FENTURA FINANCIAL INC Form 10-Q November 12, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

Table of Contents

OR

O TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number 000-23550

Fentura Financial, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Michigan

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employee Identification No.)

175 N Leroy, P.O. Box 725, Fenton, Michigan 48430

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(810) 629-2263

(Registrant s telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. b Yes o No Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files.) o Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting (Do not check if a smaller company b reporting company) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable

date: October 21, 2010

Class Common Stock

Shares Outstanding 2,291,121

38-2806518

Fentura Financial Inc. Index to Form 10-Q

Part I Financial Information	Page 3
Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	3-24
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	25-37
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	37-39
Item 4T Controls and Procedures	39
Part II Other Information	40
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	40
Item 1A Risk Factors	40
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	40
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	40
Item 4 Reserved	40
Item 5 Other Information	40
Item 6 Exhibits	40
Signatures	41
Exhibit Index EX-31.1 EX-31.2 EX-32.1 EX-32.2	42

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (000s omitted except share and per share data)

	September 30, 2010 (unaudited)	Dec 31, 2009
ASSETS Cash and due from banks Federal funds sold	\$ 14,787 39,700	\$ 18,459 23,650
Total cash & cash equivalents Securities-available for sale Securities-held to maturity, (fair value of \$4,540 at September 30, 2010 and \$5,493 at December 31, 2009)	54,487 54,786 4,471	42,109 43,608 5,456
Total securities Loans held for sale Loans:	59,257 1,877	49,064 831
CommercialReal estate loansconstructionReal estate loansmortgageConsumer loans	228,731 15,439 23,719 42,806	252,764 26,295 28,058 48,313
Total loans Less: Allowance for loan losses	310,695 (15,037)	355,430 (10,726)
Net loans Bank owned life insurance Bank premises and equipment Federal Home Loan Bank stock Accrued interest receivable Other real estate owned Assets of discontinued operations Other assets	295,658 7,070 15,254 1,900 1,582 9,003 0 3,290	344,704 7,221 15,914 1,900 1,813 7,967 37,919 12,637
Total assets LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Deposits: Non-interest bearing deposits	\$449,378 \$68,361	\$ 522,079 \$ 64,530
Interest bearing deposits Total deposits Short term borrowings	409,243 116	440,775 164

Table of Contents

Federal Home Loan Bank advances Subordinated debentures Liabilities of discontinued operations Accrued taxes, interest and other liabilities	5,954 14,000 0 3,993	7,981 14,000 35,217 3,410
Accided taxes, interest and other natinities	5,995	5,410
Total liabilities	433,306	501,547
Shareholders equity Common stock no par value 2,289,912 shares issued (2,248,553 at December 31,		
2009)	43,002	42,913
Retained deficit	(27,257)	(21,657)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	327	(724)
Total shareholders equity	16,072	20,532
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$449,378	\$ 522,079
See notes to consolidated financial statements.		
3		

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (000s omitted except share and per share data)

	Three Mon Septem 2010		Nine Months End September 30 2010 20			
Interest income						
Interest and fees on loans	\$ 5,030	\$ 5,936	\$15,536	\$ 18,399		
Interest and dividends on securities:						
Taxable	340	404	929	1,213		
Tax-exempt	54	135	282	418		
Interest on federal funds sold	12	1	27	1		
Total interest income	5,436	6,476	16,774	20,031		
Interest expense						
Deposits	1,451	2,277	4,862	7,503		
Borrowings	203	227	598	829		
Total interest expense	1,654	2,504	5,460	8,332		
Net interest income	3,782	3,972	11,314	11,699		
Provision for loan losses	2,905	1,940	8,314	11,306		
Net interest income after provision for loan losses Non-interest income	877	2,032	3,000	393		
Service charges on deposit accounts	378	519	1,255	1,435		
Gain on sale of mortgage loans	214	100	442	612		
Trust and investment services income	376	458	1,103	1,285		
Gain on sale of securities	0	0	75	12		
Other than temporary loss						
Total impairment	(359)	0	(359)	0		
Loss recognized in other comprehensive income	52	0	52	0		
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	(307)	0	(307)	0		
Loss on equity investment	0	0	0	(1,560)		
Other income and fees	522	403	1,520	1,461		
Total non-interest income Non-interest expense	1,183	1,480	4,088	3,245		
Salaries and employee benefits	2,013	2,129	6,191	6,752		
Occupancy	430	428	1,310	1,378		
Furniture and equipment	395	385	1,152	1,212		
Loan and collection	542	984	1,532	2,302		
Advertising and promotional	25	39	98	126		
Other operating expenses	1,242	1,015	3,301	3,458		
Total non-interest expense	4,647	4,980	13,584	15,428		

Table of Contents

Loss from continuing operations before income tax Federal income tax/(benefit)	(2,587) (250)	(1,468) (332)	(6,496) (524)	(11,590) 5,028
Net loss from continuing operations Net income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of	\$ (2,337)	\$(1,136)	\$ (5,972)	\$(16,618)
tax	0	289	372	(1,253)
Net loss	\$(2,337)	\$ (847)	\$ (5,600)	\$(17,871)
Loss per share from continuing operations				
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.03)	\$ (0.51)	\$ (2.64)	\$ (7.56)
Income/(loss) per share from discontinued operations Basic and diluted	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.17	\$ (0.57)
Net loss per share				
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.03)	\$ (0.38)	\$ (2.47)	\$ (8.13)
Cash Dividends declared	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
See notes to consolidated financial statements.	4			

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ei September 3		
(000s omitted)	2010	2009	
Common Stock Balance, beginning of period Issuance of shares under Director stock purchase plan & Dividend reinvestment program (41,359 and 39,449	\$ 42,913	\$ 42,778	
shares)	89	105	
Balance, end of period	43,002	42,883	
Retained Deficit Balance, beginning of period Net loss	(21,657) (5,600)	(4,677) (17,871)	
Balance, end of period	(27,257)	(22,548)	
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) Balance, beginning of period Change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities, net of tax	(724) 1,051	(1,977) 1,189	
Balance, end of period	327	(788)	
Total shareholders equity	\$ 16,072	\$ 19,547	
See notes to consolidated financial statements. 5			

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Mon Septem	ber 30,
(000s omitted)	2010	2009
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (5,600)	\$(17,871)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash		,
Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	437	811
Establishment of deferred tax asset valuation allowance	0	5,924
Provision for loan losses	8,314	11,306
Loans originated for sale	(26,921)	(49,629)
Proceeds from the sale of loans	26,317	49,497
Gain on sales of loans	(442)	(612)
Loss on other real estate owned	104	645
Loss on security impairment	0	200
Loss on equity investment	307	1,360
Gain on sale of securities	(75)	(12)
Earnings from bank owned life insurance	(146)	(59)
Net (increase) decrease in interest receivable & other assets	9,003	(3,914)
Net increase (decrease) in interest payable & other liabilities	583	(3,783)
Net change in discontinued operations operating activities	806	(838)
Total Adjustments	18,287	10,896
Net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities	12,687	(6,975)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITES:		
Proceeds from maturities of securities HTM	800	1,183
Proceeds from maturities of securities AFS	8,705	7,714
Proceeds from calls of securities HTM	380	0
Proceeds from calls of securities AFS	5,500	2,000
Proceeds from sales of securities AFS	7,105	4,000
Proceeds from sales of equity securities	5	0
Purchases of securities AFS	(30,901)	(14,560)
Proceeds from sale of bank subsidiary	1,900	0
Net decrease in loans	36,754	41,379
Proceeds from bank owned life insurance	297	203
Sales of other real estate owned	2,838	1,876
Acquisition of premises and equipment, net	(170)	(79)
Net change in discontinued operations investing activities	(548)	5,557
Net cash provided by investing activities	32,665	49,723
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net decrease in deposits	(31,532)	(498)
Net decrease in short term borrowings	(48)	(1,466)

Repayment of notes payable Purchase of advances from FHLB Repayments of advances from FHLB Net proceeds from stock issuance and purchase Net change in discontinued operations financing activities	0 0 (2,027) 89 544	$(1,000) \\ 55,495 \\ (58,221) \\ 105 \\ (4,275)$
Net cash used in financing activities	(32,974)	(9,860)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,378	\$ 32,882
Cash and cash equivalents Beginning	\$ 42,109	\$ 13,626
Cash and cash equivalents Ending Less cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations	\$ 54,487 0	\$ 46,064 444
Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations	\$ 54,487	\$ 32,438

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Nine Mon	lonths Ended	
(000s omitted)	2010	2009	
Cash paid for:			
Interest	\$ 5,219	\$ 8,506	
Income taxes	\$ 0	\$ 3,981	
Non-cash Disclosures:			
Transfers from loans to other real estate	\$ 3,978	\$ 3,394	
FENTURA FINANICIAL, INC			

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	Three M End Septem	led	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
(000s omitted)	2010 2009		2010		
Net loss Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:	\$ (2,337)	\$ (847)	\$ (5,600)	\$(17,871)	
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period	1,114	547	1,977	764	
Impairment loss recognized during period	(307)	0	(307)	(200)	
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in income	0	12	75	12	
Tax effect	(321)	593	(694)	613	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	486	1,152	1,051	1,189	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$(1,851)	\$ 305	\$ (4,549)	\$(16,682)	

FENTURA FINANCIAL, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2009, September 30, 2009 and September 30, 2010 include Fentura Financial, Inc. (the Corporation) and its wholly owned subsidiaries, The State Bank in Fenton, Michigan and West Michigan Community Bank in Hudsonville, Michigan (the Banks), as well as West Michigan Mortgage Company, LLC, and the other subsidiaries of the Banks. Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

On March 17, 2009, The Corporation entered into an agreement to sell all of the stock of one of its bank subsidiaries, Davison State Bank, to a private, non-affiliated, investor group. The Corporation recorded an estimated loss on the sale of Davison State Bank of \$700,000 in the first quarter of 2009. As a result of the amended sales agreement, the estimated loss of \$700,000 was reversed in the first quarter of 2010. On April 30, 2010, the sale of Davison State Bank closed and the assets and liabilities were transferred to the investor group. As a result of the timing of the sale, discontinued operations reflect four months of income on the income statement for the nine month period. Financial statements are presented with discontinued operations separately presented on the balance sheet and income statement. The presentations have been updated for December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009 to reflect the discontinued operations results.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Corporation s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Reclassifications

Some items in the prior year financial statements were reclassified to conform to the current presentation. For the nine month period end September 2009, a \$700,000 impairment charge on discontinued operations was reclassified in the prior year presentation from non-interest expense of continuing operations to discontinued operations. This reclassification reduced the loss from continuing operations by \$700,000, net of tax, and had no impact on net income. <u>Securities</u>

Securities are classified as held to maturity and carried at amortized cost when management has the positive intent and ability to hold them to maturity. Securities are classified as available for sale when they might be sold before maturity. Securities available for sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized holding gains and losses reported in other comprehensive income, net of tax.

