

VERINT SYSTEMS INC
Form 10-K
May 19, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the year ended January 31, 2010
Commission File Number 000-49790
VERINT SYSTEMS INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)
330 South Service Road, Melville, New York 11747
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (631) 962-9600
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

11-3200514
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
None	None
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share Title of class	

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting
company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the closing price for the registrant's common stock on the Pink OTC Markets Inc. on the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (July 31, 2009) was approximately \$164,374,652.

There were 32,802,402 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding on April 30, 2010.

<u>Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements</u>	ii
<u>PART I</u>	1
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	1
<u>Item 1a. Risk Factors</u>	18
<u>Item 1b. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	45
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	45
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	46
<u>Item 4. Removed and Reserved</u>	50
<u>PART II</u>	51
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	51
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	56
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	59
<u>Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	100
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	104
<u>Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	104
<u>Item 9a. Controls and Procedures</u>	104
<u>Item 9b. Other Information</u>	115
<u>PART III</u>	116
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance</u>	116
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	126
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	172
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	177

<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	182
<u>PART IV</u>	184
<u>Item 15. Exhibits. Financial Statement Schedules</u>	184
<u>Exhibit 10.46</u>	
<u>Exhibit 21.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.2</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.1</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.2</u>	

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements discussed in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) (which Sections were adopted as part of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995). Forward-looking statements include financial projections, statements of plans and objectives for future operations, statements of future economic performance, and statements of assumptions relating thereto. Forward-looking statements are often identified by future or conditional words such as will, plans, expects, intends, believes, seeks, estimates, or anticipates, or by variations of such words or by similar expressions. There can be no assurances that forward-looking statements will be achieved. By their very nature, forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other important factors that could cause our actual results or conditions to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Important risks, uncertainties, and other factors that could cause our actual results or conditions to differ materially from our forward-looking statements include, among others:

- risks relating to the filing of our Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) reports, including the occurrence of known contingencies or unforeseen events that could delay our plan for completion of our outstanding and future financial statements, management distraction, and significant expense;
- risk associated with the SEC's initiation of an administrative proceeding on March 3, 2010 to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock under the Exchange Act due to our previous failure to file an annual report on either Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005;
- risks related to the announcement by Standard & Poor's (S&P) on January 29, 2010 that our credit rating had been placed on CreditWatch Developing, or that S&P could downgrade our credit rating;
- risks associated with being a consolidated, controlled subsidiary of Comverse Technology, Inc. (Comverse) and formerly part of Comverse's consolidated tax group, including risk of any future impact on us resulting from Comverse's special committee investigation and restatement or related effects, and risks related to our dependence on Comverse to provide us with accurate financial information, including with respect to stock-based compensation expense and net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs) for our financial statements;
- uncertainty regarding the impact of general economic conditions, particularly in information technology spending, on our business;

Table of Contents

risk that our financial results will cause us not to be compliant with the leverage ratio covenant under our credit facility or that any delays in the filing of future SEC reports could cause us not to be compliant with the financial statement delivery covenant under our credit facility;

risk that customers or partners delay or cancel orders or are unable to honor contractual commitments due to liquidity issues, challenges in their business, or otherwise;

risk that we will experience liquidity or working capital issues and related risk that financing sources will be unavailable to us on reasonable terms or at all;

uncertainty regarding the future impact on our business of our internal investigation, restatement, extended filing delay, and the SEC's administrative proceeding, including customer, partner, employee, and investor concern and potential customer and partner transaction deferrals or losses;

risks relating to the remediation or inability to adequately remediate material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting and relating to the proper application of highly complex accounting rules and pronouncements in order to produce accurate SEC reports on a timely basis;

risks relating to our implementation and maintenance of adequate systems and internal controls for our current and future operations and reporting needs;

risk of possible future restatements if the special processes used to prepare the financial statements contained in this report or the regular recurring processes that will be used to produce future SEC reports are inadequate;

risk associated with current or future regulatory actions or private litigations relating to our internal investigation, restatement, or delay in timely making required SEC filings;

risk that we will be unable to re-list our common stock on NASDAQ or another national securities exchange and maintain such listing;

risks associated with Comverse controlling our board of directors and a majority of our common stock (and therefore the results of any significant stockholder vote);

risks associated with significant leverage, resulting from our current debt position;

risks due to aggressive competition in all of our markets, including with respect to maintaining margins and sufficient levels of investment in the business and with respect to introducing quality products which achieve market acceptance;

risks created by continued consolidation of competitors or introduction of large competitors in our markets with greater resources than us;

Table of Contents

risks associated with significant foreign and international operations, including exposure to fluctuations in exchange rates;
risks associated with complex and changing local and foreign regulatory environments;
risks associated with our ability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in all geographies in which we operate;
challenges in accurately forecasting revenue and expenses;
risks associated with acquisitions and related system integrations;
risks relating to our ability to improve our infrastructure to support growth;
risks that our intellectual property rights may not be adequate to protect our business or that others may make claims on our intellectual property or claim infringement on their intellectual property rights;
risks associated with a significant amount of our business coming from domestic and foreign government customers;
risk that we improperly handle sensitive or confidential information or perception of such mishandling;
risks associated with dependence on a limited number of suppliers for certain components of our products;
risk that we are unable to maintain and enhance relationships with key resellers, partners, and systems integrators; and
risk that use of our NOLs or other tax benefits may be restricted or eliminated in the future.

These risks and uncertainties, as well as other factors, are discussed in greater detail in **Risk Factors** under Item 1A of this report. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect our management's view only as of the filing date of this report. We make no commitment to revise or update any forward-looking statements in order to reflect events or circumstances after the date any such statement is made, except as otherwise required under the federal securities laws. If we were in any particular instance to update or correct a forward-looking statement, investors and others should not conclude that we would make additional updates or corrections thereafter except as otherwise required under the federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Our Company

Verint® Systems Inc. (together with its consolidated subsidiaries, Verint, the Company, we, us, and our, unless the context indicates otherwise) is a global leader in Actionable Intelligence® solutions and value-added services. Our solutions enable organizations of all sizes to make timely and effective decisions to improve enterprise performance and make the world a safer place. More than 10,000 organizations in over 150 countries including over 80% of the Fortune 100 use Verint solutions to capture, distill, and analyze complex and underused information sources, such as voice, video, and unstructured text.

In the enterprise market, our workforce optimization solutions help organizations enhance customer service operations in contact centers, branches, and back-office environments to increase customer satisfaction, reduce operating costs, identify revenue opportunities, and improve profitability. In the security intelligence market, our video intelligence, public safety, and communications intelligence solutions are vital to government and commercial organizations in their efforts to protect people and property and neutralize terrorism and crime.

Headquartered in Melville, New York, we support our customers around the globe directly and with an extensive network of selling and support partners.

Actionable Intelligence Markets Enterprise Workforce Optimization and Security Intelligence

We deliver our Actionable Intelligence solutions to the enterprise workforce optimization and security intelligence markets across a wide range of industries, including financial services, retail, healthcare, telecommunications, law enforcement, government, transportation, utilities, and critical infrastructure. Much of the information available to organizations in these industries is unstructured, residing in telephone conversations, video streams, Web pages, email, and other text communications. Our advanced Actionable Intelligence solutions enable our customers to collect and analyze large amounts of both structured and unstructured information in order to make better decisions.

In the enterprise workforce optimization market, demand for our Actionable Intelligence solutions is driven by organizations that seek to leverage unstructured information from customer interactions and other customer-related data in order to optimize the performance of their customer service operations, improve the customer experience, and enhance compliance. In the security intelligence market, demand for our Actionable Intelligence solutions is driven by organizations that seek to distill intelligence from a wide range of unstructured and structured information sources in order to detect, investigate, and neutralize security threats.

Table of Contents

We have established leadership positions in both the enterprise workforce optimization and security intelligence markets by leveraging our core competency in developing highly scalable, enterprise-class applications with advanced, integrated analytics for both unstructured and structured information.

Company Background

We were incorporated in Delaware in February 1994 as a wholly owned subsidiary of Comverse. Our initial focus was on the commercial call recording market, which at the time was transitioning from analog tape to digital recorders. In 1999, we expanded into the security market by combining with another division of Comverse focused on the communications interception market. In 2001, we further expanded our security offering into video security through a combination of our business with Loronix® Information Systems, Inc., which had been previously acquired by Comverse.

In May 2002, we completed our initial public offering (IPO), and, today, Comverse holds approximately a 67% ownership position in us (assuming conversion of all of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.001 per share (preferred stock), into common stock). Since our IPO, we have acquired a number of companies that have strengthened our position in both the enterprise workforce optimization and security intelligence markets. Our largest acquisition was of Witness Systems, Inc. (Witness) in May 2007, which strengthened our leadership position in the enterprise workforce optimization market.

We participate in the enterprise workforce optimization and security intelligence markets through three operating segments: Enterprise Workforce Optimization Solutions (Workforce Optimization), Video Intelligence Solutions (Video Intelligence), and Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions (Communications Intelligence), each of which is described in greater detail below and in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Item 7. See also Note 17, Segment, Geographic, and Significant Customer Information to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15 for additional information and financial data about each of our operating segments and geographic regions.

Through our website at www.verint.com, we will make available our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K, as well as amendments to those reports filed or furnished by us pursuant to Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such materials with the SEC. Any documents that we file with the SEC can also be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information. Our filings are also available at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. Our website address set forth above is not intended to be an active link and information on our website is not incorporated in, and should not be construed to be a part of, this report.

Table of Contents

The Enterprise Workforce Optimization Solutions Segment

We are a leading provider of enterprise workforce optimization software and services. Our solutions enable organizations to extract and analyze valuable information from customer interactions and related operational data in order to make more effective, proactive decisions for optimizing the performance of their customer service operations, improving the customer experience, and enhancing compliance. Marketed under the Impact 360® brand to contact centers, back offices, branch and remote offices, and public safety centers, these solutions comprise a unified suite of enterprise workforce optimization applications and services that include Internet Protocol (IP) and legacy Time-Division Multiplexing (TDM) voice recording and quality monitoring, speech and data analytics, workforce management, customer feedback, eLearning and coaching, performance management, and desktop productivity/application analysis. These applications can be deployed stand-alone or in an integrated fashion.

The Workforce Optimization Market and Trends

We believe that customer service is being viewed more strategically than in the past, particularly by organizations whose interactions with customers regarding sales and services take place primarily through contact centers. Consistent with this trend, we believe organizations seek solutions that enable them to strike a balance between driving sales, managing operating costs, and delivering the optimal customer experience.

In order to make better decisions to achieve these goals, we believe that organizations increasingly seek to leverage valuable data collected from customer interactions and associated operational activities. However, customer service solutions have traditionally been deployed in the contact center as stand-alone applications, which prevented information from being shared and analyzed across multiple/related applications. These solutions also lacked functionality for analyzing unstructured information, such as the content of phone calls and email. As a result, organizations historically based their customer service-related business decisions on a fraction of the information available to them.

We believe that customer-centric organizations today seek unified, innovative workforce optimization solutions delivered by a single vendor to better manage customer service operations across the enterprise. We believe that the key business and technology trends driving demand for workforce optimization solutions include:

Integration of Workforce Optimization Applications

We believe that organizations increasingly seek a unified workforce optimization suite that includes call recording and quality monitoring, speech and data analytics, workforce management, customer feedback, performance management, eLearning, and coaching, as well as pre-defined business integrations. Such a unified workforce optimization suite can provide business and financial benefits, create a foundation for continuous improvement through a closed loop feedback process, and improve collaboration among various functions throughout the enterprise.

Table of Contents

For example:

contact center managers can receive instant alerts when staff is out of adherence with standards, monitor and record interactions to determine the cause, and act quickly to correct the problem;
supervisors can assign and deliver electronic learning material to staff desktops based on training needs automatically identified from quality monitoring evaluation scores and performance management scorecard metrics, and then track courses taken and new skills acquired; and
using integrated speech analytics with quality monitoring, our solutions can categorize calls, allowing organizations to review the interactions that are most significant to the business and identify the underlying causes of customer service issues.

Additionally, by deploying an integrated workforce optimization suite with a single, unified graphical user interface and common database, enterprises can achieve lower cost of ownership, reduce hardware costs, simplify system administration, and streamline implementation and training. An integrated workforce optimization suite also enables enterprises to interact with a single vendor for sales and service and helps ensure seamless integration and update of all applications.

Greater Insight through Customer Interaction Analytics

We believe that enterprises are increasingly interested in deploying sophisticated customer interaction analytics, particularly speech, data, and customer feedback analytics, for gaining a better understanding of workforce performance, the customer experience, and the factors underlying business trends in order to improve the performance of their customer service operations. Although enterprises have recorded customer interactions for many years, most were able to extract intelligence only by manually listening to calls, which generally could be done for only a small percentage of all calls. Today, customer interaction analytics applications, such as speech and data analytics, have evolved to automatically analyze and categorize customer interactions in order to detect patterns and trends that significantly impact the business. Customer surveys included in a unified analytics suite help enterprises understand the effectiveness of their employees, products, and processes directly from the customer's perspective. Together, these applications provide a new level of insight into such important areas as customer satisfaction, customer behavior, and staff effectiveness, including the underlying cause of business trends in these critical areas.

Adoption of Workforce Optimization Across the Enterprise

Workforce optimization solutions have traditionally been deployed in contact centers. However, many customer service employees work in other areas of the enterprise, such as the back office and branch and remote office locations. Today, we believe that certain enterprises show increased interest in deploying certain workforce optimization applications, such as staff scheduling and desktop and process analytics, outside the contact center to enable the same type of performance measurement that has historically been available in the contact center, with the goal of improving customer service and performance across the enterprise.

Table of Contents

Migration to Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) Technologies

Many enterprises are replacing their contact centers legacy voice (TDM) infrastructures with VoIP telephony infrastructure. These upgrades typically require new deployments of workforce optimization solutions that are designed to support IP or hybrid TDM/IP environments.

Our Enterprise Workforce Optimization Solutions Portfolio

We are a leader in the workforce optimization market with Impact 360, a comprehensive, unified portfolio of workforce optimization solutions. Our Workforce Optimization solutions are highly scalable and designed to be deployed by small to very large organizations in traditional contact centers and other areas of the enterprise, such as the back office, remote offices, and branches, as well as by public safety centers. Our solutions are generally implemented in industries that have significant customer service operations, such as insurance, banking and brokerage, telecommunications, media, retail, public safety, and hospitality.

The following table summarizes our portfolio of Workforce Optimization solutions.

Solution	Description
Quality Monitoring	Records multimedia interactions based on user-defined business rules and provides sophisticated interaction assessment functionality, including intelligent evaluation forms and automatic delivery of calls for evaluation according to quotas or contact-related criteria, to help enterprises evaluate and improve the performance of customer service staff.
Full-Time and Compliance Recording	Provides contact center recording for compliance, sales verification, and monitoring in IP, traditional TDM, and mixed telephony environments. Includes encryption capabilities to help support the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard and other regulatory requirements for protecting sensitive data.
Workforce Management	Helps enterprises forecast staffing requirements, deploy the appropriate level of resources, and evaluate the productivity of their customer service staff. Also includes optional strategic planning capabilities to help determine optimal hiring plans.
Customer Interaction Analytics (Speech, Data, and Customer Feedback)	<p>Our speech analytics solutions analyze call content for the purpose of proactively identifying business trends, building effective cost containment and customer service strategies, and enhancing quality monitoring programs.</p> <p>Our data analytics apply our data mining technology to call-related and call-content information (metadata) and call content, as well as to productivity, quality, and customer experience metrics, to help enterprises identify hidden service and quality issues, determine the causes, and correct them.</p> <p>Our customer feedback analytics help enterprises efficiently survey customers via Interactive Voice Response (IVR), Web, or email in order to gather customer feedback on products, processes, agent performance, and customer satisfaction and loyalty.</p>

Table of Contents

Solution	Description
Performance Management	Provides a comprehensive view of key performance indicators (KPIs), with performance scorecards and reports on customer interactions, customer experience trends, and contact center, back office, branch, remote office, and customer service staff performance.
eLearning and Coaching	Enables enterprises to deliver Web-based training to customer service staff desktops, including learning clips created from recordings and other customized materials targeted to staff needs and competencies.
Desktop and Process Analytics	Captures information from customer service employee interactions with their desktop applications to provide insights into productivity, training issues, process adherence, and bottlenecks.
Workforce Optimization for Small-to-Medium Sized Businesses (SMB)	Designed for smaller companies (with contact centers), which increasingly face the same business requirements as their larger competitors. Enables companies of all sizes to boost productivity, reduce attrition, capture and evaluate interactions, and satisfy compliance and risk management requirements in a cost-effective way.
Public Safety	Includes quality monitoring, speech analytics, and full-time and compliance recording solutions under the brand Impact 360 for Public Safety Powered by Audiolog . Our public safety solution allows first responders (police, fire departments, emergency medical services, etc.) in the Security Intelligence market to deploy workforce optimization solutions to record, manage, and act on incoming assistance requests and related data.

The Video Intelligence Solutions Segment

We are a leading provider of networked IP video solutions designed to optimize security and enhance operations. Our Video Intelligence solutions portfolio includes IP video management software and services, edge devices for capturing, digitizing, and transmitting video over different types of wired and wireless networks, video analytics, and networked digital video recorders (DVRs). Marketed under the NextVR brand, this portfolio enables organizations to deploy an end-to-end IP video solution with analytics or evolve to IP video solutions without discarding their investments in analog Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) technology.

The Networked IP Video Market and Trends

We believe that terrorism, crime, and other security threats around the world are generating demand for advanced video security solutions that can help detect threats and prevent security breaches. We believe that organizations across a wide range of industries, including public transportation, utilities, ports and airports, government, education, finance, and retail, are interested in broader deployment of video solutions and more proactive use of existing video to increase the safety and security of their facilities, employees, and visitors, improve emergency response, and enhance their investigative capabilities.

Table of Contents

Consistent with this trend, the video security market continues to experience a technology transition from relatively passive analog CCTV video systems, which use analog equipment and closed networks and generally provide only basic recording and viewing capabilities, to more sophisticated, proactive, network-based IP video systems that use video management software to efficiently collect, manage, and analyze large amounts of video over networks and feature analytics. We believe this transition from passive analog systems to network-based digital systems greatly improves the ability of organizations to quickly and efficiently detect security breaches and deliver video and data across the enterprise and to outside agencies in order to address security threats, improve operational efficiency, and comply with cost containment mandates.

While the security market is evolving to networked IP video solutions, many organizations have already made significant investments in analog technology. Our Nextiva solutions allow these organizations to cost effectively migrate to networked IP video without discarding their existing analog investments. Designed on an open platform, our solutions facilitate inter-operability with our customers' business and security systems and with complementary third-party products, such as cameras, video analytics, video management software, command and control systems, and access control systems.

Our Video Intelligence Solutions Portfolio

We are a leader in the networked video market with Nextiva, a comprehensive, end-to-end, networked IP video solution portfolio. The following table summarizes our portfolio of Video Intelligence solutions.

Solution	Description
IP Video Management Software	Simplifies management of large volumes of video and geographically dispersed video surveillance operations, with a suite of applications that includes automated system health monitoring, policy-based video distribution, networked video viewing, and investigation management. Designed for use with industry-standard servers and storage solutions and for inter-operability with other enterprise systems.
Edge Devices	Captures, digitizes, and transmits video across enterprise networks, providing many of the benefits of IP video while using existing analog CCTV investments. Includes IP cameras, bandwidth-efficient video encoders to convert analog images to IP video for transmission over IP networks, and wireless devices that perform both video encoding and wireless IP transmission, facilitating video surveillance in areas too difficult or expensive to wire.

Table of Contents

Solution	Description
Video Analytics	Analyzes video content to automatically detect anomalies and activities of interest, such as perimeter intrusion, unattended objects, camera tampering, and vehicles moving in the wrong direction. Also includes industry-specific analytics applications focused on the behavior of people in retail and other environments.
Networked DVRs	Performs networked digital video recording utilizing secure, embedded operating systems and market-specific data integrations for applications that require local storage, as well as remote networking.

Our Video Intelligence solutions are deployed across a wide range of industries, including banking, retail, critical infrastructure, government, corporate campuses, education, airports, seaports, public transportation, and homeland security. Our video solutions include certain video analytics and data integrations specifically optimized for these industries. For example, our public transportation application includes global positioning system (GPS) integrations, our retail application includes point of sale integrations and retail traffic analytics, our banking application includes automated teller machine (ATM) integrations, and our critical infrastructure application includes video analytics for detecting suspicious events and command and control integrations.

The Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions Segment

We are a leading provider of Communications Intelligence solutions that help law enforcement, national security, intelligence, and other government agencies effectively detect, investigate, and neutralize criminal and terrorist threats. Our solutions are designed to handle massive amounts of unstructured and structured information from different sources, quickly make sense of complex scenarios, and generate evidence and intelligence. Our portfolio includes solutions for communications interception, service provider compliance, mobile location tracking, fusion and data management, financial crime investigation, Web intelligence, integrated video monitoring, and tactical communications intelligence. These solutions can be deployed stand-alone or collectively, as part of a large-scale system to address the needs of large government agencies that require advanced, comprehensive solutions.

The Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions Market and Trends

We believe that terrorism, criminal activities, including financial fraud and drug trafficking, and other security threats, combined with an expanding range of communication and information media, are driving demand for innovative security solutions that collect, integrate, and analyze information from voice, video, and data communications, as well as from other sources, such as private and public databases. We believe the key trends driving demand for our Communications Intelligence solutions are:

Increasing Complexity of Communications Networks and Growing Network Traffic

Law enforcement and certain other government agencies are typically given the authority to intercept communication transmissions to and from specified targets for the purpose of generating evidence. National security and intelligence agencies intercept communications, often in massive volumes, for the purpose of generating intelligence and supporting investigations. We believe that these agencies are seeking technically advanced solutions to help them to keep pace with increasingly complex communications networks and the growing amount of network traffic.

Table of Contents

Growing Demand for Advanced Intelligence and Investigative Solutions

Investigations related to criminal and terrorist networks, drugs, financial crimes, and other illegal activities are highly complex and often involve collecting and analyzing information from multiple sources. We believe that law enforcement, national security, intelligence, and other government agencies are seeking advanced solutions that enable them to integrate and analyze information from multiple sources and collaborate more efficiently with various other agencies in order to unearth suspicious activity, optimize investigative workflows, and make investigations more effective.

Legal and Regulatory Compliance Requirements

In many countries, communications service providers are mandated by government regulation to satisfy certain technical requirements for delivering communication content and data to law enforcement and government authorities. For example, in the United States, requirements have been established under the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (CALEA). In Europe, similar requirements have been adopted by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI). In addition, many law enforcement and government agencies around the world are mandated to ensure compliance with laws and regulations related to criminal activities, such as financial crime. We believe these laws and regulations are creating demand for our Communications Intelligence solutions.

Our Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions Portfolio

We are a leader in the market for communications intelligence solutions, which are marketed under the RELIANT , VANTAGE®, STAR-GATE , X-TRAC®, and ENGAGE brand names. The following table summarizes our portfolio of Communications Intelligence solutions.

Solution	Description
Communications Interception	Enables the interception, monitoring, and analysis of information collected from a wide range of communications networks, including fixed and mobile networks, IP networks, and the Internet. Includes lawful interception solutions designed to intercept specific target communications pursuant to legal warrants and mass interception solutions for investigating and proactively addressing criminal and terrorist threats.
Communications Service Provider Compliance	Enables communication service providers to collect and deliver to government agencies specific call-related and call-content information in compliance with CALEA, ETSI, and other compliance regulations and standards. Includes a scalable warrant and subpoena management system for efficient, cost-effective administration of legal warrants across multiple networks and sites.

Table of Contents

Solution	Description
Mobile Location Tracking	Tracks the location of mobile network devices for intelligence and evidence gathering, with analytics and workflow designed to support investigative activities. Provides real-time tracking of multiple targets, real-time alerts, and investigative capabilities, such as geospatial fencing and events correlation.
Fusion and Investigation Management	Fuses data gathered from multiple database sources, with link analysis, adaptable investigative workflow, and analytics to improve investigation efficiency and productivity. Supports complex investigations that require expertise across various domains, involve multiple government agencies, and require significant resources and time.
Financial Crime Investigation	Helps law enforcement and government financial regulatory agencies investigate financial fraud, money laundering, and other financial crimes, as well as drug- and terror-related cases.
Web Intelligence	Increases the productivity and efficiency of investigations in which the Internet is the prime source of information. Features advanced data collection, text analysis, data enrichment, advanced analytics, and a clearly defined investigative workflow on a scalable platform.
Integrated Video Monitoring	Enables the scalable collection, storage, and analysis of video captured by surveillance systems and its integration with other sources of information, such as intercepted communications or location tracking data.
Tactical Communications Intelligence	Provides portable communications interception and location tracking capabilities for local use or integration with centralized monitoring systems, to support tactical field operations.

Customer Services

We offer a range of customer services, including implementation, training, consulting, and maintenance, to help our customers maximize their return on investment in our solutions.

Implementation, Training, and Consulting

Our solutions are implemented by our service organizations, authorized partners, resellers, or customers. Our implementation services include project management, system installation, and commissioning, including integrating our applications with our customers' environments and third-party solutions. Our training programs are designed to enable our customers to effectively utilize our solutions and to certify our partners to sell, install, and support our solutions. Customer and partner training are provided at the customer site, at our training centers around the world, or remotely through webinars. Our consulting services are designed to enable our customers to maximize the value of our solutions in their own environments.

Table of Contents

Maintenance Support

We offer a range of customer maintenance support programs to our customers and resellers, including phone, Web, and email access to technical personnel up to 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Our support programs are designed to ensure long-term, successful use of our solutions. We believe that customer support is critical to retaining and expanding our customer base. Our Workforce Optimization solutions are sold with a warranty of generally one year for hardware and 90 days for software. Our Video Intelligence solutions and Communications Intelligence solutions are sold with warranties that typically range from 90 days to 3 years, and in some cases longer. In addition, customers are typically provided the option to purchase maintenance plans that provide a range of services, such as telephone support, advanced replacement, upgrades when and if available, and on-site repair or replacement. Currently, the majority of our maintenance revenue is related to our Workforce Optimization solutions.

Direct and Indirect Sales

We sell our solutions through our direct sales teams and indirect channels, including distributors, systems integrators, value-added resellers (VAR), and original equipment manufacturer (OEM) partners.

Each of our solutions is sold by trained, dedicated, regionally organized direct and indirect sales teams. Our direct sales teams are focused on large and mid-sized customers and, in many cases, co-sell with our other channels and sales agents. Our indirect sales teams are focused on developing and supporting relationships with our indirect channels, which provide us with broader market coverage, including access to their customer base, integration services, and presence in certain geographies and vertical markets. Our sales teams are supported by business consultants, solutions specialists, and pre-sales engineers who, during the sales process, determine customer requirements and develop technical responses to those requirements. While we sell directly and indirectly in all three of our segments, sales of our Video Intelligence solutions are primarily indirect, and sales of our Communications Intelligence solutions are primarily direct.

Customers

Our solutions are currently used by more than 10,000 organizations in over 150 countries. In the year ended January 31, 2010, we derived approximately 53%, 21%, and 26% of our revenue from the sales of our Workforce Optimization solutions, Video Intelligence solutions, and Communications Intelligence solutions, respectively. In the year ended January 31, 2009, we derived approximately 53%, 19%, and 28% of our revenue from the sales of our Workforce Optimization solutions, Video Intelligence solutions, and Communications Intelligence solutions, respectively. In the year ended January 31, 2008, we derived approximately 49%, 28%, and 23% of our revenue from the sales of our Workforce Optimization solutions, Video Intelligence solutions, and Communications Intelligence solutions, respectively.

In the year ended January 31, 2010, we derived approximately 55%, 25%, and 20% of our revenue from sales to end users in the Americas; Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); and the Asia Pacific Region (APAC), respectively. In the year ended January 31, 2009, we derived approximately 52%, 32%, and 16% of our revenue from sales to end users in the Americas, EMEA, and APAC, respectively. In the year ended January 31, 2008, we derived approximately 52%, 33%, and 15% of our revenue from sales to end users in the Americas, EMEA, and APAC, respectively.

Table of Contents

None of our customers, including system integrators, VARs, various local, regional, and national governments worldwide, and OEM partners, individually accounted for more than 10% of our revenue in the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008. In some years, we have entered into one or more contracts with customers in our Video Intelligence segment or our Communications Intelligence segment the loss of which could have a material adverse effect on the segment. See Note 17, Segment, Geographic, and Significant Customer Information to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15. Some of the customer engagements on which we work require us to have the necessary security credentials or to participate in the project through an approved legal entity. For a more detailed discussion of the risks associated with our government customers, see Risk Factors We are dependent on contracts with governments around the world for a significant portion of our revenue. These contracts expose us to additional business risks and compliance obligations under Item 1A and Risk Factors U.S. and foreign governments could refuse to buy our Communications Intelligence solutions or could deactivate our security clearances in their countries thereby restricting or eliminating our ability to sell these solutions in those countries and perhaps other countries influenced by such a decision under Item 1A.

Research and Development

We continue to enhance the features and performance of our existing solutions and to introduce new solutions through extensive research and development activities, including the development of new solutions, the addition of capabilities to existing solutions, quality assurance, and advanced technical support for our customer services organization. In certain instances, we customize our products to meet the particular requirements of our customers. Research and development is performed primarily in the United States, the United Kingdom, and Israel for our Workforce Optimization segment; primarily in the United States, Canada, and Israel for our Video Intelligence segment; and primarily in Israel, with separate and independent research and development activities in Germany, for our Communications Intelligence segment.

We believe that our future success depends on a number of factors, which include our ability to:

- identify and respond to emerging technological trends in our target markets;
- develop and maintain competitive solutions that meet our customers' changing needs;
- enhance our existing products by adding features and functionality to meet specific customer needs or
- differentiate our products from those of our competitors; and
- attract, recruit, and retain highly skilled and experienced employees.

Table of Contents

To support these efforts, we make significant investments in research and development every year. In the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, we spent approximately \$83.8 million, \$88.3 million, and \$87.7 million, respectively, on research and development, net. We allocate our research and development resources in response to market research and customer demand for additional features and solutions. Our development strategy involves rolling out initial releases of our products and adding features over time. We incorporate product feedback received from our customers into our product development process. While the majority of our products are developed internally, in some cases, we also acquire or license technologies, products, and applications from third parties based on timing and cost considerations.

As noted above, a significant portion of our research and development operations is located outside the United States. Historically, we have also derived benefits from participation in certain government-sponsored programs, including those of the Office of the Chief Scientist (OCS) of Israel and certain research and development programs in Canada, for the support of research and development activities conducted in those countries. The Israeli law under which these OCS grants are made limits our ability to manufacture products, or transfer technologies, developed using these grants outside of Israel without permission from the OCS. See Risk Factors Research and development and tax benefits we receive in Israel may be reduced or eliminated in the future and our receipt of these benefits subjects us to certain restrictions and Risk Factors Because we have significant foreign operations, we are subject to geopolitical and other risks that could materially adversely affect our business under Item 1A for a discussion of these and other risks associated with our foreign operations.

Manufacturing and Suppliers

Our manufacturing and assembly operations are performed in our U.S. and Israeli facilities for our Workforce Optimization solutions; in our U.S., Israeli, and Canadian facilities for our Video Intelligence solutions; and in our German and Israeli facilities for our Communications Intelligence solutions. These operations consist of installing our software on externally purchased hardware components, final assembly, and testing, which involves the application of extensive quality control procedures to materials, components, subassemblies, and systems. We also manufacture certain hardware units and perform system integration functions prior to shipping turnkey solutions to our customers. We rely on several unaffiliated subcontractors for the supply of specific proprietary components and assemblies that are incorporated in our products, as well as for certain operations activities that we outsource. Although we have occasionally experienced delays and shortages in the supply of proprietary components in the past, we have, to date, been able to obtain adequate supplies of all components in a timely manner from alternative sources, when necessary. See Risk Factors For certain products and components, we rely on a limited number of suppliers and manufacturers and, if these relationships are interrupted, we may not be able to obtain substitute suppliers or manufacturers on favorable terms or at all under Item 1A for a discussion of risks associated with our manufacturing operations and suppliers.

Table of Contents

Employees

As of January 31, 2010, we employed approximately 2,500 people, including part-time employees and certain contractors. Approximately 46%, 38%, 10%, and 6% of our employees are located in or report into the Americas, Israel, Europe, and APAC, respectively. As noted in the previous sentence, these percentages include personnel who are physically located outside of the specified region but who report into that region, which reflects the way management operates the business.

We consider our relationship with our employees to be good and a critical factor in our success. Our employees in the United States are not covered by any collective bargaining agreements. In some cases, our employees outside the United States are automatically subject to certain protections negotiated by organized labor in those countries directly with the government or are automatically entitled to severance or other benefits mandated under local laws. For example, while we are not a party to any collective bargaining or other agreement with any labor organization in Israel, certain provisions of the collective bargaining agreements between the Histadrut (General Federation of Labor in Israel) and the Coordinating Bureau of Economic Organizations (including the Manufacturers Association of Israel) are applicable to our Israeli employees by virtue of an expansion order of the Israeli Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor.

Intellectual Property Rights

General

Our success depends to a significant degree on the legal protection of our software and other proprietary technology. We rely on a combination of patent, trade secret, copyright, and trademark laws and confidentiality and non-disclosure agreements with employees and third parties to establish and protect our proprietary rights.

Patents

As of February 28, 2010, we had more than 460 patents and patent applications worldwide. We have accumulated a significant amount of proprietary know-how and expertise in developing analytics solutions for enterprise workforce optimization and security intelligence products. We regularly review new areas of technology related to our businesses to determine whether they are patentable.

Licenses

Our licenses are designed to prohibit unauthorized use, copying, and disclosure of our software technology. When we license our software to customers, we require license agreements containing restrictions and confidentiality terms customary in the industry in order to protect our proprietary rights in the software. These agreements generally warrant that the software and propriety hardware will materially comply with written documentation and assert that we own or have sufficient rights in the software we distribute and have not violated the intellectual property rights of others. We license our products in a format that does not permit users to change the software code.

Table of Contents

We license certain software, technology, and related rights for use in the manufacture and marketing of our products and pay royalties to third parties under such licenses and other agreements. We believe that our rights under such licenses and other agreements are sufficient for the manufacture and marketing of our products and, in the case of licenses, extend for periods at least equal to the estimated useful lives of the related technology and know-how.

Trademarks and Service Marks

We use various trademarks and service marks to protect the marks used in our business. We also claim common law protections for other marks we use in our business. Competitors and other companies could adopt similar marks or try to prevent us from using our marks, consequently impeding our ability to build brand identity and possibly leading to customer confusion. See Risk Factors Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected under Item 1A for a more detailed discussion regarding the risks associated with the protection of our intellectual property.

Competition

We face strong competition in all of our markets, and we expect that competition will persist and intensify. In our Workforce Optimization segment, our competitors are Aspect Software, Inc., Autonomy Corp., Genesys Telecommunications, NICE Systems Ltd (NICE), and many smaller companies, which can vary across regions. In our Video Intelligence segment, our competitors include Dedicated Microcomputer Limited, Genetec Inc., March Networks Corporation, Milestone Systems A/S, NICE, and Pelco, Inc. (a division of Schneider Electric Limited); divisions of larger companies, including Bosch Security Systems, Cisco Systems, Inc., United Technologies Corp., Honeywell International Inc., and many smaller companies, which can vary across regions. In our Communications Intelligence segment, our primary competitors are Aqsacom Inc., ETI, JSI Telecom, NICE, Pen-Link, Ltd., RCS S.R.L., Trovicor, SS8 Networks, Inc., Utimaco (a division of Sophos, Plc), and many smaller companies, which can vary across regions. Some of our competitors have superior brand recognition and greater financial resources than we do, which may enable them to increase their market share at our expense. Furthermore, we expect that competition will increase as other established and emerging companies enter IP markets and as new products, services, and technologies are introduced.

In each of our operating segments, we believe we compete principally on the basis of:

- product performance and functionality;
- product quality and reliability;
- breadth of product portfolio and inter-operability;

Table of Contents

global presence and high-quality customer service and support;
specific industry knowledge, vision, and experience; and
price.

We believe that our success depends primarily on our ability to provide technologically advanced and cost-effective solutions and services. We expect that competition will increase as other established and emerging companies enter our market and as new products, services, and technologies are introduced. In recent years, there has also been significant consolidation among our competitors, which has improved the competitive position of several of these companies and enabled new competitors to emerge in all of our markets. See Risk Factors Intense competition in our markets and competitors with greater resources than us may limit our market share, profitability, and growth under Item 1A for a more detailed discussion of the competitive risks we face.

Export Regulations

We and our subsidiaries are subject to applicable export control regulations in countries from which we export goods and services, including the United States and Israel. These controls may apply by virtue of the country in which the products are located or by virtue of the origin of the content contained in the products. If the controls of a particular country apply, the level of control generally depends on the nature of the goods and services in question. For example, our Communications Intelligence solutions tend to be more highly controlled than our Workforce Optimization solutions. Certain countries, including the United States and Israel, have also imposed controls on products that contain encryption functionality, which covers many of our products. Where controls apply, the export of our products generally requires an export license or authorization (either on a per-product or per-transaction basis) or that the transaction qualify for a license exception or the equivalent, and may also be subject to corresponding reporting requirements.

Recent Developments

The following summaries describe the significant developments that occurred subsequent to January 31, 2010.

Acquisition of Iontas

On February 4, 2010, our wholly owned subsidiary, Verint Americas Inc. (Verint Americas), acquired all of the outstanding shares of Iontas Limited (Iontas), a privately held provider of desktop analytics solutions. Prior to this acquisition, we licensed certain technology from Iontas, whose solutions measure application usage and analyze workflows to help improve staff performance in contact center, branch, and back-office operations environments. We acquired Iontas for approximately \$15.2 million in cash (net of cash acquired) and potential additional earn-out payments of up to \$3.8 million, tied to certain targets being achieved over the next two years. The initial purchase price allocation for this acquisition is not yet available, as we have not completed the appraisals necessary to assess the fair values of the tangible and identified intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, the assets and liabilities arising from contingencies (if any), and the amount of goodwill to be recognized as of the acquisition date.

Table of Contents

Wells Notices

On April 9, 2008, as we previously reported, we received a Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC arising from the staff's investigation of our past stock option grant practices and certain unrelated accounting matters. These accounting matters also were the subject of our internal investigation. On March 3, 2010, the SEC filed a settled enforcement action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York relating to certain of our accounting reserve practices. Without admitting or denying the allegations in the SEC's Complaint, we consented to the issuance of a Final Judgment permanently enjoining us from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A), and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. The settled SEC action did not require us to pay any monetary penalty and sought no relief beyond the entry of a permanent injunction. The SEC's related press release noted that, in accepting the settlement offer, the SEC considered our remediation and cooperation in the SEC's investigation. The settlement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York on March 9, 2010.

On December 23, 2009, as we previously reported, we received an additional Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC relating to our failure to timely file our periodic reports under the Exchange Act. On March 3, 2010 the SEC issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock because of our previous failure to file an annual report on either Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005. An Administrative Law Judge will consider the evidence in the Section 12(j) proceeding and has been directed in the OIP to issue an initial decision within 120 days of service of the OIP. We are currently evaluating the Section 12(j) OIP, including available procedural remedies, and intend to defend against the possible suspension or revocation of the registration of our common stock.

Amendment to Credit Agreement

On April 27, 2010, we entered into an amendment to our credit agreement to extend the due date for delivery of audited consolidated financial statements and related documentation for the year ended January 31, 2010 from May 1, 2010 to June 1, 2010. In consideration for this amendment, we paid \$0.9 million.

Table of Contents

Item 1a. Risk Factors

Many of the factors that affect our business and operations involve risks and uncertainties. The factors described below are risks that could materially harm our business, financial condition, and results of operations. These are not all the risks we face and other factors currently considered immaterial or unknown to us may have a material adverse impact on our future operations.

Risks Related to Our Internal Investigation, Restatement, Internal Controls, and Ownership

Following the filing of this report, we face challenges in completing our Form 10-Q filings for the year ended January 31, 2010 and future SEC filings, we cannot assure you when we will complete these filings, and we are likely to continue to face challenges until we come into compliance with our reporting obligations and re-list our common stock.

Although we have completed our annual reports covering periods through the end of our most recent fiscal year, we continue to face challenges with regard to completing our Forms 10-Q for the year ended January 31, 2010 and timely completing our future SEC filings. We cannot assure you that we will be able to complete these historical Forms 10-Q or future required filings prior to the conclusion of the SEC administrative proceeding to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock, described below. Until we are able to come into compliance with our reporting obligations and re-list our common stock, we expect to continue to face many of the risks and challenges we have experienced during our extended filing delay period, including:

- risk associated with the SEC's initiation of an administrative proceeding on March 3, 2010 to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock under the Exchange Act due to our previous failure to file an annual report on either Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005;
- continued concern on the part of customers, partners, investors, and employees about our financial condition and extended filing delay status, including potential loss of business opportunities;
- additional significant time and expense required to complete our remaining filings and the process of seeking the re-listing of our common stock on NASDAQ or another national securities exchange beyond the very significant time and expense we have already incurred in connection with our internal investigation, restatement, and audits to date;
- continued distraction of our senior management team and our board of directors as we work to complete our remaining filings and seek to re-list our common stock;
- limitations on our ability to raise capital and make acquisitions; and
- general reputational harm as a result of the foregoing.

Table of Contents

Even if we come into compliance with our reporting obligations and our common stock is re-listed on NASDAQ or another national securities exchange, we cannot assure you that all of the risks and challenges described above will be eliminated. For example, we cannot assure you that lost business opportunities can be recaptured or that general reputational harm will not persist. If we are unable to complete our remaining filings and complete any future required filings prior to the conclusion of the SEC administrative proceeding to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock described below, are unable to re-list our common stock, or if one or more of the foregoing risks or challenges persist even after we have done so, our business, results of operations, and financial condition are likely to be materially and adversely affected.

We have identified material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010 that, if not remedied, could result in a failure to prevent or timely detect a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Our management evaluated the design and effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010 and identified material weaknesses related to monitoring, financial reporting, revenue and cost of revenue, and income taxes. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. As a result of these material weaknesses, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of January 31, 2010. For further information about these material weaknesses, please see **Controls and Procedures** under Item 9A.

We have implemented and continue to implement remedial measures designed to address the material weaknesses identified as of January 31, 2010. If these remedial measures are insufficient to address the identified material weaknesses, or if additional material weaknesses in our internal control are discovered in the future, we may fail to meet our future reporting obligations on a timely basis, our financial statements may contain material misstatements, our operating results may be harmed, and we may be subject to litigation. Any failure to address the identified material weaknesses or any additional material weaknesses in our internal control would also adversely affect the results of future management evaluations regarding the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting that are required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Continuing or future material weaknesses could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information leading to a decline in our stock price.

Table of Contents

The extraordinary processes underlying the preparation of the financial statements contained in this report may not have been adequate and our financial statements remain subject to the risk of future restatement.

The completion of our audits for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, 2008, 2007, and 2006, the restatement of certain items and the making of other corrective adjustments to our financial statements for periods through January 31, 2005, and the revenue recognition review undertaken in connection therewith, involved many months of review and analysis, including highly technical analyses of our contracts and business practices, equity-based compensation instruments, tax accounting, and the proper application of applicable accounting guidance. The completion of our financial statement audits also followed the completion of an extremely detailed forensic audit as part of our internal investigation. Given the complexity and scope of these exercises, and notwithstanding the very extensive time, effort, and expense that went into them, we cannot assure you that these extraordinary processes were adequate or that additional accounting errors will not come to light in the future in these or other areas.

In addition, relevant accounting rules and pronouncements are subject to ongoing interpretation by the accounting profession and refinement by various organizations responsible for promulgating and interpreting accounting principles. As a result, ongoing interpretations of these rules and pronouncements or the adoption of new rules and pronouncements could require changes in our accounting practices or financial reporting. We cannot assure you that if such changes arise, that we will be able to timely implement them or that we will not experience future reporting delays.

If additional accounting errors come to light in areas reviewed as part of our extraordinary processes or otherwise, or if ongoing interpretations of applicable accounting rules and pronouncements result in unanticipated changes in our accounting practices or financial reporting, future restatements of our financial statements may be required.

We cannot assure that our regular financial statement preparation and reporting processes are or will be adequate or that future restatements will not be required.

As discussed in the preceding risk factor, the processes underlying the preparation of the financial statements contained in this report were extraordinary. While we expect to continue to rely on these extraordinary processes for a period of time, during the year ending January 31, 2011, we have and expect to increasingly rely on our regular financial statement preparation and reporting processes.

While we have significantly changed and enhanced these regular processes (as described elsewhere in this report) as of the filing date of this report, we cannot assure you that the identified material weaknesses as of January 31, 2010 have been fully remediated and we continue to:

- make changes to our finance organization;
- enhance our accounting and reporting processes and procedures;

Table of Contents

enhance our revenue recognition and other existing accounting policies and procedures;
introduce new or enhanced accounting systems and processes; and
improve our internal controls over financial reporting.

Many of these changes and enhancements to our regular processes are ongoing as of the filing date of this report and we continue to assimilate the complex and pervasive changes we have already made. We cannot assure you that the changes and enhancements made to date, or those that are still in process, are adequate, will operate as expected, or will be completed in a timely fashion (if still in process). As a result, we cannot assure you that we will not discover additional errors, that future financial reports will not contain material misstatements or omissions, that future restatements will not be required, that additional material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting will not arise or be identified in the future, or that we will be able to timely comply with our reporting obligations in the future.

We cannot assure you that our common stock will be re-listed, or that once re-listed, it will remain listed.

As a result of the delay in filing our periodic reports with the SEC, we were unable to comply with the listing standards of NASDAQ and our common stock was suspended from trading effective February 1, 2007 and formally de-listed effective June 4, 2007. We have applied to re-list our common stock with NASDAQ; however, there can be no assurance that we will be able to re-list our common stock in an expeditious manner or at all. Even if our common stock is re-listed, unless we are able to timely comply with our SEC reporting obligations in the future, our common stock may again be de-listed. If we cannot re-list our common stock or if it is de-listed again in the future, the price of our common stock will likely be adversely affected and there may be a decrease in the liquidity of our common stock.

The circumstances which gave rise to our internal investigation, restatement, and extended filing delay continue to create the risk of litigation against us, which could be expensive and could damage our business.

Although Comverse and its affiliates have been named in a number of class action or shareholder derivative lawsuits relating to Comverse's internal investigation and restatement, no such actions relating to our internal investigation, restatement, or extended filing delay have been brought against us to date. However, companies that have undertaken internal reviews and investigations or restatements face greater risk of litigation or other actions and there can be no assurance that such a suit or action relating to our internal investigation, restatement, or extended filing delay will not be initiated against us or our current or former officers, directors, or other personnel in the future. In addition, we have in the past and may in the future become subject to litigation or threatened litigation from current or former personnel as a result of our suspension of option exercises during our extended filing delay period, the expiration of equity awards during such period, or other employment-related matters relating to our internal investigation, restatement, or extended filing delay. Any such litigation or action may be time consuming and expensive, and may distract management from the conduct of our business. Any such litigation or action could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations, and may expose us to costly indemnification obligations to current or former officers, directors, or other personnel, regardless of the outcome of such matter.

Table of Contents

We were the subject of an SEC investigation relating to our reserve and stock option accounting practices and are the subject of an SEC proceeding relating to our failure to timely file required SEC reports. These government inquiries or any future inquiries to which we may become subject could result in penalties and/or other remedies that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operation.

Comverse was the subject of an SEC investigation and resulting civil action regarding the improper backdating of stock options and other accounting practices, including the improper establishment, maintenance, and release of reserves, the reclassification of certain expenses, and the calculation of backlog of sales orders. On June 18, 2009, Comverse announced that it had reached a settlement with the SEC on these matters without admitting or denying the allegations of the SEC complaint. Three of Comverse's former officers, each of whom previously served on our board of directors, have also been charged in civil and criminal actions by the SEC and the Department of Justice in connection with the circumstances surrounding the Comverse special committee investigation. Two of these three matters have been settled to date.

On July 20, 2006, we announced that, in connection with the SEC investigation into Comverse's past stock option grants which was in process at that time, we had received a letter requesting that we voluntarily provide to the SEC certain documents and information related to our own stock option grants and practices. We voluntarily responded to this request. On April 9, 2008, as we previously reported, we received a Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC arising from the staff's investigation of our past stock option grant practices and certain unrelated accounting matters. These accounting matters were also the subject of our internal investigation. On March 3, 2010, the SEC filed a settled enforcement action against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York relating to certain of our accounting reserve practices. Without admitting or denying the allegations in the SEC's Complaint, we consented to the issuance of a Final Judgment permanently enjoining us from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A), and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. The settled SEC action did not require us to pay any monetary penalty and sought no relief beyond the entry of a permanent injunction. The SEC's related press release noted that, in accepting the settlement offer, the SEC considered our remediation and cooperation in the SEC's investigation. The settlement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York on March 9, 2010.

On December 23, 2009, as we previously reported, we received an additional Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC relating to our failure to timely file our periodic reports under the Exchange Act. On March 3, 2010, the SEC issued an OIP pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock because of our previous failure to file an annual report on Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005. An Administrative Law Judge will consider the evidence in the Section 12(j) proceeding and has been directed in the OIP to issue an initial decision within 120 days of service of the OIP. We are currently evaluating the Section 12(j) OIP, including available procedural remedies and intend to defend against the possible suspension or revocation of the registration of our common stock. We cannot at this time predict the outcome of the Section 12(j) administrative proceedings or of any available appeals that may follow. Similarly, we cannot predict what, if any, impact the outcome of the administrative proceedings may have on our business. If a final order is issued by the SEC suspending or revoking the registration of our common stock, broker-dealers would be prevented from making a market in our common stock in the United States and from any further trading of our common stock on the Pink OTC Markets, Inc. (the Pink Sheets) or any other exchange, market, or board in the United States until, in the case of a suspension, the lifting of such suspension, and, in the case of a revocation, we file a new registration with the SEC under the Exchange Act and that registration is made effective.

Table of Contents

In addition, as a result of our acquisition of Witness, we are subject to an additional SEC inquiry relating to certain of Witness stock option grants. On October 27, 2006, Witness received notice from the SEC of an informal non-public inquiry relating to the stock option grant practices of Witness from February 1, 2000 through the date of the notice. On July 12, 2007, we received a copy of the Formal Order of Investigation from the SEC relating to substantially the same matter as the informal inquiry. We and Witness have fully cooperated, and intend to continue to fully cooperate, if called upon to do so, with the SEC regarding this matter. In addition, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Georgia was given access to the documents and information provided by Witness to the SEC. While we have not heard from the SEC or the U.S. Attorney's office on this matter since June 2008, we have no assurance that one or both will not further pursue the matter.

We cannot predict the outcome of any of the foregoing unresolved proceedings or whether we will face additional government inquiries, investigations, or other actions related to these other matters. An adverse ruling in any SEC enforcement action or other regulatory proceeding could impose upon us fines, penalties, or other remedies, including the suspension or revocation of the registration of our common stock, as discussed above, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. Even if we are successful in defending against an SEC enforcement action or other regulatory proceeding, such an action or proceeding may be time consuming, expensive, and distracting from the conduct of our business and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. In the event of any such action or proceeding, we may also become subject to costly indemnification obligations to current or former officers, directors, or employees, which may or may not be covered by insurance.

We may not have sufficient insurance to cover our liability in any future litigation claims either due to coverage limits or as a result of insurance carriers seeking to deny coverage of such claims.

We face a variety of litigation-related liability risks, including liability for indemnification of (and advancement of expenses to) current and former directors, officers, and employees under certain circumstances, pursuant to our certificate of incorporation, by-laws, other applicable agreements, and/or Delaware law.

Table of Contents

Prior to the announcement of the Comverse special committee investigation, our directors and officers were included in a director and officer liability insurance policy, which covered all directors and officers of Comverse and its subsidiaries, which policy remains the sole source of insurance in connection with the matters related to such investigation. The Comverse insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover any claims against us in connection with such matters and may not be available to us due to the exhaustion of the coverage limits by Comverse in connection with the claims already asserted against Comverse and its personnel.

Following the announcement of the Comverse special committee investigation, we sought and obtained our own director and officer liability insurance policy for our directors and officers. We cannot assure you that the limits of our directors and officers liability insurance coverage will be sufficient to cover our potential exposure.

In addition, the underwriters of our present coverage or our old shared coverage with Comverse may seek to avoid coverage in certain circumstances based upon the terms of the respective policies, in which case we would have to self-fund any indemnification amounts owed to our directors and officers and bear any other uninsured liabilities.

If we do not have sufficient directors and officers insurance coverage under our present or historical insurance policies, or if our insurance underwriters are successful in avoiding coverage, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

We have been adversely affected as a result of being a consolidated, controlled subsidiary of Comverse and may continue to be adversely affected in the future.

We have been adversely affected as a result of being a consolidated, controlled subsidiary of Comverse and may continue to be adversely affected in the future. These adverse effects arise in part, though not exclusively, from the Comverse special committee investigation. Under applicable accounting rules, we were required to record stock-based compensation expenses on our books for Comverse stock options granted to our employees while we were a wholly owned subsidiary of Comverse which were found to have been improperly accounted for as part of the Comverse special committee investigation. Because we were dependent upon Comverse to provide us with the amount of these charges, we were forced to wait until the conclusion of the Comverse special committee investigation to record them, which was the initial reason we were not able to timely complete our required SEC filings. The subsequent expansion of the Comverse special committee investigation into other accounting issues further delayed our receipt of the required information. In addition, because of our previous inclusion in Comverse's consolidated tax group and our related tax sharing agreement with Comverse, as further discussed below, we were also forced to wait for Comverse to substantially complete its analysis of certain tax information, including information related to the NOLs allocated to us as of our May 2002 IPO, in order to complete the restatement of our historical financial statements, the preparation of our current financial statements, and associated audits. In addition to our own internal investigation and revenue recognition review, these investigations and reviews have required significant time, expense, and management distraction, have contributed to a protracted delay in the completion of our SEC filings, and have caused significant concerns on the part of customers, partners, investors, and employees.

Table of Contents

Future delays at Comverse, if any, may again delay the completion of the preparation of our outstanding or future financial statements, associated audits and SEC filings, which could have an adverse effect on our business. In addition, if errors are discovered in the information provided to us by Comverse, we may be required to correct or restate our financial statements. In part because of the issues identified at Comverse and our relationship with Comverse, we have also been subject to enhanced scrutiny by third parties, including customers, prospects, suppliers, service providers, and regulatory authorities, all of which have adversely affected our business, and the cost, duration, and risks associated with our restatement and audits have increased.

We may continue to be adversely affected by events at Comverse so long as we remain one of its majority-owned subsidiaries. In particular, Comverse's strategic plans regarding its assets, including its ownership interest in our stock, may adversely affect our business.

Our previous inclusion in Comverse's consolidated tax group and our related tax sharing agreement with Comverse may expose us to additional tax liabilities.

Prior to our IPO in May 2002, we were included in Comverse's U.S. federal income tax return. Following our IPO, we began filing a separate U.S. federal income tax return for our own consolidated group; however, we remained party to a tax-sharing agreement with Comverse for prior periods. As a result, Comverse may unilaterally make decisions that could impact our liability for income taxes for periods prior to the IPO. Additionally, adjustments to the consolidated group's tax liability for periods prior to our IPO could affect our NOLs from Comverse and cause us to incur additional tax liability in future periods. The foregoing could result from, among other things, any agreements between Comverse and the Internal Revenue Service relating to issues that could be raised upon examination or the filing of amended federal income tax returns by Comverse on our behalf.

In addition, notwithstanding the terms of the tax sharing agreement, federal tax law provides that each member of a consolidated federal income tax group is jointly and severally liable for the group's entire tax obligation; as a result, under certain circumstances, we could be liable for taxes of other members of the Comverse consolidated group if, for example, federal income tax assessments were not paid. Similar principles apply for certain combined state income tax return filings.

Comverse can control our business and affairs, including our board of directors.

Because Comverse currently holds approximately a 67% ownership position in us (assuming the conversion of all of our preferred stock into common stock), Comverse effectively controls the outcome of all matters submitted for stockholder action, including the approval of significant corporate transactions, such as financings, equity issuances, or mergers and acquisitions. Our preferred stock, all of which is held by Comverse, entitles it to further control over significant corporate transactions.

Table of Contents

By virtue of its majority ownership stake, Comverse also has the ability, acting alone, to remove existing directors and/or to elect new directors to our board of directors in order to fill vacancies. At present, Comverse has appointed individuals who are officers or executives of Comverse as six of our eleven directors. These directors have fiduciary duties to both us and Comverse and may become subject to conflicts of interest on certain matters where Comverse's interest as majority stockholder may not be aligned with the interests of our minority stockholders. In addition, under the terms of the preferred stock, Comverse also has the right to appoint two additional directors to our board of directors under certain circumstances.

As a consequence of Comverse's control over the composition of our board of directors, Comverse can also exert a controlling influence on our management, direction and policies, including the ability to appoint and remove our officers or, subject to the terms of our credit agreement, declare and pay dividends.

We may lose business opportunities to Comverse that might otherwise be available to us.

In connection with our May 2002 IPO, we entered into a business opportunities agreement with Comverse that addresses certain potential conflicts of interest between Comverse and us. This agreement allocates between Comverse and us opportunities to pursue transactions or matters that, absent such allocation, could constitute corporate opportunities of both companies. In general, we are precluded under this agreement from pursuing opportunities offered to officers or employees of Comverse who may also be our directors, officers, or employees, unless Comverse fails to pursue these opportunities. As a result, we may lose valuable business opportunities to Comverse, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

As a result of the delay in completing our financial statements, the timing and cost of raising future capital may be adversely affected.

As a result of the delay in completing our financial statements, we have been and are limited in our ability to register securities for sale by us or for resale by other security holders, which has adversely affected our ability to raise capital. Additionally, following the filing of this report and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for each of the quarters ended April 30, 2009, July 31, 2009, and October 31, 2009, we will remain ineligible to use Form S-3 to register securities until we have timely filed all periodic reports under the Exchange Act for at least 12 calendar months (or, in the event the registration of our common stock is revoked pursuant to the Section 12(j) proceeding discussed above, until after we have timely filed all required reports for the 12 calendar months following the date on which we once again become subject to the SEC reporting requirements). In the meantime, we would need to use Form S-1 to register securities with the SEC for capital raising transactions or issue such securities in private placements, in either case, increasing the costs of raising capital during that period.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Business

Competition and Markets

Our business is impacted by changes in general economic conditions and information technology spending in particular.

Our business is subject to risks arising from adverse changes in domestic and global economic conditions. Slowdowns or recessions around the world may cause companies and governments to delay, reduce, or even cancel planned spending. In particular, declines in information technology spending have affected the market for our products, especially in industries that are or have experienced significant cost-cutting, such as financial services. Customers or partners who are facing business challenges or liquidity issues are also more likely to delay purchase decisions or cancel orders, as well as to delay or default on payments. If customers or partners significantly reduce their spending with us or significantly delay or fail to make payments to us, our business, results of operations, and financial condition would be materially adversely affected. Moreover, as a result of current economic conditions, like many companies, we have engaged in significant cost-saving measures over the last 24 months. We cannot assure you that these measures will not negatively impact our ability to execute on our objectives and grow in the future, particularly if we are not able to invest in our business as a result of a protracted economic downturn.

Intense competition in our markets and competitors with greater resources than us may limit our market share, profitability, and growth.

We face aggressive competition from numerous and varied competitors in all of our markets, making it difficult to maintain market share, remain profitable, and grow. Even if we are able to maintain or increase our market share for a particular product, revenue or profitability could decline due to pricing pressures, increased competition from other types of products, or because the product is in a maturing industry.

Our competitors may be able to more quickly develop or adapt to new or emerging technologies, better respond to changes in customer requirements or preferences, or devote greater resources to the development, promotion, and sale of their products. Some of our competitors have, in relation to us, longer operating histories, larger customer bases, longer standing relationships with customers, greater name recognition, and significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, customer service, public relations, distribution, or other resources. Some of our competitors are also significantly larger than us and some of these companies have increased their presence in our markets in recent years through internal development, partnerships, and acquisitions. There has also been significant consolidation among our competitors, which has improved the competitive position of several of these companies, and enabled new competitors to emerge in all of our markets. In addition, we may face competition from solutions developed internally by our customers or partners. To the extent we cannot compete effectively, our market share and, therefore, results of operations, could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Because price and related terms are key considerations for many of our customers, we may have to accept less-favorable payment terms, lower the prices of our products and services, and/or reduce our cost structure, including reducing headcount or investment in research and development, in order to remain competitive. Certain of our competitors have become increasingly aggressive in their pricing strategy, particularly in markets where they are trying to establish a foothold. If we are forced to take these kinds of actions to maintain market share, our revenue and profitability may suffer or we may adversely impact our longer-term ability to execute or compete.

The industry in which we operate is characterized by rapid technological changes and evolving industry standards, and if we cannot anticipate and react to such changes our results may suffer.

The markets for our products are characterized by rapidly changing technology and evolving industry standards. The introduction of products embodying new technology and the emergence of new industry standards can exert pricing pressure on existing products and/or can render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable. It is critical to our success that, in all of our markets, we are able to:

anticipate and respond to changes in technology and industry standards;

successfully develop and introduce new, enhanced, and competitive products which meet our customers changing needs; and

deliver these new and enhanced products on a timely basis while adhering to our high quality standards.

We may not be able to successfully develop new products or introduce new applications for existing products. In addition, new products and applications that we introduce may not achieve market acceptance. If we are unable to introduce new products that address the needs of our customers or that achieve market acceptance, there may be a material adverse impact on our revenue and on our financial results.

Because many of our solutions are sophisticated, we must invest greater resources in sales and installation processes with greater risk of loss if we are not successful.

In many cases, it is necessary for us to educate our potential customers about the benefits and value of our solutions because many of our solutions are not simple, mass-market items with which customers are already familiar. In addition, many of our solutions are sophisticated and may not be readily usable by customers without our assistance in training, system integration, and configuration. The greater need to work with and educate customers as part of the sales process and, after completion of a sale, during the installation process for many of our products, increases the time and difficulty of completing transactions, makes it more difficult to efficiently deploy limited resources, and creates risk that we will have invested in an opportunity that ultimately does not come to fruition. If we are unable to demonstrate the benefits and value of our solutions to customers and efficiently convert our sales leads into successful sales and installations, our results may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Many of our sales are made by competitive bid, which often requires us to expend significant resources, which we may not recoup.

Many of our sales, particularly in larger installations, are made by competitive bid. Successfully competing in competitive bidding situations subjects us to risks associated with the frequent need to bid on programs in advance of the completion of their design, which may result in unforeseen technological difficulties and cost overruns, as well as making substantial investments of time and money in research and development and marketing activities for contracts that may not be awarded to us. If we do not ultimately win a bid, we may obtain little or no benefit from these expenditures and may not be able to recoup these costs on future projects.

Even where we are not involved in a competitive bidding process, due to the intense competition in our markets and increasing customer demand for shorter delivery periods, we must in some cases begin the implementation of a project before the corresponding order has been finalized, increasing the risk that we will have to write off expenses associated with potential orders that do not come to fruition.

The nature of our business and our varying business models may impact and make it difficult for us to predict our operating results.

It is difficult for us to forecast the timing of revenue from product sales because customers often need a significant amount of time to evaluate our products before a purchase, and sales are dependent on budgetary and, in the case of government customers, other bureaucratic processes. The period between initial customer contact and a purchase by a customer may vary from as little as a few weeks to more than a year. During the evaluation period, customers may defer or scale down proposed orders for various reasons, including:

- changes in budgets and purchasing priorities;
- reductions in need to upgrade existing systems;
- deferrals in anticipation of enhanced or new products;
- introduction of new products by our competitors; or
- lower prices offered by our competitors.

In addition, we have historically derived a significant portion of our revenue from contracts for large system installations with major customers and we continue to emphasize sales to larger customers in our product development and marketing strategies. Contracts for large installations typically involve a lengthy and complex bidding and selection process, and our ability to obtain particular contracts is inherently difficult to predict. The timing and scope of these opportunities are difficult to forecast, and the pricing and margins may vary substantially from transaction to transaction. As a result, our future operating results may be volatile and vary significantly from period to period.

Table of Contents

While we have no single customer that is material to our total revenue, we do have many significant customers in each of our segments, notably in our Video Intelligence segment and our Communications Intelligence segment, and periodically receive multi-million dollar orders. The deferral or loss of one or more significant orders or customers or a delay in an expected implementation of such an order could materially adversely affect our segment operating results.

In recent years, an increasing percentage of our revenue has come from software sales as compared to hardware sales. This trend has only been amplified with the addition of the Witness business. As with other software-focused companies, this has meant that more of our quarterly business has come in the last few weeks of each quarter. In addition, customers have increasingly been placing orders close to, or even on, the requested delivery date. The trend of shorter periods between order date and delivery date, along with this trend of business moving to the end of the quarter, has further complicated the process of accurately predicting revenue or making sales forecasts on a quarterly basis.

Under applicable accounting standards and guidance, revenue for some of our software and hardware transactions is recognized at the time of delivery, while revenue from other software and hardware transactions is required to be deferred over a period of years. To a large extent, this depends on the terms we offer to customers and resellers, including terms relating to pricing, future deliverables, and post-contract customer support (PCS). As a result, it is difficult for us to accurately predict at the outset of a given period how much of our future revenue will be recognized within that period and how much will be required to be deferred over a longer period. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Item 7 for additional information.

We base our current and future expense levels on our internal operating plans and sales forecasts, and our operating costs are, to a large extent, fixed. As a result, we may not be able to sufficiently reduce our operating costs in any period to compensate for an unexpected near-term shortfall in revenue.

If we are unable to maintain our relationships with resellers, systems integrators, and other third parties that market and sell our products, our business, financial condition, results of operations, and ability to grow could be materially adversely impacted.

Approximately half of our revenue is generated by sales made through partners, distributors, resellers, and systems integrators. If our relationship in any of these sales channels deteriorates or terminates, we may lose important sales and marketing opportunities. In pursuing new partnerships and strategic alliances, we must often compete for the opportunity with similar solution providers. In order to effectively compete for such opportunities, we must introduce products tailored not only to meet specific partner needs, but also to evolving customer and prospective customer needs, and include innovative features and functionality easy for partners to sell and install. Even if we are able to win such opportunities on terms we find acceptable, there is no assurance that we will be able to realize the benefits we anticipate. Our competitors often seek to establish exclusive relationships with these sales channels or, at a minimum, to become a preferred partner for these sales channels. Some of our sales channel partners also partner with our competitors and may even offer our products and those of our competitors as alternatives when presenting bids to end customers. Our ability to achieve revenue growth depends to a significant extent on maintaining and adding to these sales channels and if we are unable to do so, our revenue could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Certain provisions in agreements that we have entered into may expose us to liability that is not limited in amount by the terms of the contract.

