the leased property. These leases expire in 2010, 2011, and 2013, and the maximum obligations are \$61 million, \$40 million, and \$19 million, respectively. At the termination of the leases, the lessee may either exercise its purchase option, or the property can be sold to a third party. Alabama Power and Georgia Power expect that the fair market value of the leased property would substantially reduce or eliminate the payments under the residual value obligations. However, due to the recessionary economy, it is possible that the fair market value of the leased property would not eliminate the payments under the residual value obligations on the leases expiring in 2010.

Guarantees

As discussed earlier in this Note under Operating Leases, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Mississippi Power have entered into certain residual value guarantees.

8. COMMON STOCK**Stock Issued**

In 2009, Southern Company issued 22.6 million shares of common stock for \$673 million through the Southern Investment Plan and employee and director stock plans. In addition, Southern Company issued 19.9 million shares of common stock through at-the-market issuances pursuant to sales agency agreements related to Southern Company's continuous equity offering program and received cash proceeds of \$613 million, net of \$6 million in fees and commissions. In 2008, Southern Company raised \$474 million from the issuance of 14.1 million new common shares through the Southern Investment Plan and employee and director stock plans.

II-83

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Shares Reserved**

At December 31, 2009, a total of 91 million shares were reserved for issuance pursuant to the Southern Investment Plan, the Employee Savings Plan, the Outside Directors Stock Plan, and the Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (which includes the stock option plan discussed below).

Stock Option Plan

Southern Company provides non-qualified stock options to a large segment of its employees ranging from line management to executives. As of December 31, 2009, there were 7,563 current and former employees participating in the stock option plan, and there were 21 million shares of common stock remaining available for awards under this plan. The prices of options granted to date have been at the fair market value of the shares on the dates of grant. Options granted to date become exercisable pro rata over a maximum period of three years from the date of grant. Southern Company generally recognizes stock option expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period which equates to the requisite service period; however, for employees who are eligible for retirement, the total cost is expensed at the grant date. Options outstanding will expire no later than 10 years after the date of grant, unless terminated earlier by the Southern Company Board of Directors in accordance with the stock option plan. For certain stock option awards, a change in control will provide accelerated vesting.

The estimated fair values of stock options granted in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were derived using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility of Southern Company's stock over a period equal to the expected term. Southern Company used historical exercise data to estimate the expected term that represents the period of time that options granted to employees are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant that covers the expected term of the stock options. The following table shows the assumptions used in the pricing model and the weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted:

Year Ended December 31	2009	2008	2007
Expected volatility	15.6%	13.1%	14.8%
Expected term (<i>in years</i>)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Interest rate	1.9%	2.8%	4.6%
Dividend yield	5.4%	4.5%	4.3%
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$1.80	\$2.37	\$4.12

Southern Company's activity in the stock option plan for 2009 is summarized below:

	Shares Subject To Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	36,941,273	\$ 32.09
Granted	12,292,239	31.38
Exercised	(879,555)	21.97
Cancelled	(106,638)	32.48
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	48,247,319	\$ 32.10
Exercisable at December 31, 2009	30,209,272	\$ 31.57

The number of stock options vested, and expected to vest in the future, as of December 31, 2009 was not significantly different from the number of stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009 as stated above. As of December 31,

2009, the weighted average remaining contractual term for the options outstanding and options exercisable was 6 years and 5 years, respectively, and the aggregate intrinsic value for the options outstanding and options exercisable was \$100 million and \$77 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards not yet vested. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 10 months.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, total compensation cost for stock option awards recognized in income was \$23 million, \$20 million, and \$28 million, respectively, with the related tax benefit also recognized in income of \$9 million, \$8 million, and \$11 million, respectively.

II-84

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$9 million, \$45 million, and \$81 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized by the Company for the tax deductions from stock option exercises totaled \$4 million, \$17 million, and \$31 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

Southern Company has a policy of issuing shares to satisfy share option exercises. Cash received from issuances related to option exercises under the share-based payment arrangements for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$19 million, \$113 million, and \$195 million, respectively.

Diluted Earnings Per Share

For Southern Company, the only difference in computing basic and diluted earnings per share is attributable to outstanding options under the stock option plan. The effect of the stock options was determined using the treasury stock method. Shares used to compute diluted earnings per share are as follows:

	Average Common Stock Shares		
	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
As reported shares	794,795	771,039	756,350
Effect of options	1,620	3,809	4,666
Diluted shares	796,415	774,848	761,016

The reduction in the effect of options for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 compared to 2007 is primarily due to the anti-dilutive nature of certain stock options outstanding that have an exercise price that exceeds the average stock price of Southern Company shares in the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, there were 37.7 million and 6.8 million stock options outstanding, respectively, that were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because they were anti-dilutive. Assuming an average stock price of \$38.01 (the highest exercise price of the anti-dilutive options outstanding), the effect of options for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 would have increased by 3.4 million and 0.3 million shares, respectively.

Common Stock Dividend Restrictions

The income of Southern Company is derived primarily from equity in earnings of its subsidiaries. At December 31, 2009, consolidated retained earnings included \$5.6 billion of undistributed retained earnings of the subsidiaries. Southern Power's credit facility contains potential limitations on the payment of common stock dividends; as of December 31, 2009, Southern Power was in compliance with all such requirements.

9. NUCLEAR INSURANCE

Under the Price-Anderson Amendments Act (Act), Alabama Power and Georgia Power maintain agreements of indemnity with the NRC that, together with private insurance, cover third-party liability arising from any nuclear incident occurring at the companies' nuclear power plants. The Act provides funds up to \$12.6 billion for public liability claims that could arise from a single nuclear incident. Each nuclear plant is insured against this liability to a maximum of \$375 million by American Nuclear Insurers (ANI), with the remaining coverage provided by a mandatory program of deferred premiums that could be assessed, after a nuclear incident, against all owners of commercial nuclear reactors. A company could be assessed up to \$117.5 million per incident for each licensed reactor it operates but not more than an aggregate of \$17.5 million per incident to be paid in a calendar year for each reactor. Such maximum assessment, excluding any applicable state premium taxes, for Alabama Power and Georgia Power, based on its ownership and buyback interests, is \$235 million and \$237 million, respectively, per incident, but not more than an aggregate of \$35 million per company to be paid for each incident in any one year. Both the maximum assessment per reactor and the maximum yearly assessment are adjusted for inflation at least every five years. The next scheduled adjustment is due no later than October 29, 2013.

Alabama Power and Georgia Power are members of Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL), a mutual insurer established to provide property damage insurance in an amount up to \$500 million for members' nuclear generating facilities.

Additionally, both companies have policies that currently provide decontamination, excess property insurance, and premature decommissioning coverage up to \$2.25 billion for losses in excess of the \$500 million primary coverage. This excess insurance is also provided by NEIL. In the event of a loss, the amount of insurance available may not be adequate to cover property damage and other incurred expenses.

II-85

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

NEIL also covers the additional costs that would be incurred in obtaining replacement power during a prolonged accidental outage at a member's nuclear plant. Members can purchase this coverage, subject to a deductible waiting period of up to 26 weeks, with a maximum per occurrence per unit limit of \$490 million. After the deductible period, weekly indemnity payments would be received until either the unit is operational or until the limit is exhausted in approximately three years. Alabama Power and Georgia Power each purchase the maximum limit allowed by NEIL, subject to ownership limitations. Each facility has elected a 12-week deductible waiting period.

Under each of the NEIL policies, members are subject to assessments if losses each year exceed the accumulated funds available to the insurer under that policy. The current maximum annual assessments for Alabama Power and Georgia Power under the NEIL policies would be \$38 million and \$50 million, respectively.

Claims resulting from terrorist acts are covered under both the ANI and NEIL policies (subject to normal policy limits). The aggregate, however, that NEIL will pay for all claims resulting from terrorist acts in any 12-month period is \$3.2 billion plus such additional amounts NEIL can recover through reinsurance, indemnity, or other sources.

For all on-site property damage insurance policies for commercial nuclear power plants, the NRC requires that the proceeds of such policies shall be dedicated first for the sole purpose of placing the reactor in a safe and stable condition after an accident. Any remaining proceeds are to be applied next toward the costs of decontamination and debris removal operations ordered by the NRC, and any further remaining proceeds are to be paid either to the company or to its bond trustees as may be appropriate under the policies and applicable trust indentures.

All retrospective assessments, whether generated for liability, property, or replacement power, may be subject to applicable state premium taxes.

10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value measurements are based on inputs of observable and unobservable market data that a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. The use of observable inputs is maximized where available and the use of unobservable inputs is minimized for fair value measurement and reflects a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used for fair value measurement.

Level 1 consists of observable market data in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 consists of observable market data, other than that included in Level 1, that is either directly or indirectly observable.

Level 3 consists of unobservable market data. The input may reflect the assumptions of the Company of what a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. If there is little available market data, then the

Company's own assumptions are the best available information.

In the case of multiple inputs being used in a fair value measurement, the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement represents the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurement is reported.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

As of December 31, 2009, assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the period, together with the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall, are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 7	\$	\$ 7
Interest rate derivatives		3		3
Nuclear decommissioning trusts: ^(a)				
Domestic equity	724	50		774
U.S. Treasury and government agency securities	11	36		47
Municipal bonds		23		23
Corporate bonds		137		137
Mortgage and asset backed securities		65		65
Other		22		22
Cash equivalents and restricted cash	623			623
Other	3	48	35	86
Total	\$1,361	\$ 391	\$ 35	\$1,787
Liabilities:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 185	\$	\$ 185
Interest rate derivatives		6		6
Total	\$	\$ 191	\$	\$ 191

(a) Excludes receivables related to investment income, pending investment sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

Energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives primarily consist of over-the-counter contracts. See Note 11 for additional information. The nuclear decommissioning trust funds are invested in a diversified mix of equity and fixed income securities. See Note 1 under Nuclear Decommissioning for additional information. The cash equivalents and restricted cash consist of securities with original maturities of 90 days or less. Other represents marketable securities and certain deferred compensation funds also invested in various marketable securities. All of these financial instruments and investments are valued primarily using the market approach.

As of December 31, 2009, the fair value measurements of investments calculated at net asset value per share (or its equivalent), as well as the nature and risks of those investments, are as follows:

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Nuclear decommissioning trusts:				
Corporate bonds commingled funds	\$ 14	None	Daily	1 to 3 days
Other commingled funds	13	None	Daily	Not applicable
Trust owned life insurance	78	None	Daily	15 days
Cash equivalents and restricted cash:				
Money market funds	623	None	Daily	Not applicable
Other:				
Deferred compensation money market funds	3	None	Daily	Not applicable
	II-87			

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The commingled funds in the nuclear decommissioning trusts invest primarily in a diversified portfolio of investment high grade money market instruments, including, but not limited to, commercial paper, notes, repurchase agreements, and other evidences of indebtedness with a maturity not exceeding 13 months from the date of purchase. The commingled funds will, however, maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity of 90 days or less. The assets may be longer term investment grade fixed income obligations having a maximum five year final maturity with put features or floating rates with a reset rate date of 13 months or less. The primary objective for the commingled funds is a high level of current income consistent with stability of principal and liquidity.

One of the nuclear decommissioning trusts includes investments in Trust-Owned Life Insurance (TOLI). The taxable nuclear decommissioning trust invests in the TOLI in order to minimize the impact of taxes on the portfolio and can draw on the value of the TOLI through death proceeds, loans against the cash surrender value, and/or the cash surrender value, subject to legal restrictions. The amounts reported in the tables above reflect the fair value of investments the insurer has made in relation to the TOLI agreements. The nuclear decommissioning trust does not own the underlying investments, but the fair value of the investments approximates the cash surrender value of the TOLI policies. The investments made by the insurer are in commingled funds. The commingled funds primarily include investments in domestic and international equity securities and predominantly high-quality fixed income securities. These fixed income securities include U.S. Treasury and government agency fixed income securities, non-U.S. government and agency fixed income securities, domestic and foreign corporate fixed income securities, and, to some degree, mortgage and asset backed securities. The passively managed funds seek to replicate the performance of a related index. The actively managed funds seek to exceed the performance of a related index through security analysis and selection.

The money market funds are short-term investments of excess funds in various money market mutual funds, which are portfolios of short-term debt securities. The money market funds are regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and typically receive the highest rating from credit rating agencies. Regulatory and rating agency requirements for money market funds include minimum credit ratings and maximum maturities for individual securities and a maximum weighted average portfolio maturity. Redemptions are available on a same day basis up to the full amount of the Company's investment in the money market funds.

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items using significant unobservable inputs for Southern Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	Level 3 Other <i>(in millions)</i>
Beginning balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 35
Total gains (losses) realized/unrealized:	
Included in earnings	(3)
Included in other comprehensive income	3
Ending balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 35

Unrealized losses of \$3 million were included in earnings during 2009 relating to assets still held at December 31, 2009 and are recorded in depreciation and amortization.

As of December 31, 2009, other financial instruments for which the carrying amount did not equal fair value were as follows:

Carrying Amount	Fair Value
<i>(in millions)</i>	

Long-term debt:

2009	\$19,145	\$19,567
2008	\$17,327	\$17,114

The fair values were based on either closing market prices (Level 1) or closing prices of comparable instruments (Level 2).

II-88

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****11. DERIVATIVES**

Southern Company, the traditional operating companies, and Southern Power are exposed to market risks, primarily commodity price risk and interest rate risk. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, each company nets its exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to each company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. Each company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis. Derivative instruments are recognized at fair value in the balance sheets as either assets or liabilities.

Energy-Related Derivatives

The traditional operating companies and Southern Power enter into energy-related derivatives to hedge exposures to electricity, gas, and other fuel price changes. However, due to cost-based rate regulations, the traditional operating companies have limited exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity. Each of the traditional operating companies manages fuel-hedging programs, implemented per the guidelines of their respective state PSCs, through the use of financial derivative contracts. Southern Power has limited exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity because its long-term sales contracts shift substantially all fuel cost responsibility to the purchaser. However, Southern Power has been and may continue to be exposed to market volatility in energy-related commodity prices as a result of sales of uncontracted generating capacity.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into physical fixed-price or heat rate contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market. To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in gas prices, the Company may enter into fixed-price contracts for natural gas purchases; however, a significant portion of contracts are priced at market.

Energy-related derivative contracts are accounted for in one of three methods:

Regulatory Hedges Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate primarily to the traditional operating companies' fuel hedging programs, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as the underlying fuel is used in operations and ultimately recovered through the respective fuel cost recovery clauses.

Cash Flow Hedges Gains and losses on energy-related derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are used to hedge anticipated purchases and sales and are initially deferred in other comprehensive income (OCI) before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transactions are reflected in earnings.

Not Designated Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Some energy-related derivative contracts require physical delivery as opposed to financial settlement, and this type of derivative is both common and prevalent within the electric industry. When an energy-related derivative contract is settled physically, any cumulative unrealized gain or loss is reversed and the contract price is recognized in the respective line item representing the actual price of the underlying goods being delivered.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the net volume of energy-related derivative contracts for power and natural gas positions for Southern Company, together with the longest hedge date over which it is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions and the longest date for derivatives not designated as hedges, were as follows:

Net Sold Megawatt-hours	Power Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date	Net Purchased mmBtu	Gas Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date
<i>(in millions)</i>			<i>(in millions)</i>		
2.6	2010	2010	154*	2014	2014

* Includes location basis of 2 million British thermal units (mmBtu).

For cash flow hedges, the amounts expected to be reclassified from OCI to revenue and fuel expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 are immaterial.

Interest Rate Derivatives

Southern Company and certain subsidiaries also enter into interest rate derivatives, which include forward-starting interest rate swaps, to hedge exposure to changes in interest rates. Derivatives related to existing variable rate securities or forecasted transactions are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The derivatives employed as hedging instruments are structured to minimize ineffectiveness.

For cash flow hedges, the fair value gains or losses are recorded in OCI and are reclassified into earnings at the same time the hedged transactions affect earnings.

At December 31, 2009, Southern Company had a total of \$976 million notional amount of interest rate derivatives outstanding with net fair value losses of \$3 million as follows:

	Notional Amount	Variable Rate Received	Weighted Average Fixed Rate Paid	Hedge Maturity Date	Fair Value Gain (Loss) December 31, 2009
	<i>(in millions)</i>				<i>(in millions)</i>
Cash flow hedges of existing debt					
	\$ 576	SIFMA* Index 1-month	2.69%	February 2010	\$ (4)
	300	LIBOR	2.43%	April 2010	(2)
Cash flow hedges on forecasted debt					
	100	3-month LIBOR	3.79%	April 2020	3

Total	\$	976	\$	(3)
-------	----	-----	----	-----

* Securities
 Industry and
 Financial
 Markets
 Association
 Municipal Swap
 Index (SIFMA)

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company had realized net losses of \$19 million upon termination of certain interest rate derivatives at the same time the related debt was issued. The effective portion of these losses has been deferred in OCI and is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the original interest rate derivative, reflecting the period in which the forecasted hedged transaction affects earnings.

The estimated pre-tax losses that will be reclassified from OCI to interest expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 is \$25 million. The Company has deferred gains and losses that are expected to be amortized into earnings through 2037.

II-90

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Derivative Financial Statement Presentation and Amounts**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives was reflected in the balance sheets as follows:

Derivative Category	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	2009 (in millions)	2008 (in millions)	Balance Sheet Location	2009 (in millions)	2008 (in millions)
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes						
Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$ 1	\$ 10	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$ 111	\$ 215
	Other deferred charges and assets	1		Other deferred credits and liabilities	66	83
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes		\$ 2	\$ 10		\$ 177	\$ 298
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges						
Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$ 3	\$	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$ 5	\$ 1
Interest rate derivatives:	Other current assets	3		Liabilities from risk management activities	6	37
	Other deferred charges and assets			Other deferred credits and liabilities		3
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges		\$ 6	\$		\$ 11	\$ 41
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments						
Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$ 2	\$ 12	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$ 3	\$ 8
Total		\$ 10	\$ 22		\$ 191	\$ 347

All derivative instruments are measured at fair value. See Note 10 for additional information.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the pre-tax effect of unrealized derivative gains (losses) arising from energy-related derivative instruments designated as regulatory hedging instruments and deferred on the balance sheets were as follows:

Derivative Category	Unrealized Losses			Unrealized Gains		
	Balance Sheet Location	2009	2008	Balance Sheet Location	2009	2008
		<i>(in millions)</i>			<i>(in millions)</i>	
Energy-related derivatives:	Other regulatory assets, current	\$ (111)	\$ (215)	Other regulatory liabilities, current	\$ 1	\$ 10
	Other regulatory assets, deferred	(66)	(83)	Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	1	
Total energy-related derivative gains (losses)		\$ (177)	\$ (298)		\$ 2	\$ 10

II-91

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)			Statements of Income Location	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) Amount		
	2009	2008	2007		2009	2008	2007
Derivative Category	<i>(in millions)</i>				<i>(in millions)</i>		
Energy-related derivatives	\$(2)	\$ (1)	\$(2)	Fuel	\$	\$	\$
Interest rate derivatives	(5)	(47)	(7)	Interest expense	(46)	(19)	(15)
Total	\$(7)	\$(48)	\$(9)		\$(46)	\$ (19)	\$ (15)

There was no material ineffectiveness recorded in earnings for any period presented.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Derivative Category	Statements of Income Location	Unrealized Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income Amount		
			2009	2008	2007
	Energy-related derivatives:			<i>(in millions)</i>	
		Wholesale revenues	\$ 5	\$(2)	\$
		Fuel	(6)	5	
		Purchased power	(4)	(2)	
		Other income (expense), net			30*
	Total		\$(5)	\$ 1	\$30

* Includes a \$27 million unrealized gain related to derivatives in place to reduce exposure to a phase-out of certain income tax credits related to synthetic fuel production in 2007.

Contingent Features

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain derivatives that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of various credit rating changes of certain Southern Company subsidiaries. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivative liabilities with contingent features was \$33 million.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no collateral posted with their derivative counterparties. The maximum potential collateral requirement arising from the credit-risk-related contingent features, at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3, is \$33 million. Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Included in these amounts are certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade.

Currently, the Company has investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to its debt.

II-92

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****12. SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION**

Southern Company's reportable business segments are the sale of electricity in the Southeast by the four traditional operating companies and Southern Power. Southern Power's revenues from sales to the traditional operating companies were \$544 million, \$638 million, and \$547 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The All Other column includes parent Southern Company, which does not allocate operating expenses to business segments. Also, this category includes segments below the quantitative threshold for separate disclosure. These segments include investments in telecommunications and leveraged lease projects. Also included are investments in synthetic fuels for 2007. In addition, see Note 1 under Related Party Transactions for information regarding revenues from services for synthetic fuel production that are included in the cost of fuel purchased by Alabama Power and Georgia Power. All other intersegment revenues are not material. Financial data for business segments and products and services are as follows:

	Electric Utilities						Consolidated
	Traditional Operating Companies	Southern Power	Eliminations	Total	All Other	Eliminations	
	<i>(in millions)</i>						
2009							
Operating revenues	\$15,304	\$ 947	\$ (609)	\$15,642	\$ 165	\$ (64)	\$15,743
Depreciation and amortization	1,378	98		1,476	27		1,503
Interest income	21			21	3	(1)	23
Interest expense	749	85		834	71		905
Income taxes	902	86		988	(92)		896
Segment net income (loss)*	1,679	156		1,835	(193)	1	1,643
Total assets	48,403	3,043	(143)	51,303	1,223	(480)	52,046
Gross property additions	4,568	331		4,899	14		4,913
2008							
Operating revenues	\$16,521	\$1,314	\$ (835)	\$17,000	\$ 182	\$ (55)	\$17,127
Depreciation and amortization	1,325	89		1,414	29		1,443
Interest income	32	1		33			33
Interest expense	689	83		772	94		866
Income taxes	944	93		1,037	(122)		915
Segment net income (loss)*	1,703	144		1,847	(104)	(1)	1,742
Total assets	44,794	2,813	(139)	47,468	1,407	(528)	48,347
Gross property additions	4,058	50		4,108	14		4,122
2007							
Operating revenues	\$14,851	\$ 972	\$ (683)	\$15,140	\$ 380	\$ (167)	\$15,353
Depreciation and amortization	1,141	74		1,215	30		1,245
Interest income	31	1		32	14	(1)	45
Interest expense	685	79		764	122		886
Income taxes	866	84		950	(115)		835
Segment net income (loss)*	1,582	132		1,714	22	(2)	1,734
Total assets	41,812	2,769	(122)	44,459	1,767	(437)	45,789

Gross property additions	3,465	184	(4)	3,645	13	3,658
--------------------------	-------	-----	-----	-------	----	-------

* After dividends
on preferred and
preference stock
of subsidiaries

Products and Services

Year	Retail	Electric Utilities Revenues		Total
		Wholesale	Other	
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
2009	\$13,307	\$1,802	\$533	\$15,642
2008	14,055	2,400	545	17,000
2007	12,639	1,988	513	15,140

II-93

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****13. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)**

Summarized quarterly financial data for 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

Quarter Ended	Operating Revenues	Operating Income (in millions)	Consolidated Net Income After Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock of Subsidiaries		Per Common Share		Trading Price Range	
			Basic Earnings	Dividends	High	Low		
March 2009	\$3,666	\$ 490	\$ 126*	\$0.16*	\$0.4200	\$37.62	\$26.48	
June 2009	3,885	886	478	0.61	0.4375	32.05	27.19	
September 2009	4,682	1,415	790	0.99	0.4375	32.67	30.27	
December 2009	3,510	477	249	0.31	0.4375	34.47	30.89	
March 2008	\$3,683	\$ 708	\$ 359	\$0.47	\$0.4025	\$40.60	\$33.71	
June 2008	4,215	924	417	0.54	0.4200	37.81	34.28	
September 2008	5,427	1,405	780	1.01	0.4200	40.00	34.46	
December 2008	3,802	469	186	0.24	0.4200	38.18	29.82	

Southern Company's business is influenced by seasonal weather conditions.

* Southern Company's MC Asset Recovery litigation settlement reduced earnings by \$202 million, or 25 cents per share, during the first quarter of 2009.

Table of Contents

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA
For the Periods Ended December 2005 through 2009
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in millions)	\$ 15,743	\$ 17,127	\$ 15,353	\$ 14,356	\$ 13,554
Total Assets (in millions)	\$ 52,046	\$ 48,347	\$ 45,789	\$ 42,858	\$ 39,877
Gross Property Additions (in millions)	\$ 4,913	\$ 4,122	\$ 3,658	\$ 3,072	\$ 2,476
Return on Average Common Equity (percent)	11.67	13.57	14.60	14.26	15.17
Cash Dividends Paid Per Share of Common Stock	\$ 1.7325	\$ 1.6625	\$ 1.595	\$ 1.535	\$ 1.475
Consolidated Net Income After Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock of Subsidiaries (in millions)	\$ 1,643	\$ 1,742	\$ 1,734	\$ 1,573	\$ 1,591
Earnings Per Share					
Basic	\$ 2.07	\$ 2.26	\$ 2.29	\$ 2.12	\$ 2.14
Diluted	2.06	2.25	2.28	2.10	2.13
Capitalization (in millions):					
Common stock equity	\$ 14,878	\$ 13,276	\$ 12,385	\$ 11,371	\$ 10,689
Preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries	707	707	707	246	98
Redeemable preferred stock of subsidiaries	375	375	373	498	498
Long-term debt	18,131	16,816	14,143	12,503	12,846
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	\$ 34,091	\$ 31,174	\$ 27,608	\$ 24,618	\$ 24,131
Capitalization Ratios (percent):					
Common stock equity	43.6	42.6	44.9	46.2	44.3
Preferred and preference stock of subsidiaries	2.1	2.3	2.6	1.0	0.4
Redeemable preferred stock of subsidiaries	1.1	1.2	1.3	2.0	2.1
Long-term debt	53.2	53.9	51.2	50.8	53.2
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Other Common Stock Data:					
Book value per share	\$ 18.15	\$ 17.08	\$ 16.23	\$ 15.24	\$ 14.42
Market price per share:					

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

High	\$ 37.62	\$ 40.60	\$ 39.35	\$ 37.40	\$ 36.47
Low	26.48	29.82	33.16	30.48	31.14
Close (year-end)	33.32	37.00	38.75	36.86	34.53
Market-to-book ratio (year-end) (percent)	183.6	216.6	238.8	241.9	239.5
Price-earnings ratio (year-end) (times)	16.1	16.4	16.9	17.4	16.1
Dividends paid (in millions)	\$ 1,369	\$ 1,279	\$ 1,204	\$ 1,140	\$ 1,098
Dividend yield (year-end) (percent)	5.2	4.5	4.1	4.2	4.3
Dividend payout ratio (percent)	83.3	73.5	69.5	72.4	69.0
Shares outstanding (in thousands):					
Average	794,795	771,039	756,350	743,146	743,927
Year-end	819,647	777,192	763,104	746,270	741,448
Stockholders of record (year-end)	92,799	97,324	102,903	110,259	118,285

Traditional Operating Company

Customers

(year-end) (in thousands):

Residential	3,798	3,785	3,756	3,706	3,642
Commercial	580	594	600	596	586
Industrial	15	15	15	15	15
Other	9	8	6	5	5

Total	4,402	4,402	4,377	4,322	4,248
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Employees (year-end)	26,112	27,276	26,472	26,091	25,554
-----------------------------	---------------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Table of Contents

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA
For the Periods Ended December 2005 through 2009
Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in millions):					
Residential	\$ 5,481	\$ 5,476	\$ 5,045	\$ 4,716	\$ 4,376
Commercial	4,901	5,018	4,467	4,117	3,904
Industrial	2,806	3,445	3,020	2,866	2,785
Other	119	116	107	102	100
Total retail	13,307	14,055	12,639	11,801	11,165
Wholesale	1,802	2,400	1,988	1,822	1,667
Total revenues from sales of electricity	15,109	16,455	14,627	13,623	12,832
Other revenues	634	672	726	733	722
Total	\$ 15,743	\$ 17,127	\$ 15,353	\$ 14,356	\$ 13,554
Kilowatt-Hour Sales (in millions):					
Residential	51,690	52,262	53,326	52,383	51,082
Commercial	53,526	54,427	54,665	52,987	51,857
Industrial	46,422	52,636	54,662	55,044	55,141
Other	953	934	962	920	996
Total retail	152,591	160,259	163,615	161,334	159,076
Wholesale sales	33,503	39,368	40,745	38,460	37,072
Total	186,094	199,627	204,360	199,794	196,148
Average Revenue Per Kilowatt-Hour (cents):					
Residential	10.60	10.48	9.46	9.00	8.57
Commercial	9.16	9.22	8.17	7.77	7.53
Industrial	6.04	6.54	5.52	5.21	5.05
Total retail	8.72	8.77	7.72	7.31	7.02
Wholesale	5.38	6.10	4.88	4.74	4.50
Total sales	8.12	8.24	7.16	6.82	6.54
Average Annual Kilowatt-Hour Use Per Residential Customer	13,607	13,844	14,263	14,235	14,084
Average Annual Revenue Per Residential Customer	\$ 1,443	\$ 1,451	\$ 1,349	\$ 1,282	\$ 1,207
Plant Nameplate Capacity Ratings (year-end) (megawatts)	42,932	42,607	41,948	41,785	40,509
Maximum Peak-Hour Demand (megawatts):					

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Winter	33,519	32,604	31,189	30,958	30,384
Summer	34,471	37,166	38,777	35,890	35,050
System Reserve Margin (at peak)					
(percent)	26.4	15.3	11.2	17.1	14.4
Annual Load Factor (percent)	60.6	58.7	57.6	60.8	60.2
Plant Availability (percent):					
Fossil-steam	91.3	90.5	90.5	89.3	89.0
Nuclear	90.1	91.3	90.8	91.5	90.5
Source of Energy Supply (percent):					
Coal	54.7	64.0	67.1	67.2	67.4
Nuclear	14.9	14.0	13.4	14.0	14.0
Hydro	3.9	1.4	0.9	1.9	3.1
Oil and gas	22.5	15.4	15.0	12.9	10.9
Purchased power	4.0	5.2	3.6	4.0	4.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

II-96

Table of Contents

**ALABAMA POWER COMPANY
FINANCIAL SECTION
II-97**

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report

The management of Alabama Power Company (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). A control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Under management's supervision, an evaluation of the design and effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting was conducted based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

/s/ Charles D. McCrary

Charles D. McCrary
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Art P. Beattie

Art P. Beattie
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer
February 25, 2010

II-98

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Alabama Power Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets and statements of capitalization of Alabama Power Company (the Company) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder s equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements (pages II-123 to II-166) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Alabama Power Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Birmingham, Alabama

February 25, 2010

II-99

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****OVERVIEW****Business Activities**

Alabama Power Company (the Company) operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail and wholesale customers within its traditional service area located in the State of Alabama in addition to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Many factors affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's primary business of selling electricity. These factors include the ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment, to maintain energy sales given the effects of the recession, and to effectively manage and secure timely recovery of costs. These costs include those related to projected long-term demand growth, increasingly stringent environmental standards, fuel, capital expenditures, and restoration following major storms. Appropriately balancing the need to recover these increasing costs with customer prices will continue to challenge the Company for the foreseeable future.

Key Performance Indicators

In striving to maximize shareholder value while providing cost-effective energy to customers, the Company continues to focus on several key indicators. These indicators include customer satisfaction, plant availability, system reliability, and net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock. The Company's financial success is directly tied to the satisfaction of its customers. Key elements of ensuring customer satisfaction include outstanding service, high reliability, and competitive prices. Management uses customer satisfaction surveys and reliability indicators to evaluate the Company's results.

Peak season equivalent forced outage rate (Peak Season EFOR) is an indicator of fossil/hydro and nuclear plant availability and efficient generation fleet operations during the months when generation needs are greatest. The rate is calculated by dividing the number of hours of forced outages by total generation hours. The fossil/hydro 2009 Peak Season EFOR of 1.50% was better than the target. The nuclear 2009 Peak Season EFOR of 0.14% was better than the target. Transmission and distribution system reliability performance is measured by the frequency and duration of outages. Performance targets for reliability are set internally based on historical performance, expected weather conditions, and expected capital expenditures. The performance for 2009 was better than the target for these reliability measures.

Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock is the primary measure of the Company's financial performance. The Company's 2009 results compared with its targets for some of these key indicators are reflected in the following chart.

Key Performance Indicator	2009 Target Performance	2009 Actual Performance
Customer Satisfaction	Top quartile in customer surveys	Top quartile
Peak Season EFOR fossil/hydro	2.75% or less	1.50%
Peak Season EFOR nuclear	2.75% or less	0.14%
Net Income	\$666 million	\$670 million

See RESULTS OF OPERATIONS herein for additional information on the Company's financial performance. The performance achieved in 2009 reflects the continued management emphasis, as well as the commitment shown by employees, in achieving or exceeding these key performance expectations.

Earnings

The Company's financial performance remained strong in 2009 despite the challenges of a recessionary economy. The Company's net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of \$670 million in 2009 increased \$54 million (8.7%) over the prior year. The increase was primarily due to the corrective rate package providing for adjustments associated with customer charges to certain existing rate structures effective in January 2009, a decrease in other

operations and maintenance expenses, and an increase in allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) equity. The increase was partially offset by an overall decline in base rate revenues attributable to a decline in kilowatt-hour (KWH) sales, resulting from a recessionary economy and unfavorable weather conditions.

II-100

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock of \$616 million in 2008 increased \$36 million (6.3%) over the prior year. This improvement was primarily due to an increase in retail base rate revenues resulting from an increase in rates under the Rate Stabilization and Equalization Plan (Rate RSE) and the Rate Certificated New Plant (Rate CNP) for environmental costs that took effect January 1, 2008, partially offset by higher non-fuel operating expenses and depreciation.

The Company's 2007 net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock was \$580 million, representing a \$62 million (11.9%) increase from the prior year. This improvement was primarily due to an increase in retail base rate revenues resulting from an increase in rates under Rate RSE and Rate CNP for environmental costs that took effect January 1, 2007 as well as favorable weather conditions, partially offset by higher non-fuel operating expenses and increased interest expense.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

A condensed income statement for the Company follows:

	Amount 2009	Increase (Decrease) from Prior Year		
		2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Operating revenues	\$5,529	\$(548)	\$717	\$345
Fuel	1,824	(360)	422	90
Purchased power	307	(232)	99	12
Other operations and maintenance	1,211	(48)	73	89
Depreciation and amortization	545	25	49	21
Taxes other than income taxes	322	16	20	28
Total operating expenses	4,209	(599)	663	240
Operating income	1,320	51	54	105
Total other income and (expense)	(227)	19	2	(11)
Income taxes	384	16	16	21
Net income	709	54	40	73
Dividends on preferred and preference stock	39		4	11
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock	\$ 670	\$ 54	\$ 36	\$ 62

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues for 2009 were \$5.5 billion, reflecting a \$548 million decrease from 2008. The following table summarizes the principal factors that have affected operating revenues for the past three years:

	2009	Amount	2007
		2008	
Retail prior year	\$4,862	\$4,407	\$3,996
Estimated change in Rates and pricing	174	246	216

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Sales growth (decline)	(109)	26	(5)
Weather	(12)	(70)	38
Fuel and other cost recovery	(418)	253	162
Retail current year	4,497	4,862	4,407
Wholesale revenues			
Non-affiliates	620	712	627
Affiliates	237	309	144
Total wholesale revenues	857	1,021	771
Other operating revenues	175	194	182
Total operating revenues	\$5,529	\$6,077	\$5,360
Percent change	(9)%	13%	7%

II-101

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Retail revenues in 2009 were \$4.5 billion. These revenues decreased \$365 million (7.5%) in 2009 and increased \$455 million (10.3%) and \$411 million (10.3%) in 2008 and 2007, respectively. The decrease in 2009 was due to decreased fuel revenue and a decline in KWH sales, partially offset by the corrective rate package providing for adjustments associated with customer charges to certain existing rate structures. The increases in 2008 and 2007 were primarily due to increases in fuel revenue and base rate increases of 5.6% and 5.3%, respectively. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters for additional information. See Energy Sales below for a discussion of changes in the volume of energy sold, including changes related to sales growth (decline) and weather.

Fuel rates billed to customers are designed to fully recover fluctuating fuel and purchased power costs over a period of time. Fuel revenues generally have no effect on net income because they represent the recording of revenues to offset fuel and purchased power expenses. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Fuel Cost Recovery herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Fuel Cost Recovery for additional information.

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliated utilities were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Unit power sales			
Capacity	\$158	\$160	\$151
Energy	207	238	192
Total	365	398	343
Other power sales			
Capacity and other	133	134	128
Energy	122	180	156
Total	255	314	284
Total non-affiliated	\$620	\$712	\$627

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates will vary depending on the market cost of available energy compared to the cost of the Company and Southern Company system-owned generation, demand for energy within the Southern Company service territory, and availability of Southern Company system generation.

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates include unit power sales under long-term contracts to Florida utilities and sales to wholesale customers within the Company's service territory. Capacity revenues under unit power sales contracts reflect the recovery of fixed costs and a return on investment, and under these contracts, energy is generally sold at variable cost. Fluctuations in the prices of oil and natural gas, which are the primary fuel sources for unit power sales customers, influence changes in these energy sales. However, because energy is generally sold at variable cost, these fluctuations have a minimal effect on earnings. The amounts of long-term unit power sales capacity revenues are scheduled to cease with the termination of the unit power sales contract in May 2010. In June 2010, the capacity subject to the unit power sales contracts will be utilized for retail service. As shown in the table above, unit power sales capacity revenues have ranged from \$151 million to \$160 million over the last three years. Short-term opportunity energy sales are also included in wholesale energy sales to non-affiliates. These opportunity sales are made at market-based rates that generally provide a margin above the Company's variable cost to produce the energy. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Retail Rate Adjustments herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate RSE for additional information.

Wholesale revenues from sales to affiliated companies within the Southern Company system will vary from year to year depending on demand and the availability and cost of generating resources at each company. These affiliated sales and purchases are made in accordance with the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). In 2009, wholesale revenues from sales to affiliates decreased \$71.5 million primarily due to a 37.6% decrease in price, partially offset by a 23.2% increase in KWH sales to affiliates as a result of greater availability of the Company's generating resources because of a decrease in customer demand within the Company's service territory. In 2008, wholesale revenues from sales to affiliates increased \$164.4 million primarily due to a 62.2% increase in KWH sales to affiliates as a result of greater availability of the Company's generating resources because of a decrease in customer demand within the Company's service territory. In 2007, wholesale revenues from sales to affiliates decreased \$71.9 million primarily due to a 37.0% decrease in KWH sales to affiliates as a result of lower availability of the Company's generating resources because of an increase in customer demand within the Company's service territory.

II-102

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

These transactions do not have a significant impact on earnings since the energy is generally sold at marginal cost and energy purchases are generally offset by energy revenues through the Company's energy cost recovery clauses. Other operating revenues in 2009 decreased \$19.6 million (10.1%) from 2008 primarily due to a \$42.5 million decrease in revenues from gas-fueled co-generation steam facilities as a result of lower gas prices. This decrease was partially offset by an increase of \$10.0 million in customer charges related to late fees. In 2008, other operating revenues increased \$12.4 million (6.8%) from 2007 primarily due to an \$11.7 million increase in revenues from gas-fueled co-generation steam facilities. In 2007, other operating revenues increased \$13.5 million (8.0%) from 2006 primarily due to a \$4.0 million increase in revenues from electric property associated with pole attachment and building rentals, a \$2.6 million increase in transmission revenues, and a \$2.5 million increase in revenues from gas-fueled co-generation steam facilities. Since co-generation steam revenues are generally offset by fuel expense, these revenues did not have a significant impact on earnings for any year reported.

Energy Sales

Changes in revenues are influenced heavily by the change in the volume of energy sold from year to year. KWH sales for 2009 and the percent change by year were as follows:

	KWHs		Percent Change	
	2009	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in billions)</i>			
Residential	18.1	(1.7)%	(2.6)%	1.3%
Commercial	14.2	(2.5)	(1.4)	2.8
Industrial	18.5	(15.9)	(3.2)	(1.6)
Other	0.2	8.1	0.2	0.7
Total retail	51.0	(7.6)	(2.5)	0.5
Wholesale				
Non-affiliates	14.3	(5.8)	(3.6)	(1.3)
Affiliates	6.5	23.2	62.2	(37.0)
Total wholesale	20.8	1.6	7.6	(10.0)
Total energy sales	71.8	(5.1)	0.0	(2.4)

Changes in retail energy sales are comprised of changes in electricity usage by customers, changes in weather, and changes in the number of customers. Retail energy sales in 2009 were 7.6% less than in 2008. Energy sales were down in 2009 across major classes of customers. Residential and commercial sales decreased 1.7% and 2.5%, respectively, due primarily to unfavorable weather and decreased customer demand in 2009 as compared to 2008. Industrial sales decreased 15.9% during the year as a result of decreased customer demand in all sectors, most significantly in the chemical and primary metals sectors, due to a recessionary economy.

Retail energy sales in 2008 were 2.5% less than in 2007. Energy sales were down in 2008 across major classes of customers. Residential and commercial sales decreased 2.6% and 1.4%, respectively, due primarily to unfavorable weather in 2008 compared to 2007. Industrial sales decreased 3.2% during the year primarily as a result of decreased customer demand in the chemical and pipeline, and textiles and food sectors, as a result of a slowing economy that worsened during the fourth quarter of 2008.

Retail energy sales in 2007 were 0.5% higher than in 2006. Energy sales in the residential and commercial sectors led the growth with a 1.3% and a 2.8% increase, respectively, due primarily to weather-driven increased demand.

Industrial sales decreased 1.6% during the year primarily as a result of decreased sales demand in textiles and food, primary metals, and chemical sectors.

Fuel and Purchased Power Expenses

Fuel costs constitute the single largest expense for the Company. The mix of fuel sources for generation of electricity is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

Additionally, the Company purchases a portion of its electricity needs from the wholesale market.

II-103

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Details of the Company's electricity generated and purchased were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Total generation (<i>billions of KWHs</i>)	68.8	70.0	69.8
Total purchased power (<i>billions of KWHs</i>)	6.3	9.2	9.6
Sources of generation (<i>percent</i>)			
Coal	58	66	69
Nuclear	20	20	19
Gas	13	11	10
Hydro	9	3	2
Cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)			
Coal	3.02	2.94	2.14
Nuclear	0.56	0.50	0.50
Gas	5.24	8.30	7.43
Average cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)*			
Average cost of purchased power (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)	6.05	7.44	6.07

* Fuel includes fuel purchased by the Company for tolling agreements where power is generated by the provider and is included in purchased power when determining the average cost of purchased power.

Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$2.1 billion in 2009, a decrease of \$592.1 million (21.8%) below the prior year costs. This decrease was the result of a \$367.3 million decrease related to the volume of KWHs generated and purchased and a \$224.8 million decrease in the cost of fuel resulting from lower natural gas prices and an increase in hydro generation.

Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$2.7 billion in 2008, an increase of \$521.5 million (23.7%) above the prior year costs. This increase was the result of a \$560.8 million increase in the cost of fuel, offset by a \$39.3 million decrease related to the volume of KWHs generated and purchased.

Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$2.2 billion in 2007, an increase of \$101.9 million (4.9%) above the prior year costs. This increase was the result of a \$70.3 million increase in the cost of fuel and a \$31.6 million increase related to the volume of KWHs generated and purchased.

Purchased power consists of purchases from affiliates in the Southern Company system and non-affiliated companies. Purchased power transactions among the Company, its affiliates, and non-affiliates will vary from period to period depending on demand and the availability and variable production cost of generating resources at each company. In 2009, purchased power from non-affiliates decreased \$91.1 million (50.9%) due to a 34.9% decrease in the amount of energy purchased and a 24.6% decrease in the average cost per KWH. In 2009, purchased power from affiliates decreased \$140.5 million (39.1%) due to a 31.4% decrease in the amount of energy purchased. In 2008, the average cost of purchased power from non-affiliates increased \$81.9 million (84.5%) due to a 67.9% increase in the amount of energy purchased. In 2007, purchased power from non-affiliates decreased \$27.1 million (21.8%) due to a 22.6% decrease in the amount of energy purchased.

Coal prices continued to be influenced by worldwide demand from developing countries, as well as increased mining and fuel transportation costs. While coal prices reached unprecedented high levels in 2008, the recessionary economy pushed prices downward in 2009. However, the lower prices did not fully offset the higher priced coal already in inventory and under long-term contract. Demand for natural gas in the United States also was affected by the recessionary economy leading to significantly lower natural gas prices. During 2009, uranium prices continued to moderate from the highs set during 2007. Worldwide production levels increased in 2009; however, secondary supplies and inventories were still required to meet worldwide reactor demand.

Fuel and purchased power expenses generally do not affect net income, since they are offset by fuel revenues under the Company's energy cost recovery rate (Rate ECR). The Company, along with the Alabama Public Service Commission (PSC), continuously monitors the under/over recovered balance to determine whether adjustments to billing rates are required. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Fuel Cost Recovery herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Fuel Cost Recovery for additional information.

II-104

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Other Operations and Maintenance Expenses***

In 2009, other operations and maintenance expenses decreased \$47.6 million (3.8%) primarily due to an \$18.1 million decrease in steam production expense related to fewer scheduled outages, a \$12.9 million decrease in administrative and general expense related to reductions in employee medical and other benefit-related expenses and in the injuries and damages reserve, a \$5.5 million decrease in customer accounts expense, and a \$4.7 million decrease in customer service and information expense.

In 2008, other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$72.7 million (6.1%) primarily due to a \$27.4 million increase in steam production expense related to environmental mandates (which were offset by revenues associated with Rate CNP environmental) and scheduled outage costs, a \$22.9 million increase in nuclear production expense related to operations and scheduled outage costs, and a \$19.9 million increase in transmission and distribution expense related to overhead line clearing costs.

In 2007, other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$89.3 million (8.1%) primarily due to a \$28.5 million increase in steam production expense related to environmental mandates and scheduled outage costs, a \$19.6 million increase in transmission and distribution expense related to overhead line clearing costs, a \$19.0 million increase in administrative and general expenses related to an increase in the expenses for the injuries and damages reserve, outside services, and employee benefits, an \$8.1 million increase in nuclear production expense related to scheduled outage cost, and a \$4.7 million increase in customer accounts expense associated with customer service expenses.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$24.5 million (4.7%) in 2009, \$48.9 million (10.4%) in 2008, and \$20.5 million (4.5%) in 2007, primarily due to additions to property, plant, and equipment related to environmental mandates (which were offset by revenues associated with Rate CNP environmental) and transmission and distribution projects. See Note 3 to financial statements under *Retail Regulatory Matters - Rate CNP* for additional information. On June 25, 2009, the Company submitted an offer of settlement and stipulation to the FERC relating to the 2008 depreciation study that was filed in October 2008. The settlement offer withdraws the requests for authorization to use updated depreciation rates. In lieu of the new rates, the Company is using those depreciation rates employed prior and up to January 1, 2009 that were previously approved by the FERC. On September 30, 2009, the FERC issued an order approving the settlement offer. See Note 1 to financial statements under *Depreciation and Amortization* for additional information.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

Taxes other than income taxes increased \$15.8 million (5.1%) in 2009, \$19.9 million (7.0%) in 2008, and \$28.4 million (11.0%) in 2007, primarily due to increases in the bases of state and municipal public utility license taxes.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction Equity

AFUDC equity increased \$33.7 million (73.9%) in 2009, \$10.1 million (28.5%) in 2008, and \$17.2 million (94.1%) in 2007, primarily due to increases in construction work in progress related to environmental mandates at generating facilities, as well as transmission, distribution, and general plant projects compared to the prior years. See Note 1 to financial statements under *Allowance for Funds Used During Construction* for additional information.

Interest Expense, Net of Amounts Capitalized

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$19.6 million (7.0%) in 2009 primarily due to the issuance of long-term debt, partially offset by additional capitalized interest, as a result of increases in construction work in progress. Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$5.2 million (1.9%) in 2008 which was not material when compared to the prior year. Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, increased \$21.5 million (8.5%) in 2007 primarily due to higher interest rates on new issuance of long-term debt and higher interest rates on the Company's outstanding variable rate securities.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Income Taxes***

Income taxes increased \$16.2 million (4.4%) in 2009, primarily due to higher pre-tax income, prior year tax return actualization, and an increase in expense related to normal tax contingencies, partially offset by the tax benefits associated with an increase in AFUDC equity and an increase in the federal production activities deduction.

Income taxes increased \$16.6 million (4.7%) in 2008, primarily due to higher pre-tax income partially offset by the tax benefit associated with an increase in AFUDC equity and a decrease in expense related to normal tax contingencies.

Income taxes increased \$20.9 million (6.3%) in 2007, primarily due to higher pre-tax income partially offset by the tax benefit associated with an increase in AFUDC equity and an increase in the federal production activities deduction.

Effects of Inflation

The Company is subject to rate regulation that is generally based on the recovery of historical and projected costs. The effects of inflation can create an economic loss since the recovery of costs could be in dollars that have less purchasing power. Any adverse effect of inflation on the Company's results of operations has not been substantial. See Note 3 to financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate RSE for additional information.

FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL**General**

The Company operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail and wholesale customers within its traditional service area located in the State of Alabama in addition to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Prices for electricity provided by the Company to retail customers are set by the Alabama PSC under cost-based regulatory principles. Prices for wholesale electricity sales, interconnecting transmission lines, and the exchange of electric power are regulated by the FERC. Retail rates and earnings are reviewed and may be adjusted periodically within certain limitations. See ACCOUNTING POLICIES Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Electric Utility Regulation herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under FERC Matters and Retail Regulatory Matters for additional information about regulatory matters.

The results of operations for the past three years are not necessarily indicative of future earnings potential. The level of the Company's future earnings depends on numerous factors that affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's primary business of selling electricity. These factors include the Company's ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment that continues to allow for the recovery of prudently incurred costs during a time of increasing costs. Future earnings in the near term will depend, in part, upon maintaining energy sales, which is subject to a number of factors. These factors include weather, competition, new energy contracts with neighboring utilities, energy conservation practiced by customers, the price of electricity, the price elasticity of demand, and the rate of economic growth or decline in the Company's service area. Recessionary conditions have negatively impacted sales and are expected to continue to have a negative impact, particularly on industrial and commercial customers. The timing and extent of the economic recovery will impact future earnings.

Environmental Matters

Compliance costs related to the Clean Air Act and other environmental statutes and regulations could affect earnings if such costs cannot continue to be fully recovered in rates on a timely basis. Environmental compliance spending over the next several years may exceed amounts estimated. Some of the factors driving the potential for such an increase are higher commodity costs, market demand for labor, and scope additions and clarifications. The timing, specific requirements, and estimated costs could also change as environmental statutes and regulations are adopted or modified. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Environmental Matters for additional information.

New Source Review Actions

In November 1999, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including the Company, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. These actions were filed concurrently with the issuance of notices of

violation of the NSR provisions to each of the

II-106

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

traditional operating companies. After the Company was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In the lawsuit against the Company, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at five coal-fired generating facilities operated by the Company. The civil action requests penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between the Company and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Company's lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of the Company with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the

Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

II-107

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report***Other Litigation*

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Statutes and Regulations*General*

The Company's operations are subject to extensive regulation by state and federal environmental agencies under a variety of statutes and regulations governing environmental media, including air, water, and land resources. Applicable statutes include the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Toxic Substances Control Act; the Emergency Planning & Community Right-to-Know Act; the Endangered Species Act; and related federal and state regulations. Compliance with these environmental requirements involves significant capital and operating costs, a major portion of which is expected to be recovered through existing ratemaking provisions. Through 2009, the Company had invested approximately \$2.8 billion in capital projects to comply with these requirements, with annual totals of \$526 million, \$617 million, and \$469 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company expects that capital expenditures to assure compliance with existing and new statutes and regulations will be an additional \$136 million, \$85 million, and \$99 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The Company's compliance strategy can be affected by changes to existing environmental laws, statutes, and regulations; the cost, availability, and existing inventory of emissions allowances; and the Company's fuel mix. Environmental costs that are known and estimable at this time are included in capital expenditures discussed under FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY - Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations herein.

Compliance with any new federal or state legislation or regulations related to global climate change, air quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, or other environmental and health concerns could also significantly affect the Company. Although new or revised environmental legislation or regulations could affect many areas of the Company's operations, the full impact of any such changes cannot be determined at this time.

Air Quality

Compliance with the Clean Air Act and resulting regulations has been and will continue to be a significant focus for the Company. Through 2009, the Company had spent approximately \$2.5 billion in reducing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions and in monitoring emissions pursuant to the Clean Air Act. Additional controls are currently being installed at several plants to further reduce air emissions, maintain compliance with existing regulations, and meet new requirements.

The EPA regulates ground level ozone through implementation of an eight-hour ozone air quality standard. No area within the Company's service area is currently designated as nonattainment under the current standard. In March 2008, however, the EPA issued a final rule establishing a more stringent eight-hour ozone standard, and on January 6, 2010, the EPA proposed further reductions in the standard. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised standard in August 2010 and require state implementation plans for any nonattainment areas by December 2013. The revised eight-hour ozone standard is expected to result in designation of new nonattainment areas within the Company's service territory.

During 2005, the EPA's annual fine particulate matter nonattainment designations became effective for several areas within the Company's service area. State plans for addressing the nonattainment designations for this standard could

require further reductions in SO₂ and NO_x emissions from power plants. In September 2006, the EPA published a final rule which increased the stringency of the 24-hour average fine particulate matter air quality standard. The Birmingham, Alabama area has been designated as nonattainment for the 24-hour standard, and a state implementation plan for this nonattainment area is due in December 2012.

II-108

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

On December 8, 2009, the EPA also proposed revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for SO₂. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised SO₂ standard in June 2010.

Twenty-eight eastern states, including the State of Alabama, are subject to the requirements of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). The rule calls for additional reductions of NO_x and/or SO₂ to be achieved in two phases, 2009/2010 and 2015. In July 2008 and December 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued decisions invalidating certain aspects of CAIR, but left CAIR compliance requirements in place while the EPA develops a revised rule. The State of Alabama has completed its plan to implement CAIR, and emissions reductions are being accomplished by the installation of emissions controls at the Company's coal-fired facilities and/or by the purchase of emissions allowances. The EPA is expected to issue a proposed CAIR replacement rule in July 2010.

The Clean Air Visibility Rule was finalized in July 2005, with a goal of restoring natural visibility conditions in certain areas (primarily national parks and wilderness areas) by 2064. The rule involves the application of Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) to certain sources built between 1962 and 1977, and any additional emissions reductions necessary for each designated area to achieve reasonable progress toward the natural conditions goal by 2018 and for each ten-year period thereafter. For power plants, the Clean Air Visibility Rule allows states to determine that CAIR satisfies BART requirements for SO₂ and no additional controls beyond CAIR are anticipated to be necessary at any of the Company's facilities. The State of Alabama has completed its implementation plans for BART compliance and other measures required to achieve the first phase of reasonable progress.

The EPA is currently developing a Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) rule for coal and oil-fired electric generating units, which will likely address numerous Hazardous Air Pollutants, including mercury. In March 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), a cap and trade program for the reduction of mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. In February 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the CAMR. In a separate proceeding in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the EPA entered into a proposed consent decree that requires the EPA to issue a proposed MACT rule by March 16, 2011 and a final rule by November 16, 2011.

The impacts of the eight-hour ozone standards, the fine particulate matter nonattainment designations, and future revisions to CAIR, the SO₂ standard, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, and MACT rule for the electric generating units on the Company cannot be determined at this time and will depend on the specific provisions of the final rules, resolution of any legal challenges, and the development and implementation of rules at the state level. However, these additional regulations could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

The Company has developed and continually updates a comprehensive environmental compliance strategy to assess compliance obligations associated with the continuing and new environmental requirements discussed above. As part of this strategy, the Company has already installed a number of SO₂ and NO_x emissions controls and plans to install additional controls within the next several years to ensure continued compliance with applicable air quality requirements.

Water Quality

In July 2004, the EPA published final regulations under the Clean Water Act to reduce impingement and entrainment of fish, shellfish, and other forms of aquatic life at existing power plant cooling water intake structures. The use of cost-benefit analysis in the rule was ultimately appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court held that the EPA could consider costs in arriving at its standards and in providing variances from those standards for existing intake structures. The EPA is now in the process of revising the regulations. While the U.S. Supreme Court's decision may ultimately result in greater flexibility for demonstrating compliance with the standards, the full scope of the regulations will depend on further rulemaking by the EPA and the actual requirements established by state regulatory agencies and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

On December 28, 2009, the EPA announced its determination that revision of the current effluent guidelines for steam electric power plants is warranted and proposed a plan to adopt such revisions by 2013. New wastewater treatment

requirements are expected and may result in the installation of additional controls on certain Company facilities. The impact of revised guidelines will depend on the studies conducted in connection with the rulemaking, as well as the specific requirements of the final rule, and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

II-109

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report***Environmental Remediation*

The Company must comply with other environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company could incur substantial costs to clean up properties. The Company conducts studies to determine the extent of any required cleanup and has recognized in its financial statements the costs to clean up known sites. Amounts for cleanup and ongoing monitoring costs were not material for any year presented. The Company may be liable for some or all required cleanup costs for additional sites that may require environmental remediation. See Note 3 to the financial statements under **Environmental Matters** **Environmental Remediation** for additional information.

Coal Combustion Byproducts

The EPA is currently evaluating whether additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts is merited under federal solid and hazardous waste laws. The EPA has collected information from the electric utility industry on surface impoundment safety, and conducted on-site inspections at one of the Company's facilities as part of its evaluation. The Company has a routine and robust inspection program in place to ensure the integrity of its coal ash surface impoundments. The EPA is expected to issue a proposal regarding additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts in early 2010. The impact of these additional regulations on the Company will depend on the specific provisions of the final rule and cannot be determined at this time. However, additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts could have a significant impact on the Company's management, beneficial use, and disposal of such byproducts and could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Global Climate Issues

Federal legislative proposals that would impose mandatory requirements related to greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy standards, and energy efficiency standards continue to be considered in Congress, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions has been identified as a high priority by the current Administration. On June 26, 2009, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (ACES), which would impose mandatory greenhouse gas restrictions through implementation of a cap and trade program, a renewable energy standard, and other measures, was passed by the House of Representatives. ACES would require reductions of greenhouse gas emissions on a national basis to a level that is 17% below 2005 levels by 2020, 42% below 2005 levels by 2030, and 83% below 2005 levels by 2050. In addition, ACES would provide for renewable energy standards of 6% by 2012 and 20% by 2020. Similar legislation is being considered by the Senate. The financial and operational impact of such legislation, if enacted, will depend on a variety of factors. These factors include the specific greenhouse gas emissions limits or renewable energy requirements, the timing of implementation of these limits or requirements, the level of emissions allowances allocated and the level that must be purchased, the purchase price of emissions allowances, the development and commercial availability of technologies for renewable energy and for the reduction of emissions, the degree to which offsets may be used for compliance, provisions for cost containment (if any), the impact on coal and natural gas prices, and cost recovery through regulated rates. There can be no assurance that any legislation will be enacted or as to the ultimate form of any legislation. Additional or alternative legislation may be adopted as well.

In April 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the EPA has authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published a final determination, which became effective on January 14, 2010, that certain greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles endanger public health and welfare due to climate change. On September 28, 2009, the EPA published a proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles under the Clean Air Act. The EPA has stated that once this rule is effective, it will cause carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases to become regulated pollutants under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) preconstruction permit program and the Title V operating permit program, which both apply to power plants. As a result, the construction of new facilities or the major modification of existing facilities could trigger the requirement for a PSD permit and the installation of the best available control technology for carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. The EPA also published a proposed rule governing how

these programs would be applied to stationary sources, including power plants, on October 27, 2009. The EPA has stated that it expects to finalize these proposed rules in March 2010. The ultimate outcome of the endangerment finding and these proposed rules cannot be determined at this time and will depend on additional regulatory action and any legal challenges.

International climate change negotiations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change also continue. A nonbinding agreement was announced during the most recent round of negotiations in December 2009 that included a pledge from both developed and developing countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The outcome and impact of the international negotiations cannot be determined at this time.

II-110

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Although the outcome of federal, state, or international initiatives cannot be determined at this time, mandatory restrictions on the Company's greenhouse gas emissions or requirements relating to renewable energy or energy efficiency on the federal or state level are likely to result in significant additional compliance costs, including significant capital expenditures. These costs could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions, and could result in the retirement of a significant number of coal-fired generating units. Also, additional compliance costs and costs related to unit retirements could affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates. Further, higher costs that are recovered through regulated rates could contribute to reduced demand for electricity, which could negatively impact results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

In 2008, the total carbon dioxide emissions from the fossil fuel-fired electric generating units owned by the Company were approximately 47 million metric tons. The preliminary estimate of carbon dioxide emissions from these units in 2009 is approximately 43 million metric tons. The level of carbon dioxide emissions from year to year will be dependent on the level of generation and mix of fuel sources, which is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

The Company continues to evaluate its future energy and emissions profiles and is participating in voluntary programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to help develop and advance technology to reduce emissions.

FERC Matters

In July 2005, the Company filed two applications with the FERC for new 50-year licenses for the Company's seven hydroelectric developments on the Coosa River (Weiss, Henry, Logan Martin, Lay, Mitchell, Jordan, and Bouldin) and for the Lewis Smith and Bankhead developments on the Warrior River. The FERC licenses for all of these nine projects expired in July and August 2007. Since the FERC did not act on the Company's new license applications prior to the expiration of the existing licenses, the FERC is required by law to issue annual licenses to the Company, under the terms and conditions of the existing license, until action is taken on the new license applications. The FERC issued an annual license for the Coosa developments in August 2007 and issued an annual license for the Warrior developments in September 2007. These annual licenses were automatically renewed in 2009 without further action by the FERC to allow the Company to continue operation of the projects under the terms of the previous license while the FERC completes review of the applications for new licenses.

In 2006, the Company initiated the process of developing an application to relicense the Martin hydroelectric project located on the Tallapoosa River. The current Martin license will expire in 2013 and the application for a new license is expected to be filed with the FERC in 2011.

In 2010, the Company will initiate the process of developing an application to relicense the Holt hydroelectric project located on the Warrior River. The current Holt license will expire on August 31, 2015, and the application for a new license is expected to be filed prior to that time.

Upon or after the expiration of each license, the U.S. Government, by act of Congress, may take over the project or the FERC may relicense the project either to the original licensee or to a new licensee. The FERC may grant relicenses subject to certain requirements that could result in additional costs to the Company. The timing and final outcome of the Company's relicense applications cannot now be determined.

PSC Matters***Retail Rate Adjustments******Rate RSE***

Rate RSE adjustments are based on forward-looking information for the applicable upcoming calendar year. Rate adjustments for any two-year period, when averaged together, cannot exceed 4.0% per year and any annual adjustment is limited to 5.0%. Retail rates remain unchanged when the retail return on common equity is projected to be between 13.0% and 14.5%. If the Company's actual retail return on common equity is above the allowed equity return range, customer refunds will be required; however, there is no provision for additional customer billings should the actual retail return on common equity fall below the allowed equity return range.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

In October 2008, the Alabama PSC approved a corrective rate package, effective January 2009, that primarily provides for adjustments associated with customer charges to certain existing rate structures. The Company agreed to a moratorium on any increase in rates in 2009 under the Rate RSE.

On December 1, 2009, the Company made its Rate RSE submission to the Alabama PSC of projected data for calendar year 2010. The Rate RSE increase for 2010 is 3.24%, or \$152 million annually, and was effective in January 2010. The revenue adjustment under the Rate RSE is largely attributable to the costs associated with fossil capacity which is currently dedicated to certain long-term wholesale contracts that expire during 2010. Retail cost of service for 2010 reflects the cost for that portion of the year in which this capacity is no longer committed to wholesale. The termination of these long-term wholesale contracts will result in a significant decrease in unit power sales capacity revenues. In an Alabama PSC order dated January 5, 2010, the Alabama PSC acknowledged that a full calendar year of costs for such capacity would be reflected in the Rate RSE calculation beginning in 2011 and thereafter. Under the terms of Rate RSE, the maximum increase for 2011 cannot exceed 4.76%.

Rate CNP

The Company's retail rates, approved by the Alabama PSC, provide for adjustments to recognize the placing of new generating facilities into retail service and the recovery of retail costs associated with certificated power purchase agreements (PPAs) under a Rate CNP. There was no adjustment to the Rate CNP to recover certificated PPA costs in 2007, 2008, or 2009. Effective April 2010, Rate CNP will be reduced approximately \$70 million annually, primarily due to the expiration on May 31, 2010 of the PPA with Southern Power covering the capacity of Plant Harris Unit 1. Rate CNP also allows for the recovery of the Company's retail costs associated with environmental laws, regulations, or other such mandates. The rate mechanism is based on forward looking information and provides for the recovery of these costs pursuant to a factor that is calculated annually. Environmental costs to be recovered include operations and maintenance expenses, depreciation, and a return on invested capital. Retail rates increased approximately 0.6% in January 2007 and 2.4% in January 2008 due to environmental costs. In October 2008, the Company agreed to defer collection of any increase in rates under this portion of Rate CNP, which permits recovery of costs associated with environmental laws and regulations, from 2009 until 2010. The deferral of the retail rate adjustments had an immaterial impact on annual cash flows, and had no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income. On December 1, 2009, the Company made its Rate CNP environmental submission of projected data for calendar year 2010, resulting in an increase to retail rates of approximately 4.3%, or an additional \$195 million annually, based upon projected billings. Under the terms of the rate mechanism, this adjustment became effective in January 2010. The Rate CNP environmental adjustment is primarily attributable to scrubbers being placed in service during 2010 at four of the Company's generating units. See Note 3 to the financial statements under "Retail Regulatory Matters - Rate CNP" for further information.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company has established fuel cost recovery rates under Rate ECR approved by the Alabama PSC. Rates are based on an estimate of future energy costs and the current over or under recovered balance. The Company, along with the Alabama PSC, will continue to monitor the over recovered fuel cost balance to determine whether an additional adjustment to billing rates is required.

In June 2007, the Alabama PSC ordered the Company to increase its Rate ECR factor to 3.100 cents per KWH effective with billings beginning July 2007. In October 2008, the Alabama PSC approved an increase in the Company's Rate ECR factor to 3.983 cents per KWH effective with billings beginning October 2008.

On June 2, 2009, the Alabama PSC approved a decrease in the Company's Rate ECR factor to 3.733 cents per KWH for billings beginning June 9, 2009. On December 1, 2009, the Alabama PSC approved a decrease in the Company's Rate ECR factor to 2.731 cents per KWH for billings beginning January 2010 through December 2011. The Alabama PSC further approved an additional reduction in the Rate ECR factor of 0.328 cents per KWH for the billing months of January 2010 through December 2010 resulting in a Rate ECR factor of 2.403 cents per KWH for such 12-month period. For billing months beginning January 2012, the Rate ECR factor shall be 5.910 cents per KWH, absent a contrary order by the Alabama PSC. Rate ECR revenues, as recorded on the financial statements, are adjusted for the

difference in actual recoverable fuel costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, the approved decreases in the Rate ECR factor will have no significant effect on the Company's net income, but will decrease operating cash flows related to fuel cost recovery in 2010 when compared to 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had an over recovered fuel balance of approximately \$199.6 million, of which approximately \$22.1 million is included in deferred over recovered regulatory clause revenues in the balance sheets. As of December 31, 2008, the

II-112

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Company had an under recovered fuel balance of approximately \$305.8 million, of which approximately \$180.9 million is included in deferred under recovered regulatory clause revenues in the balance sheets. These classifications are based on estimates, which include such factors as weather, generation availability, energy demand, and the price of energy. A change in any of these factors could have a material impact on the timing of any return of the over recovered fuel costs or recovery of under recovered fuel costs. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Fuel Cost Recovery for further information.

Natural Disaster Reserve

Based on an order from the Alabama PSC, the Company maintains a reserve for operations and maintenance expense to cover the cost of damages from major storms to its transmission and distribution facilities. The order approves a separate monthly natural disaster reserve (NDR) charge to customers consisting of two components. The first component is intended to establish and maintain a target reserve balance of \$75 million for future storms and is an on-going part of customer billing. The second component of the NDR charge is intended to allow recovery of any existing deferred storm-related operations and maintenance costs and any future reserve deficits over a 24-month period. The Alabama PSC order gives the Company authority to record a deficit balance in the NDR when costs of storm damage exceed any established reserve balance. Absent further Alabama PSC approval, the maximum total NDR charge consisting of both components is \$10 per month per non-residential customer account and \$5 per month per residential customer account. The Company has discretionary authority to accrue certain additional amounts as circumstances warrant.

In addition to the monthly NDR charge, the Company accrued \$39.6 million of discretionary reserve in 2009 resulting in an accumulated balance of approximately \$75 million in the reserve for future storms as of December 31, 2009. This reserve is included in other regulatory liabilities, deferred in the balance sheets. Effective February 2010, billings will be reduced to \$0.37 per month per non-residential customer account and \$0.15 per month per residential customer account, consistent with the Alabama PSC order to maintain the target NDR balance. The Company has fully recovered its deferred storm costs; therefore, rates do not include the second component of the NDR charge. As revenue from the NDR charge is recognized, an equal amount of operations and maintenance expenses related to the NDR will also be recognized. As a result, any change in revenue and expense will not have an effect on net income but will decrease operating cash flows related to the NDR charge in 2010 when compared to 2009. The net effect of the changes in 2010 in the Rate ECR factor, Rate RSE, Rate CNP, and NDR will result in an overall annual reduction in the Company's retail customers' billings of approximately \$433 million.

Steam Service

On February 5, 2009, the Alabama PSC granted a Certificate of Abandonment of Steam Service in the downtown area of the City of Birmingham. The order allows the Company to discontinue steam service by the earlier of three years from May 14, 2008 or when it has no remaining steam service customers. Currently, the Company has contractual obligations to provide steam service until 2013. Impacts related to the abandonment of steam service are recognized in operating income and are not material to the earnings of the Company.

Legislation

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Major tax incentives in the ARRA include an extension of bonus depreciation and multiple renewable energy incentives, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company. The Company's cash flow reduction to 2009 tax payments as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions of the ARRA was approximately \$104 million. On December 8, 2009, President Obama announced proposals to accelerate job growth that include an extension of the bonus depreciation provision for the ARRA for 2010, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company.

On October 27, 2009, Southern Company and its subsidiaries received notice that an award of \$165 million had been granted, of which \$65 million is available to the Company, under the ARRA grant application for transmission and distribution automation and modernization projects pending final negotiations. The Company continues to assess the other financial implications of the ARRA.

The U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate have passed separate bills related to healthcare reform. Both bills include a provision that would make Medicare Part D subsidy reimbursements taxable. If enacted into law, this provision could have a

II-113

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

significant negative impact on the Company's net income. See Note 2 to the financial statements under "Other Postretirement Benefits" for additional information.

The ultimate impact of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Income Tax Matters

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. See Note 5 to the financial statements under "Effective Tax Rate" for additional information.

Other Matters

In accordance with accounting standards related to employers' accounting for pensions, the Company recorded non-cash pre-tax pension income of approximately \$24 million, \$26 million, and \$17 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Postretirement benefit costs for the Company were \$19 million, \$23 million, and \$27 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Such amounts are dependent on several factors including trust earnings and changes to the plans. A portion of pension and postretirement benefit costs is capitalized based on construction-related labor charges. Pension and postretirement benefit costs are a component of the regulated rates and generally do not have a long-term effect on net income. For more information regarding pension and postretirement benefits, see Note 2 to the financial statements.

The Company is involved in various other matters being litigated and regulatory matters that could affect future earnings. In addition, the Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment, such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. See Note 3 to the financial statements for information regarding material issues.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. In the application of these policies, certain estimates are made that may have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and related disclosures. Different assumptions and measurements could produce estimates that are significantly different from those recorded in the financial statements. Senior management has reviewed and discussed critical accounting policies and estimates described below with the Audit Committee of Southern Company's Board of Directors.

Electric Utility Regulation

The Company is subject to retail regulation by the Alabama PSC and wholesale regulation by the FERC. These regulatory agencies set the rates the Company is permitted to charge customers based on allowable costs. As a result, the Company applies accounting standards which require the financial statements to reflect the effects of rate regulation. Through the ratemaking process, the regulators may require the inclusion of costs or revenues in periods different than when they would be recognized by a non-regulated company. This treatment may result in the deferral of expenses and the recording of related regulatory assets based on anticipated future recovery through rates or the

deferral of gains or creation of liabilities and the recording of related regulatory liabilities. The application of the accounting standards has a further effect on the Company's financial statements as a result of the estimates of allowable costs used in the ratemaking process. These estimates may differ from those actually incurred by the Company; therefore,

II-114

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

the accounting estimates inherent in specific costs such as depreciation, nuclear decommissioning, and pension and postretirement benefits have less of a direct impact on the Company's financial statements than they would on a non-regulated company.

As reflected in Note 1 to the financial statements under Regulatory Assets and Liabilities, significant regulatory assets and liabilities have been recorded. Management reviews the ultimate recoverability of these regulatory assets and liabilities based on applicable regulatory guidelines and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, adverse legislative, judicial, or regulatory actions could materially impact the amounts of such regulatory assets and liabilities and could adversely impact the Company's results of operations.

Contingent Obligations

The Company is subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, as well as other factors and conditions that potentially subject it to environmental, litigation, income tax, and other risks. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for more information regarding certain of these contingencies. The Company periodically evaluates its exposure to such risks and, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), records reserves for those matters where a non-tax-related loss is considered probable and reasonably estimable and records a tax asset or liability if it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained. The adequacy of reserves can be significantly affected by external events or conditions that can be unpredictable; thus, the ultimate outcome of such matters could materially affect the Company's financial statements. These events or conditions include the following:

Changes in existing state or federal regulation by governmental authorities having jurisdiction over air quality, water quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, control of toxic substances, hazardous and solid wastes, and other environmental matters.

Changes in existing income tax regulations or changes in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) or Alabama Department of Revenue interpretations of existing regulations.

Identification of sites that require environmental remediation or the filing of other complaints in which the Company may be asserted to be a potentially responsible party.

Identification and evaluation of other potential lawsuits or complaints in which the Company may be named as a defendant.

Resolution or progression of new or existing matters through the legislative process, the court systems, the IRS, the Alabama Department of Revenue, the FERC, or the EPA.

Unbilled Revenues

Revenues related to the retail sale of electricity are recorded when electricity is delivered to customers. However, the determination of KWH sales to individual customers is based on the reading of their meters, which is performed on a systematic basis throughout the month. At the end of each month, amounts of electricity delivered to customers, but not yet metered and billed, are estimated. Components of the unbilled revenue estimates include total KWH territorial supply, total KWH billed, estimated total electricity lost in delivery, and customer usage. These components can fluctuate as a result of a number of factors including weather, generation patterns, power delivery volume, and other operational constraints. These factors can be unpredictable and can vary from historical trends. As a result, the overall estimate of unbilled revenues could be significantly affected, which could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company's calculation of pension and other postretirement benefits expense is dependent on a number of assumptions. These assumptions include discount rates, health care cost trend rates, expected long-term return on plan assets, mortality rates, expected salary and wage increases, and other factors. Components of pension and other

postretirement benefits expense include interest and service cost on the pension and other postretirement benefit plans, expected return on plan assets, and amortization of certain unrecognized costs and obligations. Actual results that differ from the assumptions utilized are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect recognized expense and the recorded obligation in future periods. While the Company believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions would affect its pension and other postretirement benefits costs and obligations.

II-115

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Key elements in determining the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit expense in accordance with GAAP are the expected long-term return on plan assets and the discount rate used to measure the benefit plan obligations and the periodic benefit plan expense for future periods. The expected long-term return on postretirement benefit plan assets is based on the Company's investment strategy, historical experience, and expectations for long-term rates of return that considers external actuarial advice. The Company determines the long-term return on plan assets by applying the long-term rate of expected returns on various asset classes to the Company's target asset allocation. The Company discounts the future cash flows related to its postretirement benefit plans using a single-point discount rate developed from the weighted average of market-observed yields for high quality fixed income securities with maturities that correspond to expected benefit payments.

A 25 basis point change in any significant assumption would result in a \$6 million or less change in total benefit expense and a \$68 million or less change in projected obligations.

New Accounting Standards***Variable Interest Entities***

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities, which replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative, requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company adopted this new guidance effective January 1, 2010, with no material impact on its financial statements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY**Overview**

The Company's financial condition remained stable at December 31, 2009. Throughout the turmoil in the financial markets, the Company has maintained adequate access to capital without drawing on any of its committed bank credit arrangements used to support its commercial paper programs and variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. The Company intends to continue to monitor its access to short-term and long-term capital markets as well as its bank credit arrangements to meet future capital and liquidity needs. Market rates for committed credit have increased, and the Company has been and expects to continue to be subject to higher costs as its existing facilities are replaced or renewed. Total committed credit fees for the Company average less than 1/4 of 1% per year. See "Sources of Capital and Financing Activities" herein for additional information.

The Company's investments in pension and nuclear decommissioning trust funds remained stable in value as of December 31, 2009. The Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012. The projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables, including future trust fund performance, and cannot be determined at this time. The Company's funding obligations for the nuclear decommissioning trust are based on the site study, and the next study is expected to be conducted in 2013.

Net cash provided from operating activities in 2009 totaled \$1.6 billion, an increase of \$424 million as compared to 2008. The increase was primarily due to an increase in net income, as previously discussed, a decrease in receivables, and an increase in other current liabilities attributable to collections on regulatory clauses. Net cash provided from operating activities in 2008 totaled \$1.2 billion, an increase of \$30 million as compared to 2007. The increase included additional use of funds for fossil fuel inventory and payment of operating expenses along with a higher receivables balance as compared to 2007. This use of funds was offset by an increase in cash from net income as previously discussed and higher depreciation expense along with a decrease in the payments for federal taxes as compared to 2007. Net cash provided from operating activities in 2007 totaled \$1.2 billion, an increase of \$194 million as compared to 2006. The increase was primarily due to an increase in net income resulting from price increases, an increase in deferred taxes, and the timing of payments related to operating expenses.

Net cash used for investing activities totaled \$1.2 billion, \$1.6 billion, and \$1.3 billion for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, primarily due to gross property additions to utility plant of \$1.2 billion, \$1.5 billion and \$1.2 billion for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. These additions were primarily related to environmental mandates, construction

of transmission and distribution facilities, replacement of steam generation equipment, and purchases of nuclear fuel.
II-116

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Net cash used for financing activities totaled \$35 million in 2009 primarily due to redemptions of debt securities and dividends paid in excess of debt issuances and cash raised from common stock sales. In 2008 and 2007, net cash provided from financing activities totaled \$375 million and \$162 million, respectively, primarily due to long-term debt issuances and cash raised from common stock sales in excess of redemptions of securities and dividends paid. Fluctuations in cash flow from financing activities vary from year to year based on capital needs and securities redeemed.

Significant balance sheet changes for 2009 include increases of \$340 million in cash primarily from collections on regulatory clauses. These cash collections correspondingly decreased current and deferred under recovered regulatory clause revenues by \$297 million and increased current and deferred over recovered regulatory clause revenues by \$204 million. Other changes include increases of \$939 million in gross plant related to environmental mandates and transmission and distribution projects and \$478 million in long-term debt. In 2008, significant balance sheet changes included an increase of \$966 million in gross plant and an increase of \$855 million in long-term debt, primarily due to an increase in environmental-related equipment. Other significant balance sheet changes in 2008 were a result of a decline in the market value of the Company's pension trust and nuclear decommissioning trust funds, impacting the Company's other regulatory assets and liabilities. In 2007, significant balance sheet changes included an increase of \$671 million in gross plant and an increase of \$602 million in long-term debt, primarily due to an increase in environmental-related equipment.

The Company's ratio of common equity to total capitalization, including short-term debt, was 43.3% in 2009, 42.5% in 2008, and 42.5% in 2007. See Note 6 to the financial statements for additional information.

The Company has maintained investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt, preferred securities, preferred stock, and preference stock. See SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA for additional information regarding the Company's securities ratings.

Sources of Capital

The Company plans to obtain the funds required for construction and other purposes from sources similar to those used in the past, which were primarily from operating cash flows, unsecured debt, common stock, preferred stock, and preference stock. However, the type and timing of any financings will depend on market conditions, regulatory approval, and other factors.

Security issuances are subject to regulatory approval by the Alabama PSC. Additionally, with respect to the public offering of securities, the Company files registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The amounts of securities authorized by the Alabama PSC are continuously monitored and appropriate filings are made to ensure flexibility in the capital markets.

The Company obtains financing separately without credit support from any affiliate. See Note 6 to the financial statements under Bank Credit Arrangements for additional information. The Southern Company system does not maintain a centralized cash or money pool. Therefore, funds of the Company are not commingled with funds of any other company.

The Company's current liabilities sometimes exceed current assets because of the Company's debt due within one year and the periodic use of short-term debt as a funding source primarily to meet scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as cash needs which can fluctuate significantly due to the seasonality of the business.

To meet short-term cash needs and contingencies, the Company has various internal and external sources of liquidity. At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$368 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$1.3 billion of unused credit arrangements with banks, as described below. In addition, the Company has substantial cash flow from operating activities and access to the capital markets, including a commercial paper program, to meet liquidity needs. The Company maintains committed lines of credit in the amount of \$1.3 billion, of which \$481 million will expire at various times during 2010. \$372 million of the credit facilities expiring in 2010 allow for the execution of term loans for an additional one-year period. \$765 million of credit facilities expire in 2012. A portion of the unused credit with banks is allocated to provide liquidity support to the Company's variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. The amount of variable rate pollution control revenue bonds requiring liquidity support as of December 31, 2009 was

approximately \$608 million. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two remarketings of pollution control revenue bonds increased that amount to \$744 million. See Note 6 to the financial statements under **Bank Credit Arrangements** for additional information.

II-117

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company may also meet short-term cash needs through a Southern Company subsidiary organized to issue and sell commercial paper at the request and for the benefit of the Company and the other traditional operating companies. Proceeds from such issuances for the benefit of the Company are loaned directly to the Company and are not commingled with proceeds from such issuances for the benefit of any other traditional operating company. The obligations of each company under these arrangements are several and there is no cross-affiliate credit support. The Company had no commercial paper outstanding as of December 31, 2009, and \$25 million outstanding as of December 31, 2008.

Financing Activities

In March 2009, the Company issued \$500 million of Series 2009A 6.00% Senior Notes due March 1, 2039. The proceeds were used to repay short-term indebtedness and for other general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program.

In June 2009, the Company incurred obligations related to the issuance of \$53 million of the Industrial Development Board of the City of Mobile, Alabama Pollution Control Revenue Bonds (Alabama Power Barry Plant Project), First Series 2009. The proceeds were used to fund pollution control and environmental improvement facilities at Plant Barry.

In July 2009, the Company issued 3,375,000 shares of common stock to Southern Company at \$40 a share (\$135 million aggregate purchase price). The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

In August 2009, the Company's \$250 million Series BB Floating Rate Senior Notes due August 25, 2009 matured.

In October 2009, the Company issued 1,687,500 shares of common stock to Southern Company at \$40 a share (\$67.5 million aggregate purchase price). The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

In December 2009, the Company incurred obligations related to the issuance of \$25.5 million of the Industrial Development Board of the City of Mobile, Alabama Solid Waste Disposal Revenue Bonds (Alabama Power Barry Plant Project), Second Series 2009. The proceeds were used to fund certain solid waste disposal facilities at Plant Barry.

In addition to any financings that may be necessary to meet capital requirements and contractual obligations, the Company plans to continue, when economically feasible, a program to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit.

Credit Rating Risk

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain contracts that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of a credit rating change to BBB- and/or Baa3 or below. These contracts are primarily for physical electricity purchases and sales, fuel purchases, fuel transportation and storage, emissions allowances, and energy price risk management. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a BBB- and/or Baa3 rating were approximately \$5 million. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3 were approximately \$324 million. Included in these amounts are certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade. Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Additionally, any credit rating downgrade could impact the Company's ability to access capital markets, particularly the short-term debt market.

Market Price Risk

Due to cost-based rate regulations, the Company has limited exposure to market volatility in interest rates, commodity fuel prices, and prices of electricity. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets the exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. Company policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including,

but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis.

II-118

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

To mitigate future exposure to changes in interest rates, the Company enters into forward starting interest rate swaps and other derivatives that have been designated as hedges. The weighted average interest rate on \$232 million of long-term variable interest rate exposure that has not been hedged at January 1, 2010 was 3.0%. If the Company sustained a 100 basis point change in interest rates for all unhedged variable rate long-term debt, the change would affect annualized interest expense by approximately \$2.3 million at January 1, 2010. For further information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Financial Instruments and Note 11 to the financial statements.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market and, to a lesser extent, into financial hedge contracts for natural gas purchases. The Company has implemented fuel hedging programs per the guidelines of the Alabama PSC.

In addition, the Company's Rate ECR allows the recovery of specific costs associated with the sales of natural gas that become necessary due to operating considerations at the Company's electric generating facilities. Rate ECR also allows recovery of the cost of financial instruments used for hedging market price risk up to 75% of the budgeted annual amount of natural gas purchases. The Company may not engage in natural gas hedging activities that extend beyond a rolling 42-month window. Also, the premiums paid for natural gas financial options may not exceed 5% of the Company's natural gas budget for that year.

The changes in fair value of energy-related derivative contracts were as follows at December 31:

	2009	2008
	Changes	Changes
	Fair Value	
	(in millions)	
Contracts outstanding at the beginning of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$ (92)	\$
Contracts realized or settled	123	(44)
Current period changes ^(a)	(75)	(48)
Contracts outstanding at the end of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$ (44)	\$(92)

(a) Current period changes also include the changes in fair value of new contracts entered into during the period, if any.

The change in the fair value positions of the energy-related derivative contracts for the year ended December 31, 2009 was an increase of \$47.6 million, substantially all of which is due to natural gas positions. The change is attributable to both the volume of million British thermal units (mmBtu) and prices of natural gas. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a net hedge volume of 37.3 million mmBtu with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$1.20 per mmBtu above market prices, and 44.5 million mmBtu at December 31, 2008 with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$2.12 per mmBtu above market prices. The majority of the natural gas hedges are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause.

At December 31, the net fair value of energy-related derivative contracts by hedge designation was reflected in the financial statements as assets/(liabilities) as follows:

Asset (Liability) Derivatives	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Regulatory hedges	\$(44)	\$(92)
Cash flow hedges		
Not designated		
 Total fair value	 \$(44)	 \$(92)

Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate to the Company's fuel hedging program where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as they are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause. Certain other gains and losses on energy-related derivatives, designated as cash flow hedges, are initially deferred in other comprehensive income before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transaction. Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Unrealized pre-tax gains and losses from energy-related derivative contracts recognized in income were not material for any year presented.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The maturities of the energy-related derivative contracts and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	December 31, 2009			
	Total Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements		
		Year 1	Maturity Years 2&3	Years 4&5
<i>(in millions)</i>				
Level 1	\$	\$	\$	\$
Level 2	(44)	(34)	(10)	
Level 3				
Fair value of contracts outstanding at end of period	\$(44)	\$(34)	\$(10)	\$

The Company uses over-the-counter contracts that are not exchange traded but are fair valued using prices which are actively quoted, and thus fall into Level 2. See Note 10 to the financial statements for further discussion on fair value measurement.

The Company is exposed to market price risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to energy-related and interest rate derivative contracts. The Company only enters into agreements and material transactions with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings by Moody's and S&P or with counterparties who have posted collateral to cover potential credit exposure. Therefore, the Company does not anticipate market risk exposure from nonperformance by the counterparties. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Financial Instruments and Note 11 to the financial statements.

Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations

The construction program of the Company is currently estimated to be \$1.0 billion for 2010, \$1.0 billion for 2011, and \$1.1 billion for 2012. Environmental expenditures included in these estimated amounts are \$136 million, \$85 million, and \$99 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. Also included over the next three years, the Company estimates spending \$653 million on Plant Farley (including nuclear fuel), \$882 million on distribution facilities, and \$481 million on transmission additions. See Note 7 to the financial statements under Construction Program for additional details.

The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; revised load growth estimates; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in nuclear plants to meet new regulatory requirements; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Alabama PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. As a result of Nuclear Regulatory Commission requirements, the Company has external trust funds for nuclear decommissioning costs; however, the Company currently has no additional funding requirements. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Nuclear Decommissioning.

In addition to the funds required for the Company's construction program, approximately \$800 million will be required by the end of 2012 for maturities of long-term debt. The Company plans to continue, when economically feasible, to retire higher cost securities and replace these obligations with lower cost capital if market conditions permit.

The Company has also established an external trust fund for postretirement benefits as ordered by the Alabama PSC. The cumulative effect of funding these items over an extended period will diminish internally funded capital for other purposes and may require the Company to seek capital from other sources. See Note 2 to the financial statements for additional information.

Other funding requirements related to obligations associated with scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as the related interest, derivative obligations, preferred and preference stock dividends, leases, and other purchase commitments are as follows. See Notes 1, 6, 7, and 11 to the financial statements for additional information.

II-120

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Contractual Obligations**

	2010	2011- 2012	2013- 2014	After 2014	Uncertain Timing (d)	Total
			<i>(in millions)</i>			
Long-term debt ^(a)						
Principal	\$ 100	\$ 700	\$ 250	\$ 5,136	\$	\$ 6,186
Interest	311	603	530	4,846		6,290
Preferred and preference stock dividends ^(b)	39	79	79			197
Energy-related derivative obligations ^(c)	34	11				45
Operating leases	22	21	8	10		61
Unrecognized tax benefits and interest ^(d)					6	6
Purchase commitments ^(e)						
Capital ^(f)	912	1,919				2,831
Limestone ^(g)	11	30	32	54		127
Coal	1,420	1,589	923	975		4,907
Nuclear fuel	73	99	60	90		322
Natural gas ^(h)	413	451	254	148		1,266
Purchased power	39	60	67	337		503
Long-term service agreements ⁽ⁱ⁾	23	48	50	135		256
Postretirement benefits trust ⁽ⁱ⁾	11	22				33
Total	\$3,408	\$5,632	\$2,253	\$11,731	\$ 6	\$23,030

(a) All amounts are reflected based on final maturity dates. The Company plans to continue to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit. Variable rate interest

obligations are estimated based on rates as of January 1, 2010, as reflected in the statements of capitalization.

Fixed rates include, where applicable, the effects of interest rate derivatives employed to manage interest rate risk.

- (b) Preferred and preference stock do not mature; therefore, amounts are provided for the next five years only.
- (c) For additional information, see Notes 1 and 11 to the financial statements.
- (d) The timing related to the realization of \$6 million in unrecognized tax benefits and interest payments in individual years beyond 12 months cannot be reasonably and reliably estimated due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective

settlement of tax positions. See Note 5 to the financial statements for additional information.

- (e) The Company generally does not enter into non-cancelable commitments for other operations and maintenance expenditures. Total other operations and maintenance expenses for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$1.21 billion, \$1.26 billion, and \$1.19 billion, respectively.

- (f) The Company forecasts capital expenditures over a three-year period. Amounts represent current estimates of total expenditures excluding those amounts related to contractual purchase commitments for nuclear fuel. At December 31, 2009, significant

purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction program.

- (g) As part of the Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from certain of its coal plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment.
- (h) Natural gas purchase commitments are based on various indices at the time of delivery. Amounts reflected have been estimated based on the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.
- (i) Long-term service agreements include price escalation based

on inflation
indices.

- (j) The Company forecasts postretirement trust contributions over a three-year period. The Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012. The projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables including future trust fund performance and cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, no amounts related to the pension trust are included in the table. See Note 2 to the financial statements for additional information related to the pension and postretirement plans, including estimated benefit payments. Certain benefit payments will be made

through the
related trusts.
Other benefit
payments will
be made from
the Company's
corporate assets.

II-121

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (Continued)

Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The Company's 2009 Annual Report contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning retail sales and retail rates, storm damage cost recovery and repairs, fuel cost recovery and other rate actions, environmental regulations and expenditures, access to sources of capital, projections for postretirement benefit and nuclear decommissioning trust contributions, financing activities, start and completion of construction projects, filings with state and federal regulatory authorities, impacts of adoption of new accounting rules, impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, impact of healthcare legislation, if any, estimated sales and purchases under new power sale and purchase agreements, and estimated construction and other expenditures. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as may, will, could, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, projects, predicts, potential, or continue or terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurance that such indicated results will be realized. These factors include:

the impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory change, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, environmental laws including regulation of water quality and emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, mercury, carbon, soot, particulate matter, or coal combustion byproducts and other substances, and also changes in tax and other laws and regulations to which the Company is subject, as well as changes in application of existing laws and regulations;

current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings, or inquiries, including FERC matters and the pending EPA civil action against the Company;

the effects, extent, and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets in which the Company operates;

variations in demand for electricity, including those relating to weather, the general economy and recovery from the recent recession, population and business growth (and declines), and the effects of energy conservation measures;

available sources and costs of fuels;

effects of inflation;

ability to control costs and avoid cost overruns during the development and construction of facilities;

investment performance of the Company's employee benefit plans and nuclear decommissioning trusts;

advances in technology;

state and federal rate regulations and the impact of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including rate actions relating to fuel and other cost recovery mechanisms;

internal restructuring or other restructuring options that may be pursued;

potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed or beneficial to the Company;

the ability of counterparties of the Company to make payments as and when due and to perform as required;

the ability to obtain new short- and long-term contracts with wholesale customers;

the direct or indirect effect on the Company's business resulting from terrorist incidents and the threat of terrorist incidents;

interest rate fluctuations and financial market conditions and the results of financing efforts, including the Company's credit ratings;

the ability of the Company to obtain additional generating capacity at competitive prices;

catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, hurricanes, droughts, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences;

the direct or indirect effects on the Company's business resulting from incidents affecting the U.S. electric grid or operation of generating resources;

the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard setting bodies; and

other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports (including the Form 10-K) filed by the Company from time to time with the SEC.

The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

II-122

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF INCOME****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Revenues:			
Retail revenues	\$ 4,497,081	\$ 4,862,281	\$ 4,406,956
Wholesale revenues, non-affiliates	619,859	711,903	627,047
Wholesale revenues, affiliates	236,995	308,482	144,089
Other revenues	174,639	194,265	181,901
Total operating revenues	5,528,574	6,076,931	5,359,993
Operating Expenses:			
Fuel	1,823,784	2,184,310	1,762,418
Purchased power, non-affiliates	87,737	178,807	96,928
Purchased power, affiliates	218,654	359,202	341,461
Other operations and maintenance	1,211,245	1,258,888	1,186,235
Depreciation and amortization	544,923	520,449	471,536
Taxes other than income taxes	322,274	306,522	286,579
Total operating expenses	4,208,617	4,808,178	4,145,157
Operating Income	1,319,957	1,268,753	1,214,836
Other Income and (Expense):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	79,175	45,519	35,425
Interest income	16,906	19,394	19,545
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(298,495)	(278,917)	(273,737)
Other income (expense), net	(24,564)	(31,514)	(29,144)
Total other income and (expense)	(226,978)	(245,518)	(247,911)
Earnings Before Income Taxes	1,092,979	1,023,235	966,925
Income taxes	383,980	367,813	351,198
Net Income	708,999	655,422	615,727
Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock	39,463	39,463	36,145
Net Income After Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock	\$ 669,536	\$ 615,959	\$ 579,582

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 708,999	\$ 655,422	\$ 615,727
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization, total	636,788	599,767	548,959
Deferred income taxes	(65,907)	126,538	21,269
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(79,175)	(45,519)	(35,425)
Pension, postretirement, and other employee benefits	(25,802)	(26,530)	(18,781)
Stock based compensation expense	3,767	3,105	4,900
Tax benefit of stock options	166	685	1,118
Other, net	62,318	27,687	(13,648)
Changes in certain current assets and liabilities			
-Receivables	310,203	(31,692)	(5,798)
-Fossil fuel stock	(76,602)	(134,212)	(33,840)
-Materials and supplies	(21,989)	(17,723)	(32,543)
-Other current assets	(16,253)	(1,493)	22,353
-Accounts payable	(18,767)	(8,751)	78,508
-Accrued taxes	24,415	36,957	(17,248)
-Accrued compensation	(31,684)	(4,722)	4,194
-Other current liabilities	192,835	(198)	10,098
Net cash provided from operating activities	1,603,312	1,179,321	1,149,843
Investing Activities:			
Property additions	(1,233,580)	(1,477,644)	(1,157,186)
Investment in restricted cash from pollution control bonds	(5,673)	(96,326)	(97,775)
Distribution of restricted cash from pollution control bonds	49,041	35,979	78,043
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund purchases	(244,662)	(300,503)	(334,275)
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	243,796	299,636	333,409
Cost of removal net of salvage	(37,883)	(41,744)	(48,932)
Other investing activities	165	(19,142)	(26,621)
Net cash used for investing activities	(1,228,796)	(1,599,744)	(1,253,337)
Financing Activities:			
Increase (decrease) in notes payable, net Proceeds	(24,995)	24,995	(119,670)
Common stock issued to parent	202,500	300,000	229,000
Capital contributions from parent company	23,949	21,272	27,867
Gross excess tax benefit of stock options	485	1,289	2,556
Preference stock			200,000

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Pollution control revenue bonds	78,500	265,100	265,500
Senior notes issuances	500,000	850,000	850,000
Redemptions			
Preferred stock		(125,000)	
Pollution control revenue bonds		(11,100)	
Senior notes	(250,000)	(410,000)	(668,500)
Other long-term debt			(103,093)
Payment of preferred and preference stock dividends	(39,470)	(40,899)	(31,380)
Payment of common stock dividends	(522,800)	(491,300)	(465,000)
Other financing activities	(2,850)	(9,369)	(25,709)
Net cash provided from (used for) financing activities	(34,681)	374,988	161,571
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	339,835	(45,435)	58,077
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	28,181	73,616	15,539
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 368,016	\$ 28,181	\$ 73,616
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the period for			
Interest (net of \$33,112, \$20,215 and \$17,961 capitalized, respectively)	254,989	258,918	248,289
Income taxes (net of refunds)	426,390	214,368	340,951

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-124

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Assets	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 368,016	\$ 28,181
Restricted cash	36,711	80,079
Receivables		
Customer accounts receivable	322,292	350,410
Unbilled revenues	134,875	98,921
Under recovered regulatory clause revenues	37,338	153,899
Other accounts and notes receivable	33,522	44,645
Affiliated companies	61,508	70,612
Accumulated provision for uncollectible accounts	(9,551)	(8,882)
Fossil fuel stock, at average cost	394,511	322,089
Materials and supplies, at average cost	326,074	305,880
Vacation pay	53,607	52,577
Prepaid expenses	111,320	88,219
Other regulatory assets, current	34,347	74,825
Other current assets	6,203	12,915
Total current assets	1,910,773	1,674,370
Property, Plant, and Equipment:		
In service	18,574,229	17,635,129
Less accumulated provision for depreciation	6,558,864	6,259,720
Plant in service, net of depreciation	12,015,365	11,375,409
Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost	253,308	231,862
Construction work in progress	1,256,311	1,092,516
Total property, plant, and equipment	13,524,984	12,699,787
Other Property and Investments:		
Equity investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries	59,628	50,912
Nuclear decommissioning trusts, at fair value	489,795	403,966
Miscellaneous property and investments	69,749	62,782
Total other property and investments	619,172	517,660
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Deferred charges related to income taxes	387,447	362,596
Prepaid pension costs	132,643	166,334
Deferred under recovered regulatory clause revenues		180,874
Other regulatory assets, deferred	750,492	732,367

Other deferred charges and assets	198,582	202,018
Total deferred charges and other assets	1,469,164	1,644,189
Total Assets	\$ 17,524,093	\$ 16,536,006

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-125

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Liabilities:		
Securities due within one year	\$ 100,000	\$ 250,079
Notes payable		24,995
Accounts payable		
Affiliated	194,675	178,708
Other	328,400	358,176
Customer deposits	86,975	77,205
Accrued taxes		
Accrued income taxes	14,789	18,299
Other accrued taxes	31,918	30,372
Accrued interest	65,455	56,375
Accrued vacation pay	44,751	44,217
Accrued compensation	71,286	91,856
Liabilities from risk management activities	37,844	83,873
Over recovered regulatory clause revenues	181,565	
Other current liabilities	40,020	53,777
Total current liabilities	1,197,678	1,267,932
Long-Term Debt (See accompanying statements)	6,082,489	5,604,791
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	2,293,468	2,243,117
Deferred credits related to income taxes	88,705	90,083
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	164,713	172,638
Employee benefit obligations	387,936	396,923
Asset retirement obligations	491,007	461,284
Other cost of removal obligations	668,151	634,792
Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	169,224	79,151
Deferred over recovered regulatory clause revenues	22,060	
Other deferred credits and liabilities	37,113	45,858
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	4,322,377	4,123,846
Total Liabilities	11,602,544	10,996,569
Redeemable Preferred Stock (See accompanying statements)	341,715	341,715
Preference Stock (See accompanying statements)	343,373	343,412
Common Stockholder's Equity (See accompanying statements)	5,236,461	4,854,310

Total Liabilities and Stockholder s Equity	17,524,093	\$ 16,536,006
Commitments and Contingent Matters (See notes)		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-126

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2008	2009	2008
			<i>(percent of total)</i>	
Long-Term Debt:				
Long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts				
Variable rate (3.35% at 1/1/10) due 2042	\$ 206,186	\$ 206,186		
Long-term notes payable				
Floating rate (2.34% at 1/1/09) due 2009		250,000		
4.70% due 2010	100,000	100,000		
5.10% due 2011	200,000	200,000		
4.85% due 2012	500,000	500,000		
5.80% due 2013	250,000	250,000		
5.125% to 6.375% due 2016-2047	3,775,000	3,275,000		
Total long-term notes payable	4,825,000	\$ 4,575,000		
Other long-term debt				
Pollution control revenue bonds				
1.40% to 5.00% due 2030-2038	553,500	500,500		
Variable rates (0.18% to 0.44% at 1/1/10) due 2015-2036	601,690	576,190		
Total other long-term debt	1,155,190	1,076,690		
Capitalized lease obligations		79		
Unamortized debt premium (discount), net	(3,887)	(3,085)		
Total long-term debt (annual interest requirement \$311.4 million)	6,182,489	5,854,870		
Less amount due within one year	100,000	250,079		
Long-term debt excluding amount due within one year	6,082,489	5,604,791	50.7%	50.3%

II-127

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION (continued)
At December 31, 2009 and 2008
Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2008	2009 <i>(percent of total)</i>	2008 <i>(percent of total)</i>
Preferred and Preference Stock:				
<u>Cumulative redeemable preferred stock</u>				
\$100 par or stated value			4.20% to 4.92%	
Authorized	3,850,000 shares			
Outstanding	475,115 shares	47,610		47,610
\$1 par value	5.20% to 5.83%			
Authorized	27,500,000 shares			
Outstanding	12,000,000 shares: \$25 stated value	294,105		294,105
<u>Preference stock</u>				
Authorized	40,000,000 shares			
Outstanding	\$1 par value		5.63% to 6.50%	
	14,000,000 shares			
(non-cumulative) \$25 stated value		343,373		343,412
Total preferred and preference stock (annual dividend requirement \$39.5 million)		685,088	5.7	6.1
Common Stockholder s Equity:				
Common stock, par value \$40 per share				
Authorized	2009: 40,000,000 shares 2008: 40,000,000 shares			
Outstanding	2009: 30,537,500 shares 2008: 25,475,000 shares	1,221,500		1,019,000
Paid-in capital		2,119,818		2,091,462
Retained earnings		1,900,526		1,753,797
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		(5,383)		(9,949)
Total common stockholder s equity		5,236,461	43.6	43.6
Total Capitalization		\$ 12,004,038	100.0%	100.0%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-128

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	Number of Common Shares Issued	Common Stock	Paid-In Capital <i>(in thousands)</i>	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2006	12,250	\$ 490,000	\$2,028,963	\$1,516,245	\$ (2,921)	\$4,032,287
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock				579,582		579,582
Issuance of common stock	5,725	229,000				229,000
Capital contributions from parent company			36,441			36,441
Other comprehensive income (loss)					(1,526)	(1,526)
Cash dividends on common stock				(465,000)		(465,000)
Other			(106)	5		(101)
Balance at December 31, 2007	17,975	719,000	2,065,298	1,630,832	(4,447)	4,410,683
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock				615,959		615,959
Issuance of common stock	7,500	300,000				300,000
Capital contributions from parent company			26,164			26,164
Other comprehensive income (loss)					(5,502)	(5,502)
Cash dividends on common stock				(491,300)		(491,300)
Other				(1,694)		(1,694)
Balance at December 31, 2008	25,475	1,019,000	2,091,462	1,753,797	(9,949)	4,854,310
Net income after dividends on preferred and				669,536		669,536

preference stock						
Issuance of common stock	5,063	202,500				202,500
Capital contributions from parent company			28,356			28,356
Other comprehensive income (loss)					4,566	4,566
Cash dividends on common stock				(522,800)		(522,800)
Other				(7)		(7)
Balance at December 31, 2009	30,538	\$1,221,500	\$2,119,818	\$1,900,526	\$ (5,383)	\$5,236,461

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-129

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock	\$ 669,536	\$ 615,959	\$ 579,582
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Qualifying hedges:			
Changes in fair value, net of tax of \$(1,943), \$(4,297), and \$(1,226), respectively	(3,195)	(7,068)	(2,017)
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income, net of tax of \$4,718, \$952, and \$298, respectively	7,761	1,566	491
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	4,566	(5,502)	(1,526)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 674,102	\$ 610,457	\$ 578,056

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-130

Table of Contents**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES****General**

Alabama Power Company (the Company) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company, which is the parent company of four traditional operating companies, Southern Power Company (Southern Power), Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), Southern Communications Services, Inc. (SouthernLINC Wireless), Southern Company Holdings, Inc. (Southern Holdings), Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), and other direct and indirect subsidiaries. The traditional operating companies – the Company, Georgia Power Company (Georgia Power), Gulf Power Company (Gulf Power), and Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi Power), are vertically integrated utilities providing electric service in four Southeastern states. The Company operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail and wholesale customers within its traditional service area located in the State of Alabama in addition to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets, and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market. SCS, the system service company, provides, at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and its subsidiary companies. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and also markets these services to the public and provides fiber cable services within the Southeast. Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding company subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases. Southern Nuclear operates and provides services to Southern Company's nuclear power plants, including the Company's Plant Farley.

The equity method is used for subsidiaries in which the Company has significant influence but does not control and for variable interest entities where the Company is not the primary beneficiary. Certain prior years' data presented in the financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The Company is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Alabama Public Service Commission (PSC). The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and complies with the accounting policies and practices prescribed by its regulatory commissions. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the use of estimates, and the actual results may differ from those estimates.

Affiliate Transactions

The Company has an agreement with SCS under which the following services are rendered to the Company at direct or allocated cost: general and design engineering, purchasing, accounting and statistical analysis, finance and treasury, tax, information resources, marketing, auditing, insurance and pension administration, human resources, systems and procedures, digital wireless communications, and other services with respect to business and operations and power pool transactions. Costs for these services amounted to \$325 million, \$321 million, and \$299 million, during 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Cost allocation methodologies used by SCS were approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to the repeal of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and management believes they are reasonable. The FERC permits services to be rendered at cost by system service companies.

The Company has an agreement with Southern Nuclear under which the following nuclear-related services are rendered to the Company at cost: general executive and advisory services, general operations, management and technical services, administrative services including procurement, accounting, employee relations, systems and procedures services, strategic planning and budgeting services, and other services with respect to business and operations. Costs for these services amounted to \$183 million, \$196 million, and \$182 million, during 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

The Company jointly owns Plant Greene County with Mississippi Power. The Company has an agreement with Mississippi Power under which the Company operates Plant Greene County, and Mississippi Power reimburses the Company for its proportionate share of non-fuel expenses, which were \$10.2 million in 2009, \$11.1 million in 2008, and \$9.8 million in 2007. See Note 4 for additional information.

Southern Company's 30% ownership interest in Alabama Fuel Products, LLC (AFP), which produced synthetic fuel, was terminated in July 2006. The Company had an agreement with an indirect subsidiary of Southern Company that provided services for AFP. Under this agreement, the Company provided certain accounting functions, including processing and paying fuel transportation invoices, and the Company was reimbursed for its expenses. Amounts billed under this agreement totaled approximately \$1.2 million

II-131

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

and \$58.1 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively. In addition, the Company purchased synthetic fuel from AFP for use at several of the Company's plants. Synthetic fuel purchases totaled \$6.2 million and \$462.1 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company had an agreement with Southern Power under which the Company operated and maintained Plant Harris at cost. On August 1, 2007, that agreement was terminated and replaced with a service agreement under which the Company provides to Southern Power specifically requested services. In 2009, 2008, and 2007, the Company billed Southern Power \$0.9 million, \$0.9 million, and \$2.4 million, respectively, under these agreements. Under a power purchase agreement (PPA) with Southern Power, the Company's purchased power costs from Plant Harris in 2009, 2008, and 2007 totaled \$61.6 million, \$63.2 million, and \$66.3 million, respectively. The Company also provides the fuel, at cost, associated with the PPA. The fuel cost recognized by the Company was \$62.5 million in 2009, \$119.6 million in 2008, and \$108.1 million in 2007. Additionally, the Company recorded \$8.3 million of prepaid capacity expenses included in other deferred charges and other assets in the balance sheets at December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007. See Note 3 under "Retail Regulatory Matters" and Note 7 under "Purchased Power Commitments" for additional information.

Also, see Note 4 for information regarding the Company's ownership in and PPA with Southern Electric Generating Company (SEGCO).

The traditional operating companies, including the Company, and Southern Power jointly enter into various types of wholesale energy, natural gas, and certain other contracts, either directly or through SCS as agent. Each participating company may be jointly and severally liable for the obligations incurred under these agreements. See Note 7 under "Fuel Commitments" for additional information.

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The Company is subject to the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board in accounting for the effects of rate regulation. Regulatory assets represent probable future revenues associated with certain costs that are expected to be recovered from customers through the ratemaking process. Regulatory liabilities represent probable future reductions in revenues associated with amounts that are expected to be credited to customers through the ratemaking process.

Regulatory assets and (liabilities) reflected in the balance sheets at December 31 relate to:

	2009	2008	Note
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Deferred income tax charges	\$ 387	\$ 363	(a)
Loss on reacquired debt	74	80	(b)
Vacation pay	54	53	(c, k)
Under/(over) recovered regulatory clause revenues	(166)	335	(d)
Fuel-hedging (realized and unrealized) losses	45	95	(e) (f)
Other assets	8	7	(g)
Asset retirement obligations	(43)	18	(a)
Other cost of removal obligations	(668)	(635)	(a)
Deferred income tax credits	(89)	(90)	(a)
Fuel-hedging (realized and unrealized) gains	(1)	(4)	(e)
Mine reclamation and remediation	(12)	(14)	(h)
Nuclear outage	(27)	(8)	(d)
Deferred purchased power	(8)	(20)	(g)
Natural disaster reserve	(75)	(33)	(i)

Other liabilities	(3)	(4)	(d)
Underfunded retiree benefit plans	657	614	(j, k)
Total assets (liabilities), net	\$ 133	\$ 757	

Note: The recovery and amortization periods for these regulatory assets and (liabilities) are as follows:

(a) Asset retirement and removal assets and liabilities are recorded, deferred income tax assets are recovered, and deferred tax liabilities are amortized over the related property lives, which may range up to 50 years. Asset retirement and removal assets and liabilities will be settled and trued up following completion of the related activities.

(b) Recovered over the remaining life of the original issue, which may range up to 50 years.

(c)

Recorded as
earned by
employees and
recovered as
paid, generally
within one year.
This includes
both vacation
and banked
holiday pay.

II-132

Table of Contents

NOTES (continued)

Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report

- (d) Recorded and recovered or amortized as approved or accepted by the Alabama PSC over periods not exceeding five years.
- (e) Fuel-hedging assets and liabilities are recorded over the life of the underlying hedged purchase contracts, which generally does not exceed three years. Upon final settlement, actual costs incurred are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause.
- (f) Recorded as accepted by the Alabama PSC. Capitalized upon initialization of related construction projects.
- (g) Recovered over the life of the PPA for periods up to 13 years.
- (h) Recorded as accepted by the

Alabama PSC.
Mine
reclamation and
remediation
liabilities will
be settled
following
completion of
the related
activities.

- (i) Recovered as storm restoration expenses are incurred, as approved by the Alabama PSC.
- (j) Recovered and amortized over the average remaining service period which may range up to 14 years. See Note 2 for additional information.
- (k) Not earning a return as offset in rate base by a corresponding asset or liability.

In the event that a portion of the Company's operations is no longer subject to applicable accounting rules for rate regulation, the Company would be required to write off or reclassify to accumulated other comprehensive income related regulatory assets and liabilities that are not specifically recoverable through regulated rates. In addition, the Company would be required to determine if any impairment to other assets, including plant, exists and write down the assets to their fair values. All regulatory assets and liabilities are to be reflected in rates. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters for additional information.

Revenues

Energy and other revenues are recognized as services are provided. Wholesale capacity revenues are generally recognized on a levelized basis over the appropriate contract periods. Unbilled revenues are accrued at the end of each fiscal period. Electric rates for the Company include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs, fuel hedging, the energy component of purchased power costs, and certain other costs. Revenues are adjusted for differences between these actual costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Under or over recovered regulatory clause revenues are recorded in the balance sheets and are recovered or returned to customers through adjustments to the billing factors. The Company continuously monitors the under/over recovered balances and files for revised rates as required or when management deems appropriate, depending on the rate. See Note 3 under Retail

Regulatory Matters Fuel Cost Recovery and Retail Regulatory Matters Rate CNP for additional information. The Company has a diversified base of customers. No single customer comprises 10% or more of revenues. For all periods presented, uncollectible accounts averaged less than 1% of revenues.

Fuel Costs

Fuel costs are expensed as the fuel is used. Fuel expense includes the cost of purchased emissions allowances as they are used. Fuel expense also includes the amortization of the cost of nuclear fuel and a charge, based on nuclear generation, for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. See Note 3 under Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs for additional information.

Income and Other Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provides deferred income taxes for all significant income tax temporary differences. Investment tax credits utilized are deferred and amortized to income over the average life of the related property. Taxes that are collected from customers on behalf of governmental agencies to be remitted to these agencies are presented net on the statements of income.