Interest income includes amortization of purchase premium or discount. Premiums and discounts on securities are amortized on the level-yield method without anticipating prepayments, except for mortgage-backed securities, where prepayments are anticipated. Gains and losses on sales are based on the amortized cost of the security sold. Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation.

In determining OTTI management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the entity has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether an other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

When OTTI occurs, the amount of the OTTI recognized in earnings depends on whether an entity intends to sell the security or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss. If an entity intends to sell or it is more likely than not it will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, less any current-period credit loss, the OTTI shall be recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the investment s amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. If an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current-period loss, the OTTI shall be separated into the amount representing the credit loss and the amount related to all other factors. The amount of the total OTTI related to the credit loss is determined based on the present value of cash flows expected to be collected and is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total OTTI related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of applicable taxes. The previous amortized cost basis less the OTTI recognized in earnings becomes the new amortized cost basis of the investment.

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

Allowance for Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation allowance for probable incurred credit losses, increased by the provision for loan losses and decreased by charge-offs less recoveries. Management estimates the allowance balance required using past loan loss experience, the nature and volume of the portfolio, information about specific borrower situations and estimated collateral values, economic conditions, and other factors. Allocations of the allowance may be made for specific loans, but the entire allowance is available for any loan that, in management s judgment, should be charged-off. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed.

A loan is impaired when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Impairment is evaluated in total for smaller-balance loans of similar nature such as residential mortgage, consumer, and on an individual loan basis for other loans. If a loan is impaired, a portion of the allowance is allocated so that the loan is reported, net, at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan s existing rate or at the fair value of collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as consumer and residential real estate loans are collectively evaluated for impairment, and accordingly, they are not separately identified for impairment disclosures. Loans for which the terms have been modified and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and are classified as impaired. Troubled debt restructurings are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loans effective rate at inception.

<u>Other Real Estate Owned and Foreclosed Assets</u>: Assets acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure are initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed. Income Taxes

Income tax expense is the total of the current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the expected future tax amounts for the temporary differences between carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities, computed using enacted tax rates. A valuation allowance reduces deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

The Corporation recognizes interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. There were no unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009, and the Corporation does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase in the next twelve months. <u>Dividend Restriction</u>

Banking regulations require maintaining certain capital levels and may limit the dividends paid by the Banks to the Corporation or by the Corporation to shareholders. West Michigan Community Bank and The State Bank have been restricted from dividend payments due to the signing of Consent Orders with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

On October 27, 2010, management received a notice from The Federal Reserve which defined restrictions being placed upon the Holding Company. The restrictions include the declaration or payment of any dividends, the receipt of dividends from subsidiary Banks and the repayment of any principal or interest on subordinated debentures or Trust Preferred securities.

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (continued)

Stock Option Plans

The Nonemployee Director Stock Option Plan provides for granting options to nonemployee directors to purchase the Corporation s common stock. The purchase price of the shares is the fair market value at the date of the grant, and there is a three-year vesting period before options may be exercised. Options to acquire no more than 8,131 shares of stock may be granted under the Plan in any calendar year and options to acquire not more than 73,967 shares in the aggregate may be outstanding at any one time. No options were granted in 2010 or 2009.

The Employee Stock Option Plan grants options to eligible employees to purchase the Corporation s common stock at or above, the fair market value of the stock at the date of the grant. Awards granted under this plan are limited to an aggregate of 86,936 shares. The administrator of the plan is a committee of directors. The administrator has the power to determine the number of options to be granted, the exercise price of the options and other terms of the options, subject to consistency with the terms of the Plan.

The following table summarizes stock option activity:

	Number of	eighted verage
	Options	Price
Options outstanding at December 31, 2009	20,297	\$ 29.55
Options granted 2010	0	\$ 0.00
Options forfeited 2010	(3,542)	\$ 25.04
Options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2010	16,755	\$ 30.51

Going Concern

As a result of the Corporation s net losses and non-compliance with the capital requirements of the Consent Orders, our auditors added a paragraph to their opinion on the Corporation s December 31, 2009 consolidated financial statements, expressing substantial doubt about the Corporation s ability to continue as a going concern. In 2010, the Banks achieved compliance with substantially all areas of the Consent Orders, except for the capital requirements. Strategies to improve profitability and to meet the capital requirements of the Consent Orders, discussed in Note 10, include shrinking the balance sheets, reducing costs, and selling subsidiary banks. The sale of Davison State Bank, which closed on April, 30, 2010, recovered \$2.8 million, of which a portion was reinvested in The State Bank. On April 27, 2010, an agreement was signed to sell West Michigan Community Bank. See Note 11 for details regarding the definitive agreement to sell West Michigan Community Bank.

These financial statements do not include any adjustments that might be necessary if the Corporation is unable to continue as a going concern.

NOTE 2 ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

New Accounting Pronouncements:

In June 2009, the FASB amended previous guidance relating to transfers of financial assets and eliminates the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity. This guidance must be applied as of the beginning of each reporting entity s first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. This guidance must be applied to transfers occurring on or after the effective date. Additionally, on and after the effective date, the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity is no longer relevant for accounting purposes. Therefore, formerly qualifying special-purpose entities should be evaluated for

NOTE 2 ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS (continued)

consolidation by reporting entities on and after the effective date in accordance with the applicable consolidation guidance. The disclosure provisions were also amended and apply to transfers that occurred both before and after the effective date of this guidance. The effect of adopting this new guidance was not material to the Corporation. In June 2009, the FASB amended guidance for consolidation of variable interest entity guidance by replacing the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance and (1) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or (2) the right to receive benefits from the entity. Additional disclosures about an enterprise s involvement in variable interest entities are also required. This guidance is effective as of the beginning of each reporting entity s first annual reporting period that begins after November 15, 2009, for interim periods within that first annual reporting period, and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. Early adoption is prohibited. The effect of adopting this new guidance was not material to the Corporation.

In July 2010, the FASB issued an Accounting Standards Update, Receivables: Disclosure About the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses. The objective of this ASU is for an entity to provide disclosures that facilitate financial statement users evaluation of the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity s portfolio of financing receivables, how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses, and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. An entity should provide disclosure on a disaggregated basis on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. The update makes changes to existing disclosure requirements and includes additional disclosure requirements about financing receivables, including credit quality indicators of financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables, the aging of past due financing receivables at the end of the reporting period by class of financing receivables and their effect on the allowance for credit losses. The Corporation expects the adoption on December 31, 2010 to be disclosure-related only and to have no impact on its results of operations.

NOTE 3 SECURITIES

Securities are as follows:

(000s omitted)	Amortized		Gross Unrealized						Fair
Available for Sale		Cost	Gains		Losses		Value		
September 30, 2010									
U.S. Government & federal agency	\$	11,210	\$		34	\$	0	\$	11,244
State and municipal		898			8		0		906
Mortgage-backed residential		8,678	3	26	0 (427)		9,004 31,926		
Collateralized mortgage obligations		31,844	509						09
Equity securities	1,658		54		54	(6)		1,706	
	\$	54,288	\$	9	31	\$	(433)	\$	54,786
December 31, 2009									
U.S. Government & federal agency		\$ 6,543		\$	38		\$ (67)	S	\$ 6,514
State and municipal		7,034			102		(41)		7,095
Mortgage-backed residential		13,482			298		0		13,780
Collateralized mortgage obligations		15,369			199		(878)		14,690
Equity securities		1,971			21		(463)		1,529

	\$ 44,399	\$ 658	\$(1,449)	\$43,608
11				

NOTE 3 SECURITIES (continued)

(000s omitted)	Amortized	Gross Unrecognized			Gross	-	Fair		
Held to Maturity	Cost	Gair	18	Ι	Losse	s	Value		
September 30, 2010 State and municipal	\$ 4,471	\$	69	\$		0	\$ 4,540		
December 31, 2009									
State and municipal	\$:	5,455	\$	55	\$	(18)	\$ 5,492		
Mortgage-backed residential		1		0		0	1		
	\$:	5,456	\$	55	\$	(18)	\$ 5,493		

The amortized cost and fair value of the securities portfolio are shown by expected maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities if borrowers have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Contractual maturities of securities at September 30, 2010 were as follows:

	Available for Sale		
	Amortized	Fair	
(000s omitted)	Cost	Value	
Due in one year or less	\$12,108	\$12,150	
Due from one to five years	0	0	
Due from five to ten years	0	0	
Mortgage-backed securities	8,678	9,004	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	31,844	31,926	
Equity securities	1,658	1,706	
	\$ 54,288	\$ 54,786	

	Held to M	Maturity
	Amortized	Fair
(000s omitted)	Cost	Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 2,792	\$ 2,805
Due from one to five years	1,679	1,735
Due from five to ten years	0	0
Due after ten years	0	0
	\$ 4,471	\$ 4,540

At September 30, 2010, there were 2 private label CMO securities, with holdings totaling \$4,079,000, which exceeded 10% of shareholders equity. At September 30, 2009, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government and its agencies, in an amount greater than 10% of shareholders equity. Sales of available for sale securities were as follows:

(000s omitted)	2010	2009
Table of Contents		18

Proceeds	\$ 7,110	\$ 4,000				
Gross gains	90	12				
Gross losses	(15)	0				
Securities with unrealized losses at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, aggregated by investment category						
and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position are as follows:						
12						

NOTE 3 SECURITIES (continued)

	Less that	Less than 12 Months 12 Months or More			12 Months or More			Total			
2010	Fair	Unre	ealized	Fair	Unr	realized	Fair	Unr	ealized		
(000s omitted)	Value	L	LOSS	Value	I	Loss	Value]	Loss		
Collateralized mortgage											
obligations	\$ 5,483	\$	(45)	\$4,584	\$	(382)	\$10,067	\$	(427)		
Equity securities	0		0	2		(6)	2		(6)		
Total temporarily impaired	\$ 5,583	\$	(45)	\$4,586	\$	(388)	\$ 10,119	\$	(433)		

	Less that	n 12 ma	onths	12 months or more			months or more Total												
2009	Fair	Unre	ealized	Fair		Unre	ealized		Fair	Ur	realized								
(000s omitted)	Value	Loss		Loss		Loss		e Loss		Value		Value		Loss		Value		Loss	
US Gov t & federal agencies	\$ 3,475	\$	(67)	\$	0	\$	0	\$	3,475	\$	(67)								
State & municipal	497		(18)	65	9		(41)		1,156		(59)								
Collateralized Mortgage																			
Obligations	0		0	4,87	2		(878)		4,872		(878)								
Equity securities	0		0	1,00	9		(463)		1,009		(463)								
Total temporarily impaired	\$ 3,972	\$	(85)	\$6,54	0	\$	(1,382)	\$	10,512	\$	(1,467)								

Other-Than-Temporary-Impairment

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market conditions warrant such an evaluation. In evaluating OTTI, management considers the factors presented in Note 1.