Certain contract provisions, principally confidentiality and indemnification obligations in certain of our license agreements, could expose us to risks of loss that, in some cases, are not limited to a specified maximum amount. Even where we are able to negotiate limitation of liability provisions, these provisions may not always be enforced depending on the facts and circumstances of the case at hand. If we or our products fail to perform to the standards required by our contracts, we could be subject to uncapped liability for which we may or may not have adequate insurance and our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Our products may contain undetected defects which could impair their market acceptance and may result in customer claims for substantial damages if our products fail to perform properly.

Our products are complex and involve sophisticated technology that performs critical functions to highly demanding standards. Our existing and future products may develop operational problems. In addition, new products or new versions of existing products may contain undetected defects or errors. If we do not discover such defects, errors, or other operational problems until after a product has been released and used by the customer or partner, we may incur significant costs to correct such defects, errors, or other operational problems, including product liability claims or other contract liabilities to customers or partners. In addition, defects or errors in our products may result in claims for substantial damages and questions regarding the integrity of the products, which could cause adverse publicity and impair their market acceptance.

If the regulatory environment does not evolve as expected or does not favor our products, our results may suffer.

The regulatory environment relating to our solutions is still evolving and, in the security market in particular, has been driven to a significant extent by legislative and regulatory actions, such as CALEA in the United States and standards established by ETSI in Europe, as well as initiatives to strengthen security for critical infrastructure, such as airports. These actions and initiatives are evolving and are at all times subject to change based on factors beyond our control, such as political climate, budgets, and even current events. While we attempt to anticipate these actions and initiatives through our product offerings and refinements thereto, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in these efforts, that our competitors will not do so more successfully than us, or that changes in these actions or initiatives or the underlying factors which affect them will not occur which will reduce or eliminate this demand. If any of the foregoing should occur, or if our markets do not grow as anticipated for any other reason, our results may suffer. In addition, changes to these actions or initiatives, including changes to technical requirements, may require us to modify or redesign our products in order to maintain compliance, which may subject us to significant additional expense.

Table of Contents

Conversely, as the telecommunications industry continues to evolve, state, federal, and foreign governments (including supranational government organizations such as the European Union) and industry associations may increasingly regulate the monitoring of telecommunications and telephone or internet monitoring and recording products such as ours. We believe that increases in regulation could come in a number of forms, including increased regulations regarding privacy or protection of personal information such as social security numbers, credit card information, and employment records. The adoption of these types of regulations or changes to existing regulations could cause a decline in the use of our solutions or could result in increased expense for us if we must modify our solutions to comply with these regulations. Moreover, these types of regulations could subject our customers or us to liability. Whether or not these kinds of regulations are adopted, if we do not adequately address the privacy concerns of consumers, companies may be hesitant to use our solutions. If any of these events occur, our business could be materially adversely affected.

For certain products and components, we rely on a limited number of suppliers and manufacturers and if these relationships are interrupted we may not be able to obtain substitute suppliers or manufacturers on favorable terms or at all.

Although we generally use standard parts and components in our products, we do rely on non-affiliated suppliers for certain non-standard components which may be critical to our products, including both hardware and software, and on manufacturers of assemblies that are incorporated into our products. While we endeavor to use larger, more established suppliers and manufacturers wherever possible, in some cases, these providers may be smaller, more early-stage companies, particularly with respect to suppliers of new technologies we may incorporate into our products that we have not developed internally. Although we do have agreements in place with most of these providers, which include appropriate protections such as source code escrows where needed, these agreements are generally not long-term and these contractual protections offer limited practical benefits to us in the event our relationship with a key provider is interrupted. If these suppliers or manufacturers experience financial, operational, manufacturing capacity, or quality assurance difficulties, or cease production and sale of the products we buy from them entirely, or there is any other disruption in our relationships with these suppliers or manufacturers, we will be required to locate alternative sources of supply or manufacturing, to internally develop the applicable technologies, to redesign our products to accommodate an alternative technology, or to remove certain features from our products. This could increase the costs of, and create delays in, delivering our products or reduce the functionality of our products, which could adversely affect our business and financial results.

Table of Contents

If we cannot recruit or retain qualified personnel, our ability to operate and grow our business may be limited.

We depend on the continued services of our executive officers and other key personnel. In addition, in order to continue to grow effectively, we need to attract (and retain) new employees, including managers, finance personnel, sales and marketing personnel, and technical personnel, who understand and have experience with our products, services, and industry. The market for such personnel is intensely competitive in most, if not all, of the geographies in which we operate, and on occasion we have had to relocate personnel to fill positions in locations where we could not attract qualified experienced personnel. Further, for as long as we remain ineligible to use a Form S-8 registration statement and our common stock remains de-listed, we are likely to continue to experience a certain amount of difficulty attracting and retaining highly qualified personnel, particularly at more senior levels, due to concerns about our status and our ability to use our common stock to retain and motivate employees will also continue to be a challenge and subject to certain restrictions. If we are unable to attract and retain qualified employees, on reasonable economic and other terms or at all, our ability to grow could be impaired, our ability to timely report our financial results could be adversely affected, and our operations and financial results could be materially adversely affected.

Because we have significant foreign operations, we are subject to geopolitical and other risks that could materially adversely affect our business.

We have significant operations in foreign countries, including sales, research and development, customer support, and administrative services. The countries in which we have our most significant foreign operations include Israel, the United Kingdom, Canada, India, Hong Kong, and Germany, and we intend to continue to expand our operations internationally. We believe our business may suffer if we are unable to successfully expand into new regions, as well as maintain and expand existing foreign operations. Our foreign operations are, and any future foreign expansion will be, subject to a variety of risks, many of which are beyond our control, including risks associated with:

- foreign currency fluctuations;
- political, security, and economic instability in foreign countries;
- changes in and compliance with local laws and regulations, including export control laws, tax laws, labor laws, employee benefits, customs requirements, currency restrictions, and other requirements;
- differences in tax regimes and potentially adverse tax consequences of operating in foreign countries;
- customizing products for foreign countries;
- legal uncertainties regarding liability and intellectual property rights;

Table of Contents

hiring and retaining qualified foreign employees; and
difficulty in accounts receivable collection and longer collection periods.

Any or all of these factors could materially affect our business or results of operations.

In addition, the tax authorities in the jurisdictions in which we operate, including the United States, may from time to time review the pricing arrangements between us and our foreign subsidiaries. An adverse determination by one or more tax authorities in this regard may have a material adverse effect on our financial results. Restrictive laws, policies, or practices in certain countries directed toward Israel or companies having operations in Israel may also limit our ability to sell some of our products in those countries.

Conditions in Israel may materially adversely affect our operations and personnel and may limit our ability to produce and sell our products.

We have significant operations in Israel, including research and development, manufacturing, sales, and support. Since the establishment of the State of Israel in 1948, a number of armed conflicts have taken place between Israel and its Arab neighbors, which in the past have led, and may in the future lead, to security and economic problems for Israel. In addition, Israel has faced and continues to face difficult relations with the Palestinians and the risk of terrorist violence from both Palestinian as well as foreign elements such as Hezbollah. Infighting among the Palestinians may also create security and economic risks to Israel. Current and future conflicts and political, economic, and/or military conditions in Israel and the Middle East region have affected and may in the future affect our operations in Israel. The exacerbation of violence within Israel or the outbreak of violent conflicts between Israel and its neighbors, including Iran, may impede our ability to manufacture, sell, and support our products, engage in research and development, or otherwise adversely affect our business or operations. In addition, many of our employees in Israel are required to perform annual compulsory military service and are subject to being called to active duty at any time under emergency circumstances. The absence of these employees may have an adverse effect on our operations. Hostilities involving Israel may also result in the interruption or curtailment of trade between Israel and its trading partners or a significant downturn in the economic or financial condition of Israel and could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Regulatory and Government Contracting

We are dependent on contracts with governments around the world for a significant portion of our revenue. These contracts expose us to additional business risks and compliance obligations.

A significant portion of our business is generated from sales under government contracts around the world. We expect that government contracts will continue to be a significant source of our revenue for the foreseeable future. We must comply with domestic and foreign laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration, and performance of government contracts. These laws and regulations affect how we do business with government agencies in various countries and may impose added costs on our business. Our business generated from government contracts may be materially adversely affected if:

our reputation or relationship with government agencies is impaired;

Table of Contents

we are suspended or otherwise prohibited from contracting with a domestic or foreign government or any significant law enforcement agency;
levels of government expenditures and authorizations for law enforcement and security related programs decrease or shift to programs in areas where we do not provide products and services;
we are prevented from entering into new government contracts or extending existing government contracts based on violations or suspected violations of laws or regulations, including those related to procurement;
we are not granted security clearances that are required to sell our products to domestic or foreign governments or such security clearances are deactivated;
there is a change in government procurement procedures; or
there is a change in political climate that adversely affects our existing or prospective relationships.

As a result of the consent judgment we entered into with the SEC relating to our reserves accounting practices, we and our subsidiaries are required, for three years from the date of the settlement, to disclose that this civil judgment was rendered against us in any proposals to perform new government work for U.S. federal agencies. In addition, we and our subsidiaries must amend our representations in existing grants and contracts with U.S. federal agencies to reflect the civil judgment. While this certification does not bar us from receiving government grants or contracts from U.S. federal agencies, each government procurement official has the discretion to determine whether it considers us and our subsidiaries responsible companies for purposes of each transaction. The government procurement officials may also seek advice from government agency debarment officials to determine if we and our subsidiaries should be considered for suspension or debarment from receiving government contracts or grants from U.S. federal agencies.

In addition, our government contracts may contain, or under applicable law may be deemed to contain, provisions not typically found in private commercial contracts, including provisions enabling the government party to:

terminate or cancel existing contracts for convenience;
in the case of the U.S. federal government, suspend us from doing business with a foreign government or prevent us from selling our products in certain countries;

Table of Contents

audit and object to our contract-related costs and expenses, including allocated indirect costs; and unilaterally change contract terms and conditions, including warranty provisions, schedule, quantities, and scope of work, in advance of our agreement on corresponding pricing adjustments.

The effect of these provisions may significantly increase our cost to perform the contract or defer our ability to recognize revenue from such contracts. In some cases, this may mean that we must begin recording expenses on a contract in advance of being able to recognize the corresponding revenue. If a government customer terminates a contract with us for convenience, we may not recover our incurred or committed costs, receive any settlement of expenses, or earn a profit on work completed prior to the termination. If a government customer terminates a contract for default, we may not recover these amounts, and, in addition, we may be liable for any costs incurred by the government customer in procuring undelivered items and services from another source. Further, an agency within a government may share information regarding our termination with other agencies. As a result, our ongoing or prospective relationships with other government agencies could be impaired.

We may not be able to receive or retain the necessary licenses or authorizations required for us to export some of our products that we develop or manufacture in specific countries.

We are required to obtain export licenses or qualify for other authorizations from the United States, Israel, and other governments to export some of the products that we develop or manufacture in these countries and, in any event, are required to comply with applicable export control laws of each country generally. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in obtaining or maintaining the licenses and other authorizations required to export our products from applicable government authorities. In addition, export laws and regulations are revised from time to time and can be extremely complex in their application; if we are found not to have complied with applicable export control laws, we may be fined or penalized by, among other things, having our ability to obtain export licenses curtailed or eliminated, possibly for an extended period of time. Our failure to receive or maintain any required export licenses or authorizations or our penalization for failure to comply with applicable export control laws would hinder our ability to sell our products and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

U.S. and foreign governments could refuse to buy our Communications Intelligence solutions or could deactivate our security clearances in their countries thereby restricting or eliminating our ability to sell these solutions in those countries and perhaps other countries influenced by such a decision.

Some of our subsidiaries maintain security clearances in the United States and other countries in connection with the development, marketing, sale, and support of our Communications Intelligence solutions. These clearances are reviewed from time to time by the applicable government agencies in these countries and, following these reviews, our security clearances are either maintained or deactivated. Our security clearances can be deactivated for many reasons, including that the clearing agencies in some countries may object to the fact that we do business in certain other countries or the fact that our local subsidiary is affiliated with or controlled by an entity based in another country. In the event that our security clearances are deactivated in any particular country, we would lose the ability to sell our Communications Intelligence solutions in that country for projects that require security clearances.

Additionally, any inability to obtain or maintain security clearances in a particular country may affect our ability to sell our Communications Intelligence solutions in that country generally (even for non-secure projects). We have in the past, and may in the future, have our security clearances deactivated. Any inability to obtain or maintain clearances can materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Whether or not we are able to maintain our security clearances, law enforcement and intelligence agencies in certain countries may decline to purchase Communications Intelligence solutions if they were not developed or manufactured in that country. As a result, because our Communications Intelligence solutions are developed or manufactured in whole or in part in Israel or in Germany, there may be certain countries where some or all of the law enforcement and intelligence agencies are unwilling to purchase our Communications Intelligence solutions. If we are unable to sell our Communications Intelligence solutions in certain countries for this reason, our results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

The mishandling or even the perception of mishandling of sensitive information could harm our business.

Our products are in some cases used by customers to compile and analyze highly sensitive or confidential information and data, including in some cases, information or data used in intelligence gathering or law enforcement activities. While our customers' use of our products in no way affords us access to this information or data, we may come into contact with such information or data when we perform services or support functions for our customers. We have implemented policies and procedures to help ensure the proper handling of such information and data, including background screening of services personnel, non-disclosure agreements, access rules, and controls on our information technology systems. However, these measures are designed to mitigate the risks associated with handling sensitive data and cannot safeguard against all risks at all times. The improper handling of sensitive data, or even the perception of such mishandling or other security lapses or risks, whether or not valid, could reduce demand for our products or otherwise expose us to financial or reputational harm.

Intellectual Property

Our intellectual property may not be adequately protected.

While much of our intellectual property is protected by patents or patent applications, we have not and cannot protect all of our intellectual property with patents or other registrations. There can be no assurance that patents we have applied for will be issued on the basis of our patent applications or that, if such patents are issued, they will be sufficiently broad enough to protect our technologies, products, or services. There can be no assurance that we will file new patent, trademark, or copyright applications, that any future applications will be approved, that any existing or future patents, trademarks or copyrights will adequately protect our intellectual property or that any existing or future patents, trademarks, or copyrights will not be challenged by third parties. Our intellectual property rights may not be successfully asserted in the future or may be invalidated, designed around, or challenged.

Table of Contents

In order to safeguard our unpatented proprietary know-how, source code, trade secrets, and technology, we rely primarily upon trade secret protection and non-disclosure provisions in agreements with employees and other third parties having access to our confidential information. There can be no assurance that these measures will adequately protect us from improper disclosure or misappropriation of our proprietary information.

Preventing unauthorized use or infringement of our intellectual property rights is difficult. The laws of certain countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions we may be unable to protect our intellectual property adequately against unauthorized third-party use or infringement, which could adversely affect our competitive position.

Our products may infringe or may be alleged to infringe on the intellectual property rights of others, which could lead to costly disputes or disruptions for us and may require us to indemnify our customers and resellers for any damages they suffer.

The technology industry is characterized by frequent allegations of intellectual property infringement. In the past, third parties have asserted that certain of our products infringed upon their intellectual property rights and similar claims may be made in the future. Any allegation of infringement against us could be time consuming and expensive to defend or resolve, result in substantial diversion of management resources, cause product shipment delays, or force us to enter into royalty or license agreements. If patent holders or other holders of intellectual property initiate legal proceedings against us, we may be forced into protracted and costly litigation, regardless of the merits of these claims. We may not be successful in defending such litigation, in part due to the complex technical issues and inherent uncertainties in intellectual property litigation, and may not be able to procure any required royalty or license agreements on terms acceptable to us, or at all. Third parties may also assert infringement claims against our customers. Subject to certain limitations, we generally indemnify our customers and resellers with respect to infringement by our products of the proprietary rights of third parties. These claims may require us to initiate or defend protracted and costly litigation, regardless of the merits of these claims. If any of these claims succeed, we may be forced to pay damages, be required to obtain licenses for the products our customers or partners use, or incur significant expenses in developing non-infringing alternatives. If we cannot obtain all necessary licenses on commercially reasonable terms, our customers may be forced to stop using or, in the case of resellers and other partners, stop selling our products.

Table of Contents

Reliance on or loss of third-party licensing agreements could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

While most of our products are developed internally, we also purchase technology, license intellectual property rights, and oversee third-party development and localization of certain products or components. If we lose or are unable to maintain licenses or distribution rights, we could incur additional costs or experience unexpected delays until an alternative solution can be internally developed or licensed from another third party and integrated into our products or we may be forced to redesign our products or remove certain features from our products. See For certain products and components, we rely on a limited number of suppliers and manufacturers and if these relationships are interrupted we may not be able to obtain substitute suppliers or manufacturers on favorable terms or at all above for additional information. Additionally, when purchasing or licensing products and services from third parties, we endeavor to negotiate appropriate warranties, indemnities, and other protections. We cannot assure you, however, that all such third-party contracts contain adequate protections or that all such third parties will be able to provide the protections we have negotiated. To the extent we are not able to negotiate adequate protections from these third parties or these third parties are unwilling or unable to provide the protections we have negotiated, our business, financial condition, and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Use of free or open source software could expose our products to unintended restrictions and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Some of our products contain free or open source (collectively, open source) software and we anticipate making use of open source software in the future. Open source software is generally covered by license agreements that permit the user to use, copy, modify, and distribute the software without cost, provided that the users and modifiers abide by certain licensing requirements. The original developers of the open source software generally provide no warranties on such software or provide protections in the event the open source software infringes a third party s intellectual property rights. Although we endeavor to monitor the use of open source software in our product development, we cannot assure you that past, present, or future products will not contain open source software elements that impose unfavorable licensing restrictions or other requirements on our products. In addition, the terms of many open source software licenses have not yet been interpreted by U.S. or foreign courts and as a result there is a risk that such licenses could be construed in a manner that imposes unanticipated conditions or restrictions on products that use such software. The introduction of certain kinds of open source software into our products or a court decision construing an open source software license in an unexpected way could require us to seek licenses from third parties in order to continue offering affected products, to re-engineer affected products, to discontinue sales of affected products, or to release all or portions of the source code of affected products under the terms of the applicable open source software licenses. Any of these developments could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Capital Structure and Finances

We have incurred significant indebtedness as a result of the acquisition of Witness, which makes us highly leveraged, subjects us to restrictive covenants, and could adversely affect our operations.

Risks associated with being highly leveraged.

At February 28, 2010, we had outstanding indebtedness of approximately \$620 million. As a result of our significant indebtedness, we are highly leveraged. Our leverage position may, among other things:

- limit our ability to obtain additional debt financing in the future for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, or other general corporate purposes;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to debt service, reducing the availability of our cash flow for other purposes;
- require us to repatriate cash for debt service from our foreign subsidiaries resulting in dividend tax costs or require us to adopt other disadvantageous tax structures to accommodate debt service payments; or
- increase our vulnerability to economic downturns, limit our ability to capitalize on significant business opportunities, and restrict our flexibility to react to changes in market or industry conditions.

In addition, because our indebtedness bears interest at a variable rate, we are exposed to risk from fluctuations in interest rates. While we have hedged a portion of this exposure under our term loan, this interest rate swap does not cover all of our term loan indebtedness, it expires prior to the maturity date of our term loan, and it subjects us to above-market interest rates at any time that prevailing rates drop below the rate fixed by the swap.

On January 29, 2010, S&P announced that our credit rating had been placed on CreditWatch Developing, and there can be no assurance that S&P will not downgrade our credit rating which could impede our ability to refinance existing debt or secure new debt or otherwise increase our future cost of borrowing and could create additional concerns on the part of customers, partners, investors, and employees about our financial condition and extended filing delay status.

Risks associated with our leverage ratio and financial statement delivery covenants.

Our credit agreement contains a financial covenant that requires us to maintain a minimum consolidated leverage ratio and a covenant requiring us to deliver audited financial statements to the lenders each year, as provided below. See

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources under Item 7 for additional information.

Table of Contents

Our ability to comply with the leverage ratio covenant is highly dependent upon our ability to continue to grow earnings from quarter to quarter, which requires us to increase revenue while limiting increases in expenses or, if we are unable to increase or maintain revenue, to reduce expenses. Our ability to satisfy our debt obligations and our leverage ratio covenant will depend upon our future operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, and other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Alternatively, we may seek to maintain compliance with the leverage ratio covenant by reducing our outstanding debt by raising additional funds through a number of means, including, but not limited to, securities offerings or asset sales. There can be no assurance that we will be able to grow our earnings, reduce our expenses, and/or raise funds to reduce our outstanding debt to the extent necessary to maintain compliance with this covenant. In addition, any expense reductions undertaken to maintain compliance may impair our ability to compete by, among other things, limiting research and development or hiring of key personnel. The complexity of our revenue accounting and the continued shift of our business to the end of the quarter (discussed in greater detail above) has also increased the difficulty in accurately forecasting quarterly revenue and therefore in predicting whether we will be in compliance with the leverage ratio requirements at the end of each quarter.

Because our revenue recognition review resulted in changes in the way we recognize revenue from the way we did at the time the credit agreement was put in place, it may be more difficult for us to maintain compliance with our leverage ratio covenant on a prospective basis than we expected at the time we entered into the credit agreement since the leverage ratio covenant is based on our earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (EBITDA), which is affected by revenue.

The credit agreement also includes a requirement that we submit audited consolidated financial statements to the lenders within 90 days of the end of each fiscal year. If audited consolidated financial statements are not so delivered, and such failure of delivery is not remedied within 30 days thereafter, an event of default occurs.

If an event of default occurs under the credit agreement, our lenders could declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable. In that event, we may be forced to sell assets, raise additional capital through a securities offering, or seek to refinance or restructure our debt. In such a case, there can be no assurance that we will be able to consummate such a sale or securities offering or refinance or restructure our debt on reasonable terms or at all.

Limitations resulting from the restrictive covenants in the credit agreement.

Our credit agreement also includes a number of restrictive covenants which limit our ability to, among other things:

- incur additional indebtedness or liens or issue preferred stock;
- pay dividends or make other distributions or repurchase or redeem our stock or subordinated indebtedness;

Table of Contents

engage in transactions with affiliates;
engage in sale-leaseback transactions;
sell certain assets;
change our lines of business;
make investments, loans, or advances; and
engage in consolidations, mergers, liquidations, or dissolutions.

These covenants could limit our ability to plan for or react to market conditions, to meet our capital needs, or to otherwise engage in transactions that might be considered beneficial to us.

The rights of the holders of shares of our common stock are subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of holders of the preferred stock that we issued to Comverse in connection with the Witness acquisition.

In connection with the Witness acquisition, we issued 293,000 shares of preferred stock to Comverse at an aggregate purchase price of \$293.0 million. The issuance of shares of common stock upon conversion of the preferred stock (after the conversion feature of the preferred stock has been approved by our stockholders) will result in substantial dilution to the other common stockholders. In addition, the terms of the preferred stock include liquidation, dividend, and other rights that are senior to and more favorable than the rights of the holders of our common stock.

Our business could be materially adversely affected as a result of the risks associated with acquisitions and investments.

As part of our growth strategy, we have made a number of acquisitions and investments and expect to continue to make acquisitions and investments in the future. However, so long as we remain delayed with our SEC filings and our common stock remains de-listed, our ability to use our common stock to raise capital for acquisitions will continue to be severely restricted.

Future acquisitions or investments, if any, could result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities, the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, and amortization expenses related to intangible assets, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. In addition, investments in immature businesses with unproven track records and technologies have a high degree of risk, with the possibility that we may lose the value of our entire investments and potentially incur additional unexpected liabilities.

Table of Contents

The process of integrating an acquired company's business into our operations and investing in new technologies may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures, which may require a significant amount of our management's attention that would otherwise be focused on the ongoing operation of our business. Other risks we may encounter with acquisitions include the effect of the acquisition on our financial and strategic positions and our reputation, the inability to obtain the anticipated benefits of the acquisition, including synergies or economies of scale, on a timely basis or at all, or unexpected challenges in reconciling business practices, particularly in foreign geographies. Due to rapidly changing market conditions, we may also find the value of our acquired technologies and related intangible assets, such as goodwill, as recorded in our financial statements, to be impaired, resulting in charges to operations. The magnitude of these risks is greater in the case of large acquisitions, such as our 2007 acquisition of Witness. See Note 4, "Business Combinations" to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in making additional acquisitions or that we will be able to effectively integrate any acquisitions we do make or realize the expected benefits for our business.

If our goodwill or other intangible assets become impaired, our financial condition and results of operations would be negatively affected.

Because we have historically acquired a significant number of companies, goodwill and other intangible assets have represented a substantial portion of our assets. As of January 31, 2010, goodwill and other intangible assets totaled approximately \$898.5 million, or approximately 64% of our total assets. At a minimum, we assess annually whether there has been impairment in the carrying amount of our goodwill and we assess on an as-needed basis whether there have been impairments in our other intangible assets. We make assumptions and estimates in this assessment which are complex and often subjective. These assumptions and estimates can be affected by a variety of factors, including external factors such as industry and economic trends, and internal factors such as changes in our business strategy or our internal forecasts. We did not record any non-cash impairment charges for the year ended January 31, 2010, but we did record non-cash impairment charges for the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, totaling \$26.0 million and \$23.4 million, respectively. These non-cash impairment charges related to acquisitions made in our Video Intelligence segment (related to the MultiVision Intelligence Surveillance Limited ("MultiVision") acquisition) and in our Workforce Optimization performance management consulting business (related to the Opus Group, LLC acquisition, the CM Insight Limited ("CM Insight") acquisition, and a portion of the Witness acquisition). To the extent that the factors described above change, we could be required to record additional non-cash impairment charges in the future. Any significant impairment charges would negatively affect our financial condition and results of operations. See Note 5, "Intangible Assets and Goodwill" to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15 for more information.

Our international operations subject us to currency exchange risk.

Most of our revenue is denominated in U.S. Dollars, while a significant portion of our operating expenses, primarily labor expenses, is denominated in the local currencies where our foreign operations are located, principally Israel, Germany, the United Kingdom, and Canada. As a result, we are exposed to the risk that fluctuations in the value of these currencies relative to the U.S. Dollar could increase the U.S. Dollar cost of our operations in these countries and which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. In addition, since a portion of our sales are made in foreign currencies, primarily the British Pound and the Euro, fluctuations in the value of these currencies relative to the U.S. Dollar could impact our revenue (on a U.S. Dollar basis) and materially adversely affect our results of operations.

Table of Contents

Our ability to realize value from and use our NOLs will impact our results and tax liability.

We have significant deferred tax assets as a result of prior net operating losses. These deferred tax assets can provide us with significant future tax savings if we are able to use them. However, the extent to which we will be able to use these tax benefits may be impacted, restricted, or eliminated by a number of factors including whether we generate sufficient future net income, a future ownership change, adjustments to Comverse's tax liability for periods prior to our IPO, or changes in tax rates, laws, or regulations that could have retroactive effect. To the extent that we are unable to utilize our NOLs, our results of operations, liquidity, and financial condition could be adversely affected in a significant manner. When we cease to have NOLs available to us in a particular tax jurisdiction, either through their expiration, disallowance, or utilization, our effective tax rate will increase in that jurisdiction, thereby impacting our overall effective tax rate. Our effective tax rate in any given year is also dependent on the relative mix of jurisdictions (and corresponding local tax rates) in which we operate.

Research and development and tax benefits we receive in Israel may be reduced or eliminated in the future and our receipt of these benefits subjects us to certain restrictions.

We receive grants from the OCS for the financing of a portion of our research and development expenditures in Israel. The availability in any given year of these OCS grants depends on OCS approval of the projects and related budgets we submit to the OCS each year. In addition, in recent years, the Government of Israel has reduced the benefits available under these programs and these programs may be discontinued or curtailed in the future. The continued reduction in these benefits or the termination of our eligibility to receive these benefits may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The Israeli law under which these OCS grants are made also limits our ability to manufacture products, or transfer technologies, developed using these grants outside of Israel. This may limit our ability to engage in certain outsourcing or business combination transactions involving these products. We may seek permission from the OCS to manufacture these products or transfer these technologies out of Israel, but we cannot assure you that any such request would be approved, and even if approved, we may be required to pay significant royalties or fees to the OCS. If we fail to comply with these restrictions, we may be required to repay the grants we received from the OCS and could also become subject to monetary or criminal penalties.

Our facility in Israel has been granted approved enterprise status and we are therefore eligible for tax benefits under the Israeli Law for Encouragement of Capital Investments. The Government of Israel may reduce or eliminate the tax benefits available to approved enterprise programs such as the programs provided to us. There can be no assurance that these tax benefits will continue in the future at their current levels or at all. If these tax benefits are reduced or eliminated, the amount of tax that we pay in Israel will increase. In addition, if we fail to comply with any of the conditions and requirements of the investment programs, the tax benefits we have received may be rescinded and we may be required to disgorge the amount of the tax benefit received, together with interest and penalties.

Table of Contents

Item 1b. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The following describes our leased and owned properties as of the date of this report.

Leased Properties

We lease a total of approximately 260,900 square feet of office space in the United States. Our corporate headquarters is located in a leased facility in Melville, New York, and consists of approximately 45,800 square feet under a lease that expires in May 2013. The facility is used primarily by our administrative, sales, marketing, customer support, and services groups. We lease approximately 91,600 square feet at a facility in Roswell, Georgia under a lease that expires in November 2012. The Roswell, Georgia facility is used primarily by the administrative, marketing, product development, support, and sales groups for our Workforce Optimization operations.

We occupy additional leased facilities in the United States, including offices located in Columbia, Maryland and Denver, Colorado which are primarily used for product development, sales, training, and support for our Video Intelligence operations; an office in Chantilly, Virginia used primarily for supporting our Communications Intelligence operations; and offices in Santa Clara, California; Lyndhurst, New Jersey; San Diego, California; and Norwell, Massachusetts which are primarily used for product development, sales, training, and support for our Workforce Optimization operations.

Outside of the United States, we occupy approximately 176,000 square feet at a facility in Herzliya, Israel under a lease that expires in October 2015. The Herzliya, Israel facility is used primarily for manufacturing, storage, development, sales, marketing, and support related to our Communications Intelligence operations. We also occupy approximately 34,500 square feet at a leased facility in Laval, Quebec, which is used primarily for our manufacturing, product development, support, and sales for our Video Intelligence operations. The lease in Laval, Quebec expires in June 2011. We occupy approximately 21,000 square feet at a facility in Leatherhead, the United Kingdom under a lease which expires in March 2014. The Leatherhead facility is used primarily for administrative, marketing, product development, support, and sales groups for our Workforce Optimization and Video Intelligence operations.

Additionally, we occupy leased facilities outside of the United States in Weybridge, the United Kingdom; Sao Paulo, Brazil; Mexico City, Mexico; Hong Kong, China; Tokyo, Japan; Sydney, Australia; Taguig, Philippines; Singapore (through our joint venture); and Gurgaon and Bangalore, India which are used primarily by our administrative, product development, sales, and support functions for our Workforce Optimization, Communications Intelligence, and Video Intelligence operations.

Table of Contents

In addition to the leases noted above, we also lease executive office space throughout the world for our local sales, support, and services needs. For additional information regarding our lease obligations, see Note 16, Commitments and Contingencies to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

Owned Properties

We own approximately 12.3 acres of land, including 40,000 square feet of office space in Durango, Colorado, which we have historically used to support our Video Intelligence operations. We owned an additional 12.7 acres of adjacent land which we sold on October 10, 2006 to a third party. Additionally, on October 10, 2006, we entered into a 10-year lease with the same third party for 6.5 acres of the 12.3 acres we own, all of which was undeveloped and not being used by us. The remaining 5.8 acres, including the office space, are subject to a mortgage under the term loan and credit agreement entered into by us in connection with the acquisition of Witness.

We also own approximately 35,000 square feet of office and storage space for sales, manufacturing, support, and development for our Communications Intelligence operations in Bexbach, Germany.

We believe our leased and owned facilities are in good operating condition and are adequate for our current requirements, though growth in our business may require us to acquire additional facilities or modify existing facilities. We believe that alternative locations are available in all areas where we currently do business.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Comverse Investigation-Related Matters

On December 17, 2009, Comverse entered into agreements to settle the following lawsuits previously disclosed by Comverse relating to the matters involved in the Comverse special committee investigation which had been brought against Comverse and certain former officers and directors of Comverse: (a) a consolidated shareholder class action before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation*; (b) a shareholder derivative action before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litigation*; and (c) a shareholder derivative action before the New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department, *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litigation*.

On April 2, 2010, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York issued orders in the shareholder class action and derivative action granting preliminary approval of the settlement agreements in those actions. The court has scheduled a settlement hearing to be held on June 21, 2010 that will, among other things, consider orders and final judgments dismissing those actions with prejudice.

Table of Contents

Verint was not named as a defendant in any of these suits. Igal Nissim, our former Chief Financial Officer, was named as a defendant in the federal and state shareholder derivative actions in his capacity as the former Chief Financial Officer of Comverse, and Dan Bodner, our Chief Executive Officer, was named as a defendant in the federal and state shareholder derivative actions in his capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Verint (i.e., as the president of a significant subsidiary of Comverse). Mr. Nissim and Mr. Bodner were not named in the shareholder class action suit. The federal shareholder derivative suit alleged that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties beginning in 1994 by: (a) allowing and participating in a scheme to backdate the grant dates of employee stock options to improperly benefit Comverse's executives and certain directors; (b) allowing insiders, including certain of the defendants, to personally profit by trading Comverse's stock while in possession of material inside information; (c) failing to properly oversee or implement procedures to detect and prevent such improper practices; (d) causing Comverse to issue materially false and misleading proxy statements, as well as causing Comverse to file other false and misleading documents with the SEC; and (e) exposing Comverse to civil liability. The plaintiffs originally filed suit on April 20, 2006. The Consolidated, Amended, and Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint, filed on October 6, 2006, sought unspecified damages, injunctive relief, including restricting the proceeds of the defendants' trading activities and other assets, setting aside the election of the defendant directors to the Comverse board of directors, and costs and attorneys' fees. On December 21, 2007, motions to dismiss the federal shareholder derivative suit were fully briefed on behalf of Comverse as well as the individual defendants, including Mr. Nissim and Mr. Bodner. No decision had been rendered on these motions to dismiss as of the signing of the settlement agreements or as of the filing date of this report. The state shareholder derivative suit made similar allegations to the federal shareholder derivative suit. The plaintiffs first filed suit on April 11, 2006. The Consolidated and Amended Shareholder Derivative Complaint, which was filed on September 18, 2006, sought unspecified damages, injunctive relief, such as restricting the proceeds of the defendants' trading activities and other assets, and costs and attorneys' fees. The agreements in settlement of the above-mentioned actions are subject to notice to Comverse's shareholders and approval by the federal and state courts in which such proceedings are pending. Neither we nor Mr. Nissim or Mr. Bodner is responsible for making any payments or relinquishing any equity holdings under the terms of the settlement. Comverse was also the subject of an SEC investigation and resulting civil action regarding the improper backdating of stock options and other accounting practices, including the improper establishment, maintenance, and release of reserves, the reclassification of certain expenses, and the calculation of backlog of sales orders. On June 18, 2009, Comverse announced that it had reached a settlement with the SEC on these matters without admitting or denying the allegations of the SEC complaint.

Table of Contents**Verint Investigation-Related Matters**

On July 20, 2006, we announced that, in connection with the SEC investigation into Comverse's past stock option grants that was in process at that time, we had received a letter requesting that we voluntarily provide to the SEC certain documents and information related to our own stock option grants and practices. We voluntarily responded to this request. On April 9, 2008, as we previously reported, we received a Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC arising from the staff's investigation of our past stock option grant practices and certain unrelated accounting matters. These accounting matters were also the subject of our internal investigation. On March 3, 2010, the SEC filed a settled enforcement action against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York relating to certain of our accounting reserve practices. Without admitting or denying the allegations in the SEC's Complaint, we consented to the issuance of a Final Judgment permanently enjoining us from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A), and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. The settled SEC action did not require us to pay any monetary penalty and sought no relief beyond the entry of a permanent injunction. The SEC's related press release noted that, in accepting the settlement offer, the SEC considered our remediation and cooperation in the SEC's investigation. The settlement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York on March 9, 2010.

On December 23, 2009, as we previously reported, we received an additional Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC relating to our failure to timely file periodic reports under the Exchange Act. Under the SEC's Wells process, recipients of a Wells Notice have the opportunity to make a Wells Submission before the SEC staff makes a recommendation to the SEC regarding what action, if any, should be brought by the SEC. After considering our Wells Submission, on March 3, 2010, the SEC issued an OIP pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock because of our previous failure to file an annual report on either Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005. An Administrative Law Judge will consider the evidence in the Section 12(j) proceeding and has been directed in the OIP to issue an initial decision within 120 days of service of the OIP. On March 26, 2010, we filed our Answer to the OIP. On March 30, 2010, the Administrative Law Judge issued an amended procedural order scheduling the completion of briefing on the SEC's motion for summary disposition for June 1, 2010. We are currently evaluating all available procedural remedies, and intend to defend against the possible suspension or revocation of the registration of our common stock.

On March 26, 2009, a motion to approve a class action lawsuit (the Labor Motion) and the class action lawsuit itself (the Labor Class Action) (Labor Case No. 4186/09) were filed against our subsidiary, Verint Systems Limited (VSL), by a former employee of VSL, Orit Deutsch, in the Tel Aviv Labor Court. Ms. Deutsch purports to represent a class of our employees and ex-employees who were granted options to buy shares of Verint and to whom allegedly, damages were caused as a result of the blocking of the ability to exercise Verint options by our employees or ex-employees. The Labor Motion and the Labor Class Action both claim that we are responsible for the alleged damages due to our status as employer and that the blocking of Verint options from being exercised constitutes default of the employment agreements between the members of the class and VSL. The Labor Class Action seeks compensatory damages for the entire class in an unspecified amount. On July 9, 2009, we filed a motion for summary dismissal and alternatively for the stay of the Labor Motion. A preliminary session was held on July 12, 2009. Ms. Deutsch filed her response to our response on November 10, 2009. On February 8, 2010, the Tel Aviv Labor Court dismissed the case for lack of material jurisdiction and ruled that it will be transferred to the District Court in Tel Aviv.

Table of Contents

Witness Investigation-Related Matters

At the time of our May 25, 2007 acquisition of Witness, Witness was subject to a number of proceedings relating to a stock options backdating internal investigation undertaken and publicly disclosed by Witness prior to the acquisition. The following is a summary of those proceedings and developments since the date of the acquisition.

On August 29, 2006, A. Edward Miller filed a shareholder derivative lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, naming Witness as a nominal defendant and naming all of Witness directors and a number of its officers as defendants (*Miller v. Gould, et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:06-CV-2039 (N.D. Ga.)). The complaint alleged purported violations of federal and state law, and violations of certain anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws (including Sections 10(b) and 14(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 10b-5 and 14a-9 thereunder) in connection with certain stock option grants made by Witness. The complaint sought monetary damages in unspecified amounts, disgorgement of profits, an accounting, rescission of stock option grants, imposition of a constructive trust over the defendants' stock options and proceeds derived therefrom, punitive damages, reimbursement of attorneys' fees and other costs and expenses, an order directing Witness to adopt or put to a stockholder vote various proposals relating to corporate governance, and other relief as determined by the court. On March 11, 2009, the Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety, with prejudice. Plaintiff did not file an appeal and the time to do so under the federal rules has elapsed.

On October 27, 2006, Witness received notice from the SEC of an informal non-public inquiry relating to the stock option grant practices of Witness from February 1, 2000 through the date of the notice. On July 12, 2007, we received a copy of the Formal Order of Investigation from the SEC relating to substantially the same matter as the informal inquiry. We and Witness have fully cooperated, and intend to continue to fully cooperate, if called upon to do so, with the SEC regarding this matter. In addition, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Georgia was also given access to the documents and information provided by Witness to the SEC. Our last communication with the SEC with respect to the matter was in June 2008.

Table of Contents

Verint General Litigation Matters

On October 18, 2005, the Administrative Court of Appeals of Athens entered a final, non-appealable verdict against our wholly owned subsidiary, Verint Systems UK Ltd. (formerly Comverse Infosys UK Limited) (Verint UK), in a dispute between Verint UK and its former customer, the Greek Civil Aviation Authority, which began in June 1999. The Greek Civil Aviation Authority had claimed that the equipment provided to it by Verint UK did not operate properly. The verdict did not contain a calculation of the monetary judgment, however, we estimated the amount at approximately \$2.6 million based on an earlier decision in the case, exclusive of any interest which may be assessed on the judgment based on the passage of time. The Greek government must seek enforcement of this judgment in the United Kingdom. To date this judgment has not been enforced and we have made no payments.

From time to time we or our subsidiaries may be involved in other legal proceedings and/or litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business that might impact our financial position, our results of operations, or our cash flows.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

Since February 1, 2007, our common stock has traded on the over-the-counter securities market under the symbol VRNT.PK with pricing and financial information provided by the Pink Sheets. Prior to February 1, 2007, our common stock traded on NASDAQ under the symbol VRNT. However, as a result of the delay in filing our periodic reports with the SEC, we were unable to comply with the listing standards of NASDAQ and our common stock was suspended from trading effective February 1, 2007 and formally de-listed effective June 4, 2007.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low quotations as reported by the Pink Sheets from February 1, 2008 through January 31, 2010. The bid quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down, or commission, and may not necessarily reflect actual transactions:

Year Ended January 31,	Quarter	Low	High
2009	2/1/08 - 4/30/08	\$ 14.90	\$ 21.00
	5/1/08 - 7/31/08	\$ 19.75	\$ 24.10
	8/1/08 - 10/31/08	\$ 9.10	\$ 22.51
	11/1/08 - 1/31/09	\$ 5.55	\$ 12.25
2010	2/1/09 - 4/30/09	\$ 3.29	\$ 6.35
	5/1/09 - 7/31/09	\$ 5.40	\$ 12.01
	8/1/09 - 10/31/09	\$ 11.74	\$ 16.90
	11/1/09 - 1/31/10	\$ 15.45	\$ 19.25

Holder

There were 97 holders of record of our common stock at April 30, 2010. Such record holders include holders who are nominees for an undetermined number of beneficial owners.

Table of Contents

Dividends

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on our equity securities and have no current plans to pay any dividends on our equity securities. We intend to retain our earnings to finance the development of our business, repay debt, and for other corporate purposes. In addition, the terms of our credit agreement restrict our ability to pay cash dividends on shares of our common or preferred stock. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources under Item 7 for a more detailed discussion of these limitations. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is also limited by the terms of our outstanding shares of preferred stock which ranks senior to our common stock with respect to the payment of dividends and bears a preferred dividend which currently accrues at the rate of 3.875% per year. See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Comverse Preferred Stock Financing Agreements under Item 13 and Note 8, Convertible Preferred Stock to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15 for a more detailed discussion of these restrictions.

Any future determination as to the payment of dividends on our common stock will be made by our board of directors at its discretion, subject to the limitations contained in the credit agreement and the rights of the holders of the preferred stock and will depend upon our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, and other relevant factors.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

See Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Equity Compensation Plan Information under Item 12.

Stock Performance Graph

The following table compares the cumulative total stockholder return on our common stock with the cumulative total return on the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Computer & Data Processing Services Index, assuming an investment of \$100 on January 31, 2005, through January 31, 2010, and the reinvestment of any dividends. The comparisons in the graph below are based upon historical data based upon closing sale prices on NASDAQ for our common stock for each day prior to the year ended January 31, 2007 and the high and low closing bid quotations (as reported by the Pink Sheets) for each day during the years ended January 31, 2008, January 31, 2009, and January 31, 2010 and are not indicative of, nor intended to forecast, future performance of our common stock.

Table of Contents

	January 31, 2005	January 31, 2006	January 31, 2007	January 31, 2008	January 31, 2009	January 31, 2010
Verint Systems Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 95.07	\$ 86.68	\$ 48.52	\$ 17.05	\$ 47.99
NASDAQ Composite Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 111.70	\$ 122.93	\$ 117.81	\$ 72.77	\$ 105.98
NASDAQ Computer & Data Processing Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 111.06	\$ 124.19	\$ 126.82	\$ 78.06	\$ 120.88

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities***Equity Grants***

As a result of our inability to file required SEC reports during our extended filing delay period, we ceased using our Registration Statement on Form S-8 to make equity grants to employees. As a result, on March 27, 2006, we suspended option exercises under our equity incentive plans and terminated purchases under our employee stock purchase plan for all employees, including executive officers.

Table of Contents

On May 24, 2007, we received a no-action letter from the SEC upon which we relied to make broad-based equity grants to employees under a no-sale theory. We have also made equity grants to our directors, executive officers, and certain other executives who qualify as accredited investors in reliance upon a private placement exemption from the federal securities laws and have made a small number of equity grants to non-U.S. employees under the exemption provided by Regulation S of the Securities Act of 1933.

The following summarizes various time-based equity awards approved by the stock option committee on the dates listed below since the beginning of the year ended January 31, 2010 (excluding directors and executive officers) in the United States and elsewhere throughout the world under the application of the no sale theory or under the exemption provided by Regulation S of the Securities Act of 1933:

- March 4, 2009 equity awards representing approximately 585,000 shares;
- May 20, 2009 equity awards representing approximately 458,000 shares;
- March 17, 2010 equity awards representing approximately 283,850 shares; and
- April 17, 2010 equity awards representing approximately 209,900 shares.

The following summarizes various time-based and performance-based equity awards approved by the board of directors or the stock option committee on the dates listed below since the beginning of the year ended January 31, 2010 under a private placement exemption to directors, executive officers, or other employees qualifying as accredited investors (with officer performance awards included at target levels):

- March 4, 2009 equity awards representing approximately 708,000 shares;
- March 19, 2009 equity awards representing approximately 20,000 shares;
- May 20, 2009 equity awards representing approximately 72,000 shares;
- March 17, 2010 equity awards representing approximately 426,850 shares;
- March 18, 2010 equity awards representing approximately 20,000 shares; and
- April 17, 2010 equity awards representing approximately 37,600 shares.

All grants were made under a stockholder-approved equity compensation plan or contain vesting conditions which require that we receive stockholder approval of a new equity compensation plan or have additional share capacity under an existing stockholder-approved equity compensation plan for the awards to stock vest. All grants were compensatory in nature and were issued without cost to the employee. For a more detailed discussion of equity granted to our executive officers, see Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis under Item 11.

Table of Contents**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

All of the purchases in the table below reflect shares withheld upon vesting of restricted stock to satisfy statutory minimum tax withholding obligations. The shares that were withheld were deposited in our treasury and a corresponding cash payment was made by us to the tax authorities. The table below only includes those months in which purchases were made (no purchases were made in the months omitted from the table). Purchases subsequent to January 31, 2010, which are not included in the table below, are as follows (repurchase prices correspond to the closing prices of our common stock on the Pink Sheets on the relevant vesting dates (or the trading date immediately preceding the vesting date)): March 17, 2010 (8,556 shares at \$24.58 per share), April 12, 2010 (5,294 shares at \$26.75 per share), April 13, 2010 (109,644 shares at \$27.00 per share), and May 16, 2010 (8,000 shares at \$26.50 per share). From time to time, we may also foreclose on shares of our common stock pledged to us by non-officer employees as security for tax-related loans associated with equity vestings if the employee defaults on his or her repayment obligations.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period	(a) Total number of shares (or units) purchased	(b) Average price paid per share (or unit)	(c) Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs	(d) Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs
May 2009	8,000	\$ 6.20	8,000(1)	N/A(1)
January 2010	2,913	\$ 19.00	2,913(1)	N/A(1)

(1) On June 28, 2007, our board of directors approved a limited stock repurchase program (the Director Repurchase Program) to enable us to automatically repurchase, upon vesting, 40% of the shares of restricted stock otherwise deliverable to the independent directors of our board of directors (and such other directors as our board of directors

may from time to time designate) upon such vesting in order to enable these directors to make required tax payments. The Director Repurchase Program is effective through the date we become compliant with our SEC reporting obligations, however, on March 18, 2010, our board of directors approved an extension of the program through (and including) May 16, 2010 to the extent that the program would otherwise have ended at such time and either we do not have in place an effective registration statement under which the directors may sell shares or the directors are subject to a Company-imposed trading blackout. In addition, on November 24, 2009, our board of directors approved a limited stock repurchase program (the Officer Repurchase Program) to enable us to offer to repurchase from each executive officer the number

of shares necessary to satisfy such officer's minimum tax withholding obligation in connection with equity vesting-related tax events that occur during a company-imposed trading blackout. Our executive officers are not obligated to participate in the Officer Repurchase Program, which is effective through the date we file our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 31, 2010, and is not limited to a set number of shares.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial data has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements. This data below should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations under Item 7 and our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto under Item 15.

Our historical results should not be viewed as indicative of results expected for any future period.

Five-Year Selected Financial Highlights:**Consolidated Statements of Operations Data****Year Ended January 31,**

(in thousands, except per share data)	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Revenue	\$ 703,633	\$ 669,544	\$ 534,543	\$ 368,778	\$ 278,754
Operating income (loss)	\$ 65,679	\$ (15,026)	\$ (114,630)	\$ (47,253)	\$ 4,112
Net income (loss)	\$ 17,100	\$ (78,577)	\$ (197,545)	\$ (39,598)	\$ 2,482
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	\$ 15,617	\$ (80,388)	\$ (198,609)	\$ (40,519)	\$ 1,664
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares	\$ 2,026	\$ (93,452)	\$ (207,290)	\$ (40,519)	\$ 1,664
Net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.:					
Basic	\$ 0.06	\$ (2.88)	\$ (6.43)	\$ (1.26)	\$ 0.05
Diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ (2.88)	\$ (6.43)	\$ (1.26)	\$ 0.05
Weighted-average shares:					
Basic	32,478	32,394	32,222	32,156	31,781
Diluted	33,127	32,394	32,222	32,156	32,620

We have never declared a cash dividend to common stockholders.

Consolidated Balance Sheet Data**January 31,**

(in thousands)	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Total assets	\$ 1,396,337	\$ 1,337,393	\$ 1,492,275	\$ 593,676	\$ 609,558
Long-term debt, including current maturities	620,912	625,000	610,000	1,058	1,325
Preferred stock	285,542	285,542	293,663		
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	(14,567)	(76,070)	30,325	198,890	220,569

Table of Contents

Certain financial data in these tables for years ended prior to January 31, 2010 has been adjusted to reflect the adoption of a new accounting standard related to noncontrolling interests, as further discussed in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

During the five year period ended January 31, 2010, we acquired a number of businesses, the more significant of which were the acquisitions of MultiVision in January 2006, Mercom Systems Inc. (Mercom) in July 2006, and Witness in May 2007. The operating results of acquired businesses have been included in our consolidated financial statements since their respective acquisition dates and have contributed to our revenue growth. The May 2007 acquisition of Witness had significant impacts to our revenue and operating results for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

Operating results for the period ended January 31, 2010 include:

- amortization of intangible assets associated with the acquisition of Witness of \$28.3 million;
- interest expense on our term loan and revolving credit agreement of \$22.6 million;
- stock-based compensation expense of \$44.2 million;
- realized and unrealized losses on our interest rate swap of \$13.6 million; and
- approximately \$54 million in professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed consolidated financial statements for periods through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status.

Operating results for the period ended January 31, 2009 include:

- a full year s revenue from Witness compared to eight months in the prior year;
- amortization of intangible assets associated with the acquisition of Witness of \$31.1 million;
- integration costs of \$3.2 million incurred to support and facilitate the combination of Verint and Witness into a single organization;
- net proceeds after legal fees of approximately \$4.3 million associated with the settlement of pre-existing litigation between Witness and a competitor;
- interest expense on our term loan and revolving credit agreement of \$35.2 million;
- stock-based compensation expense of \$36.0 million;
- realized and unrealized losses on our interest rate swap of \$11.5 million;

Table of Contents

restructuring costs of \$5.7 million and approximately \$28 million in professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed consolidated financial statements for periods through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status; and non-cash goodwill impairment charges of \$26.0 million.

Operating results for the period ended January 31, 2008 include:

an increase in revenue of \$123.1 million from the Witness business, beginning in the quarter ended July 31, 2007;

amortization of intangible assets associated with the acquisition of Witness of \$22.6 million;

a \$6.7 million charge for in-process research and development;

integration costs of \$11.0 million incurred to support and facilitate the combination of Verint and Witness into a single organization;

legal fees of \$8.7 million associated with pre-existing litigation between Witness and a competitor;

interest expense on our term loan of \$34.4 million;

restructuring costs of \$3.3 million and approximately \$26 million in professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed consolidated financial statements for periods through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status;

realized and unrealized losses on our interest rate swap of \$29.2 million;

unrealized gains of \$7.2 million on an embedded derivative financial instrument related to the variable dividend feature of our preferred stock;

stock-based compensation expense of \$31.0 million; and

non-cash goodwill and intangible asset impairment charges of \$23.4 million.

Operating results for the year ended January 31, 2007 include a \$19.2 million one-time settlement charge related to our exit from a royalty-bearing program with the OCS.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with Business under Item 1, Selected Financial Data under Item 6, and the consolidated financial statements and the related notes thereto which appear elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains a number of forward-looking statements, all of which are based on our current expectations and all of which could be affected by uncertainties and risks. Our actual results may differ materially from the results contemplated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors including, but not limited to, those described under Risk Factors under Item 1A.

Business Overview

Verint is a global leader in Actionable Intelligence® solutions and value-added services. Our solutions enable organizations of all sizes to make timely and effective decisions to improve enterprise performance and make the world a safer place. More than 10,000 organizations in over 150 countries including over 80% of the Fortune 100 use Verint solutions to capture, distill, and analyze complex and underused information sources, such as voice, video, and unstructured text.

In the enterprise market, our workforce optimization solutions help organizations enhance customer service operations in contact centers, branches, and back-office environments to increase customer satisfaction, reduce operating costs, identify revenue opportunities, and improve profitability. In the security intelligence market, our video intelligence, public safety, and communications intelligence and investigative solutions are vital to government and commercial organizations in their efforts to protect people and property and neutralize terrorism and crime.

We support our customers around the globe directly and with an extensive network of selling and support partners.

Our Business

We serve two markets through three operating segments. Our Workforce Optimization segment serves the enterprise workforce optimization market, while our Video Intelligence segment and Communications Intelligence segment serve the security intelligence market.

In our Workforce Optimization segment, we are a leading provider of enterprise workforce optimization software and services. Our solutions enable organizations to extract and analyze valuable information from customer interactions and related operational data in order to make more effective, proactive decisions for optimizing the performance of their customer service operations, improving the customer experience, and enhancing compliance. Marketed under the Impact 360® brand to contact centers, back offices, branch and remote offices, and public safety centers, these solutions comprise a unified suite of enterprise workforce optimization applications and services that include IP and TDM voice recording and quality monitoring, speech and data analytics, workforce management, customer feedback, eLearning and coaching, performance management, and desktop productivity/application analysis. These applications can be deployed stand-alone or in an integrated fashion. Key business and technology trends driving this segment include a growing interest in a unified workforce optimization suite and sophisticated customer interaction analytics, the adoption of workforce optimization solutions outside contact centers, and the ongoing upgrade of TDM voice systems to VoIP telephony infrastructure. For the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, this segment represented approximately 53%, 53%, and 49% of our total revenue, respectively.

Table of Contents

In our Video Intelligence segment, we are a leading provider of networked IP video solutions designed to optimize security and enhance operations. Our Video Intelligence Solutions portfolio includes IP video management software and services, edge devices for capturing, digitizing, and transmitting video over different types of wired and wireless networks, video analytics, and networked DVRs. Marketed under the Nextiva® brand, this portfolio enables organizations to deploy an end-to-end IP video solution with analytics or evolve to IP video operations without discarding their investments in analog CCTV technology. Key business and technology trends in the Video Intelligence segment include increased demand for advanced security solutions due to ongoing terrorism and security threats around the world and the transition from relatively passive analog CCTV video systems to more sophisticated network-based IP video solutions. For the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, this segment represented approximately 21%, 19%, and 28% of our total revenue, respectively.

In our Communications Intelligence segment, we are a leading provider of communications intelligence and investigative solutions that help law enforcement, national security, intelligence, and civilian government agencies effectively detect, investigate, and neutralize criminal and terrorist threats. Our solutions are designed to handle massive amounts of unstructured and structured information from different sources, quickly make sense of complex scenarios, and generate evidence and intelligence. Our portfolio includes solutions for communications interception, service provider compliance, mobile location tracking, fusion and data management, financial crime investigation, Web intelligence, integrated video monitoring, and tactical communications intelligence. These solutions can be deployed stand-alone or collectively, as part of a large-scale system to address the needs of large government agencies that require advanced, comprehensive solutions. Key business and technology trends in this segment include the demand for innovative communications intelligence and investigative solutions due to terrorism, criminal activities, and other security threats, an expanding range of communication and information media, the increasing complexity of communications networks and growing network traffic, and legal and compliance requirements. For the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, this segment represented approximately 26%, 28%, and 23% of our total revenue, respectively.

Generally, we make business decisions by evaluating the risks and rewards of the opportunities available to us in the markets served by each of our segments. We view each operating segment differently and allocate capital, personnel, resources, and management attention accordingly. In reviewing each operating segment, we also review the performance of that segment by geography. Our marketing and sales strategies, expansion opportunities, and product offerings may differ materially within a particular segment geographically, as may our allocation of resources between segments. When making decisions regarding investment in our business, increasing capital expenditures or making other decisions that may reduce our profitability; we also consider the leverage ratio in our credit facility. See - Liquidity and Capital Resources Requirements .

Table of Contents

Our Strategy

There are several elements to our strategy, including:

Continue to drive the development of Actionable Intelligence solutions for unstructured data. We were a pioneer in the development of solutions that help businesses and governmental organizations derive intelligence from unstructured data (such as telephone conversations, video streams, email and Internet communications, etc.) to help them make better decisions. We believe that traditional business intelligence solutions, which have generally been designed for structured data stored in relational databases, cannot easily analyze this unstructured information and that the market opportunity for Actionable Intelligence solutions is still in its early stages. We intend to continue to drive the adoption of Actionable Intelligence solutions by delivering solutions to the workforce optimization and security intelligence markets designed to provide a high return on investment.

Maintain market leadership through innovation and customer centricity. We believe that to compete successfully we must continue to introduce solutions that better enable customers to derive Actionable Intelligence from their unstructured data. In order to do this, we intend to continue to make significant investment in research and development and to protect our intellectual property through patents and other means. We must continue to be in regular dialog with our customer base in order to understand their business objectives and requirements.

Grow through acquisitions, in addition to organic growth. Companies in our markets continue to consolidate, and we believe this trend will continue. We examine acquisition opportunities regularly as a means to add technology, increase our geographic presence, enhance our market leadership, or expand into adjacent markets. Historically, we have engaged in acquisitions for all of these purposes and expect to continue to do so in the future when strategic opportunities arise.

Expand our market presence through OEM and partner relationships. We offer our products and solutions to customers both directly and indirectly. For our indirect sales, we have expanded our relationships with OEMs and other channel partners. We believe these relationships broaden our market coverage, particularly in the SMB portion of the market, though in these arrangements, the partner has the primary relationship with the customer. We believe this is an important part of our growth strategy and intend to expand existing relationships while creating new relationships.

Table of Contents

Key Trends and Developments in Our Business

We believe that there are many factors that affect our ability to sustain and increase both revenue and profitability, including:

Completion of our outstanding SEC filings. Our extended filing delay status has limited the information we have been able to provide to the public and other interested parties, including customers, partners, and bank lenders. This has had an adverse impact upon relationships with customers and resellers and, we believe, upon our business.

Decreased information technology spending. During the current global recession, information technology spending has decreased, and the market for our products and services has been adversely affected. Customers are delaying, reducing, and eliminating their spending on information technology, and we believe this has adversely affected our results.

Market acceptance of Actionable Intelligence for unstructured data, particularly analytics. We are in an early stage market where the value of certain aspects of our products and solutions is still in the process of market acceptance. We believe that our future growth depends in part on the continued and increasing acceptance of the value of our data analytics across our product offerings.

Our ownership and capital structure constrains investment and growth. We have a majority stockholder that can effectively control our business and affairs. We also are subject to various restrictive covenants under our credit facility, as well as a leverage ratio financial covenant. As a result, our current capital structure limits our ability to issue equity, incur additional debt, or make certain investments in our business. We are also limited in our ability to raise additional capital until such time that we have filed certain additional late periodic reports. These limitations may impede our ability to execute upon our business strategy.

See also **Risk Factors** under Item 1A for a more complete description of these and other risks that may impact future revenue and profitability.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

An appreciation of our critical accounting policies is necessary to understand our financial results. The accounting policies outlined below are considered to be critical because they can materially affect our operating results and financial condition, as these policies may require management to make difficult and subjective judgments regarding uncertainties. The accuracy of these estimates and the likelihood of future changes depend on a range of possible outcomes and a number of underlying variables, many of which are beyond our control, and there can be no assurance that our estimates are accurate.

Table of Contents***Revenue Recognition***

Our revenue recognition policy is a critical component of determining our operating results and is based on a complex set of accounting rules that require us to make significant judgments and estimates. We derive revenue primarily from two sources: product revenue, which includes revenue from hardware and software products, and service and support revenue, which includes revenue from installation services, PCS, project management, hosting services, and training services. Our customer arrangements typically include several of these elements. Revenue recognition for a particular arrangement is dependent upon such factors as the level of customization within the solution and the contractual delivery, acceptance, payment, and support terms with the customer. Significant judgment is required to conclude whether collectability of fees is considered probable and whether fees are fixed or determinable. In addition, our multiple-element arrangements must be carefully reviewed to determine whether the fair value of each element can be established, which is a critical factor in determining the timing of the arrangement's revenue recognition.

The majority of our software license arrangements contain multiple elements including software, hardware, PCS, and professional services, such as installation, consulting, and training. We allocate revenue to delivered elements of the arrangement using the residual value method (Residual Method), whereby revenue is allocated to the undelivered elements based on vendor specific objective evidence of the fair value (VSOE) of the undelivered elements with the remaining arrangement fee allocated to the delivered elements and recognized as revenue assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met. If we are unable to establish VSOE for the undelivered elements of the arrangement, revenue recognition is deferred for the entire arrangement until all elements of the arrangement are delivered.

However, if the only undelivered element is PCS, we recognize the arrangement fee ratably over the PCS period. Our policy for establishing VSOE for installation, consulting, and training is based upon an analysis of separate sales of services, which are then compared with the fees charged when the same elements are included in a multiple-element arrangement.

PCS revenues are derived from providing technical software support services and software updates and upgrades to customers on a when-and-if-available basis. PCS revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance period, which in most cases is one year. When PCS is included within a multiple-element arrangement, we utilize either the substantive renewal rate approach or the bell-shaped curve approach to establish VSOE of the PCS, depending upon the business operating segment, geographical region, or product line.

Under the bell-shaped curve approach of establishing VSOE, we perform a VSOE compliance test to ensure that a substantial majority (75% or over) of our actual PCS renewals are within a narrow range of plus or minus 15% of the median pricing.

Table of Contents

Under the substantive renewal rate approach, we believe it is necessary to evaluate whether both the support renewal rate and term are substantive, and whether the renewal rate is being consistently applied to subsequent renewals for a particular customer. We establish VSOE under this approach through analyzing the renewal rate stated in the customer agreement and determining whether that rate is above the minimum substantive VSOE renewal rate established for that particular PCS offering. The minimum substantive VSOE rate is determined based upon an analysis of revenue associated with historical PCS contracts. Typically, renewal rates of 15% for PCS plans that provide when-and-if-available upgrades, and 10% for plans that do not provide for when-and-if-available upgrades, would be deemed to be minimum substantive renewal rates. For contracts that do not contain a stated renewal rate, revenue associated with the entire bundled arrangement is recognized ratably over the PCS term. Contracts that have a renewal rate below the minimum substantive VSOE rate are deemed to contain a more than insignificant discount element, for which VSOE cannot be established. We recognize revenue for these arrangements over the period that the customer is entitled to renew their PCS at the discounted rate, but not to exceed the estimated economic life of the product. We evaluate many factors in determining the estimated economic life of our products, including the support period of the product, technological obsolescence, product roadmaps, and customer expectations. We have concluded that our software products have estimated economic lives of from five to seven years.

For certain of our products, we do not have an explicit obligation to provide PCS but as a matter of business practice have provided implied PCS. The implied PCS is accounted for as a separate element for which VSOE does not exist. Arrangements that contain implied PCS are recognized over the period the implied PCS is provided, but not to exceed the estimated economic life of the product.

For shipment of products which include embedded firmware that has been deemed incidental, we recognize revenue provided that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collectability of the fee is reasonably assured. For shipments of hardware products, delivery is considered to have occurred upon shipment, provided that the risks of loss, and title in certain jurisdictions, have been transferred to the customer.

Some of our arrangements require significant customization of the product to meet the particular requirements of the customer. For these arrangements, revenue is recognized under contract accounting methods, typically using the percentage of completion (POC) method. Under the POC method, revenue recognition is generally based upon the ratio of hours incurred to date to the total estimated hours required to complete the contract. Profit estimates on long-term contracts are revised periodically based on changes in circumstances, and any losses on contracts are recognized in the period that such losses become evident. Generally, the terms of long-term contracts provide for progress billings based on completion of milestones or other defined phases of work. Significant judgment is often required when estimating total hours and progress to completion on these arrangements, as well as whether a loss is expected to be incurred on the contract due to several factors including the degree of customization required and the customer's existing environment. If the range of profitability cannot be estimated but some level of profit is assured, revenue is recognized to the extent of costs incurred, until such time that the project's profitability can be estimated or the services have been completed. In addition, if VSOE does not exist for the contract's PCS element, but some level of profit is assured, the zero gross margin approach of applying percentage of completion accounting is used based on the extent of costs incurred. Once the services are completed, the remaining unrecognized portion of the arrangement fee is recognized ratably over the remaining PCS period. In the event some level of profitability on a contract cannot be assured, the completed-contract method of revenue recognition is applied. We use historical experience, project plans, and an assessment of the risks and uncertainties inherent in the arrangement to establish these estimates. Uncertainties in these arrangements include implementation delays or performance issues that may or may not be within our control.

Table of Contents

In certain of our arrangements accounted for under contract accounting methods, the fee is contingent on the return on investment our customers receive from our products and services. Revenue from these arrangements is recognized under the completed-contract method of accounting when the contingency is resolved and collectability is assured, which in most cases is upon final receipt of payment.

If an arrangement includes customer acceptance criteria, revenue is not recognized until we can objectively demonstrate that the software or services meet the acceptance criteria, or the acceptance period lapses, whichever occurs earlier. If a software license arrangement obligates us to deliver specified future products or upgrades, revenue under the arrangement is initially deferred and is recognized only when the specified future products or upgrades are delivered, or when the obligation to deliver specified future products expires, whichever occurs earlier.