In accordance with accounting standards related to the uncertainty in income taxes, the Company recognizes tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities. See Note 5 under Unrecognized Tax Benefits for additional information.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment is stated at original cost less regulatory disallowances and impairments. Original cost includes: materials; labor; minor items of property; appropriate administrative and general costs; payroll-related costs such as taxes, pensions, and other benefits; and the interest capitalized and/or cost of funds used during construction.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's property, plant, and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Generation	\$ 9,627	\$ 9,096
Transmission	2,702	2,559
Distribution	5,046	4,827
General	1,187	1,141
Plant acquisition adjustment	12	12
Total plant in service	\$18,574	\$17,635

The cost of replacements of property, exclusive of minor items of property, is capitalized. The cost of maintenance, repairs, and replacement of minor items of property is charged to maintenance expense as incurred or performed with the exception of nuclear refueling costs, which are recorded in accordance with specific Alabama PSC orders. The Company accrues estimated nuclear refueling outage costs in advance of the unit's next refueling outage. The refueling cycle is 18 months for each unit. During 2009, the Company accrued \$47.5 million for the applicable refueling cycles and paid \$29.6 million for an outage at Plant Farley Unit 1. There was no outage at Plant Farley Unit 2 in 2009. At December 31, 2009, the reserve balance totaled \$27.1 million and is included in the balance sheet in other regulatory liabilities.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation of the original cost of utility plant in service is provided primarily by using composite straight-line rates, which approximated 3.2% in 2009 and 2008 and 3.1% in 2007. Depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update the composite rates and the information is provided to the Alabama PSC. When property subject to depreciation is retired or otherwise disposed of in the normal course of business, its original cost, together with the cost of removal, less salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation. For other property dispositions, the applicable cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the balance sheet accounts and a gain or loss is recognized. Minor items of property included in the original cost of the plant are retired when the related property unit is retired.

On June 25, 2009, the Company submitted an offer of settlement and stipulation to the FERC relating to the 2008 depreciation study that was filed in October 2008. The settlement offer withdraws the requests for authorization to use updated depreciation rates. In lieu of the new rates, the Company is using those depreciation rates employed prior and up to January 1, 2009 that were previously approved by the FERC. On September 30, 2009, the FERC issued an order approving the settlement offer.

Asset Retirement Obligations and Other Costs of Removal

Asset retirement obligations are computed as the present value of the ultimate costs for an asset's future retirement and are recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred. The costs are capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset's useful life. The Company has received accounting guidance from the Alabama PSC allowing the continued accrual of other future retirement costs for long-lived assets that the Company does not have a legal obligation to retire. Accordingly, the accumulated removal costs for these obligations are reflected in the balance sheets as a regulatory liability.

The liability recognized to retire long-lived assets primarily relates to the Company's nuclear facility, Plant Farley. The fair value of assets legally restricted for settling retirement obligations related to nuclear facilities as of December 31, 2009 was \$490 million. In addition, the Company has retirement obligations related to various landfill sites and underground storage tanks, asbestos removal, and disposal of polychlorinated biphenyls in certain transformers. The Company also has identified retirement obligations related to certain transmission and distribution facilities and certain wireless communication towers. However, liabilities for the removal of these assets have not been recorded

because the range of time over which the Company may settle these obligations is unknown and cannot be reasonably estimated. The Company will continue to recognize in the statements of income allowed removal costs in accordance with its regulatory treatment. Any differences between costs recognized in accordance with accounting standards related to asset retirement and environmental obligations, and those reflected in rates are recognized as either a regulatory asset or liability, as ordered by the Alabama PSC, and are reflected in the balance sheets. See Nuclear Decommissioning for further information on amounts included in rates.

II-134

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Details of the asset retirement obligations included in the balance sheets are as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance beginning of year	\$461	\$506
Liabilities incurred		
Liabilities settled	(1)	(2)
Accretion	31	31
Cash flow revisions ^(a)		(74)
Balance end of year	\$491	\$461

- (a) Updated based on results from 2008 Nuclear Decommissioning Study

Nuclear Decommissioning

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requires licensees of commercial nuclear power reactors to establish a plan for providing reasonable assurance of funds for future decommissioning. The Company has external trust funds (the Funds) to comply with the NRC's regulations. Use of the Funds is restricted to nuclear decommissioning activities and the Funds are managed and invested in accordance with applicable requirements of various regulatory bodies, including the NRC, the FERC, and the Alabama PSC, as well as the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The Funds are required to be held by one or more trustees with an individual net worth of at least \$100 million. The FERC requires the Funds' managers to exercise the standard of care in investing that a prudent investor would use in the same circumstances. The FERC regulations also require, except for investments tied to market indices or other mutual funds, that the Funds' managers may not invest in any securities of the utility for which it manages funds or its affiliates. While the Company is allowed to prescribe an overall investment policy to the Funds' managers, the Company is not allowed to engage in the day-to-day management of the Funds or to mandate individual investment decisions. Day-to-day management of the investments in the Funds is delegated to unrelated third party managers with oversight by the Company's management. The Funds' managers are authorized, within broad limits, to actively buy and sell securities at their own discretion in order to maximize the investment return on the Funds' investments. The Funds are invested in a tax-efficient manner in a diversified mix of equity and fixed income securities and are reported as trading securities.

The Company records the investment securities held in the Funds at fair value, as disclosed in Note 10. Gains and losses, whether realized, unrealized, or identified as other-than-temporary, are recorded in the regulatory liability for asset retirement obligations in the balance sheets and are not included in net income or other comprehensive income. Fair value adjustments, realized gains, and other-than-temporary impairment losses are determined on a specific identification basis.

At December 31, 2009, investment securities in the Funds totaled \$488.4 million consisting of equity securities of \$345.6 million, debt securities of \$134.3 million, and \$8.5 million of other securities. At December 31, 2008, investment securities in the Funds totaled \$402.9 million consisting of equity securities of \$256.7 million, debt securities of \$135.3 million, and \$10.9 million of other securities. These amounts exclude receivables related to investment income and pending investment sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

Sales of the securities held in the Funds resulted in cash proceeds of \$243.8 million, \$299.6 million, and \$333.4 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, all of which were reinvested. For 2009, fair value increases, including reinvested interest and dividends and excluding the Funds' expenses, were \$96.2 million, of which \$79.9 million related to securities held in the Funds at December 31, 2009. For 2008, fair value reductions, including reinvested interest and dividends and excluding the Funds' expenses, were \$(134.4) million. Realized gains and other-than-temporary impairment losses were \$34.6 million and \$(37.2) million, respectively, in 2007. While the investment securities held in the Funds are reported as trading securities, the Funds continue to be managed with a long-term focus. Accordingly, all purchases and sales within the Funds are presented separately in the statements of cash flows as investing cash flows, consistent with the nature of and purpose for which the securities were acquired. Amounts previously recorded in internal reserves are being transferred into the external trust funds over periods approved by the Alabama PSC. The NRC's minimum external funding requirements are based on a generic estimate of the cost to decommission only the radioactive portions of a nuclear unit based on the size and type of reactor. The Company has filed a plan with the NRC designed to ensure that, over time, the deposits and earnings of the external trust funds will provide the minimum funding amounts prescribed by the NRC.

II-135

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the accumulated provisions for decommissioning were as follows:

	<i>(in millions)</i>
External trust funds	\$ 490
Internal reserves	25
Total	\$ 515

Site study cost is the estimate to decommission the facility as of the site study year. The estimated costs of decommissioning based on the most current study performed in 2008 for Plant Farley was as follows:

Decommissioning periods:	
Beginning year	2037
Completion year	2065

	<i>(in millions)</i>
Site study costs:	
Radiated structures	\$1,060
Non-radiated structures	72
Total	\$1,132

The decommissioning cost estimates are based on prompt dismantlement and removal of the plant from service. The actual decommissioning costs may vary from the above estimates because of changes in the assumed date of decommissioning, changes in NRC requirements, or changes in the assumptions used in making these estimates. For ratemaking purposes, the Company's decommissioning costs are based on the site study. Significant assumptions used to determine these costs for ratemaking were an inflation rate of 4.5% and a trust earnings rate of 7.0%. The next site study is expected to be conducted in 2013.

Amounts previously contributed to the external trust fund are currently projected to be adequate to meet the decommissioning obligations. The Company will continue to provide site specific estimates of the decommissioning costs and related projections of funds in the external trust to the Alabama PSC and, if necessary, would seek the Alabama PSC's approval to address any changes in a manner consistent with the NRC and other applicable requirements.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC)

In accordance with regulatory treatment, the Company records AFUDC, which represents the estimated debt and equity costs of capital funds that are necessary to finance the construction of new regulated facilities. While cash is not realized currently from such allowance, it increases the revenue requirement over the service life of the plant through a higher rate base and higher depreciation expense. The equity component of AFUDC is not included in calculating taxable income. All current construction costs are included in retail rates. The composite rate used to determine the amount of AFUDC was 9.2% in 2009 and 2008 and 9.4% in 2007. AFUDC, net of income tax, as a percent of net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock was 14.9% in 2009, 9.4% in 2008, and 8.0% in 2007.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangibles

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is

based on either a specific regulatory disallowance or an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with the carrying value of the assets. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by either the amount of regulatory disallowance or by estimating the fair value of the assets and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. For assets identified as held for sale, the carrying value is compared to the estimated fair value less the cost to sell in order to determine if an impairment loss is required. Until the assets are disposed of, their estimated fair value is re-evaluated when circumstances or events change.

II-136

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Natural Disaster Reserve**

Based on an order from the Alabama PSC, the Company maintains a reserve for operations and maintenance expense to cover the cost of damages from major storms to its transmission and distribution facilities. The order approves a separate monthly natural disaster reserve (NDR) charge to customers consisting of two components. The first component is intended to establish and maintain a target reserve balance of \$75 million for future storms and is an on-going part of customer billing. The second component of the NDR charge is intended to allow recovery of any existing deferred storm-related operations and maintenance costs and any future reserve deficits over a 24-month period. The Alabama PSC order gives the Company authority to record a deficit balance in the NDR when costs of storm damage exceed any established reserve balance. Absent further Alabama PSC approval, the maximum total NDR charge consisting of both components is \$10 per month per non-residential customer account and \$5 per month per residential customer account. The Company has discretionary authority to accrue certain additional amounts as circumstances warrant.

In addition to the monthly NDR charge, the Company accrued \$39.6 million of discretionary reserve in 2009 resulting in an accumulated balance of approximately \$75 million in the reserve for future storms as of December 31, 2009. This reserve is included in other regulatory liabilities, deferred in the balance sheets. Effective February 2010, billings will be reduced to \$0.37 per month per non-residential customer account and \$0.15 per month per residential customer account, consistent with the Alabama PSC order to maintain the target NDR balance. The Company has fully recovered its deferred storm costs; therefore, rates do not include the second component of the NDR charge. As revenue from the NDR charge is recognized, an equal amount of operations and maintenance expenses related to the NDR will also be recognized. As a result, any change in revenue and expense will not have an effect on net income but will decrease operating cash flows related to the NDR charge in 2010 when compared to 2009.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the financial statements, temporary cash investments are considered cash equivalents. Temporary cash investments are securities with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Materials and Supplies

Generally, materials and supplies include the average cost of transmission, distribution, and generating plant materials. Materials are charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed or capitalized to plant, as appropriate, at weighted average cost when installed.

Fuel Inventory

Fuel inventory includes the average costs of oil, coal, natural gas, and emissions allowances. Fuel is charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed as used and recovered by the Company through fuel cost recovery rates approved by the Alabama PSC. Emissions allowances granted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are included in inventory at zero cost.

Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to limit exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, the prices of certain fuel purchases, and electricity purchases and sales. All derivative financial instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities (included in Other or shown separately as Risk Management Activities) and are measured at fair value. See Note 10 for additional information. Substantially all of the Company's bulk energy purchases and sales contracts that meet the definition of a derivative are exempt from fair value accounting requirements and are accounted for under the accrual method. Other derivative contracts qualify as cash flow hedges of anticipated transactions or are recoverable through the Alabama PSC-approved fuel hedging program. This results in the deferral of related gains and losses in other comprehensive income or regulatory assets and liabilities, respectively, until the hedged transactions occur. Any ineffectiveness arising from cash flow hedges is recognized currently in net income. Other derivative contracts are marked to market through current period income and are recorded on a net basis in the statements of income. See Note 11 for additional information.

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for multiple derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. Additionally, the Company has no outstanding collateral

repayment obligations or rights to reclaim collateral arising from derivative instruments recognized at December 31, 2009.

II-137

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company is exposed to losses related to financial instruments in the event of counterparties' nonperformance. The Company has established controls to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties in order to mitigate the Company's exposure to counterparty credit risk.

Comprehensive Income

The objective of comprehensive income is to report a measure of all changes in common stock equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners.

Comprehensive income consists of net income, changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges, and reclassifications for amounts included in net income.

Variable Interest Entities

The primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity must consolidate the related assets and liabilities. The Company has established certain wholly-owned trusts to issue preferred securities. See Note 6 under "Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Trusts" for additional information. However, the Company is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. Therefore, the investments in these trusts are reflected as Other Investments, and the related loans from the trusts are included in Long-term Debt in the balance sheets.

2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company has a defined benefit, trustee, pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan is funded in accordance with requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA). No contributions to the defined benefit plan are expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The Company also provides certain defined benefit pension plans for a selected group of management and highly compensated employees. Benefits under these non-qualified pension plans are funded on a cash basis. In addition, the Company provides certain medical care and life insurance benefits for retired employees through other postretirement benefit plans. The Company funds trusts to the extent required by the Alabama PSC and the FERC. For the year ending December 31, 2010, postretirement trust contributions are expected to total approximately \$11 million.

The measurement date for plan assets and obligations for 2009 and 2008 was December 31 while the measurement date for prior years was September 30. Pursuant to accounting standards related to defined postretirement benefit plans, the Company was required to change the measurement date for its defined postretirement benefit plans from September 30 to December 31 beginning with the year ended December 31, 2008. As permitted, the Company adopted the measurement date provisions effective January 1, 2008 resulting in an increase in long-term liabilities of \$5 million and an increase in prepaid pension costs of approximately \$11 million.

Pension Plans

The total accumulated benefit obligation for the pension plans was \$1.6 billion in 2009 and \$1.4 billion in 2008. Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the projected benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$1,460	\$1,420
Service cost	34	43
Interest cost	96	109
Benefits paid	(77)	(94)
Actuarial loss (gain)	162	(18)
Balance at end of year	1,675	1,460

Change in plan assets

Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	1,539	2,318
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	245	(692)
Employer contributions	5	7
Benefits paid	(77)	(94)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	1,712	1,539
Prepaid pension asset, net	\$ 37	\$ 79

II-138

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the projected benefit obligations for the qualified and non-qualified pension plans were \$1.6 billion and \$95 million, respectively. All pension plan assets are related to the qualified pension plan. Pension plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code). In 2009, in determining the optimal asset allocation for the pension fund, the Company performed an extensive study based on projections of both assets and liabilities over a 10-year horizon. The primary goal of the study was to maximize plan funded status. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk. The actual composition of the Company's pension plan assets as of the end of the year, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	29%	33%	34%
International equity	28	29	23
Fixed income	15	15	14
Special situations	3		
Real estate investments	15	13	19
Private equity	10	10	10
Total	100%	100%	100%

The investment strategy for plan assets related to the Company's defined benefit pension plan is to be broadly diversified across major asset classes. The asset allocation is established after consideration of various factors that affect the assets and liabilities of the pension plan including, but not limited to, historical and expected returns, volatility, correlations of asset classes, the current level of assets and liabilities, and the assumed growth in assets and liabilities. Because a significant portion of the liability of the pension plan is long-term in nature, the assets are invested consistent with long-term investment expectations for return and risk. To manage the actual asset class exposures relative to the target asset allocation, the Company employs a formal rebalancing program. As additional risk management, external investment managers and service providers are subject to written guidelines to ensure appropriate and prudent investment practices.

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed through an allocation to long-dated, investment grade corporate and government bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

II-139

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The fair values of pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 339	\$ 141	\$	\$ 480
International equity*	439	44		483
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		127		127
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		34		34
Corporate bonds		85		85
Pooled funds		3		3
Cash equivalents and other	1	104		105
Special situations				
Real estate investments	53		166	219
Private equity			169	169
Total	\$ 832	\$ 538	\$ 335	\$ 1,705
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(1)			(1)
Total	\$ 831	\$ 538	\$ 335	\$ 1,704

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well

diversified with
no significant
concentrations
of risk.

As of December 31, 2008:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 318	\$ 129	\$	\$ 447
International equity*	285	26		311
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		133		133
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		63		63
Corporate bonds		86		86
Pooled funds		1		1
Cash equivalents and other	7	61		68
Special situations				
Real estate investments	43		254	297
Private equity			148	148
Total	\$ 653	\$ 499	\$ 402	\$ 1,554
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(2)			(2)
Total	\$ 651	\$ 499	\$ 402	\$ 1,552

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well diversified with no significant

concentrations
of risk.

II-140

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the pension plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate	Private	Real Estate	Private
	Investments	Equity	Investments	Equity
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Beginning balance	\$ 254	\$ 148	\$ 316	\$ 157
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(72)	13	(51)	(43)
Related to investments sold during the year	(20)	3	1	8
Total return on investments	(92)	16	(50)	(35)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	4	5	(12)	26
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 166	\$ 169	\$ 254	\$ 148

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued using matrix pricing, a common model using observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets related to the Company's pension plans consist of:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Prepaid pension costs	\$ 133	\$ 166
Other regulatory assets, deferred	549	479
Other current liabilities	(6)	(6)
Employee benefit obligations	(90)	(81)

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the defined benefit pension plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain)Loss
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2009:		
Regulatory assets	\$ 50	\$ 499
Balance at December 31, 2008:		
Regulatory assets	\$ 58	\$ 421
Estimated amortization in net periodic pension cost in 2010:		
Regulatory assets	\$ 9	\$ 2

II-141

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The changes in the balances of regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities related to the defined benefit pension plans for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets	Regulatory Liabilities
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 43	\$(423)
Net loss	441	433
Change in prior service costs		
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(2)	(10)
Amortization of net gain	(3)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(5)	(10)
Total change	436	423
Balance at December 31, 2008	479	
Net loss	79	
Change in prior service costs	1	
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(9)	
Amortization of net gain	(1)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(10)	
Total change	70	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$549	\$

Components of net periodic pension cost (income) were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Service cost	\$ 34	\$ 35	\$ 35
Interest cost	96	87	82
Expected return on plan assets	(164)	(160)	(146)
Recognized net (gain) loss	1	2	2
Net amortization	9	10	10
Net periodic pension (income)	\$ (24)	\$ (26)	\$ (17)

Net periodic pension cost (income) is the sum of service cost, interest cost, and other costs netted against the expected return on plan assets. The expected return on plan assets is determined by multiplying the expected rate of return on

plan assets and the market-related value of plan assets. In determining the market-related value of plan assets, the Company has elected to amortize changes in the market value of all plan assets over five years rather than recognize the changes immediately. As a result, the accounting value of plan assets that is used to calculate the expected return on plan assets differs from the current fair value of the plan assets.

Future benefit payments reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the projected benefit obligation for the pension plans. At December 31, 2009, estimated benefit payments were as follows:

	Benefit Payments
	<i>(in millions)</i>
2010	\$ 87
2011	91
2012	95
2013	101
2014	108
2015 to 2019	610

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Other Postretirement Benefits**

Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligations (APBO) and in the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 446	\$ 480
Service cost	6	9
Interest cost	29	37
Benefits paid	(26)	(30)
Actuarial loss (gain)	19	(53)
Plan amendments	(15)	
Retiree drug subsidy	2	3
Balance at end of year	461	446
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	252	297
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	47	(75)
Employer contributions	20	57
Benefits paid	(24)	(27)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	295	252
Accrued liability (recognized in the balance sheet)	\$(166)	\$(194)

Other postretirement benefit plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk. The actual composition of the Company's other postretirement benefit plan assets as of the end of the year, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	47%	42%	31%
International equity	12	16	13
Domestic fixed income	32	35	46
Special situations	1		
Real estate investments	5	4	7
Private equity	3	3	3
Total	100%	100%	100%

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is comprised of domestic bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Trust-owned life insurance. Some of the Company's taxable trusts invest in these investments in order to minimize the impact of taxes on the portfolio.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category. The fair values of other postretirement benefit plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$54	\$ 8	\$	\$ 62
International equity*	24	2		26
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		7		7
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		2		2
Corporate bonds		5		5
Pooled funds				
Cash equivalents and other		23		23
Trust-owned life insurance		144		144
Special situations				
Real estate investments	3		9	12
Private equity			10	10
Total	\$81	\$ 191	\$ 19	\$291

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the

portfolio is well diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

As of December 31, 2008:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$33	\$ 7	\$	\$ 40
International equity*	16	1		17
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		7		7
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		4		4
Corporate bonds		5		5
Pooled funds				
Cash equivalents and other		48		48
Trust-owned life insurance		105		105
Special situations				
Real estate investments	2		15	17
Private equity			8	8
Total	\$51	\$ 177	\$ 23	\$251

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well diversified with no significant concentrations

of risk.

II-144

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the other postretirement benefit plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Beginning balance	\$ 15	\$ 8	\$ 17	\$ 9
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(5)	2	(2)	(2)
Related to investments sold during the year	(1)			
Total return on investments	(6)	2	(2)	(2)
Purchases, sales, and settlements				1
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 9	\$ 10	\$ 15	\$ 8

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued using matrix pricing, a common model using observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's other postretirement benefit plans consist of:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Regulatory assets	\$ 108	\$ 135
Employee benefit obligations	(166)	(194)

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the other postretirement benefit plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic postretirement benefit cost along with the

estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain)Loss	Transition Obligation
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2009:			
Regulatory asset	\$33	\$ 67	\$ 8
Balance at December 31, 2008:			
Regulatory asset	\$49	\$ 71	\$ 15
Estimated amortization as net periodic postretirement cost in 2010:			
Regulatory asset	\$ 4	\$	\$ 3

II-145

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The changes in the balance of regulatory assets related to the other postretirement benefit plans for the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets
	<i>(in millions)</i>
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 95
Net loss	50
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(5)
Amortization of prior service costs	(5)
Amortization of net gain	
Total reclassification adjustments	(10)
Total change	40
Balance at December 31, 2008	135
Net gain	(4)
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	(15)
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(4)
Amortization of prior service costs	(4)
Amortization of net gain	
Total reclassification adjustments	(8)
Total change	(27)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 108

Components of the other postretirement benefit plans net periodic cost were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Service cost	\$ 6	\$ 7	\$ 7
Interest cost	29	29	28
Expected return on plan assets	(24)	(22)	(19)
Net amortization	8	9	11
Net postretirement cost	\$ 19	\$ 23	\$ 27

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Act) provides a 28% prescription drug subsidy for Medicare eligible retirees. The effect of the subsidy reduced the Company's expenses for

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 by approximately \$9.0 million, \$10.7 million, and \$10.7 million, respectively, and is expected to have a similar impact on future expenses.

Future benefit payments, including prescription drug benefits, reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the APBO for the postretirement plans. Estimated benefit payments are reduced by drug subsidy receipts expected as a result of the Medicare Act as follows:

	Benefit Payments	Subsidy Receipts	Total
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
2010	\$ 29	\$ (3)	\$ 26
2011	32	(3)	29
2012	34	(3)	31
2013	36	(4)	32
2014	37	(4)	33
2015 to 2019	194	(28)	166

II-146

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Actuarial Assumptions**

The weighted average rates assumed in the actuarial calculations used to determine both the benefit obligations as of the measurement date and the net periodic costs for the pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the following year are presented below. Net periodic benefit costs were calculated in 2006 for the 2007 plan year using a discount rate of 6.00% and an annual salary increase of 3.50%.

	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate:			
Pension plans	5.93%	6.75%	6.30%
Other postretirement benefit plans	5.84	6.75	6.30
Annual salary increase	4.18	3.75	3.75
Long-term return on plan assets:			
Pension plans	8.50	8.50	8.50
Other postretirement benefit plans	7.52	7.66	7.68

The Company estimates the expected rate of return on pension plan and other postretirement benefit plan assets using a financial model to project the expected return on each current investment portfolio. The analysis projects an expected rate of return on each of seven different asset classes in order to arrive at the expected return on the entire portfolio relying on each trust's target asset allocation and reasonable capital market assumptions. The financial model is based on four key inputs: anticipated returns by asset class (based in part on historical returns), each trust's asset allocation, an anticipated inflation rate, and the projected impact of a periodic rebalancing of each trust's portfolio. An additional assumption used in measuring the APBO was a weighted average medical care cost trend rate of 8.50% for 2010, decreasing gradually to 5.25% through the year 2016 and remaining at that level thereafter. An annual increase or decrease in the assumed medical care cost trend rate of 1% would affect the APBO and the service and interest cost components at December 31, 2009 as follows:

	1 Percent Increase	1 Percent Decrease
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Benefit obligation	\$29	\$27
Service and interest costs	2	2

Employee Savings Plan

The Company also sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering substantially all employees. The Company provides an 85% matching contribution up to 6% of an employee's base salary. Total matching contributions made to the plan for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$19 million, \$18 million, and \$17 million, respectively.

3. CONTINGENCIES AND REGULATORY MATTERS**General Litigation Matters**

The Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse

gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

II-147

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Environmental Matters*****New Source Review Actions***

In November 1999, the EPA brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including the Company, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. These actions were filed concurrently with the issuance of notices of violation of the NSR provisions to each of the traditional operating companies. After the Company was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In the lawsuit against the Company, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at five coal-fired generating facilities operated by the Company. The civil action requests penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened. In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between the Company and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Company's lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of the Company with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that

the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the

II-148

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

The Company must comply with other environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company may also incur substantial costs to clean up properties.

FERC Matters***Market-Based Rate Authority***

The Company has authorization from the FERC to sell power to non-affiliates, including short-term opportunity sales, at market-based prices. Specific FERC approval must be obtained with respect to a market-based contract with an affiliate.

In December 2004, the FERC initiated a proceeding to assess Southern Company's generation market power within its retail service territory. The ability to charge market-based rates in other markets was not an issue in the proceeding. Any new market-based rate sales by the Company in Southern Company's retail service territory entered into during a 15-month refund period that ended in May 2006 could have been subject to refund to a cost-based rate level. On December 23, 2009, Southern Company and the FERC trial staff reached an agreement in principle that would resolve the proceeding in its entirety. The agreement does not reflect any finding or suggestion that the Company possesses or has exercised any market power. The agreement likewise does not require the Company to make any refunds related to sales during the 15-month refund period. Under the agreement, the Company will donate \$0.6 million to nonprofit organizations in the State of Alabama for the purpose of offsetting the electricity bills of low-income retail customers. The agreement is subject to review and approval by the FERC.

Intercompany Interchange Contract

The Company's generation fleet is operated under the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the FERC. In May 2005, the FERC initiated a new proceeding to examine (1) the provisions of the IIC among the traditional operating companies (including the Company), Southern Power, and SCS, as agent, under the terms of which the power pool of Southern Company is operated, (2) whether any parties to the IIC have violated the FERC's standards of conduct applicable to utility companies that are transmission providers, and (3) whether Southern Company's code of conduct defining Southern Power as a system company rather than a marketing affiliate is just and reasonable. In connection with the formation of Southern Power, the FERC authorized Southern Power's inclusion in the IIC in 2000. The FERC also previously approved Southern Company's code of conduct.

In October 2006, the FERC issued an order accepting a settlement resolving the proceeding subject to Southern Company's agreement to accept certain modifications to the settlement's terms. Southern Company notified the FERC that it accepted the modifications. The modifications largely involve functional separation and information restrictions

related to marketing activities conducted on

II-149

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

behalf of Southern Power. In November 2006, Southern Company filed with the FERC a compliance plan in connection with the order. In April 2007, the FERC approved, with certain modifications, the plan submitted by Southern Company. Implementation of the plan did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In November 2007, Southern Company notified the FERC that the plan had been implemented. In December 2008, the FERC division of audits issued for public comment its final audit report pertaining to compliance implementation and related matters. No comments were submitted challenging the audit report's findings of Southern Company's compliance. The proceeding remains open pending a decision from the FERC regarding the audit report.

Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs

The Company has a contract with the United States, acting through the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), that provides for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. The DOE failed to begin disposing of spent nuclear fuel in 1998 as required by the contract, and the Company is pursuing legal remedies against the government for breach of contract.

In July 2007, the U.S. Court of Federal Claims awarded the Company approximately \$17 million, representing substantially all of the direct costs of the expansion of spent nuclear fuel storage facilities at Plant Farley from 1998 through 2004. In November 2007, the government's motion for reconsideration was denied. In January 2008, the government filed an appeal, and in February 2008, filed a motion to stay the appeal. In April 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit granted the government's motion to stay the appeal pending the court's decisions in three other similar cases already on appeal. Those cases were decided in August 2008. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has left the stay of appeals in place pending the decision in an appeal of another case involving spent nuclear fuel contracts.

In April 2008, a second claim against the government was filed for damages incurred after December 31, 2004 (the court-mandated cut-off in the original claim), due to the government's alleged continuing breach of contract. In October 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit denied a similar request by the government to stay this proceeding. The complaint does not contain any specific dollar amount for recovery of damages. Damages will continue to accumulate until the issue is resolved or the storage is provided. No amounts have been recognized in the financial statements as of December 31, 2009 for either claim. The final outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time, but no material impact on net income is expected as any damage amounts collected from the government are expected to be returned to customers.

An on-site dry spent fuel storage facility at Plant Farley is operational and can be expanded to accommodate spent fuel through the expected life of the plant.

Retail Regulatory Matters***Rate RSE***

Rate RSE adjustments are based on forward-looking information for the applicable upcoming calendar year. Rate adjustments for any two-year period, when averaged together, cannot exceed 4.0% per year and any annual adjustment is limited to 5.0%. Retail rates remain unchanged when the retail return on common equity is projected to be between 13.0% and 14.5%. If the Company's actual retail return on common equity is above the allowed equity return range, customer refunds will be required; however, there is no provision for additional customer billings should the actual retail return on common equity fall below the allowed equity return range.

In October 2008, the Alabama PSC approved a corrective rate package, effective January 2009, that primarily provides for adjustments associated with customer charges to certain existing rate structures. The Company agreed to a moratorium on any increase in rates in 2009 under the Rate RSE.

On December 1, 2009, the Company made its Rate RSE submission to the Alabama PSC of projected data for calendar year 2010. The Rate RSE increase for 2010 is 3.24%, or \$152 million annually, and was effective in January 2010. The revenue adjustment under the Rate RSE is largely attributable to the costs associated with fossil capacity which is currently dedicated to certain long-term wholesale contracts that expire during 2010. Retail cost of service for 2010 reflects the costs for that portion of the year in which this capacity is no longer committed to wholesale. In an Alabama PSC order dated January 5, 2010, the Alabama PSC acknowledged that a full calendar year

of costs for these units would be reflected in the Rate RSE calculation beginning in 2011 and thereafter. Under the terms of Rate RSE, the maximum increase for 2011 cannot exceed 4.76%.

II-150

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Rate CNP***

The Company's retail rates, approved by the Alabama PSC, provide for adjustments to recognize the placing of new generating facilities into retail service and the recovery of retail costs associated with certificated PPAs under a Rate CNP. There was no adjustment to the Rate CNP to recover certificated PPA costs in 2007, 2008, or 2009. Effective April 2010, Rate CNP will be reduced approximately \$70 million annually, primarily due to the expiration on May 31, 2010, of the PPA with Southern Power covering the capacity of Plant Harris Unit 1.

Rate CNP also allows for the recovery of the Company's retail costs associated with environmental laws, regulations, or other such mandates. The rate mechanism is based on forward looking information and provides for the recovery of these costs pursuant to a factor that is calculated annually. Environmental costs to be recovered include operations and maintenance expenses, depreciation, and a return on invested capital. Retail rates increased approximately 0.6% in January 2007 and 2.4% in January 2008 due to environmental costs. In October 2008, the Company agreed to defer collection of any increase in rates under this portion of Rate CNP, which permits recovery of costs associated with environmental laws and regulations, from 2009 until 2010. The deferral of the retail rate adjustments had an immaterial impact on annual cash flows, and had no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income. On December 1, 2009, the Company made its Rate CNP environmental submission of projected data for calendar year 2010, resulting in an increase to retail rates of approximately 4.3%, or an additional \$195 million annually, based upon projected billings. Under the terms of the rate mechanism, this adjustment became effective in January 2010. The Rate CNP environmental adjustment is primarily attributable to scrubbers being placed in service during 2010 at four of the Company's generating units.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company has established fuel cost recovery rates under Rate ECR approved by the Alabama PSC. Rates are based on an estimate of future energy costs and the current over or under recovered balance. The Company, along with the Alabama PSC, will continue to monitor the over recovered fuel cost balance to determine whether an additional adjustment to billing rates is required.

In June 2007, the Alabama PSC ordered the Company to increase its Rate ECR factor to 3.100 cents per kilowatt-hour (KWH) effective with billings beginning July 2007. In October 2008, the Alabama PSC approved an increase in the Company's Rate ECR factor to 3.983 cents per KWH effective with billings beginning October 2008.

On June 2, 2009, the Alabama PSC approved a decrease in the Company's Rate ECR factor to 3.733 cents per KWH for billings beginning June 9, 2009. On December 1, 2009, the Alabama PSC approved a decrease in the Company's Rate ECR factor to 2.731 cents per KWH for billings beginning January 2010 through December 2011. The Alabama PSC further approved an additional reduction in the Rate ECR factor of 0.328 cents per KWH for the billing months of January 2010 through December 2010 resulting in a Rate ECR factor of 2.403 cents per KWH for such 12-month period. For billing months beginning January 2012, the Rate ECR factor shall be 5.910 cents per KWH, absent a contrary order by the Alabama PSC. Rate ECR revenues, as recorded on the financial statements, are adjusted for the difference in actual recoverable fuel costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, the approved decreases in the Rate ECR factor will have no significant effect on the Company's net income, but will decrease operating cash flows related to fuel cost recovery in 2010 when compared to 2009.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had an over recovered fuel balance of approximately \$199.6 million, of which approximately \$22.1 million is included in deferred over recovered regulatory clause revenues in the balance sheets. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had an under recovered fuel balance of approximately \$305.8 million, of which approximately \$180.9 million is included in deferred under recovered regulatory clause revenues in the balance sheets. These classifications are based on estimates, which include such factors as weather, generation availability, energy demand, and the price of energy. A change in any of these factors could have a material impact on the timing of any return of the over recovered fuel costs or recovery of under recovered fuel costs.

Natural Disaster Reserve

Based on an order from the Alabama PSC, the Company maintains a reserve for operations and maintenance expense to cover the cost of damages from major storms to its transmission and distribution facilities. The order approves a

separate monthly NDR charge to customers consisting of two components. The first component is intended to establish and maintain a target reserve balance of \$75 million for future storms and is an on-going part of customer billing. The second component of the NDR charge is intended to allow recovery of any existing deferred storm-related operations and maintenance costs and any future reserve deficits over a 24-month period. The Alabama PSC order gives the Company authority to record a deficit balance in the NDR when costs of storm damage exceed any established reserve balance. Absent further Alabama PSC approval, the maximum total NDR charge consisting of

II-151

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

both components is \$10 per month per non-residential customer account and \$5 per month per residential customer account. The Company has discretionary authority to accrue certain additional amounts as circumstances warrant. In addition to the monthly NDR charge, the Company accrued \$39.6 million of discretionary reserve in 2009 resulting in an accumulated balance of approximately \$75 million in the reserve for future storms as of December 31, 2009. This reserve is included in other regulatory liabilities, deferred in the balance sheets. Effective February 2010, billings will be reduced to \$0.37 per month per non-residential customer account and \$0.15 per month per residential customer account, consistent with the Alabama PSC order to maintain the target NDR balance. The Company has fully recovered its deferred storm costs, therefore, rates do not include the second component of the NDR charge. As revenue from the NDR charge is recognized, an equal amount of operations and maintenance expenses related to the NDR will also be recognized. As a result, any change in revenue and expense will not have an effect on net income but will decrease operating cash flows related to the NDR charge in 2010 when compared to 2009.

4. JOINT OWNERSHIP AGREEMENTS

The Company and Georgia Power own equally all of the outstanding capital stock of SEGCO, which owns electric generating units with a total rated capacity of 1,020 megawatts, as well as associated transmission facilities. The capacity of these units is sold equally to the Company and Georgia Power under a contract which, in substance, requires payments sufficient to provide for the operating expenses, taxes, interest expense, and a return on equity, whether or not SEGCO has any capacity and energy available. The term of the contract extends automatically for two-year periods, subject to either party's right to cancel upon two years' notice. The Company's share of purchased power totaled \$82.1 million in 2009, \$124 million in 2008, and \$105 million in 2007, and is included in Purchased power from affiliates in the statements of income. The Company accounts for SEGCO using the equity method. In addition, the Company has guaranteed unconditionally the obligation of SEGCO under an installment sale agreement for the purchase of certain pollution control facilities at SEGCO's generating units, pursuant to which \$24.5 million principal amount of pollution control revenue bonds are outstanding. Also, the Company has guaranteed \$50 million principal amount of unsecured senior notes issued by SEGCO for general corporate purposes. Georgia Power has agreed to reimburse the Company for the pro rata portion of such obligations corresponding to its then proportionate ownership of stock of SEGCO if the Company is called upon to make such payment under its guaranty. At December 31, 2009, the capitalization of SEGCO consisted of \$85 million of equity and \$74 million of long-term debt on which the annual interest requirement is \$3.2 million. SEGCO paid no dividends in 2009, \$7.8 million in 2008, and \$2.6 million in 2007, of which one-half of each was paid to the Company. In addition, the Company recognizes 50% of SEGCO's net income.

In addition to the Company's ownership of SEGCO, the Company's percentage ownership and investment in jointly-owned coal-fired generating plants at December 31, 2009 is as follows:

Facility	Total Megawatt Capacity	Company Ownership	Company Investment	Accumulated Depreciation
Greene County Plant Miller	500	60.00%(1)	\$ 137	\$ 71
Units 1 and 2	1,320	91.84%(2)	1,063	449

(1) Jointly owned
with an affiliate,
Mississippi
Power.

(2)

Jointly owned
with
PowerSouth.

At December 31, 2009, the Company's Plant Miller portion of construction work in progress was \$243.6 million. The Company has contracted to operate and maintain the jointly owned facilities as agent for their co-owners. The Company's proportionate share of its plant operating expenses is included in operating expenses in the statements of income and the Company is responsible for providing its own financing.

II-152

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****5. INCOME TAXES**

Southern Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and combined state income tax returns for the States of Alabama, Georgia, and Mississippi. Under a joint consolidated income tax allocation agreement, each subsidiary's current and deferred tax expense is computed on a stand-alone basis and no subsidiary is allocated more expense than would be paid if it filed a separate income tax return. In accordance with IRS regulations, each company is jointly and severally liable for the tax liability. In addition, the Company files a separate company income tax return for the State of Tennessee.

Current and Deferred Income Taxes

Details of income tax provisions are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Federal			
Current	\$374	\$ 198	\$287
Deferred	(41)	121	17
	\$333	\$319	\$304
State			
Current	\$ 76	\$ 43	\$ 43
Deferred	(25)	6	4
	51	49	47
Total	\$384	\$368	\$351

The tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their respective tax bases, which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Accelerated depreciation	\$2,010	\$1,908
Property basis differences	376	343
Premium on reacquired debt	30	33
Pension and other benefits	184	175
Fuel clause under recovered		140
Regulatory assets associated with employee benefit obligations	295	286
Regulatory assets associated with asset retirement obligations	208	199
Other	82	67
Total	3,185	3,151
Deferred tax assets:		
Federal effect of state deferred taxes	88	126
State effect of federal deferred taxes	107	104
Unbilled revenue	29	34

Storm reserve	23	4
Pension and other benefits	334	330
Other comprehensive losses	9	13
Fuel clause over recovered	75	
Asset retirement obligations	208	199
Other	93	82
Total	966	892
Total deferred tax liabilities, net	2,219	2,259
Portion included in current assets (liabilities), net	74	(16)
Accumulated deferred income taxes in the balance sheets	\$2,293	\$2,243

II-153

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the Company's tax-related regulatory assets and liabilities were \$387 million and \$89 million, respectively. These assets are attributable to tax benefits flowed through to customers in prior years, to deferred taxes previously recognized at rates lower than the current enacted tax law, and to taxes applicable to capitalized interest. These liabilities are attributable to deferred taxes previously recognized at rates higher than the current enacted tax law and to unamortized investment tax credits.

In accordance with regulatory requirements, deferred investment tax credits are amortized over the lives of the related property with such amortization normally applied as a credit to reduce depreciation in the statements of income. Credits amortized in this manner amounted to \$8.0 million in each of 2009, 2008, and 2007. At December 31, 2009, all investment tax credits available to reduce federal income taxes payable had been utilized.

Effective Tax Rate

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax, net of federal deduction	3.0	3.1	3.2
Non-deductible book depreciation	0.8	0.9	0.9
Differences in prior years' deferred and current tax rates	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.2)
AFUDC-equity	(2.5)	(1.6)	(1.3)
Production activities deduction	(0.8)	(0.5)	(0.6)
Other	(0.2)	(0.8)	(0.7)
Effective income tax rate	35.1%	36.0%	36.3%

AFUDC increased in 2009 due to increases in the amount of construction work in progress related to environmental mandates at generating facilities and transmission, distribution, and general plant projects compared to the prior years. See Note 1 under Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) for additional information.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U. S. production activities as defined in the Internal Revenue Code Section 199 (production activities deduction). The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. The IRS has not clearly defined a methodology for calculating this deduction. However, Southern Company reached an agreement with the IRS on a calculation methodology and signed a closing agreement in December 2008. Therefore, in 2008, the Company reversed the unrecognized tax benefit related to the calculation methodology and adjusted the deduction for all previous years to conform to the agreement which resulted in a decrease in the 2008 deduction when compared to the 2007 deduction. Certain aspects of the production activities deduction remain unresolved. The net impact of the reversal of the unrecognized tax benefits combined with the application of the new methodology had no material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

For 2009, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$3 million, resulting in a balance of \$6 million as of December 31, 2009.

Changes during the year in unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$ 3	\$ 5	\$ 1
Tax positions from current periods	2	1	2

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Tax positions from prior periods	1	(2)	2
Reductions due to settlements		(1)	
Reductions due to expired statute of limitations			
Balance at end of year	\$6	\$ 3	\$5

The tax positions from current periods increase for 2009 relate primarily to the production activities deduction tax position and other miscellaneous uncertain tax positions. The tax positions increase from prior periods for 2009 relates primarily to the production activities deduction tax position. See [Effective Tax Rate](#) above for additional information.

II-154

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Impact on the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized, is as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Tax positions impacting the effective tax rate	\$6	\$3	\$5
Tax positions not impacting the effective tax rate			
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits	\$6	\$3	\$5

Accrued interest for unrecognized tax benefits was as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Interest accrued at beginning of year	\$0.3	\$ 0.4	\$
Interest reclassified due to settlements		(0.3)	
Interest accrued during the year		0.2	0.4
Balance at end of year	\$0.3	\$ 0.3	\$0.4

The Company classifies interest on tax uncertainties as interest expense. The Company did not accrue any penalties on uncertain tax positions.

It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized benefit with respect to a majority of the Company's unrecognized tax positions will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months. The possible conclusion or settlement of state audits could impact the balances significantly. At this time, an estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes cannot be determined.

The IRS has audited and closed all tax returns prior to 2004. The audits for the state returns have either been concluded, or the statute of limitations has expired, for years prior to 2006.

6. FINANCING**Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Trusts**

The Company has formed certain wholly-owned trust subsidiaries for the purpose of issuing preferred securities. The proceeds of the related equity investments and preferred security sales were loaned back to the Company through the issuance of junior subordinated notes totaling \$206 million, which constitute substantially all of the assets of these trusts and are reflected in the balance sheets as Long-term Debt Payable. The Company considers that the mechanisms and obligations relating to the preferred securities issued for its benefit, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by it of the respective trusts' payment obligations with respect to these securities. At December 31, 2009, preferred securities of \$200 million were outstanding. See Note 1 under Variable Interest Entities for additional information on the accounting treatment for these trusts and the related securities.

Securities Due Within One Year

At December 31, 2009, the Company had a scheduled maturity of senior notes due within one year totaling \$100 million. At December 31, 2008, the Company had scheduled maturities and redemptions of senior notes due within one year totaling \$250 million.

Maturities of senior notes through 2014 applicable to total long-term debt are as follows: \$100 million in 2010; \$200 million in 2011; \$500 million in 2012; \$250 million in 2013; and none in 2014.

Pollution Control Revenue Bonds

Pollution control obligations represent loans to the Company from public authorities of funds or installment purchases of solid waste disposal facilities financed by funds derived from sales by public authorities of revenue bonds. The Company is required to make payments sufficient for the authorities to meet principal and interest requirements of

such bonds. The Company incurred obligations related to the issuance of \$78.5 million of pollution control revenue bonds in 2009. Proceeds from certain issuances are restricted until qualifying expenditures are incurred.

II-155

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Senior Notes**

The Company issued a total of \$500 million of unsecured senior notes in 2009. The proceeds of these issuances were used to repay short-term indebtedness and for other general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had \$4.8 billion and \$4.6 billion, respectively, of senior notes outstanding. These senior notes are effectively subordinate to all secured debt of the Company which amounted to approximately \$153 million at December 31, 2009.

Preference and Common Stock

In 2009, the Company issued no new shares of preference stock. The Company issued 5,062,500 new shares of common stock to Southern Company at \$40.00 per share and realized proceeds of \$202.5 million. The proceeds of these issuances were used for general corporate purposes.

Outstanding Classes of Capital Stock

The Company currently has preferred stock, Class A preferred stock, preference stock, and common stock authorized and outstanding. The Company's preferred stock and Class A preferred stock, without preference between classes, rank senior to the Company's preference stock and common stock with respect to payment of dividends and voluntary or involuntary dissolution. The preferred stock and Class A preferred stock of the Company contains a feature that allows the holders to elect a majority of the Company's board of directors if dividends are not paid for four consecutive quarters. Because such a potential redemption-triggering event is not solely within the control of the Company, the preferred stock and Class A preferred stock is presented as Redeemable Preferred Stock in a manner consistent with temporary equity under applicable accounting standards. The preference stock does not contain such a provision that would allow the holders to elect a majority of the Company's board. The Company's preference stock ranks senior to the common stock with respect to the payment of dividends and voluntary or involuntary dissolution. Certain series of the preferred stock, Class A preferred stock, and preference stock are subject to redemption at the option of the Company on or after a specified date (typically five or 10 years after the date of issuance).

Dividend Restrictions

The Company can only pay dividends to Southern Company out of retained earnings or paid-in-capital.

Assets Subject to Lien

The Company has granted liens on certain property in connection with the issuance of certain series of pollution control revenue bonds with an outstanding principal amount of \$153 million as of December 31, 2009.

Bank Credit Arrangements

The Company maintains committed lines of credit in the amount of \$1.3 billion, of which \$481 million will expire at various times during 2010, \$25 million will expire in 2011, and \$765 will expire in 2012. \$372 million of the credit facilities expiring in 2010 allow for the execution of one-year term loans. These credit facilities provide liquidity support to the Company's commercial paper borrowings and \$608 million are dedicated to funding purchase obligations relating to variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, two remarketings of pollution control revenue bonds increased that amount to \$744 million.

Most of the credit arrangements require payment of a commitment fee based on the unused portion of the commitment or the maintenance of compensating balances with the banks. Commitment fees average less than 1/4 of 1% for the Company. Compensating balances are not legally restricted from withdrawal.

Most of the Company's credit arrangements with banks have covenants that limit the Company's debt to 65% of total capitalization, as defined in the arrangements. For purposes of calculating these covenants, long-term notes payable to affiliated trusts are excluded from debt but included in capitalization. Exceeding this debt level would result in a default under the credit arrangements. At December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with the debt limit covenants. In addition, the credit arrangements typically contain cross default provisions that would be triggered if the Company defaulted on other indebtedness (including guarantee obligations) above a specified threshold. None of the arrangements contain material adverse change clauses at the time of borrowings.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company borrows through commercial paper programs that have the liquidity support of committed bank credit arrangements. In addition, the Company borrows from time to time through uncommitted credit arrangements. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had no commercial paper outstanding. As of December 31, 2008, the Company had \$25 million of commercial paper outstanding. During 2009 and 2008, the peak amount outstanding for short-term borrowings was \$237 million and \$301 million, respectively. The average amount outstanding in 2009 and 2008 was \$30 million and \$40 million, respectively. The average annual interest rate on short-term borrowings was 0.23% in 2009 and 2.31% in 2008. Short-term borrowings are included in notes payable in the balance sheets.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had regulatory approval to have outstanding up to \$2.0 billion of short-term borrowings.

7. COMMITMENTS**Construction Program**

The Company is engaged in continuous construction programs, currently estimated to total \$1.0 billion in 2010, \$1.0 billion in 2011, and \$1.1 billion in 2012. These amounts include \$73 million, \$48 million, and \$51 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively, for construction expenditures related to contractual purchase commitments for nuclear fuel included under Fuel Commitments. The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; revised load growth estimates; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in nuclear plants to meet new regulatory requirements; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Alabama PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction program. The Company has no generating plants under construction. Construction of new transmission and distribution facilities and capital improvements, including those needed to meet environmental standards for existing generation, transmission, and distribution facilities, will continue.

Long-Term Service Agreements

The Company has entered into Long-Term Service Agreements (LTSAs) with General Electric (GE) for the purpose of securing maintenance support for its combined cycle and combustion turbine generating facilities. The LTSAs provide that GE will perform all planned inspections on the covered equipment, which includes the cost of all labor and materials. GE is also obligated to cover the costs of unplanned maintenance on the covered equipment subject to a limit specified in each contract.

In general, these LTSAs are in effect through two major inspection cycles per unit. Scheduled payments to GE, which are subject to price escalation, are made at various intervals based on actual operating hours of the respective units. Total remaining payments to GE under these agreements for facilities owned are currently estimated at \$256 million over the remaining life of the agreements, which are currently estimated to range up to 10 years. However, the LTSAs contain various cancellation provisions at the option of the Company. Payments made to GE prior to the performance of any planned maintenance are recorded as either prepayments or other deferred charges and assets in the balance sheets. Inspection costs are capitalized or charged to expense based on the nature of the work performed.

Limestone Commitments

As part of the Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from its coal plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment. Limestone contracts are structured with tonnage minimums and maximums in order to account for fluctuations in coal burn and sulfur content. The Company has a minimum contractual obligation of 2.9 million tons, equating to approximately \$127 million, through 2019. Estimated expenditures (based on minimum contracted obligated dollars) over the next five years are \$11 million in 2010, \$15 million in 2011, \$15 million in 2012, \$16 million in 2013, and \$16 million in 2014.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Fuel Commitments**

To supply a portion of the fuel requirements of its generating plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of fossil and nuclear fuel. In most cases, these contracts contain provisions for price escalations, minimum purchase levels, and other financial commitments. Coal commitments include forward contract purchases for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions allowances. Natural gas purchase commitments contain fixed volumes with prices based on various indices at the time of delivery; amounts included in the chart below represent estimates based on New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009. Total estimated minimum long-term commitments at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Commitments		
	Natural Gas	Coal <i>(in millions)</i>	Nuclear Fuel
2010	\$ 413	\$1,420	\$ 73
2011	275	894	48
2012	176	695	51
2013	141	516	37
2014	113	407	23
2015 and thereafter	148	975	90
Total commitments	\$1,266	\$4,907	\$ 322

Additional commitments for fuel will be required to supply the Company's future needs. Total charges for nuclear fuel included in fuel expense totaled \$78 million in 2009, \$70 million in 2008, and \$65 million in 2007.

SCS may enter into various types of wholesale energy and natural gas contracts acting as an agent for the Company and all of the other traditional operating companies and Southern Power. Under these agreements, each of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power may be jointly and severally liable. The creditworthiness of Southern Power is currently inferior to the creditworthiness of the traditional operating companies. Accordingly, Southern Company has entered into keep-well agreements with the Company and each of the other traditional operating companies to ensure the Company will not subsidize or be responsible for any costs, losses, liabilities, or damages resulting from the inclusion of Southern Power as a contracting party under these agreements.

Purchased Power Commitments

The Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the purchase of capacity and energy. Total estimated minimum long-term obligations at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Commitments		
	Affiliated	Non-Affiliated <i>(in millions)</i>	Total
2010	\$ 13	\$ 26	\$ 39
2011		30	30
2012		30	30
2013		31	31
2014		36	36
2015 and thereafter		337	337
Total commitments	\$ 13	\$ 490	\$ 503

Certain PPAs reflected in the table are accounted for as operating leases.

II-158

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Operating Leases**

The Company has entered into rental agreements for coal rail cars, vehicles, and other equipment with various terms and expiration dates. These expenses totaled \$26.9 million in 2009, \$26.1 million in 2008, and \$27.7 million in 2007. Of these amounts, \$20.3 million, \$19.2 million, and \$20.5 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, relate to the rail car leases and are recoverable through the Company's Rate ECR. At December 31, 2009, estimated minimum rental commitments for non-cancelable operating leases were as follows:

	Minimum Lease Payments		
	Rail Cars	Vehicles & Other <i>(in millions)</i>	Total
2010	\$ 16	\$ 6	\$ 22
2011	7	4	11
2012	7	3	10
2013	4	1	5
2014	3		3
2015 and thereafter	10		10
Total *	\$ 47	\$ 14	\$ 61

* Total does not include payments related to a non-affiliated PPA that is accounted for as an operating lease. Obligations related to this agreement are included in the above purchased power commitments table.

In addition to the rental commitments above, the Company has potential obligations upon expiration of certain leases with respect to the residual value of the leased property. These leases expire in 2010 and 2013, and the Company's maximum obligations are \$61.2 million and \$18.6 million, respectively. At the termination of the leases, at the Company's option, the Company may negotiate an extension, exercise its purchase option, or the property can be sold to a third party. The Company expects that the fair market value of the leased property would substantially eliminate the Company's payments under the residual value obligations. However, due to the recessionary economy, it is possible that the fair market value of the leased property would not eliminate the Company's payments under the residual value obligations on the leases expiring in 2010.

Guarantees

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding guarantees related to SEGCO's purchase of certain pollution control facilities and issuance of senior notes, as discussed in Note 4, and to certain residual values of leased assets as described above in Operating Leases.

8. STOCK OPTION PLAN

Southern Company provides non-qualified stock options to a large segment of the Company's employees ranging from line management to executives. As of December 31, 2009, there were 1,412 current and former employees of the Company participating in the stock option plan and there were 21 million shares of Southern Company common stock remaining available for awards under this plan. The prices of options granted to date have been at the fair market value of the shares on the dates of grant. Options granted to date become exercisable pro rata over a maximum period of three years from the date of grant. The Company generally recognizes stock option expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period which equates to the requisite service period; however, for employees who are eligible for retirement, the total cost is expensed at the grant date. Options outstanding will expire no later than 10 years after the date of grant, unless terminated earlier by the Southern Company Board of Directors in accordance with the stock option plan. For certain stock option awards, a change in control will provide accelerated vesting.

The estimated fair values of stock options granted in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were derived using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility of Southern Company's stock over a period equal to the expected term. The Company used historical exercise data to estimate the expected term that represents the period of time that options granted to employees are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant that covers the expected term of the stock options.

II-159

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The following table shows the assumptions used in the pricing model and the weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted:

Year Ended December 31	2009	2008	2007
Expected volatility	15.6%	13.1%	14.8%
Expected term (<i>in years</i>)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Interest rate	1.9%	2.8%	4.6%
Dividend yield	5.4%	4.5%	4.3%
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$1.80	\$2.37	\$4.12

The Company's activity in the stock option plan for 2009 is summarized below:

	Shares Subject to Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	6,809,196	\$ 31.61
Granted	2,084,772	31.39
Exercised	(137,082)	19.79
Cancelled	(7,412)	29.40
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	8,749,474	\$ 31.74
Exercisable at December 31, 2009	5,791,523	\$ 31.10

The number of stock options vested and expected to vest in the future, as of December 31, 2009 was not significantly different from the number of stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009 as stated above. As of December 31, 2009, the weighted average remaining contractual term for the options outstanding and options exercisable was 6.0 years and 4.6 years, respectively, and the aggregate intrinsic value for the options outstanding and options exercisable was \$20.8 million and \$17.1 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$1.0 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards not yet vested. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 11 months.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, total compensation cost for stock option awards recognized in income was \$3.8 million, \$3.1 million, and \$4.9 million, respectively, with the related tax benefit also recognized in income of \$1.4 million, \$1.2 million, and \$1.9 million, respectively.

The compensation cost and tax benefits related to the grant and exercise of Southern Company stock options to the Company's employees are recognized in the Company's financial statements with a corresponding credit to equity, representing a capital contribution from Southern Company.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$1.7 million, \$5.2 million, and \$9.7 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized by the Company for the tax deductions from stock option exercises totaled \$0.7 million, \$2.0 million, and \$3.7 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

9. NUCLEAR INSURANCE

Under the Price-Anderson Amendments Act (Act), the Company maintains agreements of indemnity with the NRC that, together with private insurance, cover third-party liability arising from any nuclear incident occurring at Plant Farley. The Act provides funds up to \$12.6 billion for public liability claims that could arise from a single nuclear

incident. Plant Farley is insured against this liability to a maximum of \$375 million by American Nuclear Insurers (ANI), with the remaining coverage provided by a mandatory program of deferred premiums that could be assessed, after a nuclear incident, against all owners of commercial nuclear reactors. The Company could be assessed up to \$117.5 million per incident for each licensed reactor it operates but not more than an aggregate of \$17.5 million per incident to be paid in a calendar year for each reactor. Such maximum assessment, excluding any applicable state premium taxes, for the Company is \$235 million per incident but not more than an aggregate of \$35 million to be paid for each incident in any one year. Both the maximum assessment per reactor and the maximum yearly assessment are adjusted for inflation at least every five years. The next scheduled adjustment is due no later than October 29, 2013.

II-160

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company is a member of Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL), a mutual insurer established to provide property damage insurance in an amount up to \$500 million for members' nuclear generating facilities.

Additionally, the Company has policies that currently provide decontamination, excess property insurance, and premature decommissioning coverage up to \$2.25 billion for losses in excess of the \$500 million primary coverage. This excess insurance is also provided by NEIL. In the event of a loss, the amount of insurance available may not be adequate to cover property damage and other incurred expenses.

NEIL also covers the additional costs that would be incurred in obtaining replacement power during a prolonged accidental outage at a member's nuclear plant. Members can purchase this coverage, subject to a deductible waiting period of up to 26 weeks, with a maximum per occurrence per unit limit of \$490 million. After the deductible period, weekly indemnity payments would be received until either the unit is operational or until the limit is exhausted in approximately three years. The Company purchases the maximum limit allowed by NEIL and has elected a 12-week deductible waiting period.

Under each of the NEIL policies, members are subject to assessments if losses each year exceed the accumulated funds available to the insurer under that policy. The current maximum annual assessments for the Company under the NEIL policies would be \$38 million.

Claims resulting from terrorist acts are covered under both the ANI and NEIL policies (subject to normal policy limits). The aggregate, however, that NEIL will pay for all claims resulting from terrorist acts in any 12 month period is \$3.2 billion plus such additional amounts NEIL can recover through reinsurance, indemnity, or other sources.

For all on-site property damage insurance policies for commercial nuclear power plants, the NRC requires that the proceeds of such policies shall be dedicated first for the sole purpose of placing the reactor in a safe and stable condition after an accident. Any remaining proceeds are to be applied next toward the costs of decontamination and debris removal operations ordered by the NRC, and any further remaining proceeds are to be paid either to the Company or to its bond trustees as may be appropriate under the policies and applicable trust indentures.

All retrospective assessments, whether generated for liability, property, or replacement power, may be subject to applicable state premium taxes.

10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value measurements are based on inputs of observable and unobservable market data that a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. The use of observable inputs is maximized where available and the use of unobservable inputs is minimized for fair value measurement and reflects a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used for fair value measurement.

Level 1 consists of observable market data in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 consists of observable market data, other than that included in Level 1, that is either directly or indirectly observable.

Level 3 consists of unobservable market data. The input may reflect the assumptions of the Company of what a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. If there is little available market data, then the Company's own assumptions are the best available information.

In the case of multiple inputs being used in a fair value measurement, the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement represents the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurement is reported.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

As of December 31, 2009, assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the period, together with the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall, are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 1	\$	\$ 1
Nuclear decommissioning trusts: ^(a)				
Domestic equity	296	49		345
U.S. Treasury and government agency securities	11	5		16
Corporate bonds		76		76
Mortgage and asset backed securities		42		42
Other		9		9
Cash equivalents and restricted cash	346			346
Total	\$653	\$ 182	\$	\$835
Liabilities:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 45	\$	\$ 45
Interest rate derivatives		4		4
Total	\$	\$ 49	\$	\$ 49

(a) Excludes receivables related to investment income, pending investment sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

Energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives primarily consist of over-the-counter contracts. See Note 11 herein for additional information. The nuclear decommissioning trust funds are invested in a diversified mix of equity and fixed income securities. See Note 1 under Nuclear Decommissioning for additional information. The cash equivalents and restricted cash consist of securities with original maturities of 90 days or less. All of these financial

instruments and investments are valued primarily using the market approach.

As of December 31, 2009, the fair value measurements of investments calculated at net asset value per share (or its equivalent), as well as the nature and risks of those investments, are as follows:

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value <i>(in millions)</i>	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
Nuclear decommissioning trusts:				
Trust owned life insurance	\$ 78	None	Daily	15 days
Cash equivalents and restricted cash:				Not applicable
Money market funds	346	None	Daily	Not applicable

The nuclear decommissioning trust includes investments in Trust-Owned Life Insurance (TOLI). The taxable nuclear decommissioning trust invests in the TOLI in order to minimize the impact of taxes on the portfolio and can draw on the value of the TOLI via death proceeds, loans against the cash surrender value, and/or the cash surrender value, subject to legal restrictions. The amounts reported in the tables above reflect the fair value of investments the insurer has made in relation to the TOLI agreements. The nuclear decommissioning trust does not own the underlying investments, but the fair value of the investments approximates the cash surrender value of the TOLI policies. The investments made by the insurer are in commingled funds. The commingled funds primarily include investments in domestic and international equity securities and predominantly high-quality fixed income securities. These fixed income securities include U.S. Treasury and government agency fixed income securities, non-U.S. government and agency fixed income securities, domestic and foreign corporate fixed income securities, and, to some degree, mortgage and asset backed securities. The passively managed funds seek to replicate the performance of a related index. The actively managed funds seek to exceed the performance of a related index through security analysis and selection.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The money market funds are short-term investments of excess funds in various money market mutual funds, which are portfolios of short-term debt securities. The money market funds are regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission and typically receive the highest rating from credit rating agencies. Regulatory and rating agency requirements for money market funds include minimum credit ratings and maximum maturities for individual securities and a maximum weighted average portfolio maturity. Redemptions are available on a same day basis, up to the full amount of the Company's investment in the money market funds.

As of December 31, 2009, other financial instruments for which the carrying amount did not equal fair value were as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Long-term debt:		
2009	\$6,182	\$6,357
2008	5,855	5,784

The fair values were based on either closing market prices (Level 1) or closing prices of comparable instruments (Level 2).

11. DERIVATIVES

The Company is exposed to market risks, primarily commodity price risk and interest rate risk. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets its exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. The Company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis. Derivative instruments are recognized at fair value in the balance sheets as either assets or liabilities.

Energy-Related Derivatives

The Company enters into energy-related derivatives to hedge exposures to electricity, gas, and other fuel price changes. However, due to cost-based rate regulations, the Company has limited exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity. The Company manages fuel-hedging programs, implemented per the guidelines of the Alabama PSC, through the use of financial derivative contracts.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into physical fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market. To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in gas prices, the Company may enter into fixed-price contracts for natural gas purchases; however, a significant portion of contracts are priced at market.

Energy-related derivative contracts are accounted for in one of three methods:

Regulatory Hedges Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate primarily to the Company's fuel hedging programs, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as the underlying fuel is used in operations and ultimately recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause.

Cash Flow Hedges Gains and losses on energy-related derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are used to hedge anticipated purchases and sales and are initially deferred in other comprehensive income (OCI) before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transactions are reflected in earnings.

Not Designated Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Some energy-related derivative contracts require physical delivery as opposed to financial settlement, and this type of derivative is both common and prevalent within the electric industry. When an energy-related derivative contract is

settled physically, any cumulative unrealized gain or loss is reversed and the contract price is recognized in the respective line item representing the actual price of the underlying goods being delivered.

II-163

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the net volume of energy-related derivative contracts for natural gas positions for the Company, together with the longest hedge date over which it is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions and the longest date for derivatives not designated as hedges, were as follows:

Net Purchased mmBtu* (in millions)	Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date
37	2014	

* mmBtu million
British thermal
units

For cash flow hedges, the amounts expected to be reclassified from OCI to revenue and fuel expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 are immaterial.

Interest Rate Derivatives

The Company also enters into interest rate derivatives, which include forward-starting interest rate swaps, to hedge exposure to changes in interest rates. Derivatives related to existing variable rate securities or forecasted transactions are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The derivatives employed as hedging instruments are structured to minimize ineffectiveness.

For cash flow hedges, the fair value gains or losses are recorded in OCI and are reclassified into earnings at the same time the hedged transactions affect earnings.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges of existing debt as follows:

Notional Amount (in millions)	Variable Rate Received	Weighted Average Fixed Rate Paid	Hedge Maturity Date	Fair Value Gain (Loss) December 31, 2009 (in millions)
\$576	SIFMA Index*	2.69%	February 2010	\$(4)

* Securities
Industry and
Financial
Markets
Association
Municipal Swap
Index (SIFMA)

The estimated pre-tax loss that will be reclassified from OCI to interest expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 is \$1.0 million. The Company has deferred gains and losses that are expected to be amortized into earnings through 2035.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Derivative Financial Statement Presentation and Amounts**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives was reflected in the balance sheets as follows:

Derivative Category	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives			
	Balance Sheet Location	2009 2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	Balance Sheet Location	2009 2008 <i>(in millions)</i>		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes						
Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$1	\$4	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$34	\$ 75
	Other deferred charges and assets			Other deferred credits and liabilities	11	21
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes		\$1	\$4		\$45	\$ 96
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges						
Interest rate derivatives:	Other current assets			Liabilities from risk management activities	4	9
	Other deferred charges and assets			Other deferred credits and liabilities		2
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges		\$	\$		\$ 4	\$ 11
Total		\$1	\$4		\$49	\$107

All derivative instruments are measured at fair value. See Note 10 for additional information.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the pre-tax effect of unrealized derivative gains (losses) arising from energy-related derivative instruments designated as regulatory hedging instruments and deferred on the balance sheets were as

follows:

Derivative Category	Unrealized Losses Balance Sheet		Unrealized Gains Balance Sheet	
	Location	2009 2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	Location	2009 2008 <i>(in millions)</i>
Energy-related derivatives:	Other regulatory assets, current	\$(34)	Other regulatory liabilities, current	\$1
	Other regulatory assets, deferred	(11)	Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	\$4
Total energy-related derivative gains (losses)		\$(45)		\$1

II-165

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)			Statements of Income Location	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) Amount		
	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007		2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Interest rate derivatives	\$ (5)	\$ (11)	\$ (3)	Interest expense	\$ (12)	\$ (3)	\$ (1)

There was no material ineffectiveness recorded in earnings for any period presented.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives not designated as hedging instruments were immaterial.

Contingent Features

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain derivatives that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of various credit rating changes of certain affiliated companies. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivative liabilities with contingent features was \$7.6 million.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no collateral posted with its derivative counterparties; however, because of the joint and several liability features underlying these derivatives, the maximum potential collateral requirements arising from the credit-risk-related contingent features, at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3, is \$33.3 million.

Currently, the Company has investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt, preferred securities, preferred stock, and/or preference stock.

Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. The Company participated in certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade.

12. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Summarized quarterly financial information for 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

Quarter Ended	Operating Revenues	Operating Income <i>(in millions)</i>	Net Income After Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock
March 2009	\$1,340	\$299	\$ 146
June 2009	1,366	349	177
September 2009	1,592	483	261
December 2009	1,231	189	86
March 2008	\$1,337	\$274	\$ 130
June 2008	1,470	319	153

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

September 2008	1,865	478	252
December 2008	1,405	198	81

The Company's business is influenced by seasonal weather conditions.

II-166

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009****Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands)	\$ 5,528,574	\$ 6,076,931	\$ 5,359,993	\$ 5,014,728	\$ 4,647,824
Net Income after Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock (in thousands)	\$ 669,536	\$ 615,959	\$ 579,582	\$ 517,730	\$ 507,895
Cash Dividends on Common Stock (in thousands)	\$ 522,800	\$ 491,300	\$ 465,000	\$ 440,600	\$ 409,900
Return on Average Common Equity (percent)	13.27	13.30	13.73	13.23	13.72
Total Assets (in thousands)	\$ 17,524,093	\$ 16,536,006	\$ 15,746,625	\$ 14,655,290	\$ 13,689,907
Gross Property Additions (in thousands)	\$ 1,322,596	\$ 1,532,673	\$ 1,203,300	\$ 960,759	\$ 890,062
Capitalization (in thousands):					
Common stock equity	\$ 5,236,461	\$ 4,854,310	\$ 4,410,683	\$ 4,032,287	\$ 3,792,726
Preference stock	343,373	343,412	343,466	147,361	
Redeemable preferred stock	341,715	341,715	340,046	465,046	465,046
Long-term debt	6,082,489	5,604,791	4,750,196	4,148,185	3,869,465
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	\$ 12,004,038	\$ 11,144,228	\$ 9,844,391	\$ 8,792,879	\$ 8,127,237
Capitalization Ratios (percent):					
Common stock equity	43.6	43.6	44.8	45.9	46.7
Preference stock	2.9	3.1	3.5	1.7	
Redeemable preferred stock	2.8	3.0	3.4	5.3	5.7
Long-term debt	50.7	50.3	48.3	47.1	47.6
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Security Ratings:					
First Mortgage Bonds					
Moody's					A1
Standard and Poor's					A+
Fitch					AA-
Preferred Stock/ Preference Stock					
Moody's	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1
Standard and Poor's	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+
Fitch	A	A	A	A	A

Unsecured Long-Term Debt					
Moody's	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2
Standard and Poor's	A	A	A	A	A
Fitch	A+	A+	A+	A+	A+
Customers (year-end):					
Residential	1,229,134	1,220,046	1,207,883	1,194,696	1,184,406
Commercial	198,642	211,119	216,830	214,723	212,546
Industrial	5,912	5,906	5,849	5,750	5,492
Other	780	775	772	766	759
Total	1,434,468	1,437,846	1,431,334	1,415,935	1,403,203
Employees (year-end)	6,842	6,997	6,980	6,796	6,621

II-167

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009 (continued)**
Alabama Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands):					
Residential	\$ 1,961,678	\$ 1,997,603	\$ 1,833,563	\$ 1,664,304	\$ 1,476,211
Commercial	1,429,601	1,459,466	1,313,642	1,172,436	1,062,341
Industrial	1,080,208	1,381,100	1,238,368	1,140,225	1,065,124
Other	25,594	24,112	21,383	18,766	17,745
Total retail	4,497,081	4,862,281	4,406,956	3,995,731	3,621,421
Wholesale non-affiliates	619,859	711,903	627,047	634,552	551,408
Wholesale affiliates	236,995	308,482	144,089	216,028	288,956
Total revenues from sales of electricity	5,353,935	5,882,666	5,178,092	4,846,311	4,461,785
Other revenues	174,639	194,265	181,901	168,417	186,039
Total	5,528,574	\$ 6,076,931	\$ 5,359,993	\$ 5,014,728	\$ 4,647,824
Kilowatt-Hour Sales (in thousands):					
Residential	18,071,471	18,379,801	18,874,039	18,632,935	18,073,783
Commercial	14,185,622	14,551,495	14,761,243	14,355,091	14,061,650
Industrial	18,555,377	22,074,616	22,805,676	23,187,328	23,349,769
Other	217,594	201,283	200,874	199,445	198,715
Total retail	51,030,064	55,207,195	56,641,832	56,374,799	55,683,917
Wholesale non-affiliates	14,316,742	15,203,960	15,769,485	15,978,465	15,442,728
Wholesale affiliates	6,473,084	5,256,130	3,241,168	5,145,107	5,735,429
Total	71,819,890	75,667,285	75,652,485	77,498,371	76,862,074
Average Revenue Per Kilowatt-Hour (cents):					
Residential	10.86	10.87	9.71	8.93	8.17
Commercial	10.08	10.03	8.90	8.17	7.55
Industrial	5.82	6.26	5.43	4.92	4.56
Total retail	8.81	8.81	7.78	7.09	6.50
Wholesale	4.12	4.99	4.06	4.03	3.97
Total sales	7.45	7.77	6.84	6.25	5.80
Residential Average Annual Kilowatt-Hour Use Per Customer					
	14,716	15,162	15,696	15,663	15,347
Residential Average Annual Revenue Per Customer					
	\$ 1,597	\$ 1,648	\$ 1,525	\$ 1,399	\$ 1,253

Plant Nameplate Capacity Ratings (year-end) (megawatts)	12,222	12,222	12,222	12,222	12,216
Maximum Peak-Hour Demand (megawatts):					
Winter	10,701	10,747	10,144	10,309	9,812
Summer	10,870	11,518	12,211	11,744	11,162
Annual Load Factor (percent)	59.8	60.9	59.4	61.8	63.2
Plant Availability (percent):					
Fossil-steam	88.5	90.1	88.2	89.6	90.5
Nuclear	93.3	94.1	87.5	93.3	92.9
Source of Energy Supply (percent):					
Coal	53.4	58.5	60.9	60.2	59.5
Nuclear	18.6	17.8	16.5	17.4	17.2
Hydro	7.9	2.9	1.8	3.8	5.6
Gas	11.8	9.2	8.7	7.6	6.8
Purchased power					
From non-affiliates	2.0	2.9	1.8	2.1	3.8
From affiliates	6.3	8.7	10.3	8.9	7.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

II-168

Table of Contents

**GEORGIA POWER COMPANY
FINANCIAL SECTION
II-169**

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report

The management of Georgia Power Company (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). A control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Under management's supervision, an evaluation of the design and effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting was conducted based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

/s/ Michael D. Garrett

Michael D. Garrett

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Ronnie R. Labrato

Ronnie R. Labrato

Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer

February 25, 2010

II-170

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Georgia Power Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets and statements of capitalization of Georgia Power Company (the Company) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements (pages II-196 to II-241) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Georgia Power Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

II-171

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****OVERVIEW****Business Activities**

Georgia Power Company (the Company) operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail customers within its traditional service area located within the State of Georgia and to wholesale customers in the Southeast.

Many factors affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's business of selling electricity. These factors include the ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment, to maintain energy sales given the effects of the recession, and to effectively manage and secure timely recovery of rising costs. These costs include those related to projected long-term demand growth, increasingly stringent environmental standards, and fuel prices. The Company is currently constructing two new nuclear and three new combined cycle generating units. Appropriately balancing required costs and capital expenditures with customer prices will continue to challenge the Company for the foreseeable future. On August 27, 2009, the Georgia Public Service Commission (PSC) approved an accounting order that allows the Company to amortize up to \$324 million of its regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations over the 18-month period ending December 31, 2010 in lieu of filing a request for a base rate increase. The Company is required to file a general base rate case by July 1, 2010. The Company filed for an adjustment to its fuel cost recovery rate on December 15, 2009. On February 22, 2010, the Company, the Georgia PSC Public Interest Advocacy Staff, and three customer groups entered into a stipulation to resolve the case, subject to approval by the Georgia PSC. A final decision by the Georgia PSC is expected on March 11, 2010. If approved, the new fuel cost recovery rates will go into effect on April 1, 2010.

Key Performance Indicators

In striving to maximize shareholder value while providing cost-effective energy to more than two million customers, the Company continues to focus on several key indicators. These indicators include customer satisfaction, plant availability, system reliability, and net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock. The Company's financial success is directly tied to the satisfaction of its customers. Key elements of ensuring customer satisfaction include outstanding service, high reliability, and competitive prices. Management uses customer satisfaction surveys and reliability indicators to evaluate the Company's results.

Peak season equivalent forced outage rate (Peak Season EFOR) is an indicator of fossil/hydro and nuclear plant availability and efficient generation fleet operations during the months when generation needs are greatest. The rate is calculated by dividing the number of hours of forced outages by total generation hours. The 2009 fossil/hydro Peak Season EFOR of 1.43% was better than the target. The 2009 nuclear Peak Season EFOR of 3.70% was above the target due to an unplanned outage at Plant Hatch. Transmission and distribution system reliability performance is measured by the frequency and duration of outages. Performance targets for reliability are set internally based on historical performance, expected weather conditions, and expected capital expenditures. The 2009 performance was better than the target for these reliability measures.

Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock is the primary measure of the Company's financial performance. The Company's 2009 results compared to its targets for some of these key indicators are reflected in the following chart:

Key Performance Indicator	2009 Target Performance	2009 Actual Performance
Customer Satisfaction	Top quartile in customer surveys	Top quartile in customer surveys
Peak Season EFOR – fossil/hydro	2.75% or less	1.43%

Peak Season EFOR nuclear	2.75% or less	3.70%
Net Income	\$856 million	\$814 million

See RESULTS OF OPERATIONS herein for additional information on the Company's financial performance. The Company's net income target for 2009 was set lower than in the prior year to reflect the economic downturn that began in late 2008; however, the global recession's impacts on energy demand were greater than anticipated. As the recession escalated, management emphasized stringent cost-containment efforts to partially offset the resulting revenue declines and, in lieu of a rate increase, worked with the Georgia PSC to develop the accounting order discussed previously. Although the Company did not meet its target, these efforts provided substantial improvement in the Company's financial condition while consistently demonstrating the Company's commitment to customer service, reliability, and competitive prices.

II-172

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Earnings**

The Company's 2009 net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock totaled \$814 million representing an \$88.9 million, or 9.8%, decrease from 2008. The decrease was primarily related to lower commercial and industrial base revenues resulting from the recessionary economy and decreased revenues from market-response rates to large commercial and industrial customers that were partially offset by cost containment activities, increased recognition of environmental compliance cost recovery revenues, and the amortization of the regulatory liability related to other cost of removal activities as authorized by the Georgia PSC. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Rate Plans herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate Plans for additional information. The Company's 2008 net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock totaled \$903 million representing a \$66.8 million, or 8.0%, increase over 2007. The increase was primarily related to increased contributions from market-response rates for large commercial and industrial customers, higher retail base revenues resulting from the retail rate increase effective January 1, 2008 (2007 Retail Rate Plan), and increased allowance for equity funds used during construction. These increases were partially offset by increased depreciation and amortization resulting from more plant in service and changes to depreciation rates. The Company's 2007 earnings totaled \$836 million representing a \$48.9 million, or 6.2%, increase over 2006. Operating income increased slightly in 2007 primarily due to increased operating revenues from transmission and outdoor lighting and decreased property taxes, partially offset by higher non-fuel operating expenses. Net income increased primarily due to higher allowance for equity funds used during construction and lower income tax expenses resulting from the Company's donation of Tallulah Gorge to the State of Georgia, partially offset by higher financing costs.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

A condensed income statement for the Company follows:

	Amount 2009	Increase (Decrease) from Prior Year		
		2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Operating revenues	\$7,692	\$(720)	\$840	\$326
Fuel	2,717	(95)	172	408
Purchased power	979	(426)	355	(95)
Other operations and maintenance	1,494	(87)	19	1
Depreciation and amortization	655	18	126	13
Taxes other than income taxes	317		25	(8)
Total operating expenses	6,162	(590)	697	319
Operating income	1,530	(130)	143	7
Total other income and (expense)	(289)	(37)	5	18
Income taxes	410	(78)	70	(25)
Net income	831	(89)	78	50
Dividends on preferred and preference stock	17		11	1
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock	\$ 814	\$ (89)	\$ 67	\$ 49

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Operating Revenues**

Operating revenues in 2009, 2008, and 2007 and the percent of change from the prior year were as follows:

	2009	Amount 2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Retail prior year	\$7,287	\$6,498	\$6,206
Estimated change in			
Rates and pricing	(64)	397	(66)
Sales growth (decline)	(93)	(21)	46
Weather	(6)	(37)	18
Fuel cost recovery	(212)	450	294
Retail current year	6,912	7,287	6,498
Wholesale revenues			
Non-affiliates	395	569	538
Affiliates	112	286	278
Total wholesale revenues	507	855	816
Other operating revenues	273	270	258
Total operating revenues	\$7,692	\$8,412	\$7,572
Percent change	(8.6)%	11.1%	4.5%

Retail base revenues of \$3.9 billion in 2009 decreased by \$161.8 million, or 3.9%, from 2008 primarily due to lower industrial and commercial base revenues resulting from the recessionary economy and decreased revenues from market-response rates to large commercial and industrial customers. Industrial base revenues decreased \$207.1 million, or 27.9%, and commercial base revenues decreased \$35.8 million, or 2.1%. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in residential base revenues of \$78.4 million, or 4.8%. All customer classes were positively affected by increased recognition of environmental compliance cost recovery revenues. Retail base revenues of \$4.1 billion in 2008 increased by \$338.3 million, or 9.0%, from 2007 primarily due to an increase in revenues from market-response rates to large commercial and industrial customers, the retail rate increase effective January 1, 2008, and a 0.7% increase in retail customers. The increase was partially offset by a weak economy in the Southeast and less favorable weather impacts in 2008 than in 2007. Retail base revenues were \$3.8 billion in 2007. There was not a material change in total retail base revenues compared to 2006, although industrial base revenues decreased \$56.5 million, or 8.5%, primarily due to lower sales and a lower contribution from market-response rates for large commercial and industrial customers. This decrease was partially offset by a \$31.8 million, or 2.1%, increase in residential base revenues as well as a \$22.6 million, or 1.5%, increase in commercial base revenues primarily due to higher sales from favorable weather and customer growth of 1.2%. See Energy Sales below for a discussion of changes in the volume of energy sold, including changes related to sales growth (decline) and weather.

Electric rates include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs, including the energy component of purchased power costs. Under these fuel cost recovery provisions, fuel revenues generally equal fuel expenses, including the fuel component of purchased power, and do not affect net income. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Fuel Cost Recovery herein for additional information.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliated utilities were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Unit power sales			
Capacity	\$ 43	\$ 40	\$ 33
Energy	26	44	33
Total	69	84	66
Other power sales			
Capacity and other	140	129	158
Energy	186	356	314
Total	326	485	472
Total non-affiliated	\$395	\$569	\$538

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates will vary depending on the market cost of available energy compared to the cost of the Company and Southern Company system-owned generation, demand for energy within the Southern Company service territory, and availability of Southern Company system generation.

Revenues from unit power sales decreased \$15.9 million, or 18.9%, in 2009 primarily due to a 26.0% decrease in kilowatt-hour (KWH) energy sales due to the recessionary economy and generally unfavorable weather. Revenues from unit power sales increased \$18.2 million, or 27.4%, in 2008 driven by higher fuel rates and an 8.2% increase in the KWH energy sales primarily related to sales by the Company's generating units when other Southern Company system units were unavailable. Revenues from unit power sales remained relatively constant in 2007. Revenues from other non-affiliated sales decreased by \$158.3 million, or 32.7%, in 2009, increased \$12.7 million, or 2.7%, in 2008, and decreased \$9.6 million, or 2.0%, in 2007. The decrease in 2009 was due to lower natural gas prices and a 49.7% decrease in KWH sales due to the recessionary economy and generally unfavorable weather. The increase in 2008 was primarily driven by the fuel component within non-affiliate wholesale prices which has increased with the effects of higher fuel and purchased power costs. This increase was partially offset by a 9.8% decrease in KWH energy sales and decreased contributions from the emissions allowance component of market-based wholesale rates. The decrease in 2007 was primarily due to a decrease in revenues from large territorial contracts resulting from lower emissions allowance prices.

Wholesale revenues from sales to affiliated companies within the Southern Company system will vary from year to year depending on demand and the availability and cost of generating resources at each company. These affiliated sales and purchases are made in accordance with the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). In 2009, wholesale revenues from sales to affiliates decreased 60.9% due to lower natural gas prices and a 32.2% decrease in KWH sales due to the recessionary economy and generally unfavorable weather. In 2008, KWH energy sales to affiliated companies decreased 28.8% while revenues from sales to affiliates increased 3.0%. In 2007, KWH energy sales to affiliates decreased 5.0% while revenues from sales to affiliates increased 10.0%. The revenue increases in 2008 and 2007 were primarily due to the increased cost of fuel and other marginal generation components of the rates. These transactions do not have a significant impact on earnings since this energy is generally sold at marginal cost.

Other operating revenues remained relatively flat in 2009. Other operating revenues increased \$12.3 million, or 4.8%, in 2008 primarily due to a \$6.7 million increase in revenues from outdoor lighting resulting from a 15.8% increase in lighting customers and a \$7.6 million increase in customer fees resulting from higher rates that went into effect in

2008, partially offset by a \$2.2 million decrease in equipment rentals revenue. Other operating revenues increased \$22.2 million, or 9.4%, in 2007 primarily due to an \$11.6 million increase in transmission revenues due to the increased usage of the Company's transmission system by non-affiliated companies, a \$7.9 million increase in revenues from outdoor lighting activities due to a 10% increase in the number of lighting customers, and a \$4.0 million increase from customer fees.

II-175

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Energy Sales***

Changes in revenues are influenced heavily by the change in volume of energy sold from year to year. KWH sales for 2009 and the percent change by year were as follows:

	KWH	Percent Change		
	2009	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in billions)</i>			
Residential	26.3	(0.5)%	(1.6)%	2.4%
Commercial	32.6	(1.4)	0.0	2.9
Industrial	21.8	(9.7)	(5.2)	(0.3)
Other	0.7	0.1	(3.8)	5.6
Total retail	81.4	(3.5)	(2.1)	1.8
Wholesale				
Non-affiliates	5.2	(46.6)	(7.8)	(1.0)
Affiliates	2.5	(32.2)	(28.8)	(5.0)
Total wholesale	7.7	(42.7)	(14.7)	(2.3)
Total energy sales	89.1	(8.9)%	(4.0)%	1.1%

Changes in retail energy sales are comprised of changes in electricity usage by customers, changes in weather, and changes in the number of customers.

Residential KWH sales decreased 0.5% in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to slightly less favorable weather, partially offset by an increase of 0.2% in residential customers. Commercial and industrial KWH sales decreased 1.4% and 9.7%, respectively, in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the recessionary economy. During 2009, there was a broad decline in demand across all industrial segments, most significantly in the chemical, primary metals, textiles, and stone, clay, and glass sectors.

Residential KWH sales decreased 1.6% in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to less favorable weather, partially offset by a 0.7% increase in residential customers. Commercial KWH sales remained flat in 2008 compared to 2007 despite a 0.2% increase in commercial customers. Industrial KWH sales decreased 5.2% in 2008 over 2007 primarily due to reduced demand and closures within the textile and primary and fabricated metal industries, which were a result of the slowing economy that worsened during the fourth quarter 2008.

Residential KWH sales increased 2.4% in 2007 over 2006 due to favorable weather and a 1.3% increase in residential customers. Commercial KWH sales increased 2.9% in 2007 over 2006 primarily due to favorable weather and a 0.3% increase in commercial customers. Industrial KWH sales decreased 0.3% primarily due to reduced demand and closures within the textile industry; however, this was partially offset by a 2.9% increase in the number of industrial customers.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Fuel and Purchased Power Expenses***

Fuel costs constitute the single largest expense for the Company. The mix of fuel sources for generation of electricity is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

Additionally, the Company purchases a portion of its electricity needs from the wholesale market. Details of the Company's electricity generated and purchased were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Total generation (<i>billions of KWHs</i>)	72.4	80.8	87.0
Total purchased power (<i>billions of KWHs</i>)	20.4	21.3	18.9
Sources of generation (<i>percent</i>) -			
Coal	67	74	75
Nuclear	21	19	18
Gas	10	6	7
Hydro	2	1	
Cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>) -			
Coal	4.12	3.44	2.87
Nuclear	0.55	0.51	0.51
Gas	5.30	6.90	6.28
Average cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)*	3.48	3.11	2.68
Average cost of purchased power (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)	6.06	8.10	7.27

* Fuel includes fuel purchased by the Company for tolling agreements where power is generated by the provider and is included in purchased power when determining the average cost of purchased power.

Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$3.7 billion in 2009, a decrease of \$521.7 million, or 12.4%, below prior year costs. This decrease was due to a \$371.2 million decrease related to fewer KWHs generated and purchased primarily due to lower customer demand as a result of the recessionary economy and a \$150.5 million decrease in the average cost of purchased power, partially offset by an increase in the average cost of fuel.

Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$4.2 billion in 2008, an increase of \$526.6 million, or 14.3%, above prior year costs. Substantially all of this increase was due to the higher average cost of fuel and purchased power.

Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$3.7 billion in 2007, an increase of \$312.9 million, or 9.3%, above prior year costs. This increase was driven by a \$414.5 million increase in total energy costs due to the higher average cost of fuel and purchased power, partially offset by a \$101.6 million reduction due to fewer KWHs purchased.

Coal prices continued to be influenced by worldwide demand from developing countries, as well as increased mining and fuel transportation costs. While coal prices reached unprecedented high levels in 2008, the recessionary economy pushed prices downward in 2009. However, the lower prices did not fully offset the higher priced coal already in inventory and under long-term contract. Demand for natural gas in the United States also was affected by the recessionary economy leading to significantly lower natural gas prices. During 2009, uranium prices continued to moderate from the highs set during 2007. Worldwide production levels increased in 2009; however, secondary supplies and inventories were still required to meet worldwide reactor demand.

Fuel expenses generally do not affect net income, since they are offset by fuel revenues under the Company's fuel cost recovery provisions. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - PSC Matters - Fuel Cost Recovery herein for additional information.

II-177

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Other Operations and Maintenance Expenses***

In 2009, other operations and maintenance expenses decreased \$86.7 million, or 5.5%, compared to 2008. The decrease was due to a \$46.1 million decrease in power generation, a \$28.0 million decrease in transmission and distribution, and a \$31.5 million decrease in customer accounting, service, and sales, most of which are related to cost containment activities in an effort to offset the effects of the recessionary economy.

In 2008, other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$19.2 million, or 1.2%, compared to 2007. The increase was primarily the result of a \$14.7 million increase in the accrual for property damage approved under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, a \$14.6 million increase in scheduled outages and maintenance for fossil generating plants, and a \$22.0 million increase related to meter reading, records and collections, and uncollectible account expenses. These increases were partially offset by decreases of \$24.7 million related to the timing of transmission and distribution operations and maintenance and \$7.4 million related to medical, pension, and other employee benefits. In 2007, the change in other operations and maintenance expenses was immaterial compared to 2006.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased \$18.2 million, or 2.9%, in 2009 compared to the prior year primarily due to additional plant in service related to transmission, distribution, and environmental projects, partially offset by the amortization of \$41.4 million of the regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations as authorized by the Georgia PSC. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL – PSC Matters – Rate Plans herein, Note 1 to the financial statements under Depreciation and Amortization, and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters – Rate Plans for additional information.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$125.8 million, or 24.6%, in 2008 compared to the prior year primarily due to an increase in plant in service related to completed transmission, distribution, and environmental projects, changes in depreciation rates effective January 1, 2008 approved under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, and the expiration of amortization related to a regulatory liability for purchased power costs under the terms of the retail rate plan for the three years ended December 31, 2007 (2004 Retail Rate Plan).

Depreciation and amortization increased \$12.4 million, or 2.5%, in 2007 compared to the prior year primarily due to a 3.4% increase in plant in service related to transmission, distribution, and environmental projects from the prior year. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in amortization of the regulatory liability for purchased power costs as described above.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

In 2009, the increase in taxes other than income taxes was immaterial. In 2008, taxes other than income taxes increased \$25.1 million, or 8.6%, from the prior year primarily due to higher municipal franchise fees resulting from retail revenue increases during 2008. Taxes other than income taxes decreased \$7.7 million, or 2.6%, in 2007 primarily due to the resolution of a dispute regarding property taxes in Monroe County, Georgia.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction Equity

In 2009, the increase in allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) equity was immaterial. AFUDC equity increased \$27.1 million, or 39.8%, in 2008 and \$36.7 million, or 116.3%, in 2007 primarily due to the increase in construction work in progress balances related to ongoing environmental and transmission projects, as well as three combined cycle generating units at Plant McDonough.

Interest Expense, Net of Amounts Capitalized

In 2009, interest expense, net of amounts capitalized increased \$40.5 million, or 11.7%, primarily due to an increase in long-term debt levels resulting from the issuance of additional senior notes and pollution control bonds to fund the Company's ongoing construction program. The increase in interest expense in 2008 was immaterial. Interest expense increased \$25.5 million, or 8.0%, in 2007 primarily due to a 13.9% increase in long-term debt levels due to the issuance of additional senior notes and pollution control revenue bonds.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Other Income (Expense), Net***

Other income (expense), net increased \$7.5 million, or 80.8%, in 2009 primarily related to \$2.0 million and \$0.9 million increases in customer contracting and income resulting from purchases by large commercial and industrial customers of hedges against market-response rates, respectively, and a decrease of \$2.4 million in donations. Other income (expense), net decreased \$24.0 million, or 163.0%, in 2008 primarily due to a \$12.9 million change in classification of revenues related to a residential pricing program to base retail revenues in 2008 as ordered by the Georgia PSC under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, as well as decreased revenues of \$7.3 million and \$2.6 million related to non-operating rental income and customer contracting, respectively. Other income (expense), net increased \$5.8 million, or 66.5%, in 2007 primarily due to \$4.0 million from land and timber sales.

Income Taxes

Income taxes decreased \$77.5 million, or 15.9%, in 2009 primarily due to lower pre-tax income. Income taxes increased \$70.0 million, or 16.8%, in 2008 primarily due to increased pre-tax income and the 2007 Tallulah Gorge donation. This increase was partially offset by an increase in AFUDC equity, which is non-taxable, as well as additional state tax credits and an increase in the federal production activities deduction. Income taxes decreased \$24.8 million, or 5.6%, in 2007 primarily due to state and federal deductions for the Company's donation of 2,200 acres in the Tallulah Gorge area to the State of Georgia and higher federal manufacturing deductions.

Effects of Inflation

The Company is subject to rate regulation that is generally based on the recovery of historical and projected costs. The effects of inflation can create an economic loss since the recovery of costs could be in dollars that have less purchasing power. Any adverse effect of inflation on the Company's results of operations has not been substantial.

FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL**General**

The Company operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail customers within its traditional service area located within the State of Georgia and to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Prices for electricity provided by the Company to retail customers are set by the Georgia PSC under cost-based regulatory principles. Prices for electricity relating to wholesale electricity sales, interconnecting transmission lines, and the exchange of electric power are regulated by the FERC. Retail rates and revenues are reviewed and may be adjusted periodically within certain limitations. See ACCOUNTING POLICIES—Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Electric Utility Regulation—herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters and FERC Matters for additional information about regulatory matters.

The results of operations for the past three years are not necessarily indicative of future earnings potential. The level of the Company's future earnings depends on numerous factors that affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's business of selling electricity. These factors include the Company's ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment that continues to allow for the recovery of prudently incurred costs during a time of increasing costs. Future earnings in the near term will depend, in part, upon maintaining energy sales, which is subject to a number of factors. These factors include weather, competition, new energy contracts with neighboring utilities, energy conservation practiced by customers, the price of electricity, the price elasticity of demand, and the rate of economic growth or decline in the Company's service area. Recessary conditions have negatively impacted sales and are expected to continue to have a negative impact, particularly to industrial and commercial customers. The timing and extent of the economic recovery will impact future earnings.

Environmental Matters

Compliance costs related to the Clean Air Act and other environmental statutes and regulations could affect earnings if such costs cannot continue to be fully recovered in rates on a timely basis. Environmental compliance spending over the next several years may exceed amounts estimated. Some of the factors driving the potential for such an increase are higher commodity costs, market demand for labor, and scope additions and clarifications. The timing, specific requirements, and estimated costs could also change as environmental statutes and regulations are adopted or modified. Under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, an environmental compliance cost recovery (ECCR) tariff was

implemented on January 1, 2008 to allow for the recovery of most of the costs related to environmental controls mandated by state and federal regulation scheduled for completion between 2008 and 2010. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate Plans for additional information.

II-179

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****New Source Review Actions***

In November 1999, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including the Company, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. The action was filed concurrently with the issuance of a notice of violation of the NSR provisions to the Company. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and the Company. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The original action, now solely against the Company, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome in this matter could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that

the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the

II-180

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Statutes and Regulations*General*

The Company's operations are subject to extensive regulation by state and federal environmental agencies under a variety of statutes and regulations governing environmental media, including air, water, and land resources. Applicable statutes include the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Toxic Substances Control Act; the Emergency Planning & Community Right-to-Know Act; the Endangered Species Act; and related federal and state regulations. Compliance with these environmental requirements involves significant capital and operating costs, a major portion of which is expected to be recovered through existing ratemaking provisions. Through 2009, the Company had invested approximately \$3.5 billion in capital projects to comply with these requirements, with annual totals of \$440 million, \$689 million, and \$856 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company expects that capital expenditures to ensure compliance with existing and new statutes and regulations will be an additional \$259 million, \$350 million, and \$600 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The Company's compliance strategy can be affected by changes to existing environmental laws, statutes, and regulations; the cost, availability, and existing inventory of emissions allowances; and the Company's fuel mix. Environmental costs that are known and estimable at this time are included in capital expenditures discussed under FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY—Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations herein.

Compliance with any new federal or state legislation or regulations related to global climate change, air quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, or other environmental and health concerns could also significantly affect the Company. Although new or revised environmental legislation or regulations could affect many areas of the Company's operations, the full impact of any such changes cannot be determined at this time.

Air Quality

Compliance with the Clean Air Act and resulting regulations has been and will continue to be a significant focus for the Company. Through 2009, the Company had spent approximately \$3.2 billion in reducing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions and in monitoring emissions pursuant to the Clean Air Act. Additional controls are currently being installed at several plants to further reduce air emissions, maintain compliance with existing regulations, and meet new requirements.

The EPA regulates ground level ozone through implementation of an eight-hour ozone air quality standard. A 20-county area within metropolitan Atlanta is the only location within the Company's service area that is currently designated as nonattainment for the standard, which could require additional reductions in NO_x emissions from power plants. In March 2008, however, the EPA issued a final rule establishing a more stringent eight-hour ozone standard, and on January 6, 2010, the EPA proposed further reductions in the standard. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised standard in August 2010 and require state implementation plans for any nonattainment areas by

December 2013. The revised eight-hour ozone standard is expected to result in designation of new nonattainment areas within the Company's service territory.

II-181

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

During 2005, the EPA's annual fine particulate matter nonattainment designations became effective for several areas within the Company's service area. State plans for addressing the nonattainment designations for this standard could require further reductions in SO₂ and NO_x emissions from power plants.

On December 8, 2009, the EPA also proposed revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for SO₂. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised SO₂ standard in June 2010.

Twenty-eight eastern states, including the State of Georgia, are subject to the requirements of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). The rule calls for additional reductions of NO_x and/or SO₂ to be achieved in two phases, 2009/2010 and 2015. In July 2008 and December 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued decisions invalidating certain aspects of CAIR, but left CAIR compliance requirements in place while the EPA develops a revised rule. The State of Georgia has completed its plan to implement CAIR, and emissions reductions are being accomplished by the installation of emissions controls at certain of the Company's coal-fired facilities and/or by the purchase of emissions allowances. The EPA is expected to issue a proposed CAIR replacement rule in July 2010. The Clean Air Visibility Rule was finalized in July 2005, with a goal of restoring natural visibility conditions in certain areas (primarily national parks and wilderness areas) by 2064. The rule involves the application of Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) to certain sources built between 1962 and 1977, and any additional emissions reductions necessary for each designated area to achieve reasonable progress toward the natural conditions goal by 2018 and for each ten-year period thereafter. For power plants, the Clean Air Visibility Rule allows states to determine that CAIR satisfies BART requirements for SO₂ and NO_x, and no additional controls beyond CAIR are anticipated to be necessary at any of the Company's facilities. The State of Georgia is currently completing its implementation plan for BART compliance and other measures required to achieve the first phase of reasonable progress.

The EPA is currently developing a Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) rule for coal and oil-fired electric generating units, which will likely address numerous Hazardous Air Pollutants, including mercury. In March 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), a cap and trade program for the reduction of mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. In February 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the CAMR. In a separate proceeding in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the EPA entered into a proposed consent decree that requires the EPA to issue a proposed MACT rule by March 16, 2011 and a final rule by November 16, 2011.

In February 2004, the EPA finalized the Industrial Boiler (IB) MACT rule, which imposed limits on hazardous air pollutants from industrial boilers, including biomass boilers. Compliance with the final rule was scheduled to begin in September 2007; however, in response to challenges to the final rule, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the IB MACT rule in its entirety in July 2007 and ordered the EPA to develop a new IB MACT rule. In September 2009, the deadline to promulgate a proposed rule was extended from July 15, 2009 to April 15, 2010, with a final rule required by December 16, 2010. The EPA is currently developing the new rule and may change the methodology to determine the MACT limits for industrial boilers.

The impacts of the eight-hour ozone standards, the fine particulate matter nonattainment designations, and future revisions to CAIR, the SO₂ standard, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, and the MACT rules for electric generating units and industrial boilers on the Company cannot be determined at this time and will depend on the specific provisions of the final rules, resolution of any legal challenges, and the development and implementation of rules at the state level. However, these additional regulations could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates. As a result of these uncertainties, the Company has delayed any further construction activities related to both the installation of emissions control equipment at Plants Branch and Yates and the conversion of Plant Mitchell from coal-fired to biomass-fired.

The Company has developed and continually updates a comprehensive environmental compliance strategy to assess compliance obligations associated with the continuing and new environmental requirements discussed above. As part of this strategy, the Company has already installed a number of SO₂ and NO_x emissions controls and plans to install

additional controls within the next several years to ensure continued compliance with applicable air quality requirements. In addition, most units in Georgia are required to install specific emissions controls according to a schedule set forth in the state's Multipollutant Rule, which is designed to reduce emissions of SO₂, NO_x, and mercury in Georgia.

II-182

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report***Water Quality*

In July 2004, the EPA published final regulations under the Clean Water Act to reduce impingement and entrainment of fish, shellfish, and other forms of aquatic life at existing power plant cooling water intake structures. The use of cost-benefit analysis in the rule was ultimately appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court held that the EPA could consider costs in arriving at its standards and in providing variances from those standards for existing intake structures. The EPA is now in the process of revising the regulations. While the U.S. Supreme Court's decision may ultimately result in greater flexibility for demonstrating compliance with the standards, the full scope of the regulations will depend on further rulemaking by the EPA and the actual requirements established by state regulatory agencies and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

On December 28, 2009, the EPA announced its determination that revision of the current effluent guidelines for steam electric power plants is warranted and proposed a plan to adopt such revisions by 2013. New wastewater treatment requirements are expected and may result in the installation of additional controls on certain of the Company's facilities. The impact of revised guidelines will depend on the studies conducted in connection with the rulemaking, as well as the specific requirements of the final rule, and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

The Company must comply with other environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company could incur substantial costs to clean up properties. The Company conducts studies to determine the extent of any required cleanup and has recognized in its financial statements the costs to clean up known sites. Amounts for cleanup and ongoing monitoring costs were not material for any year presented. The Company may be liable for some or all required cleanup costs for additional sites that may require environmental remediation. See Note 3 to the financial statements under **Environmental Matters - Environmental Remediation** for additional information.

Coal Combustion Byproducts

The EPA is currently evaluating whether additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts is merited under federal solid and hazardous waste laws. The EPA has collected information from the electric utility industry on surface impoundment safety and conducted on-site inspections at two facilities of the Company as part of its evaluation. The Company has a routine and robust inspection program in place to ensure the integrity of its coal ash surface impoundments. The EPA is expected to issue a proposal regarding additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts in early 2010. The impact of these additional regulations on the Company will depend on the specific provisions of the final rule and cannot be determined at this time. However, additional regulations of coal combustion byproducts could have a significant impact on the Company's management, beneficial use, and disposal of such byproducts and could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates. As a result of these uncertainties, the Company has delayed any further construction activities related to both the installation of emissions control equipment at Plants Branch and Yates and the conversion of Plant Mitchell from coal-fired to biomass-fired.

Global Climate Issues

Federal legislative proposals that would impose mandatory requirements related to greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy standards, and energy efficiency standards continue to be considered in Congress, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions has been identified as a high priority by the current Administration. On June 26, 2009, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (ACES), which would impose mandatory greenhouse gas restrictions through implementation of a cap and trade program, a renewable energy standard, and other measures, was passed by the House of Representatives. ACES would require reductions of greenhouse gas emissions on a national basis to a level that is 17% below 2005 levels by 2020, 42% below 2005 levels by 2030, and 83% below 2005 levels by 2050. In addition, ACES would provide for renewable energy standards of 6% by 2012 and 20% by 2020. Similar legislation is being considered by the Senate. The financial and operational impact of such legislation, if enacted, will depend on a variety of factors. These factors include the specific greenhouse gas emissions limits or renewable energy

requirements, the timing of implementation of these limits or requirements, the level of emissions allowances allocated and the level that must be purchased, the purchase price of emissions allowances, the development and commercial availability of technologies for renewable energy and for the reduction of emissions, the degree to which offsets may be used for compliance, provisions for cost containment (if any), the impact on coal and natural gas prices, and cost recovery through regulated rates. There can be no assurance that any legislation will be enacted or as to the ultimate form of any legislation. Additional or alternative legislation may be adopted as well.

II-183

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

In April 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the EPA has authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published a final determination, which became effective on January 14, 2010, that certain greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles endanger public health and welfare due to climate change. On September 28, 2009, the EPA published a proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles under the Clean Air Act. The EPA has stated that once this rule is effective, it will cause carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases to become regulated pollutants under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) preconstruction permit program and the Title V operating permit program, which both apply to power plants. As a result, the construction of new facilities or the major modification of existing facilities could trigger the requirement for a PSD permit and the installation of the best available control technology for carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. The EPA also published a proposed rule governing how these programs would be applied to stationary sources, including power plants, on October 27, 2009. The EPA has stated that it expects to finalize these proposed rules in March 2010. The ultimate outcome of the endangerment finding and these proposed rules cannot be determined at this time and will depend on additional regulatory action and any legal challenges.

International climate change negotiations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change also continue. A nonbinding agreement was announced during the most recent round of negotiations in December 2009 that included a pledge from both developed and developing countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The outcome and impact of the international negotiations cannot be determined at this time.

Although the outcome of federal, state, or international initiatives cannot be determined at this time, mandatory restrictions on the Company's greenhouse gas emissions or requirements relating to renewable energy or energy efficiency on the federal or state level are likely to result in significant additional compliance costs, including significant capital expenditures. These costs could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions, and could result in the retirement of a significant number of coal-fired generating units. See Item 1 BUSINESS Rate Matters Integrated Resource Planning for additional information. Also, additional compliance costs and costs related to unit retirements could affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates. Further, higher costs that are recovered through regulated rates could contribute to reduced demand for electricity, which could negatively impact results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

In 2008, the total carbon dioxide emissions from the fossil fuel-fired electric generating units owned by the Company were approximately 57 million metric tons. The preliminary estimate of carbon dioxide emissions from these units in 2009 is approximately 48 million metric tons. The level of carbon dioxide emissions from year to year will be dependent on the level of generation and mix of fuel sources, which is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

The Company is actively constructing new generating facilities with lower greenhouse gas emissions. These include two additional nuclear generating units at Plant Vogtle and three combined cycle units at Plant McDonough.

The Company has also proposed the conversion of Plant Mitchell from coal-fired to biomass generation and is currently evaluating the costs and viability of other renewable technologies for the State of Georgia. On February 2, 2010, the Georgia PSC approved the Company's request to delay construction activities related to Plant Mitchell pending the EPA's anticipated issuance of regulations associated with coal combustion byproducts and the IB MACT rule described previously.

PSC Matters***Rate Plans***

In December 2007, the Georgia PSC approved the 2007 Retail Rate Plan for the years 2008 through 2010. Under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, the Company's earnings are evaluated against a retail return on common equity (ROE) range of 10.25% to 12.25%. Retail base rates increased by approximately \$100 million effective January 1, 2008 to provide for cost recovery of transmission, distribution, generation, and other investments, as well as increased operating costs. In addition, the ECCR tariff was implemented to allow for the recovery of costs related to environmental projects mandated by state and federal regulations. The ECCR tariff increased rates by approximately \$222 million effective

January 1, 2008.

In connection with the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, the Company agreed that it would not file for a general base rate increase during this period unless its projected retail ROE falls below 10.25%. The economic recession has significantly reduced the Company's revenues upon which retail rates were set under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan. In June 2009, despite stringent efforts to reduce expenses, the Company's projected retail ROE for both 2009 and 2010 was below 10.25%. However, in lieu of filing to increase customer rates as

II-184

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

allowed under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, on June 29, 2009, the Company filed a request with the Georgia PSC for an accounting order that would allow the Company to amortize up to \$324 million of its regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations.

On August 27, 2009, the Georgia PSC approved the accounting order. Under the terms of the accounting order, the Company was entitled to amortize up to one-third of the regulatory liability (\$108 million) in 2009, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 9.75% retail ROE. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company amortized \$41 million of the regulatory liability. In addition, the Company may amortize up to two-thirds of the regulatory liability (\$216 million) in 2010, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 10.15% retail ROE. The Company is required to file a general rate case by July 1, 2010, in response to which the Georgia PSC would be expected to determine whether the 2007 Retail Rate Plan should be continued, modified, or discontinued. See Note 3 to the financial statements under *Retail Regulatory Matters* *Rate Plans* for additional information.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company has established fuel cost recovery rates approved by the Georgia PSC. The Georgia PSC approved increases in the Company's total annual billings of approximately \$383 million effective March 1, 2007 and approximately \$222 million effective June 1, 2008.

On December 15, 2009, the Company filed for a fuel cost recovery increase with the Georgia PSC. On February 22, 2010, the Company, the Georgia PSC Public Interest Advocacy Staff, and three customer groups entered into a stipulation to resolve the case, subject to approval by the Georgia PSC (the Stipulation). Under the terms of the Stipulation, the Company's annual fuel cost recovery billings will increase by approximately \$425 million. In addition, the Company will implement an interim fuel rider, which would allow the Company to adjust its fuel cost recovery rates prior to the next fuel case if the under recovered fuel balance exceeds budget by more than \$75 million. The Company is required to file its next fuel case by March 1, 2011. The Georgia PSC is scheduled to vote on the Stipulation on March 11, 2010 with the new fuel rates to become effective April 1, 2010. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company's under recovered fuel balance totaled approximately \$665 million, which if the Stipulation is approved, the Company will recover over 32 months beginning April 1, 2010. Therefore, approximately \$373 million of the under recovered regulatory clause revenues for the Company is included in deferred charges and other assets at December 31, 2009.

Fuel cost recovery revenues as recorded on the financial statements are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, a change in the billing factor has no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income, but does impact annual cash flow. See Note 1 to the financial statements under *Revenues* and Note 3 to the financial statements under *Retail Regulatory Matters* *Fuel Cost Recovery* for additional information.

Legislation

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Major tax incentives in the ARRA include an extension of bonus depreciation and multiple renewable energy incentives, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company. The Company estimates the cash flow reduction to 2009 tax payments as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions of the ARRA to be \$112 million. On December 8, 2009, President Obama announced proposals to accelerate job growth that include an extension of the bonus depreciation provision for the ARRA for 2010, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company.

On October 27, 2009, Southern Company and its subsidiaries received notice that an award of \$165 million had been granted, of which \$51 million is available to the Company, under the ARRA grant application for transmission and distribution automation and modernization projects pending final negotiations. The Company continues to assess the other financial implications of the ARRA.

The U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate have passed separate bills related to healthcare reform. Both bills include a provision that would make Medicare Part D subsidy reimbursements taxable. If enacted into law, this

provision could have a significant negative impact on the Company's net income. See Note 2 to the financial statements under "Other Postretirement Benefits" for additional information.

The ultimate impact of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Income Tax Matters

Georgia State Income Tax Credits

The Company's 2005 through 2008 income tax filings for the State of Georgia include state income tax credits for increased activity through Georgia ports. The Company has also filed similar claims for the years 2002 through 2004.

The Georgia Department of

II-185

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Revenue (DOR) has not responded to these claims. In July 2007, the Company filed a complaint in the Superior Court of Fulton County to recover the credits claimed for the years 2002 through 2004. An unrecognized tax benefit has been recorded related to these credits. See Note 5 to the financial statements under **Unrecognized Tax Benefits** for additional information. If the Company prevails, these claims could have a significant, and possibly material, positive effect on the Company's net income. If the Company is not successful, payment of the related state tax could have a significant, and possibly material, negative effect on the Company's cash flow. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

Internal Revenue Code Section 199 Domestic Production Deduction

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. See Note 5 to the financial statements under **Effective Tax Rate** for additional information.

Construction***Nuclear***

On August 26, 2009, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) issued an Early Site Permit and Limited Work Authorization to Southern Nuclear, on behalf of the Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation, the Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia, and the City of Dalton, Georgia, an incorporated municipality in the State of Georgia acting by and through its Board of Water, Light, and Sinking Fund Commissioners (collectively, Owners), related to two additional nuclear units on the site of Plant Vogtle (Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4). See Note 4 to the financial statements for additional information on these co-owners. In March 2008, Southern Nuclear filed an application with the NRC for a combined construction and operating license (COL) for the new units. If licensed by the NRC, Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 are scheduled to be placed in service in 2016 and 2017, respectively.

In April 2008, the Company, acting for itself and as agent for the Owners, and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse Electric Company LLC (Westinghouse) and Stone & Webster, Inc. (collectively, Consortium) entered into an engineering, procurement, and construction agreement to design, engineer, procure, construct, and test two AP1000 nuclear units with electric generating capacity of approximately 1,100 megawatts each and related facilities, structures, and improvements at Plant Vogtle (Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement).

The Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement is an arrangement whereby the Consortium supplies and constructs the entire facility with the exception of certain items provided by the Owners. Under the terms of the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement, the Owners agreed to pay a purchase price that will be subject to certain price escalations and adjustments, including certain index-based adjustments, as well as adjustments for change orders, and performance bonuses for early completion and unit performance. Each Owner is severally (and not jointly) liable for its proportionate share, based on its ownership interest, of all amounts owed to the Consortium under the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement. The Company's proportionate share is 45.7%.

On February 23, 2010, the Company, acting for itself and as agent for the Owners, and the Consortium entered into an amendment to the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement. The amendment, which is subject to the approval of the Georgia PSC, replaces certain of the index-based adjustments to the purchase price with fixed escalation amounts.

The Owners and the Consortium have agreed to certain liquidated damages upon the Consortium's failure to comply with the schedule and performance guarantees. The Consortium's liability to the Owners for schedule and performance liquidated damages and warranty claims is subject to a cap.

Certain payment obligations of Westinghouse and Stone & Webster, Inc. under the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement are guaranteed by Toshiba Corporation and The Shaw Group, Inc., respectively. In the event of certain credit rating downgrades of any Owner, such Owner will be required to provide a letter of credit or other credit enhancement.

The Owners may terminate the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement at any time for their convenience, provided that the Owners will be required to pay certain termination costs and, at certain stages of the work, cancellation fees to the Consortium.

The Consortium may terminate the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement under certain circumstances, including delays in receipt of the COL or delivery of full notice to proceed, certain Owner suspension or delays of work, action by a governmental authority to permanently stop work, certain breaches of the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement by the Owners, Owner insolvency, and certain other events.