As of September 30, 2010, the Corporation s security portfolio consisted of 101 securities, 8 of which were in an unrealized loss position. All unrealized losses are related to the Corporation s collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs) and equity securities, as discussed below.

Losses recognized in earnings on securities totaled \$288,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009 and \$307,000 of additional OTTI losses were recognized through earnings during the period ending September 30, 2010.

Collateralized Mortgage Obligations (CMOs)

The Corporation s unrealized losses related primarily to its investment in collateralized mortgage obligation securities. The decline in fair value is primarily attributable to temporary illiquidity and the financial crisis affecting these markets and not necessarily the expected cash flows of the individual securities. These six investments have an amortized cost of \$10,484,000 and a net unrealized loss of \$427,000. Three of these securities were issued by the U.S. government sponsored agency Ginnie Mae and hold an AAA rating by a major rating agency.

In addition, the portfolio contains three private label securities with an amortized cost of \$5,000,000. The ratings held on the private label securities are AA, A- and CCC. The underlying collateral of these CMOs is comprised largely of 1-4 family residences, with geographic concentrations in California, Florida and Virginia. The higher rated securities are supported by mortgages classified as alt-a, while the CCC rated security is supported by Prime classified mortgages. In each of these securities, the Corporation lies in the senior tranche and receives payments before other tranches. For private label securities, management completes an analysis to review the recent performance of the mortgage pools underlying the instruments.

The Corporation has been closely monitoring the performance of the CMO portfolio. In 2009, there were several CMOs that were downgraded in the market. Management continues to review historical and projected payment streams, delinquency ratios, geographic distribution, ratings, projected future cash

NOTE 3 SECURITIES (continued)

flows and general market conditions. Management uses multiple assumptions to project the expected future cash flows of the private label CMOs, which include prepayment speeds, projected default rates and loss severity rates. The cash flows are then discounted using the effective rate on the securities determined at acquisition. Recent historical experience is the base for determining the cash flow assumptions and is adjusted when appropriate after considering characteristics of the underlying loans collateralizing the private label CMO security. As a result of its review, in the fourth quarter of 2009, the Corporation recognized a \$79,000 other-than-temporary impairment as a result of incurred credit losses which was reflected in the income statement. As part of the September 30, 2010 analysis, management s review indicated \$9,500 of additional credit related OTTI on this security. The security with the credit loss is the Corporation s sole CCC rated security and has a remaining amortized cost of \$589,000 at September 30, 2010. The remaining unrealized loss of \$84,000 on this security has been reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss. Equity securities

The Corporation also holds investments in equity securities which gross unrealized losses of \$6,000 at September 30, 2010. There are two equity securities which comprise the gross unrealized loss. They are moderate to actively traded stocks and therefore have active market valuation data. Management continues to watch these securities and their respective valuations. The equity securities portfolio has an amortized cost of \$1,658,000 and a fair value of \$1,706,000. The majority of the equity securities are investments in Michigan bank holding companies. On a quarterly basis, management reviews the Corporation s investment in these equity securities. Management reviews current market prices on publicly traded equity securities and compares the current price to the book price. Any difference is adjusted as a temporary valuation difference, unless other resources provide other information. Equity securities that are not publicly traded receive a multi-faceted review utilizing call report data. Management reviews such performance indicators as earnings, ROE, ROA, non-performing assets, brokered deposits and capital ratios. Management draws conclusions from this information, as well as any published information or trading activity received from the individual institutions, to assist in determining if any unrealized loss is other than temporary impairment. As a result of the September 30, 2010 review, OTTI totaling \$298,000 was recognized during the quarter on the Corporation s equity securities in bank holding companies. The impairment was recognized as a result of the length of time these securities have been at an unrealized loss position.

The table below represents a roll forward of the credit losses recognized in earnings for the period ended September 30, 2010.

(000s omitted) Beginning Balance, January 1, 2010	\$ 288
Increases to the amount related to the credit loss for which other-than-temporary was previously recognized	307
Ending balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 595

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Major categories of loans are as follows:

	Sept	ember 30,	Dec	cember 31,
(000s omitted)	2010			2009
Commercial	\$	77,281	\$	81,425
Real estate commercial		151,450		171,339
Real estate construction		15,439		26,295
Real estate mortgage		23,719		28,058
Consumer		42,806		48,313

Less allowance for loan losses		310,695 15,037	355,430 10,726
		\$ 295,658	\$ 344,704
	14		

Table of Contents

NOTE 4 LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES (continued)

The Corporation has originated primarily residential and commercial real estate loans, commercial, construction and installment loans. The Corporation estimates that the majority of their loan portfolio is based in Genesee, Oakland and Livingston counties within southeast Michigan; in Kent and Ottawa counties in west Michigan, with the remainder of the portfolio distributed throughout Michigan. The ability of the Corporation s debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the real estate and general economic conditions in these areas.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses, for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 is as follows:

(000s omitted)	Septe	September 30, 2009				
Balance, January 1,	\$	2010 10,726	\$	10,455		
Provision for loan losses		8,314		11,306		
Loans charged off		(4,863)		(7,524)		
Loan recoveries		860		248		
Balance, end of period	\$	15,037	\$	14,485		

Activity in the allowance for loan losses, for the three month periods ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 is as follows:

(000s omitted)	September 30, 2010			September 30, 2009		
Balance, July 1,	\$	14,227	\$	13,970		
Provision for loan losses		2,905		1,940		
Loans charged off		(2,216)		(1,567)		
Loan recoveries		121		142		
Balance, end of period	\$	15,037	\$	14,485		

Loan impairment is measured by valuing the underlying collateral or by estimating the expected future cash flows and discounting them at the respective effective interest rate. The recorded investment in these loans is as follows:

(000s omitted)	1	ember 30, 2010	Dec	cember 31, 2009
Period end loans not requiring allocation Period end loans requiring allocation	\$	12,998 30,154	\$	15,874 23,059
	\$	43,152	\$	38,933
Amount of the allowance for loan losses allocated	\$	9,229	\$	5,683

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual were as follows:

	Septe	ember 30,	December 31,		
(000s omitted)	,	2010	2009		
Loans past due over 90 days still on accrual	\$	0	\$	319	
Troubled debt restructurings	\$	3,225	\$	3,822	
Nonaccrual loans	\$	15,410	\$	16,507	

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due 90 days still on accrual include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans.

NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE

Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. There are three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values. Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to

access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data. Securities classified as available for sale are generally reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs where the Corporation obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service which uses matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows and the bonds terms and conditions, among other things. The fair value of the Corporation s equity securities, which primarily consists of the common stock in other Michigan bank holding companies, is based on the prices of recent stock trades, if available and is considered Level 2 because these stocks are not actively traded in public markets. If there are no available recent trades for the Corporation s bank holding company equity holdings an analysis is performed which compares trading multiples of book value of publicly traded peer banks and the Corporation s holdings are marked to a similar value based on their reported book value (Level 2 inputs).

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing and asset or liability.

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs). The remaining fair values of securities (Level 3 inputs) are based on the reporting entity s own assumptions and basic knowledge of market conditions and individual investment performance.

<u>Impaired Loans</u>: The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value.

<u>Other Real Estate Owned:</u> Non-recurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Assets Measured on a Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

		Quoteo i Ac Mark Ider	ir Value N d Prices in ctive cets for ntical ssets	Sig	ments Using gnificant Other oservable Inputs	Unobs	ificant servable puts
(000s omitted)	Total	(Lev	vel 1)	(I	Level 2)	(Le	vel 3)
September 30, 2010							
Available for sale securities							
US Government and federal agency	\$11,244	\$	0	\$	11,244	\$	0
State and municipal	906		0		906		0
Mortgage-backed residential	9,004		0		9,004		0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	31,926		0		31,926		0
Equity securities	1,706		12		1,694		0
	\$ 54,786	\$	12	\$	54,774	\$	0

		Quoteo	ir Value N 1 Prices n	leasure	ments Using		
			tive	Sig	gnificant		
		Mark	ets for		Other	Signi	ificant
			ntical		servable		ervable
(000	T 1		sets		Inputs	-	outs
(000s omitted)	Total	(Level 1)		(L	Level 2)	(Lev	vel 3)
December 31, 2009							
Available for sale securities							
US Government and federal agency	\$ 6,514	\$	0	\$	6,514	\$	0
State and municipal	7,095		0		7,095		0
Mortgage-backed residential	13,780		0		13,780		0
Collateralized mortgage obligations	14,690		0		14,690		0
Equity securities	1,529		18		1,511		0
	\$43,608	\$	18	\$	43,590	\$	0

The table below presents a reconciliation and income statement classification of gains and losses for all assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The Corporation did not hold any Level 3 assets during 2010.

NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE (continued)

	Fair	Unobserv	nificant		ıg		
(000s omitted)	Asset	Lia	ability	-	Total		
Beginning balance, Jan. 1, 2009	\$ 1,229	\$	0	\$	1,229		
Total gains or losses (realized / unrealized)							
Included in earnings	7				7		
Loss on security impairment	(208)	0		(208)		
Included in other comprehensive income	357		0		357		
Purchases, issuances, and settlements							
Transfers in and / or out of Level 3	(1,385)	0		(1,385)		
Ending balance, September 30, 2009	\$ 0	\$	0	\$	0		

Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below:

		Pri in A	oted ces ctive kets	Signi	ficant		
	Significant for Identical Other Observable				Significant Unobservable Inputs		
(000s omitted)	Total	Assets (Level 1)		Inputs (Level 2)		(Level 3)	
At September 30, 2010	Total	(Lev	CI 1)	(Lev	012)	(1	
Impaired loans	\$ 20,925	\$	0	\$	0	\$	20,925
Other real estate owned	1,401		0		0		1,401
At December 31, 2009							
Impaired loans	\$17,376	\$	0	\$	0	\$	17,376
Other real estate owned	1,274		0		0		1,274
The fellowing concern improvement of	honors no conized dumi	na tha ma	miad.				

The following represent impairment charges recognized during the period:

At September 30, 2010, impaired loans, which are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a principal amount of \$30,154,000 with a valuation allowance of \$9,229,000 resulting in an additional provision for loan losses of \$1,930,000 for the three month period, and \$4,740,000 for the nine month period, ending September 30, 2010. This is compared to December 31, 2009 when the principal amount of impaired loans was \$23,059,000 with a valuation allowance of \$5,683,000.