We extend customary trade payment terms to our customers in the normal course of conducting business. To assess the probability of collection for purposes of revenue recognition, we have established credit policies that establish prudent credit limits for our customers. These credit limits are based upon our risk assessment of the customer's ability to pay, their payment history, geographic risk, and other factors, and are not contingent upon the resale of the product or upon the collection of payments from their customers. These credit limits are reviewed and revised periodically on the basis of updated customer financial statement information, payment performance, and other factors.

We record provisions for estimated product returns in the same period in which the associated revenue is recognized. We base these estimates of product returns upon historical levels of sales returns and other known factors. Actual product returns could be different from our estimates and current or future provisions for product returns may differ from historical provisions. Concessions granted to customers are recorded as reductions to revenue in the period in which they were granted and have been minimal in both amount and frequency.

Product revenue derived from shipments to resellers and OEMs who purchase our products for resale are generally recognized when such products are shipped (on a sell-in basis). This policy is predicated on our ability to estimate sales returns as well as other criteria regarding these customers. We are also required to evaluate whether our resellers and OEMs have the ability to honor their commitment to make fixed or determinable payments regardless of whether they collect payment from their customers. In this regard, we assess whether our resellers and OEMs are new, poorly capitalized, or experiencing financial difficulty, and whether they have a pattern of not paying as amounts become due on previous arrangements or seeking payment terms longer than those provided to end customers. If we were to change any of these assumptions or judgments, it could cause a material change to the revenue reported in a particular period. We have historically experienced insignificant product returns from resellers and OEMs, and our payment terms for these customers are similar to those granted to our end-users. Our policy also presumes that we have no significant performance obligations in connection with the sale of our products by our resellers and OEMs to their customers. If a reseller or OEM develops a pattern of payment delinquency, or seeks payment terms longer than generally granted to our resellers or OEMs, we defer the recognition of revenue from transactions with that reseller or OEM until the receipt of cash.

Table of Contents

For multiple-element arrangements for which we are unable to establish VSOE of one or more elements, we use various available indicators of fair value and apply our best judgment to reasonably classify the arrangement's revenue into product revenue and service revenue for financial reporting purposes. For these arrangements, we review our VSOE for training, installation, and PCS services from similar transactions and stand-alone service arrangements and prepare comparisons to peers, in order to determine reasonable and consistent approximations of fair values of service revenue for statement of operations classification purposes with the remaining amount being allocated to product revenue. Installation services associated with our Communications Intelligence arrangements are included within product revenue as such amounts are not considered material.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We estimate the collectability of our accounts receivable balances each accounting period and adjust our allowance for doubtful accounts accordingly. We exercise a considerable amount of judgment in assessing the collectability of accounts receivable, including consideration of the creditworthiness of each customer, their collection history, and the related aging of past due receivables balances. We evaluate specific accounts when we learn that a customer may be experiencing a deterioration of its financial condition due to lower credit ratings, bankruptcy, or other factors that may affect its ability to render payment.

Accounting for Business Combinations

Business acquisitions completed prior to January 31, 2009 have been accounted using purchase method standards effective prior to that date. New purchase accounting standards were effective for us on February 1, 2009. Under purchase accounting standards, we allocate the purchase price of acquired companies to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed as well as to in-process research and development costs based upon their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. These fair values are typically estimated with assistance from independent valuation specialists. The purchase price allocation process requires our management to make significant estimates and assumptions, especially at the acquisition date with respect to intangible assets, contractual support obligations assumed, and pre-acquisition contingencies.

Although we believe the assumptions and estimates we have made in the past have been reasonable and appropriate, they are based in part on historical experience and information obtained from the management of the acquired companies and are inherently uncertain.

Table of Contents

Examples of critical estimates in valuing certain of the intangible assets we have acquired or may acquire in the future include but are not limited to:

- future expected cash flows from software license sales, support agreements, consulting contracts, other customer contracts, and acquired developed technologies;
- expected costs to develop the in-process research and development into commercially viable products and estimated cash flows from the projects when completed;
- the acquired company's brand and competitive position, as well as assumptions about the period of time the acquired brand will continue to be used in the combined company's product portfolio;
- cost of capital and discount rates; and
- estimating the useful lives of acquired assets as well as the pattern or manner in which the assets will amortize.

In connection with the purchase price allocations for applicable acquisitions, we estimate the fair value of the contractual support obligations we are assuming from the acquired business. The estimated fair value of the support obligations is determined utilizing a cost build-up approach, which determines fair value by estimating the costs related to fulfilling the obligations plus a reasonable profit margin. The estimated costs to fulfill the support obligations are based on the historical direct costs related to providing the support services. The sum of these costs and operating profit represents an approximation of the amount that we would be required to pay a third party to assume the support obligations.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

We perform our goodwill impairment test on an annual basis, as of November 1, or more frequently, if changes in facts and circumstances indicate that impairment in the value of goodwill may exist. Our goodwill impairment evaluation is based upon comparing the fair value to the carrying value of our reporting units containing goodwill. To test for potential impairment, we first perform an assessment of the fair value of our reporting units. We utilize three primary approaches to determine fair value: (a) an income based approach, using projected discounted cash flows, (b) a market based approach using multiples of comparable companies, and (c) a transaction based approach using multiples for recent acquisitions of similar businesses made in the marketplace.

Our estimate of fair value of each reporting unit is based on a number of subjective factors, including: (a) appropriate weighting of valuation approaches (income approach, market approach, and comparable public company approach), (b) estimates of our future cost structure, (c) discount rates for our estimated cash flows, (d) selection of peer group companies for the public company approach, (e) required level of working capital, (f) assumed terminal value, and (g) time horizon of cash flow forecasts.

Table of Contents

The fair value of each reporting unit is compared to its carrying value to determine whether there is an indication of impairment in value. If an indication of impairment exists, we perform a second analysis to measure the amount of impairment, if any.

We review intangible assets that have finite useful lives and other long-lived assets when an event occurs indicating the potential for impairment. If any indicators are present, we perform a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets in question to their carrying amounts. If the undiscounted cash flows used in the test for recoverability are less than the long-lived assets carrying amount, we determine the fair value of the long-lived asset and recognize an impairment loss if the carrying amount of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. The impairment loss recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value.

During the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, we recorded non-cash charges to recognize impairments of goodwill and other intangible assets of \$26.0 million, and \$23.4 million, respectively. We did not record any impairment of goodwill for the year ended January 31, 2010 as the fair values of all of our reporting units significantly exceeded their carrying values.

Since the estimated fair values of our reporting units significantly exceeded their carrying values as of November 1, 2009, we currently do not believe that our reporting units are at risk of impairment. The assumptions and estimates used in this process are complex and often subjective. They can be affected by a variety of factors, including external factors such as industry and economic trends, and internal factors such as changes in our business strategy or our internal forecasts. Although we believe the assumptions, judgments, and estimates we have used in our current assessment are reasonable and appropriate, a material change in any of our assumptions or external factors could trigger impairments not originally identified.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method which includes the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements. Under this approach, deferred taxes are recorded for the future tax consequences expected to occur when the reported amounts of assets and liabilities are recovered or paid. The provision for income taxes represents income taxes paid or payable for the current year plus the change in deferred taxes during the year. Deferred taxes result from differences between the financial statement and tax bases of our assets and liabilities, and are adjusted for changes in tax rates and tax laws when changes are enacted. The effects of future changes in income tax laws or rates are not anticipated.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. The calculation of our tax provision involves the application of complex tax laws and requires significant judgment and estimates.

Table of Contents

We evaluate the realizability of our deferred tax assets for each jurisdiction in which we operate at each reporting date, we establish a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income of the same character and in the same jurisdiction. We consider all available positive and negative evidence in making this assessment, including, but not limited to, the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies. In circumstances where there is sufficient negative evidence indicating that our deferred tax assets are not more-likely-than-not realizable, we establish a valuation allowance.

We use a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return by assessing whether they are more-likely-than-not sustainable, based solely on their technical merits, upon examination, and including resolution of any related appeals or litigation process. The second step is to measure the associated tax benefit of each position as the largest amount that we believe is more-likely-than-not realizable. Differences between the amount of tax benefits taken or expected to be taken in our income tax returns and the amount of tax benefits recognized in our financial statements, represent our unrecognized income tax benefits, which we either record as a liability or as a reduction of deferred tax assets. Our policy is to include interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

Contingencies

We recognize an estimated loss from a claim or loss contingency when and if, information available prior to issuance of the financial statements indicates that it is probable that an asset has been impaired or a liability has been incurred at the date of the financial statements and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Accounting for claims and contingencies requires the use of significant judgment and estimates. One notable potential source of loss contingencies is pending or threatened litigation. Legal counsel and other advisors and experts are consulted on issues related to litigation as well as on matters related to contingencies occurring in the ordinary course of business.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award.

We estimate the fair value of stock-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which requires the input of significant assumptions including an estimate of the average period of time employees will retain stock options before exercising them, the estimated volatility of our common stock price over the expected term, the number of options that will ultimately be forfeited before completing vesting requirements, and the risk-free interest rate. Changes in the assumptions can materially affect the estimate of fair value of stock-based compensation and, consequently, the related expense recognized. The assumptions we use in calculating the fair value of stock-based payment awards represent our best estimates, which involve inherent uncertainties and the application of judgment. As a result, if factors change and we use different assumptions, our stock-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future.

Table of Contents

Impact of Our VSOE/Revenue Recognition Policies on Our Results of Operations

When VSOE does not exist for all delivered elements of an arrangement, we recognized revenue under the Residual Method. In essence, the value of our products is derived by ascertaining the fair value of all undelivered elements (i.e., PCS and other services) and subtracting the value of the undelivered elements from the total arrangement value. If the fair value of all undelivered elements cannot be determined, revenue recognition is deferred for all elements, including delivered elements, until all elements are delivered. However, if the only undelivered element is PCS, the entire arrangement fee is recognized ratably over the PCS period.

As we have previously disclosed, we determined that for many of the arrangements we examined in previously reported periods (including periods included in this report), we were unable to determine the fair value of all or some of the elements within the multiple-element arrangement, as required by accounting guidance for revenue recognition. Further, for certain transactions occurring during periods reported herein, we were similarly unable to determine the fair value of all or some of the elements.

Following is a general overview of how we recognize revenue for multiple-element arrangements by segment.

Workforce Optimization Segment

During the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, VSOE for professional services was established for the majority of our Workforce Optimization transactions which allowed for the recognition of product revenue prior to the services being performed. In the year ended January 31, 2008 VSOE for professional services was not established for a majority of our Workforce Optimization transactions and as a result, product revenue that could have otherwise been recognized upon delivery is being deferred until all services associated with the arrangement are completed. This results in revenue recognition being deferred for up to several quarters depending on the nature of the arrangement. In addition, during the three year period covered by this report, we were also unable to establish VSOE of PCS services related to certain other Workforce Optimization transactions. As a result, product revenue that could otherwise been recognized upon delivery is being recognized ratably over either the term of the PCS services or the estimated economic life of the software product.

Over the last three years, in our Workforce Optimization segment, approximately 55% of our revenue was recognized when delivery of our products or performance of our services occurred using the Residual Method and approximately 45% was recognized ratably over either the PCS term or the period that the customer was entitled to renew their PCS but not to exceed the estimated economic life of the product or contractual period (Ratable Method).

Table of Contents

Video Intelligence Segment

During the year ended January 31, 2010, VSOE for PCS services was established for certain arrangements in our Video Intelligence segment. In the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 we were unable to adequately establish VSOE for our PCS service plans due to the lack of actual subsequent renewals and not having the ability to identify Video Intelligence customers that were under current PCS service plans. Accordingly, in the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, we recognized revenue for these arrangements over the support period, limited to the estimated economic life of the product.

Over the last three years, in our Video Intelligence segment, approximately 60% of our revenue was recognized when delivery of our products or performance of our services occurred using the Residual Method and approximately 40% was recognized using the Ratable Method.

Communications Intelligence Segment

Certain Communications Intelligence contracts include professional services, for which VSOE was not adequately established, in circumstances similar to those described previously for the Workforce Optimization segment. As a result, revenue for these contracts is deferred to subsequent periods. In addition, several of our Communications Intelligence contracts require substantial customization, and are therefore accounted for using the completed contract method (the Contract Accounting Method). In addition, certain of these arrangements are bundled with PCS for which we were unable to establish VSOE, and revenue is deferred accordingly.

Over the last three years, based on the way we recognize revenue in our Communications Intelligence segment, approximately 50% of our revenue was recognized using the Residual Method, approximately 20% was recognized using the Ratable Method, and approximately 30% was recognized under the contract accounting methods, primarily using the percentage of completion method, or alternately, the Contract Accounting Method.

In addition, as part of deferring revenue for a particular arrangement, we have also deferred certain cost of revenue associated with the arrangement. We have made an accounting policy election whereby the product cost of revenue, including hardware and third-party software license fees, are capitalized and amortized over the same period that product revenue is recognized, while installation and other service costs are generally expensed as incurred, except for certain contracts recognized according to contract accounting. For example, in a multiple-element arrangement where revenue is recognized over the PCS support period, the cost of revenue associated with the product is capitalized upon product delivery and amortized over that same period. However, the cost of revenue associated with the services is expensed as incurred in the period in which the services are performed. In addition, we expense customer acquisition and origination costs to selling, general and administrative expense, including sales commissions, as incurred, with the exception of certain sales referral fees in our Communications Intelligence segment which are capitalized and amortized ratably over the revenue recognition period.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Financial Overview**

The following table sets forth a summary of certain key financial information for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenue	\$ 703,633	\$ 669,544	\$ 534,543
Operating income (loss)	\$ 65,679	\$ (15,026)	\$ (114,630)
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares	\$ 2,026	\$ (93,452)	\$ (207,290)
Net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.:			
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ (2.88)	\$ (6.43)

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Our revenue increased approximately 5%, or \$34.1 million, to \$703.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$669.5 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. The increase was due to revenue increases in our Workforce Optimization and Video Intelligence segments, partially offset by a revenue reduction in our Communication Intelligence segment. In our Workforce Optimization segment, revenue increased by \$22.4 million, or 6%, primarily due to the completion of a multi-site installation for a major customer for which revenue was recognized upon final customer acceptance, coupled with an increase in maintenance renewal revenue recognized at full value as a result of the elimination of the impact of purchase accounting adjustments to support obligations assumed which amounted to \$5.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. We recorded an adjustment reducing support obligations assumed in the Witness acquisition to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date. As a result, as required by business combination accounting rules, revenue related to maintenance contracts in the amount of \$5.2 million that would have been otherwise recorded by Witness as an independent entity, was not recognized in the year ended January 31, 2009. There was no remaining deferred revenue balance associated with the acquisition as of January 31, 2009. Historically, substantially all of our customers, including customers from acquired companies, renew their maintenance contracts when such contracts are eligible for renewal. To the extent these underlying maintenance contracts are renewed, we will recognize the revenue for the full value of these contracts over the maintenance periods, the substantial majority of which are one year. In our Video Intelligence segment, revenue increased \$18.0 million, or 14%, almost entirely due to the product delivery of an order from a major customer, partially offset by a decrease of approximately \$7 million in Ratable Method revenue. In our Communications Intelligence segment, revenue decreased by \$6.3 million, or 3%, primarily due to a decrease in Residual Method revenue associated with customer installations partially offset by an increase in Contract Accounting Method revenue due to work performed on certain large projects. For more details on our revenue by segment, see - Revenue by Operating Segment . Revenue in the Americas, EMEA, and APAC regions represented approximately 55%, 25%, and 20% of our total revenue, respectively, in the year ended January 31, 2010 compared to approximately 52%, 32%, and 16%, respectively, in the year ended January 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

We had operating income of \$65.7 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 compared to an operating loss of \$15.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. The increase in operating income was primarily due to an increase in gross profit of \$52.4 million to \$463.7 million, or 66%, from \$411.3 million, or 61%, coupled with a decrease in operating expenses of \$28.3 million. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to higher revenue and higher gross margin in our Workforce Optimization and Video Intelligence segments, partially offset by lower revenue and lower gross margin in our Communications Intelligence segment. Product margins in our Video Intelligence and Workforce Optimization segments increased mainly as a result of a more favorable product mix. Service margins increased due to our cost-saving initiatives, as well as the fact, that in certain cases, expenses associated with service revenue recognized in the current year under the Ratable Method were recorded in prior periods when the costs were incurred. As discussed under - Impact of Our VSOE/Revenue Recognition Policies on our Results of Operations , in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and our accounting policy, the cost of revenue associated with services is generally expensed as incurred in the period in which the services are performed, with the exception of certain transactions accounted for under Contract Accounting Method revenue. The decrease in operating expenses was primarily due to the absence of impairment of goodwill and other acquired intangible asset charges in the year ended January 31, 2010 compared to \$26.0 million of impairment of goodwill and other acquired intangible asset charges in the year ended January 31, 2009, as well as a \$4.5 million decrease in research and development expenses and a \$4.5 million decrease in integration, restructuring and other, partially offset by a \$9.7 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses is primarily due to an increase of approximately \$26 million in professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed financial statements and our extended filing delay status partially offset by our cost-saving initiatives. We had net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares of \$2.0 million and income per share of \$0.06 in the year ended January 31, 2010, compared to a net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares of \$93.5 million and a loss per share of \$2.88 in the year ended January 31, 2009. The increase in our net income attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares and income per share in the year ended January 31, 2010 was due to our higher gross profit and lower operating expenses as described above, and to a \$2.4 million reduction in interest and other expenses, net coupled with a reduction of \$12.6 million in income tax expense.

The strengthening of the U.S. Dollar relative to the major foreign currencies where we do business (primarily the British Pound, the Euro, Israeli Shekel, and Canadian Dollar) in the year ended January 31, 2010 compared to the year ended January 31, 2009 had an unfavorable impact on our revenue and a favorable impact on our operating income. Had foreign exchange rates remained constant in these periods, excluding the impact of foreign currency hedges, our total revenue would have been approximately \$12 million higher and our operating expenses and cost of goods sold would have been approximately \$15 million higher, or a net unfavorable constant U.S. Dollar impact of approximately \$3 million on our operating income in the year ended January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

As of January 31, 2010, we employed approximately 2,500 employees, including part-time employees and certain contractors, as compared to approximately 2,550 as of January 31, 2009.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Our revenue increased approximately 25%, or \$135.0 million, to \$669.5 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$534.5 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. The increase was due to revenue increases in our Workforce Optimization and Communications Intelligence segments, partially offset by a reduction in our Video Intelligence segment. In our Workforce Optimization segment, revenue increased by \$91.5 million, or 35%, primarily due to a full year of Witness being included in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to only eight months in the year ended January 31, 2008, coupled with an increase in Witness maintenance renewal revenue recognized at full value as a result of the reduced impact of purchase accounting adjustments to support obligations assumed. We recorded an adjustment reducing support obligations assumed in the Witness acquisition to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date. As a result, as required by business combination accounting rules, revenue related to maintenance contracts in the amount of \$5.2 million and \$33.9 million that would have been otherwise recorded by Witness as an independent entity, was not recognized in the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In our Communications Intelligence segment, revenue increased by \$63.8 million, or 50%, primarily due to increased business including several large project implementations that started during the year, as well as the completion of certain installations and work performed for projects accounted for as Contract Accounting Method revenue. In our Video Intelligence segment, revenue decreased \$20.2 million, or 14%, due to timing of installations from a major customer, a decline in our distribution business in the APAC region, and a decline in Residual Method revenue due to the global economic downturn. For more details on our revenue by segment, see - Revenue by Operating Segment . Revenue in the Americas, EMEA, and APAC regions represented approximately 52%, 32%, and 16% of our total revenue, respectively, in the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to approximately 52%, 33%, and 15%, respectively, in the year ended January 31, 2008.

We had an operating loss of \$15.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to an operating loss of \$114.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. The decrease in operating loss was primarily due to an increase in gross profit of \$106.8 million to \$411.3 million, or 61%, from \$304.5 million, or 57%, partially offset by an increase of \$7.2 million in operating expenses. The increase in gross profit was primarily due to higher revenue and higher gross margin in our Workforce Optimization and Communications Intelligence segments, partially offset by lower revenue and lower gross margin in our Video Intelligence segment. The increase in operating expenses was due to a \$23.0 million increase in selling, general and administrative expenses and a \$5.6 million increase in amortization of intangible assets, primarily due to a full year of Witness being included in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to only eight months in the year ended January 31, 2008, as well as a \$3.0 million increase in impairment of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets, partially offset by a \$5.3 million reduction in integration and restructuring costs, a \$13.0 million decrease in legal fees associated with intellectual property litigation assumed in the Witness acquisition, net of settlement recovery, as well as the absence in the year ended January 31, 2009 of a \$6.7 million in-process research and development charge recorded in the year ended January 31, 2008. For additional information see - Impairment of Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets and Note 5, Intangible Assets and Goodwill to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

Table of Contents

We had a net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares of \$93.5 million and a loss per share of \$2.88 in the year ended January 31, 2009, compared to a net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares of \$207.3 million and a loss per share of \$6.43 in the year ended January 31, 2008. The decrease in our net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares and loss per share in the year ended January 31, 2009 was due to our higher gross profit and lower integration costs and the Witness intellectual property legal fees as described above, and to lower interest and other expenses, net of \$43.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2009, compared to interest and other expenses, net of \$55.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. The decrease in interest and other expenses was primarily a result of the repurchase by our broker of our auction rate securities (ARS) at the value equal to the par value plus interest.

The U.S. Dollar was mixed relative to the major foreign currencies where we do business (weakened versus the Euro and Israeli Shekel and strengthened versus the British Pound and Canadian Dollar) in the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to the year ended January 31, 2008. The net impact was unfavorable on our revenue primarily due to the weaker British Pound, and had a net unfavorable impact on our operating loss primarily due to the stronger Israeli Shekel (which caused our local expenses to be higher). Had foreign exchange rates remained constant in these periods, our total revenue would have been approximately \$5 million higher and our operating expenses and cost of revenue would have been approximately \$2 million lower, or a net favorable constant dollar impact of approximately \$7 million on our operating loss in the year ended January 31, 2009.

As of January 31, 2009, we employed approximately 2,550 employees, including part-time employees and certain contractors, as compared to approximately 2,600 as of January 31, 2008.

Table of Contents**Revenue by Operating Segment**

The following table sets forth revenue for each of our three operating segments for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Workforce Optimization	\$ 374,778	\$ 352,367	\$ 260,938	6%	35%
Video Intelligence	144,970	127,012	147,225	14%	(14%)
Communications Intelligence	183,885	190,165	126,380	(3%)	50%
Total revenue	\$ 703,633	\$ 669,544	\$ 534,543	5%	25%

Workforce Optimization Segment

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Workforce Optimization segment revenue increased approximately 6%, or \$22.4 million, to \$374.8 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$352.4 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to the completion of a multi-site installation for a major customer for which revenue was recognized upon final customer acceptance, as well as an increase in maintenance renewal revenue recognized at full value as a result of the elimination of the impact of purchase accounting adjustments to support obligations assumed. We recorded an adjustment reducing support obligations assumed in the Witness acquisition to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date. As a result, as required by business combination accounting rules, revenue related to maintenance contracts in the amount of \$5.2 million that would have been otherwise recorded by Witness as an independent entity, was not recognized in the year ended January 31, 2009. There was no remaining deferred revenue balance associated with the acquisition as of January 31, 2009. Historically, substantially all of our customers, including customers from acquired companies, renew their maintenance contracts when such contracts are eligible for renewal. To the extent these underlying maintenance contracts are renewed, we will recognize the revenue for the full value of these contracts over the maintenance periods, the substantial majority of which are one year.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. In our Workforce Optimization segment, revenue increased by \$91.5 million, or 35%, primarily due to a full year of Witness being included in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to only eight months in the year ended January 31, 2008, coupled with an increase in Witness maintenance renewal revenue recognized at full value as a result of the reduced impact of purchase accounting adjustments to support obligations assumed. We recorded an adjustment reducing support obligations assumed in the Witness acquisition to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date. As a result, as required by business combination accounting rules, revenue related to maintenance contracts in the amount of \$5.2 million and \$33.9 million that would have been otherwise recorded by Witness as an independent entity, was not recognized in the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During the year ended January 31, 2009, we combined the operations of Verint and Witness as well as integrated some of the products of both companies. As a result, we cannot accurately quantify the increase in revenue attributable to the Witness acquisition.

Table of Contents

Video Intelligence Segment

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. In our Video Intelligence segment, revenue increased by \$18.0 million, or 14%, almost entirely due to the product delivery of an order from a major customer, partially offset by a decrease of approximately \$7 million in Ratable Method revenue due to reduced volume of arrangements for which VSOE was not established.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Video Intelligence segment revenue decreased approximately 14%, or \$20.2 million, to \$127.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$147.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. Approximately 35% of the decrease was due to lower revenue from a major customer due to the timing of installations, approximately 35% of the decrease was due to a decline in our distribution business in the APAC region, and approximately 30% of the decrease was due to a decline in Residual Method revenue due to the global economic downturn.

Communications Intelligence Segment

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Communications Intelligence segment revenue decreased approximately 3%, or \$6.3 million, to \$183.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$190.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease of approximately \$33 million in Residual Method revenue associated with customer installations partially offset by an increase of approximately \$27 million in Contract Accounting Method revenue due to work performed on certain large projects.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Communications Intelligence segment revenue increased approximately 50%, or \$63.8 million, to \$190.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$126.4 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. The increase was due to increased business including several large project implementations that started during the year as well as the completion of certain installations and work performed for projects accounted for as Contract Accounting Method revenue. Approximately 60% of the increase was due to an increase in Residual Method revenue related to the completion of certain installations and approximately 30% of the increase was due to an increase in Contract Accounting Method revenue.

Volume and Price

We sell products in multiple configurations, and the price of any particular product varies depending on the configuration of the product sold. Due to the variety of customized configurations for each product we sell, we are unable to quantify the amount of any revenue increases attributable to a change in the price of any particular product and/or a change in the number of products sold.

Table of Contents**Revenue by Product Revenue and Service and Support Revenue**

We categorize and report our revenue in two categories – product revenue and service and support revenue. For multiple-element arrangements for which we are unable to establish VSOE of one or more elements, we use various available indicators of fair value and apply our best judgment to reasonably classify the arrangement’s revenue into product revenue and service and support revenue. For additional information see Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

The following table sets forth revenue for products and service and support for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Product revenue	\$ 374,272	\$ 365,485	\$ 333,130	2%	10%
Service and support revenue	329,361	304,059	201,413	8%	51%
Total revenue	\$ 703,633	\$ 669,544	\$ 534,543	5%	25%

Product Revenue

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Product revenue increased approximately 2%, or \$8.8 million, to \$374.3 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$365.5 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. The increase was primarily a result of our Video Intelligence segment which had a \$16.9 million increase in product revenue, as well as our Workforce Optimization segment which had an increase of \$8.9 million in product revenue. These increases were offset by a decrease of \$17.0 million in product revenue in our Communication Intelligence segment. For additional information see - Revenue by Operating Segment .

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Product revenue increased approximately 10%, or \$32.4 million, to \$365.5 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$333.1 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. The increase was primarily a result of our Communication Intelligence segment which had a \$47.4 million increase in product revenue, as well as an increase of \$6.6 million in our Workforce Optimization segment. These increases were offset by a decrease of \$21.6 million in product revenue in our Video Intelligence segment. For additional information see - Revenue by Operating Segment .

Table of Contents**Service and Support Revenue**

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Service and support revenue increased approximately 8%, or \$25.3 million, to \$329.4 million for the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$304.1 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. The increase was primarily in our Workforce Optimization segment which represented \$13.6 million of the total increase, as well as a combined increase of \$11.7 million in our Video Intelligence and Communications Intelligence segments. The increase in our Workforce Optimization segment was partially due to an increase in maintenance renewal revenue recognized at full value as a result of the elimination of the impact of purchase accounting adjustments to support obligations assumed. We recorded an adjustment reducing support obligations assumed in the Witness acquisition to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date. As a result, as required by business combination accounting rules, revenue related to maintenance contracts in the amount of \$5.2 million that would have been otherwise recorded by Witness as an independent entity, was not recognized in the year ended January 31, 2009.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Service and support revenue increased approximately 51%, or \$102.7 million, to \$304.1 million for the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$201.4 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. The increase was primarily in our Workforce Optimization segment which represented \$84.9 million of the total increase, as well as a combined increase of \$17.8 million in our Video Intelligence and Communications Intelligence segments. The increase in our Workforce Optimization segment was primarily due to a full year of Witness being included in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to only eight months in the year ended January 31, 2008, coupled with an increase in Witness maintenance renewal revenue recognized at full value as a result of the reduced impact of purchase accounting adjustments to support obligations assumed. We recorded an adjustment reducing support obligations assumed in the Witness acquisition to their estimated fair value at the acquisition date. As a result, as required by business combination accounting rules, revenue related to maintenance contracts in the amount of \$5.2 million and \$33.9 million that would have been otherwise recorded by Witness as an independent entity, was not recognized in the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Cost of Revenue

The following table sets forth cost of revenue by product and service and support, as well as amortization and impairment of acquired technology and backlog, for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Product cost of revenue	\$ 131,523	\$ 131,638	\$ 121,627	0%	8%
Service and support cost of revenue	100,391	117,588	100,397	(15%)	17%
Amortization and impairment of acquired technology and backlog	8,021	9,024	8,018	(11%)	13%
Total cost of revenue	\$ 239,935	\$ 258,250	\$ 230,042	(7%)	12%

Table of Contents***Product Cost of Revenue***

Product cost of revenue primarily consists of hardware material costs and royalties due to third parties for software components that are embedded in our software applications. As discussed under - Impact of Our VSOE/Revenue Recognition Policies on our Results of Operations , when revenue is deferred, we also defer hardware material costs and third-party software royalties and amortize those costs over the same period that the product revenue is recognized. Product cost of revenue also includes amortization of capitalized software development costs, charges for impairments of intangible assets, employee compensation and related expenses associated with our global operations, facility costs, and other allocated overhead expenses. In our Communications Intelligence segment, product cost of revenue also includes employee compensation and related expenses, contractor and consulting expenses, and travel expenses, in each case relating to resources dedicated to the delivery of customized projects for which certain contracts are accounted for under the Contract Accounting Method.

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Product cost of revenue decreased \$0.1 million to \$131.5 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$131.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. Our overall product margins have increased to 65% in the year ended January 31, 2010 from 64% in the year ended January 31, 2009 as a result of an increase in revenue and change in product mix. Product margins in our Video Intelligence segment increased to 61% in the year ended January 31, 2010 from 52% in the year ended January 31, 2009 and product margins in our Workforce Optimization segment increased to 86% in the year ended January 31, 2010 from 84% in the year ended January 31, 2009, in each case, primarily due to an increase in revenue coupled with a higher software component in the overall product mix. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in product margins in our Communication Intelligence segment to 52% in the year ended January 31, 2010 from 61% in the year ended January 31, 2009. This decrease is mainly due to increases in expenses attributable to a change in project mix, as Residual Method revenue declined and Contract Accounting method revenue increased, resulting in an increase in expenses relating to resources dedicated to the delivery of customized projects and lower product margins.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Product cost of revenue increased approximately 8% to \$131.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$121.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2008 primarily as a result of greater product revenue in our Communication Intelligence segment. This increase in revenue resulted in an increase in hardware material costs as well as expenses relating to resources dedicated to the delivery of customized projects, and included an increase in employee compensation and related expenses of \$6.0 million, an increase in consulting and contracting costs of \$3.2 million, and an increase in other product cost of revenue expenses of \$0.8 million. Product costs in our Workforce Optimization segment also increased as a result of an increase in product revenue. Product costs in our Video Intelligence segment decreased as a result of decrease in product revenue. Our overall product margins increased slightly as a result of higher revenue and product mix.

Service and Support Cost of Revenue

Service and support cost of revenue primarily consists of employee compensation and related expenses, contractor costs, and travel expenses relating to installation, training, consulting, and maintenance services. Service and support cost of revenue also include stock-based compensation expenses, facility costs, and other overhead expenses. As discussed under - Impact of Our VSOE/Revenue Recognition Policies on our Results of Operations , in accordance with GAAP and our accounting policy, the cost of revenue associated with the services is generally expensed as incurred in the period in which the services are performed, with the exception of certain transactions accounted for under the Contract Accounting Method.

Table of Contents

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Service and support cost of revenue decreased approximately 15% to \$100.4 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$117.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 primarily due to our cost-saving initiatives in our Workforce Optimization segment. Of these expenses, employee compensation and related expenses decreased \$7.0 million, travel and lodging expenses decreased \$3.4 million, stock-based compensation expense, contractor costs, personnel, and communication expenses in the aggregate decreased \$1.7 million, and other expenses decreased \$2.1 million all of which were a result of our cost-saving initiatives. In addition in the year ended January 31, 2009 we completed certain projects in our performance management business included in our Workforce Optimization segment, accounted for under the Contract Accounting Method. As a result, we recognized deferred service revenue and attributable costs of \$3.0 million. Our overall service margins increased to 70% in the year ended January 31, 2010 from 61% in the year ended January 31, 2009 due to increased service revenue and the decrease in service expenses discussed above. Contributing to the increase in gross margin was the fact that in certain cases expenses associated with service revenue recognized in the current year under the Ratable Method were recorded in prior periods when the costs were incurred. Going forward we expect a greater portion of our service revenue to be recognized in the same period as service expenses are incurred and therefore we do not expect to sustain this level of service margins. Service margins in our Workforce Optimization segment increased to 73% in January 31, 2010 from 65% in the year ended January 31 2009. Service margins in our Video Intelligence segment increased to 63% in the year ended January 31, 2010 from 54% in the year ended January 31, 2009. Service margins in our Communications Intelligence segment increased to 73% in the year ended January 31, 2010 from 68% in the year ended January 31, 2009.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Service and support cost of revenue increased approximately 17% to \$117.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$100.4 million in the year ended January 31, 2008 primarily due to a full year of Witness being included in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to only eight months in the year ended January 31, 2008. Of these expenses, employee compensation and related expenses increased \$8.3 million, service and support material costs increased \$4.3 million, contractor expenses increased \$1.7 million, travel and lodging expenses increased \$0.7 million, stock-based compensation expense increased \$0.6 million, and other expenses increased \$1.6 million.

Amortization and Impairment of Acquired Technology and Backlog

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Amortization and impairment of acquired technology and backlog decreased approximately 11% to \$8.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$9.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 primarily due to the weakening of the British Pound in which some of our intangible assets are denominated.

Table of Contents

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Amortization and impairment of acquired technology and backlog increased approximately 13% to \$9.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$8.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2008, primarily due to a full year of Witness in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 as compared to only eight months in the year ended January 31, 2008.

Research and Development, Net

Research and development expenses primarily consist of personnel and subcontracting expenses, facility costs, and other allocated overhead, net of certain software development costs that are capitalized as well as reimbursements under government programs. Software development costs are capitalized upon the establishment of technological feasibility and until related products are available for general release to customers.

The following table sets forth research and development, net expense for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Research and development, net	\$ 83,797	\$ 88,309	\$ 87,668	(5%)	1%

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Research and development, net expense decreased approximately 5% to \$83.8 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$88.3 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 primarily due to our cost-saving initiatives. Of these expenses, employee compensation and related expenses decreased \$1.6 million and contractor and consultant fees decreased \$4.0 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in stock-based compensation of \$1.1 million.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Research and development, net expense increased approximately 1% to \$88.3 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$87.7 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. The increase reflects increases in stock-based compensation of \$2.0 million, contractors and consultants fees of \$2.3 million, and other expenses totaling \$0.5 million, all of which were primarily due to a full year of Witness in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009. These increases were offset by the absence of our special retention program in the year ended January 31, 2009, which totaled \$4.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2008.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel costs and related expenses, professional fees, sales and marketing expenses, including travel, sales commissions and sales referral fees, facility costs, communication expenses, and other administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth selling, general and administrative expense for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 291,813	\$ 282,147	\$ 259,183	3%	9%

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately 3% to \$291.8 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$282.1 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 primarily due to an increase in professional fees associated with our restatement and extended filing status and partially offset by a decrease in other selling, general and administrative expenses.

Professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed financial statements through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status increased by approximately \$26 million to \$54 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from approximately \$28 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. We expect professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed financial statements through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status will decline in the year ending January 31, 2011. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in employee compensation and related expenses of \$5.2 million, a decrease in travel expenses of \$4.0 million, a decrease in communication expenses of \$1.7 million, a decrease in personnel expenses of \$1.3 million, and a reduction in other expenses totaling \$1.4 million all of which were due to our cost-saving initiatives. Agent commissions decreased \$2.7 million, due to decreased revenue in our Communications Intelligence segment.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased approximately 9% to \$282.1 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$259.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. Of these expenses, employee compensation and related expenses increased \$7.4 million partially due to a full year of Witness in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 offset by lower expenses in our Video Intelligence segment due to a decrease in employee headcount as a result of cost-saving initiatives and the absence of our special retention program. Other increases included an increase in stock-based compensation expense of \$2.1 million and an increase in rent and utilities expense of \$2.0 million, both of which were due to a full year of Witness in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009. Agent commissions increased \$9.3 million, due to increased revenue in our Communications Intelligence segment, and professional fees increased \$4.0 million. Professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed financial statements through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status increased by approximately \$2 million to \$28 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from approximately \$26 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. These increases were offset by a decline in sales commissions of \$3.2 million in approximately equal measures in our Workforce Optimization and Video Intelligence segments, due to a decline in customer orders received during the year, as well as other expense reductions totaling \$0.7 million.

Table of Contents**Amortization of Other Acquired Intangible Assets**

The following table sets forth amortization of other acquired intangible assets for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Amortization of other acquired intangible assets	\$ 22,268	\$ 25,249	\$ 19,668	(12%)	28%

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Amortization of other acquired intangible assets decreased approximately 12% to \$22.3 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$25.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 primarily due to the weakening of the British Pound in which some of our intangible assets are denominated. We report amortization of acquired trade names, customer relationships, and non-compete agreements as operating expenses.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Amortization of other acquired intangible assets increased approximately 28% to \$25.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$19.7 million in the year ended January 31, 2008 primarily due to a full year of Witness being included in our results for the year ended January 31, 2009 compared to only eight months in the year ended January 31, 2008.

In-Process Research and Development

In the year ended January 31, 2008, we expensed the fair value of in-process research and development upon the date of the associated acquisition, as it represents incomplete research and development projects that had not yet reached technological feasibility and has no known alternative future use as of the date of the acquisition. Technological feasibility is generally established when an enterprise completes all planning, designing, coding, and testing activities that are necessary to establish that a product can be produced to meet its design specifications, including functions, features, and technical performance requirements.

The following table sets forth in-process research and development expense for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
In-process research and development	\$	\$	\$ 6,682

Table of Contents

Year Ended January 31, 2008. In-process research and development expenses in the year ended January 31, 2008 primarily related to incomplete research and development projects attributable to the Witness acquisition. No in-process research and development charges were recorded for the years ended January 31, 2010 or 2009.

Impairments of Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets

The following table sets forth impairments of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Intangible asset impairment	\$	\$	\$ 2,295
Goodwill impairment		25,961	20,639
Impairments of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets	\$	\$ 25,961	\$ 22,934

Year Ended January 31, 2009. We recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$12.3 million in our Video Intelligence segment, as we fully impaired the remaining goodwill balance in one reporting unit in the Asia Pacific region, due to our decision in the fourth quarter to discontinue the development of a product line as a result of continued decline in our distribution business in that region. We also recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$13.7 million in our Workforce Optimization segment. The impairment in our Workforce Optimization segment was related to our performance management consulting business in the United States and was due primarily to overall lower than anticipated demand for our consulting services, which resulted in a decline in projected future revenue and cash flow. See Note 5, Intangible Assets and Goodwill to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

Year Ended January 31, 2008. We recorded a \$2.3 million impairment charge to customer relationships and a goodwill impairment charge of \$6.6 million in our Video Intelligence segment. The goodwill impairment charge was recorded due to a change in business strategy, which resulted in a decline in our distribution business in the APAC region. We reviewed our intangible assets for impairment in conjunction with our goodwill impairment review and determined that the customer relationships related to this business were also impaired. We also recorded a goodwill impairment charge of \$14.0 million in our Workforce Optimization segment. The impairment in our Workforce Optimization segment was related to our performance management consulting businesses in the United States and Europe and was due primarily to overall lower than anticipated demand for our consulting services, which resulted in a decline in projected future revenue and cash flow. See Note 5, Intangible Assets and Goodwill to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

Table of Contents**Integration, Restructuring and Other, Net**

The following table sets forth integration, restructuring and other, net for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Integration costs	\$ 141	\$ 3,261	\$ 10,980
Restructuring costs		5,685	3,308
Other legal costs (recoveries)		(4,292)	8,708
Integration, restructuring and other, net	\$ 141	\$ 4,654	\$ 22,996

Integration and Restructuring Costs

Year Ended January 31, 2010. We incurred additional restructuring costs of \$0.1 million, consisting primarily of severance and personnel-related costs resulting from headcount reductions and retentions made in the year ended January 31, 2009.

Year Ended January 31, 2009. We continually review our business to manage costs and align our resources with market demand. In connection with such reviews, and also in conjunction with the acquisition of Witness, we continued to take several actions in the year ended January 31, 2009 to reduce fixed costs, eliminate redundancies, strengthen areas needing operational focus, and better position us to respond to market pressures or unfavorable economic conditions. We incurred restructuring costs of \$5.7 million, consisting primarily of severance and personnel-related costs resulting from headcount reductions and retention, due to the acquisition of Witness and the restructuring of our Video Intelligence segment. As a result of the subsequent integration of the Witness and Verint businesses, and our Oracle enterprise resource planning re-engineering project, we incurred integration costs of \$3.3 million, the majority of which were professional fees.

Year Ended January 31, 2008. We continually review our business to manage costs and align our resources with market demand. In connection with such reviews, and also in conjunction with the acquisition of Witness, we took several actions in the year ended January 31, 2008 to reduce fixed costs, eliminate redundancies, strengthen areas needing operational focus, and better position us to respond to market pressures or unfavorable economic conditions. As a result of these actions, we incurred restructuring costs of \$3.3 million, in approximately equal measure as a result of acquiring Witness and from restructuring charges pertaining to the Video Intelligence segment. Also, resulting from the Witness acquisition and the subsequent integration of the Witness and Verint businesses, we incurred integration costs of \$11.0 million during the year ended January 31, 2008. The majority of these integration and restructuring costs consisted of severance and personnel-related costs resulting from headcount reductions and retention, professional fees, and costs associated with travel and lodging.

Table of Contents**Other Legal Costs**

Year Ended January 31, 2009. On August 1, 2008, we reached a settlement agreement related to an ongoing patent infringement litigation matter, and recorded \$9.7 million in settlement gains in the three months ended October 31, 2008. This gain was partially offset by \$5.4 million of legal fees incurred during the year ended January 31, 2009 resulting in a net recovery of \$4.3 million.

Year Ended January 31, 2008. We incurred \$8.7 million of legal fees related to an ongoing patent infringement litigation matter. This litigation was subsequently settled during the year ended January 31, 2009.

Other Income (Expense), Net

The following table sets forth total other income (expense), net for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Interest income	\$ 616	\$ 1,872	\$ 5,443	(67%)	(66%)
Interest expense	(24,964)	(37,211)	(36,862)	(33%)	1%
Other income (expense):					
Gains (losses) on investments		4,713	(4,713)	(100%)	(200%)
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	(1,898)	1,645	1,431	(215%)	15%
Losses on derivatives, net	(14,709)	(14,591)	(22,267)	1%	(34%)
Other, net	(516)	(308)	1,782	68%	(117%)
Total other expense	(17,123)	(8,541)	(23,767)	100%	(64%)
Total other income (expense), net	\$ (41,471)	\$ (43,880)	\$ (55,186)	(5%)	(20%)

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Total other income (expense), net, decreased \$2.4 million to an expense of \$41.5 million in the year ended January 31, 2010, compared to an expense of \$43.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2009. Interest income decreased to \$0.6 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$1.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 primarily due to lower interest rates. Interest expense decreased to \$25.0 million in the year ended January 31, 2010 from \$37.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 due to lower interest rates during the year ended January 31, 2010. Foreign currency losses in the year ended January 31, 2010 resulted from the strengthening U.S. Dollar against the British Pound, Euro and Israeli Shekel as compared to the foreign currency gains in the year ended January 31, 2009 resulting from the weakening U.S. Dollar against the British Pound, Euro and Israeli Shekel.

Table of Contents

In the year ended January 31, 2010, we recorded a net loss on derivatives of \$14.7 million. This loss was primarily attributable to a \$13.6 million loss in connection with a \$450.0 million interest rate swap contract entered into concurrently with our credit agreement. This interest rate swap is not designated as a hedging instrument under derivative accounting guidance, and accordingly, gains and losses from changes in the fair value are recorded in other income (expense), net. This loss was also partially due to a \$1.1 million loss on foreign currency derivatives, which represented the realized and unrealized portions of certain foreign currency hedges.

Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008. Total other income (expense), net, decreased \$11.3 million to an expense of \$43.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2009, compared to an expense of \$55.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2008. Interest income decreased to \$1.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$5.4 million in the year ended January 31, 2008 primarily due to lower interest rates. Interest expense increased to \$37.2 million in the year ended January 31, 2009 from \$36.9 million in the year ended January 31, 2008 due to an increase in our average debt balance year over year, offset by lower interest rates during the year ended January 31, 2009. In the year ended January 31, 2009, our investment in auction rate securities (ARS) with a carrying value of \$2.3 million, were repurchased by our broker at the value equal to the par value of \$7.0 million, resulting in a gain of \$4.7 million. Foreign currency gains (losses) were the result of the effect of currency rate movements, primarily between the U.S. Dollar and the Euro, British Pound Sterling, Israeli Shekel, and Canadian Dollar.

In the year ended January 31, 2009, we recorded a net loss on derivatives of \$14.6 million. This loss was primarily attributable to an \$11.5 million loss in connection with a \$450.0 million interest rate swap contract entered into concurrently with our credit agreement. This interest rate swap is not designated as a hedging instrument under derivative accounting guidance, and accordingly, gains and losses from changes in the fair value are recorded in other income (expense), net. This loss was also partially due to a \$3.1 million loss on foreign currency derivatives, which represented the realized and unrealized portions of our foreign currency hedges. As of January 31, 2009, some of our foreign-currency forward contracts were not designated as hedging instruments. Accordingly, the fair value of the contracts is reported as other current assets or other current liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet, and gains and losses from changes in fair value are reported in other income (expense), net.

Income Tax Provision

The following table sets forth our income tax provision for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,			% Change	
	2010	2009	2008	2010 - 2009	2009 - 2008
Provision for income taxes	\$ 7,108	\$ 19,671	\$ 27,729	(64%)	(29%)

Table of Contents

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. Our effective tax rate was 29.4% for the year ended January 31, 2010, as compared to (33.4)% for the year ended January 31, 2009. For the year ended January 31, 2010, our overall effective tax rate was lower than the U.S. statutory rate because we recorded valuation allowances against our U.S. pre-tax losses, thereby reducing the benefits we could otherwise record on such losses, while reporting an income tax provision on income in certain foreign jurisdictions with rates lower than the U.S. statutory rate. The rate was further impacted by non-deductible expenses and tax credits, primarily in foreign jurisdictions. For the year ended January 31, 2009, we recorded tax expense on a consolidated pre-tax loss resulting in a negative effective tax rate. In addition, during the year ended January 31, 2009, we recorded valuation allowances against our U.S. pre-tax losses resulting in no tax benefit being recorded and we incurred certain pre-tax expenses which were not deductible for tax purposes, including the impairment of goodwill. Excluding the impact of valuation allowances, our effective tax rate for the year ended January 31, 2010 would have been (2.6)%. A negative effective tax rate would result because the tax benefit of U.S. pre-tax losses, taxed at the U.S. statutory rate, exceeds the tax expense related to pre-tax income in various foreign jurisdictions being taxed at lower rates.

The manner in which we evaluate the need for valuation allowances is described in *Critical Accounting Policies* and in Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15. *Year Ended January 31, 2009 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2008.* Our effective tax rate was (33.4)% for the year ended January 31, 2009, as compared to (16.3)% for the year ended January 31, 2008. The effective tax rate was negative in both years due to the fact that we reported tax expense on a consolidated pre-tax loss, primarily because we recorded a valuation allowance against certain pre-tax losses while, at the same time, recording an income tax provision in profitable jurisdictions. Lower pre-tax losses reported in the current year, as compared to the prior year, coupled with the relative mix of income and losses by taxing jurisdictions with rates different than the U.S. statutory rate and the impact of permanent book to tax differences, resulted in a larger negative effective tax rate for the year ended January 31, 2009. The most significant permanent difference in each year related to non-deductible goodwill impairment charges. For the year ended January 31, 2008 we recorded valuation allowances against our U.S. deferred tax assets resulting in the recording of tax expense. For the year ended January 31, 2009 we continued to record valuation allowances against our U.S. deferred tax assets resulting in no tax benefit being recorded in the current year. These charges reduced the benefits we could record on our pre-tax losses. Excluding the impact of valuation allowances, our effective tax rate for the year ended January 31, 2009 would have been 17.9%, which was lower than the U.S. statutory tax rate primarily due to income in certain foreign jurisdictions being taxed at lower rates.

Backlog

The delivery cycles of most of our products are generally very short, ranging from days to several months, with the exception of certain projects with multiple deliverables over a longer period of time. Therefore, we do not view backlog as a meaningful indicator of future business activity and do not consider it a meaningful financial metric for evaluating our business.

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources*****Overview***

Prior to the year ended January 31, 2008, our primary source of liquidity was cash from operations, consisting of collections of our accounts receivable for services and products as well as cash advances from our customers. However, in the year ended January 31, 2008, we borrowed \$650.0 million under a new term loan facility (\$40.0 million of which was prepaid during the year ended January 31, 2008) and received \$293.0 million through the issuance of preferred stock to finance a significant portion of the Witness acquisition. We also have a \$15.0 million revolving line of credit, which we initially borrowed against on November 24, 2008, and this borrowing remains outstanding as of the date of this report. See - Liquidity and Capital Resources Requirements below for additional information regarding our credit agreement. Our primary uses of cash have been and are expected to continue to be for acquisitions of businesses, selling and marketing activities, research and development, professional fees, and capital expenditures. Beginning in the year ended January 31, 2008, uses of cash have also included interest payments and debt repayments.

The following table sets forth, for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, cash and cash equivalents, and other funded sources:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 184,335	\$ 115,928
Preferred stock (at carrying value)	\$ 285,542	\$ 285,542
Long-term debt	\$ 598,234	\$ 620,912

Year Ended January 31, 2010 compared to Year Ended January 31, 2009. At January 31, 2010, our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$184.3 million, an increase of \$68.4 million as compared to our January 31, 2009 balance. Our total short and long-term debt decreased during this same period by \$4.1 million as a result of a debt repayment made in May, 2009. This net increase in cash is due to our improved operating performance primarily as a result of our cost-saving initiatives.

Table of Contents**Statements of Cash Flows**

The following table summarizes selected items from our statements of cash flows for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ 100,837	\$ 53,635	\$ (299)
Net cash used in investing activities	(24,599)	(26,247)	(851,733)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(10,491)	11,888	885,017
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	2,660	(6,581)	923
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 68,407	\$ 32,695	\$ 33,908

Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities

Prior to the year ended January 31, 2008, we historically had positive cash provided by operating activities as our cash collections from operations exceeded our costs. In the year ended January 31, 2008, we made payments as a result of the Witness acquisition including interest expense, integration expense, and special employee compensation. In addition, we made professional fee and related expense payments associated with our restatement of previously filed financial statements and our extended filing delay status. These incremental payments resulted in a \$0.3 million use of cash in our operating activities in the year ended January 31, 2008. In the year ended January 31, 2009, due to our improved operating performance reflecting higher revenue and operating margins versus the prior year, our operating activities returned to a positive cash flow position of \$53.6 million. This improvement occurred despite increasing expenses related to restatements and our extended filing delay status during the year ended January 31, 2009. In the year ended January 31, 2010, our operating performance further improved to \$100.8 million, primarily due to our cost-saving initiatives.

During the year ended January 31, 2010, we generated \$100.8 million in cash from operating activities. This \$100.8 million in cash from operating activities was due to net income of \$17.1 million, non-cash items of \$97.4 million, primarily depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation, and non-cash losses on derivative financial instruments, lower deferred cost of revenue of \$14.1 million, and higher accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$12.9 million. These increases were partially offset by lower deferred revenue of \$21.1 million, higher accounts receivable of \$13.9 million, and higher prepaid expenses and other assets of \$11.5 million.

During the year ended January 31, 2009, we generated \$53.6 million in cash from operating activities. This \$53.6 million cash from operating activities was due to non-cash items of \$142.0 million, primarily depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation, impairment of assets, provision for deferred income taxes, and non-cash losses on derivative financial instruments, lower deferred cost of revenue of \$12.2 million, and lower prepaid expenses and other assets of \$8.9 million. These increases were partially offset by a net loss of \$78.6 million, lower accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$10.8 million, and lower deferred revenue of \$7.3 million.

Table of Contents

During the year ended January 31, 2008, we used \$0.3 million in cash in operating activities. The cash used consisted primarily of a net loss of \$197.5 million and increased accounts receivable of \$20.2 million due to higher revenue. This was partially offset by non-cash items of \$159.8 million, primarily depreciation and amortization, deferred income taxes, stock-based compensation, impairment of assets, and non-cash losses on derivative financial instruments, increased deferred revenue of \$25.1 million, lower prepaid expenses and other assets of \$14.0 million, lower deferred cost of revenue of \$5.6 million, and higher accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$8.5 million.

Net Cash Used by Investing Activities

During the year ended January 31, 2010, our investing activities used \$24.6 million primarily due to settlements of derivative financial instruments not designated as hedges of \$19.4 million and capital expenditures of \$5.0 million. During the year ended January 31, 2009, our investing activities used \$26.2 million in cash, primarily resulting from \$10.0 million of payments to settle derivative financial instruments not designated as hedges, and capital expenditures of \$11.1 million.

During the year ended January 31, 2008, \$851.7 million in cash was used in investing activities, principally due to the acquisition of Witness and ViewLinks Euclipse Ltd. with net assets acquired, net of cash, of \$953.2 million, and capital expenditures of \$14.2 million, partially offset by cash receipts from sales and maturities of investments, net of purchases, of \$120.5 million.

Currently, we have no significant commitments for capital expenditures.

Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities

During the year ended January 31, 2010, we used \$10.5 million in cash from financing activities, resulting from repayments of borrowings and other financing obligations of \$6.1 million and \$4.1 million of dividends paid to the noncontrolling stockholders of our joint venture.

During the year ended January 31, 2009, we generated \$11.9 million in cash from financing activities, primarily reflecting \$15.0 million of proceeds from borrowings under our revolving credit facility.

During the year ended January 31, 2008, we generated \$885.0 million in cash from financing activities, reflecting \$650.0 million of proceeds from borrowings under our new term loan and \$293.0 million of proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock to Comverse, partially offset by \$42.5 million of repayments of long-term debt and payment of \$13.6 million of debt issuance costs.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources Requirements

Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe that our cash and cash equivalents, and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet anticipated operating costs including required payments of principal and interest, working capital needs, capital expenditures, research and development spending, and other commitments for at least the next 12 months. Currently, we have no plans to pay any dividends on our preferred or common stock, which are not permitted under our credit agreement.

Our liquidity could be negatively impacted by a decrease in demand for our products and services and support, including the impact of changes in customer buying behavior due to the general global economic downturn. We have incurred significant professional fees and related expenses in connection with our restatement of previously filed financial statements through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status. We expect that we will continue to incur significant professional fees and costs in the first half of 2010. Our liquidity could be negatively impacted by these additional fees and costs. In the event we determine to make acquisitions or otherwise require additional funds, we may need to raise additional capital, which could involve the issuance of equity or debt securities. There can be no assurance that we would be able to raise additional equity or debt in the private or public markets on terms favorable to us, or at all.

On May 25, 2007, we entered into a \$650.0 million term loan and a \$25.0 million revolving credit facility with a group of banks to fund a portion of the acquisition of Witness. As of January 31, 2010, our outstanding term loan balance was \$605.9 million. The original \$25.0 million revolving credit facility was reduced to \$15.0 million in September 2008 due to the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers and the termination of its commitment under the credit agreement. We borrowed the entire \$15.0 million available to us in November 2008 and currently have no remaining balance available to us. We have made no payments during the year ended January 31, 2010 on the revolving credit facility. The term loan matures on May 25, 2014 and the revolving credit facility matures on May 25, 2013.

The credit agreement requires mandatory prepayments from the proceeds of certain asset sales, excess cash flow as defined by the agreement and proceeds of indebtedness as well as quarterly principal repayments. Any re-borrowings under the revolving credit facility are dependent upon certain conditions including the absence of any material adverse effect or change on our business, as defined in the credit agreement.

Table of Contents

The credit agreement contains one financial covenant that requires us to meet a certain consolidated leverage ratio, defined as our consolidated net total debt divided by consolidated EBITDA for the trailing four quarters. EBITDA is defined in our credit agreement as net income/(loss) plus income tax expense, interest expense, depreciation and amortization, losses related to hedge agreements, any extraordinary, unusual, or non-recurring expenses or losses, any other non-cash charges, and expenses incurred or taken prior to April 30, 2008 in connection with our acquisition of Witness, minus interest income, any extraordinary, unusual, or non-recurring income or gains, gains related to hedge agreements, and any other non-cash income. Under the credit agreement, the consolidated leverage ratio could not exceed 5.50:1 for the quarterly period ended January 31, 2008, and we were in compliance with such requirement as of such date. For the quarterly periods ended April 30, July 31, and October 31, 2008, the consolidated leverage ratio could not exceed 5.50:1 and we were in compliance with such requirement as of such dates. For the quarterly periods ended January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31, 2009, the consolidated leverage ratio could not exceed 4.50:1, and we were in compliance with such requirement as of such dates. For the quarterly periods ended January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31, 2010, the consolidated leverage ratio cannot exceed 3.50:1. As of January 31, 2010, we were in compliance with such requirement. For the quarterly periods ended January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31, 2011, the consolidated leverage ratio cannot exceed 2.50:1. For the quarterly period ended January 31, 2012 and thereafter, the consolidated leverage ratio cannot exceed 2.00:1.

Because our revenue recognition review resulted in changes in the way we recognize revenue from the way we did so at the time the credit agreement was put in place, it may be more difficult for us to maintain compliance with our leverage ratio covenant on a prospective basis than we expected at the time we entered into the credit agreement since the leverage ratio covenant is based on EBITDA, which is affected by revenue.

Based on our current expectations, we intend to reduce our outstanding debt by the end of the quarterly period ending January 31, 2011 in order to maintain compliance with the consolidated leverage ratio covenant using available cash or cash raised from financing activities. Alternatively, we may pursue an acquisition that is accretive to our earnings. There can be no assurance that we will be successful with any such financing activities or in pursuing such an acquisition.

In addition, we are subject to a number of restrictive covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur indebtedness, create liens, make fundamental business changes, dispose of property, make restricted payments including dividends, make significant investments, enter into sale and leasebacks, enter new lines of business, provide negative pledges, enter into transactions with related parties, and enter into any speculative hedges, although there are limited exceptions to these covenants. The credit agreement also includes a requirement that we submit audited consolidated financial statements to the lenders within 90 days of the end of each fiscal year. If audited consolidated financial statements are not so delivered, and such failure of delivery is not remedied within 30 days thereafter, an event of default occurs. On April 27, 2010, we entered into an amendment to the credit agreement to extend the due date for delivery of audited consolidated financial statements and related documentation for the year ended January 31, 2010 from May 1, 2010 to June 1, 2010. In consideration for this amendment, we paid approximately \$0.9 million.

Effective on February 25, 2008, our applicable borrowing margin increased by 0.25%, pursuant to the terms of the facility, because we did not provide certain audited financial statements to our lenders. Additionally, on August 25, 2008, the applicable margins increased another 0.25%, or 0.50% in total, since we did not deliver audited financial statements to our lenders.

See **Risk Factors** We have incurred significant indebtedness as a result of the acquisition of Witness, which makes us highly leveraged, subjects us to restrictive covenants, and could adversely affect our operations under Item 1A.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to comply with any of the requirements in the credit agreement, an event of default could occur which could cause or permit holders of the debt to declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable. In that event, we may be forced to sell assets, raise additional capital through a securities offering, or seek to refinance or restructure our debt. In such a case, we may not be able to consummate such a sale, securities offering, or refinancing or restructuring of the debt on reasonable terms, or at all.

Contractual Obligations

At January 31, 2010, our contractual obligations were as follows:

(in thousands)	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		< 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	> 5 years
Long-term debt obligations, including interest	\$ 741,632	\$ 65,884	\$ 98,137	\$ 577,611	\$
Operating lease obligations	46,173	12,536	20,988	9,994	2,655
Purchase obligations	33,827	32,756	1,071		
Other long-term obligations	1,700	600	1,100		
Total contractual obligations	\$ 823,332	\$ 111,776	\$ 121,296	\$ 587,605	\$ 2,655

The long-term debt obligations reflected above include projected interest payments over the term of the debt, assuming an interest rate of 3.49%, which was the interest rate in effect for both our term loan and revolving credit agreement borrowings as of January 31, 2010. The terms of our long-term debt obligations are further discussed in Note 6, Long-term Debt to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15. The long-term debt obligations also include the projected quarterly settlements of our interest rate swap, through its expiration in May 2011, using the same future interest rate assumptions that underlie the estimated fair value of the swap at January 31, 2010.

Our purchase obligations are associated with agreements for purchases of goods or services generally including agreements that are enforceable and legally binding and that specify all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum, or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transactions. The table above also includes agreements to purchase goods or services that have cancellation provisions requiring little or no payment. The amounts under such contracts are included in the table above because we believe that cancellation of these contracts is unlikely and we expect to make future cash payments according to the contract terms or in similar amounts for similar materials.

Our consolidated balance sheet at January 31, 2010 includes \$25.7 million of non-current tax reserves, net of related benefits (including interest and penalties of \$7.1 million, net of federal benefit) for uncertain tax positions. However these amounts are not included in the table above because it is not possible to predict or estimate the timing of payments for these obligations. We do not expect to make any significant payments for these uncertain tax positions within the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

We lease certain of our current facilities, furniture, and equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. We are typically required to pay property taxes, insurance, and normal maintenance costs for these facilities.

In the normal course of business, we provide certain customers with financial performance guarantees, which are generally backed by standby letters of credit or surety bonds. In general, we would only be liable for the amounts of these guarantees in the event that our nonperformance permits termination of the related contract by our customer, which we believe is remote. At January 31, 2010, we had approximately \$7.4 million of outstanding letters of credit and surety bonds relating to these performance guarantees. As of January 31, 2010, we believe we were in compliance with our performance obligations under all contracts for which there is a financial performance guarantee, and the ultimate liability, if any, incurred in connection with these guarantees will not have a material adverse affect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. Our historical noncompliance with our performance obligations has been insignificant.

In the normal course of business, we provide indemnifications of varying scopes to customers against claims of intellectual property infringement made by third parties arising from the use of our products. Historically, costs related to these indemnification provisions have not been significant and we are unable to estimate the maximum potential impact of these indemnification provisions on our future results of operations.

To the extent permitted under Delaware law or other applicable law, we indemnify our directors, officers, employees, and agents against claims they may become subject to by virtue of serving in such capacities for us. We also have contractual indemnification agreements with our directors, officers, and certain senior executives. The maximum amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification arrangements and agreements is potentially unlimited; however, we have insurance coverage that limits our exposure and enables us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We are not able to estimate the fair value of these indemnification arrangements and agreements in excess of applicable insurance coverage, if any.

Subsequent Events

The following summarizes significant developments since January 31, 2010.

Acquisition of Iontas

On February 4, 2010, our wholly owned subsidiary, Verint Americas, acquired all of the outstanding shares of Iontas, a privately held provider of desktop analytics solutions. Iontas solutions measure application usage and analyze workflows to help improve staff performance in contact center, branch, and back-office operations environments. Iontas desktop analytics solutions will be tightly integrated into our Impact 360[®] Workforce Optimization suite. We acquired Iontas for approximately \$15.2 million in cash (net of cash and net assets acquired) and potential additional earn-out payments tied to certain targets being achieved over a two-year period.

Table of Contents

Wells Notices

On April 9, 2008, as we previously reported, we received a Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC arising from the staff's investigation of our past stock option grant practices and certain unrelated accounting matters. These accounting matters also were the subject of our internal investigation. On March 3, 2010, the SEC filed a settled enforcement action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York relating to certain of our accounting reserve practices. Without admitting or denying the allegations in the SEC's Complaint, we consented to the issuance of a Final Judgment permanently enjoining us from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A), and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. The settled SEC action did not require us to pay any monetary penalty and sought no relief beyond the entry of a permanent injunction. The SEC's related press release noted that, in accepting the settlement offer, the SEC considered our remediation and cooperation in the SEC's investigation. The settlement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York on March 9, 2010.

On December 23, 2009, as we previously reported, we received an additional Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC relating to our failure to file our periodic reports under the Exchange Act. On March 3, the SEC issued an OIP pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock because of our previous failure to file an annual report on either Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005. An Administrative Law Judge will consider the evidence in the Section 12(j) proceeding and has been directed in the OIP to issue an initial decision within 120 days of service of the OIP. We are currently evaluating the Section 12(j) OIP, including available procedural remedies, and intend to defend against the possible suspension or revocation of the registration of our common stock.

Amendment to Credit Agreement

On April 27, 2010, we entered into an amendment to our credit agreement to extend the due date for delivery of audited consolidated financial statements and related documentation for the year ended January 31, 2010 from May 1, 2010 to June 1, 2010. In consideration for this amendment, we paid \$0.9 million. This payment will be amortized as additional interest expense over the remaining term of the credit agreement using the effective interest method. Legal fees and other out-of-pocket costs directly relating to the amendment, which are expensed as incurred, were not significant.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Standards Implemented:

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) revised their guidance on business combinations. This new guidance requires an acquiring entity to measure and recognize identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, and contingent consideration at their fair value at the acquisition date with subsequent changes recognized in earnings. In addition, acquisition related costs and restructuring costs are recognized separately from the business combination and expensed as incurred. The new guidance also requires acquired in-process research and development costs to be capitalized as an indefinite-lived intangible asset and requires that changes in accounting for deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties after the measurement period be recognized as a component of the provision for income taxes. In April 2009, the FASB issued a new standard which clarified the accounting for pre-acquisition contingencies. This guidance was effective for us beginning on February 1, 2009. For further discussion see Note 4, Business Combinations to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

In December 2007, the FASB issued a new accounting standard which establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent's ownership interest and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. The new standard also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. On February 1, 2009, we adopted this standard, and the presentation and disclosure requirements of this standard were applied retrospectively to all periods presented, as required by the standard. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, other than the following changes in presentation of the noncontrolling interest:

Net income (loss) now includes net income (loss) attributable to both Verint Systems Inc. and the noncontrolling interest in the consolidated statements of operations. The presentation of net income (loss) in prior periods excluded the noncontrolling interest in the net income of our joint venture. Net income (loss) excluding the noncontrolling interest in the net income of our joint venture is now presented after net income (loss), with the caption net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.