II-186

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

On March 17, 2009, the Georgia PSC voted to certify construction of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 at an in-service cost of \$6.4 billion. In addition, the Georgia PSC voted to approve the inclusion of the related construction work in progress accounts in rate base.

On April 21, 2009 the Governor of the State of Georgia signed into law the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act that will allow the Company to recover financing costs for nuclear construction projects by including the related construction work in progress accounts in rate base during the construction period. The cost recovery provisions will become effective on January 1, 2011. With respect to Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4, this legislation allows the Company to recover projected financing costs of approximately \$1.7 billion during the construction period beginning in 2011, which reduces the projected in-service cost to approximately \$4.4 billion.

On June 15, 2009, an environmental group filed a petition in the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia seeking review of the Georgia PSC's certification order and challenging the constitutionality of the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act. The Company believes there is no meritorious basis for this petition and intends to vigorously defend against the requested actions.

On August 27, 2009, the NRC issued letters to Westinghouse revising the review schedules needed to certify the AP1000 standard design for new reactors and expressing concerns related to the availability of adequate information and the shield building design. The shield building protects the containment and provides structural support to the containment cooling water supply. The Company is continuing to work with Westinghouse and the NRC to resolve these concerns. Any possible delays in the AP1000 design certification schedule, including those addressed by the NRC in their letters, are not currently expected to affect the projected commercial operation dates for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

There are pending technical and procedural challenges to the construction and licensing of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. Similar additional challenges at the state and federal level are expected as construction proceeds.

On August 31, 2009, the Company filed with the Georgia PSC its first semi-annual construction monitoring report for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 for the period ended June 30, 2009 which did not include any proposed change to the estimated construction cost as certified by the Georgia PSC in March 2009. On February 25, 2010, the Georgia PSC approved the expenditures made by the Company pursuant to the certification through June 30, 2009. The Georgia PSC also ordered that in its future semi-annual construction monitoring reports, the Company will report against a total certified cost of approximately \$6.1 billion, which is the effective certified amount after giving effect to the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act as described above. The Company will continue to file construction monitoring reports by February 28 and August 31 of each year during the construction period.

The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Other Construction

On August 10, 2009, the Company filed its quarterly construction monitoring report for Plant McDonough Units 4, 5, and 6 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. On September 30, 2009, the Company amended the report. As amended, the report includes a request for an increase in the certified costs to construct Plant McDonough. The Georgia PSC held a hearing in December 2009 and is scheduled to render its decision on March 16, 2010. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Matters

The Company is involved in various other matters being litigated, regulatory matters, and certain tax-related issues that could affect future earnings. In addition, the Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment, such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property

damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. See Note 3 to the financial statements for information regarding material issues.

II-187

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****ACCOUNTING POLICIES****Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. In the application of these policies, certain estimates are made that may have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and related disclosures. Different assumptions and measurements could produce estimates that are significantly different from those recorded in the financial statements. Senior management has reviewed and discussed the following critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of Southern Company's Board of Directors.

Electric Utility Regulation

The Company is subject to retail regulation by the Georgia PSC and wholesale regulation by the FERC. These regulatory agencies set the rates the Company is permitted to charge customers based on allowable costs. As a result, the Company applies accounting standards which require the financial statements to reflect the effects of rate regulation. Through the ratemaking process, the regulators may require the inclusion of costs or revenues in periods different than when they would be recognized by a non-regulated company. This treatment may result in the deferral of expenses and the recording of related regulatory assets based on anticipated future recovery through rates or the deferral of gains or creation of liabilities and the recording of related regulatory liabilities. The application of the accounting standards has a further effect on the Company's financial statements as a result of the estimates of allowable costs used in the ratemaking process. These estimates may differ from those actually incurred by the Company; therefore, the accounting estimates inherent in specific costs such as depreciation, nuclear decommissioning, and pension and postretirement benefits have less of a direct impact on the Company's results of operations than they would on a non-regulated company.

As reflected in Note 1 to the financial statements, significant regulatory assets and liabilities have been recorded. Management reviews the ultimate recoverability of these regulatory assets and liabilities based on applicable regulatory guidelines and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, adverse legislative, judicial, or regulatory actions could materially impact the amounts of such regulatory assets and liabilities and could adversely impact the Company's financial statements.

Contingent Obligations

The Company is subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, as well as other factors and conditions that potentially subject it to environmental, litigation, income tax, and other risks. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for more information regarding certain of these contingencies. The Company periodically evaluates its exposure to such risks and, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), records reserves for those matters where a non-tax-related loss is considered probable and reasonably estimable and records a tax asset or liability if it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained. The adequacy of reserves can be significantly affected by external events or conditions that can be unpredictable; thus, the ultimate outcome of such matters could materially affect the Company's financial statements. These events or conditions include the following:

- Changes in existing state or federal regulation by governmental authorities having jurisdiction over air quality, water quality, control of toxic substances, hazardous and solid wastes, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, and other environmental matters.

- Changes in existing income tax regulations or changes in IRS or Georgia DOR interpretations of existing regulations.

- Identification of additional sites that require environmental remediation or the filing of other complaints in which the Company may be asserted to be a potentially responsible party.

Identification and evaluation of other potential lawsuits or complaints in which the Company may be named as a defendant.

Resolution or progression of new or existing matters through the legislative process, the court systems, the IRS, the Georgia DOR, the FERC, or the EPA.

II-188

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Unbilled Revenues***

Revenues related to the retail sale of electricity are recorded when electricity is delivered to customers. However, the determination of KWH sales to individual customers is based on the reading of their meters, which is performed on a systematic basis throughout the month. At the end of each month, amounts of electricity delivered to customers, but not yet metered and billed, are estimated. Components of the unbilled revenue estimates include total KWH territorial supply, total KWH billed, estimated total electricity lost in delivery, and customer usage. These components can fluctuate as a result of a number of factors including weather, generation patterns, and power delivery volume and other operational constraints. These factors can be unpredictable and can vary from historical trends. As a result, the overall estimate of unbilled revenues could be significantly affected, which could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company's calculation of pension and other postretirement benefits expense is dependent on a number of assumptions. These assumptions include discount rates, health care cost trend rates, expected long-term return on plan assets, mortality rates, expected salary and wage increases, and other factors. Components of pension and other postretirement benefits expense include interest and service cost on the pension and other postretirement benefit plans, expected return on plan assets and amortization of certain unrecognized costs and obligations. Actual results that differ from the assumptions utilized are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect recognized expense and the recorded obligation in future periods. While the Company believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions would affect its pension and other postretirement benefits costs and obligations.

Key elements in determining the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit expense in accordance with GAAP are the expected long-term return on plan assets and the discount rate used to measure the benefit plan obligations and the periodic benefit plan expense for future periods. The expected long-term return on postretirement benefit plan assets is based on the Company's investment strategy, historical experience, and expectations for long-term rates of return that considers external actuarial advice. The Company determines the long-term return on plan assets by applying the long-term rate of expected returns on various asset classes to the Company's target asset allocation. The Company discounts the future cash flows related to its postretirement benefit plans using a single-point discount rate developed from the weighted average of market-observed yields for high quality fixed income securities with maturities that correspond to expected benefit payments.

A 25 basis point change in any significant assumption would result in an \$8 million or less change in total benefit expense and a \$104 million or less change in projected obligations.

New Accounting Standards***Variable Interest Entities***

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities, which replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative, requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company adopted this new guidance effective January 1, 2010, with no material impact on its financial statements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY**Overview**

The Company's financial condition remained stable at December 31, 2009. Throughout the turmoil in the financial markets, the Company has maintained adequate access to capital without drawing on any of its committed bank credit arrangements used to support its commercial paper programs and variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. The Company intends to continue to monitor its access to short-term and long-term capital markets as well as its bank credit arrangements to meet future capital and liquidity needs. Market rates for committed credit increased in 2009, and the Company may continue to be subject to higher costs as its existing facilities are replaced or renewed. Total

committed credit fees for the Company average less than $\frac{3}{8}$ of 1% per year. See Sources of Capital and Financing Activities herein for additional information.

II-189

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's investments in pension and nuclear decommissioning trust funds remained stable in value as of December 31, 2009. The Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012 and such contribution could be significant; however, projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables including future fund performance and cannot be determined at this time. Any changes to funding obligations to the nuclear decommissioning trusts will be determined in connection with the Company's 2010 retail rate case and are not currently expected to be material.

Cash flow from operations totaled \$1.4 billion in 2009, a decrease of \$310 million from 2008, primarily due to an \$89 million decrease in net income, a reduction in deferred revenues of approximately \$172 million, a reduction in accrued compensation of approximately \$122 million, and an increase in fuel inventory additions of approximately \$150 million, partially offset by a reduction in accounts receivable of approximately \$210 million. Cash flow from operations totaled \$1.7 billion in 2008, an increase of \$279 million from 2007, primarily due to higher retail operating revenues partially offset by higher inventory additions. Cash flow from operations in 2007 totaled \$1.4 billion, an increase of \$249 million from 2006, primarily due to higher retail revenues primarily related to higher fuel cost recovery revenues and less cash used for working capital primarily from lower inventory additions and increases in other current liabilities.

Net cash used for investing activities totaled \$2.4 billion, \$1.9 billion, and \$1.9 billion in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, due to gross property additions primarily related to installation of equipment to comply with environmental standards; construction of generation, transmission, and distribution facilities; and purchase of nuclear fuel. The majority of funds needed for gross property additions for the last several years have been provided from operating activities, capital contributions from Southern Company, and the issuance of debt and preference stock. Cash provided from financing activities totaled \$881 million, \$310 million, and \$430 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. These totals are primarily related to additional issuances of senior notes in all years. The statements of cash flows provide additional details. See **Financing Activities** herein.

Significant balance sheet changes in 2009 include the \$1.9 billion increase in total property, plant, and equipment discussed above. Other significant balance sheet changes in 2009 include a \$776 million increase in long-term debt to provide funds for the Company's continuous construction program. Significant balance sheet changes in 2008 include a \$1.1 billion increase in long-term debt primarily to replace short-term debt and provide funds for the Company's continuous construction program and an increase in total property, plant, and equipment of \$1.3 billion. Other significant balance sheet changes in 2008 include a decrease of \$1.0 billion in prepaid pension costs, an increase of \$908 million in other regulatory assets, and a decrease of \$462 million in other regulatory liabilities primarily attributable to the decline in market value of the Company's pension trust fund.

The Company's ratio of common equity to total capitalization, including short-term debt, was 47.8% in 2009, 46.5% in 2008, and 47.5% in 2007. The Company has received investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt, preferred securities, preferred stock, and preference stock. See **Credit Rating Risk** herein and **SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA** for additional information regarding the Company's security ratings.

Sources of Capital

The Company plans to obtain the funds required for construction and other purposes from sources similar to those used in the past, which were primarily from operating cash flows, security issuances, term loans, short-term borrowings, and equity contributions from Southern Company. However, the type and timing of any future financings, if needed, will depend on market conditions, regulatory approvals, and other factors. In addition, on February 16, 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) offered the Company a conditional commitment for federal loan guarantees that would apply to future Company borrowings related to Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. Any borrowings guaranteed by the DOE would be full recourse to the Company and would be secured by a first priority lien on the Company's ownership interest in Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. Total guaranteed borrowings would not exceed 70% of eligible project costs, or approximately \$3.4 billion, and are expected to be funded by the Federal Financing Bank. The Company has 90 days to accept the conditional commitment, including obtaining any necessary regulatory

approvals. The Company will work with the DOE to finalize the loan guarantees. Final approval and issuance of loan guarantees by the DOE are subject to receipt of the COL for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 from the NRC, negotiation of definitive agreements, completion of due diligence by the DOE, receipt of any necessary regulatory approvals, and satisfaction of other conditions. There can be no assurance that the DOE will issue loan guarantees for the Company. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL Construction Nuclear herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Nuclear Construction for more information on Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

II-190

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The issuance of long-term securities by the Company is subject to the approval of the Georgia PSC. In addition, the issuance of short-term debt securities by the Company is subject to regulatory approval by the FERC. Additionally, with respect to the public offering of securities, the Company files registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The amounts of securities authorized by the Georgia PSC and the FERC are continuously monitored and appropriate filings are made to ensure flexibility in the capital markets.

The Company obtains financing separately without credit support from any affiliate. See Note 6 to the financial statements under **Bank Credit Arrangements** for additional information. The Southern Company system does not maintain a centralized cash or money pool. Therefore, funds of the Company are not commingled with funds of any other company.

The Company's current liabilities frequently exceed current assets because of the continued use of short-term debt as a funding source for under recovered fuel costs and to meet cash needs which can fluctuate significantly due to the seasonality of the business.

To meet short-term cash needs and contingencies, at December 31, 2009 the Company had credit arrangements with banks totaling \$1.7 billion. See Note 6 to the financial statements under **Bank Credit Arrangements** for additional information. In addition, the Company has substantial cash flow from operating activities and access to capital markets, including a commercial paper program, to meet liquidity needs.

At December 31, 2009, bank credit arrangements were as follows:

Total	Unused	Expires	
		2010	2012
<i>(in millions)</i>			

Of the credit arrangements that expire in 2010, \$40 million allow for the execution of term loans for an additional two-year period.

The Company may also meet short-term cash needs through a Southern Company subsidiary organized to issue and sell commercial paper at the request and for the benefit of the Company and the other traditional operating companies. Proceeds from such issuances for the benefit of the Company are loaned directly to the Company and are not commingled with proceeds from issuances for the benefit of any other operating company. The obligations of each company under these arrangements are several; there is no cross affiliate credit support. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had \$324 million of outstanding commercial paper.

Financing Activities

In February 2009, the Company issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of Series 2009A 5.95% Senior Notes due February 1, 2039. In December 2009, the Company issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of Series 2009B 4.25% Senior Notes due December 1, 2019. The net proceeds from the sale of these senior notes were used by the Company to repay at maturity \$150 million aggregate principal amount of its Series U Floating Rate Senior Notes and \$125 million aggregate principal amount of its Series V 4.10% Senior Notes, to redeem \$55 million aggregate principal amount of its Series D 5.50% Senior Notes, to repay a portion of its outstanding short-term indebtedness, and for general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program. The Company also incurred \$416.5 million of obligations related to the issuance of pollution control revenue bonds, the proceeds of which were used to retire \$327.3 million of pollution control revenue bonds and to finance the construction of certain solid waste disposal facilities.

During 2009, the Company settled interest rate hedges of \$300 million related to the issuance of senior notes at a loss of \$19 million. The effective portion of these losses has been deferred in other comprehensive income and is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the original interest rate hedge.

In addition to any financings that may be necessary to meet capital requirements and contractual obligations, the Company plans to continue, when economically feasible, a program to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Credit Rating Risk**

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain contracts that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of a credit rating change to BBB- and/or Baa3 or below. These contracts are for physical electricity purchases and sales, fuel purchases, fuel transportation and storage, emissions allowances, energy price risk management, and construction of new generation facilities. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a BBB- and/or Baa3 rating were approximately \$32 million. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3 totaled approximately \$1.2 billion. Included in these amounts are certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade. Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Additionally, any credit rating downgrade could impact the Company's ability to access capital markets, particularly the short-term debt market.

On September 2, 2009, Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) affirmed the credit ratings of the Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper of A2/P-1, respectively, and revised the rating outlook to negative. On September 4, 2009, Fitch Ratings, Inc. affirmed the Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper ratings of A+/F1, respectively, but revised the Company's rating outlook to negative. On October 6, 2009, Standard and Poor's Rating Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P) affirmed the credit ratings of the Company's senior unsecured notes and its short-term credit rating of A/A-1, respectively, and maintained its stable rating outlook.

Market Price Risk

Due to cost-based rate regulation, the Company has limited exposure to market rate volatility in interest rates, commodity fuel prices, and prices of electricity. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures the Company nets the exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. The Company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress tests, and sensitivity analysis.

To mitigate future exposure to changes in interest rates, the Company enters into forward starting interest rate swaps and other derivatives that have been designated as hedges. These derivatives have a notional amount of \$300 million and are related to certain variable rate debt over the next year. The weighted average interest rate on \$1.2 billion of outstanding variable rate long-term debt that has not been hedged at January 1, 2010 was 0.23%. If the Company sustained a 100 basis point change in interest rates for all unhedged variable rate long-term debt, the change would affect annualized interest expense by approximately \$12 million at January 1, 2010. See Notes 1 and 11 to the financial statements under "Financial Instruments" and "Interest Rate Derivatives," respectively, for additional information.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market and, to a lesser extent, into financial hedge contracts for gas purchases.

The changes in fair value of energy-related derivative contracts were as follows at December 31:

	2009	2008
	Changes	Changes
	Fair Value	
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Contracts outstanding at the beginning of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(113)	\$
Contracts realized or settled	150	(69)
Current period changes ^(a)	(112)	(44)

Contracts outstanding at the end of the period, assets (liabilities), net \$ (75) \$(113)

(a) Current period changes also include the changes in fair value of new contracts entered into during the period, if any.

II-192

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The change in the fair value positions of the energy-related derivative contracts for the year-ended December 31, 2009 was an increase of \$38.2 million, substantially all of which is due to natural gas positions. The change is attributable to both the volume of million British thermal units (mmBtu) and the price of natural gas. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a net hedge volume of 70.7 million mmBtu with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$1.08 per mmBtu above market prices, and 59.3 million mmBtu at December 31, 2008 with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$1.96 per mmBtu above market prices. Substantially all natural gas hedges gains and losses are recovered through the Company's fuel cost recovery mechanism.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, all of the Company's energy-related derivative contracts were designated as regulatory hedges related to the Company's fuel hedging program. Therefore, gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as they are recovered through the fuel cost recovery mechanism. Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred and were not material for any year presented. The maturities of the energy-related derivative contracts and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	December 31, 2009			
	Total Fair Value	Fair Value Measurements		
		Year 1	Maturity Years 2 & 3	Years 4 & 5
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Level 1	\$	\$	\$	\$
Level 2	(75)	(47)	(27)	(1)
Level 3				
Fair value of contracts outstanding at end of period	\$(75)	\$(47)	\$ (27)	\$ (1)

The Company uses over-the-counter contracts that are not exchange traded but are fair valued using prices which are actively quoted, and thus fall into Level 2. See Note 10 to the financial statements for further discussion on fair value measurement.

The Company is exposed to market price risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to the energy-related and interest rate derivative contracts. The Company only enters into agreements and material transactions with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings by Moody's and S&P or with counterparties who have posted collateral to cover potential credit exposure.

Therefore, the Company does not anticipate market risk exposure from nonperformance by the counterparties. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under "Financial Instruments" and Note 11 to the financial statements.

Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations

The construction program of the Company is currently estimated to be \$2.5 billion for 2010, \$2.4 billion for 2011, and \$2.8 billion for 2012. Environmental expenditures included in these estimated amounts are \$259 million, \$350 million, and \$600 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; revised load growth estimates; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in nuclear plants to meet new regulatory requirements; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Georgia PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. See Note 3 and Note 7 to the financial

statements under Construction Nuclear and Construction Program, respectively, for additional information. As a result of requirements by the NRC, the Company has established external trust funds for nuclear decommissioning costs. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Nuclear Decommissioning.

In addition, as discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company provides postretirement benefits to substantially all employees and funds trusts to the extent required by the Georgia PSC and the FERC. Other funding requirements related to obligations associated with scheduled maturities of long-term debt and the related interest, preferred and preference stock dividends, leases, derivative obligations, and other purchase commitments are as follows. See Notes 1, 6, 7, and 11 to the financial statements for additional information.

II-193

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Contractual Obligations**

	2010	2011- 2012	2013- 2014	After 2014	Uncertain Timing ^(d)	Total
	<i>(in millions)</i>					
Long-term debt ^(a)						
Principal	\$ 250	\$ 611	\$ 525	\$ 6,597	\$	\$ 7,983
Interest	378	736	670	6,067		7,851
Preferred and preference stock dividends ^(b)	17	35	35			87
Energy-related derivative obligations ^(c)	47	27	1			75
Operating leases	37	54	28	17		136
Capital leases	4	9	10	40		63
Unrecognized tax benefits and interest ^(d)	183				18	201
Purchase commitments ^(e)						
Capital ^(f)	2,298	4,984				7,282
Limestone ^(g)	19	30	32	20		101
Coal	2,239	2,609	959	1,533		7,340
Nuclear fuel	198	224	171	207		800
Natural gas ^(h)	473	1,028	772	3,414		5,687
Purchased power	343	583	472	1,939		3,337
Long-term service agreements ⁽ⁱ⁾	14	61	91	550		716
Trusts						
Nuclear decommissioning ^(j)	3	7	7	53		70
Postretirement benefits ^(k)	31	53				84
Total	\$6,534	\$11,051	\$3,773	\$20,437	\$18	\$41,813

(a) All amounts are reflected based on final maturity dates. The Company plans to continue to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit. Variable rate interest obligations are estimated based on rates as of January 1, 2010,

as reflected in the statements of capitalization.

Fixed rates include, where applicable, the effects of interest rate derivatives employed to manage interest rate risk.

Excludes capital lease amounts (shown separately).

- (b) Preferred and preference stock does not mature; therefore, amounts provided are for the next five years only.
- (c) For additional information see Notes 1 and 11 to the financial statements.
- (d) The timing related to the realization of \$18 million in unrecognized tax benefits and corresponding interest payments cannot be reasonably and reliably estimated due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective settlement of tax positions. Of the total \$201 million, \$97 million is the estimated cash payment. See

Note 3 under
Income Tax
Matters and Note
5 under
Unrecognized
Tax Benefits to
the financial
statements for
additional
information.

- (e) The Company generally does not enter into non-cancelable commitments for other operations and maintenance expenditures. Total other operations and maintenance expenses for the last three years were \$1.5 billion, \$1.6 billion, and \$1.6 billion, respectively.

- (f) The Company forecasts capital expenditures over a three-year period. Amounts represent current estimates of total expenditures, excluding those amounts related to contractual purchase commitments for nuclear fuel. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction

program.

- (g) As part of the Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from its coal plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment.
- (h) Natural gas purchase commitments are based on various indices at the time of delivery. Amounts reflected have been estimated based on the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.
- (i) Long-term service agreements include price escalation based on inflation indices.
- (j) Projections of nuclear decommissioning trust contributions are based on the 2007 Retail Rate Plan and are subject to change in the 2010 retail rate case.

(k) The Company forecasts postretirement trust contributions over a three-year period. The Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012. The projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables including future trust fund performance and cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, no amounts related to the pension trust fund are included in the table. See Note 2 to the financial statements for additional information related to the pension and postretirement plans, including estimated benefit payments. Certain benefit payments will be made through the related trusts. Other benefit payments will be made from the Company's corporate assets.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)

Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The Company's 2009 Annual Report contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning retail sales, retail rates, fuel cost recovery and other rate actions, environmental regulations and expenditures, the Company's projections for postretirement benefit and nuclear decommissioning trust contributions, financing activities, access to sources of capital, the impacts of the adoption of new accounting rules, impacts of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, impact of healthcare legislation, if any, estimated sales and purchases under new power sale and purchase agreements, start and completion of construction projects, and estimated construction and other expenditures. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as may, will, could, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, projects, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurance that such indicated results will be realized. These factors include:

- the impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory change, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, environmental laws including regulation of water quality and emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, mercury, carbon, soot, particulate matter, or coal combustion byproducts and other substances, and also changes in tax and other laws and regulations to which the Company is subject, as well as changes in application of existing laws and regulations;

- current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings, or inquiries, including FERC matters and the pending EPA civil action against the Company;

- the effects, extent, and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets in which the Company operates;

- variations in demand for electricity, including those relating to weather, the general economy and recovery from the recent recession, population, business growth (and declines), and the effects of energy conservation measures;

- available sources and costs of fuels;

- effects of inflation;

- ability to control costs and avoid cost overruns during the development and construction of facilities;

- investment performance of the Company's employee benefit plans and nuclear decommissioning trusts;

- advances in technology;

- state and federal rate regulations and the impact of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including rate cases related to fuel and other cost recovery mechanisms;

- regulatory approvals and actions related to the potential Plant Vogtle expansion, including Georgia PSC and NRC approvals and potential DOE loan guarantees;

- internal restructuring or other restructuring options that may be pursued;

potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed or beneficial to the Company;

the ability of counterparties of the Company to make payments as and when due and to perform as required;

the ability to obtain new short- and long-term contracts with wholesale customers;

the direct or indirect effect on the Company's business resulting from terrorist incidents and the threat of terrorist incidents;

interest rate fluctuations and financial market conditions and the results of financing efforts, including the Company's credit ratings;

the ability of the Company to obtain additional generating capacity at competitive prices;

catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, hurricanes, droughts, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences;

the direct or indirect effects on the Company's business resulting from incidents affecting the U.S. electric grid or operation of generating resources;

the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard setting bodies; and

other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports (including the Form 10-K) filed by the Company from time to time with the SEC.

The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

II-195

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF INCOME****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Revenues:			
Retail revenues	\$6,912,403	\$7,286,345	\$6,498,003
Wholesale revenues, non-affiliates	394,538	568,797	537,913
Wholesale revenues, affiliates	111,964	286,219	277,832
Other revenues	272,835	270,191	257,904
Total operating revenues	7,691,740	8,411,552	7,571,652
Operating Expenses:			
Fuel	2,716,928	2,812,417	2,640,526
Purchased power, non-affiliates	269,136	442,951	332,064
Purchased power, affiliates	709,730	962,100	718,327
Other operations and maintenance	1,494,192	1,580,922	1,561,736
Depreciation and amortization	655,150	636,970	511,180
Taxes other than income taxes	316,532	316,219	291,136
Total operating expenses	6,161,668	6,751,579	6,054,969
Operating Income	1,530,072	1,659,973	1,516,683
Other Income and (Expense):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	96,788	95,294	68,177
Interest income	2,242	7,219	3,560
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(385,889)	(345,415)	(343,461)
Other income (expense), net	(1,774)	(9,259)	14,705
Total other income and (expense)	(288,633)	(252,161)	(257,019)
Earnings Before Income Taxes	1,241,439	1,407,812	1,259,664
Income taxes	410,013	487,504	417,521
Net Income	831,426	920,308	842,143
Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock	17,381	17,381	6,007
Net Income After Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock	\$ 814,045	\$ 902,927	\$ 836,136

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 831,426	\$ 920,308	\$ 842,143
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization, total	790,581	758,284	616,796
Deferred income taxes	191,382	170,958	(78,010)
Deferred revenues	(48,962)	122,965	4,871
Deferred expenses	(4,281)	1,605	2,950
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(96,788)	(95,294)	(68,177)
Pension, postretirement, and other employee benefits	(20,032)	(3,243)	8,836
Stock based compensation expense	4,592	4,200	5,977
Hedge settlements	(19,016)	(22,949)	12,121
Insurance cash surrender value	19,742		
Other, net	20,212	(696)	15,600
Changes in certain current assets and liabilities			
-Receivables	126,758	(82,996)	134,276
-Fossil fuel stock	(241,509)	(91,536)	(1,211)
-Materials and supplies	(6,139)	(20,021)	(32,998)
-Prepaid income taxes	21,067	(14,885)	10,002
-Other current assets	(1,217)	(18,460)	(4,359)
-Accounts payable	(54,328)	(56,126)	22,626
-Accrued taxes	(19,445)	117,524	(33,320)
-Accrued compensation	(100,547)	21,525	(30,039)
-Other current liabilities	24,678	16,788	20,702
Net cash provided from operating activities	1,418,174	1,727,951	1,448,786
Investing Activities:			
Property additions	(2,514,972)	(1,847,953)	(1,765,345)
Investment in restricted cash from pollution control bonds			(59,525)
Distribution of restricted cash from pollution control revenue bonds	26,849	32,675	
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund purchases	(989,219)	(419,086)	(448,287)
Nuclear decommissioning trust fund sales	984,340	412,206	441,407
Cost of removal, net of salvage	(56,494)	(62,722)	(47,565)
Change in construction payables, net of joint owner portion	106,008	2,639	24,893
Other investing activities	25,479	(38,198)	(25,478)
Net cash used for investing activities	(2,418,009)	(1,920,439)	(1,879,900)
Financing Activities:			
Decrease in notes payable, net	(33,137)	(358,497)	(17,690)

Proceeds			
Capital contributions from parent company	931,382	272,894	322,448
Preferred and preference stock			225,000
Pollution control revenue bonds issuances	416,510	386,485	190,800
Senior notes issuances	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,500,000
Other long-term debt issuances	1,100	301,100	
Redemptions			
Pollution control revenue bonds	(327,310)	(335,605)	
Capital leases	(1,693)	(1,125)	(2,185)
Senior notes	(333,000)	(198,097)	(300,000)
Other long-term debt			(762,887)
Payment of preferred and preference stock dividends	(17,568)	(17,016)	(3,143)
Payment of common stock dividends	(738,900)	(721,200)	(689,900)
Other financing activities	(15,979)	(19,104)	(32,787)
Net cash provided from financing activities	881,405	309,835	429,656
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(118,430)	117,347	(1,458)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	132,739	15,392	16,850
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 14,309	\$ 132,739	\$ 15,392
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the period for			
Interest (net of \$39,849, \$39,807 and \$28,668 capitalized, respectively)	\$ 341,003	\$ 309,264	\$ 317,938
Income taxes (net of refunds)	227,778	279,904	456,852

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Assets	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,309	\$ 132,739
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		22,381
Receivables		
Customer accounts receivable	486,885	554,219
Unbilled revenues	172,035	147,978
Under recovered regulatory clause revenues	291,837	338,780
Joint owner accounts receivable	146,932	38,710
Other accounts and notes receivable	62,758	59,189
Affiliated companies	11,775	13,091
Accumulated provision for uncollectible accounts	(9,856)	(10,732)
Fossil fuel stock, at average cost	726,266	484,757
Materials and supplies, at average cost	362,803	356,537
Vacation pay	74,566	71,217
Prepaid income taxes	132,668	65,987
Other regulatory assets, current	76,634	118,961
Other current assets	62,651	63,464
Total current assets	2,612,263	2,457,278
Property, Plant, and Equipment:		
In service	25,120,034	23,975,262
Less accumulated provision for depreciation	9,493,068	9,101,474
Plant in service, net of depreciation	15,626,966	14,873,788
Nuclear fuel, at amortized cost	339,810	278,412
Construction work in progress	2,521,091	1,434,989
Total property, plant, and equipment	18,487,867	16,587,189
Other Property and Investments:		
Equity investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries	66,106	57,163
Nuclear decommissioning trusts, at fair value	580,322	460,430
Miscellaneous property and investments	38,516	40,945
Total other property and investments	684,944	558,538
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Deferred charges related to income taxes	608,851	572,528
Deferred under recovered regulatory clause revenues	373,245	425,609
Other regulatory assets, deferred	1,321,904	1,449,352
Other deferred charges and assets	205,492	265,174

Total deferred charges and other assets	2,509,492	2,712,663
Total Assets	\$ 24,294,566	\$ 22,315,668

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-198

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Liabilities:		
Securities due within one year	\$ 253,882	\$ 280,443
Notes payable	323,958	357,095
Accounts payable		
Affiliated	238,599	260,545
Other	602,003	422,485
Customer deposits	200,103	186,919
Accrued taxes		
Accrued income taxes	548	70,916
Unrecognized tax benefits	164,863	128,712
Other accrued taxes	290,174	278,172
Accrued interest	89,228	79,432
Accrued vacation pay	57,662	57,643
Accrued compensation	42,756	135,191
Liabilities from risk management activities	49,788	113,432
Other cost of removal obligations, current	216,000	
Other regulatory liabilities, current	99,807	60,330
Other current liabilities	84,319	75,846
Total current liabilities	2,713,690	2,507,161
Long-Term Debt (See accompanying statements)	7,782,340	7,006,275
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	3,389,907	3,064,580
Deferred credits related to income taxes	133,683	140,933
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	242,496	256,218
Employee benefit obligations	923,177	882,965
Asset retirement obligations	676,705	688,019
Other cost of removal obligations	124,662	396,947
Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	1,234	115,865
Other deferred credits and liabilities	137,790	111,505
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	5,629,654	5,657,032
Total Liabilities	16,125,684	15,170,468
Preferred Stock (See accompanying statements)	44,991	44,991
Preference Stock (See accompanying statements)	220,966	220,966
Common Stockholder's Equity (See accompanying statements)	7,902,925	6,879,243

Total Liabilities and Stockholder s Equity	\$ 24,294,566	\$ 22,315,668
---	----------------------	---------------

Commitments and Contingent Matters (See notes)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-199

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2008	2009 <i>(percent of total)</i>	2008 <i>(percent of total)</i>
Long-Term Debt:				
Long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts				
5.88% due 2044	\$ 206,186	\$ 206,186		
Long-term notes payable				
4.10% due 2009		125,300		
Variable rate (2.3288% at 1/1/09) due 2009		150,000		
Variable rate (0.80% at 1/1/10) due 2010	250,000	250,000		
Variable rate (2.95% at 1/1/10) due 2011	300,000	300,000		
4.00% to 5.57% due 2011	102,500	101,100		
5.125% due 2012	200,000	200,000		
4.90% to 6.00% due 2013	525,000	525,000		
4.25% to 8.20% due 2015-2048	4,363,903	3,421,903		
Total long-term notes payable	5,741,403	5,073,303		
Other long-term debt				
Pollution control revenue bonds:				
1.95% to 5.75% due 2016-2048	1,134,080	1,309,190		
Variable rate (0.25% at 1/1/10) due 2011	8,330	8,330		
Variable rate (0.18% to 0.30% at 1/1/10) due 2016-2049	892,315	628,005		
Total other long-term debt	2,034,725	1,945,525		
Capitalized lease obligations	62,805	67,948		
Unamortized debt discount	(8,897)	(6,244)		
Total long-term debt (annual interest requirement \$377.6 million)	8,036,222	7,286,718		
Less amount due within one year	253,882	280,443		
Long-term debt excluding amount due within one year	7,782,340	7,006,275	48.8%	49.5%
Preferred and Preference Stock:				
<u>Non-cumulative preferred stock</u>				
\$25 par value 6.125%				
Authorized - 50,000,000 shares				
Outstanding - 1,800,000 shares	44,991	44,991		
<u>Non-cumulative preference stock</u>				
\$100 par value 6.50%				

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Authorized - 15,000,000 shares				
Outstanding - 2,250,000 shares	220,966	220,966		
Total preferred and preference stock (annual dividend requirement \$17.4 million)	265,957	265,957	1.7	1.9
Common Stockholder s Equity:				
Common stock, without par value				
Authorized: 20,000,000 shares				
Outstanding: 9,261,500 shares	398,473	398,473		
Paid-in capital	4,592,350	3,655,731		
Retained earnings	2,932,934	2,857,789		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(20,832)	(32,750)		
Total common stockholder s equity	7,902,925	6,879,243	49.5	48.6
Total Capitalization	\$ 15,951,222	\$ 14,151,475	100.0%	100.0%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-200

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	Number of Common				Accumulated Other Comprehensive	
	Shares Issued	Common Stock	Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Income (Loss)	Total
	<i>(in thousands)</i>					
Balance at December 31, 2006	9,262	\$398,473	\$3,039,845	\$2,529,826	\$ (11,893)	\$5,956,251
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock				836,136		836,136
Capital contributions from parent company			334,931			334,931
Other comprehensive loss					(2,000)	(2,000)
Cash dividends on common stock				(689,900)		(689,900)
Other			1	1		2
Balance at December 31, 2007	9,262	398,473	3,374,777	2,676,063	(13,893)	6,435,420
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock				902,927		902,927
Capital contributions from parent company			280,954			280,954
Other comprehensive loss					(18,857)	(18,857)
Cash dividends on common stock				(721,200)		(721,200)
Other				(1)		(1)
Balance at December 31, 2008	9,262	398,473	3,655,731	2,857,789	(32,750)	6,879,243
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock				814,045		814,045
Capital contributions from parent company			936,619			936,619
					11,918	11,918

Other comprehensive income						
Cash dividends on common stock				(738,900)		(738,900)
Balance at December 31, 2009	9,262	\$398,473	\$4,592,350	\$2,932,934	\$ (20,832)	\$7,902,925

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-201

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock	\$814,045	\$902,927	\$836,136
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Qualifying hedges:			
Changes in fair value, net of tax of \$(1,133), \$(13,150), and \$(1,831), respectively	(1,826)	(20,846)	(2,938)
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income, net of tax of \$8,651, \$1,255, and \$278, respectively	13,744	1,989	441
Marketable securities:			
Change in fair value, net of tax of \$-, \$-, and \$291, respectively			497
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	11,918	(18,857)	(2,000)
Comprehensive Income	\$825,963	\$884,070	\$834,136

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-202

Table of Contents**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES****General**

Georgia Power Company (the Company) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company, which is the parent company of four traditional operating companies, Southern Power Company (Southern Power), Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), Southern Communications Services, Inc. (SouthernLINC Wireless), Southern Company Holdings, Inc. (Southern Holdings), Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), and other direct and indirect subsidiaries. The traditional operating companies—Alabama Power Company (Alabama Power), the Company, Gulf Power Company (Gulf Power), and Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi Power)—provide electric service in four Southeastern states. The Company operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail customers within its traditional service area located within the State of Georgia and to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market. SCS, the system service company, provides at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and its subsidiary companies. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and also markets these services to the public, and provides fiber cable services within the Southeast. Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding company subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases and various other energy-related businesses. Southern Nuclear operates and provides services to Southern Company's nuclear power plants, including the Company's Plants Hatch and Vogtle.

The equity method is used for subsidiaries in which the Company has significant influence but does not control and for variable interest entities where the Company is not the primary beneficiary. Certain prior years' data presented in the financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The Company is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Georgia Public Service Commission (PSC). The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and complies with the accounting policies and practices prescribed by its regulatory commissions. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the use of estimates, and the actual results may differ from those estimates.

Affiliate Transactions

The Company has an agreement with SCS under which the following services are rendered to the Company at direct or allocated cost: general and design engineering, purchasing, accounting and statistical analysis, finance and treasury, tax, information resources, marketing, auditing, insurance and pension administration, human resources, systems and procedures, digital wireless communications, and other services with respect to business and operations and power pool operations. Costs for these services amounted to \$506 million in 2009, \$490 million in 2008, and \$449 million in 2007. Cost allocation methodologies used by SCS were approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to the repeal of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and management believes they are reasonable. The FERC permits services to be rendered at cost by system service companies.

The Company has an agreement with Southern Nuclear under which the following nuclear-related services are rendered to the Company at cost: general executive and advisory services, general operations, management and technical services, administrative services including procurement, accounting, employee relations, systems and procedures services, strategic planning and budgeting services, and other services with respect to business and operations. Costs for these services amounted to \$398 million in 2009, \$410 million in 2008, and \$380 million in 2007.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company had an agreement with Southern Power under which the Company operated and maintained Southern Power's Plants Dahlberg, Franklin, and Wansley at cost. In August 2007, that agreement was terminated and replaced with a service agreement under which the Company provides to Southern Power specifically requested services. Billings under these agreements with Southern Power amounted to \$0.5 million in 2009, \$1.9 million in 2008, and \$6.8 million in 2007.

Southern Company's 30% ownership interest in Alabama Fuel Products, LLC (AFP), which produced synthetic fuel, was terminated in July 2006. The Company had an agreement with an indirect subsidiary of Southern Company that provided services for AFP. Under this agreement, the Company provided certain accounting functions, including processing and paying fuel transportation invoices, and the Company was reimbursed for its expenses. Amounts billed under this agreement totaled approximately \$85 million in 2007. In addition, the Company purchased synthetic fuel from AFP for use at Plant Branch. Synthetic fuel purchases totaled \$278 million in 2007. The related party transactions and synthetic fuel purchases were terminated as of December 31, 2007.

The Company has entered into several power purchase agreements (PPA) with Southern Power for capacity and energy. Expenses associated with these PPAs were \$411 million, \$480 million, and \$440 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Additionally, the Company had \$24 million and \$25 million of prepaid capacity expenses included in deferred charges and other assets in the balance sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 7 under Purchased Power Commitments for additional information.

The Company has an agreement with Gulf Power under which Gulf Power jointly owns a portion of Plant Scherer. Under this agreement, the Company operates Plant Scherer and Gulf Power reimburses the Company for its proportionate share of the related non-fuel expenses, which were \$3.9 million in 2009, \$8.1 million in 2008, and \$5.1 million in 2007. See Note 4 for additional information.

The Company provides incidental services to and receives such services from other Southern Company subsidiaries which are generally minor in duration and amount. The Company neither provided nor received any significant services to or from affiliates in 2009, 2008, or 2007.

Also see Note 4 for information regarding the Company's ownership in and a PPA with Southern Electric Generating Company (SEGCO) and Note 5 for information on certain deferred tax liabilities due to affiliates.

The traditional operating companies, including the Company, and Southern Power may jointly enter into various types of wholesale energy, natural gas, and certain other contracts, either directly or through SCS as agent. Each participating company may be jointly and severally liable for the obligations incurred under these agreements. See Note 7 under Fuel Commitments for additional information.

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The Company is subject to the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board in accounting for the effects of governmental regulation. Regulatory assets represent probable future revenues associated with certain costs that are expected to be recovered from customers through the ratemaking process. Regulatory liabilities represent probable future reductions in revenues associated with amounts that are expected to be credited to customers through the ratemaking process.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Regulatory assets and (liabilities) reflected in the Company's balance sheets at December 31 relate to the following:

	2009	2008	Note
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Deferred income tax charges	\$ 609	\$ 573	(a)
Loss on reacquired debt	157	165	(b)
Vacation pay	75	71	(c, h)
Underfunded retiree benefit plans	952	921	(e, h)
Fuel-hedging (realized and unrealized) losses	82	130	(f)
Building leases	47	49	(i)
Generating plant outage costs	39	45	(j)
Other regulatory assets	49	98	(d)
Asset retirement obligations	116	209	(a, h)
Other cost of removal obligations	(341)	(397)	(a)
Deferred income tax credits	(134)	(141)	(a)
Environmental compliance cost recovery	(96)	(135)	(g)
Other regulatory liabilities	(1)	(15)	(b, d, f)
Total assets (liabilities), net	\$1,554	\$1,573	

Note: The recovery and amortization periods for these regulatory assets and (liabilities) are as follows:

- (a) Asset retirement and deferred income tax assets are recovered, and deferred tax liabilities are amortized over the related property lives, which may range up to 60 years. Asset retirement and other cost of removal liabilities will be settled and trued up

following completion of the related activities. Other cost of removal obligations include \$216 million that may be amortized during 2010. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate Plans for additional information.

- (b) Recovered over either the remaining life of the original issue or, if refinanced, over the life of the new issue which may range up to 50 years.
- (c) Recorded as earned by employees and recovered as paid, generally within one year.
- (d) Recorded and recovered or amortized as approved by the Georgia PSC over periods not exceeding three years.
- (e) Recovered and amortized over the average remaining service period

which may range up to 15 years. See Note 2 for additional information.

- (f) Fuel-hedging assets and liabilities are recorded over the life of the underlying hedged purchase contracts, which generally do not exceed 42 months. Upon final settlement, costs are recovered through the Company's fuel cost recovery mechanism.

- (g) This balance represents deferred revenue associated with the environmental compliance cost recovery (ECCR) tariff established in the 2007 Retail Rate Plan (as defined below). The recovery of the forecasted environmental compliance costs was levelized to collect equal annual amounts between January 1, 2008 and

December 31,
2010 under the
tariff.

- (h) Not earning a return as offset in rate base by a corresponding asset or liability.
- (i) See Note 6 under Capital Leases. Recovered over the remaining lives of the buildings through 2026.
- (j) See Property, Plant, and Equipment. Recovered over the respective operating cycles, which range from 18 months to 10 years.

In the event that a portion of the Company's operations is no longer subject to applicable accounting rules for rate regulation, the Company would be required to write off or reclassify to accumulated other comprehensive income related regulatory assets and liabilities that are not specifically recoverable through regulated rates. In addition, the Company would be required to determine if any impairment to other assets, including plant, exists and write down the assets, if impaired, to their fair value. All regulatory assets and liabilities are reflected in rates.

II-205

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Revenues**

Energy and other revenues are recognized as services are provided. Unbilled revenues are accrued at the end of each fiscal period. Electric rates for the Company include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs and the energy component of purchased power costs, and certain other costs. Revenues are adjusted for differences between the actual recoverable costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates.

Retail fuel cost recovery rates require periodic filings with the Georgia PSC. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Fuel Cost Recovery for information on the Company's current fuel case proceeding.

The Company has a diversified base of customers. No single customer or industry comprises 10% or more of revenues. For all periods presented, uncollectible accounts averaged less than 1% of revenues.

Fuel Costs

Fuel costs are expensed as the fuel is used. Fuel expense includes the cost of purchased emissions allowances as they are used. Fuel expense also includes the amortization of the cost of nuclear fuel and a charge, based on nuclear generation, for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. See Note 3 under Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs for additional information.

Income and Other Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provides deferred income taxes for all significant income tax temporary differences. Investment tax credits utilized are deferred and amortized to income over the average life of the related property. Taxes that are collected from customers on behalf of governmental agencies to be remitted to these agencies are presented net on the statements of income.

In accordance with accounting standards related to the uncertainty in income taxes, the Company recognizes tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities. See Note 5 under Unrecognized Tax Benefits for additional information.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment is stated at original cost, less regulatory disallowances and impairments. Original cost includes: materials; labor; minor items of property; appropriate administrative and general costs; payroll-related costs such as taxes, pensions, and other benefits; and the interest capitalized and/or cost of funds used during construction. The Company's property, plant, and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Generation	\$12,185	\$11,478
Transmission	3,891	3,764
Distribution	7,603	7,409
General	1,413	1,296
Plant acquisition adjustment	28	28
 Total plant in service	 \$25,120	 \$23,975

The cost of replacements of property, exclusive of minor items of property, is capitalized. The cost of maintenance, repairs, and replacement of minor items of property is charged to maintenance expense as incurred or performed with the exception of certain generating plant maintenance costs. As mandated by the Georgia PSC, the Company defers and amortizes nuclear refueling outage costs over the unit's operating cycle. The refueling cycles are 18 and 24 months for Plants Vogtle and Hatch, respectively. Also, in accordance with the Georgia PSC, the Company defers the costs of certain significant inspection costs for the combustion turbines at Plant McIntosh and amortizes such costs over 10 years, which approximates the expected maintenance cycle.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation of the original cost of utility plant in service is provided primarily by using composite straight-line rates, which approximated 3.0% in 2009, 2.9% in 2008, and 2.6% in 2007. Depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update the composite rates that are approved by the Georgia PSC. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company's depreciation rates were revised by the Georgia PSC.

When property subject to depreciation is retired or otherwise disposed of in the normal course of business, its original cost, together with the cost of removal, less salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation. For other property dispositions, the applicable cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the balance sheet accounts and a gain or loss is recognized. Minor items of property included in the original cost of the plant are retired when the related property unit is retired.

Under the Company's retail rate plan for the three years ended December 31, 2007 (2004 Retail Rate Plan), the Company was ordered to recognize Georgia PSC certified capacity costs in rates evenly over the three years covered by the 2004 Retail Rate Plan. The Company recorded credits to amortization of \$19 million in 2007. The retail rate plan for the three years ending December 31, 2010 (2007 Retail Rate Plan) did not include a similar order.

On August 27, 2009, the Georgia PSC approved an accounting order allowing the Company to amortize up to \$324 million of its regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate Plans for additional information.

Asset Retirement Obligations and Other Costs of Removal

Asset retirement obligations are computed as the present value of the ultimate costs for an asset's future retirement and are recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred. The costs are capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset's useful life. The Company has received accounting guidance from the Georgia PSC allowing the continued accrual of other future retirement costs for long-lived assets that the Company does not have a legal obligation to retire. Accordingly, the accumulated removal costs for these obligations are reflected in the balance sheets as a regulatory liability. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Rate Plans for additional information related to the Company's cost of removal regulatory liability.

The liability recognized to retire long-lived assets primarily relates to the Company's nuclear facilities, which include the Company's ownership interests in Plants Hatch and Vogtle. The fair value of assets legally restricted for settling retirement obligations related to nuclear facilities as of December 31, 2009 was \$580 million. In addition, the Company has retirement obligations related to various landfill sites, ash ponds, underground storage tanks, and asbestos removal. The Company also has identified retirement obligations related to certain transmission and distribution facilities, leasehold improvements, equipment on customer property, and property associated with the Company's rail lines. However, liabilities for the removal of these assets have not been recorded because the range of time over which the Company may settle these obligations is unknown and cannot be reasonably estimated. The Company will continue to recognize in the statements of income the allowed removal costs in accordance with its regulatory treatment. Any difference between costs recognized in accordance with accounting standards related to asset retirement and environmental obligations and those reflected in rates are recognized as either a regulatory asset or liability in the balance sheets as ordered by the Georgia PSC. See Nuclear Decommissioning herein for further information on amounts included in rates.

Details of the asset retirement obligations included in the balance sheets are as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Balance beginning of year	\$ 690	\$ 664
Liabilities incurred	2	4
Liabilities settled	(7)	(1)
Accretion	44	41
Cash flow revisions	(48)	(18)

Balance end of year		\$ 681	\$ 690
---------------------	--	---------------	--------

II-207

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report
Nuclear Decommissioning**

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requires licensees of commercial nuclear power reactors to establish a plan for providing reasonable assurance of funds for future decommissioning. The Company has external trust funds (the Funds) to comply with the NRC's regulations. Use of the Funds is restricted to nuclear decommissioning activities and the Funds are managed and invested in accordance with applicable requirements of various regulatory bodies, including the NRC, the FERC, and the Georgia PSC, as well as the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The Funds are required to be held by one or more trustees with an individual net worth of at least \$100 million. The FERC requires the Funds' managers to exercise the standard of care in investing that a prudent investor would use in the same circumstances. The FERC regulations also require, except for investments tied to market indices or other mutual funds, that the Funds' managers may not invest in any securities of the utility for which it manages funds or its affiliates. In addition, the NRC prohibits investments in securities of power reactor licensees. While the Company is allowed to prescribe an overall investment policy to the Funds' managers, the Company is not allowed to engage in the day-to-day management of the Funds or to mandate individual investment decisions. Day-to-day management of the investments in the Funds is delegated to unrelated third party managers with oversight by the Company's management. The Funds' managers are authorized, within broad limits, to actively buy and sell securities at their own discretion in order to maximize the return on the Funds' investments. The Funds are invested in a tax-efficient manner in a diversified mix of equity and fixed income securities and are reported as trading securities.

The Company records the investment securities held in the Funds at fair value, as disclosed in Note 10. Gains and losses, whether realized, unrealized, or identified as other-than-temporary, are recorded in the regulatory liability for asset retirement obligations in the balance sheets and are not included in net income or other comprehensive income. Fair value adjustments, realized gains, and other-than-temporary impairment losses are determined on a specific identification basis.

At December 31, 2009, investment securities in the Funds totaled \$580.0 million consisting of equity securities of \$428.6 million, debt securities of \$138.0 million, and \$13.4 million of other securities. At December 31, 2008, investment securities in the Funds totaled \$459.1 million, consisting of equity securities of \$261.4 million, debt securities of \$187.3 million, and \$10.4 million of other securities. These amounts exclude receivables related to investment income and pending investment sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases. Sales of the securities held in the Funds resulted in cash proceeds of \$984.3 million, \$412.2 million, and \$441.4 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, all of which were re-invested. For 2009, fair value increases, including reinvested interest and dividends and excluding expenses, were \$118.7 million, of which \$117.8 million relates to securities held in the Funds at December 31, 2009. For 2008, fair value reductions, including reinvested interest and dividends and excluding expenses, were \$(143.9) million. Realized gains and other-than-temporary impairment losses were \$43.7 million and \$(39.1) million, respectively, in 2007. While the investment securities held in the Funds are reported as trading securities, the Funds continue to be managed with a long-term focus. Accordingly, all purchases and sales within the Funds are presented separately in the statement of cash flows as investing cash flows, consistent with the nature of and purpose for which the securities were acquired.

The NRC's minimum external funding requirements are based on a generic estimate of the cost to decommission only the radioactive portions of a nuclear unit based on the size and type of reactor. The Company has filed plans with the NRC designed to ensure that, over time, the deposits and earnings of the external trust funds will provide the minimum funding amounts prescribed by the NRC.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Site study cost is the estimate to decommission a specific facility as of the site study year. The estimated costs of decommissioning are based on the most current study performed in 2009. The site study costs and accumulated provisions for decommissioning as of December 31, 2009 based on the Company's ownership interests were as follows:

	Plant Hatch	Plant Vogle
Decommissioning periods:		
Beginning year	2034	2047
Completion year	2063	2067
		<i>(in millions)</i>
Site study costs:		
Radiated structures	\$ 583	\$ 500
Non-radiated structures	46	71
Total site study costs	\$ 629	\$ 571
Accumulated provision	\$ 360	\$ 206

The decommissioning periods and site study costs for Plant Vogtle reflect the extended operating license approved by the NRC on June 3, 2009. The decommissioning cost estimates are based on prompt dismantlement and removal of the plant from service. The actual decommissioning costs may vary from these estimates because of changes in the assumed date of decommissioning, changes in NRC requirements, or changes in the assumptions used in making these estimates.

For ratemaking purposes, the Company's decommissioning costs are based on the NRC generic estimate to decommission the radioactive portion of the facilities. The annual decommissioning costs for ratemaking were \$7 million for Plant Vogtle for 2007. Under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, effective for the years 2008 through 2010, the annual decommissioning cost for ratemaking is \$3 million for Plant Vogtle. Based on estimates approved in the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, the Company projected the external trust funds for Plant Hatch would be adequate to meet the decommissioning obligations with no further contributions. The NRC estimates are \$531 million and \$366 million for Plants Hatch and Vogtle, respectively. Significant assumptions used to determine the costs for ratemaking include an estimated inflation rate of 2.9% and an estimated trust earnings rate of 4.9%. The Company expects the Georgia PSC to periodically review and adjust, if necessary, the amounts collected in rates for nuclear decommissioning costs.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) and Interest Capitalized

In accordance with regulatory treatment, the Company records AFUDC, which represents the estimated debt and equity costs of capital funds that are necessary to finance the construction of new facilities. While cash is not realized currently from such allowance, it increases the revenue requirement over the service life of the plant through a higher rate base and higher depreciation. The equity component of AFUDC is not included in calculating taxable income. For the years 2009, 2008, and 2007, the average AFUDC rates were 8.0%, 8.2%, and 8.4%, respectively, and AFUDC capitalized was \$136.6 million, \$135.1 million, and \$96.8 million, respectively. AFUDC, net of taxes, was 14.9%, 13.3%, and 10.3% of net income after dividends on preferred and preference stock for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangibles

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on either a specific regulatory disallowance or an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with the carrying value of the assets. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by either the amount of regulatory disallowance or by estimating the fair value of the assets and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. For assets identified as held for sale, the carrying value is compared to the estimated fair value less the cost to sell to determine if an impairment loss is required. Until the assets are disposed of, their estimated fair value is re-evaluated when circumstances or events change.

II-209

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Storm Damage Reserve**

The Company maintains a reserve for property damage to cover the cost of damages from major storms to its transmission and distribution lines and the cost of uninsured damages to its generation facilities and other property as mandated by the Georgia PSC. In 2007, the Company accrued \$6.6 million annually that was recoverable through base rates. Effective January 1, 2008, the Company is accruing \$21.4 million annually under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan. The Company expects the Georgia PSC to periodically review and adjust, if necessary, the amounts collected in rates for storm damage costs.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the financial statements, temporary cash investments are considered cash equivalents. Temporary cash investments are securities with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Materials and Supplies

Generally, materials and supplies include the average costs of transmission, distribution, and generating plant materials. Materials are charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed or capitalized to plant, as appropriate, at weighted average cost when installed.

Fuel Inventory

Fuel inventory includes the average costs of oil, coal, natural gas, and emissions allowances. Fuel is charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed as used and recovered by the Company through fuel cost recovery rates approved by the Georgia PSC. Emissions allowances granted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are included in inventory at zero cost.

Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to limit exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, the prices of certain fuel purchases, and electricity purchases and sales. All derivative financial instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities (included in Other or shown separately as Risk Management Activities) and are measured at fair value. See Note 10 for additional information. Substantially all of the Company's bulk energy purchases and sales contracts that meet the definition of a derivative are exempt from fair value accounting requirements and are accounted for under the accrual method. Other derivative contracts qualify as cash flow hedges of anticipated transactions or are recoverable through the Georgia PSC-approved fuel hedging program. This results in the deferral of related gains and losses in other comprehensive income or regulatory assets and liabilities, respectively, until the hedged transactions occur. Any ineffectiveness arising from cash flow hedges is recognized currently in net income. Other derivative contracts are marked to market through current period income and are recorded on a net basis in the statements of income. See Note 11 for additional information.

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for multiple derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. Additionally, the Company has no outstanding collateral repayment obligations or rights to reclaim collateral arising from derivative instruments recognized at December 31, 2009.

The Company is exposed to losses related to financial instruments in the event of counterparties' nonperformance. The Company has established controls to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties in order to mitigate the Company's exposure to counterparty credit risk.

Comprehensive Income

The objective of comprehensive income is to report a measure of all changes in common stock equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners. Comprehensive income consists of net income, changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges and marketable securities, and reclassifications for amounts included in net income.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Variable Interest Entities**

The primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity must consolidate the related assets and liabilities. The Company has established certain wholly-owned trusts to issue preferred securities. However, the Company is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. Therefore, the investments in these trusts are reflected as Other Investments, and the related loans from the trusts are reflected as Long-term Debt in the balance sheets. See Note 6 under Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Trusts for additional information.

2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company has a defined benefit, trusteed, pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan is funded in accordance with requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA). No contributions to the defined benefit plan are expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The Company also provides certain defined benefit pension plans for a selected group of management and highly compensated employees. Benefits under these non-qualified pension plans are funded on a cash basis. In addition, the Company provides certain medical care and life insurance benefits for retired employees through other postretirement benefit plans. The Company funds trusts to the extent required by the FERC. For the year ending December 31, 2010, postretirement trust contributions are expected to total approximately \$31 million.

The measurement date for plan assets and obligations for 2009 and 2008 was December 31 while the measurement date for prior years was September 30. Pursuant to accounting standards related to defined postretirement benefit plans, the Company was required to change the measurement date for its defined postretirement benefit plans from September 30 to December 31 beginning with the year ended December 31, 2008. As permitted, the Company adopted the measurement date provisions effective January 1, 2008 resulting in an increase in long-term liabilities of \$10 million and an increase in prepaid pension costs of approximately \$10 million.

Pension Plans

The total accumulated benefit obligation for the pension plans was \$2.4 billion in 2009 and \$2.1 billion in 2008. Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the projected benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$2,238	\$2,178
Service cost	48	62
Interest cost	147	167
Benefits paid	(122)	(133)
Actuarial loss (gain)	206	(36)
Balance at end of year	2,517	2,238
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	2,038	3,073
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	314	(910)
Employer contributions	7	8
Benefits paid	(122)	(133)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	2,237	2,038

Accrued liability	\$ (280)	\$ (200)
-------------------	----------	----------

At December 31, 2009, the projected benefit obligations for the qualified and non-qualified pension plans were \$2.4 billion and \$135 million, respectively. All pension plan assets are related to the qualified pension plan.

II-211

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Pension plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code). In 2009, in determining the optimal asset allocation for the pension fund, the Company performed an extensive study based on projections of both assets and liabilities over a 10-year forward horizon. The primary goal of the study was to maximize plan funded status. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk.

The actual composition of the Company's pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	29%	33%	34%
International equity	28	29	23
Fixed income	15	15	14
Special situations	3		
Real estate investments	15	13	19
Private equity	10	10	10
Total	100%	100%	100%

The investment strategy for plan assets related to the Company's defined benefit plan is to be broadly diversified across major asset classes. The asset allocation is established after consideration of various factors that affect the assets and liabilities of the pension plan including, but not limited to, historical and expected returns, volatility, correlations of asset classes, the current level of assets and liabilities, and the assumed growth in assets and liabilities. Because a significant portion of the liability of the pension plan is long-term in nature, the assets are invested consistent with long-term investment expectations for return and risk. To manage the actual asset class exposures relative to the target asset allocation, the Company employs a formal rebalancing program. As additional risk management, external investment managers and service providers are subject to written guidelines to ensure appropriate and prudent investment practices.

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed through an allocation to long-dated, investment grade corporate and government bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

II-212

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The fair values of pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 444	\$ 184	\$	\$ 628
International equity*	574	57		631
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		165		165
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		45		45
Corporate bonds		111		111
Pooled funds		4		4
Cash equivalents and other	1	136		137
Special situations				
Real estate investments	69		217	286
Private equity			221	221
Total	\$ 1,088	\$ 702	\$ 438	\$ 2,228
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(2)			(2)
Total	\$ 1,086	\$ 702	\$ 438	\$ 2,226

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

	Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs	Significant Unobservable Inputs

As of December 31, 2008:	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)	Total
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 419	\$ 171	\$	\$ 590
International equity*	377	35		412
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		176		176
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		84		84
Corporate bonds		114		114
Pooled funds		1		1
Cash equivalents and other	9	81		90
Special situations				
Real estate investments	58		336	394
Private equity			196	196
Total	\$ 863	\$ 662	\$ 532	\$ 2,057
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(3)			(3)
Total	\$ 860	\$ 662	\$ 532	\$ 2,054

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the pension plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate	Private	Real Estate	Private
	Investments	Equity	Investments	Equity
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Beginning balance	\$ 336	\$ 196	\$ 418	\$ 208
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(98)	14	(68)	(56)
Related to investments sold during the year	(26)	4	2	10
Total return on investments	(124)	18	(66)	(46)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	5	7	(16)	34
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 217	\$ 221	\$ 336	\$ 196

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued utilizing matrix pricing, a common model utilizing observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's pension plans consist of the following:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 734	\$ 642
Current liabilities, other	(8)	(7)
Employee benefit obligations	(272)	(193)

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the defined benefit pension plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net(Gain)Loss
		<i>(in millions)</i>
Balance at December 31, 2009:	\$73	\$ 661
Balance at December 31, 2008:	\$87	\$ 555
Estimated amortization in net periodic pension cost in 2010:	\$13	\$ 2

II-214

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The changes in the balances of regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities related to the defined benefit pension plans for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets <i>(in millions)</i>	Regulatory Liabilities
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 64	\$ (540)
Net loss	585	554
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(4)	(14)
Amortization of net gain	(3)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(7)	(14)
Total change	578	540
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 642	\$
Net loss	108	
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(14)	
Amortization of net gain	(2)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(16)	
Total change	92	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 734	\$

Components of net periodic pension cost (income) were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Service cost	\$ 48	\$ 49	\$ 51
Interest cost	147	134	126
Expected return on plan assets	(216)	(211)	(195)
Recognized net loss	2	3	3
Net amortization	14	14	14
Net periodic pension cost (income)	\$ (5)	\$ (11)	\$ (1)

Net periodic pension cost (income) is the sum of service cost, interest cost, and other costs netted against the expected return on plan assets. The expected return on plan assets is determined by multiplying the expected rate of return on plan assets and the market-related value of plan assets. In determining the market-related value of plan assets, the Company has elected to amortize changes in the market value of all plan assets over five years rather than recognize the changes immediately. As a result, the accounting value of plan assets that is used to calculate the expected return

on plan assets differs from the current fair value of the plan assets.

Future benefit payments reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the projected benefit obligation for the pension plans. At December 31, 2009, estimated benefit payments were as follows:

	Benefit Payments <i>(in millions)</i>
2010	\$ 135
2011	140
2012	144
2013	151
2014	162
2015 to 2019	929

II-215

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Other Postretirement Benefits**

Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligations (APBO) and in the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 772	\$ 798
Service cost	10	13
Interest cost	50	61
Benefits paid	(43)	(47)
Actuarial loss (gain)	8	(57)
Plan amendments	(18)	
Retiree drug subsidy	3	4
Balance at end of year	782	772
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	312	427
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	66	(131)
Employer contributions	31	59
Benefits paid	(40)	(43)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	369	312
Accrued liability	\$(413)	\$(460)

Other postretirement benefit plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk. The actual composition of the Company's other postretirement benefit plan assets as of the end of the year, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	41%	34%	38%
International equity	22	29	21
Fixed income	31	32	35
Special situations	1		
Real estate investments	3	3	4
Private equity	2	2	2
Total	100%	100%	100%

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio comprises both domestic and international bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Trust-owned life insurance. Some of the Company's taxable trusts invest in these investments in order to minimize the impact of taxes on the portfolio.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

II-216

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

The fair values of other postretirement benefit plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 82	\$ 29	\$	\$ 111
International equity*	20	31		51
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		5		5
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		2		2
Corporate bonds		4		4
Pooled funds		17		17
Cash equivalents and other		26		26
Trust-owned life insurance		126		126
Special situations				
Real estate investments	2		8	10
Private equity			8	8
Total	\$ 104	\$ 240	\$ 16	\$ 360

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

	Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical	Significant Other Observable	Significant Unobservable

As of December 31, 2008:	Assets (Level 1)	Inputs (Level 2)	Inputs (Level 3)	Total
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 69	\$ 34	\$	\$ 103
International equity*	13	21		34
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		5		5
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		3		3
Corporate bonds		4		4
Pooled funds		9		9
Cash equivalents and other		22		22
Trust-owned life insurance		110		110
Special situations				
Real estate investments	2		12	14
Private equity			7	7
Total	\$ 84	\$ 208	\$ 19	\$ 311

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

II-217

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the other postretirement benefit plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate	Private Equity	Real Estate	Private Equity
	Investments	Equity <i>(in millions)</i>	Investments	Equity
Beginning balance	\$ 12	\$ 7	\$ 14	\$ 7
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(3)	1	(1)	(1)
Related to investments sold during the year	(1)			
Total return on investments	(4)	1	(1)	(1)
Purchases, sales, and settlements			(1)	1
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$ 12	\$ 7

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued utilizing matrix pricing, a common model utilizing observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's other postretirement benefit plans consist of the following:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 202	\$ 261
Employee benefit obligations	(413)	(460)

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the other postretirement benefit plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic postretirement benefit cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net(Gain) Loss <i>(in millions)</i>	Transition Obligation
Balance at December 31, 2009:	\$11	\$167	\$ 24
Balance at December 31, 2008:	\$20	\$ 198	\$ 43
Estimated amortization as net periodic postretirement benefit cost in 2010:	\$ 1	\$ 3	\$ 6

II-218

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The components of other comprehensive income, along with the changes in the balance of regulatory assets, related to the other postretirement benefit plans for the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets <i>(in millions)</i>
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 171
Net loss	110
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(11)
Amortization of prior service costs	(3)
Amortization of net gain	(6)
Total reclassification adjustments	(20)
Total change	90
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 261
Net gain	(28)
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	(18)
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(8)
Amortization of prior service costs	(2)
Amortization of net gain	(3)
Total reclassification adjustments	(13)
Total change	(59)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 202

Components of the other postretirement benefit plans net periodic cost were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Service cost	\$ 10	\$ 10	\$ 10
Interest cost	50	50	47
Expected return on plan assets	(30)	(30)	(26)
Net amortization	13	16	19
Net postretirement cost	\$ 43	\$ 46	\$ 50

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Act) provides a 28% prescription drug subsidy for Medicare eligible retirees. The effect of the subsidy reduced the Company's expenses for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 by approximately \$14 million, \$14 million, and \$14 million, respectively, and is expected to have a similar impact on future expenses.

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Future benefit payments, including prescription drug benefits, reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the APBO for the postretirement plans. Estimated benefit payments are reduced by drug subsidy receipts expected as a result of the Medicare Act as follows:

	Benefit Payments	Subsidy Receipts <i>(in millions)</i>	Total
2010	\$ 50	\$ (4)	\$ 46
2011	53	(4)	49
2012	56	(4)	52
2013	58	(5)	53
2014	60	(6)	54
2015 to 2019	317	(38)	279

II-219

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Actuarial Assumptions**

The weighted average rates assumed in the actuarial calculations used to determine both the benefit obligations as of the measurement date and the net periodic costs for the pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the following year are presented below. Net periodic benefit costs were calculated in 2006 for the 2007 plan year using a discount rate of 6.00% and an annual salary increase of 3.50%.

	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate:			
Pension plans	5.93%	6.75%	6.30%
Other postretirement benefit plans	5.83	6.75	6.30
Annual salary increase	4.18	3.75	3.75
Long-term return on plan assets:			
Pension plans	8.50	8.50	8.50
Other postretirement benefit plans	7.35	7.38	7.37

The Company estimates the expected rate of return on pension plan and other postretirement benefit plan assets using a financial model to project the expected return on each current investment portfolio. The analysis projects an expected rate of return on each of seven different asset classes in order to arrive at the expected return on the entire portfolio relying on each trust's target asset allocation and reasonable capital market assumptions. The financial model is based on four key inputs: anticipated returns by asset class (based in part on historical returns), each trust's asset allocation, an anticipated inflation rate, and the projected impact of a periodic rebalancing of each trust's portfolio. An additional assumption used in measuring the APBO was a weighted average medical care cost trend rate of 8.50% for 2010, decreasing gradually to 5.25% through the year 2016 and remaining at that level thereafter. An annual increase or decrease in the assumed medical care cost trend rate of 1% would affect the APBO and the service and interest cost components at December 31, 2009 as follows:

	1 Percent Increase	1 Percent Decrease
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Benefit obligation	\$58	\$51
Service and interest costs	4	4

Employee Savings Plan

The Company also sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering substantially all employees. The Company provides an 85% matching contribution up to 6% of an employee's base salary. Total matching contributions made to the plan for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$25 million, \$25 million, and \$24 million, respectively.

3. CONTINGENCIES AND REGULATORY MATTERS**General Litigation Matters**

The Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse

gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

II-220

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Environmental Matters*****New Source Review Actions***

In November 1999, the EPA brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including the Company, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. The action was filed concurrently with the issuance of a notice of violation of the NSR provisions to the Company. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and the Company. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The original action, now solely against the Company, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome in this matter could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that

the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the

II-221

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

The Company must comply with environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company may also incur substantial costs to clean up properties.

In 2007, the Company's rates included an annual accrual of \$5.4 million for environmental remediation. Beginning in January 2008, the Company is recovering environmental remediation costs through a new base rate tariff (see Retail Regulatory Matters - Rate Plans herein) that includes an annual accrual of \$1.2 million for environmental remediation. Environmental remediation expenditures are charged against the reserve as they are incurred. The annual accrual amount is expected to be reviewed and adjusted in future regulatory proceedings. As of December 31, 2009, the balance of the environmental remediation liability was \$12.5 million.

The Company has been designated or identified as a potentially responsible party (PRP) at sites governed by the Georgia Hazardous Site Response Act and/or by the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), including a large site in Brunswick, Georgia on the CERCLA National Priorities List (NPL). The parties have completed the removal of wastes from the Brunswick site as ordered by the EPA. Additional claims for recovery of natural resource damages at this site or for the assessment and potential cleanup of other sites on the Georgia Hazardous Sites Inventory and the CERCLA NPL are anticipated. The final outcome of these matters cannot now be determined. Based on the currently known conditions at these sites and the nature and extent of activities relating to these sites, management does not believe that additional liabilities, if any, at these sites would be material to the financial statements.

By letter dated September 30, 2008, the EPA advised the Company that it has been designated as a PRP at the Ward Transformer Superfund site located in Raleigh, North Carolina. Numerous other entities have also received notices from the EPA. The Company, along with other named PRPs, is negotiating with the EPA to address cleanup of the site and reimbursement for past expenditures related to work performed at the site. In addition, on April 30, 2009, two PRPs filed separate actions in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina against numerous other PRPs, including the Company, seeking contribution from the defendants for expenses incurred by the plaintiffs related to work performed at a portion of the site. The ultimate outcome of these matters will depend upon further environmental assessment and the ultimate number of PRPs and cannot be determined at this time; however, it is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

FERC Matters***Market-Based Rate Authority***

The Company has authorization from the FERC to sell power to non-affiliates, including short-term opportunity sales, at market-based prices. Specific FERC approval must be obtained with respect to a market-based contract with an affiliate.

In December 2004, the FERC initiated a proceeding to assess Southern Company's generation market power within its retail service territory. The ability to charge market-based rates in other markets was not an issue in the proceeding. Any new market-based rate sales by the Company in Southern Company's retail service territory entered into during a 15-month refund period that ended in May 2006 could have been subject to refund to a cost-based rate level.

II-222

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

On December 23, 2009, Southern Company and the FERC trial staff reached an agreement in principle that would resolve the proceeding in its entirety. The agreement does not reflect any finding or suggestion that the Company possesses or has exercised any market power. The agreement likewise does not require the Company to make any refunds related to sales during the 15-month refund period. Under the agreement, the Company will donate \$0.7 million to nonprofit organizations in the State of Georgia for the purpose of offsetting the electricity bills of low-income retail customers. The agreement is subject to review and approval by the FERC.

Intercompany Interchange Contract

The Company's generation fleet is operated under the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the FERC. In May 2005, the FERC initiated a new proceeding to examine (1) the provisions of the IIC among the traditional operating companies (including the Company), Southern Power, and SCS, as agent, under the terms of which the power pool of Southern Company is operated, (2) whether any parties to the IIC have violated the FERC's standards of conduct applicable to utility companies that are transmission providers, and (3) whether Southern Company's code of conduct defining Southern Power as a system company rather than a marketing affiliate is just and reasonable. In connection with the formation of Southern Power, the FERC authorized Southern Power's inclusion in the IIC in 2000. The FERC also previously approved Southern Company's code of conduct.

In October 2006, the FERC issued an order accepting a settlement resolving the proceeding subject to Southern Company's agreement to accept certain modifications to the settlement's terms. Southern Company notified the FERC that it accepted the modifications. The modifications largely involve functional separation and information restrictions related to marketing activities conducted on behalf of Southern Power. In November 2006, Southern Company filed with the FERC a compliance plan in connection with the order. In April 2007, the FERC approved, with certain modifications, the plan submitted by Southern Company. Implementation of the plan did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In November 2007, Southern Company notified the FERC that the plan had been implemented. In December 2008, the FERC division of audits issued for public comment its final audit report pertaining to compliance implementation and related matters. No comments were submitted challenging the audit report's findings of Southern Company's compliance. The proceeding remains open pending a decision from the FERC regarding the audit report.

Income Tax Matters

The Company's 2005 through 2008 income tax filings for the State of Georgia included state income tax credits for increased activity through Georgia ports. The Company has also filed similar claims for the years 2002 through 2004. The Georgia Department of Revenue has not responded to these claims. In July 2007, the Company filed a complaint in the Superior Court of Fulton County to recover the credits claimed for the years 2002 through 2004. An unrecognized tax benefit has been recorded related to these credits. See Note 5 under "Unrecognized Tax Benefits" for additional information. If the Company prevails, these claims could have a significant, and possibly material, positive effect on the Company's net income. If the Company is not successful, payment of the related state tax could have a significant, and possibly material, negative effect on the Company's cash flow. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

Nuclear Fuel Disposal Costs

The Company has contracts with the United States, acting through the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), which provide for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel. The DOE failed to begin disposing of spent nuclear fuel in 1998 as required by the contracts, and the Company is pursuing legal remedies against the government for breach of contract.

In July 2007, the U.S. Court of Federal Claims awarded the Company approximately \$30 million, based on its ownership interests, representing substantially all of the direct costs of the expansion of spent nuclear fuel storage facilities at Plants Hatch and Vogtle from 1998 through 2004. In November 2007, the government's motion for reconsideration was denied. In January 2008, the government filed an appeal and, in February 2008, filed a motion to stay the appeal. In April 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit granted the government's motion to stay the appeal pending the court's decisions in three other similar cases already on appeal. Those cases were decided

in August 2008. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has left the stay of appeals in place pending the decision in an appeal of another case involving spent nuclear fuel contracts.

In April 2008, a second claim against the government was filed for damages incurred after December 31, 2004 (the court-mandated cut-off in the original claim), due to the government's alleged continuing breach of contract. In October 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit denied a similar request by the government to stay this proceeding. The complaint does not contain

II-223

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

any specific dollar amount for recovery of damages. Damages will continue to accumulate until the issue is resolved or the storage is provided. No amounts have been recognized in the financial statements as of December 31, 2009 for either claim. The final outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time, but no material impact on net income is expected as any damage amounts collected from the government are expected to be returned to customers. Sufficient pool storage capacity for spent fuel is available at Plant Vogtle to maintain full-core discharge capability for both units into 2014. Construction of an on-site dry storage facility at Plant Vogtle is expected to begin in sufficient time to maintain pool full-core discharge capability. At Plant Hatch, an on-site dry storage facility is operational and can be expanded to accommodate spent fuel through the expected life of the plant.

Retail Regulatory Matters***Rate Plans***

In December 2004, the Georgia PSC approved the Company's retail rate plan for the years 2005 through 2007 (2004 Retail Rate Plan). Under the terms of the 2004 Retail Rate Plan, the Company's earnings were evaluated against a retail return on equity (ROE) range of 10.25% to 12.25%. Two-thirds of any earnings above 12.25% were applied to rate refunds, with the remaining one-third retained by the Company. Retail rates and customer fees increased by approximately \$203 million effective January 1, 2005 to cover the higher costs of purchased power, operating and maintenance expenses, environmental compliance, and continued investment in new generation, transmission, and distribution facilities to support growth and ensure reliability. In 2007, the Company refunded 2005 earnings above 12.25% retail ROE. There were no refunds related to earnings for 2007.

In December 2007, the Georgia PSC approved the 2007 Retail Rate Plan for the years 2008 through 2010. Under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, the Company's earnings are evaluated against a retail ROE range of 10.25% to 12.25%. Retail base rates increased by approximately \$100 million effective January 1, 2008 to provide for cost recovery of transmission, distribution, generation, and other investments, as well as increased operating costs. In addition, the ECCR tariff was implemented to allow for the recovery of costs related to environmental projects mandated by state and federal regulations. The ECCR tariff increased rates by approximately \$222 million effective January 1, 2008. In connection with the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, the Company agreed that it would not file for a general base rate increase during this period unless its projected retail ROE falls below 10.25%. The economic recession has significantly reduced the Company's revenues upon which retail rates were set under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan. In June 2009, despite stringent efforts to reduce expenses, the Company's projected retail ROE for both 2009 and 2010 was below 10.25%. However, in lieu of filing to increase customer rates as allowed under the 2007 Retail Rate Plan, on June 29, 2009, the Company filed a request with the Georgia PSC for an accounting order that would allow the Company to amortize up to \$324 million of its regulatory liability related to other cost of removal obligations. On August 27, 2009, the Georgia PSC approved the accounting order. Under the terms of the accounting order, the Company was entitled to amortize up to one-third of the regulatory liability (\$108 million) in 2009, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 9.75% retail ROE. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company amortized \$41 million of the regulatory liability. In addition, the Company may amortize up to two-thirds of the regulatory liability (\$216 million) in 2010, limited to the amount needed to earn no more than a 10.15% retail ROE. The Company is required to file a general rate case by July 1, 2010, in response to which the Georgia PSC would be expected to determine whether the 2007 Retail Rate Plan should be continued, modified, or discontinued.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company has established fuel cost recovery rates approved by the Georgia PSC. In February 2007, the Georgia PSC approved an increase in the Company's total annual billings of approximately \$383 million effective March 1, 2007. On May 20, 2008, the Georgia PSC approved an additional increase of approximately \$222 million effective June 1, 2008. The order in that case required the Company to file a new fuel cost recovery rate by March 1, 2009, which was subsequently approved by the Georgia PSC to be delayed until December 15, 2009. On December 15, 2009, the Company filed for a fuel cost recovery increase with the Georgia PSC. On February 22, 2010, the Company, the Georgia PSC Public Interest Advocacy Staff, and three customer groups entered into a stipulation to resolve the case, subject to approval by the Georgia PSC (the Stipulation). Under the terms of the Stipulation, the Company's

annual fuel cost recovery billings will increase by approximately \$425 million. In addition, the Company will implement an interim fuel rider, which would allow the Company to adjust its fuel cost recovery rates prior to the next fuel case if the under recovered fuel balance exceeds budget by more than \$75 million. The Company is required to file its next fuel case by March 1, 2011. The Georgia PSC is scheduled to vote on the Stipulation on March 11, 2010 with the new fuel rates to become effective April 1, 2010. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

II-224

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had a total under recovered fuel cost balance of approximately \$764.4 million. As of December 31, 2009, the Company's under recovered fuel balance totaled approximately \$665 million, which if the Stipulation is approved, the Company will recover over 32 months beginning April 1, 2010. Therefore, approximately \$373 million of the under recovered regulatory clause revenues for the Company is included in deferred charges and other assets at December 31, 2009.

Fuel cost recovery revenues as recorded in the financial statements are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, a change in the billing factor has no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income, but does impact annual cash flow.

Construction***Nuclear***

On August 26, 2009, the NRC issued an Early Site Permit and Limited Work Authorization to Southern Nuclear, on behalf of the Company, Oglethorpe Power Corporation (OPC), the Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia (MEAG Power), and the City of Dalton, Georgia, an incorporated municipality in the State of Georgia acting by and through its Board of Water, Light, and Sinking Fund Commissioners (collectively, Owners), related to two additional nuclear units on the site of Plant Vogtle (Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4). See Note 4 for additional information on these co-owners. In March 2008, Southern Nuclear filed an application with the NRC for a combined construction and operating license (COL) for the new units. If licensed by the NRC, Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 are scheduled to be placed in service in 2016 and 2017, respectively.

In April 2008, the Company, acting for itself and as agent for the Owners, and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse Electric Company LLC (Westinghouse) and Stone & Webster, Inc. (collectively, Consortium) entered into an engineering, procurement, and construction agreement to design, engineer, procure, construct, and test two AP1000 nuclear units with electric generating capacity of approximately 1,100 megawatts each and related facilities, structures, and improvements at Plant Vogtle (Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement).

The Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement is an arrangement whereby the Consortium supplies and constructs the entire facility with the exception of certain items provided by the Owners. Under the terms of the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement, the Owners agreed to pay a purchase price that will be subject to certain price escalations and adjustments, including certain index-based adjustments, as well as adjustments for change orders, and performance bonuses for early completion and unit performance. Each Owner is severally (and not jointly) liable for its proportionate share, based on its ownership interest, of all amounts owed to the Consortium under the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement. The Company's proportionate share is 45.7%.

On February 23, 2010, the Company, acting for itself and as agent for the Owners, and the Consortium entered into an amendment to the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement. The amendment, which is subject to the approval of the Georgia PSC, replaces certain of the index-based adjustments to the purchase price with fixed escalation amounts.

The Owners and the Consortium have agreed to certain liquidated damages upon the Consortium's failure to comply with the schedule and performance guarantees. The Consortium's liability to the Owners for schedule and performance liquidated damages and warranty claims is subject to a cap.

Certain payment obligations of Westinghouse and Stone & Webster, Inc. under the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement are guaranteed by Toshiba Corporation and The Shaw Group, Inc., respectively. In the event of certain credit rating downgrades of any Owner, such Owner will be required to provide a letter of credit or other credit enhancement.

The Owners may terminate the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement at any time for their convenience, provided that the Owners will be required to pay certain termination costs and, at certain stages of the work, cancellation fees to the Consortium. The Consortium may terminate the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement under certain circumstances, including delays in receipt of the COL or delivery of full notice to proceed, certain Owner suspension or delays of work, action by a governmental authority to permanently stop work, certain breaches of the Vogtle 3 and 4 Agreement by the Owners, Owner insolvency, and certain other events.

On March 17, 2009, the Georgia PSC voted to certify construction of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 at an in-service cost of \$6.4 billion. In addition, the Georgia PSC voted to approve the inclusion of the related construction work in

progress accounts in rate base.

II-225

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

On April 21, 2009, the Governor of the State of Georgia signed into law the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act that will allow the Company to recover financing costs for nuclear construction projects by including the related construction work in progress accounts in rate base during the construction period. The cost recovery provisions will become effective on January 1, 2011. With respect to Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4, this legislation allows the Company to recover projected financing costs of approximately \$1.7 billion during the construction period beginning in 2011, which reduces the projected in-service cost to approximately \$4.4 billion.

On June 15, 2009, an environmental group filed a petition in the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia seeking review of the Georgia PSC's certification order and challenging the constitutionality of the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act. The Company believes there is no meritorious basis for this petition and intends to vigorously defend against the requested actions.

On August 27, 2009, the NRC issued letters to Westinghouse revising the review schedules needed to certify the AP1000 standard design for new reactors and expressing concerns related to the availability of adequate information and the shield building design. The shield building protects the containment and provides structural support to the containment cooling water supply. The Company is continuing to work with Westinghouse and the NRC to resolve these concerns. Any possible delays in the AP1000 design certification schedule, including those addressed by the NRC in their letters, are not currently expected to affect the projected commercial operation dates for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

There are pending technical and procedural challenges to the construction and licensing of Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4. Similar additional challenges at the state and federal level are expected as construction proceeds.

On August 31, 2009, the Company filed with the Georgia PSC its first semi-annual construction monitoring report for Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4 for the period ended June 30, 2009 which did not include any proposed change to the estimated construction cost as certified by the Georgia PSC in March 2009. On February 25, 2010, the Georgia PSC approved the expenditures made by the Company pursuant to the certification through June 30, 2009. The Georgia PSC also ordered that in its future semi-annual construction monitoring reports, the Company will report against a total certified cost of approximately \$6.1 billion, which is the effective certified amount after giving effect to the Georgia Nuclear Energy Financing Act as described above. The Company will continue to file construction monitoring reports by February 28 and August 31 of each year during the construction period.

The ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Other Construction

On August 10, 2009, the Company filed its quarterly construction monitoring report for Plant McDonough Units 4, 5, and 6 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. On September 30, 2009, the Company amended the report. As amended, the report includes a request for an increase in the certified costs to construct Plant McDonough. The Georgia PSC held a hearing in December 2009 and is scheduled to render its decision on March 16, 2010. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

4. JOINT OWNERSHIP AGREEMENTS

The Company and Alabama Power own equally all of the outstanding capital stock of SEGCO, which owns electric generating units with a total rated capacity of 1,020 megawatts, as well as associated transmission facilities. The capacity of these units is sold equally to the Company and Alabama Power under a contract which, in substance, requires payments sufficient to provide for the operating expenses, taxes, debt service, and return on investment, whether or not SEGCO has any capacity and energy available. The term of the contract extends automatically for two-year periods, subject to either party's right to cancel upon two year's notice. The Company accounts for SEGCO using the equity method.

The Company's share of expenses included in purchased power from affiliates in the statements of income is as follows:

2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>	

Energy	\$44	\$ 86	\$ 66
Capacity	43	41	42
Total	\$87	\$127	\$108

II-226

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company owns undivided interests in Plants Vogtle, Hatch, Scherer, and Wansley in varying amounts jointly with OPC, MEAG Power, Dalton, Florida Power & Light Company, Jacksonville Electric Authority, and Gulf Power. Under these agreements, the Company has contracted to operate and maintain the plants as agent for the co-owners and is jointly and severally liable for third party claims related to these plants. In addition, the Company jointly owns the Rocky Mountain pumped storage hydroelectric plant with OPC who is the operator of the plant. The Company and Florida Power Corporation (Progress Energy Florida) jointly own a combustion turbine unit (Intercession City) operated by Progress Energy Florida.

At December 31, 2009, the Company's percentage ownership and investment (exclusive of nuclear fuel) in jointly owned facilities in commercial operation were as follows:

Facility (Type)	Company Ownership	Investment <i>(in millions)</i>	Accumulated Depreciation
Plant Vogtle (nuclear) Units 1 and 2	45.7%	\$3,285	\$ 1,916
Plant Hatch (nuclear)	50.1	937	522
Plant Wansley (coal)	53.5	696	195
Plant Scherer (coal) Units 1 and 2	8.4	133	70
Unit 3	75.0	723	339
Rocky Mountain (pumped storage)	25.4	175	106
Intercession City (combustion-turbine)	33.3	12	3

At December 31, 2009, the portion of total construction work in progress related to Plants Wansley, Scherer, and Vogtle Units 3 and 4 was \$5 million, \$247 million, and \$611 million, respectively. Construction at Plants Wansley and Scherer relates primarily to environmental projects. See Note 3 under Construction Nuclear for information on Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4.

The Company's proportionate share of its plant operating expenses is included in the corresponding operating expenses in the statements of income and the Company is responsible for providing its own financing.

5. INCOME TAXES

Southern Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and combined state income tax returns for the States of Alabama, Georgia, and Mississippi. Under a joint consolidated income tax allocation agreement, each subsidiary's current and deferred tax expense is computed on a stand-alone basis and no subsidiary is allocated more expense than would be paid if it filed a separate income tax return. In accordance with IRS regulations, each company is jointly and severally liable for the tax liability.

Current and Deferred Income Taxes

The transfer of the Plant McIntosh construction project from Southern Power to the Company in 2005 resulted in a deferred gain to Southern Power for federal income tax purposes. The Company is reimbursing Southern Power for the remaining balance of the related deferred taxes of \$3.9 million as it is reflected in Southern Power's future taxable income. Of this amount, \$3.5 million is included in Other Deferred Credits and \$0.4 million is included in Affiliated Accounts Payable in the balance sheets at December 31, 2009.

The transfer of the Dahlberg, Wansley, and Franklin projects to Southern Power from the Company in 2001 and 2002 also resulted in a deferred gain for federal income tax purposes. Southern Power is reimbursing the Company for the remaining balance of the related deferred taxes of \$6.7 million as it is reflected in the Company's future taxable income. Of this amount, \$5.7 million is included in Other Deferred Debits and \$1.0 million is included in Affiliated Accounts Receivable in the balance sheets at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Details of income tax provisions are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Federal			
Current	\$211	\$284	\$442
Deferred	175	155	(72)
	386	439	370
State			
Current	7	32	54
Deferred	17	16	(6)
	24	48	48
Total	\$410	\$487	\$418

The tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their respective tax bases, which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>
Deferred tax liabilities		
Accelerated depreciation	\$2,923	\$2,554
Property basis differences	585	594
Employee benefit obligations	184	174
Fuel clause under recovery	270	311
Premium on reacquired debt	64	67
Emissions allowances	22	
Regulatory assets associated with employee benefit obligations	362	349
Asset retirement obligations	263	267
Other	70	72
Total	4,743	4,388
Deferred tax assets		
Federal effect of state deferred taxes	177	189
Employee benefit obligations	482	457
Other property basis differences	117	127
Other deferred costs	65	99
Cost of removal obligations	109	
State tax credit carry forward	99	
Other comprehensive income	12	10
Unbilled fuel revenue	42	42
Asset retirement obligations	263	267

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Environmental capital cost recovery	37	52
Other	38	21
Total	1,441	1,264
Total deferred tax liabilities, net	3,302	3,124
Portion included in current assets/(liabilities), net	88	(60)
Accumulated deferred income taxes	\$3,390	\$3,064

II-228

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, tax-related regulatory assets were \$609 million and tax-related regulatory liabilities were \$134 million. The assets are attributable to tax benefits flowed through to customers in prior years and to taxes applicable to capitalized interest. The liabilities are attributable to deferred taxes previously recognized at rates higher than current enacted tax law and to unamortized investment tax credits. In accordance with regulatory requirements, deferred investment tax credits are amortized over the life of the related property with such amortization normally applied as a credit to reduce depreciation in the statements of income. Credits amortized in this manner amounted to \$13.7 million in 2009 and \$13.0 million annually in 2008 and 2007. At December 31, 2009, all investment tax credits available to reduce federal income taxes payable had been utilized.

Effective Tax Rate

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax, net of federal deduction	1.2	2.2	2.4
Non-deductible book depreciation	1.1	0.9	1.1
AFUDC equity	(2.7)	(2.4)	(1.9)
Donations	(0.8)		(1.7)
Other	(0.8)	(1.1)	(1.7)
Effective income tax rate	33.0%	34.6%	33.2%

The decrease in the Company's 2009 effective tax rate is primarily the result of the Company's donation of 5,111 acres of land to the State of Georgia combined with an increase in non-taxable AFUDC equity and a decrease in tax deductions related to unrecognized tax benefits. See "Unrecognized Tax Benefits" and Note 3 under "Income Tax Matters" for additional information on these unrecognized tax benefits and related litigation.

The increase in the Company's 2008 effective tax rate is primarily the result of a decrease in donations for 2008 as a result of the Tallulah Gorge land donation in 2007 combined with an increase in non-taxable AFUDC equity. In 2007, the Company donated 2,200 acres of land in the Tallulah Gorge State Park to the State of Georgia.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in the Internal Revenue Code Section 199 (production activities deduction). The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. The IRS has not clearly defined a methodology for calculating this deduction. However, Southern Company reached an agreement with the IRS on a calculation methodology and signed a closing agreement in December 2008. Therefore, in 2008, the Company reversed the unrecognized tax benefit related to the calculation methodology and adjusted the deduction for all previous years to conform to the agreement which resulted in a decrease in the 2008 deduction when compared to the 2007 deduction. Certain aspects of the production activities deduction remain unresolved. The net impact of the reversal of the unrecognized tax benefits combined with the application of the new methodology had no material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

For 2009, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$44.3 million, resulting in a balance of \$181.4 million as of December 31, 2009.

Changes during the year in unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>	

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$137	\$ 89	\$65
Tax positions from current periods	44	47	20
Tax positions from prior periods	1	5	4
Reductions due to settlements		(4)	
Reductions due to expired statute of limitations	(1)		
Balance at end of year	\$181	\$137	\$89

II-229

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The tax positions from current periods increase for 2009 relate primarily to the Georgia state tax credits litigation, the production activities deduction tax position, and other miscellaneous uncertain tax positions. The tax positions increase from prior periods for 2009 relates primarily to the production activities deduction tax position. See Note 3 under *Income Tax Matters* for additional information.

Impact on the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized, is as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Tax positions impacting the effective tax rate	\$181	\$ 134	\$86
Tax positions not impacting the effective tax rate		3	3
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits	\$181	\$ 137	\$89

The tax positions impacting the effective tax rate primarily relate to Georgia state tax credit litigation at the Company. See Note 3 under *Income Tax Matters* for additional information.

Accrued interest for unrecognized tax benefits was as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Interest accrued at beginning of year	\$14	\$ 7	\$3
Interest reclassified due to settlements			
Interest accrued during the year	6	7	4
Balance at end of year	\$20	\$ 14	\$7

The Company classifies interest on tax uncertainties as interest expense. The Company did not accrue any penalties on uncertain tax positions.

The IRS has audited and closed all tax returns prior to 2004. The audits for the state returns have either been concluded, or the statute of limitations has expired, for years prior to 2006.

Substantially all of the Company's unrecognized tax benefits impacting the effective tax rate are associated with the state income tax credits discussed in Note 3 under *Income Tax Matters*. Settlement of this litigation could occur within the next 12 months, which would reduce the balance of the uncertain tax position by these amounts.

6. FINANCING**Long-Term Debt Payable to Affiliated Trusts**

The Company has formed certain wholly-owned trust subsidiaries for the purpose of issuing preferred securities. The proceeds of the related equity investments and preferred security sales were loaned back to the Company through the issuance of junior subordinated notes totaling \$206 million, which constitute substantially all of the assets of these trusts and are reflected in the balance sheets as Long-term Debt. The Company considers that the mechanisms and obligations relating to the preferred securities issued for its benefit, taken together, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by it of the respective trusts' payment obligations with respect to these securities. At December 31, 2009, preferred securities of \$200 million were outstanding. See Note 1 under *Variable Interest Entities* for additional information on the accounting treatment for these trusts and the related securities.

Securities Due Within One Year

A summary of the scheduled maturities and redemptions of securities due within one year at December 31 is as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Capital lease	\$ 4	\$ 5
Senior notes	250	275
Total	\$254	\$280

Maturities through 2014 applicable to total long-term debt are as follows: \$254 million in 2010; \$415 million in 2011; \$205 million in 2012; \$530 million in 2013; and \$5 million in 2014.

II-230

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Pollution Control Revenue Bonds**

Pollution control obligations represent loans to the Company from public authorities of funds derived from sales by such authorities of revenue bonds issued to finance pollution control facilities. The Company is required to make payments sufficient for the authorities to meet principal and interest requirements of such bonds. The Company has incurred obligations in connection with the sale by public authorities of tax-exempt pollution control revenue bonds. The amount of tax-exempt pollution control revenue bonds outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$2.0 billion and \$1.9 billion, respectively. Proceeds from certain issuances are restricted until qualifying expenditures are incurred.

Senior Notes

The Company issued \$1.0 billion aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior notes in 2009. The proceeds of the issuance were used to repay a portion of the Company's short-term indebtedness, fund note redemptions totaling \$333 million, redeem pollution control revenue bonds totaling \$327.3 million, and fund the Company's continuous construction program. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had \$5.4 billion and \$4.8 billion of senior notes outstanding, respectively. These senior notes are effectively subordinated to all secured debt of the Company, which aggregated \$63 million and \$68 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Bank Term Loans

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had a \$300 million bank loan outstanding, which matures in March 2011.

Capital Leases

Assets acquired under capital leases are recorded in the balance sheets as utility plant in service, and the related obligations are classified as long-term debt. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had a capitalized lease obligation for its corporate headquarters building of \$62 million and \$66 million, respectively, with an interest rate of 8.0%. For ratemaking purposes, the Georgia PSC has treated the lease as an operating lease and has allowed only the lease payments in cost of service. The difference between the accrued expense and the lease payments allowed for ratemaking purposes has been deferred and is being amortized to expense as ordered by the Georgia PSC. See Note 1 under Regulatory Assets and Liabilities.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had capitalized lease obligations of \$0.6 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, for its vehicles. However, for ratemaking purposes, these obligations are treated as operating leases and, as such, lease payments are charged to expense as incurred. The annual expense incurred for all capital leases in 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$8.7 million, \$9.7 million, and \$9.2 million, respectively.

Outstanding Classes of Capital Stock

The Company currently has preferred stock, Class A preferred stock, preference stock, and common stock authorized. The Company has shares of its Class A preferred stock, preference stock, and common stock outstanding. The Company's Class A preferred stock ranks senior to the Company's preference stock and common stock with respect to payment of dividends and voluntary or involuntary dissolution. The Company's preference stock ranks senior to the common stock with respect to the payment of dividends and voluntary or involuntary dissolution. Certain series of the Class A preferred stock and preference stock are subject to redemption at the option of the Company on or after a specified date (typically five or 10 years after the date of issuance) at a redemption price equal to 100% of the liquidation amount of the stock. In addition, the Company may redeem the outstanding series of the preference stock at a redemption price equal to 100% of the liquidation amount plus a make-whole premium based on the present value of the liquidation amount and future dividends.

Dividend Restrictions

The Company can only pay dividends to Southern Company out of retained earnings or paid-in-capital.

Bank Credit Arrangements

At December 31, 2009, the Company had credit arrangements with banks totaling \$1.7 billion, of which \$12 million was used to support outstanding letters of credit. Of these facilities, \$595 million expire during 2010, with the remaining \$1.1 billion expiring in

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

2012. \$40 million of the facilities that expire in 2010 provides the option of converting borrowings into a two-year term loan. The Company expects to renew its facilities, as needed, prior to expiration. The agreements contain stated borrowing rates. All the agreements require payment of commitment fees based on the unused portion of the commitments or the maintenance of compensating balances with the banks. Commitment fees average less than 3/8 of 1% for the Company. Compensating balances are not legally restricted from withdrawal.

The credit arrangements contain covenants that limit the ratio of indebtedness to capitalization (each as defined in the arrangements) to 65%. For purposes of these definitions, indebtedness excludes the long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts and, in certain cases, other hybrid securities. In addition, the credit arrangements contain cross default provisions that would trigger an event of default if the Company defaulted on other indebtedness above a specified threshold. At December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants. None of the arrangements contain material adverse change clauses at the time of borrowings.

The \$1.7 billion of unused credit arrangements provides liquidity support to the Company's variable rate pollution control revenue bonds and its commercial paper borrowings. The amount of variable rate pollution control revenue bonds outstanding requiring liquidity support as of December 31, 2009 was \$901 million. In addition, the Company borrows under a commercial paper program. The amount of commercial paper outstanding at December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$324 million, \$256 million, and \$616 million, respectively. The Company also had \$100 million of short-term bank loans outstanding at December 31, 2008. Commercial paper and short-term bank loans are included in notes payable on the balance sheets.

During 2009, the peak amount of short-term debt outstanding was \$757 million and the average amount outstanding was \$348 million. The average annual interest rate on short-term debt in 2009 and 2008 was 0.4% and 2.9%, respectively.

7. COMMITMENTS**Construction Program**

The Company currently estimates property additions to be approximately \$2.5 billion, \$2.4 billion, and \$2.8 billion in 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. These amounts include \$198 million, \$109 million, and \$115 million in 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively, for construction expenditures related to contractual purchase commitments for nuclear fuel included under Fuel Commitments. The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; revised load growth estimates; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in nuclear plants to meet new regulatory requirements; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Georgia PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction program. See Note 3 under Construction for additional information.

Long-Term Service Agreements

The Company has entered into a Long-Term Service Agreement (LTSA) with General Electric (GE) for the purpose of securing maintenance support for the combustion turbines at the Plant McIntosh combined cycle facility. In summary, the LTSA stipulates that GE will perform all planned inspections on the covered equipment, which includes the cost of all labor and materials. GE is also obligated to cover the costs of unplanned maintenance on the covered equipment subject to a limit specified in the contract.

In general, this LTSA is in effect through two major inspection cycles per unit. Scheduled payments to GE, which are subject to price escalation, are made quarterly based on actual operating hours of the respective units. Total payments to GE under this agreement are currently estimated at \$171.5 million over the remaining term of the agreement, which is currently projected to be approximately nine years. However, the LTSA contains various cancellation provisions at the option of the Company.

The Company has also entered into an LTSA with GE through 2014 for neutron monitoring system parts and electronics at Plant Hatch. Total remaining payments to GE under this agreement are currently estimated at \$8 million.

The contract contains cancellation provisions at the option of the Company. Payments made to GE prior to the performance of any work are recorded as a prepayment in the balance sheets. Work performed by GE is capitalized or charged to expense, as appropriate, net of any joint owner billings, based on the nature of the work.

II-232

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company has entered into a LTSA with Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas, Inc. (MPS) for the purpose of providing certain parts and maintenance services for the three combined cycle units under construction at Plant McDonough, which are scheduled to go into service in February 2011, June 2011, and June 2012, respectively. The LTSA stipulates that MPS will perform all planned maintenance on each covered unit which includes the cost of all materials and services. MPS is also obligated to cover costs of unplanned maintenance on the gas turbines subject to limits specified in the LTSA. This LTSA will begin in 2011 and is in effect through two major inspection cycles per covered unit. Periodic payments to MPS are to be made quarterly and will also be made based on the scheduled inspections for the respective covered units. Payments to MPS under this agreement, which are subject to price escalation, are currently estimated to be \$536.8 million for the term of the agreement which is expected to be between 12 and 13 years. However, the LTSA contains various termination provisions at the option of the Company.

Limestone Commitments

As part of the Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from its coal plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment. Limestone contracts are structured with tonnage minimums and maximums in order to account for fluctuations in coal burn and sulfur content. The Company has a minimum contractual obligation of 3.3 million tons, equating to approximately \$101.0 million through 2019. Estimated expenditures (based on minimum contracted obligated dollars) over the next five years are \$19.3 million in 2010, \$14.8 million in 2011, \$15.2 million in 2012, \$15.5 million in 2013, and \$16.0 million in 2014.

Fuel Commitments

To supply a portion of the fuel requirements of its generating plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of fossil and nuclear fuel. In most cases, these contracts contain provisions for price escalations, minimum purchase levels, and other financial commitments. Coal commitments include forward contract purchases for sulfur dioxide emissions allowances. Natural gas purchase commitments contain fixed volumes with prices based on various indices at the time of delivery; amounts included in the chart below represent estimates based on New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.

Total estimated minimum long-term commitments at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Commitments		
	Natural Gas	Coal (in millions)	Nuclear Fuel
2010	\$ 473	\$2,239	\$ 198
2011	575	1,843	109
2012	453	766	115
2013	422	525	111
2014	350	434	60
2015 and thereafter	3,414	1,533	207
Total	\$5,687	\$7,340	\$ 800

Additional commitments for fuel will be required to supply the Company's future needs. Total charges for nuclear fuel included in fuel expense were \$82 million, \$77 million, and \$79 million for the years 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

SCS may enter into various types of wholesale energy and natural gas contracts acting as an agent for the Company and all of the other Southern Company traditional operating companies and Southern Power. Under these agreements, each of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power may be jointly and severally liable. The

creditworthiness of Southern Power is currently inferior to the creditworthiness of the traditional operating companies. Accordingly, Southern Company has entered into keep-well agreements with the Company and each of the other traditional operating companies to ensure the Company will not subsidize or be responsible for any costs, losses, liabilities, or damages resulting from the inclusion of Southern Power as a contracting party under these agreements.

Purchased Power Commitments

The Company has commitments regarding a portion of a 5% interest in Plant Vogtle owned by MEAG Power that are in effect until the latter of the retirement of the plant or the latest stated maturity date of MEAG Power's bonds issued to finance such ownership interest. The payments for capacity are required whether or not any capacity is available. The energy cost is a function of each unit's

II-233

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

variable operating costs. Portions of the capacity payments relate to costs in excess of Plant Vogtle's allowed investment for ratemaking purposes. The present value of these portions at the time of the disallowance was written off. Generally, the cost of such capacity and energy is included in purchased power from non-affiliates in the statements of income. Capacity payments totaled \$47 million, \$48 million, and \$46 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company also has entered into other various long-term PPAs. Estimated total long-term obligations under these commitments at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Vogtle Capacity Payments	Affiliated PPAs (in millions)	Non-Affiliated PPAs
2010	\$ 55	\$ 153	\$ 135
2011	53	119	142
2012	47	107	115
2013	22	107	108
2014	18	108	109
2015 and thereafter	86	488	1,365
Total	\$281	\$1,082	\$ 1,974

Certain PPAs reflected in the table are accounted for as operating leases.

Operating Leases

The Company has entered into various operating leases with various terms and expiration dates. Rental expenses related to these operating leases totaled \$43 million for 2009, \$52 million for 2008, and \$55 million for 2007.

At December 31, 2009, estimated minimum lease payments for these noncancelable operating leases were as follows:

	Minimum Lease Payments		
	Rail Cars	Other	Total
	<i>(in millions)</i>		
2010	\$ 30	\$ 7	\$ 37
2011	30	5	35
2012	16	3	19
2013	12	3	15
2014	10	3	13
2015 and thereafter	15	2	17
Total	\$113	\$23	\$136

In addition to the rental commitments above, the Company has obligations upon expiration of certain rail car leases with respect to the residual value of the leased property. These leases expire in 2011 and the Company's maximum obligation is \$39.7 million. At the termination of the leases, at the Company's option, the Company may either exercise its purchase option or the property can be sold to a third party. The Company expects that the fair market value of the leased property would substantially reduce or eliminate the Company's payments under the residual value obligation. A portion of the rail car lease obligations is shared with the joint owners of Plants Scherer and Wansley. A majority of the rental expenses related to the rail car leases are fully recoverable through the fuel cost recovery clause as ordered by the Georgia PSC and the remaining portion is recovered through base rates.

Guarantees

Alabama Power has guaranteed unconditionally the obligation of SEGCO under an installment sale agreement for the purchase of certain pollution control facilities at SEGCO's generating units, pursuant to which \$24.5 million principal amount of pollution control revenue bonds are outstanding. Alabama Power has also guaranteed \$50 million in senior notes issued by SEGCO. The Company has agreed to reimburse Alabama Power for the pro rata portion of such obligations corresponding to the Company's then proportionate ownership of stock of SEGCO if Alabama Power is called upon to make such payment under its guaranty.

As discussed earlier in this Note under Operating Leases, the Company has entered into certain residual value guarantees related to rail car leases.

II-234

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****8. STOCK OPTION PLAN**

Southern Company provides non-qualified stock options to a large segment of the Company's employees ranging from line management to executives. As of December 31, 2009, there were 1,954 current and former employees of the Company participating in the stock option plan, and there were 21 million shares of Southern Company common stock remaining available for awards under this plan. The prices of options granted to date have been at the fair market value of the shares on the dates of grant. Options granted to date become exercisable pro rata over a maximum period of three years from the date of grant. The Company generally recognizes stock option expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period which equates to the requisite service period; however, for employees who are eligible for retirement the total cost is expensed at the grant date. Options outstanding will expire no later than 10 years after the date of grant, unless terminated earlier by the Southern Company Board of Directors in accordance with the stock option plan. For certain stock option awards, a change in control will provide accelerated vesting.

The estimated fair values of stock options granted in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were derived using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility of Southern Company's stock over a period equal to the expected term. The Company used historical exercise data to estimate the expected term that represents the period of time that options granted to employees are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant that covers the expected term of the stock options. The following table shows the assumptions used in the pricing model and the weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted:

Year Ended December 31	2009	2008	2007
Expected volatility	15.6%	13.1%	14.8%
Expected term (<i>in years</i>)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Interest rate	1.9%	2.8%	4.6%
Dividend yield	5.4%	4.5%	4.3%
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$1.80	\$2.37	\$4.12

The Company's activity in the stock option plan for 2009 is summarized below:

	Shares Subject to Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	7,992,436	\$ 31.90
Granted	2,489,671	31.38
Exercised	(121,447)	20.59
Cancelled	(37,736)	32.71
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	10,322,924	\$ 31.90
Exercisable at December 31, 2009	6,870,135	\$ 31.35

The number of stock options vested, and expected to vest in the future, as of December 31, 2009 was not significantly different from the number of stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009 as stated above. At December 31, 2009, the weighted average remaining contractual term for the options outstanding and options exercisable was 5.9 years and 4.6 years, respectively, and the aggregate intrinsic value for the options outstanding and options exercisable was \$23.1 million and \$18.7 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$1.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards not yet vested. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 10 months.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, total compensation cost for stock option awards recognized in income was \$4.6 million, \$4.2 million, and \$6.0 million, respectively, with the related tax benefit also recognized in income of \$1.8 million, \$1.6 million, and \$2.3 million, respectively.

II-235

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The compensation cost and tax benefits related to the grant and exercise of Southern Company stock options to the Company's employees are recognized in the Company's financial statements with a corresponding credit to equity, representing a capital contribution from Southern Company.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$1.7 million, \$10.6 million, and \$17.4 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized by the Company for the tax deductions from stock option exercises totaled \$0.7 million, \$4.1 million, and \$6.7 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

9. NUCLEAR INSURANCE

Under the Price-Anderson Amendments Act (Act), the Company maintains agreements of indemnity with the NRC that, together with private insurance, cover third-party liability arising from any nuclear incident occurring at the Company's Plants Hatch and Vogtle. The Act provides funds up to \$12.6 billion for public liability claims that could arise from a single nuclear incident. Each nuclear plant is insured against this liability to a maximum of \$375 million by American Nuclear Insurers (ANI), with the remaining coverage provided by a mandatory program of deferred premiums that could be assessed, after a nuclear incident, against all owners of commercial nuclear reactors. The Company could be assessed up to \$117.5 million per incident for each licensed reactor it operates but not more than an aggregate of \$17.5 million per incident to be paid in a calendar year for each reactor. Such maximum assessment, excluding any applicable state premium taxes, for the Company, based on its ownership and buyback interests, is \$237 million, per incident, but not more than an aggregate of \$35 million to be paid for each incident in any one year. Both the maximum assessment per reactor and the maximum yearly assessment are adjusted for inflation at least every five years. The next scheduled adjustment is due no later than October 29, 2013.

The Company is a member of Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited (NEIL), a mutual insurer established to provide property damage insurance in an amount up to \$500 million for members' nuclear generating facilities. Additionally, the Company has policies that currently provide decontamination, excess property insurance, and premature decommissioning coverage up to \$2.25 billion for losses in excess of the \$500 million primary coverage. This excess insurance is also provided by NEIL. In the event of a loss, the amount of insurance available may not be adequate to cover property damage and other incurred expenses.

NEIL also covers the additional costs that would be incurred in obtaining replacement power during a prolonged accidental outage at a member's nuclear plant. Members can purchase this coverage, subject to a deductible waiting period of up to 26 weeks, with a maximum per occurrence per unit limit of \$490 million. After the deductible period, weekly indemnity payments would be received until either the unit is operational or until the limit is exhausted in approximately three years. The Company purchases the maximum limit allowed by NEIL, subject to ownership limitations. Each facility has elected a 12-week deductible waiting period.

Under each of the NEIL policies, members are subject to assessments if losses each year exceed the accumulated funds available to the insurer under that policy. The current maximum annual assessments for the Company under the NEIL policies would be \$50 million.

Claims resulting from terrorist acts are covered under both the ANI and NEIL policies (subject to normal policy limits). The aggregate, however, that NEIL will pay for all claims resulting from terrorist acts in any 12-month period is \$3.2 billion plus such additional amounts NEIL can recover through reinsurance, indemnity, or other sources. For all on-site property damage insurance policies for commercial nuclear power plants, the NRC requires that the proceeds of such policies shall be dedicated first for the sole purpose of placing the reactor in a safe and stable condition after an accident. Any remaining proceeds are to be applied next toward the costs of decontamination and debris removal operations ordered by the NRC, and any further remaining proceeds are to be paid either to the Company or to its bond trustees as may be appropriate under the policies and applicable trust indentures.

All retrospective assessments, whether generated for liability, property, or replacement power, may be subject to applicable state premium taxes.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****10. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Fair value measurements are based on inputs of observable and unobservable market data that a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. The use of observable inputs is maximized where available and the use of unobservable inputs is minimized for fair value measurement and reflects a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used for fair value measurement.

Level 1 consists of observable market data in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 consists of observable market data, other than that included in Level 1, that is either directly or indirectly observable.

Level 3 consists of unobservable market data. The input may reflect the assumptions of the Company of what a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. If there is little available market data, then the Company's own assumptions are the best available information.

In the case of multiple inputs being used in a fair value measurement, the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement represents the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurement is reported. As of December 31, 2009, assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the period, together with the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall, are as follows:

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:				
Nuclear decommissioning trusts: ^(a)				
Domestic equity	\$428	\$ 1	\$	\$429
U.S. Treasury and government agency securities		31		31
Municipal bonds		23		23
Corporate bonds		61		61
Mortgage and asset backed securities		23		23
Other		13		13
Total	\$428	\$ 152	\$	\$580
Liabilities:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 75	\$	\$ 75
Interest rate derivatives		2		2
Total	\$	\$ 77	\$	\$ 77

- (a) Excludes receivables related to investment income, pending investment sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

Energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives primarily consist of over-the-counter contracts. See Note 11 for additional information. The nuclear decommissioning trust funds are invested in a diversified mix of equity and fixed income securities. See Note 1 under Nuclear Decommissioning for additional information. All of these financial instruments and investments are valued primarily using the market approach.