Other real estate owned which is measured at the lower of carrying value or fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of \$9,003,000, of which \$1,401,000 was at fair value at September 30, 2010, resulting from write-downs totaling \$144,000 for the three month period and \$295,000 for the nine month period. At December 31, 2009, other real estate owned had a net carrying amount of \$7,967,000, of which \$1,274,000 was at fair value.

NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE (continued)

Carrying amount and estimated fair value of financial instruments, not previously presented were as follows:

	Septembe Carrying	Decembe Carrying	er 31, 2009	
(000s omitted)	Amount	Fair Value	Amount	Fair Value
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 54,487	\$ 54,487	\$ 42,109	\$ 42,109
Securities held to maturity	4,471	4,540	5,456	5,493
FHLB stock	1,900	n/a	1,900	n/a
Loans held for sale	1,877	1,880	831	831
Loans (including impaired loans)	295,658	270,583	344,704	326,422
Accrued interest receivable	1,582	1,582	1,813	1,813
Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$409,243	\$ 403,614	\$440,775	\$ 441,827
Short-term borrowings	116	116	164	164
FHLB advances	5,954	6,311	7,981	8,488
Subordinated debentures	14,000	12,644	14,000	12,656
Accrued interest payable	1,132	1,132	892	892

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate their fair values. <u>Securities</u>

Fair values for securities held to maturity are based on similar information previously presented for securities available for sale.

FHLB Stock

It was not practical to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. Loans held for sale

The fair values of these loans are determined in the aggregate on the basis of existing forward commitments or fair values attributable to similar loans.

Loans

For variable rate loans that re-price frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. The fair value for other loans is estimated using discounted cash flow analysis. The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable approximates its fair value.

Off-balance-sheet instruments

The fair value of off-balance sheet items is not considered material.

Deposit liabilities

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are, by definition equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The carrying amounts for variable rate, fixed term money market accounts and

NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE (continued)

certificates of deposit approximate their fair values at the reporting date. Fair values for fixed certificates of deposit are estimated using discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on similar certificates. The carrying amount of accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

Short-term borrowings

The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings approximate their fair values. <u>FHLB advances</u>

Rates currently available for FHLB debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of the existing debt.

Subordinated Debentures

The estimated fair value of the existing subordinated debentures is calculated by comparing a current market rate for the instrument compared to the book rate. The difference between these rates computes the fair value. Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Corporation s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Corporation s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on management s judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

NOTE 6 INCOME TAXES

A valuation allowance related to deferred tax assets is required when it is considered more likely than not that all or part of the benefit related to such assets will not be realized. Management has reviewed the deferred tax position for the Corporation at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The Corporation s evaluation of taxable events, losses in recent years and the continuing deterioration of the Michigan economy led management to conclude that it was more likely than not that all or part of the benefit would not be realized. During the second quarter of 2009, the Corporation established a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred income tax assets are realized or the valuation allowance is otherwise no longer required. Management will continue to monitor our deferred tax assets quarterly for changes affecting their realizability.

Normally, the calculation for the income tax expense (benefit) does not consider the tax effects of changes in other categories of income such as other comprehensive income (OCI), which is a component of shareholders equity on the balance sheet. However, an exception is warranted when there is a pre-tax loss in continuing operations. When this is the case, pre-tax income from other categories, such as changes in OCI and discontinued operations, are included in the calculation of the tax expense or benefit for the current year. For the first nine months of 2010, this resulted in an income tax benefit recorded to continuing operations.

There were no unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009, and the Corporation does not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly increase in the next twelve months.

NOTE 7 EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

A reconciliation of the numerators and denominators used in the computation of basic earnings per common share and diluted earnings per common share is presented below. Earnings per common share are presented below for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

The factors in the earnings per share computation follow.

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(000s omitted except share and per share data) Basic		2010	2	2009		2010		2009	
Net loss	\$	(2,337)	\$	(847)	\$	(5,600)	\$	(17,871)	
Weighted average common shares outstanding	2,	,277,406	2,2	210,613	2	,265,472	2	,198,233	
Basic loss per common share	\$	(1.03)	\$	(0.38)	\$	(2.47)	\$	(8.13)	
Diluted									
Net loss Weighted average common shares outstanding for	\$	(2,337)	\$	(847)	\$	(5,600)	\$	(17,871)	
basic earnings per common share Add: Dilutive effects of assumed exercises of stock	2,	,277,406	2,2	210,613	2	,265,472	2	,198,233	
Options		0		0		0		0	
Average shares and dilutive potential common shares	2,	,277,406	2,2	210,613	2	,265,472	2	,198,233	
Diluted loss per common share	\$	(1.03)	\$	(0.38)	\$	(2.47)	\$	(8.13)	

There were no stock options for the three or nine month period ended September 30, 2010 or September 30, 2009 that were dilutive, as a result of the net loss the period.

NOTE 8 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

There are various contingent liabilities that are not reflected in the financial statements including claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, after consultation with legal counsel, the ultimate disposition of these matters is not expected to have a material effect on the Corporation s consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE 9 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

On March 17, 2009, The Corporation entered into an agreement to sell all of the stock of one of its bank subsidiaries, Davison State Bank, to a private, non-affiliated, investor group. The Corporation recorded an estimated loss on the sale of Davison State Bank of \$700,000 in the first quarter of 2009. As a result of the amended sales agreement, the estimated loss of \$700,000 was reversed in the first quarter of 2010. A condensed balance sheet of held for sale operations is presented below for the period ended and December 31, 2009. As of April 30, 2010, Davison State Bank was sold to an independent financial group. As a result, there is no balance sheet for presentation at September 30, 2010.

NOTE 9 DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (continued) DAVISON STATE BANK CONDENSED BALANCE SHEET OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Dec	31, 2009
ASSETS Cash and cash equivalents Securities available for sale Securities held to maturity Loans, net of allowance (\$679-2009) Other assets	\$	2,537 7,082 405 24,396 3,499
Total assets	\$	37,919
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY Deposits: Non-interest bearing Interest bearing	\$	9,012 26,265
Total deposits Accrued taxes, interest and other liabilities Shareholders equity		35,277 (60) 2,702
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$	37,919

DAVISON STATE BANK CONDENSED STATEMENT OF INCOME OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30			Nine Months Ende September 30		
	20	10	2009	20	010	2009
Interest income	\$	0	\$ 494	\$	607	\$ 1,556
Interest expense		0	151		116	510
Net interest income		0	343		491	1,046
Provision for loan losses		0	35		(5)	190
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		0	308		496	856
Non-interest income		0	143		178	405
Non-interest expense		0	418		121	2,095
Income/(loss) before federal income tax		0	33		553	(834)
Federal income tax expense/(benefit)		0	(256)		181	419
Net income/(loss)	\$	0	\$ 289	\$	372	\$(1,253)

NOTE 10-REGULATORY MATTERS

The Corporation (on a consolidated basis) and its Bank subsidiaries are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Banks financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and regulatory enforcement action, the Corporation (see Note 12) and the Banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items are calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

NOTE 10 REGULATORY MATTERS (continued)

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Banks to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). As of December 31, 2009 notification from Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation categorized the Banks as adequately capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action.

As of December 31, 2009, The State Bank was required by regulatory authorities to maintain certain minimum capital ratios. The State Bank s required capital ratios were those required in the Consent Order that was effective January 10, 2010 which is discussed later in this note.

In March 2009, West Michigan Community Bank entered into a Consent Order with federal and state banking regulators that contain provisions to foster improvement in West Michigan Community Bank s earnings, reduce non performing loan levels, and increase capital. The Consent Order requires West Michigan Community Bank to retain a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of a minimum of 8.0%. As of September 30, 2010, West Michigan Community Bank has a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 6.7%, as compared to Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 6.9% at December 31, 2009. At both September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, West Michigan Community Bank was not in compliance with the Consent Order capital requirements.

Effective January 10, 2010, The State Bank entered into a Consent Order with federal and state banking regulators that contain provisions to foster improvement in The State Bank 's earnings, reduce nonperforming loan levels and increase capital. The Consent Order requires The State Bank to maintain a Tier 1 capital to average asset ratio of a minimum of 8.0%. It also requires The State Bank to maintain a total capital to risk weighted asset ratio of 12.0%. At September 30, 2010, The State Bank had a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 6.2% and a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 9.4%. This is compared to ratios at December 31, 2009, of a Tier 1 capital to average assets ratio of 6.2% and a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 6.2% and a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 6.2% and a total capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 8.9%. At September 30, 2010 and at December 31, 2009, The State Bank was not in compliance with the Consent Order capital requirements. The Consent Orders restrict the Banks from issuing or renewing brokered deposits. The Consent Orders also restrict dividend payments from The State Bank and West Michigan Community Bank to the Corporation. The Corporation, the Boards of Directors and management continue to work on plans to comply with the Consent Orders. While below the compliance level required by the Orders, both Banks maintain capital levels considered adequate by regulatory standards. Non-compliance with Consent Order requirements may cause the Banks to be subject to further enforcement actions by the FDIC.

As illustrated in the table below, at September 30, 2010, the Consolidated Corporation s total capital to risk weighted assets ratio at 7.4% was below the adequately capitalized requirement of 8.0%. At December 31, 2009, the Consolidated Corporation s total capital to risk weighted assets ratio of 7.8% was below the minimum requirement of 8.0%.

The Corporation s principal source of funds for possible shareholder dividend payments is dividends received from the Banks. Regulatory agreements limit the payment of dividends without prior approval of regulatory agencies. On October 27, 2010, management received a notice from The Federal Reserve which defined restrictions being placed upon the Holding Company. The restrictions include the declaration or payment of any dividends, the receipt of dividends from subsidiary Banks, the repayment of any principal or interest on subordinated debentures or Trust Preferred securities, restrictions on debt, any changes in Executive or Senior Management or change in the role of Senior Management. The written agreement will be effective in November, 2010. In addition, the notice provided an indication for the corporation to maintain sufficient capital levels.