The noncontrolling interest, which was previously reflected in other liabilities, is now presented in stockholders' equity (deficit), separate from Verint Systems Inc.'s stockholders' equity (deficit), in the consolidated balance sheets.

The consolidated statements of cash flows now begin with net income (loss), including the noncontrolling interest, instead of net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.

Table of Contents

In March 2008, the FASB amended the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. This new guidance requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This guidance was effective for us beginning on February 1, 2009. For further discussion, see Note 13, Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Financial Instruments to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15.

In April 2009, the FASB issued staff positions that require enhanced fair value disclosures, including interim disclosures, on financial instruments; determination of fair value in turbulent markets; and recognition and presentation of other than temporary impairments. These staff positions were effective beginning with our quarter ended July 31, 2009. These staff positions will enhance our interim disclosures but will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued a standard that establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. In February 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to this guidance that removed the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. This standard as amended was effective for us beginning with our interim period ended July 31, 2009. The adoption of this standard, as amended, had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

During the third quarter of the year ended January 31, 2010, we adopted the new Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) as issued by the FASB. The ASC has become the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. The ASC is not intended to change or alter existing GAAP. The adoption of the ASC had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

New Standards to be Implemented:

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard related to the consolidation of variable interest entities, requiring a company to perform an analysis to determine whether its variable interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This analysis requires a company to assess whether it has the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity and if it has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. This standard requires an ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and significantly enhances disclosures. The standard may be applied retrospectively to previously issued financial statements with a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the first year restated. This standard is effective for us for the fiscal year beginning on February 1, 2010. We are in the process of evaluating this standard and therefore have not yet determined the impact that adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance that applies to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This guidance also provides principles and application guidance on whether a revenue arrangement contains multiple deliverables, how the arrangement should be separated, and how the arrangement consideration should be allocated. The guidance requires an entity to allocate revenue in a multiple-deliverable arrangement using estimated selling prices of the deliverables if a vendor does not have VSOE or third-party evidence of selling price. It eliminates the use of the residual method and, instead, requires an entity to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method. It also expands disclosure requirements with respect to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements.

Also in October 2009, the FASB issued guidance related to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements that contain both software and hardware elements, focusing on determining which revenue arrangements are within the scope of existing software revenue guidance. This additional guidance removes tangible products from the scope of the software revenue guidance and provides guidance on determining whether software deliverables in an arrangement that includes a tangible product are within the scope of the software revenue guidance.

The above guidance related to revenue recognition should be applied on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. It will be effective for us in our fiscal year beginning February 1, 2011, although early adoption is permitted. Alternatively, an entity can elect to adopt the provisions of these issues on a retrospective basis. We are assessing the impact that the application of this new guidance may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued amended standards that require additional fair value disclosures. These disclosure requirements are effective in two phases. Effective in our fiscal year beginning February 1, 2010, the amended standards will require enhanced disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value as well as disclosures about significant transfers between categories of the fair value measurement hierarchy. Effective in our fiscal year beginning February 1, 2011, the amended standards will require presentation of disaggregated activity within the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). These amended standards do not significantly impact our consolidated financial statements.

Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial condition due to adverse changes in financial market prices and rates. We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. To manage the volatility relating to interest rate and foreign currency risks, we periodically enter into derivative instruments including foreign currency forward exchange contracts and interest rate swap agreements. It is our policy to enter into derivative transactions only to the extent considered necessary to meet our risk management objectives. We use derivative instruments solely to reduce the financial impact of these risks and do not use derivative instruments for trading purposes.

Table of Contents**Credit Agreement**

On May 25, 2007, to partially finance the acquisition of Witness, we entered into a \$675.0 million secured financing arrangement comprised of a seven-year \$650.0 million term loan facility and a six-year \$25.0 million revolving credit facility (the facilities). As of January 31, 2010, we had \$605.9 million outstanding under the term loan. The \$25.0 million revolving credit facility was subsequently reduced to \$15.0 million due to the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers and in November 2008, we borrowed the full \$15.0 million under the facility, which remained outstanding as of January 31, 2010.

Borrowings under the facilities bear interest at a rate of, at our election, (a) 1.75% plus the higher of (i) prime rate and (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% or (b) 2.75% over the London Interbank Offered Rate, or LIBOR. In the case of the former, the interest rate adjusts in unison with the underlying index. In the case of LIBOR borrowings, the interest rate adjusts at the end of the relevant LIBOR period. Effective on February 25, 2008, our applicable margins indicated above increased by 0.25%, pursuant to the terms of the facility, because we did not provide certain audited financial statements to our lenders. Additionally, on August 25, 2008 the applicable margins increased another 0.25%, or 0.50% in total, since we did not deliver audited financial statements to our lenders. We have now delivered the audited financial statements for the year ended January 31, 2010 to our lenders and after receipt of appropriate credit ratings from Standard & Poors and Moody's Investor Services, the applicable margins described above will be determined by reference to our credit ratings, and will range from 1.00% to 1.75% in the case of prime rate (or federal funds) based borrowings, and from 2.00% to 2.75% for LIBOR-based borrowings.

Interest Rate Risk on Our Debt

Because the interest rates applicable to borrowings under the facilities are variable, we are exposed to market risk from changes in the underlying index rates, which affect our cost of borrowing. To partially mitigate this risk, and in part because we were required to do so by the lenders, when we entered into our credit facilities in May 2007, we executed a pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swap with a multinational financial institution under which we pay fixed interest at 5.18% and receive variable interest of three-month LIBOR on a notional amount of \$450.0 million. This instrument is settled with the counterparty on a quarterly basis, and matures on May 1, 2011. As of January 31, 2010, of the \$605.9 million of borrowings that were outstanding under the term loan, the interest rate on \$450.0 million of such borrowings was substantially fixed by utilization of the interest rate swap. Interest on the remaining \$155.9 million was variable. If the market interest rates for one or three-month LIBOR changed by 1.00% as of January 31, 2010, the annual interest expense on these borrowings would change by approximately \$1.6 million. This interest rate swap is not designated as a hedging instrument under applicable accounting guidance and is accounted for as a derivative, whereby the fair value of the instrument is reported on our consolidated balance sheets, and gains and losses from changes in its fair value, whether realized or unrealized, are reported in other income (expense), net. For the year ended January 31, 2010, we recorded losses on this instrument of approximately \$13.6 million in other income (expense), net on the consolidated statements of operations. These losses reflect the decline in market interest rates during the year ended January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The counterparty to our interest rate swap is a multinational financial institution. Despite the recent disruption in the global financial markets, we believe the risk of this counterparty's nonperformance of its obligations is not material. Currently and for the expected remaining term of the agreement, the swap is in the counterparty's favor and not ours, so we do not expect to have counterparty risk as a result of the significant decline in interest rates since first quarter 2008.

Investments

We invest in cash, cash equivalents, and bank time deposits. Interest rate changes could result in an increase or decrease in interest income we generate from these interest-bearing assets. Our cash, cash equivalents, and bank time deposits are primarily maintained at high credit-quality financial institutions around the world. The primary objective of our investment activities is the preservation of principal while maximizing investment income and minimizing risk. We have investment guidelines relative to diversification and maturities designed to maintain safety and liquidity. As of January 31, 2010, we had cash and cash equivalents totaling approximately \$184.3 million, consisting of demand deposits and bank time deposits having maturities of three months or less. We also held \$5.2 million of cash equivalents which were restricted for purposes of securing certain short-term performance obligations, and were not available for general operating use.

As of January 31, 2009, we had cash and cash equivalents totaling approximately \$115.9 million, consisting of demand deposits and bank time deposits having maturities of three months or less. We also held \$7.7 million of cash equivalents which were restricted for purposes of securing certain short-term performance obligations, and were not available for general operating use.

Interest Rate Risk on Our Investments

To provide a meaningful assessment of the interest rate risk associated with our investment portfolio, we performed a sensitivity analysis to determine the impact a change in interest rates would have on the value of the investment portfolio assuming, during the year ended January 31, 2011, average short-term interest rates increase or decrease by 50 basis points relative to average rates realized during the year ended January 31, 2010. Such a change would cause our projected interest income from cash, cash equivalents, and bank time deposits to increase or decrease by approximately \$0.9 million, assuming a similar level of investments in the year ended January 31, 2011 as in the year ended January 31, 2010.

Due to the short-term nature of our cash and cash equivalents and time deposits, the carrying values approximate market values and are not generally subject to price risk due to fluctuations in interest rates. See Note 3, Investments to the consolidated financial statements included in Item 15 for more information regarding our short-term investments.

Table of Contents**Foreign Currency Exchange Risk**

The functional currency for each of our foreign subsidiaries is the respective local currency with the exception of our subsidiaries in Israel and Canada, whose functional currencies are the U.S. Dollar. We are exposed to foreign exchange rate fluctuations as we convert the financial statements of our foreign subsidiaries into U.S. Dollars for consolidated reporting purposes. If there is a change in foreign currency exchange rates, the conversion of the foreign subsidiaries' financial statements into U.S. Dollars results in a gain or loss which is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income within stockholders' equity (deficit).

Our international operations subject us to risks associated with currency fluctuations. While most of our revenue and expenses are denominated in U.S. Dollars, we do have a significant portion of our operating expenses, primarily labor expenses, that is denominated in the local currencies where our foreign operations are located, primarily Israel, the United Kingdom, Germany, and Canada. As a result, our consolidated U.S. Dollar operating results are subject to the potentially adverse impact of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates between the U.S. Dollar and the other currencies in which we conduct business.

In addition, we have certain assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than the respective entity's functional currency. Changes in the functional currency value of these assets and liabilities create fluctuations that result in gains or losses. We recorded foreign currency transaction gains and losses, realized and unrealized, in other income (expense), net on the consolidated statements of operations, of approximately \$1.9 million of net losses in the year ended January 31, 2010, \$1.6 million of net gains in the year ended January 31, 2009, and \$1.4 million of net gains in the year ended January 31, 2008.

Additionally, from time to time, we enter into foreign currency forward contracts in an effort to reduce the volatility of cash flows primarily related to forecasted payroll and payroll-related expenses denominated in Israeli Shekels and Canadian Dollars. These contracts are limited to durations of approximately six months or less. Our 50% owned joint venture in Singapore enters into foreign currency forward contracts in an effort to reduce the volatility of cash flows primarily related to forecasted dollar denominated accounts payable payments. These contracts are limited to durations of approximately one year or less. We have not entered into any foreign currency forward contracts for trading or speculative purposes.

During the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, we realized net losses of \$2.6 million, \$2.1 million and net gains of \$1.8 million, respectively, on settlements of foreign currency forward contracts not designated as hedges. We had \$0.5 million of net unrealized losses on outstanding foreign currency forward contracts as of January 31, 2010, with notional amounts totaling \$50.4 million. We had \$1.9 million of net unrealized losses on outstanding foreign currency forward contracts as of January 31, 2009, with notional amounts totaling \$35.9 million.

A sensitivity analysis was performed on all of our foreign exchange derivatives as of January 31, 2010. This sensitivity analysis was based on a modeling technique that measures the hypothetical market value resulting from a 10% shift in the value of exchange rates relative to the U.S. Dollar. A 10% increase in the value of the U.S. Dollar would lead to a decrease in the fair value of our hedging instruments by \$4.7 million. Conversely, a 10% decrease in the value of the U.S. Dollar would result in an increase in the fair value of these financial instruments by \$5.7 million.

Table of Contents

The counterparties to these foreign currency forward contracts are multinational commercial banks. While we believe the risk of counterparty nonperformance is not material, the recent disruption in the global financial markets has impacted some of the financial institutions with which we do business. A sustained decline in the financial stability of financial institutions as a result of the disruption in the financial markets could affect our ability to secure creditworthy counterparties for our foreign currency hedging programs.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The financial statements and supplementary data required by this item are set forth at the pages indicated at Item 15(a).

Item 9. Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9a. Controls and Procedures

The information contained in this section covers management's evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures and our assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as of January 31, 2010. Disclosure controls and procedures are those controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported, within the time periods specified by the rules and forms promulgated by the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. As a result of this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of January 31, 2010 because of the material weaknesses set forth below.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our system of internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with GAAP.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect every misstatement. An evaluation of effectiveness is subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with policies or procedures may decrease over time.

Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (a) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (b) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (c) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized use, acquisition, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010. In making this assessment, we utilized the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control – Integrated Framework.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. As a result of this evaluation, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of January 31, 2010 because of the material weaknesses set forth below.

We have made significant progress implementing new control activities related to monitoring, financial reporting and revenue and cost of revenue discussed below under Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Remediation Activities . However, not all ineffective control activities identified in our evaluation of internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2009 had been remediated as of January 31, 2010 and certain controls had not operated effectively for a sufficient period of time to allow us to conclude that the material weaknesses had been remediated. Therefore, we concluded that there were material weaknesses in our control activities over monitoring, financial reporting and revenue and cost of revenue as of January 31, 2010.

In addition, while we believe that the design of the control activities for income taxes discussed below under Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Remediation Activities are effective, the controls had not been operating effectively for a sufficient period of time to allow us to conclude that the material weakness had been remediated.

Therefore, the identified income tax related material weakness still exists as of January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of our material weaknesses as of January 31, 2010:

Monitoring

Effective monitoring enables a company to determine whether internal control over financial reporting is present and functioning. We did not design adequate monitoring controls related to our subsidiaries, such that we could not be assured that a material misstatement of financial results would be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Financial Reporting

We did not maintain effective controls over the period-end financial close and reporting processes in relation to the consolidation of our subsidiaries' financial statements and our monitoring of non-routine and complex accounting matters. Due to the actual and potential errors on financial statement balances and disclosures, management has concluded that these deficiencies in internal controls over the period-end financial close and reporting processes constituted a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting.

Revenue and Cost of Revenue

We did not maintain effective internal controls over order management, contract management, master file monitoring, issuance of credit memos and policies and procedures to ensure effective controls over accounts receivable and the recognition of revenue, deferred revenue and cost of revenue in accordance with GAAP, which could have resulted in material errors in accounts receivable and the recognition of revenue and related cost of revenue. While remediation efforts occurred in the following areas, not all areas were completely remediated or the controls designed were not operating effectively for a sufficient period of time to be deemed effective as of January 31, 2010. Specifically:

- a) we lacked sufficient personnel with appropriate knowledge, experience and training in the complexities of current GAAP related to software revenue recognition;
- b) we did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to determine VSOE for installation, training services, or certain post-contract customer support agreements;
- c) we did not establish adequate review procedures or effective controls to determine proper accounting treatment for multiple element sales arrangements;
- d) we did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to ensure that all elements included in a multiple element arrangement were timely identified and measured including establishment of VSOE of fair value for undelivered elements;
- e) we did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to identify the nature of projects, capture the necessary data, and determine the appropriate accounting treatment for arrangements subject to contract accounting;

Table of Contents

- f) we did not establish or maintain appropriate policies and procedures to identify, capitalize, and amortize product costs associated with revenue arrangements;
- g) we did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to identify sufficient evidence of customer delivery and acceptance; and
- h) we lacked consistent communication and coordination between and among the various finance and non-finance organizations across the company on the scope and terms of customer arrangements, including the proper identification of all undelivered contractual obligations that impacted revenue recognition.

Income Taxes

We did not maintain adequate policies and procedures and related internal controls or employ adequate resources with sufficient technical expertise in the area of accounting for income taxes for a sufficient period of time to ensure the completeness, accuracy, and timely preparation and review of our consolidated income tax provision, related account balances, and disclosures sufficient to prevent a material misstatement of related account balances.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, expressed an adverse opinion on our internal control over financial reporting because of the material weaknesses described above.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management performed extensive procedures designed to ensure the reliability of our financial reporting. In addition to other internal processes undertaken, procedures performed included, but were not limited to the following actions: (a) dedicating significant resources, including the engagement of subject matter specialists, to support management in its efforts to complete our financial filings, (b) expending substantial resources in response to the findings of the Comverse investigation relating to stock based compensation errors associated with stock option grants issued to Verint employees previously employed by Comverse, and (c) performing extensive substantive reviews of our revenue recognition, income and expense classification, and tax provisions. Based on these procedures, we have concluded that the consolidated financial statements included in this report fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for the interim and annual periods for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

As discussed below under Remediation Activities, there have been changes in our internal control over financial reporting identified as part of our evaluation that occurred during the three months ended January 31, 2010 that have materially affected our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Remediation Activities

During the year ended January 31, 2010, we evaluated the remedial actions to address our previously disclosed material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting. As a result of the actions described below, our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that we have remediated the material weaknesses related to risk assessment and equity compensation and are still in the process of remediating the material weaknesses noted above in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Discussed below are our remediation efforts from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010, as well as changes made to our internal control over financial reporting from February 1, 2010 through the date of this report.

Risk Assessment

As of January 31, 2009, we failed to perform an adequate global risk assessment to identify all material locations, balances, and related fraud risks when evaluating our internal control over financial reporting and therefore, we did not maintain an effective process to identify, analyze, and manage risks associated with financial reporting and anti-fraud programs and controls. In response to this material weakness, we have, among other things, appointed a Chief Compliance Officer establishing a robust world-wide compliance program, performed a detailed global scoping and risk assessment analysis for the year ended January 31, 2010 in order to identify all material locations, and conducted a global fraud risk assessment and IT risk assessment with the assistance of third party specialists.

Equity Compensation

As of January 31, 2009, we did not maintain adequate policies and procedures to ensure effective controls over the administration, accounting, and disclosure for stock-based compensation sufficient to prevent a material misstatement of related compensation expense. In response to this material weakness, we engaged a large global public accounting firm to act as an external subject matter expert with respect to the accounting for and disclosure of stock-based compensation related matters, including providing additional training in the related accounting guidance and accounting assistance. We also centralized responsibility for the administration of stock-based compensation within the purview of the Senior VP and Corporate Controller. In addition, sufficient procedures were developed and implemented, which resulted in the proper recognition and disclosure of our stock based compensation expense as of January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Financial Reporting

Due to a lack of adequate systems, processes, and resources with sufficient GAAP knowledge, experience, and training, we concluded that we did not maintain effective controls over the period-end financial close and reporting processes as of January 31, 2009. The specific deficiencies contributing to this material weakness related to inadequate policies and procedures, ineffective procedures and controls over journal entries, accruals and reserves, and account reconciliations, inadequate segregation of duties, deficiencies in end-user computing controls of critical spreadsheets, and inadequate controls over our property and equipment process. We have subsequently implemented changes and improvements in our internal control over financial reporting, including the following:

- formalization and communication of our critical accounting policies and procedures to ensure worldwide compliance with GAAP;
- implementation of improved journal entry procedures;
- implementation of rigorous policies and procedures related to accounts requiring management estimates, as well as other complex areas, which include multiple levels of review;
- implementation of policies and procedures designed to ensure reconciliations were accurate in all material respects, completed in a timely manner, and properly reviewed by management;
- policy implementation over access to our financial applications as well as procedures designed to ensure adequate segregation of duties;
- establishment of end user computing policy and guidelines for managing the use of critical financial reporting spreadsheets to reduce the risk of financial reporting errors;
- enhancement of procedures related to the classification and selection of consistent useful lives formally documented in our fixed and long-lived assets global policy;
- appointed a VP of Global Accounting to help ensure accurate consistent application of GAAP; and
- expanded our accounting policy and controls organization by creating and filling new positions with qualified accounting and finance personnel, increasing significantly the number of persons who are Certified Public Accountants (CPAs) or the CPA international equivalent.

Monitoring

In order to adequately monitor our subsidiaries and be assured that a material misstatement of our financial results would be prevented or detected on a timely basis, we have designed and are completing our implementation of analytical procedures to review the financial results at each of our subsidiary locations on a regular basis.

Table of Contents

Revenue and Cost of Revenue

The following remedial efforts have been completed with respect to the remediation of our material weakness in internal control over financial reporting related to revenue and cost of revenue:

we have expended substantial resources and have performed extensive, substantive reviews of our revenue recognition and cost of revenue policies and procedures;

appointed a VP Finance and Global Revenue Controller and Regional Revenue Controllers, and established a centralized revenue recognition department to address complex revenue recognition matters and to provide oversight and guidance on the design of controls and processes to enhance and standardize revenue recognition accounting application;

significantly increased our investment in the design and implementation of enhanced information technology systems and user applications commensurate with the complexity of our business and our financial reporting requirements, including a broader and more sophisticated implementation of our Enterprise Resource Planning system, particularly in the area of revenue recognition accounting. It is expected that these investments will improve the reliability of our financial reporting by reducing the need for manual processes, reducing the chance for errors and omissions and thereby decrease our reliance on manual controls to detect and correct accounting and financial reporting inaccuracies; and

provided training to increase our general understanding of revenue recognition principles and enhance awareness of the implications associated with non-standard arrangements requiring specific revenue recognition.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

Management made substantial changes in an effort to remediate the material weakness related to Income Taxes as of January 31, 2010, including the following:

- established a corporate tax department in the first quarter of the year ended January 31, 2009, which now includes a Vice President, Domestic Director, International Director, Tax Manager and two full-time tax accountants;
- engaged external tax advisors, to prepare and/or review significant tax provisions for compliance with accounting guidance for income taxes, as well as any changes in local tax law;
- implemented a tax software program designed to prepare the consolidated income tax provisions and related footnote disclosures;
- engaged subject matter experts with specialized international and consolidated income tax knowledge to assist in creating, implementing, and documenting a consolidated tax process;
- implemented policies and procedures related to amounts requiring management estimates, such as uncertain tax positions and valuation allowances, which include multiple levels of review;
- implemented policies and procedures designed to standardize tax provision computations and ensure reconciliations of key tax accounts were accurate in all material respects and properly reviewed by management;
- trained personnel involved in the preparation and review of income tax accounts; and
- formalized internal reporting, monitoring and oversight of tax compliance and tax audits.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Verint Systems Inc.
Melville, New York

We have audited Verint Systems Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company's) internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on that risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Table of Contents

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The following material weaknesses have been identified and included in management's assessment:

1. Monitoring The Company did not design adequate monitoring controls related to their subsidiaries, such that the Company could not be assured that a material misstatement of financial results would be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

2. Financial Reporting The Company did not maintain effective controls over the period-end financial close and reporting processes in relation to the consolidation of its subsidiaries financial statements and its monitoring of non-routine and complex accounting matters.

3. Revenue and Cost of Revenue The Company did not maintain effective internal controls over order management, contract management, master file monitoring, issuance of credit memos and policies and procedures to ensure effective controls over accounts receivable and the recognition of revenue, deferred revenue and cost of revenue in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP), which could have resulted in material errors in accounts receivable and the recognition of revenue and related cost of revenue.

Specifically:

- a) The Company lacked sufficient personnel with appropriate knowledge, experience and training in the complexities of current US GAAP related to software revenue recognition;
- b) The Company did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to determine vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value for installation, training services, or certain post-contract customer support agreements;
- c) The Company did not establish adequate review procedures or effective controls to determine proper accounting treatment for multiple element sales arrangements;
- d) The Company did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to ensure that all elements included in a multiple element arrangement were timely identified and measured including establishment of VSOE of fair value for undelivered elements;
- e) The Company did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to identify the nature of projects, capture the necessary data, and determine the appropriate accounting treatment for arrangements subject to contract accounting;

Table of Contents

- f) The Company did not establish or maintain appropriate policies and procedures to identify, capitalize, and amortize product costs associated with revenue arrangements;
- g) The Company did not establish adequate procedures or effective controls to identify sufficient evidence of customer delivery and acceptance; and
- h) The Company lacked consistent communication and coordination between and among the various finance and non-finance organizations across the Company on the scope and terms of customer arrangements, including the proper identification of all undelivered contractual obligations that impacted revenue recognition.

4. Income Taxes The Company did not maintain adequate policies and procedures and related internal controls or employ adequate resources with sufficient technical expertise in the area of accounting for income taxes to ensure the completeness, accuracy, and timely preparation and review of their consolidated income tax provision, related account balances, and disclosures sufficient to prevent a material misstatement of related account balances.

These material weaknesses were considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended January 31, 2010, and this report does not affect our report on such financial statements.

In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weaknesses identified above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, the Company has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements as of the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 and for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010, of the Company and our report dated May 18, 2010, expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and includes an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's adoption of new accounting guidance for the reporting and disclosure of noncontrolling interests.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

New York, New York

May 18, 2010

Table of Contents

Item 9b. Other Information

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance****Current Executive Officers and Directors**

The following lists our current executive officers and directors as of the date of this report. Vacancies on the board of directors that have arisen due to the departures noted below have been filled by the vote of the board of directors, in accordance with our Amended and Restated By-laws and Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. As of the date of this report, two vacancies remain on the board of directors.

Name	Age	Position
Dan Bodner	51	President, Chief Executive Officer, Corporate Officer, and Director
Peter D. Fante	42	Chief Legal Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Secretary, and Corporate Officer
Elan Moriah	47	President, Verint Witness Actionable Solutions and Verint Video Intelligence Solutions and Corporate Officer
David Parcell	56	Managing Director, EMEA and Corporate Officer
Douglas E. Robinson	53	Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Officer
Meir Sperling	61	President, Verint Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions and Corporate Officer
Paul D. Baker	51	Director
John Bunyan	57	Director
Andre Dahan	61	Chairman of the Board
Victor A. DeMarines	73	Director
Kenneth A. Minihan	66	Director
Larry Myers	71	Director
Howard Safir	68	Director
Shefali Shah	38	Director
Stephen Swad	48	Director
Lauren Wright	56	Director

Table of Contents**Background of Current Directors**

Dan Bodner serves as our President, Chief Executive Officer, a director, and Corporate Officer. Mr. Bodner has served as our President and/or Chief Executive Officer and as a director since February 1994. From 1991 to 1998, Mr. Bodner also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Comverse Government Systems Corp., a former affiliate of ours when we were a subsidiary of Comverse. Prior to such positions, from 1987 to 1991, Mr. Bodner held various management positions at Comverse. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. Bodner's position as our Chief Executive Officer, intimate knowledge of our operations, assets, customers, growth strategies, competitors, industry make-up and vast expertise in software development, intelligence and security and management experience give him the skills and qualifications to serve as a director.

Paul D. Baker has served as one of our directors since May 2002. Mr. Baker also serves as Vice President, Corporate Marketing and Corporate Communications of Comverse, a position he has held since joining Comverse in April 1991. Mr. Baker is also a member of the board of directors of Ulticom, Inc., a Comverse majority-owned public company and former operating subsidiary of Comverse. Mr. Baker was nominated by Comverse to serve as a member of our board of directors. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. Baker's management and business experience within the technology and software industries, and experience in serving as a director of another public company qualify him to serve as a director.

John Bunyan has served as one of our directors since March 2008. Mr. Bunyan also serves as Chief Marketing Officer of Comverse, a position he has held since October 2007. Prior to joining Comverse, Mr. Bunyan was President of Intelliventure LLC, a marketing and strategy firm, of which he remains a member, although the company is currently inactive. He also served as Senior Vice President of Mobile Multimedia Services at AT&T Wireless from November 2001 to April 2005 and was responsible for the consumer wireless data business. Before then, Mr. Bunyan served as Senior Vice President of Marketing at Dun & Bradstreet, and prior to that, as Executive Vice President of Marketing at Reuters Americas. Mr. Bunyan is also a member of the board of directors of Ulticom, Inc., a Comverse majority-owned public company and former operating subsidiary of Comverse, and one other wholly owned subsidiary of Comverse. Mr. Bunyan was nominated by Comverse to serve as a member of our board of directors. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. Bunyan's extensive management and business experience, in particular his expertise in marketing in the technology and software industries, and experience in serving as a director of another public company qualify him to serve as a director.

Andre Dahan has served as one of our directors since July 2007 and Chairman of the board of directors since March 2008. Mr. Dahan has also served as Chief Executive Officer and President and a director of Comverse since April 2007. Prior to joining Comverse, Mr. Dahan was President and Chief Executive Officer of Mobile Multimedia Services at AT&T Wireless from July 2001 to December 2004. Previously, he served as President of North America and Global Accounts and in several other global executive positions for Dun & Bradstreet, a global business information and business tools provider. Before then, Mr. Dahan served in a variety of senior executive positions with Teradata Corp. (now NCR), Sequent Computer Systems, and S.E. Qual, an information technology consulting firm. Mr. Dahan also served on the board of directors of (i) NeuStar, Inc., a public company that provides clearinghouse services to the communications and Internet industries, from 2006 until 2007 and (ii) Palmsource, Inc., a public company that provides advanced software technologies to the mobile and beyond-PC markets from 2005 until 2006. He currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Ulticom, Inc., a Comverse majority-owned public company and former operating subsidiary of Comverse, Starhome, B.V., also a Comverse majority-owned company and a global provider of mobile roaming technology and services, as well as numerous other directly and indirectly wholly owned subsidiaries of Comverse. Mr. Dahan was nominated by Comverse to serve as a member of our board of directors. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. Dahan's business expertise, industry experience, leadership skills, and experience in serving as a director of other public companies qualify him to serve as Chairman of the Board.

Table of Contents

Victor A. DeMarines has served as one of our directors since May 2002. In May, 2000, Mr. DeMarines retired from his position as President and Chief Executive Officer of MITRE Corporation, a nonprofit organization, which provides security solutions for the computer systems of the Department of Defense, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Department of Homeland Security, the Internal Revenue Service, and several organizations in the U.S. intelligence community. Mr. DeMarines served in this capacity with MITRE Corporation beginning in 1995, and since retiring serves as a director. Mr. DeMarines currently also serves as a director of NetScout Systems, Inc., a provider of network performance solutions. He serves as a member of the Strategic Command Advisory Group. Mr. DeMarines served as a Presidential Executive with the Department of Transportation and is a Lieutenant of the U.S. Air Force. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. DeMarines' financial and business expertise, including a diversified background of managing a security-based company, and serving as a director of a public technology company, give him the qualifications and skills to serve as a director.

Kenneth A. Minihan has served as one of our directors since May 2002. Lieutenant General Minihan was a career U.S. Air Force officer who attained the rank of Lieutenant General and retired from the Air Force on June 1, 1999. Since February 2002, he has served as a Managing Director of Paladin Capital Group, a private equity firm. Lieutenant General Minihan also served as the 14th Director of the National Security Agency/Central Security Services and was the senior uniformed intelligence officer in the Department of Defense. Prior to this, Lieutenant General Minihan served as the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency. Lieutenant General Minihan served on the board of directors of MTC Technologies, Inc., a telecommunications company from 2003 until 2008. Lieutenant General Minihan currently sits on the board of directors of (a) BAE Systems Inc., a defense systems company, (b) Lucent Government Solutions, an information technology company, (c) Lexis Nexis Special Services, Inc., a leading provider of information and technology solutions to government, (d) ManTech International Corporation, a business software and services company and (e) American Government Solutions, a space services company. Lieutenant General Minihan was awarded the National Security Medal, the Defense Distinguished Service Medal, the Bronze Star, and the National Intelligence Distinguished Service Medal, among other awards and decorations. The board of directors has concluded that Lieutenant General Minihan's extensive service in the U.S. military as well as within the U.S. intelligence community provides enhanced understanding and guidance with respect to our security business. In addition to his extensive and decorated military and intelligence service, the board of directors has further determined that Lieutenant General Minihan's leadership skills, financial and business expertise and networks, including a diversified background of serving as a director of public technology, software, defense and security-based companies, give him the qualifications and skills to serve as a director.

Table of Contents

Larry Myers has served as one of our directors since August 2003. Since November 1999, Mr. Myers has been retired from his position of Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer of MITRE Corporation, a nonprofit organization that provides security solutions for the computer systems of the Department of Defense, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Department of Homeland Security, the Internal Revenue Service, and several organizations in the U.S. intelligence community. Mr. Myers served in this capacity with MITRE Corporation beginning in 1991. Prior to that, Mr. Myers served as Controller for Fairchild Industries, Inc. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. Myers' financial and business expertise, including a strong background of managing a software and security-based company, and his experience serving as a Chief Financial Officer give him the qualifications and skills to serve as a director.

Howard Safir has served as one of our directors since May 2002. Since December 2001, Mr. Safir has been the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of SafirRosetti, a provider of security and investigation services and a wholly owned subsidiary of Global Options Group Inc. Mr. Safir has served as the Vice Chairman of Global Options Group Inc. since its May 2005 acquisition of SafirRosetti. He has served as Chief Executive Officer of Bode Technology, also a wholly owned subsidiary of Global Options Group Inc., since February 2007. Mr. Safir also currently serves as a director of (a) Implant Sciences Corporation, an explosives device detection company and (b) LexisNexis Special Services, Inc., a leading provider of information and technology solutions to government. During his career, Mr. Safir served as the 39th Police Commissioner of the City of New York, as Associate Director for Operations, U.S. Marshals Service, and as Assistant Director of the Drug Enforcement Administration. Mr. Safir was awarded the Ellis Island Medal of Honor among other citations and awards. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. Safir's experience serving as the Police Commissioner of the City of New York and other U.S. law enforcement agencies is a key asset in terms of providing valuable guidance with respect to our security business. In addition to his law enforcement service, the board of directors has determined that Mr. Safir's financial and business expertise and networks, including a diversified background of managing and serving as a director of public technology and security-based companies, strengthen the board of directors' collective qualifications and give him the qualifications and skills to serve as a director.

Shafali Shah has served as one of our directors since September 2007. Since March 2010, Ms. Shah has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Comverse. From March 2009 to March 2010, Ms. Shah served as the Acting General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Comverse and from June 2006 through March 2009, Ms. Shah served as Associate General Counsel and Assistant Secretary. Prior to joining Comverse, Ms. Shah was an attorney in the corporate practice group of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP from September 2002 to June 2006. Ms. Shah also serves as a member of the board of directors of Ulticom, Inc., a Comverse majority-owned public company and former operating subsidiary of Comverse, and Starhome, B.V., a Comverse majority-owned subsidiary and a global provider of mobile roaming technology and services as well as numerous other wholly owned subsidiaries of Comverse. Ms. Shah was nominated by Comverse to serve as a member of our board of directors. The board of directors has concluded that Ms. Shah's legal expertise, including her experience representing technology companies while in private practice, qualify her to serve as a director.

Table of Contents

Stephen Swad has served as one of our directors since June 2009. Mr. Swad has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Comverse since June 2009. Prior to joining Comverse, Mr. Swad served as Chief Financial Officer at Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) from August 2007 to August 2008 and, prior to that, at AOL, LLC (formerly, America Online, Inc.) from February 2003 to February 2007. He also served as Executive Vice President of Finance and Administration at Turner Entertainment Group, and Vice President, Financial Planning and Analysis at Time Warner. Mr. Swad, a Certified Public Accountant and former partner of KPMG LLP, also served as Deputy Chief Accountant at the SEC. Mr. Swad was nominated by Comverse to serve as a member of our board of directors. The board of directors has concluded that Mr. Swad's expertise as a Certified Public Accountant, serving as a Chief Financial Officer of another public company, management background and particular knowledge and experience in accounting, finance and capital structure and board practices of other corporations strengthen the board of director's collective qualifications, skills and experience and qualify him to serve as a director.

Lauren Wright has served as one of our directors since September 2007. After serving as Special Advisor to the board of directors at Comverse from January 2007 to May 2007, Ms. Wright formally joined Comverse in May 2007 and has served since then as Senior Vice President Global Business Operations of Comverse. Prior to joining Comverse, Ms. Wright acted as a consultant and held a variety of executive positions including President and CEO of Pryor Resources, Inc., a venture-backed international seminar company, which she managed through bankruptcy reorganization, and President of Sprint International, a global telecommunications provider where she worked from 1988 to 2000. Ms. Wright was nominated by Comverse to serve as a member of our board of directors. The board of directors has concluded that Ms. Wright's broad business background and management experience qualify her to serve as a director.

Background of Current Executive Officers (Not Also a Director)

Peter D. Fante serves as our Chief Legal Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Secretary, and Corporate Officer. Mr. Fante was appointed as General Counsel in September 2002, Chief Compliance Officer in September 2008, and Secretary in September 2005. Prior to joining us, Mr. Fante was an associate at various global law firms including Shearman & Sterling, Morrison & Foerster LLP, and Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP.

Elan Moriah serves as President, Verint Witness Actionable Solutions and Verint Video Intelligence Solutions global business lines and Corporate Officer. Mr. Moriah has served in such capacity since 2008, having previously served as our President, Americas from 2004 to 2008 and as President of our Contact Center division from 2000 to 2004. Prior to joining us, Mr. Moriah held various management positions with Motorola Inc., where he served as Business Development Manager for Europe, Middle East, and Africa, Worldwide Network Services Division and as Vice President of Marketing and Sales of a paging subsidiary. Before then, Mr. Moriah worked for Comet Software Inc., as Vice President of Marketing and Sales and as Operations Manager.

Table of Contents

David Parcell serves as our Managing Director, EMEA and as Corporate Officer. He has served in such capacity since May 2001. Prior to joining us, Mr. Parcell served as Vice President of EMEA for Aspect Software, Inc. from 1997 to 2001. Before then, Mr. Parcell held key management positions at Co-Cam and Datapoint, along with senior sales positions with Unisys and Olivetti.

Douglas E. Robinson has served as our Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Officer since December 2006 (following completion of a transition from the previous Chief Financial Officer which began in August 2006). Prior to joining us, Mr. Robinson spent 17 years at CA, Inc. (formerly Computer Associates), one of the world's largest information technology management software companies, where he held the positions of Senior Vice President, Finance, Americas Division, Corporate Controller, Interim Chief Financial Officer, CFO of CA's iCan SP subsidiary, and Senior Vice President Investor Relations, among other positions.

Meir Sperling serves as our President, Verint Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions and Corporate Officer. Mr. Sperling has served in such capacity since 2000. He also served as President, APAC from 2006 to 2007. Before joining us, Mr. Sperling served as Corporate Vice President of ECI Telecom Ltd. (ECI) as General Manager of its Business Systems Division, and Director of several ECI subsidiaries. Before then, Mr. Sperling held various management positions with Tadiran Telecommunications Communications Ltd. as well as with Tadiran Ltd and TEL, a U.S. subsidiary.

Former Directors

John Spirtos, a former employee of Comverse, served on our board of directors from November 2008 until tendering his resignation in June 2009.

The Board of Directors and Board Committees

The Board of Directors; Director Independence; Controlled Company Exemption

Although our common stock is not currently listed on NASDAQ, we have endeavored to continue to operate during our extended filing delay period in accordance with NASDAQ rules. To that end, the board of directors has determined that Messrs. DeMarines, Minihan, Myers, and Safir are independent for purposes of NASDAQ's amended governance listing standards (specifically, NASDAQ Listing Rule 5605(a)(2)), and the requirements of both the SEC and NASDAQ that all members of the audit committee satisfy a special independence definition. The full board of directors has determined that Messrs. DeMarines, Minihan, Myers, and Safir not only are independent under the objective definitional criteria established by the SEC and NASDAQ, but also qualify as independent under the separate, subjective determination required by NASDAQ that, as to each of these directors, no relationships exist which, in the opinion of the board of directors, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. Both our audit committee and our stock option committee are composed solely of these four independent directors. The board of directors also has determined that Mr. Myers is an audit committee financial expert, as that term is defined by the SEC in Item 407(d) of Regulation S-K. Stockholders should understand that this designation is an SEC disclosure requirement relating to Mr. Myers' experience and understanding of certain accounting and auditing matters, which the SEC has stated does not impose on the director so designated any additional duty, obligation, or liability than otherwise is imposed generally by virtue of serving on the audit committee and/or the board of directors.

Table of Contents

The remaining seven members of the board of directors do not satisfy these independence definitions because they are either executive officers of ours or have been chosen by and/or are affiliated with our controlling stockholder, Comverse. Because we are eligible to be a controlled company (within the meaning of relevant NASDAQ Listing Rule 5615(c)), we previously were, and if our common stock was listed on NASDAQ, would continue to be exempt from certain NASDAQ Listing Rules that would otherwise require us to have a majority independent board or fully independent standing nominating and compensation committees. We determined that we are such a controlled company because Comverse holds more than 50% of the voting power for the election of our directors. If Comverse's ownership were to fall below 50%, however, we would cease to be permitted to rely on the controlled company exception and would be required to have a majority independent board and fully independent standing nominating and compensation committees. The board of directors has determined that a board consisting of between seven and thirteen members is appropriate at the current time and the number is currently set at thirteen members, and will evaluate such determination from time to time. As of the date of this report, the board of directors consists of eleven directors (with two vacancies) and has four standing committees: the corporate governance and nominating committee, the audit committee, the compensation committee, and the stock option committee.

Board Leadership Structure

The board of directors believes that a person who holds the position of our Chief Executive Officer should also serve as one of our directors. We currently separate the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board which reflects our belief at this time that our stockholders' interests are best served by the day-to-day management direction of the Company under Mr. Bodner, as President and Chief Executive Officer and the leadership and energy brought to the Board of Directors by our Chairman of the Board, Mr. Dahan. Our Chief Executive Officer is most familiar with our business and industry, and most capable of effectively identifying strategic priorities and leading the discussion and execution of strategy, while our Chairman of the Board provides guidance to the Chief Executive Officer, presides over meetings of the full board of directors, and brings a depth of varied business and management experience to our organization.

Table of Contents*The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee**Members: Messrs. Dahan, DeMarines, and Safir, and Ms. Wright*

The corporate governance and nominating committee of the board of directors makes recommendations on director nominees to the board of directors and will consider director candidates suggested by existing directors, senior management, and stockholders if properly submitted in accordance with the applicable procedures set forth in our by-laws. These procedures have not changed since the filing of our last proxy statement in 2005. Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines contained within our Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee Charter, the corporate governance and nominating committee of the board of directors will seek members from diverse professional and personal backgrounds who combine a broad spectrum of experience and expertise with the highest ethical character and share the values of Verint. The assessment of candidates for the board includes an individual's independence, as well as consideration of diversity, age, high personal and professional ethical standards, sound business judgment, personal and professional accomplishment, background and skills in the context of the needs of the board of directors. The corporate governance and nominating committee and the board of directors are also heavily influenced in selecting director candidates and nominees by our majority stockholder, Comverse. Comverse has the right to designate all members for nomination to the board of directors, other than those required by applicable law and regulation, including NASDAQ's amended governance listing standards and the requirements of the SEC, to be independent, and may fill any vacancy resulting from a Comverse designee ceasing to serve as a director. As the sole holder of our preferred stock, Comverse also has the right to designate up to two directors to the board of directors if we fail to redeem the preferred stock when otherwise required to do so upon the happening of certain corporate events. See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Comverse Preferred Stock Financing Agreements under Item 13 for further discussion of rights associated with our preferred stock. Comverse designees currently serving on our board of directors are Messrs. Baker, Bunyan, Dahan and Swad, Ms. Shah, and Ms. Wright. In connection with the nomination of directors for election at the annual meeting of stockholders, the corporate governance and nominating committee will assess the effectiveness of its selection criteria set forth in our Corporate Governance Guidelines annually. While the composition of the current board of directors reflects a majority of Comverse designees, it also reflects diversity in business and professional experience, skills, age and gender. The corporate governance and nominating committee's responsibilities are set forth in its charter and include, among other things (a) responsibility for establishing our corporate governance guidelines, (b) overseeing the board of director's operations and effectiveness, and (c) identifying, screening, and recommending qualified candidates to serve on the board of directors. This committee was formed on September 11, 2007. Prior to this time, the nominating function was performed by the full board of directors.

*The Audit Committee**Members: Messrs. DeMarines, Minihan, Myers, and Safir*

We have a separately designated standing audit committee established as contemplated by Section 10A of the Exchange Act. The board of directors has determined that each member of the audit committee is independent and financially literate as required by the additional independence requirements for members of the audit committee pursuant to Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act. The audit committee's responsibilities are set forth in its charter and include, among other things, (a) assisting the board of directors in its oversight of our compliance with all applicable laws and regulations, which includes oversight of the quality and integrity of our financial reporting, internal controls, and audit functions as well as general risk oversight, and (b) direct and sole responsibility for the appointment, retention, compensation, and monitoring of the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm.

Table of Contents

The Compensation Committee

Members: Messrs. Dahan, DeMarines, and Minihan and Ms. Shah

The compensation committee's responsibilities are set forth in its charter and include, among other things, (a) approving compensation arrangements for our executive officers and (b) making recommendations to the stock option committee and the board of directors regarding awards under our equity compensation plans.

The Stock Option Committee

Members: Messrs. DeMarines, Minihan, Myers, and Safir

The stock option committee is responsible for administering our stock incentive compensation plans and approving all grants of stock options and other forms of equity awards, except that equity grants to non-employee directors are approved or ratified by the full board of directors.

Risk Oversight

The board of directors has an active role, as a whole, and in particular the audit committee of the board of directors, in overseeing management of our risks. The board of directors believes an effective risk management system will (1) timely identify the material risks that we face, (2) communicate necessary information with respect to material risks to senior executives and, as appropriate, to the board of directors or relevant committee, (3) implement appropriate and responsive risk management strategies consistent with our risk profile, and (4) integrate risk management into our decision-making. The board of directors and audit committee of the board of directors regularly receive information regarding our credit, liquidity and operations from senior management. During its review of such information, the board of directors discusses, reviews and analyzes risks associated with each area, as well as risks associated with new business ventures. The compensation committee of the board of directors discusses, reviews and analyzes risks associated with our executive compensation plans and arrangements. See Compensation Programs and Risk under Item 11. The audit committee of the board of directors oversees management of financial and compliance risks and potential conflicts of interest, and the entire board of directors is regularly informed through audit committee reports about such risks.

Codes of Business Conduct and Ethics

Codes of Business Conduct and Ethics

The board of directors has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Senior Officers to promote our commitment to the legal and ethical conduct of our business. The Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and other senior officers are required to abide by the code. We intend to disclose on our website any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of the code that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, or principal accounting officer that relates to any elements of the code of ethics.

Table of Contents

On March 19, 2009, we adopted an amended and restated Code of Conduct: Ethics Promote Excellence that replaced our Employee Code of Conduct and Ethics which was adopted in 2003. The new code applies to all executive officers, directors, and employees of the Company. A copy of the amended code was filed as an exhibit to a Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 24, 2009. The amended code can also be found on our website at www.verint.com under the Investor Relations tab. A copy of the Code of Conduct and Ethics for Senior Officers is also posted on our website under the Investor Relations tab. We will provide a copy of these codes of ethics to any person without charge, upon request. Requests may be made by writing or telephoning us at the following address:

Verint Systems Inc.
330 South Service Road
Melville, NY 11747 USA
(631) 962-9600
Attn: Corporate Secretary

Ethics Hot Line

We have a hot line, managed by a third party, that gives employees and our other stakeholders a way to confidentially and anonymously report any actual or perceived unethical behavior or violations or suspected violations of our Codes of Conduct. Information regarding our hot line can be found on our website at www.verint.com under the Investor Relations tab.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors, executive officers, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file initial reports of ownership on Form 3 and reports of changes in ownership on Forms 4 or 5 with the SEC. Such officers, directors, and 10% stockholders also are required by SEC rules to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file.

Based solely on review of the copies of such reports furnished to us, or written representations that no reports were required, we believe that during the year ended January 31, 2010, our directors, executive officers, and 10% stockholders complied with all filing requirements except that:

- an untimely Form 4 was filed by Messrs. DeMarines, Minihan, Myers, and Safir on May 20, 2009; and
- an untimely Form 4 was filed by Messrs. Fante, Moriah and Parcell on February 8, 2010.

Table of Contents

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis describes our executive officer compensation program and addresses how we made compensation decisions for the executive officers named below (the "named executive officers") for the year ended January 31, 2010:

Dan Bodner, President and Chief Executive Officer and Corporate Officer

Douglas Robinson, Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Officer

Elan Moriah, President, Verint Witness Actionable Solutions and Verint Video Intelligence Solutions and Corporate Officer

Meir Sperling, President, Verint Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions and Corporate Officer

David Parcell, Managing Director, EMEA and Corporate Officer

Peter Fante, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Secretary and Corporate Officer

We have included certain information in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis and this section generally for periods subsequent to January 31, 2010 that we believe may be useful for a more complete understanding of our compensation arrangements. While the focus of this discussion is on our compensation arrangements with our named executive officers (who are also referred to as "executive officers" or "just officers" below), in some cases we also provide information about compensation arrangements with our other executives or our employees generally where we believe it may be useful for providing context for our officer compensation arrangements.

Compensation Philosophy and Process

Philosophy and Objectives of Compensation Program

The primary objectives of our executive officer compensation programs are to:

- attract and retain highly qualified and effective officers by providing a total compensation package that is competitive in the market in which we compete for talent;
- incentivize our executive officers to execute on our operational and strategic goals and reward the successful achievement of such goals; and
- align the interests of our officers with those of our stockholders.

Table of Contents

Our executive officer compensation packages have historically been, and continue to be, comprised of a mix of base salary, annual cash bonus, and annual equity or equity-linked grant, plus limited perquisites. We believe this relatively simple mix of compensation elements allows us to successfully achieve the compensation objectives outlined above, however, the compensation committee periodically re-evaluates the company's compensation philosophy, objectives, and tools. In recent years, due to our extended filing delay period, we have also made use of supplementary incentives in addition to our regular officer compensation packages.

We believe it is important that a significant portion of an officer's compensation be at-risk by being tied to the performance of our business or our stock price. We believe this is addressed through the use of performance-based bonuses and performance-vested equity, wherein payment or vesting is directly dependent on performance, as well as through the use of equity-based compensation generally, such as stock options, restricted stock, or restricted stock units (RSUs), whose value depends on our stock price. We believe that equity-based compensation that is subject to vesting based on continued employment is also an effective tool for retaining our officers, aligning their interests with those of our stockholders, and for building long-term commitment to the company.

Roles and Responsibilities

The compensation committee of the board of directors (the compensation committee) determines the base salaries and bonus structure for our executive officers. The compensation committee also establishes the performance goals that are used to determine how much of an officer's annual target bonus is ultimately earned and evaluates the company's and the officer's performance against these goals in awarding actual bonus payments after the conclusion of the applicable performance period. The compensation committee is also responsible for overseeing our employee compensation programs generally, including our long-term incentive programs and any special compensation initiatives.

The stock option committee of the board of directors (the stock option committee), which is comprised solely of independent directors, is responsible for administering our equity compensation programs, including final approval of all equity grants, based on recommendations on size, scope, and structure from the compensation committee. The stock option committee has approved all equity grants to all personnel since our May 2002 IPO, except that equity grants to non-employee directors are approved by the full board of directors. Based on recommendations from the compensation committee, the stock option committee also establishes the performance goals that are used to determine how much of an officer's performance-based equity award ultimately vests and evaluates the company's and the officer's performance against these goals in determining actual vesting levels after the conclusion of the applicable performance period.

Table of Contents

Process Overview and Guidelines

In establishing the compensation package for our executive officers each year, the compensation committee reviews the various components and amounts of compensation being considered for each officer through the use of tally sheets or similar compensation summaries. The compensation committee, from time to time, engages a nationally recognized independent compensation consultant to prepare a peer group compensation benchmarking analysis for our officer compensation packages and to assist the compensation committee in structuring and evaluating proposed officer compensation packages or other executive compensation arrangements. The independent compensation consultant does not provide any other services to the company except advising the compensation committee on compensation for our officers, directors, or other personnel. Any advice provided with respect to non-officer or director personnel has been ancillary to officer compensation and has not exceeded \$120,000 in fees and/or has been with respect to broad-based plans that do not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of our officers or directors and are available generally to all employees. The company pays the cost for the consultant's services. With the compensation committee's permission or at the compensation committee's request, selected members of senior management generally work cooperatively with the compensation consultant in preparing proposals for officer compensation packages or other executive compensation arrangements for consideration by the compensation committee. The compensation consultant at all times remains independent of management, however, and forms its own views with respect to the recommendations it makes to the compensation committee. With the exception of his own package, the Chief Executive Officer also provides input to the compensation committee on each proposed executive officer compensation package. The compensation committee also meets in executive session (outside the presence of management) both with and without its independent compensation consultant and other advisors from time to time. The compensation committee is solely responsible for making final decisions on cash compensation for executive officers and the stock option committee is solely responsible for making final decisions on equity compensation for executive officers.

The composition of the peer group used for benchmarking analyses prepared by the compensation consultant is developed following discussions between the compensation committee, the compensation consultant, and members of senior management, and is reevaluated from year to year. The companies to be included in the peer group are selected from a sampling of publicly traded software and technology companies with annual revenues, market capitalizations, and/or enterprise values within a range above and below ours. In general, certain of our closest competitors do not fit within these parameters, either because they are much larger or much smaller than us, are privately held, or are foreign issuers who do not publicly file detailed compensation data.

For compensation for the year ended January 31, 2010, our compensation peer group consisted of:

- McAfee Inc.,
- Compuware Corporation,
- THQ Inc.,
- Sybase, Inc.,
- Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.,

Table of Contents

Novell, Inc.,
FLIR Systems, Inc.,
Lawson Software, Inc.,
Salesforce.com, Inc.,
Quest Software, Inc., and
Nuance Communications, Inc.

Elements of compensation are considered by the compensation committee individually and in the aggregate. Based on the benchmarking analysis, the compensation committee initially uses a guideline of targeting cash compensation (salary and target bonus) at the median of our peer group for target performance and of targeting equity compensation at the 75th percentile of our peer group (based on dollar value) for target performance. We believe that targeting cash compensation at the median and equity compensation at the 75th percentile of our peer group ensures that we are well positioned to attract and retain the highest caliber of executive officer talent and properly incentivize our officers consistent with our compensation philosophy and objectives described above. The actual cash and equity target award levels for a given executive officer in a given year are not, however, determined solely based on these guidelines. In establishing these actual cash and equity target award levels and the mix between cash compensation and equity compensation, the other factors considered by the compensation committee include:

- the officer's compensation for the previous year;
- the officer's performance in the previous year;
- our performance in the previous year;
- our growth from the previous year;
- our outlook, budget, and cash forecast for the upcoming year;
- the proposed packages for the other executive officers (internal pay equity);
- the proposed merit increases, if any, being offered to our employees generally;
- equity dilution and burn rates;
- the value of previously awarded equity grants;

Table of Contents

executive officer recruiting and retention considerations; and
compensation trends and competitive factors in the market for talent in which we compete.

We do not target a specific ratio of equity to cash.

Subject to the parameters of our compensation philosophy, the compensation committee believes that it is appropriate for our Chief Executive Officer to be compensated more highly from both a cash and an equity perspective than our other executive officers, and this approach has been supported by our peer group analyses. In establishing the relative compensation of the other executive officers, in addition to the factors above and peer group analyses, the compensation committee is also mindful of internal pay equity and takes into account differences in the scope of each officer's responsibilities.

For the reasons discussed below, in recent years, due to our extended filing delay period, we have placed increased emphasis on executive retention, particularly in sizing equity awards and in considering supplementary incentives in addition to our regular executive officer compensation packages. See - Compensation and Awards During Our Extended Filing Delay Period below.

Elements of Compensation

Base Salary

Base salaries for our executive officers are generally negotiated by us with the officer upon hiring based on prior compensation history, salary levels of our other executive officers, geographic location, and benchmarking data. Base salaries for our executive officers are subject to adjustment annually by the compensation committee as part of its regular compensation review process based on the benchmarking process and the other factors described above, as well as based on special achievements, promotions, and other facts and circumstances specific to the individual officer. For the year ended January 31, 2010, we did not increase base salaries for our executive officers due to the economic environment.

Annual Bonus

Each of our executive officers is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus. As with base salaries, target bonuses are established annually by the compensation committee as part of its regular compensation review process. In establishing target bonuses, in addition to the factors considered as part of the compensation review process generally, the compensation committee also considers the target bonus set forth in the executive officer's employment agreement (if applicable), as well as special achievements, promotions, and other facts and circumstances specific to the individual officer.

Table of Contents

Although an officer's employment agreement may provide for a specified target bonus (a target bonus below which an officer may have good reason to resign under his employment agreement) and although the compensation committee establishes a bonus target for each officer annually, the actual bonus payment an officer receives is not guaranteed. Actual bonuses are paid based on company and officer performance, generally by reference to pre-defined performance goals established by the compensation committee as part of the regular compensation review process. Performance goals are based on revenue, a measure of profitability, and a measure of cash generation. For the year ended January 31, 2010, the measure of profitability was operating income and the measure of cash generation was days sales outstanding (DSO). A portion of the bonus is also tied to the achievement of non-financial management business objectives (MBOs) approved by the compensation committee. The compensation committee uses the same budget prepared by management and approved by our board of directors for operating our business in establishing corresponding quantitative financial goals for executive officer bonuses. This operating budget is prepared annually through a highly detailed, bottom-up process involving dozens of employees around the world from each of our three operating segments and represents a consensus view from the organization on the performance we can drive from our business. In building the budget, we also analyze our transaction pipeline, speak with customers and partners, and consider projected industry growth rates from analysts and other third-party sources. We believe that using the same budget for operating the business and for establishing annual compensation performance goals helps to maximize the alignment between the interests of our executive officers and our stockholders. For executive officers with responsibility for a specific operating unit, unit revenue and unit profitability goals (contribution margin) are also incorporated into the officer's performance goals. For the year ended January 31, 2010, the compensation committee set the performance goal levels for revenue and profitability above the corresponding budget levels in order to drive performance in excess of budget in a challenging economic environment. Because our operating budget is an internal tool primarily designed to assist management and the board of directors in understanding and managing the operations of the business, it uses measures of revenue and operating income that are different from their GAAP counterparts. As a result, because the compensation committee establishes the compensation performance goals using this same budget, these performance goals are also different from their GAAP counterparts and may also be calculated differently from the non-GAAP metrics that we may disclose publicly from time to time. For example, our internal budget targets, and therefore our performance goals, may exclude the effect of acquisitions that occur during the year. The following table summarizes the differences between our reported GAAP revenue and GAAP operating income and the corresponding measures used for our operating budget and our compensation performance goals, subject to any additional adjustments the compensation committee may deem appropriate in a particular period:

Table of Contents**Budget /
Performance Goal
Metric****Differences from Corresponding GAAP Metric**

Revenue

GAAP revenue excluding the impact of certain extraordinary business transactions and fair value adjustments relating to future support obligations under acquired contracts which would otherwise have been recognized on a stand-alone basis, as well as adjustments for sales concessions related to accounts receivable balances that existed prior to the date of an acquisition.

Operating income

GAAP operating income, adjusted for revenue as described above, and adjustments related to acquisitions including amortization of acquisition-related intangible assets, integration costs, acquisition-related write-downs, in-process research and development, impairment of goodwill and intangible assets, and special legal costs and settlement income, as well as adjustments for stock-based compensation, expenses related to our restatement and extended filing delay, and certain other non-cash or non-recurring charges.

The financial performance goals established by the compensation committee generally come in the form of a range, wherein the officer may achieve a percentage of his target bonus (generally 50-75%) at the low end of the performance range (or threshold), 100% of his target bonus towards the middle of the performance range (target performance), and up to 200% of his target bonus at the high end of the performance range. Below threshold, the officer is not entitled to any bonus (for that goal). For performance that falls between points on the range, the bonus payout is calculated on a linear basis between those points. The compensation committee's objective in establishing a range is to incentivize our officers to overachieve, while at the same time providing for a target performance number that can reasonably be achieved and lesser levels of reward for performance that approaches but does not achieve target performance. As a result, while the compensation committee takes into account the probability of achieving different levels of performance in establishing the threshold, target, and maximum for each performance goal and attempts to set the target at a level the compensation committee believes requires strong performance on the part of the officer, the compensation committee does not specifically attempt to identify a point in the range where it is as likely that the officer will fail to achieve the goal as it is that he will achieve the goal. Similarly, any MBO goals incorporated into an officer's bonus plan are designed to require strong performance on the part of the officer, but are not intended to be so difficult to achieve that it is more likely than not that the officer will be unable to reach the goal.

Table of Contents

For the year ended January 31, 2010, the independent members of the compensation committee established a maximum bonus pool for the executive officers equal to 3% of our budgeted non-GAAP operating income for the year ended January 31, 2010, which pool was then allocated among the executive officers on a percentage basis. The compensation committee also established target bonuses (below the amounts expected to result from the percentage allocations of the pool) and retained discretion to reduce the percentage allocations of the pool to or below these target bonus amounts based on, among other things, the level of achievement of the performance goals adopted by the compensation committee or the occurrence of extraordinary events, provided that any such adjustments (a) are consistent with and subject to the requirements set forth in Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code and (b) do not result in an actual bonus payout that is less than 80% of the amount such executive officer would receive, if any, if bonuses were based solely on the financial performance goals (i.e., excluding for this purpose the MBO goal). In establishing target bonuses for the executive officers other than Mr. Bodner, the compensation committee elected to set the target bonus for Messrs. Robinson and Moriah at approximately 60% of base salary and the target bonus for Messrs. Sperling, Parcell, and Fante at 40-50% of base salary. These percentages of base salary were based on the bonus target specified by the officer's employment agreement (if applicable) and the regular compensation review process, including the committee's review of benchmarking data provided by its independent compensation consultant. Mr. Bodner's target bonus was also based on benchmarking data provided by the compensation committee's independent compensation consultant as part of the regular compensation review process, but was not tied directly to his base salary. For the year ended January 31, 2010, we did not increase target bonuses for our executive officers due to the economic environment.

Table of Contents*Annual Bonuses for the Year Ended January 31, 2010*

The following summarizes the specific approach taken by the compensation committee for establishing annual bonuses for each executive officer the year ended January 31, 2010. Consistent with the terms of the officer bonus plans described above and taking into account the company's circumstances during the performance period, in setting the bonus payouts for the year ended January 31, 2010, the compensation committee accepted management's recommendation to reduce the bonus levels for each of Messrs. Bodner, Robinson, Moriah, and Fante from the amounts resulting from the formulaic plan calculation to amounts that management and the compensation committee believed more accurately reflected the performance achieved against the established performance goals. The compensation committee also approved management's recommendation to authorize management to use the amount of this reduction to augment the bonuses for selected high performing employees below the officer level.

Name	Description of Bonus Plan	Max	Target Bonus		Calculated Achievement Against	Calculated	Actual	
		%	% of	\$		Calculated Payout		Payout (Prior to
		Bonus Pool	Bonus Pool		Performance Goals	Percentage	Adjustments	
Bodner	Bonus based 40% on company revenue, 40% on company operating income, 10% on DSO, and 10% on MBOs.	41.39%	12.5%	\$ 600,000	Company revenue: 104.2% Company operating income: 126.5% DSO: 111% MBO: 80%	136.0% 182.8% 140.0% 80.0%	\$ 897,150	\$ 780,000
Robinson	Bonus based 40% on company revenue, 40% on company operating income, 10% on DSO, and 10% on MBOs.	14.65%	4.4%	\$ 212,400	Company revenue: 104.2% Company operating income: 126.5% DSO: 111% MBO: 80%	136.0% 182.8% 140.0% 80.0%	\$ 317,591	\$ 276,140
Moriah	Bonus based 40% on company revenue, 40% on company operating income, 10% on DSO, and 10% on MBOs.	14.65%	4.4%	\$ 212,400	Company revenue: 104.2% Company operating income: 126.5% DSO: 111% MBO: 100%	136.0% 182.8% 140.0% 100.0%	\$ 321,839	\$ 276,140
Sperling	Bonus based 20% on company revenue, 20% on company operating income, 20% on unit revenue, 20% on unit contribution margin (relating to the unit for which Mr. Sperling was responsible), 10% on DSO, and 10% on MBOs.	10.34%	3.1%	\$ 149,736	Company revenue: 104.2% Company operating income: 126.5% Unit revenue: 100.7% Unit contribution margin: 108.4% DSO: 111% MBO: 100%	136.0% 182.8% 102.6% 111.8% 140.0% 100.0%	\$ 217,391	\$ 217,391
McCell	Bonus based 20% on company revenue, 20% on company operating income, 20% on unit revenue, 20% on unit contribution margin (relating to the unit	7.76%	2.3%	\$ 112,472	Company revenue: 104.2% Company operating income: 126.5% Unit revenue: 101.1% Unit contribution margin: 85.2% DSO: 111% MBO: 80%	136.0% 182.8% 104.5% 83.2% 140.0% 80.0%	\$ 159,280	\$ 159,280

for which Mr. Parcell was responsible), 10% on DSO, and 10% on MBOs. Bonus based 40% on company revenue, 40% on company operating income, 10% on DSO, and 10% on MBOs.

11.21%	3.4%	\$ 162,500	Company revenue: 104.2%	136.0%	\$ 246,228	\$ 211,228
			Company operating income: 126.5%	182.8%		
			DSO: 111%	140.0%		
			MBO: 100%	100.0%		

(1) As described above, the amounts in this column reflect the amounts determined by the compensation committee after discretionary adjustments. The payout amounts for Messrs. Parcell and Sperling also reflect the impact of applicable exchange rates on the payment dates or the bonus approval date (if payment has not yet been made).

Table of Contents**Performance vs. Calculated Payout Matrices**

(except as noted below, applies to each officer on a goal by goal basis based on the officer's individualized bonus plan per the table above)

Percentage of Company Revenue Goal Achieved	Payout Percentage (for goal)
Less than 80%	0%
80%	50%
88%	70%
91%	80%
97%	90%
100%	100%
103%	125%
106%	150%
109% or more	200%
Percentage of Company Operating Income Goal Achieved	Payout Percentage (for goal)
Less than 32%	0%
32%	50%
60%	70%
70%	80%
90%	90%
100%	100%
110%	125%
120%	150%
130% or more	200%
Percentage of DSO Goal Achieved	Payout Percentage (for goal)
Less than 80%	0%
80%	50%
87%	75%
100%	100%
107%	125%
113%	150%
120% or more	200%
Sperling: Percentage of Unit Revenue Goal Achieved	Payout Percentage (for goal)
Less than 77%	0%
77%	50%
83%	70%
90%	80%
97%	90%
100%	100%
107%	125%
112%	150%
117% or more	200%
Sperling: Percentage of Unit Contribution Margin Goal Achieved	Payout Percentage (for goal)
Less than 38%	0%
38%	50%

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

55%	70%
73%	80%
91%	90%
100%	100%
118%	125%
132%	150%
145% or more	200%

Table of Contents

Parcell: Percentage of Unit Revenue Goal Achieved	Payout Percentage (for goal)
Less than 78%	0%
78%	50%
83%	70%
90%	80%
97%	90%
100%	100%
106%	125%
112%	150%
118% or more	200%

Parcell: Percentage of Unit Contribution Margin Goal Achieved	Payout Percentage (for goal)
Less than 56%	0%
56%	50%
67%	70%
81%	80%
94%	90%
100%	100%
112%	125%
124%	150%
135% or more	200%

Equity Awards

Each of our executive officers is eligible to receive an annual equity award. Equity awards for executive officers are normally made as part of our regular annual equity grant to employees. Annual equity awards are established by the stock option committee based on recommended award levels resulting from the compensation committee's regular compensation review process. In establishing each officer's recommended annual equity award, in addition to the factors considered as part of the compensation review process generally, the compensation committee places special focus on internal pay equity among the executive officers.