II-237

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

As of December 31, 2009, the fair value measurements of investments calculated at net asset value per share (or its equivalent), as well as the nature and risks of those investments, are as follows:

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value <i>(in millions)</i>	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
---------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Nuclear decommissioning trusts:

Corporate bonds commingled funds	\$ 14	None	Daily	1 to 3 days
Other commingled funds	13	None	Daily	Not applicable

The commingled funds in the nuclear decommissioning trusts are invested primarily in a diversified portfolio of high grade money market instruments, including, but not limited to, commercial paper, notes, repurchase agreements, and other evidences of indebtedness with a maturity not exceeding 13 months from the date of purchase. The commingled funds will, however, maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity of 90 days or less. The assets may be longer term investment grade fixed income obligations having a maximum five year final maturity with put features or floating rates with a reset date of 13 months or less. The primary objective for the commingled funds is a high level of current income consistent with stability of principal and liquidity.

The Company's financial instruments for which the carrying amount did not equal fair value at December 31 were as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value <i>(in millions)</i>
Long-term debt:		
2009	\$7,973	\$8,059
2008	\$7,219	\$7,096

The fair values were based on either closing market prices (Level 1) or closing prices of comparable instruments (Level 2).

11. DERIVATIVES

The Company is exposed to market risks, primarily commodity price risk and interest rate risk. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets its exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. The Company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis. Derivative instruments are recognized at fair value in the balance sheets as either assets or liabilities.

Energy-Related Derivatives

The Company enters into energy-related derivatives to hedge exposures to electricity, gas, and other fuel price changes. However, due to cost-based rate regulations, the Company has limited exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity. The Company manages fuel-hedging programs, implemented per the guidelines of the Georgia PSC, through the use of financial derivative contracts.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into physical fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market. To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in gas prices, the Company may enter into fixed-price contracts for natural gas purchases; however, a significant portion of contracts are priced at market.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Energy-related derivative contracts are accounted for in one of two methods:

Regulatory Hedges Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate primarily to the Company's fuel hedging programs, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as the underlying fuel is used in operations and ultimately recovered through the fuel cost recovery clauses.

Not Designated Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Some energy-related derivative contracts require physical delivery as opposed to financial settlement, which is both common and prevalent within the electric industry. When an energy-related derivative contract is settled physically, any cumulative unrealized gain or loss is reversed and the contract price is recognized in the respective line item representing the actual price of the underlying goods being delivered.

At December 31, 2009, the net volume of energy-related derivative contracts for natural gas positions for the Company, together with the longest hedge date over which it is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions and the longest date for derivatives not designated as hedges, were as follows:

Net Purchased mmBtu* (in millions)	Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date
71	2014	

* mmBtu -
million British
thermal units

Interest Rate Derivatives

The Company also enters into interest rate derivatives, which include forward-starting interest rate swaps, to hedge exposure to changes in interest rates. Derivatives related to existing variable rate securities or forecasted transactions are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The derivatives employed as hedging instruments are structured to minimize ineffectiveness.

For cash flow hedges, the fair value gains or losses are recorded in other comprehensive income (OCI) and are reclassified into earnings at the same time the hedged transactions affect earnings.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges of existing debt as follows:

Notional Amount (in millions)	Variable Rate Received	Weighted Average Fixed Rate Paid	Hedge Maturity Date	Fair Value Gain (Loss) December 31, 2009 (in millions)
\$300	1-month LIBOR	2.43%	April 2010	\$(2)

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company realized net losses of \$19 million upon termination of certain interest rate derivatives at the same time it issued debt. The effective portion of these losses has been deferred in OCI and is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the original interest rate derivative, reflecting the period in which the forecasted hedged transaction affects earnings.

The estimated pre-tax losses that will be reclassified from OCI to interest expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 are \$12.8 million. The Company has deferred gains and losses that are expected to be

amortized into earnings through 2037.

II-239

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Derivative Financial Statement Presentation and Amounts**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives was reflected in the balance sheets as follows:

Derivative Category	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	2009	2008	Balance Sheet Location	2009	2008
		<i>(in millions)</i>			<i>(in millions)</i>	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes						
Energy-related derivatives:				Liabilities from risk management activities		
	Other current assets	\$	\$ 5	Other deferred credits and liabilities	\$47	\$ 85
	Other deferred charges and assets				28	33
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes		\$	\$ 5		\$75	\$118
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges						
Interest rate derivatives:				Liabilities from risk management activities		
	Other current assets	\$	\$	Other deferred credits and liabilities	\$ 2	\$ 28
	Other deferred charges and assets					1
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges		\$	\$		\$ 2	\$ 29
Total		\$	\$ 5		\$77	\$147

All derivative instruments are measured at fair value. See Note 10 for additional information.

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the pre-tax effect of unrealized derivative gains (losses) arising from energy-related derivative instruments designated as regulatory hedging instruments and deferred on the balance sheets were as

follows:

Derivative Category	Unrealized Losses			Unrealized Gains		
	Balance Sheet Location	2009	2008	Balance Sheet Location	2009	2008
Energy-related derivatives:		<i>(in millions)</i>			<i>(in millions)</i>	
	Other regulatory assets, current	\$(47)	\$ (85)	Other regulatory liabilities, current	\$	\$ 5
	Other regulatory assets, deferred	(28)	(33)	Other regulatory liabilities, deferred		
Total energy-related derivative gains (losses)		\$(75)	\$ (118)		\$	\$ 5

II-240

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized in			Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount		
	OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)				Statements of Income Location		
Derivative Category	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007	
	<i>(in millions)</i>			Interest expense	<i>(in millions)</i>		
Interest rate derivatives	\$ (3)	\$ (34)	\$ (5)		\$ (22)	\$ (3)	\$ (1)

There was no material ineffectiveness recorded in earnings for any period presented.

For all years presented, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the statements of income were immaterial.

Contingent Features

The Company has certain derivatives that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of various credit rating changes of certain affiliated companies. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivative liabilities with contingent features was \$17 million.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no collateral posted with its derivative counterparties; however, because of the joint and several liability features underlying these derivatives, the maximum potential collateral requirements arising from the credit-risk-related contingent features, at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3, is \$33.3 million.

Currently, the Company has investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt, preferred securities, preferred stock, and preference stock.

Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. The Company participated in certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade.

12. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Summarized quarterly financial information for 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

Quarter Ended	Operating Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income After Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
March 2009	\$1,766	\$272	\$ 122
June 2009	1,874	369	190
September 2009	2,327	683	388
December 2009	1,725	206	114
March 2008	\$1,865	\$325	\$ 176
June 2008	2,111	442	248
September 2008	2,644	711	402
December 2008	1,792	182	77

The Company's business is influenced by seasonal weather conditions.

II-241

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009****Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands)	\$ 7,691,740	\$ 8,411,552	\$ 7,571,652	\$ 7,245,644	\$ 7,075,837
Net Income after Dividends on Preferred and Preference Stock (in thousands)	\$ 814,045	\$ 902,927	\$ 836,136	\$ 787,225	\$ 744,373
Cash Dividends on Common Stock (in thousands)	\$ 738,900	\$ 721,200	\$ 689,900	\$ 630,000	\$ 582,800
Return on Average Common Equity (percent)	11.01	13.56	13.50	13.80	14.08
Total Assets (in thousands)	\$24,294,566	\$22,315,668	\$20,822,761	\$19,308,730	\$17,898,445
Gross Property Additions (in thousands)	\$ 2,646,158	\$ 1,953,448	\$ 1,862,449	\$ 1,276,889	\$ 958,563
Capitalization (in thousands):					
Common stock equity	\$ 7,902,925	\$ 6,879,243	\$ 6,435,420	\$ 5,956,251	\$ 5,452,083
Preferred and preference stock	265,957	265,957	265,957	44,991	43,909
Long-term debt	7,782,340	7,006,275	5,937,792	5,211,912	5,365,323
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	\$15,951,222	\$14,151,475	\$12,639,169	\$11,213,154	\$10,861,315
Capitalization Ratios (percent):					
Common stock equity	49.5	48.6	50.9	53.1	50.2
Preferred and preference stock	1.7	1.9	2.1	0.4	0.4
Long-term debt	48.8	49.5	47.0	46.5	49.4
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Security Ratings:					
Preferred and Preference Stock -					
Moody's	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1
Standard and Poor's	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+
Fitch	A	A	A	A	A

Unsecured Long-Term

Debt -

Moody's	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2
Standard and Poor's	A	A	A	A	A
Fitch	A+	A+	A+	A+	A+

Customers (year-end):

Residential	2,043,661	2,039,503	2,024,520	1,998,643	1,960,556
Commercial	295,375	295,925	295,478	294,654	289,009
Industrial	8,202	8,248	8,240	8,008	8,290
Other	6,580	5,566	4,807	4,371	4,143

Total	2,353,818	2,349,242	2,333,045	2,305,676	2,261,998
-------	------------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

Employees (year-end)	8,599	9,337	9,270	9,278	9,273
-----------------------------	--------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

N/A = Not Applicable.

II-242

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009 (continued)**
Georgia Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands):					
Residential	\$ 2,686,155	\$ 2,648,176	\$ 2,442,501	\$ 2,326,190	\$ 2,227,137
Commercial	2,825,602	2,917,270	2,576,058	2,423,568	2,357,077
Industrial	1,318,070	1,640,407	1,403,852	1,382,213	1,406,295
Other	82,576	80,492	75,592	73,649	73,854
Total retail	6,912,403	7,286,345	6,498,003	6,205,620	6,064,363
Wholesale non-affiliates	394,538	568,797	537,913	551,731	524,800
Wholesale affiliates	111,964	286,219	277,832	252,556	275,525
Total revenues from sales of electricity	7,418,905	8,141,361	7,313,748	7,009,907	6,864,688
Other revenues	272,835	270,191	257,904	235,737	211,149
Total	\$ 7,691,740	\$ 8,411,552	\$ 7,571,652	\$ 7,245,644	\$ 7,075,837
Kilowatt-Hour Sales (in thousands):					
Residential	26,272,226	26,412,131	26,840,275	26,206,170	25,508,472
Commercial	32,592,831	33,058,109	33,056,632	32,112,430	31,334,182
Industrial	21,810,062	24,163,566	25,490,035	25,577,006	25,832,265
Other	671,390	670,588	697,363	660,285	737,343
Total retail	81,346,509	84,304,394	86,084,305	84,555,891	83,412,262
Wholesale non-affiliates	5,206,949	9,756,260	10,577,969	10,685,456	10,588,891
Wholesale affiliates	2,504,437	3,694,640	5,191,903	5,463,463	5,033,165
Total	89,057,895	97,755,294	101,854,177	100,704,810	99,034,318
Average Revenue Per Kilowatt-Hour (cents):					
Residential	10.22	10.03	9.10	8.88	8.73
Commercial	8.67	8.82	7.79	7.55	7.52
Industrial	6.04	6.79	5.51	5.40	5.44
Total retail	8.50	8.64	7.55	7.34	7.27
Wholesale	6.57	6.36	5.17	4.98	5.12
Total sales	8.33	8.33	7.18	6.96	6.93
Residential Average Annual Kilowatt-Hour Use Per Customer					
	12,848	12,969	13,315	13,216	13,119
Residential Average Annual Revenue Per Customer					
	\$ 1,314	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,212	\$ 1,173	\$ 1,145

Plant Nameplate Capacity Ratings (year-end) (megawatts)	15,995	15,995	15,995	15,995	15,995
Maximum Peak-Hour Demand (megawatts):					
Winter	15,173	14,221	13,817	13,528	14,360
Summer	16,080	17,270	17,974	17,159	16,925
Annual Load Factor (percent)	60.7	58.4	57.5	61.8	59.4
Plant Availability (percent):					
Fossil-steam	92.5	91.0	90.8	91.4	90.0
Nuclear	88.4	89.8	92.4	90.7	89.3
Source of Energy Supply (percent):					
Coal	52.3	58.7	61.5	59.0	60.7
Nuclear	16.2	14.8	14.6	14.4	14.5
Hydro	1.8	0.6	0.5	0.9	1.9
Oil and gas	7.7	5.1	5.5	5.0	3.0
Purchased power -					
From non-affiliates	4.4	5.1	3.8	3.8	4.6
From affiliates	17.6	15.7	14.1	16.9	15.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

II-243

Table of Contents

**GULF POWER COMPANY
FINANCIAL SECTION
II-244**

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report

The management of Gulf Power Company (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). A control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Under management's supervision, an evaluation of the design and effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting was conducted based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

/s/ Susan N. Story

Susan N. Story

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Philip C. Raymond

Philip C. Raymond

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

February 25, 2010

II-245

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Gulf Power Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets and statements of capitalization of Gulf Power Company (the Company) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder s equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements (pages II-268 to II-306) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Gulf Power Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

II-246

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****OVERVIEW****Business Activities**

Gulf Power Company (the Company) operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail customers within its traditional service area located in northwest Florida and to wholesale customers in the Southeast.

Many factors affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's business of selling electricity. These factors include the ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment, to maintain energy sales given the effects of the recession, and to effectively manage and secure timely recovery of rising costs. These costs include those related to projected long-term demand growth, increasingly stringent environmental standards, fuel prices, and storm restoration costs. Appropriately balancing the need to recover these increasing costs with customer prices will continue to challenge the Company for the foreseeable future.

Key Performance Indicators

In striving to maximize shareholder value while providing cost-effective energy to over 425,000 customers, the Company continues to focus on several key indicators. These indicators include customer satisfaction, plant availability, system reliability, and net income after dividends on preference stock. The Company's financial success is directly tied to the satisfaction of its customers. Key elements of ensuring customer satisfaction include outstanding service, high reliability, and competitive prices. Management uses customer satisfaction surveys and reliability indicators to evaluate the Company's results.

Peak season equivalent forced outage rate (Peak Season EFOR) is an indicator of plant availability and efficient generation fleet operations during the months when generation needs are greatest. The rate is calculated by dividing the number of hours of forced outages by total generation hours. The 2009 Peak Season EFOR of 2.11% was better than the target. Transmission and distribution system reliability performance is measured by the frequency and duration of outages. Performance targets for reliability are set internally based on historical performance, expected weather conditions, and expected capital expenditures. The performance for 2009 was better than the target for these reliability measures. The performance for net income after dividends on preference stock in 2009 was below target. Net income after dividends on preference stock is the primary measure of the Company's financial performance. The Company's 2009 results compared with its targets for some of these key indicators are reflected in the following chart:

Key Performance Indicator	2009 Target Performance	2009 Actual Performance
Customer Satisfaction	Top quartile in customer surveys	Top quartile
Peak Season EFOR	3.00% or less	2.11%
Net income after dividends on preference stock	\$112.5 million	\$111.2 million

See RESULTS OF OPERATIONS herein for additional information on the Company's financial performance.

Earnings

The Company's 2009 net income after dividends on preference stock was \$111.2 million, an increase of \$12.9 million from the previous year. In 2008, net income after dividends on preference stock was \$98.3 million, an increase of \$14.2 million from the previous year. In 2007, net income after dividends on preference stock was \$84.1 million, an increase of \$8.1 million from the previous year. The increase in net income after dividends on preference stock in 2009 was due primarily to increased allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC) equity, which is non-taxable, and decreased interest expense, net of amounts capitalized, partially offset by unfavorable weather and a decline in sales. The increase in net income after dividends on preference stock in 2008 was due primarily to higher wholesale revenues from non-affiliates, increased AFUDC equity, and a gain on the sale of assets.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The increase in net income after dividends on preference stock in 2007 was due primarily to increases in retail revenues, earnings on additional investments in environmental controls through the environment cost recovery provision, and related AFUDC equity, partially offset by non-fuel operating expenses.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

A condensed statement of income follows:

	Amount 2009	Increase (Decrease) from Prior Year		2007
		2009	2008	
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Operating revenues	\$1,302.2	\$ (84.9)	\$ 127.4	\$ 55.9
Fuel	573.4	(62.2)	62.2	38.5
Purchased power	92.0	(17.4)	37.9	(2.3)
Other operations and maintenance	260.3	(17.2)	7.1	10.9
Depreciation and amortization	93.4	8.6	(0.8)	(3.6)
Taxes other than income taxes	94.5	7.3	4.2	3.2
Total operating expenses	1,113.6	(80.9)	110.6	46.7
Operating income	188.6	(4.0)	16.8	9.2
Total other income and (expense)	(18.2)	15.8	6.7	1.3
Income taxes	53.0	(1.1)	7.0	1.8
Net income	117.4	12.9	16.5	8.7
Dividends on preference stock	6.2		2.3	0.6
Net income after dividends on preference stock	\$ 111.2	\$ 12.9	\$ 14.2	\$ 8.1

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues for 2009 were \$1.3 billion, a decrease of \$85.0 million from the previous year. The following table summarizes the significant changes in operating revenues for the past three years:

	2009	Amount	2007
		2008	
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Retail prior year	\$1,120.8	\$ 1,006.3	\$ 952.0
Estimated change in -			
Rates and pricing	33.0	6.3	2.5
Sales growth (decline)	(5.7)	(4.6)	5.8
Weather	(4.5)	3.9	1.2
Fuel and other cost recovery	(37.0)	108.9	44.8
Retail current year	1,106.6	1,120.8	1,006.3
Wholesale revenues - Non-affiliates	94.1	97.1	83.5

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Affiliates	32.1	107.0	113.2
Total wholesale revenues	126.2	204.1	196.7
Other operating revenues	69.4	62.3	56.8
Total operating revenues	\$1,302.2	\$1,387.2	\$1,259.8
Percent change	(6.1)%	10.1%	4.6%

Retail revenues decreased \$14.2 million, or 1.3%, in 2009, increased \$114.4 million, or 11.4%, in 2008, and increased \$54.3 million, or 5.7%, in 2007.

II-248

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Revenues associated with changes in rates and pricing include cost recovery provisions for energy conservation costs and environmental compliance costs. Annually, the Company petitions the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC) for recovery of projected costs, including any true-up amount from prior periods, and approved rates are implemented each January. The recovery provisions include related expenses and a return on average net investment. See Note 3 to the financial statements under **Retail Regulatory Matters** **Environmental Cost Recovery** for additional information. See **Energy Sales** below for a discussion of changes in the volume of energy sold, including changes relating to sales growth (or decline) and weather.

Fuel and other cost recovery provisions include fuel expenses, the energy component of purchased power costs, and purchased power capacity costs. Annually, the Company petitions the Florida PSC for recovery of projected fuel and purchased power costs, including any true-up amount from prior periods, and approved rates are implemented each January. Cost recovery provisions also include revenues related to the recovery of storm damage restoration costs. The recovery provisions generally equal the related expenses and have no material effect on net income. See Note 1 to the financial statements under **Revenues** and **Property Damage Reserve** and Note 3 to the financial statements under **Retail Regulatory Matters** **Fuel Cost Recovery** for additional information.

Total wholesale revenues were \$126.2 million in 2009, a decrease of \$77.8 million, or 38.2%, compared to 2008 primarily due to decreased energy sales to affiliates at a lower cost per kilowatt-hour (KWH). Total wholesale revenues were \$204.1 million in 2008, an increase of \$7.4 million, or 3.7%, compared to 2007 primarily due to higher capacity revenues associated with new and existing territorial wholesale contracts with non-affiliated companies. Total wholesale revenues were \$196.7 million in 2007, a decrease of \$8.5 million, or 4.2%, compared to 2006 primarily due to decreased energy sales to affiliates at a lower cost per KWH supplied by lower-cost generating resources.

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates will vary depending on the market cost of available energy compared to the cost of the Company and Southern Company system-owned generation, demand for energy with the Southern Company service territory, and availability of Southern Company system generation.

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates include unit power sales under long-term contracts to other Florida utilities. Wholesale revenues from contracts have both capacity and energy components. Capacity revenues reflect the recovery of fixed costs and a return on investment. Energy is generally sold at variable cost. The capacity and energy components under these unit power sales contracts were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Unit power sales -			
Capacity	\$24,466	\$22,028	\$18,073
Energy	33,122	33,767	36,245
Total	57,588	55,795	54,318
Other power sales -			
Capacity and other	11,060	10,890	2,397
Energy	25,457	30,380	26,799
Total	36,517	41,270	29,196
Total non-affiliated	\$94,105	\$97,065	\$83,514

Wholesale revenues from sales to affiliated companies within the Southern Company system will vary from year to year depending on demand and the availability and cost of generating resources at each system company. These

affiliated sales, along with purchases from affiliates, are made in accordance with the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). These transactions do not have a significant impact on earnings, since the energy is generally sold at marginal cost and energy purchases are generally offset by revenues through the Company's fuel cost recovery clause.

Other operating revenues increased \$7.1 million, or 11.3%, in 2009 primarily due to other energy services and franchise fees, offset by transmission and distribution network services and timber sales. Other operating revenues increased \$5.6 million, or 9.9%, in 2008 primarily due to transmission and distribution network services and other energy services. Other operating revenues increased \$10.2 million, or 21.8%, in 2007 primarily due to other energy services and an increase in franchise fees. The increased revenues from other energy services did not have a material impact on earnings since they were generally offset by associated expenses. Franchise fees have no impact on net income.

II-249

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Energy Sales**

Changes in revenues are influenced heavily by the change in the volume of energy sold from year to year. KWH sales for 2009 and the percent change by year were as follows:

	KWHs	Percent Change		
	2009	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Residential	5,255	(1.8)%	(2.3)%	0.9%
Commercial	3,896	(1.6)	(0.3)	3.3
Industrial	1,727	(21.9)	7.9	(4.1)
Other	25	8.1	(5.1)	4.2
Total retail	10,903	(5.5)	0.2	0.8
Wholesale				
Non-affiliates	1,813	(0.2)	(18.4)	7.1
Affiliates	870	(53.5)	(35.1)	(1.8)
Total wholesale	2,683	(27.2)	(27.8)	1.9
Total energy sales	13,586	(10.8)	(8.4)	1.1

Changes in retail energy sales are comprised of changes in electricity usage by customers, changes in weather, and changes in the number of customers.

Residential energy sales decreased 1.8% in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the recessionary economy.

Residential energy sales decreased 2.3% in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to decreased customer usage as a result of a slowing economy, partially offset by more favorable weather. Residential energy sales increased 0.9% in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to more favorable weather conditions and customer growth, partially offset by customer response to higher prices.

Commercial energy sales decreased 1.6% in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to the recessionary economy and a decrease in the number of customers. The change in commercial energy sales in 2008 compared to 2007 was immaterial. Commercial energy sales increased 3.3% in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to more favorable weather conditions and customer growth.

Industrial energy sales decreased 21.9% in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to increased customer co-generation due to the lower cost of natural gas in 2009, decreased demand, and a business closure due to the recessionary economy. Industrial energy sales increased 7.9% in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to decreased customer co-generation due to the higher cost of natural gas. Industrial energy sales decreased 4.1% in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to a conversion project by a major forest products manufacturer and a production process change by a major petroleum company.

Wholesale energy sales to non-affiliates decreased 0.2% in 2009, decreased 18.4% in 2008, and increased 7.1% in 2007, each compared to the prior year. The decrease in 2009 was primarily a result of the recessionary economy. The changes in 2008 and 2007 were primarily the result of fluctuations in the fuel cost to produce energy sold to non-affiliated utilities under both long-term and short-term contracts. The degree to which prices for oil and natural gas, which are the primary fuel sources for these customers, differ from the Company's fuel costs will influence these changes in sales. The fluctuations in sales have a minimal effect on earnings because the energy is generally sold at marginal cost.

Wholesale energy sales to affiliates decreased 53.5% in 2009, 35.1% in 2008, and 1.8% in 2007, compared to prior years. The decrease in 2009 was primarily a result of the recessionary economy. The decreases in 2008 and 2007 were primarily due to the availability of lower cost generation resources at affiliated companies.

II-250

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Fuel and Purchased Power Expenses***

Fuel costs constitute the single largest expense for the Company. The mix of fuel sources for generation of electricity is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

Additionally, the Company purchases a portion of its electricity needs from the wholesale market.

Details of the Company's electricity generated and purchased were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Total generation (<i>millions of KWHs</i>)	12,895	14,762	16,657
Total purchased power (<i>millions of KWHs</i>)	1,481	1,187	798
Sources of generation (<i>percent</i>)-			
Coal	69%	84%	86%
Gas	31	16	14
Cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)-			
Coal	4.27	3.58	2.86
Gas	4.66	8.02	6.91
Average cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)*	4.39	4.31	3.44
Average cost of purchased power (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)	6.71	9.21	8.96

* Fuel includes fuel purchased by the Company for tolling agreements where power is generated by the provider and is included in purchased power when determining the average cost of purchased power.

Total fuel and purchased power expenses were \$665.4 million in 2009, a decrease of \$79.6 million, or 10.7%, below the prior year costs. The net decrease in fuel and purchased power expenses was primarily due to a \$53.3 million decrease related to total KWHs generated and purchased and a \$26.3 million decrease in the cost of energy primarily resulting from a decrease in the average cost of natural gas. Total fuel and purchased power expenses were \$745.0 million in 2008, an increase of \$100.1 million, or 15.5%, above the prior year costs. The net increase in fuel and purchased power expenses was due to a \$130.5 million increase in the average cost of fuel and purchased power as well as a \$34.9 million increase related to KWHs purchased, offset by a \$65.3 million decrease related to KWHs generated. Total fuel and purchased power expenses were \$644.9 million in 2007, an increase of \$36.2 million, or 5.9%, above the prior year costs. The net increase in fuel and purchased power expenses was due to a \$32.6 million increase in the average cost of fuel and purchased power as well as a \$10.1 million increase related to KWHs

generated, offset by a \$6.5 million decrease related to KWHs purchased.

Fuel expense was \$573.4 million in 2009, a decrease of \$62.2 million, or 9.8%, below the prior year costs. This decrease was primarily the result of a 41.9% decrease in the average cost of natural gas and a 12.6% decrease in KWHs generated as a result of lower demand, partially offset by an increase of 19.3% in the average cost of coal per KWH generated. Fuel expense was \$635.6 million in 2008, an increase of \$62.2 million, or 10.9%, above the prior year costs. This increase was the result of a 25.3% increase in the average cost of fuel, offset by an 11.4% decrease in KWHs generated. Fuel expense was \$573.4 million in 2007, an increase of \$38.5 million, or 7.2%, above the prior year costs. This increase was the result of a 5.2% increase in the average cost of fuel and a 1.9% increase in KWHs generated.

Purchased power expense was \$92.0 million in 2009, a decrease of \$17.4 million, or 15.9%, below the prior year costs. This decrease was primarily the result of a 27.1% decrease in the average cost per KWH purchased, offset by a 24.8% increase in the volume of KWHs purchased. Purchased power expense was \$109.4 million in 2008, an increase of \$37.9 million, or 53.0%, above the prior year costs. This increase was the result of a 48.8% increase in total KWHs purchased and a 2.8% increase in the average cost per net KWH. Purchased power expense was \$71.5 million in 2007, a decrease of \$2.3 million, or 3.1%, below the prior year costs. This decrease was the result of an 8.9% decrease in total KWHs purchased, offset by a 6.3% increase in the average cost per net KWH.

Coal prices continued to be influenced by worldwide demand from developing countries, as well as increased mining and fuel transportation costs. While coal prices reached unprecedented high levels in 2008, the recessionary economy pushed prices downward in 2009. However, the lower prices did not fully offset the higher priced coal already in inventory and under long-term contract. Demand for natural gas in the United States also was affected by the recessionary economy leading to significantly lower natural gas prices.

Fuel expenses generally do not affect net income, since they are offset by fuel revenues under the Company's fuel cost recovery provisions. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Fuel Cost Recovery herein for additional information.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Other Operations and Maintenance Expenses***

In 2009, other operations and maintenance expenses decreased \$17.2 million, or 6.2%, compared to the prior year primarily due to a \$14.4 million decrease in administrative and general expense, most of which is related to decreased storm recovery costs, and a \$6.7 million decrease in power generation, most of which is related to scheduled and unscheduled maintenance and cost containment activities in an effort to offset the effects of the recessionary economy. This decrease was partially offset by a \$4.8 million increase in other energy services. In 2008, other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$7.1 million, or 2.6%, compared to the prior year primarily due to an \$8.2 million increase in scheduled and unscheduled maintenance at generation facilities. In 2007, other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$10.9 million, or 4.2%, compared to the prior year primarily due to a \$5.0 million increase in other energy services and a \$4.3 million increase in severance costs associated with a reorganization. The increased expenses from other energy services did not have a material impact on earnings since they were generally offset by associated revenue. In 2007, the Company offered both voluntary and involuntary severance to a number of employees in connection with a reorganization of certain functions.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$8.6 million, or 10.1%, in 2009 compared to the prior year primarily due to additions of environmental control projects at Plant Crist and Plant Scherer and other net additions to generation and distribution facilities. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$0.8 million, or 0.9%, in 2008 compared to the prior year primarily as a result of a \$3.8 million gain on the sale of a building. The decrease was partially offset by an increase of \$3.0 million in depreciation due to net additions to generation and distribution facilities. Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$3.6 million, or 4.0%, in 2007 compared to the prior year primarily due to new depreciation rates implemented in January 2007.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

Taxes other than income taxes increased \$7.3 million, or 8.3%, in 2009 compared to the prior year primarily due to a \$5.6 million increase in gross receipts and franchise taxes, which have no impact on net income, and a \$1.6 million increase in property taxes. Taxes other than income taxes increased \$4.2 million, or 5.1%, in 2008 compared to the prior year primarily due to a \$1.9 million decrease in 2007 related to the resolution of a dispute regarding property taxes in Monroe County, Georgia and a \$1.9 million increase in franchise and gross receipt taxes. Taxes other than income taxes increased \$3.2 million, or 4.0%, in 2007 compared to the prior year primarily due to increases in franchise and gross receipts taxes.

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction Equity

AFUDC equity increased \$13.8 million, or 138.8%, in 2009 compared to the prior year primarily due to construction of environmental control projects at Plant Crist and Plant Scherer. AFUDC equity increased \$7.6 million, or 319.9%, in 2008 compared to the prior year primarily due to construction of environmental control projects at Plant Crist and Plant Scherer. AFUDC equity increased \$2.0 million, or 554.0%, in 2007 compared to the prior year primarily due to construction of an environmental control project at Plant Crist. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL

Environmental Matters Environmental Statutes and Regulations herein and Note 1 to the financial statements under Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) for additional information.

Interest Income

Interest income decreased \$2.7 million, or 86.6%, in 2009 compared to the prior year primarily due to decreases in interest received related to the recovery of financing costs associated with the fuel clause. Interest income decreased \$2.2 million, or 41%, in 2008 primarily as a result of lower variable interest rates charged against the under recovered fuel balance and a decrease in the property damage reserve balance. Interest income increased \$0.1 million, or 2.3%, in 2007 compared to the prior year primarily due to interest received related to the recovery of financing costs associated with the fuel clause and incurred costs for storm damage activity as approved by the Florida PSC. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL PSC Matters Fuel Cost Recovery herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Fuel Cost Recovery for additional information.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Interest Expense, Net of Amounts Capitalized***

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized decreased \$4.7 million, or 11.0%, in 2009 compared to the prior year as the result of an increase in capitalization of AFUDC debt related to the construction of environmental control projects at Plant Crist and Plant Scherer. Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized decreased \$1.6 million, or 3.5%, in 2008 compared to the prior year as the result of an increase in capitalization of AFUDC debt related to the construction of environmental control projects and the redemption of \$41.2 million of long-term debt payable to an affiliated trust in 2007. These decreases were offset by the issuance of a \$110 million term loan agreement in 2008. Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized increased \$0.5 million, or 1.2%, in 2007 compared to the prior year and was not material.

Income Taxes

Income taxes decreased \$1.1 million, or 2.0%, in 2009, compared to the prior year primarily due to the tax benefit associated with an increase in AFUDC, which is non-taxable, partially offset by higher earnings before taxes. Income taxes increased \$7.0 million, or 14.9%, in 2008, compared to the prior year primarily due to higher earnings before income taxes and a decrease in the federal production activities deduction, partially offset by the tax benefit associated with an increase in AFUDC, which is non-taxable. Income taxes increased \$1.8 million, or 4.0%, in 2007, compared to the prior year primarily as a result of higher earnings before income taxes. See Note 5 to the financial statements under **Effective Tax Rate** for additional information.

Effects of Inflation

The Company is subject to rate regulation that is generally based on the recovery of historical and projected costs. The effects of inflation can create an economic loss since the recovery of costs could be in dollars that have less purchasing power. Any adverse effect of inflation on the Company's results of operations has not been substantial.

FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL**General**

The Company operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail customers within its traditional service area located in northwest Florida and to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Prices for electricity provided by the Company to retail customers are set by the Florida PSC under cost-based regulatory principles. Prices for electricity relating to wholesale electricity sales, interconnecting transmission lines, and the exchange of electric power are regulated by the FERC. Retail rates and earnings are reviewed and may be adjusted periodically within certain limitations. See **ACCOUNTING POLICIES** Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates **Electric Utility Regulation** herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for additional information about regulatory matters.

The results of operations for the past three years are not necessarily indicative of future earnings potential. The level of the Company's future earnings depends on numerous factors that affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's business of selling electricity. These factors include the Company's ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment that continues to allow for the recovery of prudently incurred costs during a time of increasing costs. Future earnings in the near term will depend, in part, upon maintaining energy sales, which is subject to a number of factors. These factors include weather, competition, new energy contracts with neighboring utilities, energy conservation practiced by customers, the price of electricity, the price elasticity of demand, and the rate of economic growth or decline in the Company's service area. Recessary conditions have negatively impacted sales and are expected to continue to have a negative impact, particularly to industrial and commercial customers. The timing and extent of the economic recovery will impact future earnings.

Environmental Matters

Compliance costs related to the Clean Air Act and other environmental statutes and regulations could affect earnings if such costs cannot continue to be fully recovered in rates on a timely basis. Environmental compliance spending over the next several years may exceed amounts estimated. Some of the factors driving the potential for such an increase are higher commodity costs, market demand for labor, and scope additions and clarifications. The timing, specific requirements, and estimated costs could also change as environmental statutes and regulations are adopted or modified. See Note 3 to the financial statements under **Environmental Matters** for additional information.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****New Source Review Actions***

In November 1999, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including Alabama Power Company (Alabama Power) and Georgia Power Company (Georgia Power), alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. These actions were filed concurrently with the issuance of notices of violation of the NSR provisions to the Company with respect to the Company's Plant Crist. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and Georgia Power, including one facility co-owned by the Company. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies,

and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the

II-254

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Statutes and Regulations*General*

The Company's operations are subject to extensive regulation by state and federal environmental agencies under a variety of statutes and regulations governing environmental media, including air, water, and land resources. Applicable statutes include the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Toxic Substances Control Act; the Emergency Planning & Community Right-to-Know Act; the Endangered Species Act; and related federal and state regulations. Compliance with these environmental requirements involves significant capital and operating costs, a major portion of which is expected to be recovered through existing ratemaking provisions. Through 2009, the Company had invested approximately \$1.1 billion in capital projects to comply with these requirements, with annual totals of \$343 million, \$296 million, and \$124 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company expects that capital expenditures to assure compliance with existing and new statutes and regulations will be an additional \$113 million, \$195 million, and \$194 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The Company's compliance strategy can be affected by changes to existing environmental laws, statutes, and regulations; the cost, availability, and existing inventory of emissions allowances; and the Company's fuel mix. Environmental costs that are known and estimable at this time are included in capital expenditures discussed under FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY—Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations herein.

The Florida Legislature has adopted legislation that allows a utility to petition the Florida PSC for recovery of prudent environmental compliance costs that are not being recovered through base rates or any other recovery mechanism. The legislation is discussed in Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters—Environmental Cost Recovery. Substantially all of the costs for the Clean Air Act and other new environmental legislation discussed below are expected to be recovered through the environmental cost recovery clause.

Compliance with any new federal or state legislation or regulations related to global climate change, air quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, or other environmental and health concerns could also significantly affect the Company. Although new or revised environmental legislation or regulations could affect many areas of the Company's operations, the full impact of any such changes cannot be determined at this time.

Air Quality

Compliance with the Clean Air Act and resulting regulations has been and will continue to be a significant focus for the Company. Through 2009, the Company had spent approximately \$834 million in reducing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions and in monitoring emissions pursuant to the Clean Air Act. Additional controls are scheduled to be installed at several plants to further reduce air emissions, maintain compliance with existing

regulations, and meet new requirements.

The EPA regulates ground level ozone through implementation of an eight-hour ozone air quality standard. No area within the Company's service area is currently designated as nonattainment under the eight-hour ozone standard. In March 2008, however, the

II-255

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

EPA issued a final rule establishing a more stringent eight-hour ozone standard, and on January 6, 2010, the EPA proposed further reductions in the standard. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised standard in August 2010 and require state implementation plans for any nonattainment areas by December 2013. The revised eight-hour ozone standard is expected to result in designation of new nonattainment areas within the Company's service territory. During 2005, the EPA's annual fine particulate matter nonattainment designations became effective for several areas within Georgia. State plans for addressing the nonattainment designations for this standard could require further reductions in SO₂ and NO_x emissions from power plants, including plants owned in part by the Company. On December 8, 2009, the EPA also proposed revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for SO₂. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised SO₂ standard in June 2010.

Twenty-eight eastern states, including the States of Florida, Georgia, and Mississippi, are subject to the requirements of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). The rule calls for additional reductions of NO_x and/or SO₂ to be achieved in two phases, 2009/2010 and 2015. In July 2008 and December 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued decisions invalidating certain aspects of CAIR, but left CAIR compliance requirements in place while the EPA develops a revised rule. The States of Florida, Georgia, and Mississippi have completed plans to implement CAIR, and emissions reductions are being accomplished by the installation of emissions controls at the Company's coal-fired facilities and/or by the purchase of emissions allowances. The EPA is expected to issue a proposed CAIR replacement rule in July 2010.

The Clean Air Visibility Rule was finalized in July 2005, with a goal of restoring natural visibility conditions in certain areas (primarily national parks and wilderness areas) by 2064. The rule involves the application of Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) to certain sources built between 1962 and 1977, and any additional emissions reductions necessary for each designated area to achieve reasonable progress toward the natural conditions goal by 2018 and for each ten-year period thereafter. For power plants, the Clean Air Visibility Rule allows states to determine that CAIR satisfies BART requirements for SO₂ and NO_x, and no additional controls beyond CAIR are anticipated to be necessary at the Company's facilities. States have completed or are currently completing implementation plans for BART compliance and other measures required to achieve the first phase of reasonable progress.

The EPA is currently developing a Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) rule for coal and oil-fired electric generating units, which will likely address numerous Hazardous Air Pollutants, including mercury. In March 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), a cap and trade program for the reduction of mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. In February 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the CAMR. In a separate proceeding in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the EPA entered into a proposed consent decree that requires the EPA to issue a proposed MACT rule by March 16, 2011, and a final rule by November 16, 2011.

The impacts of the eight-hour ozone standards, the fine particulate matter nonattainment designations, and future revisions to CAIR, the SO₂ standard, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, and the MACT rule for electric generating units on the Company cannot be determined at this time and will depend on the specific provisions of the final rules, resolution of any legal challenges, and the development and implementation of rules at the state level. However, these additional regulations could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

The Company has developed and continually updates a comprehensive environmental compliance strategy to assess compliance obligations associated with the continuing and new environmental requirements discussed above. As part of this strategy, the Company plans to install additional SO₂ and NO_x emissions controls within the next several years to ensure continued compliance with applicable air quality requirements.

Water Quality

In July 2004, the EPA published final regulations under the Clean Water Act to reduce impingement and entrainment of fish, shellfish, and other forms of aquatic life at existing power plant cooling water intake structures. The use of

cost-benefit analysis in the rule was ultimately appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court held that the EPA could consider costs in arriving at its standards and in providing variances from those standards for existing intake structures. The EPA is now in the process of revising the regulations. While the U.S. Supreme Court's decision may ultimately result in greater flexibility for demonstrating compliance with the standards, the full scope of the regulations will depend on further rulemaking by the EPA and the actual requirements established by state regulatory agencies and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

II-256

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

On December 28, 2009, the EPA announced its determination that revision of the current effluent guidelines for steam electric power plants is warranted and proposed a plan to adopt such revisions by 2013. New wastewater treatment requirements are expected and may result in the installation of additional controls on certain Company facilities. The impact of revised guidelines will depend on the studies conducted in connection with the rulemaking, as well as the specific requirements of the final rule, and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

The Company must comply with other environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company could incur substantial costs to clean up properties. The Company conducts studies to determine the extent of any required cleanup and has recognized in its financial statements the costs to clean up known sites. Included in this amount are costs associated with remediation of the Company's substation sites. These projects have been approved by the Florida PSC for recovery through the environmental cost recovery clause; therefore, there is no impact to the Company's net income as a result of these liabilities. The Company may be liable for some or all required cleanup costs for additional sites that may require environmental remediation. See Note 3 to the financial statements under "Environmental Matters - Environmental Remediation" for additional information.

Coal Combustion Byproducts

The EPA is currently evaluating whether additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts is merited under federal solid and hazardous waste laws. The EPA has collected information from the electric utility industry on surface impoundment safety and conducted on-site inspections at three Southern Company system facilities as part of its evaluation. The Company has a routine and robust inspection program in place to ensure the integrity of its coal ash surface impoundments. The EPA is expected to issue a proposal regarding additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts in early 2010. The impact of these additional regulations on the Company will depend on the specific provisions of the final rule and cannot be determined at this time. However, additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts could have a significant impact on the Company's management, beneficial use, and disposal of such byproducts and could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Global Climate Issues

Federal legislative proposals that would impose mandatory requirements related to greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy standards, and energy efficiency standards continue to be considered in Congress, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions has been identified as a high priority by the current Administration. On June 26, 2009, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (ACES), which would impose mandatory greenhouse gas restrictions through implementation of a cap and trade program, a renewable energy standard, and other measures, was passed by the House of Representatives. ACES would require reductions of greenhouse gas emissions on a national basis to a level that is 17% below 2005 levels by 2020, 42% below 2005 levels by 2030, and 83% below 2005 levels by 2050. In addition, ACES would provide for renewable energy standards of 6% by 2012 and 20% by 2020. Similar legislation is being considered by the Senate. The financial and operational impact of such legislation, if enacted, will depend on a variety of factors. These factors include the specific greenhouse gas emissions limits or renewable energy requirements, the timing of implementation of these limits or requirements, the level of emissions allowances allocated and the level that must be purchased, the purchase price of emissions allowances, the development and commercial availability of technologies for renewable energy and for the reduction of emissions, the degree to which offsets may be used for compliance, provisions for cost containment (if any), the impact on coal and natural gas prices, and cost recovery through regulated rates. There can be no assurance that any legislation will be enacted or as to the ultimate form of any legislation. Additional or alternative legislation may be adopted as well.

In April 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the EPA has authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published a final determination, which became effective on January 14, 2010, that certain greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles

endanger public health and welfare due to climate change. On September 28, 2009, the EPA published a proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles under the Clean Air Act. The EPA has stated that once this rule is effective, it will cause carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases to become regulated pollutants under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) preconstruction permit program and the Title V operating permit program, which both apply to power plants. As a result, the construction of new facilities or the major modification of existing facilities could trigger the requirement for a PSD permit and the installation of the best available control technology for carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. The EPA also published a proposed rule governing how these programs would be applied to stationary sources, including power plants, on October 27, 2009. The EPA has stated that it expects to finalize these proposed rules in March

II-257

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

2010. The ultimate outcome of the endangerment finding and these proposed rules cannot be determined at this time and will depend on additional regulatory action and any legal challenges.

International climate change negotiations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change also continue. A nonbinding agreement was announced during the most recent round of negotiations in December 2009 that included a pledge from both developed and developing countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The outcome and impact of the international negotiations cannot be determined at this time.

Although the outcome of federal, state, or international initiatives cannot be determined at this time, mandatory restrictions on the Company's greenhouse gas emissions or requirements relating to renewable energy or energy efficiency on the federal or state level are likely to result in significant additional compliance costs, including significant capital expenditures. These costs could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions, and could result in the retirement of a significant number of coal-fired generating units. See Item 1 BUSINESS Rate Matters Integrated Resource Planning for additional information. Also, additional compliance costs and costs related to unit retirements could affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates. Further, higher costs that are recovered through regulated rates could contribute to reduced demand for electricity, which could negatively impact results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition. In 2008, the total carbon dioxide emissions from the fossil fuel-fired electric generating units owned by the Company were approximately 14 million metric tons. The preliminary estimate of carbon dioxide emissions from these units in 2009 is approximately 11 million metric tons. The level of carbon dioxide emissions from year to year will be dependent on the level of generation and mix of fuel sources, which is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

The Company continues to evaluate its future energy and emissions profiles and is participating in voluntary programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to help develop and advance technology to reduce emissions.

PSC Matters***General***

The Company's rates and charges for service to retail customers are subject to the regulatory oversight of the Florida PSC. The Company's rates are a combination of base rates and several separate cost recovery clauses for specific categories of costs. These separate cost recovery clauses address such items as fuel and purchased energy costs, purchased power capacity costs, energy conservation, and demand side management programs, and the costs of compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Costs not addressed through one of the specific cost recovery clauses are recovered through the Company's base rates.

On November 2, 2009, the Florida PSC approved the Company's annual rate requests for its purchased power capacity, energy conservation, and environmental compliance cost recovery factors for 2010. On December 1, 2009, the Florida PSC approved the Company's annual rate request for its 2010 fuel cost recovery factor, which includes both fuel and purchased energy cost. The net effect of the approved changes to the Company's cost recovery factors for 2010 is a 3.9% rate increase for residential customers using 1,000 KWHs per month. Revenues for all cost recovery clauses, as recorded on the financial statements, are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, changing the billing factor has no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income, but does impact annual cash flow. See Notes 1 and 3 to the financial statements under Revenues and Retail Regulatory Matters Fuel Cost Recovery, respectively.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company petitions for fuel cost recovery rates to be approved by the Florida PSC on an annual basis. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the under recovered balance was \$2.4 million and \$96.7 million, respectively. The change in 2009 was primarily due to an increase in the 2009 fuel cost recovery factors and resulting revenue collected in the period and a higher percentage of natural gas-fired generation which cost less than projected. The Company continuously monitors the over or under recovered fuel cost balance in light of the inherent variability in fuel costs. If the projected fuel cost over or under recovery exceeds 10% of the projected fuel revenue applicable for the period, the Company is required to notify the Florida PSC and indicate if an adjustment to the fuel cost recovery factor is being

requested.

II-258

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Purchased Power Capacity Recovery***

The Florida PSC allows the Company to recover its costs for capacity purchased from other power producers under power purchase agreements (PPAs) through a separate cost recovery component or factor in the Company's retail energy rates. Like the other specific cost recovery factors included in the Company's retail energy rates, the rates for purchased capacity are set annually on a calendar year basis. When the Company enters into a new PPA, it is reviewed and approved by the Florida PSC for cost recovery purposes. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had an over recovered purchased power capacity balance of approximately \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, which is included in other regulatory liabilities, current in the balance sheets.

In March 2009, the Company entered into a PPA (the Agreement) with Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. (Shell) conditioned on subsequent review and approval of the Company's participation by the Florida PSC. The Florida PSC approved the Agreement through an order that became final in October 2009. As a result, the Agreement became effective on November 1, 2009. The Agreement will terminate on May 24, 2023, unless terminated earlier in accordance with its terms. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Company will be entitled to all of the capacity and energy from an approximately 885 MW combined cycle power plant (the Plant) located in Autauga County, Alabama that is owned and operated by Tenaska Alabama II Partners, L.P. (Tenaska). Shell is entitled to all of the capacity and energy from the Plant under a 20-year Energy Conversion Agreement between Shell and Tenaska that expires on May 24, 2023. Payments under the Agreement will be material. However, these costs have been approved by the Florida PSC for recovery through the Company's fuel clause and purchased power capacity clause; therefore, no material impact is expected on the Company's net income. See FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY – Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations herein and Note 7 to the financial statements under Fuel and Purchased Power Commitments for additional information.

Environmental Cost Recovery

In August 2007, the Florida PSC voted to approve a stipulation among the Company, the Office of Public Counsel, and the Florida Industrial Power Users Group regarding the Company's plan for complying with certain federal and state regulations addressing air quality. The Company's environmental compliance plan as filed in March 2007 contemplated implementation of specific projects identified in the plan from 2007 through 2018. The stipulation covers all elements of the current plan that are scheduled to be implemented in the 2007 through 2011 timeframe. On April 1, 2009, the Company filed an update to the plan, which was approved by the Florida PSC on November 2, 2009. The Florida PSC acknowledged that the costs associated with the Company's CAIR and Clean Air Visibility Rule compliance plans are eligible for recovery through the environmental cost recovery clause. Annually, the Company seeks recovery of projected costs including any true-up amounts from prior periods. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the over recovered environmental balance was approximately \$11.7 million and \$71 thousand, respectively, which is included in other regulatory liabilities, current in the balance sheets. See FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY – Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations herein, Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters – Environmental Cost Recovery, and Note 7 to the financial statements under Construction Program for additional information.

Legislation

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Major tax incentives in the ARRA include an extension of bonus depreciation and multiple renewable energy incentives, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company. The Company's cash flow reduction to 2009 tax payments as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions of the ARRA was approximately \$19 million. On December 8, 2009, President Obama announced proposals to accelerate job growth that include an extension of the bonus depreciation provision for the ARRA for 2010, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company.

On October 27, 2009, Southern Company and its subsidiaries received notice that an award of \$165 million had been granted, of which \$15.5 million relates to the Company, under the ARRA grant application for transmission and distribution automation and modernization projects pending final negotiations. The Company continues to assess the

other financial implications of the ARRA.

The U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate have passed separate bills related to healthcare reform. Both bills include a provision that would make Medicare Part D subsidy reimbursements taxable. If enacted into law, this provision could have a significant negative impact on the Company's net income. See Note 2 to the financial statements under "Other Postretirement Benefits" for additional information.

The ultimate impact of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

II-259

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Income Tax Matters**

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. See Note 5 to the financial statements under *Effective Tax Rate* for additional information.

Other Matters

The Company is involved in various other matters being litigated and regulatory matters that could affect future earnings. In addition, the Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. See Note 3 to the financial statements for information regarding material issues.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. In the application of these policies, certain estimates are made that may have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and related disclosures. Different assumptions and measurements could produce estimates that are significantly different from those recorded in the financial statements. Senior management has reviewed and discussed critical accounting policies and estimates described below with the Audit Committee of Southern Company's Board of Directors.

Electric Utility Regulation

The Company is subject to retail regulation by the Florida PSC and wholesale regulation by the FERC. These regulatory agencies set the rates the Company is permitted to charge customers based on allowable costs. As a result, the Company applies accounting standards which require the financial statements to reflect the effects of rate regulation. Through the ratemaking process, the regulators may require the inclusion of costs or revenues in periods different than when they would be recognized by a non-regulated company. This treatment may result in the deferral of expenses and the recording of related regulatory assets based on anticipated future recovery through rates or the deferral of gains or creation of liabilities and the recording of related regulatory liabilities. The application of the accounting standards has a further effect on the Company's financial statements as a result of the estimates of allowable costs used in the ratemaking process. These estimates may differ from those actually incurred by the Company; therefore, the accounting estimates inherent in specific costs such as depreciation and pension and postretirement benefits have less of a direct impact on the Company's results of operations than they would on a non-regulated company.

As reflected in Note 1 to the financial statements, significant regulatory assets and liabilities have been recorded. Management reviews the ultimate recoverability of these regulatory assets and liabilities based on applicable regulatory guidelines and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, adverse legislative, judicial, or regulatory actions could materially impact the amounts of such regulatory assets and liabilities and could adversely impact the Company's financial statements.

Contingent Obligations

The Company is subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, as well as other factors and conditions that potentially subject it to environmental, litigation, income tax, and other risks. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for more information regarding certain of these contingencies. The Company periodically evaluates its exposure

II-260

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)

Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report

to such risks and, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), records reserves for those matters where a non-tax-related loss is considered probable and reasonably estimable and records a tax asset or liability if it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained. The adequacy of reserves can be significantly affected by external events or conditions that can be unpredictable; thus, the ultimate outcome of such matters could materially affect the Company's financial statements. These events or conditions include the following:

Changes in existing state or federal regulation by governmental authorities having jurisdiction over air quality, water quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, control of toxic substances, hazardous and solid wastes, and other environmental matters.

Changes in existing income tax regulations or changes in IRS or state revenue department interpretations of existing regulations.

Identification of additional sites that require environmental remediation or the filing of other complaints in which the Company may be asserted to be a potentially responsible party.

Identification and evaluation of other potential lawsuits or complaints in which the Company may be named as a defendant.

Resolution or progression of new or existing matters through the legislative process, the court systems, the IRS, state revenue departments, the FERC, or the EPA.

Unbilled Revenues

Revenues related to the retail sale of electricity are recorded when electricity is delivered to customers. However, the determination of KWH sales to individual customers is based on the reading of their meters, which is performed on a systematic basis throughout the month. At the end of each month, amounts of electricity delivered to customers, but not yet metered and billed, are estimated. Components of the unbilled revenue estimates include total KWH territorial supply, total KWH billed, estimated total electricity lost in delivery, and customer usage. These components can fluctuate as a result of a number of factors including weather, generation patterns, and power delivery volume and other operational constraints. These factors can be unpredictable and can vary from historical trends. As a result, the overall estimate of unbilled revenues could be significantly affected, which could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company's calculation of pension and other postretirement benefits expense is dependent on a number of assumptions. These assumptions include discount rates, health care cost trend rates, expected long-term return on plan assets, mortality rates, expected salary and wage increases, and other factors. Components of pension and other postretirement benefits expense include interest and service cost on the pension and other postretirement benefit plans, expected return on plan assets and amortization of certain unrecognized costs and obligations. Actual results that differ from the assumptions utilized are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect recognized expense and the recorded obligation in future periods. While the Company believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions would affect its pension and other postretirement benefits costs and obligations.

Key elements in determining the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit expense in accordance with GAAP are the expected long-term return on plan assets and the discount rate used to measure the benefit plan obligations and the periodic benefit plan expense for future periods. The expected long-term return on postretirement benefit plan assets is based on the Company's investment strategy, historical experience, and expectations for long-term rates of return that considers external actuarial advice. The Company determines the long-term return on plan assets by applying the long-term rate of expected returns on various asset classes to the Company's target asset allocation. The Company discounts the future cash flows related to its postretirement benefit plans using a single-point

discount rate developed from the weighted average of market-observed yields for high quality fixed income securities with maturities that correspond to expected benefit payments.

A 25 basis point change in any significant assumption would result in a \$0.8 million or less change in total benefit expense and a \$12 million or less change in projected obligations.

II-261

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****New Accounting Standards*****Variable Interest Entities***

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities, which replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative, requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company adopted this new guidance effective January 1, 2010, with no material impact on its financial statements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY**Overview**

The Company's financial condition remained stable at December 31, 2009. Throughout the turmoil in the financial markets, the Company has maintained adequate access to capital without drawing on any of its bank credit arrangements used to support its commercial paper program and variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. The Company intends to continue to monitor its access to short-term and long-term capital markets as well as its bank credit arrangements to meet future capital and liquidity needs. Market rates for committed credit increased in 2009, and the Company may continue to be subject to higher costs as its existing facilities are replaced or renewed. Total committed credit fees for the Company average less than ³/₄ of 1% per year. See *Sources of Capital* and *Financing Activities* herein for additional information.

The Company's investments in pension trust funds remained stable in value as of December 31, 2009. The Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012 and such contribution could be significant. The projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables including future trust fund performance and cannot be determined at this time.

Net cash provided from operating activities totaled \$194.2 million, \$147.9 million, and \$217.0 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The \$46.3 million increase in net cash provided from operating activities in 2009 was primarily due to a \$134.5 million reduction in accounts receivable related to fuel cost, partially offset by a \$40.5 million decrease in deferred income taxes and a \$38.4 million increase in fuel inventory. The \$69.1 million decrease in net cash provided from operating activities in 2008 was due primarily to a \$61.0 million increase in cash used for the under recovered regulatory clause related to fuel. The \$73.6 million increase in net cash provided from operating activities in 2007 was due primarily to increased cash inflows for fuel cost recovery.

Net cash used for investing activities totaled \$468.4 million, \$348.7 million, and \$239.3 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The increases in cash used for investing activities were primarily due to gross property additions to utility plant of \$450.4 million, \$390.7 million, and \$239.3 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Funds for the Company's property additions were provided by operating activities, capital contributions, and other financing activities.

Net cash provided from financing activities totaled \$279.4 million, \$198.8 million, and \$20.2 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The \$80.6 million increase in net cash provided from financing activities in 2009 was due primarily to \$258.4 million in debt issuances and cash raised from a common stock sale, partially offset by a \$157.0 million decrease in notes payable. The \$178.6 million increase in net cash provided from financing activities in 2008 was due primarily to the issuance of \$110 million in long-term debt and \$50 million in short-term debt, and a \$49.1 million change in commercial paper cash flows in 2008. The increase was partially offset by the issuance of \$85 million in senior notes in 2007. The \$4.5 million decrease in net cash provided from financing activities in 2007 was due primarily to a \$105.6 million change in commercial paper cash flows and a \$25.0 million decrease in senior note proceeds. These decreases were partially offset by the issuance of \$80 million in common stock and \$45 million in preference stock in 2007.

Significant balance sheet changes in 2009 include an increase of \$374.1 million in total property, plant, and equipment, primarily related to environmental control projects; the issuance of \$140.0 million in senior notes; the issuance of common stock to Southern Company for \$135.0 million; the issuance of \$130.4 million of pollution

control revenue bonds, with a related restricted cash balance of \$6.3 million; an increase in fossil fuel stock of \$75.5 million; an increase in customer accounts receivable and unbilled revenues of \$6.4 million; and a \$94.4 million decrease in under recovered regulatory clause revenues primarily related to fuel.

II-262

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's ratio of common equity to total capitalization, including short-term debt, was 43.4% in 2009, 42.9% in 2008, and 45.3% in 2007. See Note 6 to the financial statements for additional information.

The Company has received investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to its debt and preference stock. See SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA and Credit Rating Risk herein for additional information regarding the Company's security ratings.

Sources of Capital

The Company plans to obtain the funds required for construction and other purposes from sources similar to those used in the past, which were primarily from operating cash flows, security issuances, term loans, and short-term indebtedness. However, the type and timing of any future financings, if needed, will depend on market conditions, regulatory approval, and other factors.

Security issuances are subject to regulatory approval by the Florida PSC pursuant to its rules and regulations.

Additionally, with respect to the public offering of securities, the Company files registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (1933 Act). The amounts of securities authorized by the Florida PSC, as well as the amounts, if any, registered under the 1933 Act, are continuously monitored and appropriate filings are made to ensure flexibility in the capital markets.

The Company obtains financing separately without credit support from any affiliate. See Note 6 to the financial statements under Bank Credit Arrangements for additional information. The Southern Company system does not maintain a centralized cash or money pool. Therefore, funds of the Company are not commingled with funds of any other company.

The Company's current liabilities frequently exceed current assets because of the continued use of short-term debt as a funding source to meet cash needs which can fluctuate significantly due to the seasonality of the business. To meet short-term cash needs and contingencies, the Company has various internal and external sources of liquidity. At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$9 million of cash and cash equivalents, along with \$220 million of unused committed lines of credit with banks to meet its short-term cash needs. These bank credit arrangements will expire in 2010 and \$70 million contain provisions allowing one-year term loans executable at expiration. The Company plans to renew these lines of credit during 2010 prior to their expiration. In addition, the Company has substantial cash flow from operating activities and access to the capital markets, including a commercial paper program, to meet liquidity needs. See Note 6 to the financial statements under Bank Credit Arrangements for additional information.

The Company may also meet short-term cash needs through a Southern Company subsidiary organized to issue and sell commercial paper at the request and for the benefit of the Company and the other traditional operating companies. Proceeds from such issuances for the benefit of the Company are loaned directly to the Company and are not commingled with proceeds from such issuances for the benefit of any other traditional operating company. The obligations of each company under these arrangements are several; there is no cross affiliate credit support. At December 31, 2009, the Company had \$88.9 million of commercial paper outstanding. At December 31, 2009, the Company also had \$1.4 million in notes payable outstanding related to other energy services contracts.

Financing Activities

In 2009, the Company issued \$140 million of senior notes and incurred obligations related to the issuance of \$130.4 million of pollution control revenue bonds. In addition, the Company issued to Southern Company 1,350,000 shares of the Company's common stock, without par value, and realized proceeds of \$135 million. On January 25, 2010, the Company issued to Southern Company 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, without par value, and realized proceeds of \$50 million. The proceeds were used to repay a portion of the Company's short-term debt, to fund construction of certain environmental projects, and for other general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program.

The Company also entered into forward starting interest rate swaps during 2009 totaling \$100 million to mitigate exposure to interest rate changes related to anticipated debt issuances. The swaps have been designated as cash flow hedges.

In addition to any financings that may be necessary to meet capital requirements, contractual obligations, and storm-recovery, the Company plans to continue, when economically feasible, a program to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit.

II-263

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Credit Rating Risk**

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain contracts that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of a credit rating change to BBB- and/or Baa3 or below. These contracts are for physical electricity purchases and sales, fuel transportation and storage, emissions allowances, and energy price risk management. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a BBB- and/or Baa3 rating were approximately \$130 million. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3 were approximately \$547 million. Included in these amounts are certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade. Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Additionally, any credit rating downgrade could impact the Company's ability to access capital markets, particularly the short-term debt market.

On September 2, 2009, Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) affirmed the credit ratings of the Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper of A2/P-1, respectively, and revised the rating outlook to negative. On September 4, 2009, Fitch Ratings, Inc. affirmed the Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper ratings of A/F1, respectively, and maintained a stable rating outlook for the Company. On October 6, 2009, Standard and Poor's Rating Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P) affirmed the credit ratings of the Company's senior unsecured notes and its short-term credit rating of A/A-1, respectively, and maintained its stable rating outlook.

Market Price Risk

Due to cost-based rate regulation, the Company has limited exposure to market volatility in interest rates, commodity fuel prices, and prices of electricity. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets the exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. Company policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including but not limited to market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market and, to a lesser extent, into financial hedge contracts for natural gas purchases. The Company has implemented a fuel-hedging program per the guidelines of the Florida PSC.

The weighted average interest rate on \$319 million variable rate long-term debt at January 1, 2010 was 0.45%. If the Company sustained a 100 basis point change in interest rates for all variable rate long-term debt, the change would affect annualized interest expense by approximately \$3 million at January 1, 2010. See Note 1 to the financial statements under "Financial Instruments" and Note 10 to the financial statements for additional information.

The changes in fair value of energy-related derivative contracts were as follows at December 31:

	2009	2008
	Changes	Changes
	Fair Value	
	(in thousands)	
Contracts outstanding at the beginning of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(31,161)	\$ (202)
Contracts realized or settled	41,683	(7,960)
Current period changes ^(a)	(24,209)	(22,999)
Contracts outstanding at the end of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(13,687)	\$(31,161)

- (a) Current period changes also include the changes in fair value of new contracts entered into during the period, if any.

The change in the fair value positions of the energy-related derivative contracts for the year-ended December 31, 2009 was an increase of \$17.5 million, substantially all of which is due to natural gas positions. The change is attributable to both the volume of million British thermal units (mmBtu) and prices of natural gas. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a net hedge volume of 11.0 million mmBtu with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$1.26 per mmBtu above market prices, and 14.2 million mmBtu at December 31, 2008 with a weighted average contract cost approximately \$2.24 per mmBtu above market prices. Natural gas settlements are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause.

II-264

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, the net fair value of energy-related derivative contracts by hedge designation was reflected in the financial statements as assets/ (liabilities) as follows:

Asset (Liability) Derivatives	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Regulatory hedges	\$(13,699)	\$(31,161)
Not designated	12	
Total fair value	\$(13,687)	\$(31,161)

Energy-related derivative contracts designated as regulatory hedges are related to the Company's fuel hedging program, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as they are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause. Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Unrealized pre-tax gains and losses from energy-related derivative contracts recognized in income were not material for any year presented.

The maturities of the energy-related derivative contracts and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	December 31, 2009				
	Fair Value Measurements				
	Total	Maturity			
Fair Value	Year 1	Years 2&3	Years 4&5		
	<i>(in thousands)</i>				
Level 1	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Level 2	(13,687)	(9,288)	(4,264)	(135)	
Level 3					
Fair value of contracts outstanding at end of period	\$(13,687)	\$(9,288)	\$(4,264)	\$(135)	

The Company uses over-the-counter contracts that are not exchange traded but are fair valued using prices which are actively quoted, and thus fall into Level 2. See Note 9 to the financial statements for further discussion on fair value measurement.

The Company is exposed to market price risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to the derivative energy contracts. The Company only enters into agreements and material transactions with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings by Moody's and S&P or with counterparties who have posted collateral to cover potential credit exposure. Therefore, the Company does not anticipate market risk exposure from nonperformance by the counterparties. See Note 1 to the financial statements under "Financial Instruments" and Note 10 to the financial statements for additional information.

Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations

The construction program of the Company is currently estimated to be \$271.4 million in 2010, \$350.2 million in 2011, and \$418.5 million in 2012. Environmental expenditures included in these estimated amounts are \$113.4 million in 2010, \$194.8 million in 2011, and \$194.2 million in 2012. The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors

include: changes in business conditions; revised load growth estimates; storm impacts; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Florida PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. In addition, as discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company provides postretirement benefits to substantially all employees and funds trusts to the extent required by the FERC and the Florida PSC. Other funding requirements related to obligations associated with scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as the related interest, derivative obligations, preference stock dividends, leases, and other purchase commitments are as follows. See Notes 1, 6, 7, and 10 to the financial statements for additional information.

II-265

Table of Contents
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report
Contractual Obligations

	2010	2011- 2012	2013- 2014	After 2014	Uncertain Timing ^(d)	Total
	<i>(in thousands)</i>					
Long-term debt ^(a)						
Principal	\$ 140,000	\$ 110,000	\$ 135,000	\$ 740,441	\$	\$1,125,441
Interest	41,237	80,746	77,388	464,144		663,515
Energy-related derivative obligations ^(b)	9,442	4,264	183			13,889
Preference stock dividends ^(c)	6,203	12,405	12,405			31,013
Operating leases	14,525	20,539	12,793	1,613		49,470
Unrecognized tax benefits and interest ^(d)					1,729	1,729
Purchase commitments ^(e)						
Capital ^(f)	271,419	768,706				1,040,125
Limestone ^(g)	6,043	12,543	13,178	35,938		67,702
Coal	515,241	75,561				590,802
Natural gas ^(h)	112,080	137,566	101,176	130,889		481,711
Purchased power ⁽ⁱ⁾	39,432	82,474	97,317	659,261		878,484
Long-term service agreements ^(j)	6,315	13,303	13,977	25,583		59,178
Postretirement benefits trust ^(k)	54	107				161
Total	\$1,161,991	\$1,318,214	\$463,417	\$2,057,869	\$1,729	\$5,003,220

(a) All amounts are reflected based on final maturity dates. The Company plans to continue to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit. Variable rate interest obligations are estimated based on rates as of January 1, 2010, as reflected in the statements of capitalization.

- (b) For additional information, see Notes 1 and 10 to the financial statements.
- (c) Preference stock does not mature; therefore, amounts are provided for the next five years only.
- (d) The timing related to the realization of \$1.7 million in unrecognized tax benefits and interest payments in individual years beyond 12 months cannot be reasonably and reliably estimated due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective settlement of tax positions. See Note 5 to the financial statements for additional information.
- (e) The Company generally does not enter into non-cancelable commitments for other operations and maintenance expenditures. Total other operations and

maintenance expenses for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$260 million, \$277 million, and \$270 million, respectively.

(f) The Company forecasts capital expenditures over a three-year period. Amounts represent current estimates of total expenditures. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction program.

(g) As part of the Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from its coal plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment.

(h)

Natural gas purchase commitments are based on various indices at the time of delivery. Amounts reflected have been estimated based on the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.

- (i) The capacity-related costs associated with PPAs are recovered through the purchased power capacity costs recovery clause. See Notes 3 and 7 to the financial statements for additional information.
- (j) Long-term service agreements include price escalation based on inflation indices.
- (k) The Company forecasts postretirement trust contributions over a three-year period. The Company

expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012 and such contribution could be significant. The projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables, including future trust fund performance, and cannot be determined at this time; therefore, no amounts related to the pension trust fund are included in the table. See Note 2 to the financial statements for additional information related to the pension and postretirement plans, including estimated benefit payments. Certain benefit payments will be made through the related trusts. Other benefit payments will be made from the Company's corporate assets.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

The Company's 2009 Annual Report contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning retail sales, retail rates, storm damage cost recovery and repairs, fuel cost recovery and other rate actions, environmental regulations and expenditures, earnings growth, access to sources of capital, projections for postretirement benefit trust contributions, financing activities, start and completion of construction projects, impacts of adoption of new accounting rules, impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, impact of healthcare legislation, if any, estimated sales and purchases under new power sale and purchase agreements, and estimated construction and other expenditures. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as may, will, could, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimate, predicts, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurance that such indicated results will be realized. These factors include:

- the impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory change, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, environmental laws including regulation of water quality and emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, mercury, carbon, soot, particulate matter, or coal combustion byproducts and other substances, and also changes in tax and other laws and regulations to which the Company is subject, as well as changes in application of existing laws and regulations;

- current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings or inquiries, including FERC matters and the EPA civil actions against the Company;

- the effects, extent, and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets in which the Company operates;

- variations in demand for electricity, including those relating to weather, the general economy and recovery from the recent recession, population, and business growth (and declines), and the effects of energy conservation measures;

- available sources and costs of fuels;

- effects of inflation;

- ability to control costs and avoid cost overruns during the development and construction of facilities;

- investment performance of the Company's employee benefit plans;

- advances in technology;

- state and federal rate regulations and the impact of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including rate actions relating to fuel and other cost recovery mechanisms;

- internal restructuring or other restructuring options that may be pursued;

- potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed or beneficial to the Company;

- the ability of counterparties of the Company to make payments as and when due and to perform as required;

- the ability to obtain new short- and long-term contracts with wholesale customers;

- the direct or indirect effect on the Company's business resulting from terrorist incidents and the threat of terrorist incidents;

- interest rate fluctuations and financial market conditions and the results of financing efforts, including the Company's credit ratings;

- the ability of the Company to obtain additional generating capacity at competitive prices;

- catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, hurricanes, droughts, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences;

- the direct or indirect effects on the Company's business resulting from incidents affecting the U.S. electric grid or operation of generating resources;

- the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard setting bodies; and

other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports (including the Form 10-K) filed by the Company from time to time with the SEC.

The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

II-267

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF INCOME****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Revenues:			
Retail revenues	\$ 1,106,568	\$ 1,120,766	\$ 1,006,329
Wholesale revenues, non-affiliates	94,105	97,065	83,514
Wholesale revenues, affiliates	32,095	106,989	113,178
Other revenues	69,461	62,383	56,787
Total operating revenues	1,302,229	1,387,203	1,259,808
Operating Expenses:			
Fuel	573,407	635,634	573,354
Purchased power, non-affiliates	23,706	29,590	11,994
Purchased power, affiliates	68,276	79,750	59,499
Other operations and maintenance	260,274	277,478	270,440
Depreciation and amortization	93,398	84,815	85,613
Taxes other than income taxes	94,506	87,247	82,992
Total operating expenses	1,113,567	1,194,514	1,083,892
Operating Income	188,662	192,689	175,916
Other Income and (Expense):			
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	23,809	9,969	2,374
Interest income	423	3,155	5,348
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(38,358)	(43,098)	(44,680)
Other income (expense), net	(4,075)	(4,064)	(3,876)
Total other income and (expense)	(18,201)	(34,038)	(40,834)
Earnings Before Income Taxes	170,461	158,651	135,082
Income taxes	53,025	54,103	47,083
Net Income	117,436	104,548	87,999
Dividends on Preference Stock	6,203	6,203	3,881
Net Income After Dividends on Preference Stock	\$ 111,233	\$ 98,345	\$ 84,118

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-268

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 117,436	\$ 104,548	\$ 87,999
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization, total	99,564	93,607	90,694
Deferred income taxes	(16,545)	23,949	(10,818)
Allowance for equity funds used during construction	(23,809)	(9,969)	(2,374)
Pension, postretirement, and other employee benefits	1,769	1,585	6,062
Stock based compensation expense	933	765	1,141
Tax benefit of stock options	17	215	344
Hedge settlements		(5,220)	3,030
Other, net	(5,190)	(5,149)	(7,072)
Changes in certain current assets and liabilities			
-Receivables	83,245	(49,886)	10,301
-Fossil fuel stock	(75,145)	(36,765)	5,025
-Materials and supplies	(1,642)	8,927	(2,625)
-Prepaid income taxes	(6,355)	(416)	7,177
-Property damage cost recovery	10,746	26,143	25,103
-Other current assets	(204)	(307)	(632)
-Accounts payable	7,890	(4,561)	(556)
-Accrued taxes	(2,404)	(6,511)	4,773
-Accrued compensation	(6,330)	570	(1,322)
-Other current liabilities	10,255	6,417	732
Net cash provided from operating activities	194,231	147,942	216,982
Investing Activities:			
Property additions	(421,309)	(377,790)	(241,538)
Investment in restricted cash from pollution control revenue bonds	(49,188)		
Distribution of restricted cash from pollution control revenue bonds	42,841		
Cost of removal net of salvage	(9,751)	(8,713)	(9,408)
Construction payables	(23,603)	37,244	10,817
Other investing activities	(7,426)	576	803
Net cash used for investing activities	(468,436)	(348,683)	(239,326)
Financing Activities:			
Increase (decrease) in notes payable, net	(49,599)	107,438	(75,820)
Proceeds			
Common stock issued to parent	135,000		80,000
Capital contributions from parent company	22,032	75,324	4,174

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Gross excess tax benefit of stock options	51	298	799
Preference stock			45,000
Pollution control revenue bonds	130,400	37,000	
Senior notes	140,000		85,000
Other long-term debt issuances		110,000	
Redemptions			
Pollution control revenue bonds		(37,000)	
Senior notes	(1,214)	(1,300)	
Other long-term debt			(41,238)
Payment of preference stock dividends	(6,203)	(6,057)	(3,300)
Payment of common stock dividends	(89,300)	(81,700)	(74,100)
Other financing activities	(1,728)	(5,167)	(349)
Net cash provided from financing activities	279,439	198,836	20,166
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	5,234	(1,905)	(2,178)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	3,443	5,348	7,526
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 8,677	\$ 3,443	\$ 5,348
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the period for			
Interest (net of \$9,489, \$3,973 and \$1,048 capitalized, respectively)	\$ 40,336	\$ 39,956	\$ 35,237
Income taxes (net of refunds)	73,889	40,176	39,228
Non-cash decrease in notes payable related to energy services	(8,309)		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-269

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Assets	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,677	\$ 3,443
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	6,347	
Receivables		
Customer accounts receivable	64,257	69,531
Unbilled revenues	60,414	48,742
Under recovered regulatory clause revenues	4,285	98,644
Other accounts and notes receivable	4,107	7,201
Affiliated companies	7,503	8,516
Accumulated provision for uncollectible accounts	(1,913)	(2,188)
Fossil fuel stock, at average cost	183,619	108,129
Materials and supplies, at average cost	38,478	36,836
Other regulatory assets, current	19,172	38,908
Prepaid expenses	44,760	20,363
Other current assets	3,634	5,292
Total current assets	443,340	443,417
Property, Plant, and Equipment:		
In service	3,430,503	2,785,561
Less accumulated provision for depreciation	1,009,807	971,464
Plant in service, net of depreciation	2,420,696	1,814,097
Construction work in progress	159,499	391,987
Total property, plant, and equipment	2,580,195	2,206,084
Other Property and Investments	15,923	15,918
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Deferred charges related to income taxes	39,018	24,220
Other regulatory assets, deferred	190,971	170,836
Other deferred charges and assets	24,160	18,550
Total deferred charges and other assets	254,149	213,606
Total Assets	\$ 3,293,607	\$ 2,879,025

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008

Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report

Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Liabilities:		
Securities due within one year	\$ 140,000	\$
Notes payable	90,331	148,239
Accounts payable		
Affiliated	47,421	50,304
Other	80,184	90,381
Customer deposits	32,361	28,017
Accrued taxes		
Accrued income taxes	1,955	39,983
Other accrued taxes	7,297	11,855
Accrued interest	10,222	8,959
Accrued compensation	9,337	15,667
Other regulatory liabilities, current	22,416	4,602
Liabilities from risk management activities	9,442	26,928
Other current liabilities	20,092	29,047
 Total current liabilities	 471,058	 453,982
Long-Term Debt (See accompanying statements)	978,914	849,265
 Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	297,405	254,354
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	9,652	11,255
Employee benefit obligations	109,271	97,389
Other cost of removal obligations	191,248	180,325
Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	41,399	28,597
Other deferred credits and liabilities	92,370	83,768
 Total deferred credits and other liabilities	 741,345	 655,688
 Total Liabilities	 2,191,317	 1,958,935
 Preference Stock (See accompanying statements)	 97,998	 97,998
 Common Stockholder's Equity (See accompanying statements)	 1,004,292	 822,092
 Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	 \$ 3,293,607	 \$ 2,879,025
 Commitments and Contingent Matters (See notes)		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-271

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		<i>(percent of total)</i>	
Long Term Debt:				
Long-term notes payable				
4.35% due 2013	60,000	60,000		
4.90% due 2014	75,000	75,000		
5.25% to 5.90% due 2016-2044	452,486	453,700		
Variable rates (0.35% at 1/1/10) due 2010	140,000			
Variable rates (0.68% at 1/1/10) due 2011	110,000	110,000		
 Total long-term notes payable	 837,486	 698,700		
 Other long-term debt				
Pollution control revenue bonds				
1.50% to 6.00% due 2022-2039	218,625	153,625		
Variable rates (0.25% to 0.28% at 1/1/10) due 2022-2039	69,330	3,930		
 Total other long-term debt	 287,955	 157,555		
 Unamortized debt discount	 (6,527)	 (6,990)		
 Total long-term debt (annual interest requirement \$41.2 million)	 1,118,914	 849,265		
Less amount due within one year	140,000			
 Long-term debt excluding amount due within one year	 978,914	 849,265	 47.0%	 48.0%
Preferred and Preference Stock:				
Authorized - 20,000,000 shares preferred stock				
- 10,000,000 shares preference stock				
Outstanding - \$100 par or stated value 6% preference stock	53,886	53,886		
6.45% preference stock	44,112	44,112		
- 1,000,000 shares (non-cumulative)				
 Total preference stock (annual dividend requirement \$6.2 million)	 97,998	 97,998	 4.7	 5.5
Common Stockholder s Equity:				
Common stock, without par value				
Authorized - 20,000,000 shares				
Outstanding - 2009: 3,142,717 shares				
Outstanding - 2008: 1,792,717 shares	253,060	118,060		

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Paid-in capital	534,577	511,547		
Retained earnings	219,117	197,417		
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(2,462)	(4,932)		
Total common stockholder's equity	1,004,292	822,092	48.3	46.5
Total Capitalization	\$2,081,204	\$1,769,355	100.0%	100.0%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-272

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	Number of Common Shares	Common Stock	Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
	Issued					
	<i>(in thousands)</i>					
Balance at December 31, 2006	993	\$ 38,060	\$428,592	\$171,968	\$ (4,597)	\$ 634,023
Net income after dividends on preference stock				84,118		84,118
Issuance of common stock	800	80,000				80,000
Capital contributions from parent company			6,457			6,457
Other comprehensive income (loss)					798	798
Cash dividends on common stock				(74,100)		(74,100)
Other			(41)			(41)
Balance at December 31, 2007	1,793	118,060	435,008	181,986	(3,799)	731,255
Net income after dividends on preference stock				98,345		98,345
Capital contributions from parent company			76,539			76,539
Other comprehensive income (loss)					(1,133)	(1,133)
Cash dividends on common stock				(81,700)		(81,700)
Change in benefit plan measurement date				(1,214)		(1,214)
Balance at December 31, 2008	1,793	118,060	511,547	197,417	(4,932)	822,092
Net income after dividends on preference stock				111,233		111,233
Issuance of common stock	1,350	135,000				135,000
Capital contributions from parent company			23,030			23,030
Other comprehensive income (loss)					2,470	2,470
Cash dividends on common stock				(89,300)		(89,300)

Other (233) (233)

Balance at December 31,
2009 **3,143** **\$253,060** **\$534,577** **\$219,117** **\$ (2,462)** **\$1,004,292**

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-273

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Net income after dividends on preference stock	\$ 111,233	\$ 98,345	\$ 84,118
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Qualifying hedges:			
Changes in fair value, net of tax of \$1,132, \$(1,077), and \$232, respectively	1,803	(1,716)	370
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income, net of tax of \$419, \$366, and \$269, respectively	667	583	428
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	2,470	(1,133)	798
Comprehensive Income	\$ 113,703	\$ 97,212	\$ 84,916

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-274

Table of Contents**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES****General**

Gulf Power Company (the Company) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company, which is the parent company of four traditional operating companies, Southern Power Company (Southern Power), Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), Southern Communications Services, Inc. (SouthernLINC Wireless), Southern Company Holdings, Inc. (Southern Holdings), Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), and other direct and indirect subsidiaries. The traditional operating companies, Alabama Power Company (Alabama Power), Georgia Power Company (Georgia Power), the Company, and Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi Power), are vertically integrated utilities providing electric service in four Southeastern states. The Company provides retail service to customers in northwest Florida and to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market. SCS, the system service company, provides, at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and its subsidiary companies. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and also markets these services to the public and provides fiber cable services within the Southeast. Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding company subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases. Southern Nuclear operates and provides services to Southern Company's nuclear power plants. The equity method is used for entities in which the Company has significant influence but does not control. Certain prior years' data presented in the financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The Company is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Florida Public Service Commission (PSC). The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and complies with the accounting policies and practices prescribed by its regulatory commissions. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the use of estimates, and the actual results may differ from those estimates.

Affiliate Transactions

The Company has an agreement with SCS under which the following services are rendered to the Company at direct or allocated cost: general and design engineering, purchasing, accounting and statistical analysis, finance and treasury, tax, information resources, marketing, auditing, insurance and pension administration, human resources, systems and procedures, digital wireless communications, and other services with respect to business and operations and power pool operations. Costs for these services amounted to \$87 million, \$86 million, and \$73 million during 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Cost allocation methodologies used by SCS were approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) prior to the repeal of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and management believes they are reasonable. The FERC permits services to be rendered at cost by system service companies.

The Company has agreements with Georgia Power and Mississippi Power under which the Company owns a portion of Plant Scherer and Plant Daniel, respectively. Georgia Power operates Plant Scherer and Mississippi Power operates Plant Daniel. The Company reimbursed Georgia Power \$3.9 million, \$8.1 million, and \$5.1 million, and Mississippi Power \$20.9 million, \$22.8 million, and \$23.1 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, for its proportionate share of related expenses. See Note 4 and Note 7 under "Operating Leases" for additional information.

The Company entered into a power purchase agreement (PPA), with Southern Power for a total of approximately 292 megawatts (MWs) annually from June 2009 through May 2014. The PPA was the result of a competitive request for proposal process initiated by the Company in January 2006 to address the anticipated need for additional capacity beginning in 2009. In May 2007, the Florida PSC issued an order approving the PPA for the purpose of cost recovery through the Company's purchased power capacity clause. The PPA with Southern Power was approved by the FERC in July 2007.

The Company provides incidental services to and receives such services from other Southern Company subsidiaries which are generally minor in duration and amount. There were no significant services provided or received in 2009,

2008, or 2007.

II-275

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The traditional operating companies, including the Company, and Southern Power jointly enter into various types of wholesale energy, natural gas, and certain other contracts, either directly or through SCS, as agent. Each participating company may be jointly and severally liable for the obligations incurred under these agreements. See Note 7 under

Fuel and Purchased Power Commitments for additional information.

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The Company is subject to the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board in accounting for the effects of rate regulation. Regulatory assets represent probable future revenues associated with certain costs that are expected to be recovered from customers through the ratemaking process. Regulatory liabilities represent probable future reductions in revenues associated with amounts that are expected to be credited to customers through the ratemaking process. Regulatory assets and (liabilities) reflected in the balance sheets at December 31 relate to:

	2009	2008	Note
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Deferred income tax charges	\$ 39,018	\$ 24,220	(a)
Asset retirement obligations	(4,371)	(4,531)	(a,i)
Other cost of removal obligations	(191,248)	(180,325)	(a)
Deferred income tax credits	(11,412)	(12,983)	(a)
Loss on reacquired debt	14,599	16,248	(b)
Vacation pay	8,120	7,991	(c,i)
Under recovered regulatory clause revenues	2,384	96,731	(d)
Over recovered regulatory clause revenues	(14,510)	(3,295)	(d)
Property damage reserve	(24,046)	(9,801)	(e)
Fuel-hedging (realized and unrealized) losses	15,367	35,333	(f,i)
Fuel-hedging (realized and unrealized) gains	(190)	(1,071)	(f,i)
PPA charges	8,141		(i,j)
Generation site selection/evaluation costs	8,373	2,370	(k)
Other assets	131	990	(d,i)
Environmental remediation	65,223	66,812	(g,i)
PPA credits	(7,536)		(i,j)
Other liabilities	(715)	(1,518)	(d)
Underfunded retiree benefit plans	91,055	81,912	(h,i)
Total assets (liabilities), net	\$ (1,617)	\$ 119,083	

Note: The recovery and amortization periods for these regulatory assets and (liabilities) are as follows:

- (a) Asset retirement and removal assets and liabilities are recovered, deferred charges

related to income tax assets are recovered, and deferred charges related to income tax liabilities are amortized over the related property lives, which may range up to 65 years. Asset retirement and removal liabilities will be settled and trued up following completion of the related activities.

- (b) Recovered over either the remaining life of the original issue or, if refinanced, over the life of the new issue, which may range up to 40 years.
- (c) Recorded as earned by employees and recovered as paid, generally within one year.
- (d) Recorded and recovered or amortized as approved by the Florida PSC, generally within one year.

- (e) Recorded and recovered or amortized as approved by the Florida PSC. The storm cost recovery surcharge ended in June 2009.
- (f) Fuel-hedging assets and liabilities are recognized over the life of the underlying hedged purchase contracts, which generally do not exceed four years. Upon final settlement, costs are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause.
- (g) Recovered through the environmental cost recovery clause when the remediation is performed.
- (h) Recovered and amortized over the average remaining service period which may range up to 14 years. See Note 2 for additional information.
- (i) Not earning a return as offset in rate base by a

corresponding
asset or liability.

(j) Recovered over
the life of the
PPA for periods
up to 14 years.

(k) Deferred
pursuant to
Florida Statute
while the
Company
continues to
evaluate certain
potential new
generation
projects.

In the event that a portion of the Company's operations is no longer subject to applicable accounting rules for rate regulation, the Company would be required to write off or reclassify to accumulated other comprehensive income related regulatory assets and liabilities that are not specifically recoverable through regulated rates. In addition, the Company would be required to determine if any impairment to other assets, including plant, exists and write down the assets, if impaired, to their fair values. All regulatory assets and liabilities are to be reflected in rates.

II-276

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Revenues**

Energy and other revenues are recognized as services are provided. Unbilled revenues related to retail sales are accrued at the end of each fiscal period. Wholesale capacity revenues are generally recognized on a levelized basis over the appropriate contract period. The Company's retail electric rates include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs, the energy component of purchased power costs, and certain other costs. The Company continuously monitors the over or under recovered fuel cost balance in light of the inherent variability in fuel costs. The Company is required to notify the Florida PSC if the projected fuel cost over or under recovery is expected to exceed 10% of the projected fuel revenue applicable for the period and indicate if an adjustment to the fuel cost recovery factor is being requested. The Company has similar retail cost recovery clauses for energy conservation costs, purchased power capacity costs, and environmental compliance costs. Revenues are adjusted for differences between these actual costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Under or over recovered regulatory clause revenues are recorded in the balance sheets and are recovered or returned to customers through adjustments to the billing factors. Annually, the Company petitions for recovery of projected costs including any true-up amounts from prior periods, and approved rates are implemented each January. See Note 3 under "Retail Regulatory Matters" for additional information.

The Company has a diversified base of customers. No single customer or industry comprises 10% or more of revenues. For all periods presented, uncollectible accounts averaged less than 1% of revenues.

Fuel Costs

Fuel costs are expensed as the fuel is used.

Income and Other Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provides deferred income taxes for all significant income tax temporary differences. Investment tax credits utilized are deferred and amortized to income over the average life of the related property. Taxes that are collected from customers on behalf of governmental agencies to be remitted to these agencies are presented net on the statements of income.

In accordance with accounting standards related to the uncertainty in income taxes, the Company recognizes tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities. See Note 5 under "Unrecognized Tax Benefits" for additional information.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment is stated at original cost less regulatory disallowances and impairments. Original cost includes: materials; labor; minor items of property; appropriate administrative and general costs; payroll-related costs such as taxes, pensions, and other benefits; and the interest capitalized and/or cost of funds used during construction.

The Company's property, plant, and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Generation	\$2,034,826	\$1,445,095
Transmission	317,298	305,097
Distribution	938,393	900,793
General	136,934	131,269
Plant acquisition adjustment	3,052	3,307
Total plant in service	\$3,430,503	\$2,785,561

The cost of replacements of property, exclusive of minor items of property, is capitalized. The cost of maintenance, repairs, and replacement of minor items of property is charged to maintenance expense as incurred or performed.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation of the original cost of utility plant in service is provided primarily by using composite straight-line rates, which approximated 3.1% in 2009, 3.4% in 2008, and 3.4% in 2007. Depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update the composite rates. These studies are approved by the Florida PSC. When property subject to depreciation is retired or otherwise disposed of in the normal course of business, its original cost, together with the cost of removal, less salvage, is charged to accumulated depreciation. For other property dispositions, the applicable cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the balance sheet accounts and a gain or loss is recognized. Minor items of property included in the original cost of the plant are retired when the related property unit is retired.

Asset Retirement Obligations and Other Costs of Removal

Asset retirement obligations are computed as the present value of the ultimate costs for an asset's future retirement and are recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred. The costs are capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset's useful life. The Company has received an order from the Florida PSC allowing the continued accrual of other future retirement costs for long-lived assets that the Company does not have a legal obligation to retire. Accordingly, the accumulated removal costs for these obligations are reflected in the balance sheets as a regulatory liability.

The liability recognized to retire long-lived assets primarily relates to the Company's combustion turbines at its Pea Ridge facility, various landfill sites, a barge unloading dock, asbestos removal, ash ponds, and disposal of polychlorinated biphenyls in certain transformers. The Company also has identified retirement obligations related to certain transmission and distribution facilities, certain wireless communication towers, and certain structures authorized by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. However, liabilities for the removal of these assets have not been recorded because the range of time over which the Company may settle these obligations is unknown and cannot be reasonably estimated. The Company will continue to recognize in the statements of income allowed removal costs in accordance with its regulatory treatment. Any differences between costs recognized in accordance with accounting standards related to asset retirement and environmental obligations and those reflected in rates are recognized as either a regulatory asset or liability, as ordered by the Florida PSC, and are reflected in the balance sheets.

Details of the asset retirement obligations included in the balance sheets are as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Balance beginning of year	\$12,042	\$11,942
Liabilities incurred	224	
Liabilities settled	(300)	(354)
Accretion	642	631
Cash flow revisions		(177)
Balance end of year	\$12,608	\$12,042

Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC)

In accordance with regulatory treatment, the Company records AFUDC, which represents the estimated debt and equity costs of capital funds that are necessary to finance the construction of new regulated facilities. While cash is not realized currently from such allowance, it increases the revenue requirement over the service life of the plant through a higher rate base and higher depreciation. The equity component of AFUDC is not included in calculating taxable income. The average annual AFUDC rate was 7.65%, 7.65%, and 7.48%, respectively, for the years 2009, 2008, and 2007. AFUDC, net of taxes, as a percentage of net income after dividends on preference stock was 26.64%, 12.62%, and 3.59%, respectively, for 2009, 2008, and 2007.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangibles

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on either a specific regulatory disallowance or an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with the carrying value of the assets. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by either the amount of regulatory disallowance or by estimating the fair value of the assets and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. For

II-278

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

assets identified as held for sale, the carrying value is compared to the estimated fair value less the cost to sell in order to determine if an impairment loss is required. Until the assets are disposed of, their estimated fair value is re-evaluated when circumstances or events change.

Property Damage Reserve

The Company accrues for the cost of repairing damages from major storms and other uninsured property damages, including uninsured damages to transmission and distribution facilities, generation facilities, and other property. The costs of such damage are charged to the reserve. The Florida PSC-approved annual accrual to the property damage reserve is \$3.5 million, with a target level for the reserve between \$25.1 million and \$36.0 million. The Florida PSC also authorized the Company to make additional accruals above the \$3.5 million at the Company's discretion. The Company accrued total expenses of \$3.5 million in 2009, \$3.5 million in 2008, and \$3.5 million in 2007. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the balance in the Company's property damage reserve totaled approximately \$24.0 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, which is included in deferred liabilities in the balance sheets.

When the property damage reserve is inadequate to cover the cost of major storms, the Florida PSC can authorize a storm cost recovery surcharge to be applied to customer bills. Such a surcharge was authorized in 2005 after Hurricane Ivan in 2004 and was extended by a 2006 Florida PSC order approving a stipulation to address costs incurred as a result of Hurricanes Dennis and Katrina in 2005. According to the 2006 Florida PSC order, in the case of future storms, if the Company incurs cumulative costs for storm-recovery activities in excess of \$10 million during any calendar year, the Company will be permitted to file a streamlined formal request for an interim surcharge. Any interim surcharge would provide for the recovery, subject to refund, of up to 80% of the claimed costs for storm-recovery activities. The Company would then petition the Florida PSC for full recovery through a final or non-interim surcharge or other cost recovery mechanism.

Injuries and Damages Reserve

The Company is subject to claims and lawsuits arising in the ordinary course of business. As permitted by the Florida PSC, the Company accrues for the uninsured costs of injuries and damages by charges to income amounting to \$1.6 million annually. The Florida PSC has also given the Company the flexibility to increase its annual accrual above \$1.6 million to the extent the balance in the reserve does not exceed \$2 million and to defer expense recognition of liabilities greater than the balance in the reserve. The cost of settling claims is charged to the reserve. The injuries and damages reserve was \$2.9 million and \$2.5 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For 2009, \$1.6 million and \$1.3 million are included in current liabilities and deferred credits and other liabilities in the balance sheets, respectively. For 2008, \$2.5 million is included in current liabilities in the balance sheets. Liabilities in excess of the reserve balance of \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are included in deferred credits and other liabilities in the balance sheets. Corresponding regulatory assets of \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are included in current assets in the balance sheets.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the financial statements, temporary cash investments are considered cash equivalents. Temporary cash investments are securities with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Materials and Supplies

Generally, materials and supplies include the average cost of transmission, distribution, and generating plant materials. Materials are charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed or capitalized to plant, as appropriate, at weighted average cost when installed.

Fuel Inventory

Fuel inventory includes the average costs of oil, coal, natural gas, and emissions allowances. Fuel is charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed as used and recovered through fuel cost recovery rates approved by the Florida PSC. Emissions allowances granted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are included in inventory at zero cost.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Financial Instruments**

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to limit exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, the prices of certain fuel purchases, and electricity purchases and sales. All derivative financial instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities (included in Other or shown separately as Risk Management Activities) and are measured at fair value. See Note 9 for additional information. Substantially all of the Company's bulk energy purchases and sales contracts that meet the definition of a derivative are exempt from fair value accounting requirements and are accounted for under the accrual method. Other derivative contracts qualify as cash flow hedges of anticipated transactions or are recoverable through the Florida PSC-approved hedging program. This results in the deferral of related gains and losses in other comprehensive income (OCI) or regulatory assets and liabilities, respectively, until the hedged transactions occur. Any ineffectiveness arising from cash flow hedges is recognized currently in net income. Other derivative contracts are marked to market through current period income and are recorded on a net basis in the statements of income. See Note 10 for additional information.

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for multiple derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. Additionally, the Company has no outstanding collateral repayment obligations or rights to reclaim collateral arising from derivative instruments recognized at December 31, 2009.

The Company is exposed to losses related to financial instruments in the event of counterparties' nonperformance. The Company has established controls to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties in order to mitigate the Company's exposure to counterparty credit risk.

Comprehensive Income

The objective of comprehensive income is to report a measure of all changes in common stock equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners.

Comprehensive income consists of net income, changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges, and reclassifications for amounts included in net income.

2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company has a defined benefit, trustee, pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan is funded in accordance with requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA). No contributions to the defined benefit plan are expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The Company also provides a defined benefit pension plan for a selected group of management and highly compensated employees. Benefits under these non-qualified pension plans are funded on a cash basis. In addition, the Company provides certain medical care and life insurance benefits for retired employees through other postretirement benefit plans. The Company funds trusts to the extent required by the FERC. For the year ending December 31, 2010, postretirement trust contributions are expected to total approximately \$54,000.

The measurement date for plan assets and obligations for 2009 and 2008 was December 31 while the measurement date for prior years was September 30. Pursuant to accounting standards related to defined postretirement benefit plans, the Company was required to change the measurement date for its defined postretirement benefit plans from September 30 to December 31 beginning with the year ended December 31, 2008. As permitted, the Company adopted the measurement date provisions effective January 1, 2008 resulting in an increase in long-term liabilities of \$1.4 million and an increase in prepaid pension costs of approximately \$0.6 million.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Pension Plans**

The total accumulated benefit obligation for the pension plans was \$275 million in 2009 and \$243 million in 2008. Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the projected benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$260,765	\$ 251,781
Service cost	6,478	8,437
Interest cost	17,139	19,344
Benefits paid	(12,884)	(15,880)
Plan amendments		
Actuarial loss (gain)	27,388	(2,917)
Balance at end of year	298,886	260,765
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	229,407	345,398
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	36,840	(101,036)
Employer contributions	696	925
Benefits paid	(12,884)	(15,880)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	254,059	229,407
Accrued liability	\$ (44,827)	\$ (31,358)

At December 31, 2009, the projected benefit obligations for the qualified and non-qualified pension plans were \$284 million and \$15 million, respectively. All pension plan assets are related to the qualified pension plan. Pension plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code). In 2009, in determining the optimal asset allocation for the pension fund, the Company performed an extensive study based on projections of both assets and liabilities over a 10-year forward horizon. The primary goal of the study was to maximize plan funded status. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk.

The actual composition of the Company's pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	29%	33%	34%
International equity	28	29	23
Fixed income	15	15	14
Special situations	3		
Real estate investments	15	13	19

Private equity	10	10	10
Total	100%	100%	100%

The investment strategy for plan assets related to the Company's defined benefit plan is to be broadly diversified across major asset classes. The asset allocation is established after consideration of various factors that affect the assets and liabilities of the pension plan including, but not limited to, historical and expected returns, volatility, correlations of asset classes, the current level of assets and liabilities, and the assumed growth in assets and liabilities. Because a significant portion of the liability of the pension plan is long-term in nature, the assets are invested consistent with long-term investment expectations for return and risk. To manage the actual

II-281

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

asset class exposures relative to the target asset allocation, the Company employs a formal rebalancing program. As additional risk management, external investment managers and service providers are subject to written guidelines to ensure appropriate and prudent investment practices.

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed through an allocation to long-dated, investment grade corporate and government bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

The fair values of pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 50,434	\$20,856	\$	\$ 71,290
International equity*	65,197	6,497		71,694
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		18,783		18,783
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		5,107		5,107
Corporate bonds		12,589		12,589
Pooled funds		455		455
Cash equivalents and other	126	15,396		15,522
Special situations				
Real estate investments	7,862		24,699	32,561
Private equity			25,053	25,053
Total	\$123,619	\$79,683	\$49,752	\$253,054

Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(202)	(51)		(253)
Total	\$123,417	\$79,632	\$49,752	\$252,801

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

II-282

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2008:				
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$47,250	\$19,242	\$	\$ 66,492
International equity*	42,508	3,909		46,417
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		19,866		19,866
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		9,413		9,413
Corporate bonds		12,882		12,882
Pooled funds		139		139
Cash equivalents and other	994	9,089		10,083
Special situations				
Real estate investments	6,476		37,790	44,266
Private equity			22,063	22,063
Total	\$97,228	\$74,540	\$59,853	\$231,621
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(348)			(348)
Total	\$96,880	\$74,540	\$59,853	\$231,273

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations

of risk.

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the pension plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Beginning balance	\$ 37,790	\$22,063	\$47,025	\$23,400
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(10,741)	1,724	(7,615)	(6,332)
Related to investments sold during the year	(2,938)	452	180	1,125
Total return on investments	(13,679)	2,176	(7,435)	(5,207)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	588	814	(1,800)	3,870
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 24,699	\$25,053	\$37,790	\$22,063

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable in an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued utilizing matrix pricing, a common model utilizing observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's pension plans consist of the following:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 85,194	\$ 71,990
Other, current liabilities	(910)	(863)
Employee benefit obligations	(43,917)	(30,495)

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the defined benefit pension plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain) Loss
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2009:		
Regulatory assets	\$8,506	\$ 76,688
Balance at December 31, 2008:		
Regulatory assets	\$9,984	\$ 62,006
Estimated amortization in net periodic pension cost in 2010:		
Regulatory assets	\$1,302	\$ 398

The changes in the balances of regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities related to the defined benefit pension plans for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets	Regulatory Liabilities
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 6,561	\$(60,464)
Net loss (gain)	66,170	61,989
Change in prior service costs		
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(323)	(1,525)
Amortization of net gain	(418)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(741)	(1,525)
Total change	65,429	60,464

Balance at December 31, 2008	\$71,990	\$
Net loss (gain)	14,906	
Change in prior service costs		
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(1,478)	
Amortization of net gain	(224)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(1,702)	
Total change	13,204	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$85,194	\$

II-284

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Components of net periodic pension cost were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Service cost	\$ 6,478	\$ 6,750	\$ 6,835
Interest cost	17,139	15,475	14,519
Expected return on plan assets	(24,357)	(23,757)	(21,934)
Recognized net (gain) loss	224	334	342
Net amortization	1,478	1,478	1,419
Net periodic pension cost	\$ 962	\$ 280	\$ 1,181

Net periodic pension cost (income) is the sum of service cost, interest cost, and other costs netted against the expected return on plan assets. The expected return on plan assets is determined by multiplying the expected rate of return on plan assets and the market-related value of plan assets. In determining the market-related value of plan assets, the Company has elected to amortize changes in the market value of all plan assets over five years rather than recognize the changes immediately. As a result, the accounting value of plan assets that is used to calculate the expected return on plan assets differs from the current fair value of the plan assets.

Future benefit payments reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the projected benefit obligation for the pension plans. At December 31, 2009, estimated benefit payments were as follows:

	Benefit Payments <i>(in thousands)</i>
2010	\$ 14,388
2011	15,105
2012	15,825
2013	16,696
2014	18,102
2015 to 2019	106,458

Other Postretirement Benefits

Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligations (APBO) and in the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 72,391	\$ 73,909
Service cost	1,328	1,766
Interest cost	4,705	5,671
Benefits paid	(4,115)	(4,864)
Actuarial (gain) loss	497	(4,522)
Plan amendments	(2,416)	
Retiree drug subsidy	250	431
Balance at end of year	72,640	72,391

Change in plan assets

Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	13,180	19,610
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	2,735	(5,556)
Employer contributions	2,923	3,559
Benefits paid	(3,865)	(4,433)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	14,973	13,180
Accrued liability	\$(57,667)	\$(59,211)

II-285

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Other postretirement benefit plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code). The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily as hedging tools but may also be used to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes. The Company primarily minimizes the risk of large losses through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk. The actual composition of the Company's other postretirement benefit plan assets as of the end of the year, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	28%	32%	33%
International equity	27	28	22
Fixed income	18	18	17
Special situations	3		
Real estate investments	14	12	19
Private equity	10	10	9
Total	100%	100%	100%

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is comprised of domestic bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Trust-owned life insurance. Some of the Company's taxable trusts invest in these investments in order to minimize the impact of taxes on the portfolio.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The fair values of other postretirement benefit plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
As of December 31, 2009:				
			<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$2,706	\$1,119	\$	\$ 3,825
International equity*	3,499	348		3,847
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		1,008		1,008
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		274		274
Corporate bonds		675		675
Pooled funds		553		553
Cash equivalents and other	8	827		835
Trust-owned life insurance				
Special situations				
Real estate investments	420		1,326	1,746
Private equity			1,346	1,346
Total	\$6,633	\$4,804	\$ 2,672	\$14,109
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(11)	(3)		(14)
Total	\$6,622	\$4,801	\$ 2,672	\$14,095

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified

with no significant concentrations of risk.

As of December 31, 2008:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$2,591	\$1,055	\$	\$ 3,646
International equity*	2,332	216		2,548
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		1,089		1,089
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		516		516
Corporate bonds		706		706
Pooled funds		551		551
Cash equivalents and other	54	499		553
Trust-owned life insurance				
Special situations				
Real estate investments	355		2,073	2,428
Private equity			1,211	1,211
Total	\$5,332	\$4,632	\$ 3,284	\$13,248
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(20)			(20)
Total	\$5,312	\$4,632	\$ 3,284	\$13,228

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no significant

concentrations
of risk.

II-287

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the other postretirement benefit plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Beginning balance	\$2,073	\$1,211	\$2,499	\$1,243
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(624)	68	(339)	(297)
Related to investments sold during the year	(154)	25	9	59
Total return on investments	(778)	93	(330)	(238)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	31	42	(96)	206
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$1,326	\$1,346	\$2,073	\$1,211

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable in an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued utilizing matrix pricing, a common model utilizing observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's other postretirement benefit plans consist of:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 5,861	\$ 9,922
Other current liabilities		(500)
Employee benefit obligations	(57,667)	(58,711)

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the other postretirement benefit plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic postretirement benefit cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain)Loss <i>(in thousands)</i>	Transition Obligation
Balance at December 31, 2009:			
Regulatory asset	\$ 881	\$4,273	\$ 707
Balance at December 31, 2008:			
Regulatory asset	\$3,187	\$5,302	\$1,433
Estimated amortization as net periodic postretirement cost in 2010:			
Regulatory asset	\$ 186	\$ (37)	\$ 257

II-288

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The changes in the balance of regulatory assets related to the other postretirement benefit plans for the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets <i>(in thousands)</i>
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 8,040
Net loss	2,759
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(445)
Amortization of prior service costs	(432)
Amortization of net gain	
Total reclassification adjustments	(877)
Total change	1,882
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 9,922
Net gain	(1,097)
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	(2,416)
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(323)
Amortization of prior service costs	(293)
Amortization of net gain	68
Total reclassification adjustments	(548)
Total change	(4,061)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 5,861

Components of the other postretirement benefit plans net periodic cost were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Service cost	\$ 1,328	\$ 1,413	\$ 1,351
Interest cost	4,705	4,536	4,330
Expected return on plan assets	(1,436)	(1,452)	(1,320)
Net amortization	548	702	792
Net postretirement cost	\$ 5,145	\$ 5,199	\$ 5,153

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Act) provides a 28% prescription drug subsidy for Medicare eligible retirees. The effect of the subsidy reduced the Company's expenses for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 by approximately \$1.3 million, \$1.4 million, and \$1.5 million,

respectively.

II-289

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Future benefit payments, including prescription drug benefits, reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the APBO for the postretirement plans. Estimated benefit payments are reduced by drug subsidy receipts expected as a result of the Medicare Act as follows:

	Benefit Payments	Subsidy Receipts	Total
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
2010	\$ 4,528	\$ (382)	\$ 4,146
2011	4,942	(422)	4,520
2012	5,173	(482)	4,691
2013	5,385	(543)	4,842
2014	5,606	(607)	4,999
2015 to 2019	29,912	(4,076)	25,836

Actuarial Assumptions

The weighted average rates assumed in the actuarial calculations used to determine both the benefit obligations as of the measurement date and the net periodic costs for the pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the following year are presented below. Net periodic benefit costs were calculated in 2006 for the 2007 plan year using a discount rate of 6.00% and an annual salary increase of 3.50%.

	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate:			
Pension plans	5.93%	6.75%	6.30%
Other postretirement benefit plans	5.84	6.75	6.30
Annual salary increase	4.18	3.75	3.75
Long-term return on plan assets			
Pension plans	8.50	8.50	8.50
Other postretirement benefit plans	8.36	8.38	8.36

The Company estimates the expected rate of return on pension plan and other postretirement benefit plan assets using a financial model to project the expected return on each current investment portfolio. The analysis projects an expected rate of return on each of seven different asset classes in order to arrive at the expected return on the entire portfolio relying on each trust's target asset allocation and reasonable capital market assumptions. The financial model is based on four key inputs: anticipated returns by asset class (based in part on historical returns), each trust's asset allocation, an anticipated inflation rate, and the projected impact of a periodic rebalancing of each trust's portfolio. An additional assumption used in measuring the APBO was a weighted average medical care cost trend rate of 8.50% for 2010, decreasing gradually to 5.25% through the year 2016 and remaining at that level thereafter. An annual increase or decrease in the assumed medical care cost trend rate of 1% would affect the APBO and the service and interest cost components at December 31, 2009 as follows:

	1 Percent Increase	1 Percent Decrease
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Benefit obligation	\$3,571	\$3,214
Service and interest costs	273	294

Employee Savings Plan

The Company also sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering substantially all employees. The Company provides an 85% matching contribution up to 6% of an employee's base salary. Total matching contributions made to the plan for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$3.7 million, \$3.5 million, and \$3.5 million, respectively.

II-290

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****3. CONTINGENCIES AND REGULATORY MATTERS****General Litigation Matters**

The Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

Environmental Matters***New Source Review Actions***

In November 1999, the EPA brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including Alabama Power and Georgia Power, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. These actions were filed concurrently with the issuance of notices of violation of the NSR provisions to the Company with respect to the Company's Plant Crist. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and Georgia Power, including one facility co-owned by the Company. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining

global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

The Company must comply with environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company may also incur substantial costs to clean up properties. The Company received authority from the Florida PSC to recover approved environmental compliance costs through the environmental cost recovery clause. The Florida PSC reviews costs and adjusts rates up or down annually.

The Company's environmental remediation liability includes estimated costs of environmental remediation projects of approximately \$65.2 million as of December 31, 2009. These estimated costs relate to site closure criteria by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for potential impacts to soil and groundwater from herbicide applications at the Company's substations. The schedule for completion of the remediation projects will be subject to FDEP approval. The projects have been approved by the Florida PSC for recovery through the Company's environmental cost recovery clause; therefore, there is no impact to net income as a result of these liabilities.

The final outcome of these matters cannot now be determined. However, based on the currently known conditions at these sites and the nature and extent of activities relating to these sites, the Company does not believe that additional liabilities, if any, at these sites would be material to the Company's financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****FERC Matters*****Market-Based Rate Authority***

The Company has authorization from the FERC to sell power to non-affiliates, including short-term opportunity sales, at market-based prices. Specific FERC approval must be obtained with respect to a market-based contract with an affiliate.

In December 2004, the FERC initiated a proceeding to assess Southern Company's generation market power within its retail service territory. The ability to charge market-based rates in other markets was not an issue in the proceeding.

Any new market-based rate sales by the Company in Southern Company's retail service territory entered into during a 15-month refund period that ended in May 2006 could have been subject to refund to a cost-based rate level.

On December 23, 2009, Southern Company and the FERC trial staff reached an agreement in principle that would resolve the proceeding in its entirety. The agreement does not reflect any finding or suggestion that the Company possesses or has exercised any market power. The agreement likewise does not require the Company to make any refunds related to sales during the 15-month refund period. Under the agreement, the Company will donate \$0.1 million to nonprofit organizations in the State of Florida for the purpose of offsetting the electricity bills of low-income retail customers. The agreement is subject to review and approval by the FERC.

Intercompany Interchange Contract

The Company's generation fleet is operated under the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the FERC. In May 2005, the FERC initiated a new proceeding to examine (1) the provisions of the IIC among the traditional operating companies (including the Company), Southern Power, and SCS, as agent, under the terms of which the power pool of Southern Company is operated, (2) whether any parties to the IIC have violated the FERC's standards of conduct applicable to utility companies that are transmission providers, and (3) whether Southern Company's code of conduct defining Southern Power as a system company rather than a marketing affiliate is just and reasonable. In connection with the formation of Southern Power, the FERC authorized Southern Power's inclusion in the IIC in 2000. The FERC also previously approved Southern Company's code of conduct.

In October 2006, the FERC issued an order accepting a settlement resolving the proceeding subject to Southern Company's agreement to accept certain modifications to the settlement's terms. Southern Company notified the FERC that it accepted the modifications. The modifications largely involve functional separation and information restrictions related to marketing activities conducted on behalf of Southern Power. In November 2006, Southern Company filed with the FERC a compliance plan in connection with the order. In April 2007, the FERC approved, with certain modifications, the plan submitted by Southern Company. Implementation of the plan did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In November 2007, Southern Company notified the FERC that the plan had been implemented. In December 2008, the FERC division of audits issued for public comment its final audit report pertaining to compliance implementation and related matters. No comments were submitted challenging the audit report's findings of Southern Company's compliance. The proceeding remains open pending a decision from the FERC regarding the audit report.

Retail Regulatory Matters***General***

The Company's rates and charges for service to retail customers are subject to the regulatory oversight of the Florida PSC. The Company's rates are a combination of base rates and several separate cost recovery clauses for specific categories of costs. These separate cost recovery clauses address such items as fuel and purchased energy costs, purchased power capacity costs, energy conservation, and demand side management programs, and the costs of compliance with environmental laws and regulations. Costs not addressed through one of the specific cost recovery clauses are recovered through the Company's base rates.

On November 2, 2009, the Florida PSC approved the Company's annual rate requests for its purchased power capacity, energy conservation, and environmental compliance cost recovery factors for 2010. On December 1, 2009, the Florida PSC approved the Company's annual rate request for its 2010 fuel cost recovery factor, which includes both fuel and purchased energy costs. The net effect of the approved changes to the Company's cost recovery factors for 2010 is a

3.9% rate increase for residential customers using 1,000 kilowatt-hours per month. The billing factors for 2010 are intended to allow the Company to recover projected 2010 costs as well as refund or collect the 2009 over or under recovered amounts in 2010. Cost recovery revenues, as recorded on the financial

II-293

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

statements, are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Accordingly, changing the billing factors has no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income, but does impact annual cash flow.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company petitions for fuel cost recovery rates to be approved by the Florida PSC on an annual basis. The fuel cost recovery rates include the costs of fuel and purchased energy. The Company continuously monitors the over or under recovered fuel cost balance in light of the inherent variability in fuel costs. If the projected fuel cost over or under recovery exceeds 10% of the projected fuel revenue applicable for the period, the Company is required to notify the Florida PSC and indicate if an adjustment to the fuel cost recovery is being requested. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had an under recovered fuel balance of approximately \$2.4 million and \$96.7 million, respectively, which is included in current assets in the balance sheets.

Purchased Power Capacity Recovery

The Florida PSC allows the Company to recover its costs for capacity purchased from other power producers under PPAs through a separate cost recovery component or factor in the Company's retail energy rates. Like the other specific cost recovery factors included in the Company's retail energy rates, the rates for purchased capacity are set annually on a calendar year basis. When the Company enters into a new PPA, it is reviewed and approved by the Florida PSC for cost recovery purposes. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had an over recovered purchased power capacity balance of approximately \$1.5 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, which is included in other regulatory liabilities, current in the balance sheets.