NOTE 10 REGULATORY MATTERS (continued)

	Acti	ual	For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Agre	latory ement ements
(000s omitted) As of September 30, 2010 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Consolidated	\$ 25,393	7.4%	\$27,544	8.0%	NA	NA 12.0%
The State Bank West Michigan Community	22,632	9.4	19,219	8.0	\$24,023	(1) NA
Bank	10,404	10.1	8,226	8.0	NA	
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Consolidated	20,956	6.1	13,772	4.0	NA	NA
The State Bank	19,509	8.1	9,609	4.0	NA	NA
West Michigan Community	17,507	0.1),00)	4.0	1471	NA
Bank	9,090	8.8	4,113	4.0	NA	1 17 1
Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)						
Consolidated	20,956	4.6	18,191	4.0	NA	NA
The State Bank	19,509	6.2	12,634	4.0	25,268	8.0
West Michigan Community						8.0
Bank	9,090	6.7	5,462	4.0	10,924	
				For Capital Adequacy		atory
	Actu	al	Purpo	-	Agree Require	
(000s omitted)	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
As of December 31, 2009 Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)	Amount	Katio	Amount	Katio	Amount	Katio
Consolidated	\$33,661	7.8%	\$34,636	8.0%	NA	NA
The State Bank	24,334	8.9	21,961	8.0	\$32,810	12.0%(1)
Davison State Bank	3,328	9.9	2,692	8.0	NA	NA
West Michigan Community						NA
Bank	11,841	9.4	10,063	8.0	NA	
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets)						
Consolidated	28,164	6.5	17,318	4.0	NA	NA
The State Bank	20,830	7.6	10,981	4.0	NA	NA
Davison State Bank	2,904	8.6	1,346	4.0	NA	NA
West Michigan Community						NA
Bank	10,262	8.2	5,031	4.0	NA	

Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets)						
(to Average Assets) Consolidated	28,164	5.0	22,491	4.0	NA	NA
The State Bank	20,830	6.2	13,535	4.0	27,069	8.0
Davison State Bank	2,904	7.2	1,620	4.0	3,240	8.0
West Michigan Community						8.0
Bank	10,262	6.9	5,923	4.0	11,845	
(1) Effective January 10, 2010		24				

NOTE 11 DEFINITIVE AGREEMENT

On April 28, 2010, at the Annual Shareholder Meeting, a formal announcement was made regarding the signing of a definitive agreement to sell West Michigan Community Bank. If the transaction is consummated, the Corporation would receive \$10,300,000 from the sale of West Michigan Community Bank (an approximate 10% premium to book). As a condition of the sale, the Corporation would acquire non-performing assets of West Michigan Community Bank. The assets will be housed in a newly formed holding company subsidiary of the Corporation. The transaction is expected to close during the fourth quarter of 2010. At September 30, 2010, West Michigan Community Bank is not considered to have the status of discontinued operations due to uncertainties connected with funding the transaction. Regulatory approval was received after the close of the calendar quarter for the sale of West Michigan Community Bank and for the Corporation to acquire the non-performing assets. As the non-performing assets are converted to performing loans or liquidated, the proceeds will be available to strengthen the capital position of The State Bank. ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain of the Corporation s accounting policies are important to the portrayal of the Corporation s financial condition, since they require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments, some of which may relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates associated with these policies are susceptible to material changes as a result of changes in facts and circumstances. Facts and circumstances, which could affect these judgments, include, but without limitation, changes in interest rates, in the performance of the economy or in the financial condition of borrowers. Management believes that its critical accounting policies include determining the allowance for loan losses and determining the fair value of securities, carrying value of deferred tax assets and other financial instruments. The Corporation evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to the length of time and the extent to which fair value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the intent and the ability of the Corporation to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, the Corporation may consider whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred, and the results of the reviews of the issuer s financial condition. Results of Operations

As indicated in the income statement, the loss for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was \$2,337,000 compared to a loss of \$847,000 for the same period in 2009. Net interest income in the third quarter of 2010, was \$190,000 below net interest income for the same quarter in 2009. The third quarter 2010 provision for loan losses was up \$965,000 compared to the third quarter of 2009. Management feels the allowance for loan losses is adequate and has increased \$552,000 when comparing the period ended September 30, 2010 to the period ended September 30, 2009.

The banking industry uses standard performance indicators to help evaluate a banking institution s performance. Return on average assets is one of these indicators. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Corporation s return on average assets (annualized) was (0.51%) compared to (0.15%) for the same period in 2009. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Corporation s return on average assets (annualized) was (1.55%) compared to (4.16%) for the same period in 2009. Net loss per share, basic and diluted, was (\$1.03) in the third quarter of 2010 compared to (\$0.38) net loss per share basic and diluted for the same period in 2009. Net loss per share, basic and diluted, was (\$2.47) in the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 compared to (\$8.13) net loss per share basic and diluted for the same period in 2009.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income and average balances and yields on major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are summarized in Table 2. Table 3 summarizes net interest income, average balances and yields on major categories of interest-earning assets and interest-earning liabilities for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Table 1 below displays the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 compared to the nine month period ended September 30, 2009. The information displayed is with respect to the effects on interest income and interest expense attributable to changes in volume and rate. **Table 1**

	S 2010 C	2 MONTHS EN EPTEMBER 3 OMPARED TO EASE (DECRI DUE TO YIELD/	0, O 2009
(000s omitted)	VOL	RATE	TOTAL
Taxable securities	\$ (4)	\$ (280)	\$ (284)
Tax-exempt securities (1)	(205)	(1)	(206)
Federal funds sold	24	2	26
Total loans (1)	(3,353)	510	(2,843)
Loans held for sale	(26)	0	(26)
Total earning assets	(3,564)	231	(3,333)
Interest bearing demand deposits	(24)	(258)	(282)
Savings deposits	(5)	(162)	(167)
Time CD s \$100,000 and over	(929)	(269)	(1,198)
Other time deposits	(302)	(692)	(994)
Other borrowings	(115)	(116)	(231)
Total interest bearing liabilities	(1,375)	(1,497)	(2,872)
Net Interest Income	\$ (2,189)	\$ 1,728	\$ (461)

(1) Presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using a federal income tax rate of 34%.

As indicated in Table 1, during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, net interest income decreased compared to the same period in 2009. Volume of loans to decreased over the past year, along with a proportionate decrease in interest income. However the mix of this change resulted in an improvement in loan yield. To mitigate the decrease in interest income, deposit rates and volumes decreased year over year. The deposit interest rate reduction was achieved by reduction of offering rates on time deposits, which assisted in encouraging high rate instruments from renewing, with some funds exiting, thus reducing interest bearing liability costs.

As indicated in Table 2, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Corporation s net interest margin (with consideration of full tax equivalency) was 3.66% compared with 3.40% for the same period in 2009. This increase is a result of management s ability to make continuing downward repricing steps on interest bearing liabilities. Additionally non-interest bearing deposits increased when comparing the period ended September 30, 2010 to the period ended September 30, 2009.

Average earning assets decreased 10.5% or \$49,296,000 comparing the nine months of 2010 to the same time period in 2009. Management continues to strategically work to shrink both sides of the balance sheet. Loans, the highest yielding component of earning assets, represented 80.3% of earning assets in 2010 compared to 87.5% in 2009. Average interest bearing liabilities decreased 12.8% or \$55,162,000 comparing the first nine months of 2010 to the same time period in 2009. Non-interest bearing deposits

amounted to 16.0% of average earning assets in the first nine months of 2010 compared with 14.3% in the same time period of 2009.

Net interest income (displayed with consideration of full tax equivalency), average balance sheet amounts, and the corresponding yields for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 are shown in Table 3. Net interest income for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was \$3,822,000, a decrease of \$234,000, or 5.8%, from the same period in 2009. Net interest margin increased as a result of increases in loan portfolio yields, and was assisted by a large decrease in the interest bearing liability yield.

Management reviews economic forecasts and strategy on a monthly basis. Accordingly, the Corporation will continue to strategically manage the balance sheet structure in an effort to create stability in net interest income. The Corporation expects to continue to selectively seek out new loan opportunities while continuing to maintain sound credit quality.

Management continually monitors the Corporation s balance sheet in an effort to insulate net interest income from significant swings caused by interest rate volatility. If market rates change in 2010, corresponding changes in funding costs will be considered to avoid the potential negative impact on net interest income. The Corporation s policies in this regard are further discussed in the section titled Interest Rate Sensitivity Management.

Table 2 Average Balance and Rates

	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2009					
(000s omitted)(Annualized) ASSETS	AVERAGE BALANCE	INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE	AVERAGE BALANCE	INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE
ASSE 15 Securities:						
U.S. Treasury and Government						
Agencies	\$ 38,464	\$ 905	3.15%	\$ 37,997	\$ 1,143	4.02%
State and Political (1)	9,396	427	6.08%	13,902	633	6.09%
Other	2,906	24	1.10%	4,309	70	2.17%
Total Securities	50,766	1,356	3.57%	56,208	1,846	4.39%
Fed Funds Sold	31,914	27	0.11%	2,332	1	0.06%
Loans:			6.00%			6.00 %
Commercial	263,621	12,266	6.22%	320,436	14,453	6.03%
Tax Free (1)	2,283 25,969	111	6.50% 6.27%	2,656 35,113	129 1,614	6.48% 6.15%
Real Estate-Mortgage Consumer	23,969 44,949	1,218 1,943	0.27% 5.78%	51,351	2,185	0.1 <i>3%</i> 5.69%
Consumer	++,9+9	1,945	5.7070	51,551	2,105	5.0970
Total loans	336,822	15,538	6.17%	409,556	18,381	6.00%
Allowance for Loan Losses	(12,528)	-)		(11,891)	-)	
Net Loans	324,294	15,538	6.41%	397,665	18,381	6.18%
Loans Held for Sale	955	36	5.04%	1,657	62	5.00%
TOTAL EARNING ASSETS						
CONTINUING OPERATIONS	\$420,457	16,957	5.39%	\$469,753	\$ 20,290	5.77%
Cash Due from Banks Assets of Held for Sale	16,387			32,115		
Operations	16,387			43,457		
All Other Assets	42,278			39,433		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$482,981			\$ 572,867		
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:						
Deposits: Interest Bearing DDA	\$ 83,950	\$ 241	0.38%	\$ 88,275	\$ 523	0.79%
Savings Deposits	¢ 05,950 72,680	¢ 241 69	0.13%	74,373	¢ 525 236	0.42%
Time CDs \$100,000 and Over	97,636	2,869	3.93%	128,744	4,067	4.22%
Other Time CDs	100,392	1,683	2.24%	114,512	2,677	3.13%
Total Deposits	354,658	4,862	1.83%	405,904	7,503	2.47%
Other Borrowings	22,217	598	3.60%	26,133	829	4.24%
	\$ 376,875	5,460	1.94%	\$432,037	\$ 8,332	2.58%

INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES						
Non-Interest bearing DDA Liabilities of Held for Sale	67,483			67,163		
Operations	15,178			40,384		
All Other Liabilities	3,834			3,012		
Shareholders Equity	19,611			30,271		
TOTAL LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$482,981			\$ 572,867		
Net Interest Rate Spread			3.45%			3.20%
Net Interest Income /Margin		\$ 11,497	3.66%		\$ 11,958	3.40%

(1) Presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using a federal income tax rate of 34%.