Where possible, the board of directors (or the compensation committee or stock option committee) endeavors to establish the grant date well in advance of the grant and to schedule vesting dates to occur at a time when we would not normally be in a quarterly trading blackout (to reduce the chances that vesting-related tax events occur during blackout periods). Apart from seeking to grant or schedule vesting dates outside of blackout periods, we do not time our grants by reference to the release of earnings or other material information.

Prior to the year ended January 31, 2006, our preferred form of equity award was stock options. In recent years, we have moved to restricted stock and subsequently to RSUs as the preferred form of award. This move from stock options to restricted stock and RSUs resulted from a desire to decrease equity compensation expense under applicable accounting standards and to improve the retentive effect and perceived value of our equity awards, and was also informed by dilution considerations. The compensation committee periodically reviews the elements of compensation it uses, however, and we may in the future incorporate stock options as a component of our compensation packages for executive officers or others. To the extent that stock options are used, the exercise price of such options is always the closing price of our stock on the date of board of directors or stock option committee approval.

Table of Contents

Since the beginning of the year ended January 31, 2008, annual equity awards for our executive officers have been divided evenly between time-vested awards and performance-vested awards. We moved to this 50-50 mix in order to further align officer incentives with company performance and put a greater proportion of our officer's compensation at risk. Our current practice for time-based equity awards for officers is equal vesting over a three-year period. Performance-based equity awards to date have been comprised of three separate vesting periods corresponding to three separate performance periods, each concluding at the end of a fiscal year, though in some cases, the performance period has been less than 12 months in duration. The stock option committee sets the performance goal for each such performance period following the beginning of the performance period. We believe that waiting until the beginning of the applicable performance period to set the performance goal for that period allows greater precision in tailoring the incentive and retentive effect of these awards than would setting the goals for all periods at the time of grant. The performance goal for each such performance period is revenue. The stock option committee establishes the revenue goal for each performance period based on a recommendation from the compensation committee. In making this recommendation, the compensation committee uses the same budget prepared by management and approved by our board of directors for operating our business. As described above in the discussion of annual bonuses, we believe that using the same budget for operating the business and for establishing annual compensation performance goals helps to maximize the alignment between the interests of our executive officers and our stockholders. As described above with respect to our annual bonus plans, because our revenue performance goals come from our annual operating budget, they are expressed on a non-GAAP basis. See - Elements of Compensation - Annual Bonus above for more information.

The revenue performance goal established by the stock option committee generally comes in the form of a range, wherein the officer may earn a portion of the award for the applicable performance period (generally ranging from 50-75%) at the low end of the performance range (or threshold) and 100% of the award at target performance. The stock option committee may also provide for the opportunity to earn in excess of 100% of the target award in the event actual performance exceeds target performance. For the year ended January 31, 2010, the stock option committee provided for such an opportunity for the new awards approved on March 4, 2009 and May 20, 2009. Performance awards granted in prior years did not provide for such an opportunity to overachieve. For performance that falls between points on the range, the amount earned is calculated on a linear basis between those points. As with the compensation committee's approach for annual bonuses, the stock option committee's objective in establishing (after considering the compensation committee's recommendation with respect to equity-based awards) a range for the performance goal is to incentivize our officers to overachieve (for awards which provide for an overachievement opportunity), while at the same time providing for a target performance number that can reasonably be achieved and lesser levels of reward for performance that approaches but does not achieve target performance. As a result, while the stock option committee takes into account the probability of achieving different levels of performance in establishing the threshold, target, and, if applicable, maximum performance levels of the range and attempts to set the target performance number at a level the stock option committee believes requires strong performance on the part of the officer, the stock option committee does not specifically attempt to identify a point in the range where it is as likely that the officer will fail to achieve the goal as it is that he will achieve the goal.

Table of Contents

The following summarizes the performance versus payout matrices established by the stock option committee for the performance period ended January 31, 2010:

Performance vs. Payout Matrix (for awards approved July 2, 2007)

Percentage of Revenue Goal Achieved	Percentage of Eligible Performance Shares Earned for Period
Less than 82%	0%
82%	50%
100% or more	100%

Performance vs. Payout Matrix (for awards approved May 28, 2008)

Percentage of Revenue Goal Achieved	Percentage of Eligible Performance Shares Earned for Period
Less than 82%	0%
82%	50%
100% or more	100%

Performance vs. Payout Matrix (for awards approved March 4, 2009 or May 20, 2009)

Percentage of Revenue Goal Achieved	Percentage of Eligible Performance Shares Earned for Period
Less than 82%	0%
82%	50%
100%	100%
112% or more	200%

The stock option committee determines the amount earned by each officer under his outstanding performance equity awards after year-end following the finalization of results for the applicable performance period.

For the year ended January 31, 2010, the stock option committee determined that 107.4% of the revenue goal had been achieved for the performance period, resulting in the officers earning 100% of the performance shares eligible to be earned in such performance period under the third tranche of the July 2, 2007 awards, 100% of the performance shares eligible to be earned in such performance period under the second tranche of the May 28, 2008 awards, and 161.6% of the performance shares eligible to be earned in such performance period under the first tranche of the March 4, 2009 and May 20, 2009 awards.

Although we do not presently have any stock ownership guidelines in place for our officers or directors, we are presently developing such guidelines in consultation with the compensation committee's independent compensation consultant and other advisors. Our insider trading policy prohibits all personnel (including officers and directors) from short selling in our securities, from short-term trades in our securities (open market purchase and sale within three months), and from trading options in our securities. Due to our extended filing delay, other than limited dispositions to the company to cover tax liabilities in connection with vestings, none of our current executive officers has been able to sell any of our securities, including shares underlying equity awards, since January 2006.

Table of Contents

Other Pay Elements

Except as described in the next section with respect to our extended filing delay period, we do not currently make use of other equity or cash based long-term incentive compensation arrangements, defined-benefit plans, or deferred compensation plans. We provide a limited amount of perquisites to our executive officers, which vary from officer to officer and region to region and include:

- use of a company car or an annual car allowance,
- fuel reimbursement allowance,
- an annual allowance for professional legal, tax, or financial advice,
- certain statutory payments,
- payments for accrued vacation days (prior to separation from service), and
- supplemental company-paid life insurance.

Executive officers in the United States also receive the same partial match of their 401(k) contributions as all other U.S. employees. Executive officers in the United Kingdom receive company contributions to a retirement fund on the same basis as other U.K. employees. Executive officers in Israel receive company contributions to a retirement fund, a severance fund, and a continuing education fund, in each case, on the same basis as other Israeli employees. Executive officers receive the same health insurance and company-paid group life and disability insurance offered to all other employees in the country in which the executive officer is employed.

Employment Agreements

As of the filing date of this report, each of our executive officers other than Mr. Sperling is party to a formal employment agreement with us. Mr. Sperling has a customary offer letter from us and a letter agreement regarding the release of his severance, retirement, and disability insurance funds in the event of a termination event, but does not currently have a formal employment agreement. Mr. Bodner's employment agreement was signed on February 23, 2010, so he was not party to an agreement with us during the period covered by this Item 11.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the dates that each formal employment agreement or material amendment was signed:

Name	Date of Employment Agreement or Material Amendment
Bodner	Employment agreement signed on February 23, 2010
Robinson	Employment agreement signed on August 14, 2006
Moriah	Initial employment agreement signed on September 18, 2007 Amended and restated agreement signed on October 29, 2009
Sperling	No formal employment agreement as of the filing date of this report
Parcell	Initial employment agreement signed on April 16, 2001 Supplemental employment agreement signed on June 13, 2008
Fante	Initial employment agreement signed on September 18, 2007 Amended and restated agreement signed on November 10, 2009

Mr. Parcell's original employment agreement was signed in 2001 in accordance with our local U.K. practice of entering into employment agreements with all U.K. employees. The other officer employment agreements were put in place following the negotiation of our first formal executive employment agreement in connection with the recruiting of Mr. Robinson as our new Chief Financial Officer. This process of entering into formal employment agreements with our executive officers has progressed iteratively during our extended filing delay period and at different rates with each of our officers. We are currently in discussions regarding a formal employment agreement with Mr. Sperling and amended employment agreements with Mr. Robinson and Mr. Parcell. All of the employment agreements and amended agreements entered into with our officers since 2006 have been designed in consultation with the compensation committee's independent compensation consultant at such time.

The terms and conditions of each of the executive officer employment agreements are discussed in greater detail below under "Executive Officer Severance Benefits and Change in Control Provisions", but in general, the employment agreements entered into with Messrs. Robinson, Fante, and Moriah during 2006 and 2007, and the supplemental employment agreement entered into with Mr. Parcell in 2008, provided for 12 months (inclusive of any notice period required by the officer's existing employment agreement) of severance and certain other continued benefits in the event of an involuntary termination, as well as acceleration of unvested equity in the event of an involuntary termination in connection with a change in control. Mr. Robinson's agreement provides for acceleration of unvested equity in connection with a change in control whether or not his employment was terminated. The new employment agreements or amended agreements entered into beginning in 2009 as part of the compensation committee review of executive compensation arrangements during 2008 and 2009 described below provide, among other things, for greater amounts of severance in the event of an involuntary termination in connection with a change in control as well as excise tax gross-ups for our U.S.-based executive officers.

Table of Contents

Clawback Policy

Each of our executive officers who is party to an employment agreement with us is subject to a clawback provision which allows us to recoup from the officer, or cancel, all or a portion of the officer's incentive compensation (including bonuses and equity awards) for a particular year if we are required to restate our financial statements for that year due to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws as a result of the officer's misconduct. The clawback applies from and after the year in which the employment agreement was first signed to awards made during the term of the agreement. The amount to be recovered or forfeited is the amount by which the incentive compensation in the year in question exceeded the amount that would have been awarded had the financial statements originally been filed as restated.

Compensation and Awards During Our Extended Filing Delay Period

Introduction

Due to the protracted length of our extended filing delay period, we have placed special emphasis on retention in our compensation philosophy during the last several years. As noted above, this has impacted the sizing of executive officer and other key employee equity awards, and has also included the use of special retention awards and bonuses, as well as modification of existing awards to improve their retentive effect, and ensuring that executive compensation packages are at market levels and contain market terms and conditions.

Due to our restatement and lack of audited financial statements during our extended filing delay period, for compensation for the year ended January 31, 2010, performance goals for cash bonuses and for performance-based equity, and corresponding year-end payout and vesting calculations, have been based on preliminary, unaudited financial metrics and results. As a result, in addition to the regular discretion retained by the compensation committee in awarding annual bonuses, these performance goals and/or these year-end payouts and vesting calculations have been subject to equitable adjustment by the compensation committee or the stock option committee, as applicable, in connection with their regular annual determination of whether performance goals have been achieved, to take into account changes resulting from our revenue recognition review and other accounting adjustments unrelated to our operations. The compensation and stock option committees reserved the right to make such equitable adjustments to ensure that neither the company nor the officers unfairly benefited or were unfairly penalized by changes to our financial performance metrics resulting solely from changes to our accounting methodology.

Table of Contents*Granting of Equity Awards*

As a result of our inability to file required SEC reports during our extended filing delay period, we ceased using our Registration Statement on Form S-8 to make equity grants to employees. As a result, on March 27, 2006, we suspended option exercises under our equity incentive plans and terminated purchases under our employee stock purchase plan for all employees, including executive officers. In addition, we did not make any equity awards to employees, including executive officers, during the year ended January 31, 2007. Our board of directors did not believe it was appropriate to make equity grants to executive officers under an exemption from registration at a time when grants could not be made to other employees. In connection with our suspension of option exercises, on March 27, 2006, the stock option committee also adopted a resolution generally extending the exercise period of our stock options for employees, including executive officers, whose employment is terminated during our extended filing delay period until the 30th day following the date the board of directors determines we have become compliant with our SEC filing obligations (subject, however, to the original term of such stock options).

On May 24, 2007, we received a no-action letter from the SEC upon which we relied to make a broad-based equity grant to employees under a no-sale theory. The stock option committee approved this grant approximately 30 days later on July 2, 2007. On this same date, the board of directors and the stock option committee also approved an equity grant to our directors, executive officers, and certain other executives who were accredited investors in reliance upon a private placement exemption from the federal securities laws. In addition to a regular annual equity award, the July 2, 2007 equity award to our executive officers also included a special time-vested retention grant (the 2007 retention grants). This special time-vested retention grant corresponded to special cash-based retention bonuses for certain key employees awarded during 2007 which the compensation committee deemed necessary to help retain these key employees during our extended filing delay period (the 2007 retention bonuses). Other than Mr. Parcell, who was not an executive officer in the year ended January 31, 2007 and who received his 2007 retention award part in cash and part in stock, none of our executive officers received a 2007 retention bonus. These 2007 special retention programs were designed in consultation with the compensation committee's independent compensation consultant.

We have continued to rely on our no-action relief to make broad-based equity grants during our extended filing delay period, while simultaneously making annual grants to our executive officers and directors under a private placement exemption. We believe that these continued broad-based equity awards have been an important part of our retention initiatives and have also helped to incentivize participants and to build long-term commitment and goodwill to the company.

Modification of Equity Awards

Other than awards to our independent directors, all of the equity awards granted in the years ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2009 (including the 2007 retention grants awarded to the executive officers) were made subject to special compliance vesting conditions which override the regular time-vesting or performance-vesting schedule of the awards. These compliance vesting conditions require that we be both current with our SEC filings and that our common stock be re-listed on NASDAQ or another nationally recognized exchange for the awards to vest. The 2008 awards also require that we have received stockholder approval of a new equity compensation plan or have additional share capacity under an existing stockholder-approved equity compensation plan for the 2008 awards to vest. If any of these compliance vesting conditions is not satisfied on the date the awards would otherwise vest, the portion of the award that would otherwise vest remains unvested until such time as all of the applicable compliance vesting conditions are satisfied, except that awards granted to non-officers in 2008 vested and settled in cash if the compliance vesting conditions were not satisfied on the award's vesting date. This feature was included in the 2008 awards to non-officer employees as part of our retention initiative in lieu of a 2008 retention bonus program.

Table of Contents

Following the payment of the 2007 retention bonuses in mid-2007 and early 2008 to certain key employees (other than executive officers, except, as noted above, for Mr. Parcell) and the cash settlement of the first half of the 2008 equity awards for employees (other than executive officers) in April 2009, the compensation and stock option committees concluded that, in light of these cash payments to other employees, the inability of the executive officers to derive any present value from their outstanding equity awards (as a result of our extended filing delay period), and continued officer retention concerns on the part of senior management, the officers (a) should be permitted to vest into the portions of their outstanding equity awards that would otherwise have vested but for the compliance vesting conditions and (b) to the extent feasible, should not be subject to compliance vesting conditions under future equity awards. The compensation and stock option committees believed that this approach of removing the risk of loss on the earned portions of these awards was important in ensuring that the officers were not being treated unfairly vis-à-vis other grantees and was preferable to paying a portion of these awards in cash as we did for other grantees. As a result, the compensation and stock option committees authorized us to enter into amendments with each of the executive officers to remove the compliance vesting conditions from their 2007 and 2008 equity awards, thereby permitting these awards to vest on their original schedule. As of the filing date of this report, we have finalized all of these amendments except for Mr. Parcell's which remains open due to local tax considerations. In addition, the 2009 annual equity awards to our executive officers approved on March 4, 2009 and May 20, 2009 (unlike the grants made to other employees) did not contain these compliance vesting conditions, however, our most recent officer grant, approved on March 17, 2010, did contain a plan capacity vesting condition due to plan capacity limitations at such time.

Review of Executive Compensation Arrangements

Over the course of the second half of 2008 and throughout 2009, the compensation committee, in consultation with its independent compensation consultant and other advisors, undertook a review of the employment terms of our senior management, including our executive officers, to ensure that these arrangements were at market levels and contained market terms and conditions. This review was motivated both by a desire to continue to improve executive retention during our extended filing delay period as well as by a desire to remain competitive from a compensation perspective generally. As a result of this process, we have entered into, or are currently in discussions regarding, new or amended employment agreements with each of our executive officers to provide, among other things, for enhanced severance benefits in the event of a termination in connection with a corporate transaction. A more detailed discussion of these updated arrangements is provided under - Executive Officer Severance Benefits and Change in Control Provisions below. In addition to the goals of enhancing executive officer retention and bringing the terms of our executive employment arrangements up to market generally, the compensation committee also believed that it was in our best interest to provide appropriate change in control protections to our executive officers so they would not be distracted by personal considerations in the event of a business combination transaction that may be beneficial to our stockholders but may result in the loss of the officer's position.

Table of Contents

2009 Retention Awards

In 2009, we entered into retention award letter agreements with each of our executive officers other than Mr. Bodner which provide for the payment of cash bonuses over a two-year period ending in April 2011 (the 2009 retention bonuses). At Mr. Bodner's request, the compensation committee did not approve a 2009 retention bonus for him. As with the 2007 retention programs, the 2009 retention bonus program was designed in consultation with the compensation committee's independent compensation consultant.

Tax Implications

To maintain flexibility in compensating executive officers in a manner designed to promote varying corporate goals, the compensation committee has not adopted a policy that all compensation must be deductible under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, however, we attempt to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Section 162(m) wherever possible.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The compensation committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this report with management. Based on its review and discussions with management regarding such section of this report, the compensation committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section be included in this report.

Compensation Committee:

Andre Dahan, Chairman

Victor DeMarines

Kenneth Minihan

Shefali Shah

The foregoing report shall not be deemed incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference this report into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate this information by reference, and shall not otherwise be deemed filed under such Acts.

Table of Contents

Compensation Programs and Risk

In connection with the preparation of this report, we reviewed our compensation policies and practices. In light of this review, we believe that our compensation policies and practices are comparable to those used by similarly situated companies in our industry and the companies with which we compete for talent and are reasonably calculated to incentivize performance without encouraging unreasonable risk taking. Subject to regional differences, we attempt to structure our compensation policies and practices that are based on performance goals uniformly across the company, using quarterly or annual targets that are based on company performance or unit performance and/or sales commissions. Our commission plans contain provisions allowing us to reduce, withhold, or offset commissions for transactions that do not meet specified minimum requirements, even after the commission has been paid. We have also adopted quarter-end guidelines to help ensure that sales transactions are handled in a consistent and ethical manner at the end of each reporting period. In addition, as noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, our officer bonus and performance equity programs are subject to annual maximum payouts and our officer and other executive employment agreements contain clawback provisions.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No executive officer has served on the board of directors or compensation committee of any other entity that has or has had one or more executive officers who served as a member of the company's board of directors or compensation committee. None of the members of the compensation committee is or has ever been an officer or employee of the company.

Table of Contents**Executive Compensation****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table lists the annual compensation of our named executive officers for the three years ended January 31, 2010.

Name and Principal Position	Year Ended	January 31, Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)(1)	Non-Equity Incentive Awards			All Other Compensation (\$)(4)	Total (\$)
				Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	Plan Compensation (\$)(3)		
Dan Bodner - President and Chief Executive Officer and Corporate Officer	2010	600,000		601,620	780,072	41,818	2,023,510	
	2009	600,000		1,509,436	584,230	41,090	2,734,756	
	2008	506,800		3,273,398	506,616	36,412	4,323,226	
Douglas Robinson - Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Officer	2010	354,000		218,942	276,145	14,000	863,087	
	2009	354,000		754,531	206,818	24,000	1,339,349	
	2008	340,000		1,959,597	238,298	24,000	2,561,895	
Elan Moriah - President, Verint Witness Actionable Solutions and Verint Video Intelligence Solutions and Corporate Officer	2010	354,000		217,129	276,170	12,687	859,986	
	2009	354,000		742,832	206,818	14,644	1,318,294	
	2008	340,000		1,285,086	213,650	11,969	1,850,705	
Meir Sperling - President, Verint Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions and Corporate Officer	2010	317,528(5)		460,590	217,391(5)	82,360	1,077,869	
	2009	345,899		669,475	205,040	97,030	1,317,444	
	2008	277,601		1,254,316	245,586	93,388	1,870,891	
David Parcell - Managing Director, EMEA and Corporate Officer	2010	306,520(6)		191,254	159,280(6)	57,058	714,112	
	2009	348,695	102,823(7)	648,974	81,148	51,620	1,233,260	
	2008	376,470	67,413	560,116	146,356	52,188	1,202,543	
Peter Fante - Chief Legal Officer, Chief Compliance Officer, Secretary and Corporate Officer	2010	325,000		188,194	211,288	18,250	742,732	
	2009	325,000		629,219	158,229	14,000	1,126,448	
	2008	292,500	25,590	989,631	139,410	48,672(8)	1,495,803	

(1) Includes annual bonuses paid based on general performance reviews by the compensation committee not tied to pre-defined performance goals or other special bonuses.

(2) Reflects the aggregate grant

date fair value of stock or option awards, as applicable, approved for the executive officer in the applicable fiscal year computed in accordance with applicable accounting standards. For performance-based awards, the value shown in the table is based on the achievement of the target level (or probable level) of performance. See the table below entitled Maximum Grant Date Value of Performance Awards for the aggregate grant date fair value of these performance awards assuming the highest level of performance had been achieved. The grant date fair value of our annual equity awards has fluctuated significantly from year to year based on significant volatility in our stock price during our extended filing delay period, particularly with respect to the awards made in the year ended January 31, 2010. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and

Analysis, in the year ended January 31, 2008, in addition to a regular annual equity grant, each officer also received a retention equity award.

Mr. Robinson also received a one-time welcome grant in that year.

- (3) Amount represents performance-based annual cash bonuses tied to pre-defined performance goals.

- (4) See the table below for additional information on All Other Compensation amounts for the year ended January 31, 2010. All Other Compensation does not include premiums for group life, health, or disability insurance that is available generally to all salaried employees in the country in which the executive officer is employed and do not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of our executive officers or directors.

- (5) Mr. Sperling received a salary of

NIS 1,238,892 per annum (\$317,528 based on the average exchange rate from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010 of NIS 1=\$0.2563) and a performance-based bonus of NIS 808,447 (\$217,391 based on the May 2, 2010 exchange rate of NIS 1=\$0.2689).

- (6) Mr. Parcell received a salary of £194,000 per annum (\$306,520 based on the average exchange rate from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010 of £1=\$1.5800), a performance-based bonus of £98,650 (\$159,280) paid in installments based on the average exchange rate from May 31, 2009 through March 31, 2010 of £1=\$1.6146).

Table of Contents

(7) For the year ended January 31, 2009, Mr. Parcell received a discretionary bonus of \$30,000 and £36,850 (\$72,823 based on the May 31, 2008 exchange rate of £1=\$1.9762) representing the second half of his 2007 cash retention bonus, which was earned and paid in 2008.

(8) Includes a one-time relocation allowance of \$30,000 for Mr. Fante.

Maximum Grant Date Value of Performance Awards

The following table sets forth the aggregate grant date fair value of the performance awards made to our executive officers during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 assuming the highest level of performance had been achieved. Fair value is calculated based on the closing price of our common stock on the accounting grant date, which is not always the same as the date the stock option committee approved the grant, and award tranches are also grouped by accounting grant date. The accounting grant date is generally the date on which the performance goal for the applicable award tranche has been both established and communicated.

Name	Date of Committee Approval of Grant	Accounting Grant Date	Maximum Possible Shares	Fair Value on Date	
				of Committee Approval	
Dan Bodner	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	62,500	\$	212,500
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	12,500	\$	42,500
)	3/18/2009	18,767	\$	63,808

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)				
		Total YE 1/31/2010	93,767	\$	318,808
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	12,500	\$	274,375
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	18,767	\$	411,936
		Total YE 1/31/2009	31,267	\$	686,311
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	18,766	\$	347,171
		Total YE 1/31/2008	18,766	\$	347,171
Douglas Robinson	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	22,556	\$	76,691
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	7,518	\$	25,561
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	4,300	\$	14,620
		Total YE 1/31/2010	34,374	\$	116,872
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	7,518	\$	165,020
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	4,300	\$	94,385
		Total YE 1/31/2009	11,818	\$	259,405
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	4,300	\$	79,550
		Total YE 1/31/2008	4,300	\$	79,550

Table of Contents

Name	Date of Committee Approval of Grant	Accounting Grant Date	Maximum Possible Shares	Fair Value on Date	
				of Committee Approval	
Meir Sperling	5/20/2009 (1 st tranche)	6/20/2009	20,050	\$	212,530
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	6,683	\$	22,722
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	3,767	\$	12,808
		Total YE 1/31/2010	30,500	\$	248,060
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	6,683	\$	146,692
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	3,767	\$	82,686
		Total YE 1/31/2009	10,450	\$	229,378
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	3,766	\$	69,671
		Total YE 1/31/2008	3,766	\$	69,671
	Elan Moriah	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	22,556	\$
5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)		3/18/2009	7,518	\$	25,561
7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)		3/18/2009	3,767	\$	12,808
		Total YE 1/31/2010	33,841	\$	115,059
5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)		5/28/2008	7,518	\$	165,020
7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)		5/28/2008	3,767	\$	82,686
		Total YE 1/31/2009	11,285	\$	247,706

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	3,766	\$	69,671
		Total YE 1/31/2008	3,766	\$	69,671
David Parcell	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	20,050	\$	68,170
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	6,683	\$	22,722
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	2,834	\$	9,636
		Total YE 1/31/2010	29,567	\$	100,528
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	6,683	\$	146,692
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	2,833	\$	62,184
		Total YE 1/31/2009	9,516	\$	208,876
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	2,833	\$	52,411
		Total YE 1/31/2008	2,833	\$	52,411

Table of Contents

Name	Date of Committee Approval of Grant	Accounting Grant Date	Maximum Possible Shares	Fair Value on Date of Committee Approval		
Peter Fante	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	20,050	\$	68,170	
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	6,683	\$	22,722	
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	1,934	\$	6,576	
		Total YE 1/31/2010		28,667	\$	97,468
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	6,683	\$	146,692	
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	1,933	\$	42,429	
		Total YE 1/31/2009	8,616	\$	189,121	
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	1,933	\$	35,761	
	Total YE 1/31/2008		1,933	\$	35,761	

All Other Compensation Table ⁽¹⁾

Name	Employer Retirement Contribution (\$)	Severance Fund Contribution (\$)	Study Fund Contribution (\$)	Car Allowance or Cost of Company Car Plus Fuel Allowance (\$)	Professional Advice Allowance (\$)	Accrued Vacation Payout (\$)	Statutory Recreation Payment (\$)	Supplemental Life Insurance (\$)	Total (\$)
Dan Bodner Douglas	2,000			14,828	20,000			4,990	41,818
Robinson	2,000			12,000					14,000
Elan Moriah	2,000			10,687					12,687
Meir Sperling (2)	17,623	26,810	23,815	13,502			610		82,360
	20,098			21,778	8,023	7,159			57,058

David Parcell

(3)

Peter Fante	2,000	12,000	4,250	18,250
-------------	-------	--------	-------	--------

(1) This supplemental table is provided as additional information for our stockholders and is not intended as a substitute for the information presented in the Summary Compensation Table .

(2) For the year ended January 31, 2010, Mr. Sperling received a company contribution to his retirement fund of NIS 68,759 (\$17,623), to his severance fund of NIS 104,603 (\$26,810), to his study fund of NIS 92,917 (\$23,815), use of a company car plus a fuel reimbursement allowance which cost us NIS 52,679 (\$13,502) for the period, and a statutory recreation payment of NIS 2,380 (\$610), in each case, based on the average

exchange rate
from
February 1,
2009 through
January 31,
2010 of NIS
1=\$0.2563.

- (3) For the year
ended
January 31,
2010,
Mr. Parcell
received a
company
contribution to
his retirement
fund of £12,720
(\$20,098), use
of a company
car plus a fuel
reimbursement
allowance
which cost us
£13,783
(\$21,778) for
the period,
reimbursement
of professional
advice
allowance of
£5,078 (\$8,023),
and payout of
accrued
vacation of
£4,477 (\$7,159),
in each case,
based on the
average
exchange rate
from
February 1,
2009 through
January 31,
2010 of £1=
\$1.5800.

Table of Contents

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for the Year Ended January 31, 2010

The following table sets forth information concerning equity grants to our named executive officers during the year ended January 31, 2010. For the sake of clarity, the table also contains information about awards made in other years to the extent that the performance goal for any tranche of such awards was set in the year ended January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Type of Award	Date of Committee Approval of Grant	Accounting Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			Award Number of Shares of Stock or Units
			Threshold	Target	Max	Threshold	Target	Max	
			(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)(10)	(#)	(#)	
RSU (Time-vested grant)(3)	3/4/2009	3/4/2009							93,750
RSU (Performance-vested grant)(4)(5)(6)	3/4/2009	3/18/2009(9)				15,625	31,250	62,500	
	3/4/2009	3/17/2010(9)				18,750	31,250	62,500	
	3/4/2009	n/a(9)				n/a	31,250	62,500	
	5/28/2008	5/28/2008(9)				12,500	12,500	12,500	
	5/28/2008	3/18/2009(9)				6,250	12,500	12,500	
	5/28/2008	3/17/2010(9)				7,500	12,500	12,500	
	7/2/2007	1/31/2008(9)				14,075	18,766	18,766	
	7/2/2007	5/28/2008(9)				14,075	18,767	18,767	
	7/2/2007	3/18/2009(9)				9,384	18,767	18,767	
Annual Bonus for YE 1/31/10	n/a	n/a	270,000	600,000	1,140,000				
RSU (Time-vested grant)(3)	3/4/2009	3/4/2009							33,800
RSU (Performance-vested grant)(4)(5)(6)	3/4/2009	3/18/2009(9)				5,639	11,278	22,556	
	3/4/2009	3/17/2010(9)				6,767	11,278	22,556	
	3/4/2009	n/a(9)				n/a	11,279	22,558	
	5/28/2008	5/28/2008(9)				7,518	7,518	7,518	
	5/28/2008	3/18/2009(9)				3,759	7,518	7,518	
	5/28/2008	3/17/2010(9)				4,512	7,520	7,520	
	7/2/2007	1/31/2008(9)				3,225	4,300	4,300	
	7/2/2007	5/28/2008(9)				3,225	4,300	4,300	
	7/2/2007	3/18/2009(9)				2,150	4,300	4,300	
Annual Bonus for YE 1/31/10	n/a	n/a	95,580	212,400	403,560				
RSU (Time-vested grant)(3)	3/4/2009	3/4/2009							33,800
RSU (Performance-vested grant)(4)(5)(6)	3/4/2009	3/18/2009(9)				5,639	11,278	22,556	
	3/4/2009	3/17/2010(9)				6,767	11,278	22,556	
	3/4/2009	n/a(9)				n/a	11,279	22,558	
	5/28/2008	5/28/2008(9)				7,518	7,518	7,518	
	5/28/2008	3/18/2009(9)				3,759	7,518	7,518	
	5/28/2008	3/17/2010(9)				4,512	7,520	7,520	
	7/2/2007	1/31/2008(9)				2,825	3,766	3,766	
	7/2/2007	5/28/2008(9)				2,825	3,767	3,767	
	7/2/2007	3/18/2009(9)				1,884	3,767	3,767	
Annual Bonus for YE 1/31/10	n/a	n/a	95,580	212,400	403,560				

Table of Contents

	Date of Committee Approval of	Accounting Grant Date	Estimated Possible Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan			Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units
			Threshold	Target	Max	Threshold	Target	Max	
Type of Award	Grant	Date	(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)(10)	(#)	(#)	(#)
ng RSU (Time-vested grant)(3)	5/20/2009	6/20/2009							30,075
RSU (Performance-vested grant)(4)(5)(6)	5/20/2009	6/20/2009(9)				5,013	10,025	20,050	
	5/20/2009	3/17/2010(9)				6,015	10,025	20,050	
	5/20/2009	n/a(9)				n/a	10,025	20,050	
	5/28/2008	5/28/2008(9)				6,683	6,683	6,683	
	5/28/2008	3/18/2009(9)				3,342	6,683	6,683	
	5/28/2008	3/17/2010(9)				4,010	6,684	6,684	
	7/2/2007	1/31/2008(9)				2,825	3,766	3,766	
	7/2/2007	5/28/2008(9)				2,825	3,767	3,767	
	7/2/2007	3/18/2009(9)				1,884	3,767	3,767	
Annual Bonus for YE 1/31/10(7)	n/a	n/a	67,381	149,736	284,499				
ell RSU (Time-vested grant)(3)	3/4/2009	3/4/2009							30,075
RSU (Performance-vested grant)(4)(5)(6)	3/4/2009	3/18/2009(9)				5,013	10,025	20,050	
	3/4/2009	3/17/2010(9)				6,015	10,025	20,050	
	3/4/2009	n/a(9)				n/a	10,025	20,050	
	5/28/2008	5/28/2008(9)				6,683	6,683	6,683	
	5/28/2008	3/18/2009(9)				3,342	6,683	6,683	
	5/28/2008	3/17/2010(9)				4,010	6,684	6,684	
	7/2/2007	1/31/2008(9)				2,125	2,833	2,833	
	7/2/2007	5/28/2008(9)				2,125	2,833	2,833	
	7/2/2007	3/18/2009(9)				1,417	2,834	2,834	
Annual Bonus for YE 1/31/10(8)	n/a	n/a	50,612	112,472	213,697				
RSU (Time-vested grant)(3)	3/4/2009	3/4/2009							30,075
RSU (Performance-vested grant)(4)(5)(6)	3/4/2009	3/18/2009(9)				5,013	10,025	20,050	
	3/4/2009	3/17/2010(9)				6,015	10,025	20,050	
	3/4/2009	n/a(9)				n/a	10,025	20,050	
	5/28/2008	5/28/2008(9)				6,683	6,683	6,683	
	5/28/2008	3/18/2009(9)				3,342	6,683	6,683	
	5/28/2008	3/17/2010(9)				4,010	6,684	6,684	
	7/2/2007	1/31/2008(9)				1,450	1,933	1,933	
	7/2/2007	5/28/2008(9)				1,450	1,933	1,933	
	7/2/2007	3/18/2009(9)				967	1,934	1,934	
Annual Bonus for YE 1/31/10	n/a	n/a	73,125	162,500	308,750				

Table of Contents

- (1) The threshold column corresponds to the minimum bonus payable to the executive officer assuming that minimum performance goals are achieved. If minimum performance goals are not achieved, the bonus payable to the executive officer would be zero.

- (2) The accounting grant date fair value of equity awards is based on the target number of shares and calculated using the closing price of our common stock on the accounting grant date, which is not always the same as the date the stock option committee approved the grant. The accounting grant date is generally the date on which the performance goal for the applicable award tranche has been both established and communicated. For further discussion of our accounting for equity compensation, see Note 14, Employee Benefit Plans to the consolidated financial statements

included in Item 15.

The following table summarizes the fair value of the July 2, 2007, May 28, 2008, March 4, 2009, and May 20, 2009 performance-vested awards based on the target number of shares and calculated using the closing price of our common stock on July 2, 2007 (\$30.77), May 28, 2008 (\$21.95), March 4, 2009 (\$4.15), and May 20, 2009 (\$7.80), the dates the stock option committee approved the grants.

Name	Date of Committee Approval of Grant	Accounting Grant Date	Target Shares	Fair Value on Date of Committee Approval
Dan Bodner	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	31,250	\$ 106,250
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	12,500	\$ 42,500
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	18,767	\$ 63,808
		Total YE 1/31/2010	62,517	\$ 212,558
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	12,500	\$ 274,375
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	18,767	\$ 411,936
		Total YE 1/31/2009	31,267	\$ 686,311

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	18,766	\$	347,171
		Total YE 1/31/2008	18,766	\$	347,171
Douglas Robinson	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	11,278	\$	38,345
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	7,518	\$	25,561
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	4,300	\$	14,620
		Total YE 1/31/2010	23,096	\$	78,526
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	7,518	\$	165,020
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	4,300	\$	94,385
		Total YE 1/31/2009	11,818	\$	259,405
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	4,300	\$	79,550
		Total YE 1/31/2008	4,300	\$	79,550
Elan Moriah	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	11,278	\$	38,345
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	7,518	\$	25,561
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	3,767	\$	12,808
		Total YE 1/31/2010	22,563	\$	76,714
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	7,518	\$	165,020
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	3,767	\$	82,686
		Total YE 1/31/2009	11,285	\$	247,706
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	3,766	\$	69,671

Total YE				
1/31/2008	3,766	\$		69,671

Table of Contents

Name	Date of Committee Approval of Grant	Accounting Grant Date	Target Shares	Fair Value on Date
				of Committee Approval
Meir Sperling	5/20/2009 (1 st tranche)	6/20/2009	10,025	\$ 106,265
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	6,683	\$ 22,722
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	3,767	\$ 12,808
		Total YE 1/31/2010	20,475	\$ 141,795
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	6,683	\$ 146,692
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	3,767	\$ 82,686
		Total YE 1/31/2009	10,450	\$ 229,378
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	3,766	\$ 69,671
		Total YE 1/31/2008	3,766	\$ 69,671
	David Parcell	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	10,025
5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)		3/18/2009	6,683	\$ 22,722
7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)		3/18/2009	2,834	\$ 9,636
		Total YE 1/31/2010	19,542	\$ 66,443
5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)		5/28/2008	6,683	\$ 146,692
7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)		5/28/2008	2,833	\$ 62,184
		Total YE 1/31/2009	9,516	\$ 208,876

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	2,833	\$	52,411
		Total YE 1/31/2008	2,833	\$	52,411
Peter Fante	3/4/2009 (1 st tranche)	3/18/2009	10,025	\$	34,085
	5/28/2008 (2 nd tranche)	3/18/2009	6,683	\$	22,722
	7/2/2007 (3 rd tranche)	3/18/2009	1,934	\$	6,576
		Total YE 1/31/2010	18,642	\$	63,383
	5/28/2008 (1 st tranche)	5/28/2008	6,683	\$	146,692
	7/2/2007 (2 nd tranche)	5/28/2008	1,933	\$	42,429
		Total YE 1/31/2009	8,616	\$	189,121
	7/2/2007 (1 st tranche)	1/31/2008	1,933	\$	35,761
		Total YE 1/31/2008	1,933	\$	35,761

(3) The March 4, 2009 time-based award vests 1/3 on April 12, 2010, 1/3 on April 12, 2011, and 1/3 on April 12, 2012. The May 20, 2009 time-based award vests 1/3 on April 12, 2010, 1/3 on April 12, 2011, and 1/3 on May 20, 2012.

(4) The March 4, 2009 and May 20, 2009 performance

awards vest 1/3 upon the stock option committee's determination of our achievement of specified revenue targets (set by the stock option committee for the relevant performance period) for the period from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010, 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011, and 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 (provided that, with respect to the period from February 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, no such determination by the stock option committee shall be final until on or after the third anniversary of

the date the award was approved).

- (5) The May 28, 2008 performance award vests 1/3 upon the stock option committee's determination of our achievement of specified revenue targets (set by the stock option committee for the relevant performance period) for the period from May 1, 2008 through January 31, 2009, 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010, and 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011 (provided that, with respect to the period from February 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011, no such

determination
by the stock
option
committee shall
be final until on
or after May 28,
2011), and as of
January 31,
2010 was, in the
case of
Mr. Parcell,
subject to the
special vesting
conditions
described in -
Narrative to
Grants of
Plan-Based
Awards Table .

Table of Contents

- (6) The July 2, 2007 performance award vests 1/3 upon the stock option committee's determination of our achievement of specified revenue targets (set by the stock option committee for the relevant performance period) for the period from August 1, 2007 through January 31, 2008, 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2008 through January 31, 2009, and 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010 (provided that, with respect to the period from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010, no such determination by the stock option

committee shall be final until on or after July 2, 2010), and as of January 31, 2010 was, in the case of Mr. Parcell, subject to the special vesting conditions described in - Narrative to Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table .

- (7) On March 18, 2009 the compensation committee approved threshold, target, and maximum bonus awards for Mr. Sperling of NIS 278,550, NIS 619,000, and NIS 1,176,100, respectively (\$67,381, \$149,736, and \$284,499 based on the March 18, 2009 exchange rate of NIS1=\$0.2419).
- (8) On March 18, 2009, the compensation committee approved threshold, target, and maximum bonus awards for Mr. Parcell of £36,000, £80,000, and £152,000,

respectively
(\$50,612,
\$112,472 and
\$213,697 based
on the March 18,
2009 exchange
rate of
£1=\$1.4059).

- (9) Each performance award contains three equal tranches which vest based on three separate performance periods. Dates correspond to the accounting grant date applicable to the first, second, and third tranches, respectively. The accounting grant date is generally the date on which the performance goal for the applicable award tranche has been both established and communicated. Tranches for which performance goals have not yet been established do not yet have an accounting grant date.
- (10) Represents the threshold number of shares that were available to be

earned in each of the 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010 performance periods, as applicable. Tranches for which performance goals have not yet been established do not yet have a threshold award level. The following table summarizes the actual number of shares earned for each of the performance periods that has already been completed. If the minimum performance goal is not achieved in any performance period, no shares are earned for that period.

Performance Grant Approved July 2, 2007

Name	Actual Shares Earned for 2007 Performance Period	Actual Shares Earned for 2008 Performance Period	Actual Shares Earned for 2009 Performance Period
Dan Bodner	18,625	15,275	18,767
Douglas Robinson	4,267	3,500	4,300
Elan Moriah	3,737	3,065	3,767
Meir Sperling	3,737	3,065	3,767
David Parcell	2,811	2,306	2,834
Peter Fante	1,918	1,573	1,934

Table of Contents**Performance Grant Approved May 28, 2008**

Name	Actual Shares Earned for 2008 Performance Period	Actual Shares Earned for 2009 Performance Period
Dan Bodner	12,500	12,500
Douglas Robinson	7,518	7,518
Elan Moriah	7,518	7,518
Meir Sperling	6,683	6,683
David Parcell	6,683	6,683
Peter Fante	6,683	6,683

Performance Grant Approved March 4, 2009 or May 20, 2009

Name	Actual Shares Earned for 2009 Performance Period
Dan Bodner	50,505
Douglas Robinson	18,227
Elan Moriah	18,227
Meir Sperling	16,202
David Parcell	16,202
Peter Fante	16,202

Further Information Regarding Summary Compensation Table and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

As of the filing date of this report, each of our executive officers other than Mr. Sperling is party to an employment agreement with us. Each agreement provides for certain severance payments and benefits, including in connection with a change in control. See - Executive Officer Severance Benefits and Change in Control Provisions below for a discussion of these severance and change in control benefits, as well as a description of the restrictive covenants and clawback provisions contained in such agreements.

The agreements with our U.S. executive officers generally provide for an initial term of two years, followed by automatic one-year renewals (unless terminated by either party in accordance with the agreement and subject to required notice). The agreements with our non-U.S. executive officers do not provide for a fixed term. Mr. Sperling has a customary offer letter from us and a letter agreement regarding the release of his severance, retirement, and disability insurance funds in the event of a termination event, but does not currently have a formal employment agreement.

Table of Contents*Narrative to Summary Compensation Table*

As discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, each employment agreement provides for an annual base salary, target bonus (subject to the achievement of performance goals), and certain perquisites. Although target bonuses are specified in each employment agreement, bonuses are not guaranteed and are paid based on the achievement of performance goals. In Mr. Robinson's case, the target bonus is fixed at 60% of his base salary under the terms of his employment agreement. For the other executive officers party to an employment agreement, the target bonus is expressed as a dollar amount or an amount denominated in local currency. As of January 31, 2010, the target bonuses specified by the employment agreements were as follows: \$162,500 (for Mr. Fante), \$212,400 (for Mr. Moriah), and £38,000 (for Mr. Parcell). Mr. Parcell's contractual target bonus of £38,000 corresponded to \$60,770 as of January 31, 2010 based on an exchange rate of £1=\$1.5992 on such date. As of January 31, 2010, Messrs. Bodner and Sperling had not entered into employment agreements with us and therefore did not yet have contractually defined target bonuses. Mr. Sperling's offer letter provides for an annual base salary and a discretionary annual bonus. Historically, the target bonuses for each executive officer established by the compensation committee as part of its annual compensation review process has equaled or exceeded the target bonus specified in the officer's employment agreement (if any) and the target bonus from the previous year.

The grant date fair value of our annual equity awards has fluctuated significantly from year to year based on significant volatility in our stock price during our extended filing delay period, particularly with respect to the awards made in the year ended January 31, 2010. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, in the year ended January 31, 2008, in addition to a regular annual equity grant, each officer also received a retention equity award. Mr. Robinson also received a one-time welcome grant in that year.

Narrative to All Other Compensation Table

We provide a limited amount of perquisites to our executive officers, which vary from officer to officer. Each of the executive officers is entitled to use of a company car or an annual car allowance. Messrs. Sperling and Parcell are entitled to an annual allowance for fuel reimbursement. Messrs. Bodner, Robinson, and Fante are entitled to an annual allowance for legal, tax, or accounting advice. In some years, Mr. Parcell has received reimbursement of a modest amount of legal or tax advice as agreed by us on a case by case basis in connection with proposed modifications of his employment arrangements. All executive officers receive the same health insurance and company-paid group life and disability insurance offered to all other employees in the country in which the executive officer is employed. In addition, Mr. Bodner has historically received a supplemental company-paid life insurance policy.

Executive officers in the U.S. receive the same partial match of their 401(k) contributions as all other U.S. employees, up to a maximum company contribution of \$2,000 per year.

In the case of Mr. Parcell, we contribute a percentage of his base salary to a retirement fund on the same basis as other U.K. employees. Under the retirement fund Mr. Parcell, can elect to contribute a percentage of his monthly salary to the fund, which is administered by an outside third party, similar to a 401(k). If he elects to contribute 3% or less of his salary, we contribute an amount equal to 4% of his salary. If he elects to contribute 4% of salary, our contribution is 5%. If he elects to contribute 5% or more, our contribution is 6%. Our contributions are incremental to his salary and are paid by us directly to the third-party provider.

Table of Contents

Like all Israeli employees, under Israeli law, Mr. Sperling is entitled to severance pay equal to one month's salary for each year of employment upon termination without cause (as defined in the Israel Severance Pay Law). To satisfy this requirement, for all Israeli employees, including Mr. Sperling, we make contributions on behalf of the employee to a severance fund. This severance fund is often part of a larger savings fund which also includes a retirement fund and in some cases an insurance component. Each employee can elect to contribute an amount equal to between 5% and 7% of his or her monthly salary to the retirement fund. We contribute an amount equal to 5% of the employee's monthly salary to the retirement fund plus an additional amount equal to 8.33% of the employee's monthly salary to the severance fund. The employee is not required to pay anything towards the severance fund. Our contributions are incremental to the employee's base salary and, except as noted below, are paid by us directly to the third-party plan administrator. Applicable tax law permits allocations made by the employer to the retirement fund to be made on a tax-free basis up to a limit set by applicable Israeli tax regulations. Under local Israeli company policy, the employee may request that any company contributions in excess of this limit be made directly to him or her rather than being placed in the retirement fund. For executives like Mr. Sperling, if the amount in the severance fund is insufficient to cover the required statutory payment under Israeli labor law at the time of a termination event, we are obligated to supplement the amounts in the severance fund.

In addition, all Israeli employees, including Mr. Sperling, are also entitled to participate in a continuing education fund, often referred to as a study fund. The continuing education fund is a savings fund from which the employee can withdraw on a tax-free basis for any purpose after six years, irrespective of his or her employment status with us. Each month, eligible employees contribute 2.5%, and we contribute 7.5%, of the employee's base salary to the study fund. Applicable tax law permits a portion of the company contributions to the study fund to be made tax-free. Under local Israeli company policy, the employee may request that any company contributions in excess of this limit be made directly to him or her rather than being placed in the fund. Our contributions are incremental to the employee's base salary and, except as noted above, are paid by us directly to the third-party plan administrator.

Under applicable Israeli law, each employee is paid a small annual amount for recreation based on the employee's tenure and a per-diem rate published by the government. Under local Israeli company policy, our Israeli employees are also entitled to receive a cash payment in exchange for vacation days in accordance with the terms of the policy.

Narrative to Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table

All of the equity awards listed in the table entitled "Grants of Plan-Based Awards" were made under or subsequently allocated to the Verint Systems Inc. Stock Incentive Compensation Plan or the Verint Systems Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Compensation Plan (each as amended). Time-based equity awards for officers normally vest over a three- or a four-year period. Performance-based equity awards to date have been comprised of three separate vesting periods corresponding to three separate performance periods which generally correspond to our fiscal year. Specific vesting schedules for each award listed in the table entitled "Grants of Plan-Based Awards" are provided in the footnotes to the table.

Table of Contents

All of the equity awards granted to our executive officers in the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 (but not in year ended January 31, 2010) were made subject to special compliance vesting conditions which override the regular time-vesting or performance-vesting schedule of the awards. These compliance vesting conditions require us to be both current with our SEC filings and re-listed on NASDAQ or another nationally recognized exchange for the awards to vest. The May 2008 awards also require that we have received stockholder approval of a new equity compensation plan or have additional share capacity under an existing stockholder-approved equity compensation plan for the 2008 awards to vest. If any of these compliance vesting conditions is not satisfied on the date the awards would otherwise vest, the portion of the award that would otherwise vest remains unvested until such time as all of the applicable compliance vesting conditions are satisfied. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, the compensation and stock option committees subsequently authorized us to enter into amendments with each of the executive officers to remove the compliance vesting conditions, thereby permitting these awards to vest on their original schedule. As of the filing date of this report, we have finalized all of these amendments except for Mr. Parcell's which remains open due to local tax considerations. For our U.S. executive officers, these amendments also provided for a delay in the delivery of the shares underlying these awards subject to limitations imposed by Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

Outstanding Equity Awards at January 31, 2010

The following table sets forth information regarding various equity awards held by our named executive officers as of January 31, 2010. The market value of all RSU and restricted stock awards is based on the closing price of our common stock as of the last trading day in the year ended January 31, 2010 (\$18.30 on January 29, 2010).

Table of Contents

Name	Date of Committee Approval of Grant	Option Awards				Number of Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Stock Awards	
		Unexercised Option (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Option (#) Unexercisable	Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date			Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Dan Bodner	5/21/2002(1)	16,635		16.00	5/21/2012				
	3/5/2003(1)	40,000		17.00	3/5/2013				
	12/12/2003(1)	37,200		23.00	12/12/2013				
	12/9/2004(1)	80,000		35.11	12/9/2014				
	1/11/2006(1)	88,000		34.40	1/11/2016				
	7/2/2007(2)					19,400	355,020		
	7/2/2007(3)					19,142	350,299		
	7/2/2007(4)					18,768	343,454		
	5/28/2008(7)					25,000	457,500		
	5/28/2008(8)					12,500	228,750	12,500	228,750
Douglas Robinson	3/4/2009(9)					93,750	1,715,625		
	3/4/2009(10)					50,505	924,242	62,500	1,143,750
	7/2/2007(2)					12,900	236,070		
	7/2/2007(5)					5,600	102,480		
	7/2/2007(6)					1,290	23,607		
	7/2/2007(4)					4,300	78,690		
	5/28/2008(7)					15,038	275,195		
	5/28/2008(8)					7,518	137,579	7,520	137,616
	3/4/2009(9)					33,835	619,181		
	3/4/2009(10)					18,227	333,554	22,557	412,793
Elan Moriah	4/1/2001(1)	4,892		8.69	4/1/2011				
	5/21/2002(1)	2,446		16.00	5/16/2012				
	3/5/2003(1)	20,000		17.00	3/5/2013				
	12/12/2003(1)	18,750		23.00	12/12/2013				
	12/9/2004(1)	25,000		35.11	12/9/2014				
	1/11/2006(1)	20,000		34.40	1/11/2016				
	7/2/2007(2)					14,100	258,030		
7/2/2007(3)					3,842	70,309			

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

					3,768	68,954		
					15,038	275,195		
					7,518	137,579	7,520	137,616
					33,835	619,181		
					18,227	333,554	22,557	412,793
Meir Sperling	4/1/2001(1)	2,446	8.69	4/1/2011				
	5/21/2002(1)	2,446	16.00	5/16/2012				
	3/5/2003(1)	25,000	17.00	3/5/2013				
	12/12/2003(1)	25,000	23.00	12/12/2013				
	12/9/2004(1)	25,000	35.11	12/9/2014				
	1/11/2006(1)	20,000	34.40	1/11/2016				
					13,600	248,880		
					3,842	70,309		
					3,768	68,954		
					13,366	244,598		
					6,683	122,299	6,684	122,317
					30,075	550,373		
					16,202	296,497	20,050	366,915
David Parcell	5/21/2002(1)	2,446	16.00	5/16/2012				
	3/5/2003(1)	7,500	17.00	3/5/2013				
	12/12/2003(1)	11,250	23.00	12/12/2013				
	12/9/2004(1)	20,000	35.11	12/9/2014				
							8,000	146,400
							8,500	155,550
							7,951	145,503
							20,050	366,915
							20,050	366,915
					30,075	550,373		
					16,202	296,497	20,050	366,915
Peter Fante	11/20/2002(1)	6,250	14.90	11/20/2012				
	12/12/2003(1)	18,750	23.00	12/12/2013				
	12/9/2004(1)	20,000	35.11	12/9/2014				
					12,600	230,580		
					1,972	36,088		
					1,934	35,392		
					13,366	244,598		
					6,683	122,299	6,684	122,317
					30,075	550,373		
					16,202	296,497	20,050	366,915

Table of Contents

- (1) This award was fully vested at January 31, 2010.
- (2) The vesting schedule for this RSU grant was/is 50% on March 15, 2008 and 50% on July 2, 2010, and as of January 31, 2010, this award was, for Mr. Parcell, subject to the special vesting conditions described below.
- (3) The vesting schedule for this RSU grant was/is 33% on March 15, 2008, 33% on March 15, 2009, and 34% on July 2, 2010, and as of January 31, 2010, this award was, for Mr. Parcell, subject to the special vesting conditions described below.
- (4) The vesting schedule for this RSU grant was/is 1/3 upon the stock option committee's determination of our achievement of specified revenue targets

(set by the stock option committee for the relevant performance period) for the period from August 1, 2007 through January 31, 2008, 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2008 through January 31, 2009, and 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010 (provided that, with respect to the period from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010, no such determination by the stock option committee shall be final until on or after July 2, 2010), and as of January 31, 2010, this award was, for Mr. Parcell, subject to the special vesting conditions described below.

- (5) The vesting schedule for this RSU grant was/is 25% on August 14, 2007, 25% on August 14, 2008,

25% on
August 14, 2009,
and 25% on
August 14, 2010.

(6) The vesting
schedule for this
RSU grant was/is
30% on
August 14, 2007,
30% on
August 14, 2008,
30% on
August 14, 2009,
and 10% on
July 2, 2010.

(7) The May 28,
2008 award vests
1/3 on April 3,
2009, 1/3 on
April 3, 2010,
and 1/3 on
May 28, 2011
and as of
January 31, 2010
was, for
Mr. Parcell,
subject to the
special vesting
conditions
described below.

(8) The May 28,
2008
performance
award vests 1/3
upon the stock
option
committee's
determination of
our achievement
of specified
revenue targets
(set by the stock
option committee
for the relevant
performance
period) for the
period from
May 1, 2008

through
January 31, 2009,
1/3 upon the
determination of
such achievement
for the period
from February 1,
2009 through
January 31, 2010,
and 1/3 upon the
determination of
such achievement
for the period
from February 1,
2010 through
January 31, 2011
(provided that,
with respect to
the period from
February 1, 2010
through
January 31, 2011,
no such
determination by
the stock option
committee shall
be final until on
or after May 28,
2011), and as of
January 31, 2010
was, for Mr.
Parcell, subject to
the special
vesting
conditions
described below.

- (9) The March 4,
2009 time-based
award vests 1/3
on April 12,
2010, 1/3 on
April 12, 2011,
and 1/3 on
April 12, 2012.
The May 20,
2009 time-based
award vests 1/3
on April 12,
2010, 1/3 on
April 12, 2011,

and 1/3 on
May 20, 2012.

- (10) The March 4, 2009 and May 20, 2009 performance awards vest 1/3 upon the stock option committee's determination of our achievement of specified revenue targets (set by the stock option committee for the relevant performance period) for the period from February 1, 2009 through January 31, 2010, 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2010 through January 31, 2011, and 1/3 upon the determination of such achievement for the period from February 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012 (provided that, with respect to the period from February 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012, no such determination by the stock option committee shall be final until on or after the third anniversary of

the date the award was approved). The table excludes shares eligible to be earned in excess of the target level based on the overachievement of the applicable performance goals except with respect to tranches for which the performance period had been completed as of January 31, 2010 (and the number of such overachievement shares could be calculated). For tranches corresponding to the January 31, 2010 performance period, the table shows the number of shares ultimately earned in the column entitled "Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested" because the performance period had been completed as of January 31, 2010, however, the determination of the number of shares earned (and the vesting thereof) did not occur until

March 17, 2010.
See the table
entitled
Maximum Grant
Date Value of
Performance
Awards and the
table entitled
Grants of
Plan-Based
Awards for the
Year Ended
January 31, 2010
for more
information.

Table of Contents

All of the equity awards granted to our executive officers in the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 (including the special 2007 retention equity grants), but not in year ended January 31, 2010, were made subject to special compliance vesting conditions which override the regular time-vesting or performance-vesting schedule of the awards. These compliance vesting conditions require us to be both current with our SEC filings and re-listed on NASDAQ or another nationally recognized exchange for the awards to vest. The May 2008 awards also require that we have received stockholder approval of a new equity compensation plan or have additional share capacity under an existing stockholder-approved equity compensation plan for the 2008 awards to vest. If any of these compliance vesting conditions is not satisfied on the date the awards would otherwise vest, the portion of the award that would otherwise vest remains unvested until such time as all of the applicable compliance vesting conditions are satisfied. As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis above, the compensation and stock option committees subsequently authorized us to enter into amendments with each of the executive officers to remove the compliance vesting conditions, thereby permitting these awards to vest on their original schedule. As of the filing date of this report, we have finalized all of these amendments except for Mr. Parcell's which remains open due to local tax considerations. For our U.S. executive officers, these amendments also provided for a delay in the delivery of the shares underlying these awards subject to limitations imposed by Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

Option Exercises and Stock Vesting During the Year Ended January 31, 2010

No stock options were exercised during the year ended January 31, 2010. The value of stock awards realized on vesting is calculated by multiplying the number of shares vesting by the closing price of our common stock on the vesting date. See the table entitled Outstanding Equity Awards at January 31, 2010 above for the vesting schedule of outstanding awards.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Dan Bodner			9,675	183,825
Douglas Robinson				
Elan Moriah			2,500	47,500
Meir Sperling			2,500	47,500
David Parcell			2,000	38,000
Peter Fante			1,750	33,250

Executive Officer Severance Benefits and Change in Control Provisions

As of the filing date of this report, each of our executive officers other than Mr. Sperling is party to an employment agreement with us. The following is a summary of the severance and change in control provisions of these employment agreements as of the filing date of this report, with differences existing at January 31, 2010 noted under the Provisions of Executive Officer Agreements Historically caption. The following also summarizes benefits that our non-U.S. executive officers may become entitled to under local law or local company policy.

Table of Contents

Provisions of Executive Officer Agreements at Present Date

Each of the employment agreements with our executive officers provides for an annual base salary and a performance-based bonus target.

Severance Not in Connection with a Change in Control

In the event of an involuntary termination of employment (a termination without cause or a resignation for good reason) not in connection with a change in control, the executive officers are, subject to their execution of a release and continued compliance with the restrictive covenants described below, entitled to severance consisting of base salary and, for our U.S. executive officers, reimbursement of health insurance premiums for 12 months (inclusive of any notice period required under the officer's employment agreement), or 18 months in the case of Mr. Bodner. Mr. Bodner is also entitled to 60 days advanced notice of any termination other than for cause, continuation of his professional advice allowance, and access to his company-leased vehicle for 18 months in such instance. In addition, in the event of an involuntary termination, each executive officer other than Mr. Bodner and Mr. Robinson is entitled to a pro-rated portion of his annual bonus for such year plus an amount equal to 100% of his average annual bonus measured over the last three years. Mr. Bodner's agreement provides for a pro-rated portion of his annual bonus for such year plus an amount equal to 150% of his target bonus. Mr. Robinson's agreement provides for payment of 150% of his average annual bonus measured over the last three years, but no pro-rated portion of his annual bonus for the year in question.

Severance in Connection with a Change in Control

In the event of a termination of employment in connection with a change in control, in lieu of the cash severance described above, each of the officers who has entered into a new or amended employment agreement with us beginning in 2009 is entitled to enhanced cash severance equal to the sum of 1.5 times base salary and target bonus, plus a pro-rated target bonus for the year of termination, or in the case of Mr. Bodner, 2.5 times the sum of base salary and target bonus, plus a pro-rated target bonus for the year of termination. We are currently in discussions regarding a formal employment agreement with Mr. Sperling and amended employment agreements with Mr. Robinson and Mr. Parcell, which we expect would include similar change in control benefits to Messrs. Moriah and Fante.

Equity

Other than in the case of Mr. Bodner, no equity acceleration is provided in the case of an involuntary termination not in connection with a change in control. In the event of an involuntary termination of employment in connection with a change in control, each of the employment agreements provides for acceleration of all unvested equity awards. Mr. Robinson's agreement provides for acceleration of his unvested equity awards in the event of a change in control whether or not his employment is terminated. Each of the new or amended employment agreements signed beginning in 2009 also provides that all of the officer's outstanding equity awards will become fully vested if not assumed in connection with a change in control.

Table of Contents**Other Provisions**

Each of the employment agreements provides for customary restrictive covenants, with a covenant period ranging from 12 to 24 months, including a non-compete, a non-solicitation of customers and employees, and an indefinite non-disclosure provision. Each agreement also contains a clawback provision which allows us to recoup from the officer, or cancel, a portion of the officer's incentive compensation (including bonuses and equity awards) for a particular year if we are required to restate our financial statements for that year due to material noncompliance with any financial reporting requirement under the securities laws as a result of the officer's misconduct. The clawback applies from and after the year in which the employment agreement was first signed to awards made during the term of the agreement. The amount to be recovered or forfeited is the amount by which the incentive compensation in the year in question exceeded the amount that would have been awarded had the financial statements originally been filed as restated. Each of our U.S. executive officers who has entered into a new or amended employment agreement with us beginning in 2009 is also entitled to a gross-up for any excise taxes he may become subject to in connection with a change in control. The terms "cause", "good reason", and "change in control" are defined in the forms of employment agreements incorporated by reference into this report.

Provisions of Executive Officer Agreements Historically

As of January 31, 2010, Messrs. Bodner and Sperling had not entered into employment agreements with us and therefore did not have any of the contractual benefits described in the preceding section. As of January 31, 2010 and the filing date of this report, Mr. Sperling is party to a customary offer letter with us which provides for 90 days advanced notice in the event of a termination of employment by either party. Mr. Sperling is also party to a letter agreement with us pursuant to which we have agreed to release the full amounts in his severance, retirement, and disability insurance funds in the event of a termination event.

As noted above, Mr. Robinson's and Mr. Parcell's current employment agreements do not, and did not as of January 31, 2010, provide for the enhanced cash severance or tax gross-ups in the event of a termination in connection with a change in control described above.

Benefits Under Local Law or Local Company Policy

As discussed under "Narrative to All Other Compensation Table" above, Mr. Sperling is entitled to severance pay equal to one month's salary for each year of employment upon termination without cause (as defined in the Israel Severance Pay Law) under Israeli law applicable to all Israeli employees. We make payments into a severance fund to secure this severance obligation during the course of Mr. Sperling's employment and, unless there is a shortfall as described below, we are not responsible for any payments at the time of a qualifying termination. As a result, these amounts are included in the table entitled "Summary Compensation Table" above, but not in the table entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below. However, the table entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" does include any additional amount of severance we are responsible for in excess of the balance in the severance fund at the time of a qualifying termination (in the event there is a shortfall) based on the legally mandated formula described above.

Table of Contents

In addition to any severance fund shortfall, Mr. Sperling is also entitled to a minimum notice period under Israeli law in the event of an involuntary termination and to 90 days advanced notice of termination under his offer letter. Local company notice guidelines for our Israeli employees subsume this legal notice requirement and, in Mr. Sperling's case, exceed the requirements of his offer letter. Assuming application of these local company guidelines, employees are entitled to between two weeks and three and one-half months of pay depending on the circumstances of the termination and the employee's tenure. In Mr. Sperling's case, assuming application of the guidelines at January 31, 2010, he would have been entitled to three and one-half months of notice, during which he would receive continued salary and all benefits.

Employees in the United Kingdom are entitled to severance payments under local U.K. company policy in the event of an involuntary termination in which the employee is made redundant (meaning that the termination resulted from us closing or downsizing our U.K. operations or a particular function). Under this policy, U.K. employees receive between two and three weeks of pay for each year of service depending on the employee's age, with partial service years of six months or more being rounded up. Assuming the application of this local company policy at January 31, 2010, Mr. Parcell would have been entitled to three weeks of pay for each year of service in addition to the benefits provided under his employment agreement. The payment is comprised of salary, pro rata bonus, and car allowance, but no other benefits.

Because payments under the foregoing Israeli and U.K. company guidelines or policies do not arise until a qualifying termination event, these payments are included in the table entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control" below, but not in the table entitled "Summary Compensation Table" above.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The table below outlines the potential payments and benefits that would have become payable by us to our named executive officers in the event of an involuntary termination and/or a change in control, assuming that the relevant event occurred on January 31, 2010. In reviewing the table, please note the following:

The table does not include amounts that would be payable by third parties where we have no continuing liability, such as amounts payable under private insurance policies, government insurance such as social security or national insurance, or 401(k) or similar defined contribution retirement plans. As a result, the table does not reflect amounts payable to Mr. Sperling or Mr. Parcell under the applicable local company retirement plan or retirement fund, for which we have no liability at the time of payment.

Table of Contents

Except as noted in the following bullet, the table does not include payments or benefits that are available generally to all salaried employees in the country in which the executive officer is employed and do not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of our executive officers or directors, such as short-term disability payments or payment for accrued but unused vacation.

The table includes all severance or notice payments for which we are financially responsible, even if such payments are available generally to all salaried employees in the country in which the executive officer is employed and do not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of our executive officers or directors.

With respect to Mr. Sperling's severance fund, the table includes the difference between the amount that would have been owed to Mr. Sperling under applicable Israeli labor law in the event of an involuntary termination and the amount in his severance fund at January 31, 2010.

As noted in the previous section, as of January 31, 2010, Messrs. Bodner and Sperling had not entered into employment agreements with us, however, Mr. Sperling (but not Mr. Bodner) is included in the table below because he was entitled to certain statutory severance benefits and advanced notice payments, as described below.