Environmental Cost Recovery

The Florida Legislature adopted legislation for an environmental cost recovery clause, which allows an electric utility to petition the Florida PSC for recovery of prudent environmental compliance costs that are not being recovered through base rates or any other recovery mechanism. Such environmental costs include operation and maintenance expense, emission allowance expense, depreciation, and a return on invested capital. This legislation also allows recovery of costs incurred as a result of an agreement between the Company and the FDEP for the purpose of ensuring compliance with ozone ambient air quality standards adopted by the EPA. In August 2007, the Florida PSC voted to approve a stipulation among the Company, the Office of Public Counsel, and the Florida Industrial Power Users Group regarding the Company's plan for complying with certain federal and state regulations addressing air quality. The Company's environmental compliance plan as filed in March 2007 contemplates implementation of specific projects identified in the plan from 2007 through 2018. The stipulation covers all elements of the current plan that are scheduled to be implemented in the 2007 through 2011 timeframe. On April 1, 2009, the Company filed an update to the plan which was approved by the Florida PSC on November 2, 2009. The Florida PSC acknowledged that the costs associated with the Company's Clean Air Interstate Rule and Clean Air Visibility Rule compliance plan are eligible for recovery through the environmental cost recovery clause. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the over recovered environmental balance was approximately \$11.7 million and \$71 thousand, respectively, which is included in other regulatory liabilities, current in the balance sheets.

4. JOINT OWNERSHIP AGREEMENTS

The Company and Mississippi Power jointly own Plant Daniel Units 1 and 2, which together represent capacity of 1,000 MWs. Plant Daniel is a generating plant located in Jackson County, Mississippi. In accordance with the operating agreement, Mississippi Power acts as the Company's agent with respect to the construction, operation, and maintenance of these units.

The Company and Georgia Power jointly own the 818 MWs capacity Plant Scherer Unit 3. Plant Scherer is a generating plant located near Forsyth, Georgia. In accordance with the operating agreement, Georgia Power acts as the Company's agent with respect to the construction, operation, and maintenance of the unit.

The Company's pro rata share of expenses related to both plants is included in the corresponding operating expense accounts in the statements of income and the Company is responsible for providing its own financing.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the Company's percentage ownership and its investment in these jointly owned facilities were as follows:

	Plant Scherer Unit 3 (coal)	Plant Daniel Units 1 & 2 (coal)
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Plant in service	\$242,078 ^(a)	\$ 262,315
Accumulated depreciation	100,242	150,190
Construction work in progress	70,657	1,542
Ownership	25%	50%

(a) Includes net plant acquisition adjustment of \$3.1 million.

5. INCOME TAXES

Southern Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and combined State of Mississippi and State of Georgia income tax returns. Under a joint consolidated income tax allocation agreement, each subsidiary's current and deferred tax expense is computed on a stand-alone basis and no subsidiary is allocated more expense than would be paid if it filed a separate income tax return. In accordance with Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations, each company is jointly and severally liable for the tax liability.

Current and Deferred Income Taxes

Details of income tax provisions are as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Federal -			
Current	\$ 62,980	\$26,592	\$51,321
Deferred	(14,453)	21,481	(9,431)
	48,527	48,073	41,890
State -			
Current	6,590	3,563	6,581
Deferred	(2,092)	2,467	(1,388)
	4,498	6,030	5,193
Total	\$ 53,025	\$54,103	\$47,083

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their respective tax bases, which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Deferred tax liabilities-		
Accelerated depreciation	\$332,971	\$284,653
Fuel recovery clause	965	39,176
Pension and other employee benefits	15,539	15,356
Regulatory assets associated with employee benefit obligations	37,768	34,787
Regulatory assets associated with asset retirement obligations	5,106	4,877
Other	9,084	3,747
Total	401,433	382,596
Deferred tax assets-		
Federal effect of state deferred taxes	13,076	14,039
Postretirement benefits	18,465	17,428
Pension and other employee benefits	41,124	38,156
Property reserve	10,642	4,872
Other comprehensive loss	1,546	3,097
Asset retirement obligations	5,106	4,877
Other	16,995	7,003
Total	106,954	89,472
Net deferred tax liabilities	294,479	293,124
Less current portion, net	2,926	(38,770)
Accumulated deferred income taxes in the balance sheets	\$297,405	\$254,354

At December 31, 2009, the tax-related regulatory assets to be recovered from customers was \$39.0 million. These assets are attributable to tax benefits flowed through to customers in prior years and to taxes applicable to capitalized allowance for funds used during construction. At December 31, 2009, the tax-related regulatory liabilities to be credited to customers was \$11.4 million. These liabilities are attributable to deferred taxes previously recognized at rates higher than the current enacted tax law and to unamortized investment tax credits.

In accordance with regulatory requirements, deferred investment tax credits are amortized over the lives of the related property with such amortization normally applied as a credit to reduce depreciation in the statements of income. Credits amortized in this manner amounted to \$1.6 million in 2009, \$1.7 million in 2008, and \$1.7 million in 2007. At December 31, 2009, all investment tax credits available to reduce federal income taxes payable had been utilized.

Effective Tax Rate

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax, net of federal deduction	1.7	2.5	2.5

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Non-deductible book depreciation	0.3	0.0	0.4
Difference in prior years deferred and current tax rate	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.6)
Production activities deduction	(0.9)	0.1	(1.4)
Allowance for funds used during construction	(4.9)	(2.2)	(0.6)
Other, net	0.3	(0.8)	(0.4)
Effective income tax rate	31.1%	34.1%	34.9%

The decrease in the 2009 effective tax rate is primarily the result of an increase in nontaxable allowance for equity funds used during construction.

II-296

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in the Internal Revenue Code Section 199 (production activities deduction). The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. The IRS has not clearly defined a methodology for calculating this deduction. However, Southern Company reached an agreement with the IRS on a calculation methodology and signed a closing agreement in December 2008. Therefore, in 2008, the Company reversed the unrecognized tax benefit related to the calculation methodology and adjusted the deduction for all previous years to conform to the agreement which resulted in a decrease in the 2008 deduction when compared to the 2007 deduction. Certain aspects of the production activities deduction remain unresolved. The net impact of the reversal of the unrecognized tax benefits combined with the application of the new methodology had no material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

For 2009, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$1.3 million, resulting in a balance of \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2009.

Changes during the year in unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(thousands)</i>	2007
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$ 294	\$ 887	\$211
Tax positions from current periods	455	93	469
Tax positions from prior periods	890	11	207
Reductions due to settlements		(697)	
Reductions due to expired statute of limitations			
Balance at end of year	\$1,639	\$ 294	\$887

The tax positions from current periods increase for 2009 relate primarily to the production activities deduction tax position and other miscellaneous uncertain tax positions. The tax positions increase from prior periods for 2009 relates primarily to the production activities deduction tax position. See **Effective Tax Rate** above for additional information. Impact on the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized, is as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(thousands)</i>	2007
Tax positions impacting the effective tax rate	\$1,639	\$294	\$887
Tax positions not impacting the effective tax rate			
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits	\$1,639	\$294	\$887

Accrued interest for unrecognized tax benefits was as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(thousands)</i>	2007
Interest accrued at beginning of year	\$17	\$ 58	\$ 5
Interest reclassified due to settlements		(54)	
Interest accrued during the year	73	13	53
Balance at end of year	\$90	\$ 17	\$58

The Company classifies interest on tax uncertainties as interest expense. The Company did not accrue any penalties on uncertain tax positions.

It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized benefit with respect to the majority of the Company's unrecognized tax positions will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months. The possible conclusion or settlement of state audits could impact the balances significantly. At this time, an estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes cannot be determined.

The IRS has audited and closed all tax returns prior to 2004. The audits for the state returns have either been concluded, or the statute of limitations has expired, for years prior to 2006.

II-297

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****6. FINANCING****Securities Due Within One Year**

At December 31, 2009, the Company had \$140 million of senior notes due to mature within one year. The date of maturity for these notes is June 2010.

Bank Term Loans

At December 31, 2009, the Company had a \$110 million bank loan outstanding, which matures in April 2011.

Senior Notes

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had a total of \$727.5 million and \$588.7 million of senior notes outstanding, respectively. These senior notes are effectively subordinate to all secured debt of the Company which totaled approximately \$41 million at December 31, 2009.

Pollution Control Revenue Bonds

Pollution control obligations represent loans to the Company from public authorities of funds derived from sales by such authorities of revenue bonds issued to finance pollution control facilities. The Company has \$288.0 million of outstanding pollution control revenue bonds and is required to make payments sufficient for the authorities to meet principal and interest requirements of such bonds. Proceeds from certain issuances are restricted until qualifying expenditures are incurred.

Outstanding Classes of Capital Stock

The Company currently has preferred stock, Class A preferred stock, preference stock, and common stock authorized. The Company's preferred stock and Class A preferred stock, without preference between classes, rank senior to the Company's preference stock and common stock with respect to payment of dividends and voluntary or involuntary dissolution. No shares of preferred stock or Class A preferred stock were outstanding at December 31, 2009. The Company's preference stock ranks senior to the common stock with respect to the payment of dividends and voluntary or involuntary dissolution. Certain series of the preference stock are subject to redemption at the option of the Company on or after a specified date (typically five or 10 years after the date of issuance) at a redemption price equal to 100% of the liquidation amount of the preference stock. In addition, one series of the preference stock may be redeemed earlier at a redemption price equal to 100% of the liquidation amount plus a make-whole premium based on the present value of the liquidation amount and future dividends.

In January 2009, the Company issued to Southern Company 1,350,000 shares of the Company's common stock, without par value, and realized proceeds of \$135 million. On January 25, 2010, the Company issued to Southern Company 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock, without par value, and realized proceeds of \$50 million. The proceeds were used to repay a portion of the Company's short-term debt and for other general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program.

Dividend Restrictions

The Company can only pay dividends to Southern Company out of retained earnings or paid-in-capital.

Assets Subject to Lien

The Company has granted a lien on its property at Plant Daniel in connection with the issuance of two series of pollution control revenue bonds with an outstanding principal amount of \$41 million.

There are no agreements or other arrangements among the affiliated companies under which the assets of one company have been pledged or otherwise made available to satisfy obligations of Southern Company or any of its subsidiaries.

Bank Credit Arrangements

At December 31, 2009, the Company had \$220 million of lines of credit with banks, all of which remained unused. These bank credit arrangements will expire in 2010 and \$70 million contain provisions allowing one-year term loans executable at expiration. Of the \$220 million, \$69 million provides support for variable rate pollution control bonds, and \$151 million provides liquidity support for

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

the Company's commercial paper program and other general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program. Commitment fees average less than $\frac{3}{4}$ of 1% for the Company.

Certain credit arrangements contain covenants that limit the level of indebtedness to capitalization to 65%, as defined in the arrangements. At December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with these covenants.

In addition, certain credit arrangements contain cross default provisions to other indebtedness that would trigger an event of default if the Company defaulted on indebtedness over a specified threshold. The cross default provisions are restricted only to indebtedness of the Company. The Company is currently in compliance with all such covenants.

The Company borrows primarily through a commercial paper program that has the liquidity support of committed bank credit arrangements. The Company may also borrow through various other arrangements with banks. At December 31, 2009, the Company had \$88.9 million of commercial paper outstanding. At December 31, 2008, the Company had \$89.9 million of commercial paper and \$50 million of short-term bank notes outstanding. During 2009, the peak amount outstanding for short-term debt was \$152.1 million and the average amount outstanding was \$51.7 million. The peak amount outstanding for short-term debt in 2008 was \$141.2 million and the average amount outstanding was \$36.9 million. The average annual interest rate on short-term debt was 1.0% and 2.2% for 2009 and 2008, respectively.

7. COMMITMENTS**Construction Program**

The Company is engaged in a continuous construction program, the cost of which is currently estimated to total \$271.4 million in 2010, \$350.2 million in 2011, and \$418.5 million in 2012. The construction programs are subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; revised load growth estimates; storm impacts; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Florida PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the ongoing construction program.

Included in the amounts above are \$113.4 million in 2010, \$194.8 million in 2011, and \$194.2 million in 2012 for environmental expenditures. The Company does not have any significant new generating capacity under construction. Construction of new transmission and distribution facilities and other capital improvements, including those needed to meet environmental standards for the Company's existing generation, transmission, and distribution facilities, are ongoing.

Long-Term Service Agreements

The Company has a Long-Term Service Agreement (LTSA) with General Electric (GE) for the purpose of securing maintenance support for a combined cycle generating facility. The LTSA provides that GE will perform all planned inspections on the covered equipment, which generally includes the cost of all labor and materials. GE is also obligated to cover the costs of unplanned maintenance on the covered equipment subject to limits and scope specified in the LTSA.

In general, the LTSA is in effect through two major inspection cycles of the unit. Scheduled payments to GE, which are subject to price escalation, are made at various intervals based on actual operating hours of the unit. Total remaining payments to GE under the LTSA for facilities owned are currently estimated at \$59.2 million over the remaining life of the LTSA, which is currently estimated to be up to 8 years. However, the LTSA contains various cancellation provisions at the option of the Company.

Payments made under the LTSA prior to the performance of any planned inspections are recorded as prepayments. These amounts are included in Current Assets and Deferred Charges and Other Assets in the balance sheets for 2009 and 2008, respectively. Inspection costs are capitalized or charged to expense based on the nature of the work performed.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Limestone Commitments**

As part of the Company's program to reduce sulfur dioxide emissions from certain of its coal plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of limestone to be used in flue gas desulfurization equipment. Limestone contracts are structured with tonnage minimums and maximums in order to account for fluctuations in coal burn and sulfur content. The Company has a minimum contractual obligation of 0.8 million tons equating to approximately \$67.7 million, through 2019. Estimated expenditures (based on minimum contracted obligated dollars) over the next five years are \$6.0 million in 2010, \$6.2 million in 2011, \$6.3 million in 2012, \$6.5 million in 2013, and \$6.7 million in 2014. Limestone costs are recovered through the environmental cost recovery clause.

Fuel and Purchased Power Commitments

To supply a portion of the fuel requirements of the generating plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of fossil fuel. In most cases, these contracts contain provisions for price escalations, minimum purchase levels, and other financial commitments. Coal commitments include forward contract purchases for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions allowances. Natural gas purchase commitments contain fixed volumes with prices based on various indices at the time of delivery; amounts included in the chart below represent estimates based on New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009. Also, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the purchase of capacity, electricity, and transmission. The energy-related costs associated with PPAs are recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause. The capacity-related costs associated with PPAs are recovered through the purchased power capacity cost recovery clause.

Total estimated minimum long-term obligations at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Purchased Power*	Commitments	
		Natural Gas <i>(in thousands)</i>	Coal
2010	\$ 39,432	\$ 112,080	\$ 515,241
2011	41,185	79,724	75,561
2012	41,289	57,842	
2013	41,380	47,664	
2014	55,937	53,512	
2015 and thereafter	659,261	130,889	
Total	\$878,484	\$481,711	\$590,802

* Included above is \$69.9 million in obligations with affiliated companies. Certain PPAs are accounted for as operating leases.

Additional commitments for fuel will be required to supply the Company's future needs.

SCS may enter into various types of wholesale energy and natural gas contracts acting as an agent for the Company and all of the other Southern Company traditional operating companies and Southern Power. Under these agreements,

each of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power may be jointly and severally liable. The creditworthiness of Southern Power is currently inferior to the creditworthiness of the traditional operating companies. Accordingly, Southern Company has entered into keep-well agreements with the Company and each of the other traditional operating companies to ensure the Company will not subsidize or be responsible for any costs, losses, liabilities, or damages resulting from the inclusion of Southern Power as a contracting party under these agreements.

Operating Leases

The Company has operating lease agreements with various terms and expiration dates. Total operating lease expenses were \$10.1 million, \$5.0 million, and \$4.7 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Included in these lease expenses are rail car lease costs which are charged to fuel inventory and are allocated to fuel expense as the fuel is used. These expenses are then recovered through the Company's fuel cost recovery clause. The Company's share of the lease costs charged to fuel inventories was \$7.9 million in 2009, \$4.0 million in 2008, and \$4.4 million in 2007. The Company includes any step rents, escalations, and lease concessions in its computation of minimum lease payments, which are recognized on a straight-line basis over the minimum lease term.

II-300

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, estimated minimum rental commitments for noncancelable operating leases were as follows:

	Minimum Lease Payments		
	Barges & Rail Cars	Other <i>(in thousands)</i>	Total
2010	\$12,380	\$2,145	\$14,525
2011	9,768	2,053	11,821
2012	8,266	452	8,718
2013	6,925	233	7,158
2014	5,504	131	5,635
2015 and thereafter	1,613		1,613
Total	\$44,456	\$5,014	\$49,470

The Company and Mississippi Power jointly entered into operating lease agreements for aluminum rail cars for the transportation of coal to Plant Daniel. The Company has the option to purchase the rail cars at the greater of lease termination value or fair market value or to renew the leases at the end of each lease term. The Company and Mississippi Power also have separate lease agreements for other rail cars that do not include purchase options. The Company entered into operating lease agreements for barges and tow boats for the transport of coal at Plant Crist. The Company has the option to renew the leases at the end of each lease term. No barge lease costs were incurred for 2009, 2008, or 2007.

In addition to rail car leases, the Company has other operating leases for fuel handling equipment at Plant Daniel. The Company's share of these leases was charged to fuel handling expense in the amount of \$0.3 million in 2009. The Company's annual lease payments for 2010 to 2014 will average approximately \$0.2 million.

8. STOCK OPTION PLAN

Southern Company provides non-qualified stock options to a large segment of the Company's employees ranging from line management to executives. As of December 31, 2009, there were 308 current and former employees of the Company participating in the stock option plan, and there were 21 million shares of Southern Company common stock remaining available for awards under this plan. The prices of options granted to date have been at the fair market value of the shares on the dates of grant. Options granted to date become exercisable pro rata over a maximum period of three years from the date of grant. The Company generally recognizes stock option expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period which equates to the requisite service period; however, for employees who are eligible for retirement the total cost is expensed at the grant date. Options outstanding will expire no later than 10 years after the date of grant, unless terminated earlier by the Southern Company Board of Directors in accordance with the stock option plan. For certain stock option awards a change in control will provide accelerated vesting.

The estimated fair values of stock options granted in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were derived using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility of Southern Company's stock over a period equal to the expected term. The Company used historical exercise data to estimate the expected term that represents the period of time that options granted to employees are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant that covers the expected term of the stock options. The following table shows the assumptions used in the pricing model and the weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted:

Year Ended December 31	2009	2008	2007
Expected volatility	15.6%	13.1%	14.8%

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Expected term (<i>in years</i>)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Interest rate	1.9%	2.8%	4.6%
Dividend yield	5.4%	4.5%	4.3%
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$1.80	\$2.37	\$4.12

II-301

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's activity in the stock option plan for 2009 is summarized below:

	Shares Subject to Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	1,279,765	\$ 32.25
Granted	435,820	31.38
Exercised	(56,735)	24.68
Cancelled	(729)	35.30
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	1,658,121	\$ 32.28
Exercisable at December 31, 2009	994,073	\$ 31.81

The number of stock options vested, and expected to vest in the future, as of December 31, 2009 was not significantly different from the number of stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009 as stated above. As of December 31, 2009, the weighted average remaining contractual term for the options outstanding and options exercisable was 6.4 years and 4.9 years, respectively, and the aggregate intrinsic value for the options outstanding and options exercisable was \$3.2 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$0.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards not yet vested. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 10 months.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, total compensation cost for stock option awards recognized in income was \$0.9 million, \$0.8 million, and \$1.1 million, respectively, with the related tax benefit also recognized in income of \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively.

The compensation cost and tax benefits related to the grant and exercise of Southern Company stock options to the Company's employees are recognized in the Company's financial statements with a corresponding credit to equity, representing a capital contribution from Southern Company.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$0.2 million, \$1.3 million, and \$3.0 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized by the Company for the tax deductions from stock option exercises for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 totaled \$0.1 million, \$0.5 million, and \$1.1 million, respectively.

9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value measurement is based on inputs of observable and unobservable market data that a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. The use of observable inputs is maximized where available and the use of unobservable inputs is minimized for fair value measurement and reflects a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used for fair value measurement.

Level 1 consists of observable market data in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 consists of observable market data, other than that included in Level 1, that is either directly or indirectly observable.

Level 3 consists of unobservable market data. The input may reflect the assumptions of the Company of what a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. If there is little available market data, then the Company's own assumptions are the best available information.

In the case of multiple inputs being used in a fair value measurement, the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement represents the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurement is reported.

II-302

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The fair value measurements performed on a recurring basis and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
At December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 202	\$	\$ 202
Interest rate derivatives		2,934		2,934
Cash equivalents and restricted cash	9,366			9,366
Total	\$9,366	\$ 3,136	\$	\$12,502
Liabilities:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$13,889	\$	\$13,889

Energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives primarily consist of over-the-counter contracts. See Note 10 for additional information. The cash equivalents and restricted cash consist of securities with original maturities of 90 days or less. These financial instruments and investments are valued primarily using the market approach.

As of December 31, 2009, the fair value measurements of investments calculated at net asset value per share (or its equivalent), as well as the nature and risks of those investments, are as follows:

	Fair Value	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
As of December 31, 2009:	(in thousands)			

Cash equivalents and restricted cash:

Money market funds	\$ 9,366	None	Daily	Not applicable
--------------------	----------	------	-------	----------------

The money market funds are short-term investments of excess funds in various money market mutual funds, which are portfolios of short-term debt securities. The money market funds are regulated by the SEC and typically receive the highest rating from credit rating agencies. Regulatory and rating agency requirements for money market funds include minimum credit ratings and maximum maturities for individual securities and a maximum weighted average portfolio maturity. Redemptions are available on a same day basis, up to the full amount of the Company investment in the money market funds.

As of December 31, 2009, other financial instruments for which the carrying amount did not equal fair value were as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Long-term debt:		
2009	\$ 1,118,914	\$1,137,761
2008	\$ 849,265	\$ 831,763

The fair values were based on either closing market prices (Level 1) or closing prices of comparable instruments (Level 2).

II-303

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****10. DERIVATIVES**

The Company is exposed to market risks, primarily commodity price risk and interest rate risk. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets its exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. The Company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis. Derivative instruments are recognized at fair value in the balance sheets as either assets or liabilities.

Energy-Related Derivatives

The Company enters into energy-related derivatives to hedge exposures to electricity, gas, and other fuel price changes. However, due to cost-based rate regulations, the Company has limited exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity. The Company manages fuel-hedging programs, implemented per the guidelines of the Florida PSC, through the use of financial derivative contracts.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into physical fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market. To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in gas prices, the Company may enter into fixed-price contracts for natural gas purchases; however, a significant portion of contracts are priced at market.

Energy-related derivative contracts are accounted for in one of two methods:

Regulatory Hedges - Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate primarily to the Company's fuel hedging programs, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as the underlying fuel is used in operations and ultimately recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause.

Not Designated - Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Some energy-related derivative contracts require physical delivery as opposed to financial settlement, and this type of derivative is both common and prevalent within the electric industry. When an energy-related derivative contract is settled physically, any cumulative unrealized gain or loss is reversed and the contract price is recognized in the respective line item representing the actual price of the underlying goods being delivered.

At December 31, 2009, the net volume of energy-related derivative contracts for natural gas positions for the Company, together with the longest hedge date over which it is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions and the longest date for derivatives not designated as hedges, were as follows:

Net Purchased mmBtu* (in thousands)	Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date
11,000	2014	

* mmBtu million
British thermal
units

Interest Rate Derivatives

The Company also enters into interest rate derivatives, which include forward-starting interest rate swaps, to hedge exposure to changes in interest rates. Derivatives related to existing variable rate securities or forecasted transactions are accounted for as cash flow hedges. The derivatives employed as hedging instruments are structured to minimize ineffectiveness.

For cash flow hedges, the fair value gains or losses are recorded in OCI and are reclassified into earnings at the same time the hedged transactions affect earnings.

II-304

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges on forecasted debt as follows:

Notional Amount <i>(in thousands)</i>	Variable Rate Received	Weighted Average Fixed Rate Paid	Hedge Maturity Date	Fair Value Gain (Loss) December 31, 2009 <i>(in thousands)</i>
\$100,000	3-month LIBOR	3.79%	April 2020	\$ 2,934

The estimated pre-tax losses that will be reclassified from OCI to interest expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 are \$0.9 million. The Company has deferred gains and losses that are expected to be amortized into earnings through 2018.

Derivative Financial Statement Presentation and Amounts

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives was reflected in the balance sheets as follows:

Derivative Category	Asset Derivatives		Liability Derivatives			
	Balance Sheet Location	2009 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	Balance Sheet Location	2009 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes				Liabilities from risk management activities		
Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$ 142	\$1,017		\$ 9,442	\$26,928
	Other deferred charges and assets	48	54	Other deferred credits and liabilities	4,447	5,305
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes		\$ 190	\$1,071		\$13,889	\$32,233
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges				Liabilities from risk management activities		
Interest rate derivatives:	Other current assets	\$2,934	\$		\$	\$

Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments

	Other current assets			Liabilities from risk management activities	
Energy-related derivatives:		\$ 12	\$	\$	\$
Total		\$3,136	\$1,071	\$13,889	\$32,233

All derivative instruments are measured at fair value. See Note 9 for additional information.

II-305

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the pre-tax effect of unrealized derivative gains (losses) arising from energy-related derivative instruments designated as regulatory hedging instruments and deferred on the balance sheets were as follows:

Derivative Category	Balance Sheet Location	Unrealized Losses		Balance Sheet Location	Unrealized Gains	
		2009 (in thousands)	2008		2009 (in thousands)	2008
Energy-related derivatives:	Other regulatory assets, current	\$ (9,442)	\$ (26,928)	Other regulatory liabilities, current	\$ 142	\$ 1,017
	Other regulatory assets, deferred	(4,447)	(5,305)	Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	48	54
Total energy-related derivative gains (losses)		\$(13,889)	\$(32,233)		\$ 190	\$ 1,071

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)			Statements of Income Location	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) Amount		
	2009	2008 (in thousands)	2007		2009	2008 (in thousands)	2007
Interest rate derivatives	\$ 2,934	\$(2,792)	\$ 602	Interest expense	\$(1,085)	\$(949)	\$(696)

There was no material ineffectiveness recorded in earnings for any period presented.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the statements of income were immaterial.

Contingent Features

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain derivatives that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of various credit rating changes of certain affiliated companies. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivative liabilities with contingent features was \$3.1 million.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no collateral posted with its derivative counterparties; however, because of the joint and several liability features underlying these derivatives, the maximum potential collateral requirements

arising from the credit-risk-related contingent features, at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3, is \$33.3 million. Currently, the Company has investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt and preference stock.

Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. The Company participated in certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade.

II-306

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report****11. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)**

Summarized quarterly financial data for 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

Quarter Ended	Operating Revenues	Operating Income <i>(in thousands)</i>	Net Income After Dividends on Preference Stock
March 2009	\$284,284	\$30,914	\$ 16,542
June 2009	341,095	54,320	32,269
September 2009	377,641	67,392	41,208
December 2009	299,209	36,036	21,214
March 2008	\$311,535	\$40,708	\$ 19,530
June 2008	349,867	52,314	26,992
September 2008	421,841	69,039	37,343
December 2008	303,960	30,628	14,480

The Company's business is influenced by seasonal weather conditions.

II-307

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009**
Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands)	\$ 1,302,229	\$ 1,387,203	\$ 1,259,808	\$ 1,203,914	\$ 1,083,622
Net Income after Dividends on Preference Stock (in thousands)	\$ 111,233	\$ 98,345	\$ 84,118	\$ 75,989	\$ 75,209
Cash Dividends on Common Stock (in thousands)	\$ 89,300	\$ 81,700	\$ 74,100	\$ 70,300	\$ 68,400
Return on Average Common Equity (percent)	12.18	12.66	12.32	12.29	12.59
Total Assets (in thousands)	\$ 3,293,607	\$ 2,879,025	\$ 2,498,987	\$ 2,340,489	\$ 2,175,797
Gross Property Additions (in thousands)	\$ 450,421	\$ 390,744	\$ 239,337	\$ 147,086	\$ 142,583
Capitalization (in thousands):					
Common stock equity	\$ 1,004,292	\$ 822,092	\$ 731,255	\$ 634,023	\$ 602,344
Preference stock	97,998	97,998	97,998	53,887	53,891
Long-term debt	978,914	849,265	740,050	696,098	616,554
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	\$ 2,081,204	\$ 1,769,355	\$ 1,569,303	\$ 1,384,008	\$ 1,272,789
Capitalization Ratios (percent):					
Common stock equity	48.3	46.5	46.6	45.8	47.3
Preference stock	4.7	5.5	6.2	3.9	4.2
Long-term debt	47.0	48.0	47.2	50.3	48.5
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Security Ratings:					
First Mortgage Bonds -					
Moody's					A1
Standard and Poor's					A+
Fitch					A+
Preferred Stock/ Preference Stock					
-					
Moody's	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1
Standard and Poor's	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+
Fitch	A-	A-	A-	A-	A-
Unsecured Long-Term Debt -					
Moody's	A2	A2	A2	A2	A2
Standard and Poor's	A	A	A	A	A
Fitch	A	A	A	A	A

Customers (year-end):

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Residential	374,091	373,595	373,036	364,647	354,466
Commercial	53,272	53,548	53,838	53,466	53,398
Industrial	279	287	298	295	298
Other	512	499	491	484	479
Total	428,154	427,929	427,663	418,892	408,641
Employees (year-end)	1,365	1,342	1,324	1,321	1,335

II-308

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009 (continued)**
Gulf Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands):					
Residential	\$ 588,073	\$ 581,723	\$ 537,668	\$ 510,995	\$ 465,346
Commercial	376,125	369,625	329,651	305,049	273,114
Industrial	138,164	165,564	135,179	132,339	123,044
Other	4,206	3,854	3,831	3,655	3,355
Total retail	1,106,568	1,120,766	1,006,329	952,038	864,859
Wholesale non-affiliates	94,105	97,065	83,514	87,142	84,346
Wholesale affiliates	32,095	106,989	113,178	118,097	91,352
Total revenues from sales of electricity	1,232,768	1,324,820	1,203,021	1,157,277	1,040,557
Other revenues	69,461	62,383	56,787	46,637	43,065
Total	\$ 1,302,229	\$ 1,387,203	\$ 1,259,808	\$ 1,203,914	\$ 1,083,622
Kilowatt-Hour Sales (in thousands):					
Residential	5,254,491	5,348,642	5,477,111	5,425,491	5,319,630
Commercial	3,896,105	3,960,923	3,970,892	3,843,064	3,735,776
Industrial	1,727,106	2,210,597	2,048,389	2,136,439	2,160,760
Other	25,121	23,237	24,496	23,886	22,730
Total retail	10,902,823	11,543,399	11,520,888	11,428,880	11,238,896
Wholesale non-affiliates	1,813,592	1,816,839	2,227,026	2,079,165	2,295,850
Wholesale affiliates	870,470	1,871,158	2,884,440	2,937,735	1,976,368
Total	13,586,885	15,231,396	16,632,354	16,445,780	15,511,114
Average Revenue Per Kilowatt-Hour (cents):					
Residential	11.19	10.88	9.82	9.42	8.75
Commercial	9.65	9.33	8.30	7.94	7.31
Industrial	8.00	7.49	6.60	6.19	5.69
Total retail	10.15	9.71	8.73	8.33	7.70
Wholesale	4.70	5.53	3.85	4.09	4.11
Total sales	9.07	8.70	7.23	7.04	6.71
Residential Average Annual Kilowatt-Hour Use Per Customer					
	14,049	14,274	14,755	15,032	15,181
Residential Average Annual Revenue Per Customer					
	\$ 1,572	\$ 1,552	\$ 1,448	\$ 1,416	\$ 1,328
	2,659	2,659	2,659	2,659	2,712

**Plant Nameplate Capacity
Ratings (year-end)
(megawatts)**

**Maximum Peak-Hour
Demand (megawatts):**

Winter	2,310	2,360	2,215	2,195	2,124
Summer	2,538	2,533	2,626	2,479	2,433

**Annual Load Factor
(percent)**

	53.8	56.7	55.0	57.9	57.7
--	-------------	------	------	------	------

Plant Availability

Fossil-Steam (percent)	89.7	88.6	93.4	91.3	89.7
-------------------------------	-------------	------	------	------	------

**Source of Energy Supply
(percent):**

Coal	61.7	77.3	81.8	82.5	79.7
------	-------------	------	------	------	------

Gas	28.0	15.3	13.6	12.4	13.1
-----	-------------	------	------	------	------

Purchased power -

From non-affiliates	2.2	2.6	1.6	1.9	2.8
---------------------	------------	-----	-----	-----	-----

From affiliates	8.1	4.8	3.0	3.2	4.4
-----------------	------------	-----	-----	-----	-----

Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
-------	--------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

II-309

Table of Contents

**MISSISSIPPI POWER COMPANY
FINANCIAL SECTION
II-310**

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report

The management of Mississippi Power Company (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). A control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Under management's supervision, an evaluation of the design and effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting was conducted based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

/s/ Anthony J. Topazi

Anthony J. Topazi

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Frances Turnage

Frances Turnage

Vice President, Treasurer, and Chief Financial Officer

February 25, 2010

II-311

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Mississippi Power Company

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets and statements of capitalization of Mississippi Power Company (the Company) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements (pages II-339 to II-380) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Mississippi Power Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

II-312

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****OVERVIEW****Business Activities**

Mississippi Power Company (the Company) operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail customers within its traditional service area located within the State of Mississippi and to wholesale customers in the Southeast.

Many factors affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's business of selling electricity. These factors include the ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment, to maintain energy sales given the effects of the recession, and to effectively manage and secure timely recovery of rising costs. The Company has various regulatory mechanisms that operate to address cost recovery.

Appropriately balancing required costs and capital expenditures with reasonable retail rates will continue to challenge the Company for the foreseeable future. Hurricane Katrina, the worst natural disaster in the Company's history, hit the Gulf Coast of Mississippi in August 2005, causing substantial damage to the Company's service territory. All of the Company's 195,000 customers were without service immediately after the storm. Through a coordinated effort with Southern Company, as well as non-affiliated companies, the Company restored power to all who could receive it within 12 days. However, due to obstacles in the rebuilding process coupled with the recessionary economy, as of December 31, 2009, the Company had over 8,800 fewer retail customers as compared to pre-storm levels. See Note 1 to the financial statements under "Government Grants" and Note 3 to the financial statements under "Retail Regulatory Matters - Storm Damage Cost Recovery" for additional information.

The Company's retail base rates are set under the Performance Evaluation Plan (PEP), a rate plan approved by the Mississippi Public Service Commission (PSC). PEP was designed with the objective to reduce the impact of rate changes on the customer and provide incentives for the Company to keep customer prices low and customer satisfaction and reliability high.

Key Performance Indicators

In striving to maximize shareholder value while providing cost-effective energy to customers, the Company continues to focus on several key indicators. These indicators are used to measure the Company's performance for customers and employees.

In recognition that the Company's long-term financial success is dependent upon how well it satisfies its customers needs, the Company's retail base rate mechanism, PEP, includes performance indicators that directly tie customer service indicators to the Company's allowed return. PEP measures the Company's performance on a 10-point scale as a weighted average of results in three areas: average customer price, as compared to prices of other regional utilities (weighted at 40%); service reliability, measured in outage minutes per customer (40%); and customer satisfaction, measured in a survey of residential customers (20%). See Note 3 to the financial statements under "Retail Regulatory Matters - Performance Evaluation Plan" for more information on PEP.

In addition to the PEP performance indicators, the Company focuses on other performance measures, including broader measures of customer satisfaction, plant availability, system reliability, and net income after dividends on preferred stock. The Company's financial success is directly tied to the satisfaction of its customers. Management uses customer satisfaction surveys to evaluate the Company's results. Peak season equivalent forced outage rate (Peak Season EFOR) is an indicator of plant availability and efficient generation fleet operations during the months when generation needs are greatest. The rate is calculated by dividing the number of hours of forced outages by total generation hours. The actual EFOR performance for 2009 was the best in the history of the Company. Net income after dividends on preferred stock is the primary measure of the Company's financial performance. Recognizing the critical role in the Company's success played by the Company's employees, employee-related measures are a significant management focus. These measures include safety and inclusion. The 2009 safety performance of the Company was the third best in the history of the Company with an Occupational Safety and Health Administration Incidence Rate of 0.62. This achievement resulted in the Company being recognized as one of the top in safety performance among all utilities in the Southeastern Electric Exchange. Inclusion initiatives resulted in performance at

target levels for the year.

II-313

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's 2009 results compared with its targets for some of these key indicators are reflected in the following chart.

Key Performance Indicator	2009 Target Performance	2009 Actual Performance
Customer Satisfaction	Top quartile in customer surveys	Top quartile
Peak Season EFOR	3.0% or less	0.76%
Net income after dividends on preferred stock	\$83.5 million	\$85.0 million

See RESULTS OF OPERATIONS herein for additional information on the Company's financial performance. The performance achieved in 2009 reflects the continued emphasis that management places on all of these indicators, as well as the commitment shown by employees in achieving or exceeding management's expectations.

Earnings

The Company's net income after dividends on preferred stock was \$85.0 million in 2009 compared to \$86.0 million in 2008. The 1.2% decrease in 2009 was primarily the result of decreases in wholesale energy revenues and total other income and (expense) primarily resulting from an increase in interest expense and decreases in contracting work performed for customers, as well as an increase in income tax expense. These decreases in earnings were partially offset by an increase in territorial base revenues primarily due to a wholesale base rate increase effective January 2009 and higher demand as well as a decrease in other non-fuel related expenses. See Note 3 to the financial statements under "FERC Matters" for additional information.

Net income after dividends on preferred stock was \$86.0 million in 2008 compared to \$84.0 million in 2007. The 2.4% increase in 2008 was primarily the result of an increase in territorial base revenues due to a retail base rate increase effective January 2008 and an increase in wholesale capacity revenues, partially offset by an increase in depreciation and amortization primarily due to the amortization of regulatory items, an increase in non-fuel related expenses, and an increase in charitable contributions. See Note 3 to the financial statements under "Retail Regulatory Matters" for additional information.

Net income after dividends on preferred stock was \$84.0 million in 2007 compared to \$82.0 million in 2006. The 2.4% increase in 2007 was primarily the result of an increase in territorial base revenues due to a retail base rate increase effective April 1, 2006, territorial sales growth, and an increase in total other income and (expense) as a result of charitable contributions in 2006. These factors were partially offset by an increase in non-fuel related expenses and an increase in depreciation and amortization expenses.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

A condensed statement of income follows:

	Amount 2009	Increase (Decrease) from Prior Year		
		2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Operating revenues	\$1,149.4	\$(107.1)	\$142.8	\$104.5
Fuel	519.7	(66.8)	92.2	55.6
Purchased power	91.9	(34.6)	30.7	22.6
Other operations and maintenance	246.8	(13.3)	4.8	18.6
Depreciation and amortization	70.9	(0.1)	10.7	13.5

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Taxes other than income taxes	64.1	(1.0)	4.8	(0.6)
Total operating expenses	993.4	(115.8)	143.2	109.7
Operating income	156.0	8.7	(0.4)	(5.2)
Total other income and (expense)	(19.1)	(7.8)	(1.1)	10.9
Income taxes	50.2	1.9	(3.4)	3.7
Net income	86.7	(1.0)	1.9	2.0
Dividends on preferred stock	1.7			
Net income after dividends on preferred stock	\$ 85.0	\$ (1.0)	\$ 1.9	\$ 2.0

II-314

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Operating Revenues**

Details of the Company's operating revenues in 2009 and the prior two years were as follows:

	2009	Amount 2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Retail – prior year	\$ 785.4	\$ 727.2	\$ 647.2
Estimated change in			
Rates and pricing	0.6	18.8	8.7
Sales growth (decline)	(1.3)	(1.1)	12.3
Weather	1.7	(1.8)	(2.5)
Fuel and other cost recovery	4.5	42.3	61.5
Retail – current year	790.9	785.4	727.2
Wholesale revenues			
Non-affiliates	299.3	353.8	323.1
Affiliates	44.5	100.9	46.2
Total wholesale revenues	343.8	454.7	369.3
Other operating revenues	14.7	16.4	17.2
Total operating revenues	\$1,149.4	\$1,256.5	\$1,113.7
Percent change	(8.5)%	12.8%	10.4%

Total retail revenues for 2009 increased 0.7% when compared to 2008 primarily as a result of slightly higher energy sales and fuel revenues. Total retail revenues for 2008 increased 8.0% when compared to 2007 primarily as a result of a retail base rate increase effective in January 2008 and higher fuel revenues. Total retail revenues for 2007 increased 12.4% when compared to 2006 primarily as a result of an increase in territorial sales growth, a retail base rate increase effective in April 2006, and the Environmental Compliance Overview (ECO) Plan rate increase effective in May 2007. See Energy Sales below for a discussion of changes in the volume of energy sold, including changes related to sales growth (or decline) and weather.

Electric rates for the Company include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs, including the energy component of purchased power costs. Under these provisions, fuel revenues generally equal fuel expenses, including the fuel component of purchased power, and do not affect net income. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL – PSC Matters – Fuel Cost Recovery herein for additional information. The fuel and other cost recovery revenues increased in 2009 when compared to 2008 primarily as a result of higher recoverable fuel costs. Recoverable fuel costs include fuel and purchased power expenses reduced by the fuel portion of wholesale revenues from energy sold to customers outside the Company's service territory. The fuel and other cost recovery revenues increased in 2008 when compared to 2007 primarily as a result of the increase in fuel and purchased power expenses. The fuel and other cost recovery revenues increased in 2007 when compared to 2006 as a result of higher fuel costs.

Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates will vary depending on the market cost of available energy compared to the cost of the Company and Southern Company system-owned generation, demand for energy within the Southern Company service territory, and availability of Southern Company system generation. Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates decreased \$54.5 million, or 15.4%, in 2009 as compared to 2008 as a result of a \$54.1 million

decrease in energy revenues, of which \$27.6 million was associated with lower fuel prices and \$26.4 million was associated with a decrease in kilowatt-hour (KWH) sales, and a \$0.5 million decrease in capacity revenues. Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates increased \$30.7 million, or 9.5%, in 2008 as compared to 2007 as a result of a \$30.4 million increase in energy revenues, of which \$40.4 million was associated with higher fuel prices and a \$0.3 million increase in capacity revenues, partially offset by a \$10.0 million decrease in KWH sales. Wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates increased \$54.3 million, or 20.2%, in 2007 as compared to 2006 as a result of a \$51.5 million increase in energy revenues, of which \$32.0 million was associated with increased KWH sales and \$19.5 million was associated with higher fuel prices, and a \$2.8 million increase in capacity revenues.

II-315

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Included in wholesale revenues from sales to non-affiliates are revenues from rural electric cooperative associations and municipalities located in southeastern Mississippi. The related revenues increased 1.5%, 8.3%, and 12.6%, in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The 2009 increase was driven by higher demand which was the result of some brief periods of weather extremes and a base rate increase effective in January 2009. The customer demand experienced by these utilities is determined by factors very similar to those experienced by the Company. Short-term opportunity energy sales are also included in sales for resale to non-affiliates. These opportunity sales are made at market-based rates (MBRs) that generally provide a margin above the Company's variable cost to produce the energy.

Wholesale revenues from sales to affiliated companies within the Southern Company system will vary from year to year depending on demand, availability and cost of generating resources at each company. These affiliated sales and purchases are made in accordance with the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). Wholesale revenues from sales to affiliated companies decreased 55.9% in 2009 when compared to 2008, increased 118.6% in 2008 when compared to 2007, and decreased 39.5% in 2007 when compared to 2006. These energy sales do not have a significant impact on earnings since the energy is generally sold at marginal cost.

Other operating revenues in 2009 decreased \$1.7 million, or 10.6%, from 2008 primarily due to a \$1.0 million decrease in transmission revenues. Other operating revenues in 2008 decreased \$0.9 million, or 5.0%, from 2007 primarily due to a sale of oil inventory and a customer contract buyout in 2007 totaling \$0.9 million. Other operating revenues in 2007 increased \$0.5 million, or 2.9%, from 2006 primarily due to a \$1.0 million increase in miscellaneous revenues from a sale of oil inventory during the year, partially offset by a \$0.6 million decrease in rent from electric property.

Energy Sales

Changes in revenues are influenced heavily by the change in the volume of energy sold from year to year. KWH sales for 2009 and percent change by year were as follows:

	KWHs	Percent Change		
	2009	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>			
Residential	2,092	(1.4)%	(0.6)%	0.8%
Commercial	2,851	(0.2)	(0.7)	7.5
Industrial	4,330	3.4	(3.0)	4.2
Other	39	0.0	0.3	4.9
Total retail	9,312	1.2	(1.7)	4.4
Wholesale				
Non-affiliated	4,652	(7.3)	(3.3)	12.1
Affiliated	839	(43.6)	44.9	(38.9)
Total wholesale	5,491	(15.6)	4.7	(1.5)
Total energy sales	14,803	(5.8)	0.8	2.0

Changes in retail energy sales are comprised of changes in electricity usage by customers, changes in weather, and changes in the number of customers. Residential energy sales decreased 1.4% in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the recessionary economy and a declining number of customers. Residential energy sales decreased 0.6% in 2008 compared to 2007 due to decreased customer usage mainly due to the recessionary economy and unfavorable summer

weather. Residential energy sales increased 0.8% in 2007 compared to 2006, primarily due to more favorable weather conditions, which offset slow customer growth.

Commercial energy sales decreased 0.2% in 2009 compared to 2008 due to the recessionary economy and a net decline in commercial customers. Commercial energy sales decreased 0.7% in 2008 compared to 2007 due to unfavorable weather and slower than expected customer growth due to the economy. Commercial energy sales increased 7.5% in 2007 compared to 2006 due to customer growth mainly in the casino and hotel industries.

II-316

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Industrial energy sales increased 3.4% in 2009 compared to 2008 due to increased production of some of the Company's industrial customers and the impacts of Hurricane Gustav, which negatively impacted industrial energy sales in 2008. Industrial energy sales decreased 3.0% in 2008 compared to 2007 due to lower customer use from the recessionary economy. Industrial energy sales increased 4.2% in 2007 compared to 2006 due to continued recovery after Hurricane Katrina.

Wholesale energy sales to non-affiliates decreased 7.3% and 3.3% and increased 12.1% in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Included in wholesale sales from sales to non-affiliates are sales from rural electric cooperative associations and municipalities located in southeastern Mississippi. Compared to the prior year, KWH sales to these customers remained at the same levels in 2009 despite the recessionary economy and unfavorable weather, decreased 0.9% in 2008 due to slowing growth and unfavorable weather, and increased 4.3% in 2007 due to growth in the service territory. KWH sales to non-territorial customers located outside the Company's service territory decreased 29.0% in 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily due to fewer short-term opportunity sales related to lower gas prices. KWH sales to non-territorial customers located outside the Company's service territory decreased 9.6% in 2008 as compared to 2007 primarily due to lower off-system sales. KWH sales to non-territorial customers increased 41.0% in 2007 as compared to 2006 primarily due to more off-system sales. Wholesale sales to non-affiliates will vary depending on the market cost of available energy compared to the cost of the Company and Southern Company system-owned generation, demand for energy within the Southern Company service territory, and availability of Southern Company system generation.

Wholesale energy sales to affiliates decreased 43.6% in 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily due to a decrease in the Company's generation and an increase in territorial sales, resulting in less capacity available to sell to affiliate companies. Wholesale energy sales to affiliates increased 44.9% in 2008 as compared to 2007 primarily due to the availability of the Company's lower cost generation resources for sale to affiliated companies. Wholesale energy sales to affiliates decreased 38.9% in 2007 when compared to 2006 primarily due to a decrease in the Company's generation and an increase in territorial sales, resulting in less capacity available to sell to affiliate companies.

Fuel and Purchased Power Expenses

Fuel costs constitute the single largest expense for the Company. The mix of fuel sources for generation of electricity is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

Additionally, the Company purchases a portion of its electricity needs from the wholesale market. Details of the Company's electricity generated and purchased were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Total generation (<i>millions of KWHs</i>)	12,970	14,324	14,119
Total purchased power (<i>millions of KWHs</i>)	2,539	2,091	2,084
Sources of generation (<i>percent</i>)			
Coal	48	67	69
Gas	52	33	31
Cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)			
Coal	4.29	3.52	2.92
Gas	4.43	6.83	6.25
Average cost of fuel, generated (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)	4.36	4.43	3.78
Average cost of purchased power (<i>cents per net KWH</i>)	3.62	6.05	4.60

Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$611.6 million in 2009, a decrease of \$101.4 million, or 14.2%, below the prior year costs. This decrease was primarily due to a \$69.9 million decrease in the cost of fuel and purchased power

and a \$31.5 million decrease related to total KWHs generated and purchased. Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$713.1 million in 2008, an increase of \$122.9 million, or 20.8%, above the prior year costs. This increase was primarily due to a \$116.5 million increase in the cost of fuel and purchased power and a \$6.4 million increase related to total KWHs generated and purchased. Fuel and purchased power expenses were \$590.1 million in 2007, an increase of \$78.3 million, or 15.3%, above the prior year costs. This increase was primarily due to a \$63.8 million increase in the cost of fuel and purchased power and a \$14.5 million increase related to total KWHs generated and purchased.

II-317

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Fuel expense decreased \$66.8 million in 2009 as compared to 2008. Approximately \$8.1 million of the reduction in fuel expenses resulted primarily from lower gas prices and a \$58.7 million decrease in generation from Company-owned facilities. Fuel expense increased \$92.2 million in 2008 as compared to 2007. Approximately \$86.1 million in additional fuel expenses resulted from higher coal, gas, and transportation prices and a \$6.1 million increase in generation from Company-owned facilities. Fuel expense increased \$55.6 million in 2007 as compared to 2006. Approximately \$56.8 million in additional fuel expenses resulted from higher coal, gas, transportation prices, and emissions allowances, which were partially offset by a \$1.2 million decrease in generation from Company-owned facilities.

Purchased power expense decreased \$34.6 million, or 27.4%, in 2009 when compared to 2008. The decrease was primarily due to a \$61.8 million decrease in the cost of purchased power, partially offset by a \$27.2 million increase in the amount of energy purchased which was due to lower cost opportunity purchases. Purchased power expense increased \$30.7 million, or 32.0%, in 2008 when compared to 2007. The increase was primarily due to a \$30.4 million increase in the cost of purchased power. Purchased power expense increased \$22.6 million, or 30.9%, in 2007 when compared to 2006. The increase was primarily due to a \$7.0 million increase in the cost of purchased power and a \$15.6 million increase in the amount of energy purchased which was partially due to a decrease in generation resulting from plant outages. Energy purchases vary from year to year depending on demand and the availability and cost of the Company's generating resources. These expenses do not have a significant impact on earnings since the energy purchases are generally offset by energy revenues through the Company's fuel cost recovery clause.

Coal prices continued to be influenced by worldwide demand from developing countries, as well as increased mining and fuel transportation costs. While coal prices reached unprecedented high levels in 2008, the recessionary economy pushed prices downward in 2009. However, the lower prices did not fully offset the higher priced coal already in inventory and under long-term contract. Demand for natural gas in the United States also was affected by the recessionary economy leading to significantly lower natural gas prices.

Fuel expenses generally do not affect net income, since they are offset by fuel revenues under the Company's fuel cost recovery clause. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - PSC Matters - Fuel Cost Recovery and Note 1 to the financial statements under Fuel Costs for additional information.

Other Operations and Maintenance Expenses

Total other operations and maintenance expenses decreased \$13.3 million in 2009 as compared to 2008 primarily due to a decrease of \$12.2 million in transmission, distribution, customer service, and administrative and general expenses driven by overall reductions in spending in an effort to offset the effects of the recessionary economy. Also contributing to the decrease was an \$8.3 million reduction in generation outage expenses in 2009. These decreases were partially offset by a \$3.9 million increase in expenses for the combined cycle long-term service agreement due to a 36% increase in operating hours as a result of lower gas prices. Also offsetting the decrease was \$3.4 million resulting from the 2008 reclassification of generation construction screening expenses to a regulatory asset upon the FERC's acceptance of the wholesale filing in October 2008.

Total other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$4.8 million in 2008 as compared to 2007 primarily due to a \$6.9 million increase in transmission and distribution expenses, an increase in administrative expenses primarily resulting from the reclassification of System Restoration Rider (SRR) revenues of \$3.8 million to expense pursuant to an order from the Mississippi PSC dated January 9, 2009, a \$1.9 million increase in generation-related environmental expenses, and a \$1.1 million increase in generation operations and outage-related expenses. These increases were partially offset by a \$9.3 million reclassification of generation construction screening expenses to a regulatory asset upon the FERC's acceptance of the wholesale filing in October 2008.

Total other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$18.6 million from 2006 to 2007. Other operations expense increased \$15.1 million, or 8.8%, in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily as a result of a \$4.1 million increase in generation construction screening, a \$3.3 million insurance recovery for storm restoration expense recognized in 2006, a \$2.1 million increase in employee benefits primarily due to an increase in medical expense, a \$2.0 million increase in outside and other contract services, and a \$2.0 million increase in scheduled production projects. Maintenance

expense increased \$3.5 million, or 5.2%, in 2007 when compared to 2006, primarily as a result of a \$5.5 million increase in generation maintenance expense primarily due to outage work in 2007, partially offset by a \$2.0 million decrease in transmission and distribution maintenance expenses due primarily to the deferral of these expenses pursuant to the regulatory accounting order from the Mississippi PSC.

See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL FERC Matters, PSC Matters System Restoration Rider, and PSC Matters Storm Damage Cost Recovery herein for additional information.

II-318

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expenses decreased \$0.1 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a \$3.1 million decrease in amortization of environmental costs related to the approved ECO Plan, partially offset by a \$2.8 million increase in depreciation expense resulting from an increase in plant in service. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$10.7 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to a \$5.7 million increase in amortization related to a regulatory liability recorded in 2003 that ended in December 2007 in connection with the Mississippi PSC's accounting order on Plant Daniel capacity, a \$2.9 million increase in depreciation expense primarily due to an increase in plant in service, and a \$2.4 million increase for amortization of certain reliability-related maintenance costs deferred in 2007 in accordance with a Mississippi PSC order. Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$13.5 million in 2007 compared to 2006 due to a regulatory liability recorded in 2003 in connection with the Mississippi PSC's accounting order on Plant Daniel capacity and an increase in amortization of environmental costs related to the approved ECO Plan. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Performance Evaluation Plan and Environmental Compliance Overview Plan for additional information.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

Taxes other than income taxes decreased \$1.0 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily as a result of a \$0.8 million decrease in payroll taxes and a \$0.2 million decrease in franchise taxes. Taxes other than income taxes increased \$4.8 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily as a result of a \$2.7 million increase in ad valorem taxes and a \$1.3 million increase in municipal franchise taxes. Taxes other than income taxes decreased \$0.6 million in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily as a result of a \$2.0 million decrease in ad valorem taxes, partially offset by a \$1.5 million increase in municipal franchise taxes.

Interest Expense, Net of Amounts Capitalized

Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized increased \$5.0 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a \$5.2 million increase in interest expense associated with the issuance of new long-term debt in November 2008 and March 2009, partially offset by the maturity of long-term debt and lower interest rates in 2009. Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized decreased \$0.2 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to a \$2.7 million decrease in borrowing and lower interest rates on short-term indebtedness and a \$0.7 million decrease related to the redemption of outstanding trust preferred securities in 2007, partially offset by a \$3.0 million increase in interest expense associated with the issuance of new long-term debt in November 2008 and November 2007. Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized decreased \$0.5 million in 2007 compared to 2006 due to a \$1.3 million decrease in long-term debt primarily related to the redemption of outstanding trust preferred securities, partially offset by the issuance of new long-term debt in November 2007 and a \$0.7 million increase in short-term debt borrowing net of amounts related to Hurricane Katrina.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net decreased \$1.7 million in 2009 compared to 2008 primarily due to a \$3.0 million decrease in customer projects and amounts collected from customers for construction of substation projects which had a tax effect of \$2.6 million, partially offset by higher charitable contributions of \$3.9 million in 2008. Other income (expense), net decreased \$1.3 million in 2008 compared to 2007 primarily due to higher charitable contributions of \$3.1 million, partially offset by a \$0.4 million increase in revenues from contracting work performed for customers, a \$0.6 million decrease in other deductions, and a \$0.6 million increase in allowance for equity funds used during construction. Other income (expense), net increased \$12.7 million in 2007 compared to 2006 primarily due to higher charitable contributions of \$6.9 million in 2006 as compared to 2007, a gain on a contract termination approved by the FERC in 2007 of \$3.7 million, and an increase in customer projects of \$2.5 million.

Income Taxes

Income taxes increased \$1.9 million, or 3.9%, in 2009 primarily due to increased pre-tax income, the 2008 amortization of a regulatory liability pursuant to a December 2007 regulatory accounting order from the Mississippi PSC which occurred in 2008, and actualization of permanent differences from previous year tax returns, partially offset by an increase in the federal production activities deduction and an increase in a State of Mississippi

manufacturing investment tax credit. Income taxes decreased \$3.4 million, or 6.7%, in 2008 primarily due to decreased pre-tax income, the amortization of a regulatory liability pursuant to a December 2007 regulatory accounting order from the Mississippi PSC, and a State of Mississippi manufacturing investment tax credit, partially offset by a decrease in the federal production activities deduction. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters for additional information. Income taxes increased \$3.7 million, or 7.8%, in 2007 primarily due to increased pre-tax income and lower federal and state tax credits. See Note 5 to the financial statements under Effective Tax Rate for additional information.

II-319

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Effects of Inflation**

The Company is subject to rate regulation that is generally based on the recovery of historical and projected costs. The effects of inflation can create an economic loss since the recovery of costs could be in dollars that have less purchasing power. Any adverse effect of inflation on the Company's results of operations has not been substantial.

FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL**General**

The Company operates as a vertically integrated utility providing electricity to retail customers within its traditional service area located in southeast Mississippi and to wholesale customers in the southeast United States. Prices for electricity provided by the Company to retail customers are set by the Mississippi PSC under cost-based regulatory principles. Retail rates and earnings are reviewed and may be adjusted periodically within certain limitations. Prices for wholesale electricity sales, interconnecting transmission lines, and the exchange of electric power are regulated by the FERC. See ACCOUNTING POLICIES—Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Electric Utility Regulation herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under FERC Matters and Retail Regulatory Matters for additional information about regulatory matters.

The results of operations for the past three years are not necessarily indicative of future earnings potential. The level of the Company's future earnings depends on numerous factors that affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's primary business of selling electricity. These factors include the Company's ability to maintain a constructive regulatory environment that continues to allow for the recovery of prudently incurred costs during a time of increasing costs. Future earnings in the near term will depend, in part, upon maintaining energy sales, which is subject to a number of factors. These factors include weather, competition, new energy contracts with neighboring utilities, energy conservation practiced by customers, the price of electricity, the price elasticity of demand, and the rate of economic growth or decline in the Company's service area. Recessionary conditions have negatively impacted sales. The timing and extent of the economic recovery will impact future earnings.

Environmental Matters

Compliance costs related to the Clean Air Act and other environmental statutes and regulations could affect earnings if such costs cannot continue to be fully recovered in rates on a timely basis. Environmental compliance spending over the next several years may exceed amounts estimated. Some of the factors driving the potential for such an increase are higher commodity costs, market demand for labor, and scope additions and clarifications. The timing, specific requirements, and estimated costs could also change as environmental statutes and regulations are adopted or modified. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Environmental Matters for additional information.

New Source Review Actions

In November 1999, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including Alabama Power and Georgia Power, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. These actions were filed concurrently with the issuance of notices of violations to the Company with respect to the Company's Plant Watson. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and Georgia Power, including one facility co-owned by the Company. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. In early 2000, the EPA filed a motion to amend its complaint to add the Company as a defendant based on the allegations in the notices of violation. However, in March 2001, the court denied the motion based on lack of jurisdiction, and the EPA has not re-filed. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant

Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

II-320

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome in either of these cases could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and

negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

II-321

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Environmental Statutes and Regulations******General***

The Company's operations are subject to extensive regulation by state and federal environmental agencies under a variety of statutes and regulations governing environmental media, including air, water, and land resources. Applicable statutes include the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Toxic Substances Control Act; the Emergency Planning & Community Right-to-Know Act; the Endangered Species Act; and related federal and state regulations. Compliance with these environmental requirements involves significant capital and operating costs, a major portion of which is expected to be recovered through existing ratemaking provisions. Through 2009, the Company had invested approximately \$224 million in capital projects to comply with these requirements, with annual totals of \$22 million, \$41 million, and \$17 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company expects that capital expenditures to assure compliance with existing and new statutes and regulations will be an additional \$11 million, \$59 million, and \$128 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The Company's compliance strategy can be affected by changes to existing environmental laws, statutes, and regulations; the cost, availability, and existing inventory of emissions allowances; and the Company's fuel mix. Environmental costs that are known and estimable at this time are included in capital expenditures discussed under FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY - Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations herein.

Compliance with any new federal or state legislation or regulations related to global climate change, air quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, or other environmental and health concerns could also significantly affect the Company. Although new or revised environmental legislation or regulations could affect many areas of the Company's operations, the full impact of any such changes cannot be determined at this time.

Air Quality

Compliance with the Clean Air Act and resulting regulations has been and will continue to be a significant focus for the Company. Through 2009, the Company had spent approximately \$107 million in reducing sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NO_x) emissions and in monitoring emissions pursuant to the Clean Air Act. Additional controls are currently being installed at several plants to further reduce air emissions, maintain compliance with existing regulations, and meet new requirements.

The EPA regulates ground level ozone through implementation of an eight-hour ozone air quality standard. No area within the Company's service area is currently designated as nonattainment under the eight-hour ozone standard. In March 2008, however, the EPA issued a final rule establishing a more stringent eight-hour ozone standard, and on January 6, 2010, the EPA proposed further reductions in the standard. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised standard in August 2010 and require state implementation plans for any nonattainment areas by December 2013. The revised eight-hour ozone standard is expected to result in designation of new nonattainment areas within the Company's service territory.

On December 8, 2009, the EPA also proposed revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for SO₂. The EPA is expected to finalize the revised SO₂ standard in June 2010.

Twenty-eight eastern states, including the States of Mississippi and Alabama, are subject to the requirements of the Clean Air Interstate Rule (CAIR). The rule calls for additional reductions of NO_x and/or SO₂ to be achieved in two phases, 2009/2010 and 2015. In July 2008 and December 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued decisions invalidating certain aspects of CAIR, but left CAIR compliance requirements in place while the EPA develops a revised rule. The States of Mississippi and Alabama have completed plans to implement CAIR, and emissions reductions are being accomplished by the installation of emissions controls at the Company's coal-fired facilities and/or by the purchase of emissions allowances. The EPA is expected to issue a proposed CAIR replacement rule in July 2010.

The Clean Air Visibility Rule was finalized in July 2005, with a goal of restoring natural visibility conditions in certain areas (primarily national parks and wilderness areas) by 2064. The rule involves the application of Best Available Retrofit Technology (BART) to certain sources built between 1962 and 1977, and any additional emissions

reductions necessary for each designated area to achieve reasonable progress toward the natural conditions goal by 2018 and for each ten-year period thereafter. For power plants, the Clean Air Visibility Rule allows states to determine that CAIR satisfies BART requirements for SO₂ and NO_x, and no additional controls beyond CAIR are anticipated to be necessary at any of the Company's facilities. States have completed or are currently completing implementation plans for BART compliance and other measures required to achieve the first phase of reasonable progress.

II-322

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The EPA is currently developing a Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) rule for coal and oil-fired electric generating units, which will likely address numerous Hazardous Air Pollutants, including mercury. In March 2005, the EPA issued the Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), a cap and trade program for the reduction of mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. In February 2008, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the CAMR. In a separate proceeding in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, the EPA entered into a proposed consent decree that requires the EPA to issue a proposed MACT rule by March 16, 2011 and a final rule by November 16, 2011.

The impacts of the eight-hour ozone standards and future revisions to CAIR, the SO₂ standard, the Clean Air Visibility Rule, and the MACT rule for electric generating units on the Company cannot be determined at this time and will depend on the specific provisions of the final rules, resolution of any legal challenges, and the development and implementation of rules at the state level. However, these additional regulations could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

The Company has developed and continually updates a comprehensive environmental compliance strategy to assess compliance obligations associated with the continuing and new environmental requirements discussed above. As part of this strategy, the Company plans to install additional SO₂ and NO_x emissions controls within the next several years to ensure continued compliance with applicable air quality requirements.

Water Quality

In July 2004, the EPA published final regulations under the Clean Water Act to reduce impingement and entrainment of fish, shellfish, and other forms of aquatic life at existing power plant cooling water intake structures. The use of cost-benefit analysis in the rule was ultimately appealed to the U.S. Supreme Court. On April 1, 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court held that the EPA could consider costs in arriving at its standards and in providing variances from those standards for existing intake structures. The EPA is now in the process of revising the regulations. While the U.S. Supreme Court's decision may ultimately result in greater flexibility for demonstrating compliance with the standards, the full scope of the regulations will depend on further rulemaking by the EPA and the actual requirements established by state regulatory agencies and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

On December 28, 2009, the EPA announced its determination that revision of the current effluent guidelines for steam electric power plants is warranted and proposed a plan to adopt such revisions by 2013. New wastewater treatment requirements are expected and may result in the installation of additional controls on certain Company facilities. The impact of revised guidelines will depend on the studies conducted in connection with the rulemaking, as well as the specific requirements of the final rule, and, therefore, cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

The Company must comply with other environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company could incur substantial costs to clean up properties. The Company conducts studies to determine the extent of any required cleanup and has recognized in its respective financial statements the costs to clean up known sites. Amounts for cleanup and ongoing monitoring costs were not material for any year presented. The Company could be liable for some or all required cleanup costs for additional sites that may require environmental remediation. See Note 3 to the financial statements under **Environmental Matters** **Environmental Remediation** for additional information.

Coal Combustion Byproducts

The EPA is currently evaluating whether additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts is merited under federal solid and hazardous waste laws. The EPA has collected information from the electric utility industry on surface impoundment safety and conducted on-site inspections at three Southern Company system facilities as part of its evaluation. The Company has a routine and robust inspection program in place to ensure the integrity of its coal ash surface impoundments. The EPA is expected to issue a proposal regarding additional regulation of coal combustion byproducts in early 2010. The impact of these additional regulations on the Company will depend on the specific provisions of the final rule and cannot be determined at this time. However, additional regulation of coal combustion

byproducts could have a significant impact on the Company's management, beneficial use, and disposal of such byproducts and could result in significant additional compliance costs that could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions and results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

II-323

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Global Climate Issues***

Federal legislative proposals that would impose mandatory requirements related to greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy standards, and energy efficiency standards continue to be considered in Congress, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions has been identified as a high priority by the current Administration. On June 26, 2009, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (ACES), which would impose mandatory greenhouse gas restrictions through implementation of a cap and trade program, a renewable energy standard, and other measures, was passed by the House of Representatives. ACES would require reductions of greenhouse gas emissions on a national basis to a level that is 17% below 2005 levels by 2020, 42% below 2005 levels by 2030, and 83% below 2005 levels by 2050. In addition, ACES would provide for renewable energy standards of 6% by 2012 and 20% by 2020. Similar legislation is being considered by the Senate. The financial and operational impact of such legislation, if enacted, will depend on a variety of factors. These factors include the specific greenhouse gas emissions limits or renewable energy requirements, the timing of implementation of these limits or requirements, the level of emissions allowances allocated and the level that must be purchased, the purchase price of emissions allowances, the development and commercial availability of technologies for renewable energy and for the reduction of emissions, the degree to which offsets may be used for compliance, provisions for cost containment (if any), the impact on coal and natural gas prices, and cost recovery through regulated rates. There can be no assurance that any legislation will be enacted or as to the ultimate form of any legislation. Additional or alternative legislation may be adopted as well.

In April 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the EPA has authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published a final determination, which became effective on January 14, 2010, that certain greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles endanger public health and welfare due to climate change. On September 28, 2009, the EPA published a proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles under the Clean Air Act. The EPA has stated that once this rule is effective, it will cause carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases to become regulated pollutants under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) preconstruction permit program and the Title V operating permit program, which both apply to power plants. As a result, the construction of new facilities or the major modification of existing facilities could trigger the requirement for a PSD permit and the installation of the best available control technology for carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. The EPA also published a proposed rule governing how these programs would be applied to stationary sources, including power plants, on October 27, 2009. The EPA has stated that it expects to finalize these proposed rules in March 2010. The ultimate outcome of the endangerment finding and these proposed rules cannot be determined at this time and will depend on additional regulatory action and any legal challenges.

International climate change negotiations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change also continue. A nonbinding agreement was announced during the most recent round of negotiations in December 2009 that included a pledge from both developed and developing countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The outcome and impact of the international negotiations cannot be determined at this time.

Although the outcome of federal, state, or international initiatives cannot be determined at this time, mandatory restrictions on the Company's greenhouse gas emissions or requirements relating to renewable energy or energy efficiency on the federal or state level are likely to result in significant additional compliance costs, including significant capital expenditures. These costs could affect future unit retirement and replacement decisions, and could result in the retirement of a significant number of coal-fired generating units. See Item 1 BUSINESS Rate Matters Integrated Resource Planning for additional information. Also, additional compliance costs and costs related to unit retirements could affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates. Further, higher costs that are recovered through regulated rates could contribute to reduced demand for electricity, which could negatively impact results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition. In 2008, the total carbon dioxide emissions from the fossil fuel-fired electric generating units owned by the Company were approximately 12 million metric tons. The preliminary estimate of carbon dioxide emissions from these units in 2009 is approximately 10 million metric tons. The level of carbon dioxide emissions from year to year will be

dependent on the level of generation and mix of fuel sources, which is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

The Company is actively evaluating and developing electric generating technologies with lower greenhouse gas emissions. These include proposed construction of an advanced integrated coal gasification combined cycle (IGCC) unit with approximately 65% carbon capture in Kemper County, Mississippi.

II-324

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****FERC Matters**

In August 2008, the Company filed with the FERC a request for revised wholesale electric tariff and rates. Prior to making this filing, the Company reached a settlement with all of its customers who take service under the tariff. This settlement agreement was filed with the FERC as part of the request. The settlement agreement provided for an increase in annual base wholesale revenues in the amount of \$5.8 million, effective January 1, 2009. In addition, the settlement agreement allows the Company to increase its annual accrual for the wholesale portion of property damage to \$303,000 per year, to defer any property damage costs prudently incurred in excess of the wholesale property damage reserve balance, and to defer the wholesale portion of the generation screening and evaluation costs associated with the IGCC project to be located in Kemper County Mississippi. The settlement agreement also provided that the Company will not seek a change in wholesale full-requirements rates before November 1, 2010, except for changes associated with the fuel adjustment clause and the energy cost management clause (ECM), changes associated with property damages that exceed the amount in the wholesale property damage reserve, and changes associated with costs and expenses associated with environmental requirements affecting fossil fuel generating facilities. In October 2008, the Company received notice that the FERC had accepted the filing effective November 1, 2008, and the revised monthly charges were applied beginning January 1, 2009. As result of the order, the Company reclassified \$9.3 million of previously expensed generation screening and evaluation costs to a regulatory asset. See Note 3 to the financial statements under *Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle* for additional information.

PSC Matters***Statewide Electric Generation Needs Review***

In April 2008, in accordance with the Mississippi Public Utility Act, the Mississippi PSC issued an order to develop, publicize, and keep current an analysis of the five-year long-range needs for expansion of facilities for the generation of electricity in the State of Mississippi. In its order, the Mississippi PSC directed all affected utilities to submit evidence in support of their forecasts and plans in accordance with the rules of the Mississippi PSC. On January 16, 2009, the Company filed for a request for a Certificate of Public Convenience to construct generating capacity. On August 4, 2009, the Mississippi PSC ordered a two-part hearing process to evaluate the need for and the resources and cost of the new generating capacity separately. On November 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC ordered that the need for new generating capacity existed. Hearings related to the appropriate resource to meet that need as well as cost recovery of that resource through application of the Baseload Act (described below) were held in February 2010. A decision on the resources and cost is expected to be made by May 1, 2010. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot now be determined. See Note 3 to the financial statements under *Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle* for additional information.

Mississippi Baseload Construction Legislation

In the 2008 regular session of the Mississippi legislature, a bill was passed and signed by the Governor in May 2008 to enhance the Mississippi PSC's authority to facilitate development and construction of base load generation in the State of Mississippi (Baseload Act). The Baseload Act authorizes, but does not require, the Mississippi PSC to adopt a cost recovery mechanism that includes in retail base rates, prior to and during construction, all or a portion of the prudently incurred pre-construction and construction costs incurred by a utility in constructing a base load electric generating plant. Prior to the passage of the Baseload Act, such costs would traditionally be recovered only after the plant was placed in service. The Baseload Act also provides for periodic prudence reviews by the Mississippi PSC and prohibits the cancellation of any such generating plant without the approval of the Mississippi PSC. In the event of cancellation of the construction of the plant without approval of the Mississippi PSC, the Baseload Act authorizes the Mississippi PSC to make a public interest determination as to whether and to what extent the utility will be afforded rate recovery for costs incurred in connection with such cancelled generating plant. The effect of this legislation on the Company cannot now be determined.

Performance Evaluation Plan

In May 2004, the Mississippi PSC approved the Company's request to reclassify 266 megawatts (MWs) of Plant Daniel Units 3 and 4 capacity to jurisdictional cost of service effective January 1, 2004, and authorized the Company to include the related costs and revenue credits in jurisdictional rate base, cost of service, and revenue requirement calculations for purposes of retail rate recovery. In the May 2004 order establishing the Company's forward-looking PEP, the Mississippi PSC ordered that the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff and the Company review the operations of the PEP in 2007. By mutual agreement, this review was deferred until 2008 and continued into 2009. On March 2, 2009, concurrent with this review, the annual PEP evaluation filing for 2009 was suspended. On August 3, 2009, the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff and the Company filed a joint report with the Mississippi PSC proposing

II-325

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

several changes to the PEP. On November 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC approved the revised PEP, which resulted in a lower performance incentive under the PEP and therefore smaller and/or less frequent rate changes in the future. On November 16, 2009, the Company resumed annual evaluations and filed its annual PEP filing for 2010 under the revised PEP, which resulted in a lower allowed return on investment but no rate change.

In April 2007, the Mississippi PSC issued an order allowing the Company to defer certain reliability related maintenance costs beginning January 1, 2007 and recover them evenly over a four-year period beginning January 1, 2008. These costs related to maintenance that was needed as follow-up to emergency repairs that were made subsequent to Hurricane Katrina. At December 31, 2007, the Company had incurred and deferred the retail portion of \$9.5 million of such costs. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a balance of the deferred retail portion of \$4.7 million with \$2.3 million included in current assets as other regulatory assets and \$2.4 million included in long-term other regulatory assets. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Retail Regulatory Matters Performance Evaluation Plan for more information on PEP.

System Restoration Rider

In September 2006, the Company filed with the Mississippi PSC a request to implement a SRR to increase the Company's cap on the property damage reserve and to authorize the calculation of an annual property damage accrual based on a formula. The purpose of the SRR is to provide for recovery of costs associated with property damage (including certain property insurance and the costs of self insurance) and to facilitate the Mississippi PSC's review of these costs. In November 2007, the Company along with the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff agreed and stipulated to a revised SRR calculation method that would no longer require the Mississippi PSC to set a cap on the property damage reserve or to authorize the calculation of an annual property damage accrual. Under the revised SRR calculation method, the Mississippi PSC would periodically agree on SRR revenue levels that would be developed based on historical data, expected exposure, type and amount of insurance coverage excluding insurance costs, and other relevant information.

On January 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order accepting the stipulation and the revised SRR calculation method. The applicable SRR rate level will be adjusted every three years, unless a significant change in circumstances occurs such that the Company and the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff or the Mississippi PSC deems that a more frequent change would be appropriate. The Company will submit annual filings setting forth SRR-related revenues, expenses, and investment for the projected filing period, as well as the true-up for the prior period. As a result, the December 2008 retail regulatory liability of \$6.8 million was reclassified to the property damage reserve. On February 2, 2009, the Company submitted its 2009 SRR rate filing with the Mississippi PSC, which proposed that the 2009 SRR rate level remain at zero and the Company be allowed to accrue approximately \$4.0 million to the property damage reserve in 2009. On September 10, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order requiring Mississippi Power to develop SRR factors designed to reduce SRR revenue by approximately \$1.5 million from November 2009 to March 2010 under the new rate. On January 29, 2010, the Company submitted its 2010 SRR rate filing with the Mississippi PSC, which proposed that the Company be allowed to accrue approximately \$3.0 million to the property damage reserve in 2010. The final outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

Environmental Compliance Overview Plan

On February 12, 2010, the Company submitted its 2010 ECO Plan notice which proposes an increase in annual revenues for the Company of approximately \$3.9 million. In its 2010 ECO filing, the Company is proposing to change the true-up provision of the ECO rate schedule to consider actual revenues collected in addition to actual costs. The final outcome of this matter cannot now be determined. On February 3, 2009, the Company submitted its 2009 ECO Plan notice which proposed an increase in annual revenues for the Company of approximately \$1.5 million. On June 19, 2009, the Mississippi PSC approved the ECO Plan with the new rates effective in June 2009.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company establishes, annually, a retail fuel cost recovery factor that is approved by the Mississippi PSC. The Company is required to file for an adjustment to the retail fuel cost recovery factor annually; such filing occurred in November 2009. The Mississippi PSC approved the retail fuel cost recovery factor on December 15, 2009, with the

new rates effective in January 2010. The retail fuel cost recovery factor will result in an annual decrease in an amount equal to 11.3% of total 2009 retail revenue. At December 31, 2009, the amount of over recovered retail fuel costs included in the balance sheets was \$29.4 million compared to \$36.0 million under recovered at December 31, 2008. The Company also has a wholesale Municipal and Rural Associations (MRA) and a Market Based (MB) fuel cost recovery factor. Effective January 1, 2010, the wholesale MRA fuel rate decreased, resulting in an

II-326

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

annual decrease in an amount equal to 20.9% of total 2009 MRA revenue. Effective February 1, 2010, the wholesale MB fuel rate decreased, resulting in an annual decrease in an amount equal to 16.9% of total 2009 MB revenue. At December 31, 2009, the amount of over recovered wholesale MRA and MB fuel costs included in the balance sheets was \$16.8 million and \$2.4 million compared to \$15.4 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, under recovered at December 31, 2008. The Company's operating revenues are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable fuel cost and amounts billed in accordance with the currently approved cost recovery rate. Accordingly, this decrease to the billing factor will have no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income, but will decrease annual cash flow. In October 2008, the Mississippi PSC opened a docket to investigate and review interest and carrying charges under the fuel adjustment clause for utilities within the State of Mississippi including the Company. On March 4, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order to apply the prime rate in calculating the carrying costs on the retail over or under recovery balances related to fuel cost recovery. On May 20, 2009, the Company filed the carrying cost calculation methodology as part of its compliance filing.

In August 2009, the Mississippi PSC engaged an independent professional audit firm to conduct an audit of the Company's fuel-related expenditures included in the fuel adjustment clause and the ECM clause of 2008 and 2009. The audit was completed in December 2009. There were no audit findings identified in the audit.

Storm Damage Cost Recovery

In August 2005, Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast of the United States and caused significant damage within the Company's service area. The estimated total storm restoration costs relating to Hurricane Katrina through December 31, 2007 were \$302.4 million, which was net of expected insurance proceeds of approximately \$77 million, without offset for the property damage reserve of \$3.0 million. Such costs were affirmed by the Mississippi PSC in June 2006, and the Company was ordered to establish a regulatory asset for the retail portion. The Mississippi PSC issued an order directing the Company to file an application with the Mississippi Development Authority (MDA) for a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG). In October 2006, the Company received from the MDA a CDBG in the amount of \$276.4 million, which was allocated to both the retail and wholesale jurisdictions. In the same month, the Mississippi PSC issued a financing order that authorized the issuance of system restoration bonds for the remaining \$25.2 million of the retail portion of storm recovery costs not covered by the CDBG. These funds were received in June 2007. The Company affirmed the \$302.4 million total storm costs incurred as of December 31, 2007. On March 2, 2009, the Company filed with the Mississippi PSC its final accounting of the restoration cost relating to Hurricane Katrina and the storm operations center. The final net retail receivable of approximately \$3.2 million is expected to be recovered in 2010.

Legislation

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Major tax incentives in the ARRA include an extension of bonus depreciation and multiple renewable energy incentives, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company. The Company's cash flow reduction to 2009 tax payments as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions of the ARRA was approximately \$14 million. On December 8, 2009, President Obama announced proposals to accelerate job growth that include an extension of the bonus depreciation provision for the ARRA for 2010, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company.

On October 27, 2009, Southern Company and its subsidiaries received notice that an award of \$165 million had been granted, of which \$25 million related to the Company, under the ARRA grant application for transmission and distribution automation and modernization projects pending final negotiations. The Company continues to assess the other financial implications of the ARRA.

The U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate have passed separate bills related to healthcare reform. Both bills include a provision that would make Medicare Part D subsidy reimbursements taxable. If enacted into law, this provision could have a significant negative impact on the Company's net income. See Note 2 to the financial statements under "Other Postretirement Benefits" for additional information.

The ultimate impact of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Income Tax Matters

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years

II-327

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. See Note 5 to the financial statements under Effective Tax Rate for additional information.

Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle

On January 16, 2009, the Company filed for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity with the Mississippi PSC to allow construction of a new electric generating plant located in Kemper County, Mississippi. The plant would utilize an IGCC technology with an output capacity of 582 MWs. The Kemper IGCC will use locally mined lignite (an abundant, lower heating value coal) from a proposed mine adjacent to the plant as fuel. This certificate, if approved by the Mississippi PSC, would authorize the Company to acquire, construct, and operate the Kemper IGCC and related facilities. The Kemper IGCC, subject to federal and state reviews and certain regulatory approvals, is expected to begin commercial operation in May 2014. As part of its filing, the Company has requested certain rate recovery treatment in accordance with the Baseload Act.

The Company filed an application in June 2006 with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) for certain tax credits available to projects using clean coal technologies under the Energy Policy Act of 2005. The DOE subsequently certified the Kemper IGCC, and in November 2006 the IRS allocated Internal Revenue Code Section 48A tax credits of \$133 million to the Company. On May 11, 2009, the Company received notification from the IRS formally certifying these tax credits. The utilization of these credits is dependent upon meeting the certification requirements for the Kemper IGCC, including an in-service date no later than May 2014. The Company has secured all environmental reviews and permits necessary to commence construction of the Kemper IGCC and has entered into a binding contract for the steam turbine generator, completing two milestone requirements for the Section 48A credits. In February 2008, the Company also requested that the DOE transfer the remaining funds previously granted to a cancelled Southern Company project that would have been located in Orlando, Florida. In December 2008, an agreement was reached to assign the remaining funds to the Kemper IGCC. The estimated construction cost of the Kemper IGCC is approximately \$2.4 billion, which is net of \$245 million related to funding to be received from the DOE related to project construction. The remaining DOE funding of \$25 million is projected to be used for demonstration over the first few years of operation.

On April 6, 2009, the Governor of the State of Mississippi signed into law a bill that will provide an ad valorem tax exemption for a portion of the assessed value of all property utilized in certain electric generating facilities with integrated gasification process facilities. This tax exemption, which may not exceed 50% of the total value of the project, is for projects with a capital investment from private sources of \$1 billion or more. The Company expects the Kemper IGCC, including the gasification portion, to be a qualifying project under the law.

Beginning in December 2006, the Mississippi PSC has approved the Company's requested accounting treatment to defer the costs associated with the Company's generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening activities as a regulatory asset. In December 2008, the Company requested an amendment to its original order that would allow these costs to continue to be charged to and remain in a regulatory asset until January 1, 2010. On April 6, 2009, the Company received an accounting order from the Mississippi PSC directing the Company to continue to charge all generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening costs to regulatory assets including those costs associated with activities to obtain a certificate of public convenience and necessity and costs necessary and prudent to preserve the availability, economic viability, and/or required schedule of the Kemper IGCC generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening activities until the Mississippi PSC makes findings and determination as to the recovery of the Company's prudent expenditures. The Mississippi PSC's determination of prudence for the Company's pre-construction costs is scheduled to occur by May 2010. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had spent a total of \$73.5 million associated with the Company's generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening activities, including regulatory filing costs. Costs incurred for the year ended December 31, 2009 totaled \$31.2 million as compared to \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Of the total \$73.5 million, \$68.5 million was deferred in other regulatory assets, \$4.0 million was related to land purchases capitalized, and \$1.0 million was expensed.

On June 5, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order initiating an evaluation of the Kemper IGCC and establishing a two-phase procedural schedule. On August 4, 2009, the Mississippi PSC ordered a two-part hearing process to evaluate the need for and the resources and cost of the new generating capacity separately. On November 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order that found the Company has a demonstrated need for additional capacity of approximately 304 MWs to 1,276 MWs based on an analysis of expected load forecasts, costs, and anticipated retirements. Hearings related to the appropriate resource to meet that need as well as cost recovery of that resource through application of the Baseload Act were held in February 2010. A decision on the resources and cost recovery is expected to be made by May 1, 2010.

II-328

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

On September 15, 2009, South Mississippi Electric Power Association (SMEPA) signed a non-binding letter of intent to explore the acquisition of an interest in the Kemper IGCC. The Company and SMEPA are evaluating a combination of a joint ownership arrangement and a power purchase agreement which would provide SMEPA with up to 20% of the capacity and associated energy output from the Kemper IGCC.

The final outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

Other Matters

In February 2008, the Company received notice of termination from SMEPA of an approximately 100 MW territorial wholesale market-based contract effective March 31, 2011 which will result in a decrease in annual revenues of approximately \$12 million. In December 2008, the Company entered into a 10-year power supply agreement with SMEPA for approximately 152 MWs. This contract is effective April 1, 2011, upon approval from the U.S.

Department of Agriculture's Rural Utilities Service. This contract is expected to increase the Company's annual territorial wholesale base revenues by approximately \$16.1 million. On June 3, 2009, Mississippi Power's 10-year power supply agreement with SMEPA for approximately 152 MWs effective April 1, 2011 was approved by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Utilities Service.

The Company is involved in various other matters being litigated and regulatory matters that could affect future earnings. In addition, the Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment, such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury, and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. See Note 3 to the financial statements for information regarding material issues.

ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The Company prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. In the application of these policies, certain estimates are made that may have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and related disclosures. Different assumptions and measurements could produce estimates that are significantly different from those recorded in the financial statements. Senior management has reviewed and discussed critical accounting policies and estimates described below with the Audit Committee of Southern Company's Board of Directors.

Electric Utility Regulation

The Company is subject to retail regulation by the Mississippi PSC and wholesale regulation by the FERC. These regulatory agencies set the rates the Company is permitted to charge customers based on allowable costs. As a result, the Company applies accounting standards which require the financial statements to reflect the effects of rate regulation. Through the ratemaking process, the regulators may require the inclusion of costs or revenues in periods different than when they would be recognized by a non-regulated company. This treatment may result in the deferral of expenses and the recording of related regulatory assets based on anticipated future recovery through rates or the deferral of gains or creation of liabilities and the recording of related regulatory liabilities. The application of the accounting standards has a further effect on the Company's financial statements as a result of the estimates of allowable costs used in the ratemaking process. These estimates may differ from those actually incurred by the Company; therefore, the accounting estimates inherent in specific costs such as depreciation and pension and postretirement benefits have less of a direct impact on the Company's results of operations than they would on a

non-regulated company.

As reflected in Note 1 to the financial statements, significant regulatory assets and liabilities have been recorded. Management reviews the ultimate recoverability of these regulatory assets and liabilities based on applicable regulatory guidelines and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. However, adverse legislative, judicial, or regulatory actions could materially impact the amounts of such regulatory assets and liabilities and could adversely impact the Company's financial statements.

II-329

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Contingent Obligations***

The Company is subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, as well as other factors and conditions that potentially subject it to environmental, litigation, income tax, and other risks. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for more information regarding certain of these contingencies. The Company periodically evaluates its exposure to such risks and, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), records reserves for those matters where a non-tax-related loss is considered probable and reasonably estimable and records a tax asset or liability if it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained. The adequacy of reserves can be significantly affected by external events or conditions that can be unpredictable; thus, the ultimate outcome of such matters could materially affect the Company's financial statements. These events or conditions include the following:

Changes in existing state or federal regulation by governmental authorities having jurisdiction over air quality, water quality, coal combustion byproducts, including coal ash, control of toxic substances, hazardous and solid wastes, and other environmental matters.

Changes in existing income tax regulations or changes in IRS or state revenue department interpretations of existing regulations.

Identification of additional sites that require environmental remediation or the filing of other complaints in which the Company may be asserted to be a potentially responsible party.

Identification and evaluation of new or other potential lawsuits or complaints in which the Company may be named as a defendant.

Resolution or progression of new or existing matters through the legislative process, the court systems, the IRS, state revenue departments, the FERC, or the EPA.

Unbilled Revenues

Revenues related to the retail sale of electricity are recorded when electricity is delivered to customers. However, the determination of KWH sales to individual customers is based on the reading of their meters, which is performed on a systematic basis throughout the month. At the end of each month, amounts of electricity delivered to customers, but not yet metered and billed, are estimated. Components of the unbilled revenue estimates include total KWH territorial supply, total KWH billed, estimated total electricity lost in delivery, and customer usage. These components can fluctuate as a result of a number of factors including weather, generation patterns, and power delivery volume and other operational constraints. These factors can be unpredictable and can vary from historical trends. As a result, the overall estimate of unbilled revenues could be significantly affected, which could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations.

Plant Daniel Operating Lease

As discussed in Note 7 to the financial statements under Operating Leases Plant Daniel Combined Cycle Generating Units, the Company leases a 1,064-MW natural gas combined cycle facility at Plant Daniel (Facility) from Juniper Capital L.P. (Juniper). For both accounting and rate recovery purposes, this transaction is treated as an operating lease, which means that the related obligations under this agreement are not reflected in the balance sheets. See FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY Off-Balance Sheet Financing Arrangements herein for further information. The operating lease determination was based on assumptions and estimates related to the following:

Fair market value of the Facility at lease inception;

The Company's incremental borrowing rate;

Timing of debt payments and the related amortization of the initial acquisition cost during the initial lease term;

Residual value of the Facility at the end of the lease term;

Estimated economic life of the Facility; and

Juniper's status as a voting interest entity.

II-330

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The determination of operating lease treatment was made at the inception of the lease agreement and is not subject to change unless subsequent changes are made to the agreement. However, the Company is also required to monitor Juniper's ongoing status as a voting interest entity. Changes in that status could require the Company to consolidate the Facility's assets and the related debt and to record interest and depreciation expense of approximately \$37 million annually, rather than annual lease expense of approximately \$26 million.

Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

The Company's calculation of pension and other postretirement benefits expense is dependent on a number of assumptions. These assumptions include discount rates, health care cost trend rates, expected long-term return on plan assets, mortality rates, expected salary and wage increases, and other factors. Components of pension and other postretirement benefits expense include interest and service cost on the pension and other postretirement benefit plans, expected return on plan assets and amortization of certain unrecognized costs and obligations. Actual results that differ from the assumptions utilized are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, generally affect recognized expense and the recorded obligation in future periods. While the Company believes that the assumptions used are appropriate, differences in actual experience or significant changes in assumptions would affect its pension and other postretirement benefits costs and obligations.

Key elements in determining the Company's pension and other postretirement benefit expense in accordance with GAAP are the expected long-term return on plan assets and the discount rate used to measure the benefit plan obligations and the periodic benefit plan expense for future periods. The expected long-term return on postretirement benefit plan assets is based on the Company's investment strategy, historical experience, and expectations for long-term rates of return that considers external actuarial advice. The Company determines the long-term return on plan assets by applying the long-term rate of expected returns on various asset classes to the Company's target asset allocation. The Company discounts the future cash flows related to its postretirement benefit plans using a single-point discount rate developed from the weighted average of market-observed yields for high quality fixed income securities with maturities that correspond to expected benefit payments.

A 25 basis point change in any significant assumption would result in a \$0.7 million or less change in the total benefit expense and a \$13 million or less change in projected obligations.

New Accounting Standards***Variable Interest Entities***

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance of the consolidation of variable interest entities, which replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative, requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company adopted this new guidance effective January 1, 2010, with no material impact on its financial statements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY**Overview**

The Company's financial condition remained stable at December 31, 2009. Throughout the turmoil in the financial markets, the Company has maintained adequate access to capital without drawing on any of its committed bank credit arrangements used to support its commercial paper programs and variable rate pollution control revenue bonds. The Company intends to continue to monitor its access to short-term and long-term capital markets as well as its bank credit arrangements to meet future capital and liquidity needs. Market rates for committed credit have increased, and the Company has been and expects to continue to be subject to higher costs as its existing facilities are replaced or renewed. Total committed credit fees for the Company average less than 1/4 of 1% per year. The ultimate impact on future financing costs as a result of financial turmoil cannot be determined at this time. See *Sources of Capital* and *Financing Activities* herein for additional information.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's investments in pension trust funds remained stable as of December 31, 2009. The Company expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012 and such contribution could be significant; however, projections of the amount vary significantly depending on interpretations of and decisions related to federal legislation passed during 2008 as well as other key variables including future trust fund performance and cannot be determined at this time.

Net cash provided from operating activities in 2009 increased from 2008 by \$76.2 million. The increase in net cash provided from operating activities was primarily due to an increase in cash related to higher fuel rates effective in March 2009 and a decrease in deferred income taxes. Net cash provided from operating activities in 2008 decreased from 2007 by \$112.2 million. The decrease in net cash provided from operating activities was primarily due to the receipt of grant proceeds of \$74.3 million in June 2007 and a decrease in operating activities related to receivables in 2008 in the amount of \$49.5 million. The decrease in receivables is primarily due to the change in under recovered regulatory clause revenues of \$24.7 million and a \$24.1 million change in affiliate receivables. Also impacting operating activities were decreases related to fossil fuel stock of \$33.3 million primarily due to increases in coal and coal in-transit of \$22.0 million and \$15.6 million, respectively. These were offset by an increase in deferred income taxes and investment tax credits of \$61.4 million. Net cash provided from operating activities increased in 2007 compared to 2006 by \$11.7 million primarily due to the Company's receipt of \$74.3 million in bond proceeds during 2007 related to Hurricane Katrina recovery, of which \$60 million was used to fund the property damage reserve and \$14.3 million was used to recover retail operations and maintenance storm restoration cost.

Net cash used for investing activities totaled \$119.4 million for 2009 compared to \$155.8 million for 2008. The \$36.4 million decrease was primarily due to a decrease in property additions. The \$55.3 million increase in net cash used for investing activities in 2008 was primarily due to a \$12.1 million increase in construction payables and a \$27.6 million increase due to the capital portion of Hurricane Katrina grant proceeds received in 2007. The change in net cash used for investing activities in 2007 compared to 2006 of \$107.0 million was primarily due to a \$117.8 million reduction in the sources of funds related to Hurricane Katrina capital-related grant proceeds received in 2006 and bond proceeds.

Net cash used for financing activities totaled \$8.6 million in 2009 compared to \$78.9 million that was provided from financing activities in 2008. The \$87.5 million decrease was primarily due to a \$42.6 million decrease in notes payable and a \$40 million decrease in long-term debt as a result of a March 2009 senior note redemption, when compared to the corresponding period in 2008. Net cash provided from financing activities totaled \$78.9 million in 2008 compared to \$105.5 million that was used in financing activities for the corresponding period in 2007. The \$184.5 million increase in net cash provided from financing activities was primarily due to the \$80 million long-term bank loan issued to the Company in March 2008, the \$50 million senior notes issued in November 2008, and the \$36 million redemption of the long-term debt to an affiliated trust in the first nine months of 2007. Notes payable increased by \$57.8 million primarily due to additional borrowings from commercial paper. Net cash used for financing activities totaled \$105.5 million in 2007 compared to \$211.5 million in 2006. This decrease in net cash used for financing activities is primarily due to a decrease in the use of funds related to notes payable of \$109.3 million. Significant changes in the balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 compared to 2008 include an increase in cash of \$42.6 million. Under recovered regulatory clause revenues decreased by \$55.0 million primarily due to lower fuel costs and the implementation of higher fuel rates in 2009. Fossil fuel inventory increased \$41.7 million primarily due to increases in coal inventory and emissions allowances of \$30.1 million and \$11.6 million, respectively. Prepaid income taxes increased by \$31.2 million and total property, plant, and equipment increased by \$32.4 million. Other regulatory assets, deferred increased by \$37.4 million primarily due to the increase in spending related to the Kemper IGCC. Securities due within one year decreased \$39.9 million primarily due to senior notes maturing during the first quarter 2009. Notes payable decreased by \$26.3 million primarily due to a decrease in commercial paper borrowings. Over recovered regulatory clause liabilities increased by \$48.6 million primarily due to lower fuel costs and the implementation of higher fuel rates in 2009. Long-term debt increased by \$123.0 million primarily due to the issuance of senior notes in the first quarter 2009. Employee benefit obligations increased \$19.6 million primarily due to the

decline in the market value of pension assets. See Note 2 to the financial statements under "Pension Plans" for additional information.

The Company's ratio of common equity to total capitalization, excluding long-term debt due within one year, decreased from 61.2% in 2008 to 55.6% at December 31, 2009. The Company has received investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt and preferred stock. See SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA for additional information regarding the Company's security ratings. See "Credit Rating Risk" herein for additional information.

II-332

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Sources of Capital**

The Company plans to obtain the funds required for construction and other purposes from sources such as operating cash flows, security issuances, term loans, short-term borrowings, and capital contributions from Southern Company. See **Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations** herein and Note 3 to the financial statements under **Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle** for additional information. The amount, type, and timing of any financings, if needed, will depend upon regulatory approval, prevailing market conditions, and other factors.

The issuance of securities by the Company is subject to regulatory approval by the FERC. Additionally, with respect to the public offering of securities, the Company files registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (1933 Act). The amounts of securities authorized by the FERC, as well as the amounts registered under the 1933 Act, are continuously monitored and appropriate filings are made to ensure flexibility in the capital markets.

The Company obtains financing separately without credit support from any affiliate. The Southern Company system does not maintain a centralized cash or money pool. Therefore, funds of the Company are not commingled with funds of any other company.

To meet short-term cash needs and contingencies, the Company has various sources of liquidity. At December 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$65 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$156 million of unused credit arrangements with banks. See Note 6 to the financial statements under **Bank Credit Arrangements** for additional information.

The Company may also meet short-term cash needs through a Southern Company subsidiary organized to issue and sell commercial paper at the request and for the benefit of the Company and the other traditional operating companies. Proceeds from such issuances for the benefit of the Company are loaned directly to the Company and are not commingled with proceeds from such issuances for the benefit of any other operating company. The obligations of each company under these arrangements are several; there is no cross affiliate credit support. At December 31, 2009, the Company had no commercial paper outstanding.

Financing Activities

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company issued senior notes totaling \$125 million. Proceeds were used to repay at maturity the Company's \$40 million aggregate principal amount of Series F Floating Rate Senior Notes due March 9, 2009 and to repay a portion of the Company's short-term indebtedness.

In addition to any financings that may be necessary to meet capital requirements and contractual obligations, the Company plans to continue, when economically feasible, a program to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit.

Off-Balance Sheet Financing Arrangements

In 2001, the Company began an initial 10-year term of a lease agreement for a combined cycle generating facility built at Plant Daniel. In June 2003, the Company entered into a restructured lease agreement for the Facility with Juniper, as discussed in Note 7 to the financial statements under **Operating Leases - Plant Daniel Combined Cycle Generating Units**. Juniper has also entered into leases with other parties unrelated to the Company. The assets leased by the Company comprise less than 50% of Juniper's assets. The Company does not consolidate the leased assets and related liabilities, and the lease with Juniper is considered an operating lease. Accordingly, the lease is not reflected in the balance sheets.

The initial lease term ends in 2011, and the lease includes a renewal and a purchase option based on the cost of the Facility at the inception of the lease, which was approximately \$370 million. The Company is required to amortize approximately 4% of the initial acquisition cost over the initial lease term. In April 2010, 18 months prior to the end of the initial lease, the Company must notify Juniper if the lease will be terminated. The Company may elect to renew the lease for 10 years. If the lease is renewed, the agreement calls for the Company to amortize an additional 17% of the initial completion cost over the renewal period. Upon termination of the lease, at the Company's option, it may either exercise its purchase option or the Facility can be sold to a third party.

The lease also provides for a residual value guarantee, approximately 73% of the acquisition cost, by the Company that is due upon termination of the lease in the event that the Company does not renew the lease or purchase the Facility and that the fair market value is less than the unamortized cost of the Facility. See Note 7 to the financial statements under Operating Leases Plant Daniel Combined Cycle Generating Units for additional information.

II-333

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Credit Rating Risk**

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain contracts that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of a credit rating change to BBB- and/or Baa3 or below. These contracts are for physical electricity purchases, fuel purchases, fuel transportation and storage, emissions allowances, and energy price risk management. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at BBB- and/or Baa3 rating were approximately \$5 million. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3 were approximately \$370 million. Included in these amounts are certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade. Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Additionally, any credit rating downgrade could impact the Company's ability to access capital markets, particularly the short-term debt market.

On September 2, 2009, Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) affirmed the credit ratings of the Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper of A1/P-1, respectively, and revised the rating outlook for the Company to negative. On September 4, 2009, Fitch Ratings, Inc. affirmed the Company's senior unsecured notes and commercial paper ratings of AA-/F1+, respectively, and maintained a stable rating outlook for the Company. On October 6, 2009, Standard and Poor's Rating Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P) affirmed the credit rating of the Company's senior unsecured notes and its short-term rating of A/A-1, respectively, and maintained its stable ratings outlook.

Market Price Risk

Due to cost-based rate regulation, the Company has limited exposure to market volatility in interest rates, commodity fuel prices, and prices of electricity. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets the exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and hedging practices. The Company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques that include, but are not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis.

The Company does not currently hedge interest rate risk. The weighted average interest rate on \$120 million of variable rate long-term debt at January 1, 2010 was 0.54%. If the Company sustained a 100 basis point change in interest rates for all unhedged variable rate long-term debt, the change would affect annualized interest expense by approximately \$1.2 million at January 1, 2010.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into fixed-price contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market. At December 31, 2009, exposure from these activities was not material to the Company's financial statements.

In addition, per the guidelines of the Mississippi PSC, the Company has implemented a fuel-hedging program. At December 31, 2009, exposure from these activities was not material to the Company's financial statements.

The changes in fair value of energy-related derivative contracts were as follows at December 31:

	2009	2008
	Changes	Changes
	Fair Value	
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Contracts outstanding at the beginning of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(51,985)	\$ 1,978
Contracts realized or settled	53,905	(30,639)
Current period changes ^(a)	(43,654)	(23,324)
Contracts outstanding at the end of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(41,734)	\$(51,985)

- (a) Current period changes also include the changes in fair value of new contracts entered into during the period, if any.

II-334

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The change in the fair value positions of the energy-related derivative contracts for the year ended December 31, 2009 was an increase of \$10.3 million, substantially all of which is due to natural gas positions. The change is attributable to both the volume of million British thermal units (mmBtu) and prices of natural gas. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a net hedge volume of 23.7 million mmBtu with a weighted average contract cost of approximately \$1.80 per mmBtu above market prices, and 28.9 million mmBtu at December 31, 2008 with a weighted average contract cost of approximately \$1.89 per mmBtu above market prices. The majority of the natural gas hedge settlements are recovered through the ECM clause.

At December 31, 2009, the net fair value of energy-related derivative contracts by hedge designation was reflected in the financial statements as assets/(liabilities) as follows:

Asset (Liability) Derivatives	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Regulatory hedges	\$(41,746)	\$(51,956)
Cash flow hedges		142
Not designated	12	(171)
Total fair value	\$(41,734)	\$(51,985)

Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate to the Company's fuel hedging program, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as they are recovered through the ECM clause. Gains and losses on energy-related derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are used to hedge anticipated purchases and sales and are initially deferred in other comprehensive income before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transaction. Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred. The pre-tax gains/(losses) reclassified from other comprehensive income to revenue and fuel expense were not material for any period presented and are not expected to be material for 2010.

Additionally, there was no material ineffectiveness recorded in earnings for any period presented.

Unrealized pre-tax gains/(losses) from energy-related derivative contracts recognized in income were not material for any year presented.

The maturities of the energy-related derivative contracts and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	December 31, 2009			
	Total	Fair Value Measurements		
		Maturity		
	Fair Value	Year 1	Years 2&3	4&5
		<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Level 1	\$	\$	\$	\$
Level 2	(41,734)	(18,996)	(22,600)	(138)
Level 3				
Fair value of contracts outstanding at end of period	\$(41,734)	\$(18,996)	\$(22,600)	\$(138)

The Company uses over-the-counter contracts that are not exchange traded but are fair valued using prices which are actively quoted, and thus fall into Level 2. See Note 9 to the financial statements for further discussion on fair value measurement.

The Company is exposed to market price risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to the energy-related derivative contracts. The Company only enters into agreements and material transactions with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings by Moody's and S&P or with counterparties who have posted collateral to cover potential credit exposure. Therefore, the Company does not anticipate market risk exposure from nonperformance by the counterparties. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under "Financial Instruments" and Note 10 to the financial statements.

II-335

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)

Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report

Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations

The construction program of the Company is currently estimated to be \$472 million for 2010, \$661 million for 2011, and \$1.3 billion for 2012. These estimates include costs for new generation construction. Environmental expenditures included in these estimated amounts are \$11 million, \$59 million, and \$128 million for 2010, 2011, and 2012, respectively. The construction program is subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include: changes in business conditions; revised load growth estimates; storm impacts; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Mississippi PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. See Note 3 to the financial statements under Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle for additional information.

In addition, as discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company provides postretirement benefits to substantially all employees and funds trusts to the extent required by the FERC.

Other funding requirements related to obligations associated with scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as the related interest, derivative obligations, preferred stock dividends, leases, and other purchase commitments, are as follows. See Notes 1, 6, 7, and 10 to the financial statements for additional information.

II-336

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Contractual Obligations**

	2010	2011- 2012	2013- 2014	After 2014	Uncertain Timing (d)	Total
<i>(in thousands)</i>						
Long-term debt ^(a)						
Principal	\$	\$ 80,000	\$ 50,000	\$362,694	\$	\$ 492,694
Interest	21,643	42,479	38,761	202,726		305,609
Preferred stock dividends ^(b)	1,733	3,465	3,465			8,663
Energy-related derivative obligations ^(c)	19,454	22,641	202			42,297
Unrecognized tax benefits and interest ^(d)	290				2,967	3,257
Operating leases ^(e)	40,326	47,588	17,441	1,613		106,968
Capital leases ^(f)	1,330	2,070				3,400
Purchase commitments ^(g)						
Capital ^(h)	471,511	1,935,149				2,406,660
Coal	316,006	434,084	30,805			780,895
Natural gas ⁽ⁱ⁾	185,120	251,804	137,330	182,662		756,916
Long-term service agreements ^(j)	13,159	27,201	28,097	74,518		142,975
Postretirement benefits trust ^(k)	230	459				689
Total	\$1,070,802	\$2,846,940	\$306,101	\$824,213	\$2,967	\$5,051,023

(a) All amounts are reflected based on final maturity dates. The Company plans to continue to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit. Variable rate interest obligations are estimated based on rates as of January 1, 2010,

as reflected in the statements of capitalization. Excludes capital lease amounts (shown separately).

- (b) Preferred stock does not mature; therefore, amounts are provided for the next five years only.
- (c) For additional information, see Notes 1 and 10 to the financial statements.
- (d) The timing related to the realization of \$3 million in unrecognized tax benefits and interest payments in individual years beyond 12 months cannot be reasonably and reliably estimated due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective settlement of tax positions. See Note 5 to the financial statements for additional information.
- (e) The decrease from 2011-2012

to 2013-2014 is primarily a result of the Plant Daniel operating lease contract that is scheduled to end during 2011. See Note 7 to the financial statements for additional information.

- (f) The capital lease of \$6.4 million is being amortized over a five-year period ending in 2012.

- (g) The Company generally does not enter into non-cancelable commitments for other operations and maintenance expenditures. Total other operations and maintenance expenses for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$247 million, \$260 million, and \$255 million, respectively.

- (h) The Company forecasts capital expenditures over a three-year period.
Amounts

represent current estimates of total expenditures. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction program.

- (i) Natural gas purchase commitments are based on various indices at the time of delivery. Amounts reflected have been estimated based on the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.
- (j) Long-term service agreements include price escalation based on inflation indices.
- (k) The Company forecasts postretirement trust contributions over a three-year period. The Company

expects that the earliest that cash may have to be contributed to the pension trust fund is 2012.

The projections of the amount vary significantly depending on key variables including future trust fund performance and cannot be determined at this time.

Therefore, no amounts related to the pension trust fund are included in the table. See Note 2 to the financial statements for additional information related to the pension and postretirement plans, including estimated benefit payments.

Certain benefit payments will be made through the related trusts.

Other benefit payments will be made from the Company's corporate assets.

II-337

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements**

The Company's 2009 Annual Report contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning retail sales, retail rates, storm damage cost recovery and repairs, fuel cost recovery and other rate actions, environmental regulations and expenditures, access to sources of capital, projections for postretirement benefit trust contributions, financing activities, start and completion of construction projects, impacts of adoption of new accounting rules, impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, impact of healthcare legislation, if any, estimated sales and purchases under new power sale and purchase agreements, and estimated construction and other expenditures. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as may, will, could, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, projects, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurance that such indicated results will be realized.

These factors include:

- the impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory change, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, environmental laws including regulation of water quality and emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, mercury, carbon, soot, particulate matter, or coal combustion byproducts and other substances and also changes in tax and other laws and regulations to which the Company is subject, as well as changes in application of existing laws and regulations; current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings, or inquiries, including FERC matters and EPA civil actions;
- the effects, extent, and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets in which the Company operates; variations in demand for electricity, including those relating to weather, the general economy and recovery from the recent recession, population and business growth (and declines), and the effects of energy conservation measures; available sources and costs of fuels;
- effects of inflation;
- ability to control costs and avoid cost overruns during the development and construction of facilities;
- investment performance of the Company's employee benefit plans;
- advances in technology;
- state and federal rate regulations and the impact of pending and future rate cases and negotiations, including rate actions relating to fuel and other cost recovery mechanisms;
- internal restructuring or other restructuring options that may be pursued;
- potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed or beneficial to the Company;
- the ability of counterparties of the Company to make payments as and when due and to perform as required;
- the ability to obtain new short- and long-term contracts with wholesale customers;
- the direct or indirect effect on the Company's business resulting from terrorist incidents and the threat of terrorist incidents;
- interest rate fluctuations and financial market conditions and the results of financing efforts, including the Company's credit ratings;
- the ability of the Company to obtain additional generating capacity at competitive prices;
- catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, hurricanes, droughts, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences;
- the direct or indirect effects on the Company's business resulting from incidents affecting the U.S. electric grid or operation of generation resources;
- the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard setting bodies; and
- other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports (including the Form 10-K) filed by the Company from time to time with the SEC.