²⁸

Table 3 Average Balance and Rates

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010 2009					
(000s omitted)(Annualized)	AVERAGE BALANCE	INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE	AVERAGE BALANCE	INCOME/ EXPENSE	YIELD/ RATE
ASSETS						
Securities:						
U.S. Treasury and Government						
Agencies	\$ 47,632	\$ 335	2.79%	\$ 40,316	\$ 384	3.78%
State and Political (1)	5,414	82	6.01%	13,429	205	6.04%
Other	2,899	5	0.68%	3,805	20	2.09%
Total Securities	55,945	422	2.99%	57,550	609	4.20%
Fed Funds Sold	36,561	12	0.13%	6,919	1	0.06%
Loans:						
Commercial	251,224	3,988	6.30%	305,122	4,677	6.08%
Tax Free (1)	2,202	35	6.31%	2,521	41	6.44%
Real Estate-Mortgage	24,543	381	6.16%	32,701	498	6.04%
Consumer	43,134	624	5.74%	50,678	720	5.64%
Total loans	321,103	5,028	6.21%	391,022	5,936	6.02%
Allowance for Loan Losses	(14,051)			(14,127)		
Net Loans	307,052	5,028	6.50%	376,895	5,936	6.25%
Loans Held for Sale	1,173	14	4.74%	1,022	14	5.43%
TOTAL EARNING ASSETS						
CONTINUING OPERATIONS	\$414,782	5,476	5.24%	\$456,513	\$ 6,560	5.70%
Cash Due from Banks Assets of held for sale	16,972			40,618		
operations	0			42,117		
All Other Assets	40,283			37,205		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$457,986			\$ 562,326		
LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY: Deposits:						
Interest bearing DDA	\$ 86,129	\$ 71	0.33%	\$ 88,906	\$ 113	0.50%
Savings Deposits	75,472	¢ 71 25	0.13%	77,020	φ 113 31	0.16%
Time CDs \$100,000 and Over	87,776	883	3.99%	124,411	1,272	4.06%
Other Time CDs	94,127	472	1.99%	115,117	861	2.97%
	242 504	1 451	1 (00	105 151	2 277	0.000
Total Deposits	343,504	1,451	1.68%	405,454	2,277	2.23%
Other Borrowings	22,044	203	3.65%	24,244	227	3.71%

INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES	\$ 365,548	\$ 1,654	1.80%	\$ 429,698	\$ 2,504	2.31%
Non-Interest bearing DDA Liabilities of held for sale	69,356			67,685		
operations	0			39,476		
All Other Liabilities	4,822			5,300		
Shareholders Equity	18,260			20,167		
TOTAL LIABILITIES & SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 457,986			\$ 562,326		
Net Interest Rate Spread			3.44%			3.39%
Net Interest Income /Margin		\$ 3,822	3.66%		\$ 4,056	3.52%

(1) Presented on a fully taxable equivalent basis using a federal income tax rate of 34%.

Allowance and Provision For Loan Losses

The Corporation maintains formal policies and procedures to control and monitor credit risk. Management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate to provide for probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. While the Corporation s loan portfolio has no significant concentrations in any one industry or any exposure in foreign loans, the loan portfolio has a concentration connected with commercial real estate loans. Specific strategies have been deployed to reduce the concentration levels and limit exposure to this type of lending in the future. The Michigan economy, employment levels and other economic conditions in the Corporation s local markets may have a significant impact on the level of credit losses. Management continues to identify and devote attention to credits that are not performing as agreed. Of course, deterioration of economic conditions could have an impact on the Corporation s credit quality, which could impact the need for greater provision for loan losses and the level of the allowance for loan losses as a percentage of gross loans. Non-performing loans are discussed further in the section titled Non-Performing Assets. The allowance for loan losses reflects management s judgment as to the level considered appropriate to absorb probable losses in the loan portfolio. The Corporation s methodology in determining the adequacy of the allowance is based on ongoing quarterly assessments and relies on several key elements, which include specific allowances for identified problem loans and a formula-based risk-allocated allowance for the remainder of the portfolio. This includes a review of individual loans, size, and composition of the loan portfolio, historical loss experience, current economic conditions, financial condition of borrowers, the level and composition of non-performing loans, portfolio trends, estimated net charge-offs and other pertinent factors. While we consider the allowance for loan losses to be adequate based on information currently available, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary due to changes in economic conditions, delinquencies, or loss rates. Although portions of the allowance have been allocated to various portfolio segments, the allowance is general in nature and is available for the portfolio in its entirety. At September 30, 2010, the allowance was \$15,037,000, or 4.81% of total loans compared to \$10,726,000, or 3.01%, at December 31, 2009, an increase of \$4,311,000 during the first nine months of 2010. Non performing loan levels, discussed later, decreased during the period and net charge-offs decreased to \$4,003,000 during the first nine months of 2010 compared to \$7,276,000 during the first nine months of 2009. The provision for loan losses remains high as a result of continued weaknesses in the national and local economies, elevated amounts of non-performing loans and elevated charge-off levels over the past three years. Rolling twelve quarter periods of historical charge off experience is considered when calculating the current required level of the allowance for loan losses. Additionally the amount of the allowance for loan losses specifically allocated to impaired loans increased by \$1,124,000 during the quarter as a result of updated collateral evaluations and a migration to the impaired status.

Table 4 below summarizes loan losses and recoveries for the first nine months of 2010 and 2009. During the first nine months of 2010, the Corporation experienced net charge-offs of \$4,003,000 or 1.28% of gross loans compared with net charge-offs of \$7,276,000 or 1.90% of gross loans in the first nine months of 2009. The provision for loan loss was \$8,314,000 in the first nine months of 2010 and \$11,306,000 for the same time period in 2009. Continuing declines in appraised values of properties in Michigan along with additional loans migrating to watch status due to economic conditions, have contributed to the ongoing elevated level of provision for loan losses. The application of historical loss rates to the current portfolio has the potential to be a lagging indicator and management evaluates whether these allocations should be adjusted. While there are indications that asset quality is improving, such as a decrease in non-performing loans, uncertain current economic conditions justify the use of high historical loss rates in estimating the required level of allowance for loan losses.

Table 4 Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses

	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
(000s omitted)	2010	2009		
Balance at Beginning of Period	\$ 10,726	\$ 10,455		
Charge-Offs:				
Commercial, Financial and Agriculture	(4,159)	(6,263)		
Real Estate-Mortgage	(186)	(738)		
Installment Loans to Individuals	(518)	(523)		
Total Charge-Offs	(4,863)	(7,524)		
Recoveries:				
Commercial, Financial and Agriculture	754	166		
Real Estate-Mortgage	40	7		
Installment Loans to Individuals	66	75		
Total Recoveries	860	248		
Net Charge-Offs	(4,003)	(7,276)		
Provision	8,314	11,306		
Balance at End of Period	\$ 15,037	\$ 14,485		
Ratio of Net Charge-Offs to Gross Loans	1.28%	1.89%		

Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income increased during the three months ended September 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. Overall non-interest income, of continuing operations, was \$1,490,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$1,480,000 for the same period in 2009. This represents an increase of 0.7%. On a year to date basis, non-interest income at September 30, 2010 was \$4,395,000 compared with \$3,445,000 at

September 30, 2009. This represents an increase of 27.6%.

Service charges on deposit accounts are approximately 25% of non-interest income. These fees from continuing operations were \$378,000 in the third quarter of 2010, compared to \$519,000 for the same period of 2009. This represents a decrease of 27.2% from year to year in NSF charges collected. On a year to date basis, service charges on deposits accounts decreased 12.5% to \$1,255,000 at September 30, 2010.

Gain on the sale of mortgage loans originated by the Banks and sold into the secondary market increased by \$114,000 or 114.0% to \$214,000 in the third quarter of 2010 compared to \$100,000 for the same period in 2009. Management believes for the remainder of 2010, mortgage income will remain relatively flat as governmental purchase incentives have expired and property values remain under stress. On a year to date basis, the gain on the sale of mortgage loans has decreased 27.8% from the first nine months of 2009.

Trust, investment and financial planning services income decreased \$82,000 or 17.9% in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in the prior year. The decrease is attributable to unfavorable changes in market value, which resulted in lower fee income. On a year to date basis, trust and wealth management income has decreased 14.2% compared to 2009.

Other operating income increased by \$119,000 or 29.5% in the third quarter of 2010 compared to the same time period in 2009. The increases consist of increased interchange income from debit cards, an increase in gain on sale of real estate owned, and increases in charges related to providing support services to other banks. On a year to date

basis, other operating income increased \$122,000 or 8.3%. This was due to the 2009 write down of the Arizona investment which totaled \$1,360,000. In addition, one of the Banks received proceeds from a bank owned life insurance policy providing a benefit of \$203,000, in the first quarter of 2009 and proceeds from bank owned life insurance policies providing a benefit of \$297,000 in the third quarter of 2010.

Non-Interest Expense

Total non-interest expense, from continued operations, decreased 6.7% to \$4,647,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared with \$4,981,000 in the same period of 2009. The decrease can be attributed to decreases in loan and collection costs of \$442,000, related to other real estate owned (ORE), and a decrease in salaries and benefits of \$116,000. On a year to date basis, non-interest expense decreased 10.8% versus last year through September 30, 2010. In addition to the items that occurred in the quarter, as mentioned above, the year-to-date decrease was largely attributed to items from 2009 such as a FDIC special assessment of \$255,000, and an additional \$150,000 in estimated transaction costs in conjunction with an agreement to sell Davison State Bank. Salary and benefit costs, the Corporation s largest non-interest expense category, were \$2,013,000 in the third quarter of 2010, compared with \$2,129,000, or a decrease of 5.4%, for the same time period in 2009. Staff reductions in the second quarter of 2010 are a component of the decrease along with staff attrition without replacement. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, salary and benefit costs were \$6,191,000 compared with \$6,752,000 for the same time period in 2009. This reduction of 8.3% or \$561,000 was related to the elimination of the 401(k) match for retirement benefits, along with the changes in staffing previously mentioned.

Occupancy expenses, at \$430,000, remained relatively flat in the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 with an increase of \$2,000 or 0.5%. Increases in property insurance costs, a component of occupancy expenses, were nearly offset by decreases in occupancy expenses as related to reductions in building repairs and maintenance. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, occupancy expenses were \$1,310,000, compared to \$1,378,000 for the same time period in 2009. This represents a decrease of 4.9%. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, the decrease in occupancy expenses is related to reductions in building repairs and maintenance, primarily due to lower snow removal costs earlier in 2010.

During the three months ended September 30, 2010, furniture and equipment expenses were \$395,000 compared to \$385,000 for the same period in 2009, an increase of 2.6%. The increase was due to the correction to the amortization of a prepaid expense. This was partially offset by decreases in equipment rental expenses and depreciation expenses. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, furniture and equipment expenses were \$1,152,000 compared to \$1,212,000 for the same period in 2009. This represents a decrease of 5.0% for the nine month period comparison. Loan and collection expenses, from continuing operations, at \$542,000, were down \$442,000 or 44.9% during the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same time period in 2009. The decrease was related to other loan expense on other real estate owned, in the form of property taxes and property maintenance and decreased loan and collection expenses. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, loan and collection expenses totaled \$1,532,000 compared to \$2,302,000 for the same period in 2009. This represents a decrease of 33.4%. The decrease during the nine month period was also related to decreases in other real estate owned expenses.