The value of equity awards in the table below is based on the closing price of our common stock on the last trading day in the year ended January 31, 2010 (\$18.30 on January 29, 2010).

Except with respect to tax gross up amounts, all amounts are calculated on a pre-tax basis.

Table of Contents

	Salary	Pro Rata Bonus(2)	Additional Bonus(3)	Accelerated Equity Awards(4)	Cont. Health Insurance Coverage value(5)	Cont. Other Benefits(6)	280G Tax Gross up(7)	Total
	Continuation(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Douglas Robinson								
Death		212,400			35,801			248,201
Disability	177,000	212,400			17,901			407,301
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause	354,000		626,371		35,801			1,016,172
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause in Connection with CIC	354,000		626,371	2,356,766	35,801			3,372,938
CIC Only (continued employment)				2,356,766				2,356,766
Elan Moriah								
Death		276,170			35,801			311,971
Disability	177,000	276,170			17,901			471,071
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause	354,000	276,170	482,213		35,801			1,148,184
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause in Connection with CIC	531,000	212,400	568,600	2,313,212	35,801		568,617	4,229,630
CIC Only (continued employment)								
Meir Sperling								
Death								
Disability								
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause	97,201		200,000		15	27,642		324,858
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause in Connection with CIC	97,201		200,000		15	27,642		324,858

CIC Only (continued employment)

David Parcell

Death		127,936					127,936
Disability		127,936					127,936
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause	471,334	271,269	330,440		2,535	33,058	1,108,636
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause in Connection with CIC	471,334	271,269	330,440	2,395,067	2,535	33,058	3,503,703

CIC Only (continued employment)

Peter Fante

Death		211,288			35,801		247,089
Disability	162,500	211,288			17,901		391,689
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause	325,000	211,288	428,172		35,801		1,000,261
Resignation for Good Reason/Involuntary Termination without Cause in Connection with CIC	487,500	162,500	493,750	2,005,058	35,801	559,473	3,744,082

CIC Only (continued employment)

(1) For Mr. Sperling, includes three and one-half months base salary during his notice period assuming the application of local company notice guidelines equaling NIS 361,344 (\$97,201 based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of NIS 1 = \$0.2690). For

Mr. Parcell, includes six months of base salary during his contractual notice period, plus six months of severance under his supplemental employment contract, plus an additional 27 weeks of salary (assuming a termination event on January 31, 2010) assuming the application of local company redundancy policy, costing an aggregate of £294,731, or \$471,334 as indicated in the table above, based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of £1= \$1.5992.

Table of Contents

(2) For Mr. Parcell, includes six-month s worth (or 50%) of the average annual bonus paid or payable to him over the course of the three years ended January 31, 2010 as part of his six month contractual notice period, 100% of his target bonus that was set for the year ended January 31, 2010 (assuming a termination event on January 31, 2010) as part of his supplemental employment agreement plus an additional 27 week s worth (assuming a termination event on January 31, 2010) of his three-year average annual bonus assuming the application of local company redundancy policy, costing an aggregate of £169,628, or \$271,269 as indicated in the table above, based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of £1= \$1.5992.

- (3) For Mr. Parcell, represents the average annual bonus paid or payable to him over the course of the three years ended January 31, 2010 as part of his supplemental employment agreement equaling £81,566 (\$130,440 based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of £1= \$1.5992). Includes a retention bonus of \$250,000 in the case of Messrs. Robinson, Moriah and Fante and of \$200,000 in the case of Messrs. Parcell and Sperling payable in the case of an involuntary termination without cause only.
- (4) For equity awards other than stock options, value is calculated as the closing price of our common stock on the last trading day in the year ended January 31, 2010 (\$18.30 on January 29, 2010) times the number of shares accelerating. Shares accelerating includes the actual number of performance

shares ultimately earned for the January 31, 2010 performance period notwithstanding that the formal determination of the number of shares earned did not occur until March 17, 2010. For performance periods that had not yet been completed as of January 31, 2010, shares accelerating includes the target number of performance shares. For stock options, value is calculated as the difference between the closing price of our common stock on the last trading day in the year ended January 31, 2010 (\$18.30 on January 29, 2010) and the option exercise price per share times the number of stock options accelerating.

- (5) For executive officers other than Messrs. Parcell and Sperling, amounts shown represent the actual cost of the contractually agreed number of months of COBRA payments. As of January 31, 2010,

neither Mr. Parcell nor Mr. Sperling was entitled to company-paid or reimbursed health insurance following a termination event, however, Mr. Parcell was entitled to continued health benefits during his six-month notice period costing £1,585 or \$2,535 as indicated in the table above, based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of £1 = \$1.5992 and Mr. Sperling was entitled to continued health benefits during his notice period assuming the application of local company notice guidelines costing NIS 57, or \$15 as indicated in the table above, based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of NIS 1 = \$0.2690.

- (6) For Mr. Sperling, assuming the application of local company notice guidelines, includes three and one-half months of continued contributions to his retirement fund of NIS 20,055 (\$5,395), to his

severance fund of NIS 30,509 (\$8,207), to his study fund of NIS 27,101 (\$7,290), disability insurance premiums of NIS 9,034 (\$2,430), a statutory recreation payment of NIS 694 (\$187), and use of a company car plus a fuel reimbursement allowance costing NIS 15,365 (\$4,133) for the period, for a total of NIS 102,758 (\$27,642), in each case, based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of NIS 1 = \$0.2690. For Mr. Parcell, includes six months of continued retirement plan contributions, car allowance/fuel reimbursement allowance, and insurance premiums during his contractual notice period costing £6,360 (\$10,171), £6,892 (\$11,021), and £1,286 (\$2,057), respectively, plus an additional 27 weeks of car allowance assuming the application of local company redundancy policy, costing £6,134

(\$9,809), for a total of £19,686 (\$31,482), in each case, based on the January 31, 2010 exchange rate of £1= \$1.5992.

- (7) The tax reimbursement amount represents a reasonable estimate of costs to cover the excise tax liability under Internal Revenue Code Section 4999 and the subsequent federal, state and FICA taxes on the reimbursement payment. With respect to tax gross-ups, the assumptions used to calculate this estimate are: an excise tax rate under 280G of the Internal Revenue Code of 20%, a federal, state (New York), and FICA tax blended rate of 42.28% (a 35% federal income tax rate, a 8.97% state income tax rate, and a 1.45% Medicare tax rate). These calculations do not take into account the value of any covenant not to compete that may affect the calculation of any excess parachute payment .

Table of Contents

Subsequent to January 31, 2010 (between January 31, 2010 and the filing date of this report), Mr. Bodner entered into an employment agreement with us which provided him with significant severance and/or change in control benefits. The terms of this new agreement are described in greater detail under - Executive Officer Severance Benefits and Change in Control Provisions above.

Director Compensation for the Year Ended January 31, 2010

The following table summarizes the cash and equity compensation earned by each member of the board of directors during the year ended January 31, 2010 for service as a director.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)(2)	Total (\$)
Baker, Paul (4)				
Bodner, Dan				
Bunyan, John (4)				
Dahan, Andre (4)				
DeMarines, Victor	144,750	16,950(3)		161,700
Minihan, Kenneth	132,750	16,950(3)		149,700
Myers, Larry	196,500	16,950(3)		213,450
Safir, Howard	147,000	16,950(3)		163,950
Shah, Shefali (4)				
Spirtos, John (4),(5)				
Swad, Stephen (4)				
Wright, Lauren (4)				

(1) Represents amount earned for board of directors service during the year indicated regardless of the year of payment.

(2) Reflects the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes for year ended January 31, 2010 in accordance with applicable accounting standards.

(3) On March 19, 2009, each of Messrs. DeMarines, Minihan, Myers, and Safir received an award of 5,000

shares of restricted stock in respect of board of directors service for the year ended January 31, 2010, vesting May 16, 2010. These were the only equity awards made to our directors (for service as directors) in the year ended January 31, 2010. The fair value on the date of board of directors approval of each of these awards was \$16,950 based on a closing price of our common stock of \$3.39 on March 19, 2009.

- (4) Converse-designated director.
- (5) Resigned from the board of directors June 12, 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the aggregate number of unvested stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock held by each member of our board of directors (granted for service as a director) as of the end of the year ended January 31, 2010.

Name	Unvested Options (#)	Unvested Stock Awards (#)
Baker, Paul		
Bodner, Dan		
Bunyan, John		
Dahan, Andre		
DeMarines, Victor		5,000
Minihan, Kenneth		5,000
Myers, Larry		5,000
Safir, Howard		5,000
Shah, Shefali		
Spirtos, John		
Swad, Stephen		
Wright, Lauren		

Although we do not presently have any stock ownership guidelines in place for our directors or officers, we are presently developing such guidelines in consultation with the compensation committee's independent compensation consultant and other advisors. Our insider trading policy prohibits all personnel (including directors) from short selling in our securities, from short-term trades in our securities (open market purchase and sale within three months), and from trading options in our securities. Due to our extended filing delay, other than limited dispositions to the company to cover tax liabilities in connection with vestings, none of our present directors has been able to sell any of our securities, including shares underlying equity awards, since January 2006.

Non-Independent Directors

Our non-independent directors, including Comverse designees and employee directors, do not currently receive any cash compensation for serving on the board of directors or any committee of the board of directors. These directors may receive grants of stock options or restricted stock for their service on the board of directors, in the discretion of the board of directors. None of the Comverse designated directors received an equity grant in the year ended January 31, 2010. Mr. Bodner has not been separately compensated for his service on the board of directors. All directors (whether or not independent) are eligible to be reimbursed for their out-of-pocket expenses in attending meetings of the board of directors or board of directors committees.

Table of Contents*Independent Directors*

The board of directors is responsible for establishing independent director compensation arrangements based on recommendations from the compensation committee. These compensation arrangements are designed to provide competitive compensation necessary to attract and retain high quality independent directors. The compensation committee annually reviews the independent director compensation arrangements based on market studies or trends and from time to time engages its independent compensation consultant to prepare a customized peer group analysis. In recent years, the compensation committee and the board of directors have also placed special focus on the work load associated with the completion of our internal investigation, restatement, audits, and outstanding SEC filings in establishing independent director compensation arrangements.

Our independent directors receive both an annual cash retainer (paid quarterly) as well as per-meeting fees for attendance of meetings of the board of directors and board of directors committees. Independent directors also receive an annual equity grant. As a result of the increased work load and time commitment associated with serving as a director during our extended filing delay period, during this period, we have also introduced an annual fee for an independent director's service as the board of directors or a committee chair, a special quarterly cash retainer (for the duration of our extended filing delay period), and a per diem fee for work done outside of board of directors and committee meetings.

The following table summarizes the compensation package for our independent directors for the year ended January 31, 2010.

Component of Compensation

Annual retainer (per annum)		\$50,000
Board meeting fee		\$1,500
Committee meeting fee		\$750
Annual equity grant	5,000 shares of restricted stock (vesting annually for 12 months of service)	
Special quarterly retainer (per quarter)		\$10,000
Chairmanship fee (per annum)	Board	\$25,000
	Audit	\$20,000
	Compensation	\$10,000
	Stock Option	\$5,000
	Governance	\$7,500
Per diem fee (for work outside meetings)		\$2,500

Because the chairmanship of our board of directors, our compensation committee, and our corporate governance & nominating committee are presently held by Comverse-designated directors who do not, as noted above, receive any cash compensation for their service on our board of directors, these chairmanship fees are not currently being paid.

Table of Contents

On March 19, 2009, the special quarterly retainer for Mr. Myers, chairman of the audit committee, was increased to \$20,000 per quarter for the duration of our extended filing delay period in recognition of his special role and added responsibilities in overseeing the completion of our restatement and audits.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of April 30, 2010 (the Reference Date) by:

- each person (or group within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) known by us to own beneficially 5% or more of our common stock;
- each of our directors and named executive officers; and
- all our directors and named executive officers as a group.

As used in this table, beneficial ownership means the sole or shared power to vote or direct the voting or to dispose or direct the disposition of any equity security. A person is deemed to be the beneficial owner of securities that he or she has the right to acquire within 60 days from the Reference Date through the exercise of any option, warrant, or right. Shares of our common stock subject to options, warrants, or rights which are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days (assuming the suspension of option exercises referred to in Executive Compensation under Item 11 is released) are deemed outstanding for computing the ownership percentage of the person holding such options, warrants, or rights, but are not deemed outstanding for computing the ownership percentage of any other person. The amounts and percentages are based upon 32,802,402 shares of common stock outstanding as of the Reference Date and exclude 10,072,966 shares of common stock issuable to Comverse upon conversion of shares of preferred stock (if converted on the Reference Date). The foregoing issued and outstanding share number includes employee equity awards that have been settled but excludes awards that are vested but not yet delivered. The table below, however, includes awards that have vested or will vest within 60 days of the Reference Date even if the underlying shares have not yet been delivered.

Table of Contents

Name of Beneficial Owner	Class	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned(1)	Percentage of Total Shares Outstanding
Principal Stockholders:			
Comverse Technology, Inc. 810 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019	Common	18,589,023(2)	56.7%(2)
Comverse Technology, Inc. 810 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10019	Series A Preferred	10,072,966(3)	100%(4)
Cadian Capital Management, LLC (5) 461 Fifth Avenue 24th Floor New York, NY 10017	Common	2,302,525	7.0%
Platinum Partners (6) 152 West 57th Street 54th Floor New York, NY 10019	Common	1,718,300	5.2%
Directors and Executive Officers:			
Dan Bodner	Common	535,073(7)	1.6%
Douglas E. Robinson	Common	81,515(8)	**
Peter Fante	Common	111,523(9)	**

** Less than 1%

(1) Unless otherwise indicated and except pursuant to applicable community property laws, to our knowledge, each person or entity listed in the table above has sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares listed as owned by such person or entity.

(2) Because the preferred stock is not currently convertible, the shares of common stock underlying the preferred stock are not included in this number. If the preferred stock were converted into common stock 60 days after the Reference Date, Converse's beneficial ownership percentage would equal 66.8%. Please see Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities under Item 5 and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Preferred Stock Financing under Item 13 for a discussion of the conversion rights of the preferred stock.

(3)

Reflects the number of shares of common stock issuable to Comverse upon conversion of shares of preferred stock if converted 60 days after the Reference Date inclusive of the effect of additional dividend accruals on the preferred stock during such 60 day period.

Table of Contents

- (4) Comverse is the sole holder of our preferred stock. See Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Preferred Stock Financing under Item 13, for details on the rights of the preferred stock.
- (5) As reported in the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 15, 2010 by Cadian Capital Management, LLC (CCM) on behalf of itself and Eric Bannasch, CCM and Eric Bannasch have shared voting and dispositive power over all the shares.
- (6) As reported in the Schedule 13G/A filed on February 11, 2010, with the SEC by Platinum Partners Value Arbitrage Fund L.P. (PPVAF), Platinum

Partners Legacy
Feeder Ltd
(PPLF) and
Platinum
Partners Liquid
Opportunity
Fund L.P.
(PPLOF)
(collectively,
Platinum
Partners),
Platinum
Partners
expressly affirms
their
membership of a
group and each
has sole voting
and dispositive
power over the
following shares:
PPVAF 401,153
shares; PPLF
1,212,140
shares; and
PPLOF 105,007
shares.

- (7) Includes options to purchase 261,835 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 103,474 shares of restricted stock which are fully vested. Also includes 169,764 RSUs which are fully vested. Mr. Bodner beneficially owns options to purchase 4,781 shares of Comverse common stock exercisable

within 60 days
after the
Reference Date.

- (8) Consists of 81,515 RSUs which are fully vested.
- (9) Includes options to purchase 45,000 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 6,235 shares of restricted stock which are fully vested. Also includes 60,288 RSUs which are fully vested.
- (10) Includes options to purchase 91,088 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 16,718 shares of restricted stock which are fully vested. Also includes 70,476 RSUs which are fully vested.
- (11) Includes options to purchase 41,196 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 6,944 shares of restricted stock

which are fully vested. Also includes 53,837 RSUs which are fully vested. Includes 41,460 RSUs which will vest immediately upon the earlier of finalization of an amendment to Mr. Parcell's equity award agreements or satisfaction of certain compliance conditions as discussed in Item 11.

(12) Includes options to purchase 99,892 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 20,000 shares of restricted stock which are fully vested. Also includes 80,820 RSUs which are fully vested.

(13) Includes options to purchase 10,223 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable and 500 shares of common stock held following the exercise of stock options. Mr. Baker beneficially

owns 12,000 shares of Comverse common stock deliverable in settlement of vested deferred stock unit awards on the first date within calendar 2010 on which such shares are the subject of an effective Registration Statement on Form S-8 and no resale restrictions apply. Mr. Baker also beneficially owns options to purchase 81,250 shares of Comverse common stock exercisable within 60 days after the Reference Date. Mr. Baker is a senior executive at Comverse. He disclaims beneficial ownership of any of our securities held by Comverse.

- (14) Mr. Bunyan beneficially owns 66,000 shares of Comverse common stock deliverable in settlement of vested deferred stock unit awards on the first date

within calendar 2010 on which such shares are the subject of an effective Registration Statement on Form S-8 and no resale restrictions apply.

Mr. Bunyan is a senior executive at Comverse. He disclaims beneficial ownership of any of our securities held by Comverse.

- (15) Mr. Dahan beneficially owns 502,822 shares of Comverse common stock deliverable in settlement of vested deferred stock unit awards on the first date within calendar 2010 on which such shares are the subject of an effective Registration Statement on Form S-8 and no resale restrictions apply. Mr. Dahan is President, Chief Executive Officer, and a director of Comverse. He disclaims beneficial

ownership of any
of our securities
held by
Comverse.

- (16) Includes options to purchase 17,000 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 19,000 shares of restricted stock, 9,000 of which are fully vested, 5,000 of which vest within 60 days after the Reference Date but were subject to forfeiture as of the Reference Date and of which 5,000 are unvested and subject to forfeiture. Includes 2,000 shares of restricted stock which were repurchased by the Company on May 16, 2010 pursuant to the Director Repurchase Program (see Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities-Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

under Item 5).

- (17) Includes options to purchase 18,000 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 19,000 shares of restricted stock, 9,000 of which are fully vested, 5,000 of which vest within 60 days after the Reference Date but were subject to forfeiture as of the Reference Date and of which 5,000 are unvested and subject to forfeiture. Includes 2,000 shares of restricted stock which were repurchased by the Company on May 16, 2010 pursuant to the Director Repurchase Program (see Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities-Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities under Item 5).

(18)

Includes options to purchase 6,000 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable.

Includes 19,000 shares of restricted stock, 9,000 of which are fully vested, 5,000 of which vest within 60 days after the Reference Date but were subject to forfeiture as of the Reference Date and of which 5,000 are unvested and subject to forfeiture.

Includes 2,000 shares of restricted stock which were repurchased by the Company on May 16, 2010 pursuant to the Director Repurchase Program (see Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities-Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities under Item 5).

Table of Contents

(19) Includes options to purchase 23,000 shares of common stock which are currently exercisable. Includes 19,000 shares of restricted stock, 9,000 of which are fully vested, 5,000 of which vest within 60 days after the Reference Date but were subject to forfeiture as of the Reference Date and of which 5,000 are unvested and subject to forfeiture. Includes 2,000 shares of restricted stock which were repurchased by the Company on May 16, 2010 pursuant to the Director Repurchase Program (see Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities-Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities under Item 5).

(20) Ms. Shah beneficially owns 44,667 shares of Comverse common stock deliverable in settlement of vested deferred stock unit awards on the first date within calendar 2010 on which such shares are the subject of an effective Registration Statement on Form S-8 and no resale restrictions apply. Ms. Shah is a senior executive at Comverse. She disclaims beneficial ownership of any of our securities held by Comverse.

(21) Ms. Wright beneficially owns 55,001 shares of Comverse common stock deliverable in settlement of vested deferred stock unit awards on the first date within calendar 2010 on which such shares are the subject of an effective Registration Statement on Form S-8 and no

resale
restrictions
apply.
Ms. Wright is a
senior executive
at Comverse.
She disclaims
beneficial
ownership of any
of our securities
held by
Comverse.

- (22) Mr. Swad is a
senior executive
at Comverse.
Mr. Swad
beneficially
owns 46,667
shares of
Comverse
common stock
deliverable in
settlement of
vested deferred
stock unit awards
on the first date
within calendar
2010 on which
shares are the
subject of an
effective
Registration
Statement on
Form S-8 and no
resale
restrictions
apply. He
disclaims
beneficial
ownership of any
of our securities
held by
Comverse.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our equity compensation plans as of January 31, 2010, after giving effect to our assumption on May 25, 2007 of the following in connection with our acquisition of Witness: (a) the Witness Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan, the Witness Broad Based Option Plan, and the Witness Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan, (b) all unvested awards previously issued under such plans as of May 25, 2007, (c) certain new-hire inducement grants made by Witness outside of its stockholder-approved equity plans prior to May 25, 2007, and (d) the passage of the expiration date for making new awards under the Witness Amended and

Restated Stock Incentive Plan on November 18, 2009. In accordance with applicable NASDAQ rules at the time, the Witness Broad Based Option Plan was not approved by stockholders. No awards were assumed by us under the Witness Broad Based Option Plan or the Witness Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan in connection with our acquisition of Witness. Since the closing of the Witness acquisition, we have not made, and do not in the future expect to make, additional awards under the Witness Broad Based Option Plan or the Witness Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan and these plans are therefore not included in column (c) in either of the tables below. The following table does not include awards for an aggregate of (i) 1,292,150 shares which were approved for grant by the stock option committee of our board of directors on March 4, 2009 and May 20, 2009 outside of our equity incentive plans, (ii) 188,734 performance shares eligible to be earned by our executive officers based on the overachievement of specified performance goals, and (iii) 355,150 shares originally granted as hybrid RSUs that were later converted into shares of cash-settled phantom stock. The vesting of any awards made outside of our equity incentive plans is, among other things, contingent on stockholder approval of a new equity compensation plan or having additional share capacity under an existing stockholder-approved equity compensation plan.

Table of Contents

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	(b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (1)	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	7,093,896(2)	\$ 23.17	249,304(3)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	5,943(4)	\$ 19.53	
Total	7,099,839	\$ 23.16	249,304(5)

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our equity compensation plans as of April 30, 2010, after giving effect to (a) the assumption of the Witness plans and awards referred to above, (b) grants subsequent to January 31, 2010, and (c) the passage of the expiration date for making new awards under the Witness Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan on November 18, 2009. The following table does not include awards for an aggregate of (i) 2,243,844 shares which were approved for grant by the stock option committee of our board of directors on March 4, 2009, May 20, 2009, March 17, 2010 and April 17, 2010 outside of our equity incentive plans and (ii) 339,506 performance shares eligible to be earned by our executive officers based on the overachievement of specified performance goals. The vesting of any awards made outside of our equity incentive plans is, among other things, contingent on stockholder approval of a new equity compensation plan or having additional share capacity under an existing stockholder-approved equity compensation plan.

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	(b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (1)	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	6,611,005(6)	\$ 23.37	314,994
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	5,943(4)	\$ 19.53	
Total	6,616,948	\$ 23.37	314,994(5)

- (1) The weighted-average price relates to outstanding stock options only (as of the applicable date). Other outstanding awards carry no exercise price and are therefore excluded from the weighted-average price.
- (2) Consists of 4,725,176 stock options and 2,368,720 RSUs. Does not include 20,000 shares of restricted stock previously issued under our equity compensation plans.

Table of Contents

- (3) The Witness Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan contains an evergreen provision pursuant to which the number of shares available under the plan may increase annually so that the total number of shares reserved will equal the sum of (a) the aggregate number of shares previously issued under the plan, (b) the aggregate number of shares subject to outstanding options granted under the plan, and (c) 10% of the number of shares outstanding on the last day of the preceding year. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the board of directors (or an authorized committee thereof), in its discretion, may authorize a smaller number of additional shares to be reserved under this plan. The maximum annual increase in the number of shares, however, shall not exceed 3,000,000 in any calendar year. No new awards are permitted to be made under this plan after November 18, 2009.
- (4) Consists solely of certain new-hire

inducement grants made by Witness outside of its stockholder-approved equity plans prior to May 25, 2007.

- (5) Does not include 743,489 shares available for issuance pursuant to our Employee Stock Purchase Plan as of January 31, 2010 and as of April 30, 2010. The Witness Employee Stock Purchase Plan was terminated immediately prior to our acquisition of Witness and therefore was not assumed by us.

- (6) Consists of 4,615,286 stock options and 1,995,719 RSUs. Does not include 40,000 shares of restricted stock previously issued under our equity compensation plans.

For additional information about equity grants made subsequent to January 31, 2010, see Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Equity Grants under Item 5.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The following summarizes various agreements in place between Verint and related parties, principally Comverse (our majority stockholder) and its affiliates.

Under our audit committee charter, all related-party transactions (other than director and officer compensation arrangements approved by the full board of directors or the compensation committee) must be approved in advance by the audit committee of our board of directors. Proposed related-party transactions are generally brought to the audit committee's attention for consideration by our legal department based on its review of the requirements of Item 404 of Regulation S-K. Apart from the requirements of our audit committee charter, we have no other written policy or procedure regarding the approval of related-party transactions. The audit committee has reviewed and approved all of the agreements and transactions referred to in this section.

See Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance under Item 10 for a discussion of director independence.

Table of Contents**Comverse Preferred Stock Financing Agreements**

On May 25, 2007, in connection with our acquisition of Witness, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Comverse pursuant to which Comverse purchased, for cash, an aggregate of 293,000 shares of our preferred stock, at an aggregate purchase price of \$293.0 million. Proceeds from the issuance of the preferred stock were used, together with the proceeds of the \$650.0 million term loan under our credit agreement and cash on hand, to finance the consideration for the acquisition.

The terms of the preferred stock are set forth in the Certificate of Designation.

The preferred stock was issued at purchase price of \$1,000 per share and ranks senior to our common stock. The preferred stock has an initial liquidation preference equal to the purchase price of the preferred stock, or \$1,000 per share. In the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution, or winding-up of the company, the holders of the preferred stock will be entitled to receive, out of the assets available for distribution to our stockholders and before any distribution of assets is made on our common stock, an amount equal to the then-current liquidation preference plus accrued and unpaid dividends.

Cash dividends on the preferred stock are cumulative and are accrued quarterly at a specified dividend rate on the liquidation preference in effect at such time. Initially, the specified dividend rate was 4.25% per annum per share, however, in accordance with the terms of the Certificate of Designation, beginning with the first quarter after the initial interest rate on the term loan under our credit agreement had been reduced by 50 basis points or more (i.e., the quarter ended January 31, 2008), the dividend rate was reset to 3.875% per annum and is now fixed at this level. If we determine that we are prohibited from paying cash dividends on the preferred stock under the terms of our credit agreement or other debt instruments, we may elect to make such dividend payments in shares of our common stock, which common stock will be valued at 95% of the volume weighted-average price of our common stock for each of the five consecutive trading days ending on the second trading day immediately prior to the record date for such dividend.

The preferred stock does not have voting or conversion rights until the underlying shares of common stock are approved for issuance by a vote of holders of a majority of our common stock. Following receipt of stockholder approval for the issuance of the underlying common shares, each share of preferred stock will be entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of shares of common stock into which such share of preferred stock would be convertible at the Conversion Rate in effect on the date the preferred stock was issued to Comverse (the Issue Date). In addition, following receipt of stockholder approval for the issuance of the underlying shares, each share of preferred stock will be convertible at the option of the holder into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the liquidation preference then in effect divided by the conversion price then in effect, which was initially set at \$32.66 (as adjusted from time to time, the Conversion Rate). The initial Conversion Rate is set at 30.6185 shares of common stock for each share of preferred stock that is converted. We also have the right in certain circumstances to cause the mandatory conversion of the preferred stock into shares of common stock at the then-applicable Conversion Rate.

Table of Contents

Subject to stockholder approval of the issuance of the common stock underlying the preferred stock as described above, at any time on or after the second anniversary of the Issue Date, we may force the conversion of all, but not less than all, of the preferred stock into common stock at our option, but only if the closing sale price of our common stock immediately prior to such conversion equals or exceeds the conversion price then in effect by: (a) 150%, if the conversion is on or after the second anniversary of the Issue Date but prior to the third anniversary of the Issue Date, (b) 140%, if the conversion is on or after the third anniversary of the Issue Date but prior to the fourth anniversary of the Issue Date, or (c) 135%, if the conversion is on or after the fourth anniversary of the Issue Date.

The terms of the preferred stock also provide that upon a fundamental change, as defined in the Certificate of Designation, the holders of the preferred stock will have the right to require us to repurchase the preferred stock for 100% of the liquidation preference then in effect. If we fail to repurchase the preferred stock as required upon a fundamental change, then the number of directors constituting the board of directors will be increased by two, and the holders of the preferred stock will have the right to elect two directors to fill such vacancies. Upon repurchase of the preferred stock subject to the fundamental change repurchase right, the holders of the preferred stock will no longer have the right to elect additional directors, the term of office of each additional director will terminate immediately upon such repurchase, and the number of directors will, without further action, be reduced by two. In addition, in the event of a fundamental change, the Conversion Rate will be increased to provide for additional shares of common stock issuable to the holders of the preferred stock upon conversion, based on a sliding scale depending on the acquisition price, as defined in the Certificate of Designation, ranging from zero to 3.7 million additional shares of common stock for every share of preferred stock converted into common stock following a fundamental change. Comverse has had the right to sell the preferred stock since November 25, 2007 in either private or public transactions. Pursuant to a registration rights agreement we entered into concurrently with the Securities Purchase Agreement (the New Registration Rights Agreement), commencing 180 days after we regain compliance with SEC reporting requirements, and provided that the underlying shares of our common stock have been approved for issuance by our common stockholders, Comverse will be entitled to two demands to require us to register (which may be underwritten registrations, upon Comverse's request) the preferred stock and the shares of common stock underlying the preferred stock for resale under the Securities Act. We are not, however, required to comply with a demand request if (a) any such request is within 12 months after the effective date of a prior demand registration, (b)(i) within the 90-day period preceding the request, we have effected (x) any registration other than an underwritten registration pursuant to which Comverse was entitled to participate without any limitation on its ability to include all of its registrable securities requested to be included therein or (y) an underwritten registration pursuant to which Comverse was entitled to participate and include between 25% to 50% of the registrable securities requested to be included therein, or (ii) within the 180-day period preceding such request, we have effected an underwritten registration pursuant to which Comverse was entitled to participate and include more than 50% of the registrable securities requested to be included therein, (c) a registration statement is effective at the time the request is made, pursuant to which Comverse can effect the disposition of its registrable securities in the manner requested, (d) the registrable securities requested to be registered (i) have an aggregate then-current market value of less than \$100.0 million (before deducting any underwriting discounts and commission) or (ii) constitute less than all remaining registrable securities if less than \$100.0 million of then-current market value of registrable securities are then outstanding; or (e) during the pendency of any blackout period (as defined in the New Registration Rights Agreement).

Table of Contents

The New Registration Rights Agreement also gives Comverse unlimited piggyback registration rights on certain Securities Act registrations filed by us on our own behalf or on behalf of other stockholders.

We have agreed to pay all expenses that result from a registration under the New Registration Rights Agreement, other than underwriting commissions and taxes. We have also agreed to indemnify Comverse, its directors, officers and employees against liabilities that may that may arise from sale of shares registered pursuant to the New Registration Rights Agreement, including Securities Act liabilities.

Comverse may transfer its rights under the New Registration Rights Agreement to any transferee of the registrable securities that is an affiliate of Comverse or any other subsequent transferee; provided that in each case such affiliate or transferee becomes a party to the New Registration Rights Agreement by executing a joinder agreement agreeing to be bound by all of the terms and conditions of the New Registration Rights Agreement.

Comverse Original Registration Rights Agreement

Comverse's rights under the New Registration Rights Agreement are in addition to its rights under a previous registration rights agreement we entered into with Comverse shortly before our IPO in 2002. This registration rights agreement (the "Original Registration Rights Agreement") covers all shares of common stock then held by Comverse and any additional shares of common stock acquired by Comverse at a later date. Under the Original Registration Rights Agreement, Comverse is entitled to unlimited demand registrations of its shares on Form S-3. If we are not eligible to use Form S-3, Comverse is also entitled to one demand registration on Form S-1. Under the agreement, we are not required to comply with a demand request made by Comverse less than 90 days after the effective date of a prior demand request made under this registration rights agreement. We may also delay satisfying a demand request if (a) we are in the process of preparing a registration statement at the time the demand request is received which we intend to file within 90 days from the date of Comverse's demand request or (b) the board of directors determines in good faith that filing a registration statement in response to a demand request would either require us to publicly disclose information which would have a material adverse effect on us or would be seriously detrimental to us or our stockholders, or could interfere with, or would require us to accelerate public disclosure of, any material financing, acquisition, disposition, corporate reorganization, or other material transaction involving us or our subsidiaries. Like the New Registration Rights Agreement, the Original Registration Rights Agreement also provides that Comverse will have unlimited piggyback registration rights, that we will pay all expenses of a registration under the agreement (other than underwriting commissions and taxes), that we will indemnify Comverse and its affiliates from liabilities that may result from the sale of our stock under the agreement, and that Comverse may transfer its rights under the agreement to an affiliate or other subsequent transferee subject to the transferee signing a joinder to the agreement.

Table of Contents

Other Agreements with Comverse

Federal Income Tax Sharing Agreement

We are party to a tax sharing agreement with Comverse which applies to periods prior to our IPO in which we were included in Comverse's consolidated federal tax return. By virtue of its controlling ownership and this tax sharing agreement, Comverse effectively controls all of our tax decisions for periods ending prior to the completion of our IPO. Under the agreement, for periods during which we were included in Comverse's consolidated tax return, we were required to pay Comverse an amount equal to the tax liability we would have owed, if any, had we filed a federal tax return on our own, as computed by Comverse in its reasonable discretion. Under the agreement, we were not entitled to receive any payments from Comverse in respect of, or to otherwise take advantage of, any loss resulting from the calculation of our separate tax liability. The tax sharing agreement also provided for certain payments in the event of adjustments to the group's tax liability. The tax sharing agreement continues in effect until 60 days after the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations for the final year in which we were part of the Comverse consolidated group for tax purposes.

Business Opportunities Agreement

We are party to a business opportunities agreement with Comverse which addresses potential conflicts of interest between Comverse and us. This agreement allocates between Comverse and us opportunities to pursue transactions or matters that, absent such allocation, could constitute corporate opportunities of both companies. Under the agreement, each party is precluded from pursuing opportunities it may become aware of which are offered to an employee of the other party, even if such employee serves as a director of the other entity. For example, if one of the directors on our board designated by Comverse becomes aware of an opportunity that might be of interest to us, we cannot pursue that opportunity unless and until Comverse has failed to pursue it. The agreement also allocates to Comverse in the first instance a common interest opportunity which is offered to a person who is an employee of both Comverse and us or a director of both Comverse and us. We have also agreed to indemnify Comverse and its directors, officers, employees, and agents against any liabilities as a result of any claim that any provision of the agreement, or the failure to offer any business opportunity to us, violates or breaches any duty that may be owed to us by Comverse or any such person. Unless earlier terminated by the parties, the agreement will remain in place until Comverse no longer holds 20% of our voting power and no one on our board of directors is a director or employee of Comverse.

Table of Contents

We have in the past and may from time to time in the future enter into other agreements with Comverse or its subsidiaries. For example, in the past we have entered into certain intercompany services agreements with Comverse or its subsidiaries relating to shared computer services, insurance, and use of personnel, as well as a patent cross-license agreement involving a third party. We believe that the terms of any such agreements have been, and expect that in the future any such terms would be, no less favorable to us than those we could obtain from an unaffiliated third party. Other than as described elsewhere in this Item 13, we do not believe that any of these historical agreements are currently material to us or to Comverse.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The audit committee of our board of directors is directly responsible for the appointment, oversight, and evaluation of our independent registered public accounting firm. In accordance with the audit committee's charter, it must approve, in advance of the service, all audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm and establish policies and procedures for the engagement of the outside auditor to provide audit and permissible non-audit services. Our independent registered public accounting firm may not be retained to perform non-audit services specified in Section 10A(g) of the Exchange Act.

The audit committee appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as our auditors for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, and in accordance with established policy, our board of directors ratified those appointments. Deloitte & Touche LLP, which includes Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates (collectively, the Deloitte entities) has advised the audit committee that they are independent accountants with respect to our company, within the meaning of the rules and standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and federal securities laws administered by the SEC.

In conjunction with our management, the audit committee regularly reviews the services and fees from its independent registered public accounting firm. Our audit committee has determined that the providing of certain non-audit services, as described below, is compatible with maintaining the independence of the Deloitte entities.

In addition to performing the audit of our consolidated financial statements, the Deloitte entities provided various other services during the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009. Our audit committee has determined that these services did not impair the independence of the Deloitte entities from Verint.

Table of Contents

The aggregate fees billed for years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 for each of the following categories of services are as follows:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,	
	2010	2009
Audit fees (1)	\$ 28,170	\$ 13,171
Audit-related fees (2)		
Tax fees (3)	908	105
All other fees (4)	9	13
Total fees	\$ 29,087	\$ 13,289

The categories in the above table have the definitions assigned under Item 9 of Schedule 14A promulgated under the Exchange Act, and these categories include in particular the following components:

- (1) Audit fees include fees for audit services principally related to the year-end examination and the quarterly reviews of our consolidated financial statements, consultation on matters that arise during a review or audit, review of SEC filings, audit services performed in connection with our acquisitions, and statutory audit fees.
- (2) Audit-related fees include fees which are for assurance and related services other than those included in Audit fees.

(3) Tax fees include fees for tax compliance and advice.

(4) All other fees include fees for all other non-audit services. For the year ended January 31, 2010, these fees were incurred for assistance to respond to an HMRC inquiry in the U.K. For the year ended January 31, 2009, we incurred these fees to license an online accounting research tool.

By policy, all services (audit and non-audit) to be provided by the independent registered public accounting firm must be pre-approved by the audit committee. The committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must report any pre-approval decisions to the audit committee at its next scheduled meeting.

As reflected in the table above, and as described in greater detail elsewhere in this report, we have incurred significant audit fees in connection with our investigation and restatement activities.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.**

Page(s)

(a) Documents filed as part of this report

(1) Financial Statements.

The consolidated financial statements filed as part of this report are listed on the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page F-1.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules.

All financial statement schedules have been omitted here because they are not applicable, not required, or the information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(3) Exhibits.

See (b) below.

(b) Exhibits

Number	Description	Filed Herewith / Incorporated by Reference from
2.1	Asset Purchase Agreement between Verint Systems Ltd. and ECTel Ltd. dated as of February 9, 2004	Form 8-K filed on March 31, 2004
2.2	Merger Agreement and Plan of Reorganization by and among Witness Systems, Inc., Baron Acquisition Corporation, Blue Pumpkin Software, Inc., and, solely with respect to Article VIII and Article IX, Laurence R. Hootnick as Shareholder Agent and The U.S. Stock Transfer Corporation as Depository Agent dated December 16, 2004	Witness Systems, Inc. Form 8-K (Commission File No. 000-29335) filed on January 27, 2005
2.3	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 11, 2007, among Verint Systems Inc., White Acquisition Corporation and Witness Systems, Inc.	Form 8-K filed on February 15, 2007
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of Verint Systems Inc.	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
3.2	Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of the Series A Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock	Form 8-K filed on May 30, 2007 8-K
3.3	Amended and Restated By-laws of Verint Systems Inc.	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010

Table of Contents

Number	Description	Filed Herewith / Incorporated by Reference from
4.1	Specimen Common Stock certificate	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
4.2	Specimen Series A Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock certificate	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement by and among the Company, Nic. Christiansen Invest A/G and Ulrik Ortiz Rasmussen, dated as of September 2, 2004	Form S-3 (Commission File No. 333-120266) effective on December 17, 2004
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement, by and between the Company and Comverse Technology, Inc., dated May 25, 2007	Form 8-K filed on May 30, 2007
10.1	Form of Indemnification Agreement	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
10.2	Federal Income Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2002, between Comverse and the Company	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
10.3	Business Opportunities Agreement dated as of March 19, 2002, between Comverse and the Company	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
10.4	Offer Letter, dated July 27, 2006, from the Office of the Chief Scientist of the Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor of the State of Israel (regarding final part of settlement payment) (English translation)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.5	Acceptance Letter, dated July 31, 2006, from Verint Systems Ltd. to the Office of the Chief Scientist of the Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor of the State of Israel (regarding final part of settlement payment) (English translation)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.6	Verint Systems Inc. 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
10.7	Verint Systems Inc. Stock Incentive Compensation Plan (as amended through December 12, 2002)	Form 10-K filed on May 1, 2003
10.8	Amendment No. 1 to Verint Systems Inc. Stock Incentive Compensation Plan (dated December 23, 2008)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010

Table of Contents

Number	Description	Filed Herewith / Incorporated by Reference from
10.9	Amendment No. 2 to Verint Systems Inc. Stock Incentive Compensation Plan (dated March 4, 2009)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.10	Verint Systems Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated	Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2006
10.11	Amendment No. 1 to Verint Systems Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated (dated December 23, 2008)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.12	Witness Systems Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan	Witness Systems, Inc. Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2005
10.13	Amendment No. 1 to Witness Systems Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (dated May 29, 2001)	Witness Systems, Inc. Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2006
10.14	Amendment No. 2 to Witness Systems Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (dated January 15, 2004)	Witness Systems, Inc. Form 10-K filed on March 15, 2004
10.15	Amendment No. 3 to Witness Systems Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (dated December 6, 2007)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.16	Amendment No. 4 to Witness Systems Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (dated December 23, 2008)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.17	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement*	Form 8-K filed on December 7, 2004
10.18	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement to a U.S. executive officer*	Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2006
10.19	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement to an Israeli executive officer*	Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2006
10.20	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement to an Independent Director, as amended*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.21	Form of Time-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.22	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.23	Form of Time-Based Deferred Stock Award Agreement*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.24	Form of Performance-Based Deferred Stock Award Agreement*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.25	Form of Amendment to Time-Based and Performance-Based Equity Award Agreements*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.26	Form of Time-Based Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement Solely Related to 2010 Grant*	Form 10-K filed on April 8, 2010
10.27		Form 10-K filed on April 8, 2010

Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock
Unit Award Agreement Solely Related to 2010
Grant*

Table of Contents

Number	Description	Filed Herewith / Incorporated by Reference from
10.28	Form of Time-Based Deferred Stock Award Agreement Solely Related to 2010 Grant*	Form 10-K filed on April 8, 2010
10.29	Form of Performance-Based Deferred Stock Award Agreement Solely Related to 2010 Grant*	Form 10-K filed on April 8, 2010
10.30	Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2001, between Comverse and the Company	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
10.31	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2002, between Comverse, Inc. and the Company	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
10.32	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of January 31, 2002, between Comverse and the Company	Form S-1 (Commission File No. 333-82300) effective on May 16, 2002
10.33	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 7, 2005, by and among Verint Systems Inc., MultiVision Holdings Limited, and MultiVision Intelligent Surveillance Limited	Form 10-Q/A filed on December 12, 2005
10.34	Securities Purchase Agreement, by and between the Company and Comverse Technology, Inc., dated May 25, 2007.	Form 8-K filed on May 30, 2007
10.35	Credit Agreement dated as of May 25, 2007 among the Company, as Borrower, the Lenders as parties thereto and Lehman Commercial Paper Inc., as Administrative Agent	Form 8-K filed on May 30, 2007
10.36	Employment Agreement, dated February 23, 2010, between Verint Systems Inc. and Dan Bodner*	Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2010
10.37	Employment Agreement, dated August 14, 2006, between Verint Systems Inc. and Douglas E. Robinson*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.38	Amendment No. 1, dated July 2, 2007, to Employment Agreement between Verint Systems and Douglas E. Robinson*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.39	Amendment No. 2, dated December 29, 2008, to Employment Agreement between Verint Systems Inc. and Douglas E. Robinson*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.40	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated October 29, 2009, between Verint Systems Inc. and Elan Moriah*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.41	Employment Agreement, dated April 16, 2001, between Comverse Infosys UK Limited and David Parcell*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010

Table of Contents

Number	Description	Filed Herewith / Incorporated by Reference from
10.42	Supplemental Employment Agreement, dated June 13, 2008, between Verint Systems UK Limited and David Parcell*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.43	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated November 10, 2009, between Verint Systems Inc. and Peter Fante*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.44	Employment Offer Letter, dated August 30, 2000, between Comverse Infosys Ltd. and Meir Sperling*	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.45	Manager's Insurance Policy Letter between Comverse Infosys Ltd. and Meir Sperling* (English translation)	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.46	Summary of the Terms of Verint Systems Inc. Executive Officer Annual Bonus Plan*	Filed herewith
10.47	2009 Executive Officer Retention Letter	Form 10-K filed on March 17, 2010
10.48	Amendment, Waiver, and Consent, dated April 27, 2010, to Credit Agreement among the Company, as Borrower, the Lenders, as parties thereto, and Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch, as Administrative Agent	Form 8-K filed on May 3, 2010
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Company	Filed herewith
31.1	Certification of Dan Bodner, Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
31.2	Certification of Douglas E. Robinson, Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	Filed herewith
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 ⁽¹⁾	Filed herewith
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 ⁽¹⁾	Filed herewith

(1) These exhibits are being furnished with this periodic report and are not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and are not

incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

* Denotes a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit to this form pursuant to Item 15(b) of this report.

(c) Financial Statement Schedules
None.

Table of Contents

Item 15A. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>As of January 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> <u>For the Years Ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)</u> <u>For the Years Ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> <u>For the Years Ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u>	F-6
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>	F-7
<u>2. Net Income (Loss) Per Share Attributable to Verint Systems Inc.</u>	F-22
<u>3. Investments</u>	F-23
<u>4. Business Combinations</u>	F-24
<u>5. Intangible Assets and Goodwill</u>	F-28
<u>6. Long-term Debt</u>	F-31
<u>7. Balance Sheet Information</u>	F-34
<u>8. Convertible Preferred Stock</u>	F-36
<u>9. Stockholders' Deficit</u>	F-39
<u>10. Integration, Restructuring and Other, Net</u>	F-41
<u>11. Research and Development, Net</u>	F-45
<u>12. Income Taxes</u>	F-46
<u>13. Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Financial Instruments</u>	F-51
<u>14. Employee Benefit Plans</u>	F-57
<u>15. Related Party Transactions</u>	F-67
Table of Contents	255

<u>16. Commitments and Contingencies</u>	F-69
<u>17. Segment, Geographic, and Significant Customer Information</u>	F-76
<u>18. Subsequent Events</u>	F-79
<u>19. Selected Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)</u>	F-81

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Verint Systems Inc.
Melville, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Verint Systems Inc. and subsidiaries (the Company) as of January 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Verint Systems Inc. and subsidiaries as of January 31, 2010 and 2009 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended January 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective February 1, 2009, the Company adopted new accounting guidance for the reporting and disclosure of noncontrolling interests.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of January 31, 2010, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated May 18, 2010 expressed an adverse opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting because of material weaknesses.

/s/ *DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP*

New York, New York
May 18, 2010

Table of Contents**Financial Statements****VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets****As of January 31, 2010 and 2009**

(in thousands, except share and per share data)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 184,335	\$ 115,928
Restricted cash and bank time deposits	5,206	7,722
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4.7 million and \$6.0 million, respectively	127,826	113,178
Inventories	14,373	20,455
Deferred cost of revenue	11,232	8,935
Deferred income taxes	21,140	14,314
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	43,414	32,434
Total current assets	407,526	312,966
Property and equipment, net	24,453	30,544
Goodwill	724,670	709,984
Intangible assets, net	173,833	200,203
Capitalized software development costs, net	8,530	10,489
Deferred cost of revenue	33,019	47,913
Deferred income taxes	7,469	6,478
Other assets	16,837	18,816
Total assets	\$ 1,396,337	\$ 1,337,393
Liabilities, Preferred Stock, and Stockholders' Deficit		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 46,570	\$ 38,484
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	154,935	146,338
Current maturities of long-term debt	22,678	4,088
Deferred revenue	183,719	160,918
Deferred income taxes	487	403
Liabilities to affiliates	1,709	1,389
Total current liabilities	410,098	351,620
Long-term debt	598,234	620,912
Deferred income taxes	21,425	13,424
Deferred revenue	51,412	88,985
Other liabilities	44,193	52,980
Total liabilities	1,125,362	1,127,921

Preferred Stock \$0.001 par value; authorized 2,500,000 shares. Series A convertible preferred stock; 293,000 shares issued and outstanding; aggregate liquidation preference and redemption value of \$325,904 at January 31, 2010	285,542	285,542
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders Deficit:		
Common stock \$0.001 par value; authorized 120,000,000 shares. Issued 32,687,000 and 32,623,000 shares, respectively; outstanding 32,584,000 and 32,535,000 shares, respectively	33	32
Additional paid-in capital	451,166	419,937
Treasury stock, at cost - 103,000 and 88,000 shares, respectively	(2,493)	(2,353)
Accumulated deficit	(420,338)	(435,955)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(43,134)	(58,404)
Total Verint Systems Inc. stockholders deficit	(14,766)	(76,743)
Noncontrolling interest	199	673
Total stockholders deficit	(14,567)	(76,070)
Total liabilities, preferred stock, and stockholders deficit	\$ 1,396,337	\$ 1,337,393

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Operations
For the Years Ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

(in thousands, except per share data)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenue:			
Product	\$ 374,272	\$ 365,485	\$ 333,130
Service and support	329,361	304,059	201,413
Total revenue	703,633	669,544	534,543
Cost of revenue:			
Product	131,523	131,638	121,627
Service and support	100,391	117,588	100,397
Amortization and impairment of acquired technology and backlog	8,021	9,024	8,018
Total cost of revenue	239,935	258,250	230,042
Gross profit	463,698	411,294	304,501
Operating expenses:			
Research and development, net	83,797	88,309	87,668
Selling, general and administrative	291,813	282,147	259,183
Amortization of other acquired intangible assets	22,268	25,249	19,668
In-process research and development			6,682
Impairments of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets		25,961	22,934
Integration, restructuring and other, net	141	4,654	22,996
Total operating expenses	398,019	426,320	419,131
Operating income (loss)	65,679	(15,026)	(114,630)
Other income (expense), net:			
Interest income	616	1,872	5,443
Interest expense	(24,964)	(37,211)	(36,862)
Other expense, net	(17,123)	(8,541)	(23,767)
Total other expense, net	(41,471)	(43,880)	(55,186)
Income (loss) before provision for income taxes	24,208	(58,906)	(169,816)
Provision for income taxes	7,108	19,671	27,729
Net income (loss)	17,100	(78,577)	(197,545)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1,483	1,811	1,064
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	15,617	(80,388)	(198,609)
Dividends on preferred stock	(13,591)	(13,064)	(8,681)

Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares	\$ 2,026	\$ (93,452)	\$ (207,290)
Net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.			
Basic	\$ 0.06	\$ (2.88)	\$ (6.43)
Diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ (2.88)	\$ (6.43)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding			
Basic	32,478	32,394	32,222
Diluted	33,127	32,394	32,222

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Deficit)
For the Years Ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

Verint Systems Inc. Stockholders Equity (Deficit)

	Common				Accumulated					Total	
	Stock	Additional			Unrealized	Cumulative				Stockholders	
	Par	Paid-in	Treasury	Accumulated	Gains	Translation	Noncontrolling			Equity	
(in thousands)	Shares	Value	Capital	Stock	Deficit	(Losses)	Adjustment	Interest			(Deficit)
Balances as of January 31, 2007 - as reported	32,519	\$ 32	\$ 352,895	\$ (936)	\$ (153,602)	\$ (12)	\$ (773)	\$			\$ 197,604
Effect of adoption of new accounting standard for noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements								1,286			1,286
Balances as of January 31, 2007 - as adjusted	32,519	32	352,895	(936)	(153,602)	(12)	(773)	1,286			198,890
Comprehensive income (loss):											
Net income (loss)					(198,609)			1,064			(197,545)
Unrealized gains on available for sale securities, net							12			12	
Currency translation adjustment									163	163	
Total comprehensive income (loss)					(198,609)	12	163	1,064			(197,370)
Cumulative effect of the adoption of new accounting standard for uncertainty in income taxes			(1,674)			(3,356)					(5,030)
			31,013							31,013	

Stock-based compensation expense									
Stock options issued in business acquisition			4,717					4,717	
Common stock issued for stock awards	53								
Forfeitures of restricted stock awards	(33)		792	(792)					
Purchases of treasury stock	(13)			(366)				(366)	
Dividends to noncontrolling interest							(1,323)	(1,323)	
Tax effects from stock award plans			(206)					(206)	
Balances as of January 31, 2008	32,526	32	387,537	(2,094)	(355,567)		(610)	1,027	30,325
Comprehensive income (loss):									
Net income (loss)					(80,388)		1,811	(78,577)	
Unrealized gains on derivative financial instruments, net						101		101	
Unrealized losses on available for sale securities, net						(29)		(29)	
Currency translation adjustment							(57,866)	(23)	(57,889)
Total comprehensive income (loss)					(80,388)	72	(57,866)	1,788	(136,394)
Stock-based compensation expense			32,040						32,040
Common stock issued for stock awards	23								
Forfeitures of restricted stock awards	(9)		166	(166)					
Purchases of treasury stock	(5)			(93)					(93)
Dividends to noncontrolling							(2,142)	(2,142)	

interest									
Tax effects from stock award plans				(21)					(21)
Other tax adjustments				215					215
Balances as of January 31, 2009	32,535	32	419,937	(2,353)	(435,955)	72	(58,476)	673	(76,070)
Comprehensive income:									
Net income					15,617			1,483	17,100
Unrealized gains on derivative financial instruments, net						5			5
Unrealized gains on available for sale securities, net						34			34
Currency translation adjustment							15,231	46	15,277
Total comprehensive income					15,617	39	15,231	1,529	32,416
Stock-based compensation expense			31,195						31,195
Common stock issued for stock awards	64	1							1
Forfeitures of restricted stock awards	(4)		34	(34)					
Purchases of treasury stock	(11)			(106)					(106)
Dividends to noncontrolling interest								(2,003)	(2,003)
Balances as of January 31, 2010	32,584	\$ 33	\$ 451,166	\$ (2,493)	\$ (420,338)	\$ 111	\$ (43,245)	\$ 199	\$ (14,567)

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
For the Years Ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 17,100	\$ (78,577)	\$ (197,545)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	49,290	55,142	46,791
Provision for doubtful accounts	849	793	3,380
Impairments of assets		25,961	28,083
In-process research and development			6,682
Stock-based compensation	31,195	32,040	31,013
Provision (benefit) for deferred income taxes	(62)	17,768	19,992
Non-cash losses on derivative financial instruments, net	14,709	14,591	22,267
Non-cash gains on sales of auction rate securities		(4,713)	
Other non-cash items, net	1,443	441	1,567
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects of business combinations:			
Accounts receivable	(13,910)	(3,328)	(20,184)
Inventories	5,686	(2,761)	1,005
Deferred cost of revenue	14,082	12,201	5,613
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	12,912	(10,754)	8,480
Deferred revenue	(21,143)	(7,329)	25,130
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(11,542)	8,876	14,040
Other liabilities	471	(6,877)	4,697
Other, net	(243)	161	(1,310)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	100,837	53,635	(299)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Cash paid for business combinations, net of cash acquired, including payments of contingent consideration	(96)	(3,092)	(953,154)
Purchases of property and equipment	(4,965)	(11,113)	(14,247)
Purchases of investments			(208,000)
Sales and maturities of investments		7,000	328,465
Settlements of derivative financial instruments not designated as hedges	(19,414)	(10,041)	
Cash paid for capitalized software development costs	(2,715)	(4,547)	(4,624)
Other investing activities	2,591	(4,454)	(173)
Net cash used in investing activities	(24,599)	(26,247)	(851,733)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock			293,000

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Proceeds from borrowings		15,000	650,000
Repayments of borrowings and other financing obligations	(6,088)	(2,869)	(42,496)
Payment of debt issuance and other debt related costs	(152)	(150)	(13,606)
Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest	(4,145)		(1,323)
Other financing activities	(106)	(93)	(558)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(10,491)	11,888	885,017
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	2,660	(6,581)	923
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	68,407	32,695	33,908
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	115,928	83,233	49,325
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 184,335	\$ 115,928	\$ 83,233
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 24,705	\$ 36,544	\$ 30,680
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 11,661	\$ 3,319	\$ 4,113
Non-cash investing and financing transactions:			
Fair value of stock options exchanged in connection with business combinations	\$	\$	\$ 4,717
Accrued but unpaid purchases of property and equipment	\$ 642	\$ 382	\$ 1,466
Inventory transfers to property and equipment	\$ 621	\$ 1,325	\$ 795
Business combination consideration earned, but paid in subsequent periods	\$	\$	\$ 1,796
Settlement of embedded derivative	\$	\$ 8,121	\$
Dividend to noncontrolling interest declared, but paid in subsequent period	\$	\$ 2,142	\$

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

VERINT SYSTEMS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

Unless the context otherwise requires, the terms Verint, we, us, and our in these notes to consolidated financial statements refer to Verint Systems Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Verint® Systems Inc. is a leading global provider of Actionable Intelligence® solutions and value-added services designed to help organizations make timely and effective decisions. Our solutions are used to capture, distill, and analyze complex and underused information sources, such as voice, video, and unstructured text. In the enterprise market, our workforce optimization solutions help organizations enhance customer service operations in contact centers, branches, and back-office environments to increase customer satisfaction, reduce operating costs, identify revenue opportunities, and improve profitability. In the security intelligence market, our video intelligence, public safety, and communications intelligence and investigative solutions are used by government and commercial organizations in their efforts to protect people, property, and infrastructure.

Basis of Presentation

We are a majority-owned subsidiary of Comverse Technology, Inc. (Comverse). During the three years ended January 31, 2010, Comverse did not provide us with material levels of corporate or administrative services.

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Verint Systems Inc., our wholly owned subsidiaries, and a joint venture in which we hold a 50% equity interest. This joint venture functions as a systems integrator for Asian markets and is a variable interest entity in which we are the primary beneficiary. Investments in companies in which we have less than a 20% ownership interest and do not exercise significant influence are accounted for at cost.

We have included the results of operations of acquired companies from the date of acquisition. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires our management to make estimates and assumptions, which may affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash primarily consists of cash on hand and bank deposits. Cash equivalents primarily consist of interest-bearing money market accounts and other highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased.

Restricted Cash and Bank Time Deposits

Restricted cash and restricted bank time deposits are pledged as collateral or otherwise restricted as to use for vendor payables, general liability insurance, workers' compensation insurance, and warranty programs. Restricted bank time deposits generally consist of certificates of deposit with original maturities of between 90 and 360 days.

Investments

As of January 31, 2010 and 2009, all of our available operating funds are in cash and cash equivalents or restricted cash. Historically, investments generally consist of marketable debt securities of corporations, the U.S. government, and agencies of the U.S. government. Through January 31, 2008, we also periodically invested in auction rate securities (ARS). Effective in the year ended January 31, 2009, we no longer invest in ARS as a matter of policy. Our investments in marketable securities are classified as available-for-sale, and are stated at fair value based on market quotes. Investments with stated maturities beyond one year are classified as short-term if the securities are highly marketable and readily convertible into cash for current operations. Unrealized gains and losses, net of deferred taxes, are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in stockholders' equity (deficit). We recognize realized gains and losses upon sale of short-term investments and declines in value deemed to be other than temporary using the specific identification method. Interest on short-term investments is recognized within income when earned.

We periodically review our investments for indications of possible impairment in value. Factors considered in determining whether a loss is other than temporary include the length of time and extent to which fair value has been below the cost basis, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the investee, and our intent and ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in market value. Upon sale, the cumulative unrealized gain or loss associated with the sold security that was previously recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified into the consolidated statement of operations as a realized gain (loss), which is included in interest and other income, net.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, bank time deposits, short-term investments, and trade accounts receivable. We invest our cash in bank accounts, certificates of deposit, and money market accounts with major financial institutions, in U.S. Treasury and agency obligations, and in debt securities of corporations. By policy, we seek to limit credit exposure on investments through diversification and by restricting our investments to highly rated securities.

Table of Contents

We grant credit terms to our customers in the ordinary course of business. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable are limited due to the large number of customers comprising our customer base and their dispersion across different geographic areas.

Accounts Receivable, Net

Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount and are not interest-bearing, subject to the following: The application of our revenue recognition policies sometimes results in circumstances for which we are unable to recognize revenue relating to sales transactions that have been billed, but the related account receivable has not been collected. For consolidated balance sheet presentation purposes, we do not recognize the deferred revenue or the related account receivable and no amounts appear in our consolidated balance sheets for such transactions. Only to the extent that we have received cash for a given deferred revenue transaction is the amount included in deferred revenue on the consolidated balance sheets.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We estimate the collectability of our accounts receivable balances each accounting period and adjust our allowance for doubtful accounts accordingly. We exercise a considerable amount of judgment in assessing the collectability of accounts receivable, including consideration of the creditworthiness of each customer, their collection history, and the related aging of past due receivables balances. We evaluate specific accounts when we learn that a customer may be experiencing a deterioration of its financial condition due to lower credit ratings, bankruptcy, or other factors that may affect its ability to render payment.

The following table summarizes the activity in our allowance for doubtful accounts for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 5,989	\$ 6,490	\$ 2,630
Provisions charged to expense	801	793	3,366
Amounts written off	(2,210)	(868)	(251)
Other (1)	126	(426)	745
Balance at end of year	\$ 4,706	\$ 5,989	\$ 6,490

(1) Includes balances from acquisitions and changes in balances due to foreign currency exchange rates.

Table of Contents

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the weighted- average method of inventory accounting. The valuation of our inventories requires us to make estimates regarding excess or obsolete inventories, including making estimates of the future demand for our products. Although we make every effort to ensure the accuracy of our forecasts of future product demand, any significant unanticipated changes in demand, price, or technological developments could have a significant impact on the value of our inventory and reported operating results. Charges for excess and obsolete inventories are included within cost of revenue.

Property and Equipment, net

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based over the estimated useful lives of the assets. We depreciate our property and equipment, other than buildings and leasehold improvements, over periods ranging from three to ten years. Buildings are depreciated over periods ranging from twenty-five to thirty years. Furniture and fixtures are depreciated over periods ranging from three to ten years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the related lease term.

The cost of maintenance and repairs of property and equipment is charged to operations as incurred. When assets are retired or disposed of, the cost and accumulated depreciation or amortization thereon are removed from the consolidated balance sheet and any resulting gain or loss is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations.

Goodwill, Other Acquired Intangible Assets, and Long-lived Assets

We record goodwill when the purchase price of net tangible and intangible assets we acquire exceeds their fair value. Other acquired intangible assets include identifiable acquired technologies, trade names, customer relationships, distribution networks, sales backlogs, and non-competition agreements. We amortize the cost of finite-lived identifiable intangible assets on a straight-line basis, which approximates the pattern in which the economic benefits of the assets are expected to be realized, over their estimated useful lives, which are periods of ten years or less.

We regularly perform reviews to determine if the carrying values of our goodwill and other intangible assets are impaired. We review goodwill for impairment at least annually on November 1, or more frequently if an event occurs indicating the potential for impairment. As of January 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no indefinite-lived intangible assets other than goodwill. To test for potential impairment, we first perform an assessment of the fair value of our reporting units. We utilize three primary approaches to determine fair value: (a) an income based approach, using projected discounted cash flows, (b) a market based approach, using multiples of comparable companies, and (c) a transaction based approach, using multiples for recent acquisitions of similar businesses made in the marketplace.

Table of Contents

Our estimate of fair value of each reporting unit is based on a number of subjective factors, including: (a) appropriate weighting of valuation approaches (income approach, comparable public company approach, and comparable transaction approach), (b) estimates of our future cost structure, (c) discount rates for our estimated cash flows, (d) selection of peer group companies for the public company and the market transaction approaches, (e) required levels of working capital, (f) assumed terminal value, and (g) time horizon of cash flow forecasts.

The fair value of each reporting unit is compared to its carrying value to determine whether there is an indication of impairment in value. If an indication of impairment exists, we perform a second analysis to measure the amount of impairment, if any. During the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, we recorded non-cash charges to recognize impairments of goodwill of \$26.0 million and \$20.6 million, respectively. We did not record any impairment of goodwill for the year ended January 31, 2010.

We review intangible assets that have finite useful lives and other long-lived assets when an event occurs indicating the potential for impairment. If any indicators are present, we perform a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the assets in question to their carrying amounts. If the undiscounted cash flows used in the test for recoverability are less than the long-lived assets carrying amount, we determine the fair value of the long-lived asset and recognize an impairment loss if the carrying amount of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value. The impairment loss recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the long-lived asset exceeds its fair value.

During the year ended January 31, 2008, we recorded non-cash charges to recognize impairments of long-lived intangible assets other than goodwill of \$2.7 million. No impairments of long-lived assets other than goodwill were recorded during the years ended January 31, 2010 or 2009.

Further discussion of these impairment charges appears in Note 5, *Intangible Assets and Goodwill*. Impairment charges related to operating expenses are included in impairments of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets and impairment charges related to cost of revenue are included in amortization and impairment of acquired technology and backlog on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Our recorded amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, investments, and accounts payable approximate fair value, due to the short-term nature of these instruments. We measure certain financial assets and liabilities at fair value based on the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. The fair value of money market funds, derivative financial instruments, and long-term debt are disclosed in Note 13, *Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Financial Instruments*.

Table of Contents***Derivative Financial Instruments***

As part of our risk management strategy we use derivative financial instruments including forward contracts and interest rate swap agreements to hedge against certain foreign currency and interest rate exposures. Our intent is to offset gains and losses that occur from the underlying exposure with gains and losses on the derivative contracts used to offset them. As a matter of company policy, we do not enter into speculative positions with derivative instruments. The criteria we use for designating a derivative as a hedge include contemporaneous and ongoing documentation of the instrument's effectiveness in risk reduction and direct matching of the financial instrument to the underlying transaction. We record all derivatives in other assets or other liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets at their fair values. Gains and losses from the changes in values of these derivatives are accounted for based on the use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting.

For the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, certain foreign currency forward contracts qualified for accounting as hedges and accordingly the effective portions of the changes in fair value of these instruments were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in our consolidated balance sheets, net of applicable income taxes. The ineffective portion, if any, of these contracts is reported in other income (expense), net. For derivative financial instruments not accounted for as hedges, gains and losses from changes in their fair values are reported in other income (expense), net. For the year ended January 31, 2008, none of our derivative instruments were accounted for using hedge accounting, and accordingly, all derivatives were marked-to-market at the end of each accounting period, with changes in fair value, whether realized or unrealized, recognized in current period earnings within other income (expense), net. See Note 13, Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Financial Instruments, for further details regarding our hedging activities and related accounting policies.