The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

II-338

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF INCOME****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Operating Revenues:			
Retail revenues	\$ 790,950	\$ 785,434	\$ 727,214
Wholesale revenues, non-affiliates	299,268	353,793	323,120
Wholesale revenues, affiliates	44,546	100,928	46,169
Other revenues	14,657	16,387	17,241
Total operating revenues	1,149,421	1,256,542	1,113,744
Operating Expenses:			
Fuel	519,687	586,503	494,248
Purchased power, non-affiliates	8,831	27,036	9,188
Purchased power, affiliates	83,104	99,526	86,690
Other operations and maintenance	246,758	260,011	255,177
Depreciation and amortization	70,916	71,039	60,376
Taxes other than income taxes	64,068	65,099	60,328
Total operating expenses	993,364	1,109,214	966,007
Operating Income	156,057	147,328	147,737
Other Income and (Expense):			
Interest income	804	1,998	1,986
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(22,940)	(17,979)	(18,158)
Other income (expense), net	2,993	4,695	6,029
Total other income and (expense)	(19,143)	(11,286)	(10,143)
Earnings Before Income Taxes	136,914	136,042	137,594
Income taxes	50,214	48,349	51,830
Net Income	86,700	87,693	85,764
Dividends on Preferred Stock	1,733	1,733	1,733
Net Income After Dividends on Preferred Stock	\$ 84,967	\$ 85,960	\$ 84,031

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 86,700	\$ 87,693	\$ 85,764
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization, total	78,914	75,765	69,971
Deferred income taxes	(39,849)	24,840	(36,572)
Plant Daniel capacity			(5,659)
Pension, postretirement, and other employee benefits	7,077	8,182	8,782
Stock based compensation expense	886	724	1,038
Tax benefit of stock options	34	489	287
Generation construction screening costs	(30,638)	(26,662)	(9,031)
Hurricane Katrina grant proceeds-property reserve			60,000
Other, net	(3,650)	(20,767)	(15,784)
Changes in certain current assets and liabilities			
-Receivables	9,677	(9,982)	14,874
-Under recovered regulatory clause revenues	54,994	(14,450)	10,234
-Fossil fuel stock	(41,699)	(38,072)	(4,787)
-Materials and supplies	(649)	297	487
-Prepaid income taxes	1,061	3,243	17,726
-Other current assets	2,065	(2,022)	(1,923)
-Hurricane Katrina grant proceeds			14,345
-Hurricane Katrina accounts payable			(53)
-Other accounts payable	(7,590)	3,251	(4,525)
-Accrued taxes	8,800	2,428	(867)
-Accrued compensation	(6,819)	(1,362)	(1,993)
-Over recovered regulatory clause revenues	48,596		
-Other current liabilities	2,732	836	4,344
Net cash provided from operating activities	170,642	94,431	206,658
Investing Activities:			
Property additions	(101,995)	(153,401)	(144,925)
Cost of removal net of salvage	(9,352)	(6,411)	2,195
Construction payables	(5,091)	(4,084)	8,027
Hurricane Katrina capital grant proceeds		7,314	34,953
Other investing activities	(2,971)	819	(755)
Net cash used for investing activities	(119,409)	(155,763)	(100,505)

Financing Activities:

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Increase (decrease) in notes payable, net Proceeds	(26,293)	16,350	(41,433)
Capital contributions from parent company	4,567	3,541	5,436
Gross excess tax benefit of stock options	117	934	572
Pollution control revenue bonds		7,900	
Senior notes issuances	125,000	50,000	35,000
Other long-term debt issuances		80,000	
Redemptions			
Pollution control revenue bonds		(7,900)	
Senior notes	(40,000)		
Other long-term debt			(36,082)
Payment of preferred stock dividends	(1,733)	(1,733)	(1,733)
Payment of common stock dividends	(68,500)	(68,400)	(67,300)
Other financing activities	(1,779)	(1,774)	
Net cash provided from (used for) financing activities	(8,621)	78,918	(105,540)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	42,612	17,586	613
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	22,413	4,827	4,214
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 65,025	\$ 22,413	\$ 4,827
Supplemental Cash Flow Information:			
Cash paid during the period for			
Interest (net of \$117, \$229 and \$12 capitalized, respectively)	\$ 19,832	\$ 15,753	\$ 16,164
Income taxes (net of refunds)	77,206	23,829	67,453

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-340

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Assets	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 65,025	\$ 22,413
Receivables		
Customer accounts receivable	36,766	40,262
Unbilled revenues	27,168	24,798
Under recovered regulatory clause revenues		54,994
Other accounts and notes receivable	11,337	8,995
Affiliated companies	13,215	24,108
Accumulated provision for uncollectible accounts	(940)	(1,039)
Fossil fuel stock, at average cost	127,237	85,538
Materials and supplies, at average cost	27,793	27,143
Other regulatory assets, current	53,273	59,220
Prepaid income taxes	32,237	1,061
Other current assets	12,625	9,837
Total current assets	405,736	357,330
Property, Plant, and Equipment:		
In service	2,316,494	2,234,573
Less accumulated provision for depreciation	950,373	923,269
Plant in service, net of depreciation	1,366,121	1,311,304
Construction work in progress	48,219	70,665
Total property, plant, and equipment	1,414,340	1,381,969
Other Property and Investments	7,018	8,280
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Deferred charges related to income taxes	8,536	9,566
Other regulatory assets, deferred	209,100	171,680
Other deferred charges and assets	27,951	23,870
Total deferred charges and other assets	245,587	205,116
Total Assets	\$ 2,072,681	\$ 1,952,695

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-341

Table of Contents**BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Liabilities:		
Securities due within one year	\$ 1,330	\$ 41,230
Notes payable		26,293
Accounts payable		
Affiliated	49,209	36,847
Other	38,662	63,704
Customer deposits	11,143	10,354
Accrued taxes		
Accrued income taxes	10,590	8,842
Other accrued taxes	49,547	50,700
Accrued interest	5,739	3,930
Accrued compensation	13,785	20,604
Other regulatory liabilities, current	7,610	9,718
Over recovered regulatory clause liabilities	48,596	
Liabilities from risk management activities	19,454	29,291
Other current liabilities	21,142	19,144
Total current liabilities	276,807	320,657
Long-Term Debt (See accompanying statements)	493,480	370,460
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	223,066	222,324
Deferred credits related to income taxes	13,937	14,074
Accumulated deferred investment tax credits	12,825	14,014
Employee benefit obligations	161,778	142,188
Other cost of removal obligations	97,820	96,191
Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	54,576	51,340
Other deferred credits and liabilities	47,090	52,216
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	611,092	592,347
Total Liabilities	1,381,379	1,283,464
Redeemable Preferred Stock (See accompanying statements)	32,780	32,780
Common Stockholder's Equity (See accompanying statements)	658,522	636,451
Total Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	\$ 2,072,681	\$ 1,952,695

Commitments and Contingent Matters (See notes)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-342

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF CAPITALIZATION
At December 31, 2009 and 2008
Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		<i>(percent of total)</i>	
Long-Term Debt:				
Long-term notes payable				
6.00% due 2013	50,000	50,000		
5.4% to 5.625% due 2017-2035	280,000	155,000		
Adjustable rates (0.68% at 1/1/10) due 2011	80,000	120,000		
Total long-term notes payable	410,000	325,000		
Other long-term debt				
Pollution control revenue bonds:				
5.15% due 2028	42,625	42,625		
Variable rates (0.25% to 0.30% at 1/1/10) due 2020-2028	40,070	40,070		
Total other long-term debt	82,695	82,695		
Capitalized lease obligations	3,399	4,630		
Unamortized debt discount	(1,284)	(635)		
Total long-term debt (annual interest requirement \$21.6 million)	494,810	411,690		
Less amount due within one year	1,330	41,230		
Long-term debt excluding amount due within one year	493,480	370,460	41.6%	35.6%
Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock:				
\$100 par value				
Authorized: 1,244,139 shares				
Outstanding: 334,210 shares				
4.40% to 5.25% (annual dividend requirement \$1.7 million)	32,780	32,780	2.8	3.2
Common Stockholder's Equity:				
Common stock, without par value				
Authorized: 1,130,000 shares				
Outstanding: 1,121,000 shares	37,691	37,691		
Paid-in capital	325,562	319,958		
Retained earnings	295,269	278,802		

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)

Total common stockholder s equity	658,522	636,451	55.6	61.2
Total Capitalization	\$ 1,184,782	\$ 1,039,691	100.0%	100.0%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-343

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	Number of Common Shares Issued	Common Stock	Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
<i>(in thousands)</i>						
Balance at December 31, 2006	1,121	\$37,691	\$307,019	\$244,511	\$ 599	\$589,820
Net income after dividends on preferred stock				84,031		84,031
Capital contributions from parent company			7,333			7,333
Other comprehensive income (loss)					(26)	(26)
Cash dividends on common stock				(67,300)		(67,300)
Other			(28)			(28)
Balance at December 31, 2007	1,121	37,691	314,324	261,242	573	613,830
Net income after dividends on preferred stock				85,960		85,960
Capital contributions from parent company			5,634			5,634
Other comprehensive income (loss)					(573)	(573)
Cash dividends on common stock				(68,400)		(68,400)
Balance at December 31, 2008	1,121	37,691	319,958	278,802		636,451
Net income after dividends on preferred stock				84,967		84,967
Capital contributions from parent company			5,604			5,604
Other comprehensive income (loss)				(68,500)		(68,500)

Cash dividends on
common stock

Balance at December 31, 2009	1,121	\$37,691	\$325,562	\$295,269	\$	\$658,522
---	--------------	-----------------	------------------	------------------	-----------	------------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-344

Table of Contents

STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Net income after dividends on preferred stock	\$ 84,967	\$ 85,960	\$ 84,031
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Qualifying hedges:			
Changes in fair value, net of tax of \$-, \$(355), and \$(16), respectively		(573)	(26)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 84,967	\$ 85,387	\$ 84,005

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-345

Table of Contents**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES****General**

Mississippi Power Company (the Company) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company, which is the parent company of four traditional operating companies, Southern Power Company (Southern Power), Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), Southern Communications Services, Inc. (SouthernLINC Wireless), Southern Company Holdings, Inc. (Southern Holdings), Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), and other direct and indirect subsidiaries. The traditional operating companies, Alabama Power Company (Alabama Power), Georgia Power Company (Georgia Power), Gulf Power Company (Gulf Power), and Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi Power), are vertically integrated utilities providing electric service in four Southeastern states. The Company operates as a vertically integrated utility providing service to retail customers in southeast Mississippi and to wholesale customers in the Southeast. Southern Power constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market. SCS, the system service company, provides, at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and its subsidiary companies. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and also markets these services to the public and provides fiber cable services within the Southeast. Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding company subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases. Southern Nuclear operates and provides services to Southern Company's nuclear power plants.

The equity method is used for entities in which the Company has significant influence but does not control and for variable interest entities where the Company is not the primary beneficiary. Certain prior years' data presented in the financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The Company is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) and the Mississippi Public Service Commission (PSC). The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and complies with the accounting policies and practices prescribed by its regulatory commissions. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the use of estimates, and the actual results may differ from those estimates.

Affiliate Transactions

The Company has an agreement with SCS under which the following services are rendered to the Company at direct or allocated cost: general and design engineering, purchasing, accounting and statistical analysis, finance and treasury, tax, information resources, marketing, auditing, insurance and pension administration, human resources, systems and procedures, digital wireless communications, and other services with respect to business and operations and power pool transactions. Costs for these services amounted to \$84 million, \$87 million, and \$71.8 million during 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Cost allocation methodologies used by SCS were approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to the repeal of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and management believes they are reasonable. The FERC permits services to be rendered at cost by system service companies.

The Company provides incidental services to and receives such services from other Southern Company subsidiaries which are generally minor in duration and amount. The Company provided no significant service to an affiliate in 2009, 2008, and 2007. The Company received storm restoration assistance from other Southern Company subsidiaries totaling \$3.2 million in 2008. There was no storm assistance received in 2009 or 2007.

The Company has an agreement with Alabama Power under which the Company owns a portion of Greene County Steam Plant. Alabama Power operates Greene County Steam Plant, and the Company reimburses Alabama Power for its proportionate share of all associated expenditures and costs. The Company reimbursed Alabama Power for the Company's proportionate share of related expenses which totaled \$10.2 million, \$11.1 million, and \$9.8 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company also has an agreement with Gulf Power under which Gulf Power owns a portion of Plant Daniel. The Company operates Plant Daniel, and Gulf Power reimburses the Company for its proportionate share of all associated expenditures and costs. Gulf Power reimbursed the Company for Gulf Power's proportionate share of related expenses which totaled \$20.9 million, \$22.8 million, and \$23.1 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. See Note 4 for additional information.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The traditional operating companies, including the Company, and Southern Power may jointly enter into various types of wholesale energy, natural gas, and certain other contracts, either directly or through SCS, as agent. Each participating company may be jointly and severally liable for the obligations incurred under these agreements. See Note 7 under Fuel Commitments for additional information.

Regulatory Assets and Liabilities

The Company is subject to the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board in accounting for the effects of rate regulation. Regulatory assets represent probable future revenues associated with certain costs that are expected to be recovered from customers through the ratemaking process. Regulatory liabilities represent probable future reductions in revenues associated with amounts that are expected to be credited to customers through the ratemaking process.

Regulatory assets and (liabilities) reflected in the balance sheets at December 31 relate to:

	2009	2008	Note
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Hurricane Katrina	\$ (143)	\$ (143)	(a)
Underfunded retiree benefit plans	99,690	87,094	(b,k)
Property damage	(57,814)	(54,241)	(m)
Deferred income tax charges	9,027	8,862	(d)
Property tax	17,170	16,333	(e)
Transmission & distribution deferral	4,734	7,101	(f)
Vacation pay	8,756	8,498	(g,k)
Loss on reacquired debt	8,409	9,133	(h)
Loss on redeemed preferred stock	229	400	(i)
Loss on rail cars	108	196	(h)
Other regulatory assets	1,087		(c)
Fuel-hedging (realized and unrealized) losses	44,116	56,516	(j,k)
Asset retirement obligations	8,955	8,345	(d)
Deferred income tax credits	(14,853)	(14,962)	(d)
Other cost of removal obligations	(97,820)	(96,191)	(d)
Fuel-hedging (realized and unrealized) gains	(551)	(761)	(j,k)
Generation screening costs	68,496	37,857	(l)
Other liabilities	(2,628)	(4,894)	(c)
Total assets (liabilities), net	\$ 96,968	\$ 69,143	

Note: The recovery and amortization periods for these regulatory assets and (liabilities) are as follows:

(a)

For additional information, see Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Storm Damage Cost Recovery.

- (b) Recovered and amortized over the average remaining service period which may range up to 14 years. See Note 2 for additional information.
- (c) Recorded and recovered as approved by the Mississippi PSC over periods not exceeding two years.
- (d) Asset retirement and removal liabilities are recorded, deferred income tax assets are recovered and deferred tax liabilities are amortized over the related property lives, which may range up to 50 years. Asset retirement and removal liabilities will be settled and trued up following completion of

the related activities.

- (e) Recovered through the ad valorem tax adjustment clause over a 12-month period beginning in April of the following year.
- (f) Amortized over a four-year period ending 2011.
- (g) Recorded as earned by employees and recovered as paid, generally within one year.
- (h) Recovered over the remaining life of the original issue/lease or, if refinanced, over the life of the new issue/lease, which may range up to 50 years.
- (i) Amortized over a period beginning in 2004 that is not to exceed seven years.
- (j) Fuel-hedging assets and liabilities are recorded over the life of the underlying hedged purchase

contracts, which generally do not exceed two years. Upon final settlement, costs are recovered through the Energy Cost Management clause (ECM).

- (k) Not earning a return as offset by a corresponding asset or liability.
- (l) Recovery expected to be determined by the Mississippi PSC by May 1, 2010. For additional information, see Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle.
- (m) For additional information, see Note 1 under Provision for Property Damage and Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters System Restoration Rider.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

In the event that a portion of the Company's operations is no longer subject to applicable accounting rules for rate regulation, the Company would be required to write off or reclassify to accumulated other comprehensive income related regulatory assets and liabilities that are not specifically recoverable through regulated rates. In addition, the Company would be required to determine if any impairment to other assets, including plant, exists and write down the assets, if impaired, to their fair values. All regulatory assets and liabilities are to be reflected in rates.

Government Grants

The Company received a grant in October 2006 from the Mississippi Development Authority (MDA) for \$276.4 million, primarily for storm damage cost recovery. In 2007, the Company received \$109.3 million of storm restoration bond proceeds under the state bond program of which \$25.2 million was for retail storm restoration cost, \$60.0 million was to increase the Company's retail property damage reserve, and \$24.1 million was to cover the retail portion of construction of a new storm operations center. In 2008, the Company received grant payments in the amount of \$7.3 million and anticipates the receipt of approximately \$3.2 million in 2010. The grant proceeds do not represent a future obligation of the Company. The portion of any grants received related to retail storm recovery was applied to the retail regulatory asset that was established as restoration costs were incurred. The portion related to wholesale storm recovery was recorded either as a reduction to operations and maintenance expense or as a reduction to total property, plant, and equipment depending on the restoration work performed and the appropriate allocations of cost of service.

Revenues

Energy and other revenues are recognized as services are provided. Wholesale capacity revenues from long-term contracts are recognized at the lesser of the levelized amount or the amount billable under the contract over the respective contract period. Unbilled revenues related to retail sales are accrued at the end of each fiscal period. The Company's retail and wholesale rates include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in fuel costs, fuel hedging, the energy component of purchased power costs, and certain other costs. Retail rates also include provisions to adjust billings for fluctuations in costs for ad valorem taxes and certain qualifying environmental costs. Revenues are adjusted for differences between these actual costs and amounts billed in current regulated rates. Under or over recovered regulatory clause revenues are recorded in the balance sheets and are recovered or returned to customers through adjustments to the billing factors. The Company is required to file with the Mississippi PSC for an adjustment to the fuel cost recovery factor annually.

The Company has a diversified base of customers. For years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, no single customer or industry comprises 10% or more of revenues. For all periods presented, uncollectible accounts averaged less than 1% of revenues.

Fuel Costs

Fuel costs are expensed as the fuel is used. Fuel expense generally includes the cost of purchased emissions allowances as they are used. Fuel costs also include gains and/or losses from fuel hedging programs as approved by the Mississippi PSC.

Income and Other Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provides deferred income taxes for all significant income tax temporary differences. Investment tax credits utilized are deferred and amortized to income over the average life of the related property. Taxes that are collected from customers on behalf of governmental agencies to be remitted to these agencies are presented net on the statements of income.

In accordance with accounting standards related to the uncertainty in income taxes, the Company recognizes tax positions that are more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities. See Note 5 under "Unrecognized Tax Benefits" for additional information.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

Property, plant, and equipment is stated at original cost less regulatory disallowances and impairments. Original cost includes: materials; labor; minor items of property; appropriate administrative and general costs; payroll-related costs such as taxes, pensions, and other benefits; and the interest capitalized and/or cost of funds used during construction

for projects over \$10 million.

II-348

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's property, plant, and equipment consisted of the following at December 31:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Generation	\$ 963,145	\$ 919,149
Transmission	449,452	436,280
Distribution	748,066	720,124
General	155,831	159,020
 Total plant in service	 \$2,316,494	 \$2,234,573

The cost of replacements of property, exclusive of minor items of property, is capitalized. The cost of maintenance, repairs, and replacement of minor items of property is charged to maintenance expense except for the cost of maintenance of coal cars and a portion of the railway track maintenance costs, which are charged to fuel stock and recovered through the Company's fuel clause.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation of the original cost of plant in service is provided primarily by using composite straight-line rates, which approximated 3.3%, in 2009, 2008, and 2007. Depreciation studies are conducted periodically to update the composite rates. When property subject to depreciation is retired or otherwise disposed of in the normal course of business, its cost, together with the cost of removal, less salvage, is charged to the accumulated depreciation provision. Minor items of property included in the original cost of the plant are retired when the related property unit is retired. Depreciation expense includes an amount for the expected cost of removal of facilities. On September 8, 2009 and September 9, 2009, the Company filed with the Mississippi PSC and the FERC, respectively, a depreciation study as of December 31, 2008. The FERC accepted this study on October 20, 2009.

In April 2007, the Mississippi PSC issued an order allowing the Company to defer certain reliability related maintenance costs beginning January 1, 2007 and recover them evenly over a four-year period beginning January 1, 2008. These costs related to maintenance that was needed as follow-up to emergency repairs that were made subsequent to Hurricane Katrina. At December 31, 2007, the Company had incurred and deferred the retail portion of \$9.5 million of such costs. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a balance of the deferred retail portion of \$4.7 million with \$2.3 million included in current assets as other regulatory assets and \$2.4 million included in other regulatory assets, deferred.

In December 2003, the Mississippi PSC issued an interim accounting order directing the Company to expense and record a regulatory liability of \$60.3 million while it considered the Company's request to include 266 megawatts (MWs) of Plant Daniel Units 3 and 4 generating capacity in jurisdictional cost of service. In May 2004, the Mississippi PSC approved the Company's request effective January 1, 2004, and ordered the Company to amortize the regulatory liability previously established to reduce depreciation and amortization expenses over a four-year period. The amount amortized in 2007 was \$5.7 million. The regulatory liability was fully amortized as of December 31, 2007.

Asset Retirement Obligations and Other Costs of Removal

Asset retirement obligations are computed as the present value of the ultimate costs for an asset's future retirement and are recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred. The costs are capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset's useful life. The Company has received accounting guidance from the Mississippi PSC allowing the continued accrual of other future retirement costs for long-lived assets that the Company does not have a legal obligation to retire. Accordingly, the accumulated removal costs for these obligations are reflected in the balance sheets as a regulatory liability.

The Company has retirement obligations related to various landfill sites, underground storage tanks, and asbestos removal. The Company also has identified retirement obligations related to certain transmission and distribution facilities, co-generation facilities, certain wireless communication towers, and certain structures authorized by the United States Army Corps of Engineers. However, liabilities for the removal of these assets have not been recorded because the range of time over which the Company may settle these obligations is unknown and cannot be reasonably estimated. The Company will continue to recognize in the statements of income allowed removal costs in accordance with its regulatory treatment. Any differences between costs recognized and those reflected in rates are recognized as either a regulatory asset or liability, as ordered by the Mississippi PSC, and are reflected in the balance sheets.

II-349

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Details of the asset retirement obligations included in the balance sheets are as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Balance, beginning of year	\$17,977	\$17,290
Liabilities incurred	378	
Liabilities settled	(1,892)	(55)
Accretion	1,049	967
Cash flow revisions	(81)	(225)
Balance, end of year	\$17,431	\$17,977

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangibles

The Company evaluates long-lived assets for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The determination of whether an impairment has occurred is based on either a specific regulatory disallowance or an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with the carrying value of the assets. If an impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by either the amount of regulatory disallowance or by estimating the fair value of the asset and recording a loss for the amount if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. For assets identified as held for sale, the carrying value is compared to the estimated fair value less the cost to sell in order to determine if an impairment loss is required. Until the assets are disposed of, their estimated fair value is re-evaluated when circumstances or events change.

Provision for Property Damage

The Company carries insurance for the cost of certain types of damage to generation plants and general property. However, the Company is self-insured for the cost of storm, fire, and other uninsured casualty damage to its property, including transmission and distribution facilities. As permitted by the Mississippi PSC and the FERC, the Company accrues for the cost of such damage through an annual expense accrual credited to regulatory liability accounts for the retail and wholesale jurisdictions. The cost of repairing actual damage resulting from such events that individually exceed \$50,000 is charged to the reserve. A 1999 Mississippi PSC order allowed the Company to accrue \$1.5 million to \$4.6 million to the reserve annually, with a maximum reserve totaling \$23 million. In October 2006, in conjunction with the Mississippi PSC Hurricane Katrina-related financing order, the Mississippi PSC ordered the Company to cease all accruals to the retail property damage reserve until a new reserve cap is established. However, in the same financing order, the Mississippi PSC approved the replenishment of the retail property damage reserve with \$60 million to be funded with a portion of the proceeds of bonds to be issued by the Mississippi Development Bank on behalf of the State of Mississippi and reported as liabilities by the State of Mississippi. The Company received the \$60 million bond proceeds in June 2007. The Company made no discretionary retail accruals in 2008 and 2007 as a result of the order. On January 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC approved the System Restoration Rider (SRR) stipulation between the Company and the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff. In accordance with the stipulation, every three years the Mississippi PSC, Mississippi Public Utilities Staff, and the Company will agree on SRR revenue level(s) for the ensuing period, based on historical data, expected exposure, type and amount of insurance coverage, excluding insurance cost, and any other relevant information. The accrual amount and the reserve balance are determined based on the SRR revenue level(s). If a significant change in circumstances occurs, then the SRR revenue level can be adjusted more frequently if the Company and the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff or the Mississippi PSC deem the change appropriate. Each year the Company will set rates to collect the approved SRR revenues. The property damage reserve accrual will be the difference between the approved SRR revenues and the SRR revenue requirement, excluding any accrual to the reserve. In 2009, the Company made retail accruals of \$3.7 million per the SRR order. In

addition, SRR allows the Company to set up a regulatory asset, pending review, if the allowable actual retail property damage costs exceed the amount in the retail property damage reserve. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Storm Damage Cost Recovery and Retail Regulatory Matters System Restoration Rider for additional information regarding the depletion of these reserves following Hurricane Katrina and the deferral of additional costs, as well as additional rate riders or other cost recovery mechanisms which have and/or may be approved by the Mississippi PSC to recover the deferred costs and accrue reserves. The Company accrued \$0.3 million in 2009 and \$0.2 million annually in 2008 and 2007 for the wholesale jurisdiction. See Note 3 under FERC Matters Wholesale Rate Filing for additional information.

II-350

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Cash and Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of the financial statements, temporary cash investments are considered cash equivalents. Temporary cash investments are securities with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Materials and Supplies

Generally, materials and supplies include the average cost of transmission, distribution, and generating plant materials. Materials are charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed or capitalized to plant, as appropriate, at weighted average cost when installed.

Fuel Inventory

Fuel inventory includes the average costs of oil, coal, natural gas, and emissions allowances. Fuel is charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed as used and recovered by the Company through fuel cost recovery rates approved by the Mississippi PSC. Emissions allowances granted by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) are included in inventory at zero cost.

Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to limit exposure to fluctuations in the prices of certain fuel purchases and electricity purchases and sales. All derivative financial instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities (included in Other or shown separately as Risk Management Activities) and are measured at fair value. See Note 9 for additional information. Substantially all of the Company's bulk energy purchases and sales contracts that meet the definition of a derivative are exempt from fair value accounting requirements and are accounted for under the accrual method. Other derivative contracts qualify as cash flow hedges of anticipated transactions or are recoverable through the Mississippi PSC approved fuel hedging program as discussed below. This results in the deferral of related gains and losses in other comprehensive income or regulatory assets and liabilities, respectively, until the hedged transactions occur. Any ineffectiveness arising from cash flow hedges is recognized currently in net income. Other derivative contracts are marked to market through current period income and are recorded on a net basis in the statements of income. See Note 10 for additional information.

The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for multiple derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. Additionally, the Company has no outstanding collateral repayment obligations or rights to reclaim collateral arising from derivative instruments recognized at December 31, 2009.

The Mississippi PSC has approved the Company's request to implement an ECM which, among other things, allows the Company to utilize financial instruments to hedge its fuel commitments. Changes in the fair value of these financial instruments are recorded as regulatory assets or liabilities. Amounts paid or received as a result of financial settlement of these instruments are classified as fuel expense and are included in the ECM factor applied to customer billings. The Company's jurisdictional wholesale customers have a similar ECM mechanism, which has been approved by the FERC.

The Company is exposed to losses related to financial instruments in the event of counterparties' nonperformance. The Company has established controls to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties in order to mitigate the Company's exposure to counterparty credit risk.

Comprehensive Income

The objective of comprehensive income is to report a measure of all changes in common stock equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners. Comprehensive income consists of net income, changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges, and reclassifications for amounts included in net income.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS**

The Company has a defined benefit, trustee, pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan is funded in accordance with requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA). No contributions to the plan are expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. The Company also provides certain defined benefit pension plans for a selected group of management and highly compensated employees. Benefits under these non-qualified pension plans are funded on a cash basis. In addition, the Company provides certain medical care and life insurance benefits for retired employees through other postretirement benefit plans. The Company funds trusts to the extent required by the FERC. For the year ending December 31, 2010, postretirement trust contributions are expected to total approximately \$0.2 million.

The measurement date for plan assets and obligations for 2009 and 2008 was December 31 while the measurement date for prior years was September 30. Pursuant to accounting standards related to defined postretirement benefit plans, the Company was required to change the measurement date for its defined postretirement benefit plans from September 30 to December 31 beginning with the year ended December 31, 2008. As permitted, the Company adopted the measurement date provisions effective January 1, 2008, resulting in an increase in long-term liabilities of \$1.6 million and a decrease in prepaid pension costs of approximately \$0.1 million.

Pension Plans

The total accumulated benefit obligation for the pension plans was \$289 million in 2009 and \$252 million in 2008. Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the projected benefit obligations and the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$266,879	\$256,903
Service cost	6,792	8,557
Interest cost	17,577	19,753
Benefits paid	(11,965)	(14,721)
Actuarial loss (gain)	29,896	(3,613)
Balance at end of year	309,179	266,879
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	198,510	300,866
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	30,088	(89,420)
Employer contributions	1,382	1,785
Benefits paid	(11,965)	(14,721)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	218,015	198,510
Accrued liability	\$ (91,164)	\$ (68,369)

At December 31, 2009, the projected benefit obligations for the qualified and non-qualified pension plans were \$285.9 million and \$23.3 million, respectively. All pension plan assets are related to the qualified pension plan. Pension plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code). In 2009, in determining the optimal asset allocation for the pension fund, the Company performed an extensive study based on projections of both assets and

liabilities over a 10-year forward horizon. The primary goal of the study was to maximize plan funded status. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk.

II-352

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The actual composition of the Company's pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	29%	33%	34%
International equity	28	29	23
Fixed income	15	15	14
Special situations	3		
Real estate investments	15	13	19
Private equity	10	10	10
Total	100%	100%	100%

The investment strategy for plan assets related to the Company's defined benefit plan is to be broadly diversified across major asset classes. The asset allocation is established after consideration of various factors that affect the assets and liabilities of the pension plan including, but not limited to, historical and expected returns, volatility, correlations of asset classes, the current level of assets and liabilities, and the assumed growth in assets and liabilities. Because a significant portion of the liability of the pension plan is long-term in nature, the assets are invested consistent with long-term investment expectations for return and risk. To manage the actual asset class exposures relative to the target asset allocation, the Company employs a formal rebalancing program. As additional risk management, external investment managers and service providers are subject to written guidelines to ensure appropriate and prudent investment practices.

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed through an allocation to long-dated, investment grade corporate and government bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The fair values of pension plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below. These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$ 43,279	\$17,897	\$	\$ 61,176
International equity*	55,948	5,575		61,523
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		16,118		16,118
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		4,382		4,382
Corporate bonds		10,803		10,803
Pooled funds		390		390
Cash equivalents and other Special situations	108	13,211		13,319
Real estate investments	6,747		21,195	27,942
Private equity			21,498	21,498
Total	\$106,082	\$68,376	\$42,693	\$217,151
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(172)	(43)		(215)
Total	\$105,910	\$68,333	\$42,693	\$216,936

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the

portfolio is well-diversified with no significant concentrations of risk.

As of December 31, 2008:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$40,886	\$16,650	\$	\$ 57,536
International equity*	36,783	3,382		40,165
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		17,191		17,191
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		8,145		8,145
Corporate bonds		11,147		11,147
Pooled funds		120		120
Cash equivalents and other	861	7,865		8,726
Special situations				
Real estate investments	5,604		32,700	38,304
Private equity			19,092	19,092
Total	\$84,134	\$64,500	\$51,792	\$200,426
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(301)			(301)
Total	\$83,833	\$64,500	\$51,792	\$200,125

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is

well-diversified
with no
significant
concentrations
of risk.

II-354

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the pension plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate	Private	Real Estate	Private
	Investments	Equity	Investments	Equity
	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
Beginning balance	\$ 32,700	\$ 19,092	\$40,755	\$ 20,280
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(9,492)	1,322	(6,651)	(5,517)
Related to investments sold during the year	(2,516)	387	156	975
Total return on investments	(12,008)	1,709	(6,495)	(4,542)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	503	697	(1,560)	3,354
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$ 21,195	\$ 21,498	\$32,700	\$ 19,092

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued using matrix pricing, a common model using observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's pension plan consist of the following:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 85,357	\$ 66,602
Other current liabilities	(1,484)	(1,498)
Employee benefit obligations	(89,680)	(66,871)

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the defined benefit pension plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic pension cost along with the estimated

amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain) Loss
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2009:		
Regulatory assets	\$ 9,222	\$ 76,135
Balance at December 31, 2008:		
Regulatory assets	\$ 10,800	\$ 55,802
Estimated amortization in net periodic pension cost in 2010:		
Regulatory assets	\$ 1,391	\$ 634

II-355

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The changes in the balances of regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities related to the defined benefit pension plans for the year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets	Regulatory Liabilities
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 11,114	\$ (53,396)
Net loss (gain)	56,721	54,849
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation		
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(489)	(1,596)
Amortization of net gain	(744)	143
Total reclassification adjustments	(1,233)	(1,453)
Total change	55,488	53,396
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 66,602	\$
Net loss (gain)	20,872	
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation		
Reclassification adjustments:		
Amortization of prior service costs	(1,578)	
Amortization of net gain	(539)	
Total reclassification adjustments	(2,117)	
Total change	18,755	
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 85,357	\$

Components of net periodic pension cost (income) were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Service cost	\$ 6,792	\$ 6,846	\$ 6,934
Interest cost	17,577	15,802	14,767
Expected return on plan assets	(21,065)	(20,611)	(19,099)
Recognized net loss	539	481	634
Net amortization	1,578	1,668	1,591
Net periodic pension cost (income)	\$ 5,421	\$ 4,186	\$ 4,827

Net periodic pension cost (income) is the sum of service cost, interest cost, and other costs netted against the expected return on plan assets. The expected return on plan assets is determined by multiplying the expected rate of return on plan assets and the market-related value of plan assets. In determining the market-related value of plan assets, the

Company has elected to amortize changes in the market value of all plan assets over five years rather than recognize the changes immediately. As a result, the accounting value of plan assets that is used to calculate the expected return on plan assets differs from the current fair value of the plan assets.

Future benefit payments reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the projected benefit obligation for the pension plans. At December 31, 2009, estimated benefit payments were as follows:

	Benefit Payments
	<i>(in thousands)</i>
2010	\$ 13,509
2011	14,349
2012	15,373
2013	16,495
2014	18,078
2015 to 2019	108,602

II-356

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Other Postretirement Benefits**

Changes during the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15-month period ended December 31, 2008 in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligations (APBO) and in the fair value of plan assets were as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Change in benefit obligation		
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 84,733	\$ 84,495
Service cost	1,328	1,745
Interest cost	5,535	6,498
Benefits paid	(4,041)	(5,333)
Actuarial gain	(1,550)	(3,275)
Plan amendments	(2,592)	
Retiree drug subsidy	361	603
Balance at end of year	83,774	84,733
Change in plan assets		
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	18,623	25,593
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	2,902	(5,653)
Employer contributions	2,447	3,414
Benefits paid	(3,680)	(4,731)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	20,292	18,623
Accrued liability	\$(63,482)	\$(66,110)

Other postretirement benefit plan assets are managed and invested in accordance with all applicable requirements, including ERISA and the Internal Revenue Code. The Company's investment policy covers a diversified mix of assets, including equity and fixed income securities, real estate, and private equity. Derivative instruments are used primarily to gain efficient exposure to the various asset classes and as hedging tools. The Company minimizes the risk of large losses primarily through diversification but also monitors and manages other aspects of risk. The actual composition of the Company's other postretirement benefit plan assets as of the end of year, along with the targeted mix of assets, is presented below:

	Target	2009	2008
Domestic equity	22%	26%	26%
International equity	22	22	18
Fixed income	34	34	35
Special situations	2		
Real estate investments	12	10	14
Private equity	8	8	7
Total	100%	100%	100%

Detailed below is a description of the investment strategies for each major asset category disclosed above:

Domestic equity. This portion of the portfolio comprises a mix of large and small capitalization stocks with generally an equal distribution of value and growth attributes managed both actively and through passive index approaches.

International equity. This portion of the portfolio is actively managed with a blend of growth stocks and value stocks with both developed and emerging market exposure.

Fixed income. This portion of the portfolio is comprised of domestic bonds.

Special situations. Though currently unfunded, this portion of the portfolio was established both to execute opportunistic investment strategies with the objectives of diversifying and enhancing returns and exploiting short-term inefficiencies, as well as to invest in promising new strategies of a longer-term nature.

II-357

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Trust-owned life insurance. Some of the Company's taxable trusts invest in these investments in order to minimize the impact of taxes on the portfolio.

Real estate investments. Assets in this portion of the portfolio are invested in traditional private market, equity-oriented investments in real properties (indirectly through pooled funds or partnerships) and in publicly traded real estate securities.

Private equity. This portion of the portfolio generally consists of investments in private partnerships that invest in private or public securities typically through privately negotiated and/or structured transactions. Leveraged buyouts, venture capital, and distressed debt are examples of investment strategies within this category.

The fair values of other postretirement benefit plan assets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are presented below.

These fair value measurements exclude cash, receivables related to investment income, pending investments sales, and payables related to pending investment purchases.

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$3,011	\$1,245	\$	\$ 4,256
International equity*	3,893	387		4,280
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		5,155		5,155
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		304		304
Corporate bonds		751		751
Pooled funds		27		27
Cash equivalents and other	8	1,295		1,303
Trust-owned life insurance				
Special situations				
Real estate investments	468		1,475	1,943
Private equity			1,497	1,497
Total	\$7,380	\$9,164	\$ 2,972	\$19,516
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(12)	(3)		(15)
Total	\$7,368	\$9,161	\$ 2,972	\$19,501

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded

stocks while
Level 2
securities
consist of
pooled funds.
Management
believes that the
portfolio is
well-diversified
with no
significant
concentrations
of risk.

II-358

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

As of December 31, 2008:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:				
Domestic equity*	\$2,857	\$1,164	\$	\$ 4,021
International equity*	2,571	238		2,809
Fixed income:				
U.S. Treasury, government, and agency bonds		5,558		5,558
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities		570		570
Corporate bonds		779		779
Pooled funds		9		9
Cash equivalents and other	59	888		947
Trust-owned life insurance				
Special situations				
Real estate investments	391		2,287	2,678
Private equity			1,335	1,335
Total	\$5,878	\$9,206	\$ 3,622	\$18,706
Liabilities:				
Derivatives	(22)			(22)
Total	\$5,856	\$9,206	\$ 3,622	\$18,684

* Level 1 securities consist of actively traded stocks while Level 2 securities consist of pooled funds. Management believes that the portfolio is well-diversified with no

significant
concentrations
of risk.

Changes in the fair value measurement of the Level 3 items in the other postretirement benefit plan assets valued using significant unobservable inputs for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

	2009		2008	
	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity	Real Estate Investments	Private Equity
Beginning balance	\$2,287	\$ 1,335	\$2,755	\$ 1,371
Actual return on investments:				
Related to investments held at year end	(676)	87	(372)	(328)
Related to investments sold during the year	(171)	28	10	65
Total return on investments	(847)	115	(362)	(263)
Purchases, sales, and settlements	35	47	(106)	227
Transfers into/out of Level 3				
Ending balance	\$1,475	\$ 1,497	\$2,287	\$ 1,335

The fair values presented above are prepared in accordance with applicable accounting standards regarding fair value. For purposes of determining the fair value of the pension plan assets and the appropriate level designation, management relies on information provided by the plan's trustee. This information is reviewed and evaluated by management with changes made to the trustee information as appropriate.

Securities for which the activity is observable on an active market or traded exchange are categorized as Level 1. Fixed income securities classified as Level 2 are valued using matrix pricing, a common model using observable inputs. Domestic and international equity securities classified as Level 2 consist of pooled funds where the value is not quoted on an exchange but where the value is determined using observable inputs from the market. Securities that are valued using unobservable inputs are classified as Level 3 and include investments in real estate and investments in limited partnerships. The Company invests (through the pension plan trustee) directly in the limited partnerships which then invest in various types of funds or various private entities within a fund. The fair value

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

of the limited partnerships' investments is based on audited annual capital accounts statements which are generally prepared on a fair value basis. The Company also relies on the fact that, in most instances, the underlying assets held by the limited partnerships are reported at fair value. External investment managers typically send valuations to both the custodian and to the Company within 90 days of quarter end. The custodian reports the most recent value available and adjusts the value for cash flows since the statement date for each respective fund.

Amounts recognized in the balance sheets related to the Company's other postretirement benefit plans consist of the following:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Other regulatory assets, deferred	\$ 14,332	\$ 20,491
Employee benefit obligations	(63,482)	(66,110)

Presented below are the amounts included in regulatory assets at December 31, 2009 and 2008 related to the other postretirement benefit plans that had not yet been recognized in net periodic postretirement benefit cost along with the estimated amortization of such amounts for 2010.

	Prior Service Cost	Net (Gain) Loss	Transition Obligation
	<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Balance at December 31, 2009:			
Regulatory assets	\$(1,107)	\$14,811	\$ 628
Balance at December 31, 2008:			
Regulatory assets	\$ 1,054	\$18,020	\$1,417
Estimated amortization as net periodic postretirement benefit cost in 2010:			
Regulatory assets	\$ (57)	\$ 403	\$ 228

The changes in the balance of regulatory assets related to the other postretirement benefit plans for the plan year ended December 31, 2009 and the 15 months ended December 31, 2008 are presented in the following table:

	Regulatory Assets
	<i>(in thousands)</i>
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 17,217
Net loss	4,607
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(433)
Amortization of prior service costs	(132)
Amortization of net gain	(768)

Total reclassification adjustments	(1,333)
Total change	3,274
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 20,491
Net gain	(2,648)
Change in prior service costs/transition obligation	(2,592)
Reclassification adjustments:	
Amortization of transition obligation	(307)
Amortization of prior service costs	(51)
Amortization of net gain	(561)
Total reclassification adjustments	(919)
Total change	(6,159)
Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 14,332

II-360

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Components of the other postretirement benefit plans net periodic cost were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Service cost	\$ 1,328	\$ 1,396	\$ 1,372
Interest cost	5,535	5,199	5,254
Expected return on plan assets	(1,783)	(1,805)	(1,673)
Net amortization	919	1,066	1,633
Net postretirement cost	\$ 5,999	\$ 5,856	\$ 6,586

The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (Medicare Act) provides a 28% prescription drug subsidy for Medicare eligible retirees. The effect of the subsidy reduced the Company's expenses for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 by approximately \$1.7 million, \$1.8 million, and \$1.8 million, respectively.

Future benefit payments, including prescription drug benefits, reflect expected future service and are estimated based on assumptions used to measure the accumulated benefit obligation for the postretirement plans. Estimated benefit payments are reduced by drug subsidy receipts expected as a result of the Medicare Act as follows:

	Benefit Payments	Subsidy Receipts	Total
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
2010	\$ 4,731	\$ (520)	\$ 4,211
2011	5,157	(583)	4,574
2012	5,520	(663)	4,857
2013	5,943	(730)	5,213
2014	6,217	(821)	5,396
2015 to 2019	35,141	(5,395)	29,746

Actuarial Assumptions

The weighted average rates assumed in the actuarial calculations used to determine both the benefit obligations as of the measurement date and the net periodic costs for the pension and other postretirement benefit plans for the following year are presented below. Net periodic benefit costs were calculated in 2006 for the 2007 plan year using a discount rate of 6.00% and an annual salary increase of 3.50%.

	2009	2008	2007
Discount rate:			
Pension plans	5.92%	6.75%	6.30%
Other postretirement benefit plans	5.83	6.75	6.30
Annual salary increase	4.18	3.75	3.75
Long-term return on plan assets:			
Pension plans	8.50	8.50	8.50
Other postretirement benefit plans	7.62	7.85	7.77

The Company estimates the expected rate of return on pension plan and other postretirement benefit plan assets using a financial model to project the expected return on each current investment portfolio. The analysis projects an expected rate of return on each of seven different asset classes in order to arrive at the expected return on the entire

portfolio relying on each trust's target asset allocation and reasonable capital market assumptions. The financial model is based on four key inputs: anticipated returns by asset class (based in part on historical returns), each trust's asset allocation, an anticipated inflation rate, and the projected impact of a periodic rebalancing of each trust's portfolio.

II-361

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

An additional assumption used in measuring the APBO was a weighted average medical care cost trend rate of 8.50% for 2010, decreasing gradually to 5.25% through the year 2016 and remaining at that level thereafter. An annual increase or decrease in the assumed medical care cost trend rate of 1% would affect the APBO and the service and interest cost components at December 31, 2009 as follows:

	1 Percent Increase	1 Percent Decrease
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Benefit obligation	\$5,025	\$4,571
Service and interest costs	398	404

Employee Savings Plan

The Company also sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering substantially all employees. The Company provides an 85% matching contribution up to 6% of an employee's base salary. Total matching contributions made to the plan for 2009, 2008, and 2007 were \$3.9 million, \$3.7 million, and \$3.5 million, respectively.

3. CONTINGENCIES AND REGULATORY MATTERS**General Litigation Matters**

The Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment such as regulation of air emissions and water discharges. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

Environmental Matters***New Source Review Actions***

In November 1999, the EPA brought a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia against certain Southern Company subsidiaries, including Alabama Power and Georgia Power, alleging that these subsidiaries had violated the New Source Review (NSR) provisions of the Clean Air Act and related state laws at certain coal-fired generating facilities. These actions were filed concurrently with the issuance of notices of violations to the Company with respect to the Company's Plant Watson. After Alabama Power was dismissed from the original action, the EPA filed a separate action in January 2001 against Alabama Power in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. In these lawsuits, the EPA alleges that NSR violations occurred at eight coal-fired generating facilities operated by Alabama Power and Georgia Power, including one facility co-owned by the Company. The civil actions request penalties and injunctive relief, including an order requiring installation of the best available control technology at the affected units. In early 2000, the EPA filed a motion to amend its complaint to add the Company as a defendant based on the allegations in the notices of violation. However, in March 2001, the court denied the motion based on lack of jurisdiction, and the EPA has not re-filed. The original action, now solely against Georgia Power, has been administratively closed since the spring of 2001, and the case has not been reopened.

In June 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama entered a consent decree between Alabama Power and the EPA, resolving a portion of the Alabama Power lawsuit relating to the alleged NSR violations at Plant Miller. In July 2008, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granted partial summary judgment in favor of Alabama Power with respect to its other affected units regarding the proper legal test for determining

whether projects are routine maintenance, repair, and replacement and therefore are excluded from NSR permitting. The decision did not resolve the case, which remains ongoing.

The Company believes that it complied with applicable laws and the EPA regulations and interpretations in effect at the time the work in question took place. The Clean Air Act authorizes maximum civil penalties of \$25,000 to \$37,500 per day, per violation at each

II-362

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

generating unit, depending on the date of the alleged violation. An adverse outcome in either of these cases could require substantial capital expenditures or affect the timing of currently budgeted capital expenditures that cannot be determined at this time and could possibly require payment of substantial penalties. Such expenditures could affect future results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through regulated rates.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***New York Case***

In July 2004, three environmental groups and attorneys general from eight states, each outside of Southern Company's service territory, and the corporation counsel for New York City filed complaints in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York against Southern Company and four other electric power companies. The complaints allege that the companies' emissions of carbon dioxide, a greenhouse gas, contribute to global warming, which the plaintiffs assert is a public nuisance. Under common law public and private nuisance theories, the plaintiffs seek a judicial order (1) holding each defendant jointly and severally liable for creating, contributing to, and/or maintaining global warming and (2) requiring each of the defendants to cap its emissions of carbon dioxide and then reduce those emissions by a specified percentage each year for at least a decade. The plaintiffs have not, however, requested that damages be awarded in connection with their claims. Southern Company believes these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. In September 2005, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York granted Southern Company's and the other defendants' motions to dismiss these cases. The plaintiffs filed an appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit in October 2005 and, on September 21, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed the district court's ruling, vacating the dismissal of the plaintiffs' claim, and remanding the case to the district court. On November 5, 2009, the defendants, including Southern Company, sought rehearing en banc, and the court's ruling is subject to potential appeal. Therefore, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Kivalina Case

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original

matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Remediation

The Company must comply with other environmental laws and regulations that cover the handling and disposal of waste and releases of hazardous substances. Under these various laws and regulations, the Company may also incur substantial costs to clean up

II-363

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

properties. The Company has authority from the Mississippi PSC to recover approved environmental compliance costs through regulatory mechanisms.

In 2003, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) designated the Company as a potentially responsible party at a site in Texas. The site was owned by an electric transformer company that handled the Company's transformers as well as those of many other entities. The site owner is now in bankruptcy and the State of Texas has entered into an agreement with the Company and several other utilities to investigate and remediate the site. Amounts expensed during 2007, 2008, and 2009 related to this work were not material. Hundreds of entities have received notices from the TCEQ requesting their participation in the anticipated site remediation. The final impact of this matter on the Company will depend upon further environmental assessment and the ultimate number of potentially responsible parties. The remediation expenses incurred by the Company are expected to be recovered through the Environmental Compliance Overview (ECO) Plan.

The final outcome of these matters cannot now be determined. However, based on the currently known conditions at these sites and the nature and extent of activities relating to these sites, the Company does not believe that additional liabilities, if any, at these sites would be material to the financial statements.

FERC Matters***Market-Based Rate Authority***

The Company has authorization from the FERC to sell power to non-affiliates, including short-term opportunity sales, at market-based prices. Specific FERC approval must be obtained with respect to a market-based contract with an affiliate.

In December 2004, the FERC initiated a proceeding to assess Southern Company's generation market power within its retail service territory. The ability to charge market-based rates in other markets is not an issue in the proceeding. Any new market-based rate sales by the Company in Southern Company's retail service territory entered into during a 15-month refund period that ended in May 2006 could have been subject to refund to a cost-based rate level.

On December 23, 2009, Southern Company and the FERC trial staff reached an agreement in principle that would resolve the proceeding in its entirety. The agreement does not reflect any finding or suggestion that the Company possesses or has exercised any market power. The agreement likewise does not require the Company to make any refunds related to sales during the 15-month refund period. Under the agreement, the Company will donate \$0.1 million to nonprofit organizations in the State of Mississippi for the purpose of offsetting the electricity bills of low-income retail customers. The agreement is subject to review and approval by the FERC.

Intercompany Interchange Contract

The Company's generation fleet is operated under the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the FERC. In May 2005, the FERC initiated a new proceeding to examine (1) the provisions of the IIC among the traditional operating companies (including the Company), Southern Power, and Southern Company Services, Inc., as agent, under the terms of which the power pool of Southern Company is operated, (2) whether any parties to the IIC have violated the FERC's standards of conduct applicable to utility companies that are transmission providers, and (3) whether Southern Company's code of conduct defining Southern Power as a system company rather than a marketing affiliate is just and reasonable. In connection with the formation of Southern Power, the FERC authorized Southern Power's inclusion in the IIC in 2000. The FERC also previously approved Southern Company's code of conduct.

In October 2006, the FERC issued an order accepting a settlement resolving the proceeding subject to Southern Company's agreement to accept certain modifications to the settlement's terms. Southern Company notified the FERC that it accepted the modifications. The modifications largely involve functional separation and information restrictions related to marketing activities conducted on behalf of Southern Power. In November 2006, Southern Company filed with the FERC a compliance plan in connection with the order. In April 2007, the FERC approved, with certain modifications, the plan submitted by Southern Company. Implementation of the plan did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In November 2007, Southern Company notified the FERC that the plan had been implemented. In December 2008, the FERC division of audits issued for public comment its final audit report

pertaining to compliance implementation and related matters. No comments were submitted challenging the audit report's findings of Southern Company's compliance. The proceeding remains open pending a decision from the FERC regarding the audit report.

II-364

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Wholesale Rate Filing***

In August 2008, the Company filed with the FERC a request for revised wholesale electric tariff and rates. Prior to making this filing, the Company reached a settlement with all of its customers who take service under the tariff. This settlement agreement was filed with the FERC as part of the request. The settlement agreement provided for an increase in annual base wholesale revenues in the amount of \$5.8 million, effective January 1, 2009. In addition, the settlement agreement allows the Company to increase its annual accrual for the wholesale portion of property damage to \$303,000 per year, to defer any property damage costs prudently incurred in excess of the wholesale property damage reserve balance, and to defer the wholesale portion of the generation screening and evaluation costs associated with the integrated coal gasification combined cycle (IGCC) project to be located in Kemper County Mississippi. The settlement agreement also provided that the Company will not seek a change in wholesale full-requirements rates before November 1, 2010, except for changes associated with the fuel adjustment clause and the ECM, changes associated with property damages that exceed the amount in the wholesale property damage reserve, and changes associated with costs and expenses associated with environmental requirements affecting fossil fuel generating facilities. In October 2008, the Company received notice that the FERC had accepted the filing effective November 1, 2008, and the revised monthly charges were applied beginning January 1, 2009. As result of the order, the Company reclassified \$9.3 million of previously expensed generation screening and evaluation costs to a regulatory asset. See *Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle* herein for additional information.

Right of Way Litigation

Southern Company and certain of its subsidiaries, including the Company, have been named as defendants in numerous lawsuits brought by landowners since 2001. The plaintiffs' lawsuits claim that defendants may not use, or sublease to third parties, some or all of the fiber optic communications lines on the rights of way that cross the plaintiffs' properties and that such actions exceed the easements or other property rights held by defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for, among other things, trespass and unjust enrichment and seek compensatory and punitive damages and injunctive relief. Management of the Company believes that it has complied with applicable laws and that the plaintiffs' claims are without merit.

To date, the Company has entered into agreements with plaintiffs in approximately 95% of the actions pending against the Company to clarify the Company's easement rights in the State of Mississippi. These agreements have been approved by the Circuit Courts of Harrison County and Jasper County, Mississippi (First Judicial Circuit), and the related cases have been dismissed. These agreements have not resulted in any material effects on the Company's financial statements.

In addition, in late 2001, certain subsidiaries of Southern Company, including the Company, were named as defendants in a lawsuit brought in Troup County, Georgia, Superior Court by Interstate Fibernet, Inc., a subsidiary of telecommunications company ITC DeltaCom, Inc. that uses certain of the defendants' rights of way. This lawsuit alleges, among other things, that the defendants are contractually obligated to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless the telecommunications company from any liability that may be assessed against it in pending and future right of way litigation. The Company believes that the plaintiff's claims are without merit. In the fall of 2004, the trial court stayed the case until resolution of the underlying landowner litigation discussed above. In January 2005, the Georgia Court of Appeals dismissed the telecommunications company's appeal of the trial court's order for lack of jurisdiction. An adverse outcome in this matter, combined with an adverse outcome against the telecommunications company in one or more of the right of way lawsuits, could result in substantial judgments; however, the final outcome of these matters cannot now be determined.

Retail Regulatory Matters***Performance Evaluation Plan***

The Company's retail base rates are set under the Performance Evaluation Plan (PEP), a rate plan approved by the Mississippi PSC. PEP was designed with the objective that PEP would reduce the impact of rate changes on the customer and provide incentives for the Company to keep customer prices low and customer satisfaction and reliability high. PEP is a mechanism for rate adjustments based on three indicators: price, customer satisfaction, and

service reliability.

In May 2004, the Mississippi PSC approved the Company's request to modify certain portions of the PEP and to reclassify to jurisdictional cost of service the 266 MWs of Plant Daniel Units 3 and 4 capacity, effective January 1, 2004. The Mississippi PSC authorized the Company to include the related costs and revenue credits in jurisdictional rate base, cost of service, and revenue requirement calculations for purposes of retail rate recovery. The Company amortized the regulatory liability pursuant to the

II-365

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Mississippi PSC's order, over a four-year period, resulting in increases to earnings in each of those years. The final amortization of \$5.7 million occurred in 2007.

In addition, in May 2004, the Mississippi PSC approved the Company's requested changes to PEP, including the use of a forward-looking test year, with appropriate oversight; annual, rather than semi-annual, filings; and certain changes to the performance indicator mechanisms. Rate changes are limited to 4% of retail revenues annually under the revised PEP. PEP will remain in effect until the Mississippi PSC modifies, suspends, or terminates the plan. In the May 2004 order, the Mississippi PSC ordered that the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff and the Company review the operations of the PEP in 2007. By mutual agreement, this review was deferred until 2008 and continued into 2009. On March 2, 2009, concurrent with this review, the annual PEP evaluation filing for 2009 was suspended. On August 3, 2009, the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff and the Company filed a joint report with the Mississippi PSC proposing several changes to the PEP. On November 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC approved the revised PEP, which resulted in a lower performance incentive under the PEP and therefore smaller and/or less frequent rate changes in the future. On November 16, 2009, the Company resumed annual evaluations and filed its annual PEP filing for 2010 under the revised PEP, which resulted in a lower allowed return on investment but no rate change.

In April 2007, the Mississippi PSC issued an order allowing the Company to defer certain reliability-related maintenance costs beginning January 1, 2007 and recover them evenly over a four-year period beginning January 1, 2008. These costs related to maintenance that was needed as follow-up to emergency repairs that were made subsequent to Hurricane Katrina. At December 31, 2007, the Company had incurred and deferred the retail portion of \$9.5 million of such costs. At December 31, 2009, the Company had a balance of the deferred retail portion of \$4.7 million with \$2.3 million included in current assets as other regulatory assets and \$2.4 million included in long-term other regulatory assets.

In September 2007, the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff and the Company entered into a stipulation that included adjustments to expenses which resulted in a one-time credit to retail customers of approximately \$1.1 million. In November 2007, the Mississippi PSC issued an order requiring the Company to refund this amount to its retail customers no later than December 2007. This amount was totally refunded as a credit to customer bills by December 31, 2007.

In December 2007, the Company submitted its annual PEP filing for 2008, which resulted in a rate increase of 1.983% or \$15.5 million annually, effective January 2008. In December 2006, the Company submitted its annual PEP filing for 2007, which resulted in no rate change.

In December 2007, the Company received an order from the Mississippi PSC requiring it to defer \$1.4 million associated with the retail portion of certain tax credits and adjustments related to permanent differences pertaining to its 2006 income tax returns filed in September 2007. These tax differences were recorded in a regulatory liability included in the current portion of other regulatory liabilities and were amortized ratably over the 12-month period beginning January 2008. The amortization of \$1.4 million is included in income taxes on the statement of income for 2008.

On March 16, 2009, the Company submitted its annual PEP lookback filing for 2008, which recommended no surcharge or refund. At the conclusion of the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff's review of the PEP lookback filing for 2008, the Company and Mississippi Public Utilities Staff jointly submitted a stipulation to the Mississippi PSC which recommended no surcharge or refund.

System Restoration Rider

In September 2006, the Company filed with the Mississippi PSC a request to implement a SRR to increase the Company's cap on the property damage reserve and to authorize the calculation of an annual property damage accrual based on a formula. The purpose of the SRR is to provide for recovery of costs associated with property damage (including certain property insurance and the costs of self insurance) and to facilitate the Mississippi PSC's review of these costs. The Company would be required to make annual SRR filings to determine the revenue requirement associated with the property damage. In November 2007, the Company along with the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff agreed and stipulated to a revised SRR calculation method that would no longer require the Mississippi PSC to

set a cap on the property damage reserve or to authorize the calculation of an annual property damage accrual. Under the revised SRR calculation method, the Mississippi PSC would periodically agree on SRR revenue levels that would be developed based on historical data, expected exposure, type and amount of insurance coverage excluding insurance costs, and other relevant information.

II-366

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

On January 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order accepting the stipulation and the revised SRR calculation method. The applicable SRR rate level will be adjusted every three years, unless a significant change in circumstances occurs such that the Company and the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff or the Mississippi PSC deems that a more frequent change would be appropriate. The Company will submit annual filings setting forth SRR-related revenues, expenses, and investment for the projected filing period, as well as the true-up for the prior period. As a result, the December 2008 retail regulatory liability of \$6.8 million was reclassified to the property damage reserve. On February 2, 2009, the Company submitted its 2009 SRR rate filing with the Mississippi PSC, which proposed that the 2009 SRR rate level remain at zero and the Company be allowed to accrue approximately \$4.0 million to the property damage reserve in 2009. On September 10, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order requiring the Company to develop SRR factors designed to reduce SRR revenue by approximately \$1.5 million from November 2009 to March 2010 under the new rate. On January 29, 2010, the Company submitted its 2010 SRR rate filing with the Mississippi PSC, which proposed that the Company be allowed to accrue approximately \$3.0 million to the property damage reserve in 2010. The final outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

Environmental Compliance Overview Plan

On February 12, 2010, the Company submitted its 2010 ECO Plan notice which proposed an increase in annual revenues for the Company of approximately \$3.9 million. In its 2010 ECO filing, the Company is proposing to change the true-up provision of the ECO rate schedule to consider actual revenues collected in addition to actual costs. The final outcome of this matter cannot now be determined. On February 3, 2009, the Company submitted its 2009 ECO Plan notice which proposed an increase in annual revenues for the Company of approximately \$1.5 million. On June 19, 2009, the Mississippi PSC approved the ECO Plan with the new rates effective June 2009. In February 2008, the Company filed with the Mississippi PSC its annual ECO Plan evaluation for 2008. After the filing of the ECO Plan evaluation in February 2008, the regulations addressing mercury emissions were altered by a decision issued by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in February 2008. In April 2008, the Company filed with the Mississippi PSC a supplemental ECO Plan evaluation in which the projects included in the ECO Plan evaluation in February 2008 being undertaken primarily for mercury control were removed. In this supplemental ECO Plan filing, the Company requested a 15 cent per 1,000 kilowatt-hour decrease for retail residential customers. The Mississippi PSC approved the supplemental ECO Plan evaluation in June 2008, with the new rates effective in June 2008.

Fuel Cost Recovery

The Company establishes, annually, a retail fuel cost recovery factor that is approved by the Mississippi PSC. The Company is required to file for an adjustment to the retail fuel cost recovery factor annually; such filing occurred on November 16, 2009. The Mississippi PSC approved the retail fuel cost recovery factor on December 15, 2009, with the new rates effective in January 2010. The retail fuel cost recovery factor will result in an annual decrease in an amount equal to 11.3% of total 2009 retail revenue. At December 31, 2009, the amount of over recovered retail fuel cost included in the balance sheets was \$29.4 million compared to \$36.0 million under recovered at December 31, 2008. The Company also has a wholesale Municipal and Rural Associations (MRA) and a Market Based (MB) fuel cost recovery factor. Effective January 1, 2010, the wholesale MRA fuel rate decreased, resulting in an annual decrease in an amount equal to 20.9% of total 2009 MRA revenue. Effective February 1, 2010, the wholesale MB fuel rate decreased, resulting in an annual decrease in an amount equal to 16.9% of total 2009 MB revenue. At December 31, 2009, the amount of over recovered wholesale MRA and MB fuel costs included in the balance sheets was \$16.8 million and \$2.4 million compared to \$15.4 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, under recovered at December 31, 2008. The Company's operating revenues are adjusted for differences in actual recoverable fuel cost and amounts billed in accordance with the currently approved cost recovery rate. Accordingly, this decrease to the billing factor will have no significant effect on the Company's revenues or net income, but will decrease annual cash flow. In October 2008, the Mississippi PSC opened a docket to investigate and review interest and carrying charges under the fuel adjustment clause for utilities within the State of Mississippi including the Company. On March 4, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order to apply the prime rate in calculating the carrying costs on the retail over or under

recovery balances related to fuel cost recovery. On May 20, 2009, the Company filed the carrying cost calculation methodology as part of its compliance filing.

In August 2009, the Mississippi PSC engaged an independent professional audit firm to conduct an audit of the Company's fuel-related expenditures included in the fuel adjustment clause and energy cost management clause of 2008 and 2009. The audit was completed in December 2009. There were no audit findings identified in the audit.

II-367

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report*****Storm Damage Cost Recovery***

In August 2005, Hurricane Katrina hit the Gulf Coast of the United States and caused significant damage within the Company's service area. The estimated total storm restoration costs relating to Hurricane Katrina through December 31, 2007 of \$302.4 million, which was net of expected insurance proceeds of approximately \$77 million, without offset for the property damage reserve of \$3.0 million, was affirmed by the Mississippi PSC in June 2006, and the Company was ordered to establish a regulatory asset for the retail portion. The Mississippi PSC issued an order directing the Company to file an application with the MDA for a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG). In October 2006, the Company received from the MDA a CDBG in the amount of \$276.4 million, which was allocated to both the retail and wholesale jurisdictions. In the same month, the Mississippi PSC issued a financing order that authorized the issuance of system restoration bonds for the remaining \$25.2 million of the retail portion of storm recovery costs not covered by the CDBG. These funds were received in June 2007. The Company affirmed the \$302.4 million total storm costs incurred as of December 31, 2007. On March 2, 2009, the Company filed with the Mississippi PSC its final accounting of the restoration cost relating to Hurricane Katrina and the storm operations center. The final net retail receivable of approximately \$3.2 million is expected to be recovered in 2010.

Integrated Coal Gasification Combined Cycle

On January 16, 2009, the Company filed for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity with the Mississippi PSC to allow construction of a new electric generating plant located in Kemper County, Mississippi. The plant would utilize an IGCC technology with an output capacity of 582 MWs. The Kemper IGCC will use locally mined lignite (an abundant, lower heating value coal) from a proposed mine adjacent to the plant as fuel. This certificate, if approved by the Mississippi PSC, would authorize the Company to acquire, construct, and operate the Kemper IGCC and related facilities. The Kemper IGCC, subject to federal and state reviews and certain regulatory approvals, is expected to begin commercial operation in May 2014. As part of its filing, the Company has requested certain rate recovery treatment in accordance with the State of Mississippi Baseload Act of 2008.

The Company filed an application in June 2006 with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) for certain tax credits available to projects using clean coal technologies under the Energy Policy Act of 2005. The DOE subsequently certified the Kemper IGCC, and in November 2006 the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) allocated Internal Revenue Code Section 48A tax credits of \$133 million to the Company. On May 11, 2009, the Company received notification from the IRS formally certifying these tax credits. The utilization of these credits is dependent upon meeting the certification requirements for the Kemper IGCC, including an in-service date no later than May 2014. The Company has secured all environmental reviews and permits necessary to commence construction of the Kemper IGCC and has entered into a binding contract for the steam turbine generator, completing two milestone requirements for the Section 48A credits.

In February 2008, the Company also requested that the DOE transfer the remaining funds previously granted to a cancelled Southern Company project that would have been located in Orlando, Florida. In December 2008, an agreement was reached to assign the remaining funds to the Kemper IGCC. The estimated construction cost of the Kemper IGCC is approximately \$2.4 billion, which is net of \$245 million related to funding to be received from the DOE related to project construction. The remaining DOE funding of \$25 million is projected to be used for demonstration over the first few years of operation.

On April 6, 2009, the Governor of the State of Mississippi signed into law a bill that will provide an ad valorem tax exemption for a portion of the assessed value of all property utilized in certain electric generating facilities with integrated gasification process facilities. This tax exemption, which may not exceed 50% of the total value of the project, is for projects with a capital investment from private sources of \$1 billion or more. The Company expects the Kemper IGCC, including the gasification portion, to be a qualifying project under the law.

Beginning in December 2006, the Mississippi PSC has approved the Company's requested accounting treatment to defer the costs associated with the Company's generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening activities as a regulatory asset. In December 2008, the Company requested an amendment to its original order that would allow these costs to continue to be charged to and remain in a regulatory asset until January 1, 2010. On April 6, 2009, the

Company received an accounting order from the Mississippi PSC directing the Company to continue to charge all generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening costs to regulatory assets including those costs associated with activities to obtain a certificate of public convenience and necessity and costs necessary and prudent to preserve the availability, economic viability, and/or required schedule of the Kemper IGCC generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening activities until the Mississippi PSC makes findings and determination as to the recovery of the Company's prudent expenditures. The Mississippi PSC's determination of prudence for the Company's pre-construction costs is scheduled to occur by May 2010. As of December 31, 2009, the Company had spent a total of \$73.5 million associated with the Company's

II-368

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

generation resource planning, evaluation, and screening activities, including regulatory filing costs. Costs incurred for the year ended December 31, 2009 totaled \$31.2 million as compared to \$24.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Of the total \$73.5 million, \$68.5 million was deferred in other regulatory assets, \$4.0 million was related to land purchases capitalized, and \$1.0 million was expensed.

On June 5, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order initiating an evaluation of the Kemper IGCC and establishing a two-phase procedural schedule. On August 4, 2009, the Mississippi PSC ordered a two-part hearing process to evaluate the need for and the resources and cost of the new generating capacity separately. On November 9, 2009, the Mississippi PSC issued an order that found the Company has a demonstrated need for additional capacity of approximately 304 MWs to 1,276 MWs based on an analysis of expected load forecasts, costs, and anticipated retirements. Hearings related to the appropriate resource to meet that need as well as cost recovery of that resource through application of the State of Mississippi's Baseload Act of 2008 were held in February 2010. A decision on the resources and cost recovery is expected to be made by May 1, 2010.

On September 15, 2009, South Mississippi Electric Power Association (SMEPA) signed a non-binding letter of intent to explore the acquisition of an interest in the Kemper IGCC. The Company and SMEPA are evaluating a combination of a joint ownership arrangement and a power purchase agreement which would provide SMEPA with up to 20% of the capacity and associated energy output from the Kemper IGCC.

The final outcome of this matter cannot now be determined.

4. JOINT OWNERSHIP AGREEMENTS

The Company and Alabama Power own, as tenants in common, Units 1 and 2 (total capacity of 500 MWs) at Greene County Steam Plant, which is located in Alabama and operated by Alabama Power. Additionally, the Company and Gulf Power, own as tenants in common, Units 1 and 2 (total capacity of 1,000 MWs) at Plant Daniel, which is located in Mississippi and operated by the Company.

At December 31, 2009, the Company's percentage ownership and investment in these jointly owned facilities were as follows:

Generating Plant	Percent Ownership	Gross Investment	Accumulated Depreciation
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Greene County Units 1 and 2	40%	\$ 85,498	\$ 42,068
Daniel Units 1 and 2	50%	\$274,415	\$ 139,608

The Company's proportionate share of plant operating expenses is included in the statements of income and the Company is responsible for its own financing.

5. INCOME TAXES

Southern Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and combined income tax returns for the State of Alabama and the State of Mississippi. Under a joint consolidated income tax allocation agreement, each subsidiary's current and deferred tax expense is computed on a stand-alone basis and no subsidiary is allocated more expense than would be paid if it filed a separate income tax return. In accordance with IRS regulations, each company is jointly and severally liable for the tax liability.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Current and Deferred Income Taxes**

Details of the income tax provisions were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Federal			
Current	\$ 77,619	\$ 20,834	\$ 79,127
Deferred	(32,980)	22,054	(34,524)
	44,639	42,888	44,603
State			
Current	12,444	2,675	9,274
Deferred	(6,869)	2,786	(2,047)
	5,575	5,461	7,227
Total	\$ 50,214	\$ 48,349	\$ 51,830

The tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their respective tax bases, which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Deferred tax liabilities		
Accelerated depreciation	\$ 279,683	\$ 261,091
Basis differences	19,730	29,089
Fuel clause under recovered		25,534
Energy cost management clause under recovered	25,232	
Regulatory assets associated with asset retirement obligations	6,876	7,100
Regulatory assets associated with employee benefit obligations	43,535	37,003
Other	21,679	20,915
Total	396,735	380,732
Deferred tax assets		
Federal effect of state deferred taxes	8,979	10,724
Fuel clause over recovered	44,009	
Energy cost management clause over recovered		2,264
Other property basis differences	7,367	7,338
Pension and other benefits	64,553	56,024
Property insurance	22,365	21,997
Unbilled fuel	12,194	10,400
Long-term service agreement	21,317	16,595
Asset retirement obligations	6,876	7,100
Other	18,246	17,758

Total	205,906	150,200
Total deferred tax liabilities, net	190,829	230,532
Portion included in (accrued) prepaid income taxes, net	32,237	(8,208)
Accumulated deferred income taxes	\$ 223,066	\$ 222,324

II-370

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009, the tax-related regulatory assets and liabilities were \$9.0 million and \$14.9 million, respectively. These assets are attributable to tax benefits flowed through to customers in prior years and to taxes applicable to capitalized interest. These liabilities are attributable to deferred taxes previously recognized at rates higher than the current enacted tax law and to unamortized investment tax credits.

In accordance with regulatory requirements, deferred investment tax credits are amortized over the lives of the related property with such amortization normally applied as a credit to reduce depreciation in the statements of income. Credits amortized in this manner amounted to \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million, and \$1.1 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2009, all investment tax credits available to reduce federal income taxes payable had been utilized.

Effective Tax Rate

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income taxes determined by applying the applicable U.S. federal statutory rate to earnings before income taxes and preferred dividends as a result of the following:

	2009	2008	2007
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax, net of federal deduction	2.7	2.6	3.0
Non-deductible book depreciation	0.3	0.3	0.3
Production activities deduction	(1.1)	(0.4)	(0.5)
Medicare subsidy	(0.4)	(0.5)	(0.5)
Amortization of permanent tax items ^(a)	0.0	(0.7)	
Other	0.2	(0.8)	0.4
Effective income tax rate	36.7%	35.5%	37.7%

^(a) Amortization of Regulatory Liability Tax Credits. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Performance Evaluation Plan.

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in the Internal Revenue Code Section 199 (production activities deduction). The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. The IRS has not clearly defined a methodology for calculating this deduction. However, Southern Company reached an agreement with the IRS on a calculation methodology and signed a closing agreement in December 2008. Therefore, in 2008, the Company reversed the unrecognized tax benefit related to the calculation methodology and adjusted the deduction for all previous years to conform to the agreement which resulted in a decrease in the 2008 deduction when compared to the 2007 deduction. Certain aspects of the production activities deduction remain unresolved. The net impact of the reversal of the unrecognized tax benefits combined with the application of the new methodology had no material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

For 2009, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits increased by \$1.2 million, resulting in a balance of \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2009.

Changes during the year in unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$ 1,772	\$ 935	\$ 656
Tax positions from current periods	1,309	653	177
Tax positions from prior periods	(55)	265	102
Reductions due to settlements		(81)	
Reductions due to expired statute of limitations			
Balance at end of year	\$ 3,026	\$ 1,772	\$ 935

II-371

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The tax positions from current periods increase for 2009 relate primarily to the production activities deduction tax position and other miscellaneous uncertain tax positions. The tax positions increase from prior periods for 2009 relates primarily to the production activities deduction tax position. See **Effective Tax Rate** above for additional information. Impact on the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized, is as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Tax positions impacting the effective tax rate	\$3,026	\$1,772	\$935
Tax positions not impacting the effective tax rate			
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits	\$3,026	\$1,772	\$935

Accrued interest for unrecognized tax benefits was as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Interest accrued at beginning of year	\$203	\$106	\$37
Interest reclassified due to settlements		(17)	
Interest accrued during the year	27	114	69
Balance at end of year	\$230	\$203	\$106

The Company classifies interest on tax uncertainties as interest expense. The Company did not accrue any penalties on uncertain tax positions.

It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized benefit with respect to a majority of the Company's unrecognized tax positions will significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months. The possible conclusion or settlement of state audits could impact the balances significantly. At this time, an estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes cannot be determined.

The IRS has audited and closed all tax returns prior to 2004. The audits for the state returns have either been concluded, or the statute of limitations has expired, for years prior to 2006.

6. FINANCING**Bank Term Loans**

In 2008, the Company borrowed \$80 million under a three-year term loan agreement. The proceeds were used for general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program.

Senior Notes

In March 2009, the Company issued \$125 million of Series 2009A 5.55% Senior Notes due March 1, 2019. Proceeds were used to repay at maturity the Company's \$40.0 million aggregate principal amount of Series F Floating Rate Senior Notes due March 9, 2009, to repay a portion of its short-term indebtedness and for general corporate purposes, including the Company's continuous construction program. In November 2008, the Company issued \$50.0 million of Series 2008A 6.00% Senior Notes due November 15, 2013. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company had a total of \$330 million and \$245 million, respectively, of senior notes outstanding.

Securities Due Within One Year

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company has scheduled maturities of capital leases due within one year of \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008, the Company also had senior notes of \$40.0 million due within one year.

Maturities through 2013 applicable to total long-term debt are as follows: \$1.3 million in 2010; \$81.4 million in 2011; \$0.6 million in 2012; and \$50.0 million in 2013. There are no scheduled maturities in 2014.

II-372

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****Pollution Control Revenue Bonds**

Pollution control obligations represent loans to the Company from public authorities of funds derived from sales by such authorities of revenue bonds issued to finance pollution control facilities. The Company is required to make payments sufficient for authorities to meet principal and interest requirements of such bonds. The amount of tax-exempt pollution control revenue bonds outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$82.7 million. In September 2008, the Company was required to purchase a total of approximately \$7.9 million of variable rate pollution control revenue bonds that were tendered by investors. In December 2008, the bonds were successfully remarketed. On the statement of cash flow for 2008, the \$7.9 million is presented as proceeds and redemptions.

Outstanding Classes of Capital Stock

The Company currently has preferred stock (including depositary shares which represent one-fourth of a share of preferred stock) and common stock authorized and outstanding. The preferred stock of the Company contains a feature that allows the holders to elect a majority of the Company's board of directors if dividends are not paid for four consecutive quarters. Because such a potential redemption-triggering event is not solely within the control of the Company, this preferred stock is presented as Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock in a manner consistent with temporary equity under applicable accounting standards. The Company's preferred stock and depositary preferred stock, without preference between classes, rank senior to the Company's common stock with respect to payment of dividends and voluntary or involuntary dissolution. Certain series of the preferred stock and depositary preferred stock are subject to redemption at the option of the Company on or after a specified date (typically five or 10 years after the date of issuance) at a redemption price equal to 100% of the liquidation amount of the stock.

Dividend Restrictions

The Company can only pay dividends to Southern Company out of retained earnings or paid-in-capital.

Bank Credit Arrangements

At the beginning of 2010, the Company had total unused committed credit agreements with banks of \$156 million, all of which expire in 2010. Approximately \$41 million of the facilities contain two-year term loan options and \$15 million contain one-year term loan options. The Company expects to renew its credit facilities, as needed, prior to expiration.

In connection with these credit arrangements, the Company agrees to pay commitment fees based on the unused portions of the commitments or to maintain compensating balances with the banks. Commitment fees average less than 1/4 of 1% for the Company. Compensating balances are not legally restricted from withdrawal.

The credit arrangements contain covenants that limit the ratio of indebtedness to capitalization (each as defined in the arrangements) to 65%. For purposes of these definitions, indebtedness excludes long-term debt payable to affiliated trusts and, in certain cases, other hybrid securities.

In addition, the credit arrangements contain cross default provisions that would trigger an event of default if the Company defaulted on other indebtedness above a specified threshold. At December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants. None of the arrangements contain material adverse change clauses at the time of borrowing.

This \$156 million in unused credit arrangements provides required liquidity support to the Company's borrowings through a commercial paper program. At December 31, 2009, the Company had no commercial paper outstanding. The credit arrangements also provide support to the Company's variable rate tax-exempt pollution control bonds totaling \$40.1 million. During 2009, the peak amount outstanding for short-term debt was \$66.7 million and the average amount outstanding was \$15.9 million. The average annual interest rate on short-term debt was 0.3% for 2009 and 2.6% for 2008.

7. COMMITMENTS**Construction Program**

The Company is engaged in continuous construction programs, currently estimated to total \$472 million in 2010, \$661 million in 2011, and \$1.3 billion in 2012. The construction program is subject to periodic review and revision, and actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of numerous factors. These factors include:

changes in business conditions; revised load growth

II-373

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

estimates; storm impacts; changes in environmental statutes and regulations; changes in FERC rules and regulations; Mississippi PSC approvals; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. In addition, there can be no assurance that costs related to capital expenditures will be fully recovered. At December 31, 2009, significant purchase commitments were outstanding in connection with the construction program. Capital improvements to generating, transmission, and distribution facilities, including those to meet environmental standards, will continue.

Long-Term Service Agreements

The Company has entered into a Long-Term Service Agreement (LTSA) with General Electric (GE) for the purpose of securing maintenance support for the leased combined cycle units at Plant Daniel. The LTSA provides that GE will cover all planned inspections on the covered equipment, which generally includes the cost of all labor and materials. GE is also obligated to cover the costs of unplanned maintenance on the covered equipment subject to limits and scope specified in the LTSA.

In general, the LTSA is in effect through two major inspection cycles of the units. Scheduled payments to GE under the LTSA, which are subject to price escalation, are made monthly based on estimated operating hours of the units and are recognized as expense based on actual hours of operation. The Company has recognized \$13.3 million, \$9.4 million, and \$9.7 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively, which is included in maintenance expense in the statements of income. Remaining payments to GE under the LTSA are currently estimated to total \$121 million over the next 11 years. However, the LTSA contains various cancellation provisions at the option of the Company.

The Company also has entered into a LTSA with Alstom Power, Inc. for the purpose of securing maintenance support for its Chevron Unit 5 combustion turbine plant. In summary, the LTSA stipulates that Alstom Power, Inc. will perform all planned maintenance on the covered equipment, which includes the cost of all labor and materials. Alstom Power, Inc. is also obligated to cover the costs of unplanned maintenance on the covered equipment subject to a limit specified in the LTSA.

In general, this LTSA is in effect through two major inspection cycles. Scheduled payments to Alstom Power, Inc., which are subject to price escalation, are made at various intervals based on actual operating hours of the unit. Payments to Alstom Power, Inc. under the LTSA are currently estimated to total \$22.3 million over the remaining term of the LTSA, which is approximately eight years. However, the LTSA contains various cancellation provisions at the option of the Company. Payments made to Alstom Power, Inc. under the LTSA prior to the performance of any planned maintenance are recorded as a prepayment in the balance sheets. Inspection costs are capitalized or charged to expense based on the nature of the work performed. After the LTSA expires, the Company expects to replace it with a new contract with similar terms.

Fuel Commitments

To supply a portion of the fuel requirements of the generating plants, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the procurement of fossil fuel. In most cases, these contracts contain provisions for price escalations, minimum purchase levels, and other financial commitments. Coal commitments include forward contract purchases for sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions allowances. Natural gas purchase commitments contain fixed volumes with prices based on various indices at the time of delivery; amounts included in the chart below represent estimates based on New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.

Total estimated minimum long-term obligations at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Commitments	
	Natural Gas	Coal
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
2010	\$185,120	\$316,006
2011	154,004	322,858
2012	97,800	111,226
2013	75,708	23,005

2014	61,622	7,800
2015 and thereafter	182,662	
Total	\$756,916	\$ 780,895

Additional commitments for fuel will be required to supply the Company's future needs.

II-374

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

SCS may enter into various types of wholesale energy and natural gas contracts acting as an agent for the Company and the other traditional operating companies and Southern Power. Under these agreements, each of the traditional operating companies and Southern Power may be jointly and severally liable. The creditworthiness of Southern Power is currently inferior to the creditworthiness of the traditional operating companies. Accordingly, Southern Company has entered into keep-well agreements with the Company and each of the other traditional operating companies to ensure the Company will not subsidize or be responsible for any costs, losses, liabilities, or damages resulting from the inclusion of Southern Power as a contracting party under these agreements.

Plant Daniel Combined Cycle Generating Units

In May 2001, the Company began the initial 10-year term of the lease agreement for a 1,064-MW natural gas combined cycle generating facility built at Plant Daniel (Facility). The lease arrangement provided a lower cost alternative to its cost based rate regulated customers than a traditional rate base asset. See Note 3 under Retail Regulatory Matters Performance Evaluation Plan for a description of the Company's formulary rate plan. In 2003, the Facility was acquired by Juniper Capital L.P. (Juniper), whose partners are unaffiliated with the Company. Simultaneously, Juniper entered into a restructured lease agreement with the Company. Juniper has also entered into leases with other parties unrelated to the Company. The assets leased by the Company comprise less than 50% of Juniper's assets. The Company is not required to consolidate the leased assets and related liabilities, and the lease with Juniper is considered an operating lease. The lease agreement is treated as an operating lease for accounting purposes as well as for both retail and wholesale rate recovery purposes. For income tax purposes, the Company retains tax ownership. The initial lease term ends in 2011 and the lease includes a purchase and renewal option based on the cost of the Facility at the inception of the lease, which was \$370 million. The Company is required to amortize approximately 4% of the initial acquisition cost over the initial lease term. In April 2010, 18 months prior to the end of the initial lease, the Company must notify Juniper if the lease will be terminated. The Company may elect to renew the lease for 10 years. If the lease is renewed, the agreement calls for the Company to amortize an additional 17% of the initial completion cost over the renewal period. Upon termination of the lease, at the Company's option, it may either exercise its purchase option or the Facility can be sold to a third party. If the Company does not exercise either its purchase option or its renewal option, the Company could lose its rights to some or all of the 1,064 MWs of capacity at that time.

The lease provides for a residual value guarantee, approximately 73% of the acquisition cost, by the Company that is due upon termination of the lease in the event that the Company does not renew the lease or purchase the Facility and that the fair market value is less than the unamortized cost of the Facility. A liability of approximately \$3 million, \$5 million, and \$7 million for the fair market value of this residual value guarantee is included in the balance sheets at December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Lease expenses were \$26 million, \$26 million, and \$27 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

The Company estimates that its annual amount of future minimum operating lease payments under this arrangement, exclusive of any payment related to the residual value guarantee, as of December 31, 2009, are as follows:

	Minimum Lease Payments <i>(in thousands)</i>
2010	\$ 28,398
2011	28,291
2012 and thereafter	
Total commitments	\$ 56,689

Other Operating Leases

The Company and Gulf Power have jointly entered into operating lease agreements for the use of 745 aluminum railcars. The Company has the option to purchase the railcars at the greater of lease termination value or fair market value, or to renew the leases at the end of the lease term. The Company also has multiple operating lease agreements for the use of additional railcars that do not contain a purchase option. All of these leases are for the transport of coal to Plant Daniel.

II-375

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's share (50%) of the leases, charged to fuel stock and recovered through the fuel cost recovery clause, was \$4.0 million in 2009, \$4.0 million in 2008, and \$4.4 million in 2007. The Company's annual railcar lease payments for 2010 through 2014 will average approximately \$1.7 million and after 2014, lease payments total in aggregate approximately \$1.6 million.

In addition to railcar leases, the Company has other operating leases for fuel handling equipment at Plants Daniel and Watson and operating leases for barges and tow/shift boats for the transport of coal at Plant Watson. The Company's share (50% at Plant Daniel and 100% at Plant Watson) of the leases for fuel handling was charged to fuel handling expense in the amount of \$0.6 million in 2009 and \$0.6 million in 2008. The Company's annual lease payments for 2010 through 2014 will average approximately \$0.3 million for fuel handling equipment. The Company charged to fuel stock and recovered through fuel cost recovery the barge transportation leases in the amount of \$8.4 million in 2009 and \$9.8 million in 2008 related to barges and tow/shift boats. The Company's annual lease payments for 2010 through 2014 with respect to these barge transportation leases will average approximately \$7.7 million.

8. STOCK OPTION PLAN

Southern Company provides non-qualified stock options to a large segment of the Company's employees ranging from line management to executives. As of December 31, 2009, there were 282 current and former employees of the Company participating in the stock option plan and there were 21 million shares of Southern Company common stock remaining available for awards under this plan. The prices of options granted to date have been at the fair market value of the shares on the dates of grant. Options granted to date become exercisable pro rata over a maximum period of three years from the date of grant. The Company generally recognizes stock option expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period which equates to the requisite service period; however, for employees who are eligible for retirement the total cost is expensed at the grant date. Options outstanding will expire no later than 10 years after the date of grant, unless terminated earlier by the Southern Company Board of Directors in accordance with the stock option plan. For certain stock option awards, a change in control will provide accelerated vesting.

The estimated fair values of stock options granted in 2009, 2008, and 2007 were derived using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. Expected volatility was based on historical volatility of Southern Company's stock over a period equal to the expected term. The Company used historical exercise data to estimate the expected term that represents the period of time that options granted to employees are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant that covers the expected term of the stock options. The following table shows the assumptions used in the pricing model and the weighted average grant-date fair value of stock options granted:

Year Ended December 31	2009	2008	2007
Expected volatility	15.6%	13.1%	14.8%
Expected term (<i>in years</i>)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Interest rate	1.9%	2.8%	4.6%
Dividend yield	5.4%	4.5%	4.3%
Weighted average grant-date fair value	\$1.80	\$2.37	\$4.12

The Company's activity in the stock option plan for 2009 is summarized below:

	Shares Subject to Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	1,431,127	\$ 31.72
Granted	452,956	31.39
Exercised	(26,217)	18.64

Cancelled	(1,210)	31.21
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	1,856,656	\$ 31.83
Exercisable at December 31, 2009	1,153,249	\$ 31.09

The number of stock options vested, and expected to vest in the future, as of December 31, 2009 was not significantly different from the number of stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009 as stated above. As of December 31, 2009, the weighted average remaining contractual term for the options outstanding and options exercisable was 6.3 years and 4.8 years, respectively, and the aggregate intrinsic value for the options outstanding and options exercisable was \$4.3 million and \$3.4 million, respectively.

II-376

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

As of December 31, 2009, there was \$0.2 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option awards not yet vested. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 10 months.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, total compensation cost for stock option awards recognized in income was \$0.9 million, \$0.7 million, and \$1.0 million, respectively, with the related tax benefit also recognized in income of \$0.3 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.4 million, respectively.

The compensation cost and tax benefits related to the grant and exercise of Southern Company stock options to the Company's employees are recognized in the Company's financial statements with a corresponding credit to equity, representing a capital contribution from Southern Company.

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007 was \$0.4 million, \$3.7 million, and \$2.2 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized by the Company for the tax deductions from stock option exercises totaled \$0.2 million, \$1.4 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value measurement is based on inputs of observable and unobservable market data that a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. The use of observable inputs is maximized where available and the use of unobservable inputs is minimized for fair value measurement and reflects a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used for fair value measurement.

Level 1 consists of observable market data in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 consists of observable market data, other than that included in Level 1, that is either directly or indirectly observable.

Level 3 consists of unobservable market data. The input may reflect the assumptions of the Company of what a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. If there is little available market data, then the Company's own assumptions are the best available information.

In the case of multiple inputs being used in a fair value measurement, the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement represents the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurement is reported. The fair value measurements performed on a recurring basis and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
At December 31, 2009:				
Assets:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 563	\$	\$ 563
Cash equivalents	60,000			60,000
Total	\$60,000	\$ 563	\$	\$60,563

Liabilities:

Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 42,297	\$	\$42,297
----------------------------	----	-----------	----	----------

Energy-related derivatives primarily consist of over-the-counter contracts. See Note 10 for additional information. The cash equivalents consist of securities with original maturities of 90 days or less. All of these financial instruments and investments are valued primarily using the market approach.

II-377

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

As of December 31, 2009, the fair value measurements of investments calculated at net asset value per share (or its equivalent), as well as the nature and risks of those investments, are as follows:

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value <i>(in thousands)</i>	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
---------------------------------	--	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	---------------------------------

Cash equivalents:

Money market funds	\$ 60,000	None	Daily	Not applicable
--------------------	-----------	------	-------	----------------

The money market funds are short-term investments of excess funds in various money market mutual funds, which are portfolios of short-term debt securities. The money market funds are regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and typically receive the highest rating from credit rating agencies. Regulatory and rating agency requirements for money market funds include minimum credit ratings and maximum maturities for individual securities and a maximum weighted average portfolio maturity. Redemptions are available on a same day basis, up to the full amount of the Company's investment in the money market funds.

As of December 31, 2009, other financial instruments for which the carrying amount did not equal fair value were as follows:

	Carrying Amount <i>(in thousands)</i>	Fair Value <i>(in thousands)</i>
Long-term debt:		
2009	\$491,410	\$497,933
2008	\$407,061	\$405,957

The fair values were based on either closing market prices (Level 1) or closing prices of comparable instruments (Level 2).

10. DERIVATIVES

The Company is exposed to market risks, primarily commodity price risk and interest rate risk. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets its exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. The Company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis. Derivative instruments are recognized at fair value in the balance sheets as either assets or liabilities.

Energy-Related Derivatives

The Company enters into energy-related derivatives to hedge exposures to electricity, gas, and other fuel price changes. However, due to cost-based rate regulations, the Company has limited exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity. The Company manages fuel-hedging programs, implemented per the guidelines of the Mississippi PSC, through the use of financial derivative contracts.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into physical fixed-price or heat rate contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market. To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in gas prices, the Company may enter into fixed-price contracts for natural gas purchases; however, a significant portion of contracts are priced at market.

Energy-related derivative contracts are accounted for in one of three methods:

Regulatory Hedges Energy-related derivative contracts which are designated as regulatory hedges relate primarily to the Company's fuel hedging programs, where gains and losses are initially recorded as regulatory liabilities and

assets, respectively, and then are included in fuel expense as the underlying fuel is used in operations and ultimately recovered through the respective fuel cost recovery clauses.

Cash Flow Hedges Gains and losses on energy-related derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, are used to hedge anticipated purchases and sales and are initially deferred in other comprehensive income (OCI) before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transactions are reflected in earnings.

II-378

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

Not Designated Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Some energy-related derivative contracts require physical delivery as opposed to financial settlement, and this type of derivative is both common and prevalent within the electric industry. When an energy-related derivative contract is settled physically, any cumulative unrealized gain or loss is reversed and the contract price is recognized in the respective line item representing the actual price of the underlying goods being delivered.

At December 31, 2009, the net volume of energy-related derivative contracts for natural gas positions for the Company, together with the longest hedge date over which it is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions and the longest date for derivatives not designated as hedges, were as follows:

Net Purchased mmBtu* (in thousands)	Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date
24,000	2014	

* mmBtu million
British thermal
units

For cash flow hedges, the amounts expected to be reclassified from OCI to revenue and fuel expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 are immaterial.

Derivative Financial Statement Presentation and Amounts

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of energy-related derivatives was reflected in the balance sheets as follows:

Derivative Category	Asset Derivatives			Liability Derivatives		
	Balance Sheet Location	2009 2008 (in thousands)		Balance Sheet Location	2009 2008 (in thousands)	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes						
Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$446	\$ 761	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$19,454	\$28,660
	Other deferred charges and assets	105		Other deferred credits and liabilities	22,843	24,057
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments for regulatory purposes		\$551	\$ 761		\$42,297	\$52,717
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges						
Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$	\$ 159	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$	\$ 17

**Derivatives not designated
as hedging instruments**

Energy-related derivatives:	Other current assets	\$ 12	\$ 443	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$	\$ 614
Total		\$563	\$1,363		\$42,297	\$53,348

All derivative instruments are measured at fair value. See Note 9 for additional information.

II-379

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report**

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the pre-tax effect of unrealized derivative gains (losses) arising from energy-related derivative instruments designated as regulatory hedging instruments and deferred on the balance sheets were as follows:

Derivative Category	Unrealized Losses		Unrealized Gains	
	Balance Sheet Location	2009 2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	Balance Sheet Location	2009 2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>
Energy-related derivatives:	Other regulatory assets, current	\$ (19,454) \$(28,660)	Other regulatory liabilities, current	\$ 446 \$ 761
	Other regulatory assets, deferred	(22,843) (24,057)	Other regulatory liabilities, deferred	105
Total energy-related derivative gains (losses)		\$ (42,297) \$(52,717)		\$ 551 \$ 761

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)			Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount		
	2009	2008	2007				
Derivative Category	2009	2008	2007	Location	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in thousands)</i>				<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$(929)	\$(41)	Fuel	\$	\$	\$

There was no material ineffectiveness recorded in earnings for any period presented.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the statements of income were immaterial.

Contingent Features

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain derivatives that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of various credit rating changes of certain affiliated companies. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivative liabilities with contingent features was \$3.9 million.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no collateral posted with its derivative counterparties; however, because of the joint and several liability features underlying these derivatives, the maximum potential collateral requirements arising from the credit-risk-related contingent features, at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3, is \$33.3 million.

Currently, the Company has investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt and preferred stock.

Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. The Company participated in certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more Southern Company system power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade.

II-380

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report****11. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)**

Summarized quarterly financial data for 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

Quarter Ended	Operating Revenues	Operating Income	Net Income After Dividends on Preferred Stock
			<i>(in thousands)</i>
March 2009	\$268,723	\$31,418	\$ 17,971
June 2009	286,681	40,899	21,933
September 2009	330,680	63,075	34,898
December 2009	263,337	20,665	10,165
March 2008	\$285,416	\$28,712	\$ 16,172
June 2008	297,932	39,410	24,005
September 2008	381,415	58,718	36,217
December 2008	291,779	20,488	9,566

The Company's business is influenced by seasonal weather conditions.

II-381

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009**
Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands)	\$ 1,149,421	\$ 1,256,542	\$ 1,113,744	\$ 1,009,237	\$ 969,733
Net Income after Dividends on Preferred Stock (in thousands)	\$ 84,967	\$ 85,960	\$ 84,031	\$ 82,010	\$ 73,808
Cash Dividends on Common Stock (in thousands)	\$ 68,500	\$ 68,400	\$ 67,300	\$ 65,200	\$ 62,000
Return on Average Common Equity (percent)	13.12	13.75	13.96	14.25	13.33
Total Assets (in thousands)	\$ 2,072,681	\$ 1,952,695	\$ 1,727,665	\$ 1,708,376	\$ 1,981,269
Gross Property Additions (in thousands)	\$ 95,573	\$ 139,250	\$ 114,927	\$ 127,290	\$ 158,084
Capitalization (in thousands):					
Common stock equity	\$ 658,522	\$ 636,451	\$ 613,830	\$ 589,820	\$ 561,160
Redeemable preferred stock	32,780	32,780	32,780	32,780	32,780
Long-term debt	493,480	370,460	281,963	278,635	278,630
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	\$ 1,184,782	\$ 1,039,691	\$ 928,573	\$ 901,235	\$ 872,570
Capitalization Ratios (percent):					
Common stock equity	55.6	61.2	66.1	65.4	64.3
Redeemable preferred stock	2.8	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.8
Long-term debt	41.6	35.6	30.4	31.0	31.9
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Security Ratings:					
First Mortgage Bonds					
Moody's					
Standard and Poor's					
Fitch					
Preferred Stock					
Moody's	A3	A3	A3	A3	A3
Standard and Poor's	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+
Fitch	A+	A+	A+	A+	A+
Unsecured Long-Term Debt					
Moody's	A1	A1	A1	A1	A1
Standard and Poor's	A	A	A	A	A
Fitch	AA-	AA-	AA-	AA-	AA-

Customers (year-end):

Residential	151,375	152,280	150,601	147,643	142,077
Commercial	33,147	33,589	33,507	32,958	30,895
Industrial	513	518	514	507	512
Other	180	183	181	177	176
Total	185,215	186,570	184,803	181,285	173,660
Employees (year-end)	1,285	1,317	1,299	1,270	1,254

II-382

Table of Contents**SELECTED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009 (continued)**
Mississippi Power Company 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands):					
Residential	\$ 245,357	\$ 248,693	\$ 230,819	\$ 214,472	\$ 209,546
Commercial	269,423	271,452	247,539	215,451	213,093
Industrial	269,128	258,328	242,436	211,451	190,720
Other	7,041	6,961	6,420	5,812	5,501
Total retail	790,949	785,434	727,214	647,186	618,860
Wholesale non-affiliates	299,268	353,793	323,120	268,850	283,413
Wholesale affiliates	44,546	100,928	46,169	76,439	50,460
Total revenues from sales of electricity	1,134,763	1,240,155	1,096,503	992,475	952,733
Other revenues	14,658	16,387	17,241	16,762	17,000
Total	\$ 1,149,421	\$ 1,256,542	\$ 1,113,744	\$ 1,009,237	\$ 969,733
Kilowatt-Hour Sales (in thousands):					
Residential	2,091,825	2,121,389	2,134,883	2,118,106	2,179,756
Commercial	2,851,248	2,856,744	2,876,247	2,675,945	2,725,274
Industrial	4,329,924	4,187,101	4,317,656	4,142,947	3,798,477
Other	38,855	38,886	38,764	36,959	37,905
Total retail	9,311,852	9,204,120	9,367,550	8,973,957	8,741,412
Wholesale non-affiliates	4,651,606	5,016,655	5,185,772	4,624,092	4,811,250
Wholesale affiliates	839,372	1,487,083	1,026,546	1,679,831	896,361
Total	14,802,830	15,707,858	15,579,868	15,277,880	14,449,023
Average Revenue Per Kilowatt-Hour (cents):					
Residential	11.73	11.72	10.81	10.13	9.61
Commercial	9.45	9.50	8.61	8.05	7.82
Industrial	6.22	6.17	5.61	5.10	5.02
Total retail	8.49	8.53	7.76	7.21	7.08
Wholesale	6.26	6.99	5.94	5.48	5.85
Total sales	7.67	7.90	7.04	6.50	6.59
Residential Average Annual Kilowatt-Hour Use Per Customer					
	13,762	13,992	14,294	14,480	14,111
Residential Average Annual Revenue Per Customer					
	\$ 1,614	\$ 1,640	\$ 1,545	\$ 1,466	\$ 1,357
	3,156	3,156	3,156	3,156	3,156

**Plant Nameplate Capacity
Ratings (year-end)
(megawatts)**

**Maximum Peak-Hour
Demand (megawatts):**

Winter	2,392	2,385	2,294	2,204	2,178
Summer	2,522	2,458	2,512	2,390	2,493

**Annual Load Factor
(percent)**

	60.7	61.5	60.9	61.3	56.6
--	-------------	------	------	------	------

Plant Availability

Fossil-Steam (percent)	94.1	91.6	92.2	81.1	82.8
-------------------------------	-------------	------	------	------	------

**Source of Energy Supply
(percent):**

Coal	40.0	58.7	60.0	63.1	58.1
Oil and gas	43.6	28.6	27.1	26.1	24.4
Purchased power					
From non-affiliates	3.3	4.4	3.0	3.5	5.1
From affiliates	13.1	8.3	9.9	7.3	12.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

II-383

Table of Contents

**SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY
FINANCIAL SECTION
II-384**

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The management of Southern Power Company (the Company) is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting as required by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). A control system can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.

Under management's supervision, an evaluation of the design and effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting was conducted based on the framework in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this Annual Report.

/s/ Ronnie L. Bates

Ronnie L. Bates

President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ Michael W. Southern

Michael W. Southern

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

February 25, 2010

II-385

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Southern Power Company

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies (the Company) (a wholly owned subsidiary of Southern Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, common stockholder's equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements (pages II-407 to II-428) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

II-386

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****OVERVIEW****Business Activities**

Southern Power Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the Company) construct, acquire, own, and manage generation assets and sell electricity at market-based prices in the wholesale market. The Company continues to execute its strategy through a combination of acquiring and constructing new power plants and by entering into power purchase agreements (PPAs) with investor owned utilities, independent power producers, municipalities, and electric cooperatives.

In October 2009, the Company acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of Nacogdoches Power LLC (Nacogdoches) from American Renewables, LLC, the developer of the project. The Company is constructing a biomass generating plant near Sacul, Texas with an estimated capacity of 100 megawatts (MWs). The generating plant will be fueled from wood waste. Construction commenced in late 2009 and the plant is expected to begin commercial operation in 2012. The output of the plant will be sold under a long-term PPA.

In December 2009, the Company acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of West Georgia Generating Company, LLC (West Georgia) from Broadway Gen Funding, LLC (Broadway), an affiliate of LS Power. West Georgia was merged into the Company and the Company now owns a 669-MW nameplate capacity generating facility consisting of four combustion turbine natural gas generating units with oil back-up. The output from two units is sold under long-term PPAs.

In December 2009, the Company transferred all of the outstanding membership interests of DeSoto County Generating Company LLC (DeSoto) to Broadway as part of the acquisition of West Georgia.

The Company continued construction of an electric generating plant in Cleveland County, North Carolina. This plant will consist of four combustion turbine natural gas generating units with a total expected generating capacity of 720 MWs. The units are expected to begin commercial operation in 2012. The Company has entered into long-term PPAs for 540 MWs of the generating capacity of the plant.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had units totaling 7,880 MWs nameplate capacity in commercial operation. The weighted average duration of the Company's wholesale contracts exceeds 11.7 years, which reduces remarketing risk. The Company's future earnings will depend on the parameters of the wholesale market and the efficient operation of its wholesale generating assets. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL herein for additional information.

Key Performance Indicators

To evaluate operating results and to ensure the Company's ability to meet its contractual commitments to customers, the Company focuses on several key performance indicators. These indicators include peak season equivalent forced outage rate (EFOR), return on invested capital (ROIC), and net income. EFOR defines the hours during peak demand times when the Company's generating units are not available due to forced outages (the lower the better). ROIC is focused on earning a return on all invested capital that meets or exceeds the Company's weighted average cost of capital. Net income is the primary measure of the Company's financial performance. The Company's actual performance in 2009 met or surpassed targets in these key performance areas. See RESULTS OF OPERATIONS herein for additional information on the Company's financial performance.

Earnings

The Company's 2009 net income was \$155.9 million, an \$11.5 million increase over 2008. This increase was primarily due to increased margins associated with the operation of Plant Franklin Unit 3 for all of 2009, increased generation from the Company's combined cycle units due to lower natural gas prices, and profit recognized under a construction contract with the Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) whereby the Company provided engineering, procurement, and construction services to build a combined cycle unit for the OUC. These favorable impacts were partially offset by a loss recognized on the transfer of DeSoto to Broadway in December 2009, gains recognized in income in 2008 related to the sale of an undeveloped tract of land in Orange County, Florida to the OUC, and the receipt of a fee for participating in an asset auction as an unsuccessful bidder. Additionally, depreciation increased due to the completion of Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008 and an increase in depreciation rates. Interest expense increased due to a

reduction of capitalized interest as a result of the completion of Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008.

II-387

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's 2008 net income was \$144.4 million, a \$12.7 million increase over 2007. This increase was primarily due to increased capacity sales to requirements service customers, market sales of uncontracted generating capacity, a gain on the sale of an undeveloped tract of land in 2008, a loss on the gasifier portion of the integrated coal gasification combined cycle (IGCC) project in 2007, and the receipt of a fee for participating in an asset auction in 2008 as an unsuccessful bidder. These increases were partially offset by transmission service expenses and tariff penalties incurred in 2008, timing of plant maintenance activities, increased general and administrative expenses associated with the implementation of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) separation order, and increased depreciation associated with Plant Oleander Unit 5 and Plant Franklin Unit 3 being placed into commercial operation in December 2007 and June 2008, respectively.

The Company's 2007 net income was \$131.6 million, a \$7.2 million increase over 2006. This increase was primarily due to increased energy sales due to more favorable weather in 2007. Also contributing to the increase were additional sales from the acquisition of Plant Rowan in September 2006. These increases were partially offset by the \$10.7 million after tax loss as a result of the termination of the construction of the gasifier portion of the IGCC project.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

A condensed statement of income follows:

	Amount 2009	Increase (Decrease) from Prior Year		2007
		2009	2008	
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Operating revenues	\$946.7	\$(366.9)	\$341.5	\$195.0
Fuel	232.5	(192.3)	186.1	93.4
Purchased power	143.9	(184.0)	128.1	29.3
Other operations and maintenance	136.7	(11.1)	12.7	39.7
Loss (gain) on sale of property	5.0	11.0	(6.0)	
Loss on IGCC project			(17.6)	17.6
Depreciation and amortization	98.1	9.6	14.5	8.0
Taxes other than income taxes	16.9	(0.8)	2.0	0.2
Total operating expenses	633.1	(367.6)	319.8	188.2
Operating income	313.6	0.7	21.7	6.8
Interest expense	85.0	1.8	4.0	(1.0)
Profit recognized on construction contract	13.3	13.3		
Other income (expense), net	(0.4)	(8.0)	4.3	1.1
Income taxes	85.6	(7.3)	9.3	1.7
Net income	\$155.9	\$ 11.5	\$ 12.7	\$ 7.2

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues in 2009 were \$946.7 million, a \$366.9 million (27.9%) decrease from 2008. This decrease was primarily due to lower natural gas prices that reduced energy revenues. This decrease was partially offset by increased capacity and energy revenues from the operation of Plant Franklin Unit 3 and a PPA relating to four units at Plant

Dahlberg that began in June 2009.

Operating revenues in 2008 were \$1.31 billion, a \$341.5 million (35.1%) increase from 2007. This increase was primarily due to increased short-term energy revenues from uncontracted generating units, increased energy revenues due to higher natural gas prices, and increased revenues from a full year of operations at Plant Oleander Unit 5. These increases were partially offset by decreased demand under existing PPAs due to less favorable weather in 2008 compared to 2007. The increase in fuel revenues was accompanied by an increase in related fuel costs and did not have a significant impact on net income.

Operating revenues in 2007 were \$972 million, a \$195.0 million (25.1%) increase from 2006. This increase was primarily due to increased short-term energy sales, a full year of operations at Plant Rowan acquired in September 2006, new sales with EnergyUnited Electric Membership Cooperative (EnergyUnited), increased demand under existing PPAs with affiliates as a result of favorable weather within the Southern Company system service territory, and higher fuel revenues due to an increase in natural gas prices in 2007. The increase in fuel revenues was accompanied by an increase in related fuel costs and did not have a significant impact on net income.

II-388

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Capacity revenues are an integral component of the Company's PPAs with both affiliate and non-affiliate customers and represent the greatest contribution to net income. Energy under the PPAs is generally sold at variable cost or is indexed to published gas indices. Energy revenues also include fees for support services, fuel storage, and unit start charges. Details of these PPA capacity and energy revenues are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Capacity revenues			
Affiliates	\$287.6	\$279.2	\$279.7
Non-affiliates	185.7	165.2	136.9
Total	473.3	444.4	416.6
Energy revenues			
Affiliates	192.8	263.6	227.1
Non-affiliates	173.8	249.0	189.1
Total	366.6	512.6	416.2
Total PPA revenues	\$839.9	\$957.0	\$832.8

Wholesale revenues that were not covered by PPAs totaled \$98.9 million in 2009, which included \$64.0 million of revenues from affiliated companies. Wholesale revenues that were not covered by PPAs totaled \$349.2 million in 2008, which included \$95.5 million of revenues from affiliated companies. Wholesale revenues that were not covered by PPAs totaled \$131.0 million in 2007, which included \$40.0 million of revenues from affiliated companies. These wholesale sales were made in accordance with the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the FERC. These non-PPA wholesale revenues will vary from year to year depending on demand and the availability and cost of generating resources at each company that participates in the centralized operation and dispatch of the Southern Company system fleet of generating plants (power pool).

Fuel and Purchased Power Expenses

Fuel costs constitute the single largest expense for the Company. Additionally, the Company purchases a portion of its electricity needs from the wholesale market.

Details of the Company's fuel and purchased power expenditures are as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Fuel	\$232.5	\$424.8	\$238.7
Purchased power-non-affiliates	79.3	132.2	64.6
Purchased power-affiliates	64.6	195.8	135.3
Total fuel and purchased power expenses	\$376.4	\$752.8	\$438.6

In 2009, total fuel and purchased power expenses decreased by \$376.4 million (50.0%) compared to 2008. This decrease was driven by a 56.0% decrease in the average cost of natural gas and a 41.3% decrease in the average cost of purchased power. Additionally, purchased power volume decreased 25.2% primarily due to increased generation at

the Company's combined cycle units as a result of lower natural gas prices. These decreases were partially offset by a 31.2% increase in generation at the Company's combined cycle units as a result of lower natural gas prices. In 2008, total fuel and purchased power expenses increased by \$314.2 million (71.6%) compared to 2007. This increase was driven by a 58.9% increase in generation due to operations at Plant Franklin Unit 3, an 11.9% increase in the average cost of natural gas, and a 107.9% increase in the average cost of purchased power. In 2007, total fuel and purchased power expenses increased by \$122.7 million (38.8%) compared to 2006. This increase was driven by a 43.7% increase in generation at Plants Wansley and Dahlberg, a 5.2% increase in the average cost of natural gas, increased purchases of lower cost energy resources from the power pool and non-affiliates, and contracts with Georgia Electric Membership Corporations and Dalton Utilities.

In 2009, fuel expense decreased by \$192.3 million (45.3%) compared to 2008. This decrease was driven by a 56.0% decrease in the average cost of natural gas. This decrease was partially offset by a 31.2% increase in generation at the Company's combined cycle units as a result of lower natural gas prices. In 2008, fuel expense increased by \$186.1 million (78.0%) compared to 2007. This increase was driven by a 58.9% increase in generation primarily due to operations at Plant Franklin Unit 3 and an 11.9% increase in the average cost of natural gas. In 2007, fuel expense increased by \$93.4 million (64.3%) compared to 2006. This increase was driven by a 43.7% increase in generation at Plants Wansley and Dahlberg and a 5.2% increase in the average cost of natural gas.

II-389

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

In 2009, purchased power expense decreased \$184.0 million (56.1%) compared to 2008, primarily due to a 41.3% decrease in the average cost of purchased power. Additionally, purchased power volume in 2009 decreased 25.2% due to increased generation at the Company's combined cycle units as a result of lower natural gas prices. Purchased power expense increased \$128.1 million (64.1%) in 2008 when compared to 2007, primarily due to a 107.9% increase in the average cost of purchased power. Purchased power expense increased \$29.3 million (17.1%) in 2007 when compared to 2006, primarily due to increased purchases of lower cost energy resources from the power pool and non-affiliates and contracts with Georgia Electric Membership Corporation and Dalton Utilities.

The Company's PPAs generally provide that the purchasers are responsible for substantially all of the cost of fuel. Consequently, any increase or decrease in fuel costs is accompanied by an increase or decrease in related fuel revenues and does not have a significant impact on net income. The Company is responsible for the cost of fuel for units that are not covered under PPAs. Power from these units is sold into the market or sold to affiliates under the IIC.

Purchased power expenses will vary depending on demand and the availability and cost of generating resources available throughout the Southern Company system and other contract resources. Load requirements are submitted to the power pool on an hourly basis and are fulfilled with the lowest cost alternative, whether that is generation owned by the Company, affiliate-owned generation, or external purchases.

Other Operations and Maintenance Expenses

In 2009, other operations and maintenance expenses decreased \$11.1 million (7.5%) compared to 2008. This decrease was due primarily to transmission tariff penalties recognized in 2008, reduced transmission expenses due to a decrease in power sales into the market, and the timing of plant outages.

In 2008, other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$12.7 million (9.4%) compared to 2007. This increase was due primarily to the timing of plant maintenance activities, transmission tariff penalties, and additional administrative and general expenses as a result of costs incurred to implement the FERC compliance plan. See Note 3 to the financial statements under "FERC Matters - Intercompany Interchange Contract" for additional information. In 2007, other operations and maintenance expenses increased \$39.7 million (41.7%) compared to 2006. This increase was due primarily to a full year of operations at Plant DeSoto and Plant Rowan acquired in June 2006 and September 2006, respectively, and additional administrative and general expenses as a result of costs incurred to implement the FERC compliance plan. See Note 3 to the financial statements under "FERC Matters - Intercompany Interchange Contract" for additional information.

Loss (Gain) on Sale of Property

In December 2009, the Company recorded a loss of \$5.0 million on the transfer of DeSoto to Broadway. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Acquisitions and Divestitures - West Georgia Acquisition and Plant DeSoto Divestiture herein and Note 2 to the financial statements under "Acquisitions and Divestitures - West Georgia Generating Company, LLC Acquisition and DeSoto County Generating Company, LLC Divestiture" for additional information.

In January 2008, the Company recorded a gain of \$6.0 million on the sale of an undeveloped tract of land.

Loss on IGCC Project

In November 2007, the Company and the OUC mutually agreed to terminate the construction of the gasifier portion of the IGCC project, originally planned as a joint venture; however, the Company continued construction of the gas-fired combined cycle generating facility, owned solely by the OUC. The Company recorded a loss in the fourth quarter 2007 of \$17.6 million related to the cancellation of the gasifier portion of the IGCC project. This loss consists of the write-off of construction costs of \$14.0 million and an accrual for termination payments of \$3.6 million. All termination payments were completed in 2008.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report***Depreciation and Amortization***

In 2009, depreciation and amortization increased \$9.6 million (10.9%) compared to 2008. This increase was primarily due to the completion of Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008 and higher depreciation rates implemented during 2009.

In 2008, depreciation and amortization increased \$14.5 million (19.7%) due to the completion of Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008 and higher depreciation rates implemented in January 2008.

In 2007, depreciation and amortization increased \$8.0 million (12.2%) due to the completion of Plant Oleander Unit 5 in December 2007 and additional depreciation related to Plants DeSoto and Rowan acquired in June 2006 and September 2006, respectively, and higher depreciation rates from a study adopted in March 2006.

See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL - Other Matters herein for additional information regarding the Company's ongoing review of depreciation estimates. See also Note 1 to the financial statements under Depreciation for additional information.

Taxes Other Than Income Taxes

The 2009 decrease in taxes other than income taxes was not material.

In 2008, taxes other than income taxes increased \$2.0 million (12.4%) compared to 2007. This increase was primarily due to property taxes related to the completion of Plant Oleander Unit 5 and Plant Franklin Unit 3 in December 2007 and June 2008, respectively.

The 2007 increase in taxes other than income taxes was not material.

Interest Expense, Net of Amounts Capitalized

In 2009, interest expense, net of amounts capitalized increased \$1.8 million (2.1%) compared to 2008. This increase was primarily due to a \$5.5 million decrease in capitalized interest as a result of the completion of Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008, partially offset by a \$1.7 million decrease in short-term borrowing levels during 2009 and a decrease in amortization of interest rate derivatives of \$2.1 million.

In 2008, interest expense, net of amounts capitalized increased \$4.0 million (5.1%) compared to 2007. This increase was primarily the result of a decrease in capitalized interest as a result of the completion of Plant Oleander Unit 5 in December 2007 and Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008, partially offset by a decrease in short-term borrowing levels in 2008.

In 2007, interest expense, net of amounts capitalized decreased \$1.0 million (1.2%) compared to 2006. This decrease was primarily due to additional capitalized interest of \$10.9 million on active construction projects and reduced interest on commercial paper of \$2.0 million due to lower borrowing levels. This decrease was partially offset by an \$11.9 million increase in interest on \$200 million of senior notes that were issued in November 2006.

Profit Recognized on Construction Contract

Profit recognized on the construction contract with the OUC whereby the Company has provided engineering, procurement, and construction services to build a combined cycle unit for the OUC was \$13.3 million in 2009. No profit or loss on this contract was recognized in 2008 or 2007.

Other Income (Expense), Net

Other income (expense), net was an expense of \$0.4 million in 2009 versus income of \$7.6 million in 2008. This change was primarily due to a \$6.4 million fee received in 2008 for participating in an asset auction. The Company was not the successful bidder in the asset auction.