Advertising expenses decreased \$14,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, advertising expenses were \$25,000 compared to \$39,000 for the same period in 2009. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, advertising expenses totaled \$98,000, compared to \$126,000 for the same time in 2009. This is a decrease of 22.2%.

Other operating expenses, from continued operations, were \$1,242,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2010 compared to \$1,016,000 in the same time period in 2009, an increase of \$226,000 or 22.2%. Increases year over year include increases in our general insurance from third quarter 2009 totals. Partially offsetting these increases were reductions supplies expense, director fees, ATM/Debit card expenses, business development expenses, and conferences and education. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, other operating expenses were \$3,301,000 compared to \$3,458,000 in the same time

period in 2009, a decrease of \$157,000 or 4.5%. The largest component of this decrease was the 2009 booking of \$150,000 of anticipated expenses associated with the Davison State Bank sale transaction. <u>Financial Condition</u>

Proper management of the volume and composition of the Corporation s earning assets and funding sources is essential for ensuring strong and consistent earnings performance, maintaining adequate liquidity and limiting exposure to risks caused by changing market conditions. The Corporation s securities portfolio is structured to provide a source of liquidity through maturities and to generate an income stream with relatively low levels of principal risk. The Corporation does not engage in securities trading. Loans comprise the largest component of earning assets and are the Corporation s highest yielding assets. Customer deposits are the primary source of funding for earning assets while short-term debt and other sources of funds could be further utilized if market conditions and liquidity needs change. The Corporation s total assets were \$449,378,000 at September 30, 2010 compared to total assets of \$522,079,000 at December 31, 2009. This includes assets from discontinued operations of \$37,919,000 at December 31, 2009. Loans comprised 69.6% of total assets at September 30, 2010 compared to 68.1% at December 31, 2009. Loans decreased \$44,735,000 during the first nine months of 2010.

Bank premises and equipment decreased \$660,000 to \$15,254,000 at September 30, 2010 compared to \$15,914,000 at December 31, 2009. The decrease was a result of normal depreciation.

Other assets decreased \$9,274,000 when comparing September 30, 2010 to December 31, 2009. The decrease was mainly comprised of a \$3,614,000 reduction in the outstanding balance of non-performing loans in their redemption period. Other decreases included a decrease of \$151,000 in repossessed assets, a decrease of \$151,000 in bank owned life insurance policies due to the redemption of three policies and a decrease of \$1,075,000 in the banks sweeps transfer account. Partially offsetting these decreases were increases in ORE balances totaling \$1,036,000 and an increase of \$629,000 in miscellaneous assets due to VISA requirement to establish a collateral account.

On the liability side of the balance sheet, the ratio of non-interest bearing deposits to total deposits was 16.7% at September 30, 2010 and 14.6% at December 31, 2009. Interest bearing deposit liabilities totaled \$340,882,000 at September 30, 2010 compared to \$376,245,000 at December 31, 2009. Total deposits decreased \$31,532,000 with non-interest bearing demand deposits increasing \$3,831,000 and interest bearing deposits decreasing \$35,363,000. Short-term borrowings decreased \$48,000 due to the decrease in treasury tax and loan payments outstanding at the end of the two periods. FHLB advances decreased \$2,000,000 comparing the two periods.

Non-Performing Assets

Non-performing assets include loans on which interest accruals have ceased, loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing, loans that have been renegotiated, and real estate acquired through foreclosure or deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. Table 5 reflects the levels of these assets at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

Non-performing assets decreased from December 31, 2009 to September 30, 2010. The decrease of \$4,591,000 was primarily due to decreased levels of non-accrual loans, renegotiated loans, and REO-in-Redemption. Renegotiated loans decreased \$597,000 to \$3,225,000 at September 30, 2010. Non-accrual loans decreased \$1,097,000 to \$15,410,000 and REO-in-redemption decreased \$3,614,000 to \$1,358,000. REO-in-Redemption balance is comprised of six commercial properties and one residential property for a total of \$1,358,000 at September 30, 2010. Marketability of these properties is dependent on the real estate market.

The level and composition of non-performing assets is affected by economic conditions in the Corporation s local markets. Non-performing assets, charge-offs, and provisions for loan losses tend to decline in a strong economy and increase in a weak economy, potentially impacting the Corporation s

operating results. In addition to non-performing loans, management carefully monitors other credits that are current in terms of principal and interest payments but, in management s opinion, may deteriorate in quality if economic conditions change.

Table 5 Non-Performing Assets and Past Due Loans

(000s omitted)	September 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009
Non-Performing Loans: Loans Past Due 90 Days or More & Still Accruing Non-Accrual Loans Troubled debt restructurings	\$ 0 15,410 3,225	\$	319 16,507 3,822
Total Non-Performing Loans	18,635		20,648
Other Non-Performing Assets: Other Real Estate REO in Redemption Total Other Non-Performing Assets Total Non-Performing Assets	9,003 1,358 10,361 \$ 28,996	\$	7,967 4,972 12,939 33,587
Non-Performing Loans as a % of Total Loans	5.96%		5.80%
Non-Performing Loans as a % of Total Loans and Other Real Estate	5.79%		5.67%
Allowance for Loan Losses as a % of Non-Performing Loans	80.69%		51.95%
Accruing Loans Past Due 90 Days or More to Total Loans	0.00%		0.09%
Non-performing Assets as a % of Total Assets	6.45%		6.43%

Certain portions of the Corporation s non-performing loans included in Table 5 are considered impaired. The Corporation measures impairment on all large balance non-accrual commercial loans. Certain large balance accruing loans rated watch or monitor are also analyzed for possible impairment. Impairment losses are believed to be adequately covered by the allowance for loan losses.

The Corporation maintains policies and procedures to identify and monitor non-accrual loans. A loan is placed on non-accrual when there is doubt regarding collection of principal or interest, or when principal or interest is past due 90 days or more. Accrued but uncollected is reversed against income for the current quarter when a loan is placed on non-accrual. At September 30, 2010, there were no loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing. Management is not aware of any loans that have not been moved to non-accrual or not been reclassified to troubled debt restructures at September 30, 2010. The potential, however, remains that a borrower may become financially distressed in the future and management may place that loan into non-accrual, but this is difficult to predict.

Liquidity and Interest Rate Risk Management

Asset/Liability management is designed to assure liquidity and reduce interest rate risks. The goal in managing interest rate risk is to maintain a strong and relatively stable net interest margin. It is the responsibility of the Asset/Liability Management Committee (ALCO) to set policy guidelines and to establish short-term and long-term

strategies with respect to interest rate exposure and balance sheet liquidity. The ALCO, which is comprised of key members of management, meets regularly to review financial performance and soundness, including interest rate risk and liquidity in relation to present and prospective markets and business conditions. Accordingly, the committee adopts funding and balance sheet management strategies that are intended to maximize earnings, maintain liquidity, and achieve balance sheet composition objectives.

Liquidity maintenance together with a solid capital base and strong earnings performance are key objectives of the Corporation. The Corporation s liquidity is derived from a strong deposit base comprised of individual and business deposits. Deposit accounts of customers in the mature market represent a substantial portion of deposits of individuals. The Banks deposit base plus other funding sources (federal funds purchased, short-term borrowings, FHLB advances, repurchase agreements, other liabilities and shareholders equity) provided primarily all funding needs in the first nine months of 2010. While these sources of funds are expected to continue to be available to provide funds in the future, the mix and availability of funds will depend upon future economic conditions. The Corporation does not foresee any difficulty in meeting its funding requirements.

Primary liquidity is provided through short-term investments or borrowings (including federal funds sold and purchased) while the securities portfolio provides secondary liquidity. The securities portfolio has increased \$10.2 million since December 31, 2009 due to purchases in the available for sale investment portfolio. Multiple available for sale securities with elevated credit risk were sold and the proceeds used to purchase mortgage backed instruments with lower credit risk. The Corporation has re-invested some of the funds, from the call of these securities, back into the securities portfolio to increase yield and manage the asset ratios on the balance sheet. The Corporation regularly monitors liquidity to ensure adequate cash flows to cover unanticipated reductions in the availability of funding sources.

Interest rate risk is managed by controlling and limiting the level of earnings volatility arising from rate movements. The Corporation regularly performs reviews and analysis of those factors impacting interest rate risk. Factors include maturity and re-pricing frequency of balance sheet components, impact of rate changes on interest margin and prepayment speeds, market value impacts of rate changes, and other issues. Both actual and projected performance are reviewed, analyzed, and compared to policy and objectives to assure present and future financial viability. The Corporation had cash used in financing activities resulting from the decrease of deposits, short term borrowings and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. In the first nine months of 2010 deposits, from continuing operations, decreased \$31,532,000, while short term borrowings decreased \$48,000 and Federal Home Loan Bank advances decreased \$2,027,000. Cash provided by investing activities was \$32,670,000 in first nine months of 2010 compared to \$49,273,000 in first nine months of 2009. The change in investing activities was due to payoffs of loans, primarily in the commercial loan portfolio.

Capital Resources

Management closely monitors capital levels to provide for current and future business needs and to comply with regulatory requirements. Regulations prescribed under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 have defined adequately capitalized institutions as those having total risk-based ratios, tier 1 risk-based capital ratios and tier 1 leverage ratios of at least 8%, 4%, and 4%, respectively. At September 30, 2010, the Corporation and subsidiary Banks were in excess of the minimum capital and leverage requirements as defined by federal law; however The State Bank and West Michigan Community Bank were not in compliance with the capital requirements prescribed by their respective Consent Orders.

Total shareholders equity decreased 21.7% to \$16,072,000 at September 30, 2010 compared with \$20,532,000 at December 31, 2009. The decline was due to the net loss in the first nine months of 2010, partially offset by improvements to other comprehensive income as noted below. The Corporation s equity to asset ratio was 3.6% at September 30, 2010 and 3.9% at December 31, 2009.

As indicated on the balance sheet at December 31, 2009, the Corporation had an accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$724,000 compared to accumulated other comprehensive income at September 30, 2010 of \$327,000. The decrease in the loss position is attributable to a combination of the fluctuation of the market price of securities held in the available for sale portfolio as well as the recognition of OTTI in the equity investment portfolio at September 30, 2010.

For additional information on the Corporation s capital resources please refer to Note 10 to the financial statements which is incorporated herein by this reference.