Long-term Debt

We capitalize debt issuance costs incurred in connection with our long-term borrowings and credit facilities. We amortize these costs as an adjustment to interest expense over the contractual life of the associated long-term borrowing or credit facility using the effective interest method for long-term borrowings and the straight-line method for revolving credit facilities. When unscheduled principal payments are made, we adjust the amortization of our deferred debt issuance costs to reflect the expected remaining terms of the borrowing.

Segment Reporting

We have three operating segments, which are also our reportable segments, Enterprise Workforce Optimization Solutions (Workforce Optimization), Video Intelligence Solutions (Video Intelligence), and Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions (Communications Intelligence). We determine our reportable segments based on a number of factors our management uses to evaluate and run our business operations, including similarities of customers, products and technology. Our Chief Executive Officer is our chief operating decision maker, who utilizes segment revenues and segment operating contribution as the primary basis for assessing financial results of segments and for the allocation of resources. See Note 17, Segment, Geographic, and Significant Customer Information, for a full description of our segments and related accounting policies.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

We derive and report our revenue in two categories: (a) product revenue including hardware and software products and (b) service and support revenue, including revenue from installation services, post-contract customer support (PCS), project management, hosting services, and training services.

Our revenue recognition policy is a critical component of determining our operating results and is based on a complex set of accounting rules that require us to make significant judgments and estimates. Our customer arrangements typically include several elements including products, services, and support. Revenue recognition for a particular arrangement is dependent upon such factors as the level of customization within the solution and the contractual delivery, acceptance, payment, and support terms with the customer. Significant judgment is required to conclude whether collectability of fees is considered probable and whether fees are fixed and determinable. In addition, our multiple-element arrangements must be carefully reviewed to determine whether the fair value of each element can be established, which is a critical factor in determining the timing of the arrangement's revenue recognition.

For software license arrangements that do not require significant modification or customization of the underlying software, we recognize revenue when we have persuasive evidence of an arrangement, the product has been shipped or the services have been provided to the customer, the sales price is fixed or determinable and collectability is probable.

The majority of our software license arrangements contain multiple elements including software, hardware, PCS, and professional services such as installation, consulting, and training. We allocate revenue to the delivered elements of the arrangement using the residual method, whereby revenue is allocated to the undelivered elements based on vendor specific objective evidence of fair value (VSOE) of the undelivered elements with the remaining arrangement fee allocated to the delivered elements and recognized as revenue assuming all other revenue recognition criteria are met. If we are unable to establish VSOE for the undelivered elements of the arrangement, revenue recognition is deferred for the entire arrangement until all elements of the arrangement are delivered. However, if the only undelivered element is PCS, we recognize the arrangement fee ratably over the PCS period.

For multiple-element arrangements for which we are unable to establish VSOE of one or more elements, and where such arrangements are recognized ratably, we use various available indicators of fair value and apply our best judgment to reasonably classify the arrangement's revenue into product revenue and service revenue for financial reporting purposes. For these arrangements, we review our VSOE for training, installation, and PCS services from similar transactions and stand-alone services arrangements and prepare comparisons to peers, in order to determine reasonable and consistent approximations of fair values of service revenue for statement of operations classification purposes with the remaining amount being allocated to product revenue. Installation services associated with our Communications Intelligence arrangements are included within product revenue as such amounts are not considered material.

Our policy for establishing VSOE for installation, consulting, and training is based upon an analysis of separate sales of services.

Table of Contents

PCS revenues are derived from providing technical software support services and unspecified software updates and upgrades to customers on a when-and-if-available basis. PCS revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance period, which in most cases is one year. When PCS is included within a multiple-element arrangement, we utilize either the substantive renewal rate approach or the bell-shaped curve approach to establish VSOE for the PCS, depending upon the business segment, geographical region, or product line.

Under the bell-shaped curve approach of establishing VSOE, we perform VSOE compliance tests to ensure that a substantial majority of our actual PCS renewals are within a narrow range of pricing.

Under the substantive renewal rate approach, we believe it is necessary to evaluate whether both the support renewal rate and term are substantive, and whether the renewal rate is being consistently applied to subsequent renewals for a particular customer. We establish VSOE under this approach through analyzing the renewal rate stated in the customer agreement and determining whether that rate is above the minimum substantive VSOE renewal rate established for that particular PCS offering. The minimum substantive VSOE rate is determined based upon an analysis of renewal rates associated with historical PCS contracts. For contracts that do not contain a stated renewal rate, revenue associated with the entire bundled arrangement is recognized ratably over the PCS term. Contracts that have a renewal rate below the minimum substantive VSOE rate are deemed to contain a more than insignificant discount element, for which VSOE cannot be established. We recognize aggregate contractual revenue for these arrangements over the period that the customer is entitled to renew its PCS at the discounted rate, but not to exceed the estimated economic life of the product. We evaluate many factors in determining the estimated economic life of our products, including the support period of the product, technological obsolescence, and the customers' expectations. We have concluded that our software products have estimated economic lives ranging from five to seven years.

For certain of our products, we do not have an explicit obligation to provide PCS but as a matter of business practice have provided implied PCS. The implied PCS is accounted for as a separate element for which VSOE does not exist. Arrangements that contain implied PCS are recognized over the period the implied PCS is provided, but not to exceed the estimated economic life of the product.

For shipment of products that include embedded firmware that has been deemed incidental, we recognize revenue provided that persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collectability of the fee is reasonably assured. For shipments of hardware products, delivery is considered to have occurred upon shipment, provided that the risks of loss, and title in certain jurisdictions, have been transferred to the customer.

Table of Contents

Some of our arrangements require significant customization of the product to meet the particular requirements of the customer. For these arrangements, revenue is recognized under contract accounting methods, typically using the percentage-of-completion (POC) method. Under the POC method, revenue recognition is generally based upon the ratio of hours incurred to date to the total estimated hours required to complete the contract. Profit estimates on long-term contracts are revised periodically based on changes in circumstances, and any losses on contracts are recognized in the period that such losses become evident. If the range of profitability cannot be estimated, but some level of profit is assured, revenue is recognized to the extent of costs incurred, until such time that the project s profitability can be estimated or the services have been completed. In addition, if VSOE does not exist for the contract s PCS element but some level of profitability is assured, revenue is recognized to the extent of costs incurred. Once the services are completed, the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is recognized ratably over the remaining PCS period. In the event some level of profitability on a contract cannot be assured, the completed-contract method of revenue recognition is applied.

In certain of our arrangements accounted for under contract accounting methods, the fee is contingent on the return on investment our customers receive from such services. Revenue from these arrangements is recognized under the completed-contract method of accounting when the contingency is resolved and collectability is assured, which in most cases is upon final receipt of payment.

If an arrangement includes customer acceptance criteria, revenue is not recognized until we can objectively demonstrate that the software or services meet the acceptance criteria, or the acceptance period lapses, whichever occurs earlier. If a software license arrangement obligates us to deliver specified future products or upgrades, revenue under the arrangement is initially deferred and is recognized only when the specified future products or upgrades are delivered, or when the obligation to deliver specified future products expires, whichever occurs earlier.

We record provisions for estimated product returns in the same period in which the associated revenue is recognized. We base these estimates of product returns upon historical levels of sales returns and other known factors. Actual product returns could be different from our estimates and current or future provisions for product returns may differ from historical provisions. Concessions granted to customers are recorded as reductions to revenue in the period in which they were granted. The vast majority of our contracts are successfully completed, and concessions granted to customers are minimal in both dollar value and frequency.

Product revenue derived from shipments to resellers and original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) who purchase our products for resale are generally recognized when such products are shipped (on a sell-in basis). We have historically experienced insignificant product returns from resellers and OEMs, and our payment terms for these customers are similar to those granted to our end-users. If a reseller or OEM develops a pattern of payment delinquency, or seeks payment terms longer than generally accepted, we defer the recognition of revenue until the receipt of cash. Our arrangements with resellers and OEMs are periodically reviewed as our business and products change.

In instances where revenue is derived from sale of third-party vendor services and we are a principal in the transaction, we generally record revenue at gross and record costs related to a sale in cost of revenue. In those cases where we are acting as an agent between the customer and the vendor, and we are not the primary obligor and/or do not bear credit risk, or where we earn a fixed transactional fee, revenue is recorded net of costs.

Table of Contents

We record reimbursements from customers for out-of-pocket expenses as revenue. Shipping and handling fees and expenses that are billed to customers are recognized in revenue and the costs associated with such fees and expenses are recorded in cost of revenue. Historically, these fees and expenses have not been material. Taxes collected from customers and remitted to government authorities are excluded from revenue.

Cost of Revenue

Our cost of revenue includes costs of materials, compensation and benefit costs for operations and service personnel, subcontractor costs, royalties and license fees, depreciation of equipment used in operations and service, amortization of capitalized software development costs and certain purchased intangible assets, and related overhead costs.

Where revenue is recognized over multiple periods in accordance with our revenue recognition policies, we have made an accounting policy election whereby cost of product revenue, including hardware and third-party software license fees, are capitalized and recognized in the same period that product revenue is recognized, while installation and other service costs are generally expensed as incurred, except for certain contracts that are accounted for using contract accounting principles. Deferred cost of revenue are classified in their entirety as current or long-term assets based on whether the related revenue will be recognized within twelve months of the origination date of the arrangement.

For certain contracts accounted for using contract accounting principles, revisions in estimates of costs and profits are reflected in the accounting period in which the facts that require the revision become known, if such facts become known subsequent to the issuance of the consolidated financial statements. If such facts become known before the issuance of the consolidated financial statements, the requisite revisions in estimates of costs and profits are reflected in these consolidated financial statements. At the time a loss on a contract becomes evident, the entire amount of the estimated loss is accrued. Related contract costs include all direct material and labor costs and those indirect costs related to contract performance.

Customer acquisition and origination costs, including sales commissions, are recorded in selling, general and administrative expenses. These costs are expensed as incurred, with the exception of certain sales referral fees in our Communication Intelligence segment which are capitalized and amortized ratably over the revenue recognition period.

Research and Development, net

With the exception of certain software development costs, all research and development costs are expensed as incurred, and consist primarily of personnel and consulting costs, travel, depreciation of research and development equipment, and related overhead and other costs associated with research and development activities.

We receive non-refundable grants from the Israel Office of the Chief Scientist (OCS) that fund a portion of our research and development expenditures. Since calendar year 2006, we only enter into non-royalty-bearing arrangements with the OCS which do not require us to pay royalties. Funds received from the OCS are recorded as a reduction to research and development expense. Royalties, to the extent paid, are recorded as part of our cost of revenue.

Table of Contents

Software Development Costs

Costs incurred to acquire or develop software for resale are capitalized after technological feasibility is established, and continue to be capitalized through the general release of the related software product. Amortization of capitalized costs begins in the period in which the related product is available for general release to customers and is recorded on a straight-line basis, which approximates the pattern in which the economic benefits of the capitalized costs are expected to be realized, over the estimated economic lives of the related software products, generally four years.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes under the asset and liability method which includes the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements. Under this approach, deferred taxes are recorded for the future tax consequences expected to occur when the reported amounts of assets and liabilities are recovered or paid. The provision for income taxes represents income taxes paid or payable for the current year plus the change in deferred taxes during the year. Deferred taxes result from differences between the financial statement and tax bases of our assets and liabilities, and are adjusted for changes in tax rates and tax laws when changes are enacted. The effects of future changes in income tax laws or rates are not anticipated.

We are subject to income taxes in the United States and numerous foreign jurisdictions. The calculation of our tax provision involves the application of complex tax laws and requires significant judgment and estimates.

We evaluate the realizability of our deferred tax assets for each jurisdiction in which we operate at each reporting date, and establish valuation allowances when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of our deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income of the same character and in the same jurisdiction. We consider all available positive and negative evidence in making this assessment, including, but not limited to, the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies. In circumstances where there is sufficient negative evidence indicating that our deferred tax assets are not more-likely-than-not realizable, we establish a valuation allowance.

We use a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return by assessing whether they are more-likely-than-not sustainable, based solely on their technical merits, upon examination and including resolution of any related appeals or litigation process. The second step is to measure the associated tax benefit of each position as the largest amount that we believe is more-likely-than-not realizable. Differences between the amount of tax benefits taken or expected to be taken in our income tax returns and the amount of tax benefits recognized in our financial statements, represent our unrecognized income tax benefits, which we either record as a liability or as a reduction of deferred tax assets. Our policy is to include interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

Table of Contents

Functional Currency and Foreign Currency Transaction Gains and Losses

The functional currency for each of our foreign subsidiaries is the respective local currency with the exception of our subsidiaries in Israel and Canada, whose functional currencies are the U.S. Dollar (dollar). Most of our revenue and materials purchased from suppliers are denominated in or linked to the dollar. Transactions denominated in currencies other than the dollar (primarily compensation and benefits costs of foreign operations) are converted to the dollar on the transaction date, and any resulting assets or liabilities are further translated at each reporting date and at settlement. Gains and losses recognized upon such translations are included within other income (expense), net in the consolidated statements of operations. We recorded \$1.9 million of net foreign currency losses for the year ended January 31, 2010, and \$1.6 million and \$1.4 million of net foreign currency gains for the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In those limited instances where a foreign subsidiary has a functional currency other than the dollar, revenue and expenses are translated into dollars using average exchange rates for the reporting period, while assets and liabilities are translated into dollars using period-end rates. The effects of foreign currency translation adjustments are included in stockholders' equity (deficit) as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of certain of our stock-based awards. We recognize the fair value of the award over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award.

Net Income (Loss) Per Share Attributable to Verint Systems Inc.

Shares used in the calculation of basic net income (loss) per share are based on the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the accounting period. Shares used in the calculation of basic net income (loss) per share exclude unvested shares of restricted stock because they are contingent upon future service conditions. Shares used in the calculation of diluted net income (loss) per share are based on the weighted-average number of shares outstanding, adjusted for the assumed exercise of all potentially dilutive stock options and other stock-based awards outstanding using the treasury stock method. Shares used in the calculation of diluted net income (loss) per share also include the assumed conversion of our convertible preferred stock, if dilutive. In periods for which we report a net loss, basic net loss per share and diluted net loss per share are identical since the effect of potential common shares is anti-dilutive and therefore excluded.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Standards Implemented:

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) revised their guidance on business combinations. This new guidance requires an acquiring entity to measure and recognize identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed, and contingent consideration at their fair value at the acquisition date with subsequent changes recognized in earnings. In addition, acquisition related costs and restructuring costs are recognized separately from the business combination and expensed as incurred. The new guidance also requires acquired in-process research and development costs to be capitalized as an indefinite-lived intangible asset and requires that changes in accounting for deferred tax asset valuation allowances and acquired income tax uncertainties after the measurement period be recognized as a component of the provision for income taxes. In April 2009, the FASB issued a new standard which clarified the accounting for pre-acquisition contingencies. This guidance was effective for us beginning on February 1, 2009. For further discussion see Note 4, Business Combinations .

In December 2007, the FASB issued a new accounting standard which establishes accounting and reporting standards for ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the parent, the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and the noncontrolling interest, changes in a parent s ownership interest and the valuation of retained noncontrolling equity investments when a subsidiary is deconsolidated. The new standard also establishes disclosure requirements that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. On February 1, 2009, we adopted this standard, and the presentation and disclosure requirements of this standard were applied retrospectively to all periods presented, as required by the standard. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements, other than the following changes in presentation of the noncontrolling interest:

Net income (loss) now includes net income (loss) attributable to both Verint Systems Inc. and the noncontrolling interest in the consolidated statements of operations. The presentation of net income (loss) in prior periods excluded the noncontrolling interest in the net income of our joint venture. Net income (loss) excluding the noncontrolling interest in the net income of our joint venture is now presented after net income (loss), with the caption net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.

The noncontrolling interest, which was previously reflected in other liabilities, is now presented in stockholders equity (deficit), separate from Verint Systems Inc. s stockholders equity (deficit), in the consolidated balance sheets.

The consolidated statements of cash flows now begin with net income (loss), including the noncontrolling interest, instead of net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.

Table of Contents

In March 2008, the FASB amended the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. This new guidance requires enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This guidance was effective for us beginning on February 1, 2009. For further discussion, see Note 13, Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Financial Instruments.

In April 2009, the FASB issued staff positions that require enhanced fair value disclosures, including interim disclosures, on financial instruments, determination of fair value in turbulent markets, and recognition and presentation of other than temporary impairments. These staff positions were effective beginning with our quarter ended July 31, 2009. These staff positions will enhance our interim disclosures but will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued a standard that establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. In February 2010, the FASB issued an amendment to this guidance that removed the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. This standard as amended was effective for us beginning with our interim period ended July 31, 2009. The adoption of this standard, as amended, had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

During the third quarter of the year ended January 31, 2010, we adopted the new Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) as issued by the FASB. The ASC has become the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. The ASC is not intended to change or alter existing GAAP. The adoption of the ASC had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

New Standards to be Implemented:

In June 2009, the FASB issued a new accounting standard related to the consolidation of variable interest entities, requiring a company to perform an analysis to determine whether its variable interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This analysis requires a company to assess whether it has the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity and if it has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. This standard requires an ongoing reassessment of whether a company is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity, and significantly enhances disclosures. The standard may be applied retrospectively to previously issued financial statements with a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the first year restated. This standard is effective for us for the fiscal year beginning on February 1, 2010. We are in the process of evaluating this standard and therefore have not yet determined the impact that adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In October 2009, the FASB issued guidance that applies to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This guidance also provides principles and application guidance on whether a revenue arrangement contains multiple deliverables, how the arrangement should be separated, and how the arrangement consideration should be allocated. The guidance requires an entity to allocate revenue in a multiple-deliverable arrangement using estimated selling prices of the deliverables if a vendor does not have VSOE or third-party evidence of selling price. It eliminates the use of the residual method and, instead, requires an entity to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method. It also expands disclosure requirements with respect to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements.

Also in October 2009, the FASB issued guidance related to multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements that contain both software and hardware elements, focusing on determining which revenue arrangements are within the scope of existing software revenue guidance. This additional guidance removes tangible products from the scope of the software revenue guidance and provides guidance on determining whether software deliverables in an arrangement that includes a tangible product are within the scope of the software revenue guidance.

The above guidance related to revenue recognition should be applied on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. It will be effective for us in our fiscal year beginning February 1, 2011, although early adoption is permitted. Alternatively, an entity can elect to adopt the provisions of these issues on a retrospective basis. We are assessing the impact that the application of this new guidance may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued amended standards that require additional fair value disclosures. These disclosure requirements are effective in two phases. Effective in our fiscal year beginning February 1, 2010, the amended standards will require enhanced disclosures about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value as well as disclosures about significant transfers between categories of the fair value measurement hierarchy. Effective in our fiscal year beginning February 1, 2011, the amended standards will require presentation of disaggregated activity within the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). These amended standards do not significantly impact our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**2. Net Income (Loss) Per Share Attributable to Verint Systems Inc.**

The following table summarizes the calculation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc. for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net income (loss)	\$ 17,100	\$ (78,577)	\$ (197,545)
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	1,483	1,811	1,064
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	15,617	(80,388)	(198,609)
Dividends on preferred stock	(13,591)	(13,064)	(8,681)
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares basic and diluted	\$ 2,026	\$ (93,452)	\$ (207,290)
Weighted-average shares outstanding			
Basic	32,478	32,394	32,222
Diluted	33,127	32,394	32,222
Net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.			
Basic	\$ 0.06	\$ (2.88)	\$ (6.43)
Diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ (2.88)	\$ (6.43)

Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding for the year ended January 31, 2010 excludes shares underlying approximately 4.7 million stock options, since such options have exercise prices in excess of the average market value of our common stock during the period and are therefore antidilutive. Due to net losses applicable to common shares reported for the years ended January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2008, the assumed exercise of stock options and assumed settlement of unvested restricted stock awards and restricted stock units had an antidilutive effect and was therefore excluded from the computation of weighted-average diluted shares outstanding for those periods. Such options, awards and units excluded from the computation of weighted-average diluted shares outstanding totaled 7.1 million and 7.0 million for the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Also excluded from the calculation of diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc. were 10.0 million, 9.6 million, and 9.2 million common shares at January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, issuable from the assumed conversion of our convertible preferred stock, because such assumed conversion would have an antidilutive effect.

Table of Contents***3. Investments***

As of January 31, 2010 and 2009, all of our excess funds are in cash and cash equivalents or restricted cash. We have historically invested in a variety of securities, including U.S. Government, corporation, agency bonds, and ARS, which typically provide higher yields than money market and other cash equivalent investments. Effective in the year ended January 31, 2009, we no longer invest in ARS as a matter of policy.

As of January 31, 2008, our investments consisted of ARS with a total cost basis (par value) of \$7.0 million and estimated fair value of \$2.3 million, which were included within other assets.

At January 31, 2008, the collateral underlying our ARS portfolio consisted of AAA-rated pools of residential mortgages and corporate debt obligations. These collateralized debt instruments had long-term underlying maturities, but were historically considered highly liquid because of the occurrence of regular auctions every 90 days or less that reset the applicable interest and allowed for purchases and sales. Beginning in the quarter ended October 31, 2007, these ARS failed to receive sufficient order interest from potential investors to clear successfully, resulting in failed auctions. Due to continued failures of these auctions, during the year ended January 31, 2008, we concluded our ARS were no longer liquid, and in the event we needed to access these funds, we would not have been able to do so without realizing a loss of principal. However, we continued to earn interest on our ARS at the maximum contractual rate. Prior to the first failed auction, we valued our ARS using quoted market prices because the securities were highly liquid and there were active markets which generally resulted in valuations at par. Once the auctions began to fail, we could not value these securities using prices established by market transactions and we valued these securities in part using estimated values provided by the firms which underwrote the securities. Accordingly, we concluded that as of January 31, 2008, our portfolio of three ARS with a cost basis (par value) of \$7.0 million had an estimated fair value of \$2.3 million. We therefore concluded that these securities had an other-than-temporary impairment in market value and recorded a \$4.7 million pre-tax charge during the year ended January 31, 2008 in other income (expense), net in our consolidated statement of operations.

Additionally, because we could not reliably estimate when a successful auction for the ARS that we held at January 31, 2008 would occur, we reclassified these securities as long-term assets on our consolidated balance sheets. During the year ended January 31, 2009, we sold our ARS to the broker from whom we purchased the securities at par value plus accrued interest. We are aware that at the time, the broker had entered into a settlement agreement with the Attorney General of the State of New York and the North American Securities Administrators Association Task Force. Consequently, we recorded a gain of \$4.7 million in other income (expense), net in our consolidated statement of operations when the securities were sold to the broker.

Proceeds from sales or maturities of available-for-sale investments were \$7.0 million and \$328.5 million during the years ended January 31, 2009, and 2008, respectively. We received no such proceeds during the year ended January 31, 2010, because all of our available operating funds and our restricted cash were held in the form of cash and cash equivalents during the entire year.

Table of Contents**4. Business Combinations**

We did not enter into any business combinations during the years ended January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2009.

Business Combinations for the Year Ended January 31, 2008***Witness Systems, Inc.***

We acquired Witness Systems, Inc. (Witness), formerly a publicly held company based in Roswell, Georgia, on May 25, 2007. We acquired Witness, among other objectives, to expand our business in the enterprise workforce optimization market. We have included the financial results of Witness in our consolidated financial statements since May 25, 2007. The following table sets forth the components and the allocation of the purchase price of Witness:

(in thousands)	Amount	Estimated Useful Lives
Components of Purchase Price:		
Acquisition of approximately 35.2 million shares of outstanding common stock of Witness at \$27.50 per share in cash, net of interest earned	\$ 966,518	
Settlement of vested and accelerated Witness stock options in cash	93,225	
Fair value of unvested Witness stock options exchanged	4,717	
Subsequent payments on assumed contingent consideration arrangements	5,802	
Direct transaction costs	14,833	
Total purchase price	\$ 1,085,095	
Allocation of Purchase Price:		
Net tangible assets:		
Cash	\$ 139,777	
Other current assets	71,045	
Deferred income taxes current	1,823	
Other assets	15,028	
Current liabilities	(65,130)	
Deferred income taxes long-term	(12,042)	
Other liabilities	(7,590)	
Net tangible assets	142,911	
Identifiable intangible assets:		
Developed technology	43,000	6 years
Trademark and trade name	10,000	2-4 years
Customer relationships	206,000	10 years
Non-competition agreements	1,300	1 year
Total identifiable intangible assets (1)	260,300	
In-process research and development	6,440	
Goodwill	675,444	
Total purchase price	\$ 1,085,095	

- (1) The weighted-average amortization period of all finite-lived identifiable intangible assets is 9.0 years.

F-24

Table of Contents*Purchase Price*

We paid \$967.1 million in cash to acquire all of the 35.2 million outstanding shares of Witness common stock on May 25, 2007 at \$27.50 per share. The amount was reduced by \$0.6 million of interest earned on funds deposited with the paying agent for which settlement with Witness stockholders did not occur within one day.

In accordance with the terms of the acquisition agreement and the underlying Witness stock option agreements, at the acquisition date all vested Witness stock options, in lieu of being exercised, were exchanged for a cash payment equal to the excess, if any, of \$27.50 over the exercise price per share of the options. In addition, pursuant to their terms, certain unvested Witness stock options were deemed vested as a result of the acquisition and were also settled in cash, in the same manner. These payments, including applicable payroll taxes, totaled \$93.2 million and are included within the purchase price.

Unvested Witness stock options were exchanged for options to purchase our common stock using a conversion formula that maintained the option holder's intrinsic value. The fair value of the unvested options exchanged, \$4.7 million of which was attributable to past service and included within the purchase price, was determined using a Black-Scholes valuation model with the following assumptions: expected lives ranging from 1.4 years to 3.9 years, a risk-free interest rate of approximately 4.9%, expected volatility of 40.5%, and no dividend yield.

We assumed several contingent consideration arrangements related to businesses previously acquired by Witness. One such arrangement provided for potential additional consideration of up to \$18.5 million, to be earned quarterly through July 31, 2009, based upon the previously acquired business achieving certain performance metrics. During the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, \$1.1 million and \$2.7 million of this contingent consideration was earned, respectively, and was recorded as additional goodwill. We also paid \$2.0 million of additional consideration during the year ended January 31, 2008 related to a separate business previously acquired by Witness, and recorded the payment as additional goodwill. No further contingent consideration was earned through the completion of the contingent consideration periods of these arrangements.

Direct transaction costs include investment banking, legal, and accounting fees, and other external costs directly related to the acquisition.

In-Process Research and Development

We expensed the fair value of Witness in-process research and development (IPR&D) upon acquisition, as it represents incomplete research and development projects that had not yet reached technological feasibility and had no known alternative future use as of the date of the acquisition. IPR&D is presented as a separate line item on our consolidated statement of operations. Technological feasibility is generally established when an enterprise completes all planning, designing, coding, and testing activities that are necessary to establish that a product can be produced to meet its design specifications, including functions, features, and technical performance requirements. The value assigned to IPR&D of \$6.4 million was determined by considering the importance of each project to our overall future development plans, estimating costs to develop the purchased IPR&D into commercially viable products, estimating the resulting net cash flows from each project when completed, and discounting the net cash flows to their present values.

Table of Contents

The revenue estimates used to value the IPR&D were based on estimates of the relevant market sizes and growth factors, expected trends in technology, and the nature and expected timing of new product introductions. The rates used to discount the cash flows to their present values were based on the weighted-average cost of capital. The weighted-average cost of capital was adjusted to reflect the difficulties and uncertainties in completing each project and thereby achieving technical feasibility, the percentage of completion of each project, anticipated market acceptance and penetration, market growth rates, and risks related to the impact of potential changes in future target markets. Based on these factors, a discount rate of 17% was deemed appropriate for valuing the IPR&D.

Goodwill and Identifiable Intangible Assets

Among the factors that contributed to the recognition of goodwill in this transaction were the significant expansion of our market share in the enterprise workforce optimization market, a broader available suite of products and services, the addition of a talented assembled workforce, and opportunities for future efficiencies and cost savings. This goodwill has been assigned to our Workforce Optimization segment, and is not deductible for income tax purposes.

Deferred Revenue

Included within the net tangible assets of Witness at May 25, 2007 is the fair value of support obligations assumed from Witness in connection with the acquisition. We based our determination of the fair value of the support obligations, in part, on a valuation completed by a third-party valuation firm using estimates and assumptions provided by management. The estimated fair value of the support obligations was determined utilizing a cost build-up approach. The cost build-up approach determines fair value by estimating the costs relating to fulfilling the obligations plus a reasonable profit margin. The sum of the costs and operating profit is used to approximate the amount that we would pay a third party to assume the support obligations. The estimated costs to fulfill the support obligations were based on the historical direct costs related to providing the support services. We did not include any costs associated with selling efforts or research and development or the related fulfillment margins on these costs. Profit associated with selling effort is excluded because Witness had concluded the selling effort on the support contracts prior to the acquisition date. The estimated research and development costs have not been included in the fair value determination, as these costs do not represent a legal obligation at the time of acquisition. As a result, in our purchase price allocation, we recorded an adjustment to reduce the historical carrying value of Witness May 25, 2007 deferred support revenue by \$38.9 million, to reflect our estimate of the fair value of the support obligations assumed.

Table of Contents***ViewLinks Euclipse, Ltd.***

We acquired Israel-based ViewLinks Euclipse Ltd. (ViewLinks), a privately held provider of data mining and link analysis software solutions, on February 1, 2007. We have included the financial results of ViewLinks in our consolidated financial statements since February 1, 2007. The total purchase price for ViewLinks was \$7.7 million, which consisted of \$5.7 million in cash paid to acquire ViewLinks remaining outstanding common stock, \$1.9 million of contingent consideration earned by and substantially paid to the former ViewLinks shareholders, and \$0.1 million of direct transaction costs. No further contingent consideration is available to the former ViewLinks shareholders as of January 31, 2010. Our purchase price allocation for ViewLinks, based on estimated fair values, consisted of \$5.0 million of goodwill, \$1.8 million of identifiable intangible assets, \$0.7 million of net tangible assets, and \$0.2 million of IPR&D. The intangible assets acquired in this transaction are being amortized over estimated useful lives of one to five years. The goodwill recorded in this acquisition has been assigned to our Communications Intelligence segment, and is not deductible for income tax purposes.

Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information

The unaudited financial information presented in the table below summarizes the combined results of our operations and the operations of Witness on a pro forma basis, as though the companies had been combined as of February 1, 2007. The pro forma impact of the ViewLinks acquisition is not material to our overall consolidated operating results and therefore is not presented.

Pro forma financial information is subject to various assumptions and estimates and is presented for informational purposes only. This pro forma information does not purport to represent or be indicative of the consolidated operating results that would have been reported had the transactions been completed as described herein, and the data should not be taken as indicative of future consolidated operating results.

No pro forma financial information is presented for the years ended January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2009, as we did not enter into any business combinations during those periods.

Pro forma financial information for the year ended January 31, 2008 is as follows:

(in thousands, except per share data)

Revenue	\$ 601,833
Net loss	\$ (229,224)
Net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	\$ (230,288)
Net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares	\$ (243,310)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	\$ (7.55)

Table of Contents**5. Intangible Assets and Goodwill**

Acquisition-related intangible assets consist of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31, 2010		
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Customer relationships	\$ 198,084	\$ (54,825)	\$ 143,259
Acquired technology	54,629	(28,419)	26,210
Trade names	9,551	(7,989)	1,562
Non-competition agreements	3,429	(2,203)	1,226
Distribution network	2,440	(864)	1,576
Total	\$ 268,133	\$ (94,300)	\$ 173,833

(in thousands)	January 31, 2009		
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Customer relationships	\$ 194,076	\$ (34,420)	\$ 159,656
Acquired technology	53,781	(20,134)	33,647
Trade names	9,350	(5,926)	3,424
Non-competition agreements	3,416	(1,760)	1,656
Distribution network	2,440	(620)	1,820
Total	\$ 263,063	\$ (62,860)	\$ 200,203

The following table presents net acquisition-related intangible assets by segment as of January 31, 2010 and 2009.

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Workforce Optimization	\$ 171,133	\$ 196,483
Video Intelligence	1,149	1,427
Communications Intelligence	1,551	2,293
Total	\$ 173,833	\$ 200,203

All acquired, finite-lived intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis, which approximates the pattern in which the estimated economic benefits of the assets are realized, over their estimated useful lives, which are periods of ten years or less.

Table of Contents

Total amortization expense recorded for acquisition-related intangible assets was \$30.3 million, \$34.3 million, and \$27.2 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The reported amount of net acquisition-related intangible assets can fluctuate from the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on intangible assets not denominated in U.S. dollars.

Estimated future finite-lived acquisition-related intangible asset amortization expense is as follows:

(in thousands)

For the Years Ended January 31,	Amount
2011	\$ 29,320
2012	28,395
2013	27,612
2014	22,660
2015	20,082
2016 and thereafter	45,764
Total	\$ 173,833

In conjunction with the goodwill impairment reviews described below, we conducted reviews for impairment of our other long-lived assets, including finite-lived intangible assets, because any impairment of these assets must be considered prior to the conclusion of the goodwill impairment review in accordance with applicable accounting guidance. We did not identify any impairments of finite-lived intangible assets during the years ended January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2009. We recorded impairments of finite-lived intangible assets of \$2.7 million in the fourth quarter of the year ended January 31, 2008 related to our Video Intelligence business in the Asia Pacific region. The impairment charge of \$2.7 million in the year ended January 31, 2008 was due to a change in business strategy, which resulted in a decline in our distribution business in the region. For this impairment, \$0.4 million is related to acquired technology and is reported within cost of revenue, and \$2.3 million is related to customer-related intangible assets and is reported within operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price in a business combination over the fair value of net tangible and identifiable intangible assets acquired. Goodwill activity for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, in total and by reportable segment, is as follows:

(in thousands)	Total	Reportable Segment		
		Workforce Optimization	Video Intelligence	Communications Intelligence
For the Year Ended January 31, 2009				
Goodwill, gross, at January 31, 2008	\$ 825,918	\$ 728,066	\$ 68,106	\$ 29,746
Accumulated impairment losses at January 31, 2008	(40,904)	(17,142)	(23,762)	
Goodwill, net, at January 31, 2008	785,014	710,924	44,344	29,746
Additional consideration previous acquisitions (1)	1,303	1,066		237
Income tax-related adjustments	(398)	(398)		
Goodwill impairment	(25,961)	(13,649)	(12,312)	
Foreign currency translation and other	(49,974)	(47,594)	(2,380)	
Goodwill, net, at January 31, 2009	\$ 709,984	\$ 650,349	\$ 29,652	\$ 29,983
For the Year Ended January 31, 2010				
Goodwill, gross, at January 31, 2009	\$ 776,849	\$ 681,140	\$ 65,726	\$ 29,983
Accumulated impairment losses at January 31, 2009	(66,865)	(30,791)	(36,074)	
Goodwill, net, at January 31, 2009	709,984	650,349	29,652	29,983
Additional consideration previous acquisitions (1)	89			89
Foreign currency translation and other	14,597	13,325	1,272	
Goodwill, net, at January 31, 2010	\$ 724,670	\$ 663,674	\$ 30,924	\$ 30,072
Balance at January 31, 2010				
Goodwill, gross, at January 31, 2010	\$ 791,535	\$ 694,465	\$ 66,998	\$ 30,072
Accumulated impairment losses at January 31, 2010	(66,865)	(30,791)	(36,074)	
Goodwill, net, at January 31, 2010	\$ 724,670	\$ 663,674	\$ 30,924	\$ 30,072

(1) Contingent consideration for acquisitions completed in prior years.

For purposes of performing our impairment testing, we assign goodwill to multiple reporting units at one level below our operating segments, primarily based on types of products sold or services provided and in certain cases by products sold in a particular industry or vertical market.

We test our goodwill for impairment annually as of November 1, or more frequently, if events or circumstances indicate the potential for an impairment. We performed goodwill impairment tests for each of our reporting units as of November 1, 2009, 2008, and 2007.

The results of step one of our testing as of November 1, 2009 indicated that the fair values of all of our reporting units significantly exceeded their net carrying values, and therefore no goodwill impairment was identified for the year ended January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

The results of step one of our testing as of November 1, 2008 indicated that the net carrying value of two of our reporting units exceeded their fair values. We performed the required step two analysis and recorded impairment charges of \$13.7 million in our Workforce Optimization segment and \$12.3 million in our Video Intelligence segment in the fourth quarter of the year ended January 31, 2009, which represented the excess of the carrying value of the impaired reporting units' goodwill over their implied fair values. These charges are recorded in impairments of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The impairment in our Workforce Optimization segment related to our performance management consulting business in the United States, and was due primarily to overall lower than anticipated demand for our consulting services, which resulted in a decline in projected future revenue and cash flow. We fully impaired the remaining goodwill balance of \$12.3 million in one reporting unit of our Video Intelligence segment in the Asia Pacific region, due to our decision in the fourth quarter to discontinue the development of a product line as a result of continued decline in our distribution business in that region.

The results of step one of our testing as of November 1, 2007 indicated that the net carrying value of four of our reporting units exceeded their fair values. We performed the required step two analysis and recorded impairment charges of \$14.0 million in our Workforce Optimization segment and \$6.6 million in our Video Intelligence segment in the fourth quarter of the year ended January 31, 2008, which represented the excess of the carrying value of the impaired reporting units' goodwill over their implied fair values. These charges are recorded in impairments of goodwill and other intangible assets on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The impairment in our Workforce Optimization segment related to our performance management consulting businesses in the United States and Europe, and was due primarily to overall lower than anticipated demand for our consulting services, which resulted in a decline in projected future revenue and cash flow. The impairment in our Video Intelligence segment related to our distribution business in the Asia Pacific region, where revenue declined due to a change in business strategy.

6. Long-term Debt

The following is a summary of our outstanding financing arrangements as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Term loan facility	\$ 605,912	\$ 610,000
Revolving credit facility	15,000	15,000
	620,912	625,000
Less: current portion	22,678	4,088
Long-term debt	\$ 598,234	\$ 620,912

Table of Contents

On May 25, 2007, to partially finance the acquisition of Witness, we entered into a \$675.0 million secured credit facility comprised of a \$650.0 million seven-year term loan facility and a \$25.0 million six-year revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at a rate of, at our election, (a) the higher of (i) the prime rate and (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% plus, in either case, a margin of 1.75% or (b) the applicable London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus a margin of 2.75%. Such margins were subject to increase by 0.25% if we failed to receive corporate credit ratings from both of Moody's Investors Service, Inc. (Moody's) and Standard & Poor's Ratings Services (S&P) or failed to deliver certain financial statements to the credit facility administrative agent by February 25, 2008, and an additional 0.25% if we failed to do so by August 25, 2008. Because we did not timely comply with these conditions, the above-referenced applicable margins increased by 0.25% on February 25, 2008 and another 0.25% on August 25, 2008 to 2.25% and 3.25%, respectively. If we both obtain the above-referenced corporate ratings and deliver to the credit facility administrative agent the requisite financial statements, the applicable margins will subsequently range from 1.00% to 1.75% and 2.00% to 2.75%, respectively, depending on our corporate ratings from Moody's and S&P.

Optional prepayments of the loans are permitted without premium or penalty (other than customary breakage costs associated with the prepayment of loans bearing interest based on LIBOR). The loans are also subject to mandatory prepayment requirements based upon certain asset sales, excess cash flow, and certain other events.

The term loan originally amortized in 27 consecutive quarterly installments of \$1.6 million each, beginning August 1, 2007, followed by a final amortization payment of the remaining outstanding principal amount when the loan matures. In July 2007, we made an optional prepayment of \$40.0 million, \$13.0 million of which was applied to the eight immediately following principal payments and \$27.0 million of which was applied pro rata to the remaining principal payments. In May 2009, we made a \$4.1 million mandatory excess cash flow prepayment, which was applied to the three immediately following principal payments. Our mandatory excess cash flow prepayment for the year ended January 31, 2010, to be paid in May 2010, has been calculated to be \$22.1 million, \$12.4 million of which will be applied to the eight immediately following principal payments and \$9.7 million of which will be applied pro rata to the remaining principal payments. As of January 31, 2010, the interest rate on the term loan was 3.49%.

Our \$25.0 million revolving line of credit facility was reduced to \$15.0 million during the quarter ended October 31, 2008 as a result of the bankruptcy of Lehman Brothers. During the quarter ended January 31, 2009, we borrowed the full \$15.0 million available under the revolving credit facility. Repayment of these borrowings is required upon expiration of the facility in May 2013. As of January 31, 2010, the interest rate on the revolving line of credit borrowings was 3.49%.

Our obligations under our credit facility are guaranteed by certain of our domestic subsidiaries (including Witness) and are secured by substantially all of our and their assets. We paid debt issuance costs of \$13.6 million associated with the credit facility, which we have deferred and are classified within other assets. We are amortizing these deferred debt issuance costs over the life of the credit facility. Amortization of deferred costs associated with the term loan is recorded using the effective interest rate method, while amortization of deferred costs associated with the revolving credit facility is recorded on a straight-line basis.

Table of Contents

On May 25, 2007, concurrently with entry into our credit facility, we entered into a receive-variable/pay-fixed interest rate swap agreement with a multinational financial institution on a notional amount of \$450.0 million to mitigate a portion of the risk associated with variable interest rates on the term loan. This interest rate swap agreement terminates in May 2011. See Note 13, Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Financial Instruments for further details regarding the interest rate swap agreement.

During the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, we incurred \$22.6 million, \$35.2 million and \$34.4 million of interest expense, respectively, on borrowings under our credit facilities. We also recorded \$1.9 million, \$1.7 million, and \$1.9 million during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, for amortization of our deferred debt issuance costs, which is reported within interest expense. Included in the deferred debt issuance cost amortization for the years ended January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2008 were \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, of additional amortization associated with the principal prepayments in those years.

Future scheduled annual principal payments on indebtedness as of January 31, 2010 are as follows:

(in thousands)

Year Ended January 31,	Amount
2011	\$ 22,678
2012	
2013	4,593
2014	21,123
2015	572,518
Total	\$ 620,912

The credit facility agreement contains customary affirmative and negative covenants for credit facilities of its type, including limitations on us and our subsidiaries with respect to indebtedness, liens, dividends and distributions, acquisitions and dispositions of assets, investments and loans, transactions with affiliates, and nature of business. It also prohibits us from exceeding a specified consolidated leverage ratio, tested over rolling four-quarter periods. The agreement also includes a requirement that we submit audited consolidated financial statements to the lenders within 90 days of the end of each fiscal year, beginning with the financial statements for the year ended January 31, 2010. Should we fail to deliver such audited consolidated financial statements as required, the agreement provides a thirty day period to cure such default, or an event of default occurs.

Table of Contents

The credit facility agreement contains customary events of default with corresponding grace periods. If an event of default occurs and is continuing, the lenders may terminate and/or suspend their obligations to make loans and issue letters of credit under the credit facility and/or accelerate amounts due and/or exercise other rights and remedies. In the case of certain events of default related to insolvency and receivership, the commitments of the lenders will be automatically terminated and all outstanding loans will become immediately due and payable.

7. Balance Sheet Information

Inventories consist of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Raw materials	\$ 5,987	\$ 6,389
Work-in-process	4,649	5,070
Finished goods	3,737	8,996
Total inventories	\$ 14,373	\$ 20,455

Property and equipment, net consist of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Land	\$ 3,903	\$ 3,595
Buildings	2,250	2,250
Leasehold improvements	9,617	9,289
Software	20,862	18,298
Equipment, furniture, and other	45,168	41,935
	81,800	75,367
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(57,347)	(44,823)
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 24,453	\$ 30,544

Depreciation expense on property and equipment was \$12.4 million, \$15.0 million, and \$14.4 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

Other assets consist of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred debt issuance costs, net	\$ 8,474	\$ 10,207
Other	8,363	8,609
Total other assets	\$ 16,837	\$ 18,816

Accrued expenses and other liabilities consist of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Compensation and benefits	\$ 52,151	\$ 34,821
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts	26,102	42,250
Professional fees and consulting	17,204	7,157
Derivative financial instruments - current portion	21,624	16,851
Distributor and agent commissions	9,193	5,446
Taxes other than income	7,034	5,417
Interest on indebtedness	416	2,398
Other	21,211	31,998
Total accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 154,935	\$ 146,338

Other liabilities consist of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Unrecognized tax benefits	\$ 18,609	\$ 17,602
Derivative financial instruments - long-term portion	8,824	18,263
Obligation for severance compensation	3,259	3,305
Other	13,501	13,810
Total other liabilities	\$ 44,193	\$ 52,980

Table of Contents***8. Convertible Preferred Stock***

On May 25, 2007, in connection with our acquisition of Witness, we entered into a Securities Purchase Agreement with Comverse, (the Securities Purchase Agreement) whereby Comverse purchased, for cash, an aggregate of 293,000 shares of our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (preferred stock), for an aggregate purchase price of \$293.0 million. Proceeds from the issuance of the preferred stock were used to partially finance the acquisition. We incurred \$0.2 million of direct issuance costs associated with the issuance of the preferred stock, which were charged against the carrying value of the preferred stock.

The preferred stock was issued at a purchase price of \$1,000 per share and ranks senior to our common stock. The preferred stock has an initial liquidation preference equal to its \$1,000 per share purchase price. In the event of any voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution, or winding-up of our company, the holders of the preferred stock will be entitled to receive, out of assets available for distribution to our stockholders and before any distribution of assets to our common stockholders, an amount equal to the then-current liquidation preference, which includes accrued and unpaid dividends.

The terms of the preferred stock provide that upon a fundamental change, as defined, the holders of the preferred stock would have the right to require us to repurchase the preferred stock for 100% of the liquidation preference then in effect. Therefore, the preferred stock has been classified as mezzanine equity on our consolidated balance sheets as of January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2009, separate from permanent equity, because the occurrence of these fundamental changes, and thus potential redemption of the preferred stock, however remote in likelihood, is not solely under our control. Fundamental change events include the sale of substantially all of our assets, and certain changes in beneficial ownership, board of directors representation, and business reorganizations. In the event of a fundamental change, the conversion rate (as described in the section entitled Voting and Conversion, below) will be increased to provide for additional shares of common stock issuable to the holders of preferred stock, based on a sliding scale (depending on the acquisition price, as defined) ranging from none to 3.7 additional shares of common stock for every share of preferred stock converted into shares of common stock.

We have concluded that, as of January 31, 2010, there is no indication that the occurrence of a fundamental change and the associated redemption of the preferred stock were probable. We therefore have not adjusted the carrying amount of the preferred stock to its redemption amount, which is its liquidation preference, at January 31, 2010. Through January 31, 2010, cumulative, undeclared dividends on the preferred stock were \$32.9 million and as a result, the liquidation preference of the preferred stock was \$325.9 million at that date.

We determined that the variable dividend feature of the preferred stock, details of which are further described below, was not clearly and closely related to the characteristics of the preferred stock host contract and, therefore, was an embedded derivative financial instrument, subject to bifurcation from the preferred stock. This feature was determined to be an asset, and was assigned an initial fair value of \$0.9 million at the May 25, 2007 issue date of the preferred stock. Therefore, the preferred stock was assigned an initial fair value of \$293.9 million, and the \$0.9 million bifurcated derivative financial instrument was reflected within other assets. As of January 31, 2008, the fair value of the embedded derivative instrument had increased to \$8.1 million, driven by declining market interest rates which increased the likelihood that the dividend rate might be reduced. This \$7.2 million increase in fair value was reflected within other income (expense), net.

Table of Contents

The fair value of the embedded derivative financial instrument was based on the potential future savings implicit in paying dividends at a reduced rate of 3.875% instead of the original stated preferred dividend rate of 4.25%. On February 1, 2008, as described below, the preferred stock dividend rate was reset to 3.875% per annum and upon occurrence of this dividend rate reset, the embedded derivative has been settled in the form of reduced future dividend obligations. Accordingly, we reclassified the \$8.1 million fair value of the derivative asset at that date against the carrying value of the preferred stock as of February 1, 2008, reducing the carrying value of the preferred stock to \$285.5 million.

The holders of the preferred stock have various rights and preferences, as follows:

Dividends

Cash dividends on the preferred stock are cumulative and are calculated quarterly at a specified dividend rate on the liquidation preference in effect at such time. Dividends are paid only if declared by our board of directors. Initially, the specified annual dividend rate was 4.25% per share. However, beginning in the first quarter after the initial interest rate on our variable term loan was reduced by 50 basis points or more, the dividend rate was reset to 3.875% per annum and then fixed at that level. This variable dividend feature was accounted for as an embedded derivative financial instrument, as described above.

During the quarter ended January 31, 2008, the interest rate on our term loan was reduced by more than 50 basis points below the initial interest rate. Accordingly, the dividend rate on the preferred stock was reset to 3.875%, effective February 1, 2008. This rate is now only subject to future change in the event we are unable to obtain approval of the issuance of common shares underlying the preferred stock's conversion feature.

We are prohibited from paying cash dividends on the preferred stock under the terms of a covenant in our credit agreement. We may elect to make dividend payments in shares of our common stock. The common stock used for dividends, when and if declared, would be valued at 95% of the volume weighted-average price of our common stock for each of the five consecutive trading days ending on the second trading day immediately prior to the record date for the dividend.

The preferred stock does not participate in our earnings other than as described above.

Through January 31, 2010, no dividends had been declared or paid on the preferred stock.

Table of Contents***Voting and Conversion***

The preferred stock does not have voting or conversion rights until the underlying shares of common stock are approved for issuance by a vote of holders of a majority of our common stock. Following receipt of stockholder approval for the issuance of the underlying common shares, each share of preferred stock will be entitled to a number of votes equal to the number of shares of common stock into which the preferred stock would be convertible at the conversion rate (as defined below) in effect on the date the preferred stock was issued to Comverse. In addition, following receipt of stockholder approval for the issuance of the underlying common shares, each share of preferred stock will be convertible at the option of the holder into a number of shares of our common stock equal to the liquidation preference then in effect, divided by the conversion price then in effect, which was initially set at \$32.66. The conversion price is subject to periodic adjustment upon the occurrence of certain dilutive events. If it were convertible at January 31, 2010, the preferred stock could be converted into approximately 10.0 million shares of our common stock.

At any time on or after May 25, 2009, we have the right, provided approval of the issuance of the underlying shares of common stock has been obtained, to cause the preferred stock, in whole but not in part, to be automatically converted into common stock at the conversion price then in effect. However, we may exercise this right only if the closing sale price of our common stock immediately prior to conversion equals or exceeds the conversion price then in effect by: (a) 150%, if the conversion is on or after May 25, 2009 but prior to May 25, 2010, (b) 140%, if the conversion is on or after May 25, 2010 but prior to May 25, 2011, or (c) 135%, if the conversion is on or after May 25, 2011.

Transfer and Registration Rights

Comverse has had the right to sell the preferred stock since November 25, 2007 in either private or public transactions. Pursuant to a registration rights agreement we entered into concurrently with the Securities Purchase Agreement (*New Registration Rights Agreement*), commencing 180 days after we regain compliance with SEC reporting requirements, and provided that the underlying shares of our common stock have been approved for issuance by our common stockholders, Comverse will be entitled to two demands to require us to register the preferred stock and the shares of common stock underlying the preferred stock for resale under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the *Securities Act*).

The *New Registration Rights Agreement* also gives Comverse unlimited piggyback registration rights on certain *Securities Act* registrations filed by us on our own behalf or on behalf of other stockholders.

Comverse may transfer its rights under the *New Registration Rights Agreement* to any transferee of the registrable securities that is an affiliate of Comverse or any other subsequent transferee, provided that in each case such affiliate or transferee becomes a party to the *New Registration Rights Agreement*, agreeing to be bound by all of its terms and conditions.

Table of Contents

Comverse's rights under the New Registration Rights Agreement are in addition to its rights under a previous registration rights agreement we entered into with Comverse shortly before our initial public offering (IPO) in 2002. This registration rights agreement (Original Registration Rights Agreement) covers all shares of common stock then held by Comverse and any additional shares of common stock acquired by Comverse at a later date. Under the Original Registration Rights Agreement, Comverse is entitled to unlimited demand registrations of its shares on Form S-3. If we are not eligible to use Form S-3, Comverse is also entitled to one demand registration on Form S-1. Like the New Registration Rights Agreement, the Original Registration Rights Agreement also provides Comverse with unlimited piggyback registration rights. Comverse may transfer its rights under this agreement to an affiliate or other subsequent transferee, subject to the transferee agreeing to be bound by all of its terms and conditions.

9. Stockholders' Deficit

Dividends on Common Stock

We did not declare or pay any dividends on our common stock during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008. Commencing with our issuance of preferred stock, and our entry into term loan and revolving credit facilities in May 2007, we are subject to certain restrictions on declaring and paying dividends on our common stock.

Treasury Stock

Repurchased shares of common stock are recorded as treasury stock, at cost. At January 31, 2010, we held 103,000 shares of treasury stock with a cost of \$2.5 million, and at January 31, 2009, we held 88,000 shares of treasury stock with a cost of \$2.4 million.

Shares of restricted stock awards that are forfeited when recipients separate their employment prior to the lapsing of the award's restrictions are recorded as treasury stock.

Our board of directors has approved a program to repurchase shares of our common stock from our independent directors, and such other directors as may from time to time be designated by the board of directors upon vesting of restricted stock grants during our extended filing delay period, in order to provide funds to the recipient for the payment of associated income taxes. From time to time, our board of directors has also approved repurchases from executive officers for the same purpose when a vesting has occurred during a blackout period. These repurchases of common stock occur at prevailing market prices and are recorded as treasury stock.

Table of Contents***Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)***

In addition to net income (loss), accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) includes items such as foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains and losses on certain marketable securities, investments and derivative financial instruments designated as hedges. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is presented as a separate line item in the stockholders' deficit section of our consolidated balance sheets, the components of which are detailed in our consolidated statements of stockholders' equity (deficit). Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) items have no impact on our net income (loss) as presented in our consolidated statements of operations. The following table summarizes, as of each balance sheet date, the components of our accumulated other comprehensive loss. Income tax effects on unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale marketable securities and derivative financial instruments were insignificant.

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Foreign currency translation losses, net	\$ (43,245)	\$ (58,476)
Unrealized gains on derivative financial instruments	106	101
Unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale marketable securities	5	(29)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (43,134)	\$ (58,404)

Foreign currency translation losses, net, primarily reflect the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the British pound sterling since our acquisition of Witness in May 2007, which has resulted in lower U.S. dollar translated balances of British pound sterling denominated goodwill and intangible assets associated with the acquisition of Witness. Total other comprehensive income (loss) was \$32.4 million, \$(136.4) million, and \$(197.4) million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Total other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc. was \$30.9 million, \$(138.2) million, and \$(198.4) million, and total other comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest was \$1.5 million, \$1.8 million, and \$1.0 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Noncontrolling Interest

The noncontrolling interest presented in our consolidated financial statements reflects a 50% noncontrolling equity interest in a joint venture which functions as a systems integrator for Asian markets. On February 1, 2009, we adopted a newly issued accounting standard for noncontrolling interests that requires classification of noncontrolling interests as a component of stockholders' equity (deficit). The presentation and disclosure requirements of the new accounting standard are applied retrospectively for all periods presented, as required by the standard. Further details regarding the new disclosure requirements for noncontrolling interests appear in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

Table of Contents**10. Integration, Restructuring and Other, Net**

Integration, restructuring and other, net, is comprised of the following for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Restructuring expenses	\$ 141	\$ 5,685	\$ 3,308
Integration expenses		3,261	10,980
Other legal expenses (recoveries), net		(4,292)	8,708
Total integration, restructuring and other, net	\$ 141	\$ 4,654	\$ 22,996

Integration, restructuring and other, net are reported as unallocated items for segment reporting purposes, as more fully described in Note 17, Segment, Geographic, and Significant Customer Information .

Restructuring and Integration Costs

We continually review our business model and carefully manage our cost structure. When considered necessary, we have periodically implemented plans to reduce costs and better align our resources with market demand.

The following table summarizes our restructuring costs for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Restructuring activity:			
Global cost reduction plan	\$ 25	\$ 3,193	\$
Consulting business in Europe		1,370	
Acquisition of Witness	116	858	1,501
Video Intelligence segment		264	1,807
Total	\$ 141	\$ 5,685	\$ 3,308

Restructuring Costs Related to our Global Cost Reduction Plan

In the quarter ended January 31, 2009, we implemented a global cost reduction plan in order to reduce our operating costs in response to uncertainty in the global economic environment. These cost reduction initiatives included a restructuring plan which included the elimination of approximately 90 positions throughout all functional areas of our global workforce, reducing our utilization of outside contractors and consultants, and the closing of one leased facility. The associated restructuring charges consisted predominantly of severance and related employee payments resulting from terminations. We recorded the majority of these restructuring expenses with charges of \$3.2 million in the quarter ended January 31, 2009, including \$2.8 million for severance and related benefits and \$0.4 million for the exit from the leased facility and other costs.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity during the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 associated with the restructuring charges related to our global cost reduction plan.

		Severance and	Other	
		Related Costs	Costs	Total
(in thousands)				
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2008	\$	\$	\$
Expenses accrued		2,795	398	3,193
Payments and settlements		(2,264)	(398)	(2,662)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2009	531		531
Expenses accrued		25		25
Payments and settlements		(556)		(556)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2010	\$	\$	\$

Throughout the implementation and execution phase of this restructuring plan, the scope would periodically be reevaluated, resulting in revisions to the number of personnel impacted, and the amounts paid under the plan.

Restructuring Costs Related to our Consulting Services in Europe

In the quarter ended July 31, 2008, as a result of reduced demand for our consulting services in Europe, we implemented a cost reduction plan in this sector of our Workforce Optimization business. The plan resulted in the elimination of approximately 30 positions and was substantially completed by the end of October 2008. The associated restructuring charges consisted predominantly of severance and related employee payments resulting from terminations. We recorded these restructuring expenses with charges of \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million in the quarters ended July 31, 2008 and October 31, 2008, respectively.

The following table summarizes the activity during the year ended January 31, 2009 associated with the restructuring charges related to our consulting services in Europe.

		Severance and	Other	
		Related Costs	Costs	Total
(in thousands)				
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2008	\$	\$	\$
Expenses accrued		1,345	25	1,370
Payments and settlements		(1,345)	(25)	(1,370)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2009	\$	\$	\$

Table of Contents***Restructuring and Integration Costs Related to our Acquisition of Witness***

In conjunction with the acquisition of Witness in May 2007, as more fully described in Note 4, Business Combinations, we took several actions, primarily during the years ended January 31, 2008 and January 31, 2009, to reduce fixed costs, eliminate redundancies, strengthen operational focus, and better position us to respond to market pressures or unfavorable economic conditions. As a result, we incurred restructuring and integration charges from acquiring Witness and integrating Witness into our Workforce Optimization segment. Following the acquisition of Witness in May 2007, we immediately formulated and approved a plan to integrate the Witness business with our existing Workforce Optimization segment in all regions. We implemented certain staff reductions, and streamlined and improved operations and processes necessary to restructure, integrate, and combine the Witness and Verint businesses, primarily in the following operational areas and functions: (a) products - integrate products and platforms marketed to clients; (b) sales, marketing, and services - centralize and train sales and field marketing personnel, create a dedicated channel and OEM sales group, leverage and increase the combined business services helpdesk expertise, and transition to a single global services organization; and (c) general and administrative - transition finance, human resources, and legal support to our facilities in New York and Georgia, and combine information technology and communications organizations, processes, and systems.

The following table summarizes the activity during the three years ended January 31, 2010 associated with the restructuring charges related to the acquisition of Witness.

(in thousands)		Total
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2007	\$
Expenses accrued		1,501
Payments and settlements		(1,081)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2008	420
Expenses accrued		858
Payments and settlements		(1,278)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2009	
Expenses accrued		116
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2010	\$ 116

Restructuring expenses associated with the acquisition of Witness consisted of severance and related costs recorded during the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008 for global workforce reductions of Verint personnel, primarily as a result of redundancies, in sales and marketing, research and development, and administration and support. Throughout the implementation and execution phase of this restructuring plan, the scope would periodically be reevaluated, resulting in revisions to the number of personnel impacted, and the amounts paid under the plan. The \$0.1 million of remaining obligations under this plan as of January 31, 2010 are included within accrued expenses and other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of January 31, 2010, and are expected to be settled during the year ended January 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

In addition to the aforementioned restructuring charges, we also incurred integration costs of \$3.2 million and \$11.0 million during the years ended January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2008, respectively, resulting from the Witness acquisition and the subsequent integration of the Witness and Verint businesses. These costs included \$5.6 million of legal, accounting, consulting, and other professional fees, \$2.4 million of travel and related costs associated with the integration efforts, \$4.2 million of marketing, systems integration and other costs, and \$2.0 million of incremental compensation and personnel costs, primarily for employees temporarily retained following the acquisition solely to assist in integration and knowledge transfer activities. These personnel had no other significant day-to-day responsibilities outside of the integration effort and were generally retained for periods no longer than twelve months. Professional fees primarily relate to legal, accounting, and consulting advice associated with efforts to optimize the legal and tax structure of our global entities, since both Witness and Verint conduct operations in common locations. The process of integrating the Witness and Verint businesses was substantially complete as of January 31, 2009.

Restructuring Costs Related to our Video Intelligence Segment

During the year ended January 31, 2008, we established and approved a plan to perform a comprehensive assessment of our Video Intelligence business operations, predominantly in our North American and Hong Kong locations. As a result, we implemented certain restructuring initiatives and activities intended to reduce our overall cost structure, improve operations by building areas of more centralized expertise, adjust our organization structure to improve scalability, and enhance our competitive position.

In the years ended January 31, 2009 and 2008, we recorded \$0.3 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, of restructuring costs under this plan, arising from the elimination of certain positions in finance, customer service, sales and marketing, and research and development and, in certain instances, migrating certain positions to lower cost markets, areas of more concentrated expertise, or to corporate locations. Certain staff changes resulted from combining our call centers and customer support sites in Colorado, and better aligning and leveraging our worldwide research and development activities in Hong Kong. Throughout the execution of this restructuring plan, the scope would periodically be reevaluated, resulting in revisions to the number of personnel impacted, and the amounts paid under the plan.

These restructuring costs included \$1.8 million of severance and related costs and \$0.3 million of consulting and temporary personnel costs.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the activity for the three years ended January 31, 2010 related to our Video Intelligence segment restructuring:

(in thousands)		Severance and Related Costs	Consulting and Temporary Staff	Total
		\$	\$	\$
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2007			
Expenses accrued		1,513	294	1,807
Payments and settlements		(597)	(294)	(891)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2008	916		916
Expenses accrued		240	24	264
Payments and settlements		(1,146)	(24)	(1,170)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2009	10		10
Payments and settlements		(10)		(10)
Accrued restructuring costs	January 31, 2010	\$	\$	\$

The activity under this plan was substantially complete by October 31, 2008.

Costs associated with our restructuring activities have been recognized when they were incurred, rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. Such costs were exclusive of certain costs directly associated with the acquisition of Witness, which were recorded as part of the purchase price. We continually evaluate the adequacy of liabilities accrued under these restructuring initiatives. Although we believe that these estimates accurately reflect the remaining costs of our restructuring plans, actual results may differ, which may require us to record adjustments to the liabilities.

Other Legal Costs

During the year ended January 31, 2008, we incurred \$8.7 million of legal fees related to an ongoing patent infringement litigation matter, which we are reporting within integration, restructuring and other, net. This litigation was subsequently settled in our favor during the year ended January 31, 2009. The \$9.7 million settlement amount received was partially offset by \$5.4 million of related legal fees incurred during the year ended January 31, 2009, resulting in a net recovery of \$4.3 million. No legal fees were incurred during the year ended January 31, 2010 for this matter.

11. Research and Development, Net

Our gross research and development expenses for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, were approximately \$86.7 million, \$91.3 million, and \$91.4 million, respectively. OCS grants amounted to approximately \$2.1 million, \$2.2 million, and \$2.5 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, which were recorded as a reduction of gross research and development expenses. We recorded other reimbursements of research and development expenses amounting to approximately \$0.8 million, \$0.8 million, and \$1.2 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

We capitalize certain costs incurred to develop our commercial software products, and we then recognize those costs within product cost of revenue as the products are sold. Activity for our capitalized software development costs for the three years ended January 31, 2010 was as follows:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Capitalized software development costs, net, beginning of year	\$ 10,489	\$ 10,272	\$ 9,762
Software development costs capitalized during the year	2,715	4,547	4,624
Amortization of capitalized software development costs	(4,717)	(4,135)	(3,268)
Foreign currency translation and other	43	(195)	(846)
Capitalized software development costs, net, end of year	\$ 8,530	\$ 10,489	\$ 10,272

The adjustment of \$0.8 million in the year ended January 31, 2008 primarily reflects a charge recorded to recognize the impairment of certain capitalized software development costs determined to be redundant as a result of the May 2007 acquisition of Witness.