Other income (expense), net increased \$4.3 million (131.1%) in 2008. This increase was primarily due to a \$6.4 million fee received in 2008 for participating in an asset auction. The Company was not the successful bidder in the asset auction.

Changes in other income (expense), net in 2007 were not material.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report***Income Taxes***

In 2009, income taxes decreased \$7.3 million (7.8%) compared to 2008. This decrease was due to changes in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Internal Revenue Code), Section 199 production activities deduction, lower state income taxes, and tax benefits received under convertible investment tax credits. Higher pre-tax earnings partially offset these decreases. See Note 5 to the financial statements for additional information.

Income taxes increased \$9.3 million (11.2%) in 2008 and \$1.7 million (2.1%) in 2007 primarily due to higher pre-tax earnings and changes in the Section 199 production activities deduction.

Effects of Inflation

The Company is party to long-term contracts reflecting market-based rates, including inflation expectations. Any adverse effect of inflation on the Company's results of operations has not been substantial.

FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL**General**

The results of operations for the past three years are not necessarily indicative of future earnings potential. The level of the Company's future earnings depends on numerous factors that affect the opportunities, challenges, and risks of the Company's competitive wholesale business. These factors include the Company's ability to achieve sales growth while containing costs. The level of future earnings also depends on numerous factors including regulatory matters (such as those related to affiliate contracts), creditworthiness of customers, total generating capacity available in the Southeast, the successful remarketing of capacity as current contracts expire, and the Company's ability to execute its acquisition strategy and to construct generating facilities. Other factors that could influence future earnings include weather, demand, generation patterns, and operational limitations. Recent recessionary conditions have lowered demand and have negatively impacted capacity revenues under the Company's PPAs where the amounts purchased are based on demand. The Company is unable to predict whether demand under these PPAs will return to pre-recession levels. The timing and extent of the economic recovery will impact future earnings.

The Company's system generating capacity increased 325 MWs due to the acquisition of West Georgia and divestiture of DeSoto in December 2009 as described herein. In general, the Company has constructed or acquired new generating capacity only after entering into long-term capacity contracts for the new facilities which are optimized by limited energy trading activities. See *Acquisitions and Divestitures* and *Construction Projects* herein for additional information.

Power Sales Agreements

The Company's sales are primarily through long-term PPAs. The Company is working to maintain and expand its share of the wholesale market. The Company expects that many areas of the market will need capacity in 2016. The Company's PPAs consist of two types of agreements. The first type, referred to as a unit or block sale, is a customer purchase from a dedicated plant unit where all or a portion of the generation from that unit is reserved for that customer. The Company typically has the ability to serve the unit or block sale customer from an alternate resource. The second type, referred to as requirements service, provides that the Company serve the customer's capacity and energy requirements from a combination of the customer's own generating units and from Company resources not dedicated to serve unit or block sales. The Company has rights to purchase power provided by the requirements customers' resources when economically viable.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

The Company has entered into the following PPAs over the past three years:

	Date	Megawatts	Plant	Contract Term
2009				
Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia (MEAG Power) ^(a)	December 2009	157 ^(g)	West Georgia	12/09-4/29
Georgia Energy Cooperative, Inc. (GEC) ^(a)	December 2009	151	West Georgia	6/10-5/30
Austin Energy ^(b)	October 2009	100	Nacogdoches	6/12-5/32
Seminole Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Seminole) ^(c)	June 2009	509	Oleander	1/16-5/21
2008				
North Carolina Municipal Power Agency No. 1 (NCMPA1)	December 2008	180	Cleveland	1/12-12/31
North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation (NCEMC)	November 2008	180	Cleveland	1/12-12/36
NCEMC	November 2008	180 ^(d)	Cleveland	1/12-12/36
EnergyUnited	November 2008	100	Purchased ^(e)	1/12-12/21
The Energy Authority, Inc.	August 2008	151	Rowan	1/11-12/14
Georgia Electric Membership Corporations (EMCs) ^(f)	July 2008	360 ^(g)	Unassigned	1/10-12/34 ^(f)
Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) ^(h)	July 2008	85	Stanton	10/13-9/23
2007				
Progress Energy Carolina Inc.	December 2007	155	Rowan	1/10-12/10
Progress Energy Carolina Inc.	December 2007	160	Wansley	1/11-12/11
Georgia Power	April 2007	561	Wansley	6/10-5/17
Georgia Power	April 2007	292	Dahlberg	6/10-5/25
Progress Energy Carolina Inc.	February 2007	150	Rowan	1/10-12/19

(a) Assumed contract through the West Georgia acquisition in 2009.

(b) Assumed contract through the Nacogdoches acquisition in 2009.

Commercial operation of Plant Nacogdoches is expected to begin in June 2012.

- (c) This agreement is an extension of the current agreement with Seminole for Plant Oleander.
- (d) Power purchases under this agreement will increase over the term of the agreement. 45 MWs will be sold from 2012 through 2016, 90 MWs will be sold from 2017 through 2018, and 180 MWs will be sold from 2019 through 2036.
- (e) Power to serve this agreement will be purchased under a third party agreement for resale to EnergyUnited. The purchases will be resold at cost.
- (f) These agreements are extensions of current agreements with 10 Georgia EMCs. Eight

agreements
were extended
from 2010
through 2031
and two
agreements
were extended
from 2013
through 2034.

- (g) Represents average annual capacity purchases.
- (h) This agreement is an extension of the current agreement with FMPA for Plant Stanton.

The Company has PPAs with some of Southern Company's traditional operating companies and with other investor owned utilities, independent power producers, municipalities, and electric cooperatives. Although some of the Company's PPAs are with the traditional operating companies, the Company's generating facilities are not in the traditional operating companies' regulated rate bases, and the Company is not able to seek recovery from the traditional operating companies' ratepayers for construction, repair, environmental, or maintenance costs. The Company expects that the capacity payments in the PPAs will produce sufficient cash flow to cover costs, pay debt service, and provide an equity return. However, the Company's overall profit will depend on numerous factors, including efficient operation of its generating facilities and demand under the Company's PPAs.

As a general matter, existing PPAs provide that the purchasers are responsible for either procuring the fuel or reimbursing the Company for the cost of fuel relating to the energy delivered under such PPAs. To the extent a particular generating facility does not meet the operational requirements contemplated in the PPAs, the Company may be responsible for excess fuel costs. With respect to fuel transportation risk, most of the Company's PPAs provide that the counterparties are responsible for transporting the fuel to the particular generating facility.

Fixed and variable operation and maintenance costs will be recovered through capacity charges based on dollars-per-kilowatt year or energy charges based on dollars-per-MW hour. In general, the Company has long-term service contracts with General Electric and Siemens AG to reduce its exposure to certain operation and maintenance costs relating to such vendors' applicable equipment. See Note 7 to the financial statements under "Long-Term Service Agreements" for additional information.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Many of the Company's PPAs have provisions that require the posting of collateral or an acceptable substitute guarantee in the event that Standard and Poor's Rating Services, a division of the McGraw Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P) or Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) downgrades the credit ratings of the counterparty to an unacceptable credit rating or if the counterparty is not rated or fails to maintain a minimum coverage ratio. The PPAs are expected to provide the Company with a stable source of revenue during their respective terms.

The Company has entered into long-term power sales agreements for an average of 84% of its available capacity for the next five years and 74% of its available capacity for the next 10 years as follows:

	2010- 2011	2012- 2013	2014- 2015	2016- 2017	2018- 2019
Average available capacity (MWs)					
(a)	7,964	8,774	8,774	8,494	8,494
Average contracted capacity (MWs)	6,940	7,199	7,083	5,432	4,959
Percent contracted	87%	82%	81%	64%	58%

(a) Includes confirmed third party power purchases for 2010 through 2019.

Environmental Matters

The Company's operations are subject to extensive regulation by state and federal environmental agencies under a variety of statutes and regulations governing environmental media, including air, water, and land resources.

Applicable statutes include the Clean Air Act; the Clean Water Act; the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act; the Toxic Substances Control Act; the Emergency Planning & Community Right-to-Know Act; the Endangered Species Act; and related federal and state regulations. Compliance with possible additional federal or state legislation or regulations related to global climate change, air quality, or other environmental and health concerns could also significantly affect the Company.

New environmental legislation or regulations, such as requirements related to greenhouse gases or changes to existing statutes or regulations, could affect many areas of the Company's operations. While the Company's PPAs generally contain provisions that permit charging the counterparty with some of the new costs incurred as a result of changes in environmental laws and regulations, the full impact of any such regulatory or legislative changes cannot be determined at this time.

Because the Company's units are newer gas-fired generating facilities, costs associated with environmental compliance for these facilities have been less significant than for similarly situated coal-fired generating facilities or older gas-fired generating facilities. Environmental, natural resource, and land use concerns, including the applicability of air quality limitations, the availability of water withdrawal rights, uncertainties regarding aesthetic impacts such as increased light or noise, and concerns about potential adverse health impacts, can, however, increase the cost of siting and operating any type of future electric generating facility. The impact of such statutes and regulations on the Company cannot be determined at this time.

Global Climate Issues

Federal legislative proposals that would impose mandatory requirements related to greenhouse gas emissions renewable energy standards, and energy efficiency standards continue to be considered in Congress, and the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions has been identified as a high priority by the current Administration. On June 26, 2009, the American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (ACES), which would impose mandatory greenhouse gas restrictions through implementation of a cap and trade program, a renewable energy standard, and other measures, was passed by the House of Representatives. ACES would require reductions of greenhouse gas emissions on a national basis to a level that is 17% below 2005 levels by 2020, 42% below 2005 levels by 2030, and 83% below 2005 levels by 2050. In addition, ACES would provide for renewable energy standards of 6% by 2012 and 20% by 2020. Similar

legislation is being considered by the Senate. The financial and operational impact of such legislation, if enacted, will depend on a variety of factors. These factors include the specific greenhouse gas emissions limits or renewable energy requirements, the timing of implementation of these limits or requirements, the level of emissions allowances allocated and the level that must be purchased, the purchase price of emissions allowances, the development and commercial availability of technologies for renewable energy and for the reduction of emissions, the degree to which offsets may be used for compliance, provisions for cost containment (if any), the impact on natural gas prices, and cost recovery through PPAs. There can be no assurance that any legislation will be enacted or as to the ultimate form of any legislation. Additional or alternative legislation may be adopted as well.

II-394

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

In April 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has authority under the Clean Air Act to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles. On December 15, 2009, the EPA published a final determination, which became effective on January 14, 2010, that certain greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles endanger public health and welfare due to climate change. On September 28, 2009, the EPA published a proposed rule regulating greenhouse gas emissions from new motor vehicles under the Clean Air Act. The EPA has stated that once this rule is effective, it will cause carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases to become regulated pollutants under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) preconstruction permit program and the Title V operating permit program, which both apply to power plants. As a result, the construction of new facilities or the major modification of existing facilities could trigger the requirement for a PSD permit and the installation of the best available control technology for carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases. The EPA also published a proposed rule governing how these programs would be applied to stationary sources, including power plants, on October 27, 2009. The EPA has stated that it expects to finalize these proposed rules in March 2010. The ultimate outcome of the endangerment finding and these proposed rules cannot be determined at this time and will depend on additional regulatory action and any legal challenges.

International climate change negotiations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change also continue. A nonbinding agreement was announced during the most recent round of negotiations in December 2009 that included a pledge from both developed and developing countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions. The outcome and impact of the international negotiations cannot be determined at this time.

Although the outcome of federal, state, or international initiatives cannot be determined at this time, mandatory restrictions on the Company's greenhouse gas emissions or requirements relating to renewable energy or energy efficiency on the federal or state level are likely to result in significant additional compliance costs, including significant capital expenditures. Also, additional compliance costs could affect results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition if such costs are not recovered through PPAs. Further, higher costs that are recovered through regulated rates at other utilities could contribute to an overall reduction in demand for electricity, which could negatively impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

In 2008, the total carbon dioxide emissions from the fossil fuel-fired electric generating units owned by the Company were approximately 6 million metric tons. The preliminary estimate of carbon dioxide emissions from these units in 2009 is approximately 7 million metric tons. The level of carbon dioxide emissions from year to year will be dependent on the level of generation, which is determined primarily by demand, the unit cost of fuel consumed, and the availability of generating units.

The Company continues to evaluate its future energy and emissions profiles and is participating in voluntary programs to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and to help develop and advance technology to reduce emissions, including the construction of a biomass plant in Sacul, Texas.

Carbon Dioxide Litigation***Kivalina Case***

In February 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled that the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs

filed an appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

II-395

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report*Other Litigation*

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Environmental Statutes and Regulations

In February 2004, the EPA finalized the Industrial Boiler (IB) MACT rule, which imposed limits on hazardous air pollutants from industrial boilers, including biomass boilers. Compliance with the final rule was scheduled to begin in September 2007; however, in response to challenges to the final rule, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit vacated the IB MACT rule in its entirety in July 2007 and ordered the EPA to develop a new IB MACT rule. In September 2009, the deadline to promulgate a proposed rule was extended from July 15, 2009 to April 15, 2010, with a final rule required by December 16, 2010. The EPA is currently developing the new rule and may change the methodology to determine the MACT limits for industrial boilers.

Income Tax Matters*Legislation*

On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed into law the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA). Major tax incentives in the ARRA include an extension of bonus depreciation and multiple renewable energy incentives. The Company estimates the cash flow reduction to 2009 tax payments as a result of the bonus depreciation provisions of the ARRA to be immaterial. The Company is receiving investment tax credits (ITCs) under the renewable energy incentives related to the Nacogdoches biomass facility which will have a material impact on cash flows and net income. On December 8, 2009, President Obama announced proposals to accelerate job growth that include an extension of the bonus depreciation provision for the ARRA for 2010, which could have a significant impact on the future cash flow and net income of the Company. The Company is currently assessing the other financial implications of the ARRA.

The ultimate impact of these matters cannot be determined at this time.

Internal Revenue Code Section 199 Domestic Production Deduction

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code. The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. See Note 5 to the financial statements under *Effective Tax Rate* for additional information.

Acquisitions and Divestitures*Nacogdoches Acquisition*

On October 8, 2009, the Company acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of Nacogdoches from American Renewables LLC, the original developer of the project, for approximately \$50.1 million in cash consideration. Nacogdoches is constructing a biomass generating plant in Sacul, Texas with an estimated capacity of 100 MWs. The generating plant will be fueled from wood waste. Construction commenced in 2009 and the plant is expected to begin commercial operation in 2012. Costs incurred through December 31, 2009 were \$86.6 million. The total estimated cost of the project is expected to be between \$475 million and \$500 million. The output of the plant is contracted under a PPA with Austin Energy that begins in 2012 and expires in 2032 or until a contractual limit of \$2.3 billion in billings is reached. See Note 2 to the financial statements under *Acquisitions and Divestitures*

Nacogdoches Power LLC Acquisition for additional information.

II-396

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
West Georgia Acquisition and Plant DeSoto Divestiture

On December 17, 2009, the Company acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of West Georgia from Broadway, an affiliate of LS Power. The acquisition agreement provided for the transfer of all the outstanding membership interests of DeSoto from the Company to Broadway and the payment by the Company of approximately \$144.0 million in cash consideration. West Georgia was merged into the Company and the Company now owns a 669-MW nameplate capacity generating facility consisting of four combustion turbine natural gas generating units with oil back-up. The output from two units is contracted under PPAs with MEAG Power and GEC. The MEAG Power agreement began in 2009 and expires in 2029. The GEC agreement begins in 2010 and expires in 2030. See Note 2 to the financial statements under **Acquisitions and Divestitures** **West Georgia Generating Company, LLC Acquisition and DeSoto County Generating Company, LLC Divestiture** for additional information.

Construction Projects*Cleveland County Units 1-4*

In December 2008, the Company announced that it will build an electric generating plant in Cleveland County, North Carolina. The plant will consist of four combustion turbine natural gas generating units with a total generating capacity of 720 MWs. The units are expected to begin commercial operation in 2012. Costs incurred through December 31, 2009 were \$62.7 million. The total estimated construction cost is expected to be between \$350 million and \$400 million, which is included in the capital program estimates described under **FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY** **Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations** herein.

The Company has also entered into PPAs with NCEMC and NCMPA1 for a portion of the generating capacity from the plant that will begin in 2012 and expire in 2036 and 2031, respectively. NCEMC will purchase 180 MWs of capacity that will be supported by one unit at the plant and will purchase capacity from a second unit at the plant that will increase to 180 MWs over a seven-year phase-in period. NCMPA1 will purchase 180 MWs from a third unit at the plant. The NCEMC PPAs were approved by the Rural Utilities Service on March 6, 2009.

Nacogdoches Biomass Plant

The Company is currently constructing a biomass plant in Sacul, Texas. See **Acquisitions and Divestitures** **Nacogdoches Acquisition** herein and Note 2 to the financial statements under **Acquisitions and Divestitures** **Nacogdoches Power LLC Acquisition** for additional information.

Other Matters

The Company completed depreciation studies in 2008 and 2009. The composite depreciation rates for its property, plant, and equipment were updated in these studies. These changes in estimates arise from changes in useful life assumptions for certain components of plant in service. These changes increased depreciation expense prospectively beginning January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2009 and reduced net income. The net income impacts of these changes were \$2.8 million and \$3.1 million in 2008 and 2009, respectively. See Note 1 to the financial statements under **Depreciation** for additional information. The Company reviews its estimated useful lives and salvage values on an ongoing basis. The results of these reviews could have a material impact on net income in the near term. See **ACCOUNTING POLICIES** **Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates** herein for additional information.

From time to time, the Company is involved in various matters being litigated and regulatory matters that could affect future earnings. In addition, the Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property and other damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the

liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. See Note 3 to the financial statements for information regarding material issues.

II-397

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
ACCOUNTING POLICIES**Application of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. In the application of these policies, certain estimates are made that may have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and related disclosures. Different assumptions and measurements could produce estimates that are significantly different from those recorded in the financial statements. Senior management has reviewed and discussed the critical accounting policies and estimates described below with the Audit Committee of Southern Company's Board of Directors.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's revenue recognition depends on appropriate classification and documentation of transactions in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). In general, the Company's power sale transactions can be classified in one of four categories: non-derivatives, normal sales, cash flow hedges, and mark to market. For more information on derivative transactions, see FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY - Market Price Risk herein and Notes 1 and 9 to the financial statements. The Company's revenues are dependent upon significant judgments used to determine the appropriate transaction classification, which must be documented upon the inception of each contract. Factors that must be considered in making these determinations include:

Assessing whether a sales contract meets the definition of a lease;

Assessing whether a sales contract meets the definition of a derivative;

Assessing whether a sales contract meets the definition of a capacity contract;

Assessing the probability at inception and throughout the term of the individual contract that the contract will result in physical delivery;

Ensuring that the contract quantities do not exceed available generating capacity (including purchased capacity);

Identifying the hedging instrument, the hedged transaction, and the nature of the risk being hedged; and

Assessing hedge effectiveness at inception and throughout the contract term

Normal Sale and Non-Derivative Transactions

The Company has entered into capacity contracts that provide for the sale of electricity and that involve physical delivery in quantities within the Company's available generating capacity. These contracts either do not meet the definition of a derivative or are designated as normal sales, thus exempting them from fair value accounting in accordance with GAAP. As a result, such transactions are accounted for as executory contracts; additionally, the related revenue is recognized on an accrual basis in amounts equal to the lesser of the cumulative levelized amount or the cumulative amount billable under the contract over the respective contract periods. Revenues are recorded on a gross or net basis in accordance with GAAP. Contracts recorded on the accrual basis represented the majority of the Company's operating revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Cash Flow Hedge Transactions

The Company designates other derivative contracts for the sale of electricity as cash flow hedges of anticipated sale transactions. These contracts are marked to market through other comprehensive income over the life of the contract. Realized gains and losses are then recognized in revenues as incurred.

Mark-to-Market Transactions

Contracts for sales and purchases of electricity, which meet the definition of a derivative and that are not designated as normal sales and purchases or designated as cash flow hedges, are marked to market and recorded directly through net income. Net unrealized gains (losses) on such contracts recognized in wholesale revenues for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 were \$5.3 million and \$(1.9) million, respectively. Mark-to-market transactions were immaterial in 2007.

II-398

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report***Percentage of Completion***

The Company is currently engaged in a long-term contract for engineering, procurement, and construction services to build a combined cycle unit for the OUC. Construction activities commenced in 2006 and were substantially completed in 2009. Billings and costs are recognized using the percentage of completion method. The Company utilizes the cost-to-cost approach as this method is less subjective than relying on assessments of physical progress. The percentage of completion represents the percentage of the total costs incurred to the estimated total cost of the contract. Billings and costs are recognized on a net basis in other income (expense) by applying this percentage to the total billings and estimated costs of the contract.

Impairment of Long Lived Assets and Intangibles

The Company's investments in long-lived assets are primarily generation assets, whether in service or under construction. The Company's intangible assets consist of acquired PPAs that are amortized over the term of the PPAs and goodwill resulting from acquisitions. The Company evaluates the carrying value of these assets in accordance with accounting standards whenever indicators of potential impairment exist, or annually in the case of goodwill. Examples of impairment indicators could include significant changes in construction schedules, current period losses combined with a history of losses or a projection of continuing losses, a significant decrease in market prices, and the inability to remarket generating capacity for an extended period. If an indicator exists, the asset is tested for recoverability by comparing the asset carrying value to the sum of the undiscounted expected future cash flows directly attributable to the asset. A high degree of judgment is required in developing estimates related to these evaluations, which are based on projections of various factors, including the following:

- Future demand for electricity based on projections of economic growth and estimates of available generating capacity;

- Future power and natural gas prices, which have been quite volatile in recent years; and

- Future operating costs.

Acquisition Accounting

The Company has been engaged in a strategy of acquiring assets. The Company has accounted for these acquisitions under the purchase method in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, the Company has included these operations in the consolidated financial statements from the respective date of acquisition. The purchase price of each acquisition was allocated to the fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities. Any due diligence or transition costs incurred by the Company for successful or potential acquisitions after December 31, 2008 have been expensed as incurred.

Contingent Obligations

The Company is subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, as well as other factors and conditions that potentially subject it to environmental, litigation, income tax, and other risks. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL herein and Note 3 to the financial statements for more information regarding certain of these contingencies. The Company periodically evaluates its exposure to such risks and, in accordance with accounting standards, records reserves for those matters where a non-tax-related loss is considered probable and reasonably estimable and records a tax asset or liability if it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained. The adequacy of reserves can be significantly affected by external events or conditions that can be unpredictable; thus, the ultimate outcome of such matters could materially affect the Company's financial statements.

These events or conditions include the following:

- Changes in existing state or federal regulation by governmental authorities having jurisdiction over air quality, water quality, control of toxic substances, hazardous and solid wastes, and other environmental matters.

- Changes in existing income tax regulations or changes in Internal Revenue Service (IRS) or state revenue department interpretations of existing regulations.

Identification of sites that require environmental remediation or the filing of other complaints in which the Company may be asserted to be a potentially responsible party.

Identification and evaluation of other potential lawsuits or complaints in which the Company may be named as a defendant.

Resolution or progression of new or existing matters through the legislative process, the court systems, the IRS, state revenue departments, the FERC, or the EPA.

II-399

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report***Depreciation***

Depreciation of the original cost of assets is computed under the straight-line method and applies a composite depreciation rate based on the assets' estimated useful lives determined by management. The primary assets in property, plant, and equipment are power plants, all of which have an estimated composite life ranging from 24 to 35 years. These lives reflect a weighted average of the significant components (retirement units) that make up the plants. The Company reviews its estimated useful lives and salvage values on an ongoing basis. The results of these reviews could result in changes which could have a material impact on net income in the near term. See Note 1 to the financial statements under "Depreciation" for a discussion of changes in depreciation assumptions made by the Company effective January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2009.

When property subject to composite depreciation is retired or otherwise disposed of in the normal course of business, its cost is charged to accumulated depreciation. For other property dispositions, the applicable cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the accounts and a gain or loss is recognized.

Convertible Investment Tax Credits

Under the ARRA, certain costs related to the Nacogdoches plant construction are eligible for ITCs or cash grants. The Company has elected to receive ITCs. The credits are recorded as a deferred credit, which will be amortized over the life of the asset, and the tax basis of the asset is reduced by 50% of the credits received, resulting in a deferred tax asset. The Company has elected to recognize the tax benefit of this basis difference as a reduction to income tax expense as costs are incurred during the construction period. This basis difference will reverse and be recorded to income tax expense over the useful life of the asset once placed in service. The credits received during the year will be shown within operating activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

New Accounting Standards***Variable Interest Entities***

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued new guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities, which replaces the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary in a variable interest entity with an approach that is primarily qualitative, requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and requires additional disclosures about an enterprise's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company adopted this new guidance effective January 1, 2010 with no material impact on its financial statements.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY**Overview**

The Company's financial condition remained stable at December 31, 2009. The Company has successfully accessed the commercial paper market as needed during 2009. There was \$118.9 million of commercial paper outstanding as of December 31, 2009. The Company intends to continue to monitor its access to short-term and long-term capital markets as well as its bank credit arrangements as needed to meet its future capital and liquidity needs. Market rates for committed credit have increased and the Company may be subject to higher costs as its existing facilities are replaced or renewed. See "Sources of Capital" herein for additional information on lines of credit.

Net cash provided from operating activities totaled \$318.1 million in 2009, increasing 20.4% from 2008. This increase is primarily due to a reduction in costs incurred on the OUC construction contract, receipt of convertible investment tax credits, and timing of tax payments. Net cash used for investing activities totaled \$364.1 million in 2009, increasing 324.5% from 2008. This increase was primarily due to the Nacogdoches and West Georgia acquisitions in October 2009 and December 2009, respectively. Gross property additions to utility plant of \$137.1 million in 2009 were primarily related to the construction of the Cleveland County and Nacogdoches facilities. Net cash provided from financing activities was \$15.2 million in 2009, compared to \$140.6 million used in 2008. This change was primarily due to the issuance of short-term debt in 2009.

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Net cash provided from operating activities totaled \$264.3 million in 2008, decreasing 16.2% from 2007. This decrease is primarily due to cash outflows for engineering, procurement, and construction services to build a combined cycle unit for the OUC. Net cash used for investing activities totaled \$85.8 million in 2008, decreasing 53.4% from 2007. This decrease was primarily due to the completion of Plant Oleander Unit 5 in 2007 and the completion of Plant Franklin Unit 3 in 2008. Gross property additions to utility plant of \$50.0 million in 2008 were primarily related to the completion of Plant Franklin Unit 3. Net cash used for financing activities was \$140.6 million in 2008, decreasing 12.9% from 2007. This decrease was primarily due to reduced levels of short-term debt in 2008. Net cash provided from operating activities totaled \$315.4 million in 2007, increasing 29.8% from 2006. This increase was primarily due to the increase in sales due to favorable weather and cash received under billings for the engineering, procurement, and construction services to build a combined cycle unit for the OUC. Net cash used for investing activities totaled \$183.9 million in 2007, decreasing 61% from 2006. This decrease was primarily due to the acquisition of Plants DeSoto and Rowan in June 2006 and September 2006, respectively. Gross property additions to utility plant of \$139.2 million in 2007 were primarily related to the on-going construction activity at Plant Franklin Unit 3 and the completion of construction at Plant Oleander Unit 5. Net cash used for financing activities was \$161.5 million in 2007 compared to \$233.4 million provided to the Company in 2006. This change was primarily due to the cash proceeds of \$200 million from the issuance of 30-year senior notes in 2006 and borrowings and equity contributions to finance the acquisitions of Plants DeSoto and Rowan.

Significant asset changes in the balance sheet during 2009 include increases related to the West Georgia and Nacogdoches acquisitions. Construction work in progress increased due to Cleveland County and Nacogdoches construction activities. Prepaid long-term service agreements increased due to the timing of outage activities. Additionally, prepaid income taxes decreased due to the timing of income tax payments. Cash decreased due to the West Georgia and Nacogdoches acquisitions and increased construction activity.

Significant asset changes in the balance sheet during 2008 include increases in accounts receivable related to higher energy revenues due to an increase in natural gas prices, increases in prepaid long-term service agreements due to the timing of outage activities, and an increase in cash due to a reduction of investing activities of the Company in 2008 due to the completion of construction projects at Plant Oleander Unit 5 in December 2007 and Plant Franklin Unit 3 in June 2008.

Significant liability and stockholder's equity changes in the balance sheet during 2009 include the issuance of \$118.9 million in notes payable, an increase in accounts payable related to construction projects, and a decrease in net billings in excess of cost due to the timing of scheduled payments and costs incurred with regard to the OUC construction contract. In 2009, the Company also paid \$106.1 million in dividends to Southern Company.

Significant liability and stockholder's equity changes in the balance sheet during 2008 include the payment of short-term debt obligations, increases in affiliate payables due to increases in natural gas and purchased power prices, a reduction of other current liabilities due to payment of IGCC termination costs, and a decrease in the net billings in excess of cost on the OUC construction contract due to on-going construction activities. In 2008, the Company also paid \$94.5 million in dividends to Southern Company.

Sources of Capital

The Company may use operating cash flows, external funds, or equity capital or loans from Southern Company to finance any new projects, acquisitions, and ongoing capital requirements. The Company expects to generate external funds from the issuance of unsecured senior debt and commercial paper or utilization of credit arrangements from banks. However, the amount, type, and timing of any financings, if needed, will depend upon regulatory approval, prevailing market conditions, and other factors.

The Company's current liabilities frequently exceed current assets due to the use of short-term indebtedness as a funding source, as well as cash needs which can fluctuate significantly due to the seasonality of the business. To meet liquidity and capital resource requirements, at December 31, 2009, the Company had \$400 million of committed credit arrangements with banks that expire in 2012. There were no borrowings under this facility outstanding at December 31, 2009. Proceeds from these credit arrangements may be used for working capital and general corporate

purposes as well as liquidity support for the Company's commercial paper program. See Note 6 to the financial statements under "Bank Credit Arrangements" for additional information.

II-401

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The Company's commercial paper program is used to finance acquisition and construction costs related to electric generating facilities and for general corporate purposes. At December 31, 2009, there was \$118.9 million of commercial paper outstanding. See Note 6 to the financial statements under "Bank Credit Arrangements" for additional information.

Management believes that the need for working capital can be adequately met by utilizing cash balances, commercial paper programs, and lines of credit.

Financing Activities

During 2009 and 2008, the Company did not issue any new long-term securities.

The issuance of all securities by the Company is generally subject to regulatory approval by the FERC. Additionally, with respect to the public offering of securities, the Company files registration statements with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (1933 Act). The amounts of securities authorized by the FERC, as well as the amounts registered under the 1933 Act, are continuously monitored and appropriate filings are made to ensure flexibility in the capital markets.

Credit Rating Risk

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain contracts that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of a credit rating change to BBB and Baa2, or BBB- and/or Baa3 or below. These contracts are for physical electricity purchases and sales, fuel purchases, fuel transportation and storage, and energy price risk management. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a BBB and Baa2 rating were approximately \$9 million and at a BBB- and/or Baa3 rating were approximately \$339 million. At December 31, 2009, the maximum potential collateral requirements under these contracts at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3 were approximately \$984 million. Included in these amounts are certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade. Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Additionally, any credit rating downgrade could impact the Company's ability to access capital markets, particularly the short-term debt market.

In addition, through the acquisition of Plant Rowan, the Company assumed PPAs with Duke Energy and NCMPA1 that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of a downgrade of the Company's credit. The Duke Energy PPA defines the downgrade to be below BBB- or Baa3. The NCMPA1 PPA requires credit assurances without stating a specific credit rating. The amount of collateral required would depend upon actual losses, if any, resulting from a credit downgrade for both PPAs.

Market Price Risk

The Company is exposed to market risks, including changes in interest rates, certain energy-related commodity prices, and, occasionally, currency exchange rates. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company takes advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and hedging practices. Company policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques that include market valuation and sensitivity analysis.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no variable long-term debt outstanding. Therefore, there would be no effect on annualized interest expense related to long-term debt if the Company sustained a 100 basis point change in interest rates. Since a significant portion of outstanding indebtedness bears interest at fixed rates, the Company is not aware of any facts or circumstances that would significantly affect such exposures in the near term. However, the impact on future financing costs cannot be determined at this time.

Because energy from the Company's facilities is primarily sold under long-term PPAs with tolling agreements and provisions shifting substantially all of the responsibility for fuel cost to the counterparties, the Company's exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity is limited. However, the Company has been and may continue to be exposed to market volatility in energy-related commodity prices as a result of sales of

uncontracted generating capacity.

II-402

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The changes in fair value of energy-related derivative contracts were as follows at December 31:

	2009	2008
	Changes	Changes
	Fair Value	
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Contracts outstanding at the beginning of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$ 3.4	\$ 3.4
Contracts realized or settled	(2.0)	1.4
Current period changes ^(a)	(4.9)	(1.4)
Contracts outstanding at the end of the period, assets (liabilities), net	\$(3.5)	\$ 3.4

(a) Current period changes also include the changes in fair value of new contracts entered into during the period, if any.

The decreases in the fair value positions of the energy-related derivative contracts for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$6.9 million and \$0.0 million, respectively, which is due to both volume and price changes in power and natural gas positions.

The net hedge positions at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 and respective period end dates that support these changes are as follows:

	December	December
	31,	31,
	2009	2008
Power (net sold)		
Megawatt hours (MWH) (in millions)	2.6	0.3
Weighted average contract cost per MWH above (below) market prices (in dollars)	\$(0.38)	\$(2.29)
Natural gas (net purchase)		
Commodity million British thermal unit (mmBtu)	9.0	1.9
Location basis million mmBtu	2.0	
Commodity Weighted average contract cost per mmBtu above (below) market prices (in dollars)	\$ 0.29	\$(2.16)
Location basis Weighted average contract cost per mmBtu above (below) market prices (in dollars)	\$(0.04)	

At December 31, the net fair value of energy-related derivative contracts by hedge designation was reflected in the financial statements as assets/(liabilities) as follows:

Asset (Liability) Derivatives	2009	2008
	<i>(in millions)</i>	
Cash flow hedges	\$(2.5)	\$(0.8)
Not designated	(1.0)	4.2
Total fair value	\$(3.5)	\$ 3.4

Gains and losses on energy-related derivatives used by the Company to hedge anticipated purchases and sales are initially deferred in other comprehensive income before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transaction. Gains and losses on derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

Total net unrealized pre-tax gains (losses) recognized in the statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 for energy-related derivative contracts that are not hedges were \$(5.2) million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

II-403

Table of Contents**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The maturities of the energy-related derivative contracts and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	December 31, 2009			
	Total	Fair Value Measurements		
		Fair Value	Year 1	Maturity Years 2&3
		<i>(in millions)</i>		
Level 1	\$	\$	\$	\$
Level 2	(3.5)	(3.2)	(0.4)	0.1
Level 3				
Fair value of contracts outstanding at end of period	\$(3.5)	\$(3.2)	\$(0.4)	\$ 0.1

The Company uses over-the-counter contracts that are not exchange traded but are fair valued using prices which are actively quoted, and thus fall into Level 2. See Note 8 to the financial statements for further discussion on fair value measurements.

The Company is exposed to market-price risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to energy-related derivative contracts. The Company's policy is to enter into derivative agreements with counterparties that have investment grade credit ratings by S&P and Moody's or with counterparties who have posted collateral to cover potential credit exposure. Therefore, the Company does not anticipate market risk exposure from nonperformance by the counterparties. For additional information, see Note 1 to the financial statements under Financial Instruments.

Capital Requirements and Contractual Obligations

The capital program of the Company is currently estimated to be \$627.4 million for 2010, \$856.5 million for 2011, and \$379.0 million for 2012. These amounts include estimates for potential plant acquisitions and new construction as well as ongoing capital improvements. Planned expenditures for plant acquisitions may vary due to market opportunities and the Company's ability to execute its growth strategy. Actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of changes in factors such as: business conditions; environmental statutes and regulations; FERC rules and regulations; load projections; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital. The Company is currently constructing four combustion turbine units in North Carolina and a biomass generating facility in Texas. See FUTURE EARNINGS POTENTIAL Construction Projects herein and Note 2 to the financial statements under Acquisitions and Divestitures Nacogdoches Power LLC Acquisition for additional information.

Other funding requirements related to obligations associated with scheduled maturities of long-term debt, as well as the related interest, leases, derivative obligations, and other purchase commitments are as follows. See Notes 1, 6, 7, and 9 to the financial statements for additional information.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
Contractual Obligations

	2010	2011- 2012	2013- 2014	After 2014	Uncertain Timing (c)	Total
			<i>(in millions)</i>			
Long-term debt ^(a)						
Principal	\$	\$ 575.0	\$	\$ 725.0	\$	\$1,300.0
Interest	74.3	148.6	76.7	306.1		605.7
Energy-related derivative obligations ^(b)	8.1	0.5				8.6
Operating leases	0.6	1.0	1.0	22.3		24.9
Unrecognized tax benefits and interest ^(c)					0.1	0.1
Purchase commitments ^(d)						
Capital ^(e)	627.4	1,235.5				1,862.9
Natural gas ^(f)	165.8	323.9	239.5	277.6		1,006.8
Biomass fuel ^(g)		17.0	35.1	127.6		179.7
Purchased power ^(h)	13.6	57.0	102.0	295.2		467.8
Long-term service agreements ⁽ⁱ⁾	46.6	101.2	78.9	953.6		1,180.3
Total	\$936.4	\$2,459.7	\$533.2	\$2,707.4	\$0.1	\$6,636.8

(a) All amounts are reflected based on final maturity dates. The Company plans to retire higher-cost securities and replace these obligations with lower-cost capital if market conditions permit.

(b) For additional information, see Notes 1 and 9 to the financial statements.

(c)

The timing related to the realization of \$0.1 million in unrecognized tax benefits and interest payments cannot be reasonably and reliably estimated due to uncertainties in the timing of the effective settlement of tax positions. See Note 5 to the financial statements for additional information.

- (d) The Company generally does not enter into non-cancelable commitments for other operations and maintenance expenditures. Total other operations and maintenance expenses for the last three years were \$136.7 million, \$147.7 million, and \$135.0 million, respectively.
- (e) The Company forecasts capital expenditures over a three-year period. Amounts

represent estimates for potential plant acquisitions and new construction as well as ongoing capital improvements.

- (f) Natural gas purchase commitments are based on various indices at the time of delivery. Amounts reflected have been estimated based on the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009.

- (g) Biomass fuel commitments are based on minimum committed tonnage of wood waste purchases for Plant Nacogdoches. Plant Nacogdoches is expected to begin commercial operation in 2012. Amounts reflected include price escalation based on inflation indices.

- (h) Purchased power commitments of \$35.4 million in 2011-2012, \$72.9 million in 2013-2014, and \$279.3 million after 2014 will be resold under a third party agreement to EnergyUnited. The purchases will be resold at cost.

- (i) Long-term service agreements include price escalation based on inflation indices.

II-405

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (continued)
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The Company's 2009 Annual Report contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include, among other things, statements concerning environmental regulations and expenditures, financing activities, access to sources of capital, impacts of the adoption of new accounting rules, impact of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, estimated sales and purchases under new power sale and purchase agreements, impacts of revisions to depreciation estimates, start and completion of construction projects, plans and estimated costs for new generation resources, and estimated construction and other expenditures. In some cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by terminology such as may, will, could, should, expects, plans, anticipates, believes, estimates, predicts, potential, or continue or the negative of these terms or other similar terminology. There are various factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements; accordingly, there can be no assurance that such indicated results will be realized. These factors include:

the impact of recent and future federal and state regulatory change, including legislative and regulatory initiatives regarding deregulation and restructuring of the electric utility industry, implementation of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, environmental laws including regulation of water quality and emissions of sulfur, nitrogen, mercury, carbon, soot, particulate matter, and other substances, and also changes in tax and other laws and regulations to which the Company is subject, as well as changes in application of existing laws and regulations;

current and future litigation, regulatory investigations, proceedings, or inquiries, including FERC matters;

the effects, extent, and timing of the entry of additional competition in the markets in which the Company operates;

variations in demand for electricity, including those relating to weather, the general economy and recovery from the recent recession, population and business growth (and declines), and the effects of energy conservation measures;

available sources and costs of fuels;

effects of inflation;

advances in technology;

state and federal rate regulations;

the ability to control costs and avoid cost overruns during the development and construction of facilities;

internal restructuring or other restructuring options that may be pursued;

potential business strategies, including acquisitions or dispositions of assets or businesses, which cannot be assured to be completed or beneficial to the Company;

the ability of counterparties of the Company to make payments as and when due and to perform as required;

the ability to obtain new short- and long-term contracts with wholesale customers;

the direct or indirect effect on the Company's business resulting from terrorist incidents and the threat of terrorist incidents;

interest rate fluctuations and financial market conditions and the results of financing efforts, including the Company's credit ratings;

the ability of the Company to obtain additional generating capacity at competitive prices;

catastrophic events such as fires, earthquakes, explosions, floods, hurricanes, droughts, pandemic health events such as influenzas, or other similar occurrences;

the direct or indirect effects on the Company's business resulting from incidents affecting the U.S. electric grid or operation of generating resources;

the effect of accounting pronouncements issued periodically by standard-setting bodies; and

other factors discussed elsewhere herein and in other reports (including the Form 10-K) filed by the Company from time to time with the SEC.

The Company expressly disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

II-406

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Revenues:			
Wholesale revenues, non-affiliates	\$ 394,366	\$ 667,979	\$ 416,648
Wholesale revenues, affiliates	544,415	638,266	547,229
Other revenues	7,870	7,296	8,137
Total operating revenues	946,651	1,313,541	972,014
Operating Expenses:			
Fuel	232,466	424,800	238,680
Purchased power, non-affiliates	79,355	132,222	64,604
Purchased power, affiliates	64,587	195,743	135,336
Other operations and maintenance	136,655	147,711	134,971
Loss (gain) on sale of property	4,977	(6,015)	
Loss on IGCC project			17,619
Depreciation and amortization	98,135	88,511	73,985
Taxes other than income taxes	16,920	17,700	15,744
Total operating expenses	633,095	1,000,672	680,939
Operating Income	313,556	312,869	291,075
Other Income and (Expense):			
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	(84,963)	(83,212)	(79,175)
Profit recognized on construction contract	13,296		
Other income (expense), net	(374)	7,594	3,285
Total other income and (expense)	(72,041)	(75,618)	(75,890)
Earnings Before Income Taxes	241,515	237,251	215,185
Income taxes	85,663	92,892	83,548
Net Income	\$ 155,852	\$ 144,359	\$ 131,637

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-407

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$ 155,852	\$ 144,359	\$ 131,637
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided from operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization, total	110,427	102,783	89,221
Deferred income taxes	22,950	70,338	31,665
Convertible investment tax credits received	16,800		
Deferred revenues	2,288	(703)	(4,852)
Mark-to-market adjustments	5,204	(925)	(3,033)
Accumulated billings on construction contract	48,451	85,619	60,417
Accumulated costs on construction contract	(46,765)	(110,096)	(29,645)
Loss on IGCC project			17,619
Profit recognized on construction contract	(13,296)		
Loss (gain) on sale of property	4,977	(6,015)	
Other, net	5,630	4,851	7,875
Changes in certain current assets and liabilities			
-Receivables	(9,717)	(11,156)	(3,155)
-Fossil fuel stock	2,738	(2,640)	(4,105)
-Materials and supplies	(5,345)	2,773	(1,169)
-Prepaid income taxes	16,296	(21,338)	
-Other current assets	(298)	1,413	(1,863)
-Accounts payable	2,043	10,451	23,027
-Accrued taxes	88	(1,622)	1,474
-Accrued interest	7	(252)	319
-Other current liabilities	(199)	(3,575)	
Net cash provided from operating activities	318,131	264,265	315,432
Investing Activities:			
Property additions	(137,133)	(49,964)	(139,198)
Cash paid for acquisitions	(194,156)		
Sale of property	84	5,073	
Sale of property to affiliates			4,291
Change in construction payables, net	13,435	(7,529)	(1,960)
Payments pursuant to long-term service agreements	(46,120)	(31,725)	(44,471)
Other investing activities	(184)	(1,625)	(2,514)
Net cash used for investing activities	(364,074)	(85,770)	(183,852)
Financing Activities:			

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Increase (decrease) in notes payable, net	118,948	(49,748)	(74,004)
Proceeds Capital contributions	2,353	3,642	3,533
Redemptions Other long-term debt			(1,209)
Payment of common stock dividends	(106,100)	(94,500)	(89,800)
Other			(24)
Net cash provided from (used for) financing activities	15,201	(140,606)	(161,504)
Net Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(30,742)	37,889	(29,924)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	37,894	5	29,929
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 7,152	\$ 37,894	\$ 5

Supplemental Cash Flow Information:

Cash paid during the period for

Interest (net of \$1,624, \$7,075 and \$16,541 capitalized, respectively)	\$ 73,064	\$ 69,716	\$ 63,766
Income taxes (net of refunds and investment tax credits)	30,220	47,611	50,724
Noncash value of business exchanged in West Georgia acquisition	70,839		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-408

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Assets	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,152	\$ 37,894
Receivables		
Customer accounts receivable	28,873	23,640
Other accounts receivable	2,064	2,162
Affiliated companies	38,561	33,401
Fossil fuel stock, at average cost	15,351	17,801
Materials and supplies, at average cost	31,607	26,527
Prepaid service agreements current	44,090	26,304
Prepaid income taxes	5,177	18,066
Other prepaid expenses	3,176	2,756
Assets from risk management activities	4,901	10,799
Other current assets	6,754	4,532
Total current assets	187,706	203,882
Property, Plant, and Equipment:		
In service	2,994,463	2,847,757
Less accumulated provision for depreciation	439,457	351,193
Plant in service, net of depreciation	2,555,006	2,496,564
Construction work in progress	153,982	8,775
Total property, plant, and equipment	2,708,988	2,505,339
Other Property and Investments:		
Goodwill	1,794	
Other intangible assets, net of amortization of \$17	49,102	
Total other property and investments	50,896	
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Prepaid long-term service agreements	74,513	81,542
Other deferred charges and assets affiliated	3,540	3,827
Other deferred charges and assets non-affiliated	17,410	18,550
Total deferred charges and other assets	95,463	103,919
Total Assets	\$3,043,053	\$2,813,140

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****At December 31, 2009 and 2008****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Liabilities and Stockholder's Equity	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Current Liabilities:		
Notes payable	\$ 118,948	\$
Accounts payable		
Affiliated	58,493	62,732
Other	31,128	11,278
Accrued taxes		
Accrued income taxes	1,449	88
Other accrued taxes	2,576	2,343
Accrued interest	29,923	29,916
Liabilities from risk management activities	8,119	7,452
Billings in excess of cost on construction contract	297	11,907
Other current liabilities	26	224
Total current liabilities	250,959	125,940
Long-Term Debt:		
Senior notes		
6.25% due 2012	575,000	575,000
4.875% due 2015	525,000	525,000
6.375% due 2036	200,000	200,000
Unamortized debt discount	(2,393)	(2,647)
Long-term debt	1,297,607	1,297,353
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	238,293	209,960
Deferred convertible investment tax credits	16,800	
Deferred capacity revenues - affiliated	36,369	32,211
Other deferred credits and liabilities - affiliated	5,651	6,667
Other deferred credits and liabilities - non-affiliated	2,252	2,648
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	299,365	251,486
Total Liabilities	1,847,931	1,674,779
Common Stockholder's Equity:		
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share		
Authorized - 1,000,000 shares		
Outstanding - 1,000 shares		
Paid-in capital	864,462	862,109
Retained earnings	352,061	302,309

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(21,401)	(26,057)
Total common stockholder s equity	1,195,122	1,138,361
Total Liabilities and Stockholder s Equity	\$3,043,053	\$2,813,140

Commitments and Contingent Matters (See notes)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-410

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMMON STOCKHOLDER S EQUITY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	Number of Common Shares	Common Stock	Paid-In Capital <i>(in thousands)</i>	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total
Balance at December 31, 2006	1	\$	\$854,933	\$ 211,295	\$(40,724)	\$1,025,504
Net income				131,637		131,637
Capital contributions from parent company			3,533			3,533
Other comprehensive income (loss)					7,014	7,014
Cash dividends on common stock				(89,800)		(89,800)
Other				(1)		(1)
Balance at December 31, 2007	1		858,466	253,131	(33,710)	1,077,887
Net income				144,359		144,359
Capital contributions from parent company			3,643			3,643
Other comprehensive income (loss)					7,653	7,653
Cash dividends on common stock				(94,500)		(94,500)
Other				(681)		(681)
Balance at December 31, 2008	1		862,109	302,309	(26,057)	1,138,361
Net income				155,852		155,852
Capital contributions from parent company			2,353			2,353
Other comprehensive income (loss)					4,656	4,656
Cash dividends on common stock				(106,100)		(106,100)
Balance at December 31, 2009	1	\$	\$864,462	\$ 352,061	\$(21,401)	\$1,195,122

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
For the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008 <i>(in thousands)</i>	2007
Net income	\$155,852	\$ 144,359	\$131,637
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Qualifying hedges:			
Changes in fair value, net of tax of \$(664), \$351, and \$(558), respectively	(1,044)	529	(842)
Reclassification adjustment for amounts included in net income, net of tax of \$3,875, \$4,554, and \$5,244, respectively	5,700	7,124	7,856
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	4,656	7,653	7,014
Comprehensive Income	\$160,508	\$ 152,012	\$138,651

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

II-412

Table of Contents**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES****General**

Southern Power Company (the Company) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Southern Company, which is also the parent company of four traditional operating companies, Southern Company Services, Inc. (SCS), Southern Communications Services, Inc. (SouthernLINC Wireless), Southern Company Holdings, Inc. (Southern Holdings), Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (Southern Nuclear), and other direct and indirect subsidiaries. The traditional operating companies, Alabama Power Company (APC), Georgia Power Company (GPC), Gulf Power Company (Gulf Power), and Mississippi Power Company, are vertically integrated utilities providing electric service in four Southeastern states. The Company constructs, acquires, owns, and manages generation assets and sells electricity at market-based rates in the wholesale market. SCS, the system service company, provides, at cost, specialized services to Southern Company and its subsidiary companies. SouthernLINC Wireless provides digital wireless communications for use by Southern Company and its subsidiary companies and also markets these services to the public and provides fiber cable services within the Southeast. Southern Holdings is an intermediate holding company subsidiary for Southern Company's investments in leveraged leases. Southern Nuclear operates and provides services to Southern Company's nuclear power plants.

The Company is subject to regulation by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires the use of estimates, and the actual results may differ from those estimates. Certain prior years' data presented in the financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

The financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Southern Company Florida LLC, Oleander Power Project, LP (Oleander), Southern Power Company Orlando Gasification LLC (SPC-OG), and Nacogdoches Power LLC, which own, operate, and maintain the Company's ownership interests in Plant Stanton Unit A and Plant Oleander, construct the combined cycle for the Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC), and construct a biomass generating facility, respectively. See Note 2 under Nacogdoches Power LLC Acquisition. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Affiliate Transactions

The Company has an agreement with SCS under which the following services are rendered to the Company at amounts in compliance with FERC regulation: general and design engineering, purchasing, accounting and statistical analysis, finance and treasury, tax, information resources, marketing, auditing, insurance and pension administration, human resources, systems and procedures, digital wireless communications, labor, and other services with respect to business and operations and Southern Company system fleet of generating units (power pool) transactions. Because the Company has no employees, all employee-related charges are rendered at amounts in compliance with FERC regulation under agreements with SCS. Costs for these services from SCS amounted to approximately \$133.0 million in 2009, \$207.4 million in 2008, and \$125.4 million in 2007. Approximately \$83.1 million in 2009, \$87.9 million in 2008, and \$74.1 million in 2007 were operations and maintenance expenses; the remainder was recorded to construction work in progress, other assets, and billings in excess of cost on construction contract. Cost allocation methodologies used by SCS were approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission prior to the repeal of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, and management believes they are reasonable. The FERC permits services to be rendered at cost by system service companies.

In 2003, the Company entered into agreements with APC and GPC under which APC and GPC operated and maintained Plants Dahlberg, Wansley, Franklin, and Harris. GPC also supplied various services for other plants. In August 2007, those agreements were terminated and replaced with service agreements under which APC and GPC provide specifically requested services to the Company. These services are billed at amounts in compliance with FERC regulation on a monthly basis and are recorded as operations and maintenance expenses in the consolidated statements of income. For the periods ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, billings under these agreements totaled approximately \$1.4 million, \$2.9 million, and \$9.2 million, respectively.

Total billings for all purchased power agreements (PPAs) in effect with affiliates totaled \$485.1 million, \$539.6 million, and \$505.2 million in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. Included in these billings were \$36.4 million and \$32.2 million of Deferred capacity revenues affiliated recorded on the balance sheets at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company and the traditional operating companies may jointly enter into various types of wholesale energy, natural gas, and certain other contracts, either directly or through SCS as agent. Each participating company may be jointly and severally liable for the obligations incurred under these agreements.

II-413

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The Company and the traditional operating companies generally settle amounts related to the above transactions on a monthly basis in the month following the performance of such services or the purchase or sale of electricity.

In 2009, there were no material transactions involving the sale of property to affiliated companies.

In 2008, Gulf Power and APC sold turbine rotor assemblies to the Company for \$9.4 million and \$6.3 million, respectively. Additionally, the Company sold a turbine rotor assembly to APC for \$8.2 million and sold a compressor assembly to GPC for \$3.9 million. No gain or loss was recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of income. These affiliate transactions were made in accordance with FERC and state Public Service Commission (PSC) rules and guidelines.

In 2007, the Company sold plots of land in Prattville, Alabama and Chilton County, Alabama to APC. The total sales price was \$4.3 million and is recorded in "Sale of property to affiliates" on the consolidated statements of cash flows. In addition, the Company sold a turbine rotor to Gulf Power for \$7.9 million. No gain or loss was recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of income. These affiliate transactions were made in accordance with FERC and state PSC rules and guidelines.

Acquisition Accounting

The Company has been engaged in a strategy of acquiring assets. The Company has accounted for these acquisitions under the purchase method in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Accordingly, the Company has included these operations in the consolidated financial statements from the respective date of acquisition. The purchase price of each acquisition was allocated to the fair value of the identifiable assets and liabilities. Any due diligence or transition costs incurred by the Company for successful or potential acquisitions after December 31, 2008 have been expensed as incurred.

Revenues

Capacity is sold at rates specified under contractual terms and is recognized at the lesser of the levelized amount or the amount billable under the contract over the respective contract periods. Energy is generally sold at market-based rates and the associated revenue is recognized as the energy is delivered. Transmission revenues and other fees are recognized as incurred as other operating revenue. Revenues are recorded on a gross basis for all full requirements PPAs. See "Financial Instruments" for additional information.

Significant portions of the Company's revenues have been derived from certain customers pursuant to PPAs. For the year ended December 31, 2009, GPC accounted for 43.7% of total revenues, APC accounted for 6.6% of total revenues, and Sawnee Electric Membership Corporation accounted for 6.0% of total revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2008, GPC accounted for 36.5% of total revenues, Sawnee Electric Membership Corporation accounted for 6.1% of total revenues, and Flint Electric Membership Corporation accounted for 5.3% of total revenues. For the year ended December 31, 2007, GPC accounted for 45.6% of total revenues, APC accounted for 6.9% of total revenues, and Sawnee Electric Membership Corporation accounted for 5.5% of total revenues.

Fuel Costs

Fuel costs are expensed as the fuel is consumed. Fuel costs also include emissions allowances which are expensed as the emissions occur.

Income and Other Taxes

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provides deferred income taxes for all significant income tax temporary differences. In accordance with accounting standards related to the uncertainty in income taxes, the Company recognizes tax positions that are "more likely than not" of being sustained upon examination by the appropriate taxing authorities. See Note 5 under "Unrecognized Tax Benefits" for additional information.

Convertible Investment Tax Credits

Under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, certain costs related to the Nacogdoches plant construction are eligible for investment tax credits (ITCs) or cash grants. The Company has elected to receive ITCs. The credits are recorded as a deferred credit, which will be amortized over the life of the asset, and the tax basis

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

of the asset is reduced by 50% of the credits received, resulting in a deferred tax asset. The Company has elected to recognize the tax benefit of this basis difference as a reduction to income tax expense as costs are incurred during the construction period. This basis difference will reverse and be recorded to income tax expense over the useful life of the asset once placed in service. The credits received during the year will be shown within operating activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Property, Plant, and Equipment

The Company's depreciable property, plant, and equipment consists entirely of generation assets.

Property, plant, and equipment is stated at original cost. Original cost includes materials, direct labor incurred by contractors and affiliated companies, minor items of property, and interest capitalized. Interest is capitalized on qualifying projects during the development and construction period. The cost to replace significant items of property defined as retirement units is capitalized. The cost of maintenance, repairs, and replacement of minor items of property is charged to maintenance expense as incurred.

Depreciation

Depreciation of the original cost of assets is computed under the straight-line method and applies a composite depreciation rate based on the assets' estimated useful lives determined by the Company. The primary assets in property, plant, and equipment are power plants, all of which have an estimated composite depreciable life ranging from 24-35 years. These lives reflect a composite of the significant components (retirement units) that make up the plants. The Company reviews its estimated useful lives and salvage values on an ongoing basis. The results of these reviews could result in changes which could have a material impact on net income in the near term.

A depreciation study was completed and the applicable remaining plant lives and associated depreciation rates were revised in January 2008 and January 2009. This change in estimate was due to revised useful life assumptions for certain components of plant in service. Depreciation rates by generating facility changed from a range of 2.8% to 3.8% to an adjusted range of 1.8% to 4.1% in January 2008. Depreciation rates by generating facility changed to an adjusted range of 1.9% to 5.6% in January 2009. These changes increased depreciation and reduced income from continuing operations and net income by \$4.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, for 2008 and \$5.1 million and \$3.1 million, respectively, for 2009.

When property subject to composite depreciation is retired or otherwise disposed of in the normal course of business, its cost is charged to accumulated depreciation. For other property dispositions, the applicable cost and accumulated depreciation is removed from the accounts and a gain or loss is recognized.

Asset Retirement Obligations and Other Costs of Removal

The present value of the ultimate costs for an asset's future retirement is recorded in the period in which the liability is incurred. The costs are capitalized as part of the related long-lived asset and depreciated over the asset's useful life. At December 31, 2009, the Company had no material liability for asset retirement obligations.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangibles

The Company evaluates long-lived assets and intangibles for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. The Company's intangible assets consist of acquired PPAs that are amortized over the term of the PPA and goodwill resulting from acquisitions. The average term of the PPAs is 20 years. The determination of whether impairment has occurred is based on an estimate of undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets, as compared with the carrying value of the assets. If impairment has occurred, the amount of the impairment recognized is determined by estimating the fair value of the assets and recording a loss if the carrying value is greater than the fair value. Impairment of goodwill is assessed on an annual basis. For assets identified as held for sale, the carrying value is compared to the estimated fair value less the cost to sell in order to determine if an impairment loss is required. Until the assets are disposed of, their estimated fair value is re-evaluated when circumstances or events change.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The amortization expense for the PPAs is as follows:

	Amortization Expense
	<i>(in millions)</i>
2010	\$ 0.7
2011	0.8
2012	1.8
2013	2.5
2014	2.5
2015 and beyond	40.9
Total	\$ 49.2

Deferred Project Development Costs

The Company capitalizes project development costs once it is determined that it is probable that a specific site will be acquired and a power plant constructed. These costs include professional services, permits, and other costs directly related to the construction of a new project. These costs are generally transferred to construction work in progress upon commencement of construction. The total deferred project development costs were \$9.0 million at December 31, 2009, \$8.9 million at December 31, 2008, and \$8.4 million at December 31, 2007.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of the financial statements, temporary cash investments are considered cash equivalents. Temporary cash investments are securities with original maturities of 90 days or less.

Materials and Supplies

Generally, materials and supplies include the average costs of generating plant materials. Materials are charged to inventory when purchased and then expensed or capitalized to plant, as appropriate, at weighted average cost when installed.

Fuel Inventory

Fuel inventory includes the cost of oil and emissions allowances. The Company maintains minimal oil levels for use at Plant Dahlberg, Plant Oleander, Plant Rowan, and Plant West Georgia. Inventory is maintained using the weighted average cost method. Fuel inventory and emissions allowances are recorded at actual cost when purchased and then expensed at weighted average cost as used.

Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to limit exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, the prices of certain fuel purchases, and electricity purchases and sales. All derivative financial instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities (included in Other or shown separately as Risk Management Activities) and are measured at fair value. See Note 8 for additional information. Substantially all of the Company's bulk energy purchases and sales contracts that meet the definition of a derivative are excluded from fair value accounting requirements because they qualify and are designated for the normal scope exception, and are accounted for under the accrual method. Other derivative contracts qualify as cash flow hedges of anticipated transactions. This results in the deferral of related gains and losses in other comprehensive income until the hedged transactions occur. Any ineffectiveness is recognized currently in net income. Other derivative contracts are marked to market through current period income and are recorded in the financial statement line item where they will eventually settle. See Note 9 for additional information. The Company does not offset fair value amounts recognized for multiple derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. Additionally, the Company had no outstanding collateral repayment obligations or rights to reclaim collateral arising from derivative instruments recognized at December 31,

2009.

II-416

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The Company is exposed to losses related to financial instruments in the event of counterparties' nonperformance. The Company has established controls to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties in order to mitigate the Company's exposure to counterparty credit risk.

The fair values were based on either closing market prices (Level 1) or closing prices of comparable instruments (Level 2). See Note 8 for all other items recognized at fair value in the financial statements.

Other Income and (Expense)

Other income and (expense) includes non-operating revenues and expenses. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred.

The Company has a long-term contract for engineering, procurement, and construction services to build a combined cycle unit for the OUC. Construction activities commenced in 2006 and were substantially completed in 2009.

Billings and costs are recognized using the percentage of completion method. The Company utilizes the cost-to-cost approach as this method is less subjective than relying on assessments of physical progress. The percentage of completion represents the percentage of the total costs incurred to the estimated total cost of the contract. Billings and costs are recognized on a net basis by applying this percentage to the total revenues and estimated costs of the contract and are recorded in other income and (expense) in the consolidated statements of income. Net profit recognized under the long-term construction contract for the OUC was \$13.3 million in 2009. No profit or loss was recognized in 2008 or 2007.

In 2008, the Company received a fee of \$6.4 million for participating in an asset auction. The Company was not the successful bidder in the asset auction.

Interest related to the construction of new facilities is capitalized in accordance with GAAP.

Comprehensive Income

The objective of comprehensive income is to report a measure of all changes in common stock equity of an enterprise that result from transactions and other economic events of the period other than transactions with owners.

Comprehensive income consists of net income, changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges, and reclassifications of amounts included in net income.

2. ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES**Nacogdoches Power LLC Acquisition**

On October 8, 2009, the Company acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of Nacogdoches Power LLC (Nacogdoches) from American Renewables LLC, the original developer of the project. The Company is constructing a biomass generating plant in Sacul, Texas with an estimated capacity of 100 megawatts (MWs). The generating plant will be fueled from wood waste. Construction commenced in late 2009 and the plant is expected to begin commercial operation in 2012. The total estimated cost of the project is expected to be between \$475 million and \$500 million.

The output of the plant is contracted under a PPA with Austin Energy that begins in 2012 and expires in 2032 or until a contractual limit of \$2.3 billion is reached. This PPA will be accounted for as an operating lease.

The Company's acquisition of the interests in Nacogdoches included cash consideration of approximately \$50.1 million. The Nacogdoches acquisition is in accordance with the Company's overall growth strategy. There are no contingent consideration arrangements and no significant assets or liabilities arising from contingencies. No goodwill was recorded as a result of this acquisition. An intangible asset related to the assumed PPA with Austin Energy was recognized. Due diligence and transition costs for Nacogdoches were expensed as incurred and were not material. The fair value of the consideration transferred and the fair value of each major class of assets and liabilities at the acquisition date was as follows:

As of October 8, 2009

	<i>(in millions)</i>
Construction work in progress	\$16.2

Other assets	0.1
Intangible assets	33.8
Total fair value of the membership interests in Nacogdoches	\$50.1

II-417

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****West Georgia Generating Company, LLC Acquisition and DeSoto County Generating Company, LLC Divestiture**

On December 17, 2009, the Company acquired all of the outstanding membership interests of West Georgia Generating Company, LLC (West Georgia) from Broadway Gen Funding, LLC (Broadway), an affiliate of LS Power. West Georgia was merged into the Company and the Company now owns a 669-MW nameplate capacity generating facility consisting of four combustion turbine natural gas generating units with oil back-up. The output from two units is contracted under PPAs with the Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia (MEAG Power) and the Georgia Energy Cooperative, Inc. (GEC). The MEAG Power agreement began in 2009 and expires in 2029. The GEC agreement begins in 2010 and expires in 2030.

The Company's acquisition of the interests in West Georgia was pursuant to an agreement which included the transfer of all the outstanding membership interests of DeSoto County Generating Company LLC (DeSoto) from the Company to Broadway and the payment by the Company of \$144.0 million in cash consideration. The carrying values of the major classes of assets disposed of were \$2.0 million in fossil fuel stock, \$1.2 million in materials and supplies, \$72.1 million in property, plant and equipment, and \$0.8 million in other deferred assets. The transaction was treated as a like-kind exchange for income tax purposes. The West Georgia acquisition is in accordance with the Company's overall growth strategy. There are no contingent consideration arrangements and no significant assets or liabilities arising from contingencies. The goodwill arising from the acquisition consists largely of synergies and economies of scale from combining the operations of the Company and West Georgia and is expected to be tax deductible. Due diligence and transition costs for West Georgia were expensed as incurred and were not material.

The fair value of the consideration transferred and the fair value of each major class of assets and liabilities at the acquisition date was as follows:

As of December 17, 2009

	<i>(in millions)</i>
Customer accounts receivable	\$ 0.4
Fossil fuel stock	1.8
Materials and supplies	0.9
Property, plant, and equipment	192.4
Other assets	2.5
Goodwill	1.8
Intangible assets (PPAs)	15.3
Accounts payable	(0.3)
 Total fair value of the membership interests in West Georgia	 214.8
 Fair value of DeSoto interests	 (70.8)
 Cash consideration transferred	 \$144.0

Fair value amounts allocated to materials and supplies and other assets are preliminary estimates pending final application of the Company's accounting policies.

Revenues and expenses recognized by the Company for West Georgia operations after the closing date were not material. PPA amortization expense for 2009 was not material.

Pro Forma Information

The following unaudited pro forma financial information gives effect to the Nacogdoches acquisition, the West Georgia acquisition, and the DeSoto divestiture as if they had occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented.

The pro forma financial information is not intended to represent or be indicative of the consolidated results of operations or financial condition of the Company that would have been reported had the acquisitions and divestiture been completed as of the dates presented nor should the information be taken as representative of any future consolidated results of operations or financial condition of the Company.

For the Twelve Months Ended December 31

	2009	2008
		<i>(in millions)</i>
Pro forma revenues	\$957.4	\$1,353.3
Pro forma net income	151.1	146.6

II-418

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****3. CONTINGENCIES AND REGULATORY MATTERS****General Litigation Matters**

The Company is subject to certain claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In addition, the Company's business activities are subject to extensive governmental regulation related to public health and the environment. Litigation over environmental issues and claims of various types, including property and other damage, personal injury, common law nuisance, and citizen enforcement of environmental requirements such as opacity and air and water quality standards, has increased generally throughout the United States. In particular, personal injury and other claims for damages caused by alleged exposure to hazardous materials, and common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas and other emissions, have become more frequent. The ultimate outcome of such pending or potential litigation against the Company and its subsidiaries cannot be predicted at this time; however, for current proceedings not specifically reported herein, management does not anticipate that the liabilities, if any, arising from such current proceedings would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

FERC Matters***Market-Based Rate Authority***

The Company has authorization from the FERC to sell power to non-affiliates, including short-term opportunity sales, at market-based prices. Specific FERC approval must be obtained with respect to a market-based contract with an affiliate.

In December 2004, the FERC initiated a proceeding to assess Southern Company's generation market power within its retail service territory. The ability to charge market-based rates in other markets was not an issue in the proceeding. Any new market-based rate sales by any subsidiary of Southern Company in Southern Company's retail service territory entered into during a 15-month refund period that ended in May 2006 could have been subject to refund to a cost-based rate level.

On December 23, 2009, Southern Company and the FERC trial staff reached an agreement in principle that would resolve the proceeding in its entirety. The agreement does not reflect any finding or suggestion that the Company possessed or has exercised any market power. The agreement likewise does not require the Company to make any refunds related to sales during the 15-month refund period. Under the agreement, the Company will donate \$0.2 million to nonprofit organizations in the States of Alabama and Georgia for the purpose of offsetting the electricity bills of low-income retail customers. The agreement is subject to review and approval by the FERC.

Intercompany Interchange Contract

The majority of the Company's generation fleet is operated under the Intercompany Interchange Contract (IIC), as approved by the FERC. In May 2005, the FERC initiated a new proceeding to examine (1) the provisions of the IIC among the traditional operating companies, the Company, and SCS, as agent, under the terms of which the power pool of Southern Company is operated, (2) whether any parties to the IIC have violated the FERC's standards of conduct applicable to utility companies that are transmission providers, and (3) whether Southern Company's code of conduct defining the Company as a system company rather than a marketing affiliate is just and reasonable. In connection with the formation of the Company, the FERC authorized the Company's inclusion in the IIC in 2000. The FERC also previously approved Southern Company's code of conduct.

In October 2006, the FERC issued an order accepting a settlement resolving the proceeding subject to Southern Company's agreement to accept certain modifications to the settlement's terms. Southern Company notified the FERC that it accepted the modifications. The modifications largely involve functional separation and information restrictions related to marketing activities conducted on behalf of the Company. In November 2006, Southern Company filed with the FERC a compliance plan in connection with the order. In April 2007, the FERC approved, with certain modifications, the plan submitted by Southern Company. Implementation of the plan did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements. In November 2007, Southern Company notified the FERC that the plan had been implemented. In December 2008, the FERC division of audits issued for public comment its final audit report pertaining to compliance implementation and related matters. No comments were submitted challenging the audit

report's findings of Southern Company's compliance. The proceeding remains open pending a decision from the FERC regarding the audit report.

II-419

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report
Carbon Dioxide Litigation*****Kivalina Case***

In February, 2008, the Native Village of Kivalina and the City of Kivalina filed a suit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against several electric utilities (including Southern Company), several oil companies, and a coal company. The plaintiffs are the governing bodies of an Inupiat village in Alaska. The plaintiffs contend that the village is being destroyed by erosion allegedly caused by global warming that the plaintiffs attribute to emissions of greenhouse gases by the defendants. The plaintiffs assert claims for public and private nuisance and contend that some of the defendants have acted in concert and are therefore jointly and severally liable for the plaintiffs' damages. The suit seeks damages for lost property values and for the cost of relocating the village, which is alleged to be \$95 million to \$400 million. Southern Company believes that these claims are without merit and notes that the complaint cites no statutory or regulatory basis for the claims. On September 30, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California granted the defendants' motions to dismiss the case based on lack of jurisdiction and ruled the claims were barred by the political question doctrine and by the plaintiffs' failure to establish the standard for determining that the defendants' conduct caused the injury alleged. On November 5, 2009, the plaintiffs filed an appeal with the U.S. District Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit challenging the district court's order dismissing the case. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

Other Litigation

Common law nuisance claims for injunctive relief and property damage allegedly caused by greenhouse gas emissions have become more frequent, and courts have recently determined that private parties and states have standing to bring such claims. For example, on October 16, 2009, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit reversed the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi's dismissal of private party claims against certain oil, coal, chemical, and utility companies alleging damages as a result of Hurricane Katrina. In reversing the dismissal, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that plaintiffs have standing to assert their nuisance, trespass, and negligence claims and none of these claims are barred by the political question doctrine. The Company is not currently a party to this litigation but was named as a defendant in an amended complaint which was rendered moot in August 2007 by the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi when such court dismissed the original matter. The ultimate outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time.

4. JOINT OWNERSHIP AGREEMENTS

The Company is a 65% owner of Plant Stanton A, a combined-cycle project with a nameplate capacity of 630 MWs. The unit is co-owned by the OUC (28%), Florida Municipal Power Agency (3.5%), and Kissimmee Utility Authority (3.5%). The Company has a service agreement with SCS whereby SCS is responsible for the operation and maintenance of Plant Stanton A. As of December 31, 2009, \$151.2 million was recorded in plant in service with associated accumulated depreciation of \$19.8 million. These amounts represent the Company's share of the total plant assets and each owner must provide its own financing. The Company's proportionate share of Plant Stanton A's operating expense is included in the corresponding operating expenses in the statements of income.

5. INCOME TAXES

Southern Company files a consolidated federal income tax return and combined tax returns for the State of Georgia, the State of Alabama, and the State of Mississippi. Under a joint consolidated income tax allocation agreement, each subsidiary's current and deferred tax expense is computed on a stand-alone basis, and no subsidiary is allocated more expense than would be paid if it filed a separate income tax return. In accordance with Internal Revenue Service (IRS) regulations, each company is jointly and severally liable for the tax liability.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Current and Deferred Income Taxes**

Details of income tax provisions are as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Federal			
Current	\$55.0	\$18.9	\$42.8
Deferred	19.3	57.2	26.8
	74.3	76.1	69.6
State			
Current	7.7	3.6	9.0
Deferred	3.7	13.2	4.9
	11.4	16.8	13.9
Total	\$85.7	\$92.9	\$83.5

The tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities in the financial statements and their respective tax bases, which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	2009	2008	
		<i>(in millions)</i>	
Deferred tax liabilities			
Accelerated depreciation and other property basis differences	\$303.9	\$274.1	
Basis difference on asset transfers	3.9	4.3	
Other		2.5	
Total	307.8	280.9	
Deferred tax assets			
Federal effect of state deferred taxes	13.7	12.9	
Basis difference on convertible investment tax credits	2.9		
Basis differences on asset transfers	6.7	7.9	
Other comprehensive loss on interest rate swaps	28.1	32.4	
Levelized capacity revenues	15.2	14.3	
Other	1.7		
Total	68.3	67.5	
Total deferred tax liabilities, net	239.5	213.4	
Portion included in current income taxes	(1.2)	(3.4)	
Accumulated deferred income taxes in the balance sheets	\$238.3	\$210.0	

Deferred tax liabilities are the result of property related timing differences. The transfer of the Plant McIntosh construction project to GPC in 2004 resulted in a deferred gain for federal income tax purposes. GPC is reimbursing the Company for the related tax liability balance of \$3.9 million. Of this total, \$0.4 million is included in the balance sheets in *Receivables - Affiliated companies* and the remainder is included in *Other deferred charges and assets - affiliated*.

Deferred tax assets consist primarily of timing differences related to the recognition of capacity revenues and the deferred loss on interest rate swaps reflected in other comprehensive income. The transfer of Plants Dahlberg, Wansley, and Franklin to the Company from GPC in 2001 also resulted in a deferred gain for federal income tax purposes. The Company will reimburse GPC for the related tax asset of \$6.7 million. Of this total, \$1.0 million is included in the balance sheets in *Accounts payable - Affiliated* and the remainder is included in *Other deferred credits and liabilities - affiliated*.

II-421

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****Effective Tax Rate**

A reconciliation of the federal statutory tax rate to the effective income tax rate is as follows:

	2009	2008	2007
Federal statutory rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income tax, net of federal deduction	3.1	4.6	4.2
ITC basis difference	(1.2)		
Other	(1.4)	(0.4)	(0.4)
Effective income tax rate	35.5%	39.2%	38.8%

The American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 created a tax deduction for a portion of income attributable to U.S. production activities as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, Section 199 (production activities deduction). The deduction is equal to a stated percentage of qualified production activities net income. The percentage is phased in over the years 2005 through 2010 with a 3% rate applicable to the years 2005 and 2006, a 6% rate applicable for the years 2007 through 2009, and a 9% rate thereafter. The IRS has not clearly defined a methodology for calculating this deduction. However, Southern Company reached an agreement with the IRS on a calculation methodology and signed a closing agreement in December 2008. Therefore, in 2008, the Company reversed the unrecognized tax benefit related to the calculation methodology and adjusted the deduction for all previous years to conform to the agreement which resulted in a decrease in the 2008 deduction when compared to the 2007 deduction. Certain aspects of the production activities deduction remain unresolved. The net impact of the reversal of the unrecognized tax benefits combined with the application of the new methodology had no material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Convertible ITCs received in 2009 for the construction of Plant Nacogdoches were \$16.8 million; the tax benefit of the basis difference reduced income tax expense by \$2.9 million. See Note 1 under Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Convertible Investment Tax Credits for additional information.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

For 2009, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits decreased \$0.4 million, resulting in a balance of \$0.1 million as of December 31, 2009.

Changes during the year in unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year	\$ 0.5	\$ 1.4	\$0.2
Tax positions from current periods	0.3	0.3	0.4
Tax positions from prior periods	(0.7)	0.1	0.8
Reductions due to settlements		(1.3)	
Reductions due to expired statute of limitations			
Balance at end of year	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.5	\$1.4

The tax positions from the current periods increase for 2009 relate primarily to the production activities deduction tax position and other miscellaneous uncertain tax positions. The tax positions decrease from prior periods for 2009 relates primarily to the production activities deduction tax position. See Effective Tax Rate above for additional information.

Impact on the Company's effective tax rate, if recognized, is as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Tax positions impacting the effective tax rate	\$0.1	\$0.5	\$1.4
Tax positions not impacting the effective tax rate			
Balance of unrecognized tax benefits	\$0.1	\$0.5	\$1.4

II-422

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Accrued interest for unrecognized tax benefits was as follows:

	2009	2008 <i>(in millions)</i>	2007
Interest accrued at beginning of year	\$	\$ 0.1	\$
Interest reclassified due to settlements		(0.1)	
Interest accrued during the year			0.1
Balance at end of year	\$	\$	\$0.1

The Company classifies interest on tax uncertainties as interest expense. The Company did not accrue any penalties on uncertain tax positions.

It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized benefit with respect to a majority of the Company's unrecognized tax positions will increase or decrease within the next 12 months. The possible conclusion or settlement of state audits could impact the balances significantly. At this time, an estimate of the range of reasonably possible outcomes cannot be determined.

The IRS has audited and closed all tax returns prior to 2004. The audits for the state returns have either been concluded, or the statute of limitations has expired, for years prior to 2006.

6. FINANCING**Senior Notes**

In 2009 and 2008, the Company did not issue any long-term debt securities. Long-term debt outstanding was \$1.3 billion at December 31, 2009 and 2008.

Bank Credit Arrangements

The Company has a \$400 million unsecured syndicated revolving credit facility (Facility) expiring in July 2012. The purpose of the Facility is to provide liquidity support to the Company's commercial paper program and for other general corporate purposes. There were no borrowings outstanding under the Facility at December 31, 2009 and 2008. The Company is required to pay a commitment fee on the unused balance of the Facility. This fee is less than $\frac{1}{8}$ of 1%. In 2009 and 2008, the Company incurred approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, in expenses from commitment fees under the Facility.

The Facility contains a covenant that limits the ratio of debt to capitalization (each as defined in the Facility) to a maximum of 65%. The Facility also contains a cross default provision that would be triggered if the Company defaulted on other indebtedness above a specified threshold. As of December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

The Company has established a commercial paper program. For the year ended December 31, 2009, the peak commercial paper balance outstanding was \$118.9 million. The average amount outstanding was \$6.6 million in 2009. The average annual interest rate was 0.4%. At December 31, 2009, the commercial paper program had \$118.9 million outstanding. At December 31, 2008, the commercial paper program had no outstanding balances.

Dividend Restrictions

The Company can only pay dividends to Southern Company out of retained earnings or paid-in-capital.

The Facility and the indenture related to certain series of the Company's senior notes also contain certain limitations on the payment of common stock dividends. No dividends may be paid unless, as of the end of any calendar quarter, the Company's projected cash flows from fixed priced capacity PPAs are at least 80% of total projected cash flows for the next 12 months or the Company's debt to capitalization ratio is no greater than 60%. At December 31, 2009, the Company was in compliance with these ratios and had no other restrictions on its ability to pay dividends.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****7. COMMITMENTS****Expansion Program**

The capital program of the Company is currently estimated to be \$627.4 million for 2010, \$856.5 million for 2011, and \$379.0 million for 2012. These amounts include estimates for potential plant acquisitions and new construction as well as ongoing capital improvements. Planned expenditures for plant acquisitions may vary due to market opportunities and the Company's ability to execute its growth strategy. Actual construction costs may vary from these estimates because of changes in factors such as: business conditions; environmental statutes and regulations; FERC rules and regulations; load projections; changes in legislation; the cost and efficiency of construction labor, equipment, and materials; project scope and design changes; and the cost of capital.

Long-Term Service Agreements

The Company has entered into Long-Term Service Agreements (LTSAs) with General Electric and Siemens AG for the purpose of securing maintenance support for its combined cycle and combustion turbine generating facilities. In summary, the LTSAs provide that the vendors will perform all planned inspections and certain unplanned maintenance on the covered equipment, which includes the cost of all labor and materials.

Scheduled payments to the vendors, which are subject to price escalation, are made at various intervals based on actual operating hours or number of gas turbine starts of the respective units. Total remaining payments to the vendors under these agreements are currently estimated at \$1.2 billion over the remaining term of the agreements, which may range up to 24 years. However, the LTSAs contain various cancellation provisions at the Company's and the applicable vendor's option. In the event of cancellation prior to scheduled work being performed, the Company is entitled to a refund of amounts paid as calculated in accordance with termination provisions of the agreements.

Payments made to the vendors prior to the performance of any planned inspections or unplanned maintenance are recorded as a prepayment in current assets or deferred charges and other assets on the balance sheets and are recorded as payments pursuant to long-term service agreements in the statements of cash flows. Inspection and maintenance costs are capitalized or charged to expense based on the nature of the work when performed and are non-cash and are not reflected in the statements of cash flows.

Fuel and Purchased Power Commitments

SCS, as agent for the traditional operating companies and the Company, has entered into various fuel transportation and procurement agreements to supply a portion of the fuel (primarily natural gas) requirements for the operating facilities. In most cases, these contracts contain provisions for firm transportation costs, storage costs, minimum purchase levels, and other financial commitments. Natural gas purchase commitments contain fixed volumes with prices based on various indices at the actual time of delivery; amounts included in the chart below represent estimates based on the New York Mercantile Exchange future prices at December 31, 2009. Also, the Company has entered into various long-term commitments for the purchase of biomass fuel for the biomass generating plant being constructed by the Company and for the purchase of electricity.

Total estimated minimum long-term obligations at December 31, 2009 were as follows:

	Natural Gas Commitments	Biomass Fuel Commitments (in millions)	Purchased Power Commitments^(a)
2010	\$ 165.8	\$	\$ 13.6
2011	182.4		7.8
2012	141.5	17.0	49.2
2013	129.6	17.4	50.4
2014	109.9	17.7	51.6
2015 and beyond	277.6	127.6	295.2

Total	\$ 1,006.8	\$ 179.7	\$ 467.8
-------	------------	----------	----------

(a) Represents contractual capacity payments.

Additional commitments for fuel will be required to supply the Company's future needs.

II-424

Table of Contents**Notes (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

During 2008, the Company entered into agreements to purchase 452 MWs of power from three counterparties. Approximately 352 MWs of these commitment obligations will be used to serve the Company's requirements service customers. Another power purchase agreement for 100 MWs will be resold to EnergyUnited Electric Membership Corporation (EnergyUnited) at cost for the period 2012 through 2021. The purchase power commitments for the EnergyUnited agreement are \$35.4 million in 2012, \$36.1 million in 2013, \$36.8 million in 2014, and \$279.3 million in 2015 and beyond.

In addition, the Company has entered into an agreement to purchase power of up to 200 MWs at the discretion of the counterparty for the period 2011 through 2018. There is no contractual capacity payment required under this agreement. Additionally, for all amounts purchased under this arrangement, the Company will pay the counterparty an amount per MW which approximates the Company's cost.

Acting as an agent for all of Southern Company's traditional operating companies and the Company, SCS may enter into various types of wholesale energy and natural gas contracts. Under these agreements, each of the traditional operating companies and the Company may be jointly and severally liable. The creditworthiness of the Company is currently inferior to the creditworthiness of the traditional operating companies; therefore, Southern Company has entered into keep-well agreements with each of the traditional operating companies to ensure they will not subsidize nor be responsible for any costs, losses, liabilities, or damages resulting from the inclusion of the Company as a contracting party under these agreements.

Operating Leases

The Company has operating lease agreements with various terms and expiration dates. Total operating lease expenses were \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million, and \$0.5 million for 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The majority of the lease expense amounts and committed future expenditures are with a joint owner of Plant Stanton Unit A.

At December 31, 2009, estimated minimum rental commitments for noncancelable operating leases were as follows:

	Operating Lease Commitments <i>(in millions)</i>
2010	\$ 0.6
2011	0.5
2012	0.5
2013	0.5
2014	0.5
2015 and beyond	22.3
Total	\$ 24.9

8. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value measurements are based on inputs of observable and unobservable market data that a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability. The use of observable inputs is maximized where available and the use of unobservable inputs is minimized for fair value measurement and reflects a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques used for fair value measurement.

Level 1 consists of observable market data in an active market for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 consists of observable market data, other than that included in Level 1, that is either directly or indirectly observable.

Level 3 consists of unobservable market data. The input may reflect the assumptions of the Company of what a market participant would use in pricing an asset or liability. If there is little available market data, then the Company's own assumptions are the best available information. The need to use unobservable inputs would typically apply to long-term energy-related derivative contracts and generally results from the nature of the energy industry, as each participant forecasts its own power supply and demand and those of other participants, which directly impact the valuation of each unique contract.

In the case of multiple inputs being used in a fair value measurement, the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement represents the level in the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurement is reported.

II-425

Table of Contents**Notes (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

The fair value measurements performed on a recurring basis and the level of the fair value hierarchy in which they fall at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

As of December 31, 2009:	Fair Value Measurements Using			Total (in millions)
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Assets:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 5.1	\$	\$5.1
Liabilities:				
Energy-related derivatives	\$	\$ 8.6	\$	\$8.6

Energy-related derivatives primarily consist of over-the-counter contracts. See Note 9 for additional information. All of these financial instruments are valued primarily using the market approach.

As of December 31, 2009, other financial instruments for which the carrying amount did not equal fair value were as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
	(in millions)	
Long-term debt:		
2009	\$1,298	\$1,379
2008	1,297	1,270

9. DERIVATIVES

The Company is exposed to market risks, primarily commodity price risk and interest rate risk. To manage the volatility attributable to these exposures, the Company nets its exposures, where possible, to take advantage of natural offsets and enters into various derivative transactions for the remaining exposures pursuant to the Company's policies in areas such as counterparty exposure and risk management practices. The Company's policy is that derivatives are to be used primarily for hedging purposes and mandates strict adherence to all applicable risk management policies. Derivative positions are monitored using techniques including, but not limited to, market valuation, value at risk, stress testing, and sensitivity analysis. Derivative instruments are recognized at fair value in the balance sheets as either assets or liabilities.

Energy-Related Derivatives

The Company enters into energy-related derivatives to hedge exposures to electricity, gas, and other fuel price changes. The Company has limited exposure to market volatility in commodity fuel prices and prices of electricity because its long-term sales contracts shift substantially all fuel cost responsibility to the purchaser. However, the Company has been and may continue to be exposed to market volatility in energy-related commodity prices as a result of sales of uncontracted generating capacity.

To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in electricity prices, the Company enters into physical fixed-price or heat rate contracts for the purchase and sale of electricity through the wholesale electricity market. To mitigate residual risks relative to movements in gas prices, the Company may enter into fixed-price contracts for natural gas purchases; however, a significant portion of contracts are priced at market.

Energy-related derivative contracts are accounted for in one of two methods:

Cash Flow Hedges Gains and losses on energy-related derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are used to hedge anticipated purchases and sales and are initially deferred in other comprehensive income (OCI) before being recognized in income in the same period as the hedged transactions are reflected in earnings.

Not Designated Gains and losses on energy-related derivative contracts that are not designated or fail to qualify as hedges are recognized in the statements of income as incurred.

II-426

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

Some energy-related derivative contracts require physical delivery as opposed to financial settlement, and this type of derivative is both common and prevalent within the electric industry. When an energy-related derivative contract is settled physically, any cumulative unrealized gain or loss is reversed and the contract price is recognized in the respective line item representing the actual price of the underlying goods being delivered.

At December 31, 2009, the net volume of energy-related derivative contracts for power and natural gas positions for the Company, together with the longest hedge date over which the Company is hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions and the longest date for derivatives not designated as hedges, were as follows:

Net Sold Megawatt- hours	Power Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date	Net Purchased mmBtu (in millions)	Gas Longest Hedge Date	Longest Non-Hedge Date
(in millions) 2.6	2010	2010	11*	2012	2014

* Includes location basis of 2 million British thermal units (mmBtu).

For cash flow hedges, the amounts expected to be reclassified from OCI to revenue and fuel expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 are losses of \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

Interest Rate Derivatives

The Company also enters into interest rate derivatives from time to time, which include forward-starting interest rate swaps, to hedge exposure to changes in interest rates. Derivatives related to existing variable rate securities or forecasted transactions are accounted for as cash flow hedges, where the fair value gains or losses are recorded in OCI and are reclassified into earnings at the same time the hedged transactions affect earnings. The derivatives employed as hedging instruments are structured to minimize ineffectiveness. At December 31, 2009, there were no interest rate derivatives outstanding.

The estimated pre-tax loss that will be reclassified from OCI to interest expense for the next 12-month period ending December 31, 2010 is \$10.7 million. The Company has deferred gains and losses that are expected to be amortized into earnings through 2016.

Derivative Financial Statement Presentation and Amounts

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the fair value of energy-related derivatives was reflected in the balance sheets as follows:

Derivative Category	Asset Derivatives Balance Sheet		Liability Derivatives Balance Sheet	
	Location	2009 2008 (in millions)	Location	2009 2008 (in millions)
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges				
Energy-related derivatives:	Assets from risk management activities	\$3.2 \$	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$5.3 \$0.6

	Other deferred charges and assets non-affiliated		Other deferred credits and liabilities non-affiliated	0.4	0.2
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments in cash flow hedges		\$3.2	\$	\$5.7	\$0.8
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments					
Energy-related derivatives:	Assets from risk management activities	\$1.7	\$10.8	Liabilities from risk management activities	\$2.8 \$6.9
	Other deferred charges and assets non-affiliated	0.2	0.3	Other deferred credits and liabilities non-affiliated	0.1
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		\$1.9	\$11.1	\$2.9	\$6.9
Total		\$5.1	\$11.1	\$8.6	\$7.7

II-427

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report**

All derivative instruments are measured at fair value. See Note 8 for additional information.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives and interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships Derivative Category	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)			Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)			Amount			
	2009	2008	2007	Statements of Income Location	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007
	<i>(in millions)</i>				<i>(in millions)</i>					
Energy-related derivatives	\$(1.7)	\$ 0.9	\$(1.4)	Fuel	\$	\$	\$ (0.1)			
				Amortization and Depreciation	0.4	0.4	0.4			
Interest rate derivatives				Interest expense	(10.0)	(12.0)	(13.4)			
Total	\$(1.7)	\$ 0.9	\$(1.4)		\$ (9.6)	\$(11.6)	\$(13.1)			

There was no material ineffectiveness recorded in earnings for any period presented.

For the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, and 2007, the pre-tax effect of energy-related derivatives not designated as hedging instruments on the statements of income were as follows:

Derivatives not Designated as Hedging Instruments Derivative Category	Unrealized Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income			Amount			
	Statements of Income Location	2009	2008	2007	2009	2008	2007
		<i>(in millions)</i>					
Energy-related derivatives:	Wholesale revenues	\$ 5.3	\$(1.9)	\$			
	Fuel	(6.0)	5.1				
	Purchased power	(4.5)	(2.3)				
	Other income (expense), net						2.8
Total		\$ (5.2)	\$ 0.9	\$ 2.8			

Contingent Features

The Company does not have any credit arrangements that would require material changes in payment schedules or terminations as a result of a credit rating downgrade. There are certain derivatives that could require collateral, but not accelerated payment, in the event of various credit rating changes of certain affiliated companies. At December 31, 2009, the fair value of derivative liabilities with contingent features was \$1.7 million.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had no collateral posted with their derivative counterparties; however, because of the joint and several liability features underlying these derivatives, the maximum potential collateral requirements arising from the credit-risk-related contingent features, at a rating below BBB- and/or Baa3, is \$33.3 million.

Currently, the Company has investment grade credit ratings from the major rating agencies with respect to debt.

Generally, collateral may be provided by a Southern Company guaranty, letter of credit, or cash. Included in these amounts are certain agreements that could require collateral in the event that one or more power pool participants has a credit rating change to below investment grade.

Table of Contents**NOTES (continued)****Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report****10. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)**

Summarized quarterly financial information for 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

Quarter Ended	Operating Revenues	Operating Income <i>(in thousands)</i>	Net Income
March 2009	\$231,517	\$ 66,981	\$27,916
June 2009	230,598	73,276	31,054
September 2009	283,369	127,165	67,280
December 2009	201,168	46,134	29,602
March 2008	\$215,532	\$ 52,661	\$28,975
June 2008	316,584	79,732	35,420
September 2008	515,871	118,592	59,562
December 2008	265,554	61,884	20,402

The Company's business is influenced by seasonal weather conditions. Fourth quarter 2009 net income includes profit recognized on the OUC construction contract of \$10.6 million pretax and \$6.5 million after tax.

II-429

Table of Contents**SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL AND OPERATING DATA 2005-2009**
Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies 2009 Annual Report

	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
Operating Revenues (in thousands):					
Wholesale non-affiliates	\$ 394,366	\$ 667,979	\$ 416,648	\$ 279,384	\$ 223,058
Wholesale affiliates	544,415	638,266	547,229	491,762	556,664
Total revenues from sales of electricity	938,781	1,306,245	963,877	771,146	779,722
Other revenues	7,870	7,296	8,137	5,902	1,282
Total	\$ 946,651	\$ 1,313,541	\$ 972,014	\$ 777,048	\$ 781,004
Net Income (in thousands)	\$ 155,852	\$ 144,359	\$ 131,637	\$ 124,469	\$ 114,791
Cash Dividends on Common Stock (in thousands)	\$ 106,100	\$ 94,500	\$ 89,800	\$ 77,700	\$ 72,400
Return on Average Common Equity (percent)	13.36	13.03	12.52	13.16	13.68
Total Assets (in thousands)	\$ 3,043,053	\$ 2,813,140	\$ 2,768,774	\$ 2,690,943	\$ 2,302,976
Gross Property Additions/Plant Acquisitions (in thousands)	\$ 331,289	\$ 49,964	\$ 139,198	\$ 465,026	\$ 241,103
Capitalization (in thousands):					
Common stock equity	\$ 1,195,122	\$ 1,138,361	\$ 1,077,887	\$ 1,025,504	\$ 866,343
Long-term debt	1,297,607	1,297,353	1,297,099	1,296,845	1,099,520
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	\$ 2,492,729	\$ 2,435,714	\$ 2,374,986	\$ 2,322,349	\$ 1,965,863
Capitalization Ratios (percent):					
Common stock equity	47.9	46.7	45.4	44.2	44.1
Long-term debt	52.1	53.3	54.6	55.8	55.9
Total (excluding amounts due within one year)	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Security Ratings:					
Unsecured Long-Term Debt					

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Moody's Standard and Poor's Fitch	Baa1 BBB+ BBB+	Baa1 BBB+ BBB+	Baa1 BBB+ BBB+	Baa1 BBB+ BBB+	Baa1 BBB+ BBB+
Kilowatt-Hour Sales (in thousands):					
Wholesale non-affiliates	7,513,569	7,573,713	6,985,592	5,093,527	3,932,638
Wholesale affiliates	12,293,585	9,402,020	10,766,003	8,493,441	6,355,249
Total	19,807,154	16,975,733	17,751,595	13,586,968	10,287,887
Average Revenue Per Kilowatt-Hour (cents)	4.74	7.69	5.43	5.68	7.58
Plant Nameplate Capacity Ratings (year-end) (megawatts)	7,880	7,555	6,896	6,733	5,403
Maximum Peak-Hour Demand (megawatts):					
Winter	3,224	3,042	2,815	2,780	2,037
Summer	3,308	3,538	3,717	2,869	2,420
Annual Load Factor (percent)	52.6	50.0	48.2	53.6	48.9
Plant Availability (percent)	96.7	96.0	96.7	98.3	97.6
Source of Energy Supply (percent):					
Gas	84.4	75.6	70.4	68.3	72.6
Purchased power					
From non-affiliates	7.9	11.3	8.8	9.6	9.6
From affiliates	7.7	13.1	20.8	22.1	17.8
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

II-430

Table of Contents**PART III**

Items 10, 11, 12 (except for Equity Compensation Plan Information which is included herein on page III-41), 13, and 14 for Southern Company are incorporated by reference to Southern Company's Definitive Proxy Statement relating to the 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Specifically, reference is made to Nominees for Election as Directors, Corporate Governance, and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance for Item 10, Executive Compensation, Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Compensation and Management Succession Committee Report, Director Compensation, and Director Compensation Table for Item 11, Stock Ownership Table for Item 12, Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence for Item 13, and Principal Public Accounting Firm Fees for Item 14.

Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 for Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Mississippi Power are incorporated by reference to the Definitive Information Statements of Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Mississippi Power relating to each of their respective 2010 Annual Meetings of Shareholders. Specifically, reference is made to Nominees for Election as Directors, Corporate Governance, and Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance for Item 10, Executive Compensation Information, Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Compensation and Management Succession Committee Report, Director Compensation, and Director Compensation Table for Item 11, Stock Ownership Table for Item 12, Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence for Item 13, and Principal Public Accounting Firm Fees for Item 14.

Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 for Gulf Power are contained herein.

Items 10, 11, 12 and 13 for Southern Power are omitted pursuant to General Instruction I(2)(c) of Form 10-K. Item 14 for Southern Power is contained herein.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE***Identification of directors of Gulf Power.*****Susan N. Story**

President and Chief Executive Officer
Age 49
Served as Director since 2003

Fred C. Donovan, Sr. (1)

Age 69
Served as Director since 1991

C. LeDon Anchors (1)

Age 69
Served as Director since 2001

William A. Pullum (1)

Age 62
Served as Director since 2001

William C. Cramer, Jr. (1)

Age 57
Served as Director since 2002

Winston E. Scott (1)

Age 59
Served as Director since 2003

(1) *No position
other than
director.*

Each of the above is currently a director of Gulf Power, serving a term running from the last annual meeting of Gulf Power's shareholders (June 30, 2009) for one year until the next annual meeting or until a successor is elected and qualified.

There are no arrangements or understandings between any of the individuals listed above and any other person pursuant to which he or she was or is to be selected as a director, other than any arrangements or understandings with directors or officers of Gulf Power acting solely in their capacities as such.

Table of Contents

Identification of executive officers of Gulf Power.

Susan N. Story

President and Chief Executive Officer
Age 49
Served as Executive Officer since 2003

Theodore J. McCullough

Vice President Senior Production Officer
Age 46
Served as Executive Officer since 2007

P. Bernard Jacob

Vice President Customer Operations
Age 55
Served as Executive Officer since 2003

Bentina C. Terry

Vice President External Affairs and Corporate Services
Age 39
Served as Executive Officer since 2007

Philip C. Raymond

Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Age 50
Served as Executive Officer since 2008

Each of the above is currently an executive officer of Gulf Power, serving a term running from the last annual organizational meeting of the directors (July 23, 2009) for one year until the next annual organizational meeting or until a successor is elected and qualified.

There are no arrangements or understandings between any of the individuals listed above and any other person pursuant to which he or she was or is to be selected as an officer, other than any arrangements or understandings with directors or officers of Gulf Power acting solely in their capacities as such.

Identification of certain significant employees. None.

Family relationships. None.

Business experience. Unless noted otherwise, each director has served in his or her present position for at least the past five years.

DIRECTORS

Gulf Power's Board of Directors possesses collective knowledge and experience in accounting, finance, leadership, business operations, risk management, corporate governance, and Gulf Power's industry.

Susan N. Story - President and Chief Executive Officer of Gulf Power. Ms. Story has previously served in leadership roles in a number of areas, including engineering and construction, supply chain, real estate and corporate services with affiliated subsidiaries. Currently, Ms. Story also serves on the Board of Directors of Raymond James Financial, Inc.

C. LeDon Anchors - Attorney and President of Anchors Smith Grimsley, Attorneys at Law, Fort Walton Beach, Florida. As an attorney, Mr. Anchors areas of practice include real estate, family law, banking, business law, commercial law, corporate law, government, and probate. He is also a director of Beach Community Bank, Fort Walton Beach, Florida, where he serves on the audit committee and the assets and liabilities committee. Mr. Anchors has also served in leadership roles at a number of civic organizations.

William C. Cramer, Jr. - President and Owner of automobile dealerships in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama. Mr. Cramer has been an authorized Chevrolet dealer since 1978. In 2009, Mr Cramer became an authorized dealer of Cadillac, Buick, and GMC vehicles.

Fred C. Donovan, Sr. - Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Baskerville-Donovan, Inc. (an architectural and engineering firm), Pensacola, Florida. Mr. Donovan is responsible for establishing the strategic direction and providing the overall management of the firm. He also serves as Chairman of the Baptist Healthcare Board of Directors. Previously, he has served in leadership roles with Chambers of Commerce in his area.

Table of Contents

William A. Pullum - President and Director of Bill Pullum Realty, Inc., Navarre, Florida. Mr. Pullum is also a real estate developer.

Winston E. Scott - Dean, College of Aeronautics, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida since August 2008. He previously served as Vice President and Deputy General Manager, Engineering and Science Contract Group at Jacobs Engineering, Houston, Texas, from 2006 to 2008 and Executive Director of the Florida Space Authority, Cape Canaveral, Florida, from 2003 to 2006. Mr. Scott's experience also included serving as a pilot in the U.S. Navy and an astronaut with the National Aeronautic and Space Administration.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

P. Bernard Jacob - Vice President of Customer Operations since 2007. He previously served as Vice President of External Affairs and Corporate Services from 2003 to 2007.

Philip C. Raymond - Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since April 2008. He previously served as Vice President and Comptroller of Alabama Power from January 2005 to April 2008 and Eastern Region Internal Auditing Director of SCS from September 2003 through January 2005.

Theodore J. McCullough - Vice President and Senior Production Officer since 2007. He previously served as the Manager of Georgia Power's Plant Branch from December 2003 to August 2007.

Bentina C. Terry - Vice President of External Affairs and Corporate Services since 2007. She previously served as General Counsel and Vice President of External Affairs for Southern Nuclear from January 2005 to March 2007 and Area Distribution Manager of Georgia Power from February 2004 through January 2005.

Involvement in certain legal proceedings. None.

Promoters and Certain Control Persons. None.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance. None.

Code of Ethics

The registrants collectively have adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to each director, officer, and employee of the registrants and their subsidiaries. The code of business conduct and ethics can be found on Southern Company's website located at www.southerncompany.com. The code of business conduct and ethics is also available free of charge in print to any shareholder by requesting a copy from Melissa K. Caen, Assistant Corporate Secretary, Southern Company, 30 Ivan Allen Jr. Boulevard NW, Atlanta, Georgia 30308. Any amendment to or waiver from the code of ethics that applies to executive officers and directors will be posted on the website.

Corporate Governance

Southern Company has adopted corporate governance guidelines and committee charters. The corporate governance guidelines and the charters of Southern Company's Audit Committee, Compensation and Management Succession Committee, Finance Committee, Governance Committee, and Nuclear/Operations Committee can be found on Southern Company's website located at www.southerncompany.com. The corporate governance guidelines and charters are also available free of charge in print to any shareholder by requesting a copy from Melissa K. Caen, Assistant Corporate Secretary, Southern Company, 30 Ivan Allen Jr. Boulevard NW, Atlanta, Georgia 30308.

Table of Contents

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

In this Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) and this Form 10-K, references to the Compensation Committee are to the Compensation and Management Succession Committee of the Board of Directors of Southern Company.

GUIDING PRINCIPLES AND POLICIES

Southern Company, through a single executive compensation program for all officers of its subsidiaries, drives and rewards both Southern Company financial performance and individual business unit performance.

This executive compensation program is based on a philosophy that total executive compensation must be competitive with the companies in our industry, must be tied to and motivate our executives to meet our short- and long-term performance goals, must foster and encourage alignment of executive interests with the interests of our stockholders and our customers, and must not encourage excessive risk-taking. The program generally is designed to motivate all employees, including executives, to achieve operational excellence and financial goals while maintaining a safe work environment.

The executive compensation program places significant focus on rewarding performance. The program is performance-based in several respects:

Southern Company's actual earnings per share (EPS) and Gulf Power's business unit performance, which includes return on equity (ROE), compared to target performance levels established early in the year, determine actual payouts under the short-term (annual) performance-based compensation program (Performance Pay Program).

Southern Company common stock (Common Stock) price changes result in higher or lower ultimate values of stock options.

Southern Company's dividend payout and total shareholder return compared to those of its industry peers lead to higher or lower payouts under the Performance Dividend Program (performance dividends).

In support of the performance-based pay philosophy, we have no general employment contracts with our named executive officers or guaranteed severance, except upon a change in control, and no pay is conditioned solely upon continued employment of any of the named executive officers, other than base salary.

The pay-for-performance principles apply not only to the named executive officers, but to hundreds of Gulf Power employees. The Performance Pay Program covers almost all of the approximately 1,300 Gulf Power employees. Stock options and performance dividends cover approximately 250 Gulf Power employees. These programs engage our people in our business, which ultimately is good not only for them, but for Gulf Power's customers and Southern Company's stockholders.

OVERVIEW OF EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMPONENTS

The executive compensation program is composed of several components, each of which plays a different role. The chart below discusses the intended role of each material pay component, what it rewards, and why we use it. Following the chart is additional information that describes how we made 2009 pay decisions.

III-4

Table of Contents

Pay Element	Intended Role and What the Element Rewards	Why We Use the Element
Base Salary	Base salary is pay for competence in the executive role, with a focus on scope of responsibilities.	Market practice. Provides a threshold level of cash compensation for job performance.
Annual Performance-Based Compensation: Performance Pay Program	The Performance Pay Program rewards achievement of operational, EPS, and business unit financial goals.	Market practice. Focuses attention on achievement of short-term goals that ultimately work to fulfill our mission to customers and lead to increased stockholder value in the long term.
Long-Term Performance-Based Compensation: Stock Options	Stock options reward price increases in Common Stock over the market price on the date of grant, over a 10-year term.	Market practice. Performance-based compensation. Aligns executives' interests with those of Southern Company's stockholders.
Long-Term Performance-Based Compensation: Performance Dividends	Performance dividends provide cash compensation dependent on the number of stock options held at year end, Southern Company's dividends on the Common Stock paid during the year, and Southern Company's four-year total shareholder return versus industry peers.	Market practice. Performance-based compensation. Enhances the value of stock options and focuses executives on maintaining a significant dividend yield for Southern Company's stockholders. Aligns executives' interests with Southern Company's stockholders interests since payouts are dependent on the returns realized by Southern Company's stockholders versus those of our industry peers.
Retirement Benefits	The Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan provides the opportunity to defer to future years all or	Market practice. Permitting compensation deferral

part of base salary and performance-based compensation, except stock options, in either a prime interest rate or Common Stock account.

is a cost-effective method of providing additional cash flow to Gulf Power while enhancing the retirement savings of executives.

Executives participate in employee benefit plans available to all employees of Gulf Power, including a 401(k) savings plan and the funded Southern Company Pension Plan (Pension Plan).

The purpose of these supplemental plans is to eliminate the effect of tax limitations on the payment of retirement benefits.

III-5

Table of Contents

Pay Element	Intended Role and What the Element Rewards	Why We Use the Element
	<p>The Supplemental Benefit Plan counts pay, including deferred salary, ineligible to be counted under the Pension Plan and the 401(k) plan due to Internal Revenue Service rules.</p> <p>The Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan counts annual performance-based pay above 15% of base salary for pension purposes.</p>	<p>Represents an important component of competitive market-based compensation in Southern Company's peer group and generally.</p>
Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits	<p>Personal financial planning maximizes the perceived value of our executive compensation program to executives and allows them to focus on Gulf Power's operations.</p> <p>Home security systems lower the risk of harm to executives.</p> <p>Club memberships are provided primarily for business use.</p> <p>Relocation benefits cover the costs associated with geographic relocations at the request of the employer.</p> <p>Limited personal use of corporate-owned aircraft associated with business travel.</p>	<p>Perquisites benefit both Gulf Power and executives, at low cost to Gulf Power.</p>
Post-Termination Pay	<p>Change-in-control plans provide severance pay, accelerated vesting, and payment of short- and long-term performance-based compensation upon a change in control of Gulf Power or Southern Company coupled with involuntary termination not for Cause or a voluntary termination for Good Reason.</p>	<p>Market practice.</p> <p>Providing protections to senior executives upon a change in control minimizes disruption during a pending or anticipated change in control.</p> <p>Payment and vesting occur only upon the occurrence of both an actual change in control and loss of the executive's position.</p>

MARKET DATA

For the named executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews compensation data from large, publicly-owned electric and gas utilities. The data was developed and analyzed by Towers Perrin, the compensation consultant retained by the Compensation Committee. The companies included each year in the primary peer group are those whose data is available through the consultant's database. Those companies are drawn from this list of primarily regulated utilities of \$2 billion in revenues and up.

III-6

Table of Contents

AGL Resources Inc.	El Paso Corporation	PG&E Corporation
Allegheny Energy, Inc.	Entergy Corporation	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
Alliant Energy Corporation	EPCO	PPL Corporation
Ameren Corporation	Exelon Corporation	Progress Energy, Inc.
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	FirstEnergy Corp.	Public Service Enterprise Group Inc.
Atmos Energy Corporation	FPL Group, Inc.	Puget Energy, Inc.
Calpine Corporation	Integrus Energy Company, Inc.	Reliant Energy, Inc.
CenterPoint Energy, Inc	MDU Resources, Inc.	Salt River Project
CMS Energy Corporation	Mirant Corporation	SCANA Corporation
Consolidated Edison, Inc.	New York Power Authority	Sempra Energy
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.	Nicor, Inc.	Southern Union Company
CPS Energy	Northeast Utilities	Spectra Energy
DCP Midstream	NRG Energy, Inc.	TECO Energy
Dominion Resources Inc.	NSTAR	Tennessee Valley Authority
Duke Energy Corporation	NV Energy, Inc.	The Williams Companies, Inc.
Dynegy Inc.	OGE Energy Corp.	Wisconsin Energy Corporation
Edison International	Pepco Holdings, Inc.	Xcel Energy Inc.

Southern Company is one of the largest U.S. utility companies based on revenues and market capitalization, and its largest business units are some of the largest in the industry as well. For that reason, the consultant size-adjusts the survey market data in order to fit it to the scope of our business.

In using this market data, market is defined as the size-adjusted 50th percentile of the data, with a focus on pay opportunities at target performance (rather than actual plan payouts). Market data for chief executive officer positions and other positions in terms of scope of responsibilities that most closely resemble the positions held by the named executive officers are reviewed. Based on that data, a total target compensation opportunity is established for each named executive officer. Total target compensation opportunity is the sum of base salary, annual performance-based compensation at the target performance level, and stock option awards with associated performance dividends at a target value. Actual compensation paid may be more or less than the total target compensation opportunity based on actual performance above or below target performance levels. As a result, the compensation program is designed to result in payouts that are market-appropriate given Gulf Power's and Southern Company's performance for the year or period.

We did not target a specified weight for base salary or annual or long-term performance-based compensation as a percentage of total target compensation opportunities, nor did amounts realized or realizable from prior compensation serve to increase or decrease 2009 compensation amounts. Total target compensation opportunities for senior management as a group are managed to be at the median of the market for companies of our size and in our industry. The total target compensation opportunity established in 2009 for each named executive officer is shown below.

Name	Salary	Annual Performance-Based Compensation	Long-Term Performance-Based Compensation	Total Target Compensation Opportunity
S. N. Story	\$396,084	\$ 237,650	\$ 495,105	\$1,128,839
P. C. Raymond	\$228,433	\$ 102,795	\$ 137,055	\$ 468,283
P. B. Jacob	\$230,346	\$ 103,656	\$ 138,206	\$ 472,208
T. J. McCullough	\$182,973	\$ 73,189	\$ 73,186	\$ 329,348

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

B. C. Terry	\$228,433	\$ 102,795	\$ 137,055	\$ 468,283
-------------	-----------	------------	------------	------------

For purposes of comparing the value of our compensation program to the market data, stock options are valued at 5.7%, and performance dividend target at 10%, of the average daily Common Stock price for the year preceding the

III-7

Table of Contents

grant, both of which represent risk-adjusted present values on the date of grant and are consistent with the methodologies used to develop the market data. For the 2009 grant of stock options and the performance dividend target established for the 2009-2012 performance-measurement period, this value was \$4.94 per stock option granted. In the long-term column, 36% of the value shown is attributable to stock options and 64% is attributable to performance dividends. The value of stock options, with the associated performance dividends, declined from 2008. In 2008 and 2009, the value of the dividend equivalents was 10% of the Common Stock price on the stock option grant date, but the value of the stock option declined from 12% to 5.7%. In 2008, the performance dividends represented 45% of the long-term target value and stock options represented 55% of that value. More information on how stock options are valued is reported in the Grants of Plan-Based Award table and the information accompanying it. As discussed above, the Compensation Committee targets total target compensation opportunities for senior executives as a group at market. Therefore, some executives may be paid somewhat above and others somewhat below market. This practice allows for minor differentiation based on time in the position, scope of responsibilities, and individual performance. The differences in the total pay opportunities for each named executive officer are based almost exclusively on the differences indicated by the market data for persons holding similar positions. The average total target compensation opportunities for the named executive officers for 2009 were at the median of the market data described above. Because of the use of market data from a large number of peer companies for positions that are not identical in terms of scope of responsibility from company to company, we do not consider slight differences material and continue to believe that our compensation program is market-appropriate. Generally, we consider compensation to be within an appropriate range if it is not more or less than 10% of the applicable market data. In 2008, the Compensation Committee received a detailed comparison of our executive benefits program to the benefits of a group of other large utilities and general industry companies. The results indicated that our overall executive benefits program was at market. Because this data does not change significantly year over year, this study is only updated every few years.

DESCRIPTION OF KEY COMPENSATION COMPONENTS**2009 Base Salary**

The named executive officers are each within a position level with a base salary range that is established under the direction of the Compensation Committee using the market data described above. Consistent with the broad-based compensation program for 2009, there were no base salary adjustments for the named executive officers.

2009 Performance-Based Compensation

This section describes our performance-based compensation program in 2009. The Compensation Committee approved changes to that program in 2009, to be effective in 2010. These changes are described in the last section of this CD&A entitled 2010 Executive Compensation Program Changes.

Achieving Operational and Financial Goals – Our Guiding Principle for Performance-Based Compensation

Our number one priority is to provide our customers outstanding reliability and superior service at low prices while achieving a level of financial performance that benefits Southern Company's stockholders in the short and long term. In 2009, we strove for and rewarded:

Continued industry-leading reliability and customer satisfaction, while maintaining our low retail prices relative to the national average; and

Meeting energy demand with the best economic and environmental choices.