Regulatory Orders

The Corporation s primary source of cash to service its subordinated debt is dividends from the subsidiary banks. Since the subsidiary banks have suspended dividends to the holding company, the Corporation has elected to defer interest payments for five years on \$14,000,000 of subordinated debentures. The reason for the interest deferral is to maintain liquidity at the Holding Company. The Corporation is not in default under either of the indentures. During this five year period, the Corporation is precluded from paying shareholder dividends on its outstanding common stock. The Corporation subsequently may give notice that it elects to shorten the deferral period, pay accrued interest and return to the normal course of shareholder dividend payments.

On October 27, 2010, management received a notice from The Federal Reserve which defined restrictions being placed upon the Holding Company. The restrictions include the declaration or payment of any dividends, the receipt of dividends from subsidiary Banks, the repayment of any principal or interest on subordinated debentures or Trust Preferred securities, restrictions on debt, any changes in Executive or Senior Management or change in the role of Senior Management. In addition, the notice provided an indication for the corporation to maintain sufficient capital levels.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Management s Discussion and Analysis of financial condition and results of operations are based on the Corporation s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, income taxes, other real estate owned, and investment securities valuation. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level we believe is adequate to absorb probable losses identified and inherent in the loan portfolio. Our evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is an estimate based on reviews of individual loans, assessments of the impact of current and anticipated economic conditions on the portfolio, and historical loss experience. The allowance for loan losses represents management s best estimate, but significant downturns in circumstances relating to loan quality or economic conditions could result in a requirement for an increased allowance for loan losses in the near future. Likewise, an upturn in loan quality or improved economic conditions may result in a decline in the required allowance for loan losses. In either instance unanticipated changes could have a significant impact on operating earnings.

The allowance for loan losses is increased through a provision charged to operating expense. Uncollectible loans are charged-off through the allowance for loan losses. Recoveries of loans previously charged-off are added to the allowance for loan losses. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable that contractual interest and principal payments will not be collected either for the amounts or by the dates as scheduled in the loan agreement. Our accounting for income taxes involves the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities primarily associated with differences in the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses for financial reporting and tax purposes. A valuation allowance related to deferred tax assets is required when it is considered more likely than not that all or part of the benefit related to such assets will not be realized. Management has reviewed the deferred tax position for the Corporation at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. During the second quarter of 2009, the Corporation recognized a valuation allowance. The valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets may be reversed to income in future periods to the extent that the related deferred income tax assets are realized or the valuation allowance is

otherwise no longer required. Management will continue to monitor our deferred tax assets quarterly for changes affecting their realizability.

Other Real Estate Owned and Foreclosed Assets are acquired through or instead of loan foreclosure. They are initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs when acquired, establishing a new cost basis. If fair value declines, a valuation allowance is recorded through expense. Costs after acquisition are expensed.

The Corporation evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. In determining other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) management considers many factors, including: (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, (3) whether the market decline was affected by macroeconomic conditions, and (4) whether the entity has the intent to sell the debt security or more likely than not will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery. The assessment of whether other-than-temporary decline exists involves a high degree of subjectivity and judgment and is based on the information available to management at a point in time.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Some financial instruments, such as loan commitments, credit lines, letters of credit, and overdraft protection, are issued to meet customer financing needs. These are agreements to provide credit or to support the credit of others, as long as conditions established in the contract are met, and usually have expiration dates. Commitments may expire without being used. Off-balance-sheet risk to credit loss exists up to the face amount of these instruments, although material losses are not anticipated. The same credit policies are used to make such commitments as are used for loans, including obtaining collateral at exercise of the commitment.

The contractual amount of financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk was as follows at:

	Septe	ember 30,	Dec	ember 31,
(000s omitted)	,	2010		2009
Commitments to make loans (at market rates)	\$	9,450	\$	2,939
Unused lines of credit and letters of credit		48,259		53,941

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information concerning quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk contained on page 61 in the Corporation s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, is incorporated herein by reference.

Fentura Financial, Inc. faces market risk to the extent that both earnings and the fair value of its financial instruments are affected by changes in interest rates. The Corporation manages this risk with static GAP analysis and simulation modeling. For the first nine months of 2010, the results of these measurement techniques were within the Corporation s policy guidelines. The Corporation does not believe that there has been a material change in the nature of the Corporation s primary market risk exposures, including the categories of market risk to which the Corporation is exposed and the particular markets that present the primary risk of loss to the Corporation, or in how those exposures have been managed in 2010 compared to 2009.

The Corporation s market risk exposure is mainly comprised of its vulnerability to interest rate risk. Prevailing interest rates and interest rate relationships in the future will be primarily determined by market factors, which are outside of the Corporation s control. All information provided in this section consists of forward-looking statements. Reference is made to the section captioned Forward Looking

Statements in this quarterly report for a discussion of the limitations on the Corporation s responsibility for such statements.

Interest Rate Sensitivity Management

Interest rate sensitivity management seeks to maximize net interest income as a result of changing interest rates, within prudent ranges of risk. The Corporation attempts to accomplish this objective by structuring the balance sheet so that re-pricing opportunities exist for both assets and liabilities in roughly equivalent amounts at approximately the same time intervals. Imbalances in these re-pricing opportunities at any point in time constitute a bank s interest rate sensitivity. The Corporation currently does not utilize derivatives in managing interest rate risk.

An indicator of the interest rate sensitivity structure of a financial institution s balance sheet is the difference between rate sensitive assets and rate sensitive liabilities, and is referred to as GAP. Table 6 sets forth the distribution of re-pricing of the Corporation s earning assets and interest bearing liabilities as of September 30, 2010, the interest rate sensitivity GAP, as defined above, the cumulative interest rate sensitivity GAP, the interest rate sensitivity GAP ratio (i.e. interest rate sensitive assets divided by interest rate sensitive liabilities) and the cumulative sensitivity GAP ratio. The table also sets forth the time periods in which earning assets and liabilities will mature or may re-price in accordance with their contractual terms.

Table 6 GAP AnalysisSeptember 30, 2010

(000s omitted)	Within Three Months	Three Months to One Year	One to Five Years	After Five Years	Total
Earning Assets:					
Federal Funds Sold	\$ 39,700	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 39,700
Securities	14,469	7,633	18,025	19,130	59,257
Loans	75,875	53,527	142,813	38,480	310,695
Loans Held for Sale	1,877	0	0	0	1,877
FHLB Stock	1,900	0	0	0	1,900
Total Earning Assets	\$ 133,821	\$ 61,160	\$ 160,838	\$ 57,610	\$413,429
Interest Bearing Liabilities:					
Interest Bearing Demand Deposits	\$ 82,842	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 82,842
Savings Deposits	80,451	0	0	0	80,451
Time Deposits Less than \$100,000	20,255	32,450	37,529	93	90,327
Time Deposits Greater than \$100,000	22,004	30,756	34,502	0	87,262
Short term borrowings	116	0	0	0	116
Other Borrowings	0	5,030	148	776	5,954
Subordinated debentures	14,000	0	0	0	14,000
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	\$ 219,668	\$ 68,236	\$ 72,179	\$ 869	\$ 360,952
Interest Rate Sensitivity GAP	(\$85,847)	(\$7,076)	\$ 88,659	\$56,741	\$ 52,477
Cumulative Interest Rate Sensitivity	(005.047)	(\$02,022)	$(\Phi A \mathbf{O} (A))$	¢ 50 477	
GAP Internet Data Semaitivity CAD	(\$85,847)	(\$92,923)	(\$4,264)	\$ 52,477	
Interest Rate Sensitivity GAP Cumulative Interest Rate Sensitivity	0.61	0.90	2.23	66.29	
GAP Ratio	0.61	0.68	0.99	1.15	

As indicated in Table 6, the short-term (one year and less) cumulative interest rate sensitivity gap is negative. Accordingly, if market interest rates increase, this negative gap position could have a short-term negative impact on interest margin. Conversely, if market rates decline this should theoretically have a short-term positive impact. However, gap analysis is limited and may not provide an accurate indication of the impact of general interest rate movements on the net interest margin since the re-pricing of various categories of assets and liabilities is subject to the Corporation s needs, competitive pressures, and the needs of the Corporation s customers. In addition, various assets and liabilities indicated as re-pricing

within the same period may in fact re-price at different times within such period and at different rate indices. The Prime Rate has remained steady over the past twelve months. This steadiness allowed management to close the gap related to interest rate sensitivity. Management was able to reduce liquid interest bearing liability rates to extremely low rates, while maintaining relatively similar volumes. The Banks were also able to re-price maturing time deposits, usually in a downward fashion as longer term certificates at higher rates matured during the year. On the asset side of the balance sheet, rates on the investment portfolios remained relatively steady and the yields on loans increased slightly. Management worked to re-price loans favorably as they renewed and were priced accordingly for risk, however overall loan yields decreased. This was due to increases in non-performing loans. The Corporation expects to continue to make strides in managing interest rate sensitivity.

Forward Looking Statements

This report includes forward-looking statements as that term is used in the securities laws. All statements regarding our expected financial position, business and strategies are forward-looking statements. In addition, the words anticipates, believes, estimates, seeks, expects, plans, intends, and similar expressions, as they relate to us management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The presentation and discussion of the provision and allowance for loan losses and statements concerning future profitability or future growth or increases, are examples of inherently forward looking statements in that they involve judgments and statements of belief as to the outcome of future events. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse affect on our operations and our future prospects include, but are not limited to, changes in: interest rates, general economic conditions, legislative/regulatory changes, monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government, including policies of the U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board, the quality or composition of the loan or investment portfolios, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, demand for financial services in our market area and accounting principles, policies and guidelines. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Further information concerning us and our business, including additional factors that could materially affect our financial results, is included in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 4T: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Corporation s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q Quarterly Report, have concluded that except for the following the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that material information relating to the Corporation would be made known to them by others within the Corporation, particularly during the period in which this Form 10-Q was being prepared.

During quarter end review procedures a formula error was uncovered in one of the Bank s allowance for loan loss calculation work sheets which resulted in the overstatement of the allowance for loan losses. Management appropriately corrected the work sheet. Since no preliminary financial results were disclosed, a restatement was not required. Management has remediated this weakness and will test the effectiveness of the control prior to year end.

(b) <u>Changes in Internal Controls</u>. During the period covered by this report, there have been no changes in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings. - None
Item 1A. Risk Factors This item is not applicable to smaller reporting companies.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. None
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities. None
Item 4. [Reserved]
Item 5. Other Information. None
Item 6. Exhibits.

(a) Exhibits

31.1 Certificate of the President and Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241,

as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

- 32.1 Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

	Fentura Financial, Inc.
Dated: November 10, 2010	/s/ Donald L. Grill Donald L. Grill President & CEO
Dated: November 10, 2010	/s/ Douglas J. Kelley Douglas J. Kelley Chief Financial Officer 41

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Description

- 31.1 Certificate of the President and Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certificate of the Chief Executive Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certificate of the Chief Financial Officer of Fentura Financial, Inc. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.