12. Income Taxes

The components of income (loss) before income taxes are as follows:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Domestic	\$ (47,139)	\$ (68,109)	\$ (116,844)
Foreign	71,347	9,203	(52,972)
Total income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 24,208	\$ (58,906)	\$ (169,816)

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Current income tax provision (benefit):			
Federal	\$ (835)	\$ (11,266)	\$ 847
State	415	(755)	398
Foreign	7,590	13,924	6,492
Total current income tax provision	7,170	1,903	7,737
Deferred income tax provision (benefit):			
Federal	500	11,805	26,056
State	777	1,088	1,748
Foreign	(1,339)	4,875	(7,812)
Total deferred income tax provision (benefit)	(62)	17,768	19,992
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 7,108	\$ 19,671	\$ 27,729

Table of Contents

The reconciliation of the U.S. federal statutory rate to our effective tax rate on income (loss) before income taxes is as follows:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
U.S. federal statutory income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Income tax provision (benefit) at the U.S. statutory rate	\$ 8,471	\$ (20,618)	\$ (59,436)
State tax provision (benefit)	756	(5,086)	(5,747)
Foreign taxes at rates different from U.S. federal statutory rate	(16,929)	(5,887)	7,305
Valuation allowance	7,737	30,233	73,404
Foreign exchange	(1,702)	2,920	(860)
Stock-based and other compensation	3,262	2,808	2,831
Non-deductible expenses	882	745	1,063
Tax credits	(2,019)	(221)	(2,260)
Tax contingencies	1,102	(997)	5,495
Impairment of goodwill and intangible assets		9,127	4,716
Fair value of derivatives			(2,837)
In-process research and development			2,253
Change in tax rates	1,227	3,873	751
U.S. tax effects of foreign operations	4,750	3,394	711
Other, net	(429)	(620)	340
Total provision for income taxes	\$ 7,108	\$ 19,671	\$ 27,729
Effective income tax rate	29.4%	-33.4%	-16.3%

Our operations in Israel have been granted Approved Enterprise status by the Investment Center of the Israeli Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor, which makes us eligible for tax benefits under the Israeli Law for Encouragement of Capital Investments, 1959. Under the terms of the program, income attributable to an approved enterprise is exempt from income tax for a period of two years and is subject to a reduced income tax rate for the subsequent five to eight years (generally 10-25%, depending on the percentage of foreign investment in the Company). These tax incentives decreased our effective tax rates by 40.3%, 8.4%, and 1.4% for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

Deferred tax assets and liabilities consist of the following:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Accrued expenses	\$ 4,891	\$ 5,943
Allowance for doubtful accounts	672	1,438
Deferred revenue	42,511	56,707
Inventory	757	2,701
Depreciation of property and equipment	3,498	2,807
Loss carryforwards	92,336	81,859
Tax credits	7,164	11,105
Stock-based and other compensation	30,182	19,465
Capitalized research and development expenses	4,712	2,433
Fair value of derivatives	9,720	13,184
Other long-term liabilities	2,157	2,323
Other, net	605	2,234
Total deferred tax assets	199,205	202,199
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Deferred cost of revenue	(10,106)	(12,612)
Prepaid expenses	(1,025)	(1,401)
Goodwill and other intangible assets	(56,809)	(64,404)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(67,940)	(78,417)
Valuation allowance	(124,568)	(116,817)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 6,697	\$ 6,965
Recorded as:		
Current deferred tax assets	\$ 21,140	\$ 14,314
Long-term deferred tax assets	7,469	6,478
Current deferred tax liabilities	(487)	(403)
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	(21,425)	(13,424)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 6,697	\$ 6,965

At January 31, 2010 and 2009, we had U.S. federal NOLs of approximately \$252.8 million and \$230.8 million, respectively. These losses expire in various years ending from January 31, 2016 to 2030. We had state NOLs of approximately \$169.2 million and \$150.2 million in the same respective years, expiring in years ending from January 31, 2011 to 2030. We had foreign NOLs of approximately \$29.6 million and \$24.0 million in the same respective years. At January 31, 2010, all but \$4.3 million of these foreign loss carryforwards have indefinite carryforward periods. Certain of these federal, state, and foreign loss carryforwards and credits are subject to Internal Revenue Code Section 382 or similar provisions, which impose limitations on their utilization following certain changes in ownership of the entity generating the loss carryforward. The NOLs for tax return purposes are different from the NOLs for financial statement purposes, primarily due to the reduction of NOLs for financial statement

purposes under the authoritative guidance on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. We have U.S. federal, state and foreign tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$7.7 million and \$9.6 million at January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, the utilization of which is subject to limitation. At January 31, 2010, approximately \$1.5 million of these tax credit carryforwards may be carried forward indefinitely. The balance of \$6.2 million expires in various years ending from January 31, 2011 to 2030.

Table of Contents

We provide income and withholding taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries unless they are indefinitely reinvested. Cumulatively, indefinitely reinvested foreign earnings total approximately \$98.1 million at January 31, 2010. If these earnings were repatriated in the future, additional income and withholding tax expense would be incurred. Due to complexities in the laws of the foreign jurisdictions and the assumptions that would have to be made, it is not practicable to estimate the total amount of income taxes that would have to be provided on such earnings.

As required by the authoritative guidance on accounting for income taxes, we evaluate the realizability of deferred tax assets on a jurisdictional basis at each reporting date. Accounting for income taxes requires that a valuation allowance be established when it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. In circumstances where there is sufficient negative evidence indicating that the deferred tax assets are not more-likely-than-not realizable, we establish a valuation allowance. We have recorded valuation allowances in the amounts of \$124.6 million and \$116.8 million at January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The \$7.8 million increase in the valuation allowance between January 31, 2009 and January 31, 2010 arose primarily as a result of an overall increase in net deferred tax assets, primarily related to NOLs in jurisdictions where we maintain a valuation allowance.

The recorded valuation allowance consists of the following:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,	
	2010	2009
Valuation allowance, beginning of year	\$ (116,817)	\$ (89,060)
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(7,737)	(30,233)
Additional paid in capital	1,264	786
Cumulative translation adjustment	(1,278)	1,690
Valuation allowance, end of year	\$ (124,568)	\$ (116,817)

In accordance with the authoritative guidance for accounting for stock-based compensation, we use a with-and-without approach to applying the intra-period allocation rules in accordance with accounting for income taxes. Under this approach, the windfall tax benefit is calculated based on the incremental tax benefit received from deductions related to stock-based compensation. The amount is measured by calculating the tax benefit both with and without the excess tax deduction; the resulting difference between the two calculations is considered the windfall. We did not recognize a windfall benefit in our U.S. income tax provision for the years ended January 31, 2010, January 31, 2009, and January 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

On February 1, 2007, we implemented the provisions of the authoritative guidance on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes. The guidance contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to determine whether any amount of tax benefit may be recognized by evaluating tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return and assessing whether, based solely on their technical merits, they are more-likely-than-not sustainable upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation process. The second step is to measure the amount of associated tax benefit that may be recorded for each position as the largest amount that we believe is more-likely-than-not sustainable. Differences between the amount of tax benefits taken or expected to be taken in our income tax returns and the amount of tax benefits recognized in our financial statements, determined by applying the prescribed methodologies of accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, represent our unrecognized income tax benefits, which we either record as a liability or as a reduction of deferred tax assets.

For the years ended January 31, 2010, January 31, 2009, and January 31, 2008, the aggregate changes in the balance of gross unrecognized tax benefits were as follows:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Gross unrecognized tax benefits, beginning of year	\$ 35,172	\$ 46,903	\$ 27,073
Increases as a result of acquisitions			13,619
Increases related to tax positions taken during the current year	2,715	6,355	5,755
Increases (decreases) related to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations	1,545	(2,011)	1,039
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(152)	(14,912)	
Reduction for settlements with taxing authorities	(508)	(125)	
Lapses of statutes of limitation	(1,277)	(1,038)	(583)
Gross unrecognized tax benefits, end of year	\$ 37,495	\$ 35,172	\$ 46,903

As of January 31, 2010, we had \$37.5 million of unrecognized tax benefits, of which \$32.6 million represents the amount that, if recognized, would impact the effective income tax rate in future periods. We recorded \$0.3 million, \$0.1 million, and \$1.6 million of interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in our provision for income taxes for the years ended January 31, 2010, January 31, 2009, and January 31, 2008, respectively. The accrued liability for interest and penalties was \$7.2 million, \$6.6 million, and \$6.4 million at January 31, 2010, January 31, 2009, and January 31, 2008, respectively. Interest and penalties are recorded as a component of the provision for income taxes in the financial statements.

Our income tax returns are subject to ongoing tax examinations in several jurisdictions in which we operate. In the United States, we are no longer subject to federal income tax examination for years prior to January 31, 2007. We are currently in discussions with the Israeli tax authorities regarding adjustments that will be made to income tax returns for the years ended January 31, 2004 through January 31, 2010 due to our restated results of operations. As of January 31, 2010, income tax returns are under examination in the following major tax jurisdictions:

Jurisdiction	Tax Years
Canada	January 31, 2004 – January 31, 2008
United Kingdom	December 31, 2005
Hong Kong	March 31, 2003 – March 31, 2005, January 31, 2006 – January 31, 2007

Table of Contents

We regularly assess the adequacy of our provisions for income tax contingencies. As a result, we may adjust the reserves for unrecognized tax benefits for the impact of new facts and developments, such as changes to interpretations of relevant tax law, assessments from taxing authorities, settlements with taxing authorities, and lapses of statutes of expiration. We believe that it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits at January 31, 2010 could decrease by approximately \$1.4 million in the next twelve months as a result of settlement of certain tax audits or lapses of statutes of limitation. Such decreases may involve the payment of additional taxes, the adjustment of certain deferred taxes including the need for additional valuation allowances and the recognition of tax benefits. We also believe that it is reasonably possible that new issues may be raised by tax authorities or developments in tax audits may occur which would require increases or decreases to the balance of reserves for unrecognized tax benefits; however, an estimate of such changes cannot reasonably be made.

In December 2007, the FASB issued revised guidance on accounting for business combinations. We adopted the provisions of this guidance effective February 1, 2009. Subsequent to adoption, adjustments related to valuation allowances or reserves for uncertain tax positions that were established in connection with prior acquisitions will impact earnings, rather than goodwill.

13. Fair Value Measurements and Derivative Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, we consider the principal or most advantageous market in which we would transact and consider assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance.

Accounting guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. An instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. This fair value hierarchy consists of three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

Level 2: inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities; or

Level 3: unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity.

Table of Contents**Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

Our assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31, 2010 Using Input Types		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Money market funds	\$ 82,593	\$	\$
Foreign currency forward contracts		140	
Total assets	\$ 82,593	\$ 140	\$
Liabilities:			
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$	\$ 636	\$
Interest rate swap agreement		29,812	
Total liabilities	\$	\$ 30,448	\$

(in thousands)	January 31, 2009 Using Input Types		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:			
Money market funds	\$ 34,292	\$	\$
Foreign currency forward contracts		146	
Total assets	\$ 34,292	\$ 146	\$
Liabilities:			
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$	\$ 2,000	\$
Interest rate swap agreement		33,114	
Total liabilities	\$	\$ 35,114	\$

Fair Value Measurements

Money Market Funds We value our money market funds using quoted market prices for such funds.

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts The estimated fair value of foreign currency forward contracts is based on quotes received from the counter-party. These quotes are reviewed for reasonableness by discounting the future estimated cash flows under the contracts, considering the terms and maturities of the contracts and market exchange rates.

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Swap Agreement The fair value of our interest rate swap agreement is based in part on data received from a third party financial institution. These fair values represent the estimated amount we would receive or pay to settle the swap agreement, taking into consideration current and projected interest rates as well as the creditworthiness of the parties.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Under our risk management strategy, we periodically use derivative instruments to manage our short-term exposures to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. We utilize foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge certain operational cash flow exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. These cash flow exposures result from portions of our forecasted operating expenses, primarily compensation and related expenses, which are transacted in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar, primarily the Israeli Shekel and the Canadian Dollar. Our joint venture, which has a Singapore Dollar functional currency, also utilizes foreign exchange forward contracts to manage its exposure to exchange rate fluctuations related to settlement of liabilities denominated in U.S. Dollars. These foreign currency forward contracts are reported at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets and have maturities of no longer than twelve months. We enter into these foreign currency forward contracts in the normal course of business to mitigate risks and not for speculative purposes.

The counterparties to our derivative financial instruments consist of several major international financial institutions. We regularly monitor the financial strength of these institutions. While the counterparties to these contracts expose us to credit-related losses in the event of a counterparty's non-performance, the risk would be limited to the unrealized gains on such affected contracts. We do not anticipate any such losses.

Certain of these foreign currency forward contracts are not designated as hedging instruments under derivative accounting guidance, and gains and losses from changes in their fair values are therefore reported in other income (expense), net. Changes in the fair value of foreign currency forward contracts that are designated and effective as cash flow hedges are recorded net of related tax effects in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), and are reclassified to the statement of operations when the effects of the item being hedged are recognized in the statement of operations.

The total notional amounts for outstanding derivatives (recorded at fair value) as of January 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$ 50,437	\$ 35,900
Interest rate swap agreement	450,000	450,000
	\$ 500,437	\$ 485,900

Table of Contents***Fair Values of Derivative Instruments***

The fair values of our derivative instruments as of January 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

(in thousands)	January 31, 2010			
	Assets			Liabilities
	Balance Sheet	Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Fair Value
	Classification		Classification	
Derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 140	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 38
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 140		\$ 38
Derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign currency forward contracts		\$	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 598
Interest rate swap – current portion			Accrued expenses and other liabilities	20,988
Interest rate swap – long-term portion			Other liabilities	8,824
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$		\$ 30,410

(in thousands)	January 31, 2009			
	Assets			Liabilities
	Balance Sheet	Fair Value	Balance Sheet	Fair Value
	Classification		Classification	
Derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign currency forward contracts	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$ 146		\$
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$ 146		\$
Derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments				
Foreign currency forward contracts		\$	Accrued expenses and other liabilities	\$ 2,000
Interest rate swap – current portion			Accrued expenses and other liabilities	14,851

Interest rate swap long-term portion		Other liabilities	18,263
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments	\$		\$ 35,114

F-54

Table of Contents

The effects of derivative instruments in cash flow hedging relationships for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Gains Recognized in		Classification of Gains Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) into the Statements of Operations	Gains Reclassified from Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) into the Statements of Operations Year Ended January 31,	
	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income			2010	2009
	(Loss)	January 31,			
Foreign currency forward contracts	\$ 106	\$ 101	Operating Expenses	\$ 3,042	\$

There were no gains or losses from ineffectiveness of these hedges recorded for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009.

Gains (losses) recognized on derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments in our consolidated statements of operations for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Classification in Statement of Operations	Year Ended January 31,		
		2010	2009	2008
Interest rate swap agreement	Other expense, net	\$ (13,591)	\$ (11,490)	\$ (29,226)
Foreign currency forward contracts	Other expense, net	(1,118)	(3,101)	(307)
Embedded derivative	Other expense, net			7,266
Total		\$ (14,709)	\$ (14,591)	\$ (22,267)

Interest Rate Swap Agreement

The interest rates applicable to borrowings under our credit facilities are variable, and we are exposed to risk from changes in the underlying index interest rates, which affect our cost of borrowing. To partially mitigate this risk, and in part because we were required to do so by the lenders, when we entered into our credit facilities in May 2007, we executed a pay-fixed, receive-variable interest rate swap with a high credit-quality multinational financial institution under which we pay fixed interest at 5.18% and receive variable interest of three-month LIBOR on a notional amount of \$450.0 million. This instrument is settled with the counterparty on a quarterly basis, and matures on May 1, 2011. As of January 31, 2010, of the \$605.9 million of borrowings which were outstanding under the term loan facility, the interest rate on \$450.0 million of such borrowings was substantially fixed by utilization of this interest rate swap. Interest on the remaining \$155.9 million of borrowings was variable.

The net losses recorded on our interest rate swap agreement reflect the decline in market interest rates that occurred during the second half of the year ended January 31, 2008 and have generally persisted through January 31, 2010.

Table of Contents***Embedded Derivative Preferred Stock***

As discussed in more detail within Note 8, Convertible Preferred Stock, we determined that the variable dividend feature of our preferred stock qualified for accounting as an embedded derivative financial instrument, subject to bifurcation from the preferred stock host contract. For the year ended January 31, 2008, the embedded derivative financial instrument was valued using a Monte Carlo simulation model. A Monte Carlo simulation model calculates a probabilistic approximation to the solution of a problem containing multiple variables using repeated statistical random sampling techniques. This feature was determined to be an asset because the variable rate feature potentially provided for a lower dividend rate than the initial preferred stock dividend rate, and was assigned an initial fair value of \$0.9 million at the May 25, 2007 issue date of the preferred stock. Subsequent changes in the fair value of the derivative financial instrument through January 31, 2008 are reflected within other income (expense), net. As of January 31, 2008, the fair value of the embedded derivative instrument had increased to \$8.1 million. This \$7.2 million increase in fair value was reflected within other income (expense), net for the year ended January 31, 2008.

On February 1, 2008, the preferred stock dividend rate was reset to 3.875% per annum and upon occurrence of this dividend rate reset, the embedded derivative has been settled in the form of reduced future dividend obligations. Accordingly, we reclassified the \$8.1 million fair value of the derivative asset at that date against the carrying value of the preferred stock as of February 1, 2008, reducing the carrying value of the preferred stock to \$285.5 million.

Other Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their short maturities.

As of January 31, 2010, the estimated fair values of our term loan facility and revolving credit facility outstanding were \$572.6 million and \$15.0 million, respectively. As of January 31, 2009, the estimated fair values of our term loan and revolving credit borrowings outstanding were \$359.9 million and \$15.0 million, respectively. The estimated fair value of the term loan is based upon the estimated bid and ask prices as determined by the agent responsible for the syndication of our term loan. The fair value of the revolving credit facility is assumed to equal the principal amount outstanding for both January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2009.

Assets and Liabilities Not Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

In addition to assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we also measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Our non-financial assets, including goodwill, intangible assets and property, plant and equipment, are measured at fair value when there is an indication of impairment and the carrying amount exceeds the asset's projected undiscounted cash flows. These assets are recorded at fair value only when an impairment charge is recognized. Further details regarding our regular impairment reviews appear in Note 1,

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies .

Table of Contents

14. Employee Benefit Plans

401(k) Plan and Other Retirement Plans

We maintain a 401(k) Plan and similar type plans for our full-time employees in the United States and certain non-U.S. employees of our foreign subsidiaries. The plan in the United States allows eligible employees who attain the age of 21 with three months of service to elect to contribute up to 60% of their annual compensation, subject to the prescribed maximum amount. We match employee contributions at a rate of 50%, up to a maximum annual matched contribution of \$2,000 per employee. Employee contributions are always fully vested, while our matching contributions for each year vest on the last day of the calendar year provided the employee remains employed with us on that day.

The plans in foreign subsidiaries are similar to a 401(k) plan, and provide benefits consistent with customary local practices.

During the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, our contributions to our worldwide retirement plans amounted to approximately \$5.1 million, \$4.8 million, and \$4.0 million, respectively.

Cash Bonus Retention Program

On February 1, 2007, our board of directors initiated a special retention program for certain of our employees, other than executive officers and directors. The program provided for bonuses to be earned on July 31, 2007 and January 31, 2008. The amount recognized as compensation expense during the year ended January 31, 2008 totaled \$15.0 million.

Liability for Severance Pay

We are obligated to make severance payments for the benefit of certain employees of our foreign subsidiaries. Severance payments made to Israeli employees are considered significant compared to all other subsidiaries with severance payments. Under Israeli law, we are obligated to make severance payments to employees of our Israeli subsidiaries, subject to certain conditions. In most cases, our liability for these severance payments is fully provided for by regular deposits to funds administered by insurance providers and by an accrual for the amount of our liability which has not yet been deposited.

Severance expenses for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, were \$3.4 million, \$3.5 million, and \$2.9 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Stock-Based Compensation and Purchase Plans

Plan Summaries

Our stock-based incentive awards are provided to employees under the terms of our multiple outstanding stock benefit plans (the Plans or Stock Plans) or forms of equity award agreements approved by the board of directors. The 1996 Stock Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended (the 1996 Plan), was approved by our stockholders and became effective on September 10, 1996. The number of shares reserved under the 1996 Plan may from time to time be reduced to the extent that a corresponding number of issued and outstanding shares of the common stock are purchased by us and set aside for issuance pursuant to awards. The 1996 Plan allows for the granting of awards of deferred stock, restricted stock awards (RSAs) and restricted stock units (RSUs), incentive and non-qualified stock options, and stock appreciation rights to our employees, directors, and consultants. If any award expires or terminates for any reason without having been exercised in full, the outstanding shares subject thereto shall again be available for the purposes of the 1996 Plan. The 1996 Plan will terminate on March 10, 2012 or at such earlier time as the board of directors may determine. Awards may be granted under the 1996 Plan at any time and from time to time prior to its termination. Any awards outstanding under the 1996 Plan at the time of the termination of the 1996 Plan shall remain in effect until such awards shall have been exercised or shall have expired in accordance with their terms.

On May 25, 2007, in connection with the acquisition of Witness, we assumed a stock plan referred to as the Witness Systems, Inc. Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (the 1997 Plan). Under the 1997 Plan, we were permitted to grant awards of deferred stock, RSAs, and RSUs, incentive and non-qualified stock options, and stock appreciation rights to our employees, directors, and consultants. The 1997 Plan contains an evergreen provision, which allows for an increase in the number of shares available for issuance, up to a maximum of 3.0 million shares per year. The deadline for making new awards under the 1997 Plan was November 18, 2009. Additionally, in connection with the acquisition, we assumed certain new-hire inducement grants made by Witness outside of its shareholder-approved equity plans prior to May 25, 2007.

Our stockholders approved the 2004 Stock Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2004 Plan) on July 27, 2004. Under the 2004 Plan, we are permitted to grant awards of deferred stock, RSAs and RSUs, incentive and non-qualified stock options, and stock appreciation rights to our employees, directors, and consultants. To the extent not used under the 1996 Plan, the shares available pursuant to the 2004 Plan may be increased by a maximum of 1.0 million shares for awards granted under the 1996 Plan that are forfeited, expire, or are cancelled on or after July 28, 2004. The 2004 Plan will remain in full force and effect until the earlier of July 27, 2014 or the date it is terminated by our board of directors. Termination of the 2004 Plan shall not affect awards outstanding under the 2004 Plan at the time of termination.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes key information for the Plans as of January 31, 2010:

(in thousands)	Number of Shares Reserved for Grant	Number of Shares Outstanding	Number of Shares Available for Grant
The 1996 Plan	5,000	1,867	188
The 1997 Plan	6,400	2,587	
The 1997 Blue Pumpkin inducement grants	158		
The 2004 Plan	3,000	2,372	288
Total	14,558	6,826	476

We have granted restricted stock units for approximately 1.3 million shares to our employees outside of our shareholder approved equity plans due to capacity restraints under our existing approved plans. All grants issued outside of our existing shareholder approved plans have included certain performance conditions which require us having sufficient available capacity under one or more shareholder approved equity plans (either currently existing or adopted in the future) to vest.

Awards are generally subject to multi-year vesting periods and generally expire 10 years or less after the date of grant. Awards granted under award agreements contain vesting conditions which require available share capacity under the plans or a new stockholder approved plan for the awards to vest. We recognize compensation expense for awards on a straight-line basis over the life of the vesting period, reduced by estimated forfeitures. Upon exercise of stock options, issuance of restricted stock, or issuance of shares under the Plans, we will issue authorized but unissued common stock unless treasury shares are available.

As described in Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*, we recognize compensation expense based on the grant date fair value of stock based awards granted to employees and others. Accordingly, we recognized stock-based compensation expense of \$44.2 million, \$36.0 million, and \$31.0 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized for stock-based compensation arrangements was \$11.7 million, \$9.0 million, and \$7.8 million, for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. We capitalized stock-based compensation cost of \$4.7 million for the fair value of the vested portion of options issued in connection with the acquisition of Witness on May 25, 2007, and included as part of the net assets (goodwill) of Witness.

Table of Contents

We recognized stock-based compensation expense in the following line items on the consolidated statement of operations for the years indicated:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Component of income (loss) before provision for income taxes:			
Cost of revenue product	\$ 1,302	\$ 540	\$ 223
Cost of revenue service and support	4,543	4,886	4,329
Research and development, net	7,960	6,813	4,831
Selling, general and administrative	30,422	23,751	21,665
Stock-based compensation expense	44,227	35,990	31,048
Income tax benefits related to stock-based compensation (before consideration of valuation allowance)	11,716	9,027	7,750
Stock-based compensation, net of taxes	\$ 32,511	\$ 26,963	\$ 23,298
Impact on net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc:			
Basic	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.72
Diluted	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.83	\$ 0.72

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Component of stock-based compensation expense:			
Verint stock options	\$ 7,332	\$ 15,977	\$ 22,011
Verint restricted stock awards and restricted stock units	23,917	15,948	9,229
Comverse stock options		15	(487)
Verint phantom stock units	12,978	4,050	295
Stock-based compensation expense	\$ 44,227	\$ 35,990	\$ 31,048

The table above includes stock-based compensation amounts where we modified certain option awards to revise exercising terms for certain terminated employees and recognized incremental compensation expense of \$0.2 million, \$0.7 million, and \$1.7 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Participants in the Plans are currently restricted from exercising options due to our inability to use our Registration Statement on Form S-8 during our extended filing delay period. As such, we modified grants held by terminated employees by extending the time a terminated employee would normally have to exercise vested stock option awards. The number of employees affected under such modifications was 54, 74, and 103 for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

Excess tax benefits were not recognized for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 as we incurred taxable losses. The excess tax benefits represent the reduction in income taxes otherwise payable during the period, attributable to the actual gross tax benefits in excess of the expected tax benefits.

Table of Contents*Stock Options*

When stock options are awarded, the fair value of the options is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Expected volatility and the expected term are the input factors to that model that require the most significant management judgment. Expected volatility is estimated utilizing daily historical volatility over a period that equates to the expected life of the option. The expected life (estimated period of time outstanding) is estimated using the historical exercise behavior of employees. The risk-free interest rate is the implied daily yield currently available on U.S. Treasury issues with a remaining term closely approximating the expected term used as the input to the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

We have not granted stock options subsequent to January 31, 2006. However, in connection with our acquisition of Witness on May 25, 2007, options to purchase Witness common stock were converted into options to purchase approximately 3.1 million shares of our common stock. The fair value of the option grants was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the weighted-average assumptions presented in the following table:

	As of May 25, 2007
Expected life (in years)	2.62
Risk-free interest rate	4.88%
Expected volatility	40.50%
Dividend yield	0%

Based on the above assumptions, the weighted-average fair value of the stock options on the date of acquisition was \$15.02.

See Note 4, Business Combinations, for additional information concerning the acquisition of Witness.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Plans for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

	For the Years Ended January 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
(in thousands, except exercise prices)	Stock Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Stock Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Stock Options	Weighted- Average Exercise Price
Beginning balance	5,225	\$ 22.36	5,735	\$ 21.77	3,003	\$ 23.56
Assumed in acquisition (1)		\$		\$	3,065	\$ 20.24
Exercised		\$		\$		\$
Forfeited	(30)	\$ 21.69	(296)	\$ 22.40	(326)	\$ 24.16
Expired	(464)	\$ 14.23	(214)	\$ 5.94	(7)	\$ 8.56
Ending balance	4,731	\$ 23.16	5,225	\$ 22.36	5,735	\$ 21.77
Options exercisable	4,499	\$ 23.24	4,461	\$ 22.42	3,663	\$ 21.17

(1) On May 25, 2007, 3.3 million non-vested stock options of Witness were converted to 3.1 million options for our stock using the purchase conversion ratio of .9335 shares of Verint common stock for every 1.0 share of Witness stock.

As of January 31, 2010, the aggregate intrinsic value for the options vested and exercisable was \$4.7 million with a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 2.19 years. Additionally, there were 4.7 million options vested and expected to vest with a weighted-average exercise price of \$23.16 and an aggregate intrinsic value of \$4.7 million with a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 2.15 years.

The unrecognized compensation expense calculated under the fair value method for options expected to vest (unvested shares net of expected forfeitures) as of January 31, 2010 was approximately \$3.1 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.84 years.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information about stock options as of January 31, 2010:

(in thousands, except exercise prices)	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Number of Options Outstanding	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Number of Options Exercisable	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	
Range of Exercise Prices						
\$4.46 - \$16.00	580	1.29	\$ 11.36	580	\$ 11.36	
\$17.00 - \$18.00	800	1.63	\$ 17.47	760	\$ 17.45	
\$18.62 - \$19.83	480	1.47	\$ 18.90	414	\$ 18.92	
\$20.04 - \$21.75	577	0.75	\$ 21.20	571	\$ 21.20	
\$22.11 - \$23.00	437	2.69	\$ 22.85	437	\$ 22.85	
\$23.95 - \$23.95	489	1.66	\$ 23.95	390	\$ 23.95	
\$25.01 - \$32.16	313	2.64	\$ 28.83	292	\$ 28.84	
\$34.40 - \$34.40	147	5.57	\$ 34.40	147	\$ 34.40	
\$35.11 - \$35.11	884	3.64	\$ 35.11	884	\$ 35.11	
\$37.99 - \$37.99	24	5.64	\$ 37.99	24	\$ 37.99	
\$4.46 - \$37.99	4,731	2.15	\$ 23.16	4,499	\$ 23.24	

The following table summarizes key data points for exercised options:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
The intrinsic value of options exercised	\$	\$	\$
Cash received from the exercise of stock options	\$	\$	\$
The tax benefit realized from stock options exercised	\$	\$	\$
The fair value of options vested	\$ 69,575	\$ 68,250	\$ 52,661

Restricted Stock Awards and Restricted Stock Units

Stock awards are granted in the form of RSAs and RSUs. The principal difference between these instruments is that RSUs are not shares of our common stock and do not have any of the rights or privileges thereof, including voting or dividend rights. On the applicable vesting date, the holder of an RSU becomes entitled to a share of our common stock. Both RSAs and RSUs are subject to certain restrictions and forfeiture provisions prior to vesting.

Table of Contents

We have granted RSUs with performance vesting conditions that require that we become current with our filings with the SEC and be re-listed on a nationally recognized exchange for the awards to vest. Some awards also require that additional stockholders approved plan capacity be available for the awards to vest. In addition, we have granted RSUs to executive officers and certain members of senior management that require us to estimate the expected achievement of performance targets over the performance period. The expense associated with such awards is included in our stock-based compensation cost.

During the year ended January 31, 2010, we removed all performance vesting conditions for certain restricted stock units granted to executive officers prior to the year ended January 31, 2010 as a result of the amendment of time-based and performance-based equity award agreements. The removal of the performance vesting conditions is being accounted for as modification based on our assessment. As a result of the modification of the vesting conditions, additional compensation expense of \$1.9 million was recognized on May 21, 2009, and \$0.7 million was recognized on November 19, 2009.

RSUs that settle, or are expected to settle, with cash payments upon vesting are reflected as liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet.

The following table summarizes RSA and RSU activity under the Plans for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

	For the Years Ended January 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
(in thousands, except grant-date fair value)	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Beginning balance	1,830	\$ 24.48	1,267	\$ 29.39	354	\$ 33.88
Granted	1,812	\$ 6.50	865	\$ 18.07	1,215	\$ 28.64
Released	(116)	\$ 29.93	(85)	\$ 33.98	(203)	\$ 32.85
Forfeited	(114)	\$ 19.94	(217)	\$ 23.91	(99)	\$ 29.21
Ending balance	3,412	\$ 14.92	1,830	\$ 24.48	1,267	\$ 29.39

The unrecognized compensation expense related to 3.4 million unvested RSAs and RSUs expected to vest as of January 31, 2010 was approximately \$10.3 million, with remaining weighted-average vesting periods of approximately 0.29 years and 0.71 years, respectively, over which such expense is expected to be recognized. The total fair value of restricted stock awards and units vested during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 is \$3.5 million, \$2.9 million, and \$6.7 million, respectively.

Table of Contents*Phantom Stock Units*

During the year ended January 31, 2007, we began issuing phantom stock units to non-officer employees that settle, or are expected to settle, with cash payments upon vesting, pursuant to the terms of a form of a phantom stock award agreement approved by the board of directors. Phantom stock units provide for the payment of a cash bonus equivalent to the value of our common stock as of the vesting date of the award. Phantom stock units generally have a multi-year vesting and are generally subject to the same performance vesting conditions as equity awards granted. We recognize compensation expense for phantom stock units on a straight-line basis, reduced by estimated forfeitures. The phantom stock units are being accounted for as liabilities and as such their value tracks our stock price and is subject to market volatility.

The total accrued liability for phantom stock units was \$14.5 million, \$4.0 million, and \$0.3 million as of January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. Total cash payments made upon vesting of phantom stock units were \$2.5 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The following table summarizes phantom stock unit activity for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	For the Years Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Beginning balance, in units	1,239	85	19
Granted	421	1,323	87
Released	(482)	(33)	(17)
Forfeited	(72)	(136)	(4)
Ending balance, in units	1,106	1,239	85

The phantom stock units granted during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 primarily vest over three-year periods, subject to applicable performance conditions.

The unrecognized compensation expense related to 1.1 million unvested phantom stock units expected to vest as of January 31, 2010 was approximately \$5.0 million, based on our stock price of \$18.3 at January 31, 2010 with a remaining weighted-average vesting period of approximately 0.73 years over which such expense is expected to be recognized.

Table of Contents

Tandem Awards

We issued grants known as tandem awards to certain of our Israeli employees during the year ended January 31, 2009. These tandem awards include two components a share of deferred stock and a share of phantom stock. The recipient received two different units and two separate award agreements. The tandem awards are structured so that, on any given vesting date, only one component of the awards vests. The tandem awards are being accounted for as liabilities based on our assessment that the tandem awards would likely be settled in phantom stock units upon vesting.

We also issued grants known as hybrid awards to our employees during the year ended January 31, 2009 which vest in restricted stock units upon the achievement of certain performance conditions that have been set by our board of directors. In the event that any of the stock-settle conditions are not satisfied on the vesting date, no shares of common stock will be issued and instead we will settle these awards with cash payments equal to the fair market value (as defined in the award agreement) of the vested restricted stock units. These hybrid awards are being accounted as liabilities based on our assessment that the hybrid awards would likely be settled in cash upon vesting.

Comverse Stock Options

One component of our stock-based compensation cost is related to stock options granted to Verint employees who were employed with Comverse when the stock options were issued by Comverse. For the year ended January 31, 2010, we did not record any expenses related to Comverse stock options issued to Verint employees. We recorded expenses of \$15 thousand related to Comverse stock options issued to Verint employees for the years ended January 31, 2009 and a reduction to expenses of \$0.5 million for the year ended January 31, 2008.

ESPP

Effective September 1, 2002, we adopted and implemented the 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), which was amended and restated, on May 22, 2003. Any employee who had completed three months of employment and was employed by us on the applicable offering commencement date was eligible to participate in the ESPP. Participants elected to have amounts withheld through payroll deductions at the rate of up to 10% of their annualized base salary, to purchase shares of our common stock at 85% of the lesser of the market price at the offering commencement date or the offering termination date.

The number of shares available under the ESPP is 1.0 million, of which approximately 260,000 have been issued as of the date the ESPP was suspended in March 2006, due to our inability to use our Registration Statement on Form S-8 during our extended filing delay period.

No expense related to the ESPP was recorded during the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 due to the suspension of the ESPP during these periods resulting from our extended filing delay status.

Table of Contents***15. Related Party Transactions******Relationships with Comverse and its Other Subsidiaries******Preferred Stock Financing***

On May 25, 2007, in connection with our acquisition of Witness, we entered into the Securities Purchase Agreement with Comverse pursuant to which Comverse purchased, for cash, an aggregate of 293,000 shares of our preferred stock for \$293.0 million. Proceeds from the issuance of the preferred stock were used to partially finance the acquisition of Witness. In connection with the sale of the preferred stock we entered into the New Registration Rights Agreement with Comverse. Further details regarding the preferred stock and the related registration rights agreement appear within Note 8, Convertible Preferred Stock .

Original Registration Rights Agreement

Shortly before our IPO in 2002, we entered into the Original Registration Rights Agreement with Comverse that covered all shares of common stock then held by Comverse and any additional shares of common stock acquired by Comverse at a later date. Under the Original Registration Rights Agreement, Comverse has the right to demand registration of its shares on a stand-alone filing, or to participate in other registrations we may undertake (piggyback rights). In addition, we are required to pay registration-related expenses and indemnify Comverse from liabilities that may arise from sale of shares registered pursuant to the Original Registration Rights Agreement.

Service and Tax Agreements with Comverse

There were, and still are, several agreements in place between us and Comverse and its other subsidiaries, which were executed prior to our IPO in order to allow us to continue to receive certain services from Comverse and its other subsidiaries following our IPO. A separate agreement clarifies the income tax relationship between us and Comverse. Since our IPO, we have established our own systems and reduced or eliminated our reliance on these services. As of January 31, 2010 and 2009, we had liabilities to Comverse for services under these agreements of \$1.7 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, which are presented as liabilities to affiliates on our consolidated balance sheets at those dates. The following is an overview of certain of these agreements with Comverse:

Corporate Services Agreement

Under the Corporate Services Agreement, Comverse formerly provided us with maintenance services for general liability and other insurance policies held by Comverse under which we were covered. As of calendar 2007, we obtained our own insurance policies, including our own directors and officers insurance policy. In the past, we also received certain administration services with respect to employee benefit plans, legal support, and public relations support under this agreement. Following a period of transition, responsibility for these activities was fully transferred to us and we now handle all of these functions ourselves. For the year ended January 31, 2008, we recorded expenses of \$0.3 million for the services provided by Comverse under this agreement. There were no such expenses incurred for the years ended January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2009, as this agreement was terminated effective July 31, 2007.

Table of Contents*Enterprise Resource Planning Software Sharing Agreement*

Under the Enterprise Resource Planning Software Sharing Agreement, Comverse Ltd., a subsidiary of Comverse, formerly provided us with shared access to its enterprise resource planning (ERP) and customer relationship management (CRM) software for the operation of our business. During the quarter ended October 31, 2007, we completed a separation from Comverse s ERP/CRM system and fully transitioned to our own internal ERP/CRM system. No expenses were incurred under this agreement for the years ended January 31, 2010 and January 31, 2009. For the years ended January 31, 2008, we recorded expenses of \$0.4 million for the services under this agreement.

Satellite Services Agreement

Under the Satellite Services Agreement, Comverse Inc., a subsidiary of Comverse, provides us with the exclusive use of the services of specified employees and facilities of Comverse Inc. located in countries where we do not have our own legal presence or facilities. The fee for this service is equal to the expenses Comverse Inc. incurs in providing these services plus ten percent. For the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, we recorded expenses of \$0.3 million, \$0.6 million, and \$1.1 million, respectively, for the services provided by Comverse Inc. under this agreement. We anticipate that we will continue to use some level of services under this agreement in the future.

Federal Income Tax Sharing Agreement

We are party to a tax sharing agreement with Comverse which applies to periods prior to our IPO in which we were included in Comverse s consolidated federal tax return. By virtue of its controlling ownership and this tax sharing agreement, Comverse effectively controlled all of our tax decisions for periods ending prior to the completion of our IPO, which took place in May 2002. Under the agreement, for periods during which we were included in Comverse s consolidated tax return, we were required to pay Comverse an amount equal to the tax liability we would have owed, if any, had we filed a federal tax return on our own, as computed by Comverse in its reasonable discretion. Under the agreement, we were not entitled to receive any payments from Comverse in respect of, or to otherwise take advantage of, any loss resulting from the calculation of our separate tax liability. The tax sharing agreement also provided for certain payments in the event of adjustments to the group s tax liability. The tax sharing agreement continues in effect until 60 days after the expiration of the applicable statute of limitations for the final year in which we were part of the Comverse consolidated group for tax purposes.

Other Related Party Transactions

Our joint venture incurs certain operating expenses, including office rent and other administrative costs, under arrangements with one of its noncontrolling shareholders. These expenses totaled \$0.4 million, \$0.3 million, and \$0.3 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The joint venture also recognized \$0.7 million of revenue from this noncontrolling shareholder for the year ended January 31, 2010. Such revenue was negligible for the year ended January 31, 2009, and no such revenue was recognized for the year ended January 31, 2008.

Table of Contents***16. Commitments and Contingencies******Operating Leases***

We lease office, manufacturing, and warehouse space, as well as certain equipment, under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. Terms of the leases, including renewal options and escalation clauses, vary by lease. When determining the term of a lease, we include renewal options that are reasonably assured. The lease agreements generally provide that we pay taxes, insurance, and maintenance expenses related to the leased assets over the initial lease term and those renewal periods that are reasonably assured.

Our facility leases may contain rent escalation clauses or rent holidays, commencing at various times during the terms of the agreements. Rent expense on operating leases with scheduled rent increases or holidays during the lease term is recognized on a straight-line basis. The difference between rent expense and rent paid is recorded as deferred rent. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the shorter of their economic lives, which begin once the assets are ready for their intended use, or the term of the lease.

Rent expense incurred under all operating leases was \$13.1 million, \$13.9 million, and \$12.5 million for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

As of January 31, 2010, our minimum future rentals under non-cancelable operating leases were as follows:

(in thousands)

For the Years Ended January 31,	Amount
2011	\$ 12,536
2012	11,315
2013	9,673
2014	6,245
2015	3,749
2016 and thereafter	2,655
Total	\$ 46,173

During the year ended January 31, 2008, we entered into a non-cancelable operating sublease with a third party to rent space in a location previously utilized by us as a warehouse facility. We received rental payments totaling \$0.1 million during each of the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009, and expect to receive \$0.1 million during the year ended January 31, 2011.

Unconditional Purchase Obligations

In the ordinary course of business, we enter into certain unconditional purchase obligations, which are agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable, legally binding, and that specify all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum, or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. Our purchase orders are based on current needs and are typically fulfilled by our vendors within a relatively short time horizon.

Table of Contents

As of January 31, 2010, our unconditional purchase obligations totaled approximately \$33.8 million, the majority of which were scheduled to occur within the subsequent twelve months. Due to the relatively short life of the obligations, the carrying value approximates their fair value at January 31, 2010.

Warranty Liability

The following table summarizes the activity in our warranty liability, which is included in accrued expenses and other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets, for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Warranty liability, beginning of year	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,874	\$ 2,521
Provision charged to expenses	220	483	266
Warranty charges	(42)	(1,115)	(989)
Foreign currency translation and other	(74)	(54)	76
Warranty liability, end of year	\$ 1,292	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,874

We accrue for warranty costs as part of our cost of revenue based on associated product costs, labor costs, and associated overhead. Our Workforce Optimization solutions are sold with a warranty of generally one year on hardware and 90 days for software. Our Video Intelligence solutions and Communications Intelligence solutions are sold with warranties that typically range in duration of from 90 days to 3 years, and in some cases longer.

Licenses and Royalties

We license certain technology and pay royalties under such licenses and other agreements entered into in connection with research and development activities.

As discussed in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, we receive non-refundable grants from the OCS that fund a portion of our research and development expenditures. The Israeli law under which the OCS grants are made limits our ability to manufacture products, or transfer technologies, developed using these grants outside of Israel. If we were to seek approval to manufacture products, or transfer technologies, developed using these grants outside of Israel, we could be subject to additional royalty requirements or be required to pay certain redemption fees. If we were to violate these restrictions, we could be required to refund any grants previously received, together with interest and penalties, and may be subject to criminal charges.

Table of Contents

Preferred Stock Dividends, Conversion, and Redemption

On May 25, 2007, in connection with our acquisition of Witness, we entered into the Securities Purchase Agreement under which Comverse purchased, for cash, an aggregate of 293,000 shares of our preferred stock, for \$293.0 million. Upon a fundamental change event, as defined, and subject to certain exceptions, the holders of the preferred stock would have the right to require us to purchase the preferred stock for 100% of the liquidation preference then in effect. Fundamental change events include the sale of substantially all of our assets, and certain changes in beneficial ownership, board of directors representation, and business reorganizations. Further information regarding the terms of the preferred stock, including liquidation preferences, dividends, conversion, and redemption rights are included in Note 8, Convertible Preferred Stock .

Off-Balance Sheet Risk

In the normal course of business, we provide certain customers with financial performance guarantees, which are generally backed by standby letters of credit or surety bonds. In general, we would only be liable for the amounts of these guarantees in the event that our nonperformance permits termination of the related contract by our customer, which we believe is remote. At January 31, 2010, we had approximately \$7.4 million of outstanding letters of credit and surety bonds relating to these performance guarantees. As of January 31, 2010, we believe we were in compliance with our performance obligations under all contracts for which there is a financial performance guarantee, and the ultimate liability, if any, incurred in connection with these guarantees will not have a material adverse affect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. Our historical non-compliance with our performance obligations has been insignificant.

Indemnifications

In the normal course of business, we provide indemnifications of varying scopes to customers against claims of intellectual property infringement made by third parties arising from the use of our products. Historically, costs related to these indemnification provisions have not been significant and we are unable to estimate the maximum potential impact of these indemnification provisions on our future results of operations.

To the extent permitted under Delaware law or other applicable law, we indemnify our directors, officers, employees, and agents against claims they may become subject to by virtue of serving in such capacities for us. We also have contractual indemnification agreements with our directors, officers, and certain senior executives. The maximum amount of future payments we could be required to make under these indemnification arrangements and agreements is potentially unlimited; however, we have insurance coverage that limits our exposure and enables us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid. We are not able to estimate the fair value of these indemnification arrangements and agreements in excess of applicable insurance coverage, if any.

Table of Contents

We are party to a business opportunities agreement with Comverse which addresses potential conflicts of interest between Comverse and us. This agreement allocates between Comverse and us opportunities to pursue transactions or matters that, absent such allocation, could constitute corporate opportunities of both companies. Under the agreement, each party is precluded from pursuing opportunities it may become aware of which are offered to an employee of the other party, even if such employee serves as a director of the other entity. We have agreed to indemnify Comverse and its directors, officers, employees, and agents against any liabilities as a result of any claim that any provision of the agreement, or the failure to offer any business opportunity to us, violates or breaches any duty that may be owed to us by Comverse or any such person. Unless earlier terminated by the parties, the agreement will remain in place until Comverse no longer holds 20% of our voting power and no one on our board is a director or employee of Comverse.

Litigation**Comverse Investigation-Related Matters**

On December 17, 2009, Comverse entered into agreements to settle the following lawsuits previously disclosed by Comverse relating to the matters involved in the Comverse special committee investigation which had been brought against Comverse and certain former officers and directors of Comverse: (a) a consolidated shareholder class action before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation*; (b) a shareholder derivative action before the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York, *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litigation*; and (c) a shareholder derivative action before the New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department, *In re Comverse Technology, Inc. Derivative Litigation*.

On April 2, 2010, the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York issued orders in the shareholder class action and derivative action granting preliminary approval of the settlement agreements in those actions. The court has scheduled a settlement hearing to be held on June 21, 2010 that will, among other things, consider orders and final judgments dismissing those actions with prejudice.

Verint was not named as a defendant in any of these suits. Igal Nissim, our former Chief Financial Officer, was named as a defendant in the federal and state shareholder derivative actions in his capacity as the former Chief Financial Officer of Comverse, and Dan Bodner, our Chief Executive Officer, was named as a defendant in the federal and state shareholder derivative actions in his capacity as the Chief Executive Officer of Verint (i.e., as the president of a significant subsidiary of Comverse). Mr. Nissim and Mr. Bodner were not named in the shareholder class action suit. The federal shareholder derivative suit alleged that the defendants breached their fiduciary duties beginning in 1994 by: (a) allowing and participating in a scheme to backdate the grant dates of employee stock options to improperly benefit Comverse's executives and certain directors; (b) allowing insiders, including certain of the defendants, to personally profit by trading Comverse's stock while in possession of material inside information; (c) failing to properly oversee or implement procedures to detect and prevent such improper practices; (d) causing Comverse to issue materially false and misleading proxy statements, as well as causing Comverse to file other false and misleading documents with the SEC; and (e) exposing Comverse to civil liability. The plaintiffs originally filed suit on April 20, 2006. The Consolidated, Amended, and Verified Shareholder Derivative Complaint, filed on October 6, 2006, sought unspecified damages, injunctive relief, including restricting the proceeds of the defendants' trading activities and other assets, setting aside the election of the defendant directors to the Comverse board of directors, and costs and attorneys fees. On December 21, 2007, motions to dismiss the federal shareholder derivative suit were fully briefed on behalf of Comverse as well as the individual defendants, including Mr. Nissim and Mr. Bodner. No decision had been rendered on these motions to dismiss as of the signing of the settlement agreements or as of the filing date of this report.

Table of Contents

The state shareholder derivative suit made similar allegations to the federal shareholder derivative suit. The plaintiffs first filed suit on April 11, 2006. The Consolidated and Amended Shareholder Derivative Complaint, which was filed on September 18, 2006, sought unspecified damages, injunctive relief, such as restricting the proceeds of the defendants' trading activities and other assets, and costs and attorneys' fees.

The agreements in settlement of the above-mentioned actions are subject to notice to Comverse's shareholders and approval by the federal and state courts in which such proceedings are pending. Neither we nor Mr. Nissim or Mr. Bodner is responsible for making any payments or relinquishing any equity holdings under the terms of the settlement.

Comverse was also the subject of an SEC investigation and resulting civil action regarding the improper backdating of stock options and other accounting practices, including the improper establishment, maintenance, and release of reserves, the reclassification of certain expenses, and the calculation of backlog of sales orders. On June 18, 2009, Comverse announced that it had reached a settlement with the SEC on these matters without admitting or denying the allegations of the SEC complaint.

Verint Investigation-Related Matters

On July 20, 2006, we announced that, in connection with the SEC investigation into Comverse's past stock option grants that was in process at that time, we had received a letter requesting that we voluntarily provide to the SEC certain documents and information related to our own stock option grants and practices. We voluntarily responded to this request. On April 9, 2008, as we previously reported, we received a Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC arising from the staff's investigation of our past stock option grant practices and certain unrelated accounting matters. These accounting matters were also the subject of our internal investigation. On March 3, 2010, the SEC filed a settled enforcement action against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York relating to certain of our accounting reserve practices. Without admitting or denying the allegations in the SEC's Complaint, we consented to the issuance of a Final Judgment permanently enjoining us from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A), and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, (the Exchange Act), and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. The settled SEC action did not require us to pay any monetary penalty and sought no relief beyond the entry of a permanent injunction. The SEC's related press release noted that, in accepting the settlement offer, the SEC considered our remediation and cooperation in the SEC's investigation. The settlement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York on March 9, 2010.

Table of Contents

On December 23, 2009, as we previously reported, we received an additional Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC relating to our failure to timely file periodic reports under the Exchange Act. Under the SEC's Wells process, recipients of a Wells Notice have the opportunity to make a Wells Submission before the SEC staff makes a recommendation to the SEC regarding what action, if any, should be brought by the SEC. After considering our Wells Submission, on March 3, 2010, the SEC issued an Order Instituting Proceedings (OIP) pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock because of our previous failure to file an annual report on either Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005. An Administrative Law Judge will consider the evidence in the Section 12(j) proceeding and has been directed in the OIP to issue an initial decision within 120 days of service of the OIP. On March 26, 2010, we filed our Answer to the OIP. On March 30, 2010, the Administrative Law Judge issued an amended procedural order scheduling the completion of briefing on the SEC's motion for summary disposition for June 1, 2010. We are currently evaluating all available procedural remedies, and intend to defend against the possible suspension or revocation of the registration of our common stock.

On March 26, 2009, a motion to approve a class action lawsuit (the Labor Motion) and the class action lawsuit itself (the Labor Class Action) (Labor Case No. 4186/09) were filed against our subsidiary, Verint Systems Limited (VSL), by a former employee of VSL, Orit Deutsch, in the Tel Aviv Labor Court. Ms. Deutsch purports to represent a class of our employees and ex-employees who were granted options to buy shares of Verint and to whom, allegedly, damages were caused as a result of the blocking of the ability to exercise Verint options by our employees or ex-employees. The Labor Motion and the Labor Class Action both claim that we are responsible for the alleged damages due to our status as employer and that the blocking of Verint options from being exercised constitutes default of the employment agreements between the members of the class and VSL. The Labor Class Action seeks compensatory damages for the entire class in an unspecified amount. On July 9, 2009, we filed a motion for summary dismissal and alternatively for the stay of the Labor Motion. A preliminary session was held on July 12, 2009. Ms. Deutsch filed her response to our response on November 10, 2009. On February 8, 2010, the Tel Aviv Labor Court dismissed the case for lack of material jurisdiction and ruled that it will be transferred to the District Court in Tel Aviv. There can be no assurance that we will not in the future become subject to additional litigation or threatened litigation from current or former personnel as a result of our suspension of option exercises during our extended filing delay period, the expiration of equity awards during such period, or other employment-related matters relating to our internal investigation, restatement, or extended filing delay.

Witness Investigation-Related Matters

At the time of our May 25, 2007 acquisition of Witness, Witness was subject to a number of proceedings relating to a stock options backdating internal investigation undertaken and publicly disclosed by Witness prior to the acquisition. The following is a summary of those proceedings and developments since the date of the acquisition.

Table of Contents

On August 29, 2006, A. Edward Miller filed a shareholder derivative lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division, naming Witness as a nominal defendant and naming all of Witness directors and a number of its officers as defendants (*Miller v. Gould, et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:06-CV-2039 (N.D. Ga.)). The complaint alleged purported violations of federal and state law, and violations of certain anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws (including Sections 10(b) and 14(a) of the Exchange Act and Rules 10b-5 and 14a-9 thereunder) in connection with certain stock option grants made by Witness. The complaint sought monetary damages in unspecified amounts, disgorgement of profits, an accounting, rescission of stock option grants, imposition of a constructive trust over the defendants' stock options and proceeds derived therefrom, punitive damages, reimbursement of attorneys' fees and other costs and expenses, an order directing Witness to adopt or put to a stockholder vote various proposals relating to corporate governance, and other relief as determined by the court. On March 11, 2009, the Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety, with prejudice. Plaintiff did not file an appeal and the time to do so under the federal rules has elapsed.

On October 27, 2006, Witness received notice from the SEC of an informal non-public inquiry relating to the stock option grant practices of Witness from February 1, 2000 through the date of the notice. On July 12, 2007, we received a copy of the Formal Order of Investigation from the SEC relating to substantially the same matter as the informal inquiry. We and Witness have fully cooperated, and intend to continue to fully cooperate, if called upon to do so, with the SEC regarding this matter. In addition, the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Georgia was also given access to the documents and information provided by Witness to the SEC. Our last communication with the SEC with respect to the matter was in June 2008.

Verint General Litigation Matters

On October 18, 2005, the Administrative Court of Appeals of Athens entered a final, non-appealable verdict against our wholly owned subsidiary, Verint Systems UK Ltd. (formerly Comverse Infosys UK Limited) (Verint UK), in a dispute between Verint UK and its former customer, the Greek Civil Aviation Authority, which began in June 1999. The Greek Civil Aviation Authority had claimed that the equipment provided to it by Verint UK did not operate properly. The verdict did not contain a calculation of the monetary judgment, however, we estimated the amount at approximately \$2.6 million based on an earlier decision in the case, exclusive of any interest which may be assessed on the judgment based on the passage of time. The Greek government must seek enforcement of this judgment in the United Kingdom. To date this judgment has not been enforced and we have made no payments.

From time to time we or our subsidiaries may be involved in other legal proceedings and/or litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business that might impact our financial position, our results of operations, or our cash flows.

Table of Contents

17. Segment, Geographic, and Significant Customer Information

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the enterprise's chief operating decision maker (CODM), or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Our Chief Executive Officer is our CODM.

We conduct our business in three operating segments Enterprise Workforce Optimization Solutions (Workforce Optimization), Video Intelligence Solutions (Video Intelligence), and Communications Intelligence and Investigative Solutions (Communications Intelligence).

Our Workforce Optimization solutions enable large organizations and small-to-medium sized business organizations to extract and analyze valuable information from customer interactions and related operational and transactional data for the purpose of optimizing the performance of their customer service operations, including contact centers, back offices, branches, and remote locations.

Our Video Intelligence solutions help organizations enhance safety and security by enabling them to deploy an end-to-end IP video solution with integrated analytics or evolve to IP video operations without discarding their investments in analog Closed Circuit Television technology.

Our Communications Intelligence solutions are designed to generate evidence and intelligence and are used to detect and neutralize criminal and terrorist threats.

We measure the performance of our operating segments based upon operating segment revenue and operating segment contribution. Operating segment contribution includes segment revenue and expenses incurred directly by the segment, including material costs, service costs, research and development and selling, marketing, and administrative expenses. We do not allocate certain expenses, which include the majority of general and administrative expenses, facilities and communication expenses, purchasing expenses, manufacturing support and logistic expenses, depreciation and amortization, amortization of capitalized software development costs, stock-based compensation, and special charges such as restructuring and integration expenses. These expenses are included in the unallocated expenses section of the table presented below. Revenue from transactions between our operating segments is not material.

The accounting policies used to determine the performance of the operating segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies .

With the exception of goodwill and acquired intangible assets, we do not identify or allocate our assets by operating segment. Consequently, it is not practical to present assets by operating segment. The allocation of goodwill and acquired intangible assets by operating segment appears in Note 5, Intangible Assets and Goodwill .

Table of Contents

Operating results by segment for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008 were as follows:

(in thousands) Year Ended January 31, 2010	Workforce Optimization	Video Intelligence	Communications Intelligence	Total
Revenue	\$ 374,778	\$ 144,970	\$ 183,885	\$ 703,633
Segment contribution	\$ 178,674	\$ 57,200	\$ 62,348	298,222
Unallocated expenses:				
Amortization of other acquired intangible assets				30,289
Stock-based compensation				44,227
Integration, restructuring and other, net				141
Other unallocated expenses				157,886
Operating income				65,679
Other expense, net				(41,471)
Income before provision for income taxes				\$ 24,208
2009				
Revenue	\$ 352,367	\$ 127,012	\$ 190,165	\$ 669,544
Revenue adjustment	5,890			5,890
Segment revenue	\$ 358,257	\$ 127,012	\$ 190,165	\$ 675,434
Segment contribution	\$ 139,375	\$ 28,013	\$ 65,987	233,375
Unallocated expenses:				
Amortization of other acquired intangible assets				34,273
Impairments of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets				25,961
Stock-based compensation				35,990
Integration, restructuring and other, net				4,654
Other unallocated expenses				147,523
Operating loss				(15,026)
Other expense, net				(43,880)
Loss before provision for income taxes				\$ (58,906)
2008				
Revenue	\$ 260,938	\$ 147,225	\$ 126,380	\$ 534,543

Edgar Filing: VERINT SYSTEMS INC - Form 10-K

Revenue adjustment		37,254			37,254
Segment revenue	\$	298,192	\$	147,225	\$ 126,380 \$ 571,797
Segment contribution	\$	112,856	\$	37,213	\$ 40,173 190,242
Unallocated expenses:					
Amortization of other acquired intangible assets					27,249
Impairments of goodwill and other acquired intangible assets					23,370
Stock-based compensation					31,048
Integration, restructuring and other, net					22,996
Other unallocated expenses					200,209
Operating loss					(114,630)
Other expense, net					(55,186)
Loss before provision for income taxes					\$ (169,816)

F-77

Table of Contents

Workforce Optimization segment revenue reviewed by the CODM includes \$5.9 million for the year ended January 31, 2009 and \$37.3 million for the year ended January 31, 2008, of additional revenue, primarily related to deferred maintenance and service revenue not recognizable in our GAAP revenue as a result of purchase accounting following our May 2007 acquisition of Witness. We include this additional revenue within our segment revenue because it better reflects our ongoing maintenance and service revenue stream. For additional details, see Note 4, Business Combinations .

Geographic Information

Revenue by major geographic region is based upon the geographic location of the customers who purchase our products. The geographic locations of distributors, resellers, and systems integrators who purchase and resell our products may be different from the geographic locations of end customers. The information below summarizes revenue to unaffiliated customers by geographic area for the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008:

(in thousands)	Year Ended January 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
United States	\$ 328,420	\$ 304,602	\$ 245,836
United Kingdom	65,793	77,213	73,437
Other	309,420	287,729	215,270
Total revenue	\$ 703,633	\$ 669,544	\$ 534,543

Our long-lived assets primarily consist of net property and equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets, capitalized software development costs, deferred cost of revenue, and deferred income taxes. We believe that our tangible long-lived assets, which consist of our net property and equipment, are exposed to greater geographic area risks and uncertainties than intangible assets and long-term cost deferrals, because these tangible assets are difficult to move and are relatively illiquid.

Table of Contents

Property and equipment, net by geographic area consists of the following as of January 31, 2010 and 2009:

(in thousands)	January 31,	
	2010	2009
United States	\$ 9,096	\$ 10,566
Israel	9,148	12,274
Germany	2,581	2,537
United Kingdom	1,014	1,494
Canada	660	1,405
Other	1,954	2,268
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 24,453	\$ 30,544

Significant Customers

No single customer accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue during any of the years ended January 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008.

18. Subsequent Events***Wells Notices***

On April 9, 2008, as we previously reported, we received a Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC arising from the staff's investigation of our past stock option grant practices and certain unrelated accounting matters. These accounting matters were also the subject of our internal investigation. On March 3, 2010, the SEC filed a settled enforcement action against us in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York relating to certain of our accounting reserve practices. Without admitting or denying the allegations in the SEC's Complaint, we consented to the issuance of a Final Judgment permanently enjoining us from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act, Sections 13(a), 13(b)(2)(A), and 13(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act, and Rules 13a-1 and 13a-13 thereunder. The settled SEC action did not require us to pay any monetary penalty and sought no relief beyond the entry of a permanent injunction. The SEC's related press release noted that, in accepting the settlement offer, the SEC considered our remediation and cooperation in the SEC's investigation. The settlement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York on March 9, 2010.

Table of Contents

On December 23, 2009, as we previously reported, we received an additional Wells Notice from the staff of the SEC relating to our failure to timely file periodic reports under the Exchange Act. Under the SEC's Wells process, recipients of a Wells Notice have the opportunity to make a Wells Submission before the SEC staff makes a recommendation to the SEC regarding what action, if any, should be brought by the SEC. After considering our Wells Submission, on March 3, 2010, the SEC issued an OIP pursuant to Section 12(j) of the Exchange Act to suspend or revoke the registration of our common stock because of our previous failure to file an annual report on either Form 10-K or Form 10-KSB since April 25, 2005 or quarterly reports on either Form 10-Q or Form 10-QSB since December 12, 2005. An Administrative Law Judge will consider the evidence in the Section 12(j) proceeding and has been directed in the OIP to issue an initial decision within 120 days of service of the OIP. On March 26, 2010, we filed our Answer to the OIP. On March 30, 2010, the Administrative Law Judge issued an amended procedural order scheduling the completion of briefing for June 1, 2010. We are currently evaluating the Section 12(j) OIP, including available procedural remedies, and intend to defend against the possible suspension or revocation of the registration of our common stock.

Business Combination

On February 4, 2010, our wholly owned subsidiary, Verint Americas Inc., acquired all of the outstanding shares of Iontas Limited (Iontas), a privately held provider of desktop analytics solutions. Prior to this acquisition, we licensed certain technology from Iontas, whose solutions measure application usage and analyze workflows to help improve staff performance in contact center, branch, and back-office operations environments. We acquired Iontas for approximately \$15.2 million in cash (net of cash acquired) and potential additional earn-out payments of up to \$3.8 million, tied to certain targets being achieved over the next two years. The initial purchase price allocation for this acquisition is not yet available, as we have not completed the appraisals necessary to assess the fair values of the tangible and identified intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed, the assets and liabilities arising from contingencies (if any), and the amount of goodwill to be recognized as of the acquisition date.

Amendment to Credit Agreement

On April 27, 2010, we entered into an amendment to our credit agreement to extend the due date for delivery of audited consolidated financial statements and related documentation for the year ended January 31, 2010 from May 1, 2010 to June 1, 2010. In consideration for this amendment, we paid \$0.9 million to our lenders. This payment will be amortized as additional interest expense over the remaining term of the credit agreement using the effective interest method. Legal fees and other out-of-pocket costs directly relating to the amendment, which are expensed as incurred, were not significant.

Table of Contents**19. Selected Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)**

Summarized consolidated quarterly financial information for the years ended January 31, 2010 and 2009 appears in the following tables:

(in thousands, except per share data)	Quarter Ended			
	April 30, 2009	July 31, 2009	October 31, 2009	January 31, 2010
Revenue	\$ 175,148	\$ 169,269	\$ 186,480	\$ 172,736
Gross profit	118,079	110,202	122,970	112,447
Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes	24,840	4,332	15,118	(20,082)
Net income (loss)	20,572	1,482	13,315	(18,269)
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	19,634	1,598	13,176	(18,791)
Net income (loss) attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares, for basic net income (loss) per share	16,372	(1,808)	9,733	(22,271)
for diluted net income (loss) per share	19,634	(1,808)	9,733	(22,271)
Net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.				
Basic	\$ 0.50	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.30	\$ (0.68)
Diluted	\$ 0.47	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.29	\$ (0.68)
(in thousands, except per share data)	Quarter Ended			
	April 30, 2008	July 31, 2008	October 31, 2008	January 31, 2009
Revenue	\$ 154,954	\$ 166,025	\$ 157,867	\$ 190,698
Gross profit	91,766	99,883	96,085	123,560
Loss before provision for (benefit from) income taxes	(23,071)	(14,974)	(11,000)	(9,861)
Net loss	(24,777)	(14,714)	(20,441)	(18,645)
Net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	(25,297)	(15,087)	(21,136)	(18,868)
Net loss attributable to Verint Systems Inc. common shares	(28,458)	(18,353)	(24,437)	(22,204)
Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc.	\$ (0.88)	\$ (0.57)	\$ (0.75)	\$ (0.68)

Table of Contents

Net income (loss) per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc. is computed independently for each quarterly period and for the year. Therefore, the sum of quarterly net income (loss) per share amounts may not equal the amounts reported for the years.

The computation of diluted net income per share attributable to Verint Systems Inc. for the quarter ended April 30, 2009 assumes the conversion of our convertible preferred stock into approximately 9.7 million shares of common stock.

Quarterly operating results for the year ended January 31, 2010 include the following:

Professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed financial statements for periods through January 31, 2005 and extended filing delay status of approximately \$7 million, \$10 million, \$12 million, and \$25 million for the four quarterly periods ended January 31, 2010, respectively; and

Realized and unrealized losses on our interest rate swap of \$3.7 million, \$2.9 million, \$4.4 million, and \$2.6 million for the four quarterly periods ended January 31, 2010, respectively.

Quarterly operating results for the year ended January 31, 2009 include the following:

Non-cash charges to recognize impairments of goodwill of \$26.0 million during the quarter ended January 31, 2009;

Integration costs incurred to support and facilitate the combination of Verint and Witness into a single organization, of \$1.2 million, \$0.9 million, \$0.8 million, and \$0.3 million for the four quarterly periods ended January 31, 2009, respectively;

Legal fees associated with pre-existing litigation between Witness and a competitor of \$3.5 million, \$1.7 million, and \$0.2 million for the three quarterly periods ended October 31, 2008, respectively, and a \$9.7 million recovery pursuant to the settlement of this litigation in the quarter ended July 31, 2008;

Professional fees and related expenses associated with our restatement of previously filed financial statements for periods through January 31, 2005 and our extended filing delay status of approximately \$7 million, \$9 million, \$8 million, and \$4 million for the four quarterly periods ended January 31, 2009, respectively; and

Realized and unrealized gains (losses), net on our interest rate swap of \$4.4 million, \$2.5 million, \$(8.2) million, and \$(10.2) million for the four quarterly periods ended January 31, 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

VERINT SYSTEMS INC.

(Registrant)

May 18, 2010

By: /s/ Dan Bodner

Dan Bodner, President and Chief Executive Officer

May 18, 2010

By: /s/ Douglas E. Robinson

Douglas E. Robinson, Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Accounting Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Dan Bodner

May 18, 2010

Dan Bodner, Chief Executive Officer and President;
Director of Verint Systems Inc.
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Douglas E. Robinson

May 18, 2010

Douglas E. Robinson, Chief Financial Officer of Verint Systems Inc.
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

/s/ Paul D. Baker

May 18, 2010

Paul D. Baker, Director of Verint Systems Inc.

Table of Contents

/s/ John Bunyan	May 18, 2010
John Bunyan, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Andre Dahan	May 18, 2010
Andre Dahan, Chairman of the Board of Directors of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Victor A. DeMarines	May 18, 2010
Victor A. DeMarines, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Kenneth A. Minihan	May 18, 2010
Kenneth A. Minihan, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Larry Myers	May 18, 2010
Larry Myers, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Howard Safir	May 18, 2010
Howard Safir, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Shefali Shah	May 18, 2010
Shefali Shah, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Stephen M. Swad	May 18, 2010
Stephen M. Swad, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	
/s/ Lauren Wright	May 18, 2010
Lauren Wright, Director of Verint Systems Inc.	