Table of Contents

In 2009, we also focused on and rewarded:

Southern Company EPS growth;

Gulf Power ROE in the top quartile of comparable electric utilities;

Common Stock dividend growth;

Long-term, risk-adjusted Southern Company total shareholder return; and

Financial Integrity – an attractive risk-adjusted return, sound financial policy, and a stable A credit rating. The performance-based compensation program is designed to encourage Gulf Power to achieve these goals. The Southern Company Chief Executive Officer, with the assistance of Southern Company’s Human Resources staff, recommends to the Compensation Committee program design and award amounts for senior executives, including the named executive officers.

2009 Annual Performance Pay Program

Program Design

The Performance Pay Program is Southern Company’s annual performance-based compensation program. Almost all employees of Gulf Power are participants, including the named executive officers, for a total of over 1,300 Gulf Power participants.

The performance measured by the program uses goals set at the beginning of each year by the Compensation Committee.

An illustration of the annual Performance Pay Program goal structure for 2009 is provided below.

Operational goals for 2009 were safety, customer satisfaction, plant availability, transmission and distribution system reliability, inclusion, and for Southern Company Generation, operations and maintenance cost performance. Each of these operational goals is explained in more detail under Goal Details below. The result of all operational goals is averaged and multiplied by the bonus impact of the EPS and business unit financial goals. The amount for each goal can range from 0.90 to 1.10 or can be 0.00 if a threshold performance level is not achieved as more fully described below. The level of achievement for each operational goal is determined and the results are averaged.

Southern Company EPS is weighted at 50% of the financial goals. EPS is defined as earnings from continuing operations divided by average shares outstanding during the year. The EPS performance measure is applicable to all participants in the Performance Pay Program, including the named executive officers.

Table of Contents

Business unit financial performance is weighted at 50% of the financial goals. Gulf Power's financial performance goal is ROE, which is defined as Gulf Power's net income divided by average equity for the year. For Southern Company Generation, it is calculated using a corporate-wide weighted average of all the business unit financial performance goals, including primarily the ROE of Gulf Power and affiliated companies, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, and Mississippi Power. For Mr. McCullough, the business unit financial goal was weighted 30% Gulf Power ROE and 20% Southern Company Generation financial goal.

The Compensation Committee may make adjustments, both positive and negative, to goal achievement for purposes of determining payouts. Such adjustments include the impact of items considered extraordinary or unusual in nature, infrequent in occurrence, outside of normal operations, or not anticipated in the business plan when the earnings goal was established, and of sufficient magnitude to warrant recognition. The Compensation Committee made an adjustment in 2009 to eliminate the effect of a \$202 million charge to Southern Company earnings taken in 2009. The charge related to the settlement agreement with MC Asset Recovery, LLC (MCAR) to resolve an action which arose out of the bankruptcy proceeding of Mirant Corporation, a former subsidiary of Southern Company until its spin-off in April 2001. The settlement included an agreement by Southern Company to pay MCAR \$202 million, which was paid in mid-2009. This adjustment increased the average payout for 2009 performance by approximately 30%.

Under the terms of the program, no payout can be made if Southern Company's current earnings are not sufficient to fund its Common Stock dividend at the same level or higher than the prior year.

Goal Details**Operational Goals:**

Customer Satisfaction Gulf Power uses customer satisfaction surveys to evaluate its performance. The survey results provide an overall ranking for Gulf Power, as well as a ranking for each customer segment: residential, commercial, and industrial.

Reliability Transmission and distribution system reliability performance is measured by the frequency and duration of outages. Performance targets for reliability are set internally based on historical performance, expected weather conditions, and expected capital expenditures.

Availability Peak season equivalent forced outage rate is an indicator of availability and efficient generation fleet operations during the months when generation needs are greatest. The rate is calculated by dividing the number of hours of forced outages by total generation hours.

Safety Southern Company's Target Zero program is focused on continuous improvement in having a safe work environment. The performance is measured by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration recordable incident rate.

Inclusion/Diversity The inclusion program seeks to improve our inclusive workplace. This goal includes measures for work environment (employee satisfaction survey), representation of minorities and females in leadership roles, and supplier diversity.

Southern Company capital expenditures gate or threshold goal For 2009, Southern Company strived to manage total capital expenditures, excluding nuclear fuel, for the participating business units at or below \$4.5 billion and Gulf Power strived to manage such expenditures at or below \$478 million. If the Southern Company or Gulf Power capital expenditure target is exceeded, total operational goal performance is capped at 0.90 regardless of the actual operational goal results. Adjustments to the goal may occur due to significant events not anticipated in Southern Company's and Gulf Power's business plans established early in 2009, such as acquisitions or disposition of assets, new capital projects, and other events.

For Mr. McCullough, the operational goals were weighted 60% based on Gulf Power's operational goals and 40% based on Southern Company Generation's operational goals.

Table of Contents

The range of performance levels established for each operational goal is detailed below.

Level of Performance	Customer Satisfaction	Reliability	Availability Gulf Power/ Southern Company Generation (%)	Safety	Inclusion
Maximum (1.10)	Top quartile for each customer segment	Improve historical performance	2.25/2.00	0.62 or top quartile	Significant improvement
Target (1.00)	Top quartile overall	Maintain historical performance	3.00/2.75	0.988	Improve
Threshold (0.90)	2 nd quartile	Below historical performance	4.00/3.75	1.373	Below expectations
0 Trigger	At or below median	Significant issues	9.00/6.00	Each quarter at threshold or below	Significant issues

EPS and Business Unit Financial Performance:

The range of EPS and business unit financial goals for 2009 is shown below. The ROE goal varies from the allowed retail ROE range due to state regulatory accounting requirements, wholesale activities, other non-jurisdictional revenues and expenses, and other activities not subject to state regulation.

Level of Performance	EPS, excluding MCAR Settlement Impact	Business Unit Financial Performance ROE	Payout Factor	Payout Factor at Associated Level of Operational Goal Achievement	Payout Below Threshold for Operational Goal Achievement
Maximum	\$ 2.50	13.7%	2.00	2.20	0.00
Target	\$2.375	12.7%	1.00	1.00	0.00
Threshold	\$ 2.25	11.00%	0.01	0.01	0.00
Below threshold	<\$ 2.25	<11.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00

2009 Achievement

Each named executive officer had a target Performance Pay Program opportunity, based on his or her position, set by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of 2009. Targets are set as a percentage of base salary. Ms. Story's target was set at 60%. For Ms. Terry and Messrs. Jacob and Raymond, it was set at 45% and for Mr. McCullough, it was set at 40%. Actual payouts were determined by adding the payouts derived from EPS and business unit financial performance goal achievement for 2009 and multiplying that sum by the result of the operational goal achievement. The gate goal target was not exceeded and therefore did not affect payouts. Actual 2009 goal achievement is shown in the following table. The EPS result shown in the table is adjusted for the MCAR settlement charge taken in 2009 as described above. Therefore, payouts were determined using EPS performance results that differed from the results

reported in the financial statements of Southern Company in Item 8 herein. EPS, as determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and as reported by Southern Company, was \$2.07 per share.

III-11

Table of Contents

Business Unit	EPS			Business Unit	Business Unit	Total	Total Payout
	Operational Goal	Excluding MCAR	EPS Goal Performance Factor		Financial Performance	Financial Performance	
Gulf Power	Multiplier (A)	Settlement Impact	(50% Weight)	Financial Performance	(50% Weight)	Factor (B)	Factor (AxB)
	1.08	\$ 2.32	0.57	12.18%	0.69	0.63	0.68

Southern Company

Generation	1.08	\$ 2.32	0.57	Corporate Average	0.90	0.73	0.79
------------	------	---------	------	-------------------	------	------	------

Note that the Total Payout Factor may vary from the Total Weighted Financial Performance Factor multiplied by the Operational Goal Multiplier due to rounding. To calculate the Performance Pay Program amount, the target opportunity is multiplied by the Total Payout Factor.

Actual performance, as adjusted, was below the target performance levels established by the Compensation Committee in early 2009; therefore, the payout levels were below the target pay opportunities that were established. More information on how target pay opportunities are established is provided under the Market Data section in this CD&A.

The table below shows the pay opportunity set in early 2009 for the annual Performance Pay Program payout at target-level performance and the actual payout based on the actual performance, as adjusted, shown above.

Name	Target Annual Performance Pay Program Opportunity (\$)	Actual Annual Performance Pay Program Payout (\$)
S. N. Story	237,650	161,602
P. C. Raymond	102,795	69,901
P. B. Jacob	103,656	70,486
T. J. McCullough	73,189	53,428
B. C. Terry	102,795	69,901

Stock Options

Options to purchase Common Stock are granted annually and were granted in 2009 to the named executive officers and about 250 other employees of Gulf Power. Options have a 10-year term, vest over a three-year period, fully vest upon retirement or termination of employment following a change in control, and expire at the earlier of five years from the date of retirement or the end of the 10-year term. The Compensation Committee changed the stock option vesting provisions associated with retirement for stock options granted in 2009 to the executive officers of Southern Company, including Ms. Story. For these grants made in 2009, unvested options are forfeited if she retires and accepts a position with a peer company within two years of retirement. The Compensation Committee made this change to provide more retention value to the stock option awards, to provide an inducement to not seek a position with a peer company, and to limit the post-termination compensation of executive officers of Southern Company who do accept positions with a peer company. Ms. Story became retirement-eligible in early 2010.

As described in the Market Data section above, the Compensation Committee established a target long-term performance-based compensation value for each named executive officer. The number of stock options granted, with associated performance dividends, was determined by dividing that long-term value by the value of a stock option with associated performance dividends. The value of each stock option was derived using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. The assumptions used in calculating that amount are discussed in Note 8 to the financial statements of Gulf Power in Item 8 herein. For 2009, the Black-Scholes value on the grant date was \$1.80 per stock

option. As described in the Market Data section above, the value of the associated performance dividends was \$3.14 per stock option which was 10% of the Common Stock price on the grant date. Therefore, the target value of each stock option, with associated performance dividends, was \$4.94 per stock option. The calculation of the 2009 stock option grants for the named executive officers is shown below.

III-12

Table of Contents

The calculation of the 2009 stock option grants for the named executive officers is shown below.

Name	Long-Term Value	Value Per Stock Option	Number of Stock Options Granted
S. N. Story	495,105	\$ 4.94	100,223
P. C. Raymond	137,055	\$ 4.94	27,744
P. B. Jacob	138,206	\$ 4.94	27,977
T. J. McCullough	73,186	\$ 4.94	14,815
B. C. Terry	137,055	\$ 4.94	27,744

More information about the stock option program is contained in the Grant of Plan Based Awards table and the information accompanying it.

Performance Dividends

All option holders, including the named executive officers, can receive performance-based dividend equivalents on stock options held at the end of the year. Performance dividends can range from 0% to 100% of the Common Stock dividend paid during the year per option held at the end of the year. Actual payout will depend on Southern Company's total shareholder return over a four-year performance measurement period compared to a group of other electric and gas utility companies. The peer group is determined at the beginning of each four-year performance-measurement period. The peer group varies from the Market Data peer group due to the timing and criteria of the peer selection process. The peer group for performance dividends is set by the Compensation Committee at the beginning of the four-year performance-measurement period. However, despite these timing differences, there is substantial overlap in the companies included.

Total shareholder return is calculated by measuring the ending value of a hypothetical \$100 invested in each company's common stock at the beginning of each of 16 quarters. In the final year of the performance-measurement period, Southern Company's ranking in the peer group is determined at the end of each quarter and the percentile ranking is multiplied by the actual Common Stock dividend paid in that quarter. To determine the total payout per stock option held at the end of the performance-measurement period, the four quarterly amounts earned are added together.

No performance dividends are paid if Southern Company's earnings are not sufficient to fund a Common Stock dividend at least equal to that paid in the prior year.

2009 Payout

The peer group used to determine the 2009 payout for the 2006-2009 performance-measurement period consisted of utilities with revenues of \$1.2 billion or more with regulated revenues of 60% or more. Those companies are listed below.

Allegheny Energy, Inc.
Alliant Energy Corporation
Ameren Corporation
American Electric Power Company, Inc.
CenterPoint Energy, Inc.
CMS Energy Corporation
Consolidated Edison, Inc.
DPL, Inc.
Edison International

Entergy Corporation
Exelon Corporation
FPL Group, Inc.
NiSource Inc.
Northeast Utilities
NSTAR
NV Energy, Inc.
Pepco Holdings, Inc.
PG&E Corporation

Pinnacle West Capital Corp.
Progress Energy, Inc.
SCANA Corporation
Sempra Energy
Westar Energy Corporation
Wisconsin Energy Corporation
Xcel Energy Inc.

The scale below determined the percentage of each quarter's dividend paid in the last year of the performance-measurement period to be paid on each stock option held at December 31, 2009 based on the 2006-2009 performance-measurement period. Payout for performance between points was interpolated on a straight-line basis.

III-13

Table of Contents

Performance vs. Peer Group	Payout (% of Each Quarterly Dividend Paid)
90 th percentile or higher	100
50 th percentile (target)	50
10 th percentile or lower	0

Southern Company's total shareholder return performance as measured at the end of each quarter of the final year of the four-year performance-measurement period ending with 2009 was the 83rd, 83rd, 53rd, and 38th percentile, respectively, resulting in a total payout of 64% of the full year's Common Stock dividend, or \$1.10. This amount was multiplied by each named executive officer's outstanding stock options at December 31, 2009 to calculate the payout under the program. The amount paid is included in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table.

2012 Opportunity

The Compensation Committee selected two peer groups for the 2009-2012 performance-measurement period (which will be used to determine the 2012 payout amount). The results of the two peer groups will be averaged to determine the payment level. One peer group selected is a published index, the Philadelphia Utility Index. The other peer group (custom peer group) is a group of companies that the Company believes are similar to the Company in terms of business models, including a mix of regulated and non-regulated revenues.

The companies in the Philadelphia Utility Index are listed below.

Ameren Corporation	Exelon Corporation
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	FirstEnergy Corp.
CenterPoint Energy, Inc.	FPL Group, Inc.
Consolidated Edison, Inc.	Northeast Utilities
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.	PG&E Corporation
Dominion Resources Inc.	Progress Energy, Inc.
DTE Energy Company	Public Service Enterprise Group Inc.
Duke Energy Corporation	The AES Corporation
Edison International	Xcel Energy Inc.
Entergy Corporation	

The companies in the custom peer group are listed below.

American Electric Power Company, Inc.	PG&E Corporation
Consolidated Edison, Inc.	Progress Energy, Inc.
Duke Energy Corporation	Wisconsin Energy Corporation
Northeast Utilities	Xcel Energy Inc.
NSTAR	

Table of Contents

The scale below will determine the percentage of each quarter's dividend paid in the last year of the performance-measurement period to be paid on each option held at December 31, 2012, based on the 2009-2012 performance-measurement period. Payout for performance between points will be interpolated on a straight-line basis.

Performance vs. Peer Groups	Payout (% of Each Quarterly Dividend Paid)
90 th percentile or higher	100
50 th percentile (target)	50
10 th percentile or lower	0

See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table and the accompanying information for more information about threshold, target, and maximum payout opportunities for the 2009-2012 Performance Dividend Program.

Timing of Performance-Based Compensation

As discussed above, Southern Company EPS and Gulf Power's financial performance goal for the 2009 Performance Pay Program were established at the February 2009 Compensation Committee meeting. Annual stock option grants also were made at that meeting. The establishment of performance-based compensation goals and the granting of stock options were not timed with the release of material, non-public information. This procedure was consistent with prior practices. Stock option grants are made to new hires or newly-eligible participants on preset, regular quarterly dates that were approved by the Compensation Committee. The exercise price of options granted to employees in 2009 was the closing price of the Common Stock on the grant date or the last trading day before the grant date if the grant date was not a trading day.

Post-Employment Compensation

As mentioned above, we provide certain post-employment compensation to employees, including the named executive officers:

Retirement Benefits

Generally, all full-time employees of Gulf Power, including the named executive officers, participate in our funded Pension Plan after completing one year of service. Normal retirement benefits become payable when participants both attain age 65 and complete five years of participation. We also provide unfunded benefits that count salary and annual Performance Pay Program payouts that are ineligible to be counted under the Pension Plan. (These plans are the Supplemental Benefit Plan and the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan that are described in the chart on pages III-5 and III-6 of this CD&A.) See the Pension Benefits table and the information accompanying it for more information about pension-related benefits.

Gulf Power also provides the Deferred Compensation Plan which is an unfunded plan that permits participants to defer income as well as certain federal, state, and local taxes until a specified date or their retirement, disability, death, or other separation from service. Up to 50% of base salary and up to 100% of performance-based compensation, except stock options, may be deferred at the election of eligible employees. All of the named executive officers are eligible to participate in the Deferred Compensation Plan. See the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and the information accompanying it for more information about the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Change-in-Control Protections

The Compensation Committee initially approved the change-in-control protection program in 1998. The program provided some level of severance benefits to all employees not part of a collective bargaining unit, if the conditions of the program were met, as described below. The Compensation Committee established this program and the levels of severance amount in order to provide certain compensatory protections to executives upon a change in control and thereby allow them to negotiate aggressively with a prospective purchaser. Providing such protections to our employees in general would minimize disruption during a pending or anticipated change in control. For all

Table of Contents

participants, payment and vesting would occur only upon the occurrence of both an actual change in control and loss of the individual's position. In 2009, the Compensation Committee directed Towers Perrin to review best practices for change-in-control programs and directed management to recommend any necessary changes to the program to meet those best practices. The review of the program was completed in 2009 and changes were made effective in late 2009. Change-in-control protections, including severance pay and, in some situations, vesting or payment of long-term performance-based awards, are provided upon a change in control of Southern Company or Gulf Power coupled with an involuntary termination not for Cause or a voluntary termination for Good Reason. This means there is a double trigger before severance benefits are paid; *i.e.*, there must be both a change in control and a termination of employment.

If the conditions described above are met, the named executive officers are entitled to severance payments equal to one or three times their base salary plus the annual performance-based compensation amount assuming target-level performance. Most officers, including Gulf Power's named executive officers, are entitled to severance payments equal to one times their base salary plus the annual Performance Pay Program amount assuming target-level performance. Ms. Story is entitled to the larger amount.

Prior to the changes made in 2009, the named executive officers, other than Ms. Story, were entitled to severance payments of two times their base salary plus the target-level annual Performance Pay Program amount. The changes made in 2009 also eliminated the broad-based change-in-control severance program.

More information about post-employment compensation, including severance arrangements under our change-in-control program, is included in the section entitled Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

Executive Stock Ownership Requirements

Effective January 1, 2006, the Compensation Committee adopted Common Stock ownership requirements for officers of Southern Company and its subsidiaries that are in a position of vice president or above. All of the named executive officers are covered by the requirements. The guidelines were implemented to further align the interest of officers and Southern Company's stockholders by promoting a long-term focus and long-term share ownership.

The types of ownership arrangements counted toward the requirements are shares owned outright, those held in Southern Company-sponsored plans, and Common Stock accounts in the Deferred Compensation Plan and the Supplemental Benefit Plan. One-third of vested Southern Company stock options may be counted, but if so, the ownership requirement is doubled.

The requirements are expressed as a multiple of base salary as per the table below.

Name	Multiple of Salary Without Counting Stock Options	Multiple of Salary Counting 1/3 of Vested Options
S. N. Story	3 Times	6 Times
P. C. Raymond	2 Times	4 Times
P. B. Jacob	2 Times	4 Times
T. J. McCullough	1 Times	2 Times
B. C. Terry	2 Times	4 Times

Current officers have until September 30, 2011 to meet the applicable ownership requirement. Newly-elected officers have five years from the date of their election to meet the applicable ownership requirement.

Table of Contents**Impact of Accounting and Tax Treatments on Compensation**

None of the compensation paid to Gulf Power's employees, including the named executive officers, is subject to the restrictions under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (Code).

Policy on Recovery of Awards

Southern Company's 2006 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan provides that if Southern Company or Gulf Power is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance as a result of misconduct, and if an executive officer knowingly or grossly negligently engaged in or failed to prevent the misconduct or is subject to automatic forfeiture under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the executive officer will reimburse Gulf Power the amount of any payment in settlement of awards earned or accrued during the 12-month period following the first public issuance or filing that was restated.

Southern Company Policy Regarding Hedging the Economic Risk of Stock Ownership

Southern Company's policy is that insiders, including outside directors, will not trade in Southern Company options on the options market and will not engage in short sales.

2010 Executive Compensation Program Changes

In 2009, the Compensation Committee made certain key changes to the performance-based compensation program that affect all employees of Gulf Power, including the named executive officers. Changes were made to both the annual and long-term performance-based compensation programs.

Annual Performance Pay Program

For annual performance-based compensation to be earned in 2010, the Compensation Committee changed the goal weights and lowered the maximum payout opportunity. Under the program in effect since 2000, the 2009 goals were weighted 50% EPS and 50% ROE with an adjustment of plus or minus 10% based on operational goal performance. The maximum payout opportunity was 220% of the target opportunity. (For more information, see the description of the Performance Pay Program in the 2009 Performance Based Compensation section in this CD&A.) Under the program effective in 2010, the goals are weighted one-third EPS, one-third ROE, and one-third operational goals. The maximum payout opportunity is reduced to 200% of target.

Long-Term Performance-Based Compensation Program

The long-term performance-based compensation program that has been in effect for many years has consisted of stock options with associated performance dividends. Effective in 2010, stock options were granted without associated performance dividends. Performance dividends accounted for approximately 64% of the total long-term performance-based compensation target value for 2009. In 2010, stock options represent 40% of the total value and a new long-term performance-based compensation component was granted: performance share units. Performance share units represent 60% of the total long-term performance-based compensation target value. A grant date fair value per unit is determined. For the grant made in 2010, the value per unit was \$30.13. The total target value for performance share units is divided by the value per unit to determine the number of performance share units granted to each participant, including the named executive officers. Each performance share unit represents one share of Common Stock. At the end of a three-year performance-measurement period, the number of units will be adjusted up or down (zero to 200%) based on Southern Company's total shareholder return relative to that of its peers in the Philadelphia Utility Index and the custom peer group. (The performance metric, performance scale, and the peer groups used for the performance share units are the same as that currently used for the Performance Dividend Program.) The number of performance share units earned will be paid in Common Stock. No dividends or dividend equivalents will be paid or earned on the performance share units.

The Compensation Committee also approved a transition period for the Performance Dividend Program. There are three performance-measurement periods that are still open: 2007-2010, 2008-2011, and 2009-2012. For these open

Table of Contents

periods, the performance at the end of each period will be determined as described above in this CD&A, and the amount earned will be paid on the number of stock options granted prior to 2010 that a participant holds at the end of each period. Therefore, there will be three additional payouts under the Performance Dividend Program, but the number of stock options upon which payment will be based will be limited to those granted prior to 2010.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee met with management to review and discuss the CD&A. Based on such review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Southern Company Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in Gulf Power's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. The Southern Company Board of Directors approved that recommendation.

Members of the Compensation Committee:

J. Neal Purcell, Chair

Henry A. Clark, III

H. William Habermeyer, Jr.

Donald M. James

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The Summary Compensation Table shows the amount and type of compensation received by the Chief Executive Officer, any Chief Financial Officer, and the next three most highly-paid executive officers who served in 2009.

Collectively, these officers are referred to as the named executive officers.

Name and Principal Position (a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	Stock Awards (\$) (e)	Option Awards (\$) (f)	Non- Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (g)	Change in Pension Value and Nonquali- fied Deferred Compensa- tion Earnings (\$) (h)	All Other Compensa- tion (\$) (i)	Total (\$) (j)
Susan N. Story	2009	411,318	0	0	180,401	455,257	403,615	41,374	1,491,965
President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director	2008	390,602	0	0	102,872	509,067	128,423	39,109	1,170,073
	2007	366,578	0	0	179,105	404,421	231,120	37,196	1,218,420
Philip C. Raymond*	2009	237,219	0	0	49,939	146,636	147,437	180,666	761,897
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2008	215,880	23,731	0	21,283	181,206	48,120	44,446	534,666
P. Bernard Jacob	2009	239,205	0	0	50,359	146,661	199,239	23,487	658,951
Vice President	2008	227,419	0	0	32,670	181,151	103,293	22,219	566,752
	2007	213,374	0	0	57,371	152,730	125,674	22,726	571,875
Theodore J. McCullough	2009	190,010	0	0	26,667	105,148	111,520	17,805	451,150
Vice President	2008	180,717	0	0	20,790	139,937	30,798	78,720	450,962
	2007	154,087	17,000	0	22,450	107,045	30,674	29,962	361,218
Bentina C. Terry	2009	237,219	0	0	49,939	134,728	48,437	25,427	495,750
Vice President	2008	222,172	5,150	0	30,616	166,985	13,845	26,250	465,018
	2007	193,869	18,232	0	38,592	140,268	13,802	64,210	468,973

* Mr. Raymond became an executive officer of Gulf Power in 2008.

Column (e)

No equity-based compensation has been awarded to the named executive officers, or any other employees of Gulf Power, other than Stock Option Awards which are reported in column (f).

Column (f)

This column reports the aggregate grant date fair value. See Note 8 to the financial statements of Gulf Power in Item 8 herein for a discussion of the assumptions used in calculating these amounts.

Table of Contents

Column (g)

The amounts in this column are the aggregate of the payouts under the annual Performance Pay Program and the Performance Dividend Program attributable to performance periods ended December 31, 2009 that are discussed in detail in the CD&A. The amounts paid under each program to the named executive officers are shown below.

Name	Annual Performance- Based Compensation (\$)	Performance Dividends (\$)	Total (\$)
S. N. Story	161,602	293,655	455,257
P. C. Raymond	69,901	76,735	146,636
P. B. Jacob	70,486	76,175	146,661
T. J. McCullough	53,428	51,720	105,148
B. C. Terry	69,901	64,827	134,728

Column (h)

This column reports the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of each named executive officer's accumulated benefit under the Pension Plan and the supplemental pension plans (collectively, Pension Benefits) during 2007, 2008, and 2009. The amount included for 2007 is the difference between the actuarial present values of the Pension Benefits measured as of September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2007. However, the amount for 2008 is the difference between the actuarial values of the Pension Benefits measured as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2008 - 15 months rather than one year. September 30 was used as the measurement date prior to 2008, because it was the date as of which Southern Company measured its retirement benefit obligations for accounting purposes. Starting in 2008, Southern Company changed its measurement date to December 31. The amount for 2009 is the difference between the actuarial values of the Pension Benefits measured as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009. The Pension Benefits as of each measurement date are based on the named executive officer's age, pay, and service accruals and the plan provisions applicable as of the measurement date. The actuarial present values as of each measurement date reflect the assumptions Gulf Power selected for cost purposes as of that measurement date; however, the named executive officers were assumed to remain employed at Gulf Power or other Southern Company subsidiary until their benefits commence at the pension plans' stated normal retirement date, generally age 65. As a result, the amounts in column (h) related to Pension Benefits represent the combined impact of several factors: growth in the named executive officer's Pension Benefits over the measurement year; impact on the total present values of one year shorter discounting period due to the named executive officer being one year closer to normal retirement; impact on the total present values attributable to changes in assumptions from measurement date to measurement date; and impact on the total present values attributable to plan changes between measurement dates.

The present values of accumulated Pension Benefits as of September 30, 2007 reflect new provisions regarding the form and timing of payments from the supplemental pension plans. These changes brought those plans into compliance with Section 409A of the Code. The key change was to the form of payment. Instead of providing monthly payments for the lifetime of each named executive officer and his/her spouse, these plans will pay the single sum value of those benefits for an average lifetime in 10 annual installments. Calculations of the present value of accumulated benefits calculations shown prior to September 30, 2007 reflect supplemental pension benefits being paid monthly for the lifetimes of named executive officers and their spouses. The 2007 change in pension value reported in column (h) for each named executive officer is greater than what it otherwise would have been due to the change in the form of payment.

For more information about the Pension Benefits and the assumptions used to calculate the actuarial present value of accumulated benefits as of December 31, 2009, see the information following the Pension Benefits table. The key differences between assumptions used for the actuarial present values of accumulated benefits calculations as of December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2009 follow:

§ Discount rate for the Pension Plan was decreased to 5.95% as of December 31, 2009 from 6.75% as of December 31, 2008

§ Discount rate for the supplemental pension plans was decreased to 5.60% as of December 31, 2009 from 6.75% as of December 31, 2008

III-20

Table of Contents

§ Unpaid annual performance-based compensation was assumed to be 130% of target as of December 31, 2009 and 135% of target was assumed as of December 31, 2008

This column also reports above-market earnings on deferred compensation under the Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP). There were no above-market earnings on deferred compensation in 2009. For more information about the DCP, see the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and information accompanying it.

The table below itemizes the amounts reported in this column.

Name	Year	Change in Pension Value (\$)	Above-Market Earnings on Deferred Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
S. N. Story	2009	403,615	0	403,615
	2008	128,423	0	128,423
	2007	221,213	9,907	231,120
P. C. Raymond	2009	147,437	0	147,437
	2008	48,120	0	48,120
P. B. Jacob	2009	199,239	0	199,239
	2008	103,293	0	103,293
	2007	125,316	358	125,674
T. J. McCullough	2009	111,520	0	111,520
	2008	30,798	0	30,798
	2007	30,607	67	30,674
B. C. Terry	2009	48,437	0	48,437
	2008	13,845	0	13,845
	2007	13,729	73	13,802

Column (i)

This column reports the following items: perquisites; tax reimbursements by the employing company on certain perquisites; the employing company's contributions in 2009 to the Southern Company Employee Savings Plan (ESP), which is a tax-qualified defined contribution plan intended to meet requirements of Section 401(k) of the Code; and the employing company's contributions in 2009 under the Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan (Non-Pension Related) (SBP). The SBP is described more fully in the information following the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table.

The amounts reported are itemized below.

Name	Tax				Total (\$)
	Perquisites (\$)	Reimbursements (\$)	ESP (\$)	SBP (\$)	
S. N. Story	20,391	6	12,495	8,482	41,374
P. C. Raymond	123,748	44,820	12,098	0	180,666
P. B. Jacob	9,838	3,088	10,561	0	23,487
T. J. McCullough	7,346	1,220	9,239	0	17,805
B. C. Terry	10,358	4,479	10,590	0	25,427

III-21

Table of Contents

Description of Perquisites

Personal Financial Planning is provided for most officers of Gulf Power, including all of the named executive officers. Gulf Power pays for the services of the financial planner on behalf of the officers, up to a maximum amount of \$8,700 per year, after the initial year that the benefit is provided. In the initial year, the allowed amount is \$15,000. The employing company also provides a five-year allowance of \$6,000 for estate planning and tax return preparation fees.

Personal Use of Company-Provided Club Memberships. The employing company provides club memberships to certain officers, including all of the named executive officers. The memberships are provided for business use; however, personal use is permitted. The amount included reflects the pro-rata portion of the membership fees paid by the employing company that are attributable to the named executive officers' personal use. Direct costs associated with any personal use, such as meals, are paid for or reimbursed by the employee and therefore are not included.

Relocation Benefits. These benefits are provided to cover the costs associated with geographic relocation. In 2009, Mr. Raymond received relocation benefits in the amount of \$110,596.

Personal Use of Corporate-Owned Aircraft. Southern Company owns aircraft that are used to facilitate business travel. If seating is available, Southern Company permits a spouse or other family member to accompany an employee on a flight. However, because in such cases the aircraft is being used for a business purpose, there is no incremental cost associated with the family travel and no amounts are included for such travel. Any additional expenses incurred that are related to family travel are included. Also, for Ms. Story only, effective in 2009, limited personal use that is associated with business travel is permitted; however, she had no such use in 2009.

Home Security Systems. Gulf Power pays for the services of third-party providers for the installation, maintenance, and monitoring of the named executive officers' home security systems.

Other Miscellaneous Perquisites. The amount included reflects the full cost to Gulf Power of providing the following items: personal use of company provided tickets for sporting and other entertainment events and gifts distributed to and activities provided to attendees at company-sponsored events.

For Ms. Story, effective in 2009, tax reimbursements are no longer made on perquisites, except on any relocation benefits.

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS MADE IN 2009**

This table provides information on stock option grants made and goals established for future payouts under Gulf Power's performance-based compensation programs during 2009 by the Compensation Committee. In this table, the annual Performance Pay Program and performance dividend payouts are referred to as PPP and PDP, respectively.

Name (a)	Grant Date (b)		Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Option Awards: Number of	Exercise or Base	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock
			Threshold (\$) (c)	Target (\$) (d)	Maximum (\$) (e)			
S. N. Story	2/16/2009	PPP	2,139	237,650	522,830			
		PDP	11,546	230,920	461,839	100,223	31.39	180,401
P. C. Raymond	2/16/2009	PPP	925	102,795	226,149			
		PDP	3,017	60,342	120,683	27,744	31.39	49,939
P. B. Jacob	2/16/2009	PPP	933	103,656	228,043			
		PDP	2,995	59,901	119,803	27,977	31.39	50,359
T. J. McCullough	2/16/2009	PPP	659	73,189	161,016			
		PDP	2,034	40,671	81,341	14,815	31.39	26,667
B. C. Terry	2/16/2009	PPP	925	102,795	226,149			
		PDP	2,549	50,978	101,956	27,744	31.39	49,939

Columns (c), (d), and (e)

The amounts reported as PPP reflect the amounts established by the Compensation Committee in early 2009 to be paid for certain levels of performance as of December 31, 2009 under the annual Performance Pay Program. Under that program, the Compensation Committee assigns each named executive officer a target opportunity, expressed as a percentage of base salary, which is paid for target-level performance under the Performance Pay Program. The target opportunities established for the named executive officers for 2009 performance were 60% for Ms. Story, 45% for Ms. Terry and Messrs. Jacob and Raymond, and 40% for Mr. McCullough. The payout for threshold performance was set at a determined amount of less than one percent of the target opportunity and the maximum amount payable was set at 2.20 times the target. The amount paid to each named executive officer under the Performance Pay Program for actual 2009 performance is included in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table and is itemized in the notes following that table. More information about the annual Performance Pay Program, including the applicable performance criteria established by the Compensation Committee, is provided in the CD&A.

Southern Company also has a long-term performance-based compensation program, the Performance Dividend Program, which has been adopted by Gulf Power and SCS. It pays performance-based dividend equivalents based on Southern Company's total shareholder return (TSR) compared with the TSR of its peer companies over a four-year performance-measurement period. The Compensation Committee establishes the level of payout for prescribed levels of performance over the performance-measurement period.

In February 2009, the Compensation Committee established the Performance Dividend Program goal for the four-year performance-measurement period beginning on January 1, 2009 and ending on December 31, 2012. The amount earned in 2012 based on the performance for 2009-2012 will be paid following the end of the period. However, no

amount is earned and paid unless the Compensation Committee approves the payment at the beginning
III-23

Table of Contents

of the final year of the performance-measurement period. Also, nothing is earned unless Southern Company's earnings are sufficient to fund a Common Stock dividend at least equal to that paid in the prior year.

The Performance Dividend Program pays to all option holders a percentage of the Common Stock dividend paid to Southern Company's stockholders in the last year of the performance-measurement period. It can range from approximately 2.5% for performance above the 10th percentile compared with the performance of the peer companies to 100% of the dividend if Southern Company's total shareholder return is at or above the 90th percentile. That amount is then paid per option granted prior to 2010 and held at the end of the four-year period. The amount, if any, ultimately paid to the option holders, including the named executive officers, at the end of the last year of the 2009-2012 performance-measurement period will be based on (1) Southern Company's average total shareholder return compared to that of its peer companies as of December 31, 2012, (2) the actual dividend paid in 2012 to Southern Company's stockholders, if any, and (3) the number of options granted prior to 2010 held by the named executive officers on December 31, 2012.

The number of options held on December 31, 2012 will be affected by the number of options exercised by the named executive officers prior to December 31, 2012, if any. None of these components necessary to calculate the range of payout under the Performance Dividend Program for the 2009-2012 performance-measurement period is known at the time the goal is established.

The amounts reported as PDP in columns (c), (d), and (e) were calculated based on the number of options held by the named executive officers on December 31, 2009, as reported in columns (b) and (c) of the Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End table and the Common Stock dividend of \$1.73 per share paid to Southern Company's stockholders in 2009. These factors are itemized below.

Name	Stock Options Held	Performance Dividend	Performance Dividend Per Option Paid at Maximum	Performance Dividend Per Option Paid at Maximum
	as of December 31, 2009 (#)	Per Option Paid at Threshold Performance (\$)	Performance Dividend Per Option Paid at Target Performance (\$)	Performance (\$)
S. N. Story	266,959	0.04325	0.86500	1.7300
P. C. Raymond	69,759	0.04325	0.86500	1.7300
P. B. Jacob	69,250	0.04325	0.86500	1.7300
T. J. McCullough	47,018	0.04325	0.86500	1.7300
B. C. Terry	58,934	0.04325	0.86500	1.7300

More information about the Performance Dividend Program is provided in the CD&A.

Columns (f) and (g)

The stock options vest at the rate of one-third per year, on the anniversary date of the grant. Also, grants fully vest upon termination as a result of death, total disability, or retirement and expire five years after retirement, three years after death or total disability, or their normal expiration date if earlier. Please see Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for more information about the treatment of stock options under different termination and change-in-control events.

The Compensation Committee granted these stock options to the named executive officers at its regularly-scheduled meeting on February 19, 2009. Under the terms of the Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, the exercise price was set at the closing price (\$31.39 per share) on the last trading day prior to the grant date of February 16, 2009.

Column (h)

The value of stock options granted in 2009 was derived using the Black-Scholes stock option pricing model. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are discussed in Note 8 to the financial statements of Gulf Power in

Item 8 herein.

III-24

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT 2009 FISCAL YEAR-END**

This table provides information pertaining to all outstanding stock options held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2009.

Name	Option Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards:		Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Awards	Number of Awards		Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Unearned Shares or Units of Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Unearned Shares or Units of Other Rights That Have Not Vested
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)
S. N. Story	38,529	0		32.70	02/18/2015				
	41,329	0		33.81	02/20/2016				
	28,981	14,491		36.42	02/19/2017				
	14,469	28,937		35.78	02/18/2018				
	0	100,223		31.39	02/16/2019				
P. C. Raymond	1,230	0		27.98	02/14/2013				
	4,196	0		29.50	02/13/2014				
	9,463	0		32.70	02/18/2015				
	8,882	0		33.81	02/20/2016				
	6,176	3,088		36.42	02/19/2017				
	2,994	5,986		35.78	02/18/2018				
P. B. Jacob	0	27,744		31.39	02/16/2019				
	4,738	0		32.70	02/18/2015				
	8,825	0		33.81	02/20/2016				
	9,283	4,642		36.42	02/19/2017				
	4,595	9,190		35.78	02/18/2018				
T. J. McCullough	0	27,977		31.39	02/16/2019				
	1,985	0		27.98	02/14/2013				
	5,421	0		29.50	02/13/2014				
	5,468	0		32.70	02/18/2015				

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

	5,108	0	33.81	02/20/2016
	3,633	1,816	36.42	02/19/2017
	2,924	5,848	35.78	02/18/2018
	0	14,815	31.39	02/16/2019
B. C. Terry	8,905	0	33.81	02/20/2016
	6,245	3,122	36.42	02/19/2017
	4,306	8,612	35.78	02/18/2018
	0	27,744	31.39	02/16/2019

III-25

Table of Contents

Stock options vest one-third per year on the anniversary of the grant date. Options granted from 2002 through 2006 with expiration dates from 2012 through 2016 were fully vested as of December 31, 2009. The options granted in 2007, 2008, and 2009 become fully vested as shown below.

Year Option Granted	Expiration Date	Date Fully Vested
2007	February 19, 2017	February 19, 2010
2008	February 18, 2018	February 18, 2011
2009	February 16, 2019	February 16, 2012

Options also fully vest upon death, total disability, or retirement and expire three years following death or total disability or five years following retirement, or on the original expiration date if earlier. Please see Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for more information about the treatment of stock options under different termination and change-in-control events.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED IN 2009

None of the named executive officers exercised stock options in 2009 and none were granted Stock Awards.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
S. N. Story	0	0		
P. C. Raymond	0	0		
P. B. Jacob	0	0		
T. J. McCullough	0	0		
B. C. Terry	0	0		

PENSION BENEFITS AT 2009 FISCAL YEAR-END

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
S. N. Story	Pension Plan	27.00	493,190	0
	SBP-P	27.00	769,884	0
	SERP	27.00	316,861	0
P. C. Raymond	Pension Plan	18.00	285,396	0
	SBP-P	18.00	80,192	0
	SERP	18.00	86,423	0
P. B. Jacob	Pension Plan	26.42	599,150	0
	SBP-P	26.42	194,082	0
	SERP	26.42	158,583	0
T. J. McCullough	Pension Plan	21.75	241,527	0
	SBP-P	21.75	51,546	0
	SERP	21.75	59,008	0

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

B. C. Terry	Pension Plan	7.50	72,732	0
	SBP-P	7.50	16,383	0
	SERP	7.50	23,438	0

The named executive officers earn employer-paid pension benefits from three coordinated retirement plans. More information about pension benefits is provided in the CD&A.

III-26

Table of Contents**Pension Plan**

The Pension Plan is a tax-qualified, funded plan. It is Southern Company's primary retirement plan. Generally, all full-time employees participate in this plan after one year of service. Normal retirement benefits become payable when participants both attain age 65 and complete five years of participation. The plan benefit equals the greater of amounts computed using a 1.7% offset formula and a 1.25% formula, as described below. Benefits are limited to a statutory maximum.

The 1.7% offset formula amount equals 1.7% of final average pay times years of participation less an offset related to Social Security benefits. The offset equals a service ratio times 50% of the anticipated Social Security benefits in excess of \$4,200. The service ratio adjusts the offset for the portion of a full career that a participant has worked. The highest three rates of pay out of a participant's last 10 calendar years of service are averaged to derive final average pay. The pay considered for this formula is the base rate of pay reduced for any voluntary deferrals. A statutory limit restricts the amount considered each year; the limit for 2009 was \$245,000.

The 1.25% formula amount equals 1.25% of final average pay times years of participation. For this formula, the final average pay computation is the same as above, but annual performance-based compensation paid during each year is added to the base rates of pay.

Early retirement benefits become payable once plan participants have during employment both attained age 50 and completed 10 years of participation. Participants who retire early from active service receive benefits equal to the amounts computed using the same formulas employed at normal retirement. However, a 0.3% reduction applies for each month (3.6% for each year) prior to normal retirement that participants elect to have their benefit payments commence. For example, 64% of the formula benefits are payable starting at age 55. As of December 31, 2009, only Messrs. Jacob and Raymond were eligible to retire immediately.

The Pension Plan's benefit formulas produce amounts payable monthly over a participant's post-retirement lifetime. At retirement, plan participants can choose to receive their benefits in one of seven alternative forms of payment. All forms pay benefits monthly over the lifetime of the retiree or the joint lifetimes of the retiree and a spouse. A reduction applies if a retiring participant chooses a payment form other than a single life annuity. The reduction makes the value of the benefits paid in the form chosen comparable to what it would have been if benefits were paid as a single life annuity over the retiree's life.

Participants vest in the Pension Plan after completing five years of service. All the named executive officers are vested in their Pension Plan benefits. Participants who terminate employment after vesting can elect to have their pension benefits commencing at age 50 if they participated in the Pension Plan for 10 years. If such an election is made, the early retirement reductions that apply are actuarially determined factors and are larger than 0.3% per month.

If a participant dies while actively employed, benefits will be paid to a surviving spouse. A survivor's benefit equals 45% of the monthly benefit that the participant had earned before his or her death. Payments to a surviving spouse of a participant who could have retired will begin immediately. Payments to a survivor of a participant who was not retirement-eligible will begin when the deceased participant would have attained age 50. After commencing, survivor benefits are payable monthly for the remainder of a survivor's life. Participants who are eligible for early retirement may opt to have an 80% survivor benefit paid if they die; however, there is a charge associated with this election. If participants become totally disabled, periods that Social Security or employer-provided disability income benefits are paid will count as service for benefit calculation purposes. The crediting of this additional service ceases at the point a disabled participant elects to commence retirement payments. Outside of the extra service crediting, the normal plan provisions apply to disabled participants.

Table of Contents**The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan (Pension-Related) (SBP-P)**

The SBP-P is an unfunded retirement plan that is not tax qualified. This plan provides to high-paid employees any benefits that the Pension Plan cannot pay due to statutory pay/benefit limits and voluntary pay deferrals. The SBP-P's vesting, early retirement, and disability provisions mirror those of the Pension Plan.

The amounts paid by the SBP-P are based on the additional monthly benefit that the Pension Plan would pay if the statutory limits and pay deferrals were ignored. When an SBP-P participant separates from service, vested monthly benefits provided by the benefit formulas are converted into a single sum value. It equals the present value of what would have been paid monthly for an actuarially determined average post-retirement lifetime. The discount rate used in the calculation is based on the 30-year Treasury yields for the September preceding the calendar year of separation, but not more than six percent. Vested participants terminating prior to becoming eligible to retire will be paid their single sum value as of September 1 following the calendar year of separation. If the terminating participant is retirement eligible, the single sum value will be paid in 10 annual installments starting shortly after separation. The unpaid balance of a retiree's single sum will be credited with interest at the prime rate published in *The Wall Street Journal*. If the separating participant is a key man under Section 409A of the Code, the first installment will be delayed for six months after the date of separation.

If an SBP-P participant dies after becoming vested in the Pension Plan, the spouse of the deceased participant will receive the installments the participant would have been paid upon retirement. If a vested participant's death occurs prior to age 50, the installments will be paid to a survivor as if the participant had survived to age 50.

The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP)

The SERP also is an unfunded retirement plan that is not tax qualified. This plan provides to high-paid employees additional benefits that the Pension Plan and the SBP-P would pay if the 1.7% offset formula calculations reflected a portion of annual cash incentives. To derive the SERP benefits, a final average pay is determined reflecting participants' base rates of pay and their annual performance-based compensation amounts to the extent they exceed 15% of those base rates (ignoring statutory limits and pay deferrals). This final average pay is used in the 1.7% offset formula to derive a gross benefit. The Pension Plan and the SBP-P benefits are subtracted from the gross benefit to calculate the SERP benefit. The SERP's early retirement, survivor benefit, and disability provisions mirror the SBP-P's provisions. However, except upon a change in control, SERP benefits do not vest until participants retire, so no benefits are paid if a participant terminates prior to becoming eligible to retire. More information about vesting and payment of SERP benefits following a change in control is included in the section entitled Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

The following assumptions were used in the present value calculations:

Discount rate 5.95% Pension Plan and 5.60% supplemental plans as of December 31, 2009

Retirement date Normal retirement age (65 for all named executive officers)

Mortality after normal retirement RP2000 Combined Healthy with generational projections

Mortality, withdrawal, disability, and retirement rates prior to normal retirement None

Form of payment for Pension Benefits

o Male retirees: 25% single life annuity; 25% level income annuity; 25% joint and 50% survivor annuity; and 25% joint and 100% survivor annuity

o Female retirees: 40% single life annuity; 40% level income annuity; 10% joint and 50% survivor annuity; and 10% joint and 100% survivor annuity

Spouse ages Wives two years younger than their husbands

Annual performance-based compensation earned but unpaid as of the measurement date 130% of target opportunity percentages times base rate of pay for year amount is earned.

Installment determination 4.25% discount rate for single sum calculation and 5.25% prime rate during installment payment period

For all of the named executive officers, the number of years of credited service is one year less than the number of years of employment.

III-28

Table of Contents**NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION AS OF 2009 FISCAL YEAR-END**

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE
(a)	(\$) (b)	(\$) (c)	(\$) (d)	(\$) (e)	(\$) (f)
S. N. Story	0	8,482	22,005	0	1,591,696
P. C. Raymond	0	0	(23)	0	473
P. B. Jacob	53,655	0	14,824	0	134,565
T. J. McCullough	9,807	0	3,477	0	58,694
B. C. Terry	0	0	2,045	0	68,241

Southern Company provides the DCP which is designed to permit participants to defer income as well as certain federal, state, and local taxes until a specified date or their retirement, or other separation from service. Up to 50% of base salary and up to 100% of performance-based compensation, except stock options, may be deferred, at the election of eligible employees. All of the named executive officers are eligible to participate in the DCP.

Participants have two options for the deemed investments of the amounts deferred – the Stock Equivalent Account and the Prime Equivalent Account. Under the terms of the DCP, participants are permitted to transfer between investments at any time.

The amounts deferred in the Stock Equivalent Account are treated as if invested at an equivalent rate of return to that of an actual investment in Common Stock, including the crediting of dividend equivalents as such are paid by Southern Company from time to time. It provides participants with an equivalent opportunity for the capital appreciation (or loss) and income of that of a Southern Company stockholder. During 2009, the rate of return in the Stock Equivalent Account was (4.83%), which was Southern Company's TSR for 2009.

Alternatively, participants may elect to have their deferred compensation deemed invested in the Prime Equivalent Account which is treated as if invested at a prime interest rate compounded monthly, as published in *The Wall Street Journal* as the base rate on corporate loans posted as of the last business day of each month by at least 75% of the United States' largest banks. The interest rate earned on amounts deferred during 2009 in the Prime Equivalent Account was 3.25%.

Column (b)

This column reports the actual amounts of compensation deferred under the DCP by each named executive officer in 2009. The amount of salary deferred by the named executive officers, if any, is included in the Salary column in the Summary Compensation Table. The amounts of performance-based compensation deferred in 2009 were the amounts paid for performance under the annual Performance Pay Program and the Performance Dividend Program that were earned as of December 31, 2008 but not payable until the first quarter of 2009. These amounts are not reflected in the Summary Compensation Table because that table reports performance-based compensation that was earned in 2009, but not payable until early 2010. These deferred amounts may be distributed in a lump sum or in up to 10 annual installments at termination of employment or in a lump sum at a specified date, at the election of the participant.

Column (c)

This column reflects contributions under the SBP. Under the Code, employer matching contributions are prohibited under the ESP on employee contributions above stated limits in the ESP, and, if applicable, above legal limits set forth in the Code. The SBP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan under which contributions are made that are prohibited from being made in the ESP. The contributions are treated as if invested in Common Stock and are payable in cash upon termination of employment in a lump sum or in up to 20 annual installments, at the election of

Table of Contents

the participant. The amounts reported in this column also were reported in the All Other Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table.

Column (d)

This column reports earnings or losses on both compensation the named executive officers elected to defer and on employer contributions under the SBP. See the notes to column (h) of the Summary Compensation Table for a discussion of amounts of nonqualified deferred compensation earnings included in the Summary Compensation Table.

Column (f)

This column includes amounts that were deferred under the DCP and contributions under the SBP in prior years and reported in Gulf Power's prior years Information Statements or Annual Reports on Form 10-K. The chart below shows the amounts reported in Gulf Power's prior years Information Statements or Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Name	Amounts Deferred under the DCP Prior to 2009 and Reported in Prior	Employer Contributions under the SBP Prior to 2009 and Reported in Prior Years	Total
	Years Information Statements or Annual Reports on Form 10-K (\$)	Information Statements or Annual Reports on Form 10-K (\$)	(\$)
S. N. Story	18,373	266,792	285,165
P. C. Raymond	0	0	0
P. B. Jacob	43,870	22,674	66,544
T. J. McCullough	18,653	0	18,653
B. C. Terry	121,427	0	121,427

POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE IN CONTROL

This section describes and estimates payments that could be made to the named executive officers under different termination and change-in-control events. The estimated payments would be made under the terms of Southern Company's compensation and benefits programs or the change-in-control severance program. All of the named executive officers are participants in Southern Company's change-in-control severance plan for officers. The amount of potential payments is calculated as if the triggering events occurred as of December 31, 2009 and assumes that the price of Common Stock is the closing market price on December 31, 2009.

Description of Termination and Change-in-Control Events

The following charts list different types of termination and change-in-control events that can affect the treatment of payments under the compensation and benefit programs. These events also affect payments to the named executive officers under their change-in-control severance agreements. No payments are made under the severance agreements unless, within two years of the change in control, the named executive officer is involuntarily terminated or he or she voluntarily terminates for Good Reason. (See the description of Good Reason below.)

Traditional Termination Events

Retirement or Retirement Eligible Termination of a named executive officer who is at least 50 years old and has at least 10 years of credited service.

Resignation Voluntary termination of a named executive officer who is not retirement-eligible.

Lay Off Involuntary termination of a named executive officer not for cause, who is not retirement-eligible.
III-30

Table of Contents

Involuntary Termination Involuntary termination of a named executive officer for cause. Cause includes individual performance below minimum performance standards and misconduct, such as violation of Gulf Power's Drug and Alcohol Policy.

Death or Disability Termination of a named executive officer due to death or disability.

Change-in-Control-Related Events

At the Southern Company or Gulf Power level:

Southern Company Change-in-Control I Acquisition by another entity of 20% or more of Common Stock, or following a merger with another entity Southern Company's stockholders own 65% or less of the entity surviving the merger.

Southern Company Change-in-Control II Acquisition by another entity of 35% or more of Common Stock, or following a merger with another entity Gulf Power's stockholders own less than 50% of Gulf Power surviving the merger.

Southern Company Termination A merger or other event and Southern Company is not the surviving company or the Common Stock is no longer publicly traded.

Gulf Power Change in Control Acquisition by another entity, other than another subsidiary of Southern Company, of 50% or more of the stock of Gulf Power, a merger with another entity and Gulf Power is not the surviving company, or the sale of substantially all the assets of Gulf Power.

At the employee level:

Involuntary Change-in-Control Termination or Voluntary Change-in-Control Termination for Good Reason Employment is terminated within two years of a change in control, other than for cause, or the employee voluntarily terminates for Good Reason. Good Reason for voluntary termination within two years of a change in control generally is satisfied when there is a material reduction in salary, performance-based compensation opportunity or benefits, relocation of over 50 miles, or a diminution in duties and responsibilities.

Table of Contents

The following chart describes the treatment of different pay and benefit elements in connection with the Traditional Termination Events described above.

Program	Retirement/ Retirement Eligible	Lay Off (Involuntary Termination Not For Cause)	Resignation	Death or Disability	Involuntary Termination (For Cause)
Pension Benefits Plans	Benefits payable as described in the notes following the Pension Benefits table.	Same as Retirement.	Same as Retirement.	Same as Retirement.	Same as Retirement.
Annual Performance Pay Program	Pro-rated if terminate before 12/31.	Same as Retirement.	Forfeit.	Same as Retirement.	Forfeit.
Performance Dividend Program	Paid year of retirement plus two additional years.	Forfeit.	Forfeit.	Payable until options expire or exercised.	Forfeit.
Stock Options	Vest; expire earlier of original expiration date or five years.	Vested options expire in 90 days; unvested are forfeited.	Same as Lay Off.	Vest; expire earlier of original expiration or three years.	Forfeit.
Financial Planning Perquisite	Continues for one year.	Terminates.	Terminates.	Same as Retirement.	Terminates.
Deferred Compensation Plan	Payable per prior elections (lump sum or up to 10 annual installments).	Same as Retirement.	Same as Retirement.	Payable to beneficiary or disabled participant per prior elections; amounts deferred prior to 2005 can be paid as a lump sum	Same as Retirement.

per benefit
administration
committee s
discretion.

**Supplemental
Benefit Plan
non-pension
related**

Payable per
prior elections
(lump sum or up
to 20 annual
installments).

Same as
Retirement.

Same as
Retirement.

Same as the
Deferred
Compensation Plan.

Same as
Retirement.

Table of Contents

The chart below describes the treatment of payments under pay and benefit programs under different change-in-control events, except the Pension Plan. The Pension Plan is not affected by change-in-control events.

Program	Southern Company Change-in-Control I	Southern Company Change-in-Control II	Southern Company Termination or Gulf Power Change in Control	Involuntary Change-in-Control-Related Termination or Voluntary Change-in-Control-Related Termination for Good Reason
Nonqualified Pension Benefits	All SERP-related benefits vest if participants vested in tax-qualified pension benefits; otherwise, no impact. SBP pension related benefits vest for all participants and single sum value of benefits earned to change-in-control date paid following termination or retirement.	Benefits vest for all participants and single sum value of benefits earned to the change-in-control date paid following termination or retirement.	Same as Southern Company Change-in-Control II.	Based on type of change-in-control event.
Annual Performance Pay Program	No program termination is paid at greater of target or actual performance. If program terminated within two years of change in control, pro-rated at target performance level.	Same as Southern Company Change-in-Control I.	Pro-rated at target performance level.	If not otherwise eligible for payment, if the program still in effect, pro-rated at target performance level.
Performance Dividend Program	No program termination is paid at greater of target or actual performance. If program terminated within two years of change in control, pro-rated at greater of target or actual performance level.	Same as Southern Company Change-in-Control I.	Pro-rated at greater of actual or target performance level.	If not otherwise eligible for payment, if the program is still in effect, greater of actual or target performance level for year of severance only.

Stock Options	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Vest and convert to surviving company's securities; if cannot convert, pay spread in cash.	Vest.
DCP	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Not affected by change-in-control events.

Table of Contents

Program	Southern Company Change-in-Control I	Southern Company Change-in-Control II	Southern Company Termination or Gulf Power Change in Control	Involuntary Change-in-Control-Related Termination or Voluntary Change-in-Control-Related Termination for Good Reason
SBP	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Not affected by change-in-control events.	Not affected by change-in-control events.
Severance Benefits	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	One or three times base salary plus target annual performance-based compensation plus tax gross up for the president and chief executive officer if the severance amount exceeds the Code Section 280G - excess parachute payment by 10% or more.
Health Benefits	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Up to five years participation in group health plan plus payment of two or three years premium amounts.
Outplacement Services	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Six months.

Potential Payments

This section describes and estimates payments that would become payable to the named executive officers upon a termination or change in control as of December 31, 2009.

Pension Benefits

The amounts that would have become payable to the named executive officers if the Traditional Termination Events occurred as of December 31, 2009 under the Pension Plan, the SBP-P, and the SERP are itemized in the chart below.

The amounts shown under the column Retirement are amounts that would have become payable to the named executive officers that were retirement-eligible on December 31, 2009 and are the monthly Pension Plan benefits and the first of 10 annual installments from the SBP-P and the SERP. The amounts shown under the column Resignation or Involuntary Termination are the amounts that would have become payable to the named executive officers who were not retirement-eligible on December 31, 2009 and are the monthly Pension Plan benefits that would become payable as of the earliest possible date under the Pension Plan and the single sum value of benefits earned up to the termination date under the SBP-P, paid as a single payment rather than in 10 annual installments. Benefits under the SERP would be forfeited. The amounts shown that are payable to a spouse in the event of the death of the named executive officer are the monthly amounts payable to a spouse under the Pension Plan and the first of 10 annual installments from the SBP-P and the SERP. The amounts in this chart are very different from the pension values shown in the Summary Compensation Table and the Pension Benefits table. Those tables show the

III-34

Table of Contents

present values of all the benefits amounts anticipated to be paid over the lifetimes of the named executive officers and their spouses. Those plans are described in the notes following the Pension Benefits table. Of the named executive officers, only Messrs. Jacob and Raymond were retirement eligible on December 31, 2009.

Name		Retirement		Resignation or	Death
			(\$)	Involuntary Termination (\$)	(payments to a spouse) (\$)
S. N. Story	Pension		n/a	2,345	3,852
	SBP-P			978,397	110,175
	SERP			0	45,345
P. C. Raymond	Pension		2,345	All plans treated as retiring	2,279
	SBP-P		11,507		11,507
	SERP		12,401		12,401
P. B. Jacob	Pension		5,162	All plans treated as retiring	3,531
	SBP-P		27,010		27,010
	SERP		22,069		22,069
T. J. McCullough	Pension		n/a	1,448	2,379
	SBP-P			68,550	8,967
	SERP			0	10,265
B C. Terry	Pension		n/a	619	1,016
	SBP-P			23,643	4,098
	SERP			0	5,863

As described in the Change-in-Control Chart, the only change in the form of payment, acceleration, or enhancement of the pension benefits is that the single sum value of benefits earned up to the change-in-control date under the SBP-P and the SERP could be paid as a single payment rather than in 10 annual installments. Also, the SERP benefits vest for participants who are not retirement-eligible upon a change in control. Estimates of the single sum payment that would have been made to the named executive officers, assuming termination as of December 31, 2009 following a change-in-control event, other than a Southern Company Change-in-Control I (which does not impact how pension benefits are paid), are itemized below. These amounts would be paid instead of the benefits shown in the Traditional Termination Events chart above; they are not paid in addition to those amounts.

Name	SBP-P (\$)	SERP (\$)	Total (\$)
S. N. Story	954,821	392,976	1,347,797
P. C. Raymond	115,068	124,010	239,078
P. B. Jacob	270,098	220,694	490,792
T. J. McCullough	66,899	76,594	143,493
B. C. Terry	23,073	33,009	56,082

The pension benefit amounts in the tables above were calculated as of December 31, 2009 assuming payments would begin as soon as possible under the terms of the plans. Accordingly, appropriate early retirement reductions were applied. Any unpaid annual performance-based compensation was assumed to be paid at 1.30 times the target level. Pension Plan benefits were calculated assuming each named executive officer chose a single life annuity form of payment, because that results in the greatest monthly benefit. The single sum values of the SBP-P and the SERP benefits were based on a 4.25% discount rate as prescribed by the terms of the plan.

Annual Performance Pay Program

The amount payable if a change in control had occurred on December 31, 2009 is the greater of target or actual performance. Because actual payouts for 2009 performance were below the target level, the amount that would have

been payable was the target level amount as reported in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table.

III-35

Table of Contents*Performance Dividends*

Because the assumed termination date is December 31, 2009, there is no additional amount that would be payable other than what was reported in the Summary Compensation Table. As described in the Traditional Termination Events chart, there is some continuation of benefits under the Performance Dividend Program for retirees. However, under the Change-in-Control-Related Events, performance dividends are payable at the greater of target performance or actual performance. For the 2006-2009 performance-measurement period, actual performance exceeded target-level performance.

Stock Options

Stock Options would be treated as described in the Termination and Change-in-Control charts above. Under a Southern Company Termination, all stock options vest. In addition, if there is an Involuntary Change-in-Control Termination or Voluntary Change-in-Control Termination for Good Reason, stock options vest. There is no payment associated with stock options unless there is a Southern Company Termination and the participants' stock options cannot be converted into surviving company stock options. In that event, the excess of the exercise price and the closing price of the Common Stock on December 31, 2009 would be paid in cash for all stock options held by the named executive officers. The chart below shows the number of stock options for which vesting would be accelerated under a Southern Company Termination and the amount that would be payable under a Southern Company Termination if there were no conversion to the surviving company's stock options.

Name	Number of Options with Accelerated Vesting (#)	Total Number of Options Following Accelerated Vesting under a Southern Company Termination (#)	Total Payable in Cash under a Southern Company Termination without Conversion of Stock Options (\$)
S. N. Story	143,651	266,959	217,318
P. C. Raymond	36,818	69,759	82,010
P. B. Jacob	41,809	69,250	56,934
T. J. McCullough	22,479	47,018	63,291
B. C. Terry	39,478	58,934	53,546

DCP and SBP

The aggregate balances reported in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table would be payable to the named executive officers as described in the Traditional Termination and Change-in-Control-Related Events charts above. There is no enhancement or acceleration of payments under these plans associated with termination or change-in-control events, other than the lump-sum payment opportunity described in the above charts. The lump sums that would be payable are those that are reported in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table.

Health Benefits

Messrs. Jacob and Raymond are retirement-eligible and health care benefits are provided to retirees, and there is no incremental payment associated with the termination or change-in-control events. At the end of 2009, Mss. Story and Terry and Mr. McCullough were not retirement-eligible and thus health care benefits would not become available until each reaches age 50, except in the case of a change-in-control-related termination, as described in the Change-in-Control-Related Events chart. The estimated cost of providing three years of group health insurance premiums for Ms. Story is \$14,000, two years for Ms. Terry is \$9,000, and two years for Mr. McCullough is \$20,000.

Table of Contents*Financial Planning Perquisite*

Since Messrs. Jacob and Raymond are retirement-eligible, an additional year of the Financial Planning prerequisite, which is set at a maximum of \$8,700 per year, will be provided after retirement. Mss. Story and Terry and Mr. McCullough are not retirement-eligible.

There are no other perquisites provided to the named executive officers under any of the traditional termination or change-in-control-related events.

Severance Benefits

The named executive officers are participants in a change-in-control severance plan. In addition to the treatment of health benefits, the annual Performance Pay Program, and the Performance Dividend Program described above, the named executive officers are entitled to a severance benefit, including outplacement services, if within two years of a change in control, they are involuntarily terminated, not for Cause, or they voluntarily terminate for Good Reason. The severance benefits are not paid unless the named executive officer releases the employing company from any claims he or she may have against the employing company.

The estimated cost of providing the six months of outplacement services is \$6,000 per named executive officer. The severance payment is three times the base salary and target payout under the annual Performance Pay Program for Ms. Story and one times the base salary and target payout under the annual Performance Pay Program for the other named executive officers. For Ms. Story, if any portion of the severance payment is an excess parachute payment as defined under Section 280G of the Code, Gulf Power will pay her an additional amount to cover the taxes that would be due on the excess parachute payment a tax gross-up. However, that additional amount will not be paid unless the severance amount plus all other amounts that are considered parachute payments under the Code exceed 110% of the severance payment.

The table below estimates the severance payments that would be made to the named executive officers if they were terminated as of December 31, 2009 in connection with a change in control. There is no estimated tax gross-up included for Ms. Story because her estimated severance amount payable is below the amount considered excess parachute payments under the Code. None of the other named executive officer is eligible for a tax gross-up.

Name	Severance Amount (\$)
S. N. Story	1,901,202
P. C. Raymond	331,228
P. B. Jacob	334,002
T. J. McCullough	256,162
B. C. Terry	331,228

COMPENSATION RISK ASSESSMENT

Southern Company reviewed its compensation policies and practices, including those of Gulf Power, and concluded that excessive risk-taking is not encouraged. This conclusion was based on an assessment of the mix of pay components and performance goals, the annual pay/performance analysis by the Compensation Committee's consultant, stock ownership requirements, our compensation governance practices, and our claw-back provision. The assessment was reviewed with the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Only non-employee directors of Gulf Power are compensated for service on the board of directors. The pay components for non-employee directors are:

Annual retainers:

\$12,000 annual retainer

Equity grants:

340 shares of Common Stock in quarterly grants of 85 shares

Meeting fees:

\$1,200 for participation in a meeting of the board

\$1,000 for participation in a meeting of a committee of the board

DIRECTOR DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

Any deferred quarterly equity grants are required to be deferred in the Deferred Compensation Plan For Directors of Gulf Power Company (Director Deferred Compensation Plan) and are invested in Common Stock units which earn dividends as if invested in Common Stock. Earnings are reinvested in additional stock units. Upon leaving the board, distributions are made in shares of Common Stock.

In addition, directors may elect to defer up to 100% of their remaining compensation in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan until membership on the board ends. Deferred compensation may be invested as follows, at the director's election:

in Common Stock units which earn dividends as if invested in Common Stock and are distributed in shares of Common Stock upon leaving the board

in Common Stock units which earn dividends as if invested in Common Stock and are distributed in cash upon leaving the board

at prime interest which is paid in cash upon leaving the board

All investments and earnings in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan are fully vested and, at the election of the director, may be distributed in a lump sum payment or in up to 10 annual distributions after leaving the board.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE**

The following table reports all compensation to Gulf Power's non-employee directors during 2009, including amounts deferred in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan. Non-employee directors do not receive Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation, and there is no pension plan for non-employee directors.

Name	Fees Earned or		Compensation Earnings (\$)(3)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation All Other Compensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
	Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)			
C. LeDon Anchors	16,800	17,127	0	54	33,981
William C. Cramer, Jr.	0	33,927	0	54	33,981
Fred C. Donovan, Sr.	0	33,927	0	54	33,981
William A. Pullum	0	33,927	0	54	33,981
Winston E. Scott	33,858	0	0	3,866	37,724

(1) Includes amounts voluntarily deferred in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan.

(2) Includes fair market value of equity grants on grant dates. All such stock awards are vested immediately upon grant.

(3) Above-market earnings on amounts invested in the Director Deferred Compensation Plan.

Above-market earnings are defined by the SEC as any amount above 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate as prescribed under Section 1274(d) of the Code.

- (4) Consists of reimbursement for taxes on imputed income associated with gifts.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The Compensation Committee is made up of non-employee directors of Southern Company who have never served as executive officers of Southern Company or Gulf Power. During 2009, none of Southern Company's or Gulf Power's executive officers served on the board of directors of any entities whose directors or officers serve on the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners. Southern Company is the beneficial owner of 100% of the outstanding common stock of Gulf Power.

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
Common Stock	The Southern Company 30 Ivan Allen Jr. Boulevard, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30308		100%
	Registrant: Gulf Power	3,642,717	

Security Ownership of Management. The following tables show the number of shares of Common Stock owned by the directors, nominees, and executive officers as of December 31, 2009. It is based on information furnished by the directors, nominees, and executive officers. The shares owned by all directors, nominees, and executive officers as a group constitute less than one percent of the total number of shares outstanding on December 31, 2009.

Name of Directors, Nominees, and Executive Officers	Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Shares Beneficially Owned Include:	
		Deferred Stock Units (2)	Shares Individuals Have Rights to Acquire Within 60 Days (3)
Susan N. Story	191,938	0	185,675
C. LeDon Anchors	7,492	5,751	0
William C. Cramer, Jr.	9,115	9,115	0
Fred C. Donovan, Sr.	6,338	6,338	0
William A. Pullum	10,458	10,458	0
Winston E. Scott	1,407	0	0
P. Bernard Jacob	52,275	0	46,004
Theodore J. McCullough	34,887	0	34,218
Philip C. Raymond	50,615	0	48,270
Bentina C. Terry	37,458	0	36,162
Directors, Nominees, and Executive Officers as a group (10 people)	401,983	31,662	350,329

(1) Beneficial ownership means the sole or shared power

to vote, or to direct the voting of, a security and/or investment power with respect to a security or any combination thereof.

(2) Indicates the number of deferred stock units held under the Director Deferred Compensation Plan.

(3) Indicates shares of Common Stock that certain executive officers have the right to acquire within 60 days. Shares indicated are included in the Shares Beneficially Owned column.

Changes in Control. Southern Company and Gulf Power know of no arrangements which may at a subsequent date result in any change-in-control.

Table of Contents**Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2009 concerning shares of Common Stock authorized for issuance under Southern Company's existing non-qualified equity compensation plans.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	48,247,319	\$ 32.10	22,497,013
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	N/A	N/A	N/A

(1) Includes shares available for future issuances under the Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, and the Outside Directors Stock Plan.

(2) Includes shares available for future issuance under the 2006 Omnibus Incentive Compensation

Plan
(20,985,906)
and the Outside
Directors Stock
Plan
(1,511,107).

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.

Transactions with Related Persons. None.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons.

Gulf Power does not have a written policy pertaining solely to the approval or ratification of related party transactions. Southern Company has a Code of Ethics as well as a Contract Guidance Manual and other formal written procurement policies and procedures that guide the purchase of goods and services, including requiring competitive bids for most transactions above \$10,000 or approval based on documented business needs for sole sourcing arrangements.

III-41

Table of Contents***Director Independence.***

The board of directors of Gulf Power consists of five non-employee directors (Messrs. C. LeDon Anchors, William C. Cramer, Jr., Fred C. Donovan, Sr., William A. Pullum, and Winston E. Scott) and Ms. Story, the president and chief executive officer of Gulf Power.

Southern Company owns all of Gulf Power's outstanding common stock. Gulf Power has listed only debt securities on the NYSE. Accordingly, under the rules of the NYSE, Gulf Power is exempt from most of the NYSE's listing standards relating to corporate governance, including requirements relating to certain board committees. Gulf Power has voluntarily complied with certain of the NYSE's listing standards relating to corporate governance where such compliance was deemed to be in the best interests of Gulf Power's shareholders.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The following represents the fees billed to Gulf Power and Southern Power for the last two fiscal years by Deloitte & Touche LLP, each company's principal public accountant for 2009 and 2008:

	2009	2008
	<i>(in thousands)</i>	
Gulf Power		
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 1,308	\$ 1,324
Audit-Related Fees	0	0
Tax Fees	0	0
All Other Fees	0	0
Total	\$ 1,308	\$ 1,324
Southern Power		
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 1,136	\$ 943
Audit-Related Fees (2)	38	0
Tax Fees	0	0
All Other Fees	0	0
Total	\$ 1,174	\$ 943

(1) Includes services performed in connection with financing transactions.

(2) Includes other non-statutory audit services and accounting consultations.

The Southern Company Audit Committee (on behalf of Southern Company and its subsidiaries) adopted a Policy of Engagement of the Independent Auditor for Audit and Non-Audit Services that includes requirements for such Audit Committee to pre-approve audit and non-audit services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP. All of the audit services provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP in fiscal years 2009 and 2008 (described in the footnotes to the table above) and related fees were approved in advance

by the Southern Company Audit Committee.

III-42

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) The following documents are filed as a part of this report on Form 10-K:

(1) Financial Statements:

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting for Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies is listed under Item 8 herein.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting for Alabama Power is listed under Item 8 herein.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting for Georgia Power is listed under Item 8 herein.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting for Gulf Power is listed under Item 8 herein.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting for Mississippi Power is listed under Item 8 herein.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting for Southern Power and Subsidiary Companies is listed under Item 8 herein.

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on the financial statements for Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and Southern Power and Subsidiary Companies are listed under Item 8 herein.

The financial statements filed as a part of this report for Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and Southern Power and Subsidiary Companies are listed under Item 8 herein.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules:

Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm as to Schedules for Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power are included herein on pages IV-8, IV-9, IV-10, IV-11, and IV-12.

Financial Statement Schedules for Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power are listed in the Index to the Financial Statement Schedules at page S-1.

(3) Exhibits:

Exhibits for Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and Southern Power are listed in the Exhibit Index at page E-1.

Table of Contents

**THE SOUTHERN COMPANY
SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

THE SOUTHERN COMPANY

By: *David M. Ratcliffe*
Chairman, President, and
Chief Executive Officer

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

David M. Ratcliffe
Chairman, President,
Chief Executive Officer, and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

W. Paul Bowers
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

W. Ron Hinson
Comptroller and Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Directors:

<i>Juanita Powell Baranco</i>	<i>Warren A. Hood, Jr.</i>
<i>Jon A. Boscia</i>	<i>Donald M. James</i>
<i>Thomas F. Chapman</i>	<i>J. Neal Purcell</i>
<i>Henry A. Clark III</i>	<i>William G. Smith, Jr.</i>
<i>H. William Habermeyer, Jr.</i>	<i>Gerald J. St. Pé</i>
<i>Veronica M. Hagen</i>	

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Table of Contents

**ALABAMA POWER COMPANY
SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

ALABAMA POWER COMPANY

*By: Charles D. McCrary
President and Chief Executive Officer*

By: /s/ Melissa K. Caen

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

*Charles D. McCrary
President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)*

*Art P. Beattie
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)*

*Moses H. Feagin
Vice President and Comptroller
(Principal Accounting Officer)*

Directors:

<i>Whit Armstrong</i>	<i>Robert D. Powers</i>
<i>Ralph D. Cook</i>	<i>David M. Ratcliffe</i>
<i>David J. Cooper, Sr.</i>	<i>C. Dowd Ritter</i>
<i>John D. Johns</i>	<i>James H. Sanford</i>
<i>Patricia M. King</i>	<i>John Cox Webb, IV</i>
<i>James K. Lowder</i>	<i>James W. Wright</i>
<i>Malcolm Portera</i>	

By: /s/ Melissa K. Caen

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Table of Contents

**GEORGIA POWER COMPANY
SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY

*By: Michael D. Garrett
President and Chief Executive Officer*

By: /s/ Melissa K. Caen

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

*Michael D. Garrett
President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)*

*Ronnie R. Labrato
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,
and Treasurer
(Principal Financial Officer)*

*Ann P. Daiss
Vice President, Comptroller, and Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)*

Table of Contents

**GULF POWER COMPANY
SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

GULF POWER COMPANY

By: *Susan N. Story*
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

Susan N. Story
President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Philip C. Raymond
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Constance J. Erickson
Comptroller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Directors:

C. LeDon Anchors *William A. Pullum*
William C. Cramer, Jr. *Winston E. Scott*
Fred C. Donovan, Sr.

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Table of Contents

**MISSISSIPPI POWER COMPANY
SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

MISSISSIPPI POWER COMPANY

By: *Anthony J. Topazi*
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

Anthony J. Topazi
President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Frances Turnage
Vice President, Treasurer, and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Cindy F. Shaw
Comptroller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Directors:

Roy Anderson, III *Christine L. Pickering*
Carl J. Chaney *Philip J. Terrell*

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

IV-6

Table of Contents

**SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY
SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized. The signature of the undersigned company shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to such company and any subsidiaries thereof.

SOUTHERN POWER COMPANY

By: *Ronnie L. Bates*
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated. The signature of each of the undersigned shall be deemed to relate only to matters having reference to the above-named company and any subsidiaries thereof.

Ronnie L. Bates
President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Michael W. Southern
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Laura I. Patterson
Comptroller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Directors:

W. Paul Bowers *G. Edison Holland*
Thomas A. Fanning *David M. Ratcliffe*

By: */s/ Melissa K. Caen*

(Melissa K. Caen, Attorney-in-fact)

Date: February 25, 2010

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Southern Company**

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of Southern Company and Subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, and the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated February 25, 2010; such report is included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedule of the Company (page S-2) listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. This consolidated financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

Member of
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

IV-8

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Alabama Power Company

We have audited the financial statements of Alabama Power Company (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated February 25, 2010; such report is included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of the Company (page S-3) listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Birmingham, Alabama

February 25, 2010

Member of
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

IV-9

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Georgia Power Company

We have audited the financial statements of Georgia Power Company (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated February 25, 2010; such report is included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of the Company (page S-4) listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

Member of
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

IV-10

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Gulf Power Company

We have audited the financial statements of Gulf Power Company (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated February 25, 2010; such report is included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of the Company (page S-5) listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

Member of
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

IV-11

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Mississippi Power Company

We have audited the financial statements of Mississippi Power Company (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, and have issued our report thereon dated February 25, 2010; such report is included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule of the Company (page S-6) listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. This financial statement schedule is the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Atlanta, Georgia

February 25, 2010

Member of
Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu

IV-12

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Schedule II	Page
Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves 2009, 2008, and 2007	
<u>The Southern Company and Subsidiary Companies</u>	S-2
<u>Alabama Power Company</u>	S-3
<u>Georgia Power Company</u>	S-4
<u>Gulf Power Company</u>	S-5
<u>Mississippi Power Company</u>	S-6

Schedules I through V not listed above are omitted as not applicable or not required. A Schedule II for Southern Power Company and Subsidiary Companies is not being provided because there were no reportable items for the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. Columns omitted from schedules filed have been omitted because the information is not applicable or not required.

S-1

Table of Contents

Schedule Of Valuation And Qualifying Accounts Disclosure

THE SOUTHERN COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007
(Stated in Thousands of Dollars)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Charged to Income	Additions Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
Provision for uncollectible accounts					
2009	\$26,326	\$58,722	\$	\$60,480(Note)	\$ 24,568
2008	22,142	60,184		56,000(Note)	26,326
2007	34,901	34,471		47,230(Note)	22,142

(Note) Represents write-off of accounts considered to be uncollectible, less recoveries of amounts previously written off.

S-2

Table of Contents

ALABAMA POWER COMPANY
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007

(Stated in Thousands of Dollars)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions		Deductions	Balance at End of Period
		Charged to Income	Charged to Other Accounts		
Provision for uncollectible accounts				\$21,282	
2009	\$ 8,882	\$21,951	\$	(Note) 19,930	\$ 9,551
2008	7,988	20,824		(Note) 15,781	8,882
2007	7,091	16,678		(Note)	7,988

*(Note) Represents
write-off of accounts
considered to be
uncollectible, less
recoveries of
amounts previously
written off.*

S-3

Table of Contents

GEORGIA POWER COMPANY
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007

(Stated in Thousands of Dollars)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions		Deductions	Balance at End of Period
		Charged to Income	Charged to Other Accounts		
Provision for uncollectible accounts				\$29,964	
2009	\$ 10,732	\$29,088	\$	(Note) 28,123	\$ 9,856
2008	7,636	31,219		(Note) 22,730	10,732
2007	10,030	20,336		(Note)	7,636

*(Note) Represents
write-off of accounts
considered to be
uncollectible, less
recoveries of
amounts previously
written off.*

S-4

Table of Contents

GULF POWER COMPANY
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007

(Stated in Thousands of Dollars)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Income	Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
Provision for uncollectible accounts					
2009	\$ 2,188	\$3,753	\$	\$4,028 (Note) 3,416	\$ 1,913
2008	1,711	3,893		(Note) 2,883	2,188
2007	1,279	3,315		(Note)	1,711

*(Note) Represents
write-off of accounts
considered to be
uncollectible, less
recoveries of
amounts previously
written off.*

S-5

Table of Contents

MISSISSIPPI POWER COMPANY
SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2009, 2008, AND 2007

(Stated in Thousands of Dollars)

Description	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions Charged to Income	Charged to Other Accounts	Deductions	Balance at End of Period
Provision for uncollectible accounts					
2009	\$1,039	\$2,356	\$	\$2,455 (Note) 2,257	\$ 940
2008	924	2,372		(Note) 1,827	1,039
2007	855	1,896		(Note)	924

(Note) Represents write-off of accounts considered to be uncollectible, less recoveries of amounts previously written off.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

The exhibits below with an asterisk (*) preceding the exhibit number are filed herewith. The remaining exhibits have previously been filed with the SEC and are incorporated herein by reference. The exhibits marked with a pound sign (#) are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be identified as such by Item 15 of Form 10-K.

(3) Articles of Incorporation and By-Laws

Southern Company

- (a) 1 - Composite Certificate of Incorporation of Southern Company, reflecting all amendments thereto through January 5, 1994. (Designated in Registration No. 33-3546 as Exhibit 4(a), in Certificate of Notification, File No. 70-7341, as Exhibit A, and in Certificate of Notification, File No. 70-8181, as Exhibit A.)
- (a) 2 - By-laws of Southern Company as amended effective February 17, 2003, and as presently in effect. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 3(a)1.)

Alabama Power

- (b) 1 - Charter of Alabama Power and amendments thereto through April 25, 2008. (Designated in Registration Nos. 2-59634 as Exhibit 2(b), 2-60209 as Exhibit 2(c), 2-60484 as Exhibit 2(b), 2-70838 as Exhibit 4(a)-2, 2-85987 as Exhibit 4(a)-2, 33-25539 as Exhibit 4(a)-2, 33-43917 as Exhibit 4(a)-2, in Form 8-K dated February 5, 1992, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4(b)-3, in Form 8-K dated July 8, 1992, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4(b)-3, in Form 8-K dated October 27, 1993, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibits 4(a) and 4(b), in Form 8-K dated November 16, 1993, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4(a), in Certificate of Notification, File No. 70-8191, as Exhibit A, in Alabama Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 3(b)2, in Form 8-K dated August 10, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.4, in Alabama Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 3(b)2, in Alabama Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 3(b)2, in Form 8-K dated February 5, 2003, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.4, in Alabama Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 3(b)1, in Form 8-K dated February 5, 2004, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.4, in Alabama Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 3(b)1, in Form 8-K dated December 5, 2006, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated September 12, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.5, in Form 8-K dated October 17, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.5, and in Alabama Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 3(b)1.)
- (b) 2 - By-laws of Alabama Power as amended effective January 26, 2007, and as presently in effect. (Designated in Form 8-K dated January 26, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 3(b)2.)

Georgia Power

- (c) 1 -

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Charter of Georgia Power and amendments thereto through October 9, 2007. (Designated in Registration Nos.

2-63392 as Exhibit 2(a)-2, 2-78913 as Exhibits 4(a)-(2) and 4(a)-(3), 2-93039 as Exhibit 4(a)-(2), 2-96810 as Exhibit 4(a)-2, 33-141 as Exhibit 4(a)-(2), 33-1359 as Exhibit 4(a)(2), 33-5405 as Exhibit 4(b)(2), 33-14367 as Exhibits 4(b)-(2) and 4(b)-(3), 33-22504 as Exhibits 4(b)-(2), 4(b)-(3) and 4(b)-(4), in Georgia Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1991, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibits 4(a)(2) and 4(a)(3), in

E-1

Table of Contents

Registration No. 33-48895 as Exhibits 4(b)-(2) and 4(b)-(3), in Form 8-K dated December 10, 1992, File No. 1-6468 as Exhibit 4(b), in Form 8-K dated June 17, 1993, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4(b), in Form 8-K dated October 20, 1993, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4(b), in Georgia Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 3(c)2, in Georgia Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 3(c)2, in Form 8-K dated June 27, 2006, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 3.1, and in Form 8-K dated October 3, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.5.)

- (c) 2 - By-laws of Georgia Power as amended effective May 20, 2009, and as presently in effect. (Designated in Form 8-K dated May 20, 2009, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 3(c)2.)

Gulf Power

- (d) 1 - Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Gulf Power and amendments thereto through October 17, 2007. (Designated in Form 8-K dated October 27, 2005, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 3.1, in Form 8-K dated November 9, 2005, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.7, and in Form 8-K dated October 16, 2007, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.5.)
- (d) 2 - By-laws of Gulf Power as amended effective November 2, 2005, and as presently in effect. (Designated in Form 8-K dated November 2, 2005, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 3.2.)

Mississippi Power

- (e) 1 - Articles of Incorporation of Mississippi Power, articles of merger of Mississippi Power Company (a Maine corporation) into Mississippi Power and articles of amendment to the articles of incorporation of Mississippi Power through April 2, 2004. (Designated in Registration No. 2-71540 as Exhibit 4(a)-1, in Form U5S for 1987, File No. 30-222-2, as Exhibit B-10, in Registration No. 33-49320 as Exhibit 4(b)-(1), in Form 8-K dated August 5, 1992, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibits 4(b)-2 and 4(b)-3, in Form 8-K dated August 4, 1993, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 4(b)-3, in Form 8-K dated August 18, 1993, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 4(b)-3, in Mississippi Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 3(e)2, in Mississippi Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 3(e)2, and in Form 8-K dated March 3, 2004, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 4.6.)
- (e) 2 - By-laws of Mississippi Power as amended effective February 28, 2001, and as presently in effect. (Designated in Mississippi Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 3(e)2.)

Southern Power

- (f) 1 - Certificate of Incorporation of Southern Power dated January 8, 2001. (Designated in Registration No. 333-98553 as Exhibit 3.1.)
- (f) 2 - By-laws of Southern Power effective January 8, 2001. (Designated in Registration No. 333-98553 as Exhibit 3.2.)

Table of Contents**(4) Instruments Describing Rights of Security Holders, Including Indentures****Southern Company**

- (a) 1 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of February 1, 2002, among Southern Company, Southern Company Capital Funding, Inc. and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through November 16, 2005. (Designated in Form 8-K dated January 29, 2002, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated January 30, 2002, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 4.2, and in Form 8-K dated November 8, 2005, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 4.2.)
- (a) 2 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of January 1, 2007, between Southern Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through October 22, 2009. (Designated in Form 8-K dated January 11, 2006, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated March 20, 2007, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated August 13, 2008, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated May 11, 2009, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 4.2, and in Form 8-K dated October 19, 2009, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 4.2.)

Alabama Power

- (b) 1 - Subordinated Note Indenture dated as of January 1, 1997, between Alabama Power and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through October 2, 2002. (Designated in Form 8-K dated January 9, 1997, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 18, 1999, File No. 3164, as Exhibit 4.2 and in Form 8-K dated September 26, 2002, File No. 3164, as Exhibits 4.9-A and 4.9-B.)
- (b) 2 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of December 1, 1997, between Alabama Power and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through March 6, 2009. (Designated in Form 8-K dated December 4, 1997, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 20, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated April 17, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated August 11, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated September 8, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated September 16, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated October 7, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated October 28, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 12, 1998, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated May 19, 1999, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated August 13, 1999, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated September 21, 1999, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated May 11, 2000, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated August 22, 2001, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibits 4.2(a) and 4.2(b), in Form 8-K dated June 21, 2002, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2(a), in Form 8-K dated October 16, 2002, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2(a), in Form 8-K dated November 20, 2002, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2(a), in Form 8-K dated December 6, 2002, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 11, 2003, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibits 4.2(a) and 4.2(b), in

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Form 8-K dated March 12, 2003, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated April 15, 2003, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated May 1, 2003, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 14, 2003, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 10, 2004, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2 in Form 8-K dated April 7, 2004, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated August 19, 2004, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 9, 2004, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in

E-3

Table of Contents

Form 8-K dated March 8, 2005, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated January 11, 2006, File No.

1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated January 13, 2006, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 1, 2006, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibits 4.2(a) and 4.2(b), in Form 8-K dated March 9, 2006, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated June 7, 2006, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated January 30, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated April 4, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated October 11, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated December 4, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated May 8, 2008, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 14, 2008, File No. 1-3164 as Exhibit 4.2, and in Form 8-K dated February 26, 2009, File No. 1-3164 as Exhibit 4.2.)

- (b) 3 - Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of Alabama Power Capital Trust V dated as of September 1, 2002. (Designated in Form 8-K dated September 26, 2002, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.12-B.)
- (b) 4 - Guarantee Agreement relating to Alabama Power Capital Trust V dated as of September 1, 2002. (Designated in Form 8-K dated September 26, 2002, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 4.16-B.)

Georgia Power

- (c) 1 - Subordinated Note Indenture dated as of June 1, 1997, between Georgia Power and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through January 23, 2004. (Designated in Certificate of Notification, File No. 70-8461, as Exhibits D and E, in Form 8-K dated February 17, 1999, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.4, in Form 8-K dated June 13, 2002, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.4, in Form 8-K dated October 30, 2002, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.4 and in Form 8-K dated January 15, 2004, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.4.)
- (c) 2 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of January 1, 1998, between Georgia Power and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through December 15, 2009. (Designated in Form 8-K dated January 21, 1998, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Forms 8-K each dated November 19, 1998, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated March 3, 1999, File No. 1-6469 as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 15, 2000, File No. 1-6469 as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated January 26, 2001, File No. 1-6469 as Exhibits 4.2(a) and 4.2(b), in Form 8-K dated February 16, 2001, File No. 1-6469 as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated May 1, 2001, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated June 27, 2002, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 15, 2002, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 13, 2003, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 21, 2003, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated April 10, 2003, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibits 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, in Form 8-K dated September 8, 2003, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated September 23, 2003, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated January 12, 2004, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated February 12,

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

2004, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated August 11, 2004, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated January 13, 2005, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated April 12, 2005, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated November 30, 2005, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated December 8, 2006, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated March 6, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated June 4, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated June 18, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated July 10, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated August 24, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 29, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in

E-4

Table of Contents

Form 8-K dated March 12, 2008, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated June 5, 2008, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 12, 2008, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibits 4.2(a) and 4.2(b), in Form 8-K dated February 4, 2009, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2, and in Form 8-K dated December 8, 2009, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2.)

- (c) 3 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of March 1, 1998 between Georgia Power, as successor to Savannah Electric, and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through June 30, 2006. (Designated in Form 8-K dated March 9, 1998, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated May 8, 2001, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibits 4.2(a) and 4.2(b), in Form 8-K dated March 4, 2002, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 4, 2002, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated December 10, 2003, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated December 2, 2004, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibit 4.1, and in Form 8-K dated June 27, 2006, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 4.2.)
- (c) 4 - Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of Georgia Power Capital Trust VII dated as of January 1, 2004. (Designated in Form 8-K dated January 15, 2004, as Exhibit 4.7-A.)
- (c) 5 - Guarantee Agreement relating to Georgia Power Capital Trust VII dated as of January 1, 2004. (Designated in Form 8-K dated January 15, 2004, as Exhibit 4.11-A.)

Gulf Power

- (d) 1 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of January 1, 1998, between Gulf Power and The Bank of New York Mellon (as successor to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. (formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank)), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through June 26, 2009. (Designated in Form 8-K dated June 17, 1998, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated August 17, 1999, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated July 31, 2001, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated October 5, 2001, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated January 18, 2002, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated March 21, 2003, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated July 10, 2003, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2, in Form 8-K dated September 5, 2003, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated April 6, 2004, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated September 13, 2004, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated August 11, 2005, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated October 27, 2005, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.1, in Form 8-K dated November 28, 2006, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated June 5, 2007, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2, and in Form 8-K dated June 22, 2009, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 4.2.)

Mississippi Power

- (e) 1 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of May 1, 1998 between Mississippi Power and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Successor Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through March 6, 2009. (Designated in Form 8-K dated May 14, 1998, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibits 4.1, 4.2(a) and 4.2(b), in Form 8-K dated March 22, 2000, File No. 0-6849, as

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated March 12, 2002, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated April 24, 2003, File No. 001-11229, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated March 3, 2004, File No. 001-11229, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated June 24, 2005, File No. 001-11229, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 8, 2007, File No. 001-11229, as Exhibit 4.2, in Form 8-K dated November 14, 2008, File No. 001-11229, as Exhibit 4.2, and in Form 8-K dated March 3, 2009, File No. 001-11229, as Exhibit 4.2.)

E-5

Table of Contents

Southern Power

- (f) 1 - Senior Note Indenture dated as of June 1, 2002, between Southern Power and The Bank of New York Mellon (formerly known as The Bank of New York), as Trustee, and indentures supplemental thereto through November 21, 2006. (Designated in Registration No. 333-98553 as Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2 and in Southern Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003, File No. 333-98553, as Exhibit 4(g)1, and in Form 8-K dated November 13, 2006, File No. 333-98553, as Exhibit 4.2.)

(10) Material Contracts

Southern Company

- # (a) 1 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2007. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)1.)
- # (a) 2 - Form of 2009 Stock Option Award Agreement for Executive Officers of Southern Company under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)1.)
- # (a) 3 - Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors of The Southern Company, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2008. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)3.)
- # (a) 4 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)4.)
- # * (a) 5 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009.
- # (a) 6 - Outside Directors Stock Plan for The Southern Company and its Subsidiaries, effective May 26, 2004. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)2.)
- # (a) 7 - The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)6.)
- # * (a) 8 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009.
- # (a) 9 - The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)7.)

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

- # * (a) 10 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009.
- # (a) 11 - Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Charles D. McCrary. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)9.)

E-6

Table of Contents

- # (a) 12 - Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between Southern Company, SCS, and David M. Ratcliffe. (Designated in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)10.)

- # (a) 13 - The Southern Company Change in Control Benefits Protection Plan, effective December 31, 2008. (Designated in Form 8-K dated December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10.1.)

- (a) 14 - Master Separation and Distribution Agreement dated as of September 1, 2000 between Southern Company and Mirant. (Designated in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)100.)

- (a) 15 - Indemnification and Insurance Matters Agreement dated as of September 1, 2000 between Southern Company and Mirant. (Designated in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)101.)

- (a) 16 - Tax Indemnification Agreement dated as of September 1, 2000 among Southern Company and its affiliated companies and Mirant and its affiliated companies. (Designated in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)102.)

- # (a) 17 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Trust Agreement as amended and restated effective January 1, 2001 between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, SCS, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, SouthernLINC Wireless, Southern Company Energy Solutions, LLC, and Southern Nuclear and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. (Designated in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)103 and in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)16.)

- # (a) 18 - Deferred Stock Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, dated as of January 1, 2000, between Reliance Trust Company, Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. (Designated in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)104 and in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)18.)

- # (a) 19 - Amended and Restated Deferred Cash Compensation Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, effective September 1, 2001, between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. (Designated in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)92 and in Southern Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)20.)

- # (a) 20 - Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement effective December 31, 2008 between Southern Company, SCS, and Thomas A. Fanning. (Designated in Southern

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)21.)

- # (a) 21 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)23.)

E-7

Table of Contents

- # * (a) 22 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008.
- # (a) 23 - Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)24.)
- # * (a) 24 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008.
- # (a) 25 - Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement effective December 31, 2008 between Southern Company, Georgia Power, and Michael D. Garrett. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)25.)
- # (a) 26 - Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement effective December 31, 2008 between Southern Company, SCS, and William Paul Bowers. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 1-3536, as Exhibit 10(a)26.)
- # (a) 27 - Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement. (Designated in Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)1.)
- # * (a) 28 - Base Salaries of Named Executive Officers.
- # (a) 29 - Summary of Non-Employee Director Compensation Arrangements. (Designated in Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)27.)
- # (a) 30 - Form of Terms for Performance Share Awards granted under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. (Designated in Form 8-K dated February 9, 2010, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10.1.)

Alabama Power

- (b) 1 - Intercompany Interchange Contract as revised effective May 1, 2007, among Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, Southern Power, and SCS. (Designated in Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 10(b)5.)
- # (b) 2 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2007. See Exhibit 10(a)1 herein.
- # (b) 3 - Form of 2009 Stock Option Award Agreement for Executive Officers of Southern Company under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)2 herein.
- # (b) 4 -

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)4 herein.

- # (b) 5 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)5 herein.
- # (b) 6 - Outside Directors Stock Plan for The Southern Company and its Subsidiaries, effective May 26, 2004. See Exhibit 10(a)6 herein.

E-8

Table of Contents

- # (b) 7 - The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)7 herein.
- # (b) 8 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)8 herein.
- # (b) 9 - The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)9 herein.
- # (b) 10 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)10 herein.
- # (b) 11 - Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)23 herein.
- # (b) 12 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)24 herein.
- # (b) 13 - Deferred Compensation Plan for Directors of Alabama Power Company, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2008. (Designated in Alabama Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 10(b)1.)
- # (b) 14 - The Southern Company Change in Control Benefits Protection Plan, effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)13 herein.
- # (b) 15 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Trust Agreement as amended and restated effective January 1, 2001 between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, SCS, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, SouthernLINC Wireless, Southern Company Energy Solutions, LLC, and Southern Nuclear and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)17 herein.
- # (b) 16 - Deferred Stock Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, dated as of January 1, 2000, between Reliance Trust Company, Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)18 herein.
- # (b) 17 - Amended and Restated Deferred Cash Compensation Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, effective September 1, 2001, between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)19 herein.
- # (b) 18 -

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)21 herein.

- # (b) 19 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)22 herein.
- # (b) 20 - Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between Southern Company, Alabama Power, and Charles D. McCrary. See Exhibit 10(a)11 herein.

E-9

Table of Contents

- # * (b) 21 - Deferred Compensation Agreement between Southern Company, Alabama Power, and SCS and Mark A. Crosswhite dated July 30, 2008.
- # * (b) 22 - Base Salaries of Named Executive Officers.
- # (b) 23 - Summary of Non-Employee Director Compensation Arrangements. (Designated in Alabama Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 1-3164, as Exhibit 10(b)20.)
- # (b) 24 - Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement. See Exhibit 10(a)27 herein.
- # (b) 25 - Form of Terms for Performance Share Awards granted under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)30 herein.

Georgia Power

- (c) 1 - Intercompany Interchange Contract as revised effective May 1, 2007, among Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, Southern Power, and SCS. See Exhibit 10(b)1 herein.
- (c) 2 - Revised and Restated Integrated Transmission System Agreement dated as of November 12, 1990, between Georgia Power and OPC. (Designated in Georgia Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 10(g).)
- (c) 3 - Revised and Restated Integrated Transmission System Agreement between Georgia Power and Dalton dated as of December 7, 1990. (Designated in Georgia Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 10(gg).)
- (c) 4 - Revised and Restated Integrated Transmission System Agreement between Georgia Power and MEAG dated as of December 7, 1990. (Designated in Georgia Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1990, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 10(hh).)
- # (c) 5 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2007. See Exhibit 10(a)1 herein.
- # (c) 6 - Form of 2009 Stock Option Award Agreement for Executive Officers of Southern Company under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)2 herein.
- # (c) 7 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)4 herein.
- # (c) 8 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)5 herein.

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

- # (c) 9 - Outside Directors Stock Plan for The Southern Company and its Subsidiaries, effective May 26, 2004. See Exhibit 10(a)6 herein.
- # (c) 10 - The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)7 herein.
- # (c) 11 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)8 herein.

E-10

Table of Contents

- # (c) 12 - The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)9 herein.
- # (c) 13 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)10 herein.
- # (c) 14 - Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)23 herein.
- # (c) 15 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)24 herein.
- # (c) 16 - Deferred Compensation Plan For Directors of Georgia Power Company, Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2008. (Designated in Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 10(c)12.)
- # (c) 17 - The Southern Company Change in Control Benefits Protection Plan, effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)13 herein.
- # (c) 18 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Trust Agreement as amended and restated effective January 1, 2001 between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, SCS, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, SouthernLINC Wireless, Southern Company Energy Solutions, LLC, and Southern Nuclear and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)17 herein.
- # (c) 19 - Deferred Stock Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, dated as of January 1, 2000, between Reliance Trust Company, Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)18 herein.
- # (c) 20 - Amended and Restated Deferred Cash Compensation Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, effective September 1, 2001, between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)19 herein.
- # (c) 21 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)21 herein.
- # (c) 22 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)22 herein.
- # * (c) 23 - Consulting Agreement between Cliff S. Thrasher and Georgia Power dated March 18, 2009.

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

- # (c) 24 - Amended and Restated Change in Control Agreement effective December 31, 2008 between Southern Company, Georgia Power, and Michael D. Garrett. See Exhibit 10(a)25 herein.
- # * (c) 25 - Base Salaries of Named Executive Officers.
- # * (c) 26 - Summary of Non-Employee Director Compensation Arrangements.
- # (c) 27 - Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement. See Exhibit 10(a)27 herein.

E-11

Table of Contents

- (c) 28 - Engineering, Procurement and Construction Agreement, dated as of April 8, 2008, between Georgia Power, for itself and as agent for OPC, MEAG Power, and Dalton Utilities, as owners, and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse and Stone & Webster as contractor, for Units 3 & 4 at the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Site. (Georgia Power requested confidential treatment for certain portions of this document pursuant to an application for confidential treatment sent to the SEC. Georgia Power omitted such portions from the filing and filed them separately with the SEC.) (Designated in Form 10-Q/A for the quarter ended June 30, 2008, File No. 1-6468, as Exhibit 10(c)1.)
- * (c) 29 - Amendment No. 1, dated as of December 11, 2009, to the Engineering, Procurement and Construction Agreement, dated as of April 8, 2008, between Georgia Power, for itself and as agent for OPC, MEAG Power, and Dalton Utilities, as owners, and a consortium consisting of Westinghouse and Stone & Webster, as contractor, for Units 3 & 4 at the Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Site. (Georgia Power has requested confidential treatment for certain portions of this document pursuant to an application for confidential treatment sent to the SEC. Georgia Power has omitted such portions from the filing and filed them separately with the SEC.)
- # (c) 30 - Form of Terms for Performance Share Awards granted under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)30 herein.

Gulf Power

- (d) 1 - Intercompany Interchange Contract as revised effective May 1, 2007, among Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, Southern Power, and SCS. See Exhibit 10(b)1 herein.
- (d) 2 - Unit Power Sales Agreement dated July 19, 1988, between FPC and Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and SCS. (Designated in Savannah Electric's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibit 10(d).)
- (d) 3 - Amended Unit Power Sales Agreement dated July 20, 1988, between FP&L and Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and SCS. (Designated in Savannah Electric's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibit 10(e).)
- (d) 4 - Amended Unit Power Sales Agreement dated August 17, 1988, between Jacksonville Electric Authority and Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, and SCS. (Designated in Savannah Electric's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1988, File No. 1-5072, as Exhibit 10(f).)
- # (d) 5 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2007. See Exhibit 10(a)1 herein.
- # (d) 6 - Form of 2009 Stock Option Award Agreement for Executive Officers of Southern Company under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)2 herein.

- # (d) 7 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)4 herein.
- # (d) 8 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)5 herein.

E-12

Table of Contents

- # (d) 9 - Outside Directors Stock Plan for The Southern Company and its Subsidiaries, effective May 26, 2004. See Exhibit 10(a)6 herein.
- # (d) 10 - The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)9 herein.
- # (d) 11 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)10 herein.
- # (d) 12 - Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)23 herein.
- # (d) 13 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)24 herein.
- # (d) 14 - The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)7 herein.
- # (d) 15 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)8 herein.
- # (d) 16 - Deferred Compensation Plan For Outside Directors of Gulf Power Company, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2008. (Designated in Gulf Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 10(d)1.)
- # (d) 17 - The Southern Company Change in Control Benefits Protection Plan, effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)13 herein.
- # (d) 18 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Trust Agreement as amended and restated effective January 1, 2001 between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, SCS, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, SouthernLINC Wireless, Southern Company Energy Solutions, LLC, and Southern Nuclear and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)17 herein.
- # (d) 19 - Deferred Stock Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, dated as of January 1, 2000, between Reliance Trust Company, Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)18 herein.
- # (d) 20 - Amended and Restated Deferred Cash Compensation Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, effective September 1, 2001, between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)19 herein.

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

- # (d) 21 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)21 herein.
- # (d) 22 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)22 herein.
- # * (d) 23 - Base Salaries of Named Executive Officers.

E-13

Table of Contents

- # (d) 24 - Summary of Non-Employee Director Compensation Arrangements. (Designated in Gulf Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 10(d)20.)
- # (d) 25 - Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement. See Exhibit 10(a)27 herein.
- (d) 26 - Power Purchase Agreement between Gulf Power and Shell Energy North America (US), L.P. dated March 16, 2009. (Designated in Gulf Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, File No. 0-2429, as Exhibit 10(d)1.) (Gulf Power requested confidential treatment for certain portions of this document pursuant to an application for confidential treatment sent to the SEC. Gulf Power omitted such portions from this filing and filed them separately with the SEC.)
- # (d) 27 - Form of Terms for Performance Share Awards granted under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)30 herein.

Mississippi Power

- (e) 1 - Intercompany Interchange Contract as revised effective May 1, 2007, among Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, Southern Power, and SCS. See Exhibit 10(b)1 herein.
- (e) 2 - Transmission Facilities Agreement dated February 25, 1982, Amendment No. 1 dated May 12, 1982 and Amendment No. 2 dated December 6, 1983, between Entergy Corporation (formerly Gulf States) and Mississippi Power. (Designated in Mississippi Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1981, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 10(f), in Mississippi Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1982, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 10(f)(2), and in Mississippi Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1983, File No. 0-6849, as Exhibit 10(f)(3).)
- # (e) 3 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, effective January 1, 2007. See Exhibit 10(a)1 herein.
- # (e) 4 - Form of 2009 Stock Option Award Agreement for Executive Officers of Southern Company under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)2 herein.
- # (e) 5 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)4 herein.
- # (e) 6 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Deferred Compensation Plan as amended and restated as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)5 herein.
- # (e) 7 - Outside Directors Stock Plan for The Southern Company and its Subsidiaries, effective May 26, 2004. See Exhibit 10(a)6 herein.

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

- # (e) 8 - The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)9 herein.
- # (e) 9 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Benefit Plan, Amended and Restated effective as of January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)10 herein.

E-14

Table of Contents

- # (e) 10 - Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)23 herein.
- # (e) 11 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Southern Company Executive Change in Control Severance Plan, Amended and Restated effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)24 herein.
- # (e) 12 - The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)7 herein.
- # (e) 13 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to The Southern Company Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)8 herein.
- # (e) 14 - Deferred Compensation Plan for Outside Directors of Mississippi Power Company, Amended and Restated effective January 1, 2008. (Designated in Mississippi Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2008, File No. 0-6849 as Exhibit 10(e)1.)
- # (e) 15 - The Southern Company Change in Control Benefits Protection Plan, effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)13 herein.
- # (e) 16 - Southern Company Deferred Compensation Trust Agreement as amended and restated effective January 1, 2001 between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, SCS, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, SouthernLINC Wireless, Southern Company Energy Solutions, LLC, and Southern Nuclear and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)17 herein.
- # (e) 17 - Deferred Stock Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, dated as of January 1, 2000, between Reliance Trust Company, Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)18 herein.
- # (e) 18 - Amended and Restated Deferred Cash Compensation Trust Agreement for Directors of Southern Company and its subsidiaries, effective September 1, 2001, between Wachovia Bank, N.A., Southern Company, Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, and Mississippi Power and First Amendment thereto effective January 1, 2009. See Exhibit 10(a)19 herein.
- # (e) 19 - Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)21 herein.
- # (e) 20 - First Amendment effective January 1, 2010 to the Amended and Restated Southern Company Senior Executive Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 31, 2008. See Exhibit 10(a)22 herein.
- # * (e) 21 - Base Salaries of Named Executive Officers.

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

- # * (e) 22 - Summary of Non-Employee Director Compensation Arrangements.
- # (e) 23 - Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement. See Exhibit 10(a)27 herein.
- (e) 24 - Cooperative Agreement between the DOE and SCS dated as of December 12, 2008. (Designated in Mississippi Power's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, File No. 001-11229, as Exhibit 10(e)22.) (Mississippi Power requested confidential treatment for certain portions of this document pursuant to an application for confidential treatment sent to the SEC. Mississippi Power omitted such portions from this filing and filed them separately with the SEC.)

E-15

Table of Contents

- # (e) 25 - Form of Terms for Performance Share Awards granted under the Southern Company Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. See Exhibit 10(a)30 herein.

Southern Power

- (f) 1 - Service contract dated as of January 1, 2001, between SCS and Southern Power. (Designated in Southern Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, File No. 1-3526, as Exhibit 10(a)(2).)
- (f) 2 - Intercompany Interchange Contract as revised effective May 1, 2007, among Alabama Power, Georgia Power, Gulf Power, Mississippi Power, Southern Power, and SCS. See Exhibit 10(b)1 herein.
- (f) 3 - Power Purchase Agreement between Southern Power and Alabama Power dated as of June 1, 2001. (Designated in Registration No. 333-98553 as Exhibit 10.18.)
- (f) 4 - Amended and Restated Power Purchase Agreement between Southern Power and Georgia Power at Plant Autaugaville dated as of August 6, 2001. (Designated in Registration No. 333-98553 as Exhibit 10.19.)
- (f) 5 - Power Purchase Agreement between Southern Power and Georgia Power at Plant Goat Rock dated as of March 30, 2001. (Designated in Registration No. 333-98553 as Exhibit 10.22.)
- (f) 6 - Purchase and Sale Agreement, by and between CP Oleander, LP and CP Oleander I, Inc., as Sellers, Constellation Power, Inc. and SP Newco I LLC and SP Newco II LLC, as Purchasers, and Southern Power, as Purchaser's Parent, for the Sale of Partnership Interests of Oleander Power Project, LP, dated as of April 8, 2005. (Designated in Form 8-K dated June 7, 2005, File No. 333-98553, as Exhibit 2.1)
- (f) 7 - Multi-Year Credit Agreement dated as of July 7, 2006 by and among Southern Power, the Lenders (as defined therein), Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., New York Branch, as Initial Issuing Bank and Amendment Number One thereto. (Designated in Southern Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, File No. 333-98553, as Exhibit 10(f)1 and in Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, File No. 333-98553, as Exhibit 10(f)2.) (Omits schedules and exhibits. Southern Power agreed to provide supplementally the omitted schedules and exhibits to the SEC upon request.)
- (f) 8 - Purchase and Sale Agreement by and between Progress Genco Ventures, LLC and Southern Power Company Rowan LLC dated May 8, 2006. (Designated in Southern Power's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, File No. 333-98553, as Exhibit 10(f)4.) (Omits schedules and exhibits. Southern Power agrees to provide supplementally the omitted schedules and exhibits to the SEC upon request.) (Southern Power requested confidential treatment for certain portions of this document pursuant to an application for confidential treatment sent to the SEC. Southern Power omitted such portions from the filing and filed them separately with the SEC.)

- (f) 9 - Assignment and Assumption Agreement between Southern Power Company Rowan LLC and Southern Power effective May 24, 2006. (Designated in Southern Power s Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, File No. 333-98553, as Exhibit 10(f)5.)

Table of Contents

(14) Code of Ethics

Southern Company

* (a) - The Southern Company Code of Ethics.

Alabama Power

(b) - The Southern Company Code of Ethics. See Exhibit 14(a) herein.

Georgia Power

(c) - The Southern Company Code of Ethics. See Exhibit 14(a) herein.

Gulf Power

(d) - The Southern Company Code of Ethics. See Exhibit 14(a) herein.

Mississippi Power

(e) - The Southern Company Code of Ethics. See Exhibit 14(a) herein.

Southern Power

(f) - The Southern Company Code of Ethics. See Exhibit 14(a) herein.

(21) Subsidiaries of Registrants

Southern Company

* (a) - Subsidiaries of Registrant.

Alabama Power

(b) - Subsidiaries of Registrant. See Exhibit 21(a) herein.

Georgia Power

(c) - Subsidiaries of Registrant. See Exhibit 21(a) herein.

Gulf Power

(d) - Subsidiaries of Registrant. See Exhibit 21(a) herein.

Mississippi Power

(e) - Subsidiaries of Registrant. See Exhibit 21(a) herein.

Table of Contents

Southern Power

Omitted pursuant to General Instruction I(2)(b) of Form 10-K.

(23) Consents of Experts and Counsel

Southern Company

* (a) 1 - Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Alabama Power

* (b) 1 - Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Georgia Power

* (c) 1 - Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Gulf Power

* (d) 1 - Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Mississippi Power

* (e) 1 - Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

Southern Power

* (f) 1 - Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.

(24) Powers of Attorney and Resolutions

Southern Company

* (a) - Power of Attorney and resolution.

Alabama Power

* (b) - Power of Attorney and resolution.

Georgia Power

* (c) - Power of Attorney and resolution.

Gulf Power

* (d) - Power of Attorney and resolution.

Mississippi Power

- * (e) - Power of Attorney and resolution.
E-18
-

Table of Contents

Southern Power

- * (f) - Power of Attorney and resolution.

(31) Section 302 Certifications

Southern Company

- * (a) 1 - Certificate of Southern Company's Chief Executive Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * (a) 2 - Certificate of Southern Company's Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Alabama Power

- * (b) 1 - Certificate of Alabama Power's Chief Executive Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * (b) 2 - Certificate of Alabama Power's Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Georgia Power

- * (c) 1 - Certificate of Georgia Power's Chief Executive Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * (c) 2 - Certificate of Georgia Power's Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Gulf Power

- * (d) 1 - Certificate of Gulf Power's Chief Executive Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * (d) 2 - Certificate of Gulf Power's Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Mississippi Power

- * (e) 1 - Certificate of Mississippi Power's Chief Executive Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * (e) 2 - Certificate of Mississippi Power's Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Southern Power

Edgar Filing: ALABAMA POWER CO - Form 10-K

- * (f) 1 - Certificate of Southern Power's Chief Executive Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * (f) 2 - Certificate of Southern Power's Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

E-19

Table of Contents

(32) Section 906 Certifications

Southern Company

- * (a) - Certificate of Southern Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Alabama Power

- * (b) - Certificate of Alabama Power's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Georgia Power

- * (c) - Certificate of Georgia Power's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Gulf Power

- * (d) - Certificate of Gulf Power's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Mississippi Power

- * (e) - Certificate of Mississippi Power's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Southern Power

- * (f) - Certificate of Southern Power's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(101) XBRL-Related Documents

Southern Company

- * INS - XBRL Instance Document
- * SCH - XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- * CAL - XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document
- * DEF - XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
- * LAB - XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document
- * PRE - XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document